SCOTUS ERIGENA ON GREEK MANUSCRIPTS OF THE FOURTH GOSPEL.

Dr Souter notes in the Critical Apparatus of his N. T. that οὐδὲ ἐκ θελήματος ἄνδρὸς is omitted by B* 17* Clem. Eus. Ath. al.; he does not mention that the first clause οὐδὲ ἐκ θελήματος σαρκὸς is omitted by other witnesses (Ε*, &c.). Both omissions are no doubt mistakes that arose mechanically; see Th. Zahn on the passage (note 70). But nowhere do I find mentioned the statement of Scotus Erigena:—

In antiquis Graecorum exemplaribus solummodo scribitur: Qui non ex sanguinisibis, sed ex Deo nati sunt. Scotus seems to have known MSS (or a MS) in which both clauses were omitted. The passage of Erigena is quoted by Franz Overbeck Das Johannesevangelium (Tiibingen 1911, p. 126) with reference to Ravaisson Rapports sur les bibliothèques des départements de l'Ouest, Paris 1846, p. 325. Erigena is not mentioned by Tischendorf among the authors who are important for the text of the Greek Testament. This passage shews that even so late an author deserves the attention of an editor of the Greek Testament.

On i 15 Scotus writes:—

Et clamavit, vel sicut in Graeco legitur et clamavit dicens: Hic erat quem dixi, vel sicut in Graeco habetur quem dicebam, quod multo significatius est. Nam si praeteritum perfectum, quod est dixi, poneret, peractum iam praedicationis eius de Christo opus significaret; praeteritum vero imperfectum, quod est dicebam, et incoationem praedicationis Christi ab Ioanne significat, et adhuc in ipsa praedicatione perseverantiam.

For the imperfect εἶλεγον instead of εἶπον Tischendorf quotes only C*. Is there any connexion between Scotus and C*, or did he consider εἶπον as imperfect, or are there any other MSS reading εἶλεγον?

Curious is the remark on i 29:—

Altera die, vel, ut in Graeco significatius scribitur, alia die videt Ioannes Iesum venientem ad se. Alia, inquit, die, hoc est, alia cognitione. Prima enim cognitioni fuit, quando . . . Nunc autem veluti secunda notitia, altera die vel alia die.

In Greek there is no variant for τῇ επαύριον.

On i 18 we read:—

Unigenitus filius, qui est in sinu Patris, vel ut in Graeco scribitur qui est in sinu Patris vel in sinibus Patris. In quibusdam codicibus Graecorum singulariter sinus Patris dicitur, in quibusdam pluraliter, quasi sinus multis Pater habeat.

How is this statement to be understood? Ought we to read for the Greek 'in sinum' to correspond with εἰς τὸν κόλπον? or, 'in sinus'? But what of the plural? Is it a confusion with Lk. xvi 22, 23?
On iii 3 Scotus calls attention to the difference of ‘denuo et ἀνώθεν hoc est desursum’; on iii 13 he says that ‘ascendit’ might be present or perfect, ‘sed in Graeco non est ambiguum.’

On iii 27:—

\[ \text{nisi fuerit ei datum de caelo. In quibusdam codicibus Graecorum legitur nisi fuerit ei datum desursum de caelo.} \]

For this ἀνώθεν Tischendorf quotes only 13, 69, 129; Wettstein adds the Armenian version. Codex 69 is the famous codex of Leicester, of the origin of which Dr Rendel Harris treated in 1887. Have we here a trace of one of its ancestors?

EB. NESTLE.

RECENT DISCOVERIES IN THE CATACOMB OF S. CALLISTUS.

That the opening number of the new *Journal of Roman Studies* should contain an article on the topic about to be discussed is of good omen for the study of Christian archaeology in England. Our country sends forth few workers in this field, which might well occupy the attention of some of those attracted to Rome by the advantages for study offered by the British School of Archaeology.

The last decade has been fruitful of discovery in the catacombs. Readers of the *Journal of Theological Studies* will recollect that excavations in the Catacomb of Priscilla on the Via Salaria have made it possible to determine with some approach to certainty the spot hallowed by tradition as the scene of S. Peter’s ministry. The works upon which Miss Barker’s article in the *Journal of Roman Studies* are based deal with the group of cemeteries lying on or between the Via Appia and Via Ardeatina, and although they do not carry us back to Apostolic times, they throw much fresh light on the history of the third century and its martyrs. Unfortunately, the interpretation of the remains which have been discovered has led to much diversity of opinion and to a bitter and unedifying controversy between the principal writers on the subject, of which as little as possible will here be said.

The ‘queen of roads’ and the relatively unimportant highway which led from Rome to Ardea issued from the city by the Porta Capena and Porta Naevia respectively, and when the walls of Aurelian were built, the first passed through the new Porta Appia, whilst a small gateway, the Porta Ardeatina, which was blocked in the middle ages and finally destroyed by Sangallo to make room for his bastion, gave passage to the second. The Via Ardeatina, however, fell into disuse in the Dark
Ages, and the name is now commonly applied to the branch-road which leaves the Via Appia close to the chapel of Domine quo vadis and joins the original line of the Via Ardeatina in the neighbourhood of the estate of Tor Marancia, famous both for the discoveries of classical sculpture made in 1827, and also as the site of the Catacomb of Domitilla, the nucleus of which was formed by the burial-place of those members of the Flavian house who embraced Christianity.

In the triangle formed by the Via Appia, the Via Ardeatina (in the modern sense) and the cross-road known as the Via delle Sette Chiese, is a large group of cemeteries to which the name of S. Callistus is popularly attached. These cemeteries were the scene of the great De Rossi's most famous discoveries, and the three volumes of his Roma sotterranea are almost entirely concerned with this region. The continuation of this great work—the publication of which was suspended on the death of De Rossi—has been entrusted to Orazio Marucchi, the indefatigable secretary of the Commissione di Archeologia sacra, and a fourth volume, containing the first instalment of a description of the Catacomb of Domitilla, was issued in 1909. But the excavations carried on in 1902–3 and again since 1908 in the so-called Catacomb of S. Callistus have made clear some points which De Rossi was forced to leave in obscurity, and have at the same time raised fresh problems, the solution of which cannot yet be said to have been achieved. Mgr Wilpert, whose work on the paintings of the catacombs is a classic, has summed up the results of the new discoveries in what he announces as the first of a series of essays supplementary to Roma sotterranea; but his conclusions differ widely from those of Marucchi, who devotes some sections of his own volume to the controversy, which has been in progress for some years past. The region, in fact, in which the monuments in dispute lie, though not directly adjacent to the Catacomb of Domitilla, is also bordered by the Via Ardeatina, and the itineraries of the Middle Ages, upon the interpretation of which much depends, treat the cemeteries of the Via Appia and Via Ardeatina in close connexion.

The Catacomb of S. Callistus takes its name from the deacon who, as we are told by the author of the Φιλοσοφούμενα, was entrusted by S. Zephyrinus (199–217) with the administration of 'the cemetery', and succeeded his patron as Pope (217–222). Neither of these Popes was, however, buried in the 'cemetery of Callistus' properly so-called. S. Callistus himself, according to the Liber pontificalis, found his last resting-place in the coemeterium Calepodii on the Via Aurelia, while

1 Die Papstgräber und die Cäsienengruft, 1909 (Ital. trans. La cripta dei Papi, &c., 1910).
2 ix 12 (ἐς τὸ κωμητήριῳ κατέστησεν).
3 The predecessors of S. Zephyrinus were all buried beside S. Peter in the Vatican.
Zephyrinus (again according to the Lib. pont.) was buried in cymiterio suo iuxta cymiterium Calisti via Appia. S. Urban, too (222–230), is stated by the best authorities to have been buried in the cemetery of Praetextatus, which lies to the east of the Via Appia. Of the ten Popes who succeeded him, however, all save one—S. Cornelius, whose burial-place in the crypta Lucinae on the Via Appia was discovered by De Rossi in 1849—are said to have been buried in cymiterio Calisti; and nearly all, as we shall see, were doubtless laid to rest in the famous Papal crypt which adjoins that of S. Cecilia. The next two Popes, S. Marcellinus (296–308) and S. Marcellus (308–9), were buried in the Catacomb of Priscilla; S. Eusebius, however (309–311), and S. Militades (311–314) had their tombs in the cemetery of Callistus. The latter was the last of the Popes to be buried in this cemetery; but we hear that S. Marcus (336–7) built two basilicae, in one of which he was buried, and that this was in cymiterio Balbinæ Via Ardeatina, and also that S. Damasus (366–384), whose poetical epitaphs, inscribed in the unmistakeable characters invented by Furius Dionysius Philocalus, adorned so many tombs of martyrs, likewise erected a basilica on the Via Ardeatina, in which he was buried with his mother Laurentia and his sister Irene. All these burial-places, as well as the basilica on the Via Ardeatina in which the brothers Marcus and Marcellianus, deacons martyred under Diocletian, were buried sub magno altare, were visited by the pilgrims for whose benefit the itineraries were written; and the materials for their identification have been largely increased by recent excavations.

We may take first the discoveries in the Papal crypt itself. To the epitaphs of four Popes—SS. Anteros, Fabianus, Lucius, and Eutychianus—discovered by De Rossi has been added a fifth, that of S. Pontianus, who was exiled to Sardinia by Maximinus Thrax, and died of the ill-treatment he received there. The fragments which compose it were found in the crypt of S. Cecilia amidst a mass of rubbish, one of them almost at the bottom of a deep well; and we see that in the original inscription, as in that of S. Fabianus, who caused the body of S. Pontianus to be brought from Sardinia and buried here, only the name and title Εὐτυχίανος, of the Pope were given. At a later date the monogram Μ, i.e. μάρτυς, was added, as it was also in the epitaph of S. Fabianus. De Rossi explained the addition in the latter case by the supposition that the formal vindicatio by which the title of martyr was conferred was postponed owing to the long vacancy which followed the death of Fabianus, and assumed that his successor, S. Cornelius, decreed

---

1 We do not include that of 'Urbanus', since it is more than doubtful, for palaeographical reasons, whether it belongs to the Pope of that name.
the addition of the monogram; but the discovery of the epitaph of 
S. Pontianus has made this theory impossible, and Wilpert has further 
shewn that in the epitaph of S. Cornelius himself the word MARTYR is 
a later addition. It is difficult to say when the title was added, but it 
is at least an attractive conjecture that S. Sixtus II and his attendant 
deacons were the first to be so honoured. The fragmentary inscription 
... vs MARTYS found by De Rossi in the Catacomb of Praetextatus is 
generally thought to refer either to Felicissimus or to Agapitus, who 
were put to death shortly after the Pope himself.

The martyrdom of S. Sixtus has been discussed in a critical spirit by 
Wilpert, whose opinion finds support in a communication from Mgr 
Duchesne. The hitherto accepted view, that S. Sixtus was put to 
death in the Catacomb of Praetextatus, was maintained by De Rossi 
on very inconclusive grounds. It is true that SS. Felicissimus and 
Agapitus were buried in that catacomb; but S. Sixtus himself, as well 
as the four deacons martyred together with him, who are mentioned 
in a letter written by S. Cyprian¹ on the receipt of the news at Carthage, 
were buried in or near the Papal crypt. This is in fact evident from 
the two inscriptions there set up by S. Damasus. The epitaph of the 
Pope himself (Ihm 13), of which three small fragments exist, was clearly 
intended to adorn his tomb, and the line

hic positus rector caelestia iussa docebam

is, to say the least, most naturally interpreted as meaning that S. Sixtus 
was preaching in the crypt itself when arrested; and the second (Ihm 
12) which enumerates the saints buried in this region, contains the line

hic comites Xysti, portant qui ex hoste tropae.

Unfortunately the name of S. Sixtus did not occur in the first of these 
inscriptions, and the rector seems to have been in later times identified 
with S. Stephen, the predecessor of S. Sixtus. This led to almost 
inextricable confusion in the legendary accounts of these two saints and 
their martyrdom: but it may be regarded as certain that S. Sixtus was 
the Pope to whom the place of honour in the Catacomb of Callistus 
belonged of right. It is the merit of Wilpert to have placed the matter 
almost beyond reach of doubt by his partial reconstruction of an inscrip-
tion, of which a number of small fragments have been found at different 
times,² several having been recently identified by Wilpert. The characters 
belong to the fifth century, but the inscription is clearly copied from 
one originally composed by S. Damasus—probably by order of Vigilius 
(537-555), who, as we learn from the well-known inscription found in 
the Catacomb of SS. Petrus and Marcellinus,³ did much to repair the

¹ Ep. 82.
² The fragments identified by De Rossi are given in Ihm 17.
³ De Rossi Inscr. Crist. ii i, 110.
damage wrought by the Goths under Vitiges. Although not a single line can be restored with certainty, Wilpert has made out a strong case for reading the beginning of the poem thus

Dum populi [re]ct[or r]egis p[ra]ecept[a p]rosa[na
Contemnens d]uci[bus missis dat no]bile corpus,

where rex obviously refers to Valerian and rector to S. Sixtus. The fragments whose provenance is known were found either at the top or the bottom of the stairway by which the catacomb is entered; and it is natural to assume that it marked the spot where S. Sixtus was actually beheaded, which was of course not in the Papal crypt itself where the arrest was made. Now in the neighbourhood of the stairway there is a small triapsidal building conventionally known as the Basilica of SS. Sixtus and Cecilia; and it is tempting to suppose—as Wilpert does—that it marks the site of S. Sixtus’s martyrdom. An oratorium ubi decollatus est S. Xystus is mentioned in the Itineraries compiled for the use of mediaeval pilgrims; but this was almost certainly on the Via Appia, and much nearer the city than the building mentioned above. It is, however, impossible to discuss Wilpert’s view without referring to other discoveries in the neighbouring cemeteries.¹

In the region to the north of the cemetery of Callistus proper, not far from the fork of the roads, two crypts were excavated some years ago which were evidently of more than ordinary importance. The first, which was once lined with marble and had four marble-cased columns at the corners, contained a number of formae and loculi; and the epitaph of a lector named Alexius, who is described as resting ‘with the saints’, supports the view that the crypt was the burial-place of well-known martyrs. Near to it is a second crypt, which likewise had at one time a marble lining, and has been named the Crypt of the Apostles, from the fact that it contained a fourth-century fresco representing our Lord seated in the midst of His disciples. Amongst the débris with which the crypt was choked was found a small fragment of the inscription set up by S. Damasus in honour of his mother Laurentia, as was proved by the discovery of an imprint of almost the whole inscription on the cement adhering to a large block of travertine buried in the rubbish accumulated in a neighbouring cubiculum a few yards from the Crypt of the Apostles. This cubiculum was lighted by a large lucernarium, and it is of course possible that the block had fallen from above; in any

¹ Beneath the Papal crypt there has been discovered a number of galleries, &c., of the third century, excavated in an arenarium, and a vast pile of skeletons arranged in layers with earth between them. It seems probable that they had been removed from earlier tombs in order to make room for those who wished to be buried ad sanatos. No doubt it is to such ossuaria that the itineraries refer when they speak of ‘innumeralis multitudo martyrum’, &c.; in this case they speak of lxx martyres who rest deorsum, i.e. below the crypt of S. Cecilia.
case, its original destination must remain uncertain, although, as it shews signs of having been used to support a cancellus, it might have come from the Crypt of the Apostles. Naturally enough, it was at once suggested that this crypt was the burial-place of S. Damasus, his mother and his sister, to which the Liber pontificalis refers. This is the view held by Wilpert, who would also see in the adjoining Crypt of the Columns the shrine of SS. Marcus and Marcellianus (v. supra, p. 599). The mediaeval itineraries are in close agreement concerning the shrines to be visited on the Via Ardeatina. Nearest to the city lay the church of S. Marcus (336–337), then those of S. Damasus and SS. Marcus and Marcellianus, and finally the basilica of S. Petronilla and the tombs of SS. Nereus and Achilleas; these last belonged to the catacomb of Domitilla. The Index coemeteriorum, again, distinguishes four coemeteria on the Via Ardeatina—the coemeterium Domitiae Nerei et Achillei ad S. Petronillum, the coemeterium Balbinae ad S. Marcum et Marcellianum, the coemeterium Basilei ad S. Marcum and the coemeterium Damast.¹ The position of the cemetery of S. Marcus may be regarded as certain. It was not far from the Trappist monastery whose fathers have charge of the Catacomb of Callistus, and the remains of a basilica in this region, discovered in 1640 and described by Fonseca in 1745, but now destroyed, were almost certainly those of that erected by the Pope himself. The two crypts above-mentioned are quite close to this spot, but not so near to the Via Ardeatina. But there are serious difficulties in the way of the proposed identifications. The Liber pontificalis uses almost exactly the same expression with reference to S. Marcus and S. Damasus—fecit duas basilicas, unam via Ardeatina ubi requiescit of the first, fecit duas basilicas, . . . aliam via Ardeatina ubi requiescit of the second—and as it is undoubted that the second basilica was in each case a church above ground, it seems more than unlikely that such a small crypt as that of the Apostles would receive the same designation. Moreover, as the inscriptions found in the adjacent passages shew, the Crypt of the Apostles was in existence before the pontificate of S. Damasus. As for SS. Marcus and Marcellianus, the Itinerarium Sarsiburiense distinctly states that their bodies rested sursum (i.e. above ground) sub magno altare, and their Acts tells us that they were originally buried ad arenas—¹ by the sand-pits—an expression which is inapplicable to the Crypt of the Columns, if this, as Wilpert supposes, was their first resting-place. This being so, it seems that judgement should at least be suspended until more thorough excavations have been carried out on the line of the Via Ardeatina.

But the question is further complicated by the existence of two

¹ The Index olearum groups the saints of the Via Ardeatina together in a similar order.
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triapsidal sanctuaries, one (which has been mentioned already, cf. p. 601) close to the Papal crypt, and a second to the west of it, nearer to the Catacomb of Domitilla. The first of these, as we saw, Wilpert believes to have been sacred to the memory of S. Sixtus; in the second he would see the shrine of S. Zephyrinus, who was buried in cismiterio suo iuxta cismiterium Calisti. Together with S. Zephyrinus the pilgrims venerated S. Tarsicius, a deacon or acolyte martyred under Valerian—forty years after the death of S. Zephyrinus—for refusing to give up the Sacred Host; and we read in the Liber de locis the words S. Tarcisius et S. Geftrinus in uno tumulo iacent. Under Wilpert's direction both of these buildings have been excavated, and in that nearest to the Via Ardeatina was found a large bisomus, which had evidently contained the remains of martyrs, since there were traces of a fenestella confessionis, whilst in that which is adjacent to the Papal crypt no traces of the cult of martyrs were found, though in the central apse there was a deep grave in which were remains of a fourth-century sarcophagus with a representation of S. Peter walking on the waves. Marucchi, however, would see in this latter the shrine of S. Zephyrinus, supposing that the body of S. Tarsicius was buried above that of the Pope, and conjectures that the other is the basilica of SS. Marcus and Marcellianus: it would in fact be possible for an altar to have stood over the bisomus. The strength of his case lies in the fact that it puts a less forced interpretation on the literary texts. The shrine of S. Zephyrinus would be accurately described as iuxta cismiterium Calisti, and the route of the pilgrims, who on leaving the Papal crypt visited, first, the shrine of S. Zephyrinus (sursum, i.e. above ground), secondly that of S. Eusebius (longe in antro, 'at some distance in a crypt'), and lastly the tomb of S. Cornelius (longe in antro altero), is most naturally explained by Marucchi's theory. Whether it is consistent with the remains discovered is a question less easy to settle, and no attempt can here be made to decide the point.

H. Stuart Jones.

1 The burial of the Pope, and the youth put to death a generation after him, in the same grave, may be explained by the supposition that after the havoc wrought by the Goths the body of the second was translated and placed beside that of the first.