

Making Biblical Scholarship Accessible

This document was supplied for free educational purposes. Unless it is in the public domain, it may not be sold for profit or hosted on a webserver without the permission of the copyright holder.

If you find it of help to you and would like to support the ministry of Theology on the Web, please consider using the links below:



https://www.buymeacoffee.com/theology



https://patreon.com/theologyontheweb

PayPal

https://paypal.me/robbradshaw

A table of contents for *Journal of Biblical Literature* can be found here:

https://biblicalstudies.org.uk/articles_jbl-01.php

It seems permissible to suppose that these citations are really occurrences of one and the same form, the stem consonants of which are probably in with i, as we find it actually written in the first passage quoted above. The character ue may be used either for ue or uz, and the character cu, although generally employed exclusively for \$\frac{1}{2}\$, occasionally has the value su or sum (see Brünnow, 10,077; BPS. 82), so that the writing of the word with $u_{\zeta-\zeta u}$ may simply have been intended to represent uz-zu. This seems all the more likely if we remember: first, the meaning attributed to urzunu-ruzzunu, i.e. garradu, 'mighty' (also ruccunu = kabtu); and secondly, the undisputed existence of a stem of this form (177) in both Hebrew and Arabic with a similar meaning. If an Assyrian cognate of this latter stem exists, it must appear, according to the laws of the interchange of sibilants with a pure 1. It is possible, however, to read the Assyrian word either with 2 or 7, but, in view of the reasons just given, the stem is very likely in, and not it is probably a cognate of ma, mith le.

2. The Word Tib in Obadiah 7.

The etymology and meaning of the word in Obadiah 7 is not satisfactorily explained in the lexicons.

The translators of the A.V. confused it with the in Hos. 5¹² and Jer. 30¹³, translating it 'wound,' a meaning made impossible, however, by the context of the passage in Obadiah. The in Hosea, which is used synonymously with in, is plainly a derivative from in, 'press, squeeze,' and is used to denote a festering wound. Regarding the in of Obadiah, however, the twelfth edition of Gesenius (by Buhl, 1895) gives its meaning, in agreement with the Targumic translation in, as 'Fallstrick, Schlinge,' as if it came from some stem meaning 'to bind' (in).

This meaning 'bind' or 'twine' does not really appear in Hebrew in the stems אורר, זור, both of which are used in the sense of pressing or squeezing out, as, for example, a fleece (Ju. 680), an egg (Job 39¹⁵), or a wound (Is. 16: זור, referring to מכה פוריה).

The translation in the LXX of the \(\) in Obadiah by ενεδρα, and in the Vulgate by insidiae, 'ambush, lying in wait,' seems more in accordance with the sense of the passage. It is probable that in the Hebrew text used by the translators of the LXX the reading

here was 71%, 'a siege,' the same word which occurs, for example, Ezek. 4^{7.8} Nah. 3¹⁴, and which is generally considered to be a derivative of 71%. It is possible that subsequently the word was changed to 71%, as it appears in the Masoretic text, on the analogy of the other 71%, 'wound,' from 711, which was quite a well-known word.

The passage in Obadiah 7 may be translated in the following way, dividing it into two synonymous verses and one antithetical verse:

עד דגבול שלחוד כל אנשי בריתך

Thy very allies have driven thee to the border (i.e. the limit of thy territory);

השיאוך יכלו לך אנשי שלמך

The men who were at peace with thee have deceived thee and prevailed against thee:

Those who are at war with thee have set an ambush under thee, concerning which there is no comprehension (i.e. an ambush which it is impossible to discover).

¹ Fried. Delitzsch's attempt to connect the TMD of Obadiah with an obscure Assyrian word, maxuru, denoting a tool of some sort, is decidedly not satisfactory (see *Prol.*, p. 67).

² Cf. \$\psi 35^1 56^2 \$. Marti's conjecture אכלי לחמן (cf. \$\psi 41^0)\$ necessitates the supposition that the word אכלי had been omitted. But if we insert אכלי the last line seems too long. •