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PREFACE.

The preparation of this volume was promised some years ago, but has been delayed by the many and multiform duties of practical life which have come to the author. If there are still occasional marks of the want of that concentration on one task which is so necessary for a Commentary, there is this compensating advantage: coming back again and again to these Epistles my mind has seemed to feel a truer sense of the proportion of the various parts to each other: I feel more able to "make the salient points salient," to put the first things first.

The first purpose of the writer was, I am sure, ethical: he wanted to build up a high level of character in the Christian communities, such as would attract the outside world to Christ. "You have" (he says to his Churches) "to take your share in the life of the world around you and to attract it to Christ; you have to be good citizens, good neighbours; for this you must embody the natural virtues which the heathen world around you rates most highly, and must add to them the graces of faith, hope, and love: and this you can do, for you have the power of the Incarnate and Risen Christ to help you." To emphasize the true features of that character and the spiritual dynamic
which would make it possible was his first aim, and should be the first aim of his commentator.

Quite subordinate to this, though important for its efficiency, is the ecclesiastical organization. Very little is said about the duties of any grade in it; little about the method of ordination to any of them or about the relation of each grade to the rest; even the problem of the relation of the επίσκοπος to the πρεσβύτερος only admits of a probable solution. Taking the references at their face value and assuming an early and Pauline date for the composition, it is practically certain that they are two different names for one and the same grade of ministry; but assuming a late date, say in the 2nd century, near the time of Ignatius, when the distinction between the two was clearly marked, no reader would then have any doubt that they represented distinct grades, any more than a modern reader would have.

Subordinate also to this is the problem of the authorship on which so much careful and meticulous scholarship has quite rightly been spent hitherto. I have tried to show (p. xxxi) how truly Pauline in spirit these letters are, whoever was the amanuensis who took the’ down and whoever the person who dictated them; but, apart from the special reasons which apply to these Epistles, I cannot but think that by this time in the history of Christianity the question of authorship of almost any book of the Bible has become of only secondary importance. Every century which has borne its witness to the intrinsic value of a book has so far diminished the apologetic importance of knowing its author, and a long line of witnesses, from Ignatius in his letter to Polycarp, through the many Church Orders,
through Chrysostom and Gregory, through Calvin and George Herbert, down to the latest treatises on pastoral or missionary work or the last addresses to candidates for Holy Orders, bears witness that, as long as the Church endures, these Epistles will have an abiding value, and the careful study of them will repay the student with fresh insight into their meaning and fresh guidance for building up his own character, be he layman or be he an official minister of the Church.

In conclusion, I have to express my warmest thanks to the Rev. Henry Austin Wilson, Fellow of St. Mary Magdalen College, Oxford, who corrected the proofs of the first half, and to the Rev. Edward Charles Everard Owen, formerly Fellow of New College, Oxford, who continued the work when Mr. Wilson was prevented by illness. To both I owe useful suggestions as well as most careful correction of the proofs.

Nor must I end without a special word of thanks

1 Mr. E. F. Brown's Commentary in the Westminster Commentaries is a great proof of the value attached to these Epistles by missionaries working in India.

2 Since the Introduction was in print a fresh test has been applied to the problem of the Pauline authorship. In the Journal of Theological Studies for Oct. 1923, Professor H. J. Rose has examined and classified carefully the clausulae, the rhythms of the endings of the sentences, in the whole Pauline Corpus, and by comparing those in these Epistles with those predominant in the admittedly genuine Epistles, comes to the conclusion that 2 Timothy is in the main genuine, that Titus is doubtful, and that 1 Timothy is definitely non-Pauline. It is striking that this method of approach should lead to a result very similar to that which had been reached by other methods, and it certainly weakens the case for 1 Timothy. But it is very doubtful whether this rhythmical test, however applicable to set speeches, can be transferred with any confidence to informal letters: Mr. Rose has to admit exceptions to its rigid application; and for it to be conclusive these Epistles should only be compared with the practical sections of the earlier Epistles; the more argumentative or more poetical and rhetorical sections ought not to be thrown into the scales.
to the patience and good nature of my publishers, and to the carefulness and suggestive thoughtfulness of their compositors.

WALTER LOCK.

CHRIST CHURCH, January 1924.
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INTRODUCTION.

NAME.—These Epistles were from the first separated from the letters as part of a group of private letters to friends, written "pro affectu et dilectione": as such they are, in the Muratorian Canon and in all MSS., classed with Philemon. But they were soon separated from it, as having a bearing on church life (Canon Mur. "in honore tamen ecclesiae catholicae in ordinationem ecclesiasticæ disciplinæ sanctificatæ sunt."

Tert. adv. Marc. v. 21 "ad Timotheum duas et unam ad Titum de ecclesiastico statu compositas"); and Marcion, while accepting Philemon, rejected them. The earliest reference to a common name for them is found in the 17th century, "quæ Pontificiae vocari solent" (Cosmas Magalianus, Lugduni, 1609); and from the 18th century the title "Pastoral," suggested first by P. Anton in 1726, soon became a recognized title in Germany; cf. Michaelis, Einleitung, 1777, "die so-genannten Pastoral-briefe" (cf. Wohlenberg, p. 68; Zahn, Einleitung, i. 444; Harrison, pp. 13–16), and has since gained universal currency.

Unity of purpose.—This title well describes them, though in rather different degrees: 1 Ti is entirely pastoral, and perhaps intended to be of universal application; Titus is mainly pastoral, but also a letter of commendation and a letter of recall; 2 Ti is mainly personal, a letter of recall, and only incidentally pastoral; yet all may be for many purposes treated as a unity. For the main purpose of them all is the same; it may be summed up in the words of I 3:15, ἔστω δὲ τὶς ἐν οἶκῳ θεοῦ ἀνωτρέψοντα, to build up a high standard of Christian character and intercourse in the Church as the family of God, or in those of Tit 2:11–13 (of the purpose of the Incarnation and Atonement), to enable men to live σωφρόνως καὶ δικαίως καὶ εὐσεβῶς: and the two instruments which are to achieve this aim are the same in all—a high standard of character and loyalty to the Apostolic teaching.

The Christian Character.—The secret of the character is a personal relation to Christ as one who had lived a human life, and is now a Risen and Ascended Lord (I 3:16), a constant
remembrance of Him as a Risen Lord able to help (II 28): a constant expectation, nay, a whole-hearted desire (ἀγάπη) for His Returning Presence (Tit 218, II 4, I 614): for He is the mediator between man and a God of life (I 410), a God who has made all creation good (I 4), and who wishes all men to be saved (I 24); who of His grace saves the worst sinners from sin (I 18), and brings them back to share His own glory (I 11). Man’s attitude towards God is expressed in the Pauline triad, faith (I 14, 14 215 42, Tit 315), love (I 15, 14), and hope (I 1 1 10 5 617, II 4, Tit 1 37). His ideal is to live a quiet and peaceable life in a religious and serious spirit (I 2, cf. II 29): his essential characteristics are sincerity, a good conscience, a pure heart; he models himself on the Divine qualities of goodness and loving-kindness (Tit 34); he receives power from Christ: hence he holds himself well in hand (ἐγκράτης): he has his passions under control (σώφρων): he is content with little (I 67-8): he is sober-minded (νηφάλιος: cf. νηφειν, II 45; ἀνηφειν, II 28): his virtues are kept healthy, free from any feverish excitement (ἐγκαυίειν, Tit 2; cf. I 64): he avoids profitless discussion and speculations (I 4 68). Hence he is prepared for every good work, ready to be used by his Master at a moment’s notice (ἐγκρατηστος): he lives a life useful to his fellow-men (ἐφέλιμος, I 4, Tit 3; cf. I 14 note): he is generous, if he has wealth (I 617-19): he is careful of justice to others (δικαιοσύνη), gentle and forbearing in the face of opposition: he is not content with merely good works, he aims at excellence (καλὰ ἐργα: cf. special note, p. 22). Hence there is an orderly beauty about all his actions (κόσμος): they adorn the teaching he has received (Tit 2): nay, there is a religious dignity (σεμνότης) that marks him out: he moves through life as though it were a great religious service (cf. ἱεροπρεπεῖς, Tit 2) conducted in the sight of God and of Christ (I 511 618, II 216 41), with the hope that his life may attract outsiders to share the joy of the procession. This type of character is to be exhibited in family life (for the family is the type of the Church, I 35 51): in a high conception of marriage (I 215 4 5, 514), in fidelity of husband to wife and wife to husband, in the control of and provision for children by parents, and in the obedience of children to parents, in the training of the young by the old, in the care for widowed relations, in the kindness of master to slave and faithfulness of slave to master, in a more willing service to Christian masters: it is to be exhibited in civic life, for the Christian is to pray for his rulers (I 2), to be obedient to authority (Tit 3), to join in any good civic work, to be occupied in any trade that is respectable, and not to incur the charge of being a useless citizen (Tit 31-8, 14 notes). It is to be exhibited in Church life: for the character of the ministers is to be the model for all, and their life
is to be under supervision and discipline, their work duly rewarded, their sins duly punished. The whole life is being disciplined, educated in righteousness, under the grace of God (παρευμά, Tit 2:12; cf. II 2:25 3:10).

Two things may be noted about this type of character: (a) it denotes a second stage in the Christian life; that life has passed through the excitement of conversion; there is none of the restlessness which St. Paul had to rebuke in the Corinthian Church; none of the upsettal of ordinary duties and family life which resulted from the expectation of a speedy coming of the Returning Lord; there are only slight hints of the controversy between law and grace (I 18, Tit 3:5): the true purpose of law is seen in due proportion, and the "sound teaching" of the Christian Church is felt to incorporate, while it transcends, the commands of the decalogue (I 18-11 notes). Another cause operated to effect the same result. The sense of the speedy Parousia of the Lord had passed away: we have no longer a "crisis-ethic"; the more abiding relation of the Church to this world is being defined. In a sense Christian Teachers are necessarily falling back on the Rabbinic effort to regulate exactly the duties of daily life, but the teaching is quite free from meticulous scrupulousness; the central religious motives are kept central. The ideal is the same as that described in Clement of Rome (c. 1) and Justin, as that which Tertullian pointed to as realized in his time as marked by "gravitas honesta," and Eusebius as τὸ σεμνόν καὶ ἐλεικρινὲς καὶ ἔλευθερον τὸ τε σώφρον καὶ καθαρὸν τῆς ἐνθέου πολιτείας. Consequently missionaries have turned to these Epistles for guidance in dealing with a second generation of converted heathen. (b) While it stands in striking contrast to the past heathen life of the converts and to the general standard around them (Tit 1:5), yet it shows how close the Christian character comes to the best ideal found in Greek and especially in Stoic Ethics. St. Paul had bidden the Philippians note well, wherever they might be found, all things ἀληθή, σεμνά, δίκαια, ἀγνά (Phil 4:8), and all these words are embodied in these Epistles: the writer gives a warning against falling short of a heathen standard (I 5:8): σωφροσύνη and ἐγκαταίρεια are as central in Plato and Epictetus as here: ἐσοφεία (I 2: note) and θεοσφεία (I 2:10) are common terms in Greek religion: ἀθροκτεία is a special note of Stoicism: many of the qualities required for Christian men and women are found already on Pagan Inscriptions; the illustrations quoted in the

1 Clem. Rom. i. 1; Justin, Apol. i. 10; Tert. de Præscr. Har. c. 43. Eusebius, H.E. iv. 7, quoted by Bright, Some Aspects of Primitive Church Life, pp. 140-52, an excellent account of the early Christian ideal.

notes of Wetstein and Dibelius are illuminating in this respect; the qualities required for a ruler in the Church have many points of contact with those of the Stoic wise man or those of a Greek
general (I 3 note); the ideal of Marcus Aurelius is very similar:
for him man acts as priest and servant of the gods (iii. 4), his
conduct is serious and dignified (σεμνός, i. 9, ii. 5): with him
goodness is beautiful (ii. 1): man—even an emperor—should be
ἀνταρκτής and need little for happiness (i. 16, ii. 5, iii. 4, vi. 30, ἀλγοῦς
ἀρκούμενος, οίκος ἀλήθεια, στρωμνή, ἐσθήτης, τροφή, ὑπηρεσία): hence he
is ἐγκράτης (i. 15), sober-minded (νήφον ἐν πάσῃ, i. 16, iv. 26, vi. 31):
sound in judgment (حن, iv. 51, x. 35), of ordered beauty (κόσμος,
iii. 7); he is an athlete in the noblest contest (iii. 4); he has
the same dislike for profitless speculations (i. 7). The lists of moral
virtues found in him correspond very much with those of these
Epistles (iii. 6, δικαιοσύνη, ἀληθεία, σωφροσύνη, ἀνδρεία: v. 5, τὸ
σεμνόν . . . τὸ ἀφιλήδονον . . . τὸ ἀλγοῦσθε, τὸ εὐμενές, τὸ ἀφλιάρον: cf. v. 12, vii. 68).

The writer wishes to say to his churches: You are settling
down to join in the life of the Empire, to hold your own with
your Pagan neighbours; therefore you must not fall short of
their moral standard: your life must incorporate the highest
virtues on which their teachers lay stress; nay more, it must
aim at a standard of excellence which shall adorn the doctrine
of your Saviour, because the Christian life is one of the chief
means which will attract Pagans to Christ (I 6, Tit 2: 8, 10,
and cf. I P 2: 3: 2).

“The true ecclesiastical life and the true Christian life and
the true human life are all one and the same;”¹ but there lies
behind the two former a motive in the relation to a personal
Saviour from sin, which enabled Christianity to win its way to all
classes of men to a degree which Stoic Ethics never touched.²

**The Apostolic Teaching.**—One means for securing this high
level of character is loyalty to the Apostolic teaching. This is
based upon “the words of the Lord Jesus Christ” (I 6, cf. 5),
on the Gospel of St. Paul (I 2, II 1: 3: 10), on the inspired
Scriptures of the O.T. (I 5, II 3: 16). It is expressed in stereo­
typed phrases: it is ἡ διδασκαλία (I 6: ἡ καλὴ διδ. (I 4:);
ἡ ὑγιαῖνουσα (I 10, II 4: Tit 1: 2: ἡ κατ ' ζωοθετον (I 6: Tit 1:)
ἡ τοῦ σωτῆρος (Tit 2: 5: ὁ λόγος τοῦ θεοῦ (II 9, Tit 2:): τῆς ἀλη­
ἀληθείας (II 2, II 2: 3: 7: ἡ πίστις (I 19 (S) 3 (S): 4: 6: 10: 21, II 3: 8,

² For a full account of the treatment of the Greek cardinal virtues by
Philo and by the earliest Christian teachers, cf. Strong, Christian Ethics,
Note on Lectures III. and IV.
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Tit 1\textsuperscript{18} 2\textsuperscript{2} (?): τὸ εὐαγγέλιον τῆς δόξης τοῦ μακαρίου θεοῦ (I 1\textsuperscript{11}); ἡ παραγγελία (I 1\textsuperscript{6}). It is already embodied in hymns (I 3\textsuperscript{16}), in faithful sayings (I 1\textsuperscript{16} 3\textsuperscript{1} 4\textsuperscript{9}, II 2\textsuperscript{11}, Tit 3\textsuperscript{8}), and the germs of a creed seem to be implied in I 6\textsuperscript{18}, II 4\textsuperscript{1}.

In contrast to this there are false teachers and false teaching, but the allusions to their exact doctrines are not clear. They are teachers within the Church (cf. Acts 20\textsuperscript{30}, Rev 2\textsuperscript{2}, which both show the existence of false teachers at Ephesus), some of whom have already been handed over to Satan (I 1\textsuperscript{19}, II 2\textsuperscript{17}, cf. Tit 3\textsuperscript{10}); they lay great stress on the importance of their teaching (I 1\textsuperscript{7}, διαβεβαιωνται), and make great efforts to attract followers (II 3\textsuperscript{8}, Tit 1\textsuperscript{11}). Some of them are Jews, others are not (Tit 1\textsuperscript{10}): there is no reason for supposing all the allusions to be to one set; there were many varieties of false teaching in Ephesus (Acts 19\textsuperscript{1}-4· D. Is and 20\textsuperscript{29· 30}), and there seem two distinct tendencies.

(i) Jewish.—This is clearly marked in Titus (I 1\textsuperscript{10} ὃ εἰκ τῆς περιτομῆς, 1\textsuperscript{14} Ἰουδαϊκοῦ μυθοῦς, 3\textsuperscript{9} μάχας νομικάς): the references to “myths and genealogies” in I 1\textsuperscript{4}-7 (where the teachers claim to be νομοθετῶν ἄνω θεοῦ) 4\textsuperscript{9}, II 4\textsuperscript{4} would most naturally be explained by the passages in Titus and probably do refer to Jewish Haggada, though they certainly are capable of adaptation to the Gnostic æons and genealogies and the Gnostic stress on knowledge as the method of salvation (vid. notes ad loc.). The falsely-called knowledge (I 6\textsuperscript{20}) will in this case refer to Rabbinical pride in knowledge of the law.

(ii) Gnostic.—Springing out of a belief in the evil of matter: this is the probable reference of I 4\textsuperscript{1}-5, where the reference to the prohibition of marriage and ascription of the source of the teaching to “devils” make it almost impossible to trace that source to Judaism. With this may be classed the denial of the literal Resurrection (II 2\textsuperscript{17}) and the possible allusion to magic (II 3\textsuperscript{8}. 18). These are forms which 2nd century Gnosticism took (vid. notes ad loc.); but similar tendencies were in existence in the 1st century (cf. 1 Co 15\textsuperscript{12}, Col 2\textsuperscript{8}, Ro 14, Heb 13\textsuperscript{4}).

Of our Epistles, 2 Ti is the least determinate and gives little guidance as to the nature of the teaching: Titus is markedly anti-Jewish; 1 Ti. has the most definite statements, yet they are ambiguous and are capable of reference either to Jewish or Gnostic teaching; if it was written after Titus and was intended as a general direction to all the Pauline churches, it may have intentionally widened the allusions in Titus, so as to make the warning applicable in different directions. But the main reason of this ambiguity is that the writer is not so much concerned with the doctrines as with the moral tendency of the rival teachings. On the one hand, the Apostolic teaching tends to produce excellence of character (καλὰς): it is sound and healthy
(ἕμαινοντα), it is adapted to a religious standard (κατ’ εὐθείαν), its one aim is "love out of a pure heart" (I 15), the Lord has placed His own stamp upon it (II 218). To remain loyal to it appeals to the deep instinct which regards the care of a deposit as a solemn trust (cf. note on παραδόχησα, II 118). On the other hand, the false teaching is aimless (I 16), empty of real substance (I 620), useless (Tit 39), ruinous to character (II 214); it springs out of failure to keep a good conscience (I 119), and leads to quibbling argumentation, to discord and ill-will (I 1464). The writer's feeling is closely akin to that of Socrates towards the Sophists, of St. Paul towards the Corinthians who placed knowledge before love (1 Co 8, Col 2), of Marcus Aurelius, who was grateful to Rusticus that he had first learnt from him the need of moral correction and amendment, and renounced sophistic ambitions (i. 7).

Church Organization and Ministry.—The Church addressed is one organized community, an ecclesia of a God of life, God's family (I 3b. 15); its members are οἱ ἄδελφοι (40), οἱ πιστοὶ (418, cf. 516 62), ἀγιοι (510), οἱ ἡμέτεροι (Tit 314).

There are meetings for worship both evening and morning (I 5ε ταῖς προσευχαῖς νυκτὸς καὶ ἡμέρας; at them prayers and thanksgiving are combined (I 21); there is reading of Scripture, exhortation, teaching (I 418); men and women worship together and the desire of women to teach is checked by the writer: it is not clear whether any man present might lead the prayers, or whether this was confined to a minister (I 28 note).

Baptism is the method of salvation and new birth (Tit 35), and an allusion to a baptismal profession of faith in God and in Christ Jesus is probable in I 612.

There are also meetings for discipline (ἐνωπηνον πάνω, I 520), though it is not clear whether these would be meetings of the whole Church or only of the presbyters.

Ministry.—(a) The Apostle.—The Apostle, as receiving his commission from Jesus Christ, and as in the service of God (Tit 11, I 1, II 1), has the supreme authority. He lays stress on his own Gospel (I 11 27, II 110-18 314, Tit 38), solemnly entrusts it to his delegates (I 118), hands over false teachers to Satan (I 120), and, though contemplating a speedy return, sends to his delegate exact instructions and wishes about his teaching, the details of common worship, the choice of and discipline over the ministers.

(b) The Prophets are referred to as having in the past pointed out Timothy to St. Paul for his work I 118 414, but there is no reference to any present action by them.
(c) The Apostle's delegates, Timothy and Titus.—No official title is given to them: Timothy is called an "Evangelist" (II 4\(^5\)), a man of God (I 6\(^11\)), the Lord's servant (II 2\(^{24}\)); his task is one of ministry (διάκονιας, II 4\(^5\)). No title is given to Titus. They both have power given them to teach themselves, to hand on the Apostle's Gospel, to control the teaching of others (I 1\(^3\) II 2\(^{14}\)); to ordain ministers, to exercise discipline over them "with all authority" (I 5\(^{17-26}\), II 4\(^2\), Tit 2\(^{16}\) 3\(^{10}\), both for reward and for punishment; to remit penalties once inflicted (?) (I 5\(^{22}\)); to regulate the roll of widows (I 5\(^9\)). Each is to be a model of character as well as of teaching (I 4\(^{12}\), Tit 2\(^7\)).

But it is not clear whether they received special consecration for this task. No allusion is made to this in the case of Titus: in the case of Timothy it is probably implied in I 1\(^{18}\) 4\(^{14}\), II 1\(^6\): he has had hands laid upon him by the Apostle and by presbyters at some time, but all these allusions may refer to some earlier event in his life. Nor is it clear what was their exact status. They may have been only temporary delegates sent to deal with temporary emergencies, as they had been sent before to the Church at Corinth, and 1 Ti 1\(^{8}\) 3\(^{14-15}\) 4\(^{18}\), Tit 1\(^{6}\) 3\(^{12}\) point this way (cf. II 4\(^{10}\) where Titus is sent to Dalmatia): or they may have received some permanent commission and consecration to act as the Apostle's delegate at any place to which from time to time he might send them: and II 4\(^{12}\), Tit 3\(^{12}\) may imply that, when they were recalled, someone else was put in their places; or, lastly, it is possible that they had received permanent commission with permanent localization at Ephesus and Crete, their recall being only temporary. II 4\(^{5-6}\) seems to imply that Timothy would remain at his task after the Apostle's death, though not necessarily at Ephesus. 1 Timothy and Titus favour the first of these views, 2 Timothy the last, and a change may have been made in Timothy's position when Paul returned to Ephesus; but in any case they are "the instruments of an absent rather than the wielders of an inherent authority" (Moberly), and it is ordination at some point in their lives which gives them grace and power, to the fact of which the Apostle can appeal. They are Vicars Apostolic rather than monarchical bishops, but they form the transition to the monarchical Episcopate of the 2nd century.

(d) Local ministry.—There are grades in the ministry: the ἐπισκόπης is already an object of desire: the deacon, if he serves well, may pass to a higher grade (I 3\(^{1-18}\)). But it is not clear whether there are two or three grades. Three titles are given, ἐπισκόπος, πρεσβύτερος, δίκονος, but the first two may be different titles for one office. This is probable, as the duties assigned to each, and the requisite character of each, are almost identical; cf. I 3\(^{2-7}\) with Tit 1\(^{6-9}\); and this is confirmed by the
absence of any reference to πρεσβύτερου in I 3:2-13, and to ἐπίσκοποι in I 5:17-21. On the other hand, it is noticeable that the bishop is always referred to in the singular with the definite article prefixed (τὸν ἐπίσκοπον, I 3:2, Tit 1:7).

The Bishop's relation to the Church is like that of a father to a family: his duty is προϊστασθαι, ἐπιμελεῖσθαι, I 3:4, 5, to preside at meetings, to keep discipline, to take forethought for the whole, to teach (διδακτικῶν), to exhort, to reprove (Tit 1:8): he represents the Church to the outside world (I 3:7), and has to welcome Christians coming from elsewhere (φιλόξενον). His is a task, and a noble task (καλὸς ἔργον, I 3:1).

The Presbyters are a group of elders in each city (Tit 1:5, 2 Ti 2:2, cf. τὸ πρεσβυτέριον, I 4:14): they are formally appointed (Tit 1:5, 1 Ti 5:22 (?)) and tested before appointment (1 Ti 3:10 καὶ οὔτω): their duty is to "preside" and to teach (1 Ti 5:17): they receive some honorarium, which is increased if their work is well done: they are liable to censure and formal judgment before the whole body (ib. 19-22). They also take their part in laying hands on other ministers (1 Ti 4:14).

It is then quite possible that these are two different titles for one status; and if so, "presbyters" would be the title, springing out of the analogy of the Jewish synagogue, a small group of leading men chosen by the founder of each church to manage its affairs after he had gone: and "bishops" would be a description of their function as taking oversight. This is strongly supported by Acts 20:17 and 28; cf. Phil 1:1. But it would be frequently necessary for the church to be represented by some one officer, whether to manage the finances and exercise hospitality to strangers, or to preside at a meeting for exercising discipline, or more frequently still for presiding at the Eucharist (cf. ὁ πρεσβύτερος, Justin M. Apol. i. 67), and the title "the overseer" would naturally be applied to the presbyter so acting, without implying any difference of grade or permanent status. This would explain the constant use of the singular.

Deacons.—The existence of the office at Ephesus is assumed, and their duties are not defined. Stress is laid upon their character, both as fitting them for their own work of assisting in church service and administration of charity, and as preparing them for the higher office of the presbyterate to which they may aspire. Their character, perhaps also their soundness in the faith, has to be formally tested before they can enter upon their office. They are not mentioned at all in the churches of Crete.

(c) Ministry of women.—(i) The ministry of deaconesses is almost certainly referred to in 1 Ti 3:11, but no definition of their duties or of the method of their appointment is given.

(ii) Widows.—There is already in existence an order of
Church Widows whose names are kept on a regular list. The writer's aim is to limit this list. It is possible that those on the list were used for deeds of kindness to others, but this is not clearly stated. The main purpose of the order was eleemosynary. No one is to be placed on it who is under sixty years of age, or who can be supported by her own relations: only excellence of character qualifies for admission.


For the previous use of the words ἐπίσκοπος and πρεσβύτερος in connexion with religious officials, cf. Deissmann, *H.S. s.vv.*, *M.M. s.vv.*; Gore, *ubi sup.*, ed.², Note K.

Theology.—(i) The conception of God is mainly that of the O.T., with the sense of His Fatherhood deepened by the revelation of Christ, and with more abstract qualities emphasized, perhaps through the influence of Greek philosophy upon Jewish thought. In essence He is One only (I 26 610): a God of life (I 315 410): the Happy God (I r 14): immortal, invisible (I 615 16). In manifestation He is creator of all things (I 44), holding them in life (I 618), giving them bountifully for man's enjoyment (I 617). He is father of men, willing all to be saved (I 24): true to His promises (Tit r 2): the King of all the ages (I 17 616): revealing Himself at His own times (ιδίως καρπούς, Tit r 9): Christians are His elect (II 210, Tit r 1): He is their saviour in the fullest sense (I 410): the Church is His family (I 35 15, II 216 10): its ministers are His slaves (II 224), His stewards (Tit r 7, I 14), His “men” (I 611, II 317?): He issues His commands to them (κατ' ἐπιταγήν, I r 1, Tit r 9): He gives them His gifts (II r 6 7): He is the source of grace, mercy, and peace (I r 2, II r 2, Tit r 4): the giver of repentance to those who have gone astray (II 225): the object of hope (I 59): the future Judge (cf. I 521).

(ii) The conception of Christ is primarily that of the Jewish Messiah—Χριστὸς Ἰησοῦς almost always, Ἰησοῦς Χριστὸς rarely, never Ἰησοῦς alone or Χριστὸς alone (cf. Harrison, p. 57)—but the Messiah as one with God in His universal love and work; perhaps also modified by an intentional contrast with the deified
Roman Emperor (Tit 2:18 note). He is thought of as existing before all time (II 1:9): His earthly life was a manifestation (I 3:18), a coming into the world (I 1:15); yet He was truly man, able to represent all mankind before God (I 2:5). His teaching is perhaps referred to (I 6:8): His true confession before Pontius Pilate (I 6:13): His self-sacrifice (I 2:6): His atoning death (Tit 2:14). But He is mainly thought of as the Risen Lord; the mediator between God and man (I 2:5); the saviour, the source with the Father of grace, mercy, and peace: the giver of wisdom (II 2:7): the source of life itself (II 1:10): the inspirer of courage (II 2:8): the object of our faith (I 1:16) and of our hope (I 1:1): for whose final appearing Christians long (II 4:8), because He guards safely our deposit (II 1:19), and with the Father will be the righteous Judge, giving the crown of righteousness to the righteous and rewarding the wicked according to their deeds (II 1:18, 4:8-14). He is called “the glory of our great God and Saviour” or perhaps “our great God and Saviour” (Tit 2:18 note).

(iii) To the Holy Spirit there is little allusion; He may be referred to in I 3:10 as the inspirer of Christ’s perfect life. He is the source of the inspiration of Christian prophets (I 4:1): to all Christians He is the source of the renewal given in Baptism (Tit 3:5), and the indwelling power which enables them to be loyal to their trust (II 1:14).

Date.—On the assumption of the Pauline authorship the date must be subsequent to St. Paul’s imprisonment at Rome and before his death, and will fall between A.D. 60 and 64. But deferring this problem, the evidence is very uncertain. Any date between 60 and 115 is possible; between 60 and 90 probable.

External evidence.—The surest starting-point is the rejection of their Pauline authorship by Marcion. This implies their existence and their attribution to St. Paul by others before A.D. 140. About the same date they were included in Syriac and Latin versions. Further, there are striking coincidences with their language to be found in the Epistles of Ignatius and Polycarp, which make it probable that they were well known before A.D. 115. There are again possible reminiscences of their language and a real sympathy of tone between them and the Epistle of Clement, A.D. 95. (For reference, cf. von Soden, Hdc., p. 151; The New Testament in the Apostolic Fathers, p. 137; Harrison, pp. 177, 178; Von der Goltz, T. und U. xii. iii., pp. 107-18, 186-94.)

Internal evidence.—(a) Church organisation.—A regular ministry of at least two grades is already in existence: the presbyters are salaried: they are liable to discipline: they form a higher grade to which deacons may be advanced: the position of épiscopos is already an object of desire; only those who are
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not newly-converted may be appointed to office. There are many widows, some of more than sixty years of age; some have already been untrue to their profession. This implies a Church of some years’ standing, but is possibly consistent with a period of twelve years, which may have elapsed between the first foundation of the Ephesian Church by St. Paul and his imprisonment at Rome. On the other hand, the uncertainty of the exact position held by Timothy and Titus, and the uncertainty of the relation of the επίσκοπος to the προσβυτερός, and the need of regulating the worship of men and women, are quite different from the situation implied in the letters of Ignatius, and point to a date not later than the 1st century. The need of the enforcement of prayer for the Empire points to a time before Clement’s letter.

(b) Relation to the outside world.—The chief danger of false teaching comes from Judaism; there are also traces of Gnosticism, but in an incipient form, not nearly so developed as in Marcion. The Church is settling down to play an active part in the world: it prays for the Empire; its members are encouraged to loyalty and active service as citizens; the characteristic of Christian life embodies all the virtues of Stoicism: “The writer is a type of the time when the ethical voice of a noble Hellenism and the Roman instinct for organization are uniting themselves with the Christianity which had sprung as religion out of Judaism” (von Soden): the notes of the Christian character already found in the Corinthian Church in the time of Clement of Rome (c. 1) recall those of these Epistles. Some of the best illustrations of the writer’s meaning are to be found in Ignatius or Tertullian or Cyprian (cf. notes on I 215 522, Tit 38): but there is no indication that those imply customs which had arisen in the 2nd century. Tertullian often adds cautions to guard against dangers which might arise from the language of the Epistles; cf. Tert. de Idol. c. 8: “cavere debemus ne quid scientibus nobis ab aliquibus de manibus nostris in rem idolorum postuletur.” Ib. 12: “ut non usque ad idololatriæ affinitates necessitatibus largiamur.” Ib. 15: “subditos magistratibus ... sed intra limites disciplinæ, quousque ab idololatria separamur.” In the same way a comparison of the advice to slaves in I 61.2 as compared with that in Ignatius and Polycarp points to an earlier date.

(c) Literary dependence.—(a) The Gospels.—There is no reference to the existence of written Gospels: in I 518 a saying recorded in St. Luke’s Gospel is quoted; possibly as Scripture, though probably not (vid. note): I 6 possibly implies a collection of the Lord’s discourses, and Q may have been known to the writer; but the coincidences with the Gospel sayings are quite explicable as due to oral tradition. The more striking are:
I 26 (= Mk 10:45), 48 (= Lk 18:30), 56 (= Lk 23:7), 57 (= Lk 10:7; Lk agrees verbally, Mt differs), 61-19 (= Lk 12:20, 21), II 210 (= Mt 7:23), II 418 (= Mt 6:18), Tit 116 (= Mk 7:19, Lk 11:41), 35 (= Jn 3:6). The Johannine phrases ἡ γένος ἐπὶ τὸν κόσμον, I 143: ἐφανερώθη ἐν σαρκὶ, I 3:16, are found in quotations from "faithful sayings" or "hymns."


with Ro.: I 117 = Ro 16:26; I 15 = Ro 13:10; I 8 = Ro 16:16; 27 = Ro 380; 27 = Ro 3:17.
I 14 = Ro 11:2; 14 = Ro 11:13 = Ro 6:8 1:17.

Tit 114 = Ro 16:26; 116 = Ro 14:20; 31 = Ro 13:1.

with 1 Co.: I 18, 18 = 1 Co 7:25 110; 111, 12 = 1 Co 14:34; 4 = 1 Co 10:30; 518 = 1 Co 9:9; 517 = 1 Co 9:14.
II 24 = 1 Co 9:7; Tit 318 = 1 Co 6:9-11.

with 2 Co.: I 111 = 2 Co 4:4.

with Eph.: I 18 = Eph 4:1; II 19 = Eph 14 28; Tit 318 = Eph 28:1.

with Phil.: II 48 = Phil 228 217.

Of these, one or two passages (I 27, II 24, Tit 12, 34) suggest the possibility of conscious literary imitation; but they, like the rest, are consistent with a general acquaintance with the Pauline language. They certainly imply a date when these Epistles were well known, and in II 211-18 we have a faithful saying formed out of Pauline phrases. For a fuller list of coincidences, cf. Harrison, pp. 167-175; but many are included by him which are probably accidental.

The relation to 1 Peter is less clear. I Ti and Tit both deal like 1 P with the duties of family life and of obedience to government; I, like 1 P, deals with the dress of women with some linguistic similarity, but not sufficient to suggest dependence. Tit has also many points in common with 1 P: "the peculiar people" (Tit 214, 1 P 29): salvation by baptism (Tit 34, 1 P 18, 321): the stress on hope, on redemption from lawlessness (Tit 24, 1 P 18). Cf. Dr. Bigg, I.C.C., p. 211, who believes in a conscious connexion between Tit and 1 P; von Soden, Handc., p. 174, who thinks this also true of I Ti; and Harrison, pp. 175-6. But it is doubtful whether there is more than the use of current Christian language; there may be a common dependence of each on some earlier Christian manual of duties; and as between the
two, there is no clear mark of priority. The only certain indication of date from literary dependence is that the Epistles are later than the second and third groups of Pauline letters.

**Authorship.**—In face of the many points of connexion with the Pauline Epistles, the alternative theories of the authorship resolve themselves into two.

(a) They were written by St. Paul, after the other letters, all late in his life, 2 Ti in the face of death. "These are my last instructions to my most trusted sons." This theory is consistent with the possibility of later additions to the original letter.

(b) They were written at the end of the 1st or beginning of the 2nd century by some Pauline Christian anxious to guard against false tendencies of teaching and a low standard of life; for this purpose writing in Paul's name in order to strengthen his own authority, and perhaps incorporating genuine fragments of Paul's letters. This would scarcely have been regarded as a forgery, but only as equivalent to saying, "This is what Paul would say to you, if he were now alive."

The farewell address of St. Paul to the elders of Ephesus Ac 2017-38, has many points of contact with the Past. Epp. They would be a natural sequel to it by St. Paul himself, or it might have been taken by an imitator as a model on which the Epistles were framed: cf. the appeal to his own past sufferings (Ac 2018-23, 2 Ti 314); his anticipation of future false teachers and apostasy (2029, 1 Ti 41, 2 Ti 31); his eagerness to fulfil his course and his ministry (2024, 1 Ti 12 διακονίαν, 2 Ti 47 δρόμον); his sense of his independence (2038, 1 Ti 67); his stress on "the church of God," "the peculiar people" (2038, 1 Ti 315, Tit 214); the interchange of προσβέτεροι and ἐπίσκοποι; his deposit with God (2038, 2 Ti 118); his stress on the true use of money (2056, 1 Ti 68, 10. 17-19).

Either they are genuine "letters" or artificial "Epistles" (like the Ars Poetica of Horace): the nearest analogy to their form is the letter of Ignatius to Polycarp, which strongly favours the first alternative.

**External evidence.**—The evidence of Church writers is the same as for the other letters of St. Paul. They are all quoted as St. Paul's by Irenæus (c. Har. Pref. ii. 14. 7, iv. 16. 3 (1 Timothy)); iii. 2. 3, iii. 14. 1 (2 Timothy); i. 16. 3 (Titus)). They were incorporated, with St. Paul's name embodied in them, in Latin and Syriac Versions of the 2nd century: their existence is almost certainly implied by coincidences with their language in Barnabas, Ignatius, Polycarp (cf. N.T. in Apostolic Fathers, pp. 12-14, 71-73, 95-98), and probably in Clement (cf. Harrison, p. 177), so that it is probably carried back to a 1st
century date, when a mistake about their authorship is unlikely. No other author’s name has ever been suggested.

On the other hand, there were doubts from early in the 2nd century. The Pauline authorship of all was denied by Basilides and Marcion (Tert. adv. Marc. v. 21); that of 1 and 2 Timothy by Tatian, who accepted Titus (Jerome, Pro!. ad Titum), and by other heretics, οἱ ἀπὸ τῶν αἱρεσεῶν τὰς πρὸς Τιμᾶδεον ἀδικουῶν εἰκοστοῖς (Clem. Alex. Strom. ii. 11). This may have been due, as Tertullian, Clement, and Jerome assert, to dislike of their teaching; or to some special source of knowledge, such as Marcion seems to have had about the destination of the Epistle to the Ephesians. Dislike of the doctrine would naturally have led Marcion to treat them as he did the other Epistles, erasing sections rather than repudiating the whole.

Internal evidence.—The Pauline authorship is not only stated in the Salutation of each letter, but in 1 and 2 Timothy is implied in constant personal references either to St. Paul’s own life (I 11. 12-16 27, II 18. 11. 12. 15-18 310 4-8. 9-18) or to his relations with Timothy (I 18. 16 4-6-16 323 612. 20, II 15. 15. 18 21. 130. 11. 14). These references spring out of the situation; they are natural to an old man entrusting an important task to a younger; they correspond with the traits of St. Paul’s character as seen in the earlier letters. There is the same practical wisdom, the same sense of the dependence of character on doctrine, the same self-consciousness recalling his own unworthiness, asserting his own commission, bursting out into doxologies, dependent on the affection of others, trusting them with great tasks, very sensitive to any failure in loyalty to himself, very confident of Christ’s protecting grace, with loving eyes fixed on His appearing. The references are equally true to the character of Timothy as known elsewhere; he is young, not strong in health, timid, needing self-discipline, needing also encouragement and reminder of all that has prepared him for his task, of all his past training and loyalty, yet withal a “genuine” and “loved” son whom he can trust. Cf. Ro 1621 ὁ συνεργός μου: 1 Co 417 τέκνον ἀγαπητὸν καὶ πιστὸν ἐν κυρίῳ: 1618 βλέπετε ἰδιαῖα ἀδικόν γένηται πρὸς ὑμᾶς, τὸ γὰρ ἐγὼν κυρίου ἐργαζότατος ὑμᾶς ἐγώ: Phil 220-22. The personal references to Titus are much slighter, 18 312. 18: a comparison of 18 μηδεῖς σου περιφρονεῖτο with I 412 μηδεῖς σου τὴν νοημότητά καταφρονεῖτο, and the absence of ἀλεος in the salutation, perhaps imply an older and stronger man; and this corresponds with the impression conveyed in 1 and 2 Co. (For a careful examination of these personal references, cf. Parry, c. 2.)

The doctrinal background is essentially Pauline. The “goodness” of all creation (I 4, Tit 16), the universalism of salvation (I 21-7), the Divine initiative in it (II 19, Tit 3), the
Divine overruling of the world and its history (I 117 615, Tit 18), the conception of Christ's nature and work as the Risen Lord (1 311 11 28), the thought of the Church as a family (I 31 16 51) and as the inheritor of the promises made to the Jewish nation (Tit 214), are no longer discussed, but are all implied as the basis of Christian life. There is the same stress as in Col. and Eph. on the importance of a regulated family life: in one respect, indeed, there is a difference; here younger widows are advised to remarry, in 1 Co 739. All widows were advised to remain unmarried, but that passage recognized the widow's freedom, and that advice was given under the expectation of a speedy Parousia of Christ. As we have seen (p. xv), there has been an advance, a change towards a more regulated life, a closer intercourse with the heathen world; but this would be quite natural in one who was a Roman citizen and brought up in Tarsus, a centre of Stoic Teaching.

Equally Pauline is the stress upon organization and discipline. He had impressed this upon his churches from the first (1 Th 512-15, 2 Th 36-14): he had called upon the Corinthian Church to join in the severe exercise of discipline (1 Co 58-5): in his estimate of spiritual gifts he had ranked those that were organized, regular, that made for edification and for peace, above the more showy and emotional (1 Co 1228 141-88): the ministers were regarded as gifts of the Ascended Lord to the Church (Eph 411). He is the Apostle of Subordination no less than the Apostle of Christian freedom: these Epistles are (as Sir Wm. Ramsay has said) only an expansion of the message sent to Archippus, "Take heed to the ministry which thou hast received in the Lord, that thou fulfil it" (Col 417): and such stress would naturally increase with the prospect of his own death (cf. Mk 36.14). The details of the organized ministry correspond with those of Ro 161 (deaconess): Phil 11 επισκόποις καὶ διακόνωις: cf. 1 Co 165, Col 417, Ac 1428 2017.28, unless (which is unlikely, cf. p. xx) the single bishop constitutes a separate grade. The position of widows is more defined than in 1 Co 7; but it is apparently being regulated in a very early stage, and Ac 61 959 bear witness to the eleemosynary care for widows, and to their charitable activities, in the earliest days of the Church.

The style raises a more difficult problem. There are slight differences between II and I and Tit, II being more intricate in structure and often less clear in expression; but this is not more than is due to a difference of mood, and is very parallel to the difference between I and 2 Th. The style of the three may therefore be treated together, and clearly it is more like 

1 δεινοπλα and its cognates occur twenty-eight times in the earlier Epistles, δεινοπλα and its cognates twenty-two.
that of St. Paul than that of any other N.T. writer, if it is compared, as it ought to be compared, not with either the argumentative parts of previous letters (e.g. Ro 1-9, Gal.) or the parts written under strong personal provocation (2 Co 1-7, 10-13), but with the more quiet and practical sections (e.g. Ro 10-15, 2 Co 8. 9). There is the same basing of practice upon doctrine, the same personal touches with references to his own past life, the same sense of his own responsibility, a similar fondness for adapting O.T. language, a similar use of Rabbinical Haggada and of quotations from classical writers (I 4⁴, Tit 1¹²), the same love of oxymoron (ἐξωτερικά τέθνηκεν, Ι 5⁶: διόγα μανθάνουσι (ib. 1₈) ἐπὶ καταστροφῆ τῶν ἀκουόντων, Π 2¹⁴), the same play on a word and its cognates (Ι ¹⁰ ὁ νόμος ... νομοὺς ... νόμοις: Ι 1¹¹-1¹⁰ ἐπιστευόντων, πιστῶν, ἀπιστῶν, πίστεως, πιστός, πιστεῦν: Ι 6¹⁷. ¹⁸ πλοῦσιος, πλούσιον, πλούσιος, πλούσιων ἐν ἔργοις καλοῖς), the same 'Hellenistic' idiom rather than that of literary Greek. But the vocabulary offers striking differences. About 850 words are used: of these over 170 are not used in N.T. writers, over 300 (including these 170) not in the earlier letters, only about 50 are common to St. Paul and the writer alone; again, where 1 and 2 Th. show 8 ἀπαξ λεγόμενα to a page, Gal. Ro. 1 and 2 Co. from 11 to 12, Phil. 2¹, these Epistles show from 19 to 2¹. St. Paul shows, indeed, always a great choice of vocabulary and fondness for different groups of words at different times: thus of the words that he uses (about 2500), 1257 occur only in some one Epistle;¹ and whereas the proportion of ἀπαξ λεγόμενα is 1 for 1·55 verses in these Epistles, in 2 Co. it is 1 for every 3·66, in 1 Co. 1 for 5·5³.² Much is due to a difference of subjects treated, and a somewhat similar but scarcely an equal variety has been shown to exist in Shakespeare (Expository Times, June 1896, p. 4¹⁸) and in the different parts of Dante's Divina Commedia (Butler's Paradise, p. xe). But the difference extends beyond mere words, it includes many stereotyped and technical phrases; cf. p. xvi, and add Ἡγοῦμαι ἡ ἐπιστήμη ἡμῶν (I 1¹), τὴν καλὴν στρατεύαν (I 1¹⁹), καυρώς ἱδιαῖς (I 2⁰), ἡ τεκνογονία (? 2¹⁵), τὸ τῆς εὐσεβείας μυστήριον (3¹⁰), ἡ ἐνεργεία (? 6²), ἡ παραβάθηκη (6²⁰), ἡ τοῦ διαβόλου παγίς (I 3⁷, Π 2²₅), ὁ τοῦ θεοῦ ἀνθρωπος (Π 3¹⁷, cf. Π 6¹¹), καλὰ ἔργα (passim), and formulas of quotation (πιστοὶ ὁ λόγος). Further, the same thought is expressed differently, παραβάθηκη takes the place of παράδοσις, υποτάπωσις of τύπος, τυφοῦνθαι of φυσιοῦσθαι, ὁ νῦν αἰώνιον ὁ αἰών οὗτος, χάριν ἔχειν of εὐχαριστεῖν, δεσπότης of κύrios, δε' ἧν

¹ Ueber die Sprache in den Pastoralbriefen, von Dr. F. Torm, Ztsch. NT Wissenschaft, 191₈, p. 2₂₉ seqq.
² Kölling, ap. Weiss, p. 5₁.
INTRODUCTION xxix

aìriaν of ὀστὴ, διό and ἀρα: there is no use of ἀν, ἀντὶ, ἐμπροσθεν, ἔως (prep.), ὅψ, σιν (a few compounds of σιν), ὀστηρ, all of which are fairly common in St. Paul.

This linguistic argument against the Pauline authorship has been greatly strengthened by the proof that the vocabulary shows a much greater approximation to the vocabulary of Christian and other writers of the 2nd century than to that of the earlier letters. Thus of 175 ἄπαξ λεγόμενα in these Epp., 61 occur in the Apostolic Fathers, 61 in the Apologists, 32 of which are not in the Apostolic Fathers, making 93 in all (Harrison, pp. 68 ff., 150, 151); and 82 words which are not found either in the N.T. or in these Christian writers are found in Pagan writers of the 2nd century (ib. p. 161). This though very striking is not quite convincing, as these Epistles may have influenced the Christian writers, and as there is no evidence that the words are not earlier than the 2nd century.

The conclusion is difficult. There is no word impossible to St. Paul, no word not natural to him. There are indeed three words which soon acquired a technical ecclesiastical meaning, βαθμὸς, νεόφυτος, αἱρετικὸς, but it is doubtful whether any of these has that meaning here; they are on the way to it, but have scarcely arrived. Much change of vocabulary, including even particles, is due to the kind of letter, not argumentative or impassioned but full of practical warning and guidance, not written to churches or to private friends but to close intimate fellow-workers (this would explain the use of stereotyped phrases); much may be due to lapse of a few years tending to introduce fixity of phrase and formula; something, perhaps, to the freedom used by the amanuensis,—it is a natural suggestion from II 40 (if that is a part of the whole letter) that St. Luke was the amanuensis of 2 Ti, and there is a considerable quantity of Lucan non-Pauline words in all these Epistles (cf. Holtzmann, p. 96, who quotes 34, including δι' ἣν aìriaν, δι τρόπον, ἐπὶ πλεῖον, ζωογονία, ἐπιφαίνεσθαι, σωφροσύνη, φιλανθρωπία); but I doubt whether St. Paul would have allowed much freedom to an amanuensis. Some of the ἄπαξ λεγόμενα are also semi-quotations from faithful sayings, from liturgical doxologies and hymns, very possibly from existing manuals on the qualifications for various offices. The argument from style is in favour of the Pauline authorship, that from vocabulary strongly, though not quite conclusively, against it.

[For the arguments against, cf. Holtzmann, P.B. i. § 7; Nägeli, der Wortschatz des Apostels Paulus, pp. 85–88, Göttingen, 1905; Moffatt, Introd. to N.T., 1911; Harrison, The Problem of the Pastoral Epistles, 1922 (far the most thorough, making previous discussions out of date): for the arguments for, cf. G. G. ]
The vocabulary in all the letters, and the impression, especially in 1 Ti, of a comparatively late stage in Church life, favour a late date; on the other hand, the lapse of years since the earlier letters and since the foundation of the Church at Ephesus, combined with the quickness of development which marks the early growth of a religious community, especially when face to face with other organized religious communities, as the Christian Church was face to face with the Jewish synagogue and the Pagan mysteries, make it possible to place these letters within St. Paul's lifetime, at any rate on the assumption that he was released from the first Roman imprisonment; 1 and the personal notes embodied in the substance of the letters, the doctrinal assumptions, the stress on character and ordered life, the incorporation of the best elements of Stoic morality, are all in favour of St. Paul. In this Commentary the whole of the Epistles are treated as coming direct from St. Paul's hand; that is what their author intended, whoever he was. But the strength of the case against them, especially as presented by Mr. Harrison, is doubtless very great, and every student should carefully examine his reconstruction of them as represented in his Appendix IV. He will see at once the extent of the non-Pauline vocabulary, the dependence of the author on Pauline phrases, and the possibility of separating genuine fragments from the rest. Yet he will feel also the artificiality of the way in which Pauline phrases are borrowed and often slightly altered, the great improbability of the invention of such a detail as I 523 (μηκέτι ἰδρώστει ... ἀσθενείς), and of the separation of II 413-15.

1 The question of the release of St. Paul from the Roman imprisonment of Ac 28 is not of primary importance with regard to the authorship of these letters. For (i) either on the supposition of the Pauline or of a non-Pauline authorship it is possible that 2 Ti 49-21 (for which the release is mainly needed) consists of notes written at a different date and incorporated afterwards, whether intentionally or accidentally, by a later editor or scribe. (ii) The arguments from the state of the ecclesiastical organization and from the vocabulary would still remain.

Yet there seems no valid reason for doubting the tradition that St. Paul was released. It is a natural inference from Ac 2830 (cf. Parry, p. xv); it is at least a possible, though perhaps not the most probable, inference from Clem. Rom. i. 5, εἰς τὸ τέρμα τῆς διόσκωρος ελθὼν: it is the natural interpretation of the Muratorian Canon, "profectionem Pauli ab urbe ad Spaniam:" and if Dr. Gifford (Speaker's Comm., Romans, pp. 24-29) is right, as seems very probable, in treating Ro 16 as a letter written by St. Paul to Rome after his release, with messages to the friends whom he had made during the two years' imprisonment, this supplies first-hand evidence of contemporary date.
from 20. 21\textsuperscript{a} if they were parts of one genuine letter carefully preserved because it was genuine. Yet neither for problems of doctrine nor of exegesis is the question of primary importance; if they were not written as they stand by St. Paul, they probably incorporate some earlier notes of his (\textit{v. infra}, p. xxxii); the whole was written by one who thought himself a devoted follower of St. Paul, whose mind was steeped in the very language of St. Paul's letters, who has tried to express his spirit; and this attempt was accepted by the Church as true to its memory of what St. Paul had been and taught. They may seem to lay more stress on a regulated life and an ordered ministry than the earlier letters; but those letters showed him from the first conscious of the need of such regulation, and the consciousness grows with each letter; the regulation of community life in 1 and 2 Th. is followed by that of citizen life in Ro., of family life in Col. and Eph. (cf. W. Lock, \textit{St. Paul, the Master Builder}, c. 4). As the thought of the imminent Parousia recedes before the sense of the work to be done in the world and the sense of the reality of the abiding Presence of Christ in the heart, so the problem of the Christian society to the world around it becomes more pressing. The experience of many a missionary in China or in India in the present day shows how quickly the converting missionary has to organize and regulate his group of converts (cf. T. M. Lindsay, \textit{The Church and the Ministry}, 1903, p. 139). All the letters are a sufficient proof that the mystic who lives "in Christ," in whom "Christ" lives, is also the practical statesman, caring for all the Churches, providing things honest in the sight of men; the chief message of him who was constrained by the love of Christ has been defined as loyalty to the Christian society (cf. Royce, \textit{The Problem of Christianity}); and this picture of the man and of his message is reproduced in these letters.

\textit{Integrity}.—On the theory of Pauline authorship there is \textit{a priori} little reason to doubt the integrity. A private letter by an Apostle would naturally be preserved entire; yet when it was first made public and canonical an editor might add his comments and illustrations and bring up to date some of the regulations. Thus Professor C. H. Turner (\textit{Inaugural Lecture}, Oxford, 1920, p. 21) conjectures that \textit{πιστός δ\ λόγος}, which occurs in all three letters, is an editorial note; so might be the illustrations of individuals introduced by \textit{διω κοινω}, I 1\textsuperscript{20}, II 2\textsuperscript{18}. It is also quite possible that fragments of other letters should have been combined, whether intentionally or accidentally, at the end of the genuine letter.

On the theory of the non-Pauline authorship of the letters as they stand, the problem will differ in each, according as the later
editor has or has not attempted to incorporate earlier Pauline fragments.

Each letter must therefore be considered separately.

1 Timothy.—Assuming the Pauline authorship there is no conclusive reason for treating any part as a later insertion. Yet the formula πιστός ὁ λόγος, 1:16 3:4, and the illustration introduced by ἐὰν ἔστων, 1:20, may be editorial notes: so possibly the additional note about διακόνου and the reasons alleged for it in 3:12-18 (π.δ. διακονήσαντες οἱ βαβύμων) 6:10 (π.δ. ἀπεπλανηθησαν); also the whole section 5:9-16 the regulation of the viduate, the section which more than any other suggests a late date; and the apparent reference to future false teaching in 4:1-5; and the allusion to "knowledge falsely so called" in 6:20.

Assuming the non-Pauline authorship there is less ground still for doubting the integrity, though it is almost incredible that 1:12-16 5:28 are not genuinely Pauline; and von Harnack, who dates the writing of the Epistle between 90 and 100, still regards the sections on the bishops and deacons (c. 3), and the discipline over the presbyters (c. 5), and the last verses (6:17-21), as additions of a later writer between A.D. 140 and 150 (Chronologia, i. pp. 480-85). Critics have separated a genuine Pauline letter in 1:10-11 18-20 4:1-16 6:16.20 (so Hesse, quoted by Moffatt, L.N.T., p. 406), or even two, one written from Corinth (1:18-20 2:10 4:12 5:8. 11-13. 19-23. 24f.) and one from Cæsarea (1:12-17 3:14-16 4:1-11. 13-16 6:17-19 1:1-16 6:16. 20. 21) (so Knoke ap. Moffatt, l.c.). But such re-arrangement is most unlikely, and the uniformity of style is almost conclusive against such hypothesis (so Moffatt, l.c., and Harrison).

There are, however, very possibly some dislocations of the text. Ewald would transpose 1:8-11 and 1:12-17, and place 3:14-4:10 after 6:1, but without any necessity. More probably 6:17-19 should follow or precede 6:1-2, 5:28 might follow 4:19, 5:16 after 5:4. Parry would arrange the section 5:8-9 in the order 3. 4. 8. 7. 5. 6. 9.

2 Timothy.—The Pauline authorship of the whole Epistle as written at one and the same time in its present form is open to two objections: (a) Throughout the whole two different thoughts are intertwined; the one, "come and join me at Rome," the other, "Do your work as an Evangelist at Ephesus and hand on your work to others." These are not really inconsistent, as the absence from Ephesus for a visit to Rome may have been the reason for the command to hand on his teaching to others, and the advice about the nature of the teaching may be meant chiefly for those who were to take Timothy's place. But the possibility remains that two letters have been combined, one private and personal (4:9-21) to which the personal greeting belongs (22a), the
other more general and pastoral (11–48) to be communicated to others with the plural greeting (22b). If these two are separated the apparent inconsistency disappears.

(6) But, further, there are great difficulties about the unity of 49–21. It is difficult to fit the allusions into St. Paul's life, as known from the Acts, and there are inconsistencies within the paragraph itself. The command in 21 seems scarcely needed after that in 9, 11 scarcely consistent with 21; the double salutation in 22 needs explanation. It is therefore most probable that an earlier note, or perhaps more than one earlier note, from Paul to Timothy, has been, whether intentionally or unintentionally, added to the main letter at the end, as apparently Ro 16 was added to 1–15. The most probable suggestion is that of Mr. Harrison (P. Epp.), who distinguishes three separate notes written at separate times, which can be fitted into the structure of the Acts:

(i) 12, 14, 15, 20, 21a, written by St. Paul, while in Macedonia (Ac 1922), after visiting Troas (2 Co 12) on the third missionary journey, to Timothy after he had returned from Corinth to Ephesus. This is possible, but it is hard to account for the separation of the two parts of one short note 15–16, 20, 21a when reproduced. (For a very similar reconstruction, cf. McGiffert, Christianity in the Apostolic Age, p. 409.)

(ii) 16, 17, 18a, written from Caesarea (Ac 2325), the first defence referring to Ac 221, the Lord's standing by him to the appearance in Ac 2311. This is the least happy suggestion. The verses include what is called elsewhere (p. 28) the non-Pauline meaning of παραδοθήκη, and St. Paul could scarcely have expected any one to stand by him on the occasion of Ac 221.

(iii) 9, 10, 11, 12, 21, written early in the imprisonment at Rome to Timothy at Lystra, pressing him to come quickly. This leaves the apparent inconsistency between 10 and 21 still existing.

Without feeling entirely satisfied with all these details, I am inclined to think that 9–22a consists of earlier notes, and to regard the whole Epistle as Pauline, 1–48 written from Rome, during a second imprisonment, 49–22 at some earlier times.

Those who treat the present form of the letter as due to a later editor still think that it retains some earlier Pauline fragments besides those in 49–22. Various suggestions will be found in Moffatt (L.N.T., p. 400); but Mr. Harrison's is again the most probable. He treats the following as a farewell letter to Timothy, from St. Paul at the end of the first Roman imprisonment, after his final trial and condemnation: 11a, 2, 16–18, 310, 11 41–8. But the allusions to Timothy's childhood and parentage (15 314, 15) seem at least to carry their own credentials, and these to outweigh linguistic differences.
**Titus.**—On the theory of Pauline authorship there is no reason to suggest editorial redaction or dislocation by scribes.

Some who ascribe the letter to a later editor think that genuine Pauline fragments are embodied. Von Soden finds Pauline materials in 1:1-14, 3:12, 15; McGiffert, in 1:1-6, 3:1-7, 12, 15; Harrison only in the short address Παῦλος Τίτῳ and 3:12-15, which he regards as written by Paul from Western Macedonia (Ac 20:3), perhaps having already preached in Illyricum (Ro 15:10), to Titus who is still at Corinth on the mission of 2 Co 2:13, and who on the receipt of this letter joins him at Nicopolis with the good news of 2 Co 7:7, which led to the writing of 2 Co 1-9. But this ignores the implication of 2 Co 7:8-10, 9:1, that the whole of 2 Co was written from Macedonia, and it is difficult, though possible, to reconcile it with Paul's intention to spend this winter at Corinth, 1 Co 16:6. It is also noticeable that these four verses contain six words or meanings which are non-Pauline, νομικόν, λείπω, οἱ ἡμέτεροι, καλὰ ἔργα, προσπαθεῖς (meaning), ἀκαρποὶ (meaning). If the linguistic criterion were conclusive these verses would have to be condemned.

**Order of composition.**—On the theory of Pauline authorship 1 Ti. and Tit., in both of which St. Paul is free to move about, clearly precede 2 Ti. when he is a prisoner in expectation of death. Tit. perhaps preceded 1 Ti. as simpler and dealing less with organization, but they may well have been written about the same time, the differences being adequately explained as due to the different circumstances of Crete and Ephesus.

Those who accept the theory of a later editor generally prefer the order 2 Ti., Tit., 1 Ti. (cf. von Soden, pp. 154 ff.; Moffatt, Lit. N. T., pp. 559-60). The chief reasons urged are (i) the greater number of personal allusions in II, and the fact that the earlier notes in 4:9-28 have been annexed to it point to its being nearer to the lifetime of St. Paul; but the whole circumstances are more personal as between Paul and Timothy, and the position of the notes may be purely accidental, the work of a scribe.

(ii) The greater definitiveness in describing the false teachers in Tit. and I, and the greater severity in the way they are treated, e.g. contrast II 2:24 4:2 with Tit 3:11 I 1:20: but the passages in II are not dealing directly with teachers but with tendencies, those in Tit. and I with definite persons. The references to Hymenæus I 1:20 II 2:18 do imply greater severity, but these may be notes added later (cf. p. xxxi).

(iii) Possible literary dependence of Tit. and I upon II and upon 1 P, e.g. I 1:4 4:7, Tit 3:9 upon II 2:27, I 2:7 upon II 1:11, I 4:1 upon II 3:1 (von Soden, p. 155), and again Tit 2:8-5 upon 1 P 2:10-16, 5-9 upon 1 P 5:14, I 2:11 upon 1 P 3:1-6, I 3:16 upon 1 P 3:18-22 (von Soden, p. 174): but in no case is there
proof of literary dependence, they may all be independent treat-
ment of similar subjects; nor is there any clear proof of the
priority of 1 Peter.

TEXT.

The authorities for the text are the same as for the other
Pauline Epistles, except that these Epistles are lost from B and
that we have a commentary by Jerome on Titus. It will be
sufficient to refer for the main problems to Sanday-Headlam,
Romans, Introd. § 7, and to the articles by C. H. Turner in
Murray's Ill. Bibl. Dictionary, and by J. O. F. Murray in
H.D.B. Suppl., who has a careful examination of the Syrian
readings in 1 Timothy, and to B. Weiss, Textkritik der Paul.
Briefe, T. und U. xiv. 3.

An examination of the variants quoted in Tischendorf or in
Souter shows that by far the greater number are unimportant
and almost accidental. Even these are interesting as illustrating
the habits and aims of scribes. Some are purely accidental, e.g.
omissions through ὑμοιοτέλευτον, I 37, the whole verse, I 412
ἐν πίστει, ἐν ἀγνείᾳ: changes in the order of words, I 212 διδάσκειν
dὲ γνωστικὴ, 314 πρὸς σε ἐλθεῖν: mistakes in the division of
words, I 316 ὑμολογοῦμενῶς, II 217 γάγγραίνα, Tit 27 πάντας ἐπιτύχον:
mistakes through similarity of sound, I 521 προσκλησθ就是要
πρόσκλησιν, I 680 II 216 καινοφωνίας, κενοφωνίας, II 18 σὺ σὺν
κακοτάθησον, συγκακοτάθησον, II 418-16, Tit 16 318 λείπω, λίπω:
mistaken reading of letters, so perhaps I 318 θέος for ὅς. Others
are semi-conscious reminiscences of cognate passages, I 1
ἐπαγγελίαν from II 1: I 12 ἐνυναμοῦντι from Phil 415: I 17
add σῶφρων from Ro 1625: II 27 πνεύματι from Jn 428: II 518
κησοῦσεις from I Co 9: τῆς τροφῆς from Mt 10: II 17 δουλείας
from Ro 815: Tit 14 add ἔλεος from I 9, II 9. Others are more
conscious attempts to improve the text: sometimes to make the
construction clearer, I 19 om. καθὼς: I 316 add σε: I 318 ὅ and
perhaps θεός for ὅς: II 6 insert ὅλον or ἄλθον: II 41 κατὰ for κά:
sometimes to substitute a more usual word, I 14 ζητήσεις for
ἐκζητήσεις: I 112 τὸν πρότερον for τὸ πρότερον: I 611 πραῦτητα for
πραῦταῖσι: I 618 ἥρωιονιοῦτος for ἥρωιονιοῦτος: I 619 ἀλοίπον for
ὅντως: II 315 τὰ ἱερὰ for ἱερὰ: Tit 215 οἰκουροῖς for οἰκουρογύος, or a
more usual form ἵνα σωφρονῶσιν, Tit 24. A desire to enforce a
moral duty may possibly underlie I 59 sperat, instet, for sperat, instat.,
to avoid a harsh prayer, II 414 ἀποδώσει for ἀποδόψῃ: to enforce dis-
cipline Tit 310 om. καὶ διέτησαν; and to emphasize a doctrinal truth
I 316 θεός for ὅς: but see above for this. Some later scribes of the
minuscules add facts apparently from apocryphal sources, e.g. II
311 ἀ διὰ τὴν Ἐκείναν ἑπαθεῖν: II 419 Δέκταν τὴν γνωσικα ἀντὶκα καὶ
Σμαίαν, or later ecclesiastical rules, Tit 19 μὴ χειροτονεῖν διγάμους μηδὲ διακόνους αὐτοῖς ποιεῖν μηδὲ γυναῖκας ἐχεῖν ἐκ διγάμους: μηδὲ προσερχέσθωσαν ἐν τῷ θυσιαστήρῳ λειτουργεῖν τὸ θείον τοὺς ἀρχόντας τοὺς ἀδικοκρίτας καὶ ἀρπαγας καὶ φεύγοντας καὶ ἀνελεήμονας ἔλεγχε ὡς θεοῦ διάκονοι: Ti 11 τὰ τέκνα οἱ τοὺς ἰδίους γονεῖς υδρίζοντες ἣς τύπτουντες ἐπιστομίζε καὶ νουῦτες ὡς πατήρ τέκνα. In several places interesting questions of punctuation arise, vid. note on I 25 31 49, II 22 11 41, Tit 27 9. On I 24 410 64, II 215 G has the marginal note "goddiskalkon" or "cont goddiskolkon"; a hint that these texts refute the predestinarian views of Godeschalk (cf. Scrivener, p. 122).

W.-H. allow possibilities of variation of reading in 46 places. The majority of these affect the order of words, Ἱσσοῦς Χριστός or Χριστός Ἱσσοῦς, I 16 618, Tit 11 218; the insertion or omission of the article, I 611 II 218; a variation of tense, I 112.18 46, II 310 41.18.16, Tit 18 318; of voice, I 51.16; of number, I 28 68; of punctuation, I 31 62, all making some slight difference in meaning, but none that requires discussion.

The following are the more important. [The authorities quoted are from Souter except where otherwise stated.]

I 14 οἰκονομίαν, & A G H ο (hl) Ε (boh) A, Chr. Theod.-Mops. Lat, but οἰκοδομὴν D* Λ Σ (vg hl108) G Iren. Hil. Ambst. οἰκονομίαν, D* 625. The evidence for οἰκοδομὴν is strong, but οἰκονομίαν is perhaps the more likely to have been altered; it suits both παρέχωντες and τὴν ἐν πίστει better, and is strongly protected by ὡς θεοῦ οἰκονόμον in Tit 17.

I 15 ἀνθρώπων, humanus, Λ ντι only, but also in Latin MSS known to Jerome (ad Marcell., Ep. 24); so, too, in Ambst., Julian, and sometimes in Augustine, both here and in 31 where D also has it. The MSS authority is not strong, but the correction from πιστὸς is unlikely (but vid. W.-H., Notes on Select Readings on 31), whereas the assimilation to πιστὸς in 46, II 211, Tit 35, where there is no variant, is very probable. It is therefore possibly right, and the meaning will be "true to human needs" (cf. Ambst. "ut hominem peccatis abluaret . . . ut plus esset adhuc in beneficiis humanis . . . præsidium tuit hominii . . . conversationi humane se miscuit"), and so akin to ἡ φιλανθρωπία τοῦ σωτῆρος θεοῦ, Tit 34. So in 31, if the words are there to be joined with the preceding verses.

I 24 παρακαλῶ, almost certainly right, cf. 8, and the direct commands to Timothy begin later; but παρακαλεῖ, D* G Λ (v11on1) Ε (sah) Hil. Ambst. is possible; cf. 617.


I 315. ὡς is accepted in all critical editions. It was probably altered to ὡς in order to agree with μυστήριον, and to θεὸς possibly by accidental misreading, or to supply a nominative, or, less
INTRODUCTION xxxvii


I 4² ἀπέσχεσθαι. There is no variant, and no change seems necessary; cf. 2¹² where ἐπιτρέπω has to be carried on from ὀθο ἐπιτρέπω. But Bentley would insert κελεύντων; W.-H. (Select Readings) conjecture ἦ ἀπέσχεσθαι or καὶ γεύσθαι.

I 4¹⁰ ἀγωνιζόμεθα, Ν* Α C G K 33. 1908 al Cyr., but ἀνευδ. ζόμεθα Ν° D ω verss. Orig. Chrys. Ambst. Theod.-Mops. lat. There is thus strong support for ἀνευδ. ζόμεθα, which may be right (especially if 4¹⁰ is the faithful saying), and which is unlikely to have been substituted for ἀγωνιζόμεθα: but ἀγωνιζόμεθα suits the context better; cf. γόμναζε, γυμνασία, and is protected by 6¹², II 4².

I 6⁸ προσέχεται, but προσέχεται καὶ Λ Theod.-Mops. Cypr. Lucif. Ambst. “acquiescit,” “intendit.” There is no necessity for a correction (vid. note ad loc.), but Bentley conj. προσέχεται from 1⁴, which was doubtless in the writer’s mind. Was the original reading προσέχει τοῖς?

I 6⁷ ὅτι οἴς. The MSS make various corrections, inserting δῆλον, ἀληθὲς, verum, hæud dubium: Hort would omit ὅτι as an accidental repetition of οὐν in κόσμον (W.-H., Select Readings); Parry would invert the order οἴς ὅτι, “not to speak of being able to carry anything out”; but is any change necessary? vid. note ad loc.

I 6²¹ ἡ χάρις μεθ’ ὑμῶν, but μετά σου D E K L d e f (vg) syr τμ, Arm. Eth. Thdrt. Dam. (Tischendorf), perhaps points to a combination of two letters; or a change to the plural would have been natural when the Epistle was treated as canonical and as affecting the whole Church.

II 1³ αὖν all MSS. Hort conj. αὖν, “hold as a pattern of sound doctrine that doctrine which . . . .” (W.-H., Select Readings); but the attraction, though unusual, is possible; cf. v.l. on Tit 3⁶; Blass, § 50. 2.

II 3¹ γνωστερε, A G 33 al pauc. L (vt§), Eth. Aug. Perhaps accidental change, perhaps due to the feeling that vv.¹⁻⁹ are so much more general than 2²²⁻²⁵ 3¹⁰ff.

II 3¹⁴ τίνων, Ν A C* G P 33. 1912 L (vt) § pal Ambst., but τίνω C D ε L (vg) § (vg hl) Arm. Goth. Eth. Chr. Hil. Aug. Theod.-Mops. lat., probably an alteration under the impression that the reference is to the Apostle; cf. 10. 11.

II 4¹⁰ Γαλατίαν, A D G ω L (vt vg$) § (vg hl) E (boh), Goth. Eth. Iren. Theod.-Mops., but Γαλλίαν Ν C al palc. λ vg$ Eus. Epiph., probably a later change to avoid the ambiguity of Γαλατίαν: and if so, a witness at that time to the belief that St. Paul had been in Gaul; cf. W.-H., Select Readings, ad loc.
II 4:14 ἀποδόσει. There is some authority for ἀποδόχῃ, Dο οἱ L (vīd vg) Ἐ (hl), Diod. Chrys. Theod.-Mops. lat, and this is the reading more likely to have been altered to avoid the appearance of an imprecation (cf. Tischdf. ad loc.); but the indicative is protected by Pr 24:12 ἀποδίδωσιν: Ps 62:12 ἀποδώσεις: Ro 2:6, and the spirit of Ro 12:10, and cf. 16 infra.


Tit 2:10 πάσαν πίστιν ἐνεικηκμένους ἀγαθήν. Almost all MSS, but κατ 17 omit πίστιν, and 17 adds ἀγάπην. W.-H. admit this as a possible alternative; but it may be an attempt to avoid the awkwardness of the position of ἀγαθήν.

Tit 3:1 ἄρχασ ἐξουσία. There is fair MSS support for inserting καί: it may have been a conscious addition to avoid the asyndeton, but may it not have accidentally dropped out after ἄρχασ?


Tit. 3:10 καὶ δευτέραν. The MSS authority is almost unanimous for the insertion of these words, but with differences of form and order (καὶ δύο, ἥ δευτέραν, καὶ δευτέραν after νουθεσίαν), and they were omitted in one MS of the Vetus Latina, by other MSS known to Jerome, as well as by Irenæus, Tertullian, Cyprian, Ambr., Ambrst., and Augustine §. Their omission, if genuine, was probably accidental, due to δυοντέλευτον: but they might have been inserted later to relax the severity of μίαν.

LATER INFLUENCE OF THE EPISTLES.

These Epistles had great influence from the first, affecting the Liturgical services of the Church at once, and giving a model on which were framed later the Church Orders and treatises on Ministerial Character.

(i) Liturgical.—The most direct, immediate, and permanent effect is to be seen in the introduction of prayer for all men and for kings and rulers into the Eucharistic Liturgy. This is already found in Clem. Rom. i. 61, and Polycarp, Επ. 12, and remained permanently in the Eastern Liturgies (vid. note on I 2:2), and the exact words are often borrowed from 1 Ti 2:1-4 and the same reason given for the prayer; cf. Brightman, L.E. W. i. pp. 55, 92, 114, 128, 168, 288, “make wars to cease in all the world and scatter the divided people that delight in war, that we may lead a quiet and pleasant life in all sobriety and godliness” (from the Persian rite), 333.

But apart from this passage the language of these Epistles is often borrowed in the Liturgical prayers: the titles of God, “King of the ages” (pp. 32, 51, 162, 299), “King of kings” (pp. 41, 128),
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... dwelling in light unapproachable (pp. 5, 26, 263, 369, 412, 436), who cannot lie (p. 170), the Saviour of all men, especially of them that believe (p. 263): the titles of Christ, as “Our Saviour” (p. 24), “our (great) God and Saviour” (pp. 9, 33, 97, 103, 113, 114, 132, 322, 337, 444), “our Hope” (pp. 5, 21, 322): His work as saving sinners (p. 394), giving His life as a ransom (p. 347), as abolishing death (p. 232), as preparing a peculiar people, zealous of good works (pp. 264, 326): the Christian life as the real life, ὁ ἀληθινός ζωὴς (p. 4), the good fight (pp. 94, 352), as requiring a pure heart (pp. 116, 123, 135, 293, 295), a pure conscience (p. 34), as begun in the laver of regeneration (pp. 4, 157, 315): the work of the Episcopate as “rightly dividing the word of truth” (passim). These are the most frequent: Dr. Brightman would add the doxology ὁ μετὰ τὸν θεόν, the combination “with faith and love,” the prayer, “The Lord be with thy Spirit,” as borrowed from I 17 114, II 422; but these seem more doubtful.

In the Roman Mass it is the practice that when the Epistle is read: “si desumpta est ex Actibus Apostolorum incipit, In diebus illis; si ex epistolis, Fratres; si ex epistolis Pauli pastoribus, Carissime.” This has perpetuated the note of personal affection struck in II 12.

In the English Ordinal, I Ti 38-18 is an alternative Epistle in the Ordering of Deacons; I Ti 31-7 in the Consecration of Bishops; and the language of Tit 18 28, 12 underlies the questions addressed to the Bishop before Consecration; 2 Ti 18, 7, I Ti 418-16 the exhortation after Consecration; 2 Ti 42, I Ti 412, 2 Ti 47,8, the final prayer.

The prayer in the General Confession at morning and evening prayer “that we may hereafter live a godly, righteous and sober life,” is taken directly from Tit 212.

(ii) Ecclesiastical.—(a) The Didache.—This resembles the Pastoral Epistles in laying down rules for the character of the Christian Life in general and of the ministry in particular: but it deals more fully in details about the Ministers, their testing, their election, their maintenance, and their relation to the Apostles and prophets and with the Sacraments. It offers some interesting points of illustration (cf. notes on I 28 517, 617, 20), but neither quotes these Epistles, though quoting some other Epistles of St. Paul, nor shows any verbal correspondence with their language even when dealing with similar subjects (cf. Did. 2, the summary of the Commandments, with I 18-10; Did. 5, the list of heathen vices, with II 32-5; Did. 4, § 3, judicial action, with I 521; Did. 4, § 10, masters and slaves, with I 612, 2, Tit 29). The tone of the Didache is more akin to Thessalonians than to the Pastoral Epistles; on the other hand, there is no trace of our author having used the Didache. They are two entirely independent documents, one
dealing with a Church in a mainly Jewish environment, the other with Churches face to face with Gentile life.

(b) The Egyptian Church Order is now recognized as the earliest of the extant Church Orders, and as being the ἀποστολικὴ παράδοσις of Hippolytus, [cf. Cambridge Texts and Studies, viii. 4], and therefore early in the 3rd century. But this, too, shows little influence of the Pastoral Epistles. Its tone is ecclesiastical rather than ethical: it does lay much stress on the character of candidates for baptism, but in dealing with the ministry it is mainly an Ordinal, dealing with the method of appointment and the prayers to be used at the ordination of Bishops, Priests, and Deacons, the setting apart of Widows, Readers, Sub-Deacons for minor offices, the administration of Baptism and the Eucharist, the rules for fasting, private prayers, attendance at church, the sign of the cross.

(c.) The so-called Canons of Hippolytus.—All the later Church Orders, of which it will be sufficient to take this as a specimen, make much more use of the Pastoral Epistles. These Canons quote them twice: § 7, "Episcopus sedatus sit sicut de illo in Apostolo scriptum est" = I 3: § 217, "secundum mandatum apostolorum [? leg, apostoli], dum venio attende lectioni" = I 418, and there are frequent reminiscences of their directions or actual vocabulary, e.g. § 1, "de fide sacra sana quæ est de domino nostro Jesu Christo" = I 68: § 41, "mores sine peccato coram omnibus hominibus" = I 37: § 58, "duplici honore afficiatur" = I 517: § 59, "Viduis honos tribuatur" = I 58: §§ 81–87, on women's dress, esp. 87, "neque tu quæ pretiosorum lapidum et margaritarum ornamentis superbis tam pulchra es ut illa quæ sola natura et bonitate splendet" = I 29–15: § 88, "neve loquatur in ecclesia, quæ est domus Dei" = I 211 315.

All these later orders take their tone and many details from the Pastoral Epistles, but do not seem to have treated their regulations as necessarily of permanent obligation: e.g. in some, celibacy is put forward as the ideal of a bishop, "It is good that he be without a wife, but at any rate that he have been the husband of one wife only." Test. Dom. Nostri, § 20 (with Cooper and Maclean's note).

Harnack attempts to show the dependence of the Pastoral Epistles on an early "Kirchenordnung" which underlies the Earliest Church Orders (Chronologie, i. p. 483; T. und U. ii. 5), but in most instances quoted the priority seems clearly on the side of the Pastoral Epistles, and in none is their dependence clear.

(iii) Pastoral.—The ethical influence of the Pastoral Epistles has been even more emphatic and permanent. Two illustrations will be sufficient.

St. Chrysostom, De Sacerdotio, deals with the dignity and responsibility of the Priest's office, dwelling even more than
the Pastoral Epistles on the spiritual peril to which the holder is exposed; he emphasizes the difficulty of dealing with individual souls, and the importance of intellectual ability for the needs of teaching. But St. Paul is his ideal throughout; to his teaching he most frequently appeals: he quotes his requirements for the ἐπίσκοπος as the standard of the ideal priest (δι' ἄνδρός τοῦ ἁγίου ἱερέως ἀνεπλήρωσεν εἰκόνα, § 533); he refers directly to I 3:17 (§§ 135, 228), II 2:25 (§ 119), and adopts the language of I 3:6 4:12 (§ 163), Tit 2:14 (§ 88). His rules for the treatment of widows (§§ 299 ff.), and his warning of the danger to a priest of sharing the sins of others, help to explain the meaning of I 5:8 ff. and 22.

St. Gregory the Great, *Regula pastoralis liber*. This book is even more closely akin to the Pastoral Epistles, as its main themes are the character of the Pastor and the different ways in which he must deal with different classes of men both in preaching and in private intercourse. St. Paul is for him "pradicator egregius" the "magnus regendi artifex": his subjects follow the lines of I 3:1-7 5:1-6, Tit 2:1-9; he also quotes I 4:12 5:1, 8, 23 6:1, 10, 17, II 4:1, 2, 8, Tit 1:9, 15 2:1; but he uses as often other Epistles of St. Paul and the Old Testament, especially the Prophets and the Wisdom Literature, at times even the minute prescriptions of the Levitical Law. These are allegorized in a way that is always ingenious, often very apt, sometimes grotesque. But apart from this the whole tone is wise, spiritual, with a keen insight into human nature and the characters of men—in a word, worthy of St. Paul.

**Commentaries on these Epistles.**

[This list does not aim at being exhaustive; it represents those books which have been used for this edition; those asterisked represent those which are still of great value to the student. Fuller information on the Patristic Commentaries will be found in Hastings, *D.B.*, Extra Volume, "Greek Patristic Commentaries"; Lightfoot, *Galatians*, Add. Note; Swete, *Theodore of Mopsuestia*, Introd. V.; a complete bibliography of all that has been published on these Epistles since 1880 in Harrison, *The Problem of the Pastoral Epistles*, App. III.; and a list covering the whole ground in Wohlenberg in *Zahn's Kommentar.*]

Cent. II. Clement of Alexandria. A few notes preserved in Æcumenius.

Cent. III. Origen. A few notes on Titus only, mainly embodied in Jerome.

***St. Chrysostom (ed. Field, Oxford, 1861; Eng. tr., Tweed., Oxford, 1843), Homilies, probably delivered at Antioch c. 385–95. Sound sensible exegesis, invaluable as interpreting the sequence of thought, the personal bearing and the spiritual application.

St. Jerome (ed. Vallarsi, vii. pp. 685–740), c. 388, on Titus only. Generally sensible exegesis, with some strange mystical interpretations; pressing home with a satirist's outspokenness the moral and spiritual bearings; interesting in the account of his own studies and those of Origen.


**Theodore of Mopsuestia (ed. H. B. Swete, Cambridge, 1880, with most valuable notes; Migne, Patrol. Gr. 66), c. 415. Fragments only of the Greek extant in Catena; Latin tr. (c. 550) complete. Good literal and historical exegesis, with keen practical and theological interest, but tending to rationalize doctrine.


Cent. viii. John of Damascus (ed. Le Quien, Paris, 1712). Notes on a few passages; fairly full on 1 Ti.; very slight on 2 Ti. and Tit.; mainly extracts from Chrysostom.

Cent. ix. Æcumenius: Catena (Migne, Patrol. Gr. 119). Mainly abbreviated from Chrysostom, with extracts from others, especially Photius and Theodoret, and notes of his own, exegetical and doctrinal.

Cent. xi. Theophylact: Catena (Migne, Patrol. Gr. 125). Extracts, mainly from Chrysostom, but from a greater variety of previous commentators than in Æcumenius.
Cent. xiii. St. Thomas Aquinas (ed. J. Nicolai, Lugduni, 1689). On the Vulgate, not on the Greek text: a careful examination of the meaning of each Latin word, of the reason why it is used, and of the structure of each sentence and paragraph. He shows a shrewd knowledge of human nature (vid. notes on Tit 1:7-9 2:1-10), and illustrates from Aristotle and Cicero. His quotations also show the kinship of practical advice between the Epistles and the Wisdom Literature (Proverbs, Wisdom, Ecclesiasticus).

Cent. xvi. J. Calvin, Commentarii in NT, Berlin, 1833–34. Strong clear-headed exegesis, but dominated at times by controversial aims.


**J. J. Wetstein, Novum Testamentum Graecum, Amsterdam, 1751–52. A repertory of classical illustrations, especially valuable for these Epistles.


C. J. Ellicott, The Pastoral Epistles, ed. 4, 1864. Most thorough lexically and grammatically.

**H. J. Holtzmann, Die Pastoral-Briefe, Kritisch und Exegetisch behandelt, Leipzig, 1880. A masterly treatment of the problem, with verdict against the Pauline authorship.

B. Weiss in Meyer’s Kommentar über das NT, ed. 5, Göttingen, 1886. Careful introduction and exegesis.


***H. von Soden, Hand-Commentar zum NT, Freiburg, 1891. Quite excellent in scholarly exegesis; the strongest statement of the case against the Pauline authorship.


***Th. Zahn, Einleitung in das NT, vol. i. c. vii., Leipzig, 1897. The most thorough and learned defence of the Pauline authorship.

H. P. Liddon, London, 1897. i Timothy only. Careful analysis and good patristic illustrations.


**G. Wohlenberg in Zahn's* Kommentar zum NT*, Leipzig, 1906. Very careful work; independent, with subtle analysis of the thought, and interesting classical illustrations.


***M. Dibelius in Lietzmann’s* Handbuch zum NT*, Tübingen, 1913. Terse, pointed notes, with most valuable illustrations from pagan, especially religious sources.


**R. S. J. Parry, Cambridge, 1920.** Most scholarly.

**P. N. Harrison, *The Problem of the Pastoral Epistles*, Oxford, 1921.** Indispensable on the linguistic arguments against the Pauline authorship.
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Historical situation.—There is no certain indication of the place at which the letter was written. St. Paul had been with Timothy at Ephesus, or possibly Timothy had come from Ephesus to meet him at some point on a journey that he was making to Macedonia (cf. the situation of Acts 20:17 with 1:3): St. Paul was bound to go forward, but was so much impressed with the dangerous tendency of some false teachers at Ephesus that he pressed Timothy to stay on in order to counteract them. St. Paul has continued his journey to Macedonia, and is perhaps now there: perhaps he has heard that all is not prospering in Ephesus: more probably his natural anxiety prompts him to write, for Timothy is still young (4:12), naturally timid, liable to frequent illnesses (5:23): his hands need strengthening. Paul hopes to be able to return himself soon (3:14), but he may be delayed (3:16 4:18), so he writes at once (cf. the similar circumstances that led to the writing of 1 Th (2:17–3:2), and also 1 Co 4:17-19, Philem 22), to reinforce his charge about the false teachers, to lay down rules on certain points of public worship and the character of the officers in the Church, and to give Timothy guidance as to his own life and teaching.

General character.—In large parts of the letter the personal and local element is strongly marked—either in allusion to St. Paul's own life (1:1, 3, 11, 12-16 2:7 3:14) or to Timothy's character and circumstances (1:1, 3, 18, 2:15 4:6-16 5:23 6:11-18, 20) or to local conditions at Ephesus (1:6, 19 5:18 6:10, 17-18, 21). On the other hand, some sections are quite general and might have been sent to any Church (e.g. 2:1-6, 8-15 3:1-13 5:1-16 6:1, 2), and the greeting is not to Timothy but to the Church. It is probable, therefore, that these parts at least were intended for public reading. It is further possible that the writer was thinking of a wider audience, and intending the more general parts to be circulated among other Churches (cf. 2 Co 1:1, Col 4:16): the phrase ἐν πάντι τόπῳ (2:8) lends itself to this theory, and St. Paul was always anxious to secure uniformity of practice and order in his Churches (cf.
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1 Co 11:16 14:38). Or the explanation may be slightly different: the general problems discussed in these sections are problems that would arise in every congregation: St. Paul must have had to deal with them again and again: and his teaching would have become stereotyped in some form which could be embodied without change when sent to a particular Church.

Date.—There is no reference to external events to throw any light on the date of writing. On the other hand, the many similarities with the subject and language of Titus prove that it was written about the same time as that Epistle, probably a little after, as the thoughts are fuller here. The similarities between both these Epistles and 1 P (cf. Introd. p. xxiv) point the same way, though the priority of 1 P is doubtful. The use of the Pauline Epistles, especially Ro. and Co., may imply adaptation by a later writer, but is consistent with repetition of the same thoughts by the same writer. The quotation of three “faithful sayings” (1:16 2:15 4:8), of a Christian hymn (3:16), of liturgical doxologies (1:17 6:15, 16), of a Christian prophecy (4:4), the possible allusion to some early form of creed (6:13), and the possible, though not probable, reference to “Scripture” for a saying of the Lord (5:18), all favour a comparatively late date, though not necessarily one later than St. Paul’s life. Hence most editors who favour a non-Pauline authorship place this Epistle as the latest of the three (so von Soden, H.K., p. 154; Moffatt, L.N.T., p. 560; McGiffert, A.A., p. 413).

For the evidence from Church organisation, the false teaching attacked, and the style, cf. pp. xvii ff.

Spiritual value.—(i) The chief contribution which the Epistle makes is the picture of the true Teacher and the true Teaching. The teacher eagerly pursuing righteousness, godliness, faith, love, patience, meekness (6:11), keeping a good conscience (1:19), disciplining himself (4:8), self-controlled in all respects (3:1-2), free from the love of money (3:3 6:10), a pattern for his people (4:12), controlling his own family well (3:4), treating his church as his own family (5:1-8), growing in courage and boldness of speech (3:18), free from favouritism and impartial in judgment (5:17-25), keeping the commandment without spot, as he remembers God as the source of life and Christ Jesus as the example of courage, and looks forward to His reappearance to judge (5:21 6:14). There is not the unveiling of the deepest motives of the minister of Christ, such as is found in 2 Co., but there is the practical outcome of such motives.

So, too, with the nature of the teaching: it is healthy and sane (1:10), free from feverish excitement (6:4), its standard and aim is godliness (6:8): it aims always at the central verities, love,
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faith, truth, a pure heart, a good conscience (15 27): it is impatient of aimless speculations, of old wives’ fables, of all that hinders the work of God’s steward (14 47): it is loyal to the Apostolic teaching and based on the words of the Lord Jesus and the Gospel of the glory of the blessed God (111 68), and falls back quickly on great doctrinal truths (116 24 5 316 410).

(ii) This high spiritual level is consistent with a regulated worship and an organized ministry. In the regulations, worship is first dealt with as giving the keynote for life: in all the churches prayer is to be offered for all mankind and for the rulers, regulations which have influenced all liturgies and have done much to promote a missionary spirit based upon a belief in human nature, and also helped to favourable relations between the Church and the State. This carries the duty of obedience to government as given in Ro 13 to a higher level (2 17). The following regulation about the relation of men and women at service does not add to that in 1 Co.

Some organization of the ministry is assumed as already existing, but there is a clearer picture than elsewhere of the relation of the deacon to the “bishop,” of the possibility of passing from one grade to another, a fuller reference to the work of deaconesses and to the order of widows.

But it is a striking fact that a church so organized is not left independent, to deal with its own difficulties: it is subordinate to the Apostle’s delegate, who has to control the teaching, to arrange for the services, to exercise discipline over the presbyters, and for these tasks stress is laid upon his ordination: he has received a definite gift: stress is laid upon its “given-ness” (χάρισμα, ἐκδόθη, 414): given by prophecy and the laying on of hands of the presbytery: it is in the strength of such prophecies that he is able to war the good warfare (118 ἐν ἀντίκειται).

Both as a handbook of Church Discipline and Worship, and as a treatise on ministerial character, the Epistle has had a great influence on the services, the organization, and the literature of the Church; cf. Introduction, p. xxxviii.

ANALYSIS OF THE EPISTLE.

A. 1-20. Introduction.

1. 2 Greeting.

3-20 Appeal to Timothy to have courage to rebuke the false teachers:

1-11 (a) because their teaching does not promote the central spiritual purpose of the true Gospel committed to the writer himself.
12-17 (b) because he himself can tell of power for ministry given to himself though the chief of sinners.

18-20 (c) because of the prophecies about Timothy's own ministry.

B. 21-62. General Regulations:
21-313 (a) for the Church.
21-7 (i) the scope of public prayers.
8-16 (ii) the conduct of men and women at public worship.
31-13 (iii) the character of ministers: the bishop (1-7); deacons (8-10); deaconesses (11); deacons as aspirants to higher office (12-13).

314-16 Central doctrine. The Person of Christ the source of true religion.
41-5 Transition to the following regulations. Danger of the teaching of a false asceticism.

46-62 (b) for Timothy himself.
46-16 (i) his own life and teaching.
51-62 (ii) his treatment of others; the old and young (51.2); widows (5-16); discipline over presbyters (17-25); slaves (61-2).

3-10 The false teacher misled by the hope of gain.
11-16 Appeal to Timothy to be a true man of God and fight the good fight.
11-18 Based on Timothy's past confession.
14-16 "" the example of Christ Jesus and the thought of His return to judge.
17-10 The proper teaching to be given to the rich.
20. 21a Final appeal to Timothy.
21b Greeting.

THE GREETING.

i. 1-2. I Paul, writing with all the authority of an Apostle of Christ Jesus, and in obedience to the direct commandment of God who has saved us from our sins, and of Jesus Christ, who is the object of our hope, send this letter to you Timothy, with all the confidence which a father feels in a true son in the faith; and I ask God, the Father of us all, and Christ Jesus our Lord, to give you grace for your work, to aid you in your difficulties, and give you peace at heart.
The greeting is formal and elaborate; it is partly personal to Timothy (γενήσιω τέκνω . . . ζεως), but also official (ἀπόστολος, κατ' ἐπιταγήν), perhaps because the letter is meant to be read to others (cf. μεθ’ ὑμῶν, 6:20), and to be treated as an authoritative guidance for the Church or Churches to which Timothy has to communicate its regulations; it is to strengthen Timothy’s hands against false teachers; cf. 1:1 11 2:7.

1. ἀπόστολος] εὐθείας τοῦ ἀκροατοῦ τὴν διάνοιαν εἰς τὸν ἀποστειλαντα παραπέμπει (Chrys.).

Χριστου ἤγερε] In the other Epistles (Jas., Pet., Jude, Jn.) the order is Ἱσι. Ἱρ., perhaps because to their writers the memory of the earthly life had been the first thing; in St. Paul the order is generally Ἱρ. Ἱσι., perhaps because the knowledge of the Heavenly Messiah came before that of the earthly life; but there is no uniformity in him, though when he refers to facts of the earthly life the order is often Ἱσι. Ἱρ. 6:3, II 2:8, 1 Co 2:2 15:57, 2 Co 8:9. For a full examination of the usage, cf. I.C.C., Galatians, pp. 392 ff.

cat’ ἐπιταγήν] ἐπιταγή, Paul only in N.T. (1 Co 7:6, 26, 2 Co 8:8, Tit 2:15); cat’ ἐπιταγήν (Tit 1:8, Ro 16:26). It suggests a royal command which must be obeyed, cf. Esth 1:8, and was used of divine commands (cf. M.M. s.v.). Ramsay quotes κατ’ ἐπιταγήν τοῦ Κυρίου Τυφάνινον Δίος (Inscr. Le Bas Waddington, No. 667). Here it refers primarily to the choice of Paul as an Apostle (27, Acts 22:14), though it may include the wider command of the King of all the ages (cf. 1:17 6:15), revealing the message of salvation (Ro 16:26) and calling for obedience, cf. εἰς ὑπακοὴν πιστεύω (Ro 1:5). It gives the commission in virtue of which he acts, and the rule and standard of his work. Paul writes because necessity is laid upon him (1 Co 9:16-18); he is anxious to be able to report to his Lord, when He returns, κύριε, γέγονεν δ ἐπέταξας (Lk 14:22).

θεοῦ σωτῆρος ἡμῶν] Possibly with an allusion to the heathen use of the title as applied to Zeus, Apollo, or Ἀσκληπιος (Tit 2:13 note); cf. Harnack, Exp. of Christianity, i. 2. 2; but the phrase is Jewish, Dt 32:16, Ps 24:6, Lk 1:47, Jude 25. By St. Paul it is applied to the Father in 1 Ti., to Christ only in 2 Ti. (1:10), to the Father and to Christ in Tit.; in the earlier Epistles only to Christ, Eph 5:28, Phil 3:20, but cf. 1 Co 1:21. Here it anticipates the thoughts of 1:15 2:8 4:15 4:16.

tῆς ἐλπίδος ἡμῶν] On whom we place our hopes, whom we hope to see and to be like; cf. Col 1:27 Χριστὸς εἰς ὑμᾶς, ἡ ἐλπὶς τῆς δόξης, and 1 Jn 3:2. 8. Cf. Ps 64:6 ἐπάκουσαν ἡμῶν ὁ θεὸς ὁ σωτὴρ ἡμῶν, ἡ ἐλπὶς πάντων τῶν περάτων τῆς γῆς: Wisd 14:6 ἡ ἐλπὶς τοῦ κόσμου, of Noah (Wohlenberg). Liv. xxviii. 39: *“spem omnem salutemque nostram,” of Scipio (Wetstein). Similarly κύριε, ὑπομονή Ἰσραηλ, Jer 1:7. Here the phrase has almost become a fixed
title, as it has become by the time of Ignatius (Trall. Inscr. and
2, Magn. II, Ph. 5 and II, Eph. 21. Cf. ἡ τελεία πίστις, ad
Polyc. 10): and Polycarp, Phil. 8.
γνησίω τέκνω (dilecto, Vg.; germano, Ambros.; viscerali, ite.)
perhaps combines the thought of I Co 4:17 “my true son
whom I have begotten and to whom I have a right to appeal,”
with that of Phil 2:20-22 (ἰδιόφυγον . . . γνησίου . . . ὡς πατρὶ
tέκνον σὸν ἐμοὶ ἐδοῦλεσεν), “my son whom I know that I can
trust,” perhaps with implied contrast to others who had failed
him, inf. 3-11. Dibelius compares the use of father and
son for teacher and pupil in the Mysteries, quoting Poimandres
13, p. 340 (Reitzenstein), μὴ φθόνει μου, πάπερ γνησίου νῖς εἰμὶ
διάφρασον μοι τῆς παλιγγενεσίας τὸν τρόπον.
ἐν πίστει] Cf. ἐν Χριστῷ, I Co 4:16; ἐν κυρίῳ, ib. 17. There the
stress is on the spiritual sphere, here on spiritual character, faith
in and loyalty to Christ; cf. 4.5 and Tit 1 κατὰ κοινὴν πίστιν.
χάρις, ἡλεος, εἰρήνη] For χάρις and εἰρήνη, cf. S.-H., Romans
1:5-7: ἡλεος is found in prayers combined with εἰρήνη (Gal 6:11,
Tob 7:11 (N)), with εἰρήνη and ἀγάπη in Jude 2, with χάρις and
εἰρήνη, as here, only in 2 Ti 1:2, 2 Jn 3. The addition in 1 and 2
Ti. (not in Titus) may have reference to Timothy’s difficulties
at Ephesus. τοῦτο ἀπὸ πολλῆς φιλοσοφογίας· πλείονα γὰρ ἐπεισεμέναι
τῷ παιδί, δεδουκὼς ὑπὲρ αὐτοῦ καὶ τρέμων, Chrys.; cf. ἀληθῆς, 14, 16,
he invokes for his son the mercy which had aided himself.
ἀπὸ θεοῦ πατρὸς καὶ Χρ. ἡ τοῦ Κ. ήμῶν] cf. S.-H., Romans 17;
Frame, I Thess 1. πατρὸς is here, perhaps, limited by ήμῶν (cf. 1),
or quite unlimited “the Father,” ἐξ οὗ πάσα πατριὰ ὄνομαξεν,
Eph 3:16; the father invokes blessings on his spiritual son from
the source of all fatherhood.

i. 3-20. Appeal to Timothy. Warn the false teachers at
Ephesus not to waste their time on myths and genealogies and
 teachings about the law to the neglect of the true spiritual aim
of the gospel. They entirely misunderstand the true purpose of
the law, as seen in the light of the gospel. Its purpose was to
control sin, but the gospel saves from sin; yes, it saved me the
chief of sinners, and I was allowed to be its preacher. Do you
then, as my true child, hand on this charge, and be warned by
the fate of Hymenaeus and Alexander.

Note.—The key-words of the section are πίστει with its
cognates (cf. 1, 4. 5. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 19 bis) and ἀγάπη (5, 14),
which are contrasted with intellectual speculation: cf. the contrast
between γνῶσις and ἀγάπη in I Co 8, between speaking with
tongues and prophesying in I Co 14.
8-11. Paraphrase. I wrote to press on you the purpose with which I urged you to stay in Ephesus when I had to leave for Macedonia. It is that you should strictly charge certain teachers there—I need not mention their names—not to pride themselves on being teachers of novelties, or to waste their time on untrustworthy legends and questions of genealogies which are unending, for they only supply them with abstruse investigations, and do not help them to do their work as God’s stewards, whose one aim is to produce faith. The whole purpose of the charge which Christ has given us, His stewards, is to produce a true spirit of love, springing out of simplicity of aim, of a clear conscience, and a sincere faith. But some of these teachers have wholly failed in these qualities, and turned out of the narrow path into worthless discussions: they claim to be Christian rabbis, but they do not understand their own assertions, nor the meaning of the subjects on which they are so positive. But we know that the law is of high value, if a teacher enforces its right purpose, if he realizes that law is never enacted to control one who is already acting rightly, but it is to control the wilful and restless, those who violate their duty to God and their neighbour in any way that is inconsistent with the sound teaching of Christ. This is the position of the law in the light of the good news that the blessed God has now communicated His glory to men and enabled them to obey. It is this good news with which I was entrusted, I on whose behalf you have to speak.

Note.—With the whole section cf. Acts 20:80, and inf. 6:8-10: here, the stress is laid on the character of the teaching; there, on the character of the teachers.


καθὼς παρεκάλεσα] What is the apodosis? Probably (as Grotius suggested) ηναι παραγγελθης, “As I urged, so now see that you charge”; ηναι being elliptical, vid. note on Tit 3:8. If this is not so, then the sentence is an anacolutha, cf. Ro 5:12; such anacolutha are common at the commencement of letters; cf. Ignatius, Rom. 1, Eph. 1, Sm. 1; Pap. Oxyr. x. 1299, quoted in M. M. s.v. καθώς. The reason is that the act of writing takes the place of an apodosis. “As I urged, so now I write.” So on the stage the apodosis has often to be supplied from some movement on the part of the actor; cf. Soph. O.T. 325. A similar movement explains Mt 26:50.

προσμείναι (cf. 5\(^{6}\)), not in the earlier Epistles, but cf. Acts 13\(^{48}\), slightly stronger than μὲνεῖν, "stay on."

τω[ι] They have not reached the point of shipwreck of faith, and have not had to be dealt with judicially like Hymænæus and Alexander (\(^{20}\)); so he tactfully mentions no names; cf. 6.19 5\(^{15} 21\) 6\(^{10} 21\), and compare 2 Co 3\(^{1} 10\).

ἐτεροδιδασκαλεῖν, cf. 6\(^{8}\), Ign. ad Polyc. 3 (cf. κακοδιδασκαλεῖν, Clem. R. ii. 10; ἐτεροδιδάσκαλος, Eus. H.Ε. iii. 32). The word was possibly coined by the writer, half-parodying νομοδιδασκαλοῦν. They have not reached the point of shipwreck of faith, and have not had to be dealt with judicially like Hymænæus and Alexander (\(^{20}\)); so he tactfully mentions no names; cf. 6.19 5\(^{15} 21\) 6\(^{10} 21\), and compare 2 Co 3\(^{1} 10\).

ἐτεροδιδασκαλεῖν, cf. 6\(^{8}\), Ign. ad Polyc. 3 (cf. κακοδιδασκαλεῖν, Clem. R. ii. 10; ἐτεροδιδάσκαλος, Eus. H.Ε. iii. 32). The word was possibly coined by the writer, half-parodying νομοδιδασκαλοῦν. They have not reached the point of shipwreck of faith, and have not had to be dealt with judicially like Hymænæus and Alexander (\(^{20}\)); so he tactfully mentions no names; cf. 6.19 5\(^{15} 21\) 6\(^{10} 21\), and compare 2 Co 3\(^{1} 10\).

They pride themselves on being "teachers of law"; they are really only teachers of novelties, of things alien to the true gospel, παρὰ τὴν διδαχὴν ἣν ὑμεῖς ἐμάθετε, Ro 16\(^{17}\); ἐτερον εὐαγγέλιον, Gal 1\(^{6}\).

4. προσέξειν (c. dat. 3\(^{8} 4\)^{1}\(^{13}\), Tit 1\(^{4}\): also Luke (2), Acts (6), Heb (2), not in the earlier letters; but cf. Acts 20\(^{28}\).

μυθ. καὶ γεν. ἀπεράντωσι] ἀπεράντως, used with a note of impatient scorn (cf. ἀπεραντολογία, ἀπεραντολογεῖν; Αθηναῖος, Strabo ap. Wetstein), is the emphatic word, and probably qualifies both μυθ. and γεν. Cf. the similar protest in Epict. iii. 24, σὺ δ᾽ Ομήρῳ πάντα προσέξεις καὶ τοῖς μύθοις αὐτοῖς (Dibelius).

μυθ. καὶ γεν. to be taken closely together, μύθοι being defined by γενεαλογία, legendary stories about genealogies; but γενεαλογία was used widely of any mythologies connected with the history of early founders of states. Cf. Polyb. Hist. ix. i. 4, where ὁ γενεαλογικὸς τρόπος of the historian is contrasted with the parts which deal with colonizations, foundations of cities, the policy of nations, and is said to be specially attractive to the inquisitive; and id. 2. 1, τὸ περὶ τῶν γενεαλογιῶν καὶ μύθους, is contrasted with these more historical parts. So Philo calls the history of the patriarchs in the Pentateuch τὸ γενεαλογικὸν μέρος (de V. Mosis, ii. 8).

There may be implied here a contrast with the short, clear historical life and teaching of the Lord, "the mystery of godliness" summed up in 3\(^{18}\). Cf. 2 P 1\(^{16}\) οὖ γὰρ σεσωφορεύοις μύθοις ἐξακολουθήσαντες ἐγνωρίσαμεν ὑμῖν τὴν τοῦ Κ. ἡμῶν Ἰ. Χρ. δύναμιν καὶ παρουσίαν.

The exact reference of the words is uncertain.

(i) Probably they refer to something Jewish; and if so, to legends and stories centring round the pedigree of the patriarchs and O.T. history which were handed down in tradition, the Rabbinical Haggada, and which are prominent in Jewish Apocalypses (so cf. Hort, Judaistic Christianity, p. 135), and were used to support the institutions of the Jewish law. The Book of Jubilees, "an attempt to rewrite primitive history from the standpoint of the law," based on τὸ γενεαλογικὸν and introducing many legends about evil spirits, or "The Book (attributed to
Philo) concerning Biblical Antiquities,” a legendary chronicle of O.T. history from Adam to Saul, dating from the 1st century A.D. (ed. M. R. James, S.P.C.K., 1917), would be the best illustrations of this. Cf. also Justin M. Dial. c. Tr. c. 112; Irenæus, i. 30, for similar profitless discussions. This Jewish reference is made probable (i) by the fact that these teachers claimed to be νομοδιδάσκαλοι: (ii) by the clear reference in Tit 1:14 Ἰούδαίκοις μέθοις: 3ο γενεαλογίας καὶ ἔρεις καὶ μάχας νομικάς: (iii) by Ign. ad Magn. c. 8 (possibly an allusion to this place), where μυθεύμασιν παλαιός πλανῶσθαι is a note of living κατὰ Ἰούδαισμόν. (iv) The allusion to Jannes and Jambres, 2 Ti 3, is perhaps drawn from such legendary Haggada.

This reference is supported by Chrys., Pelagius, Thdt. τὴν Ἰούδαϊκὴν ἐρμηνείαν τὴν ὑπ’ αὐτῶν καλομενὴν δευτέρως: and Ambrosiaster, “δε fabulis quas narrare consueti sunt Judei de generatione suarum originum.” F. H. Colson (J. Th. St. xix. 265-71) thinks that the reference is not to a Pharisaic Judaism, but to a “somewhat conceited pseudo-Hellenic Judaism,” which treated the O.T. as the “grammatici” and “rhetores” treated Homer in literary circles; and he quotes a similar criticism of such points by Suetonius, Tiberius, c. 70, “Maxime curavit notitiam historiae fabularis, usque ad ineptias atque derisum,” quoted with other ref. by Mayor on Juv. 7. 234.

(ii) But, possibly, to the genealogies of the æons, which in Gnostic teaching separated the supreme God from the material world, cf. 41-4. Irenæus directly applied these words to the teaching of Valentinus (adv. Haer. praef. i.), and so did Tertullian (Prescr. 7 and 33); but neither states that our writer was referring to them, for Irenæus applies Mt 7:15 and Tertullian Col 2, Gal 4:8 to the same heretics; and Tert. (adv. Valent. 3) supposes St. Paul to anticipate these teachers, and to meet the germs of their teaching (“his jam nunc pullulantibus seminibus hæreticis damnare prævenit”); cf. Introd. p. xvii.

ἐκζητήσεις] Here only in N.T., “out-of-the-way researches” (cf. ἐκζήτειν, Ecclus 30:8 (of the Jewish Rabbi, σοφίαν πάντων ἀρχαίων ἐκζήτησει ... ἀπόκρυφα παροιμίων ἐκζήτησει), I P 1:10 and “eruere”). For the distinction from ζητήματα, cf. Acts 15:2 γενομένης ... ζητήσεως οίκος διήγης ἔταξαν ... ἀναβαίνειν Π. καὶ Β. περί τούτων ζητήματος τούτων.

οἰκονομίαν θεοῦ] “God’s stewardship,” i.e. they do not help them to carry out the stewardship entrusted to them by God; cf. Tit 1:7 ὃς θεοῦ οἰκονόμον: συρά 1καὶ ἐπιταγήν: 11 ἐπιστευόντα. Ign. ad Eph. 6, πάντα δὲ πέμπει ὁ οἰκοδομητὴς εἰς διὰν οἰκονόμων. The metaphor is a favourite one with St. Paul (cf. esp. 1 Co 9:17) and St. Luke: elsewhere only in 1 P 4:10. This is ultimately “God’s own method,” His “scheme of salvation” (cf. Eph 1,
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Ign. Eph. 18. 20 (ubi v. Lightfoot), Clem. Alex. Strom. i. 24: oikono-
mia kath' hyn ev apaidionoto 'Evbetaoi . . . eis monon to pisteuein tov theon
enai (quoted with other interesting illustrations at Tatiani, Or., ed.
Schwarz, Texte und Unters. i. 4. 1, pp. 86-90); but the analogy
of Tit 17 shows that this is not the primary thought here, and is
almost conclusive against the reading of the Western text, oikono-
demia, for which cf. 3 15, 1 Co 3 1, and supra, p. xxxvi.

5. to de telos] toutoiti to sumplhroma, cf. Ro 10 4, Chrys.; but
here the metaphor is of “the way” (cf. aposthrantos . . . eis-
traptean eis). “The goal,” “the true end to be reached”; cf.
Ign. Eph. 1 4, arxhi me paitis, telos de agapht.

7. paraghelias] i.e., primarily, the charge which Timothy has
to give (paragheilhs, 3; paragheilhs, 18): but the last words,
oikod. theo tov en pistei, have carried the mind on to the whole
scheme of salvation, and perhaps extend the meaning more
widely—the end of all Christian moral preaching, the whole moral
charge which is given to God’s stewards; cf. 6 1: 6 eno-
kla, 6 14: to kipmuma, 1 Co 2 21.


18. ek kataparos k.] Cf. 2 Ti 2 22, 1 P 1 22 (si v.l.), Mt 5 8. It is an
O.T. conception, Gen 20 5, 6; Job 11 18 33 8, Ps 23 4 50 19.

18. ovaidehos oms ag.] 1 19, 1 P 3 16 21; kalh, Heb 13 18; contrast
ovaide. pognia, Heb 10 22. For the history of the word, which is
of Greek philosophic origin, cf. S.-H. on Romans 2 15; Bonhoeffer,
Epiktet und das NT, p. 156.

18. ovpokritos] 2 Ti 1 18 “a word chiefly Christian” (but used in
Wisd 10 18), “as might be expected from Our Lord’s warnings
against epokritos and upokritai, partly from the high standard of
veracity set up by the Apostles; cf. Jas 3 17 (sofia), Ro 12 9,
2 Co 6 6 (agapht); 1 P 1 22 (philadelphia);” Hort ad Ja. 1 10.
The words are in an ascending scale, simplicity of aim, which is
always ready to listen to truth (cf. Lk 8 15 ev karfia kalh kai
agath), a constant desire to do right, and a faith which accepts
Christ as its guide with sincerity and consistency (cf. Gal 2 18),
resulting in love for God and man.

All these qualities can be re-created in the penitent sinner;
 cf. Ps 50 12, Heb 10 22 3 19.

6. dow] Failure in these moral qualities loses sight of the true
goal; cf. 1 10.

15. apostox.] 2 Ti 2 18 (only in N.T.), Ecclus 7 19 8, and
common in Polybius and Plutarch, “failing to strike,” or perhaps,
rather more definitely, “taking no pains to aim at the right
path”; cf. the description of their character in 6:5. Ecclus 8
μὴ ἀστεῖοι διαγγέλλων: and for the thought, Mt 7:14.

εἴς τρισ. [5:12 6:20, 2 Ti 4:4, Heb 12:18 only in N.T. 
μαθαιολογία here only in N.T.; cf. Tit 1:10, Ro 1:21.

7. νομοδιδάσκαλοι.] Perhaps without reference to the Jewish law,
half-ironical, “claiming to be professors of moral philosophy”;
cf. Epict. ii. i. 25, τὸς οὖν ἐπὶ υἱῶν πυτεύομεν, ὁ φίλτατοι νομο-
θέται (Dibelius); but vv. 4, 8, 9, 10 make a reference to the Jewish
law more probable.

τίνων] The interrogative is probably used for the relative
for the sake of variety alone, as in late Greek they tended to
become interchangeable; cf. Moulton, N.T. Greek, p. 93; Blass,
p. 175.

διαβεβαιωθέντα] Tit 3:8 only in N.T., “on which they insist, lay
so much stress.” Hort (W.H. App., pp. 167 and 171) suggests
that the form is really subjunctive, cf. ζηλοῦτε, Gal 4:17 φυσίοισθε,
1 Co 4:9, “nor on what points they ought to insist”; cf. Ro 8:26
τὸ γὰρ τι προσευχόμεθα καθὸ δὲι ὃν οἴδαμεν: but this would
probably have been stated more clearly.

8. οἴδαμεν] “We Christians,” with, perhaps, a conscious refer-
ence to Ro 7:12, 14 οἴδαμεν γὰρ ὅτι ὁ νόμος πνευματικὸς.

καλὸς (cf. note, p. 22) ὁ νόμος. The Mosaic Law, but only
as the instance used by these teachers of what is true of all law,
νόμος 9.

εἶν τις] Any teacher (cf. τις, 3; τινὲς, 6): νομίμως (here and II 25
only in N.T.), in accordance with its true spirit, “as a law,” not
“as a Gospel.” “Si quis sciat quibus, quare, et quamdiu habenda
sit data,” Pelag. Law with its penalties is needed to control
sinners, but when once the true love of God is created in a
man’s heart, there is no longer need to appeal to its sanctions;
Love fulfils it: the true Christian is “non sub lege sed cum 
lege” (Aug. on Jn 1, Tr. 3), he is “amicus legis” (Ambrosiaster
on Ro 2:15), and law is put on a firmer basis, not as a penalizing
force, but as the guidance of a loving God; cf. Ro 3:11 7:14 8:4
13:8-10, Gal 5:23. “When at last love suffuses all the mind—love of
God and His Laws, and love for our neighbour as made in His
image and the chief mirror of His goodness, then indeed the
yoke becomes easy and the burden light,” Inge, Personal Idealism,
p. 16.

ἔστι νόμος. He appeals to an universal principle, acknowledged
generally, and cf. ὃ μὴν ἀδικών οὐδενὸς δεῖ τι νόμον, Antiphanes Fr.
288 (Koch), and Aristotle’s claim for philosophy; τὸ ἀνεπιτάκτως
ποιεῖν ἀ τινες διὰ τῶν τῶν νόμον φόβον τοιούτων, Diog. Laert. 5:20
(Wetstein). The heathen imagined a past golden age in which
law was not needed (Tac. Ann. iii. 26; Ovid, M. i. 90), and the
Christian Fathers attributed the same to the patriarchal period; cf. Ambrosiaster, ad loc., "Custodientes legem naturalem, quam si humanum genus ducem habuisset, lex in litteris per Moysem data non esset”; and Iren. iv. 16. 3, quoting this verse, "‘lex non posita est justis’: justi autem patres virtutem decalogi conscriptam habentes in cordibus et animabus suis . . . non fuit necesse admoneri eos correctoris literis.” Ambrose, de Off. iii. 5. 31, “Justus legem habet mentis sua et æquitatis et justitiae sua normam, ideoque non terere pœnae revocatur a culpa sed honestatis regula” (Wohlenberg).

10. ἀνδραπαδίσταις] Cf. Ex 21 16, Dt 24 7, and an interesting chapter in Philo, de Spec. Legg. iv. 4, which condemns ἀνδραπαδίσται as oí tó pánntwn ἀριστον κτήμα, tìn épheuríán, ἀφαιρεμένοι tònων ἔχοντας. Slavery is not condemned here, but slave trading is.


τῇ ὑγιανύσῃ διδασκαλίᾳ] i.e. the moral teaching of the gospel; but as these sins have just been treated as sins controlled by the Mosaic Law, the gospel is thought of as absorbing in itself the Law of Moses and, we may add, the natural law written in the hearts of the heathen, which itself often, as embodied in legislation, condemned many of these vices; cf. 5 8, 1Co 5 1; so Pelag. “legem evangeliiis concordare demonstrat,” and Ambrosiaster, quoted above.

ὑγιανύσῃ]. Sane doctrina, “sound” (cf. Lk 5 31 7 10 15 27), not "wholesome." There may be an allusion to the diseases of the soul (cf. Plato, Rep. iv. 18; Philo, de Abr. 3 8, ἐπὶ τῶν παθῶν καὶ νοσημάτων παρευμερούσιον τόν ὑγιανύσα τόν ὄγονος, 2 Ti 2 17 ὁ λόγος αὐτῶν ὡς γάγγραια); but it is doubtful whether the medical reference was at this time more conscious than in our word “sound”: cf. Prov 24 76 (= 31 8) κρίνει πάντας ὑγιῶς: ib. 13 8 ὁ φοβούμενος ἐντολὴν οὕτως ὑγιαῖναι: Plut. Mor., p. 20 F, ὑγιανύσαι περὶ θεῶν δόξαι καὶ διήθεις. The metaphor is common in and confined to the Pastoral Epistles in N.T. 6 8, 2 Ti 1 18 4 3, Tit 1 9 13 2 1 2 8; it is of a piece with the stress on an ordered regulated life, and is found in Stoic writers: ἴγις λόγος, Marc. Aur. viii. 30.
διδασκαλία] Used in N.T. only by St. Paul (except Mt 15, Mk 7 in quotation from Is 29), 15 times in Past. Epp., 4 elsewhere. It varies elsewhere between the sense of “active teaching” (cf. 4:13-16, 2 Ti 3:16, Tit 2:7, Ro 12:15, Col 2:22) and “the body of doctrine” (4:6, 8:1, 2 Ti 3:10 (?), 4:3, Tit 1:9, 2:10, Eph 4:14): here the latter is probably right, as it implies a definite standard; but the contrast to ἐπερωτικῶς (8), νομοδιδάσκαλοι (7), suggests the former.


τῆς δόξης τοῦ μακ. θ.] Possibly a title for Christ. The gospel of Him who is the manifestation of the Divine Glory (cf. Hort on Jas 2:1 and Tit 2:13 note); but the context suggests rather the glory of God as manifested in man, of which all sinners fall short (Ro 3:23), but which gives liberty to the children of God (Ro 8:21), which is the note of a ministry of righteousness and of the Spirit, and into which we are gradually transformed, 2 Co 3:18, 4:4-6, 1 P 4:14. It is thought of here as a present glory, though its complete realization will come with the Returning Christ, cf. 6:15, 17. καί τὰ μέλλοντα αἰνήσετε, Chrys.

tοῦ μακάριον θεοῦ] Here and 6:15. God as containing all happiness in Himself and bestowing it on men. “Beatus beat” (Bengel); cf. Is 65:19 “I will rejoice in Jerusalem, and joy in my people.” The exact title is not found elsewhere, but “the happy gods,” θεοὶ μάκαρες, is frequent from Homer’s time; and the idea of God as independent of men, and containing all happiness in Himself, came through Epicurus (τὸ μακάριον καὶ αἰβάρτον, ἀφ. Plut., p. 1103 D) and Aristotle (ἐυδαίμων ἐστὶ καὶ μακάριος . . . δὲ αὐτὸν αὐτός, de Rep. vii. 1) into Alexandrine Judaism, and is common in Philo (e.g. θεὸς . . . σωτήρ τε καὶ εὐεργέτης, μακάριότερος καὶ πάντως εὐδαιμονίας ἀναπλέως, de Spec. Legg. i. 209) and Josephus (ὁ θεὸς ἐστὶ τὰ πάντα, παντελῆς καὶ μακάριος, c. Ἀρ. ii. 22). See other instances in Wetstein and Dibelius.

δ ἐπιστεύθην (cf. κατ’ ἐπιταγήν, 1) ἐγὼ: cf. Eph 3:7, Col 1:23, 25, Tit 1:8. I, your father, whom you have to represent; I, the founder of the church, who have authority to enforce against false teachers (cf. 1 Co 4:15 ἐν γὰρ Χρ. Ἰησ. διὰ τοῦ εὐαγγ. ἐγώ ἐγέννησα υἱὸν); I, who know the power of the gospel to rescue from sin.

12-17. Paraphrase. Yes, it was entrusted to me; but when I say me, I must stop to thank Him who gave me strength for the task, Christ Jesus our Lord, for He deemed that He could trust me; for His own purpose He chose me for service,—me who before had blasphemed His truth and persecuted and harried His followers. But mercy was shown to me, because I did it in
blindness while still unbelief; but the grace of our Lord overflowed its channel and flooded my heart with faith and love, that perfect love which is known only in Christ Jesus. Faithful, indeed, is that saying, and worthy of whole-hearted acceptance:

“Christ Jesus stooped this world within Sinners to rescue from their sin,”

sinners—of whom I am chief; yet for this very purpose was mercy shown to me, that in me first Jesus Christ might make clear that there are no limits to His long-suffering, and so make me the first sketch of all the myriads who are going to believe on Him and win life eternal. Now to Him who rules the ages, to the immortal, the invisible, the only God be honour and glory age after age. Amen.

This section is a personal digression, dominated by the emphatic ἐγὼ (11); but it is not a mere digression, it serves as an encouragement to Timothy (cf. ἔλεος, 2 ἡλεήθην, 13, 16); and it illustrates the main purpose of the gospel, to save sinners and to produce love and faith; cf. 2 Ti 1:12–14.

12. χάριν ἔχω] 2 Ti 1:8, not elsewhere in St. Paul, but it was a common phrase; cf. Lk 17:9 and Heb 12:28; Pap. Oxyr. i. 113, χάριν ἔχω θεοῦ πάσιν (Dibelius); perhaps a little stronger than εὐχαριστῶ. “I feel and show, I express, gratitude.”

τῷ ἐνδυναμώσατί] Perhaps a reminiscence of Phil 4:18 πάντα ισχύω ἐν τῷ ἐνδυναμοῦντί με. Here the primary thought is “who gave me strength for my task as Apostle,” the time being that of ἐπιστεύθην; cf. διὶ πιστῶ ... διακονίαν: and 2 Ti 1:7 πνεύμα δυνάμεως: 2 Co 3:1 ἢ ἱκανότης ἢμῶν ἐκ τοῦ θεοῦ: Ign. Smyrn. 4, πάντα ὑπομένω, αὐτοὶ μὲ ἐνδυναμοῦντο τοῦ τελείου ἀνθρώπου: but there lies behind this “the strength to conquer sin and obey the law” (cf. Ro 5:18), and this thought emerges in 14–16, cf. 10–11.

διὶ πιστῶ με ἡγήσατο. “Fidelem si putaveris, facies,” Seneca, Ep. Mor. i. 3; cf. 1 Co 7:25 ἡλεμένων ὑπὸ κυρίου πιστῶ εἶναι.


εἰς διακονίαν] Not only εἰς ἀποστόλων; for service of any kind, cf. 1 Co 16:15, 2 Ti 4:11, Heb 1:14, Acts 11:29 (when Paul was used for much humbler service), 20:24 in Paul’s address to the elders of Ephesus; but, above all, for the ministry of reconciliation, 2 Co 5:18.

13. βλάσφημον καὶ διώκτην καὶ ὑβριστὴν] A triad (as so often in St. Paul) with perhaps an ascending scale rising from words to
acts of authorized persecution and of illegal violence; cf. Ps 11. Bengel would treat them as sins against God, against others, and against himself (insulting his own Saviour), all failures in love; but though θλάσφημοι may include blasphemy against God, the other distinction is fanciful; and the main thought of each word is of attacks on the Church; cf. Gal 1:18-23, Phil 3:6, Acts 22:26-28.

Κηλέον κ.τ.λ.] Cf. Acts 3:17, Lk 23:84, and more directly Ro 10 (οὐ ἀντὶ ἐπιγίωσων ἀγνοοῦντες γὰρ... οὕς ὑπετάγγειν), Test. XII. Patr., Jud. 19, of which this may be a reminiscence, ἄλλ' ὁ θεός τῶν πατέρων μου ἤλεισέ με ὅτι ἐν ἀγνωσίᾳ τούτῳ ἐποίησα. Ign. Kom. 9, perhaps a reminiscence of this place, οὐδὲ γὰρ ἄξιος εἶμι, ἄν ἐσχάτος αἰτῶν καὶ ἐκτρωματικὸν ἀλλ' ἤλειμαι τις εἶναι. There is therefore no reason to assume the influence here of the Greek conception that sin is the result of ignorance.

14. ὑπερεπλέονας] Here only in N.T., but found in Ps.-Sol 5:19; cf. Ro 5:20 ὑπερεπλέονας ἡ χάρις. ὑπέρ = "above its usual measure," rather than "rising higher than my sin." This v. was the origin of the title of Bunyan's autobiography, Grace Abounding.


τῆς ἐν Χρ. ἡσυχοῦ] Not the love shown by Christ Jesus, which is already implied in ἡ χάρις, but the true love which Christians feel, cf. 6 supra, and which is only felt in union with Christ, and is a reflection of His love; cf. Jn 15:9 μεῖνατε ἐν τῇ ἀγάπῃ τῆς ἡμῶν.

15. πιστὸς ὁ λόγος] Cf. Tit. 3:8 n.; and for the v.l. ἀληθῶς, cf. Introd. p. xxxvi. Probably a quotation, as the phrase ἀληθὲν εἰς τὸν κόσμον as applied to Christ is elsewhere only found in John. The whole phrase implies a knowledge of Synoptic and Johannine language (cf. Lk 5:28, Jn 12:47), and is a witness to their essential unity, but does not imply direct quotation from either.


πάσης ἀποδ. ἄξιος] Here and 4:9 only in N.T. (cf. ἀποδεκτος, 2:8 5:4), but common in contemporary Greek, both as applied to persons—cf. Dittenberger, Syll. 246, from Sestos. a. 130-120 B.C., τῆς καλλίστης ἀποδοχῆς ἄξιομενος; Orelli, Inscr. i. 337, from Ephesus, c. A.D. 148, ἀνδρὸς δοκιμάτων καὶ πάσης τιμῆς καὶ ἀποδοχῆς ἄξιοι—and to things or sayings; cf. Diodorus Sic. xii. 15, νόμον ἀποδοχῆς ἄξιομενον: Justin Martyr, Tryph. c. 3, ἀποδοχῆς ἄξια, opposed to φορτικὰ καὶ ξάνθανα: cf. Acts 24:1 οἱ μὲν οὖν ἀποδέξαμεν τοῦ λόγου αὐτοῦ ἐβαπτίσθησαν. For other instances, see Field, Otium Norvic, ad loc., and Wetstein. Its meaning varies between mere "acceptance" and stronger "approbation," "welcome," Philo, de Decal. 10, ἀποδοχῆς καὶ τιμῆς μεταλαμβάνειν.
16 THE PASTORAL EPISTLES [I. 15, 16.

 ἧλθεν εἰς τὸν κόσμον] Contrast ἡ ἀμαρτία εἰς τὸν κόσμον εἰσήλθε, Ro 512 and cf. Jn 19 i246 i628. The analogy of Jn 614, Ro 512 shows that the idea of Divine pre-existence is not necessarily involved in it.

 ὅν πρῶτος εἰμι] “I am,” not “I was.” The sinner remains a sinner even if forgiven; the past is always there as a stimulus to deeper penitence and service. The sins for which he reproaches himself are not sins against the moral law (cf. Phil 36), but sins against the truth and the light; sins which disqualified him from Apostleship. Hence the longer he lives, the more he knows of the power of Christ and His truth, the severer becomes the self-reproach for having opposed it; cf. 1 Co 159 ἐλάχιστος τῶν ἀποστόλων: Eph 38 ἐλαχιστοτέρῳ πάντων ἁγίων, and here πρῶτος ἀμαρτώλων. “Quoniam enim præ ceteris Sacramentō se imbuit Salvatoris, propius ad cognoscendam magnificentiam ejus accedens, accusat se magis qui tantum boni tarde agnovit,” Ambrosiaster. For similar self-condemnation, cf. Tert. de Pæn. c. 4 and c. 12, with Glover’s comment, Conflict of Religions, p. 313, and Mr. Keble’s Letters of Spiritual Counsel, Preface, pp. xxxv–l. Celsus used this verse to point his taunt against the character of the Apostles, Orig. c. Cels. i 63; cf. Ep. Barn. v. 9. Moreover, by this time Paul had himself been evil-spoken of (Ro 38, 1 Co 418 1060, Ac 1345), persecuted (1 Co 412, 2 Co 49, Ac 1350), insulted (1 Th 22, 2 Co 1210), and so could more keenly enter into the feelings of those whom he had wronged.

16. διὰ τοῦτο ἡλέοθν Ἰησοῦς κ.τ.λ.] It is suggestive to compare Ro 917.18 λέει γὰρ ἡ γραφή τῷ Φαραώ ὅτι εἰς αὐτό τὸν ἐξήγειρά σε, ὅπως ἐνδείξηται ἐν σοὶ τὴν δύναμιν μου καὶ ὅπως διαγγέλῃ τὸ ὅνομά μου ἐν πάσῃ τῇ γῇ ἀρα ὑπὸ τὸ ἔλθει ἔλεει, ὑπὸ τὸ ἔθελε σκληρύνει. πρῶτος] Starts with the meaning “chief” (cf. πρῶτος, 15), but also implies “first” in contrast to those who are coming after (τῶν μελλόντων).

ἐνδείξηται] A favourite word with St. Paul, 5 times in earlier Epp., 4 in Past. Epp. (elsewhere 2 in Heb.). He only also uses ἐνδείγμα and ἐνδείξις.

Ἰησοῦς Χριστός] The change of order (contrast vv. 1-2, 12, 14, 15) perhaps emphasizes the note of personal affection, and recalls the moment of conversion, and the words ἐγὼ εἰμι Ἰησοῦς ἐν σοὶ διάκοπος, Acts 96.

τὴν ἀπασαν] Here only in N.T. with the article. His entire unlimited, ever-patient patience, not only converting, not only choo-ing me for service, but making me Apostle, and keeping me faithful.

ὑποτύπωσεν] Here and 2 Ti 118 only in N.T.: an incomplete (ὑπο-; cf. ὑπογράφη) sketch in contrast to the complete picture (ἀναγράφειν, Ar. Eth. N. i 7; ἐξεργασία, Plotinus, Ἐνν. vi. 37,
ap. Wetstein, who quotes other instances): the first sketch for a
gallery of portraits; cf. σκία, Heb 10:1. The substantive may be
consciously active, “that He might draw a sketch,” “ad informa-
tionem,” Vulg.; “deformationem,” Am.: or of the result “to
serve as a sketch,” “ad exemplum,” Ambrosiaster. The former
is more common elsewhere: the latter suits 2 Ti 1:13 better; cf.
ἐπιστολήμα, 2 P 2:6. For this vista into future generations, cf.
Eph 3:20, 21

ἐπ’ αὐτῷ] As upon a sure corner-stone. πιστεύων ἐπὶ, c. dat.,
is only applied elsewhere to Christ in quotations from Is 28:16 (Ro
9:23 10:11, 1 P 2:6), and that passage may be in the writer's mind here.

17. For similar doxologies, cf. Gal 1:5, Ro 11:36 16:27; Ph 4:20,
Eph 3:21 inf. 6:16.

τῷ βασιλεῖ τῶν αἰώνων] This first title is suggested by τῶν
μελλόντων and by ζωῆν αἰώνιον of 16, and ἀφθάρτῳ also by ζωῆν
αἰώνιον: but the others are not specially connected with the con-
text, and the whole is probably a semi-quotation from some Jew-
ish liturgical formula; cf. Ps 10:16 βασιλεύσει κύριος εἰς τὸν αἰῶνα
καὶ εἰς τὸν αἰῶνα τοῦ αἰὼνος: Tob 1:3. 6, 10 in prayer, εἴλογγις
ὁ θεός ὁ ζων εἰς τοὺς αἰώνας... ψώσατε τὸν βασιλεα τῶν αἰώνων...
εἴλογα τὸν βασ. τῶν αἰώνων... εἰς πάσας τὰς γενεάς τοῦ
αιώνος: Test. XII. Patr., Reuben, c. 6; Clem. Rom. i. 61 (also

ἀφθάρτῳ, ἀδοράτῳ] Cf. 6:16, Jn 1:18: both common thoughts in
Greek philosophical conceptions of God, and in later Jewish
speculations; cf. Wisd 12:1; Philo, de Abr. 75 f.; Vita Mosis, ii.
123, τῶν θεῶν ζωῶν ἀφθαρτῶν καὶ μακάρων νομίζων (and other exx.
in Wetstein or Dibelius); cf. Clem. Rom. ii. 20, τῷ μονῷ Θεῷ
ἀδοράτῳ, πατρὶ τῆς ἀληθείας, τῷ ἵπποτευταμένῳ ἢμῖν τὸν σωτῆρα
καὶ ἀρχηγόν τῆς ἀφθαρσίας, δό οὐ καὶ ἐφανερώσατε ἢμῖν τὴν ἀληθείαν
καὶ τὴν ἐποιμανόν ζωῆν, αὐτῷ ἡ δόξα εἰς τοὺς αἰῶνας τῶν αἰώνων.

μονῷ] Cf. 6:16, Ro 16:27. 1 Co 8:4, 5 explains the emphasis
on this.

18-20. Paraphrase. This charge, then, I now in my absence
place in your care, my own son Timothy; recalling to mind the
words of the Christian prophets which led me to choose you
in my work, that in the strength of these words
you may carry on God's true campaign, holding fast yourself
faith and a good conscience, for remember how some refused
to listen to their conscience and so made shipwreck of their
faith: of such are Hymenæus and Alexander on whom I formally
passed sentence, that they may learn under discipline not to
speak against the truth.

Compare the similar warning from the example of others in
2 Ti 1:15.
18. 

18. ταύτην τὴν παραγγελίαν i.e. the charge of as expanded in 11.

παρατίθεμαι] For the metaphor, cf. 2 Ti 1:18 note. The middle shows that he still feels his own responsibility: he will still have to give account for that which had been entrusted to him, 11. “That I may be faithful to my trust, I choose one whom I can trust,” cf. 2 Ti 2:2.

tέκνον Τιμόθεε] Cf. Ramsay on Gal 3:1, p. 310; and notice how here, as in Phil 4:16, the personal address to another follows directly on an account of his own work and of Christ’s power to aid him. Is there a play on Timothy’s name, “You whose name commits you to giving honour to God”? cf. τῷ . . . θεῷ τύμη 17.

cατὰ τὰς προαγ. ἐπὶ σε προφητείας] “Either according to the previous” (cf. Heb 7:18 ἄδετος προαγούσης ἐντολὴς: Jos. Ant. xix. § 298, ὅπερ ἐν ταῖς προαγούσαις γραφαῖς παρέδωμεν “prophecies about thee” (cf. Ezek 37:4 προφήτησαν ἐπὶ τὸ ὀστᾶ ταῦτα): or “according to the prophecies leading” (cf. 5:24 προάγωσαν εἰς κρίσιν: Mt 2:9 ὃ ἀρτίρη προῆγαν αὐτοὺς) “me towards you.”

προφητείας] Utterances by Christian prophets pointing out T.’s promise of useful work. The plural points to more than one such occasion, and may well include St. Paul’s first choice of T. (cf. δὲ ἐμπροςετέρῳ ὑπὸ τῶν . . . ἀδελφῶν, Ac 16:9, and the appeal to their first common work in 2 Ti 3:11), and his delegation of him for the special work at Ephesus; cf. 4:14, 2 Ti 1:6 (of Timothy himself), Ac 13:1-3 (of St. Paul’s delegation to new work), Acts 20:28 (of the presbyters at Ephesus ὑμᾶς τὸ πνεῦμα ὑμῶν ἐθέτο ἐπισκόπους): so Chrys. ὅτε περιέχει καὶ ὅτε ἔχειροτεν. Such prophecies may have come from Silas, who himself was a prophet, Acts 15:28.

ἐν στρατεύῃ . . . στρατεύαν] The metaphor is perhaps suggested here by τῷ βασιλεί τῶν αἰώνων, the true campaign in the service of the true King. Cf. Maximus Tyr. xix. 4, στρατηγόν μεν τῶν θεῶν, στρατεύαν δὲ τὴν ζωήν, ὀπλίστην δὲ τῶν ἀνθρωπον (ap. Wetstein). It was a common metaphor both in philosophical writers (cf. Plato, Apol. 28 D; Epict. iii. 24, στρατεύασθαι τίς εἶσιν οὖσαν ἡ ζωὴ ἐκάστου: Seneca, Ep. 96, “Vivere, mi Lucili, militare est” and in the mysteries, cf. Apuleius, Met. xi. 15, “da nomen sanctæ huic militiæ.” “Enrol thyself in the sacred soldiery of Isis.” These may have influenced the Christian use of it, but the thought here is more of an aggressive campaign against evil, and its use is Jewish; cf. 4 Mac 9:23 ἱερὰν καὶ εὐγενῆ στρατεύαν στρατεύσωμεν περὶ τῆς εὐσφερείας. “Omnis vita hominis militia (Job 7:1) imprimit hominis Christiani (2 Co 10:5) maxime vero pastoris evangelici (1 Co 9:7, 2 Ti 2:3, 4 Phil 2:25),” Grotius. For interesting illustrations cf. Wetstein and Dibelius, ad loc.
18. ἐχον πίστιν καὶ ἁγ. συνείδησιν] Cf. 5. The leader must have the qualities he is going to enforce.

ἀγαθὴν συνείδησιν] "Bonam erga dogmata conscientiam," Thd.-Mops. This may be included, but the thought is as wide as in 6.

ἡν i.e. συνείδησιν, cf. 6 note. The teacher who does not practise what he preaches will find his faith fail him.


περὶ τὴν πίστιν] Perhaps (cf. note, p. 20) here "about the Christian faith," i.e. they have not held to the central doctrines, cf. 6; and this is strongly supported by 6:21, 2 Ti 2:18 περὶ τὴν ἀληθείαν ἡστόχοσαν, and perhaps by μὴ βλασφημεῖν (28); but the connexion with πίστιν καὶ ἁγαθὴν συνείδησιν and the stress on πίστις throughout the whole chapter make the subjective meaning more probable.

ἐναυάγησαν] For the metaphor, cf. Orelli on Hor. Od. i. 14; Lightfoot on Ign. ad Polyb. c. 2; Cebetis Tabula, ναύαγουσιν ἐν τῷ βίῳ καὶ πλανώνται: Philo, de Decal. c. 14, σαλεύοντο ... μυπότος εἰς λιμένα κατάρα πρὸ ἡν ἐνομίσασθαι βεβαιώς ἀληθεία δυνάμενοι. The Christian teacher must be good soldier and good sailor too.

20. δὲν ἄστι] So 2 Ti 1:15 2:18 only: in each case with two nominatives, perhaps implying some common action of the two.

Ὑμέναιος] cf. 2 Ti 2:18. Ἀλέξανδρος, perhaps the same as in 2 Ti 4:14, but not the same as the Jew Alexander, Ac 19:38.

οὐδὲ παρεδώκα τῷ Σατανᾷ] The origin of this phrase seems to lie in Job 2:6 εἶπεν δὲ ὁ κύριος τῷ διαβόλῳ Ἰδοὺ παραδίωμί σοι ἄστιν μόνον τὴν ψυχήν αὐτοῦ διαφύλαξον, where Satan is allowed to inflict any bodily suffering short of death on Job to test the sincerity of his religion. Hence it seems to have become a formal phrase for passing sentence, perhaps in the Jewish synagogue, certainly in the Christian Church; and it is also possible that the use may have been influenced by, it is at least illustrated by, the contemporary Pagan "exclusion-tablets" by which a person who had been wronged handed over the wrong-doer to the gods below, who inflicted bodily suffering upon him; cf. Greek Papyri in the British Museum, i. p. 75, νεκυδαίον, παραδίωμι σοι τὸν δείνα ... ὅτε ... so also of a form for exorcising a demon, παραδίωμι σε εἰς τὸ μέλαν χάος ἐν ταῖς ἀπωλείαις, Pap. Paris. 574. In the same way a ceremonial or moral offence against the
God was punished by infliction of disease; it was only healed after confession of the sin (Deissmann, *Light from the East*, p. 304; Sir W. Ramsay, *ad loc.*, and in *Expository Times*, Oct.–Dec. 1898).

The punishment implied is either (i) an exercise of the power of Jn 20:23 ἐν τίνος κρατήτε τὰς ἁμαρτίας, κεκράτηται, carrying with it exclusion from the society, cf. 2 Th 3:14, 1 Co 5:11, 3 Jn 10 ἐκ τῆς ἐκκλησίας ἐκβάλλει: cf. Tert. *Apol.* 39 of the meetings of the Church for discipline, “judicatur magno cum pondere . . . si quis ita deliquerit ut a communicacione orationis et conventus et omnis sancti commercii relegetur,” so Chrys. ἐξεβάλλετο τοῦ κοινοῦ συνεδρίων; Theod. “abalienavi ab ecclesia”; or also (ii) the infliction of some bodily suffering: and the analogy of Job, of the Pagan tablets, of 1 Co 11:30 διὰ τοῦτο ἐν ἴμην τολλοὶ ἄσθενες καὶ ἀδρωστοὶ καὶ κομίωνται ἰκανοὶ (cf. Acts 5:11 1311), makes it almost certain that this is included.

παρεδωκα] Seems to imply the action of the Apostle only, and if the infliction was only bodily suffering this would be probable, cf. Acts 13:11; but the action of the whole community is not excluded; there would be no need to repeat the whole details to Timothy, and it is included in 1 Co 5:3–5 where the language is equally individual, ἐγὼ . . . κέκρικα . . . παραδοσαναι.

μὴ βλασφημεῖν] Might include the thought not to speak evil of us, cf. 6:4, Tit 3:2; but as the warning is against false teaching, the main thought is not to speak evil of God, to misrepresent His truth, cf. 1 Co 15:16.

πίστις—πιστεύεις—πιστός.

A careful account of the previous history of these words will be found in Burton, *Galatians, I.C.C.*, pp. 475–85; cf. also Hort on 1 P 19. Here it will be sufficient to note the usages in these Epistles and to compare them with the earlier Pauline letters.

πίστις = (a) faithfulness, Tit 2:10, and perhaps 1 Ti 2:15 5:11, 2 Ti 2:22; so Ro 3:5, Gal 5:22. In both groups the usage is rare.

(b) faith as the essential quality of each Christian life, so passim: as in St. Paul; but whereas St. Paul frequently adds a defining word—Ἰσόος Ἐκκριτοῖ, Ἰσόοι, τοῖς νίκοις τοῦ θεοῦ, εἰς Ἐκκριτοῦ, ἐν τῷ κυρίῳ Ἰσόοι, that is rare here, and the one phrase in which it occurs, ἢ πίστις ἢ ἐν Ἰπ. Ἰσόο. (I 3:18, II 1:18 3:15), is slightly different: “the faith which is found in union with Christ.” The object of the faith no longer needs defining.

(c) the principle of faith as characteristic of Christianity, and as professed and taught: almost equal to “the Creed,” “the doctrines believed”; but it is doubtful
whether it is ever quite equivalent to that. The strongest instances of this use are: I. 4.1 ἀποστέλλονταί τινὲς τῆς πίστεως: 4.6 ἐντερεθάμενος τοῖς λόγοις τῆς πίστεως: 5.5 τὴν πίστιν ἦρμηνευτικὰ: 6.10 ἀπεπλανήθησαν ἀπὸ τῆς πίστεως: II. 3.8 ἀδόκιμοι περὶ τὴν πίστιν. More doubtful are I. 12.19 3.8 6.12.21, II. 4.7 τὴν πίστιν τετήρμα: Tί κατὰ κοινὴν πίστιν, 1.13. This scarcely goes beyond St. Paul's use of ἡ πίστις:
cf. Ro 3.18 10.8 ὁ βὴμα τῆς πίστεως ἡ κηρύσσωμεν: 12.6 κατὰ τὴν ἀναλογίαν τῆς πίστεως: I Co 16.13 στήκετε ἐν τῇ πίστει:
Gal 1.25 εἰσαγεγελήθη τὴν πίστιν ἦν ποτε ἐπώρθη: 6.10 τοὺς οἰκείους τῆς πίστεως: Ph 1.27 συναδλοίνετε τῇ πίστει τοῦ εἰσαγγελοῦ: Col 2.1 βεβαιομένοι τῇ πίστει καθὼς ἐδιδάχθητε. But the usage is more frequent here, and perhaps slightly more fixed.

πιστεῖν = (a) to entrust, commit to, 2 Ti 1.12, and in passive I 1.11, Tit 1.8; so Ro 3.9, 1 Co 9.17, Gal 2.7, I Th 2.4.
(b) to believe, (i) c. dat. Tit 3.8 ὁ πιστεύοντες θεό: cf. Ro 4.8; (ii) ἐπὶ with dative, I 1.10, cf. Ro 9.38 10.11.
Once in the passive, I 3.16; cf. 2 Th 1.10 (si vera lectio).
In the verb there is no difference in usage.

πιστός = (a) trustworthy: I 1.12. 3.11 4.9, II 2.2.11.13, Tit 1.6 2.9.8; so 10 times in St. Paul.
(b) believing: I 4.8 τοὺς πιστοὺς: 4.10 πιστῶν: 4.15 τῶν πιστῶν: 5.10 εἰ τις πιστὴ: 6.2 bis, Tit 1.9 (?). This also is found in St. Paul but much more rarely, Gal 3.9, 2 Co 6.15, and more doubtfully, Eph 1.1, Col 2.1; but never ὁ πιστός = the believers, the Christian body: yet ὁ ἀπιστός is a regular title for "unbelievers."

Similarly—

ἀπιστεῖν: Ro 3.8 only—probably "to be unbelieving," though perhaps "unfaithful."
2 Ti 2.15 only—probably "to be unfaithful "

ἀπιστία: St. Paul 4 times, Ro 3.8 20.11.20—"want of faith," "state of unbelief."
Past. Epp. 1 Ti 1.15 only, in the same sense.

ἀπιστος: St. Paul 14 times, always "unbelievers," "heathen."
Past. Epp. twice, 1 Ti 5.8 "unbeliever," Tit 1.15 "wanting in faith."

There is then a slight difference from the Pauline letters, and a rather greater fixity of meaning. πίστις as the Christian quality is not felt to need a defining object: it approaches nearer to the meaning of a faith professed and taught; and πιστός has become the natural antithesis to "heathen": ὁ πιστός, a common term for the Christian Body. The difference is slight and conceivable within St. Paul's own lifetime and in his own writing, but it is noteworthy; cf. also Parry, pp. ciii-cx.
The distinction between ἄγαθός, practically good, morally good (as opposed to καλός, πονηρός, φαῦλος), and καλός, aesthetically good, beautiful, good to men’s eyes (as opposed to ἄλφρός),¹ is still present in Hellenistic Greek, though the contrast had been blurred. It is there, cf. Gen 1:26 ὢν ὁ θεὸς ὅτι καλὸν: Mt 5:16 ὅπως ἰδοὺς ὑμῶν τὰ καλὰ ἔργα: I P 2:12 ἐκ τῶν καλῶν ἔργων ἑποπεύουσι: I Ti 5:22 τὰ ἔργα τὰ καλὰ πρόδηλα: 6:12 τὴν καλὴν ὁμολογίαν ἐνώπιον πολλῶν μαρτύρων: Lk 8:15 καλὴ καὶ ἄγαθὴ. On the other hand, καλός appears as the antithesis of κακός (Heb 5:14), of πονηρός (Gen 2:17 τοῦ γινώσκειν καλὸν καὶ πονηρὸν, Lk 2:10, Is 5:20, Mic 3:2): and this is perhaps the most common usage of it in the N.T. It is clear then that the distinction cannot always be pressed: it may often be a mere desire for euphony or variety which decides the choice between the two words, except where there is a clear reference to the effect upon others.

A comparison of the Pastoral Epistles with St. Paul’s earlier letters is suggestive. St. Paul uses καλὸς 16 times, καλός 8, generally in the sense “practically” or “morally good”; cf. καλοποιεῖν, 2 Th 3:13; κατεργάζεσθαι τὸ καλὸν, Ro 7:18; τὸ καλὸν ποιεῖν, Ro 7:21, 2 Co 13:7, Gal 6:9, a phrase not found in Pastoral Epistles. (The sense “good to sight,” καλὰ ἐνώπιον πάντων ἀνθρώπων, Ro 12:17, 2 Co 8:21, is a quotation from Prov 3:4.) He never uses καλὰ ἔργα. The Pastoral Epistles use καλὸς 24 times, καλὸς 4; cf. καλοδιδασκάλος, Tit 2:8, and the phrase καλὸν ἔργον, καλὰ ἔργα, 7 times: often with reference to a deed as seen by others, I 2:8 ἐνώπιον τοῦ θεοῦ: 3:7 μαρτυρίαν καλὴν ἀπὸ τῶν ἐξώθην: 5:10 ἐν ἔργοις καλοὶς μαρτυρουμένη, 5:20 6:12 (v. supra): at other times with the idea of excellence in contrast to other specimens of the same class, I 18 τὴν καλὴν στρατευέα: 46 καλὸς διάκονος . . . τῆς καλῆς διδασκαλίας: 6:12 τὸν καλὸν ἄγωνα τῆς πιστείας: cf. II 4:7. There is no essential difference between the two writers, between τὸ καλὸν ποιεῖν and τὰ καλὰ ἔργα as descriptions of the Christian life, and Pastoral Epistles also use frequently ἔργον ἄγαθον, ἔργα ἄγαθα: the change of phraseology perhaps points to a different writer, but in any case is due to the growing sense in Christian teachers, so marked in 1 P, that the lives of Christians must be one of the chief means of winning the heathen to Christ: and this would be quite natural to St. Paul, always insistent on the duty of his converts to the heathen world, cf. Gal 6:10, Ro 12:18.

“No one English word will express καλὸς fully, the meaning

¹ Cf. Hort on Jas 2:7; “καλὸς is what is good as seen, as making a direct impression on those who come in contact with it: contrast ἄγαθος, which is good in result.”
changing with the context. Thus 'every creature of God is good' (1 Ti 4:4), i.e. free from defilement, fit for human use, with the Creator's stamp upon it. 'The law is good' (1 Ti 1:8), valuable, working a good purpose, an excellent instrument in a teacher’s hand, if he use it in accordance with that purpose. One who desires a bishopric sets his heart on 'a good task' (1 Ti 3:1), on an honourable post that sets him before the world’s eye, and that requires constant labour: he must rule his family with dignity and success (1 Ti 5:1, cf. 5:17), he must have an excellent reputation from those without (1 Ti 3:7): the deacon who gains distinction (καλῶς) acquires a distinguished position for higher service (1 Ti 3:13, cf. 4:8). The widow must not only have taken part in every good work (ἔργα διαθέσα), but be well reported of by others for striking deeds of charity (καλῶς, 1 Ti 5:10). The Christian soldier must endure hardness as a well-trained soldier (2 Ti 2:3), engaged in a noble struggle (τὸν καλὸν δίκαιον, 1 Ti 6:12, 2 Ti 4:7) in the most honourable of all campaigns (1 Ti 1:18). The doctrine which he preaches is attractive, winning, with the glow of healthy life upon it (1 Ti 4:6, Tit 2:1-7). Timothy’s public profession had something heroic about it, as had that of his master (τὴν καλὴν ἔμοιλογίαν, 1 Ti 6:12-13): Titus is to be an example of ‘excellent’ works (Tit 2:7): the rich are not only to do good (διαθέσεως), but to use their wealth for works of special excellence (ἐν ἔργοις καλῶς), to lay up a ‘good’ foundation, one well-laid, a sound base for an eternal life (1 Ti 6:18-19). All members of the Christian family are to take the lead in honest, honourable occupations (Tit 3:14), for this is the duty of those who believe in God, who had purified unto Himself a peculiar people for the very purpose that they should be zealous for works that should rise above the level of the world and exhibit the beauty of holiness (ἐξηλισθηνεὶς καλῶν έργων, Tit 2:14, where Theodoret paraphrases καλῶς by τῶν ἐπαινομένων έργων).”

ii. 1-iii. 16. τῶς δὲ ἐν οἴκῳ θεοῦ ἀναστρέφεσθαι, 3:15. Regulations for the Church, as regards (a) public worship, the proper objects of prayer (2:1-7), and the position to be occupied by men and women (2:8-15); (b) qualifications for the officers: the bishop (3:1-7), deacons (3:8-10, 12-13), deaconesses (11).

ii. 1-7. Paraphrase. I come to special regulations to guide you in your true work, and I want to urge first of all that Christians should realize the universality of the message of the gospel. For this, prayers and thanksgivings are to be made in public worship for all mankind, and primarily for rulers and all in any position of authority, that so we may be able to live a quiet life

1 From my St. Paul the Master Builder, p. 118.
undisturbed by war and persecution, in a religious and serious spirit. Such prayer is true prayer and well-pleasing to God who has already saved us, but wishes all men to be saved too, and to reach a full knowledge of truth.

For there is one and one only God, one and one only who stands between God and men, He who shares human nature, Christ Jesus, and He gave Himself in life and death for all mankind, so bearing witness to God's great Love in God's own time; and it was to carry on that message that I myself was chosen as a herald, as a commissioned Apostle—yes, whatever my opponent may say, that is true: He did commission me—whose one task is to train Gentiles in the spirit of faith and in truth.

The keyword of this section, as of the Epistle to the Romans, is universality, πᾶς (ὑπὲρ πάντων ἄνθρωπων . . . πάντων τῶν ἐν ὑπεροχῇ . . . πάντως ἄνθρωποι . . . ὑπὲρ πάντων). The emphasis on it may be due to Gnostic tendencies to exclusiveness, laying undue stress on knowledge, and distinguishing between πνευματικοὶ and ψυχικοὶ (cf. 6:19, Jude 19), but vv.5-7 suggest that it is rather due to Jewish exclusiveness. St. Paul would naturally be anxious that the Christian Church should not fail, as the Jews had done, in recognizing the universality of its mission.

1. ὅπως marks the return from a digression to the main subject, but perhaps suggesting a logical connexion. "Since, then, our one object is to produce love (15), and to carry the message of salvation to all sinners (115), there must be prayer for all men." Chrysostom has some excellent remarks upon the power of intercession to break down the barriers of prejudice.

πρῶτον πάντων] Because worship gives the note which action has to take up.

dέησες, προσευχάς, ἐντεύξεις, εὐχ., cf. Phil 4:6 ὑπὲρ προσευχὴ καὶ τῇ δέησει μετὰ εὐχ. τὰ αἰτήματα υἱῶν γνωρίζεσθω. For attempts to distinguish the three words, cf. Origen, περὶ εὐχῆς, 14; Augustine, Ep. 50 (who refers them to distinct parts of the Liturgy), Bengel, and Bernard. Probably δέησες emphasizes the sense of need, προσευχάς the approach to God, ἐντεύξεις (= αἰτήματα, Phil 4:6) the actual petition, but the distinction was not meant to be emphasized: the triad is a favourite feature in St. Paul's style. The connexion with 8-15 and the effect of this passage on the Liturgies makes it clear that the primary reference is to public worship, εἰς τῇ λατρείᾳ τῇ καθημερινῇ, Chrys.

ἐντεύξεις] Here and 4:6 only in N.T., also in 2 Mac 4:8, and cf. 3 Mac 6:40 ἐνυχύμαν ἐποιήσατο—from ἐνυχύμαν, "to chance upon," then "to have an audience with a king," to have the good fortune to be admitted to an audience, so to present a petition;
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cf. Wisd 8:1 ἐνέπιξεν τῷ κυρίῳ καὶ ἐδείξεν αὐτῷ. ἐνέπιξεν, a formal petition, especially to a king; so frequently in Josephus, Diodorus, and the Papyri (Deissmann, B.S., pp. 121, 146). The thought of the King of the ages, 1:3, may still be in the writer’s mind.

εὐχαριστίας] not in the technical usage = “Eucharists,” “thanksgivings in offerings”; cf. Lightfoot on Clem. Rom. i. 41, and the careful examination of the use of the word by Dr. Swete (J. Th. St. iii. p. 161) and Dr. Hort (ib., p. 594); but “thanksgiving in words,” thought of as part of common worship, cf. 1 Co 14:16. It will include gratitude for the past kindnesses of those for whom we pray (ὑπὲρ τῶν προφητηργείμων ἄγαθῶν, Theodoret), for God’s past mercies to them (Chrys. quoting Mt 545); but more widely—for what they are, God’s creatures, the object of His love, whom He wishes to be saved. Chrysostom says finely, ὅπερ κοινὸς τὸς ἐκτι πατὴρ τῆς οἰκουμένης ἀπάγει διερεύσει πάντων τοῖς ἄξον αὐτῶν κυδεσθαι, καθάπερ καὶ δ θεός, φιεράτα.

ὑπὲρ πάντων ἀνθρώπων] There is no one for whom the Christian Church has not to pray; no one for whose creation it has not to thank God! Even for God’s enemies its duty is “et quod facti sunt diligere et quod faciunt increpare: mores pravorum premere, vite prodesse” (Gregory, Reg. Past. iii. c. 22).

2. ὑπὲρ βασιλέων] not “for the emperor” (as in 1 P 2:17 τοῦ βασιλέα τιμᾶτε), but “for emperors,” the rule being meant to be universal and lasting; cf. Tert. Apol. 30, “pro omnibus imperatoribus”; or perhaps “for kings,” including local kings under the Empire; cf. Mk 13:2 ἐπὶ ἡγεμόνων καὶ βασιλέων. The duty is emphasized perhaps because of the Jewish tendency to rise against the Empire (“Judæos assidue tumultuantes,” Suet. Cl. 25), which might pass over into the Christian Church under a misapprehension of Christian liberty (cf. 61-2, 1 P 2:16), and under the stress of persecution and growing suspicion (Tac. Ann. xv. 44); but apart from this it would be natural to St. Paul with his pride in the Empire and its citizenship, Ro 13.

Compare Jeremiah’s advice to the Jews in Babylon, προσεύξασθε περὶ αὐτῶν πρὸς κύριον, ὅτι ἐν εἰρήνῃ αὐτῆς εἰρήνη ὑμῶν, 29:7 and Bar 1:11-12 προσεύξασθε περὶ τῆς ζωῆς Ναβουχοδονόσορ, Ezr 6:10, 1 Mac 7:38. The later Jews prayed “for the peace of the kingdom, since but for fear thereof we had swallowed up each his neighbour alive,” Pirke Aboth, iii. 2, and prayed for the emperor in their synagogues (Philo, ad Flaccum, p. 524), and offered sacrifices twice a day in Jerusalem for the emperor and people of Rome; but this was stopped with the outbreak of the last Roman war, Jos. B.J. ii. 10 and 17; cf. Abrahams, Studies in Pharisaism, § viii.

For a similar command, probably based on this, cf. Polyc. Ep. 12 (ubi v. Lightfoot); and for the substance of the prayer, Clem. Rom. i. 61, οἶς δός, κύριε, ὄγιειν, εἰρήνην, ὄμοιοιαν,
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evóstábeia, eis tò diótein autois tôn òpò suò dédoménnh autois ἐγεμονίαν ἀπροσκόπως ... στῷ, κύριε, διεύθυνον τῷ βασιλείῳ αὐτῶν κατὰ τῷ καλῷ καὶ εὐάρεστῳ ἐνωπίῳ σου, ὅπως διέποντες εἰς εἰρήνη καὶ πραγμάτευσίν εúdebáv tòn ὑπὸ σου αὐτῶν δεδομένην ἔξοδον διέω σου τυχάνωσιν: Tert. Apol. c. 30, "Vitam illis prolixam, imperium securum, domum tutam, exercitus fortes, senatum fidelem, populum probum, orben quietum"; 1 Th. c. 39, "Oramus pro imperatibus, pro ministeriis eorum ac potestatibus, pro statu seculi, pro rerum quiete, pro mora finis." For the effect of this passage on the Liturgies, cf. the "Clementine" Liturgy, παρακαλοῦμεν σε, κύριε, ὑπὲρ τοῦ βασιλέως καὶ τῶν ἐν ὑπεροχῇ καὶ παινὸς τοῦ στρατοπέδου, ἵνα εἰρήνευσιν τὰ πρὸς ἡμᾶς ὅπως ἐν ἱεραρχίᾳ καὶ ὅμοιοι διάγοντες ... δοξάζωμεν σε (Brightman, Lit. E. and W. i. p. 21), the Liturgy of St. James (ib. p. 55), the Coptic Liturgy (ib. p. 168), the Prayer for "the whole state of Christ's Church" in the English Prayer Book.


ἵνα κ.τ.λ.] gives the result of the prayer. Pray for good government, for that will secure you a quiet life. Perhaps also (so Holtzmann) dependent on ποιεῖσθαι, giving the result of the fact that they pray. Pray for the government, that the heathen may recognize your loyalty and you be left in peace. Cf. Tertullian, Apol. 39, and Seneca (Ep. Mor. 73), who defends philosophers from the charge of disloyalty to rulers, "e contrario nulli adversus eos gratiores sunt: nec immerito: nullis enim plus praestant quam quibus frui tranquillo otio licet."

ἡμεῖς (here only in N.T.), ἡσύχιον, 1 P 34 only, but cf. ἡσυχάζων, 1 Th 411; μετὰ ἡσυχίας, 2 Th 312, a retired and quiet life (cf. M.M. s.v.), undisturbed by war or persecution from outside; free from such tumults as that at Ephesus had been, Acts 1928.

ἐν εὔσεβεία καὶ σεμνότητι] an interesting Hellenic counterpart to the Hebraic ἐν ὁσιωτητὶ καὶ δικαιοσύνῃ of Lk 176.

εὔσεβεια] ("pietate," Vulg.) godliness; the true reverence towards God which comes from knowledge; characteristic of Past. Epp. here and 316 417 8 511.5.6.11, 2 Ti 36, Tit. 1, but also in Acts and 2 P, and common in LXX and classical literature; cf. Bernard and Trench, Syn. s.v. It may include a true respect and reverence for human superiors (cf. 54), and perhaps does so here.

σεμνότητι ("castitate," Vulg.; "sobrietas," Thdt.; "honestate," Calvin), dignity, gravity, seriousness, the demeanour of the εὔσεβής towards men (cf. Tert. Praescr. 43, "ubi metus in Deum, ibi gravitas honesta"): "a grace and dignity not lent him from earth,
but which he owes to that higher citizenship which is also his: being one who inspires not respect only, but reverence and worship," Trench, N.T. Syn. s.v.; cf. ἑρωτευεῖσθαι, Tit 2:8 note; Clem. Alex. Strom. vii. 35, σεμνὸς διὰ τὴν ἐπι τὸ θείον ἑπιστροφήν.


καλὸν] Cf. additional note, p. 22. Here it may be joined closely with ἀπόδεκτον, “good in God’s sight,” or perhaps its reference is manward. This will win men and please God. Cf. 2 Co 8:21; Clem. Rom. i. 7, καλὸν καὶ προσδεκτὸν ἐνώπιον τοῦ ποίησαντος ἡμᾶς.

4. πάντας] With slight antithesis to ἡμῶν: he who has saved us, including the chief of sinners (1:16), wills to save all, cf. 4:10, Wisd 16:7 διὰ σὲ τὸν πάντας σωτῆρα. There is no limitation, such as Tertullian, “eorum quos adoptavit” (de Or. 4); Augustine, “omnes predestinati, quia omne genus hominum in eis est” (de corr. et gr. 44). His will to save is as wide as His will to create and to protect, “omnes vult salvari quia et omnes tuetur” (Thd.-Mops. ad loc., with Swete’s note); cf. Ezek 18:23, Wisd 13:16-18, and Epict. iii. 24. 2, θεὸς δὲ πάντας ἀνθρώπους ἐπὶ τὸ εἰδαμονεῖν, ἐπὶ τὸ εὐσταθεῖν ἐποίησεν. But Bengel’s non coguntur and Ambros. si et ipsis velit add the necessary limitation to the working of God’s will; cf. Herm. Sim. viii. 1; Hooker, Eccl. Pol. v. 49.

ἐπιγνωσω] Favourite word with St. Paul (10 times; see Armitage Robinson on Eph., detached note); elsewhere Heb. (1), 2 P (4).

ἐπιγνωστικα] Past. Epp. only 2 Ti 2:5, 3:1, Tit 11, but ἐπιγνωστικά, Heb 10:26. It has become a technical term for the intellectual acceptance of Christianity; cf. μεταναστasiaς εἷς ἀληθείας of the proselyte to Judaism, Philo, de Spec. Leg. 4. 178 (Dibelius), κανόνας εἷς ἐπιγνοσωσι τῆς ἀληθείας of philosophy, Epict. ii. xx. 21 (M.M. s.v.).

5–7. 5-6 expand σωθῆναι, 7 expands εἰς ἐπιγνώσω, ἀλήθεια, ἐλευθεία. Correlative to πάντας. One, and therefore with a will for all mankind, for Gentile as well as Jew; cf. Ro 3:28, ὁ Θανάσιμος δὲ τὸν θεὸν μόνον; οὐχὶ καὶ θεόν; ναὶ, καὶ θεόν, εἰτερ εἰς δὲ θεός, Eph 3:6 and Is 45:20-23. There may also be an implied antithesis “one and not many” (cf. 1 Co 8:4-6).

εἷς καὶ μεσίτης] one mediator able to represent both God and man entirely (cf. Iren. iv. 20, “hominibus ostendens Deum, Deo autem exhibens hominem”), again with an implied antithesis, one and not more: not Moses any longer (Gal 3:19; Philo, de Vita
Mosis, ii. 166, οὐ μεσίτης καὶ διαλλακτής . . . τὰς ὑπὲρ τοῦ ἐθνὸς ἱκεσίας καὶ λυτάς ἐποιεῖτο; not any Jewish High Priest (Heb 8:16. 9.15 τοῦ, 24), nor any angel (Col 2:18; Heb 2:16; Test. XII. Patr., Dan, c. 6, ἐγγίζετε τῷ θεῷ καὶ τῷ ἀγέλῳ τῷ παρατησόμενῳ ὑμᾶς· ὡς οὕτως ἐστὶ μεσίτης θεοῦ καὶ ἀνθρώπων ἐπὶ τῆς εἰρήνης Ἰσραήλ: Philo, Bibl. Antiq. xxxii. 14), nor any being in the mysteries intermediate between God and the creation, like Mithras (Cumont, Les mystères de Mithra, pp. 129, 139), nor any Gnostic aeon intermediate between God and the world. Philo had regarded the Word of God as occupying such an intermediate position; cf. Quis rerum div. her. 42, where He is described as ἴκετος τοῦ ὅντος καὶ πρεσβευτὴς τοῦ ἕγεμόνος πρὸς τὸ ἐπίκουον. Christ Jesus has embodied this function in a human life.

ἀνθρώπος] The Divine side is assumed: the human only mentioned, as he is thinking of the gift given in the human life, a true man, no angel, no mere phantom appearance, but one living a human historic life, a "second Adam," "The Son of man." There is much to be said for Lachmann's punctuation, putting the comma after ἄνθρωπος. For there is one only God, one only man too, representative of God and man, viz. Christ Jesus.


ἀντίλυτρον] Here only in N.T.: in Ps 48:9 it is an alternative rendering for τὴν τιμὴν τῆς λυτρωσέως (Field, Hexapla), a vicarious ransom: for the form, cf. ἀντιμισθία, Ro 3:27, 2 Co 6:18; for the thought, Tit 2:14 note, and cf. Eleazar's prayer that the sacrifice of his own life may save his nation, καθάρισον αὐτῶν ποιήσων τὸ ἐμὸν αἷμα καὶ ἀντίψυχον αὐτῶν λάβε τὴν ἐμὴν ψυχὴν, 4 Mac 6:29. These verses 5-6 may be quoted from some formula (Dibelius), cf. 1 Co 8:8, but they spring naturally out of the context.

τὸ μαρτύριον] acc. in apposition to the preceding statement, cf. Ro 12:1, 2 Th 1:6: the great truth revealed in God's own time. But by whom? It may include the whole chain of witnesses. (a) The law and the prophets pointing to it, cf. Ro 3:21 μαρτυρομένη ὑπὸ τοῦ νόμου καὶ τῶν προφητῶν, and 1 P 1:11. (b) The witness of the Lord Himself in His Life (cf. 6:18 and John 18:37 ἵνα μαρτυρήσω τῇ ἀληθείᾳ καὶ τῷ πάσχει, 1 P 1:11. "Sancta vitae dedit exemplum," Pelagius), His passion (μαρτυρίον τὸ πάθος, Chrys.), and resurrection ("tempore quo resurrexit," Thd.). (c) The witness which the writer and all future teachers have to give, cf. 1 Co 1:6, 2 Th 1:10. "This is the fact to which we are to bear our testimony, as opportunities present themselves," Twentieth Century N.T. "The outlook is to the future of the Church," Bernard. This suits the context, ordering prayers for all men that so the message of salvation may reach to all: and this will need time. But Tit 1:9 makes any reference to the future doubtful.
καύρωτας ἰδίος, cf. Tit 13 note.
7. εἰς δ ἐκείνην] 2 Ti 11, and supra, 13 note.
κήρυκ] The word was associated not only with the games (1 Co 927) but also with the Eleusinian mysteries; cf. τοῦ Ἐλευσίνου ἱεροῦ κήρυκε, Philostratus, Vit. Soph. ii. 33, and other instances, ap. M. M. s.v.
ἀληθ. λέγω] Ro 91, 2 Co 1131, Gal 120. The language of one whose authority and whose truthfulness have been attacked in the past, and who is still face to face with opposition.
ἐν πίστει (cf. 19) καὶ ἀληθεία] The sphere and the subjects in which he teaches; corresponding to the two purposes of God in 4, faith in salvation and knowledge of Him. It may include his own loyalty (112) and truthfulness (cf. ἀληθείαν λέγω) (so Wohlenberg); but only by implication.

8-15. Paraphrase. The second point which I wish to stress is the spirit and order of public prayer. Men when they pray to God must lay aside all personal ill-will and irritation; women must dress quietly, for they are engaged in a sacred task, and their true adorning is that of good works, not of costly jewels and dress. The women should listen to the teaching quietly and submissively: I do not allow a woman to be herself a teacher, nor to dictate to men; and that for two reasons. The order of creation suggests man’s taking the lead, first Adam, then Eve. The history of the Fall suggests women’s weakness: it was not Adam but Eve who was deceived and so fell. Yet God’s will to save all men extends to her:

“A child from woman’s seed to spring
Shall saving to all women bring.”

That is a true saying; but to be saved they must continue faithful, loving, holy, and self-controlled.

The whole section refers primarily only to public prayer (though it appeals at times to principles that have a wider application); this is clear (a) from its position between 14 and 11-18. (b) From the analogy of 1 Co 112-16 1434-36 which were apparently in the writer’s mind. (c) From its influence on subsequent Church orders; cf. Canon. Hippol. §§ 81-88, “mulier libera ne veniat veste variegata in ecclesiam ... neve omnino loquantur in ecclesia quia est domus Dei.” Test. Dom. Nostri, ii. 4; Const. Apost. iii. 6; cf. Clem. Alex. Ped. iii. 11, ἐπὶ τὴν ἐκκλησίαν ἀκτενὶ τὴν γυναῖκα καὶ τὸν ἀνδρα ἐστολομένους κοσμίως. (d) Perhaps from the analogy of heathen priestesses; cf. 10 note.

The purpose of the section is twofold. (a) Primarily, to secure a right spirit and character in those who pray, both men and women; cf. 8, 9, 10, 15. (b) To check a freedom which
women were claiming to teach at the meeting. Nothing is mentioned about women prophesying, which was always exceptional, and the writer is laying down general rules. It is less clear whether any rule is laid down as to leading the prayers. This is not stated, and the language is consistent (i) with the theory that there was no leader, but that all prayed in silence until the Spirit moved some one, man or woman, to pray aloud (cf. Ramsay, Exp., Sept. 1909): (ii) with the theory that the ἐπίσκοπος acted as leader, the rest joining in with the Amen (1 Co 14:16). This is more consistent with 1.2 supra, and 3:17.

8. βουλομαι (cf. 5:14, Tit 3:8, Phil 1:2) oūν, parallel to 1, but perhaps suggesting a slight connexion with the last paragraph. The thought of God's universal salvation is still in his mind, ὑπερτεροῖ, ὑπερτερεῖται, and the ideal of the true Christian life; cf. 2 with 9:11.

ἐν παντὶ τόπω] “Wherever you meet for public worship”; or more probably the writer means the rule to be universal for all churches under his influence, παντὶ being an echo of πάντας (4), πάντων (6); cf. 1 Co 1:2 7:14 14:35 ὡς ἐν πᾶσιν ταῖς ἐκκλησίαις τῶν ἀγίων. There is possibly a reminiscence of Mal 1:11 ἐν παντὶ τόπῳ θυμίαμα προσάγεται . . . καὶ θυσία καθαρά διότι μέγα τὸ ὄνομα μου ἐν τοῖς ἑδνεύοι, which was a favourite quotation in 2nd-century writers, as pointing to the universal offering of the Eucharist; cf. Justin, Dial. 41 and 117; Iren. iv. 17; so Didache, c. 14, where it is given as a reason why no one who has a quarrel with another should join in the Eucharistic sacrifice.

ἐπαρχοντας χειρας] Standing to pray, as was customary with pagans and Jews alike, and common with the early Christians; cf. Dict. Chr. Antiq., s.v. Oranti. For Greek and Roman illustrations, cf. Wetstein, Wohlenberg, and Deissmann, L.A.E., p. 421.

δοσις χειρας] Combines the idea of moral purity (“quæ sanctis operibus ministraverint,” Origen on Ro 6; cf. Job 16:17 ἄδικον δὲ οὕτων ἃν ἐν χερσὶ μου, εὖχα δέ μου καθαρά: Ps 24:3, Is 15:16, Jas 4:8; Clem. Rom. i. 29, ἐν δοσιστι ἐσχῆς ἀγνὴς καὶ ἀμάντους χειρας ἀφρούτες) with that of consecration, hands like those of consecrated priests, performing the tasks of holy priesthood (I P 26). It is partly explained by χωρίς ὅργης καὶ διαλογ. They must have the consecration of Christian Love, if they are to pray aright. Cf. Tert. Apol. 30, “manibus expansis quia inocuous”; De Orat. 14, “manus expansimus, de dominica passione modulati,” in imitation of the Cross. It is difficult to imagine after Our Lord’s teaching that Christians had taken over the Jewish practice of ceremonial ablutions. So Ramsay, ubi s., but cf. Hippol. Canon, § 241, “Christianus lavet manus omni tempore quo orat.”

II. 8–10.]
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διαλογισμοὶν: Mk 7:21 oί διαλογισμοί oι κακοί, evil thoughts against one's neighbour, the chief of the things which really pollute. Did. 14, πᾶς έξων την ἀμφιβολίαν μετὰ τοῦ ἔταιρου αὐτοῦ μὴ συνελθεῖται υἱῶν, ἐνος διαλαγόειν. Tertull. De Or. ii. 12. Thd. and Thdt. interpret it as "doubt," "hesitation" (cf. Mk 11:28; Jas 1:6; Herm. Mand. ii. 1); with right feeling to man and God, with love and faith (cf. 10 and 114); but the idea of doubt is alien to the context, which emphasizes man's relation to his fellow-men.

8–15. This section deals only with the dress and conduct of women at the meetings; but compare the general relation of husband to wife in 1 P 3:18, which appears to be influenced by the passage; cf. also Cyprian, De Hab. Virg., where an a fortiori argument is drawn from this passage to the ordinary dress of virgins, and Tertull. De cultu Fem., where it is used as an argument for the ordinary dress of all Christian women, who may have to face martyrdom at any moment. Compare also the contrast between Virtue, κεκοσμημένην τὸ μὲν ὅμως καθαρότητι, τὰ δὲ ὅματα αἰδώς, τὸ δὲ σχήμα σωφροσύνης, έσθήτης δὲ λευκῇ, and Vice, κεκαλυπτωμένην τὸ μὲν χρώμα . . . έσθήτας δ' έξ ής δ' μάλιστα ή ὥρα διαλάμποι, in the story of Prodicus, Xen. Mem. ii. 1.

9. ὁσαύτως] Perhaps carries on to women all that has been said about men (Chrys., Ramsay), but not necessarily (cf. 38:11, Tit 2:8), and it does not affect the construction, which is βούλομαι κοσμεῖν.

καταστάλη] Possibly "demeanour," "deportment" (Ambros., Dibelius, M.M. s.v.); but as this is expressed in μετὰ . . . σωφροσύνης, more probably "dress," which is implied by the contrast μὴ . . . πολυτελεῖ: cf. Is 61:3; Clem. Alex. Pead. iii. 11, quoted above.

αἰδώς] "That shamefastness which shrinks from overpassing the limits of womanly reserve and modesty"; καὶ σωφρ., "that habitual inner self-government with its constant rein on all the passions and desires which would hinder temptation from arising, or at all events arising in such strength as should overbear the checks and barriers which αἰδώς opposed to it." Trench, Syn. s.v.; cf. Tert. de C. Fem. ii. 8, "ubi Deus, ibi pudicitia, ibi gravitas, adjurix et socia ejus." For its meaning as applied to different ages and sexes, cf. additional note, p. 148.

πολυτελεῖ] Cf. 1 P 3:4, which seems suggested by this place.

10. ἐπαγγ. (cf. 6:12, Wisd 2:12): θεοσεβεῖαν ("promittentes castitatem," O.L.; "pietatem," Vulg. Ambros.; "professing godliness," R.V., A.V.; but better, "promittentibus Deum colere," Thd., "that profess the worshipping of God," Tyndale), refers to their action in coming to the Church's worship. There is perhaps a comparison with heathen priestesses; cf. δόσιος χεῖρας, 8; ἱεροπρεπεῖς, Tit 2:8, and an inscription describing the dress of the
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30 ɣυναῖκες in the mysteries, μὴ ἐξέτω μυθεμία χρυσία . . . μηδὲ τὰς τριχὰς ἀναπτελγέμας. Dittenberg, Syll. ii. 653 (quoted by Dibelius); cf. Tert. de C. F. i. 12, “sacerdotes pudicitiae.”


11-15. Still dealing directly with conduct at the meetings; but the word ὑποταγή suggests a reference to the whole relation of wife to husband, cf. Eph 5. The language is coloured throughout by Gen 2 and 3: ἐπάλασθη = ἐπαλάσην, Gen 2; ἡπατήθη = ἡπάτησε, 318; τεκνογονία = τέκες τίκνα, 316.

11. εἰ πάση ὑποταγῇ] “Submission to constituted authority, i.e. the officials and regulations of the Church,” Ramsay, though πάση suggests also “their husbands.”

12. αὐθέντειν] The earliest known use of the word, common in late Greek (from αὐθ-έντης, a self-actor, an independent actor, so in vulgar Greek = διεστάξης (cf. Rutherford, The New Phrynichus, § 96; Nageli, p. 49; Moulton and Milligan, s.v.), “to lord it over,” “to dictate to,” the antithesis of αὐτός σου κυριεύσει, Gen 3.

13. ἐξαπατηθείσα: so 2 Co 11, ἐξηπάτησεν, though the LXX has ἡπάτησεν.

14. Cf. 2 Co 11; and for the Jewish tradition that Eve was tempted by the serpent to infidelity, cf. Thackeray, The Relation of St. Paul to Contemporary Jewish Thought, pp. 50-57; for the Jewish attitude to women, Taylor, Sayings of the Jewish Fathers, i. 5 note; and for the connexion of Eve with transgression and death, Ecclus 25:4 apod φυγακός ἀρχὴ ἀμαρτίας καὶ δι’ αὐτὴν ἀποθνήσκομεν πάντες.

γεγόνεν—passed into and has remained in the position of transgressor.

σωθησέται] taking up σωθήναι, 4 ἀμαρτωλός σώσαι, 15; shall be spiritually saved.

διὰ τῆς (“that of Gen 3,” or more technically “the great”) τεκνογονίας. Two interpretations seem possible. (a) “By bearing children,” by that child-bearing which was once a thing of sorrow but now has become a source of salvation; not by spiritual activities at the meetings, but by motherhood and the quiet duties of home (cf. 5); including perhaps (so Chrys.) the rearing of children (cf. 5 and Hippol. Canon 82, “Neve det infantes quos peperit nutricibus sed ipsa sola eos nutriat . . . neve administrationem familias negotiat”), and all
maternal instincts, which become the saving of a woman from self and draw out her soul both to others and to God; cf. Ramsay, *Expositor*, 1909, pp. 339–47. If so, there may be an implied protest against those who depreciated marriage, 48.

(b) By the great child-bearing, by that which has produced the Saviour, the child-bearing of Mary, which has undone the work of Eve. This use of the article is very common in the Past. Epp.; cf. τὸ μνητήριον, ἡ πίστις, ἡ διασκαλία (p. xvi): for the thought, cf. Ign. *ad Eph.* 19, ἐλάχιστον τοῦ ἄρχοντα τοῦ αἰῶνος τούτου ἡ παρθένια Μαρίας καὶ ὁ τοκετὸς αὐτῆς, ὁμοίως καὶ ὁ θάνατος τοῦ κυρίου, Iren. *Haer.* v. 19, “si ea inobedierat Deo, sed haec suae est obedire Deo, uti Virginis Eova virgo Maria fieret advocata, et quemadmodum adstrictum est morti genus humanum per virginem, salvetur per virginem”: cf. *ibid.* 3. 22; *Prædic. Apostolica*, c. 33; Justin, *Dial.* c. 100; Tert. *de Carne*, xii. c. 17: cf. the stress on ἀνθρωπὸς, *sup.* 5, and Gal 48 γενόμενον ἐκ γυναικός.

(b) is probably right. It was given by some anonymous commentator (Cramer, *Catena*, vii. 22), and has been revived by Ellicott, von Soden, and Wohlenberg. Indirectly it reflects a glory upon all child-bearing, which has become the channel of the Salvation of the world.

The nominative to σωθίσεται is perhaps Ἐδα (cf. Irenæus, *u.s.*), or ἡ γυνὴ; Eve as the representative of women.

15. ἐὰν μείνωσιν] Who? not “the children” (Chrys., Jerome), which is too far from the context, but γυναῖκες, from 9–10; or possibly “husband and wife,” suggested by 12–14; cf. 1 P 37 συγκληρονόμως, χάριτος ἱδώς.

πίστει καὶ ἰγν.] The essential Christian virtues, cf. 2 Th 218; but possibly πίστει suggests marital fidelity; cf. τοὺς ἐν γάμῳ διαφύλαξας ἐν πίστει, Brightman, *Lit. E.* and *W.*, p. 26. ἰγν. μετὰ σῶφρ. the right relation between husband and wife, cf. 1 Th 47, and a rhetorical amplification of the section in *Clem. Hom.* xii. 16–18, 21, ὃ σῶφρονα γυναῖκα ἔχειν θέλων καὶ αὐτὸς σωφρονέα.

πιστὸς ό λόγος] Cf. Tit 38 note; and for the variant ἀνθρώπων, Introd., p. xxxvi. The words perhaps refer to the preceding statement (so Chrys., Holtzmann, W.-H., Hillard), as the other faithful sayings deal with salvation. If so, it is still uncertain how much of that sentence is included in the quotation; probably only σωθίσεται δὲ διὰ τῆς τεκνογονίας. I would suggest that the previous words, Ἀδὰμ γὰρ . . . γέγονε, are a quotation from some Jewish Apocrypha, scornful of women (this would make the perfect tense γέγονε more natural), which is answered by quoting a well-known Christian saying about the effect of the Incarnation on women.
But most editors connect the words with the following paragraph.

iii. 1-18. The officials of the Church: (a) the overseer, the bishop (1-7); (b) ministers, deacons (8-10); (c) deaconesses, (11); (d) the deacons as possible candidates for higher office (12-18).

The transition is abrupt in form (cf. 5 6-17), but the writer's mind passes naturally from the members of the community to those who act as officials and either as leaders or assistants regulate their worship and their life. In each case little is said of their duties, a knowledge of which is assumed; but, as in 2, the whole stress is on character, on the moral and intellectual qualifications for office. καλός (1, 4, 7, 12, 18) strikes the note of the whole section.

Paraphrase. A third point on which I wish to lay stress is the character of those who hold any official position: and, first, for the leader of the worship, the bishop. You know the common saying:

"He who would play a leader's part
On noble task has set his heart."

It is right, then, to wish for such a post; but such a noble task requires a character above reproach. So the bishop must not fall behind a high Christian morality in respect of marriage or sobriety, or self-control and dignity; and he must have special qualifications: he must be ready to welcome guests from other Churches, and able to teach in the assemblies: in dealing with members of the Church he must not be overbearing or hasty, but large-hearted, ready to make allowances, peace-loving: he must have no love of riches, as he has to control the finances: his power of ruling must be tested by his power of ruling his own household. Has that been a "noble task" with him? has he kept his own children obedient to discipline with true dignity? if not, how will he be able to take charge of a Church of God's? Moreover, he must not be a recent convert; for, if so, his head may quickly be turned and the devil be able to bring accusations against him. Lastly, he must be well thought of by those outside the Christian body: otherwise he will easily cause scandal, and the devil will snare him to his ruin.

Then for assistants, deacons: they must have a character that inspires respect: their word must be trustworthy: they must not say one thing to one person, another to another: they must not be given to excess in wine: they must be above making money in unworthy ways: they must hold the truths of the gospel with a conscience free from stain. Yes, and like the leaders, they must be tested first, and only be admitted as deacons if no charge can be sustained against them.

Much the same has to be said about deaconesses: their
character must inspire respect: they must not be gossips and scandal-mongers: they must be sober: entirely trustworthy.

There is another point about assistants (deacons), they may come to be leaders (bishops): so in choosing them, see that they have the same qualifications about marriage and the discipline over their own families which are required for bishops. For those who have treated the diaconate as a noble task win for themselves another noble position and preach with full assurance in the faith which is in Christ Jesus. Cf. Tit 1:5-9; St. Chrysostom, De Sacerdotio, ed. Nairn, pp. xxvi–xxviii.

1. πιστός δ λόγος] cf. 2:15 note. If these words apply to the following paragraph, the variant ἄνθρωποι would seem more appropriate, the writer quoting a saying applicable to all overseership in human life (“allgemeinmenschlich,” Wohlenberg) and applying it to the Christian Church. Deissmann (B. St., p. 230), shows that ἐπίσκοπος was used as a pre-Christian religious title.

ἀρέσεται] “Aspires to,” in no bad sense; but Clem. Rom. i. c. 44 shows how early a wrong ambition set in and was foreseen by the Apostles.

καλοῦ] “praeclarum” (Calvin): which ought to attract the world to Christ; and therefore difficult, χαλεπὰ τὰ καλά.

ἐγγυ] “negotium, non otium,” Bengel, cf. 2 Ti 4:5, 1 Th 5:13 διὰ τὸ ἐγγυ αὐτῶν, and for failure in such a task, Ac 15:38 μὴ συνελθόντα αὐτῶς εἰς τὸ ἐγγυ.

2–8. Qualifications for the ἐπίσκοπος. For the relation of the ἐπίσκοπος to the presbyters, v. Introd., p. xix. The singular here may imply that there was only one in the community, or it may be limited by the context—the ἐπίσκοπος who is leading the worship. No definition is given of his duties, but the following are implied: (a) Presiding (προιόντασθαι, ἐπιμελεῖσθαι), i.e. (i) exercising discipline, cf. the analogy of the family (b); (ii) (arising from the context) presiding at worship. (b) Teaching, διδακτικὸν (?). (c) Control of the finances, ἀφιλάργυρον (8). (d) Representing the community to Christians elsewhere (φιλάξενον (?)) and to the world outside (?).

These qualifications form guidance for “the scrutiny of candidates” who desire the office (Ramsay): they are partly the ordinary moral qualities which would be respected in a layman, and failure in which would imply censure; partly those which would be required for his special position. “To St. Paul the representative character of those who had oversight in the Ecclesia, their conspicuous embodiment of what the Ecclesia itself was meant to show itself, was more important than any acts or teachings by which their oversight could be exercised” (Hort). Hence it scarcely gives the ideal of a bishop, but the necessary requirements (so Chrys. συμμετρημένην ἔπειν ἀρέτην, οὐκ ἐκείνην
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τὴν ἀνω, τὴν ὕψιλῆν). A comparison with Tit 1:5-9 shows how the list of moral qualifications was getting stereotyped: Bernard compares the requirements for the Stoic wise man, who was to be a married man (2), ἀυτφος (3), temperate in wine (3), and to combine σωφροσύνη with κοσμίων. Diog. Laert. vii. 110-26. Wetstein and Dibelius (q.v.) quote the close analogy of the requirements for the choice of a general, who was to be σωφρον, έγκρατή, λήπτη.. . αὕρλαργυρον, ἄν τίχη καὶ πατέρα παιδών, ἰκανόν λέγειν, ἓνδον: Onosander, De Imperatorum Officio, c. 1 (fl. c. 55 B.C.). Either of such lists may have been known to our writer, but they are all probably independent.

2. ἄνεπιληπτον (57914; cf. M. M. s.v.) perhaps slightly stronger than ἀνέγκλητος, Tit 1:8. That would imply more definite charges (κατηγορία, ib.): this, any criticism or censure. It is explained by the following words: Not liable to criticism as he would be if he failed in any of these qualities.

μᾶς γνώικτος ἄνδρα . . . κόσμιον, general moral qualifications, in relation to his own life: φιλάξειν, διδακτικῶν, qualifications for his special office.

μὴ πάροινον . . . ἄμαχον, qualifications in relation to other members of the community.

αὕρλαργυρον, qualification in relation to the finance of the community.

tοῦ Ἠλόου ὦτον, in relation to his own family.

μὴ νεοφύτον, in relation to his standing in the community.

δεῖ δε, in relation to the world outside.

μᾶς γνώικτος ἄνδρα In interpreting this difficult phrase, two facts guide us. (a) The standard is not the highest (v. supra); it must be something, failure in which would incur reproach; (b) but the standard is that of a Christian community; contrast 7. It presupposes a knowledge of the teaching of Our Lord and of St. Paul.

(i) The phrase might imply that the bishop must be a married man (so Wordsworth, The Ministry of Grace, pp. 215-20; Lindsay, The Church and the Ministry, p. 145), and the writer might well prefer a man with the experience of the head of a family (cf. 4) for the overseership of a church, and might wish to guard against any depreciation of marriage (cf. 48); but to be unmarried would incur no reproach: such a requirement would be scarcely consistent with the teaching of Our Lord (Mt 19:12) and of St. Paul (1 Co 7:7-8): so the writer is only thinking of the true character of a bishop, if married; as in 4 he deals only with his relation to his children, if he has children.

(ii) It certainly implies—not a polygamist. Such a rule would still be necessary, as polygamy might still be found among Jews; cf. Justin Martyr, Tryph. c. 134, oίνειος και μέχρι νῦν καὶ τεσσαρας
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(iii) It also certainly implies "a faithful husband," married to one woman and loyal to her, having no mistress or concubine; cf. Tertull. Apol. 46, "Christianus uxori soli suae masculus nascitur." Canones Apost. xvii. δ δυοι γάμους συμπλακεὶς μετὰ τὸ βάπτισμα ἡ παλλακὴν κτησάμενος οὐ διώται εἶναι ἐπίσκοποι: cf. ib. li. A similar provision is found in heathen marriage contracts; cf. Tebt. Pap. 104, μὴ ἐξέστω Φιλίσκο γυναίκα ἄλλην ἐπαγάγωσθαι ἄλλα 'Απολλωνίαν μηδὲ παλλακὴν μηδὲ τεκνοποιεῖσθαι εἰς ἄλλης γυναικὸς, ἢς ἡ Ἀπολλωνίας (92 B.C.), and similarly Pap. Eleph. i (310 B.C.).

(iv) It also implies, and was probably meant to imply, not divorcing one wife and marrying another. This would be a Christian rule, based both on Our Lord's teaching and on St. Paul's (cf. Hermas, M. iv. 1, which forms a good commentary on this phrase), and very necessary in view of the laxity of divorce both among Jews (Schechter, u.s.; Abrahams, Studies in Pharisaism, §9) and among heathen; cf. Friedländer (Eng. tr.), pp. 242-43; Fowler, Social Life in Rome, c. 5. Dill, Roman Society from Nero to M. Aurelius, pp. 76-79, though he points out that the heathen standard was rising: "The ideal of purity, both in men and women, in some circles was actually rising . . . there were not only the most spotless and high-minded women, there were also men with a rare conception of temperance and mutual love. . . . Plutarch's ideal of marriage, at once severe and tender, would have satisfied St. Paul . . . Seneca and Musonius, who lived through the reign of Nero, are equally peremptory in demanding a like continence from men and from women."

(v) Did it also imply, "not marrying a second time after his wife's death"? This is possible, but scarcely likely. No doubt the phrase led to this interpretation and was used to support it, and that by the end of the 2nd century; cf. Tertull. ad Uxor. i. 7; Clem. Alex. Strom. iii. 12; Origen, Hom. xvii. in Luc., and the later Church orders; cf. Apost. Ch. Order, i. καλὸν μὲν εἶναι ἄγνωνος: εἰ δὲ μὴ, ἀπὸ μᾶς γυναικὸς. Apost. Canons, xvii. (quoted above); Apost. Const. ii. 2, μᾶς γυναικὸς ἄνδρα γεγενημένον: ib. vi. 17. Test. Dom. N. J. Christi, c. 20 (where see Cooper-Maclean's note). There were also tendencies in the heathen world moving in the same direction. There was the feeling for the children of the first wife who might be harmed by the stepmother; cf. Eur. Alc. 301 sqq.; Propert. iv. 11. 81, and the law of Charondas forbidding such a second marriage, quoted in Diod. Sic. xii. 12 (Wetstein): there was also the natural devotion to a loved wife; cf. the Inscr. at Pisa (Orelli, ii. p. 517, No. 4623), "conjugi karissimae . . . cum quâ vixit annos xviii. sine querella, cujus
desiderio juratus se post eam uxorem non habiturum” ; cf. Bigg, The Church’s Task, p. 102: “In the epitaphs two not uncommon words are virginius and virginia: they denote a husband who never had but the one wife, a wife who never had but the one husband.” Such a feeling would be increased by the Christian thought of the eternal relation of husband and wife (cf. Chrys. on Tit 16); yet such a standard is always regarded as exceptional, and is too high for this context; and the later writers are influenced by a growing love for celibacy (άγνώαος), which is certainly alien to this passage, and by the denunciation of second marriages in all cases (Athenag. Leg. 33), which is also alien to the Epistle, 314; cf. Suicer, s.v. διψυχία. Dict. Christ. Ant., s.v. Marriage, p. 1097 and p. 1103; and for a strong defence of the stricter view, The Library of the Fathers, Tertullian, vol. i. pp. 420–32.

ηράλιον] (11, Tit 2 only in N.T.), temperate in use of wine; cf. 8. Ti 523; perhaps also “sober-minded” or “vigilant” (ἀγριππνν, Chrys., cf. Heb 1317, and Homer, Il. ii. 24, 25). Cf. 2 Ti 45 ὅτι δὲ νήψε ἐν πάσιν: ΠΡ 18 (ὑδί ν. Hort) 58, ΠΟ 1594 ἐκνήψε. σῶφρονα, κόσμιον] (29 only in N.T.). “Quod σῶφρων est intus, id κόσμιος est extra,” Bengel. καὶ φθέγματι καὶ σχήματι καὶ βλέμματι καὶ βαδίσματι, Thdt.; cf. Inscri. from Magnesia, ξίταντα σωφρόνας καὶ κοσμίων (Dibelius, and M.M. s.v.). It implies well-ordered demeanour, but also the orderly fulfilment of all duties and the ordering of the inner life from which these spring. Cf. Trench, Syn., p. 332. It is the quiet, orderly citizen, the antithesis of ἀτακτος.

φιλόξενον] The duty of individual Christians (510) and of the whole Church (Ro 1218, Π 40, 3 Ἰν 5), with a special blessing attached to it (Heb 132 διὰ ταῦτα γὰρ ἔλαθον τινες ἐξοικαστείς ἀγγέλους: cf. Clem. Rom. i. 10–12, a comment on that passage); finding its fullest expression in the ἐπίσκοπος, cf. Herm. S. ix. 27, where ἐπίσκοποι φιλόξενοι, οἱ τοις ὑδέωσ εἰς τοὺς οἰκους ἐν αὐτῶν πάντως ὑπεδέξαντο τοὺς δούλους τοῦ θεοῦ are compared to trees sheltering sheep, and singled out for special praise (Dibelius). For its importance, cf. Harnack, Exp. of Christ. i. ii. 3.; Ramsay, Pauline Studies, pp. 382–86.

μὴ πάροιν, μὴ πλήκτην] the negative of the positive νηφάλιον, σῶφρονα, in relation to others. Cf. Ti 18 note.

ἐπιεικὴ, ἀμαχοῦ] the mark of all Christians, Ti 39, where see note.


4. Cf. Tit 16; μετὰ πάσης σεμνότητος: cf. 22 of all Christians: here the reference is specially to the father (cf. 8. 11), though it might include the effect on the whole household (πάσης).

5. For the analogy from the family to the Church, cf. Eph 219
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οἰκεῖοι τοῦ θεοῦ, 5:28-69, where the family is treated as the nursery in which the virtues characteristic of the Church are trained. The analogy from the family to the State is common in classical writers; cf. Sen. de Clem. i. 9, "quò hoc animo facis? ut ipse sis princeps? ... domum tuam tueri non potes," Tac. Agr. 19, and other instances in Wetstein and Dibelius.

εἰκαλ. θεοῦ] St. Paul only in N.T.: here and 18 only without the article, "a church of God’s."

6. For later formulation of this rule, cf. Apostol. Canon lxxx. (adding as reason, ἀδικον γὰρ τὸν μηδὲ πρώτειραν ἐπιδειξάμενον ἐπέρων εἶναι διδάσκαλον), Concil. Nic. Canon ii. with Bright’s note. νεόφυτον] "a recent convert" (for the form, cf. σύμφυτος, Ro 6:; and for the metaphor, 1 Co 3:6). The word is used literally in the LXX and Inscr. (Deissmann, Bible St. s.v.); as a simile, Ps 143:18 γιὰ το νεόφυτο: here, first as a metaphor; so in Tertullian, Præscr. 41, adv. Marc. i. 20.

tυφώθεις] 64, 2 Ti 3: only in N.T., from τὺφος, smoke, with his head dazed and turned "in superbiam elatus," Vulg.; enêtet. It combines the ideas of conceit and folly; he may behave arrogantly to others and teach foolishly. Wetstein aptly quotes the warning of Tiberius, "ne quis mobiles adolescentium animos præmaturis honoribus ad superbiam extolleret," Tac. Ann. iv. 17. For the harm wrought by τύφος, cf. an interesting passage in Philo, de Decal. cc. 1 and 2, τύφος . . . δημοσιργός ἐστιν ἀλαζονεῖας, ἀπεριστίας, ἀνασέτηυς . . . τύφῳ καὶ τὰ θεῖα ἐξωλυγώρηται.

tοῦ διαβόλου] The parallelism of 7. and 2 Ti 2:6 makes it certain that this is "the devil," not (as Weiss) "some human accuser." But the analogy of 5:14, Tit 2:8 suggest that the devil is thought of as working through some human agent; cf. Ecclus. 51:2 ἐνυπνώσω τὸ σώμα μου ἐξ ἀπωλείας καὶ ἐκ παχύδος διαβολῆς γλώσσες, Prov 6:24, and perhaps Eph 4:27.

κρίμα τοῦ διαβ.] not (as Chrys. Pelag. Thdt. Calvin, Bengel) "the judgment passed on the devil," which is not parallel to 7. and would naturally be τὸ κρίμα, but "some judgment which the devil, the slanderer, the setter at variance, the accuser of the brethren (Apoc. 12:10, cf. Jude 9, 2 P 2:11 κρίνων), passes upon him. Such a novice is arrogant or foolish in teaching. The devil reproaches (7). This is your humble Christian! this your learned teacher! The devil lays snares (7) to draw him on and to discredit the whole community. The man makes shipwreck of his faith by some moral (1:19) or intellectual (6:21) failure; he is handed over to Satan (1:20); and he passes judgment, perhaps some bodily infliction, upon him; cf. Job r and 2 and Test. XII. Patr., Reuben 6, εἰς ἀλέθρων Βελάρ καὶ οὖνεδος αἰώνιον.

7. τῶν ἐκωθέν] For St. Paul's care for the opinion outside the Church, cf. 1 Th 4:12, 1 Co 10:22, Col 4:5.
8-10. Deacons] For the earlier use of the word, cf. Hort, The Christian Ecclesia, pp. 198–211; a recognized title for an office already existing. No definition of duties is given. The name implies service—assistant ministration—perhaps in the Church services, certainly in administering charity and attending to the needs of the poorer members; and it is implied that they would naturally pass to higher office in the Church. The qualifications are partly central Christian virtues (σεμνος), partly those needed for their office as they moved from house to house (μη διαβλέπων, μη οἶνος π. προσ.), handling Church money (μη αἰσχροκερδεῖς), speaking of their faith to others (ἐχοντας κ.τ.λ.)

For similar qualifications, cf. Polyc. ad Phil. 5, perhaps based on this passage.

8. διλόγος] "tale-bearers," Lightfoot on Polyc. (u.s.), but probably "double-tongued," "ad alios alia loquentes" (Bengel); cf. δισυγγόνος (Const. Apost. iii. 5), διγλώσσως (Prov 11:18, Ecclus 5:10 εἰς ἐποτ σου δο λόγος), διπρόσωπος (Test. XII. Patr., Asher, c. 2); "the parson of our parish, Mr. Two-Tongues" (Pilgrim's Progress), δίφυς (Jas 1:8). The word here only in N.T., and not elsewhere in this sense. For the thought, cf. Test. XII. Patr., Benj. c. 6, ἡ ἀγαθή διάνοια οὐκ ἔχει δόο γλώσσας, εἰδολίας καὶ κατάρας, ὑβρεῖς καὶ τιμῆς, ἡμυχίας καὶ ταραχῆς, ὑποκρισιώς καὶ ἀληθείας.

9. τὸ μυστήριον τῆς π.] perhaps "the secret truths of the Christian faith"; cf. 16, laying stress on doctrinal correctness, but more probably, as there is no duty of teaching implied, holding their own faith, the secret of their allegiance to Christ, secure under the protection of a good conscience, "a true inward religion and a true inward morality" (Hort., u.s.). The stress is on ἐν καθ. συνειδήσει, the casket in which the jewel is to be kept; cf. 11:9 note.

10. δοκιμαζόμενως] Probably not by any definite examination or by a time of probation (Ramsay), but only in the same way as the ἐπίσκοπος (καὶ οὕτω δὲ), by the opinion of the Church judging his fitness by the standard just laid down.

11. γυναῖκας] From the context and from the parallelism between the qualities required for them and for the deacons (σεμνος = σεμνος: μη διαβλέπων = μη διλόγος: νηφαλίως = μη οἶνος π. προσέγγιστα: πιστός ἐν πάσι = μη αἰσχροκερδεῖς . . . συνειδήσει), these must be "deaconesses" (not "wives of deacons"), women who help; cf. Ro 16:1; Pliny, Ep. x. 96 (written A.D. 112), "ancillis quae ministrae dicebantur." Their duties in later times are defined as instructing and attending at the baptism of female catechumens, of looking after them at the services and taking messages from the bishops to them; cf. Dict. Christ. Antiq. s.v.; Nic. Canon xix., with Bright's note. Apost. Const. ii. 26, iii. 15, εἰς
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12. διάκονοι] The writer returns to deacons from a new point of view, as men who may become ἐπίσκοποι: so in addition to what they needed as deacons they must have the two external relations—to wife and children—which were required in the ἐπίσκοποι.

13. βασιλέων (here only in N.T.), lit. “a step” (so in LXX, Sir 5:5, Ecclus 6:6, 2 K 20:9); then “a standing,” “position”. This may be thought of as—


(b) Ecclesiastical: a higher grade, an honourable rank; cf. Ap. K. O. 22, οἱ γὰρ καλῶς διακονήσαντες τόσον ἑαυτοὶ περιποίησάν τὸν ποιμένικον. Ἀπ. Κ. Ο. 22, οἱ μὲν ἐμφόβους βασιλεὺς διὰ Χριστοῦ. This is common in later eccles. writers; cf. the prayer for the deacons, ἀσπιλον αὐτῶν τὴν διακονίαν φιλάξεως καὶ βασιλεῖς ἀγαθῶν περιποίησας, "Lit. of S. James," Brightman, E. and W. L., p. 55, and is probable here from the use of the aorist διακονήσαντες, and from the analogy of βασιλέων καλῶς τοῦ καλοὶ ἐργον 1, and of ἑαυτοῖς περιποίησάνται to ἐπίθυμε. But such eccles. promotion will include all that was implied in (a). It is used of promotion in the army; cf. Harrison, p. 165, who quotes from Hadrianian Sententia, ἐὰν καλὸς στρατιώτης γενῇ, τῷ βασιλεῖ δονήσῃ εἰς πραυτώριον μεταβῆται.


With the whole verse contrast Herm. S. ix. 25, where dishonest deacons are compared to reptiles and wild beasts that destroy men, οἱ μὲν τοὺς σπίλους ἔχοντες διάκονοι εἰς κακῶς διακονήσαντες καὶ διαπάσαντες χερῶν καὶ ὀρφανῶν τὴν ζωὴν καὶ ἐαυτοὺς περιποιησάμενοι ἐκ τῆς διακονίας ἐς ἐλαβόν διακονήσωσα, perhaps a conscious parody of this verse.


Paraphrase. I hope to come to you soon and strengthen your hands by my presence; but in case I should be delayed, I write at once that you may know what is the true Christian life, the true relation of one with another in God’s own family, for it
is a Church belonging to God Himself, the living source of all life; and its task is to hold up the truth for the whole world to see and to give it a firm support in the lives of its members. And confessedly the secret of a true religious life is very important; for it centres in a personal relation to a Living Person: to one of whom we sing in our hymns that He was—

“In flesh unveiled to mortals’ sight,
Kept righteous by the Spirit’s might,
While angels watched him from the sky:
His heralds sped from shore to shore,
And men believed, the wide world o’er,
When he in glory passed on high.”

This section primarily gives the reason for the regulations in the preceding chapters, especially cc. 2 and 3; but it also leads on to the warning against false teaching and the advice about Timothy’s teaching which follows. It thus becomes the very heart of the Epistle; it should be compared with similar doctrinal conclusions in 16 23-5 618-10, Tit 212-14 35-7. But this goes deeper than all in its picture of the Incarnate and Glorified Christ as the centre of the true life of the whole world, cf. 2 Ti 28. It is the poetic expression of Gal 220 υπ έμοι Χριστός.

14. ταυτα] i.e. mainly cc. 2 and 3 (with their constant stress on true character, on the knowledge of truth (247 39 18), and on God’s family); but it may include the whole letter.

ελπιζων ελθειν] Not “although I hope,” but “hoping.” I write and hope to come and strengthen your hands by my personal authority (σοι . . . προς σε); cf. i Co 417-19, Phil 219-24.

εν ταχει] The variant ταχιον will mean much the same, as its comparative sense was dying out; cf. Jn 1327, Heb 1328; cf. βέλτιον, Π 116; σπουδαιότερον, Π 117.

15. πως δει] Picking up 32.7.

εις αικων θεο] Picking up 345.12, and therefore not “God’s house,” but “God’s family”; cf. Tit i11, 2 Ti i16, and Eph 210 οικείον του θεο; Gal 610 της πιστεως. The reference to 35 makes it almost certain that the allusion is not to the universal family, to the Church as a whole, but to the special community at Ephesus.

ἀναστρέφονται (“conversari,” Vulg.) includes the life and character of each individual (cf. Eph 215, Heb 1318, and ἀναστρεφθη, Gal 118, Jas 118, and instances from papyri in M.M. s.v.); but also the intercourse of each member with other members, of men with women (c. 2), of parents with children, of ministers with those to whom they minister (c. 3); cf. Hort on I P 17. “He wishes Timothy to have before him an outline of the relation which must exist between the various parts of a congregation or household of God” (Ramsay).
The subject of ἀναστρέψθαι might be σε (which is found in a few MSS and Fathers), "how you ought to behave," as the ὀικόνομος in the household, but the general character of cc. 2–3 makes it almost certain that it should be wider, "how men ought to behave," "that you may know the right relation of class to class." 4:12 shows that it will include Timothy himself as well as those to whom he is to be a model.

ἐκκλησία] Possibly (as in Eph.) the Universal Church throughout the world; but 36 decides that the primary allusion is to the Church at Ephesus as a separate congregation, though thought of as part of the larger whole; cf. Bengel, "Ecclesiam innuit universalem, non universe, sed quatenus pars ejus tum erat Ephesi, commissa Timotheo," and Hort, The Christian Ecclesia, pp. 172–75. This increases the dignity attached to each Christian Church and therefore a fortiori to the whole Ecclesia which incorporates them.

Θεοῦ Ὄντος] Perhaps with semi-conscious contrast to heathen gods, cf. 1 Th 1:9, 2 Co 6:16; but emphasizing the thought that a God of life can give life and make such intercourse possible, cf. 4:10 6:13, and perhaps the thought that He is alive to punish those who fail to live the true life, cf. Heb 10:31: so "a contrast with the true God made practically a dead Deity by a lifeless and rigid form of religion" (Hort, u.s.).

στῦλος] The origin of the metaphor is not quite clear: if στῦλος is used of the Universal Church, it would be drawn from some one pillar standing alone and holding up to view a statue (such as was afterwards "Pompey's pillar" at Alexandria). If, however, it is applied to a local church or an individual (v. next note), the thought will be of one of a row of pillars which support and give strength to the whole fabric, like one of the many pillars in the temple of Artemis at Ephesus: there will be no sharp distinction between it and ἔδραίωμα. This is the more probable, the combination of the two words being common. According to Lightfoot (Hora Hebr., The Temple, c. 22), it was applied to the great Sanhedrin by the Jews; by R. Levi, to the reference to the Exodus in the Paschal precepts, "quia fundamentum id magnum sit et columna valida legis ac religionis Judaicæ" (Bengel).

ἔδραίωμα ("firmamentum," Vulg.), that which makes steady, stay, buttress, rather than base; cf. Col 1:28 τεθεμελιωμένοι καὶ ἔδραίωμα: 1 Co 15:58 ἔδραίωμα γίνεσθε.

στῦλος καὶ ἔδραίωμα] Four views have been held of the construction—(i) In apposition with ἐκκλησία.
(ii) In apposition with the nominative of ἐδοχῆς.
(iii) In loose ungrammatical apposition with Θεοῦ (Holtzmann)
(iv) To be joined with καὶ ὅμοι. μέγα as nominative to ἐστι.
Of these (iii) and (iv) may be put aside. (iii) is unnecessarily artificial, and gives an inadequate description of the living God. (iv) though defended by Bengel, leads to an anticlimax, ἵπτερα καὶ μέγα, and is tautological, “the secret of godliness” is not the support of the truth, but the truth itself. In favour of (ii) it is to be said that στῦλος is used generally of individuals in the N.T. (Gal 2:9, Rev 3:12): that the combination of the same or similar words is also so used (cf. Eus. H.E. 1 of Attalus, στῦλος καὶ ἔδρ. τῶν ἐνταῦθα; Justin M. Tryph. 5, Πλατώνα καὶ Πυθαγόραν, οὐ ὡσπερ τεῖχος ἡμῖν καὶ ἐρείσμα φιλοσοφίας ἐγένοντο: Greg. Naz. Ep. 29, of Eusebius, στ. καὶ ἔδρ. τῆς ἐκκλησίας, πατρίδος ἐρείσμα), and it suits the context—“I want you to know, ... because you are in position to uphold and support the truth,” cf. 1:18 6:20. Yet the stress of the preceding chapters has been more on what the Church than on what Timothy is to be, and this is decisive for (i). Each local Church has it in its power to support and strengthen the truth by its witness to the faith and by the lives of its members. A very full note on the usage of the words will be found in Suicer, Thesaurus, s.v. στῦλος.


δικαλουμένως] “By common agreement” (“manifeste,” Vulg.) i.e. of Christians, perhaps also including the impression made on the pagan world around; or perhaps “by common profession” (“omnia confessione,” Ambros.), hinting that the following words come from some Church hymn, and so equivalent to ὑμολόγουμεν ὡς found in D* 5 (pal).

τὸ τῆς εὐαθείας μυστήριον] The revealed secret of true religion, the mystery of Christianity, the Person of Christ: cf. Col 1:27 τὸ πλοῦτος τῆς δόξης τοῦ μυστήριον τούτου ἐν τοῖς Θεοῦσι τοῦ ἐστιν Χριστὸς ἐν ὑμῖν, ἡ ἐλπίς τῆς δόξης. The phrase is perhaps a deliberate contrast to τὸ μυστήριον τῆς ἀνομίας, 2 Th 2:7, and cf. inf. 4:2; also with implied contrast both to Judaism, cf. 1:18-21 and Ep. Diogn. c. 5, τὸ τῆς ἱδίας αἰῶνων θεοσφαίρας μυστήριον (of the Christians as opposed to the Jews); and to the secrets of the heathen mysteries, cf. ἐν τοῖς ἔθεσιν, Col 1:27 2:18.10.

τῆς εὐαθείας may perhaps include the thought of doctrine as well as of life, “Christianity,” as it in later ecclesiastical Greek became the equivalent to orthodoxy: but the context here and the use of it as applied to the life of all Christians (2:2) and of Timothy himself (4:7-8), shows that the main stress is here on moral life; cf. 2 Ti 3:12 εὐαθείας τοῦ Ἐρρετήριου.

δὲ ... ἐν δόξῃ] Source.—These words may be (i) the writer’s own, or (ii) a quotation. The latter is more likely because of its introduction with δικαλουμένως (contrast Eph 5:23), of the rhythmical form, of the use of words not found elsewhere in this writer (ἐφανερώθη, ἐπιστευθή, ἀνελήφθη), of the fact that it goes
beyond the statements required by the context, and of the writer's fondness for quotation. If this is so, it will be from some well-known Christian hymn (cf. Eph 5:14), possibly from the same hymn as that quoted in Eph 5:14, in which case Ὑμνός will supply the antecedent to ὅς. It implies a wide preaching of Christianity, but such as might fall within St. Paul’s lifetime; cf. Col 1:6 ἐν πάντι τῷ κόσμῳ. There are reminiscences of it in Ἑρ. Diogn. 11, ἀπέστειλε Λύκον ἵνα κόμμῳ φανερῶν. ὅς . . . διὰ ἀποστόλων κηρυχθείς ὑπὸ ἄθρων ἐπιστεύθη: Ἑρ. Barn. §§6, 9, 11; §14, ἐν σαραί ἐμέλλειν φανερόφθαι καὶ ἐν ἡμῖν κατοικεῖν. Resch (Paulinismus, p. 397) thinks that it may have influenced the author of Mk 16:9-10.

Structure. The arrangement is uncertain: it may be six parallel lines in groups of two, but this gives no clear correspondence of thought in the group: more probably it represents two stanzas of three lines, which balance each other, contrasting the Incarnate Lord with the Ascended Lord.

(i) The Life of the Incarnate—

(a) as seen on earth, ἐφανερώθη ἐν σαρκί ἐνδικαίωθη ἐν πνεύματι.

(b) as watched from heaven, ἄφθις ἀγγέλους.

(ii) The Life of the Ascended Lord—

(a) as preached on earth, ἐκρήγθη ἐν ἐθνεσιν ἐπιστεύθη ἐν κόσμῳ.

(b) as lived in heaven, ἀνελήφθη ἐν δόξῃ.

The main thought, then, is that one who has really lived a perfect human life on earth has a message for the whole world, and lives to give his righteousness to all; cf. 11 τῆς δόξης: 2:4-7 υπὲρ πάντων . . . ἐθνῶν.

δὲ] What is the antecedent? (a) Ὑμνὸς, either implied in ἐνορθ. μυστήριων (cf. Col 2:2, 27), or expressed in some previous verse of the hymn; cf. Eph 5:14. It can scarcely be θεός, to which ἐνδικαίωθη would not be suitable, but might be θεόν τὸν ἀνθρώπον, ὑπὸ ἄθρων ἐπιστεύθη. Cf. Eph. Barn. c. 5, which seems reminiscent of the passage, ἐφανέρωσεν ἁπάντων ἐναντίον ἑαυτοῦ. (b) οὕτως to be supplied before line 4. He who so lived on earth has now been preached throughout the world (von Soden); but this lays almost too much stress on the last stanza, and is less suited to poetic style.

ἐφανερώθη ἐν σαρκί] Of the human life, as an unveiling of a previous existence, and perhaps including the manifestation after the Resurrection; but the stress on σαρκί is on its weakness, in the weak flesh that we share; cf. Ro 8:35, Gal 2:20. Neither word is used of Christ in the Pastorals: the first is Johannine, the second, both Johannine and Pauline.

ἐνδικαίωθη ἐν πνεύματι] Either “was made righteous in the spiritual sphere,” was kept sinless through the action of the Spirit upon His Spirit. ἄνθρωπος ὄφθη ἀναμάρτητος: Chrys. “justificatum
et immaculatum factum virtute sancti spiritus”; Theod.-Mops.; cf. Herm. S. v. 7, τὴν σάρκα . . . φύλασσε καθαρὰν ὡς τὸ πνεῦμα τὸ κατοικοῦν ἐν αὐτῇ μαρτυρήσῃ αὐτῇ καὶ δικαίωσῃ σοῦ ἡ σάρξ: or “was justified” in His claims to be the Christ in virtue of the Spirit which dwelt in Him, enabling Him to cast out devils (cf. Mt 12:28), to conquer all evil, and to rise from the grave; cf. Ro 1:4 ἐκ σπέρματος Δαυὶδ κατὰ σάρκα, τοῦ δροσθέντος νεοῦ θεοῦ ἐν δυνάμει κατὰ πνεύμα ἀγιωτάτου ἐξ ἀναστασεως τεκρων: cf. Mt 11:19, Lk 7:35, Jn 16:10.

ὁφθη ἄγγελοις] Not (as Hofmann, Wohlenberg, etc.) “was seen by messengers,” i.e. by those who told the message of His Resurrection, though this would lead on naturally to ἐκπροέχη, and would sum up the repeated ὁφθη of 1 Co 15:2-3: the reference to the Resurrection, though included in ἐνδικαίωσθη, is scarcely explicit enough for this: but “was seen by angels,” who watched the earthly life, cf. Lk 2:18, Mk 1:18, Jn 1:51, Lk 24:23, and still watch His working from Heaven, Eph 3:10, 1 P 1:12. Dibelius quotes the Ascension of Isaiah, c. 11, “all the angels of the firmament and Satan saw Him and adored Him.”

ἐκπροέχη ἐν ἑυθεία] Cf. 27 κρης . . . διδασκαλος ἐθνων.

ἐπιστευθη ἐν κόσμῳ] The response to ἐκπροέχη, universally, and perhaps with emphasis on the character of the κόσμος, in a world full of sinners (cf. 18) which needed reconciliation (2 Co 5:19).

ἀνελήφθη (Acts 1:10, 22, Ps.-Sol 4:20 with Ryle and James’ note: Apoc. Baruch, ed. Charles, p. 73) ἐν δόξῃ in an atmosphere of glory in which He remains, and communicates His glory to men; cf. 11 note.

For a somewhat similar reminiscence of a hymn about Christ’s Life, cf. 1 P 3:18-22.

iv. 1-5. Warning against false teaching.

Paraphrase. Yet, though each church has to uphold the truth, and though it knows the secret of the true human life, inspired prophets have given us clear warning that, in after days, some Christians will fall away from the true faith: they will pay heed to evil misleading spirits, to doctrines inspired by heathen deities, embodied in the false teaching of insincere men — men whose own conscience bears the brand of sin upon it, men who teach others that it is a duty not to marry, and a duty to abstain from certain kinds of food. Yet it was God who created those foods, and created them that those who have accepted Christ and come to know His full teaching might enjoy them with thankfulness. For every created thing has the Creator’s stamp of excellence upon it, and there is none that need be cast aside, if only it is accepted with a grateful heart, for then it becomes consecrated by the Divine blessing and our responsive prayer. Cf. Mt 24:11, Acts 20:29, 30, 2 Th 2:1-12, 2 Ti 3:1-5, Tit 1:14-16, and notice how in the
address to the elders at Ephesus the warning against “grievous wolves” follows directly on the duty of feeding the flock and on the mention of “the Church of God.”

The false teaching referred to. The prohibition of marriage and of certain foods finds an exact analogy in the Gnosticism of the 2nd century; cf. Iren. Haer. i. 28, of the Encratites, ἀγαμίαν ἐκήρυξαν, ἀθετοῦντες τὴν ἀρχαίαν πλάσιν τοῦ θεοῦ . . . καὶ τῶν λεγομένων παρ' αὐτοῖς ἐμψύχων ἀπόχην εἰσηγήσαντο ἄχριστοντες τῷ πάντῃ πεποιηκότι θεῷ: ib. 24. 2, “nubere et generare a Satana dicunt esse. Multi autem . . . et ab animalibus abstinent, per fictam hujusmodi continentiam seducentes multos” (both of which passages seem reminiscent of this place). Cf. the Acts of Paul and Thekla, c. 12. If the Epistle is not genuine, this is doubtless the reference. But there is no allusion here to the Gnostic central doctrine of an inferior Demiurge (cf. 8 note), and there is nothing that goes beyond the teaching already denounced in Ro. 14, Col 2:16-28, Heb 13:4-9. We may therefore trace it possibly to a Judaism of the dispersion influenced by Essenism (Ἡσαίων οἴεῖς ἁγεία γυναῖκα, Philo, p. 633; Josephus, B.J. ii. 8; cf. Ερ. Νιογν. c. 4), or perhaps more probably (cf. δαμιονίων) to Oriental tendencies which developed into Gnosticism. In such a syncretistic city as Ephesus there is no need to assume only one set of false teaching.

On the other hand, the allusions are too definite for it to be merely “an apologetic vade-mecum for all anti-Gnostic controversy” (Dibelius).

1. ἰδ][With slight antithesis to 3:15 and the substance of 3:16.


ῥητῶς] “clearly,” “unmistakably,” or, more probably “in express terms,” implying that he is quoting a prophecy (cf. Justin Martyr, Apol. i. 63). If so, the utterance of the Spirit will not have been made to the writer himself, but he is quoting that of some other Christian prophet. The person is ignored: the fact of his inspiration emphasized; cf. Charles, Revelation, i. p. cix.

ἐν υἱότεροις καὶροῖς] “In later days,” “at some later crisis” (the plural not being pressed; cf. καὶροῖς ιδίους, Tit 1:2 note); cf. ὑιόν χρόνος, Plato: ἐν υἱότεροις χρόνοις, Plut. ap. Wetstein; Acta Carp. 5, Χριστὸν . . . τῶν ἐλθόντα ἐν υἱότεροις καὶροῖς ἕπι σωτηρίᾳ ἡμῶν. The writer contemplates that this is a present danger; cf. 6-11: hence we may paraphrase, “there is a past prophecy about a later crisis, which is now being fulfilled”; cf. 1 Jn 4:8.

πνεῦμασι πλάνοις κ.τ.λ.] Cf. Mt 24:15, 1 Jn 4:6, Rev 16:14 πνεύματα δαμιονίων ποιοῦντα σημεῖα, hence probably from some heathen source; cf. 1 Co 10:20-21, Jas 3:15 σοφία δαμιονώδης.
ἐν ὑποκρίσει] Insincere, because their own lives are inconsistent; cf. Mt 23.4, Ro 2:17-23.

The clause is connected closely with διδασκαλίας, teaching embodied in insincere utterances of lying teachers.

κεκαυρισμένων] Not "rendered callous as by medical treatment," cf. Eph 4:18, but rather "branded with the brand of slavery to their true master Satan," cf. 2 Ti 2:22, and contrast Gal 6:17 τὰ στίγματα τοῦ Ἰσχοῦ. Claudian in Rutil. ii. 504, "enpectus inustae Deformant maculae," and other illustrations of the metaphor in Wetstein here and on Gal. i.e.


4. i.e. probably βρώματα only: it might include marriage also; cf. Apost. Canon 51, εἴ τις ἐπίσκοπος ἡ πρεσβύτερος γὰρ καὶ κρεῶν καὶ οἶνον οὐ δὲ ἄκησιν ἄλλα διὰ βδελυγμῶν ἀπέχεται, εἰπελαθομένος ὅτι πάντα καλὰ λιῶν καὶ ὅτι ἄρσεν καὶ θῆλη ἐποίησεν ὁ θεὸς τῶν ἀνθρωπῶν ἄλλα βλασφημῶν διαβάλλει τὴν δημιουργίαν ἡ διορθοῦσθα ἡ καθαρισθοῦσα: so 53 of food only, καθαρίσθω ὅσο κεκαυρισμένος τὴν ἰδίαν συνελήφην.

τοῖς πιστοῖς] those who have accepted the gospel—so not for the Jews on whom the Levitical law was still binding: καὶ ἐπεγν. τὴν ἀλήθειαν—so not for weak Christians who have till late been used to idol worship or scruple about eating meat; cf. 1 Co 8:7, Ro 14, esp. v. 14 οἴδα καὶ τέπεισμαι ἐν Χριστῷ Ἰσχοῦ ὅτι οὐδὲν κοινόν, and 28.


οὐδὲν ἀποβλητοῖν had become almost a proverb based on II. iii. 65, οὕτως ἀπόβλητοι ἔστι θεῶν ἑρικύδεα δώρα (cf. Field, Ot. Norvic. ad loc., and Wetstein). Both Holy Scripture and Greek proverbial wisdom condemn these teachers.

λαμβάνομεν] If taken as a gift—not treated as a right—and with gratitude. The divine word is constantly λάβετε, φάγετε (Mt 26:26).

ἀγιάζεται] It becomes holy to the eater; not that it was unclean in itself, but that his scruples or thanklessness might make it so to him. Possibly there is the further thought, it is protected from the power of evil spirits (δαιμόνια); cf. Lake, Earlier Epp. of St. Paul, p. 195.

διὰ λόγου θεοῦ] possibly “by the Word of God” in the Johannine sense, cf. Justin M. Apol. i. 66, διὰ λόγου θεοῦ σαρκωποιηθεὶς Ἰσχοῦς Χριστός, and cf. J. Th. St., April 1923, p. 310: but more probably, as this technical sense seems foreign to our writer,
“through God’s utterance,” “with God’s blessing upon it,” referring directly to Gen 1. "God said," perhaps more exactly to the word implied in τὰν κτίσμα θεοῦ καλὸν. But this word is thought of as taken up in some word of Scripture used from meal to meal (ἀγιάζεται, not ἡγιάσται) as grace: e.g. Ps 24:1 τοῦ κυρίου ἡ γῆ καὶ τὸ πληρώμα αὐτῆς, which St. Paul quotes as sanctioning the eating of all food sold in the market (1 Co 10:28), cf. Justin Martyr (ubi supra), τὴν δὲ εὐχὴν λόγον τοῦ παρ᾽ αὐτοῦ εὐχαριστηθείσαν τροφὴν. Cf. Sinker, Essays and Studies, p. 115; and for the influence of Jewish forms of grace upon the blessing of the bread and wine and other offerings in the Eucharist, von der Goltz, Tischgebeten und Abendmahlsgebeten. T. und U., N.F. xiv., who quotes Athanasius, περὶ παρθενίας: c. 13, τὸ βρῶμα σου καὶ τὸ πόρμα σου ἡγιασμένον ἔστι· διὰ γὰρ τῶν προσευχῶν καὶ τῶν ἄγνων ἰδιώτων ἀγιάζεται: cf. Irenæus, Hær. v. 2, ἐπιδέχεται τὸν λόγον τοῦ θεοῦ καὶ γίνεται ἡ εὐχαριστία σῶμα Χριστοῦ.

iv. 6–vi. 2. Personal advice to Timothy, as to (a) his teaching and life as the chief officer of the Church (4:6–16); (b) his conduct to various classes of the members of the Church (5:1–6:2).

6–16. Timothy’s own teaching and life (ἐπεξε σεαυτῷ καὶ τῇ διδασκαλίᾳ sums up the paragraph, but the two parts are not kept distinct).

Paraphrase. Put these foundation truths before the brethren, and you will be a true servant of Christ Jesus, keeping your own soul trained by the precepts of the faith and of the true teaching which you have accepted and taught so faithfully until now. But as for those irreligious and old wives’ fables which are so prevalent at Ephesus, have nothing to do with them at all.

Yet there is a training which you will need, and now you must be your own trainer, the training which helps towards a holy life. The bodily training of the athlete has some little value, but a holy life is valuable in every respect:

“To it God’s promise standeth sure
Of life that ever shall endure.”

That saying is quite true and worthy of whole-hearted acceptance: for it is to win life that we spend our days in toil and take part in the spiritual contest, for our hopes have been set on a God of Life, on one who is a Saviour of all men, but, in the deepest sense, of those who put faith in Him. Hand on these truths from me and enforce them in your own teaching.

So teach and so live that no one shall slight you for your youth; nay, rather show yourself a model of what believers should be both in speech and in your dealings with others—
loving, trustworthy, pure. Until I can reach you, do you superintend the reading of the Scriptures, the sermons and the instructions given at the meetings. Do not neglect the divine gift which is in you, remembering that it was a gift from God, given after the guidance of prophets, and confirmed by the whole body of presbyters when they laid their hands on your head. Think carefully of these duties; throw yourself heart and soul into them, that everyone may note your constant growth. Keep careful watch over your own life and the teaching that you give: persevere in all these tasks. So will you work out your own salvation and that of those who hear you.

The keynotes of the paragraph are: (i) Doctrinal. χρυσανθία, εὐσέβεια, σωτηρία (10-16), ζωή. A true self-discipline, ministering to holiness of life, and so laying hold of the salvation which God offers to all, and which is true life. (ii) Personal. Timothy’s growth. ἐντρεφόμενος, μύθους παρατύποι (see note), γνώματε σεαυτόν, νέοτης, προκοπή. You have passed from childhood to manhood, when you can so act that no one will slight you; but there must still be growth, still constant self-discipline.

6. ὑποτιθέμενος] either, “suggesting,” a gentle word suited to Timothy’s youth (οὐκ εἰπεν ἐπιτάττων, οὐκ εἰπε παραγγέλλων ἄλλα ὑποτιθ. . . . ὁς συμβουλεύων, Chrys.; cf. Philo, de vita Mos. ii. 8, ἐν ταῖς προστάξεσι καὶ ἀπαγορεύσεσι ὑποτίθεσαι καὶ παρηγορεῖ τὸ πλέον ἣ κελεύει); or “supplying,” as a foundation for their faith, the metaphor of building (3. 15) being still in his mind; cf. Jude 20, τοῖς ἀδελφοῖς] The metaphor of the family is still in his mind; cf. 3. 18 and 5. 1.

ἐντρεφόμενος] Possibly the metaphor is that of feeding; cf. 1 Co 3. 3, Heb 5. 12-14, and Epict. iv. 4. 48, τοῦτοις τοῖς διαλογισμοῖς ἐντρεφόμενοι, Μ.Μ. s.v., “reading and inwardly digesting”; but more probably “training yourself in”; cf. Eur. Phn. 368, γυμνάσια θ' ὅλων ἐνετράφιον, with γύμνακε σεαυτόν (7 so Hillard). Chrys. adds καθ’ ἐκάστην ἡμέραν to emphasize the present tense.

τής π.] recalling 4. 3 τοῖς πιστοῖς.

τῆς καλῆς διδ.] recalling 4. 3 ἐπεν. τὴν ἄλθειαν, the teaching which will make a καλὸς διάκονος.

παρηκολούθηκας] cf. 2 Ti 3. 8, combines the ideas of “understanding,” as frequently in Epictetus, with that of “practising perseveringly.”

7. τοῖς δὲ . . . μύθοις] The myths which the false teachers are propagating, cf. 1. 4 note; not necessarily to be identified with the teaching in 1-5 supera.


γραύσεις] such as old women tell to children (Plato, Rep. i. 350 E, ὡσπερ ταῖς γραύσει ταῖς τοῦς μύθους λεγούσαι), quite
unfit for strong young men who have to be trained to discipline themselves (ib. ii. 377 A, πρότερον δὲ μόνον πρὸς τὰ παιδία ἢ γυμνασίων χρώμεθα).

γύμναξε] but you are full-grown, you have to be even your own trainer—perhaps with the thought “in my absence” (so Bengel) implied. Your training must be of your whole self, body and soul, not for health or a crown in the games, but for living a religious life. Dibelius quotes Isocr. ad Nicoclem, 10, οὐδένι τῶν ἀσκητῶν οὖν προσέχει τὸ σῶμα γυμναξεῖν ὡς τοῖς βασιλεύσι τὴν ψύχην έκατόν. Ps.-Isocr. ad Demonium, 21, γύμναξε σεαυτόν πάνως ἐκουσίας, ἄτοις ἀν δύνη καὶ τοὺς ἐκουσίους ἐπομένειν. This the εὐσέβης would need; cf. 2 Ti 312. For further very interesting illustrations see Wetstein.

8. ἢ σωμ. γυμν. ["corporalis exercitatio," Vulg. The reference is to either: (i) ascetic discipline, the thought of 8 being still in his mind: you, too, will need discipline of the body, but it must be from a right motive, and only as a means to an end, for in itself it goes a very little way. On this interpretation the best comment is Col 220-23; or (ii) athletic discipline: an illustration from the ordinary training in the gymnasium; and the best comment is 1 Co 924-27. This is the more probable, as the subject of 3 seems to have been dropped at 5, and it is supported by ἀγωνιζόμεθα 10.

πρὸς διάγων] e.g. πρὸς φθαρτὸν στέφανον (1 Co 925) πρὸς ὄνειρα (Lucian, Macr. 6, οἱ γυμνασίως . . . πρὸς ὄνειρα χρώμεθα).

ἐπαγγ. ἔχουσα κ.π.λ.] cf. Tit 12 εὐσεβέων . . . ζωῆς . . . ἐπηγ.γελατο; Jas 112, 1 Jn 225, Rev. 210. The saying may have been based on the Lord’s own words, Lk 1880 δε οὐ μὴ ἀπολάβῃ πολλάπλασίαν ἐν τῷ καιρῷ τούτῳ καὶ ἐν τῷ αἰῶνι τῷ ἐ ρχόμενῳ ζωῆν αἰῶνιν, cf. Lk 1215 for the thought, but it has earlier Jewish analogies; cf. Pirke Aboth iv. 2. “Who is rich? He that is contented with his lot: for it is said, Happy art thou in this world, and it shall be well with thee in the world to come.” True life lies in contentment (61), in the glad acceptance of our lot, in gratitude for God’s common blessings, in the sense that all things are ours through union with Christ, 1 Co 322; cf. Chrys. ad loc., or Traherne’s Meditations.

9. πιστῶς δ λόγος] probably the preceding verse, which is more stereotyped in form and wider in application than the Christian experience which supports it (γάρ).

πάσης] cf. 115 note: here perhaps anticipating σωτήρ πάντων 10 as πιστῶς leads up to πιστῶν. Those who have faith have found this saying trustworthy, and it is worth all men’s while to accept it.

10. εἰς τοῦτο] cf. 1 Co 925-27. θεὸς ἥντι: living, and therefore able to give life now and hereafter; cf. 315 note.

σωτήρ ("salvator," Vulg.; "salutaris," Ambros.) πάντων ἀνθρ.,
perhaps, as giving them their life ("quia ex ipso et per ipsum vivunt," Ambros.; cf. 618 ζωογονοῦντος τὰ πάντα: Acts 1728) and protection from danger (Chrys. Bengel, "servat omnes"), but, much more deeply, as giving them the instincts that feel after Him (Acts 1727), and as longing for their full spiritual salvation (24).

μάλιστα πνεύματι as completing their salvation, giving grace in response to their faith and in proportion to every need, and life to meet a daily dying; cf. 1 Co 1531, 2 Co 410-15. The difference of treatment lies not with God, but with men themselves. He is always Father and Saviour; but they who trust Him as such and accept the revelation through His Son, know that He is such and gain a fuller life. Cf. Plut. Alex. p. 683 A, ὡς πάντων μὲν ὡσαν κοινῶν ἀνθρώπων πατέρα τῶν θεῶν, ἰδίους δὲ πνεύματων ἐαυτῶς τῶν ἀριστῶς (Wetstein). Christians have to imitate the Divine method and proportion in their well-doing, Gal 610, Phil 45.

ἐγωνισμένα] cf. 612, 1 Co 925, 2 Ti 47. For the reading cf. Introd. p. xxxvii.

ουτειδίσμενα] Cf. Ro 158, 1 P 414, Heb 1038 1313; but the thought of persecution and reproach is not found in this Epistle, nor is it very appropriate to this context.

11. παράγγελε] "ut fiant, διδάσκε quomodo fiant," Pelagius. παραγγέλλειν does not occur in Titus, and is perhaps more suitable to Timothy's age—"hand on my message." Contrast Tit 215 (Ramsay, Expositor, 1910, p. 331).

12. μηδεῖς . . . καταφρονεῖτο] contrast Tit 216. It is perhaps a side hint to the Church, who would hear the Epistle read (621), cf. 1 Co 1631, and Ign. Magnes. c. 31, διὰν δὲ πρέπει μὴ συγχαρθεῖν τῷ ἡλικίᾳ τοῦ ἐπισκόπου, but mainly advice to Timothy, so to act that none may be able to despise him. Cf. 2 Ti 222.

νεότητος] used of grown-up military age, extending to the 40th year; cf. Iren. c. Hier. ii. 22, "triginta annorum ætas prima indolis est juvenis et extenditur usque ad quadragesimum annum." For fuller illustration cf. Ramsay in Expositor, 1910, p. 327, and Cities and Bishoprics of Phrygia, i. p. 110.

tύπος . . . τῶν πνεύματων] not so much "a model for the faithful to follow" (τοὺς πνεύματος, cf. 1 Th 17, 2 Th 39, but also τύπος τοῦ ποιμνίου, 1 Pet 58) as "a model of what the faithful are" (cf. Tit 21 τύπον καλῶν ἔργων), which will make its appeal to all men (cf. 10, 18) and attract them to complete salvation (cf. 23-8).

ἐν λόγῳ, ἐν ἀναστροφῇ] "in conversation" (preaching is dealt with in the next verse), "and all intercourse with others"; cf. 315, 1 Pet 34-2. These give the sphere, the next three the qualities in which he is to be a model.

ἐν πίστει] Possibly "faith," but more probably, owing to the context, "fidelity," "trustworthiness." Cf. Gal 522 and the com-
IV. 12-14.]

I TIMOTHY

53

bination ἀγνὸὶ πιστοὶ σύνικοι, "true and upright advocates."
Pap. Oxyr. i. 41. 29 (Μ.Μ. s.v. ἀγνῶς).

ἐν ἀγνείᾳ] purity of act and thought. The transition from 
rhythms to moral purity had already been made by the Greeks; cf.
the Inscription on the temple at Epidaurus:

ἀγνὸν χρὴ νησίον θυώδεος ἐντὸς ἱώτα
ἐμμεναι. ἀγνείᾳ δ' ἐστὶ φρονεῖν ὅσια.
Clem. Alex. Strom. v. i. 13.

Cf. the account of the early Christians given to Pliny, Ep. x. 97,
"soliti essent . . . se sacramento obstringere ne furta, ne latrocinia
(=ἀγάπη), ne adulteria (=ἀγνεία), committerent, ne fim faller-
ent, ne depositum appellati abnegarent (=πίστει)."

13. τῇ ἀναγνώσει] i.e. the public reading (cf. ταῖς προσεύχαις,
5, Acts 242), as in the Jewish synagogues (cf. Charles on Rev 18).
This would, with the O.T., include Apostolic letters (1 Th 527,
Eph 3, Col 418, Euseb. H.E. 4. 23), apocalypses (Mk 1314,
Rev 18; cf. Tert. Apol. 39, "cogimur ad litterarum divinarum
commemorationem si quid præsentium temporum qualitas aut
praemonere cogit aut recognoscere"), the memoirs of the Apostles
or the writings of the prophets (Justin M. Apol. i. 67).

πρόσεχε] This will include his own reading (cf. Tit 16) and
that of any official to whom it was deputed. It will imply—

(a) A wise choice of the passages to be read: cf. Apost.
Const. ii. 5 (infra).

(b) Audible reading: cf. Apost. Canons, 19, ἄναγνωστὴς
καθιστάσθω εὐήκοος.

(c) A power of correct exposition: cf. id. ἄναγν. . . . διηγη-
tικός, εἰδὼς ὅτι εἰσαγελιστοῦ τόπον ἐργάζεται: Apost. Const. ii. 5
of the bishop: πολὺς ἐν ἄναγνωσμασίν, ἵνα τὰς γράφας ἐπιμελῶς
ἐρμηνεύῃ.

Such, supervision will necessarily imply previous private
study; cf. Apost. Const. i. 5, καθεξήγους ἐνδόν ἀναγνώσκει τὸν νόμον,
conspiciat matutino tempore scripturam super genua tua." Cf.
2 Ti 316.

For an interesting analogy, cf. Pap. Oxyr. iii. 531, from a
father to his son, τοῖς βιβλίοις σου αὐτῷ μόνῳ πρόσεχε φιλολογῶν
καὶ ἁπ᾽ αὐτῶν ὄνησιν ἔξεις.

τῇ παρακλ. τῇ διδασκ.] cf. Ro 127 and Tit 21-14, which shows
that the teaching will include moral and doctrinal instruction.

14. χαρίσματος] an individual capacity with external recogni-
tion. The gift of authority by the Society strengthens the
individual's power and confidence: cf. 318. Here the gift com-
bines the capacity to preach himself and the authority to control
others.
Possibly “through” the gift of prophecy given to Timothy himself, which carried with it the lesser χάρισμα (Pelag. Ambros.); but Timothy is never elsewhere treated as a prophet, hence, almost certainly, through the utterance of some prophet or prophets; cf. 118.

This may well have been combined with the laying on of the Apostle’s hands, 2 Ti 16; but here stress is laid on the action of the presbyters, because Timothy has to exercise discipline over them (13 517–25). They have themselves recognized your authority.

When and where was this gift given? Either at Lystra on the first choice of Timothy as minister (so Hort, Christian Ecclesia, p. 187, and, more doubtfully, Ramsay, Expositor, 1910, p. 325), or at Ephesus when left there by St. Paul. The latter suits this context better.

To what office? The laying on of the hands of the presbyters would, if later usage is a guide, point to the presbyterate: cf. The Egyptian Ch. O. (Connolly, pp. 178, 179); but it might be to an “overseership,” a presbyter being associated sometimes with the bishops in the ordination of a bishop: cf. Wordsworth, Ministry of Grace, p. 167. For the very doubtful tradition that at Alexandria presbyters alone consecrated a bishop, vid. C. H. Turner, in Cambridge Medieval History, i. pp. 155–61.

15. μελέτα] either “meditate upon” (A.V.); cf. Seneca, Ep. 16, “hoc quod liquet firmandum et altius cotidiana meditatione figendum est” (Wetstein), and Darwin’s advice to G. J. Romanes—“Always cultivate the habit of meditation.”

Or, “practice”; cf. μελετάν τέχνην. Make this your “profession,” cf. 5 18 μαθήματιν: and for the whole verse, Epict. i. 1. 25, ταῦτα ἐδει μελετάν τοὺς φιλοσοφοῦντας, ταῦτα καθ’ ἵμεραν γράφειν, ἐν τούτοις γυμνόζεσθαι (Field, Ot. Norvic. ad loc.).


σώσεις] cf. 115 215 410. σεαυτόν, cf. 1 Co 927. καὶ τοῦς ἄκοιντας, cf. 1 Th 10 di’ ἐμοὶ καὶ τίς (=ποιμνίν) εἰσέλθην, σωθήσεται (himself) καὶ εἰσελθήσει καὶ ἐξελθήσει καὶ νομίν εὑρήσει (for his sheep).
v. 1–vi. 2. Advice to Timothy how to deal with various classes in the Church: older men and younger men (1), older and younger women (2), widows (3–16), presbyters (17–25), slaves (61–2).

"Those who hear thee" (416) are now subdivided: there is no single line of division: it is partly age, partly official position in the Church, partly social status; but two thoughts are common to the section. (i) The respect due to all, as members of the Christian family: cf. 51–2 (τίμα), 17 (διπλής τιμῆς), 61 (πάσης τιμῆς). There must be honour paid to real need, to good service, to social position. The thought of the family is carried on from 315 46 (where see notes): cf. Lk 821 μητηρ μου καὶ ἀδελφὸς μου στόλ εἶσω οἱ τῶν λόγων τοῦ θεοῦ ἀκούστε καὶ ποιήστε: Jn 1926, 27.

(ii) The importance of winning respect among their pagan neighbours, cf. 57–8. 14 61. Wetstein's notes on the whole passage illustrating the various commands from Greek and Roman writers are most illuminating in this respect.

Cf. Titus c. 2 throughout, which deals with the same problem from the point of view of the teaching to be given to each class.

1, 2. Paraphrase. If you have to correct any, suit your correction to their age. Never sharply chide an older man, but appeal to him as you would to your own father; to younger men as to brothers; older women treat as mothers; younger women as sisters, with purity of thought and speech and deed.

πρεσβυτέρῳ] cf. Lev 1922 “Thou shalt honour the face of the old man”; Ecclus 86 “Dishonour not a man in his old age” (but note the difference of motive), “for some of us also are waxing old.”

μὴ ἐπιπλήξης] cf. μὴ πλήκτην, 38, Tit 17 note, and Hierocles ap. Stob. Flor. T. lxix. 53, εἶ τι πον γένουσι παραμαρτάνοιτε, ἐπανορθῶσιν μὲν, ἀλλ' οὖ μετ' ἐπιπληξίως . . . καθάπερ ἔθος πρὸς τοὺς ἐλάττωνοις ή ἵσους ποιεῖν, ἀλλ' ὡς μετὰ παρακλησίως (Field, Ot. Nov. ad loc.).

ὁ πατέρα] cf. Plato, Legg. p. 879 C, τὸν δὲ προέχοντα ἔκοσιν ἡλικίας ἔτεσιν ἄρρενα ἡ γῆλων νομίζων ὡς πατέρα ἡ μητέρα διευλα-βείσθω. Aul. Gell. ii. 15, “majores natu a minoribus colebantur ad Deum prope et parentum vicem” (Wetstein). “One who has been familiar with the ordinary Greek usage in modern times can feel no doubt that these verses imply that Timothy should actually address men and women older than himself by the titles ‘father’ and ‘mother,’ while he was advised to salute those who were approximately of the same age with himself as ‘brother’ and ‘sister’” (Ramsay, Expositor, 1910, p. 326).

2. ὡς μητέρας] cf. Ro 1613 “his mother and mine.”

ἐν πάσῃ ἄγνειᾳ] cf. Mt 238. Wetstein quotes no illustration of this command.
3–16. The care for widows, based on natural sympathy for suffering (τὴν σοφὴν τὴς χρησίας κάμνων, Chrys. de Sacerd. i. 2), was characteristic of the Jews (cf. Ps 68:6, Dt 10:18 24, Is 117, Lk 2:87) and carried on at once by the Christian Church (Acts 6:1, Jas 1:27; Ign. Smyrn. 6, with Lightfoot’s note). They received of the alms of the Church (Justin M. Apol. i. 67), and were specially commended to the bishop’s care (Ign. ad Polyc. 4). A common instinct drew them together, and they were grouped as a body (Acts 9:39–41 πᾶσας ἀληθείας τῆς χρησίας ἐκτάσεις) occupied in deeds of kindness to the poor (ib.). At some time a formal list (καταλόγος, cf. 9; “viduatus,” Tert. de Virg. v. 9) was made of them, and there were two classes of them, one objects of honour and charity, the other active officials of the Church; cf. Eg. Ch. Order, pp. 180, 189; Hippol. Canons, 59, 157, and most fully for the later details; Test. Dom. N. 40–43 (with notes by Maclean and Cooper); Const. Apol. iii. 1–3; St. Chrys. de Sacerdot. iii. 16; Dict. Christ. Antiqg. s.v.; Wordsworth, Ministry of Grace, pp. 264–74.

The exact status implied here is not clear. A formal list is assumed to exist (9): there is a danger that unworthy recipients of charity will be admitted, and the main purpose of the writer is to control applicants, to exclude rather than to include (4, 8, 16): some have already been untrue to their ideal (12–15). These facts imply some lapse of time. On the other hand, there are more detailed regulations for the qualifications of a widow than there were for bishop or deacon, as if the order were not yet fully established; and there is a more definite recommendation of second marriages than would have been likely in the 2nd century. It is also not clear whether two classes are implied here: (a) recipients of charity, not included in any list but dealt with as necessity arose (4–8), and (b) active officials busied with deeds of kindness (9–15). This is possible, though we might expect such a distinction to have been more clearly marked at v. 9.

The care of widows would be required very early, and all that is laid down here would be possible in a church that had been founded for ten years.

Paraphrase. In dealing with widows, distinguish between those who have any to support them and those who have not. To the last give official recognition and support; but if any have children or grandchildren, let these learn their first lessons in true piety by respect for their own family, and make due return to their forfears, for this is acceptable in God’s sight. But one who is really a widow and left entirely alone in the world has only God to trust in, and remains constant in her prayers and supplications evening and morning: whereas a widow who lives a life of pleasure and self-indulgence is no better than a living corpse. Hand on to them these instructions, that none of them
may be liable to censure. But any Christian who makes no provision for his own kith and kin, especially those who live under his own roof, thereby gives the lie to the Christian faith and is worse than his heathen neighbours.

There is another distinction to be made. You must have an official list for widows in the service of the Church, and no one should be put on this list unless she is at least sixty years old, and has proved herself faithful to her husband: she must be one of whom her neighbours speak well for her kind actions, if she has brought up children carefully, if she has shown hospitality to strangers, if she has with her own hands washed the feet of God's people on their travels, if she has relieved those in trouble—in a word, if she has at all times thrown her whole heart into good deeds.

But do not put on your list of widows any one younger than sixty. For such, whenever they chafe and fret against the restrictions which their allegiance to Christ involves, wish to marry and so expose themselves to censure as being untrue to their first devotion to Him. Nay, more than that, they also train themselves to be nothing better than idlers, as they gad about from house to house: yes, not only idlers but gossips and busybodies, chattering about things on which it is better to be silent. It is my wish therefore that younger women should marry, bear children, rule their households, and so give no occasion to any enemy to abuse the Church. For, short as the time has been, yet some have already turned aside from their allegiance to Christ to be followers of Satan.

One word more. If any such woman has any widows in her household, she should, as I have already said about men, support them herself and not let the expense fall on the Church funds: they are needed for the support of those who are widows in the fullest sense, with no one to support them.

Parry suggests a possible displacement of the text and would arrange the verses in this order: 8. 4. 8. 7. 5. 6. 9. This would be more natural, but is scarcely necessary.

3. τίμαυ. "Show due respect and honour to" (cf. vv.1-2 and 6). Such respect would include (a) sustenance when needed. Cf. Mt 15:4-6; and Wohlenberg aptly quotes Hom. II. 12. 310, Πλαϊκε, τή δή νυμ τετιμήσισθα μάλωτα έδρη τε κράσιν τ' ήδε πλείους δεστέουσιν;

(b) Perhaps also a special seat in the meetings and rank in the Church hierarchy; cf. Origen, in Joannem, ii. p. 412 (Lommatzsch), ἡ καταλεγομένη εἰς ἐκκλησιαστικὴν τιμὴν χήρα. Concil. Laodic. Canon 11, αἱ προκαθημέναι: but it must not be limited to these. Respect would be equally due to widows not supported by the Church, cf. 4; cf. Hippol. Canons, 59, "Viduis propter
copiosas orationes, infirmorum curam et frequens jejunium præcipuus honor tribuatur."

tōs δῶτοις in contrast to (a) any who have friends who can support them 4; (b) any who live self-indulgent lives, who deserve neither support nor respect 6.

4. εἰσεβείν "pie tractare" (Ambros.) rarely applied to human beings, though εἰσεβεία was used of loyalty to the Emperor (cf. 22 note, and vii. M.M. s.v.): here πρῶτον suggests deeper lessons to be learnt afterwards. Let them learn their first lessons in εἰσεβεία in their treatment of their own parents: then they will know how to reverence God's family (cf. 316, supra 1.2) and God Himself; cf. note on 8.

[μακανάνετωσαν] what is the nominative? Possibly "such widows" making return to their forbears by due treatment of their own children or grandchildren (Chrys. Thdt. Pelag. Holtzmann, Wohlenberg), but more probably "such children and grandchildren" as τέκνα ἡ ἐκγονα lead up more naturally to τοῖς προγόνοις, and this gives a better antithesis to v.5, and is more in accordance with the whole drift of the paragraph which is about the support of widows rather than their duties (so Theod.-Mops. Bengel, Liddon, von Soden). For the sense of this filial duty in the pagan world, cf. Eur. Or. 462-69, Ιππ. in Αυλ. 1228; Demosth. c. Aristog. 1, ἐγὼ γὰρ ἀπιστον καὶ θεοῖς ἐχθρόν, ὦ μένον ἀνθρώποις, ὑπολαμβάνει τῶν τῶν γονέων ἀμελεύντα, and other illustrations in Wetstein.

[ἀμοιβᾶς] The plural is common (even when speaking of one person; cf. Eur. Or. l.c. ἀπέδωκ' ἀμοιβᾶς οὐ καλᾶς); cf. Inscr. Cagnat. iv. 293, ii. 39, κομιδόμενοι τῶν εὐεργεσίων ἄξιας τὰς ἀμοιβὰς (M.M. s.v.).

[δ. καὶ μεμονωμένη] cf. 4 Mac 1610 ἡ πολύτας καὶ καλλίπασις γυνὴ χήμα καὶ μοῦνοι πολύθορονοι.

ταῖς δεήσεων the prayers, i.e. those at the meetings of the Church (cf. Lk 287 ἢ οἶκος δεήσατο ἀπὸ τοῦ ἱεροῦ νηστείας καὶ δεήσει λατρεύουσα), or those prescribed for widows to say in private. Hymns of praise for widows to say at night and at dawn are given in full in Test. Dom. N. c. 43.


[Ἰώσα τέθνηκε] possibly suggested by Lk 1524 νεκρὸς ἦν καὶ
εἴησθε (Resch), but the thought is common; cf. Rev 3:1 ὅνομα ἔχεις ὁτι ἔτι καὶ νεκρὸς εἰ: Hermes, Sim. vi. c. 2, τῶν τουτῶν ἡ ἔναὶ θανάτος ἡταίν: Juv. viii. 85, “Dignus morte perit, cœnit licet ostrea centum Gaurana”; Cic. ad Att. xii. 2, “Hominis non recta sed voluptaria querentia nonne βεβλώει?” (cf. Wetstein for other illustrations). So Dante sees in hell the soul of Friar Alberigo whose body is still on earth (Inf. xxxi. i).

8. εἰ δὲ τις . . . οὖ προνοεῖ. This command takes up again the command of 4, showing that the duty of children or grandchildren was part of a general law of Christian duty. It may include the duty of a Christian providing for his widow and children in case of his death (so Hofmann and Wohlenberg; cf. Judith 8:7 and the requirement of Jewish Law, that a husband should always so provide for his widow at the time of marriage, vid. Jewish Encyclopaedia, s.v. Ketubah), but it cannot be limited to that. There is an interesting analogy to this argument in Philo, de Decalogo, § 23, who argues that men who neglect their parents are worse than storks, who show εὔσεβεία (cf. supra) towards them and provide for them in their old age; and he concludes ἀμήχανον ὅ εὐσεβείσθαι τῶν ἀόρατον ὑπὸ τῶν εἰς τῶν ἐμφανεῖς καὶ ἔγγος ὦτας σωβώντων.

οἰκεῖων, probably a narrower circle than ὀδῷν (for μάλιστα cf. 4:10, Gal 6:10), his relations, and especially any who are still members of his household; but the two may refer to the same persons, those who are his own kin and most closely intimate with him (Expositor, Jan. 1922).

τὴν πίστιν ἄρεσι (cf. Tit 1:16), he has been untrue to the Christian faith, which requires honour for parents as part of the Christian duty.

ἁπτόστοι χεῖρων] (a) Because unbelievers perform the duty; cf. Eur. Fragm. 852 (Nauck):

δοσις δὲ τῷ φύσαντε μὴ τιμᾶν θέλει
μὴ μοι γένοιτο μήτε συνθύτης θεοῦς
μὴ εὖ θαλάσσῃ κοινόπλουν στέλλοι σκάφος.

(b) Because he has not only the law of nature but the law of Christ to guide him, cf. Gal 6:2.10. For similar appeal to heathen morality, cf. Ro 2:14, 1 Co 5:1, Phil 4:8; and for the same a fortiori argument, Jn 19:11.

9. κατάλεγένθω (ἀπ. λεγ. in N.T.) placed on a list of those who were pledged (cf. πίστιν, 12) to life-long widowhood.

ἐτῶν ἐξικονίσει when the desire for marriage 12 would have passed; the age fixed by Plato for men and women to become priests and priestesses in his ideal state (Laws, p. 759 D), and regarded by Orientals as the time for retiring from the world for quiet contemplation (Ramsay, Expositor, 1910, p. 439).
10. ἐνὸς ἄνδρὸς γυνή] cf. 3° note. The arguments for translating "married only once" are stronger in this case, because of the dislike of "nuptiae secundae" and the praise of the "univira" or "virginia" both in the Jewish (Judith 16:22, Lk 2:36) and in the heathen world (cf. Tert. ad Uxor. i. c. 6, De Monog. c. 17, and illustrations in Wetstein). Yet the permission to remarry points the other way; the writer would scarcely exclude from the official list a widow who on his advice had remarried and again become a widow. Hence "faithful to one man" remains possible. So Thd.-Mops. Thdt. τὸ σωφρόνος ἐν γάμῳ βιαῖν νομοθετεῖ: Ramsay and many modern Commentators.

ἐτεκνοτρόφησεν] "vel suos vel alienos" (Bengel); the context (ἐργ. καλ. μαρτυρ.) suggests something that goes beyond the duties of her own home; and Church widows later had the charge of orphans, Herm. Μανδ. viii. There may be implied— if she has not exposed her children, but brought them up (Hillard).


eι ... ἐπικολούθησεν] "If she has followed up thoroughly (ἐπί) every good work," summing up the preceding and expanding it to include all good tasks (ἐργα ἀγαθά), not merely those that stand out in the eyes of the world (ἐργα τις καλοῖς). Cf. Plato, Rep. 370 B, ἀνάγκη τὸν πράττοντα τῷ πράττομένῳ ἐπακολουθεῖν μὴ ἐν παρέργον μέρει (Wohlenberg), and illustrations from the papyri, ap. M.M. s.v. There may also be the new point— "if she has helped in the good works which others have begun" (Liddon), but this would probably have been more clearly expressed.

καταστρατισάσαι (ἀπαξ ἄγιος, but cf. στρατηγός, Rev 18:9; στράτηγος, Rev 18:3, 2 K 19:28 used of the Assyrian king, τὸ στράτηγὸν σου ἀνέβη ἐν τοῖς ὁσίοι μου), to grow physically restless and so restive against the limitations of Christian widowhood; Apost. Const. iii. i, προφάσατε τοῦ μὴ δύνασθαι κρατεῖν τῆς ἀκμῆς.

12. κρίμα] liable to severe judgment, i.e. primarily of men (cf. 14), though the thought of the divine judgment lies in the background, cf. 24; Apost. Const. iii. i, λόγον ὕψετε τῷ θεῷ.

τὴν πρώτην πίστιν (cf. Rev 2:4 τὴν ἀγάπην τὴν πρώτην, 2:5 τὰ πρώτα ἐργα), the original impulse of faith which led her to join the widows; or more exactly "the first troth" or "promise of allegiance" made when she joined, τὴν συνθήκην λέγει, Chrys. "primam fidem suscepsa viduitatis," Tert. de Monog. 13. ὅτι τὴν εἰσόδης ἐπαγγελίαν ὅπῃ ἐφύλαξε, Apost. Const. iii. i (cc. 1–3 are an expansion and interesting later comment on this section). Cf. Ps 14:4 ὁ ὄμιλος τοῦ πλησίον αὐτοῦ καὶ ὁ ἀκριβῶν.

13. ἄργαι μανθάνουσι] "they learn to be idle," an unusual
construction, but found in the technical phrase of learning a profession; cf. παλαιοτής μανθάνειν, ἵπτρος μανθάνειν, Chrys. vii. p. 699 A, ix. p. 259 B (Field, Otium Norvic. ad loc.). Hence it is unnecessary to suppose that εἰνάι has dropped out of the text (Blass, N. T. Gr. § 73), or to conjecture λανθάνωναι (Hitzig).

14. νεωτέρας] i.e. χήρας 11, "juvenculas vidaus," Tert. ubi sup.; though, perhaps, not limited to them (von Soden), cf. Tit 24, 5.

οἰκοδεσποτέων] "The application of the word to a wife implies the new and improved position which was secured to women by the Gospel" (Liddon), but οἰκοδεσποτα is found in Plutarch and other non-Christian writers.

τοῦ ἀντικειμένου] Any human opponent of the Gospel, anxious to use a scandal as a means of discrediting the Church (cf. 37 61, Tit 26, 8, 1 Co 16, 9); or perhaps "The Adversary," i.e. Satan, as in (Philo) Bibl. Ant. xlv. 6, the human adversary being thought of as his agent.

15. ἦδον] cf. οὖς ταχέως, Gal 16; ἢζητράπησαν, cf. 18, turned out of the true path (cf. the "Two Ways") from following the true leader (Mt 1624 εἰ τις θέλει ὁπίσω μοι ἀλεθεία) to follow false teachers (Acts 2030 τοῦ ἀποστάν τούς μαθητάς ὁπίσω αὐτῶν), nay, the great opponent himself, i.e. by second marriage after the promise of perpetual widowhood; or by such lives as those described in 18, cf. 2 Ti 36.

16. εἰ τις πιστῇ] The general principle (cf. 4 and 8) is reasserted and applied to women, who have just been thought of as managing households (14). Such a woman may have a widowed mother, or grandmother, or daughter, or even servant in her house.

17-25. Discipline over presbyters.

(a) Reward for faithful work, 17, 18, 25.
(b) Censure for faults, 19-22. 24.

Personal digression, 23.

Cf. Apost. Const. ii. 6 sqq. Apost. Canon 74, 75 for later expansion of these rules; and for the spirit in which the discipline was exercised, cf. Tert. Apol. 39, "judicatur magno cum pondere, ut apud certos de Dei conspectu, summumque futuri judicii praedictum est."

Paraphrase. Let such presbyters as have presided well be treated by the Church as deserving of yet greater honour and more, ample support, especially those who take pains with preaching and teaching, for Scripture lays down the definite command, "Thou shalt not muzzle an ox when treading out corn," and the Lord Himself has said, "The labourer is worthy
of his wages.” If an accusation is brought against any presbyter, refuse to listen to it unless it is supported by two or three witnesses. But those presbyters who are proved guilty rebuke before all, that the rest may fear to imitate them. When you act as judge, keep before yourself the thought of the last judgment, of God, of Jesus Christ, of the chosen angels; and carefully observe these rules, never making up your mind beforehand, never acting out of favouritism. If you have passed censure on any one, do not be over hasty in remitting the penalty; do not let your own good name be soiled by contact with the sins of others; keep your own life pure and untarnished. You have done so hitherto and have with that view abstained from wine, but I would advise you no longer to keep this rule; take wine sparingly, as your digestion is weak and you are so often ill. Such weakness may impair your judgment. In your decisions as judge you will need careful patience; in some cases, no doubt, the sins are patent to everybody and lead you by the hand to a decision, but in others they only appear after investigation. In the same way excellent actions are, as a rule, patent to everybody, and those that are not cannot in the end remain hidden.

17. πρεσβυτέροι] not of age, but of official position: apparently the same as the ἐπίσκοπος, cf. 3: (διδακτικόν) 3: (προϊστάμενον).

διπλῆς τιμῆς] in the widest sense “honour,” “respect” (3 note, 6:; cf. Didache, 4, τιμήσεις αὐτῶν ὡς κύριον: Apost. Const. ii. 28, τιμᾶν διὰ τῶν προεστῶτων κύριον τὸν θεόν); but such respect has to show itself through material support from the offerings of the faithful; cf. Apost. Ch. Order, 12, τιμήσεις αὐτῶν . . . ἐκ τοῦ τόνον τῶν χειρῶν σου: hence διπλῆς may be quite literal, twice the amount of firstfruits (cf. Didache, c. 13) that is given to others, perhaps especially twice that given to widows (3-16, cf. Apost. Const. ii. 28, ὅσον δ' ἐκάστη τῶν πρεσβυτέρων διδότα, διπλῶν διδόσθω τοῖς διακόνοις . . . τότε δὲ πρεσβυτέρως . . . διπλῆ καὶ αὐτοῖς ἀφοριζότω ἡ μαίρα . . . ἐκάστῳ δὲν ἀξίωματι οἱ λαῖκοι τὴν προσήκουσαν τιμήν νεμέτωσαν τοῖς δομασί καὶ τῇ κατὰ τὸν βίον ἐντροπῇ). Cf. Wetstein for illustrations of double pay given to soldiers who had done good service.

οἱ κοπίωνες] distinguishes those presbyters who teach from those who only preside; or, perhaps, those who take special pains from those who do not; cf. II 26.


ἄξιος κ.τ.λ.] Lk 10:7. The analogy of 1 Co 9:9-14 οὕτω καὶ δ' κύριος διέταξεν, makes it clear that this is quoted not as a well-known proverb, but as a saying of the Lord. As such it might be known to the writer orally, or possibly in the Lucan copy of Q (τοῦ μισθοῦ, Lk.; but τῆς τροφῆς, Mt.). In the latter case it
might be included under the introductory phrase ἡ γραφή, and would be the earliest instance of the Lord’s words being quoted as “Scripture.”

19. ἐπὶ δύο . . . μαρτύρων] The words were omitted in some MSS known to Jerome, but in no extant MS, and are necessary to the context. Perhaps “Do not let an accusation be brought before you in private, unless two or three witnesses are present with you to hear it” (Holtzmann, Wohlenberg, cf. Ἀρστ. Κανών 74, ἑπίσκοπον κατηγορήθέντα ἐπὶ τινὶ παρᾷ δεικτίστων ἀνθρώπων καλείθαι αὐτῶν ἀνάγκαιον), where the trial follows: but more probably it refers to the actual trial, a short phrase equivalent to ἐπὶ στόματος δύο κ.τ.λ., “unless two or three support the charge with their evidence”—the rule of Jewish law (Dt 19:16) taken up by our Lord (Mt 18:16), by St. Paul (2 Co 13), and later applied to a charge against an ἑπίσκοπος, Ἀρστ. Κανών 75, which limits the witnesses to orthodox Christians, εἰς μαρτυρίαν τὴν κατ’ ἑπίσκοπον αἵρεσιν μὴ προσδέχεσθαι, ἄλλα μὴ ἀπειτίω ἔνα μάνον.

20. τοὺς ἀμαρτάνοντας] perhaps “those who persist in sin” (present partic.), cf. Tit 3:11. The context limits this and τάντων and οἱ λοιποὶ to presbyters.

21. Cf. Π 41, 1 Th 3:18. The appeal is to the thought of those who will take part in the final judgment (Mt 25:31), with the double suggestion—(a) Judge, as one who has to represent on earth the Divine Judge in heaven, cf. Mt 18:18, and Tertullian, Ἀπολ. 39, quoted on p. 61. (b) Judge, as one who will have himself to be judged for his actions as judge.

τῶν ἐκλ. ἀγγ.] cf. Odes of Solomon, 4. 8, “the elect archangels.” Test. ΧΙΙ. Πατρ. Levi 19, μάρτυς ἐστὶ κύριος καὶ μάρτυρες οἱ ἀγγέλοι αὐτοῦ: 4 Esdr 16:8 “quomodo abscondetis peccata vestra coram Deo et angelis ejus.” They are “elect” in contrast to the fallen angels; but the main thought is “chosen to share in the judgment”; cf. Charles, Rev 14:10, and τῶν ἐκλεκτῶν ἐν Ῥώμῃ δικαστῶν, Ο[GIS. 499 (M.M. s.v.).

22. χείρας ἐπιτίθει] Either “ordain no one hastily”: the need of discipline over presbyters suggests the importance of great care at ordination to prevent subsequent troubles: he must be careful to keep his hands quite clean, to allow no suspicion of favouritism or of condoning evil, lest he be tarred with the brush of others’ sins. An interesting expansion of this will be found in Chrys. de Sacerd. iv. 374–78. This is supported by 3:10 and the use of χείρας ἐπιτίθει σα in the N.T. (so all the Greek commentators, von Soden), but it is not very appropriate to the context.

1 Wetstein quotes, to illustrate the thought, Isocrates, ad Demonic, § 38, εἰς ἄρχον κατασταθείς μὴθεν χρὴ ποιηρὶ πρὸς τὰς διακήσεις· δὲν γὰρ δὲν ἀκείνος ἀμάρτω, σοτ ἑς αὐτίς ἀναθήκουσιν. Ἑρ. Επ. i. 18. 77, “Qualem commendes etiam atque etiam aspice, ne vox Incitant aliena tibi peccata pudorem.”
Or, more probably, after you have passed judgment, do not be hasty in revoking it and receiving the offender back again into communion; cf. Jas 5:19, 2 Co 2:6-11. This was done later by laying on of hands; cf. Cyprian, Ep. 74. § 12, "hos enim oportet cum redunda acta paenitentia per manus impositionem solam recipi." Eusebius, H. E. vii. 2 (who speaks of it as a παλαιὸν ἔθος for receiving heretics into the Church); Ἀποστ. Const. ii. 18 (of any penitent) χειροθετήσας αὐτὸν ἵνα λοιπὸν εἴην εἰς τῷ ποιμνίῳ, τόδ. 41 and 43. This suits better the context, which is one of discipline, and also the following command against being implicated in the sins of others; cf. De Aleatoribus, § 1, "salutari doctrina admonere ... dum delinquentibus adsidue ignoscimus, ipsi cum eis pariter torqueamur" (so Hammond, Ellicott, Hort (Christian Ecclesia, p. 214), Chase (Confirmation in the Apostolic Age, p. 65), Holtzmann).

23. ἄγνω] of personal purity; cf. 4:12 59, with perhaps a wider reference, free from all contact with evil and the sins of others; cf. Ἀποστ. Const. ii. 17.

δόροτοτεῖν] here only in N.T., but Dan 1:12 (LXX); and in classical authors, cf. Harrison, p. 165. This suggests that Timothy had adopted the rule of entire abstinence from wine, whether for example's sake or from ascetic reasons; cf. Dan 1:12; Philo, de Vit. C., p. 477 of the Therapeutæ. Similar advice is given for the bishop in Test. D. N. cc. 22 and 31; also cf. Epict. iii. 21, and Hillard aptly quotes G. Herbert, A Priest to the Temple, c. x. "It may be added, not for emboldening the unruly but for the comfort of the weak, that not only sickness breaks the obligations of fasting, but also sickliness. For it is as unnatural to do anything that leads me to a sickness to which I am inclined, as not to get out of that sickness when I am in it, by any diet."

διὰ τὸν στόμαχον] cf. Libanius, Ἐπ. 1578, πάτωκε καὶ ἕμων ὁ στόμαχος ταῖς συνέχεισις ἐδροσοσίαις (Wetstein).

24, 25 return to the main subject, emphasizing the need of careful examination both for praise and for censure. προδηλαί, in the sight of all, cf. Heb 7:14; προὰγωοια, cf. i:18 note; Ἐπ. Barn. 4. 12, ήν ἧ ἀγαθός, ἢ δικαιονὶν αὐτὸν προηγήσεται αὐτὸν ἧν ἥ πον-ηρός, δ ὁμοθής τῆς πονηρίας ἐμπροσθεν αὐτοῦ. κρίνων, i.e. primarily Timothy's judgment, but the thought of the Divine judgment lies behind (cf. Tert. Ἀφοι. l.c. p. 61, and the Agraphon, ἀνδροπος καὶ τὸ ἑργόν αὐτοῦ: Resch, Ἀγράφα, pp. 133, 265, 293).

25. τὰ ἅλλα ἐχοντα] i.e. not προδηλα. They cannot in the end be hidden, and you will be able to honour them adequately. τὰ ἑργά τὰ καλά recalls καλὸς 17 but goes beyond that instance.

VI. 1, 2. The relation of slaves to their masters.
Paraphrase. This duty of proper respect holds good also
of the relation of slaves to their masters. Some slaves will have heathen masters who make their life a burden to them; yet teach them to show all respect to such, lest the name of God and our teaching should be brought into disrepute. Others will have Christian masters: let such not fail in due respect, on the pretext that Christianity treats them and their masters as brothers; nay, let them serve them all the better on the very ground that those who share the good service are Christians and so dear to themselves.


The treatment here points to an early date. No question is raised about using Church funds for emancipation (as in Ignatius), or of the relation of a slave who was to be baptized (Eg. C.O.; Hipp. Can.; Ap. Const.) or to be ordained (Can. Apost.) to his master. The writer has only to deal with the danger of Christian liberty and brotherhood being abused; cf. 2 Tim 2 note, Gal 3:28, 1 Co 11:2-16, and especially 1 P 2:11-18 (with Hort's notes). He meets it by laying stress on the respect due to all social positions (cf. 5:8, 1 P 2:17 πάντας τιμήσατε), and on the higher law of love which binds Christians; cf. Gal 5:13 διὰ τῆς ἀγάπης δουλεύεις ἀλλήλους. The treatment falls in with the growth in the best heathen thought of the duty of a better treatment of slaves by their masters; Seneca, Ep. 47, "unus omnium parens mundus est" (=ὅτι ἄδελφοί εἰσι). Epict. i. 13, οὐχ ἄνεξή τοῦ ἄδελφοῦ τοῦ σπανίως δὲ ἵχει τὸν Δία πρόγονον; cf. Dill. Roman Society from Nero, p. 117; Harnack, Expansion of Christianity, i. pp. 208-11 (Eng. tr.): and of the power of slaves to confer not only service and duty, but freewill benefits upon their masters, Seneca, De Benefic. iii. 18-22.

1. ὅπο ζυγόν] perhaps not applied here to all slaves, but only to such as being under heathen masters feel their slavery as a yoke: cf. 1 P 2:18; Apost. Const. iv. 12; Hippol. Can. 63, "si est heri idololatra servus."

[ὁνα μῆ τὸ ἄνομα κ.τ.λ.] from Is 52:6 (of the heathen), quoted by St. Paul, Ro 2:24. Notice the higher effect of such conduct in Tit 2:10 ἵνα τὴν διδασκαλίαν κοσμῶσιν.


δότι . . . ἀντιλαμβανόμενοι] The punctuation of these words and the exact reference of each word are uncertain, but the balance of the sentence seems to show that δότι πιστοί εἰσι takes
up πιστοὸς and is parallel to διὶ ἀδελφοὶ εἰσι, and therefore must refer to the masters; and this probably carries with it the rest of the sentence, “because the masters who receive the benefit of their better service are believers and beloved.” But W.-H. (mg.) punctuate ἀλλὰ μᾶλλον δουλεύωσαν, διὶ πιστοὶ εἰσι καὶ ἀγαπητοὶ, οἱ τῆς εὐεργεσίας ἀντιλ. (“but let the slaves, who take part in the benefit, serve all the better because the masters are believers and beloved”), and Wohlenberg punctuates ἀλλὰ μᾶλλον δουλεύωσαν διὶ πιστοὶ εἰσι, καὶ ἀγαπητοὶ οἱ τῆς εὐεργεσίας ἀντιλαμβανόμενοι (“let those who have believing masters not despise them because they themselves are in Christ brothers to their masters; but let them serve all the better because their masters are believers, and those who take part in conferring kindness (as they would do by serving better) are always beloved”); but this destroys the parallelism between διὶ ἀδελφοὶ εἰσι and διὶ πιστοὶ εἰσι.

ἄντιλαμβανόμενοι] taking part in. It might either be “taking part in conferring” or “taking part in receiving” (cf. Mart. Polyc. 15, εὐωδίας ἄντελαβόμεθα), and this suits the context best.

τῆς εὐεργεσίας] possibly “the divine εὐεργεσία,” “the unspeakable gift” of 2 Co 915 “those who share the blessing of redemption.” Cf. Clem. Alex. Ὀρατέρ. 111. 1, ἀνθίζει τὴν θείαν εὐεργεσίαν: 112. 1, δ ἐν οὐκακόλος δ πληρώσας τὰ πάντα . . . δημοιρία, σωτηρία, εὐεργεσία, νομοθεσία: Liturg. Jacobi ap. Brightman, L. E. and W., p. 41, Πηνάν Χριστὸν σωτῆρα καὶ λυτρώτην καὶ εὐεργέτην. Compare the frequent application of it in the Papyri to the εὐεργεσία of an Emperor to his people (M.M. s.v.); and for the ground of the appeal ἐπὶ 37 ἀπονέμοντες τίμιν ὡς καὶ συγκληρονόμοι χάριτος ζωῆς.

Perhaps more probably “the human kindness,” not of the masters (Chrys. Thdt. Pelagius, von Soden, Dibelius)—as this is scarcely implied in the context—but of the slaves as shown by their better service (Hofmann, Wohlenberg, Field, etc.). Seneca, in a noble passage, de Beneficiis, iii. 18–21, discusses the question whether a slave can confer a beneficium on a master, and decides that he can: “quidquid est quod servilis offici formulam excedit, quod non ex imperio sed ex voluntate præstatur, beneficium est.” The Christian writer assumes it without discussion. Yet even if this is the central meaning, the thought of the divine εὐεργεσία may lie in the background: cf. Ep. Diogn. x. 6, ὃς . . . ἐν ὦ κρέασιν ἐστίν ἐτερὸν τῶν ἐπιτυμμένων εὐεργετεῖν ἑθέλει. . . θεὸς γίνεται τῶν λαμβανόντων, οὗτος μιμητής ἐστι Θεοῦ.

ἀγαπητοὶ] they share their faith and have become beloved—no longer feared—by themselves: perhaps also with the suggestion “beloved of God.”
VI. 3.]

3—21. Conclusion. Final warning and exhortation, returning to the thought and often to the very words of 1:5-20; but there the stress was on the character of the teaching, here on the character of the teachers. Two contrasts underlie the whole: (a) The faithful and unfaithful teacher: the latter loving novelty and controversy, with his eye set on material gain; the former pursuing spiritual aims, loyal to the teaching he has received, with his eye set on the coming of the Lord and on the life eternal. (b) The true and false attitude to riches: the desire for wealth, the source of all evil and the ruin of teachers; the true use of wealth leading to a wealth of good deeds here and eternal life hereafter.

The "words of the Lord Jesus Christ" form the standard for the teaching, and His words about contentment and the danger of the desire of riches (Mt 6:24-34, Mk 10:23-26, Lk 12:15-21) may lie at the back of the second contrast, though there is not sufficient verbal similarity to prove a literary dependence.

3—10. Paraphrase. I go back to the warning with which I began. If any teacher sets himself up to teach novel doctrines and does not loyally adhere to sound words—I mean words that come from the Lord Jesus Christ Himself—and to the teaching which is true to real religion, such an one's head has been turned: he has no real knowledge: he is like a delirious patient feverishly excited over this small point and that, fighting with words as his only weapons; and the result is envy, strife, abuse of other teachers, ill-natured suspicions, incessant friction between men whose minds have been confused and who have been deprived of the truth they once knew; they have come to think of religion wholly as a source of gain. Aye, and religion is a source of true gain, it combined with a contented spirit: and we ought to be contented, for we can carry nothing with us when we leave the world, and that is why we brought nothing with us when we came into it. Nay, if we have food for our lifetime and a shelter and clothing, that will be enough for us. Whereas those who set their heart on becoming rich fall into temptations, into dangerous positions, into many desires which are foolish and worse than foolish, fatal, for they lead men to shipwreck and plunge them into death and destruction. For the love of money is proverbially the root from which the whole host of evils springs: and already some teachers through their craving for money have wandered from the safe path of the faith and have fallen pierced through with many a pang and many a sorrow.

3. ἐτεροδίδ.] 18 note. προσέφρεται applies himself to; cf. Epict. iv. 11. 24, προσεκλειθεῖν φιλοσοφεῖ (Dibelius); but the present tense implies constant application and approach to the words of a living and speaking master, and for one already a teacher some word denoting "abiding in" would be more natural. Hence Bentley
con.

\[\text{προσέχει}\] from \(\text{τι}^4\), and Tischendorf reads \[\text{προσέχεται}\]; cf. Introd. p. xxxvii. Was the original reading \[\text{προσέχει\ τοῖς}\?\]

\[\text{τοῖς\ τοῦ\ κυρίου}\] possibly the teaching about the Lord, cf. II \(\text{τ}^8\), but more probably “the teaching of the Lord.” There is possibly an allusion to some collection of His sayings, cf. 5\(^{18}\) note, Acts 20\(^{26}\).

\[\text{τετύφωται}\] \(3^6\) note. \(\text{νοσῶν}\) suggested by \(\text{συμαίνω, λόγοι:}\) he is not yet dead (\(5^6\)) but is in a dangerous state, on the way to death \(9\); cf. Plut. de Laud. propr. p. 546 f. \(\text{τοῖς\ περί\ δόξαν\ νοσοίω}\ (\text{Wetstein}),\) Chrys. de Sacerd. iv. 3, \(\text{ὅταν\ περί\ δόξα\ τοῖς\ η\ ψυχή\ τὰ\ νόθα}\). \(\text{ἐπιθέσεις}\), cf. \(1^4\) note. \(\text{λόγοιαχίας}\) (cf. II \(2^{14}\)) hair-splitting—fights in which words are the weapons and perhaps also the object; there is no reality behind them.

\[\text{ἐξ\ ἐν\ γίνεται}\] for the singular cf. \(\text{τ}^2^0\), II \(2^{18}\); Moulton, \(\text{Gr.}\) i. p. 58. For a similar formula cf. Didache, c. 3, \(\text{§§}\) 2. 3. 4. 5, \(\text{εκ\ γὰρ\ τούτων\ ἀπάντην\ γενοῦναι\ φῶνοι...\ μοιχείαι...\ εἰδωλολατρία...\ κλοπαί...\ βλασφημίαι},\) which suggests that we should here read \(\text{γενούναι}\) or \(\text{γενοῦναι}\) with \(\text{D\ d\ g\ m}\).

\(\text{βλασφημίαι}\) not here of God, but of their rival teachers. \(\text{ὑπὸν, πονηραί,}\) cf. Ecclus \(\text{§}^{24}\) \(\text{ὑπὸνοια\ πονηρὰ\ ἄλοιποι\ διανοίας\ αὐτῶν.}\)

\(\text{διαπαρατρησίας}\) (\“conflictiones,\) Vulg.) persistent collisions; cf. Polyb. ii. p. 172, \(\text{τὰ\ μὲν\ ὠν\ κατὰ\ Καρχηδονίους\ καὶ...}\ \text{φωμαλοὺς\ ἐν\ ὑποψίαις\ ἵνα\ πρὸς\ ἄλλους\ καὶ\ παρατρησίαις.}\)

\(\text{διεφθ. τῶν νοῶν}\) cf. II \(3^8\), Tit \(1^{16}\); \(\text{πορισμῶν,}\) cf. \(5^{17}.18\), II \(2^6\), Tit \(1^{11}\), and (Wetstein) Seneca, \(\text{Ep.}\) 108, “\text{qui philosophiam velut aliquod artificium venale didicerunt.}\” All the following truths can be illustrated almost verbally from classical writers (cf. Wetstein throughout), and they suggest a conscious modelling on the best Greek teaching.

\(\text{δυνατομελίας}\) “sufficientia,” Vulg.; “\text{quod sufficit,}\” Aug.; but the meaning is probably not, “if he has sufficient” (which is stated in \(8\)), but “if combined with contentment”; cf. Phil \(4^{11}\), Prov \(1^{31}\), \(\text{δὲ\ συνάγων\ έαυτῷ\ μὲς\ εὐσεβείας\ πληθυνθῆναι:}\ Ps. Sol \(1^{18.20}\), Pirke Aboth iv. 3, “\text{Who is rich? He that is contented with his lot.}\”

“The training of a Jewish Rabbi might be even more exacting. This is the path of the Torah. A morsel with salt shalt thou eat, thou shalt drink also water by measure, and shall sleep upon the ground and live a life of trouble while thou toil in the Torah. If thou doest this, happy shalt thou be, and it shall be well with thee: happy shalt thou be in this world and it shall be well with thee in the world to come.” Pirke Aboth vi. 4 (Abrahams, \text{Studies in Pharisaism and the Gospels, c. xiv.}).

\(\text{πορισμὸς\ μέγας}\) cf. \(4^8\): not only because it makes him happy with the little that he has; cf.

“\text{Contentment is a constant feast,}\”

“\text{He’s richest who requires the least}” (Barnes),
but because he is able to enjoy all God's gifts as gifts to himself; cf. Prov 17:6, "Toil shall never cease," and Tob 4:21, "but it had become almost proverbial; cf. also Ecclus 5:14; Seneca, Ep. 102, "nori licet plus efferre quam intuleris"; Ovid, Trist. v. 14, 12, "Nil feret ad manes divitis umbra suos" (Wetstein). The best comment on the verse will be found in T. Traherne's Meditations, Century I.


8. διατροφή the metaphor, cf. 11:9, and de Aleatoribus, § 1, "aleatores se in lacum mortis immergunt"; § 6, "aleae tabula est diaboli venabulum et delicti vulnus insanabile." The whole treatise is a comment on this verse.


9. βοθέουσα] for the metaphor, cf. 1:10, and de Aleatoribus, § 1, "aleatores se in lacum mortis immergunt"; § 6, "aleae tabula est diaboli venabulum et delicti vulnus insanabile." The whole treatise is a comment on this verse.

eἰς δὲ γαί καὶ ἀπολ.] cf. 1 Co 5:6, 2 Th 1:6, 1 Th 5:8. The combination (found here only) is emphatic, "loss for time and eternity."

10. ἡμέρα not "a root," which would suggest that the writer was thinking of other possible roots (which no doubt there are, e.g. jealousy, St. Cyprian, de zelo ac livore, 6; pride, Aug. in Joh. xxv. 16), but "the root" (cf. Field, Ot. Noriv. ad loc.).

ἡμέρα... ς πλαργυρία] again proverbial, cf. Test. XII. Patr., Judah, c. 19, and the Greek saying attributed sometimes to
Bion, sometimes to Democritus, ἔτινι φιλαργυρίαν ἐλείναι μητρόπολοιν πάντων τῶν κακῶν, Diog. Laert. vi. 50; Seneca, de Clem. ii. i, "alieni cupiditate, ex qua omne animi malum oritur." Ps.-Phocyl. 42, ἡ φιλοχρηστίαν μήτηρ κακότητος ἀπάσης (Wetstein and Dibelius). So Philo, De Judice, c. 3, warns a judge against being φιλοχρηστόν ἐπει διδήν ὅρμηηρόι τῶν μεγίστων παρανομή-μάτων. The combination of this with v. 7 in Polyc. ad Phil. c. 4 suggests literary dependence on the epistle.


11-16. Paraphrase. But you, who are God's own prophet with a message from Him, turn your back on all such desires and empty discussions: nay, press forward to gain true righteousness, true piety, loyalty, love, endurance, and a patient forbearing temper. Persevere in the noblest of all contests, that of the faith; lay hold once and for all on that eternal life to which you were called—ay, and there were many who witnessed the noble profession of faith that you then made. So then I charge you as in the sight of that God who is the source and sustainer of life to all that lives, and in the sight of Christ Jesus who Himself when at the bar of the Roman Governor made His noble profession, that you carefully keep the command He gave us free from all stain and all reproach, until the day of the appearing of Our Lord Jesus Christ, which at the right moment He will unveil to the world, who is the blessed, nay, the One only Sovereign, the King over all who rule kingdoms, the Lord of all who hold lordship over their fellows, He who alone hath in Himself immortality, who dwelleth in light to which none can approach, whom no eye of man ever looked upon, nor nor can look upon—to whom be all honour and sovereignty for ever. Amen.

Note the stress on life throughout the section. τῆς αἰωνίου ζωῆς... τοῦ ζωογόνου... ἄθανασίαν... κράτος αἰωνίου, drawing the contrast with the doom of the false teachers ὁλεθρον καὶ ἀπώλειαν 6.

11. ἀνθρωπε θεοῦ] here and II 3:17 only in N.T. In the O.T. applied to Moses (Ps 90:1, Dt 33:1) and to prophets (1 S 2:27), cf. 2 P 1:21 οἱ ἄγιοι θεοῦ ἀνθρωποί (v.l.). Here the thought is either that of the prophet with a command to carry out, cf. 14, a message to deliver (cf. 20), or more widely (cf. II 3:17 note) of one who is God's soldier, "The King's Champion" (Pilgrim's Progress, of one Great-Grace), one whose whole life is lifted above
worldly aims and devoted to God's service, "non divitiarum homo sed Dei" (Pelagius); cf. Clem. Alex. Quis Dives, c. 41, where the rich man is advised to submit to the guidance of some "man of God"; and Philo, de gigant. 61, θεοῦ δὲ ἀνθρωποί ιερεῖς καὶ προφῆται, οἵτινες οὐκ ἤξισαν πολιτείας τῆς παρὰ τοῦ κόσμου τυχεῖν . . . οὗ δὲ αἰσθητὸν πάν ὑπερκύψατες εἰς τὸν νοθὸν κόσμον μετανεύσθησαν κἀκεῖθεν ὀφθασαν (Dibelius). The phrase is found in Pagan magical formulæ (Nägeli, p. 49).

φεύγε . . . δίωκε] cf. II 222. The virtues chosen are the central Christian virtues, first towards God, then towards men (δικ. . . ἀγάπην), and those specially needed for enduring trial (ὑπομ.); and the opposition of false teachers (πραΰπαθὲν, cf. II 226, and contrast 4.5 supra).

πραΰπάθειαν] here only in N.T. but found in Philo, de Abr. § 37; Ign. Trall. 8, τὴν πραΰπάθειαν ἀναλαβόντες; the inner spirit of which πραΰπης is the outcome ("mansuetudinem," Vulg.; "tranquillitatem animi," Ambros.). Ambrosiaster draws out the incompatibility of the love of money with each of these virtues ("quomodo autem fieri potest ut avarus fidelis sit, qui operibus negat quod verbis fateri videtur? unde autem amator fraternitatis, cujus manus sunt avidæ? quomodo vero patiens qui semper ad aliena se tendit? aut quatenus quietem animi possit habere, qui die nocteque aviditate cupiditatis incenditur?"); Liddon, the way in which these would destroy that love.


ὁμολόγησα] The time is almost certainly the same as that of ἐκλήσῃς, i.e. baptism. That would have been his public confession (cf. Ro 109) of faith in Christ. The phrase ἡ κ. ὁμολογ. is applied to the confession of a martyr at his death in Martyr. Ign. Antiochene Acts, c. 4.

13. Cf. 521. Here the appeal is to God and Christ as those in whom he had professed faith at Baptism, who are strong enough to support him in all persecution, and who will judge him at the final judgment.

There may be a semi-quotation of some Baptismal form—faith in God, maker of all things, and in Jesus Christ, as King who is to come again.

ἔμοιοονύος] used in LXX = (i) to give life (I S 28 δ κύριος θανατώ καὶ ξωονείν, Symm. Gen 3.23 ζωονός, Symm. = Eve, mother of all living, Encyc. Bibl. i. p. 61); (ii) to save alive, Ex 11.22, Jg 8.19 etc. Hence the thought here may include (i) God who is the source of all life (cf. Neh 9.6 εὶς ἡμονεὸς τὰ πάντα), with a reminiscence of 4.4. In this meaning it will be parallel to the credal expansions of the Baptismal formula; cf. Justin M. Apol. i. 61, ἐπὶ ἄνωματος τοῦ πατρὸς τῶν ὀλον : Iren. c. Hær. i. 10, τὸν πατεροκότια τὸν σωματο καὶ τὴν γῆν καὶ πάντα τὰ ἐν αὐτοῖς : Tert.
THE PASTORAL EPISTLES  

[VI. 18–15.

dede Præscr. 36, "unum Deum novit, creatorem universitatis." In Pap. Lond. 121 it is used of the Sun, ó ὁλα σωσικοῦρ καὶ ἐγεργοῦν (M.M. s.v.). (ii) God who can protect you in all danger and persecution; cf. 12 and 15; τῆς ἀναστάσεως ὑπόμνησις, Chrys.

ἐκλ Π. Π.] not "in the time of," though that is supported by Ign. Trall. 9, Smyrn. 1, and expanded in Magn. 11 into ἐν καιρῷ τῆς ἡγεμόνιας Π. Π.: but there stress is laid on the historical reality of the facts, which is not in question here; here it is part of an appeal for courage, and corresponds to ἐνώτιον πολλῶν μαρτύρων of Timothy's own confession, hence "in the presence of," "at the bar of."

τὴν κ. ἀνελογίαν] The noble profession of His Messiahship and the nature of His Kingdom. τὴν κ. μαρτυρίαν would have been more natural, but he wishes "to mark the essential identity of the confession which Timothy might soon have to maintain with the Lord's own confession" (Hort on Rev 18) and with that which he had already made 12.

14. τὴν ἐντολὴν] "The charge given thee at baptism," cf. 2 Clem. 8, τιρήσατε τὴν σάρκα ἁγίαν καὶ τὴν σφαγίαδα ἄσπλαθον; perhaps also more widely "the whole Christian commands"; cf. 14 τῆς παραγελίας. St. Cyril of Jerusalem (Cat. v. 13) paraphrases it τὴν παραδοθεδότητην πίστιν.

ἀσπλαθοῦ] possibly agreeing with σε (cf. Jas 1, 2 P 3), but probably with ἐντολὴν; cf. Job 15 (Symm.) of the heavens, Eph 3 of the Church. The commands must be kept clear, not explained away, and yet presented with such tact as not to cause offence.

ἐπιφανείας] cf. Tit 2 note. The thought of the dawning of light which will test the minister's work and character is prominent here; cf. δείξει 16, 1 Cor 4.

15. καιρὸς ἰδίος] cf. Tit 18 note. This description of God is full of O.T. reminiscences and is perhaps based on some doxology in use in the synagogue. The stress is laid on the supremacy of God over earthly rulers (ἐνα μὴ δεδομένα ἐνταῦθα βασιλεία, Chrys.): on His sole possession of life 12, 18, and on His superhuman Majesty. These qualities were brought out in the O.T. in contrast to the heathen gods, here also in contrast to earthly kings, especially to the growing cult of the Roman Emperors. Dibelius quotes the Acts of the Scillitan Martyrs, "Iura per genium domini nostri imperatoris," "Cognosco domini meum, regem regum et imperatorem omnium gentium." The Greek metaphysical conception of God may also influence the description (cf. 11 note).

μακάριος] cf. 1 11; μόνος δυνάστης, cf. 17; 2 Mac 24 ὁ μόνος βασιλεῖς: 2 Mac 12 δ τὸν μέγαν τοῦ κόσμου δυνάστην, Ecclus 46 τὸν ὑπιστον δυνάστην.
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ο β. τῶν β. κ.τ.λ.] Dt 10

17., Dn 4

84., Rev 17

14. 19

16., Enoch 9

4.; cf. sup. 117 note. There is perhaps an implied contrast with Pontius Pilate, the temporary, the unjust, delegate; cf. Martyr. Polyc. 21 of Polycarp’s martyrdom, ἀνθυπατεύοντος Σταυροῦ Κορδάστου, βασιλεύοντος δὲ εἰς τοὺς αἰώνας Ἰησοῦ Χριστοῦ.

16. ὁ μόνος ἁθανασίαν] cf. 117; Philo, de sacrif. Abelis, c. 30, περὶ θεοῦ τοῦ ἄγενήτου καὶ ἄφθαρτου καὶ αἰτρέπτου καὶ ἁγίου καὶ

μόνον μακαρίου (Bernard); cf. Wisd 158 εἰδέναι σου τὸ κράτος ἡξα ἁθανασίας: Deissmann, B.S., p. 293.

φῶς οἰκῶν] based on Ex 33

17—23. ἀπρόσιτον, used by Philo of Mount Sinai, ὁ δὲ ὁ ἀπρόσιτον καὶ ἁβατον ἢ, ἐν ἐν τῷ Ἐλλήνων Μωσί, iii. 2.

ὑπερ ἐνδεικνύον] cf. Ex 33

20., Jn 1

18.

. . . ἀμών] cf. 117. The thought of the First and of the Second Advent alike suggests a doxology to his mind.

17—19. Advice to the rich.

Paraphrase. I have warned teachers against the desire for riches; but there are other members in your church rich in this world’s good, and they will need your guidance. Bid them not to be purse-proud or conceited, not to set their hopes for hereafter on so uncertain a reed as riches, but on God; and Him they should try to imitate; for He has all the riches of the whole world, and He gives them out liberally to us men that we may enjoy them thoroughly; so they should do good like Him; they should have for their riches a store of good deeds: they should be quick to give to others, ready to share with their friends: in this way they store up true treasures for themselves which form a firm foundation on which they can build for the future; such use of wealth will help them to lay hold of the only life that is worthy of the name.

The paragraph is awkwardly placed here, breaking the connexion between 16 and 20; von Soden suggests that it has been accidentally misplaced, and should come after 2; but it is natural advice to a church in a rich city like Ephesus (cf. Ac 19, which shows that St. Paul’s teaching had been attacked there, as endangering the wealth of the trade); the thought may have been suggested by 9, 10; and it is more appropriate after these verses than they would be after it. There may be also consciously a link with 11—16 in the thought of eternal life (cf. note there). That thought suggests to the writer’s mind the special danger in which the rich are of losing eternal life 19.

The thought and language may be based on Our Lord’s words, cf. Mt 6, Lk 12—21 16—9. But the thoughts of the uncertainty of riches, of the treasure laid up in heaven by good use of wealth here, even that of the imitation of God in the use
of wealth are thoroughly Jewish (cf. Philo, de Josepho, c. 43, and Abrahams, Studies in Pharisaism and the Gospels, c. xiv.), and found in pagan thought; cf. the epitaph in Or. Henz. 6042, bene fac, hoc tecum feres. So Dill, Roman Society from Nero, p. 190, “Seneca enforces the duty of universal kindness and helpfulness by the example of God, who is bounteous and merciful even to the evil-doer” (de Benef. iv. 5, iv. 26, iv. 28), and p. 232, “Herodes used to say that the true use of money was to succour the needs of others; riches which were guarded with a niggard hand were only dead wealth.” Clement of Alexandria’s Quis Dives Salvetur is an interesting commentary on the section (especially c. 16), but shows no knowledge of it.

17. μὴ ὑπηλοφοροῦνειν] cf. Jer 9:28, Ro 11:20 12:16, Ia 19-11 21-5; Clem. Alex. Quis Dives, 1, τῆς περιουσίας καθ’ αὐτὴν ἰκανῆς οὐσίας χαυνώσαι τὰς ψυχὰς τῶν κεκτημένων. As τατελοφοροῦνειν was among the Greeks a term of reproach but in the Bible a virtue, so ὑπηλοφοροῦνειν was a term of praise and becomes a reproach (Wohlenberg from Hofmann).

ἡπικεκαί] cf. 1 Co 15:19 ἡπικότες ἐσμὲν: Job 31:24 εἰ λίθῳ πολυτελεῖ ἐπεοίδησα. The perfect tense either looks back to the beginning of the rich man’s hopes, or possibly anticipates his feelings at the παρουσία: “Alas, alas, I have placed my hopes on that which has failed me!” cf. II 4:3 ἡγαπηκόσι.

ἀδηλότητι] Cf. Jas 1:10, Anthol. Gr. i. 80. 19:

ὦταν λογισμῶς καταμάθω τὰ πράγματα καὶ τὰς ἀκαίρους τοῦ βιοῦ μεταστροφὰς καὶ ἰδέην ἀπιστον τῆς ἀνωμάλου τυχῆς, τῶς τοὺς πέντες πλουσίων ἐφαρμάτει καὶ τοὺς ἔχοντας χρημάτων ἀποστερεῖ, τότε καὶ ἐκαντον τῇ πλάνῃ σκοτουμένος μισῶ τὰ πάντα τῆς ἀδηλίας χάριν. (Wetstein.)

eis ἀπόλαυσιν] stronger than eis μετάληψιν, 4:8. There is a true “apolaustic” life, but it comes from realizing that the simple blessings of nature (τῶν ἀέρα, τὸ φῶς, τὸ ὕδωρ, τὰ ἄλλα πάντα, Chrys.) are gifts to each from God; cf. Traherne’s Meditations, and Didache x. τροφήν τε καὶ ποτῶν έδόκας τοῖς ἀνθρώποις εἰς ἀπόλαυσιν.

18. ἀγαθοεργεῖν] like God Himself, Acts 14:17 ἀγαθουργῶν ... οὐτοὺς διδοὺς καὶ καρποὺς καρποφόρους.

εὑμεταδότους, κοινωνικούς] The distinction is not clear; either, quick to give away to others in charity (singulatim, Bengel), cf. Ro 12:8, Eph 4:28, 1 Co 13:3, and ready to share with one’s friends that which is one’s own (cum multis, Bengel), e.g. at the ἀγάπη, cf. Gal 6:16, Heb 13:16; or, εὑμεταδ., of action, “open-handed,” cf. εὖμ. εἰς τὴν ἀδελφότητα, Ἄρστ. Κ.Ο. § 19; κοινωνικούς, of
demeanour and temper, "gracious," with true sense of human fellowship, the antithesis of υψηλοφρονεῖς, cf. Ro 12:16; so Chrys. προσημεῖς, Thdt. τοὺς ἄτιμον ἠθος ἔχοντας, and so frequently in Plutarch, who couples it with πολιτικὸς and φιλάνθρωπος. For the Church's use of money, cf. Harnack, Expansion of Christianity, Eng. tr. i. ii. c. 3.


10. ἡ ἔπλασι.] cf. 12. This true life would be laid hold of here and now, as they enter into the true life of love, cf. Jn 17:25, ὁ δὲ ὄντως ζωῆς, cf. 5:23; Clem. Alex. Quis Dives, 7, θεοῦ τοῦ ὄντως ὄντος. 8, τῷ ξηρομένῳ τῷ ὄντως ζωῆν: Philo, de Decal. 2, τὸν ὄντα ὄντως ἀλήθη θεόν.

An interesting Rabbinic illustration is found in Bab. Bath. 11a. It happened to Monobaz that he dispersed his wealth and the wealth of his fathers on alms in time of famine. His brethren gathered round him and said, "Thy fathers laid up treasure and added to their fathers' store, and dost thou waste it all?" He answered, 'My fathers laid up treasure below; I have laid it up above. . . . My fathers laid up treasure of Mammon; I have laid up treasure of souls. . . . My fathers laid up treasure for this world; I have laid up treasure for the world to come."


Paraphrase. O Timothy, it is to you that I must look. Remember the truth is a sacred trust which Christ has left with us, and He will come to ask it back. Keep it then jealously; avoid all empty argumentations, all balancing of casuistical problems: they have nothing to do with religion, they add nothing to it, they spoil its simplicity, though some who falsely claim to special knowledge lay stress on them. These teachers, though they assert their proficiency in knowledge, have wholly missed the central truths.

May God's grace be with you all.

20. ὁ Τιμ. αἰ. cf. 11 18 notes. τὴν παραθήκην; cf. II 113 note; and for this application, Didache 4. 13, φιλάξεις ἀ παρελάξεις: Dem. c. Meid. p. 572, τοῦτο γὰρ ἢσθ' ὅ φιλάξειν ψυχὰς δεῖ, τοὺς νόμους, τὸν ὄρκον. ταῦτ᾽ ἔξο δ ῥείεις οἱ δικαίωτες ὡστερεῖ παρακαταθήκην ἣν ἀπαισιν . . . σών ὑπάρχειν δεῖ: Philo, de edriet. § 52,
This last passage makes it probable that the meaning is not "turning your back on those who so talk," but "refusing to adopt their methods."

\[\text{\textit{κεφαλαία}}\] cf. 47; \textit{κεφαλαία}. II 216 only; cf. \textit{ματαιολογίαν}, 18; \textit{λογομαχίας}, 64 note; \textit{τούς κεφαλαίαν}, Is 819.

\[\text{\textit{άντιθέσεις}}\] parallel to \textit{κεφαλαία} and under the construction of \textit{τάς βεβηλώσ}; hence not (i) oppositions, controversies, "turn aside from opponents and do not argue with them;" cf. II 226 \textit{τούς ἀντιδιαθεμένους:} \textit{συμφατητικά}, 10 εἴ τι \textit{άντικεύεται:} 514 \textit{τό ἀντικείμενον:} Job 329 \textit{όθεν ἰδινθήσαν \textit{ἀποκριθήναι \textit{άντιθέτα Ιωβ}} (so Chrys., Holtzmann, von Soden);} but (ii) rival theses (= \textit{θειόν ἀντί \textit{θεούς}}, \textit{συμφατητικά}, 10 εἴ τι \textit{ἀντικεύεται:}) sets of antitheses (cf. Lucian, Mort. D. x. 373, \textit{ἀποθεοῦ τῶν ἀριθμῶν} τῇ τουσαντιν ἀπεραντολογίαν καὶ \textit{αντιθέσεις καὶ παρασώσεις . . . καὶ τὰ ἄλλα βάρη τῶν λόγων} (Harrison, P.E. p. 165)); either the Gnostic contrasts between the O.T. and the New, which found their fullest expression in Marcion's "\textit{Antitheses}," cf. Tert. adv. M. i. 19, iv. 1, "opus ex contrarietatum oppositionibus Antitheses cognominatum et ad separationem legis et evangelii coactum;" but this is not consistent with the stress on the Jewish law implied in 16-10: or, more probably, "the endless contrasts of decisions, founded on endless distinctions, which played so large a part in the casuistry of the scribes as interpreters of the law" (Hort, \textit{Judaistic Christianity}, p. 140). It is identical with "the tradition of the elders" which the Lord denounced, and of which St. Paul had been zealous before his conversion (Mk 7, Gal 114), afterwards embodied in the Halacha; cf. 47, II 36 note.

\[\text{Ης ψ. γνώσεως (contrast γνώσιν ἀπεισόθη, Wisd 717).} \text{The opponents must have claimed a special knowledge, but this might apply to the early stages of Gnosticism; cf. i Co 838 εἴ τις δοκεὶ ἐγνωκέναι τι, ὅπως ἐγνώρηκες δεῖ γνώναι: or to the Rabbinical pride in knowledge, Lk 1152, Ro 229.} \text{21. ἐπαγγελλόμενοι} \] cf. 210: \textit{ἡπάχωσαν}, 16.

\[\text{Η χάρις μεθ' ὑμῶν} \] as in II and Tit the blessing is for the whole Church; but there is considerable MSS support for \textit{μετὰ σοῦ:} cf. Introd. p. xxxvii.
2 TIMOTHY

Historical situation.—(i) St. Paul.—St. Paul is a prisoner in Rome (1:8, 18°) and has been so for some length of time, during which he has received a visit from an Ephesian Christian, Onesiphorus, who had found him out, though apparently with difficulty, and had cheered him with frequent visits (1:16). The charge laid against him is not stated: it may have been of being a Christian (2:10, cf. 1 P 4:16), perhaps that of some offence against the State (2:9 ὑς κακοῖργος, cf. 1 P 4:15 κακοποιός). The end of the trial is in sight: so he writes to his beloved son Timothy, to bid him farewell, to exhort him to be ready to share suffering for Christ's sake, and to impress upon him the duty of choosing faithful ministers to whom to hand on the true teaching, and to lay stress upon the true characteristics of such teaching. This is all that we can say, if 4:9-21 is to be separated from the Epistle as embodying fragments of letters of an earlier date (cf. p. xxxii). If, however, we can assume the integrity of the Epistle, the further object is to request Timothy to join him speedily in Rome and share his sufferings there (4:9-21, cf. 1:8, 23). There is no certain indication of the place to which the letter was sent, but 1:18 makes Ephesus probable.

(ii) The Church at Ephesus.—Very little light is thrown on the circumstances of the Church at Ephesus. Timothy is in charge of it, as the Apostle's delegate, and is expected to remain there, so that the Epistle seems to point to the position of a permanent rather than that of a temporary delegate: he has to do the work of an "Evangelist," and it is described by the indefinite title of "ministry" (4:5). He has had the Apostle's hands laid upon him (1:6), apparently for this special task: his duty is to keep the deposit of truth, to hand it on to others, to control their teaching, to exercise discipline over the members (4:2). No mention is made of other grades of ministers or of the details of the services. But there are false teachers, tickling the ears with novelties, appealing specially to women, corrupted in mind, disloyal to the faith; their teaching tends to a low standard
of morality and is likely to spread. Of its nature there are three hints: (i) they deal with well-known fables (τοῖς μῦθοις, ἀρ.) i.e. probably stories from the Jewish Haggada (cf. Introd. p. xvii). (ii) Some of them are called γόργες, i.e., probably, dealing with magical charms, like Simon Magus and Elymas and the sons of Sceva a Jew at Ephesus (Acts 19): so this, too, may spring from Jewish influences, and they are compared with the Egyptian magicians who opposed Moses. (iii) Two of them assert that the Resurrection is past, probably influenced by doubts about the Resurrection of the body, and misrepresenting St. Paul's teaching (Rom. 6) as meaning only a resurrection to spiritual life in this world. This is the tenet most akin to later Gnosticism (vid. notes ad loc.), but it might also be suggested by Sadducean teaching. There is then nothing to separate them from the teachers referred to in 1 Ti and Tit.

Date.—If we assume the integrity of the whole, Paul has lately been travelling through Asia Minor and Greece with a band of fellow-travellers, including Demas, Crescens, Titus, Luke, Tychicus, Erastus, Trophimus; but all have now gone different ways except Luke, who alone is with him: he has once been put on his trial and has made his defence: he has been left alone without any human aid, but the Lord has protected him. If we further assume the completeness of the Acts as a record of St. Paul's travels at this time, it seems impossible to fit in all these allusions with the data there: it becomes necessary to assume that St. Paul was released from the imprisonment of Acts 28 (cf. Introd. p. xxx), that he travelled freely in the East after it, was arrested again and is now suffering a second imprisonment which ended in his death, probably in A.D. 64. If, on the other hand, 4:9-21 are earlier notes, all the data in them must be put aside; and the letter might have been written at the end of the imprisonment of Acts 28, not long after the Third Group of Letters; cf. Introd. p. xxi ff.

Spiritual value.—The importance of the Epistle is not great doctrinally or ecclesiastically: doctrinally, indeed, it seems to give justification for prayer for the dead (Rom. 15 note); and it gives the fullest statement in the N.T. of the inspiration of the O.T. and of its primary value to a Christian teacher: ecclesiastically it shows the value attached to the imposition of the Apostle's hands and to a succession of carefully chosen ministers as a means of securing the tradition of sound teaching. But its main interest is that of character, and two portraits may be traced in it.

(i) The portrait of the ideal Christian minister. He is, like His master, to reproduce the features of Isaiah's ideal of "the suffering servant": he is to be patient, gentle, hopeful, interceding for his opponents (2:24); he is to be like a soldier, un-
entangled with civil duties (2\(^8\)); like an athlete, obeying loyally the rules of the contest (2\(^8\)); like a husbandman, toiling hard and earning his reward (2\(^9\)); like a tradesman, skilfully cutting out his goods (2\(^{15}\)\(^?)\); like a fisherman, trying to catch back those who have been caught by the devil (2\(^{26}\)\(^?)\). He needs long-suffering, yet persistence in pressing his message in season and out of season (4\(^9\)), sobriety of tone (4\(^5\)), courage to face suffering (1\(^8\) 2\(^8\) 4\(^5\)); he has to aim at the great central virtues, to keep in touch with all sincere Christians (2\(^{22}\)), so as to become a vessel which his Master will always find ready to His hand (2\(^{21}\)); he has to rekindle again and again, "to keep at white heat," the grace given by ordination, remembering that it was the gift of love, of strength, of self-discipline (1\(^6\)); he has to rely upon the Holy Spirit that dwells in him (1\(^{14}\)). In teaching he has to avoid idle speculations and restless innovations, to be loyal to the truth, and to take for guidance: (a) the example of the Apostle's life (3\(^{10}\)); (b) the outline of the Apostle's teaching (1\(^{18}\)); (c) the O.T. Scriptures, which are not only able to make men wise unto salvation, but are also a guide for the discipline of others (3\(^{16}\) 17). His aim is to make each person a man of God thoroughly equipped for every good work (3\(^{17}\)).

(ii) The portrait of the Christian Teacher face to face with death, with his work finished. It is, "Testamentum Pauli et cygneae cantio" (Bengel), and should be compared with the farewell words of Moses (Dt 31\(^1\) -8), of Joshua (c. 23), of David (1 K 21\(^6\)\(^?), of Our Lord Himself (esp. John 13 -16), with 2 Peter, and with St. Paul's own farewell to the elders of Ephesus (Acts 20). He is ready to endure what suffering still remains (2\(^{10}\)); but his thoughts turn back to the past or forward to the future. He looks back to the religion which his ancestors had taught and he himself had learnt from childhood (1\(^8\)), to the commission he had received to preach the Gospel (1\(^{11}\)), to all his sufferings in the past, to God's protection of him through them all (3\(^{11}\)), to the fight which he has fought; he is grateful for the kindness of friends, invoking God's blessing upon them (1\(^{16}\)), for the loyalty of his loved son (3\(^{10}\)), sensitive to the failure of others to support him, but leaving their punishment to God (1\(^{16}\), cf. 4\(^{16}\)). But his eyes are mainly on the future: he foresees difficult days (2\(^{17}\) 3\(^1\)), he tries to prepare his successor to face them: he is prepared to depart himself ("de prospectu ejus exultans scribit,” Tertullian, Scorp. 13): he has deposited his all in God's care, and hands on the truth as a deposit to his successor (1\(^{12}\) 14): his thoughts are full of "that great day" (ἐκείνη ἡ ἡμέρα three times here, elsewhere only once in St. Paul): his eyes are turned to the light (cf. 1\(^{16}\)), to the bright shining of the Lord's coming: he looks forward with confidence to a crown of righteous-
ness, and to a life beyond death: his faithful saying is a hymn about life through death with Christ (2:11, cf. 1:10): he is to the end that for which the will of God had chosen him, an Apostle κατ' επαγγελίαν ζωής (1:1). It is the letter of a good shepherd who is laying down his life for the sheep (2:10 διὰ τούτο εὐλεκτούσα) to one whom he is training to be in his turn a good shepherd and to lay down his life for the Gospel's sake, inspired by the thought of "the Good Shepherd" who had laid down His life and had risen from the grave (2:8), to be the strength of all who should suffer for His sake.1

Analysis.—The subject-matter oscillates between the thought of St. Paul's own position, with which it begins (c. 1) and ends (c. 4), and that of Timothy which occupies the central part (cc. 2, 3); but the two are not kept separate and often interlace.


8-18. St. Paul's feelings and position:

5. Thanksgiving for Timothy's past affection and desire to see him again.

6-18. Appeal to Timothy:

(i) To stir up the gift given him by the laying on of St. Paul's hands (6-7).

(ii) Not to let St. Paul's imprisonment dishearten him, but to be ready to face suffering himself, remembering Christ's conquest of death, and St. Paul's own sufferings and unswerving faith in God's readiness to keep all that he has entrusted to His care (8-12).

(iii) To hold fast the truth that St. Paul has taught him (13-14). These appeals enforced by two recent experiences of St. Paul's: as a warning—his desertion by all in Asia (16): as encouragement—the boldness and kindness of Onesiphorus at Rome (16-18).


In relation to himself:

To be strong—

(i) To hand on his teaching to others (1-2).

(ii) To be ready to face suffering and endure toil, like a good soldier, a good athlete, a good husbandman (3-7); constantly to bear in mind—

(a) The Risen Christ, who has enabled Paul to endure suffering and imprisonment for the sake of the elect (8-10);

(b) The faithful saying—with its encourage-

1 Adapted with some alterations from my own article in H.D.B.
ment to all who share Christ's death and
warning to all who deny Him (11-13).

In relation to the teachers to whom he hands on the
deposit:

To warn them against empty wranglings (14): to
be himself a true worker avoiding such dis-
cussions which will only lead to impiety and
harm, as is seen already in the teaching of
Hymenæus and Philetus (15-18): to remember
the true foundation—God's own knowledge of
His own, and their abstaining from iniquity
(19). To keep himself pure, to avoid youthful
impulses, to aim at the central virtues (20-28):
to avoid foolish discussions and contentions;
to be a true servant of the Lord, gentle, skilful
in teaching, hopeful for his opponents (23-26).

Times are hard: there are many, and there
will be more, whose whole standard is based
on selfishness and pleasure (31-5). There will
be silly teachers who will oppose the truth, as
Jannes and Jambres did Moses. Timothy
must avoid all such, and their folly will soon
be exposed (1-9 18). Timothy has been loyal
to him in the past and shared all his sufferings,
and must not expect to escape persecution him-
self (10-12). Let him be loyal to the teachers who
taught him in his youth, and hold fast to the
Scriptures which can make him wise and able
to do his work as a teacher (14-17). He must
preach boldly, persistently, however unwilling
people are to listen to the truth (4-4): must
be sober, ready to suffer, carrying His ministry
out to the full (5).

C. St. Paul's own position.

All this is necessary, because St. Paul's own end is
approaching: he has done his work: he can look forward
in confidence to the award of the righteous Judge (6-8).

9-18 Appeal to Timothy to come speedily: Details
about his companions and his own recent
experiences.

19-21 Special greetings to and from individuals: further
details about his companions: more pressing
appeal to Timothy to come to him.

22 Salutation to Timothy and to those with him.

With the exception of the Final Salutation (μετ’ ὑμῶν)—which
may possibly have been added when the Epistle was made canonical—the whole is strictly personal, and the note in 27 emphasizes the personal, almost esoteric, character of the advice given. There is scarcely any section which could have been intended to be read publicly when the Church met.

i. 1, 2. Address and Greeting.—Paul to Timothy, his well­loved son, these: Paul writing with authority as one who has received his commission from Christ Jesus, through no choice of his own but by the will of God, who chose him because He had promised life to the world, the life which was realized in Christ Jesus, and who needed men to tell of that promise. I pray God the Father and Christ Jesus Our Lord to give you grace for your work, help in your difficulties, peace in your heart.

As in I, the address is partly official and authoritative, as he wants to strengthen Timothy’s authority (καὶ ἐπαγγ., ζωῆς, as contrasted with καὶ ἐπιταγῆς θεοῦ, ἀγαπητῷ with γνήσιον τέκνω). διὰ τὴλ. θεοῦ] so 1 Co 1, 2 Co 1, Col 1, Eph 1; cf. Gal 1, 20; it gives the standard by which God chose him and to which his Apostleship must be true; cf. 10, 11 εἰς ὃ ἐτέθη . . . ἀπόστολος. It is expanded in Tit 1 ἐπὶ ἐπίτιμος ζωῆς αἰωνίου ἦν ἐπηγιελάτο ὁ ἄνευδος θεὸς πρὸ χρόνων αἰωνίων. It is naturally emphasized by a writer who is face to face with death and is going to exhort Timothy to face it too (21-18); but the thought is not only of life beyond the grave, but of a life which begins here and persists through death; cf. 10 and I 4, 8, 9 ἀγαπητῷ] cf. 1 Co 4, Phil 20-22. The latter passage, combined with 15 411, 16 infra, perhaps suggests that the thought is not only “loved,” but loved as an only son is loved; the only son on whom I can rely, Hom. Od. 2, 365, μοῦνος ἡν ἀγαπητός.

2. Cf. I 1, 2 notes.

3–ii. 18. Thanksgiving to God for Timothy’s past life, and appeal for renewed efforts, for courage to face danger, and for loyal adherence to the apostolic teaching.

3–5. Thanksgiving—called out by (a) the writer’s own feelings and memory (5–4), and (b) by some recent reminder of Timothy’s faith (5).

Paraphrase. My first word must be to thank God—that God whom my forefathers worshipped and whom I worship with a pure conscience—a thanksgiving which springs up in my heart whenever I make mention of you, as I never fail to do night and morning in my prayers; for I have a yearning to see you once more, as I remember the tears you shed at our parting: if you
could only come, my happiness would be complete. And now I have a special ground of thankfulness in the recent reminder of the sincerity of your faith—a faith which you too have inherited, for it dwelt first in your grandmother Lois and in your mother Eunice, aye, and I have had many and many a proof that it dwells equally in you.

This section has striking verbal resemblance with Ro 18-12 (cf. also 1 Th 1.2-3 and 3.6); but there is no reason to suspect deliberate imitation by a writer copying St. Paul (so Holtzmann), as the thought is common in literary correspondence of the time; cf. J. A. Robinson on Eph., Additional Note "On some current Epistolary Phrases."


ἐν καθαρᾷ συνείδ.] cf. I 8. This was true of him even while a Jew; cf. Acts 23.1. The sense of the real continuity of the Christian with the Jewish faith is constant in St. Paul; cf. Gal 3 passim, 6.16, Eph 1.11, Ro 1.13-24.

As in I 8 the construction is not clear: for what does he thank God? probably for Timothy's life and loyalty. ὅσο... ἔχω is almost equivalent to "when," "as often as," but adds the thought of the correspondence of the thankfulness with the thought of Timothy, χάριν ἔχω ὅσο... ἔχω μνεῖαι: to think of thee is to thank God for thee; to think more is to thank more; to think every day is to thank every day.

νυκτὸς καὶ ἡμέρας] either with prec. "in my evening and morning prayers," cf. I 5.5; or with seq. "all night and day longing to see you," cf. 1 Th 5.10. The balance of the sentence supports the latter construction.

4. τῶν δικρῶν] cf. Acts 20.37, though this can scarcely be an allusion to that scene. "Lacrimae flos cordis" (Bengel).

πληρωθεί] perhaps to be joined closely with following: "that I may be filled with joy by the receipt of the reminder which your coming would give" (so R.V. margin, W.-H.); or ὅποιμν. λαβὼν is loosely constructed with χάριν ἔχω. "I thank God on the recent receipt of a reminder of your faith." This implies that he had lately heard news about Timothy, cf. Col 14, or perhaps had received an affectionate letter from him.

5. ὅποιμην] properly of an external reminder, cf. 2 Pet 1.18-3.1 and ὅποιμίσασθε, 2.14; but a comparison of Mk 14.72 ἀνεμφύσηθα τὸ Πέτρος τῷ ῥήματι ὑπεμνήσθη, and Lk 22.61 ὑπεμνήσθη τὸ Πέτρος του ῥήματος makes it doubtful whether the difference can be pressed in Hellenistic Greek; cf. Clem. Hom. i. 1, συνή γάρ μοι λογισμός... περὶ θανάτου πυκνᾶς ποιούµενος ὅποιμήσεις: Marc. Aurel. vii. 27, x. 34.
Τίτας (not ἤ) gives partly the reason for ἀνωποκρίτου π., sincere, for it was inherited as well as personal. Timothy, like the writer (?), has a family religion behind him; cf. the appeal of Virtue to the young Heracles, ἐγὼ ἦκὼ πρός σε εἰδώλια τοὺς γεννήσαντάς σε καὶ τὴν φύσιν τὴν σήν ἐν τῇ παῖδεια καταμαθοῦσα, Xen. Mem. ii. 1; cf. I 28-15 note, p. 31. This does not necessarily imply that Lois and Eunice had become Christians, though it is probable. The language might have been used by St. Paul of religious Jewesses who had trained the young Timothy in the Jewish expectations of a Messiah, cf. 315.

πέπεισαί cf. 13, Ro 814 1514. ἐνώκησε “implies steady and persistent faith,” Hillard. It was always at home in their hearts; cf. 14.

6–ii. 13. Appeal to Timothy for greater effort, for courage to face danger and difficulty, and for loyalty to the Apostle’s doctrine. The appeal is based upon the reality of God’s power to strengthen him (7–10), the example of the Apostle (11–12, 29, 10), and of Onesiphorus (15–18), the memory of the Risen Christ (28), and the sense that the doctrine is a sacred trust (13, 14, 21). The key-notes of the section are δύναμις (7, 8, δυνάτος, 12, ἐνδυναμοῦ 21), ἐπαυσχίνεσθαι (8, 12, 16), παραβήσθη (12, 14, 2), συγκοσμαθεῖν (8, 23, 9), πίστις (loyalty to a loyal Master, 15, 12, 18, 21.11, 13). There are many points of kinship in phrase and thought with the earlier letters, cf. Ro 116 815, 1 Co 1555, Eph 25–9, but none suggest conscious adaptation. The writer is perhaps feeling his way towards the request that Timothy will come to him at once to Rome. For that he will need courage, and he must leave faithful men in charge of his work at Ephesus.

6–14. Paraphrase. Feeling this confidence, I write to remind you to stir into full life that gift of God which is within you, which was given by the laying of my hands upon your head. For the gift which God gave us was no spirit of cowardice, but a spirit of strength combined with a spirit of love for others and of self-discipline. So then, as you have that spirit, do not be ashamed of the witness which we have to bear about Our Lord, do not be ashamed of me because the preaching of Him has led me to imprisonment; nay, be ready to share my sufferings in the cause of the Gospel: you have not to rely on your own strength, but on the strength of God Himself—of the very God who saved us and called us into His kingdom by a holy call to holiness, and that not in virtue of our own efforts, but in virtue of a purpose entirely His own, of a gift freely given—given indeed to us as embodied in Christ Jesus before time began, though only shown in these latter days by the bright light which radiated from the appearance of our Saviour Christ Jesus on earth, when He
destroyed the power of the dread tyrant death and brought to
clear view the full meaning of life, aye of immortal life, through
the good tidings which I was appointed to proclaim, to carry
with authority throughout the world and to teach its truths. It is
because I have done this that I am a prisoner now, that I endure
these fetters; but I am not ashamed of them, for I know Him
whom I have trusted, and I feel confident that He has strength
to guard safely all that I have entrusted to His keeping till that
great day to which we Christians look forward. Take then as
your pattern of sound doctrine the pattern of the doctrine which
I taught you, hold it firmly in a spirit of faith and of that true
love which is only found in union with Christ Jesus. It is a
trust put into our hands for safe keeping; it is the most precious
of all trusts; guard it then with the help of the Holy Spirit who
dwells in our hearts.

6. δι' ἑαυτὰς] cf. 12, Tit 1:18 note. ἀναζωοποιεῖν ("resuscites,"
Vulg.; "recrees," Ambros.), properly "to stir up smouldering
embers into a living flame," "to keep at white heat" (Parry)
("O joy that in our embers Is something that doth live"); there
may be a conscious reference to the thought of the Spirit as fire,
cf. Acts 2:8, Mt 25:8, 1 Th 5:19; cf. Seneca, Ep. 94, "Honestarum
rerum semina animi nostri gerunt quae admonitione excitantur:
non aliter quam scintilla flatu levi adjuta ignem suum explicat"
(Wetstein); but the use in the LXX (2 K 8:25 to bring to life a
dead child, Gen 45:27, 1 Mac 13:7 "to revive" (intrans.)), makes
it very doubtful whether the metaphor was consciously present
in Hellenistic Greek; cf. Ign. ad Eph. c. 1, ἀναζωοποιήσαντες ἐν
αἴματι θεοῦ. Chrys. paraphrases happily παρρησίας ἔμπλησεν αὐτῷ,
χαρᾶς, εὐφροσύνης" στήθι γενναίως.

The time referred to is probably the same as there, the ordina-
tion for his present work at Ephesus: the context there suggest-
ing a reference to the presbyters, the personal appeal here
suggesting a reference to his own act alone. But the allusion
here to Timothy's home training (5), and the character of the
gift conferred (7), leave it possible that the reference is to Paul's
first choice of Timothy to be his minister (Acts 16:2; so Hort,
Christian Ecclesia, p. 184), or even to his confirmation at the
time of his conversion, Acts 14:7 (so Bp. Chase, Confirmation
in the Apostolic Age, pp. 35-40). On the other hand, the whole
context of the epistle implies an appeal to one in an ordained
and authoritative position.

7. ἕαυτιν] "to you and me," "to us his ministers"; the state-
ment is true of all Christians, cf. I. 218, but in a special degree
of ministers, and the context (ἐδώκειν taking up τὸ χάρισμα, and
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cf. 18, 14) points to that limitation here; cf. Ro 815 oV gar elabete
pneuma douleias palin eis phobon alla pneuma ischueias.

[deilia] cf. i Co 1610 ean elheta Timotheos blepeste ina afobos
gyntai pros omos, and compare Mk 440 ti deiloi esthe; ouw exete
pistin; Jn 1427.

dunamewes ("virtutis," Vulg.), cf. 812 21 and Ro 116 oV gar
epaischynome to evagelion dunamis gar theou estin. In writing
from Rome as well as to Rome he dwells upon power as the
essential characteristic of the Gospel, a power which is to prove
stronger than the Empire of power; cf. also i Co 410, 20.

cal agaphe] which drives out fear, i Jn 418, and gives the
impulse to go to the aid of others in their hour of need.

sofrosinioi (here only in N.T.), the power to make sofrosin;
whether to discipline others (cf. Tit 24-6), or to discipline oneself,
to keep oneself in hand, free from all excitement or hesitation;
it is "the sanity of saintliness," cf. Bp. Paget, Studies in the
Christian Character, pp. 64-67. The context probably limits
the reference here to self-discipline ("sobrietatis," Vulg.; "sanct
mentis," Tert. Scorp. 13); cf. 229. agaphe and sofrosinios control
the exercise of dunamis. The Christian minister must be strong,
efficient, courageous, but never forget personal tenderness for
others (cf. i Co 420, 21 ev dunamei . . . ev agaphe), or control of his
own temper.

8. to martirov] The witness to a crucified Messiah, "to Jews
a stumblingblock, to Gentiles foolishness," i Co 123.

tov kurioi e'mon] perhaps with conscious contrast to the
Emperor, "hunc opponit Caesar quem sui sic appellabat"
(Bengel); cf. Tit 218 note.

ton desmon adotos] cf. Eph 3, Phil 122a, which show the
strain which St. Paul's imprisonment laid upon his converts.

sugkakostraphev] here only in N.T. and not found in earlier
writers: probably coined by St. Paul, who frequently coins
compounds of sov out of his deep sense of the close "with-
ness" of Christians with each other and with Christ. The main
thought here is "suffer with me on behalf of the Gospel"; cf.
3. 9 310 ("collabora in Evangelio," Ambros.), rather than
"suffer with the Gospel" ("collabora Evangelio," Vulg.), which
may also be included; cf. i Co 138 eV agaphe . . . sugkalei tis
altheia.

9. Every word emphasizes the power which has been given
to Christians: a power which has done what man could not
do of himself, which has acted out of love for man, which has
destroyed his chief enemy and given him life, which therefore
calls for some return and gives strength to face suffering and
death; cf. Tit 13, Ro 828-30, 911 1625, 26, Eph 27-9 (some of which
may have been in the writer's mind), and Ep. Barn. c. 5, § 6,
which may be based on this passage, αὐτὸς δὲ ἐνα καταργήσῃ τὸν θάνατον . . . δι' εἰς σαρκὶ ἐδει αὐτὸν φανερωθῆναι, ὑπὲρ εἰμεν.

κλήσει ἄγια] mainly "with a calling to be holy," cf. κλήτως ἀγίως, Ro 1:1, Co 1:2, Th 4:7 ἐκάλεσεν ἡμᾶς ἐν ἁγιασμῷ: but with the further thought of God’s holiness which we have to imitate, cf. P 115-16: "quæ tota ex Deo est et nos totos Deo vindicat" (Bengel).


τὴν δοθεῖσαν . . . πρὸ χρόνων αἰώνων] The grace of God is embodied in Christ Jesus: we only gain it through union with Him, and it was given to Him by God long before we were born. The reference may be either to the gift to mankind contained in the promise of the victory of the seed of the woman, Gen 3:16: this would be supported by the allusion to Gen in 1:14 and by the use of πρὸ χρ. αἰων. in Tit 1:2; or to the gift to mankind contained in the pre-existent Christ before the world was created, as even then He was the recipient of the Divine life of Sonship of which man was to partake: it was given to us in our ideal. Cf. Eph 1:4 καθὼς ἐξελέξατο ἡμᾶς ἐν αὐτῷ πρὸ καταβολῆς κόσμου. The other reminiscences of the Ephesian letter in the verse makes this the more probable view. Pelagius draws a human analogy, "Nam homines solent filiis parare prædia priusquam nascantur."


10. ἐπιφάνειας (“illuminationem,” Vulg.) here only of the Incarnation; but cf. Tit 2:11 note, 3:4 ἐπιφάνη. Here the two thoughts of the divine intervention of a saviour in the hour of need and of the dawning of a new light, cf. φανερωθεῖσαν . . . φωτίσαντος ("illustria verba," Bengel) and Lk 1:79 ἐπιφάναι τοῖς ἐν σκότει καθημένοις, are combined.

καταργήσαντος . . . ] Explanatory of σώσαντος, which has just been taken up by σωτῆρος.

τὸν θάνατον] That tyrant death (cf. ἐβασίλευσεν, Ro 5:14) whose presence caused constant fear and took the sense of freedom out of life (cf. Heb 2:14 ὅσοι φόβῳ θανάτῳ διὰ παντὸς τοῦ ζῆν ἐνοχὸν ἔσαν δουλείας), that death which the writer has learnt and Timothy must learn to face.

φωτίσαντος] "illuminavit," Vulg. This was done (a) by His teaching of the nature of eternal life, consisting in a knowledge of God and beginning here on earth; it is interesting to compare the language of Epictetus (I. iv. 31) about Chrysippus: τῷ τὸν ἀλήθειαν εὑρόντα καὶ φωτίσαντι καὶ εἰς πάντας ἀνθρώπους ἔξενεγκόντα, οὐ τὸν περὶ τὸ ζῆν, ἀλλὰ τὸν πρὸς τὸ εὕρην; (b) but above all by the fact of the Resurrection, cf. 2:8, Co 1:15-56, Acts 2:27. There was hope of immortality in the world before, but the Resurrection had converted it into a certainty and shown from beyond the

"The Gospel first gave to a future world clearness and distinctness, shape and outline; the Gospel first made it a positive district and region on which the spiritual eye reposes, and which stretches out on the other side the grave with the same solidity and extension with which the present world does on this side of it. A future life was not an image before the Gospel: the Gospel made it an image. It brought it out of its implicit form, and from its lower residence within the bosom of the great fundamental doctrine of true religion, into a separate and conspicuous position as a truth. This was a bringing to light, and a species of birth, compared with which the previous state of the doctrine was a hidden and an embryo state."

ζωὴν καὶ ἀβαρσίαν] a climax, life, aye, unchangeable life; contrast διέλθην καὶ ἀπόλθεαν, I 69.

11. Cf. i Ti 27.

12. ἀλλ' οὖν ἐπαίσχυν.] cf. 8 and Ro 16.

ὁ πεποίητευκα] not "whom I have believed," as in Tit 3 of πεποίητευκότες θεοί, but rather "whom I have trusted," "to whom I have entrusted my deposit"; cf. 2 Mac 32 τὰ πεποίητευμένα τοῖς πεποίητευόμενοι σῶα διαφυλάσσειν. It anticipates the accusative τὴν παραβάθηκην.

τὴν παραβάθηκην μου] that which I have deposited with Him. (v. Additional Note, p. 90): all my precious things which I have put under His care. He does not define or limit; it will include his teaching (1 Co 312-15), his apostolic work, his converts (Acts 2032 παραθέτημεν ὑμᾶς τῷ θεῷ), his life which has been already in God’s keeping and which will remain safe there even through death (cf. Lk 2346, 1 P 419). The last is perhaps the primary thought, suggested by ζωὴν καὶ ἀβαρσίαν. 10.

ἐκείνην τὴν ἡμέραν] 184; cf. 2 Th 10; here only in St. Paul, who generally adds some explanatory genitive, ἡμέρα τοῦ κυρίου ἡμῶν, Ἰησοῦ Χριστοῦ, ἀπολυτρώσωσιν. The day is now so present that it needs no defining.

18. ὑποτύπωσων ("formam habe," Vulg.; "formationem," Thd.; "exemplum," Jerome) here and I 16 (where see note) only in N.T.; cf. τύπον διδαχῆς, Ro 617. ὑγιαίν. λόγων, i Ti 10 note.

ὑποτύπωσων ἐξε.] "hold fast as form of teaching."; cf. I 3 ἐχοντας τὸ μυστηρίου τῆς πίστεως ἐν καθαρᾷ συνείδησι; ins. 22. Parry would translate "hold forth in your life: let your own character represent to the world wholesome teaching." This is very parallel to I 412 πίστος γίνοι τῶν πιστῶν . . . ἐν ἀγάπῃ, ἐν πίστει: but it strains the meaning of ἐξε and scarcely arises out of the context.

ὅν παρ’ ἐμοὶ ἥκουσας] ὅν is probably a loose attraction for
I. 13-16.]
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οὖς or possibly ἃ (cf. 22), "hold as outline of sound teachings those teachings which you heard from me." Hort regards ἄν as a primitive corruption of ἀν after λόγων, "hold as pattern of sound doctrines that doctrine which you heard from me." W.-H. ii. P. 135.

14. τὴν κ. παραθήκην] cf. τῆς κ. δεδασκαλίας, 1 Ti 4. The thought of his own deposit with God 12 suggests that deposit which Christ has left with him, a far more precious and ideal thing; cf. Philo, Quod det potiori, 19, ἐπιστήμης καλὴν παρακατα-θήκην.

dιὰ πν. Ἀγίου] cf. Ro 811. This is true of all Christians, but the thought here is, probably, still that of the special gift to ministers for their work 6. 7.

tοῦ ἐνοικιώντος] perhaps consciously recalling ἓνεκεν ἐνθήσε. 8.

15-18. Examples of warning and encouragement.

Paraphrase. I appeal to yourself: you know instances both of cowardice and of courage: you know that all those in Asia turned away from me, of whom Phygelus and Hermogenes are the chief. On the other hand, may the Lord be merciful to the family of Onesiphorus, for many a time did he refresh me, every visit of his like a breath of fresh air; and he was not ashamed of my fetters, nay, when in Rome on a visit he took great pains to enquire where I was imprisoned and he found me: the Lord grant to him that he may find mercy from the Lord in the last great day. Yes, and all the many services which he rendered in Ephesus you have yourself the best means of knowing.

For similar warning, cf. I 119. 20, at the same point in the letter; but here the stress is on the encouragement of Onesiphorus which is described at much fuller length, and accompanied with prayer for him.

ἀπεστράφησαν] The occasion is unknown. It might refer to doctrinal apostasy (cf. 13-14), but more probably to some failure to help Paul himself (με, cf. Mt 542): as it is introduced mainly as a foil to the personal kindness of Onesiphorus, cf. 410 Δημᾶς με ἐγκατέλυσεν. Possibly all the Asiatic Christians who were in Rome at the time, cf. 410, failed to support him at his trial and had now returned to Asia (cf. ὅδες and ἐν τῇ Ἀσίᾳ): or all the Christians in Asia at the time when he was arrested there failed to help him or come with him to Rome.

ἀνεύσε] "refrigeravit," Vulg.; cf. ἀνέφυσε, Acts 310; καταφύσει, Lk 1624. This would include personal intercourse, cf. 1 Co 1617. 18, and gifts to relieve the hardships of his imprison-
ment, cf. Phil 4:14-17; but, though it includes his visit at Rome, it need not be confined to that time. Cf. Ign. Eph. c. 2, Kρόκος . . . κατὰ πάντα με ἄνετασθεν, ὥς καὶ αὐτὸν ὁ πατὴρ Ἰσσοῦ Χριστοῦ ἀναφέρει.


18. διό] A late form of the optative, cf. 2 Th 3:16; W.-H. ii. p. 166. οἱ κύριοι, the Lord Christ; cf. 2:8, 10. παρὰ κύριον, possibly also “from Christ” as the Judge, cf. 4:8; or “from the Father,” a stereotyped phrase for mercy at the day of judgment. ἐν ἐκείνῃ τῇ ἡμέρᾳ, cf. 12. ἐνθα πολλοί οἰκίων ἤρει ημῖν, Chrys. Yes, but the Lord will say to Onesiphorus, ἐν φυλακῇ ἡμῖν καὶ ἠλθεὶς πρὸς με.

The context implies that Onesiphorus was separated from his family, probably that he was dead; cf. τῇ . . . οἱκώ (18 and 4:19), ἐν ἐκείνῃ τῇ ἡμέρᾳ 18, and so would provide a sanction for prayer for the departed. This, in this simple form, is a natural instinct; it was practised by some later Jews, cf. 2 Mac 12:43-46, and is found in early Christian epitaphs and in the liturgies; cf. Plummer, ad loc.; Gayford, The Future State, c. 4. Wohlenberg quotes the Acts of Paul and Thecla, § 28, which is a prayer that a heathen may be transferred after death to the abode of the righteous.

ἐδρε . . . ἐδρεῖν] It may be fanciful to imagine a conscious play on the words “invenit me in tanta frequentia: inveniat misericordiam in illa panegyri” (Bengel); but Paul was fond of such playful allusions and we can imagine him thinking of the meaning of Onesiphorus, “the help-bringer”; cf. Philem 11.

διηκόνησε] cf. 4:19. It is not defined here, and may include services rendered to Paul himself and to the whole church at Ephesus.

βέλτιον] Perhaps “better than I,” but the comparative sense cannot be pressed; cf. Moulton, Gr. N.T., pp. 78 and 236; M.M. s.v.; Acts 10:28 (D) βέλτιον ἐφιστασθε, I T 3:14 τάχιον (?), Jn 1:27.

ADDITIONAL NOTE TO CHAPTER I.

Παραθήκη.

παραθήκη (in Classical Greek more commonly παρακαταθήκη) always implies the situation of one who has to take a long journey and who deposits his money and other valuables with a friend, trusting him to restore it on his return; cf. Tob 1:14 ἐπορεύομην εἰς τὴν Μηδείαν καὶ παρεθέμην Γαβαδήλῳ ἄργυρίον.
The ἀντιτιτική is always that of the depositor: the duty of the friend is φυλάσσειν and ἀποδίδοναι. From the earliest days this duty was protected by law; cf. Ἡμμουραβί, §§122-126. "If a man shall give silver, gold, or anything whatsoever, all whatever he shall give he shall show to witnesses and fix bonds and give on deposit"; and exact regulations were laid down fixing the penalty in the case of loss or damage; cf. Ex 22:17-18, Lev 6:2-7. The striking story of Glaucus, who was condemned by the Pythian oracle for even wishing to retain such a deposit, shows the importance attached to faithfulness in this duty (Herod. vi. 86; Juv. xiii. 199-208), and it was one of the first duties impressed on Christians, who bound themselves on each Sunday "ne fidem fallerent, ne depositum appellati abnegarent," Pliny, Ep. 96. Among the Jews in Maccabean times the place of the friend was taken by the Temple treasuries, which took charge of such deposits and of the money of those who had no natural guardians; cf. 2 Mac 3:10-40 ἀντιτιτικής χηρῶν τε καὶ ὀρφανῶν 18 τῶν πεποιθεντῶν 12 τὰ πεποιθεμένα τοῖς πεποιθεκόσι πῶς διαφυλάσσειν 22.

In the N.T. the substantive is only used in the Pastoral Epistles: it comes naturally from one who is preparing for his last long journey, but the verb occurs elsewhere, and the word was used metaphorically in many applications. (a) Of the body of truth which Christ deposits with the Apostle and the Apostle with Timothy, cf. 1 T 1:18 παρατιθήματι, 6:20 τὴν παραθήκην, 2 T 1:14, and which Timothy has to hand on to others when he takes his journey to Rome, 2 T 2:2 παράβουν. This use may have been suggested by the parable of the Pounds, Lk 19:12-22. (b) Of our true self which the Creator has handed over to us to keep safe, cf. Epict. ii. 8, 21, ὥς μόνον σε κατεσκευασές ἄλλα καὶ σοὶ μόνω ἐπιστέυσεν καὶ παρακατέθετο... παραδείκνυσκέ σοι σαλαμίν: so Philo, Quis hares, p. 491, τοῖς ἐπιστέυσεν ἐστι τοῦ σπουδαίου, τὴν ἱερὰν ἢν ἔλαβε παρακατάθηκην ψυχής, αἰσθήσεως, λόγου... καθαρῶς καὶ ἀδόλους μὴ ἐαυτῷ, μόνω δὲ τῷ πεποιθεκότι φυλάκατος (Wetstein), and Hermas, Mand. 3, οἱ παραδίδοντες αὐτῷ τὴν παρακατάθηκην ἢν ἔλαβον. ἔλαβον γὰρ παρ' αὐτοῦ πνεύμα ἀφευτοῦν: ibid. Sim. ix. 32, "Reddite ei spiritum integrum sicut accepiistis." (c) Of good works deposited with God in heaven: a very common Jewish thought, 4 Esdr 8:8 "justi quibus sunt opera multa reposita apud te"; Apc. Bar 14:18 "justi sine timore ab hoc domicilio profici- scuntur quia habent apud te vim operatori custodiam in thesauris" (Wohlenberg); cf. 1 T 6:19; Ign. ad Polyc. 6, τὰ δεπότα ὄρων τὰ ἔργα ὄμων, and cf. Abrahams, Studies in Pharisaism and the Gospels, p. 148. (d) Of persons entrusted to the care of others, Clem. Alex. Quis dives salvi, c. 42, τὴν παρακατάθηκην ἀπόδος ἡμῖν ἢν
1-18. Further appeal to Timothy to take heart and to entrust his teaching to others.

Paraphrase. So then, as others have failed me, I turn to you to whom I have a right to appeal, such as I had not to Onesiphorus, as you are my own child in the faith—and I bid you to realize constantly the strength which is yours in virtue of the grace given you through your union with Christ Jesus. In that strength, Come to me and, before you come, hand over the truths which you heard from me, in the presence of many witnesses, to men on whom you can rely as being of ability enough to train others in their turn. Then come and take your share of suffering as a true soldier in the army of Christ Jesus: now every soldier hopes to please his general and, therefore, while on active service does not tie himself up with business affairs: so, too, an athlete hopes to win the prize, but he cannot win it unless he observes to the end the rules of the contest: in the same way a husbandman hopes to take his share first of the fruits of the ground, but he must work hard for it. Think over the way in which this applies to you: for the Lord is ready to give you discernment in all things.

Keep ever in your memory Jesus Christ—as one who has been raised from the dead, and as the offspring of a Royal ancestor, as a living Lord, for this is the central truth of the Gospel entrusted to me. In the service of that Gospel, I am now suffering, aye, imprisoned and fettered as though I was a criminal: yet God's word has never been fettered by man: it has been free and doing its work all the time: and, therefore, I am ready to endure this and anything to help God's chosen ones that they with me may obtain salvation, that complete salvation which is given by union with Christ Jesus and which carries with it a glory that is eternal. How true is that great saying:

"Who shares Christ's death His life shall share:
They reign with Him their cross who bear:
Who Him deny He will deny:
Though our faith fail, He cannot lie."

Nay, He cannot be untrue to Himself.
II. 1-4. 2 Tim. 93

1. σύ, in contrast to 115-18: οὖν, taking up 114, “as I need some one to guard the deposit”; cf. 114 παραθήκην with 22 παράδον. ἐνδυναμώσω, taking up 17, 8, 12: a favourite Pauline word (six times: elsewhere in N.T. only Acts 922 where it is used of St. Paul): probably middle voice; cf. Eph 610 ἐνδυναμωσθε ἐν κυρίῳ . . . ἐνδυναμώσω: for the thought, cf. 2 Co 129. ἐν τῇ καρπῇ, “grace” in its widest sense, but perhaps with special reference to the χάρισμα of 16.

2. The connexion of 1 and 2 is not clear: there may have been practical difficulties to be faced in the choice of these men so that Timothy would need to fall back on God’s strength: or 1 may refer mainly to the courage needed for coming to Rome; 2 to the necessity of appointing other ministers to take his place while absent and in case he should never return.

3. ήκουσας] possibly at the time of 16, or during the whole ministry; cf. 310.

dia τ. μαρτύρων] in later Greek almost equivalent to “in the presence of”; cf. διὰ θεοῦ μαρτύρων, Plut. ii. p. 338 F (Wetstein). Field (Ot. Norv. ad loc.) suggests that it was a legal term: if so, it would carry a slightly stronger meaning, “supported by many witnesses.” Here they may be the presbyters of 1 Ti 414, or the hearers of St. Paul’s teaching from time to time who bore witness to its truth (cf. 2 Co 120 τὸ δημή, Jn 383) and also knew what Timothy had heard; cf. 1 Ti 612. But may it not be constructed with παράδον of the further security which Timothy is to take? in which case the witnesses will be presbyters, as in 1 Ti 414.

παράδον] taking up 114.

3. συγκακοπάθησον] cf. 18, with me and with all who suffer.

4. καλὸς στρατηγὸς] I 118 ἵνα στρατεύῃ τὴν καλὴν στρατείαν and συνστρατηγὸς, Philem 3, Phil 225, show that St. Paul applied it specially to the ministers of Christ. The three similes are found together in 1 Co 96, 7, 24-27, and there may be a conscious reminiscence of that chapter, though the main thought is different here. Here stress is laid on two points: (a) the conditions of true service: it needs whole-hearted devotion (4), loyalty to the rules (5), hard work (6); (b) the natural hope of a reward, the reward of pleasing the Master, of winning a crown, of partaking of the results. The same thoughts recur in 11-13. The application is both to Timothy himself and to the regulations he is to make for the πιστοὶ ἀνθρωποί.

ἐμπλήκεται] cf. 2 P 220. Epict. iii. 22. 69, of the ideal Cynic, οὐ προσδεδεμένον καθήκοντι ἵδιοτικῶς οὐδ’ ἐμπεπληγμένον σχέσεων, ταῖς τοῦ βίου πραγματ.] the businesses by which men earn their livelihood; cf. Hermas, Vis. 3, 6, of rich Christians, ὅταν γένηται θλύψις, διὰ τὸν πλοῦτον αὐτῶν καὶ διὰ τὰς πραγματείας ἀπαρκοῦνται.
τῶν Κυρίων αὐτῶν: cf. Clem. Hom., Ep. Clem. c. 5. As applied to ministers this command requires whole-hearted devotion to their work, perhaps implying abstinence from secular trades (cf. 1 Co 9:7): but this was not required at first. The Council of Chalcedon forbade trading only if done διὰ αἰσχρόκερδειαν or διὰ φιλαργυρίαν, Canon 3, ubi n. Dr. Bright's note: "Most of the clergy of Cæsarea in Cappadocia practised sedentary trades for a livelihood" (Basil, Ep. 198), "and some African canons allow, or even direct, a cleric to live by a trade, provided that his clerical duties are not neglected" (Mansi, iii. 955) . . . "In the Anglo-Saxon Church . . . the canons of King Edgar's reign ordered every priest diligently to learn a handicraft (No. 11. Wilkins, i. 225)." Cf. also Hatch, Bampton L. vi.; Dict. Chr. Ant., s.v. Commerce.

καὶ πόιμνα ὑποτασσόμενα καὶ τὰ ἀφύσινα κομίζεσθε. It includes the thought of "pleasing by good service"; cf. Milligan on 1 Th 2:4. A useful expansion of these two verses will be found in S. Greg. Reg. Past, ii. 7.

5. ἐντὸς] cf. 1 Ti 4:7-10. These two similes are expanded fully in Tertullian, ad Mart. c. 3.

νομίμωσις] will include both the training for the contest and the regulations for it; cf. Epict. iii. 10, δὲ θέους σου λέγει δὲς μοι ἀπόδειξιν εἰ νομίμως ἠθλισας, εἰ ἔφαγες ὅσα δεῖ, εἰ ἐγυμνάσθης, εἰ τοῦ ἀλειπτοῦ ἦκονας: Plut. Non posse suaviter vivi., p. 1105. 1: ἀθλητὴν στέφανον οὐκ ἀγονιζόμενοι λαμβάνοντι, ἀλλὰ ἀγονιζόμενοι καὶ νικήσαντες (Wetstein). As applied to the Christian minister the training is that of 1 Ti 4:7; the regulations those of the law of Christ, especially those laid down here in 10-12.

6. γεωργίον] cf. γεωργίον, 1 Co 3:9. τῶν καρπῶν. This may well include (a) the "honour" and maintenance he receives from the Church, cf. 1 Co 5:12-18; and δεῖ seems to point to some regulation that Timothy is to enforce; (b) the spiritual reward which comes here on earth in the sense of God's approval and blessing on the work; cf. Phil 2:23 καρπὸς ἐργοῦν: Ro 13:14 τινὰ καρπὸν σχῶ καὶ ἐν υἱῶν: cf. Jas 2:25 μακάριος εἰς τῇ ποιήσει αὐτῶν: Chrys. (here) εἰν αὐτῷ τῷ κόσμῳ ἢ ἀντίδοσιν.


8. μυθολόγει] so St. Peter is said to have appealed to his wife on her way to martyrdom, μέμνησον, ὁ αὐτή, τοῦ κυρίου, Clem. Alex. Strom. vii. p. 869, § 63 (Wetstein). St. Paul is acting in the spirit of the Eucharist, εἰς τὴν ἐμὴν ἀνάμνησιν, 1 Co 11:24. Ἱησοῦν Χρ.] here only in this Ep. (elsewhere Χρ. Ἰησοῦν): with
stress on the historic life as the first thought, and Χριστός perhaps consciously a predicate. “Jesus—as the Messiah”; cf. Ro 1:4.

ἐγγραμμένον] not the mere fact of the Resurrection (ἐγγέγραθαι), but keep Him in your mind as a Living Risen Lord who is able to give His life to you; cf. συγγίζομεν.11.

ἐκ σπέρματος Δαβίδ] Perhaps a semi-quotation from an early form of a creed: cf. Ign. Eph. 18, Trall. 9, Smyrn. 1, in all which places it emphasizes the reality of the human nature. There may be some such antidocetic thought here (cf. I 2:6 note), and in ἐγγραμμένον a refutation of Hymenaeus and Philetus (18); but the context lays stress rather on the power of Christ to help, so that ἐκ σπ. Δ. expands the thought of Χριστός—a Messiah and a true descendant of David, a King who can share his Kingdom; cf. συμβασιλεύσομεν, v.12 and Lk 1:32.33.

κατὰ τὸ εὐαγγ. μου] cf. Ro 2:16 16:25—not invented by me but entrusted to me; cf. 1 Ti 1:11.

9. ὡς κακοῦργος] “like a criminal,” “quasi male operans,” Vulg.; “ut latro,” Ambros.; “ut malefactor,” Thdt.: or perhaps “on the charge of being a criminal”; cf. 1 P 4:15 μὴ τις ἰδών πασχέων ὡς φονεύς ἣ κλέπτης ἢ κακοποιός. This might imply that the writer was not tried for Christianity but for some alleged crime; cf. Suetonius, Nero, 16, “afficti suppliciis Christiani, genus hominum superstitionis novae ac maleficae.” Tac. Ann. xv. 44, “per flagitia invisos”; but some more definite word than κακοῦργος would be more natural in this case, and ἐν φ. points to Christianity as the offence. This would be quite possible in Nero’s time; cf. Hort on 1 P 2:12; Chase in Hastings’ D.B. iii. p. 784.

οὗ δεδεται] a strict perfect, while I have been bound the Word has not been, for I have been able to speak on its behalf, cf. 4:17; and others are doing its work, 4:12; “God buries His workers but continues His work,” cf. Phil 1:12-18, Eph 5.18. For the personification, cf. 1 Th 2:13, 2 Th 3:1. Origen, c. Cels. i. 27, μὴ πεφυκὼς κωλύεσθαι, ὡς λόγος θεός (said of Jesus).


διὰ τοὺς ἐκλεκτούς] both (a) those already called whose faith will be strengthened and their salvation helped by the example of my endurance; cf. Col 1:24, 2 Co 1:6, and (b) those objects of God’s Love who will be drawn to Christ by it; cf. 4:17. This power of endurance was the fact of St. Paul’s life which most impressed his contemporaries; cf. Clem. Rom. i. 5, ὑπομονὴς γενόμενος μεγίστος ὑπογραμμός.

δόξης αὐωνίου] Chrys. has an interesting contrast between the temporary glory of Nero and the eternal glory won by St. Paul.

11. πιστῶς ὁ λόγος] almost certainly a quotation (cf. Tit 3:8
note). It may refer to the preceding verses; if so, most probably to v. 8, γὰρ 11 confirming the writer's appeal to the saying about the Risen and Royal Christ by the quotation of a well-known hymn; or possibly to the following 11-13; γὰρ being explanatory, "namely," or a part of the quotation. In any case, εἰ γὰρ . . . πιστὸς μένει is a rhythmical saying, a careful balancing of encouragement and warning. The language is full of reminiscences of earlier passages in the N.T., Ro 6:8 21v 3:8, Mt 10:33, and may be a hymn composed in face of persecution, encouraging to boldness and warning against defection. Polycarp, c. 5, has a reminiscence of this place, or perhaps an independent reminiscence of the same hymn: ὑπέσχετο ἐγείραι ἡμᾶς ἐκ νεκρῶν καὶ ὅτι, ἐὰν πολυτευνόμεθα ἄξιόν αὐτοῦ, καὶ συμβασιλεύσουμεν αὐτῷ, εἰγε πιστεύειμεν.

εἰ συναπεθάνομεν[ the aorist perhaps anticipates the "one act of self-devotion in martyrdom" (Bernard); but the analogy of Ro 6:8 suggests that the primary reference is to baptism: "if our death with Christ was real and complete, so real that we shall be ready to share his literal death"; so Chrys. θάνατον, τὸν τε διὰ τοῦ λουτροῦ καὶ τὸν διὰ τῶν παθημάτων.

συμβασιλεύσουμεν confirms ἐγείρεμένοι 8; as συμβασιλεύσουμεν does ἐκ στέρματος Δαβίδ 8 and ἐπομένομεν does ὕπομένω 10. The writer's mind passes from the past (συναπεθάνομεν) through the present (ὑπομ. ) to the final test (ἀρνησόμεθα); cf. Tertullian, De Fuga. 14, "Non potest qui pati timet ejus esse qui passus est."

13. ἐκεῖνος πιστὸς μένει] perhaps, He remains faithful to His promises of mercy, cf. Ro 3:3 3:20-32 and I Jn 3:20, ἀπιστοῦμεν being then less strong than ἀρνησόμεθα; but the balance of the rhythm and the following clause almost require a note of warning: He remains faithful; He keeps his word both for reward and for punishment; cf. 4:8 and 14, Dt 7:9, Ex 34:6-7.

ἀρνησόμεθα . . . δύναται prob. a comment by the writer. For the thought, cf. Nu 23:19, "God is not a man that he should lie, neither the son of man that he should repent." Tit 1:2 ὁ ἀφεωνός θεός. Clem. Rom. i. 37, οὐδὲν γὰρ ἄδυνατον παρὰ τῷ θεῷ, εἰ μὴ τὸ ψεύσασθαι.

14-26. This paragraph passes from the thought of the subject-matter (ἀ ἄκουσας, 22) to that of the character of the teaching and of the teacher. It begins with advice which Timothy has to give to others, but passes at once to advice to himself. Remind those to whom you hand on your teaching not to strive about mere words (14). Show them in yourself the example of a true worker and teacher, avoiding empty discussions which will tend more and more to lower the tone of religion and eat out the life of the Church (15-17). One case is given of such false teaching (18): two tests of the true teacher (19): there is a great variety of char-
acter within the Church, good and bad, and a teacher must carefully keep from the bad, if he is to be fit for his Master's work (20-21). For yourself, avoid merely youthful impulses, aim at the central virtues, keeping in touch with all sincere Christians (22). Avoid profitless discussions and all that is inconsistent with the character of the servant of the Lord, who should be patient, skilful in teaching, hopeful for the conversion of opponents (22-26).

The whole paragraph is very analogous to I 4:16; but the notes specially characteristic of this are:

(a) The contrast of work (ἐργατὴν 15, τὸ ἔργον ἀγαθὸν 21, τὸ ἔκεινον θέλημα 26) with mere talk (λόγομαχίαν 14, κενοφωνίας 16, λέγοντες 18, ξυτήσεις 23).

(b) The contrast of true speech (τὸν λόγον τῆς ἀληθείας 15, ἡπτον 24, διδακτικὸν 24, εν πραούτη παιδεύοντα 25) and false (ἐν οὐδὲν χρήσιμον, ἐπὶ καταστροφὴ τῶν ἄκοιντων 14, βεβήλωσε 16, ὁ λόγος αὐτῶν ὡς γάγγραμα 17, ἀνατρέψοι τὴν τινων πίστιν 18, μωρᾶς, ἀπαθείνους 23).

Paraphrase. These are the central truths of which you must remind any to whom you entrust your teaching, and you must charge them as in the sight of their Lord and Master not to be "word-warriors," constantly arguing and wrangling with words as if they wished to ruin rather than to build up their hearers' faith: such wrangling is perfectly useless. With regard to yourself, take all pains to present yourself before God as one who can stand His test—as a real worker, as one who will never be put to shame for bad or scamped work, but as teaching rightly the one message of the truth. But to all these irreligious and frivolous hair-splittings give a wide berth. Those who take part in them will go forward—on a downward grade of impiety: their message will be like a cancer eating into the sound members of Christ's body. To that class belongs Hymenaeus and Philetus, for they have entirely missed their aim about the truth, explaining away the literal resurrection and saying that Resurrection is only our past resurrection with Christ in Baptism, and thereby they are upsetting the faith of some. Yet be not alarmed; whatever false teachers may say, the solid foundation-stone of God's Temple has been fixed once for all; and on it are two inscriptions carved first by Moses and renewed by Our Lord: one tells of God's knowledge, "The Lord knoweth them that are His own"; the other of man's duty, "Let every one who worships the Lord depart from iniquity." Yet within the Church there will be great varieties: it is like a big house, in which there are not only vessels of gold and silver, but others of wood and earthenware; some for honourable, some for mean uses. If, then, any teacher keep himself quite clear of these false teachers, he will be a vessel for honourable use, set apart for
service, ready to his Master's hand, prepared to take part in any good work. But that you may be such a vessel, you must turn your back upon all merely youthful impulses and passions; you must set your face towards just dealings with others, towards loyalty, love, and peace with all who call the Lord their God out of a pure heart. But these foolish discussions with men of untrained minds persistently avoid: you know they only engender strifes, and, as Isaiah said, "A servant of the Lord must not strive"; nay, he must be courteous to every one, apt and skilful to teach, ready to bear with contradiction, speaking in a gentle tone, as he has to train the minds of opponents. He must always have in his heart the hopeful question, "May it not be that God will give them a real change of heart, and they will come to a real knowledge of truth? May it not be that they will come back to their sober senses, saved from the devil's snare? May it not even be that I shall be a fisher of men, and save them alive, and bring them back to do their true Master's Will?"


ὑπομιμήσακε] i.e. remind the teachers of 2, who have to think of the good of their hearers (τῶν ἄκουόντων). διαμαρτύρομενος: cf. I S 618. λογομαχεῖν: cf. I 64 note.

χρησίμω] perhaps governing ἐπ' οὐδὲν, "a course useful for nothing," but probably agreeing with οὐδέν, "to no useful result": cf. ἐπ' οὐδὲν χρησίμω, Plut. de ira cohib., p. 456 B (ap. Wetstein). Ambrosiaster's note is suggestive, "Necesse est enim ut contentio extorqueat aliquid, immo multa quæ dicuntur contra conscientiam, ut intus in animo perdat, foris victor abscedat. Nemo enim patitur se vinciri, licet sciat vera quæ audit. ... Collatio ergo inter Dei servos esse debet, non altercatio": cf. H. C. G. Moule (ad loc.), "The time of religious controversy is the time above all others to resolve that our souls shall live behind and above words, in conscious touch with the eternal Things."

ἐπὶ] denoting the result (Blass, N.T. Gr., § 43. 3, but without any parallel instance); rather, the result is treated half-ironically as the purpose "as if they set themselves deliberately not to build up, but to throw down"; cf. 16.

15. παραστῆσαι] to present yourself for service, cf. 21 and Ro 618-16; perhaps also, with the further thought, present yourself for judgment, cf. r Co 88, the solemn appeal in 14 having suggested the thought of God as Judge.

ἐργάτην] with a slight antithesis to λογομαχεῖν, cf. r Co 410. 50.

ἀνεπαισχυντον] perhaps a conscious reminiscence of 18. 12. 17 "a workman who is not ashamed of his task or of his master"; but more probably "a workman who will never be put to shame
by being shown to have done bad work” (“inconfusibilem,” Vulg.; “non impudoratum,” Ambros.) ; cf. Phil 1:20 ἐν οἴδειν αἰσχρωθησομαι, and 1 Co 3:16-17. This carries on the thought of δόκιμον, and leads up to 21.

ἵνα ἰερήμοστομοῖνα] “recte tractantem,” Vulg., rightly teaching, keeping the word free from logomachies. The stress is on ἰερός: it is doubtful whether in Hellenistic Greek the metaphor in -τομοῖνα is consciously present (cf. κανονοτομεῖν). If it is, it may be that of a plough driving a straight furrow (Chrys.), or of a road-maker driving his road straight; cf. Prov 3:6 ἡ δικαίωσιν ἁμώμους ἰεροτομεῖ δδοῖος, or of a mason squaring and cutting a stone to fit it into its proper place (Parry). The whole phrase is used frequently in the Liturgies as describing the duty of the bishop, cf. Introd., p. xxxix; and ἰεροτομεῖ is used of orthodoxy, Clem. Alex. Strom. vii. 16. 104, τὴν ἐκκλησιαστικὴν... ἰεροτομᾶν τῶν δογμάτων.

16. κενοφοινίας] cf. I 6:20. περιστάσας, Tit 3:9. προκόψονειν | i.e. οἱ κενοφοινίτες. The word is ironical (cf. I 4:16 note). They will make progress—on a downward grade, cf. 14. Perhaps there is a conscious antithesis to ἰεροτομοῖνα, προκόπτειν being also used of road-making.

17. νομὴν ἔξει] perhaps "will eat into their own heart and ruin it more and more," cf. Tit 1:15; but the chief thought is "will spread further into the Church and corrupt others" ; cf. 1 Co 5:6, Acts 4:17 ἵνα μὴ ἐπὶ πλεῖον διανεμήθη εἰς τὸν λαόν: Ἀποσ. Κ.Ο. 17, μὴ ποτὲ... ἐπὶ πλεῖον νεμήθη ὡς γάγγραινα.

ἐν ἐστίν : cf. 1:16, 1 Ti 1:20 note: it might be a later note added by an editor, giving an illustration from his own time, cf. Introd., p. xxxi. γεμέναιος, 1 Ti 1:20. Φιλητός, not mentioned elsewhere.

18. Ἰστόχησαν] cf. 1 Ti 1:6 note.

λέγοντες... ἀνάστασιν] i.e. that the Resurrection was only a spiritual Resurrection, which took place at Baptism when the Christian rose to newness of life and a knowledge of the truth. This is analogous to Philo's treatment of the "translation" of Enoch (μετέθηκεν αὐτὸν ὁ θεός, Gen 5:24) as equivalent to conversion from a lower to a higher stage of moral life (de Abrahamo, cc. 3 and 4), and was a natural perversion of the teaching of St. Paul (Ro 6:11) and of the Fourth Gospel (Jn 17:8). It was held by many Gnostics, some denying that the true Christian would ever die (Iren. i. 23. 5 of Menander, "Resurrectionem per id quod est in eum baptisma accipere ejus discipulos et ultra non posse mori sed perseverare non senescientes et immortales" ; Tert. de Anima, 50; Justin M. Apol. 1. 26, Dial. 80, ἐμα τῶ ἀποκρίσεως τὰς ψυχὰς ἀναλαμβάνεσθαι εἰς τὸν οὐρανὸν. Does this theory lie behind Jn 21:22?); some holding that there would be no Resurrection of the body (Iren. ii. 31. 2 of Simon and Carpocrates, "esse autem resurrectionem
a mortuis agnitionem eius quae ab eis dicitur veritatis"; cf. 1 Co 15; Tert. de Res. Carnis, 19). Justin M. (Fragments on the Resurrection, ed. Otto, ii. p. 211) argues fully against this view, and it probably led to the emphasis on the "Resurrection of 'the flesh' or of 'the body,'" in the early Creeds (v. J. Th. St., Jan. 1917, p. 135).

A quite different explanation prevailed very early—that men do not rise at all, but only live on in their posterity: cf. Acta Pauli et Thecle, c. 14, ἑῴα γέγονεν ἀνάστασις ἐφ’ ὄς ἐξομεν τέκνοις: so Ambrosiaster ("Hi autem, sicut ex alia Scriptura" (i.e. probably, The Acts of Paul and Thecla) "docemur, in filiis fieri resurrectionem dicebant"), Pelagius, Theod.-Mops. ("quam in successionem auint nostram constare"), Thdt. (τοσ ἐκ παθοσουας διαδοχασ). This was a Jewish view (cf. Ecclus 1128 (LXX), 30189), and might have been introduced from Sadducean sources, but it would have been expressed more clearly, e.g., as in Ecclus 304 ἐτελευτησον αὐτόν ὁ πατὴρ καὶ ὅσ σοι ἀπέθανεν ὁμοιον γὰρ αὐτῷ κατέληπν μετ’ αὐτόν.

19. Reassurance to Timothy—in spite of the false teachers' work, ἐπὶ καταστροφῇ and ἀνατρέπουσι, the foundation is firmly set and has its mark upon it; God knows his own, and they will depart from iniquity.

δ...θεμέλιος i.e. either Christ Jesus and his Apostles (cf. 1 Co 311, Eph 220, Rev 214): or, more widely, "the Church" (cf. 1 Ti 315); or "the truth," "the deposit" (Hillard): but the emphasis is on ἀποκριθείσης rather than on θεμέλιος.

σφραγίδα] perhaps simply "inscription"; cf. Ex 283 ΣΤΕΛΕΝ ΣΤΕΛΕΝ ΣΤΕΛΕΝ: or, more exactly, "seal," whether the stonemason's mark, denoting workmanship, or the owner's mark, denoting "ownership, security, and destination" (H. D. B. s.v. "Seal"); cf. Jn 567, Eph 118 430.

Εγνω] Perhaps, of foreknowledge, Ro 829; cf. Odes of Solomon, 8. 15, "I do not turn away my face from them that are mine, for I know them, and before they came into being I took knowledge of them, and on their faces I set my seal" (Dibelius): or more likely (as it is an adaptation of an O.T. phrase), of complete insight into character: cf. 1 Co 88, Gal 49, Nah 17 κύριον ... γεννώσκων τοὺς εὐλαβομένους αὐτῶν, the aorist denoting the complete result of past watching (Moulton, N.T. Gr., p. 113).

δ...ονομάζων τὸ ὄνομα] who names the name of Christ as his Lord, who calls himself Christian and worships Christ; cf. Lev 2416, Jos 2317, Is 2613.

Both inscriptions have their origin in the O.T., and probably both in the story of the rebellion of Korah, Nu 165 ἐπέσκεπται καὶ ἔγνω ὁ θεός τοὺς ὄντας αὐτῶν, 1629 ἀποσχίσθη ἀπὸ τῶν σκληρῶν τῶν ἀνθρώπων τῶν σκληρῶν τῶν: cf. Is 5211. But each is modified by sayings of the Lord; cf. Mt 723 οὐδέποτε ἐγνων ὑμᾶς,
II. 19-24.]

2 TIMOTHY 101

Lk 13:27 ἀπόστητε ἀν' ἐμοὶ πάντες ἐργάται ἀδικίας, so that the writer may be quoting from some early Gospel or collection of Christian sayings; cf. Apost. Const. ii. 54, καθὼς γέγραπται τοῦ ἐγγὺς καὶ τοῦς μακράν, οἵς ἐγνώ κύριος ὄντας αὐτοῦ (Resch, Agrapha, pp. 204-07).

20. μεγάλη οἴκια ...] i.e. the Church (so Ambros. Thd. and modern Commentators, though many Patristic Comm. interpret it of the world). The illustration is perhaps suggested by Is 52:11 ἀπόστητε ... ἀκαθάρτον μὴ ἀφησθε, οἱ φέροντες τὰ σκέπη κυρίου: cf. Wisd 15:7, Ro 9:20-28. The object is twofold, to teach Timothy patience with varieties of character within the Church, cf. 1 Co 12:20-26, but mainly to warn him against contact with all impurity and false teaching.

21. τις any member of the Church, but, especially, any who would be a teacher.

ἐκκαθ. ἐαυτόν] Keep himself (cf. 18 and 2 Co 7:1) completely (ἐκ) pure by separation from these, i.e. from the vessels to dishonour: τοιῶν, prob. neuter, though the reference is primarily to the false teachers, “a doctoribus haereticis,” Pelagius. σκέφτος ὁστράκινον ἢν ὁ Παύλος ἅλλ' ἐγένετο χρυσόν, Chrys.

εὐχρηστον] 411, Philem 11, easily usable (“utile,” Vulg.; “optimum,” Thd.); contrast ἐπὶ οὐδὲν χρήσιμον 14; cf. Epict. ii. 16, τάλμησον ἀναβλέψας πρὸς τὸν θεόν εἰπείν, ἵνα μοι λοιπὸν εἰς ἄν θέλης ... σος εἰμι ... ὅποι θέλεις, ἀγε.’

 eius ... ἀγαθόν] Tit 3:1. ἡτοιμασμένον he is prepared for the tasks prepared for him, Eph 2:10 κτισθέντες ἐν Χριστῷ Ἰησοῦ ἐπὶ ἔργος ἀγαθῶν οίς προστοίμασαν ὁ θεός.

22. Combines the thoughts of I 4:19 and 6:11 (q.v.).

tὰς νεωτερικὰς ἐπιθ.] will include impulses to impatience, love of disputation, self-assertion as well as self-indulgence (cf. illustrations in Wetstein); everything inconsistent with the virtues that follow.

δικαιοσύνη] contrast ἀδικίας 19, “justice”—rather than the more abstract “righteousness.” πίστις, the main thought is “fidelity,” “trustworthiness” (“integritatem,” Pelagius), as the stress is on relations to other men.


24. δοῦλον κυρίου] here in its special sense of a minister (cf. Ro 1:1, Phil 1:1), probably with a conscious reference to the picture of the servant of Jehovah in Is 42:1-2 53. One who like Christ has to do the Lord’s own work of winning and saving;


ηπον] as both Paul and Timothy had been at Thessalonica; cf. 1 Th 2:1 (‘si vera lectio). ἀνεξίκουον here only in N.T. But the ἀνεξίκουον will be tried by persecution; cf. Wisd 2:10 δικάσωμεν τὴν ἀνεξίκουον αὐτοῦ.

25. παιδεύοντα] contrast ἀπαιδεύτους 29 and cf. Tit 2:12. The servant will be carrying out the work of grace.

τοὺς ἀντιδιατημένους] those who are adversely disposed; cf. Longinus, de Subl. 17, τρὸς τὴν πειθώ τῶν λόγων πάντως ἀντιδιατητεῖται (Field, Ot. Nervic. ad loc.).


δῷ] οὐκ ἑτερα, μὴ τοῦτο δυνηθῆς . . . τοῦ Κυρίου τὸ πᾶν γίνεται, Chrys. The form is optative, cf. 1:16-18; but both here and in Eph 1:15 the subjunctive δῷ would be more natural; cf. Moulton, N.T. Gr., p. 55; W.-H. ii. p. 168.

26. ἀνανήψωσα] cf. 4:6 ἠπέσε, and 1 Co 15:24 ἐκνήψατε δικαίως—there, too, out of ignorance (ἀγνωσία γὰρ θεοῦ ἐχουσιν) and profitless discussion about the Resurrection.


ἐλέγχημένου] cf. Lk 5:10 ἀνθρώποις ζητ. ζωγράφ., a saying of the Lord’s which may be in the writer’s mind. In the LXX the emphasis is nearly always on taking or on saving αἴρει; cf. Jos 2:18 6:25 9:20.

ιν’ αὐτῷ . . . εἰς τὸ ἑκείνου θέλημα] Four alternative translations are possible.

(i) “Having been captured by the devil to do his will”; cf. Ign. Eph. 17, μὴ αἰχμαλωτισθῇ ἡμᾶς ἐκ τοῦ προκείμενον ζην [ὁ ἄρχων τοῦ αἰῶνος τούτου] (so “a quo captivi tenetur ad ipsius voluntatem,” Vulg., A.V., most Patristic Comm., Holtzmann, Dibelius); ἑκείνου being substituted for αὐτοῦ to suggest a contrast with God whose will they ought to be doing,—“that false master’s will,”—cf. Test. XII. Patr., Nepht. 3, ἐν καθαρότητι καρδίας συνήσετε τὸ θέλημα τοῦ Θεοῦ κρατεῖν καὶ ἀπορρίπτειν τὸ θέλημα τοῦ Βέλαρ: cf. Wisd 1:16 2:18. But this adds no new thought and does not give its full force to ἐλέγχημένου.

(ii) After having been captured by the devil, they may return to do God’s will. “The true master’s will,” so Bernard, Wohlenberg; but the same objections hold good to this.

(iii) “Having been captured by God to do His will” (Thphl. εἰς τὸ ποιῆσαι τὸ θέλημα αὐτοῦ, cf. Heb 1:3:21), but it is doubtful whether God would be said ζωγράφων ἀνθρώποις.
(iv) Having been saved alive, captured into life, by the servant of the Lord to do the Lord’s will, and not the devil’s (Bengel, Wetstein, R.V. marg.).

This seems best, as (i) it gives its full force to εἰκονεύοντος: cf. the Inscr. from Apamea, “my greetings to the beloved of God and the newly-caught” (Authority and Archaeology, p. 384); cf. 2 Co 10 6 for a similar metaphor.

(ii) It makes εἰς ἐκεῖνον ἑλημα parallel to εἰς ἐπίγνωσιν ἀληθείας.

(iii) It ends on a note of hopefulness and encouragement to Timothy; cf. Chrys. de Sacerdoto, ii. 119, γενναίας ὁδὸν δεῖ ψυχῆς ἢν μὴ περικακῆ, ἢν μὴ ἀπογνώσκῃ τὴν τῶν τετελημένων σωτηρίαν, ἢν συνεχῶς ἐκεῖνο καὶ λογίζηται καὶ λέγῃ Μήποτε δῶ αὐτοῖς ὁ θεὸς ἐπίγνωσιν ἀληθείας καὶ ἀπαλλαγώσι τῆς τοῦ διαβόλου παγίδος.

iii. 1–iv. 8.—Further appeal to Timothy for boldness and loyalty, based on the thought of the last days and of the Final Judgment.

Remember, times will grow more difficult (1): professing Christians will prefer self and pleasure to God (2–5): false teachers will oppose the truth; their hearers will be at the mercy of each caprice and each novelty: they will have a temporary success (6–9 48. 44). But I trust you to face persecution and to remain loyal to my teaching, for you have my example to guide you (10–14): you have Holy Scripture to fit you for your task (15–17): the thought of the Judgment and the coming Kingdom both to awe and to encourage you (41–5), and my approaching death will throw all the responsibility upon you (6–8).

In this paragraph there is still the contrast between empty talk and real work, cf. 35. 7–17 τῶν ἔργων ἀγαθῶν, 45 ἔργων: but more markedly that between the source of the teaching—the Apostolic teaching, 310 48, and Holy Scripture, 315, as opposed to myths, 44: that between the character of the teacher, loyalty to tradition, 314 μένε, as opposed to love of novelty, 318 45: that between the result, in the one case, wisdom and salvation, 315, in the other, failure to lay hold of the truth, 37, and folly, 39.

Paraphrase. But things are not yet at their worst: we have been warned that, as the last days approach, there will be moments very difficult to face. Men’s affections will be set not on God, but on self, on money, and on pleasure. This will make them braggarts about what they have, overbearing to those who have not, quick to rail both at God and man, disobedient to parents, with no sense of gratitude to any, no respect for divine things or for human affection, implacable when offended, ready to speak evil of others, with no control over their own passions,
no human tenderness, no love for what is good or for those who are good, quite ready to betray their brethren, reckless in speech and action, conceited and puffed up. They will have all the externals of religion, but have long set at defiance its power over their lives. These, too, you must avoid. For it is from a society like this that arise those teachers who creep into private houses and take captive silly women, whose consciences are burdened with past sins, who are at the mercy of caprices of every kind, and so, though always pretending to learn, yet have no power of coming to any knowledge of truth. Yet, though these are their only followers, these men—just as Jannes and Jambres opposed Moses—oppose the truth, men whose intellect is completely debased, who can stand no test as to their faith. But they will not be able to get far; for their utter folly will be quite clear to every one, exactly as that of Jannes and Jambres was shown to be. But you I can trust, for you heartily became my follower; you listened to my teaching, imitated my manner of life; my aims became your aims, my faith your faith, my forbearance, my love, my endurance passed on to you; you know all my persecutions and sufferings; what sufferings befell me in Antioch, in Iconium, in Lystra; what persecutions I bore up against: yes, and the Psalmist's words came true, “out of them all the Lord delivered me.” Aye, and all who are minded to live a religious life in union with Christ Jesus will be persecuted. And malicious men will grow more malicious, impostors will get worse and worse, deceiving others and deceived themselves. But I appeal to you—stand firm in those truths that you first learned and in which your past life confirmed you, knowing who your teachers were, knowing, too, that from your cradle you have been taught religious teaching from Scriptures which have it in them, if you have true faith in Christ Jesus, to give you the true wisdom which leads to salvation. All Scripture is inspired by God, and therefore is useful for all your task—for teaching truth, for conviction of sin and refuting of false doctrine, for correction of faults, for discipline of character in the right way. It was given to make every one of God's men fit for his task, for it can fit him completely for every good work.

1. γινώσκε] not exactly “know,” as if the writer were communicating a new piece of knowledge, but “recognize,” “realize” the fulfilment of what you have heard; cf. Eur. _Alc._ 418, γινώσκε δὲ ὃς πάσιν ἡμῖν καθανεῖν ὅφειλεν. δὴ ... χαλεπόν, a semi-quotation of some eschatological prediction (cf. I 41), of the woes that would precede the παρονία: cf. Mk 13:19, Mt 24:12, 2 Th 2:2 δὲ ἐνστηκεν ἡ ἡμέρα, 2 P 3:8, Jude 18. This implies that the last days are already present and Timothy has to face them 8.
III. 1, 2.

2 Timothy 105

εν ἑσχ. ἡμέραις] the days preceding the παρουσία, based on Is 22 εν ταῖς ἑσχ. ἡμ., Acts 217. The omission of the article perhaps emphasizes the quality of those days “in days which are last and therefore worst”; cf. ἑσχάτη δόρα, 1 Jn 218; ἐν καιρῷ ἑσχάτῳ, 1 P 16 (ubi v. Hort.). Ign. Eph. 11, ἑσχάτοι καιροῖ: cf. also Gen 491 of Jacob in anticipation of his death, ἑσώχητε ὑμῖν τί ἀπαντήσει ὑμῖν ἐπ’ ἑσχ. τῶν ἡμέρων, which suggests little more than “hereafter.”

χαλεποί] hard for teachers, for the servant of the Lord to keep the spirit of 224-26; cf. Eph 516 ἐξαγοραζόμενοι τὸν καιρόν, ὅτι αἱ ἡμέραι πονηραί εἰσι.

2-5. This list is probably also based on some previous Apocalyptic (cf. Test. XII. Patr., Iss. 6, γεγνώκετε οὖν, τέκνα μου, ὅτι ἐν ἑσχάτοις καιροῖς καταλείπουσιν οἱ νεοὶ ὑμῶν τὴν ἄπλοτητα καὶ κολληθοῦνται τῇ ἀπλοτητῇ κ.τ.λ.—Assumption Mos. c. 7, Mt 2412); perhaps also with a reminiscence of Ro i. ii. (cf. 5 with Ro 220 ἐχοντα τὴν μόρφωσιν τῆς γνώσεως), as though Christian morality was in danger of falling back to the level of heathenism and Judaism. Here, however, there is no stress on individual immorality as in Ro 1: the main thought is that the love of self will lead to neglect of the duty to others and to God, nay more, to active wrong-doing to them.

φιλαυτοῖ . . . φιλέθεοι stand in sharp antithesis: φιλάργυροι and φιλάθροι are subdivisions of φιλαυτοί. The true centre of life is changed. Self has taken the place of God, so all sense of the duty to others, whether man or God, disappears. The rest are mainly ranged in pairs: Chrysostom, perhaps fancifully, assumes them to form a climax, each leading to the next after it. φιλαυτος was already a term of reproach in Greek Ethics (cf. Arist. Eth. Nic. ix. 8 for an interesting discussion of the problem in what sense it is a vice), and is placed by Philo in antithesis to the love of God, de Spec. Legg., p. 264 M, ὅτε φιλαυτίας ἐκλαθή-μενοι τοῦ πρὸς ἀληθείαν ὄντος θεοῦ (Wetstein).

φιλάργυροι] suggested by the chief danger at Ephesus, cf. I 610. There, it was the root of all evil; here, it is itself traced back to a root deeper down in human nature, the love of self.

ἀλαζόνες (cf. Ro 180, Jas 418, 1 Jn 216, “elati,” Vulg.; “insolentes,” Ambros.; “gloriosi,” Beza), ὑπερήφανοι (Lk 151, Jas 46, 1 P 56), βλασφημοι, all mainly faults of speech, bragadocio about self, boasting of one’s own gifts or pretending to those we have not (cf. Arist. Eth. N. iv. 7, Rhet. ii. 6; Theophr. Char. xxiii.); scornful arrogance in thought and word towards man and God (Theophr. Char. xxiv.); outspoken abuse and evil speaking, both manward and Godward; cf. Trench, Syn. § xxix. ἀλαζονεία and ὑπερήφανεια are combined in Clem. Rom. i. 16, Χριστὸς Ἰησοῦς οὖν ἐλθεν ἐν κόμπῳ ἀλαζονείας οὐδὲ ὑπερηφανείας . . . ἀλλὰ
tapeinoφρον, and the spirit of the two underlies the Pharisee's prayer, Lk 1811.12.

γνοείσιν ἀτελείας] Ro 120; cf. I i3, Tit i6, Eph 64.

αὐτάρκης] both to men and God; cf. Ro 121, Ecclus 1728.29, and contrast Eph 520 εὐχαριστοῦντες πάντως ὑπὲρ πάντων.


ἀπόνθησι] “implacable when offended”; cf. Trench, Syn. § liii: but it may also include the thought “untrue to σπουδαί already made,” “faithless to their pledged word”; cf. ἀσυνθετοι, Ro 811; “sine pace,” Vulg.; “sine fide,” Ambros.

διαβολοι] cf. Ti 311, Tit 28; it may include the two thoughts “slanderers” and “setters at variance,” promoting quarrels in the hope that they may gain from them.

ἀνήμεροι] cf. κακὰ θηρία, Tit 112; ὥς τὰ ἄλογα ζώα, Jude 10.

ἀφιλάγαθοι] no lovers of what is good (“sine benignitate,” Vulg.), or, of those that are good (“bonorum inimici,” Ambros.), cf. Tit 8 note; cf. ἀφιλόκαλος (Plut. Qu. Conv. v. i), and the interesting contrast between Antoninus and his father in Pap. Oxyr. i. 33, τὸ μὲν πρῶτον ὅν φιλόσοφος, τὸ δεύτερον ἀφιλάργυρος, τὸ τρίτον φιλαγάθος: σοι τούτων τὰ ἐνάντια ἐνεκεῖται, τυραννία, ἀφιλαγάθια, ἀπαίδια (Qu. = ἀπαιδευτικά).

προδόται] cf. Mt 2410 καὶ ἄλληλους παραδόσοντες, and Clem. Rom. i. 5 for the part which jealousy played in the Neronian persecution.


τετυφωμένοι] I 36 note, 64.

φιλήδονοι corresponds at the end to φιλάργυροι: at the beginning, both expressions of φιλανθρωπία and pointing the contrast to φιλάργυροι: Bengel's comment is “Epicureorum epitheton,” but Epicurus held that the φιλήδονοι must be φιλόκαλοι καὶ φιλοδίκαιοι: cf. Cic. ad Fam. xv. 10. For the contrast, cf. Philo, de agric. c. 19, φιλήδονοι καὶ φιλοπαθή μᾶλλον ἢ φιλάργυροι καὶ φιλόθεον (Wetstein); cf. Phil 319 οὐ δὲ θεός η κολλία.

5. μόρφωσιν] “speciem pietatis,” Vulg.; “formam,” Ambros.; “deformationem,” Cypr.: having all externals of religion, or, perhaps, a power of showing such externals. This may include (a) having a correct creed; cf. Ro 220 ἔχοντα τὴν μόρφωσιν τῆς γνώσεως καὶ τῆς ἀληθείας ἐν τῷ νόμῳ: (b) a form of worship and external expressions of religion, “in habitu vel doctrina,” Pelag.; cf. Philo, de plant. c. 17, εἰσὶ τῶν ἐπιμορφαζόντων εὐσέβειαν (Wetstein).

καὶ τούτους] those too as well as the controversialists of 228-8.

αἴχμαλωτιζόντες] the Hellenistic form for the Attic αἰχμαλωτισθείς, Nägeli, p. 28; Rutherford, New Phrynichus, cccxvii.

σεσωσυρεμένα] heaped up, overladen; cf. 48, Barnab. 4. 6, ἐπισωσυρεύοντας ταῖς ἀμαρτίαις ὑμῶν. They have become caricatures of true womanhood. ἀγάμενα; cf. 1 Co 122 and contrast Ro 814, Gal 518. ποικίλας of many kinds, including sensual desires (cf. Iren. l.c.), but also the desire for novelties (cf. 48), for the name of learned women, “mentis et carnis” (Bengel).

7. μανθάνοντα] cf. I 518, where there is a similar oxymoron ἄργας μανθάνον. εἰς ἐπίγνωσιν ἀληθ. 228. A change of heart might still enable them to know: they would then regain the power which true piety gives, cf. δυνάμεια with τὴν δύναμιν 5; cf. Hermas, Sim. 9. 22, θελοντες πάντα γυνώσκειν καὶ οὐδὲν ὅλως γυνώσκοντι.

8. ἰαννής καὶ ἰαμβρῆς] (or possibly Μαμβρῆς, which is found in the Western texts and in the Talmud). An ad hominem illustration. They are fond of their Jewish myths and genealogies: well, the nearest analogy to themselves to be found there is that of magicians whose folly was exposed. δὲ τρόπον may perhaps imply similarity of method, that these teachers used magic arts like the Egyptian magicians; cf. γόντες18 and Acts 1919. The reference is to Ex 711 911. The names are not found in O.T., Philo, or Josephus, but in slightly different forms in late Jewish Targums, one perhaps as early as the first Christian century (Schechter, Documents of Jewish Sectaries, i. p. 5); in heathen writers (Pliny, Hist. Nat. xxx. i. 11; Apuleius, Apol. c. xc.), and in several Christian Apocryphal writings, e.g. Evangel. Nicodemi, c. 5). Origen twice (ad Matth. 276 2337) refers to an Apocryphal book with the title “Jannes et Mambres.” The names are apparently Semitic, perhaps meaning “the rebel” and “the opponent” (so Thackeray, The Relation of St. Paul to Contemporary Jewish Thought, pp. 216–21). For fuller details, cf. Schürer, H.J.P. (Eng. tr.) ii. 3. 149, Wetstein, Holtzmann, Dibelius, and W.-H. Notes on Select Readings, ad loc.


10. Cf. 15. 8. There, the appeal was to his start in life; here, to his start in the Christian life.

παρηκολούθησας is capable of different shades of meaning, to follow in mind, to understand; cf. Epict. i. 9; Marc. Aurel. iii. 1, iv. 9, vii. 4: to imitate; to accompany: here it changes as St.
Paul mentions his teaching, his Christian virtues, the events of his life. For the list, cf. 2 Co 6:4-11.

τῇ δεδωκαί, τῇ ἀγωγῇ, τῇ προθέσει] possibly all in an active sense—my teaching of you, my training of you (cf. Plutarch, περὶ παιδῶν ἀγωγῆς), my suggestion of tasks for you to perform, cf. Plat. Rep. 413 C, προθεμένους ἔργα: Crito, 51 E, προτείνων ἡμῶν... 

Possibly all in an active sense—my teaching of you, my training of you (cf. Plutarch, περὶ παιδῶν ἀγωγῆς), my suggestion of tasks for you to perform, cf. Plat. Rep. 413 C, προθεμένους ἔργα: Crito, 51 E, προτείνων ἡμῶν...

λου ὑμῖν (v. Expositor, Nov. 1919); but could προθέσει be so used without an explanatory genitive? If not, we must translate—my doctrine (I 4.6), my manner of life (cf. Esth 4:16, 2 Mac 4:16; Pap. Tebt. i. 24:57 μοχθηρὰν ἀγωγὴν, M.M. s.v.; Nägeli, p. 34), my own purpose (cf. Acts 11:23 27:13, 2 Mac 9:27 παρακολουθοῦντα τῇ ἐμῇ προαιρέσει).

τῇ ὑπομνή] cf. Clem. Rom. i. 5 of Paul, ὑπομνήσεις γενόμενος μέγιστος ὑπογραμμός. "Vivam nobis boni doctoris imaginem depringit nempe qui non oratione modo formet ac instituat suos discipulos sed pectus quoque suum quodammodo illis aperiat ut intelligat ex animo ipsum docere qure docet" (Calvin).

11. οἰδα μοι ἐγένετο] St. Paul enumerates the first only of a long train of persecutions, 2 Co 11:30-33. Timothy was not his companion in these; but he doubtless heard of them and followed St. Paul in spite of them. On account of this difficulty Wohlenberg separates this verse from 10, and treats it as an exclamation. Oh, what I suffered! what persecutions I endured from the first—yet the Lord delivered me!

ἐκ πάντων κ.τ.λ.] cf. 4:18. There is here perhaps a conscious reminiscence of Ps 33:18 and 20.

ἐκκραζαν οἱ δικαιοι καὶ ο Κύριος εἰσηγκονειν αὐτῶν καὶ ἐκ πασῶν τῶν θελίσεων αὐτῶν ἐρύσατο αὐτοῖς

καὶ ἐκ πασῶν αὐτῶν βούσται αὐτοῖς.

12. Cf. 1 Th 3:2 μελόμεν ἑλιβεσθαί, Acts 14:22 διὰ πολλῶν θελίσεων δεί ήμας εἰσελθείν εἰς τήν βασιλείαν τοῦ θεοῦ—words which Timothy probably heard when spoken (Hillard). Probably in each case there is a reminiscence of Mt 5:10-11 or some similar saying of the Lord: Prochorus (Acta Joh., p. 83) quotes the words of Acts 14:22 as a saying of the Lord; cf. Resch, Agrapha, pp. 100, 148, 278; Paulinismus und die Logia, p. 452. Pelagius makes the testing comment: "Timendum ergo nobis est ne non pie vivamus, qui nihil patimur propter Deum."


γόητες] "seductores," Vulg.; impostors, as often in Hellenistic
Greek (cf. Wetstein): so γυνεῖα, “crafty guile,” 2 Mac 12.24; but it may also imply the use of magical arts; cf. 8 and 15 notes.

προκόφουσιν] not of external influence as in 9, but of internal downgrave development as in 216 g.v.

πλανώμενον] probably passive: deceived by ὁ πονηρός, Mt 6.18, or by other teachers, the phrase being almost proverbial; cf. Philo, de migratione Abraham, c. 15 (cf. the Egyptian magicians), ἀπατῶν δοκοῦντες ἄπατῶνται: Ovid, Met. xiv. 81, “deceptaque decipta omnes”; Aug. Confess. vii. 2, “deceptos illos et decep­tores” (v. Wetstein, Dibelius, for these and other illustrations); cf. 2 Th 2.11.

14. σῦ δὲ] returning to the appeal of 10 and to the thought of 2.16.


τίνων] will include both the Apostle (10) and the home teachers (15), and, perhaps, the many witnesses of 2. For the reading, cf. Introd., p. xxxvii.

15. ἀπὸ βρέφους] The Jewish parent’s duty was to teach his child the Law when in his fifth year; cf. Philo, Leg. ad Caïum, p. 562, c. 16, δεδιδαγμένους ἐξ αὐτῶν τρόπων τινὰ σπαργάδων ὧν γονέων; cf. Joseph. c. Apion. 1. 12; Susanna 3, 4 Mac 18.9.

ἱερὰ γράμματα] The reference is doubtless to the O.T. (cf. Test. XII. Patr., Levi xiii. 2, infra); but he does not use the full phrase, “the Holy Scriptures,” τὰ ιερὰ γράμματα (common in Josephus), or τὰς ιερὰς γραφάς, but ιερὰ γρ. (a) Because he is laying stress on Timothy’s knowledge, and uses a technical phrase of education—“religious teaching,” “sacred letters”; cf. Jn 7.15 πῶς οὗτος γράμματα οἶδεν, μὴ μεμαθηκὼς: Is 29.11 ἀνθρώπῳ ἐπιστημένῳ γράμματα: Test. XII. Patr., Levi xiii. 2, διδάξατε δὲ καὶ ὑμεῖς τὰ τέκνα ὑμῶν γράμματα ἵνα ἤξιον γίνονται . . . αναγνώσκοντες ἀδιαλείπτως τὸν νόμον. For instances from the papyri, cf. M.M. s.vv. γράμμα καὶ ἀγράμματος.

(b) Possibly also he wishes to hint at an antithesis both to the unwritten myths and genealogies of the false teachers and to the Ἐφέσια γράμματα, the sacred books and charms of the magicians at Ephesus, Acts 19.10 (Encycl. B. ii. col. 1304). Your text-books were Scriptures, not tradition; they were ιερά, not βιβλία.
σοφίσαι] a contrast to ἄνων (9) and πλανώμενοι (15), with perhaps a reminiscence of Ps 18:8 ἡ μαρτυρία Κυρίου πιστῆ, σοφίζονσα νύπτια (cf. ἀπὸ βρέφους).


dιὰ πίστεως] if combined with faith, not otherwise; cf. Jn 5:28-29.

16. πάσα γραφή] all Scripture, everything which has become recognized as authoritative Scripture; cf. 2 P 1:20 πᾶσα προφητεία γραφής. Wohlenberg would include any Christian writings which had become so recognized by this time, cf. I 5:18 note; but this is scarcely consistent with 16, γραφή defining more exactly the γράμματα in which Timothy had been trained from childhood.

θεόπνευστος] inspired by God, "divinitus inspirata," Vulg.; but perhaps also, "with its breath given it by God," so "conveying inspiration," Scripture being personified, cf. δυνάμενα 16, Heb 4:12; so Bengel, "Non solum dum scripta est Deo spirante per scriptores; sed etiam dum legitur Deo spirante per scripturam et scriptura ipsa spirante"; cf. also Cremer, Wörterbuch, s.v. Here it is, perhaps, an attribute, "all inspired Scripture is also useful," but also is not needed in this case; better—a predicate —"All Scripture is inspired by God (contrast ἀντολαῖς ἀνθρώπων, Tit 1:14), and therefore useful" (ὡφέλιμος—contrast ἀνωφέλειας, Tit 3:9). For the Jewish and Christian conceptions of Inspiration, cf. Westcott, Study of the Gospels (Introduction); Ep. Hebrews (Appendix); Sanday, Bampton Lectures, esp. Lecture II.; Armitage Robinson, Some Thoughts on Inspiration. This is no complete definition of the purposes of Holy Scripture, and cannot be quoted as ruling out other purposes; a different purpose, to give men hope, is ascribed to it in Ro 15:11. Here stress is only laid on such as affect the teacher's task in face of misleading teaching; cf. I 1:8-10. It should be compared with God's method, as described in Ecclus 18:13-14 ἐλέγχων καὶ παιδεῶν καὶ διδάσκων καὶ ἐπιστρέφων ὡς ποιμήν τὸ ποίμνιον αὐτοῦ (Bengel), and with the value attributed by Epictetus to the Greek mysteries, οὕτως ὁφέλμα γίνεται τὰ μυστήρια... ὅτι ἐπὶ παιδεία καὶ ἐπανάρθωσι τοῦ βίου κατεστάθη πάντα ταῦτα ὑπὸ τῶν παλαιῶν, iii. 21. 15 (Wetstein).


ἐπανόρθωσι] correction, recovery, setting upright on their moral feet; cf. Epict. l.c. and Enchir. 51. 5, τὴν ἐπανόρθωσιν ποιῆσαι τὴν σωτηρίαν (Wohlenberg); and for illustrations from the papyri, v. M.M. s.v.
παίδειαν τὴν ἐν δίκ. ] the final training in an active Christian life ; cf. Tit 2:11-14 παίδευσε· ημᾶς κ.τ.λ.

17. ἀρτιός] here only in N.T., fit for his task ; cf. 2:21 εὐχρήστων τῷ δεσπότῃ, εἰς πᾶν ἔργον ἄγαθον ἡτοιμασμένον.

οῦ τοῦ θεοῦ ἀνθρώπος] Is this the teacher fitted for his task by the study of Holy Scripture? or the pupil fitted for his task by the teacher's training? The context favours the former, cf. I 6:11; but the analogy of 2:1, I 5:10, Tit 3:1, makes the wider reference more probable, by which every Christian is thought of as “a man of God.” The thought of Lk 6:40 κατηρτισμένος δὲ πᾶς ἕστατο ὁ διδάσκαλος αὐτοῦ, supplies a link between the two applications.

κατηρτισμένος] cf. κατηρτισμένος, Lk 6:40, of the pupil trained by the teacher, and πρὸς τὸν κατηρτισμὸν τῶν ἁγίων εἰς ἔργον διακονίας, Eph 4:12, of the training of the Saints by the Ministry for their work of service.

iv. 1-8. Final appeal based on the coming judgment and the writer's approaching death. You have followed me loyally thus far : I charge you to follow me further, and to remain true to the truth until the end.

As in the sight of God and of Christ Jesus who shall come to judge us all whether living or dead, as you would be ready to welcome His Appearing, as you would hope to share His Kingdom, I charge you, preach the message of the Gospel, stand up to your task boldly, in season and out of season, whether you are welcome or unwelcome, refute false teaching, rebuke wrong-doers, pass censure on those who refuse to obey, encourage those who do, never failing in patience, using every method of teaching. For a time will come when men will not tolerate the sound teaching, nay, led, each by his own caprice, they will pile teacher upon teacher, and burden upon burden on their own backs; with ears always itching for some novelty, they will refuse to listen to the simple truth, they will turn aside to listen to all those empty legends. But do you keep calm, keep self-restrained in all things, be ready to face suffering: your work is to preach good tidings, preach them fully; your task is a task of ministry, perform it to the full. For I shall have to leave you to yourself: my life-blood is on the point of being poured out as a libation to God: the moment is close at hand when I must strike my tent and be gone. Yes: I have fought my fight, and it was the right fight: I have come to the end of the course; I have kept faith with my Master. So henceforth there is stored up safely for me the crown of a righteous life: the Lord will award it to me on that great day: yes, but not only to me, but
112  THE PASTORAL EPistles  [IV. 1, 2.

also to all who have set their hearts on His appearing. We shall be together with Him whom we love.

Note.—(i) This paragraph completes the appeal of 18 28-18, and prepares the way for the request of 9. For the main thought of it, cf. 2 Th 15-12, 2 Co 51-11.

(ii) In vv. 6-8 there seems to be a conscious reminiscence of Phil 28 27 118.14. If St. Paul is the writer, he may be deliberately recalling to Timothy's mind the words of that Epistle, of which Timothy was probably the amanuensis. "What I dictated to you then—that I was willing to depart and to have my life-blood poured out—is now come to the test. I am face to face with it now."

(iii) From Chrysostom onwards commentators have wondered whether St. Paul can be cleared of the charge of self-praise in this passage. It is true that St. Paul is always over self-conscious (cf. 1 Th 28-8, 2 Co 11-16); the break in his life by conversion, and the constant opposition which he had to face, made him such; but with St. Paul there is always Χριστός behind the έγώ (Gal 26), always the thought of the grace which enables him who can do nothing by himself to do all things in its strength (1 Co 1510, Phil 415, 1 Ti 112); and to one who so recognizes the power which enables him to be what he is, there is a true self-confidence, a legitimate self-praise; especially when, as here, the purpose is to give confidence to a younger man to follow. May it not even be that St. Paul, who was constantly "bearing about the dying of Jesus" (2 Co 110), may have been thinking of His Master's confidence that His work was completely done, and that He could confidently commit His spirit into His Father's hands? (Lk 2336, Jn 173 1930).

1. διαμαρτήρωναί κ.τ.λ.] For a similar appeal to the thought of the judgment, cf. I 591 610-16; and for the construction with an accusative, τήν επιφάνειαν: cf. 1 Th 527, Mk 51 άρκιζω οτι τόν θεόν.

κρίνειν Ἰ. καὶ ν.] perhaps already a fixed formula in a baptismal creed, cf. Acts 1042, 1 P 46; here perhaps with the personal thought, "you alive and me dead," or "both of us, whether alive or dead."

επιφάνειαν] cf. I 614, Tit 115 note; τήν βασιλείαν, cf. 16 and 2 Th 16 εἰς το καταξιωθηναι ὑμᾶς τής βασιλείας τοῦ θεοῦ. The kingdom which we may hope to share, 215.

2. τῶν λόγων] absolutely, cf. 1 Th 16, Gal 66; cf. supr. 29 τοῦ θεοῦ, 15 τῆς ἀληθείας.

εἰστηθί] "insta." Vulg. stand forward, stand up to your hearers; cf. Jer 4614 = 2614 LXX, εἰστηθί καὶ εὑρημασον.

εὐκαίρως εὐκαίρως] semi-proverbial, "at all times": both
whether or no the moment seems fit to your hearers, "welcome or not welcome"; cf. 3, 31 καρτοι χαλεποί, Acts 24,25 καρον δὲ μεταλαβών μετακαλεώμαι σε: and "whether or no it is convenient to you" (cf. 1 Co 16,12 διαν εὐκαρπίατι, Acts 1721), "in otio vel negotio," "on duty or off duty," "in the pulpit or out of it," "take or make your opportunity." So Paul himself had preached ἐν διασώτητι καὶ ἐν πλοίῳ καὶ παρακεκλήματι τραπέζης (Thdt.); cf. Sen. Ep. 121, "Et virtutes exhortabor et vitia converterabo; licet aliquis nimium immoderatumque in hac parte me judicet, non desistam" (Wetstein).

ἐλεγξον (cf. 318) ἐπιτίμησον (cf. 2 Co 26) παρακάλεσον (ibid. 8).

St. Paul's treatment of the offender at Corinth is a good illustration of this combination, 1 Co 5-5, 2 Co 5-11.

8. τῆς ὅν. διδασκ.] 1 r0 note, Tit 19 21; ἐπισωφρόνουσι, 36, suggests a confused crowd of teachers, each teaching different things, so becoming a burden too heavy for the mind to bear.

κηθόδεμενοι] "being pleased, having their ears tickled by each new teacher" (τερτόμενοι, Thdt.): cf. Clem. Alex. Strom. i. c. 3, of the Sophists as teachers, κηθόδες καὶ γαργαλίδες τις ἀκοὰς τῶν κνήσασθαι γλυκομένων (Wetstein); Lucian, de Sallat. ii. 266, τὸ ὄμοιον πεπόθως τούς τὰ ὅτα πτερον κνομένους (Harrison, P. E., p. 165); or "having itching ears, and desiring to get the itching scratched." "prurientes," Vulg.; cf. Acts 1721 ewise οἴδεν ἐτερον ἐδκαίρου ἢ λέγειν τι ἢ ἀκούν τι κανότερον.

4. τοὺς μοῦνοι] 1 r4 47, Tit r14. The article is half contemptuous—those many myths on the knowledge of which they pride themselves (cf. τῆς φιλοσοφίας, Col 28), profane and old womanish as they are!

ἐκτραπήσονται] perhaps passive, "will be turned by their teachers," but more probably middle: cf. 1 r6 518.

5. νήφε] The word is probably suggested by the self-control of the athlete in training (1); cf. νήφε ὡς Θεοῦ ἀθλητής, Ign. ad Polyc. 2; here it implies free from excitement about novelties, self-controlled, vigilant. "Opposed to the morbid habit of mind which craves for fables rather than the naked truth" (Hort on 1 P 118), cf. 1 Th 5-6, and Marcus Aurelius' description of his father's qualities, νήφον ἐν πάσῃ καὶ βέβαιον καὶ μηθαυμὸν ἀπειρόκαλον μηδὲ καινοτόμον, Comm. 1. § 16. κακοπάθησον, cf. 1 r8 28.

ἐργον (cf. 215 1 3) εὐαγγελιστοῦ. Perhaps a special title; cf. Acts 218, Eph 411: "one who has to spread the knowledge of the Gospel, a missionary"; but the thought of a missionary is not specially appropriate to Timothy, τῶν διακονίαν that follows is not official, and this phrase rather sums up the whole teaching of the Epistle than adds a new command. Hence the stress is on εὐαγγελίον: do the work of one who has a Gospel, not myths and genealogies, to teach, who lays stress on "Jesus
Christ risen from the dead” (28), and on the whole of my Gospel; cf. 18.10 28, I 11. The command follows κακοπάθησον, for which cf. 18 note, and Mk 8:85.

τὴν διακονίαν] thy task of service to the Church and its work, cf. 11, I 12.

πληροφόρησόν] “imple,” Vulg., fulfil, carry it out to the end; cf. 17, Lk 11.

6. οπλίσκωμαι] “deliber,” Vulg.; “libor,” Cypr.; cf. Phil 2:17; ubi v. Lightfoot, and cf. Ign. Rom. c. 2, πλέων μοι μὴ παράσχω σε τοῦ σπανιαθῆναι Θεῷ, ὡς ἐκ θυσιαστήριον ἐτοιμῶν ἐστίν. The metaphor rests on the Jewish belief in the sacrificial value of a martyr’s death; cf. Charles on Rev 6:8. In the similar metaphor as used by Seneca and Thrasea, Tac. Ann. xv. 64 (“libare se liquorem illum Jovi liberatori”), xvi. 35, the comparison seems to be between death and the close of a feast at which a libation was poured to Zeus σωτήρ. Hence there the active is used; here οπλίσκωμαι is probably passive. His whole life has been a sacrifice: now the libation is ready to be poured upon it.

ἀναλύεσω] cf. Phil 1:28; Clem. Rom. i. 44. Philo, in Flaccum, 21, p. 544 M, τὴν ἐκ τοῦ βίου τελευταίαν ἀνάλυσιν. ᾑρίγ. Gr. 340. 7, ἐς θεούς ἀνέλυσα, I.G.S. 1794 καὶ πῶς μοι βεβιώται καὶ πῶς ἀνέλυσα μαθήσα (Nägeli, p. 34). The metaphor is either from a sailor loosing from his moorings or a soldier striking his tent: the next words (τὸν ἀγώνα κ.τ.λ.) make the latter the more probable.

7. The stress is mainly on the perfect tenses: “my fight is over, my task ended.” Cf. Verg. AEn. 4. 653-55,

“Vixi et quem cursum dedecet fortuna peregi,
Et nunc magna mei sub terras ibit imago,”

but secondarily on his own achievement, “I chose the right contest, I have kept on running, I have kept faith.” There is here a true pride in true achievement, in the power given by Christ. Cf. Jn 17:4, I Co 15:10: stressed here in order to encourage Timothy, οὐ μεγαληγγορὼν ἄλλ’ ἀνιστᾶς τὸν παῖδα (Chrys.)

τὸν ἀγώνα τὸν καλὸν] cf. I 4:10 6:12. The metaphor may be from the arena; cf. Philo, Leg. Alleg. ii. 26, p. 86 M, of the fight of the soul against pleasure, κάλλιστον ἀγώνα τοῦτον διάβλησον καὶ σπούδασον στήμαθηναι . . . καλὸν καὶ εὐκλεᾶ στέφανον; or from the battlefield; cf. 24 and the Athenian Inscription, Syll. 214:10 Ἀθηναίοι καὶ Δακεδαμίαν . . . πολλοὺς καὶ καλοὺς ἀγώνας ἑγωνίσαντο μετ’ ἄλληλων (M.M. s.v.).


τὴν πίστιν τετήρηκα] perhaps, “I have carefully guarded the faith,” cf. I 6:14, Eph 4:8; or “I have kept faith with my
master,” “I have been true to my promises”: cf. Joseph. B.J. vi. § 345, καταφυγον πίστεις ἐπήρημα: Polyb. io. 37, τὴν πρὸς Πομπαλίου τυρείν πίστιν (with other instances in Wetstein and Dibelius).

8. ἀπόκειται] is stored away safely; cf. Col 1 & OGIS. 383 189 ois ἀποκέισται παρὰ θεῶν καὶ Ἱρῶν χάρις εἰσεβελασ, and other inscriptions in M.M. s.v.

τῆς δικαιοσύνης] the crown which belongs to, which is won by righteousness; perhaps also the crown which consists in perfect eternal righteousness; cf. Job 33 28 ἀποδώσεις ἀνθρώπωις δικαιοσύνην, and this is parallel to τὸν στέφανον τῆς ζωῆς, Rev 2 10, 1 P 5, Jas 1, all probably based upon some unwritten saying of the Lord (cf. Resch, Agrapha, p. 252). Cf. Wisd 4, of virtue, ἐν τῷ αἴῶνι στέφανον φοροῦσα ποιμενεῖ, τὸν τῶν ἀμαντῶν άθλων ἀγώνα νικήσασα.

ἀποδώσει] corresponding to ἀπόκειται: give as due to him, give back what he has deposited with him, what he has earned (cf. παραβῆκα, p. 90). The thought here is not that of a generous giver, but of a righteous judge. Cf. 14, Ro 7 ὡς ἀποδώσει ἐκαστῷ κατὰ τὰ ἔργα αὐτῶν, and Heb 12 τὰ παιδεία . . . κατα ποιμήν τῶν δια καθεν οὑς γεγυμνασμένοι ἀποδίδουσι δικαιοσύνης: and for the thought, Ign. ad Polyc. 6, τὰ δεσμῶμα ὑμῶν τὰ ἐργα ὑμῶν, ἵνα τὰ ἄκκεπτα ὑμῶν ἄξια κομίσῃς: 2 Jn 8.

οὐ μόνον δὲ ἐμοὶ] added not only to encourage Timothy, but perhaps also to emphasize the blessing in store. We shall be with many others there; cf. 1 Th 4 17 σὺν αὐτῶι . . . σὺν κυρίῳ.


τοῖς ἡγαπηκόντι] cf. Jas 1 ὁν ἐπηγείλετο τοὺς ἡγαπηκόν αὐτῶν: here the tense is viewed from the time of the judgment; cf. 1 Ti 6 17 ἀλπικέναι. For this aspect of the Christian life, cf. Tit 2, 1 Co 17, and 4 Esdr 7—

“They shall rejoice with boldness,
be confident without confusion,
be glad without fear:
for they are hastening to behold the face of him
whom in life they served and from whom they are
destined to receive their reward in glory” (Box).

It is suggestive, but scarcely suitable to the context, to combine with this the thought of love for the first Appearing, or love for the many manifestations of Christ to the believer's heart (Chrys.).

9–18. Appeal to Timothy to join him quickly, and assurance of God’s protection.
Paraphrase. Make every effort to come speedily; I am very lonely; Demas deserted me; his heart was set not on the appearing of the Lord, but on what this present world can offer, and he went off to Thessalonica; Crescens is gone to Galatia, Titus to Dalmatia. Luke is with me, but he is single-handed. Pick up Mark on your journey and bring him with yourself, for he is most useful—always ready for any service. As for Tychicus, I am sending him to Ephesus. The cloak which I left behind in the Troad with Carpus, bring with you when you come, also my papers, but above all I want the rolls. Alexander, the worker in bronze, showed me much ill-will and did me much harm: I leave him to the Lord's judgment, who will give every man his due reward. But I advise you, too, to be on your guard against him, for he bitterly opposed all that we said. At the first hearing of my case no one appeared to support me; nay, every one deserted me: may it not be laid to their charge. But the Lord stood by my side, and inspired me with strength, that by my mouth the proclamation of the Gospel might be fully made, and all the Gentiles might hear it. Aye, and I was delivered from the very jaws of the lion. The Lord will deliver me again from every harmful deed, and will carry me safe into His Kingdom, that Kingdom of His in the heavens. To Him be all glory, age after age. Amen.

This paragraph is partly an appeal to Timothy, partly an encouragement to him by the stress laid on the Lord's protection of the writer (17, 18). In the latter part the language is perhaps coloured by that of the Lord's Prayer (cf. Chase, The Lord's Prayer in the Early Church, Texts and Studies, i. 3, pp. 119-22); and throughout there is much similarity with that of the 22nd Psalm:

Cf. Ps 22

Had St. Paul, like his Master, been saying this Psalm in the hour of desertion?

For the interpretation on the assumption that these verses incorporate earlier notes from St. Paul to Timothy, cf. Introduction, p. xxxii.
10. Δημᾶς (probably a shortened form of Demetrius; it appears also as a woman's name, Pap. Oxyr. iii. 506), Col 414 (ubi v. Lightfoot, who suggests that he was a native of Thessalonica), Philem 24. In the Acta Pauli et Thecla, cc. 1. 4. 12. 14. 16, he appears as a jealous and treacherous companion of St. Paul; in Epiphani. Har. li. 6, as an apostate. If he could be identified with the Demetrius of 3 Jn 12 the opposite was the case, and he, like Mark, returned to true loyalty (cf. J. Th. St., April 1904, pp. 362–66, 527, 528).

ἀγαπήσας] perhaps with intentional contrast to ἡγαπηκότι, and so τὸν νῦν αἰώνα τῷ ἐπιφάνειαν. The suggestion is that his courage failed; cf. Polyc. ad Phil. 9, of Paul and other martyrs, οὕ γὰρ τὸν νῦν ἡγαπηκόταν αἰώνα ἀλλὰ τὸν ὑπὲρ ἡμῶν ἀποθανόντα.

Κρήσσος (a Latin name; cf. Tac. Hist. i. 76 of a freedman of Nero, Ann. xx. 11 of a centurion), not mentioned elsewhere in N.T. By later tradition bishop of Chalcedon in Gaul (Chronicon Pasch. 121), and founder of the Churches of Vienne and Mayence (Acta Sanctorum, June 27; Menologion, May 30).

Γαλατια] i.e. either Galatia, as always in St. Paul, or possibly Gaul; so § C, Γαλλία, cf. Introd., p. xxxvii; cf. Monument. Ancyr. vi. 20, xvi. 1, εἰς Ἱσπανίας καὶ Γαλλίας καὶ παρὰ Δαλματῶν, and this was the current Greek name for Gaul in the 1st and 2nd centuries A.D. There is a similar ambiguity in 1 Mac 8. Theod.-Mops. interprets it of Gaul, τὰς νῦν καλομένας Γαλλίας, οὕτως γὰρ αὐτὰς πάντες ἐκάλουν οἱ παλαιοὶ, and he appeals to Josephus' history of the Jews (? de Bell. Jud. ii. 16, v. Swete's note). Theodoret is even stronger—Τὰς Γαλλίας οὕτως ἐκάλουσαν; οὕτω γὰρ ἐκάλοντο πάλαι, οὕτω δὲ καὶ νῦν αὐτὰς ὁμολογοῦν οἱ τῆς ἐξω πανέλθειν μετεληχαῖτος. For the usage: v. Lightfoot, Galatians, pp. 3 note and 31; Encycl. B., s.v. ii. 1616. If this interpretation is right, it is an indication of St. Paul's interest in Churches west of Rome, and would support the theory that he went to Spain (Zahn, Einl., p. 415).

Δαλματίαν (or possibly Δαλματίαν, Deissmann, B.S., p. 182), the southern part of Illyricum, cf. Ro 15.

μόνος] perhaps suggesting Luke's feeling of loneliness and need of some helpers. It has been inferred from this that Luke was the amanuensis who wrote this letter.


ἀναλαβὼν] Acts 2013, 14. εὐχρηστος, cf. 21, Philem 11. εἰς διακονίαν, either for personal service in prison, or for missions to the city, or for help in worship. Mark had proved his capacity as υπηρέτης, Acts 13; as συνεργὸς εἰς τὴν βασιλείαν, Col 4; as a comforter in trouble (ibid.); and, like Onesimus, though once ἀχρηστος, had become εὐχρηστος again.
12. Τυχικός] of Asia (Acts 20) the companion of the first imprisonment, sent with Ephesians and Colossians, Eph 6, Col 4, and by later tradition bishop of Colophon or of Chalcedon (Menologion, Dec. 9). This statement would have come more naturally after 10: perhaps the writer had forgotten it for a moment and now adds it, cf. 1 Cor 116; or it may imply that Tychicus is being sent to take Timothy's place at Ephesus, cf. Tit 312.

13. φαύλοντα (Latin pænula, but it is uncertain which language borrowed from the other): either (1) a warm cloak for travelling or winter wear (cf. 21), such as was used by the lower classes at this time, though the use of it was allowed to senators by Alexander Severus; cf. Ælius Lampridius, "pænulis intra urbem frigoris causa ut senes uteruntur permisit, cum id vestimenti genus semper itinerarium aut pluviae fuisse" (Wetstein). It is found either in this form or in the diminutive φαυλόλιον in the Papyri (Pap. Oxyr. vi. 933 sq. and other instances in Dibelius). The form φαυλόλιον was used later for the chasuble in the Greek Church, but there is nothing in the context here to suggest such an allusion. Farrar compares the story of Tyndale in prison writing to beg for a woollen shirt and his Hebrew Bible, Grammar, and Dictionary; cf. Pap. Oxyr. xii. 1583, Ἐνδοὺ παρὰ Ἰσιδώρου τού χάριν τοῦ [φαυλόλιον καὶ ἀπέν[εγ]κοιν παρὰ Καλύκην, where it is one of a parcel of clothes, cf. Expositor, April 1918: or (2) a woollen wrap for carrying books safely: Chrysostom suggests this as an alternative, and it is adopted by Birt, Das Antike Buchwesen, p. 65; Milligan, N.T. Documents, p. 20; Latham, The Risen Master, p. 463 note. The context suggests this, though the use is not found elsewhere except in comments on the verse and in the Lexica which may draw inferences from it; cf. Dict. Christ. Antiq. s.v.

τὰ βιβλία] papyrus letters, possibly copies of his own correspondence.

μεμβράνον] probably rolls of the O.T. (so Thd. Thdt. Milligan, u.s.; Kenyon, Our Bible and the Ancient MSS, p. 94); or possibly official copies of the Lord's words or early narratives of His life; cf. 1 Mac 129 παράκλησιν ἔχοντες τὰ βιβλία τὰ ἀγια (Thom. Aquin.).

14. Nothing is known of this event or of Alexander, but cf. I 120. The context would suggest that it happened either at Troas, to which his mind has just gone back, or at Rome at the same time as 16.

ἐνδειξατο] cf. Gen 5016 πάντα τὰ κακὰ ἐνδειξάμεθα αὐτῷ, Dan 344, 2 Mac 139.

ἀποδώσει] perhaps with conscious contrast to 8: cf. Prov 2419, Ps 6213 σὺ ἀποδώσεις ἐκάστῳ κατὰ τὰ ἔργα αὐτοῦ: cf. Ro
26 12\(^{19}\), and contrast 1 K 28.\(^{9}\). For the reading, 2 TIMOTHY, v. Introd., p. xxxviii.

15. τοῖς ἡμετέροις λόγοις] possibly “our arguments” with reference to some part of the trial at Rome; or more likely “our words,” “our preaching”: this opposition might be an element in the βλασφήμεν of 1 1\(^{20}\). This suits better ἡμετέροις (not εἰμοῖς), cf. Tit 3.\(^{14}\); and for the plural, cf. 1 1\(^{8}\), I 4\(^{6}\) 6\(^{5}\).

16. τῇ πρώτῃ ἀπολογίᾳ] either (a) the first process of the present trial: assuming that he had appeared before the court and the case had been adjourned. For a vivid picture of the scene, cf. H. C. G. Moule, pp. 168 ff.; or (b) the first trial at Rome at the end of the imprisonment of Acts 28\(^{30}\); so Euseb. H.E. ii. 22. 3; Zahn, Einl. § 33; Wohlenberg; and this suits better the purpose in 17 and the sense of entire deliverance.

παρεγένετο as advocate or friend to bear testimony for him. πάντες, cf. 1\(^{16}\), all who at Rome might have come forward to support his case.

μὴ ἄντοις λογοθετεῖν] cf. Lk 23\(^{34}\), Acts 7\(^{60}\) (either of which scenes may be before St. Paul’s mind as he writes these words), 1 Co 13\(^{5}\) ἡ ἀγάπη ὧν λογίζεσαι τὸ κακὸν.

17. ἐνδυνάμωσι] cf. I 1\(^{12}\) note; ἢν ... ἔθην, that the Lord’s prophecy might be fulfilled (eis πάντα τὰ ἔθην διὰ πρῶτων κηρυχθῆναι τὸ εὐαγγέλιον, Mk 13\(^{10}\)), and my task completed (Acts 9\(^{18}\)). The time of the fulfilment will depend on the interpretation of 16. It will be either (a) that all the Gentiles who were present at Rome at the time of the present trial might hear his proclamation of the Gospel in his defence; or more probably (b) that after my acquittal at my first trial I might complete my task and all the Gentiles—west of Rome as well as east, cf. Ro 15\(^{20}\)—might hear. This would support the belief that he went to Spain.

ἐκ στόματος λέοντος] a proverb for extreme danger, probably consciously borrowed from Ps 22 (cf. Ps 7\(^{2}\) 35\(^{17}\), Ecclus 51\(^{8}\), Esth 14\(^{18}\) (LXX), Pss.-Sol 13\(^{8}\) θηρία ἐπεδράμωσαν αὐτοῖς πονηρά: εἰ τοῖς δούλωσιν αὐτῶν ἐπιλόσαν σάρκας αὐτῶν, καὶ εἰ ταῖς μύλαις ἠθλων δοστὰ αὐτῶν καὶ ἐκ τούτων ἀπάντων ἔρρυσατο ἡμᾶς κύριος): hence there is no need to attempt to identify the lion—whether with Nero (so Chrys., cf. Prov 19\(^{12}\) βασιλέως ἀπειλή δμολα βυγμνᾶ λέοντος: Josephus, Ant. xviii. 6. 10, τεθνηκέν δ λέων τοῖς Τιβερίους) or with Satan (1 P 5\(^{8}\)).

18. διεσταὶ] in the future as He had done in the past, 3\(^{11}\), ἀπὸ ... πονηροῖ, not “from any wrong-doing, any failure of courage” (as in Dt 23\(^{9}\), Job 1\(^{8}\), Test. XII. Patr., Dan 6. 8; ἀπὸ πάντων ἀμαρτήματος, Chrys.), but “from anything that may harm me,” whether coming from πονηροῖ ἀνθρώποι, 3\(^{18}\), or from ὁ πονηρός. The phrase is perhaps
based on the Lord's Prayer, ἰδίως ἡμᾶς ἀπὸ τοῦ πονηροῦ, which itself may be based on Jewish liturgical forms; cf. Taylor, *Sayings of the Jewish Fathers*, p. 142.

τὴν ἐπουράνιον] "regnum Neroniano melius" (Bengel); but the contrast is rather with the present kingdom on earth, Col 1:18 "that kingdom whose real seat is in the heavens," cf. 1. ὁ ἡ δόξα, so 4 Mac 1:24; cf. Charles, *Revelation*, 1:6.


20. Ἐραστός*] probably the same as in Ro 16:28, and perhaps also as in Acts 19:22.

Τρόφιμον* Acts 20:4 21:29.* These facts would naturally have been mentioned in 10 or 18: they are perhaps added here to explain why no greeting is sent to or by them.

21. πρὸ χειμῶνος* as quickly as possible: before winter sets in which will make travelling dangerous for you, and when I shall specially need your presence—and (perhaps) the warm cloak.

These are members of the Roman Church, not companions of St. Paul, cf. 10.11, and probably not of sufficient standing in the city to have appeared in court in support of him (cf. 16). Linus is probably the bishop of Rome (Iren. *Har.* iii. 3). Of Eubulus nothing is known. For an examination of the untrustworthy legends which have grown up round the names of Pudens and Claudia, cf. Lightfoot, *Clement of Rome*, i. pp. 76-79; Edmundson, *The Church in Rome*, note C.

22. Probably an autograph blessing, cf. 2 Th 3:17; and indeed the whole paragraph, 9-22; so full of human personal feeling, may well have been written with his own hand.

μεθ' ὑμῶν] so I 6:21, Tit 3:15; v. Introd., p. xxxiii. Thdt., who read μεθ' ἡμῶν, ends his comment with the prayer, "And may it be our lot, too, to gain that grace through the intercessions of him who wrote and him who received this letter; and may we see them in their everlasting habitations, not from afar, as the rich man saw Lazarus, but dwelling side by side with them and enrolled under their leadership."
TITUS

ἐνι λόγῳ ἐγγέφοις οἷς προηγοῦμασθεν ὦ θείες ἵνα ἐν αὐτοῖς περισταθήσωμεν.
—Eph 2:10.

**Historical situation.**—(i) **St. Paul.**—St. Paul has been at Crete, and has left Titus behind to complete the organization of the churches there: he is now apparently on his travels (3:16 ὀλυ εὑρέθη τῶν πάντων, cf. Gal 1:2 and contrast 1 Co 16:19): with him are Artemas, Tychicus, Zenas, and Apollos: the latter two are starting on a journey which will take them past Crete: so St. Paul sends this letter by them, which is to serve as a συνταξιώ τουτοῦ for them, and also to prepare Titus to join him before the winter, as soon as he receives a visit from Artemas and Tychicus, and meanwhile to guide him in his work and teaching at Crete. There is no indication of the place of writing: it is perhaps a fair inference from 1 Ti 1:8 that it was somewhere in Macedonia: this would be consistent with his intention to winter at Nicopolis. Zahn (Einl., p. 430) assumes that Titus had written, asking for advice: this is possible, but not necessary.

(ii) **The situation at Crete.**—There are already groups of Christians, "whole families," 11., in several cities in the island (κατὰ πόλειν, 16.), but their organization is incomplete: there are false teachers, mainly converted Jews, laying stress on the Jewish law, on myths and genealogies, wasting time on worthless controversies; and the standard of life has scarcely risen above that of their heathen neighbours: there are insubordinate, quarrelsome, useless members of the community. St. Paul had begun to organize them and had left Titus to finish his work: he is now authorized to appoint presbyters, 16-9, to guide the teaching, 2:11-16, to rebuke with authority, to deal with those who are factious, 3:11: there is no mention of his ordination for this special work or of its permanence. The bishop is mentioned and presbyters, but it is not clear whether they are separate grades (cf. Introduction, p. xx); there is no mention of deacons, deaconesses or widows, or of any details of the Services of the Church, except the allusion to Baptism (3:5). The Christians are "God's elect" (1:1), His "peculiar people" (2:14), both titles of the Jewish nation in the
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O.T., οἱ πεποιθεντες θεο (38), the men of faith, and, apparently, οἱ ἡμετέροι (314), “our brothers and sisters.”

Date.—Assuming the integrity and Pauline authorship of the whole, it seems impossible to fit these circumstances into the narrative of the Acts. St. Paul is only mentioned there as visiting Crete on the last journey to Rome: he stayed there some time (Acts 27–9): this might be the visit referred to in 1, and the Epistle might have been written during the imprisonment of Acts 28; but there is no evidence of Titus being with him on that journey, and, with the exception of Tychicus, his present companions are different from those in the letters from Rome. Moreover, the likeness of style with I and II Timothy points to a later time after the release from the first imprisonment. The greater likeness with 1 Ti (as compared with 2 Ti) suggests that it was written very nearly at the same time as it, and its greater simplicity suggests that it was the earlier of the two and therefore the earliest of the three Pastorals.

On the theory that the Epistle is a later expansion of a genuine Pauline fragment, that fragment, consisting only of the address and the personal messages, 3–18, might have been written by St. Paul while in Macedonia to Titus still at Corinth, i.e. after writing 2 Co 10–13, and before writing 2 Co 1–9 (so Harrison, P.E., p. 115); and the expanded letter will fall at the end of Cent. I, or the beginning of Cent. II. In this case, it would probably be later than 2 Ti but earlier than 1 Ti, as being simpler and implying a less organized Church; but v. Introduction, p. xxxiv.

Aim and value.—The chief aim of the writer is to raise the level of character; but whereas in I and II Ti the main stress was on the character of the officers of the Church, on the teachers, here it is also and mainly on the character of the taught. He is dealing with communities in a fairly early stage of Christian life and with less civilization than the Church at Ephesus. The population of Crete had always been very mixed (cf. Hom. Od. 19. 172–77): there had been constant rivalry between city and city: in the first century before Christ it had been the centre of piracy, subdued with difficulty by the Romans: since 67 B.C. it had been part of a Roman province, and at this time there was still a mixed population, consisting of the Roman officials, the natives, and a considerable colony of Jewish traders (Philo, Leg. ad Gaium, 36; cf. 1 Mac 11); it still supplied mercenaries for foreign armies (Joseph. Ant. xiii. 4. § 3; Livy, xlv. 45), and the inhabitants had a bad name for treachery and for love of money (Polyb. vi. 46, 47; Plutarch, Œmil. 23, τοὺς χρήματιν, ὡσπέρ κυρίοις μέλιται, προσληπαροῦντες. Livy (ubi supra), “Cretenses spem pecuniae secuti”).

Hence the writer lays stress on the duties of members of a
family, and on those of citizens of a State. The true character of
each member is defined: the duty of obedience to authority, of
active service to the community, of honest trading, of a peaceable
temper, are insisted upon. This is exactly in the spirit of St.
Paul’s stress on family life in Col. and Eph., and on obedience
to magistrates in Rom.: it is the natural language of the Roman
citizen anxious to strengthen the hands and to carry out the
policy of the Roman Government towards its provincials (cf.
Ramsay, *St. Paul the Traveller and Roman Citizen*, c. 6). To
secure such a character the foundation is laid in sound, wholesome
teaching: the grace of God disciplines and educates: Christ’s
self-sacrifice was made for this very purpose: God’s own gracious­
ness and love for man is the model for the Christian’s imitation and
supplies the strength for it. The Church is the school of character.

**ANALYSIS.**

1-4 Salutation. Paul the apostle of a common faith, a true
knowledge, a hope of eternal life, to Titus a genuine
son in the faith.

15-311 Commands to Titus.

(a) *With regard to the ministry* (15-16).

Presbyters to be appointed in every city, but
only men known to be of high character in
their family life to be chosen, 6-6.

*Reason.*—Because the bishop has to regulate
God’s family, to teach sound teaching, and
refute opponents, 7-9. Such opponents are
to be sharply rebuked, as upsetting others,
insubordinate, wasting time on foolish discus­sions, and as untrue to their profession,

(b) *With regard to the various classes in relation to
their family life* (21-15).

Titus is to teach and enforce a true standard
of character on the elder men, 2:

The elder women, who are to train the
younger women.

*Reason.*—That God’s word be not
evil spoken of, 3-5:

The younger men, to whom he is to be
himself the example.

*Reason.*—That opponents of Christian­
ity be put to shame, 6-8.

The slaves, to be obedient, honest,
thoroughly loyal to their masters.
Reason.—That they may adorn the Christian teaching, 9, 10.

Such character is made possible by the grace of God, bringing salvation and training us to a true life here, with our eyes fixed on the appearing of Christ, the whole purpose of whose self-sacrifice was to save us from lawlessness and make us eager for excellence, 11-14.

(c) With regard to the behaviour of Christians to the heathen world, to their life as citizens, 3-8.

They must be reminded (1) to be subordinate to authority and active in good works, (2) to be courteous and gentle to all men, 1-2.

Reason.—God's loving-kindness to us has raised us from the old heathen life by the rich outpouring of the Spirit to a hope of eternal life; hence all believers must take the lead in good works and live useful lives, 5-8.

(d) In regard to teaching. Titus is to avoid foolish discussions and controversies; to rebuke; but if rebuke fails, to have nothing to do with factious men, 9-11.

12-13 Personal messages.
14 Final appeal for useful, fruitful lives.
16 Greetings.

14-15 Paraphrase. Paul to Titus his true son in the faith.

Paul writing as a slave of God, bound to obey his Master's command, yet, more than that, as one formally commissioned to speak for Jesus Christ—Paul, whose only standard is the faith shared by God's elect and a knowledge of truth such as makes for godliness, whose whole work rests on hope of eternal life, that life which the God who cannot deceive promised to man long ages past, aye, and at the right moment He published abroad His message in a proclamation, which was put as a sacred trust into my hands in virtue of a direct command from God, your Saviour and mine, writes to you as a son whom he knows that he can trust, a son in a common faith. Grace and peace be with you from God our Father and Christ Jesus our Saviour.

The address is unusually long, but compare Gal 1-6, Ro 1-7 16:25-27; it might have been compiled with a reminiscence of those passages, but a compiler would naturally have been simpler, and the changes are more natural in the same author writing at a different time.
It strikes two notes—(i) a personal note, a letter from a father to a son (ἐγὼ...τέκνῳ); (ii) more strongly an official note, instructions from an apostle to a delegate (ἀπόστολος...γνησίῳ τέκνῳ): laying stress (1) on his duty rather than on his authority (δοῦλος...ἀπόστολος...ἐπιστευθήν...κατ’ἐπιταγήν); (2) on the nature of the message he has to give. This is the point mainly emphasized; it is no novelty, no unfounded statement, no aimless discussion, but rooted in the past and looking forward to the future, and affecting a godly life. ὅρα πῶς γέμει τὸ προοίμιον τῶν εὐρεγεσιών τοῦ θεοῦ, Chrys.

1. δοῦλος θεοῦ[ here only in St. Paul of himself, but cf. δοῦλος Ἰησοῦ Χρ., Ro 11, Phil 1; δοῦλος Κυρίου, 2 Ti 24. It carries the thought of obedience beyond Jesus Christ to God, “the God of our fathers who had chosen him to know His will” (Acts 2214), and so places him on a level with Moses and other O.T. servants (Dan 910, 11), especially with “the servant of the Lord” of Isaiah; cf. 2 Ti 24 note. Pelagius’ comment, “servus Dei non peccati” (cf. 214 3, Ro 615-23), is suggestive, and perhaps consciously present.

ἀπόστολος δὲ Ἰ. Χρ. ] strengthens the sense of duty, perhaps also to enforce his authority. “Scribit non quà Titus in cubicullo solus legat sed quà proferat in publicum,” Calvin.

κατὰ πίστιν, as in κατ’ εὐσεβείαν, κατ’ ἐπιταγήν, κατὰ κοινὴν πίστιν, κατὰ gives the standard; but the application of the standard differs with the context. Here it may include (a) chosen in conformity to the faith, ὅτι ἐπιστευθεὶσα κάθαπερ οἱ λοιποί ἐκλεκτοὶ (Theophylact); (b) preaching by that standard, “to preach the faith” (Tynd. Cov.); cf. 1 K 198 κατὰ τὴν προφητίσιν ἐκάθεν, “to save his life.”

ἐκλεκτοὶ θεοῦ[ so Ro 888, Col 312 ὡς ἐκλ. τοῦ θ., 2 Ti 210, 1 P 11. The phrase springs from the O.T., being based on the choice of Israel as a nation, charged with a message for the whole world; cf. οἱ ἐκλεκτοὶ μοῦ, Ps 88, and especially its use with regard to Israel as the Servant of the Lord, Is 4320 454 65 etc. Hence it here may include the thought of the Jewish nation in the past, and lays stress on the sense of God’s choice of the Church and of its duty to carry His Truth to the world.

ἐπίγνωσιν ἀληθῶ.] cf. 1 Ti 24, 2 Ti 26 37, Heb 1c26. Not faith alone, but knowledge also is necessary for an apostle: cf. Ro 102 of the Jews, ζητοῦν θεοῦ ἐκούσαν, ἀλλ’ οὐ κατ’ ἐπίγνωσιν: Ἰν 669 πεπιστευκακαί καὶ ἐγνώκακαί.

τής κατ’ ἐυτεξί.] cf. 1 Ti 63, contrast 2 Ti 35.

2. ἐπ’ ἐπίλειψις.] cf. 1 Ti 49, 10.


ὁ ἄγνωσθης θ.] here only in N.T.; perhaps with contrast to the ἀγωνίας at Crete 19; but cf. 2 Ti 218; 2 Co 110, 20, Martyr.
Polyc. 14, ὁ ἀφευθησαί καὶ ἀληθινὸς θεός, in Polycarp's last prayer. The God whose promise of life will not fail in face of death.

πρὸ χρ. αἰωνίων] "ante tempora secularia," Vulg., long ages past, age-long periods ago, not referring to God's purpose before time began, as in 2 Ti 1:9, Eph 1:4, but to definite promises (cf. Ro 9:4 ἐπαγγελια) made in time.

8. ἐφανέρωσε δὲ] The relative sentence is broken off and a direct sentence substituted; cf. 1 Ti 6:12 and Blass, G.G., § 79.1.f. Possibly the relative sentence is continued down to ἰδιος, "which he promised and declared at the right moment," τὸν λόγον being in loose apposition to the whole sentence; cf. τὸ μαρτύριον, 1 Ti 2:6.

τὸν λόγον αὐτοῦ] cf. 3° note.

καρπὸς ἰδιος] The thought of the Incarnation taking place at the right moment in the world's history is a favourite one with St. Paul (Gal 4:4, Ro 5:6 κατὰ καρπῶν, Eph 1:10, Acts 1:20), springing from apocalyptic expectations, summed up by the Lord (Mk 1:15 ἐπελήφθη δ ἡ καρπὸς), and expanded by himself in his philosophy of history, Ro 1–3; perhaps consciously meeting the objection τὸ νῦν καὶ ὁ πρῶτος; cf. Ep. Diogn. c. 1, τί δήποτε καρπὸν τούτο γενός . . . εἰσήλθεν εἰς τὸν βιον νῦν καὶ ὁ πρῶτος. The nearest analogy to the phrase is also Pauline, καρπὸς ἰδίως, Gal 6:9; the exact phrase is peculiar in N.T. to P.E. (1 Ti 2:6 6:15 only); both words are ambiguous: (i) is ἰδιος = "at its right moment"; cf. Tob 14:8 (κατὰ συμβήκεται τοῖς καρποῖς αὐτῶν, Lev 23:26, 26:4, Ps 18, Gal 6:9; Justin M. c. Tryph. c. 131, πάντα προλαμβάνοντο πρὸ τῶν ἰδιῶν καρπῶν τοῦ θεοῦ; or "at His own time," δὲ ἔδοκιμα, Thdt.; so Ps 74:8 οὗτος καρπῶν, Acts 1:1 καρπῶν οὖς δ ὁ πατὴρ ἐθετο ἐν τῇ ἰδιᾳ ἐξουσίᾳ. The context, with its stress on God's action, makes the latter probable here and in 1 Ti 6:15, the former in 1 Ti 2:6; but the two thoughts lie close together, and were perhaps not kept distinct. (ii) Is the plural only an idiomatic usage, practically equivalent to the singular? cf. Jer 50:26 (= 27:26 LXX) οἱ καρποὶ αὐτῆς = ὁ καρπὸς ἐκ- δικήσεως, ibid. 81; so χρόνοι, Lk 20:23 25; γάμοι, Lk 12:36; or is the plural to be pressed? In the former case the reference would be to the whole life of the Lord (cf. Heb 1:1); in the latter, to the various points in the life, the birth (Gal 4:4), the death (Ro 5:9), and to the subsequent apostolic preaching (1 Ti 2:6 3:16). The contrast with χρόνοι αἰωνίοι and the analogy of Ro 16:28 favours the latter view.

For the preparation for Christ in History, cf. Lux Mundi, c. 4, and Clem. Alex. Strom. vi. 44, ὃς κατὰ καρπὸν ἦκε τὸ κήρυγμα νῦν, οὕτως κατὰ καρπὸν ἐδόθη νόμος μὲν καὶ προφήται βαρβάρους, φιλοσοφία δὲ Ελλην.] connected primarily with ἐπιστέυθην (cf. 1 Ti 1:1
I. 3, 4.]
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note), but Ro 16\textsuperscript{28} suggests a further connection with \textit{ἐφανερώτε}. The command to St. Paul to preach the gospel is part of the command of the eternal God to manifest the Christ; cf. 1 Ti 2\textsuperscript{7}. 

\textit{τοῦ σωτῆρος ἡμῶν} of all of us Christians, but with the specializing thought “of you and me”; cf. \textit{kατὰ κοινὴν πίστιν}.

4. \textit{Tītus} Personal references to the life or character of Titus are very slight in the Epistle; such as occur are quite consistent with the little that is known of him elsewhere. He is never mentioned in the Acts. A Gentile by birth, he was perhaps converted by St. Paul on his First Missionary Journey at Iconium (\textit{Acta Pauli et Thecle}, c. 2). He is first mentioned in the Epistles as accompanying St. Paul on the visit from Antioch to Jerusalem, mentioned in Gal 2. There his case was apparently taken as a test case of the need of circumcision of Gentile converts, and (although the reading and meaning of Gal 2\textsuperscript{8-9} are not quite certain) the demand was almost certainly successfully resisted. Later he becomes St. Paul’s delegate to Corinth: he begins there to organize the Collection for the Saints (2 Co 8\textsuperscript{6-10}); he goes later, perhaps taking the severe letter of 2 Co 2 and 7, to deal with the refusal of that Church to obey the Apostle: he deals successfully with the difficulty and returns to gladden the Apostle's heart in Macedonia; he then gladly returns to complete the Collection (2 Co 8\textsuperscript{16}). On another occasion he is sent on a mission to Dalmatia (2 Ti 4\textsuperscript{10}). He is a trustworthy, confidential delegate, walking in the Apostle’s steps, walking in the same spirit (2 Co 12\textsuperscript{18}), his “brother” (2 Co 2\textsuperscript{18}), his fellow-worker and sharer of his toils (8\textsuperscript{23}). So here he is a “genuine son, sharing the same faith (14); his life is to be a pattern to younger men (27); but there is less of personal guidance and exhortation than there was to the younger and more timid Timothy. His name does not occur in the Acts, but two interesting suggestions have been made: (i) that he was a relative (Ramsay, \textit{St. Paul the Traveller and Roman Citizen}, pp. 284–86, 390), or even the brother (Souter, \textit{Expository Times}, March 1907, cf. 2 Co 8\textsuperscript{17-18} 12\textsuperscript{18}) of St. Luke; (ii) that he was the author of the “we” sections in the Acts. Either would account for the absence of any mention of him in Acts; but both are precarious. Later ecclesiastical tradition spoke of him as Bishop of Crete (Euseb. \textit{H.E.}, iii. 4), and as living to a very old age; and there was an \textit{Acts of Titus}, which is no longer extant (cf. Lipsius, \textit{Die Apokr. Apostelgeschichte}, iii. pp. 401–06), and a panegyric on him is found in the works of Andrew of Crete (Migne, \textit{Patrol. Gr.}, vol. 97). He is commemorated on Jan. 4 in the Latin Church, on Aug. 25 in the Greek, Syriac, and Maronite Churches (\textit{Acta Sanctorum}, i. pp. 163, 164; Nilles, \textit{Kalendarium Manuale}).
γνησίω[ cf. 1 Ti 1.2. κατὰ κοινὴν πίστιν: “in virtue of a faith which is common to you, to me”—to you a Gentile as much as to me a Jew—but also with the wider suggestion, “a faith common to all Christians”: cf. Jude 8; but not so definite as “secundam fidem catholicam” (Holtzmann). Cf. Acta Carpì et Papyli, § 30, όδόφιππος εἶπεν Τέκνα ἔχεις; Παπύλος εἶπεν καὶ πολλὰ διὰ τὸν Θεόν. εἶς τις ἐκ τοῦ δήμου ἐβόησεν λέγων κατὰ τὴν πίστιν τῶν Χριστιανῶν λέγει τέκνα ἔχειν. Παπύλος εἶπεν . . . εἰν πάσῃ ἐπαρχίᾳ καὶ πόλει εἰσὶ μοι τέκνα κατὰ Θεόν.

Θεοῦ πατρὸς ἡμῶν is perhaps to be supplied from τοῦ σωτῆρος ἡμῶν: if not, πατρὸς is used in its widest sense (cf. 1 Th 1.1, 1 Ti 1.2, 2 Ti 1.2 only), Father of all, εἶς οὗ πάσα πατρία . . . δόσις, Eph 3:18, perhaps (so Chrys.) recalling γνησίω τέκνῳ, God the source of all fatherhood, and of my relation to you my son.

tοῦ σωτῆρος ἡμῶν] Christ is placed on the same level as God 3; the phrase anticipates the stress on salvation from sin in 211-14 3.4-7.

5-9. Paraphrase. Be sure to carry out the purpose for which I left you behind in Crete: there was much left by me incomplete; you were to complete it by appointing a body of elders in each city. I gave you general instructions, but the important point in the choice of them is the character they bear in their own homes. One whom you appoint must not be liable to have any charge brought against him, he must be the husband of one wife, his children must be loyal and trustworthy—not liable to be accused of wasteful extravagance or disorderly life. For it will never do for the presiding officer of a church to be liable to have any charge brought against him; for it is God’s own family that he has to control. So he must not be self-willed, not hot-tempered, not violent in speech, nor given to striking others, nor willing to make money in unworthy ways: he must be ready to welcome Christian passers-by, to give a welcome to every one and everything that is good; self-controlled, just to others, holy in character, having himself well in hand, holding firmly a preaching that is loyal to our doctrine: for he has a twofold duty—both to stir up the faithful by the sound teaching that he gives and to answer those who oppose it.

Cf. 1 Ti 3.1-7 and the notes there. The main qualifications for the presbyters are the same in both places, but 1 Ti implies a community of longer standing and completer organization—

(a) in insisting more upon good testimony to character from those without,
(b) in excluding recently-converted Christians (μὴ νεόφιτον),
(c) in laying down rules for deacons and deaconesses as well.
I. 5, 6.
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[One cursive, 460, adds here μὴ χειροτονεῖν διγάμους μηδὲ διακόνους αὐτούς ποιεῖν μηδὲ γυναίκας ἔχειν ἐκ διγαμίας.] The method of ordination is left undefined. A free hand seems to be given to Titus (Ἰνα...καταστήσῃς); but this would be consistent with a previous choice by the community (cf. Acts 65, 1 Ti 120 note).

The duties are also undefined, but there are implied discipline over the members of the community, teaching, perhaps control of the finances (μὴ αἰσχυροκρατῆται), and the duty of hospitality to strangers. The qualifications insisted upon are moral: they are such as have been tested in the family life of the candidate before his appointment, and therefore show, even in points like "the husband of one wife," the standard expected in a good layman. For the relation of the ἐπίσκοπος to the πρεσβύτεροι, cf. Introd., p. xx; and for the whole section, Hort, The Christian Ecclesia, pp. 190–92.

5. τοῦτον χάριν Eph 31.14 only in N. T.; cf. ὄν χάριν, Luke 747 and the adverbial use of χάριν is very common, e.g., Gal 319, 1 Jn 312.

ἄπελπιον, 2 Ti 420; elsewhere not in St. Paul, who uses καταλείπειν (1 Th 3 only). Both words were in common usage. ἀπολ. perhaps suggests more than καταλ. the thought of intention—I purposely told you off for this work, and left you behind for it.

tὰ λείποντα] in this neuter sense, 318, Luke 1822 only in N. T., but common both in prose and poetry; cf. ἵνα ἐπανορθώσῃς τὰ ἐλλείποντα, Plut. X. Or. Vilia, p. 844 E (Wetstein).

ἐπιδιορθώσῃ] complete (ἐπι) setting thoroughly (διά) right; cf. διόρθωσις, Heb 910; διορθωτις, Wisd 716; ἐπανορθώσω, 2 Ti 316. The middle is not quite so personal as the active "see that things are got right under your guidance."

καταστήσῃς] cf. Acts 68 ὄν καταστήσομεν, which shows that it does not exclude a choice by the community, but the change from the middle ἐπιδιορθώσῃ perhaps points to the separate action of Titus.

πρεσβύτερους κατὰ πόλιν] (Κρήτη ἐκατομπολις, II. 2. 649!) a body of "elders" in each city; cf. Acts 1428 2017, and 1 Ti 414 τὸ πρεσβύτερον, which Theophylact substitutes here both in text and commentary.

ὁς ἐγώ σοι διετάξαμαι] perhaps with implied antithesis to some opponents at Crete: "as I, Christ's Apostle (cf. δ ἐπιστεύθην ἐγώ, 1), laid down to carry out my own ideal (middle; cf. 1 Co 717 οὕτως ἐν ταῖς ἐκκ. πάσαις διατάσσομαι) and impressed upon you my son and my delegate." The instructions may be limited to the following qualifications for the ministry: but more probably they were wider, and included rules for the method of appointment and the duties of the presbyters.

6. μιᾶς γυναικὸς ἀνήρ] cf. 1 Ti 32 note.
πιστά] perhaps "believing," "Christian," "non ad idolorum culturam proruentes," Thd.; cf. i Ti 4\textsuperscript{12} 5\textsuperscript{10} 6\textsuperscript{2}, Concil. Carthag. iii. Canon xviii. "ut episcopi et presbyteri et diaconi non ordinentur priusquam omnes qui sunt in domo eorum Christianos catholicos fecerint." More probably, as suiting the following qualifications better, "trustworthy," "loyal"; cf. i Co 4\textsuperscript{17} τέκνον ἀγαπητόν καὶ πιστόν, and i Ti 3\textsuperscript{5}.

ἀσωτίας] "luxuriæ," Vulg.; "lasciviae," Thd.-Mops. The conduct of the ἀσωτος, one who cannot save, who wastes his money, often with the implication of wasting it on his pleasures, and so ruining himself, cf. Lk 15\textsuperscript{13} ζων ἀσωτος, Eph. 5\textsuperscript{18} οίνη ἐν ρ ἐστὶν ἀσωτία, 1 P 4, 2 Mac 6 ἀσωτίας καὶ κώμων—"extravagance," "profligacy," almost "prodigality." Aristotle (Nic. Eth. iv. 1) defines it as ὑπερβολη περὶ χρήματα: ἐλευθερωτης being the true mean, ἀνελευθερωμα the failure to use money rightly. The characteristic of the ἀσωτος is τὸ φθειρέων τὴν οἰκίαν: so he comes to ruin himself ὁ δὲ αὐτὸν ἀπολλύμενος, δοκεῖ δ' ἀπώλεια τις αὐτοῦ εἶναι καὶ ή τῆς οἰκίας φθορά. Prov 28\textsuperscript{3} provides an apposite comment on this verse, φωλάσαε νόμον νῦς συνετός, δὲ δε ποιμαίε ἀσωτίαν ἀτμιμαίες πατέρα: cf. Trench, Syn. N.T. s.v.

ἀνυπότακτα] primarily—to himself, i Ti 3\textsuperscript{4} τέκνα ἔχοντα ἐν ἐποταγῇ, but including disorder out of doors, insubordinate to the officers of the city; cf. κατηγορία and inf. 3\textsuperscript{1}.

7. The qualifications are partly negative, partly positive. (i.) Negative: qualities which would prevent his successful government of the community or discredit it.


παροιμα] perhaps quite literally—"not given to much wine"; cf. 2\textsuperscript{4}, i Ti 3\textsuperscript{8}; "vinolentum," Vulg.; but this is not necessarily implied: perhaps only "blustering," "abusive," like a man who has been drinking; cf. Joseph. Ant. iv. 6. 10 (Holtzmann), where παροιμα is used of the Israelite who married a Midianitish woman, as the antithesis to σωφρονηστε, = "to act outrageously"; Aristides, Apology, c. 14, ἐμπαροιμησαντες εἰς αὐτόν, of the conduct of the Jews to Christ: so Chrys. de Sacerd. iv. 1 applies παροιμα to the conduct of the sons of Eli.
I. 7–9.]
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πλήκτρον, quite literally, not hasty to strike an opponent; cf. 2 Co 11:20 καὶ τὰς εἰς πρόσωπον ὑμᾶς δέχεται: Apost. Canon 28, Ἐπισκοποῦ... τυφλόντα πίστους ἀμαρτάνοντας... καθιερώθαι προστάτωμεν: Pelagius, "non debet discipulus Christi percutere, qui percussus est et non repercussit." But the Greek commentators extend the reference, μήτε διὰ χειρῶν μήτε διὰ πικρῶν λόγων (Theophyl.), πλήκτον τῶν συνεδρίων τῶν ἀδελφῶν (Oecumenius), "cito increpantem" (Theod.), "brow-beating."

ἀνθρώποις ἴππος] "turpis lucru cupidum," Vulg., making money discreditably: adapting his teaching to his hearers in the hope of money from them (cf. 11, 1 Ti 6:5, 1 P 5:2); or appropriating to his own use the gifts of the faithful (cf. 2 Co 12:16–18, 1n 12:6); or perhaps engaging in discreditable trades (cf. 3:8 note). Contrast St. Paul's example, Acts 20:33, 34. For the Cretan love of money, cf. supra, p. 152.

8. (ii) Positive: mainly the central Christian Virtues, and those which will fit him for ruling and teaching: there is more stress laid here than in 1 Ti on the teaching test.

φιλόκειται ("herberous," Tynd.; "harberous," Genev.), φιλογάθων: he starts not from self (contrast αἰθαδήν), but from love for others, cf. 2 Ti 3:note; ready to welcome Christian passers-by (cf. 3:18, 1 Ti 3:2 note); ready to welcome all good men, or probably "goodness wherever he sees it," cf. Wisd 7:22 ἐστιν ἐν αὐτῆ (Wisdom) πνεῦμα... φιλογάθων. φιλογάθων = φιλοῦντα τὸ ἀγαθὸν rather than τοῦ ἀγαθοῦ; cf. Ro 12:9 κολλάμονοι τὸ ἀγαθῶ. For the thought, cf. Phil 4:8; "a lover of goodness" (Tynd., Coverdale).

σώφρονα] his duty to self (contrast ὀρνίλον, πάροινον, πλήκτρον); δίκαιον, to his neighbour; ὁσίον, to God; cf. 2:12.

ἐγκρατία] the climax, as in the fruit of the Spirit, Gal 5:23 complete self-mastery, which controls all passionate impulses, and keeps the will loyal to the will of God; cf. Additional Note, p. 1:48.


τοῦ πιστοῦ λόγου] not to the law or the old covenant as a Jewish Rabbi would (cf. last note), much less to commandments of men (14), but to the trustworthy ("unde admonitio et elenchus robur accipit," Bengel) message (cf. 8), which corresponds with
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the true teaching—the teaching of the Apostle himself (cf. Ro 6:17 eis δὲν παρεδόθη τοῦ πανοὐ διδαχῆς. 16:17 παρὰ τὴν διδαχὴν ἵνα ἐμὰθете), which is ultimately that of the Lord Himself (cf. 1 Ti 6:3). The phrase suggests a stereotyped outline of doctrine, either oral or written, such as is quoted in Co 15:ff.

ἐν τῇ διδασκαλίᾳ [cf. 1 Ti 1:10 note, almost equivalent to τὴν διδαχὴν of “the body of doctrine,” but thought of as embodied by the ἐπισκόπος in his own “teaching.”

διέγνωσιν refute with argument: also including the thought of “reprove,” cf. 1:15 and 2 Ti 3:16 ἐπιδιαθέτον, ἐπιδιαδόχον. Origen in a very interesting chapter (c. Celsum, iii. 48, cf. vi. 7) quotes this verse in answer to the taunt of Celsus that Christianity only appealed to the uneducated.

10-16. Necessity for such qualifications: the character of the false teachers at Crete and the substance of their teaching.

Paraphrase. They will need this qualification, for there are many at Crete who are unwilling to submit to any control, teachers of worthless doctrine, clever enough to impose upon the minds of others—this is especially true of those of them who have been Jews—and all these must have their mouths stopped; forasmuch as they upset whole households, teaching things which they know they have no right to teach, merely to make gains of which they ought to be ashamed. It was one of their own islanders, one whom they themselves regard as a prophet, who said:

“Cretans are always liars, very Minotaurs, gluttonous, idlers.”

This testimony is true. Wherefore rebuke them sharply, that they may be sound in their faith, and not devote themselves to Jewish legends and commandments, which are only commandments of men, aye, and of men who are turning their backs upon the truth. It is true that “All things are pure to the pure-minded”; but to those who have been defiled and have no true faith, nothing is pure; nay, for them both mind and conscience have been defiled. And that is the case with them: God, indeed, they acknowledge in their creed, but in their lives they belie such knowledge, being abominable, and disobedient, and with a view to every good work, unable to stand the test.

Note.—These teachers are not heathen; they are professing Christians (16), mainly but not wholly Jewish Christians (10), who pander in their teaching to curiosity and dwell upon Jewish legends of the patriarchs, and add to the Christian life a number of external duties which can claim no divine authority, and which

1 For the interpretation of the phrase as a reference to the Personal Logos, cf. 3: note.
deal with the distinction between things clean and unclean (16), and spring out of the Jewish law (32). There is no reference to the enforcement of circumcision; so that they do not correspond to the Pharisaic Jewish Christians denounced in Gal., but more to the opponents at Colosse, Jews of the dispersion trying to represent certain sides of the Jewish life as a higher philosophy (cf. Hort, *Judaistic Christianity*, pp. 116–46). Such Jewish teaching would find natural support in incipient tendencies to Gnosticism, with its belief in the evil of matter, and that may be subordinately alluded to in 16,16.

The writer deals with this teaching in two ways: (1) it is sharply denounced as profitless for all moral purpose; it does not raise the moral life or fit men for service; (2) appeal is made to great Christian principles. True purity is purity of heart; true faith must issue in good works.

10. γάρ] gives primarily the reason for the last qualification (cf. ἐλέγχος 9, ἐλέγχος 18), but also for the whole section (5–9).

ἀνυπότακτοι] cf. 6 (which was leading up to this) and 31 note, ματαιολογοί] here only in N.T.; cf. ματαιολογίαν, 1 Ti 1.

μάταιος was the favourite Jewish term of scorn for heathen idols and worship: this thought may be present here. Their teaching, so far from being on a higher level, is as worthless as that of heathenism; cf. βδελυκτοῖ 16.

φρεναπάται] here only in N.T., but φρεναπατᾶν, Gal 6. Scarcey (as Lightfoot, *ad loc.*) = ϕειναί ἀπατᾶν, to deceive by fancies, cf. φρενοστέκτων; but = φένα ἀπατᾶν, "mentum decep­tores" (Jerome); cf. φρενογυγής, φρενοθελήγυς, φρενοκλόπος.

11. ἐπιστομίζειν] (here only in N.T., though in some cursive of Lk 120), perhaps anticipating κακὰ θόρια 12: either "to bridle," "to guide aright," "refrenari" (Jerome), cf. Jas .38; or more probably "to muzzle, to silence": "redargui" (Vulg.), "silentium indici" (Jerome). This is more analogous to its classical usage; cf. illustrations in Wetstein and in Ἄ.Μ. s.v.

δόκουσ δύκους] Where order and discipline need such careful guidance; cf. 6 1-10.

ἀνατρέπουσι] "upset their faith"; cf. 2 Ti 218 ἀνατρ. τὴν πνευμ. πίστιν, "pervert" (Tynd., Coverdale), or "upset their peace and harmony," "subvert," A.V.; contrast the teaching of 21-10.

ἀισχρὸς κέρδος] cf. 7 note, hoping for greater gifts from their hearers; cf. 1 Ti 517, 18 69, 2 Co 1214-18. For this tendency at Crete, cf. Polybius, vi. 46. 3, ὁ περὶ τὴν ἀισχροκέρδειαν καὶ πλεονεξίαν τρόπος οὗτος ἐπικωνιάζει ὡστε παρὰ μόνους Ἐρημιταῖοι τῶν ἀπάντων ἀνθρώπων μηδὲν αἰσχρὸν νομίζεσθαι κέρδος.

12. εἰς ἀντίπ] sprung from themselves, so with special know­ledge.

ἰδιος ἀντίσ προφήτης] whom therefore they ought to believe,
and whom I may quote without offence: Epimenides, whom they regarded not merely as a poet but as a prophet, a great religious reformer (θεοφιλὴς καὶ σοφὸς περὶ τὰ θεῖα, Plut. Solon. 12) and predictor, who had predicted the failure of the Persian invasion of Greece ten years before it took place (Plato, Laws, i. 642 D), and whom we may still regard as a prophet, his words in this saying being true still; cf. the treatment of the words of Caiaphas (Jn 11:51), of Balaam’s ass (2 P 2:16). Similarly Ireneus (iv. 33. 3), apparently borrowing the phrase from here: “Accusabit autem eos Homerus proprius ipsorum prophetæ” (Wohlenberg).

ψεύται] cf. 10 and 16. So Hesychius, κρητίζειν, ψευδεσθαι καὶ ἀπατᾶν: Ovid, Ars. Am. i. 297:

“Nota cano: non hoc, quæ centum sustinet urbes,
Quamvis sit mendax, Creta negare potest,”

and other interesting illustrations in Wetstein.

κακὰ θηρία] cf. 10 ἀνυπότακτοι . . . ἐπιστομίζειν. Is there an allusion to the Minotaur?

γαστέρες ἀργαί] cf. 11 αἰσχροῦ κέρδους χάριν, 16 πρὸς πᾶν ἔργον ἀγαθὸν ἀδόκιμοι.

Note.—1. The line was attributed to Epimenides (of Crete, 600–500 B.C.) doubtless in pre-Christian times. It is quoted as from him by Clem. Alex. (Strom. i. xiv. 59), by Jerome (here) as from a poem entitled Χρησμοί, Oracula, and by Isho’dad, a Syrian commentator (c. A.D. 850), as from the Minos (cf. Rendel Harris, Expositor, 1906, p. 305; 1907, p. 332; 1912, p. 348). But the attribution is very doubtful, as the dialect is Attic and not Cretan (cf. Moulton, N.T. Gr. i. p. 233 n.). It was probably earlier than Callimachus (A.D. 300–240), who quotes the first half of it in his hymn to Zeus:

Κρητες ἀδι ψευται: καὶ γὰρ τάφοι, δὲ ἀνα, σείο
Κρητες ἐνεκτύνατο: σὺ δ' οὐ βάνες έσσι, γὰρ αἰεῖ.

And it was probably the legend that the tomb of Zeus was to be found in Crete that gave rise to the charge of lying as characteristic of Crete. It is also possible, as Rendel Harris also suggests, that the last half of the verse is abuse of the animal sacrifices and the feeding on them in the worship of the Cretan Zeus. His further suggestion, that the words in Acts 17:28, “For in him we live, and move, and have our being,” are a quotation from the same poem of Epimenides, would give an interesting link between our writer and St. Paul, but can scarcely be maintained; they are too mystical for so early a date (cf. J. U. Powell, Classical Review, Aug.-Sept., 1916).

2. For an interesting account of the use of classical literature
in the early Church, see Plummer, *Expositor's Bible*, c. xx. Clem. Alex., in quoting this passage (*l.c.*), adds: "you see how Paul assigns even to the prophets of the Greeks an element of the truth, and is not ashamed to use Greek poems for edification and rebuke": but when heathen critics urged that the quotation virtually implied St. Paul's belief in the real and immortal existence of Zeus, the Fathers take pains to refute the inference. So Chrys. Theod. Thdt. Jerome, *ad loc.*


*αλεγχε*] cf. 9, as an example to the *ἐπισκοπος*. *ἀποτόμως*, *2 Co* 13:10, only in N.T.


*ἐν τῇ πίστει*] perhaps "in the Creed," and the context makes this almost certain; but, possibly, "in their faith, their loyalty to Christ": cf. 2.


*ιουδ. μίθοις*] cf. 3, *1 Ti* 16, Introduction, p. xvii. *ἐνταλαίς ἀνθρώπων* (contrast ἐντολῶν Θεοῦ, *1 Co* 7:19), a reminiscence of *Is* 29:18 μάτην σέβονται με (cf. ματαιολόγοι 10) διδάσκοντες ἐντάλματα ἀνθρώπων καὶ διδασκαλίας, quoted by Christ (*Mk* 7:7) and adopted by St. Paul (*Col* 2:22). The reference is to the "traditions of the elders," and will include interpretations of the law of clean and unclean meats and ceremonial washings, *Mk* 7:4-24. These have no authority, as only the interpretations of men, and of men who are now turning away from (cf. *Acts* 13:46) the truth "as it is in Jesus" (*Eph* 4:21).

15. *πάντα καθαρά*] This goes further than the tradition of the elders; it abolishes the Mosaic law, which had served the purpose of separating the Jews from the heathen world.


*πάντα κ. τοῖς καθαροῖς*] has the ring of a proverb, and was perhaps a saying of the Lord Himself (so von Soden); cf. *Lk* 11:41 ἵδοι πάντα καθαρά υμῖν ἐστιν: cf. *Pap. Oxyg. c. 840, ἐγὼ δὲ καὶ οἱ μαθηταὶ μου οὗτοι λέγεις μὴ βεβαιπτίσθαι βεβαιμένα ἐν ἰδίᾳ ζωῆς αὐλωνίου: and *Ro* 14:14, 20 οἶδα καὶ πέπεισμαι ἐν κ. ἦσσοῦ . . . πάντα μὲν καθαρά.

The thought, especially on the negative side, that the impure heart makes all things impure, was found in the prophets;
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cf. Hag 2:10-14, and was becoming a common-place of pagan philosophers, both Epicurean and Stoic; cf. Lucr. vi. 17-34; Hor. Ep. i. 2. 54, "Sincerus est nisi vas, quodcunque infundis acescit." Seneca, de Benefic. v. 12, "quam modum stomachus morbo vitiatus ... quoscunque acceptib cibos mutat, ita animus quecus quicquid illi commiseris, id onus suum et perniciem ... facit. Nihil potest ad malos pervenire quod prodest, immo nihil quod non noceat; quaecunque enim illis contigerunt in naturam suam vertunt, et ... profutura, si melioribus darentur, illis pestifera sunt," and Philo, de Legg. Spec. iii. 209, p. 334 M, ἀκάθαρτος ... ὁ ἄδικος καὶ ἄσεβὴς ... πάντα φύσιν καὶ συνήχειν ... διότι ὁ ἐφάπτεται πραγμάτων πάντα ἐστὶ ἐπίληπτα τῇ τοῦ ὅριστος συμμεταβάλλοντα μοχθερίᾳ καὶ γὰρ ... αἱ πράξεις τῶν ἁγάθων ἐπαινεῖται, βεβλιούμεναι ταῖς τῶν ἐνεργούσιν ἀρεταῖς, ἐπειδὴ πέφυκε πῶς τὰ γνώμενα τοῖς ὅριστοι ἐξομοιώθηκαν (Wetstein).


ἀπίστοις] This would apply (a) to the weak Jewish Christian, not believing that Christ is the end of the law, cf. Ro 1:23, ὁ ψυχὴν ἔχων ἁθείαν πάντα ῥυπο, Chrys.; or (b) to the Gnostic, without faith in God's creation of matter, cf. 1 Ti 4:1-5; but here the reference is only to the former.

ὁ νοῦς καὶ ἡ συνείδησις] Their judgment is perverted: they will call evil good and good evil (cf. 1 Ti 6:9, 2 Ti 3:5); their conscience is callous, not telling them when they have done wrong (cf. 1 Ti 4:1), nor condemning them when they have done it.

18. ὁμολογοῦν] They acknowledge, assert in their Creed—the word does not imply boastful profession—that they know God, but in practice belie such knowledge; cf. Jas 2:14-26, 1 Jn 2:4.

ἀφοῦται] not in the earlier, but frequent in the Past. Epistles; cf. 2:12, 1 Ti 5:8, 2 Ti 12.18 3:6.


βδελυκτοὶ takes up μεμιμαμένοι, the antithesis to καθαροί (cf. Prov 17:16 ὃς δίκαιον κρίνει τὸν ἄδικον, ἄδικον δὲ τὸν δίκαιον ἀκάθαρτος καὶ βδελυκτος παρὰ θεό); perhaps with an allusion to the use of βδελυγμα of the abominations of heathen idolatry; cf. ματαιολογοὶ 10 note.

ἀπείθεις] "incredibiles," Vulg.; "diffidentes," Theod.; but better, "inobedientes," Jer. Ambrosiaster. It takes up ἀπίστοις,
but interprets it in the sphere of action; cf. ἀνυπότακτον, and contrast 3-8.

πρὸς πᾶν ἐργον ἄγ. ἀδόκιμον] worthless for the tasks for which they ought to be ready (31): much more for the excellence for which God's peculiar people are eager (218). The whole of 21-18 is a contrast to this phrase.

II. 1-15. Paraphrase. But your language must be very different: you must lay stress on character, on that character which is consistent with the sound teaching, and that with regard to every member of the Christian family. Elder men you must train to be sober-minded, dignified, self-controlled, sound in their faith in God, in their love for their fellow-men, in their power of enduring persecution. Elder women similarly, to be reverent in staid demeanour, not given to gossip and scandal, not the slaves of drink, teachers of all that is excellent; for their aim should be to discipline and train the younger women to be lovers of their husbands, lovers of their children, to be self-controlled, chaste, workers at home, kindly to their servants, in willing obedience to their husbands: this is important in order that the truth of God may not be evil spoken of. Younger men, too, exhort to be self-controlled; for them you yourself must be the model of what excellent character should be. When you teach, your motives sincere, your manner such as to inspire respect, your message sound and not open to criticism. This, too, is important in order that any opponent of Christianity may be put to shame, when he can find nothing evil to allege against us. Slaves, too, must be trained to be obedient to their masters, eager to please them in every way, not answering back, not pilfering, nay, showing glad whole-hearted fidelity. This, too, is most important, because by so doing they may make the teaching about God our Saviour more attractive, more likely to win their masters to it.

And such a character is possible, for the grace of God when it broke upon the world, like light dawning upon darkness, brought with it salvation for every race and class of men, and it came as a school of character training us to renounce impiety and mere worldly impulses and to live a life of self-control, of just treatment of our fellows, of piety to Godward, in this present age, while we still look forward to a better future, to the blessed hope and fresh light yet to break upon us from the glory of Him who is at once the High God in heaven and our Saviour upon earth, Jesus Christ, who gave His life unto the death on our behalf—for this very purpose that He might rescue us from all disobedience to law, and purify for His own service a people of His own choice, enthusiastic for all ideal works.

This is what you have to teach: aye, plead with them to rise
to it; if need be, rebuke with all authority any who oppose. Let no one ignore your authority.

Note.—1. The whole chapter is full of reminiscences of c. 1. Titus is to be in his teaching a model for the presbyters, to show them how to exhort and how to rebuke (cf. 21-16 with 19). He is also to be a contrast to the false teachers: his teaching is to be sound, sincere, not able to be silenced (cf. 27 with 110): it is not to be aimless, but at all points to build up character (cf. 21 with 10, 214 with 110): it is not to upset families, but to build up a true family life on the basis of a willing subordination (cf. 25, 9 with 110.11). The "evil beasts and idle bellies" are to be disciplined into self-control (cf. σώφρων, 24.5.6.12, with 112): instead of attending to Jewish myths and ceremonial purifications, the Christians are to realize that they are now God's peculiar people, purified with a spiritual cleansing (cf. 214 with 114, 15): instead of being useless for every good work, they are to be eager to stand out before the heathen world as models of excellence (cf. 214 with 110).

2. The whole illustrates the importance attached to building up the conception of a high family life (cf. Ramsay, St. Paul, the Traveller and Roman Citizen, c. vi.), and it should be compared with Col 318-41 (where St. Paul for the first time regulates the duties of the members of a family), Eph 522-69 (where he treats the family as a training ground for the sense of true membership in the church), 1 Ti 51-69 (where he treats of Timothy's attitude to the different classes in the church), and also 1 P 218-37 where, as here, the importance of the Christian's life at home is emphasized because of its effect upon the heathen world outside: but here the argument is scarcely so strong as there; here, it is mainly to avoid disparagement by the heathen, cf. 25.8.10; there, it is rather to win the heathen to salvation, 1 P 212.3. In no case is the similarity sufficient to suggest any literary dependence of one writer upon the other. Cf. also Clem. Rom. c. xxi.; Ign. ad Polyc. c. iv.

3. Notice the strong sense of divine and human purpose throughout the section (ίνα six times). It was the Divine purpose in the Incarnation that man should live a moral and religious life (ίνα ... ξένωτον 12): it was the purpose of Christ's death that we should be free from the power of sin and eager for excellence of life (ίνα ... καλῶν ἐργων 14): and man can co-operate with this purpose; the elder women are to aim at training the younger (ίνα σωφρονίζωσι 4): the younger women, at keeping God's message free from all calumny (ίνα μη ... βλασφημηται 5): more strongly still, Titus and the younger men can act so as to put heathen opponents to shame (ίνα δέ ἐκ ἐναντίων ἐντραπῆ 6); yet more strongly still, even slaves can make it their aim to add
fresh lustre to the doctrine and make it attractive to the heathen
(ίνα ... κοσμεμένων ἐν πάσιν 10).

1. τὸ δὲ [contrast 210. τῇ ὑγ. διδασκ., which is to be the
standard for the presbyters, 17].

2. πρεσβύτας] "sene et ætate et ordine possunt intelligi"
(Pelagius and Oecumenius); but there is nothing in the whole
context to suggest official position of any kind, either in the
other classes referred to or in the qualities required.

ηφαλίους] 1 Ti 32 note.

σεμνοῦς] 1 Ti 22 note. εἶναι, possibly the imperatival infini-
tive, cf. Phil 310, Ro 1215 (Moulton, N.T. Gr. i. p. 179); but
more probably governed by λάλει, cf. 6.

σώφρονας] "castos," "pudicos," perhaps also wise in counsel

ὁγναῖντας] cf. 18; contrast νοοῦν, 1 Ti 6, and ἀσθενοῦντα Τῇ
πίστει, Ro 141: they must be sound, there must be no internal
weakness in any part of the Christian life; their faith in God
must not be half-hearted, must have no alloy of false human
teaching (114); their love must not wax cold in the presence of
the lawlessness around them (Mt 2418); it must not be unbalanced;
their power of endurance must be able to hold out against the
provocations and persecutions of the world around them (cf. 57
32). Each quality must be able to stand a strain without
snapping. The thought of "soundness" is most applicable to
"faith," but it perhaps also suggests a "sanitas caritatis" and
a "sanitas patientiae" (Jerome), in the sense that each quality
may degenerate into weakness. "Love," which is not weak,
sentimental, dangerous, cf. Orelli, Inscr. Lat. 4651, "quae dum
nimi pia fuit, facta est impia"; "endurance," which is not faint-
hearted nor yet callous, obstinate, fanatical, which will not court
martyrdom. Jerome, whose note is excellent, points to 1 Co 13
as defining the "sanitas caritatis"; cf. Augustine’s "serenitatem
dilectionis" (Conf. ii. 2); Tyrrell, Hard Sayings, p. 295, "He
came to teach our affections a rhythm from heaven." Words-
worth's "Laodamia":

"The Gods approve
The depth and not the tumult of the soul,
A fervent, not ungovernable love";

and for the combination of the three, S. T. Coleridge, "Love,
Hope and Patience in Education":

"Yet hapy there will come a weary day
When, overtasked at length,
Both Love and Hope beneath the load give way.
Then, with a statue's smile, a statue's strength,
Stands the mute sister Patience, nothing loth,
And, both supporting, does the work of both."
3. πρεσβύτευς] this again has been referred (Theod. Oecumenius) to some prominent official position in the community ("wie es heute bei den Herrenhutern der Fall ist," Koehler), such as is found later; cf. the 11th Laodicean Canon, περί τοῦ μὴ δεῖν τὰς λεγομένας πρεσβύτευς ἢ τοι προκαθήμενα ἐν ἐκκλησίᾳ καθίσασθαι: the epithets ιεροπρεπείας, καλοδιδασκάλους, would suit this, but the whole context is against it (cf. note on 2).

καταστήματι] demeanour, deportment ("incessus, motus, vultus, sermo, silentium," Jerome), but with the additional thought of settled, staid, sedate demeanour; cf. καταστηματικός, and Porphyry. de Absit. iv. 6, τὸ σεμνὸν κὰκ τοῦ καταστήματος ἐνωστὸν τορεώ τε γὰρ ἐπτάκτου καὶ βλέμμα καθεστήμος ἐπετηδευτοῖς: Ign. Trall. 3, τῶ ἐπισκόπῳ ὑμῶν οὗ αὐτῶ τὸ κατάστημα μεγάλη μαθητεία, with other interesting illustrations in Field, Ol. Norvic. and M.M. s.v. For the thought, cf. Ecclus 190 στολισμός ἀνδρὸς καὶ γέλως δότων καὶ βήματα ἀνθρώπων ἀναγγέλλει τὰ περί αὐτῶν.

ιεροπρεπείας] temple-like, reverent, like people engaged in sacred duties, cf. 1 Ti 210 δὴ πρέπει γυναιξίν ἐπαγγελλομέναι θεοσέβειαν, and an inscription from Delos, τὰς θυσίας ιεροπρεπῶν συνετέλεσαν (M.M. s.v.). They are to carry into daily life the demeanour of priestesses in a temple; cf. Philo, Quod omnis probus sit liber, 12. 76, p. 457 M. of the Essenes, θεραπευτα Θεοῦ γεγοναί, οὐ ἐν καταβοῦστες ἀλλὰ ιεροπρεπείς τὰς έαντῶν διανοίας κατασκευάζειν ἁξιούντες (Wetstein). The idea of life as one constant festival to the wise man is found in Stoic writers (Marc. Aurel. iii. 4, δ ἀνήρ ὁ τουώτος . . . ίερεὺς τις ἐτει καὶ ἐνυργοῦσθεῖν: in Philo, de Sacrif. Abel. 33, ἐσκεφτή γὰρ ψυχῆς ἡ ἐν ἀρετάς εὐφροσύνη τελείας . . . μόνος δὲ ἐσκεφτάζει τὴν τοιαύτην ἐσκεφτήν ἀλλὰ σοφός, and in early Christian writers, Clem. Alex. Strom. vii. 49, ἀπασ δὲ ὁ βίος αἰτῶν πανύψηρις ἄγια (of the true Gnostic). So Tertullian, De cultu Fém. ii. 12, calls Christian women "pudicitiae sacerdotes."

There is some MSS authority for ιεροπρεπεία, "in habitu sancto," Vulg.; "in habitu decenti," Theod.-Mops., cf. i Ti 29 ἐν καταστολῇ κοσμίῳ: but the following adjectives strongly support the plural here.


ὑμα σωφρονίζων] not neuter, "that they may be self-controlled," τὰς νέας being then parallel in construction to πρεσβύτευς and πρεσβύτευς (so Calvin, Hofmann, Wohlenberg); for this is scarcely adequate as the climax of the preceding, nor sufficiently parallel to the other final sentences with ἢνα: but active, that they may discipline, train in σωφροσύνη the young women; cf. σωφρον-
II. 4–7.]
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1. τομός, 2 Ti 1; Justin M. Apol. ii. 1, ὡς ἀν σωφρονίζηται ιπτὸ πατρός; Xen. Econ. vii. 14, where a wife says to her husband ἐμὼν δ' ἔφησεν ἡ μήτηρ ἔργον εἶναι σωφρονεῖν, where the meaning is, “to be prudent in household management.”

[φιλανδροῦσ, φιλοτέκνους] Deissmann, Bible Studies, p. 255, quotes an Inscription from Pergamum of the time of Hadrian, Ἰούλιος Βάσσος Ὀτακιλὰ Πώλλῃ τῇ γυναικείᾳ γυναικὶ φιλάνδρῳ καὶ φιλοτέκνῳ συμβιωσάγῃ ἄμεμπτως ἔτη λ.

5. οἰκουργοῦσι workers at home; cf. Clem. Rom. i. 1, τὰ κατὰ τὸν οἶκον σεμνῶς οἰκουργεῖν ἐνδιάσκετε πάνυ σωφρονύσας (possibly a reminiscence of this passage). Orelli, Inscr. Lat. 4639, “pia, pudica, frugi, casta, domisedit”; ibid. 4848, “domum servavit, lanam fecit”; contrast 1 Ti 5:8 περερχόμεναι τὰς οἰκίας, Prov 7:11 of a courtesan, ἐν οἰκῷ ὀν ἐγνωκόσων οἱ πόδες αὐτῆς. The meaning is not far different from that of the more usual οἰκουργοῦσι, “home-minders,” “domus curam habentes” Vulg., “domos suas bene regentes” Theod.-Mops., which is read here in n (= D = H = W). ἀγνάς] cf. the frequent appeal of the Christian Apologists to the high standard of Christian wives, e.g. Tert. Apol. 9, “diligentissima et fidelissima castitas.”


ὅπωσιςμένας] whether the husbands are Christian or not (cf. 1 Ti 6:1–2, 1 Co 7:10–18). Chrysostom and Theodoret add the later application, that they are not to leave their husbands through wishing to live a “religious” life. For the duty, cf. 1 Co 14:34, Eph 5:28, Col 3:18. Resch, Paulinismus (T. und U., N.F. xii. p. 463) thinks that a command of the Lord (cf. 1 Co 14:37) lies behind the command of the Apostle.

ἵνα μη... βλασφημήται] a reminiscence of Is 52:6 διʼ ὑμᾶς τὸ ὄνομά μου βλασφημεῖται ἐν τοῖς θεόντει, cf. Ro 2:23, 1 Ti 6:1. The Christians are now God’s “peculiar people” (14), like the Jews in captivity, in a heathen world. They have to protect from abuse not only “the name” (τὸ ὄνομα) of God, but His new “word,” His new message (ὁ λόγος, cf. 1:8–9; τὴν διδασκαλίαν, 2:10) of universal salvation, 11. To the Jew the profanation of God’s Name was the deadliest sin, even as the sanctification of the name, especially by martyrdom, was the highest duty; cf. C. G. Montefiore in Beginnings of Christianity, i. pp. 63–65.

7. περὶ πάτρα] possibly with σωφρονεῖν, “tam mente quam corpore . . . in omnibus rebus, ne honores indebitos appetamus, ne accendamur avaritia, ne ulla passione superemur” (Jerome),
but more probably with παρεκόμενος, being expanded in the following words; cf. 1 Ti 4:12.

παρεκόμενος] scarcely different from the active in Hellenistic Greek, cf. Col 4:1; and fairly common with the reflexive pronoun in inscriptions; cf. Deissmann, B.S., p. 254; Moulton, N.T. Greek, i., pp. 155–59.

ἐν τῇ διδασκαλίᾳ] “in your teaching,” to be joined with all the following words ἀφθορίαν . . . ἀκατάγγειλον.

ἀφθορίαν] the quality of the ἀφθορός, chaste, pure (cf. ΜΜ. s.v.): purity of motive, without desire of gain (cf. 1:11) or respect of persons, and purity of doctrine (cf. 2 Co 4:2 ἀπειτάμεθα τὰ κρυπτὰ τῆς αἰσχύνης, μὴ περιπατοῦντες ἐν πανουργίᾳ μηδὲ δολοῦντες τὸν λόγον).

σεμνότητα] dignity of phrase and utterance. λόγον ὑγίᾳ, the message in true proportion, well-balanced; cf. ἐὰν γυναῖκα ἐν ἀγάπῃ, Παρ. Οξυρ. ii. p. 215. ἀκατάγγειλον (found in 2 Mac 4:7 and in several contemporary epitaphs; Deissmann, B.S., p. 200), not liable to be censured, criticized, silenced; contrast 1:10 3:11, Gal 2:11.

8. ὃ ἐὰν εἰστιν] “he that is of the contrary part”—doubtless the main thought is of pagan criticism; cf. 5:10, 1 P 2:1–15. 1 Ti 5:14 ὁ ἀντικειμένος: but as there is a direct reference to Titus, it may include “the contrary part” within the Church; cf. τοὺς ἀντιλέγοντας, 1:9 3:15 note, 2 Ti 2:25.


ἀγαθή] possibly limiting πᾶσαν, “in rebus non malis” (Bengel); but almost certainly strengthening it “with a hearty good will” = μετ' εὐνοίας, Eph 6:7; ἔλειθερα ἀφίημι . . . κατ' εὐνοίαν καὶ φιλοστοργιαν δουλά μου σώματα, Παρ. Οξυρ., ὑδὶ σύρμα, line 6.

W.-H. place in the margin, as an alternative reading, πᾶσαν ἐνδ. ἀγάπην: but Παρ. Οξυρ. strongly supports πᾶσαν πίστιν, and ἀγάπην may have been an attempt to avoid the doubtful meaning of ἀγαθή: cf. Introd., p. xxxviii.

κοσμοῦν] “Quo vilior conditio servorum, eo pulchrius
II. 10, 11.] TITUS

describitur eorum pietas," Bengel ("that they may do worshippe to the doctrine," Tynd. Cranmer). The very difficulty of the slaves' position—for which see an interesting note in Chrysostom—makes his loyalty redound the more to the credit of the Gospel, and show that it is a Gospel of glory, 1 Ti 11; cf. G. Herbert:

"Who sweeps a room as for thy laws
Makes that and the action fine."

The notes of St. Thomas Aquinas on vv. 2-10 show a shrewd knowledge of human nature, and the appropriateness of each quality to be pressed upon each class.

11-14. The reason and motive power for this appeal—the enabling grace of God.

This dogmatic statement is introduced as the basis of the previous appeal, cf. 1 Ti 316; "do this for you can, God's grace was given for this very purpose." It springs directly out of the command to slaves, 9-10, but certainly includes 2-8, and probably also 1. "Teach rightly, for God's grace was an educating grace: let each class in the household live a true Christian life, for God's grace was given to all classes to make possible such a life." Hence the emphasis lies on πασιν ἄνθρω-ποις, παιδεύοντα, ἥλιοτην καλῶν ἐργών.

11. ἐπεφάνη] the passive only here and 3 (but ἐπιφανεῖν, Lk 179, Acts 2720) in N.T. but common in LXX, Josephus, Inscr. The essential meaning is to appear suddenly upon a scene, and it is used particularly (α) of divine interposition, especially to aid (cf. Gen 357, 3 Mac 69, so ἐπιφάνεια, 2 Mac 21 324 1222 1415; and for pagan illustrations, v. M.M. s.v.), "Apparuit gratia Dei," Vulg: (b) of the dawning of light upon darkness (Nu 625, Ps 3016 11727 etc.), "illuxit gratia Dei" (Jerome). The context here (σωτήριος) suggests the former shade of meaning. The grace of God came to the aid of our need, the reference being to the whole life of Christ, Incarnation and Death, cf. 14; in 2 Ti 10 the thought of light is more prominent. For further illustrations see excellent notes in Ezra Abbot, Critical Essays, p. 454; Milligan on I II Thess., p. 148; Justin Martyr (Apol. i. 5 and 14) contrasts the ἐπιφάνεια of δαemons in dreams and other ways, leading to immoral acts, with the ἐπιφάνεια of Christ leading to a life of love.

σωτήριος] taking up σωτηριος 10 and anticipating 14, bringing salvation from the power of sin to all.

πασιν ἄνθρωποις] "nullam conditionem excipit" (Pelagius); "etiam servis, etiam gentibus," cf. 3 (Bengel). The first thought is certainly right—"to all classes of men, even slaves, enabling all to live true lives": the second thought is perhaps
also suggested by the reference to the effect on the heathen world, 5, 8, 10: the message of salvation is intended for all, so you need not despair of winning any by your lives, cf. 1 Ti 24 410.

19. παιδείασα] training, schooling, cf. 2 Ti 225 318 (not, as more often, “chastising”). The educative power of God's grace is dwelt upon, as the context is concerned with sound teaching. The thought is akin to the Greek conception of redemption from ignorance, but this is not un-Pauline, and the primary thought is redemption from moral evil.

ἀρνησάμενοι] perhaps with reference to a particular time, the time of baptism.

ἀσέβειαν] τὴν εἰδωλολατρείαν, καὶ τὰ ποιημα δόγματα (Theoph.), but this is too narrow; it is the contrast to ἐσέβεσθαι. Impiety, all wrong thoughts about God, and the actions that follow from it, which marked the heathen (τὴν) life, cf. Jude 15-18; “impietatem et secundaria desideria” (Vulg.).

κοσμικός] here only in N.T. in this sense; cf. 1 Jn 216 πῶν τὸ ἐν τῷ κόσμῳ, ἡ ἐπιθύμησις τῆς σαρκὸς καὶ ἡ ἐπιθύμησις τῶν ψυχικῶν, καὶ ἡ αλαζονεία τοῦ βλου, for the meaning.

σωφρόνως] placed first, as the contrast to ἐπιθυμοῦς and as the characteristic word of the whole chapter: with self-control, with respect for the rights of others, with true piety towards God.

τῷ νόμῳ αἰῶνοι] 1 Ti 617, 2 Ti 410 only in N.T.

13. προοδευχόμενοι] because we look forward to a yet brighter future, when all that is good in this present life will be rewarded and completed; cf. 1 Cor 17, 2 Th 17-12. To the writer as he approached death expectation had grown into love, 2 Ti 48.

τὴν μακ. ἐπίθεσα] almost = Χριστὸν Ἱησοῦν; cf. 1 Ti 11 and 11. ἐπιφάνειαν] in N.T., only here and 2 Th 25, 1 Ti 614, 2 Ti 10 41 and 8; cf. note on ἐπιφάνης 11. The word was applied to the accession of a Roman Emperor (cf. Milligan on I II Thess., p. 148): that might be in the writer's mind here (cf. next note and 1 Ti 616, 2 Ti 41)—the taking of the kingdom by the true king.

τῆς δόξης] The full manifestation of all that Christ is in Himself and in His saints; cf. 1 Ti 11 note, 2 Co 318, 2 Th 10 ὅταν ἐλπὶ ἐνδοξασθῇ ἐν τοῖς ἁγίοις αὐτοῦ; but vide below.

τοῦ μεγάλου θεοῦ] here only in N.T., but ὁ θεὸς ὁ μέγας (Dt 1017, Neh 16 etc.), of Jehovah in contrast with heathen gods, and used by heathen of their gods and goddesses; cf. Acts 1927 τῆς μεγάλης θεᾶς Ἀρτέμιδος.

τοῦ μ. Θ. καὶ σωτηρίου] Do these words apply to two persons, “of Our Great God and of our Saviour,” or to one, “of Our Great God and Saviour”? Probably to one, and that one Jesus Christ; cf. 1 Th 10, 1 Co 17.
(i) For—

(a) This is the natural (though not necessary) construction of two substantives after one article, and the relative clause ὡς ἔδωκε seems to require a second article with σωτηρός, if that refers to a separate person.

(b) The purpose in ἵνα λυτρώσηται κ.τ.λ. is attributed to Jehovah in the O.T., but here to Jesus Christ: so that it is natural that Jesus Christ should be identified with Him in this phrase also.

(c) There is possibly an intentional contrast with the Roman Emperor or (?) and with the object of worship in the mysteries. The combination σωτηρ καὶ θεὸς had been applied to Ptolemy I., θεὸς ἐπιφανής to Antiochus Epiphanes, θεὸν ἐπιφανή καὶ κοινὸν τοῦ ἀνθρωπίνου βίου σωτῆρα to Julius Caesar (Dittenberger, Gr. Inscr. xvi. 2. 3 ; Syll. Inscr. Gr. 347. 6). So Osiris was called Lord and Saviour in the Isis mystery.

(d) In Jewish Apocalyptic there is sometimes an anticipation of a manifestation of Jehovah, sometimes of that of a Messiah, but not of both.

(ii) On the other hand, the identification is—

(a) Against the general usage of the earlier Epistles, though Ro 9:6 is probably an exception.

(b) Against the usage of the Past. Epistles, cf. 3 4-6, 1 Ti 1 1 26, 2 Ti 1 1 ; but those passages speak of Christ’s past or present work, this of His future glorification.

(c) Against the distinction between the glory of the Son and that of the Father, Lk 9:26, Mt 16:27. Patristic evidence is divided. Justin Martyr. Apol. 1. 61, ἐπ’ ὄνοματος τοῦ Πατρός τῶν ἄλων καὶ δεσπότου Θεοῦ καὶ τοῦ σωτηρός ἡμῶν Ἰησ. Χρ. καὶ τοῦ πνεύματος, favours the separation; Clem. Alex. Protr. c. 1, § 7, the identification, quoting the passage as a proof that Christ is both God and man; Chrys., Jerome, Thdt., and (apparently) Theod.-Mops. and Pelagius, and the Liturgy of St. Basil (Brightman, L.E. W., p. 402), all support Clement’s view, Ambrosiaster that of Justin.

The question is not one of doctrinal importance: on the theory of separation Jesus Christ is still placed on a level with the great God, as a manifestation of His glory, and as having effected Jehovah’s work of salvation. Chrysostom’s question still remains—ποῦ ἐστὶν οἱ τοῦ πατρὸς ἐλάττωνα τῶν υἱῶν λέγοντες;
Dr. Hort (on Jas 2:1 and Add. Note, p. 103: and so Lange, von Hengel, Schenkel, quoted in Ezra Abbot, p. 450) takes τῆς δόξης as in apposition to Ἰησοῦ Χριστοῦ and governing τοῦ μεγάλου θεοῦ καὶ σωτήρος ἡμῶν—"the appearing of him who is the glory of the great God and our Saviour"—i.e. of Jesus Christ, the glory of the Father, who is both the great God and our Saviour; supposing the thought of the Shechinah or the Glory of God (cf. Burney, *Aramaic Origin of the Fourth Gospel*, pp. 36, 37) to have been transferred almost as a fixed title to Christ, as the thought of the Word was transferred to Him in the Fourth Gospel. Passages such as 2 Co 4:4, Eph 1:3 (ὁ πατὴρ τοῦ Κυρίου ἡμῶν Ἰησ. Χρ. Χτων side by side with τοῦ κυρίου τῆς μεγαλοσύνης τοῦ Θεοῦ, Clem. Rom. i. c. 10, with ἡ δύναμις τοῦ Θεοῦ, Justin M. c. Tryph. c. 61. This is possible, but Jesus Christ has Himself been called "our Saviour" in this Epistle, 14, and the reasons urged above seem to decide in favour of referring the whole phrase to Jesus Christ. For a very full discussion of the history of the interpretation, cf. Ezra Abbot, *Critical Essays*, pp. 439–87; he separates τοῦ μεγάλου Θεοῦ from σωτήρος ἡμῶν.

14. διὰ δόξων ἐαυτῶν] Ἡ Θεοῦ, Γαλ 1:4, based on the Lord's own saying, Mk 10:45. The gift is the gift of the whole life, but principally of the life surrendered in death; cf. 1 Co 11:28 παρεδίδετο, Phil 2:8, Eph 5:25.


λυτρώσηται] (Lk 24:21, 1 P 1:18 only in N.T., but very frequent in LXX). "Rescue," "deliver," though the previous words διὰ δόξων ἐαυτῶν ὑπὲρ ἡμῶν suggest the further idea of ransom as lying in the background.

ἀπὸ πάσης ἁμαρτίας] As from Egyptian bondage (Ex 15:18) and from Babylon (Is 44:22-28) in the past: hence the main thought is rescue from the power, not from the guilt of sin.

καθαρίσω] from Ezek 37:28 (supra). The original reference was probably to the sprinkling of the people with the blood of the covenant, cf. Ex 23:22 24:8; so that the thought is still of death: cleanse with his own blood, 1 Jn 1:7 τὸ αἷμα Ἰησοῦ καθαρίσει ἡμᾶς ἀπὸ πάσης ἁμαρτίας: ibid.9 ἀπὸ πάσης ἁμαρτίας: Heb 9:14-22, 1 P 1:2 (with Hort's note): Justin M. Ἁρωλ. i. 32, δ' αἵματος καθαρίσω τούς πιστεύοντας: c. Tryph. 13.
The word also looks back to 1 Peter 1:18; there is a cleansing needed; but no Jewish ceremonial cleansing to be repeated from time to time, but a cleansing of the heart (cf. Acts 15:9) which has been effected by Christ Himself: perhaps it also anticipates 3:5 and contains a reference to the cleansing of baptism; cf. Eph 5:26, 29; 1 Corinthians 6:11.

The Latin translations vary: “abundantem,” Clarom.; “acceptabilem,” Vulg.; “egregium,” Jerome; “proprium,” Theodore: cf. “domesticam Dei gentem,” Tert. Apol. 18. According to Jerome, Symmachus was the first to use the Latin word peculiarem, transliterating it into Greek; and from him Jerome, though leaving “acceptabilem” here and “populus acquisitionis” in 1 Peter 2:9, used it in the O.T., and it has come thence into our English versions. It is derived from the peculium, the private property of a slave.

The conception of the Church, as the chosen people, which has taken the place of and has to do the work of the Jewish nation, is specially marked in 1 Peter, but it is equally clear in St. Paul; cf. Gal 6:18 “the Israel of God”; Phil 3:5 ἡμεῖς ἐσμέν ἡ περιστομῆ, and it underlies the Lord’s choice of twelve apostles and His building a new ἐκκλησία.

15. λάλει (= 1), παρακάλει (= 6 19), ἐλεγχε (19. 18). μετὰ πᾶσης ἐπιταγῆς] cf. ἀποτόμοις, 1:18, which suggests that the words...
only belong to ἔλεγχε. Cf. Tert. Ἀρ. 39 (of Christian assemblies), "ibidem etiam exhortationes, castigationes, et censura divina."

περιφερείτω] perhaps not quite so strong as καταφερείτω, 1 Ti 4:12, "ignore," but Chrys. and Thdt. both treat the two as synonymous. Calvin assumes that the Epistle would be read in public, so that this command is virtually addressed to the church rather than to Titus. It probably implies advice both to Titus and to his hearers.

Ἐγκρατής, Σῶφρων and Their Cognates.

Σῶφρων and its cognates are specially characteristic of the Past. Epistle, not occurring at all in the earlier letters: ἐγκρατής and its cognates are comparatively rare in each set, once in Past. Epp. ἐγκρατής, Tit 1:8; thrice in the earlier letters ἐγκράτεια, Gal 5:23; ἐγκρατεῖσθαι, 1 Co 7:9 25. In Tit 1:8 both are stated as qualifications for the ἐπίσκοπος, as though a distinction was consciously drawn between them. This would probably be the same as that drawn in Aristotle: ἐγκράτεια is control of the bodily passions with deliberate effort, a self-mastery which keeps the self well in hand (cf. Gen 43:30 ἐνεκρατεύσατο of Joseph at the sight of Benjamin, 1 Sam 13:18), the main stress is on the will; it is applied most frequently to sexual and all bodily passions (1 Co 7:9 25), but also with the widest possible reference (Gal 5:23; 2 P 1:8).

σωφροσύνη is a free and willing control which no longer requires effort; the main stress is on the judgment which recognizes the true relation between body and spirit, a rational self-control, a sound mind which always "keeps its head." So in Plato's application of it to the state it is the recognition of the true relation of each part to the other, and, while common to all classes, it is most important and effective in the ruler. But in popular usage it tended to be regarded as the peculiar virtue of women, in the sense both of sexual self-control and of practical wisdom, and of the young. Cf. Xenophon, Ἐκονομ. vii. 14 (quoted supra 24); Arist. Ῥητ. 1361a, θηλείων ἄρετῇ...

Professor Gilbert Murray would add a new thought to σωφροσύνη, which would make the distinction stronger; he sees in it a saving power which would give it an altruistic effect, while ἐγκράτεια would be only self-regarding. "It is something like Temperance, Gentleness, Mercy; sometimes Innocence, never merely Caution; a tempering of dominant emotions by gentler thought. But its derivation is interesting. The adjective
σώφρων or συόφρων is the correlative of δλοφρων. 'Ολοφρων means 'with destructive thoughts'; σώφρων means 'with saving thoughts.' Plutarch, when the force of the word was dead, actually used this paraphrase to express this same idea (νον σωτήρια φρονοῦντα, De Tranquillete, 470 D). There is a way of thinking which destroys and a way which saves. The man or woman who is σώφρων walks among the beauties and perils of the world, feeling the love, joy, anger, and the rest; and through all has that in his mind which saves. Whom does it save? Not him only, but, as we should say, the whole situation. It saves the imminent evil from coming to be" (The Rise of the Greek Epic, p. 27). This is excellent as a description of its usage; but I doubt whether it springs from the derivation, which implies a "sound" rather than a "saving" mind, and Plutarch's words are not applied to the σώφρων but to δ νον ἔχων.

It is, however, very doubtful whether a distinction between the two words is to be pressed always in Hellenistic Greek. A comparison of Acts 24:26 διαλεγομένου δι αυτοῦ περὶ δικαίωσιν χαὶ ἐγκρατείας with 26:26 ἀληθείας καὶ σωφροσύνης ῥῆματα ἀποφθέγμαω, both said of Paul in similar conditions, makes it improbable; and in Clement of Alexandria ἐγκράτεια becomes more positive: "it now forms the basis of reasonable self-limitation in regard to all the passions and desires. The cause of this improved conception of ἐγκράτεια is probably due in part to the less hostile attitude taken by Christianity towards the body and the emotional nature than that which prevailed before" (T. B. Strong, Bampton Lectures, p. 170). Cf. also Hermas, Vis. 3. viii., where it is one of the seven women round the tower, ἣ περιεσωμένη καὶ ἀνδρεσσομένη Ἐγκράτεια καλεῖται αὐτῇ θυγάτηρ ἐστὶν τῆς πλίτσως· δὲ αὖ ὁν ἀκολουθήσῃ αὐτῇ, μακάριος γίνεται ἐν τῇ ζωῇ αὐτοῦ, ὅτι πάντων τῶν πονηρῶν ἐργῶν ἀφέσεται.

In the Past. Epp. Ἐγκράτης is applied only to the ἐπίσκοπος: σώφρων to every class—to those in authority, I 3; Tit 18 (the ἐπίσκοπος), II 17 (St. Paul and Timothy and all teachers): to old men, Tit 2; to women, I 2b; to the elder women, Tit 2b; to young women, Tit 2b; to young men, Tit 2b—generally in the widest sense of self-control, once with special reference to self-control in married life, I 2b. It is one of the essential characteristics of the Christian life, one of the purposes of the Incarnation, Tit 2.

Both words and their cognates are rare in the O.T., but they come, often with conscious reference to the Platonic cardinal virtues, in the Apocrypha; cf. the section headed Ἐγκράτεια ψυχῆς, Ecclus 18.8ff.; for σωφροσύνη, Wisd 8.9 σωφρόνως; 2 Mac 4.8.
iii. 1–8. The duty of Christians to the outer world: obedience to government, activity in good works, gentleness and meekness in private life, 1 \( ^2 \). And the motive for such conduct: the duty of imitating God’s love to us who has saved us from our sins, 3–8.

9–11. The duty of avoiding useless discussion\(^9\) and factious opponents, 10, 11.

This section is connected with the preceding chapters: vv. 1–8 with ch. 2; vv. 9–11 with ch. 1. Ch. 2 had given commands to different classes, this gives one command common to all: that had emphasized the duty of subjection in the younger women and in slaves, this extends it to all classes: that had hinted at the effect of Christian lives on the heathen, this brings out the direct duty which Christians owe to them: that had dwelt on God’s saving grace as enabling Christians to do good works, this on God’s gift of a new birth as putting them under an obligation to do them.

In the same way 9–11 pick up the main thoughts of 10–16, the duty of avoiding Jewish discussions (14–3\(^9\)), and the duty of rebuke to opponents (18–3\(^10,11\)).

The keynote of the chapter is usefulness. Christians have to be useful citizens, ready for every good work; only such teaching is to be given as is useful to the world (8): “our friends” are to be ready to help others in need: they are not to be unfruitful (14). Titus himself is to be useful to Zenas and Apollos when they arrive (18).

For the whole section cf. Ro 12\(^17–13\(^7\), of which there may be a reminiscence.

Paraphrase. There is one thing of which you must remind them all, free and slaves alike—that is, to be loyal subjects to the Government and its officials, to obey any commands which they issue, to be on the look out to help in any kind of good work, to speak evil of none, to avoid all quarrels, not to stand on their own rights but to be large-hearted, never failing to show gentleness to any one. This is our bounden duty, for there was a time when we were as void of understanding as they are now; we too were disobedient, easily misled, the slaves of passions and pleasures of many kinds, passing our life in ill-will and envy of others, worthy of hate and hating one another.
"But when in gracious love for man
Our Saviour God unveiled His plan,
'Twas not for merit of our own
But of His pitying care alone
He saved us, by a heavenly birth
Cleansing away the stains of earth
And on our heads in rich largess
Pouring His Spirit's holiness."

All this He did that so being justified by His free gift we might become heirs, through hope, of eternal life. This saying is worthy of entire faith, and on all these points I wish you to insist, in the hope that those who have put faith in the message of God may set themselves to make honourable deeds the very business of their life. These truths are excellent in themselves and full of profit to others. But as for foolish speculations and genealogies, and strifes and wranglings about the Jewish law, give them a wide berth, for they are profitless and lead to nothing. If a man is self-willed and factious, warn him once, warn him again, but then avoid him, knowing that a man of such a character is perverted and sins, being condemned by his own action.

As soon as I shall send Artemas or Tychicus to you, make haste to come to Nicopolis to join me, for that is where I have decided to winter. Help forward on their journey with all diligence Zenas and Apollos: see that they have everything they want. Yes, and let all our brethren learn to make a real business of honourable works, that they may be able to help in such cases of need, that so they may not deserve the taunt of being "idle drones." All my companions send you greeting: do you give my greeting to all who love us in a common faith. God's grace be with you all.

1–3. Duty to the heathen world: (a) obedience to government, cf. 1 Ti 2:1–2 notes, and (more closely) Ro 13:1–7, 1 P 3:8–17. Such a command would be necessary at any time and place to Christians, who might regard their allegiance to Christ as exempting them from allegiance to the Pagan Emperor (cf. Acts 17:5; 24), and it is specially enforced in St. Paul’s letter to Rome and St. Peter’s letter from Rome; but it has a peculiar appropriateness in writing to Crete, partly because of the large number of Jews (110) in the Christian body who doubtless there, as at Rome, would be "assidue tumultuantes" (Suet. Claud. c. 25); partly because of the turbulent character of the Cretans themselves (στάσεως καὶ φόνως καὶ πολέμους ἐμψυχίως ἀναστρεφόμενους, Polyb. vi. 46. 9), who long fretted against their subjugation by Rome (cf. Dio Cassius, xxxvi. 1, quoted in Wetstein).

1. ὑπομιμνησκε] perhaps suggests that St. Paul had himself laid stress on this at the time of his visit to Crete; but they need a reminder.
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άρχαις ἐξουσίαις] The omission of καὶ is very unnatural; cf. Lk 1211 τὰς ἀρχαῖς καὶ τὰς ἐξουσίας: Martyr. Polycarp, 10, δεδάγμεθα γὰρ ἀρχαῖς καὶ ἐξουσίαις τιμήν ἀπονέμειν, is apparently a reminiscence of this place, and suggests that καὶ has accidentally dropped out; cf. Introd., p. xxxviii.

ὑποτασσεθαι] of the general attitude, "quod superioribus debent subditi reverentiam subjectionis" (Thom. Aq.).

πειθαρχεῖν] of obedience to particular commands, e.g. the payment of tribute and dues, Ro 136; cf. Xen. Cyr. viii. 1. 3, μέγιστον ἁγαθὸν τὸ πειθαρχεῖν φαίνεται εἰς τὸ καταπράττειν τὰ ἁγαθά (Alford).

(6) Activity in good works.

πρὸς πᾶν ἔργον ἁγαθὸν] The connexion suggests every good work started by the government, and would include civic and municipal duties; but it need not be limited to these: cf. Clem. Rom. i. c. 33, possibly a reminiscence of, certainly an interesting comment on, this phrase.

ἁγαθὸν] perhaps limiting; provided that it is good; cf. Thom. Aq. "aliqoquin non esset obediendum," cf. 3 note and 28 note.

(3) Gentleness in private life.

2. ἀμάχους] (here and 1. Ti 38 only in N.T.), cf. 9 and 2 Ti 28. 24.

ἐπιεικεῖσι] "temperate," Wycl.; "softe," Tyndal; "modestos," Vulg.; not pressing their own rights, making allowances, remembering that the heathen do not know of the graciousness and love of God our Saviour, they have not the ἐπιείκεια of Christ before their eyes (2 Co 101); "large-hearted," "high-hearted," cf.

“Truth’s school for certain doth this same allow,
High-heartedness doth sometimes teach to bow”
(Lady E. CARRW),

and Ar. Rhet. i. 13, §§ 17, 18, for a full description of τὸ ἐπιεικὲς,

“It is the indulgent consideration of human infirmities. To look not to the mere letter of the law but to the mind of the legislator, not merely to the act done but to the intention of the doer, not to a part but to the whole, not to the character of the actor at the moment but to his general character, to remember good deeds received from him rather than the bad, and the benefits you have received rather than those you have conferred” (Cope). Such a quality would be needed by masters in the treatment of their slaves (1 P 218), but here the reference is wider; cf. Phil 46 τὸ ἐπιεικὲς ὑμῶν γνωσθήτω τὰ πάντα ἀνθρώπους. It would be needed especially in face of persecution; cf. Wisd 219 ὃβρει καὶ βασιλῶν ἑτάσωμεν αὐτὸν ἵνα γνῶμεν τὴν ἐπιείκειαν αὐτοῦ. For good accounts of the word, cf. Lightfoot on Phil 46, Mayor on Jas 317.
II.

πάσιν ἐνδ. perhaps reminiscent of 210—as gentle to all men as your slaves are faithful to their masters. πράοτητα] Again—like their Saviour-God, cf. 2 Co 101. πρὸς πάντας ἀνθρ.] for St. Paul's stress on the duty of Christians to the whole world outside, cf. Ro 1217, Gal 610, Phil 44; and for the result of such teaching, cf. Justin M. Ἀρ. i. cc. 14–16; Tert. Ἀρ. c. 36, "civilitas in imperatorem tam vere quam circa omnes necessa habet exhiberi. . . . Nullum bonum sub exceptione personarum administratum."

3–7. Two reasons are given—(a) we ourselves were no better, and therefore are bound to be tolerant and forgiving, cf. Lk 746, Ex 2221: (b) we have been reborn by God's graciousness and loving-kindness, and ought to imitate these qualities; cf. Eph 281041724 512 γίνεθθε μιμητα του θεου κ.π.λ. The similarity suggests a conscious reminiscence of that Epistle.

3. ἀνόητοι] in intellect, cf. Eph 418, Ro 121; ἀπειθεῖς, in action; primarily, disobedient to human authority; cf. 1 and 610, Ro 180, 2 Ti 32 γονεόουν ἀπειθεῖς: but also to divine commands, cf. 116.

πλανῶμενοι] passive (cf. 2 Ti 313, 1 Co 122, Paris Pap. 47, ἀποτεπτόκαμεν πλανῶμενοι ὑπὸ τῶν θεῶν): it explains ἀνόητοι, as δουλεύωτες explains ἀπειθεῖς.


4. χρηστότης] "benignitas," Vulg.; "benignity," Wycl.; "kindness," Tynd.; graciousness, goodness, ever ready to bestow His blessings and to forgive; cf. Trench, Syn. lxiv. The substantive occurs in N.T. only in St. Paul (8 times); but cf. χρηστός, Lk 638, 1 P 25, and frequently in the Psalms applied to Jehovah.

φιλανθρωπία] here and Acts 282 (cf. φιλανθρώπως, ibid. 278) only in N.T., but frequent in classical writers and in the LXX of the Apocrypha; often in connexion with χρηστότης: love of man as man, humanity, showing itself in kindliness to equals (Acts, ubi s.), in graciousness to subjects (2 Mac 149), in pity for those in trouble; cf. Clem. Hom. xii. 25–33 (a most interesting discussion of the word), ή φιλανθρωπία πάντα ἁνθρωπον, καθο ἁνθρωπός ἐστι, φιλοῦσα ἐνεργεῖτ. One special application was to the ransoming of captives (λύσεις αἰχμαλώτων καὶ τωματας ἄλλας φιλανθρωπίας, Dem. de Chersonesio, 107. 15 (Field)), and that may be consciously present here; cf. δουλεύοντες, λυτρώονται 214. It is applied to Wisdom, φιλανθρωπὸν πνεῦμα σοφία, Wisd 16 728. Here it adds to χρηστότης the note of pity for man's state and the thought that it extends to all men (πάντας ἄνθρ. 2); but they are so allied (cf. Field, Ot. Norv., here and on Acts 282, and Wetstein here for suggestive illustrations) that the verb is in the singular. The two qualities are chosen in contrast to the conduct of men in the past 8, and as examples to Christians in the future 3; cf. Justin Mart. Ἀρ. 10 μιμούμενος σωφροσύνην καὶ δικαιοσύνην καὶ φιλανθρωπίαν καὶ δοσι σικεία Θεος ἐστι.
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ἐπεφάνη] cf. 21 note. τοῦ σωτῆρος ἡμῶν θεοῦ, i.e. the Father; cf. 1, 1 Ti 1, Ps 10926 σῶσόν με κατὰ τὸ μέγα τὸ ἐλέος σου. God's "peculiar people" is, as of old, entirely dependent on His initiating choice; cf. Deut 946 ὅψα ἐὰν τὰς δικαιοσύνας σου κύριος ὁ θεός σου δίδωσιν σοι τὴν γῆν τὴν ἀγαθὴν κληρονομήσαι: Ps 1151 μὴ ἢμῖν, κύριε, μὴ ἢμῖν ἀλλ' ἢ τῷ δύναμὶ σου δός δόξαν ἐπὶ τῷ ἐλεός σου. The clause is added to prevent self-complacency and to call for a true response to God's mercy, but with a side reference to past controversy with Pharisaic Judaism; cf. Eph 2810, 2 Ti 19; Clem. Rom. c. 32 (a full comment on this verse, perhaps a reminiscence of it).

5. διὰ λουτροῦ] For the stress on baptism, cf. 1 Co 611, Eph 526 (the instrument of cleansing), 1 P 321 (of salvation, as here), Jn 35 (of new birth). There is probably a conscious reference to 16 and 214. We needed cleansing, but with more than Jewish ceremonial ablutions, with a washing that would entirely renew our nature.


παλιγγενεσίας] here only in NT of spiritual birth: cf. ἀναγεννησίν, 1 P 18 and 28, both perhaps suggested by the Lord's saying, afterwards recorded in Jn 35. Cf. Justin Mart. Ἀρω. 161 ἀγονταὶ ὑφ' ἡμῶν ἑνδὴ ὑδαρ ἑστὶ καὶ τρόπον ἀναγεννήσεως . . . ἀναγεννώμαι: Aug. de pecc. mer. iii. 9, "Christianos non facit generatio sed regeneratio." Other associations may have led to the choice of the word. (1) The analogy of the Rabbinic title for a convert to Judaism, "a new creature," καὶ νή κτίσις (Gal 616, ὑπὶ ν. Lightfoot). (2) The thought of the new birth of one initiated in the Greek mysteries, a rebirth which followed a ritual bathing; cf. Apul. Met. xi. 23–25. (3) The Stoic use of the word for the periodical restoration of the world after its periodical destruction by fire: this is less obvious, but there may be a conscious contrast between the Stoic and the Christian παλιγγενεσία—"the one by fire the other by water: the one physical, the other spiritual; the one subject to periodical relapses and renewal, the other occurring once for all and issuing in an endless life" (Swete, The Holy Spirit in N.T., App. M). Philo seems to apply this Stoic thought to the Flood (vit. Mos. ii. 12 of Noah, ω ὁ μόνον αὐτοὶ σωτηρίας ἔτυχον . . . ἀλλὰ καὶ παλιγγενεσίας ἐγένετο ἡγεμόνες καὶ δευτέρας ἀρχηγήτως περιόδου, cf. 1 P 321 and Clem. Rom. 9, ὡς παλιγγενεσίαν κόσμῳ ἐκήρυξεν (cf. Dalman, The Words of Jesus, p. 177; Trench, Syn. N.T., § xviii.).

ἀνακαινώσεως] (Ro 122 only in N.T. ἀνακαίνωσιν, 2 Co 418, Col 310 only; both perhaps coined by St. Paul, M.M. s.v.),
probably governed by λοντροῦ, "per lavacrum regeneracionis et renovationis." Vulg., referring to the moment of baptism; cf. Jn 3:5 Acts 19:14, 2 Co 5:17, Gal 6:15 καινὴ κτίσις, Ezek 36:25, 26 ἐφ’ ὑμᾶς ὑδραρ καθαρὸν ... καὶ δόσω ὑμῖν καρδιὰν καινὴν καὶ πνεῦμα καινὸν δώσω ἐν ὑμῖν. If governed by διὰ it might add the thought of subsequent daily renewal, or of the fuller gift of the laying on of hands in Confirmation (Chase, Confirmation in the Apostolic Age, p. 98).

6. ἐξερέθη] recalling Joel 2:28 (ἐκχεῖ ἀπὸ τοῦ πνεύματός μου) as used by St. Peter in Acts 2:17, cf. 33; so with primary reference to Pentecost, but to Pentecost as an abiding reality affecting each Christian.


7. δικαιωθῆτε] not "at the Judgment day" (which would make κατ’ ἐπιθανόν meaningless), but "at the start of the Christian life," as in Ro 3:4, Gal 3–5: "we at once might become heirs of life, yet with a further hope (cf. 2:18) that it will become fuller and eternal"; cf. 1:2, Ro 8:17, Gal 4:1-7.


8. πιστὸς ὁ λόγος] If this phrase stood here alone it might well be "Faithful is the whole gospel message entrusted to me" (cf. 1:6 and 9), but it is a formula common to and confined to the P.E., 1 Ti 1:15 3:2, 4:9, 2 Ti 2:11: perhaps a marginal gloss by some scribe subsequently embodied in the text (so C. H. Turner, Inaugural Lecture, p. 21); more probably the writer’s own note, either calling attention to the importance of what he has said himself (cf. 2 Co 1:18, Rev 21:6 22:6 oὐτοί οἱ λόγοι πιστοὶ καὶ ἀληθινοὶ εἰς), or (more probably, as all the sayings have a gnomic and rhythmical character and bear on salvation) quoting some well-known saying; cf. Ro 1:5 ἐν τούτῳ τῷ λόγῳ ἀνακεφαλαίονται, 1 Co 15:54 τότε γενήσεται ὁ λόγος ὁ γεγραμμένος, and 1 K 1:6 ἀληθινὸς ὁ λόγος ἐν ἡκούσα. This would imply the formation of some collection of Christian maxims analogous to the λόγοι τοῦ κυρίου Ἰησοῦ, Acts 20:35, and the Oxyrhynchos Sayings, Pap. Oxyr. iv. 654. Here the Saying is contained in 5–7, either in whole or in part, e.g. 5 only, 6 and 7 being the writer’s own expansion.

An attempt has been made recently (cf. Jour. Th. Stud., April 1923, p. 310) to prove that ὁ λόγος here and wherever it occurs in the Pastorals is used in the Johannine sense of the
personal Word of God, on the analogy of ἵστος θεός, ἵστος κόσμος: but in ἰ it does not suit the following words, ἐν κηρύγματι: in ἰ the personal Logos could scarcely be described as "faithful according to the teaching"; in the phrase ἵστος λόγος the personal use would be appropriate in 2 Ti 3, but it is not needed there; it seems tautological in 1 Ti 18, and very inappropriate in 1 Ti 3 and here; whereas the explanation of it as a quotation is appropriate in each passage.

τούτων] the truths in 4-7, but also the commands in 21-3. It recalls ταῦτα in 216.


καλὸν ἔργων (cf. 214) προστάσασι] from the technical use = “to stand before a shop as a tradesman selling his goods,” “to practise a profession” (cf. Plutarch, Vit. Per. 24, of Aspasia, οὗ κοσμίων προστάσων ἔργασιαν: Chrys., p. 443 C, of St. Paul, δέματα ἐργαστεί καὶ ἐργαστηρίου προετοίμασε, and other illustrations in Field, Ot. Norvic.). Here the application may be: (a) literal, “to profess honest occupations” (R.V. margin), “to engage in respectable trades.” Cf. 1 Th 411 ἐργάζωσθαι ταῖς χερεῖς ὤμοι, Eph 428 ἐργάζομεν τὸ ἁγαθὸν ταῖς χερεῖς ἢν ἐξω μεταδίδοναι τῷ χριστίν ἐχοντι (cf. 14 inf.); Did. 12, μὴ ἄργος μὴ ὄμων ἔκτασθαι Χριστίανος. In all the Church Orders certain trades are banned for Christians, such as the making of idols, acting, dancing on the stage, fighting as a gladiator, dealing in witchcraft. Cf. Egyptian Church Order, p. 149; Canones Hippol. §§ 65-67; Const. Apost. viii. 3; and Tertullian, de Idololatria, passim.

Or (b) metaphorical, “to make a business of all that is excellent,” to be active in all good works: “bonis operibus praeesse,” Vulg.; “bona opera exercere,” Herm. Sim. x. 4; “ad bona opera docenda præesse”; Pelag. “misericordia studere,” Ambrosiast, and Chrys. (765 A-767 D) refers it to almsgiving. Cf. Clem. Rom. 34 (which seems to recall this chapter), προτρέπεται ἡμᾶς πιστεύοντας ἐπι αὐτῷ μὴ ἄργους μὴ δε παρεμένους εἶναι ἐπὶ πᾶν ἔργον ἁγαθὸν.

Here the wider sense is strongly supported by 214 and 3, where there is no limitation, and by the analogy of Eph 10; but the narrower reference may have been consciously included and seems to be the primary meaning in 14.

οἱ πεπουστευκότες] recalling ἵστος. Those who have believed a message so worthy of belief.

ταῦτα] cf. περὶ τούτων 8, q.v. ἀφήλιμα in NT only here, 1 Ti 43, 2 Ti 3; not in LXX, but frequently in classical writers in combination with καλὸς; v. illustrations in Wetstein.
9. ἡττήσεις] 1 Ti 6:4, 2 Ti 2:20; not in the earlier letters, but frequent in Acts.

γενεαλογίας] 1 Ti 1:4 note. “Originum enumerationes,” Ambrost., who refers it to Jewish pride in their descent from the patriarchs, and to legends about the burial of Moses, the building of the Temple, etc. Similarly Jerome (whose note here with his account of Origen’s work on the O.T., and of the teaching of Isaac, his own contemporary at Rome, is full of historical interest).

περιστασιοι] here and 2 Ti 2:16, only in N.T. in this sense, which is late and censured as a solecism by Lucian, but common in Josephus, M. Aurelius, etc.

ἄνωφελεύς here and Heb 7:18 only in N.T.; cf. Ign. Magn. 8, μη πλανάσθε... μηθεμάσθι τοῖς παλαιοῖς ἄνωφελεύν ὑδαίν—perhaps a reminiscence of this verse.

10. αἱρετικὸν here only in N.T. It is used in Plato (?), Def. 412 A = “having the power of choice”: here it is still an adjective, from the secondary meaning of αἱρέως = either a self-chosen party, a sect (Acts 5:17 15:24 26:23 (of Jewish sects), Gal 5:20, 1 Co 11:19, 2 P 2:1 (of Christian)), or, self-chosen teaching, heresy (Ign. Eph. 6). Either is possible here. (a) factious (R.V. margin), partisan, “an auctor of sectes,” Cranmer: cf. φιλόνευκοι, 1 Co 11:16 “ambitious omnes, praefractos, contentiosos, qui libidine impulsu turbant Ecclesiam pacem ac dissidia concitant... quod nomen, quamvis inter philosophos et politicos homines sit honorificum, merito infame est inter Christianos” (Calvin); or (b) “given to heresie,” Tynd., heretical (cf. Tert. de Præscr. 6). This suits vv.6-10 better, and cf. Gal 1:6-9, Ro 1:17 τοῦς τὰς διχοστασίας καὶ τὰ σκάνδαλα παρὰ τὴν διδαχὴν... παραβάτας, which shows how close the two thoughts lay in St. Paul’s mind. This seems the earliest use of the adjective in this sense: it is not found in the Apostolic Fathers, but is frequent in Irenæus and Tertullian, as a substantive = “a heretic,” though it still preserved the sense of a “schismatic,” cf. Concil. Constant. Canon vi. with Dr. Bright’s Note and Suicer, Thes. s.v.

μετὰ μίαν καὶ δευτ. (For the reading, cf. Introd., p. xxxviii) νουθεσίαν (1 Co 10:11, Eph 6:4 only in N.T.), either of private appeal (cf. Acts 20:31) or of public censure (2 Th 3:15, 1 Ti 1:20). There may be a conscious allusion to Our Lord’s command, Mt 18:15-17, and also a reminiscence of the practice of the Jews, under which there was a first admonition of an offending Rabbi lasting for thirty days: then a second for another thirty days: then excommunication was pronounced (Edersheim, Life and Times of Jesus, ii. p. 183).


11. ἔξοπράττει (here only in N.T.), twisted out of straight-

ἀμερτάνει] both as “factious” and as refusing to listen to admonition.

αὐτοκατάκριτος] Condemned “by his own action”; he can be left to God’s judgment; cf. Mt 18:17, 1 Co 5:12-18; perhaps also “by his own conscience,” cf. Lk 19:22, Jn 8:11.


12. Ἄρτεμᾶν] (For the name, probably a contraction of Artemidorus, cf. Papi. Oxyr. iii. 505); according to a later tradition, one of the Seventy and bishop of Lystra. Τύχικόν of Asia, Acts 20:4, frequently trusted with messages by St. Paul, Eph 6:21, Col 4:7, 2 Ti 4:12. The contrast with v.18 suggests that whichever came might be meant to take Titus’ place in his absence, when he left for Nicopolis; cf. 2 Ti 4:12 note.

Νικότολω] probably Nicopolis in Epirus: a good centre for missionary work in Dalmatia (cf. 2 Ti 4:10) or for a journey to Rome. Here not many years later Epictetus settled and taught his pupils to live a life true to nature, possibly with some knowledge of St. Paul’s work and writings, but without the knowledge of the saving, enabling grace which would help them to live it.

13. Ζηνᾶν (contracted from Ζηνέδωρος), according to tradition bishop of Diospolis and author of an apocryphal “Acts of Titus.” τὸν νομικόν, possibly a converted Jew, τὸν τῶν Ἰουδαίων νόμων ἕμπειρον, Chrys.; cf. μάχας νομικάς, and so always in the Gospels: or a Roman lawyer, “jurisconsultum.” His association with Apollos, a Jew, makes the former more probable.

Ἀπολλὼ contracted from Ἀπολλόνιος (which D reads in Acts 18:24) or from Ἀπολλόδωρος, a very common name (cf. M.M. s.v.), but here doubtless the same as in Acts 18:24, 1 Co 1:12ff.

Ἰνα ... λέιπῃ] probably a new sentence, not dependent on προπέμψον (so Hofmann and apparently Oecum. Theophyl.). “See that nothing is wanting to them,” cf. Mk 5:28 ἵνα ἐλθὼν ἐπίθρον αὐτῆς τὰς χεῖρας: 2 Co 8:7; Eph 5:28. This use of ἵνα is fairly common in letters, cf. Cic. ad Att. vi. 5, ταῦτα οὖν πρῶτον μὲν, ἵνα πάντα σφήναται, δεύτερον δέ, ἵνα μηδὲ τῶν τόκων ὀλγωρυθήσης. Tert. Papi. 408, σὺ δὲ περὶ δὲν βούλει γράφε, τὰ δ’ ἄλλα ἵνα υγιάνης (cf. Moulton, Gk. Gr., Proleg. p. 176; Blass, § 64. 4, M.M. s.v. ἵνα).

14. καὶ “as well as yourself.” Yes, and let all our people be always prepared to help; perhaps also “as well as their pagan neighbours”; cf. note on ἀκραποι.

οἱ ἰμέτεροι not to be limited to “all of our friends” (=τοὺς φιλούντας ἡμᾶς ἐν πίστει; cf. πάντες οἱ ἰμοί, Oxyr. Papi. i. p. 181, “les nôtres” of the Port Royalists) as opposed to the false teachers, 10: but=“the whole household of faith,” “our brothers and sisters,” in contrast to their pagan neighbours: cf.
A special application of the general rule, with reference to a new purpose, and here peculiarly applicable to working at trades; cf. 8 note.

common both in classical writers and in the papyri (cf. Wetstein and M. M. s.v.), will include both “for their own needs” (1 Th 4:12 ἵνα μηδὲν ἄρκειν ἔχωτε) and “for helping others” (Eph 4:28 ἵνα ἐξὸς ἐκτισθῶσιν τῷ ἄρκειν ἔχωτε). Herm. Sim. x. 4: “Die omnibus ut non cessent, quicunque (Qv. legendum, “quæcumque”) recte facere possunt, bona opera exercere; utile est illis. Dico autem omnem hominem de in­commodis eripi oportere”; perhaps a reminiscence of this chapter. A comparison of 1 Th 4:12, Eph 4:28 with this place is very suggestive as to the gradual deepening of Christian motives, the desire of independence, the willingness to help individuals, the desire to be a useful member of society.

äkarpv] cf. Ro 7:4, 2 P 1:8, Jude 12, and the expansion of the simile in Herm. Sim. 4. But here the special reference seems to be to the Roman taunt that Christians were unprofitable to the State, as keeping apart from many trades, that they were “instructus in negotiis,” Tert. Apol. 42, and his reply, “Navigamus nos vobiscum et militamus et rusticumur et mercamur: proinde miscemus artes nostras, operas nostras publicamus usui vestro”; cf. notes on 3 and 8.

15. oὶ μετ᾽ ἑμοῖν] perhaps “my travelling companions,” as no place is mentioned; cf. Gal 1:2.


ἐν πίστε] possibly “in loyalty”; cf. Fay. Pap. 118, τοὺς φιλούν­τας ἡμᾶς πρὸς ἀλήθειαν, but 1:4, 1 Ti 2:8 make it almost certain that it is “in a common faith,” “in loyalty to Christ.”

μετὰ πάντων ὑμῶν] even with those to whom he could not send a warm greeting. This implies that the substance of the letter would become known to the whole church.
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