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SUMMARY

This article traces our Christian heritage back to the long and rich tradition of Catholic spirituality, showing the importance of ecumenical approaches. We are invited to explore the riches of spiritual and mystical experiences, the lifestyle of some groups of Catholic Christians, the so-called ‘schools of spirituality’, and the role of religious institutions and movements including ‘popular piety’.

We will explore spirituality by means of ‘spiritual theology’ because theology is not always ‘spiritual’ and often forgets the work of the Holy Spirit, his powerful influence and basic role in the Christian life. Each of these explorations will be linked to the Bible. Spiritual theology can challenge contemporary European churches which have faced the pressure of rationalistic arguments for many years and have possibly lost something of their spiritual dimension.

RÉSUMÉ

Cet article retrace l’héritage chrétien de spiritualité laissé par la longue et riche tradition catholique, en montrant l’importance des approches œcuméniques. L’auteur nous invite à explorer les riches expériences spirituelles et mystiques, le style de vie de certains groupes de chrétiens catholiques, les dites « écoles de spiritualité », et le rôle des institutions religieuses et des mouvements religieux, sans oublier la « piété populaire ». Il aborde la spiritualité par le moyen de la « théologie spirituelle ».

ZUSAMMENFASSUNG


Preliminary remarks

1. The concept of ‘spirituality’ has recently become important. It has crossed its original boundaries, the sphere of theology and faith (religion), and has moved into other areas including the sciences, particularly psychology. But I believe that as a consequence the term ‘spirituality’ has profoundly changed its meaning and became synonymous
with something non-institutional, and even something that is opposed to religious institutions and structures. We therefore need to be careful when we use the term today.

2. I was asked to speak about what Roman Catholic spirituality can offer to evangelical and other Christian spiritualities. From several points of view I can answer without hesitation: ‘very much’, but from other points of view I am afraid that I need to say: ‘nothing!’ Everything depends on what we mean by ‘spirituality’. In some respects the expression ‘Catholic spirituality’ is full of deep meaning and rich in content, but in others we need to admit that it can be used only analogically, improperly. It will therefore be good to reflect on the need to admit that it can be used only analogically, meaning and rich in content, but in others we need to admit that it can be used only analogically, improperly. It will therefore be good to reflect on the different theological meanings of ‘spirituality’, because especially in English it has at least three fundamentally different meanings: 1) spirituality as the ‘spiritual life’; 2) spirituality as ‘lifestyle’ and 3) spirituality as a ‘subdivision of theology’. I will consider these three fundamental meanings of spirituality one by one. Only by doing this I will be able to avoid the risk of using the term in a different way from some of you.

1. The riches of spiritual experiences. Christian mysticism in the western tradition

The first use of the term ‘spirituality’ is as a reference to ‘spiritual life’. Sometimes we also speak about ‘religious life’, ‘Christian’, ‘divine’, ‘inner’ or ‘theological life’. Such spiritual life is common to all believers in Christ. It is a concept of spirituality that is open to the reality of spiritual or Christian experience and, in the end, to authentic mysticism. Let us look more closely at this use of spirituality.

1.1 Spirituality as ‘spiritual life’

The notion of ‘spiritual life’ is often misunderstood, especially in a secularised environment where ‘spiritual’ is used as a synonym of ‘immaterial’ and ‘beyond time’. But this is not the correct and original sense of the term in the Christian literature: ‘spiritual’ means ‘of the Spirit’ and is connected with the powerful action of the third divine Person. There is no situation and no place which could not be ‘spiritual’, because the Holy Spirit creates, penetrates, maintains and sanctifies everything, including the materia and the tempus. We can continue to speak about privileged places (topoi, loci) of the Spirit’s action, but we need to understand that God can enrich every man and woman in any place, any situation, any state and any condition.

The best starting point to consider the spiritual life is the biblical concept of morfê in Rom 12:2, where Paul writes: ‘And be not conformed (mê sychêmatizêste) to this world, but be transformed (metamorfoûsthe) by the renewing of your minds, so that you may discern what is the will of God: what is good and acceptable and perfect.’ Paul draws a contrast between the schêmá or ‘mentality’ of the world (cf. 1 Cor 7:31) and the morfê (‘form, figure’); between the exterior form, the form made by the action of the world (kosmos, aiôn) as the ‘enemy of the soul’ and the interior form created by the action of the Holy Spirit. This inner life built by the Holy Spirit we call ‘spiritual life’ and it is the common property of all believers in Christ, although it differs depending on the concrete creeds of individual churches and denominations. It is the ‘life in the Spirit’, according to another expression of Paul (Rom 8:1ff.) or ‘living in Christ’ (Rom 6:11; 2 Cor 5:15). And this is also the fundamental meaning of the notion of ‘piety’ (Frömmigkeit) that is so dear to many in the Protestant tradition.

We can define this concept of spiritual life as ‘sacramental participation in the mystery of Jesus Christ by the power of the Holy Spirit which makes us God’s children’. At the heart of this definition is the mystery of Jesus Christ which consists of his incarnation and his act of redemption. Our participation is ‘sacramental’ in two senses: It is made possible by baptism and it is realised, effectuated, by means of the signs. The agent of this participation is the Holy Spirit, hence we call it ‘spirituality’ or ‘spiritual life’. The goal of this participation is our dynamic growth in divine sonship (hyiothêsis).

1.2 Authentic, qualified and mystical experience

The normal expression of sacramental participation in the mystery of Jesus (i.e., of spiritual life) is the spiritual experience. Both Catholic and Protestant have three reasons to be hesitant about the use of the term ‘experience’ in regard to theology:

- We are afraid of excessive subjectivism, as for example in the ‘experience’ of some modernists.
- We are afraid that acceptance of the role of experience in the Christian life will weaken the primacy and necessity of the faith and the datum of revelation.
We are afraid of the dictate of extraordinary experiences as signs of the quality of spiritual life, as it was among Messalians. But these fears are unnecessary if we use the correct, biblical concept of ‘spiritual experience’. Roman Catholic theology, especially around the Second Vatican Council (1962-1965) and in the field of spiritual theology, has produced some important studies on this issue, of which I mention only two: the study of Jean Mouroux about the theological nature of Christian experience and the study of Augusto Guerra about its criteria. Mouroux makes a three-fold contribution; he shows that: 1. The term ‘experiential’ means neither ‘experimental’ nor ‘impressional’; 2. The objectivity of the Christian experience is given by the self-communicating God; 3. The necessity of faith is given by the non experimental and gratuit character of Christian experience.

1.2.1 Authentic experience
Augusto Guerra builds on this foundation and offers the tools to discern the authenticity of spiritual experience. He presents the following criteria: 1. The Christian spiritual experience needs to correspond to the common sense of believers (sensus fidelium) in that period of history; 2. It needs to be in harmony with human reason (not only rationally, but also intuitively); 3. It needs to have the signs of imitation and following of Christ, both in its horizontal and vertical dimensions; it also needs to have christological conformity with the determined period and piety of the other people; and Jesus’ life needs to be the norm of the entire personal life; 4. The fruit of Spirit, not only according to Gal. 5:22 but also according to the primacy of love which is incarnate, challenging and, especially, love of the neighbour. If our experience meets these criteria, it is probably authentic. But authentic experience is not a guarantee of authentic Christian life; it is only a valuable starting point for it!

1.2.2 Qualified experience
There are some particularly valid experiences among the authentic spiritual experiences, which faithfully reflect the Christian dogma and are therefore called ‘properly religious’. They are usually intense and effective, and often they create a series of related experiences. If such experiences are, finally, verified by time, they can be called ‘validated’ experiences and they are the best source of inspiration for our spiritual life. Whereas every validated experience is authentic, not every authentic experience is automatically validated.

1.2.3 Mystical experience
The criteria of Augusto Guerra are also valid for mystical experiences, but in this case we need to add something important. Giovanni Moioli enumerates four characteristics of Christian mystics: 1. They are believers in Christ with a living faith. 2. They have the sense of covenant. 3. They are conscious of the relativity of their own mystical experiences. 4. Their experiences are ineffable. If Moioli is right, it means that the mystical experience is necessarily consistent with the faith of the mystic. If we accept that Christian mysticism is, from the theological point of view, the ‘particular communion with God characterised by infused loving knowledge (contemplatio), which is produced by the Holy Spirit and which purifies and unites human persons with Christ’, it means that every truly mystical experience is authentic and also validated.

This statement reveals to us the great importance of Christian mysticism for the common Christian spiritual life. The same observation can be made about the authentic experience: Not all mystical experience automatically implies a high quality spiritual life.

1.3 The spiritual riches of the western mystical tradition
The most precious element of Christian mysticism, I believe, is the characteristic experience of contemplation, i.e. of ‘infused loving knowledge of God’ (John of the Cross), which is the mystical variant of high quality theological life, the life of faith, hope and love, consistent with the creed of the mystic. As such, the mystical contemplation is not a substitute for Christian attitudes of faith, hope and love, but it is precisely the mystical mode of living them. This mystical mode is no better or worse than the common mode of living, it is simply a different mode. But because the mystical contemplation is an infused mode of Christian living of infused virtues, this experience is privileged and precious.

The western mystical tradition of the Church is extremely rich in mystical expressions of living Christian faith, faith operating by love and hope. (I omit the Eastern mystical tradition of Christianity here, not because this mysticism is not rich but because I do not feel competent to dis-
Religious should carefully keep before their minds the fact that the Church presents Christ to believers and non-believers alike in a striking manner daily through them. The Church thus portrays Christ in contemplation on the mountain, in His proclamation of the Kingdom of God to the multitudes, in His healing of the sick and maimed, in His work of converting sinners to a better life, in His solicitude for youth and His goodness to all men, always obedient to the will of the Father who sent Him (Lumen gentium 46a).

Thus, the religious are called to show Christ in different positions, attitudes and actions, and this is the starting point for the plurality of religious forms of life. 25

There is always an architectonic principle in the spirituality of a religious, around which the other elements of his or her spiritual life are organised. Inspired by Giovanni Moioli and Bernhard Fraling, I propose my own definition of spirituality as lifestyle: ‘Spirituality is the concrete and visible, balanced lifestyle created by the Holy Spirit and human collaboration in specific historical and geographical conditions and transmitted to other persons. This lifestyle helps its bearer to relive all of Christ’s mystery on the basis of certain aspects of Jesus’ earthly life which displayed all of this style with the peculiar mission in the community of Church and in the society.’

This definition contains seven principal elements:

1. Concrete and visible, balanced lifestyle: it is a harmonious arrangement of all theological values in the Christian community which makes possible reciprocal interaction inside and outside; very often it is about the unity of antinomies;
3. The whole mystery of Christ: it is union with God by participation in Christ’s life; all aspects of his life on earth become the ‘material’ of our spirituality;
4. Arrangement around an architectonic principle: one aspect of Christ’s mystery is put in the centre because it is impossible to live for all aspects of his life with the same intensity; this aspect is the starting point for the legitimate plurality of spiritualities;
5. Characteristic mission: this is determined by the

2. Spiritualities in the Catholic Church. The predominant role of religious institutes

Having discussed ‘spirituality’ as ‘spiritual life’ or sacramental participation in the mystery of Christ by the power of the Holy Spirit, we move to the original significance of the term ‘spirituality’: the concrete lifestyle of a group of Christians. This concept grew up in the religious environment in the Catholic Church, especially at the beginning of modernity in the sixteenth century, but the roots of the spiritual life in the individual religious orders are much older. The religious institutes (orders and congregations) and recently also other movements within the Church play leading roles in the rise of determined spiritualities.

2.1 Spirituality as lifestyle

A useful approach to spirituality as lifestyle can be found in the document Lumen gentium of the Second Vatican Council, which says to the religious friars and sisters (called the ‘religious’):
architectonic principle and by the challenges of one’s own time;
• Historical and geographical conditions: spirituality needs enculturation into concrete conditions;
• Communicability: it is real only from the moment of its transmission to other persons.

This definition of spirituality as lifestyle shows clearly that there is no ‘common spirituality’ (whether Evangelical, Catholic, Orthodox or other) because there are many spiritualities in every church and in every denomination. We can certainly speak about some typical elements which characterise individual denominations, but these are never exclusively present in the concrete church; in the same manner, they are not completely absent in other churches/denominations. Could we risk saying that God’s Word has no central role among the Catholics, or that Protestants have no idea of liturgy, divine worship or Eucharist? It would be wrong to generalise in such way. Once we realise this, we can say that the Catholics can offer to the Protestants not only some elements of spirituality which the Protestants do not have, but rather a whole number of different spiritualities which arose in the Catholic Church over the past centuries. On the other hand, we can admit that the Protestants can offer something similar to the Catholics.

To express spirituality as lifestyle by means of a biblical concept, I think the best term is Paul’s charism (charisma; see especially 1Cor 12). When we compare this notion with the term morfê, i.e. when we compare spirituality as the ‘spiritual life’ and spirituality as lifestyle, we see that they are the same realities but viewed from a different perspective: ‘spiritual life’ is what we are called to live by the power of the Spirit, and lifestyle is how we are called to live for the benefit of others.

2.2 Traditional schools of spirituality

Most spiritualities in the Roman Catholic Church (intended as lifestyles) are linked with religious Orders and Congregations, each of which has its own founder, its own history and its own challenges that it is called to respond to. The great majority of these Institutes exist only for a while and then disappear, commonly after 80 to 120 years of existence. In sociological terms their lifecycle can be expressed as containing the periods of birth, childhood, adolescence, first maturity, full maturity and old-age, or if we want, by spring, summer, autumn and winter. However, some Institutes continue to have new cycles of life. There are two explanations for this: either they respond well to the permanent challenges or needs of Church and society, or they bear the permanently central values of the Christian life as the architectonic principle of their spirituality.

Especially in these Institutes we find the phenomenon of the schools of spirituality. These are defined by Athanasius Joseph Matanić with the following words:

... all representatives (saints and blessed, theologians, teachers, authors and the persons of the Spirit) who teach, study and apply in practice the determined Catholic spirituality, intended precisely as the science of piety.26

Unfortunately, there is no agreement among the theologians about the number of these schools of spirituality. Because the notion school of spirituality is prestigious, every author wants to see his own spirituality on the list! But the most important ones, mentioned by all specialists, are the following schools of spirituality in the Catholic Church: Basilian, Augustinian, Benedictine, Dominican, Franciscan, Carmelite, Jesuit, Oratorian, Salesian, Vincentin, Passionist and Redemptorist.27 If I were asked to recommend to Protestants some Catholic spiritualities (intended here as lifestyles), I would advise that they study some of the above spiritualities and their greatest representatives. There Protestants can find the ‘pure and healthy water’ of the Spirit and of the Gospel, incarnated in a concrete lifestyle.

2.3 The role of religious institutes and Church Movements

We just claimed that the Religious Institutes play decisive roles in this area of spirituality as lifestyle. But I must immediately add those movements in the Catholic Church that represent more recent, vivacious expressions of faith and spiritual life, in particular after the Second Vatican Council. Movements like Focolare, Work of Schönstatt, Legio Mariae, Cursillos de Cristiandad, Community of Arche, Light-Life, Oasis, The Neocatechumenate Way, Communion and Liberation play very important roles in the lives of today’s Roman Catholics. They not only engage large numbers of lay people directly but by their actions and by living this spirituality they also help in traditional communities and structures of the Church like the parishes and quite often through the Religious Institutes.28
Every Church Movement has its own, unique spirituality, which often developed within a short period of time during the last century. Sometimes, however, these movements lack the more distinctive knowledge of their own original spirituality and they still need to reflect on it more carefully. In any case, these Church Movements form a rich source of authentic experience and inspiration for all believers.

Besides the Religious Institutes and the Church Movements, the Catholic Church has clergy and lay people who do not belong to any movement. Although we could also speak about the spiritualities of the clergy, I prefer to not touch on this topic and instead to make a brief remark about the lay people who do not belong to any structured spirituality. The mere fact that they do not belong to any community or movement does not mean that they have no spirituality. Their spirituality is simply not clearly arranged, structured, peculiar or characteristic, and often it is not consciously reflected, but it exists. In this case we usually speak about ‘popular piety’. About fifty years ago, the expression ‘popular piety’ sounded rather pejorative in the Catholic Church and we started to replace it by the term ‘parish spirituality’. But if we are to use this new expression, it is necessary to be conscious that the use of the term ‘spirituality’ is inappropriate in this case, or at least only analogical to our concept of spirituality as lifestyle, because the lay people in the parishes are living out many different spiritualities. They have, surely, some typical common elements such as interest in common human values, a deep sense of God and a sense of duty and dedication, but they still have many different ways of living, not only because of their different occupations and roles in life but also because of the different cultural and national conditions. To define ‘popular piety’, we could say that it is a ‘common form of living the faith by the lay people in different cultures and nations’. This broad and free definition reflects a largely unrestrained mode of life, humanly very authentic and valid, but often exposed to any kind of deformation.

For these reasons ‘popular piety’ needs permanent renewal, consisting in avoiding two extremes: preservation of every possible expression on one side and iconoclasm of every form of popular piety on the other side. It is also in need of leaders who take responsibility to limit any unbiblical elements, to re-evangelise the people and to direct separate groups to the whole and to make the whole sensitive to the groups. Yet in spite of the scepticism of some decades ago, ‘popular piety’ has much to offer, even to the structured spiritualities.

3. Spiritual theology. Its historical development and its main issues

After the concepts of spirituality as ‘spiritual life’ and as lifestyle, we come to the last meaning of the term ‘spirituality’, namely ‘spiritual theology’, that is, an autonomous branch of sacred science. Let us look at a short historical sketch of developments in the Catholic Church, its theological justification (raison d’être) and its systematic basis, and, finally, its main characteristics which help us to reconnect it with the preceding meanings of the notion of ‘spirituality’.

3.1 Spirituality as ‘spiritual theology’

Spiritual theology is a relatively new theological discipline and its beginning is connected with so-called ‘Mystical problem’ or Querelle mystique. It started with the controversy between two French authors, Saudreau and Poulain, about the nature of mysticism and its significance for human participation in the divine holiness. This polemic soon involved many theologians and led to three fundamental schools, represented by three religious Orders: the Dominicans, Jesuits and Carmelites. This long discussion continued until the 1940’s and although it was fruitful, at the end it was also a bit tiring and repetitive.

In any case, the controversy not only resolved some of the entrenched problems and helped to clarify important distinctions and definitions, but it also caused the rise of a new branch (or better branches) of theology, the so-called ‘Ascetical and Mystical Theology’. In 1919 the first Department of Asceticism and Mysticism was founded in the Jesuit Institute Gregoriana in Rome and in 1920 another one in the Dominican Angelicum in the same town.

From 1931 Ascetical Theology became an auxiliary discipline of fundamental theological studies, while Mystical Theology became an optional special discipline. Since the 1940’s theologians have preferred to join these two branches into one discipline, called ‘spiritual theology’. Questions of method, sources and relationships to other areas of theology were discussed in preconciliar and early postconciliar times.

After some 60 years of theological investigations into the proper nature of spiritual theology, it can be observed that in spite of some sceptic...
voices this theological discipline is quite well identified and can be defined as the theological discipline which on the basis of biblical revelation and qualified Christian experience systematically investigates the union of human beings with God in Christ, performed by the action of the Holy Spirit in the history of the Church and world and by human collaboration, and which describes its organic and dynamic development, the variety of its unique, individual ways.

3.2 Four fundamental expressions of the faith of the Early Church

Although it has now been in existence for about a hundred years, today this theological discipline is still contested. When earlier in life I was deciding which theological specialisation to choose, a Dominican friar said to me: ‘Spiritual theology? Do you know that this discipline is superfluous?’ ‘I agree’, I replied, ‘but only on condition that all other branches of theology are “spiritual”’. I still think the same until the present day: if all theology was really and always ‘spiritual’ and would never forget the Holy Spirit and his powerful action, then yes, spiritual theology would be unnecessary. But spiritual theology becomes useful because we often forget Him in systematic theology, theological ethics and other disciplines. And it is also useful because it helps us to see the activity of the Holy Spirit systematically in one place and not to have it spread over many different disciplines.

I am convinced that we can also discover the usefulness of spiritual theology in the Bible, although, of course, not explicitly. In Acts of the Apostles the faith of the Early Church is characterised by four fundamental terms: ‘They continued steadfastly in the apostles’ doctrine and fellowship, and in breaking of bread, and in prayers’ (Acts 2:42). The ‘apostles’ doctrine’ is our faith, our creed; ‘fellowship’ is our ethics; ‘breaking of bread’ is our liturgy, divine worship; and ‘prayers’ are our spirituality. To these four fundamental expressions of the faith correspond four fundamental theological disciplines: systematic theology, theological ethics, liturgical theology and spiritual theology. Thus we can say that spiritual theology has close links with systematic theology, theological ethics and liturgical theology.

3.3 Faith, love and hope

In the past fifty years many attempts have been made to describe the relation of spiritual theology with systematic theology and theological ethics. Nowadays almost no one would deny their mutual dependence; thus we can say that ‘spirituality without dogma is blind and dogma without spirituality is dead’ and ‘morality without spirituality is rootless and spirituality without morality is disembodied’.

We can also describe the mutual relationship between spiritual theology and these two fundamental theological disciplines, systematic theology and theological ethics, from the point of view of the theological virtues: the relationship with systematic theology is in the sphere of faith, the relationship with theological ethics in the sphere of love. While systematic theology is more focused on the intelligence of faith (intelligentia fidei), spiritual theology is more concentrated on the adhesion to the faith (adhaesio fidei). And while theological ethics is more focused on the order of love (ordo caritatis), spiritual theology is more concentrated on the exchange of love (commercium caritatis).

But how can we grasp the relationship between spiritual theology and liturgical theology? We find common ground in the sphere of hope when we consider the liturgical exclamation Maranatha – Maranatha (1 Cor 16:22; Rev 22:20), which is, in the second form, the great expression of the Christian hope and the expectation of the Parousia. Can we say that liturgical theology is more focused on the celebration of hope (celebratio spei), while spiritual theology is more concentrated on holding hope (captatio spei)?

Here we also find the connections between the three fundamental meanings of the notion ‘spirituality’: the theological life of faith, love and hope, mentioned by the apostle Paul (1 Thess 1:3; 1 Cor 13:13; Col 1:4–5), is the sacramental participation in Christ by the power of the Spirit and the concrete lifestyle created by the Spirit and human collaboration which are, finally, the object of investigation of the theological discipline that we call spiritual theology.

Conclusions

By exploring the three fundamental meanings of the notion of spirituality, I have tried to present what the Roman Catholic tradition can offer to the evangelical movement. The meaning of spirituality as ‘spiritual life’ led us to an area of authentic, qualified and mystical experience. The meaning of spirituality as lifestyle led us into a variety of ways of living in the Catholic Church, especially in the Religious Orders and the Church Movements.
Finally, spirituality as a theological discipline led us to the place of the spiritual life and lifestyle in theological investigation.

I hope that this reflection can enrich everyone who is seeking to live their lives on the cutting edge of spirituality, i.e. evangelical spirituality, without causing anyone to lose the richness of their own spiritual life or putting it in doubt.

Dr. Pavel V. Kohut teaches at the Catholic Theological Faculty of Charles’ University, Prague.
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