SUMMARY
Thomas Mann (1875-1955) by the time he came to work on Joseph and his Brothers was well-versed in philosophy, ancient mythology, psychology and theology. He was also keen to draw heroic figures against the background of a world civilisation at war and in chaos. This meant using the stories and themes of Christianity rather than facing the God of which they speak. Against attempts to claim him for Christianity, his diagnosis of the Western soul in its sickness often implied that only in the art of the writer was there a place of cure.
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RÉSUMÉ
Lorsque Thomas Mann (1875-1955) s'est attelé à l'histoire de Joseph et de ses frères, il était versé en philosophie, en mythologie ancienne, en psychologie et en théologie. Il manifeste alors beaucoup d'habileté pour décrire des héros sur la toile de fond d'une civilisation mondiale en état de guerre et sombrant dans le chaos. Il a utilisé les histoires et les thèmes du christianisme, plutôt que de considérer le Dieu dont elles parlaient. Son diagnostic de la maladie de l'âme occidentale conduisait souvent à la conclusion qu'il n'y a de moyen de la soigner que par l'art de l'écrivain.

Mann’s background
One can trace what has been described as a ‘biblical rationality’ in the work of Thomas Mann. Any such currents wash together with the philosophy of Schopenhauer and his interpretation of the world as will and imagination. Mann was interested in the outworking of ideas in the life of human characters. He was not fascinated by mind-games, psychology and the inner dialogues in the manner of say, Henry James—it is almost a truism that Mann was not at his strongest when it came to basic characterisation. What matters are the values, the ideas which drive the action or the plot, to the extent that to draw parallels with Max Weber concerning history as driven by ideologies is quite legitimate. In this Mann was clearly influenced by the work of Theodor Fontane which nourished him in the mid 1890s, and whose influence can be seen at its zenith in the moral irony of Budenbrooks, wherein the scheineilig piety in general and the consul’s need to keep up appearances even when bereaved in particular attract caustic ridicule from Mann’s pen.

As G. Lukács observed, even the stolid Thomas
Buddenbrook found some consolation in reading Schopenhauer, and Mann seemed then to be indicating that he agreed with such pessimism, that the world itself had to be left to its decay.\[^4\] By the time of *The Magic Mountain* we are presented with the typical extremes of Naptha and Settembrini, even if the latter’s ‘the world as will’ is only slightly preferred to the former’s ‘the world as representation’. Unlike Goethe Mann had, as if on the other side of Mount Enlightenment (Parnassus), little time for moral utopianism. This can be clearly seen even in the late work *Lotte in Weimar* which works against so much that Goethe would assert. Yet some kind of new optimism in later Mann *did* arise out of this despair during the mid-1920s, as his biographers have noted: it is the engagement that comes from the determination to fight evil where it can be located, and to hope for the purging of some of one’s personal demons in the process. According to Hans Küng, the path from *Buddenbrooks* to the later flowering of genius in the Joseph tetralogy was ‘vom bürgerlich-Individualiellen zum Mythisch-Typischen’, in which a spiritual humanism, or at least an all-forgiving humanity gradually takes over.\[^5\]

### The Joseph story re-told

With the huge undertaking of amplifying and retelling the story of *Joseph and his Brothers*, Mann added to the ancient and honourable tradition of the re-written bible. In *Jubilees* (as in Philo, Ps-Philo and *The Testament of Joseph*) there is a very sanitised version of the Joseph story, told in a matter-of-fact way, with the brothers clearly guilty of unprovoked wickedness.\[^6\] Yet Philo in *De Josepho* attributed the hero’s good looks to God’ favour, and this may be a way for Philo of indicating his shallowness. In his *De Somnis* Joseph even stands for moral ambiguity, deviousness and vainglory, ‘shifting back between true and apparent virtue’.\[^7\] Indeed Joseph, even in the hagiographical *Jubilees* is merely a supporting player to the main figures of Judah and Levi.\[^8\] This may be because Joseph was married to Aseneth, the daughter of the priest of On; in the story she does renounce the false gods and Joseph appears more self-righteous than ever and successful enough to rule over Egypt for 48 years. While in *Jubilees*, it is Jacob’s mourning for the ‘dead’ Joseph on the 10th day of the 7th month that is presented as the reason for the Day of Atonement (*Jub. 34,15*), on the other hand, in *Joseph and Aseneth* the hero is repeatedly described in the text as ‘son of God’,\[^9\] and the focus is very much on him. Joseph is a great magician, a ‘mighty one of God’ with healing as well as divinatory powers (44.8), but also one who keeps out of anything as ordinary as fighting in the ensuing civil war in Egypt. However these were in-house Jewish works, written for Jewish identity, too strictly ‘theological’, perhaps not even attempting an answer to ‘what beliefs and ideas drive humans universally?’ It was this quest for the universal that drove Mann to augment his biblical sources not only with Old Testament voices, but with those from the New Testament, but also from Ancient Egyptian and Sumerian mythology.

Hans Küng argues that Mann was ill-advised in his wholesale buying into the Freudian notion of myth, although it is far from likely that Mann believed in the specifically Freudian account of such things. In fact, Mann was naïve or misinformed to believe (as Küng often seems to when he writes of religion as ‘Begegnung mit dem Heiligen’) that there must be one original *Ur-Geschichte* of all history, according to which all religions go back to common root – usually Egypt. As Manfred Dierks observed, Julius Braun promoted this in his *Naturgeschichte der Sage*, 1864/5, and W. Bachofen also made much of the chthonic mythology of Egypt.\[^10\] However, there is more in the more guarded thesis of A. Jeremias, that there is/was a common middle-eastern mythological world, and the more recent consensus follows this, as indeed did Mann. Distinctiveness arises from what religions become *as they grow alongside one another*, and one might want to reply to Küng, and others troubled by the ghost of ‘comparative religion’, that if there is to be any inter-religious harmonization and synthesis this will happen only eschatologically: *Endzeit* is not simply *Urzeit*.

Mann did not choose the *Joseph* story simply because it provided its own magical and self-contained world. There is none of the exotic particularity of say, Flaubert’s *Salammbo*: indeed, as Mann once wrote, *that* was how *not* to write of the past. Nor, at the other extreme, did he care much for Nietzsche’s historicism, but thought that things *could* be learned from the past and its self-representation in its literature.\[^11\] The humorous is all the more possible because these are not historical, time-bound characters. In mythical thinking there is not such a strong notion of the distinct categories of past, present and future, as Cassirer once put it, although Mann was apparently unaware of this work by his fellow exile.\[^12\] Küng provides
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the helpful insight that Mann was keen to present Joseph as one who bridged the gap between sacred and secular, thus to suggest that politics was truly religious. In Mann, as in Goethe, the importance of moving from the personal up to the social: out of isolation is expressed. Real change takes place in Joseph only after the second fall of Joseph – in the house of Petepre (Potiphar) – where the belief that his own vision of reality is the real one is forced to surrender to a more psychologically pluralist understanding: put simply, different people see things differently. Indeed, Lukács had asserted that Mann saw the need against so many modern writers, to put subjectivity firmly in its place.

The gradual but increasingly clear transition of Mann’s irony and self-irony into the playful is determined by two components. On the one hand, the consciousness of his most important characters recedes ever more distantly from objective reality; on the other, reality asserts its supremacy over all kinds of false consciousness ever more vigorously. For this reason Mann’s playfulness never dissolves objective reality, but on the contrary underlines its inevitable and natural triumph. The greater the discrepancy between being and consciousness, the more grotesque and degrading must the defeat of subjectivity be.

There is thus a tragi-comic catastrophe and a ‘degradation of the would-be-tragic’. Lukács continues:

Modernism is well-versed in conjuring with extremes, but their trajectory lies between a false consciousness (unrecognised as such) and its special ‘reality; subjectivism then is the ruling principle for both outlook and method. In Thomas Mann, however, the imagined reality oscillates with the real (objective) one and the latter is always victorious.

Lukács thus concludes that Mann is, or has at last become optimistic, perhaps owing to his faith in psychology which affirmed that human beings could leave behind illusion, that history need no longer be destructive. This optimism cannot simply be attributed to the effects of Californian sunshine.

Certainly the whole thrust of the Joseph story is a rejection of lonely individualism and the affirmation of the common human awareness of hope in the future and the power of love. It is clear that Joseph is not a mere Bildungsroman – it is rather an Erziehungsroman which draws the individual out into society, the realm of the political. Joseph as the artist/dreamer is able to turn his deathly art to serve life. This book was the mature Mann’s favourite work, one in which Joseph becomes a bourgeois manager (as provider he is not quite Franklin D. Roosevelt) and evinces practical heroism, with (unconscious) echoes of Dostoevsky’s Ivan’s axiom: ‘Brot kommt zuerst und dann das Hosannah.’ The Messiah is not to be expected from the heavens – any such notion is ironically ridiculed in Joseph – but is one from amongst us – a Hochstapler, a confidence trickster, a Felix Krull writ large. But is Joseph portrayed as so ordinary, so ungodly? He may be the anti-Siegfried, but that does not mean he is presented as an anti-hero. Mann’s Joseph is hardly JosefK.

Mann therefore does not so much de-mythologise as re-mythologise. Joseph and his brothers and their world stand for things. What is life other than unconscious repetition of primeval models of motives and behaviour? The question: to what extent was Mann interested in the figure of Christ as distinct from Tammuz-Adonai? – is not one Mann would have asked. Typologically there is foreshadowing of Christ in details – with Joseph in the pit: birth, death, hell descent, re-ascent, although Mann seems more comfortable in referring these to ‘God to come’, rather than the more Christian traditional ‘Christ to come’. In any case Joseph spends three days and nights in the well (called ‘hell’); the angels watch over the empty well once he has risen from it; he is sold for 20 pieces of silver after Judah kisses him, although it is to Judah that the blessing of Jacob goes. On this last point there is a fine example of Mann’s sturdy optimism: human beings are blessed not only through their cursedness but even in their cursing. Joseph’s Festessen with the brothers is a kind of Herrenmahl that Jacob took beast’s blood to be Joseph’s (IV 646) made Joseph consider the difference between ‘this is my blood’ and ‘this signifies my blood’. The sin-bearing Joseph becomes founder of a new religion. The words which Joseph uses when revealing himself to his brothers in Egypt are those of Christ to his disciples after the resurrection. In reply to Issachar’s doubting Thomas-like query as to his identity, Joseph states: ‘Joseph bin ich, überkleidet mit der Herrlichkeit dieser Welt.’ Mann’s Christ is no superhero nor everyman but the human being who is content with his humanity. Mann is not inventing a mythology, he is re-presenting one.
The Religious Mann

The watershed in Mann’s career around 1924-6 (curiously coincidental with that alleged to be the time of Karl Barth’s ‘turn’) came after completing The Magic Mountain and was the sense that literature is more about re-saying reality than finding more of it to talk about. His understanding of Wiederholung, of ‘myth’ as repetition of a primal event, was something far more positive than Nietzsche’s ‘eternal return’ as termless recapitulation or variations on a theme, for it meant a making-available in the present of the best of what went before and would yet come. Such a motif is to be found in Lotte in Weimar (from coincidentally on the lips of Adele Schopenhauer) and in The Holy Sinner (Der Erwählte). After all, on receiving the Nobel Prize he was bold enough to say: ‘I am no Catholic. I believe in the immediacy of God.’23 Admittedly, this sounds perilously close to Jung’s ‘gnostic’ bon mot: ‘I do not believe that God exists. I know’, but it is perhaps just an exaggeration of Protestant theology.

Irony as one’s meta-principle often means one ends with little of positive substance to affirm. However, by the end of his life Mann became a doubter of doubt, and in that sense could be claimed as religiously ‘post-modern’.24 Yet Mann was too much an optimist in human possibilities to have been able to wear the ‘postmodernist’ etiquette with conviction. Part of his liking for Freud was his remedy: the Freudian clarification of the unconscious in light of conscious, a kind of secularised ‘perspicuity principle’.25 And yet there would be a shift away from Freud, a sense that human individuals have to go further than Freudian enlightenment of their personal troubles, to move out of themselves altogether to find salvation.26 We know that in 1936 Mann was involved in organising the celebrations of Freud’s 80th birthday when the latter was ‘honoured as ‘the pathfinder to a humanism of the future’. Through Freud, the human race could hope to find itself standing in ‘a bolder, freer, more joyous, artistically more mature relationship to the powers of the underworld, the unconscious, the id, than is granted to today’s humanity, as it struggles in neurotic Angst and its accompanying hatred’.27

Accordingly, in the one step beyond Freud, a Jungian influence was also mediated to him, principally via Karl Kerenyi, originating in the early 1930s28 while his acceptance of Freud had become qualified since the 1925-29 period. In the political climate of incipient totalitarianism, humanism needed to find a more solid foundation. As with Goethe’s Faust who is really a Prospero-figure, Mann’s Joseph is one who has belief in the future and its possibilities (Zukunftsglauben). Mann himself was the prophet of this Ideal of future self-integration. For Joseph shows a reconciliation of the divided human being, including the chasm between Spirit and Soul/Nature, without losing anything of either by some process of Aufhebung.29 Whereas Hans Castorp in The Magic Mountain only got to realise the diagnosis, the later creation, Joseph demonstrates the remedy as well. And these remedies are to be found in the ancient traditions of wisdom, with Christianity as a pillar of civilisation. However, as mentioned above, in that Joseph is portrayed exactly as the kind of thing Dostoevsky’s Grand Inquisitor was looking for from a hero, Werner Frizen is not wrong to raise the question of whether Joseph is the ‘anti-Christus’.30

Mann and Christ

The Jungian elements can be seen: Joseph-Christ is the Pantokrator for the economy of the known world, yet, graciously, he is also Hermes-like and communicates through his art the secrets of the invisible God who is beyond time and space. The Christ-myth thus understood, in which opposites are integrated relates well to Mann’s view of sexuality.31 Throughout the work in its love of description mirroring a love of life, there is the presence of Fontane’s ‘feines Gefühl’, tastefulness, but also of Goethe’s ethicized form of Christianity, and it is perhaps not without significance that Mann broke off after the ‘Joseph in Egypt ‘ section to write Lotte in Weimar.32

Werner Frizen has noted the echoes of the Parzifal legend in this first part of Joseph, for whom the hermaphrodite nature of the Christ-type was helpful in resolving conflict in a way analogous to that of Adam’s androgyny in the kabbalah.33 In truth, Mann’s androgynous Pharaoh seems a bit like a Disney character, a sort of even campier Shere Khan from The Jungle Book. Yet the one who has become known through the bible merely as ‘Potiphar’s wife’, is in Joseph given a name, nay, two names – Mut and Eni – and in which her torment and courage, worthy of Vergil’s Dido, are described and enabled precisely for the strength of her unashamed desire. Mann depicts the woman as hunter, and yet also the universal soul distracted by
a deity (Christ?) who is as desirable as he appears cold towards her. She is one of the finest creations of modern literature, and a brief selection is in order, not simply because Mann identified with her nor just for the sake of literary style, but for the sense of the pathos of a love which lacks the fatherly ordering of the God of Israel.


Friedhelm Marx’s comment, that Mann was not interesting in painting a Christ without contradictions, argued against Küng who thinks Christ was too sober and straightforward to be anything like Joseph, and against Frizen, for whom Joseph is actually the anti-Christ, is well made. There is in Joseph a re-interpretation of Christ as one who astonishes and confuses, but finally comes good as the man for everyone.

Conclusion: Mann at the centre
Where does all this leave Mann theologically, or to be more specific, how did things stand between the ‘later’ Mann and the Judeo-Christian God? For critics of the Hebrew bible such as Abts and Westermann, for whom the Joseph story was about the foundations of the monarchy and hierarchy within Israelite, even Jewish society, Mann’s interpretation (forty-five times as long as the original story in Genesis) was simply fanciful to the extent that he used the story to hang his own ideas on. However the recent popularity of psychological and ‘reader-response’ approaches has meant a cross-over between biblical and literary studies, and a less purist approach to the possibilities of meaning in a biblical text. Mann would soon re-write the Gregorius-legend in his Der Erwählte which is about the implosion of any meaningful view of authority, Catholic or Protestant. Where Helmut Koopmann sees Oedipal themes in these two works by Mann, I prefer to see the issues as theological, to do with relating to ‘authority’ and the heavenly father, no matter how accurate be Koopmann’s speculation about Mann and his earthly parent. The direct truth-sounding voice has been lost and is beyond rediscovery in this confusing but therefore mysterious world.

We cannot conclude without making some reference to the treatise-like novel of Doktor Faustus, that work which perhaps showed forth the apocalypse of the German soul, to use the title of Hans Urs von Balthasar’s tour through German literature from 1740-1940 (noticeably quiet on Mann). Whether the protagonist of the novel is meant to be Nietzsche or Heidegger, there is no doubt of his being gripped by a philosophical passion which is for the infinite. Karl-Josef Kuschel’s view that there is grace in Doktor Faustus seems to trade the same old liberal misuse of negative theology: God is where he is not. In fact, in the novel God and the devil have both been secularised to the point that they are identified the one with the other. Goethe’s Faust’s heaven and hell no longer exist. Mann’s irony was of course, never merely cynical, but served rather to attack fanaticism in all its forms-materialist, ideological, whatever. In this sense the book is more about German (musical) Romanticism’s corruption of Christianity than any direct theological treatise. There was, philosophically speaking, a sort of negative dialectic avant la lettre.

The problem is that it did tend to place the wandering artist, himself, Thomas Mann at the centre of the universe—and when that universe is as wide as, well, the Universe, and its good and evil are mixed up together, it is a lonely, confusing, socalistic and self-consciously vacuous place to reside. Lotte in Weimar is not only Mann’s concluding interpretation of Goethe, but, in its themes of filial disappointment and tension, of the hollowness of the theme of ‘the great man’, of the illusion of love, the sense of no longer being fashionable, of the desire to mediate the truth giving way to talk of ‘freedom’ – it is autobiographical. Moreover, Mann was himself a Faustian figure, operating between the personalities of damnatus and redemptus, as played out in The Holy Sinner. The prelude to the whole Joseph tetralogy is entitled ‘Descent into Hell’, but, as Mann put it
himself, Germany ‘has never descended into hell, and doesn’t give a damn for guilt and redemption through grace.’ But Mann thought that he had.
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