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## PREFACE <br> BY THE GENERAL EDITOR.

The General Editor of The Cambridge Bible for Schools thinks it right to say that he does not hold himself responsible either for the interpretation of particular passages which the Editors of the several Books have adopted, or for any opinion on points of doctrine that they may have expressed. In the New Testament more especially questions arise of the deepest theological import, on which the ablest and most conscientious interpreters have differed and always will differ. His aim has been in all such cases to leave each Contributor to the unfettered exercise of his own judgment, only taking care that mere controversy should as far as possible be avoided. He has contented himself chiefly with a careful revision of the notes, with pointing out omissions, with

## PREFACE.

suggesting occasionally a reconsideration of some question, or a fuller treatment of difficult passages, and the like.

Beyond this he has not attempted to interfere, feeling it better that each Commentary should have its own individual character, and being convinced that freshness and variety of treatment are more than a compensation for any lack of uniformity iu the Series.

## ON THE GREEK TEXT.

In undertaking an edition of the Greek text of the New Testament with English notes for the use of Sehools, the Syndics of the Cambridge University Press have not thorght it desirable to reprint the text in common use*. To have done this would have been to set aside all the materials that have since been accumulated towards the formation of a correct text, and to disregard the results of textual criticism in its application to MSS., Versions and Fathers. It was felt that a text more in accordance with the present state of our knowledge was desirable. On the other hand the Syndics were unable to adopt one of the more recent critical texts, and they were not disposed to make themselves responsible for the preparation of an

[^0]entirely new and independent text: at the same time it would have been obviously impossible to leave it to the judgment of each individual contributor to frame his own text, as this would have been fatal to anything like uniformity or consistency. They believed however that a good text might be constructed by simply taking the consent of the two most recent critical editions, those of Tischendorf and Tregelles, as a basis. The same principle of consent could be applied to places where the two critical editions were at variance, by allowing a determining voice to the text of Stephens where it agrced with either of their readings, and to a third critical text, that of Lachmann, where the text of Stephens differed from both. In this manner readings peculiar to one or other of the two editions would be passed over as not being supported by sufficient critical consent; while readings having the double authority would be treated as possessing an adequate title to confidence.

A few words will suffice to explain the manner in which this design has been carried out.

In the Acts, the Epistles, and the Revelation, wherever the texts of Tischendorf and Trcgelles agree, their joint readings are followed without any deviation. Where they differ from each other, but neither of them agrees with the text of Stephens as printed in Dr Scrivener's edition, the consensus of Lachmann with either is taken in preference to the text of Stephens. In all other cases the text of Stephens as represented in Dr Scrivener's edition has been followed.

In the Gospels, a single modification of this plan has been rendered necessary by the importance of the Sinai MS. (*), which was discovered too late to be used by Tregelles except in the last chapter of St John's Gospel and in the following books. Accordingly, if a reading which Tregelles has put in his margin agrees with $\kappa$, it is considered as of the same authority as a reading which he has adopted in his text; and if any words which Tregelles has bracketed are omitted by $\mathbb{N}$, these words are here dealt with as if rejected from his text.

In order to secure uniformity, the spelling and the accentuation of Tischendorf have been adopted where he differs from other Editors. His practice has likewise been followed as regards the insertion or omission of Iota subseript in infinitives (as $\zeta \hat{\eta} v, \dot{\epsilon} \pi \tau \tau \mu \hat{\mu} v$ ), and adverbs (as $\kappa \rho v \phi \hat{\eta}$, $\left.\lambda \alpha^{\prime} \theta \rho a\right)$, and the mode of printing such composite forms as סıamavtós, סaati, тovт́́ctt, and the like.

The punctuation of Tischendorf in his eighth edition has usually been adopted : where it is departed from, the deviation, together with the reasons that have led to it, will be found mentioned in the Notes. Quotations are indicated by a capital letter at the beginning of the sentence. Where a whole verse is omitted, its omission is noted in the margin (e.g. Matt. xvii. 21 ; xxiii. 12).

The text is printed in paragraphs corresponding to those of the English Edition.

Although it was necessary that the text of all the portions of the New Testament should be uniformly con-
structed in accordance with these general rules, each cditor has been left at perfect liberty to express his preference for other readings in the Notes.

It is hoped that a text formed on these principles will fuirly represent the results of modern criticism, and will at least be accepted as preferable to "the Received Text" for use in Schools.

## J. J. STEWART PEROWNE.
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## INTRODUCTION.

## I. desien of the author.

The writer of the sots of the Apostles sets forth, in his introductory sentences, that the book is meant to be a continuation of a 'former treatise.' It is addressed to a certain 'Theophilus,' and since, among the other books of the New Testament, the third Gospel is written to a person of the same name, it is not unnatural to take these compositions to be the work of the same author. Hence the unvarying tradition of antiquity (see pp. xx. xxi.) has ascribed both works to St Luke. We will however leave for the present the consideration of this tradition, and turn to the contents of the books. We find that the author describes the earlier work as a 'treatise of all that Jesus began both to do and teach until the day in which He was taken up' (Acts i. 1, 2). This description accords exactly with the character and contents of St Luke's Gospel. We find also that the opening sentences of the Acts are an expansion and explanation of the closing sentences of that Gospel. They define more completely the 'power from on high' there mentioned (Luke xxiv. 49), they tell us how long the risen Jesus remained with His disciples, they describe the character of His communications during the forty days, and they make clear to us, what otherwise would have been difficult to understand, viz. how it came to pass that the disciples, when their Master had been taken from them, 'returned to Jerusalem with great joy' (Luke xxiv. 52). When we read in the Acts of two men in white apparel who testified to the desolate gazers that the departed Jesus was to come again as He had been seen to go into heaven, we can comprehend that they would recall His words (John xiv. 28);
'I go away and come again uato you. If ye loved me ye would rejoice because I said, I go unto the Father,' and that they would be strengthened to act upon them.
Thus, from the way in which this second account of the Ascension supplements and explains the former brief notice in the Gospel, it seems reasonable to accept the Acts as a narrative written with the purpose of continuing the history of the Christian Church after Christ's asceusion, in the same manner in which the history of Christ's own deeds had been set forth in the Gospel. Now the writer deelares that his object in the first work had been to explain what 'Jesus began to do and teach.' He had not, any more than the other Evangelists, aimed at giving a complete life of Jesus. He set forth only an explanation of those principles of His teaching, and those great acts in His life, on which the foundations of the new society were to be laid. If then the second book be meant to carry on the history in the same spirit in which it had been commenced, we shall expect to find in it no more than what the disciples began to do and teach when Jesus was gone away from them. And such unity of purpose, and consequently of treatment, will be all the more to be looked for because both books are addressed to the same person.
That the Acts of the Apostles is a work of this character, a history of beginnings only, will be apparent from a very brief examination of its coutents. We are told by the writer that Clirist, before His ascension, marked out the course which should be taken in the publication of the Gospel. 'Ye shall be witnesses unto me both in Jerusalem, and in all Judaea, and in Samaria, and unto the uttermost parts of the earth.' Taking these words for his theme the author directs his labour to shew in what manner the teaching of the Apostles was begun in each of these appointed fields of labour. And he does no more. He mentions the eleren Apostles by name at the outset, implying thereby that each one took his due share in the work of evangelization. But of many of them we hear no more. It did not come within the historian's purpose to describe their portion of the work. With like brevity he relates how the Apostolic band was completed by the election of Matthias into the place
of Judas. This done, he turns to his proper theme, which is what Jesus did from heaven through the Spirit after His ascension, and this work he exemplifies in the history of a series of beginnings of Christian congregations in various places. He tells us how the disciples, filled with the Holy Ghost, preached in Jerusalem until it was declared by the lips of their adversaries (Acts $\nabla .28$ ) that the city was filled with their doctrine. After this commencement we hear but little of the work done in Jerusalem.

The author's next step is to relate how from the Holy City the mission of the disciples was extended into Judaea and Samaria. To make this intelligible it is found needful to describe with some detail the events which led to the death of Stephen, and before that to point out the position which the first martyr held in the new society. And as the defence which Stephen made before the Jewish rulers forms what may be called the Apology to the Jews for the universalism of Christianity, we have the argument of that speech given at some length. The time had arrived when the Gospel was to be published to others than Jews, and we can see from the charges laid against Stephen that this further spread of their labours had been dwelt upon in the addresses of the Christian teachers. 'Blasphemous words' spoken 'against the Temple and the Law' would be but a vague accusation were it not explained by the defence which was made in reply to it. From this defence we see what the provocation was which had roused the Jews against Stephen. It was the doctrine that God was the God not of the Jews only, but also of the Gentiles, and that His worship was no longer to be restricted to any particular locality as heretofore. To prove to his hearers that this was shewn in their own history and tanght by their own prophets, Stephen points out that it was not in the Holy Land, to which they attached such sanctity, that God first appeared to Abraham, but in Mesopotamia; that God was with him also in Haran, and that when He had brought 'the father of the faithful' into Canaan, He gave no permanent possession therein either to him or to his descendants for many generations. Yet though the people of Israel were for a long
time strangers in Egypt God was with them there. He blessed them so that they multiplied exceedingly, and manifested His constant care of them in their slavery until at last He sent them a deliverer in Moses. This prophet God had trained first in Pharaoh's court and then in the land of Midian, and had manifested His presence to him in a special manner in the wilderness of Mount Sinai, and all these tokens of God's care of His people had been shewn without any preference on the part of Jehovah for one place above another.

The mention of Moses leads the speaker into a brief digression, in which he compares the rebellious behaviour of the Israelites towards their deliverer, with the hostile disposition of the Jews towards Jesus. But he soon resumes the thread of his argument, and points out that the Tabernacie, and with it the visible sign of God's presence among His chosen people, was moving from place to place for forty years in the wilderness, and that when the people came into Canaan there was no thought of a fixed abode for the Tabernacle until the days of David: that then God did not at once permit the building of the Temple which that king designed to raise, and when Solomon was at length allowed to build God's house, the voice of their prophets, as Stephen reminds his hearers, still testified that the Most High did not dwell in temples made with hands, but sat in heaven, while earth was as His footstool, and that He was the Maker and Preserver not of one race, but of all men. This language, enforcing, from a review of their own history and prophecies, the position which Stephen had taken up in the defence of the new doctrine, and rather going beyond, than defending himself against, the accusation of his opponents, roused their indignation. Apparently perceiving this, the speaker concludes his defence not with a peroration, but with a solemn rebuke, in which he says that, with all their zeal for the Law they have not kept the true spirit of that heaven-sent deposit of which they had been made the guardians. Provoked still more by such a declaration the crowd broke out into a furious rage, and by stoning Stephen and persecuting all who adhered to his cause, endeavoured to stop the spread of the Christian doctrines, but these persecutions
became the cause of a still wider propagation of the new teaching and effected the very object to which the Jews were so strongly opposed.

Stephen's defence is the longest speech contained in the Acts, and the great prominence given to it by the author seems to harmonize with what we judge to be his general design. For this address was the first $\mathfrak{a} \pi$ ohopia for the wider extension of the preaching of the disciples, and on such initiatory stages of the movement it is after the author's manner to dwell.

He next proceeds with the history of the propagation of Christ's doctrine in Judæa and Samaria. And as if to indicate at once that the message was now to be spread to the farthest corners of the earth, Philip's mission to the Ethiopian eunuch is mentioned. Thus we are informed concerning the firstfruits of the faith in Africa, but the story is carried no farther, nor have we any after-record concerning Philip, except the notice (xxi. 8) which seems to imply that he made his home for the future in Caesarea, where the population would be mainly Gentiles.

Saul's conversion and Peter's visit to Cornelius may be called companion pictures. They seem meant to display the two lines of activity by which the conversion of the Gentiles was to be brought about. The one mission, initiated by St Peter, was to those among the heathen who, like the centurion of Caesarea, had been already led to some partial knowledge of God, through the study of the Jewish Scriptures. On the other hand the great Apostle of the Gentiles was sent forth to his allotted work among those who were to be turned (Acts xiv. 15) 'from their vanities to serve the living God which made heaven and earth and all things therein.'

As soon as Peter's share in the beginning of his mission is concluded, and he has twice testified concerning it (xi. 4-17, xv. 7-11) that his action had been prompted by a Divine revelation, and that the propriety of what be had done was confirmed by the witness of the Holy Spirit, our historian dismisses him, the most energetic of the original twelve, from his narrative, because the other beginnings of Gospel-preaching among the heathen can be better explained by following the
career of St Paul, the chief pioneer of the Christian faith as it spread to the ends of the earth. Still through the whole of what is related concerning the labours of that Apostle, we learn only of the founding of Churches and societies, and of the initial steps of the Christian work in those places which he visited. We are indeed told that St Paul proposed, some time after the completion of their first missionary journey (xv. 36), that he and Barnabas should go and visit those cities in which they had already preached the word of the Lord. But that proposal came to nought. The Apostle with Silas subsequently visited only Lystra and Derbe, and that apparently for the sole purpose of taking Timothy as a companion in his further labours. After this visit, the account of which is summed up in three verses, the whole of the second journey was made over new ground. Troas, Philippi, Thessalonica, Athens and Corinth were visited, and probably in all these places, and in others unnamed, the beginnings of a Christian society were established. We know that it was so in three of these cities. In returning by sea to Jerusalem the Apostle touched at Ephesus, but remained there so short a time that his real work in that metropolis can hardly be dated from this visit. We are only told that he entered into the Synagogue and reasoned with the Jews (xviii. 19), no mention being made of what was his special work, the mission to the Gentiles. But on his third journey, as though he had foreseen how 'great a door and effectual' was opened to him in Ephesus, he chose that city as the first scene of his settled labours. There he continued for the greater part of three years, and became in that time, we cannot doubt, the founder of the Asiatic Churches of the Apocalypse. From thence he passed over to Macedonia, but though this journey is noticed there is no word told us concerning the Churches which had been founded there by St Paul and his companions ou the previous visit, nor concerning his labours in Greece whither he afterwards went. Nay even though he made a special halt on his homeward voyage at Philippi, where was a congregation which above all others was a deep joy to the Apostle, we have no detail recorded of the condition in which he found the brethren whom he so much
loved. Very little had been said concerning the results of the former stay at Troas (xvi. 8-11) to indicate whether any Christian brotherhood had been established there; and it may be that the missionaries were forbidden of the Spirit at that time to preach in Troas as in the rest of Asia. For this reason, it seems, the historian dwells more at length (xx. 6-12) on the residence of St Paul in that city during his third journey, in such wise as to make clear to us that here too the work of Christ was now begun. After that, during the whole course of the voyage, with the exception of the invitation of the Ephesian elders to Miletus and the solemn parting address given to them there, in which we hear repeated ochoes of the language of St Paul's Epistles, there is no mention of any stay at places where the work of Evangelization had already commenced. And when Jerusalem is reached the imprisonment speedily follows, and the writer ufterwards records merely those stages in the Apostle's history which led up to his visit to Rome. He might have told us much of the two years passed in Cessarea, during which St Paul's friends were not forbidden 'to minister or to come unto him.' He might have told us much of those two other years of the Roman imprisonment, of which he knew the termination. But this entered not into his plan of writing. He has made no attempt to write a history of St Paul, any more than of St Peter. As soon as we have heard that the message of the Gospel was published first to the Jews and then to the Gentiles in the empire-city of the world in that age, the author pauses from his labour. He had completed the task which he undertook: he had described what Jesus, through His messengers, began to do and teach, after His ascension into heaven, for in reaching Rome the message of the Gospel had potentially come 'to the uttermost parts of the earth.'

## II. THE TITLT.

It will be clear from what has been already said of its contents that the title, by which the book is known to us, can hardly have been given to it by its anthor. The work is certainly not 'The

Acts of the Apostles.' It contains no detailed account of the work of any of the Apostles except Peter and Paul. John is mentioned on three occasions, but he appears rather as the companion of Peter than as the doer of any special act by himself. Of James the son of Zebedee we have no notice except of his execution by Herod, while much more space is devoted to Stephen and Philip, who were not Apostles, than to him. The same remark applies to the notices of Timothy and Silas. We may conclude then that the title, as we now have it, was a later addition. The author (Acts i. 1) calls the Gospel 'a treatise' ( $\lambda$ óvoss), a term the most general that could be used; and if that work were styled by him 'the first treatise,' the Aets would most naturally receive the name of 'the second treatise.' Or it may be that the form of title given in the Cod. Sinaiticus was its first appellation. There the book is called simply ( $\pi \rho \dot{\rho} \xi \in \epsilon$ ) 'Acts,' aud for a while that designation may have been sufficient to distinguish it from other books. But it was not long before treatises came into circulation concerning the doings of individual Apostles and Bishops, and these were known by such titles as 'The Acts of Peter and Paul,' 'The Acts of Timothy,' 'The Acts of Paul and Thecla,' \&o. It would become necessary, as such literature increased and was circulated, to enlarge the title of this original volume of 'Acts,' and from such exigency we find in various MSS. different titles given to it, such as 'Acts of the Apostles,' 'Acting of Apostles,' 'Acts of all the Apostles,' 'Acts of the Holy Apostles,' with still Ionger additions in MSS. of later date.

## III. THE AUTHOR.

All the traditions of the early Church ascribe the authorship of the Acts to the writer of the third Gospel, and Eusebius (Hist. Eccl. IL 11) says, 'Luke, by race a native of Antioch and by profession a physician, having associated mainly with Paul and having companied with the rest of the Apostles less closely, has left us examples of that healing of souls which he acquired from
them in two inspired books, the Gospel and the Acts of the Apostles.' Eusebius lived about 325 A.D. Before his time Tertullian, A.D. 200, speaks ( $D e$ jejuniis, 10) of the descent of the Holy Ghost upon the Apostles and of Peter going up to the housetop to pray, as facts mentioned in the commentary of Luke. Also (De baptismo, 10) he says, 'We find in the Acts of the Apostles that they who had received the baptism of John had not received the Holy Ghost, of which indeed they had not even heard.' Similar quotations could be drawn from Clement of Alexandria, a little anterior to Tertullian, and also from Irenaeus, who wrote about A.D. 190. The earliest clear quotation from the Acts is contained in a letter preserved in Eusebius (H.E. v. 2) sent by the Churches in the south of Gaul to the Christians of Asia and Phrygia and written A.D. 177, concerning the persecutions of the Church in Gaul. Alluding to some who had been martyred there, the writers say, "They prayed for those who ordered their torture as did Stephen, that perfect martyr, 'Lord, lay not this sin to their charge.'" In still earlier writings there are found words which may well be allusions to 'the Acts,' yet they are not sufficiently distinct to warrant their insertion as quotations. But in the scarcity of writings at this early period we need not be surprised if a century elapsed after the writing of the book before we can discover traces of its general circulation. It was probably completed, as we shall see, between A.D. 60-70, and if in a hundred years from that time the Christians of Europe could quote from it as a book well known to their brethren in Asia we may feel quite sure that it had been in circulation, and generally known among Christians, for a large portion of the intervening century. Modern critics have doubted the existence of 'the Acts' at the date when this letter of the Churches of Vienne and Lyons was written, and have argued thus: "The tradition of St Stepheu's martyrdom, and the memory of his noble sayings, may well have remained in the Church, or have been recorded in writings then current, from one of which indeed eminent critics conjecture that the author of Acts derived his materials ${ }^{1}$."

[^1]As if it were easier to admit on conjecture the existence of writings for which no particle of evidence is forthcoming, than to allow, in agreement with most ancient tradition, that 'the Acts' was composed at the date to which, on the face of his work, the writer lays claim.

In his book the author makes no mention of himself by name, though in the latter part of his narrative he very frequently employs the pronoun 'we,' intimating thereby that he was present at the events which in that portion of his work he is describing. The passages in which this pronoun is found ( $x$ vi. 10-17; xx. 5-38; xxi. 1-18; xxvii.; xxviii.) deserve special notice. The author of 'the Acts,' by alluding in the opening words to his 'former treatise,' leads us to the belief that in this second work he is about again to use material which he gathered from those who had been eyewitnesses and ministers in the scenes which he describes. Much of this material he has clearly cast into such a shape as fitted his purpose, and much which was no doubt at hand for him he did not use because of the special aim which in his treatise he had in view. It is very difficult to believe that an author who has in other parts systematically shaped other men's communications, many of which would naturally be made to him in the first person, into a strictly historical narrative, should in four places of his work have forgotten to do this, and have left standing the 'we' of those persons from whom he received his information. It seems much more natural to infer that the passages in question are really the contributions of the writer himself, and that, on the occasions to which they refer, he was himself a companion of St Paul. For whoever the writer may have been he was neither neglectful nor ignorant of the rules of literary composition, as may be seen by the opening words both of the Gospel and 'the Acts.'

But it has been alleged that anyone who had been the companion of St Paul at those times, to which reference is made in the passages we are considering, would have had much more and greater things to tell us than the writer of 'the Acts' has here set down. This would be quite true if the author had set out with the intention of writing a life of St Paul. But, as has been
observed before, this is exactly what he did not do. His book is a description of the beginnings of Christianity. And bearing this in mind we can see that the matters on which he dwells are exactly those which we should expect him to notice. In the first passage (xvi. 10-17) he describes the events which were connected with the planting of the first Christian Church in Europe at Philippi, and though the word 'we' only occurs in the verses cited above, it would be ridiculous to suppose that he, who wrote those words implying a personal share in what was done, was not a witness of all that took place while Paul and Silas remained in Philippi. A like remark applies to the second passage ( $\mathrm{xx} .5-38$ ). Here too the word 'we' is not found after verse 15, where we read 'we came to Miletus.' But surely having been with St Paul up to this point, there can be no reason for thinking that the writer was absent at the time of that earnest address which the Apostle gave to the Ephesian elders whom he summoned to Miletus to meet him; an address which is exactly in the style that we should, from his Epistles, expect St Paul to have used, and which we may therefore judge the writer of 'the Acts' to have heard from the Apostle's lips, and in substance to have faithfully reported.
The next 'we' passage (xxi. 1-18) brings the voyagers to Jerusalem, and there the writer represents himself as one who went with St Paul to meet James and the Christian elders when the Apostle was about to give an account of his ministry among the Gentiles. But though after that the story falls again, as a history should, into the third person, have we any right to conclude from this that the writer who had come so far with his friend, left him after he had reached the Holy City? It seems much more natural to suppose that he remained near at hand, and that we have in his further narrative the results of his personal observation and enquiry, especially as when the pronoun 'we' again appears in the document it is (xxvii, 1) to say 'it was determined that we should sail into Italy.' The writer who had been the companion of St Paul to Jerusalem is at his side when he is to be sent to Rome. The events intervening had been such that there was no place for the historian to speak in his own person,
but the moment when he is allowed again to become St Paul's companion in travel, the personal feature reappears, and the writer continues to be eye-witness of all that was done till Rome was reached, and perhaps even till the Apostle was set free, for he notes carefully the length of time that the imprisonment lasted.

That the writer of 'the Acts' does not mention St Paul's Epistles is what we should expect. He was with St Paul, and not with any of those congregations to which the Epistles were addressed, while as we have said, the planting of the Church, and not the further edification thereof was what he set before him to be recorded in 'the Acts.' Moreover we are not to look upon St Luke as with St Paul in the same capacity as Timothy, Silas, or Aristarchus. He was for the Apostle 'the beloved physician'; a Christian brother it is true, but abiding with St Paul because of his physical needs rather than as a prominent sharer in his missionary labours.

The passages in question seem to give us one piece of definite information about their writer. They shew us that he accompanied St Paul from Troas as far as Philippi, and there they leave him. But they further shew that it was exactly in the same region that the Apostle, when returning to Asia for the last time, renewed the interrupted companionship, which thenceforward till St Paul's arrival in Rome seems only to have been interrupted while the Apostle was under the charge of the Roman authorities. If we suppose, as the title given to him warrants us in doing, that Theophilus was some official, perhaps in Roman employ; that he lived (and his name is Greek) in the region of Macedonia; then the third Gospel may very well have been written for his use by St Luke while he remained in Macedonia, and 'the Acts' subsequently when St Paul had been set free. In this case when addressing Theophilus, who would know how the writer came to Macedonia with St Paul, and how he went away again as that A postle's companion, the places in which the author has allowed 'we' to stand in his narrative are exactly those in which the facts would dictate its retention.

Nor is this personal portion of the writer's narrative so unim-
portant as has been alleged by some critics. The founding of the Church at Philippi may be called the recorded birthday of European Christendom. And for the writer of 'the Acts' it was not unimportant to tell us that a Christian Church was established at Troas, seeing that he had said in an earlier place that on a former visit they were forbidden of the Spirit to preach the word in Asia. Who moreover can reckon the address at Miletus an unimportant document in early Church history? Does it not shew us how the prescient mind of the Apostle saw the signs of the times, the germs of those heretical opinions which he lived to find more fully developed, and against which he afterwards had to warn Timothy and Titus, against which too almost all the letters of the other Apostles are more or less directed? And how the 'Apostle of the Gentiles' was brought to Rome was a subject which could not but find full place in a history of the beginnings of the Gospel. For though the writer of 'the Acts' fully acknowledges the existence of a Christian Church in Rome before St Paul's arrival, it was a part of his purpose to shew us how that Church was for the first time strengthened by the personal guidance and direction of one of the Apostles.

The letters of St Paul bear their witness to St Luke's presence with the Apostle when he was a prisoner in Rome; for in the Epistle to Philemon, written from Rome during his first imprisonment, the writer sends to Philemon the salutation of Luke (ver. 24) as one of his fellow-labourers, and in the Epistle to the Colossians (iv. 14) he is also mentioned as 'Luke the beloved physician.' Indeed it seems very probable that St Luke afterwards continued to be the companion of St Paul, for in a later Epistle ( 2 Tim. iv. 11) we find him saying, 'Only Luke is with me.'

That 'the beloved physician' was the writer both of the Gospel and of 'the Acts' may perhaps also be inferred from the use which the author makes of technical medical terms in his description of diseases, as in the account of Simon's wife's mother (Luke iv. 38), in the story of the woman with the issue of blood (viii. 43, 44), and in his narration of the agony of Christ
(xxii. 44). Also in the description of the cripple at the Temple gate (Acts iii. 7), in the notice of the death of Herod Agrippa (xii. 23), and when he writes of the blindness of Elymas (xiii. 11), and of the sickness of the father of Publius in Melita (xxviii. 8). A comparison of the Greek phraseology of the Gospel and of 'the Acts' leads also to the conclusion that the two books are from the same hand. It should further be noticed that there are more than fifty words used in the Gospel and also in 'the Acts' which are not found elsewhere in the New Testament.

This work, as well as the Gospel, being anonymous, attempts have been made to refer the authorship to some other person than St Luke, seeing that it is only assigned to him by tradition, and that his name never appears in the story as do the names of other actors in the work. Some critics have suggested that Timothy was the author of those sections in which the plural pronoun 'we' occurs, because in the letters addressed to the Corinthians, Thessalonians and Philippians, St Paul mentions Timothy with great affection as his fellow-preacher. It is argued that whoever wrote the narrative of the Acts must have been in very close relation to $S t$ Paul at the time when he visited Corinth and Thessalonica and Philippi, and that the name of such a man would not bave been omitted, at all events, from the opening greetings of all these Epistles But we can see from Acts xx . 4-5 that there was an intimate companion of St Paul, who for some reason remained at his side when the others could leave him, and who there states expressiy that he was with the Apostle when Timothy had gone away. And the suggestion of those who think that Luke the physician was taken with him by St Paul because of the bodily infirmities under which the Apostle laboured, and that it is in this capacity, rather than as a fellow-preacher, that St Luke was in such close attendance during the missionary journeys, is worthy of consideration. If this were so, Luke, though the writer of the diary, yet would not come so prominently before the Churches in the various cities which were visited, as those companions of St Paul who were fellow-missionaries, and this would explain why he is omitted in the greetings of the letters afterwards written
by St Paul to the newly-founded congregations. Moreover, the physician would be the one person who would naturally remain in attendance, when the fellow-preachers had gone forth on their several ways.

Nor is there any better ground for supposing, as some have done, that Silas is the narrator who writes in the first person. We have only to look at Acts xy. 22, where, in the portion of the narrative which, according to this hypothesis, must have been written by Silas, he is spoken of as a 'chief man among the brethren,' to see that Silas could not be the writer of such a notice concerning himself

And the argument which would make Silas (i.e. Silvanus), and Luke (i.e. Lucanus), two names belonging to one and the same person, because the one is derived from silva $=\mathbf{a}$ wood, and the other from lucus =a grove, and so their sense is cognate, does not merit much consideration. It is said in support of this view that Silas and Luke are never mentioned together. But it is plain from the story of the preaching and arrest of Paul and Silas at Philippi, that the writer who there speaks in the first person plural was a different person from silas (cf. Acts xvi. 16-19). And with regard to the cognate signification of the two names it should be borne in mind that when such double appellations were given to the same person they were not derived from the same language. Cephas and Thomas are Aramaic, while Peter and Didymus are Greek. But Silvanus and Lucanus have both a Latin origin.

With still less ground has it been suggested that Titus was the author of these personal sections and that some later writer incorporated them in his work. Titus was with St Paul in his missionary journeys, as we know from the second Epistle to the Corinthians, but to accept him as author of 'the Acts' would be to prefer a theory of modern invention before the tradition which, though not capable of exact verification, has the voice of long antiquity in its favour. We are therefore inclined to give the weight which it deserves to the ancient opinion, and to aocept the traditional view of the origin of both the Gospel and 'the Acts,' rather than any of the modern suppositions, which are
very difficult to be reconciled with the statements in 'the Acts' and the Epistles, and which are the mere offspring of critical imaginations.

## iv. DATE OF THE FORK.

That the writer was one who lived amid the events with which he deals will be clear to any one who will consider how he connects his narrative with contemporary history, and that in no case can he be proved to have fallen into error. We find him speaking of Gamaliel (Acts v. 34) exactly as what we know from other sources about that doctor of the Law would lead us to expect a contemporary to speak. In the same place he deals with historical events in connection with Theudas and Judas, and it has been shewn in the notes that there is great probability that all he says is correct; for he speaks of the latter of these rebels with more exactness than is found in Josephus, while the former has probably been umnamed by that writer, because the rebellion in which Theudas was concerned was comprised under the general description that he gives of the numerous outbreaks with which Judaea was at that time disturbed.

Again, the writer of 'the Acts' brings Caesarea before us exactly in the condition in which we know it to have been under Roman government, in the period before the destruction of Jerusalem. He alludes (xi. 28) to the famine in the days of Claudius Cæsar, in language which only one who had personal knowledge of the event would have used. He gives a notice of Herod Agrippa which accords with Josephus in most minute details, and which shews that the writer of the description was most intimately acquainted with the circumstances which attended that monarch's death. In his mention of Cyprus he makes it clear, by the designation which he uses for the Roman governor of that island, that he was conversant with all the circumstances of its government, which had but recently undergone a change, as is pointed out in the notes on St Paul's visit to Cyprus. Of the same character is his very precise notice of the magisterial titles in Thessalonica and Malta. He employs in his narrative
about these places no general expression, signifying 'ruler' or 'chief man,' but gives the special names of the officials there, using words far from common, and which modern investigations have proved to be of that precision which bespeaks a personal acquaintance with the condition of the districts to which the writer refers.

It is noteworthy also that he introduces at Ephesus the burning of the books of magic exactly at that place where, almost above any city in the whole of Asia, such acts were held in the greatest repute. So too the whole dialogue which he records when Paul was rescued by the chief captain in Jerusalem is full of incidental allusions to the tumults and disorders with which Judaea was afflicted at the time, allusions which would hardly have been made, and certainly not so naturally and without all comment, by a writer who put together the story of the Acts at a time long after the Apostles were dead. The mention of the large force told off to convey Paul to Caesarea is just one of those notices which a later writer would never have invented. A bodyguard of four hundred and seventy men for the conveyance of a single prisoner would have seemed out of all proportion except to one who when he wrote knew that the whole land was infested with bands of outlaws, and that these desperadoes could be hired for any outrage at the shortest notice.

In the same way Felix, Festus and Agrippa are brought before us in exact harmony with what we learn of their history and characters from other sources, and with none of that description which a late writer would have been sure to introduce, while a contemporary would know it to be unnecessary. Even the speech of Tertullus before Felix, both by what it says and what it omits, in its words of flattery, is evidence that we are dealing with the writing of one who lived through the events of which he has given us the history.

But it is in the frequent notices of Jerusalem that the most cogent evidence is to be found for the date of the writer. That city was destroyed by the Romans A.D. 70, but in the whole of the Acts there is no single word to indicate that the author of this book knew auything of that event or even of the causes
whose operation brought it about. The city is always mentioned as still in its grandeur; the Temple services and sacrifices continue to be observed; at the great feasts the crowds of strangers assemble as the Law enjoined, and among its population the Scribes and Pharisees and Sadducees act the same parts which they do in the Gospel histories; localities such as Solomon's porch, and the field Akeldama, the tower of Antonia and its near neighbourhood to the Temple, are spoken of as though still existing and as well-marked spots; the synagogues erected in the city for the foreign Jews are mentioned, and the writer speaks of them as places which would be well known to his readers. Annas and Caiaphas and Ananias are to him no characters removed by long years of past history, but recent holders of office. in the city which was still standing in all security. These features, so many and so various, of contemporary knowledge mark the Acts as a hook which must have been written before the overthrow of Jerusalem, and as the narrative terminates about the year 63 A.D., we conclude that its composition must have been completed very soon after that date, and probably not later than A.d. 66. About the latter year St Paul was martyred at Rome, and had the writer of the Acts known of that event it is very difficult to imagine that he would have made no allusion to it in such passages as those in which the Apostle declares his expectation of death and his readiness to suffer in the cause of Christ.
But not ouly does the writer of the Acts move easily in his narrative as if amid contemporary history, and give notices of persons and places as one would do to whom actual experience in what he writes about makes his footing sure, he has also left an undesigned testimony to the date at which he wrote in the character of his narrative. We know that before the end of the first century the Christian Church was troubled by the rise of much false doctrine. In the New Testament we have a few allusions to false teachers, as when it is said of Hymenseus and Alexander ( 1 Tim. i. 19, 20) that they 'have made shipwreck concerning the faith,' and ( 2 Tim. ii. 17, 18) of Hymenaeus and Philetus, that they 'have erred concerning the truth.' But from
other sources we learn much more than from Holy Writ concerning these first heretical teachers. The earliest and most prominent among them were the Gnostics, who derived their name from the pretensions which they made to superior knowledge ( $\gamma \nu \hat{\omega} \sigma \sigma_{s}$ ). This knowledge, as they taught, distinguished the more elevated among mankind from the vulgar, for whom faith and traditional opinion were said to be sufficient. These teachers also perverted the Scriptures by great license in the use of allegorical explanation; they held that from God had emanated generations of spiritual beings, whom they named Aeons (aitures), and who, from the description given of them, are seen to be impersonations of the Divine attributes. By the Gnostics matter was declared to be evil, but superior knowledge could enable men either by asceticism to become superior to it, or if they indulged in excesses, to do so without harm. These heretics also denied the resurrection of the body. One of their number, Cerinthus, taught that Christ was one of the Aeons, and that he descended upon the man Jesus at His baptism, and gave Him the power of working miracles, but departed from Him before His crucifixion. There were many other forms assumed by their various heretical doctrines, but what has been said will be a sufficient notice of their character for us to see how free from all knowledge of such speculations was the writer of the Acts. He mentions the opposition of the Judaizing Christians, those of the Circumcision, and he records in many places the violent assaults made on the first missionaries by those sections of the heathen population who saw that the spread of Christianity would interfere with their sources of gain, but of Gnosticism in any of its phases he has never a word, though that kind of teaching was widely spread before the end of the first century. It is therefore to be believed that his history was composed before such heretical teaching had spread, or even made itself much known, otherwise we must suppose that the writer, though aware of the existence of all these errors, has yet been able to compile a narrative of the early years of the Church without giving us a hint of what had been developed within her at the time when he wrote. He has brought forward St Paul speaking at Miletus (xx. 29, 30),
' I know that after my departing shall grievous wolves enter in among you, not sparing the flock. Also of your own selves shall men arise, speaking perverse things, to draw away disciples after them;' and jet on such a passage he has given no sign that the words of the Apostle had been exactly verified. To suppose that the writer could thus compose his book and never shew that he knew of the later course of the history of the Church, if he did know of it, is quite as difficult as to conceive that he was aware of the overthrow of the Holy City, and jet, though making mention of Jerusalem in almost every chapter, he has never let fall a word to intimate his knowledge that the city no longer existed. The only safe conclusion to which a cousideration of these characteristics of the Acts can lead us is that the author wrote as he has done because, at the time when he was writing, Gnosticism had not been spread abroad, nor was Jerusalem destroyed.

The absence of any allusiens to the writings of St Paul in the Acts is a piece of the same kind of evidence for the early date of its composition. Many of the Pauline Epistles were no doubt written and in the possession of those Churches to which they were addressed before the composition of the Acts, but they had not yet been widely circulated, and so were probably unknown to St Luke. There are, however, some points in the history, which he has given us, that derive support from the Epistles. Thus the provision for widows, alluded to Acts vi. 1, was a new feature of social obligation introduced by Christianity. In the narrative of St Luke we are shewn that this was one of the earliest cares of the infant Church, and that it even took precedence of all that we now embrace under the name of public worship. Consonant with this part of the carly Christian organization are the regulations given by St Paul to Timothy (1 Tim. v. 9) concerning provision for the widows in the Church over which he was to preside. Again the historian gives in several places the account of Saul's conversion after he lad been a persecutor of the Christians; in entire accord with this the Apostle speaks of himself ( 1 Tim. i. 13) as 'a blasphemer, and a persecutor, and injurious,' but as having 'obtained mercy
because he did it ignorantly in unbelief.' St Paul tells of his escape from Damascus ( 2 Cor. xi. 32) in language which agrees with what we read in the Acts (ix. 23-25). In like manner he makes mention (Gal. i. 18) of his visit to Jerusalem to see Peter and James exactly as St Luke mentions it in the history (Actsix. 28). We learn from the Acts (xii. 17) that James was president of the Church in Jerusalem, and with that agrees the testimony of St Paul (Gal. ii. 9), while the persecutions which the Apostle underwent in Lystra, Antioch and Iconium, of which the historian speaks at some length (Acts xiii., xiv.), are mentioned by St Paul when he is writing to Timothy, a native of Lystra (2 Tim. iii. 10, 11), as matters about which the latter had full knowledge. So too the letters of St Paul confirm the history in the Acts with reference to the sufferings endured by the Apostle in his mission to Macedonia. Speaking of these sufferings he reminds the Philippians (i. 30) that their conflict is of the same kind as they had seen him endure. He alludes also (ii. 22) to their knowledge of the character of Timothy whom St Luke mentions as one of St Paul's companions in that journey. And at an earlier period when writing to the Thessalonians ( 1 Thess. i. 6) he makes mention of the great affiction under which they had received the word of the Gospel, and specially names (ii. 2) the shameful treatment to which he and his companions had been subjected at Philippi. Then the teaching recorded at Athens in which the Apostle points out how men from natural religion should be led to 'seek the Lord if haply they may feel after Him and find Him' has its counterpart in what is said in the opening of the Epistle to the Romans. There too St Paul declares that the invisible things of God, even His eternal power and Godhead, are clearly seen, being understood by the things that are made, so that men are without excuse. While the quotation from Aratus in that same speech on Mars' Hill is exactly in the style of St Paul, as may be seen from similar quotations made by him 1 Cor. xv. 33 and Titus i. 12, while no other N.T. writer is found quoting from the works of heathen authors.

Again both history and letters shew us how St Paul laboured with his own hands for the support not only of himself but of
those who were with him. St Luke mentions the working with Aquila and Priscilla at Corinth (xviii. 3) and puts a reference to the like conduct at Ephesus into the Apostle's mouth (xx. 34) when he is speaking to the elders at Miletus. The passages which confirm this narrative in the Epistles will be found in 1 Cor. iv. 12; 2 Cor. xi. 8-10; 1 Thess. ii. 9 ; 2 Thess. iii. 8; while from Rom. xvi. 4 and 2 Tim. iv. 19 we have evidence that these persons whom St Luke tells us were fellow-workers with the Apostle as tent-makers were really friends whom he valued highly as brethren in Christ.

On another point we have similar confirmation of one document by the others. We know from the Acts how St.Paul encouraged the Gentiles to aid with their substance the poor Christians in Judaea, and he mentions (Acts xxiv. 17) that it was to bring some of the alms collected in answer to his appeals that he had come to Jerusalem when he was attacked in the Temple. Writing to the Romans (xy. 25) the Apostle says 'Now I go unto Jerusalem to minister unto the saints' and in the next verse mentions the 'contributions' of Macedonia and Achaia. We have also a proof ( 1 Cor. xvi. 1) that such collections were directed to be made in the churches of Galatia us well as at Corinth, and the same subject is mentioned 2 Cor. viii. 1--4.

In Acts xix. 21, the historian tells us of St Paul's intention to visit Rome, and to the Christians there the Apostle writes (Rom. i. 13) • I would not have you ignorant that oftentimes I have purposed to come unto you.' We know from the Acts very incidentally (xxvii. 2) that Aristarchus went with St Paul when he was carried prisoner to Rome. This is confirmed by the language which the Apostle uses in a letter written during that imprisonment (Col. iv. 10) where he speaks of Aristarchus as his fellow-prisoner, a term which might well be used figuratively by him to express the devotion of the friend who gave up his own liberty that he might minister to the venerable Apostle.

Such coincidences of testimony in works written independently of each other are of the highest value, and could only be found in writings produced by those who wrote from direct personal knowledge. So that we are in this way brought to
the conclusion that the narrative of the Acts was composed before the time when the Epistles of St Paul had been brought into circulation. For there is in the history no notice of the letters, and yet the details betoken the same freshness, and closeness to the events of which they speak, as is seen in the confessedly contemporary allusions made by St Paul in his Epistles. There can, therefore, be no great difference in their date of composition between those Epistles of St Paul from which we have quoted and St Luke's account in the Acts of the Apostles.

A consideration of these various features of the Acts,-that the writer makes mention of contemporary secular history as one who was living among the events of which he speaks; that in his work we find no indication that he knew of the fall of Jerusalem; that he displays no acquaintaince with the heretical tenets which were rife before the end of the first century; that he makes no reference to any of St Paul's Epistles, though writing as one fully contersant with the missionary-travels of that Apostle,-forces us to the conclusion that the work was written at some time between A.D. 63 and a.D. 70 , and most probably about midway between these dates.

## V. THE SOURGES OF THE NARRATIVE.

In the preface to the Gospel of St Luke the writer states definitely that the information which he is about to record for Theophilus was derived from those 'which from the beginning were eye-witnesses and ministers of the word.' And as he himself was certainly not a disciple of Christ from the first, it was necessary that in the earlier treatise he should consult others, and it may have been needful to do so for the greater portion of what he has there written. But in the later book the sources of his information are not necessarily of exactly the same kind as for the Gospel. So that the preface of the Gospel need not be taken as having reference to the Acts likewise; and it is manifest from the passages in which the author in the Acts
speaks in the first person plural that he meant to imply that he was himself an eye-witness of the events which he is there describing. What has been said in the notes on iii. 8 about the graphic character of the language there used, and of its similarity in style to the Gospel of St Mark, the vivid narratives of which have much in common with the aoknowledged language of St Peter, it seems not improbable that the account of the events at and after the Ascension and of the spread of the Gospel in Jerusalem (Acts i.-vi) may have been drawn directly or indirectly from that Apostle's information. We may also ascribe to the same source all those portions of the narrative in which St Peter plays a conspicuous part, and of which the language is markedly of one character. Such portions would include ix. $32-x i .18$ and also xii. 1-19, much of which could have come in the first instance from no other lips than those of Peter himself. From some member of the Hellenistic party, of whom St Luke would meet many during his travela with St Paul, (just as we know (xxi. 8) that he dwelt with Philip the Evangelist many days at Caesarea, our author probably drew the whole of that portion of his narrative which relates to the appointment of the deacons and the accusation, defence and death of Stephen (vi.—vii.), as well as those notices of the after movements of the Hellenistic missionaries (viii. 1-40, xi. 19-30, xii. 25) which are found at intervals in the history.

The narrative of Saul's conversion (ix. 1-30) must have been told by St Paul himself, and after xiii. 1 the remainder of the book deals exclusively with the labours of that Apostle, and as the writer had abundant opportunities while journeying with St Paul of hearing all the history of his life before he became his companion, we cannot suppose that he has recorded anything in that part of his narrative except what was derived from the information of the Apostle or his fellow-labourers.

There remain the two historic notices ( 1 ) of the rest experienced by the Churches of Judaea and Galilee and Samaria (ix. 31) and (2) of the death of Herod Agrippa (xii. 20-23); but of these, if, as we have endeavoured to shew, he were living amidst the events of which he writes, the author would be aware
from his personal knowledge; and the natural manner in which both these incidents are introduced indicates how well the writer know that for his Christian readers as well as for himself a slight hint would recall the bypast trials of Christ's Church.
VI. ON \&OME ALLEGED DIFFICULTIES IN THE CHARACTER OF THE NARRATIVE IN THE AOTS.

It has been said in recent criticism on the Acts that the book represents the Gospel as intended not for Jews only but for all mankind, in a manner at variance with the teaching of the Gospels. Those who put forward this objection would assign the teaching of the universality of the Gospel message to St Paul alone and would set it down as his development of what was meant at first to be only a modification of Judaism.

That in the Acts the preaching of the Gospel is represented as for all nations is certainly true. St Peter says (ii. 39) 'The promise is unto you and to your children and to all that are afar off, even as many as the Lord our God shall call.' The accusation laid against Stephen (vi. 14) was that he had said 'Jesus of Nazareth shall destroy this place and change the customs which Moses delivered us' and his whole defence shews that he had preached that not the Jews nor Jerusalem were any longer to be God's special care, but that all men were now to be embraced in His covenant, while the whole of St Paul's labours are directed to make of Jews and Gentiles one worldwide Church of Christ. But the student of the Gospels need surely find no stumblingblock here. For if we take that which is on all hands accepted as the most Jewish of the Gospels, that of St Matthew, we can see that the universalism of the Acts is therein foreshadowed from the first, and spoken of definitely before the close. To God's ancient people His offers of mercy were made first, and in accordance with this is the conduct of all the preaching of the Acts, but Gentiles are no longer excluded when once Christ has been born. To lay the foundations of the Christian Church firmly in the short space of the ministerial life of its Founder it was needful that the labours both of Himself
and His disciples should be confined within a limited range, and directed to a people prepared by the Old Testament revelation and among whom some were likely to be ready to hear the words of the Gospel message. But while the infant Jesus is in His cradle we see wise men from the East brought to be His earliest worshippers. The voice of His herald proclaims that not the natural seed of Abraham shall of necessity be heirs of the promises, but that God is able of the very stones (and if so, much more from among the rest of mankind) to raise up children unto Abraham. When the ministry of Christ is begun and He takes up His abode in the border land of the Gentiles, we are reminded that it had been made known of old that 'the people which sat in darkness were to see great light, and that light is sprung up for them that sat in the region and shadow of death.' Then what can be more universal than the benedictions with which the Sermon on the Mount begins? The poor in spirit, the mourners, the meek, the pure, the merciful, these are not restricted to the Jewish race, and on these it is that Jesus utters His first blessings. How often too does He shew that the customs of the Jews were to be done away, the ceremonial law, the fastings and the sabbaths to be disregarded, while the moral law was to be widened and deepened so that all men should learn that they were neighbours one of another! How often does He select the Samaritans to illustrate His teaching, and place them before us as those with whom He was well pleased, while Ho points out (Matt. viii. 10) that in the Roman centurion there was faith manifested beyond what He had found in Israel! It is true that when Jesus first sent out the twelve (Matt. x. 5) He said unto them 'Go not into the way of the Gentiles' but this was in the same spirit in which all the teaching of Christianity had its commencement among the Jews. Yet the Lord, who gave the injunction that this should be so, knew that those to whom the message was first sent would largely refuse to hear. For He adds to his commission the warning that His ministers are going as 'sheep among wolves', and foretells that they should be persecuted from one city to another (Matt. x. 16-23), and goes on to say that His message is to be published far and wide, yea even proclaimed, as it were, from
the housetops. When He speaks afterwards (Matt. xii. 18-21) of His own work in the language of Isaiah He quotes 'He shall shew judgment to the Gentiles... and in His name shall the Gentiles trust' and before the close of that same address He adds those words which proclaim that not only the ties of race but even those of family and kindred are to be disregarded in comparison with the unity of all men in Him 'Whosoever shall do the will of my Father which is in heaven, the same is my brother and sister and mother.'

Think too how he figures the kingdom of God. It is a tree (Matt xiii 32) in whose branches the birds of the air from all quarters shall come and find a home: it is a net cast into the wide sea of the world and gathers (xiii. 47) of every kind of fish; while the field in which God's seed is to be sown is not Judea nor Palestine nor any limited region, but in His own gracious exposition (xiii. 38) 'The field is the world.' He makes known (Matt. xviii. 11) that His mission is not to save one race only but to seek and save that which is lost, and says to the professedly, but only outwardly, religious among His own people (sxi. 31) 'The publicans and harlots go into the kingdom of God before you,' and adds the solemn warning afterwards (xxi. 43) 'The kingdom of Cod shall be taken from you, and given to a nation bringing forth the fruits thereof.'

And as the end of His life drew near Jesus spake even more plainly. Thus He says (Matt. xxiv. 14) 'This Gospel of the kingdom shall be preached in all the world for a witness unto all nations,' and His final commission (xxviii. 19) bids His disciples do what St Luke tells us in the Acts they did: 'Go ye therefore and teach all nations baptizing them...and teaching them to observe all things whatsoever I have commanded you.'

When in one Gospel we find so many evidences of what the character of the Christian preaching was meant to be, we need not examine farther to see with how little ground it is asserted that in the Acts St Luke paints Christianity in different colours from anything that was known to the writers of the Gospels or set forth in the life and teaching of Jesus. As the angels proclaimed at the birth of the Lord, 'the tidings of great joy' were
to be 'unto all people,' and the new-born King while 'the glory of God's people Israel' was also heralded from the first as to be ' $n$ light to lighten the Gentiles.'

Another objection to the narrative in the Acts is that the book marks no rupture with Judaism. To bring this objection into prominence much stress is laid, by those who use it, on the severity with which St Paul speaks of the Judaizers in some parts of his letters, notably in the Epistle to the Galatians. From the language there used it is argued that the Apostle had broken altogether with Judaism, and that the picture of his life and labours as we have received it in the Acts is untrustworthy. Now first of all it is extremely unlikely that the preachers of Christ's Gospel, with His example before them, would sever themselves from their Jewish brethren until circumstances arose which forced them to do so. Our Lord had been a devout Jew while rebuking without measure what was deserving of rebuke in Pharisaic Judaism. And what we have set before us in the Acts, first in the doings of the twelve, and then in the story of St Paul, is in natural sequence to the Gospel history. Peter and John going up to the Temple at the hour of prayer is the link which binds one history to the other, and it is a link which would not lightly be broken, for who could be so powerfully appealed to by the first Evangelists as those who had the ancient scriptures already in their hands?

And in St Paul's case a distinction should be made between Judaism and Judaizers. He knew that Judaism must pass away, yet how tenderly, lovingly he deals in his letters with the devout Jew. The Judaizers, who were of set purpose an obstacle and hindrance to the work of the Gospel, he cannot away with. They are the men who desire merely 'to make a fair shew in the flesh,' who preach 'another Gospel,' and therefore are to the Apostle anathema. But he could still see constantly in the Law the predagogue appointed to bring men to Christ; and how near his heart his own people were we can discern from that Moses-like language of his written to the Romans at the very same time that he wrote in his severest strain to the misleading Judaizers among the Galatians. In what a truly tender light St Paul regarded all
that was Jewish is seen from his words to the Romans (Rom. ix. 1-5) 'I say the truth in Christ, I lie not, my conscience bearing witness with me in the Holy Ghost, that I have great sorrow and unceasing pain in my heart. For I could wish that I myself were anathema from Christ for my brethren's sake, my kinsmen according to the flesh: who are Israelites; whose is the adoption, and the glory, and the covenants, and the giving of the law, and the service of God, and the promises; whose are the fathers, and of whom is Christ as concerning the flesh, who is over all, God blessed for ever.' Now this very same feeling is shewn to us in the Acts. There to the Jews he becomes a Jew that he may gain them for the Gospel. He follows the advice of the brethren in Jerusalem and takes on him the Nazirite vow, and in his speech before the Council he shrinks not from saying 'I am a Pharisee, a son of Pharisees,' exactly in accord with the spirit which dictates again his argument to the Romans (xi. 1) 'Did God cast off His people? God forbid. For I also am an Israelite.' And those whom God had not cast off we may rest sure St Paul had not cast off, nor made with them such a breach as is suggested by those who argue from some expressions in his Epistles that the behaviour described in the Acts is not such as St Paul would have shewn to the other disciples nor they to him.

Again it is said that in the Acts Peter is represented as Pauline in all he says and does and Paul's conduct is pictured as in complete harmony with Peter's. But to those who believe that these two were both Apostles of the same Jesus, both preachers of the same Evangel, both guided by the same Holy Spirit, there is nothing but what is natural in this. The historian brings both before us as labouring for the same work, the extension of the Gospel according to Christ's command from Jerusalem to the ends of the earth. He gives us only short abstracts of what either preacher said, and is it not to be supposed that there would be great similarity in the drift of their addresses? Their main theme must be the Resurrection as a proof of the Divinity and the Messiahship of Jesus. Their chief exhortation 'Repent and be baptized in the name of Jesus Christ for the remission of your sins.'

But this figment of a Pauline and a Petrine party never entered into the thoughts of either Luke or Paul or Peter. There were partizans of Paul and of Peter at Corinth, it is true, but we know how they were rebuked by Paul himself, who bade them remember that Christ was not divided. Nor is there any evidence worth the name that His Apostles were divided. Paul tells us how he rebuked Peter because he stood condemned by the inconsistency of his own actions. But it was the rebuke of a friend and not of an opponent, for in the same chapter he speaks of Peter as one who had been entrusted by the Spirit with the Gospel of the circumcision, and who had given to him and Barnabas the right hand of fellowship, as labourers in a common cause though in different fields. But neither in the Acts nor in the Epistles have we any warrant for that opinion which is so prominent in the Clementine fictions of the second century. There, without being named, St Paul is alluded to by Peter 'as the man who is mine enemy,' and under the guise. of Simon Magus is attacked for reproving Peter at Antioch. These writings are a most worthless ground on which to base any argument at all. Their author, whoever he may have been, durst not mention St Paul by name, so doubtful is he of the acceptance which his work will meet with; and yet it is of these works that writers who deny the fidelity of the New Testament documents assert 'there is scarcely a single writing which is of .so great importance for the history of Christianity in its first. stage.' It is out of these fictions that the Petrine and Pauline parties have been evolved. The writings of Justin Martyr, who knew the sentiments of Christians in the Holy Land at the begiming of the second century, have no trace of these parties, neither is there a trace to be found in what is left us of the writings of that Judro-Christian Hegesippus. And if these men, who were in the position to know most about it, have no word of the matter, we can only conclude that the opposition so much dwelt on did not exist, but that, just as in the Acts we have it set before us, the preaching of Peter and Paul was in entire harmony. For them Christ was not divided, nor did their doctrine differ except so far as was made necessary by the con-
dition of the audiences which they addressed. For a fuller discussion of this subject than is here possible, and for demonstration that there was no antagonism between Paul and the rest of the Apostles, the reader is referred to Dr Lightfoot's Essay on 'St Paul and the Three' in his Edition of the Epistle to the Galatians.

In the notes on various readings the text of the Vulgate has been compared throughout and it will be found that that version supports to a remarkable degree the readings given in the earliest MSS.

The language of the Acts, and in part the grammar, has been illustrated, where it is possible, from the Septuagint (and especially from the Greek of the Apocryphal Books), since to that version we are indebted in the main for the New Testament diction.

As will be seen from the Index, a considerable number of extracts from the Homilies of Chrysostom on the Acts have been given in the notes. The study of patristic commentaries is now encouraged by some of the University examinations. It therefore seemed worth while to draw the attention of the student from the first to such commentaries, and no more attractive writer than Chrysostom could be found with whom to begin an acquaintance with patristic Greek.

Where the recently published 'Teaching of the Twelve Apostles' offers any matter illustrative of St Luke's history it has been noticed, and in the same manner reference will be found not unfrequently made to the various portions of the Apocryphal Acts.

For grammatical reference Winer-Moulton has been quoted where the student might wish for a fuller discussion of any point than could be given in the notes.

## TPAミEIS ATOミTOA $\Omega N$

































































 $\sigma \tau \dot{\partial} \lambda \omega \nu$.
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 $\sigma \kappa о \lambda \iota a ̂ \varrho ~ \tau а и ́ \tau \eta \varsigma$.



































 $\kappa o ́ t \iota ~ a u ̉ \tau \varphi ̣ . . ~$







 $\pi а \rho є \delta \omega ं к а т є ~ к а і ̀ ~ \eta े \rho \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma а \sigma \theta_{\epsilon} \kappa а т a ̀ ~ \pi \rho о ́ \sigma \omega \pi о \nu ~ \Pi \iota \lambda a ́ т о \nu$,









 $\tau \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho \circ \phi \eta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu, \pi a \theta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu \tau \dot{\partial} \nu \mathrm{X} \rho \iota \sigma \tau \grave{\partial} \nu a v ̀ \tau o \hat{v}, \grave{\epsilon} \pi \lambda \dot{\eta}-$


 $\sigma \tau \epsilon i \lambda \eta \tau \grave{o} \nu \pi \rho о к \epsilon \chi \epsilon \iota \rho \iota \sigma \mu \epsilon \in \nu o \nu$ ن́ $\mu \hat{\nu} \nu \mathrm{X} \rho \iota \sigma \tau \grave{\partial} \nu$ ' $\eta \eta \sigma o \hat{\nu} \nu,{ }^{21}$ ö̀









































 $\hat{\eta} \mu \hat{\alpha} s$.





























 $\kappa a i ̀ \lambda a o i$ є̀ $\mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon ́ \tau \eta \sigma a \nu ~ \kappa \epsilon \nu \alpha ́ ; ~{ }^{26} \pi a \rho \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \eta \sigma a \nu$ oi $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon i ̂ s$












 тồ $\theta_{\epsilon 0 \hat{v}}^{\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \pi а \rho \rho \eta \sigma i ́ a s . ~}$





 ö $\sigma o \iota \gamma \dot{\gamma} \rho \kappa \tau \dot{\eta} \tau \rho \rho \epsilon \varsigma ~ \chi \omega \rho i \omega \nu \hat{\eta}$ oik $\kappa \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{v} \pi \tilde{\eta} \rho \chi{ }^{\circ} \nu, \pi \omega \lambda o \hat{v} \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$







 $\gamma \nu \nu a \iota \kappa \grave{l}$ aủ $\frac{1}{}$





















 т $a \hat{\text { ûta }}$.






































 $\tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \quad \delta \iota \delta a \chi \hat{\eta}_{\varsigma} \dot{\nu} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu, \kappa a \grave{\iota}$,







 aย่тต̣.



























 $\kappa a \theta \eta \mu \epsilon \rho \iota \nu \hat{\eta}$ ai $\chi \hat{\eta} \rho a \iota ~ a \dot{v} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu,{ }^{2} \pi \rho о \sigma \kappa a \lambda \epsilon \sigma a \dot{a} \mu \epsilon \nu o \iota \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ oi




 $\pi \rho о \sigma \epsilon \nu \chi \hat{\eta}$ каі т̂ी ठıакоviá тои̂ גóүоv тробкартєрйбо-










 $\tau \iota \nu \in \varsigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \kappa$ т $\hat{\eta} \varsigma \sigma \nu \nu a \gamma \omega \gamma \hat{\eta} \varsigma \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \lambda \epsilon \gamma о \mu \in ́ \nu \eta \varsigma \Lambda_{\iota} \beta \in \rho \tau i \nu \omega \nu$





















































































































 $\dot{\delta} \lambda a \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \hat{\varphi} \mathrm{M} \omega \ddot{\sigma} \sigma \hat{\eta} \pi o \iota \hat{\eta} \sigma a \iota ~ a \dot{u} \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \nu \kappa a \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \grave{\partial} \nu \tau \dot{v} \pi \sigma \nu$









 таи̂та тávта; ${ }^{51} \sigma \kappa \lambda \eta \rho о т \rho a ́ \chi \eta \lambda о \iota ~ к а і ̀ ~ a ̀ \pi \epsilon \rho i ́ \tau \mu \eta \tau о \iota ~ к а \rho-~$























 $\sigma \pi \dot{\rho} \rho \eta \sigma a \nu \kappa a \tau a ̀ ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \chi \omega ́ \rho a s ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s$ 'Iovסaias каí इapapeías
















































 єuं $\eta \gamma \gamma \in \lambda i \zeta$ оуто.
















 av̀тò̀ ảф















 $\sigma a ́ p \epsilon \iota a \nu$.





































































 $\pi \nu \epsilon \hat{v}^{\prime} \mu a \tau o s \dot{\epsilon} \pi \lambda \lambda \eta \partial \dot{\nu} \nu \epsilon \tau \sigma$.






























































 $\sigma \tau \eta \sigma a \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi \grave{l}$ тò̀ $\pi v \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu a,{ }^{18} \kappa a \grave{l} \phi \omega \nu \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma a \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma \dot{\epsilon} \pi \tau \nu \theta \dot{a} \nu \rho \nu \tau o$















 каíovs фíдous.



















 $\pi \rho o \sigma \tau \epsilon \tau a \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ v a$ бoı vं $\pi o ̀ ̀ ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ \kappa v \rho i o u . ~ . ~$
























































































 тàs X $\mathrm{X} \iota \sigma \tau \iota a \nu o u ́ s$.
 $\sigma о \lambda \nu \mu \omega \nu$ т $\rho о \phi \hat{\eta} \tau a \iota$ єis 'A 1





 Butépous סıì $\chi$ єıpòs Bapváßa кai इaúגov.




























 $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \delta o \kappa l a s ~ \tau o \hat{v} \lambda a o \hat{v} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{I} o v \delta a \dot{\omega} \omega \nu .{ }^{12} \sigma \nu \nu \delta \delta \omega_{\nu} \tau \epsilon \bar{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon \epsilon \nu$

 $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma є \tau \chi$ о́ $\mu є \nu о$.















 $\tau \rho \iota \beta \tau \nu$.











 the actb
 Ма́ркоу.



 इâ̂̀
 $\mu o \iota ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ B a p v a ́ ß a \nu ~ к a i ~ \sum a ̂ ̃ \lambda o \nu ~ \epsilon i s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ e ̂ p \gamma o \nu ~ o ̂ ~ \pi \rho o \sigma-~$





















 èmì $\tau \hat{\eta} \delta \iota \delta a \chi \hat{n}$ тỗ $\kappa \nu \rho i o u$.
































































 'Iovסal $\omega \nu$ каl $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma \epsilon \beta o \mu \dot{́} \nu \omega \nu \pi \rho o \sigma \eta \lambda \dot{\tau} \tau \omega \nu \tau \hat{\varphi}$ Пav́خ $\varphi$




















 $\chi a \rho a ̂ s ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ \pi \nu \epsilon \cup ́ \mu а т о s ~ a ́ \gamma i o v . ~$













 グ $\sigma a \nu$ ．
























 aủzô̂s.


 Өעๆкéval. ${ }^{\infty} \kappa v \kappa \lambda \omega \sigma a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ סè $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu a \theta \eta \tau \hat{\nu} \nu$ av่тò̀ à $\nu a-$




































































 à $\nu a \dot{\jmath} \iota \nu \omega \sigma \kappa о ́ \mu \in \nu о \varsigma$.








 тàs $\psi v \chi$ às $\dot{\imath} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$, ois ov $\delta \iota \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon \iota \lambda a ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a,{ }^{25} \epsilon \delta \frac{\xi}{\epsilon} \epsilon \nu \quad \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{c} \nu$


















入ózov tov̂ кuplov.











 $\kappa a i ̀ \mathrm{~K} \iota \lambda ı \kappa l a \nu$ è $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \eta \rho i \zeta \omega \nu \tau a ̀ s$ è $\kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma$ las.














































































 $\sigma \tau \epsilon \cup \kappa \omega \dot{\top} \tau \hat{\varphi} \theta \epsilon \hat{\varphi}$.
































 $\pi \rho a ́ \sigma \sigma о \nu \sigma \iota \nu, \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon ́ a ~ \epsilon ̈ т \epsilon \rho o \nu ~ \lambda e ́ \gamma o \nu \tau \epsilon s ~ \epsilon i \nu a \iota ~ ' I \eta \sigma o u ̂ \nu . ~$

 $\tau \omega ̂ \nu \lambda_{0 \iota \pi}^{\omega} \nu$ àté $\lambda \nu \sigma a \nu$ aưroús.



















 oủv $\dot{e} \nu$ тì $\sigma v \nu a \gamma \omega \gamma \hat{\eta}$ тoîs 'Iovסalous кaì тoîs $\sigma \in \beta o \mu e ́ v o u s$








 $\lambda \dot{\mu} \mu \epsilon \theta a$ oùv $\gamma \nu \hat{\omega} \nu a \iota ~ \tau l \nu a \quad \theta \in \hat{\lambda} \epsilon \iota ~ \tau a \hat{v} \tau a$ єival. ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~A} \theta \eta \nu a i ̂ o \iota$































 Ẽ $\tau \epsilon \rho \circ \iota \sigma \grave{\nu}$ av̉тoîs．


 ＇Іта入las，каі Прlбкı入入aע үuvaîкa aủтô̂，סıà тò סıaтє－


















 $\sigma o \iota ~ \tau о \hat{v} \kappa a \kappa \hat{\omega} \sigma a i ́ a \epsilon, \delta \iota o ́ \tau \iota ~ \lambda a o ́ s ~ \epsilon ̇ \sigma \tau i \nu ~ \mu o \iota ~ \pi o \lambda u ̀ s ~ \epsilon ̇ \nu ~ \tau \hat{̣}$
 $\sigma \kappa \omega \nu$ द̀v av̉roîs тò̀ $\lambda o ́ y o \nu ~ \tau o ̂ ̂ ~ \theta \epsilon o v . ~$.












 $\stackrel{\widetilde{\epsilon}}{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\tau}$












 тov̀s $\mu a \theta \eta \tau a ́ s$.













 'Iŋซ๐ขิข.
























 $\hat{\eta} \sigma \iota \mu \iota \kappa \iota \nu \theta \iota a \kappa a i ̀ \dot{a} \pi a \lambda \lambda \dot{a} \sigma \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota a ̀ \pi{ }^{\prime}$ à̀т $\hat{\nu} \nu \tau a ̀ s, \nu o ́ \sigma o v s$



















 $\pi$ т́vтє. ${ }^{20}$ ойтшs катà крátos tov̂ кupíov ó 入óyos $\eta \nu ้ \xi a \nu \epsilon \nu \kappa a i ̀ \imath \imath \sigma \chi \nu \epsilon \nu$.















































 $\sigma \eta \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho о \nu, \mu \eta \delta \epsilon \nu o ̀ s ~ a i \tau i o v ~ v i \pi a ́ \rho \chi o \nu \tau o s ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ~ o v ̀ ~ o v ̉ ~ \delta u \nu \eta \sigma o ́-~$

















${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{E} \nu$ ठ̀̀ $\tau \hat{\eta} \mu l \hat{a} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma a \beta \beta \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu \quad \sigma \nu \nu \eta \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu \quad \dot{\eta} \mu \omega \hat{\nu}$











 $\kappa a i$ тарєк $\lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \eta \sigma a \nu$ ov่ $\mu \epsilon \tau \rho l \omega s$.










 ${ }^{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{I} \epsilon \rho о \sigma \dot{\prime} \lambda \nu \mu a$.

 тарєүध́vovto т $\rho o ̀ s ~ a u ̉ \tau o ̛ ̀, ~ \epsilon i ̀ \pi \epsilon \nu ~ a u ̉ \tau o i ̂ s, ~ ' ~ \Upsilon \mu e i ̂ s ~ e ́ m i-~$



 $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \beta o u \lambda a i ̂ s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ 'Iou $\alpha a i \omega \nu,{ }^{20}{ }^{20}$ s où $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ v́ $\pi \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon i \lambda a ́ \mu \eta \nu$


 $\pi i \sigma \tau \iota \nu$ єis tò̀ кúpıov $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ 'I $\eta \sigma o \hat{\nu} \nu \mathrm{X} \rho \iota \sigma \tau o ́ \nu,{ }^{22} \kappa a l$ l






 єùary





























 $\pi \lambda o \hat{o} \nu$.


















































 $\kappa a \tau a ̀ ~ \tau a ̀ ̀ ~ є ै ~ \theta \nu \eta ~ \pi a ́ \nu \tau a s ~ ' I o v \delta a l o u s, ~ \lambda e ́ \gamma \omega \nu ~ \mu \eta ̀ ~ \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \tau \epsilon ́ \mu \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$







































 $\zeta_{o \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma, ~ A l \rho \in ~ a u ̀ \tau o ́ v . ~}^{\text {. }}$



























































 $\mu а к \rho \grave{\nu} \nu$ 'ॄॄатобтє $\lambda \hat{\omega} \sigma \epsilon$.
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 $\kappa a i ̀ ~ a ̉ \nu a \sigma \tau a ́ \nu \tau \tau \epsilon s ~ \tau ı \nu e ̀ s ~ \tau \omega ̂ \nu ~ \gamma \rho a \mu \mu a \tau \epsilon ́ \omega \nu ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ \mu e ́ \rho o v s ~ \tau \hat{\nu} \nu$




















































































 $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon i ̂ s ~ к а т \eta \gamma о \rho о \hat{v} \mu \epsilon \nu$ av̉тои̂. ${ }^{9} \sigma \nu \nu \epsilon \pi \epsilon ́ \theta \epsilon \nu \tau о$ ठ̀̀ каі̀ oí










































 $\lambda o \nu \delta \in \delta \in \mu \in ́ v o \nu$.


 סaícu катà тồ Пaừov, кaì тapeкá入ovע aủtò̀ ${ }^{\text {s. }}$ ai-
























 Каїбара торєи́бך.





 $\lambda \nu \mu a$ èvєфá $\nu \iota \sigma a \nu$ oi ả ả $\chi \iota \epsilon \rho \epsilon$ îs каì oi $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \dot{\prime} \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$

















 aùтov.














 $\kappa a ̀ ̀ ~ \tau d ̀ s ~ \kappa a \tau ' ~ a u ̉ \tau o v ̂ ~ a i t i a s ~ o \eta \mu a ̀ v a l . ~$




 $\sigma \theta a \iota,{ }^{3} \mu \dot{\lambda} \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a \quad \gamma \nu \dot{\sigma} \sigma \tau \eta \nu$ ŏ $\nu \tau a \quad \sigma \epsilon \pi a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \tau \omega ิ \nu \kappa a \tau \grave{\alpha}$
















 $\kappa a \tau a ̀ ~ \pi a ́ \sigma a s ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \sigma \nu \nu a \gamma \omega \gamma a ̀ s ~ \pi o \lambda \lambda a ́ \kappa \iota \varsigma ~ \tau \iota \mu \omega \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ aùтoùs




 тoù $\hat{\eta} \lambda i o v \cdot \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \lambda a ́ \mu \psi a \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \quad \phi \hat{\omega} \varsigma ~ \kappa a l ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \sigma v ̀ ̀ \nu ~ \grave{\epsilon} \mu o \grave{~}$





















 $\tau \epsilon$ oi $\pi \rho о ф \eta ิ \tau a \iota ~ \dot{~} \lambda a ́ \lambda \eta \sigma a \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \quad \gamma i \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ каi

 rô̂s eै $\theta \nu \in \sigma \iota \nu$.


















 $\mu \eta$ ѐтєкє́к $\lambda \eta$ то Kai $\sigma a \rho a$.


















 ${ }^{\text {® }}$ iкадой
















 $\mu \epsilon \nu \quad \mu o ́ \lambda \iota s \quad \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \kappa \rho a \tau \epsilon i ̂ s ~ \gamma \in \nu \in ́ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s \quad \sigma \kappa a ́ \phi \eta s,{ }^{17}{ }^{1} \nu$

















































 $\pi \eta \delta a \lambda i \omega \nu$, каі є̇ $\pi a ́ \rho a \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ a ̀ \rho \tau \epsilon ́ \mu \omega \nu \nu a ~ \tau \hat{\eta} \pi \nu \epsilon o v i \sigma \eta$











 $\nu \hat{\eta} \sigma o s \kappa a \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \tau a \iota .{ }^{2} \circ$ ö̀ $\tau \epsilon \beta a ́ \rho \beta a \rho o \iota ~ \pi а р \epsilon i ̂ \chi a \nu ~ o v ̀ ~ \tau \eta े \nu ~ \tau v-~$






















































 $\mu a \rho \tau \nu \rho o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \beta a \sigma i \lambda \epsilon i a \nu$ тov̂ $\theta \epsilon o \hat{v}, \pi \epsilon i \theta \omega \nu$ тє av̉-












тоîs $\omega \sigma i \nu ~ a ̉ \kappa о v ́ \sigma \omega \sigma \iota \nu ~ \kappa а i ̀ ~ т \hat{g} ~ \kappa а р \delta i ́ a ~ \sigma v \nu \omega ि \sigma \iota \nu ~ \kappa a l ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi \iota-~$






 àк $\kappa \lambda \hat{\prime}$

## NOTES.

In the notices of various readings prefixed to each chapter it is not intended to give more than the most important variants, and to indicate the uncial authorities by which each is supported. Of versions the Fulgate alone is specially noticed.

## CHAPTER I.

Readings varying from the Text. recept.
Title. тpófecs a $\pi \sigma \sigma \tau 6 \lambda \omega \nu$ adopted on the authority of $B$, and as describing the contents of the book better than any other. The book is not the Acts of the Apostles, but merely some acts of certain Apostles, which are related by the author, but intermixed with the acts of others who were not Apostles, wherever such additions seem needed to make the narrative clear. स* gives $\pi$ pákecs only, which appears too brief, sufficient for the purposes of quotation, but not for
 forms bear marks of the reverent additions of a later date.

1. §'Iŋซoús with NAE. The omission in other MSS. is probably due to the occurrence of $o$ as the last letter of ${ }^{n} \rho \xi a \pi o$.
2. тєббєрáкоүтa is the spelling of $\mathbb{N A B}$ and other authorities.
3. ग่р $\omega$ т $\omega v$ with NABC. The shorter form was most likely the earlier. The same may be said too of $\beta \lambda$ érovtes in verse 11.
4. Hov. The Text. recept, is the result of a conformity to the more common construction.
5. zoth' has 'in vestibus albis.' The Text. recept. has conformed to the ordinary expression.
 'oratione.' The insertion of the words is probably due to a marginal note taken from Phil iv. 6.
6. $\mathrm{d}^{6} \lambda \phi \hat{\omega} \nu$ with $\mathbb{N A B C}$. $\mu \alpha \theta \eta \pi \hat{\mu} \nu$ seems to have been introduced to aroid the occurrence of the same word in three consecutive verses. The Fulg. has 'fratrum.'

16．$\tau$ aí $\tau \eta \nu$ omitted with NABC and Vulgate．
17．Ev for oriv with all the most ancient authorities．The Vulg． has＇in．＇

19．＇AXè8apáx with $\mathbb{N A}$ ．The form，though not easy to be ac－ connted for，bas also much support from the versions．

23．Bapoaß阝âv with NABE．Ma日llav with BD，following the analogy of Maө日aios in 13 ，which is there the form given by $\mathbb{N}$ also． But the authorities are inconsistent about the latter name．

25．тómov for $\kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} p o p$ with ABCD．Text．recept．seems to have been a change made becanse $\tau$ brov occurs again in the verse．The

$\dot{\alpha} \phi^{\prime}$ for $\boldsymbol{\xi} \xi$ with NABCD ．

## Ch．I．1－14．Link conneating this Book with St Lute＇s Gospel． Detailed Account of the Ascension．

1．mp̂̂tov．The use of $\pi \rho \hat{\omega}$ ios for the former of two things was not uncommon in later Greek．We have examples，Matth．xxi．28； 1 Cor．xiv．30；Heb．viii．7；ix．15；Rev．xzi．1．We use first in the same way in English，and Cicero（de Inventione）in his second book （chap．iii．）calls the former book primus liber．The work here intended by it is the Gospel according to St Luke，also addressed to Theophilus．

т $\delta \nu \mu \bar{k} \nu \pi \rho \omega \bar{\tau} о \nu \lambda \delta \gamma o v$ ．The clause which should have answered to
 The writer is carried on by the subject to speak of Christ＇s appear－ ances and leaves the structure of his sentence incomplete．
$\lambda$ yyos is ased in a similar way by Xenophon（Anab．ii．1）in speak－ ing of one＇book＇of his history．

וтоוทनid $\mu \eta \nu, I$ made．The time is indefinite and we have no warrant in the text for that closer union of the two books，in point of date，which is made by the rendering of the A．V．

Oeb from the adjective кpditoros applied to him in Luke i． 3 he seems to have held some official position．Cf，Acts xxiii．26；xxiv．3；xxvi． 25. Some have however thought that had the title been an official one it woald not have been omitted in this verse．The word is used without anyofficial sense；cf．Josephas $A n t$ ．vi．6， 8 ；where the Midianitish women
 elsewhere in the Acts favours the acceptance of it as a title．Josephus uses the word as a title in addressing Epaphroditus，to whom he dedicates the account of his life（Fit．Joseph．ad fidem）．The sugges－ tion，that $\theta$ ed $\phi \downarrow$ os，$=$＇lover of God，＇is a name adopted by the author to indicate any believer，is improbable．Such personification is unlike the rest of Scripture and is not supported by evidence．
$\hat{\alpha}^{2} v$ ．The relative，instead of standing as required by the governing verbs（ $\pi$ oceip and $\delta \delta \dot{\sigma} \dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa \epsilon \nu$ ）in the accusative is attracted into the
case of the preceding demonstrative. This grammatical peculiarity is very common. CI. Acts iii. 21, 25, vii. 17; \&c.

ग $\boldsymbol{p}$ garo. This is an emphatic word. The writer regards the Gospel as a record of work which Jesus began, and committed to others to be carried forward; and this later book is to be a history of the beginning of Christian congregations in various places, and after such a beginning has been made at Rome, then the metropolis of the civilized world, his proposed labour is brought to a close.

The Gospel was the record of Christ's work on earth, the Acts of His work from heaven. Hence the force of 'began' as applied to the former. His work was continued by the various 'beginnings' recorded in the Acts.

тoteiv te kal 8ıסárketv. So in St Luke (xxiv. 19) the disciples call Jesas 'a prophet mighty in deed and in word.' The acts and the life spake first and then the voice.
2. axpt ins $\eta \mu$ épas. An instance of the incorporation of the antecedent in to the relative clause, where it must take the case of the
 $\mu е т \rho є і$ тि.

Sud $\pi$ veiparos aytov. The preposition indicates the operation of that power of the Holy Spirit with which Jesus was filled after His baptism (Luke iv. 1). Chrysostom speaks of Christ's communication
 $\pi$ mov. Along with the charges which Jesus gave to His diseiples there was bestowed on them too a gift of the Holy Ghost (John xx. 22), which at Pentecost was to be poured out in rich abundance, so that 'filled with the Holy Ghost' becomes a frequent phrase in the Acts to describe the divine endowment of the first evangelists. (Cf. Acts ii. 4, iv. 8,31 , vi. 3,5 , vii. 53 , xi. 24, xiii. 9.)
3. $\mu$ età тò ma日eiv aùtóv, after He had suffered. The death is included with the other forms of the passion.
iv mod $\lambda_{0}$ is reк $\mu \eta$ plous. This use of $\dot{\varepsilon} \nu$ for expressing the means by which anything is done, is from a translation of the Hebrew $\underset{\square}{\rightrightarrows}=\mathrm{in}$.
 бофị aútov.

A reкunpop is such an evidence as to remove all doabt. It is ex-

 Christ gave of His true resurrection were His speaking, walking and eating with His disciples on several occasions after His resurrection, and giving to Thomas and the rest the clearest demonstration that He was with them in the same real body as before His death (Luke xxiv. 39, 43; John xx. 27; xxi. 13). As the verity of the Resurrection would be the basis of all the Apostolic teaching, it was necessary for the Twelve who were to be His witnesses to have every doubt removed.


Jesus to His disciples happened from time to time during the forty days, a force which is scarcely to be gathered from A.V. So Ohry-



The period of forty days is only mentioned here, and it has been alleged as a discrepancy between St Luke's Gospel and the Acts that the former (Luke xxiv.) represents the Ascension as taking place on the same day as the Resurrection. It noeds very little examination to disperse such an idea. The two disciples there mentioned (verse 13) were at Emmaus 'towards evening' on the day of the Resurrection. They came that night to Jerusalem and told what they had seen. But after this has been stated, the chapter is broken op at $v .36$ (which a comparison with John (xx. 26-28) shews to be an account of what took place eight days after the Resurrection), and again at $v v .44$ and 50 , into three distinct sections, with no necessary marks of time to connect them. And in the midst of the whole we are told that Christ opened the minds of His disciples that they should understand the Seriptures. No reasonable person cau suppose that all this was done in one day. Beside whioh the objectors prove too much, for according to their reasoning the Ascension must have taken place at night, after the two disciples had returned from Emmaus to Jerusalem.
óntavónewos. A rare word. It is used Tobit xii. 19 by the angel
 viii. 8 about the staves on which the ark was carried, and which when it rested in the Most Holy plece were not seen outside.
 oupapory. Here the meaning $i$, the new society which was to be founded in Christ's name, and in which all members were to be His soldiers and servants and to bear His name. On the nature of the intercourse between Christ and His disciples during this period, see John xx. 21; Matth. xxviii. 20; Mark xvi. 15, 16; Luke xxiv. 45. They received their solemn commission, and were made to understand the Scriptures, and also were comforted by the promise of the Lord's constant presence to aid them in their great work.
 only one doubtful instance (Ps. cxl. 5) in the LXX., but is frequent in Herodotus, and several times found in Xenophon. Connected with $\alpha^{\prime} \lambda \dot{\eta} s=$ close gathered together, its sense is 'being gathered in company,' and auroîs is to be supplied in thought. The Vulgate renders by 'convescens' = eating together, as if the word were derived from äls, salt. This sense was put on the word by some of the Greek Fathers,

trayy. тoù marpós. That promise which God had made of old time through His prophet (Joel iii. 1-5) concerning the ontpouring of His Spirit, which Jesus knew was shortly to be fulfilled. This promise is alluded to, Luke xxiv. 49, and is found in St John (xiv. 16, 26, xv. 26), 'The Comforter, which is the Holy Ghost, ahall teach you all things'; 'He shall teatify of Me.' This was to be their special preparation for their future work.
 the direct form of narrative, as is not uncommon in Greek. Cf. Acts xxiii. 22 where a similar change occurs. See also Tobit viii. 21, nal


$\mu \mathbf{~ V . ~ V u l g . ~ ' p e r ~ o s ~ m e u m . ' ~}$
5. The variation in construction after $\beta a \pi \tau i j \in e v$, first the dative üsarı without a preposition and then with èv, is probably due to the difference of sense between baptism with water and with the Spirit. But $\beta a \pi z i \xi \in y$ eg ujarı is found (John i. 31) where there is no contrast between sacramental and spiritual baptism.
6. cl. This conjunction, at first used after some verb on which it was dependent, at last came to be employed in questions of an independent form. We may suppose that originaily some such expression as 'Tell us' was understood before the 'if,' but in translating this sentence the Vulgate merely gives 'Domine, si restitues'...and the Latin $s i$ in Jerome's time had become a particle of direct interrogation. For other examples of $\epsilon l$ thus used cf. Acts xix. 2, xxi. 37, xxii. 25.

Baoilelav. Though they were being taught the nature of the kingdom of God, yet their minds were even still far from open, and ran on the thought of a temporal kingdom over Israel to be established by Jesus. The change from the spirit which dictated the question in thie verse, to that in which St Peter (Acts ii. 38, 39) preached repentance and forgiveness to all whom the Lord should call, is one of the greatest evidences of the miraole of Pentecost. Such changes are only wrought from above.
 ness. This sense of the genitive, implying property or propriety, is not uncommon in classical Greek. During the tatelage, as it may be called, of His disciples, Jesus constantly avoided giving a direct answer to the inquiries which they addressed to Him. He checked in this way their tendency to speculate on the future and drew their minds to their duty in the present. Cf. John xxi. 21, 22. Of this conduct


kaupoús. Vulg. 'momenta.' This word differs from xporos in being restricted to some well-defined point of time, while $\chi \rho$ ovas embraces a more extended period. Cf, LXX. Neh. x. 34, where the wood for the
 fixed points of time chosen out of larger periods, year by year.. The A. $\overline{\text {. has 'at times appointed year by year.' Cf. also for the idea of }}$
 a $\dot{T} T \hat{4}$, , he tarried longer than the set time which he had appointed him' (A.V.). The two nouns are found in conjonction LXX. Dan. ii. 21, vii. 12. Also in 1 Thess. v. 1.
 by His own quthority.' It is not the same word as that in the next verse, fóvapis, though the A. V. ronders both by 'power.'
8. Sivapuv. The Vulgate renders 'virtutem,' and makes it govern the words in the genitive which immediately follow, 'Ye shall receive the influence of the Holy Spirit which shall come upon you.' It is better, with A. F., to render the genitive as genitive absolate, because of the participle included in the expression. The phrases
 x7. 13, 19), but not constructed as in this verse. The effect of this gift was to be something different from the profitless speculations to which they had just desired an answer, even 'a mouth and wisdom which their adversaries could neither gainsay nor resist’ (Lk. xxi 15).
${ }^{\prime}$ Iepouбainj $\mu$ к.т. $\lambda$. The order here appointed for the preaching of the Gospel was exactly observed. At Jerusalem (Acts ii -vii.), Judea and Samaria (Acts viii. 1), and after the conversion of Saul, in all parts of Asia, Greece, and last of all at Rome.
 in the LXX. of Isaiah (xlviii. 20, xlix. 6, lxii. 11). See also Acts xiii. 47.
 beheld, for they were to be witnesses of that event to the world as well as of the life, death, and resurrection. That the Eleven alone saw Christ go into heaven is told us, Mark xiv. 14. In the Gospel (Luke xxiv. 61), we are told that Christ was parted from them 'while He blessed them.'
10. mopavoptivov av̉cov, as He went. The 'up' of A. V. is not represented in the GE.
kail tion. The cal with the apodosis after expressions signifying time is very common in N.T. Greek and is to be classed with those
 sions. See Winer-Moulton, p. 756 n.
 strong evidence in favour of the reading of the older MSS., for the unusual Greek is not likely to have been put into the place of the more usual form. The two persons are called men, but were evidently angels. So one of the two angels whioh Mary saw in the sepulchre after the Resurrection is called (Mark xvi. 5), a young man, clothed in a long white garment. The Jews use the expression 'clad in white garments' in describing angelic or divine messengers. Cf. Luke xxiv. 4; Acts x. 30, xi. 13.
11. Taduaiol. We know that most of the Twelve were called in Galilee, and it is very probable that they were all from the same district, as they would be called at the earliest portion of Christ's ministerial life, which was begun among His countrymen in the north. Below (v. 22) Peter speaks of the new disciple to fill the place of Judas, as one who must be fit to be a witness from the time when John was baptizing; so the Twelve mast themselves have been companions of Jesus from that early period. Men of Galilee were easily known by their peculiar dialect. Thus whon Peter is accused (Matth. xxvi. 73) of
being a follower of Jesus, it is said to him, "Surely thou art one of them, for thy speech bewrayeth thee,' a remark which shews plainly that Christ's immediate followers and friends were known as Galileans.
-ütws $\grave{\text { inev́retal. These words explain the statement which occurs }}$ in the abridged account of the Ascension given by St Luke in the Gospel (xxiv. 52), 'They returned to Jerusalem with great joy.' They had been supernaturally assured that He would return to them.
$\delta v$ тpotrov. The manner in whiah an action is performed is often expressed both in classical and Hellenistic Greek by the simple
 relative and antecedent are to be used in this way, the antecedent is transferred not unfrequently, as here, into the relative clause. Soe
 Ezek. xlii. 7; 2 Macc, xv. 40.
12. toî кadoupévov. as well as the subsequent indication of the locality of monntain, shew us that he for whom the Acts was written was a stranger to these places.
' ${ }^{\text {Brananvos. Here 'Exatúr is given as the designation by which the }}$ mountain was known. Its name was =Otivetum.
${ }^{\text {tyyis }}$ 'I $\mathrm{I}_{\text {pouradin }}$, near unto Jerusalem. The A. $\overline{\mathrm{V}}$. omits to translate the preposition. The mount of Olives is on the east of Jerusalem, between that city and Bethany.
raßßárou osóv. The journey which a Jew was allowed to take on the sabbath. This was pat at two thousand yards or cubits (Heb. ammoth), and the Rabbis had arrived at the measure by a calculation based on their exposition of Exod. xvi. 29, 'Abide ye every man in his place.' Here the Hebrew word is takhtav, and this the Talmud (Erubin 51 a) explains to mean the four yards (which is the space allowed for downsitting and uprising), but in the same verse it says, 'Let no man go out of his place,' and here the word is makom, and this means two thousand yards. For makom is in another passage explained by nisah=flight, and nisah is explained by gebul=border, and gebul is explained elsewhere by khuts=extremity, and in one place khuts = two thousand yards. For it is written (Numb. xxzv. 5) "And ye shall measure from the extremity of the city on the east side two thousand yards.'

So taking khuts as defined in the last passage, they made an equation $k h u t s=g e b u l=n i s a h=m a k o m$, and made makom in Exod. xvi. 29 also equal to two thousand yards. The Scriptural passages on which the above reasoning is based are (1) Exod. xxi. 13 'I will appoint thee a place (makom) whither he shall flee' (yanus), and from the verb yanus the noun nisah is formed. (2) Numb. xxzv. 26 'But if the slayer shall at any time come without the border (gebul) of the city of his refuge whither he is fed,' a passage which connects gebul and nisah. (3) Numb. Xxxy. 27 'If the avenger of blood shall find him without (mikhuts) the border of the city of his refuge,' where gebul is brought into connexion with khuts.
13. €loridov, they were come in, i.e. entered into Jerusalem, coming from the open country where the Ascension had taken place.
 is probably becanse the room was the same which had been used before for the Last Supper (Mark xiv. 15; Luke xxii. 12). The noan is not the same here as in those passages, but it seems most probable that the diseiples, strangers in Jerusalem, when they had shortly before found one such room which could be obtained, would hardly seek after another. The passover chamber moreover would behallowed to them by what happened at the Last Supper. In the next clause катанецоитеs seems to imply that the Twelve had taken possession of the room whiie awaiting the fulfilment of the promise whieh Jesus had made to them.

The names of the Eleven are probably here recited again, though they had been given to Theophilus in the Gospel, that it might be on record, that though all of them at the arrest and trial forsook their Master, this was done by all but Jadas only through fleshly weakness not through defection of heart. It may also be that their names are here given at the outset of the Acts, that it may be intimated thus, that though the separate works of each man will not be chronicled in these fragmentary 'Acts of Apostles,' yet all alike took their part in the labour which their Master had appointed for them.
'Iákwßos 'A入фalou...'Ioúsas 'Iakáßov. The A. $\overline{\text { V }}$. renders these two identical constructions in different ways, making James the son of Alphæus, but Judas the brother of James. There is authority to be found for both renderings, though many more instances occur where the ellipse is the word son, than where it is brother. Judas is made to be the brother of James here, because in Jude I that Judas calls himself brother of James. But we cannot be sure that they were the same person, and in the list of the Twelve it is hardly conceipable that two different words were meant to be supplied with names which atand in close juxtaposition. It is better therefore to render Judas the son of James, for which insertion we have more abundant suthority.
$\Sigma\left(\mu \omega \nu \delta Z_{\eta} \lambda \omega \tau \tau\right)$ s. Z $\eta \lambda \omega \tau \eta \eta^{\prime} s$ is a Greek rendering of the Hebrew word which is represented by Kavavirys (Matth. x. 4; Mark iii. 18). That word signifies one who is very zealous for his opinions or his party, and was applied in our Lord's time to those Jews who were specially strict in their observance of the Mosaic ritual.
14. Tin $\pi$ porevx $\hat{y}$. It would seem from the article here as if already some religious service had taken definite form among the disciples. This is almost implied too in the fact of their continuance therein with one accord, a description hardly consistent with mere individual supplication. The disciples had long before made the request 'Lord, teach us to pray' (Luke xi. 1), and during the three years of association with Jesus, the form given them as an example may very well have grown into the proportions suited for general worship.
oiv $\begin{array}{r}\text { uvaıgiv, best rendered 'with certain women.' There is nothing }\end{array}$
to define them, bat from the first, women played a helpful part in Christian offices.

Tñ $\mu \eta t \rho l$. It is noteworthy how from first to last the Gospel history abews our Lord acknowledging a human mother, and so causing her to be cared for by His friends, but from the dawn of conscionsness at twelve years old never speaking but of a Father in heaven. The blessed Virgin would naturally remain with St John, to whose care she had been confided by Jesus at the Crucifixion (John xix. 27). This is the last mention of the Virgin, and thus Scripture leaves her on her knees. She is mentioned apart from the other women as having a deeper interest in all that concerned Jesus than the rest could have.
di $\delta$ e $\lambda$ doís. See Matth. xiii. 55; Mark vi. 3. The brethren of our Lord are there named James, Joses (or Joseph), Simon and Judas. Being mentioned here as persons distinct from the Eleven, we may fairly conclude that James, the son of Alpheus, and James, the Lord's brother, were different persons.

A ehange has come over these 'brethren' since the last mention of them (John vii. 5). There we are told that they did not believe on Jesus.

15-26. Eieotion of an Apostle to fill the place of Jodas Iscariot.
10. infpacs. The days which intervened between the Ascension and Pentecost.

Herpos. As in the Gospels, so here, Peter is always the moving spirit and speaker among the Apostles, till he drops out of the history and gives place to St Paul.
 'and there was a crowd of persons [names] gathered together, about a hundred and twenty.' On this use of opó $\mu a \tau a=$ persons, cf. Rev. iii 4, 'Thou hast a few names even in Sardis, which have not defiled their garments.'
16. duvpes diderdol. This form of beginning an address is common throughout the Aets (ef. i. 11, ii. 14, 22, 29, iii. 12, \&e.), and an objection has been raised against this uniformity. But we cammot but suppose, that St Luka after collecting the speeches which were reported to him, cast them into a form fitted for insertion in his narrative. This is only what a writer of history must do. Some introductory words were necessary at the commencement of the speeches, and it is probable that the uniformity found in these places is due to him and not to those whose words he reports and supplies with the links needfol to attach them to his narrative.
ypo $\phi \dot{\eta}$. A constant word for Old Testament Scripture (cf. John vii. 38, x. 35; Acte viii. 32, \&c.), and often used in the plurai in the same sense (Matth. xxi. 42, xxii. 9, \&o.).
$\mathfrak{\eta} v$ троєitev. The quotations made below are from Pse. Ixiz. 25 and cix. 8 , and these the minds of the disciples, being opened, comprehend.
may be applied to the case of Judas, whose treachery more than fulfils all the description of the Psalmist. The words whioh describe the traitor-friend suit completely the conduct of Judas, but we are not on that account to suppose that they had not a first fulfilment in the life-history of him who wrote these Psalms, and the otherwise fierce character of the imprecations they contain finds its best justification when we learn how they are to be applied. While the Psalmist spake of himself and of his own circumstances, the Holy Ghost was speaking through him of what should happen to "the son of David."
17. $\boldsymbol{e v} \boldsymbol{y} \boldsymbol{\eta} \mu \mathrm{Lv}$. This preposition is supported by the 'in nobis' of the Vulgate, and seems to give, more than is done by the oiv of the Text. recept. the sense that though Judas was counted in the Twelve, he was not truly of them.
Tdv к $\lambda$ ñpov. The artiele is best rendered by the possessive pronoun 'his part.'
18. $\mu \mathrm{e} v$ oufv. These particles at the opening of the verse shew that there is a break in the continuity of the narrative and that what follows, in verses 18 and 19, must be taken for a parenthesis. For
 22, xxvi. 9.
ektífato, acquired. The word may be used not only of him who gets something for himself, but of one who is the cause of its being gotten by another. The field was bought by the chief priests (Matth. xxpii. 5-8), but it was the return of the money by Judas, and the dificulty of disposing of it in any other way, which brought about the purchase of the field.
 2 Pet. ii. 13, 15. It seems therefore to be a Petrine phrase. The varied English of the A.V. in these places effectually obscures the evidence of this. Though these verses are in the form of a parenthesis, St Luke most probably gathered the facts which they contain from St Peter himself, or he wonld not thus have inserted them within the compass of that Apostie's address.
ттр $\quad$ vìs $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\text {evónevos. Of course this occurred after he had hanged }}$ himself, as is recorded by St Matthew (xxvii. 5). If the cord used by Judas broke with his weight, it is easy to understand how all that is related took place. The ground, to be suitable for an Eastern burialplace must needs be rocky and cavernous. St Matthew intimates that it was a clay-pit which had probably been long before dug out for making pottery. When the body suspended over such a place fell down on the hard bottom, a result would ensue like that deseribed 2 Chron, xxy. 12, and which might well be described by the language in the text.
 attended by a loud sound. There is a passage in the apocryphal acta Thoma § 33 which illustrates the language of this verse, and where

 the poison which he had been compelled by the apostle to suok back out of the body of a young man whom he had slain and whom the Apostle raised to life. The apocrgphal story then goes on to tell how a chasm opened, so that the dragon was awallowed into the earth, while the Apostle, after commanding the hollow to be filled
 that it may be a dwelling-place for the strangers. Cf. Matt. xxvi. 7.
19. кal үvшoтdे kytyero, and it became known. And hence the name of 'the Potter's Field' was by general consent changed to 'the Field of Blood.' The entire story, as St Luke tells it, must have been what in later days became widely known, for there is nothing of it in St Matthew's narrative, which only mentions the purchase to acconnt for the change of name.
 guage of the dwellers in Jerusalem. The giving of this name must have taken place some time after the Day of Pentecost. So that St Luke is explaining parenthetically something in which evidence still remained, in the name, to bear witness to the terrible fate of Judas, and to the impression which it produced throughout all Jerusalem.
'Axedoapáx. This orthography, whieh has most authority, is not easy to explain. The Aramaic form would be חֲקל דָּקָא, and for this we should expect an aspirate at the beginning of the word, and it is so represented in some authorities, as in Vulg., which gives 'Haceldama.' When the word was made to commence with $\dot{\alpha}$, the principle of compensation for the lost aspirate may have converted Hacel into ${ }^{\text {A }} \mathrm{A} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \lambda$ (cf. for the converse of this $\ell \chi \omega$, future $\xi \xi\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { ) , and the final } \chi \text { may be due }\end{array}\right.$ to a desire to represent in some way the fingl $N$ of the Aramsic, which together with the preceding vowel-point might be deemedincompletely represented by a only.
20. ү $\omega \boldsymbol{\eta} 0 \dot{\eta} \tau \omega$, let it become (or be made) desolate. The Vulgate gives 'fiat commoratio eorum deserta,' quoting exactly from Ps. lixix., where the pronoun is plural. But there is no authority for reading aut $\hat{\omega}$ y instead of aurov̂, and the singular is needed in this application of the verse to Judas. The further application of the prophecies to the Jewish nation, and their fulfilment in that case too, came at a later date, but were as terrible as the fulfilment upon Judas.
kntokoniv. An office involving oversight of others. In A. V. 'bishoprick,' and so in all previous versions except the Geneva, which has 'charge.' But 'office' which is the word used in Ps. cix. 8 is better.

It is this second prophecy which makes a new election necessary. Judas has perished, but the work must have another overseer and not be hindered by the sin of the traitor.

The former of these quotations stands in the LXX. (Ps. lxviii., Heb.


as shewing the freedom with which the text was handled in quotation.
21. Iv mavil X porve. It seems then that Justus and Matthins had beon companions of Jesus from a very early period, as no doubt were several others; for the Twelve were chosen out of a greater number, and the sending of the Seventy shews ns that Jesus employed many more agents, and had many more who were ready to be employed, than the Twelve selected to be His closest companions.
 than once in the LXX. (cf. Deut. xxxi. 2; Josh. xiv. 11; 1 Sam. xviii. 13), but in those passages (though the third sentence about David is not so manifestly like the other two) the reference is to some leadership in war or otherwise. Here the sentence seems to mean no more than 'passed His life' (cf. Acts ix. 28), unless the leadership of Jesus is to be understood in the preposition $\epsilon^{\prime} \phi^{\prime}=0$ over, which immediately follows. On the expression of. Chrysostom's words: סelkvoacy aitous

 Jesus began to gather followers around Him, and some of these had been beforetime disciples of John, had perhaps been witnesses of the baptism of Jesus, and certainly had heard the frequent testimony borne to Him by the Baptist.

I's. This is perhaps not to be regarded as an attraction of the relative like that in verse 1, for the genitive of the time when is common in Greek, and this may be taken as an example of it. Cf.

 Numb. xv. 21 ; Josh. ix. 12, \&e.
 must be attested, and they would be the most cogent witnesses thereto who had known most of Jesus before His crucifixion. Of. the language



тoútuv. Resuming the constraction of the $\sigma v y e \lambda \theta$ dotav at the beginning of the verse.
23. E$\sigma$ Tך those who best fulfilled the condition laid down. Probably there were only few among the hundred and twenty, besides the Eleven and the selected two, who had been continuously in the company of Jesus.

Bapgaßßav. A patronymic. The man's Jewish name was Joseph, and his father's Sabba. He had besides a Roman name, Justus. This was a common thing among the Jews to have one name among their own people, and another for use in their intercoures with nonJews. Thus Saul becomes generally known as Paulus when he is to
go forth on his missionary labours. Simon takes (from Christ, perhaps that by it he might become known to all the world) the name of Petrus, and Thomas is called Didymus.

If we may judge from his three appellations, and from his being set first in order, Joseph was the better known, and it may be of more repete among the brethren. But God's ohoice falls on Matthias.
24. Tporevéápevol. They made a solemn supplication to God for His guidance. St Luke mentions the only point towards which the whole tenor of their petitions was directed, viz. for light to see God's ehoice. No doubt the prayers, like the speeches in the book, were of greater extent than is indicated in the sentence or two of abstract in which the author sums up for us their purport.

The participle $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \epsilon \xi_{\xi}{ }^{2} \mu \mathrm{vol}$, though aorist, is used to express a simultaneons action with the verb, 'they prayed and (in their prayer) said."
dividekov. Having done their atmost to select fit persons, and having sought God's blessing on their endeavour, they now ask for some token by which they may be guided in the final choice. From the use of kúpte we may judge that the prayer was adaressed to Christ, by whom at first the Twelve had been chosen. кap8uoywiorrys is applied to God the Father (Acts xv. 8), but the Apostles (John ii. 25) had learnt that their Master 'knew what was in man.'
25. тбтоv. Used in the sense of a position or office, Sirach xii.
 testimony of the Fulgate is in favour of tónov, for к $\lambda \hat{\eta} p o p$ could not be rendered by 'locum ministerii.'

8takovlas...кal iimoorodins. The office is desoribed by two words, the first of which is the more general, the second defining the character of the work which was to constitute the frakoyla.

тар $\ell \beta \eta$, fell away. The periphrasis 'by transgression fell' of the A. V. gives the sense correctly, but does not shew that the whole expression is but a single verb in the original.
tঠv tótov todv totov. He had been chosen for one place, but had made choice of another for himself. The writer does not define what this was, but what this phrase meant in a Jewish morth is seen from the Baal Haturim on Namb. xxiv. 25, where the place to which Balaam went is explained as Gehenna, the place of torment. So too Midrash Koheleth Rabbah, viI. 1.
28. к入ípous. The giving of lots was a provision in the Law (Lev. xvi. 8) by which one of the two goats offered on the great Day of Atonement was to be selected for the Lord. 'The goat upon which the Lord's lot fell' was offered for a sin offering. Most probably in this case each one of the Eleven wrote on a tablet the name of that one of the two men for whom in his heart he was prompted to vote, and he who had most votes wes chosen to fill the vacant place among the A postolic band.

St Chrysostom, on this passage, remarks that these events took
place before Pentecost. After the Holy Ghost had been given they ased no more casting of lots.
 This is an example of redundanoy of prepositions with which may be
 'A $\beta$ рад́á $\mu$. See also Ezek. xxviii. 7.

## CHAPTER II.

Readings varying from the Text. recept.

1. $\pi$ ávess dpov̂ with NABC. The Fulg. has 'pariter.'
2. $\pi \rho \partial s=\alpha \lambda \lambda$ p̀ $\lambda$ ous omitted with NABC and Vulg.
3. $\tau i$ © $\begin{aligned} & \text { et } \\ & \text { with } \\ & A B C D\end{aligned}$. Vulg. has 'quidnam ralt.' K reads $\tau!$ $\theta e \lambda o t$ without $a \nu$, which seems to confirm the correctness of the other uncials, $\theta e \lambda o c$ being only a slip of the soribe for $\theta$ elel.
4. lvervions with NABCD. There is the like variation between accusative and dative in the MSS. of the LXX.
5. Omit кal before aitol with SABCDE and numerous cursives. The Vulg. inserts 'et.'
6. Omit $\lambda a \beta b y \tau e s$ with NABC and Vulg.
 The Vulg. does not represent these words. The omitted words seem like a marginal exposition which in time made its way into the text.
7. ì $\psi \psi \chi \dot{\eta}$ aúrô omitted with NABCD and Vulg. They appear to have been added to balance of odipg in the following clause.
8. $\nu \hat{u} v$ omitted with $\mathbb{N} A B C D$ and Vulg.
9. aútò placed after kúpoov with NABC. The Vulg. has 'dominum eum et Christum.'
10. ${ }^{\prime} \phi \eta$ omitted with NAC, which however add, what the Vulg. appears to have read, $\phi \eta \sigma l y$ after $\mu$ eravonjoate. There is much variation in the word as well as in its position in the different MSS. and versions.

Add $\dot{\mathbf{u}} \mu \hat{\omega} v$ after $\dot{\text { ámaptionv with NABC and } V u l g . ~}$
41. $\dot{a} \sigma \mu t \nu \omega s$ omitted with NABCD and Vulg.
42. Omit cal after kowvoviq, with NABCD. The Vulg. has 'et commanicatione fractionis panis,' which also supports the omission of kal.
47. Omit $r \hat{\eta} \hat{\epsilon} \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma t a$, and add from the commencement of the
 Métpos $\delta \epsilon$. This reading is given by NABCG and is confirmed by the Fulg. and many other versions.

## Ch. II. 1-18. The Holy Ghost anen at Pentecost. Effect first produced thereby on the Dwellers at Jerukalrm.

1. ${ }^{\text {t }} \tau \hat{\Psi} \sigma \tau \mu \pi \lambda \eta \rho o \hat{\sigma} \sigma t a t$. This compound $v \in r b$ is not found in the LXX. (nor in elassical Greek in this sense), but the derived nom occurs 2 Chron. xxxvi. 21 of the 'complete fulfiling' of a period of time. The simple verb is used both of a period of time to be gone through and of a point of time which has to be reached. See Numb. vi. 5 , and Jer. xxv. 12 compared with verse 34 of the same chapter. The Vulg. gives 'onm complerentur dies Pentecostes,' as if the day of the feast was regarded as the completion of the whole seven weeks.
 three great Jewish feasts, the Passover being the first, and the third the Feast of Tabernacles. The name is derived from meyrnkoбтós, fiftieth; because it was kept on the fiftieth day after the Passover Sabbath. In the Law it is called 'the feast of harvest, the firstfroits of thy labours' (Exod. xxiii. 16) and also, from being seven weeks after the Passover, it is named 'the feast of weeks' (Exod. xxxiv. 22; Deut. xvi. 9-10). The offering in this festival was the two first loaves made from the first portion of the wheat-harvest of the year, as a thank-offering.
The words of Chrysostom on the typical character of the Pente-




This day was probably chosen for the outpouring of the Spirit upon the Apostles, that there might be a greater multitude present in Jerusalem, and so the tidings of this gift might at once be spread abroad. It is perhaps for this reason that the very word employed is one which indicates that the day was fully come, and so all that were intending to be present at the feast were there. We find in ix. 2 that there were Christians at Damascus before we read of any one of the Apostolic band visiting that city. It may well be that among those who saw the gifts now bestowed, and whose hearts were pierced by Peter's sermon, there were some who went forth to this and other cities, bearing the fame and teaching of the new society along with them. In like manner, we cannot doubt that it was in order that more might hear His words, that our Lord so frequently went to Jernsalem at the feasta (John iv. 45, v. 1, vii. 10, x. 22, \&c.).
onou, together. This word and that which takes its place in the Text. recept. i.e. $\dot{\delta} \mu 00 \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{a} \delta \delta_{y}$ occur frequently in this part of the Acts and mark very strongly the onity which existed in the new society, but which was so soon destined to be broken. For ópoovpa.ór ef. Acts i. 14, ii. 46, iv. 24, v. 12, \&c. Beside this book the word is only fornd in N. T. in Rom. xv. 6.
$\ell \pi l$ tò av̉ró. Doubtless this was in the upper room in which the disciples were wont to meet.
 borne along,' i.e. as of the rushing of a mighty wind. The verb hers employed to express the rushing of the wind is used by St Peter (2 Ep. i. 17, 18) of 'the voice which oame from heaven' at the Transfiguration, also (i. 21) of the gift of propheory, and the motion of the prophets by the Holy Ghost.
 distributed anong them. Cf. Is. จ. 24, where the Hebrew has 'tongue of fire' (see margin) while the A. V. gives only 'fire.' It is also to be noticed that the appearance is not called fire, but only compared to fire. The idea conveyed by the verb is that the flamelike tongues were distributing themselves throughout the assembly (the Fulg. has 'dispertitw'), and the result is expressed by what follows; and it at upon each of them. The intention of the writer is to describe something far more persistent than meteoric light or flashes of electricity. The sound which is heard fills the house, and the flame rests for some time on the heads of the disciples. (See ver. 33.)
4. This verse degcribes a great miracie, and its simplicity of statement marks it as the record of one who felt that no additional words could make the matter other than one whioh passed the human understanding.
 $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\omega} \omega \sigma \sigma a t$, new tongues (Mark zvi. 17). The meaning is, they spake in languages which before were unknown to them, and from the history it would appear that some of the company spake in one and some in another language, for the crowd of foreigners, when they come together, all find somebody among the speakers whom they are able to anderstand.
 eloqui illis,' as well as by the oldest MSS.
 who had come to the feast, many religious Jews from foreign parts were permanent residents in Jerusalem, for it was to the Jew a thing much to be desired, that he might die and be buried near the Holy City. It is said (T. B. Kethuboth, 111 a), 'Every one that is buried in the land of Israel is in as good case as if he were buried under the altar,' and there are many other like expressions in the immediate context of this quotation. That among the crowd were some residents seems the more likely, because when they recognized the new tongues, some asked as though they were acquainted with the speakers, 'Are not these men Galileans?'
evidakets, devout. The word is used of the aged Simeon (Luke ii. 25) and of the men who carried Stephen to his burial (Acts viii. 2). It is one of those Greek words which Christianity has taken hold of and dignified. In classical language its sense is merely=circumspect. The LXX. (aceording to some authorities) has it (Micah vii. 2) of the good, godly, merciful man; other MSS. read evi $\sigma \beta$ 站 there.
 every part of the world, when we only mean from a great many parts. Cf. also Deat. ii. 25, 'This day will I begin to put the fear of thee upon the nations that are under the whole heaven.' That the Jews were spread abroad very widely is seen from Josephus (B.J. n. 16, 4) where Herod Agrippa eays 'There is not a nation in the world which does not contain some of ns' (Jews). So Philo In Flaceum, § 7,


 heard. $\Phi \omega \nu \dot{\eta}$ though not the same word as $\dot{\eta} \chi$ os which is used for sound in verse 2, yet is never found in the sense of a report or rumour, as is given by the A.V. It is used for crying aloud, as in the mourning at Rama and Christ's cry on the cross (Matt. ii. 18), or in John the Baptist's preaching (Mark i. 3), and of voices from heaven frequently (Matt. xvii. 5 ; Mark i. 11; Luke iii. 22; Acts ix. 4, \&c.), of the sound of the wind which is used as a figure for the gift of the Spirit in Christ's conversation with Nicodemus (John iii. 8), and constantly of the heavenly voices in the book of the Revelation (i. 10, 7.
 $\sigma \in \bullet \sigma \mu \mathrm{v}$, and such like words, all indicating a loud noise.

The sound which was sent forth, though heard around in the city, was evidently such as could be traced to a central spot, for led by the sound, the multitude came together to the room in which the Apostles were assembled. It would need but a brief space for a crowd to gather, and all the new-comers found among the disciples, now divinely prepared to be Christ's heralds, some who were declaring what had come to pase, end the great things which God had wrought with them, in the different languages of the lands where the strangers had been born. This was clearly not a proclamation of the wonderful works of God in some one language, which the Spirit, acting upon the hearers, caused them to appreciate as if it were their own, for in that way the gift of the Holy Ghost ought to have been described as poured out, not on the speakers, but on the listeners.

ぞkovov. The verb is plural, in consequence of the plural idea contained in $\pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta$ os, though the verbs in immediate connexion with the noun are singular. For $\pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta_{0}$ s joined directly with a plural of.



ets Ekcaotos is explanatory and distributive, and not to be regarded as a direct nominative to the verb. So too in verse 8, and also xi. 29.
T. ovx. This form, though the succeeding word has only the smooth breathing, is supported by the best MS. authority and adopted by Lachmann and Tischendort. See also Acts xix. 23, where oux ${ }^{3} \lambda$ lyos is read by Lach.; but not by Tisch. though it has the sup-
 the reading favoured by Lachmani, Tischendorf and Tregelles, Tischendorf reading also è $\lambda \pi i \delta \ldots$
 incoherent speech. We are told of utterances tested by the ears of men who had spoken these languages from their youth. Cf. Chrysos-
 only question on which from St Luke's desoription we are left in uncertainty is this: whether the disciples did or did not understand the new words which they were enabled to utter. The only other place in the New Testament which throws any light on this matter is St Paul's 1st Epistle to the Corinthians. For a consideration of the expressions which St Paul there employs concerning these marvellous gifts, see note after ver. 13.

9, 10. Under all the nationalities mentioned in these verses we are to understand the Jews, either by birth or conversion (as is indicated in the case of Rome), whose homes were in the countries named.

Máplot. A people who occupied a wide extent of country eoath of the Caspian Sea, from which they were separated by Hyrcania. They stretohed in the Apostolio times from India to the Tigris, and no doubt stand foremost in this list because of their great fame among the rations of the time.

Min6on. Their country lay east of Assyria, north-west of Persia and south-west of the Caspian Sea.
${ }^{2}$ Eגapîtal. These dwelt in the district known to the Greeks and Romans as Susiana. It lay at the north of the Persian Gulf and was bounded on the west by the Tigris, touching Media on the North and Persia on the South and East. They were a Semitic people, perhaps taking their name from Elam, son of Shem (Gen. x. 22). 'Shushay in the province of Elam' is mentioned Dau. viii. 2.

Merotoraplav. The country between the Euphrates and the Tigris.
'Iovסalav. These would comprise the Jews from the neighbouring towns.

Kamtaסoklav...Пapфu入lav. These were all countries within Asia Minor, Pontus lying in the N.E. and forming, on the north, part of the shore of the Euxine. Cappadocia was south of Pontus, Phrygia was westward of Cappadocia, separated from it by Lycaonia, while Pamphylia stretched on the south coast of Asia Minor between Lycia on the W. and Cilicia on the E. By Asia in this verse, and everywhere else in the Acts is meant the Roman province known as Proconsular Asia. It comprised all the western coast of Asia Minor and may be roughly considered as embracing the countries known as Mysia, Lydia and Caria. Its capital was Ephesus, and in this distriet were the seven churches of the Apocalypse.

Alyumtov. The cities of the north of Egypt, and especially Alexandria, were the abodes of great numbers of Jews.
A. $\beta$ in was the name anciently applied to the African continent. The 'parts of it about Cyrene' means the district cailed Cyrenaica. This lay E. of the Syrtis Major and contained five chief cities of which

Cyrene was the best known. We find Simon a Cyrenian living in Jerasalem at the time of the Crucifixion (Matt. xuvi. 32). Josephus has a passage (Antiq, xrv. 7, 2) which testifies to the wide dispersion of the Jews at this time, and also mentions speciaily Egypt and the parts of Libya about Cyrene as full of them. It runs thus:
'Strabo in another place bears witness to this [the wealth and influence of the Jews]; agying that when Sulla crossed over into Greece to war against Mithridates, he also sent Lucullus to put down in Cyrene the revolntion raised there by our nation, of whom the whole world is full. His words are: There were four classes in the city of the Cyrenians, that of citizens, that of husbandmen, that of resident aliens, and the fourth of the Jews. Now this last class has already spread into every city, and it is not easy to find a place in the world which has not admitted this tribe and which is not swayed by them. And with regard to Egypt and Cyrene as being under the same evernors, and many portions of other countries, it has come to pass
et they imitate them [the Jews], and also give special support to companies of the Jews, and flourish from their adoption of the ancestral laws of the Jews. For instance, in Egypt thare is a special district set apart for the Jews, and beside this a large part of the city of Alexandria is apportioned to this race. And a special magistrate is appointed for them, who governs their nation and administers judgment, and takes charge of their contracts and agreements as if he were the governor of an independent state.' Philo in Flaccum, § 8, confirms what is said here about Alexandria, telling that two districts, out of the five into which that city was divided, were known as 'Iovoaikal, while Jews also lived in parts of the other three.
 Ac. We know from the allusions to them in Latin writers that Jews were numerous in Rome (Hor. Sat. I. 5; Juv. x. 14, \&c.). It is most probable that converts from among these Romans founded the Churoh which we learn from Acts xxvii. 14,15 was flourishing there when St Panl first came to that eity.

тpoofidutol. This word, signifying one who has come over, is mainly employed of converts from heathenism to the religion of the Jews. It is of very frequent occurrence in the LXX of the last four books of Moses.
11. Kpîtes. Natives of the well-known island which lies south of the Gyclades in the Mediterranean, and is now called Candia. Christianity may perhaps have been spread in Crete also from the converts of Pentecost. Titus was made bishop of Grete.

[^2]and magnify God.' And of those to whom the Spirit was given at Ephesus (xix. 6), 'They spake with tongues and prophesied.'
12. $\delta i \eta \pi$ орои̂vтo, were'perplexed. They were in no doubt about the facts. Their eyes and cars were trusty witnesses. But they were at a loss how to account for what they heard and saw.
13. ETepol 8 k k.t. $\lambda$., but others mocking said: They are full of new wine. Yरfûkos, not a common word, is found in LXX. of Job xxxii. 19.

In the above description of the events of the day of Pentecost, the meaning which St Luke intends to convey is very plain in every respect, except that we cannot with certainty gather from it whether the dissiples, as well as speaking new languages, also understood what they uttered. It would seem most reasonable to conclude that the Holy Spirit with the one power also bestowed the other, and this may have been so in the case of the disciples at Pentecost, even though it y not so at other times and under other circamstances. The on Scripture which bears apon the question is St Paul's 1st Epistle to the Corinthians (xii. 10-xiv. 30). There among the gifts of the Spirit the Apostle enumerates "divers kinds of tongues" (xii. 10, 30) and as what might be a separate gift not included in the first, "the interpretation of tongues" (xii. 10). He mentions in the next chapter the tongues of angels as well as of men (xiii. 1), but not in such an enomeration as to connect the words with 8ur inquiry. It should be borne in mind that all which the Apostle says in the Epistle is addressed to the Corinthians, not as missionary labourers but as members of a settled Christian Church, and he is instructing them what the best gifts are after which they should seek. Now their labours and utterances were to be among their own people and mostly among those already professing Christianity. St Paul repeatedly dwells on 'the Church' as the scene of their labours, which expression without necessarily always implying an edifice (which however here seems to be its meaning, see xiv. 23, 24) indicates a Christian community. The Apostle tells them that gifts of tongues are not for these. Tongues are for a sign not to them that believe but to the unbelieving. To speak with tongues was therefore not the best gift to be desired for the Church at Corinth. Yet we can fancy that some members longed for such a power, and it is to such as these that the Apostle's remarks are directed. In such a congregation as theirs, he tells them, 'he that speaketh in a tongue, speaketh not unto men, but unto God' (xiv. 2), meaning to teach them that if a man had this gift he would yet profit his neighbours nothing, for they would not be men of a foreign speech like the crowd at Pentecost, or like those in foreign lands which the Christian missionaries must visit. Next he adds 'he that speaketh in a tongue edifieth himself' (xiv. 4), for he feels the power and tells of the great works of God. The Apostle conld wish 'they all spake with tongues,' if, that is, there were an advantage to the Church therein, but under their circumstances he rather wishes for them the gift of prophecy, or power of exposition of the Scriptures and preaching. We next come to those sentences
which bear directly upon our inquiry (xiv. 13), 'Let him that speaketh in a tongue pray that he may interpret.' There were then in the Corinthian Church examples of that division of these closely connected gifts which in the recital of spiritual gifts the Apostle seems to imply; some spake with tongues who could not interpret, and others could interpret who did not speak with tongues. And the next words confirm this view, 'If I pray in a tongue my spirit prayeth' (and in this way I edify myself), 'but my understanding is unfruitful.' Therefore the Apostle desires that form of power for himself which in a. congregation shall exercise both spirit and understanding. He bimself had this gift in great fulness, but in the Church it is not that which he would desire to use, lest the unlearned should not be able to say 'Amen' to his giving of thanks. For in the ordinary churchassembly if the gift of tongues were exercised, it would seem madness to those Corinthian unbelievers who came in, and heard a speaker pttering a foreign language to a congregation who were all Greeks,
d their minister a Greek likewise. St Pad therefore ordains that an any man speak in a tongue in the Church, he must have an interpreter, or else must keep silence. From which ordinance also it appears that there were those who, though endowed with the gift of speaking with tongues, were yet not able to interpret to the congregation the words which they were empowered to speak.

In these passages we have all the references to this gift of the Holy Ghost which seem to help us to appreciate in some degree what its character was. Whatever may have been the case at Pentecost, certainly in the Corinthian Church the power of speaking seems not always to have had with it the power of interpretation, though in some cases it had, and all were to pray for the one to be given with the other. Yet in this whole account it is to be borne in mind that we have no indication that such gifts were frequent in Corinth, but only that the members of the Church longed to possess them. From this wish the Apostle dissuades them, because their duty was to minister to believers rather than to unbelievers, whereas on those occasions where the gift was most markedly bestowed, as related by the author of the Acte, viz. at the house of Cornelius, and in the heathen and multilingual maritime city of Ephesus, as well as at the outpouring on Pentecost, there was the probability of having an audience on whom such a display of God's gifts would be likely to produce the same kind of effect as that produced in Jerusalem on the first manifestation.

## 14-21. Sketch of $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{t}}$ Peter'b Sermon. Refutation of tee Moceers.

14. Hérpos oiv тois ${ }^{2} v \delta$ eka. The Twelve naturally take the leading place among the disciples, and Peter, who is usually the spokesman in the Gospels, begins the general address now, directing it principally to those who were dwellers in Jerusalem and the neighbouring country, for it was more likely to be these who gave vent to the mocking speeches than the foreigners who would better recognize the astounding nature of what had come to pass.
aint申Qeyfaro, spake forth unto them. The word is the same that is used to describe the gift which they had just received. 'They spake as the Spirit gave them utterance,' lit. 'to speak forth' (ii. 4). St Paul employs it when Festus had said he was mad. 'I speak forth the words of truth and soberness' (xxvi. 25).
tvarifae日e. The word signifies 'to take anything into the ears.' It is only found here in N.T. but is very common in the LXX., especially in the Psalms. Cf. also Gen. iv, 23 (Lamech's address); Job xxxii. 10, xxxiv. 16, xxxvii. 13.
15. $\mu$ efiovoiv. Wine was drank by the Jews with flesh only, and, founding the custom on Exodus xvi. 8, they ate bread in the morning, and flesh in the evening, and so took no wine till late in the day. So Eccles. x. 16, 17, by the 'princes who eat in the morning' are meant those who eat to the full of all sorts of food and mo take wine, and their opposites are next described as those who eat in due season for strength and not for drunkenness.

The paraphrase of this passage given in the Targum is wortll notice in illustration of the text of the Acts. It reads, 'Woe to thee, 0 land of Israel, when there shall reign over thee Jeroboam the wicked, and shall exterminate from the midst of thee the offering of the morning sacrifice, and when thy lords ahall eat bread before any man has offered the perpetual offering of the morning. Blessed art thou, land of Ierael, at the time when Hezekiah the son of Ahaz (who is of the genealogy of the house of David) shall reign, who will be a mighty hero in the law, and fulfil all the duties of the commandments, and then thy princes shall only eat bread after the perpetual offering has been offered (i.e. their eating shall be) at the fourth hour, from the labour of their hands in the strength of the law, and not in faintness and blindness of the eyes.'
mpa rpity. Only one quarter of the day was over. The Jews divided the day and night each into twelve parts, calling them hours, though their length varied according as the daylight was less or more. When day and night were equal, the third hour would be nine o'clook in the morning.
 tion generally used in such phrases, and denotes that the prophet was the ingtrument by whose intervention God spake. Joel himself (i. 1) calls his prophecy 'the word of the Lord that came unto Joel,' The quotation is from Joel ii. 28--32. The order of sentences differs here from the Hebrew (which is represented by the A.V. of Joel), but agrees
 $\mu \in \tau \dot{a} \tau a \hat{v} \tau a$, and omits $\sigma \eta \mu \in i a \operatorname{in}$ verse 19.
 ment prophets these words signify the coming of the Messiah (cf, IE. ii. 2; Micah iv. 1).
18. kal $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{m}$ may be rendered, Yea and, or And truly. Cf. Aots xvii. 27 where kal $\gamma \in$ is the correct reading. The Vulg. gives 'et quidem.'

трофๆтєivovatv. Finlfilled aiso in the ease of Agabus (xi. 28), and of the Ephesian converts (xix. 6), and of the daughters of Philip the Evangelist (xxi. 9).
19. T\&ara. Even when the Kingdom of Christ shall have come mighty troubles will still prevail. Christ Himself gave the same lesson (Matth. xxiv. 21-30).
20. értфavî, notable. The Hebrew word in Joel means terrible. But the Hebrew verbs to fear and to see are often confounded in the LXX. version, with which the quotation in the text agrees. The prophecy of Joel had a partial falfilment in the destruction of Jerusalem by Nebuchadnezzar, but it also looked onward to ite later destruction by the Romans.
21. $\sigma \omega \theta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon$ тat. Eusebius (H. E. ims. 5. 3) tells how the Christians were wanned to leave Jerusalem before its destruction, and went into oity of Perea called Pella.

## 22-36. Recital of God'g Testimony bx tefe Resdrbedtion to the Messiahseif of Jesus.

22. disfes 'Iopaliरital. As the prophecies which St Peter is about to put forward were given before the nation was rent into two parts, he calls them by a name which points to their union and common descent from Jacob.
${ }^{3}$ Inoqûv $\tau d v$ Nasopaîov. This accusative, taken up by the following тouro;, eontinues in suspense till the close of the next verse.
aiv8pa. St Peter beging with the humanity of Jesus, as a point on which they would all agree.
 words of Nicodemns (John iii. 2) 'No man can do these miracles that thou doest except God be with him.' The sense of the participle is given by the gloss of D , which reads $\delta \in \delta о к \mu a \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ v o \nu$.
els ípa.s. Render, unto you. The testimony was not given anong them only (as A.V.), but unto them. Of. John xii. 37 "Though He had done so many miracles before them yet they believed not on Him.'

Ovváféヶ к.т. $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$. These distinct names are given to Christ's marvellous works according to the light in which they are viewed. The first name, סuvápets, lit, powers, is applied to them because they proclaimed the might of Him who wrought them; they are named repara, wonders, because they called forth that feeling when they were wrought; and $\sigma \eta \mu \varepsilon i a$, signs, because they point out their author as divine.
ots. Attracted into the case of the antecedent, as in i. 1, though here that case is dative. See note there.
© befs. St Peter does not advance at once to the declaration that Ohrist is God, but speaks of Jesus as God's agent, in the mighty works which their own eyes had seen.
 for the sake of man's redemption.

8La Xupos ávofiov, by the hand of wicked (lit. lawless) men. סad xetpós is a literal translation of a Hebrew expression = by means of. Of. Lev. viii. 36 "Things which the Lord commanded by the hand of Moses.' See aliso 2 Kings xiv. 25, though in both those passages the LXX. has $\epsilon \nu \chi$ x cel. But $\delta$ cà $\chi$ ccpós in the same sense is found 2 Kings xiv. 27; 1 Chron. xi. 3, xxix. 5, \&c.
 xvii. 5 , \&c.
25. $\Delta a v e l \delta$ к. $\boldsymbol{\tau} . \lambda$. The passage which St Peter quotes is from Ps, xvi. 8-11, and he argues that it could not be of himself that the Psalmist there spake, for they had evidence that the words could not be truly said of him. But having regard to God's promise David spake of Him who was to be born from his line, as identified withr himself. St Peter's quotation is from the LXX.
ths autdv, in reference to him. The preposition indicates the direction of the thoughts of him who spoke. Cf. Winer-Moulton, p. 495.

лроорани $\boldsymbol{\eta}$. The $\pi \rho \dot{\text { o }}$ is used here as a strengthening of the following evoimbs $\mu 0 v$, and in the same sense. The foresavo of A. V. is equal to no more than saw. The Hebrew text would be rendered, I set.
26. if $\gamma \lambda \hat{\omega} \sigma \sigma a ́ \dot{a} \mu \mathrm{ou}$. The Hebrew $=\mathrm{my}$ glory. For this exposition of glory, of. Ps. oviii. 1, where the A. V. has, according to the Hebrew, 'I will give praise even with my glory,' while the Prayer-Book Version renders 'with the best member that I have.' If however we are to be guided by the Hebrew parallelism 'the glory' is the soul or life. Cf. Ps. vii. 5, 'Let him tread my life upon the earth, and lay my glory (A.V. honour) in the dust.' On the use of a similar expressiou by the Arabs for any member of the body of special honour, see Gesenius я. v. כבנד.

27. els ${ }_{\text {dit }} \delta \eta \mathrm{y}$, in Hades, i.e. in the unseen world. So too in verse 31 where we have the more nsual expression els $\bar{\phi} \delta o u$ (understanding $\delta \delta \mu o y)$, but in the Psalm from which quotation is made, the best text of the LXX. gives the accusative there too.

8心́ซets, Thou wilt suffer [lit. give].
ròv ©fotbv oov, Thy Holy One. The Hebrew word in the Psalm conveys the idea of beloved, as well as godly or pious.
28. $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \sigma \in t$ s к.т. $\lambda$. This is an example of how the LXX. sometimes paraphrases. The Hebrew text literally translated is, 'in thy presence is fulness of joy.'
29. 太ןjv cimeiv. Here tart is the verb to be supplied. Render 'It is allowed me=I may freely say unto you concerning the patriarch David that he both died and was buried.' Here St Peter begins his argument with a statement which none of them will gainsay. St Paul
makes use of the selfsame argument (xiii. 36) 'David after he had served his own generation...fell on sleep and was laid unto his fathers.'
rd $\mu$ нipua. The existence of the sepnlahre is evidence that David did not rise again. The sepulchre of the House of David was a famous object in the Holy City. Among the marvels of Jerusalem mentioned in the Aboth de-Rabbi Nathan (c. 35), we are told, 'There are no graves made in Jerusalem except the tombs of the House of David and of Huldah the Prophetess, which have been there from the days of the first prophets.'

On the burial of Darid in Zion, cp. 1 Kinge ii. 10 with 2 Sam. r. 7.
 I set upon thy throne.'

Ek картои к.т. $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$. Render, of the fruit of his loins one should sit [or, he would set one] on his throne; for kaOl $\overline{\text { col }}$ is used both transitively and intransitively.
 Ohrist, i.e, the Messiah, Jehovah's Anointed.
 fles $h, \& c$. The $\dot{\eta} \psi v \chi \dot{\eta}$ aưroû of the Text. recept. has been introdaced to make this application aceord more exactly with the words of the prophecy quoted in verse 27. At first perhaps the addition was innocently placed as a note on the margin, but the next copyist incorporated it.
83. diverpory, raised up (from the dead). The word takes up the diviotaras of the previous verse. The English cannot mark by similarity of word the forcible character of the Greek, which would be given in sense somewhat thas: 'David spake of a resurrection, which manifestly was not his own, but here is now come to pass the resurrection of Jesus, of which we all are witnesses.' The $\pi d y$ tes is probably to be confined to Peter and the Eleven, with whom he is more closely connected in this speech (see ver. 14) than with the rest.
33. iv $\psi \omega \theta$ efs, exalted (into heaven), for the Apostles are witnesses not only of the Resurrection but of the Ascension also.
 The promise was made by the Father, and the Holy Ghost was the gift promised. Christ's words were, 'I will pray the Father, and He shall give you another Comforter' (John xiv. 16). What was at first
 the complete fruition of all that was promised.
${ }_{\xi} \xi^{6} \mathrm{x}$,, He hath poured forth. Thus fulfilling the promise in the

$\beta \lambda \in \pi \in \tau \in$ кal diкоúert. It would seem from this that the appearance, like as of fire, which rested upon each of them, remained visible for some time, thus making it evident how different this was from any meteoric flashes into which some have endeavoured to explain away the miracle which St Luke desoribes.
34. ov...dvép $\eta$, he ascended not. He went down to the grave, and 'slept with his fathers.'
$\lambda e ́$ ect 8 E. The passage is from Ps. cx. 1. David saith, speaking as a prophet, and concerning the same person, whom though He is to be born of the fruit of his loins, he is yet taught by the Spirit to call his Lord. The words of this Psalm were admitted by the Jews themselves in their discourse with Jesus (Matt. xxii. 44, 45) to be spoken of the Christ.

кúplos $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ кvpl甲 $\mu$ оu. The sense is, the Lord [Jehovah] said unto [Him whom I must even now call] my Lord, since I foresee how great He shall be.
 power and sovereignty. Cf, the request of the mother of James and John when she desired places of influence for her sons in the future kingdom, which she supposed would be an earthly one (Matth. xx. 21).
35. inomódiov. To put the foot on the neck of a prostrate enemy was in the Eastern world a token of complete conquest. (Cf. Josh. x. 24.)
36. $\gamma$ yvorketo. This appeal could only be made to Israel, for they alone knew of the promises and prophecies in which the Christ had been foretold.

8tt kal к.т. $\lambda$. Render, that God hath made Him both Lord anid Christ, even this Jesus whom ye crucified. Thus closes the argument. Its steps are: Jesur, who has been crucified, has been by God-raised from the grave, by God exalted to heaven, and set at His right hand, and thas proved to be the Lord and the Anointed One.

## 37-40. Effedt of St Peter's Sermon.

87. катevíyךтav Tiv кapolav. The verb, without the following noun, is found LXX. Gen. xxxiv. 7 (were grieved A.V.) and кarapevy-
 here is, they were stung with remorse at the enormity of the wickedness which had been committed in the orucifixion, and at the blindness with which the whole nation had closed their eyes to the teaching of the propheoies which had spoken of the Messiah.
 before them the error of the whole people, were the most likely to know what conld be done to atone for it.

88. нетаvonjoart. This was in accordance with the directions of Jesus before His Ascension (Luke sxiv. 47) 'that repentance and remission of sins should be preached in His name.' On the omission of both $\epsilon \phi \eta$ and $\phi \eta \sigma t y$ in this verse, cf. Acts xxp. 22, xxvi. 28, where the best MSS. are without any verb =he said. It should be noticed that the Vulg. has 'Ponitentiam (inquit) agite.'

Bantuotinto. The verb is here aingular from the close connexion with the distributive zkargos, but the plural with which the verse commenced is resamed immediately in $\lambda \nRightarrow \mu \psi \varepsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$.

The exhortation to baptism is in accord with Christ's injunction (Matth. xxfiii. 19), and though there the baptism is directed 'to be in the name of the Father and of the Son and of the Holy Ghost,' and here it is only said 'in the name of Jesus Christ,' we are not to suppose any change made from the first ordinance, but only that as the Charch was to be called Christ's, во in mentioning the Sacrament for the admission of its members His name was specially made prominent. It was belief in Christ as the Son of God which constituted the ground of admission to the privileges of His Church. This made the whole of St Peter's Creed (Matt. xvi. 16) when Christ pronoanced him blessed.
©optà $\tau$. $\dot{\alpha} y . \pi v$. This is expressly stated to have been bestowed on some of the first converts (see viii. 17, x. 44, de.), and the prompt repentance of these earliest hearers of the truth would not be without its reward.
39. Etrayyella must be taken to embrace the same gifts which it included in i. 4 and ii. 33.
cal toîs tékvoos. As under the old covenant the promises were made (Gal. iii. 16) 'to Abraham and his seed,' so is it to be under the new dispensation.
toís els $\mu$ akpáv. Peter knew from the first, we see, that the Gentiles were to be admitted to the same privileges as Israel. But Christ's commission said they were to preach first in Jernsalem and in Judæa. Peter needed the vision of the great sheet let down from heaven to tell him when God's time was come for the extension of the worl; and though in his dream the natural prejudice of his race was asserted, yet when he awoke he went 'without gainsaying as soon as he was sent for' ( x . 29), as he says to Cornelius. For Christ's words had been 'Go, teach all nations.'

The expression oi eis pakpay means those persons, whom to reach you have to go out into the distance.
тробкалєбगтal. Render, shall call unto Him. Thas the force of the preposition will be given, which disappears in A.V.
40. éréfous $\tau \in$ 解yous $\pi \lambda \epsilon$ loorv. This is a very important statement. We learn from it that there is no attempt made by the writer of the Acts to produce more than the substance and character of what was here said. And we may be sure that he uses the same rule always. We need not therefore be startled if we find an address followed by mighty results, even though St Luke's abstract of it may only extend over a few verses.

8ıєцартiparo, he charged, as 1 Tim. จ. 21; 2 Tim. ii. 14, iv. 1. Peter's address was not of the nature of testimony but a direction what the penitents were to do.
orodiâs. Literally crooked. The expression 'crooked generation' is found in A.V. (Deat. xxxii. 5) where the Greel of the LXX. is the
same as here and in Phil．ii．15．jeyed ono入tá is aleo the text in Ps．lxxii．（lexyiii．） 8.

## 41－47．The Firbt Converts and their heifanioti．

41．mpooerteŋrav．Render，there were added on that day about three thousand souls，i．e．to the hundred and twenty who composed the commonity when the day began．In v． 47 it is said＇the Lord added．＇

42．тробкарткройvтes．This means that they allowed nothing to interfere with the further teaching which the Apostles no doubt gave to the newly baptized．The converts would naturally seek to hear all the particulars of the life of Him whom they had accepted as Lord and Christ，and such narratives would form the greatest part of the teach－ ing of the Apostles at the first．
 since the recent discovery and publication of a MS．with that title． But the subjects treated of in this new discovery，a work manifestly of the first or beginning of the second century，are not such as could be spoken of immediately after the Pentecostal outpouring of the Spirit． They relate to the Church when she has taken a firm hold on the world．
kouvovla，that communion，or holding all things common，of which a．more full description is given in the following verses，and which would bind them most closely into one society．

Chrysostom calls this＇an angelic republie＇：тойто mòırela à子ye入ıкो



The omission of the conjunction after koipuviq makes a division between the educational and social duties on one hand，and the strictly devotional on the other．

тй $\kappa \lambda$ daree tov äprov．The earliest title of the Holy Communion and that by which it is mostly spoken of in Scripture．（See Acts xx．7； 1 Cor．x．16，\＆ 8 ．）In consequence of the omission here and elsewhere of any mention of the wine，an argoment has been drawn for communion in one kind．But it is clear from the way in which St Paul speaks of the bread and the cup in the seme breath，as it were， that such a putting asunder of the two parts of the Sagrament which Christ united is unwarranted by the practice of the Church of the Apostles．

It is worth notice that in the＇Tesching of the xII Apostles＇to which allusion has just been made，the directions concerning the cup


tais mpoosuxaits．There is the article here too．Render，the prayers．See note on i． 14.

43．$\pi \operatorname{con}^{\prime} \psi \psi \times \hat{\eta}$ ．Even the mockers were afraid to continue their jeers in the face of such preaching and such lives．

Ttpara kal oqneia．See note on verse 22．The purposes now chielly aimed at by the miracles were to arrest attention and bear
evidence to the new teaching. So they are not here spoken of as đờá $\mu \mathrm{ec}$ s.
 their ears (i. 11) 'This same Jesus shall so come in like manner as ye have seen Him go into hesven,' the disciples were no doubt full of the thought that the return of Jesus was not far distant. Such an opinion spreading among the new disciples would make them ready to resign their worldy goods, and to devote all things to the use of their brethren. For so the spreading of a knowledge of Christ could be made the ohief work of the whole body of believers.
45. ктíp $\mu$ ara...ítípgekes. The Fulg. distinguishes the words by rendering 'possessiones et substantias.' The former of the Greek words seems to imply those means which were at the time actively employed in the acquisition of more wealth; this would include farming and trade stock, \&c., while ünapgts refers rather to realized property (ef. however iv. 34). Soon, it seemed, there would be no need for either, and the produce of their sale was the most convenient form in which the bounty could be used for those who needed it.

кa06tı à tus Xpelav elyev, according as any man had need. We gather from this that the irst converts leept their homes and things needful for themselves, bat held the rest as a trust for the Church to be bestowed whenever need was seen. This is an earlier stage than that in which the money was brought and put at the disposal of the Apostles.

The verb elxey is in the indicative notwithstanding the preseding ка06tı av, because the writer's intention is to describe a fact, viz. that there were persons in need.
 with one accord, \&s.

At the Temple they were likely to meet with the greatest number of devout listeners; and we shall find that the first Christians did not cease to be religious Jews, but held to all the observances of their ancient faith, its feasts, its ritual, and its hours of prayer, as far as they could do so consistently with their allegiance to Jesus. We find (xxi. 20-24) the elders of the Church in Jerusalem urgent on St Paul that he should shew his zeal for the Law by taking upon him the vow of a Nazirite, and should so quiet the scruples of Jews, and of such Christian brethren who were more zealous for the Law than St Paul himself, and the Apostle saw no reason why he should not comply with their request.

к $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ ôvtés tf кат' oikov áptov. Render, breaking bread at home; though the A.V., if rightly understood, gives the sense very well. What is meant is, that the speaially Christian institution of the breaking of bread was not a part of the service in the Temple, but was observed at their own homes, the congregations meeting now at one house, now at another. The Pulg. has 'circa domos.' The connexion of the Lord's Supper with the Passover meal at its institution made the Christian Sacrament essentialiy a service which could be cele-
brated, as on the first occssion it was, in the dining-room of a dwelling. house.

троф $\boldsymbol{\eta}_{\mathrm{s}}$, i.e. their ordinary meals.
diyaldúret, with gladness. Because those who were able to contribute to the support of the poorer members of the Church were delighted to do so, and thus all over-anxious care for the morrow was removed from the whole community.
 end in view, that the faith of Christ should be as widely spread abroad as possible.
47. Xópıv, favour. As it was said of Clrist, 'The common people heard Him gladly' (Mark xii. 37), вo it seems to have been with the Apostles. The first attack made on them is (iv. 1) by the priests, the Captain of the Temple, and the Sadducees.
tovs $\sigma$ whopkyous. For this use of the present participle in relation to a work or condition began, but only as yet in progress and not complete, cf. LXX. Judges xiii. 8 (Manoah's question to the angel), $7 l$
 will be, for God has promised it. So here the men were put into the way of salvation, but not yet saved, though made through hope to be heirs of salvation. The rendering of the text is, and the Lord added day by day together such as were in the way of salvation.

## CHAPTER III.

Readings varying from the Text. recept.

1. Hétpos $\delta \in$. In accordance with the change made in the last verse of chap. ir.
2. गै $\mathbf{y}$ ¢рєv av่тóv with NABCG. The Fulg. has the pronoun twice expressed.
3. aưtô̂ instead of tô̂ laधtutos $\chi \omega \lambda o \hat{v}$ with NABCDE. Fulg. 'cum teneret autem Petrum.'
 Fulg. 'et negastis ante faciem Pilati.'
4. aưvoû after Xplotòv with NABCDE. Vulg. 'Ohristam suum.'
5. трокехє $\frac{1}{}$ favours the Text. recept. in giving 'qui prodicatus est.' But that sense is out of harmony with verse 25 where the people are called viol Tท̂̀s $\delta$ taAntrys. See notes.
 torum, \&e.'
$\alpha^{2} \pi$ ' alôvos before aủrov̂ with NABC. The Vulg. has 'suorum a seeculo prophetarum,' which does not leave $\dot{\alpha} \pi$ ' aîvos to the end of the verse.
 dixit."
6. Omit' $I_{\eta \sigma o v ̃ \nu}$ with $\mathbb{X B C D E}$ and Vulg.

Ch. III. 1-10. Healing of the Lame Man at the Beadtiful Gate of the Temple.

1. aveßalvov, were going up. The verb is in the imperfect tense and to render it exactly adds much to the vividness of the narrative. On the close attaohment always seen between Peter and John, Chrysostom observes, mavtá



The Temple stood above the city on Mt Moriah.
rd icpóv. While earnestly labouring for the spread of Christ's teaching, they did not cast off their regard for that schoolmaster which had been appointed to bring men to Christ.
$\dot{6} c$. The preposition indicates the period of time towards which their movement tended, and may be well rendered for the hour, \&c. They were on their way, and would get there at the time appointed for prayer. This is not the most common use of $\bar{\epsilon} \pi i$ with the accusative of time. It more frequently denotes that space of time over which any action is extended. Cf. Acts xii. 31 é $\pi i \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{j} \rho a s$ $\pi \lambda$ slous = during many days. See Winer-Moulton, pp. 508, 509.

We read in Scripture of three specified hours of prayer in accordance with which the Psalmist speaks of his own custom (Ps. lv. 17), 'Evening, and morning, and at noon will I pray.' And in like manner Daniel prayed 'three times a day' (Dan. vi. 10). Cf. also 'The Teaching of the twelve Apostles,' chap. 8, $\tau \rho l s$ тîs $\eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \mu \bar{\rho} a s$ oũ $\tau \omega$ apocev́ $\chi \in \sigma \theta \varepsilon$. The hour of morning prayer was the third hour, and Peter went up to the hoasetop to pray (Acts x. 9) about the sixth hour, which was noon, and the evening prayer was this to which Peter and John were going up.
évátqv. This orthogxaphy has the support of mach authority. See Tischendorf's Prolegomena, p. 49, ed. 7.

At the Equinox the ninth hour would be three o'clock in the afternoon, bat when the daylight was longer it would be later, so that if there were 18 hours day and 6 hours of darkness, each hour of the day would be an hour and a half long, and the hours of the night only half an hour each. At such time the ninth hour would be at half-past four. See ii. 15 note.
2. kк. Just as when this preposition is used with words directly indicative of time, the idea here contained in it is of a starting-point since which a certain state has been continuous. Cf. Acts xxiv. 10,
 otherwise $\dot{v} \pi \alpha \rho \chi \omega \nu$ is not represented.

Eßaoráqeтo...єтçovv. The imperfect tenses imply that this was done regularly every day, and the position in which he had been daily
bet for the greater part of his forty years' life (see iv. 22) made it certain that he would be widely and well known. In the same fashion Bartimaens eat by the wayside to beg (Mark x. 46).
itpos tìv Oipauy...wpalav. The gateways of the Temple gave admission to the inner court from the court of the Gentiles and the court of the women. There were three on the north and the same number on the south, but the Beautiful Gate meant in this verse was probably the gate on the east which led from the court of the women. The other gates, Josephus says (B.J. จ. 5, 3), were overlaid with gold and silver, but this one was 'made of Corinthian bronze, and mach surpassed in worth those enriched with silver and gold.'
tou aireiv, to ask. This form of construction of the infinitive with rov̂ to indicate purpose is abundantly common in N.T. and LXX. Cf.


 first, for the feeling of mercy which dictates the giving of alms, and then, for the gift itself, as here. For the latter sense see Tobit xii. 8,



From this word comes the English alms, formerly spelt almesse, or avmous, and in German it has become almosen.
3. $\lambda a \beta_{\text {Eiv. }}$ This infinitive is redundant, A similar pleonasm is found Mark i. 17.
4. árevioas 8 E. So of St Paul in a similar case (xip. 9). And doabtless too here Peter 'perceived that the man had faith to be healed." For his first act after his cure-' he entered into the temple'-bespeaks a devout frame of mind, and we may judge that though his infirmity had kept him at the gate for forty years, he had felt earnestly a longing to enter.
5. kreix $\boldsymbol{e}$, gave heed. The verb requires $\tau \delta \nu \nu 0 \hat{v}$, or something similar, to be sapplied with it. The sense is 'turned (his attention).' Cf. EccIus. xxxiv. 2, o $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \chi \omega \bar{y}$ Evviviocs, 'he that pays attention to
 does he pay attention?'
6. oủ vimápXer $\mu$ or. The Apostles, we may see from this, made no claim for themselves upon the contributions of the richer converts. There seems to be a difference intended in the kind of possession, i $\pi \dot{d}^{2} \rho \times \omega$ being used of the worldly belongings, ex $\chi \omega$ of the spiritual gifts, as being the best, and the most surely held.

Render the second clause, 'What I have that give I thee.' We are nowhere told how much time had passed since the day of Pentecost, but it is probable that this was not the first miracle which Peter wrought (see ii. 43). For he speaks as not without experience of what works God will enable him to do. His language is that of firm assurance, 'what I have,' though in a moment he adds 'in the name of Jesus Christ.'

Nagwpalou. According to St John's account, the name Nazareth was included in the title on Christ's crose (John xix. 19), and we can see that the place was despised in the eyes of the Jews (John i. 46) from Nathanael's question to Philip. This despised origin, as well as the shamefol death, of Jesus, was a stumblingblook to the Jews.
हyelpe кal тєрıти́тel. There is some variation in the MSS. here, some having only the last verb. As it stands, the text is exactly the same as the words which Christ nsed (Luke v. 23) at the eure of the paralytic. Hence objectors have alleged that St Luke in the Acts has besed his history here on those recorded words of Jesus. But what is more natural than that St Peter at such a time when speaking and acting in Christ's name should employ Christ's very words?
7. al $\beta$ áress au่тồ кal тd $\sigma \phi$ иpá. These words are found nowhere else in the N.T. They are of a technical character, and their use, together with the other features of exact description of the cripple's case, indicate that we have before us the language of the physician (Col. iv. 14). And it is hardly possible to dwell too strongly on indications of this kind, which indirectly mark in the history something which is likewise noted in the Epistles. Those who would assign the second century as the date of the composition of the Acts, must assame for their supposed writer the keenest appreciation of every slight allasion in the letters of St Paul, and at the same time an ability to let his knowledge peep out only in hints like that which we find in this verse. Such persons, while rejecting all that is miraculous in the story as we have it, ask us to believe in such a writer as would himself be almost a mirrole, for his powers of observation and the skill with which he has employed them.
$\beta$ árss in the LXX. is generally used of some basement or foundation on which a thing may rest, but it occurs with the meaning of this verse in Wisdom ziii. 18, where, in speaking of an idolater, it is said
 $\mu \epsilon y o v$, 'for a good journey onto that which cannot set a foot forward.'
8. ${ }_{\xi}$ galdóncvos, leaping up. Thus manifesting his faith by his instant obedience, though his limbs must have shrivelled with forty years' want of use.
 walking is the sense of this imperfect.
cloĵ $\lambda$ eve, he went in. As we see afterwards, he did not want to leave his benefactors. Beside this, it was the best use he could make of his new powers, to go to the Temple with the other worshippers.



d $\lambda \lambda \dot{o}^{\prime} \mu$ roos. He cannot put his strength sufficiently to exercise by the calm pace of those who have been walking all their lives. His exultant 'leaping' was a part of his 'praising God.'

We can hardly fail to see, if we compare the narrative of this miracle with that of the similar one wrought at Lystra by St Paul (xiv.), to
which we have already referred, that St Luke has used faithfully the materials with which he was furnished by 'eye-witnesses,' and has given the accounts as he received them without any colouring of his own. In this chapter we have a description such as a painter would desire; the scene is brought vividly before us, and all the characters are in lively action. It is just such an account as we find in St Mark's Gospel of the cure of the demoniac child (Mark ix. 14-27), and both are quite in accord with all that we know of St Peter's mode of speaking, and from St Peter it is most probable that the narrative in this chapter (iike the substance of the Second Gospel) is derived. On the contrary, the story of the cure wrought at Lystra by St Paul is told in the fewest possible words and with no touch of the graphic power of which this description is so full. The difference bespeaks the faithfulness of the writer of the Acts, and shews us that he has left the narratives as they came to his hand, without any attempt to stamp on them an individuality of his own.
9. tâs $\delta$ daós, all the people. There was no lack of testimony to the reality of the cure. Many of the witnesses must have known the cripple for years. The Jewish authorities (iv. 16) admit the unimpeachable character of the evidence.
10. treylwaokov. This verb is rendered they took knowledge in iv. 13, and that is the better sense here. It can hardly be intended to say that the whole of the people present knew the man. For the construction which brings from the predicate-sentence its subject and makes it the object in the antecedent clause, ef. below, ix. 20, Eкipuo-

«fós with an aceusative of the aim or purpose. Cf. xxvii. 12, mpos тарахеснабiap, 'for the purpose of wintering in.'

## 11-26. St Peter'b Discodrse to the Orowd.

11. Eohopâvtos. As the name of Solomon was so intimately connected with the Jewish temple, it is natural enough that one of its porches (or cloisters) should be called after him. There is no account of any such porch in Solomon's own temple, bot Josephus tells us (Ant. xx. 9. 7) that there was an eastern porch in Herod's temple called by this name. The mention of this feature in the building is a sign that the writer, from whom St Luke drew, was one acquainted with the localities about which he speaks, and that the account was written before the fall of Jerusalem, or he would not have said 'is called,' or if he had done so would have been convicted of inconsistency of language by those to whom his work was first presented.
${ }_{k} \leqslant \theta a \mu \beta o t$ is in the plural, because the notion of $\lambda a d s$ is a plural one.
12. L $\delta \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{v}$ 反f. Secing, viz. their astonishment, as we may gather from his opening words, $\tau l$ tavadjetc.
aineкplvaro, gave answer, i.e. to their looks, for there had been no words. This word, like the Hebr. עy, is frequently used for the first ntterance of a speaker, unevoked by any question. Cf. (LXX. and Hebrew) Deut. xxi. 7, xxyi. 5, xxvii. 14. So too Acts 7. 8, where

Peter is said to have answered Sapphirs, though she had said nothing, as far as we are told, and where the Apostle's words are a question.
kri roúrq, 'at this man,' as is evidenced by the pronoun being auto at the end of the verse.
tila $6 v y{ }^{2} \mu c t$. As ne had said to the crippled man, so now he makes it clear to the crowd, that the name of Jesus is the power to which the ente is due.

єiveßela. That extreme devotion to God was sometimes conceived to obtain miraculous power for its reward may be gathered from such narratives as the raising of the widow's son by Elijah ( 1 Kings xvii. 24). The mother seeing her son restored to her says, 'Now by this I know that thou art a man of God.' Cf. also Nicodemus' statement, John iii. 2.
 genitive of the infinitive is such a harsh construction after a verb with which a direct infinitive would be expeeted, that it is worth while to give a few illastrations of it, mainly from the LXX. 1 Chron. xvii. 6


 also found Gen. xxxvii. 18 émoy
 are come so soon to-day?' (A.V.). Cf also Acta Andr. Apocryph. 14


13. $\delta$ $\theta$ eds 'A $\beta$ pady к. т. $\lambda$. The crowd of listening Jews must have been sorely troubled to be told that they had been guilty of auch rebellion against the God of their fathers.
raifo avitov, His servant. The use of this word would carry the minds of the hearers back, as St Peter no doubt intended, to Isaiah xlii. 1, 'Behold my servant whom I uphold,' a passage which St Matthew (xii. 18) applies to Jesus.
ท̀pvifoacte When to Pilate's question (John xir. 15), 'Shall I crucify your king?' they had answered, 'We have no king but Caesar.'

ката̀ тро́тштоv. This is a rendering of a Hebrew form ' ${ }^{2}$, and is common in the LXX. Cf. 1 Sam. xiv. 13, xvi. 8; 1 Kings i. 23.

кpivaytos. Render, when he had given sentence to release Him. For Pilate had pronounced Jesus innocent (John xix. 4).
14. todväwov. Whom even the demoniac (Mark i. 24) had confessed to be 'the Holy One of God.'

фovta, i.e. Barabbas, who had committed murder (Mark xv. 7; Lake xxiii. 19). "Avסpa seems here joined with фор $\epsilon a$, as $\not \approx \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi$ os so often is with nouns that signify some occupation which is diseredit-
 have ávip jewpyb́s for a tributary servant, LXX. Gen. ylix. 15, a sort of adscriptus gleba.
 Jesus (Heb. xii. 2) is rendered 'author and finisher of our faith,' and in the same epistle (ii. 10) 'the captain of their salvation.' It is probably in the latter sense that St Peter, whose thoughts are on the resurreotion, uses the word here, thinking of Christ as the firstfraits of them that alept (1 Cor. Xv. 20), but the other sense, that 'in Him was life' (John i. 4), is also embraced in the word.

गेүєเpev, raised, i.e. onee for all.
oi. This pronoun takes up the preceding $d y$, and refers to Jesus, 'whose witnesses we axe.' Not merely of the Resurrection did the Apostles bear witness, but of all Christ's teaching and deeds. Cf. i. 22, where Matthias was chosen to be such a witness.
16. кal tri ти $\pi$ (бтєь к.т. $\lambda$., and on the ground of faith in His name, His name hath made strong this man whom ye see and know. This ase of name = power, and even as an absolute equivalent for God, is very Jewish; cf. iv. 12. The usage grew out of such passages as Ps. evi. 8, 'He saved them for His name's sake." In the literature of the Jews great power was attributed to the name of God even when only inscribed, e.g. as it was said in tradition to have been on the rod of Moses. By this power he is reported to have wrought the miracles in Egypt and in the wilderness. But St Peter's language here explains that it is no suoh power of which he is now speaking, for the name of Jesus does not work the miracle per se, bat only because of the faith of the believer.
 Sicruoy $=$ on account of thy bidding. See Winer-Moulton, p. 491, who explaing it as $=$ 'induced by.'

 is 'the author and finisher of our faith.'
¿גок入тpíav, complete soundness. The word occurs in the LXX. Is.
 tarch.
17. катà äyouav, through ignorance. Ignorance has many degrees and may arise from many causes. The Jewish multitude were ignorant from want of teaching, their rulers from mental perverseness in looking only on one part of the prophecies concerning the Messiah. Yet of both of these it may be said that through ignorance (i.e. want of knowledge, however caused) they crucified Jesus. Compare the words





18. Tdv Xplordv av̉тoû. Render, by the mouth of all the prophets that His Christ should suffer. The pupose of the whole of the Soriptare is to set forth the redemption of men through the suffering of Christ. So that from the first mention of the bruising of the heel of
the seed of the woman (Gen. iii. 15), there had been a constant chain of testimony that the Christ should suffer. The ignorance of the Jews was manifested in this, that they would only see what spake of the sovereignty of the Messiah, and so rejected Him who came to give His life as a ransom for men.
oivas. Emphatic. By turning your evil deed to a purpose of


19. मeтavojivate, repent; seeing how great your offence is, bat yet that ain done in ignorance may be pardoned.
emıorpéyate. Literally, turn again, i.e. from the evil of your ways. So (xi. 21) 'a great number believed and turned unto the Lord.' The phrase 'be converted' of the A. V. has received much augmentation of meaning since 1611.
bsalectinval. A very common word in the LXX. for the blotitingout of offences. The idea is, they are written down, but may be
 Pss. 1. (li.) 1, 11, criii. (cix.) 14; 2 Macc. xii. 42.
otiws äv. These particles cannot be translated 'when the times... shall come,' but 'that the times...may come.' They indicate a purpose, the accomplishment of which still lies in doubt. So the Apostle's argument is, Repent, that your sins may be blotted out, that in this way (i.e. by your penitence) the times of refreshing may come. ӧтws ${ }_{z}^{2} y$ is rendered in this sense (Acts xv. 17), 'That the residue of men might [better may] seek after the Lord." See also Luke ii. 35.

кaцpol àvąu' $\dot{\xi} \epsilon \omega \mathrm{s}$. Literally, 'appointed times of refreshing.' These God hath appointed and keeps in His own power, but the penitence of men can hasten them. They are called 'times of refreshing,' i.e. peace and blessedness, for the Apostle describes them afterwards as the coming of the Christ. But by the prophecies which he quotes he shews that the refreshing is for those only who repent (vers. 23) and hear the prophet whom God sends. The anticipation of a speedy return of Christ from heaven was common among the first believers. St Peter here does not directly state this opinion, but we can see how corrent it was from St Paui's Second Epistle to the Thessalonians, where he finds it necessary to warn the Christians of that Church against the disquiet which the immediate expectation of the second Advent was causing among them.

ảvá $\psi$ ugts is used in LXX. Exod. viii. 15 of the relief which Pharaoh felt when the plague of frogs was removed.
20. kal a $\pi 0 \sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda \eta$. The construction is continued from $\delta \pi \omega s \dot{x}$ in the previous verse. Render, and that He may send.
трокехєцьन $\mu \mathrm{vov}$, the Christ which was appointed for you, even $J$ Jesus. This reading and sense agree with the proof which St Peter presently cites (ver. 25 ), 'Ye are the children of the covenant which God made with our fathers.' The Christ, the Messiah had been ap-
pointed and promised unto the Jewish nation, and now the promise of the covenant is fulfilled in Jesus.
21. Sefoodar. And Peter and the rest could bear witnees that He was gone into heaven, His work on earth being finished.
 second coming. But this phrase, 'the restoration of all things,' is used in two senses in N.T. For it is said (Matt. xvii. 11; Mark ix. 12) that Elias must 'first come and restore all things.' There the beginning of Christ's Kingdom is meant. As Christ's death was for all men's redemption, the restoration of all things may be said to have begun then. In the present verse the words have reference to the time when the course of that restoration shall be completed.
$\dot{\omega} v a d \lambda \eta \sigma \epsilon v$. For the attraction of the relative, see note on i. 1. Render, of which [times] God hath spoken.
22. Maüनins $\mu$ iv, Moses indeed said. Here the Apostle cites the prophecies to which he has been alluding. First from Deut. xviii. 15 (though not quoting the LXX. quite exnetly) he points out that the prophet who had been promised was to be of their brethren, as Moses had been. This was a comparison which the Jews themselves were fond of making, and they often identified the prophet of whom Moses spake with the Messiah. Thus the Midrash Rabbah on Eccl. i. 9 says, 'Habbi Berakhiah in the name of Rabbi Yizkhak [Isaac] eaje: "As was the former redcemer so shall the latter redeemer be." While of the former redeemer it is said (Ezod. iv. 20), "And Moses took his wife and his sons and set them upon an ass," so of the latter : for it says (Zech. ix. 9), "He is lowly and riding upon an ass." And while the former redeemer brought down manna, as it says (Exod. xvi. 4), "Behold I will rain bread from heaven for you," so the latter redeemer will bring down manna. For it says (Ps. lxzii. 16), "There shall be aboundance of corn in the earth." And as the former redeemer caused the well to spring up (see Num. xxi. 17), so the latter redeemer shall also cause the waters to spring up. For it says (Joel iii. 18), "A fountain shall come forth of the house of the Lord, and shall water the valley of Shittim."
is $\ddagger \mu$, like unto me. This is a rendering of the Hebrew $\geqslant$, and is very common in the LXX. Cf. Jud. viii. 18 kai elray 'Ds où ws

dikoúceotc, i.e. those who have 'ears to hear' when the prophet comes and speaks. The next verse shews that all the nation were not included in the 'ye.'
 makes a general reference. We learn (Midrash Shemuel, c. 24) that Samuel was called by the Jews the Rabban, the chief and teacher, of the prophets and there are several reasons why he is put in this foremost place. (1) We never read of a school of the prophets before his time. (2) His mother Hannah is the first person in Holy Writ who speaks of the Messiah (1 Sam. ii. 10), 'God's anointed.' (3)

Jewish tradition says that the man of God who came to Eli (1 Sam. ii. 27) was Elkanah. The Targum on 1 Sam. x. 12, 'But who is their father?' explains father by Rabbi, and refers the word to Samuel, so that the question in that verse would imply, 'Why do you wonder at Saul among the prophets? Who is it that instructs the prophets? Is it not Samuel? And has not Saul been with him just now and been anointed by him?' All this could be said without the speaker having any knowledge that Saul was to be king. For the use of father as = teacher or Rabbi cf. Elisha's cry to Elijah (2 Kings ii. 12), 'My father, my father.'
 also told of these days. The $\pi \rho o$ which is prefixed to the latter verb in the Text. recept. seems to have been introduced with the notion that the words of a prophet must of necessity be predictive. Whereas the prophet was one who spake for God, gave a message in His name, bnt was not necessarily a foreteller of the future.
25. vioi т $\hat{\nu} \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\pi} о ф \eta \tau \bar{\omega} v$. Render, sons of the prophets, i.e. of the same race as they, and hence what they spake is meant for you. For you is the prophet raised up whom Moses foretold.

кai Tग̂s $\delta \mathbf{L a \theta i n} \kappa \eta \mathrm{s}$, and [sons] of the covenant, i.e. heirs to its promises and obligations. So ( 2 Kings xiv. 14) hostages are called literally sons of the pledgings or compacts. LXX. of viol $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma u \mu \mu \xi \epsilon \omega \overline{ }$. So the two enointed ones are called LXX. Zeoh. iv. 14 viol $\tau \hat{\eta} s \omega_{0}-$ тптоs.
$\lambda$ (yov. The quotation is from Gen. xxii. 18, but the LXX. instead

 blessing, and be God's instruments in spreading it abroad.
ivaotingas. The word is used here not of the resurrection of Jesus, but recalling the promise of Moses, cited in $v .22$ that a prophet should be raised up (ávacтíges kúpus) and sent unto the people.
tòv maỉa aúzov, His servant. See note on verse 13.
eỉhopoûvara, to bless you (literally, blessing you), i.e. by the appointed times of refreshing alluded to in verse 19. The way and means to this blessing is to be by the repentance and turning again to which the Apostle has been exhorting them. And to effeet this they must turn away from their iniquities, bnt for doing this he assures them they will find present help in Christ.
Such a construction as this of a present participle after an aorist tense has sometimes been explained as though it were equal to a future. It is better to regard the action expressed by the participle as having begun from the point of time indicated by the verb. So here, the blessing was ready for the faithful as soon as ever Christ was sent. Cf. Winer-Moulton, p. 429.
\&v т̂̂ drootpédetv, in turning away every one of you from his iniquities. This word is very common in the LXX. in this sense.




## OHAPTER IV.

Readings varying from the Text. recept.
6. "Avras к.ч. $\lambda$. All the names in this verse are in the nominative with RAB. The Vulg. has also nominatives but the construction of the previous verse in the Latid brings the words there also into the same case.
8. тô 'I $\sigma \rho a \neq \lambda$ omitted with NAB. The Vulg. also omits, but reads 'Principes populi et seniores, audite.'
 has a participle.
17. $\dot{d} \pi \in \lambda \hat{\eta}$ omitted with NABD . Unrepresented in the Vulg.
18. aưrô̂s omitted with NABDE. The Vulg. gives the pronoun only once, "vocantes eos.'
19. elmov $\pi \rho{ }^{2}$ s avivoús with NABDE , and the Vulg. agrees with this.
24. $\dot{\delta} \theta$ és after $\sigma \dot{v}$ omitted with NAB. Vulg. has 'tu es qui fecisti.'
 rov. This is tbe reading of NABE. The Vulg. in some degree confirms it, having 'qui Spiritu bancto per os patris nostri David, pueri tai.' But the reading is full of difficulty and there is probably some error. The Vulg. would seem to have had tov̀ tatpos $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} y$ after $\sigma \tau \delta \mu a \tau o s$, and, it may be, another $\delta d$ preceding that word. Dr Hort
 that $\sigma \tau 6 \mu a \tau o s ~ \& c$. may be taken in apposition with what precedes, the mouth of David being represented as the mouth of the Holy Ghost.
 civitate ista.'
32. $\dot{\eta}$ omitted before kap8la and $\psi v \times \dot{y}$ with NABD.
 cursives.
34. inv for $\dot{v} x \tilde{\eta} \rho X \in \nu$ with NABF.
36. 'I $\omega \sigma \dot{\eta} \phi$ with NABDE and $V u l g$., also several cursives and some other versions.

## Ce. IV. 1-12. Firgt abregt of the Apobices. Theil Heabing and Defence.

1. $\lambda a \lambda$ oúvtav $8 E$. Some little time must have elapsed since Pentecost, for now the movements of the Apostles have become a matter of concern to the Jewish authorities. See their complaint (v. 28). There is no note of time at the beginning of chap. iii. It need not have been a long period, for news soon spread in the city, as we learn from the events related in the previous chapter.
triornaav, came upon them, i.e. to arrest them. The same word is used (zxiii. 27) of the action of the chief captain. See note there.
of iepeis. Those whose duty it was at the time to take charge of the Temple services, and who probably had taken offence at the multitudes assembled in the Temple court. The division of the priests was into twenty-four courses, each of which was to serve in the Temple for a. week, see 1 Chron. xxiv. 1-19; 2 Chron. xxiif. 8. It was during sach service in the order of his course, that the promise of the birth of John the Baptist was made to Zacharias the priest (Luke i. 5-8). Some versions render high-priests, but these were only gathered to the council on the following day.
ó $\sigma$ тparqyòs qoû iepoû. There is mentioned in the 0 . T. an officez
 (or $\tau 0 \hat{u} \theta \in \hat{v}$ ), ( 1 Chron. ix. 11; 2 Chron. xxxi. 13; Neh, xi. 11). He was not a military officer, but had charge of the guard of priests and Levites who watched the Temple at night. There are two titles given to such an officer in the later writings of the Jews. (1) the memunneh (T. Babl. Tamid t.), a kind of prefect of the Temple guard; and (2) a higher officer called 'the captain of the mountain of the [Lord'b] house.' (T. Babl. Middoth II) Rabbenu Shimshon describes this second officer as 'the Commander who was set over every watch of those that watched in the less sacred portion of the Temple.' He was apparently a civil as well as a religious official, for we find (v. 26) that he goes with 'the officers' to make the second arrest of the Apostles.
oi $\Sigma$ a $\delta$ סovkaion. This was the name of one of the most influential sects among the Jews in our Lord's time. Their name has been variously explained. The Jewish aathorities state that the name, which they write Tsedukim, is derived from Tsadok (Zadok) the proper aame, and that thas they are 'the followers of Zadok.' The Zadok from whom they derive the title is said to have been a disciple of Antigonus of Socho. This Antigonus is the second in order of the Jewish Fathers whose sayings are recorded in the Pirke Aboth, and the commentators thereon mention two of his papils, Baithos and Zadok, to the latter of whom and to his followers they attribute the tesching that 'there was nothing for them in the world to come.' But it is perhaps more probable, from their constant connexion with the priests, that the name of the Sadducees was derived from the more famons Zadok who became high priest in the reign of king Solomon (1 Kings ii. 35). We read of the distinction of his descendants as 'the sons of Zadok,' and 'the priests the Levites of the seed of

Zadok,' even as late as the description of Ezekiel's temple (Ezek. xl. 46, xliv. 15). The probability of this priestly descent of the sect of the Sadducees is strengthened by the way in which they are mentioned Acts $\mathrm{\nabla}$. 17, 'Then rose up the high priest and all they that were with him (which is the sect of the Sadducees).' The derivation which makes their name the plural of the Hebrew adjective Tsaddik, $=$ righteous, has not much authority to support it.
The teaching of the Sadducees is partly described (Acts xxiii. 8). They 'say that there is no resurrection, neither angel nor spirit.' In addition to this they attached no authority to the Oral Law, while the Pharisees maintained that the greater portion thereof had been transmitted to them from Moses. The Sadducees also taught the doctrine of the freedom of the will of men. The statement that they rejected all the Old Testament Scriptuxes except the Pentateueh has no confirmation in Josephus, and has arisen from a confusion of the Saddacees with the Samaritans. Josephus (Antig. xvir. 1. 4) says 'their doctrine is accepted only by a few, but yet by those of the greatest dignity,' a statement folly borne out by the influential position in which we find them when the history of the Acts opens. They play no very prominent part in the Gospel history, because the teaching of Christ while on earth was directed more specially against the formalism and outward show of religion that prevailed among the Pharisees. It is when the doctrine of the resurrection begins to be preached that the hostility of the Saddurees makes itself most apparent.
2. SLatovoípevol. The word is found in LXX. (Eccles. x. 9) of the pain and risk which a man incurs in removing stones. Here the pain is mental, they were sorely grieved. It is used (xvi. 18) of St Paul's feeling when the 'damsel possessed with a spirit of divination' cried after him at Philippi.


 ałtiop $\gamma^{\epsilon \nu \in \sigma \theta a i} d \nu a \sigma \sigma d \sigma e \omega s$.

SiSaiokev. The scribes and priests would have made teaching a monopoly of their own, and would be the more vexed because these

 resurrection from the dead. This would rouse the feelings of the Sadducees. The resurrection is said to be in Jesus, because His resurrection was a pledge that all should rise. 'In Christ all shall be made alive' (1 Cor. xy. 22). The language of the Apostles in the Acts does not dwell on this as a consequence of the resurrection of Jesus, for the Apostles set forth at first what was historisal rather than doctrinal teaching. Their language was a proclamation, not an argument.

Tilv áváoraotv rìv ék vekpôv, the resurrection from the dead. Here this expression seems to mean exactly the same as à áaraãı vexpûy in xxiv. 21, viz. the general resurrection. The latter expression is the more common, being found nine or ten times (in Acts xxiv. 15 modern
editors omit pexp $\hat{y}$ ), and means most frequently the general resuprection, though it is applied to Christ's resurrection in Acts xxvi. 23; Rom. i. 4; while in 1 Cor. xp. 21 it signifies the general resurrection implied in the partioular raising up of Jesus.
 of the general resurrection; and the form in this verse ( $\dot{\eta}$ dyiarafts $\dot{\eta}$ $\left.\epsilon^{2} \kappa v e \kappa \rho \hat{\omega} \nu\right)$ is fonnd again in Luke $x x$. 35, there, as here, signifying the

 ѐк $\boldsymbol{p}$ екрй
When the verb (erclp $\omega$, áviornuc, tec.) is used, the preposition which most usually follows it is $\epsilon x$; commonly $\varepsilon_{x}$ venpiv, now and then $\epsilon \kappa$
 (xiv. 2, xxyii. 64, xxviii. 7).

It appears that the preposition most commonly employed after the verb was also put after the derived noun (as 1 Pet. i. 3); and once or swice the preposition was used, as here, in the adjectival form ( $\dot{\eta}$ è $\kappa$ $v \in \kappa \rho(\underline{v})$ appended to the noun.

Those sentences where the verb is used refer nearly always to Christ's coming up from among the dead, or to some particular rising, like that of Lazarns or John the Baptist; but once in Mark xii. 25 there is a wider sense. Where the noun is found the phrase is nearly always of the general resurrection, thongh the examples given above shew that it is sometimes restricted to our Lord's rising again.
3. тíp $\eta \sigma t v$, ward, safe keeping, i.e. in a prison-house. And it is worth noticing on the use of it, that the Jews only employed imprisonment for this precautionary parpose. It was not a mode of punishment with them, and where we find mention of it so used in the Scripture records, the authorities who inflicted it were not Jewish.

Eomfpa $\bar{\eta} \delta i \eta$, already eventide. The Apostles had gone up to the Temple about the ninth hour, so sundown would soon come on, and the Jews were not allowed to give judgment in the night, while their day ceased at the twelfth hour. The Rabbis foumded the prohibition on Jer. xxi. 12, ' 0 house of David, thus saith the Lord, Execute judgment in the morning.' In Mishna Sanhedrin 1v. 1 it is said: 'Judgments about money may be commenced in the day and concluded in the night, but judgments about life must be began in the day and concluded in the day.' and even the rule about the declaration of the new moon, which was looked on as a judicial prooeeding, is similarly regulated (Mishna Rosh ha.Shanah iII. 1), and it may not be declared unless the examination of the witnesses and all other preliminaries enjoined before its proclamation be completed before dark.

4 mo八入ol $8 \dot{E}$, but many \&c.; i.e. they were not deterred by the arrest of the Apostles.
entortuarav, believed, i.e. on Him (Jesus) whom Peter had set before them as the Prophetwof whom Moses had spozen.
tүсvị่ $\theta$, came to, amounted to. Thus the Christian brotherhood
had gained nearly two thousand adherents since the day of Pentecost (cf. ii. 41).
b. $k \pi l$ тìv auforov, on the morrow, when the investigation was permitted to be held.
 party of the Sadducees was at this time the party of power and influence.
kai tov's үpappaтeis. Not only did the Scribes copy, but they silso expounded the Law. And the teaching of the followers of Jesus would probably differ as much as did His own from the lessons of the Scribes. Cf. Matth. vii. 29.
tv 'Iepoura ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \mu$. This is the preposition in the best MSS. Some of the authorities may have resided away from the city, and had to be summoned. Hence $\sigma u p a \chi \theta \hat{\eta} y a$, , to be gathered together.
6. kal "Avvas $\delta$ dexupevs, and Annas the high-priest was there. The verb in this sentence is understood. Annas (called Ananus in Josephus) son of one Seth was made high-priest (A.D. 7) by the Roman governor Quirinus [Cyrenius], and so continued till A.D. 14 (Joseph. antiq. xyiri. 2. 1). We do not find that he was ever again appointed to the office, though St Luke here calls him high-priest. But the way in which he is mentioned at the time of the trial of Christ, who was brought, as we read, before Annas first (John xviii. 13), and sent by him afterwards bound unto Caiaphas, shews that, though not actual high-priest, yet in the eyes of the people of Jerusalem his position was one which justified them in bringing Jesus to him as soon as he was seized. It is difficult to explain from the words of the New Test. the relation of these two men in their office. Caiaphas is expressly called high-priest by St John, yet we are not told why Christ was not at once brought to him. It may be that one was acting high-priest, while the other was nasi or president of the Sanhedrin. Moreover it is not improbable that Annas, having been high-priest before, and only deposed from the office by the Roman governor Gratus, would, both during the short high-priesthood of his son Eleazar (A.D. 16), and the longer high-priesthood of Caiaphas, his son-in-law (a.d. 25-37), exercise much influence by reason of his age and experience, and might from his former tenure of the office even be spoken of as high-priest. It is clear that he was at the head of one of the most influential Jewish families, for before his death, five of his sons had been high-prieste (Joseph. Antiq. xx. 9. 1). We can see from Luke iii. 2, where both Annas and Caiaphas are said to be high-priests, that there was some laxity in the common use of the title. So far only does the New Testament carry us, but when we come to examine the Old Testament, and the records of later Jewish literature, there seems every reason to conclude that the expressions which seem somewhat hard to reconcile are exactly those which would naturally be employed. We find that Moses, who is himself counted (Ps. xcix. 6) high-priest on the same level with Aaron, anointed not Aaron only, but his sons at the same time (Exod. xl. 12-15) to be high-priests. Also (Numb. xxxi. 6) Phinehas the son of Eleazar is sent to the war against the Midianites with 'the holy
instruments' (i.e. the Urim and Thummim), which shews that he was high-priest at the same time as Eleazar his father. Again in later times (2 Kings xxv. 18) we have montion made of "Seraiah the chief priest and Zephaniah the second priest,' which the Targum explains as 'high-priest and Sagan' or deputy high-priest. The Talmud makea it very clear that there was a special arrangement for providing on some occasions such a deputy for the high-priest. Thus (Mishna Joma r. 1) it says, "Soven days before the day of atonement they remove the high-priest from his house to the chamber of the assessors, and they provide another priest in his place lest any disqualification should befall him.' On this passage Rashi's note is 'to be high-priest instead of $7 \mathrm{im} \mathrm{m}^{\prime}$ : and a little later on in the same treatise (T. B. Joma 39 a) it is said concerning the services of the Day of Atonement: "Rabbi Khanina the Sagan of the priests (and so one qualified to speak on the duties of the office) said: "Why does the Sagan stand on the right hand of the high-priest (when the lots are being cast for the goats)?" The answer is, "So that if any disqualification should befall him, the Sagan may go in (to the Holy of Holies) and perform the service in his stead." Cp. also Midrash Rabbah on Leviticus (par. 20 ad fin.). 'If there was any defilement on Aaron, झleazar served (as high-priest), and if there was any defilement on Eleazar, Ithamar served.' (On the slight matters which caused such ceremonial defilement, see note on x. 28.) And in the same ohapter we find 'Had not Elisheba (Exod. vi. 23, the wife of Aaron) joy in this world who saw five crowns (i.e. subjects for rejoicing) in one day; her brother-in-law (Moses) a king (Deut. xxxiii. 5); her brother (Naashon) nasi, i.e. president of the Sanhedrin; her husband high-priest; her two sons, Sagans of the high-priest; and Phinehas her grandson anointed for the war?' These notices make it clear that from the carliest times down to a period posterior to the date of the Acts, there were occasions, and these not unfrequent, when two men were called high-priests at the same time.

That one who had been high-priest should still retain the title may be seen from the principle laid down in several places in the Talmud, (see Mishna Shekalim vi. 6, ed. princ. Jerus.), viz. that 'you may elevate in a sacred office or service, but you cannot bring down's as with as 'once a Bishop, always a Bishop.' The illustration given is that you might lay the shewbread on a marble table first, and afterwards on a golden one, but the contrary order of proceeding was forbidden, (For another illustration, see note on vi. 3.) Therefore Annas, having been high-priest could, according to Jewish usage, never be called by any lower title.

The relationship between Annas and Caiaphas and the seniority of the former is enough to explain the conduct of the crowd in bringing Jesus to him first: while the omission of the word high-priest (Acts iv. 6) with the name of Caiaphas is no more a proof that he was not also known to be high-priest, as well as Annas, than the words of St Mark's Gospel (xvi. 7), 'Go your way, tell His disciples and Peter' can be made evidence tha* Peter was not one of the disciples. For a similar phrase see chap. $\nabla .29$ and the note there.
cal Kaiódas, and Caiaphas. He was called Joseph Caiaphas (Joseph. Ant. xyII. 22), and was son-in-law of Annas.
kal 'Iwávvŋs, and John. This is the same name as Johanan, and Lightfoot concludes that this person was the famous Johanan ben Zaccai, who by his influence with Vespasian procured permission for many of the Jews to settle in Jamnia (Jafneh) after the destruotion of their city, and himself became head of the synagogue there.
kal 'A入égavסpos, and Alexander, of whom we have no other notice than this. The adoption of a Greek name, and his being by that best known, is a sign that foraign influence was at this time strong among the Jews.
apxиєрaтцкой. The adjective is of rare occurrence. It ocours of the chief priest's dress то èv $\delta \nu \mu a$ тò d́pхєератьки́v in the Acta Philippi in Hellade $\$ 89$ and 23 ; also Joseph. Ant. xi. 8. 2. Here 'the kindred of the high priest' would most likely all of them belong to the sect of the Sadducees.
7. év Tû $\mu$ fow, in the midst. The council or Sanhedrin was assembled in the Beth-din or Judgment-hall.

Ey rolq $\delta$ uvd $\mu \mathrm{E}$, by what power. The noun here is the same which is used often for 'a mighty work,' and so has the force of 'miraculous power.'
 stantly used in the sense of authority. In this second member of the sentence, the literal translation is the most forcible. Cf. Peter's very words in iii. 6.
8. тvépatos áylov. The Spirit of God which had come upon him had changed Peter 'into another man.' Cf. 1 Sam. x. 6.
äpXovtes tov̂ $\lambda$ aov̂. This was the highest tribanal which the Jews possessed.

каl трєб $\beta$ úтєpol. The conncil was composed of the chief priests, i.e. the heads of each of the twenty-four classes into which the priests were divided, the scribes, men who were skilled in all the Jewish law, and the elders, grave and learned men chosen to complete the number, which is stated to have been in all seventy-one.
9. al. This conjunction followed as here by the verb in the indicative=if, as is really the case; and so in sense is equivalent to $\epsilon \pi \epsilon l$, since, but may still be rendered 'if.'
ävaкрьь $\mu \in \theta a$ к.т. $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$. Render, we are examined concerning a good deed done to an impotent man. Both the nouns are without the article. This of itself however is not conclusive, as may be seen below in verse 11, eis кeфanj̀ $\nu$ javias. Not unfrequently after a preposition the article is omitted even where a definite sense is required. But in this verse the definiteness begins in the avizos which follows immediately.
eúep $\boldsymbol{y}_{\epsilon}$ la very often means well-doing, kindness of spirit, generally, but it is used of a concrete act, as here, in 2Macc. ix. $26, d \xi \hat{\omega} \hat{\omega} \mu \mu \nu \eta \mu^{\prime}$ pous $\tau \hat{\omega} \bar{\nu} \epsilon \dot{v} \epsilon \rho \gamma \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$, 'I claim that ge should remember my good actions.'
iv tivi ovios okawotal, by what means this man is made whole. The demonstrative pronoun shonld be expressed in the translation (it is not so in A.V.) for it is emphatically inserted in the Greek. The man was there for all to see (cf. verse 14) and probably St Peter pointed him out as he spake.
$\sigma \in \sigma \omega \sigma \pi a l$. The verb $\sigma \omega j \omega$ primarily refers to the body, and means the keeping of that safe and sound, and out of peril of death. Then it is used for healing, bringing the body into a sound state out of an unsound one. But as disease and death are the consequences of sin, the seriptural use of the word was elevated, and it meant in the end the salvation of the soul.

ípeis érotaupúrate, ye crucified. For though the Roman soldiers were the actual agents in the crucifixion, it was the Jewish people and their rulers who set the Roman power in motion and urged it to the last extremity. The prononn is therefore emphatically inserted.
iv rov́tq. Refer back to the previous èy, and so render, in this name.
11. oûros, this, viz. Jesus.
 has its proper force. The council are fitly called the builders, for on them depended the whole religions and civil government of the people. St Peter, with his mind now enlightened to apply the Scriptures, uses the words of the Psalmist (oxviii. 22) as spoken prophetically of Christ. Christ had already (Matt. xxi, 42) applied these words to Himself and to the way in which He was being rejected of the Jewe, in the close of one of His parebles which the Pharisees felt had been spoken against them.

The rendering of the Psalm by the Apostle does not altogether accord with the words of the LXX.
els kєфa入ो̀ yovias, the head of the comer. Christ, now exalted into heaven, is no longer the despised, but is become the most important, stone in the new building of the Christian society, of. Eph. ii. 20-22. St Peter uses this quotation in his Epistle (1 Pet. ii. 7), and joins with it a passage (Isa. xxviii. 16) where the like figure is employed prophetically of the Messiah, 'the foundation stone laid in Zion.'

For the expression of. LXX. Jerem. xxviii. 26, $\lambda\left(\theta_{0}\right.$ els $\gamma \omega \boldsymbol{l}$ ay and Job xxxviii. 6 ' $\beta$ $\beta a \lambda \omega \dot{y} \lambda i \theta_{0 \nu} \gamma \omega v \in a \hat{o} v$.
12. кal... $\dot{\eta} \sigma \omega т \eta l a$. Render, and salvation is not in any other, i.e. salvation in all the fulness of its conception. St Peter thus intimates that the cure of the lame man is only a sign of the power of salvation for the soul which was in Jesus. The people were to draw from the effect produced by 'Arise and walk,' the conolusion that the same power could as surely give the greater blessing, "thy sins be forgiven thee' (Matt. ix. 5). Cf. on $\sigma \dot{\omega} \zeta \omega$, verse 9 , and the use of $\sigma \omega \theta \hat{\eta} v a t$ immediately.
 means of salvation.
Sef implies the necessity of seeking onr salvation in this name, if we are ever to find it.

## 13-22. Tife Apostles are dismissed onpunished.

13. $\theta$ ewpoivvres. This is not the common verb for seeing, but im. plies that they beheld with some astonishment.
mappnolav, a freedom and readiness of speech not to be expected in unlearned men. This it was which made them wonder.
 not recorded a word of his, that St John had also shewn boldness of speech on this occasion. Another evidence that St Luke has not aimed to report complete speeches of those about whom he writes.

L8twonal. Render, common men. The word signifies plebeian, as opposed to men of noble birth.
entylvookóv te avicoús. - These words have been interpreted as though they meant that the members of the Sanhedrin now for the first time discovered the relation in which the two Apostles stood to Jesus. Those who press such a rendering must overlook the force of the very same perb as used in iii. 10, 'They knew that it was he which sat for alms.' The men of whom this is said had known the oripple for years, but now observed in addition that he was a cripple no longer, though still the same man whom they had so long seen begging. Just so with the Jewish authorities; they could hardly fail to have known the connexion of the preachers with Jesus after the sermon on the Day of Pentecost and the events which followed it, and now they further (eji) notice that as the Master's words had been powerful, so there was like power in the language of those who had been with Him. We are told (John xviii. 15) of one disciple, taken always to be St John himself, that he was known to the high-priest before the Crucifixion.
14. Tóv $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ davepomrov. It has been asked on this verse: Why did the sight of the healed man so utterly confound the judges that they had not a word to say? We may see from what happened afterwards that there were men in the council not without the thought that God was really working through the Apostles. Gamaliel says (v. 39) 'It this work be of God'; and if this feeling operated in him, the recognised head of the Jewish court, it is not unlikely that others were also silent with the consideration that 'haply they might be fighting against God.'

Chrysostom says the miracle spake as forcibly as did the Apostles:


 while the members of the council considered in conference what course should be taken. ovv' $\beta$ '
conference of the Stoic and Epicurean philosophers with St Paul at $\Delta$ thens.
16. yvwaróv, well-known, patent to all. For the word, which is less common in the singular than in the plural, cf. Ecclus. xxi. 7 yow-

тâotv...фavepóv, manifest to all them that dwell in Jerusalem. Becanse all the inhabitants knew the beggar at the Temple-gate, and that he had been lame all his life. There could only be two grounds on which, in reference to the cure of the cripple, the Apostles could be worthy of ponishment: (1) If it were a case of imposture, but this nobody in the council or anywhere else insinuated, or (2) if the miracle had been wrought by some unlawful agency (Deut. xiii.). The question of the Sanhedrin points in this direction, 'By what power have je done this?' But Peter from the first (iii. 13) had ascribed the miracle to the 'God of Abraham, Isaac and Jacob,' and again testifies that it is God through Jesus Christ that hath made the man whole. So that there was no charge possible on the second ground.
17. SLave $\dagger \eta \theta$ g, be spread abroad, i.e. the fame of the miracle and the consequent belief in the divinity of Jesus.
 ing upon. The Apostles were no more to make the name of Jesus the basis and groundwork of their addresses, nor to refer to it as the source of their power.
18. кa入'́cavтes, having called them, i.e. back again into the couneilchamber.
 Lord's strict injunctions that His miracles should not be published abroad (Mark vi. 8; Luke v. 14, \&c.).

Tò ka日6 $\lambda_{o v,}$ at all. This not very common adverb is found in verse
 rò $\pi \hat{u} p$.
 tion to publish the news of Christ's life ard resurrection. The reason why both names are here mentioned may be that each was separately appealed to for a promise to desist. For an instance of like firmness in a good cause cf. 2 Maco. vii. 30.

крivaтє, judge ye, i.e. come to whatever decision you please. Our minds are made up, and 'we are not careful to answer you in this matter.'
20. єौ8apev кal $\eta$ коúrapev, qee saw and heard. For the witness is to be concerning the whole life of Jesus.
21. тробаттє $\lambda_{\eta \sigma a ́ \mu \epsilon v o l, ~ h a v i n g ~ f u r t h e r ~ t h r e a t e n e d . ~ T h e ~ f i r s t ~}^{\text {. }}$ threats mast have keen made as soon as the Apostles were called back into the council-hall, as was suggested in verse 17. They did not see their way to do more than threaten, because the people were sure that the lame man had been healed and that there was no charge
against the Apostles for which they deserved punishment. They could not say that the miracle was untrue, for there was the man standing by, and proving its reality; and they could not inflict a punishment 'for a good deed,' nor could they find any ground for an acusation in the declaration that the man had been healed in the name of Jesus.

On the contrast between the courage of the Apostles and the terror









 punish them, without enraging the multitude. For the form of the
 nareîv.
22. द̀ tôv... Tєनбєpákovta, above forty years old. To one who looked on the circumstances, as St Luke, with a physician's eye (Col. iv. 14), this feature would be most noticeable. For limbs unused shrink and wither, and become disproportionate to the other parts of the frame.
 healing was wrought.' The A. V. rendering $\sigma \eta \mu \epsilon i=p$ by miracle has given somewhat of its sense by using the verb shewed.

## 23-31. The Apostlies releaged, Tefir Pbayer and its Answer.

23. Tpds rovs L8lous, to their ouon company, who were perhaps still abiding in the upper room which they had occupied before Pentecost. Becanse St Peter on a later occasion (xii. 12) made his way, after his delivery from prison, to the house of Mary the mother of John Mark where many were gathered together praying, some have thought that this was the house where the Apostles had dwelt from the first. Such men at such a time would have neither means (see iii. 6) nor inclination to change from house to house, and Christ's injunction (Lake x. 7) 'Go not from house to house' was given with a purpose which the Apostles would be likely to bear in mind and act upon.
24. oi 8 d dкoíravtes, and they, having heard $i t$, vig. the report of the threats.
ทjpar \$wriv, lifted up their voice. The compound verb $\begin{aligned} & \text { ETalpetr }\end{aligned}$ is the more common in classical Greek in this phrase. Both forms are found in the LXX. For alpew фuryip cf. Judges xxi. 2; 1 Sam. xi. 4, and Ėralpear occurs Judges ix. 7; Ruth i. 9, 14. The words of the
prayer which follows have so direct a reference to the circumstances which had just occurred that we cannot interpret otherwise than that to the prayer, attered by the lips of one, all the rest, with one mind, pronounced fervent Amens. 'The author (says Zeller) takes no forbidden liberty when he collects the concordant expressions of individuals into one common expression.'

8\&бтотa, Lond, lit. Master. The mord is not often used of God (as Luke ii. 29) or Christ, but it is worth notice that St Peter (2 Pet. ii. 1) and St Jude (4) apply it to Jesus.
 Recept, is an expository note, meant to explain $\delta \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \pi$ ora.
25. ठ tov̂ matpòs... Tuisós qov. Render, who by the Holy Ghost [through] the mouth of our father David thy servant. See textual note. If through be omitted in this rendering then the latter clause becomes an apposition in explanation of the words 'by the Holy Ghost.'

The Apostle now proceeds to apply the words of the second Psalm, which has been admitted by the Jews themselves to be Messianic, to the circumstances ender which Christ was put to death.

The words of the LXX, are here quoted exactly.
EOvn, the nations, or the Gentiles as it is rendered in verse 27.
The Psalm in itg first application probably referred to some revolf against the king of Israel. We have such a revolt mentioned in David's reign (2 Sam. viii.), where the Syrians, Moabites, Ammonites and other nations were conquered by David, after being in vain rebellion.
27. $k \pi^{3}{ }^{3} \lambda \eta^{\theta} \mathrm{E}$ las, of a truth. This expression is both classical and is also found often in the LXX. as Dan. ii. 8, 47; Job ix. 2, xix. 4, xxxvi. 4; Is. xxyvii. 18, for the Hebrew

The Apostle proceeds to apply the language of the Psalmist to the events which preceded the Crucifixion. Thus the words $\dot{\epsilon} v \tau \hat{1} \pi \sigma \lambda \in$ raúry find a natural place here, as given by the best authorities.

тaî̂a, servant, as in iii. 13.
*xpuras, Thou hast anointed, i.e. by the descent of the Holy Ghost upon Him at His baptism.
'Hpós $\eta \mathrm{s}$. The representative of the rulers of the Jews. This particular Herod was Antipas the son of Herod the Great by his Samaritan wife Malthace. He was tetrarch of Galilee and Perea (Lake iii. 19), and because our Lord belonged to Galilee, Pilate took occasion to send Jesus to be examined by him, as Herod was in Jerusalem to keep the feast of the Passover.
kal Hóvtoos Mulátos, who was the Roman Governor; and so in his person were represented many nations at this time under the sway of Rome. His officials and soldiers would be drawn from all Iands, and the mockery to which Jesus was exposed at their hands might well be described as the rage of the Gentiles.

Pontius Pilate was the sixth Roman procurator of Judma; he was
appointed A.D. 25-6 in the twelfth year of Tiberins, and continued to hold the office till A.D. 36, when he was sent to Rome by Vitellius moder an accusation brought against him by the Samaritans. Of his after life and his death there are many legends, but no history.
28. moเทुणal, to accomplish. God made the passions, which the enemies of Jesus indulged, to be the instruments for working out His will. So men, when they suppose they are ehoosing their own way; have the ends thereof shapen by God, 'rough hew them how they will.' Their misdeeds are made to execute the will of God, yet they are not on that account exempt from blame.
 to the intervening noun $\beta o v \lambda \eta=$ counsel. Such a zeugma is not uncommon. And in $\chi$ el $\rho$ is conveyed the idea of grandeur and majesty, so that the need for a different verb is scarcely felt. For an instance
 Botávas кal ท̈ $\delta \omega \rho$.
29. *mise, look upon. The verb is employed in heathen writinge very often of the oversight and notice of the gods, and is common in the LXX. for God's providentiai care. Cf. Job uxii. 12; Ps. exii. 6; Ezek. viii. 12, end 2 Macc. vii. 6 ó кúptos ó $\theta \in d s$ é $\phi o p \hat{q}$.

סoúdous. The Apostles use this word of themselves, they are Christ's bond-servants. For Jesus the word is raîs. Cf. verse 30. St
 Rom. i. 1 ; Phil. i. 1; Titus i. 1, \&e.
 had promised that this should be given to them (Luke xxi. 15), and they are able to feel (cf. below, verse 31) that His promise is fulfilled.
 stretchest forth Thine hand.' Thus the mighty works were to be a sign and testimony to the words which the Apostles spake, to demonstrate that they were God's words, and that none could do the works which they did except God were with him, (John iii. 2.)
tauסós cov, Thy servant (as in verse 27).
 of all nature, to whom they had appealed (ver. 24), was among them. In their immediate need an immediate answer is vouchsafed, and a token with it that their prayer was heard. Cp. xwi. 26 of the shaking of the prison at Philippi after the prayers of Paul and Silas.

ᄅlákowv. The imperfect tense indicates that they went on preaching, speaking the word which God gave unto them, without regard to the threats of the council.

32-37. Unanimity and Love among the first Cheibitians.
 form of expressing complete accord. So (1 Chron. zii. 38) кal í kara-

 In some MSS. there is an addition to this verse, kal oúx $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{y}$ oidikpoas
 versions and quoted by the Fathers. It has not found its way into the Received Text, but is just such a marginal explanation as a seribe would be sure sooner or later to incorporate.
cal outd eis k.т. $\lambda$., and not one of them said. This is much stronger than the rendering of the A.V. Each felt that he held his possessions only as a trust, and if occasion called for it, they were to be given up. Such love towards one another, Christ had foretold, should be a mark of His disciples (John xiii. 35). All those who have sketched a perfect society, as Plato in his Republic, and Sir Thos. More in his Utopia, have placed among their regulations this kind of commanity of goods which was established by the first Christians. In theory it is the perfection of a commonwealth, but there is need of perfection in the citizens before it can be realized. There can be no question that an expectation of Christ's immediste return from heaven, acting along with the unity of thoughts and fealing, made these men willing to part with their possessions and goods, there being, as we shall see from the case of Ananias, no constraint upon them to do so.
 should have its force. See above on verse 12. The verb is also much stronger than the usual verb 'to give.' It is used for 'paying a debt' (Matth. xviij. 29; Luke vii. 42) and for 'rendering an account' (Matth. sii. 36 ; Hab. xiii. 17) : so that there is implied in it the sense of obligation under which the Apostles so constantly declare themselves placed (cf. above, verse 20).

Xápıs те $\mu$ еүá入ๆ, and great grace (or favour). Like their Master, while experiencing the favour of God, they were also finding favour with men. Cf. Acts v. 13.
 it is essential to the sense. For neither was there, \&c. This was one reason for their favour among men. All could see and admire the spirit of self-sacrifice which was exhibited by what they were doing. See ii. 44, 45 and the notes there.
tais tupds tâv mıтparkoptvou. The language here expressly avoids saying that these men sold all they had. They sold some things, and the sum realized by what was sold was offered to the common store. We never hear that a similar fund was raised in any place except Jerusalem.
35. тapà tov̀s ró8as. To lay a thing at, or under, any one's feet was a signifioant act. Here it denoted that entire control was given to the Apostles over the bestowsl of these sums. For the figure, op. Ps. viii. 6, and Cicero pro Flacco (xxvir. §68) 'ante pedes pratoris in foro expensum est auri pondo centum paullo minus.'

Sı6ifero 8t к.т. ג. Render, and distribution was made unto each according as any had need. There were no doubt many who were not
in need, and they of course lived on their own. The distribution was intended only for the needy, as widows, \&o., and for those who could not otherwise support themselves while they took part, as many did, in the active propagation of the new faith. It may be, too, that some were deprived of the means of support beoause they had become Christians. Cf. the threat of the authorities, John ix. 22.
36. 'I $\omega$ न्रो中. The oldest MSS. give this as the form of the word. Barnabas, who was so called, was afterwards the companion of St Paul in his first missionary journey (Acts xiii, 2), and is often mentioned by St Luke. He was invited by St Paul to join him on his second journey, but as they disagreed about taking John Mark with them, they did not labour again, as far as we know, in the same field, and the writer leaves Barnabas ( xv .39 ) with the mention that 'he took Mark and sailed to Cyprus.'
$\mu e \theta \epsilon \rho \mu \eta v e v o ́ \mu$ cvov. The interpretation is added for the sake of Theophilus, who may have had no knowledge of Hebrew (see on i. 19).
 consolation. The Hebrew noun nebuah is from the same root as the common word for prophet. The title may have been given to Barnabas from his ability as a preacher (xi. 23), though in this he seems (Acts xiv. 12) to have been less prominent than St Paul, as most men mast have been. In describing the work of Barnabas in xi. 23 the verb used ( $\pi a \rho \in \kappa d \lambda \epsilon i$ ), 'he exhorted,' is that from which the noun in
 often translated 'Comforter' when applied to the Holy Ghost, but rendered 'advocate' in 1 John ti. 1 when used of the intercession of Jesus.

Aeutrns, a Levite. In the Holy Land, the Levites had no portion assigned unto them, but were scattered through all the tribes; the same regulation may not, however, have applied to the Levites in other countries; and we are not informed where the field was situated which Barnabas sold. He may also have been a married man, and have held lands from his marriage.

Korphos. The island of Cyprus, still called by the same name, is in the Eastern part of the Mediterranean Sea. We find Jews settled there in the Mracabean times ( 1 Macc. sv. 23). It was one of the places to which Panl and Barnabas went in their missionary journey, and it had been previously visited by some of the Christian teachers who were driven from Jerusslem by the persecution whioh succeeded the death of Stephen (Acts xi. 19).
37. áypout, a field. Joseph is perhaps chosen as an example of the primitive liberality of the Christian community, because there was something remarkable in the kind of gift, or the nature of the sacrifice which he made. And the character of the man, who was to play a part in the history of the Acts, is also set before us by his first recorded action.



Td Xp $\hat{\mu} \mu$, the money, the price realized. The word is seldom found in the singular in this sense. Perhaps it is so used here to indicate the compastness, the entirety of what was brought. It was the sum withont dednction, in contrast to the proceeding which follows in the next chapter.

## CHAPTER V.

Readings varying from the Text. recept.
2. aủrô̂ omitted with NABD.
5. raîta omitted with NABD. So also Vulg. and other versions.
8. Tpois av๋रगे) for aưT $\hat{\eta}$ with NABD.
9. $\epsilon \pi \epsilon$ omitted with $\mathbb{K} B D$. Vulg. has ' Petrus antem ad eam.'
10. $\pi p o ̀ s$ for $\pi a \rho a ̀$ with NABD.
 multa in plebe.'
15. kal eis for kurà with §ABD. Vulg. has 'ita ut in plateas.' $\kappa \lambda$ เvapluv for $\kappa \lambda \lambda \nu \omega ิ \nu$ with NABD . краßártov as in NABD. Vulg. has 'grabatis.'
16. els omitted with NAB. The Vulg. has no preposition.
18. aưTûv omitted with NABD. The Vuig. has no pronoun.
19. $\quad$ ग̂s before vokrds omitted with NABD.
 autem venissent ministri.'
23. $\mu \bar{c} \nu \bar{\nu}$ omitted with NABD.
$E \xi \omega$ omitted with $\mathbb{N A B D E P}$. It is not represented in $V u l g$. kil for $\pi \rho \dot{d}$ with NABD. Vulg. has 'ante.'
24. iepels kai í omitted as in NABD. Vulg. has only 'magistratus templi.'
25. $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \omega \nu$ omitted with stABDEP. It is not represented in Vuig.
28. ou omitted with NAB, also unrepresented in Vulg.
32. aưô̂ omitted with KAD. Vulg. 'et nos sumas testes horum verborum.'


37. ikaroy omitted with NAB. Fulg. has only 'populum.'
38. ädere for éfoare with NABC.
39. Suvíणєote with NBCDE. Fulg. 'poteritis.' aùroús for aùro with NABCDE. Vulg. 'illud.'
40. aứroús omitted with NABC. Vulg. 'eos.'
 Fulg. 'digni habiti sunt pro nomine Jesu contumeliam pati.'


## Ch. V. 1-11. Accotnt of Anantas and Sapphiba.

The narrative with which this ohapter commences is one which none but a veracious narrator would have inserted where it stands. The last chapter concludes with a description of the unity of heart and soul which prevailed among the brethren, and expressly notices that all were filled with the Holy Ghost. But as among the twelve A postles there was a Judas, so into the infant Church there had intruded two at least whose professions were not sincere, and who were unworthy of the gifte of grace which, with the rest, they had received.

We cannot but be surprised that persons like Ananias and Sapphira should have thought it worth while to act as they did. Why join the Christian community at all? Or why not leave it when they found what was required of them? But there is in some characters an innate and incorrigible duplicity. It is clear that there must have been a strong conviction of the trath of Christianity.

The offence of Ananias and Sapphira shewed contempt for God, vanity and ambition in the offenders, and atter disregard of the corruption which they were bringing into the society. Such sin, committed in despite of the light which they possessed, called for a special mark of divine indignation, and to those who, likewise filled with the Spirit, knew all that had been done and why it was done, there is no shock prodaced by the terrible doom of the sinners. Nor is any langaage employed in the narration but the simplest and plainest. A late compiled story would have enlarged and spoken apologetically on the reasons for such a judgenent, and would not have presented us with a bare recital of facts without comment.

1. 'Avavias. The name was common. See Acts ix. 10-17, and xxiii. 2, xxiv. 1. It is the same as Hananiah, Jer. xxviii. 1; Dan. i. 6, 7, dc., where it is the Hebrew name of Shadrach, which is spelt Ananias in the Benedicite, and that form of the name is found Tobit v. 12. It signifies ' one to whom Jehovah has been gracions.'
 the precious stone so called. Similar derivations may be found in Beryllus ( $\beta$ tpundos), and the more common name Margaret ( $\mu a . p \gamma a-$ $\rho(\tau \eta \mathrm{s})$, though the latter may have gone through more than one stage in its passage from a common noun to a proper name.

ктifpa. In verse 3 it is called $\chi$ wplov, a piece of land, but the word may be applied to any hind of property. It is used (Matth, xix. 22) of the young man who had 'great possessions.' The LXX. use it (Hos. ii. 15) of vineyards.
2. tvooploaro, kept back, withheld, bringing only a part and pretending it was the whole. The portion withheld can hardly have been large, or the disproportion between what was offered and the value of the property sold and represented as sacrificed to the common cause would have been too apparent. vooøifonal is rendered (Tit. ii. 10) to purloin, and is used 2 Macc. iv. 32 of the golden vessels which Menelaus stole. It has the stronger sense constantly in classical Greek.
ovvaidins, being privy to it. This is mentioned to shew that the offence was an aggravated one, and had not been committed without deliberation and set parpose. She was a willing accomplice in the intended fraud.
$\pi a p a ̀ ~ \tau o v s ~ \pi \delta \delta 8 a s . . . t e^{2} k \in v$. Thus professing equal devotion with all the others who were making sacrifices for the cause of the faith. We are not told what Ananias and his wife hoped to gain by their act, whether in repatation among the people (ii. 47), or, by giving what was supposed to be their whole estate (which may be implied in the vague word possession), to procure for themselves in perpetrity a maintenance from the common funds. The former ambition was most probably what led to their offence. They thought more of the display made at the Apostles' feet than of the offence before God's eyes. And we know from St Peter's Epistle (2 Pet. ii. 3) that it was soon foretold that men would arise in the Christian community who 'through covetousness would with feigned words make merchandise of ' the society, and at a later date (Jude 11) these men are described as those who run 'greedily after the error of Balaam for reward.' We may therefore be convinoed that in the example of Ansnias we have a typical instance of the kind of offence into which at this time the Christian community was in danger of being tempted.
3. Scati. Stronger probably than the simple ri. 'On what aocount? to what temptation have you listened?' It may be an indication that it would have been possible to resist the evil influence, had Ananias desired to do so.
enlingorv, filled. The idea seems to be that of complete occupation. The heart is so charged and possessed with one purpose, that there is no room left for any other influence. Cf. LXX. Eccles. viii. 11,


бatavâs. The word, which is Hebrew, signifies 'an adversary,' and is especially applied to the prince of evil spirits, as the great adyersary of all good. It is used in LXX. of 1 Kings xi. 14, 23, 25 in its primary sense of an 'adversary' raised up against king Solomon, but in the sense of 'Satan' Fieclus. xxi. 27.
 Holy Ghost that was manifested in the Apostles. It is much to be
noticed how from the first the Apostles disclaim any power in themselves. It is Christ who works the miraoles, the God of Abraham who gives the power of healing, and the Holy Ghost who is grieved by sins like that of Ananias. There is no trace of any seeking after consideration for themselves and their deeds among the records of these Acts of Apostles, and no sign could be more indicative of the earliest age of the Christian Church.
4. oixl $\mu \hat{\mu}$ ov rol $\frac{\mathrm{L}}{\mathrm{q}} \mathrm{evev}$. To bring out the force of the repeated verb render, whiles it remained, did it not remain thine own? that is, there was no compulsion on Ananias to sell it, the only thing expected from him being that, if he were moved to sell, he should honestly set forth what he had done. There seems to have been no necessity to give at all to the common fund unless a man felt that he could well afford to do so, nor to give all that he either had, or had realized by any sale, provided only he made honest declaration of what his gift really was. This is implied in the words which follow, which declare that the sum produced by any sale was at the seller's disposal until he made it over to the common fund.
 and is rendered 'Daniel purposed in his heart'; cf. also Haggai ii. 19. The force of the expression is 'to lay anything (as a plan or a precept) deep in the heart,' and it implies long and stedfast deliberation on the part of this offender. The offence of Ananias was not a case of yielding to a sudden temptation, but the plan had been accepted into the heart, and fostered there till there seemed to be a way of oarrying it out. Satan had filled his heart, and he had made no effort to cast ont the intruder.
oúk Eqعive dy日poírous, thou hast not lied unto men. That is, the grave portion of the offence is not the lie to men, but the lie to God. In verse 3 the apostle said that the deception had been practised towards the Holy Ghost, and so is expressed the Divinity of the third Person of the Trinity.
5. dikoúwv. The present tense seems to indicate the immediate result of the Apostle's words, spoken in the power of the Spirit with which he was filled. Here is no description of a death from apoplexy or mental excitement under the rebuke of the Apostle, but a direct intervention of the divine power.

Terrible as this divine judgment was, we cannot wonder that it should be inflioted, for it was so done to cheok that kind of offence which brought in all the troubles of the early Church, and which though they be not so punished now, when Christ's Church has attained more firm hold on the world, yet would, if not terribly visited in these earlier days, have overthrown the whole work of the Apostles. Of a like character is the apparent severity of the penalty inflicted on Aaron's sons, Nadab and Abihu, at the commencement of the Jewish priesthood (Lev. x. 2); and the way in which Aaron and his family are forbidden to mourn for those whom God so punished may teach us what interpretation to put upon the judgment inflicted on Ananias
and Sapphira. For they were of the members of the infant Church; they had presumed to come nigh unto God and in a wrong spirit. On them, we may conclude, some gifts had been bestowed, and in this they differed from Simon Magus (viii. 20) and Elymas (xiii. 11), with whom they are sometimes compared. So that the words which God spake of Nadab and Abiha may be used of these offenders, 'I will be sanctified in them that come nigh Me.' We see what evils the spirit of greed and hypocrisy wrought in the Corinthian Church, even to the profanation of the Lord's Supper (1 Cor. xi. 17-21). Every good institution would have been thus perverted and, as is said of some in later times (Jude 4), they would have 'turned the grace of God into lasciviousness.' The very communily of goods which here was instituted for a time, was in this way perverted and turned into an argument for a community of all things, which resulted in the vices for which the Nicolaitons are so severely censured (Rev. ii. 6, 15). The death of Ananias and his wife is the finger of God interposed to save His Church from danger, just as He interposed to build it up by stretching forth His hand to heal, and that through the name of His Servant Jesus mighty works might be wrought by the first preachers.
 in LXX. (of some MSS.) in Judges iv. 21 and in Ezek. zxi. 7. It is only used in the N.T. concerning the death of this hasband and wife, and of the end of Herod Agrippa (Acts xii. 23), but is found Acta Andr. et Matth. Apocr. 19 used of men suddenly falling down dead.

фó $\beta$ os $\mu$ fyas. A great fear, which wonld deter those who were not sincere from making a profession of Christianity. This result wonld help the stability of the young commanity, which would have been sorely hindered by hypocritical members.
6. of veírepol, the younger men. Some have thought that already an organized body had been formed whose business it was to take charge of funerals. But it seems unlikely that, at a time when assistance had not been provided to relieve the Apostles from 'serving tables' and distributing the funds to those who needed (vi. 1-4), there should already have been an organization for this less pressing necessity. The ase of another word, $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ caviokou, for these same persons in verge 10 seems to shew that of vewítepot was not an official designation, but that those who are meant are those most able physically to perform such an office as is here described. On the way in which the Jews regarded attention to funeral rites see note on viii. 2.
ovvectelav, wound him up, i,e. in the robe which he was at the moment wearing. The middle voice is used in classical Greek in the sense of 'gathering one's robe about one.'
*aquav. We know from what took place after the Crucifixion that graves were made ready beforehand; and in the caves where the dead were deposited, as we can see from the account of the raising of Lazarus, there (John xi. 43) needed little preparation, for they were closed by the simple means of a stone placed at the cave's moxth. It would not therefore need much time to complete the whole work of
burial. In hot climates burial must needs follow quickly after death. Cf. the brief time which Jehn allowed to pass after Jezebel's death (2 Kinge ix, 34) before he gave orders for her burial.
7. © time enough for the bestowal of the dead body, but yet so short that news of the death of her husband had not reached Sapphira. It may have been that their home was in the country at a distance from Jerusalem, and that the husband alone came in to offer the money by reason of the distance.

Sudotppac is found of a distance in space in LXX. of Gen. xxxii. 16; Ezek, xli. 8, xlv. 2; 2 Macc. xiv. 44, but not of an interval of time.
 space of half an hour, Apocryph. Act. Andrea 14.

kal it yuvi. The construction is broken here. We should have expected an accuaative and infinitive in dependence on érevero. But such interruptions are not uncommon after a clause beginning with |  |
| :---: |
| $\gamma$ | עeтo. Of. Luke viii. 1, 22, ix. 28, \&c. The construction is due to the Hebrew form 'il followed by 1 .

$\mu \mathrm{j}$ ei8via. $\mu \mathrm{m}$ here cannot be held to differ from ov. There is a direct statement of a fact, present, and nothing which can convert the words in any sense into a mere thought or conception.
8. $\mathbf{d} \pi$ ккр $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\mathrm{p}}$, answered. This verb is not unfrequently used both in LXX and N.T. Where no question has preceded, and often where no remark has gone before (see Deut. xxvi. 5, xxvii. 14; Dan. ii. 14, 26; Matth. xi. 25; Luke iii. 16). The peculiarity here is that St Peter's words are not an answer bat a question.

The word is similarly used before a question Aet. Andr. et Matth. Apocr. 26.
тобoúrov, for so much. St Peter mentioned the sum which Ananias had brought in, or perhaps it was still lying on the ground where he had first put it down.
9. Ti $\delta$ тt. This form, whioh occurs also in verse 4 , is to be explained by the ellipsis of $\varepsilon \sigma \pi \tau$. 'Why is it that...'
$\pi \in \varphi a^{\sigma} \sigma u$, to tempt. They would make trial whether the Spirit of the Lord would make their deception known. Nothing could render more manifest their want of faith, their unfitness to be members of the society, than such an attempt,
oil $\pi \delta \delta \epsilon$. The footsteps of the young men as they returned were probably now audible without.
mal Egoloovolv ס6, and they shall carry thee out, i.e. to burial likewise. St Peter, as before, was prompted by the Holy Ghost in what he said, and was enabled to predict the punishment of Sapphira for her peraistent dissembling. We are not told that he knew beforehand what would befal Ananias, but as the Spirit shewed him what was to come on the wife we may perhaps conclude that he knew what the fate of the husband would be also.
 the money, for which they had sinned, had been laid, and where perhaps it was still lying. For we cannot think that St Peter would be willing to mix an offering given in such a hypocritical spirit with the more pure offerings of the other brethren. It may be that as he spake, in verse 8, he pointed to the money still lying there unaccepted, 'Did ye sell the land for so mueh?'
cire $\lambda \theta_{0} \hat{\mu}$ es. The young men came to join the congregation again, for the worship appears not to have cessed during the time between the death of Ananias and the arrival of Sapphira. And this may be the explanation of the wife's ignorance of her husband's fate. None had gone forth but the younger men to bury the dead body.

11. каl єүєveто к.т.入., and great fear came upon the whole Ghurch, and upon all that heard these things.

To produce such a fear as should deter others from a like offence was God's intention in this miracle of punishment. And St Luke seems to have pointed to the reason by making here for the first time any mention of 'the Church' (see note on ii, 47). The true ekא入yola must be froe from such hypocritical professors, or its work could not advance. The lesson was to be stamped into the hearts of all who were fit to be of 'the Church,' though at the same time it would strike deep into the minds of all others who learnt how the Spirit of God had punished the lying lips of those who sought the praise of men rather than that of God.

## 12-16. Miraculous Powens of tege Apostles. Continued Growtif of the Church.

 Hebrew mode of expressing by, and need not necessarily be pressed to imply imposition of hands. Cf. (Josh. xiv. 2) 'By lot was their inheritance, as the Lord commanded by the hand ( $\epsilon \mathrm{p} \chi$ ( $\epsilon \rho \mathrm{p}$ ) of Moses.' Bat as in the description of our Lord's miracles we very often read 'He laid His hands upon a few sick folk' (Mark vi. 5, \&c.), and as it is said of the Apostles (Mark xvi. 18) 'they shall lay their hands on the sick and they shail recover,' it seems better to anderstand the words here of such acts of imposition of hands, though we presently find (ver. 15) that the maltitudes believed that a cure conld be wrought without such an act.
'y'veтo. The imperfect tense, probably to indicate that such occurrences were numerous at the first.
äravtes. The reference in this sentence must be to such assemblies as were held by the Apostles for conference and instruction when they went $u p$ at the usual times of prayer. Thus änapres will signify the whole company assembled on some such occasions, and not embrace every person who had joined the new teaching.

came a recognized meeting－place of those who wished to tell and to hear more of the new teaching．

13．Tヘิv $\delta \mathbf{k}$ 入oum $\hat{\nu}$ ，but of the rest，\＆e．，i．e．of those not yet interested in the movement．The sense is that the assemblies of Christians made the porch of Solomon their special rendezvous when they went up to the Temple，seeing that it was there that the first addresses in the Temple－precincts had been given by St Peter．And while they were so assembled none of the other people who had not yet joined the new community ventured to attach themselves intru－ sively to the Christian body．The verb ко $\lambda \lambda \hat{\sigma} \sigma \theta a \mathrm{is}$ used of Philip （viii．29）when he is commanded＇Go near and join thyself to this chariot，＇where the action meant by it is one that was to press some notice of Philip upon the ernuch．From such intrusion all who were not Christians held back，and left the worshippers in Solomon＇s porch alone．
 fear inspired by what had happened，though it deterred those who might have tried to join the community from other than sincere motives，did not produce an unfavourable feeling among the people， but quite the contrary．

For the English＇magnify＇in the sense of praise cf．the opening of the Magnificat，＇My soul doth magnify the Lord．＇

14．$\pi p o \sigma e \tau\left(\theta_{e n t o, ~ w e r e ~ a d d e d . ~ A n d ~ t h e ~ t e n s e ~ i m p l i e s ~ t h e ~ c o n-~}^{\text {w }}\right.$ tinuous growth of the Church．The addition of this verse makes clear what has just been said about the sense of ко入入â $\sigma \theta a$, that it implied insincere intrusion into the Christian assemblies．For the number of the faithful went on increasing．
 These words are a description of one way in which the new believers gave evidence of their faith．To bring a sick person on a couch to the presence of Jesus was accepted by Him（Mark ii．5）as a sign of true faith，and for the sale of the faith shewn by those who brought him the paralytic was made whole．So here，though we are not told of any cares，we may conclude that to the like faith God would give a like blessing．
etmikitvapiov，on beds．In the east the warm climate made it possible to bring the sick into the open air，as we read more than once in the Gospels．
iva éx shadow might fall on some one of them．Peter is alone mentioned here because he was the most prominent figure，but we are not to conclude that no mighty works were done by the rest．These men who gave such an exhibition of faith have been described（ver．14）as believers in the Lord．There can therefore be no question as to what they regarded as the power which was to heal their sick．They did not believe on Peter，though they magnifed him as the Lord＇s instrument；they did not aseribe healing power to Peter＇s shadow，though it might please God to make that a sacrament of healing，as to Israel in old times

He had made the brazen serpent. They had seen health bestowed through the Apostle by the name of Christ, and to demonstrate their faith in that name, they bring their afflicted friends into the way of salvation.
cäv. The explanation of the cal here is that in the first clanse there is some word or two suppressed. The full idea is 'that as Peter came by they might be in the way and so his shadow,' de.
 word $\pi b \lambda t s$ is not unfrequently used of places which are comparatively amall. So of Nazareth (Matth. ii. 23), Nain (Luke vii. 11) and Arimathea (Luke xxiii. 51 ). With $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \mu \xi \pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu$ cf. Acta Andr. et Matth.


The preposition being omitted before 'I $\overline{\epsilon \rho \sigma \cup \sigma a \lambda \eta \mu \text {, it becomes the }}$
 of motion to a place.
ox ${ }^{\text {doupévovs, troubled, vexed. The word is found also Luke vi. 18, }}$ and nowhere else in N.T. As it ofcurs often in the works of Greek medical writers, it points to Luke as having been a physioian. Cf. for

 $\mu \eta \kappa \epsilon \tau \iota \delta \chi \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta}$.
 that the power of the Apostles extended not only to physical, but also to spiritual maladies. Indeed the whole history being of a supernatural character, the cures wrought on ordinary maladies were of the nature of signs and wonders, and spake of a power which was not human. The power here displayed is that which in Christ's own life was confessed to be that of the Son of God (Lake iv. 40, 41).

Unclean spirits are those which are called wicked ( $\pi$ opmpá) in other parts of the New 'Festament (Matth. xii. 45, de.); and the former epithet is probably applied to them because an unclean life had made the afflicted man the subject of this possession, or because in his state of frenzy he wandered into places where he would incur ceremonial defilement, as the demoniac who had his dwelling among the tombs (Mark v. 3); the latter adjective indicates the evil effects so often patent in the condition of the afficted person, as loss of speech, hearing and other senses, the belief of the Jews being that spirits afficted with such maladies were the cause of the like affiction in human beings.
ätavtes, all of them. For it was only a complete faith which had prompted the bringing them unto the Apostles, and to such faith all things had been promised by Christ (Mark ix. 23).

## 17-32. Abregt of the Twelfe. Their miracolots Deliverance and their Drfence beform ther Sanhedin.

17. draords 86 . The 'rising up' is due to the indignation caused by the spread of the Christian teaching. The word duagtás has this sense of movement in opposition. See below, of the insurrections of

Theadss and Judas ( $\mathrm{vv} .36,37$ ) and in $\mathbf{7} .9$ of the disputante with Stephen.

The rendering should be, but the high-priest rose up. While the multitudes thronged to be healed, the effect on the authorities was to ronse them to opposition.
mávtes oi oiv aujrê. A phrase more comprehensive than that used in iv. 6, 'as many as were of the kindred of the high-priest.' The opposition has had time to gather its forces, and now represents not only the family of Annas, but the heads of the party of the Sadducees.
alpeovs, the sect. It is the word from which our English heresy comes. Bat St Paul uses it of his own mode of worship (though there shewing that the Jews attached an ill meaning to it), in his defence (Acts xxiv. 14) before Felix, 'after the way which they call a sect.' But he employs it without any sense of blame (xxvi. 5) about the Pharisees, and it is used of them also xy. 5 . With a bad sense it is applied to the Nazarenes (xxiv. 5), and similarly xxviii. 22.

It is used disparagingly in Apocr. Act. Phil. in Hellad. 10, 'Tyoous ...今's edioakev rìp aZpegtv $\tau a u ́ \tau \eta \eta$. The words are in the mouth of the Jewish high-priest.
tûv $\Sigma$ a of Josephus concerning the influence of this sect is fully borne out (Ant. xim. 11. 6), that they had the rich on their side. We have no certain evidence in Scriptare that Annas was a Sadducee, but Josephus (Ant. xx. 9. 1) tells us that his son Ananus [or Annas] was of this sect.
gindov, jealousy. This is rather the sense of the word than 'indignation' as A.V. Of coarse the one was bred of the other. But what is here described is an outbreak of party feeling in a body who were jealous of the spread of this teaching about a resurrection.
18. $k \mathrm{ml}$ tovs ditootrinovs. The whole twelve are now arrested. The new teachers must be put down. It is clear from this, thongh St Luke has only mentioned the speeches of Peter, with some slight notice that John also was a speaker, that all the Apostles were busy, and could have been quoted as preachers and teachers had it been any part of the compiler's purpose to write a history of all the Apostles.
 meant to be a temporary imprisonment, till next day when the council could be gathered.
19. äүүe入os $\delta \underset{\text { к. . . } \lambda \text {., but an angel of the Lord by night, de. As }}{ }$ if for a protest against the actions of those who taught that 'there was neither angel nor spirit.' There is no possibility of explaining St Luke's words into anything bat a miraculons deliverance. He gives no word that can be twisted into any other meaning. It was not an earthquake, it was not a friendly human being who interposed to procure the release of the Apostles. The writer readily acknowledges in this very chapter the effect of such intervention on the part of Gamaliel, but he is here speaking of supernatural aid. If it be remarked that the Apostlea make no mention of their miraculous
deliverance when they are called upon for their defence, it may be answered that they in no case dwell on the miracles either wrought by or for them, except where they have been wrought ander the eyes of men and are to be used as eigns of the divine power which was working in and for the Church. To enter on a description of a miracle which had been wrought in the lonely night, as this deliverance had been, and to gronnd their claims to be heard nopo circumstances of which the eyes of those to whom they speak could not bear testimony, is foreign to the whole character of the Apostolic ministry.
Std vuktos. סia cannot have here the sense throughout which is most usual when it is constructed with a genitive. Here the expression means no more than at night, for the release took place at one point of time only. It is found in this sense in xvi. 9.
 was to be no attempt made to conceal their escape. They were to go back to the same place where their most frequent teachings had been given before, and were to continue the same teaching. They are not directed to appeal to the multitude for sympathy, nor to try and excite any feeling against those who had arrested them.
 no more than 'these words of life' (see Winer-Moulton, pp. 297, 298). But this weakens the sense immensely. The Apostles were to preach this new life through the resurrection. It was Christ's own message (John xi. 25) 'I am the Resurrection and the Life.' It was the words of this life which the Sadducees could not away with. Bat spite of all opposition the same teaching about the life to come is to be persisted in.
 as soon as possible after day dawn. They lost no time in obeying the command. How early it was possible for them to come to the Temple we find from the directions in the Talmud concerning the morning sacrifice. It is said (Mishna Joma II. 1) 'The Memanneh (see note on iv. 1) said to them: Go ye out (on to the Temple wall or roof) and see whether the time for killing the sacrifice has arrived. If it had arrived, the outlooker said, "It has flashed forth" (i.e. day has dawned). Matthia ben Shemuel said [that the form of question was], "Has the whole face of the east become lit up as far as to Hebron? And the man answered, Yes." So-that the first sacrifioe took place at the very peep of day.' A like explanation is found Mishna Tamid III. 2.

тараүшо $\mu \in v o s$, having come, i. e. into the council-chamber, to consider what steps to take about their prisoners.
 the Sanhedrin proper. This was evidently deemed to be a matter of the gravest character, for, as we see from Gamaliel's presence, it was not the Sadducees alone who were summoned to the council.

Tilv $\gamma \in p o u \sigma l a v$. The word occurs many times in LXX. of the Pentateuch, and in the Apocryphal books, and is variously rendered elders, council, or senate (see 1 Macc. xii. 6; 2 Macc. i. 10, iv. 44,
xi. 27). The name indicates that they were older men, who probably were invited as assessors to join the council by reason of their age and consequent weight of character. We can find from the Jewish literature that such assessors were often appointed. In the extract Mishna Joma 1. 1, quoted on iv. 6, the word for 'assessors' is parhedrin, i.e. the Greek $\pi \alpha \rho \in \delta \rho o l$, and the adoption of sach a word into the Jewish vocabulary ahews that the institution which it describes was of so permanent a nature as to justify the adoption of a foreign expression to describe it.
 word may refer to some militgry body, or it may have been only some of the Levitical guard who were sent. The same word is used (Luke iv. 20) for the 'minister' of the synagogae.
23. Toùs \$'才akas, the guards, who were of course unconscions that their prisoners were gone.
$\epsilon \pi i t a v$ bupâv. This, the oldest reading, is not the usual mode of expressing by, at, beside. $\epsilon \pi i$ with the genitive usually means upon or over, which can hardly be meant here. We find however $\epsilon \pi i \boldsymbol{j} \boldsymbol{\omega}$
 like sense, LXX. Numb. xi. 10, xii. 5, xxvii. 2.
24. ท้коибמv тov̀s $\lambda$ óyous roútovs, heard these words, i.e. the report of the officers who had been to the prison. ס otparך On this officer see on iv. 1, and on depxiepeîs iv. 23.

8inmópovv, they doubted of them, i.e. they were at a loss about what was said, and did not know what step to take next. It is worthy of notice that when the Apostles are brought before them in the end, the magistrates avoid all questions about how they had been released. They clearly wished to have no more testimony to the supernataral powers which had been so often manifested in connexion with Jesus and His followers. Caiaphas and his party could not be ignorant how Jesus Himself had risen out of His grave to the great terror of the Jowish guard set over it. Holding the opinions which they did, we can quite understand their perplexity and their silence on the subject, at all events before the disciples and the multitude.
25. тарауеноцеvos 8 é tis, and there came one, \&e. The Apostles made no attempt at concealment, and the judgment-hall was at no great distance from the place in which they were teaching.
 teaching, \&c. The words look back to the command of the angel in ver. 20, and shew that Peter and his fellows were obedient thereto. This standing implies the prominent and undaunted position which the Apostles had taken up. They were not like prisoners who had escaped, and so were seeking a place to hide themselves; but like men whose work had been interfered with, and who, as soon as they were able, had come baok to it again.
26. ovं Merà plas, without violence. Nor can we suppose that the Apostles were ac all likely to offer resistance, for their examination
before the council wonld afford them an opportunity of proclaiming the message of the Gospel.




 expected to be in the optative not in the subjunctive mood. The subjunctive is explained as implying more certainty of a result. Here= 'lest they should be stoned,' as surely they would have been. We have already had evidence of the favour with which the disciples were looked upon by the people, and we can see from the account of the death of Stephen that a sudden outbreak of popnlar rage might resalt in the death of him against whom this feeling was displayed. And that the Jewish people were ready enough thus to take the law into their own hands, we can see from the Gospel history (John x. 31-33), and the parables of Jesus speak of such proceedings as though they were of no very rare occurrence (Matth. xxi. 35).
28. тараүүe入iq к.т. ., we strictly charged you. The charge had been given (iv. 18) only to Peter and John, but the magistrates assume that it has been conveyed by them to their companions.

For this manner of expressing intensity, by the dative case of a cognate noun joined to the verb, cf. Luke axii. $15 \varepsilon \pi \iota \theta v \mu l q \in \pi \epsilon \theta \dot{v} \mu \eta \sigma a=$ 'I have earnestly desired.' Other examples are in John iii. 29; Acts xxiii. 14.
$\epsilon_{\pi i}$ тヘ̂ óvónatı тоútu, i.e. resting all yoar teaching upon this name. They go at once to that which is the great offence in their eyes. The name of Jesus of Nazareth, whom they knew to have been crucified, but who was proclaimed to be alive again, and whose followers manifested such mighty works, was the object against which their power was directed.
 of evidence, coming from the mouths of adversaries, that the Apostles had actively fulfilled the first part of Christ's directions (i. 8).

кal $\beta_{0} \lambda_{\ell \in \sigma} \theta_{\mathrm{E}}$ к.т. $\lambda$., and ye wigh to bring this man's blood upon us. It is a marvellous spectacle to see judges take the place of culprits, and deprecate accusation where they would naturally be dealing out penalties. But the invocation of the people before Christ's crucifixion, 'His blood be upon us and upon our children' (Matth. zxvii. 25), was felt by the council to be likely to be brought to fulfilment.
29. kail oi ámócrodol, and the Apostles. It is quite like the style of the New Testament to say 'Peter and the Apostles' (ef. Mark xiv. 7). It is not implied hereby that Peter was excluded from the number of the Apostles, but, as he probably was the chief speaker, his name is singled out for prominence in the narrative (see note on iv. 6). Here again we have evidence that St Luke has made no attempt
to do more than produce for us the substance of such speeches as he notices.
 Peter and John (iv. 19) on a former occasion, though here there is more stress laid on the impossibility of doing otherwise.
 Peter did (iii. 13), that there is no severance of themselves from the worship of the Covenant God of Israel. On the contrary they were teaching that His promise through Moses had now been fulfilled, since in Jesus the promised prophet had appeared. Cf. Deut. xpiii. 15, and St Peter's speech, Acts iij. 22.
öv úpeis к.т. A. Render, whom ye hanged on a tree and slew. This sentence describes the Roman, and not the Jewish mode of execution. By the Jewish law only those who were already dead were to be hanged (Deut. xxi. 22; Josh. x. 26).

In the word 8 iexecploar0e the Apostles point out that the guilt of the Crucifixion was as truly upon the Jews as if they had slain Jesus
 ( x .39 ) by St Peter, and by nobody else in the N.T. He also has $\xi 6-$ $\lambda_{o \nu}=$ tree, for $\sigma$ auvós, a cross, in 1 Pet. ii. 24 , 'He bare our sins in His own body on the tree?
 to rule it is that He may save those who take His yoke upon them.
tŷ $\delta \in \xi t \hat{c}$, by His right hand, as in ii. 33. The right hand is the symbol of might. Of. 'His right hand, and His holy arm, hath gotten Him the victory' (Ps. xoviii. 1).

Soîval $\mu$ ecávolap, for to give repentance, thus offering the way of salvation to all those who were ready to accept it. These words to a Jew would have great significance, for they had a saying (T. B. Sanhedrin 113 a) that salvation was one of the things which God kept in His own power. If Christ then was to bestow this gift on Israel He must be owned by them as God.
 these things, i.e. of the Crucifixion, Resurrection and Ascension.
kal т̀̀ $\pi v \in u \hat{\mu} \mu$ тò ayyov, and so is the Holy Ghost. Christ had said, while alive, concerning the Holy Ghost, 'He shall testify of $\mathrm{Me}^{\text {' }}$ (John xp. 26). And this He now did in the minds of the Apostles by 'bringing all things to their remembrance,' and by enlightening them to see how Christ's life had fulfilled the prophecies, and also in the mighty powers which through the outpouring of the "Spirit they now possessed.
тoîs $\pi$ ctoapxoûrเv, to them that obey Him. Thus the disciples declare that the obedience to God, which at the outset (ver. 29) they had proclaimed as their bounden duty, was also the reason why the Holy Ghost had been bestowed upon them. They leave it to be gathered that what God has done He will do again, and bestow like gifts of grace on others who are willing to obey Him.

33-42. Effict of the Apostles' Defence. Cothsel of Gamaliel. Release and sobseguent Conduct of the Twelve.
33. Sıemplovto. The active voice of this verb is used (LXX. 1 Chron. XX. 3) in its literal sense of 'to saw asunder,' the passive generally in the figurative sense of the rending of the heart. In Acts vii. 54, where the word occars again, tais кapoiacs is added, and it is necessary to supply those words here to complete the sense. The effect described is not the compunction which leads to repentance, but the irritation that results in more furious anger.
${ }^{\prime}$ Bouréovto, they took counsel. Some good MSS. read époúnouro, they wished. The Vulgate has cogitabant.

34 ajvaotds $8 \in$ tis, but there stood up one, \&c. See note on verse 25. Gamaliel rose to oppose the plan or wish.

Tapıraios. It may very well be believed that some small sympathy towards the Christian teachers would be roused in the breast of a Pharisee, because they maintained, as he did, the doctrine of a resarrection, but there is nothing in the speech of this Pharisee beyond a policy of inactivity, bred perhaps of despair.
 the same person who is mentioned (Acts xxii. 3) as the teacher of St Paul. He is known in Jewish writings as Gamaliel ha-Zaken (i.e. the old), and was the grandson of Hillel. He was alive during the time when Herod was beautifying the Temple. For in Tosephta Shabbath siv. we read, 'Rabbi Jose said, It happened that Rabbi Khalaphta went to Rabban Gamaliel (the younger, and grandson of the Gamaliel in our text) to Tiberias, and found him sitting at the table of Rabbi Jochanan ben-Nozaph, and in his (Gamaliel's) hand was the book of Job in Targum (i.e. in the Chaldee paraphrase), and he (Gamaliel) was reading in it. Rabbi Khalaphta said to him, I remember concerning Rabban Gamaliel the elder, the father of thy father, that he was sitting on a step in the Temple mount, and they brought before him the book of Job, in Targum, and he said to the builder, "Sink it (bury it) under this course' of the wall."' This could only have been when the walls were in building.

Gamaliel is said to have died 18 years before the Temple was destroyed.
In T. B. Abodah Zarah 11 a , in allusion to the custom of burning beds, clothes, and other things, at the funerals of great men (see Jer. xxxiv. 5), it is said, When Rabban Gamaliel the elder died, Onkelos the proselyte burned in his honour the worth of 70 ming of Tyrian money.'

So great was Gamaliel's fame that we read (Mishna Sotah 1x. 15) when he died 'the glory of the Torah ceased, and purity and sanctity died out also.' We can therefore understand that he was 'had in repatation among all the people.'
$\beta \rho a x^{i}$ th, a little space, i.e. for a short time. He could then say more unrestrainedly what he wished to say to his colleagues.
rous apepownovs, the men. In Gamaliel's mouth they would not be styled apostles.
35. mporéxete éavtois. The phrase implies the need of thought. Attendite vobis (Vulg.). It is not a warning against some danger that might result. 'Think well over what je mean to do.'
$\ell \pi i$. The preposition seems to go better with $\pi p \sigma \sigma t \chi \in \tau e$ than with $\pi \rho \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \epsilon, \nu$. 'Think well upon these men,' rather than, 'what ye mean to do with these men.'
36. Gevסás, Theudas. Gamaliel proceeds to give illustrations that mere pretenders will come to nought. But about the mention of Theudas much discussion has been raised, because it is declared that the statements of Gamaliel contradiet the facts recorded by Josephus, and therefore cannot be received as historic. In this way discredit would be thrown on all the rest of his speech.
It is true that Josephus mentione a Theudas (Ant. xx. 5. 1) who rose up and professed himself a prophet, in the time when Fadus was procurator of Judma, about 4.D. 45 or 46, and persuaded a great part of the people to take their goods and follow him to the river Jordan, through which he promised he would afford them a miraculous passage. This man, who, with many of his followers, was destroyed, could clearly not be the leader of the revolt whieh took place before that raised by Judas of Galilee in the time of the taxing which took place some few years after our Lord was born. Bat when we turn to the history which Josephus gives of the events which preceded this rebellion of Judas we find him saying (Ant. xpm, 10. 4), 'At this time [i.e. in the days when Varus was president of Syria] there were ten thousand other disorders in Judea, which were like tumults.' Of these innomerable disturbances he gives account of no more than four, but presently in the same chapter says: 'Judæa was full of robberies, and whenever the several companies of the rebels could light upon any one to head them, he was created a king immediately.' Then in a brief space after (Ant. xviri. 1. 1) Josephus proceeds to mention Judas of Galilee, though he calls him sometimee (Ant. xvir. 1. 6, xx. 5. 2; B. J. IT. 8. 1, and 17. 8) a Galilean and sometimes a Gaulonite (xirif. 1. 1), and his rebellion in the days of the taxing. Now amid so many outbreaks, spoken of but not described, there is no violence in supposing that one may have been led by a Theudas, a name not very uncommon, and thus the order of events as stated by Gamaliel would be perfectly correct. The great multitude of the followers of the later Theudas indicates a far larger number than the four hundred of whom Gamaliel speaks. Moreover while Gamaliel's Theadas was killed and his followers dispersed, Josephus says that many of the adherents of his Theudas were slain, and many taken prisoners. There seems, therefore, more reason to identify this Thendas of whom mention is made by Gamaliel with some of the ten thousand rebels whom Josephus speaks of before the time of the census, than to suppose that Gamaliel, who is correct in his account of Judas, has mentioned in the other case a rebel who did not rise till long after the time of which he is speaking.

That such false leaders were numerous and had caused a terror in the minds of the more thoughtful among the Jews we can see from the Jewish literature which has come down to us．Thus（T．B．San－ hedrin 97 b）Rabbi Shemael bar Nachmani on the authority of Rabbi Jonathan，exponnding Habakkuk ii．3，says，＇It means，may his spirit be blown away（perish）whosoever over－anxiously calcolates about the ends．For people have said［in consequence of such calculations］ when the end［so calculated］came，and he［Messish］did not come， that He would never come at all．Yet wait anxiously for Him，for it says，if He tarry wait anxiously for Him．＂We have here the despair－ ing echo of Gamaliel＇s words，＇Let them alone．＇
$\lambda$ fyov etval tiva，saying that he was somebody．Of course each one of these leaders professed himself to be the Messiah，for that was what the people in their distress were ever looking for．

трогкк入ion．This reading is better supported than aporeкo八入tpo $\eta$－ It is not easy to decide which the Vulgate represents by consensit． There is some little degree more of attachment implied in $\pi \rho \rho \sigma \epsilon \mathrm{k} \lambda l \mid \eta \eta$ ．
 the man from his heart．＇

The passive voice is here used in the sense of the middle，＇joined themselves．＂

37．＇Ioú8as $\delta$ Гa入ıגaíos．With this account agrees the history of Josephus（Ant．xyiri．1．1），except，as has been already noticed，he calls Judas 「au入avitis，but as when speaking of the same man again （xx．5．2）he calls him Takiaios，and in the same sentence alludes to the history before narrated，＇as we have shewn in a foregoing book，＇ we can have no hesitation in scoepting Gamaliel＇s story as the correct oze，while at the same time we may learn from this example what value we ought to place on the accuracy of Josephus when we have to weigh his statements against those of the New Testament．
 Luke ii．2．That was rather an enralment or census－taking prelimi－ nary to taxation．The revolt of Judae，about seven years later，was cansed by the actual imposition of a tax．Josephas says of it（xyiri． 1．1）：＇Cyrenius came into Judæa to take an account of their sub－ stance，＇and afterwards：＇Judas said that this taxation was no better than an introdnction to slavery，and exhorted the nation to assert their liberty．＇
 people）after him．
$\mathbf{d} \pi \dot{\omega} \lambda \epsilon \tau 0$, he perished．Josephus gives no notice of the fate of Judas and his party，though he mentions the revolt several times and says （B．J．II 8．1）that this＇Judas was a teacher of a peculiar sect of his own．＇
38．Edv fi．This construction，$=$＇if it should be（and we do not yet know whether it may be）of men＇is followed by $\epsilon$ with the indicative torl in the next verse．The latter form is often used to mean＇if it is
(as indeed it is)'; but we can hardly suppose this to be Gamaliel's meaning, yet he may have employed this form to indicate that he felt there was more to be said in favour of the Apostles, backed as they were by such mighty signs, than could be alleged for previous movements.

ката入uөウ́retal, it will be overthroson.
 them.
 $\chi$ хте е́ситоіs.
 again into the judgment-hall.

סclpartss, having beaten them, as being the guilty parties. (See Deat. xxv. 1-3.)
 count as glory what the world would count as shame. Cf. Gal. vi. 14, 'God forbid that I should glory save in the cross of our Lord Jesus Christ.' This figure of speech (called oxymoron, and consisting in the effective contrast of words opposite in meaning) is common in the New Testament. Op. 2 Cor. vi. 8-10.

บ̇п̀̀ т. 3. Render, for the Name. That name of which St Peter had said (iv. 12), 'There is none other name under heaven, given among men, whereby we must be saved.'
 as in ii. 46. These are the two fields of labour; in the Temple, where they had apparently come to be expected by the converts, and after that public teaching there were other meetings in private houses, whither those might come who could not go to the Temple.

тòv Xpьoт̀̀v 'I $\eta$ roûv, Jesus the Christ, the Messiah, God's Anointed. This is the Name of the previous verse.

## CHAPTER VI.

Readings varying from the Text. recept.
3. a $\gamma$ lou omitted after $\pi v$ vúpatos with NBCD. Vulg. 'sancto.'
8. Xápıros instead of $\pi l \sigma \tau \epsilon \omega \bar{s}$ with NABD. Fulg. 'gratia.'
 verba' only.

[^3]
## Ch. VI. 1-7. Mubmubing abott the Distribution of tee common Fund. Measures for allayino it.

By the confession of the high-priest himself (Acts v. 28) Jerasalem was now filled with the teaching of the Christians, and thus the first step was accomplished in the course which Christ had ordained (i. 8) for the publication of the Gospel. Now, therefore, the historian of the Church's progress turns to deal with other ovents and different persons, because he has to tell of a persecution which cansed Christian missionaries to go forth for the next stage of the work, the spread of the faith through Judæa and Samaria (viii. 1). The means which God employed for this end are not such as an inventor in the second century would have been likely to hit upon, nor such as any writar who merely desired to magnify the Apostles would have adopted. A system for the more effectual relief of the widows among the congregation is devised, and an outburst of popular rage, causing the death of one of the dispensers of the relief-funds, disperses the greater part of the Church of Jerusalem. A person who was free to choose (as an inventor would have been) would scarcely have selected one of the seven deacons for the first Christian martyr, and have left the Apostles out of sight, while giving the history of Stephen. The chcice of such a writer would have surely fallen upon one of the Twelve to be the first to die for the faith.
 refer back to verse 14 of the previons chapter, where we read 'believers were added to the Lord, multitudes ( $\pi \lambda \eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \theta \eta$ ) both of men and women.'
$\pi \lambda \eta \theta v v o v^{2} \omega \boldsymbol{v}$ к.т. $\lambda$. Render, when the number of the disciples was multiplying. The participle is in the present tense, and its meaning should be fully expressed. It was at the time when this sudden increase was in progress that the difficulty arose which led to the murmuring. The numbers of the society increased so rapidly that the superintendence of the relief of the needy claimed the full derotion of the Apostles, and proved in the end more than they conld discharge.
 elassical, but is found in the LXX. of Exodus (xvi. 7, 8, 9, 12) and Numbers (xiii. 5, 10), as well as in Wislom (i. 10, 11) and in Ecelus.
 wickedness.' By the readiness with which the Apostles took measures to remedy what was complained of, we may infer that there had been shewn sufficient canse for complaint. This may easily have come to pass without any fault on the part of the Twelve, simply from the sudden growth of the number of Christians. Chrysostom's remark is

 were either (1) Jews who had been born in countries where Greek was the vernacular, and so did not speak Hebrew, nor join in the Hebrew services of the Jews of the Holy Land, but had synagogues of their own in Jerusalem; or else (2) they were proselytes. In either case they had embraced Christianity as Jews for as vet the Gospel had
been preached to Jews only. That provision was made for a Greek service for the foreign Jews we may see from T. Jerus. Sotah, vII. 1 (Gemara), 'Rabbi Levi, the son of Hithah, went to Cæsarea, and heard the voice of the people saying the Shema (the name given to the Hebrew confession "Hear, O Israel, the Lord thy God, Jehovah is one," from ite first word) in Hellenistio. He desired to prevent them. Rabbi Jose heard of it and was angry, and said, Thus I say, that whosoever does not know how to read it correctly in Hebrew shall not read it at all [in that language], bat does his duty [by reading it] in any language whioh he knows how to speak.'
mpos rovs 'Eßpalous, against the Hebrews. These were the Jews by birth, whose home was in the Holy Land, and who spoke that Aramaic dialect which the N.T. calls Hebrew.
Бtt...ai Xîpat aưrज̂v, because their widows were (overlooked, or) neglected, \&c. Such widows, speaking a foreign language and being desolate, would be the persons most likely to be overlooked amid the increasing number of applicants for help.
 and, from the class of persons on whose behalf the complaint was made, it is clear that it bears the same sense here. The word fiáxovos (deacon) has therefore been used as the name of these officers, whose appointment was at first made that they might have care of and distribute the funds contributed by the rich members for the relief of the needy. The appellation is nowhere directly given to the seven. They are still the seven in xxi. 8. The deacons of the Pastoral Epistles are a later provision. We can nevertheless see from St Stephen's work that the labours of the seven were not confined to relief-duties alone, for he is a. mighty preacher and endued with gifts of the Holy Ghost in the same way as the Apostles. It is deserving of notice that, before we find any special arrangements made for what we now understand by 'divine service,' the regulation of the relief of those in need had become so engrossing a part of the duty of the Twelve as to have thrust aside in some degree the prayers and ministration of the word, which were especially their charge. In these early days they appear to have acted according to St James' teaching (i. 27), 'Pure religion ( $\theta \rho \eta \sigma \kappa$ ela) and undefiled before God and the Frather is this, to visit the fatherless and widows in their affliction, and to keep himself unspotted from the world.'
2. of $\delta \boldsymbol{\omega} \delta \mathbf{\delta \kappa} a$, the Twolve. They had found that there was cause for the complaint, and at once set about providing a remedy.
 attempt was made to gather every one who in Jerusalem called himself a Christian, but that a large and special meeting was convened, before whioh the Apostles laid their plan. The funds had been given by varions persons, and were for the common relief; it was therefore fit that a change in the distributore should be considered in common.
oủx dipeoróv écttv. Render, it is not pleasing (or fit). 'Non est xquum,' Yulg. The duties were not properly distributed. Those
were now engrossed in business duties who alone could be the true exponents of Christ's life and teaching.

ката入є(廿avтas к.т.ג., that we should forsake the Word of God. The verb is a strong one, and implies that the whole time of the Twelve was being consumed by these cares for the temporal wants of the brethren.

Suakoveiv tpantegals, to serve tables, means to preside at the bench or counter where the money was distributed. Cf. the tpdrejac of the money-changers (Matth. xxi. 12), who are themselves called $\tau \rho a \pi \epsilon$ §itac (Matth. xxy. 27).

Stakovetv is to discharge the $\delta$ takovia mentioned in verse 1 .
 selection were committed to the whole body there could hardly fail to be an end put to the oversight and so to the murmuring.
didendol, brethren. One of the earliest names employed in addressing the members of the Church, and particularly suitable to this occasion.

нартироиц'иovs. Literally, attested, well reported of, as in 1 Tim. v. 10. The same word is rendered of good report afterwards in x. 22.
imtá. The number seven was probably fixed on because that was the number of persons chosen to manage public business in Jewish towns. See Mishna Megillah in. 1, 'The men of the city Who dispose of city market-places may buy with the price thereof a synagogne, or if they sell a synagogne, they may bay an ark (to keep the Law in), or if they sell an ark, they may bay wrappers (the ornamental and costly covers in which the Law was rolled) for the Law, and if they sell these wrappers they may buy books (i.e. the Prophets and the Hagiographa), and if they sell books they may bay a copy of the Torah, but if they have sold a Torah they may not buy books,' and so on in the contrary order.

On this ordinance it is said, T. B. Megillah 26 a, " Raba says, This is only applicable when the seven good men of the city sell anything in the presence of the men of the city.'
 They were to be approved both by God and man. Men could judge of their wisdom, and God had in these days shed forth the Spirit on many.
 $\sigma \omega \mu \varepsilon$, , and that appears to be represented by constituamus of the Vulgate. While leaving to the assembled brethren the selection of the men, the Apostles keep some control still with themselves. They certainly would judge best concerning the spiritual fitness of the chosen seven.
 of the word, which explains what is meant by 'to forsake the word of God' in verse 2. Here again we have the word stakovia to describe the Apostle's duty of preaching and teaching. Each office was, if
duly performed, a part of the service which was laid upon the whole Church. Cp. Milton, Sonnet xrv., 'They also serve who only stand and wait.'
4. трогкартєрјоонє, we will give ourselves continually. The word is of frequent use to describe the earnest, stedfast character of the early disciples. Thus i. 14 of their continuance in prayer; ii. 42 of continuing stedfastly in the Apostles' doctrine. Of. also ii. 46 and Rom. xii. 12.
5. кal ทैperev к.т. $\lambda .$, and the saying pleased the whole multitude. The construction ${ }^{\eta} p e \sigma e y ~ t \nu \omega \dot{\pi} t o v$ is not classical but is common in the LXX. Cf. Dent. i. 23; 2 Sam. iii. 36; 1 Kings iii. 10. In 1 Mace.
 $\tau \hat{\omega}$. There was clearly no thought of neglecting any, and when the oversight was known and a remedy proposed all were rejoiced thereat.
 judge of the men's nationality from the names they bear, every one of the seven was of the Grecians. The names are all Greek, and such a choice marks the desire of all the Church to put an end to every cause of complaint, and as it were to say, We know that as we should not wilfully overlook a Greek who was in need, so no Greek Christian would of purpose neglect a Hebrew widow, and to shew our trust we choose Greeks to have the whole oversight of this duty.

Of the men who wers chosen, except Stephen, we hear in future only of Philip (viii. 5) as a preacher in Samaria, and he is supposed to be, and probably is, the same person as 'Philip the evangelist' mentioned xxi. 8.

There is a tradition that Nicolas was the originator of that error of the Nicolaitans against which St John speaks in such condemnatory terms in the Apooalypse (Rev. ii. 6, 15). Irenæus and Tertallian both make this statement, and if there was a Judas among the Apostles, one of the seven may have been an apostate. But even in the early ages of the Church there was much uncertainty about this matter, and there is no very trustworthy evidence for connecting this Nicolas with the licentious body whom St John condemns.
 this description of Nieolas, he was the only proselyte among the seven, but the distinction of such a special addition may have been given to him because he came from Antioch, while the other six were of Jerusalem.
 confirm, as they had proposed to du, the selection made by the congregation.
enéOqкav autois tds xeipas. The laying on of hands thus became the solemn mode of dedication to the ministry of Christ's Church.
7. $\eta$ ügavev, increased, i.e. the word of God was more widely published now that the Apostles were freed from secular cares, and left
to give themselves unto the ministry of the word. (Cf. for the expression xii. 24, xix. 20.)
 these men the sacrifice would be greater than to the ordinary Israelite, for they would experience the fallest weight of the hatred against the Christians, and would lose their status and support, as well as their friends. This is no doubt the reason why such special mention is made of them.
vintikovov tit $\pi$ lotel, became obedient to the faith. As faith in Christ was the first demand made on those who desired to enter the new communion, it is easy to understand how the Christian religion gained from the first the name of 'the Faith.' Cf. xiii. 8, xiv. 22, xvi. 5, xxiv. 24.

## 8-15. Of Stepients Preaciing, Abreet and accelation.

 a desire to make this verse conform to verse 5 .

Svvá $\mu$ єws, power, i.e. of working miraoles, with which he at least among the seven seems to have been endued equally with the Apostles.



9. àvérivav $\delta \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$, but there arose. There is a danger that then in the A. $\mathrm{\nabla}$. may be taken as a mark of time $=\tau d \tau \epsilon$ (as in verse 11).
$\tau \boldsymbol{\tau}$ es $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu . . . \mathrm{kal} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$. As an explanation of occurrence of $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mathrm{twice}$ and no more, it has been suggested that only two synagogues are meant, and that one was that of the Libertini, Cyrenians and Alexaudrians, the other that of the Jews from Cilicia and Asia. But the necessity for the repetition of the $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{y}$ arises because while the first three names represent cities, Rome, Gyrene, and Alexandria, the others Cilicia and Asia are names of districts, and as dimo must therefore be put before Kıacklas the article is needed before the preposition to make


Render: some of them that were of the synagogue called the synagogue of the Libertines and of the Cyrenians and of the Alexandrians. For the number of synagogues in Jerusalem was very great. The $\Delta_{4} \beta t \rho \tau \nu \nu 0$ were most likely the children of some Jews who had been carried captipe to Rome by Pompey (b.c. 63), and had been made freedmen (tibertini) by their captors, and after their return to Jerusalem had formed one congregation and used one synagogue specially. There is an interesting illustration of this severance of congregations among the Jews from a like cause in the description of the modern Jewish communities in Malabar and Cochin. It is in a MS. in the Cambridge University Library (Oo. 1. 47) which was written in 1781. 'At this time are found in their dwelling-places about forty white householders, and in all the other places are black Jews found, and their forefathers were the slaves of the white Jews, and now the black

Jews, as found in all the places, are about five hundred householders, and they have ten synagogues, while the white Jews have only one. And the white Jews dwell all together and their ritual is distinct from that of the black Jews, and they will not count them [the black Jews] among the ten [nccessary for forming a congregation] except a few families of them; but if any of the white Jews go to their [the black Jews'] synagogres, they will admit him as one of the ten.'

On the Jews in Cyrene see note on ii. 10.
There were Jews resident in Alexandria in Christ's time and had been long before, as we learn from the history of the Septuagint version, and in the Talmud we are told they were very numerous. Thus, T. B. Succah 51b, it is said, 'Rabbi Jehudah said: He that has not seen the amphitheatre at Alezandria (apparently used for the Jewish worship) in Eggpt has not seen the glory of Israel. They say it was like a great Basilica with gallery above gallery. Sometimes there were in it double the number of those who went out from Egypt, and there were in it seventy-one seats of gold corresponding to the seventy-one members of the great Sanhedrin, each one of them worth not less than twenty-one myriads of talents of gold, and there was a platform of wood in the midst thereof, and the minister of the synagogue stood upon it with flags in his hand, and when the time [in the service] came that they should answer Amen, then he waved with the flag and all the people answered Amen.' In spite of the exaggeration of the numbers in this story we may be certain from it that there was a very large Jewish population in Alexandria, and that they were likely to have a separate synagogue in Jerusalem. For another portion of this story see note on xriii. 3. See also Joseph. Ant. xuv. 7, § 2 and xiv. 10, § 1.
$\tau \hat{y} \mathrm{y}$ dimò Kı入ıklas. Cilicia was at the S.E. corner of Asia Minor. One of its principal towns was Tarsus, the birthplace of St Panl, and there were no doubt many other Jews there, debcendants of those Jews whom Antiochus the Great introduced into Asia Minor (Joseph. Ant. xir. 3. 4), two thousand families of whom he placed there as well-disposed guardians of the country. St Paul himself may have been one of these.
'Aglas. See note on ii. 9.
ouriŋnouvres, disputing. The word is used of the captions question. ings of the Pharisees (Mark viii. 11) and of the scribes (Mark ix. 14) with Jesus and His disciples.
10. divtuorival, to resist. The very word used in Christ's promise

11. ivefalor, they suborned. Suborn=to provide, but nearly always used in a bad sense. Subornation of perjury is the legal phrase for proouring a person who will take a false oath.
$\lambda$ jeovias, which said. The charge here laid against Stephen is afterwards (verse 14) defined. Blasphemous words against Moses and against God was the construction which these witnesses put upon language which had probably been uttered by Stephen in the same way as Christ had said (John iv. 21), 'The time cometh when ye shall
neither in this mountain, nor yet at Jerusalem, worship the Father.'




 would be easily roused, if they were told that the glory of the Temple was spoken against. It was an object of mach admiration, as we can see from many parts of the Gospels. Cf. Matth. xxiv. 1.
 would need much rousing, their anger was kindled already. Cf. iv. 5.
èmьorávres ouvípтagav, they came upon him and caught him. The words indicate a good deal of violence, and this action is a fit prelude to the still greater outburst when Stephen's defence was concluded (vii. 57).
13. $\mu$ áprupas $\psi$ evótî, false witnesses. Their falseness consists in the perverted turn which they gave to the words of Stephen. Though we have no speech of his hitherto recorded, we can see from the character of his defence in the next chapter that he must have been heard to declare that the worship of God was no longer to be restricted as it had been to the Temple at Jerusalem. And just as in the accusation of Christ (Matth. xxi. 61) the witnesses (called, as here, false, and for a like reason) perverted a saying of Jesus, 'Destroy this temple and in three days I will raise it up,' which St John (ii. 21) explains, into 'I am able to destroy the temple of God and to build it in three days,' so the words of Stephen, which spake of a worship now' 'to be bound to no fixed spot, and fettered by no inflexible externality' (Zeller), were twisted into an utterance against the Temple and the Law, called in ver. 11 blasphemy against Moses and against God; and by the use of these two phrases as equivalent the one to the other, they shew us how God and Moses meant for them no more than their Temple and its ritual.

14. dккпкóapev үáo, for we have heard. No doubt there was'some handle afforded for their statement by St Stephen's language, just as in the case of Jesus Himself. We may gather what the character of that language must have been from vii. 48, 'the Most High dwelleth not in temples made with hands.' And to Jewish people at this time to sever worship from Jerusalem was the same thing as to destroy the Temple. The attenpt which has been made to shew that the charge against Stephen is merely a reproduction of that made against Jesus is seen to be futile when we observe that in Stephen's case the witnesses know nothing of 'the raising up again of the temple,' and that Stephen himself, by not contradicting but explaining their accusation in his defence, points out that their statement had a widely different origin from that which gave cause to the accusation of Jesus.
15. átevicavtes, looking stedfastly, which was what they would naturally do when he was about to make his defence.
 Either because of the dignity which Stephen's natural look displayed -he was calm and undisturbed, confident in his good cause and supported by the Spirit-or as his gaze soon afterwards (vii. 56) beheld the open heavens and the glory of Christ enthroned on high, it may be that this verse speaks of what was supernatural, and that the face of Stephen was already illumined with the radiancy of the new Jerusalem. Chrysostom on this heavenly illumination says oütus

We have the same expression used about St Paul in Acta Pauli et
 also said of him that he was $\chi$ d́ptcos $\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} p \eta s$, as Stephen is described in verse 8 of this chapter.

For a similar phrase see note on vii. 20.

## CHAPTER VII.

Readings varying from the Text. recept.

1. ăpa before tav̂ca omitted with NABC. The Vulg. has nothing to express it.
2. Soûval aưт̣̣ with BCDEP. Vulg. ‘dare illi.'

3. тіोи Alyvitov with NABC. Fulg. 'in universam 届gyptum.'
4. otria els Alyumtov with NABCE.
5. Omit tồ before 'Iworí with BC. In NAE we have $\boldsymbol{\tau} \dot{\prime} \gamma \gamma^{t} p o s$ aútov. Vulg. 'genus ejas.'
6. 'Iakஹ’ß tòv тattpa with NABCDE. Fulg. 'Jacob patrem suom.'

Omit the second aưrov with NABCHP.
15. kal кат $\in \boldsymbol{\eta} \eta$ with NACEP.



 Agypto.'
19. Omit $\mathfrak{j} \mu \omega \hat{\mu}$ after $\pi a \tau \notin \rho a s$ with NBD.

20. Omit aưrố with NABCHP.
21. Ekre日̇vtos $\delta \boldsymbol{E}$ aủtoû with NABCD. Vulg. 'exposito autem illo.'
 En before Epyous omitted with NABCDH. Vulg. has 'in.'
26. Omit avirov̂ after d8eldov̀s with NBC. Vulg. 'fratres' only. orarmplav aưroîs with NABCD. Vulg. 'salutem illis.'
27. '申' ท่ $\mu \omega \bar{\omega}$ with NABCHP.
30. Omit kuplou with NABC. Fulg. has 'angelus' only.
31. Omit $\pi \rho o s_{s}$ aưzóv with NAB. Vulg, has 'vox Domini, dicens.'
32. Omit í $\theta$ còs before 'I $\quad$ adik and 'Iak $\alpha \beta$ with NABC. The Vulg. has 'Deus' in each place.

34. dimoote $1 \lambda \omega$ with NABCDE. Yulg. 'mittam.'

36. Iv $\gamma$ n Alyúnte with NAEHP. Vulg. 'in terra Egypti.'
 aùtov̂ dxov́ $\sigma \epsilon \theta \theta$ omitted with sABHP. Vulg. has 'ipsum audietis.'
39. ky before rais kap $\delta$ fats with $\mathbf{N A B C}$.
40. Eyevero for $\gamma^{\boldsymbol{E} \text { yovep }}$ with NABC .
43. Omit $\boldsymbol{j} \mu \omega \hat{\nu}$ before 'Peфáv with BD. Vulg. has ' vestri.'

46. olk $\varphi$ for $\theta \in \varphi \in$ with $\aleph B D H$. Vulg. has 'Deo.'
48. vaots omitted with NABCDE. Fulg. has 'in manufactis' only.
51. kap $\delta$ tous for $\tau \hat{\eta}$ kap $\delta i \underline{\rho}$ with NACD. Vulg, 'cordibus.'
52. $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$ for $\gamma \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \boldsymbol{\gamma} \eta \sigma \theta \epsilon$ with NABCDE.
66. Siquorypévous with NABC.

On. VII. 1-53. Stephen's Defence.

1. $\boldsymbol{i l \pi t \nu} \mathbf{\delta k}$ к.т. $\lambda .$, and the high-priest said: thus calling on Stephen for his defence.
cl taûta. On $\epsilon i$ with the indicative as a simple particle of interrogation see note on i. 6. The usage is largely confined to St Luke.
 an account of the argument in Stephen's speech and its connexion with the whole design of the writer of the Acts, see Introduction, p. $x$.
 but is not common. It is probably used here because Stephen is about to speak of the several stages of God's manifestation. The
equivalent of these words is applied (John i. 14) to the supreme manifestation in the incarnate Son. 'We beheld His glory, the glory as of the only-begotten of the Father.'
$\tau \bar{\psi} \pi a \tau \rho l \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$, to our father. There is another reading $\dot{\mu} \mu \hat{\omega}$, due probably to the correction of some one who remembered that Stephen was a Greek. But even if he were merely a proselyte he might use this expression, for Abraham is regarded as the father of proselytes. On Genesis xii. 5 , 'the souls which they had gotten [Heb, made] in Haran,' the Targum of Onkelos explains, 'the soula which they (Abraham and his family) had brought to serve the Law,' i.e. made proselytes: and on the same text Berashith Rabbah, p. 39, has: 'Rabbi Eliezer, the son of Zimra, said: If all the men in the worid were to combine to create even a single gaat, they could not infuse into it a soul; and thon sayest, 'The souls which they made.' But these are the proselytes whom they brought in. Yet, if so, why does it say they made them? This is to teach thee that when anybody brings near the stranger, and makes him a proselyte, it is as good as if he had created him.'

Merototap(q. The ancestral home of Abraham is called ' Ur of the Chaldees' (Gen. xi. 31), and it is said (Josh. xxiv. 2, 3) to have been 'on the other side of the flood,' i.e. beyond the Euphrates. It is not possible to determine the site of Ur, bat the most probable opinion seems to be that which places it at Edessa, now called Orfah, and said to have been called Orrha in early times. If this were the place, the journey thence to Charran (O. T. Haran), i. e. Carrhee, would not have been so very formidable for the father of the patriarch to undertake, and at Charran Terah remained till he died (Gen. xi. 32). Abraham, when without his father, could remove with greater ease to the distant Canaan.
mplv $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{7}$ катоь $\hat{\eta} \sigma a t$, before he dwelt. The verb implies a settled residence, though not necessarily a permanent abode. It is nsed (Matth. ii. 23) of Joseph and Mary dwelling at Nazareth, and (Matth. iv. 13) of the less fixed dwelling of Jesus at Capernaum.
3. кal єitev troòs aủróv, and said unto him. It does not appear from the narrative in Geaesis whether there had been some divine communication which carsed the first removal from Ur to Haran. We are only told (xi. 31) that Terah took his family and removed, but as it is there added 'to go into the land of Canaan,' and as in the following chapter, where God's order to remove is expressly given (xii. 1), it is also said that 'they went forth to go into the land of Canasn,' we may reasonably conclude that the first removal had been enjoined by God, and that it was only on account of Terah's age that the country for which they set forth was not reached at once. In Gen. xv. 7 God says 'I am the Lord that brought thee out of Ur of the Chaldees,' language which implies a command given for the first removal. Cp. Neh. ix 7. Gen. xii. 1 should be rendered 'Now the Lord said unto Abram,' not ' had said,' as A. V.
 lated alike in both clauses of the verse. In Gen. xii. 1 the words kab
 the emigrants halted at Haran, their destination was known to be Canaan before they started from Ur. (See Gen, xi. 31.)
4. Xadiolov, of the Chaldaans. The Cheldmans were the people of that country which had Babylon for its capital. The extent of the country signified by 'the land of the Chaldæans' must have varied at different periods.
$\mu$ erì To d $\pi$ ro日aveiv rdv $\pi$, a., after his father was dead. According to the order of the narrative in Genesis, this seems to be so; but when the ages of Terah and Abraham are noticed, it appears that Abraham left Haran before his father's death. For Terah was 70 years old when Abraham was born (Gen, xi. 26), and Abraham was 75 years old when he departed out of Haran (Gen. xii. 4), so that of Terah's 205 years there were yet ( $205-145$ ) = 60 years unexpired when his son went away. On this chronological difficulty Jewish literature has the explanation (Midrash Rabbah on Genesis, cap. 39) that God absolved Abraham from the care of his father, and yet lest Abraham's departure from Terah should lead others to claim the same relaxation of a commandment for themselves, Terah's death is noticed in Holy Writ before Abraham's departure, and it is also added, to explain the mention of death, that 'the wicked (and among them Terah is reckoned, see Josh. xxiv. 2) are called dead while they are alive.'
 of the A.V. is somewhat vague.
cls $\eta \boldsymbol{\eta} v$, in which. The use of els in this way after кaroské $\omega$ and similar verbs is due to the implied idea 'ye have come into and dwell.' Cf. Matth. ii. 23, iv. 13, where the construction is made easy by a previous $\epsilon \lambda \theta \omega v$, which in the present verse mast be mentally supplied.
 it. The first settlement of Abraham in Canaan is said (Gen. xii. 6) to have been at the place of Sichem [Shechem] at the plain [rather, oak] of Moreh. He next dwelt on the east of Bethel, and in both these places he probably purchased land, for he built an altar at each; and on returning from Egypt (xiii. 3) he came 'to the place where his tent had been at the beginning, between Bethel and Hai,' which he hardly could have done anless the land had been his own, for he 'was very rich in caitle.'
on̉ర̇ $\beta \hat{\eta} \mu a$ тoSós, not so much as to set his foot on. The expression is in LXX. Deut. ii. 5. The Iand which God gave to Abraham's seed would be held on a very different tenure from that on which Abraham held that which he bought or hired.
 was first made (Gen. xii. 7) when Abraham was at the place of Sheohem, and in its greater fulness when he returned from Egypt (xiii. 15, 16).
oúk $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { vios avirê tikyou, when he had no ehild. We cannot learn }\end{aligned}$ from Holy Writ how long a time after the promise Abraham lived before Isaac was born, but we can see that it was a long period, for When he went down to Egypt Sarah was a fair woman in the prime of her beauty (Gen. xii. 14), and she was 'wayed old ' (xviii. 12) before her son was born.
 13, 14, though here turned into an indirect narration.
 stated in Genesis; but in Exod. xii. 40, and also by St Paul (Gal. iiti. 17), the time is said to have been four humlred and thirty years. The period is reckoned so as to include part of the lives of the patriarchs in Canaan, and the variation may be accounted for if one number dates back to the first call, and the second only to the departure from Haran; or the one may be reckoned from the time of the covenant of circumcision, and the other from the promise of the land. Or it may be that one is merely a round zamber and the other an attempt at greater exactness. We can come to no certain conclusion in the matter, but we can see that both nombers were current among the Jews, for Josephus (Ant. in 15. 2) makes the time 430 years, and elsewhere (Ant. Ir. 9. 1, and Bell. Jud. v. 9. 4) 400 years.
 construction of the future indicative after ady is not uncommon in
 $\theta$ ths. So too Judges x. 18, xi. 24, \&c. In all these instances a future indicative stands also in the antecedent clanse.

On God's auffering Iarael to be in bondage Chrysostom has ipĝs;

 $\pi \in i p a \sigma \mu o i s$.

Ȩe入cúrovtat, they shall come forth. The first prophecy of this exodus, (Gen. xy. 14) adds $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{d}$ àmoбкєun̂s $\pi \circ \lambda \lambda \hat{\eta} s$, 'with great substance.'
 words are not in the promise given to Abraham, but are taken from Exod. iii. 12, where the original promise is repeated and sent to the Israelites through Moses. The place meant in that verse is Sinai, called there Horeb, the mountain of God. Stephen in his speech combines the two that he may describe the promise in its fulness, and he mentions the worship of God in that place, becanse the one great object of his address is to demonstrate that what is laid to his charge concerning the highest worship of God being no longer restricted to the Temple and Jerusalem, is nothing more than what they were taught by a study of their own history.
8. Sua日ik given the year before Isaac was born (Gen. xvii. 21).
9. โnidcravtes, moved with envy. The same word is ased (xvii. 5) of the hostile feelings of the Jews at Thessalonica against Paul and


divtSovto, they sold. The same word in LXX. Gen. xxxvii. 28.
 (with $\kappa \dot{\nu}$ poos for $\dot{o} \theta \in \dot{\theta} s$ ) is thrice repeated Gen. xxxix. 2, 21, 23, and is used by Stephen to give point to his argument that God's presence is not circamscribed, and so His worship should not be tied to a special place.
10. For the history, see Genesis xxxix.-xli.

ทi $\gamma o f y$ evov. This same word is employed abont Joseph in Ecclus.


xoprífpara, sustenance. The word is generally used of food for cattle rather than men. See LXX. Gen. xxiv. 25, 32, de. But we may suppose that, though in the history the sufferings of the people are most noticed, the famine also affected the supplies of cattle-food, and the one word is used to embrace all.
12. övta outla els Alyurtov, that there was corn in Egypt. The force of the preposition implies 'to be had by going down into Egypt.' See above on verse 4.
 'if a fool be filled with meat.' But it is not a common word, which will account for rita taking its place in later MSS.
spwitov, first, i.e. before he himself went away from Canaan into Egypt.
13. aveyvoplot $\boldsymbol{\eta}$, was made known. The verb used in the LXX. (Gen. xlv. 1) of this event.
фavepòv kyevero, became known. The LXX. has akouctòv è $\gamma$ tpero, 'it was heard of,' but this is in reference to the report of the coming of Joseph's brethren.


 tion of the Hebrew $\underset{\underset{Z}{2}}{ }$, the idea being 'they went down [consisting] in so many souls.'

The namber, threescore and fifteen, is taken from the LXX. In the Hebrew (Gen. xlvi. 8-27) the number is but seventy, including Jacob himself. The five additional names given in the LXX. are Machir the son and Galaad the grandson of Manasseh, and the two sons of Ephraim, Taam and Soutalaam, with Soutalaam's son, Edom. So in Exodus i. 5 the Hebrew has 70, and the LXX. 75. There were many traditions current on this subject, and the Rabbis notice too that 69 persons (they exclude Jacob) are reckoned for 70 in the account given Gen. xivi. In the Midrash Shemuel, o. 32, there are
various suggestions thrown out. First it is said the one wanting was Jochebed, who became wife of Amram and mother of Moses, for it is mentioned (Numb. xxvi. 59) that she was a daughter of Levi born in Egypt, and the tradition is that she was born 'between the walls,' i.e. just as the people were entering Egypt, and so she is to be counted in the number. Another tradition is attached to Gen. Ilvi. 23, 'The sons of Dan, Hushim.' As the last word is a plural form, and sons are spoken of in the verse, therefore it is thought that there were two Hushim, an elder and a younger. Atso (T. B. Baba Bathra 123a ad $f i n$.) there is mentioned the tradition that there was a twin with Dinah. We may thus see that there were traditions current which probably were well known to the translators of the LXX., and gave rise to their number. They however are not consistent, for in Deateronomy ( x . 22) they give 70 as the number which went down into Egypt. Stephen, as was to be expected from the other quotations in this book, and also because he was a Grecian Jew, follows the LXX.
 whom God had chosen to himself was in Egypt, a way from the land of promise, and remained there for a long period, yet God was with them in their exile, and His worship was preserved for the whole time. This seems the point which Stephen desires to emphasize by so frequent a repetition of the words 'into Egypt.'
 fathers. Of the transportation of the bodies of the patriarchs to Canaan we have no record in Holy Writ. Josephus (Ant. II. 8. 2) says 'the posterity and sons of these men, after some time, carried their bodies and buried them at Hebron." In the diseussion of Exodus xiii. 19 Carry up my bones away hence with you, it is said (Mechilta, ed. Weiss, 1865, Vienna, 8vo. p. 30) that the bodies of the patriarchs were carried out of Egypt with the returning Israelites, and it is argued that this is implied in the expression with you, which Moses quotes as uttered by Joseph, who must have known that his brethren to whom he was speaking would all be dead before the exodus. Therefore with you could only be used if their bodies were to be transported as well es his own.
16. els $\Sigma v x \notin$, to Sychem, i.e. the O. Test. 'Shechem.'
$\boldsymbol{e v} \boldsymbol{\Sigma v x} \boldsymbol{j}_{\mu}$, in Sychem. The place and the son of Emmor had the same name, the place from the man or vice versa. And hence came the substitution of $\tau 0 \hat{y}$ for $\xi \nu$.

The statement in this verse about Abraham's purchase of land from Emmor appears incapable of being reconciled with the record of the old Testament. There we find (Gen. xlix. 30) that Abraham bought the field and cave of Macbpelah, which is before Mamre (i.e. Hebron), from Ephron the Hittite. This is there spoken of as the general burial-place of the family; there were buried Abraham and Sarah, Isaac and Rebekah, and Jacob's wife Leah. And of Jacob we read (Gen. xxxiii. 19) ' he bought a parcel of a field where he had spread his tent, at the hand of the children of Hamor, Shechem's father.'

We are not told that this was for a burial-place, and it is rather to be judged that it was not so, becanse it is added 'he erected there an altar.' Moreover it is in Mackpelah that Jacob desires to be buried (Gen, xlvii. 30, xlix, 30) and is buried (1.13). We have seen (note on verse 5) that 'the place of Shechem' was one of the resting-places of Abraham when he came first into Canaan, and that probably he bought a possession there, for he built an altar. The bones of Joseph were laid in Shechem (Josh. xxiv. 32). There were two burial-places connected with the patriarchal families. In the report of Stephen's speech we find that Abrabam is said to have bought what Jacob really parchased, but there may also have been land purchased by Abraham 'in the place of Shechem.' We have only to suppose that in his speech Stephen, speaking of the burial of the whole family, mentioned, in accordance with the tradition of Josephus, the burial-place of the fathers in Hebron, which Abraham bought, and noticed the laying of Joseph's bones at Shechem which Jacob bought, and that into the report of what he said a confusion has been introduced by the insertion of Abraham's name for Jacob's in the abbreviated narrative. We have pointed out in several places that the speeches recorded can be no more than abstracts of what was said, and the degree of inaccuracy here apparent might readily be imported in the formation of such an abstract, and yot the original speech have correctly reported all the traditions.

Stephen dwells on 'Shechem' in the same way as before he had dwelt on 'Egypt,' to mark that in the ancient days other places were held in reverence by the chosen people, and that of old God had been worshipped in Shechem, though at the time when he was speaking it was the home of their enemies the Samaritans.
 nigh, i.e. the time for its fulfilment. The fathers 'all died in faith, not having received the promises, but having seen them afar off,' Heb. xi. 13.

ग्रs, which. For the attraction, see note on i. 1.
 (Matth. xip. 7) of the promise made by Herod to the daughter of

 have vowed.' And in verse 26 immediately following we have the same various reading as in our text, $\omega^{\mu} \mu \sigma \sigma a$ and $\dot{\omega} \mu \nu \lambda \gamma \eta \kappa \alpha$, the latter being the text in Trommius, the former the variation; in Holmes and Parsons this arrangement is reversed, while Tischendorf only gives ぁнгла.
 argument. God's blessing went with them into Egypt (Exod. i. 7, 12). The number of those who came out of Egypt was (Exod. xii. 37) 'six hundred thousand on foot that were men, besides children.'

 and, dc. The expression is from the LXX. (Exod. i. 10), кaraбoфибف$\mu \epsilon \theta a$ airoís are the words of the new king.
\&xákorev rov̀s matipas, he evil entreated our fathers. In the account of the taskmasters, the LXX. says they were appointed tha
 people according to the record in Exodus, Josephus adds (Ant. II. 9. 1) that the Egyptians 'made them to cut a great many channels for the river, and set them to build pyramids; forced them to learn all sorts of mechanical arts and to accustom themselves to hard labour.'
 to be cast out. The words are rather a description of what the Egyptian king did in his tyranny (Exod. i. 22), than (as A. V.) of what the Israelites were driven to by their despair.

With the genitival infinitive in this clause, expressive of that wherein the кíкшбts consisted, cf. 1 Kings xvi. 33, кal $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon \theta_{\eta \kappa \epsilon}$
 'I $\sigma \rho a \hat{n}$.
 is used, in the active voice, three times (Exod. i. 17, 18, 22) of the conduct of the midwives in saving the children alive. Cf, also the remarkable use of the word in Luke xvii. 33.
 the sight of) God.' This is a Hebrew mode of expressing a high degree of any quality. Thus (Jonah iii. 3) 'Nineveh was an exceeding great city' is 'a city great unto God' Similar instances are found Gen. x. 9, xxiii. 6, xxx. 8, de. Cf. also 1 Cor. ix. 2; 2 Cor. x. 4. In the Pirke de-Rabbi Eliezer, c. 48, we have 'The parents of Moses saw his face as (that of) an angel of God.'
21. dveldato, took him up. The word of the LXX. (Exod. ii. 5). Jewish tradition says that the king had no son, and so Moses was designed by the king's daughter to suceeed to the kingdom. See Josephus (Ant. I. 9. 7), where she speaks of him as 'a child of a divine form and generous mind.'
 expected if he were designed for the kingdom. The wisdom on which the Jewish traditions most dwell is the power of magic, and such knowledge as Pharaoh's wise men are represented as having in the book of Exodus.

गํ $8 \mathbf{E x} . .$. av่тviv, and was mighty in his words and deeds. Josephus (Ant. II. 10. 2) telis that Moses was a great captain among the Egyptians and led that people to victory against the Ethiopians.
 forty years old. The verb intimates that the forty years were just being completed. For the fixing of this time we have no authority in the Old Testament. We learn thence that Moses was eighty years old when he was sent to speak before Pharaoh for the deliverance of
the Israelites (Exod. vii. 7), and that he was a hondred and twenty years old when he died (Deut. xxxiv. 7). In Midrash Tanchuma on Exodus ii. 6, we are told "Moses was in the palace of Pharaoh twenty years, but some say forty years, and forty years in Midian, and forty years in the wilderness.' Stephen's words agree with this tradition, which no doubt was known in his day to every Jew.
a $v^{\prime} \beta_{\eta} k \pi i$ riv cap $\delta i \alpha v$. The phrase is not classical, but is found in the LXX. frequently, as 2 Kings xii. 4; Is. lxv. 16; Jer. iii. 16 and
 oov, 'in that day shall things come into thy heart.'
emorktqaodat to visit. The same verb is used in Luke vii. 16, 'God hath visited His people,' and means to look upon generally with kindness (ef. $\epsilon \pi \sigma \sigma \kappa \epsilon \pi \tau \epsilon \sigma \theta$ au, James i. 27), and this is the old sense of the English visit. See Shaksp. Rich. II. I. 3. 275:
"All places that the eye of heaven visits."
 the suffering Jews in the time of Judas Maccabæus, though some MSS. there give каталатои́ $\mu \in \gamma_{0}$ = $=$ downtrodden.
mard $\xi \mathrm{gas}$, having smitten, i.e. to death, as is seen by the context. See Exod. ii. 12, where the same word is used.
 that his brethren understood that God by his hand was giving them deliverance. There is no condition in the sentence. The traditions, in the atmosphere of which Stephen moved, represent the death of the Egyptian as no mere ordinary killing by superior strength, but as brought about by mysterious divine power, which Moses feeling within himself expected his kindred to recognize.
26. aúroîs $\mu$ axopévors, unto them as they strove, viz. to 'two men of the Hebrews' (see Exod. ii, 13). The quotation which follows makes plain what was otherwise not yet clear, that the persons contending in this second case were Israelites. Similarly in verse 24 there had been no mention of an 'Egyptian' or anything to make clear who the doer of the wrong was. But the minds of the hearers supplied all these details without difficulty.
ouvpliarovev. The tense implies a continuous endeavour, though without result.
28. © $v$ трótov diveincs, as thou killedst. The Israelite knew of the slain Egyptian, whose body Moses had hidden in the sand, but as things stood between Egyptians and Israelites he would hardly think of laying a charge against a fellow Israelite, though he was ready at once to use his knowledge to alarm Moses, when any interference with himself was attempted.
 this speech. Josephus (Ant. II. 11. 1) makes no mention of this reason for the tight of Moses, but says that the Egyptians were jealous of him, and told the king 'that he would raise a sedition, and
bring innovations' into the land. And in consequence of the plots against him bred of these suspicions Moses fled away secretly.
кai lytvero тáposos, and became a sojourner. Madian is the Greek form for the Hebrew Midian, which form would, for clearness' sake, be better here. By 'the land of Midian,' which is only found in Seripture history, is probably meant the peninsula on which Mount Sinai stands (see Exod. iii. 1).
vLovs 8 v́o. These sons were Gershom and Eliezer; their mother was Zipporah the daughter of Jethro (Exod. xvii. 2-4).
 years mentioned in verse 23, eighty jears, the age at which Moses went unto Pharaoh (Exod. vii. 7).
 Sinai than to conform to the Greek spelling $\Sigma$ wad. See previous verse.

31-34. These verses give in substance the history as recorded in Exod. iii. 2-10.
34. L8av eitov, I have seen, I have seen. Literally, 'having seen I have seen.' This construction is employed in the LXX. continually to represent the Hebrew infinitive absolate, which was used to give emphasis to the finite verb. The English of A.V. in Exod. iii. 7 (where the LXX, has the same Greek as here) is well given, 'I have sarely seen.'

sb. Stephen now addresses himself to another point and shews how in old time the people had rejected Moses, though he had the witness of God that his commission was divine. He wishes to teach his hearers that they are now acting in like manner towards Jesus.
 hand. Here Stephen appeals to history. God, he says, sent back the rejected Moses to be a ruler and deliverer, and he leaves them to draw the conclusion that what God had done in the case of Moses, he would also do in the case of the prophet whom Moses had foretold as one who was to be like himself. Cp. Gal. iv. 23 ; I Tim. ii. 14; Heb. vii. 6.
oiv xerpl implies with the power. Cf. Acts xi. 21, 'the hand of the Lord was with them.'
aryelou. That this angel was Jehovah Himself, is seen from Exod, iii. 4, 'when the Lord sam that he turned aside to see, God called unto him.' So that the whole phrase = 'with the power of God.'
36. oivos 'E'j’yave, this man led them out, having God's power with him.
 authority for the reading $\epsilon^{2} \tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{~A} l \gamma / \pi \tau \varphi$.
elv EpuOpạ Ga入áron, in the Red Sea. The Jowish traditions make the plagues sent on the Egyptians at the Red Sea more than those which had been sent to them in Egypt. Thus in the Mechilta (ed. Weiss, p. 41) the Egyptians are said to have received ten plagues in Egypt, bat fifty at the Red Sea, because the magioians speak of the afflictions in Egypt (Exod. viii. 19) as 'the finger of God,' while at the Red Sea it is said (Exod. xiv. 31), 'and Israel saw that great work [Heb. hand] which the Lord did upon the Egyptians.'
37. $\pi \rho \circ \phi \eta \eta_{7} \boldsymbol{y}$. The prophecy is in Deut. xxiii. 15, and has been already quoted by St Peter (iii. 22) as referring ultimately to the Messiah. Its quotation to those who had rejected Jesus is the key-note of what is more openly expressed in ver. 51 , 'ms your fathers did, so do ye.'
38. iv $\tau \mathbf{j} \hat{i} \mathrm{k} \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{q}}$, in the congregation, i.e. with the congregation of Israel assembled at Mt. Sinai.
$\mu e r d$ тov áyenov, with the angel. As in 35, the angel is God Himself; just so in ver. 81 the voice which spake is called 'a voice of the Lord.'

## $\Sigma$ ııâ, Sinai.

кal $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ natép $\omega v$ रj $\mu \hat{\omega} v$, and with our fathers. Jewish tradition says that the whole world was present at Sinai. Thus Midrash Rabbah on Exodus, cap. 28 ad fin.: 'Whatever the prophets were to utter in prophecy in every generation they received from Mount Sinai'; and presently after, commeating on the words of Moses (Deut. xxix. 15), him that is not here with us this day, it is said, 'these are the souls which were yet to be created,' i.e. to be sent into the world ; and to explain (Deut. v. 22) and he added no more (on which they found the tesching that all revelation was completely given at Sinai), they say, 'the one voice was divided into seven voices, and these were divided into the seventy tongues,' which Jewish tradition held to be the number of the languages of the world.
 Moses is thus shewn to have been a mediator (see Gal. iii. 19), and thus to have prefigared the mediator of a better covenant (Heb, viii. 6) and of the New Testament (Heb. ix. 15), even Jesus (Heb. xii. 24).

The oracles are called living, just as 'the word of God' is called living [A.V. quick] (Heb. iv. 12), because it is a discerner of the thoughts and intents of the heart. On this effect of. St Paul's language concerning the Law (Rom. vii. 9), 'when the commandment came, sin revived, and I died.' But there is at the same time the other sense in the word, which appears when (John vi. 51) Christ calls Himeslf 'the living bread which came down from heaven.' For the Law pointed onward to Christ, who should lead His people 'unto living fountains of waters' (Rev. vii. 17). For the thought, of. 1 Pet. i. 23, 'the word of God which liveth and abideth for ever.?
 would not be obedient. For they said (Numb. xiv. 4) 'Let us make a captain, and let us return into Egypt.' This was after the return of
the spies，when the people became discontented with the leadership of Moses and Aaron．
 back into Egypt，as is told Exod．xivi．3；Numb．xi．4，5，in which passages the desires of the people are all represented as turned to the good things which they had enjoyed in the land of their slavery．

40．Eєoùs oit троторк⿺廴⿱㇒日：оитаL，gods which shall go before us．The verse is almost exactly in the words of the LXX．of Exod．xxxii． 1.

41．Kal єú中palvovto，and they rejoiced．It was not the voice of them that shout for the mastery，nor of them that cry for being over－ come，but the noise of them that sing which Moses（Exod．xxxii．18） heard when he came down from the mount．
 of the people．Cf．Is．lxiii．10，＇but they rebelled and vexed His Holy Spirit，therefore He was turned（ $\dot{\sigma} \sigma \tau \rho d \phi \eta$ ）to be their enemy．＇ The word is not often found in this sense．

גarpiuelv ти̂ бтpariạ̀ roû ovipavoû，to serve the host of heaven．God had previously warned them against this kind of idolatry（Deut．iv． 19），bat we learn from the records of their historians（2 Fings x vii ． 16）and their prophets（Jer．xix．13；Zeph．i．5）that the warning was given in vain．
$\mathfrak{\eta}$ orpartà rov̂ oupawô is a Hebrew notion，and the expression is often found in the LXX．Jer．vii．18，viii．2； 2 Chron．xxxiii．3； Zeph．i． 5.
 three sections，the Law，the Prophets，and the Hagiographa（called the Psalms，Luke xxiv．44），and each of these parts is looked upon as a special and separate book．The Law comprised the five books of Moses．The earlier prophets were the books of Joshua，Judges， Samuel，and Kings：the later prophets were Isaiah，Jeremiah，Ezekiel and the twelve which we now call Minor Prophets．The Hagiographa consisted of the following books in the order here given：Psalms（and the expression of Luke xxiv． 44 will be understood because the Pralms stand first in this section），Proverbs，Job，the Song of Songs，Ruth， Lamentations，Ecclesiastes，Esther（these five last mentioned were called the five rolls，being written on separate rolls for use at special festival services），Daniel，Ezra，Nehemiah，and Chronicles．
$\mu ウ$ бфáyцa к．т．$\lambda$ ．Render，did ye offer unto me slain beasts and sacrifices forty years in the wilderness，O house of Israel？The whole passage to the end of ver． 43 is a quotation from Amos（ $\mathrm{F} .25-27$ ）． The question in this verse is to be answered in the negative，for in their hearts，though they were sacrificing to Jehovah，they had turned back into Egypt，and such service God counts as no service at all．
 the ordinary copulative，and the thought is continuous，＇your hearts were after your idols，and ye took up their images，＇more truly than my ark．In the Hebrew the word for＇took up＇is that regulariy em－
ployed for the＇bearing＇the ark of the covenant．So the prophet reproaches them with paying to Moloch honour whioh they had been taught to render to Jehovah．
Tiv $\sigma \times \eta v i v$ ，the tabernacle．The Hebrew word which the LXX． have rendered $\sigma \mathrm{kjpr}$ is not the usual form for that word．It seems probable that it is intended for a proper name，Siccuth．
kal To a arrpov．．．avirois，the star of your god Rephan，the figures which ye made to worship them．This clause differs widely from the Hebrew，which gives，＇and Chiom your images，the star of your god which ye made to yourselves．＇The LXX．seem to have read the words in a different order．Rephan，which is by them substituted for Chiun，is said to be the Egyptian name for Saturn（see Spencer de Leg．Heb．p．667），and may have been used by them as an equivalent for the other name which is found nowhere else but in Amos．The whole idea of the passage seems to be that the stars were being worshipped，and so it is an illustration suited for Stephen＇s argument．

entketva Baßulanvos，beyond Babylon．The Hebrew of Amos and the LXX．say beyond Damascus．Bat as Babylon was the place most connected in the mind of the Jew with captivity，the alteration in the quotation may be due either to the prominence of such connexion in Stephen＇s mind，or in the thoughts of the reporter of the speech，who thus inadrertently wrote Babylon．At this point Stephen closes the digression which began at the 37th verse，and which is meant to point out that the Jews are doing towards Jesus just what their fatioers did to Moses and against God．He now resumes the argument that God＇s worship was not meant to be always fixed to one place．
44．ทं $\sigma_{\kappa \eta ⿴ 囗 十}$ тov̂ $\mu$ aptupiov，the tabernacle of the testimony．This name is found first in Exod．xxxviii． 21 （xxxvii．19，LXX．）．The ark
 name was no doubt given because all the contents of the ark，which was the most sacred part of the tabernacle fittings，were testimonies to God＇s rule or to His power exerted for His people．Aaron＇s rod， the pot of manna，and the tables of the Law were all stored up therein． And this ark，above which God made His presence seen，was in the wilderness and moving from place to place．

ग̀v roîs marpaiau $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$, our fathers had，\＆c．Concerning a historic religion，like that of the Jews，this was，or ought to have been，a weighty argument．
 For the command see Exod．xxy．9，40，xxvi．30，xxvii． 8.
 having received it after，\＆c．All the generation that oame out of Egypt was dead at the entry into Canaan except Caleb and Joshua．

 taking possession］of the nations whom God thrust out before the face
of our fathers, unto the days of David. Till this time the tabernacle existed, and, as the history tells us, was not always in one place in the land of Canaan, and at the time when the first proposal for a permanent temple is made by David (2 Sam. vii. 2) and approved by Nathan, God forbids the building of it by David. All which goes to atrengthen Stephen's argument that the worship should not be fettered to one place.
 house of Jacob. This is the reading preferred by most crities. Tischendorf sayg ' $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ otк $\varphi$ minime sensa caret, sed fasile apparet cur $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ $\theta \in \hat{\psi}$ a tot testibus cum omnibus interpretibus substitutum sit.'
The text must mean 'to find a fit place in which the house of Jacob might worship.' But the reference is so clearly to Ps. cxixii. 5, 'until I find out a place for the Lord, an habitation for the mighty God of Jacob,' that it seems impossible to accept the evidence of NBDH when ACEP and all the versions are on the other side.
Moreover St Stephen's argument has nothing to do with the place of worship of the house of Iarael, but with the fact that God's tabernacle, where His presence dwelt, was frequently changed, and that David was anxious to change it again, having no feeling that God's presence was tied to one place. On this Chrysostom says opâs,

 with hands. Stephen allows that in the days of Solomon there seemed to be a more permanent abode appointed for God's worship, but instantly points out that God through His prophet (Isaiah lxvi. 1, 2) had taught that He was not controlled by or confined to any place.
49. $\dot{\text { o }}$ oupawos к. $\boldsymbol{\tau} . \lambda$. The quotation is nearly verbatim from the LXX.
51. бк $\lambda_{\eta \rho o \tau p a ́ x ~}^{\eta}$ 入ol, $Y e$ stiffnecked. A charge often brought against the Jews in the Old Testament, cf. Exod. xxxii. 9, xxxiii. 3, \&c., so that it is a very suitable expression when Stephen is declaring that the people of his time were 'as their fathers.'
d $\pi \epsilon \rho / \tau \mu \eta \mathrm{T} \boldsymbol{\sigma}$, uncircumcised. As the rite of circumcision was the sign of submission to the Jewish religion in its fullest requirements, so the word uncircumeised became a synonym for obstinate resistance to what God had revealed, and the phrase in the text consequently signifies 'ye who shut your heart and ears against the trath.'
 26. Cf. also Levit. xxyi. 41; Ezek. xliv. 7, 9.

It seems very likely that at this part of his discourse Stephen saw that the language he had been using was distasteful to his audience. Observing this effeet he proceeds with language which implied how far they were from being God's people, though they called themselves Israelites. They were in his ejes as those whom they named 'sinners of the Gentiles.' (Gal. ii. 15.)
difl, always. From the days of Moses to whom your fathers
would not be obedient, down to the days of Jesus whom ye have crucified.
62. т(pa k.т.入., which of the prophets did not your fathers persecute? Cf. the history 2 Chron. xxxvi. 16, 'they mooked the messengers of God and despised His words and misused His prophets.' And Christ (Matth. nxiii. 37) brought the same eharge against Jernsalem, 'thou that killest the prophets.'
tov̂ $\delta$ ukalou, of the righteous One. Jestra is so named by St John ( 1 John ii. 1), and the name also oecurs with the same application Acts iii. 14, xxii. 14, where the same rendering should be given that the passages may be brought into due connesion.
'y $\mathbf{f v} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\theta} \boldsymbol{\theta}$, ye are become. Thus proving yourselves true children of those who misused the prophets of old time.
63. oltures к.т, ג., ye who received the Law, from Sinai.
els Starayàs ajyetwv. Literally, 'unto ordinances of angels,' which signifies 'at the ministration of angels' or 'as it was ordained by angels.' St Paul (Gal. iii. 19) has the same expression concerning the Law, that it was 'ministered by angels.' The LXX. have in Deut. xxxiii. 2,
 тô, and Josephus (Ant. xv. 5. 3) represents the same tradition, 'We have learned from God the most excellent of our doctrines and the most holy part of our Law by angels.' So Pesikta Rabbathi, par. xxi., 'There came down with the Holy One to Sinai twenty-two thousand ministering angels, like the camp of the Levites."
 along the whole history of the Jews, and shews how the Law, which was intended to lead men to Christ, had not been guarded in its best sense, the spirit having been sacrificed to the letter, and so the result had been that they rejected and slew Him of whom the whole Law was speaking. The Law, given by angels, was the glory of Israel, the perverse use of it had proved their shame and destruction.

64-60. Effect of the Speech. Death of Stepien.
 these things they were cut to the heart. On the varb, which is only found here and in v. 33 , see note there. It expresses the sort of cutting that would be made by a saw, its effect is always one of irritation, and at last it came to be synonymous with gnashing the teeth for rage, with which expression it is here combined.

55. Sógav $\theta$ eov, the glory of God. Some visible sign of God's presence, such as the Shechinah had been to the Jews of old. See Ezod. zvi. 10, xxiv. 17, in the latter of which passages it is described as like devouring fire. It is defined by the Jews as some concentration of God's ompipresence.
 to behold Jesas triumphing in the flesh in which He had been crucified.

The position of standing rather than that of sitting as described elsewhere (Matth. xxvi. 64, de.) may have been to indicate the readiness of Jesus to strengthen and help His martyr.
56. Tòv vlov toû avepátov, the Son of Man. This title, which in the Gospels is only used by Christ when speaking of Himself, is here first employed by another, and can fitly be so employed now, for the prophecy which Christ uttered of Himself (Matth. xxyi. 64), 'hereafter ye shall see the Son of Man sitting on the right hand of power,' is now fulifled, and its fulfilment is to be preached to the world.
57. крágavtes $\delta \ell$, but they cried out...and, \&c.
ocvefoxov ad ATa, stopped their ears, thus shewing that they merited the description given in ver. 5I. The verb signifies to compress, to hold tight together, and is often used in the LXX. of the shutting of heaven that there should be no rain. Cf. Deut. xi. 17; 1 Kings viii. 35, de. On the action thus desoribed cf. T. B. Kethuboth 5 b , 'Wherefore is the whole ear hard but the flap soft? That if any hear an unbecoming word he may press up the flap and shut his ear.'
 he had been one convicted of idolatry, in which case (Deut. siii.9, 10) 'the hand of all the people' was to be upon the offender.
58. 蒈 $\omega$ Tîs módews, onst of the city. In accordance with the Law (Lev. xxiv. 14) the person to be stoned must be carried without the camp, and to the people of Jerusalem the walls of the city were as the limits of the camp. Though there was much popular excitement exhibited in this proceeding, we are not to think that it was looked upon by those who were actors in it as other than the carrying out of the law.

There was a place set apart for such punishment. The person to be stoned was placed on an elevation twice the height of a man, from whence with his hands bound he was thrown down, and then a stone as much as two men could carry was rolled down upon him by the witnesses, after which all the people present cast stones upon him.
kal oi $\mu$ déptupes, and the vitnesses, who must take a prominent part in the infliction of the penalty.
тd $\mathfrak{i} \mu \dot{\alpha} \tau<\alpha$ aúv $\hat{y}$, their clothes, i.e their loose outer garments, that they might be more ready for the task which they had to discharge. The law which ordained that the first stone should be thrown by the witnesses (Deut. xvii. 7) was meant to restrain hasty acensation. Men would only bring an accusation for grave reasons when they knew that their own hands must be first apon the condemned person.
veaviou, of a young man. Saul was already of such an age that the authorities could entrust him (ix. 2) with the duty of going to Damaseus to arrest the Christians in that city. The Greek word is applied to persons up to the age of forty. In the Epistle to Philemon (9) St Paul speaks of himself as aged. That Epistle was probably written about
A.d. 63, and the death of Stephen took place about a.d. 35, therefore Saul may well have been then between 30 and 40 yeara of age.
ka入ouptyou $\Sigma$ aíi the first King of Israel, and signifies 'one asked for' (i.e. in prayer). This Saul was also of the tribe of Benjamin, and had come from his home at Tarsus in Cilicia to attend on the lessons of the great teaeher Gamaliel (Phil. iii. 5, 6; Acts xxii. 3).
59. imıkadoú $^{2}$ vov, calling upon the Lord. The noun must be supplied from the Eúpte which immediately follows.
 Cessar, Acts xxp. 11, xxvi. 32, zxpiii. 19.
$\delta \in \xi a t$ тò $\pi \nu \in \hat{\mu} \mu \dot{\alpha} \mu \nu v$, recsive my spirit, i.e. at ita departure from my body, which he perceived was close at hand.
60. $\theta$ els $8 \mathbf{k}$ тì yodara, and kneeling down: to pray, probably before the stoning commenced. This shews that the proceeding of the people was somewhat deliberate, and not a mere act of mob violence.
rutepas rd $\gamma$ bjuara is common in N.T., but is not olassical, nor found in the LXX., where $\kappa \alpha_{i}, \pi \tau \epsilon \tau \nu$ is the usual verb. On Stephen's

$\mu \bar{\eta}$ бTíण्यs avivois, lay not to their charge. More literally, 'set it not down against them.' The verb is the same as in LXX. Zech.
 (or set) as my price thirty silverlings,' from which sense the teat may be explained = 'charge it not upon them.'

It is to be observed that both the prayers of Stephen are addressed to Jesus as God. The tone of both cannot but bring to the memory the words of Jesus addressed to the Father in His agony, 'Into thy hands I commend My spirit' (Luke xxiii. 46) and 'Father, forgive them, for they know not what they do' (Luke xxiii. 34). As Christ had died, so did His servant learn to die.
exourion, he fell asleep. The verb is common in the LXX. in the
 It is also used (Matth. xxvii. 52) of 'the saints which slept' and arose after the Crucifixion. How far its use in the Old Test. Seriptures implies a belief in an awakening is not easy to decide, for the word is used of death in the classieal writers. Cf. Soph. Electra 509.
VIII. 1. ouvewoow $v$, consenting, i.e. approving of all that was done.

 'therewith we also are well pleased.' The word implies entire approbation. So Luke xi. 48, $\sigma v y \in \nu \tilde{\delta} о \kappa \epsilon i \tau \epsilon$, ' ye allow (i.e. praise and approve of) the deeds of your fathers.' St Paul also says of himself (Aots xxii. 20), 'when the blood of Thy martyr Stephen was shed


## CHAPTER VIII.

Readings differing from the Text. recept.
2. éroinoav with NABCD.
T. $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda_{0 l}$ for $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ with NABCE.
 dium.'
 magna.'
22. кuplou for $\theta \epsilon 0$ with NABCDE. Vulg. has 'Deum.'
27. The second of omitted with NACD. It is uarepresented in the Fulg.
 phetam.'
37. The whole of this verse is omitted with NaBCHLP. The Vulgate represents it. But see note.

Ch. VIII. 1-4 Persectition after the Death of Stephen.
 The persecution was in immediate succession to the death of Stephen. Haring once proceeded to such a length, the rage of the people turned upon the whole Christian body.
érl $\tau \mathfrak{\eta} \boldsymbol{v}$ Ék $\lambda \eta \sigma$ (av, against the Church, i.e. the congregation or community of Christians which had been formed in the city since the day of Pentecost.
 the rage of their enemies brought about the dispersion which Christ had foretold (Acts i. 8). On this Ohrysostom remarks oẃк ápa $\mu \dot{\mu} \boldsymbol{\eta} \eta$
 סіє $\epsilon \pi a ́ \rho \eta \sigma a p$.
By the word maytes we need not understand every member of the Christian body, but only those who had been most active, and so were in special danger from tho persecution. We find (Yer. 3) that there were many left, both men and women, in the city, whom Sanl scized upon as 'disciples of the Lord' and carried to prison. Perhaps Ananias who visited Paul at Damascus (ix. 19, 25) may have been among those now scattered abroad, but see ix. 2 note.

Tท̂s'Iov8alas kal Eapapeias, of Judeaa and Samaria. According to the order of extension indicated by Jesus. The teaching of the Apoatles must have been with great power to break through the longstanding prejudices of their Jewish converts against the Samaritans. On these prejudices it is enough to refer to John iv.
 necessity be looked upon as the headquarters of the Christian band. Thither all the wanderers would refer for guidance and help. The Twelve therefore must remain at their post, in spite of all the persecution.
2. ovveкómirav, they carried to burial. The verb is found in classical Greek for 'to help in burying,' cf. Soph. Ajax, 1048 topde
 غূautự veкpoús, 'having carried forth their own dead,' where the corpses however were to be burned not buried.

The Jews paid great attention to funeral rites. Cp. Midrash Rabbah on Gen. xlvii. 29 (par. 96), 'Deal kindly and traly with me,' literally, 'Do with me kindness and truth.' "Is there then a kindness of falsehood, that he says, kindness and truth? How is this? There is a common proverb which says, 'Is the son of thy friend dead?' Pat on the load (i.e. bear the burden with him). Is thy friend himself dead? Put off the load (his survivors will not requite you for your sympathy). Therefore he says to him, 'If thou wilt do me a kindness after my death, that is a kindness of truth.' And in all Ashkenazic prayerbooks it is aaid: "These are the works of which a man reaps the interest in this world, and the oapital endures in the world to come: the honouring of father and mother, the doing of acts of mercy,...the bearing forth the dead, the reconciliation of a man to his neighbour, but the stady of the Torah is above them all.'" Op. Mishna Peah 1. 1.

єu่入aßeís, devout. See note on ii. 5.
 is not a classical word but is frequent in the LXX., most generally with the cognate verb, as кóтtєбtą котєтóp Gen. 1. 10; Zech. xii. 10;
 The word signifies the beating on the breast which is one of the outward expressions of great sorrow. The Hebrew word for mourning (Gen. xiiii 2; 2 Sam. iii. 31 \&e.) has the same sense. It must have needed no little courage at such a time to perform the funeral rites for one who had fallen as Stephen had, by the fory of the whole people.
8. Avpalvero, he made havock of. His own words (xxii. 4) are'I persecuted this way unto the death, binding and delivering into prisons both men and women.' For the verb cf. LXX. Ps. lxxix. 13

xard roùs olkous elormopevóncwos, entering into every house. Having authority from the high-priests probably (as ix. 14), and making search everywhere that none should escape.
yuvaikas. He had no mercy on sex. See also ix. 2.
els фu入akî́, to prison. To be kept till there should be an opportonity of bringing them to judgment, which was a slow process because of the numerous arrests. No persecutor equals in zeal the religions persecutor.
4. Siǹ $\lambda$ ov, went about. This was the effect on the whole body. The history turns at once to a single instance of the dispersion, and describes its results.

## 5-13. Philif's Preaching in Samaria and its Effect.

5. $\Phi(\lambda \iota \pi \pi r o s ~ \delta \ell$, But Philip. He is the second named in the list of the seven deacons (vi. 5). He is only mentioned in this chapter and xxi. 8, where he is called Philip the Evangelist.
els mì $\pi$ тolav, into the city, i.e. the capital city of the district of Samaria. It was at this time oalled Sebaste $=$ Augusta, in honour of Augustas Cmsar (Joseph. Ant. x7. 8. 5).
ékyjpuraty, he proclaimed. This word, connected with $\kappa \hat{\eta} \rho \nu \xi$, points out the preachers as the heralds of a king, while evay ${ }^{\prime} \lambda i f \in \sigma f a t$, in the previous verse, speaks rather of the glad tidings which was the characteristic of their message.
auntois, i.e. Eapapeltats, to the people of Samaria, understood in the previous Eapapelas. Cf. xx. 2, where autoís refers to the people of Macedonia, though the country only is named in the verse before.
Tod Xprotiv, the Christ, the Messiah, the king whose message Philip proclaimed.
 know from John iv. 25, 29, 42, that some among the Samaritans were looking for the advent of the Messiah. The field had been already in some degree prepared for Philip's labours: hence the abundant frait.
 verses 10 and 11, and in xvi. 14, and, with a slightly different sense, in XI. 28.
tv $\boldsymbol{\tau} \hat{\Psi}$ ákovitv k. т. $\lambda$, when they heard and saw the signs which he wrought. Lit. 'in the hearing.' They heard what had been done in other places and saw what was done each under his own observation. The miracles are described by that characteristic which they were specially intended to have in this instance. They were to be signs that the message which Philip was bringing was from God. The signs here enumerated are such as could leave no doubt in the minds of those who witnessed the cures.
6. moddol ydे к.т.ג., for many of those which had unelean spirits that cried with a loud voice came forth. This reading is confirmed by the Vulg.' moiti enim eorum qui habebant spiritus immundos clamantes voce magns exibant.' But accepting the reading we see that the writer has passed in thought from the persons to the spirits by which they were possessed, and has made the verb refor to the latter. Of the many attempts to correct the oldest texts Tischendorf says 'locus retractando corruptus est.'

On onclean spirits see v. 16, note.
9. $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}\{\mu \omega v$. From the verb $\mu a \gamma \epsilon \dot{v} \omega$ used in describing the arts of Simon, he is usually spoken of as Simon Magus, i.e. the sorcerer or
magician. According to Justin Martyr (Apol. r. 26) he was born at Gitton, a village of Samaria. The history which is given of him after the events mentioned in this chapter describes him as persistently hostile to St Peter and as following that Apostle to Rome to oppose his teaching. But much that is related is of very doubtful authority. He is aaid to have been deifed at Rome, but it seems probable that Justin mistook a tablet, with an inscription 'Semoni Sanco deo fidio' which was erected in honour of the Sabine Hercules, for a record of divine honours paid to this Simon Magus. The tablet was discovered at Rome in the sixteenth century.
iv $\tau \hat{y}$ mó $\lambda_{k l}$, in the city. He had made Samaria a sort of headquarters. The sorcery which Simon and men like him used was probably no more than a greater knowledge of some of the facts of chemistry. By this they at first attracted attention and then traded on the credulity of those who came to consult them. From the time of their sojourn in Egypt the Jews had known of such impostors, and in their traditional literature some of the 'wisdom' of Moses partakes of this character.
ejorrávov Td tyvos, amazing the nation. For not only the people of the city, bat of the whole district had run after him.
elval tuva daurdv píyav, that he was some great one (cf. 7.36 ). The expectation of the Messiah was strong among the Samaritans, and the general expectation that some great person was to arise among the Jews, while it dietated the form in which impostors would proclaim themselves, also aided them in procuring credence for what they said.
 and all. The expression is common in the LXX. Thas God smites
 and great' (A. V.). So 1 Sam. xxx. 19; 2 Chron. zxxiv. 30, \&c.
 great. We can see from the language of the N.T. that 'power' was a word current to express angelic or heavenly inftuences (Rom. viii 38; 1 Pet. iii. 22); and without assuming such a partition of the celestial host as is seen in the later Alexandrine writings we can understand the thought of these Samaritans that in Simon they had an incarna. tion of divine power, which deserved the title of great preeminently.
11. iкavథ Xpóvч, for a long time. For the dative similarly used of a space of time, see xiii. 20. Simon's birthplace was in Samaria, and it is most probable that he had lived there a great part of his life. Tradition (Clement. Hom. II. 22) makes him to have been educated in Alexandria, but he is also said to have been a papil of Dositheus, a Gnostic teacher in Samaria, so that he had probably been but a short time away from his native country.
rais payelats, with sorceries. $\mu a \gamma \epsilon i a l$ are mentioned in the 'Teaching of the Twelve Apostles' (\$5) among those works which belong to the 'way of death'; and oiv pareiocts is one of the prohibitions ( $\$ 2$ ) contained in the second ocmmandment of the 'Teaching.'
 the kingdom of God. Christ had prepared the Apostles for this work during the forty days after the resurrection (i. 3) by the things which He spake anto them aboat the kingdom which was to be begun.
 Christ, i.e. its true meaning and the evidence that to Jesus the name Christ was truly applied.
13. $\delta \delta t \Sigma C_{\mu \omega \nu} \ldots \ell \pi(\sigma \tau \epsilon v \tau \in v$, and Simon himself believed also. We can see from the history which follows that the belief here described was of a very imperfect nature. It perhaps amounted to no more than the conpiction that in Philip was some power greater than his own. We have an example of a like imperfect belief described in like words in St John's Gospel (vii. 31), 'then said Jesus to those Jews which believed on Him,' and all that follows in the chapter shews that the belief which they professed was not enough to prevent them from plotting for Christ's death.

кal $\beta$ antto $\theta_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathrm{s}$, and when he was baptized. Chrysostom (Hom. xpirr. in Act.) asks why it came to pass that such a man mas admitted to
 $\epsilon \xi \in \lambda \epsilon \xi a \tau 0$. But St Luke's language here ( $\epsilon \xi /(a \tau a \tau o)$ implies that Simon was possessed with the same feeling towards Philip which the people of Samaria had towards himself.
$\theta_{\text {ешрй }}$ te к.т. $\lambda$., beholding the signs and great miracles wrought. There is apparently a distinction intended by St Luke between the belief of the Samaritans and thst of Simon. When they believed (verse 12) it was the preaching and the glad tidings to which they most gave heed, but the verb used in this verse ( $\theta \epsilon \omega \rho \bar{\omega} \nu$ ) seems to paint Simon as one who gazed with wonder only on a sight which was beyond him to explain.

## 14-25. Peter and Joen bent domn to Samaria. Condtct of Simon Magds.

14. of $\boldsymbol{b y}^{\prime}$ 'Ieporoגfuoss ditóotodot, the Apostles which were at Jerusalem, the whole Twelve still abiding there, as noted in verse 1, and evidentiy all taking their part in the administration of the affairs of the Church, though it does not fall within St Luke's purpose to notice what each did or said.

8tl 8f8ektal k.t. .., that Samaria had received the word of God. There was a communication kept up between the fugitives from Jerucalem and the Twelve even from the first. Samaria here means the district, for although Philip's preaching was in one city, the newlybaptized would spread abroad in every part, and carry the teaching forth as the woman of Samaria did her ' new learning' (John iv. 28). They had received the word of God as their countrymen before, so as to 'know that this is indeed the Ohrist, the Saviour of the world.'
amívtelav, they sent. We gather from this passage that there was no special preeminence assigned to any among the Twelve in these earliest days. Peter and John were sent forth on their mission by the
decision of the whole body. These two were probably chosen for such a work, as they had taken the most active part and in concert (iii. 1) in establishing the Church in Jerusalem.
15. кataßávтes, when they were come down. Used often of leaving Jerusalem, the centre of all religious life, to go into other parts. So the contrary verb apapaiveiv is employed (Luke ii. 42) to describe the journey to the Holy City.
ö $\pi \omega \boldsymbol{s}$ 入ó $\beta \omega \sigma$, that they might receive. The subjunctive mood comes after öтws even when preceded by a past tense, as here, when the resalt intended is regarded as something which will surcly come
 रра́үш.
$\pi v \in \hat{u} \mu \mathrm{a}$ äwo the Holy Ghost, or rather (as the word has no article) 'a gift of the Holy Ghost.' It is clear from the whole history that special gifts of the Holy Ghost, bestowed at this period on the Christimn converts in various places, were not given except through the Apostles. The case of Ananias, sent by God's special command to Saul, differs from all others. Peter could promise it (ii. 38) to those who should repent and be baptized, but the Samaritan converts whom Philip had made received no share of such powers till the arrival of Peter and John. But the Apostles make it manifest by their prayer that the gift was not theirs either to impart or withhold, bat was 'of God,' as Peter calls it (ver. 20).
16. $\mathbf{v} \pi \hat{\eta} p x$ ov. This verb seems to be used with somewhat of its original force ='to make a beginning.' These men had taken one step, and had been baptized and thus admitted into the community.
fis to bivopa, into the name The preposition, which is the same that is used by Christ (Matth. xxviii. 19) at the institution of the Sacrament, implies the tie by which the new converts are in baptism bound to Christ as His followers, servants, worshippers.
 that there might be some outward sign of this imparted grace. So Ananias (ix, 17) laid his hands on Sanl, and he received the Holy Ghost. But on Cornelius and his companions ( $\mathbf{x}, 44$ ) the same gift was bestowed while Peter spake unto them.
18. LSwv 8f, and when Simon saw, \&c. Simon's conduct now makes it clear how limited his faith had been. As he offered to buy the power, so we may be sure he meant to sell it. His faith had only sprung from his amazement.

троотиеүкєข к.т. $\lambda$., he offered them money. From Simon's name all trafficking in sacred things has since been called 'simony.'
 character of the man is shewn by what he asks for. He does not desire the Holy Ghost for himself as a spiritual gift to seal his baptism, but that he may be able to bestow what he looks upon as a higher power than his own magic. On this verse Chrysostom remarks odx ap

been made apparent by the new powers conferred on those who received it. Their works and words Simon had seen and heard, and hence his application to the Apostles.
 $\lambda_{\text {ctay }}$ is a frequent expression in the LXX. Thus for the king's threat 'ye shall be cut to pieces' (A.V.) we find Dan. ii. 5, iii, 29 z $\sigma \in \sigma \theta \epsilon$ єis ánéncaay. The expression also occurs Is. xiv. 23; Esther vii. 4; Ezek. xxviii. 7, \&c. It is clear from what follows that the terrible invocation of doom upon this offender is to be qualified by the condition supplied from ver. 22, where repentance and prayer are pointed out as means whereby even so great a sinner may find forgiveness. And St Peter may have thus joined Simon in the same destruction as his money, because he foresaw that there was little or no hope that such a man could be brought to repentance unless the consequence of his sin were set before him in all its terror.
 God for money. Simon had given no heed to the prayer which the Apostles had offered to God that this gift of the Spirit might be sent down. He did not regard it as 'the gift of God' but only thought, if he could but once buy it, it would be his own at all times and for ever.
21. $\mu$ epls oủde re入npos. These two words are constantly found together in the LXX. of Deuteronomy where the Levites are spoken of, who had no inheritance or possession in the land of Canaan. Thus
 So xiv. 27, 29, xviii. 1.
ty $\tau \underset{\text { è }}{ } \lambda \delta \gamma \varphi$ тоú $\tau \varphi$, in this matter. Or, more literally, ' in this word';
 mentioned in verse 14.

 the LXX. of the Psalms, as Pss. vii. 10, x. 2, xxxi. 11, \&c. The passage which most nearly accords with this verse is Ps. lexvii. 37,

 dition not only could the stern wish of Peter be averted, but the anger of God also. We see therefore that the words of the Apostle in ver. 20 must have been coupled in his mind with such condition, but the further language of this verse seems to imply that to Peter's mind there was not much hope of such repentance. The phrase $\mu$ eravoeiv
 aù $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ ô.
$\delta_{t} \hat{\eta}^{0} \eta \mathrm{rt}$ tov кuplov, and pray the Lord. This is what one would look for in the sentence, rather than 'pray God'(Text. recept.), for the offence was directly against Christ. Simon, with corrupt motives, was seeking to be enrolled among those who were called by Christ's name.
si apa, if perhaps. The Apostle sees how full the mind of Simon
has been of the scheme which he has conceived, and the knowledge of this seems expressed in the $\epsilon \frac{\mathrm{t}}{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{\rho}$ a with which this clause begins. He will not declare that there is not hope even for such an offender, but the eovetousness, whioh is idolatry, makes repentance almost impos-


y not unsommon in the LXX. It implies a deliberate, well matared
 see 2 Macc. xii. 45.
23. els $\gamma \mathrm{d} \rho \mathrm{X} 0 \lambda \hat{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta} \pi$ ucplas. The preposition $\mathrm{els}=$ into is not easy to explain here. Some have thought that els, like $\epsilon \boldsymbol{y}$, is used as representing $\underset{\text { I. . By others the construction has been compared with that of the }}{ }$ Hebrew preposition $b=$ for, after the verb 'to be' in passages such as Ezek. xzxvii. 22 'I will make them one nation,' literally 'unto one nation.' But instances of this construction are not common enough in the O.T. for an imitation of it in the N.T. to be probable. It seems better therefore not to take 'gall of bitterness' and 'bond of iniquity' as thas in apposition with the subject of the sentence, but rather to regard the preposition as used with the sense of motion towards a place or state and subsequent rest there. So it is found in Luke xi. 7, 'my children are with me in (eils) bed,' where the meaning is, 'they have come into, and are remaining in, bed.' So that the sense here would be 'thou hast advanced towards, and art involved in, the gall of bitterness,' \&c. The expression $\chi^{0} \lambda \lambda \boldsymbol{\eta} \pi<x p l a s$ is a modification of words which are found more than once in the LXX. Cf. Deut. xxix. 18 pija
 $\chi_{0} 0 \hat{\eta} s, \beta b \tau \rho v s, \pi \iota \kappa \rho l a s$. See also Lament. iii. 15.
$\sigma$ óvicorov didulas, the bond of iniquity. The expression is found in the LXXX. (Is. Iviii. 6). The whole sentence thus implies that Simon had gone from one evil to another till he had reached and was remaining in a stage which deserved the reprobation spoken against idolatry in the O.T., and that he had allowed evil to make him its prisoner.
 spoken come upon me. Simon shews from the character of his petition that he is not moved by a true spirit of repentance. He utters no word of sorrow for the evil of his thought, but only petitions that he may suffer no punishment. Yet we can see that he had not taken the expression of St Peter in ver. 20 as a curse invoked upon him by the Apostle, but only as a declaration of the anger of God and of the certainty of a penalty upon the wilfal continuance in such sin. His entreaty may be compared with that oft-repeated petition of Pharaoh to Moses (Exod. viii. 8, 28, ix. 28, x. 17), 'Intreat the Lord for me,' extorted by fear and followed by no change of conduct.
 therefore, when they had testified and spoken the word of the Lord, returned towards Jerusalem, and preached the Gospel to many villages
of the Samaritans. Peter and John had not been sent forth to make an extended missionary journey, but only to confirm the work of the Evangelista who had first preached and baptized in Samaria, by laying their hands upon the converts. This done they returned to their place in Jerusalem, but by the way preached in such villages of Samaria as lay in their road.





26-40. Philip baptizes an Ethioplan Eunoch.
26. äyyelos 8f, and an angel. God does not let His agents languish for want of occupation. Peter and John are sent to complete the work of Philip in Samaria, but Philip meanwhile is divinely directed to another scene of labour.
 vision, as to Cornelius (x. 3) and to Peter (xi. 5).

кard $\mu_{\in \sigma \eta \mu}{ }^{\text {Bpiav, toward the south. Gaza was the southernmost }}$ of the five great cities which the Philistines had formerly occupied, and was on the route which a traveller from Jerusalem to Egypt would follow. In 96 b, o. the city of Gaza had been destroyed and its inhabitants massacred by Alexander Jannæus (Joseph. Ant. xiri. 13. 3), but it had been rebuilt by Gabinius (Ant. xrv. 5. 3), though it is said that the restored city was nearer the sea than the ancient one. It continued to be a city of importance (see Ant. xv. 7.3 and xyII, 11. 4), and it cannot therefore be to the city that the word 'desert', which follows, must be referred. From Samaria Philip would come directly south, and leaving Jerusalem on the east strike the road at some distance from that city.
ámo 'Iepoura入̀̀ $\mu$ els Tagav, from Jerusalem unto Gaza. There was more than one road from Jerusalem to Gaza; the more northern route went first to Ascalon and then by the coast to Gaza, another road was by Hebron and through the more desert country which lay to the west of it, and this is most likely the road intended in the narrative.
 $\dot{\eta} \dot{\delta} \delta \delta$. If the words had beer inserted as an explanation by the writer in reference to Gaza, they would scarcely have been so curt, whereas if we regard them as a portion of the speech of the angel they contain all that was needed for Philip's instruction. That road toward Gaza which passed through the desert explains exactly the place to which he was to go.
27. aivip Altiou. The deletion of the second os in this verse leaves the nominative with a verb to which it may be joined, which was not the case in the Text. recept.

Ethiopia, like Cush in the O.T., is a general name given to the country which is now called Nubia and Abyssinia. Its northern por-
tion was the great kingdom of Meroë, which we know was ruled over by queens for a long period (Plin. H.N. vi. 29), and it is from this kingdom, most probably, that the eunuch had come. Jews were abundant in Egypt, and this man had become a proselyte to their religion.

Kavঠ́akts, of Candace. We are told by Pliny (1. e.) that this was the name of a series of queens of Merö̈, jnst as Pharaoh at an early period, and Ptolemy subsequently, were general names for the kings of Egypt, and Cæsar for the Roman emperors.
 of Persian origin, and is found in nearly the same form in the Hebrew text of Ezra v. 17, vi. 1, vii. 20, and Esther iv. 7, into which books it has come directly from the Persian.
 did, as well as Jews. This we learn from the enumeration of those who were present at the fesst of Pentecost (ii. 10), among whom proselytes are expressly named. So (John xii. 20) we find Greeks coming up to the feasts at Jerusalem.
 of the feast."
 was evidently reading aloud (see ver. 30 ), and this was common among orientals, and was specially the practice of the Jews, who accompanied the reading with a good deal of bodily motion and considered this helpfol to study. Thas T.B. Erubin $53 \mathrm{~b} a d$ fin. 'Beruriah found a student who was reading, but not aloud; she pusked him and said to him, Is it not written "Only when it is well ordered then it is kept"? If it is put in order by all thy two hundred and forty-eight limbs [thy stady] will abide, but if not it will not abide. We have heard of a pupil of Rabbi Eliezer who studied but not aloud, and after three years he had forgotten his learning.' And a little afterwards we read, 'Shemuel said to Rab Jehudah, Clever fellowl Open thy month when thou readest the Bible, and open thy mouth when thou studiest the Mishna, in order that the reading may abide, and that thy life may be prolonged. For it says (Prov.iv. 22), For life are they to them that find them' (or as the Rabbis preferred to read it, 'to them that utter them forth').
 i.e. by some inward prompting.
 chariot. No doubt this royal treasurer had a numerons retinue, and a single traveller on a desert road would be doing what was natural in attaching himself to a train of people who were journeying in the same direction. Philip would therefore be able to approach and hear what was read without being deemed an intruder.
30. тробסрaцнiv $\delta \dot{f}$, and having run up, i,e. to overtake and get near the chariot.
đpá ye yvaírkes, dost thou understand 7 i.e. how the words are to be applied, and to whom they relate.
31. dáv. For an example of eda with future indicative cf. Luke

$\delta \delta \eta \gamma \dot{\eta} \sigma \in ⿺ \mu$, shall guide me. The eunuch living far away from the received expounders of the Soriptures, feels that in a dark passage like that which he was reading he has need of trained instruction. He uses therefore the word which is employed for the guidance given by teacher to pupil. Our Lord uses it (Matth. x̌. 14 ; Luke vi. 39) reproachfully of the blind guidance which the scribes and Pharisees in His day were giving to the people who came to them for instruction. He uses the same word for the guidance of the Holy Spirit (John xvi. 13). The word is common in LXX. version of the Psalms. Cf.
 $\mu \varepsilon \in \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \delta \sigma \xi \hat{\eta}$ aü $\bar{\eta} \mathrm{\eta}$, where divine wisdom is the guide spoken of. It was a marked feature in the teaching of the Jews that explanations of Scripture were passed on from generation to generation, and that only was highly valued by them which a man had received from his teachers. Such a system (unhappily not without its parallels in the history of the Christian Church) accounts for the permanence of all their traditions.

тарєкádecte $\tau €$, and he besought. The verb implies a very earnest request, and betokens the great desire which the eanuch had for more enlightenment.
 ocourrence in this sense, but Cicero uses it in Epist. ad Attic. xurr. 25. It means the section of a book, rather than a particular place. Compare the use of the verb in 1 Pet. ii. $6, \pi \in \rho c \in \chi \in t \in y \tau \bar{\eta} \gamma \rho a \phi \hat{\eta}_{t}$ ' it is contained in the Soripture.' The eunuch was studying the whole description of the sufferer whom the prophet is describing.
aür $\boldsymbol{\eta}$, this. The verses quoted here are Isaiah liii. 7, 8, and are given word for word from the LXX., which it is most probable that the eunuch was reading, as, being made in Egypt, that version was most likely to be circulated among those Jews with whom this man would be brought into communication. Philip also belonging to the Grecians (vi. 5) would be most familiar with the Greek translation. It will be seen that the translation differs in some points from the original, but yet it is eufficiently close in sense to express the intention of the prophet or rather the 'mind of the Spirit' in the prophecy, and on this translation therefore Philip founds his teaching.
33. ty t̂ी tatecvácel, in His humiliation. The Hebrew text signifies "through oppression and through judgment (i.e. punishment) he was taken away.'

गilv yevedv к.т. $\lambda$., who shall declare His generation? i.e. who shall describe His contemporaries, men who under a form of judicial panishment oppressed the sufferer, and pat Him to death?
 earth. The Hebrew has for He was cut off out of the land of the
living.' It will be seen from a comparison of the Hebrew and the LXX. that the latter is in some parts rather a paraphrase than a translation.

Some of the Jews interpreted this passage of a suffering prophet, but most generally it was applied to the suffering nation. Although the notion of a suffering Messiah fell very much into the background, yet it is to be found in some Rabbinical interpretations of Isaiah. In the Targum of Jonathan the Messianio and the national application of the words run side by side. On the whole subject, see Perowne, Psalms (5th edition), Appendix.
34. Tєpl '̇avtoû, concerning himself. As Isaiah lxi. 'The Spirit of the Lord God is upon me, de.,' was held by the Jews to refer to Isaiah, so the eunuch enquires whether the words he has been reading may have the same reference.
 this Scripture, and preached unto him Jesus. It can hardly be doubted that during his sojourn in Jerusalem the eunuch had heard the history of the new teachers who had created such an excitement in the city. Thus he would have had some story told him of the founder of the new community but his informants would have been Jews, and he would only have heard from them a version of what had been done of such a sort as to make him account Jesus one of the many deceivers who abounded in those times.
 We must suppose that Philip travelled for some time with the ennuch, for not only has he explained that in Jesus was fulfilled all that the prophets had spoken concerning the sufferings of the Messiah, but has taught him that believers in Jesus are to be admitted into the Christian Charch by baptism, of which sacrament he desires to be a partaker at once.

On the full teaching which the eunach had received from Philip,




 'A X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau \delta \nu$. These words stand in the Text. recept. as verse 37, but are omitted in the oldest MSS. They probably foumd their way into the text, of those MSS. in which they stand, from the margin. Such a margin would be readily formulated by those who thought perhaps that the question in verse 36 required a definite answer, and who, when the Church had become more extended, and formal professions of faith were the rule before baptism, felt that there was a want of completeness in the narrative unless some such confession were supposed to have been made. Thus the margin became a kind of exposition, and in the end found acceptance in the text.

Though found in some MSS. of the Vulgate it is absent from the best, and was not in that which Beda used.
38. Ikelevorty orîval it äpua, he commanded the chariot to stand still, i.e. he bade the chariot-driver halt. Of course the whole retinue would be witnesses of what took place, and they may perhaps be regarded as the nucleus of a congregation to be established in EthiopiaTradition tells us that the eunuch laboured to evangelize his countrymen, and none were more likely to be influenced by his teaching than those who were present at his baptism and were, with him, witnesses of the way in which Philip was taken from them.

катéß $\dagger$ бav к.т. $\lambda$., they went down both into the water, as was the custom among the Jews. Thus John baptized his followers in the Jordan. It is worth notice that in the 'Teaching of the Twelve Apostles' recently discovered provision is made for baptism by affusion (chap.
 тнеінатоя.
39. กvếpa кuplou к.т. ג., the Spirit of the Lord caught away Philip. Just as Obadiah expected that Elijah would be carried away while he himself went on his errand to Ahrb (1 Kings xviii. 12). Compare the langaage of Ezekiel (iii. 12, 14, viii. 3, \&e.), 'So the spirit lifted me up ( $\dot{\nu} \boldsymbol{\prime} \in \lambda a \beta \varepsilon$ ) and took me away.'



 mare. This marvellous removal of Philip would confirm the eunuch and his companions in their faith. They would recognize that he who had been sent unto them was a man of God.
 ing. The words explain why Philip was no more seen of the eunuch. He was not like the sons of the prophets at Jericho, who went to seek Elijah when they heard of his being oarried away. The eunuch was filled with joy at the new light which God had sent to him, and felt no anxiety for the safety of Philip, being sure that he was cared for by the same hand which had sent him forth.
 again and continued the work of his ministry. Eivefen is the exact translation of a Hebrew verb which in the A.V. is often rendered to be present.' Cf. Esther i. 5 'that were present,' and in the margin 'Hebrew, found.'
eis ${ }^{*}$ A ${ }^{\prime} \omega \omega$ тov, at Azotus. The preposition els, $=$ into, in such a connexion may be explained as implying the had come into the city and was staying there.' The LXX. text of the pass@ge from Esther alladed to in the last note is a good illustration of this sentence, $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \mathrm{oi} \eta \sigma \in \nu \dot{\delta} \beta a \sigma \mathrm{l}-$
 23 and Winer-Moulton, p. 516.

Azotus is the ancient Ashdod (1 Sam. v. 1-7), one of the five chief cities of the Philistines when the Israelites settied in Canaan.
als Kauซd́plav, to Casarea. This was Cæsarea Sebaste, so called in honour of Augustus (Greek, $\mathbf{\Sigma} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \beta$ artos) Cæsar (Joseph. Ant. xyi,

5．1）．It was the chief city of Palestine under the Roman rale，and lay at the extreme north of the plain of Sharon．It is mentioned in the Aets as the place at which Cornelius was stationed（x．1），and it seems that Philip subsequently made his home there（xxi．8）．

## CHAPTER IX．

Readings varying from the Text．recept．
3．tk for and with NABCL．Vulg．＇de．＇


 NABCEHLP．The Vulg．represents it．

8．oùEEtv for oúdéva with NAB．Fulg．＇nihil．＇
12．Ey ópdeatı omitted with NA．The Vulg．also does not repre－ sent it．

18．$\dot{\omega}_{s}$ for $\dot{\omega} \sigma \in l$ with NAB．
$\pi а р а х \rho \hat{\eta} \mu \alpha$ omitted with $※ \mathrm{ABCHP}$ ．Not represented in V̌ulg．
19．i Eav̂hos omitted with NABCE．Not in Vulg．

26．is Eaîlos omitted with NABC．Not in Vulg．
29．＇I $\eta \sigma 00$ omitted with NABE．Not in Vulg．

38．Mì ókvíण्यs with NABCE．Vulg．＇Ne pigriteris．＇ $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} v$ for aút $\hat{\omega}$ with NABCE．Vulg．＇nos．＇
42．ETLotevorav moliol with NABCE．Vulg．＇orediderant multi．＇

Ch．IX．1－9．Savl＇s Mision to Damascus and his Conversion．
1．$\delta \delta E$ Eaùhos，but Saul．The $\delta \in$ takes up the previous $\delta \in$ in viii． 1，where Saul was last alluded to．On this resumptive ase of $\delta \varepsilon$ cf． Winer－Moulton，p． 553.
 in which he was constantly living during his search for the Christians． The rendering＇breathing out＇（A．V．）gives a wrong sense．Cf．LXX．
 everything whieh drew the breath of life．＇
cls toùs $\mu \mathrm{a} ⿴ 囗 十 \mathrm{\eta} \mathrm{Td}$ s，against the disciples．We are not told of any other death，but Stephen＇s，in which Saul was an active participator， but we can gather from his own words（Acts xxvi．10）＇when they were put to death，I gave my voice［vote］against them＇that the protomartyr was not the only one who was killed in the time of this persecution．It has been suggested that the zeal which Sanl showed at the time of Stephen＇s death led to his election into the Sanhedrin，
and so he took a judicial part in the later stages of the persecution, and, it may be from a desire to justify the choice of those who had placed him in authority, he sought to be appointed over the enquiry after the Christians in Damascus. We gather from xxvi. 10 that before this inquisitorial journey he had been armed with the authority of the chief priests in his search after the Christians in Jernsalem.

Tथि dipxupere, to the high-priest. He would be the person through whom the power, which the great Sankedrin claimed to exercise in religious matters, over Jews in foreign cities, would be put in motion.
2. kruनtodás, letters. These are the papers which constituted his 'anthority and commission' (xxvi. 12). From that passage we learn that the issuing of these papers was the act of the whole body, for Paul there says they were 'from the chief priests.'

Daparkov, Damascus. Of the history of this most anoient (Gen. xiv. 15) eity in the world, see the Dictionary of the Bible. It had from the earliest period been mixed up with the history of the Jews, and great numbers of Jews were living there at this time, as we can see from the subsequent netiees of their conduct in this chapter. We are told by Josephus (B. J. 11. 20. 2) that ten thousand Jews were slanghtered in a massacre in Damascus in Nero's time, and that the wives of the Damascenes were almost all of them attached to the Jewish religion.

Tpòs rdes ouvaywyís, to the synagogues, viz, those whioh existed in Damascus. As at Jerusalem, so in Damascus, the synagognes were numerous, and occupied by different classes and nationalities. GreekJews were sure to be found in so large a city.




The name 'the Way' soon became a distinctive appellation of the Christian religion. The fuller expression 'the way of truth' is found 2 Pet. ii. 2; and the brief term is common in the Acts. See xix. 9, 23 , xxii. 4, xxiv. 14, 22.
ävipas $\tau \in$ кal yuvaikas, whether they be men or women. We can mark the fury with which Saul raged against the Christians from this mention of the 'women' as included among those whom he committed and desired to commit to prison. Cp. viii. 3 and xxii. 4. The women played a more conspicuous part among early Christians than they were allowed to do among the Jews. See note on i. 14.
els 'Ípovoakij, unto Jerusalem, as to the head-quarters of Jewish authority, where the whole power of the great Sanhedrin might be employed to crush out the new teaching.
3. $\epsilon v$ 8t $\mathbf{~ T \hat { \varphi }}$ торev́codal, and as he journeyed. There were two roads by which Saul could make his journey, one the caravan road which led from Egypt to Damascus, and kept near the coast line of the Holy Land till it struck eastward to oross the Jordan at the north of the Lake of Tiberias. To join this road Saul must have at first turned
westward to the sea. The other way led through Neapolis and crossed the Jordan soath of the Sea of Tiberias, and passing throngh Gadara went north-eastward to Damascus. We have no means whereby to decide by which road Saul and his companions took their way. The caravan road was a distance of 136 miles, and occupied six days for the journey.
dyยvero aủrov efyticty, it came to pass that he dreze nigh This
 ings, but it also occurs in other parts of N. T.; cf. Mark ii. 23, xal
 p. 406.

The party must have reached the near neighbourhood of the city, for his companions (ver. 8) 'led him by the hand and brought him into Damascus ' after the vision.

фâs $\mathrm{enc}_{\mathrm{c}}$ tov̂ oupavov, a light from heaven. In xxii. 6 we are told that the time of the day was 'about noon' when the vision was seen, and in xxvi. 13 Paul says that 'at mid-day' the light was 'above the brightness of the sun.' The mid-day glare of an Eastern sun is of itself exceedingly bright, and the hour was chosen, we camnot doubt, in order that 'the glory' of this heaven-sent light should not be confounded with any natural phenomenon. It was in the midst of this glory that Christ was seen by Saul ( 1 Cor. xv. 8), so that he can enamerate himself among those who had beheld the Lord after His resurrection.
 heard. The fall was in consequence of the dazzling intensity of the brightness. From axvi. 14 we find that not only Saul but his companions were struck down by the light, though there was more in the vision which he beheld than was made evident to them, and by reason of the greater glory which was manifested to him his natural sight was blinded.
\$uviv. By using the accusative case here and the genitive in verse 7, St Luke seems to point out that there was a difference between the hearing which Saul experienced and that of his companions. St Paul in xxii. 9 marks the distinction in his own narrative of what

 them.

Taking all the instances together the correct conolasion seems to be that when diкotecy signifies direct hearing, it may have after it a genitive ease and participle, but not an accusative and participle. Thus the construction of $\lambda \epsilon$ foucav in this verse must be taken as an spposition to $\phi \omega \nu \eta \boldsymbol{\eta}$, a voice that said, \&c. So also must be explained the construetion in xxvi. 14.

Saul during the vision heard articulate somnds, a voice which spake to him, but his companions were only conscious of a sound from which they comprehended nothing.

Of a similar supernatural commanication to Hyrcanus the high


 intelligible words.
 It is very noteworthy that in all the three acoounts of the vision the Greek text of Saul's name is a transliteration of the Hebrew, shewing that we have here a very close adherence to the words of Jesus. The Lord spake in the language of His people, and both the evangelist and the apostle have preserved for as this remarkable feature of the heavenly address. The only other place where the Hebrew form of Saul's name is retained is in the speech of Ananias when (ix. 17) he comes to see the convert in his blindness. As he also had received a commanication from Jesus in connexion with Saul's conversion, we can understand how the same form of the name would have been given to him. Moreover he was himself, to judge from his name, a Hebrew, and therefore that form would be most natural on his lips. Except in these cases St Luke always employs the Greek form of the word.

Christ speaks of Himself as persecuted by Saul, because 'in all the affliction of His people He is afflicted' (Is. lxiii. 9), and 'whoso toucheth them toucheth the apple of His eye' (Zech. i. 8).
 is sensible of the divine nature of the vision, and shews this by his address. The appearance of Christ, though in a glorified body, must have been like that which He wore in His humanity, and since Sanl does not recognize Jesns we may almost certainly conclude that he had not known Him in His ministerial life.
o $8 \ell$, and he said. The verb is needed for the sense in English, but the Greek could dispense with it, as is done below in verse 11 . See also xix. 2.
 The emphatic contrast of the pronouns is to be noticed, though it cannot be represented in a translation. In xxii, 8 St Paul gives the fuller form of the sentence, I am Jesus of Nazareth. The Lord speaking from heaven, and employing this His human name, at once and for ever pats an end to Saul's rage and persecution. Him whom he must own as Lord is the same who was Jesus of Nazareth. Thus he sees, what his master Gamaliel had before suggested (v. 39), that to persecute 'the Way' is 'to fight against God.'

5, 6. The words here omitted by the best MSS. have found their way into the text in this plase from the desire of some early stadents of the Acts to make a complete narrative of Saul's conversion by combining with what is here said the additional particulars given in xxvi. 14 and xxii. 10. To do this some slight adaptations of the words became necessary, and hence the form in the Text. recept. The excluded words are more in place in the personal narratives of St Paul than here, where the account is that of the historian.
6. dild divior ${ }^{\theta \mathrm{l}}$, but arise. Saul had continued prostrate during the vision, just where he had been struck down at first.
cis aiv mojuv, into the city. Here is another proof that the party of travellers had arrived very nearly at Damasous. Tradition here, as in many other instances, has fixed on a apot as the scene of this divine vision. It is placed outside the eastern gate, and about a mile from the eity. Such a situation answers very well, but its fitness is the only ground for attaching any weight to the tradition.
© $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \in \boldsymbol{\delta} \in \mathrm{i}$ тoleiv, what thou must do. It is very uncommon in N.T. Greek to find $\delta \tau v$ in an indirect question, the usual form being $\tau i$. Cf. Matth. xx. 22, oúk ot $\delta a \tau \epsilon \tau \operatorname{li}$ alceĩ $\theta \epsilon$, and numerous other instances. See also Winer-Moulton, p. 210.

It will be noticed that, in xxvi. 16-18, St Paul gives an abstract of the labours for which Christ had designed him, and the words in that passage appear as a portion of the divine communication made before Saul entered Damascus. In that narrative however no mention is made of Ananias or his visit, but the Apostle has given instead a brief notice of the message which Ananias brought to him, and therein is contained a declaration of those things which Jesus in the vision only spake of as 'what thou must do.'
 speechless. Cf. Dan. x. 7, 'I Daniel alone saw the vision, for the men that were with me saw not the vision, but a great quaking fell upon them.'
Saul was not only furnished with authority, but also with men who were to carry out his intentions and bring the prisoners to Jerusalem. Painters have represented the travellers as riding on horseback, but there is no warrant for this in any form of the narrative.
eiotíkeloav means here 'remained fixed,' ' did not move.' For they were not on their feet, but had been stricken down as well as Saul (xxvi. 14).
eveós is found in LXX. Is. Ivi. 10 kúves èveol, and in Epist. Jerem.

 probable significance see above on verse 4.
 Stephen (vii. 56), 'I behold the heavens opened', So here of the glorious vision of Jesus which Saul beheld but not his companions. In their astonishment, and guided by the sound, Saul's companions lifted up their faces to the sky, but as with the words so with the appearance of Jesus; it was unseen by all but one, but to him was manifest enough to form a ground of his confidence in his Apostolic mission: 'Have I not seen Jesus Christ our Lord?' (1 Cor. ix. 1).
 he saw nothing. The vision had struck him blind. He opened his eyes, but their power had been taken away. Thus his physical condition becomes a fit representation of the mental blindness which he
afterwards (xxvi. 9) deplores: 'I verily thought with myself that I ought to do many things contrary to the name of Jesus of Nazareth.'

Xepayшyoûvces $8 \in$, but they led him by the hand and, \&c. His companions had seen nothing of the blinding glory, and so saw all things as before.
9. गipepas tpeis, three days. During this time we cannot but think the illumination of his mind was being enlarged by the Spirit. He had been convinced by the vision that Jesus was risen from the dead and ascended into heaven. But nore than this was needed for the preparation of this mighty missionary. He himself (Gal. i. 16) speaks of God revealing His Son not only to but in him, and that his conferences were not with flesh and blood, and we are told below (ver, 12) that the coming of Ananias had been made known unto him by vision. To this solemn time of darkness may also perhaps be referred some of those 'visions and revelations of the Lord' which the Apostle speaks of to the Corinthians (2 Cor. xii. 1-4). While his bodily powers were for a time in suspense, he may fitly describe himself as not knowing whether what he saw was revealed to him 'in the body or out of the body,' and it wes the spiritual vision only which saw the third heaven and paradise, and the spirit heard those 'unspeakable words which it is not lawful for a man to utter.' The Apostle no doubt received other divine revelations while he was in retirement in Arabia.
$\mu \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\pi} \pi \omega \boldsymbol{\omega}$. It is impossible to discern any difference here between $\mu \eta$ and what the sense could have been with ou, and the absence of any such difference is made more apparent by the ov which follows twice over in the next clause. On the use of $\mu$ in in such sentences, of. Winer-Moulton, p. 610.
kal ouk Édayev, and he did not eat. The mental anguish for a time overpowered the natural craving for food. The newly-called Apostle was contemplating in all-its enormity his sin in persecuting the Church of Christ, and though there were times of comfort and refreshing before Ananias came, yet the great thought which filled Saul's mind would be sorrow for his late mad and misdirected zeal, and so the three days of blindness formed a period of deep penitence.

10-22. Sadl's Sigit restored. He preaches in Damascds.
 at Damascus, named Ananias. Of this disciple we have no further mention in Holy Writ except in chap. xxii. 12, where St Paul describes him es 'a devout man according to the Law, having a good report of all the Jews which dwelt' at Damascus. Whether he had become a Christian during the life of Jesus, or was among the Jewish converts on the Day of Pentecost or at some subsequent time, and had been forced to flee from Jerusalem by the persecution which followed on the death of Stephen, we are not told, but we can gather, from the words which he employs in expressing his reluctance to visit Saul, that he had much and trustworthy communication still with the Holy

City, for he knows both of the havock which the persecutor has caused, and of the purpose of his mission to Damaseras. On the name Ananias see $\nabla .1$, note.

On the sending of Ananias Chrysostom asks ri i íñore oúdéva rûr




evo ópauart, in a vision. As Saul had been prepared for the visit by a vision, so Ananias is by a vision instructed to go to him. Dean Howson's remarks (Life and Epistles of St Paul, r. 101) on this preparation and its similarity to the preparation of Peter and Cornelins deserve to be dwelt on. 'The simultaneous preparation of the hearts of Ananias and Saul, and the simultaneous preparation of those of Peter and Cornelius-the questioning and hesitation of Peter and the questioning and hesitation of Ananias-the one doubting whether he might make friendship with the Gentiles, the other doubting whether he might approach the enemy of the Church-the unhesitating obedience of each when the Divine will was made clearly known-the state of mind in which both the Pharisee and the Centurion were foundeach waiting to see what the Lord would say unto them-this close analogy will not be forgotten by those who reverently read the two consecutive chapters, in which the baptism of Saul and the baptism of Cornelius are narrated in the Acts of the Apostles.' When so much criticism has been expended to shew that the Acts is a work of fiction written at a late period to minimize certain differences supposed to exist between the teaching of St Paul and that of St Peter, it is well to know that others have seen, in these undoubted analogies, proofs of the working of a God who is ever the same, and who would have all men to be saved through Jesus Ohrist.
 called Straight. $\quad$ e $\pi$ l with the accusative signifies 'upon,' and here the sense given by it is that of motion first to the street, and then along it.
$\dot{\rho} \dot{v} \mu \eta$ is only a word of late classical authors. In N.T. it is used in contradiction to $\pi \lambda a \tau \epsilon i a$, which is a wide, open space. So $\dot{p} \dot{v} \mu \eta=$ lane. It is found in like contrast in LXX. of Is. xp. 3; also it occurs in
 context suggests a reference to the less public and open places of the city.
A long, straight street atill rans through Damaseus, and is probably (so persistent is every feature of Oriental life) the same in which Ananias found Saul in the house of Judas.
12. duaß入í $\boldsymbol{T}_{\mathrm{T}}$, he may reccive his sight. Here we have dimws with the conjuuctive after a past tense. But as the event alluded to is yet in the futare, it is easy to explain the construction.
13. ทีкоvбa वंm̀̀ $\pi \circ \lambda \lambda \omega \hat{\nu}$, I have heard from many. These words seem to indicate a longer residence of Ananias in Damascus than he could have made if he had only left Jerusalem after the death of

Siephen; and so do the words (xxii. 12) which speak of his good repart among all the Jews that dwelt at Damascus. And what a tale they tell us of Sanl's zeal against the Church.
toîs áylous rov, to Thy saints. The Christien converts were probably called 'saints,' i.e. 'holy persons,' at a very early period after the death of Christ because of the marvellous outpourings of the Holy Spirit upon the first converts, of. 1 Pet. i. 15. The word is of frequent occurrence in the greetings of St Paul's Epistles.
14. Tov̀s tmukadouphyovs to toyoud oov, those that call on thy name. 'To call on Christ's name' is equivalent to being a believer in Him. The expression is found in 1 Cor. i. 2 in apposition to äycoc, and thas we see what in Pauline language is meant by 'saints' when used of the whole body of the Christian Churoh.
 tion.' This is a Hebrew form of expression. Cf. LXX. Jerem. xxii. 28, where it is said of king Coniah that he is wis $\sigma \kappa \in \hat{v o s}$ oü ouk Eari


This qualitative genitive (where one noun serves to another in the place of an adjective) is a common construction in Hebrew because that language is poor in adjectives.
toû Baoctáral td övouá $\mu \mathrm{ov}$, to bear My name. This shall be the load which I will lay upon this My chosen servant.

This use of the infinitive with the article in the genitive to express purpose or design is very common both in the LXX. and in the N.T. Greek. In the former it is the constant form for rendering the infinitive with $\zeta$. Cf. Gen. i. 14 and almost every chapter in the Bible. In the N. T. the frequency of this usage is probably due to a familiarity with the LXX., though the classioal writers use such a genitival infinitive occasionally. Cf. Winer-Moulton, pp. 410, 411.

Eviontor épvâv, before the Gentiles. This was doubtiess a revelation to Ananias, who as a devout Jew would not yet have contemplated the inclusion of the whole world in the Charch of Christ. The Gentiles are placed first in the enumeration, because among them specially was Saul's field of labour to be. For the wide spirit in which the Apostle embraced his commission, see Rom. i. 13, 14, de.
kal ßarı $\boldsymbol{f} \boldsymbol{f} \boldsymbol{\omega}$, and kings. As before Agrippa (xxvi. 1, 32) and at Rome in consequence of the appeal unto Cesar.
 no light burden which the new convert was to bear. Cf. his own words (xx. 23), 'the Holy Ghost witnesseth in every city, saying that bonds and afflictions abide me.' The truth of this is borne out by that long list of the Apostle's sufferings which he enumerates in his letter to the Corinthians (2 Cor. xi. 23-28), and the less detailed list in the same Epistle (vi. 4, 5).
17. इaovid. See above on verse 4.
is kiplos...'Inoois. Ananiss is guided to combine the name 'Lord,' which Saul had used when he beheld the vision of glory, with 'Jesus'
which Christ had Himself uttered in answer to Saul's question, 'Who art thon?' Thus his mission would bring at once its warrant to the mind of Sanl. He was now confirmed from without of the verity of all he had seen in the way, and would recognize in Ananias the teacher who was to explain to him what he should do.
$\pi \lambda \eta \sigma \theta$ îs $\pi v \in \dot{\mu} \mu a r o s$ ápiov, be filled with the Holy Ghost. On this occasion the hands laid on him to whom the gift was imparted were not those of an Apostle, except in so far as Ananias was Christ's ámóarohos in this special case.
18. wis $\lambda \in \pi$ ( $\delta \epsilon \varsigma, a s$ it had been scales. The word $\lambda_{\epsilon \pi l}$ ls is used by Hippocrates as a technical term for a disease of the eye, and $\lambda e \pi i ; \omega$ is found (Tobit iii. 17, xi. 13) used to describe the peeling-process by
 $\mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ aúrồ $\tau \mathrm{d}$ 入eukư $\mu a \pi a$, 'and the whiteness pilled away from the
 (Tob. ii. 10) 'white films'; they were clearly something like the 'scales' which caused Sanl's blindness, and a process for the cure thereof is called (iii. 17) $\lambda \in \pi l \sigma a t$ ta $\lambda \varepsilon u \kappa \dot{\mu} \mu a \tau a$, 'to seale away the whiteness of Tobit's eyes.' St Paul (xxii. 11) ascribes his blindness to the glory of the heavenly light, and it may have been some secretion, caused by the intensity of that vision, which formed over them, and at his cure fell away. Some have thought that his constant employment of an amanuensis, and the mention of the large characters in which he wrote in his Epistle to the Galatians (vi. 11) ' ye see in what large letters I have written to you,' are indications that the Apostle suffered permanently in his eyesight from the heavenly vision.

On the recovery of St Paul's sight, Chrysostom remarks кal tva $\mu \eta$


кal div $\in \lambda_{\epsilon} \psi \epsilon v$, and he recovered his sight. Render thus also in the previons verse.

кal divaotas $\bar{\beta} \beta$ antio $0 \eta$, and he arose and was baptized. In the fuller account (xiii. 16) we learn that the exhortation to be baptized was part of the message with which Ananias was charged, and so he was divinely commissioned to receive Saul thus into the Christian Church.
 his three days' fast, but (says Calvin) 'he refreshed not his body with meat until his soul had received etrength.'

 xvi. 12, xxiv. 24 and xiv. 13, and in all cases the time indicated by them must have been brief. It was for this amount of time that Peter tarried with Cornelius; the words are applied to a short period spent by Paul and Barnabas at Antioch, to the time of St Paul's stay at Philippi, to the short time during which Paal was detained at Cessarea before his hearing by Felix, and to a like period between the arrival of Festus and the visit which Agrippa made to salute him as the new governor. In most of these instances the time intended
must have been very brief, and it is important to notice this here, because in verse 23 we shall find another expression, $\eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \mu$ foat lrapal, which is translated 'many days' and seems designed by the writer to indicate a somewhat longer period. It is clear, from the way in which 'disciples' are here mentioned, that there was a numerous body of Christians in Damascus at this early period. Saul dwelt with them now not as an enemy but as a brother, by which name Ananias had been directed to greet him.
 the Son of God. This is undoubtedly the correct roading. The preaching which was to be to the Jews a stumbling-block was that Jesus of Nazareth was the Christ, their long-expected Messiah.

Saul went, as was Christ's custom also, into the synagogaes as the most likely places where to find an audience who would listen to his proclamation. His letters to the synagogues (ver. 2) were not delivered, but he came as the herald of one of higher authority than the chief priests. For St Paul's constant practice of teaching in the Jewish synagogues see xiii. 5 , xip. 1, xvii. 1, 10 , xviii. 4, 19 , xix. 8.

Chrysostom's note on this practice from the first ia $\delta \rho a, ~ a j \theta \epsilon \omega \mathrm{c}$

 $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda a ̀ z y ~ \tau a i ̂ s ~ \sigma v p a \gamma \omega \gamma a i ̂ s . ~$
The construction is not entirely simple, for a portion of the predicative clause has been attracted into the antecedent part of the sentence.
 But $\kappa \eta \rho \dot{\prime} \sigma \sigma \epsilon \omega$ 'I $\eta \sigma 0 \hat{v} y$ (or $X_{\rho(\sigma \tau 6 v)}$ had a distinct sense on the lips of the early Christians (cf. Acts viii. 5; 1 Cor. i. 25, \&e.), which will account for the order of the words here.
21. E¢coravio $\delta \boldsymbol{E}$ mávres, but all were amazed. Saul's fame as a persecutor of Christians was apparently well known to the Jews of Damascus, and the suthorities of the synagogues may have been instructed beforehand to welcome him as a zealous agent. If so their amazement is easy to uaderstand. It is clear from what follows in this verse that they knew of his mission and the intention thereof, though Saul did not bring them his 'commission and authority.' We should gather also from the strong expression of mopfijcas 'he that destroyed,' used to describe Saul's career in Jerusalem, that the slaughter of the Christians there had not been limited to the stoning of Stephen.
 junctive after the past tense seems however to indicate that in the mind of the speaker the intention is still thought to be persistent. 'He came that he may (as he is resolved to do) bring,' \&e.
 in strength, i.e. became more and more energetic in his labours, and the Holy Ghost gave him more power. His fitness for the labour on which he was entering was very great. He possessed all the Jewish learning of a zealous pupil of Gamaliel, and now that he had seen

Jesus in the glory of the Godhead, he could ase his stores of learning for the support of the new teaching in such wise as to commend it to those Jews who were looking for the consolation of Israel. But these would naturally be the smallest portion of his hearers. The rest of the Jews were confounded. They heard their Scripture applied by a trained mind, and shewn to be applicable to the life of Jesus. They could not at this time make an attack on Saul, for they were paralysed by what they heard, and it wus only when some time had elapsed that they resolved to continue in their rejection of Jesus, and then, at a later time, their persecution of Saul began.
$\sigma 0 \mu \beta\llcorner$ Baif $\omega \nu$, proving. This word is nsed again xvi. 10 and translated there in A. F. 'assuredly gathering.' The idea conveyed by it is that of putting thinge side by side, and so making a comparison and forming a conclusion. Thus Saul, well equipped with a knowledge of the ancient Scriptures, set before his hearers a description of the Messiah as He is there portrayed, and relating the life history of Jesus, shewed them that in Him the Scriptures of the prophets had been fulfilled.

The word is used often in the LXX. of teaching and instructing.
 the sentence relates to judging between one and another. Cf. also Deut. iv. 9.

## 23-25. A Plot against Saul's Life. Hie Flight from Damasces.

23. $\quad$ ipépar ikaval, many days. As the visit to Jerusalem mentioned in ver. 26 seems to follow closely upon the events narrated in ver. 25 , and as that visit was not made till after the retirement into Arabia of which St Paul speaks (Gal. i. 17, 18) thus: 'Neither went I up to Jerusalem to them that were Apostles before me, but I went into Arabia and returned again unto Damascus. Then after three years I went up to Jerusalem to see Peter,' we must place the visit to Arabia between the events recorded in ver. 22 and the fresh narration which commences in this verse. St Luke has marked, as it seems, the two periods as distinct by calling one time of residence 'certain days,' and the other 'many days.' The following seems to have been the order of events. Saul preached for 'certain days' in Dacmascus immediately after his conversion. He then made his journey into Arabia, either for preaching or for retirement and spiritual communion, after which he made a second visit to Damascus, on which latter occasion his enemies sought to take his life. This latter risit is here spoken of as lasting 'many days.' The words thus translated are used in several places of the Acts; as in this chapter, ver. 43, of the stay made by Peter at Joppa after the raising of Doreas; also xviii. 18, of the time, 'a good while,' which St Pani spent in Corinth after he had been brought before Gallio; and in xxvii. 7 of the 'many days' of slow sailing during the Apostle's voyage to Rome. It is clear from these examples that the period covered by the words is very indefinite, but if we reckon the 'three years' (Gal. i. 18) from Saul's conversion, then the first and last times of residence in Damaseus would be included in that period, and we need not then eatend either the stay in Arabia of the
duration of this later visit to Damascus over a great while, especially if we remember that, to a Jew, one whole year with the end of the preceding and the beginning of the succeeding one was counted for three years.
ovv\&ßouncícavto, they took counsel. The deliberation and previous preparatiou implied in this expression are sueh as would take place, not among the people who were 'confounded' by Saul's first preaching, but when they had become enraged against him after his second visit, when his words would be even more full of power than before, by reason of the time spent in Arabia, in spiritual communion to prepare himself for the labours which God had set before him.
 known to Saul. Perhaps the information was given by some of the Christian disciples, who would be well disposed to him from what they had heard from Ananias. These certainly manifested their zeal towards him in aiding him to make his escape from Damascus.

тарєт $\quad$ рои̂vто $\delta \hat{k} \mathrm{kal}$ тàs $\pi \hat{u} \lambda a \mathrm{~s}$, and they watched the gates also. The gates were the places to which one fleeing from death would naturally make his way. St Paul says (2 Cor. xi. 32), of the circumstances under which this plot was made against his life, that "in Damesens the governor ( $\dot{e} e^{2} \nu d_{\rho} \rho \chi \eta s$ ) of king Aretas kept the city of the Damascenes with a garrison, desirous to apprehend me.' Hence it appears that it was no mere attack made by the Jews resident in Damascus, but they had gained the support of the authorities for the time being. We do not know enough of the history of Syria and Arabia at this period to be able to explain with certainty how an ethnarch of Aretas, who was king of Arabia Petrea, came to be holding Damascus. But we do know (Joseph. Ant. xviII. 3. 1-4) that Aretas had been at war with Herod Antipas, tetrarch of Galilee, who in consequence of his attachment to his brother Philip's wife, had forsaken his own wife, who was the daughter of Aretas. Herod had appealed to Rome, and had been promised the help of the Roman power, but the death of Tiberius (A.D. 37) checked the march of Vitellius, the Roman governor of Syria, into Arabia, and he thereupon returned to Antioch. It may have been that Aretas, encouraged by this withdrawal, had adpanced, and in the general confusion had taiken possession of Damascus. He had, in a former stage of the war, destrosed the army of Herod; and some of the Jews, who hated Herod, spake of this destruction of his troops as a divine judgment for his murder of John the Baptist. We can understand then that the Jews in Damascus might under such circumstances favour Aretas, and in return for their support be aided by his ethnarch in an attempt on the life of Saul.

Or the occapation of Damascus by Aretas may have been (as Dean Howson suggests) in consequence of the change of policy which took place so widely at the death of Tiberius; and Caligala, in contradiction of what his predecessor had been designing, to crush Aretas, may have put the Arabian king in command of the city of Damascus for a time.
 by night and，\＆c．This well－supported reading favours the explana－ tion of j̀uépaı ikaval given in verse 23．On his second visit to Damascus，more than ever filled with the Spirit，he stayed long enough to gather about him a band of followers who accepted him as their leader in spiritual things．

Sud rov̂ relxous，through the wall，i．e．by some opening in the wall， on which probably stood，as is often the case in Eastern cities，some of the dwelling－houses．In 2 Cor．xi $33 \mathrm{St} \mathrm{Paul} \mathrm{says}, \mathrm{'and} \mathrm{through}$ a window in a basket was I let down by the wall and escaped．＇Such apertures can be found in the walls of houses in all defenced cities， and it was by such a way that Rahab let the spies escape from Jericho （Josh．ii．15），and Michal aided David＇s escape（1 Sam．xix．12）．The basket here mentioned（ $\sigma \pi v \rho i s$ ）is of the same kind as that spoken of （Matth．xv．37）at the feeding of the Four Thousand in the mountain district west of the Sea of Galilee．It appears to have been large and soft，fit for carrying a great quantity of miscellaneous articles from the plain into the hills，while the baskets（ $\kappa 6 \phi \Delta \nu o r$ ）spoken of at the feeding of the Five Thousand（Matth．xiv．20）were such as the multitude，which in that case had followed Jesus on foot out of the cities，would he likely to carry in their hands．In a basket of the former kind Saul might easily be wrapped and then lowered over the city wall．

26－31．Badl visits Jercsalem．He is sent afay to Tarsug． The Churcees have Rest．
 Jerusalem．Saul had never visited Jerusalem since the day when he set out on his inquisitorial journey to Damascus，and as he bad been a long time in Arabia since then，his name may very well have failen ont of the memory of many in the Holy City，or knowing little of what had happened to him in the meantime they might esteem him still only as their determined enemy．
emelpalev кo八háo日aı т．$\mu$ ．，he assayed to join himself to the dis－ ciples．If as a Jew he had gone to Alexandria or any other city where Jews were numerous，his first thought would have been to search out his co－religionists；so he acts now．He seeks to join the Christian community．But his own language（Gal．i．16）shews us that he had made no attempt to spread the news of his changed feel－ inge among the Christian congregations．＇I conferred not with flesh and blood，＇he says，＇but I went into Arabia，and returned to Damascus．＇An absence of three years，mainly in a region whence little news could come of his conversion and labours，and the memory of what evil he had done in days gone by，was enough to justify some hesitation about receiving him，on the part of the disciples．

кal túvtєs É中oßoûvto aบ่тóv，and they were all afraid of him． The rendering of nai by but（A．V．）is unjustifiable．There is not
any adversative sense. Saul tried to become a member of the Churah, and they were not willing to receive him.
In Gal, i. 18 St Paul says his wish was to see Peter, and this we can very well understand, for though Saul had received his commission directily from Jesus, there were many things in the history of the life of Christ which could be best learned from the lips of him who had been with Jesus from the commencement of His ministry. But at first Saul came to the Christians at Jerusalem as an ordinary believer.
 this we can see how little was known in Jerusalem of the history of Saul since his conversion, and we can understand those words of his own (Gal. i. 22), 'I was unknown by face unto the churches of Judma which were in Christ.' God had been training him for his work among the Gentiles, and although he was brought to Jerusalem that all might know that the Gospel was one, and that Sbul was sent forth even as the Twelve, yet no attempt is made by St Luke at this point, where, according to some theories, it might have been most expected, to set forth the unanimity of Paul and Peter. It is left for St Paul himself to tell us of his desire to see Peter, and the historian only says they all were afraid of him.
27. Bapváßas 8 к̀ к.т.ג., but Barnabas took him and brought him to the Apostles, i.e. to such of the Apostles as happened to be then in Jerusalem. During a short space of fifteen days it is easy to understand that all but Peter and James might be absent from Jerusalem. St Panl tells us he only saw these two during his visit (Gal. i. 19), and all that he says is perfectly consistent with St Luke's narrative. Barnabas, who introduced Saul to the Apostles, has already been mentioned as a Levite of Cyprus (iv. 36), and from the proximity of Cyprus to Cilicia, and the distinction of the sohools of Tarsas, a conjecture has been hazarded that Barnabas may have been known to Seul before they came to Jerusalem. This would explain how it came to pass that while the other disciples were afraid of him, Barnabas listened to his statement and repeated it to the rest of the Church.

हтi入aßónevos aủróv. This verb, which signifies to take hold of a person by the hand for the purpose of leading, is generally constructed with the genitive of the limb (as $\tau \hat{7} s, \chi \in c \rho \delta s$ ) or of the person (aitoû). When as here the accusative follows it, the construction appears due to the other verb ( ${ }^{\prime \prime} \gamma a \operatorname{cov}$ ), so that the whole idea 'took and led' must be taken as requiring this case.
 worthy of notice in how many forms the statement of the appearance of Jesus to Saul is repeated. This was indeed the turning. point of the Apostle's life, Jesus of Nazareth seen as the glorified Son of God.
emapp $\ddagger$ биáato, he had spoken boldly (as in verse 29). Whether the knowledge of Barnabas on this subject was derived from Saul himself or from other sourees we are not told, but in the political
turmoil of the times (see ver. 24, note) we may easily suppose that the teachings of a preacher who appeared for a brief space, and then retired from Damascus, and who had only lately reappeared, would not be widely known among the Church at Jerusalem.
 days during which his visit lasted he was received into the fellowship of the Church.

29. Tischendorf marks the beginning of this veree at eגd $\lambda \epsilon$, and not, as other editors, at $\pi a \rho \rho \eta \sigma t a \zeta \delta \mu \in \nu=s$.
 disputed against the Grecians. These 'EA入ךuazal were the Greek Jews at whose instigation Stephen had been put to death. Now Sanl, who had consented unto that martyrdom, is exposed to the like persecution. The very same word ( $\sigma v\lceil\eta \tau \in i v$, to dispute) is here used which was employed to describe the controversies with the protomartyr (vi. 9), and it is found nowhere else in this book. But it is worth notice that the attack is now reversed. The Greeians disputed with Stephen, now Saul disputes with them. Chrysostom comments
 aú $\tau \delta \nu \dot{\eta} \theta \epsilon \lambda \eta \sigma a y$ oi $\beta a \theta \epsilon i$ 's 'Eßpaial.
of 8 et exexelporv diveleir auvorv, but they sought to slay him. The same expression is used above (verse 23) of the attempts of Saul's enemies in Damascus.
30. Entyvovecs $\delta$ ot ol difeldol, and when the brethren were aware of it. The disciples in Jerusalem, just as those in Damascus, got information about the plot which was being laid against Saul.
xaríyayov aủrìv eis Kaurápecav, they brought him down to Casarea, i.e. to the seaport so called, not to Cæsarea Philippi, for the latter place was only touched by the road which led from Tyre to Damascus. The former was a place from which Tarsus could be reached either by sea or by the road which ran northward along the coast of Syria.
cis Tapoov, to Tarsus, where he was born, and which perbaps, next to Jerusalem, would appear to be the best centre from which his work could be carried on. For an account of 'larsus and its fame as a seat of heathen learning, see Dict. of the Bible.
 Judaa and Galilee and Samaria had peace. The sense is that the whole Christian body enjoyed a time of quiet, not as A.V. (with Text. recept.), the various congregations. The cause of this peace for the Christians was that the attention of their persecutors, the Jews, was turned from them to resist the attempt made by Caligula (Joseph. Ant. xvin. 8. 2) to have his statue erected in the Temple at Jerusalem. This profanation was averted partly by the determined opposition of the Jews, and partly by the intercession of king Agrippa with the mad emperor.

кard with the genitive of place, as here, implies the spreading of the act or condition spoken of over and throughout the place men-
 fame went forth over all the surrounding district.'

Examples of this sense are not very common, but it occurs in verse 42 below and in Acts x. 37.

## 32-35. Petrer heals a Paralytic at Lydda.

 Saul to Peter, to shew us that when the former had been prepared for his special work, the latter was taught by revelation that the time had arrived for the next and complete extension of the Charch among all nations. Peter had been labouring, as no doubt all the rest of the Twelve also (for we have seen that only two were at Jerusalem when Saul came thither), in building up the Churches in Judea and Samaria, and the narrative of two miracles which follow in the history makes intelligible to us the position of Peter when Cornelius is warned to send for him.

On the connexion of this portion of the history with the preceding






tovs diplovs. See note on verse 13.
^ús8a, Lydda. The Hebrew Lod, 1 Chron. viii. 12. It was afterwards called Diospolis. It was near to Joppa, and a day's journey from Jerusalem. Josephus (Ant. xx. 6. 2) calls it 'a village not less than a city in largeness.'
 eight years. There could therefore be no doabt cast upon the miraculous nature of his cure.
34. Lâtal $\sigma \epsilon$ 'Iŋroûs Xpırтós, Jesus Christ maketh thee whole. As in the cure of the cripple at the Temple gate (iii. 6), the Apostle makes known that he is but the messenger, and that the healer is Christ. We are not told that Æneas was a disciple, but it may be inferred that he was among 'the saints,' and that thus Peter was brought unto him.
kal $\sigma \pi \rho \bar{\omega} \sigma o v$. The noun $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \kappa \lambda(\nu \eta \nu$, or some equivalent, must be understood after this verb.
35. kal etioav aủrd̀ mávess, and they all saw kim. No doubt his case of eight-years-long paralysis was well known to the dwellers in the village and neighbourhood, and to see such a one about in their midst again would be a cause for general remark and enquiry into the manner of his restoration. 'When the Scripture saith all it doth not comprehend every one, how many soever it noteth, but it putteth
all for the more part，or for many，or for the common sort of men＇ （Calvin on this verse）．
tòv Eápova，Saron．The O．T．Sharon．It is doubtful whether by this name is intended some village in the neighbourhood of Lydda or the whole district known as the＇plain of Sharon，＇and extending along the coast from Joppa to Casarea．No place of this name has been noticed in the neighbourhood，and as in the original the word has the artiole，＇the Sharon，＇it is better to refer it to the district．
oltuves ènéarpfuquv èmb tòv кúplov，and they turned unto the Lord． borus in this and similar sentences is almost like the Latin quippe qui， when it can be rendered＇and in fact．＇So here the force of this strengthened relative is somewhat of this kind，＇they saw him，and as a fact in consequence of their seeing，they turned．＇

## 36－43．Dorcas raised to Life．Peteris Stay at Joppa．

36．Ev＇ $\mathrm{I} \delta_{\pi} \pi \mathrm{n}$ ，in Joppa．The seaport town on the coast of Palestine almost directly west from Jerusalem．For its history，see Dict．of the Bidle．

на开ifpca，$a$（female）disciple．The word is only found here in N．T． and is rare in other Greek authors．It is probably used to sher that under the Gospel there is no distinction between male and female （Gal．iii．28），all alike are disciples．

Taßi日＇，Tabitha．This is the Aramaic form of a Hebrew word （found 2 Sam．i．19）which signifies a gazelle，which is also the mean－ ing of the Greek $\Delta_{0} p k d s$ ．
 expression with St luke．Cp．＇Stephen full of faith and power＇ （vi．8）；Elymas，＇full of all subtilty＇（xiii．10）；and the Ephesians ＇fuil of wrath＇（xix．28）．The sense is＇given up to＇or＇devoted to．＇
 The proceedings which followed on her death are evidence of its reality．The probable reason for deferring the burial was the know－ ledge that Peter was close at hand，and the hope of the disciples that the power of Jesus might be exercised through him for the restoration to life of so eminent a disciple as Dorcas．

入oúcavtes $\delta \dot{E}$ ，and when they had washed her．No doubt it was the women who prepared the body for burial，but the historian，speaking generally，writes not $\lambda o \tilde{c}^{\prime} \sigma \sigma \sigma a$ but the masculine．
 Delay not to come on to us．Thus $\delta$ ce $\lambda \theta \in i y$ has its full force，which is lost in A．V．It is as though their supplication were，＇We have heard of the mighty works which Jesus has wrought by thy hands；extend thy journey to us，for we are in great need．＇

39．ávaotàs $\delta \frac{1}{2}$ IÍcpos，and Peter arose．We may be sure that the Apostle knew，by the Spirit，that it would please God to do some－ thing for the help of the distress at Joppa when he set out with the messengers．
 widows stood by him weeping. These were the women who, with the dead Dorcas, had been busy in the good works to which they were all devoted. The petition of such a company was sure to have power with the Apostle, and their action shews how tbey place the good deeds of her whom they had lost far above their own. The $\chi \hat{\eta} \rho a, ~ b e o a m e ~ a ~$ recognized class of women earnest in good works and separate from the world. See the directions concerning them which St Paul gives to Timothy, 1 Tim. v. 3-5, 9, 11, 16.
 Christ's action (Matth. ix. 25) at the raising of Jairrus' daughter, on which occasion Peter had been present.
 the first part of the phrase, cf. vii. 60. St Peter's request no doubt here was that the consolation to be given to these mourners might be the restoration of the dead woman to life.
 When he felt within him that his prayer would be answered.

TaßıAd ávíotpol, Tabitha, arise. If St Peter spake in the Aramaic dialeat, as is most probable, his utterance Tabitha cumi mast have been nearly the same as that of our Lord (Mark v. 41), Talitha cumi, at the raising of the daughter of Jairus. But when we find both these utterances interpreted in the places where they occur, it is astonishing that some should suggest that the Tabitha of this verse is an adaptation of the Talitha of the Gospel.
 called the saints and widows. These words make it evident that the petition sent to Peter had been the supplication of the whole Christian Church of Joppa, 'Come on unto as and help us.'

kal émlorevoav modnol èmi ròv kipiov, and many believed on the Lord. There seems to be intended by these words a fuller acceptance of the faith of Jesus than when it is said 'they turned to the Lord' ( Be above, ver. 35). The belief here wrought by the resurrection of Dorcas is like that mentioned (John xi. 45) of those who were won to the faith by the raising of Lazarus.
43. ì $\mu$ ¢pas lkavas. On the indefinite nature of the length of time indicated here, see verse 23, note.
 a tanner was held as abominable by the Jews. A wife, it is said, could claim a divorce from a husband who became a tanner. See Mishna Khethuboth vir. 10 where is recorded the following story: 'It happened at Sidon that a tamer died, and left a brother who was also a tanner. The sages held that his (childless) widow had a right to plead, Thy brother I could bear bat I cannot bear thee, and so in this case the woman might refuse to marry her husband's brother.'

It is a sign that in the mind of St Peter somé nsages and pre-
judices of the Jews were already becoming of amall account, when he makes his abode at the house of Simon a tanner. Sach a step prepares us for the history of the next chapter, where he is instructed to go and preach to and baptize the Gentile Cornelius.

## CHAPTER X.

Readings varying from the Text. recept.

1. $\dot{\eta}^{\prime} \mathrm{omitted}$ with NABCEL. Vulg. has 'erat.'
2. $\Sigma(\mu \mu \nu a ́$ tıva with ABC. Fulg. 'Simonem quemdam.'
 Vulg. represents these words.
 batur illi.'

Omit aủroû after olketavv, with NABCE.

11. Omit $\epsilon \pi^{\prime}$ aifdy after kataßaîpov with NABCE. The words are not represented in the Vulg.
$\delta \epsilon \delta \in \mu$ eyov кai omitted with NABCE. They are not represented in the Vulg.
12. кai rà A $\begin{gathered}\text { pia omitted with NABC. Unrepresented in Vulg. }\end{gathered}$
16. civès for $\pi \dot{d} \lambda t y$ with NABCE. Vulg. 'statim.'
17. кail before Lov̀ omitted with NaB. Unrepresented in Vulg.
 NABDELP, and̉ anrepresented in Vulg.
23. dvaoteds for $\dot{o}$ Шérpos with NABD. Vulg. 'surgens."
30. $\nu \eta \sigma \pi \varepsilon \cup \cup \omega p$ кal omitted with NABO. Unrepresented in Vulg. wivav omitted with NABCD.
 sented in Vulg.
33. Tov̂ кuplov for rov̂ $\theta$ єồ with NABCE. Fulg. 'Domino.'
39. $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu$ omitted with NABCDE.
48. 'Inooû Xpıotoû for tov̂ Kupiou with NABE. Fulg. hes 'Domini Jesu Christi.'

Ch. X. 1-8. Cornelius is difinely warned to send for Peter.

1. St Luke now brings to our notice the circumstances which attended the first preaching of the Gospel to the Gentiles. The Apostles, though informed by Christ's commission that they were to 'teach all nations,' yet tarried the Lord's leisure, and waited till the Spirit, who
was their constant guide, shewed them a door opened for such extension of their labours. The first Gentile converts seem to have been living in some sort of communion with the Jews of Cæsares, for Cornelius, the representative figure among them, was 'of good report among all that nation,' but yet from the complaints of the brethren at Jerusalem, when they heard what Peter had done, we can see that Cornelins was one of the 'sinners of the Gentiles.' 'Thou wentest in to men uncircumeised and didst eat with them' expresses the shock which the strict observers of the Law experienced in this new development of the Church; and even Peter himself, though chosen to inaugurate the preaching to the Gentiles, was not always proof against the scruples and remonstrances of his brethren of the Circumcision (Gal. ii. 12).
divip $\delta \xi \tau$ ts. The substantive verb is omitted by the best authorities. The rendering would therefore be, Now a certain man...which gave much alms...saw in a vision.

Cæsarea is the same place which is mentioned viii. 40, and was usually the residence of the Roman Procurator (see xaiii. 23-26, xxv. 1-4). The soldiers over whom Cornelius was centurion were the necessary troops to support the state and authority of the Roman representative, who at this time was Herod Agrippa, whom Claudius had made king over Judma and Samaria.
óvopart KopvíA $\quad$ os, by name Cornelius. The name shews he was a Roman, and perhaps he may have been of the famous Cornelian Gens. But there were also many plebeians of this name, for Sulla (Appian B. C. r. 100) bestowed the Roman francbise on 10,000 slaves and called them after his own name, 'Cornelii.'
ékatovrápXŋs, a centurion. We find also the Latin word кevтupiey in N.T. (Mark Xv. 39, 44, 45). The centurion's was not a distinguished office. He was commander of the sixth part of a cohort, i.e. of half a maniple. The name must have been given to such officer when his command was over a hundred men. The Roman legion in these times was divided into ten cohorts, and each cohort into three maniples, so that the nominal strength of the legion would be 6000 men.
ék $\sigma \pi \in!\rho \eta s$, of the band, i.e. the cohort. See Polyb. xi. 25. 1 тoûto
 was stationed in Jerusalem at the time of the Crucifixion (Matth. xxvii. 27). $\sigma \pi \varepsilon \hat{t} p a$ is found in the LXX. used of Jewish iroops (Judith xiv. 11; 2 Mace. viii. 23, xii. 20, 22).
 first would be given to it from the country in which it was raised, but no doubt it would afterwards be recruited from other parts, and yet still retain its original title. Tacitus (Hist. I. 59 \&c.) mentions an Italian legion. A centurion of a similar band, which was styled 'Augustan,' is mentioned (xxvii. 1) below.
2. ejve\& God, but had not joined himself to the Jows in the observance of the Law. The language of St Peter in verse 28 shews us that he was not
a proselyte. It is noteworthy that wherever in the N.T. we find mention made of Roman centurions they appear to have been good men, Matth. viii. 5; Luke vii. 2, xxiii. 47.

бivv тavrl тஸ̂ olkq avjrov̂, with all his house. The earnestness of his devotion to God is evidenced by the character of his household. (Of. Abraham's character, Gen. xviii. 19.) If his family be here meant, he had instructed them in the worship of God, and had provided that those who attended on him should also be of the same character. The soldier, whom he sends to Peter, is cslled $\epsilon \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \beta \dot{\eta} s$ likewise. Chrysos-

$T \oint \lambda \alpha \hat{\omega}$, to the people. This must mean the Jewish people among whom he was stationed. So of the centurion mentioned Luke vii. 5 it is said by the Jews 'He loveth our nation and hath built us a synagogue.'

8єó $\mu$ vos rov̂ $\theta$ coû 8ıaravtós, praying to God always. This devotional habit of the centurion is manifested through the whole narrative. See especially verse 30 .
 not in a trance, as we read afterwards concerning Peter, but was employed in prayer when the angel appeared. See below ver. 30.
 point of time less definite. Cornelius was observing the Jewish hour of prayer, and at some time during his devotions the vision was seen by him.
 $\lambda a \mu \pi \rho \hat{q}$.
 dazzling brightness of the vision would first rivet the centurion's gaze, and the terror would come afterwards when he realized that he was in the presence of an angel. Cf. Manoah's alarm from a similar cause. Jadges xiii. 21, 22.

Eq中oßos. When found in classical Greek, which is rare, tbis word has the sense of 'terrible.' It occurs twice in the LXX. With the meaning 'airaid' as here. Cf. Ecclus. xix. 24 and 1 Macc. xiii. 2,


т दdrtıy, xúple; what is it, Lord? His words express his readiness to do whatever he may be bidden.
 thine alms have gone up. ápoßalvw is used Ezek. viii. 11 of the rising up of the cloud of incense, and this is the figtare here. Cf. Rev. viii. 3, 4, also Rev. v. 8, 'vigls foll of odours which are the prayers of saints.' See too Ps, cxli. 2.
 have been such that God remembers them and is now about to answer them. The portion of the meal-offering which the priest was commanded to burn upon the altar to be an offering of a sweet savour
unto the Lord (Lev. ii. 2) was called a $\mu \nu \eta \mu \delta \sigma v p o p$, and the allusion is to offerings of this kind. Ci, the words of the angel (Tobit xii. 12), 'I did bring the remembrance ( $\mu \nu \eta \mu \dot{o}^{\prime} \sigma v o v$ ) of four prayers before the Holy One.'
6. The words omitted from the text in this verse (see notes on readings) are an adaptation of xi. 14, where St Peter is giving an account of his visit to Cornelius, and are another example of the desire naturally prevalent to make the narrative complete in the early chapters by adding on the margin any particulars which can be gathered from the subsequent narrative. Put at first as marginal illustrations end expansions, they found in early times their way into the text through the agency of copyists.
 (see фavepus in verse 3) of the angelic presence is strongly marked by this language, which speaks of his going away just as if he had been any human visitor.

 т $\hat{y}$ oixiq 'Iшaкei $\mu$, 'These kept much at Joachim's house,' where ' $k e e p$ ' is in the sense still common in the Universities and elsewhere, of 'live,' 'abide,' 'dwell.' So here the soldier was attached to the personal service of Cornelius. Compare that other centurion's retinue (Lake vii. 8) where the master says to one 'Go,' and his order is at once obeyed.
 unto them. The confidence which Cornelius placed in those who attended on him is shewn by this open communication with them at once on the subject of his vision. They had known all his former hopes and prayers, and so were fit persons to be made sharers in what seemed to be the answer.

## 9-16. Peter is prepared by a Vision forthe comina of Cornelius' Messengerb.

 flat roofs of houses, to which access could be obtained from outside without passing through the rooms of the building, the housetop formed a convenient place for retirement. It was the place chosen by Samuel ( 1 Sam. ix. 25, 26) for his conference with Saul before he anointed him king. Cp. also 2 Sam. xi. 2.
$\pi p o \sigma e^{\prime}$ gacAal, to pray. We fnd that the housetop was used as a place for religious observances (Jer. xix. 13, xxxii. 29; Zeph. i. 5). These are instances of worship paid to false gods; and we find a similar example of eltars on the top of the roofs of a part of the




poses of idolatrons worship, though in the O.T. they are noticed most frequently in that connexion.
$\pi \epsilon \rho \mathrm{M}$ \#pav of the Jewish stated hours of prayer. We see from verses 23 and 24 that the journey from Joppa to Caesarea, occupied more than one day, so that the vision of Cornelius took place on the day before the trance of St Peter, and the messengers had time almost to accomplish their journey before the Apostle, by his rision, was prepared to receive them. The distance between the two places was 30 Roman miles.
10. тро́oretvos, very hungry. The word is found nowhere else.
 used for taking a meal, but (LXX. Gen. xyv. 30) the hangry Esau says

 to whom reference is made in aúrà have been in no way indicated, but the mind readily supplies the olnetac to whom the wish for food would be communicated.
 is used by the LXX. (Gen. ii. 21) of the deep sleep sent upon Adam, and also (Gen. xv. 12) of that which came upon Abraham, when it was revealed unto him that his seed should he captives in a strange land, before they entered on the possession of Canaan. In like manner here, the vision was disclosed mentally to St Peter, all things being presented to him as in a dream.


 opened. For $\theta \in \omega \rho \in \omega$ of the vision of things heavenly, of. vii. 56, ix. 7. The opened heaven made it clear to Peter that the teaching of the vision was sent from God.
 Yท̂s, a certain vessel as it had been a great sheet let down by four corners upon the earth. The word dpxal is used (LXX. Exod. xxviii. 23, xxxix. 15) of the extremities of the high-priest's breastplate to which rings were to be attached for fastening it upon the ephod. What St Peter saw was an extended sheet, the four corners of which were held up as it were by cords let down from the four extremities of the opened sky. The significance of the outstretched sheet, as a figure of the wide world, and the four corners as the directions into which the Gospel was now to be borne forth into all the world has often been dwelt upon.
12. tv $\dot{\Psi}$ vintipXev, in which were, $\mathrm{i} . \theta$. as it seemed in the vision.
$\pi$ тivтa тà тетрaimo8a к.т. ${ }^{\text {., }}$, all manner of fourfooted beasts and creeping things of the earth and fowls of the air. The vision represented the entire animal creation. There were present living oreatures typical of each kind, not a multitude of the same sort of birds and beasts.
 was hungry before he fell into the trance. In the vision there is pressented the means of satisfying his hunger. But with this there comes an instruction to disregard the Mosaic distinction about clean and unclean meats. His waking mind is able to interpret this, and he sees that now all nations alike are to be included among God's people.






14. $\mu \eta \delta \alpha \mu \omega ̂$ s, кúpıf, not so (by no means), Lord. Cf. Ezek. iv. 14, where the prophet being shewn that the children of Israel shall eat defiled bread among the Gentiles, exclaims in words very like St Peter's, 'There never came abominable flesh into my mouth.' For the care with which the devout Jew observed the ceremonial distinction between clean and unclean, see Dan. i. 8-12; 2 Macc. vi. 18.
o $\dot{\delta} \delta \epsilon \pi \sigma \pi \epsilon . . . \pi \hat{\alpha} v$. From the usage of the Hebrew, the N.T. writers frequently use ou ( $\mu \bar{\eta}) \ldots \pi$ âs where the classical authors would nse oúdels and $\mu \eta \delta \bar{f}$ ls. Of. Matth. xxiv. 22, oủk äy $\epsilon \sigma \dot{\omega} \theta \eta \eta \hat{a} \sigma a \quad \sigma d \rho \xi$. So Rom. iii. 20; Ephes. iv. 29, \&c. In the LXX. ff. Exod. xx. 10 (of the
 than the nominative or accusative, 2 Chron. xxxii. 15 , ou $\mu \eta$ خivntal

kotwiv kal akd ${ }^{\prime}$ aptov, common and unclean. The use of kouvs in the sense of 'impure' according to the Mosaic code is, as were all the ordinances about which this language was employed, peculiar to the Jews. But it is easy to trace the steps by which the word came to be ased thus. All persons who were not Jews were viewed as the 'common' rabble, shat out from God's covenant (cf. kotpol âpopot mot, Joseph, Ant. J. xII, 2, 14), then whatever practioes of these outcasts differed from those of the chosen people were called 'common' things, and as these 'common' things were those forbidden by the Law, all sueh prohibited things or actions became known as 'common.' Cf. Mark vii. 2, where 'defiled hands' is the rendering of $\chi \in i p \in s$ äpıттot. koubs is not used by the LXX. as the rendering of any passage where unclean beasts are spoken of, but appears first in this sense in that


 plied. ék $\delta$ eutipov defines precisely what was not definite with madu only.
 make not thou common. The heaven-sent voice revokes what had been enjoined from heaven at the giving of the Law. The power which made the restriction can remove it. That it would be removed

Christ had intimated (Matth. xp. 11), 'Not that which goeth into the mouth defileth a man." The old dispensation is now to give place to the new, and Peter is taught by the vision that men are not to make suoh distinctions and separations for themselves. 'For meat destroy not the work of God' (Rom. xiv. 20). That the Christian religion was meant to abrogate these ceremonial regulations may be gathered also from Christ's language (Mark vii. 18, 19) about that which goeth into a man not defiling him, which He is expressly stated to have
 pure.'
 threefold repetition of the vision was meant to leave no doubt in the Apostle's mind about its nature, and the reception of the whole into heaven again was designed to point out that it was a lesson which God had as directly sent as of old He sent the Law on Sinai. Of. the repetition of Pharaoh's dream (Gen. xli. 32) and Joseph's explanation thereof. Peter would also remember when he came out of his trance the thrice-repeated charge given to him by Jesus (John xxi. 15-17), ' Feed My sheep.'
eni tpís is not classical and is seldom found. It occurs in $x i .10$ in the repetition of this history.

## 17-24. Arhival of the Messengers from Cornelids. Peter goek with them to Cemsarea.

 himself. $\delta$ banoptw implies 'to be thoroughly at a loss, and not to know which way to turn.' It is used (Luke ix 7) of Herod's perplexity about Christ, when men said that John the Baptist was risen from the dead. Peter, aroused from his trance, was to apply what he had seen and heard, but he knew not how to begin the work.
dimd tov̂ Kopuphlou, from Cormelius. There is no great certainty in this verse whether the preposition is ánó or útro. It could not in this case make much difference to the sense, but with passive verbs the more common preposition is $\dot{\boldsymbol{y}} \mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{a}}$ when the action done is with the kmowledge of the agent. ánó might in some cases (though not here) mean coming from without the direct conseiousness of him from whom the persons came.
 house had been described to Cornelius (ver. 6), and when his messengers found the details true, it must have given them confidence that their errand was to be a successful one.
 a call the attention of the persons in the house, and brought some one out. These messengers, like Cornelius himself, were most probably Gentiles, but Gentiles of such a sort as to respect Jewish scruples, and so might not feel justified in entering a Jewish house without giving notice of their presence.
 Peter pondered over the vision. He was turning over his difficulty in his mind, and asking what God would have him learn by this lesson about the abolition of differences in meats. And while he was thas pondering the explanation came.
 the messengers was, by an inward admonition of the Spirit, connected with the vision which he had just seen.
tpeis, i.e. the two servants and the soldier whom Cormelius had sent (вee verse 7).
20. кará $\beta \eta^{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$, get thee down. Peter was still on the housetop.
$\mu \eta \delta \grave{v}$ סtakpıró $\mu \in v o s$, doubting nothing. The same words are rendered Jas. i. 6 'nothing wavering' (A.V.). There is a difference in the best MSS. between the reading here and in xi. 12, where instead of the middle voice we have the active, $\mu \eta \delta \dot{y} y$ diakplvapta. This latter signifies 'making no distinction,' i.e. between Jew and Gentile. We must bear in mind that this phrase was used by the Apostle when events had taught him precisely what the vision and the spiritual exhortation meant. The Spirit's teaching is given little by little as Christ had told His disciples that it should be, 'He shall guide you (lit., lead you on the way) unto all truth ' (John xvi. 13). The vision had given no hint of a journey to be taken; now Peter is informed of it, and so too when the end of the journey is reached the 'nothing wavering' is shewn to mean 'putting no distinction between Jews and other men,' and thas the vision was made intelligible little by little and the perplexity removed.
 the nation, i.e. for the alms-deeds which he did, and on account of his reverence for the true God. They say not only among the people of Casarea was the piety of Cornelins known, but among all the Jews.
exp $\quad$ ратбо $\theta$, was divinely warned. This word and the noun derived from it are constantly used of messages from above. Thus we find the verb where we are told of Joseph's warnings (Matth. ii. 12, 22), of Simeon's divine revelation (Luke ii. 26), and of the admonitions sent to Moses (Heb. viii. 5), and to Noah (Heb. xi. 7). For the noun,
 (A. V.).
 mandments from thee and learn what God would have him to do (cp. xi. 14). By the Jews the Ten Commandments are constantly called "the ten words," and Moses in recapitylating them (Deut. v. 5) speaks of them as $\tau \mathrm{d} \dot{\rho} \not \partial \mu a \tau a$ кирiou.
23. doкa入 eoápevos oiv aủrov่s ejevurev, then he called them in and lodged them. This was the first step towards laying aside the seruples to which the Jews were so much attached.

т arose and went forth with them. They would start in the early part of
the day to get through as much of their way as they could on the first day.
 accompanied him. In xi. 12 we are told that there were six of them, and in verse 45 of this chapter they are called oi éк $\pi \in \rho \iota \tau o \mu \hat{\eta} s$ miotol. So these men were Jewish Christians, and Peter took them for his companions that he might, if need were, afterwards appeal to them for testimony of what had been done, and to explain why he had acted as he did. No doubt they were informed by him of the message which the servants of Cornelius had brought, and the good repute of this devout man would weigh with them and make them ready to go.
24. Tû $\delta \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ imaúpov к.т. $\lambda$., and the norrow after they entered into Casarea. Their road lay the way along the coast, and as Apollonia was situate about halfway between Joppa and Cresarea, it is most likely that they passed the night there.
 them. His attitude of preparation shews how convinced the man was of the reality of his vision, and that God was about to give him an answer to his prayers.
 near friends. The whole narrative shews that Cornelius must have been a long while stationed at Cæsarea, for his good deeds to have become known to the whole nation. An officer in such a permanent post would be very likely to have his kindred round about him. We can hardly doubt also that they were people of like mind with Cornelius in their faith and worship, and so had naturally been told of the answer which he was expecting, and iovited to be present when Peter arrived.

## 25-33. Arrival of Petrr. Cornelius explanns why er fas sent FOR HIM.

 in. This is a solitary case in the N.T. of the substantival infinitive in such a construction, and it is very difficult to see an explanation of it. That it could so stand is clear from a parallel sentence in Acta
 seems as if the genitive of the infinitive in both these instances were regarded as a genitive absolute would be. So that the sense $=$ ' when Peter went in' 'when they had finished teaching.' What occurred in Cæsarea was prior to St Peter's entry into the house. We read of that in verse 27.
тробєки́vŋणev, worshipped, i.e paid him the religious reverence which the supernatural direction of the angel concerning Peter would be likely to prompt. This act of obeisance in the Roman officer marks most strongly his sense that Peter was God's messenger. Such acts were not usuel among Roman soldiers.
 the way in which Peter declines such reverence the language of the
angel to St John（Rev．xix．10）refusing similar worship．＇See thou do it not．I am thy fellow－servant．＇
 went in．So the previous part of the interview had been without．The action of Cornelius in thus coming forth to meet Peter is in the spirit of that other centurion in the Gospel，who said（Luke vii．6）＇I am not worthy that thou shouldest enter onder my roof．＇$\sigma v \nu o \mu \lambda \hat{\epsilon} \omega$ （which is a very rare word）indicates the communication made during an interview of some length．The subsequent remarks of St Peter shew us that he had been told many thiugs by Cornelius，which are not specially mentioned，but comprehended under this word＇talked．＇
 together．Cornelius had won many attached friends by his high charaoter，and now of all that God shall communicate to him he wishes them to be sharers with himself．

28．ipeis ktiocacoe，ye know．The pronoun is perhaps meant to be emphatic．Ye，who，though ye be not Jews，have lived in friend－ ship with Jewish people and so know their customs．
 said expressly by Maimonides，Hilechoth Rozeah，dec．xil． 7 ＇It is for－ bidden to a Jew to be alone with heathens，because they are suspected of（lightly）shedding blood，nor must he associate with them on the road．＇And in the Midrash Rabbah on Leviticus，cap． 20 （ad fin．）， there is an interesting example of the sort of ceremonial defilement which association with the heathen might bring about，＇It happened that Shimeon the son of Kimkhith（who was high－priest）went out to speak with the king of the Arabians，and there came a fleck of spittie from the king＇s mouth upon the priest＇s garment and so he was unclean；and his brother Judah went in and served instead of him in the high－priest＇s office．That day their mother saw two of her sons high－priests．＇The Apostle speaks of the prohibition as a thing well known to those who heard him，and the action of the messengers of Cornelius in standing outside the house of Simon and calling out some one to question in the open air shews that they were aware of the dislike of the Jews to associate with Gentiles．We have evidence that this dislike was well known wherever the Jewa resided from the words of Juvenal（xrr．103），＇Non monstrare vias eadem nisi sacra colenti．＇So Tacitus（Hist．v．5）＇separati epulis，discreti cubi－ libus．＇

ко入入âooat，to keep company．Literally＇to join himself．＇The word is used in the command to Philip（viii．29）＇Go near and join thyself to this chariot；＇and signifies intimate intercourse．The ordinary dealings of life must constantly have forced Jews to be in the company of Gentiles，but it was to be avoided if possible．
d入入офúh, to one of another nation．In the historical books of the Old Test．（Samuel，Kings，\＆e．），di入 $\quad$ bфu入o is the constant rendering of the name of the Philistines．This helps us to see what the force of
the word woald be in a Jew's month when speaking to one of the uncireamcised.
 sall any man common or unclean. The Spirit's command, 'Go with them doubting nothing, for I have sent them,' has taught Peter how he is to interpret the figure shewn to him in his vision.
29. divavt!ppírws, without gainsaying, i.e. I have followed the guidance of the Spirit, though I did not see fully what God would have me do.
 is 'from the fourth day,' i.e. which will be the fourth if we reckon backwards.
 hour I was observing the ninth hour of prayer. These words shew us that the time of Peter's arrival at Cxsarea was after the ninth hour of the day. The prayer-service to which Cornelius refers had begun and been continued for a time before the appearance of the angel.
durip...tv totîtı $\lambda a \mu \pi \rho \hat{a}$, a man...in bright clothing. See i. 10 note and above on verse 3 of this chapter.
 all things that are commanded thee of the Lord. Cornelius infers that as he had been instructed to send for Peter, so Peter had God's command for his conduct and speech. By 'hear' the centurion meant also 'to obey.' 'To one so directed from heaven the words of the Apostle would be divine orders. We learn also (xi. 14) that the message which Peter would bring had been described to him as one 'whereby he and all his house might be saved.' To hear then was to do.

34-43. Speece of Petrer to Cornelitus and his Fhiends.
 God is no respecter of persons. The verb кata入. implies the grasping of something with the mind which has hitherto not been comprehended, and indicates some degree of strangeness in what is accepted. St Peter is constrained to say, I am now fully convinced, from what I have heard of God's angel appearing to Cornelius, and from the connexion of that vision with my own, that God is making Himself known to all the workers of righteousness ( $\xi y \pi a y \tau l \in \theta v i)$, whether they be Jews or Gentiles.
$\pi \rho \circ \sigma \omega \pi \sigma \lambda \dot{\mu} \mu \pi \tau \eta$ s. This word is found nowhere else. A kindred verb ocours James ii. 9, and a noun in Rom. ii. 11; Col. iii. 25;
 sion in the LXX.; see Lev. xix. 15; Job xiii. 8, xlii. 8; Eeclus. xxxp.

 have been partial in the law' (A.V.).
35. Sєктòs aúrê totly, is accepted with Him, i.e. is acceptable unto

Him. God has no longer a chosen people, bat calleth all men to repent, and will accept all penitents.

 tion of the speaker, to have been used first with reference to the language in the previous verse, and to have meant the message there recited, that whoever feareth God and worketh righteousness is accepted with Him. And the sentence begins thus: This message which God sent to the children of Israel when He published the good news of peace through Jesus Christ (He is Lord of all). Here the speaker should have introduced a verb like the otdare which pre-
 another expression $\tau \dot{\rho} \dot{\rho} \eta \mu a$, and leaves the first sentence in suspense, continuing thus: That saying ye yourselves know which was published throughout all Judea. Then he returns in thought to the
 viz. to God who published the good news of peace, beginning (the publication by Jesas Christ) from Galilee after the baptism which John preached. In the next sentence the message and the saying of the previous clause find concrete expression, and are taken up with the name of Him in whom they centred: Jesus of Nazareth, how God anointed Him with the Holy Ghost and with power.
 Jesus which went forth when John the Baptist began to preach, and seems to be more restricted in sense than the $\lambda$ ófos which refers to the whole message of salvation through Christ. About the Baptist and his preaching, Peter either assumes Cornelins and his friends to have heard, as so many mast have done during Christ's ministerial life, or he speaks from what he had gathered in his previons conversation with Cornelius. Hence be asys, 'Ye know of the history of Jesus.'

38. 'I $\eta$ бoûv tdv aisò Nagapt日, Jesus of Nazareth In Him was the whole accomplishment of the $\dot{\rho} \neq \mu a$ and the $\lambda$ ójos. This was the entire scope of what had been preached even from the first: Jesus who had lived as a man in Nazareth, had yet been God's Anointed Son, the promised Messiah, and shewn to be so by the mighty works which $\mathrm{He}_{\mathrm{d}}$ did.

тovs кata.ovvaatevopevovs к.т. $\lambda$., those that were oppressed of the devil. The verb, not much used in classical Greek, is very common, especially in the active voice, in the LXX. The cure of those oppressed by the devil is parhaps mentioned as shewing that the power of Jesas was to be not only over physical but over moral evil likewise, and this alone is mentioned because in the healing of the greater, the power to cure the less evil is implied.
ötı ó $\theta \in \delta s$ गiv $\mu e \tau^{\prime}$ aúrov̂, for God was with Him. Of which presence the mighty works were the $\sigma \eta \mu \epsilon \mathrm{ia}$. Cf. Nicodemus' confession (John iii. 2), 'No man can do these signs that Thou doest exoept God be with him.'
 seen His mighty works through His whole ministerial life (Luke xxiv. 48).
※ิv emolnoev. For this attraction see note on i. 1.
 He does not mention here, before a Gentile audience, who the offenders were; though to the Jews themselves (ii. 23) he dwells on the sin, that he may thereby move his hearers on whom the guilt lay.

 manifest. The literal translation implies more than the A.V. Christ was not openly shewed, but by many proofs it was made clear to those who saw Him that it was the same body which had been wounded on the cross that was alive again, though the resurrection had bestowed on it a character and a glory which had not been observed before.
41. ov $\pi a v \tau i \tau \hat{\psi} \lambda a \omega$, not to all the people. For they, having rejected Moses and the prophets, who foretold Christ's coming, and the nature of His kingdom, were not likely, as Jesus Himself had said of some others of like character, to be converted by the rising of any one from the dead.
 before by God. The article joined with the participle, while the noun has none, gives special prominence to the fact of the previous choice of the Apostles by God, ='even those who were,' \&c. Christ Himself (John xvii. 6) calls them 'those whom Thou hast given Me.'

गु $\mu \hat{\imath} v$, to us. Cf 1 Cor. xv. 6-8.
 make our testimony undeniable) did eat and drink with Him after He rose from the dead. See Luke xxiv. 42, 43. And in the narrative John xxi. 12-15 it is to be inferred, especially from the last verse, that Jesus Himself partook of the food which He gave to the rest.
 to proclaim to the people. This was among the commandments alluded to Acts i. 2. Compare the charge given by Christ, Matth. xxviii. 19, where the wide commission 'Go ye, teach all nations,' is one that anticipated the preaching of the Gospel not only to Cornelins, but to all other Gentiles.
 of God to be the Judge of quick and dead. Of this the Apostles could testify for they had heard it from Christ's own lips. Ce. His words to the Jews (John v. 22, 27), "For the Father judgeth no man, but hath committed all judgment unto the Son,' 'and hath given Bim authority to execute judgment also, because he ia the Son of man.'
 prophets witness. Cornelins and his friends could be referred to the prophets, for though not Jews, they were students and followers of Jehovah's law. The prophetic words to which allusion is speoially
made are sach as Jer. xaxi. 34 'They shall all know Me, from the least of them unto the greatest of them.' Also Joel ii. 32 ' Whosoever shall call on the name of the Lord shall be delivered.' So that under the Law the redemption of the Gentiles was seen afar off.
mavia qdv mboteíovta, every one that believeth. So that not circumcision but faith was now the key to the Kingdom of Heaven.

## 44-48. The Holy Ghost is sent dpon Cornelids and his Friends, and they are subsequently Baptized.

44. émi mávtas tov̀ dंкóovtas, on all them which heard. On tha nature of this hearing, which made the men fit to reaeive so great a gift, see above on verse 33.
45. oi èk $\pi є \mathrm{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau} о \mu \mathrm{j} \mathrm{s}$, they of the circumcision, i.e. those six Jewish Christians mentioned in xi. 12 as companions of St Peter from Јорря.
46. そั้коvov ydp au่тûv к.т.ג., for they heard them speak with tongues and magnify God. As to those first called in the Jewish Church, so here to the first called of the Gentiles, God pours forth His gifts of grace. This was the Gentile Pentecost. (See ii. 11.)
 can any man forbid watter, that these should not be baptized? Here is another instance of the genitival infinitive so common in N.T. Greek. But here, as кwhesty may have a genitive of the thing from which any one is hindered, the construction offers less diffeulty. The

 the negative only renders emphatic the sense of the verb.

Though the gift of the Spirit has been made so apparent, yet St Peter does not omit the outward sign which Christ had ordained (Matth. xxviii. 19) for the admission of members into His Church.
ws kal $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu \mathrm{e} \mathrm{i}$ s, as well as we. And in precisely the same kind of manifestation.
48. $\pi \rho 0 \sigma$ éragev $8 \mathbf{k}$ к.т. $\lambda$., and he commanded them to be baptized. Peter seems to have refrained from baptizing converts, and we know that St Paul did so, and the latter indicates a reason which may have influenced all the Twelve to appoint others to baptize, lest factions shoold arise, and men sever the Christian unity by calling themselves by the name of some one of the Apostles. Cp. 1 Cor. i. 13-16.
 name of Jesus Christ is perhaps specially mentioned with a thought of the danger just alluded to. The couverts were to be Christians. But see also ii. 38 note.
énヶนкîvar ripépas tuvás, to tarry certain days. It is probable that Peter consented to stay and to become the gaest of Cornelias and his friends (see xi. 1-3); and thus shewed that he was prepared to act according to the teaching of the vision. We know that afterwards (Gal. ii. 11-13) he wavered in his determination, and was rebuked by

St Paul for so doing；but even the account of that rebuke shews us that Peter had laid aside his Jewish prejudices in a great degree，and had only acted in the way which was blamed，through the influence of some still strict Jews who had come from Jerusalem to Antioch．St Luke is not to be supposed to be ignorant of that wavering action of St Peter because he does not mention it．For a similar Christian reticence，in a like case，see xiii． 13 and note there．

## CHAPTER XL．

Readings varying from the Text．recept．
3．öть doŋ̂̀ $\lambda \theta_{\text {es }}$ ．This order is in agreement with NABD，and is supported by the Vulg．＇quare introisti．＇

8．ötc koเvóv．The omission of $\pi \hat{a} y$ agrees with $\mathbb{N A B D E}$ and has the support of Vulg．
 vox．＇

12．$\mu \eta \delta z ̇ v$ Slakplvayta with NAB．Vulg．＇Nihil hæsitans．＇
13．aúr $\hat{\varphi}$ omitted after єimovza with ※AB．The Vulg．adds＇sibi．＇
ávojpas omitted with＊゙ABD．Unrepresented in Vulg．


бıe入Aeiv omitted with NAB．Vulg．＇Barnabam usque ad Antio－ ohiam．＇

25．í Bapváßas omitted with NAB．Vulg．represents it．
 ted in E．Vulg．has＇quem cum invenisset，perduxit Antiochiam，＇ which supports the omission of the second aúróv．
 instead of ö $\sigma \tau$ cs．

Kaloapos omitted with NABD．Unrepresented in Vulg．

## CH．XI．1－18．Tile Jud有o－Cemistians blame Peter．He makeg his Defence at Jertbaitem．

1．\＃̈коvбav 8́，now they heard．The report of what had happened at Cassarea reached Jerusalem before Peter＇s return．Hence it seems that he accepted the hospitality of the now converts．
 had received the word of God．

Where animate objects and especially persons are spoken of it is common in both classical and N．T．Greek for nouns in the neater plural to be joined with a plural verb．Of．Matth．xxvii． 52 mo入入d

asage about things inanimate see below verse 13, note. At the news of the acceptance of the word of God by the Gentiles, had there been no additional information about Peter's eating with Cornelins, the disciples would have rejoiced, and would have welcomed this further spread of the word, as they did (viii. 14) the conversion of the Samaritans, bat to some, who were not only Christians, but strict observers of Jewish ritual, it was a cause of offence that Peter had consented to become the guest of a Gentile.
2. Šeкр (yovta todos aưtóv, they contended with him. The verb is
 nothing doubting, and presently in this chapter (xi. 12) $\mu \eta \delta \dot{\delta} \nu \quad \delta$ oaxplvarra making no difference. The contention of these opponents of Peter's conduct was that the difference between Jew and Gentile should still be maintained, and that any close fellowship (such as was involved in living at the same board) with those who accepted Christianity otherwise than through the gate of submission to the Mosaic Law shonld be avoided. As the Jews felt it their duty (x. 28) to behave towards Cornelius and such as he before they became Christians, so would the Judaizing feeling have prompted the Jewish Christians to deal with him still. And when we think on the prejudice which, by generations of ceremonial observance, had grown up among the Jews, we cannot wonder greatly at what they did. A whole nation is not brought to a change of feeling in a day.
 have been the whole Church, at the time when the event occurred, for there were no Christians as yet except Jews and proselytes. But St Luke's narrative was compiled at a time when 'they that were of the circumacision' had become a distinct party, and when their influence had begun to work division in the Christian societies. He therefore employs a name which when he wrote was full of signifioance, although it had its origin only in the circumstances to which he here applies it. Those who had been born Jews and knew of Jesus as conforming to the Law, and who had not heard of Peter's vision nor seen the gift of the Holy Ghost to Cornelius and his friends, as those who had been with Peter had done, were to be pardoned, if their scruples caused them to question the conduct of the Apostle at this time; yet when they heard his story they were satisfied (see ver. 18), but many Jewish Christians elsewhere continued to make this subject a cause of contention. See xp. 1.
 expression here employed testifies to the strength of feeling against what Peter had done. The men with whom he had mixed are not called Gentiles only, but the uncircumcised, the word of greatest reproach on the lips of a Jew.
kal ouvé中ayes aùrois, and didst eat with them. Among whom there would be no ceremonial observance about either the character of the food or the way of its preparation.
 and rehearsed the matter in order to them.
 In the parallel passage in the previous chapter, it was made to agree with $\sigma \kappa \in \hat{v} o s$. The one construotion is as correct as the other.
6. кaтєpóovv, I beheld. So LXX. (Exod. xxxiii. 8) каi катєyoốcav $\mathrm{d} \pi t b \nu \tau 0 \mathrm{M}$ Mur $\hat{\eta}$, of the people watching Moses as he went ap the mountain. Cf. also Ps. xc. (xci.) 8, xciii. 9.
 men before the house in which we were. The Apostle is speaking to the congregation at Jerusalem, who would know of any companions who might have gone with him to Lydda and Joppa. Therefore he includes them in his words. It is most in harmony with what was done in other cases that he should not have gone forth unaccom. panied.
12. $\mu \eta \delta t v$ סlakpivavia, making no difference. On this change of the verb from the middle to the aotive voice, and for a reason why Peter, aftex having been at Oesarea and having heard the statement of Cornelius and seen the gift of the Spirit, adopted this form in his address at Jerusalem, see x. 20 note.
 accompanied me. Those who had been his companions to Casarea were brought on by Peter to Jerusalem, that their testimony might support his statement, and that they might declare to the rest of Judæo-Christians what they had witnessed. It may be that these men, or some of them, had been his companions in his journey described (ix. 32) as made 'throughout all quarters.'
 how he had seen the angel. Before St Peter made this defence, and long before St Luke put it down in the Acts, the story of Cornelius and his vision would be well known, and so the definite article would be used in speaking of it, i.e. 'the angel' of whom all men had heard.

In N.I. Greek the general usage is to put the forms used for direct interrogation (as $\pi \hat{\omega} \hat{s}, \pi \delta \tau \epsilon$ ) where the classioal writers would usually write the corresponding relative forms, öт $\omega \varsigma$, опотє. So Matth. vi. 28

 here is one of the numerous instances where in the repetition of a narrative an attempt has been made to bring the diffexent passages into exact verbal agreement. There have been times when devout men thought much of this verbal accord. It is therefore worth notice that the writers of the N.T. disregarded it utterly. The words in such a solemn inscription as that above the Cross differ in all the four Gospels, and St Peter, when in the Second Epistle (i. 17) he speaks of the heavenly voice heard at the Transfiguration, varies verbally from each of the accounts of the Evangelists.
 what more precise statement than that of the previous chapter, which was (x. 44) ết
words of Peter that he had hardly begun his address before the gift of the Spirit descended.
év apxy, at the beginning, i.e. at the feast of Pentecost.
 i. 5. The ws $\begin{gathered}\text { acye } \\ \text { which follows is inserted to introduce the exact }\end{gathered}$ words of Christ.
17. Tเनтev́rartv, who believed. The participle refers alike to the preceding autois and $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{i}$, and thus the two caser are made parallel exactly as in the narrative of verse 15 . For just as in the case of Peter and the Apostles, their faith was existing before the gift of the Spirit, so in Cornelius and in his companions there existed a degree of faith, or there could have been no sincere prayer offered by them.
 stand Godf There are in reality two questions here merged into one. Who was I? Was I able to withstand...? So also Luke xix. 15 its $\tau t$ $\delta_{i \epsilon \pi \rho а \gamma \mu a \tau e i j a \tau o ~=~ w h o ~ h a d ~ t r a d e d, ~ a n d ~ w h a t ~ h e ~ h a d ~ m a d e ~ t h e r e b y . ~}^{\text {. }}$
18. Ifoxarav, they held their peace. But though those who listened to St Peter's narrative were satisfied that God had now called Gentiles as well as Jews to be of His Kingdom, there were others who, some perhaps with a real bat misguided zeal for the Law, some, as St Paul says (Gal. vi. 13), from vain-glory, maintained the necessity for the observance of the older covenant, and hence arose dissensions in the Church from a very early time.

19-26. Fobther Spread of the Gospel as far as Antioci,
19. eml इтєф́́vч, about Stephen. See above viii. 1.

Ews $\Phi_{0}$ ovikis, as far as Phoenicia. A still wider circuit for the Gospel messengers. Phoenicia contained the important seaports of Tyre and Sidon. For its history see Dict. of the Bible.

Kи́троч. Cyprus. See iv. 36.
'Arroxelas. Antioch. The capital city of Syria, about 16 miles from the sea-cosst, on the river Orontes. It was the residence of the Roman pro-consul of Syria. St Paul made this his starting point in all his three missionary journeys. For its history see Dictionary of the Bible.
el $\mu{ }^{1}{ }^{\prime} \mu$ óvov'Iovoalocs, but unto the Jews only. For they had not been warned, as Peter was, that the time was come to carry out Christ's prophetic command (Acts i. 8) to its follest extent.
20. गfoav S' $^{\prime}$ тtves...Kútplou kal Kypquaiol, but some of them were men of Cyprus and Cyrene. In whose minds, from their more cosmopolitan education, there was less scruple sbout mixing with Gentiles than existed among the Jews of Palestine, the home of the nation, and by consequence the stronghold of their prejudices.
 'Eגлךpiatal to mean those Jews who had been born in some foreign land and spoke the Greek language, or else for proselytes; but "E $\lambda \lambda \eta$ pes,
when the heathen popalation is spoken of. Now it is clear that it would have been no matter of remark had these men preached to
 Church of Jerusalem, as we see from the events related in chap. ri. 1, and most probably these Grecian and Cyprian teachers were themselves Greek-Jews; but what calls for special mention by St Lutke is that they, moved perhaps by some spiritual impoise, addressed their preaching in Antiooh to the Gentiles as well as to the Jews. The time was ripe for such a work, and God who had prompted Peter by a vision, moved these men by His Spirit.
 with them. The expression is a common one in the O.T. to express the direct interposition of God in the affairs of the world. Cf. 1 Sam.
 and of His interposition for good, see Is. xli. 20. Of. also Exod. viii. 19, xiv. 31.
 tude that believed turned unto the Lord. These probably, like Cornelius had been prepared, by their knowledge of Jehovah through Judaism, to accept the teaching of the Christian missionaries.
 i.e. concerning these Gentile converts. These events took place, and were known to the Church in Jerusalem, before they heard of the visit of Peter to Cornelius. But what had heppened at Antioch caused the Church no disturbance, because we read of no such breaking through the restrictions of the ceremonial Law as was made in Cemarea when Peter took up his abode with Cornelius. The Jewish preachers mingled no further with the Gentiles to whom they preached at Antioch than the intercourse of everyday life forced them to do constantly.
 sent forth, as Peter and John before had been sent into Samaria (vii. 14), to confirm and give the sanction and direction of the mother Church to the work which had begun at a new centre. Barnabas being a native of Cyprus would most likely be well known to the Cyprians who were preaching at Antioch, and so he was a most fit person to be selected for this errand.
 God, i.e. as it was exhibited in the faith, and consequent turning to Christ, of these Gentiles.
exáp ${ }^{\text {en was glad. Seeing nothing in the new movement which could }}$ call for disapproval, while the addition of new members to the Church was a source of joy.

 of their heart.' Their determination was at present formed, and they had turned to the Lord; the purport of Barnabas' exhortation was
that continuing in the same determination they should hold fast thair faith, and allow nothing to shake their attachment to Christ. The heathen converts to Christianity had much to endure for Christ's sake, and to the weak there were many temptations to relapse.
 and faith. The same description is given of Stephen (vi. 5), and a man of like character with that most eminent among the Greek-Jews would exert much influence in Antioch, where Greeks and Greek-Jews were the chief part of the population. It was in consequence of the persecution after Stephen's death that these preachers had come to Antioch, and some of them were probably of those Grecians who had been forward in the work for which Stephen was martyred.

кal тpooevêף bxגos ikavos, and much people was added. No doubt the joyfal approval of Barnabas, representing the MotherChurch of Jerusalem, would help forward the zeal of the preachers at Antiogh.
25. dva\{ๆrîoal इavidov, for to seek Saul. That he, to whom the Lord had appeared, and who had been marked as a 'chosen vessel' (ix. 15\} to bear the name of Christ before the Gentiles, might come with him to share in this new work of preaching to the Gentiles at Antioch.
26. Zviavtìv ©̈hov, a whole year. This long period, spent with success in the first field where the preaching to the Gentiles had begun, will account for the constant return of the Aposile of the Gentiles to Antioch after each of his three missionary journeys. He had preached at Damascus and at Jerusalem, but it was always with his life in his hand. At Antioch he first found a quiet Church with a wide scope for all his earnestness.
 first in Antioch. It is most probable that this name was given them by the heathen in ridicule. The disciples of Jesus never give it to themselves, and as the use of it would imply that those who bore it were the followers of the Messiah, the Christ, it is certain it would not be given to them by the Jews. The reason for a new distinctive term is apparent. When these new Gentile converts were joined to the Church of Antioch, none of the former distinetive appellations would embrace the whole body. They were no longer all Nazarenes or Galilmans or Greek-Jews, and as to the people of Antioch they probably seemed a strange medley, they would not be unlikely to apply to them such a hybrid form as 'Christian,' a Greek word with a. Latin termination. The name is probably used in mockery by Agrippa (Acts xxvi. 28) 'With but little persuasion thou wouldest fain make me a Christian,' but in the only other and later instance of the use of the name in the N.T. ( 1 Pet. iv. 16) we can see that what had been at first a taunt had soon come to be a name in which to glory, 'If any man suffer as a Christian, let him not be ashamed.'

хpquatis $\omega$, having, as a first meaning, 'to do some basiness,' came
afterwards, becanse persons of certain callings are named from what they do, to have the sense of 'to be named 'as here.

27-30. Aalbos at antioch foretelle a Famine, and in conaequence tefe Church at Antioge eends Relief to Jehosatrm.
 year when Barnabas and Saul were labouring in Antiosh, and the Church increasing there rapidly in consequence.

троф was but the fulfilment of the prophecy of Joel which Peter had quoted in his Pentecostal sermon (ii. 17). We cannot gather from the N. T. records any clear description of what office is to be understood by the word 'prophet.'. The men to whom it is applied are sometimes occupied in preaching and explaining the word of God, and sometimes have the power of foretelling future events, as Agabus did hero. See Acte xiii. 1, xv. 32, xix. 6, xxi. 9, 10; Rom. xii. 6 ; 1 Cor. xii. 10, 28, 29, xiii. 2, 8, xiv. 6, 29-37; Eph. ii. 20.
 is mentioned again in xxi. 10, where, after the fashion of some of the prophets of the O. T., he by a significant action, as well as by his words, foretells the imprisonment of St Paul at Jerusalem.

6ud tov̂ $\pi v e i \mu a r o s$. So too xxi. 11 the words of Agabus are prefaced

$\lambda_{\iota} \mu \delta \nu \mu$ еуá $\lambda_{\eta} \boldsymbol{\imath} \nu$, great dearth. This noun is usnally masculine, but the grammarians notice that, as St Luke makes it here, it is sometimes feminine. The Megarean in Aristoph. Acham. 743 uses it as feminine.

This famine is mentioned by Josephus (Ant. xx. 2. 5) who tells how Helena, queen of Adiabene, being at Jerusalem, succoured the people by procuring for them corn from Alezandria and a cargo of figs from Cyprus. The date of this severe famine was A.d. 45.
 the phrase used for the whole Roman empire, as in Luke ii. 1, but here perhaps it has a wider signification. Though one region might be specially afflicted by the failure of its crops, all the rest of the Roman empire would be sure to suffer in some degree at the same time, and especially when famines were, as at this time, of frequent recurrence.
kni Khavilov, in the days of Claudius. The reign of Claudias (A.D. $41-54$ ) was remarkable for the famines with which various parts of the empire were afflicted. The first, second, fourth, ninth and eleventh years of this emperor's reign are recorded as years of famine in some district or other. See Suetonius, Claudius, 28; Tacitus, Ann. xiI. 43; Josephus, Ant. xx. 2. 5; Dio Cassius, rx. p. 949; Euseb. H.E. in. 8.
 man according to his ability, i.e. the disciples of the Church at Antioch.
ets $\delta$ takoviav, for relief. Lit. 'for ministry': a phrase which recalls
 distributed in that way, for no doubt the Christian Charch in Judæa would be much impoverished. At first the poorer converts had been sustained by the common fund, but persecution had driven away great numbers of the Christians, and those would be most likely to depart who possessed means to support themselves in other places. Thus the Mother-Church would be deprived of those members who were best able to give relief in such a severe time of distress.
 we come upon the $\pi p \in \sigma \beta \boldsymbol{T} \in \rho=4$ in the Christian history. In xx .17 they are again mentioned, and shortly afterwards (verse 28) in the
 doubt at first the office of elder or presbyter comprised, beside the work of teaching, the general oversight of one, or it may be more Churches. Cf. Phil. i. 1 where the two orders of the ministry are described as 'bishops ( $=$ presbyters) and deacons.' As the Church increased in numbers these duties were separated, and the general superintendence and control assigned to one who was called oversear or bishop.

8ud Xetpds Bapváßa kal $\Sigma$ ªúdov, by the hand of Barnabas and Saul. The character and labours of these two had marked them ont as the most fit men to be bearers of this help, and it was from Jerusalem that Barnabas had been sent at first to Antioch.

## OHAPTER XII.

Readings varying from the Text. recept.
9. aự̣̂ omitted with NABD. Vulg. represents it.
13. aứroû for tô̂ $\Pi e$ épou with NABDLP. Vulg. 'eo.'
20. i'Hिש́dचs omitted with NABD. Unrepresented in Fulg.
25. кai omitted after $\sigma \cup \mu \pi a p a \lambda a \beta$ óvtes with NAB. Unrepresented in Vulg.

Ch. XII. 1-12. Herod's Persecution of the Certral. Peter's Miraculous Deliveranct from Prison.

1. кat' Ékeivov $\delta \mathbf{E k}$ tìv кalpóv, now about that time. The events narrated in this chapter must have occurred very shortly before Herod's death. The date will therefore be about A.D. 43.
'Hpwíns $\delta$ קaciגcus. This was Herod Agrippa I. He was the son of Aristobulus, and grandson of Herod the Great. See Table of the Herods in Archdeacon Farrar's St Luke (Cambridge Gk. Test. for Schools), Introduction, p. li.
tréßa入ev．．．tàs Xêpas кaкw̄al，stretched forth his hands to injure． Agrippa according to Josephus（yrx．7．3）was anxions to be esteemed a devout Jew：＇He loved to live continually at Jerusalem，and was exactly carefal in the observance of the laws of his country．He therefore kept himself entirely pure，nor did any day pass over his head without its appointed sacrifice．＇Such a man might easily be roused， by the Jews whom he was so anzious to please，to the perpetration of cruelties upon the Christians．



 was one of the two sons of Zebedee，who had been among the three specially favoured disciples of Jesus．It is therefore likely that he would take a leading part in the labours of the Church．Thus Agrip－ pa＇s attention woald be drawn to him as a proper person to be first struck down．All the accusations which had been laid against Stephen，that the Christian leader spake against the Temple and the Law，would be used with effect to such a zealous observer of Mosaic ritual as Herod Agrippa was．
raxalpn，with the sword．This was the third in order of the modes of execution appointed among the Jews．These modes were（1）stoning， （2）burning，（3）the sword，and（4）strangalation．In connexion with the execution of James the words of the Mishna are interesting：＇The ordinance for putting to death by the sword is as follows：the man＇s head is cut off with the sword as is wont to be done by royal command．＇ See Surenhusius on Sanhedrin，p．248，where there is a discussion about the position of the prisoner，whether he should stand erect or have his head on a block．
 pleased the Jews，which with him was so great an object．Josephus， in contrasting Agrippa with the Herod who ruled before him，says the latter was＇more friendly to the Greeks than to the Jews，＇but in this respect Agrippa＇was not at all like him．＇
 also．Literally，＇he added to take de．＇This is the literal rendering of a common Hebrew form．Cf．LXX．Gen．iv．2，кal $\pi \rho \circ a \epsilon \theta \varepsilon \tau о$ т $\epsilon \kappa \in ⿺ 辶$ $\tau \delta \nu \dot{a} \delta e \lambda \phi o ̀ v$ aivồ，＇and she bare again his brother，＇and Gen．xxxvii．
 hated him yet the more for his dreams．＇Peter was the other most con－ spicuous figure among the Twelve，for John，as in his Gospel he keeps himself from view under the designation＇that other disciple＇（John xx．2，3，xxi．20，23），so in the work of the early Church is but little noticed after the first persecution at Jernsalem．
 bread．The phrase refers to the whole Passover feast，as may be seen

2. VOero els $\phi$ иakify, he put him in prison, to be kept a prisoner till the termination of the feast, when he might be brought to trial.
 quaternions of soldiers to guard him. A quaternion was a set of four men, which was the number at one time occupied in the work of the guard, two soldiers being chained to the prisoner, and two keeping guard outside. These latter are called (ver. 10) 'the first and second ward.' There were four such sets appointed to have charge of Peter, one company for each of the four watehes by day and by night.

A similar arrangement for keeping guard, though not over a prisoner, is mentioned Philo in Flaccum 13, where an officer is sent to arrest


 A. V. renders $\pi$ riaxa by 'Easter,' meaning thereby to shew that the whole feast, and not the day of the sacrifice only, is spoken of. That this meaning, and not the aingle day of the Paschal feast is intended by the Greek, seems clear from the elaborate preparation made, as for a longer imprisonment than was the rule among the Jews. Peter was arrested at the commencement of the Passover feast (14th of Nisan), and the king's intention was to proceed to sentence and punish him when the feast was at an end on the 21st of Nisan.
 they might see his zeal for Judaism by the sentence which he should pass upon Peter. The same verb is used (Luke xxii, 66) of bringing

 other indication of the intended longer duration of the imprisonment, and that he was not arrested on the day of the Paschal sacrifice with the purpose of being brought forth on the morning of the 15th of Nisan, as some have maintained.
 made by the Church unto God for him. The adverb ekrevês is thus used in LXX. of earnest crying unto God. Joel i. 14; Jonah iii. 8.

 mere offered by assemblies of Christians meeting in various private houses (see verse 12), for the perseantion would now render public Christian services dangerous, as we know was often the case in the early days of Christianity.
 was about to bring him forth. This is an additional note of the lapse of some space between the arrest and the intended punishment of the Apostle.
 soldiers of the quaternion who were not chained to the prisoner. See above on verse 4.
7. кal LSoù ayүedos kuplov ETtorm, and behold an angel of the Lord came upon him. The phrase is word for word the same as in Loke ii. 9 , and the words which follow there кal $\delta b \xi a$ кuplov $\pi \in \rho t \in \lambda a \mu \psi \varepsilon \nu$ aúroùs have much resemblance to the further deseription here.
 applicable to any dwelling-place, is used in classical Greek for such places as a tavern, a cage for birds, a store-room, and for a prison (as
 $\mu \in \gamma a$ ка $\theta \in \hat{\varphi} p \xi a r$. The light in the cell was due to the presence of the angel who came in the glory of the Lord.

ท̈YELpev aúrov, he roused him up. The verb indicates that the angel woke Peter from his sleep, not that he helped him to arise, as might be supposed from the A.V.
8. Zڤoal, gird thyself. To gird up the loose Orientel robe was a necessity before undertaking any expeditions movement. So to
 uses the same phrase (2 Kings ix. 1) to that one of the sons of the prophets whom he is about to send to Ramoth-Gilead.
 tioy was the outer garment as distinguished from the under one, which is $\chi$ ućup. The luárca were stripped off by those who stoned Stephen (Acts vii. 58), and in the LXX. the constant phrase for rending the
 dress made for Adam and Eve is described as $\chi$ utêves $\delta$ ofpuíturou (Gen. iii. 21), and it was the $\chi$ cívy which Ahab ( 1 Kings xxi. 27) rent, that he might put sackeloth upon his flesh. Cf. also 'Teaching of the Twelve

 were past the first and second ward, i.e. the warders, who were stationed one nearer to the inner door of the prison and another at some further distance away.
 into the city. This description, with the words which immediately follow about the street into which they came, make it probable that the prison in which Peter was kept was in the midst of the eity.
aúropaitn, of its own accord, i.e. without any human agency. Cf. the description of the fire which appeared to the Egyptians when they were oppressing the holy nation (Wisdom xvii. 6), $\delta є \varepsilon \phi a l v e \tau_{0} \delta^{\prime \prime}$ au'rois

dimeoty ó àүүelos cim' avicov, the angel departed from him, giving no more aid now that the Apostle could make his way without super-



 himself. This and the other subjective features of the narrative shew that the account must have been derived from St Peter himself.

No one else could describe the astonishment and the after realization that all was traly enacted and no vision.

In Loke xv. 17 the phrase is els caurdy repofevos where it is a moral and spiritual, not a physical, awakening and resipiscenoe that is spoken of.
 expectation of the people of the Jews. Their gratification had been great at the death of James, and now they hoped to see another of the Apostles condemned and executed.
12. onvi $\delta \dot{\sigma} v$ te, and when he comprehended the matter, i.e. had taken in all the circumstances and decided what was best to be done. The same word is used (xiv. 6) of the disciples getting news of an intended attack, and making up their minds to flee before it took place.

Maplas тins $\mu \eta \tau \rho \delta_{s}$ 'I $\omega$ duvou к.т. $\lambda$., Mary the mother of John, whose surname was Mark. This Mary was the sister to Barnabas as we learn in Col. iv. 10, where Mark is called sister's son to Barnabas. This relatiouship aecounts for the way in which the uncle clung to his nephew, even when St Paul declined to have Mark as a companion on their second proposed missionary journey. We do not read of the father of Mark anywhere, so it is probable that Mary was a widow, and, like her brother, was possessed of means which enabled her to put a house, or a part thereof, at the service of the Church, as a meeting-place for prayer.
 Probably Mary's house was a regular place for Christian assemblies. At one time they would meet for one purpose, at another for another, but just when Peter was delivered their object in meeting had been to make supplication for his deliverance.

13-19. Surprise of the Bretiren and Anaer of Berod.
 wicket which was opened for any one's admission, while $\pi u \lambda \Delta \nu$ is the porch into which admission was obtained through the Gipa. in Aupa tô $\pi v \lambda \hat{\jmath} v o s$ occurs in the LXX. Ezek. xl. 11; also in Judges nviii. 16, 17, in which latter place the expression applies to the gate of a city, which had also its wicket.
ímakoūrat, to hearken. Perhaps we have here a trace of the danger which at this time surrounded the disciples from this zeal for Judaism on the part of Herod. Saul had entered into every house and oarriad off men and women to prison (viii. 3), and there was a prospect of a like persecution. So Rhoda was not minded to open till she knew who was seeking for admission.
 Peter's voice. We know that there was something easily recognized in it, and he was known by his speech on a former occasion (Matth. xxvi. 73).
 gladness. Cf. with this action the description of the disciples, Luke xxiv. 41 ; when they recognized Jesus 'they believed not for joy.'



 the A. V. constantly had the meaning of confidently, which it has now lost. סḯбरupl $\{0 \mu a \iota$ is in N.T. only here and in St Luke xxii. 59. It occurs in deta Petri et Pauli Apoeryph. $\S \S 34$ and 39 , oi $\delta \bar{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\varphi} \Sigma i \mu \omega \nu$
 in classical Greek.
ó äyyenós toruv aùroû, it is his angel. The author of the Epistle to the Hebrews expresses (i. 14) in part the opinion of the Jews concerning angels when he asks, 'Are they not all ministering spirits sent forth to do service to them who shall be heirs of salvation? The Jewish belief was that each man had a guardian angel assigned to him. Cf. Midrash Rabbah on Eccles. iv. 4, where it is said that 'six hundred thousand of the angels of the presence came down on Sinai at the giving of the Law, and each one bore a crown to crown Israel, one for each Israelite.' Cf. also our Lord's language (Matt. xviii. 10).
17. $\quad \pi \hat{\omega}$ s, how. See on ix. 27 note.
 out of the prison. Cf. bis exclamation in verse 11.
${ }^{2}{ }^{2} \pi a \gamma \gamma \epsilon / \lambda a t \epsilon$, carry word. The A. V. has endeavoured to give the full sense by 'Go, shew,' but this seems as though it represented two verbs instead of one.
'Iaк $\bar{\beta} \beta \varphi$, unto James. This is no doubt the James who is afterwards (xy. 13) described as presiding over the council at Jerusalem concerning circumeision, and giving his sentence on that question. Thus he seeme to have been at the head of the Church at Jerusalem, and to him it was natural for Peter to send the first news of his deliverance.

This James must have been either the son of Alphwus or else the James who is called one of the Lord's brethren, but it is not easy to decide whether the persons called by these names were one and the same. It seems however safest not to identify the Apostle, James the son of Alphæus, with the Lord's brother, for these brethren of Jesus did not believe in Him till a very late period of His ministerial life, long after the Twelve were chosen. But the James in St Luke's narrative here is probably the Lord's brother, because St Paul gives to the James who was one of the pillars of the Church at Jerusalem (Gal. ii. 9) when St Paul risited that city, the express title of 'the Lord's brother' (Gal. i. 19). This James, bishop of Jerusalem, was, as we learn from a tradition preserved by Eusebius (H.E. in 23), cast down from the pinnacle of the Temple, whither the Jews had brought him, in the expectation that he would disown Christ. When, on the contrary, he still held to his belief, he was thrown down, and not being killed by the fall, was slain by a blow from the club of a fuller.
kai rois difsloois, and to the brethren, i.e. to the rest of the Christisn congregation. Though it was in the middle of the night when his deliverance took place, Peter sends to the various centres where, as in the house of Mary, prayer was also being offered to God for his deliverance.
tropevion dis Erepov tómov, he went into another place. The peril of death was so imminent if he had been seized that he takes refuge by hiding where he cannot be found. The times are sltered since the day when, after his former deliverance, he could dare to go and speak in the day-dawn to the people in the Temple. Then the populace were a protection to the Church and saved them from violence of the anthorities, now the Jewish people are in expectation of a second execution.
18. tápaxos oúk dגiyos tv tois orpatcótals, no small stir among the soldiers. For the guards who had been chained to the prisoner would discover as soon as they awoke that he had escaped from between them, and they would know that their life would probably answer for the life of Peter.
19. $\mu \grave{\eta}$ eipóv. It is difficalt to imagine any more literal statement than these words, and there can be no distinction in such a sentence between $\mu \dot{\eta}$ and ous.
ekineverv ámax ${ }^{0} \eta \boldsymbol{v a l}$, commanded that they should be put to death. This is the A.V., and gives the sense better than the literal rendering 'commanded that they should be led forth.' This 'leading forth' was the prelude to execution. The verb $\dot{d} \pi \dot{\alpha} \gamma \epsilon \boldsymbol{p}$ is frequent in the accounts of the trial and Crucifixion of Jesus in the Gospels.
 кare $\lambda \theta \omega \nu$; he came down to Casarea and abode there. By Caligula there had been conferred on Herod Agrippa the tetzarchies of Herod Philip and Lysanias mentioned Luke iii. 1. He afterwards received the tetrarchy of Antipas, and was honoured with the title of king. He therefore, and not a Roman governor, was in power at Cæsarea at this date, for Josephns tells us (Ant. xx. 8. 2) that he had received from Claudius, Judæa and Samaria in addition to the districts over which he had raled under Caligula.
20-25. Death of Herod Agrippa I. Growth of tee Chorch.
20. Inv $\delta t$ Өypouax $\hat{\omega} v$, now he was highly displeased. The word is of very rare occurrence, being found once in Polybias and once in Diodorus Siculus, and nowhere else. It implies a rery deep seated feeling of anger.

Tuphoss kal $\Sigma$ to $\delta \boldsymbol{v}$ los, with them of Tyre and Sidon. These cities were still seats of maritime industry, and perhaps Herod's regard for the people of Berytus (Beyrout), another Phoenician seaport a little north of Sidon, may have been connected as cause or effect with his anger at the people of the two older cities. Josephus (xix. 7. 5) gives an account of splendid buildings which this king provided for Berytus. It is clear that the way in which the royal anger had made itself felt
was one which interfered with the commercial prosperity of Tyre and Sidon.
 him, i.e. they joined in a common embassy and sent persons from both towns to make representations and to use their influence to appease Herod's anger.
 chamberlain. The name Blastus is Roman, and the man had probsbly taken office under this eastern king because he was high in the favour of the Roman emperor.
 from these words that Agrippa was making war on Tyre and Sidon, but only that he was on unfriendly terms with them and was impeding their trade.
 country was nourished by the king's country. The extent of Herod's rule was very great, and if he encouraged anotber port, and made regulations by which traffic was diverted from the towns of Tyre and Sidon, it was in his power to take away from them at least one-half of the commerce which was their support.
21. такт刀 $8 k \dot{\eta} \mu \mathrm{f} p \mathrm{q}$, and upon a set day. The day was one appointed (as Josephus tells us) for holding a festival on which to make vows for the safety of the Roman emperor.
 kimself in royal apparel. See the extract from Josephus given below.
 mediately an angel of the Lord smote him...and he wos eaten of worms. Cf. the fate of Antiochus Epiphanes (2 Macc. ix. 9), and Herod the Great's death (Josephus, Ant. xyII. 6. 5). The passage in which Josephus describes these events is so important in its bearing on the N. Test. narrative that it deserves to be read in its entirety. He writes (Ant. xıx. 8. 2), "Now when Agrippa had reigned three years over all Judæa he came to the city Cæsarea, which was formerly called Strato's Tower, and there he exhibited shows in bonour of Cessar, upon his being informed that there was a certain festival celebrated to make vows for his safety. At which festival a great multitude was gotten together of the principal persons and such as were of dignity throughout his province. On the second day of which shows he put on a garment made wholly of silver and of a contexture truly wonderful, and came into the theatre early in the morning, at which time the silver of his garment being illuminated by the fresh reflection of the sun's rays upon it, shone out after a surprising manner, and was so resplendent as to spread a dread and shuddering over those that looked intently upon it, and presently his flatterers cried out, one from one place and another from another (though not for his good), that he was a god. And they added, 'Be thou merciful to $u s$, for although we have hitherto reverenced thee only as a man yet
shall we henceforth ozm thes as superior to mortal nature.' Opon this the King did neither rebuke them nor reject their impious flattery. But as he presently afterwards looked up he saw an owl sitting upon a certain rope over his head, and immediately understood that this bird was the messenger of ill tidings, as it had once been the messenger of good tidings to him, and fell into the deepest sorrow. A violent pain also arose in his belly, having begun with great severity. He therefore looked upon his friends and said, 'I whom you call a god, am commanded presently to depart this life, while Providence thus reproves the lying words you just now said to me; and I who was called by you immortal, am inmediately to be hurried away by death. But I am bound to accept what Providence allots as it pleases God, for we have by no means lived ill, but in a splendid and happy manner.' When he had said this his pain became violent. Accordingly he was carried into the palace, and the rumour went abroad everywhere that he would certainly die in a little time...... And when he had been quite worn out by the pain in his bowels for five days he departed this life."

We can see from this extract that among the throng who flattered Herod, there were some who were suing for mercy to be shewn to them; that the day was a set day, that Herod was clad in royal robes, that the flattery consisted in calling him a god, that he did not rebuke them; that he was stricken immediately so that he had to be carried to his palace, that he acknowledged that the stroke came from God as a rebuke for accepting such flattery, and everybody expected him to die at once.
With reference to the latter portion in which Josephus speaks of a violent pain increasing in vehemence very rapidly, and the N. Test. says he was eaten of worms, it is noticeable that, in the account of the death of Antiochus, already alluded to, we have these two features of the same disease mentioped and that they are described separately. First, 2 Mace. ix. 5 , 'The Lord Almighty, the God of Israel, smote him with an incurable and invisible plagne, for as soon as he hed spoken these words a pain of the bowels that was remediless came apon him and sore torments of the inner parts.' Then after a verse or two describing the pride of Antiochus we resd, "So that the worms rose up out of the body of this wicked man.'

Josephus (by whom Herod, as one who favoured Jews, was regarded as of no bad character, and was moreover looked upon with an eye of admiration as having been raised to the highest pitch of power through Roman influence, to which Josephus himself was very ready to pay court) has merely desoribed the form in which the malady made itself apparent at first, and has left out the more loathsome details from the death story of one who in his eyes was a great ling: while Holy Writ has given the fuller account, because the object of the writer of the Acts was to emphasize in all its enormity the $\sin$ for which Josephus tells us that Herod himself felt that he was atricken. The points of accord in the two accounts are so many, and the difference so slight and so easy to be accounted for, that this extract from Josephas must always be regarded as a most weighty testimony to the
historic accuracy and faithfulness of St Luke's narrative. For other instances of death by this loathsome malady, see Herodotus iv. 205; Eusebius vily 16; Tertullian ad Scapul. III. A similar account is given of the death of Philip II. of Spain.
 grew and multiplied. Cf. vi 7 and xix. 20. 'The seed is the word,' said Christ, and so the Christian historian tells us that the word was as seed,-when it was cast forth diligently it waxed and brought forth fruit.
 their labours among the Gentile converts in Antioch.
 tion. $\dot{\eta}$ סcanopla here means the giving into the care of the Church the contributions of the disciples in Antioch for the support of their brethren in Judæa during the famine which Agabus had foretold (xi. 28).


## CHAPTER XIII.

Readings varying from the Text. recept.

1. teves omitted with NABD. Unrepresented in Vulg.
2. aúvol for oủroc with NAB. Vulg. 'ipsi.'
 insalam.'
3. кal before ditevioas omitted with NABCL. Not represented in Vulg.
4. eil tis, Eotuy iv yuiv $\lambda$ byos with NaBC. Vulg. 'si quis est in vobis sermo.'
 eorum sustinuit.'
 'eis.'
 NaBC. Supported by Vulg.

5. Toîs тekvots $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu \omega \hat{v}$ with NABCD. Vulg. 'filiis nostris.'
 see notes.
6. É申' $\dot{\prime} \mu a ̂ s$ omitted with NBD. Vulg. 'vobis.'
 with KABCDEL. Vulg. 'exeuntibus autem illis.' $\tau \dot{d} \varepsilon \theta \nu \eta$ omitted with KACDI. Unrepresented in Vulg.
7. kuplov for $\theta e o u ̂$ with NaB. Fulg. 'Dei.'
8. кal before Tds evjoxifovas omitted with NABCD. Yulg. 'et.'
9. aưTஸ̂v omitted with KABC. Unrepresented in Vulg.

Ch. XIIL. 1-12. Beginning of Sadr's Firge Migeronary Journey. He visits Cyprus.
 were at Antioch in the Church which was there.

We now come to the history of those three great journeys which the Apostle of the Gentiles undertook in his special work. It is fitting that the point of departure should be Antioch, the city in which Gentiles had first in large numbers been joined to the Chureh, and where as yet there had risen no difficulty about the way in which they were received.
$\pi \rho \circ \phi \eta_{\mathrm{Tai}} \mathrm{kal}$ 8ı8́árкa入ol prophets and teachers. Cf. ii. 17. The words of Joel were now to receive a wider fulfilment.

We see from the 'Teaching of the Twelve Apostles,' chap. ziii. that these two classes of instructors became recognized in the Church. nas



 name points out the man as of Jewish origin, and the second is a Latin adjective = black, which may have been assumed, or given to him, as a name from his dark complexion. Jews were, and are still, in the habit of having another name beside their national one, for use when they mixed among foreign nations.

Lov́kcos o Kupquaios, Lucius of Cyrene. This name is Latin, though his birthplace or home may indicate that he was one of the Jews who abounded in Cyrene and other parts of northern Africa. Perhaps he is the person mentioned Rom. xvi. 21.

Mavaív, Manaen, i.e. Menahem. The name is Jewish, and is found in Josephus (Ant. xy. 10. 5) as the name of an Essene who foretold that Herod the Great would become king. It may well be that the name became, when the prophecy had received its fulfilment, a favourite one among those who were attached to or favoured the rulers of the Herodian family.
'Hpฒbou тov̂ тexpapxou oúvipodos, the faster-brother of Herod the tetrarch. The Vulg. gives 'collectaneus.' Herod the tetrarch (Antipas) had a brother Archelaus by the same mother. Manaen would hardly be said to have 'been brought up with' (as A.V.) one brother and not with the other.

The various connections and nationalities of the men who are here named are worthy to be noticed when we reflect on the work which was to have its beginning from Antioch. One a Cypriote, another a Cyrenian, another a Jew, but from his double name aceastomed to mix among non-Jews, one a connection of the Idumean house of Herod, and Saul, the heaven-appointed Apostle of the Gentiles, -the list may be deemed in some sort typioal of 'all the world,' into which the Gospel was now to go forth.
 the Lord. The verb $\lambda$ ecioupytw is the one usually employed by the LXX. for the ministerial services in the Temple, as it is also Heb. x. 11, but the parallelism with the next verse, where the service here mentioned is described as 'fasting and prayer,' shews us that we are not to attach the former strict signification to it. Such has been the mind of the Church also, for from this verb comes our word 'Liturgy.' The old order is giving place to the new, and the terminology is receiving 9 new sense.
cal viroteuovecuv, and fasted, i.e as a solemn act of devotion in the prospect of the work which was before them.
 the prophets who were there.
 from the first been a 'vessel of election,' and so specially severed for this work, and we can see why Barnabas, who had been the first to introduce Saul to the Church at Jerusalem, and whose education may have been very like his own, (for there was much inter-communication between Cyprus and Tarsus, ) was appointed to be the sharer of Saul's labours.

The verb a'dopljw is used in the IXX. (Numb. viii. 11) of the separation of the Levites for God's service, and (Exod. xiii. 12) of living things specially devoted to the Lord.
tis th Epyov к.т. $\lambda$., for the work whereunto I have called them. As the one portion of this admonition was from the Holy Ghost, we may perhaps be warranted in concluding that the whole course of this first great missionary journey was pointed out also by the Spirit. There is no notice of a deliberation in the Church about the best way for the Apostles to set forth.
ó $\pi p \neq \sigma \kappa$ ќk $\lambda \eta \mu a$. It is usual in Greek not to repeat with the relative the preposition which stands before the antecedent. Of. Luke zii.


The middle force of $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \kappa \epsilon \kappa \lambda \eta \mu a t$ though not possible to be represented in a translation should not be lost sight of. The Holy Ghost says 'I have called them for myself.'
3. tóte v $\eta$ otévavies. This verse indicates that there was a solemn dedication service at the end of the ministration and fasting with which the devotions of the Charch had commenced.
 Ghost. This repetition marks the solemn character which St Luke and also his informant attached to this new form which the Christian work was taking.
els इencéketar, unto Seleucia, which was the seaport of Antioch at the mouth of the river Orontes.
àmemגevoav els Kyंтpov, they sailed to Cyprus. Probably, if not specially directed, the missionary Apostles were induced to take this route because Cyprus was the birthplace of one of them, and there were in the island already many Jews resident, and also some Cypriote Christians (xi. 20), who perhaps had been in Jerusalem at the feast of Pentecost among the various nationalities then assembled, and who had, when driven away by persection, turned their steps homeward and preached Jesus to their fellow countrymen (xi. 19).
 the nearest port of Cyprus for voyagers from Seleucia. It is at the eastern end of the island in the bay which is now called Famagousta.
$\dot{\epsilon} v$ tais $\sigma v v a \gamma \omega y a i s ~ t a ̂ v ~ ' I o v \delta a l o v, ~ i n ~ t h e ~ s y n a g o g u e s ~ o f ~ t h e ~ J e w s, ~$ who were in sufficient numbers in Salamis to need several synagogues.
 minister. This is John Mark, the nephew of Barnabas (see on xii. 12). His office may have been to baptize, from which service the Apostles seem to have refrained where it was possible (see above on x. 48). But there is perhaps also implied in the word $\dot{\boldsymbol{i} \pi \eta \rho} \dot{\epsilon} \tau \eta \mathrm{s}$ some degree of the same service which in old times Elisha rendered to Elijah (2 Kings iii. 11). The same Greek word is used for the minister in a synagogue (Lake iv. 20).
 gone through the whole island unto Paphos. Probably teaching at other places in the same way as they had done in Salamis. Paphos was the capital of Cyprus, and therefore the residence of the Roman governor. It was the more modern city, not the old city of Paphos, to which Paul and Barnabas came. See Dictionary of the Bible.
 tain man, a magician, a false prophet, a Jew. That there were living among the Jews persons well known as pretenders to magic powers we can see from a story told T. B. Berakhoth 59 a, of a certain Rab Katina who, in his walk, as he was passing the door of one who was known as a professor of witchcraft and magic arts, felt a slight shock of an earthquake. He thereupon called out and asked 'Does this wizard diviner know what that shock is?' Upon this the man cried with a sanctimonious promptness worthy of his profession, 'In the hour when the Holy One, blessed be He, remembers His children who dwell in sorrow among the nations of the world, He lets fall two tears into the great sea, and that is the cause of the tremor of the earth.' Chaldean astrologers and impostors are mentioned by Juvenal (vi. 562, xiv. 248) and Horace (Sat. 1. 2. 1) and by many other Latin
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writers, and these were probably BabyIonian Jews. So also Lacian, Necromantia, where a wonderful story is told of a magician named Mithrobarzanes. Also Lucian, Philopseudes, where one of the wonderworkers is called 'A Syrian from Palestine.'

Bapïnoous, Bar-Jesus, This was his Jewish name. The Arabie name or title, Elymas = wise, was a self-assumed designation; and for that reason he is called 'Magus' $=$ the magician, a name originally applied to the Persian priests, who were deemed the wise men of the realm both in policy and religion, though their title in after times was degraded to baser arts and persons.
 consul Sergius Paulus. Under Augustus the Roman provinces were divided into two classes, one class of which (needing the presence of troops for their government, and the possession of which gave the emperor the control of the army) was called imperatorial, while the others wera called senatorial provinces. The former were governed by an officer named propraetor, the latter by a proconsal. We know from Dio Cassius (urir. 12) that Cyprus was originally an imperatorial proFince, and therefore under a proprmtor. This also Strabo confirms (xif. 685), but says that Augustue made it over to the people along with Cyprus and part of Galatia, and took instead of these Dalmatia for one of his provinces, so that the government was at St Paul's visit held by a proconsul for the Roman senate, as is here recorded; and this is another instance of the historic faithfulness of St Luke's record.

Of Sergias Paulus we know nothing, but the opportunities now afforded, by the English occupation of Cyprus, for the investigation of the antiquities of the island, may lead to some discovery of his name and office in coin or description.
${ }^{\alpha} v \delta \rho l$ ovectê, a prudent man. The presence of such a man as Elymas among his staff shews that the proconsul was a man of inquiring mind, and the same sharacteristic is displayed by his desire to hear Barnabas and Saul.
 aside the proconsul from the faith. Sergius had not yet accepted the doctrine of the Apostles, though we may presume that both he and Elymas had heard much about their teaching since their landing at Salamis. Report going before had roused the proconsul's curiosity and the magician's fear, and the wish of the latter was to divert the attention of Sergius, that he might not send for the new teachers.




 spite of Elymas, the proconsul had been determined in his parpose, and Saul had come before him. At this point we first meet the name by which the great Apostle is best known throughout the Christian

Church, and many reasons have been given why he assumed this name, and why at this time. Some have thought that the name was adopted from the proconsul's, his first convert of distinction, but this is utterly alien to all we know of the character of St Paul, with his sole glory in the cross of Christ. Far more likely is he to have been attracted to it, if it were not his before, by the meaning of the Latin word (paullus= little, see Ter. $A n d .1$. 5. 31; Adelph. 5. 4. 22), and its fitness to be the name of him who called himself the least of the Apostles. But perhaps he did only what other Jews were in the habit of doing when they went into foreign lands, and chose him a name of some significance (for the Jews were fond of names with a meaning) among those with whom he was about to mix. Dean Howson (Life and Letters of St Paul, I. p. 164) compares Joses-Jason; Hillel -Iulus, and probably the similarity of sound did often guide the ohoice of such a name, and it may have been so with the Apostle's selection. St Luke, recognizing that the history of St Paul is now to be his chief theme and that the work for which that Apostle was separated was now begon, names him henceforth only by the name which became most current in the Churches.

The article $\dot{\delta}$ before кal belongs to the understood калоن́ $\mu \varepsilon y o s$, and is not to be considered a substitute for the relative.
 that the panishment inflicted on Elymas was dictated to the Apostle by the Spirit, and that he knew, from the inward prompting thereof, what would be the result to the offender.
aंтevifas cis aviddv єimev, fastened his eyes on him and said. For Elymas was standing by, ready to catch at anything which he could tarn to the discredit of the Apostles. This is meant by St Paul's

 judge from this expression that St Paul recognised an earnest zeal for trath in the inquiries of the proconsul, and that his wrath against Elymas was not only because of what he did at the time, but for the tendency of all his teachings. He had led astray for a long time one who was desirous to understand the ways of the Lord. That there were such anxious inquirers among the Greeks and Romans we can see from the case of Cornelius and his friends. These were sure to seek to Jews for guidance, and in Elymas and such as he they found false guides.
11. Xelp kvplov, the hand of the Lord, i.e. of that Jehovah whose ways Elymas had perverted, for it could only have been after the Jewish faith that Sergius Paulus had made his inquiries of Elymas, who instead of teaching him to know the Lord, seduced him by his own pretensions.

For the expression cf. LXX Exod. ix. 3, Liou xelip kuplav entarau è

 future, and so only a conception in the mind of St Paul, however firmly settled, it is reasonable to use the subjective negative $\mu \bar{j}$. Of.
 $\lambda a \lambda \eta \bar{\eta} \sigma u$.
äxpl кaupov̂; for a season. The punishment inflicted on Elymas is lighter than that of Ananias and Sapphira, because in their case the hypocrisy of their conduct would have brought ruin to the Church, if it had not been severely punished, and their sin was against greater light and gifts of grace than had been bestowed on the magician of Cyprus.
 the words which implies that the withdrawal of his sight was somewhat gradual. At first the eyes began to cloud over, and as the film increased upon them he became quite blind.
kal тєptáywv ériten Xelpaywyoús, and he went about seeking some to lead him by the hand.
$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota a ̆ \epsilon \epsilon \nu=$ to lead aboat, is also used in N.T. in the intransitive sense,


Xeppaywyós is rare, and only here in N.T. The verb is found in the LXX. (some texts) Judges xvi, 26.

As Elymas perceives the darkness closing in opon him he turns in the direction where he had last noticed some friend, and endeavours to get a guide. For such a man would wish to shew as little as possible how exactly the Apostle's words had come to pass.
 what was done, believed. He was convinced by the miracle and by the words with which it was accompanied that the Apostles were teachers of that way of the Lord after which he bad been seeking in pain from Elymas. We are not told that Sergius was baptized, but we have other instances of the like omission of notice (see verse 48), yet as baptism was the appointed door into Christ's Chureh, such omission of the mention thereof should not be thought to warrant ns in believing that the sacrament was neglected on any occasion.

## 13-15. The Apostles visit Pampiylia and Pisidia. Johi Mari betcrans to Jerubalem.

 Their course would be N.W. to reach the south coast of Asia Minor.

On the prompt departure from Paphos, Chrysostom says: o $\rho a$ кal



ol $\pi \in \rho \mathrm{l}$ Maîגov, Paul and his company. Literally 'those around Paul.' Henceforth the Apostle of the Gentiles is made the central figure of nearly every scene in the Aets.
 Pamphylia was about the middle part of the southern seaboard of Asia Minor, and Perga was its capital. We are not told of any missionary labours in Perga at this time, either because there was no opening for their commencement, or it may be that the Apostles were troubled at
the departure of Mark They did preach in Perga on their return visit (xiv. 25).


#### Abstract

'Iwaivp川s $\delta \mathbf{k}$ к.т. $\lambda .$, and John departing from them returned to Jerwsalem. There is no reason given for his departure either here or elsewhere, but the cause assigned had elearly not been one which satisfied St Paul (xy. 38). John Mark, most probably the same person as the writer of the second Gospel, afterwards was an earnest labourer for Christ, and St Paul (Col. ir. 10) speaks of him with affection. If St Luke knew the canse of his present withdrawal, the remembrance of


 his subsequent zeal sealed his lips on the aubject. Cf. x. 48 note. through from Perga. obt pxouat is a very correct expression and should be precisely rendered. The direction in which they went obliged them to cross a whole district. See below.
sls 'Aytóxelay tiv Mır(8ray, to Antioch in Pisidia. Pisidia lay inland to the N. of Pamphylia, and Antioch was at its extreme northern point.
Dean Howson (Life and Epistles of St Paul, 1. 175) suggests that it was perhaps in this journey that St Paul and his companion were exposed to those 'perils of robbers' of which he speaks 2 Cor. xi. 26. Pisidia was a mountainous distriet rising gradually towards the north, and the quotations given by Dr Howson from Xenophon and Strabo shew that there was a great deal of brigand-like life even in these times, from which Paul and his company may have been in danger.
 of the Gentiles, it is always to the synagogue that St Parl first makes his way. The Law of Moses ought to be a better schoolmaster to bring men to Christ than the law of nature.
 the reading of the Law and the Prophets. Which was a prominent portion of the synagogue-service. For the better understanding of what was here done, and also at the time when our Lord 'stood up for to read' in the synagogue at Nazareth (Luke iv. 15) it seems worth while to give in detail an account of the manner in which the Scriptures are read in the Jewish synagogues. For this see the Excursas at the end of this chapter.
 gogue sent unto them. These were the persons who had the control of the arrangements for calling up readers and preachers.

 where the writer calls his whole epistle by that name. 入óyo mapa$\kappa \lambda$ jocecs are spoken of 1 Macc. x . 24, where the A. V. renders 'words of encouragement,' while a similar expression, $\dot{\eta}$ év toís à yatoís $\lambda o ́ \gamma o c s$ таракл $\eta \sigma t s(2$ Macc. xp. 11), is rendered 'comfortable and good words.'

## 16-41. Padl's Speech at Antioce.

16. кaracelras ти̂ Xeヶf, beckoning with his hand. Cf. xii. 17, where it is explained that the gesture was for the purpose of procuring silence.
 that fear God. The audience consisted of born Jews and proselytes as well as perhaps some Gentiles. (See verses 42 and 43.) When the audience and the subject and the end aimed at were so entirely in accord on all three occasions we cannot be surprised that the address of St Paul at Antioch partakes largely of the character, and also of the language, of those of St Peter at Pentecost and St Stephen in his defence. St Paul had heard the last of these, and the vision on the way to Damascus had taught him to speak with boldness on the truth of the Resurreation.
17. $\delta \theta$ eds... Tovs martpas $\dot{\eta} \mu \bar{\omega} v$, the God of this people of Israel chose our fathers. He commends his words to their hearing by dwelling on the historic facts of their national life as God's chosen people. In that history the LXX. continually represents God's choice of Israel by this word $\begin{aligned} & \xi \xi \in \lambda \epsilon \xi a r o . ~ C f . ~ D e u t . ~ v i i . ~ 7, ~ x i v . ~ 2 ; ~ P s . ~ x x x i i i . ~ 12, ~ l x x v i i . ~ 70, ~ \& c . ~\end{aligned}$
iv tí rapoukia, when they dwelt as strangers. The expression
 where the allusion is to the sojourn in Egypt. In the LXX. of Egra it is also found (viii. 35), ol vioi $\tau$ ǹs $\pi$ apoukias, of those who were in Babylon.
18. е̇трофофо́ñeध aúroús, He bare them as a nursing father. This is the expression in Deut. i. 31, where the LXX. have rendered, кal $\frac{\xi}{} y$

 this passage that there onn be no hesitation about the choice of reading. ET $\quad$ oso $\varnothing \phi \rho \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$ is well supported by MS. authority, and is represented in the A.V., and in the text of the Revised Version, "he suffered their manners.' But for this reading, true as it is to the facts, there is no such close parallel to be found in the books of Moses, while the other is equally true to fact, much more beartiful, and borne out by the words of the LXX., with which we can have no doubt that St Paul was very familiar.
 before the people went over Jordan, viz. the Hittites, the Girgashites, the Amorites, the Canaanites, the Perizzites, the Hivites, and the Jebasites.
 land for an heritage about the space of four hundred and fifty years. According to the received chronology there was about this length of time between the call of Abraham and the death of Joshua. So that the land is regarded as a ki poovoula from that early time. But it is dangerous to found any conclusions on chronology based, as the O.T. chronology must be, on such insufficient data.
 these things He gave them judges until Samuel the prophet. On Samuef us the prophet above all athers of. iii. 24, note.
19. kdkeitev, and after that. The word indicates from that point in their history where Samuel appears they began to clamour for a king, and thus the local becomes a temporal meaning in the adverb.
 a man of the tribe of Benjamin. And to the speaker himself some part of this description applied, for he also was of the tribe of Benjamin.

The forty years' duration of Sani's reign is only to be gathered indirectly from Holy Writ, but Josephus (Ant. vi. 14. 9) expressly states that time as the length of his reign, and as Ishbosheth, Saul's son, whom Abner set on the throne after his father's death was forty years old when he began to reign ( 2 Sam. ii. 10), we may conclude that the length assigned in the text is correct.
22. cũpov $\Delta$ avil $\kappa_{k} \tau . \lambda, I$ have found David, \&c. This sentence is a combination and adaptation from two separate verses out of the O. Test. (1) 'I have found David my servant,' Ps. lxxxix. 20; (2) 'The Lord hath sought Him a man after His own heart, and the Lord hath commanded him to be captain over His people,' I Sam. xiii. 14.
 man's seed hath God according to promise brought unto Israel a Saviour Jesus. The promise alluded to here is preserved for us in Ps. exxxii. 11 'Of the fruit of thy body will I set upon thy seat,' and in many other similar declarations in the prophets. Of. Zech. iii. 8,9 .
 the face of, and means no more than rpó, and the A.V. has rightly rendered it only by before.
 was to be an outward sign of an inner change of life and mind. Of. Mark i. 4.
 words see Matth. iii. 11; Mark i. 7; Luke iii. 16; John i. 20, 27.

 forth. Some of the oldest authorities read $\dot{\eta} \mu \bar{i}$ here, and for the Apostle to say ' to us' is quite in accord with the language of verse 17, 'God chose our fathers.' Through the whole address he avoids, as far as may be, wounding any Jewish prejudice, and so olasses himself with his hearers where the subject allows him to do so.

In $\lambda \delta$ रos $\sigma \omega r \eta p i a s$ the reference is to the $\sigma \omega r i p$ mentioned in verse 23, so that the meaning is 'the message of the work of Jesus as Saviour.'

There appears to be a reference in the aorist $\xi \xi a \pi \epsilon \sigma \tau a \lambda \eta$ to the first announcement of the message of ealvation.
27. Toûtov àyvoń ${ }^{2}$ vites, because they knew Him not. Cf. the very similar language of St Peter at the Temple (iii. 17), 'I wot that through ignorance ye did it, as did also your rulers,' and see note there.
 no cause of death in Him. These words are part of the declaration of Pilate (Lnke xxiii. 22).
 been written of Him. Various prophecies received their fulfilment in Christ's sufferings, some in the betrayal, others in harsh treatment, and agony which preceded His death, the greatest of them all.
 the dead. This was the proof that God had now fulfilled the promise made unto Abraham and to David, that of their seed should one come, in whom all the nations of the earth should be blessed, even as St Paul says below, by being justified from all things, from which they could not be justified by the law of Moses. And elsewhere (Rom. i. 4) the Apostle says that Jesus 'was declared to be the Son of God with power, according to the spirit of holiness, by the resurrection from the dead.'
31. dad Tr̂s Talıialas, from Galilee. The Apostles, and the main body of Christ's followers, were drawn from Gaililee, in so much that, before the Crucifixion, Galilæans was a name by which they were known (Mark xiv. 70).
oltwes vûv cioly $\mu$ áptupes aúroû, who now are His witnesses. St Paul has not mentioned the Ascension of Jesus, but when he says that now men are Bis witnesses, it is implied that Christ was no longer on earth for men to see Him. The Apostle also thus marks out what was the especial work of those who had companied with Jesus during His life.
 tidings. While the companions of Jesus are to be His witnesses, we are His Evangelists, the bringers of the good news of His salvation.

Tiv...èmayye入lav..., of the promise which was made unto the fathers. Thus $\epsilon \pi a \gamma \gamma e \lambda a y$ becomes the direct object of the verb evarrelusó $\mu \in \theta a$.
 completely fulfilled this. The 'glad tidings' are about the promise, and the precise message which is the cause for gladness is contained in the announcement that the promise has been fulfilled, and the strengthened form of the verb ( $\epsilon \kappa \pi \in \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \kappa \varepsilon \nu$ ) marks the completeness of this fulfilment.
toîs $\tau$ Ekvoss $\dot{\eta} \mu \omega \hat{\nu}$, unto our children. This well-supported reading certainly merits Tischendorf's remark, 'insolenter illud quidem dietum est.' We should naturally expect what the Text. recept. has given, 'to
us their children.' Bat when the complete force of the preceding verb is taken into account, the sentence may be explained. The promise was made to Abraham, and generation after generation was born and passed eway, having received the promises only by faith. Even the generation contemporary with Jesus was not born to the complete fulfilment, but now after Christ's resurrection Christians may say 'for our children' the promises are utterly fulfilled.
divaoríras 'I $\eta$ oov̂v, in that He hath raised up Jesus again, i.e. from the dead. This is necessary to the Apostle's argument, which is on the resurrection of Jesus as a proof that He was the Measiah. The quotation which follows need not refer alone to the birth of Jesus into this world. He was also the first-begotten from the dead, the firstfruits of them that slept.
 first and second Paalms were originally joined into one, which will account for what is now Ps. ii. 7 being named as in the text. Justin Martyr (Apol. x. 40) treats the whole from $\mu$ aкiptos apto ('Blessed is
 present second Psalm) as all one composition and on one subject. So Tertullian (Adv. Marc. iv. 22) writes 'in primo psalmo," filius mens es tu, hodie genui te." "
 quotation is from Is. 1v. 3.
 (faithful) mercies of David. Tà $\begin{gathered}\text { otac is often used by the LXX. to repre- }\end{gathered}$ sent the Hebrew word for 'mercies' as here. St Paul speaking to the people of Antioch no doubt used the Greek version, though he would carry the Hebrew thought along with him. But having tid $\delta \sigma \iota a$ as the explanation of the 'everlasting covenant' of which Isaiah is speaking, St Paul at once connects $\tau \dot{d}$ סata with the $\begin{gathered}\text { ò } \\ \delta \sigma \sigma t o d ~ o f ~ P s . ~ x v i . ~ 10, ~\end{gathered}$ where it is aaid God will not give His Holy One to see corruption.
 These words of Ps. xvi., which David was inspired to utter, cannot refer to David himself, and this St Parl now proceeds to shew. Cf. on the whole passage ii. 29-31 notes.
 own generation by the counsel of God, fell on sleep. There are several other constructions possible in this verse. Thus $\beta$ ou $\lambda \hat{\jmath}$ might be taken as dependent on $\dot{v} \pi \eta \rho \in \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma a$, , after that in his own generation he had served the counsel of God, fell asleep.' Or $\beta$ oui $\hat{\eta}$ might be taken after ${ }^{\text {Exou }} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\eta} \theta \eta$, 'he fell asleep by the counsel of God.' But the A. V. seems preferable. For it must be borne in mind that the contrast which most aids the Apostle's argument is that, while David's services could benefit only those among whom he lived, and could not be extended to other generations, Christ by His Resurrection, never more to die and see corruption, is a Saviour for all generations, and remission of sins through Him can be promised to every one that believeth.
38. aderts dpaptîr, forgiveness of sins. Just as Jesus in His lifetime on earth deolared that His miracles were only signs that 'the Son of man hath power on earth to forgive sins,' вo the Apostles preach concerning the Resurrection. Of. x. 43, the conclusion of St Peter's speeck in the house of Cornelins.
 repetition of a preposition before the relative when it precedes the antecedent, see note above on verse 2.
40. $\mu \dot{\eta} \in \pi \in \lambda_{\mathrm{D}}$, lest there come about, viz. a moral and spiritual overthrow as great as the destruction which the Chaldmans and Nebuchadnezzar wrought upon the land and people at the time of the Babylonish captivity, to which the prophecy (Hab. i. 5) quoted in the next verse refers.
41. Kícte, oi катафpovŋтal, behold, ye despisers. This the rendering of the LXX. and of some other versions. The Hebrew text gives, as A.V., 'Behold, ye among the heathen.' The LXX. either had, or thought they had, a different text.
 It is the result of long-continued evil-doing that those who live in it grow incredulous and proof against all warnings. Their hearts are allowed to wax gross and their ears to become doll of hearing.

42-52. Ficrifeer Preaching both to Jews and Gentimeg. Jialougy of the Jews, and Expulsion of the Apobtleg from Antioch.
 besought. The congregation had been in the synagogue where we may presume that only Jews and proselytea were assembled. We do not read of Gentiles among the throng of listeners until the next sabbath. The ta $\epsilon \theta \nu \eta$ of the Text. recept. makes the verse unintalligible.
 $\mu \epsilon \nu \varphi \sigma \alpha \beta \beta d \tau \varphi$, and some thinking a difference of meaning intended would render here 'during the intervening week.' This does not seem needed, but as is pointed out in the Excursus on ver. 15 the Jewish congregations had a portion of the Law read in the synagagues not only on the Sabbath, but on the Monday and on the Tharsday mornings, that they might not be for three days without hearing the Scripture. The pecaliar expression in this verse may apply to the meetings in the synagogue on those days, when the people desired to hear once more the message which St Paul had just preached to them.
 not dövos. Cf. x. 37.
 may have been used to distinguish those proselytes who conformed entirely to Judaism from the proselytes of the gate.
 continue in the grace of God, as Barnabas in like circumstances had urged on the converts at Antioch in Syria (xi. 23). Here, though we have no mention of actual converts, the Apostles must have had regard to the 'purpose of their hearts' when they spake to these inquirers as though they were already 'in the grace of God.'
 Apostles must have been labouring diligently, both among Jews and heathen daring the intervening days.
 of exclusion, which was so engrafted in the Jewish race, asserted itself as soon as they saw the Gentiles gathered to hear the Apostles. The teaching of men who would admit all mankind to the same privileges was abhorrent to them. For themselves and for proselytes they could accept a message as God-sent, and tolerate some modifications in their teaching and practice, but they could not endure that the Gentiles should be made equal with God's ancient people.
 Cf. the singular conduct of the Jews at Corinth under like ciroumstances (xviii. 6). There is considerable authority for omitting áyrintyoures кal here. It may be that they fell out because of theprevions dyTençov in the verse. The sense seems better conveyed by their retention. They contradicted and, in doing so, became blasphemers.
 God should first have been spoken to you. That, as Christ came first unto His own, so His messengers should declare their glad tidings first unto Jews, but if they received not the word, then it was to be proclaimed to all who would receive it.

кal oúk dॄlovs крivetє 'autoús, and adjudge yourselves unworthy, i.e. you pronounce a sentence upon yourselves by your actions. Cf. Matth. xxii. 8, 'They that were bidden' to the marriage-supper were found in this fashion to be unworthy. He who sent to call them lied deemed them worthy, but they made it clear they were not so by their refusal to come.
 commanded us. The Lord's command which the Apostle quotes is from Isaiah xlix. 6 , and it shews that from the prophetic times the reception of the Gentiles was made manifest in the counsels of God. Whatever application be made of the words of the Prophet (i.e. to whomsoever the 'thee' be referred) it is clear that, with the Jews, the Gentiles also are to be recipients of the promised blessings.
 as many as were ordained unto eternal life believed. In the controversies on predestination and election this sentence has constantly been brought forward. But it is manifestly unfair to take a sentence out of its context, and interpret it as if it stood alone. In ver. 46 we are told that the Jews had adjudged themselves unworthy of eternal life, and all that is meant by the words in this verse is the opposite of
that expression. The Jews were acting so as to proolaim themseives unworthy; the Gentiles were making manifest their desire to be deemed worthy. The two sections were like opposing troops, ranged
 not unalterably, looked upon as so arranged by God on different sides. Thus the Gentiles were ordering themselves, and were ordered unto eternal life. The text says no word to warrant us in thinking that none could henceforth change sides. Nor is the rendering 'ordained' necessarily an evidence of the Calvinistic bias of our translators. The same rendering is found in other English versions and the Rhemish, strange to say, is even stronger, having 'pre-ordinate.'
 honourable estate. We read that in Damascus, and we may suppose that it was likely to be the case in other large towns and cities in which Jews abounded, the wives of the men in high position among the heathen were mach inclined to the Jewish religion (Josephus, B.J. II. 20. 2). These would be easily moved by the Jews to take action against the Apostles.
Toùs $\pi p \dot{\omega}$ тous $\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s} \pi \delta \lambda_{\epsilon \omega \mathrm{s}}$, the chief men of the city, i. e. the heathen magistrates. As the Jews in Jerusalem had appealed to Pilate and the Roman power to carry out their wishes at the Cracifixion, so the Jews in Antioch exoite the heathen authorities against Paul and Barnabas.
 (A.F.) did not mean only land bordering on the sea as now, but any borderland.
 off the dust of their feet against them. This significant action, like that of the 'shaking of the raiment' (xviii. 6), implied that those against whom it was done were henceforth left to go their own way. Cf. Matt. x. 14.
${ }^{\prime}$ Iroviov, Iconium. A city in Pisidia to the east of Antioch. It is still a large town, and preserves a trace of its old name, being now called Konieh. See Dict. of the Bible.
 with joy. Rejoicing in accordance with the Lord's exhortation (Matt. v. 12) when men reviled and persecuted them, which was the very treatment which they had received in Antioch.
 of the Comforter was the spring from which came the fulness of joy.



## ON THE JEWISH MANNER OF READING THE SCRIPTURES.

The Jewish division of the Soriptures is (1) the Law, i.e. the Five Books of Moses. (2) The Prophets, under which title the Jews include Joshua, Judges, 1 and 2 Samuel, 1 and 2 Kings, as well as Isaiah, Jeremiah, Ezekiel, and the twelve Minor Prophets. (3) The Hagiographa, containing Psalms, Proverbs, Job, the Song of Solomon ${ }^{1}$, Ruth, Lamentations, Ecelesiastes, Esther, Daniel, Ezra, Nehemiah, and the two Books of Chronicles. The command which enjoins the reading of the Pentateuch is found Deut. xxxi. 10, 'At the end of every seven years in the solemnity of the year of release in the Feast of Tabernacles, when all Israel is come to appear before the Lord thy God in the place which He shall choose, thou shalt read this Law before all Israel in their hearing. Gather the people together, men and women and children and thy stranger that is within thy gates that they may hear.'

This appointment, which prescribes the reading of the whole Pentateuch on the Feast of Tabernacles, was probably soon found to be impracticable, and it is not unlikely that from a very early time the people arranged to read throngh the Pentateuch in seven years by taking a small portion on every Sabbath, beginning with the Sabbath after the Feast of Tabernacles in one year of release, and ending with the Feast of Tabernacles in the next year of release. Thus would they in some sort be fulfilling the commandment. That such an early subdivision of the Pertateuch into small portions took place seems likely from what we know of the later arrangements for the reading of the Law. The existence of such a plan for reading would account for some of the divisions which exist (otherwise unexplained) in various copies of the Jewish Law.
For (1) we learn (T. B. Megillah, 29 b) that the Jews of Palestine broke up the Pentateuch into sections for each Sabbath in such a manner as to spread the reading thereof over three years (and a half?). They arranged no doubt that the concluding portions of their second reading should be on the Feast of Tabernacles in the year of release; and they began again on the following Sabbath. In this way they read through the whole Law twice in the seven years, and by concluding it on the Feast of Tabernacles in the year of release observed the commandment ${ }^{3}$, and hereby may be accounted for some other of the unused subdivisions of the copies of the Jewish Law.

[^4]2. The Babylonian Jews in the 4th century after Christ, and probably much earlier, and all Jews down to this day have the Pentateuch so divided that it is read through once overy year, such reading beginning on the Sabbath after the Feast of Tabernacles, and concluding on the so-called last day of that Feast in the next year, the day really being the day of 'rejoicing in the Law' (simkhath Torah). Thus they bring their reading to an end in each year, and so of course in the release-year, on the day appointed, and observe the command in this manner.

This comparatively modern, though almost universally prevailing arrangement, accounts for the present larger divisions of the Lew for reading, and these divisions have each of them its proper name. For the whole Pentateuch has 54 weekly portions, one for each Sabbath. No year however contains 54 Sabbaths, and beside this, some festivals (or rather, holy convocations) may fall on the Sabbath, and when that happens the Scripture appointed for the festival is read, and not the appointed weekly portion in its sequence. In order that the whole Law may still be read through on the Sabbaths, it is provided that occasionally two weekly sections are combined and read on one Sabbath ${ }^{1}$.

These weekly sections of the Pentateuch (Parshioth) are each divided into seven portions, and seven readers are called up from the congregation. These are to be (1) an Aaronite (and if anch be in the congregation he may not be passed over), (2) a Levite, (3) five ordinary Israelites. These must all be males and at least 13 years and one day old. Practically, in Europe at least, thongh these are still called up in the congregations, they do not themselves read, but 8 reader is appointed to read for them. There are congregations in which as a mark of honour more than seven are called up, but this is discountenanced by some Rabbis as likely to lead to abuses.

When the reading of the Law in this manner is concluded the seventh section or part thereof is repeated, and any person may be asked to do this. Such reader is called Maphtir, i.e. the Haphtarist (the person whose reading terminates the reading of the Law). With this is connected the subsequent reading of the selected portions of the Prophets.

In olden times the Haphtarist was also the person invited to be the preacher, and this must have been the position occupied by St Paul at Antioch, and by Jesus in the synagogue at Nazareth.

The sections of the Prophets selected for Sabbath reading and called Haphtaroth have always some bearing upon the appointed portion of the Law for that Sabbath, e.g. with the first section of Genesis (Gen. i. 1-vi. 8), which contains the account of the Creation, there is appointed as the prophetical reading the passage (Isaiah xlii. 5-21); which begins 'Thus saith God the Lord, He that created the heavens,' \&o. With the next section of the Law which contains the history of Nosh (Gen. vi. 8-xi. 32), the prophetical reading is Isaiah liv. 1-10,

[^5]in which passage is found 'This is as the waters of Noah unto me.' The next section of the Law (Gen. xii. 1-xvii. 27) contains the history of Abraham, and the reading from the Prophets begins with Isaiah xl. 27-xli. 16, and in the passage there occurs 'Who raised up the righteous man from the Eest, called him to his foot,' \&a., and a like arrangement is observed throughout the year.

On the Sabbath afternoons the Jews in their synagogues read, to three people, the first seventh of the portion of the Law which is set apart for the following Sabbath, and they do the same on Monday morning and on Thursday morning. So that during the week this part is read four times over.

No prophetic portions are read along with this, but (T. B. Shabbath, 116 b ) in the old times, as early as the commencement of the 3rd century, we find that on the Sabbath afternoons portions of the Hagiographa were read along with this smaller section of the Law, and we cannot doubt that the same principle would be observed in their selection, and that passages similar in character to the selections from the Pentateuch would be chosen in these cases also, though we have no indication what they were. ${ }^{1}$
Festivals and Fasts had their own portions of the Pentateuch appointed, and therewith corresponding portions of the Prophets.

On quasi-festival Sabbaths the ordinary portions of the Law were read, but besides this occasionally other additional portions of the Law were chosen for the Haphtarist to read with reference to the festival, and instead of the usual prophetical section appointed for these days, such passages from the Prophets were chosen as bore on the nature of the quasi-festival.

These quasi-festivals are
(1) Should the Sabbath be (a) the day before the New Moon, or (b) the day coincident with the New Moon.
Partaking of the character of a quasi-festival there is also the socalled 'great Sabbath,' 2 which is the Sabbath that precedes the Passover. On this day the portion of the Law to be read is neither varied nor increased, but as in (1) the appointed Haphtarah is changed for one of a suitable character. The same sort of change of the Haphtarah, bat not of the portion of the Law to be read, takes place for the Sabbath between New Year and the Day of Atonement ( $1-10$ of the month Tishri).
(2) The Meocabeean festival of the Dedication, which as it lasted for 8 daye might include two Sabbathe.
(3) Four semi-festivals which are in one string. a. The Sabbath preceding the New Moon of Adar, or coincident with that New Moon. This is called Shekalim( $=$ the shekels), and the special portion of the Law then additionally read is Exod. xxy. 11-16.

[^6]b. The Sabbath before Purim (the Haman-festival) called Zacor $=$ remember, for which the special additional portion of the Law is Deut. xxv. 17-19.
c. The Red Heifer Sabbath. This is a moveable feast, but most fall between (b) and (d). It is a preparation of Purification for Passover, and its special additional portion of the Law is Num. xix.
d. Ha-Khodes $h=$ the month. The Sabbath preceding or coincident with the New Moon of Nisan, for which the special portion of the Law is Exod. xii. 1-20.
(4) To the above six must be added two Sabbaths if they fall in the middle holidays of the Feasts of Passover and Tabernacles, for such Sabbaths are even of a higher dignity than the other quasifestivals.
(5) The three Sabbaths before the commemoration of the destruction of the city and Temple by Titus, and its previous destruetion by Nebuchadnezzar, ${ }^{1}$. On these Sabbaths the portion of the Pentateuch appointed for the day is retained, but prophatic portions are selected which suit the circumstances. These are known as the three Sabbaths [commemorative] of Punishment and Troubles.
(6) Besides these there are seven Sabbaths called 'Sabbaths of Consolation,' for which, in the same way, special prophetic passages are read, which must all be chosen from the letter part of Isaiah (chap. xl. and after), and in one of them probably ocourred the passage (Isaiah lxi. 1), read by Jesus in the synagogue at Nazareth ${ }^{2}$. For although at present the Haphtarah from that chapter is marked to begin at verse 10, there are indications in some MSS. ${ }^{3}$ that the selected portion formerly commenced at an earlier point, and this for coherence could hardly be elsewhere than at verse 1. It seems probable that in post-Christian times the verses read by our Lord have designedly been cut off from the special prophetic passage. For although any charge against the Jews of altering the words of Scripture on account of Christianity must be dismissed as utterly unfounded, it is on the other hand beyond question that they abolished the most ancient and hallowed custom of reading the ten voords during the morning prayers daily, 'because of the murmuring of the heretics' (minin), and by this word (minin) the Jews meant the earliest Judmo-Christians (T. B. Berakhoth 12 a) who, after Christ's example in the Sermon on the Mount, laid great stress on the Ten Commandments of the Moral Law to the depreciation of ceremonial regulations.
${ }^{1}$ Both these events are commemorated on the same day (9th of Ab).
"That there is no anachronism in supposing that these 'Sabbaths of Oonsolation' wereobserved in our Lord's time may be inferred from the strict way in which the Jewish traditions always identify, in everything but time, the destruction of the two temples by Nebuchadnezzar and by Titus, and the observances in connexion therewith. And we take it as a further proof of the antiquity of this ohservance that though there are alight variations in the ordinary Haphtaroth, in the various Jewish rituals, those for the 'Sabbaths of Consolation' are the same in all.
${ }^{8}$ See a South-Arabian (Femen) Codex, Brit. Museum Mss. Oriental 140.

## CHAPTER XIV．

Readings varying from the Text．recept．
3．kal before $\delta \mathbf{\delta} \delta$ óvth omitted with ABDEP．Not represented in Vulg．

8．$i \pi a ́ p X \omega \nu$ omitted with NABCDE．Unrepresented in Vulg．
13．aúrûv after mó入ews omitted with NaBCDE．Not represented in Vulg．

14．延enifiñav for $\epsilon l \sigma \epsilon \pi \dot{j} \delta \eta \sigma a \nu$ with NABCDE．Vulg．＇exsilierunt．＇

 las ecclesias presbyteros．＇

28．Exєi omitted with NABCD．Not represented in Vulg．

Ch．xiv．1－7．Priaching at Toonidm．The Apobtles forged fo flee．
 went both together into the synagogue．These words probably refer not to one special visit，but to repeated occasions in which Paul and Barnabas appeared as fellow－labourers before the Jewish congregation in Iconium．

For an example of кarà $\tau \delta$ cùtó in this sense，of．LXX． 1 Sam．xi．11， кal oủX íme入el $\phi \theta \eta \sigma a v$ Év aủroîs dúo кaтà тò aủтó．

кal $\lambda a \lambda \eta$ ๆिбal ov̈т $\omega$ s，and so spake，i．e．on various occasions，on some of which not Jews only but Gentiles were hearers of the word．
 Gentiles and＇EANquaral to mean Greek－Jews．But it has been thought that in this verse＂EגA $\eta$ ves can only mean Greek－Jews，and that the word is here used differently from the other places where it is found in the Acts．Such supposition does not seem necessary．Clearly the visit of the Apostles to Iconium lasted a considerable time，and it is not to be supposed that while there they refrained from speaking the Word of their message in any place but in the solitary synagogue． They went，as their wont was，to the synagogue first，that place was the scene of their joint labours on many occasions，and there many of the Jews were won to the faith．But the Apostles spake elsewhere the same gled tidings which they published to the Circumcision，and by this labour many Gentiles slso were converted．This seems a simpler explanation than to make St Luke sey＂EXA 1 ＇En $\lambda \eta \nu \quad \sigma$ тal．The verse condenses the account of the Apostolic labours， marks that their commencement was at the synagogue，that Jews be－ came believers，and then without further specification of a place of preaching adds＇and of the Gentiles，＇to complete the description of the whole result．
 disobedient. The same verb is found John iii. 36, where the rendering ahould be 'he that obeyeth not the Son shall not see life.' The word is stronger than 'unbelieving,' it expresses unbelief breaking forth into rebellion, and so exactly describes the character of these Jews who were persecuting Paul and Barnabes. It is noteworthy throughout the Acts that persecution seems nearly in every case to have originated with the Jews.


éákworav ràs $\psi v x a ́ s$, made their minds evil affected. The verb is not frequently found in this sense. The precise phrase как $\omega \sigma \alpha$, dis
 there it is of affliction put on a person's own soul by a fast or a vow. It is also used (Acts xii. 1) to describe the harm done to the Charch by Herod Agrippa. Here it implies not only an ill disposition aroused towards the brethren, but also that injury was done to the minds in which such feeling was stirred up.
 There are two results described in this and the following verse as the consequences of the Jewish opposition. First, a long stay was necessary that, by the words of the Apostles and by the mighty deeds following wherewith God confirmed them, the faith of the new converts might be fully established before the Apostles departed. Secondly, there came about a division among the people; the Christians and non-Christians became distinctly marked parties.
 preposition implies dependence and rest upon something. The rapphata of the Apostles came from the Lord, and was sustained by Him. He made them bold by His works of power in support of their message.
 becanse the word of the truth of the Gospel is a message of grace and favour.
4. oi $\mu \mathrm{k}$ ग गुणav oiv tois 'Lovסalous, part held with the Jews. For a similar division see the history of the preaching at Thessalonica, xvii. 4, 5. That His word should cause such division had been foretold by Jesus (Luke xii. 51).
 noun does not necessarily imply that any direct attack had been made, which, from what follows, we can see was not the case. It rather refers to the excitement, urging, and instigation which the Jews were applying to their heathen companions, and which was likely to end in

oùv tois ătpxougıv, with their rulens. The religious animosity calling in the civil power, as on other occasions, to work its wishes.
 that the prompting to violence came from the Jews. Stoning was their punishment for blasphamy, and such they would represent the
teaching of the Apostles to be. We need not suppose that any regular legal atoning like that of Stephen was intended, or that to accomplish that object the rulers here mentioned were such Jewish aathorities as conld be gathered together in Iconium, and that they are indicated by a vague term because they had no very settled position. The previous verb 'to use them despitefully' rather points to the opposite conclusion, and marks the intended proceeding as a piece of mob-ontrage, for which the countenance of any authority was gladly welcomed.

In connexion with St Paul's residence at Iconium, there exists a story of the conversion of a maiden named Thecla, of which the apocryphal Acts of Paul and Thecla represents the form into which the legend had grown in the fourth century. Thecla, who was esponsed to Thamyris, is said to have been deeply affected by the preaching of the Apostle, which she accidentally heard, and when St Panl was put in prison on the accusation of being a magician, she bribed the gaoler and visited the prisoner, and was fully instructed by him in the Christian faith. The Apostle was punished and sent away from Iconium. Thecla was condemned to die for her refusal to marry Thamyris, but was mirbculously saved, and after many troubles joined St Paul in his missionary travels, and ultimately made her home in the neighbonrhood of Seleucia, where she led the life of a nun till her death, which took place when she was ninety years old.

This story may at first have had some basis of truth to rest on, bat it has been so distorted with inconsistent details, that it is impossible now to judge what the foundation of it may have been.
6. नuv1ס6vTes, they being ware of it. The Apostles were not without friends among the people, and of the party which sided with them there would be some who could get information about any attack which was being planned against them. It is to be noticed that throughout the history there is no attempt to exaggerate the sufferings of the Christian teachers. Here was a narrow escape from stoning, and as such it is recorded with no more expansion than is absolutely unavoidable.
 Lystra and Derbe, and unto the region round about. From the violence of a mob excited by the Jews they fled into a wilder region where were few or no Jews, and the cities are enumerated in the order in which they were visited, while some to which they went are unnamed but included in the general term 'the region round about.' The flight of the Apostles is exactly in accord with Christ's injonetion (Matt. x. 23).

## 8-18. Cure of a Cripple at Listra. The Heatern People hegard the Apostles as Gods.

8. dy Aúrpots, at Lystra. This place lay almost south from Iconium, if the site generally assigned to it, at the foot of the Karadagh, be the correct one. See Dict. of the Bible. It is most probable that this was the home of Timothy. We cannot conclude this ab-
solutely from xiv. 1; becaase both Derbe and Lystra are there mentioned, but in xx. 4 we have an enumeration in which are the words 'Gaius of Derbe and Timotheus,' where the form of the expression makes it almost certain that the latter was not of Derbe. Further, when St Paul recalls to Timothy his sufferings undergone at this period (2 Tim. iii. 10, 11), he says 'Thou hast fully known......the persecutions and affictions which came unto me at Antioch, at Iconium, at Lystra,' words which seem to conneet Timothy with the last-named place, and when taken in connexion with the other passages to be conclusive that Timothy did not live at Derbe.

That Timothy was made a convert to Christianity at this first visit of St Parl is plain from xyi. 1, where on the Apostle's second visit he is called 'a disciple.' It is also chear from the same passage (xvi. 3) that there could have been but few Jews at Lystra at this time, or else the son of a religious Jewess would hardly have remained uncircum. cised till he had reached man's estate. Some, however, have thought that this may have come to pass through the influence of the Greek father of Timothy.
 his feet. Perhaps this cripple, like that other in Jerusalem (iii. 2), was brought by his friends to some much frequented place that he might ask alms of them that passed by. There is no mention of a aynagogue in Lystra, and it is very improbable that there was one. The Apostles therefore would seek out some place of public resort where they might proclaim their message, and such a position would also be most adapted for the parposes of a begging cripple.
It is worth while to notice once again in what precise and peculiar terms Luke, the physician, describes the nature of this and other maladies which claim mention in the history.
 leaves it quite indefinite whether the man heard on this one occasion only, or had listened to frequent teachings, and so become filled with faith in what was taught.
©'s dंтeploas autū, who fastening his eyes upon him. This verb is common with St Luke, and seems to indicate that the person using it was an eye-witness of what he relates. It occurs several times of St Paul, as in xii. 9, where he fixes his gaze on Elymas, and xxiii. 1, where he attentively beholds the council. From the context of the latter passage, in which we learn that the Apostle did not recognize the high-priest, some have thought that this straining earnest gaze, so frequently ascribed to St Panl , was due to some weakness of sight remaining ever since his blindness at the time of his conversion.
 be healed. The man's heart shone out in his face, and the Spirit within the Apostle recognized that here was a fit object to be made, by his cure, a sign unto the men of Lystra. Cf. Mark x. 23.

The genitival infinitive $\tau 0 \hat{v} \sigma \omega \theta \hat{\eta} v a c$ may here be regarded as a noun regularly governed by $\pi i \sigma \pi \nu$.
10. eintv $\mu \subset \gamma^{\circ} \lambda_{\eta} \phi \omega v \eta ̃$, said with a loud voice, i, e. raising his tone above that in which his ordinary sddress was given. Chrysostom
 attention called to the cure which followed at once upon the words.
 has been noticed in chap. iii. how different is the narration of this miracle from that wrought by St Peter at the Beantiful Gate of the Temple. The two cures were of exactly the same character, and had the historian been giving his own words only and aiming at producing a harmony in his picture between the words and works of St Paul and St Peter, no finer opportunity could have been found than by making the narratives in these two places as much as possible alike. A careful perusal leaves the impression that the latter may have been written from personal observation (see below on verse 22) or from the information of St Paul, but that the former was drawn from an entirely different authority, and that the historian has faithfully preserved the distinct character of the two sources from which he derived his information.

кal ฑ̈入aто kal ттерьтárel, and he leaped and volked. The difference in tense is to be remarked in these verbs. च̈גato is aorist as expressing one act, the upward spring, which shewed once for all that the cure was wrought; $\pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \epsilon \dot{\alpha} \tau \epsilon \mathrm{is}$ imperfect, and indicates that the uct of walking was continued, that he henceforth was able to ezercise his new power.
11. Aukaovoti, in the speech of Lycaonia. Which would come more naturally to their lips than any other. The people were bilingual, and St Paul bad been speaking to them in Greek. This fact may give us some additional light on the question of what the gift of tongues was which was bestowed upon the Apostles. Clearly, from what we see here, it was not such a power as enabled them at once to understand and converse in the various dialects of all the people into whose countries they might be brought in their missionary labours. For it is manifest that neither Paul nor Bannabas understood the cry of these Lycaonians. If they had, we cannot suppose that they would have allowed a moment to elapse before they corrected the false impression which the words conveyed, and at whioh, when they came to know its purport, they expressed such horror. They, however, left the place where the multitude of listeners had been assembled, and departed to their own lodgings without any knowledge of what the mistaken people were about to do.

On this compare the words of Chrysostom, 'A $\lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ovi $\bar{j}^{\nu}$ roîro (the




oi $\theta_{\text {eol }}$ dнow 0 \&ytes к.т. $\mathrm{\lambda}$., the gods are come down to us. Nathing was more familiar to the heathen mind than the thought of the gods assuming human shape and going about among mankind, and it has often been noticed that the scene of the legend of Baucis and Philemon
related by Ovid (Metam. virr. 611 seqq.), and in which Jupiter and Mercury are said to have wandered on earth and to have been received as guests by Baucis and Philemon, is leid in Phrggia, which province was elose to Lyeania.
 they called Barnabas, Jupiter [Zeus]; and Paul, Mercurius [Hermes]. Of course this was not known until afterwerds. We can understand how the heathen people concluded that if any deity came to visit them with a beneficent purpose it would be that god Jupiter whose temple was before their city, and to whom therefore their chief worship was paid; and Mercury was counted as the principal attendant on Jupiter, and moreover as the god of eloquence. It was obvious, therefore, to assign that name to the chief speaker, and the name of Jupiter to that one of the two Apostles who had the more commanding presence. That St Paul was not sweh a figure we know from his own words,


 elval ó Bapuá $\beta$ as.
 speaker. This character is always assigned to Hermes by the heathen writers. Of. Macrobius, Sat. I. 8, 'Scimus Mercurium vocis et sermonis potentem,' and Iamblichus, de Mysteriis ad init., says of him $\theta$ cos

 Jupiter, which was before their city, i.e. 'whose temple was before their city.' Zeus was their tutelar divinity, and it was to his priest that the people ran with their cry, and brought him, with all the preparations for a sacrifice, to the gate of the house where the Apostles were lodged.

тaúpous кal $\sigma$ т $\ell \mu \mu a \tau a$, oxen and garlands. Thelatter were sometimes put on the heads of the victims, and sometimes used by the worshippers for their own decorations at religious rites. Probably in this case they were meant to make gay some temporary altar.
eml rovis mu入hvas, unto the gates. Even though we have the plural here it seems impossible to regard the word as used of the gates of the city, because of the action of the Apostles (e $\xi \in \pi \dot{\eta} \delta \eta \sigma a \nu)$ who sprang forth upon the intending worshippers. The word must refer to the entrance of the house where the Apostles lodged. They were within the house, and as it was meet to offer the victims to the supposed gods in their presence rather than on the altar at Jupiter's temple, it was to the house of their host that the procession came.
14. dikoúvavtes $\mathbf{B E}$, but when they heard. As they did first from the clamour and excitement of the would-be worshippers.

Kentionanv, they sprang out.- They were horror-stricken at what was contemplated, and with garments rent to shew, by signs (for there would be many among the crowd who could understand little of what they aaid) as well as by words, their repudiation of such worship,
they sprang forth from the honse, through the vestibule, and into the midst of the crowd, that they might put an end to the delusion of the people. Of. Matth. xxvi. 65.
15. củaүrehçópevot, preaching unto you. Literally, 'bringing you good tidings' as the message must be which makes known to men a living God in the place of a dumb idol.
 these vain things. ta pácaua is a frequent expression in the LXX. for
 Also Jer. ii. 5 ; Levit. xvii. 7, \&c.
 tions suffered all the heothen to walk in their own ways. On this cf. Acts xyii 30; Rom. i. ii.
mopevertal taîs ósois. This phrase in the LXX, almost always has the preposition $\epsilon$, but it is found without a preposition (according to some MSS.) in 2 Chron. xi. 17.

God had chosen Israel only for His own people before the coming of Christ, and had given to the rest of the world no revelation of Himself except what they could read in the pages of the book of nature. But that, St Paul says, spake clearly of a careful Creator and Preserver of the world.
 This is the same argument which the Apostle employs (xvii. 27) to the more philosophic multitude whom he addressed on Mars' Hill. God's natural teaching is meant to speak alike to all men. Cf. also the similar reasoning in Rom. i. 19, 20.
 recept. seems unnatural. For the Apostle could not include himself amongst those to whom God's appeal had been made through the gifts of nature only.

A few rather unusual words and forms which occar in this verse have suggested to some that we have here a fragment of a Greek poem on the bounties of nature, which the Apostle quotes, as he sometimes does quote the Greek poets, to illustrate his speech from the language familiar to his hearers. Attempts have therefore been made to arrange the words into some dithyrambic metre. But it is hardly probable that St Paul would quote Greek poetry to the people in Lycaonia, to whom Greek was not snfficiently familiar for them to appreciate its literature to the extent which this supposition presumes, and certainly the other quotations which he makes from Greek authors (Acts xvii. 28; 1 Cor. xy. 33; Tit. i. 12) are used to much more cultured audiences.
$\tau$ is кapilas $\dot{v} \mu \omega \nu$, your hearts, to correspond with the first part of the verse. With the Greeks rapila was the seat of the appetites, so that there could be no harshness in such an expression as 'to fill the heart with food.'
18. Tov̂ $\mu \mathrm{y}$ Ov́elv av̂rois, that they had not done sacrifice unto them. Here the genitival infinitive is in atrict government by the verb xatezauray, which like other verbs of detention and hindering can be properly constructed with a genitive.

18-88. Change of feringe in the Multitdde. Padl is stoned. The Apostles yisti Derbe, and then betubn, by the hodte by whioh they oame, to Antioch in Stria.
19. dimò 'Avtoxelas kal 'Ikoviov 'Iovסaiol, certain Jews from Antioch and Iconium. Their anger, like thet of 'the circumoision' in Jerusalem, was roused against the Apostles, whom they knew to be born Jews, but whom they saw casting away the legal reatraints to which they themselves clung. They therefore followed them to other places and represented them no doubt as renegade Jews, and probably taught the heathen people, that what they had seen done was done by evil powers and not by beneficent ones. Some such argument they must have used. The mighty work of the cured cripple bore witness to the reality of the Apostle's power. It was only left, therefore, to ascribe it to evil agency, as the Jews aforetime said of Christ, 'He casteth out devils through Beelzebub.'
meloavtes tov̀s óx גous, having persuaded the multitudes. Dean Howson (Life and Epistles of St Paul, r. 208) quotes from the
 ws kal 'Aриatote Ays maprupti, a passage which is confirmed by the fickle conduct of the people on this occasion. For a similar sudden change of temper in the populace, cf. the conduct of the multitude at Jernsalem just before the Crucifixion, and the sudden alteration of opinion in the people of Melita (Acts $\operatorname{zxriii}$ 6).
 rage oarried them to such a length that they became themselves the active agents in taking vengeance on the 'chief speaker' of the two missionaries. This must be the stoning to whioh Paul alludes (2 Cor. zi. 25), 'Once was I stoned.' And Paley (Hora Paulince, p. 69) calls attention to the close agreement between the history of St Luke and the letter of St Paul. At Iconium St Paul had just escaped stoning; at Lystra he was stoned. The two circumstances are mentioned by the historian, only the actual suffering by the Apostle himself. Nothing but truth to guide them, says Paley, could have brought the two writers so close 'to the very brink of contradiction without their falling into it.'
 had not been in a place set apart for such executions, for there were few Jews in Lyatra, but had been done publicly in the midst of the city, perhaps in the place of common resort where St Paul had been wont to preach.
vopifovtes aùrov tedvqkeval, thinking that he was dead. As they had apparently every reason to do, when the body could be dragged along the road.
 round about him. Among this ring of disciples we may well believe that the young Timothy was included. Braving all danger that might attend on their act, the believers at Lystra gathered about what they, as well as his assailants, deemed the corpse of their teacher, and their sorrowing thoughts were perhaps concerned how they might procare for it reverent burial.
 The word dyactas conveys the impression that this was a resurrection from the dead, and that the restoration of the Apostle, and his immediate exhibition of vigour, and boldness to enter again into the city, was the effect of a miraole. That one stoned and left for dead by a aavage mob should revive and go about as if nothing had befallen him must have been a still more striking evidence of the mighty power of God present with these teachers than what the people had seen before in the restoration of the cripple.

On the zeal of the Apostle and his readiness to return to the sceme of



кal t $\hat{1}$ emaúpıov $\xi_{\xi} \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta e v$, and the next day he departed. Having been sheltered for the night in the house of some disciple, perhaps in that of Eunice and Lois, the mother and grandmother of Timothy, of whose faith the Apostle speaks (2 Tim. i. 5) as though he had been witness of its fruits in their lives.
 it seems, had not been an object of jealousy to the Jews. His power, though great as the 'son of exhortation or consolation,' was not so demonstrative as that of his fellow Apostle. Derbe, the town to which the Apostles next went, was to the east of Lystra. We have no mention of any other places in Lycaonia than these two as visited by Paul and Barnabas, but from ver. 6 we gather that their preaching was extended to other parts of the surrounding country.
 cording to Christ's words (Matth. xxviii. 19), $\mu a \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon \dot{\prime} \sigma a \tau \varepsilon ~ \pi d u \tau a ~ \tau d ~$
 implies a stage beyond that. Perhaps 'Gaius of Derbe,' whom St Luke mentions as one of Paul's companions in a subsequent journey (xx. 4), may have been one of these. This is the more probable because he is there mentioned in the same clause with Timothy, who undoubtedly was converted by St Paul during this visit to Lycaonia.
íméorpe\&av, they returned. Thus going back over the ground which they had travelled before, that they might provide for the spread of that seed of the word which they had imperilled themselves so greatly to sow.
 the disciples. The strengthening indicated by entarnol $\zeta \in c \nu$ is of that kind which St Peter was charged to afford to his fellow disciples. 'When
thon art converted strengthen ( $\sigma \tau$ thetovy) thy brethren,' i.e. by warnings and exhortations drawn from thy own trials and thy deliverance from them. We see that this was the purport of St Panl's charge to the Churches.

Tî $\pi$ fortb, in the faith. This expression seems to point to the existence of a definite creed. $\dot{y}$ aidtss is certainly so used in later books of the N.T. Cf. Col. i. 23; 1 Pet. v. 9, dc.
 many tribulations enter into the kingdom of God. From the use of the pronoun 'we' in this sentence some have thought that, although ummentioned, the writer of the Acts was present with Paul and Barnabas in this first missionary journey as well as in the others. St Luke only indicates his presence at Troas and elsewhere in the same manner (xyi. 10-12, \&o.), though in those passages the mention is more conclusive than in the verse before us.
23. Xelpotovf(ravtes, having ordained. The word is found elsewhere in N.T. only in 2 Cor. viii. 19. It is used of the like ordination in the 'Teaching of the Twelve Apostles,' 15 , xetpotovíjate oüy éaurois


 who should have the oversight, and take care for the growth of these infant Churches when the Apostles were gone. It appears, then, that the Church in these places must have gone on without any regular ministry. On the appointment of Elders cf. zi. 30.
 used the same solemn service, at the dedication of these men to their duties, which had been used when they were themselves sent forth from Antiach for their present labour (xiii. 3).


 $\psi \sim \chi \omega \hat{\omega}$.

тарfӨevto к.т.ג., they commended them to the Lord. Cf St Paul's
 sus (xy. 32) who had come to meet him at Miletus. The Lord was able here also to build these men up, and to give them an inheritance among those which are sanctified.
 the word in Perga. Which, for some unstated reason, they appesr not to have done as they passed through it before. See ziii. 13, 14, note.
 of the river Catarrhactes. For its history see Dictionary of the Bible. The Apostles had sailed, as they come from Paphos, directiy to Perga, which they reached by coming some way up the river Cestrus. Now they go by land from Perga to the seacoast at Attalia, where there
was more likelihood of finding a vessel in which they could sail into Syria.
 had been commended to the grace of God. It is necessary to recur to the more usual meaning of mapabtjortai before we reach the whole sense of these words. It is most commonly used of giving up to enemies, and of exposing to danger; and that there were dangers and foes in abundance before them those who sent out Barnabas and Panl knew, but while sending them into danger, they had faith in the grace of God for them.
27. кal ouvayayóvzes тìv Eккגךоiav, and having gathered the Church together, i.e. the Christian congregation at Antioch who had been moved by the Spirit (xiii. 2) to send them forth. It was fitting therefore that to them should be made a declaration of the results of the Apostolic mission.
 The expression occurs again in xv. 4. The preposition implies that they felt through the whole work that their motto was Immanuel= God with us, cooperating and conspiring with every effort. Chryso-
 $\mu \in \tau^{\prime}$ aútûr.
 the Gentiles, i.e. had made faith the ground of admission to His kingdom. It was now no longer through circumcision that men should enter in and be known as God's people. The Gospel privileges were offered to every one that believed. The phrase duoly sense first ogeurs here: ef. 1 Cor. xpi. 9; 2 Cor. ii. 12; Col. iv. 3; Rev. iii. 8.
 with the disciples. St Paul was naturally more attached to Antioch than to Jerusalem, for here was the centre where Gentiles had first formed a Chureh, and where consequently he found most sympathy with his gpecial labours.

The termination of St Paul's first missionary journey seems a fitting place to notice the general character of the Apostle's labours as they are set forth for us by the historian. A space of three or four years at least must be assigned for the duration of this first mission, and as the district traversed was comparatively small, a considerable time must have been spent at each place which was chosen for a centre of labour. This is very clear from St Luke's narrative. He tells us (xiii. 49) how 'the word of God was published throughout all the region.' He speaks also (xiii. 52, xiv. 22) of 'the disciples' as though converts had been made in no small numbers. Again at Iconium he mentions (xiv. 1) that 'a great multitude both of Jews and Greeks believed,' and (xiv. 3) that 'long time' was spent there in striving to overcome the opposition of the 'unbelieving Jews,' and at last the whole city seems to have been divided through the influence of the missionaries into two great and warmly opposing factions. Such results were
not produced by a couple of unknown Jewish preachers except after long-extended labour. At Lystra they abode long enough to attract crowds to their discourses and to form a congregation of earnest disciples, who did not allow the work to die out. Another proof of the abundant fruit of their labours is the necessity for ordaining elders in the various centres and providing for orderly Church government. It took too no short time, we may feel sure, to secure converts of such a obaracter as to be fit for the presidential offices in every Charch. And the subsequent language of St Paui (xy. 36) where he speaks of revisiting their brethren in every city where they 'had before preached the word of the Lord,' shews that he believed a good foundation had been laid in the various places where they had ministered. We judge from this that the plan of the mission was that Barnabas and Paul made a stay in some centre of population, and there continued their preaching till converts enough and of such a character had been gained to continue the work when the Apostles departed, and some of them so far instructed as to be it to become teachers to the rest.

It is however when we read of the Christian congregations that the narrative of St Luke becomes most replete with interest. The vision by which St Paul was called (Acts xxii. 21) declared him expressly chosen to be the Apostle of the Gentiles. In his letter to the Galatians he confirms (Gal. ii. 7) what St Luke tells us on this point in the history. Yet the history exhibits him to us as quite acting op to the feelings which he himself has expressed (Rom. x. 1), where he declares that his heart's desire for Israel is that they may be saved, and it shews us how his whole life was in accord with the language of that same Epistle (Rom. xi. 1) when he completely identifies himself with the children of Israel. Throughout all this missionary totr the Apostle in no instance neglects to publish the glad tidings of salvation first to his own people. The Jews reject him in one place, yet he still goes to their brethren first at the nest station to which he comes. In Cyprus both he and Barnabas went frat to the synagogue in Salamis. It is true that they preached mightily unto the Gentiles, but the Jews had heard their message first. At Antioch it was in the synagogue that their mission was commenced. They took their places there as ordinary Jewish worshippers, and were asked by the rulers to address the congregation as being brethren and of the same faith. The address which St Paul made on that occasion, the sammary of which St Luke has preserved for us, echoes in more than one place the language of the Epistle to the Romans. While in the latter St Paul says (iii. 28) 'we conclude that a man is justified by faith without the deeds of the Law,' the historian relates (Acts xiii. 39) that he said to the Antiochene congregation in similar terms, 'By Him all that believed are justified from all things from which ye could not be justified by the law of Moses.' In the same way we find in the Epistle St Paul explains to the Romans (x. 19) that God's purpose had been to rouse His ancient people to jealousy by them that are no people, so at Antioch the history tells us how he said, 'It was necessary that the word of God should be first spoken to you, but seeing ye adjudge yourselves unworthy of everlasting life, lo, we tarn to the

Gentiles.' This is quite in harmony too with Rom. i. 16. There the Gospel is proclaimed to be 'the power of God unto salvation to every one that believeth,' but the order in which it is offered is 'to the Jew first, and afterward to the Gentiles.'

To notice the unanimity of the language of St Paul's chief Epistle with that of such abstracts of his speeches as are furnished by St Luke has much interest and is of much importance. For there are those who maintain that the St Paul of the Acts is a very different person in character and teaching from the St Paul of the Epistles. To establish such an opinion, those passages in the letters have been singled out and undnly dwelt on, wherein the Apostle speaks severely of the opposition which he met with from the Jews. A theory has been started that in the early Church there were two opposing parties, one named from Peter, the other from Paul, and that the Acts of the Apostles is a work of a late date written with the view of bringing about harmony between them. It cannot therefore be too prominently set forward, that in the narrative of St Luke there is a great deal for which we find an exact counterpart in St Paul's Epistles. And if the comparison of the history with the letters be extended as far as the materials at our command permit, at every step it will become more and more apparent, that the agreement between the Apostle and the historian exists, because the latter is faithful to what he saw and heard, and his record therefore cannot but harmonize with the spirit and words of him who was the chief actor in the history.

## CHAPTER XV.

Readings varying from the Text. recept.
 elegit.'
8. aưoofs after Sov̀s omitted with NAB. Vulg. only represents the pronoun once, though having 'dans illis,'
11. X Xevtồ omitted with NabEHLP. Vulg. has 'Domini Jesu Christi.'
 in Vulg.
 The Vulg. gives 'Dominus faciens hrec. Notum a sæculo est Domino opus suum. Propter quod...' But on the verses see noter.
23. $\tau$ di $\delta \in$ after aútw้̄v omitted with NaB. Vulg. has only 'per manue eoram.'
kal of before di8e入фol omitted with NABCD. Vulg. has 'et seniores fratres.'
 Not represented in Fulg.
 eos qui miserant illos.'
 Vulg. has 'Visum est autem Sila ibi remanere,' and continues with words not represented in Text. recept., and only partly in D, viz. 'Judas autem solus abiit Jerusalem.'
36. ধtтev Tpòs Bapváßav Mav̂גos with NaBC. So Vulg. ‘dixit ad Barnabam Paulus.'
$\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ after $\dot{d} \delta \lambda \lambda \phi o \dot{s}$ omitted with NABCDE. Not represented in Vulg.

 ceeding article appears also in CE. Fulg. has 'et Joannem.'
39. E\& for ôv after è 'үvero with NABD. Vulg. 'autem.'
40. кupfou for $\theta \varepsilon o \hat{v}$ with NABD. Vulg. 'Dei.'

## Ce. XV. 1-5. At Antioch some maintain that Gentme Converts mubt be circomcised. A Mission to Jerdsacem about the question. Regeeption of those who werf sent.

The history now approaches that subject of controversy which was oertain to arise as soon as Christianity spread beyond the limits of the people of Israel. The first converts to the new faith were made among the Jews, but few of them were likely to cast aside those prejudices of religion in which they had long been educated. As soon as Gentiles who had not first become proselytes to Judaism joined the Christian Church, Jewish exclusiveness received a riolent shock, and there was no small danger lest the new community should be rent asunder almost at its beginning. 'The covenant,' by which expression the devout Jew specially meant 'circumcision, was constituted a cry by Judaizing agitators, and the opposition, first brought into prominence at Antioch, proved a continuous source of trial through the whole ministry of St Paul, and has left its traces on most of the writings both of the N.T. and of early Christian iiterature.
 came down from Jud®a, i.e. to Antioch. The words of the new comers would derive authority from the place whence they had come, and would be received as the latest ordinance of the heads of the Chorch at Jerusalem. Thus the mission of inquiry to Jerusalem was rendered necessary.
 body, composed of Jews, proselytes and Gentiles (see xi. 19, 20, and the notes there). Thus it was precisely the place where such a question would arise. Gentile converts who had not passed into Christianity by the gate of Judaism would be sure to be regarded as wanting something by the people in whose mouths 'uncircumcised' had
been from old times the bitterest term of reproach. (Cf. 1 Sam. xvii. 26 and Acts xi. 3.) The tense of the verb used implies that these men were persistent in their teaching, they kept constantly to this theme.
$\tau \uparrow \in \theta^{\text {tec }} \tau \oplus \hat{M} \mathrm{M} \omega \mathrm{u} \sigma \epsilon \omega \mathrm{s}$, after the custom of Moses. The word is found before (Acts vi, 14) 'the customs which Moser delivered' and signifies those rites and usages which had their foundation in the Law (cf. Luke i. 9, ii. 42; Acts xxi. 21) and so were more than a 'manner' or 'fashion." Cf. also John vii. 22, for circumcision as the ordinance given to the people by Moses.

E $\theta_{0 S}$ is not common in the LXX. and appears to be only once used ( 2 Mace, xi. 25) for the observances of the Jewish religion.
The dative case is put here to express the rule or order by which a thing is done, but a much more frequent mode of expressing this is, as in xpii. 2, by кatd with the accusative. But cf. 2 Macc. vi. 1 rois

 likely to cause debate and questioning among those who had just learnt (xiv. 27) that 'God had opened the door of faith' (independent of the observance of the ceremonial Law) 'unto the Gentiles.'
 debate and questiming. ardors does not necessarily imply angry dissension, but only a division. The members of the Church took opposite sides in the matter. Of course Paul and Barnabas would be with those who maintained that circumcision was no longer necessary.

Irafav, they appointed, i.e. the brethren of the Church at Antioch did so. The verb, as well as the whole context, shews that the mission was sent, in an orderly fashion, by the whole Christian community, to which the question was one of most pital importance, probably affecting a large part of their members.
кal tuves ${ }^{3} \lambda \lambda$ dous $\xi_{\xi}$ aiviov, and certain other of them, who would represent the position of the men who had come from Judæa,
 Peter, John and James we find were now at Jerusalem, and they seem, from other notices in the N.T. (Gal. i. 18, 19, and ii. 9), to have been the Apostles who continued to live in the holy city. These with the elders appear now as the governing body of the infant Church. And Jerusalem was for the Jem, until its destruction, the place of chief authority (ef. Is. ii. 3). The overthrow of the holy oity did as much as anything to help on the knowledge of the universality of the Christian religion. Those who had been bred in Judaism could not (as devout Jews to this day do not) cast away the thought that Jerusalem is 'the place where men ought to worship.'
 common mark of affection or respect that a part of the Church at any place should attend its chief teachers for a short way on their journeys. (Cf. infra $\mathrm{xx} .38, \mathrm{xxi}, 16$.) And for the antiquity of the custom
among the Jews, see Gen. xviii. 16, where when the heavenly visitors were departing from Abraham it is said (LXX.), бuvemopevero $\mu \in r^{\prime}$ aưT $\hat{\nu} \nu \tau \mu \pi \rho \circ \pi \epsilon \mu \pi \omega \nu$ aùroús.

Among the companions of Paul and Barnabas on this journey mast have been Titus, for we read of him, and of the question raised about his circumcision, in St Paul's own notice of this visit (Gal. ii. 3).
 Phenicia and Samaria. The road would take them along the coast through Berytus, Tyre and Sidon, which at this time were places of great importance, and most likely to have bodies of Christians among their inhabitants.
 the Gentiles. This would naturally be St Paul's great theme. Among those who were going up to Jerusalem with him would be members of the Judaizing party, but their presence was no check on the Apostle's zeal that all men should hear of the bringing in of Gentiles to the faith of Christ. The verb eik $\delta_{r} \gamma \gamma \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \theta a c$ implies that he gave his atory with all details, and we may be sure that he dwelt on the way in which the Spirit of God had set a seal upon the work, though the converts of whom he spake were all uncircumcised.
$\pi$ äotv rois dibendois, unto all the brethren, i.e. in the Churches throngh which they passed, in which places the brethren must have been in great part Jews, though there might be proselytes also among them. We see therefore that it was only some of the Jews who demanded from the Gentiles complete conformity to the Law. At Jerusslem (ver. 5) the Judaizing party is described as 'certain of the sect of the Pharisees whioh believed,' and the Gospel history represents the Pharisees on all occasions as determined supporters of the ceremonial law. Probably their party was most numerous at Jerusalem, where all the ritual observances could be most completely carried out. In the more remote congregations the joy over the Gentile conversions would be more unalloyed.
 Ghurch. The tккג $\eta \sigma t a$ is perhaps named first because there would on sach a visit be an assembly of the whole Christian body to hear the story of the missionary labours of Paul and Barnabas before the question about which they had specially been sent from Antioch came to be discussed. The account of the spreading of the faith was for all, while the question of circumcision would be discussed only by the heads of the Church, and those who could speak with authority. This preliminary meeting must have lasted for a considerable time, even if only a mere abstract of the labours, sufferings and success of Paul and Barnabes were given to those who met them. Such a recital was the best introduction that could be conceived for the question which was afterwards to be discussed and legislated on.
$\mu \varepsilon \tau^{\prime}$ au่าติv, with them. On this preposition cf. xiv. 27. That the Apostles had a true notion of themselves as only instruments, though Christ deigned to be a fellow-worker (Mark zvi. 20) with them, is seen below in verse 12 where the preposition used is did (by).
 of the sect of the Pharisees. The margin of the A.V. takes this sentence as part of the narration of Paul and Barnabas, 'there rose up, said they, certain, \&e.' But it is much more natural to consider it to be St Luke's account of what happened at Jerusalem. The teachers at Antioch had not been described as Pharisees, though they probably were so. Yet in no other passage of the N.T. are the Pharisees mentioned away from Jerusalem. As soon as the Apostolic narrative was heard by the Church, certain of that party stood forth from the Churoh body and lodged their protest against what had been done. The Pharisaic teaching concerning the necessity of circumcision was based on such passages as Is. lvi. 6, where the covenant mentioned was held to be that of circumcision. They also supported their position by such passages as Is. lii. 1, where the uncircumcised are excluded from the Holy City.

тетьのтevkótes, which believed, i.e. had accepted Christ as the promised Messiah. But we can see from the position of these men that there was no thought at first by so doing of making a complete break with Judaism.

גeyoutes ${ }^{\text {ort }}$ Det, saying, It is needful, \&c. The words are a direct utterance, and St Luke sets before us the very words spoken before the Church assembly.

The visit of St Paul to Jerusalem which St Luke here describes is now generally admitted to be the same of which St Paul speaks in Gal. ii. 1-9. The chronology offers no obstacle to this conclusion, while the purpose of the visit and the companionship of Barnabas and the persons who were at the head of the Church in Jerusalem are all accordant in the two notices. In the Epistle St Paul tells us that he took Titus with him, and nothing is more likely than that while he had the company of some members of the Judaizing party, he would also take a companion with him from among those converts on whose behalf he was making the journey. He says too that it was 'by revelation' that he went up, while the narrative of the Acts represents him as sent by the Church of Antioch. But here need be no contradiction. An inward monition may have furnished the true reason why the Apostle consented to make an appeal to the central authorities in Jerusalem. St Luke would not necessarily be aware of this; it was important in St Paul's argument to the Galatians that he should mention it. (For a fuller comparison of the two notices, see Bp Lightfoot's Ep. to Galatians, note, pp. 122-127.)

6-12. The Councti at Jertgalem; the Dedate and tee Speegh of Peter. Narratlon of tee Work of Barnabas and Padl.
 stles and elders were gathered together. These words refer to a formal summoning to discuss the difficult question which had been brought forward. That there was a space between the first welcome of the Apostles by the Church and the assembly of the synod suits St Paul's
words (Gal. ii. 2) that he explained his position 'privately to them which were of reputation.' This private conference was a necessary preparation for the more public diseussion, whish alone is noticed by the history.

LSeiv $\pi \epsilon \rho \mathrm{f}$, to consider about. The ase of $l \boldsymbol{i}$ êt in this sense and construction is rare. But compare our own familiar idiom 'to see about anything.'
 tioning. For the Pharisaic element would find its warmest supporters at Jerusalem. And it is to that party that the dispating mast be ascribed, for it is plain, from the summing-up of St James at the close of the discussion, that the other Apostles were of the same mind with Paul and Barnabas, and as is said in the Epistle to the Galatians (ii. 9), 'they gave unto them the right hands of fellowship.'
dvarads $\Pi$ épos citrev, Peter rose up and said. It is to be noted that Paul and Barnabas leave arguments and reasons to be pat forward by those who had laboured most among Jewish converts, and content themselves with a recital of what God had wrought through them in their journey among the Gentilea.
 good while ago' is very idiomatic, and sufficiently close in sense. St Peter is alluding to the conversion of Cornelius (chap. x.), which probably took place some ten years before the meeting of this synod. That was at an early period of the Apostolic ministry, and the great and numerous events which had intervened made the time seem long ago.
 reading of the oldest authorities, shews Peter as putting himself and his fellow Apostles on the same level with the whole Christian body which he is addressing. God might have chosen whom He woold to receive the instruction of the sheet let down from heaven.

Suà roù otónard́s hov, by my mouth. That he may not seem to be claiming a distinction for himself as the one chosen of God for this work, St Peter is careful to call himself no more than the mouthpiece of God.
 only here and in Acts i. 24, and on both occasions it is St Peter who uses it. Such a witness could admit of no appeal. God himself had put the uncircamcised on the same level with the circumcised by giving to them the same gifts of the Spirit.
 tion. The Apostle looks on God's testimony to the Gentiles in two lights. What was given to the new converts was the same which had been given at the first outpouring of the Spirit. And God made no mark of distinction to sever Jews from Gentiles. Faith had purified the hearts of Cornelins and his house, and the outward observances of the Law of Mosee were of no account when the heart was clean before

Him who alone could judge of the purity thereof．In these words of his St Peter clearly agrees to all that St Paul had taight about the admission of the Gentiles．
 by faith．When he uses kafaplaas St Peter is clearly thinking of

10．v勹̂v os̉v，now therefore，i．e．after you have had so much evidence of God＇s acceptance of the Gentiles，both in the early days and in the journeys of St Paul and Barnabas．
 God＇when they distrust His guidance，and in consequence disobey His revealed will（cf．Ps．xev．9）．So the Jews tempted God in the wilderness（Heb．iii．9）when they saw His mighty works and yet murroured at His leaders；so they are said to have tempted Christ （1 Cor．x．9）when they were punished by the fiery serpents；and Ananias and Sapphira are said to＇have agreed to tempt the Spirit of the Lord，＇by acting as though they thought they could deceive God in their offering．From these instances the force of the question in the text will be seen．Those who ahould act as the Pharisaic party would recommend，would be distrusting God＇s knowledge of the hearts of men，and refusing to be guided by what His Spirit had made known in the conversion of Cornelius．
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi t \theta_{\text {eival }}$ к．т．$\lambda .$, to put a yoke．The infinitive is sometimes used as here to express the way or manner in which anything is done，and is in force something like a gerund，＇by placing a yoke．＇Cf． 1 Pet．iv．3， ＇The time past of our life sufficeth us（кarecpyardac）for having wrought the will of the heathen．＇
［uyov，a yoke．So St Paul（Gal．v．1）palls the ceremonial law jurov douncias．Christ uses the word juyós as a designation for His own precepts，knowing that a yoke was needed for the guidance of men， but He calls it juyòs रoporós，＇an easy and proitable yoke，＇Matth． xi． 30.
 by the Rabbinic injunction to＇make a hedge about the Law，＇i．e．so to fence in its precepts by additional regulations of their own，that there should be no chance of infringing the commandment．These addi－ tions，commandments of men，as our Lord styles them，had made the ceremonial observances into a killing load．＇The yoke of the com－ mandments＇was a Rabbinic expression（T．B．Berachoth in．2）and referred to the penalties for disobedience，the duty of laying up the commands in the heart，of binding them upon the hands，and as frontlets between the eyes，of teaching them to children，and speaking of them at all times，and writing them upon the doorposta and the gates．So that＇the yoke＇was a heary one for the teacher as well as for the learner．

11．d入入á，but．There is much implied in this one word．The Apostle means＇But all this has been changed by God＇s new revela－
tion of Himself, and we should cease this tempting of Him, for we believe (if we are truly in Christ) that salvation is for all men.'
8ud rins xápıros roû кuplov 'I $\eta$ oov, through the grace of the Lord Jesus. A new and living way has been opened, and it is not in any conformity to the Jewish Law that we now look for salvation.
ка日' $\bar{\delta} \nu$ тро́тор кdikeโvol, even as they, i.e. even as they believe. Thas the argument is: If our belief and hope are the same, and no other, than theirs, why should these new converts be urged to adopt observ. ances which form to as no ground for our hope of salvation?

After this point in the N.T. history St Peter's name appears no more, and when we call to mind the opposition which, at the close of the first, and in the second, century was represented as existing between the teaching of Paul and Peter, we cannot think that it was without meaning that this last appearance of the Apostle of the circumcision in the Scripture story sets him before us in full accord with the Apostle of the Gentiles. The collision between Paul and Peter at a later period in Antioch (Gal. ii.) came about because the latter had forgotten for a time his own statement that 'God is no respecter of persons.' But like the $\pi a \rho o \xi ̆ u \sigma \mu$ ós between Paul and Barnabas there was no rupture in the Church in consequence of the rebuke which St Paul administered to his fellow-apostle.
 We see here, though the Apostles and Elders are alone mentioned (verse 6) as being gathered together, that the assembly was a very large one. The cause of their silence was the voice of authority with which he could speak through whom God had first opened the door of faith to the Gentiles. For while he told what God had done, he related how he, like themselves, had mach prejudice to overcome before his mission to Cornelins.
cal \#ैkovov, and gave audience. The verb is plural to correspond with the plural sense of $\pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta$ os, and the use of the imperfect tense is to indicate the continuous attention to the whole narrative of that, the first missionary journey for the spread of the faith.
 are the same which occur in the prayer of the disciples (iv. 30) 'that signs and wonders may be done through the name of Thy holy servant Jesus.' The prayer had been abundantly answered in the experience of Paul and Barnabas.

סcia aitâv, by them, i.e. through them as instraments. See above on verse 4.

## 13-21. James sums dp the Disodesion, and pronodnces-tee Decishon of the Ghorch on this Controversy.

13. aủroús, i.e. Paul and Barnabas.
'Iáк $\omega$ ßos, James, i.e. the brother of our Lord who was so oalled, and who was at the head of the Church in Jerusalem. See above on xii. 17.
dкoifart $\mu \mathbf{0}$, hearken unto me. The president's summary takes no note of the 'mach questioning' (v. 7) but points out that a divine revelation had been made to Peter, and that it was accordant with the words of Old Testament prophecy. On these warrants he based his decision.
14. Evpeav, Symeon. This more Jewish form of the name of the Apostle Peter is found also at the commencement of St Peter's sepond Epistle. The Jews after they came to have much intercourse with Gentiles had frequently two forms of name, one of which was employed on religious and solemn occasions, the other in intercourse with non-Jews and in the ordinary transactions of life. Thus in the Apoerypha (1 Mace. v. 17, \&o.) the name of the Maccabean prince is written Simon, though on his coins it stands Symeon (see Gesenius, s. ष.).
 way in which the first Gentile convert was made. It was some time after the mission of the Holy Ghost on the Apostles that Cornelins was converted. 'At the first' of the A.V. gives a wrong idea.

入ady т $\hat{\varphi}$ óvóautı avivov̂, a people for His name. Thus the "chosen people' were no longer to be Jows only, and so those ceremonial ordinances which had hitherto marked ont Jews from Gentiles were seen to be no longer necessary.

The force of this dative is best perceived when we remember that God's 'name' is often used for 'Himself.' There is no harshness in the case, when the expression is regarded as the equivalent 'to take for Himself.'
 on God's part the statements of His prophets are in harmony. They had foretold that it should be so. Only one prophet is here quoted, viz. Amos (ix. 11, 12), but the audience would recall other like passages, as St Paul does Rom. xy. 9-12, quoting from the books of Moses, David and Isaiah.
16. $\mu e r d$ raîra, after these things. It will be seen on reference to the words of Amos that the quotation here given is not mede from the Hebrew, which is correctly represented by the A.V. in the book of Amos. Whether St James himself spoke at the synod in Greek, or St Luke has represented in Greek what the speaker himself uttered in Aramaic, we cannot know. But the words in the text correspond very nearly with the LXX. which here (either because they read the Hebrew consonants differently or because they merely gave the sense without attempting an exact rendering) varies from the Hebrew text. Yet St Luke does not give exactly the words of the LXX. He may have quoted from memory or have modifed them somewhat to adapt them to the form of his sentence. The words of the LXX run thas, $\epsilon^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \boldsymbol{y}$ т





 not the form of the expression either in the Hebrew text or in the LXX., but it is a common Hebrew formala to signify 'I will do a
 returned and considered' $=1$ considered once again. Similarly Eecles. iv. 7, ix. 11. The occurrence of this formula favours the opinion that St James, in this specially Jewish synod, spoke in Aramaic of which St Luke has given us a literal translation.
 used in Amos signifies one of those booths used by the people at the Feast of Tabernacles, when they lived in frail dwellings in order to be reminded that God was their protector. This word may be applied to the estate of the Jews when the Deliverer should come, to indicate that they should be brought very low, but yet should find in Him a Saviour.
 Lord. The Hebrew of amos (see A.V.) differs widely here; and in the LXX. тঠ̀ кúpoop is not expressed. But the Spirit enabled St James to give the full interpretation of the prophetie words. The original paints the restored tabernacle, and of course the people of David restored along with it, as possessors of the remnant of Edom and all the heathen. The nations shall be joined unto the Lord's people. The LXX., as an exposition, speaks of 'the residue of men seeking unto the restored tabernacle.' St James makes both clear by ahewing that 'to seek after the Lord' is to be the true up-bnilding both of the house of David and of all mankind besides.

The Hebrew word for 'man' is Adam, which differs very slightly from the word Edom. So that the variation between 'remnant of Edom' in the Hebrew and 'residue of men' in the LXX. may be due only to the various reading of that noun.
of $\pi \omega$ s with ầ implies an end aimed at, but the attainment of it is still dependent on circumstances. Of. Winer-Moulton, p. 389.
 called. An Aramaic mode of saying 'who are called by My name.'

The expression is so translated James ii. 7 (A.V.). Cf. for the
 aitû.
 by most authority, and the sense must be either (1) 'the Lord who maketh these things known from the beginning of the world,' or (2) 'the Lord, who doeth these things that were known from the beginning of the world.' The first of these renderings is the more difficult to understand, and it must be taken as somewhat hyperbolic. God made known by His prophets the calling of the Gentiles in very early days, and this early revelation may be all that is intended by the stronger phrase. But the second sense seems to suit better with the
context. This reception of the Gentiles seems to the Jew a new and startling thing, but God has revealed it by His prophets, and He who is doing it is but carrying out what He had known and designed from the beginning of the world.
 expressed, and indicates that the speaker is one who may decide with authority.
 only found here in N.T., but is somewhat frequent in the LXX. Thas of the fire around the Three Children (Song of Three Child. 26) it is
 troubled them.' Cf. also 1 Muce. x. 35, 63, where the word is used as here in a public proclamation. The notion is of putting an obstacle in any one's way. St James's idea is ' We will not by needless impediments hinder the new converts from joining us.'
 Gentiles are turning to God. The same phrase is used elsewhere in the Acte (cf. ix. 35 , xiv. 15, xxvi. 20) and its full significance is explained when in xi. 21 it is said of the converts at Antioch $\pi 0 \lambda \dot{s}$ d $p \in f \mu b s$
 of God which constituted this true turning.
 is used primarily of a charge sent by a messenger, but also, as in Heb. xiii. 22, is often used of what is sent by letter (and hence comes the English word epistle), and there can be little doubt that this is the sense in the present case, for though messengers were sent, they carried with them the decision of the aynod of Jerusalem in a formal manner committed to writing ( $v .23$ ).
 pollutions of idols. This is explained in $v .29$ by 'meats offered (i.e. sacrificed) to idols.' Of the necessity for such an injunction in the early Church, where congregations were to be now composed of both Jews and Gentiles, we can judge from St Paul's argument to the Corinthians ( 1 Cor. viii. 1-10, x. 19), and we can also see how he would have the Gentile converts deal tenderly with the scruples of their Jewish fellow-worshippers, however needless they themselves might deem such scruples.

Here the genitival infinitive is used where in ordinary Greek a simple infinitive would have been written. Cf. above, vii. 19 note.

The noun $\dot{d} \lambda / \sigma \gamma \eta \mu a$ is only found in N.T. and the verb $\dot{a} \lambda c \sigma \gamma^{\prime} \omega \omega$ in LXX. Dan. i. 8 ; Mal. i. 7, 12, and in a passage somewhat illustrative
 aגdorpiots, though the food there spoken of has not been offered to idols.

As the ordinance of the synod is for the settling of Jewish minds, we may onderstand the sort of offence which they were likely to feel. It was of the same nature as the feeling of Daniel when he refused to aat of the food supplied by King Nebuchadnezzar. Meat was often
sold in the markets from beasts that had been offered in sacrifioe to idols, and this food and those who ate it the Jew would abhor. The Gentile converts might not be careful, when they had once come to think of the idol as nothing, and might join still in banquets with their non-Christian friends, and St Paul (1 Cor. viii. 10) supposes an extreme case, that such men might even sit down to meat in an idoltemple. If Jew and Gentile were to become one in Christ, much respect must be paid to the feelings which had been sunk deep into the minds of Israel by long years of suffering for their own idolatry.
kal $\tau \hat{\mathrm{s}}$ s mopvelas, and from fornication. This injunction must not be understood as a simple repetition of a moral law binding upon all men at all times, but must be taken in connexion with the rest of the decree, and as forbidding a sin into which converts from heathenism were most prone to fall back, and which their previous lives had faught them to regard in a very different light from that in whioh a Jew would see it. The Levitical law against every form of unchastity was extremely strict (Lev. xviii. and xx.), and it is probably to the observance of these ordinances that we may ascribe the persistence of the Jewish type, and the purity of their race at this day. Whereas among the heathen unchastity was a portion of meny of their temple rites, and persons who gave themselves up to such impurities were even called by the names of the heathen divinities. To men educated in the constant contemplation of such a system, sins of unchastity would have far less guilt than in the eyes of those to whom the Law of Moses was read every sabbath-day.

кal тои̂ $\boldsymbol{\pi v \kappa к о и ̆ ~ к . т . \lambda . , ~ a n d ~ f r o m ~ w h a t ~ i s ~ s t r a n g l e d ~ a n d ~ f r o m ~ b l o o d . ~}$ The prohibition of blood was made as soon as animal food was given to men (Gen. ix. 4), and it was frequently enforced in the Mosaic lawf (Lev. iii. 17, vii. 26, xvii. 10, 14, xix. 26). To eat blood was counted a sin against the Lord in the days of Saul (1 Sam. xiv. 33), and with strict Jews it is an abomination to this day. Things strangled are not specially mentioned in the law of Moses, but that they should not be eaten follows from the larger prohibition. Lev. vii. 26 does, however, make mention of the blood of fowls, and it would be in the use of them that the eating of blood began first to be practised. And in breaking the neck of an animal the Jew held that the blood was caused to flow into the limbs in such wise that it could not be brought out even by salt. See T. B. Chullin, 113 a.
 (lit. from generations of old) hath in every city, \&c. Here we have the reason why these injunctions are to be laid upon the Gentile converts. It is necessary however to take the whole verse into consideration before we can decide on the force of the reason. Laying stress chiefly on the expression 'from generations of old,' some have thought that St James's argument means that the Mosaic ritual having been preached for so long a time and found to be a load too heavy to bear, must now be given ap, except in these speoified points. Again, the verse has been taken to mean that there was no need for the Christian Church
to legislate about the observance of the Mosaio Law other than in these few pointe, because there was public teaching on the eubject everywhere in the Jewish synagogues. Jewish Christians were therefore supplied with guidance, and would be so supplied until by degrees Judaism had entirely given place to Christianity. No doubt the Apostle contemplates the retention by the Jewish Christians of much of their old ritual, and that they would make no breach with the services of the synagogue. But in these enactments, which were apparently only for a time (since St Paul nowhere alludes to them in his Epistles), and to promote peace between Gentiles and Jews, we must remember that the Jews are the persons who have felt offence, and for whose quieting the decree is put forth. The argument of the council seems to be this: We, Jews, may make this aoncession to the Gentiles without fear. It is not probable that our feelings and prejudioes will be interfered with, or the Mosaic Law in its other portions set aside; 'for Moses,' \&e.
dvaүเvตซконevos, being read. On the reading of the Jewish Scriptures in the synagogues, see the Excursus at the end of chap, xiii.

## 22-29. Answer and Depdtation seift from Jerubalem. The Littite of the Synod to the Chribtians of Antioch.

22. tóte $\begin{aligned} & \text { Esoge, then it seensed good. The expression is one often }\end{aligned}$ used in the official announcements of public resolutions, or decrees made by authority. (Cf. Herod. I. 3; Thuc. IF. 118.)

Giv $\overline{0} \lambda_{\eta} \tau \hat{0} \hat{e k x} \lambda \eta \sigma i g$, with the whole Church. The decree was the voics of the whole Church, and the deputies sent were chosen by the whole body. So it is in the name of 'apostles, and elder brethren' that the letter rans (v. 23).
 company and send them. The A.V. takes $\epsilon \kappa \lambda \epsilon \xi a \mu \epsilon y$ ous as if it were ${ }^{\epsilon} \kappa \lambda \epsilon \chi \chi^{\theta t \nu \tau a s}$, and renders 'chosen men'; but the middle voice implies that the council and Charch, 'choosing for themselves' men, sent them forth. For the accusative participle following the detive which is required by $E \delta o \xi \varepsilon$ we have a parallel in Soph. Electra, 480, vite $\pi t$
 structions Elmsley on Heracl. 693; Medea, 810; cf. also Thuc. v. 118, referred to above.
oùv tề Havidẹ kal Bapváße, with Paul and Barnabas. That the Church of Antioch might have the confirmation of the decree from the lips of others besides these two, for they might be supposed to favour especially all that was considerate towards Gentile converts.
'Iov́סav тòv ка入oú $\mu$ єvov Bapraßßâ, Judas called Barsabbas. Of this man nothing more is known than what we learn from this chapter. But as Barsabbas is clearly a patronymic, it has been conjectured that he was the brother of Joseph, also called Barsabbas, mentioned in Acts i. 23.

EChav, Silas. This is probably the same person who in St Paul's Epistles (2 Cor. i. 19; 1 Thess. i. 1; 2 Thess. i. 1) and by St

Peter ( 1 Pet. v. 12 ) is called Silvanas. For an account of similar contracted names of. Winer-Moulton, pp. 127, 128. The mention of Silas is frequent in the Acts in this and the next three chapters. He was one of St Paul's companions in the first missionary journey into Europe.
23. Ypdiquvtes, having written. From the form in which the document is here given, we should judge that the original was in Greek. A translation from a Hebrew original would hardly have begon with a greeting and ended with ${ }^{t} \rho \rho \omega \sigma \theta \epsilon$. It seems likely thet this was so too, becanse the population of Antioch, the chief town in Syria, would ase Greek much more than Hebrew, at this date. The nominative case $\boldsymbol{\gamma p a} \psi\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { ares } \\ \text { is a construction }\end{array}\right.$ to accord with sense rather than strict grammar. It stands as if it had been preceded by some such words as cal roûro érol

8id xelpòs autôv. Literally, 'by their hand.' This is a Hebrew

 not delivered to Paul and Barnabas, but to the two ambassadors from Jerusalem. It is the oldest synodical circular letter in existence, and the only one of Apostolic times which has come down to us. Bengel suggests that it was composed by James, in the name and at the request of the assembly.
 brethren. This reading, supported by the oldest MSS., brings the text into more complete harmony with what has gone before. Hitherto, though the whole Church came together only two sets of persons have been spoken of as to be consulted or as having authority. These
 natural therefore that the decree should run in the names of these two bodies.

кard тì̀ 'Avtófetay kal Euplay kal Kuıklav, in Antioch and Syria and Cilicia. As we have no mention of this decree of the synod of Jerusalem in St Paul's Epistles, we may suppose that the agitation on the subject, begun at Antioch, had spread only into Syria and Cilicia, and that the authoritative decision of the mother Church quieted the controversy there, while it did not arise in the same form in other places.

Xaiptı, greeting. The infinitive is dependent on $\lambda$ ferovas understood, but in a formola of this kind the governing verb never apревгя.
24. ÉEe入Aóvtes, which went out. Some ancient MSS. omit this word, but it seems to have a distinct and necessary force. The distarbing teachers had come from Jerusalem, but their want of any authority is contrasted strongly with the commission of Judas and Silas ( $v .27$ ). The first men went of themselves, the new messengers were the choice of the Church.
dvarkeváGoutes rds $\Psi u x d s$ vipôv, subverting your souls. The verb djaaкeváfer is found in N.T. only here, and not at all in the LXX. In
classical Greek it is applied mostly to an entire removal of goods and chattels either by the owners or by a plundering enemy. The devastation wrought in the minds of the Gentile converts through the new teaching is compared to an utter overthrow.
ois oủ Bıevteldá $\mu$ Aa, to whom we gave no commandment. The Charch of Jerusalem disclaims any connexion of any kind with the disturbing teachers. The sentence becomes thus much more forcible than it is with the additions of the Text. recept.
 rendering makes some distinction between $\delta \mu 0 \theta \nu \mu a \delta \delta p$ with ei $\mu l$ and with firyoual. With the substantive verb this adverb stands in Acts ii. 1, iv. 24, ₹. 12, and may there be rendered 'being with one accord.'
 them to you. On the langoage see above on verse 22.
 whole letter is to shew the honour which the Charch in Jerusalem felt was due to these missionary labourers. Hence the adjective aja$\pi \eta \pi o s$, which in N.T. is speciaily applied to those who are closely united in faith and love. St Peter applies it to St Paul (2 Pet. iii. 15).

Bapváßq kal Mav́hф, Barnabas and Paul. The order in which the names here stand is perhaps due to the fact that Barnabas had formerly (xi. 22) been sent as the accredited messenger from Jerasalem to the Church in Antioch; while St Paul was not so well known in Jerusalem.
 hazarded their lives. This Paul and Barnabas had done on several occasions. (See xiii. 50, xiv. 2, 5, 19.)
 Messianic dignity and divine authority of Jesus. They have preached everywhere Jesus as the Christ.
27. Suà $\lambda$ í you, by word, i,e. by word of mouth.
dima $\gamma$ y $\lambda \lambda$ outas, announcing. The present tense is however equivalent to a future. 'We have sent them announcing,' i.e. as announcers, as persons to announce. So that the A. V. 'who shall tell you' is the precise sense and excellent English. The use of this tense comes from the feeling of the senders that those whom they are despatching are as good as present at their destination.
 to the Holy Ghost and to us. A third time in this clause of the narrative from $22-29$ does this official word occur, from which is derived the noun dogma. It had been promised that to the Apostles there should be given the Spirit of truth, who should guide them into all truth (John xvi. 13), and the historian of the Acts often speeks of them as 'filled with the Spirit.' They put forward therefore this unerring guide as the warrant for their decree. And as they at the suggestion
of the Spirit were laying aside their long-standing prejudices against intercourse with Gentiles, they claim that the Gentiles in their turn should deal tenderly with the scruples of Jews.
The co-ordination of the Divine Spirit and the human instruments in the preamble of the decree is not a little remarkable.



 burden. The Christian-Jews could now speak thus of the load of legal observances (cf. above, verse 10). Now they had selected but a small part thereof, which the circumstances of the time made necessary to be observed.
29. ส $\frac{\pi p o j f e r e, ~ y e ~ s h a l l ~ d o ~ w e l l, ~ i . e . ~ i t ~ s h a l l ~ b e ~ w e l l ~ w i t h ~ y o u . ~}{\text { g }}$

Eppooft, fare ye well. This conclusion and the greeting at the commencement of the letter are in the style of Western, rather than Oriental, epistolary language. See above on verse 23.

## 30-3b. Reception of the Letter and Messengers at Aktioch.

 Jerusalem is regarded as the chief seat of Church-government, and the centre of authority. Throughout the Bible the chosen place is always spoken of as one to which men go up.
ouvayayóvres $\tau \delta \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta$ os, having gathered the multitude. This expression shews of how great concern the question had become to the whole Christian body. $\pi \lambda j \neq 0$ s is used above ( $v .12$ ) of the assembly of Christians at Jerusalem.

 embassy. The consolation would be felt both by Jews and Gentiles, by the former because they now knew how much was to be asked of their Gentile fellow-worshippers, by the latter because they were declared free from the yoke of Jewish observances. The noun very often signifies exhortation, but that sense is neither so apt here, nor is it borne out by the character of the letter, which sets forth a ground of peace and comfort, but is not hortatory.
32. кal aư $\phi \dot{\eta} \tau \eta s$ is here used in the earlier and less special sense; not as one who foretells the future, but who, being filled with the Spirit, spealis with His authority in explanation of the will of God. Judas and Silas being thus endowed were well fitted to exhort and confirm the disciples. The exhortations would be most necessary for the Gentiles who were to consent to more strict living than in times past, while the confirmation would uphold the Jews who otherwise might feel unwilling to allow the non-observance of a part of their Law. The prophetio character of the speakers would give to their words the force of revelation. Such confirmation or streagthening of the bretiren is the
special charge laid on St Peter (Luke xxii. 32), who was to be the first preacher of Ohrist to the Gentiles, and had first received the lesson that what God had cleansed was not to be called common.
33. $\mu e t^{\prime}$ єlpmín ${ }^{2}$, in peace. This means with a parting prayer for their peace and welfare. The expression is a rendering of a common Hebrew phrase, and is found in the LXX. of Gen. xxvi. 29; Judges viii. 9 , xi. 13; 1 Mace. vii. 28, \&c.
mpòs rous àmoortelauras aúroús, unto those that had sent them forth, Who were not only 'the Apostles' (as A.V.) but the whole synod of Jerusalem.

The oldest MSS. omit verse 34. It seems to be no more than a marginal note to explain verse 40. There Paul, who did not leave Antioch, is said to have chosen Silas for his companion in his next journey. The latter must therefore have also remained in Antioch, and such an explanation, placed by some reader on the margin, came after a time to be incorporated with the text. But there are great differences in the MSS., and also in the versions.
 such a community there was need not only of setting forth Jesus as the Saviour, but of much instruction concerning the ways in which God had shewn that the Gentiles were now to be made partakers of the new covenant. So that the two verbs should not be taken one as an explanation of the other. They represent different parts of the ministerial work.

36-41. A new Mission-jodrney proposed. Contention betwien Patl and Barnabas. They beparate, and Pacl with Silab goes throdg Syria and Cilicia.
36. Tovs dibe入中ov́s, the brethren. Implying both their own converts and those who should have been won to the Church since Paul and Barnabas came away.
кaтd modev mícav êv als, in every city in which. The plural number of the pronoun atsis due to the plural idea involved in the rodes $\pi \hat{a} \sigma a$ : 'every city' means 'all the cities.'
 dependent. The common usage of N.T.
37. Bapváßas 8 \& ${ }^{2}$ Bovícto, but Barnabas wished. Rev. Ver. 'was minded.' The reason for Barnabas' wish was probably because Mark was his nephew (Ool. iv. 10).
38. тòv áтобтávta aं $\boldsymbol{\pi}^{\prime}$ aútûv, him who departed from them. See above, xiii. 13. He turned back to Jerasalem from Perga.
 so that, \&c. $\pi$ apo̧vapós (from which comes our English paroxysm) intimates a temporary rather than a prolonged dispute, although it may for the time be severe. The result to the Church was that two missionary journeys were undertaken instead of one. Though the

Apostles might differ in their estimate of Mark，they were at one with reference to the work of the Gospel．Barnabas is mentioned no more in the Acts after this ohapter．His name occurs in St Paul＇s Epistles， 1 Cor．ix． 6 ；Gal，ii．1，9，13；and Col．iv．10，in which last passage， written no dorbt after the events here related，we can see that Mark had been again received as a fellow－worker by St Paul．We learn too from 2 Tim．iv． 11 and Philemon 24 that St Paul became warmly attached to him afterwards．
mapozualbs is twice used in the LXX．（Deut．xxix．28；Jer，xxxii． 37）of the righteous anger of God against His offending people．






eknגễal els Kúxpov，sailed unto Cyprus，in which island Barna－ bas，and it may be Mark also，was born（iv．36）．They chose there－ fore for their labours a district in which they were likely to have some influence．

40．тapa8o日els，being commended．See above on xiv． 26.
41．Tगे̀ $\Sigma_{\text {vplay }}$ kal Kuıklav，Syria and Cilicia．These were the districts in which the teaching of the Jndaizers had been most active， and the presence of Paul，with Silas as a representative of the Church in Jerusalem，would allay all doubts and questionings，and lead to those results which are mentioned xiv．5，the establishing of the Chnrches，and their daily increase in numbers．This duty St Pand first discharged before he went on to visit any of the Churches which himself had founded．

## CHAPTER XVI．

Rendings varying from the Text．recept．
1．ruvos omitted with NABCDE．Not represented in Yulg．
6．Sin̂入易o with NABCDE．Vulg．＇transeuntes．＇
7．Els before Tiv BıUuvlav with NABCD．Vulg．＇in Bithynism．＇ Td $\pi v \kappa \hat{\nu} \mu a$＇I $\eta \sigma 0 \hat{1}$ with NABCDE．Vulg．＂Spiritus Jesu．＇

 cedo quidam erat stans et deprecons．＇

10．Beòs for kuplos with NABCE．Vulg．＇Deus．＇

 oratio esse．＇
16. $\pi v e \hat{1} \mu \propto$. $\quad$ ítown with NABCD. Vulg. 'epiritum pythonem,'

31. X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau$ óv omitted with NAB. Unrepresented in Vulg.
32. नìv for kal before mĩouv with NABCD. Vulg. 'oum.'
34. aủrô omitted after oikov with BCP. Vulg. 'in domum saam.'
 urbe.'
40. Tppds тìv $\operatorname{Av\delta iav}$ with NABDEHLP. Vulg. 'ad.'
 ' visis fratribus consolati sunt eos.'

Ch. XVI. 1-12. Paul revisits Derbe and Listra, ohooses Timothy for $a$ Companion in his Mission, and circumcibes him. They pags throdge Phrygia and Gadatla, and come into Mybla and to Troas. By a Vibion Patl is called into Macedonia. He ohosses the Sea and beimans some Days at Phelipif.
 or no force. Of. its use in 2 Macc . iv. $21,44$.
els $\Delta \in \rho \beta \eta v$ kal... $\Lambda$ v́arpav, to Derbe and Lystra. This is the beginning of that revisiting spoken of in $x \nabla_{.} 36$. See notes on xiv. 6.

Inv knê, was there. The verb does not make it certain that Lystra, to which enei is most naturally referred, was the birthplace of Timothy, bnt only his home at the date of Paul's visit. He must however have resided there a good while to have earned the favourable report of the people both of that place and Iconiom.

Tubécos, Timothy. This is the person to whom St Paul addresses two Epistles, and who was the companion of his labours in this journey until his return into Proconsular Asia (xx. 4). He was the son of a Jewish-Christian mother, and his father was a Greek, whether a proselyte of the gate or not we are not told. The mother's name was Eunice ( 2 Tim. i. 5) and the grandmother's Lois. Timothy is spoken of as a fellow-worker with St Paul (Rom. xvi. 21). From 1 Cor. iv. 17 we find that he was St Paul's messenger to that Church, and he is joined with that Apostle in the greeting of 2nd Corinthians. He also went to and fro between St Paul and the Church in Thessalonica (1 Thess. iii. 2, 6) and must have been at Rome with St Paul soon after the Apostle's arrival there, for he is mentioned in the Epistles, to the Philippians (i. 1, ii. 19), to the Colossians (i. 1) and to Philemon (1). An imprisonment which he underwent is alluded to (Heb. xiii. 23), but we cannot be certain when or where it was. According to tradition (Eus. H. E. ini. 14) he was the first bishop of Ephesus, and is said to have suffered martyrdom at the hands of the populace (Niceph. H. E. III. 11).
vids puvauxds 'Iow8alas mเovins, the son of a Jewess which believed. Her earnest education of her son in the holy Scriptures ( 2 Tim. iii. 15) from his early youth marks the character of the woman, and makes it probable that the husband of such a woman was at least a proselyte of the gate. Timothy's father is so little mentioned that it seems likely he had died early.
marpos $6 t{ }^{\text {" } \mathrm{E} \lambda \lambda \eta v o s, ~ b u t ~ o f ~ a ~ f a t h e r ~ w h o ~ w a s ~ a ~ G r e e k . ~ T h e ~ w o r d ~}$ "E $\mathrm{C} \lambda \eta \nu$ was widely used by the Jews about all who were not of their own nation. The world for them was divided into 'Iovôaioc kal"EגA ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ Cf. Aets xiv. 1; Rom. i. 16, sce.
2. Ss k $\downarrow$ aptupeito, who was well reported of. The same word is used about Cornelius (x. 22), and by Paul about Ananias (xxii. 12).
 at Lystra and Iconium. The 'brethren' are the members of the Christian Churches. Five or six years had elapsed since St Paul's previous visit. In that time congregations had been gathered together and the characters of their most earnest members were well known. We see too that there was an interchange of kindly offices between the neighbouring Ohurches.
 that the decree of the synod of Jerusalem only related to the exemption of Gentiles from circumcision. It was a very different thing for a Jew to consent to become a fellow-worshipper in the Christian Churches with a Gentile who remained uncircumcised, and to tolerate, at this time, the non-observance of the rite by one who was counted for a Jew. For by the Rabbinical code the child of a Jewish mother was reckoned as a Jew (T. J. Jebamoth, II. 6). It was because of this prejudice that Timothy was circumcised. It could be no offence to the Gentiles, and would render the labours of Timothy more acceptable to the Jews. Becanse he was the child of a mixed marriage the rite had been unobserved, and solong as he did not come forward as a teacher there would be no need felt that it should be enforced, and there would be doubtless many others of a like class. But when he was to take a share in the missionary labours of St Paul all this was altered. He would at once have been met with the objection from the Jews, that he who had been but a bad Jew was not likely to gaide others right as a Christian teacher. That St Paul saw no inconsistency in what was done in this matter is clear, for the narrative of St Luke tells us in the next verse that to the Charches to which they went forth he delivered the decrees of the synod at Jerusalem.
4. Tapeiidogav aúrois, they delivered to them, i.e. to the converts in the several cities. They gave to the Gentile-Christians the decrees to observe, for there was nothing in them which a Jew would be likely to disregard. All that wonld be needed for the Jews in such cities would be to explain the terms on which Gentiles were to be admitted to the Christian communion.

тd 86үната тd кекрццiva, the decrees that were ordained. The phriss
 $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\nu}$ ( xv .25 ).
 is peculiar to the Acts, and is used (iii. 7, 16) of the strengthening of the limbs of the lame man at the Beautiful Gate of the Temple. So its employment here indieates that thas the Church was now prepared to make great progress. The barrier to Gentile admission was removed, and so the number of Christians moltiplied daily.
$\sigma r e p e a \dot{d}$ is found both in the literal and metaphorical senses in the LXX. The former is mostly concerning God, $\dot{\text { oे }} \sigma \tau \subset \rho \in \dot{\omega} \sigma a s ~ \tau \dot{\eta} \nu \gamma \hat{\eta} \nu \kappa a l$
 $\epsilon \nu$ 及ouǹ $\hat{\eta} \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \in \hat{v ̂ p t a l . ~}$
 the Text. recept. is probably due to the participle which immediately follows and has no conjunction.
 tia. This was scarcely the direction, so far as population was concorned, which would have been chosen by them of their own accord, but the inner admonition of the Holy Ghost kept them from entering Proconsular Asia. The news of the events at Jerusalem on the Day of Pentecost were known to some in Phrygia already (ii. 10), but of Galatis the history has yet made no mention, though we know from St Paul's Epistle to that Church that he afterwards had the warmest interest in and greatest anxiety concerning the Christians there, among whom Judaizers wrought like mischief with that done in Antioch. From some expressions of St Paul (Gal. iv. 19) it seems likely that it was from his own preaching at this time that Churches in Galatia were founded.

кмлvefvtes, having been forbidden. As they had been forbidden the one ronte they went by the other. St Luke says little about the events in this part of the journey, probably because he was not of the company, for his language below ( $v .10$ ) seems to shew that he only joined St Panl at Troas.

Chrysostom's reflection on the hindrance here spoken of is: $\delta$ carl


iv $\uparrow \hat{n}{ }^{\prime}$ 'Aglq, in Asia. See note on ii. 9.
 Mysia. The 'to' of A.V. is incorrect. The course of the journey seems to have been through Galatia and Phrygia, until they got so far to the west as to be opposite to, and on the borders of, Mysia. From this point they were inclined to go north into Bithynia, rather than farther to the west, but were again hindered of their intention.
 Bithynia. This was their plan and they were ready to carry it out, when they were inwardly admonished to go another way.


тò тvê̂मa 'Iŋซoû, the spirit of Jesus. In like manner (Rom, viii. 9) the 'Spirit of God' is called also the 'Spirit of Christ.' Cf. also Gal. iv. 6; Phil. i. 19; 1 Pet. i. 11.
 withont preaching there. Mysia was a district of Proconsular Asia, where they were forbidden, by the Spirit, to preach.
cls Tpwá8a, to Troas, the well-known seaport on the coast of Mysia.
 to Paul by night. That such divine communications should be made after the descent of the Holy Ghost was part of the fulfilment of the prophecy of Joel about which Peter spake on the Day of Pentecost (ii. 17). For their frequent oceurrence of. ix. 10, x. 3, 17, 19, xi. 5, xii. 9 , xwiii. 9 .
divt̀p Makeićv, a man of Macedonia. His nationality was made known by the words of his request.
 of inquiry how and when they could cross into Europe. For cipreiv
 cls $\tau \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{p} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \hat{\eta} \nu \sigma 0 u$.

At this point the writer begins to speak in the first person as if now he became a sharer in St Paul's labours. This he continues till verse 17.
${ }_{5}^{6}$ endeiv, to go forth. A word suitable for the first step in the next extension of missionary work from Asia into Europe.
$\sigma u \mu \beta \downarrow$ ßáhovtes, assuredly gathering. The verb has the sense of 'coming to a conclusion from putting things side by side.' So it is rendered 'proving' in ix. 22 and elsewhere. Here it means 'deeming it to be proved.'


 ouviryov.
11. इapotpqiкпи, Samothrace. This island lies in the north of the Aegean Sea, opposite to that part of the Thracien coast at which the river Hebrus empties itself.

Ntav $\pi \delta \lambda \iota v$, Neapolis, the port of Philippi. This place is generally identified with the modern Kavalla. On the discussion about its identity see Dictionary of the Bible (s. т.).
 is no change of the verb (ev̇Uu $\delta \rho \mu \neq \sigma \sigma \mu \nu)$ for the whole desaription of the journay, we may conclude that it was all made by ship.
 district, a colony. Philippi and the country round had long been famous by reason of the neighbouring gold-mines. At the time of St Paul's visit it was held by the Romans, and a colony had been founded there by Augustas. The civil magistrates and the military authorities
were Roman. Hence the fear (xvi. 38) when they heard that prisoners whom they had scourged were Roman citizens. For a history of Philippi, see Dict. of the Bible.

It ahould be borne in mind that a Roman colony was not like what we now call a colony. The inhabitants did not settle as they pleased, but were sent out by authority from Rome, marching to their destination like an army with banners, and they reproduced, where they settled, a close resemblance of Roman rule and life. They were planted on the frontiers of the empire for protection, and as a check upon the provincial magistrates. The names of those who went were still enrolled in the lists of the tribes of Rome. Latin was their language, and they used the Roman coinage, and had their chief magistrates sent out or appointed from the mother city. Thus were they very closely united with Rome, and entirely free from any intrusion on the part of the governors of the provinces.

13-34. Preaceing on tee Sabbati at Philippi. Contersion and Baptism of Lydia. A Spirit of Divination cast odt by St Padl. Anger of those who made gan thereby. Padl and Stlas are seized, brought before tee Authorities, scoubged and imprifoned, bot the Prison Doobs abe opened by $a$ Miracle. Contersion and Baptism of tee Jailor and his Hodserold.
 of the phrase is common in the LXX. Cf. Lev. xxiv. 8; Num. xxviii. 9; Jer. xpii. 21, 22. But $\dot{\eta} \dot{\eta} \mu \notin \rho a \operatorname{\tau ô} \sigma \alpha \beta \beta \dot{\tau} \tau o v$ is also frequent.
 worship was less likely to attract hostile notice and less liable to interruption there than it would have been in the city.
 of prayer. The meaning of $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \epsilon u \chi$ ' here and in verse 16 is 'a place of prayer.' The Jews had such mpoceuxal, sometimes in brildings, sometimes in the open air, as was the case in this instance. The word is found in this sense in Josephus, De wita swa, 54, ouvdyoptal
 $\mu$ epor. They are described by Philo (ed. Mang.) in. 282. They were very nomerous in Rome (see Mayor, Juvenal, iti, 296). Because of Jewish ceremonial washings they were, when in the open air, as often as might be, near a river-side or on the sea-shore. Cf. Ezra viii. 15 and 21. And no doubt the language of Ps. cexxvii. 1, 'By the rivers of Babylon we sat down,' applies to a similar state of things.

кai ка日lбavtes, and having sat down. Sitting was the uenal attitude of Jewish teaohers.
taîs ovvendovigals puvauktv, unto the women which were come together. The Greek refers to those gathered together on this particular occesion only. Considering the little regard which the Jews had for women as persons to be conversed with and taught, it is note-
worthy how large a part women play both in the Gospel History and in the Acts. It was one effect of Christianity to place woman in her true position.
14. Nu8ia, Lydia. This may have been the woman's proper name, or it may only have been that by which she passed among the colonists of Philippi, being from the Lydian town of Thyatira. From inscriptions which have been found on the site of the ancient town, it is clear that dyeing was one of the staple trades of Thyatira, and it was from thence that Lydia brought over the purple which she sold in Philippi.

Todews Qvartlpav, of the city of Thyatira. This city was on the Lydian river Lycus. There was another river Lycus in Phrygia, in the valley of which stood the cities of Laodicers, Hierapolis and Colosse, all afterwards the seats of Christian congregations in whose welfare St Paul was deeply interested. See Col. iv. 13.
$\sigma \in \beta$ opivn ròv $\theta \in 6 v$, who worshipped God, i.e. who had become a proselyte to Judaism.
 Lake recognizes thet without this the word would have made no entrance. He probably makes special mention of this here becanse he had previously stated that the Lord had called them to preach at Philippi. Having pointed out their work, He helps them to perform it.


mportxetv, that she attended. For the construction see note on viii. 6. She gave such heed that she was convinced of the truth of what was taught.


15. kal $\delta$ oikos autins, and her household. Of a like baptizing of a household see below (v. 33), and also cf. xi. 14. We are not justified in concluding from these passages that infants were baptized. 'Household' might mean slaves and freedwomen.
$\mu \dot{\operatorname{c} \epsilon \tau \epsilon,}$ abide there. Like the two disciples who followed Jesus (John i. 38) Lydia was anxious to have the teachers whose lessons she found so suited to the needs of her opened heart near unto her.
 Luke here and Luke xxiv. 29, of the two disciples at Emmans. In the LXX. it occurs more frequently and is used ( 1 Sem . xxviii. 23) of the constraint put upon Saul at Endor to make him take food, also ( 2 Kings ii. 17) of the urgent request made to Blisha by the prophets at Jericho. Cf. also 2 Kings v. 16.

The force used was that of a prayer which would accept no 'Nay.'
 place of prayer (see on verse 13). This verse must refer to a different occasion from that on which Lydia was converted. In the previons
$\pi a \rho \in \beta s a \sigma a \pi o$ it is implied that they consented to her request．Thus they had already taken up their abode in Lydia＇s house．

XXourav $\pi v \in i \mu a, ~ \pi i \theta \omega v a$, having a spirit，a Python．According to Plutarch（De def．Otac．9）those persons who practised ventriloquism， called also tyractpluveo，were named Pythons．But the damsel in this history clearly laid claim to some prophetio power，and was used as a means of foreknowing the future．So that the word Python is better here referred to the name of Apollo，the heathen god of prophecy，and the A．V．＇spirit of divination＇gives the correct idea．
¿pүaotav то入入ív，much gain，Epvacta means first the＇worl done，＇ and secondarily the＇profit from it．＇Cf．Wisdom xiii．19，$\pi \in \rho l$ i $\delta$ $\pi о \rho t \sigma \mu 00$ кal $\neq \rho \gamma a \sigma l a s$ ，＇and concerning gaining and getting＇（A．V．）．
toîs kuplots aúrîs，to her masters．Some persons who having found a strange power in the maiden made use of it，as has oft been done， for their own purposes of gain，and persuaded the people to resort unto her with their questions．
mavтevo $\mu(\mathrm{v} \eta$ ，by soothsaying．This word is found nowhere else in N．T．，and wherever it is used in the LXX．it is invariably of the words of lying prophets，or those who used arts forbidden by the Jewish Law． Thus of the witch of Endor（ 1 Sam．xxviii．8）$\mu d \nu \tau \epsilon v \sigma a t ~ \delta \dot{\eta} \mu o t ~ \epsilon \nu ~ \tau \hat{\varphi}$
 Cf．also Deut．xviii．10；Ezek．xii．24，xxi．29，sxii．28；Mic．iii． 11. Here therefore we must take it in the bad sense，＇by pretending to foretell the future．＇
 Whatever may have been the nature of the mental and spiritual malady under which this damsel suffered，it produced on her the like effect which is oft recorded of evil spirits in the history of Jesus（Mark i． 24 ；Luke iv．41），and forced her to confess to the true character of the Christian teachers．The devils believe and tremble（James ii．19）．

After this verse the writer ceases for a time to indicate by his lan－ guage that he was with St Paul，but in xx．5，where the Apostle comes once again to Philippi，the first person plural appears in the narrative． It seems therefore not improbable that St Luke was left behind to labour for the spread of the Gospel in Macedonis，and only taken away again by St Paul after the work had been well established．

Soûhou toû 日eov̂ rov̂ viqlotov，the servants of the Most High God．Cf． the words of the demoniac，Mark v． 7.
oitives katayyalovotv ípiv，who proclaim unto you．This is an older reading than $\bar{\eta} \mu \mathrm{i}$ ，and it seems more like what one who had been engaged in speaking as a soothsayer to others would say．
 Whether this following took place only on the sabbaths，when the Apostles were going to the place of prayer，in which case the Apostles must have remained in Philippi some weeks，or whether it was on every occasion on which they appeared in public，we are not told．
 used (iv. 2) of the annoyance of the priests and Sadducees at the teaching of the Apostles, and nowhere else in N T. (See note there.) Its sense is 'to be thoroughly worn out with vexation.'
 earth, so Paul now will not aliow the cry of the evil spirit, even though the words proclaim that he and his companions are servants of the Most High God. So in Christ's name he bids the evil power come forth.
 gain was gone. The verb $\xi \xi \bar{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon \nu$ is the same word which was used of the spirit coming out of the damsel. We cannot produce the same effect by English words. When the spirit went out, the hope of their gain went out also. What the damsel herself may have thought of her power we cannot tell. Probably, for their monay-making purposes, they had persuaded her that her ravings were prophetio.
 Silas, as being the most prominent members of the mission party.
els $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \mathbf{v}$ ayopáv, into the market-place. This was the great place of concourse and where, as in the homan forum, would be the seat of the authorities.
apxovtas, rulers. A very general term, the special members of the magistracy being indicated in the next verse.
20. тpooayayóvies avitov่s toîs $\sigma$ тparnyois, having brought them to the magistrates. These $\sigma$ т $\rho a r \eta y$ ol were the duumviri, the two protors specially appointed to preside over the administration of justice, in cases where there was no appeal to Rome, in the municipia and colonice of the Romans. The title $\sigma r \rho a r \eta \gamma$ ol seems to indicate somewhst of a military authority, which could administer summary punishment.
ékrapdocovotv, do exceedingly trouble. Only used here in N.T. In the LXX. it is twice found of terror arising from visions (Wisdom
 Ps. xvii. 5, lexxvii. 17, of the trouble caused by floods of ungodliness, and by the terrors of the Lord. The kind of tronble spoken of in the teat is seen from xvi. 6. 'These that have turned the world upside down' is the description of the preachers.
'Iowdaiol vitápxovees, being Jews. On the ways in which Roman aversion was aroused and exhibited towards the Jewa, for their religious exclusiveness, see Mayor Juvenal xiv. 96-106 notes, with the authorities there given. Jew-baiting is no modern invention.
21. кal кaгayy to the proclamation or preaching of the Apostles.
22. kal ovvertorn ó oxdos, and the multitude rose up together, i.e. along with the aggrieved proprietors of the damsel.
 glothes off them.
 with rods was the office of the Roman lictor, who carried rods for this purpose when attending on the magistrates. The use of this special word is an indication that St Luke was aware of the particelar kind of beating, and perhaps beheld the infliction. This is one of the occasions, no doubt, to which St Panl alludes ( 2 Cor. zi. 25), 'Thrice was I beaten with rods' ( $t p a \beta \delta \delta \sigma \theta \eta \nu)$.

On the sufferings of the Apostles at Philippi, Chrysostom says:






 shoald have no chance of teaching any longer. They appear (see $v$. 35) to have intended to keep them one night in prison and then to turn them out of the city.
24. els Tìv efocetpay фvגakriv, into the inner prison. Necessarily a place dark and without ventilation, and hence foul and loathsome, perhaps underground, like the Tullianum at Rome (Varr. L. L. v. § 161; Liv. xxIx. 22).
 fast in the stocks. The $\xi 0$ on (iterally wood) was a means of additional security and additional torture. The feet passed through holes and held secure made rest almost impossible. The instrument was of
 the Greeks, as well as ourselves, had also the pillory, and had it made with five apertures for head, hands and feet (Aristoph. Eq. 1049).
dodad(forat is used (Wisdom ziii. 15) of a man making his idol

 the question they passed the night in devotion. The imperfects $\psi_{\mu \nu 0 u r}$ and $\epsilon \pi \eta \kappa \rho o \omega \nu \tau 0$ in the verse indicate that the prayers and singing were continued; but we have no means of adequately representing this by idiomatie English.
oi $\delta$ forpou, the prisoners. The inner prison appears to have held more than Paul and Silas, or it may be that bars in the inner walla allowed the sound to pass into other cells. The verb is not the common one for 'hearing,' and is rarely found anywhere. It indicates attentive hearkening.

The derived noan émakpaats is found in LXX. $1 \mathrm{Sam} . \mathrm{xr} .22$ in
 is better than the fat of rams.'
 as the place wherein the Apostles prayed (iv. 31) was shaken, so here God testifies that He is near at hand,
 in which these words are to be taken may be gathered from the rest of the description. The chains ( $\delta e \sigma \mu a ̀$ ) were made fast to the wall, and the shock which burst asunder the bolte of the doors also released the fastenings which held the chains in the masonry.



 bly slept in such a place that on rising he could observe at a glance whether the prison doors were secure, and had his weapon close at hand so that he might seize and use it on any emergency. He must also have been so near to the open doors before he manifested any design of suicide that the prisoners within could see what he was doing. St Paul out of the dark could observe him before the jailor could see farther than the opened doors.
 what his fete would be. See xii. 19; and compare xxvii. 42, for the way in which Roman officials must answer with their lives for the escape of prisoners. Suicide under such circumstances would to the jailor's mind present the easiest way out of his difficulties, and the teaching of even the greatest minds both of Greece and Rome was that it was justifiable and under some circamstances praiseworthy. The suicide of Cato (Catonis nobile Letum) farnished a constant text for such teaching. (Cf. Cic. Tusc. r. §s 9-119; Plat. Apol. 40.)
 voice. The sound of even one voice would arrest the jailor's action, for at the sight of the open doors he had concluded that all had made use of the opportunity and had escaped.
 summon all the help he could, and would wish to make an inspection of his charge as speedily as possible.

Vvтроцоs yevónevos, being terror-stricken. For the word see above, vii. 32. It is also found in LXX. Dan. x. 11; Wisd. xvii. 9; 1 Mace.

 connected all that had occurred with the two prisoners Paul and Silas, and as they were not fled away, a change of feeling came over him, and he at once judged them to be more than other men. Hence his attitude becomes one of supplication and worship.
30. кal троаүаy凶̀v aútov́s, and having brought them out. For there could be no fear that they would flee now who had remained when the open doors made escape easy.

кúpLoL, Sirs, literally, 'Lords.' He acknowledges by the word their great superiority.
 bably heard about the testimony of the possessed damsel, that Panl
and Silas shewed the way of salvation (verse 17), and now without knowing what it fully meant, he cries out (in his misery, when despair had prompted suicide), asking for the teaching which they had to give.
 The word Xptoro's which is inserted here in the Text. recept. would not have the same significance for a Gentile as for a Jew, end may well have been omitted in the address to the jailor. What was asked from Gentile converts was to accept Jesus as their Lord. The men whom he had just called 'Lords' point him to the only 'Lord.'
kal $\delta$ otwós oov, and thy house. The thought is that what the head of the family did wonld be followed by the rest. The remark made above (verse 15) on the meaning of otkos is not so applicable here. The jailor was not likely to have a slave-household. But whoever the members were, we see from the next verse that they were willing hearers.


32. Tdे $\lambda$ रóyov toû kuplou, the word of the Lord, i. e. he proached to him the doctrine of Christ, in the only way then possible, by the narrative of His life and its parpose.
 was midnight, see verse 25 . But a new day, a birthday, had already begun for him and it must be kept as a feast. So hedoes his utmost to shew his rejoicing by care for those who hed caused it.
 tion which had not been bestowed before. They were thrust into the inner prison with their wounds all bleeding and uncared for. The literal sense is 'washed (them) from their wounds,' i.e. from the stains and blood which their wounds had caused. Cf. Apoc. i. b, dof́ravta



54. таре $9 \eta \kappa \in \nu$ трáтefav, he set meat (lit. a table) before them. He would not let them remain longer in the dungeon, but took means to testify how the dawn of faith had filled him with joy.
 his house, having believed in God. He had been taught in verse 31 'to believe on the Lond Jesus,' and we must explan this verse by that. To believe on Jesus is to believe what God has made known concerning Bim. This the jailor had heard in 'the word of the Lord' (verse 32), that story which told how in Jesus all the prophecies were fulfilled, and how by His mighty works He had shewn that He was the Son of God.

It is scarcely possible to help being struck in this chapter with the account of the effect of the tirst preaching of the Gospel in Europe.

We see at once its aniversality and its power. The first notable convert is Lydia, the Asiatic settier, a woman evidently of wealth, position and refinement; then the demoniac slave-girl is made an instrnment of proclaiming the presence and power of the Most High God; and last, the Roman jailor, of a class, insensible as a ruie and hardened by habit, and also disposed to despise the Jews who were the bearers of the message of the Gospel. The converts of Philippi (the Erstfruits of St Paul's preaching in Earope) were types and an earnest of how Christ's cause would make its way.

3E-40. The Magisthates would aend teem away, but Padl hefuses to be thos dismissed. He annodnceg that they are Romans, and the Magistrates in Fear besehef them to depart. They take leave of Lydia and the Breteren and leave Pelitpri.
35. Tous paß8oúxous, the serjeants. Literally, 'rodbearers.' These were the lictors, that attended on the pretors (duumviri, $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma a($ ), probably the same persons who on the previous day had scourged Paul and Silas, and were now sent to see that they were got rid of.
 words. No doubt he came with great joy, and it is evident that Paul and Silas had gone back to their prison after the evente at midnight.
esen日butes торéver $\theta$, come forth and go, i.e. out of the prison, in which they were still remaining to abide what should befall.
 to the lictors, through the jailor. It is highly probable that the conversation of the Roman officers would be in Latin, and that the proceedings of the previous day may have been conducted in that language. In-this way, if Paul and Silas were unfamiliar with the Latin speech, we might account for the non-mention or the disregard of their Roman citizenship. If either the Apostle did not comprehend all that was going on or could not, amid the confusion of such a tumultrous eourt, make himself understood, the message which he now sends to the magistrates might have had no chance of being heard before the scourging was inflicted.

סєlpautes ijpâs ôquoria, having beaten us publicly. For no doubt they had been lashed to the palus or pablic whipping-post in sight of all the people.
akarakpirous, uncondemned. There had been no reality of a trial, no attempt to get at the truth. For all that had been listened to was the charge of the accusers, who, leaving out all mention of the real reason of their charge, viz. that they had lost a source of moneymaking ${ }^{\text {p }}$ put forward the plea that the missionaries were disturbers of public law and order. The crowd shoated with the accusers, and the magistrates, forgetting their position, joined with the mob (verse 22) in the assault on the Apostles,
ávepátovs ' Papalovs intúpXovtas, men that are Romans. This is in marked contrast with the charge of the acousers, which ran, "These men, being Jews.' The laws which had been violated by this aot were the Lex Valeria (B.c. 508) and the Lex Porcia (b.c. 300). On the ontrage, compare Cicero's language in the Verrine orations (v. 66), 'Facinus est vinciri civem Romanum, scelus verberari, prope parricidium necari.'
 Apostie would say, Our punishment was in publie, let our dismissal be public too.
oú $\gamma \dot{d} \mathrm{~d}$, di入lá. The explanation of this combination of particles appears to be to understand the previous question as a refusal to come forth = 'We will not be thrust out privily. For that is not what ought to be, but let them come,' \&c. So that the 'Nay verily' of A.V. gives the sense very well.
 had a right of appeal to the emperor, and outrage on such a man was visited with severe penalties.
${ }^{\text {e }}$ P $\omega \mu$ aiol elotv, they are Romans. The words are reported exactly as the messengers woald utter them; ött is no more than a mark of quotation.
 from the city. Finding how mach they had offended, they become very humble, and beg the disciples to relieve them of their anxiety by quitting Philippi. We are not told how Panl and Silas established their statement, but they must have produced satisfactory proof to inspire so much fear. We hear of Paul's olaim afterwards when he appeals to Cessar. Of Silas' right to citizenship we have no further evidence.
40. Trods Tilv $\Delta u \delta l a v$, into the house of Lydia. Waiting there probably till they were fit to travel farther. But in the midst of the suffering they still exhort and comfort the Christians whom in their stay they had gathered into a Church.

How deep the mutual affection was, which afterwards existed between St Panl and these Philippians, his first European converts, is manifest in every line of the Epistle which he wrote to them from Rome in his first imprisonment. They are his greatest joy, they have given him no cause for sorrow, and from first to last have ministered to his afflictions, and made manifest how they prized their ' Father in Christ.' The jubilant language of the letter is marked by the oft-repeated ' Rejoice in the Lord.'

## CHAPTER XVII.

Readings varying from the Text. recept.

1. $\dot{\eta}$ omitted before ovvay
2. $\pi \lambda \hat{1} \theta_{0}$ os $\pi 0 \lambda$ v́ with NABDE. Vulg. 'multitudo magna.'
3. ate:Aoivtes omitted before 'IovSaîol with KABE. Not represented in Vulg.

троayayкiv with אAB. Vulg. 'producere.'
7. Bacilea krepov $\lambda$ 'yourss with KAB. Vulg. 'regem alium dicentes.'
 'et turbantes.'


20. $\tau l v a$ 日enct with NAB. Vulg. 'quidnam velint.'
21. ff for kal before dxovítv with $\mathbb{N A B D}$. Vuly. 'aut.'
23. Ot for $\Delta y$, тои̂тo for toîtoy, with KABD. Vulg. 'Quod...hoc.'

24 imápX $\quad$ кviplos with NABE. Vulg. 'cum sit dominus.'
26. aluaros omitted with NAB. Not represented in Vulg.

27. $\theta$ év for кipeoy with KABHL. Fulg. 'Deum.'
30. mávтas for $\pi \hat{a} \sigma \iota$ with NABD. Vulg. 'hominibus ut omnes.'
32. kal before $\pi d \boldsymbol{l}$ เv added with N゙AB. Not represented in Vulg.
38. кal before oitcos omitted with NAB. Vulg, has only 'sic.'

Ch. XVII. 1-9. Paul and Silas jolirney trovge Amphipolis and Apollonla to Thessalonica, where some of the Jews batse an Uproar against them and Jabon their Host.

1. Swotvioavtes 8 \&f, and when they had passed through. This verb, of rare occurrence in classical Greek, but common in the LXX. (of. Gen. xii. 6; Ps. Ixxxviii. 40; Baruch ip. 2, \&e.), is found in the N.T. only here and in Luke viii. 1. The use of the same words and phrases is a noticeable point in support of the identity of authorship of the two books.
 journey is made to the south and west. Amphipolis was about 33 miles distant from Philippi, along the Egnatian road. It had been a famons place in the time of the Peloponnesian war, and was in St Paul's time a great Roman military station. Its name was given to it because it was as nearly as possible enclosed by the winding stream of the river Strymon. Apollonia was about 30 miles farther on, in the district of Macedonia known as Mygdonia, and about 37 miles from Thessalonica. The Apostle and his companions appear not to have made any stay in these towns. Chrysostom accounts for their haste

 Tís $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma t o \nu$.

Oєббa入ov(кๆv, Thessalonica, the modern Saloniki, to the Christians of which place St Paul afterwards addressed the two carliest of his extant epistles. From very early times Thessalonica had been a famous place. Its old name was Therma, and it was called Thessalonica after a sister of Alexander the Great. It is now one of the most important towns in European Turkey, and it played a great part in the history of the Middle Ages as the bulwark of Christendom in the East. It was oaptured by the Saraeens a.d. 904, then by the Crusaders in 1184, and lastly by the Turks in 1430. Even now there is a large Christian element among its population, and a still larger number of Jews.
 Philippi there had been no synagogue. But Thessalonice may have had a larger Jewish population, and numerous enough to provide and support a building for their religious services.
2. katd $8 \mathbf{d}$ to elwós, and as his manner was. On the Apostle's constant habit of going to the synagogues see xiii. 5,14 , xiv. 1 , \&c. The dative case stands after clutós, instead of the genitive, because the verb $\theta \omega$ governs a dative.
 asked (as on a former occasion xiii. 15) to offer any exhortation to the people, if he were moved so to do.
$\ell \pi l$ бdißßara $\tau \rho l a$, three sabbath days. On which days the Jews would be sure to gather in greater numbers, and for the other days of the week to be less accessible.
3. 8Lavolywv, opening. St Luke (and be only in the N.T. xxiv. 32) uses this verb of making plain what before was not understood. We may see from that passage what had been St Paul's work in Thessalonica, 'He began at Moses and all the prophets and expounded unto them in all the Scriptures the things concerming Christ. ${ }^{2}$

кal $\pi a \rho a \tau \star \theta \in \mu \in v o s$, and alleging. The more modern use of allege $=$ to assert, has somewhat obscured the older English meaning, which was merely 'to set forth.' mapari $\theta \eta \mu \mathrm{c}$ signifies primarily 'to set out food, \&c. on a table,' and then figuratively 'to set out arguments,' but without the idea of assertion. St Paul reasoned but only out of the Scriptures. For the English word cf. Coverdale, Works (Parker Soc.), p. 14, 'We will first declare our mind out of Scriptare and allege (i.e. set before you) somewhat more for the better understanding of the matter.'
 Messiah, whom the Jews expected, they looked for in New Testament days only as a mighty conqueror who should dehiver them from their oppressors. Their wishes had been father to their thoughts, and they overlooked all that spake of the Messiah as the 'Man of sorrows.' This portion of the Scriptures it was which St Paul opened,
 the disciples themselves in earlier days (John xx. 9), 'understood not the Soriptares (such as Ps. xvi. 10) that He must rise again from the dead.'
 this (said he) is Christ Jesus whom I proclaim unto yout. There is a change in the structure of the sentence from the indireet to the direct form of expression which can be best made intelligible by the insertion of 'said he.' Cf. chap. i. 4.

Jesus has fuifilled the prophecies. He has suffered, risen from the dead and ascended into heaven. And we are witnesses to and preachers of this glad tidings.
On the brevity of St Luke's reports of the discourses which he


 For the Apostle's teaching was by arguments which they could fully appreciate.
 in mind that the verb is passive. The literal sense is 'they were allotted to.' They joined the company of the Apostles, but there was a power whioh acted on them other than their mere inclination. They were inwardly moved to what they did.
 a great multitude. These were proselytes of the gate, heathens by birth, but having in part embraced the Jews' religion (ef. xiii. 43, 50, and verse 17 of this chapter). Such men were likely to join St Paul in greater numbers, for they had not the prejudices of the born Jew.
 This must refer to those who still clung to all the ritual and traditional
 its worse sense expresses their anger and dislike at seeing large numbers drawn away from their opinions.
 rabble. ix $\gamma$ opaios, 'of the rabble,' is properly the man who having no calling lounges about the dyopd, the market-place, in the hope of picking up a chance living, and who is ready for anything bad or good that may present itself. We have no English word sufficiently dignified to use for such a term in translation. 'Loafer' comes nearest, but of course is too colloquial. The word 'lewd' (A.V. for mompoús) meant in old English 'people,' but afterwards came to signify (1) 'the common people,' and (2) 'the ignorant and rude among the people,' whioh is the sense interded by the A.V. The word nearest ekin to 'lewd' is the Germ. Leute = people.
inopífouv т $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \pi \delta \lambda \iota v$, they set the city in an uproar. The Jews in Thessalonica were clearly numerous and influential or they would never have stirred up such a tumult. To help their case they chose
(see verse 7) to raise the cry that the new teachers were enemies of the homan power.

Tn otcla' 'Iáoovos, the house of Jason. Manifestly the host of Paul and Silas. Beyond what is said of him in the following verses (6-9) we know nothing. The name is found, Rom. zvi. 21, in a list of those whom St Paul speaks of as his 'kinsmen,' but this may be quite a different person. He is most likely to have been a Jew, whose proper name perhaps was Joseph, and Jason, which is Greek, may be only that which he aged in his intercourse with Gentiles.
aủrovs тpoaycyeiv cis ròv $\delta \bar{j} \mu \mathrm{ov}$, to bring them forth to the people. So that the excited mob might inflict summary vengeance upon them.
6. Eoupov 'Iáoova, they dragged Jason. $\sigma \mathbf{v i p e c v}$ is expressive of considerable violence. It is used (viii. 3) of Saul, 'haling' men and women and committing them to prison.


kal rtvas d̈ßeldoís, and certain brethren. Hence we find that in these three weeks a Church had been formed, a Christian society established.
 is found nowhere in literature except in this chspter. But an inscription connected with this very city of Thessalonica has been preserved on an arch which spans a street of the modern city. It contains some names which occur as the names of St Paul's converts, Sosipater, Gains, Secundus, but the inscription is probably not earlier than the time of Vespasian (see Boeckh, Inscr. 2, p. 52, n. 1967). There the title of the magistrates is given in this precise form; a striking confirmation of the truthfulness of the account before us.

Tìv olkoupunv, the vorld. Lit. 'the inhabited earth.' A phrase used in later Greek to signify the whole Roman Empire, which then embraced a very large portion of the known world (cf. Luke ii. 1). It speaks much for the spread of Christianity and its powerful influence, that words like these should come from the lips of enemies.
dvaotatóravtes, having turned upside down. The word is very rare, nsed by Aquila and Symmachus, and perhaps in Ps. x. 1 (LXX.), though this is not the reading of the Vatican MS. In N.T. we have it here and xxi. 38; and Gal. v. 12.
7. ous vimofeઈektal 'Iácov, whom Jason hath received, as guests into his house. Thus he would be counted for a sympathizer with their teaching, as most probably he was. For the verb ef. Tobit vii. 9; 1 Mace. xvi. 15.
ob̉rac $\pi$ dóvees, these all. Implying that Panl and Silas, whom they had not found, would be inoluded in the accusation, if they could be caught.

[^7]preaching, he had only drawn the attention of the Jews to the sufferinge of the Messiah, but we cannot doubt that he had also spoken of His kingdom. Such language the mob would be urged to seize on, and make it the justification for their uproar, for Thessalonica though a free city was subject to the Emperor.
8. KTápagav $\delta \lambda \tau \delta \nu$ ox $\mathrm{X} \lambda \mathrm{ov}$, and they troubled the people, with language like this, which seemed to speak of insurrection. Thus the mob would be made eager for the punishment of the Apostles.
9. kal $\lambda$ aßóvess tò ikavòv тapd toù 'Iárovos, and when they had taken security of Jason, i.e. having made him responsible either by his finding securities to be bound with and for him, or by making him give some deposit as a pledge for his good conduct, they took measures for securing, so far as those at present in custody were concerned, that they should commit no treason.

тò icavdv $\lambda a \beta$ eiv seems to be a rendering of a Latin expression satis accipere. The Greek phrase is not found elsewhere, but the converse $\mathrm{Lkapoेp} \pi \mathrm{ot} \mathrm{\epsilon iv}=$ satis dare, to give security, occurs in Diog. Laert. Iv. 50.

10-16. Pajl and Sulas gent afyay to Bergea. Noble Character of the Bebgans. The Jews from Thegsalontca follow after Padl, and by Reajon of their Enmity he is conducted to Athens.
10. SLd vuktos, by night. The preposition refers to the time within (during) which the action took place.
 Silas. The after-conduct of the Thessalonian Jews (see verse 13) shews that they were determined to bring danger on the missionaries. Feeling that this was so, their friends got them out of the way.
els Blpouv, unto Beroa. Still the journey is south-west. The old name of Bercea may be recognised in the modern Verria.
els Tìv owvaw yriv, into the synagogue. See above on verse 2.
11. єủvevtortpol, more noble. edryenfs is applied first to nobility of birth; but its secondary sense is, as here, nobility of character. The latter ought to be a consequence of the former. Cf, 2 Macc. xiv. 42

edekavo rò $\lambda$ óyov, they received the word, i.e. the word published to them as the word of God. It was the same teaching which had been given to the Jews in Thessalonica. This we see because the Berceans go to the O. T. Scriptures to examine into the truth of what they hear. Here we have a noteworthy instance of the right of private judgment. Even an Apostle's word is not to be taken for granted. The noble Berœans were ready to listen, and then diligent to examine into the grounds of what was said.
àvakplyovtes tàs $\gamma$ padós, searching the Seriptures. This is a different verb from that so rendered in John v. 39, which is epeuvâv. duakplyey has the sense of examining and sifting evidence. It was used in Attic law of the steps taken by the lawyers to see whether an
action would lie. It is used by the LXX. 1 Sam. xx. 12, where our A.V. renders 'when I have sounded [Heb. searched] my father,' also in Susanna 51 of Daniel's examination of the elders.
cl ${ }^{\prime} \times$ ou raî̃a ourcs, whether those things were so. The optative mood implies that they had conceived the possibility in their minds, but still would examine before aceepting what was said. Cf. FinerMoulton, p. 364.
 which were Greeks. See above on siii. 50 .
 stands before that word in the sentence, bat it probably is intended to define $\delta \nu \delta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ too. The Jewish population has been previously described as ready to search the Scriptures. The men as well as the women who are mentioned afterwards were most likely all Gentiles.
13. $\delta \lambda 6$ yos $\tau 0 \hat{1} \theta \in 0 \hat{v}$, the word of God. This is the language of the author. The Thessalonian Jews would not have called St Paul's preaching by such a name.
 the multitudes. The figures in these verbs are of a storm at sea where all is atirred up from the depth. The second verb rapdaje has already occurred in verse 8, and it is probable from this that the tronble in Berca was produced in the same way as before by the statement that the Apostles were traitors to the foman power. For the figurative

 ó $\theta \in 6$. Also Pss. xlvii. 5, ovi, 27.
14. єivéws $8 \in$, and immediately. As from Thessalonica, so from Bercer, the departure is made with all haste. The charge of conspiring against Cæsar, which was probably pat forward everywhere, had a very dangerous effect on the popular mind.
 is to be preferred to the Text. recept. for several reasons. First it has stronger MS. support. And further it agrees better with the historf. The A.V. 'to go as it were to the sea' represents the $\dot{\omega}$ s of Text. recept., and woald imply that for a while the travellers made as though they were bound towards the sea, but then to baffle pursuit turned and took the land road to Athens. But it is difficult to understand that St Paul would have gone on through Thessaly and all the intervening districts which lie north of Attica, and never have sought an opportunity of preaching the word anywhere till Athens was reached. If however he were conveyed to the sea and took ship and was thus brought to Athens, it is easy to understand that the next place mentioned in the journey is Athens. It is clear too from the whole account of St Paul's travels, that he was a person who by reason of his infirmities could not easily travel alone. That such a person should have been brought so long a distance by land, where the seavoyage was so accessible and easy, is hardly to be imagined. It may well be that at the departure from Beroa the design was to wait at the
coast till his proper companions could come to him, but that when the sea was reached there was found a speedy opportunity of sailing into Attion, whiah the Apostle embraced, as his conductors were willing to go all the way with him.
 abode there still. For they had played a less prominent part, and therefore were not in such peril as St Paul.
15. of 8 kedratdvoures, and they who conducted. This form кatiotdiv, which is found nowhere else in N.T. in this sense, is the same word as the more usual callor $\eta \mu \mathrm{c}$; and the use of this word conveys the idea that the whole care and ordering of the journey was in the hands of his conductors and not of St Paul. кa $\theta i \sigma \tau \eta \mu L$ is used of the way in which the Israelites led Rahab and all that belonged to her out of Jericho (Joshua wi. 23); also see 2 Chron. xxviii. 15 of the way in which the Judæan captives were sent back, кal àvearnoav

 $\sigma \tau \eta \sigma a \nu$ autou's $\epsilon l_{s}{ }^{\prime} I_{\epsilon \rho \iota \chi} \chi{ }^{\prime}$.
 him safely settled where he could wait for his fellow-missionaries, which he seems to have designed to do, without preaching, had not his spirit been roused by the sights he saw.
wis táxtora, with all speed. This cbarge was given because Paul was now to be left alone; and would not readily set about his mission till he had some companion.

16-21. Patl, provozed by the Prefalence of Idolatry at Athems, firbt addresses the Jews and then the Gentices. Some of the Phelosophers question him on his Teachina, and being him to the Areopagde that they may hear him more at foll.
 in him. But the stirring was of the sharpest. It was a paroxysm. He was provoked till he could not forbear, could not hold his peace till Timothy and Silas arrived. On this Chrysostom says, ouk $\dot{\delta} \rho \gamma{ }^{2} \boldsymbol{p}$





$\theta$ twpoûrtos к.т. $\lambda$., as he beheld the city full of idols. This agrees with the facts. What St Panl beheld was the numerous statues erected, some to one god, some to another. That the city was wholly given to idolatry was the inference from this abundance of idols. The mutilation of the buste of Hermes before the Sicilian expedition in the Peloponnesian war shews how numerous were the statues erected to one divinity only. Time had added many to the nomber before St Paul's visit.
 $\mu 05$, катd $\mu \pi e \lambda$ os \&o., which all have the notion of 'abounding with.'
 gogue with the Jews. Going to them first, as sure to find from them sympathy in his horror against idolatry.

тois $\sigma \in \beta$ ophoos, with the devout persons, the proselytes of the gate. See above on xiii. 50.
 cannot but be reminded of the way in which Socrates some centuries earlier had thus gone about in the same city, seizing eagerly on every one who would listen, and trying, according to his light, to shew them higher things, to open their eyes that they might discern between real knowledge and conceit withoat knowledge.
 tain philosophers, both of the Epicureans and of the Stoics. In St Paul's day these two systems of philosophy were most prominent throughout the Roman world, and were regarded as conflicting, though in many points they bear a strong likeness to one another. Both were the result of a desire to find some better principle for the guidance of man's moral nature than could be found in the so-called religious syatems of Greece and Rome. But before the Christian era mush that was best in both schools had sadly degenerated from its pristine character.

The founder of the Stoics was Zeno of Citium in Cyprus. His precise date is uncertain, but he flourished in the century between b.c. $350-250$. The first lesson of his teaching was that the highest duty of the philosopher was to practise virtue. For the doing this knowledge was neeessary, and the only knowledge that could be relied on was that which was based upon sensation. Reality belonged only to material things such as the senses could appreciate. In this manner the Stoic philosophy became materialist. For though owning the existence of God and of the soal in man, Zeno and his followers spake of these as, in some sense, material. But they termed God the soul of the universe, and taught that all things are produced from him, and will at last be absorbed into him again. And then a new world-cycle will begin and be in all respects like that which went before. So the Stoics were Pantheists. They tanght moreover that the universe was governed by unchanging law, that tbe lot of individuals, and the occurrence of particular events, were all uncertain. The care of Providence was for the fabric of the universe, and only indirectly extended to particulars or individuals whose lot was bound up with the unchanging course of fixed law. The Stoics therafore were Fatalists. The way in which the individual could make the nearest approach to happiness was by bringing himself, through knowledge, into harmony with the course of the universe. But so unimportant did the individual appear to these philosophers, that suicide was held to be lawful, and at times praiseworthy. They were conscious of both physical and moral evil in the world, and from this men might escape by self-inficted death. They tanght how-
ever that, though the virtuous might have to auffer, no real evil happens to them, nor real good to the vicions. Fortified with this thought, the Stoic trained himself to be proudly independent of externals, and to bear evils, ahould they come, with indifference, and thus he strove to secure undisturbed peace of mind. Materialism, Pantheism, Fatalism and pride, were the features of one of the systems into contact with which St Paul was brought at Athens.

The Epicureans (named from Epicuras, born at Samos b.c. 342) agreed with the Stoics that philosophy should seek to promote the happiness of man, but maintained that this end could be best gained by the pursoit of pleasure. By this language they did not intend profligate pleasure, but a state wherein the body was free from pain and the mind from disturbance. They too made the senses their means of judging of what is pleasure, and so with them man became the measure of all good for himself. Thus the Epicureans were materialists. But differing from the Stoics they taught the world was formed by chance, and that the gods had no concern in its creation. Their gods were described as perfectly happy, dwelling apart and caring neither for the world nor its inhabitants. Thus the Epicureans were practical a theists. With them man might approach to a state of happiness by circum. scribing his wants, so that life might be free from care. To restrain the senses was the Epicurean road to happiness, to crush them as much as possible into insensibility was the path of the Stoic. But having such thoughts of the gods, neither system had in any way run counter to the popalar theology. By doing so the Stoic would fear lest he shonld be thought to deny God altogether, while the Epicurean, though thinking all such worship folly, yet felt it too great an interruption to the pleasure which he sought, to become an adrocate of the abolition of idol worship. So St Paul found Athens crowded with the images and altars of the gods.
 argued with him. The word is used of the Sanhedrin holding a debate among themselves (iv. 15) on what was to be done with the Apostles.
 i.e. if we would listen to him.
$\sigma \pi \varepsilon p \mu \boldsymbol{\lambda}$ óyos is not fonnd elsewhere in N.T. or LXX. In profane writers it is used of birds picking up scattered grain, and then figuratively of men who pick op a living as best they may, and hence are willing to flatter for the sake of what they can get. Men without principle or ground in what they say.

Etvor Saupov(wv...eival, he seems to be a setter-forth of strange gods. סaupbva, from which comes the English 'demon,' was used in classical Greak mostly to denote some inferior order among the divine beings. In the LXX. it is always applied to false gods or evil spirits.
 accusations brought against Socrates, and the charge on which he was condemned, that he introduced new סaцнóvia (Xen. Mem. r. 1, 2: Plato Apolog. 40 A de.). It has been thought by some that the Athenians,
from using this word in the plaral, fancied that 'Jesus' was one new divinity and 'Avdoracts another. On the latter notion Chrysostom



Times seem changed at Athens since the prosecution of Soorates, for it is not anger, but scornful curiosity, which prompts the language of the speakers. They do not mean to assail Paul for his teaching, and amid the abundance of idols, they perhaps now would have felt no difficulty in allowing Jesus a place, provided he did not seek to over. throw all the rest of their divinities.

The nature of St Paul's teaching 'in the market-place' has not been mentioned until we are told that it was of 'Jesus and the resurrection.' We may take this as a specimen of the way in which the author of the Acts has dealt with his materials. He has not seen it needful here to do more than specify in half-a-dozen words what St Paul had spoken aboat; and so when we have a report of a speech we need not suppose that he has given, or intended to give, more than a summary of what the speaker said, and, adhering to the substance, has cast his abbreviated record into such form as best fitted his narrative.
 There is no need to suppose that any violence was used or intended. The same verb is used often of taking by the hand to aid or protect (so Mark viii. 23; Acts xxiii. 19), and is the word by which the action of Barnabas is described (Acts ix. 27) when 'he took Paul and brought him to the Apostles.' Moreover the whole context shews that the action of the crowd was in no sense that of an arrest, for we read (verse 33) when his speech was done 'Paul departed from among them,' evidently having been under no kind of restraint.
 This was an eminence to the west of the Acropolis at Athens. It was famous in classic literature as the meeting-place of the Athenian council of Areopagus, which took its name from the place where it met. To this hill of Mars (Ares) the philosophers led St Paul, probably at a time when it was unoccupied (though some suppose that the court was sitting), that they might the better hear him away from the bustle of the market-place, and that he might moze conveniently address a larger audience.

Svvópe日a үvêvac...; may we know... ' Literally 'are we able to know...?' But the literal sense of $\delta \dot{v}$ apai (especially when used in the first person) was often merged in that of $\theta \in \lambda \omega$ or $\beta$ oúhomal. Cf. Luke
 the sense clearly is 'I don't want to rise.' For after importunity the man does rise and do all that is desired. The Stoics and Epicureans were not the people to doubt their own power of understanding anything which St Paul might say to them.
 spoken by thee. The sense of $\lambda$ dieip in N.T. is not unfrequently that of announcing and publishing. The word is also used of messages
spoken by God or by His prophets (ef. Luke i. 45, 55, 70, xxiv. 25; Acts iii. 21, 24; James v. 10). The Apostle was not speaking to the Athenians about the doctrine (as A.V.), his words were the doctrine.
20. EevClowta Yáp twa, certain strange things. Literally 'things striking us as strange.' The word implies the effect produced on the minds of the hearers. In the middle voice the word occurs in 1 Pet. iv. $4,12=$ 'to think anything strange.' The active is foond, as here,
 strange torments.'
tiva 0 Alel taûta eival, what these things mean, i.e. of what nature they are. Cf. above on verse 18.
21. This verse is a parenthesis explanatory of what has gone before. The audience had been struck with the strange teaching, and that it was strange was enough. Novelty was their life's pursuit. So without having any regard for the importance of the teaching, they were ready to listen because it was new.
 famous and hunters after novelty oame thither from every quarter.
耳úkalpowv. The verb signifies (1) to have a convenient time, and then uniquely here (2) to make leisure for, to give up time to any pursuit. The imperfect tense implies that this was their constant state of mind.

кalvóтєpov. The comparative is noteworthy. The Athenians are by it represented as thirsting ever for something 'newer still.' What had been heard at once became stale. This character of the Athenian populace is confirmed by many statements of classical authors. In Thuc. m. 38 Cleon is represented as complaining of his countrymen that they were in the habit of playing the part of 'spectators in displays of oratory, and listeners to the stories of what others had done'; and a like charge is made more than once by Demosthenes in his speeches on the vigorous policy of Philip of Macedon, which he contrasts with the Athenian love of talk and news:

## 22-31. Speefer of St Padi at Athens.

Taking notice of the extreme religious sorupulousness which had led the Athenians to raise an altar to an unknown God, the Apostle declares to them the God whom alone they ought to worship, and whom as yet they did not know. This God was the Maker and Preserver of all things, and the Father of all men, and He desired to bring all to a knowledge of Fimself. Athenian poots had spoken of this Fatherhood of God. Such a God is not fitly represented by graven images, and He would have men cease from such ignorant worghip, for he will be the Judge as well as Father of men, and has given proof of the reality of the judgment and of the world to come by the resurreation of Jesus Christ.
22. év $\mu$ éveq rov̂ 'Apfiov $\pi \dot{\alpha}$ yov, in the midst of the dreopagus. See above on verse 19.
ävSpes 'Alquaiol, men of Athens. The language of the Apostle's address takes exactly the form which it would have assumed in the month of one of their own orators. This may be due either to St Paul's knowledge of Greek literature, and to his desire, everywhere manifest, to find words acceptable to his audience; or it may be that St Luke, giving an abstract of the speech, has cast the initial words into a form which Demosthenes would have employed. In the latter case it is no mark of unfaithfalness in the author, who clearly in these ten verses can only mean to give a skeleton of what the Apostle really uttered. St Paul spake at length, we cannot doubt, when he stood in such a place and before such an audience. The historian in the Acts gives the barest outline of what was spoken, and cannot be thought to have meant his words to be otherwise accepted, seeing that what he has given us would hardly occupy five minutes in the utterance.
 ceive that ye are somewhat superstitious. סeto $\delta a l \mu u y$ has two senses: (1) superstitious, (2) religious. The Apostle intends the word in the former sense, but by the comparative he qualifies it in some degree. He implies a degree of blame which perhaps comes nearly to " more superstitious than you ought to be.' His desire is not to offend at first by too stern an expression of blame, but by gently pointing out a fault to lead his hearers into a more excellent way. For a description of the $\delta$ ecto $\delta a l \mu \omega \nu$, which exactly answers to our 'superstitious,' see Theophrastus, Charact. c. xvir.
кard mdva means 'in everything which he had noticed while wandering about their city.'
 squares.
 your worship. dva $\theta \epsilon \omega \rho \epsilon \omega$ indicates a full observation. Paul had not only looked at the statues, but had read the insoriptions on them.
otßac $\mu a=$ an olject of worship is found three times in the LXX.
 oaveo, "They took him now for an object of worship (A.V. a god) whioh a little before was honoured as a man.' So Wisdom xv. 17 кpeit-
 which he worshippeth.' Cf. also Bel 27.
sipov кal $\beta \omega \mu \boldsymbol{\gamma} v, I$ found also an altar, i.e. in addition to the multitude of statues and altars to definite deities.
 occasion of seme visitation, the cause of which was not apparent, and which could not be ascribed to any of their existing divinities. We have abundant evidence of the existence in Athens of such altars as that to which St Paxul alludes. But the words in which they are
 Thus Parsanias ( 1. i. 4) describing one of the ports of Athens tells as that there were there 'altars to gods styled unknown,' and Philostratus
in his Life of Apollonius says 'at Athens there are erected altars for unknown gods.' There is a like allusion in (pseudo) Lacian's Philopatris, but it is doubtful whether that is not drawn from this passage of the Acts. And Jerome writing on Tit. i. 12 says 'The insoription on the altar was not, as Paul stated, "To the unknown God" bat "To the unknown gods of Asia and Europe and Africa, to unknown and foreign gods." But, because Panl required to speak of only one unknown God, he nsed the word in the singular.' But it is better to suppose that St Paul saw what he says he saw; and as evidence that such an inscription was not improbable, we may quote the Latin inscription found on an altar at Ostia, now in the Vatican, representing a saerificial group in connexion with the worship of Mithras, the Sungod of the later Persian mythology (Orelli, Inscr. Gel. in. 5000), 'Signum indeprehensibilia dei,' which is a very near approach in Latin to what the Greek inscription to which the Apostle alludes would mean. The word 'unknown' must not be pressed into the sense of 'unknowable' because of what comes after. Paul says that 'he is prepared to set forth to them that power which they were worshipping in ignorance.' So though man by searching cannot find out God, yet he would desire to teach the Athenians, what he says elsewhere, that 'the everlasting power and divinity of God may be clearly seen through the things that are made' (Rom. i. 20).
 This brings out the Apostle's meaning. He does not intend to reflect on the nature of their worship. But they were offering it in ignorance. This ignorance he proposes to dispel. He accepts their religious character, takes hold on their confession of want of knowledge, and so makes way for his proposal to teach them. They have, he presumes, accepted what he offers, but have not understood all


 verb the Apostle takes up their own word кatayץeneोs of verse 18, where they call him 'a setter-forth of strange gods.'
 He whom the Apostle set forth was no Epicurean divinity, dwelling apart and in constant repose. Nor was the world a thing of chance, as those philosophers taught, but the handiworl of God, and so were all thinge in it.
 and having for this reason the supreme disposal of all things.
 with hands, of which Athens held some of the most renowned in the world. A special interest attaches to these words as being so like to those of Stephen (vii. 48). Paul has taken ap the work of him whose martyrdom he formerly abetted.
 men's hands. Oeparefecy implies the sort of service yielded by a
steward to his master, or a minister to his king, a service in which the superior is not independent of his inferior, and could not well do withont him. This is seen in the next clause. God is not like earthly masters and kings. He gives all, and men can only offer to Him themselves in return. Cf. Pss. 1., li. for like teaching. See


 Apostle in the paronomasia seems to be adapting his style somewhat to his audience. Such similarity of sound was thought to give elegance.
 every nation of men. Thus would he bring out most prominently the doctrine of the common Fatherhood of God. It is not merely that men are all of one family and so all equal in God's eyes, and ought to be in the eyes of one another. When we read 'they are made of One' we are carried back to the higher thought of the prophet (Malachi ii. 10), 'Have we not all one Father?' This was a philosophy not likely to be acceptable to the Athenians, among whom the distinction between Greeks and Barbarians was as radical as that which has grown up in America between white man and 'nigger,' or between Europeans and natives of India.
 of the earth. For His children the Father has provided a home.
 seasons. The 'seasons' referred to are those which God has ordained for seed-time and harvest, summer and winter, day and night, which are fixed by His decree and make the earth a fitting abode for men.
kail tas opolerlas $^{\text {rins }} \mathrm{s}$ кatoukias autâv, and the bounds of their habitation, i.e. where they can dwell and where they cannot; or, perhaps, where each nation and tribe should dwell.
27. ØŋTcêv ròy $\theta$ eóv, that they should seek God. This was the lesson which God meant His creation and providence to teach. Men were to behold Him through His works.
 after Him and find Him. The world was to be man's lesson-book, open before all men. In it they could read everywhere of almighty power and care and love. Thus stimulated, a desire to know more might grow; and by efforts, which the graphic word of the Apostle compares to the exertion of one groping in the dark, more knowledge would come, and at last the full discovery would be made. God would be found. He is the rewarder of them that diligently seek Him.
 not far from every one of us. And so can reveal Himself according to the measure of the zeal shewn by those who seek Him.
28. tv aưTû Yàp tô $\mu \mathrm{v}$, for in Him we live, i.e. through or by Him. For $\begin{gathered} \\ y\end{gathered}$

All our existence is through His care. He mast therefore be near unto each of us.

кal кเvớpe日a, and move. More literally, 'are moved.' The word does not refer to the motion of persons from place to place, but to those internal movements of the mind and spirit of which the outward actions are the effect. St Paul means that the feelings of men are acted on by God, who speaks to the heart through all nature if men will bat hearken. This is the trath of which Pantheism is the caricature.
 own poets have said. The expression $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \alpha a \theta^{\prime} \dot{v} \mu \hat{a} s$ in place of the
 3. The words are found in Arātus, Phaenomena, 5
$\delta \epsilon \xi t \dot{\omega} \sigma \eta \mu a i v \varepsilon$.

They also occur in Cleanthes' Hymn to Jupiter, 5. Arātus was a native of Cilicia, and St Paul may in consequence be supposed to have known of his writings as of those of a fellow-countryman, By quoting from their own literature to the Athenians, St Paul illastrates his own declaration that in his labours 'he became all things to all men.' Such a quotation was also very well devised for arresting the attention of these cultivated hearers, and winning, it may be, some consideration for the speaker, as also being a man of culture.
rov. Here the article has its original force, and is equivalent to a demonstrative pronoun. See Finer-Moulton, p. 129.
 man is of more honour than material thinge, how far above these most the Godhead be. The Athenians, the Apostle wonld teach them, had formed not too high but too low a conception of themselves.
 ignorance therefore God overlooked but, de., i.e. God has not imputed unto men the errors which they committed in ignorance. But now the case is changed. Men cannot plead ignorance who have heard of Christ. Cf. Luke xii. 48.
 $\dot{v} \psi i \sigma \tau 0 v$ кal $\pi$ ápcie âqvolay, where the A.V. translates (as here) 'wink at ignorance,' meaning 'pass over offences committed through it,' and so imitate the Most High.
 He commandeth men that they all everywhere should repent. 'Repentance' here means the amendment of the lives which they have been leading wrongly through ignorance.
 \&c. The day of judgment had, in God's foreknowledge, been long ago appointed. But through Christ the certainty has been made clear
to men. Through a knowledge of Christ, who has been raised from the dead, men have learnt that there is to be a general resurrection. Christ is the firstfruita. But Christ has taught (Matth. xxv. 32) that after resurrection judgment shall come. By the resurrection of Jesus, God has given to men assurance that what Jesus taught is true. Therefore because He foretold and revealed to men the certainty of the judgment, they ought everywhere to repent, for all men shall be judged.

It is worth while to notice how St Paul's argument advances through its various stages. He speaks first of God as the Creator of the world and of men. Then of the ordinances which He hes made for man's abode on earth. Next he argues that all this should inspire men with the thought that as they are more worthy than material things, so God is far exalted above men. This ought to have led them to seek after Him, and even in the darker days those who sought could find Him. But now the days of God's revelation through nature are at an end. He has spoken through that Son of Man whom the resurrection proved to be the Son of God. Through Him will God judge the world, for which judgment men should prepare themselves by repentance.

It may be that at this point the Apostle's speech was atopped. Neither party among the hearers would have any sympathy with the doatrine of a resurrection and a fiaal judgment. Had the address been completed, St Panl would have probably spoken in more definite langrage about the life and work of Jesus.

## 32-34. Effect of St Padt's Speeger. Somr moceed, bet others believed.

32. áváनтабเข veкpôv. See above on verse 18.
of $\mu \mathbf{i v}$ éx $\lambda$ fuajov, some mocked. So did some (Acts ii. 13) on the day of Pentecost. But they were Jews. On Mars' Hill the mockers were heathens. To the Epicurean this life was all, and the teaching of the Stoic, that all should finally be absorbed into the Godhead, forbade the belief that the dead ahould rise again. So of these men the Epicureans would most likely be the mockers; the Stoics might be expected to give more heed, and theirs perhaps would be the decision to hear the Apostle again. On this mockery Chrysostom writes: ©pa


 $\pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu \omega \mu \epsilon \nu=九 \mu \bar{c} \lambda \lambda о \nu$.

 being free to go when he pleased, though it may surprise us that he did not remain longer with those who had promised him another








34．Aronióos ó＇Apeorayitrs，Dionysius the Areopagite，i．e．one of the members of the upper council of Athens．He must have been a man of position and influence，for no one could be a member of this council unless he had filled some high office of state，and was above 60 years of age．Tradition（Euseb．H．E．mir．4，Iv．23）says that this Dionysius was the first bishop of Athens，and that he was martyred．The works which long circulated among Christians as hisa compositions，and which even at the time of the Reformation occupied muoh of the thoughts and labours of such men as Dean Colet，sre no doubt forgeries of a much later date than the days of this Dionysins．

## CHAPTER XVIII．

Readings varying from the Text．recept．
1．$\delta \dot{c}$ after $\mu \epsilon \tau d$ omitted with NAB．Not represented in Vulg． ó Mav̂גos omitted with RBD．Not represented in Vulg．
 civat added after＇Iov8clots with NABD．Vulg．＇esse．＇
9．Év vokti $\delta r^{\prime}$＇opíparos with NB．Vulg．＇nocte per visionem．＇
12．duもUлtútov ờvtos with NABD．
13．divamelӨє oũtos with 太AB．Vulg．supports the contrary order．
16．乌ๆTrifara with＊ABDE．Vulg．＇quaestiones．＇
$\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ after xpurijs omitted with NABD．Not represented in Fulg．

17．ol＂E $\lambda \lambda \eta v e s$ omitted with $N A B$ ．Not represented in Vulg．
 caput．＂

19．кaт
20．wap＇aúzô̂s omitted with NAB．Not represented in Vulg．
 dicens．＇
 omitted with NABE．Not represented in Vulg．
 consequence of the preceding omission．

25．＇Inoov for Kuplou with KABDEL．Vulg．＇Jesu．＇
26．Mp $\sigma \kappa \imath \lambda \lambda a$ кal＇Aкv́入as with NABE．Vulg．＇Priscilla et Aquila．＇

Tท̀v ó8òv rov̂ Geov̂ with NAB．Vulg．has＇viam Domini．＇

Ch. XVIII. 1-11. Padl goes from Athens to Corbith, Labovas thrbe with his own Hands for hit mantirnance. He ir encodraged in his Preaching by a Vibion of tere Lord.
 and came. The $\dot{\delta}$ Mavinos of Text. recept. is an insertion of some one who thought to make the reference clearer. The number of similar instances in this book is large.
els Koptvov, to Corinth. As Athens was the seat of culture, so Corinth was the seat of commerce in the south of Greece. The city, at this time the political capital of Greece and the residence of the Roman pro-consul, stood on the isthmas which united the Peloponnesus to the mainland, and through it all land traffe between the peninsula and the rest of Greece most pass, while its two harbours, one on each side of the neck of land on which Corinth stood, made it the resort of seafaring traders both from east and west. Of Lechmum, the western port, on the Coriathian gulf, we have no mention in the Now Testament, but Cenchrex, the harbour on the Saronic gulf, by which commanication with the East was kept up, is mentioned in verse 18. The city was also made famous for its connexion with the Isthmian games, from which St Paul in his Epistles draws frequent illustrations when writing to the Corinthian Church. (See 1 Cor, ix. 24--27, \&c.) For further particulars of the history of Corinth see Dict. of Bible, s.v.
2. 'Iov6aîov déporpatt 'Akíhav, a Jew named Aquila. The name Aquila is Latin, and it is not likely that this was the man's Jewish name, but as the custom was among the Jews, he had probably assumed a Roman name during his dwelling in Italy and in his intercourse with the Gentiles. See above on xiii. 9. The name is identified, by the Jews, with that of Onkelos, who wrote a Targum on the Pentateuch, and some make that Onkelos to be the same with Aquila who translated the Old Testament into Greek, of which translation part is preserved to us in Origen's Hexapla.
 birth.' The provinces of Asia Minor abounded with Jewish families of the Dispersion, as we may see from the whole history in the Acts. In Acts ii. 9-11 many of these districts are mentioned as contributing to the namber of worshippers who had come to Jerusalem for the feast of Pentecost. Pontus came under Roman sway when its king Mithridates was conquered by Pompey, and this connexion may have led Aquila to leave his native country for Italy. Aquila and his wife are mentioned Rom xpi. 3 as though they were again in Rome, so that probably they had formed ties there which were only temporarily severed by the Claudian edict mentioned in this verse. (It is however questioned whether the salutations in Rom. xvi. form part of the Epistle as it was sent to the Romans.) They were with St Paul when he wrote the First Epistle to the Corinthians (1 Cor. xvi. 19), and were so far settled in Ephesus, where that Epistle was written, as to have a house which they coold place at the service of the Christians there, as a place to worship in. And if (as is most probable) Timothy was in

Ephesus when the Second Epistle (2 Tim. iv. 19) was addressed to him, they were in that city again at this later date (for Priscilla is only the diminative form of Prisca, as the name of the wife is there written). More than this is not known of their changes of abode.

троनфáт


Mplokulגav, Priseilla. This name also is Latin, being a diminutive of the adjective 'Prisca,' which was also used as a proper name, see Rom, xvi. 3 .
 commanded all Jews to depart from Rome. The Jews were often objects of persecution in Rome, but this particular cceasion is probably that mentioned by Suetonius, Claud. 25, where we read that by reason of the Jewish tumults at the instigation of one Christus (or Chrestus) they were driven out of the city. Whether this was the name of some Jew then resident in home, or whether it is a reference to some disturbance that had arisen from the Jewish expectation of 'the Christ' or Messiah, and the name Christus is mistakenly used by Suetonius as though it were that of some agitator actually present, we cannot tell. Or it may have been some movement of the Jews against the Ohristians because they taught that the 'Ohrist' was already come. In that case the name 'Christus' would come into great prominence, and might give rise to the statement of Suetonius that a person of that name had been the instigator of the disturbances.
 Among the Jews every Rabbi deemed it proper to practise some handicraft, and they have a proverb about R. Ismac, who was a smith, 'Better is the sentence of the smith ( $R$. Isaac) than that of the smith's son (R. Jochanan),' thus marking their opinion that the pursuit of a craft was no injury to the teacher's wisdom (T. B. Sanhedrin, $96^{3}$ ). Thus our Lord is spoken of (Mark vi. 3) as 'the carpenter.'
There is an interesting passage bearing on this matter in the 'Teaching of the Twelve Apostles,' chap. 12. It is concerning one who comes to a Christian congregation 'in the name of the Lord.' ei $\delta \dot{\xi}$



yevev тap' aủroîs kai nंpyafero, he abode with them and wrought. In a passage from T. B. Sukkah, 51 b , part of which has already been quoted on vi. 9 , we read in a description of the Jewish synagogue at Alexandria, 'The people did not sit mixed together, but goldsmiths by themselves, and silversmiths by themselves, and ironworkers by themselves, and miners by themselves, and weavers by themselves, and when a poor man came there he recognised the members of his craft, and went there, and from thence was his support, and that of
the members of his house.' This may explain how readily Paul found at Corinth some persons who were of his own craft.
 tentmakers. What they made was most probably tent-cloth. This was of goats' hair, and the plaiting of it into strips and joining these together was a common employment in Cilicia, to such an extent that the district gave name to the material and the artioles made of it, a soldier's and sailor's rough hair-rug being named Cilicium. As the trade was intended in such cases as St Paul's merely to be used as a resource under circumstances of need which were not likely to come about, we can understand that while complying with Jewish feeling in the matter, a trade would be chosen for the boy which would not consume a large part of his time in learning. Mishnah Qiddushin iv. 14 saye 'let a person teach his son a trade both clean and easy.' The most common handicraft of Tarsus offered just suah a trade in the making of this rough goats' haircloth.
 Greeks. No doubt as in other Gentile cities, the religion of the Jews in Corinth gained the attention of many among the Gentiles, who as proselytes or inclining thereto might form part of the Sabbath audience in the synagogue. According to his role St Paul addressed himself to the Jews first.
 down from Macedonia. After the arrival of his companions, who had been left at Beroea (xvii. 14) there was a change in the character of St Paul's preaching. It may well be that he had encouragement by their presence in his work of preaching, and also that it was not so necessary for him to consume his whole time on his craft because the Philippians had sent a contribution for his support (Phil. iv. 15; 2 Cor. xi. 9).
ouvelxero т̣̂̂ $\lambda 6 \mathrm{y} \varphi$ d Пâ̂रos, was constrained by the word. The meaning is, he was earnestly occupied in preaching the word, and felt himself more urged on, and also more able to preach, beoguse of his freedom from the necessity of constant labour. It was apparently only on the Sabbath that he had reasoned with the people before. The usus loquendi favours the passive meaning. Meyer (3rd ed.) renders 'he was apprehended, seized by the word' in the sense of internal pressure of spirit. For the verb cf. Wisdom xvii. 11, nouppla
 conscience' (A.V.).
 that Jesus was the Christ. We are here told of the manner in which the greater earnestness of the Apostle was exhibited. He gave in all its fulness his solemn testimony, no doubt confirmed from Scripture and by the narrative of his own miraculous conversion, that this Jesus, whom he had formerly persecuted, was the Christ, the Messiah whom the Jews had long expected.
 The word implies a strong organized opposition. They resisted like a force drawn up for battle.

кal $\beta$ harфпן 2 Pet. ii. 2, 'The way of truth shall be evil spoken of.' And the same conduct, though the word is different, is described in the next chapter (xix. 9), 'speaking evil of the Way before the maltitude.'



 tion. Nothing which pertained to them should cling to him. In like manner he would cast them from his thoughts. Cf. xiii. 51.
 heads. For the phrase cf. LXX. 2 Sam. i. 16; 1 Kings ii. 37; Ezek. xxxiii. 4. The verb to be supplied is $\epsilon \sigma \tau \omega$ or $\epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon \tau \omega$. The Apostle uses the O.T. expression 'blood' in the figurative sense of 'destruction.'
 in Corinth. For in his future preaching elsewhere (see xix. 8) he addressed the Jews and went to the synagogue, as had been his oustom from the first.
 tain man's house named Justus. St Paul perhaps used this house for the purposes of teaching and worship. We may suppose that for his own lodging, he still remained with Aquila and Priscilla. Some MSS. give the name Titus (or Titins) Justus to this man, and the double name is adopted in the Revised Version, but there is good authority for the Text. recept.
 See above on xiii. 43, xvii. 4. The house of Justus was therefore an appropriate place in which both Jews and Gentiles might meet, and to which Gentiles would be more ready to come than to that of a Jew by birth.
 It is likely that St Paul though he came no more to the synagogue at Corinth, chose not to betake himself far eway, beeause he would be ready to receive any of his brethren who might change their feelings and come to him. On this cf. Chrysostom's language: of $\rho a \pi \bar{\omega} s \pi d \lambda a y$


 $\# \theta \in \lambda o p$.

But we can see how, while his near neighbourhood gave opportunity for this, the meetings of those who came to the synagogue with those who were going to the house of Justus, would be likely to canse bitter-
ness, especially when the number of St Paul'g adherents began to increase, and a ruler of the synagogue was counted among them.
 gogue. This Crispus is alluded to, 1 Cor. i. 14, as one of the few whom St Paul himself baptized. His previous distinguished position among the Jews, and the conversion of his whole family, would make him noticeable among the Christian converts. There may have been more than one synagogue in Corinth. In verse 17 we read of Sosthenes, the ruler of the synagogue. But it is quite possible that this man may have been appointed immediately after the conversion of Crispas, and may have been desirous to shew his zeal against the Christian teachers by laying an immediate information against Pan before the proconsul.
 thians...were baptized. St Paul mentions that he himbelf only baptized (in addition to Crispus) Gaius and the household of Stephanas. But Silas and Timothy were now by his side and would care for the admission of the new converts to baptism.
 in the night ly a vision. We may infer from the langrage used to him that for some reason the heart of the Apostle was beginning to wax faint, and that he was in danger of bodily maltreatment. The commnnication was made in the same way as the call to come over into Macedonia (xvi. 9, 10). Only here the Lord appeared to his servant.
$\lambda_{0} \lambda_{\mathrm{EL}} \mathrm{kal} \mu \dot{\eta}$ бtwrifops, speak, and hold not thy peace. Instead of fainting, be more earnest still. Let nothing stop thy testimony.
 emphatically expressed.
tov̂ кaкw̄नal $\sigma \in$, to harm thee. There will be assailants. Christ does not promise him freedom from attack. But the enemy shall not be able to do him violence. And this appearance of Christ would give the Apostle the confidence of the prophet of old ( 2 Kings vi. 16), 'They that be with us are more than they that be with them.'

With this genitival infinitive of design, cf. Luke xxiv. 29, el $\sigma \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta \in w$


 How important and extensive the Christian community at Corinth became we may gather from the Epistles whioh St Paul wrote afterwards to the Church there. And as the city was one of the great centres of commercial activity at this period, we can see how important it was (humanly speaking) for the Church to make good its footing there from the first. The Lord mercifully by this vision gave His servant assurance that his words should be largely blessed, and rising up thus comforted, he was ready for any task.
11. Ikídrev 8 e , and he dwelt there. In this word the historian seems to intend to express the quiet and content which filled the Apostle's mind after the vision. katijw is generally rendered 'to sit down,' and here seems to be applied purposely to the restful state of the Apostle's mind after the oomforting revelation. The same verb is used by St Lake (xxiv. 49), 'Tarry ye in the city, until ye be olothed with power from on high,' where the admonition is of like character with the advice given here to St Paul. In no other place in the New Testament is the word similarly used.
tvautdv kal $\mu$ ๆ̂vas ${ }_{5}^{5}$, a year and six months, and beside the teaching which he gave to the Corinthians he wrote at this time the two Epistles to the Thessalonians which are the first in order of date among the Apostolic letters, and probably the earliest part of the whole New Testament.

12-17. Pajl is accused before Gallio, who declines to consider tife cearge againgt him. In conseqdence, the Popdlace fall at once on Sosthenes, a chief man among the Jews, bot Gatlio lets their absadlt pasb dnnoticed.
 was proconsul of Achaia. We come now to an episode in marked contrast to the repose and quiet spoken of jast before. St Luke here gives Gallio his correct title, which is a great mark of the fidelity of his narrative. Achaia was a Roman province. Such provinces belonged either to the Senate or to the Emperor. When they were senatorial the governor was styled Proconsul. Now Achais had been a senatorial province under Augustus, but under Tiberius became an imperial province for a time. Subsequently after a.d. 44 under Claudius (Suet. Claud. xxy.), which is the reign in which these events in St Paul's life occurred, it was once more made senatorial and so had a proconsol at this period for its governor. This Gallio was the brother of the famous philosopher Seneca, who was tutor, and for a time minister, of the Emperor Nero. Originally Gallio was called Marcus Annmus Novatus, and took the name of Gallio from the orator Lucius Junins Gallio, by whom he was adopted. The character of Gallio as described by his Roman contemporaries is that of a most bright, popular and affectionate man. He is spoken of as 'sweet Gallio,' and Seneca declares that 'those who love him to the utmost, don't love him enough.'

катєпєотŋбav ópotvjaSóv, they rose up with one accord. The Jews probably hoped to avail themselves of the inexperience of a newly arrived proconsul. For this reason they came in a body and sought to have Paul expelled from the city.
 In Gallio's eyes they would seem to be a company of Jews accusing one of their own race of some erroneous teaching. If he had only lately come from Rome, he would be likely to have heard there of the troubles about 'Christus' (see above on verse 2), and he would consider that he had come into the midst of a quarrel about the same matter.
13. mapd $\boldsymbol{\text { riv }}$ vópov, contrary to the law, i.e. the Jewish law. The Jewish religion was one of those allowed throughout the Roman Empire, and their hope is to induce the proconsul to protect the Jewish law by Roman law. But the majesty of the Roman power was far too august to be invoked for settling a quarrel between the members of a merely 'tolerated' religion. He would not meddle in their matters.
14. $\mu$ A about to open his mouth. The Roman proconsul has too much contempt for the whole matter and all who are concerned in it to listen to any defence. For the law of the Jews, its breach or its observance, he has no care, and will not be used by either party. Chrysostom praises


ctrev of Ta入入lov mpos tov's Ioubalovs, Gallio said unto the Jews. He declines to hear any argument, for he is determined to give no opinion.
 of wrong or wiched villany. The two things of which the magistrate would take account are (1) any evil-doing (cp. xxiv, 20), an act of injastice, or (2) any unsorupulous conduct involving moral wrong. He would be, that is, a minister of law and equity, for that was his duty.
 borne with you. A very happy idiomatic rendering of the Greek, like many others in the A. V. Gallio shews by his language how far he feels the Roman citizen above the tolerated Jews. But if their case had called for its exercise they should have had the benefit of toleration, and he would have inquired into matters that were the business of his office.
 questions about words and names. The use of the indicative $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma x / y$ shews that Gallio considers this is what they are.

There would no doubt be many points brought forward from St Paul's teaching to which the Jews would object. And whether Jesus was the Christ or not would seem to the Roman a matter entirely of definition, and on which the law had no bearing. If he had heard the name of 'Christus' at Rome (see on verse 2), it would make Gallio the more ready to imitate his royal master, and get rid of the disputants as fast and as far as possible.

кal vópov то̂̀ кa $\theta^{\prime \prime} \dot{u} \mu a \hat{s}$, and of your own law. On this circumlocution see xvii, 28 note. The accusers had without doubt been striving to make out that in teaching a different manner of worship (ver. 13) Paul was bringing forward a religion not enjoging toleration by the Roman government. But Gallio sees through their intention, and counting them all for Jews, he will not be drawn into their questions.
$6 \psi \in \sigma \theta_{\epsilon}$ avjrod, look to it yourselves. The pronoun is very emphatic. For the form $\delta \psi \psi \in \sigma \varepsilon$ used as an imperative, of. LXX. Numb. siii. 19,
 1 Sam. vi. 9 ; \&c.
 of these matters. Gallio knows his own business and will only look to that. It is not a case where his jurisdiction can interfere, and so he leaves the whole untouched. There is no question here about his own regard and disregard of enquiries abont religion. He sits to administer Roman law, and this dispate among the Jews at Corinth lies outside his cognizance altogether.
 the judgement seat. The description given by St Luke makes it probable that Gallio's $\beta$ ค̂ $\mu \mathrm{a}$. was in some oper publio place, whither all might come and bring their plaints. The proconsul would be attended by his lictors and other officials, and those he now commands to clear the place of these troublesome cavillers about words and names. The new magistrate found perhaps enough to do in matters which came within his jurisdiction in the busy mercantile life of Corinth.
 all laid hold on Sosthenes the ruler of the synagogue and, \&o. The verb is used (xxi. 30) of the violent action of the mob at Jerusalem, and just afterwards (xxi. 33) of the chief captain's conduct when he rescued Paul. Neither of these would be a very gentle measure. And we may understand something of the same kind here. The surrounding crowd, of whom no doubt most would be Greeks, catching the tone of the magistrate, prepared to follow up his decision by a lesson of their own, of a rather rough kind. Sosthenes had probably been the spokes. man of the Jews, and Paul would not improbably have some sympathizers among the Gentiles. And 'Jew-bsiting' was not unknown in those days. So with impunity the orowd conld wreak their own vengeance on these interrupters of the proper business of the court,' and beat Sosthenes before he was out of the magistrate's presence. The name Sosthenes was a very common one, and we need not identify this man with the Sosthenes mentioned in 1 Cor. i. 1.
 of these things, neither for the questions raised nor for those who raised them. How little Jewish life was regarded by the Romans is shewn in many places in their literature (see Farrar's St Paul, Vol. r. Exc. xrv.). Tiberius banished four thousand of them to Sardinia, saying that if the unhealthy climate killed them off 'it would be a cheap loss' (Tac. Ann. ㅍ. 85). Coming from Rome where such feeling was universal, the lives and limbs of a few Jews would appear of small importance, and like the Emperor just hamed he may have thought it mattered little what became of them.
 governed by $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\mu \epsilon \lambda e \nu}$, but by oú $\dot{\theta} \dot{\nu}$.

18-23. Patu leafes Corinth to go into Stria, haltina a short time at Cenchref, and bomewhat longer at Ephestus. He lands at Cefarea, goes dp to Jerubalem, and from thengee to antioch, and afthe a time depabts on his thimd mtgionary jodrney.
18. Tpooredras ípépas ikavás, having tarried many days. This seems to refer to the period after the appearance before Gallio. We are told (verse 11) that he settled quietly for a year and six months. Then came an opportunity of attacking him on Gallio's arrival. Of this the Jews tried to avail themselves, and when their attempt was at an end, the Apostle had another time of peace among his converts. So that the whole stay in Corinth extended over more than a year and a half.
dãorafápevos, having taken leave of. A strictly N.T. ase of the word. It occurs again belew in verse 21 and in Mark vi. 46 ; Luke ix. 61.
 given why the Apostle at this time sailed back. Some have suggested that he was carrying a contribation to the brethren in Jerusalem. It is clas that when the return was resolved on, he wished to reach Jerusalem as soon as possible, for he declined to tarry in Ephesus even though his preaching was more readily received there than by the Jews in many other places. It may have been the wish to fulfil his vow, which could only be brought to its conclusion by a visit to the temple in Jerusalem.
 his head in Cenchrea, for he had a vow. We can observe all through the narrative of the Acts that St Paul, although the Apostle of the Gentiles, never ceased to regard the festivals and ceremonies of the Jews in things which did not militate against the Christian liberty. For some reason, either during sickness or in the midst of his conflict at Corinth, he had taken a row upon himself of the nature of the Nazirite vows (Numb. vi. 1-21). This could only be brought to its fitting close by a journey to Jerusalem to offer up the hair, which it was a part of the vow to leave oncut. At Jerusalem when the ceremony was completed the head was shaven (see Acts xxi. 24), but it seems to have been allowed to persons at a distance to cut the hair short and to bring that with them to the temple and to offer it up when the rest was shaven. This appears to be what St Paul did at this time, at Cenchrex, before starting on the voyage to Syria. The Greek word for 'having shorn'stands in the original next to Aquila. Hence some have contended that it was he who had the vow, and who cat his hair. They have pointed out also that the order of the names 'Priscilla and Aquila' seems to have been adopted purposely to make this connexion of words possible. But the name of the wife stands before that of her husband in Rom. xvi. 3 ; see also 2 Tim. iv. 19 and according to the best MSS. in verse 26 below. This order of the names may have been adopted because by her zeal she made herself a
very conspicuous member of the Church wherever she lived. But it seems very unlikely that all this detail of a vow and its observance would be so prominently mentioned in connexion with Aquila, who played but a small part in St Luke's history; while it is a most significant feature in the conduct of St Panl that he so oft conformed to Jewish observances.
 was the famous city, the capital of Ionia, and afterwards the scene of a large portion of St John's labours. It stood not far from the sea on some hilly ground, by a small river which flows into the sea in the district lying between the greater rivers, the Hermus and the Meander. In St Paul's day it was by far the busiest and most popuious city in Proconsular Asia. For a more complate account of its inhabitants and the special worship of Artemis (Diana) for which it was celebrated, a fitting place will be found in the notes on chap. xix.

кdikeivovs кarenıtєy aưtov̂, and he left them there. They probably had business connexions with the large city of Ephesus, which caused them to end their journey here. These people though working at their trade appear to have been above the position which wonld be implied by Dr Farrar's expression (St Paul i. 573), 'his lodging in the squalid shop of Aquila and Priscilla.' They travelled about and lived now at Rome, now at Ephesus, and now in Corinth (1 Cor. xyi. 19; Rom. xvi. 3; 2 Tim. iv. 19), and on their condition when in Ephesus, see above on verse 2.
 own people, though he was constantly exposed to hard usage by them. He seeks them out again here as soon as he arrives. In Ephesus however his message seems to have been received with less hostility, for those who heard him begged him to stay a longer time. The cosmopolitan character of the Ephesian population may have had something to do with this.
 asked him to tarry a longer time. We need not from this suppose that more impression had been produced on this occasion than made the Jews willing to give him a patient hearing.
 saying. The words in the Text. recept., which are omitted from this verse, seem to be an addition suggested by xx. 16. The authorities for the omission are numerous, both uncials, cursives and versions.
 you, if God will. Having the opportunity, he soon redeemed his promise. See xiz. 1.
22. els Karfapeiav, to Cesarea. This was the home of Philip the Erangelist, and we may suppose that St Paul would make the success of his distant mission known to his fellow-labourer. He made the house of Philip his home in Cesarea on a later occasion (xxi. 8).
araßás, having gone up, i.e. from the coast to the city. oi Jerusalem,
 must strike every reader as a very brief notice of a visit to the centre of all Church life and action at this time．And we cannot but be sur－ prised that there is no mention（as in xiv．27）of a gathering of the Church，and of the report of what the great missionary had been enabled to effect．Dr Farrar（St Paul，n．5）suggests that St Paul met with a cold and ungracious reception，and that the position which he assumed towards the Law in his preaching to Gentile converts raised him up adversaries among the Christians in Jernsalem，who were naturally zealous for the Law．It is certainly strange that even the name of the city is not mentioned，nor are we told a word about the folfilment of the vow．For some reason or other，the Apostle hastened， as soon as his salutations were ended，to the more congenial society of the Ohristians at Antioch who had rejoiced over his success on a former visit．
 there．As they had experienced for themselves the troubles of the Judaizers，the people at Antioch would sympathize with the Apostle， if he were meeting with like opposition now in his own work．

$\xi_{j} \lambda \lambda \theta_{\varepsilon v}$ ，he departed，making Antioch his starting point as he had done in both his former missions．
 Galatia and Phrygia in order．No doubt he took the same route as before．Thus he would visit Lystra and Derbe before he came to the more northern portions of Asia Minor mentioned in this verse．
$\sigma \pi \eta \rho f(\omega v$, strengthening．The return of the Apostle to the Churches whiah he had once visited would infuse new spirit，while his presence and words would everywhere quicken Christian activity．

24－28．Visit of Apollos to Ephesus，and his Teaching there． He is yore fully inetrudted by Agutla and Pribcilla，and afterwards passing over into Aghaia，pheaches Chbigt therfe wite great power．
24．＇Iov®aios 86 tıs＇Amodicos óvó $\mu a t ⿺$ ，now a certain Jezo named Apollos．The five verses following are a digression to introduce the narrative of the next chapter．

The name Apollos is an abbreviation of Apollonius，which is read in one MS．（D）．His influence as a Christian teacher made itself most felt in Corinth．（Cp． 1 Cor．i．12，iii．5，iv．6．）

A入egav6peis $\tau \oplus \oplus$ a place where Jews abounded，cf．vi．9．It was in Alexandria and by Jews that the Septragint Version was made．
đँvì $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ 人́ytos，an eloquent man（Rev．Ver．＇learned＇）．The word includes both senses．He had stores of learning，and also could use them to convince others．
 Ephesus, and he was mighty in the Scriptures. The study of the Old Testament flourished greatily in Alexandria, and Apollos had great power in the exposition and application of these Scriptures. The literary activity and philosophic pursuits of the Greek population of Alexandria were not without their effect on the more conservative Jews, and we find from many sources that the Jewish writings were stadied with all the literary exactness which marked the Greek scholarghip of the time, and the Jews, conseious of the antiquity of their own records and yet impressed with the philosophic character of their cultured fellow-citizens, bent themselves greatly to find analogies between the Mosaic writings and the teachings of the schoole, . In study like this Apollos had no doubt been fully trained.

סvwaros $\boldsymbol{i v}$ is in the N. T. used only by St Luke, see Luke xxiv. 19; Acts vii. 22. It is frequent in the LXX., cf. Ecclus. xxi. 7, $\gamma \boldsymbol{y}$ ogros

 instructed in the way of the Lord. The verb кarmxe (whence our 'catechize') implies a course of instruation distinct from his own study of the O. T. Scriptures. We know from Josephos (Antiq. xyin. 5. 2) that the teaching and baptism of John produced great effect among the Jews. We need not therefore wonder at finding among Jews in Alexandria and Ephesus men who had accepted the Baptist's teaching about Jesus. But in considering such cases we must remember where such instruction as they had received would stop short. They would know that John baptized in preparation for the coming of the kingdom, they would have heard that he pointed to Jesus as the Lamb of God, being certified thereof when Hee came to be baptized. But when John was dead and the life of Jesus was brought to a close on Calvary, except the few of John's disciples who had joined the followers of our Lord, none would know of the way in which the foundations of the heavenly kingdom were laid, none would underatand the institution of the Sacraments, nor the sending down of the Holy Ghost, nor the teaching of repentance, and of the gift of salvation to the faithful through grace. Of these things John had known nothing, and we must not forget in our attempt to estimate his work and its effects, that there came to himself a day when he sent to Christ to ask 'Art thou He that should come?' (Matth. xi. 3.)
 'Iŋrov, and being fervent in spirit he spake and taught carefully the things concerning Jesus. By $\pi \nu \epsilon \hat{0} \mu a$ is meant Apollos's own spirit and zeal. The reading of the Text. recept. rà $\pi \in \rho!$ tov K vplov seems to have been the suggestion of some one who did not understand the plain statement of the text. In the previous expression 'the way of the Lord' we have only the Old Test. words (Is. xl. 3) quoted by the Evangelists concerning John's preaching. (Matth. iii. 3; Mark i. 3.) There may have been some timidity felt about the further statement that Apollos taught the thinge'concerning Jesus,' and so the reading of the early part of the verse was brought in here also. But after
what has been said above we can see how this Alexandrian Jew might publish with the utmost accuracy all that John had proclaimed about the coming of the Kingdom of Heaven, and enforce it from his own stadies of the Old Testament Scriptures. He might declare how John had pointed to Jesus, and might even relate much of the works and words of Christ, as an evidence that God was sending greater prophets than they had known for long, and that therefore Cbrist's life was a testimony that redemption was near. AH this he might know and preach most carefully, and yet lack all that further knowledge which Aquila and Priscilla imparted. Chrysostom on the contrary explains $\pi v e \hat{\mu} \mu a$ of the Holy Ghost, and suggests that the case of Aquila is somewhat like that of Cornelins, where the Holy Spirit was given
 xii. 11 .
 sentence we have the solution of any difficulty which there may seem to be in the verse. He knew nothing of that other baptism, whioh is the entrance into Christ's kingdom, and therefore he could merely be looking forward for the fulfilment of the prophecies, and the power of his teaching would consist in the zealous way which he publighed that the voice of God in His older Revelation proolaimed Messiah's advent very near.
26. -ü̉ began to speak boldly in the synagogue. The verb mappqбadeteotat has been frequently used of the boldness of the disciples (cf. ix. 27, 29, xiv. 3, sc.). Here too was the same spirit and the same need of it. For the Jews were not all ready to listen to announcements of the approach of the Messiah. The speaker must be prepared with arguments as well as courage who dwelt on this theme, aboat which the Jews had been deluded by many impostors.
 Aquila heard him. Here as in other places (see above on 18) the name of the wife precedes that of her husband. By joining her in this marked way with Aquila in the communications with Apollos, the historian indicates that she was a woman of great power and zeal among the Christians. It has been suggested that she was perhaps a born Jewess and her husband not so, which might account for the prominence given in several places to her name. It may be noted here, as so often, that Aquila and his wife, like the other Judmo-Christians, still attended the worship of the synagogue.

тробe入aißovto aviтóv, they took him unto them. He would be much more in sympathy with them than with many of the Jewish congregation. He was prepared to accept the Messiah, but did not yet understand that Jesus wes He. Priscilla and Aquila mast have been persons of some mark to be warranted in taking Apollos thus to their company.
 way of God more carefully. For the adverb of. the previous verse.

The nse of the same word in both rerses seems to shew that the studies of Aquila and his wife in the Scriptures had been of the same earnest kind as those of Apollos. By the 'way of God' we must underatand God's further working out of the Old Testament prediction in the closing events of the life of Jesus, and in the gift of the Holy Ghost. That Joal's prophecy, quoted by St Peter on the day of Pentecost (Âcts ii. 16), had been thus fulfilled, was new learning for the eloquent Alexandrian. As also the newly-appointed means of grace in baptism and the breaking of bread, with the promise of salvation through faith in Ohrist. These also may be inoluded as part of the 'way of God,' being means whereby men are brought nearer to Him.
 to pass over into Achaia. We find from xix. 1 that the centre of his labours there was Corinth. Being acquainted with the philosophy and learning of Greece he was well fitted to be a preacher to the Greeks as well as to the Jews, and he may have felt that Corinth was the place where he could do most good. We are not told of any Apostolic commission to Apollos, but we know from 1 Cor. i. 12, \&c. that he came to be regarded by some Corinthians as the equal of St Paul, and that there arose some strong party feeling in that Church, which is rebuked in St Paul's letter to them. We cannot suppose that this was brought about by Apollos, for St Paul speaks of him as watering what he him. self had planted, and it may be that the knowledge of the existence of such a spirit accounts for the unwillingness of Apollos to come back to Corinth (1 Cor. xvi. 12) which we read of somewhat later.



 $\psi$ aro $\quad$ ous $a \lambda \lambda$ aus. Here we find the first instance of letters of commendation sent from one Church to another. 'The brethren' at Ephesus were probably only a small number, but Aquila and Priscilla would be well known to the Christians in Corinth.

 be joined either to $\sigma u v \epsilon \beta \dot{a} \lambda \epsilon \tau 0$ or to $\tau$ ois $\pi \epsilon \pi \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon u \kappa \delta \sigma v$. But $8 s$ the history is occupied with the work of Apollos, it seems more natural to explain the 'grace' spoken of, as the gift which was already in Apollos, and which the more fun instruction that he had just reeeived had tended to increase. He had formerly been but partially enlightened. Now that he knows the truth in Christ, his former ability becomes more helpful still. He helps others through his grace. His work seems rightly estimated by St Paul, 'he watered' what the Apostle had 'planted' (1 Cor. iii, 6).

For $\sigma v \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda о \mu a \iota$ in the sense of 'helping,' cf. Wisdom v. $8, \tau \ell \pi \lambda o \hat{u}-$ ros $\mu \varepsilon \tau \dot{d} \dot{d} \lambda a j_{0} \nu \in i a s ~ \sigma v \mu \beta t \beta \lambda \eta \tau \alpha \iota \dot{\eta} \mu \bar{\nu} \nu$; What good hath riches with our vaunting brought us?' (A.V.).
 confuted the Jews. The verb implies that Apollos brought the objections of the Jews to the test (enevoos) of Scripture, and shewed them to be futile. The disciples, who had already believed, appear to have been suffering from Jewish gainsayers. It was by his power in the Scriptures that Apollos was helpful against these adversaries of the faith.
For eivjbows, which in N.T. is found only here and in Lake xxiii. 10,


סtaкaтє $\lambda \in \gamma \chi \circ \mu a \iota$ occurs nowhere else.
© $\eta$ poolf, publicly. By his discourses in the synagogue. This was an important feature in the help that Apollos gave. He was a learned Jew, able to set forth to whole Jewish congregations how their Soriptures were receiving their fulfilment. Thus they who already believed would be strengthened.
 Jesus was the Christ. See above on verse 5. The Jews had complained before Gallio that St Paul was teaching a religion 'contrary to the Law.' Those who heard Apollos learnt that in Jesus they were accepting the 'fulfiller of the Law.'





## CHAPTER XIX.

Readings varying from the Text. recept.
 ...et inveniret.'
2. eimov omitted with NABDE. Vulg. has 'dixerunt.'
3. roòs aúroús omitted with NAE. Not represented in Vulg.
4. X $\mathrm{X}_{\text {L } \sigma \tau \delta \nu}$ omitted with NABE. Not represented in Vulg.
9. tubs omitted with NAB. Vulg. has 'cujusdam.'
10. 'I $\eta \sigma 00$ omitted after Kuphou with NABDE. Not represented in Fulg.
 'egrediebantar' only.
13. Spkitc with NABDE. Vulg. 'abjuro.'
14. wiol after imta and omitting of with NABE. Vulg. has 'septem filii qui hoc faciebant.'
15. av̂roís added after eitev with NABD. Vulg. has 'eis.'
16. àpфoтípav for aútêv with NABD. Vulg. 'amborum.'
 tum.'
29. $8 \lambda \eta$ omitted with N゙AB. Vulg. does not represent it.
33. $\sigma u v \in \beta 1$ Bagav with KABE. Fulg. 'detraxerunt.'
34. kmiyworves with NABDEHLP. Vulg. seems to support Text. recept.
35. divepajtuv with NABE. Vulg. 'hominum.' $\theta \varepsilon a ̂ s ~ o m i t t e d$ with NABDE. Not represented in Vulg.
40. $\pi \epsilon \rho \mathrm{l}$ oṽ ov่ with NABHLP. Vulg. hag 'de quo possumus.'

Ch. XIX. 1-7. Paul returning to Ephegus finds there home Discipless of John the Baptist.
 The digression concerning Apollos being ended, the history now returns to St Paul. Apollos found, no doubt, that Corinth was the most effective centre for his work in Achaia, and apparently made that his head-quarters.
 the upper country. The districts alluded to are those mentioned in xviii. 29, Galatia and Phrygia, to reach which he would also pass through Lycaonia. davoreptrós signifies the upland away from the sea; here the more eastern parts of Asia Minor.
ideciv els" "Eqeorov, came to Ephesus. This he had promised to do if he could (xvii. 21).
kal eipaiv tuvds $\mu$ a 0 prós, and jound certain disciples. These men are called disciples because they were, like Apollos, to a certain extent instructed concerning Jesus, and what they already knew drew them to listen to St Paul who could teach them more.
 Ghost when ye believedf On the use of $\epsilon$ as simply the mark of an interrogation cf. i. 6.

The position of these disciples is difficult to understand. St Paul addresses them as believers. But this perhaps is only because they presented themselves among the real Christian disciples, and his recent arrival made it impossible for him to know the history of all who appeared among the members of the congregation. He presumes they are believers from the company in which he finds them.
 much as hear whether the Holy Ghost was given. This is the sense of the verse, and not that given by the A. V. Of the existence of the Holy Ghost no disciples of John could (as might be conceived from the A. $\overline{\text { F. }}$.) be ignorant. In his preaching John had proclaimed that the baptism of Him who was to come after him should be with the Holy

Ghost and with fire. Bnt in the Greek where, as in this verse, the expression 'Spirit' or 'Holy Spirit' is found without an article (although in English we are forced to put 'the' before it) it signifies not the personal Comforter, but an operation or gift of the Holy Spirit. Thus
 the Holy Ghost was not yet given,' although there is no verb for 'given,' because the noun is without an article in the Greek, and so signifies 'a spiritual outpouring.' These disciples at Ephesus, then, imply by their answer not that the name 'Holy Ghost' was atrange, but that they were unacquainted (as was the Baptist himself) with any special bestowal of the gifts of the Spirit.
 phrase, derived from the language of Christ (Matth. xxviii. 19), was Barrljean els $\tau \dot{d}$ övopa. Hence the form of this question and of the
 that into which John baptized.' These men may have been disciples of Apollos, and been baptized by him before his fuller instruotion by Priscilla and Aquila.
 Paul said, John baptized with the baptism of repentance. Such was John's description of his own baptism (Matth. iii.11), but after the day of Pentecost the language of the Christian preacher (Acts ii. 38) is, 'Repent and be baptized in the name of Jesus Christ for the remission of sins, and ye shall receive the gift of the Holy Ghost.' These Ephesian disciples knew nothing of baptism for the remission of sins, or of the other sacrament of the Lord's Supper, nor of the gift of the Spirit to the Church, nor of the doctrines of faith in Christ and salvation by grace through faith.
 is explained by $\beta$ arti $\xi_{\epsilon} \ell \nu$ els $\mu \in \tau$ deotav of Matth. iii. 11. The baptized were pledged to amendment of life, and to a preparation for the ooming Messiah.
 him, that is,on Jesus. In his preaching John had constantly used the phrase 'He that cometh after me.' This was the stage of instruction at which these disciples had arrived. They knew that John spake of one who was to come. St Paul's teaching made clear to them that this was Jesus. The closing words of the sentence ( $\epsilon$ ls tò 'I $\eta \sigma o \hat{\nu}$ ) are a condensation of all the explanations by which the Apostle convinced them that Jesus, whom he preached, was the prophet whom John announced. St Luke does not anywhere give speeches or arguments in extenso, but only so much as is needed to explain the results which he describes.
5. diкov́autes $\delta \in$, and when they heard. What they heard was not the mere statement that Jesus was the Messiah; but all the arguments with which St Paul demonstrated that this was so, and proved that in Him the Scriptures were fulfilled. The conviction need not have been sudden, though its description is brief.

els to | ovopa, into the name. Of. Matth. xxviii. 19 and Acts ii. 38 |
| :---: | note. These men followed the order appointed for admission to the privileges of the Christian covenant. No argament can be drawn from this verse for a repetition of baptism. These disciples had never received suoh a baptism as Christ ordained. John's baptism was but a washing symbolical of the repentance which he preached; baptism into the name of Christ is the pledge of a covenant of salvation.

6. भौג them. The gift of the Holy Ghost to these disciples appears to have been a special provision of the Spirit for the great work which was to change Ephesus, from the city wholly devoted to the goddess Diana, into the centre of Christian life throughout the west of Abia Minor for several centuries.
 outporring; for as in Jerusalem the gift wrought its effect among the Jews then gathered there from every quarter, so was the Spirit given in this great centre of Gentile activity that a like result might follow, and that the amazement and marvel at such a power might win attention to the message and gain converts to Christ.
kal èmpoфท́тєvov, and prophesied. Probably in this case to be understood of the exposition of Old Testament prophecy, and of the power of preaching bestowed on them by the gift of the Holy Ghost. The foretelling of future events would be no such help to the cause of Christ as would the power of prophecy in this other sense.
 twelve men. A new band of Apostles.
The verse has been the cause of much remark. Why the inspired historian should speak with an 'about,' has been asked by some. With that we are not concerned, only to observe that the Spirit has not prompted him to speak otherwise. Some have seen in the number and the circumstances a resemblance to the Apostles and their supernatural endowment; others have looked back as far as the Patriarchs and have made of these men the beginning of another Israel. May it not be that the 'about' was written to admonish us of the unprofitableness of such speculations? Cp. Josh. vii. 5.







8-20. Pajl pheacieg to tee Jews firet and afterwards to the Gentiles. Tee Word of God prevaina migetitis.
 synagogue. As the incident of John's disciples is mentioned before anything else, it seems likely that St Paul found them among the few

Christian brethren in Ephesus, and began his teaching of them before he commenced his visits to the synagogue.
\& $\pi \mathfrak{l}$ คฑ̂vas tptis, for three months. Going there, that is, on all occasions af religious service, and so giving to his brethren of Iarael a full opportunity of hearing all his reasoning, and of inquiring whether what he taught was in accordance with the Scriptures. The abiding a longer time with them, which they had asked for (xviii. 20) on his previous visit, does not seem to have gained him more adherents among the Jews. Perhaps he had noticed when the request was made that it was not with great fervour. Otherwise, it is not like the Apostle to pass by an opened door.

סraleү $\mu$ evos, reasoning. The word is the same as in xvii. 2. There ãँ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \gamma \rho a \phi \hat{\omega} \nu$ is added. The same sense is no doubt intended here. It must be from their Scriptures that the congregation of the synagogue would be convinced.
 hardened, and believed not, that is, refused the perscasion spoken of in the previous verse. The same two verbs are found together in


 Way before the multitude. The evil speaking is the final manifestation of the hardening. The Apostle continued his exhortations to stonyhearted hearers for three months, but when their obstinacy changed into malignity he left them. $\dot{\eta}$ ósós was soon given as a distinctive name to 'the Christian religion.' See note on ix. 2 and cf. below verse 23.

It was not mere opposition to the arguments of the Apostle which these Jews employed, they took occasion to excite the crowds of the city against him. And it would seem from verse 33, where the Jews attempt to put forward a spokesman in the tumult, that they wished the heathen populace to believe that Paul was not approved of by his own nationality.
 any longer in the services at the synagogue, through which the evil speaking had been aroused.
dфф́pırev tov̀s pal q тás, he separated the disciples. The Christian part of the congregation, with any of the Jews who were attracted more than the rest by his teaching.

8radeरofevos, reasoning (as in verse 8). Among these more sympathizing hearers, he would only have to set forward the arguments for the faith which he preached unto them. His teaching now could go on constantly ( $\kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime} \eta^{\prime} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \rho a \nu$ ), and was not confined to the synagogue times of service.
 whether a heathen or a Jew, was a man well known. Otherwise we can conceive no reason for the mention of a proper name. As
the name is Greek, some have thought that the place meant was the lecture-room of a philosophic teacher; others, thinking that St Paul would hardly have ohosen such a place for his preaching, have preferred to consider it a Jewish school or Beth-Hammidrash, in which his Jewish hearers would be more willing to assemble. Since the listeners are described, in the next verse, as being partly Jews, and partly Greeks, it is impossible to arrive at a conclusion. No doubt the Jews in Ephesus were numerous enough to render such 'schools' necessary for their education, and in their intercourse with Gentiles they not unfrequently adopted a Gentile name in addition to their Jewish one. So Tyrannus may have been a Jew.
 sian elders at Miletus the A postle says he ceased not to admonish the Church there for 'three years. The two statements need not be conflicting. To the two years mentioned here when the three months of verse 8 are added, and the time which may have preceded his teaching in the synagogue (see on verse 8), the duration of the Apostle's stay in Ephesus would be described in Jewish reckoning as 'three years,' which in their mode of speech need only consist of one whole year, and parts of that which preceded, and that which followed it. Cf. the reckoning of three days between the Crucifixion and the Pesarrection,
 dwelt in Asia heard the word of the Lord. By Asia is meant 'proconsular Asia' (see note on ii. 10). The seed of the Seven Oharches of the Apocalypse was sown in these two years. It is evident from the tumult desoribed in this chapter that the Christian teaching was making as much way among the Gentiles as among the Jews. The language of St Luke here implies that the audience of St Paul was made up not of the settled inhabitants of Ephesus only, but of those who visited the city for business or pleasure, and carried news of the preacher and his message to all corners of the district. Philemon from Colossm may have been one of St Paul's converts during this time.
 nov, and God urought special (or no common) powers by the hands of Paul. The language of the historian is noteworthy. God works, Paul is the instrument. (Cp. the mighty hand of Moses, Dent. xxxiv. 12.) The imperfect tense of the verb in the Greek implies that these manifestations of God's power were continued during the Apostle's stay. This was no mere spasmodic excitement over some powerful discourse. 'By the hands' is probably only the Jewish mode of expressing 'by.' See note on v. 12.

On oủ $\tau d s$ ruxoígas =' not such as are usual' cf. below chap. xxviii. 2,
 to them no ordinary blame.'
 body there were carried away unto the sick. St Luke is oareful to intimate that the Apostle did not of himself adopt or recommend
these methods, but the faith of the converts was suoh that it manifested itself in this way, and God was pleased to bestow blessings because of their faith. In the city of Ephesus where, as we find from this chapter, exorcism and 'curious arts' of witcheraft and incentation were familiarly exercised, God appears to have made the cures that were wrought to be specially evidences of the power of faith. Paul does not go to the sick, and even the sons of Sceva (verse 13) recognise that it is not to Paul, but to Jesus whom he preacheth, that the 'powera' are to be ascribed. Thus was God's minister made to differ from the pretenders to miraculous power with which the Ephesian people were familiar. A specimen of these may be seen in the life of Apollonius of Tyana, iv. 3 (Kяyser, p. 66).

бov6ápıa if बчццкivera, handkerchiefg or aprons. Some lake the latter word to signify the cincture, by which the loose robes of the Orientals were gathered together round the waist. This would be expressed by 'belts' or 'girdles'. Others think they were the aprons used by the Apostle while working at his trade. The derivation of the word favours the latter sense. They seem to have been employed to cover the front half of the dress during work.

The words are both Latin, sudarium and semicinetium, and the latter is sometimes written onutnivotov.
 parted from them and the evil spirits went away. These converts acted on the popular belief, that virtue proceeded from the bodies of our Lord and His Apostles. St Luke notices this belief in his Gospel (viii. 44), and St Mark aays of Jesus (v. 30), 'perceiving in Himself that the power proceeding from Him had gone forth.' The words of Scripture can hardly be made to countenance, though they recognise, the popular belief. Yet, even thongh these men employed means which were unnecessary and superstitious to display their faith, because of the reality of this faith God did not suffer it to lose its reward.
 Tज̂v, and certain also of the Jews that went about as exorcists took upon them. In addition to the real, though ignorant, faith of the converts alluded to in verse 12, some impostors, who had no faith, tried to win more oredit for their jugglery by employing the names of Paul and Jesus. These were certain Jews who went about from place to place, professing by charms and spells to cure diseases. The A.V. "vagabond' conveys in modern language a moral censure, which probably these men well deserved, but which is not in the Greek. The Rev. Ver. has adopted atrolling, which gives more nearly the sense of the original but is not a very dignified word. We read in Josephus (Ant. viri. 2.5) that 'God gave Solomon skill against demons for the help and cure of men. And he arranged certain incantations whereby diseases are assuaged, and left behind him forms of exorcism, wherewith they so put to flight the overpowered evil spirits that they never return. And this method of curing is very prevalent among us up to the present time.' The Jews at Ephesus were professors of this pretended art of healing.

ovapáfetv...тov̀ кuplov 'I Iqoov, to name over them which had evil spirits the name of the Lord Jesus. From an early date the traditional literature of the Jews ascribed great effects to the utterance of the incommunicable divine name. By means of this (they say) it was that Moses alew the Egyptian, and Elisha brought destruction on the mocking children 'by the name of Jehovah.' We can nnderstand therefore, if the fame of St Paul were become known, and the name of Jesus connected with his preaching, and with the powers vouchsafed, how these men (living among superstitious Jews) would make a pretence to the possession of the same secrets by which, as they would declare, the cures were wrought.





$\lambda$ eyovtes, ' ${ }^{\prime}$ pkif(c), saying, $I$ adjure. The singular is the form which each particular pretender would nase, when he was performing his exorcism.
 one Sceva, a Jew, a chief priest. We cannot tell why the title 'chief priest' is given to Sceva, but it is not improbable that the name was applied to the heads of the twenty-four courses of the Levitical priesthood, who are called in the Old Testament 'heads of fathers' houses.'

тоиิто тоLoîvtes, who did this, i.e. whioh agreed to adopt this form of words in their exorcisms. There is no need to auppose that the whole seven were present in the case about to be named, but only that they were all exorcists, and in their wish to seem the best of their class they determined to use words which should connect them with the Christian preacher through whom many miracies were known to have been wrought.





 दтагхои.
 spirit answered and said to them. They had taken upon them to use the name of Jesus, but the resalt was far contrary to their wishes and intentions. 'Evil spirit' is used for the man in whom the spirit was. Of. Mark iii. 11.
 Paul I know. It is hardly possible in a translation to mark the difference of the two verbs. In $\gamma i v \dot{p} \sigma x \omega$ there seems to be intended as recog-
 pointed ministry thereof. The spirit speaking through the man would
intimate: I reoognise that Jesus has power over evil spirits, and I know that Paral is a true servant of Jesus, through whom Jesus manifests His power. The LXX. has the two verbs in the same sentence

vipeis $8 \mathbf{6 t}$ tives tort; but who are ye? Ye are not like Panl, devoted servants of Jesus. Ye are mere pretenders coming in His name.
16. Eqaiduevos, leaping upon, with the power, more than natural, so often displayed by madmen.

катакирเยías d $\mu \phi о \tau / \rho \omega v$, having gained the mastery over both of them. Here the reading $\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi o \tau \epsilon \rho \omega \nu$ preserves for us the information that on the occasion here spoken of only two of the family were present. This reading would never have been substituted for the simpler aữow. But how prone soribes would be to put the simple for the less obvious is easy to see. It is no objection to the recall of this old well-sapported reading, that other words in the verse, referring to these brethren are plural and not dual. Plural verbs and adjectives are not unfrequently used with dual subjects. Cf. Matth. iv. 18, eidev
 $\dot{\text { a }}$ defis. There is no instance of a dual noun in the N.T.
 flight, tearing their clothes to shreds, and leaving marks of his violence upon their bodies. $\sigma \sigma \chi \dot{\nu} \omega$ is used of a victory won by Alexander in 1 Macc. х. 49.
 refers to a gradual spreading of the story. We may be sure that'the sons of Seeva' said as little about it as they conld help.
 all both Jews and Greeks that dwelt at Ephesus. Exorcists were plentiful in Ephesus, and what had happened would be taken for a warning.
 result. It touched every body that heard the history.
\$цкүа入र́veто тd ővopa т. к. 'I., and the name of the Lord Jesus was magnified. This was the later and no doubt less widespread effect. It was produced among those by whom Jesus was beooming known and worshipped.
 believed, i.e. who had made a profession of their faith. Clearly it was as yet only an imperfect belief. But the N.T. charity often names those 'saints' who are only on the way to become so.
 Apostle and the Christian brethren, and acknowledged that their profession had not as yet been completely followed by their practice.
 $\dot{\varepsilon} v a \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda \omega \omega$ implies the 'making of a public annowncement.' The $\pi \rho \dot{d} \xi \in s_{s}$ were the practices connected with witcheraft, sorcery and
exorcism, that were ineonsistent with the Christian life. $\pi \rho a \xi \underline{s}$ is thus used, without any defining word, of an evil course of action in Luke xxiii. 51.
 make a distinction from the $\pi$ oh $\lambda$ ol of verse 18) of them which used curious arts. The tà $\pi \varepsilon \rho \frac{1}{2} \rho \gamma{ }^{2}$ were magia, jugglery and all such practices as make pretence to supernatural agency. The word is used of magic arts both in classical and patristic Greek, and the kindred verb is used of Socrates (Plato, Apol. 8) because of his statement concerning his inward spiritual monitor or dæmon. Cf, also Ecclus. iii.
 warning is against prying into things too hard for a man.
 have seen above that the Jews had receipts for incantations and exorciams professedly dating back to the days of Solomon, and among the heathen population of Ephesus such writings were vastly abun-
 pression, signifying charms composed of magic words and worn as amulets, and supposed to be efficacious against all harm. We are told of a wrestler who could not be thrown while he wore such a charm, but who was easily overeome when it was taken away. Some of these amulets were said to be composed of the letters which were upon the crown and girdle and feet of the statue of Artemis in the temple at Ephesus. See Farrar's St Paul, in. 26, and the authorities there quoted.

кãekavov Èv́тt i.e. where all might see who were there. We must remember that what they burnt were rolls of written material, not books after the modern fashion, which are extremely dificult to burn. Such a burning pile must have attracted much notice, and was a proof that the descent of the Holy Ghost (ver. 6) had wrought in Ephesus in the same way as aforetime in Jerusalem.
 them. And in the sacrifice we must think not only of the cost of the books, but of the hopes of gain which were thrown also into the fire by those to whom 'curious arts' had been a revenue.
 of silver. As the scene of this abjuration was among a Greek population, it is almost certain that the Attic drachma is the coin in which the reckoning is made. As 24 of these were a little more in value than our English pound, we may consider that more than two thousand pounds worth of rolls and slips of magic treatises was consumed.

As an example of the omission of the coin in which a sum is reckoned, of. the English 'ten thousand a year.'
20. oütus кatà кр́́tos, 80 mightily. The phrase is common in classical Greek in the sense of 'with all one's power and might.'

21, 22. St Paul's Plans for hits Journity from Ephesus.
21. $\omega \mathfrak{s} \delta \hat{k} \ell \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \hat{\theta} \eta$ тav̂ta, and after these things were ended. The foundations of the Ephesian Church seemed fully laid, when sacrifices of such a kind had been made by the converts, and so St Paul feels that he may leave the seed sown in good hope that it will grow.
 had settled it in his own mind.
 Macedonia and Achaia. Intending, no doubt, as was his wont, to visit the Churches which had been founded on his previous mission (chapp. xvi.-xviii) from Philippi to Corinth.
 as we know, collected throughout the other Churches for the needs of the central organization of the Christian movement. See 1 Cor. xvi. 1-3. There this intended journey through Macedonia and to Corinth is alluded to, and the reason assigned for the Apostle's lingering in Ephesus (ver, 8, 9) 'I will tarry at Ephesus antil Pentecost, for a great door and effectual is opened unto me, and there are many adversaries.' The opening of the door was manifest in the burning piles of magio books. Of the many adversaries we read in this chapter in a description which might justify the Apostle in using the language of the Psalmist, 'Great bulls of Bashan close me in on every side.' And perhaps such a thought was in his mind when he wrote of 'fighting with beasts at Ephesus' (1 Cor. xv. 32).
 I must aleo see Rome. Of the long-cherished desire which be had to visit the Imperial City, the Apostle speaks Rom. i. 13, in whioh passage he intimates that the purpose had been often entertained, but hitherto disappointed.
 donia. No doubt to make arrangements that the contributions of the Churches might be in readiness, and that there should be no gatherings when Paul himself came, as he says to the Corinthians (1 Cor. xvi. 2).
סıaкovoívicuv, of them that ministered unto him. The ohief auty of such $\delta$ cáxoyoi was in collecting and dispensing the alms of the brethren. On the former of these duties Timothy and Erastus were most likely now engaged.

Turóeov kal "Epaotov, Timothy and Erastus. The former had laboured in Macedonia and in Greece when St Panl was there before; the latter is mentioned (2 Tim. iv. 20) as having stayed at Corinth, in that later period when the second Epistle to Timothy was written. He can hardly be the same person as Erastus the chamberlain of the city of Corinth spoken of in Rom. xvi. 23.
aûròs kTtrxev Xpóvov is Tìv 'Aclav, he himself stayed in Asia for a season. For the phrase, in which eaurb must be sapplied
 ėtepas. We may perhaps infer from the mention of Asia rather than Ephesus that St Paul did not remain constantly at Ephesus, at all events when the congregation there became firmly established, but making that city his head-quarters, went out into other districts of the province of proconsular Asia.

23-41. Heathen Oftbreabr agatist St Paul and hes Teaching.
23. kard $\tau \dot{\partial} v$ кaupdv ekeivov, about that time. This is better than A.V. 'the same time,' and there is some gain in accuracy of rendering of these connecting phrases. The literal rendering allows of the lapse of some period between the action of the converts in burning their magic books, and the uproar of the silversmiths. No doubt one movement was in part, but need not have been entirely, a consequence of the other, and the A.V. connects them more closely than is done ly the original.

24. dpypooó ${ }^{\text {dos }}$ is found in LXX. Judges xyii. 4; Jerem. vi. 29.
waois ópyvpoûs'Aprt $\mu \mathrm{L} \delta \mathrm{os}$, silver shrines of Diana. These appear to have been little models either of the temple or of the shrine in which the image was preserved. We may be quite sure that the ingenuity of Greek artists devised forms enough and sizes enough to suit all needs. Smaller specimens might be carried about and worn as ornaments and amulets at the same time; the larger could be kept in the houses of their possessors, and would be a sign of wealth as well as of devotion.

The goddess worshipped at Ephesus was called Artemis, but this Ephesian Artemis was totally distinct from Artemis the Greek goddess, the sister of Apollo. It is believed that the Ephesian worship was originally Asiatic, and that when the Greeks sent colonies to Asis Minor they found it already established there, and from some resemblance which they discovered in the worghip they gave the Asian divinity the name of Artemis. The Ephesian Artemis was the personification of the fruitful and nurturing powers of nature, and so the image in the temple represented her with many breasts. Her whole figure is said to have been like a mummy, standing upright and tapering downwards to a point. Her crown and girdle and the pedestal on which the figure stood had upon it engraved signs or letters, and the body was covered with figures of mystical animals. All these things would furnish abundant variety for the craft of the silversmiths.
 business.' The word no doubt means primarily 'employment' by which a living is made, but we have it used twice in chap. xvi. 16, 19 of the 'gain' made by the Philippian masters from the ravings of the girl who was possessed, and here too 'gain' seems the better sense. It was because their gains were going that the uproar was made, and probably Demetrius himself, the most fieree of all the
rioters, did none of the work, but through employing many workmen had a large share of the gains. He calls the gain a business or craft (the same word) in verse 25, that being, as has been said, the first sense of the word, but there is no need to cast aside the other sense which it equally beara.
 ing gathered together along with the workmen of like occupation. His own special branch of the craft was the carving and engraving of these shrines, as we learn from the word d $\rho$ popos $6 \pi 0$. But before the work reached the higher stage, the materiais had to pass through many hands in preparation, and from the amelter of the metal up to him who added the final touches of adornment and poliahing all were concerned in the threatened loss of trade.
 our wealth. Such an appeal would go home at once. Their income and prosperity were assailed by the new teaching.
26. кal $\theta$ єшрєїтє кal dкои́етє, and ye see and hear. Of what had happened in Ephesus they were eyewitnesses, while the falling-off in the demand for their wares would be brought to their knowledge from all sides. The Christian preaching and preachers did not confine themselves to Ephesus.
 Ephesus, but almost throughout all Asia. Beside Ephesus itself we have only notices through St Paul's writings of Churches founded at Colosse, Laodicæa, and Hierapolis. But in the Apocalypse we find beaide these, Pergamus, Smyrna, Thyatira, Sardis and Philadelphia, places whose position shews us that through about two-thirds of the coastline of Asia important centres of Christian life were formed before that book was written, and we cannot doubt that by St Paul and his fellow-workers the Gospel was preached in all that district. Hence the alarm of Demetrins.
© Maîdos oirros, this Panl. If we think of the bodily presence of St Paul which he himself always describes as insignificant, and which would be familiar to the hearers of Demetrius, we can fancy the scorn which would be thrown into the words as they fell from the angry lips of the probably stalwart oraftsman.
$\pi$ mioas $\mu e \tau \ell \sigma \pi \eta \sigma \in v$, hath persuaded and turned away, i.e. from their devotion to Artemis, and so from their purchase of shrines.
 and not only is this our craft in danger to be set at nought. Td $\mu \mathrm{E} p \mathrm{pos}=$
 to be found only here. The simpler form eגçuós, in the sense of rebuke, is not uncommon in the LXX.







 Diana (Artemis). This was one of the wonders of the ancient world, and the glory and pride of all the Ephesians, and the recent explorations of Mr Wood (see Wood's Ephesus) have made us aware of the grandeur of the edifice and the consequent reason for this pride. Even the fragments of the architecture in the British Museum make it plain that the whole temple must have been a work of unsurpassed magnificence. No expense had been spared on its building, and the munificence of worshippers maintained it in full splendour. It was also used as a divinely-secured treasure-house, and those who made use of it in this way no doubt paid liberally for the protection. Tradition said, as it said of many another heathen idol, that the image in the shrine fell down from heaven. The description of this image (see ver. 24) is taken from coins which were ourrent at the date when the Acts of the Apostles was written.
cis ou'tiv $\lambda_{\text {oytr是inval, should be made of no account, as would be the }}$ case if men began to think that they were no gods which were made with hands. In his eagerness to eave the trade, Demetrius forgets to put forward what the townclerk mentions afterwards (verse 35), that the image was held to have come down from heaven. He is only interested in the support of what supplied his wealth.

 power' of God, cf. Lule ix. 43, and the 'majesty' of Christ, cf. 2 Pet. i. 16.

The collocation in the same clause of $\tau \epsilon$ кal here and in xxi. 28 in the sense of and even is very unusual, and not found in classical Greek, where these particles unite different clauses as both...and. See WinerMoulton, p. 548.
 world worshippeth; for wealth from the East as well as from Greece and Rome was bestowed at this gorgeous shrine.
28. dкоибаитеs 8 к. к. $\lambda$., and when they heard this, they became filled with wrath, \&c. Demetrius had appealed to them in such wise as to excite them more by each fresh argoment. Their self-interest first, and their pride and superstition afterwards.
 with the confusion. The city was not so directly interested in the gains of the silversmiths, but equally with them was proud of the glory and magnificence which Ephesus had, as the seat of the worship of Artemis. So that the noise that began in the meeting which Demetrius had gathered was taken up by the Ephesian population, and they needed a wider space for the crowds now pouring together from every side. ofrouas intimates that the throng gathered in great excitement.
cls $\tau \boldsymbol{\phi}$ ©arpov, into the theatre. The theatre was the scene of all the great games and exhibitions of the city. Its ruins still remain and give eridence that when this crowd assembled there it was a building that could hold 25,000 or 30,000 people (see Wood's Ephesus, p. 68; Fellowes, Asia Minor, p. 274). As Gaius and Aristarchus were not Jews, but the former perhaps of Roman extraction, if we may judge by his name, and the latter a Greek, with rights which even the Ephesian mob would not venture to outrage, we do not read of anything more done to them, than their being dragged along with the crowd towards the place of meeting. It might be thought that they could tell how St Pand was to be found, and when they could not, they were let go.
ouvaptairavtes, having carried off with them. The verb implies that a search had been made wherever the preachers were likely to be found. Gaius and Aristarchus must have been seized by the crowd because they were not able to find Paul. We may see therefore that between the meeting of the craftsmen and the greater assembly in the theatre, there had been search made by the mob that they might lay hands on the Apostle. It is interesting to note that the companionship of these Macedonian converts gives evidence of the permanent effect of the labours of St Paul in that country on his previous journey. The brevity of the record in the Acts makes it important to observe such indications wherever they are given undesignedly. This Gaius is not identical with any other of the same name met with in Acts $x \times .4$, and Rom. xvi. 23; 1 Cor. i. 15. Of Aristarchus we hear again in xx. 4 and xxvii. 2, for he accompanied St Paul in his voyage to Rome, and is mentioned in the Epistles written at that time (Col. iv. 10; Philemon 24). As natives of Colosse, and most probably Philemon himself, came to Ephesus and heard the preaching of St Paul there, Aristarchus may have been personally known to those to whom the Apostle sends his greeting in the above-named letters.
 was minded to enter in unto the people. Through a strength not his own, the Apostle, feeble in frame though he seems to have been, waxed bold in danger where an opporturity appeared to be offered of testifying unto Christ.
 the brethren forming the Christian congregation, to some of whom the storm that was rising would be known much sooner than to the Apostle. They had evidently conveyed him from his usual abode, and were taking care of him until the excitement was allayed. They would tell him, of course, all that they heard of what was doing, and it was on hearing this, that he wanted to go and appear before the crowd in the theatre.
 also of the chief officers of Asia, being his friends. The'A $\sigma$ cap才al were officers in the various cities of proconsular Asia, appointed to preside over the games and religious festivals. In Ephesus these men would be of much importance, for in addition to the other games over which they would preside, the whole month of May was sacred to Artemis,
being called Artemision, and was given up to festivals in honour of the city's idol. We read of an Asiarch at Smyrna in the narrative of the martyrdom of Polycarp (Euseb. H, E. iv. 15). -

It would seem, from the fact that some of these prominent officials were friends to St Paul, that though presiding over the games and festivals for the satisfaction of the populace, they had no great care for Artemis or her worship.
 тарака入té $\omega$ generally = beseech. The use of such a word indicates the personel interest these officers felt in the Apostle's safety. We also gather from the narrative that they knew where Paul was, though the mob had failed to find him.
 and some another. As the craftsmen had not secured Panl, against whom Demetrius had directed their rage, there was no central object to arrest the general attention. Hence no settled cry was raised,
 The ourquas in the city (see verse 29) had become intensified by the rush into the theatre.
кail oi $\pi \lambda$ clous ouk \&c. All that would be heard by many would be the shouts of the mob, from which nothing could be gathered about St Paul as the offiender. Amid ories of 'Artemis for ever' or 'Hurrah for Demetrins,' little would be learnt of how the tumult had begun.
 multitude instructed Alexander. What he seems to have been intended to do, was to explain on behalf of the Jews, that he and his fellow Jews had no more aympathy with St Paul than the heathen multitude had. It is just possible that this Alexander may be the same with him who is mentioned 2 Tim . ip. 14.
$\sigma_{0} \mu \beta 1 \beta$ ájo in this sense of 'to instruct' is common in the LXX., of. Exod. iv. 12, 15 ; Deut. iv. 9, de. But $\pi p o \in \beta l \beta a \sigma a y$ of the Text. recept. gives a very good sense, 'and out of the crowd they brought forward Alexander.'
$\pi \rho \circ \beta a \lambda{ }^{\prime} v \tau \omega v$ avirdेv тิิv 'Iov6alav, the Jews putting him forward. Thus it becomes clear that Alexander was no Christian, for the Jews could have had no interest in bringing forward anybody who would speak in defence of St Parl. But they were clearly concerned in hindering, if they could, this uproar, raised against one who to the heathen would be counted as a Jew, from developing into a general attack on their race. We see that this might be no unlikely result, for the crowd, recognising the Jewish face of the intending speaker, would not hear a word that he had to say.

кatartloas Tìv Xeîpa, having waved the hand, i.e. so as to ensure silence. The more usual form in N. T. is к. rì $\chi$ єєpl. Cf. Acts xii. 17, xiii. 16, xxi. 40.

Hिeney imo was nothing laid against him. But the Jews felt that they were all likely to be included in the vengeance to be taken on these Jews whom Demetrius had attacked. So they put forward their spokesman to disclaim all connexion with St Paul and his companions.
34. kTtүvóvits 8 f, but when they perceived. The Jews would everywhere be readily known, both by their features and by their garb. We can see from the way in which the mob took fire at the sight of a Jewish speaker, that the apprehension of an attack on the Jews generally was not without grounds.
 is disjointed. After $\epsilon \pi$ tyóvites we should have a verb in the plural (e.g. $\ddagger \neq \alpha \dot{v} \eta \sigma a v)$. Instead of this the participle is left in suspense, and a new nominative introduced. For a partieiple similarly left of. Mark ix. 20.
is $\epsilon \pi i$ © mon object to ory out agginst. Thus they became all of one voice. They took up the ory, first started by the craftsmen, and persisted in it with all the energy which characterizes a fanatical mob.


 of order that he could make himself heard. The 'appease' of A.V. is too strong. They were hardly appeased even when his speech was

 $\sigma ф \delta \delta \rho a$.
of ypapuarev́s, the townclerk. It is not easy to find an English word which comes at all near the significance of this title. 'Recorder' has been proposed, because he had charge of the city archives, and Luther calls him 'chancellor.' He was a most important personage, and his title is found at times on the ooinage. He also gave name in some places to the year, like the Archon at Athens. Through him all public communications were made to the city, and in his name replies were given. It is this part of his duty which has led to the rendering 'townclerk.'
$\phi \eta \sigma t y$, he says. The speech is full of ability, and shews that the man was fitted for his eminent position. It seems to shew also that the higher classes (as has been noticed in the case of the Asiarchs) were not so deroted to the service of the goddess as were the common people.
wєкброv, worshipper. Rev. Vers. 'temple-keeper.' Lit. 'temple sweeper.' The name no doubt was first used to imply that any office in the service of so magnificent a goddess was a grand distinction; and not in Ephesus only did the worshippers of a special divinity apply this title to themselves. Thus Josephus B. J. v. 94 applies it to the Jews es worshippers of Jehovah. The word also oceurs in Plato's Laws 7. 759.
 more natural in the mouth of the ypaunate's that $\theta$ eâs should be omitted.
tov̀ $\Delta$ нопधтov̀s, of the image which fell down from Jupiter. The adj. $\Delta 10 \pi \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ s agrees with $a \gamma a \lambda \mu a$ or some such word, which would be as naturally omitted in common speech as $\theta_{e}$ âs in the previous clause. The first part of the speech of the yoapartés is directed to point out how ancalled for their uproar is. There is no need for them to shout about the greatness of the Ephesian goddess. Everybody in the world is aware how devoted the city is to her worship and how glorious is her temple.
 cannot be gainsaid. Even those who spoke against the worship as St Paul had done, could not dispute the facts just stated by the rpapнaтєús about the devotion of the Ephesians to their goddess.
 not raising an uproar like this, See on the verb, verse 35, above.
 describes the headstrong outrageous uproar for which there was no reason, and from which no good could come, and also their conduct in seizing two persons who were not the offenders and against whom, as it appears, they could take no proceedings.

In the LXX. the word is always used of rash talk. Cf. Prov. x. 14, xiii. 3; Ecelus. ix. 18.
37. tovs du8pas tovitous, these men, Gaius and Aristarchus.
ifpoouidous, robbers of temples. As the temple at Ephesus had a grest treasure-chamber, the offence might not be unknown among them. All that was placed under the guardianship of the goddess would be for the time the property of the temple, to steal which would be sacrilege.
iepóvidos is applied to Lysimachus (2 Macc. iv. 42) for his plunder-


 In a popular address it is natural that such a speaker would identify himself with his fellow-citizens. We may gather from this verse that the language of St Paul and his companions had been measured when they had spoken about the special worship of Ephesus. They had inculeated the great principle that those were no gods which were made with hands and had allowed that to do its work. We find the same restraint put on himself by St Paul at Athens, though he was grestly moved to see the city wholly given to idolatry. Different conduct in either of these cities would most likely have deprived him of all chance of $a$ hearing.
 have any charge which they wish to bring. For the concarns in
which the shrine－makers are interested must be such as the legal tribunals can take cognizance of．
dyopaiol áyov al，the law is open．This is the general sense．With ayopato we must supply $\dot{\eta} \mu \dot{f} \rho a t$ ．The sense will then be court days are appointed＇i．e．there are proper times fixed when such causes can be heard；or perhaps better，because of the verb whioh seems to imply that the opportunity of legal action is even now open，＇court－meetings are now going on．＇In this latter sense aivodoc or some similar noun must be sapplied with dyopaiou．
 maros，of．xiii．7，8，12．Asia，in which Ephesus was situated，was a proconsular province（see Conybeare and Howson，ir．78）．The diffi－ calty in the present verse has arisen from the use of the plural number， for there was only one proconsul over a province at the same time， and there could only be one in Ephesus when the townclerk was speaking．But if we consider that he is speaking merely of the pro－ vision made by the institutions of the empire for obtaining justice in a case of wrong，we can see that his words need not occasion moch trouble．＇Proconsals are（he says）an imperial institution．In every province like ours there exists such a supreme magistrate，and so there is no fear about obtaining redress for real injuries．＇Another explanation（due to Basnage，and alluded to in the notes of Conybeare and Howson，u．s．）is that after the poisoning of the proconsul Silanus （as related Tac．An．xirr．1），Celer and Elius，who governed the pro－ vince of Asia as procurators，might be intended by this plural title． Others have thought that there might be present in Ephesus some other proconsul from a neighbouring province，as Cilicia，Cyprus， Bithynia or elsewhere；but the first seems the easier explanation．
tyкaheitwoav il入入入入os，let them accuse one another．Of course the accusation would be one side，the defence the other．What the ypapaareis means is＇let them take steps to obtain a legal decision．＇
 other matters．The＇seeking＇alluded to is by a legal process．If the matter were of such a character as to come before the proconsul，there he was，ready to hear the cause．It was，as we might say，＇assize time．＇But if the question was of another kind，one for the jurisdic－ tion of the ordinary city courts，then they could apply at the proper time and place．
 legally fixed days and times for the eity courts．To these it is that the allusion is made．


 for indeed we are in dunger to be accused of a riot concerning this day． érкadeip in the previous verse＝to acouse，and this meaning should be preserved here．otáats is the name which the $\gamma \rho a \mu \mu a \tau \epsilon$＇s hints，by this
senteace, that other people will give to the gathering in the theatre. He calls it by a gentler term, $\sigma v \sigma \tau \rho o \phi \eta$.
 course should have been gathered.
 as touching it we shall not be able to give account of this concourse. It seems clear that $\pi \in \rho i$ of could not mean (as A. V.) whereby. The insertion of a second ovi, = not, is warranted by much MS. testimony, bnt it is not easy to render, and Westcott and Hort think that there must still be some error in the text. The relative ovi does not grammatically accord with any part of the sentence to which it ought to be referred. But the rendering given is perhaps the best which can be made of the word, and ou as a neuter must be taken to refer to the matter as a whole.
 could do in his official capacity. Probably the last argument which he used would have most weight with his audience. If such riotous oonduct were reported at Rome it might lead to a errtailment of the privileges of their city.



## CHAPTER XX.

Resdings varying from the Text. recept.
 before dorraodi lis et exhortatus eos valedixit.'

7. $\dot{\dagger} \mu \hat{\omega} v$ for $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu a \theta \eta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau 0 \hat{\theta}$ with NABDE. Vulg. ' cum convenis-' semus.

15. кal $\mu \epsilon l y a v \tau \epsilon s$ is $\mathrm{T}_{\rho} \omega \gamma \mathrm{\nu} \lambda \lambda / \varphi$ omitted with NABCE. Not represented in Vulg.
16. кєкрlкєь for $\epsilon_{\text {крие with NABCDE. Vulg. ' proposuerat.' }}$
19. $\pi \rho \lambda \lambda \omega \hat{\omega}$ before $\delta$ akpú $\omega v$ omitted with NABDE. Not represented in Vulg.
 NBCD. Valg. has 'Sed nihil horum vereor, nee facio animam meam pretiosiorem quam me.'
$\mu \epsilon$ dà $^{\alpha}$ रapas omitted with NABD. Not represented in Vulg.
25. Toû $\theta \in o \hat{v}$ omitted with NABC. Vulg. has 'Dei.'
26. кa0após $\epsilon l \mu$ with NBCDE. Vulg. has ' mundus sum.'
27. ن́pîv placed after $\theta$ єoṽ with NBCD. Vulg. 'Dei vobis.'
28. Kupiou for $\theta$ eov with $\triangle C D E$. Vulg. has 'Dei.'
29. Eych ot8a with KABCD. Vulg. 'ego scio.'
32. id $\delta \in \lambda \phi 0 i$ omitted with NABD. Not represented in Vulg. i $\mu \mathrm{i} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ omitted with NABDE. Not represented in Vulg.

Oh. XX. 1-6. Paul journeys throvgh Macmdonia and Gremce, and returns as far as Troas.
 ceased. We may suppose some little time to have passed, and public feeling to have become calm. Then once more there could be a gathering of the Christian congregation.
 disciples. Perhaps to some place where he had been staying in private. He would hardly deem it wise to leave Ephesus till he had seen the Church in quiet again.
 of them, departed to go into Macedonia. For áand joual see below xxi. 6. Paul sets out to Macedonia in fulfilment of his intention mentioned in xix. 21. We see from 2 Cor. ii. 13 that he went first to Tross, expecting to meet Titus there. He did not find him till he reached Macedonia, from which country he wrote the second letter to Corinth. We may supply what is omitted here by comparing 1 Cor. rvi. 17, 2 Cor. i. 16, 17, ii. 12, 13, viii. 18, 19, and we may learn something of St Paul's own feelings during this time from 2 Cor. i. 8, iv. 10, 11, x. 10, xii. 7.

For the seeming redundancy of verbs, of. Gen. sii. 5 , кal $E \bar{\xi} \lambda \lambda \theta_{0} \sigma a y$

 visiting especially, of course, the Churches of Philippi, Thessalonica and Beroea, among which St Luke mas have been left from the former visit, and have laboured to carry on the work which St Paul had begun. Some have judged this to be very probable, and that in this Macedonian residence St Luke's Gospel may have been written. It was also, as it seems, at this time that St Paul made the journey into Hllyricam slluded to in Rom. xv. 19.
入óү甲 тohhê, with much exhortation. We may form some idea of the topics which would be embraced by such exhortation, if we read the two Epistles to the Thessalonians which had been written to that Church sinve St Paul's former visit to Macedonia. The most marked language in the first Epistle is against sorrowing immoderately for the dead. By the words of St Paul on this subject the Christian congregation had been much troubled concerning the nearness of the coming of the Son of Man, and the second letter is written to bring them to a calm and thoughtful mind. The Apostle's 'much exhortation' would be an echo of what he had said in his letters, 'Watch and be
sober,' 'Abstain from every form of evil,' ' Be at peace among yourselves.'

The use of the masculine pronoun aưoús after $\tau \dot{\alpha} \mu \hat{\alpha} \rho \eta$ is not unexampled. The people are understood when the land is mentioned. See above on viii. 5 .
 said of the places which St Paul visited in this journey; but as he was always anxious to strengthen any work which he had before begun we may feel sure that Athens and Corinth, on this account, as well as for their importance as centres of intellectual and commercial life, were the places in which he spent the greater part of his three months' stay. In the latter Church especially there were many things to be set in order. He hsd already written to the Corinthians his two Epistles. In the first, sent from Ephesus, he had found it necessary to rebake them for the party-spirit in the Chureh, some calling themselves by the name of Peter, some of Apollos, and some of Paul himself, instead of finding true unity in Christ; he had also censured the disorders in the Encharistic feast, had given his judgment on a notorious offender, and on many topics raised by the difficulties of a Christian Church growing up amid heathen surroundings. These matters, and the guidance into a right channel of the exercise of those special gifts of preaching and speaking with tongues with which God endowed the Church in Corinth, would give the Apostle little rest daring his brief stay, even if he bestowed his whole time on Corinth alone.
 motew in this sense, of. xy. 33, xviii. 23. So also Acta Batnabe

 the Jews. The Jews, who had tried to engage Gallio in their matters on St Paul's last visit to Corinth, now take a secret instead of a pablic means of wreaking their vengeance on him. And we may judge that St Paul anticipated some trouble from the Judaizing party at Corinth by the tone of the latter portion (after chap. ix.) of his second Epistle written to them while he was on his way, but detained in Macedonia. There were persons in Corinth who spoke slightingly of the Apostle. His bodily presence was weak and his speech contemptible. And in opposition to the remarks of these opponents, the Epistle concludes with an assertion of St Paul's equality to the chiefest Apostles, a recital more full than in any other place of his sufferings for the Gospel, and an account of revelations divinely made unto him. It is clear therefore that among those who would be counted as Christians St Paul was not everywhere accepted. The Jews under such circumstances would have some abettors in their animosity even among the JudxoChristians ${ }_{\star}$ and seem to have planned some means whereby St Paul might be attacked on his sea voyage to Syria. No doubt the intention was to kill him. e $\pi$ rifouk $\eta$ is the word used (ix. 24) when the Jews watched the gates of Damascus night and day to kill him.
$\mu$ A

Syria. He had apparently gone so far as to arrange for his passage and go on board, and was nearly departed, before he got the warning news. For avayeatac refers to the actual preparation for setting sail. Perhaps some heart, among the people to whom the plot was linown on shore, was moved to give a hint of the great peril at the last moment. This is the more probable if we suppose some previous communications between the Jews and the Judaizers among the Christians.
 As the scheme for killing him had been meant to be carried out at sea, the choice of an overland journey and a prompt departure made the forming of a new plan impossible to the conspirators.



The grammar of the whole verse is remarkable for its freedom from
 the construction is once more changed in $\bar{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \epsilon \tau 0 \gamma \gamma \dot{\prime} \mu \eta \mathrm{~s}$.
 as far as Asia. We find (xi. 29) that Trophimus went on to JerusaIem, and (xyvii. 2) that Aristarchus was with St Paul in the voyage to Rome.
ovvel teto standing first in the sentence is in the singular to agree with the one word to which it comes closest.

之心́rarpos IÍppov, Sopater the son of Pyrrhus. A various reading here has Sosipater, a name found also in Rom. xvi. 21. Bat there is no resson why we should connect the two persons. We know nothing of Sopater beyond the mention of him in this, verse, though the name occurs, with those of Gaius and Secundus, as that of one of the Politarchs of Thessalonica on an arch still existing in the modern Saloniki. See xvii. 6.
 lonians Aristarchus and Secundus. Aristarchus has been before mentioned (xix. 29), and in the Epistles written during the Roman imprisonment to Philemon (24) he is one of those who sends greeting, and also to the Colossians (iv. 10), in which place the Apostle calls him his fellow-prisoner, shewing that he shared in a great degree the whole hardships of St Paul's life at Rome. Secundus is only mentioned here. With this name we may compare Tertius and Quartus (Rom. xvi. 22, 23). It has been conjectured that all these persons belonged to the freedman, or slave, class and had therefore no family names.
 Timothy was probably of Lystra, these men may have been friends from an eariy period, and the former may have been a convert at the same time as the latter. We only know of him from this verse, and he has no connezion with any other Gains named in the New Testament.
 Trophimus. Of the former of these we have mention several times.

In Eph. vi. 21, he is called a beloved brother and faithful minister, and St Paul states that he is about to send him to Ephesus. To the Colossians (iv. 7) he writes, "All my state shall Tychicus declare unto you.' From both which notices we see that Tychicus was with St Paul in his first Roman imprisonment. He was also at hand when the Apoatle wrote to Titus (Tit. iii. 12), and also had been with St Paul in the later imprisonment, when the Second Epistle to Timothy was written (iv. 12), and had again been sent to Ephesus. Perhaps Tychicus like Trophimus was by birth an Ephesian. Trophimus also continued much with St Paul, for we read (2 Tim. iv. 20) that the Apostle at that time had left him detained by sickness at Miletus.
 waiting for us at Troas. What the writer wants to point out is that these men before-mentioned did not stop like St Paul at Philippi, nor indeed tarry at all in Macedonia. As in this verse the change of pronoun indicates that the writer of the narrative again becomes a fellowtraveller with St Paul, we may presume, as has before been said, that he had been left here by the Apostle, who now separated himself for a brief time from his companions that he might pick up St Luke.
 Another reason why St Paul tarried at Philippi seems to have been because of the Jewish feast. As there could be no sacrifice of the Passover out of Jerusalem, the Apostle would feel no difficulty about remaining at any other form of the feast, and we know how loth he was to sever himself from his people in all things which he might lawfully share with them.
 could not be without much interest both to St Paul and Luke and Timothy, for at least these three had been here together, on that former visit when they were called over to Macedonia by a vision. Aristarchus and Secundus represented in part the fruits which God had granted to their work.
axpl represents the terminus ad quem, the final point of time which made up the sum of the journey. They went on until the time had reached five days.

## 7-12. Patl preaches at Troas. Eutychos is reftored то Lefe.

 which had now, in memory of the Resurrection, begun to be observed as a holy day by Christians. In an Epistle written before this visit to Troas (1 Cor. xvi. 2) the day is appointed by St Paul as the special time when the Christian alms should be laid aside.

For the phrase $\dot{\eta} \mu i \alpha \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma a \beta \beta \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu$, which has come from the use of the Hebrew cardinal $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{N}=$ one, for the ordinal, cf. Matth. xxviii. 1; Mark xvi. 2; Luke xxiv. 1, \&c. Also LXX. Genesis i. 5 kal èfelvero
 $\mu \eta \nu o s$.
 bread. Wherever a Christian congregation was established the first and most natural religious service was the communion of the body and blood of Christ.
 was one where reasoning and conversation were used to solve doubts and clear away difficolties which might be in the minds of the Christians at Troas. For we can perceive that there was a Church established here. Indeed wherever St Paul came he was enabled to leave that mark of his visit behind him. It is true the meeting was only still in an upper chamber, but the 'many lights' shews that it was not a mere gathering of one or two with the Apostle and his friends, but a settled Christian congregation.
 had met first for an evening service, but the consolation of Christian intercourse and the additional zeal infused into the Charch by the Apostle's visit caused the irregular conversational meeting to be protracted beyond the intended time. As the Jewish mode of reckoning would probably be retained, the meeting would be on what we now eall Saturday evening. This would be the beginning of the first day of the week. If this be so, St Paul did not hesitate to travel on Sunday.
 upper room in Jerusalem where (Acts i. 13) the first preachers of Christianity waited for the promised gift of the Holy Ghost.
 person as in the previous verse.
 dow. The window in that climate was only an opening in the wall, and not as in our country provided with a framework, the bars of which would have prevented the accident which is here described. The young man was sitting upon ( $\mathrm{e} \pi i$ ) the sill of the opening.
 represented as a careless hearer. But the hour was late, and he was young, and could resist sleep no longer. Here the verb is constructed with the dative, in the next line with anto and a genitive. It would be hard to make a distinction between the two.
 longer. $\dot{\epsilon \pi l} \pi \lambda \in \hat{i} \boldsymbol{y}$ refers either to the expectation of this youthful hearer or to his exhausted powers. Longer than he expected or longer than he could keep awake.
 the third storey and was taken up dead. The latticework with which such windows were closed in the East would be set wide open to admit the cool air into the crowded room. The lad fell out, and down to the floor of the court-pard. There has been much debate whether the restoration of Eutyehus was meant to be described as miraculous;
whether, that is, 'dead' may not be taken for 'in a swoon like death.' Bat St Luke's expression (ver. 12) 'They brought him alive' seems to leave no room for question. That life was gone by reason of the fall and was restored by the prayer of the Apostle is the natural reading of the story, which has all the vividness that marks the narrative of an eyewitness.
 fell on him. The access to Eastern houses was by a staircase on the outside, so that the way down would be at hand. The action of the Apostle recalls that of Elijah (1 Kings xvii. 21) and of Elisha (2 Kings iv. 34). No doubt the Apostle, like the Old Testament prophets, acoompanied his action with a ery unto the Lord.
 is only found here in N.T.

As he clasped the child in his arms, Paul would feel the returning motion, and know that his prayer was heard. The boy seems to have been left to the care of some members (perhaps women) of the congregation, who tended him till the service was over.
 distress yourselves.
11. dvaßds 8f, and when he was gone up. The Apostle's calmness, as well as his words, was not without effect on the congregation. He returns to the upper room, and the unfinished act of worship is completed.

кal кhóras tòv dprov, and had broken the bread, i.e. the bread of the Eucharistio service. The sermon came first (verse 9) and then the Lord's Supper.
cal $\gamma \in v \sigma d \mu c \gamma_{0}$, and eaten, i.e. partaken of the more substantial meal of the 'Agape.' This in the early Charch followed after the Commanion.

É' ikavóv $\tau \in$ íplinjous, and had talked with them a long while. $\dot{\sigma} \mu \mathrm{\lambda} \ell \epsilon \mathrm{\omega}$ means the talking of friendly intercourse. The previous discourse had been on more solemn subjects; the spread of Christ's kingdom and the part which each of them might take in helping it forward.
 фуелибау.
 would seem as though those who had had the care of him brought him, before the congregation broke up, perhaps even before the Apostle's departure, back again into the upper room.

13-16. Patl goes on Foot to Absog, then by Sela to Milifus.
 ship. St Luke now describes what he and the rest, without St Paul, did next. They started from Troas before St Paul's departure, and coasted along while the Apostle went by land.
 verb tor 'putting out to sea.' Assos was in Mysia, on the north shore of the gulf of Adramyttium. Opposite and about seven miles out at sea lay the inland of Lesbos. There was a Roman road from Troas passing through Assos. So while the ship went roand the cape Lectum, the Apostle was able to come by land and be taken on board by his companions.
 a middle perfect, and intimates the personal provision of the Apostle. This is also emphasized by the avirós in the next clause.
тefcielv, to go by land. mefeúw when opposed to a journey by sea need not necessarily signify a pedestrian journey, and it seems better not to press that meaning here. For aithough the distance between Troas and Assos is only 20 miles, yet after the labours and excitement of the past night, a walk of that length would scarcely have been contemplated by the Apostle, when his companions in the ship already had the start of him. Many reagons have been suggested why St Paul separated for a few hours from his friends: that he wished for solitude: that he would not be at sea one moment before he could help it: that there was some Christian duty which he could perform on the way: or for his health's sake. The historian, who probably knew, has not told us, and conjectures in such a case are valueless.
 the nights being each spent in some harbour. Mitylene was the capital of Lesbos, to which place they went from Assos, probably because it had a better anchorage. There could have been little time for anything on St Paul's land journey like meeting Christian friends, since the vessel left Troas in the morning, and by an indirect course came to Mitylene before nightfall.
 we came over against Chios. The island of Chios is about five miles distant from the mainland. It was in the shelter of the roadstead that the Apostle and his companions passed the night in their vessel.
 at Samos., For тараßäliecu in this technioal sense ef. Joseph. Ant.


The island of Samos lies off that part of the coast of Asia Minor where the anoient Ionia joined on to Caria. It has been famous both in ancient Greek and modern European history. See Dict. of Greek and Rom. Geog. s. v.
 in the oldest MSS. there is no trace of these words. How they came to be inserted it is not easy to say. Trogyllium lay on the mainland opposite Samos, at the termination of the ridge of Mycale. It may be that some annotator noticed that the previous verb $\pi a \rho a \beta a \lambda \lambda_{\epsilon L}$ only implied the touching at Samos. If he knew the locality it is possible that on his margin he suggested Trogyllium as the night's halting-place, of which the historian had made no mention. But it
is more difficult still to understand how if they had formed part of the original text they shoud be wanting in the earliest of all our authorities．

Tî $\delta$ Exon＇vn к．т． $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ ．，and on the day after we came to Miletus． Miletus had been a most famous sea－port in the earlier Greek history， but in the days of St Paul its fame was eclipsed by Ephesus．It lay on the coast of Caria，some 20 or 30 miles distant by land southward from the city of Ephesus，and one day＇s sail from Trogyllium．The site of the town is now some distance from the sea，and was not close to it in the Apostle＇s time，as we shall see below（verse 38）．

16．кєкрiкєь ү⿳亠口冋口 $\delta$ Maînos，for Paul had determined．In the midst of a large Christian congregation，such as we know to have existed by this time in Ephesus，there would have arisen many canses of delay which the Apostle in this rapid journey desired to avoid．Perhaps too there might have been some hostility roused against him，and either from a wish not to awaken this，or from fear lest the allaying of it should consume time，he resolved to send for the heads of the Church to confer with him at Miletus．
 have to spend time in Asia．St Paul felt that he could not go to Ephesus and leave again in a day or two．
$\chi \rho o v o \tau \rho \downarrow \beta \epsilon \omega$ is nowhere else in N．T．or LXX．and very rarely in any Greek authors，though $\chi$ póvov $\rho \rho(\beta \in c \nu$ is common enough．See however Aristot．Rhet．II． 3.
torevסev yáp，for he was hastening．The verb expresses the whole character of his journey，and we can only conclude that there was some difficulty in finding a vessel at Troas，or he would not have stayed there so long as he did，and not have given a day to Ephesus， which he felt he was hardly likely to see again．
 Jerusalem must have become a Christian as well as a Jewish festival． There would be at such a time an opportunity for the Apostle to meet the more prominent members of the Christian body，and，while bring－ ing his contributions from the Churches which he had founded，he would gladden them with the news of what God had enabled him to do．

17－38．Paul sends for the Elders from Ephesus，aivzs them his parting Charge，and leates Miletus．
 Apostle and his party must have tarried more than one day．It would take quite that time to send his messenger and summon those whom he wished to see．If they came to him on the gext day，that would be consumed in their conference and leavetaking，and the voyage could hardly be begun again till the third day at the earliest．

нeтekalforato，he summoned to him．This verb，found in N．T．only in the Acts（vii．14，x．32，xxiv．25），is used of very earnest or authoritative invitation．
 might be called 'presbyters.' In verse 28 however they are named ériakomou, i.e. 'bishops.' It is well established that the titles aper-


It is curious to notice in connexion with the history of these words that in the recently discovered 'Teaching of the Twelve Apostles' there is no mention anywhere made of $\pi \rho \in \sigma \beta$ urcpou.
18. eince aúroîs, he said to them. This is the only speech recorded in the Acts of the Apostles which we can be sure that the writer heard St Paul make. This is probably the reason why we have it somewhat in detail, and why it is so marked, as we shall see it is, with expressions that are to be found in the Apostle's letters. While giving other speeches in abstract St Luke employs bis own diction or that of some who were his authorities.
iunts emioraote, ye yourselves know. The pronoun is expressed emphatically, and should be represented. Had St Luke been giving the speech in substance, his Greek training would have made him
 so in the speech which he gathered from St Paul's own lips is an evidence of a faithful reporter.
 of the preposition in the relative clause is not common. The more usual form is either to omit the second preposition or to write $\dot{a} \phi \phi^{\prime} \bar{i} s$ $\dot{\eta} \mu t$ pas, bat when $\pi \rho \dot{\omega} \boldsymbol{j} \eta \mathrm{p}$ was to be nsed this was not very practicable. We must understand $\dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\rho} \rho a s$ with the relative to make the grammar complete.
 not only to what he had done in Ephesus itself, but to what they had heard of his labours elsewhere in Asia. Ephesue was no doubt the greatest centre of Christian life in Proconsular Asia, and all that was done elsewhere would be reported there, and the lesser Churches would seek for intercommanion with a Churoh in which they could learn so much of what St Paul had taught.
 with you all the time, i.e. all the time which I spent with you. The Apostle calls to their remembrance how he had borne himself during all the period of his ministry in Asia.
 Lord with all humility of mind. The verb is interesting when we remamber how often St Paul calls himself in his Epistles doûnos'I $\eta \sigma o \hat{v}$ Xpıgroú. Cf. Rom. i. 1; Phil. i. 1; Tit. i. 1.
 ment derived from the statement in verse 31 below. In 2 Cor. ii. 4

 and with trials that befell me by the plots of the Jews. We could only see in the account of the tumult at Ephesus some indications how
anxious the Jewish population were to make it plain that they had no sympathy with the Apostie who was so obnoxious to the Gentiles. Here we have an express declaration made before those who knew all the circumstances that plots had been laid against Paul's life by the Jews. It did not fall in with St Luke's purpose to tell us of them, bat he manifestly knew about them, for he feels no difficulty in recording the Apostle's own mention of them here, nor has he a thought that his narrative will be held for other than true, though men may point out here an allusion to events of which he had madeno mention before. We cannot too often bear in mind that the book is not meant for a history of either one or other Apostle, bat as a record of how the course of the Gospel was guided according to Ohrist's injunction, 'beginning at Jerusalem' and ending when an Apostle had proclaimed Christ in the Imperial capital.
 thiv, how that I shrank not from declaring unto you anything that was profitable. For the form of the sentence, cf. verse 27 below. vimaorè $\lambda \omega$ is applied to the wrapping up of anything to keep it out of sight or to stow it away. For ezample, it is applied to the 'furling' of sails. Hence it has the metaphorical sense of 'cloaking' what ought to be spoken out. St Paul had never from any eause done this. What he means by tid $\sigma \nu \mu \phi \epsilon \rho \rho ⿻{ }^{2} \pi a$ we may gather from his own words,
 Which pointed men to the way of salvation would at times be couched in terms of rebuke and reproval, and would not always be pleasant to deliver, however necessary. From none of this hed the Apostle shrunk.
 publicly and from house to house. Here we are afforded another glimpse into the zealous character of St Paul's work. It was not only in the school of Tyrannus that he waited for and taught those who came to hear, but he also went about among the people, seeking to impress any who would listen.

21 Suapaptupopevos, testifying, ie. proclaiming to them their need of.


 $\lambda \in \gamma \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$.
 the spirit unto Jerusalem. In these words the Apostle refers to his own spirit, the constraint which in his own mind was laid upon him. Some therefore to make this plain would render 'in my spirit.' The verb implies that he felt there was no freeing himself from the impulse to go, but it has no such sense as that he already regards himself as a prisoner, that he will be seized and deprived of his liberty when he arrives at Jerusalem.
$\mu \mathrm{j}$ al8w's, not knowing. Hence we see that the Holy Ghost had not given to the Apostle more than a general sense that in all places he would be called on to suffer for Christ.
 unto me in every city. The Holy Ghost had called him to the work (xiii. 2) and moved the disciples (xxi. 4) and Agabus (xxi. 11) to warn him of the sufferings which were at hand. We may suppose too that such warnings came more frequently than St Luke has recorded them.
$\delta_{\text {eopal }}$ kal $\theta \lambda(\psi \in \mathrm{cs}$, bonds and affictions. The two nouns are combined
 probably 'to add mental grief to my bodily suffering in prison.' Such 'afflictions' are harder to bear than any 'bonds.'
 hold not my life of any account as dear unto myself. This is the best rendering possible of the text for which there is most support. But it is a very feeble expression, and unlike the words of St Paul. In a very clear paper on the verse Dr Field has shewn that there is probably some omission before 'dear unto myself' of the same character, though not exactly the same, as what is supplied in the A.V., and that the reading of $\mathbb{K}, B$, and C, which the R.V. has tried to give in English, arose after the words, of which he suggests the loss, had fallen away from some very early exemplar. The literal English of Dr Field's suggestion would be 'Neither make I account of anything, nor think my life dear unto myself.'
©s $\tau$ chetwoal, in order to complete, i.e. I leave everything else out of consideration, so as to finish my course. This is the solitary instance in N.T. of a final $\dot{\sim}$ followed by the infinitive. Cf. 3 Mace. i. 2,
 ктeípal aùtóv.
 race is common enough in St Paul's language (cf. xiii. 25). The Apostle signifies by his words that the race will last as long as life endures, and that he must not faint in the middle, whatever suffering may be in store.
kal tipl Slakovlav jiv Enaßov, and the ministry which I received. The Apostle refers to the commission which he received at his conversion. The work and the sufferings are both foretold to Ananias from the first (Acts ix. 15, 16), and St Paul speaks of this ministry or service by the same word as here in 1 Tim. i. 12, 'I thank Him that enabled me, even Christ Jesus our Lord, for that He counted me faithful, appointing me to His service' ( $\theta \in \mu \epsilon$ pos els $\delta$ takopiav).
 gospel of the grace of God. To bear witness to men of the good news that God is willing to be gracious. In the context of the passage just quoted (1 Tim. i. 14) St Paul shews how fit a person he was to bear such testimony. He had been a blasphemer, a persecutor and injarious, but had obtained mercy...and to him the grace of our Lord Jesus Christ abounded exceedingly.
 sure that the Apostle never again came to Ephesus. For we learn from Philemon 22 that, toward the close of his imprisonment at Rome, he had hopes and the intention of visiting Philemon, who was at Colosse, and we can hardly think that if he went to Colossw he would fail on the way to stay at Ephesus. Some have therefore been inclined to lay a great stress on the word máves in this clause, as though the Apostle only meant that they were sure some of them to be dead before he paid their city another visit. It seems better to take the words as the conviction of the Apostle's mind at the moment. He was impressed with the belief that he would never come back. We have seen, however, just above that the Spirit did not give him definite knowledge of what would befall him in every place. And the sense that he was to be seized and imprisoned might make him suffioiently alive to the chances of his martyrdom for Christ to warrant the words which he here uses.
 preaching the kingdom. Though speaking to the Ephesians only, the memory of the Apostle recalls those missionary visits throughout Proconsular Asia which we may feel sure that he made during his 'three years' residence at Ephesus.'
For the use of $\beta a \sigma \grave{\lambda} \epsilon \mathrm{la}$ alone as equivalent to $\dot{\eta} \beta a \sigma \pi \lambda e l a ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ \theta \epsilon o v ̂, ~ c f . ~$ Matth. iv. 23, ix. 35, \&c.
 testifies unto his hearers, but he also challenges them to confirm or refute what he says.
 LXX. Joshua xxii. 29; 1 Sam. xxvi. 21; Jerem. i. 18, do. Joseph. Ant. xifi. 2.3.
 blood of all men. St Paul looks upon himself as one like the watchmen of the house of Israel (Ezek xxxii. 8) to each of whom God says, if he warn not the wioked from his way, 'his blood will I require at thine hand.'
 í $\mu$ aptias.
 See above on verse 20.
By $\pi \hat{a} \sigma a \dot{\eta} \beta_{0} \beta_{\lambda \lambda \prime}$ тov̂ $\theta \epsilon 0 \hat{u}$ is meant the whole plan of salvation, what God offers and what he asks from men. This includes 'repentance and faith' (verse 20) as well as the 'grace and mercy' (verse 24).
 tion see on chap. ₹. 35, viii. 6. The Apostle now resigns into their hands a obarge which before had been his own, and the form of his langaage would remind them that the discharge of their duty after his example would be the means of saving both themselves and those over whom they were placed.
 them, as Christ had at first done to St Peter, the charge to feed both lambs and sheep. This must be in the name and with the word of the 'Good Shepherd ' Himself.
etroxotrovs, overseers. Above they are called $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \dot{v} \tau e \rho o s$ (verse 17), and here the R.V. renders 'bishops.' We have no information how these 'elders' had been chosen or appointed, bat we can see from this verse that there had been some solemn setting apart of the men for their office. The Charch, as in xiii. 2, had reoognised some indication that they were to be placed over the Church. By reminding them from whence their appointment came, St Paul would enforee on them the solemnity of their position. Though they be 'in the flock' they are not as others, more has been given unto them, and so more will be required. Cf. 'Teaching of the Twelve Apostles' § 15.
 Perhaps no text in the N. T. has been so much discussed as this. Many ancient authorities read efov̂ instead of cuplov, and this has been claimed as a direct testimony to the Divinity of our Lord. That doctrine does not stand or fall by this verse. The whole subject has been discossed fully by the late Dr Ezra Abbott of Harvard University who decides in favour of kupiou (see Bibliotheca Sacra for 1876). Westcott and Hort on the contrary think $\theta$ eou assuredly genuine. One difficulty which arises if $\theta$ toû be read is that from what follows there must be implied the use of some phrase like 'the blood of God' which is only found in the Epistles of Ignatius, and is unlike N. T. language. Some have found support for $\theta_{\epsilon 0 \hat{}}$ in the peculiar colloca-
 force is thought to lie in idiou thus placed, and that it must be taken in the sense of 'through the blood that was His own,' i.e. because it was His Son's. Another suggestion which would make all easy, is that after tô litov the word wiô fell out in very early times anterior to all our MSS. Lechmann, Tischendorf and Tregelles declare in favour of кıplov.
if $\pi$ теритой $\sigma a$ aro, which He purchased. The verb convays the idea of making anything peculiarly one's own.
 found here in N.T. In classical Greek it most frequently means 'arrival,' but not always. But as the person who arrives at one place must have departed from some other, it is only a change in the point of view. Here there is no doubt of its meaning. It does not refer to St Paul's death, but to his departure from Asia, with the thought that he should return no more.

גúkor Bapeis, grievous wolves. The Apostle seems first to refer to false teachers who should come in from without. He must have been familiar with the dangers to which the Ephesian Church was exposed, and we know from his Epistles how much harm had already been inflicted on the Christian Choreh by the Judaizers and Gnostics. Even when writing to so undisturbed a Church as that in Philippi, we
find the Apoatle giving warning against both kinds of error. And if we turn to those early parts of the Apocalypse in which the condition of the Churches of Asia is described, we can read of a crop of errors the sowers of which St Paul may have had in his mind as he spake at Miletus. 'Nicolaitans,' 'those who say they are Jews and are not, but are a synagogue of Satan,' 'those that hold the teaching of Balaam,' ' the woman Jezebel, which calleth herself a prophetess,' ${ }^{\text {all }}$ these could not have risen in a moment, but must have given indications of their existence long before they became so prominent as they were when St John wrote. He must have read the New Testament with little appreciation who speaks of the words here ascribed to St Paul as a 'prophecy after the event' made by the writer of the Acta in the second century. Cf. "Teaching of the Iwelve Apostles' \$16.
 gives an idea of the greater nearness of the apostasy which the Apostle predicts. Not some who may come of those to whom he speaks, but even out of the present existing Christian body. We know from St Paul's own experience that he learnt (and no doubt had learnt this long before he wrote to Timothy) how out of the professedly Christian body some would go back like Demas ( 2 Tim . iv. 10) through love of this world's good things, and some would err concerning the trath, like Hymenaus and Philetus, and that their word would eat like a aanker, and they would overthrow the faith of some. These are the speakers of perverse things, such as would twist even the Apostle's own words into a wrong sense.
 after them, i. e. to pervert the other members of the Christian body. It is not that these men will desire and endeavour to gain disciples, but they will do their best, after their own falling-away, to drag others likewise from the true faith. This is expressed also by the verb which implies the tearing away from that to which they are already attached, and this more literal translation of the verb expresses the labour and exertion which these false teachers will spend to achieve their object.

On the genitival infinitive roû $\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \sigma \pi \hat{q} y$ cf, iii 2 note, and for an exact parallel to the instance in this verse, see 2 Chron, xx, 23 à' $\sigma$ r $\eta$ -

31. 8.d ypךYopeite, therefore watch. And the sort of watching indicated is that unsleeping alertness which can never be taken by surprise.
$\mu \nu \eta \mu$ vevovtes к.т. $\lambda$. , remembering that by the space of three years. St Paul enforces watchfulness by appealing to his own esample. Be ye watehful, bearing in mind that I was so night and day while I laboured among you. The three years may be a speaking in round numbers, bat it cannot have been a much less time that St Paul spent in Ephesus. See notes on xix. 8, 10.
oủk èmavóá $\mu \eta \nu$ цетd̀ Sakpúwv vouもetâv к.т. $\lambda$., I ceased not to admonish every one with tears. We know from his appeal to the Corinthians (2 Cor. xi. 29) and from other places, how sympathetic St Paul
was in all that concerned his flock. 'Who is weak, and I am not weak? who is offended, and I burn not?' And if for weakness and offences, how much more in a city like Ephesus where idolatry was rampant everywhere! We need not confine the 'every one' to the presbyters; St Paul's labour was spent on the whole Ephesian Church.
32. кal тdi vîv тapaciөqual îpâs, and now I commend you. It is as if he said : I am to leave you, but I leave you to the care of One who will help you as He has helped me, and who will not leave you. $\dot{\delta} \lambda 6$ 子os $\tau \hat{y} \mathrm{~s} \chi \dot{d} \rho \iota \tau \mathrm{~s}$ aurov means the gracious promises of the Gospel, such as those which Christ gave to His disciples when He foretold the mission of the Comforter (John xvi. 7-12), and which the Christian preachers might repeat as His words to the converts who believed on His name.
 to the intervening explanatory clause. It is God who can build up His people and give them their heavenly inheritance.
tiv $\kappa \lambda_{\text {mpovoulav, the }}$ inheritance, that to which, by becoming sons of God through Christ, you are made heirs. The figure is taken from the apportionment of the promised land among the Israelites. The share of each of God's servants in the heavenly Canaan is to be regarded as defnitely as were the possessions of the chosen people in the earthly Canaan.
 More literally 'which have been sanctified.' But just as the Apostle uses 'saints' frequently in his Epistles to mean those who have been called to be such, so here his words do not indicate that those of whom he speaks have attained the perfection of holiness. When they reach their inheritance, then they will have been perfected in Christ.
 sisted. Hence Naaman brings 'changes of raiment' as well as money among the rewards which he expects to give for his cure ( 2 Kings v .5 ), and the value attached to changes of raiment may be noticed in many other parts of the Scripture history. Cf. Gen. xxiv. 53, xlv. 22 ; 2 Kings vii. 8, \&c. Cf. 'Teaching of the Twelve Apostles' § 13.
iparıбнم́s is frequent in the LXX. Cf. 1 Sam. xxvii. 9; I Kings

 three classes of Eastern riches exactly as in this verse.
34. aủrol yเvórкeтc, ye yourselves know. The working in company with Aquila and Priscilla, which the Apostle began in Corinth, was probably continued when they came together to Ephesua, and so the Apostle's trade and his steady pursuit of it would be well known to many of the listeners. It has been suggested that he was a partner in trade-matters with Philemon during this residence at Ephesus. Cf. Philemon 17.
tois oviotr $\mu$ लr' $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{ov}$, to them that were with me. We cannot determine under what circumstances the Apostie felt himself called upon to minister by his hand-labour to the support of his companions.

We may be sure however that the necessity was there, and that St Paul, working himself, did not countenance indolence in others. And when we read of Timothy's 'often infirmities' ( 1 Tim. v. 23) we may conjecture that there were those among the companions of St Paul who were less able to work with the hands than the Apostle himself.
ail Xeipes aůral, these hands. No doubt, he held them forth, and they bore marks that not only while at Ephesus, but since that time they had laboured for the means of living.


$\delta_{\text {th }}$ ofiros kotiontus, how that thus labouring, i.e. as I myself laboured and you beheld and knew. The verb implies 'wearying toil.' He had spared for no fatigue. He speaks of this toil (2 Cor. xi. 27) दу ко́тч кац $\mu о \chi \theta \varphi$.

 material or moral help? Grimm (s. v.) takes it for the poor, those who are in want from any cause, as those must have been who could not support themselves, and whose wants the Apostle supplied by his own labour. Yet this is a very rare sense, as he admits, for the verb to have, and 'feebleness' of faith and trust is much the more common meaning. And that sense suits well here. If among new converts large demands should be made for the support of those who minister, they who are weak in the faith as yet may be offended thereby, and becoming suspicious, regard the preacher's office as a source of temporal gain. An example like St Paul's would remove the scruples of such men, and when they became more grounded in the faith, these matters would trouble them no more. For the use of $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \theta \epsilon \mathrm{fins}$ and $\dot{\dot{a}} \sigma \theta \in \nu \epsilon \omega$ in the sense of moral, rather than physioal, weakness, cf. Job iv. 3, 4 ; Is. vii. 4; 1 Mace. xi. 49.
 appeals to these words as though the saying was well-known, and as we notice this, we cannot but wonder at the scanty number of the words which have been handed down as 'words of Jesus' beyond what we find in the Gospel. This is the only one in the New Testament, and from all the rest of the Christian literature we cannot gather more than a seore of sentences beside. See Westcott, Introd. to Study of the Gospels, pp. 428 seqq.
ötc aùtòs elinev, how He hinself said. The emphatic pronoun should not be overlooked.
 than to receive. In support of what has just been said about strengthening the feeble in faith, these words seem as readily applicable to that view of the Apostie's meaning, as to the sense of 'poverty.' What would be given in this special case would be spiritual strength and trust; what is referred to in $\lambda a \mu \beta d \nu \epsilon \nu$ is the temporal support of the preacher, which St Paul refrained from claiming. We cannot doebt
that he felt how mach more blessed it was to win one waverer to Christ than it would have been to be spared his toils at tent-making by the contributions of his converts.
36. Okls rd yóvata, having knelt down. The kneeling posture marks the special character and solemnity of the prayer. We find the Apostle doing the same in his parting from the brethren at Tyre (xxi. 5). On the usual castom of standing in prayer, cf. Mark xi. 25 and the account of the Pharisee and publican (Luke xviii. 11-13). It has often been noticed that the historian, who gives the speech with enusual fulness, does not venture to record the prayer.
87. кareф $\lambda_{0}$ vv aủróy, they kissed him. The verb expresses earnest and sorrowful salutations.
 the attraction of the relative of. i. 1 .

тذ apóacomov aúrov̂ etcopeî, to behold his face. The Apostle in verse 25 uses only jpde, the ordinary word. Here in $\theta$ ecopeì is expressed the earnest reverent gaze, with which we can fancy those who knew the Apostle and his work would look upon him. His presence filled not only the eye, but the mind, they contemplated the scenes which the sight of him would recall.
 to the ship. Cf. xy. 3, xxi. 5. They would not lose a word or a look until they were forced to do so. We gather from this verse that the harbour was at some distance from the town of Miletus. See above on verses 15 and 17.

## OHAPTER XXI.

Readings varying from the Text. recept.



8. oi $\pi \epsilon \rho \hat{l}$ ròp $\Pi$ aû̀op omitted with NABCE. Not represented in Fulg.
$\dot{\eta} \lambda \theta_{0 \mu \mu}$ for $\dot{\eta} \lambda \theta_{0} \nu$ with NACE. Vulg. 'venimus.'
10. $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} p$ omitted with NBCH .
 Vulg. 'alligans sibi pedes et manus.'

14. Tov̂ kuplov тò $\theta \hat{\lambda} \uparrow \eta \mu a$ with $\mathbb{N A B C E}$. Vulg. 'Domini voluntas.'
20. Bedv for kúptop with KABCEL. Fulg. 'Deum.,' ty $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ тois 'Iov\&alors with NBCE. Fuly. 'in Judæis.'
24. $\gamma$ vaigovtal for $\gamma \nu \omega \bar{\omega}$ t with stabCDE. Vulg. 'scient.'
 represented in Vulg.
 भク่ $\delta$ vvaptvou 8 \& aưтoû with NABDE.
36. kpágovtes with \$ABE. Vulg. 'clamans.'

## Ch. XXI. 1-6. Padl's Foyage froy Miletics, and his Stay in Tyre.

 from them and had set sail. The vessel in which they sailed from Troas to Patara seems to have been under the Apostle's control, so that they could stay wherever and as long as they pleased.

The verb im $\boldsymbol{i} \sigma \sigma \pi a \sigma \theta$ tivas expresses the great wrench of the separa-

 unto Cos. Cos is a small island, now called Stanchio, on the coast of Asia Minor, just at the entrance of the Archipelago, and in old times was famous for its wines and some light-woven fabrics. There was also in the island a temple of Aesculapius to which was attached a medical school.
 is the famous island at the south-west extremity of Asia Minor, off the coast of Caria and Lycia. The city of Rhodes and the island of which it is the capital were famous in the times of the Peloponnesian war. It was well supplied with timber fit for ship-building and hence became famous for its navy, and its position has caused the island to play a conspicuous part in Earopean history from that time onward. It was celebrated for the great Temple of the Sun, whose worship in the island is marked by the head of Apollo on the coinage. With this worship was connected the great statue known as the Colossus, which was meant as a figure of the sum, and was one of the wonders of the world. In the Roman times many privileges were granted to Rhodes by the Roman emperors, while in medimval history this was the last Christian city which resisted the advance of the Saracens.

Hárupa, Patara. This was a city on the coast of Lycia. It was devoted to the worship of Apollo, who is hence sometimes called by classical writers Patareus. The city was not far from the river Xanthus, and Patara was the port of the city of Xanthus. We can understand, therefore, why St Paul's voyage in the coasting vessel should end here, because at such a port he would be likely to find a larger vessel to carry him to Syria.
 unto Phoenicia. Phœenicia was the country on the Levant, north of Palestine. It contained the important maritime cities of Tyre and Sidon.
 Cyprus. On Cyprus, see notes on xiii. 4. The more usual construc-



els Xuplav, into Syria. This was the general name for the whole district lying along the Mediterranean from Cilicia down to Egypt.

кarji $\lambda \theta_{0} \mu \mathrm{v}$ eis Tưpov, we landed at Tyre. Tyre was one of the chief ports of Phoenicia, and a city of very great antiquity. It was built partly on the mainland and partly on an island, and is often mentioned both in Scripture and in profane literature. It is noticed as a strongly fortified city as early as Joshca xix. 29. We read of its fame in the time of Solomon in connexion with the building of the Temple; and Jozebel, the wife of Ahab, was the daughter of Ethbaal, called King of the Sidonians in Scripture, but in Josephus (Ant. vir. 13. 2) King of Tyre. The city was besieged by Shalmaneser and afterwards by Nebuchadnezzar, and was captured by Alexander the Great.

Christ went on one of His journeys from Galilee into the neighbourhood of Tyre, if not to the city itself, which was about 30 miles from Nazareth, and it must have been then in much the same condition as at this visit of St Paul.
 unlade her burden. And so in all probsbility the further voyage to Ptolemais was made in a different vessel, this one going no further. With regard to the exact meaning of this clanse, there is no need to suppose $\epsilon_{\kappa \epsilon i \sigma \epsilon}$ is the same as exei, though the English idiom may ask for 'there' in our rendering. The full ides of the words is, 'thither the ship was going and would there unlade \&c.' The reason for the
 that the ship was in the habit of sailing to Tyre with cargoes, Cf.

4. diveupóvtes 8 e tov̀s $\mu$ a月ךrás, and having found the disciples. This means the members of the Christian Church of Tyre, not some disciples who by chance happened to be at Tyre. That there was already a Christian congregation there is probable from the account of the spread of the Gospel given in xi. 19, and as brethren in Phcenicia are spoken of in xv . 3. If there were such anywhere in that country, they would presumably be in Tyre.

It was so much the costom for Jews to seek out their fellow Jews in whatever place they came to, that it would be natural in St Paul and his companions to inquire after the Christians in every city in the same way.

ทํ $\mu$ tpas ímrá, seven days. It appears that the Apostle, having finished nearly all his sea voyage, found that he could easily accomplish his journey to Jerusalem in time, and so he no longer hastened as he did when all the probable mishaps of a coasting voyage were before him.
 through the Spirit. The Apostle himself was urged by some inward prompting to go on to Jerusalem 'not knowing what might befall him.' The Spirit warns these disciples of the dangers which would come upon him. We need not judge that these things are contrary one to the other. The Apostle knew that bonds and afflictions were to be his lot everywhere, and though the Spirit showed to his friends that he would suffer, yet the impulse of the same Spirit urged him forward, beoause it was God's will that he should suffer thus in the. cause and for the greater furtherance of the Gospel.
$\mu \eta$ lmıßaivelv els' ${ }^{\prime}$ Isporáduna, that he should not set foot in Jerusalem. After verbs of commanding, urging, directing \&c. when the command is in the negative form $\mu \grave{\eta}$ is used, because in the direct sentence this would be the particle, as here $\mu \dot{\eta}$ e $\pi / \beta$ acve.
 accomplished those days. Literally, 'when it came to pass that we had \&c.' For the construction in the Greek cf. above verse 1.

Tds $\eta \mu$ epas means, of course, the seven days previously mentioned. The verb $\epsilon \xi a \rho \pi i \zeta \omega$ is vary unusual in this sense, though the Vulgate explains it so (expletis diebus) and Chrysostom gave it that meaning ( $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \bar{\sigma} \alpha_{\imath}$ ), so we may accept it. Some, keeping to a more common use of it, 'to fit out,' have proposed to understand the word 'ship' as the object of it, and to render 'when we had refitted (or fitted the ship with stores) during those days.'
 escorted us, with wives and children, i.e. with their wives and children. The whole Christian commanity attended the Apostle to the shore. The mention of families here confirms what was said on verse 4 about 'the disciples.' They were the Church of Tyre.
 in a local signification with many phrases which signify the point to which the movement or action is continued.
kal 0'fures $\tau \mathbf{d}$ үóvata к.т. $\lambda$. , and kneeling down on the beach. On the action cf. xx .36 and note there.
 other farewell. The verb ánaблáfoual is exceedingly rare. It occurs nowhere else in N.T. or LXX.
 we went on board the ship, but they returned home again. There is nothing in the Greek to tell us whether the ship was the same in which they had come to Tyre, or not.

## 7-14. Pati's Journey to Chefarea, and fis Stay there.

т. Td̀v $\pi$ houv $\delta$ Lavíravtes, when we had finished the voyage. The distance was but short, and would be accomplished in a day.
 the name which was given during Macedonian and Roman rule to the
city anciently called Accho (Judges i. 31), and known in modern history as St Jean d'Acre or often simply Acre. In the earliest times it was the most important town on that portion of the coast, but at the beginning of the Christian era was far aurpassed by Cosarea, which was the residence of Herod and of the Roman governor.
 It is olear then that there was a Christian society in Ptolemais also. As the city lay on the great high-road by the coast it was certain to be visited by some of the earlier preachers, when the disciples were dispersed from Jerusalem after the death of Stephen.
 morrow having departed we came to Casarea. This part of the journey was made by land, though it could have been made by sea. But the road between the two places was one of the best.
 evangelist. Philip is named next after Stephen in the narrative (vi. 5) of the choosing of the seven, and though no such prominent exhibition of his zeal is narrated as of Stephen, yet we are told that he went away from Jerusalem and was the first to carry the Gospel to the Samaritans (Acts viii. 5). He also was directed by the angel of the Lord to go and baptize the Ethiopian eunuch (viii. 26-38), thus being doubly an ambassador to the Gentiles, and earning his title of 'Evangelist.' He preached afterwards at Azotus, and from the chapter before us we may conclude that he had made his home at Cessarea. Such a situation, the meeting-place of Gentiles with Jews, was the proper scene for such a missionary to labour in, and such a labourer would rejoice greatly to welcome to his house the great apostle who had gone forth once and again unto the Gentiles and with such mighty blessing on his work.
 ahosen (Acts vi.) to relieve the Apostles from the duty of 'serving tables.'
 had four daughters, virgins, which did prophesy. The family of the Evangelist were walking in their father's steps. These daughters, instead of resting at home, took upon them the hard duty of publishing the message of the Gospel. The English word 'prophesy' has come to have, since about the beginning of the seventeenth centary, only the one sense of 'to predict what is yet to come.' In the time of Queen Elizabeth 'prophesyings' meant 'preachings,' and Jeremy Taylor's famous work on the 'Liberty of Prophesying' was written to uphold the freedom of preaching. These women were, in their degree, Evangelists also.
 days. In this phrase $\pi$ גelows loses its comparative sense, and means only 'several,' 'some,' 'many.' It is frequent in the LXX. Cf.



19；Josh．xxiii 1，xxiv．7，\＆e．With the omission of $\dot{\eta} \mu \omega \omega^{\nu}$ here， leaving the genitive absolute withont a subject，cf．Luke xii．36，eגAóv－ ros kal kpoúaruros where aútoô is similarly omitted．
 bably the same who（xi．28）foretold the coming famine．The pro－ phets mentioned on that occasion had also come up from Jerusalem． And the name Agabus is not one of common occurrence．
 coming．．．he bound his own feet and hands．The adoption by Agabps of this fgurative action makes it almost certain that the man was a Jew．Similar actions are common in the Old Testament prophets． Thus Isaiah（xx．3）walke naked and barefoot．Jeremiah（xiii．5） hides his girdle by the river Euphrates，and（xix．10，11）breaks the potter＇s vessel in the Valley of Hinnom ；Ezekiel（iv．1－3）draws on a tile a picture of the siege of Jerusalem，and（v．1－4）cats of his hair and barns and destroys it as God commanded．So too Zedekiah the son of Chenaanah made horns of iron（1 Kings xxii．11）．With this act of Agabus may be compared our Lord＇s words，to St Peter（John xxi．18）．
The girdle was that band with which the loose Oriental robe was drawn together at the waist．It was of considerable size，and served the purposes of a pocket，the money being carried in it．To judge from the verb（apas）employed in describing the prophet＇s action，it seems that St Paul had laid aside his girdle and that it was taken up by Agabus from the place where it lay．
 the better note the Apostle＇s zeal for carrying out the Lord＇s will，we are once more told how the Holy Ghost made known to him through others that he was about to be made a prisoner．Still we see him go forward unmoved，because though others might know that he was to suffer，and might in their affection strive to hold him back，he was convinced that sueh suffering was the Lord＇s way for him．Therefore he went on．
 Luke and the rest who were fellow－travellers with St Panl）and the Christian congregation of Cossarea．The act of Agabus was in all probability done with some pablicity；perhaps in some meeting where St Paul had laid aside his girdle for greater freedom while he spoke．
 do ye，weeping and breaking my heart！i．e．what are you seeking to effect thereby？
 of any one．The Apostle does not mean＇break my heart＇in the or－ dinary sense of adding to his load of sorrow so as to overpower him． The deterring from his journey by weakening his determination is what his words indicate．
tү⿳亠丷⿵冂⿱八乂，үà к．т．$\lambda .$, for I，\＆c．The pronoun stands emphatically，though
we cannot express its force in English. St Paul had long ago counted the cost of Christ's service, and had found the sufferings of the present time not worthy to be compared with the futare glory.
dmodavet̀ els 'Iepowant $\mid \mu$, to die at Jerusalem. For eis following a verb indicating rest, but implying previous motion, cf. Acts viii. 40,

 They gathered from the Apostle's language that he had a higher leading than theirs in what he was doing, and feeling that Christ's guidance was better than any other, they quieted their minds with the thought that the work was 'for the name of the Lord Jesas,' who would strengthen His servant to do His will.

## 15, 16. The Joubney to Jerusajem.

15. kmokevarápevol, having made ready our baggage. The verb is used now and then in the LXX. of making ready the lamps \&e. in the house of the Lord. In classical Greek it is common enough, but only occurs here in N.T.
16. $\sigma v v \eta \hat{\lambda} \lambda \frac{1}{} 8 \mathrm{E} \mathrm{kal} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu a \theta \eta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$, and there went with us also some of the disciples. The genitive without government in this fashion is rare, and the more uscal thing is to find $\varepsilon \kappa$, or some other preposition to
 then of His disciples asid. Somewhat like the construction in this verse is Isaeus, vir. 5, $\bar{d}$ Ө pá $\sigma$ v
 with an active verb like $\sigma v m \bar{\eta} \lambda \theta_{0}$.
dird Kaurapelas, from Casarea. The Evangelist had formed a Charch where he had settled, and the congregation were, like their teacher, concerned at St Paul's danger, and so some went with him to Jerusalem. Perhaps the nucleus of the Church may be dated from the baptism of Cornelius, and Philip settling in Cæsarea carried on what had been begun by St Peter.
 bringing with them one Mnason of Cyprus, an early disciple, with whom we should lodge. The construction is not easy to settle. The rendering just given takes $\pi a \rho^{\prime} \dot{\Psi} \xi \in v \sigma \theta \hat{\omega} \mu c y$ as an inserted clause interfering with the regular government, which would be äyovtes Mudaovd ruva de. The antecedent however is made to correspond in case with the intruded relative. This appears simplest, but others suppose
 ' leading us to the honse of Mnason' \&c. It seems more natural to suppose that for some reason or other Mnason was at this time at Cæsarea, and that the arrangement by which the Apostle's party became his guests was made with him there, than to consider that the disciples in Cæssares, knowing Mnason's hospitality and that he could receive such guests, agreed to carry them thither.

On Mnason's reception of St Paul Carysostom reflects thus: Maîhow





Mnason belonged to Cyprus, but had now his home in Jerusalem. Just as Barnabas and Mary, the mother of John Mark, were also Gypriotes, but had fixed their home in the holy City. Mnason is called doxaios $\mu a \theta$ gitivs as having become a Christian in the beginning of the Gospel preaching, soon after the day of Penteoost. At the time of any of the great feasts it was no unnecessary precaution to settle on a lodging beforehand, for Jerusalem was certain to be full of people, and by this arrangement made in Cæsarea, the whole party whs saved the trouble of searching for quarters when they arrived. To find a house in which the Apostle and those with him might all be received would probably have been attended with mach difficulty. To be the owner of such a house Mnason must have been one of the wealthier members of the congregation. His name is Greek, and he was most likely one of the Hellenists, or, if he were a Jew, Mnason was perhaps substituted for some Jewish name, e.g. Manasseh.

## 17-36. Arbifal at Jerdsalem. Pade's Reception by the Cedech and by the People.

 gladly. The brethren, whose joy is here spoken of, would be those Christians who first learnt of the arrival of Paul at Mnason's house. It is not the pablic reception which is here intended, for however welcome Paul may have been to individuals, the heads of the Church were manifestly apprehensive of trouble which might arise from his presence in Jerusalem.
 day following Paul went in with us unto James. This was the Church's reception of the returned missionaries. Notice of their arrival would soon be given, and the authorities who were at the time resident in Jerusalem were gathered together. There was not any Apostle there or St Luke would hardly have failed to mention the fact, as he was one of those present. Paul took with him to this interview all who had shared in his labours, that their work as well as his own might receive the recognition of the mother Church of Christ. The James here mentioned is the same who appears recognised as the head of the congregation in Jerusalem (xii. 17, xv. 18). He was most probably one of our Lord's brethren. See note on xii. 17.
 These men, with James, formed the governing body of the Church, and were the persons to whom the Apostle would naturally desire to give an account of his labours. In the proceedings which follow, the narrative does not, as in the council at Jerusalem, represent James as taking the lead, or being spokesman; he is only mentioned as the person to whom the missionaries specially went. The advice given to St Paul is couched in the plural number, as if the elders had jointly tendered it.
19. кal á $\sigma \pi d \sigma$ dpevos nủтcís, and having saluted them. dandjouat is used of the greetings both at parting and arrival. For the latter,
 see above, xxi, 1 . Oriental greetings are of a much more formal character than is common in Western countries.
 Such a narrative must have consumed much time, though St Luke, having given us before a sketch of St Paul's work, omits here any epeech of the Apostle.

For the attraction of the relative into the case of its antecedent see note on i. 1. Here however the antecedent roúter is not expressed.
 the Gentiles by his ministry. We cannot doubt, from what remains to us of St Paul's writings, that this was the tone of all that he would say. God had been pleased to use him, and for His own glory had made Paul's weakness effective.
20. E8bgajov tody $\theta$ ebv, they glorified God. They took up the strain of thanksgiving which had run through all the Apostle's story. Nothing could show more clearly than such a result how little of himself, and how mach of God, there had been in St Paul's narrative.
cintiv rt autû, and they said unto him. Their anriety makes itself apparent at once, and we come here face to face with what must have been one of the greatest difficulties for the early Christians. Before Jerusalem was destroyed there must ever have been at that centre a party zealous for the Law, with whom labour among the Gentiles would find small favour.
 imply that there had already been some opportanity for the Apostle to behold and estimate the character of a Christian gathering in Jerusalem. At this feast of Pentecost the Christians would have as much interest in a commemorative assembly as the Jews.
mórau $\mu \nu p u$ ífs, how many thousands. Literally 'myriads.' Bat the word is used indefinitely of a large number, just like our 'thousand.'
clolv èv тoîs 'Iovסafors tûv $\pi \in \pi เ \sigma \tau \in u x o ́ T \omega v$, there are among the Jews of them which have believed. These were persons who, as was not unlikely to be often the case, accepted Christianity as the supplement of Judaism, but made no break with their old faith, of the observances of which their life-long training had made them tenacious. To such men, as Christianity rested on the Old Testament Scripture, there would seem little need to make a rent between their old life and the new.
 for the Lave, i.e. rigorous maintainers of all the ceremonial of the Mosaic code. Z Z $\eta$ horal was the name of a most rigid sect among the Jews, begun in the times of the Maccabees. It is used in a bad sense, 'Teaching of the Twelve Apostles' $\$ 3$.
 ing thee. karn $\epsilon \omega$ is a very significant verb. It is the root of our English 'catechize.' It implies, therefore, that the process of educating public opinion in Jerusalem about St Paul had been a diligent basiness. The Pharisaic party had taught the lesson persistently till their hearers were fully trained in it. We can hence understand the great hostility which the Apostle experienced, and his strong language about these Judaizers. They must have had their partizans at work in preparation for his visit, and have poisoned men's minds against him.
 that are among the Gentiles to forsake Moses. The calumniators made use of the Apostle's earnest words to Gentile converts, that they should not accept Judaism first as a door to Christianity, to bring a charge that, to Jews also, he spake of the Law as no longer to be regarded. We can see from what we know of his words and actions how false this was, but at such a time and amid such a populace the charge would rouse great animosity, and have no chance of being refuted.
imooracla is found i Macc. ii. 15, of those who were being compelled to forsake the Law and the ordinances and to sacrifice unto idols.

 their children. Circumcision had so long been the mark of the Jew, and the expression 'uncircumcised' meant something so abhorrent to his mind, that we cannot wonder that this is put in the forefront of the charge. For the sense of contempt and abomination in the name 'uncircumcised,' of. 1 Sam. xvii. 26 ; Ezek. xxviii. 10, xxxii. 29, 30.
 toms are the ceremonial laws of the Jews. The recurrence of words= 'to walk after' gives quite an Old Testament ring to the language of these speeches.
22. TCouv toru; what is it therefore? i.e. How stands the matter? A question used as introductory to the consideration of what is best to be done.
 These words are accepted by Lachmann and Tischendorf, but omitted by Tregelles, and also in the Rev. Vers. They appear to suit very badly with the sense. St Paul had just been addressing the conspicuous members of the Church at Jerusalem. They recommend to him a cortain ourse by which certain Judao-Christians might learn in their visits to the Temple that the Apostle against whom such evil reports had been circulated was taking part in the observance of the legal customs. In all this there was nothing done with special reference to a crowd, nor do we read of the gathering of any orowd till the seven days of the vow were nearly ended, and then it was the Jews of Asia who stirred up the multitude.
23. roùto oiv rolioov, do therefore this. They advise St Paul to take a part in the ceremonies of a Nazirite vow. He could not go
through the whole course of the observance, for these men had already for sometime had the vow upon them, but it was permitted among the Jews, to anyone who wished, to join in the final purification ceremonies of this vow; and this was the more readily permitted, if the person wishing to take a share only in this concluding portion bore the charges of the person or persons to whom he joined himself. It is significant of the intense clinging to the older ceremonial in the Jewish Church that among the Christian congregation there weremen found who had taken this vow apon them. If the authorities knew of St Paul's previous observanee of a like vow (xviii. 18) they would have no scruple in urging him to take part in a similar service again. For an account of the Nazirite's vow, see Numbers vi. 1-21. It is not there specified how long the observance of the vow lasted, and the time may have varied in different cases, but the final ceremonies here appear to have lasted seven days.
 thyself with them, i, e. make thyself one of their company, and observe all the ordinances which they observe with regard to purfication, and avoiding what is unclean.
kal סamávjrov ein' aunoîs, and be at charges for them. Josephas (Ant. xix. 6. 1) tells how Herod Agrippa took upon him the expenses
 $J u d$. .ा. 15. 1, from which passage it appears that then the whole time of a Nazirite's vow. was thirty days. This latter passage relates to a vow made by Berenice.
 use of the futare indicative after tpa is found in several places in N. T. Whether it ocears in classical Greek is very doubtful; though ämes is found with this construction.

The shaving of the head took place at the conclusion of the vow, and when the viotims were offered, the hair was burnt in the fire which was under the sacrifice of the peace-offering. The oharges which had to be borne by St Paul would be the cost of the rictims and other things connected with the sacrifice.
kal үvш́øourat $\pi$ ávtes, and all shall know, i. e. learning from what they actually behold.

капи́X $\eta$ val, they have been informed. See above on verse 21 for the force of the word. They hed been taught this calumny about St Paul as if it were a lesson to be learnt.
oùSfv $\dot{\text { étriv, are nothing, i. e. have no truth in them. Cf. xxp. } 11 .}$
oraweî̀s kal aúròs фu入áनowv тdेv vópov, thou thyself also walkest orderly keeping the Law. $\sigma \tau o l \in \epsilon \omega$ (as its derivation from $\sigma \tau 0<x=0=\mathrm{a}$ row, would intimate) is always used of going by a rule or example, following a pattern. What the pattern here is is expressed in the participial clause. Of the value which the Jew attached to such following,
 He may not understand at first, but obedience will lead him to a mastery of all that the Law means.
 which belteve. The elders, while urging on Paul the course they have described in consideration of Jewish prejudices, are yet careful to distinguish from this the liberty of the Gentiles, and to confirm that liberty. They make it plain to the Apostle that they are of the same mind as when the oonncil was held (Acts xy.). They refer now to the decisions then arrived at.
 When the decrees were first published (Acts xp. 23). $\boldsymbol{\epsilon \pi} \pi \sigma \tau \in \lambda \lambda \omega$ is used there (Iv. 20) just as here. The proceedings of the synod are referred to in their technical language.

кp(vavets, giving judgment. In this word also there is a reference to the language of xv. 19 where James says $\epsilon \boldsymbol{\gamma} \dot{\omega} \mathrm{k} \rho / \nu \omega$. And although James is not specially mentioned here as the speaker, there must have been one who acted as the mouthpiece of the presbytery, and none was more likely to do so than he.
 things sacrificed to idols, and from blood, and from what is strangled and from fornication. On these prohibitions and the reasons for them see notes on $\mathbf{x v}$. 20.
 the men. This consent of Paul to the advice of James and the elders has been taken by some for a contradiction of the worda and character of the Apostle as represented in his own writings. But he has testified of himself (1 Cor. ix. 19-23) that for the Gospel's sake he was made all things to all men, unto the Jews becoming as a Jew that be might gain the Jews, and for the same end, to them that are without law, as himself without law. And these brethren of the Church of Jerusalem to whom St Panl joined himself were Christians, and therefore were not clinging to legal observances as of merit towards salvation, but as ordinances which were of divine origin, and which education had made them careful to observe. The same spirit had actuated the Apostle to manifest by an outward act his thankfulness for some deliverance when, on a former occasion, he took this vow on himself without the suggestion of others (xviii. 18). In the Christian services of the earliest days there was very little outlet for the expression by action of any religious emotion, and we cannot wonder that a people whose worship for a long time had been mainly in external observance should cling still to such outward acts, though they had grown to estimate them as of no saving virtue in themselves. With reference to the supposed contradiction in the two pictures of St Panl as given by St Luke and by himself, we need only compare his language about Judaizers in the Epistle to the Galatians with what he says of the preaching of the Gospel at Rome by similar adversaries, when he was writing to the Philippians, to see that the Apostle in what he said and did had ever an ege to the circumstances. To the Galatians he speaks in the strongest terms against the Judaizers because their influence was to draw away the Christians in Galatia
from the simple Gospel as offered by him in Christ's name to the Gentiles, and to make them sabstitute for it the observance of the lsw of Moses as a necessary door to Christianity. He has no words strong enough to express his horror of such teachers in such a place. But the same Panl concerning Rome, the condition of whose people may be learnt by a perusal of the first chapter of his letter to that Church, says (Phil. i. 15-18), 'Some preach Christ even of envy and strife, supposing to add affliction to my bonds. Notwithstanding every way, whether in pretence or in truth, Christ is preached, and I therein do rejoice, yea, and will rejoice.' Assuredly there is as much of so-called contradiction between Paul as described in different places by himself, as between his own description and what St Luke has left us of his history. Contradiction it is not, but only such ooncession as might be expected from one strong in the faith as St Paul was when he was dealing, as he was called upon to deal, with two classes of men who could never be brought to the aame standpoint. To observe the ceremonial law was not needful for the Gentiles, therefore the Apostle decried its observance and opposed those who would have enforced it. The ceremonial law was abolished for the Jew also in Christ, but it had a divine warrant for those who had been trained in it from their youth up, therefore all that the Apostle here desired was that their true value only should be get on externals. He felt that time would develop Christian worship to fill the place which the Temple Service for a long time must hold among the Christians of Jerusalem.
 with them, he entered into the Temple. The regulation was that the Nazirite should avoid all persons and things that would canse ceremonial defilement, and that this might be more thoroughly accomplished the closing days of the vow appear, at this time, to have been passed within the Temple precincts. This, of course, must have been a later arrangement than any which is spoken of in the institution of the vow (Numb. vi.).

On the Apostle's action at this time Chrysostom remarks: $\delta \rho a \tau d y$


 батарấдat.
 fulfilment of the days of purification. The meaning is that St Paul gave notice to the proper officials of the Temple that the completion of the vow would be at a certain time. It would be needful for him to do this, as otherwise they would have expected him to keep the fall number of days which the others observed. After his explanation that he was only a sharer for a time in the vow of his companions, it would be understood that his days of purification shoold terminate when theirs did.
 every one of them. Ews of depends on elajec, ' he entered in...(to stay) till the offering, de.' The words are not a part of St Paul's notice to
the priests, but of St Lake's history. The Apostle performed these observances, and intended to continue as a Nazirite till the whole ceremonial for all of them wes ended.
 pear to have been the period devoted to the more secluded residence in the Temple. For $\sigma v y \tau \in \lambda \in \tilde{\sigma} \theta a \mathrm{l}$, of the completion of a portion of


 that a portion of the visitors to Jerusalem had known the Apostle in his missionary labours, and may have come after him, in their enmity, to damage his repotation by calumnious reports of his teaching, reports which had as much ground in trath as the story about Trophimus from which the tumult arose at this time in Jerwsalem.
 Jews were coming up to the Temple for their worship, and may even have been of the company in the ship by which the Apostle and his companions came from Patara. They certainly had known, or found out, that Trophimus was an Ephesian and a Gentile. If they had seen the Apostle in familiar converse with him, this would be enough to rouse their indignation, especially as Paul and his companion would probably be living together in the same house and at the same board (cf. Acts xi. 3).
28. Fon $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\text {eir }} \mathrm{\epsilon}$, Help. The cry is as if an outrage had been committed, and they, the strangers visiting Jerusalem, were the persons who could afford the beat testimony to what had been done. For had they not seen and heard Paul in Ephesus and elsewhere?
 teacheth all men everywhere against the people. By their language they would intimate that he was bringing the whole nation into contempt. The Jews no doubt were treated with contempt among the Gentiles, and to hear that one of their own nation had helped this on would ronse them as much as anything could.
кal тô̂ vónou кal тov̂ тótrov тov́tov, and [against] the Law and this place. How great a change has come over the Apostle since the day when he joined with those who charged Stephen (oh. vi. 13) with speaking blasphemous words against this holy place (the Temple) and the Law. Now a like multitude brings similar charges against him.
 brought Greeks also into the Temple. On the occurrence of $\tau \in$ kal in the same clause, ef. on xix. 27. There is no doubt a special emphasis intended to be given to "E $\lambda \lambda$ خyas in this clause which may explain St Luke's irregular language.

There was in the Temple a 'court of the Gentiles,' but the aceusation against St Paul was that, during his own sojourn in the sacred precincte, he had brought his Gentile companions into places which were forbidden to them. How unscrupulous their charge was is indi-
cated by the plural 'Greeks,' whereas the only person to whom such a tarm could be applied was Trophimus.
кal кeкolvokev к.т. $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$., and hath defiled this holy place. They themselves as Jews were in the court allotted to their nation, which was deemed more sacred than that of the Gentiles. The Greek word кєкol $\nu \omega \kappa \in \nu$ is literally 'hath made common,' and carries the thought baok to St Peter's vision, where the Gentiles were figured by the beasts which the Apostle deemed 'common (кocyá) or unclean' (Aots x. 14).
29. Tро́фцоу то̀v 'EфEбьov, Trophimus the Ephesian. Hence we see that Trophimus had come with the Apostle not only 'as far as Asia' (see note on xx. 4), but all the way to Jerusalem. His name bespeaks the man a Greek, and, from the anger of these Asiatic Jews, he was doubtless a convert to Christianity without having been a proselyte of Judaism. It is noticeable that so ready were these men to find a cause for attacking St Paul, that they began it on a mere thought, 'They supposed Paul had brought him into the Temple.'

 crowding around Judith es she oame into the camp of Holophernes.

What occurred is a proof that the words of James and the elders were true. The whole Jewish community had been 'catechized' on the doings of St Paul among the Gentiles. The least spark set the whole train on fire.
 and dragged him. Their design was probably to get him out of the Temple precincts before they proceeded to further violence. It is clear that all the ceremonies of the Apostle's vow were not yet accomplished, and had they not laid violent hands on him he might have fled to the altar for safety. That such a murder as they contemplated was possible in Jerusalem at this period we have evidence in the case of Stephen.
in $\lambda$ el $\sigma$ mpar ai 0 úpal, the doors were shut. We need not suppose that any of the Levites, the gatekeepers of the Temple, were of the sume mind with the rioters. Their action in closing the gates was only to prevent any profanation of the building by the uproar which they saw to be beginning.
 him.

For the omission of the pronoun, which is not rare with the genitive absolute of the third person, see on verse 10 above and cf. I Chron.
 тантократьр.

 the mob was clearly, now that they had the Apostle in their power, to beat him to death in the crowd, and thus avoid a charge of murder against any individual.
 captain of the band. The chief military officer of the Romans in Jerusalem was stationed in the tower of Antonia, which was situate on the N.W. of the Temple on the hill Acra. This tower had been built by Herod, and was so close to the scene of the tumult that news would be brought at once. The military officer (probably a tribune) is called $\chi^{2}$ Mapxos, that is, officer over a thousand men. On the word $\sigma \pi \varepsilon i \rho a$ for a Roman cohort, or troop of soldiers, cf. x. 1. The verb $\dot{d} \varphi \beta_{\eta}$ 'came up to' shews that the writer was familiar with the locality and had the whole scene in his mind. On the Tower of Antonia, see Josephus, Vita, 5.

фúots is used in classical Greek for a formal accusation laid before a law court. It is only found once in the LXX. where $\phi \dot{\alpha} \sigma_{c s} \theta_{e o v}$ is the order from God given for the punishment of an offender, Susanna 55. The name of the xillapxos is from the farther history (xxiii. 26) found to have been claudius Lysias, but nothing is known of him beyond what we read in the Acts.
ourxíyvetat, was in confusion. Cf, the oirzuots at Ephesus, xix. 29. At the time of the feast religions party feeling was sure to run very high, and the multitudes of strangers visiting the city would think to shew their zeal for the Temple and the Law by their eagerness to avenge any supposed profanation.
32. бтрать́́таs kal ékarovtópxas, soldiers and centurions. Clearly the $\chi$ iNap रos had charge of a considerable troop, which might perhaps just at the feast be augmented in anticipation that the incourse of so many foreigners might lead to a disturbance.
 height above the Temple, so the verb is very correct.
 mob probably knew that Roman law would do justice, and that if the Apostle were found by the chief captain to have been wrongfully wreated they would be brought to an account.
33. kтe入ápero airov̂, laid hold on him. The verb implies a formal arrest. The chief captain did not come with a view to relieve St Paul, but to find out what was the matter, and seeing the Apostle in the hands of the mob, himself arrested him, that he might not be killed without a hearing.
d $\lambda$ ưGert $\delta$ vol, with two chains, cf. xii. 6. Evidently, as appears from his language afterwards (verse 38), the रinlapरos regarded St Paul as some desperste criminal. He would have thought little of the matter, had it seemed merely a question about Jewish law (see exiii. 29).
kal invedívero, and inquired. From those who appeared most prominent in the crowd.
 The optative mood in the first half of the question shews that this
was a question about the answer to which there might be ancertainty. The indicative in the latter half proclaims the conviction of the $\chi_{\text {inapacos. He was quite sure some wrong had been done. }}^{\text {dit }}$
 some another. $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \pi \iota \phi \omega^{\mu} \dot{\epsilon} \omega$ is the verb which St Lake gives for the din of the maltitude which shouted against Jesus (Luke xxiii. 21), 'Cracify Him'; also for the adulatory shouting in honour of Herod Agrippa (Acts zii. 22). No other New Testament writer uses the word. It is twice found in the LXX. (1 Esd. ix. 47; 2 Macc. i. 23), both times of loud responses in prayer.

The ohief captain appears to have made an effort to learn what was laid to the charge of the Apostle.
SLd rdv Oópußov, because of the uproar. Probably, as at Ephesus (xiz. 32), a large part of the shouters hardly knew themselves why the clamour was raised.
 signifies 'an encampment,' but was employed to designate the barracks which the Romans had in the Tower of Antonia. The same word is rendered 'army' in Heb. xi. 34. Cf. also LXX. 1 Sam. iv. 5, 6, 7.
36. Imi toùs evaßa日poús, upon the stairs. The noun is common in the LXX. (cf. 1 Kinge x. 19, 20, \&o.) but not in classical Greek. It ocerars Herod. II. 125.

The stairs mentioned here are the fight of steps leading from the Temple area up to the tower where the soldiers were stationed. They were not covered in, for St Pand is able to address the multitude while standing on them (verse 40).

Sud rinv $\beta$ lav tov̂ bx X 人ov, by reason of the violence of the crowd. The people pressed on St Paul with all the more fury because they saw that he was now to be taken out of their hands. Hence it oame to pass, that some of the soldiers were obliged, in order to keep him safe, to lift him from his feet and carry him up till he was out of reach, their comrades meanwhile keeping back the people from the foot of the stairs.
36. Td $\pi \lambda \hat{\eta}$ Oos...kpágovres, the multitude...crying. The plaral masculine participle is used, because the notion of $\pi \lambda \hat{j} \theta_{0}$ is plaral.
atpe duntóv, away with him. The same cry which (Lake xxiii. 18) was used by the Jewr before Pilate in reference to Jesus.

## 37-40. Patl ages leave to address the Crowd.

37. Manav ts clodyevoai, and when he was about to be brought. This must have been when a place on the stairs had been reached where Paul was safe out of reach of the mob, and needed no longer to be borne up by the soldiers.
 I say something to thee ?' On el as a mere mark of interrogation, of. on i. 6 .
 evidently come down with a preconceived notion who the offender was about whom the disturbance had arisen. And from some source or other he appears to have known that the Egyptian, whom he supposed St Panl to be, could not speak Greek.
38. ous äpa $\sigma \mathbf{i}$ et, thou art not then (as I supposed thee to be). Probably St Paul had addressed him in Greek already.
\& Alyúntros, the Egyptian. The person to whom allusion is here made was a sufficiently formidable character, if we only reckon his followers at foar thousand desperadoes. Josephus (Ant. xx. 8. 6; Bell. J. II. 13. 5) tells how he was one of many impostors of the time, and that when Felix was governor he came to Jerusalem, gave himself out as a prophet, gathered the people to the Mount of Olives in number about 30,000 , telling them that at his word the walls of Jerusalem would fall down, and they could then march into the city. Felix with the Roman soldiers went out against him. The impostor and a part of his adherents fled, but a very large number were killed and others taken prisoners. The narrative of Josephus does not accord with the account of St Luke, but if the former be correct, we may well suppose that the numbers and the occasion spoken of by the chief captain relate to an event anterior to that great gathering on the Mount of Olives. The fame of the impostor may have grown; indeed, must have done so before he conld collect the number of adherents of which Josephus speaks.
 dvactardw is found, beside here, in Acts xvii. 6; Gal. v. 12, and is always active. So divjpas must be governed by both these verbs, and not, as in A.V., by the latter only.

Tûy $\sigma$ tкapluv, of the assassins. ouxdptot is a word derived from the Latin sica=a dagger, and imported into Greek. Josephas (B. J. ir. 13.3) in an account of the lawless bands which infested Judea in these times, says (after relating how a notorious robber named Eleazar had been taken with his followers and sent in chains to Rome), 'But when the conntry was thus cleared there sprang up another kind of plunderers in Jerusalem aalled Sicarii. They kill men by daylight in the midst of the city. Particularly at the feasts they mix with the crowd, carrying small daggers hid under their clothes. With these they wound their adversaries, and when they have fallen the murderers mix with the crowd and join in the outcry against the crime. Thus they passed unsuspected for a long time. One of their earliest victims was Jonathan the high priest.' For further notices of the Siearii cf. Josephus B. J. ㅍ. 17. 6 and Ant. xx. 8. 10.
 Jew of Tarsus in Cilicia. See vi. 9 end notes.
 the metropolis of Cilicia, and a city remarkable for its culture, and the zeal of its inhabitants for philosophic studies.
 the people. An objection has been here raised that it is extremely improbable that the chief captain could have held this conversation with St Paul amid the tumult, and also that he would have granted permission to speak to a man whom he had just taken as his prisoner, and whom he afterwards arranges to examine by scourging (xxii. 24). But we have only to remember that the Apostle and his interlocutor were high up above the crowd, and so away from the noise; that the staircase crowded with soldiers, who could not rapidly be withdrawn because they were restraining the multitude, made some delay absolutely unavoidable, and that, added to this was the surprise of the chief captain that his prisoner could speak Greek, and we have enough wartant for accepting the story as it is here told. Moreover the Greek which the Apostle used was of a very polished character, shewing the education and refinement of the speaker, and making good his claim to respect.
 in the previous verse.

кaterevetv rin Xelpl, he bechoned with his hand. Apparently the chief captain had also been so far impressed by the conversation of his prisoner, that he allowed at least one of his hands to be released from its chain (see above, verse 33) while he spake to the multitude, and this he waved to ask for ailence.
 The unusual circumstance, and the gesture which coald be seen through the whole crowd, would gain an audience very readily. Beside which an Oriental mob is less parsistent than those of the weatern world,
 as it was heard, would gain the speaker an audience with many. It was their own specoh, for by 'Hebrew' here is meant the Aramaic dialect of Palestine.

## CHAPTER XXII.

Readings varying from the Text. recept.
9. каl $\neq \mu \phi о \beta o c ~ \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu o v \tau o$ omitted with NABH. Not represented in Vulg.
16. aưtov̂ for tov̂ nuplou with NABE. Vulg. 'ipsins.'
20. $\tau \hat{\eta}$ dyacé̇̇e aủ Vulg.
 induci eum.'
 Tulg.
 in Vulg.

Ch. XXIT. 1-21. St Patu's Defenog.

 which I now make unto you. With regard to the construction of the verse, it seems, as in John xii. 47, that dikove is here followed by a double genitive of the person and thing, 'Hear from me the defence \&c.' This is sometimes found also in classical Greek.
2. dikovifavtes $8 \in$, and when they heard. The beckoning with the hand (xxi. 40) had procured silence enough for the Apostle's first words to be heard, and now they caught the sound of their own dialect.
 stillness as opposed to motion, while $\sigma c \gamma \eta^{\prime}(x z i .40)$ is quiet as opposed to noise. The phrase in this verse indicates that the crowd not only abstained from cries and shouts, but kept still in their places that they might hear the better. Thus a very high degree of quiet is described.
3. Ėwé cipu divìp 'Iov6aîos, I am a Jew. These first words of the Apostle would correct many wrong impressions among the crowd, for we may be sure that many, beside the ohief captain, had the notion that St Paul was one of those foreign desperadoes with which Judma abounded at this time.
 Tarsus, of, note on पi. 9.
 St Paul means not that from his infancy he had lived in Jerusalem, but that, when he had reached an age fitted for it, he was sent from home to be educated under Gamaliel. The verb is used in this sense in classical Greek. On Gamaliel, see note on v. 34.
mapd tovs $\pi$ dódas, at the feet. The most usual position of teacher and pupils at the time of St Paul was that both should sit, the former on a higher level than the latter. For the evidence on this matter from the Talmud, see Taylor Pirke Aboth, pp. 28, 29.
 instructed according to the strict manner of the law of our fathers, being zealous, dc. For an account by the Apostle himself of his Jewish birth, education, and character, cf. Phil. iii. 5, 6. He was a Hebrew of the Hebrews, and his language shows how learned he was in all that concerned his own people. He makes frequent allusions to Jewish customs, laws, and festivals, and reckons his time by the Jewish calendar. He was also a Pharisee, and none of his contemporaries surpassed him, while but few equalled him, in strictness of legal observance.
 himself in peril when no good end is to be served by it, wishes to set himself in an acceptable light before his audience. This is his reason for explaining that he, like themselves, had been a zealous observer of the law.
 Way unto the death. On $\dot{\eta}$ odis as the designation of the Christian religion, of, note on ix. 2. We are not told of any Christians who were put to death through Saul's zealons persecution, for in the case of Stephen he was not a very active agent, but his own statement in this verse, and the stronger expression xxyi. 10, ' when they were put to death I gave my voice against them,' make it certain that the persecutions in whioh he took part were carried beyond imprisonment, even to the martyrdom of the accused.
als $\phi$ vanás, into prisons. The plural here used is probably intended to express, what in chap. xxvi. is given in more detail, viz., the wide field over which Sanl's zeal was exerted, 'being exceedingly mad againat them, I persecuted them even unto strange cities.' The usual


 me witness. The Apostle refers not to the high priest at the time when he was speaking, but to him who had held that office when (ix. 1) in his earnestness against the Christians he had desired a commission from the authorities to carry his persecuting measures as far as Damascus. Josephus (Ant. xvil. 5. 3) tells us that in a d. 37 Theophilus, son of Ananus, was made high priest in the place of his brother Jonathan. The high priest to whom St Paul here alludes was one of these two brothers, for Theophilus held office till he was removed by Agrippa and his place occupied by Simon, called Kantheras (see Jos. Ant. xix. 6. 2, and of. Farrar's St Paul, 1. 178). Ananias was high priest at the time of St Paul's arrest. See xxiii. 2.
 was now more than twenty years since St Paul's conversion, it was not improbable that some members of the Sanhedrin which granted him his commission were still alive, and the records of the transaction were doubtless preserved and could be appealed to.
$\pi \rho \in \sigma \beta u \tau t \rho 6 \nu$ is used for the position of an elder in LXX. Susanna 50.
 the brethren, i.e. to the Jewish authorities in Damascus. The Jews spake of all their race as brethren from the earliest times (cf. Deut. xviii. 15). The whole family were Jacob's children.
affuv кal rov̀s Eкeife butas, to bring them also which were there, ie. any Christians whom I was able to find in Damascus. zreite has here the force of $\varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon \hat{i}$, as it sometimes has in the Greek poets.
 to be treated as the veriest criminals.
6. $\pi \in \rho \frac{1}{\mu \epsilon \sigma} \mu \beta \rho L_{a v}$ about noon. The time of the day at which the vision ocearred is not noticed in chap. ix., but in chap. xxvi. the Apostle also mentions that it was 'at mid-day;' at which time the heavenly brightness must have been very overpowering to shine above the glare of an Eastern sun.
7. خ̆кovace фwvîs, $I$ heard a voice. As in chap. ix. 4 and 7, so here, and below in verse 9 , the case of the noun is varied, so as to mark that the hearing in St Paul's case was different from the hearing of his companions. The verb can be connected with either a genitive or acousative case. In both the narratives a variation is made, and it was not without its significance (see notes on chap. ix.). St Paul heard intelligible words, the others heard a sound, but it was not speech to them. Cf. the narrative in Daniel x. 6-9.
8. \& Na\}由paîos, of Nazareth. This adjective is found only in this one of the three accounts of Saul's conversion; though in some MSS. to make the one place conform more exactly to the other they have been inserted in ix. 5 .
9. The words $\kappa \alpha i \notin \mu \phi \circ \beta o b$ Étyopto which appear in the Text. recept., but which the chief MSS. omit, are not like other words which have been inserted in various portions of this book. There is nothing like them either in chap. ix. or chap. xxvi. It is possible that they are of early authority, and may have been omitted by a scribe whose eye passed from the NTO of etcajayno to the same letters at the end of e $\gamma \in v o y$ ro. They are omitted from the present text according to the decision of Lachmann, Tischendorf and Tregelles, but their difference from other words similarly omitted is worthy of consideration.
 words which were spoken to Saul. They were only conscious of a sound round about them. See above on verse 7.
 On the attraction of the relative into the case of its antecedent, see on i. 1.

God had explained to Ananias (see ix. 15) what Saul's future work should be: how he was a chosen vessel to bear His name before Gentiles and kings and the children of Israel; and still more about his labours was to be revealed to the new Apostle himself. According to xxvi. 16-18 the character of the work to which he was called was from the first indicated to Saul; though as no mention is made of Ananias in that passage, it may well be that the Apostle there brings into one statement both the words he heard on the way and those which were afterwards spoken to him by Ananias.
 I could not see for the glory of that light. This explanation of the reason of the Apostle's blindness is only given in this place.
$\epsilon \mu \beta \lambda \epsilon \pi \omega$ is found Mark viii. 25 of sight returned after blindness.
12. 'Avavias \&é tis, divग̀p єủ入aßท่s кard̀ тòv vópov, and Inanias, a devout man according to the Law. The Apostle neglects nothing in his
address whioh can conciliate his audience, and so he tells them that the messenger whom God sent to him was ' well reported of by all the Jews that dwelt in Damascus.' (For Ananias see note on ix. 10.) The hostility towards Christians, which was so strong in Jerusalem, had not at the time of St Paul's conversion manifested itaelf so greatly in Damascus, since Ananias, 'a disciple,' was still in good repute with the Jews there.
13. kal è $\pi$ เovd́s, and standing by me. The Apostle in his blindness was seated, no doubt, and the messenger came and stood over him.
 upon him. For the two renderings of the verb, cf. Luke xix. 5, where divaßhe $\psi$ as is used of Jesus looking up at Zaccheus in the sycamore tree, with John ix. 11, where dy $\dot{\epsilon} \beta \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \notin a$ is said by the blind man who describes how he received his sight.
 spake naturally as one Jew to another. At the commencement of the Christian Church there was no thought of a rupture with Judaism, and nothing is more to be noticed in the Acts than the gradual advance made by the Apostles and their companions in apprehending what the result of their mission would be.

т $\rho 0 \in \chi$ ecpl $\sigma a \tau o \delta \sigma$, hath appointed thee. The verb is only here and in xxF. 16 in N.T. In the LXX. it is found Exod. iv. 13, $\pi \rho 0 \chi$ elploan
 going unto Pharaoh; also Joshua iii. 12; 2 Macc. iii. 7, viii. 9: always with the notion of selecting some one into whose hands an important duty can be committed.
 that St Paul so often in the commencement of his Epistles speaks of himself as an Apostle according to the will of God. 1 Cor. i. 1; 2 Cor. i. 1; Eph. i. 1; 1 Col. i. 1, \&o. The whole passage Eph. i. 1-11 forms a comment on this clause.

кal $18 \kappa \mathrm{iv}$ tòv $\delta(\mathrm{kalov}$, and to see the righteous One, i.e. Jesus. See note on vii. 52 above.
 His mouth. That in this way St Paul might, even as the other Apostles, be taught of Jesus.
 commission of the later-called Apostle was exactly in the same terms in which Christ (Acts i. 8) had spoken to the Eleven before his Ascension.
 tion, does not utter the word 'Gentiles' till he is forced to do so.
 revelation the Apostle was made aware of the whole scope of Christian truth, and of those doctrines which Christ during His life on earth had communicated to the Twelve. And at a later time (see 2 Cor. xii.

2, 3) greater revelations appear to have been made to St Paul concerning the world to come than to any of the other Apostles.
16. Kal v̂̂v $\tau i \mu \lambda \lambda e \mathrm{~s} ;$ and now why tarriest thou? According to the narrative in ix. 15, the message of Ananias had already proclaimed the gift of the Holy Ghost to Saul, and the favour of God had been shewn in the recovery of his sight. So the question of Ananias becomes parallel to that of St Peter in the house of Cornelius: 'Can any man forbid water that these should not be baptized, which have received the Holy Ghost as well as we?'
divartas $\beta$ aimrtala, arise and be baptized. Though the gift of the Spirit was announced yet God directs that the means of grace, the sacrament of baptism, which the Apostle must offer to others, should also be received by himself.

кal ḋтó入oveai rds d́paptias oov, and wash away thy sins. The close connexion of the sacramental sign with renewing grace is spoken of in like terms by the Apostle in his Epistle to Titus (iii. 5), 'according to. His mercy He saved us, by the washing of regeneration, and the renewing of the Holy Ghost.'
 the name of the righteous One, Jesus, mentioned in verse 14.
 salem. This refers to that visit of the Apostle recorded in Acts ix. 26 seqq. We learn from Gal. i, 18 that three years had elapsed between the conversion of Saul and this visit to Jerusalem, which period is supposed to have been consumed in Arabia (cf. Gai. i. 17). The preaching of Saul at Jerusalem we are told in the Acts roused the anger of the Greel-speaking Jews, and that in consequence of their attempts against Saul the Christian congregation sent him away first to Cæsarea and then to Tarsus.

The double construction of the participle first in the dative after e'ferero and then in the genitive absolute is noteworthy. But there is a degree of difference in the sense 'after my return' and 'while I was praying."
 is worthy of note how often in this address St Paul incidentally expresses himself in such wise as to conciliate the crowd. His wisit to the Temple for the purpose of prayer was at once a proof that he was not likely to despise Jewish ordinances and religious observances.
 of one of those 'risions and revelations of the Lord' of which St Paul speaks to the Corinthians (2 Cor, xii. 1) and with which, from his conversion onwards, he was many times instructed and comforted.
The infinitive, as here, after è $\begin{aligned} & \text { tvero } \\ & \text { is common in St Luke. The }\end{aligned}$ present example is however more noteworthy, because it is of the

 In Acts ix. 29, 30 no mention is made that a vision had appeared to

Saul commanding him to depart from Jerusalem. It is only said that 'the disciples' sent him away. But these two statements are not inconsistent with each other. Saul might be warned to go, and the disciples at the same time prompted to send him. In the same way two different causes, one natural, the other supernatural, are mentioned Acts xiii. 2-4, viz. the prompting of the Holy Spirit, and the act of the Ohurch of Antioch. And atill more like is the statement of St Paul (Gal. ii. 2), that he went up to Jerusalem 'by revelation,' when it is placed side by side with Acts xv. 2, where we are told that the Christians of Antioch determined that Paul and Barnabas should go up to consult the Church in Jerusalem.
 We know from Gal. i. 18 that the duration of the Apostle's stay was only fifteen days.
tv $\tau$ áxєt used adverbially is common both in classical Greek and in the LXX.
 thee testimony concerning me. The Apostle, as is clear from what follows in the next verse, considered that he would be specially a messenger likely to persuade and convince men in Jerusalem of the truths of the Christian faith. God, in the vision, points out that this will not be so.
19. Kuple, au'roi kitcriavtal, Lord, they know. The effect of the expressed pronoun is not to be reproduced in English. These are, he thinks, the very men to whom he can best appeal. Saul is confident that he will be known by many to whom he would speak, and that his zealons persecution of the Christians less than four years before cannot have fallen out of men's memories.
 liar form, the substantive verb with the participle, implies that this conduct was continuous. Saul was regularly engaged in the work,

фuגaki ${ }^{\circ} \omega$ is a rare word, found only here in N.T., and in LXX.


kaтà ràs ovvaywyás, in the synagogues. For the synagogues as places where such punishment was inflicted cf. Matth. x. 17, xxiii. 34; Mark xiii. 9; Luke xxi. 12. That they were also places in which charges were heard is seen from Luke xii. 11.
20. $\Sigma$ гтєф́́vov тoû $\mu$ ápтupós rov, of Stephen, thy witness. The Greek word $\mu$ áprus had not yet come to be applied, as it afterwards was, to those Christians who bore witness to the truth by their death.
$\sigma \cup v \in \delta \delta \frac{\kappa}{\omega} v$, consenting. On the force of $\ddot{\eta} \mu \eta \nu$ with the participle, which here implies that Saul took a share in the proceedings from first to last, see the previous verse.

 hence unto the Gentiles. We need not understand the command as
implying that the Apostle's missionary labours were to begin from that moment, but that God's work for him was now appointed, and would begin in His own time; and it would be not among Jews or Greeks at Jercealem, but among the Gentiles in distant places.

St Paul had kept back as long as ever he could the word which he was sure would rouse the anger of his hearers, and we may well suppose from the conciliatory tone of much of his speech that the attention of the crowd had been enlisted, for the speaker was a man of culture and spoke their own tongue. But when the Gentiles are spoken of as recipients of God's message they break forth into all the excitement of an Oriental mob.

22-29. Fury of the Jews. The Chief Captann orders Pade to be bcourged, bot on heabing that he is a Roman, becalls the Order in Atarm.
22. dxpı tovitov tồ $\lambda$ бुyou, unto this word, i.e. Gentiles. It is probable that here and there in the speech the Apostle may not have entirely pleased them. Their feelings however could not be restrained when the hated name was spoken to them by one who professed to be bearing abroad the message of Jehovah.
 ought to have been put to death long ago. Of. Ecclus. x. 23, ou ka $\theta \hat{\eta}$ -
 to magnify a sinful man. In which passage however the Vat. MS. reads каө $\dot{\eta к \epsilon є . ~}$
23. pंттои́yтav тd ifárıa, casting off their clothes, i.e. the loose upper robe which could easily be laid aside, and which in sueh an excitement would interfere with their movements. Compare the conduct of the orowd when our Lord rode into Jerusalem, and also the behaviour of Jehu's friends, 2 Kings ix. 13. Such loose parts of the dress were rolled up for carrying and thus progress in a orowd was made more easy.
 With this compare the action of Shimei, 2 Sam. xvi. 13, where the marginal rendering shews that the dust was thrown at David. Perhaps it may have been meant in the present case to be thrown at St Paul, who was above the crowd, at the top of the stairs. The attempt to reach him with what they threw was futile, but it shewed what they would fain have done. For a like action as a sign of grief, cf. Job ii. 12.
 commanded him to be brought into the castle. Probably the chief captain understood nothing of what St Paul had been saying, and would be surprised at the outbreak of rage on the part of the people, and conolude from it that there was some serious charge laid against him which he might best ascertain by subjecting his prisone: to torture till he should confess.
 examined by scourging. The aative verb dyerifely is found LXX. Susanna 14, dyefajovtes a $\lambda \lambda \eta$ j̀ 0 ous, but it is of very rare occurrence.

The mode of examination by torture among the Romans consisted in binding the limbs of the person to be tortured fast to a framework on which arms and legs were spread apart (divaricatio), and then the beating was inflicted by means of rods.
 against him. Here the antecedent has been, as is not unoommon, transferred into the relative clause.
 $u p$ with the thongs. The person to be scourged was stretched forward (r $\rho$ orelvew) so that he might be in a position to receive the blows. Some have translated 'for the thongs,' but ipds is nearly always used for straps employed for straining or binding tight, and rarely, if ever, for the implement by which the chastisement is inflicted.
 was superintending the tying up of the prisoner to the whipping-post, which was done by the common soldiers.
 punishable with the severest penalties for a man to claim to be a Roman citizen, if he were not one. The peril of such an assertion, if it were not true, convinces the centurion at once, and though we are not told so expressly we may feel sare that the operation of 'tying up' was stopped.
 nnder a heary penalty, by the Lex Poreia, to scourge a Roman citizen (Liv. x. 9).
 It was the Roman boast 'I am a Roman citizen' (Cio. in Yerr. v. 63 ). The sale of the freedom of Rome was at times the perquisite of some of the Imperial parasites and favourites, who made what they could of such a privilege.
 to be a Roman citizen by birth we cannot tell; probably some ancestor for meritorious conduct had been rewarded with enfranchisement. Tarsus was a free city, and had its own laws and magistrates, but that did not constitute its inhabitants Roman citizens.
 him. The verb is used here euphemistically for the scourging which it had been proposed to inflict on the Apostle.
 of scourging. To be bound with a chain as a prisoner was not prohibited in the case of Romans. Hence we find St Paul speaking often in the Epistles, written during his imprisonment at Rome, of the bonds and the 'chain' with which he was afflicted. Cf. Phil. i. 7, 13, 14, 17; Col. iv. 18 ; Philem. 10, 13. Also Acts xxviii. 20, while the
next verse in this chapter shews that though the Apostle was anloosed from the whipping-post, he was still kept in bonds.
30. The chief Caftan brings Patl fefore tee Sanhedrin.
30. Bou入oucvos $\gamma^{\text {wôval, desiring to lnow. The ohief captain was }}$ anxious, as a Roman officer, that justice should be done, and this could only be by having both sides before some authoritative council.
 Jews. In a similar way a whole sentence is treated as one nominal idea by the prefixing of the neuter article in 1 Thess. iv. 1, па $\rho \in \lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon \tau e$

 priests to come together. He had discovered thus much, that the offence charged against his prisoner was concerning the religion of the Jews. He therefore summons the chief religious authorities as those who were best able to decide whether any wrong had been done.

кal $\pi \hat{a} v$ тd oovénpov, and all the council. By this is meant the whole Jewish Sanhedrin. They were to meet in some place to which Paul could be brought, and where the case might be fairly heard. The place where the Sanhedrin met for their own consultations was called Lishkath-Haggazith, and was a hall built of cut stone so situate that one half was built on holy, the other half on the profane ground, and it had two doors, one to admit to each separate section, T. B. Joma 25a. But whether this was the place of meeting at this time we have no means of deciding.

кal кarayaү山̀v tò̀ Mâ̂̀ov, and having brought Paul down. The castle was situate in the highest part of the city, above the Temple, so that wherever he had to go, the chief eaptain must come down.
tortjoev eis auvzous, he set him before them. The idea of els is 'he brought him in among them.' Perhaps the phrase is purposely used, to intimate that Paul was not committed to them, nor brought into their presence as if they were to be his judges, but only that both accusers and accused might be heard on common ground.

## CHAPTER XXIII.

Readings varying from the Text. recept.
6. Фaploative for Фaploaiou with NABC. Vulg. 'Pharisæorum.'
9. $\tau$ vets $\tau \hat{\omega} v$ үpapןaтt $\omega v$ with ABC. The Vulg. does not represent

$\mu \dot{\lambda} \theta \epsilon \rho \mu \alpha \chi \bar{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu$ omitted with NABCE. Not represented in Fulg.
10. $\phi 0 \beta_{\eta} \theta_{\epsilon}$ ls for $\epsilon \dot{\lambda} \lambda a \beta \eta \theta \epsilon i s$ with NABCE. Fulg. 'timens.'
11. חâरो omitted with NABCE. Not represented in Fulg.
12. oi 'Iovfaiou with NABCE. Valg. 'quidam ex Judæis.'
16. aüpoto omitted with NABCE. Not represented in Fulg.
20. Tòv Haî̀ov кatayáygs tis rod ovvíppor with NABE. Vulg. 'producas Paulum in conciliom.'
$\mu(\lambda \lambda \omega v$ for $\mu$ e人dopres with NABE. Vulg. represents the plaral.
 Vulg.
 ' quum mihi perlatum esset de insidiis quas paraverant illi.

Eppwao omitted with AB. Vulg. 'vale.'

34. $\dot{o} \dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu \dot{\omega} \nu \boldsymbol{o m i t t e d}$ with NABE. Not represented in Vulg.
35. кє $\lambda \epsilon \dot{\gamma} \sigma a s$ for $\epsilon \kappa \epsilon \lambda \epsilon u \sigma \epsilon \in \varepsilon$ with NABE. Fulg. 'jussitque.'

Cin. XXIII. 1-10. St Patl before tee Sangedrif. Disagrerment between tee Phabigees and Saddjgees.

1. ${ }^{\text {atevions }} 8 \ell$, and earnestly beholding. The verb is one which St Luke very frequently employs to note a speaker's expression at the commencement of a speech, and it is one of those features in the Acts which shew us where the compiler has acted as editor to the narratives which he used. He very generally gives some word to indicate the gesture or look of the person who speaks.

On its use in describing St Paul's earnest look, see xiv. 9, note.

 good conscience before God until this day. The eyw is emphatic. It is as though the Apostle would say, ' You see me before you as though I were an offender, hut personally I feel myself innocent.' mohuréóouat in profane authors signifies 'to discharge the duties of a citizen.' St Paul implies by its use that he has been obedient to God's laws, as a good citizen would be to the laws of his country. He employs the verb again in his epistle to the Philippians (i. 27). It is also found in



So far as being devoted to God's service, St Paul's whole life up to the present moment had been of one piece, it was only that his conacience had been enlightened, and so his behaviour had changed. He had at first lived as a conscientious and observant Jew, his conscience now approved his conduct as a Christian.
2. $\delta 8 t$ dpxtepevs 'Avavlas, and the high priest Ananias. This was Ananias the son of Nebedxus (Joseph. Ant. xx. 5. 2). In the time of the Emperor Claudius he had been suspended from his office for
some offence and sent to Rome (Ant. xx. 6. 2) but afterwards seems to have been held in great reputation in Jerusalem (Ant. xx. 9. 2).

тúrteเv av̉тov tò नтóma, to smite him on the mouth. No doubt St Paul's address, before the high priest gave this order, had extended much beyond the single sentence which St Lake records. He only preserves for us that which appears to have moved the anger of the authorities, his claim to have led a life of which in God's sight he was not ashamed. The action was intended to put a stop to what would be counted the presumptuous language of St Pand.
 xxii. 64 .
 thou whited wall. Here we may see how very far even the excellence of St Paul comes short of the behaviour of the Divine Master, whe when He suffered threatened not, and when reviled, reviled not again. We need not however consider that St Paul's language here was a wish for evil upon the high priest, but only an expression of confidence in God that such conduct as that of Ananias would not be allowed to go unponished. We know from Josephus ( $B . J$. rr. 17.9) that Ananias did come to a violent end. St Paul calls him 'whited wall' because he bore the semblance of a minister of justice, but was not what he seemed. Cf. 'whited sepulchres' ( $\tau$ d́.фоє кєкориa tévos, Matth. xxiii.

 intended to refer to the epithet just applied to Ananias. Dost thou (such an one) sit, \&c.
тараvopêv, contrary to the law. Literally 'transgressing the Law.' For St Paul had not yet been heard to the end. Of. John vii. 51.
 sat on the judgment-seat as God's representative, of. Deut. xvii. 813. In the Old Test. the priestly, and even other, judges are sometimes called by God's own name 'Elohim.' (See Exod. xxi. 6, xxii. 8, 9 and ef. Ps. lxxzii. 1.)
 that he was the high priest. Several explanations have been given of this statement of St Paul. Some think that it may have been true that St Paul from defect of sight, with which he is supposed to have been afflicted, conld not distinguish that the speaker was the high priest; others that the high priest was not in his official position as president of the court ; or that owing to the troublous times, and St Paul's recent arrival in Jerusalem, he was not aware who was high priest ; or that he was speaking in irony, and meant to imply that the action of the judge was of such a character that none would have supposed him to be high priest; or that he meant by ouk ÿdetp that for the moment he was not thinking of what he was saying. It is most consomant with St Paul's character to believe that either his own physical deficiency, or some lack of the usual formalities or insignia,
made him unable to distinguish that he who had given the order was really the high priest.

Chrysostom's opinion on the subject is given thus: кal $\sigma \phi \delta \delta p a$ rel-




 xxii. 28 and is another illustration of what was said above on verse 4. The whole sentence of the $O$. T. is 'Thou shalt not revile the gods, nor curse the ruler of thy people,' and the marginal note on 'gods' is ' $O r$, judges,' which margin should be in the text.
6. $\gamma$ voùs 8 é $\delta$ IIav̂גos, but when Paul perceived. We are not told in what way the knowledge which the Apostle here acted on was gained. Perhaps the Pharisees, as in the parable of the Pharisee and publican, kept themselves apart; or to a Jewish eye some mark of their dress may have been enough to bespeak a difference of party. St Paul used this party spirit in a perfectly legitimate manner. What he did was not done merely to set them by the ears, but to secure an opportunity for speaking on that central doctrine of Christianity, the resurrection of the dead. (Cf. zxiv. 21.)
vids $\Phi$ apıoalov, a son of Pharisees. This reading has the advantage of removing St Paul's language beyond the questioning which has sometimes been raised about it. 'I am a Pharisee,' he says. And it has been asked, whether he had a right to describe himself thus. When he continues 'a son of Pharisees' we see that he is stating theit by descent and birth his family had for generations been members of that party. Having said this, he then propounds that doctrine which, of all their teaching, was that which severed them from the Sadducees. That this point also was the central doctrine of Christianity makes St Paul's address not disingenuous, but an appeal to those who agreed with him thus far in his belief to hear what he had further to say which might meet with their acceptance. And it is not as if the Apostle had raised the question in their midst on some side-issue. The whole teaching of the Christian Church rested on the truth of the Resurrection, and therefore with much wisdom and without any thought of deception he cries, 'I am a Pharisee, and for teaching the doctrine of the Resurrection (which they hold) I am now called in question.'

On the kal before divartárews which almost=namely, 'for the hope, even the regurrection of the dead,' ef. Winer-Moulton, p. 546. See also above on i. 25.
7. 'ौéveto $\sigma$ тáots, there arose a dissension. The two parties began to take sides for and against the Apostle.
 ducees say that there is no resurrection. It is said that their teaching had its rise in the thought that 'God's servants should not do service with the hope of reward,' As the life to come would be a reward we
are told that their doctrine developed into the denial of the Resurrection. As we meet with them in the New Testament, they are mainly members of the priestly order, and appear to have accepted only the written Law, as distinat from tradition; yet in spite of the mention of angels in the Pentateuch they appear to have explained the language in snoh wise as to identify these angelic appearances with some manifestation of the divine glory, and thus to have come to deny the existence of any spiritual beings distinct from God Himself. In political matters they were on the side of Rome, and in consequence are found uniting at times with the Herodians.
 thé Pharisees confess both. Here the áyrèos and $\pi \nu \in \hat{0} \mu a \operatorname{are}$ coordinate, and must be taken as together signifying 'manifestations of a spirit world.' Then dedaracts is one point, and the rest of the sentence another included under the word dं $\mu \phi \dot{\phi} \tau \in \rho a$.



 noise of an excited assembly. кpauy is ised in the Parable of the Ten Virgins (Matth, xxy. 6) to describe the shout at midnight 'the bridegroom cometh.'
 were of the Pharisees' part, i.e. certain individuals as representatives of the whole body.

SucućXovio, strove. The verb is used of strife in words, Ecelus. viii.

 him, or an angel.... St Luke appears to have left the sentence as an incomplete exclamation. This the Rev. Ver. has endeavoured to represent by rendering the clause 'And what if a spirit hath spoken to him, or an angel?' The temper of these Pharisees is so very muchakin to the counsel of Gamaliel in chap. v. 39, that it is not difficult to understand how a thoughtful reader filled up on his margin the unfinished exclamation by an adaptation of Gamaliel's language ( $\mu \mathrm{rl}$ $\theta \epsilon о \mu a \chi \hat{\omega} \mu \varepsilon \nu)$, and that these words found their way in a short time into the text.
10. of X 入iapXos, the chief captain. He must have been in some position where he could watch all the proceedings, though we can hardly think that he was presiding in the Sanhedrin.
 had constituted themselves protectors of the Apostle, and so the possession of his person had become the object of a struggle between them and their opponents. $\delta a \sigma \sigma \pi a \omega$ is frequently used in the IXX. of wild beasts tearing their prey in pieces. For the Apostle's position among the assembly cf. xxii. 30 on els à̇rois. He was evidently where the people could lay hands on him (ef. $\epsilon \kappa \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma o v a \dot{u} \hat{\epsilon} \nu$, below in this verse).
 soldiers to go down, dc. They were in the tower of Antonia, overlooking the Temple-precincts, and so were ready to interfere in the struggle as soon as they were bidden. They were in considerable numbers, for arpáreváa is properly an army, as the A. V. renders in verse 27 below. Jerusalem was at this time in such an exeited state that the presence of a large Roman force was necessary.
11-25. Paul is cerered by a Vision. The Jeth conspire to mill Hicu.
 now, though not rightly a prisoner, yet kept, that he might be out of harm's way, under the charge of the Roman soldiers. The hearing of his case having been interrupted, another time was to be appointed when the examination should be completed.
entoràs aủrề $\delta$ kúpros, the Lord stood by him. Appearing in a vision as before at Corinth. Cf. on xviii. 9.

For the verb $\boldsymbol{e n}^{2}$ radas see above on xxii. 13.
$\theta$ dipats, be of good cheer. The Apostle could hardly be otherwise than downcast with the events of the previous day. He had entered the Temple and undertaken the Nazirite vow with a view of conciliating the Jews and he had only been saved from being torn in pieces of them through the interference of the Roman commander.
 also at Rome. St Paul had already written to the Roman Chorch of his 'longing to see them,' and that 'oftentimes he had purposed to come into them' (Rom. i. 11-13), and St Luke (Acts xix. 21) records the intention in the history of St Paul's stay at Ephesus. The way to compass such a visit had not yet been found, but now it is pointed out by the Lord Himself.

The preposition els implies, as in other instances, that the Apostle is to go to home, and then bear his testimony. See note on viii. 40.

In deapaprupet in this verse there seems to be an allusion to the thoroughness and zeal of St Paul's work hitherto.
 receiving comfort from the Lord, the Jews were plotting to secure his destruction, and they let no time be wasted; their plans were ready by the next day, and as soon as it arrived they set about their execution.
 To form such a compact is quite in the spirit of the time. The men who did so were probably belonging to the Zealots of whose fanaticism Josephus gives several instances.
deve日flátıбav éavtov́s, bound themselves under a curse. Lit. 'placed themselves under an anathems.' The noun is used in very solemn language twice over by St Paul (Gal. i. 8, 9), 'Let him be acoursed.' It was an invocation of God's vengeance upon themselves, if they failed to do the work which they undertook.
 no time to be lost. Their work must be promptly executed.
13. $\pi \lambda \epsilon$ lovs $\tau \in \sigma \sigma \in \rho^{2} к о \nu \tau a$, more than forty. Shewing the excited state of popular feeling at this moment among the Jews. They may have been prompted to this method of getting rid of the Apostle, becanse they had not the power of life and death any longer, and were not likely to procure Paul's death at the hands of the Roman authorities, on any accusation connected with a religious question.
 spiracy. The middle voice, which is the best supported reading, is the most in accordance with classical usage. The Greeks use noteiv to be a cause (to others) of anything, roteíatal to bring about for oneself.
 the war, peace or alliance unto themselves.
 elders. These most likely were Sadducees, and so woald have no wish that Paul should be spared.
 great curse. Literally, 'with a curse have we cursed ourselves.' This is a Hebrew mode of expressing the intensity and earnestness of an action. Cf. above on ch. v. 28.
 drinking.


 council signify, \&c. ${ }^{2} \mu \phi a y l j \omega$ in this sense of giving notice or information is frequent in LXX. Cf. Esther ii. 22, кal aúrों ধ̀veqüv/ $\sigma \in \tau \hat{\psi}$
 priests and elders, of the Sadducees' party, were to use their influence in the council, that a request might proceed from the whole body of the Sanbedrin, for Paul to be agein brought before them by the chief captain. From what we read of the Sadducees in the N.T. and Josephus, it is easy to believe that they would be in a majority.
katayáy aúróv, that he bring him down, i.e. from the tower of Antonia to the place where the Sanhedrin held its meetings. See above on verse 10 .
 would judge of his case more exactly. They would profess a desire to know the whole right and wrong in the matter.

Étoupol kopev toû dvenaiv aútóv, we are ready to kill him. So that the suspicion of complicity in the crime would not fall upon the chief priests and elders. Their intention would appear to have been to give St Paul a fair hearing, and the murder would seem to be the work of some fanatics unconnected with the council.

For $\xi_{\text {gochos }}$ followed by the genitival infinitive, of. LXX. 1 Sam. xiii.


 heard, \&c. We have no other mention of the family of St Paul anywhere in the history. It seems improbable that the sister and her son were settled inhabitants of Jerusalem, or we should have been likely to hear of them on Paul's previous visits. His imprisonment at this time was only to keep him from being killed, and so any relative or friend was permitted to come to him.
 punctaation joins $\pi$ apa $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\varphi} \dot{\rho} \mu \in \operatorname{pos}$ with the former clause of the sentence, so that the sense is 'he heard of their lying in wait, having come in upon them.' Thus it would describe the way in which he had gained his information. But this rendering seems to press too much into this participle.
17. Eva тش̂v ÉкaтоvтápX $\omega v$, one of the centurions. The Apostle was onder the charge of a military guard, and so would have no difficulty in getting his message conveyed. And the knowledge that he was a Roman citizen, and that by birth, would have spread among the soldiery and would not be without its influence.
 have nothing to guide us to a knowledge of how Paul's nephew became aequainted with the plot to murder his uncle. As we know nothing of any kinsmen of St Paul being Christians, we may perhaps be right in supposing that the joung man was a Jew, present in Jerusalem on acoount of the feast, and that he had heard among the Jewish population about the uproar, and the undertaking of the would-be assassins. In his interview with the chief captain it is clear that he was prepared with evideace which was convincing to that officer.
 soldier-like obedience and raising no questions.
$\delta$ Eforuos Mavilos, Paul the prisoner, a title which the Apostle used often afterwards to apply to himself. Cf. Eph. iii. 1, iv. 1 ; Philemon 1 and 9 , 女o.
 The messenger sent by a Roman citizen was entitled to someconsideration, and the action of the chief captain is meant to encourage the young man. The chief captain would naturally incline after his conversation with him to favour Paul rather than his Jewish accusers. We can gather this from the tone of the letter which he subsequently sent to Cæsarea.

кат' i\&cav Etrvv日ávera, inquired privately. The A. $\overline{\text {. }}$. joins the ad. verb with $\dot{a} v a \chi \omega \rho j \tilde{j} a s$, but as this verb of itself implies a going aside, it is better, and more also in accordance with the order of the Greek, to join it with emvpdáveta.
 enquire somewhat more accurately．$\mu e \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ is to be preferred to $\mu \mathrm{e} \mathrm{\lambda}$－入opres，for in addressing the chief captain Paul＇s nephew would natu－ rally speak as though he，who had control of the whole proceedings， was the person to enquire；while the plural in verse 15 is equally natural in the mouth of a speaker among the Zealots，who would say to the chief priests＇as though ye would enquire．＇

21．$\sigma$ ù oűv $\mu$ ข̀ $\pi \in เ \sigma \theta$ ŷs autois，do not thou therefore yield to them． The oür refers to the idea of a scheme in which the chief captain was to be made use of；this has only been suggested in the previous verse， not directily stated．
dive日epórเoav éavtov́s，they have bound themselves under a curse．Cf． verse 12 above．
 thee，i．e．which they are coming to try and induce thee to make to them．
 let the young man depart．For danoर́＇ty＝to dismiss a person，and let
 бwtทplas．
 me．Here the sentence which began in the oratio obliqua passes into the oratio recta．If the original form of the clause had been con－ tinued the close should bave been＝＇bidding him tell no one that he had shewed these things to him．＇For a similar change though not so unmanageable to translate of．i． 4.

23．$\tau$ vids $\delta{ }^{2} 0$, two．The effect of $\tau v a \dot{s}$ is to intimate that the num－ ber is not precisely given；＇two or so，＇＇about two．＇But this cannot be put into acceptable English．
 ＇as far ass．＇Gesarea was the residence of the Roman governor and the seat of the chief jurisdiction．The distance from Jerusalem to Cqsarea is about 70 miles．
סeflo入áßous，spearmen．The Greek word is a very unusual one，and signifies＇graspers by the right hand．＇Hence it has been explained， as in the A．V．，of soldiers who carried a spear in their right hand； others have thought a military guard was meant，who lept on the right hand of the prisoners of whom they had charge．Others，soldiers who were fastened to the right hand of the prisoners．This is impro－ bable，because for such a purpose two hundred could not have been needed．The Vulgate gives lancearii，lancers．
 according to Jewish reckoning，would be 9 P．M．

This was to be the point in time from which the journey was to commence．Hence $\dot{\alpha} \pi \delta$ is used to define $i t$ ．
 that noted in verse 22 . With èroomácece began a direct order, and this is continued in the oratio recta down to the close of verse 23. But with 24 the construction is oblique, as if some verb like éкèevaep had preceded $\pi a \rho a \sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma a$, . Consequently the Rev. Vers. has inserted in italies he bade them.
 procurator of Judea by Clandius in A.D. 53. He was the brother of Pallas, the favourite freedman of Claudius, and it was by the interest of his brother that Felix was advanced, and retained in his position even after the death of Claudius. The character of Felix, as gathered both from Roman and Jewish historians, is that of a mean, proffigate and cruel ruler, and even the troublous times in which he lived are not sufficient to excuse the severity of his conduct. After his retarn to Rome, on the appointment of Festus to be governor in his stead, Felix was accused by the Jews of Cæsarea and only saved by the influence which his brother Pallas had with Nero, as he had had with his predecessor. Felix was connected with the Herodian family by his marriage with Drusilla the daughter of Herod Agrippa I. He continued to hold office at Casarea for two years after St Paul's coming there (xxiv. 27), and during the whole of that time the Apostle was his prisoner.
 As both the writer and receiver of the letter were Romans, it is most likely that Latin was the language in which it was written, and that St Lutke has given us a representation of the substance of the document rather than its very words.

## 26-30. Letter of Clatdios Lysias to Felix.

 governor Felix sendeth greeting. The infinitive $\chi a l_{p e i v}$ is governed by $\lambda \leqslant \gamma \epsilon$ or some similar verb understood. See above, xv. 23.

The title крáriotos ' most excellent' is that which is given by St Luke at the beginning of his Gospel to the Theophilus for whom he wrote it. Hence it is probable that Theophilus held some official position, it may be under the Romans in Macedonia, where St Luke remained for some time and where he may probably have written his gospel.

 a seizure or arrest. It is used (Matth. xxyi. 55 ; Mark xiv. 48) of the party of men who came to seize our Lord, and (Acts xii. 3) of Herod Agrippa's arrest of St Peter.

It is to be noted that the chief captain is represented as employing throaghont the letter $\dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\eta} \rho$ not $\alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \rho \omega \pi$ mos for man. The former implies much more respect, and was used no doubt because he was presently about to mention that he was a Roman citizen. The same distinction exists in Latin as in Greek, so that the original may have been in
either language. There can be little doubt that Roman officers at this time were familiar enough with Greek to write in it, if need were.

кai $\mu \hat{\lambda} \lambda$ ovta $\alpha$ ávapeiofal, and likely to be killed. The chief captain does not give a very exact report of what had happened. He says nothing about the strife between the two religious parties. Perhaps he did not understand either its nature or cause.
 This mast refer rather to the first rescue from the mob in the Templeprecincts (xxi. 32). There is no word said of what happened afterwards, the binding with two chains, and theintention of scourging the prisoner.

On $\sigma \tau \rho \dot{\sim} \tau \epsilon ч \mu$ see above, verse 10 note.
 ohief captain puts this in such wise as to olaim credit for interference on behalf of a Roman citizen, and in so doing omits to state that it was only when Paul was about to be scourged and had protested against it that he was discovered to be a citizen of Rome by birth.
 which the chief captain proposed to satisfy this desire was by scourging the prisoner (cf. zxii. 24).

Tìv aitlav $8 \mathfrak{l}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\eta} v$, the cause wherefore. For which we had in xxii. 24 the attracted form $\delta i i^{\prime} \nu \quad$ airiav.
29. ©́v cifoov éyкaloúnevov, whom I found to be accused. At first he would have discovered that the outcry against St Paul had something to do with the regulations of the Temple, then that there was a dispute about the resurrection of those who were dead, and that on this point some of the Jewish leaders sided with St Paul. Such questions about their law would seem to the Roman officer quite as unworthy of consideration as they did to Gallio at Corinth (xviii. I5).
 when it was shewn to me that there would be a plot against the man by them. The construction is very strange. The full sentence would be

$\ell \pi \epsilon \mu \psi a \pi$ pós $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \epsilon, I$ sent to thee, i.e. I sent him. Of course Lysias implies by his language that he felt Feliz to be a more fit person than himself to deal with such a case.
$\lambda$ (yav aủroùs éml qoû, themselves to speak before thee, i.e. to say whatever they had to say.

31-35. Padl is beodaht to Cegbarea, and tept Prisoner by Felix.
 diers...took Paul and, 女e., i. e. they formed a party for his escort and placed him in their midst.





dva入aب $\beta$ óvo is thus used LXX. Gen. xxiv. 61, of the servant of Abraham, when he escorts Rebecca to his master.
$\delta$ dà $\boldsymbol{v}$ кктós, by night, i.e. that same night, starting off early in the night and travelling during night-time, thus getting clear away from Jerusalem before the ambush of the Jews was prepared.
Els rijv 'Avtıratpi8a, to Antipatris. This place was 42 miles from Jerusalem and 26 from Cæsarea. It was in early times called Capharsaba, but Herod the Great rebuilt it and named it Antipatris in memory of his father Antipater. It lay in a beautiful part of the Vale of Sharon and was both well watered and rich in wood. Theremains of a Roman road have been found close by it. For notices of the older city, see Josephus, Ant. xyı. 5. 2; 1 Mace. vii. 31; of the place as rebuilt, see Josephus, B. J. г. 4. 7; ir. 19. 1 and 9; rv. 8. 1.
32. Tyी $\delta \mathfrak{k}$ emaúpov, but on the morrow. That part of the escort which now seemed no longer needed returned, and would get back to Jerusalem on the day of the intended plot. Those who returned were

'́árautes tov̀s itrreîs ámépxcotai oùv aùtệ, having left the horsemen to go on with him. Now that they were far away from Jerusalem and in no fear of a surprise, seventy horsemen were guard enough for the remainder of the way. But it may give us some idea of the dangerous state of the country at the time, when we consider that the chief captain thought it needful to send with this one prisoner a guard of 470 soldiers. We may also form some idea of what the garrison in Jerusalem must have been when so many men could be detached at a moment's notice.
 rently coming back as quickly as it was possiblefor them to do so. As the road was one much travelled they were probably able to obtain a change of horses here and there.
33. oltıves, who, i.e. the horsemen who went on with St Paul. It is better with Rev. Vers. to break up the relative into a conjunction and personal pronoun. 'And they, when,' \&c.
 If the letter as given above be a rendering of the original, the prisoner was not mentioned in it by name, but the soldiers would merely declare that this was the man that had been committed to their eharge, and Felix would learn all the rest by questioning Parul.
34. iк тоlas kmapxias éctiv, of what province he was. Cilicia had been at one time, and perhaps still was, attached to the province of Syria. It was so in the time of Quirinus. This will explain why at once Felix without question decided that, at the proper time, he would hear the cause.

35．SLakav́roual $\sigma o v, I$ will hear thee．The verb implies a fall and thorough hearing of a case．＇I will give thee a full hearing．＇ The Rev．Vers．renders＇I will hear thy canse．＇
 come；assuming that they would appear，since they had been bidden to do so by the chief captain，as was explained in his letter．Of course Lysias had not said a word of this to the Jews when his letter was written，but intended to do so when Paul was safely on the road to Cæsarea．
 palace．т $\rho a t \tau \dot{\omega} \rho 5 \%$ may signify either the palace of a prince，the tent of a general，or the barracks of the soldiery．Here it is probably the name of the palace which Herod had erected for himself，and which now was used as the governor＇s residence．It seems（from xxiv．24－ 26）that it was close to the quarters of Felix himself，and that Paul could speedily be sent for．фu入á $\sigma \sigma \epsilon \sigma a t$ only implies that Paul was to be taken care of ；he was not kept in close imprisonment．＇A Roman and uncondemned＇would not be subject to needless indignities，when his accusers were Jews who could make no such claim for consideration． Cf．xxiv． 23.

## CHAPTER XXIV．

Readinge varying from the Text．recept．
1．$\pi \rho \in \sigma \beta \nu \tau \nmid \rho \omega v \tau \downarrow v \omega ิ \nu$ for $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \nu \tau \epsilon \rho \omega \nu$ with NABE．Yulg．＇cum senioribus quibusdam．＇

5．oráoels with NABE．Vulg．＇seditiones．＇

11．グ before $\delta 66$ eka omitted with NABEHLP．Vulg．has＇quam．＇
13．$\mu \in$ after mapaotīaal omitted with NABEL．Vulg．does not represent it．

бol after $\delta$ fivavial added with NABE．Vulg．＇tibi，＇
14．toîs tv before tois $\pi \rho 0 \phi$ ク́raıs added with NBE．Not added in Vulg．
15．$p \in \kappa \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ omitted with NABC．Not represented in Fulg．
20．el before $\tau l$ omitted with NABCEHLP．Vulg．＇si．＇ ty $\epsilon^{\prime} \mu \mathrm{ol}$ omitted with KAB．Vulg．＇in me．＇
 autem illos Felix．＇

23．aúcòv for $\tau \dot{\partial} \nu \Pi_{a v i} \lambda_{o v}$ with NABCE．Vulg．＇eum．＇ \＃$\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \epsilon \rho \bar{\epsilon} \sigma \theta a r$ omitted with NABCE．Not represented in Vulg．
24. Tî iflq үvvauk' with BC. Vulg. 'uxore sua.'
'Iñooûy after Xpıotóy added with NBEL. Vulg. 'Christam Jesum.'
 in Vulg.
 Vulg.
27. Xúpıra for $\chi$ ápıras with NABC. Vulg. 'gratiam.'

## Ce. XXIV. 1-9. Arrivat of the Acodsems. Speech of Tertclldes, their Advoc.te.

 means after St Paul's arrival in Cæsarea, and the events narrated at the end of chap. xxiii. But it may mean five days after the departure of the Apostle from Jerusalem. The chief captain would give notice to the high priest of what he had done as soon as it was safe to do so. After learning that they must go to Cæsarea with their accusation, the enemies of St Paul would spend some little time in preparing their charge for the hearing of Felix, and in providing themselves with an adrocate. And as they would not probably travel with as much haste as St Paul's convoy did, five days is not 5 long interval to elapse before they arrived in Cæsarea.

кат $\ell \beta \eta$ ó ajpxıepès 'Avavias, Ananias the high priest came down. He would be sure to be hot against St Paul aiter that speech about the 'whited wall.'

The verb кarafaipe is used because the journey was from inland towards the seashore.
 portion of the elders who came. Those of the Pharisees' party would rather have spoken in favour of the Apostle. The persons likely to take the journey to Cmsarea would be the Sadducees.
 This man, as we may judge from his name, which is a modification of the Latin Tertius, was a Roman, and would be chosen because of his knowledge of Roman law, and his ability to place the case before Felix in such a light as to make it seem that Paul was dangerous to the Roman power, and not merely a turbulent and renegade Jew. We see below that he endeavoured to do this.
oltuves éveфdévarav, and they informed. On the breaking up of the relative in translation see above on xxiii. 33. If the relative rendering 'who' were kept, it might be supposed to refer only to Tertullus.
${ }^{\dot{\epsilon}} \mu \phi \quad \alpha \nu i \zeta \omega$ St Luke uses in other places (Acts xxy. 2, 15) of the laying a formal information before a judge. It is also used in LXX. (Esther ii. 22) of Esther laying the information of the plot of the two chamberlains before ling Ahasuerus.
 the official of the court, whose duty it was to call on the case.

गैpร̧aro кarఛ̣үорєîv ó Tépru入入os, Tertullus began to accuse him. St Luke has given us but the digest of the advocate's speech. The seven verses, in which it is included, and a large part of which is occupied with compliments to the judge, would not have occupied three minutes in the delivery.
 joy much peace. The orator seizes on almost the only point in the government of Felix on which he could hang any praise. By severity he had put down false Messiahs, and the partisans of an Egyptian magician, as well as riots in Casarea and Jerusalem, so that the country was in a more peaceful condition than it had been for a long time past.


 that by thy providence evils are corrected for this nation. The sentence, which began with a nominative case $\tau v \gamma \chi^{a}{ }^{2}$ apres, is now varied by the introduction of a genitive absolute. $\pi$ pobyola is found in a very parallel

 thereto,' which shews what the force of the word is here. It was by the severe looking thereto of Felix that disordens were corrected, though we learn from Tacitus (Hist. v. 9, Ann. xII. 54) that his severity in the end bore evil fruit, and it seems probable that his main motive in suppressing other plunderers was that there might be the more left for himself.
 all places, i.e. we acknowledge and are glad of it. Some would join
 and in all places corrected \&c.' But this connexion is not favoured by the order of the Greek.

крáтเซтє $\Phi \bar{\eta} \lambda\llcorner 5$, most excellent Felix. The title is the same which was given to Felix in the letter of Claudius Lysias (xxiii. 26), and which is afterwards given to Festus by St Paul (xxvi. 85).
 unto thee. The notion in the verb is that of stopping a person's way and so hindering him. Tertullus would imply that Felix was so deeply engaged in his public duties that every moment was precious.
éreclkela, clemency. The usage of this word in the LXX. is always of the divine mercy. Cf. Baruch ii. 27; 2 Mace. ii. 22, x. 4, \&c.
5. épóvtes үdp... $\lambda_{0<\mu}$ áv, for having found this man a pestilent fellow. The Greek is literally 'a pestilence.' But the word is used of
 ${ }^{3}$ 'I $\sigma a \gamma_{\uparrow}^{\prime} \lambda$, where, as here, the A.V. gives 'pestilent fellows.' In the Greek there, the phrase is further defined by äpdpes $\pi a p a ́ y o \mu o!. ~ C f . ~ a l s o ~$
 i. 16 .

By eiporvies Tertullus would convey the impression that they have already spent some pains in detecting the evil ways of the accused.
kail kıvoûvta otaíets, and a mover of seditions. The first charge, made was one of general depravity. On coming to particulars Tertullus puts that first which would most touch the Roman power, and against which Felix had already shewn himself to be severe. Insurrections were of such common occurrence that one man might at this time be readily the prime mover in many.
It should be noticed that eupobves in this sentence is left entirely in suspense, the construction never being completed. It should run, 'having found him \&c....we \&c.,' but the conclusion is forgotten in the orator's accumulation of wrongdoings.
 throughout the world. We must bear in mind that Paul had been assailed at a time when Jerusalem was full of strangers who had come to the feast. It is not improbable that from some of the Jewish visitors particulars had been gathered about the Apostle's troubles at Philippi, Oorinth, Ephesus and elsewhere, which in the minds and on the lips of his accusers would be held for seditious conduct, conduct which had brought him at times under the notice of the tribunals. This Tertullus would put forward in its darkest colours. $\dot{\eta}$ oikoupty at this time meant 'the whole Roman Empire.' Cf. Casar's decree (Luke ii. 1) that 'all the world' should be taxed.

трштоота́тџリ $\tau \epsilon$, and a ringleader. The word is used in classical Greek of the front-rank men in an army. It is found in LXX. (Job
 describes a man fitted for the battle.
Tîs tâv Nafopalav alptofas, of the sect of the Nazarenes. The adjective is used as a term of reproach equivalent to 'the followers of Him of Nazareth,' which origin was to the mind of the Jews enough to stamp Jesus as one of the many false Messiahs. Cf. on the despised character of Nazareth, John i. 46.
 profane the Temple. The orator puts as a fact now, what had at first been only an opinion of the Asiatic Jews, that Paul had brought Trophimus into the Temple (zxi. 29). The mob made it as a charge in their excitement, but Tertullus speaks in cold blood.
dy кal éкрaтírapev, whom we also took, i.e. laid hold of by main force. The verb implies that force was needed for Paul's arrest.

Here the words, which are rendered in the A.V. 'and would have judged according to our Law. But the chief captain Lysias came upon us, and with great violence took him away out of our hands, commanding his accusers to come unto thee,' are omitted in nearly all the oldest MSS., while the Greek text in those MSS. in which the passage is found exhibits many variations. Yet in spite of this it is hard to see how the adrocate could have avoided some allusion to the circum-
stances mentioned in these words. Of course he puts the matter in a light most favourable to the Jews. 'We would have judged him according to our Law' is very different language from that in which (xxiii. 27) Lysias describes Paul as in danger to be killed by the Jews. The action of Lysias too is described by Tertullus as one of great violence. Probably the Roman soldiers would not handle the mob tenderly. But Tertullus is trying to cast blame upon the chief captain and to represent his party as doing all things according to law.
If the words be an interpolation, it is one which differs very greatly from those which are common in the Acts. In other places of the book such insertions have merely been made to bring the whole of a narrative under view at once, and there has been no variation of an account previously given elsewhere. But here we have a passage not representing the facts as stated before, but giving such a version of them as might make Lysias appear to have been in the wrong, and to have exercised his power in Jerusalem most arbitrarily against men who were only anxious to preserve the purity of their sacred temple. As both the Syriac and the Vulgate represent the passage it is not quite satisfactory to reject it.
8. $\pi$ ap' oủ $\delta$ vvijop autds àvakpivas, к.т. $\lambda$. , from whom thou wilt be able by examining him thyself to take knowledge, do. When the Text. Recept. stood, the words 'whom' and 'him' in this passage referred to Claudius Lysias, from whom Felix might naturally be expected to make enquiry; without the supposed interpolation the words apply to St Paul. Thus Tertullus suggests to Felix that the trath of the case against the Apostle would be found to be supported by an examination of the accused. This appears strange reasoning. It has therefore been suggested that the word duaxplyas has regard to some process of torture by which a prisoner might be forced to confess the truth. But for this no sufficient support has been found. The noun déakperis derived from this verb is employed (xxv. 26) for the enquiry before Agrippa. On the whole there seems quite as much to be said in favour of the Textus Receptus from internal evidence as can be brought against it by the evidence of MSS.
9. ooverterato סe kal of 'IouSaiol, and the Jews also joined in the charge, i.e by language of their own reiterated the accusation. For the verb used of an attaok made in common, ef. LXX. Ps. iii. 6, ot


фáoкovtes rav̂ia oütus Exelv, affirming that these things were so. Tertullas had of course been instructed in his case by Ananias and the elders. Having supplied him with his arguments they now express their accord with what he has said.

## 10-21. St Padl's Answer to the Charge.

10. í $\pi \epsilon \kappa \rho\left(\theta_{\eta} \tau \in \delta\right.$ Mavios, and Paul answered. When the governor had given him leave to speak the Apostle addressed his defence to the points charged against him. He had not excited the people, nor been the leader of any body of Nazaienes, nor had he polluted the Temple.
 at about a.D. 58 or 59 , and Felix had been made procurator in A.D. 52. So that 'meny years' means about six or seven. But the governors were often recalled before they bad held office so long. In verse 17 ' many years' must be about four or five.
 myself. St Paul was so far of good courage, because the experience of Felix, and his knowledge of Jewish manners and customs, would enable him to appreciate thestatements which related to the Apostle's presence in Jerasalem.
 ledge. The Apostle refers to the acquaintance which Felix had gained of Jewish habits and customs and their festivals, and the manner of observance thereof. This knowledge would make him appreciate St Paul's statement.
ov่ $\pi \lambda$ ג The time may be accounted for thus: the day of St Paul's arrival, the interview with James on the second day, five days may be given to the separate life in the Temple during the vow, then the hearing before the council, next day the conspiracy, the tenth day St Paul reached Cesarea, and on the thirteenth day [which leaves five days (xxiv. 1), as Jews would reckon from the conspiracy to the hearing in Casarea] St Paul is before Felix. See Farrar's St Paul, in. 338 (aote).
 rusalem for to worship. The purpose of the $\Delta$ postle was 'to worship.' Was it likely that he would try to profane the Temple? And $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \kappa \boldsymbol{u}-$ $\nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega p$ expresses all the lowly adoration common among Orientals. The apostle probably chose it for this reason. He would have Felix know that it was in a most reverent frame of mind that he came to the feast.
$\dot{d} \phi^{\prime}{ }^{\eta} s\left(\dot{\eta} \mu \varepsilon \varepsilon_{p a s}\right)$ is the construction in full.
 Temple disputing, \&c. The Apostle gives a flat denial to the charge of insurrection, and challenges them to prove any siagle point of it. He had not even entered into discussion with any man.




 Ver. 'stirring up a crowd.' The crowd had really been gathered by the Jews.
11. oùठè mapaotîoah Súvavtal ool, neither can they prove to thee. The proof must be such as the Law required, not the mere multiplied assertions of the accusers. The varb maplorचur implies a formal set-ting-forth of evidence, and is used by Josephus (De vita sua 6), of an array of proof which he has set forth to shew that his fellow-country. men did not enter on a war till they were forced.
 call a sect. So the rendering of alpeoss is made to correspond with verse 5 above. For 'the Way' meaning the Christian religion, see note on ix. 2.
 verb $\lambda a \tau \rho \in i \omega$ is used of service which a man is bound to pay, and by its use, as well as by the reference to 'the God of our fathers,' the Apostle wants to shew that he has cast off no morsel of his old allegiance, has not severed himself from the ancestral faith of the Jewish nation.
 to the Law, and which are written in the Prophets. The Apostle thus testifies to his complete acceptance of all the Jewish Scriptures. Sometimes the division is given as 'the Law, the Prophets, and the Psalms' (Luke xxiv. 44), but more frequently, as in the teat, only two sections are named (cp. Matth.' vii. 12, xi. 13, xxii. 40; Luke xvi. 16; John i. 45).
12. Ext(8a EXav, having hope. The way in which this hope is

 of the dead.
 for. Here the Apostle is of course alluding only to the Pharisees among his own people, but he puts them as representatives of the larger part of the nation. The Rev. Ver. renders 'which these also themselves look for.' If the Apostle employed the words in that sense he must have turned towards the body of Jews in the court rather than to the Sadducees and their spokesman.
 Paul adheres to the point which had before provoked the anger of Ananins and his party, and they must have been the more irritated becanse the words of the Apostle declare their opponents, the Pharisees, to be holding the true faith, and imply that such is the general belief of the Jewish people.

Stкalov $\tau \in \operatorname{kal} \dot{\alpha} \delta i \kappa \omega v$, both of the just and unjust. Speaking in the presence of Felix, the Apostle seems to have chosen words which might touch the conscience of the Procurator.
 i.e. in the worship, faith and hope spoken of in the last two verses; while holding this belief, and because I hold it, I try to keep my conscience clear. 'I exercise myself' that I may, by constant training and striving, at length get near to what $I$ aim after.
 The primary meaning of äпо́бкотоs is found Ecclus. xxxii. (xxxv.) 21
 nor anything to stumble at. A man of whose conscience the figure could be used was neither likely to be a profaner of the Temple nor a
mover of sedition. The adverb dıamaztós has a very emphatic place as the last word in the verse,
 to Jerusalem on the return from his second missionary journey in A.D. 53. It was now 4.D. 58, so that his absence had lasted four or five years (see note on verse 10 ).
 These consisted of the money which had been collected in the Churches of Macedonia and Achaia at St Paul's request, and which is often alluded to in his Epistles (cp. 1 Cor. xvi. 1; Rom. xv. 26 ; 2 Cor. viii. $4, \& c$. .) There could be no desire to wound the feelings of the Jews in a man who had come for such a purpose. It is noticeable too that he describes the alms as not for the Christians only, but for his nation, conveying by the word the impression of his great regard for all the Jews.

St Paul oan say enequaбipas moseiv, for though the gifts were not his own, he was the cause of their being sent.
кal $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \phi$ opás, and offerings. These were the sacrifices connected with the row which he had onderteken. They must be offered in the Temple, and the offerer was not likely to be one who thought of profaning the holy place.
18. iv ats, amidst which, i.e. engaged in offering these oblations.
 things forbidden by the Law of the Nazirites (see Num. vi. 3-8). A man who religiously purified himself could by no means be suspected as likely to defile the Temple. All things tell the same way.
 tumult. The two things that would be steps towards profanity in such a place, would have been to gather a crowd and then to raise an uproar. Nothing of the sort could be laid to Paul's charge.

Twets $8 t$ dimd $7 \hat{1} \mathrm{~s}$ 'Actas'Ioubaiol, but there were certain Jews of Asia. It wais from the Asiatic Jews, perhaps those from Ephesus, that the uproar had at first originated. It would appear also that part of Tertullos' argument was derived from their information. Of these Asiatic Jews St Paul was now about to speak, but he checks himself, and does not say any word against them, only that they ought to have been here to explain the offence for which he had been assailed.
19. кal кarpyoptiv, and to make accusation. They had set the cry against him, yet did not come to say what he had done wrong. Thay were probably on their way home, now that the feast was over.
20. ที au่zol oủ Ananias and his party. The assailants of St Paul were of two classes, first the Asiatic Jews, who were farious against him because of his preaching among the Gentiles in their eities, then those in Jerusalem who hated him for preaching the resurrection. He challenges them both, and when the former do not appear, he turns to the other.
 being the word which the Sadducees would use, not adopting it himself.
 to the moment when in the presence of the council he had spoken of the resurrection, and so produced a division in the assembly, there was no act of St Paul which had to do with any distarbance. The tumult in the Temple and while he was speaking from the tower-stairs was all caused by the Jewish mob.
 exclamation or cry. From xxiii. 6 we can see that St Paul raised his voice when he mentioned the resurrection.
$\ddot{\eta}=$ other than. $\quad \tau \kappa \ldots \ddot{\eta}=\tau i d \lambda \lambda o \dot{\eta}$.
22-27. Adjournment of the Catse. Fedix's Treatment of $\mathbf{S t}$ Padl.
 knowledge of the Way.
On $\dot{\eta} \dot{\dot{b}} \dot{\delta} \dot{s}=$ the Christian religion, see on ix. 2. Felix was more likely to understand something of the relations between Judaism and Christianity, because he had a Jewish wife, Drusilla, daughter of Herod Agrippa I., one who had been brought by her position into connexion with the movements of the time.
ötav Avotas d XLlapxos кaraß̂, when Lysias the chief captain shall come down. There had been nothing said in the letter of Lysias, so far as we have it, about his coming to Cæsarea, but no doubt he went often between Jerusalem and the residence of the governor. The language of this verse gives some support to the genuineness of verse 7 . (See note there.)


 It might perhaps be one of the two whom Lysias had put in charge of the conveyance of Paul (xxiii. 23). One might be appointed to go on to Cessarea, while the other returned with the larger part of the convoy from Antipatris.

ттpeiotal aútóv, that he should be kept in charge. тnpeío日as only conveys the idea of safe keeping, not of severe detention, and it is clear that for some reason Felix shewed himself well-disposed towards the Apostle. Either his conscience moved him or his hope of gain, or perhaps the fiattery and compliments of Tertullus had overshot their mark.
"Xetv TE äverur, and should have indulgence, i.e. the strict prison rules were to be relaxed in his favour.


кal $\mu \eta \delta \in v a \operatorname{kw\lambda } \dot{\varepsilon} \epsilon t v$, and that he should hinder no one. Here is a change of subject in the sentence. Пaivhor was the subject to the two

 Here from our limited knowledge we are only able to think of Philip the Evangelist, who would be particularly a friend of St Paul; but he had been more than once before in Cassarea, and he had no doubt made himself known there as in other places. Those unnamed disciples of Cassarea (xxi. 16) would be among the persons who had a warm interest in St Paul, and it is olear from St Luke's language that there were friends at hand and ready to visit the Apostle when they were allowed.
 of those services of which a prisoner even under such liberal conditions must ever stand in need. They would be his means of communication with the outer world. And the cupidity of Felix may have suggested that through these friends the means might be supplied for purchasing the Apostle's release.
 same, dc. Felix did not always reside in Casarea. After the first hearing of St Paul's cause he had gone away for a time, but on his return he sent for the Apostle to question him on his doctrine. Perhaps those words about the resurrection of the just and unjust had made him uneasy.
 who was a Jewess. She was a daughter of Herod Agrippa I. and so sister of Agrippa II. and of Bernice. She had formerly been married to Azizus, king of Emesa, but had been induced by Felix to leave her husband and become his wife. Though she had been only six years of age when her father died (Acts xii. 23) she may have heard of the death of James the brother of John, and the marvellous delivery of St Peter from prison: for such matters would be talked of long after they had happened, and perhaps her father's sudden death may have been ascribed by some to God's vengeance for what he had done against the Cbristians. Her marriage with the Gentile Felix shewed that she was by no means a strict Jewess, and what she had heard of Jewish opposition to St Paul's teaching may have made her, as well as her husband, desirous to hear him.
 some part of the procurator's official residence (see xxiii. 35 note) and so was close at hand.
 him concerning the faith in Christ Jesus. The addition of 'Iqoouv supported by the oldest MSS. gives force to the sentence. What St Paul would urge was not only a belief in the Christ, for whose coming all Jews were looking, but a belief that Jesus of Nazareth was the Messiah whom they had so long expected.
 and temperance and the judgment to come. It was no barren faith which St Paul commended, bat was to have its fruits in the life. Felix perhaps expected some philosophical dissertation on the subject of the resurrection, and the life after death. His own conduct, of which Tacitus (Ann. xiI. 54, Hist. v. 9) speaks as mean and cruel and profligate, would make the subjects on which St Paul addressed him peculiarly distarbing. For what if this man's teaching should be true?
 swered. It can hardly be conceived that St Paul was ignorant of the character of those to whom he was speaking. Felix had been in office long enough to be well known. And the Apostle's themes were exactly those by which he could find the joints in the procurator's harness. Of 'righteousness' his life's history shews no trace, and for 'temperance,' i.e. self-control, the presence of Drusilla by his side proved that he had no regard. Well might such a man be full of fear at the thought, as St Paul would urge it home, of the judgment after death. But the influence of his terror passed away, for we do not read that the Apostle ever beheld such signs of penitence as led him to quiet the terror, by preaching Christ as the atonement for sin.



тd $\mathfrak{\sim} \boldsymbol{v}$ EXOv, for the present. Cf. for the phrase Tobit vii. 11, $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha}$

 $I$ will call for thee. The convenient season never arrived. Felix did not change his conduct. When two years more of his rule were ended and he was superseded by Festus, the Jews in Cwsarea brought an accusation against him before Nero, and had it not been for his brother Pallas' influence he would have been punished for his cruelty and injustice. We have no record of how long he lived after his reoall from Cæsarea.
 would be given him by Paul. He had heard the Apostle speak of the contributions which he had gathered for the Jews in Jerasalem. His thought would naturally be that if he could raise money for the needs of others, he could do so for his own release.

Sı̀ kal, wherefore also, i.e. this was a second reason why Paul was frequently sent for, that he might, if he were disposed, offer Felix a bribe. The first reason was to hear what the Apostle had to say about the faith in Christ.
$\dot{\omega}_{\mu} \lambda_{\epsilon t}$ aút $\hat{\omega}$, he communed with him. $\dot{\sigma} \mu \lambda \in \omega$ implies that he established a degree of friendly intercourse with his prisoner. Thus the way was made smooth for any proposal about the terms of release, had Paul been inclined to make one.
27. $\delta$ เєтlas $\delta \boldsymbol{k} \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \theta \in l \sigma \eta \mathrm{~s}$, but when two years were fulfilled, i.e. fully completed. It may be that St Luke intends to indicate by his
expression, that it was not a reckoning of time such as was usual among the Jews, where portions of a year were sometimes connted for a whole, but that the Apostle's detention endured for two years complete.
 Felix' room. Lit. 'Felix received Porcius Festus as a successor.' Festus was made governor by Nero probably in a.d. 60 and died in about two years. Josephus (B. J. II. 14. 1) gives him a far better character than his predecessor, but he had the same kind of difficulties to deal with in the outbreaks of the populace and the bands of assassins with which the country was infested. (Jos. Ant. xx. 8. 10.)
 ing to gain (lit. to store up) favour with the Jews. What Felix particularly desired at this time was to blunt the anger which the Jews (especially those of Cæsarea) felt towards him, that they might be less bitter in their charges against him on his recall. And so he used Paul as his 'Mammon of unrighteousness' and left him detained that he might make himself friends thereby.
 This seems to indicate that before his departare Felix withdrew the indalgence which had been previously granted to Paul, and put him in bonds, so as to give to his successor the impression, which the Jews desired, that he was deserving of punishment. It would be very interesting to know what St Paul did during the two years that he was kept at Cøsarea. Various conjectures have been ventured on, but none with any ground of certainty. Some, accepting him as the author of the Epistle to the Hebrews, point to this period as the time of its composition. Others assign to this imprisonment those letters of the Apostle which speak so much of his bonds, viz. to the Ephesians, the Philippians, the Colossians and Philemon, but the evidence in favour of Rome as the place whence they were written seems far to outweigh all that can be said on behalf of Cæsarea. Our only reflection on such a gap as this in the history of St Paul's work must be that the Acts was not intended to be a narrative of any man's labours, but how God employed now this servant, now that, for the establishment of the Kingdom of Christ. The remembrance of this will prevent us seeking from the book what it was not meant to give.

## CHAPTER XXV.

Readings varying from the Text. recept.
2. of apXifpeif with NABCEL. Vulg. 'principes sacerdotum.'
6. äroтov after $\dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho /$ with NABCE. Vulg. 'crimen.'
 amplius quam octo aut decem.'
7. кatà roû Maúncu omitted with NABC. Not represented in Vulg.
 nem reddente.'
18. eis am inetav omitted with KABCE. Vulg. has in some texts ' damnare,' in others 'donare' for Xapizecolal.
18. mompaiv added at the end of the verse with AC. Fulg. 'malum.' See notes.
22. Eф $\begin{aligned} & \text { omitted with NAB. Vulg. has ' dixit.' }\end{aligned}$
is $\delta E$ omitted with NAB . Fulg. does not represent it.
25. катehaßó $\eta \eta$ with NABCE. Fulg. 'eomperi.'
av่róp after $\pi \notin \mu \pi e \iota v$ omitted with NABC. Vulg. does not represent it.
26. ti $\gamma$ रáqu with NABC. Vulg. 'quid scribam.'

Ch. XXV. 1-12. Abrival of Febtus. Padl's Cadee heard before him, Padl apphals to the Emperor.

1. Erレßds $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}}$ ETapxiq, was come into the province. This may either mean 'when he had reached Crsarea,' to which, as the seaport, he would naturally come first; or, with margin of the Rev. Yers., 'when he had entered upon his province.' The former seems to be the preferable sense because of what follows.
èmapxla, which only occurs in N. T. here and in xxiii. 34, is common in the Apocryphal Acts. Cf. Acta Petri et Pauli, §§ 3, 5, zc.
 very short time to make himself acquainted with what would be his principal residence, and then went up to visit the Capital.
2. Eve申ávodiv $\tau \epsilon_{,}$and they informed. The verb indicates that the proceedings here assumed a legal form. It was no mere mention in any irregular way, but a definite charge was made, no doubt in the same terms which Tertullus had used before.
See on this verb above, xxiii. 15, 22, xxiv. 1.
ol dpx Leptis, the chief priests. No doubt Ananias, as before, was the leader of the accusation, but he got others of his own class to support him in Jerusalem. He was their representative when the hearing was in Cmsarea.
 wealthiest men of the nation belonged to the party of the Sadducees.
3. aitoúpยข้ Xápıv кат' aùtov̂, desiring favour against him, i.e. they begged that their case might have some special consideration. They were many and rich; the accused man was alone and an obscure person, and it was much easier to bring one man from Cæsarea, than for their whole body to undertake a journey from Jerusalem thither. No doubt too they hoped that with a new governor their influence and good position would not be without weight.
 way to kill him. They still adhered to their plan of assassination, than which no crime was more common et this time in Judæa. Perhaps too those men who had bound themselves by a vow, though they had been forced to break it, yet felt dissatisfied that Padl was still alive.
 Paul was hept in charge at Casarea. The governor's position was that the prisoner had been placed by his predecessor in a certain state of custody, and that this could not be interfered with.
 to depart thither shortly. A governor newly arrived must move about actively, and could not remain long even in the capital. To have waited till all the arrangements, which the accusing party were supposed to be ready to make, were complete, would have consumed time, which must be occupied in learning the details of his provincial charge.

For èv $\tau$ áxel, cf. xii. 7, xxii. 18, above.
 The words of Festus do not refer to whether some of them could go to Cesarea or not, but to the character of those who should go down, that they should be men of influence and character, such as would Gitly represent the powerful body who appealed to him.

[^8] from Jerusalem stood round about him. They were eager to set apon him and so compassed him about on every side.
 and grievous charges. In the two years lapse of time they had gathered up every rumour they could collect, and these they brought forward, epen though they could not support them by evidence.


 offered an anohocla for himself. He did not make a defence against the unsubstantiated charges, but alluded only to those points on which they would try to prove their case, i.e. his alleged attempt to defile the Temple, his breaches of the Jewish Law, and any insurrectionary outbreaks, in which the accusers would try to prove him a leader, and which might be construed into opposition to the Roman power. On this last his accusers would lay most stress. St Luke has only given us the three heads of St Paul's Apologia.
 Jews... have I sinned at all. The accusation on the former occasion had not dwelt on this point, but in the course of two years they had discovered that the Apostle had taught among the Gentiles that circumcision was no necessary door for admission to Christianity, and this they would construe into an offence against the Jewish Law.
 desiring to gain favour with the Jews. See above, xxiv. 27. Though he had not consented to their request when in Jerusalem Festus now went some way towards doing so by his question to Paul.
 \&c. What Festus proposed was equivalent to acquitting the Apostle of any charge which would come under Roman law. He is therefore appealed to on the other accusations. The offences against the Law of the Jews and against the Temple must be heard before the Sanhedrin. Would Paul accept an acquittal on one count and submit to a trial before his own people on the rest? And Festus would be present to see that right was done.
 Cesar's judgment seat. The Roman authorities had taken charge of him and had kept him in custody for two years. Of this he reminds the governor, and refuses to be turned over to another tribual, where he would have for judges, if he ever were allowed to live till his trial, those persons who had been cognizant of the plot to murder him.
os $\mu \in \delta_{\epsilon i}$ кpivectat, where $I$ ought to be judged, because I am a Roman citizen.
 Paul does not mean to say that Festus is to be blamed for his proposal. Probably he saw that the governor was acting with a view to
conciliate the Jews. But he intends to say that after all that the governor has heard, any man would say at once that there was no case against the prisoner.

The comparative force in xadicoy may be brought out somewhat thus, 'better than from your proposal to turn me over to Jews you would appear to know.'
11. LL $\mu$ ìv oủv disuk $\hat{\text {, if }}$ if then I am a wrong-doer. He has asserted that he was innocent so far as the Jews are concerned. If there be anything against him, it is for the civil jurisdiction of Rome, not for the religious tribunal at Jerusslem, to decide upon.
 if they be all nothing, all without truth; cf. on oúdEv Ėviv, ehap. xxi. 24 above.
oưbfís $\mu \mathrm{E}$ 8ívatal aùtoîs Xaploacoal, no man can deliver me unto them, i.e. there is no authority or power by which I may be given into their hands.
Xaploractact properly signifies 'to grant us a favour,' and the use of it by St Paul seems to shew that he saw through all that Festus was doing, and how he was seeking (verse 9) to ingratiate himself with the Jews. For other instances of this verb, cf. 2 Macc. iii. 31, 33, and in the signification of 'to make a present,' 2 Macc. iv. 32.

Kalfapa inıка入oṽцal, I appeal unto Casar, the final tribunal for a Roman citizen being the hearing of the Emperor himself.







 council. Having taken the opinion of those who sat as assessors with him. Such persons would be specially needed for a new governor, and the governors of Judæa werechanged frequently. Of the existence of such assessors in the provinces, see Suetonius Tib. 33 ; Galba 19.

## 13-22. Festof consclits King Agrippa about his Prigonez. Agrippa wishes to hear Padl's Defenge.

 cf. Mark xyi. 1; Acts xyvii. 9.
'Aypimans \& Baoncés, king Agrippa. This was Herod Agrippa II., son of Herod Agrippa I., and consequently a great-grandson of Herod the Great. He was therefore brother of Bernice and Drusilla. On account of his youth he was not appointed to succeed his father when he died. But after a time the Roman emperor gave him the hingdom of Chalcis, from which he was subsequently transferred to govern the tetrarchies formerly held by Philip and Lysanias, and was named
king thereof. His kingdom was afterwards increased by the grant of other cities which Nero gave him. At the fall of Jerusalem he retired to Rome, with his sister Bernice, and there died a.D. 100. He had sided with the Romans in the war against the Holy City. Festus was likely to avail himself of an opportunity of consulting Agrippa, for he would expect to be soundly adrised by him on any question of Jewish law.
kal Bepv(кy, and Bernice. She was the eldest daughter of Herod Agrippa I. She had first been married to her uncle Herod, king of Chalcis. Her connexion with her brother Agrippa II. was spoken of both by Roman and Jewish writers as immoral. She was subsequently married to Polemon, king of Cilicia, but soon leit him and lived with Agrippa II. in Rome.
 Casarea, and saluted (lit. having saluted) Festus. The Greek seems to imply that they had met and paid their calutation to Festus before arriving at Cmsarea. If this had occurred, yet still the vassal-king Agrippa would probably feel bound to pay a formal visit of welcome to the representative of Rome in Cøsarea, the official residence.
15. of ápXLeferis, the chief priests. See above on verse 2 , and on

 Hence Rev. Ver. 'sentence.' The word implies that those who asked thought there could be but one opinion and that a condemnatory sentence might be at once pronounced, even by the newly arrived governor.
16. Xaplfifotal twa duv日pwtov, to give up any man. See above, verse 11 , on the force of $\chi$ apl $\zeta \in \sigma \theta a t$. The language thronghout shews that the Jews thought the influence of their party was enough to gain from Festus the condemnation of this so obscure a prisoner, whatever might be the merits of his case.
 defence (lit. 'place of defence'). On tómos in this sense cf. Ecclus. iv.
 'haring no more place in these parts' signifies 'no further opportunity for preaching the Gospel.'

The two verbs ${ }^{8}$ रot and $\lambda \alpha \beta o t$ are the only two cases of an optative after $\pi \rho l \nu \bar{\eta}$ in the N. T.
 together here, i.e. the accusers from Jerusalem and the accused who was in custody. Then they were kard $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \omega \pi o p$, as the Roman. law required.
18. тepl of otalévtes oi katifyopol, concerning whom the accusers when they stood up. Or there may be the same sense in the expression as in $\pi \in p u t \sigma r \eta \sigma a p$ of verse 7 , 'When they stood round about him' eager each to give emphasis to the charge.
 evil accusation of such things as I supposed. With airla mopmpa may be

 Cf. St Paul's use of the cognate adjective, when he was speaking to the Athenians. The word is one which might be employed without offence by any one in speaking of a worship with which he did not agree, Addressing Agrippa, Festus would not wish to say a word that might annoy, any more than St Paul wished to irritate the Athenians by his speech.
$\pi \epsilon \rho\left(\right.$ tuos' $\eta^{\eta} \sigma 0 \hat{v}$, concerning one Jesus. Neither in the hearing of the cause before Felix nor when Festus made his inquiry, does St Luke record any mention of the name of Jesus, but it is clear from the explanation here given that not only had Paul stated the doctrine of the Resurrection generally, which the Pharisees accepted, but had also asserted in proof of it that Jesus had risen and 'become the firstfruits of them that sleep.'
 perplexed how to inquire concerning these things. The whole subject would be strange to Festus, and when he found that some Jews in part at least agreed with St Paul, while others of them were his bittel opponents, he could find no better plan than to turn to a Jew for an explanation. He did not himself know how to conduct an inquiry on such a subject, and yet the Jews' religion, being now allowed by the Empire, must have its causes adjudicated on.
 the decision of the emperor. тпpeĩtai is used above, xxiv. 23, where the centurion was commanded to 'keep' Paul. He desired to be under the care of the Roman authorities until his case could be properly heard. $\Sigma e \beta a \sigma t o ́ s$, the title given first to Octavianus, was afterwards conferred on his successors, and so came to mean 'His Imperial Majesty,' whoever might be on the throne. The present
 plies 'thorough inquiry,' whioh a final appeal was supposed always to reсеive.
 Ver. 'could wish'] also to hear the man myself. Agrippa intimates that he knew something of the Apostle and his labours, as indeed was not unlikely, and that in consequence he had for some time been desirous to see and hear St Paul.

23-27. Assembly of the Codet, and Address of Featue.
 in the steps of their father, who formerly had sat on his tirone in Oæsarea arrayed in royal apparel, to listen to the flatteries of the Tyrian deputation (xii. 21).

фavracia is found only here in N.T., and in this sense is very rare anywhere.
dikpoartipor, the place of hearing. The word is found nowhere else in N.T. It was no doubt some special room attached to the governor's palace, where causes were tried. In classical Greek it is found in the sense of 'a lecture-room.'
$x^{\text {uleapposs, chief captains. The word is frequent for the ' praefectus' }}$ of a Roman cohort.
 used of any thing which is prominent. Cf. LXX. Job xxxix. $28 \dot{\epsilon} \pi^{\prime}$ $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \xi \mathrm{ox} \hat{\eta} \pi \epsilon \tau \rho \in s$, , on the crag of the rock.' Hence in the text of persons who are prominent. But the phrase is not common.
24. ivtruxóv pot, made suit to me. In all other places of N. T. evruyáayev is used of 'making intercession' to God. In the LXX. it is also used thus, Wisdom viii. 21 èferuxav $\tau \underset{\varphi}{\varphi}$ кupl $\varphi$; bat also very frequently of those who come before some authority with a complaint, as the Jews did against St Paul. See 1 Mace. viii. 32, x. 61, 63, 64, xi. 25; 2 Mace. iv. 36.
ral evfdide, and also here. No doubt the Sadducees from Jerusalem had been able in the course of two years to work up a great deal of feeling against Paul among their party in Casarea. So when Festus came he was appealed to by the great men of the residential city as well as by those from Jerusalem.

 worthy of death. To ask for the life of a prisoner because of some offence against the religious observances of the Jews would be absurd in the eyes of the Roman procurator, and the more so when the accused was a Roman citizen.
$\Sigma<\beta$ actóv, the emperor. See on verse 21.
26. T凶ิ кup $(\varphi$, to my lord. Octavianus by an ediet forbade the title 'Lord' to be given to him. The practice had its rise from parasites; but you find 'Dominus' often used in Pliny's letters to Trajan, so that not many emperars were like Octavian.
\$ $\boldsymbol{\phi}^{\prime}$ íphy, before you. Spoken with a glance towards the chief priests and great personages who were present on the bench.

кal $\mu \mathrm{a} \lambda \iota \sigma \mathrm{ra} \dot{\mathrm{e}} \pi \mathrm{l}$ бov, and especially before thee, i.e. as one most likely to be able to clear up the difficulties which I feel about the prisoner.

Tท̂s divakplotws yavorevns, the examination having been made. The English of A.V. is very idiomatic, 'after examination had.' In classical Greek ajdikplors is used of a preliminary examination of a cause before the Archon, to see whether there is ground for proceeding further. So Festus uses the technical term in its proper sense.
oxị $\tau i$ ypóqu, I may have somewhat to write. Lit. 'what I may write.' With this use of the interrogative $\tau$, where in classical Greek
 $\lambda a \lambda \eta^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \tau \epsilon$.
27. aloyov, unreasonable. In this sense, which is quite the olassical usage of the word, alloyos is not found again in N. T.
т $\in \mu \pi о v \tau$, when sending. This may mean 'when I am sending,' and if so taken, then the accusative participle following the dative
 and the construction is not uncommon with words like $\tilde{\xi} \in \sigma \sigma$. But $\pi \epsilon \mu \pi$ одтa may be general in its application and mean 'that any one when sending, \&c.,' and no doubt it would be as unreasonable in the case of any other person as of Festus.

## CHAPTER XXVI.

Readings varying from the Text. recept.
3. wou after 8 fepar omitted with NABE. Not represented in Vulg.
6. «ls tov̀s пatepas ทip $\omega \boldsymbol{v}$ with NABCE. Fulg. 'ad patres nostros.'
7. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ before ${ }^{\prime}$ Iov $\delta a(\omega v$ omitted with NABCEHILP.
$\beta a \sigma \cdot \lambda \in \hat{u}$ at the end of the verse, omitting $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \hat{v}$ ' $A \gamma \rho(\pi \pi \alpha$ with KBCEL. Fulg. puts 'rex' at the end.
12. kal after iv ois omitted with NABCEI. Not represented in Vulg.
14. 入éyourav трós $\mu \epsilon$ with NABCI omitting kal $\lambda$ '́youvav afterwards. The Vulg. has only 'loquentem mihi.'
 dixit.'
25. $\delta \delta \notin$ Haī入os with NABE. Fulg. 'et PauIus.'
28. motp̂oal for $\gamma \in p \in \sigma \theta a i$ with NAB. Vulg. 'fieri’ representing

29. $\epsilon$ itev omitted with NAB. Not represented in Vulg.
$\mu$ күáap for $\pi$ о $\lambda \lambda \hat{\varphi}$ with NAB. Fulg. 'magno.'
30. каl тaîta elтbyтos aürô̂ omitted with NAB. Not represented in Fulg.

## Ci. XXYI. 1-23. Padl's Defence before Aarippa

1. dтелоүधito, made his defence. The verb is the same as before (xix. 33, xxiy. 10, xxy. 8), and intimates that what is coming is an apologia. St Luke here as in other places notices the gesture of the

 Agrippa was sure to understand much of the feeling imported into the case which would be entirely obscure to a Roman magistrate. Paul would thus be able to make his position clear, and get it explained through Agrippa to the Roman anthorities.
knt roû, before thee. So xxiv. 19, and frequently in N.T. $\epsilon \pi i$ with genitive in this sence is also found in classical Greek, but not so commonly with a personal pronoun. For an example of the use, cf. Acta

 Some bave joined $\mu d \lambda \pi \sigma \tau a$ with $\gamma \nu \dot{\sigma} \sigma \tau \eta \nu$, 'because thou art especially expert.' But there is nothing to shew that this was so. He knew, as other Jews knew, the character and meaning of Jewish customs, but nothing more.
$\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ wiotns is used most frequently in the LXX. of those diviners and dealers with familiar spirits spoken of in the historical books. Cf. 1 Sam. xxviii. 3, 9; 2 Kings xxi. 6, xziii. 24. Also in Susanna, verse



 $\chi$ даlocu.
 this adjectival use of katà followed by a noun or pronoun, cf. ol kat $\theta^{\prime}$

Makpoof́nws, patiently. Only here in N.T., and not found in LXX. though $\mu a \kappa \rho b \theta v \mu o s$ is very common there.
2. Tìv $\mu \mathrm{e} v \mathrm{oviv} \beta t \omega \sigma \boldsymbol{t} \mathrm{p}$ pou, now my manner of life. Btwots is only found here in N.T. and nowhere in profane authors. We have the
 $\sigma \in \omega s$, , that...they may profit in living according to the Law.' This is said of exactly such a life as St Paul led before his conversion.
$\mathbf{a}^{\prime \prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\mathbf{d} \rho X \hat{\eta} \mathrm{~s}, \text { from the beginning. The Apostle though born in Tarsus }}$ yet came early to Jerusalem for his education, and it was in the Holy City that his character was formed and his manner of life shewed itself.

Iv $\tau \in$ 'Iєpoco ${ }^{\prime}$ poos, and at Jerusalem. This addition of $\tau \epsilon$ implies that even before coming to Jerusalem the Apostle had always dwelt among his own people, and so was not likely to be one who would undervalue Jewish privileges or offend against Jewish prejudices.
tract mávtes oi 'Iov8aîol, know all the Jews. Because in the persecutions of the Christians Saul had made himself a conspicuous character, and so had Been in favour with the chicf priests and allowed to undertake the mission to Damascus.
 ledge of me from the first, if they be willing to testify. at $\nu \omega \theta_{\in \nu}$ is found Luke i. 3, where the Evangelist is describing his perfect understanding of the Gospel story 'flom the very first.' When we remember that the early part of his Gospel can hardly have been gathered from anybody but the Virgin Mary, who alone could know many of the details, we may well think that the word $a^{\boldsymbol{p}} \omega \mathrm{\theta}_{\mathrm{E}}$ here implies that St Paul had been known from his very childhood. The rest of the sentence seems
to intimate that there were some among those who were now his acousers who could give evidence about his previous years if they were so minded.

кard̀ тทंv dкpıßeotárqu alperıv, after the straitest sect. azpeocs in the singular =sect, as it is rendered everywhere in the Acts (in A.V.) except xxiv. 14. In the Epistles where the plural only oceurs it is 'heresies.'
 cially to the outward marks of religious observance or life. Thas it would describe well the ceremonial for which the Pharisees were specially distinguished. In the LXX. it is only used of the worship of idols. See Wisdom xiv. 18, 27.
6. кal vûv...民oтina крเvópєvos, and now I stand here to be judged, i.e. I am on my trial.
 the promise made by God unto our fathers, i.e. beeause I entertain the hope that the promise which God made to the patriarchs and to David ahall be fulfilled to us. The 'promise' mast be of the Messiah, and of His coming into the world as King. For this is what the ten tribes were looking for. But this in St Paul's view embraced the doctrine of the Resurrection, because that was God's assurance to the world (Acts xvii. 31) that He who was so raised up was to be the judge of quick and dead.
7. eis $\eta \boldsymbol{\eta} v$, unto which (promise). This makes it clear that the promise was the sending of the Messiah, that in Him all the families of the earth should be blessed.

тд̀ $\delta \omega \delta \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \phi u \lambda o v ~ \dot{\eta} \mu \omega \hat{\omega}$, our twelve tribes. For the word see Protev.


The Jews regarded themselves as representing the whole race, and not merely the two tribes of the kingdom of Judah, and this no doubt was true, for tribal names continued to be preserved, and with the people of Judah there came back many of the members of the previous captivity of Israel. Thus in the N. T. we find (Luke ii. 36) that Anna was of the tribe of Asher, and St James addresses his Epistle (i. 1) ' to the twelve tribes that are scattered abroad' ; and Paul himself knew that he was of the tribe of Benjamin. Cf. also 2 Chron. xxxi. 1 for evidence of the existence of some of the ten tribes after the Captivity. In T. B. Berachoth 20 a Rabbi Jochanan says 'I am from the root of Joseph.'
 twice in Judith iv. 9, rendered in A. V. (1) 'with great fervency' and (2) ' with great vehemency.'
 press it upon others.
 twelve tribes to whom the promise was made. Thus Paul brings out the inconsistency of the situation.
 it judged incredible with you if God doth raise the dead? The last clanse is not to be understood hypothetically, but 'If God doth, as He hath done in the case of Jesus.' So that it is equivalent to 'Why should you not believe that Jesus has been raised from the dead?'

Chrysestom points out that the strange thing was that the doctrine

 tis tòr Aóyov.
 Christ, into whose name believers were to be baptized. Cf. v. 41, note. ' Name' is constantly used in O. T. as the equivalent of 'Godhead,' and any Jew who heard the language of such a verse as this would understand that the Christians held Jesus to be a Divine Being.
'Iŋrov̂ rov̂ Nafopalov, of Jesus of Nazareth, whom we proclaim now as having been raised from the dead, and as being the fulfiller of the promises which were made to our forefathers.
 Jerusalem. Saul must have been a most active and prominent agent in the work of persecution in Jerusalem, for we learn here that the death of Stephen was not the only one for which he had given his vote. He had also had the warrant of the chief priests for other arrests beside those he intended to make in Damascus. We can see that the slaughter of the Christians was not in all cases the result of a sudden outburst of rage at some act or speech, but that some of them were imprisoned, then subjected to a form of trial, and afterwards put to death as men condemned by law.
$\phi$ 埌ais. On the use of this word in the plural see xxii, 4, note.
$\psi \eta$ inov, pote. Of course the sense is the same as 'voice' in A. $\bar{\nabla}$. , bot the literal translation brings out more prominently that these proceedings were all carried on in a formal and quasi-legal manner.
 punishing them often in all the synagogues. This shews how zealous Saul's labours against the Way had been. Of the synagogues as places where offenders were accused and punished, ef. Matth, x. 17, xxiii. 34; Mark xiii. 9 ; Luke xii. 11, xxi. 12.
 is frequently rendered 'constrain' or 'compel,' but being here in the imperfect tense, it seems to indicate that the attempt was repeated often, snd needed to be so, for it was not in some cases successful. Saul kept on with his constraint. $\beta \lambda a \sigma \phi \eta \mu \epsilon \hat{i}$, , i, e. the name of Jesus, into which they had been baptized. They were to be forced to renounce the belief in the divinity of Jesus. Cf. on blasphemy of the Divine Name, Lev. xxiv. 11-16.
 outside the country of the Jews proper. So that, as it appears,

Damascus was but one among several cities to which Saul had gone on his errand of punishment.

12. tv ois, wherein, i.e. in doing this work. The margin of Rev. Ver. represents the sense very well, 'on which errand.'
 and commission of the high priests. Saul was the commissioner sent by the Jewish magistrates, and at this particular time Damascus had been assigned as the district where he was to searah for the Christians.
 the supernatoral character of a light which overpowered the midday glare of an Eastery sun.
 me. Saul alone gathered the import of what was said. His companions merely heard the sound, but nothing of the words. Cf. Dan. x. 7.
 sented in the proper name, which is not इaûhos as usual, but $\sum a o v i \lambda$, a transliteration of the Hebrew form.
 the pricks (lit. the goads). This is the only plase where the oldest MSS. give these words. See note on ix. 5. The figure is from an ox, being driven on in his work. When restive or lazy, the driver pricks him, and in ignorance of the consequences, he kicks back, and so gets another wound. The words would imply that God had been guiding Saul towards the true light for some time before, and that this zeal for persecution was a resistance offered to the divine urging. It is not unusual for men who are moved to break away from old traditions at such times, by outward acts, to manifest even more zeal than before for their old opinions, as if in fear lest they should be thought to be falling away. This may have been Saul's case, his kieking against the goads. The figare is very common in classical literature. Cf. Aesch. Prom. 323 ; Eur. Bacche 791.
15. Tis eih kúpı; who art thou, Lord : The readiness with which 'Lord,' an expression of allegiance, comes to the Apostle's lips lends probability to the notion that God's promptings had been working in his heart before, and that the mad rage against 'the Way' was an attempt to stifle them.
 for the verb, xxii, 14. It implies a deliberate selection and appoint-

 hast seen. The Rev. Vers. gives 'wherein thou hast seen Me,' reading $\mu$ after eides. This reading gives a good sense, for St Pano dwells not unfrequently in his Epistles on his having seen Jesus. Of. 1 Cor. ix. 1, xF .8 , \&ic., and he makes this the ground of his independence in the

Apostolic work, so that he can eay he is not a whit behind any of the other Apostles.

But the Text. recept. is accepted by Lachmann, Tisehendorf and Tregelles.

For the attraction of $\dot{\omega}$ for $\mathbb{d}$ see note on i. 1.
 unto thee. St Paul was more favoured than the rest of the Apostles, as far as we gather from the N.T. records, with visions from God to guide and comfort him at critical points in his work. Cf. Aets xviii. 9, xxiii. I1; and 2 Cor. xii. 2. It was specially important that Paul should have seen Jesus, so that he might bear independent witness to the truth of his Resurrection.
 The verb implies that the Apostle will be seized, and that the deliverance will be a rescue. From the first even in Damascus Saul found this, and he knew that in every city bonds and persecutions were to be his lot.
 the verb='I make thee an Apostie.' In the oldest texts 'ž' is emphatically expressed. 'Thou,' as well as the rest, 'art an Apostle chosen by Me, the Lord Jesus.' The mission to the Gentiles seeins to have been made clear to Saul from the very first. Compare his own language, Gal. i. 16. And in Acts iz. 29 his preaching appears to have been rather directed to the Greek-Jews than to the members of the Church in Jerusalem.
 they may turn. Here we have another shade of meaming of the genitival infinitive. By the opening of their eyes the Gentiles will be


àmò $\sigma$ кórous $\mathfrak{\text { es }} \boldsymbol{\phi} \hat{\omega} \mathrm{s}$, from darkness to light. So complete is the change which the Gospel knowledge works.
 by faith in me. It is by their belief in Jesus that men are sanctified, and here 'sanotified,' as so often 'saint' in St Paul's Epistles, is applied to those who have been set on the way of salvation, and not to those who are perfect in holiness; to that they will be brought if they persevere.
 did not become, or prove, disobedient.' The thought goes back to the 'kicking against the pricks,' the opposition of previous times. That was at an end now. Jesus was 'Lord,' and Saul's only question 'What wilt thou have me to do?'
Tn̂ ovpavle órtacia, to the heavenly vision. oim Late origin. It occurs several times in N.T., Luke i. 22; 2 Cor. xii. 1 ; also frequently in the LXX. of Daniel.
20. кal iv 'Ieporo入úpols, and at Jerusalem. Cf. ix. 29. Here he spake boldly in the name of the Lord Jesus, and disputed against the Grecians, so that they went about to kill him.
 of dudaa. This accusative of place after ana $\gamma^{\prime} \in \lambda \lambda \epsilon \boldsymbol{w}$ without a preposition is very unusual, bat all the oldest anthorities agree in omitting eis. The omission is probably due to the position of the words between the two datives 'Iepogodúpous and rois z $\theta y \in \sigma \omega$.

Of this ministration in Judea we are only told, ix. 30, that the brethren finding Saul in danger in Jerasalem brought him to Casarea, and thence sent him to Tarsus. But as we see in the history of Felix (cf. xxiii. 34, note) that Cilicia was sometimes reckoned as a part of the province of Judæa, the preaching in Cilicia may be included in the expression 'country of Judæa.' And we may feel sure that Paul, wherever he might be, never laid aside the character which Christ's mission had imposed upon him.
 Saul had a message given to him to deliver. He was henceforth God's evangelist.
 repentance. Thus the force of the article is more nearly given, for the works were to be a sign of their repentance and turning onto God; the means whereby the reality of their sorrow and the earnestness of their desire were to be shewn.
21. Eveka тoítav, on account of these things. R.V. very well 'for this cause.'
'Iov8aion $\sigma u \lambda \lambda a \beta 6 \mu$ wot, the Jews having seized me. The verb implies an arrest with violence.
 the riot in the Temple with the aubsequent plot before he was sent to Cæsarea, or he may be alluding only to the violence by which he was nearly torn in pieces before the chief captain came to his rescue. The verb draxecplforas indicates the laying violent hands on any one, and so favours the latter view. It is found above, v. 30.
 ed the help that is from God. The connexion by oviv implies that only help divine could have saved him in such perils. ėтıкаvpla means such succour as an ally gives, and recalls God's promise, 'Surely I will be with thee.'
 has in mind the many attempts to cast him down which had been made by Jews, and Gentiles too, during his missionary journeys. He has been rescued in many ways, and is still there standing safe and sound through the help which God hath sent him. He does not forget human agency, but this, whatever it was, was all sent of God.
 St Paul was now in the presence of two who would be named great, and he knew that God had declared he was to teatify 'before kings' (ix. 15).

むv. For the government, see i. 1.
 old Testament Scriptures. The form of the phrase is usually 'Moses and the prophets,' according to the order of the O.T. books. Sometimes we have 'the Law and the prophets,' and once (Luke xxiv. 44) 'the law of Moses, the prophets and the Psalms.'
$\mu e \lambda \lambda 6 v \tau \omega \nu$ үlvectar, were about to come. The attraction of $\mu \in \lambda \lambda b y-$ $\tau \omega \nu$ into the case of the relative preceding is an uncommon occurrence. The plain construction of the whole sentence would be ésròs roúrwy ii
 spake of as about to come.' But roír $\omega \nu$ being dropped, the relative is attracted into the case of the lost antecedent, and draws the partieiple in its train.
23. El ma0ŋròs í Xpıनтós, that the Christ should suffer. Literally 'if the Christ be one who has to suffer.' And the Apostle having in his mind the facts, puts the sentence as a topio on which there was debate among the Jews, as indeed there was (see John xii. 34). And St Paul says he answered this question out of the Scriptares. His answer of course was a positive one; therefore what he taught is fairly represented by the English 'that the Christ' do.; though the teaching was a response to 'whether the Christ be one who is to suffer.' The same remark applies to the use of $\varepsilon l$ in the next clause.
 the resurrection of the dead should proclaim light. For Christ was the first-fruits of them that sleep. His resurrection was an earnest of the general resurrection. Thus life and immortality were brought to
 points on to the preaching of the Gospel from generation to generation. He shall enlighten believers thus through all time.
 By ó $\lambda$ aós the Jews are meant. So in St Matth, i. 21, 'He shall save His people from their sins,' 'His people'=His own (cf. St John i. 11), i.e. the Jews. Christ was spoken of in like terms by the aged Simeon, 'A light to lighten the Gentiles and to be the glory of Thy people Israel,' and he could say this because in Jesus he beheld God's 'salvation.' He could 'depart in peace,' being sure that 'to die' was only the pledge of 'to rise again.'

24-32. Interrdption by Festus. Appeal to Aghippa. Consultation and Dectision.
24. $\mu \subset \gamma^{\alpha} \lambda_{\eta} \tau \hat{n} \phi \omega v \hat{\eta} \phi \eta \sigma\lceil\nu$, says with a loud voice. Probably what had last fallen from St Paul seemed to Festus little better than lunatic ravings. The Gospel of the Cross did appear as 'foolishness' to the

Gentile world. And this Gospel he had just heard in all its fulness: that the Christ by suffering of death and rising to life again should be the source of true enlightenment both to Jews and Gentiles.
ralvn Mavide, Paul, thou art mad. patpouat occurs in the next verse, and the two places should accord, though sentiment alings to "Panl, thou art beside thyself."
 make thee mad. Literally, 'doth turn thee to madness.' For roápuata in the sense of 'learning' 'letters,' cf. John vii. 15. It may be also that there is an alliusion to the $\gamma \rho \dot{\alpha} \mu \mu a \pi a$, 'the Jewish Scriptures,' to which the Apostle had been so largely appealing. As a religious literature no nation, not even the polished Greeks, had anything to place in comparison with the sacred books of the Jews.
25. крátiore, most excellent. On this title of. above, xxiii. 26, xxiv. 3. St Chrysostom remarks here that the Apostle now answers with gentleness, not as to the high-priest (xxiii. 3).
$\sigma \omega \phi$ porúrys, soberness. In classical Greek the word is the exact opposite of that $\mu a v i a$ onto which Festus had just said St Paul was turned.
 persuaded that none of these things is hidden from him, i.e. none of the history of the life and works of Jesus, of His death and resurrection, of the marvellous gifts of Pentecost, and the preaching of the Gospel since Jesus had been crucified.

The grammar presents some anomaly from the occurrence of $\tau i$ and oudiz in the same sentence. It is perhaps best to take the former adverbially ='in any degree.' Then av before $\pi \in i \theta 0 \mu a l$ is only the Greek manner of intensifying a negative idea, and noed not be noticed in the English idiom.
 knowledge about our Lord among the Jewish people we can be sure from the excitement which during His life He caused by His mighty works, also from the efforts put forth to stop His teaching, efforts which culminated in a trial in which both Jewish and Roman magistrates were consalted, and by the exclamation of the Pharisees (John xii. 19) 'The world is gone after Him,' and the declaration (Acts xvii. 6) 'These that have turned the world upside down.'


 writings foretell the events about which I am speaking, and whose predictions have had their fulfilment in the history of Jesus of Nazareth.
ol8a 8Tı TtनTétes, I knoz that thou believest. The Apostle answers his own question, for he is sure that Agrippa would not have given a different answer, seeing how anxious all his family were, in spite of their relations with Rome, to be accepted of the Jewish nation. St
?aul does not imply by his words any conviction about the charaeter If Agripps's faith in the Seriptures.
 uasion thou wouldest fain make me a Christian. The literal rendering s, 'with (or in) little (labour or time) thou art persuading me so as to
 abour' or 'in a little time' implies that the king despised the attempt which had been made to convince him, and mocked at the language of St Paul in so readily taking for granted that he was in accord with him. It is as though he said, 'You are supposing that I accept these words of the prophets in the same sense as you do, and you are a fool for your pains, to think that with so little trouble and in so short a space you could win me over to your side. And such a side! To be a Christian.' The name had, no doubt, been given, when it was first applied (Acts xi. 26), to the adherents of Jesus as a term of reproach, and it is likely that it had not yet won its way to be a name of credit, at all events among such men as Agrippa and his friends. For we have no reason to suppose that the king was influenced at all by Paul's words.
 The Apostle takes up the jeer of the king in a serious tone, and replies: 'I may have seemed to use little persuasion, and suddenly to have jumped at the conclusion that you accept the teaching of the prophets as I myself receive it; but whether it need little or much persuasion, or little or much time, my prayer to God is, for you and for all who listen to me, that they may become such as I am, save as to my bonds.'
 The Apostle does not use the word 'Christian,' which for himself he might willingly have sccepted (cf. 1 Pet. iv. 16), but which was used by the king in a mocking sense, and therefore would not have made his wish seem an acceptable one. You may call me 'Christian' in mockery, my joy and hope and faith in Christ are such, that I know no better prayer for any than to wish you all the like blessings.
$\pi a p \epsilon \kappa т o ̀ s ~ t \omega \hat{\nu} \delta \in \sigma \mu \omega \hat{\nu}$ rovitav, except these bonds. From this it is clear, in spite of the leniency with which Panl had been at first treated by Felix, that either because his case was deemed more serious in consequence of his being left in prison so long, or because he was just now before the court as a prisoner, the Apostle had been put in chains.

For tapekrós, which is a rarely found preposition, cf. Matth. 7. 32. Also 'Test. xiI. Patr.' Zab. 1, парєкт ${ }^{\prime}$ épyolas. See also "Teaching of the Twelve Apostles,' 6.
 captains and the principal men of Cmsarea. (See xxy. 23.) The authorities withdrew to consult upon what they had heard.
 sense brings out more clearly that they were all of one mind about the case．

32．ámo入e入ícfal $\mathbf{E 6 i v a t o}$ ，might have been set at liberty．Thus Agrippa，looking at the question from the Jewish standpoint，confirms the opinion of the Roman magistrate（cf．xxv．25）．So that St Padl was acquitted on all hands，and Festus may rightly be deemed guilty because he had driven an innocent man to appeal to a higher court， from fear that he would be delivered into the power of his enemies． But God was using human means for bringing the Apostle to Rome， and so fulfilling his servant＇s great desire，and in such wise that he should be heard before kings in behalf of the Gospel．
 The eppeal put an end to all powers of a lower court either to condemn or absolve．



## OHAPTER XXVII．

Readings varying from the Text．resept．
2．$\mu(\lambda \lambda$ ovt for $\mu \in \lambda \lambda 0 \nu \tau \epsilon s$ with NAB．Vulg．＂ineipientes．＂
14．สúpakú入 $\omega v$ with NAB．Fulg．＇Euroaquilo．＇
16．Kaûסa with $\mathbb{K B}$ ．Fulg．＇Cauda．＇
19．Efpıuav with NABC．Vulg．＇projecerunt．＇
29．EктE mus．＇
41．Tヘ̂̀ кvんátuy omitted with NAB．Vulg．has＇a vi maris．＇

## 1－44．St Paul＇s Voyage and Shipwreck．

 that we should sail．No other instance of this infinitive with rov pre－ fixed is found after kpive except in the Text．recept．of 1 Cor．ii．2， where it is rejected by Lachmann，Tischendorf and Tregelles．But in the LXX．the construction is common enough after verbs of kindred
 even̂vai cls $\tau \mathrm{h}^{\prime} \mathrm{II} \epsilon \rho \sigma i \delta a$ ，＇he determined to go into Persia＇（A．V．）．See
 ix．69，xii． 35.
mape6i8ouv，they delivered，i．e．the soldiers who had the care of Panl did so by order of Festus．
ékaтovт́́pXy，to a centurion．This was generally the rank of the officers appointed to such a charge．Cf．xxi．32，xxiv．23，\＆c．
 be rendered 'cohort' as in the marg. of R.V., and it is said that in the time of Octavianus Augustus there were some legions to which the title $\Sigma_{e \beta a \sigma \tau} s^{\prime}=$ Augastus was given, as being specially the Imperial troops, and that perhaps among the soldiers in Cesarea there was a detachment of these legions. But as Cæsarea was itself called 'Sebaste' it seems more likely that the soldiers were Samaritan troope belonging to Cexsarea itself. And Josephus (Wars, II. 12. 5) makes mention of troops which had their name, Sebasteni, from this city Cesarea Sebaste.
 for 'going on board ship.'
'A8papytrnvê, of Adramyttium. This was a seaport on the coast of that district of Asia Minor called Mysia, and in early times Aeolis. It appears to have been in St Paul's time a place of considerable trade, and Pliny (v. 30) mentions it as an assize town. The reason why the Apostle and his companions embarked on board a vessel from this port was that it was probably the easiest way of getting into the line of vessels going from Asis to the West. The iale of Lesbos lay off the gulf on which Adramaytium was situated, and to which it gave name, and the town was in close connexion with Ephesus, Miletus, Pergamos and Troas, and so was a considerable centre of commerce.
 coast of Asia. The centurion and his party when they had resched the Asiatic coust would be very likely to find in some of the ports there a. vessel which would carry them across to Itely.
'Aplorápxov, Aristarchus. Mentioned before (xix. 29) as one of those whom the mob in Ephesus seized in their fury against St Panl. He went, as it seems, with the Apostle into Europe, for he is enumerated amongat those who eccompanied St Paul (xx. 4) on his return. After the present notice of him, we learn nothing more of his history except that from Col. iv. 10 and Philem, 24 we can gather that he remained with the Apostle during his first Roman imprisonment.
 known seaport on the coast of Phoenicia. karajesy here is a technical term for 'putting in a ship to shore,' as dydycuy just before is for 'setting sail.'
 found here in N.T., and only once in LXX. (2 Macc. ix. 27).
imiueleias rvxciv, to refresh himself. Literally, ' to receive attention.' The Apostle no doubt knew some of the residents in Sidon, and at his request the centurion allowed him, while the vessel stayed there, to enjoy their company and kind offices. Sidon was on the road between Jerusalem and Antioch, a journey which St Paul had frequently made.
 Cyprus and the mainland, so as to have the shelter of the island on their left to protect them from the contrary winds. Rev. Ver, 'under the lee of Cyprus.'
 which is off Cilicia and Pamphylia. These two countries formed the coast of Asia Minor in that portion which is opposite to Cyprus.
els Muppa, to Myrrha, which lies about 20 stadia (27 miles) from the coast on the river Andriacus.
 means of transport into Italy sooner perhaps than they had expected. It may be that the same strong contrary winds from the west, which had altered already the course of their own voyage from Sidon, had carried this vessel across the Mediterranean to the Asiatic coast. Myrrha was certainly out of the way for persons sailing from N. Africa to Italy.
 days, kept back by the same head-winds.
 over against Cnidus. They had been forced to hug the coast all the way from Myrrha, and when off Cnidus they were only opposite to the S.W. extremity of Asia Minor. Cnidus was, as its remains demonstrate, a famons seaport town in ancient times, and we find that Jews dwelt there in the days of the Maccabees ( 1 Mace. xy. 23). It was a notable seat of the worship of Aphrodite.
 i.e. not allowing us to make further progress. The word aporede is not found elsewhere.
 over against Salmone. Rev. Ver. (as in verse 4) 'uuder the lee of.' Crete is the modern island of Candia. Salmone was the eastern extremity of the island, off which when they came they sheltered themselves under the island, and sailed to the south of it, to avoid the wind as much as might be.
 it. $\pi a p a \lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \sigma \theta a l$ describes a voyage made by keeping close to the shore of the island. Against a wind N.W., or nearly so, the island of Crete would afford them some protection.

 mentioned nowhere else in literature, is known by the same name still. It is on the south of Crete, four or five miles east of Cape Matala, which is the largest headland on that side of the island.
Aagala, Lasaca. This city has also been identified very recently. Its ruins were discovered in 1856, a few miles east of Fair Havens. See Smith's Voyage and Shipwreck of St Paul, App. ㅍ․ pp. 262, 263.
9. ixavô̂ $\delta \ell$ ypóvov $\delta$ rayevouévou, now when much time had been spent, i.e. waiting for a change of wind, and in debating what course should next be taken.
 dangerous. It had come to be dangerous by the late season of the
year. In St Paul's day narigation, both among the Jews and other nations, could only be attempted for a limited portion of the year, when the weather permitted the stars to be seen.
 already past. The fast here meant is that on the great Day of atonement. This is the Fast par excellence of the Jews, being the only one definitely appointed in the OId Testament. It falls on the tenth day of Tishri, the seventh month of the Jewish year. This corresponds to a part of September and October of our calendar; so that a stormy season was to be expected.
 be with injury and much loss. Evidently the character of the Apostle had won him the regard and respect of those in charge of the vessel as well as of the centurion. He must have had some experience of sailing in the Mediterranean, and so was fitted to speak on the question which was now being debated. We should bear in mind too that he had seen more of perils by sea already than we gather from the Acts; for some time before this voyage to Rome, he wrote to the Corinthians ( 2 Cor. xi. 25), 'Thrice I suffered shipwreck, a night and a day I have been in the deep.'

Aecp $\hat{\omega}$ implies the result of observation and does not refer to any supernatural communication which the Apostle had received. This is clear from the and of the verse, where St Paul speaks of hart to the lives of those on board, which did not come to pass (verse 44).

For üßpıs used of material damage by a storm, of. Joseph. Ant. ir.

 ing master,' the officer who had charge of the vessel's navigation.

кal т $\hat{\Psi}$ vavk入rjp甲, and to the owner of the ship, who was probably owner of the cargo too, and if, as is most likely, this was corn, he world be aailing with it, that he might dispose of it to the best advantage when they reached Itsly.
 charge of prisoners for the Imperial tribunal, his wish would be much regerded by both owner and sailing-master; and it was natural when they recommended the attempt to proceed that he should not listen to Paul's advice and remain where they were.
 commodious to winter in. And to tarry through the winter was what they were most likely to have to do, wherever they stopped. The season for sailing was now nearly over.
 in classical literature and in the LXX.

тrapaxe $\mu$ digev occurs in this verse and in xxviii. 11, also in 1 Cor. xvi. 6; Tit. iii. 12, but the noun nowhere else in N. T.


 verse 3.
катаутíणavtes els $\Phi$ olvcka, having reached Phenix. Phomix is no doubt the correct orthography of the name. The place is mentioned both by Strabo and Ptolemy, and has been identified with the modern port of Lutro (Spratt's Crete II. 250 seqq.).
 east. Literally 'looking down the south-west wind and down the north-west wind.' To look down a wind is to look in the direction in which it blows. So as a south-west wind would blow towards N.E., the Rev. Ver, appears to give the correct sense, and the haven of Lutro answers these conditions, being open towards the east.

X $\hat{\varphi}$ pos is a Greek representation of the Latin Caurus, one of the nemes given to the N. W. wind.
 The storm appeared to have in some degree abated, and the change of wind must have been very complete, for (see verses 7,8 ) they had previously sailed under the lee of Crete to get shelter from the north wind,

For isd in composition having this sense of 'slightly,' 'in a less de-

 they sailed along Crete, close in shore. In this verse áaoov has been taken by some for a proper name, and endeavours been made to discover traces of some place so named in Crete, But though the translation 'when they had loosed from Assos' is as old as the Vulgate, there can be little doubt that the word is really the comparative degree of árxu, ' near.' So it literally means ' nearer,' and is probably used to indicate that the coasting royage now being made was one in which the coast was hugged more closely than usual. This is intended by Rev. Ver. ' close in shore.'
 refer to K $\bar{p} \dot{\eta} \tau \eta$. And whatever sense is to be given to the preposition must be determined by the context. The effect of the wind described in this verse was to carry the vessel to the island of Cauda. And they were sailing on the south of and close under Crete. Therefore they were driven still more southward. This could only be by a wind from the north, a wind therefore blowing over Crete. Hence rata must be
 are common enough.

What happened was that the wind suddenly changed from south to north, and coming over the land carried the vessel southward away from Crete. Such changes are not unusual in the Mediterranean (Smith's Foyage of St Paul, p. 99).
ävenos tuф $\quad$ utxós, a tempestuous wind. The adjective is not found elsewhere in 'this sense, but the noun tuфw's for ' $a$ whirlwind' is frequent, and is represented in the English 'typhoon.'
evparínouv, Euraquilo. This reading has the support of the oldest MSS., and has also the Vulgate 'Euroaquilo' in its favour, and it exactly describes the wind which would carry the vessel in the direction indicated. It is known in Greek by the name 'Coecias' and is a north-east wind. Some have thought that the reading of the A. V. Eupokni $\delta \omega \boldsymbol{y}$, which has the support of many MSS., arose from a corruption in the mouths of sailors. For the word 'Euraquilo' is a hybrid, the first portion being Greek, the latter Latin. The form in the Text. recept. gives it a look of being all Greek, and the words oi кa入ovipevos seem to intimate that the name was one known to the sailors, rather than a word of general use, whereas 'Euraquilo' would have needed no such introductory expression, but have been understood at once by its etymology.
 the wind in the eye. ${ }^{2}$ The verb is found Wisdom xii. 14, oâte faacheds

 Eridi $\delta \omega \mu \mu$ has constantly the sense of yielding to a superior force. That force here is the wind. The A.V. makes the sense to be 'we yielded up the vessel,' which has not so much support, though it is not unexampled.
 under the lee of a small island named Cauda. For the verb cf. above on verses 4 and 7. pioloy is a rare word, found only here and in Strabo. The name 'Cauda' which has the best MS. support agrees well with the form which the name has assumed in modern times, 'Gozzo' and 'Gaudo.' But the form in A. $\overline{0}$. is warranted by the orthography of Ptolemy (Claudos) and Pliny (Glaudos).
 difficulty to secure the boat. The boats in old times were not as in modern ships made fast round about the vessel, but were carried on in tow. In atormy weather, there was of oourse much danger that the boat would be washed away. This was the case here, and as soon as ever they had gained the shelter of the island, they set about making sure of its safety by hauling it on board, but this they were not able to do without mach difficulty, probably beeause it had been already filled with water.

17. Tiv apavtss, and when they had hoisted it up, i.e. from the sea and on board the vessel.
 were drawn several times round the halls of vessels, to help in keeping the timbers from parting. The technical term for the operation is "to frap' a vessel, and it is only in modern times that the process has been abandoned.
 The Syrtis Major and Syrtis Minor are two quicksands on the north
cosst of Africa, of which the Syrtis Major lies most to the east, between Tripoli and Barca, and was the shoal on to which the sailors at this time were afraid of being driven.
 a very general one, signifying 'tackling' or 'implements' of any kind. What was done was to lower everything from aloft that could be dispensed with. They could not have struck sail (as A.V.), because to do so would be to give up all the chance which remained of using the wind to avoid the Syrtis, which was what they desired to do.
$\chi$ a $\lambda^{\prime} \omega$ is used for the management of the rigging of a ship in LXX.

 with the storm, i.e. because it continually increased in violence.
iкßo入ŋ̀v èmoovivio, they lightened the ship. Literally 'they made a casting overboard.'
 $\sigma \kappa \in \nu \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \hat{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\varphi} \pi \lambda 0 t \varphi$.
 set about lightening.' The Latin phrase for the operation is very similar, jacturum facere. The ahip was probably carrying corn from Alezandria to Italy, and if ao the load would be a heary one and its removal a great relief to the struggling vessel. On the dfrican supply of corn to Italy cp. Juv. Sat. v. 118 seqq.
19. aưTóxelpes.. . Yppuqav, they cast out with their own hands. This reading, supported by the oldest MSS., is much more probable than the first person of the Text. recept. It is not likely that the writer of the narrative, even if he were a fellow-traveller with St Paul in this voyage, was employed in such a work, which is preeminently that which the sailors alone would undertake.
 spared from aloft, so aкew $\mathfrak{y}$ seems to mean all that could be removed from the deck or the hull of the vessel.
 upon us for many days. This does not imply a continuous darkness like night, but that the mist and spray made the whole sky obscure both by day and night. In such a state of things we can understand how hopeless seemed the case of the Apostle and his fellows. They were at the mercy of the storm, and could neither know the direction in which they were carried, nor see if they were nearing any danger.

גourov, at length. The word thas used adverbially is common in classical Greek.
 without food. This was in consequence of the excitement which made it impossible to eat, as well as the condition of the vessel which made the preparation of food very difficult. They had been living on anything that happened to be attainable, and that had been very little.
diatrla is used Joseph. Ant. xil. 7.1 of the want of food which made soldiers unwilling to fight.
 His exhortation had been that they should stay at Fair Havens, even though it was not so very commodious as a harbour.
 gained) this harm and loss, i.e. and by so doing to have incurred this harm and loss. But кєpoatyeiv is also used in the sense of 'avoiding' or 'saving oneself from' anything. Thus Joseph. Ant. ir. 3. 2 says of
 aviou's $\kappa є p \delta a b e \varepsilon \nu=a n d$ that they would save themselves from having their hands defiled. So in this we may take кєр $\delta \tilde{\eta} \sigma a$, without a repetition of the $\mu \eta$ from the previous clause, as meaning 'to have saved ourselves this harm \&c.' The sense is the same in either case.
22. кal $\tau \dot{\alpha} \dot{1} v \hat{v} v$, and now, i.e. though my advice was rejected before I offer it again.
 there shall be no loss of life among you, but only of the ship. The Apostle now speaks in the confidence of a revelation. Before (verse 10) he had reasoned from the probabilities of the case.
 whose 1 am, whom also I serve. In speaking to heathens this would be the sense which the Apostle designed to convey. They had their own gods. But St Paul stood in a different relation to his God from any which they would acknowledge towards their divinities. To him God was a Father, and therefore all obedience and service were His due. Of. the language of Jonah when he was among the heathen sailors (Jonah i. 9).
 and that this may come to pass thou shalt be saved from the present danger.
For $\pi a p i \sigma \tau \eta \mu \mathrm{c}$ with a dative, in this sense, cf. LXX. Prov. xxii. 29,



кexdptotai $\sigma 0$ of 0 fós, God hath granted thee. This must be understood as in answer to prayer on the part of St Paul. In the midst of such peril, though no mention is made of the fact, we cannot doubt; that the Apostle cried unto the Lord in his distress, and the gracious answer was vouchsafed that all should be preserved. It is not with any thought of boastfulness that he speaks thus to the heathen captain and centurion. All the praise is ascribed to God, and thus the heathen would learn that St Paul had God very near unto him.
25. $\pi$ tortev́w $\gamma \mathrm{d} \rho \boldsymbol{\rho} \hat{\varphi} \hat{\theta} \epsilon \hat{\varphi}$, for $I$ believe God. And he implies 'I would have you do so too, that you may be of good cheer.' In the midst of danger, few things could be more inspiriting than such an address. And by this time all in the ship must have learnt that they had no
common prisoner in the Jew who had appealed from his own people to the Roman emperor.
 certain island. Hence it appears that in the vision some details of the manner of their preservation had been made known to St Paul by the divine messenger; and more evidence of this is seen in the remainder of the narrative.
27. тєббарєбкаибккаín vit, the fourteenth night, i. e. from the time when they set sail from Fair Havens. Since that time they had been constantly driven to and fro.
 which lies between Greece, Italy and Africa is so called. The name embraced a much wider extent of sea than the present Gulf of Venice, which is called 'the Adriatic.' Cf, Strabo, II. 123. See also Josephi Vita 3, for an account of a voyage made in the same sea about the same period.
vimevóouv oi vaîtal, the shipmen surmised. Their knowledge of the sea would enable them to form an opinion from things which others would hardly notice. It may be they observed some alteration in the currente, or a different character or sound of the waves, dashed against the land as they would be, if land were near.
28. Fo入lGavtes, having sounded. In ancient times this must have been the only means of feeling their way in dark and stormy weather. The lead must have been in coustant use.
evpov dpyunds kikort, they found it twenty fathoms. Literally 'they found twenty fathoms, i.e. depth of water.

Bpaxì $8 t$ Sıaotijoavtes, and after a little space. The verb may apply either to lapse of time or progress in space. As here the ship was at the mercy of the waves it is better to take the phrase in reference to time. Cf. Lake xxii. 59. The movement of the vessel meanwhile is understood.
ópyulis $\mathbf{\delta \kappa k a r t v \tau \epsilon , ~ f i f t e e n ~ f a t h o m s . ~ S u c h ~ a ~ r a p i d ~ d e c r e a s e ~ i n ~ t h e ~}$ depth of the water shewed that they would soon be aground.
 ing lest we should be cast ashore somewhere on rocky ground. That rocks ware near was evident from the dashing of the waves. But the morning, even with the faint light which appeared throagh the dark clouds, might enable them to make for a part where the coast was not so full of danger.
 of the stern, thus trying as best they might to keep the head of the vessel towards the land and yet let her come no nearer to it, until they could make out what it was like.
eily 'prayed' be takea as the rendering, the similarity of the circumstances to those in Jonah's voyage would be made still greater, for then the heathen sailors prayed to their own gods.
 seeking to flee. They had hit upon a device which they thought would enable them to have the first chance for safety, and now they set about to carry it out. Everybody would agree that it was the most important matter at the moment to hold the ship in her position. So they professed to be anzious to make her secure fore as well as aft, and to lay out anchors from the foreship. For doing this they made out that the boat must be lowered from the deck, and that having been done, they intended to avail themselves of it and to row towards the shore. Paul's interference stopped them.
 said to the centurion and to the soldiers. These would probably be able to stop the intended desertion better than the captain of the vessel. At all eventa they were strong enough in numbers to take the matter into their own hands, and cut the boat adrift. It seems too (from verse 11) that the centurion had much to do with the direction of the ship. Probably he had chartered her for the conveyance of his prisoners and so had the right to be consulted on all that was done.
 We see from this that every human effort was still to be made, although God had revealed to Panl that they should all be saved. If the sailors had left, the ignorance of the soldiers and other passengers would not have availed to save them at such a time. The skill of the sailors was to be exerted to carry out what God had promised.
 soldiers cut away the ropes of the boat, i. e. cut asunder the ropes which attached the boat to the ship. Thus the boat was cast away.
 coming on, i.e. before it was light enough to see what had best be done. Here again we may notice how every means was to be employed for safety. Paul urges them to take now a proper meal that when the time for work arrives they may be in a condition to undertake it. The remaining clauses of the verse are not to be understood as implying that the fast had been entire for so long a time. Such a thing is impossible. Bat what the Apostle means is that the crew and passengers had taken during all that time no regular food, only snatching a morsel now and then when they were able, and that of something which had not been prepared.
 your safety; beoause the men when they had been strengthened by a proper meal would be able to do more towards their own preservation.

For apbs with a genitive, meaning 'in the interest of,' 'to the ad-
 éstlv.
 a hair perish from the head of any of you. The phrase (with a variation between $\pi \epsilon \sigma \varepsilon i t a t$ and $a \pi \sigma \lambda \in i \tau a, i)$ is a proverbial one to express corn-

 62; and Luke xxi. 18.
35. ©ंXaplotiotv tê $\theta \in \hat{\varphi}$, he gave thanks to God. As he had advised, so he set the example of taking food. But he did more than this. He made an Eucharist of this meal. In the sight of the heathen soldiers and sailors, he brake the bread in solemn thanksgiving, and thus converted the whole into a religious act, which can hardly have been without its influence on the minds of some, at all events, of those who had heard St Paul's previous words about the revelation which God had made to him.
 Paul's hopeful spirit had breathed hope into the whole company, and doubtless the religious charaoter infused into the meal was not without a calming influence.

трогeláßovto тpoфฑis, took some food. 'The 'some' is due to the partitive genitive.
 As we do not know the number of prisoners and soldiers, it is impossible to form any conclusion about the manning of auch a ship as this. The number here mentioned is very large, and we cannot suppose thai a merchantman from Alexandria to Rome would carry a very large crew. But to accept the reading (supported by very little authority) which makes the whole company 'about threescore and sixteen' has equal difficulty on the other side, and the way in which it arose can be easily explained from the use of letters for numerals among the Greeks. A vessel which could have four anchors cast from the stern, and still have more to spare for the foreship, must have been of large size and have needed many hands. The occasion of the numbering was probably the near expectation of coming ashore, and so it was needful to have all told, for the captain, in respect of the crew, and for the centurion, that of his prisoners and soldiers none might be allowed to escape or be missing. The mention of the number at this point of the history is one of the many very natural features of the narrative.
 Literally 'having been satisfied with food.' When they had satisfied their present need, there was no use in trying to save more of the food which they had. So they set about lightening the ship. This is
 us the way they did it. They oast into the sea the corn which had been the first cargo of the vessel from Alexandria. No doubt this was the heaviest part of the freight, and would relieve the vessel greatly.
 from this suppose that none of the sailors were acquainted with the island of Malta, but that the point of the land, close to which they. were, was anrecognised by them. When they were close in shore, and amid stormy weather, this could very well happen, as they were a long way distant from the usual harbour.
 certain bay with a beach. alya ${ }^{\text {dós }}$ is used to signify such a sandy beach as might allow a ship to be run aground upon it without the danger of her inmediately coming to pieces.
 whether they could drive the ship upon it, i.e. they saw the beach to be such that they had a chance of landing there. They therefore discussed the best way of doing so in their present maimed condition.
 aupte indicates that they now cast loose all the anchors round about the stern of the vessel, where they had before laid them ont. When they had thrown overboard a load of corn, there was no likelihood that they would trouble themselves with the weight of four anchors and the labour of hauling them up. So 'taken up' (of A.V.) gives a wrong idea.
ewv cis riv $\theta$ ádaofav, they left them in the sea, i.e. the anchors. They had now no use for them, so they let them go.
 the rudder bands.
̧eukrqpla is found nowhere else but in this place. The rudders, of which the ancient ships had two (thus accounting for the plurat number, $\pi \eta \delta a \lambda(\omega y)$, had at first been made fast and raised out of the water, when the anchors were laid out in the stern. Now that an attempt is to be made to steer the ship toward the beach they are let down again into the sea.
 diptén.ey was in old times the name given to the foresail. Cognate words are now employed as names of the larger sails of vessels in the Mediterranean. But here the foresail was all they had left. Cf. Smith's Voyage and Shipwreck of St Paul, pp. 102, 153, seqq.

eis tò alycaióv, towards the beach, where they had resolved after consultation to try to land.
 where two seas met. This is one of the features of the narrative by which the locality can almost certainly be identified. The little island of Salmonetta forms with the Maltese coast near St Paul's Bay exactly such a position as is here described. From the sea at a little distance it appears as though the land were all continuous, and the current between the island and the meinland is only discovered on a nearer approach. This current by its deposits has raised a mudbank where its force is broken by the opposing sea, and into this bank, just at the place where the current meets the sea-waves, was the ship driven, the force of the water preventing the vessel from reaching the beach just beyond. So it came to pass that though they got much nearer to the shore than at first, yet after all they had to swim for their lives.
 in Homer and Apoll. Rhodius, but éroкè $\lambda \omega$ is a more common word, and so in time came to be eubstituted for the text of the oldest MSS.
if $\delta \pm \pi p{ }^{\prime} \mu \mathrm{va}$ d $\lambda$ vero, but the stem began to break up. This is the force of the imperfect tense. When the foreship was immoveable, the stern would also be held fast, and so be acted on by the waves with great violence and begin to go to pieces.
 prisoners. This advice was given because, by the Roman law, the soldiers were answerable with their own lives for the prisoners placed under their charge.

For tva after a word or phrase signifying 'to counsel' or 'decree'


 desiring to save. The centurion could not fail to see that it was to the Apostle that the safety of the whole party was due, and he coald hardly help feeling admiration for the prisoner, after all he had seen of him. From the first (see verse 3) he had been well dieposed toward Panl, and the after events would not have lessened his regard. So, to save him, he stops the design of his men, and saves the whole number of the prisoners.

Ekóluorev aúroús, hindered them (Rev. Vers. stayed them). The verb is a forcible word, and shews that the centurion was in fall command of his men, and had not in the confusion lost his thoughtfulness and presence of mind.
tov̀s $\delta u v a \mu e v o v s ~ к о \lambda u \mu \beta \underline{p}$, those who could swim. This was the wisest course to adopt. Thus there would be a body ready on the shore to help those who only could float thither by the aid of something to which they were clinging. As St Paul had already been thrice shipwrecked and had been in the deep a night and a day (2 Cor. xi. 25) we may be eure that he was among those who were told off to swim ashore.
 overboard [lit. off] and get first to land. The swimmers were to get into safety first of all, that then they might be in readiness to succour those who drifted to the land on the floating spare and planks.

For the active participle in this reflexive sense of. Arrian Exped.

44. kal toùs גomov́s, and that the rest. The case is left pendent, because of the long apposition which immediately follows. Some needful words ='should get to the land' are readily supplied in thought.
 planks, some on broken pieces of the ship. The last clause is literally 'on some of the parts of the ship.' The things on which they were saved were pieces which on the stranding of the vessel would be broken away from the main timbers. Everything that was needless to be kept
on board they had already thrown over, and so we cannot think here of loose furnitare of the vessel, but only of the framework itself.

There seems in this verse to be no appreciable difference of sense between $\varepsilon \pi i$ with a dative and with a genitive. Krüger (p. 340) is quoted in a note to Winer-Moulton ( $\mathbf{p}$. 488) to the effect that $\bar{\varepsilon}!$ with a genitive denotes a merely accidental, free connexion; $\epsilon \pi i$ with the dative denotes rather belonging to. There is no trace of suoh distinction here. mívtas $\delta$ La, $\omega 0 \hat{j} v a l$, all escaped safe. This is better than A. $\overline{\text {. ; for }}$ 'all nafe' may mean no more than 'quite safe.'

## CHAPTER XXVIII.

## Readinge varying from the Text. recept.

1. $k \pi t \gamma v \omega \mu$ ev for ${ }^{2} \pi \epsilon \gamma \nu \omega \sigma a y$ with NaBC. Vulg. 'cognovimus.'
2. Tpòs rds xpelas with NaBI. Vulg. 'quae necessaria erant.'
 with NABI. Not represented in Fulg.
3. aưтóv for tòy חâ̂hoy with NABI. Yulg. has not the proper name.
4. $\boldsymbol{i} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ for $\dot{\eta} \mu \omega \hat{\omega}$ with KAB. Vulg. 'nostros.'
5. $\lambda$ 'ywu with NBLP.
6. Toûto added before tò $\sigma \omega T$ rip $\boldsymbol{\rho}$ w with NAB. Vulg. 'hoc salutare."
7. Omitted with NABE, Vulg. represents it, having 'et eum hæc dixisset, exierant ab eo Judmi, multam habentes inter se questionem.'
8. $\dot{i}$ Havios omitted with NaBE. Not represented in Fulg.

Ch. XXVIII. 1-10. The shipwrecked Compant hospitably entertatned in Malta. Paul, bitten by a Viper, feele no Hurt. Cude of the Father of the chief Magibtrate.
 i.e. we foumd out from the natives who were on the shore.

Mchirn, Melita. They would at once learn what the land was from the natives whom they found on the shore. Tradition has from the earliest times identified Melita with the modern Malta. But Constantine Porphyrogenitus (de Adm. Imp. p. 36) and others after him hage attempted to shew that Meleda, a small island in the Adriatic Sea, not far from the coast of Illyria, was the scene of the shipwreck. They have supported this opinion by confining the sense of Adria (xxvi. 27) to the modern Adriatic Sea, by their explauation of 'barbarians' in the next verse of this chapter, and by the absence of vipers at the
present time from the island of Malta. But the latter circumstance is not without a parallel. The advance of cultivation and alteration of temperature have destroyed poisonous beasts out of other districts besides Malta, and the two first argoments are founded on mistakes. Moreover it is hardly possible to conceive that a ship should be driven for fourteen days in the Adriatic without going ashore, and the direction in which they sailed after finding a fresh vessel (xxviii. 11, 12) is also completely opposed to the idea that they were wrecked in the Guif of Venice.
2. of te $\beta$ ap $\beta$ apol, and the barbarians. The word is used in the original as it was used by the ancient Greeks and Romans. Those who did not speak their language were to them always 'barbarians,' not necessarily in our modern sense, but as strange and foreign folks. The language spoken in Malta was probably a Phœnician dialect, as the island had received most of its inhabitants from Carthage, but had come under Roman rule in the Second Punic War (Livy, xxi. 51).
ßápBapos is used 2 Macc. x. 4, by Judas Maccabeus and the Jews with him, to describe the Greek enemy under Antiochus, who certainly would not be 'barbarians' in the modern sense.

จง่ тウ่v тuxoûซav фıגavepwitiav, especial kindness. Of. above, xix. 11, note.
 their care. At first of course the hospitality would be shewn by kind treatment on the beach, evidenced by their lighting a fire. Afterwards, as the stay was of three months' duration, the sailors and prisoners would find quarters in the dwellings of the natives. Paul, the centurion, and some others were reseived into the house of the chief magistrate. The rain continued after they had got ashore, and the storm had so lowered the temperature that the first thing to be done was to make a large fire.

For the verb used in this sense of hospitable entertainment, cf.
 $\pi \rho о \sigma \lambda а \beta \dot{́} \mu \epsilon \nu \circ$.
3. ovorpétrawios $\delta \mathfrak{E}$ tov̂ Mavjov, but when Paul had gathered. This is only another sign of the active spirit of the Apostle. Whatever was to be done, if he were able to take a part in it, he was never wanting, whether it was in counselling about a difficulty, in comforting onder danger, or helping by bodily labour to relieve the general distress.
The verb is used of gathering men together, 2 Macc. xiv. 30.
 to the brushwood and furze which is said to be the only material growing near St Paul's Bay of which a fire could be made.


 rtencor.
 heat. Dr Farrar (Life of St Paul, ir. 384 note) has remarked that the viper has disappeared from the isle of Arran, as it is now said to have done from Malta.

The viper in this cass had been numbed by the cold, and on feeling the audden heat woke up and sprang away from it.

In кa $\theta \hat{\eta} \psi \epsilon \nu$ we have an instance of the active voice used for the middle, which became not uncommon in later Greek. Cf. xxvii. 43, note.
4. To Omplov, the beast. There is nothing in the Greek to represent 'venomous' (as given in the A.V.), though it was because the inhabitants knew that such was its character that they were so astonished at what happened.
Bat $\begin{aligned} \text { npion must have been very frequently applied to venomous }\end{aligned}$ creatures; for $\dot{\eta}$ ब $\operatorname{mpa} a \times \bar{\eta}$ (its derivative) is the name for an antidote against poisonous bites.
$\dot{\eta}$ 8ikn tŷv oủk darev, Justice suffereth not to live, i.e. She is, as is her wont, finding out the wrongdoer.
б. $\dot{\delta} \mu \mathrm{k} \nu$ oviv dंтotwófas tò Onpiov, howbeit having shaken off the beast. The verb is used (Luke ix. 5) of shaking off dust from the feet. The idea conveyed is that St Paul was quite composed in what he did, and that the beast was no cause of alarm to him.
 that he would have swollen. Such being the usual effect of the viper's bite, and making itself apparent in a very short time.

The verb $\pi / \mu \pi \rho \eta \mu$ in classical Greek means 'to burn,' 'to burn np ,' and in the passive 'to be inflamed,' but in the LXX. we have the verb used in the sense of 'to swell' in Numb, v. 21, 23, 27, kal $\pi \rho \eta \theta$ n̄єетat

 expectation. Keeping the same rendering for $\pi$ poodont $\omega$ in both places in the verse. The people had seen cases of viper-bite before, and they had no doubt about what was going to happen.
 amiss come to him. For the word cf. Luke xxiii. 41; Ants xxv. 6. It can be applied to anything abnormal whether it be a breach of the law or a change of bodily condition. For the latter sense, see Joseph. Ant.

$\mu е т а \beta a \lambda \lambda{ }^{\prime} \mu \in v o t$, changing their minds. For the word cf. Test. xir.
 $\beta 6 \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$. The previous clause speaks of anger, and the last verbindicates the ohange to the contrary.
¿ौeyov aùtòv बivat $\theta$ eóv, they said that he was a god. Compare the conduct of the Lycaonians in Lystra (xir. 11 seqq.), whose behaviour afterwards shews that the opinion quickly formed was unstable, and liable to change as suddenly as it came.




 neighbourhood of that place were lands belonging, \&c. The nearest place to what is believed to have been the scene of the wreck is the town now called Alta Vecchia.
 from insariptions (see Bochart, Geogr. II. 1. 26) to have been the official title of the governor of Melita. The island of Melita belonged to the province of the Sicilian Prator (Cicero, Verr. Iv. 18), whose legate Publius probably was. Tradition makes him become bishop of Malta.


ós dvaסekúpevos pipâs, who having received us. This was only natural in the Roman official, for Paul was under the charge of a Roman officer, and had appealed for hearing to the Roman emperor.
 days. This was until arrangements could be made for a more permanent dwelling-place. As they must remain in the island through the stormy weather of winter, before they could start again, it would be needful to provide them with settled quarters. They could not be guests for the whole three months.
8. 'zévero 8é, and it was so, that, \&c. The words do not mean as might be thought from A. V. 'and it came to pass, that,' \&c., that the father of Publius fell ill after St Paul's arrival, but that he was ill before.
$\pi$ трєтоis кal 8 varevt $\rho(4$, of fever and dysentery. The words are teohnical, suoh as a physician, as St Luke is reputed to have been, would be likely to use in describing the disease. $\pi y p e r o l$, in the plural number, implies the fits of fever which oceur at intervale in such diseases as ague.
9. кai oi 入ourol, the rest also. It was not a few who came, but during the three months of their stay all the others who were in sickness and heard of what had been done for the father of the chief magistrate (and it was sure to be widely noised abroad) came to be cured.
10. moklais tupaîs, with many honours. No doubt these ineluded gifts of money and such thinge as would be needed by travellers who had lost everything in the shipwreck : but to restrict the word to the sense of 'honorarium' or fee, such as might be paid to a physician, is to narrow the meaning needlessly, and to pat a construction on the proceeding which it cannot bear. The Apostle who prayed and laid bis hands on the sick and healed them was not the sort of person to whom men would offer money as a fee.
 sake the rest of the party were honoured by the people of the island.
kal d̀vayopívoss，and when we sailed．See above on xxvii． 3.
 needed．The bounty must have been large if we consider the number of those for whom it was given．But Publius would set the example， and others would not be slow to follow it．

11－16．The Votagi from Matita，and the Arbival in Rome．
11．$\mu \mathrm{erd} \delta \boldsymbol{\delta k} \tau \rho \in i s$ for sailing having again come round，now that winter was over．

 in the isiand．This was another vessel employed probably in the same corn－carrying trade as that other in which（xxviii．6）they had em－ barked at Myrrha，and suffered so many perils．This vessel had got as far as Melita，on its way to Italy，before the stormy weather came on． As the harbour was then where it now is，the ship had wintered in what is now Valetta．
 is the name given in mythological story to Jupiter＇s two sons（Castor and Pollux）born of Leda，who，when they were translated to the sky， became a constellation of special favour towards bailors．Horace speaks of them as＇lucida sidera＇（Od．r．3．2），where he describes their beneficent influence on the ocean．By mapdo $\eta \mu o \nu \pi \lambda o \hat{c} o p$ is meant a boat with what we should now call a figure－head．But the ancient ships had such signs both at stem and stern，and often the figure was that of some divinity．
If for no other reason than the description of the vessel in which the further journey was performed we cannot accept the theory that the wreck took place in the Adriatic Sea．It would be hard to conceive of a vessel from Alexandria，which had stopped on its voyage to Italy to avoid the storms of winter，being found so far out of its course as Meleda in the Adriatic．

12．кal катах 0 évtes els $\Sigma$ vpakoúras，and touching at Syracuse． The vessel takes the regular route，sailing north from Valetta to Sicily．Syracuse was one of the chief towns of Sicily lying on the south－eastern extremity，and was famous in classical history as the scene of many of the disasters of the Athenian fleet and army in their expedition to Sicily during the Peloponnesian war．

13．TєpLe入日白vtes，having made a circuit．They made this winding course because the favourable wind，for whioh they had probably been waiting during the three days＇stay at Syracuse，did not come．
 Reggio，situated at the southern point of Italy，on the straits of Messina．At this place Caligula designed to construct a harbour for these corn ships coming from Egypt to Italy，but his intention was never carried out．
entyevophvou wótov, when a south wind sprang up. Thus by a change of wind they were able to go speedily forward, instead of tacking as they had been obliged to do from Syraocse to Rhegium.
cis Hotod $\lambda_{0 v s, ~ t o ~ P u t e o l i . ~ T h i s ~ i s ~ t h e ~ m o d e r n ~ P o z a w o l i, ~ n e a r ~}^{\text {, }}$ Naples. In St Paul's day it was a principal port of Rome, and to it came most of the corn supply from Egypt.

A Greek name of Puteoli was $\Delta$ skacapxla. Philo in Flaceum 521. Josephus, Vita 3.
14. oṽ єipóvтes di8è $\phi$ ov's, where having found brethren. There was, we see from this, a Christian Church already established in Puteoli, and it was to such a degree well known, that the Apostle on his arrival at once learnt of its existence. From this we may gather that the Christians in Itely had already spread to a considerable extent, and hence it seems very probable that Christianity had been carried into that country from Jerusalem soon after the first Pentecostal preaching, at which time Roman visitors were present in the Holy City. Of course in such a place as Puteoli the Jews were likely to congregate, for the sake of trade, more than in many other places of Italy, and from their body the earliest converts to Christianity must have been made. But that, without any previous recorded visit of an Apostle, there should already be in Puteoli a numerous band of Christians is evidenee of the zeal with which the new faith was being propagated. For it was now only about 28 years since the death of Jesus.
$\pi a p e \kappa \lambda \eta \eta^{0} \eta \mu \in \boldsymbol{y}$, we were intreated. It has generally been thought that the duration of this stay (seven days) was arranged so that the Apostle might be present with the Church in Puteoli at least over one Lord's day. Thus the Christian congregation would be able to gather in its entirety, and to hear from the lips of the great apostle of the Gentiles, the Gospel for which he was now 'an ambassador in bonds.' We do not know whether any circumstances occurred to detain Julius in Puteoli, but if it were not so, it is a token of the great influence which St Paul had obtained over the centurion, that he was permitted to staj such a long time with his Christian friends, when the capital was so near at hand.
 narrative at first speaks of the completed voyage, and then in verse 15 mention is made of some details which relate to the short land journey from Puteoli to the capital.
 of us. Between Puteoli and Rome there was constant communication, and the seven days of the Apostle's sojourn in the port were amply sufficient to make the whole Christian body in Rome aware of his arrival in Italy and of the time when he would set out towards the city.

 For examples cf. LXX. 2 Chron. xv. 2, nal $\dot{\xi} \xi \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta \in \nu \in l s \dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\mu} \nu \tau \eta \sigma a y ~ r \hat{\varphi}$
'A $\sigma$ â. Also 2 Chron. xx. 18; Judges vi. 35, xx. 25; 1 Sam. xiii. 10, \$o. If it were quite certain that the sixteenth chapter of the Epistle to the Romans was part of the letter which was sent to that Church we might make sure of the names of some who would be of the party which started from Rome to welcome St Paul on his arrival in Italy. Aquila and Priscilla, Epænetus; Andronicus and Junias, who are both spoken of as having been formerly fellow-prisoners with the Apostle; Rufus, Herodion and Apelles, who are mentioned there in terms of the greatest affection, could hardly have failed to be among the company at Appii Forum. But the whole closing chapter of the Epistle to the Romans appears to apply better to some Asiatic Ohurch, probably Ephesus, than to Rome, and so it is unsafe to conclude that the Christians there mentioned were those who now met St Paul and cheered him on his way.

Perhaps however when we remember the Greek influence which prevailed in the early centuries of the Christian era at Rome we need not marvel at the Greek names we meet with in this xvith chapter. The first Bishopg of Rome have nearly all Greek names, and even Clemens Romanus wrote in Greek, and not in Latin.
¿XXp ${ }^{*}$ Aтtilou фópov, as far as Appii Forum, i.e. the Market of Appius. The name 'Forum' seems to have been given by the Romans to places such as we should now call borough-towns. The town here mentioned was situated on the Appian Way, the great road from Rome to Brundusium. Both road and town owed their name to the famous Appius Claudius, the Roman Censor, and this town is mentioned by Horace as crowded with sailors, and abounding in tavernkeepers of bad character (Sat. 1. 5. 4). It was distant rather more than forty miles from Rome, and as the Appian Way was only one of two ways by which travellers could go from Appii Formm to the Imperial City, it was natural that the deputation from Rome should halt here and wait for the Apostle's arrival.

кal Tpıny тaßepvov, and the three Taverns. The name 'Tabernce' had in Latin a much wider signification than the English 'Taverns' and was applied to any shop whatever, not as the English word to one where refreshments are sold. The site of this place has not been identified, but it is said to have been about ten miles nearer to Rome than Appii Forum: and the body of Christians who came as far as this had perhaps set out from Rome later than their brethren. The whole distance from Puteoli to Rome was about 140 miles. "Tres Taberng' is placed 33 miles from Rome.
 When thinking and writing about his coming to Rome, Paul had never thought that his first visit to it would be as a prisoner. He had hoped (Rom. i. 11-12) to come as the bearer of some spiritual blessing, and to be comforted himself by the faith of the Roman brethren. How different was the event from what he had pictured. But yet here were some of the brethren, and their faith and love were made manifest by their journey to meet the Apostle, and no doubt they brought with them the salutations of all the Church. This was somewhat to
be thankful for. The prisoner would not be without sympathy, and the spiritual gift might be imparted even though Paul was no longer free. The caase of Christ was advancing ; and cheered by the evidence of this the Apostle's heart revived.
 was much that might have been said of this land journey from Puteoli to Rome, and the writer of the Acts was one of the fellow-travellers. But it is foreign to his purpose to dwell on anything which does not concern the spread of the Gospel according to the command of Jesus (Acts i. 8), and so he leaves all the glorious sights and scenery unmentioned, and telle us no word of the many monoments which stood along the Appian Way, only notieing, what his history required, the two little bands, that represented Christ's cause and the work of the Gospel, in the great city to which they were approaching.
Here in some MSS, there is an addition, see above on the various readings of the chapter. These additional words, not given in the oldest MSS., are jet not of the same character as many of the sentences which seem introduced into the text of the Acts by later hands. They are entirely independent of anything either in the Acts or the Epistles of St Paul, and it is not easy to understand why they should have been added to the original text. There is moreover such similarity between the ending of the first and last words in the clause, that the eye of an early scribe may have passed over from the one to the other, and thus omitted the clanse, and in this way may have originated the text of the MSS. which leave the passage out.
 by himself. This lenity was probably due to the commendation of the centurion Juline, who cannot but have found that in St Paul he had charge of no ordinary prisoner, and having been saved and aided by the Apostie's advice would naturally wish to do something in return.


 bim. The custom was that the prisoner should be chained by one hand to the soldier while he was on gaard. And to this chain the Apostle often makes allusion in the Epistles (Ephesians, Philippians, Colossians and Philemon) written during this imprisonment. See also below, verse 20 . The frequent change of the person who guarded him would give the Apostle an opportunity of spreading the knowledge of his cause, and the message of the Gospel, very widely among the Prextorian guards who had him in charge, and many things would have been heard by them from the soldiers who had sailed with St Paul, which would make them ready to attend to the narrative of their prisoner.

## 17-28. St Pajl's Intervifw with the Jews in Rome.

 naturally desire to learn all he could of the Christian congregations at

Rome from those who had been the first to welcome him on his approach to that city. But for this, three days sufficed. Then he set about explaining his position to those of his fellow-countrymen, not Christians, who were of most importance in Rome. For to them would most probably be forwarded en account of the charges to be laid against the Apostle, and of the evidence by which they were to be supported.
 called together the chief of the Jews. Keeping still to the rule that the Gospel should be offered first to the Jews, even here in Rome, where he had good reason to think that his message would not be received. The decree by which in the reign of Claudius all the Jews had been banished from Rome (xviii. 2) was evidently no loqger in force. For clearly there was an important body of them resident in the city.
ävSpes didedqol. See note on i. 16.
 against the people or the customs of our forefathers. For everywhere he had shewn himself desirous that his own people should hear the message of the Gospel first, and for Jews he had never forbidden circumcision, only insisting that Gentile converts should not be forced to submit to the Jewish law before they were received into the Christian Church.
 Jerusalem. The Apostle describes the result, rather than the steps by which it was brought about. The chief captain had rescued him from the violence of the Jewish mob, and he had never since been out of the care of the Roman authorities. Yet but for the Jews he never would have been a Roman prisoner, and when the Sadducees in Jerusalem found that he was not to be given up to them, they made themselves his accusers before Felix and Festus.
 desired to set me at liberty. Alluding most probably to Agrippa's remark (xxvi 32) and the statement of Festus (xxv. 25). It seems probable that Felix would have found means to set Paul free had the requisite bribe been offered to him (xxiv. 26). All were convinced of his innocence.
 accuse my nation of. St Paul shews himself the patriotic Jew. He knew how many things his fellow-countrymen had suffered at the hands of the Roman power, and he did not wish in any way to bring on them more trouble. He therefore explains that he had taken the course of appealing to Cæsar only because he saw no other means of obtaining his release. If that were secured he wished to lay no charge at the door of his accusers or their brethren in Rome.
 $\lambda_{i j}$-a, for this cause therefore have $I$ called for you to see and to speak with you. It is possible in this sentence either to take vipâs as the object of $\langle\delta \epsilon \hat{\mu} \nu$ and $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \lambda a \lambda \hat{\gamma} \sigma a t$, or to understand $\mu \epsilon$, and render (as in

Rev. Vers.) 'did I entreat you to see and to speak with me.' As it seems more probable that Paul woald say he wished to speak to the Jews than that he wished them to come and speak with him, the A.V. which the Rev. Vers. gives on the margin appears the preferable rendering. It is quite true that mapaka $\lambda \epsilon \omega$ is generally rendered by 'beseech' 'desire' or 'entreat,' but there is no doubt that St Paul's message would be an earnest request, and we might render here 'have I desired.'
 Israel. The 'hope of Israel' is the general expectation of Messiah. In Jesus Paral believed that the expected Saviour had appeared, and for preaching this he had been attacked and made a prisoner. He held the same faith as all the Jews, only going in this matter farther than they in that he believed the ancient promise was now fulfilled. We can see from the reply of the Jews that they understood his position exactly.
 mou has a construction like that of passive verbs of which the active governs a dative of the person with the accusative of the thing, e.g. $\pi \omega \tau \tau \epsilon \dot{v} \omega \tau \nu \nu i \tau \iota$ of which the passive form becomes (Gal. ii. 7) $\pi \epsilon \pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \nu \mu a \alpha$
 we cannot have the form equivalent to $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \varepsilon^{\prime} \omega \tau \tau v / \tau \iota$, but in its passive sense $\pi \varepsilon p i \kappa \epsilon \mu \alpha$ follows the same form of construction as $\pi \epsilon \pi i \sigma \tau \varepsilon \nu \mu \alpha$.
 letters from Judea concerning thee. This may easily be understood. For no ship starting later than that in which St Paul sailed was likely to have arrived in Rome before he reached that city, and the Jews who conducted the accusation would take a little time for drawing up all the details which they desired to lay before the court of appeal, so that their despatch would be sent later than the time of Paul's sailing. And before it was determined that he should be sent to Rome they would see no necessity for informing the Jews there concerning his case.
 roù movnpóv, nor did any of the brethren come hither and report or speak any harm of thee. It is very conceivable that during the time between Paul's first arrest and his arrival in Rome (a period of more than two years) many opportunities might have arisen for news about the prisoner to have been sent to Rome. But apparently the speakers here wish merely to say that no news has come to them in connexion with this trial and appeal. They seem not to have been at all anxious to move in the matter. At whatever time the edict of Claudius was withdrawn it could only be within the last few years (ten at the most) that the Jewish population had been again permitted to come to Rome. They were probably loath therefore to call public attention again to their nation by appearing before the court of appeal in a cause connected with their religion.

On the use of $\dot{d} \delta \in \lambda \phi o l$ by the Jews in speaking of their fellow-countrymen, cf. on xxii. 5.
 He was a Jew, one of their own nation, and was likely to be able to put his belief before them in its true light. They professed to be open to reason, but this may have been only becarase they knew not what else to do.
 clear from this expression that they had learnt from St Paul's speech, though St Luke does not record the words, that he was an adherent of Jesus of Nazareth, and held that in Him 'the hope of Israel' had been fulfilled.
 haps the speakers intended by this ciroumlocution to distinguish what they knew by report from a personal knowledge.
 They were doubtless aware of many of the attacks which had been made by their countrymen on the Christians both in the cities of Asia and Europe, and would have heard them spoken of as the men who were turning the world upside down. The result of the conference was that a day was fixed, on which the Apostle should set forth to them his opinions, so that, as they had no other means for deciding on their course of action, they might discover for themselves what would be the best course to take.
 hospitable entertainment, it would seem that for the first portion of the time that Paul was in Rome, he was allowed to accept the hospitality of the Christian body, and though chained to his guard, yet to be resident in a house which his friends had provided for him, and where he was, as far as he could be under the circumstances, treated as their guest.
$\pi \lambda \epsilon$ loves, many. $\pi \lambda e l \omega v$ often loses its strictly comparative sense, though generally that sense may be observed in the context, though it be not capable of representation in a translation. Here, for instance, the first deputation who came to see the Apostle was a limited number, but on the day appointed for a meeting they oame $\pi$ रeiope5, 'in greater numbers.' Cf. Luke xi. 53; Acts ii. 40, xiii. 31, xxi. 10, xxiv. 17 , xxv. 14, xxvii. 20; 1 Cor. x. 5; 2 Cor. ii. 6 , iv. 15 ; \&c.
ois 䦔ectecto, to whom he expounded. The R.V. adds in italics 'the matter' and something of this kind is required for the sense. What he expounded is declared in the succeeding words 'bearing witness of the Kingdom of God.' That is, he testified that the Messianic hope, which all Jews spake of as the Kingdom of God, or the Kingdom of Heaven, had now been revealed in Jesus of Nazareth. This was 'the matter' of the Apostle's exhortation.
 what follows that as in Jerusalem so here, there were some to whom the Apostle's words were not all unwelcome. This accounts for their staying to hear him the whole day through. For the Greek, of. LXX.

24. of $\delta \&$ ท่ $\boldsymbol{1}$ forovv, and some believed not. No doubt Pharisees and Sadducees had their representatives in Rome as elsewhere among the Jewish population.
 among themselves. This may have been the real cause of their inaction in the matter of the Apostle's trial. He would not have been without a party of supporters among their own body.
 ing cry' (A.V.).
mpos toùs matepas $\mathbf{~} \mu \omega \omega \mathrm{v}$, unto your fathers. 'Your' rather than 'our' of Text. recept. is in accord with the spirit in which St Paul is speaking. He would wish to distinguish these obstinate Jews from himself and others who received the words of the Old Testament as fulfilled in Jesus.
26. 入e'yov, saying. The passage which the Apostle quotes is from Isaiah vi. 9 , and had already been quoted by our Lord Himself against the Jews (Matt. xiii. 14; Mark iv. 12; Luke viii. 10; Bee also John xii. 40) when He was explaining why all His teaching was given in parables. He spake in this wise first because had He said openly all that He wished to teach He would have had far less chance of acceptance than when His message was veiled under a parable; and next He so spake that those only who cared to manifest a desire to know the deeper mesning of His words might be able to do so. His words were for those who had ears to hear. But most of those to whom he spake had not.
$\lambda / \gamma_{\omega v}$ is mascoline, though $\tau \dot{\dot{o}} \pi \nu \in \hat{\nu} \mu a$ is the noun to which it refers, because of the personality of the speaker.
dikô, by hearing, i.e. with the outward organs ye shall catch what is said, but since ye have no heart for the message, ye shall not understand.
 be preferred on account of the restricted meaning which in modern speech has become attaohed to the word 'convert' of the A.V. In the older language it signified ' to turn round and go back again.'
 would be very anxious to press on them that the doctrine which he was preaching and they were rejecting, that this, was the very message of God's way of sel vation.
aviol kai dxoúgovtal, they will also hear. The Apostle does not wish to convey, as the A.V. does, a taunt to the Jewa that they come behind the Gentiles. What he wants to express is, that the message has been given according to Christ's command to the Jews everywhere, for Rome may be regarded as the centre of the then known world, and now the time has come when the Gentiles should in their turn be privileged to have everywhere the offers of the Gospel. They also will now hear (as well as you), though they have been looked upon by strict Jews as beyond the pale of salvation.
29. For the authorities which warrant the omission of this verse, see notes on varions readings.

## 30. St Padl's Preageing and Notice of his Release.

30. kvelutvev $\delta €$, and he remained. The non-insertion of the proper name by the oldest MSS. here comes about because they had nothing of verse 29. It is only the addition of that verse which rendered Пav̂̀os here needful to the sense.

Suctlov ödخᅱ, two whole years. Of these years we have no history, except such as we can gather from the four Epistles which were written from fome during the time (see above on verse 16). We know that from first to last the chain galled both his body and mind (Eph. iii. 1, iv. 1; Phil. i. 13, 16 ; Col. iv. 18 ; Philem. verses $1,9,10$ ), and that his case was at times an object of much anxiety (Phil. ii. 23, 24). We also learn from the same letters that beside Luke and Aristarchus (Acts xxvii. 27), he had also the fellowship, for some time at least, of Tychicus, who (Eph. vi. 21) was the bearer of his letter to Ephesus ; of Timothy, whom (Phil. i. 1 ; Col. i. 1 ; Philem. 1) he joins with himself in the greeting to the Churches of Philippi and Colosses and also in that to Philemon. In the former of these Churches Timothy had been a fellow-labourer with the Apostle. Epaphroditus came with the Philippian contributions to the need of the imprisoned Apostle (Phil. iv. 18). Onesimus found out St Paul when in flight from his master he made his way to Rome (Col. iv. 9 ; Philem. 10). Mark, the cousin of Barnabas, was also there, and snother Jewish convert, Jesus, called Justus, of whom we only know that the Apostle considered him worthy to be called a fellow-worker unto the kingdom of God (Col. iv. 10, 11). Epaphras, from the churches in Laodicea and Hierapolis, had come to visit Paul, and to bring him the greetinge doubtless of the Ohristians there, and carry back some words of earnest counsel and advice from the Roman prisoner (Col. iv. 12). Last of all Demas was there, soon after to be mentioned as having forsaken the good way through love of this present world (Col. iv. 14; 2 Tim. iv. 10). More than this and the few words in this verse we do not know of this first imprisonment.
èv LSḶ $\mu\llcorner\theta \omega \dot{\mu} \mu a \tau \downarrow$, in his own hired house. This was probably a later arrangement than the $\xi$ evila spoken of in verse 23 . The means for such hiring were provided by the liberality of the Philippians and others, for the Apostle could no longer with his own hands minister even to his own wants.
mávtas toùs elorropevopúvovs mpòs avitóv, all that went unto him. For the fulness of Gospel freedom had now been reached, and the word of God and the kingdom of God were open to all who sought unto them.
 'boldness'), no man forbidding him, $\pi a \dot{\rho} \dot{p} \sigma l a$ implies that 'freedom of apeech' which was looked upon by the Athenians as the great mark of their liberty. For d́ $\kappa \omega \lambda$ útos of. Josephus, Ant. XII. 1.12.

For Englishmen there must arise the thought that perhaps from
some of those Roman soldiers who heard Paut in his prison the message of the Gospel came first to our island.

The historian had now reached the end of his work, and does not even tell the manner of the Apostle's release, though as he mentions the duration of the imprisonment, he must have known how he came to be liberated. But that concerned not the purpose of his record, and so he has no word more. "Victoria Verbi Dei. Paulus Romas. Apex Evangelii. Actorum Finis" (Bengel).
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Agabas, 227, 371
Agapè, 355
Alexander, 124, 345
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Antioch in Pisidia, 244, 245, 263
- in Syria, 224, 238, 266, 269, 281, 285, 326
Antiochus Epiphanes, 235
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chief-priests, who so styled, 337, 417
Ohios, 356
Chium=Saturn, 171
Chrestus (Christus), 317, 322
Christ's teaching, character of, 83

- language about His parents, 87
- prayer addressed to, 91

Christian, name first given, 226

- sense of, 435

Christianity, its effect onlanguage, 94
Christians of Damaseas, 93

- warned to leaveJerusalem, 101
- increased nambers of, 151
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- Lyвias, 381, 402, 413
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Cnidos, 436
cohort, Roman, 208
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Christians, 107, 131
comparative, use of, 309, 370, 418, 420,457
construction, irregular, 138, 218, 346, 349, 352, 372, 403, 407
Corinth, 316, 320, 323, 331
Cornelius, 208

- his devotional habit, 209

Cos, 367
Crete, 97, 436, 438
Crispus, 320
crucifixion, not Jewish, 146
cup, mentioned first in Eucharistio directions, 106
'curious arts', 336, 339
curse, binding by a, 398
Cyprus, 132, 224, 240, 241, 285, $368,373,435$
Cyrene, 96
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Daniel, 278
dative, use of the, $145,270,276$
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days, the last, 100
deacons, 152, 154
decree of the Church at Jerusalem, 278, 280, 377
Demas, 363
Derbe, $258,264,286$
Diana of the Ephesians, 341, 843
Dionysius the Areopagite, 315
Dioscuri, 451
Diospolis, 204
discrepanoy, one suggested, 82
Doroas, 205
Dositheus, reputed teacher of Si mon Magus, 179
Drusilla, wife of Felix, 414
dual nouns, not in N.T., 358
dust, shaking from the feet, 251

- casting in the air, 391
duumviri at Philippi, 293
ear, why the flap of, is soft, 174
Egypt, 96
- sufferings of Israel in, 166

Egyptian, the, 383
Elamites, 96
elders, Jewish, 124

- Christian = bishops, 358

Eleven, the names of recited, why, 86
Elymas, 241
'Ephesian letters', 339
Ephesus, 96, 325, 331, 342, 361

- theatre of, 344

Epicurean philosophy, 307
Erastus, 340
Ethiopia, 184
Eucharistic service, 355
Eunice, 264
Euraquilo, 439
Europe, first converts in, 297
Eutychus, 354
evildoing, continued, effects of, 249
exclusiveness, Jewish, 250
execution, Jewish modes of, 229
exorcists, Jewish, 336, 338
fair havens, 436
faith, evidences of, 140
famines, 297
fast, the, what, 437
fasting, among early Christians, 239
Father, the promise of the, 82
feet, things laid at the, 131-139

- to sit at the, 385

Felix, governor of Judæa, 402, $407,410,414,415$
Festus, Poreius, 416, 417, 419. 431
fire, tongues of, not mere flashes, 103
fornication, 279
forty days, lessons of the, 81, 82
funeral rites, Jewish, 137, 177
Gaing of Derbe, 264, 344, 352
Galatia, 288, 331
Galilee, men of, 84, 247
Gallio, 321
Gamaliel, 126, 147, 385
gates of the Temple, 110
Gaza, 184
genitive, qualitative, 196
Gentiles, court of the, 379
girdle, part of Eastern dress, 371
Gitton, birthplace of Simon Magus, 179
'Glory', meaning of, 102
gospel, order of its preaching, 84
Grecians, the, 154
Greece, 351
Greek, Jewish services in, 152
Greeks, 301, 379
hand = power, 168, 225
hands, imposition of, 154, 181
Hannah, first speech of the Messiah, 116
Haphtaroth, 253
Haran, 160
Hebrew infinitive absolute, how represented in Greek, 168
Hebrew tongue, what, 384
Hebrews, the, 152
Helens, queen of Adiabene, 227
Hellenists, who, 151, 203, 256
Hermes, 261
Herod Antipas, 129, 238

- Agxippa I. 228, 235
- the Great, 228
- Agrippa II. 376, 420, 432

Herod, palace of, at Cæsarea, 405
Hierapolis, 291
High-priest, purification of, 123
Holy Ghost, Divinity of the, 136

- given only through the Apostles, 181
- not yet given, 331
host of heaven, worship of, 170
hours, Jewish reckoning of, 100 , 109
households, baptized, 291
houses, oriental, 355
housetop, place for retirement, 210
Huldah, buried in Jerusalem, 103
Нушепæив, 363
hyperbole, 95
Iconium, 251, 256, 263, 287
idolatry in Athens, 305
ignorance, kinds of, 114
imperfect tense, force of, 109, 111, $130,139,167,309,357,400,427$
infinitive, genitival, instances of, $110,113,166,196,215,259$, $263,278,320,363,399,429$, 434
Isbac, 162
Isaiah, chap. liii., applications of, 187
Italian band, the, 208
Italy, Ohristians in, at an early date, 452

James, brother of John, 229
James, son of Alpheas, 86
James, bp. of Jerusalem, 283 , 275,373
Jason, 302
Jehovah, virtue of the name, 337
Jerusalem, visitors there at the feasts, 94

- graves in, 103
- headquarters of Christian body, 177
—Gharch of, 270, 271, 373
- synod of, 278

Jesus, Stephen's vision of, 174

- prayers addressed to, 175
- words of, not in the Gospels, 365
Jewish life little regarded, 323
Jewish scrupłes, 213
Jews, double names among, 90, 242
- widely scattered, 95, 97
- hold no intercourse with hes. thens, 216
- expelled from Rome, 317

Jews, Asiatic, 379, 412
John=Johanan, 124
John Mark, 237, 240, 244
John, St, also a speaker as well as Peter, 126
John's baptism, 327, 328, 332
Joppa, 205
Joseph, name of Baraabas, 132
Joshua, 171
Judas, condition of, 148
Judaism, first Christians did not break with, 146
Judaizers, 269, 285
Judas Iscariot, 88, 89
Judas, son of James, 86
Judas of Galilee, 149
Judas, Barsabbas, 280
judgment, not to be given in the night, 121
Justin Martyr, quoted, 179
Justue, 90, 319
Laodicea, 291
Iasma, 436
law, to make a hedge abont, 274

- Jewish reading of, 249, 252
letters of commendation, 329
letters, large, why used by $\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{t}}$
Paul, 197
Levites, 132
Levitical guard, 144
Libertini, 155
Libya, 96
lictors, office of, 294, 297
literatare, Jewish, grandeur of, 432
Irois, 264
Lord's Supper, 107
lots, casting of, how uged, 91
Lucius of Cyrene, 238
Lake, St, treatment of his materiale, 87, 112, 308
- givesonly abstracts of speeches, $105,145,310,332,395$
- his use of technical terms, 111, 450
Lyycaonia, 258, 260
Lycaonians, character of the, 263
Lyous, rivers so called, 291
Lydda, 204

Nazareth, a place despised, 111, 387
Nazirite vows, 324, 375, 376
Neapolis, 289
Nero, massacre in his time, 190
Nicolas, one of the seven, 154
Obadiah, 188
obeisance, significance of, 215
Old Testament quotations, 87, 89
Olives, mount of, 85
omission of a verse, 284
Onkelos, 316

- Targum of, 160

Oraoles, living, what, 169
Oriental city walls, 201
Oxymoron, 150
Pamphylia, 96, 243, 436
Paphos, 240, 243
Parahioth, 253
Parthians, 96
passive voice used for middle, 149
Passover meal, 107
Patara, 367
Patriarchs, number who went into Egypt, 163
Paul, st, his flight from Damascns, 199

- his visite to Jerusalem, 201, 272, 326, 340,373
- ohange of name from Saul, 241
- weakness of sight, 259
- figure of, 261
- a Roman citizen, 298
- works at his trade, 317, 364
- takee a. Nazirite's vow, 377
- nephew of, 400
- before Felix, 409
———Agrippa, 424
- prisoner in Comarea, 416
- at Rome, 459

Pella, 101
Pentecost, feast of, 93, 357

- miracle of, 94
- of the Gentiles, 220

Perga, 243, 265
persecation in Jerusalem, 176

Peter, character of, 87

- his use of Christ's own words, 111
- imitation of Christ's action, 206
- graphic langaage of, 112
- at Lydda, 204
- vision at Joppa, 211
- voies and speech of, 232
- speech at Jerusalem, 273
- no further mention of in the Acts, 275
- his Pentecostal sermon, 99
- his attachment to John, 109

Petrine phraseology, 88
Pharisees, 272, 996
Philemon, 361
Philetus, 363
Philip, one of the seven, 154, 178, 325, 370
Philippi, 289
Philippian Church, 298
Philo quoted, 97,230
Phœnicia, 224, 271, 367
Phœnix, 438
Phrygia, 96, 288, 326, 331
Pilate, Pontius, 129,247
plots against St Paul, 351, 358, 398, 418
Pontus, 96, 316
Poroin Leex, 298
Potter's field, 89
power = angelic influence, 179
prayer, Jewish hours of, 109, 209, 211
attitudes in, 366
predestination, controversy on, 251
pricks, to kick against, what, 428
priests, courses of, 119
Priscilla, 317, 328
prisoners, manner of guarding, 230, 295, 454
proconsuls, 241
prophecy, gift of, 101
prophets, 116, 227, 283, 370
proselytes, 185, 249
proseuchai, 290
provinces, Roman, 241
psalm, Messianic, 104

Psalms, first and second originally one, 248
Ptolemais, 369
Publius, 450
Puteoli, 452
pythons, 292
Rahab, 305
raiment, changes of, among Eastern wealth, 364
raiment, shaking, significance of, 319
reading aloud among Jews, 185

- of the Law, order of, 244, 252
Fed Sea, events a.t, 169
Redeemer, the former and the latter, 116
redundancy of words, 92
refreshing, times of, 115
Rephan, name of a god, 171
restoration, double sense of, 116
resurrection, proofs of Christ's, 81
- said to be in Jesus, 120
revelations made to St Paul, 194
Rheginm, 451
Rhemisk version, 251
Rhoda, 232
Rhodes, 367
right hand, to sit at the, 104
roads in Judæa, 184
- to Damascus, 190
robes, oriental, 231
Roman citizenghip, value of, 392
Rome, Jews in, 97
- Paul's desire to visit, 340
- Paul is told that he shall go to, 398
- Paul arrives at, 452, 453

Sabbath day's journey, 85
Sadducees, 119, 120, 122, 142, 396
Sagan, a deputy high-priest, 123
St Paul's Bay, 445
Saints, early Christians, so-called, 196
Salamis, 240
Salmone, 436

Salmonetta, 445
Samaria, 178, 180, 271
Samos, 356
Samothrace, 289
Samuel, a prophet, 116, 246
Sanhedrin, 124, 393
Sapphira, 134
Satan, 185
Saul, of Tarsus, 175, 192, 228
Sanl among the prophets, 117
Saul son of Kish, 246
scales on the eyes, 197
Sceva, high-priest, 337
scourging, 392
Scribes, the, 122
Scriptures, Hebrew, how divided, 170, 252, 411
Secundus, 352
Seleucia, 240
Semo Sancus, 179
Seneca, 321
Septuagint quoted, 89, 102

- paraphrastic form of, 102, 276
Sergius Paulus, 241
serrices, religious, definite form of, 86
seven, why that number of dea. cons, 153
Sharon, 205
Sheohem, 161
Shechinah, 173
Shema, the, 152
Sicarii, 383
Sicenth, 171
Sidon, 435
Silas, 280, 303, 305
Simeor, called Niger, 238
Simon Magus, 178, 179
Simon Zelotes, 86
Simon the tanner, 206
Simony, 181
Sinai, 163-168
Sinai, languages heard at, 169
sitting, the attitude of Jewish teachers, 290
Socrates, 306, 307
Solomon's porch, 112, 139
Sopater, 352
sorcery, 179

Sosthenes, 323
sound heard at Pentecost, 95
Spirit, gift of, 84
spirits, unclean, why so called, 141
Stephen, 154, 390

- speech of, xy., 157, 159
stocks, punishment of the, 294
Stoic philosophers, 306
stoning, not ancommon among the Jews, 145
- method of, 174

Strabo, on the dispersion of the Jews, 97
Street, called Straight in Damascus, 195
subornation, 156
suicide, deemed justifiable, 295
Symeon = Simon, 276
synagogues, 156, 190, 427
Syracuse, 451
Syria, 261, 324, 351, 368
Syrtis, 96, 439
taberna, 453
tabernacle, fittings of, 171
Tabitha, 205, 206
tanner, trade of, in disrepute, 206
Targum on Eceles., x., 16, 17, 100
Tarsus, 156, 205, 318, 383
tazing, time of, 149

- Teaching of the Twelve Apostles,' $106,179,188,238,265$, 317, 358
temple on Mt Moriah, 109
temple, frequented by the Christiane, 107, 109
tempt God, to, 274
tent-making, 318
Terah, 160, 161
Tertullus, 406
Thecla, 258
Theophilus, 80, 132, 402
Thessalonians, epistles to, written, 321
Thessalonica, 300 ; magistrates of, 302
Theudas, 148
three taverns, 453

Thyatira, 291
Timothy, 258, 286, 305, 316, 318 , 340, 352
Tischendorf quoted, 247
Titus, 271, 350
'tongues of fire,' 94
tongues, gift of, what it was, $96,98,333$
torture, examination by, 392
trade, all Jews taught one, 317
traditions, Jewish, permanence of, 186
trance, 389
tribes, the twelve, members of, 426
triple repetition of vision, 213
Troas, 289, 353
Trogyllium, 356
Trophimus, 352, 380, 408
Tychicus, 352
Tyrannus, school of, 334
Tyre, 368, 369
unity among early Christians, 93
unknown God, 310
unleavened bread, days of, 229
upper room, 354
Ur of the Chaldees, 160
verbal accord, too much store set by, 223
vipers, 449
Virgin Mary, lest notice of, 87
vision of Saul, plaee of the, 193
Fulgate, mistaken rendering in, 82

- reading of the, 89,93

Way $=$ Christian religion, 190 , 384, 341, 386, 411, 413
'we'-sections of the Acts, xxii., 265, 289, 292, 353
widows, relief of, 151

- as a class of Christian helpers, 200
wine, when drunk by the Jews, 100
witnesses, false, 157
women，their office and help in the early Church，87， 290
－roused against the apostles， 251
words，the ten，Jewish name for commandments， 214

Zealots， 374
Zeno， 306
Zeugma， 130
Zeus， 261
Zipporah，wife of Moses， 168

## INDEX OF GREEK WORDS．

ajyopatos，301， 348
$\dot{d} \delta \mathrm{e} \lambda \phi \circ \mathrm{L}, 456$
alpeats，142，411， 426
dкроат $\dot{p}$ гоу， 423

d入入о́филоs， 216
ava $\alpha \lambda \wedge \pi \omega, 196$
avarүe $\lambda \lambda \omega, 388$
dua $\theta \in \mu a \tau!\zeta \omega, 398$
$\dot{d}$
à $\downarrow a \lambda a \mu \beta \alpha ́ q \nu \omega, 404$
aváбтaбıs，120，121， 308

äve
avectajo 392

áp $\theta$ útatos，241， 348
àviaviŋu， 141
$\dot{\alpha} v \tau \circ \phi \theta a \lambda \mu \epsilon \omega, 439$
àvตтєрикós， 331
$\dot{a} \pi \dot{d} \gamma \omega, 234$
$\dot{\alpha} \pi a \sigma \pi \dot{\alpha} \zeta о \mu a \iota, 369$
атєє $\theta \in \omega, 257$
$\dot{a} \pi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \gamma \mu \dot{\prime} s, 342$
длтєрітиптог， 172
氏ंто́， 401
аंтоүрафй， 149
ат $о \delta i \delta \omega \mu, 131$
ӓтокрі́гонац，112， 138
d $\pi \% \lambda \dot{\prime} \omega, 401$
áлобтáw， 367
a；
áтотdoбoual， 324
а́тофө́́ $\gamma \gamma о \mu a, 100$
ápүчро́котоs， 342
$\dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \tau \dot{\epsilon} \mu \omega \nu, 445$
a $\rho \chi$ al， 211
áp $\chi$ गुós， 114
doхıєратıко́s， 124
$\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \iota \sigma u \nu \dot{\alpha} \gamma \omega \gamma \operatorname{c}, 244$
$\dot{\alpha} \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \eta \eta^{\prime}, 365$
$\dot{\mathfrak{a} \tau \epsilon \nu}(\zeta \mathrm{J}, 110,259$
átotos，418， 449
ä $\phi \iota \xi \iota \varsigma, 362$
áqор！$\zeta \omega, 239$
à入ús， 243
ax $\chi \rho, 353$
Barrtju， 83
Bápßapos， 448
Baбıidela， 361

$\beta \dot{\alpha} \sigma t s, 111$
ßприил1os， 134
〒ája， 185
үєpovala， 143
子धย์онак， 211
үіронаи， 352
خ入eúkos， 98
$\gamma \boldsymbol{\nu} \dot{\sigma} \sigma \tau \eta s, 425$
үоүүибно́s， 151
خpauцатєús， 346
$\gamma \rho a \phi \dot{\eta}, 87$
סaıцóp＜ov， 307

$\delta_{\varepsilon \sigma \sigma} \delta a / \mu \omega v, 310$
$\delta \epsilon \xi \epsilon_{0} \lambda a \beta o s, 401$
8ad．，81， 100
Sıむ̀ Xecpós， 102


Sıakoula，91，152， 228
биакр $1 \nu \rho \mu a \iota, 222$
бıатононац， 120
$\delta ц а \pi о р \epsilon \epsilon, 213$
биапр $\operatorname{l\omega }, 147$
$\delta д \not \approx \sigma \tau \eta \mu a, 138$
$\delta_{a} a t l, 135$


Atotetes， 347
бойдоя， 130
бі́vaцаи， 308
$\delta \dot{v} \boldsymbol{\sim} \mu \mathrm{Ls}, 84,101,124$
ed $\dot{1}, 149$
етүабтрlıutos， 292
е̇үко́тт $\omega, 407$
teos， 270
$\epsilon l, 83,124,149,159,331$
$\epsilon_{s}, 161,183,371,398$
els $\mu$ ккра́y， 105
ets $=\pi \rho \hat{\omega}$ тоs， 353
els ！
$\epsilon_{\kappa}, 109$
екбтабts， 211

＇Еגањы́， 85
елсүно́s， 342



$\dot{\epsilon} \mu \beta \lambda \epsilon \pi \omega, 387$


$\epsilon_{\nu}=\frac{2}{2}, 81,163,313$
èvés， 193
ยข $ข \tau v \gamma$ áv $\omega, 423$

$\xi \xi_{\alpha} \lambda_{\epsilon} l \phi \omega, 115$
E६aptl $5 \omega, 369$
$\epsilon \xi 6 \overline{2}, 102$
E＇govala， 83
！

$\varepsilon \pi \epsilon \chi \omega, 110$
$\quad \in \pi i, 109,114,144,447$

ептккаледоцац， 175
$\dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \pi i \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega, 202,308,323}$
єт $\boldsymbol{\tau \sigma к є \pi т о \mu а є , ~} 167$

दтибкотд力， 89
ет！бкотоs，228， 362
tinurd́s， 388
t $\rho$ үабіа，292， 341
évepyeola， 124
$\epsilon \dot{\lambda} \alpha \beta \beta \eta, 94$
єúтópws， 330
＇$\phi^{\prime}$＇iкavóy， 355
é $\phi$ opá $\omega, 130$
${ }^{\boldsymbol{z}} \boldsymbol{x} \boldsymbol{\omega}, 110$
z $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\omega}$ ， 369
「eukтทpla， 445
รク̃̉os， 142

§u $\delta$ s， 274
бюоүонєоцаи， 166
$\dot{\tau}$ oikou $\mu \hat{\mu} \eta, 227,302,408$
ग่णvरla， 385
өєратєiш， 311
$\theta \in \omega \rho^{\epsilon} \omega, 126,193,211,437$
өөрєаки， 449
өпріон， 449
$\theta v \rho a, 232$
lغ̇ढิтац， 126
Ікауdy $\lambda a \mu \beta d \nu \omega, 303$
ikapos， 199
iцditıa，174， 231
$l_{\mu a \tau l \sigma \mu b s_{4}} 364$
Zua， 376
$\boldsymbol{l} \chi^{\chi 1}{ }^{\boldsymbol{v}}, 338$
каOt ${ }^{2} \omega, 103,321$

каl， 396
kal in apodosi， 84
ка！$\gamma є, 100$
касрьs， 83
$\kappa а к б \omega, 257$
кардіт， 262

кат́́， 204
катабікท， 421

каташі́ббш， 104
$\kappa \alpha \tau \grave{a} \pi \rho \delta \sigma \omega \pi о \nu, 113$
$\kappa a \tau \eta \chi \epsilon \omega, 327,375$

## GREEK INDEX．

xeptvplut， 208
xouvbs， 212
noubavia， 106
ко入入ф́онаи，140， 216
котєтбя， 177
ко́фuyos， 201
xpáтьбтos， $80,402,432$
ктdодаи， 88
кті̇иата，107， 135
кш入úc， 220
$\lambda a \lambda \epsilon \omega, 308$
$\lambda \dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa \omega, 88$
$\lambda c \mu \dot{\prime}$ ， 227
入óqıos， 326
入ó $\mathbf{\gamma}$ оs， 80
лоци́s， 407

$\mu$ apraplins， 134
ні́таса， 262
меүалеіа， 97
$\mu \epsilon \gamma \mathrm{a} \lambda \epsilon$ tó $\eta \mathrm{\eta}, 343$
$\mu \hat{\nu} \nu$ oũ $\boldsymbol{\nu}, 88$
$\mu \dot{\text { és }}, 342$
$\mu \eta, 138,194,234$
рєшкброs， 346
робфі $о \mu а ц, 135$
$\xi \in \nu(5 \omega, 309$
दúnov，146， 294

otкпиа， 231

$\dot{j} \mu \lambda \lambda \omega, 355,415$

і，$\mu 0 \hat{0}, 93$
вьо́ната， 87
влтむぇоцаи， 82
бттаба， 429


oủ．．．nâs， 212
oix， 95
$\delta \chi \lambda \epsilon \epsilon, 141$
тaîs，113， 130
$\pi а р а \not \underset{́}{\lambda} \lambda \lambda, 366$
$\pi a \rho d \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota s, 132$
$\pi а р є к т о ́ s, ~ 433$
$\pi a \rho \epsilon \mu \beta \circ \lambda \eta, 382$
$\pi а р i \sigma \tau \eta \mu \varepsilon, 410$
$\pi$ apousla， 245
$\pi а \rho \circ \xi v \sigma \mu b s, 284$
тарр $\quad$ бla，126， 257
$\pi \in \zeta \in \dot{u} \omega, \mathbf{3 5 6}$

тєрlєpya， 339
$\pi \epsilon \rho ⿺ 辶 \chi \eta, 186$
$\pi i \mu \pi \rho \eta \mu \iota, 449$
$\pi$ iotis， 264
$\pi \lambda \eta \rho \dot{\omega} \omega, 135$

тбגсs， 141

тодьтєіоца⿺𠃊 394
$\pi \rho a \iota \tau \omega \rho \iota \Delta \nu, 405$
$\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta$ йт $\quad$ ооs，228， 362
$\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \nu \tau \eta \rho\llcorner 0 \%, 386$
$\pi \rho o \pi \epsilon \mu \pi \omega, 270,366$
$\pi p b s, 112$
$\boldsymbol{\pi \rho о б є а \dot { ~ }} 436$
$\pi \rho о \sigma \epsilon \cup \chi \eta, 290$
трогทㄱитоя， 97
троткартєрєш，106， 154
$\pi \rho$ ббт $\pi \iota \nu$ оऽ， 211
$\pi \rho 0 \sigma \tau(\theta \eta \mu, 229$
$\pi \rho а \sigma \phi \dot{\tau} \tau \boldsymbol{\omega}, 317$
$\pi р о \sigma \omega \pi о \lambda \eta \mu \pi \tau \eta \xi, 217$
$\pi \rho о т е \epsilon \nu, 392$
тротреттодає， 329
$\pi \rho о ф \mathfrak{\eta} т \eta{ }^{\prime}, 238$
$\pi \rho о \chi є \rho$ ¢о $\quad$ аи， 388
$\pi \rho \omega \bar{\tau} о \varsigma, 80,450$
$\pi \dot{v} 0 \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{y}, 292$
$\pi v \lambda \omega \nu, 232$
$\pi v \rho \epsilon \tau 0 \mathrm{f}, 450$
$\dot{p} a \beta \delta i \xi \omega, 294$
$\dot{\dot{p} \alpha} \beta \delta \sigma 00 \chi 05,297$
व́ápaтог， 249
бaтavâs， 135
$\sigma \epsilon \beta a \sigma \mu a, 310$
$\Sigma \varepsilon \beta a \sigma \tau \delta s, 422$
$\sigma \in \beta \dot{\sigma} \mu \varepsilon \nu 0,306$
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \mu \varepsilon і \AA, 101,106$

## GREEK INDRX．

बเรท́n， 385

бıtla， 163
oxev̂os， 440
бко入ıós， 105
oovōápıa， 336
бтеіра， 208
бтерио́лоүоя， 307
$\dot{\sigma \pi} u p l s, 201$
бтерєо́u， 288
$\sigma \tau \eta \rho i \zeta \omega, 265$

бтра́тєица， 398
бтраттүои， 293
биукод乡ӊ， 177
бv乌nTE $\omega, 203$
бчрвадлорає， 329
$\sigma v \mu \beta \iota \beta a ́ j \omega, 199,289,345$
оицтлпро́онац， 93
бvуа入i〔оцац， 82

quvé хомак， 318
бขขөри́тлш， 371
$\sigma \nu \nu \mu \lambda \epsilon \epsilon, 216$
$\sigma \dot{\omega} 5 \omega, 125$
Tє кal， 343
тєкцйрор， 81
терата，101， 106
$\tau \epsilon \tau \rho \alpha \delta \iota \circ=230$
тThprats， 121
Ti $8 \tau t, 138$
тд каӨо́лоч， 127

тd ע
то́zos， 91,421
тра́тє〕ац， 153
т $\rho \circ \phi$ ทे， 108
трофофоре́а， 245
ü $\beta$ pts， 437
ข่тáp̧̧cts， 107
i $\pi \operatorname{táp}^{\circ} \chi \omega, 110,181$
ช์สобт $\lambda \lambda \omega, 359$
фаутабla， 422
ф́́ocs， 381
фе́ $\rho о \mu a i, 94$
филакаl，386， 427

ф $\omega \nu \eta$ च́，95， 191
$\chi \alpha \lambda \alpha{ }^{2}, 440$
харітоман， 420
$\chi$ хeip， 130
$\chi$ хераүсобо́s， 243
$\chi \in \iota \rho о т о \downarrow \in \omega, 265$
$\chi$ хрто́брата， 168
$\chi \rho \hat{\text { пै }} \mu a, 133$
хрпиатl5оцаи， 214
$\chi \rho \eta \mu a \tau<\zeta \omega, 226$
$\chi$ хо́нор тоtєь， 326
хро́моя， 83
$\chi$ хоуот $\rho \beta \epsilon \omega, 357$
$\psi \hat{7} \phi \mathrm{os}, 427$


[^0]:    * The form of this text most used in England, and adopted in Dr Scrivener's edition, is that of the third edition of Robert Stephens (1550). The name "Received Text" is popularly given to the Elzevir edition of 1633, which is based on this edition of Stephens, and the name is borrowed from a phrase in the Preface, "Textum ergo habes nunc ab omnibus receptum."

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Supernatural Religion, nir. 25.

[^2]:    "Apaßes. Inhsbitants of the great peninsula which stretches between the Red Sea and the Persian Gulf.

    тd $\mu$ күа入еín. Literally, the great works of God. Vulg. 'magnalia.' The word is rendered 'wonderful works' (as A.V.) in Ecclus. xxxvi. 8. In the same way it is said (xiii. 46) of the first Gentile converts on whom the Holy Ghost came, 'They heard them speak with tongues

[^3]:    coútov omitted with NDEHP. Fulg. 'locum sanctum' only.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ The fire small books, the Song of Solomon, Ruth, Lamentations, Ecclesiastes and Esther, are for synagogue-use written each on a separate roll, and so are named the five Megilloth (rolls) and are read respectively, The Song of Solomon at the Feast of Passover, Ruth at Pentecost, Lamentations on the 9 th of Ab (the anniversary of the destruction of the Temple), Ecolesiastes on the Feast of Tabernacles and Esther at Purim.
    ${ }_{2}$ This arrangement is still observed partially in the Jewish "Temple" at Hamburg, founded in 1818, and there pas a little while ago (see Jewish Chronicle, Feb. 7, 1879) a movement on foot for introducing a similar arrangement in the West London Synagogue of British Jews.

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ Of course there will be less need for this arrangement in an intercalated year, which will have four sabbaths extran

[^6]:    ${ }^{2}$ Thus would be accounted for many still unexplained divisions in the Hagiographa.

    IIt may be mentioned that the name 'great Sabbath' is by the Italian Jews applied also to the Sabbath preceding Pentecost.

[^7]:     king, one Jesus. So far es this chapter gives an account of St Paul's

[^8]:    ouyкaraßaivcts, going down with me. For they were evidently wealthy persons, whose companionship on the journey might be no discredit to the governor. Festus was no doubt willing to conciliate the influential people in the nation, though he had refused to break through a regulation of his predecessor at their request.
     For arotov in this sense ef. Fuke xxiii. 41 ; also LXX. Job xxxvi. 21;
     no hart' (A.V.).
     This seems a more likely reading than that of the Text. recept. It is more probable that the writer would use words to mark the shortness of the stay than a form which would seem to describe ten days as a long residence at Jerusalemi. Festus was evidently full of business and anxious to get it done.

    For the omission of $\vec{\eta}$ after the comparative $\pi$ गeious before numerala cf. iv. 22, xxiii. 13, 21, xxiv. 11.
    Tit $\mathrm{Emau}_{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{ov}$, the next day. The Jewish authorities must have accepted the governor's invitation, and have gone down along with him, so that the hearing could begin at once. Probably they would think it good policy to join the party of Festus, as they might turn their opportunities on the journey to some account against St Paul.

