RELIGION, STATE & SOCIETY

Volume 23  Number 3  September 1995

Editorial  229

Notes on Contributors  231

Religious Developments among of the Volga Nations as a Model for the Russian Federation
SERGEI FILATOV & ALEKSANDR SCHIPKOV  233

Impressions of the Contemporary Russian Orthodox Church: Its Problems and Its Theological Education
DIMITRY POSPIELOVSKY  249

Old Belief and Work
MIKHAIL ROSCHIN  263

The Problem of Violence and the Structure of Rationality:
Philosophical Remarks
YURI SENOKOSOV  269

Eastern Germany Revisited
BETH CANTRELL & UTE KEMP  279

In Search of Faith, Part 2: Charter 77 and the Return to Spiritual Values in the Czech Republic
JONATHAN LUXMOORE & JOLANTA BABIUCH  291

Book Review
The Bloody Flag: Postcommunist Nationalism in Eastern Europe — Spotlight on Romania by Juliana Geran Pilon, reviewed by Alexandru Popescu  305
Editorial

I am writing this editorial a few days after the death of Metropolitan Ioann of St Petersburg on 2 November. His appointment in 1990 to Russia’s second city, and thereby his de facto elevation to the position of second-ranking hierarch to the patriarch, came as a surprise. St Petersburg was founded by Peter the Great as a ‘window onto Europe’; but in those early heady days of reform and new opportunities Metropolitan Ioann resolutely turned his back to that window. His appointment must have reflected the delicate balance between those in the hierarchy of the Russian Orthodox Church favouring openness and those favouring isolationism, and the victory on this particular occasion of the latter. During his five years in St Petersburg Metropolitan Ioann resolutely an icon for ultra-conservatives within church and state. Claiming he was concerned only with problems of church life and faith, ‘Humble Ioann’ – as he sometimes signed his regular contributions to the conservative communist newspaper Sovetskaya Rossiya – inevitably played a political role and was an embarrassment to those of his colleagues, including Patriarch Aleksi, who wanted their church to work openly and creatively in post-Soviet society.

Widespread spiritual disorientation amongst the population has been the consequence of the sudden huge influx of foreign religious organisations into Russia since the late 1980s. In taking a stance against what he saw as this uncontrolled onslaught on traditional Russian spiritual values Metropolitan Ioann was undoubtedly speaking for significant numbers of ordinary believers. Nevertheless, his position was an extreme one. He saw ‘a single-minded campaign under way to wipe out the Russian nation’, involving ‘world Freemasonry, Roman Catholicism, the major western powers, Zionism and Marxism’. In his 1994 book Samoderzhaviye dukha (Autocracy of the Spirit) he argued that Russia had always been the object of Jewish conspiracy. When a journalist put it to him in an interview that the Protocols of the Elders of Zion, to which the Metropolitan made frequent reference, were a forgery, Ioann replied, ‘Yes, of course, it’s hard to tell who wrote them, but the whole programme is in fact in action, regardless of who the author was.’

In his article in this issue of Religion, State & Society Dimitry Pospielovsky describes those ‘former komsomol activists or even communists, repainted as nationalist-monarchists and defenders of the honour of the Orthodox Church’, who have become advisers for some bishops like Ioann of St Petersburg. One accusation they level at western evangelists and foreign religious organisations is that they are ‘poaching’ the flock of potential Orthodox believers. Pospielovsky however argues that it is the Russian Orthodox Church that has not risen adequately to the challenge of presenting its message to society at large; he speaks of the ‘missionary failure’ of the Church. Patriarch Aleksi would apparently agree with him. Pospielovsky quotes him at a public meeting: ‘Prosyletism and religious expansion from the West and the East ought to be challenged by the living witness of our own faith and life, not by legislative restrictions.’ The patriarch goes on to appeal to clergy and laity to go out.
to the people as active missionaries of their faith in word and deed, rather than waiting for the people to come to them.

The Orthodox Church may be failing in its missionary outreach to the Russian people; but different problems attend its record in reaching out to non-Russian nations, and these problems have become acute in the post-Soviet period when non-Russian nations within the Russian Federation are redefining their identities and testing the boundaries of their freedom. Amongst the nations of the Volga region, argue Filatov and Shchipkov in this issue of Religion, State & Society, the current national revival is giving rise to unprecedented religious creativity. Amongst these nations Russian Orthodoxy is viewed as 'antinational', a tool of imperialist occupation. Those involved in the national revival are trying to encourage their own distinctive local faiths. Some have turned to other Christian denominations. In recent years the Mordovians, for instance, have set up a range of contacts with the Finns, with whom they are ethnically related, and one consequence has been the founding of a Mordovian Lutheran Church. There has however been conflict between Finnish missionaries and the indigenous new converts. The former represent modern Western European Lutheranism with its formal attitude towards the sacraments, theological liberalism, women priests and support for sexual minorities. It is a widespread phenomenon in formerly communist countries that current western liberal values are perceived as alien and irrelevant by the indigenous Christians. This kind of cultural gulf obviously represents a challenge for western missionaries – of a different order from that simple chauvinism of Metropolitan Ioann and his ilk, but a serious challenge nevertheless, and one which deserves to be taken seriously.

Other non-Russian nations within the Russian Federation are turning to non-Christian faiths in order to establish their unique identity. These faiths include Islam, Judaism and Zoroastrianism; yet the most powerful current is the attempt to revive paganism. It is entirely possible, argue Filatov and Shchipkov, that the predominantly pagan nation of Mari El will become the first European nation-state in which paganism is the recognised state religion. Mari El could then become a focus for all the other pagan ethnic groups in the Volga region; and a common faith and favourable political circumstances could lead to the setting up of a union of Volga nations more monolithic than the association of mountain nations of the Caucasus. 'In this case the "pagan factor" would be heard at full volume and demand a response from the rest of Russia.'

The article by Filatov & Shchipkov is part of a thoroughgoing sociological investigation of religious life today throughout the Russian Federation. The investigation, the publishing of its findings and the collation of a comprehensive database are being supported by Keston Institute as part of its research programme in Russia. The findings will obviously be of major importance in many quarters, and not least for western religious organisations planning to carry on any kind of mission within Russia today.
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