NUMBER OF LETTERS IN THE PENTATEUCH

ALEXANDER MARX
JEWISH THEOLOGICAL SEMINARY OF AMERICA

The number of letters in each of the books of the Pentateuch is not found in any edition of a Masoretic Bible before Ginsburg's. The latter derived his figures from his MS. 6, a compilation of the early part of the nineteenth century by one Simon Silberberg who intended to publish and collected subscribers for his work in 1828-1834.1

Professor Blau2 pointed out that there are very curious mistakes in these numbers: to Leviticus exactly the same number of letters is given as to Genesis, and to Exodus 20,000 less than to any other book. He further proved their inaccuracy by comparing these figures with the sum of those given to the weekly portions in the Masora. Ginsburg nevertheless repeats his incorrect statements in his new edition of 1908.3 Yet there is Masoretic information available on this point which has been overlooked by both scholars. Richard Simon in his Histoire Critique, book I, chapter XXVI, gives the data from a Bible MS. written in Perpignan 1300. The MS. he refers to is undoubtedly the one described as no. 7 of the Catalogue des MSS. Hébreux et Samaritains de la Bibliothèque Impériale, Paris, 1866, formerly MS. Oratoire 5 and thus coming from the Library of the institution with which Simon was connected. For the

1 Ginsburg, Introduction to the Massoretico-Critical Edition of the Hebrew Bible. London, 1897, p. 762-5. Ib., p. 110-11, a specimen of Silberberg's method is given which shows that he followed to some extent the scheme given by Hartmann, Linguistische Einleitung in die Bücher des A. T., Bremen, 1818, p. 133, which Hartmann thought to be that of the Old Masoretes.
2 J.Q.R., XVI, 359-60.
3 In the same way Ginsburg in the IV vol. of his Masora takes no cognizance of Blau's proof (J.Q.R., VIII, p. 352 seq.) that Saadiah's poem on the letters contains only the number of times they occur in Prophets and Hagiographa excluding the Torah.
sake of convenience I quote his words from the English translation:4

"I have nevertheless seen a Manuscript of Perpignan wherein was this part of the Massoret with several others. And that no one may doubt hereof I will set them down as I read them reckoned up in this manuscript Copy. There are 12 Parsechoths or great Sections in Genesis. There are 43 of those which are called Sedarin or Orders. There are 1534 Verses, 20,713 Words, 78,100 Letters, and the midst of this Book consists in these words, Ve al harveka thich, in Chap. 27, Ver. 40. There are five Points. (these are Points made on the top of some letters mention’d by S. Jerom.)5 Exodus has 11 Parsechoths, 33 Sedarins, 1209 Verses, 63,467 Letters, and these words, Elohim Lo Tekallel, in Chap. 22. Ver. 27. are in the very middle of this Book. There are in Leviticies 10 Parsechoths, 25 Sedarins, 859 Verses, 11,902 Words, 44,989 Letters, and these Words, Vehan-nogea biblesar, in Chap. 15. Ver. 7. are the middle words. There are in Numbers 10 Parsechoths, 33 Sedarins, 1288 Verses, 16,707 Words, 62,529 Letters, and these words, Ve haia-is asher ebechar, in Chap. 17. Ver. 5. are the middle words. There are in Deuteronomy 10 Parsechoths, 31 Sedarins, 9055 Verses, 16,394 Words, 54,892 Letters, and the middle words of this Book are ve Asicta Alpi Hadayvar, in Chap. 17. Ver. 10."6

My attention was drawn to this quotation by a reference in an old, otherwise useless grammatical work by Georg Sharpe7 in which the number of letters is discussed in order to prove the

4 A Critical History of the Old Testament. Written originally in French by Father Simon, Priest of the Congregation of the Oratory. And since translated into English. By a Person of Quality [R. Hampden]. London, 1652, p. 162. He refers to the same MS. in chapter XXIII, p. 117, with the words: "I have seen a MS. which had 24 Books of the Bible which had been writ at Perpignan in the year 1300 in a neat Character."" On the margin he adds the reference: Hieron. Aqu. Hebr. in Gen.

5 The Samaritans also have counted words and letters of their Pentateuch; see Hebrew, IX, 222 3: JBL, XXV, 162.

unreliability of the Masoretes. It is interesting to compare his method with that of Professor Blau, who repeated the same investigation using as one of the three texts on which he bases his calculations the very edition consulted by Sharpe. As Sharpe's book is not very common it may not be superfluous to reprint his words (p. 69-72) in full:

"The Masoretes are said to have counted every letter in every book, and to have left us the exact number of times that each letter occurs throughout the Bible. It must have been very difficult for them, not having the artificial arithmetic of the moderns, to manage very large numbers; and, to be sure, they did not imagine that any man would be so idle as to reckon them up after them, to prove the truth or falsehood of their calculations: And if the proportion of some of their letters had been more accurately expressed, the reader would not have been troubled with the following supposition:

"In the bible published by Desmarezz at Amsterdam, Anno 1701, without points, each leaf contains four columns, each column 51 lines, and each line, at a medium, 21 letters; which is less than the truth. To determine the number and proportion of letters, I cast up four columns, in four different books. Part of the 27th chap. of Genesis, making a complete column, contains 1110 letters; part of the 23d chap. of Jeremiah 1090; the book of Obadiah 1121. For a medium, if you add these numbers together, and divide the total by four, you have 1092, which is more than 21 letters to a line. After this I went through the bible, page by page, and allowed 21 letters for each line that I supplied, in order to make the number of lines in every column full 51, where the beginning of books, of chapters, or of sections, occasioned any break or defect in the lines: Allowing also for six blank columns after the Pentateuch, for four blank columns between Kings and Isaiah, for six before the Psalms, and two at the end: And as some few pages of names and numbers did not contain 21 letters in each line, I reckoned them at 19 letters the line. On the whole, in this way of supputation, I deducted 20 leaves, 1 column, and 18 letters from the 293 leaves there are in the whole book: And then multiplying the remainder by 4 for the number of columns, and the product by 51 for the num-

*J.Q.R. VIII, p. 345-46.*
Marx: Letters in the Pentateuch

her of lines, and that again by 21 for the number of letters, the total came out 1,168,083; which, although it be less than the truth, is 352,563, more than 815,280, the number of the Masorets. And if you were to take away 400 columns, or 100 leaves from the 293 there are in the book, the remainder alone will exceed the Masoretic number by 11,532. Hence, to use the words of Buxtorf, Luculentor perspicuitur. quanta horam hominum suerit industria, quam laboriosum studium, quantusque zelus, ut integritatem vel in minimo APICE inviolatam conservarent.

"Pere Simon says, he saw a manuscript at Perpignan in Spain (sic), in which there was an account of the number of letters contained in the Pentateuch, very different from that of Rabbi Saadia, which is called the Masoretic number. In the Pentateuch only, according to that MS, there are 303,977 letters. And if, according to my manner of computing them, you allow 13 columns and the two blank columns after the Pentateuch, which belong to p. 75 and four lines, which is near the truth, and deduct this from the product of 75 x 4 x 51 x 21, you will have 305,151 = the number of letters in the Pentateuch. This is 1,174 more than in the MS of Perpignan; but then it is as near as possible: For if I had allowed 50 instead of 51° for the number of lines, and 20 for 21, the number of letters in a line, the total would have come out 19,057 less than in the MS. If I had allowed 51 lines in a column, and only 20 letters to a line, the number would have been 13,357 less than in the MS. If I had allowed 21 letters to a line, and but 50 lines to a column, the number would have been less than that of the MS, by 4,811. The difference therefore of 1,174 is not to be regarded. It is rather a proof that neither of the sums are very far from the truth; but which is nearest will not be readily determin'd by those, who know how difficult it is to sum up such a number of letters."

Sharpe comes back to this question in the preface to his Lexicon p. 9-11 and here he gives some really valuable information as far as these minute questions are of interest.

"I have lately received an account of the numbers taken by Meyer Cohen, a Jewish teacher, learned in his own language, under the direction and for the satisfaction of a gentleman

*Bla on deducts four lines less and his result (ib. p. 346) is accordingly slightly different (305255).
skilled in the mathematic sciences, who required the sum of the letters to be taken or cast up in small parcels, verse by verse; which was done, and the entire sums are as follow:

\[
\begin{align*}
&\ldots \ldots 31530 \ldots \ldots (31522)^{w} \\
&\ldots \ldots 30513 \ldots \ldots (30419) \\
&\ldots \ldots 28052 \ldots \ldots (28148) \\
&\ldots \ldots 27057 \ldots \ldots (27055) \\
&\ldots \ldots 26344 \ldots \ldots (1634) \\
&(14474).14472.\ldots \ldots 25095 \\
&(10616).10623.\ldots \ldots 14111 \\
&(4972).4257.\ldots \ldots 11960 \\
&(8616).8610.\ldots \ldots 11247 \ldots (11244) \\
&(3382).3350.\ldots \ldots 7186 \ldots (7187) \\
&(3975).3976.\ldots \ldots 7032 \ldots (7034) \\
&(881).834.\ldots \ldots 4694 \ldots (4701) \\
&(2929).2925.\ldots \ldots 3992 \\
&(1033).1067.\ldots \ldots 2198 \ldots (2200) \\
&(2929).2925.\ldots \ldots 2109 \ldots (2105) \\
&(2929).2925.\ldots \ldots 1833 \ldots (1843) \\
&(2929).2925.\ldots \ldots 1602 \ldots (1812) \\
\end{align*}
\]

Total \ldots \ldots 304805

The number of Letters in

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Genesis} & \ldots \ldots 78064 \\
\text{Exodus} & \ldots \ldots 63529 \\
\text{Leviticus} & \ldots \ldots 44790 \\
\text{Numbers} & \ldots \ldots 63330 \\
\text{Deuteronomy} & \ldots \ldots 34892 \\
\end{align*}
\]

Total \ldots \ldots 304805

According to my account \ldots \ldots 305151

Difference only \ldots \ldots 346

According to the MS. of

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Parpignum} & \ldots \ldots 303977 \\
\end{align*}
\]

Difference \ldots \ldots 828

\(^1\) For the sake of comparison I add in brackets the numbers of Silberberg as put together from Ginsbarg's Massorah by Blau (ib., p. 352-3).
Evidently Meyer Cohen did the same work which Silberberg repeated seventy years later and with very similar results. Considerable differences we only find in a few instances: with א they amount to 40; with י to nearly 100; and with נ to 900; in a few other instances differences amount to about 20. The only very large differences we find in reference to ב. Here Ginsburg gives the number 1634 while the number found by Cohen amounts to 26344. Blau had pointed out the evident incorrectness of the former figure in this instance. But his similar claims in reference to א ד ר are not substantiated by the calculations of Cohen.