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## PREFACE

## BY THE GENERAL EDITOR.

The General Editor of The Cambridge Bible for Schools thinks it right to say that he does not hold himself responsible either for the interpretation of particular passages which the Editors of the several Books have adopted, or for any opinion on points of doctrine that they may have expressed. In the New Testament more especially questions arise of the deepest theological import, on which the ablest and most conscientious interpreters have differed and always will differ. His aim has been in all such cases to leave each Contributor to the unfettered exercise of his own judgment, only taking care that mere controversy should as far as possible be avoided. He has contented himself chielly with a careful revision of the notes, with pointing out omissions, with
suggesting occasionally a reconsideration of some question, or a fuller treatment of difficult passages, and the like.

Beyond this he has not attempted to interfere, feeling it better that each Commentary should have its own individual character, and being convinced that freshness and variety of treatment are more than a compensation for any lack of uniformity in the Series.

## ON THE GREEK TEXT.

In undertaking an edition of the Greek text of the New Testament with English notes for the use of Schools, the Syndics of the Cambridge University Press have not thought it desirable to reprint the text in common use*. To have done this would have been to set aside all the materials that have since been accumulated towards the formation of a correct text, and to disregard the results of textual criticism in its application to MSS., Versions and Fathers. It was felt that a text more in accordance with the present state of our knowledge was desirable. On the other hand the Syndics were unable to adopt one of the more recent critical texts, and they were not disposed to make themselves responsible for the preparation of an

* The form of this text most ased in England, and adopted in Dr Scrivener's edition, is that of the third edition of Robert Stephens (1550). The name "Received Text" is popularly given to the Elzevir edition of 1633, which is based on this edition of Stephens, and the name is borrowed from a phrase in the Preface, "Textum ergo habes nune ab oumibus receptum,"
entirely new and independent text: at the same time it would have been obviously impossible to leave it to the judgment of each individual contributor to frame his own text, as this would have been fatal to anything like uniformity or consistency. They believed however that a good text might be constructed by simply taking the consent of the two most recent critical editions, those of Tischendorf and Tregelles, as a basis. The same principle of consent could be applied to places where the two critical editions were at variance, by allowing a determining voice to the text of Stephens where it agreed with either of their readings, and to a third critical text, that of Lachmann, where the text of Stephens differed from both. In this manner readings peculial to one or other of the two editions would be passed over as not being supported by sufficient critical consent; while readings having the double authority would be treated as possessing an adequate title to confidence.

A few words will suffice to explain the manner in which this design has been carried out.

In the Acts, the Epistles, and the Revelation, wherever the texts of Tischendorf and Tregelles agree, their joint readings are followed without any deviation. Where they differ from each other, but neither of them agrees with the text of Stephens as printed in Dr Scrivener's edition, the consensus of Lachmann with either is taken in preference to the text of Stephens. In all other cases the text of Stephens as represented in Dr Scrivener's edition has been followed.

In the Gospels, a single modification of this plan has been rendered necessary by the importance of the Sinai MS. ( $\kappa$ ), which was discovered too late to be used by Tregelles except in the last chapter of St John's Gospel and in the following books. Accordingly, if a reading which Tregelles has put in his margin agrees with N, it is considered as of the same authority as a reading which he has adopted in his text; and if any words which Tregelles has bracketed are omitted by $\kappa$, these words are here dealt with as if rejected from his text.

In order to secure uniformity, the spelling and the accentuation of Tischendorf have been adopted where he differs from other Editors. His practice has likewise been followed as regards the insertion or omission of Iota subscript in infinitives (as $\zeta \hat{\eta} v, \dot{\epsilon} \pi \tau \tau \mu \hat{a} v$ ), and adverbs (as $\kappa \rho v \phi \hat{\eta}$, Ad $\theta \rho a)$, and the mode of printing such composite forms as סtamavo's, סıati, тovt'́धтt, and the like.

The punctuation of Tischendorf in his eighth edition has usually been adopted: where it is departed from, the deviation, together with the reasons that have led to it, will be found mentioned in the Notes. Quotations are indicated by a capital letter at the beginning of the sentence. Where a whole verse is omitted, its omission is noted in the margin (e.g. Matt. xvii. 21; xxiii. 12).

The text is printed in paragraphs corresponding to those of the English Edition.

Although it was necessary that the text of all the portions of the New Testament should be uniformly con-
structed in accordance with these general rules, each editor has been left at perfect liberty to express his preference for other readings in the Notes.

It is hoped that a text formed on these principles will fuirly represent the results of modern criticism, and will at least be accepted as preferable to "the Received Text ${ }^{\text {* }}$ for use in Schools.

## J. J. STEWART PEROWNE.
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# INTRODUCTION. 

## CHAPTER I.

THE GOSPELS.

The word Gospel ${ }^{1}$ is the Sazon translation of the Greek Eviayétao. In early Greek (e.g. in Homer) this word meant the reward given to one who brought good tidings. In Attic Greek it also meant a sacrifice for good tidings, but was always used in the plural euaryenta. Hence it became, even among Romans, a kind of exclamation, like our "Good news!" (Cic. ad
 in Plutarch and Lucian, evay ${ }^{\prime}$ encov meant the good news actually delivered. Among all Greek-speaking Christians the word was naturally adopted to describe the best and gladdest tidings ever delivered to the human race, the good news of the Kingdom of God. In the address of the Angel to the Shepherds we find the words "I bring you good tidings of great joy," where the verb used is ciay ${ }^{\prime} \lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda}$ gopa. This verb is specially common in St Luke and St Paul. The substantive does not occur in St Luke's Gospel (Acts xv. 7). In St John the only instance of either verb or substantive is Rev. x. 7, Rev. xiv. 6 (where it does not refer to the Gospel). In St Paul it occurs 61 times. From this Greek word are derived the French Evangile, the Italian Evangelio, the

[^0]Portuguese Evangelho, \&c. Naturally the word which signified "good news" soon came to be used as the title of the books which contained the history of that good news.

The existence of four separate, and mainly if not absolutely, independent Gospels, is a great blessing to the Church of Christ. It furnishes us with such a weight of contemporaneous testimony as is wanting to the vast majority of events in Ancient History. A fourfold cord is not easily broken.

Of these four Gospels the first three are often called the Synoptic Gospels. The Greek word Synopsis has the same meaning as the Latin Conspectus, and the first three Evangelists are calied "Synoptists" because their Gospels can be arranged and harmonised, section by section, in a tabular form, since they are mainly based on a common outline. The term appears to be quite modern, but has been rapidly brought into general use, since its adoption by Griesbach. It is intended to indicate the difference of plan which marks these Gospels as compared with that of St John ${ }^{1}$.

In the Synoptic Gospels we find much that is common to all, and something which is peculiar to each. It has been ascertained by Stroud that "if the total contents of the several Gospels be represented by 100 , the following table is obtained ${ }^{2}$ :

| St Mark | has | 7 | peculiarities, and 93 coincidcuces. |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| St Matthew | , | 42 | ", | ", | 58 | $"$ |
| St Luke | $"$ | 59 | $"$, | $"$, | 41 | $"$ |
| St John | $"$ | 92 | ", | ", | 8 | ", |

Reuss has further calculated that the total number of verses common to all the Synoptists is about 350; that St Matthew has 350 verses peculiar to himself, St Mark 68, and St Luke 541. The coincidences are usually in the record of sayings: the peculiarities in the narrative portion. In St Matthew, the nar-

[^1]rative occupies about one fourth; in St Mark one half; and in St Luke one third.

Another important fact is that when St Matthew and St Luke verbally agree, St Mark always agrees with them; that the resemblances between St Luke and St Mark are much closer than those between St Luke and St Matthew ${ }^{1}$; that where St Mark has additional touches St Luke usually has them also, but not when these additions are found only in St Matthew; and that where St Mark is silent, St Luke often differs from St Matthew ${ }^{2}$.

The dates at which the four Gospels were published cannot be ascertained with certainty; but there are some reasons to believe that St Matthew's was written first, possibly in Aramaic, and about A.D. 64; that St Mark's and St Luke's were published within a few years of this date ${ }^{3}$, and certainly before the destruction of Jerusalem in A.D. 70; and that St John's was written in old age at Ephesus before the year A.D. 85. It is probable that most, if not all, of St Paul's Epistles had been written before the earliest Gospel was published in its present form. To what extent the Syooptists were influenced by written records of previous oral teaching is a difficult and complicated question about which there have been multitades of theories, as also respecting the question whether any of the three used the

[^2]Gospel of either of the others. That previous attempts to narrate the Life of Christ were in existence when St Luke wrote we know from his own testimony; but it may be regarded as certain that among these "attempts" he did not class the Gospels of St Matthew and St Mark. The inference that he was either unaware of the existence of those Gospels, or made no direct use of them, suggests itself with the utmost force when we place side by side any of the events which they narrate in common, and mark the minute and inexplicable differences which incessantly occur even amid general similarity.

The language employed by the Evangelists is that dialect of Greek which was in their day generally current-the Macedonian or Hellenistic Greek. It was a stage of the Greek language less perfect than that of the classical period, but admirably plastic and forcible (see infra Introd. Chap. vi. p. 38).

St Matriew and St John were Apostles and eyewitnesses of the ministry of our Lord from the baptism of John until the Ascension. The other two Evangelists were, as St Jerome says, not Apostles, but "Apostolic men." St Mark may have been a partial eyewitness of some of the later scenes of the life of Christ, and it is the unanimous tradition of the early Church that his Gospel reflects for us the direct testimony of St Peter. St Lued expressly implies that he was not an eyewitness, but he made diligent use of all the records which he found in existence, and he derived his testimony from the most authentic sources. It may be regarded as certain that he sets before us that conception of the Life and Work of Christ which was the basis of the teaching of St Paul". Thus we have the Gospel "according to" (кarà)

1 Irenaeus, $a d v$. Haer. III. I and III. 14. Tertullian, $a d v$. Marc. Iv. 2, 5. Origen apud Euseb. H. E. vi. 25, and id. in. 4. Jerome, De Virr. Illustr. 7. A long list of words and phrases which are common to St Lake and St Paul may be seen in Davidson's Introd. to the New Test. 11. 12-19. The student may compare the following:

| St Loke, iv. 22. | St Paul, Col. iv. 6. |
| :---: | :---: |
| iv. 32. | 1 Cor. ii. 4. |
| vi. 36. | 2 Cor. i. 3. |
| vi. 39. | Rom. ii. 19. |
| ix. 56. | 2 Cor. x. 8. |
| x. 8. | 1 Cor. x. 27 |

the view and teaching of four great Apostles, St Matthew, St Peter, St Paul ${ }^{1}$, and St John.

The differences between the Synoptists and St John have been noticed from the earliest ages of the Church. They are mainly these. The Synoptists dwell almost exclusively on Christ's Ministry in Galilee; St John on His Ministry in Judaea. The Synoptists dwell chiefly on the Miracles, Parables, and external incidents of His work; in St John the prominent feature is the high diseourse and inmost spiritual meaning of His life. The Synoptists portrayed Him to the world; St John more specially for the Church. To use a common term they present a more objective, and St John a more subjective view of the Work of Christ. The complete portraiture of the Saviour "comprised the fulness of an outward presence, as well as the depth of a secret life. In this respect the records correspond to the subjects. The first record [that of the Synoptists] is manifold; the second is one; the first is based on the experience of a society, the second on the intuition of a loved disciple." "The Synoptic Gospels contain the Gospel of the infant Church; that of St John the Gospel of its maturity. The first combine to give the wide experience of the many, the last embraces the deep mysteries treasured up by the one." "The threefold portrait of Charles I. which Vandyke prepared for the sculptor is an emblem of the work of the first three Evangelists: the complete outward shape is fashioned, and then at last another kindles the figure with a spiritual life ${ }^{2}$." But the object of each and all of the Gospels is that expressed by St John "that ye might believe that Jesus is the Christ the Son of God, and that believing ye might have life through His name ${ }^{3}$."

| St Luke, | xi. 41. |
| ---: | :--- |
|  | xvii. 1. |
|  | xxi. 36. |
|  | xxii. $19,20$. |
|  | xxix. 46. |
|  | xxiv. 34. |

St Paul, Tit. i. 15.
2 Thess. i, 11.
Eph. vi. 18.
xxii. 19, 20.

1 Cor. xi. 23-29.
Acts xvii. 3.
xxiv. 34.

1 Cor. xv . 5.
${ }^{1}$ In Luke xxiv. 47 we find a summary of St Paul's Gospel.
2 Westcott, Inirod. pp. 197, 231, 234.
${ }^{3}$ John xx. 31.

Elaborate and repeated attempts have been made to settle the interrelation of the Synoptists with each other. All such attempts have hitherto failed. Each Gospel in turn has been assumed to be the earliest of the three; and the supposition that the other two worked on the existing narrative of a third has required for its support as many subordinate hypotheses of fresh recension, translation, \&c., as the Ptolemaic system of Astronomy required orbs and epicycles to account for its theory of the motions of the heavenly bodies. The three main theories have been: 1. The theory of an original written document from which all borrowed. This original has been sometimes supposed to be the first form of St Matthew, more often of St Mark, and sometimes even of St Luke. This theory is now generally abandoned and is absolutely untenable. 2. The theory of a common unwritten tradition. 3. The theory of the Tiibingen school of theologians, who held that each of the Synoptic Gospels was based on the "Gospel of the Hebrews," which the Evangelists modified with reference to dogmatic conceptions. The general conclusion to which all recent enquiries seem to point is (I) That there existed in the Early Church a cycle of authoritative oral teaching, which being committed to memory ${ }^{1}$ tended to assume a fixed peculiarity of diction; (2) That this authoritative tradition was gradually committed to writing by some of the disciples; (3) That these written memorials were utilized by those who "attempted" to set forth a continuous sketch of the ministry of Christ; and (4) That the most authentic and valuable of them were to a considerable extent incorporated into the narratives of the Evangelists themselves. If some such hypothesis as this be not adequate to account ( $a$ ) for resemblances which extend even to the use of peculiar verbal forms (aф́éตvtal, Lk. v. 20), diminutives ( $\omega$ тiov, Matt. xxvi. 51), and the use of a double augment (Matt. xii. 13); and ( $\beta$ ) for differences which extend to the transposition of whole

[^3]sections, and the omission of entire discourses,-at least no more reasonable suggestion has yet been made ${ }^{1}$.

Early Christian writers compared the four Gospels to that river, which, flowing out of Eden to water the garden of God, was parted into four heads compassing lands like that of Havilah of which "the gold is good" and where is "bdelium and the onyx stone."

> "Paradisi hio fluenta Nova fluunt sacramenta
> Quae descendunt coelitus:
> His quadrigis deportatur Mundo Deus, sublimatur
> Istis arca vectibus."
> ADam de S. Victorf.

A still more common symbol of the four Evangelists was derived from "the Chariot" as the chapter was called which describes the vision of Ezekiel by the river Chebar ${ }^{2}$. Hence as early as Irenaeus (died circ. 202) we find the expression
 the living creatures combined in "the fourfold-visaged four" was taken as the emblem of one of the Evangelists. The applications differed, but the one which has been almost universally adopted, and of which there are traces in Christian Art as far back as the fifth century, assigns the Man or Angel to St Matthew, the Lion to St Mark, the Ox to St Luke, and the Eagle to St John ${ }^{3}$. The reasons offered for the adoption of these emblems also differed; but it was usually said that the Man is assigned to St Matthew because he brings out Christ's human and Messianic character ; the Lion to St Mark because he sets forth the awfulness (x. 24, 32), energy, power and royal dignity
${ }^{1}$ The force of these particular resemblances (which are noted by Archbishop Thomson in the Speaker's Commentary, r. p. ix), is a little weakened by the fact that in Mk. ii. 9; Matt. ix. 2, K, B, \&c., read dфleyta. It may be doubled whether the other forms were not those generally current in the Hellenistio Greck of Palentine. See note on vi. 10.
${ }^{2}$ Ezek. i. 5-26.
${ }^{3}$ See Mrs Jameson's Sacred and Legendary Art, 1. 132-172.
(i. 22,27 , ii. $10, ~ v .30$, vi. 2,5 , \&c.) of Christ; the Ox , the sacrificial victim, to St Luke, because he illustrates the Priestly office of Christ; and the Eagle to St John, because, as St Augustine says, "he soars to heaven as an eagle above the clouds of human infirmity, and reveals to us the mysteries of Christ's Godhead, and of the Trinity in Unity, and the felicities of Life Eternal ; and gazes on the light of Immutable Truth with a keen and steady ken ${ }^{1}$." Thus, to quote the eloquent language of Bishop Wordsworth, "The Christian Church, looking at the origin of the Four Gospels, and the attributes which God has in rich measure been pleased to bestow upon them by His Holy Spirit, found a Prophetic picture of them in the Four living Cherubim, named from heavenly knowledge, seen by the Prophet Ezekiel at the river of Chebar. Like them the Gospels are Four in number; like them they are the Chariot of God Who sitteth between the Cherubim; like them, they bear Him on a winged throne into all lands; like them they move wherever the Spirit guides them : like them they are marvellously joined together, intertwined with coincidences and differences; wing interwoven with wing, and wheel interwoven with wheel: like them they are full of eyes, and sparkle with heavenly light: like them they sweep from heaven to earth, and from earth to heaven, and fly with lightning speed and with the noise of many waters. Their sound is gone out into all lands, and their words to the end of the vorld ${ }^{2}$."

But whatever may be the archacological and artistic interest of these universal symbols, it must be admitted that they are fanciful and arbitrary; and this is rendered more obvious from the varying manner in which they used to be employed and justified. It is much more important to get some clear and unimaginative conception of the distinctive peculiarities of each Evangelist. And at this it is not difficult to arrive.

Combining the data furnished by early and unanimous tradition with the data furnished by the Gospels themselves we see generailly that,

[^4]i. St Matthew wrote in Judaea, and wrote for Jews, possibly even in Aramaic, as was the general belief of the early Church. If so, however, the Aramaic original is hopelessly lost, and there is at least a possibility that there may have been a confusion between a supposed Hebrew Gospel of St Matthew and the "Gospel of the Hebrews," which may have been chiefly based on it and which was in use among the Nazarenes and Ebionites. However that may be, the object which St Matthew had in view goes far to illustrate the specialities of his Gospel. It is the Gospel of the Hebrew nation; the Gospel of the Past; the Gospel of Jesus as the Messiah ${ }^{1}$. Thus it opens with the words "The book of the generation of Jesus Christ the son of David, the son of Abraham:"-the son of David and therefore the heir of the Jewish kingdom: the son of Abraham and therefore the heir of the Jewish promise. That it is the Gospel which connects Christianity with Judaism and with the Past appears in the constantly recurrent formula "that it might be fulfilled." So completely is the work of Christ regarded as the accomaplishment of Prophecy that in no less than five incidents narrated in the first two chapters, the Evangelist points to the verification of ancient predictions. Another marked peculiarity of the Gospel is its didactic character. It records with fulness five great discourses-The sermon on the Mount ${ }^{3}$; the address to the Apostles ${ }^{3}$; the parables on the Kingdom of Heaven ${ }^{4}$; the discourse on Offences and on Forgiveness ${ }^{5}$; and the discourses and parables of Judgment ${ }^{6}$.

[^5]These discourses,-which all bear on the triple offices of our Lord as Lawgiver, King, and Judge of the New Kingdom,make the Gospel of St Matthew "as it were the ultimatum of Jehovah to His ancient people;-Recognise Jesus as your Messiah, or accept Him as your Judge1."
ii. St Mark wrote in Rome for the Roman world, during the imprisonment and before the death of his teacher and spiritual father, St Peter (1 Pet. v. 13). His Gospel is emphatically the Gospel of the Present; the Gospel of Jesus apart from retrospect or prophecy; of Jesus as the Lord of the World. The speech of St Peter to Cornelius has been called "the Gospel of St Mark in brief." St Mark's Gospel consists of "Apostolic Memoirs" marked by the graphic vividness which is due to the reminiscences of an eyewitness; it is the Gospel of which it was the one aim to describe our Lord as He lived and moved among men. The notion that St Mark was a mere compiler of St Matthew (tamquam pedissequus et breviator ejus, Aug.) has long been exploded. He abound 8 in independent notices which have led many Germans to regard his Gospel, or some form of it, as the original Gospel (Proto-Marcus, Ur-Marcus); but this theory requires the intercalation of such a multitude of subordinate hypotheses, that it now finds but few supporters.
iii. St Luze wrote in Greece for the Hellenic world ${ }^{2}$. In style this Gospel is the purest ; in order the most artistic and historical. It forms the first half of a great narrative which traced the advance of Christianity from Jerusalem to Antioch, to Macedonia, to Achaia, to Ephesus, to Rome. Hence it neither leans to the yearnings of the past ${ }^{3}$, nor is absorbed in
${ }^{1}$ Godet, Bibl. Studies, E. Tr. p. 23. But it must be remembered that St Matthew's point of view is so little exclusive that he can admit passages which point to the evanescence of the Law (Matt. ix. 16, xii. 7,8, \&c.) and the spread of the Gospel (xiii. 31 sq ., xxvi. 19); and he alone narrates the recognition of Christ by the heathen Magi (ii. 1 sq .).
${ }^{2}$ Hence he omits particulars (e.g. in the Sermon on the Mount) which would have been less intelligible to Greek readers, and substitutes
 for 'scribe;' 'yea' or 'verily' for Amen; the Greek фópos for the Latin census; the Lake for the Sea of Galilee, \&o.
8 Thus St Luke has only 24 Old Test. quotations as against 65 of
the glories of the present, but is written with special reference to the aspirations of the future. It sets forth Jesus to us neither as the Messiah of the Jews only, nor as the Universal Ruler, but as the Saviour of sinners. It is a Gospel not national, but cosmopolitan ; not regal, but human. It is the Gospel for the world; it connects Christianity with man. Hence the genealogy of Jesus is traced not only to David and to Abraham, but to Adam and to God ${ }^{1}$.
iv. One more great sphere of existence remained-Eternity. Beyond these records of dawning and expanding Christianity, there was needed some record of Christianity in its inmost life; something which should meet the wants of the spirit and of the reason: and St John dropped the great keystone into the soaring arch of Christian revelation, when, inspired by the Holy Ghost, he drew the picture of Christ, neither as Messiah only nor as King only, nor even only as the Saviour of mankind, but as the Incarnate Word;-not only as the Son of Man who ascended into heaven, but as the Son of God who came down from heaven; not only as the Divine Man but as the Incarnate God. The circle of Gospel revelation was, as it were, finally rounded into a perfect symbol of eternity when St John was inspired to write that "In the beginning was the Word, and the Word was with God, and the Word was God....And the Word was made flesh, and dwelt among us, and we beheld His glory, the glory as of the only begotten of the father, full of grace and truth."

To sum up these large generalizations in a form which has been recognised by all thoughtful students as giving us a true though not an exclusive or exhaustive aspect of the differences of the Four Gospels, we may say that

St Matthew, and (except iv. 18, 19) none which are peouliar to himself, except in the first two (i. 17-25, ii. 23, 24) and the 22nd and 23rd chapters (xxii. 37, xxiii. 31, 46).
${ }^{1}$ Yet St Luke never excludes passages which speak of the spiritual perpetuity of the Law (xvi. 17) and obedience to it (ii. $22 \mathrm{sq} .$, ․ . 14, \&c.). See too i. 32, ii. 49 , xix. 46, zxii. 30. This is of course due to the fact that the Evangelists were primarily faithful recorders, and were in no way actuated by party bias.

St Matthew's is the Gospel for the Jews; the Gospel of the Past; the Gospel which sees in Christianity a fulfllment of Judaism; the Gospel of Discourses; the Didactic Gospel; the Gospel which represents Christ as the Messiah of the Jew.

Sr Mark's is the Gospel for the Romans; the Gospel of the Present ; the Gospel of incident; the anecdotical Gospel; the Gospel which represents Christ as the Son of God and Lord of the world.

St Loke's is the Gospel for the Greeks; the Gospel of the Future ; the Gospel of Progressive Christianity, of the Universality and Gratuitousness of Redemption; the Historic Gospel; the Gospel of Jesus as the Good Physician and the Saviour of Mankind.

St Jonn's is pre-eminently the Gospel for the Church; the Gospel of Eternity; the Spiritual Gospel ; the Gospel of Christ as the Eternal Son, and the Incarnate Word.

If we were to choose special mottoes as expressive of main characteristics of the Gospels, they might be as follows:-

St Matthew: "I am not come to destroy but to fulffl," v. 17.
St Mark: "Jesus came.... preaching the Gospel of the Kingdom of God," i. 14.

St Luke: "Who went about doing good, and healing all that were oppressed of the devil," Acts x. 38 (comp. Lk. iv. 18) ${ }^{1}$.

St John: "The Word was made flesh," i. 14.

1 This verse describes the actual work of Jesus as set forth in the Gospel. The general idea of the Gospel itself may be seen in i. 77




## CHAPTER II.

## LIFE OF ST MUKE.

"Utilis ille labor, per quem vixere tot aegri; Utilior, per quem tot didicere mori."
> "He was a physician: and so, to all, his words are medicines of the drooping soul." S. Jer. Ep. ad Paulin.

If we sift what we know about St Luke from mere guesses and traditions, we shall find that our information respecting him is exceedingly scanty.

He does not once mention himself by name in the Gospel or in the Acts of the Apostles, though the absolutely unasimons voice of ancient tradition, coinciding as it does with many conspiring probabilities derived from other sources, can leave no shadow of doubt that he was the author of those books.

There are but three places in Scripture in which his name is mentioned These are Col. iv. 14, "Lnke, the beloved physician, and Demas, greet you;" 2 Tim. iv. 11, "Only Luke is with me;" and Philem. 24, where he is mentioned as one of Paul's "fellowlabourers." From these we see that St Luke was the faithful companion of St Paul, both in his first Roman imprisonment, when he still had friends about him, and in his second Roman imprisonment, when friend after friend deserted him, and was 'ashamed of his chain.' From the context of the first allusion we also learn that he was not "of the circumcision," and indeed tradition has always declared that he was a Gentile, and a 'proselyte of the gate ${ }^{1}$.'

The attempt to identify him with "Lucius of Cyrene" in Acts xiii. $l$ is a mere error, since his name Lucas is an abbreviation not of Lucius but of Lucanus, as Annas for Ananus, Zenas for Zenodorus, Apollos for Apollonius, \&c. The guess that he was one of the Seventy disciples is refuted by his own words, nor is there any probability that he was one of the Greeks who desired
${ }^{1}$ This also appears from Acts i. 19. (See my Life of St Panl, x. $\mathbf{1 8 0}$.)
to see Jesus (John xii. 20) or one of the two disciples at Emmaus (Luke xxiv. 13) ${ }^{1}$. Eusebius and Jerome say that he was a Syrian of Antioch, and this agrees with the intimate knowledge which he shews about the condition and the teachers of that Church. If in Acts xi. 28 we could accept the isolated reading of the Codex Bezae (a reading known also to St Augustine), which there adds $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \sigma \tau \rho a \mu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$ $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \frac{\dagger}{\dagger} \mu \omega \nu$, 'but while we were assembled together,' it would prove that St Luke had been acquainted with the Apostle shortly after his arrival from Tarsus to assist the work of Barnabas. In that case he may well have been one of the earliest Gentile converts whom St Paul admitted into the full rights of Christian brotherhood, and with whom St Peter was afterwards, for one weak moment, ashamed to eat. We cannot however trace his connexion with St Paul with any certainty till the sudden appearance of the first personal pronoun in the ploral in Acts xvi. 10, from which we infer that he joined the Apostle at Troas, and accompanied him to Macedonia, becoming thereby one of the earliest Evangelists in Europe. It is no unreasonable conjecture that his companionship was the more necessary because St Paul had been recently suffering from an acute visitation of the malady which he calls "the stake, or cross, in the flesh." Since the "we" is replaced by "they" after the departure of Paul and Silas from Philippi (Acts xviii. 1), we infer that St Luke was left at that town in charge of the infant Macedonian Church. A physician could find means of livelihood anywhere, and he seems to have stayed at Philippi for some seven years, for we find him in that Roman colony when the Apostle spent an Easter there on his last visit to Jerusalem (Acts xx. 5). There is however every
${ }^{1}$ The notion that St Luke was the companion of Cleopas on the walk to Emmaus has been the more popular, because it fell in with the fancy mentioned by Godet and many others. "Si nous nous rangeons à l'opinion qui croit recannaitre Luc lui-même dans le compagnon de Cleopas, nous nous trouverons amenés à ce résultat critique: que chaque évangéliste a laissé dans un coin de son tableau une modeste indication de sa personne: Matthieu, dans ce péager que Jésus enlève d'un mot à ses occupations précédentes: Marc, dans ce jeune homme qui s'enfuit dépouillé à Gethsémané; Jean, dans ee disciple désigné comme celai que Jêsus aimait; Luo, dans le pélerin anonyme d'Emmaus." Godet, St Luc, II. 447.
reason to believe that during this period he was not idle, for if he were "the brother, whose praise is in the Gospel" (i.e. in preaching the good tidings) "throughout all the churches" ( 2 Cor. viii. 18), we find him acting with Titus as one of the delegates for the collection and custody of the contributions for the poor saints at Jerusalem. The identification of St Luke with this "brother" no doubt originated in a mistaken notion that "the Gospel" here means the written Gospel ${ }^{1}$; but it is probable on other grounds, and is supported by the tradition embodied in the superscription, which tells us that the Second Epistle to the Corinthians was conveyed from Philippi by Titus and Luke.

From Philippi St Luke accompanied his friend and teacher to Jerusalem (Acts xxi. 18), and there we again lose all record of his movements. Since, however, he was with St Paul at Caesarea when he was sent as a prisoner to Rome, it is probable that he was the constant companion of his imprisonment in that town. If the great design of writing the Gospel was already in his mind, the long and otherwise unoccupied stay of two years in Caesarea would not only give him ample leisure, but would also furnish him with easy access to those sources of information which he tells us he so diligently used. It would further enable him to glean some particulars of the ministry of Jesus from survivors amid the actual scenes where He had lived ${ }^{2}$. From Caesarea he accompanied St Paul in the disastrous voyage which ended in shipwreck at Malta, and proceeding with him to Rome he remained by his side until his liberation, and probably never left him until the great Apostle received his martyr's crown. To him-to his allegiance, his ability, and his accurate preservation of facts-we

[^6]are alone indebted for the greater part of what we know about the life of the Apostle of the Centiles.

We finally lose sight of St Luke at the abrupt close of the Acts of the Apostles. Although we learn from the Pastoral Epistles ${ }^{1}$ that he must have lived with St Paul for some two years beyond the point which his narrative has there reached, he may not have arranged his book until after Paul was dead, and the course of the narrative may have been suddenly cut shoit either by accident or even by his own death. Irenaeus (adv. Haer. III. 1) expressly tells us that even his Gospel was written after the death of Peter and Paul. The most trustworthy tradition says that he died in Greece; and it was believed that Constantine transferred his remains to the Church of the Apostles in Constantinople from Patrae in Achaia. Gregory of Nazianzus tells us in a vague way that he was martyred, but it is idle to repeat such worthless legends as that he was crucified on an olive-tree at Elaea in the Peloponnesus, \&c., which rest on the sole authority of Nicephorus, a writer who died after the middle of the 15 th century. The fancy that he was a painter, often as it has been embodied in art, owes its origin to the same source, and seems only to have arisen from the discovery of a rude painting of the Virgin in the Catacombs with an inscription stating that it was "one of seven painted by Luca." It is not impossible that there may have been some confusion between the name of the Evangelist and that of a Greek painter in one of the monasteries of Mount Athos.

But leaving 'the shifting quagmire of baseless traditions' we see from St Luke's own writings, and from authentic notices of him, that he was master of a good Greek style; -an accomplished writer, a close observer, an unassuming historian, a well-instructed physician, and a most faithful friend ${ }^{2}$. If the Theophilus to whom he dedicates both his works was the

[^7]Theophilus mentioned in the Clementines as a wealthy Antiochene, who gave up his house to the preaching of St Peter, then St Luke may have been his freedman. Physiciaus frequently held no higher rank than that of slaves, and Lobeck, one of the most erudite of modern Greek scholars, has noticed that contractions in as like Lucas from Lucanus, were peculiarly common in the names of slaves. One more conjecture may be mentioned. St Luke's allusions to nautical matters, especially in Acts axvii., are at once remarkably accurate and yet unprofessional in tone. Now the ships of the ancients were huge constructions, holding sometimes upwards of 300 people, and in the uncertain length of the voyages of those days, we may assume that the presence of a physician amid such multitudes was a matter of necessity. Mr Smith of Jordanhill, in his admirable monograph on the voyage of St Paul, has hence been led to the inference that St Luke must have sometimes exercised his art in the crowded merchantmen which were incessantly coasting from point to point of the Mediterranean. However this may be, the naval experience of St Luke as well as his medical knowledge would have rendered him a most valuable companion to the suffcring Apostle in his constant voyages.

## CHAPTER III.

## AUTHENTICITY OF THE GOSPEL.

Supposed allusions to St Luke's Gospel may be adduced from Polycarp ( $\dagger$ A.D. 167), Papias, and Clement of Rome (A.D. 95); but passing over these ns not absolutely decisive, it is certain that the Gospel was known to Justin Martyr (†A.D. 168), who, though he does not name the authors of the Gospels, makes distinct reference to them, and has frequent allusions to, and citations from, the Gospel of St Luke. Thus he refers to the Annunciation; the Enrolment in the days of Quirinius; the sending of Jesus bound to Herod; the last words on the cross, \&c.; and in some passages he uses language only found in this Gospel.

Hegesippus has at least two passages which appear to be verbal quotations from Luke xx. 21, xxiii. 24.

The Gospel is mentioned as the work of St Luke in the Muratorian Fragment on the Canon, of which the date is not later than A.D. 170.

Among heretics it was known to, and used by, the Ophites; by the Gnostics, Basilides and Valentinus ; by Heracleon (about A.D. 180), who wrote a comment on it; by the author of the Pistis Sophia; and by Marcion (about A.D. 140), who not only knew the Gospel, but adopted it as the basis of his own Gospel with such mutilations as suited his peculiar heresies ${ }^{1}$. This fact is not only asserted by Irenaeus, Tertullian, Epiphanius, \&c., but may now be regarded as conclusively proved by Volkmar, and is accepted by modern criticism. Marcion omitted chapters i. ii. and joined iii. 1 with iv. 31.

It is alluded to in the Clementine Homilies (about A.d. 175); in the Recognitions; and in the Epistle of the Churches of Vienne and Lyons, A.D. 177.
${ }^{1}$ Marcion, the son of a bishop of Sinope, was expelled from that city by his father, went to Rome about A.D. 143, and becoming an adherent of the Syrian heretic Cerdo, founded a formidnble schism. There were in his system Gnostic elements of dualism and docetism. He wrote a book called Antitheses to contrast the teachings of the Old and New Testaments, and his total rejection of the Old Testament necessitated his rejection of a large part of the New which bears witness to the Old. Consequently he only ascepted the authority of ten Epistles of St Panl (discarding the Pastoral Epistles) and of a mutilated gospel of St Luke in which about 122 verses were exscinded. Our knowledge of Marcion's gospel is chiefly derived from Tertullian (Adv. Marcionemb) and Epiphanius (Haer. 42). It has now been demonstrated by Volkmar (Das Evang. Marcionis) that Baur and Ritschl were mistaker in supposing that Marcion's gospel represented an earlier form of St Luke's. He proves that it was merely a copy with a few dubious readings (e.g. in x. 22, xi. 2, xvi. 17, xvii. 2, xvii. 19, xx. 2, \&c.), and arbitrary omissions of all that tended to overthrow Marcion's special heresies. See note on iv. 31. On this subject see Canon Westcott's Introd. to the Gospels, Appendix D, IV. pp. 441-443, Canon of the New Test. pp. 312-315; Sanday, Gospels in the Second Century, c. vir. The strangest omission by Marcion is that of the Parsble of the Prodigal Son. As regards the readings, modern opinion inclines to the view that some at least of these may be worthy of consideration, especially as they often affect no doctrine or point of importance.

Celsus refers to the genealogy of Christ as traced upwards to Adam

Theophilus of Antioch (A.D. 170) makes direct allusions to it.
Irenaeus (about a.d. 180) expressly attributes it to St Luke; Tertullian ( $\dagger$ A.D. 220) and Clemens of Alexandria ( $\dagger$ about a.d. 216) also quoted it as St Luke's. Origen ( $\dagger$ A.D. 254) speaks of the 'Four Gospels admitted by all the Churches under heaven;' and Eusebius ranks it among the homologoumena, ie. those works of whose genuineuess and authenticity there was no doubt in the Church.

It is found in the Peshito Syriac (3rd or 4th century), and the Itala.

We may add, that it must now be regarded as all but certain that Tatian, a disciple of Justin Martyr, made a Diatessaron or Harmony of the Four Gospels before the end of the second century ; for the Mechitarist fathers at Venice have published a translation, from the Armenian, of a work which is recognised as a commentary on Tatian's Diatessaron by Ephraem Syrus in the fourth century; and from this work it is clear that Tatian's 'Harmony' was a close weaving together of our four present Gospels.

## CHAPTER IV.

## CHARACTERISIICS OF THE GOSPEL.

"God sending His own Son in the likeness of sinful flesh." Rom. viii. 3.
"The Son of Man is come to seek and to save that which was lost." Luke xix. 10.
"Whose joy is, to the wandering, sheep
To tell of the great shepherd's love;
To learn of mourners while they weep
The music that makes mirth above;
Who makes the Gospel all his theme,
The Gospel all his pride and praise."
Keble, St Luhe's Day.
This rich and precious Gospel, which has been strikingly
designated "le plus beau livre qu'il y ait ${ }^{1}$ " is marked, as are the others, by special characteristics.

Thus:
(i) St Luke must be ranked as the first Christian hymnologist. It is to his inspired care that we owe the preservation of three sacred hymns, besides the Ave Maria (i. 28-33) and the Gloria in Excelsis (ii. 14), which have been used for ages in the worship of the Church: the Benediotus, or Song of Zacharias (i. 68-79), used in our Morning Service; the Magnificat, or Song of the Blessed Virgin (i. 46-5.5); and the Nonc Dimitris, or Song of Symeon (ii. 29-32), used in our Evening Service ${ }^{2}$. In these Canticles the New Aeon is represented not merely as the fulfilment of the Old, but also as a kingdom of the Spirit; as a spring of life and joy opened to the world; as a mystery, prophesied of indeed because it is eternal, but now in the appointed time revealed to men ${ }^{3}$.
(ii) In this Gospel thanksgiving is also prominent. "The Gospel of the Saviour begins with hymns, and ends with praises; and as the thanksgivings of the meek are recorded in the first chapter, so in the last we listen to the gratitude of the faithful ${ }^{4}$." Mention is made no less than seven times of 'glorifying God' by the utterance of gratitude and praise (ii. 20, v. 25 , vii. 16 , xiii. 13 , xvii. 15 , xviii. 43 , xxiii. 47).
(iii) It also gives special prominence to Prayer. It not only records (as Matt. vi.) the Lord's Prayer, but alone preserves to us the fact that our Lord prayed on six distinct and memorable occasions. (1) At His baptism. (2) After cleansing the leper. 12 (3) Before calling the Twelve Apostles. (4) At His Transfiguration. (5) On the Cross for His murderers, and (6) with His last breath ${ }^{5}$. St Luke too, like St Paul, insists on the duty

[^8]of unceasing Prayer as taught by Christ (xviii. 1, xi. 8, xxi. 36, Rom. xii. 12, \&c.); and emphasizes this instruction by alone recording the two Parables which encourage us to a persistent energy, a holy importunity, a storming of the kingdom of Heaven by violence in our prayers-the parables of the Friend at Midnight (xi. 5-13) and of the Unjust Judge (xviii. 1-8).
(iv) But the Gospel is marked mainly by its presentation of the Good Tidings in their universality and gratuitousness. It is pre-eminently the Gospel of pardon and of pity. "By grace ye are saved through faith ${ }^{1}$," and "the second man is the Lord from heaven" (1 Cor. xv. 47) ${ }^{2}$, might stand as the motto of St Luke as of St Paul. Thus the word 'grace' ( $\chi$ d́ $\rho \iota s$, eight times), 'saviour' and 'salvation' (only once each in St John's Cospel), and 'tell good tidings of' (ten times), occur in it far more frequently than in the other Gospels; and these are applied neither to Jews mainly, nor to Gentiles mainly, but universally ${ }^{3}$. It is the Gospel of "a Saviour" and of "good will towards men;" the Gospel of Jesus, not only as the heir of David's throne, and of Abraham's promise, bat as the Federal Head and Representative of Humanity-"the son of Adam, which was the Son of God." And what a picture does this great ideal painter set forth to us of Christ! He comes with angel carols; He departs with priestly benediction. We catch our first glimpse of Him in the manger-cradle at Bethlehem, our last as from the slopes of Olivet He vanishes "into the cloud" with pierced hands upraised to bless! The Jewish religion of that day had degenerated into a religion of hatreds. The then 'religious world,' clothing its own egotism under the guise of zeal for God, had for the most part lost itself in a frenzy of detestations. The typical Pharisee hated the Gentiles; hated the
${ }^{1}$ xv. 11, xvii. 10, xviii. 11, dec.
${ }^{2}$ Kúpors, 'Lord,' as a substitute for 'Jesus,' occurs 14 times in St Luke, and elsewhere in the Synoptists only in Mk. xvi. 19, 20. The combination "the Lord Jesus" (if genuine) occurs only in Lik. xxiv. 3 , though common in the Eipistles. See note on that verse.
${ }^{3}$ Sections of St Luke which are in peculiar accordance with the Gospel of St Paul (Rom. ii. 16) are iv. 16-30, vii. 36-50, xviii. 14, xix. 1-10, xxiii. 39-43. See Van Oosterzee in Lange's Commentary, Introd. p. 3.

Samaritans; hated the tax-gatherers. He despised poverty and despised womanhood. In St Luke, towards every age, towards either sex, towards all nations, towards all professions, towards men of every opinion and every shade of character, our Blessed Lord appears as Christus Consofator; the good Physician of bodies and of souls; the Gospeller of the poor ; the Brother who loves all His brethren in the great family of man; the unwearied healer and ennobler of sick and suffering humanity; the Desire of all nations; the Saviour of the world, who "went about doing good" (Acts x. 38). In accordance with this conception,
(v) St Luke reveals especially the sacredness of infancy. He alone tells us of the birth and infancy of the Baptist; the Annunciation; the meeting of Mary and Elizabeth; the songs of the herald Angels; the Circumcision ; the Presentation in the Temple ; the growth of Jesus in universal favour and sweet submission. And he alone preserves the one anecdote of the Confirmation of Jesus at twelve years old which is the solitary flower gathered from the silence of thirty years. Hence this Gospel is preeminently anti-docetic ${ }^{1}$. St Luke alludes to the human existence of our Lord before birth (i. 40); as a babe (ii. 16) ; as a little child (ii. 27) ; as a boy (ii. 40) ; and as a man (iii. 22).
(vi) He dwells especially on Christ's ministry to the world; that He was to be a Light to lighten the Gentiles, as well as the glory of His people Israel. He alone adds to the quotation from Isaiah respecting the mission of the Baptist the words "And all flesu shall see the salvation of God." He alone introduces the parallels of Elijah sent to the heathen Sarepta, and Elisha healing the heathen Naaman; as well as full details of that mission of the Seventy who by their number typified a mission to the supposed number of the nations of the world. St Luke's Gospel might stand as a comment on the words of St Paul at Athens, that God "hath made of one blood all nations of men... that they

[^9]should seek the Lord, if haply they might feel after Him, and find Him, though He be not far from every one of us" (Acts xwii. 27).
(vii) St Luke's is specially the Gospel of Womanhood, and he prominently records the gracionsness and tenderness of Christ towards many women ${ }^{1}$. He tells us how Jesus raised the dead boy at Nain, being touched with compassion because "he was the only son of his mother, and she was a widow." He alone tells us of the remarkable fact that Jesus in his earlier missionjourneys was accompanied not by warriors like David, not by elders like Moses, not by nobles and kings like the Herods, but by a most humble band of ministering women (viii. 1-3). His narrative in the furst two chapters must have been derived from the Virgin Mary, and has been thought to shew in every line the pure and tender colouring of a woman's thoughts. He, alone mentions the widow Anna (ii. 36), and tells us about eager Martha cumbered with serving, and Mary choosing the better part (x. 38-42); he alone how our Lord once addressed to a poor, crushed, trembling, humiliated woman the tender name of "daughter" (viii. 48), and how He spoke of another as a daughter of Abraham (xiii. 16); he alone how He at once consoled and warned the "daughters of Jerusalem" who followed Him weeping to Calvary (xxiii. 28). The Scribes and Pharisees gathered up their robes in the streets and synagogues lest they should touch a woman, and held it a crime to look on an unveiled woman in public; our Lord suffered a woman to minister to Him out of whom He had cast seven devils.
(viii) He seems to delight in all the records which told of the mercy of the Saviour towards the poor, the humble, the despised (ii. 24 , vi. $20-25$, 30 , viii. 2,3 , xii. $16-21,33$, xvi. 13, 19-25, xiv. 12-15, \&c.). Hence his Gospel has even been called (though very erroneously) the Gospel of the Ebionites ${ }^{2}$. He narrates the

[^10]Angel Visit to the humble maiden of Nazareth; the Angel Vision to the humble shepherds; the recognition of Jesus in the Temple by the unknown worshipper, and the aged widow. He records the beatitudes to the poor and the hungry, the parables of Dives and Lazarus and of the Rich Fool ; the invitation of "the poor, the maimed, the halt, the blind" to the Great Supper; the exaltation of the humble who choose the lowest seats; the counsel to the disciples to "sell what they have," and to the Pharisees to "give alms." He does not, however, denounce riches, but only the wealth that is not "rich towards God;" nor does he pronounce a beatitude upon poverty in the abstract, but only on the poverty which is patient and submissive. He had learnt from his Lord to 'measure wisdom by simplicity, strength by suffering, dignity by lowliness.'
(ix) Further, this is specially the Gospel of the outcast,-of the Samaritan (ix. 52-56, xvii. 11-19), the Publican, the harlot, the leper, and the Prodigal. Jesus came to seek and to save that which was lost (xix. 10). The emotion of penitent faith is more sincere and more precious than a life of prudent orthodoxy; undissembling wickedness is less hateful than disguised insincerity. Such is the point of the parable of the Praying Publican. See instances in Zacchaeus (xix. 1-10); the Prodigal Son; Mary of Magdala (vii. 36-50) ; the woman with the issue of blood (viii. 43-48) ; the dying robber (xxiii. 39-43). This peculiarity is doubtless due to that intense spirit of sympathy which led St Luke alone of the Evangelists to record that the boy of Nain was the only son of his mother (vii. 12); and the 'little maid' of Jairus his only daughter (viii. 42) ; and the lunatic boy his father's only son (ix. 38).
(x) Lastly, it is the Gospel of tolerance. There was a deadly blood-feud between the Jews and the Samaritans, and St Luke is careful to record how Jesus praised the one grateful Samari$\tan$ leper, and chose the good Samaritan rather than the indifferent Priest and icy-hearted Levite as the type of love to our neighbour. He also records two special and pointed rebukes of the Saviour against the spirit of intolerance:-one when the Sons of Thunder wauted to call down fire from heaven on the
oburlish Samaritan village－－Ye know not what manner of spirit ye are of．For the Son of Man is not come to destroy men＇s lives，but to save them：the other when He rebuked the narrow－ ness which said＂We forbad him，because he followeth not us，＂ with the words Forbid him not；for he that is not against $u s$ is for $\boldsymbol{u s}^{1}$ ．

We may notice further that St Luke＇s Gospel is characterised by
（xi）Its careful chronological order（1－3）；
（xii）Its very important preface．
（xiii）Its command of the Greek language？${ }^{3}$ ．
（xiv）The prominence given to the antithesis between light and darkness，forgiveness and non－forgiveness，God and Satan（iv．13， viii．12，工．17－20，xiii．10－17，xxii．3，31－34）．

 \＆c．）and the Apocrypha（see xii． 19, xvii．8，vi．35，i．42）．
Although there is an Hebraic tinge in the hymns and speeches which St Luke merely records，and in narratives where he is following an earlier or Aramaic document，his own proper style abounds in isolated phrases and words chiefly classical ${ }^{3}$ ，and

1 Lk．ix．49－56．
2＂Lucam tradunt veteres．．．magis Graecas literas scisse quam He－ braeas．Unde et sermo ejus．．．comptior est，et saecularem redolet elo－ quentiam．＂Jer．ad Damas．Ep．20．Where the style is less pure，and abounds in Hebraisms，we find internal evidence that St Lake is closely following some Aramaic document in which the oral tradition had been reduced to writing．
${ }^{3}$ These are noticed in the notes．Instanoes are vourкol for $\gamma$ pa $\mu$－


 aujòs $\dot{o}$ ，the more frequent use of the optative，$\tau \delta$ etpmévoy for $\tau \delta$ py⿴\zh11⿰一一⿲㇒丨丶土灬 \＆c．A long list may be found in Dr Davidson＇s Introd．to the New Test．ir．57－67．In some instances St Luke corrects an awkward phrase found in the other Synoptists，e．g．by using $\phi \boldsymbol{\lambda}$ oóvev $\omega$ for $\theta \in \lambda o ́ y-$


 （xx．47）for ol кat $\epsilon \sigma \theta$ owres；by wising $\pi \in p i \chi \rho a$（xxi．2）for $\pi T \omega \chi \dot{x}$ （except when quoting Christ＇s words）and diotepinaros for the less
 changes see notes on vii． 25 ，viii． 1, xi． $13,36,39,49,51$ ，xii． 51 ， 55.
his style is more flowing than that of St Matthew and St Mark. His peculiar skill as a writer lies rather in 'psydohologic comments ${ }^{1}$ ', and the reproduction of conversations with their incidents, than in such graphic and vivid touches as those of St Mark. He is also a great master of light and shade, i.e. he shews remarkable skill in the presentation of profoundly instructive contrasts-e.g. Zacharias and Mary; Simon and the Sinful Woman; Martha and Mary; the Pharisee and the Publican; the Good Samaritan, Priest, and Levite; Dives and Lazarus; beatitudes and woes; tears and Hosannas; and the penitent and impenitent robber.

It is the presence of these characteristios that has earned for this Gospel the praise (already mentioned) of being "the most beautiful book that has ever been written ${ }^{2}$."

The Miracles peculiar to St Luke are

1. The miraculous draught of fishes. v. 4-11.
2. The raising of the widow's son at Nain. vii. 11-18.
3. The woman with the spirit of infirmity. xiii. $11-17$.
4. The man with the dropsy. xiv. 1-6.
5. The ten lepers. xvii. 11-19.
6. The healing of Malchirs. xxii. 50, 51.

The Parables peculiar to St Luke are

1. The two debtors. vii. 41-43.z
2. The good Samaritan. x. 25-37.
3. The importunate friend. xi. 5-8.
4. The rich fool. xii. 16-21.
5. The barren ig-tree. siii. 6-9.
6. The lost piece of silver. xv. 8-10,
7. The prodigal son. xv. 11-32.
8. The unjust steward. xvi. 1-13.
9. Dives and Lazarus. xvi. 19-31.
10. The unjust judge. xviii. 1-8.
11. The Pherisee and the publican. xwiii. 10-14.
${ }^{1}$ iii. 15, 7i. 11, vii. 29, 30, 39, xvi. 14, \&c. Bp Eliicott, Hist. Lect. p. 28.
${ }^{2}$ This praise is the more striking because of the source from which it comes. The writer adds that it shews "un edmirable sentiment populaire, mine fine et tonchante poésie, le son clair ct pur d'une ame tout argentine." "C'est surtout dans les récits de l'Enfance et de la Passion que l'on trouve un art divin... Le parti qu'il a tire de Marthe et de Marie sa sceur est chose merveilleuse; aucune plumen'a laissé tomber dix lignes plus charmantes. L'épisode des diseiples d'Emmaus est un des récits les plus fins, les plus nuancés qu'il y ait dans aucune langue." Renan.

The two first chapters and the great section, ix. 51-xviii. 14, are mainly peculiar to St Luke.

And in addition to those already noted above, other remarkable incidents or utterances peculiar to him are John the Baptist's answers to the people (iii. 10-14); the weeping over Jerusalem (xix. 41-44); the conversation with Moses and Elias (ix. 28-36); the bloody sweat (xxii. 44); the sending of Jesus to Herod (xxiii. 7-12); the address to the Daughters of Jerusalem (27-31); the prayer, "Father, forgive them" (xxiii. 34); the penitent robber (40-43); the disciples at Emmaus (xxiv. 13-31); particulars of the Ascension (xxiv. 50-53). Additional touches which are sometimes of great importance may be found in iii. 22 ("in a bodily shape"), iv. 13 ("for a season"), iv. 1-6, v. 17, 29, 39, vi. 11, vii. 21, \&c.

## CHAPTER V.

## ANALTSIS OF THE GOSPEL.

Many writers have endeavoured to arrange the contents of this and the other Gospels in schemes illustrative of the dogmatic connexions in accordance with which the various sections are supposed to be woven together and subordinated to each other. Without here giving any opinion about the other Gospels, I must state my conviction that, as far as St Luke is concerned, such hypothetic arrangements have not been successful. No two writers have agreed in their special schemes, and the fact that each writer who has attempted such an analysis has seized on very different points of connexion, shews that all such attempts have been more or less arbitrary, however ingenious. It seems to me that if the Gospels had been arranged on these purely subjective methods the clue to such arrangement would have been more obvious, and also that we should, in that case, lose something of that transparent and childike simplicity of motive which idds such imrnense weight to the testimony of the Evangelists as the narrators of historic facts. Nor is it probable that the existence of this subjective symmetry of composition world
have escaped the notice of so many centuries of Christian students and Fathers. When St Luke tells Theophilus that he had decided to set forth in order the accepted facts of the Christian faith, I believe that the order he had in view was mainly chronological, and that the actual sequence of eventes, so far as it was recoverable from the narratives ( $\delta t \eta \gamma \eta^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon t s$ ) or the oral sources which he consulted, was his chief guide in the arrangement of his Gospel ${ }^{1}$. Various lessons may be observed or imagined in the order in which one event is placed after another, but these lessons lie deep in the chronological facts themselves, not in the method of the writer. The sort of analysis attempted by modern writers has hitherto only furnished each subsequent analyst with an opportunity for commenting on the supposed failures of his predecessors. For those however who disagree with these views, able and thoughtful endeavours to set forth the narrative in accordance with such a predetermined plan may be found in Van Oosterzee's Introduction, §5, in Westcott's Introduction to the Gospels, pp. 364-366, and McClellan's Newo Testament, 427-438.

A recent writer-the Rev. W. Stewart (The Plan of St Luke's Gospel, Glasgow, 1873)-has endeavoured to shew that St Luke arranged many of his materials alphabetically, in accordance with the first letter of the word predominant in the section. He narrates the events in i.-iii. 20 and xxiii. 15 --xxiv. 53 in chronological order, as is shewn by the recurrent notes of time; but according to Mr Stewart the section iii. 21-x. 24 is arranged by its reference to subjects, and x. 25 -xviii. 14 by the alphabetical order of the word prominent in each section.

The Gospel falls quite simply and naturally into the following sections:-
I. Introdugtion. i. 1-4.
II. The Preparation fok the Nativity. i. 5-80.
i. Announcement of the Forerunner. i. 5-25.
ii. Announcement of the Saviour. 26-38.
${ }^{1}$ The subordinate notes of time in the great section, ix. 51 -xviii. 14 , are vague.
iii. Hymns of thankggiving of Mary and Elizabeth. 39-56.
iv. Birth and Circumcision of the Forerunner. 57-66. The Benedictus. 67-79.
v. Growth of the Forerunner. 80.

IIL. Nativity of the Saviodr. ii. 1-20.
i. The Birth in the Manger. ii. 1-7.

Songs and thanksgivings of the Angels and the Shepherds. 8-20.

I7. The Infancy of the Saviour. ii. 21-38.
i. The Circumeision. ii. 21.
ii. The Presentation in the Temple. 22-24.

Songs and thanksgivings of Simeon and Anna. 25-38.
V. Ter Boyhood of the Savtotr. ii. 39-52.
i. His growth. 39, 40.
ii. His first visit to Jerusalem. 41-48.
iii. His first recorded words. 49, 50 .
iv. His development from boyhood to manhood. 51, 52.
VI. Ter Maniffetation of the Safiote (iii. 1-iv. 13),
i. In the preaching of John the Baptist. iii. 1-14, and

His prophecy of the coming Messiah. 16-18.
(Parenthetio anticipation of John's imprisonment. 19, 20.)
ii. By the descent of the Spirit and the Voice at the Baptism. 21, 22.
The Son of Adam and the Son of God. 23-38.
iii. By victory over the Tempter. iv, 1-13.
VII. Life and Early Ministry of the Sayiour. iv. 14-vii. 50.
i. His teaching in Galilee. iv, 14, 15.
ii. His first recorded Sermon, and rejection by the Nazarenes. 16-30.
iii. His Work in Capernaum and the Plain of Gemnesareth. iv. 31-vii. 50.
iv. A great Sabbath at Capernaum. iv. 31-44.
a. Healing of a demoniac. 33-37.
$\beta$. Healing of Peter's wife's mother. 38,39 .
$\gamma$. Healing of a multitude of the sicl. $40-44$.
v. The miraculous draught of fishes. $\mathrm{F} .1-11$.
vi. Work amid the sick, suffering, and sinful. v. 12-32.
a. Healing of a leper and other works of mercy. 12-17.
$\beta$. Healing the paralytic. 18-26.
\%. The Call and feast of Matthem. 27-32.
vii. The Saviour teaching and doing good v. 33-vii. 50.
a. The new and the old. v. 33-39.

及. The Sabbath. vi. 1-12.
r. Choosing of the Apostles. 13-16.
j. The Sermon on the Mount. 17-49.
$\epsilon$. The centurion's servant. vii. 1-10.
5. The widow's son raised from the dead. 11-17.
7. His witness to John the Baptist. 18--30.
$\theta$. His complaint against that generation. 31-35.
c. The woman that was a sinner. $36-50$.
VIII. Later Ministry in Galilee and its neighboubhood. viii.
i. The first Christian sisterhood. viii. 1-3.
ii. Incidents of two great days. 4-56.
a. The first Parable. 4-15.
8. The similitude of the Lamp. 16-18.
$\gamma$. Who are His mother and His brethren. 19-21.
ס. Stilling the storm. 22-25.
e. The Gadarene demoniac. 26-40.
5. The daughter of Jairus and the woman with the issue of blood. 41-56.
IX. Latest Phabes of the Galilean Minibiry, and Joubney Northwasds. ix. 1-50.
i. Mission of the Twelve. ix. 1-6.
ii. Alarm of Herod. 7-9.
iii. Feeding the five thousand at Bethsaida Julias. 10-17.
iv. Culmination of the training of the Apostles. 18-50.
a. The Confession of St Peter. 18-22.
$\beta$. Warning of the coming end. 23-27.
$\gamma$. The Transfiguration on Mount Hermon. 28-36.
j. The Lunatic Boy. 37-42.
E. Nearer warnings of the coming end. 43-45.
5. Lesson of Humility. 46-48.

ग. Lesson of Tolerance. 49, 50.
X. Incidents of the great final Phase of the Saytour's Minibtry after leaving Galilee. ix. 51-xix. $27^{1}$.
i. Tolerance to the Samaritans. The spirit of Elijah and the spirit of the Saviour. 51-56.
ii. The sacrifices of true discipleship. 57-62.
iii. The Mission of the Seventy. x. 1-20.
iv. The Saviour's joy at its success and blessedness, 21-24.
r. Love to our neighbour. The Good Samaritan. 25-37.
vi. The one thing needful. Martha and Mary. 38-42.
vii. Lessons of Prayer. xi. 1-13.
viii. Open rupture with the Pharisees, and connected incidents and warnings. xi. 14-xii. 69 ,
ix. Teachings, Warnings, Parables, and Miracles, of the Journey in preparation for the coming end. siii. 1xvii. 30 .
a. Parables:

1. The Great Supper. xiv. 15-24.
2. Shorter similitudes:
a. The Unfinished Tower. 25-30.
$\beta$. The Pradent King. 31-33.
$\boldsymbol{\gamma}$. Savourless Salt. 34, 35.
3. The Lost Sheep. xy. 1-7.
4. The Lost Piece of Silver. 8-10.
5. The Prodigal Son. 11-32.
6. The Unjust Steward. xvi. 1-12.
7. Warnings against avarive; Rich Man and Lazarus. 13-31.
$\beta$. Shorter sayings:
Offences, xpii. 1, 2. Forgiveness, 3, 4. Faith, 5, 6. Service, 7-10. Gratitude (the Ten Lepers), 11-19. Coming of the kingdom of God, 20-37. Prayer (the Importunate Widow, xviii. 1-8. The Pharisee and the Publican, 9-14. Children, 15-17. Sacrifice for Christ's sake. The Great Refusal, 18-30.
[^11]
## XI Last Stage of the Journet from Jericho to Jebugaiem. zviii. 31-xix. 46.

i. Prediction of the approaching end. xviii 31-34.
ii. The healing of Blind Bartimaeus. xviii. 35-43.
iii. The Repentant Pablican, Zacchaeas. xix. 1-10.
iv. The Parable of the Pounds. 10-27.
v. The Triumphal Entry into Jerusalem. 28-40.
vi. The Saviour weeping over Jerusalem. 41-44.
vi. The Cleansing of the Temple. $45,46$.
XII. The Last Days of the Saviour's Life. xix. 47-xxi. 38.
i. The Day of Questions. xx.
a. Question of the Priests and Elders. 1-8. Parable of the Vineyard. 9-18.
$\beta$. Question about the tribute-money. 19-26.
r. Question of the Sadducees. 27- 39.

ס. Question of Christ. 39-44.
Last denunciation of the Soribes. 45-47.
ii. Farewell to the Temple, and last warnings. xxi.
a. The widow's mite. 1-4.
$\beta$. Prophecy against the Temple. 5, 6.
$\gamma$. Signs and warnings of the last times. 7-38.
XIII. Last Hodns of the Saviocr on Eabth. xxii. 1-xxiii. 49.
i. The plots of enemies. xxii. 1-6.
ii. The Last Supper. Warnings and farewells. 7-38.
iii. The Agony in the Garden. 39-46.
iv. The Betrayal. 47-49.
v. The Arrest. 50-53.
vi. Trial before the Priests, and Peter's denials. 54-62. First derision. 63-65.
vii. Trial before the Sanhedrim. 66-71.
viii. Trial before Pilate, and first aoquittal. Exiii. 1-4.
ix. Trial before Herod. Second derision, and acquittal. 5-12.
x. Pilate's endeavour to release Him. The Jews choose Barabbas. Condemnation to Death. 13-26.
xi. The Daughters of Jerusalem. 27-31.
xii. The Grucifixion. 32-38.
xiii. The Penitent Robber. 39-45.
xiv. The Saviour's Death. 46-49.
XIV. The Burial, Rebjrbection, and Abcengion. xxiij. 50xxiv. 53.
i. The Entombment. xxiii. 50-56.
ii. The Resurrection. xxiv. 1-12.
iii. The Disciples at Emmaus. 13-32.
iv. Appearance to the Twelve, and last teachings of the Risen Saviour. 33-49.
XV. The Abgenston. 50-53.

In making this synopsis I have merely followed plain and obvious indications without being influenced by any temptation to produce numerical concinnity. It will however be at once observed that in the sections and subsections we find a recurrence of the sacred numbers three and seven ${ }^{1}$. Further attention will be called to this point in the subsequent notes. By regarding various sections as a conclusion or appendix, the prevalence of these numbers might easily be made still more obvious. The Greek training of the Evangelist would lead him to this symmetrical arrangement, and his familiarity with Aramaic documents explains his partiality for the numbers 3 and 7.

## CHAPTER VI.

## HELLENISTIC GREEK.

The common dialect ( $\dot{\eta}$ кou $\eta^{\prime}$ ) was composed of various elements, and owed its origin and dissemination to the conquests of Alexander the Great. It is a somewhat corrupt and loose Attic, with peculiarities derived from the old Doric Macedonian, and from other sources. It was spoken at Alexandria, in which city there was a large conflux of men of different nationalities. It is the dialect in which the Septuagint translation of the Old Testament was written by Jews residing in Egypt. This accounts for the Hebraic and Oriental idioms which we find in their dialect, and

[^12]these idioms took root the more readily because large colonies of Jews were to be found all along the coasts of the Mediterranean, and indeed in almost every region of the civilised world ${ }^{1}$.

The word 'E $\lambda \lambda \eta \nu i \zeta \omega$ came to mean 'I speak Greek as a foreigner,' but the word Hellenist generally means a Greek-speaking Jew, and the only writings in this dialect are those of Jews.

The peculiarities of Hellemistic Greek are found (1) in its phraseology, (2) in its syntax.
I. In its phraseology (A) it admits


 aivos, $\rho \circ \mu \phi$ аia.
$\gamma$. Dialectic forms, e.g. (i.) Ionisms, such as $\gamma \sigma \gamma \gamma \dot{j} \zeta \omega, \phi o \rho \pi i \zeta \omega$,


 кєнточpi $\omega \nu, \lambda_{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \epsilon \omega^{\prime} v$ (especially common in St Mark).

ס. Colloquial and vernacular expressions such as $\sigma a \rho \bar{\omega}, \rho_{0} p \mu \eta$,

B. It uses old words in new senses, as $\sigma \nu v i \sigma \tau \eta \mu$, 'I prove;'

 $\mu a t$, 'I recline.'
C. It frames new words and compounds, such as $\gamma \rho \eta \gamma o \rho \omega$,

 many more. It also adopts many strange phrases from the

 \&c.
D. It admits verbal forms and inflections, which are due to



[^13]II. In syntax,
a. It aims at simplification by abandoning the dual; by making very sparing use of the 'optative' mood, especially in oratio obliqua; by considerably extending the use of the infinitive after verbs; by obliterating many of the finer particles.
B. It admits idioms which in Attic Greek are either very rare, or absolutely solœcistic, such as $\epsilon i$ with the subjunctive, ö ofav and ${ }_{z} \nu_{a}$ with the present indicative, the omission of ${ }^{\prime \prime} \nu a$ after $\theta \boldsymbol{\theta} \lambda \omega, \& c$.
$\boldsymbol{\gamma}$. It frequently substitutes analytic for synthetic forms, as, for instance, by using $\epsilon i \mu$ with a participle for the present tense, $\dot{\eta}^{\prime} y$ with a participle for the imperfect, "̈бoual with the participle for the future. It helps out the force of compound verbs by



8. The sentences are arranged more paratactically (i.e. joined by simple copulatives) than syntactically, i.e. they are not woven into compact sentences by subordinate clauses, conjunctions, \&c.

Many of these peculiarities are due to the fact that (i.) Greek in the Christian era was in its decadence; (ii.) The New Testament writers learnt it for the most part orally and not from books.

It must not however be supposed that the Greek of the New Testament is, as it has been absurdly called, "a miserable patois." On the contrary, it becomes in the hands of the Apostles and Evangelists an instrument of incomparable force, and gains in flexibility, energy, adaptability, and clearness what it loses in symmetry and grace.

The 'critical notes' at the head of each chapter are purposely few and simple. To have made them exhaustive or complete would have defeated their purpose. I have only noticed the various readings where they seemed to have any real interest or siguificance, and have paid no attention to minor variations often
introduced from the parallel passages, and in no way affecting the sense. In some instances a variation is not recorded in the critical notes, but is for some special reason referred to in the general notes. As the Greek text here presented to the reader-for which the Rev. A. Carr has kindly made himself responsible-is founded on careful critical principles, and represents the consensus of the best editors, there was less necessity to notice minute and unimportant variations in the critical notes.

## CHIEF UNCIAL MANUSCRIPTS OF THE GOSPELS.

| Sign. | Name. Codex | Date. | Remarks. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $N$ | Siniaticus. | 4th century. | Found by Tischendorf at the monastery of St Catharine, 1859. Now at St Petersburg. |
| A | Alexandrinus. | 5th century. | Now in British Museum. Presented to Charles I. by Cyril Lucar, Patriarch of Constantinople in 1628 . |
| B | Vaticanus. | 4th century. | Now in the Vatican Library at home. |
| C | Ephraemi. | oth century. | Now in Paris. A palimpsest traceable under copy of the works of Ephraem the Syrian. |
| D | Bezae. | 6th century. | Greek and Latin. Contains remarkable interpolations. Given by Beza to the University Library at Cambridge in 1581. |
| E | Brailiensis. | 8th century. | An Evangelistarium or Service book. Now at Basle. |
| F | Boreeli. | 9th century. | Now at Utrecht. |
| G | Wolfii A. | 10th century: | At British Museum, and fragmentat Trinity Coll., Cambridge. |
| L | Regius. | 8th or 9th. | Now at Tours. |
| M | Campianus. | 9th century. | At Paris. |

The most important Ancient Versions are-
The Peshito ( $=$ "Simple") Syriac (made perhaps in the 3rd centary).
The Curetonian Syriac, possibly representing an older form of the Peshito ( 2 nd century). A 5 th century MS. of this version was found by Canon Cureton in the British Museum.
The Philozenian Syriac (made in the 6th centary).
The Jerusalem Syriac (5th or 6th century).
The Itala is the oldest existing form of a Latin Version made in Africa in the 2nd eentury.
The Vulgate is mainly St Jerome's revision of the Itala, A.d. 383-5.
The Sahidic or Thebaic and the Memphitic (2nd or 3rd century).
The Gothic Version of Bp Ulfilas (4th century).
The Armenian (5th century).
The Aethiopic (date uncertain).
The edition of Winer referred to is the Euglish translation from the 6th edition of the original. T. and T. Clark, Edinburgh.

THE HERODS ( $\alpha s$ mentinned in the Gospels and the Acts).


## ABBREVIATIONS.

| Gr. Griesbach. | It. Old Latin Version (Itala). |
| :--- | :--- |
| La. Lachmann. | Sah, Sahidic Version. |
| Ti. Tischendorf. | R. V. Revised Version. |
| W.H. Westcott and Hort. A. V. Authorised Version. |  |
| LXX. Septuagint. | Rec. The Textus Receptus. |
| Vulg. Vulgate. |  |

## EYATIENION KATA $\Lambda O Y K A N$






 $\lambda_{o ́ \gamma \omega \nu} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{a} \sigma \phi \dot{a} \lambda \epsilon \epsilon a \nu$.
























































































































 aư่าồ.





















 $\pi \rho o ̀ s \tau o ̀ \nu ~ ' ~ I ~ \sigma \rho a \eta ं \lambda . x) ~$










































 $\kappa о \iota \lambda(\underset{\text {. }}{ }$.





 $\nu \epsilon \sigma \sigma \sigma o u ̀ s ~ \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \omega े \nu$.











 $\dot{\eta} \tau о \neq \mu a \sigma a \varsigma \kappa а т \grave{a} \pi \rho o ́ \sigma \omega \pi о \nu \pi a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \lambda a \hat{\omega} \nu,{ }^{32} \phi \hat{\omega} \varsigma \epsilon i \varsigma$







 $\delta \iota a \lambda o \gamma \iota \sigma \mu o t$.










 каі Хápts $\theta є о \hat{v} \eta{ }^{\eta} \nu$ є̀ $\pi$ ’ autó.






















 à $\partial \rho \omega \dot{\pi} \pi=\iota$.















































 $\phi \nu \lambda a \kappa \tilde{n}$.




 єủ8ó́r $\eta \sigma a$.


 ${ }^{25} \tau o \hat{v} \mathrm{Ma} \tau \tau a \theta l o v ~ \tau o \hat{v}$ 'A $\mu \omega े \varsigma ~ \tau o \hat{v} \mathrm{~N} a o v ̀ \mu ~ \tau o \hat{v}{ }^{\text {' }} \mathrm{E} \sigma \lambda \epsilon \grave{\imath} \tau o \hat{v}$






































 aù $\tau 0 \hat{v}$ ä $\chi \rho \iota \kappa a \iota \rho o \hat{v}$.























 oov.

















 $\lambda o ́ \gamma o s a v i \tau o v ̂ . ~$






















 à่̉วั̀ ยival.





 $\sigma \nu \nu a \gamma \omega \gamma \hat{c} \varsigma \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma$ Гa入i入aias.











 ${ }^{6} \kappa a \grave{\imath}$ то̂̀то тоıทं $\sigma a \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma ~ \sigma \nu \nu \epsilon ́ \kappa \lambda \epsilon \iota \sigma a \nu ~ \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta_{o s}$ i $\chi \theta \dot{v} \omega \nu$







 $\kappa a i ̀ ~ ' \mathrm{I} \omega \dot{\alpha} \nu \nu \eta \nu$ viov̀s $\mathrm{Z} \epsilon \beta \epsilon \delta a i o v$, ồ $\eta^{\boldsymbol{\eta}} \sigma a_{\nu} \kappa о \iota \nu \omega \nu o \grave{\iota} \tau \hat{\varphi}$


 $\eta^{\eta} \kappa \propto \lambda o v ́ \theta \eta \sigma a \nu$ à่ $\frac{\omega}{\omega}$.











 $\pi \rho о \sigma \epsilon \tau \chi о ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о$.









 ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{I} \eta \sigma o \hat{v} .{ }^{20} \kappa a i ̀ ~ i ̀ \delta \omega \nu \quad \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi / \sigma \tau \iota \nu a \dot{u} \tau \omega \hat{\nu} \epsilon \boldsymbol{i} \pi \epsilon \nu,{ }^{2} \mathrm{~A} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \epsilon$,




 $\mathrm{T} i \hat{i} \delta \iota a \lambda o \gamma i \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \nu \quad \tau a \hat{\imath} \varsigma \kappa a \rho \delta i a \iota \varsigma \quad \dot{\nu} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu ;{ }^{23} \tau i \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \nu$








 $\sigma \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho о \nu$.









 роעтєs iaт $\frac{1}{}$






















 є̇тє



 $\sigma a \beta \beta a ́ т o v$.






























 iàto $\pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau a \varsigma$.


 тои̂ $\theta \epsilon o \hat{\text {. }}$

Макápıo oi к $\lambda a l o \nu \tau \epsilon s ~ \nu \hat{v} \nu$, öт七 $\gamma \epsilon \lambda$ á $\sigma \epsilon \tau \epsilon$.






 $\pi а \rho а ́ к \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \nu \dot{v} \mu \omega \hat{\nu}$.
 ov̉aì oi $\gamma \epsilon \lambda \omega \hat{\nu} \tau \epsilon \varsigma \nu \hat{\nu} \nu$, ${ }^{\circ} \tau \iota \iota \epsilon \nu \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \tau \epsilon \kappa a i ̀ ~ \kappa \lambda a v ́ \sigma \epsilon \tau \epsilon$.

 та८ऽ oi татépes av่т $\omega$ ข.
























 $\dot{a} \nu \tau \iota \mu \epsilon \tau \rho \eta \theta{ }_{\eta}^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \tau a \iota \dot{\nu} \mu i \nu$.

















 $\mu a \tau о \varsigma ~ \kappa а \rho \delta i ́ a \varsigma ~ \lambda a \lambda \epsilon i ̂ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \sigma \tau o ́ \mu a ~ a u ̉ \tau o v ̂ . ~$

































 Sô̂lov íyıaivouta.



 $\tau \hat{\eta} \mu \eta \tau \rho \grave{\iota}$ aù $\tau o v, \kappa \alpha i$ a








 $\pi a ́ \sigma \eta \tau \hat{\eta} \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \chi \omega \dot{\omega} \rho$.










 $\sigma \iota \nu, \chi^{\omega \lambda о \grave{~}} \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi a \tau о \hat{\sigma} \sigma \iota \nu, \lambda_{\epsilon \pi \rho о \grave{~ к а Ө а р ı ́ \zeta о \nu \tau \alpha \iota, ~ к \omega ф о \iota ~}}$

























 $\pi o v ~ \epsilon ̇ \sigma \theta i \omega \nu \kappa a i ~ \pi i \nu \omega \nu, \kappa a i ̀ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \tau \epsilon$, 'I $\delta o ̀ ̀ ~ a ̈ \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi o s ~ \phi a ́ \gamma o s ~$





































 रvvaîкés тıvєя aî ท̄ $\sigma a \nu ~ \tau \epsilon \theta \epsilon \rho a \pi \epsilon \nu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu a \iota ~ a ̀ \pi o ̀ ~ \pi \nu \epsilon \nu \mu a ́ т \omega \nu$



 avitaî.


























 $\kappa а і$ картофороиิб८้ є่ע $\dot{v} \pi о \mu о \nu \hat{\eta}$.


 $\kappa \rho v \pi т o ̀ \nu ~ o ̀ ~ o v ̀ ~ ф а \nu є \rho o ̀ y ~ \gamma є \nu \eta ं \sigma \epsilon т a l, ~ o v ̉ \delta \grave{~ a ̀ ~ a ́ o ́ к \rho и ф o \nu ~ o ̀ ~ o v ̉ ~}$








 $\kappa а і$ тоіойртєร.











 à̀т $\hat{\omega}$;














 aưтò






















 aข่тo่ข.







 $\pi \epsilon \nu \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota,{ }^{44} \pi \rho \sigma \sigma \epsilon \lambda \theta \circ \hat{v} \sigma a$ oैт $\tau \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \stackrel{\eta}{\eta} \psi a \tau о$ то̂́ к $\rho a \sigma \pi \epsilon \in \delta o v$
























 тò $\begin{array}{r}\text { geyovós. }\end{array}$











 $\pi \epsilon \dot{o} о \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma ~ \pi а \nu \tau a \chi o v . ~$





 aù่тóy.




















 $\kappa \lambda a \sigma \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$, ко́фıvoı $\delta \omega \dot{\delta} є \kappa а$.






















 $\theta \in o$ रิ.


















 $\gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon ́ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \phi \omega \nu \eta ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon u ́ \rho \epsilon ́ \theta \eta ~ ' I \eta \sigma o v ̂ s ~ \mu o ́ \nu o s . ~ к а i ̀ ~ a u ̉ т o i ̀ ~$















 $\tau \hat{\varphi} \pi a \tau \rho \grave{\imath}$ a $̀ \tau o v$.






 àùтò̀ тєрі̀ тov̂ $\dot{\rho} \dot{\mu} \mu$ атоя тои́тои.
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 ёкєї̀ $\eta$.




















































 $\epsilon i \pi \epsilon \nu,{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{E} \pi \iota \mu \epsilon \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \eta \tau \iota$ à̀то̂̂, каі ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ ть ${ }^{\text {à } \nu} \pi \rho о \sigma \delta a \pi a \nu \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \eta \varsigma$



 $\dot{\delta} \mu(\omega \varsigma, x)$















 aùтoîs, "Отау $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \epsilon \cup ̛ \chi \eta \sigma \theta \epsilon, ~ \lambda \in ́ \gamma \epsilon \tau \epsilon, ~ \Pi a ́ \tau \epsilon \rho, ~ a ́ \gamma и a \sigma \theta \dot{\eta} \tau \omega$














































 ${ }^{26}$ то́тє торєи́єтая каі тарадацßа́дєє є̈тєра туєข̀ $\mu а т а$

 $\tau \omega ิ \nu \pi \rho \omega \dot{\epsilon} \tau \omega \nu$.



 $\kappa а і ̈ ~ ф и \lambda а ́ \sigma \sigma о \nu \tau є \varsigma . ~$




















 $\phi \omega \tau i \xi \eta \sigma \epsilon$.














 $\mu o v ̀ s ~ \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ тaîs à $\gamma o \rho a i ̂ s$.




















 $\mu \epsilon ́ \nu o u s$ е̇кю $\kappa \lambda \dot{\prime} \sigma a \tau \epsilon$.




$12{ }^{13} \mathrm{E} \nu$ oîs $\dot{\epsilon}^{\pi} \pi \iota \sigma \nu \nu a \chi \theta \epsilon \iota \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu$ т $\hat{\omega} \nu \quad \mu \nu \rho \iota a ́ \delta \omega \nu$ то̂̀







 $\theta \hat{\eta} \tau \epsilon$ ànò $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ àтоктєขขóvт $\omega \nu$ тò $\sigma \omega \bar{\omega} \mu a$ каї $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau a \hat{\tau} \tau a$






























 $\mu a \tau a ́ \mu o v \kappa a i ̀ ~ \tau a ̀ ~ a ̀ \gamma a \theta \dot{a} \mu o v,{ }^{19} \kappa a i \stackrel{i}{\epsilon} p \hat{\omega} \tau \hat{g} \psi v \chi \hat{n} \mu o v$,





 $\dot{v} \mu i ̄ \nu \lambda \in ́ \gamma \omega, \mu \grave{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho \iota \mu \nu \hat{a} \tau \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} \eta \psi \nu \chi \hat{\eta} \quad \tau i ́ \phi a ́ \gamma \eta \tau \epsilon, \mu \eta \delta \grave{\epsilon}$












 $\zeta \eta \tau \epsilon \hat{i ̂} \tau \epsilon \tau i ́ \phi a ́ \gamma \eta \tau \epsilon \kappa a i ̀ \tau i \quad \pi i \eta \tau \epsilon$, каі̀ $\mu \grave{\eta} \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \omega \rho i \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$.
























 єitтєy ó кúplos,

























 $\theta \in \rho a ́ \nu$.









 $\pi \rho a ́ \kappa т о \rho \iota, ~ к а і ̀ ~ o ̀ ~ т \rho а ́ к т ш \rho ~ \sigma \epsilon ~ \beta a \lambda \epsilon i ̂ ~ \epsilon i s ~ ф и \lambda а к \grave{\eta} \nu . ~{ }^{59} \lambda e ́ \gamma \omega$
 $\dot{a} \pi \sigma \delta \omega \hat{\omega}$.










 $\dot{a} \pi о \lambda \epsilon \hat{i} \sigma \theta \epsilon$.








 aข่тท่ข.











































 $\dot{\alpha} \nu a т о \lambda \hat{\omega \nu} \kappa a \grave{\imath} \delta \nu \sigma \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa a i ̀ ~ \beta о \rho \rho a ̂ ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ \nu o ́ т о \nu, ~ \kappa а i ̀ ~ a ̀ \nu а к \lambda t-~$
































































































 $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a v ̀ \tau o u ̀ s ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \pi a \rho a \beta o \lambda \eta ̀ \nu ~ \tau a v ́ \tau \eta \nu ~ \lambda e ́ \gamma \omega \nu, ~ " T i ' s ~ a ̈ \nu-~$








 цєтароias.





 $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \grave{\iota} \dot{\alpha} \mu a \rho \tau \omega \lambda \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \tau a \nu o o v ̂ \nu \tau \iota$.























































































 $\chi^{\epsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \epsilon \iota}$




























 $\tau \iota \varsigma ~ \epsilon ่ \kappa ~ \nu \epsilon \kappa \rho \hat{\omega \nu} \dot{a} \nu a \sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \pi \epsilon \iota \sigma \hat{\eta} \sigma о \nu \tau a \iota$.




















 $\kappa а \mu \epsilon \nu$.













 'Avactàs торєध́ov' $\dot{\eta} \pi i \sigma \pi \iota \varsigma ~ \sigma o v ~ \sigma \epsilon ́ \sigma \omega \kappa \epsilon ́ v ~ \sigma \epsilon . ~$



 то̂̂ $\theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$ ध̀vтòs $\dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ є่ $\sigma \tau i \nu$.
${ }^{22} \mathrm{E} \imath \pi \epsilon \nu$ ठè̀ $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ \mu a \theta \eta \tau a ́ s, ~ ' E \lambda \epsilon u ́ \sigma o \nu \tau a \iota ~ \tilde{\eta} \mu$ épaı












































 $\gamma \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s}$;








 $\nu \eta \varsigma ~ \mu a \kappa \rho o ́ \theta \epsilon \nu$ є́ $\sigma \tau \omega े \varsigma$ ov̀к ${ }^{\eta} \theta \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \nu$ oúdè тov̀s $\dot{o} \phi \theta a \lambda \mu o v ̀ s$






 'І $\eta \sigma o v ̂ s ~ т г \rho о \sigma \epsilon \kappa а \lambda \epsilon ́ \sigma а т о ~ a u ̀ \tau a ̀ ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega \nu, ~ " А ф \epsilon \tau \epsilon ~ \tau \grave{a ̀ ~} \pi a \iota \delta i a$



























 aicóvov.



 $\pi а \iota \chi \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \tau а \iota ~ к а і ~ \dot{\imath} \beta \rho \iota \sigma \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \tau а \iota$ каі̀ є̀ $\mu \pi \tau \cup \sigma \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \tau а \iota$,
















 $i \delta \omega \nu$ ยै $\delta \omega \kappa \epsilon \nu$ aì $\nu o \nu \tau \hat{\varphi} \theta \in \hat{\varphi}$.













 $\kappa о ф \dot{a} \nu \tau \eta \sigma a$, à $\pi о \delta i \delta \omega \mu i \quad \tau \epsilon \tau \rho a \pi \lambda o \hat{\nu}$. ${ }^{0} \epsilon i \pi \epsilon \nu \quad \delta$ è $\pi \rho o ̀ s$

 rà $\rho$ ó viòs тô $\mathfrak{a} \nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi o v ~ \zeta \eta \tau \eta ̂ \sigma a \iota . \kappa a l ~ \sigma \hat{\omega} \sigma a \iota ~ \tau o ̀ ~ a ̀ \pi o-~$ $\lambda \omega \lambda o ́ s$.
































 aùтoùs $\breve{\epsilon}^{\mu} \mu \pi \rho \sigma \sigma \theta$ 白 $\nu \mu o v$.
 єis ${ }^{\text {' }} \mathrm{T}$ єробо́ $\lambda \nu \mu a$.














 $\ddot{\eta}^{\prime} \rho \xi a \nu \tau o$ á $\pi a \nu \tau \grave{o} \pi \lambda \tilde{\eta} \theta_{o s} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu a \theta \eta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \chi a i \rho o \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$ aiveì





 oí $\lambda i \theta_{o \iota} \kappa \rho a ́ \xi \xi_{0} \sigma \iota \nu$.







 єкот $\mathrm{\rho} \varsigma \sigma 0$.


 $\sigma \pi \hat{\eta} \lambda a \iota o \nu \lambda \eta \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$.





 бav oi à $\rho \chi \iota \epsilon \rho \epsilon i ̂ s ~ к a i ~ o i ~ \gamma \rho а \mu \mu a \tau \epsilon i ̂ s ~ \sigma v ̀ \nu ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ \pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta v-~$










 $\pi \quad \star \omega \hat{\omega}$.



 то̂̀ картои̂ то仑̂ à $\mu \pi e \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu o s ~ \delta \omega ́ \sigma o v \sigma \iota \nu ~ a u ̉ \tau \hat{\omega}$. oi $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$



















 $\pi \rho o े s ~ a u ̉ \tau o u ̀ s ~ \epsilon i \pi \epsilon \epsilon \nu ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu ~ т \tau а \rho a \beta o \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu \tau a v ́ \tau \eta \nu$.













 $\gamma \eta \sigma a \nu$.



























 aùtô̂ viós $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau t \nu$;
























 тéخos.














 $\dot{a} \pi o ́ \lambda \eta \tau a \iota^{-19} \mathfrak{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \hat{v} \pi \sigma \mu \nu \nu \hat{\eta} \dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma a \sigma \theta \in \tau a ̀ \varsigma \psi u \chi a ̀ s$ $\dot{\nu} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$.










 $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \theta \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu \kappa a \iota \rho o i ̀ ~ \epsilon ̀ \theta \nu \hat{\omega} \nu .{ }^{26} \mathrm{~K} a \grave{\iota}$ єै $\sigma о \nu \tau a \iota ~ \sigma \eta \mu \epsilon i ̂ a ~ \grave{\epsilon} \nu$

 $\psi \nu \chi o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \dot{a} \nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi \omega \nu$ àmò фóßov каі $\pi \rho о \sigma \delta о \kappa i a, ~ \tau \omega ि \nu$


 $\pi o \lambda \lambda \eta{ }^{2}$.

 $\dot{\nu} \mu \omega \nu$.






 $\mu \dot{\eta}$ тгарє $\lambda \in \dot{\prime} \sigma о \nu \tau а \iota$.




















 av่тoîs.











 $\pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \chi a$.







 öтov $\dot{\eta} \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon i ́ a ~ \tau o \hat{v} \theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$ eै̀ $\lambda \theta \eta$.




 $\tau \grave{o}$ ن́mèp $\dot{u} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \grave{\epsilon} \kappa \chi \nu \nu \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon v o \nu$.




 $\pi \rho \dot{a} \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$.
































 $\chi \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon \mu \grave{\eta} \epsilon i \sigma \epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon i \nu$ єis тєє $\rho a \sigma \mu o ́ v .{ }^{41} \kappa a i$ à̀тòs $\dot{a} \pi \epsilon-$









 $\theta \eta \tau \epsilon \epsilon i \leqslant \pi \epsilon \iota \rho a \sigma \mu \dot{o} \nu$.












































 $\mu a \rho \tau v \rho i a s ~ \chi \rho \epsilon i ́ a \nu ; ~ a u ̉ \tau o i ̀ ~ y \grave{d} \rho ~ \grave{\eta} \kappa о \dot{\sigma} \sigma a \mu \epsilon \nu$ à $\pi \grave{o}$ то̂ $\sigma \tau o ́-$ натоs aưтov.

























 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a v i \tau o v ́ s . ~$






















































 $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \hat{v} \hat{\epsilon} \epsilon \eta \eta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \pi a \rho a \delta \epsilon i ́ \sigma \varphi$.

 каї 白 $\chi \chi i \sigma \theta \eta$ тò кататє́ $\tau a \sigma \mu a$ то̂̀ vaov̂ $\mu \epsilon ́ \sigma o \nu . ~{ }^{43} \kappa a \grave{\imath}$
 $\chi \epsilon i ̂ \rho a ́ s ~ \sigma о v ~ \pi а р а т i \theta_{\epsilon} \mu a \iota ~ т o ̀ ~ \pi \nu \epsilon \hat{v} \mu a ́ ~ \mu о \nu . ~ т о \hat{\tau} т о ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \epsilon i \pi \omega ̀ \nu$ $\epsilon \epsilon \xi \in \pi \nu \epsilon \nu \sigma \epsilon \nu$.

 $\pi a ́ \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma ~ o i ~ \sigma v \nu \pi a \rho a \gamma \epsilon \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \iota ~ o ̋ \chi \lambda о \iota ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi i ~ т \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \theta \epsilon \omega \rho i a \nu$

 $\grave{\alpha} \pi \grave{o} \mu а к \rho o ́ \theta \epsilon \nu, \kappa a i ̀ ~ \gamma v \nu a i ̂ \kappa \epsilon ร ~ a i ~ \sigma v \nu a \kappa о \lambda o v \theta o v ̂ \sigma a \iota ~ a \nu ̀ \tau \hat{̣}$



 'A $\rho \iota \mu a \theta a i ́ a s ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega \varsigma ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ 'Iovסai $\omega \nu$, òs $\pi \rho о \sigma \epsilon \delta e ́ \chi \in \tau о ~ \tau \grave{\nu} \nu$



 $\sigma \kappa \epsilon \cup \hat{\eta} \varsigma, \kappa а \grave{\imath} \sigma a ́ \beta \beta a \tau о \nu$ є̀ $\pi \epsilon ́ \phi \omega \sigma \kappa \epsilon \nu$.















 $\pi а \rho a \delta a \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota ~ \epsilon i \varsigma \quad \chi \epsilon i \rho a s \quad \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \omega \nu \dot{a} \mu a \rho \tau \omega \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$. кal








 $\mu o ́ \nu a \cdot \kappa a i ̈ a ̀ \pi \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon \nu \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a v i \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \theta a v \mu a ́ \zeta \omega \nu ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \gamma є \gamma o \nu o ́ s . ~ . ~$


 $\lambda o v \nu \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a ̀ \lambda \lambda \eta{ }_{\eta} \lambda o v s ~ \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{i} \pi a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ т $\hat{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma \nu \mu \beta \epsilon \beta \eta \kappa o ́ \tau \omega \nu$



































































反и́vauıv.








## NOTES.

## CHAPTER I.

Title. Ejayyenov. See Introd. ch. 1. The word has come to mean not the 'good news' in the abstract but the 'written Gospel,' a sense whioh it acquired before the end of the first centary. But if the title of this Gospel came from the original writer it was used in its earlier and proper sense.

катd पоикâ. The preposition кatd implies the authorship of
 history written by Herodotus, and $\dot{\eta}$ кarà Mü̈rea revtárevxos in Epiphanius means the Pentateuch written by Moses (Godet). Possibly however the expression originated from the currency of oral forms of teaching eystematically adopted by different Aposiles, which, when reduced to writing, were not represented as exclusive presentations of the Good Tidings, but as the Gospel in the particular form wherein it was preached by St Peter, St Matthem, or by other A postles.
kard Moukâv. $^{\text {a }}$ In NBF we have simply this title, but most MSS.

 are the simplest.
6. $\gamma$ vvi av่ $\mathfrak{w}$. The reading of NBCDLX Gr. La. Ti. \&c.; 'he


 $\mu \epsilon \mu \nu \eta \sigma \tau \epsilon \nu \mu \epsilon \nu \eta \nu$, a reduplication on the analogy of $\mu \notin \mu \nu \eta \mu a$.
28. $\delta^{d} \mathrm{~d} y \mathrm{f}$ 人os. ACD Fulg. but omitted by BL and placed after aữク̇̀ in NF.
cidoynution oi ev yuv. are omitted by the Revisers with NB and some versions. They may be an accidental reminiscence of $\mathbf{\nabla} .42$.
29. The Lסoiva of Rec. is omitted by NBDLX.
35. Ek roin omitted by nearly all the best MSS.
37. $\pi a p d$ roû $\theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$ 'from God' R. V, following NBDL.
49. нєүáda. NBDL La. Ti., 'great things' R. V., Vulg. magna. $\mu \epsilon \gamma a \lambda \epsilon i a$ may be taken from Ps. lxxi. 19.
50. cis yeveds kal үeved́s 'unto generations and generations' R. V. BCL It. Vulg. \&c. The Rec. perhaps arose from the phrase in saecula saeculontm.
 all the best MSS.

## С. Т. 1-4 Introduction.

This brief preface is in several respects most interesting and important. Ewald rightly says that in its simplicity, brevity and modesty it is a model preface.
i. It is the only personal introduction to any historic book in the Bible except the Acts. It is specially valuable here as authenticating the first two chapters and shewing that Marcion's excision of them was only due to his desire to suppress the true humanity of Christ, as his other mutilations of the Gospel (which made it "like a garment eaten by moths," Epiphan.) were due to hostility to the Old Testament. See Mill's Mythical Interpretation, p. 103.
ii. The style in which it is written is purer and more polished than that of the rest of the Gospel, though it is "the most literary of the Gospels." It was the custom of antiquity to give special elaboration to the opening clauses of a great work, as we see in the Histories of Herodotus, Thucydides, Livy, \&e. In the rest of the Gospel the style of the Evangelist is often largely modified by the documents of which he made such diligent use.
iii. It shews us in the simplest and most striking manner that the Divine Inspiration was in no way intended to supersede the exercise of human diligence and judgment.
iv. It proves how "many" early attempte to narrate the Life of Christ have perished. We may well suppose that they have only perished because the Four Evangelists were guided by " $a$ grace of superintendency" to select and to record all that was most needful for us to know, and to preserve everything which was accurate and essential in the narratives ( $\delta t \eta \gamma \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \epsilon$ ) which had previonsly been published.
$\nabla$. It furnishes us on the very threshold with a key to the aims of the Evangelist in the more systematic and comprehensive history which he is now led to write. With a modesty, which is also evinced by his self-suppression in the Acts of the Apostles, he here lays claim to nothing beyond methodical order and diligent research.
vi. We see at once from this preface the association of thought and expression between St Luke and his great Teacher. Several of the most marked words, 'attempted,' 'most sarely believed,' 'orally instructed,' 'certainly,' are only found elsewhere in the letters and speeches of St Paul.
vii. It marks the difference between St Matthew and St Lake, shewing us that we have here a less Jewish and a more universal Gospel.

1. 'ETtiSi $\pi \epsilon \rho$, 'since all are awave that.' A classic word not found in the LXX. or elsewhere in the N. T. Perbaps St Luke liked the stately sonnd of the word to begin his work, just as he ends the Acts with the sonorous epitrite $\dot{\alpha} \kappa \omega \lambda u ́ \tau \omega s$.

тod入of. Whether the Gospels of St Matthew and St Mark had been written when St Luke's appeared is a question which oannot be answered with certainty; but it is certain that he does not here allude to those Gospels, and that he did not make any use of them (see Introd. p. 9), though he made use of documents, or cycles of fixed oral tradition, with which they also were familiar. This allusion to inadequate previous attempts is of great critical and historical importance.

These many aittempts to narrate the earthly life of the Saviour were probably those collections of traditional memorials, parables and
 corporated in our four Gospels. Setting aside the Apocryphal Gospels, which are for the most part worthless and even pernicious forgeries, Christian tradition has not preserved for us one trustworthy event of the Life of Christ, and barely a dozen sayings (äpoaфa dóyuara like that preserved by St Paul in Acts xx. 35) which are not found in the Gospels.
 up a narrative.' A remarkable parallel to this passage is found in Josephus (Contra Ap. I. 10); but no censure is here expressed. The word 'attempted' shews indeed that these endeavours were not wholly successful, and the use of the sorist implies that they had already failed. (Acts xix. 13.) "Conati sunt qui implere nequiverunt," Aug. The works to which St Luke alludes were fragmentary and ill-arranged but not necessarily misieading. Origen (Hom. in Luc.) is hardly justified in supposing that the authors are rebuked for temerity, and Dr McClellan goes much too far in calling them "false Evangelists." 'Encxetpêv in the N. T. occurs only here and at Acts ix, 29; xix. 39, and has the same meaning as the Latin aggredi. The word סory (Vulg. narrationem, Tyndale "a treatise", Genev. "a history") shews that these attempted Gospels. were continuous, not mere monographs
 Aevopepoy in the N. T. The A.V. ' to set forth in order' is derived from the ordinare of the Vulg. Tyndale rendered it "to compile."

[^14]by Erasmus, Beza, Calvin, the Rhemish, Grotius, Ewald, \&c. The expression is most important, as shewing that whatever might be the defeats of the narratives, there was no hesitation about the facts. (Bp Markh, p. 364.) "The work of these unknown first Evangelists was new only in form and not in substance." Westcott, Introd. p. 174.
2. Käws mapt8oorav ij $\mu \mathrm{i} \mathrm{v}$. i.e. 'of the things belicved among us, as the original eye-witnesses delivered them to us.' There is no necessity to render kä日's 'even as.' The even was introduced by 'Tyndale. By the word $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\nu} \mathrm{St}$ Luke ingenuously classes himself with the secondary witnesses, not with the immediate disciples. The English version is here ambiguous; and the way in which it is often read shews how completely it is misunderstood. It does not mean 'that the writers of macoredited narratives delivered them to St Luke and others who were eye-witnesses,' \&c.,-but that 'since many undertook to rearrange the facts, which have been delivered ( 1 Cor. xi. 23, xv. 3 ; 2 Thess. ii. 15) as a sacred treasure or tradition (1 Tim. vi. 20; 2 Tim. i. 14) to us Cliristians by those who became eye-witnesses' (which St Luke does not claim to be) 'and ministers of the word, I too determined,' \&o. The words imply that the attempted Gospels to which St Luke aliudes were secondhand-thet they were rearrangements of a tradition received from apostles and original disciples. Clearly therefore there can be no allusion to the Gospel of St Matthew, who wrote his own narrative and would have had no need to use one which had been 'delivered' and 'handed down' to him.
 Church the facts of the Saviour's life had 'personal knowledge and practical experience,' which these narrators had not. (See Acts i. 21, 22.) Of the Evangelists, only St Matthew and St John were eycwitnesses from the first; but St Mark may have been a partial witness and minister. Whether the form in which the Gospel had thus been originally 'delivered' was oral or written St Luke does not tell us. 'T $\pi \eta p \in \tau a t$ originally meant 'rowers,'-"remiges in navi sc. Ecolesie." Valcken.

тov̂ $\lambda^{\prime}$ jov. Of the doctrine, i.e. of the Gospel. Acts vi. 4 ; Col. i. 23.
 Sce the same word in 1 Tim. iv. 6; 2 Tim . iii. 10. St Luke modestly puts himself exactly on the same footing as other narrators in not having the primary apostolic qualification, but he claims continuous and complete knowledge and careful research. This phrase closely

 sancto" added after mihi in some MSS. of the Itala is an masuthorized gloss from Acts xv. 28.
 iv. 9. St John uses it in the sense "from above," John iii. 3, xix. 11 . The original Apostles had mainly to testify to what they had seen. John xp. 27; Acts i. 21, 22. Yulg. assecuto omnia a principio.

St Lake's Gospel differed from these narratives in beginning from the birth of John the Baptist and the Annunciation, whereas they began at the Manhood and Public Ministry of Christ, as do St Mark and St John. See Acts i. 22; Luke xvi. 16, "the Lasw and the Prophets were until John: since that time the Kingdom of God is preached."
akpı $\beta$ ज̂s. Not 'diligently,' as in A.V., bat as in R.V., 'accurately.' Comp. Matt ii. 7.
 24, xviii. 23. The older and more classical form is $\epsilon \phi \in \xi \bar{\eta} \bar{\eta}$. St Luke's order is mainly objective, i.e. chronological; St Matthew's, on the other hand, is much guided by aubjective considerations, i.e. by moral sequence and unity of topics. St Luke's method is therefore wholly nnlike that oral teaching of St Peter which probably formed the basis of St Mark's Gospel. For Papias tells us that St Peter ripds
 mediate needs of his anditors." In Acts x. 37-48 St Peter begins fram the baptism of John.

кра́тьनтe. This is the title of official personages of high position, e.g. Felix, Acts xxiii. 26, and Festus, xxvi. 25. Whether it is here used in this technical, or in a more general sense, like the Latin 'optime,' it is impossible to say. The title is omitted in Acts i. 1.

Oédule. A very common name. It means 'Dear to God,' but it is unlikely that it is here an imaginary name. Absolutely nothing is known of him. Some from the title "most excellent" have conjectared that Sergius Paulus (Acts xiii. 7-12) is meant, to whom they think that the Aets might have naturally been dedicated. But the name seems to show that a Greek is intended, and St Luke is writing mainly for Greeks (see Introduction, p. 16). A Theophilus, who held some high distinction at Antioch, is mentioned in the Clementine Recognitions; and as St Luke was, not improbably, a proselyte of Autioch, this may be the person for whom he wrote. 'Plysicians' in ancient days were often merely slaves who had received a medical education. Theophilus mey have been St Luke's original master, and after conversion to Christianity may have defrayed the expenses of his Gospel as a "patronus libri;" but this of course is mere conjecture. Others identify him with a bishop of Caesarea Philippi.

4 Éntyrês. 'Mayest fully know.' See the use of the word in Matt. xi. 27 ; 1 Cor. xiii. $12 ; 2$ Cor. i. 13 , vi. 9.
 orally instructed.' Gal. vi. 6. From the word katyxeiv 'to teach orally' comes our ' catechise; \&c. Oral instruction ( $\kappa a r \dot{\eta} \chi \eta \sigma=s$ ) flourished especially at Alexandria, which was famous for its catechetioal school. This may possibly have favoured the notion that Theophilus was an Alexandrian. The word oceurs also in a general sense in Acts xviii. 25, xxi. 21, 24; Rom. ii. 18; 1 Cor. xiv. 19; but here St Luke seems to mean that it was desirable for Theophilus to have an accurate,
permanent, consecutive, written narrative of traths which, as a catechumen, he had learnt, orally and fragmentarily.
 end of the sentence adds greatly to its emphasis.

5-25. Tef Annotncement of the Birth of tiee Forerunner.
 at once replaced by one of extreme directness and simplicity, full of Hebraio expressions; shewing that here St Luke begins to use, and probably to translate, some Aramaic document which had come into his hande. The remainder of this chapter is known as the Protevan-gelium-the Gospel History before the Birth of Christ. The sweetness and delicate reserve of the narrative, together with the incidents on which it dwells, have led to the not unreasonable conjecture that the Virgin Mary had written down some of those things which she long 'kept in her heart.' Something however of the 'lofty and lyrie beauty' of the narrative must be due to St Luke, for his peculiar expressions occur even amid the Hebraic idioms. In this new material we may note:

1. That it narrates as it were a new departure in God's Revelation of Himself to man, after a cessation of miracle, prophecy and inspiration for 400 years.
2. That to any one who believes in God there can therefore be no stumblingblock in the Angelic appearances and other marvellous incidents. They are thrown into the shade by the awfulness of the central fact that "The Word became Flesh."
3. That the holy and awestruck reticence of the Virgin accounts for the absence of their earher publicity.
4. In the narrative itself we notice: a. A clearness of detail which marks veritable history (see the minute circumstances in i. 5, 39, 63 , ii. 36, 37, \&c.). $\beta$. A prevalence of numerical elements (sevens and threes), which shews that St Luke is here basing his record on an Aramaic document. Thas the whole Gospel of the Childhood falls into three large and seven smaller divisions. I. 1. The amnouncement of the birth of the Forcrunner, i. 5-25. 2. The announcement of the birth of Jesus, i. 26-38. 3. The visit of Mary to Elizabeth, i. 39-56. II. 1. The birth of John, i. 57-80. 2. The birth of Jesus, ii. 1-20. 3. The Presentation in the Temple and Circumcision, ii. 21-40. III. The first visit of Jesms to the Templewhich completes the cycle by a seventh narrative, ii, 41-52. We shall see further that even the subordinate sections often fall into subsections of three. See Godet r. 84. Thus the first section is divided into $a$, the test of faith, i. 5-7; $\beta$ the promise, 8-22; $\gamma$ the fulfilment, $23-2 \overline{5}$.
 Great. The true sceptre had departed from Judah. Herod was a mere Idumaean usurper imposed on the nation by the Romans. "Regnum ab Antonio Herodi datum, victor Augustus auxit." Tac. Hist.. . 9.
ris'Iovoclas. Besides Judaea, Samaria, and Galilee, his kingdom included the most important regions of Peraea (Jos. Antt. xv. 5,


Zaxapias. The common Jewish name Zachariah (2 Kings xiv. 29; Ezra viih. 3, 11; Zech. i. 1; 1 Mace. v. 18, \&e.) means 'remembered by Jehovah.' The Jews highly valued the distinction of priestly birth (Jos. Yit. r.). The notion that Zacharias was a High Priest and that his vision occurred on the great Day of Atonement is refuted by the single word E" $\lambda a x \epsilon$, "his lot was," vs. 9.
 (Heb. mishntereth) and then a class of the priesthood which exercised its functions for a week. It is used by the LXX. (as well as dralpeats) to render the Hebrew machaloketh. Josephus (Vit. 1.) uses the less accurate term $\epsilon \phi \eta \mu \in \rho f$, and also maтpia (Antt. viI. 14. 7). Aaron had four sons, but the two elder, Nadrb and Abihu, were struck dead for using strange fire in the sanctuary (Lev. x.). From the two remaining sons, Eleazer and Ithamar, had sprung in the days of David twentyfour families, sixteen from the descendants of Eleazar, and eight from those of Ithamar. To these David distribates by lot the order of their service from week to week, each for eight days inclusively from Sabbath to Sabbath ( 1 Chr . xxiv. 1-19; 2 Chr. xxxi. 2). After the Babylonish exile only four of the twenty-four courses returned-a striking indication of the truth of the Jewish saying that those who returned from the exile were but like the chaff in comparison of the wheat. The four families of which the representatives returned were those of Jedaiah, Immer, Pashur, and Harim (Ezra ii. 36-39). But the Jews concealed the heary loss by subdividing these four families into twenty-four courses, to which they gave the original names, and this is alluded to in Nehem. xiii. 30 (" ${ }^{\text {I }}$... appointed the wards of the priests and the Levites, every one in his business"). This arrangement continued till the fall of Jernsalem A.d. 70 , at which time, on the ninth of the month Ab (Aug. 5), we are told that the course in waiting was that of Jehoiarib (Jos. Bell. Jud. vi 5; Taanith, iv. 6; Derenbourg, Palest. p. 291). Reckoning back from this we find that the course of Abijah went out of office on Oct. 9, B.c. 6, A. ס.c. 748 (but see Lewin, Fasti Sacri, p. 191). The reckoning of the date, either backwards from the Fall of Jerusalem, or forwards from the Reformation of Judas Maceabaeus ( 1 Mace. iv. 38), necessarily involves elements of uncertainty. See Wieseler, Synopsis, 141-145. The reader should bear in mind that our received era for the Birth of Christ (A. o.c. 753) was only fixed by the Abbot Dionysius Exiguus in the 6th century, and is probably four years wrong.

[^15]
 throughout is rather paratactical (sentences joined by kal) than syntactical (subordinate clauses).
'Entoáßet. The same name as Elisheba ('one whose oath is by God,' comp. Jehoshebah, 2 Kings xi. 2), the wife of Aaron, Ex. vi. 23; mentioned by name according to Ibn Ezra as 'the mother of the priesthood.' John's descent was priestly on both sides, as that of Jesus was royal.
6. Sikalol. The Hebrew Tsaddikim. It is one of the oldest terms of high praise among the Jews (Gen. vi. 9, vii. 1, xviii. 23-28. See Ps. xxxvii. 37; Ezel. xviii. $5-19$, \&c.). It is used also of Joseph, Matt. i. 19; and is defined in the following words in the almost technical sense of strict legal observance which it had acquired since the days of the Maccabees. The true Jashar (upright man) was the ideal Jew. Thus Rashi calls the Book of Genesis the book of the upright, Abraham, Isaao, and Jacob.'
tvartioy tovi $\theta$ tov. The Hebrew sincerity, since hypocrisy is
"the only evil that walks
Invisible, except to God alone,
By His permissive will, through heaven and earth."
 some MSS. see note on xxiv. 11.
 the LXX. version of Gen. xzvi. 5 (of Abraham) and 2 Chron. xpii. 4 (of Jehoshaphat). 'Commandments' means the moral precepts of natural and revealed religion (Gen. xxvi. 5; Dent. iv. 40; Rom. vii. 8-13). Ordinances' had oome to be technically used of the ceremonial Law (Heb. ix. 1). The distinctions were not accurately kept, but the two words together would, to a pious Jew of that day, have included all the positive and negative precepts which later Rabbis said were 613 in number, namely 248 positive, and 365 negative. 'To walk in the ordinances' is a Hebraism (1 Kings viii. 62; Deut. iv. 1; Ps. exix. 93, \&c.).
á $\mu \mu \pi$ тот. 'So that they were blameless.' The word is used proleptically as in 1 Thess. iii. 13. Blamelessness in external ob. servances must not of course be confused with sinlessness.
7. кal oúk $\mathfrak{\eta} v$ aúrois tékvov. This was regarded as a heavy misfortune, beoause it cut off all hope of the birth of the Messiah in that family. It was also regarded as often involving a moral reproach, and as being a punishment forsin. See Gen. xi. 30, xpiii. 11, xxz. 1-23; Ex. xxiii. 26 ; Deut. vii. 14; Judg. xiii. 2, 3; 1 Sam. i. 6, 27; Is. xlvii. 9.

кaOót. This word in the N. T. is used only by St Luke xix. 9 ; Acts ii. 24, 35, 45; iv. 35 ; xvii. 31. Classically it is better written

 xviii. 11, de. The classical phrase would be $\tau \hat{\eta} \dot{\eta} \lambda \iota \kappa i q$ or $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \dot{\eta} \lambda t \kappa l a v$ or
rois Erealp. A priest apparently might minister until any age, but Levites were partially superannuated at 50 (Num. iii. 1-39, iv., viii. 25).
 tions allotted to him was called 'the chief of the course.' There are said to have been some 20,000 priests in the days of Christ, and it could therefore never fall to the lot of the same priest twice to offer incense. Hence this would have been, apart from the vision, the most memorable day in the life of Zacharias.
9. iepartlas. The word is used by Aristotle, aud in Heb. vii. 5, but the more common and classic form is ieporour $\overline{\text { s. }}$

Alaxe rov̂ ${ }^{0} \mathrm{p} \mu \mathrm{a}$ arcul., 'He obtained by lot the duty of (entering and) burning incense.' This was the loftiest and most coveted of priestly functions, Ex. xxx. 1-10; Num. xvi. 1-40; Deut. xxxiii. 10. King Uzziah was smitten with leprosy for trying to usurp it ( 2 Chr xxvi. 18). Incense was a symbol of prayer (Ps. cxli. 2; Heb. ix. 4; Rev. viii. 3, 4), and Philo tells us that it was offered twice a day,-before the morning and after the evening sacrifice of a lamb. Incense was believed to atone, and the silent amoke of incense atoned for secret slander, T. B. Yoma, f. 44. 1; Wisd.
 would have been kai Claxe as in v. 1, 12, ix. 51, \&e., but St Luke more

 pagincurv. The word "custom" refers to the casting lotg every day to see which priest was to burn the incense. The method of drawing lots is described in Yoma, f. 39. 1. Aay ${ }^{\text {dive may also be followed by the }}$ accusative as in Aets i. 17; 2 Pet. i. 1. It was probably the morning offering at which Zacharias officiated.
tis tov vaóv. 'Into the shrine or Holy Place.' The golden altar of incense stood before the veil which separated the Holy Place from the Holy of Holies (Ex. xxx, 6). The priest entered in white robes and with unsandalied feet with two attendants, who retired when they had made everything ready. The people waited outside in the Court of IsraeI praying in deep silence till the priest who was sacrifieing the evening lamb at the great altar of Burnt Offering in the Court gave a signal to his colleague in the shrine, perhaps by the tinkling of a bell (Ex. xxx. 1-10; Ps. cxli. 2; Mal. i. 11). He then threw the incense on the fire of the golden altar, and its fragrant smoke rose with the prayers of the people. It was while performing this solemn function that John Hyrcanus also had received a divine intimation (Jos. Antt. xurr, 103). The word el $\sigma \epsilon \lambda \theta \dot{s} y$ means strictly that the lot had fallen to him after entering the Sanctuary; but the meaning is that the lot gave him the right "to enter and to burn the incense" (as it is rendered in the R. V.). The participle must be taken in close connection with the infinitive. Winer, p. 443.
10. $\pi \hat{a} v$ тò $\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta$ os. This seems to shew that the vision toak place either on a sabbath, or some great feast-day.
mporfuxó $\mu \in \nu=v$ ．The prayer of the people without was typified by

 кacout $\eta$ ，xxiv．32．The imperfect was no longer sufficient when the
 $\left.\delta_{o \kappa \omega}^{\omega}, 21\right)$ ．The Temple was mainly used for sacrifice．Prayer in the Tabernacle is only once mentioned in the Pentatench（Deut．xxvi． 12－15）．But the Temple had naturally become a＇House of Prayer＇ （Is．Ivi．7；Neh．xi．17；Matt．xxi．13）．One of the Rabbis went so far as to argue that prayer was a Rabbinic not e Mosaic institution！See Cohen，Jud．Gottesdienst，p． 186.
roû $\theta$ upáparos．The hour of＂the incense．＂More acourately it would be $\tau \hat{\eta} s, \theta \nu \mu a \sigma \epsilon \omega s$＇of the burning of the incense．＇

11．äyyelos．The $\ddot{\mu}^{\prime} \phi \theta \eta$ implies an objective vision．St Luke dwells more than any of the Evangelists on the ministry of angels，i．26，ii．9， 13,21 ，xii． 8 ，xv． 10 ，xvi． 22 ，xxii． 43 ，xxiv． 4,23 ，and frequently in the Acts．Compare the visions at the births of Iseac，Samson，and Samuel．
Ek $\delta \in \xi=\mathfrak{\omega} v$. i．e．on the South side．It was the propitions side，so to speak，Mk．xvi． 5 ；Matt．xxy． 33 ；and ib．Schöttgen，Hor．Hebr．
toû Ouglaotiplou．A small movable table of acacia wood overlaid $^{\text {a }}$ with gold．See Ex．xxy．1－38，xxxvii．25； 1 Kings vii．48．In Heb． ix． 4 the word may possibly mean＇censer．＇

12．Etapaxix $\theta$ ．Such is the effect always recorded of these super－ natural appearances．See ii．9；Judg．xiii．22；Dan．x．7－9；Ezel．i． 28；Mark xri．8；Rev．i． 17.
 tion would have been aúr $\hat{\varphi}$ ．But as a language becomes older it gets less and less synthetic，and multiplies the epexegetic use of pronouns， prepositions，\＆o．

13．Mỳ $\phi 0 \beta$ où．The first utterance of the Dawn of the Gospel．St Luke begins with this angelic encouragement，and ends with the Apo－ stles＇blessing and praising God；＇see the beautifui remarks of Bengel ad loc．
 implies a special prayer，and with the aorist verb shews that Zacharias had been just praying either to have a son，or at least that the days of the Messiah might come．
＇I⿴ávvファv．Jehochanan，＇the favour of Jehovah＇（comp．Gen．xvii．19）． It is the same name as Johanan，and in various forms was not on－ common， 1 Chron．iii．24，xxviii．12，\＆c．Compare the German name Gotihold．
14．dya入liaбเs．＇Exultation，＇vs． 44 ；Acts ii．46；Heb．i． 9.
тodloi．The Pharisees and leading Jews did not accept John＇s baptism（vii．30；Matt．xxi．27），and his influence，except among a few，seems to have been shortlived．
＂There burst he forth：＂All ye whose hopes rely On God，with me amid these deserts mourn， Repent，repent，and from old errors turn！＇ Who listened to his voice，obeyed his cry？－ Only the echoes which he made relent Rang from their flinty caves Repent！repent！＂ Drbmmond．
15．Méyas évómıv Kupiov．And therefore great indeed，since＂we are as great as we are in God＇s sight，and no greater．＂See vii．24－30； Matt．xi． 11.
kal oivov kal $\sigma$ tкepa ov่ $\mu$ خ̀ $\pi$（n．He shall be a Nazarite（vii．33； Num．vi．1－4）；like Samson（Judg，xiii．2－7）；Samuel（1 Sam．i．12）； and the Rechabites（Jer．Xxxp．6）．＇Strong drink＇（ $\sigma$ inc $a$ from Heb． Shakar＇he is intoxicated＇）was also forbidden to ministering priests， Lev．x．8．＇The term seems to have been specially applied to palm wine（Plin．Hist．Nat．xıv．19），and all intoxicants（e．g．beer，\＆c．）which are not made of the juice of the grape．＇Ne Syder，＇Wyelif．
 hateful excitement of drunkenness and the divine exaltation of spiritual fervour is elso found in Eph． $\mathrm{v}, 18$ ，＂Be not drunk with wine．．．but be flled with the Spirit．＂Comp．Acts ii． 13.
ék кoillas ןทrppds aủtov̂．Gompare 1 Sam，i． 11 ；Jer．i． 5.
16．та入入oùs．．．kпютpéfel．Ezek．iii．19；Is．xl．3；Matt．iii．3－6． The word for＇tarn＇is sometimes rendered＇convert＇as in xxii．32， ＇when thou are converted．＇These words resume the thread of pro－ phecy which had been broken for three centuries（Mal．iv．6）．
 the Messiah．The av́rô is used in its most emphatic sense for Ohrist as in 1 John ii．12； 2 Pet．iii．4．The English version should have

èv тvévarı кai סuvápec＂H入ía．From the last words of Malachi （iv．4－6，iii．1），the Jews universally believed（as they do to this day） that Elijah would visibly return to earth as a herald of the Messiah． It required the explanation of our Lord to open the eyes of the Apostles on this subject．＂This is Elias which was for to come，＂Matt．xi． 14. ＂Elias truly shall first come and restore all things．．．Then the disciples understood that He spake unto them of John the Baptist，＂Matt．xvii． 10－14．The resemblance was partly in external aspect（ 2 Kings i．8； Matt．iii．4）；and partly in his mission of stern rebuke and invitation to repentance（ 1 Kings xviii．21，xxi．20）．
firtorpéwal．The infinitive，expressive of a fact or consequence， almost resembling a purpose as in $\eta \lambda \theta о \mu с \nu \pi \rho \sigma \sigma \kappa \nu \hat{\eta} \sigma a L$ Matt．ii．2，where


 original meaning of Malachi，to remedy disunion and restore family life．Kuinoel and others strangely follow St Augustine（De Oiv．Dei，
xx．29）in explaining this to mean that John shoold make the Jews as pious as the Patriarchs were．
tv фpoví⿱㇒日勺心．（To walh）in or by wisdom．Фpávqots（Eph．i．8）is the practical wisdom shewn by obedience．He shall turn them to wisdom so that they shall live in it．This is a constructio praegnans where a preposition of rest is placed after a verb of motion to imply the state prodnced．This＇pregnant construction＇is one of the many signs of the agility of the Greek intellect．Compare
＂Clarence，whom I indeed have cast in darkness．＂

$$
\text { K. Rich. III. г. } 3 .
$$

＂And let the sounds of music｜Creep in our ears．＂ Merch．of Ven．v． 1.
And in Latin In amicitia receptus，Sall．In aquam macerare，Cat．Brief Greek Syntax， 889.
סıкаiшv．See чs．6．The disobedient shall by his ministry begin to accept the $\delta к а, \dot{\omega} \mu а \tau \alpha$.
 pare so that it may be ready．＇See Brief Greek Syntax，p． 82. （Comp．submersas obrues puppes，\＆o．）The reason why the R．V． renders this＂to make ready for the Lord a people prepared for Him＂ is becanse St Luke is fond of placing a word like＇for the Lord＇ between two others，with either or both of which it may be connected． See Acts i． 2 （Humphry，Rev．Version，p．92）．
 fore expressed．So＂Abraham fell upon his face，and laughed，and said in his heart，Shall a child be born unto him that is a hundred years old？＂Gen．xvii．17．But he had believed the originsl promise （Gen．xp．6）though he asked for a confirmation of it（vs．8）．＂He be－ lieved．．．God who quickeneth the dead，＂Rom．iv． 17.
iv raîs $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu \mu$ épals aúrî̀s．This is a Hebraism．
19．ámoкриeis．This aor．pass．part．is constantly used in the N．T．for the nor．mid．part．а́токрид́дєч os．Veitch，Greek Verbs，p．78，says that the earliest instance of this use is in Maco，a poet of the later comedy． In Hellenistic Greek the force of the middle voioe is to some extent obliterated．

Гaßpın＇入．Fir dei．The name means＇Hero of God．＇He is also mentioned in vs．26，and in Dan．viii．16，ix．21－23（＂idem Angelus， idem negotium，＂Bengel）．The only other Angel or Archangel（ 1 Thess． iv．16；Jude 9）named in Scripture is Michael（＇Who is like God？＇ Dan．x．21）．In the Book of Enoch we read of＇the four great Archangels（Sarim or Princes）Michael，Uriel，Raphael，Gabriel，＇and so too in Pirke Rabbi Eliezer，iv．In Tobit xif．15，＂I am Raphael （one whom God heals），one of the seven holy Angels which present the prayers of the saints，and which go in and out before the glory of the Holy One．＂Since Michael was despatched on messages of wrath and

Gabriel on messages of meroy, the Jews had the beautiful saying that "Gabriel flew with two wings, but Michael with only one."
 He was thus one of the "Angels of the Presence" (Is. lxiii. 9. cf. Matt. xviii. 10),
"One of the Seven
Who in God's presence, nearest to His throne, Stand ready at command, and are His eyes That ron through all the heavens, and down to the earth
Bear His swift errands over moist and dry, O'er sea and land."

Miltoy, Paradise Lost, ini. 650.
See Rev. viii. 2; Dan. vii. 10; 1 Kings xxii. 19. The supposed resemblance to the Amshaspands in the Zendavesta, is shewn by Dr Mill to be purely superficial. Mythical Interpretation, p. 127.
 the Gospel,' is common in St Luke and St Paul, but elsewhere is not often found. It comes from the LXX. (Is. xl. 9, 1xi. 1). In the R.V. it is rendered "to bring thee these good tidings," and cuay encov is "good," rather than "glad tidings." It would be an anachronism here to render it by "preach the Gaspel."
20. T. $\delta$ ov. The word is used to call attention to something notable or surprising, and is specially frequent in St Matthew and St Luke (הֵ̣, Is. vii. 14). It is often a mere lively form of transition.
 'dumb,' which would be $\kappa \omega \phi$ os). The $\mu \dot{\eta}$ is used to indicate the thought of his friends that he was unable to speak. St Luke similarly puts a
 $\beta \lambda \epsilon \pi \omega \nu$. See a somewhat different explanation in Winer, p. 610, aud the note on vi. 42. This positive and negative way of expressing the same thing is common, especially in Hebrew literature, 2 Sam. xiv. 5 ; Exod. xxi. 11; Is. xxxviii. 1; Janm, iii. 2, \&c.; but it is also found in classio writers. Zacharias receives the sign for which he had unfaithfully asked (Matt. xii. 38), but it comes in the form of a punishment. Comp. Dan. x. 15.
oiftes. The pronoun is qualitative, and gives the reason for the punishment. 'Thou didst not believe my words, which are of such a kind that,' \&e.
els tòv kaupòv aitêv. "I will certainly return unto thee according to the time of life," Gen. xviii. 10, i.e. after the usual nime months. $\mathrm{E} l_{\mathrm{s}}$ тò raupòv is a classical idiom by constructio praegnans for $\bar{\varepsilon} v \tau \hat{\varphi}$ кас $\rho \bar{\varphi}$. It means that the angel's words shall await the due time for their uccomplishment. Comp. $\epsilon l s ~ \tau \delta \partial \epsilon \lambda \lambda o v$ in xiii. 9 .
 at his delay. Priests never tarried in the awful precincts of the shrine longer than was absolutely necessary for the fulfilment of their duties
from feelings of holy fear. Comp. Lev. xvi. 13, "that he die not." Yoma, f. 52. 2.) See Excursus VI.
22. $\xi_{\xi} \in \lambda \theta \omega \nu \delta$. The moment of the priest's reappearance from before the ever-burning golden candlestick, and the veil which hid the Holiest Place, was one which powerfully affected the Jewish imagination. See Ecclus. 1. 5-21.
 dismissed prith the usual blessing, which is said to have been generally pronounced by the other priest. Numb. vi. 23-26. "Then he" (the High Priest Simon) "went down and lifted up his hands over the whole congregation of the children of Israel, to give the blessing of the Lord with his lipe, and to rejoice in His name. And they bowed themselves down to worship the second time, that they might receive a blessing from the Most High." Eeclus. 1. 20.
odtaclav. The classical term is ô $\psi \psi$. The word is used especially of the most vivid and 'objective' appearances, xxiv. 23; Acts $\mathbf{x x v i . 1 9 ; ~}$ 2 Cor. xii.1; Dan. ix. 23.
aủtòs j̣v Suavevev av̉roîs. 'He himself continued maling signs to them.'
$\delta \iota \in \mu \varepsilon v \in V$ кшфós. The word кшфф’s means actual 'dumbness.' In verse 20 the angel uses $\sigma t \omega \pi \hat{\omega} \boldsymbol{v}$, because, though Zachariah appeared to the people to be 'dumb,' his power of speech was only temporarily arrested. "Credat Judncus ut loqui possit" (let the Jew believe that he may be able to speak) says St Augustine. Origen, Ambrose, and Isidore, see in the speechless priest vainly endeavouring to bless the people, a fine image of the Law reduced to silence before the frst announcement of the Gospel. The scene might stand for an allegorical representation of the thesis so powerfully worked out in the Epistle to the Hebrews (see Heb. viii. 13). Zacharias became dumb, and Saul of Tarsus blind, for a time. "Praeludium legis ceremonialis finiendae Christo veniente." Bengel.
23. $\dot{\mathbf{e}} \pi \lambda{ }_{j}{ }^{\prime} \sigma \theta \eta \sigma a v$. The same verb oceurs in 57 , ii. 6,21 , \&c.
 from $\lambda \in \omega ;,{ }^{\prime} \rho \gamma o \nu$, a service done for the people. The time of a priest's "liturgy" lasted from the evening of one Sabbath to the morning of the next. 2 Fings xi. 5 .
tis tòv oikov aùrov̂. The simplicity of the narrative is marked by the recurrence of the phrase vis. 39, 56.
 $\kappa р \dot{\pi} \pi \tau$ (as though from кри́ $\beta \omega$ ) found also in Plutarch, \&o. The compound verb implies the complete seclusion. The periphrastio form used for the middle marks the decaying stage of a synthetic language. We can only conjecture Elizabeth's motive. It may have been devotional; or precautionary; or she may merely hare wished out of deep modesty to avoid as loug as possible the idle commenta and surmises of her neighbours. In any case there is in the incident an exquisite verisimilitude.

25．Errifey．Our versions understand $\mu$ oi，The als is repeated after $\dot{\eta} \mu \in \dot{\rho}$ acs without repeating the preposition．＇Epopáw implies providen． tial care．
á中e入eîv ơvelסós pov．So Rachel，when she bare a son，said， ＂God hath taken away my reproach，＂Gen．xxx．23．See Is．iv．1； Hos．ix．11； 1 Sam．i．6－10．Yet the days were coming when to be childless would be regarded by Jewish mothers as a blessing．See xxiii．29．The infinitive is here explanatory．
èv duOpwrous．The＇reproach＇was not real，but merely existed in human judgment．See vs． 36.

26－39．The Annonciation．
26．＇Ev $\delta \hat{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\psi} \mu \eta \nu \tau \hat{\psi}{ }^{\prime} \in \tau \omega$ ．i．e．after the vision of Zachariah． This is the only passage which indicates the age of John the Baptist， as half a year older than our Luord．The reader will observe how this， like most of the other sections of this narrative，falls naturally into three subsections：a．The Salutation，26－29．8．The Message，30－ 33．$\gamma$ ．The Meek Acceptance， 34 － 38 ．
tîs Fa入idalas．Thus began to be fulfilled the prophecy of Is．ix． 1，2．Galilee of the Gentiles（Gelil hadgoyîm），one of the four great Roman divisions of Palestine，was north of Judace and Samaria，west of Peraea，and comprised the territories of Zebulun，Naphtali，Issachar and Asher（Matt．iv．13）．Josephus describes it as rich in trees and pastures，strong，populons，containing 204 towns，of which the least had 15,000 inhabitants，and occupied by a hardy and warlike race， Bell．Jud．III．3；Vit．45，52．See Map，and note on iii． 2.

ที övora Nafaṕst．The expression shews that St Luke is writing for those who were unfamiliar with Palestine．See on ii．51．Keim （Gesch．Jesu， $\mathbf{~}$. 319）argues in favour of the form Nazara，i．from the adjectives Najupaios，Najapضrós；ii．from the phrase amo Najapon in Eusebius；iii．from the modern name En－Nezirah．But there can be little doubt of the reading here，though Noflapa is read by some MSS． in iv．16．Nazareth and Nazara may both have been in use，like Ramath and Rama．The derivation of the name is dispated，but it is probably derived from Netser，＇a branch．＇For a description of the village see Life of Christ，r． 53.

27．тap日évov．Is，vii，14；Jer．xxxi，22．The many miraculous and glorifying legends which soon began to gather round the name of Mary in the Apocryphal Gospels are utterly unknown to Scripture．
épuךのтcvuévqv．＇Betrothed．＇The betrothal，which is in the East a ceremony of the deepest importance，usually took place a year before the marriage．The＇espoused＇of the A．V．means＇betrothed．＇
＇Iwori申，＇E olkov $\Delta a v \in(8$ ．We are nowhere told that Mary was of the house of David，for both the gencalogies of the Gospels are benealogies of Joseph．See Excursus ii．The fact that it seems always to be assumed that Mary also was of the lineage of David
(vs. 32), makes it probable that the genealogy of Mary is involved in that of Joseph, and that they were first cousins.
Maptáp. The same name as Miriam and Marah, Exod. xp. 20; Ruth i. 20. Her early residence at Nazareth, before the birth of Christ at Bethlehem, is narrated by St Luke alone. It does not however follow that St Matthew was unaware of it (Matt. xiii. 55, 56). After the narrative of the Nativity she is very rarely mentioned. The Ave Maria of the Roman Catholics did not assume ite present form till the 16 th century.
28. кехарıт $\omega \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \eta \eta$. Marg. "graciously accepted" or "much graced." Literally, having been graced (by God). Eph.i.6, "accepted." Not as in the Vulgate "Gratiâ plena" but "gratiâ cumulata." "Not a mother of grace, but a daughter." Bengel. The $\chi$ aĩpe $k \in \chi$. is a pleasing paronomasia. The verb only occurs again in Eph. i. 6.
 city, being omitted by B and various versions. They may have been added from vs. 42. With this address comp. Judg. vi. 12.
 at the saying.'
тотатós. 'Of what hind.' The salutation was to her not only astonishing, but enigmatical.
31. 'I $\eta \sigma 0 \hat{v}$. The name involves the whole Gospel. See Life of Christ, r. 18, 19. It is the Greek form of the Hebrew name Jehoshua (Num. xiii. 8), Joshua, Jeshua (Zech. iii. 1), which means 'The salvation of Jehovah' (Philo, 1. 597). It was one of the commonost Jewish names. 'Jesus' is used for Joshat (to the great confusion of English readers) in Acts vii. 45; Heb. iv. 8. St Matthew (i. 21) explains the reason of the name-"for He Himself sball save His people jrom their sins." On Joshna as a type of Christ see Pearson On the Creed, Art. ii.
 is furnished by the passages of Scripture in which we find the same prophesy (Mic. iv. 7, v. 4; 2 Sam. vii. 12; Is. ix. 6, 7, xi. 1, 10, xvi. 5; Jer. xxiii. 5, xxx. 9; Ezek. xxxiv. 24; Dan. vii. 14; Hos. iii. 5; Ps. exxxii. 11) and its fulfilment (Phil. ii. 9-11; Rev. xxii. 16).
i४fiotov. Without the article (anarthrous), as in vi. 35, being here a synonym of $\theta \in b$ s.

 shall never be destroyed...it shall stand for ever." (Comp. Dan. vii. 13, 14, 27; Mic. iv. 7.) "Thy throne, 0 God, is for ever and ever" (Ps. xlv. 6; Heb. i. 8). "He shall reign for ever and ever," Rev. xi. 15. In 1 Cor. xv. 24-28 the allusion is only to Christ's mediatorial king-dom,-His earthly kingdom till the end of conflict.
34. Пûs ëvtau toûto; Mary does not doubt the fact as Zacharias had done; she ouly inquires as to the mode of accomplishment. The
village maiden amid her humble daily duties shews a more ready faith in a far more startling message than the aged priest in the Holy Place amid the Incense. Inquirendo dixit non desperando. Aug.
35. Пvéva ăyrv. The phrase is anarthrous (i.e. the article is omitted) because 'Holy Spirit' is here a proper name.
emurкuígl rou, as with the Shechinah and Cloud of Glory (see on ii. 9, ix. 34). See the treatise on the Sheehinah in Meuschen, pp. 701-739. On the high theological mystery see Pearson On the Creed, Art. iii. See on ii. 9.
 Heb. vii. 26. "Who did no sin," 1 Pet. ii. 22.
yervápervov. 'Which is in thy womb.' Gal. iv. 4, "born of a woman.'
vidos $\theta$ eov. This title is given to our Lord by almost every one of the sacred writers in the N. T. and in a multitude of passages.
36. if ouyyevis rou. "thy kinswoman." What the actual relationship was we do not know. It is a mistake to infer positively from this, as Ewald does, that Mary too was of the tribe of Levi, for except in the case of heiresses there was free intermarriage between the tribes (Ex. vi. 23; Judg. xvii. 7; Philo De Monarch. II. 11; Jos. Vit. 1). At the same time the tradition of the Aaronic descent of Mary is as old as the "Testament of the Twelve Patriarchs" in the second century.
 form of the dative of $\gamma \hat{j} p a s$. Hellenistic Greek contained forms drawn from various dialects. See Winer, p. 73.
 negatives the verb (every fact shall be possible). Cf. Matt. xxiv. 22, ouk $\hat{a} \nu \ell \sigma \omega \dot{\theta} \eta \eta \pi \hat{a} \sigma \alpha \sigma \hat{a} p \xi ;$ Rom. iii. 20 ; Acts x .14 , do. The idiom is Hebraic (Ex. xii. 16, 44, \&c. LXX.) See Winer, p. 215. It is a common idiom in emphatic gnomes, de. The so-called 'laws of nature' cannot bind God, for Nature, in its highest use, is but a reverent synonym for God, and the laws of nature, so far from being limitations which He cannot break, are only gossamer-threads which He weaves at His will. For the thought see Gen. xviii. 14; Matth. xix. 26. "There is nothing too hard for thee," Jer. xzxii. 17.
mapà tov̂ $\theta_{\text {eov. }}$ (NBDL) on the part of (Iit. from) God. Rom. ii. 11, we have $\pi$ apà $\theta \epsilon \hat{\varphi}$, with God.
38. סoúdŋ. 'Female slave,' stronger than haudmaid.
 delicately implies that the time of the fulfument is left in God's hands. The thoughts of the Virgin Mary seem to have found their most natural utterance in the phrases of Scripture. 1 Sam. iii. 18, "If it be the Lord, let Him do what seemeth Him good." For Mary too was aware that her high destiny must be mingled with anguish. She repeats the word $\dot{\rho} \hat{\pi} \mu a$ which the angel has just used.
 simplicity of truthfuIness by comparing this narrative of the Annunciation with the difiuse inflation of the Apocryphal Gospels. Take for instance such passages as these from one of the least extravagant of them, 'The Gospel of the Nativity of Mary.' "The Angel Gabriel was sent to her...to explain to her the method or order of the Conception. At length having entered unto her, he filled the chamber where she abode with an immense light, and saluting her most courteously said, 'Hail Mary! most acceptable Virgin of the Lord! Virgin full of grace...blessed art thou before all women; blessed art thou before all men hitherto born.' But the Virgin, who already knew the countenance of angels and was not unosed to heavenly light, was neither terrified by the angelic vision nor stupefied by the greatness of the light, but was troubled at his word alone; and began to think what that salutation so unwonted could be, or what it portended, or what end it could have. But the angel, divinely inspired and counteracting this thought, said, "Fear not, Mary, as though I meant something contrary to thy chastity by this salutation; for' \&c., dc." The reader will observe at once the artificiality, the tasteless amplifications, the want of reticence,-all the marks which separate truthful narrative from elaborate fiction. (See B. H. Comper, The Apocryphal Gospels, p. 93.)

## 39-45. The Visit of Mary to Elizabety.

39. év tais j $\mu$ épars taítaus. 'in these days.' Probably within a month of the Annunciation. The 'those days' of the A . V. would require extivats. The raúraus is more graphic.
tis Tìv opetviv. Into the hill-district (or highlands) sub. Xwipar. Palestine west of the Jordan lies in four parallel lines of very different formation. 1. The const. 2. The Shephèlah, or maritime plain, broken only by the spur of Carmel. 3. The Har or Hill country, the mass of low rounded hills which formed the main part of the Roman provinces of Judaea and Samaria sonth of the intervening plain of Esdraelon, and of Galilee north of it ; and 4. The Ghôr or deep dint of the Jordan Valley. See Deut. i. 7, "in the plain (Arabah), in the hills (Har), in the vale (Shephēlah), and in the south (Negeb), and by the sea-side (Chooph hayyâm)." (Josh. ix. 1; Judg. v. 17.) The specific meaning of 'hill country' is the elevated district of Judah, Benjamin and Ephraim. (Gen. xiv. 10; Num. xiii. 29; Josh. ix. 1, x. 40, xi. 16.)
 participle ' $\dot{d} \nu a \sigma \tau a \hat{a} \sigma$.' rising up. As a betrothed virgin she would live without seeing har future lusband. When however a few weeks sufficed to shew her condition, the female friends about her would be sure to make it known to Joseph. Then would occur the enquiries and suspicions, so agonising to a pure maiden, which are alluded to by St Matthew (i, 18-25). After the dream which vindicated her innocence we can understand the "haste" with which she would fly to the symgathy of her holy and aged kinswoman and seek for peace in the seclusion of the priestly home. Nothing but the peculiaxity of her con-
dition could have permitted the violation of Jewish custom involved in the journey of a betrothed virgin. Were it not for the incidents recorded by St Matthew we should be wholly unable to account for this expression. Its naturalness under the circumstances is an undesigned coineidence.
Als $\pi 6 \lambda_{1 v}$ 'Iovida. See 2 Chron. xxv. 28, where however the reading of the LXX. is doubtful. Similarly, Nazereth is described as "a city of Galilee." The name of the city is not given. Had the home of Zacharias been at Hebron (Josh. xxi. 11) it would probably have been mentioned. Reland (Palest. p. 870) ingeniously conjectures that we should read Jutta, which was in the hill country (Josh. xp. 55) and was one of the cities of Judah which were assigned to the priests (ib. xxi. 9, 16). We can hardly venture to alter the reading, but as Juttah was only a large village (Easeb. Onomast. s. v.) and is not mentioned in 1 Chr. vi. 57-59 it may have been the home of Zacharias, and yet the actual name may easily have been omitted as obscure. Tradition names Ain Karim. 'Judah' is here used for Judaea (Matt. ii. 6). See Robinson, Bibl. Researches 1I. 417.
40. $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \kappa C_{\rho} \boldsymbol{T}_{\eta} \sigma \in{ }^{2}$. The same word is applied to unborn babes in Gen. Exv. 22, LXX.
41. áveфо́vŋनey. Vulg. ezclamavit.

 in the N. T.
 among women," Cant. i. 8.) Similar expressions are used of Ruth (Ruth iii. 10), and, on a far lower level of meaning, of Jael (Judg. v. 24), and of Judith. "All the women of Ierael blessed her," Jud. xv. 12. In the latter instances the blessing is pronounced by women, but here the word means 'blessed by God.' It is in fact a sort of Hebrew superlative, but is not unparalleled in Greek. Comp. Eur. Alc. 473, क $\phi$ i ina yuvatкûv. Pind. Nem. iii. 80, alerờs wikùs èp $\pi \in r a p o i ̂ s ~(W i n e r ~ p . ~ 308) . ~$

42. Iva $\boldsymbol{\lambda \theta} \boldsymbol{y}$. This would have been expressed in classical Greek by the acc. and intinitive, and Hermana goes so far as to call it "labantis linguae quaedam incuria." This use of tua has become universal in modern Greek ( $\nu \mathrm{va}$ ).
 divine illumination in the mind of Elizabeth. See John. xx. 28, xiii. 13 . Yet she does not address Mary as Domina, but as 'mater Domini' (Bengel); and such expressions as Theotokos and 'Mother of God' are aniknown to Scripture.
43. yáp. This assigns the ground of her renognition of Mary as Mother of the Messiah.
iv ayad $\begin{gathered}\text { ciacel. 'In exultation.' To apply this incident to inferences }\end{gathered}$ as to the salvation of infants was one of the strange perversions to which almost every passage of Scripture has been rendered liable.
 affliction which had followed her husband'e doubt. Comp. John xx. 29.
 that there shall be,' \&c.

46-56. The Magniftolt.


 touches alike of subjective and objective truthfulness in the narrative. The one accords well with the mother of John, the other with the mother of Jesus. This chapter is remarkable for preserving a record of two inspired hymns-the Magnificat and the Benedictus-which have been used for more than a thousand years in the publio services of Christendom. The Magnificat first appears in the office of Lands in the rule of St Caesarius of Arles, A.D. 507. (BIunt, Annotated Prayer-Book, p. 33.) It is so full of Hebraisras as almost to form a mosaio of quotations from the Old Testament, and itis closely analogons to the Song of Hannah ( 1 Sam. ii. 1-10). It may also be compared with the Hymn of Judith (Judith xvi. 1-17). But it is animated by a new, a far gentler and a more exalted spirit, and is specially precious as forming a link of continuity between the eucharistic poetry of the Old and New Dispensation. (See Bp Wordsworth ad loc.) It falls into four strophes, of which each contains three verses.
 xxxiv. 2, 3. The soul ( $\psi v x \grave{y}$ ) is the natural life with all its affections and emotions; the spirit ( $\pi \nu \epsilon \hat{\nu} \mu a$ ) is the diviner and loftier region of our being, 1 Thess. v. 23; 1 Cor. ii. 10.
47. $\quad$ 'ja入入lacєv. 'exults'. In the original it is the general or gnomic a.arist.
 Comp. Is. xii. 2, xav. 9. The expression is also found in the later Epistles of St Paul; "God our Saviour," 1 Tim, i. 1; Tit. iii. 4.

т $\boldsymbol{H} v$ тattiv@otv. So Hagar (Gen. xvi. 11) and Hannah (1 Sam. i. 11; ef. Ps. cxaxviii. 6, cii. 17). The word may be rendered 'humiliation', Acts viii. 33; Is. i. 9, 10. 'Humility' is $\tau a \pi \epsilon(\bar{b} \dot{\prime} \tau \eta s$. The reader will notice in this hymn more than one anticipation of the Beatitudes.
 Thee,"Lk. xi. 27. "Leah said, The daughters will call me blessed," Gen. Exx. 13; Ps. lxxii. 17. We cannot but wonder at the faith of the despised and persecuted Virgin of Nazareth, whose inspired anticipations have been so amply fulfiled.
49. неүа́ $\lambda a . \quad$ gedolôth, Ps. lxxi. 21, cxxyi. 3.
© Suvatós. El Shaddai, Job viii. 3; also Giblôr, Ps. xxiv. 8. See Pearson On the Creed, Art. i.
 xv. 4. Shem, 'name,' is often a reverent periphrasis in Hebrew for God Himself. Lev. xxiv. 11, 16; Ps. xci. 14; 2 Chr. vi. 20, \&c.
50. tò ひ̀ Acos aùtov̂. Ps. lxexix. 2, 3 and passim.
cls yeveds kal $\gamma \in v e a ́ s . ~ ‘ u n t o ~ g e n e r a t i o n s ~ a n d ~ g e n e r a t i o n s ' ; ~ l e d o ̂ r ~$ vadôr, Gen. xvii. 9, \&c. See Deut. vii. 9. "Et nati natorum et qui naecentar ab illis." Virg.
51. èrol $\eta \sigma \varepsilon \vartheta$ крátos. A Hebraism. Pe. exviii, 15, \&o.
tv $\beta$ Pax lov a $\mathfrak{v i t o v ̂ . ~ " T h o u ~ h a s t ~ a ~ m i g h t y ~ a r m , " ' P s . ~ l x x x i x . ~ 1 3 . ~ T h e ~}$ nearest parallel to the remainder of the verse is Job v. 12.
 thrones.' The aorists throughout are gnomic, i.e they do not express single but normal acts. Winer, indeed, denies this gnomic use of the aorist-to express what is wont to be done-in the N. T. (Gram., p.346); but his explanation that the aorists represent the rapid succession of (normal) facts, comes to the same thing. See a marked instance in
 these aorists by presents which is also the English way of expressing
 things happen unexpectedly.' The thought is common thronghont the Bible, e.g. Lk. xviii. 14; Dan. iv. 30; 1 Sam. ii. 6-10; Ps. exiii. 6-8;
 є $\sigma \tau i \pi \rho \eta \gamma \mu a ́ r \omega \nu$, Hdt. I. 207; "Irus et est subito qui modo Crocsus erat," Ov. Trist. nir. vii. 41), bat did not draw the true lessons from it. With the general thought compare Wisd. $\mathbf{v}$. 23, "Ill dealings shall overthrow the thrones of the mighty." The rare word סyváatas is rendered potentates in $1 \mathrm{Tim} . \mathrm{vi} .15$.
 shall be hungry, \&c.," Is. lxt. 13, xxy. 6; Ps. xxxiv. 10, and the Beatitude, Matt. v. 6. (See Luke zviii. 14, the Publican and the Pharisee.)
64. divtedáßero. Literally, "took by the hand." Is. xli. 8, 9, EXX. The proper rendering of the following words is 'to remember mercy-(even as He spake to ( $\pi \rho \dot{\rho} s$ ) our fathers)-toward ( $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ ) Abraham and his seed for ever.' Mic. vii. 20, "Thou wilt perform.., the mercy to Abraham, which thou hast sworn unto our fathers from the days of old." Gal. iii. 16. "Now to Abraham and his seed were the promises made."
56. . w $\mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \hat{\eta}$ vas $\tau \rho \in \mathrm{is}$. As this would complete the nine months of Elizabeth's 'full time,' it might seem probable that the Virgin Mary remained at least until the birth of the Baptist.
úméorpeqcv. This is a favourite word of St Luke, and almost (GaI. i. 17; Heb. vii. 1) peculiar to him. It occurs twenty-one times in this Gospel.

67-80. The Brith of John the Baptist.
67. Again we have triple subsections : a. The Birth of John, 57, 58. $\beta$. His Circumcision, 59-66. $\gamma$. The Song of Zachariah, 67-80.
ó Xpóvos tồ tekê̂y. The genitive depends on the substantive. See Winer, p. 408.
58. oi ovyүeveîs aúṭ̂s. Rather, 'her kinsfolk,' which was the original meaning of the word cousins (con-sobrini). See vs. 36.
 but an expressive one. 'God magnified (comp. $\mu \in \gamma a \lambda u{ }^{\prime} y \in$ in the Magnificat) His mercy with her.'
"I say not God Himself can make man's best Without best men to help Him."

> G. Elliot, Stradivarius.
 Lev. xii. 3;-Phil. iji, 5. The name was then given, becanse at the institution of circumcision the names of Abram and Sarai had been changed, Gen. xvii. 15. The rite was invested with extreme solemnity, and in later times a chair was always put for the prophet Elijah.
écálouv. 'they wished to call." Literally, 'they were calling,' but the imperfect, by an idiomatic use, often expresses an unfulfilled attempt. So in Matt. iii. 14, 'he tried to prevent Him' ( $\delta \iota \epsilon \kappa \omega \dot{\lambda} \nu \in \nu)$ ).
 his assertions.' See Brief Greek Syntax, § 136. Winer, p. 336 (comp. Acts vii. 26, $\sigma \nu \nu \eta \lambda \lambda a \sigma \sigma \epsilon \nu$ aúcoús, 'he tried to reconcile them'). This is the very meaning of imperfectum, "in eo quod quis voluit facere, nec tamen perfecit." "Vere incipit actus sed ob impedimenta caret eventu." Schäfer on Eur. Phoen. 79.
 hierarchs in Acts iv. 6, v, 17. Those priests however who passed the High Priesthood from one to another-a clique of Herodian Sad-ducees-the Boethusim, Kamhiths, Benî Hanan, \&c.-were partly of Babylonian and Egyptian origin, and had been introduced by Herod to support his purposes. They wonld not be of the kin of Zacharias.
62. Éर́vєvov. The discussion whether Zacharias was deaf as well as mute is a very unimportant one, but the narrative certainly seems to imply that he was.
 the question 'what he might wish.' Indirect questions are expressed by $\dot{a} y$ with the optative, where $\dot{a} y$ implies the existence of different

63. тเvakifcov. 'tablet.' A small wooden tablet (abacus) either smeared with wax, or with sand sprinkled over it, on which words were written with an iron stylus. Thus 'John,' ('the grace of Jehovah,') is the first word written under the Gospel; the aeon of the writien Liaw had ended with Cherem, 'curse,' in Mal. iii. 24 (Bengel).
 pleonasme with which Hebrew abounds.
 of his answer was more marked in the two Aramaic words-IDE ${ }^{\prime} \boldsymbol{j}^{\circ}-$ which he probably wrote.
64. dvcథ́x ${ }^{\boldsymbol{\theta} \eta}$. The aorist implies that the result was immediate. The A. V., "his mouth was opened and his tongue loosed," translates the zeugma of the original, where 'was opened' is connected with both sabstantives, though it is not accurately applied to $\gamma \lambda \omega \hat{\omega} \sigma \sigma \sigma$ (comp. Mk. vii. 35). The most marked instances of zeugma in the

 p. 777. For the distinction between zeugma and syllepsis, and English and other illustrations of these figures, see Brief Greek Syntax p. 195.
dגàel. 'he began to speak' (imperfect), the previous verb 'was opened' being an aorist. For instanees of the aorist (of an instant act) followed, as here, by the imperfect of a continuous resalt see Matt.
 каі бuvєпл $\eta \rho o \tilde{p т o: ~ M k . ~ v i i . ~ 35 ; ~ J a s . ~ i i . ~ 22, ~ \& c . ~ W i n e r, ~ p . ~} 337$.
65. \$óßos. The minds of men at this period were full of dread and agitated expectancy, which had spread even to the heathen. Virg. Ecl. ıv.; Orac. Sibyl. mı. ; Suet. Vesp. 4; Tac. Hist. v. 13; Jos. Bell. Jud. vi. 5, § 4.

Sıe $\lambda_{a} \lambda_{\text {eito }}$. The preposition implies that they became the topic of matual conversations.
 is a Hebraism. 1 Sam. xzi. 12.
 and is thoroughly classical, viii. 25, xii. 42. Tis might have been used
 expresses more surprise. Comp. Matt. xxviii. 19, éovク-aúroús, Gal. iv. 19, $\tau \varepsilon \kappa$ chla...ös. Winer, p. 176. 'What then will this child be?' The question implies, not "What manner of child," (as in A. V.), bat 'to what kind of man will this child grow?' Vulg. Quis, putcs, puer iste erit?
kal yáp. For indeed. ( NBCDL .)
Xelp кupiou गָv $\mu \epsilon \boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}$ avicov̂. The turn of expression is Hebraistic, as throughout the chapter. Comp. xiii. 11; Acts xi. 21. "Let thy hand be apon the man of thy right hand," Ps. 1xxx. 17.
68. Ej̉doyntós. This hymn of praise is hence called the Benepreros. It is expressed (as was natural) almost exclusively in the language of ancient prophecy, Ps. xcviii. 3, ev. 8, 9, exxsii. 17; Is, ix. 2 , xl. 3 ; Ezek. xvi. 60 , \&c. It has been in use in Christian worship perhaps as far back as the days of St Benedict in the sixth century, and it was early recognised that it is the last Prophegy of the Old

Dispensation, and the first of the New, and furnishes a kind of key to the evangelical interpretation of eml prophecies. It is also a continual acknowledgement of the Communion of Saints under the two dispensations; for it praises God for the salvation which has been raised up for all ages out of the house of His servant David, and according to the ancient covenant which He made with Abraham (see hom. iv. 11; Gal, iii. 29). Blunt, Annotated Prayer-Book, p. 16.
кúpıos ó $\theta$ és.s. 'The Lord ( $=$ Jehovah), the God of Israel.'
trol $\eta \sigma t y$ 入útpwrtv. Literally, "made a ransom for." Tit. ii. 14.
69. кépas $\sigma \omega \boldsymbol{T}$ plas. A natural and frequent metaphor. Ezek. xxix. 21, "In that day will I canse the horn of the house of Irrael to bud forth." Lam. ii. 3, "He hath cut off...all the horn of Israel." Ps. exxxii. 17; 1 Sam. ii. 10, "He shall exalt the horn of His anointed." (A labbinic writer says that there are ten horns-those of Abraham, Isaac, Joseph, Moses, the horn of the Law, of the Priesthood, of the Temple, and of Israel; and some add of the Messiah. They were all placed on the heads of the Israelites till they sinned, and then they were cut off and given to the Gentiles. Schöttgen, Hor. Hebr. ad loc.) We find the same metaphor in classio writers. "Tunc pauper cornua sumit," Or. Art. Am. І. 239; "addis cornua pauperi," Hor. Od. III, xxi.18. The expression has nothing to do with the horns of the altar, 1 Kings i. 50, \&c.

Taıסds autrou. The word does not here mean 'son' in the original, but 'servant' being the rendering of the Hebrew ebed, Ps. cxxxii, 10.
 Law of Moses, and in the Prophets, and in the Psalms," see on xxiv. 44. The phrase "by the mouth of" is the circumstantial and picturesque mode of expression so common in Semitic style.
á $\pi$ ' alôyos. 'of old.' mo入v and maltifariously" (Heb. i. 1) but "in old time" (2 Pet. i. 21) and dating back even to the promises to Eve, and to Abraham (Gen. iii. 15, xxii. 18, xlix. 10), and the sceptre and the star of Balaam (Numb. xxiv. 17).
71. $\sigma \omega$ otnplay. 'salvation'-referring back to "a horn of salvation," to which it is in apposition. The previous verse is a parenthesis.
 from which the prophets had promised deliverance were literal enemies (Deut. xxxiii. 29; Is. xiv. 2, li. 22, 23, \&o.), but every pious Jew would underatand these words as applying also to spiritual enemies. Still, as Godet points out, the utter lack of resemblance between these anticipstions, regarded in a temporal point of view, and the grim realities involved in the Fall of Jerusalem and the Rejection of Israel, are a sure mark of the authenticity of the narrative.

72, 73. EAcos... $\mu \nu \eta \sigma$ Өīval...öpкov. These three words have been thought by some to be an allusion to the three names John ('Jehorah's mercy'), Zacharias ('remembered by Jehovab'), and Elizabeth (see p. 88). Such plays on words, are exceedingly common in the Bible.

For similar possible instances of latent paranomasise see the author's Life of Christ, 1. 65; II. 200, 240.
 (lit. 'with') our fathers.' The "promised" is a needless addition of the A.V.
 Heb. vii. $18,14,17$. The ${ }^{\circ} \rho \kappa \sigma$ is attracted into the accus. by the following relative, although we might suppose a double construction, since in the LXX. $\mu \nu \eta \sigma \theta$ 向aca takes both a genitive and an accusative.
toú סoûval. The gen depends on opкov. The use of the genitive of the article with the infinitive became very frequent in Hellenistic Greek (Acts x. 25, xxvii. 1; 1 Cor. ii. 22).

kal Sckalớv́vy. Towards men. We have the same worde contrasted in 1 Thess. ii. 10, "how holily and righteously;" Eph. iv. 24, "in righteousness and holiness of the truth." "Oacos, 'holy,' is the Hebrew Chasid, whence the 'Chasidim' (Pharisees); and sikatos is the Hebrew Tsaddik, whence some derive the name 'Sadducees."
76. Traibiov. 'little child'-"quantillus nune es," Bengel. From this diminutive is derived our word 'page.'
трò тробwítov. Redundant, like the Hebrew "p?
éroupáral diov̀s aúrov̂. An allusion to the prophecies of the Forerunner in Is. xl. 3; Mal. iii. 1.
 the local and limited sense of national prosperity which some have supposed.
tv d́detel. In remission. Comp. Acts v. 31, "to be a Prince and a Saviour, for to give repentance to Israel, and forgiveness of sins."
78. Scd $\sigma \pi \lambda$ áyxva ${ }^{\text {enfows. Literally, "Because of the heart of }}$ mercy." $\Sigma \pi \lambda a \dot{\gamma} \chi^{p a}$ (literally 'bowels' rechamim) is a favourite Ford with St Paul to express emotion (2 Cor. vii. 15; Phil. i. . 8 , ii. 1; Philem. 7, 12, 20, \&c.). The expression is common to Jewish (Prov. xii. 10, \&c.) and classical writers. (Aesch. Choeph. xu. 7.)
avarodir. The word avarodi is used by the LXX. to translate both Motsah 'the dorn' (Jer. xxxi. 40) and Tsemach 'branch' (Zech. iii. 8, vi. 12; Jer. xxiii. 5. See on Matt. ii. 23). Here the context shews that the dawn is intended, though the word itself might equally mean the rising of a star, as in Aesch. Agan. 7. Mal. iv. 2, "Unto you that fear My name shall the Sun of Righteousness arise with healing in His wings." See Is. ix. 2; Matt. iv. 16; John i. 4, 5; Rev. vii. 2.
emterkturo. in some MSS. 'shall visit.'
 oklẫ 日avótou. The Hebrew Tsalmaveth. Job x. 21, xxxviii. 17; Ps. xxiii. 4, cvii. 10 ; Is. ix. 2; Matt. iv. 16. \&c.
 refers to bodily, and the ékpazauouro to mental growth. The description resembles that of the childhood of Samuel ( $1 \mathrm{Sam} . \mathrm{ii} .26$ ) and of our Lord (Lk. ii. 40-52). Nothing however is said of 'favour with men.' In the case of the Baptist, as of others, 'the boy was father to the man,' and he probably shewed from the first that rugged sternness which is wholly unlike the winning grace of the child Christ. "The Baptist was no Lamb of God. He was a wrestler with life, one to whom peace does not come easily, but only after a long struggle. His restlessness had driven him into the desert, where he had contended for years with thoughts he could not master, and from whence he uttered his startling alarms to the nation. He was among the dogs rather than among the lambs of the Shepherd." (Ecce Homo.)

IV $\boldsymbol{y} v$ tais epfunts. Not in sandy deserts like those of Arabia, but in the wild waste region south of Jericho and the fords of Jordan as far as the shores of the Dead Sea. This was known as Araboth or ha-Arabah, 2 Kings xxy. 4, 5 (Heb.); Jer. xxxix. 5, lii. 8; Matt. iii. I. See on vs. 39. This region, especially where it approached the Ghar and the Dead Sea, was lonely and forbidding in its physical features, and would suit the stern spirit on which it also reacted. In I Sam. xziii. 19 it is called Jeshimon or 'the Horror.' The political unsettlement, the shamelessness of crime, the sense of secular exhaustion, the widespread Messianio expectation, marked 'the fulness of time,' and drove men to desire solitude. John was by no means the only hermit. Banus the Pharisee also lived a life of ascetic hardness in the Arabah, and Josephas tells as that he lived with him for three years in his mountaincave on fruite and water. (Jos. Vit.2.) But there is not in the Gospels the faintest trace of any intercourse between John, or our Lord and His disciples, and the Essenes. John has Messianic hopes; the Essenes had laid them aside. The Essenes were recluse ascetics; St John is a preacher, a reformer, a missionary. The Essenes were mystics; St John is intensely practical (see Godet, p. 145). The great Italian painters follow a right conception when they paint even the boy John as emaciated with early asceticism. In 2 Esdras ix. 24 the seer is directed to go into a field where no house is, and to "taste no flesh, drink no wine, and eat only the flowers of the field," as a preparation for 'talking with the Most High.' It is doubtful whether Christian Art is historically correct in representing the infant Jesus and John as constant friends and playmates. Zacharias and Elizabeth, being aged, mast have early left John an orphan, and his desert life began with his boyish years. Further, the habits of Orientals are exceedingly stationary, and when once settled it is only on the rarest occasions that they leave their homes. The training of the son of the priest and the 'Son of the Carpenter' (Matt. xiii. 55) of Nazareth had been widely different, nor is it certain that they had ever met each other uatil the Baptism of Jesus (John i. 31).



St John's life, like that of our Lord, was spent first in hallowed seclusion, then in public ministry.

At this point ends the first very interesting document of which St Luke made use. The second chapter, though in some respects analogous to it, is less imbued with the Hebraic spirit and phraseology.

## CHAPTER II.

b. үuvaskl is omitted by NBCDL, and various Fathers; as also by La. Ti. \&o.
14. evidoklas. This is the reading of NABD. The Gothic, Vulgate, Itala, and most Fathers. Beza, Mill, Bengel La. Ti. W. H. \&c. The eijokla may have come from the other nominatives $\delta \delta$ Ea, єip $\eta \dot{\eta} \eta$.
22. au่นติy. NAB and most editors. It is probably by a mere error that D reads aùroû.
 various Fathers. It may have been altered from dogmatic prejudices into ' $\mathrm{I} \omega \sigma \dot{\eta} \phi \phi$ кal $\dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\eta} \tau \eta \rho$ a
37. Ews. NABL, Copt. Sah. \&c.

43. Ěyworav oi yoveîs aủroû. So NBDL, Jerome, Gr. La. Ti.

## Cf. II. 1-7. The Birte of Jesus Cebist.

In this chapter as in the last there is a prevailing triplicity of arrangement. In the first section we have-a. The Nativity, 1-7.阝. The AngeTio Announcement, 8-14. $\gamma$. The Visit of the Shepherds, $15-20$.
 Tilv oikoupiev $\eta v$. The verb $\xi_{\xi} \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta \in v$ is a Hebraism in this sense, Dan. ix. 2, 3. 'That there should be an enrolment of the habitable world.' The verb imoүpd $\phi \in \sigma \theta a t$ is here probably passive (Vulg. ut describeretur), though we have the aorist middle a $\pi$ orpdycotat 'to enroll himself' in vs. 5. The registration (dпoypa申ो) did not necessarily involve
 direction. Two objections have been made to the historic credibility of the decree, and both have been fully met:

1. It is said 'that there is no trace of such a decree in secular history.' The answer is that (a) the argunentum e silentio is here specially invalid because there happens to be a singular deficiency of minute records respecting this epoch in the 'profane' historians. The history of Nicolans of Damascus, the flatterer of Herod, is not extant. Tacitus barely touches on this period (Ann. 1. 1, "pauca de Augusto"). There is a hiatus in Dion Cassius from a.t.c. 748-752. Josephus does not enter upon the history of these years. ( $\beta$ ) There are distinct traces that such a census took place. Augustus with his own hand drew up a Rationarium of the Empire (a sort of Roman Doomsday Book, afterwards epitomised into a Breviarium), which included the allied kingdoms (Tac. Ann. 1. 11; Suct. Aug. 28), and appointed twenty Commissioners to draw up the necessary lists (Suidas s.v. à тоүpaфグ).
2. It is said 'that in any case Herod, being a rex socius (for Judaea was not annexed to the Province of Syria till the death of Archelauk, A.D. 6), would have been exempt from such a registration.' The answer is that (a) the Clitae were obliged to furnish such a census though they were onder an independent prince, Archelaus (Tac. Ann. va. 41; of. i. 11, regna). ( $\beta$ ) That Herod, a mere creature of the Emperor, would have been the last person to resist his wishes (Jos. Antt. xiv. 14. 4; xv. 6. 7; xvi. 9. 3). ( $\gamma$ ) That this Census, enforced by Herod, was so distastefnl to the Jews that it probably caused the unexplained tumults which occurred at this very period (Jos. Antt. xyir. 2. 4; B. J. т. 33, § 2). This is rendered more probable by the Targum of Jonathan on Hab. iii. 17, which has, "the Romans shall be rooted out; they shall collect no more tribute (Kesooma = census) from Jerusalem" (Gfrörer, Jahrh. d. Heils, x. 42). That the Emperor could issue such a decree for Palestine shews that the fulfilment of the old Messianic promises was near at hand. The seeptre had departed from Judah; the Lawgiver from between his feet.

As regards both objections, we may say (i) that St Luke, a writer of proved carefulness and accuracy, writing for Gentiles who could at once have detected and exposed an error of this kind is very unlikely (taking the lowest grounds) to have been guilty of such carelessness. (ii) That Justin Martyr, a native of Palestine, writing in the midale of the second century, three times appeals to the census-lists ( $\dot{\pi} \pi$ oүpaqai) made by Quirinus when he was tirst Procurator, bidding the Romans search their own archives as to the fact (Apol. 1. 34. 46; Dial. $c$. Tryph. 78), as also does Tertullian (Adv. Marce. iv. 7. 19). (iii) If St Luke had made a mistake it would certainly have been challenged by such able critics as Celsus and Porphyry;-but they never impugn his statement. On every ground therefore we have reason to trust the statement of St Lulie, and in this as in many other instances (see my Life of St Paul, i. 113) what have been treated as his 'manifest errors' have turned out to be interesting historic facts which he alone preserves for us. Special monographs on the subject have been written by Zumpt, Huschke, Wieseler, and others, Among
many divergences of opinion it is now generally admitted, on grounds of simple history, that a census of some kind or other took place at this time.
mâarav tìv olкou $\mu$ 'rqu. 'the habitable world,' i.e. the Roman Empire, the orbis terramum (Acts xi. 28, \&c.; Polyb. vi. 50).
 plou. 'This first enrolment took place' (literally 'took place as the first') ' when Quirinus was governor of Syria.' We are here met by an apparent error on which whole volumes have been written. Quirinus (or Quirinins, for the form of his name is not absolutely certain) was governor (Praeses, Legatus) of Syria in a.d. 6, ten years after this time, and he then carried out a census which led to the revolt of Judas of Galilee, as St Luke himself was aware (Acts v. 37). Hence it is asserted that St Luke made an error of ten years in the governorship of Quirinus, and the date of the census, which vitiates his listoris authority. Two ways of obviating this difficulty may finally be rejected.
(a) One is to render the words 'took place before ( $\pi \rho \dot{\omega} \dot{\tau} \eta$ ) Quirinus was governor.' The translation is entirely untenable, and is not supported by $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau \boldsymbol{t}$ s $\mu$ ov 'before me' in John i. 30. And if this were the meaning the remark would be most unnecessary. The worst of all possible ways of avoiding a difficulty, real or imaginary, doctrinal or historical, is the too common method of suggesting some impossible translation or emendation.
( $\beta$ ) Others would render the verb 'ze'vero by 'took effect:'- this enrolment was begun at this period (B.c. 4 of our vulgar era) by P. Sentius Saturninus, but not completed till the Procuratorship of Quirinus a.d. 6. But this is to give a strained meaning to the verb, as well as to take the ordinal ( $\pi \rho \dot{\omega} \tau \eta$ ) as though it were an adverb ( $\pi \rho \bar{\omega} \tau \circ \mathrm{p}$ ).
(v) A third, and more tenable, view is to extend the meaning of jofemovéoytos 'was governor' to imply that Quirinus, though not
 one of the twenty taxers or commissioners of Augustus, or (ii) as holding some procuratorial office (as Epitropos or joint Epitropos with Herod; comp. Jos. Antt. xy. 10. 3; B. J. r. 20. 4). It is, however, a strong objection to this solution (i) that the commissioners were aptotot, optimates or nobles, whereas Quirinus was a movus homo: and to (ii) that St Luke is remarkably accurate in his use of titles.
(8) A fourth view, and one which I still hold to be the right solution, is that first developed by A. W. Zampt (Das Geburtsjahr Christi, 1870), and never seriously refuted, though often sneered at. It is that Quirinus was twice Governor of Syria, once in b.c. 4 when he began the census (which may have been ordered, as Tertullian says, by Varus, or by P. Sentius Saturninus); and once in A.d. 6 when he oarried it to completion. It is certain that in s.0.c. 753 Quirinus conquered the Homonadenses in Cilicia, and was rector to Gaius Caesar. Now it is highly probable that these Homonadenses
were at that time under the jurisdiction of the propraetor of the Imperial Province of Syria, an office which must in that case have been held by Quirinus between b.c. 4-8.c. 1. The indolence of Varns and his triendship with Archelaus may have furnished strong reasons for superseding him, and putting the diligent and trustworthy Quirinus in his place. Whichever of these latter views be accepted, one thing is aertain, that no error is demonstrable, and that on independent historical grounds, as well as from his own proved accuracy in other instances, we have the strongest reason to admit the probability of St Luke's reference.

Kup ${ }^{2}$ lov. This is the Greek form of the name Quirinus, Orelli ad T'ac. Ann. il 30. B however reads Kupelvov. All that we know of him is that he was of obscore and provincial origin, and rose to the consulship by activity and military skill, afterwards earning a triumph for his successes in Cilicia. He was harsh, and avaricious, but a loyal soldier; and he was honoared with a public funeral in A.D. $2 I$ (Tac. $A n n$. if. 30, imi. 22, 48; Suet. Til. 49, \&e.).
3. Ékaनtos tis tìv Éavtoû mö̀tv. This method of enrolment was a concession to Jewish prejudices. The Roman method was to enrol each person at his own plece of residence. Incidentally this unexplained notice proves that St Luke is dealing with an historical enrolment.
4. àdo...éc. The prepositions are here used with classical accuracy. dido means 'direction from' ( $a b$ ); $\epsilon \mathrm{k}$ means 'from within' (ex).
$\pi \delta \lambda เ v \Delta_{\text {avel }}(\delta .1$ Sam. xvii. 12, "David was the son of that Ephrathite of Bethlehem-Judah whose name was Jesse."

ทีrts. In Hellenistic Greek many relative pronouns (properly used in indirect sentences, repetitions, \&o.) being mere luxaries of language tend to disappear, as in modern Greek, or are used withont distinction. $\tilde{y}$ rus is here used correctly (like "the which" in Shakespeare and in Gen. i. 29). In the N. T. botis is more common than ós.
 Bethiehem-Ephratah...out of thee shadl he come forth unto me that is to be ruler in Israel." Cf. iv. 8, "And thou, 0 tower of the flock" (Higdol Eder, Gen. xuxv. 21), "unto thee shall it come, even the first dominion."
Bethlehem ('Hoase of Bread,' to which the mystical method of Scriptural interpretation refers such passages as Is. xxxiii. 16, LXX.; John yi. 51, 58) is the very ancient Ephrath ('fruitful') of Gen. Exxv. 16, xlviii. 7; Pe. cxxxii. 6. It is a small town six miles from Jerasalem. It was the scene of the death of Rachel (Gen. xxyv, 19); of the story of Ruth; and of the early years of the life of David (1 Sam. xyi. 1; 2 Sam. xxiii. 15). Our Lord does not seem to have ever visited it again. The name is now corrupted into Beitlahm, ' house of flesh.'

4f olkov кal maтptâs $\Delta a v e l$, of the house and family (Eph, iii. 15) of David. The humble condition of Joseph as a provincial carpenter in no way militates against this. Hillel, the great contemporary Rabbi, who also claimed to be a descendant of David, began life as a half-starved porter; and numbers of beggars in the East wear the green turban which shews them to be undisputed descendants of Mohammed.
5. áтоүрáqaन0ac, 'to enrol himself,'
$\sigma \grave{v}$ Mapıáp. If these words be taken with ámoypáyaroat they would imply either that the presence of women was obligatory, as Ulpian says (De Censibus), or that Mary had some possession at Bethlehem. It is uncertain whether her presence was obligatory (Dion. Hal. rv. 5; Lact. De Mort. Persec. 23) or voluntary; but it is obvious that at so trying a time, and after what she had suffered (Matt i. 19), she would cling to the presence and protection of her husband. Nor is it wholly impossible that she saw in the providential circumstances a fulfilment of prophecy.
 in BDL.
 our Lord was born b.c. 4 of our era, and it is probable that He was born (according to the unanimous tradition of the Christian Church) in winter. There is nothing to guide us as to the actual day of His birth. It was unknown to the ancient Christians (Clem. Alex. Strom. 1. 21). Some thought that it took place on May 20 or April 20. There is no trace of the date Dec. 25 earlier than the fourth century, but it is accepted by Athanasius, Jerome, Ambrose, \&c.
7. кal trekev. See note on i. 9. The belief in a painless birth, clauso utero, and similar miracles, which are found in some Fathers, are apocryphal fictions which derive no countenance from the Gospels. See vs. 23.
$\pi \rho \omega$ то́токov. The word has no decisive bearing on the controversy as to the 'brethren of Jesus,' as it does not necessarily imply that the Virgin had other children. See Heb. i. 6, where irst-born=onlybegotten.
tortupyávшoev aivióv. Ezek. xvi. 4. In her poverty she had none to help her, but (in the common fashion of the East) wound the babe round and round with swathes with her own hands.
iv 中árog. If the Received Text were correct it would be 'in the manger,' but the article is omitted by ABDL. $\phi$ á $v \eta$ is sometimes rendered 'stall' (as in Luke xiii. 15; 2 Chron. xxxii. 28, LXX.); but 'manger' is probably right here. It is derived from $\pi$ ateoual, 'I eat' (Curtius, Griech. Et. II. 84), and is used by the LXX. for the Hebrew מבוּ 'crib,' in Prov. xiv. 4. Mangers are very ancient, and are to this day sometimes used as cradles in the East (Thomson, Land and Book, iI, 533). The ox and the ass which are traditionally represented in pictures are only mentioned in the apocryphal Gospel
of Matthew xiv., and were suggested by Is. i. 3, and Hab. iii. 2, which in the LXX. and the ancient Latin Version (Itala) was mistranslated "Between two animals thon shalt be made known."
ov̉к गै guest-chamber as in xxii. 11, but inn seems to be here the right rendering. There is another word for inn, $\pi a y \delta o \chi e i o v ~(x . ~ 34), ~ w h i c h ~ i m p l i e s ~$ an inn with a host. Bethlehem was a poor place, and its inn was probably a mere khan or caravanserai, which is an enclosed space surrounded by open recesses of which the paved floor (leewan) is raised a little above the ground. There is often no host, and the use of any vacant leewan is free, but the traveller pays a trifle for food, water, de. If the khan be crowded the traveller must be content with a corner of the courtyard or enclosed place among the cattle, or else in the stable. The stable is often a limestone cave or grotto, and there is a very ancient tradition that this was the case in the khan of Bethlehem. (Just. Martyr, Dial. c. Tryph. c. 78, and the Apocryphal Gospels, Protev. xix., Evang. Infant. iii. \&a.) If, as is most probable, the traditional site of the Nativity is the real one, it took place in one of the caves where St Jerome spent so many years (Ep. 24, ad Marcell.) as a hermit, and translated the Bible into Latin (the Vulgate). This fact must not, however, be connected with Is. xxxiii. 16, which has nothing to do with it. The khan perhaps dated back as far as the days of David under the name of the House or Hotel (Gérooth) of Chimham (2 Sam. xix. 37, 38 ; Jer. xli. 17).

The tender grace and perfect simplicity of the narrative is one of the marks of its trathfulness, and is again in striking contrast with the endlessly muItiplied miracles of the Apocryphal Gospels. "The unfathomable depths of the divine counsels were moved; the fountains of the great deep were broken up; the healing of the nations was issuing forth; but nothing was seen on the surface of human society but this slight rippling of the water." Isaac Williams, The Nativity.

## 8-20. The Angels to the Shepherds.

8. тolpéves. Shepherds at this time were a despised class, so that
 these were the first to whom was revealed the birth of Him who was called the Lamb of God we are not told. The sheep used for the daily sacrifice were pastured in the felds of Bethlehem.
áypaudoûvtes. This does not prove, as some have supposed, that the Nativity took place in spring, for in some pastures of Palestine the shepherds to this day bivouac with their flocks in winter. See, however, Robinson, Bibl. Res. 11. 505, who thinks that this would not be possible at Bethlehem in the rainy season of December. On the other hand, we cannot estimate the extent to which the climate may have altered.
 littie village Beth-zur (Josh. xv. 58; Neh. iii. 16). They were feeding their flocks in the same fields from which David had been summoned to feed Jacob, God's people, and Israel His inheritance.
9. kal. The phrase $1 \delta o{ }^{\prime}$ often introduces some strange or memorable event ; but is here omitted by NBL and some versions.
entort. A common word in St Luke, who uses it eighteen times, xxiv. 4; Acts xii. 7, \&c. It may mean stood by them.

8óga kuplou. The Sheohinah, or cloud of brightness which symbolised the Divine Presence, as in Ex. xxiv. 16; 1 Kings viii. 10; Is. vi. 1-3; Acts vii. 55 . See on i. 35 . The presence of the Shechinah was reckoned as one of the most precious blessings of Israel, Rom. ix. 4.

 contrast of the condition of despair and sorrow into which the heathen world had sunk and the joy of Christians even in the deepest adversity -as when we find "joy" to be the key-note of the letter written to Philippi by the suffering prisoner St Paul-is a striking comment on this promise. Even the pictures and epitaphs of the gloomy catacombs are full of joy and brightness.

ク̈rts. The relative is emphatic-'s such that it shall be.'
таvті $\tau \hat{\varphi} \lambda \mathrm{Aa} \uparrow$. 'Tlo all the people' sc. of Israel.
11. $\dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \epsilon \mathrm{x}} \mathrm{O}_{7}$. A form not found in classical Attic.
$\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho$. It is a curious fact that 'Saviour' and 'Salvetion," so common in St Luke and St Paul (in whose writings they occur fortyfour times), are comparatively rare in the rest of the New Testament. 'Sariour' only ocears in John iv. 42; 1 John iv. 14; and six times in \& Pet. and Jude; 'salvation' only in John iv. 22, and thirteen times in the rest of the N. T.

Xpietós кúplos. "God hath made that same Jesus whom ye crucified both Lord and Christ," Acts ii. 36; Phil. ii. 11. 'Christ' or 'Anointed' is the Greek equivalent of Messiah. In the Gospels it is almost invariably an appellative, 'the Christ,' But as time advanced it was more and more used without the article as a proper name. Our Lord was 'anointed' with the Holy Spirit as Prophet, Priest and King.
kuplos. In the lower sense the word is used as a title of distinction; in the higher sense it is (as in the LXX.) the equivalent of the Hebrew 'Jehovah'—the ineffable name. "We preach Christ Jesus the Lord," 2 Cor. iv. 5 (see Phil. ii. 11; Rom. xiv. 9; 1 Cor. viii. 6;" "No one can say that Jesus is the Lord but by the Holy Ghost," 1 Cor. xii. 3).
12. tò $\sigma \eta \mu$ eiov, 'the sign.' Comp. Is. vii. 14.
$\beta$ péqos, ' $a$ babe.'
Zorтapyavoúvov. The participle is here regarded as an adjective, and is followed by $\kappa \in l \mu \in p o v$.
13. $\pi \lambda \hat{1}$ ̂ilos orpartâs oúpavlov. The Sabaoth, or Tseba hashamayîm. 1 K. xxii. 19 ; Ps. ciii. 21 ; Matt. xxvi. 53 ; Rom. ix. 29 ; Jas. v. 4.
"Ten thousand times ten thousand stood before Him," Dan. vii. 10; Rev. v. 11, 12. The word is also used of the stars as objects of heathen worship, Acts vii. 42.
14. tv vílcotors. i.e., in highest heaven, Job xvi. 19; Ps. cxlviii. 1; comp. "the heavenlies" in Eph. i. 3, \&c.; Ecclus. xliii. 9.

" No war or battle's sound
Was heard the world around;
The idle spear and shield were high uphung:
The hookèd chariot stood
Unstained with hostile blood,
The trumpet spake not to the armed throng;
And kings sat still with awful eye
As if they surely knew their sorran Lord was by." Mirron, Ode on the Nativity.
This however is only an ideal aspect of affairs, and the closing at this time of the Temple of Janus had little or no meaning. It was not in this sense that the birth of Christ brought Peace. If we understood the expression thus we might well say with Coleridge:
"Strange Prophecy! if all the screams
Of all the men that since have died
To realize war's kingly dreams
Had risen at once in one vast tide,
The choral song of that vast multitude
Had been o'erpowered and lost amid the uproar rude."
The Angels sang indeed of such an ultinate Peace; but also of "the peace which passeth understanding;" of that peace whereof Christ said, "Peace I leave with you, my peace I give unto you; not as the world giveth give I unto you." See Prov. iii. 17; on which the Book of Zohar remarks that it means peace in heaven and on earth, and in this world and the next. As regards earthly peace He himself said, "Think not that I am come to send pesce on earth: I came not to send peace, but a sword," Matt. x. 34; Lk. xii. 51. See this contrast magnificently shadowed forth in Is. ix. 5, 6 .
tv duvpwitous єủסoklas. The reading édoria 'goodwill,' is found it - $\mathrm{B}^{3}$; but NABD read evioorias, and if this be the right reading the meaning is "on earth peace among men of good will" (hominibus bonae voluntatis, Volg.), i.e. those with whom God is well pleased. "The Lord taketh pleasure in them that hope in His mercy," Ps. cxlvii. 11; comp. xii. 32, "it is your Father's good pleasure to give you the kingdom." The construction "'men of good will" would be rare in this sense, but the triple parallelism of the verse,

| Glory | to God |
| :--- | :--- |
| Peace | to men whom God loves | in the highest

seems to favour it. In either case the varse implies that "being justified by faith we have peace with God through our Lord Jesus Christ," Rom. v. 1. The adoption of the reading edookias by the R.V.
("peace among men in whom He is well pleased") has been fiercely attacked, but has always been the accepted reading of the Western Charch, and is found in a passage of Origen. It may be doubted whether the Angels meant to contrast the future privileges of Man with their own (Heb. ii. 15). The meaning is "God's peace among all to whom these tidings shall come, and who in accepting them become His dear children, the objects of His good pleasure," (Humphry). The "towards" of the A.V. is wrong, and must be altered into "among" (ep).
"Glory to God on high, on earth be peace, And love towards men of love-salvation and release."-Keble.
 comes little more than a particle of transition in coordinated sentences. See i. 59.

סte $\lambda \theta \omega \mu \in \nu \quad \delta \dot{\eta}$. 'Come now! let us go.'
16. deveupav "discovered after search." These forms of the 2nd aorist in $\alpha \nu$ are due to false analogy. They have been restored by modern editors from the best MSS., but it is perhaps impossible to decide how far they may have been due to the copyists. This verb is only found again in Acts xxi. 4 in the N. T.
17. é $\gamma$ wíptrav. Thus the shepherds were the first Christian preachers. The reading $\delta \iota e \gamma v$. may have sprung from the previous dé by homoeoteleuton.

ouverifict. The imperfect follows the aorist as in i. 64 (where see note). The verb is used in Dan. vii. 28; Mk. vi. 20.
ovvßáldovea. Literally, "casting together," i.e, comparing and considering; like our 'casting in mind.' Comp. Gen. xxxvii. 11, "his father observed the saying." She did not at once understand the full significance of all these events.
20. Sogálovtes kal alvoîyres. Glorifying God for the greatness of the event, and praising Him for its merey (Godet).

## 21. The Ginoumcision.

21. Tov̂ $\pi \epsilon \rho \imath \tau \notin \epsilon \mathfrak{i v}$ aúróv. The genitive of the purpose. The old. way of explaining it was to understand ${ }^{\prime} \nu \in \kappa a$ or $\chi$ d $\rho c \nu$, but it is neither an ellipse nor an Hebraism, but a classio idiom resulting from the original force of the genitive, see Winer p. 408. This construction is specially common in St Luke (ii. 22, v. 7, xxi. 22, xxii. 31; Acts iii. 2, de.) It must be distinguished from the genitives in i. 57, ii. 6 , which depend on the substantives. Gen. xvii. 12. Doubtless the rite was performed by Joseph. "Jesus Christ was a minister of the circumcision" (i.e. went to the Jew first) "for the truth of God to confirm the promises made unto the fathers," Rom. xv. 8; Gal. iv. 4. Thus it became Bim 'to be made like unto His brethren, and to fulfil all righteousness,' Matt. iii. 15. Christ suffered pain thus early for our sake to teach us that, though He ordained for us the painless rite of
baptism, we mast practise the spiritugl ciroumcision-the circumcision of the heart. He came "not to destroy the Law but to fulfl," Matt. v. 17; $\gamma \in \downarrow \delta \mu \in \nu=s \dot{v} \pi \delta$ yó $\mu о y$, Gal. iv. 4.
"He, who with all heaven's heraldry whilere Entered the world, now bleeds to give us ease. Alas, how soon our sin

> Sore doth begin

His infancy to scize!"
Milton, The Circuncision.
*al. There is a mixture of two constructions, namely $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \theta_{\eta \sigma a p} .$. $\kappa a l$ and ${ }_{0} \tau \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \pi \lambda . . . \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \eta$ (comp. vii. 12).
 child was bestowed at circumcision, as with us at baptism. Among Greeks and Romans also the genethlia and nominalia were on the eighth or ninth day. Observe the brief notice of Christ's circumcision compared with the fuller and more elaborate account of John's. "In the person of John the rite of circumeision solemnised its last glories."

## 22-24. Tife Presentation in the Temple.

22. то̂̀ ка日apıन $\mu$ ทิ av่тผิv, 'their purification.' The reading aùr $\hat{s}$ s, 'her,' of the Received Text is almost unsupported. All the Uncials read aituw, 'their,' except D, which probably by an oversight reads aưroñ, 'His.' Strictly speaking, the child was never purified, but onIy the mother (Lev. xii. 1-8). The purification took place on the fortieth day after the Nativity, and till then a mother was not permitted to leave her house. The feast of the Presentation was known


каті̀ тòv pópov Maüftos. See this Law in Lev. xii. 2-4. Jesus was "made of a woman, made under the Law, to redeem those that were under the Law, that we might receive the adoption of sons," Gal. iv. 4, 5.
a ${ }^{2}$ ingyov. The road from Bethlehem to Jerusalem is a descent, but arayesp is naturally used of the Capitsl, and especially of the Temple which is on a hill (often called by the Rabbis "the hill of the House ").
 the permanence of the Law (Luther, stehet geschrieben). The tribe of Levi were sanctified to the Lord in lieu of the firstborn, and originally all the firstborn in excess of the number of the Levites had to be redeemed with five shekels of the sanctuary (about 15 shillings), a rule afterwards extended to all the firstborn. Ex. xiii. 2, xxii. 29, xxxiy. 19; Num. iii. 13, zyiii. 15, 16.
 pointed was a yeariing lamb for a burnt-offering, and a young pigeon or turtledove for a sin-offering, which were to be brought to the door of the tabernacle and with which "the priest shall make an atonement for her and she shall be clean." But the Law of Moses, with that
thoughtful tenderness which characterises many of its provisions, allowed a poor mother to bring two turtledoves instead; and since tartledoves (being migratory) are not always procurable, and old pigeons are not easily canght, offered the alternative of "two young pigeons." Lev. xii. 6-8. (Tristram.)

## 25-35. Simeon and the Nuno Dimiftib.

25. äv0patтos...ఖ̊ övo the son of Hillel (whom the Talmud is on this aecount supposed to pass over almost unnoticed), because he would hardly have been spoken of so slightly as à $\partial \rho \rho \omega \pi$ os, 'a person.' The Apocryphal Gospels call him "the great teacher" (James xxvi., Nicod. xvi.).
einaß ís used only by St Luke. Acts ii. 5, viii. 2, xzii. 12 (properly "hoiding well.")
 shall not be ashamed that wait for me," Is. xlix. 23. "Comfort ye, comfort ye my people, saith your God," Is. xl. 1. Soseph of Arimathea is also described as one who "waited for the Kingdom of God," Mk. xv. 43. "May I see the consolation of Israel!" was a common Jewish formola, and a prayer for the Advent of the Messiah was daily used; and Menachem 'the Consoler' was recognised as one of the names of the Messiah. mapáк $\lambda \neq \boldsymbol{c} y$ is anarthrous, because the word had become technical.

 oracle Pom. xi. 4. Christian legend says that he had stumbled at Is. vii. 14, "Behold, a virgin shall conceive," and had received a divine intimation that he should not die till he had seen it fulfilled (Nicephorus, A.d. 1450). The notion of his extreme age is not derived from Scripture but from the 'Gospel of the Nativity of Mary,' which says that he was 113.
rov Xpıroiv кuplov. The anointed of Jehovah.

 (vi.) says that he saw Him shining like a pillar of light in His mother's arms, which is probably derived from vs. 32.
26. auto's. The word is emphatic. He took the child into his own arms.
cis ràs aykàlas. Hence he is sometimes called Theodokos, 'the receiver of God,' as Ignatias is sometimes called Theophoros, 'borne of God,' from the fancy that he was one of the children whom Christ tools in His arms (see on ix. 47).
 setting free Thy slave, 0 Master, according to Thy word, in pease.' Nup 'now, at last!' The present tense is the so-called praesens futurascens where an action still future is spoken of in the present
because it is unalterably determined, and the result is already in course of accomplishment. See instances of it in Matt. xxvi. 2 o viòs $\tau 0 \hat{0}$
 See Winer p. 331. This rapturous Psalm-the Nunc Dimittis-has formed a part of Christian evening worship certainly since the fifth centirry. A $\epsilon \sigma \pi \delta \boldsymbol{\sigma} \eta \mathrm{g}$ is not often used of God (Acts iv. 24; Rev. vi. 10); but simeon here regards himself as a servant to be dismissed by the word of his Lord.
èv єipinv. On leaving a dying person the Jews said, 'Go in prace (Beshalôm), Gen. xy. 15. Otherwise they said, 'Go to peace' (Leshalom) as Jethro did to Moses. See on vii. 50.
27. rò $\sigma \omega \tau \dot{\eta} \rho$ ov. This seems to have as wider meaning than $\tau^{\boldsymbol{\eta}} \boldsymbol{j}$ бшт $\quad$ piav.
 prophecy, considering that even the Apostles found it hard to grasp the full admission of the Gentiles, clearly as it had been indicated in older prophecy, e.g. in Ps. zcviii. 2, 3, "All the ends of the earth have seen the salvation of our God," Is. lii, 10. "I will give thee for a covenant of the people, for a light of the Gentiles," Is. xlii. 6, xlix. 6. Godet after pointing out the depth and energy of the Nunc Dimittis excellently remarbs "La banalité légendaire n'a pas plos de part à la composition de ce joyau lyrique que la préoccupation dogmatique."
28. ó тatìp av่тov̂. This is the undoubted reading, NBDL, \&c.
$\pi \epsilon \rho$ av่rov̂. 'About Him.'
29. кeirat. Compare Phil. i. 17. Literally, "lies." The metaphor is taken from a stone which may either become 'a stone of stumbling' and 'a rock of offence' (Is. viii. 14; Rom. ix. 32, 33; 1 Cor. i. 23), or 'a precious corner-stone' ( 1 Pet. ii. 7, 8; Acts iv. 11; 1 Cor. iii. 11).
 fall of many Pharisees, Herodians, Sadducees, Nazarenes, Gadarenes; and for the rising of all that believed on Him. In some cases-as that of Peter and the dying robber-they who fell afterwards rose. In all these cases the presence of Christ involved a moral judgment. It became 'a savour of life unto life, or of death unto death.' $\pi$ r $\hat{\sigma} \sigma$ ss only occurs again in Matt. vii. 27.
duvileyónevov. 'Which is spoken against.' "As concerning this sect we know that everywhere it is spoken against," Acts xxvii. 22. Jesus was called "this deceiver," "a Samaritan," "a demonime", and in the Talmod He is only alluded to as 'So and So' (Peloni), 'that man' (Otho haish), 'Absalom,' 'the hung' (Thalooi), 'the son of Pandera,' ©B. To this day Nuzrâni, 'Christian,' is-after 'Jew'-the most stinging term of reproach throughout Palestine. Among Pagans the Christians were charged with cannibalism, incest, and every conceivable atrocity, and Suetonius, Pliny, Tacitus have no gentler words for Christianity than 'an exearable, extravagant, or malefic supersti-
tion.' To holy men like Zeoharias and Simeon God had revealed that the Glory of the Messiah was to be perfected by suffering (Heb. ii. 10). They, at least, did not expect an earthly conqueror"Armed in fiame, all glorious from afar, Of hosts the captain, and the Lord of War."
30. foupaia. Probably a broad Thracian lance (framea). The word only occurs elsewhere in the New Testament in Rev. i. 16, \&e., but it is used in the LXX., as in Zech. xiii. 7, "Awake, O sword, against my shepherd." Almost from the very birth of Christ the sword began to pierce the soul of the 'Mater Dolorosa;' and what tongue can describe the weight of mysterious anguish which she felt as she watched the hatred and persecution which followed Jesus and saw Him die on the cross amid the execrations of all classes of those whom He came to save?
ö $\pi \omega \mathrm{s} \dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{v}$, tut forte. The result is regarded as depending on circumstances. The idiom is rare in the N.T., only ocourring in Acts iii. 19, xv. 17; Rom. iii. 4.
 ings out of many hearts may be revealed.' The word stadoytonoi generally has a bad sense as in v. 22 ; Matt. xv. 19; Rom. i. 21. Hence there is no reason for the addition of mop $\eta \rho o l$ in $N$. By way of comment see the reasonings of the Jews in John ix. 16: 1 Cor. xi. 19; 1 John ii. 19.

36-40. Anna tee Propeeteses. The Retobn to Nazareth.
36. "Avva. The same name as Hannah (1 Sam. i. 20), from the root Chdnan, 'he was gracious.'
$\pi \rho o \phi \hat{\eta} \pi \leq s$. The predicate in apposition usually has the article, as
 omitted where there is no desire to distinguish a person from others,
 prophetess' like Miriam, Deborah, Huldah (2 Chron. xxxiv. 22).

Iavouri. 'The Face of God;' the same word as Peniel, Gen, xxxi. 30.
'A寝p. Though the Ten Tribes were Iost, individual Jews who belonged to them had preserved their genealogies. Thus Tobit was of the tribe of Naphtali (Tob. i. 1): Comp. "our twelve tribes," Acts $\operatorname{zxvi.} 7$; James i. 1.
 later writers, and in Hellenistic Greek.
 years, and was now 84 years old. NABL read ${ }^{t} \omega \mathrm{~s}$ (for $\dot{\text { c }}$ ) which is best takon with "of great age," the intervening words being parenthetic.
 hours of prayer; unless we suppose that her position as a prophetess
had secured her the right of living in one of the Temple chambers, and perhaps of doing some work for it like trimming the lamps (as is the Rabbinic notion about Deborah, derived from the word Lapidoth 'splendours').
vŋotelais. The Law of Moses had only appointed one yearly fast, on the Great Day of Atonement. But the Pharisees had adopted the practice of 'fasting twice in the week,' viz. on Monday and Thursday, when Moses is supposed to have ascended, and descended from, Sinai (see on xviii. 12). In other respects also they had multiplied and extended the simple original injunction ( v .33 ).

 'Night' is put first by the ordinary Hebrew idiom (as in the Greek word $\nu v \chi 0 \dot{\eta} \mu \varepsilon \rho o y)$ which arose from their notion that 'God made the world in six days and seven nights.' Comp. Aets xxvi. 7, "unto which promise our twelve tribes, instantly serving God night and day (Greek), hope to come." 1 Tim. v. 6, "she that is a widow indeed, and desolate, trusteth in God, and continueth in supplications and prayers night and day." Meyer thinks that this order of the words implies more fervency of service.
 as in A.V.) she, suddenly coming in.
dveauo to point to a sort of antiphony between Anna and Simeon, but the compound verb is used in the LXX in the simple sense. It does not occur elsewhere in the N.T.
 i. 7; Tit. ii. 13; Heb. ix. 28. See Excursus VII.
${ }^{\prime} I_{\text {epoura }} \lambda \boldsymbol{\eta} \mu$. The readings vary. Perhaps the rendering should be 'waiting for the redemption of Jerusalem.'
39. Between this verse and the last come the events narrated by St Matthew only-namely the Visit of the Magi; the Flight into Egypt; and the Massacre of the Innocents. It is difficult to believe that either of the Evangelists had seen the narrative of the other, because the primit facie inference from either singly would be imperfectly correct. They supplemeat each other, because they each narrate the truth, though probably neither of them was aware of all that has been delivered to us.
 in NBDI.
$\pi \lambda$ прои́ $\mu$ коv. 'Being or becoming filled.' The growth of our Lord is here described as a natural homan growth. The nature of the 'Hypostatic Union' of His Divine and Human nature-what is called the Perichoresis or Communicatio idiomatum-is one of the subtlest and least practical of mysteries. The attempt to define and enter into it was only forced upon the Church by the speculations of Oriental
heretics who vainly tried "to soar into the secrets of the Deity on the waxen wings of the senses." This verse (and still more vs. 52) is a stronghold against the Apollinarian heresy which held that in Jesus the Divine Logos took the place of the human soul. Against the four conflicting heresies of Arius, Apollinarius, Nestorius and Eutyches, which respectively denied the true Godhead, the perfect manhood, the indivisible union, and the entire distinctness of the Godhead and manhood in Christ, the Church, in the four great Councils of Nicaea (a.d. 325), Constantinople (a.d. 381), Ephesus (a.D. 431), and Chalcedon (A.D. 451), estabiished the four words which declare her view of the nature of Christ- $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\omega} \hat{s}$, $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \omega s$, adoat$\rho \in \tau \omega s$, d $\sigma v \gamma \chi^{\prime \prime} \tau \omega s$ - 'truly' God; 'perfectly' man; 'indivisibly' Godman, 'distinetly' God and Man. See Hooker, Eccl. Pol. v. lv. 10.
 John i. 14. "Take notice here that His doing nothing wonderful was itself a kind of wonder. ...As there was power in His actions, so is there power in His silence, in His inactivity, in His retirement." Bonaventura. The worthless legends and inventions of many of the Apocryphal Gospels deal almost exclusively with the details of the Virginity of Mary, and the Infancy of Christ, which are passed over in the Cospels in these few words.

## 41-52. The Passover Visit to the Temple.

41. of yoveís av̉rov̂. The great Rabbì* Hillel had recommended women to attend the Passover. It was not enjoined by the Law, bat the Jews admired it as a pious practice. (Mechilta, f. 17. 2 in Schöttgen.) Doubtless one of the reasons why Marcion exscinded these chapters in his mutilated St Luke was the respect shewn for Levitic ordinances in i. 6, ii. 22-24, \&c.

ти̂ éoptn̂ tov̂ $\pi$ árxa. At the feast (Luth. auf das Osterfest). This is the dative of tirae. Comp. viii. 29, xii. 20, xiii. $14-16$. St Luke sometimes inserts the preposition, i. 26, iii. 1. Winer, p. 273 sq . Ex. xxiii. 15-17; Deut. xvi. 1-16. The custom of going up three times a year seems long to have fallen into abeyance with most Jews. 1 Sam. i. 21, "the yearly sacrifice."
 Gospels respecting the childhood of Jesus from the return to Nazareth till this time. We infer indeed from scattered hints in Scripture that He "began to do" His work before He "began to teach," and being "tempted in all points like as we are" won the vietory from His earliest years, alike over positive and negative temptations. (Heb. v. 8. See Ullmann, Sinlessness of Jesus, E. Tr. p. 140.) Up to this time He had grown as other children grow, only in a childhood of stainless and sinless beauty-" as the flower of roses in the spring of the year, and as lilies by the waters," Ecclus. xxxix. 13, 14. This incident of His 'confirmation,' as in modern language we might call it, is the "solitary floweret out of the wonderful enclosed garden of the thirty years, plucked precisely there where the swollen
bud at a distinctive crisis bursts into flower." Stier, Words of Jesus, I. 18.

This silence of the Evangelista is a proof of their simple faithfulness, and is in atriking contrast with the blaze of foolish and dishonouring miracles with which the Apocryphal Gospels degrade the Divine Boyhood. Meanwhile we are permitted to see (i) That our Lord never attended the schools of the Rabbis (Mk. vi. 2; Joln vi. 42, vii. 15). His teaching was absolutely original, and He would therefore be regarded by the Rabbis as a 'man of the people,' or 'unlearned person.' (See Acts iv. 13; T. B. Berachôth, f. 47. 2; Ecclus. xxxviii. 24 fg .) (ii) That He had learnt to write (John viii. 6). (iii) That He was acquainted not only with Aramaic, but with Hebrew, Greek, and perhaps Latin (Life of Christ, 1. 91); and (iv) That He had been deeply impressed by the lessons of nature (id. 1. 98).

8ódeka. Up to this age a Jewish boy was called 'little,' afterwards he was called 'grown up,' and became a 'Son of the Law,' or 'Son of the Precepts.' At this age he was presented on the Sabbath called the 'Sabbath of Phylacteries' in the Synagogue, and began to wear the phylacteries with which his father presented him. According to the Jews twelve was the age at which Moses left the house of Pharaoh's daughter, and Samuel was called, and Solomon gave his judgment, and Josiah carried out his reform. (Jos. Antt. in. 9.6. v. 10.4.)

'I $\eta$ бoûs $\delta$ maîs. The boy Jesus, or Jesus, now a boy. There is an obvious contrast with the $\pi \alpha i \delta i o n$ of vs. 40. St lake seems purposely to have narrated something about the Saviour at every stage of His earthly existence, as babe (ii. 16), little child (ii. 40), boy, and man.

ท̇téfavev. Among the countless throngs of Jews who flocked to the Passover-nearly three millions according to Josephus (Antt. vi. 9, 3)-nothing would be easier than to lose sight of one young boy in the thronged streets, or among the thousands of booths outside the city walls. Indeed it is an incident which to this day often ocours at Jerusalem in similar cases. It should be also remembered that at the age of 12 an Eastern boy is far more mature than is the case in Northern nations, and that at that age a far wider liberty was allowed him.
of porfîs au่rov̂. 'His parents,' NBDL. The reading of Elz. is " Joseph and his mother."
ouk E'pumaav, 'did not observe it.' The fact is very interesting as shewing the naturalness and unconstraint in which our Lord was trained.
 Jerusalem. In the numerous and rejoicing caravans of kinsmen and fellow-countrymen relations are often separated without feeling any anxiety.
dvel!itovy, 'continued looking for him.' The word implies anxious and careful search.
45. $\mu \eta$ єиро́vтєs. The $\mu \grave{\eta}$ is causal. 'Since they did not find Him,' they returned.
avaโ̣ๆтov̂vtes av̉tóv, 'diligently searching for Him.'
46. 价à thépas rpeis. This, in the Jewish idiom, probably means ' on the third day.' One day was occupied by the journey to Beeroth; on the second, they sought Him in the caravans and at Jerusalem; the next day they found Him in the Temple. The unsettled state of the country would add to their alarm.
$\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\varphi}$ lepê. Probably in one of the numerous chambers which ran round the Court, and abatted on the actual building; or in one of the three Temple-synagogues of which the Talmud speaks.
ka日etóperov. Doubtless at the feet of the Rabbis, as was the custom of Jewish boys when sitting began to be permitted.
$\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \nu \mu \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \omega \tau \omega \nu \delta \delta \delta a \sigma \kappa \alpha i \lambda \omega v$, 'in the midst of the teachers.' The most eminent Rabbis of this period-some of whom may have been present as youths, and some as aged men-were Hillel, his rival Shammai, and his son Riabban Shimeon, Bava ben Butal, Nicodemus, Jochanan ben Zakkai.
 humility. The Apocryphal Gospels characteristicaily degrade this scene, and represent the boy Christ as behaving with a forwardness which most flagrantly contradicts the whole tenor of the parrative, and would have been specially displeasing to Jewish elders (Pirke Avoth, v. 12. 15). Such inventions, which are only too common in all commentators, from the days of the Fathers downward, spring from an irreverent reverence which has its real root in Apollinarianism.
47. ésigrauto. Similar instances are narrated of Rabbi Eliezer Ben Azariah; of Rabbi Ashi, the compiler of the Babylonian Talmud; and (by himself) of Josephus (Vit. 2). See Excursus VII.
48. ljen $\lambda$ di $\gamma \eta \sigma a v$. The "people of the land," such as were the simple peasants of Galilee, held their great teachers in the deepest awc, and hitherto the silent, sweet, obedient childhood of Jesus had not prepared them for such a scene.
 thus?'
 hearts.'
49. 'iv roiss toû marpós $\mu$ ov, ' in my Father's house.' The Syriac, Origen, Epiphanius, Theodoret, Theophylact, and Euthymius agree in this rendering. The Vulg. (like the Arabic and Aethiopio) leaves the meaning vague in his quae Patris mei sunt, and Wyclif follows the Vulgate "in those things that be of my Father." See Exeursus I. These words are very memorable as being the first recorded words of

Jesus. They bear upon them the stamp of authenticity in their halfvexed astonishment, and perfect mixture of dignity and humility. It is remarkable too, that He does not accept the phrase "Thy father" which Mary had employed. "Did ye not know? "recalls their fading memory of Who He was; and the "I must" lays down the law of devotion to His Father by which He was to walk even to the Cross. Ps. xl. 7-9. "My meat is to do the will of Him that sent Me and to finish His work," John iv. 34. For His last recorded words, see Acts i. 7,8 .
tov̂ tarpós $\mu$ uv. This is the first germ of our Lord's special revelation of the fatherhood of God. It is remarkable that Christ al ways says $\delta \pi a r \dot{\eta} \rho \mu o v$ (with the article) but teaches us to say $\pi a r \dot{\eta} \rho \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega}$ (without the article): e.g. in John xx. 17 it is. "I ascend unto the Father of me and Father of you." God is His Father in a different way from that in which He is ours. He is our Father only because He is His Father. See Pearson On the Creed, Art. i.
50. ov cuvīkav. Words which might stand as the epitome of much of His ministry, ix. 45, xviii. 34; Mk. ix. 32; John x. 6, i. 10, 11. The meaning however is not that they had any doubt as to what the grammatical construction of His words implied; but only as to their bearing and appropriateness to the circumstances of so young a child.
51. $\mu \epsilon \tau^{\prime}$ av่râv. We may infer from the subsequent omission of Joseph's name, and from the traditional belief about his age, that he died shortly after this event, as the Apooryphal Gospels assert.
cis Nayapéc. In many respects there was a divine fitness in this spot for the haman growth of Jesus-" as a tender plant and a noot out of the dry ground." Apart from the obscurity and evil fame of Nazareth whioh were meant to teach lessons similar to those of which we have just spoken, we may notice (i) Its seclusion. It lies in a narrow cleft in the limestone hills which form the boundary of Zabulon entirely out of the ordinary roads of commeree, so that none could say that our Lord had learnt either from Gentiles or from Rabbis. (ii) Its beauty and peacefulness. The flowers of Nazareth are famous, and the appearance of its inhabitants shews its healthiness. It was a home of humble peace and plenty. The fields of its green valley are fruitful, and the view from the hill which overshadows it is one of the loveliest and most historically striking in all Palestine.
 of no reputation, and took upon Him the form of a servant," Phil. ii. 7; Is. liii. 2. With the exception of these two verses, the Gospels preserve but one single word to throw light on the Life of our Lord, between His infancy and His baptism. That word is "the carpenter" in Mk. vi. 3, altered in some MSS. out of irreverent and mistaken reverence into "the son of the carpenter." They shew that (i) our Lord's life was spent in poverty but not in pauperism; (ii) that He sanctified labour as a pure and noble thing ; (iii) that God looks on
the heart, and that the dignity or humility, the fame or obscurity, of the outer lot is of no moment in His eyes. Rom. xiv. 17, 18.
52. Tpofkomtev, 'advanced.’ (Gal. i. 14; 2 Tim. ii. 16, de.) The word is derived from pioneers cutting down trees in the path of an advancing army. Comp. 1 Sam, ii, 26, and the description of an ideal youth in Prov. iii. 3, 4.
rodiq. In spite of the attempts, from the days of Athanasius downwards, to explain this word away, it remains one of the great Scriptural bulwarks against the Apollinarian heresy which denies the perfect manhood of Christ.

ทं $\lambda$ ıкцq. Parhaps 'age' (as in xii. 25?), though the word sometimes means stature (xix. 3; Eph. iv. 13), and it is so understood in this place by Beza, Grotius, Bengel, Ewald, Bleek, Meyer, \&o. The Vulg. has aetate.
divepórors, 'men." Prov. jii. 4, "So shalt thou find favour and good success (marg.) in the sight of God and man." Pirke Avoth, III. 10, "In whomsoever the mind of men delights, in him also the Spirit of God delights." It is not said of St John that he grew in favour with men, because even from childhood he shewed the stern and reserved spirit which took him to the wilderness.

## CHAPTER III.

 possibly for that very reason it may have been altered into the less

 La. Ti.
 correct one, in AC. It is omitted in NBD and by the recent editors.

33. tov̂ 'A
 'Apvel.

Ch. III. 1-9. Baptism and Preaceing of John the Baptist.
 Luke here gives a sixfold intimation of the date,--a method characteristic of his learned and careful research. If the accession of Tiberins be dated from the death of Augustus, Aug. 19, a.0.c. 767, this would make our Lord thirty-two at His baptism. St Luke, however, follows a common practice in dating the reign of Tiberius from the
period of his association with Augustus as joint Emperor a.d.o. 765. (Tac. Ann. 1. 3; Suet. Aug. 97; Vell. Patere. 103.) Our Lord's baptism thus took place in A.d.c. 780. By thus giving precise dates St Luke becomes, as Ewald says, "the first writer who frames the Gospel History into the great history of the world."
т $\mathfrak{\jmath} \mathrm{s}$ ग̀yєpovias. Wieseler (Beiträge 191) is perhaps hypercritical in seeing in this word an indication that only the regency of Tiberius is implied; but he shews from coins and medals that at Antioch (the probable home of St Luke) it was customary to date the socession of Tiberius from a.d.o. 765.

Tıßєplov Kairapos. Winer takes Kaloapos to be an appellative-" of Tiberius as Emperor" (Winer, p. 173). Tiberius was the stepson and successor of Augustus. At this period of his reign he retired to the island of Capreae (Tac. Ann. Iv. 74), where he pluaged into horrible private excesses, while his public administration was most oppressive and sanguinary. The recent attempts to defend his character break down under the accumulated and unanimous weight of ancient testimony.

Movtiov Mikiono. He was Procurator for ten years, a.d. 35-36). His predecessors had been Coponius (A.D. 6-10), M. Ambivius, Annius Rufus, and Valerius Gratus (a.D. 14-25). He was succeeded by Marcellus, Fadus, Tiberius Alexander, Cumanus, Felix, Festus, Albinus and Florus. For an account of him see on xxiii. 1.
ग̂रধцоvev́ovtos. His strict title was èmitpotos or Prosurator (Jos. Antt. $x \times .6, \$ 2$ ), which does not however occur in the N. T. except in the sense of 'steward' (Lk. viii. 3). "H $\gamma \epsilon \mu \omega_{j} y$ was a more general term. (Matt. x. 18;, 1 Pet. ii. 14.) His relation to the Herods was much the same as that of the Viceroy of India to the subject Maharajahs.
'HpéSov. Herod Antipas, the son of Herod the Great and the Samaritan lady Malthace. He retained his kingdom for more than 40 years, at the end of which he was banished (a.d. 39) to Lugdumum (probably St Bertrand de Comminges), chiefly through the machinations of his nephew Herod Agrippa I. (the Herod of Acts xii. 1). See the Stemma Herodum on p. li, and for further particulars of his character see on xiii. 32.
retpapxoûvtos. The word properly means the ruler of a fourth part of a country, but afterwards was used for any tribatary prince or ethnarch. At this time Judaea, Samaria and Galilee were the provinces of Palestine. Antipas, Philip and Lysamias are the only three to whom the word 'tetrarch' is applied in the N. T. Antipas also had the courtesy-title of ' king' (Mk. vi. 14, \&c.), and it was in the antempt to get this title officially confirmed to him that he paid the visit to Rome which ended in his banishment. He was tetrarch from b.c. 4 to a.d. 39. Herod the Great, in his will, divided his kingdom between Archelaus as ethnarch, and Antipas and Philip as tetrarchs.
Tîs Tallialas. This province is about 25 miles from North to South, and 2 ' from East to West,-about the size of Bedfordshire.

Lower Galilee included the district from the plain of Akka to the shores of the Sea of Galilee, and was mainly composed of the rich plain of Esdraelon (or Jezreel). Upper Galilee included the mountain range between the upper Jordan and Phoenicia. Galilee was thus the main scene of our Lord's ministry. It was surpassingly rich and fertile (Jos. B. J. т. 15. 5, im. 10, §§ 7, 8). See on i. 26. Herod's dominions included the larger though less pepulous district of Peraca; but the flourishing towns of Decapolis (Gerasa, Gadara, Damascus, Hippos, Pelia, \&sc.) were independent.
 and Cleopatra, who afterwards married his niece Salome, daughter of the other Herod Philip (who lived in a private capacity at Rome) and of his half-sister-in-law Herodias. This tetrarch seems to have been the best of the Herods (Jos. Antt. xvir. 2, §4), end the townof Caesarea Philippi which he beautified was named from him. He also changed the name of the northern Bethsaida into Julias after the miserable daughter of Augustus. He was a devoted adherent of the Caesars but so just and generous that "in his person it is possible to become reconciled to the House of Herod." (See Job. B. J. ri. 9, 1. 6; Antt. zvili. 4, § 6 ; Ewald, Gesch. Isr. v. 46; Keim, Gesch. Jesu, 1. 206.) He reigned 37 years.
${ }^{\prime}$ Iroupalas кal Tpaxavlrıסos x'́pas. His tetrarchate also included Batanaea (Bashan), Auranitis (the Hauran), Gaulanitis (Golân), and some parts about Jaminia (Jos. B. J. in. 6, §3). Ituraea (now Jedûr) was at the foot of Mount Hermon, and was named from Jetur, son of Ishmael (Gen. xxv. 15, 16). The Ituraeans were marauders, famous for the use of the bow, and protected by their mountain fastnesses. (Strabo, xvi. 2; Lucan, Phars. vir. 230.) Trachonitis, also a country of robbers (Jos. Antt. xyI. $9, \$ \$ 1,2$ ), is the Greek rendering of the Aramaic Argob (a region about 22 miles from N. to S. by 14 from W. to E.), and means 'a rough or stony tract.' It is the modern province of el-Lejah, and the ancient kingdom of Og-"an ocean of basaltic rocks and boulders, tossed about in the wildest confusion, and intermingled with fissures and crevices in every direction." Herod Philip received this tetrarchate by bequest from his father (Jos. B. J. in. 6, §3).
 minute particular is somewhat singular, but shews St Luke's desire for at least one rigid chronological datum. It used to be asserted that St Luke had here fallen into another chronological error, but his probable accuracy has, in this point also, been completely vindicated. There was a Lysanias king of Chalcis under Mount Lebanon, and therefore in all probability tetrarch of Abilene, in the days of Antony and Cleopatra, 60 years before this period (Jos. Antt. x7. 4, § $1, B . J$ J. I. 13, $\S 1$ ); and there was another Lysanias, probably a grandson of the former, in the reigns of Caligula and Claudius, 20 years after this pericd (Jos. Antt. xy. 4, § 1). No intermediate Lysanias is recorded in history, but there is not a shadow of proof that the Lysanias here mentioned may not be the second of these two, or more probably some Lysanias who came between them, perhaps the son of the first and
the father of the second. Even M. Renan admits that after reading at Baalbek the inseription of Zenodorus (Boeckh, Corp. Inser. Graec. no. 4521, Jos. $B . J$. II. 6, §31) he infers the correctness of the Evangelist (Vie de Jesus, p. xiii. ; Les Evangiles, p. 263). It is jndeed, on the lowest gronnds, inconceivable that so careful a writer as St Luke should have deliberately gone out of his way to introduce so apparentlysuperficous an allusion at the risk of falling into a needless error. Lysanias is perhaps mentioned because he had Jewish connexions (Jos. Antt. xiv. 7, 84). The minuteness of the effort to fix the date marks St Luke as a true historian, and Keim only shews the prejudice of hostile criticism when he asserts (Gesch. Jesu, 1. 619) that "there never was but one historical Lysanias." Augustus was fond of restoring kingdoms to young princes, whose fathers Antony had murdered, as he did to the young Lamblichus of Emesa (Godet). It may however be doubted whether St Luke mennt to draw attention to the dismemberment of the Holy Land.
 from Baalbek. The district of which it was the capital is probably here mentioned because it sobsequently formed part of the Jewish ferritory, having been assigned by Galigula to his fayourite Herod Agrippa I. in A.D. 36. The name is derived from Abel 'a meadow.'
2. $\ell \pi i$ dंpxıєpt $\omega$ s "Avva kai Kaïáda. 'In the high-priesthood of Annas and Caiaphas,' for the true reading is undoubtedly d $\rho \chi$ cepews (NABCDE, \&c.). A similar expression occurs in Acts iv. 6. But here St Luke is charged (on grounds as untenable as in the former instances) with yet another mistake. Annas or Hanan the son of Seth had been High Priest from 4.D. 7-14, and had therefore, by this time, been deposed for many years; and his son-in-law Joseph Caiaphas, the fourth High Priest since his deposition, had been appointed about a.D. 24. The order had been as follows:-

> Annas or Ananus (Hanan), A.D. 7. Ishmael Ben Phabi, A.D. 15. Eleazar son of Annas, A.D. 15. Simon son of Kamhith, A.D. 16 . Joseph Caiaphas, A.D. 24 or 25 .

How then can Annas be called High Priest in A.d. 27? The answer is (i.) that by the Mosaic Law the High Priesthood was held for life (Numb. xxyr. 25), and since Annas had only been deposed by the arbitrary caprice of the Roman procurator Valerius Gratus he would still be legally and religiously regarded as High Priest by the Jews (Numb. xxx7. 25); (ii.) that he held in all probability the high office of Sagan haccohanim 'deputy' or 'chief' of the Priests (2 K. xxv. 18), or of Nasi 'President of the Sanhedrin,' or at least of the Ab Beth Din, who was second in the Sanhedrin; (iii.) that the nominal, official, High Priests of this time were mere puppets of the civil power, which appointed and deposed them at will in rapid succession, so that the title was used in a looser sense than in earlier days; (iv.) that Annas was personally a man whose age, wealth, and connexions gave him a
preponderant influence. The real sacerdotal power was his. The Hieh. Priesthood was in fact at this time in the hands of a clique of some half-dozen Herodian, Sadducaean and alien families; whose ambition it was to bear the title for a time without facing the burden of the necessary duties. Hence any one who was unusually prominent among them would naturally bear the title of 'High Priest' in a popular way, eapecially in such a case as that of Hanan, who, besides having been High Priest, was a man of vast wealth and influence, so that five also of his sons, as well as his son-in-law, became High Priests after him. The language of St Lake and the Evangelists (Joh. xi. 49) is therefore in strict accordance with the facts of the case in ettributing the High Priesthood at this epoch rather to a caste than to a person. Josephus (B. J. in, 20, §4) who talks of "one of the High Priests" and the Talmud which speaks of "the sons of the High Priests" ase the same sort of language. There had been no less than 28 of these phantom High Priests in 107 years (Jos. Antt. xx. 10, § 1), and there must have been at least five living High Priests and ex-High Priests at the Council that condemned onr Lord. The Jews, even in the days of David, had been familiar with the sort of co-ordinate High Priesthood of Zadok and Abiathar. For the greed, rapacity and luxary of this degenerate hierarchy, see Life of Christ, II. 329, 330, 342.
Ev $\boldsymbol{\tau} \hat{n}$ eqinu. Mainly, as appears from the next verse, the Arabah, the sunken valley north of the Dead Sea-el Ghôr-"the decpest and hottest chasm in the world" (Humboldt, Cosmos. i. 150), where the sirocco blows almost without intermission. "A more frightful desert it had hardly been our lot to behold" (Robinson, Rescarches, II. 121). See it described by Mr Grove in Smith's Bibl. Dict. s.v. Arabah. The stern aspect and terrible associations of the spot had doubtless exercised their influence on the mind of John. See on i. 80.
3. Ij $\lambda \boldsymbol{\theta} v$. St Luke alone mentions the mission journeys of John the Baptist; the other Evangelists, whose narratives (Matt. iii. 1-12; Mark i. 1-8; John i. 15, 28) should be carefully compared with that of St Luke, describe how the multitudes "came streaming forth" to him.
 exactly the same phrase, but in a different connexion (Matt. iii. 5 ; Mk. i. 5). The Arabah is some 150 miles in extent; the actual rivervalley, specified in the O. T. by the curious words Fikkar and Geliloth (see Stanley, Sin. and Pal. p. 284), is not so extensive.
 v. 31, xzii. 16; where the two expressions are also united. Merauola involves "amendment of life" (A. V. marg.) The baptism of John was "a baptism of repentance," not yet "a laver of regeneration" (Tit. iii. 5). It was intended first as a symbol of purification-"Then will I sprinkle clean water upon you, and ye shall be clean,' Ezek. xxxvi. 25; (comp. Is. i. 16; Zech. xiii. 1); and then as an initiation into the kingdom which was at hand. The Jews had been familiar
with the symbolism of baptism from the earliest days, as 0 consecration (Exod, xxix. 4), and a purification (Lev. xir. 8). It was one of the forms by which proselytes were admitted into Judaism. John's adoption of this rite proved (i) his authority (John i. 25); and (ii) his opinion that even Jews needed to be thas washed from sins.
4. 'Haatov тov̂ $\pi p \circ \phi$ ทírov. Is. xl. 3.
[ $\lambda$ eyorsos.] This word should be omitted with NBDL, \&c.
фшvฑ. 'A voice.' The Hebrew original may be rendered " Hark, one crieth." St Luke does not follow the other Synoptists in the identification of John with the promised Elias (Matt. xvii. 13; Mk. ix. 13).
 hopeless warnings, vox clamantis in deserto. Probably, however, the "in the wilderness" should be attached to the words uttered by the voice, as is required by the parallelism of Hebrew poetry:
"Prepare ye in the wilderness a way for Jehovah,
Level in the desert a highway for our God."
The wilderness is metaphorically the barren waste of the Jewish life in that day (Is. xxxy. 1).
ósòv kuplov. Comp. Is. xxxy. 8-10, "And a highway shall be there, and a way, and it shall be called the way of holiness: the unclean shall not pass over it...And the ransomed of the Lord shall retarn, and come to Zion."
6. $\pi$ ãoa ${ }^{2}$ apayE. Is. xl. 4. The word occurs in Judith ii. 8 but not again in the N. T. The metaphor is derived from pioneers who go before the march of a king. There is a remarkable parallel in Josephus (B. J. imi. 6, § 2), where he is describing the march of Vespasian, and says that among his vanguard were "such as were to make the road even and straight, and if it were anywhere rough and hard to be passed over, to plane it, and to cut down the woods that hindered their march (comp. троко́хтєцу ='to advance' in ii. 52), that the army might not be tired." The Jews fabled that the Pillar of Cloud and Fire in the desert smocthed the mountains and filled the valleys before them. Tanchuma, f. 70, 3 on Numb. xx. 22.
 meek shall be exalted, and the mighty put down. Compare Is. ii. 1215, "The day of the Lord of hosts shall be upon every one that is proud and lofty, and upon every one that is lifted up, and he shall be brought low...And upon all the high mountains, \&e." Zech. iv. 7, "Who art thou, 0 great mountain? Before Zerubbabel thow shalt become a plain."

Boupós. The word in the N. T. occurs only here and in xxiii. 30. It is a Cyrenaie word, first naturalised by Aeschylus who had learnt it in Sicily. It became common in Hellenistic Greek, see Valckneer on Herod. rv. 158. Bähr on Herod. rv. 199.

names Jacob and Jeshurun; as though it were "then the Supplanter shell be turned into Prince with God" or "the beloved" (Is. xliv. 2, xi. 4). The general meaning of the prophecy is that no obstacles, whether they arose from depression, or power, or pride, or cunning perversity, or menacing difficulties, should be able to resist the labours of the Pioneers and Heralds of the Kingdom of God. The feeble instrumentality of Galilaeans should be strengthened; the power of the Romans and Herods should be shattered; the duplicity and plots of Pharisees and worldlings should be defeated; the apparently insuperable opposition of Judaism and Heathenism be swept away.
 adds these words to the quotation, and his doing so is characteristic of his object, which was to bring out the universality of the Gospel. See ii. 10, xxiv. 47, and Introd. p. xxiv. "The salvation" is $\tau \dot{\delta} \sigma \omega \tau \bar{\eta}$ poov, as in ii. 30. When the mountains of earthly tyranny and spiritual pride are levelled, the view of God's saving power becomes clear to all flesh.
7. Toîs ox ${ }^{\text {docs, }}$ 'to the multitudes.' Different crowds came from different directions, Matt. iii. 5; Mark i. 5. This discourse falls into three sections (1) the warning (7-9); (2) the practical exhortation (10-14); (3) the Announcement of the Messiah (15-17).
 born of serpents." The comparison was familiar to Hebrew poetry, and we learn from Matt. iii. 7 that it was specially pointed at the Pharisees and Sadducees, to whom it was addressed no less sternly by our Lord (Matt. xxiii. 33). It described the venomous hypocrisy which turned religion itself into a vice, and hid a deadly malice under the glittering semblance of a zeal for orthodoxy. St John saw that, without any real belief in his message and ministry, they were coming to his baptism as to a mere external official act. His question shews his disbelief in their sincerity (Matt. xxi. 25). But let it be borne in mind that only teachers of transcendent holiness, and immediately inspired by God with fervency and insight, may dare to use such language. The metaphor was one of those desert symbols which would be suggested to St John both by the scene of his preaching and by the language of Isaiah with which he shews special familiarity.
 ment. Rom. ii. 5. Apart from this expression of the Baptist, the word ofron only occurs three times in the four Gospels. The Jews had been taught by prophecy that the Advent of their Deliverer should be praceded by a time of anguish which they called "the Woes of the Messiah; " comp. Mal. iii. 2, "Who may abide the day of His coming? and who shall stand when He appeareth? For He is like a refiner's fire, and like fuller's soap." Id. iv. 1 "Behold I send you Elijah the prophet before the coming of the great and dreadful day of the Lord." Such prophecies received their primary fulfilment at the Destruction of Jerusalem (see Matt. xziv. 28; Mark xiii. 19, 20); and await their final fulfilment hereafter: Rev, vi. 16.
8. Toเท'бare. The verb implies instant effort. "Produce at once."
 not allow yourselves to say.' The áp $\xi \eta \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ is almost like 'Do not harp on the old boast' (Das alte Lied anfangen). St Matthew has $\mu \dot{\eta} \delta \delta \xi \eta \tau \epsilon$, 'do not deceive yourselves on the subjeet by a mere illusion.'
 The Jews had so exalted a conception of this privilege (John viii. 39) that they could scarcely believe it possible that any son of Abraham should ever be lost. This is seen in many passages of the Talmud, which maintain that a "single Israelite is of more worth in God's sight than all the nations of the world." "Thou madest the world for our sakes. As for the other people...Thou hast said...that they are nothing but be like unto spittle, and last likened the abundance of them unto a drop that falleth from a vessel... But we Thy people whom Thou hast called Thy firstborn, Thy only begotten, and Thy fervent lover, \&c." 2 Esdr. vi. 56-58. The Prophets had long ago warned them that privileges without duties were no protection (Jer. vii. 3, 4; Mic. iii. 11; Is. xlviii. 2, \&e..). Christ taught them that Abraham's seed had no exclusive offer of salvation (Matt. viii. 11, 12 ; John viii. 33-39), and it was a speeial part of the mission of St Paul to bring home to them that "they are not all Israel which are of Israel," Rom. iv. 1, ix. 6-7; Gal. iii. 29, vi. 15.
 on the strand of Jordan, around him. He who had made Adam from the clay could make sons of Abraham from those stones (Bengel). St John's imagery is that of the wilderness, -the rock, the serpent, the barren tree.
 woodman touching a tree ( $\pi \rho \delta \delta$ ) with the edge of his axe to measure his blow before he lifts his arm for the sweep which fells it.
$\mu \eta े ~ \pi o t o ̂ ̂ v, ~ i f ~ i t ~ p r o d u c e ~ n o t . ~ T h e ~ \mu i ̀ ~ p o i n t s ~ t o ~ a ~ c o n d i t i o n . ~$
 and being cast." It is aimost impossible to reproduce in English the force of this use of the present. It is called the 'praesens futurascens' (see note on ii. 29), and is used in cases when the doom has been long uttered, and is, by the evolution of the natural laws of God's dealings, in course of inevitable accomplishment. But we see from prophetic imagery that even when the tree has been felled and burned "the watchers and holy ones" may still have charge to leave the stamp of it in the tender grass of the field that it may grow again, $\mathrm{Dan}^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{iv} .25$; and we see from the express language of St Paul that the olive tree of Jewish life was not to be cut down and burned for ever (Rom. ix. x.). A barren fig-tree was also our Lord's symbol of the Jewish nation. Lk. xiii. 6 .

## 10-14. Answer of the Baftist to the Molittode.

10. TK oviv тotทowacy; 'What then are we to do?' (Deliberative subjunctive). Compare the question of the multitude to Peter on the day of Pentecost (Acts ii. 37) and that of the Philippian jailor (xvi. 30).
 details in this interesting section. Beyond the single upper garment ( $\chi \iota \sigma \bar{\prime}$, ketoneth), and garment ( $\ell \mu a t i o \nu$ ) and girdle, no other article of dress was necessary. A second 'tunic' or ketoneth was a mere luxury, so long as thousands were too poor to own even one.
 13-15), and St James (ii. 15-17), and St John (1 John iii. 17), because they had learnt this spirit from Christ. A literal fulfilment of it has often been represented by Christian Art in the "Charity of St Martin."

Bpónara, 'food.' The word 'meat' has now acquired the specific sense of 'flesh,' which it never has in our E. V. For instance the "meat-offering" was generally an offering of flour and oil.

We may notice the following particulars respecting the preaching of the Baptist:
(1) It was stern, as was natural to an ascetic whose very aspect and mission were modelled on the example of Elijah. The particulars of his life, and dress, and food-the leathern girdle, the mantle of camel's hair, the living on locusts and wild honey-are preserved for us by the other Evangelists, and they gave him that power of mastery over others which always springs from perfect self-control, and absolute self-abnegation. Hence "in his manifestation and agency he was like a burning torch; his whole life was a very earthquake; the whole man was a sermon."
(2) It was absolutely dauntless. The wlettered Prophet of the Desert has not a particle of respect for the powerful Sadducees and loug-robed luxurious Rabbis, and disdains to be flattered by their coming to listen to his teaching. Having nothing to hope from man's favour, he has nothing to fear from man's dislike.
(3) It shews remarkable insight into human nature, and into the needs and temptations of every class which came to him, -shewing that his ascetic sealusion did not arise from any contempt of, or aversion to, his fellow men.
(4) It was intensely practical. Not only does it exclude all abstract and theological terms such as 'justification,' \&c., but it says nothing directly of even faith, or love. In this respect it recalls the Old Testament, and might be summed up in the words of Balaam (?) preserved in the prophet Micah, "He hath shewed thee, 0 man, what is good; and what doth the Lord require of thee, bat to do justly, and to love mercy, and to walk humbly with thy God ?" Mic. vi. 8 .
(5) Fet though it still belonge to the dispensation of the shadow it prophesies of the dawn. His first message was "Repent;" his
second was "The kingdom of heaven is at hand:" and this message culminated in the words "Behold the Lamb of God," which shewed that the Olam habba or 'future age' had already begun. These two great utterances "contain the two capital revelations to which all the preparation of the Gospel has been tending." "Law and prophecy; denunciation of sin and promise of pardon; the flame which consumes and the light which consoles-is not this the whole of the covenant?" Lange.
(6) It does not claim the credentials of a single miracle. The glory and greatness of John the Baptist, combined with the fact that not a single wonder is attributed to him, is the strongest argument for the truth of the Gospels against the 'mythical theory' of Strauss, who reduces the Gospel miracles to a circle of imaginative legends devised to glorify the Founder of Christianity. At the same time this acknowledged absence of miraculous powers enhances our conception of the enormous moral force which sufficed, withont a sign, to stir to its very depths the heart of a sign-demanding age.
(7) It had only a partial and temporary popalarity. Rejected by the Pharisees who said that "he had a devil," the Baptist failed to produce a permanent influence on more than a chosen few (John $v$. 35; Lk. vii. 30 ; Matt. xi. 18, xxi. 23-27; Aets xviii. 25, xix. 3, 4). After his imprisonment he seems to have fallen into neglect, and he himself felt from the first that his main mission was to prepare the way for another, and to decrease before him. He was "the lamp kindled and shining" (John v. 35) which becomes needless and ceases to be noticed when the sun has dawned.
12. Telwval, 'tax-gatherers' (without the article). The English word 'publican' is a corruption of the Latin publicani 'farmers of the taxes." The Roman government did not collect its own taxes, but leased them out to speculators of the equestrian order, who were called publicani, and who made their own profit out of the transaction. These knights appointed subordinates, who from the unpleasant character of the task could only be secured from the lowest of the people. These officials were not only detested as the agents of an odious system, but also for their notorious malpractices. It is true that by an edict of Gaius (Caligula) the Jews were allowed, as perhaps they were allowed even at this earlier date, to pay a regular tribute which was not farmed out to the equestrian publicani (Jos. Antt. xiv. $10, \S 5)$. But even then the actual collection of the tax had to be entrusted to underlings (see Wieseler, Beiträge, p. 78). A strict Jew could hardly force himself even to pay taxes, and therefore naturally looked with seorn and hatred on any Jew who could sink so low as to collect them. Hence in our Lord's time the word "publican" had become proverbial, as expressive of the worst opprobirim (Matt. xviii. 17). The Jews were not however peculiar in their dislike of publicans. The Greeks too regarded the word as a synonym of 'plunderer,' and an 'innocent publicen' was looked apon as a marvellous phenomenon (Suet. Vesp. r.). Suidas defines the life of a publican as "unrestrained plunder, unblushing greed, unreasonable peltifogging, shameless busi-
ness." The relation of the publicans to John is referred to in Matt. xxi. 32. Seo vii. 29.

Tf $\pi$ otin $\sigma \omega \mu \mathrm{e}$; See vs. 10 . We have the same question, but with the answer which was only possible after the Resurrection, in Acts ii. 37; xvi. 30; xxii. 10.
 xxiii. 51 ; Col. iii. $9, \&$. ) is often used in a bad sense (xxiii. 41 ; John iii. 2, sce.). The immodestia (i.e. the extravagant greed) of the publicans was their habitual sin, and later historians often allude to their cruel exactions (Caes. Bell. Civ. nir. 32). The cheating and meddling for which Zacchaeus promised fourfold restoration (xiz. 8) were universal among them.
 (as before in vs. 10) implies that such questions were put to him by bodies of soldiers in succession.

бтратєшбцкขoh, 'soldiers on the march' or on service. On what expedition these soldiers were engaged it is impossible to say. They cannot have been Roman soldiers, and were certainly not any detachment of the army of Antipas marching against his injured father-in-law Hareth (Aretas), ethnarch of Arabia, for their quarrel was long subsequent to this. The word $\sigma$ трatevópepo is less definite than orpatiôtat. Ewald supposes that they were a sort of police (gendarmerie) engaged in custom-house duties.
 $\Delta$ ta $\sigma \mathrm{El} \omega$, like the Latin concutio, is a technical word. It implies robbery and violence, and something of this sense is retained in the French 'concussion' (Littrés s. v.). See 3 Maco. vii. 21.
 plies pettifogging eharges on trivial grounds, and is the word from which sycophant is derived. The temptation of soldiers, strong in their aolidarity, was to terrify the poor by violence, and undermine the rich by acting as informers. The best comment on the Baptist's advice to them is the xyid Satire of Juvenal, which is aimed at their brutality and threats.
 late meaning of the word $\dot{0} \psi \boldsymbol{\omega}$ first instance +boiled fish ( $\left.\epsilon^{\prime} \psi \omega\right)$ eaten as a relish with meat." It is remarkable that the Baptist does not bid even soldiers to abandon their profession, but to serve God in it. This is important as shewing that he did not hold up the life of the hermit or the ascetic as a model or ideal for all. He evidently held, like the good St Hugo of Avalon, that "God meant us to be good men, not monks and hermits." Josephus, when (Antt. xvin. v. 2) he sums up the teaching of the Baptist by saying that "he commanded the Jews to practise virtue both in righteousness to one another and piety to, God," rightly estimates 张e practical, but omits the prophetic side of his teaching.

## 16-20. The Messianid Announcemient. Imprisonment of Jome.

15. тробסokผิттоs. The Messianic expectations of the day had reached the Gentiles, many of whom eren at Rome and in high society were proselytes, or half proselytes, to Judaism.

Sıahoyヶ̧ouévwv. 'While they were reasoning.' Fulg. cogitantibus omnibus.
 the Christ.'
16. diteкриато. The answer, as we find from John i, 19-28, was given in its most definite form to a Pharisaic deputation of Priests and Levites, who were despatched by the Sanhedrin expressly to ask him to define his claims.
ó loXupótepós rov. 'The stronger than I' (comp. viii. 27).
גûral. St Mark adds the graphic touch кú $\psi a s$, 'to stoop and untie.' In Matt. iii. 11 it is 'to carry ( $\beta a \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \alpha \iota$ ) his sandals;' i, e. I am not adequate to be his humblest slave.
 this sense, is from the same root perhaps as the Latin laqueus (ItaI. laccio, Portug. lazzo, old French lacs, Fr. lacet, Engl, lace).
 pleonasm. Comp. oí $\tau \hat{\psi} \mu \hat{\hat{H}} \lambda \omega \pi \epsilon$ aúvov̂, 1 Pet. ii. 24. The idiom is common in Hellenistic Greek, but is also classical, as in Herod. Iv. 44 , \&c. "Christ which that is to every wonnd triacle." Chancer. See Brief Greek Syntax, § 102.
 preposition $\epsilon \bar{y}$ distinguishes between the mere instrumentality of the water, and the spiritual clement whereby and wherein the child of the kingdom is baptized. This baptism by the Spirit had been foretold in Is. xliv. 3; Joel ii. 28. Its first obvious fulfilment was at Pentecost (Acts i. 5, ii. 3) and in subsequent outpourings after baptism (Acts xi. 15, 16). But it is fulfilled without visible supernatural signs to all Christians (1 Cor. vi. Il); "by one Spirit are we all baptized into one body," 1 Cor. xii. 13). At the same time Acts xix. 2 shews that we must not attribute to the Baptist any clear view of the Holy Ghost as a Person.
kai mupl. In its first and most literal sense the allusion is to the fiery tongues of Pentecost (Acts ii. B); but the secondary and metaphoric allusion is to the burning zeal and illuminating light of the Spirit. St Jerome sees a further allusion to fiery trials (xii. 49; Mark ix. $49 ; 1$ Pet. iv. 12) and to the fire of judgment (I Cor. iii. 13); but these allusions cannot be regarded as certain.
17. тd̀ тrúv. 'Wiunowing.fan.' The Latin vannus, a great shovel with which corn was thrown up against the wind to separate it from the chaff.
aidova. 'Threshing-floor.' The word is the same as that from which our hato is derived, sinc) the threshing-floors of the ancients were circular.
 into my barn."
rd $\delta \frac{\text { áx }}{\text { upop. The word includes straw and stabble. We find similar }}$ metaphors in Ps. i. 4, "the ungodly...are like the chaff;" Mal. iv, 1, "all that do wickedly shall be stubble;" Jer. xy. 7, "I will fan them with a fan in the gates of the land." So far as the allusion is to the separation of good from evil elements in the Church we find similar passages in Matt. xiii. 30; 1 John ii. 19, \&sc. But it may refer also to the destruction of the evil clements in a mixed character, as in xxii. 31, "Simon...Satan hath desired to have you ( $\dot{\mu} \mu \bar{s}$ ), that he may sift you as wheat."

## катакаи́тє!. He shall burn up.

 from these;' of which some are recorded by St John alone (i. 29, 34, iii. 27-36).
eujnyektgero.' Literally, 'he was preaching the Good Tidings.' With the phrase єin $\gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda / 5 \epsilon \tau 0$ т $\delta \nu \lambda a b \nu$ compare Acts viii. 25, 40, xvi. 10. The verb has two accusatives (one being the cognate accusative) in Acts xiii. 22. It is found with the dative in iv. 18; Rom. i. 15, \&c. The accusative indicates the direction of the verbal action, and is involved in the notion of teaching.
 introduced by anticipation, that the subsequent narrative may not be disturbed. We find similar anticipatory notices in i. 66, 80. It should be compared with the fuller notice in Mark vi. 17-20; Matt. xiv. 3-5. From these passages we learn that John had reproved Antipas for many crimes, and that Antipas was so convinced of his holiness and justice as habitually to listen to him with pleasure ( $\dot{\eta} \delta \epsilon \omega s$ aúrov $\bar{\eta} \kappa o v \in \nu$ ), and after paying earnest heed to him was greatly at a loss about him. We learn further that he resisted the constant urgency of Herodias to put him to death.
 xx. 21, and was perfectly uncompromising (Matt. xiv. 4). In this respect the dauntless courage of John, ander circumstances of far greater peril, contrasts most favourably with the timid and unworthy concessions of the Reformers in the matter of the marriage of Philip of Hesse.

тoû $\mathfrak{d} \delta \in \lambda \phi o u ̂$ aúrâ̂. These words are omitted by some of the best uncials, and "Philip's" by most of them. On this Herod Philipwho was not the tetrarch of that name-see on iii. 1.
 his crimes.' The Jews as well as St Luke regarded the treatment of
the Baptist by Antipas as the worst of his crimes, and the cause of his sabsequent defeat and disgrace (Jos. Antt. xvim. 5, 1-4).

кatechewev. The sentences are simply paratactio. In a more olassical passage this olause would have been made subordinate, by Ш̈are with the infinitive or some similar construction.

Ey $\phi u \lambda a \kappa \hat{\eta}$. If the reading $\hat{y} y$ rin $\phi u \lambda a k \hat{p}$ were correct it would mean "in his prison." Comp. vii. 18. This prison, as we learn from Josephas (Antt. xinit. 5, § 2), was the stern and gloomy fortress of Makor or Machaerus, on the borders of Arabia to the north of the Dead Sea. It is situated among black basaltic rocks and was believed to be hannted by evil demons. Its ruins have been visited in recent years by Canon Tristram (Land of Moab, p. 259) and other travellers, and dungeons are still visible of which one may have witnessed the great prophet's tragic end.

## 21-38. The Baptism of Jesus. The Genealogy.

 had been baptized,' not 'were being baptized' as Meyer renders it. Or we may explain the baptism of all the people as one circumstance, and render 'on the baptism of all the people.' The expression (which is peculiar to St Luke) seems to imply that on this day Jesus was baptized last; and from the absence of any allusion to the multitade in this and the other narratives we are almost forced to conjecture that His baptism was in a measure private. St Luke's narrative must be supplemented by particulars derived from St Matthew (iii. 13-17), who alone narrates the unwillingness of the Baptist, and the memorable conversation between him and Jesus. St Mark (i. 9-11) mentions that Jesus went into the river, and that it was He who first saw the cleaving heavens, and the Spirit descending.
 tion of the Baptist, stated it as a reason for His Baptism that "thus it beoometh us to fulfil all righteousness;" i.e. that it was His will to observe all the requirements of the Mosaic law, which He came "not to destroy but to fulfil." Other reasons have also been suggested, as (i) that He baptized (as it were) the water-"to sanctify water to the mystical washing away of sin" (Ignat. ad Eph. 18; Maxim. Serm. 7, de Epiphan.; Ps.-Ang. Serm. 135. 4); or (ii) that He waz baptized as it were vicariously, as Head of His body, the Church (Just. Mart. c. Tryph. 88); or (iii) as a consecration of Himself to His work, followed by the special consecration from the Father; or (iv) as a great act of humility (St Bernard, Serm. 47, in Cant.). See my Life of Christ, i. 117 n . This aorist participle of the single act is followed by the pres. participle of the continuous act.
kal mporevxopévou. This deeply interesting touch is peculiar to St Luke, who similarly on eight other occasions calls attention to the Prayers of Jesus-after severe labours (v. 16); before the choosing of
the Apostles (vi. 12); before Peter's great confession (ix. 18); at His transfiguration (ix. 28, 29); for Peter (xxii. 32); in Gethsemane (zxii. 41); for His murderers (xxiii. 34); and at the moment of death (xxiii. 46). St Luke also represents the duty and blessing of urgent prayer by the record of two peouliar parables-the Importunate Friend (xi. 5-13) and the Unjust Judge (xviii. 2). See Introd. p. xxxii.
22. кaraßŋिval. This was seen by John the Baptist (John i. 34) and by Jesus (Mk. i. 10), but not (apparentiy) by others.
бшнатькө̂ efet. This addition is peculiar to St Luke, and is probably added to shew the distinctness and reality of what Theodoret calls the 'spiritual vision' ( $\pi \nu \varepsilon v \mu a \tau \iota \kappa ो \geqslant \in \omega \rho / a)$.
wis $\pi$ epurtepoiv. The expression $\dot{\text { as }}$ or wol used by each of the Evangelists, and St John's "and it abode upon Him" (John i. 32), sufficiently prove that no actual dove is intended. The Holy Spirit is symbolised by a dove from early times. The Talmudic comment on Gen. i. 2 is that "the Spirit of God moved on the face of the waters like a dove"-

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { "And with .mighty wings outspread } \\
& \text { Dovelike sat'st brooding on the vast abyss." } \\
& \text { Minton (Par. Lost, i. 20). }
\end{aligned}
$$

Comp. 2 Esdr. $\mathbf{~} .26$, " of all the fowls that are created thou hast named thee one dove." Matt. x. 16. A mystical reason was assigned for this in some fathers, because the numerical value of the letters of the Greek word peristera, 'a dove,' amounts to 801, which is also the value of Alpha Omega. We are probably intended to understand a dovelike, hovering, lambent flame descending on the head of Jesus; and this may account for the unanimous early legend that a fire or light was kindled in Jordan (Just. Mart. c. Tryph. 88, and the Gospel of the Hebrews; see Epiphan. Haer. xxx. 13). Other Apocryphal Gospels (the Gospel of the Nazarenes, \&c.) added other incidents obviously fictitions.
$\boldsymbol{\xi} \xi$ ou'parov. 'Out of heaven.' St Matthew has $\boldsymbol{\xi} \xi$ oủpapūv because he follows the common Hebraism of using "the heavens' (with reference to the seven heavens of the Rabbis) except when he alludes to heaven as a mere physical region. When he speaks of heaven as God's abode ("Our Father which art in the heavens," "The kingdom of the heavens," "our Father from the heavens," \&c.) he uses the plural. St Luke only uses "heavens" four times, and St John not at all. See an excellent note in Humphry Rev. Version, p. 7. This Bath Kol or Voice from heaven also occurred at the Transfiguration (Matt. xvii. 5) and in the closing week of Christ's life (John xii. $28-30$ ). This is one of the passages which so distinctly imply the doctrine of the Blessed Trinity.

єن́Sók $\eta \sigma a$. 'I was well pleased.' The word cíookte is a late and ill-formed word. Like кара $\delta$ ккєiv it violates the rule ("regium praeceptum Scaligeri") that $\delta$ us and $\epsilon \pm$ and the privative a cannot be joined to rerbs except through an intermediate substantive. See the
rule explained in Brief Greek Syntax $\mathrm{S}_{8}$ 107, 108. Justin Martyr adds "This day have I begotten thee," which is also found in D and the Itala, but is a mere gloss from Ps. ii. 7.
23. aủfbs. For another instance of this distinctive and emphatio aútos see i. 22; Matt. iii. 4.
 age on beginning (His work).' So it was understood by Tyndale, but the A.V. followed Cranmer, and the Geneva. The translation of our A.V. is, however, ungrammatical, and a strange expression to which no parallel can be adduced. The word da $\rho$ duepos standing absolutely for 'when He began His ministry,' is explained by the extreme prominency of this beginaing in the thought of St Luke (see Acts i. 1, 22), and his desire to fix it with accuracy. The age of 30 was that at which a Levite might enter on his fall services (Numb. iv. 3, 47), and the age at which Joseph had stood before Pharaoh (Gen. xli, 46), and at which David had began to reign (2 Sam. v. 4), and at which
 Mem. i. Dion. Halicarn. rv. G, Wieseler, Beiträge, p. 165).
ws lvopiteтo. "Is not this the carpenter's son?" Matt. xiii. 55; John vi. 42.

On the genealogy which follows, and its relations to that in the Gospel of St Matthew, many volumes have been written, but in the Excursus I have endeavoured to condense all that is most important on the subject, and to give those conclusions which are now accepted by the most careful scholars. See Excursus II., The genealogies of Jesus in St Matthew and St Luke.
rov̀ 'Hגel. It is a curious circumstance that in the Talmud (Chagig. 77) Mary is called the daughter of Eli; but it is a distortion of plain grammar to make this verse mean "being as was supposed, the sou of Joseph [but in reality the son of Mary, daughter] of Eli."

## CHAPTER IV.

 of the Rec. is an easier, more commonplace, less significant reading. See note.
 is perhaps added from St Matt. iv. and hence is found in most versions and Fathers. [Most of the variations in this narrative are mere additions from the parallel narratives.]
7. $\pi \hat{a} \sigma a$. The $\pi$ ápra of Rec. is from Matt. iv. 9.
9. uíos (for $\dot{o}$ ulds) NABD, and all the best editors.
16. Nagapét. The reading of $\mathbb{N B}, \mathrm{Ti}$. W.H. is Najapd.
17. ávartu'gas. 'Avoikas (ABL, La.) is perhaps an explanation. tótrov, so NL.

18．Lá BDL，Vulg．It．\＆c．

23．Els $[\mathcal{T}] v]$ Kaфapvaoú $\mu$ ，＊BDL，Marcion．The $\phi$ is doubtless right as Kaphar means a village．

26．ELSwrias，NABCD．
29．※ัт $\epsilon, \mathbb{B D L}$ ，Marcion，\＆c．Better than els $\tau \delta$ of the Rec．
 should be omitted with $\mathbb{R B C D F L}$ dre．

> Ch. IV. 1-13. The Tempration.
 to the work of the Spirit，iii．22，iv．14；Acts vi．3，vii． 55 ，xi． 24. The expression alludes to the ontpouring of the Spirit upon Jesus at His baptism，iii．22．John iii．34．The narrative should be com－ pared with Matt．iv．1－11；Mk．i．12，13．St John，who narrates mainly what he had himself seen，omits the temptation．

ข่тย́ণтре屯єv．＂Went away．＇
ク̈үeтo．The imperfect implies a continuous leading during all the forty days，as well as a continuous temptation．A divine impulse led him to face the hour of peril alone．St Mark uses the more intense expression，＂immediately the Spirit driveth Him forth．＂He only devotes two verses（Mk．i．12，13）to the Temptation，but adds the graphic touch that＂He was with the wild beasts＂（comp．Ps．xci．13）， and implies the continuous ministration of angels（ $\delta \iota \eta \kappa \delta \nu o v y$ ）to Him．

Ev тヘ̣̂ тveípatu．${ }^{\text {n }}$ In the Spirit，＇comp．ii．27．Rom．viii．14．The phrase emphasizes the＂full of the Holy Ghost，＂and has the same meaning as＂in the power of the Spirit，＂vs． 14.
＂Thou Spirit，who ledd＇st this glorious eremite Into the desert，his victorious field Against the spiritual foe，and brought＇st Him thence By proof the undoubted Son of God，＂

Miluton，Par．Reg． 1.
 Spirit＇during the whole period as He wanderad about．The seene of the temptation is supposed to be the mountain near Jericho，thence called Quarantania．The tradition is not ancient，but the site is very probable，being rocky，bleak，and repellent－
＂A pathless desert，dusk with horrid shades．＂
Milton．
Scripture everywhere recognizes the need of solitude and meditation on the eve of great work for God（Ex．xxiv．2；1 K．xix．4；（ial．i． 17），and this would be necessary to the human nature of our Lord also．
 ish mind with notions of seclusion, and revelation, and peril;-Moses on Sinai, Ex. xxxiv. 18; Elijah, 1 K. xix. 8; the wanderings of the Israelites, Num. xiv. 34; Judg. xiii. 1.

> тepafópevos. The present participle implies that the temptation was continuous throughout the forty days, though it reached its most awful climax at their close.

vitò tov̂ 8 caßolou. The Jews placed in the wilderness one of the mouths of Gehenna, and there evil spirits were supposed to have most power (Num. xvi. 33; Matt. xii. 43). St Mark uses the Hebrew form of the word-'Satan.' Both words mean 'the Accuser,' but the Greek $\Delta a \dot{\alpha} \beta$ ohos is far more definite than the Hebrew Satan, which is loosely applied to any opponent, or opposition, or evil influence in which the evil spirit may be supposed to work ( 1 Chr . xxi. 1; 2 Cor. xii. 7; 1 Thess. ii. 18). This usage is more apparent in the original, where the word rendered 'adversary' is often Satan, Num. xxii. 22 ; 1 Sam. xxix. 4; 1 Kings xi. 14, \&c. On the other hand, the Greek word $\delta$ tá $\beta_{0} \lambda \frac{s}{}$ is comparatively rare in the N.T. (The word rendered 'devils' for the 'evil spirits' of demoniac possession is $\delta a, \mu \delta v i a)$. St Matthew also calls Satan "the tempter." Few suppose that the devil came incarnate in any visible hideous guise. The narrative of the Temptation could only have been communicated to the Aposties by our Lord Himself. Of its intense and absolute reality we cannot doubt; nor yet that it was so narrated as to bring home to us the clearest possible conception of its significance. The best and wisest commentators in all ages have accepted it as the symbolic dessription of a mysterious inward struggle. Further speculation into the special modes in which the temptations were effected is idle, and we have no data for it. Of this only can we be sure, that our Lord's temptations were in every respect akin to ours (Heb. iv. 15, ii. 10, 18) ; that there was "a direct operation of the evil spirit upon His mind and sensibility;" that, as St Augustine says, "Christ conquered the tempter, that the Christian may not be conquered by the tempter." All enquiries as to whether Christ's sinlessness arose from a 'possibility of not sinning' (posse non peccare), or from an 'impossibility of sinning' (non posse peccare), are rash intrasions into the unrevealed. The Christian is content with the certainty that He "was in all points tempted (tried) like as we are, yet without sin" (see Heb. y. 8). It is at least doubtful whether our Lord in any way referred to His own temptation in xi. 21, 22.
 and St Luke's phrase probably implies no more than this (see Matt. xi. 18). The Arabah at any rate supplied enough for the bare maintenance of life (Jos. Vit. 2), and at times of intense spiritual exaltation the ordinary needs of the body are almost suspended. But this can only be for a time, and when the reaction has begon hanger asserts its claims with a force so terrible that (as has been shewn again and agsin in human experience) such momeuts are fraught with
the extremest peril to the soul. This was the moment which the Tempter chose. We rob the narrative of the Temptation of all its spiritalal meaning unless in reading it we are on our guard against the Apollinarian heresy which denied the perfect Humanity of Christ. The Christian must keep in view two thoughts: 1. Intensely real temptation. 2. Absolute sinlessness. It is man's trial 'to feel temptation' (sentire tentationem) ; Christ has put it into par power to resist it (non consentire tentationi). Temptation only merges into sin when man consents to it.

> "'Tis one thing to be tempted, Escalue, Another thing to fall."-SEakEspeare.

The temptation must be felt or it is no temptation; but we do not sin until temptation really sways the bias of the heart, and until delight and consent follow suggestion. The student will find the best examition of this subjeot in Ullmann's treatise On the sinlessness of Jesus (Engl. Transl.).
 'approaching Him' (Matt. iv. 3), and thereby wholly leaves on oneside the question of any corporal appearance.
cl viòs et tov̂ $\theta$ eov̂. Doubtless an allusion to the divine Foice at His baptism (iii. 22). The same words were tauntingly addressed to our Lord on the Cross (Matt. xxviii. 40). The Greek strictly means "Assuming that Thou art," or "Since Thou art," but in Hellenistic Greek words and phrases are not always used with their carlier delicate accuracy.
firtè $\tau \hat{\varphi} \lambda(\theta \omega$ тoú $\tau \Psi$. Say to this stone. The Greek implies that the Tempter called direct attention to a particular stone. In this desert there are loaf.shaped fossils known to early travellers as lapides judaici, and to geologists as septaria. Some of these siliceous accretions assume the shape of fruit, and are known as 'Elijah's melons' (Stanley, Sin. and Pal. 154). They were popularly regarded as petrified fruits of the Cities of the Plain. Such deceptive semblances would intensify the pangs of hunger, and add to the temptation the additional torture of an excited imagination. (See a sketch of such a septarium in the Illustrated Edition of my Life of Christ, p. 99.)
tva $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\prime} \mathrm{v}_{\boldsymbol{\eta}}$ тat äpros. 'That it may become a loaf.' Here again we have the extended use of tua in Hellenistic Greek which has been already noticed. The subtle malignity of the temptation is indescribable. It was a temptation to 'the lust' (i.e. the desire 'of the flesh;' a temptation to gratify a natural and blameless appetite; an appeal to free-will and self-will, closely analogous to the devil's first temptation of the race. 'You may; you can; it will be pleasant: why not?" (Gen. iii. 1-15). Yet it did not come in an ondisguisedly sensuous form, but with the suggestive semblance of Scriptural sanctions (1 Kinge xix. 8; Deut. viii. 16; Ps. lexriii. 19).
4. y'үpamтal. The perfect means 'it has been written,' it standeth written as an eternal lesson. Jesus foils the 'Tempter as man for man.

He will not say 'I am the Son of God; ${ }^{\text {He }}$ 'does not consider equality with God a prize at which to grasp' (Phil. ii. 6), but seizes "the sword of the Spirit, which is the Word of God" (Eph. ri. 17). Had our Lord yielded to the subtle sophistry of this temptation He would have been abnegating His humiliation, for He would have been leaving the ordinary path of human life, and the "obedience" which He learnt by the things which He suffered (Heb. v. 8).
 bread) is chiefly Hellenistic. A classical writer would have used anó. It is borrowed from the Hebrew . תָּ The quotation is from Deut. viii. 3, where Moses tells the people that God has suffered them to hunger, and fed them with manna, to shew them the dependence of man on God, and the fact that life is something more than the mere living, and can only be sustained by diviner gifts than those which are sufficient for man's lower nature. Brend sustains the body; but, that we may live, the soul also, and the spirit must be kept alive. Lxod. xvi. 4,15 ; "They did all eat the same spiritual meat," 1 Cor. к. 3.
 bably added in this place from Matt. iv. 4, since they are omitted by NBDL, and various versions. "Word" is not in the origina! Hebrew. The verse conveys a most deep truth, and by referring to it our Lord meant to say 'God will support my needs in His own way, and the lower life is as nothing in comparison with the higher.' There are many most valuable and instructive parallels; see John iv. 32-34, "I have meat to eat that ye know not of...My meat is to do the will of Him that sent me, and to finish His work." Job xxiii. 12, "I have esteemed the words of His mouth more than my necessary food." Jer. xv. 16, "Thy words were found, and 1 did eat them; and thy word was unto me the joy and rejoicing of my heart." Wisd. xvi. 6, "God's word nourisheth man." The Jewish Rabbis had the remarkable expression, "The just eat of the glory of the Shechinah." Comp. John vi. 27-63.
b. кal avayay"̀ aủtóv. Probably "the devil" and "into a high mountain" are added from St Matthew. How the devil took Him up we are not told. Scripture, to turn away our thoughts from the secondary to the essential, knows nothing of those journeys through the air which we find in Apocrypha and in the 'Gospel of the Hebrews."
It is remarkable that St Luke (whom Milton follows in his Par. Regained) here adopts a different order of the temptations from St Matthew, perhaps because he thought that the temptation to spiritual pride (which he places third) was keener and subtler than that to temporal ambition; perhaps, too, because he helieved that the ministering angels (whom however he does not mention) only appeared to save Christ from the pinnacle of the Temple. That the actual order is that of St Matthew is probable, because (1) he alone uses notes of sequence, "then," "again;" (2) Christ closes the temptation by
"Get thee behind me, Satan" (see on vs. 8); (3) as an actual Apostle he is more likely to have heard the narrative from the lips of Christ Himself. But in the chronology of spiritual crises there is little room for the accurate sequence of 'before' and 'after.' They erowd eternity into an hour, and stretch an hour into eternity. And psychologically St Luke's order is the more correct, for the purely spiritual temptation to a proud exelusive challenge of God's care was of a keener kind than the temptation to earthly ambition,

द̂v ortypn xpóvov. 'In a second'; comp. 1 Cor. xy. 52 , "in the twinkling of an eye"-in the sudden flash of an instantaneous vision. It was as Bengel says 'acuta tentatio,' concentrated as it were into one intense spasm. The first temptation had been through a natural appetite; the second was through a patriotic aspiration; the third was purely religious. The splendour of the temptation, and the fact that it appealed to
"the spur which the clear spirit doth raise, The last infirmity of noble minds,"
might seem to Satan to make up for its inpudent, undisguised character. He was offering to One who had lived as the Village Carpenter the throne of the world. The intensity of the temptation lay however yet more in the fact that it seemed to open a swift way to the fulfilment of the Messianic promises, and the deliverance of the land for which the Lord felt so deep a love (xiii. 34, xix. 41).
6. rol $\delta$ siow. In the emphatio order of the original, 'To thee will I give this power, all of it, and the glory of them.'
 therefore,' the perfect implies, 'it is permanently mine,' commissam habeo potestatem). Hence the expression, "the prince of this world," John xii. 31, xiv. 30; "the prince of the power of the air," Eph. ii. 2.
 (Eph. vi. 12). The Rabbis went even further, and called him 'lord of this age' (sar hâolên'), and even 'another god' (el achêr), which is Manicheeism; whereas in this yerse, by the very admission of Satan all Manicheeism is excluded. The Tempter subtly implies that the proposed homage will only be a recognition of the Divine permission by which he exercises this world-power.
 him (the Beast i.e. Nero) his power, and his seat, and great authority." Here however we note the exaggeration of the father of lies. How different was the language of our Lord to His ambitious disciples (Matt. xx. 53).
 wilt do homage before me.' Comp. Ps. xzii. 27. The pronouns are emphatic (comp. verse 6), as is shewn both by their position, and by the full forms ' $\mu \mathrm{ol}, \mathrm{e} \mu \mathrm{o} \hat{\mathrm{v}}$. The word 'worship' of our $\bar{A}$. V. is here used in the older and weaker sense of external homage to a superior. It is
derived from worth-ship (worth=honour). Comp. Wiclif, Matt. xix. 19, "Worschipe thi fadir and thi modir."

ยбтa, бov̂ $\pi$ âбa. 'It' (the habitable world) 'shall be thine, all of it.' There was then living, one to whom in as high an ambitious sense as has ever been realised, it did all belong-the Emperor Tiberius. But so far from enjoying it he was at this very time the most miserable and most degraded of men (Tae. Ann. vi. 6, rv. 61, 62, 67 ; Plin. H. N. xxvif. 5). "My Kingdom," said Jesus to Pilate, "is not of this world," John xviii. 36.
8. The words üray $\dot{o} \pi i \sigma \omega$ should here be omitted with $\approx B D L$, \&c., as having been added from Matt. iv. 10. Similar words were used to Peter (Matt. xyi. 23).

тробкvurjeets. The quotation is slightly altered from Deut. vi. 13, "Thou shalt fear the Lord thy God, and serve Him." St Matthew has the same variation, this being one of his cyclic quotations (i.e. those common to him with other Evangelists). Since Satan had now revealed himself in his true character, there was no need for Jesus to tell him of another and a divine Kingdom over which he had no power. It was sufficient to reprove his impious blasphemy.
9. тò $\pi \tau \epsilon \rho \dot{y} y$ юov. 'The pinnacle, or battlement.' Some well-known pinnacle of the Temple, either that of the Royal Portico, which looked down from a dizzy beight into the Valley of the Kidron (Jos. Antt. xv. 11, § 5); or the Eastern Portico, from which tradition says that St James was afterwards hurled (Euseb, H. E. iI. 23). 'Battlement' is used for the corresponding Hebrew word canaph (lit. 'wing') in Dan. ix. 27.
ßade $\sigma$ gavtòv zutєî̈ev кáta. 'Fling thyself from hence down.' The first temptation had been to natural appetite and impulse; the second was to unhallowed ambition; the third is to rash confidence and spiritual pride. It was based, with profound ingenoity, on the expression of absolute trust with which the first temptation had been rejected. It asked as it were for a splendid proof of that trust, and appealed to perverted spiritual instinets. It had none of the valgar and sensuous elements of the other temptations. It was at the same time an implicit confession of impotence. "Cast thyself down." The devil may place the soul in peril and temptation, but can never make it sin. "It is," as St Augustino says, "the depil's part to suggest, it is ours not to consent."
10. $\gamma \in \gamma \beta a \pi \tau \alpha l$ रá $\rho$.
"The devil can cite Scripture for lis purpose. An evil soul producing holy witness Is like a villain with a smiling cheek, A deadly apple rotten at the heart." Sharespeare. "In religion
What damned error but some sober brow Will bless it and approve it with a text, Hiding the grossness with fair ornament?"

Id.

тov̂ $\delta \iota a \phi u \lambda a f a n ~ r \epsilon . ~ T o ~ g u a r d ~ t h e e ~(a s ~ a ~ s e n t i n e l ; ~ c o m p . ~ A c t s ~ x i i . ~$ 6, 19). The inf. with the genitive article is used after verbs of commanding, designing, \&c. Seo Acts xv. 20, \&c. The quotation is from Ps. xci. 11, but the tempter omits "in all thy ways," which would have defeated his object, since the "ways "referred to are only the ways of him "who dwelleth under the defence of the Most High." But, as the neat verse prophesies, Christ 'trod apon the lion and adder ${ }^{2}$ of Satanic temptation. To yield to the Temptation would have been to presume on His Sonship and challenge that equality with God which He "thought not a prize to grasp at." "L'homme qui n'est plus homme, le Christ qui n'est plus Christ, le Fils qui n'est plas Fils, voilà les trois degrés de la tentation." "Les tentations se rapportent, l'une à la personne de Jésus, l'autre à la nature de son curre, la troisième à l'ragage du secours divin." Godet.
12. oúk גктєьрáects. If the compound be pressed it means 'thou shalt not utterly tempt.' It is impious folly to put God to the test by thrusting ourselves into uncalled-for danger. The angels will only guard our perilous footsteps when we are walking in the path of duty. We cannot claim miracles when we court temptations. The quotation is from Deut. vi. 16, and it is remarkable that the three quotations with which our Lord met the Tempter are all taken from the 6th and 8th ohapters of this book.
13. тávтa теєparpóv. 'Every temptation.' "He had," as Bengel says, "shot his last dart." The temptations had been addressed (1) to the desire of the flesh-trying to make the test of Sonship to God consist not in obedience but in the absence of pain; (2) to the pride of life-as though earthly greatness were a sign of God's approval, and as though greatness consisted in power and success; (3) to spiritual pride-as though the elect of God might do as they will, and be secure against consequences. See note on vs. 10.
$\alpha^{\alpha} \boldsymbol{k} \ell \sigma t \eta$. "Resist the devil, and he will flee from you," Jamesiv. 7.
äxpt кoupoû. 'Until an opportanity,' though the meaning comes to be the same as "for a season" (Acts xiii. 11). The words cannot possibly be equivalent to tos renous. St Matthew adds, "And, lo! angels came and began to minister unto Him.". We do not again meet with angels in a visible form till the Agony in Gethsemane. It must not be imagined that our Lord was only tempted at this crisis. He shared temptation with us, as the common lot of our humanity. "Many other were the occasions on which He endured temptation," Bonaventura, Vit. Christi. See xxii. 28; Heb. iv. 15. We may however infer from the Gospels that henceforth His temptations werc rather the negative ones caused by suffering, than the positive ones caused by allurement. Ullmann, p. 30. See Matt. xxvii. 40 (like the first temptation); John vii. 3,4 (analogons to the second in St Matthew's order); John vii. 15 (like the third); Van Oosterzee. See too xxii. 3, 53; Matt. xvi. 22; John xiv. 30, viii. 44. It is instructive to compare this narrative with those of St Matthew (iv. 1-II) and St Mark (i. 12, 13); St John omits the Temptation, perhaps because
he mainly relates that which he personally witnessed. St Mark in his condensed allusion does not specify the three temptations. St Lake omits the ministry of angels, though not from any dislike to it (xxii. 43).

14-23. Jests beterns to Nazareth and prifacers there.
14. kal futorpeqte d'Inoous. St Luke here omits that series of occurrences which is mainly preserved for us by the Apostie who rceorded the Judaean ministry-St John; namely the deputation of the Sanhedrin to the Baptist (i. 19-28), and his testimony about the baptism of Jesus (29-34); the call of Andrew and Simon (35-43); of Philip and Nathanael (44-51); the First Miracle, at Cana, and visit to Capernaum (ii. 1-12); the Passover at Jerusalem and first cleansing of the Temple (ii. 13-25); the secret visit of Nicodemas (iii. 1-21); the baptism of the disciples of Jesus, and the Baptist's remarks to his diseiples (iii. 22-36). St Lake has already mentioned by anticipation the imprisonment of John the Baptist (iii. 19, 20), which probably hastened the return of Jesus to Galilee; but $\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{t}}$ John alone preserves the deeply interesting revelation to the Woman of Samaria, and the preaching among the Samaritans (Johniv. 4-42). This must have occurred during the journey from Judaea to Galilee mentioned in this verse.
els tiv Tadunalav. This district was the starting-point and main centre of our Lord's ministry; see Acts x. 37, "which was published throughout all Judaea, nnd began from Galilee." Lk. xxiii. 5, "He stirreth up the people, beginning from Galilee." For the order of the narrative from this point to ix. 51 see the introductory analysis. It is not possible to arrange this section of the gospel (iv. 14-ix. 50) with reference to the gathering and deepening opposition as Ritschl does. It is rather to be divided with reference to the gradual development of the work in Galilee. Godet divides it into four cycles:

1. iv. 14-44. To the cell of the first Disciples.
2. v. 1-vi. 11. To the nomination of the Twelve.
3. vi. 12-viii. 56 . To the first mission of the Tweive.
4. ix. 1-50. To the departure of Jesus for Jerusalem.
 $\pi \dot{\alpha} v \tau \omega v$. 'And He Himself was teaching in their synagogues.' 'He Himself,' in contrast with the rumour about Him in vs. 14. The word aurds in this Gospel comes to mean 'the Master,' as a sort of title of honour, as in the "avizos $\bar{\phi} \phi$ "- " the Master said it' of the Pythagoreans. The verse shews that the journey from Sychar to Nazareth was not direct but leisurely; and it is remarkably confirmed by John iv. 45, who accounts for the favourable reception of Jesus in Galilee by saying that they had seen "all the things that He did at Jerusalom at the feast."
5. kal fidAcy els Najapkt. This is probably the visit related in unchronological order in Matt. xii. 53-58; Mk. vi. 1-6, since after so violent and decisive a rejection as St Luke describes, it is unlikely
that He should have preached at Nazareth again. If so, we learn from the other Evangelists (1) that His disciples were with Him; (2) that He hoaled a few of the sick, being prevented from further activity by their unbelief. The Nazarenes were unfavourably disposed to Him (John iv. 43-45).

кarà $\tau d$ eicoòs aivew. This seems to refer to what had been the habit of the life of Jesus while He had lived at Nazareth. Hitherto however He had been, in all probability, a silent worshipper.
 given to the ordinance of weekly public worship.
els गiv $\quad$ ouvaywuin. The article shews that the little village only possessed a single synagogue. Synagogues had sprung up throughout Judaer since the return from the exile. (Pa. lxxiv. 8.) They were rooms of which the end pointed towards Jerusalem (the Kibleh, or conseerated direction, of Jewish worship (Dan. vi. 10), as Mecen is of Mohammedan). The men sat on one side, the veiled women behind a lattice on the other. The chief furniture was the Ark (tebhah) of painted wood, generally shrouded by a curtain, and containing the Thorah (Pentateuch), and rolls (megilloth) of the Prophets. On one side was a bema (in answer to an ignorant criticism, I may observe that the Jews borrowed the Greek name) for the reader and preacher, and there were "ehief seats" (Mk. xii. 39) for the Raler of the Synagogue, and the elders (zekanim). The servants of the synagogue were the clerk (chuzzan), verger (sheliach) and deacons (parnasim, 'shepherds'). I give the Jewish terms because they are technical, and the English equivalents cannot exactly represent them.
diverty dvayvôval. The custom was to read the Scriptures standing. There was no recognised or ordained ministry for the synagogues. The functions of Priests and Levites were confined to the Temple; the various officers of the synagogue were more like our charchwardens. Hence it was the custom of the Ruler or Elders to invite any one to read or preach who was known to them as a distinguished or competent person (Acts xiii. 15̆).
 The expression means that after He, or another, had read the Parashah, or First Lesson, which was always from the Pentateuch, the elerk handed to him the roll of Isaiah, which contained the Haphtarah, or Second Lesson.

кal ávartrúgas tò $\beta 1 \beta \lambda$ fov. If this is the true reading, it means 'unrolling.' The Thorah, or Law, was written on a parchment between two rollers, and was always left unrolled at the column for the day's lesson; bat the Megilloth of the Prophets, \&c. were on single rollers, and the right place had to be found by the reader (Maphitr).

Eijpev. The word leaves it uncertain whether the 'finding' was what man calls 'accidental,' or whether it was the regular haphtarch of the day. It is now the Second Lesson for the great day of

Atonement; bat according to Zunz (the highest Jewish anthority on the subject) the present order of the Lessons in the Synagogue worship belongs to a later period than this. (Zunz, Gottesd. Vorträge, 6).
 the custom of the Synagogue, must have read the passage in Hebrew, and then-either by Himself, or by an interpreter (Methurgeman)-it must have been translated to the congregation in Aramaic or Greek, since Hebrew was at this time a dead and learned language. The quotation is here freely taken by the Evangelist from the LXX., possibly from memory, and with reminiscences, intentional or otherwise, of other passages.
18. Exptotv $\mu \epsilon$. 'He anointed' (aorist); the following verb is in the perfect. The word Mashach in the Hebrew would recall to the hearers the notion of the Messiah-"il m'a messianise" (Salvador). "God anointed Jesus of Nazareth with the Holy Ghost and with power," Acts x. 38. In illustration of the verse generally, as indicating the work primarily of Isaiah, but in its fullest sense, of Christ, see Matt. xi. 5, v. 3, de.
tuayyehlrardal. Obviously the rendering of the A.V. "to preach the Gospel" connotes conceptions which could only have been imperfectly present to the mind of Isaiah, so that "to preach good tidings" (as in $\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{V}$.) is better.
$\pi \tau \omega x$ oits. To the poor in spirit (Matt, xi. 28, v. 3), as the Hebrew implies.
 the perfect, implies, 'I am now here.'

 other without conjunctions (asyndeton, Winer, p. 674). For the accent of $\kappa \eta \rho \stackrel{\xi}{\xi} a$, see Winer, p. 57.
alxua入aitos. Properly 'prisomers of war'; but the word may be used generally as in Col. iv. 10.
 which has "opening of prison to the bound." Perhaps this is a reminiscence of Is . alii. 7.
 but is a free reproduction of the LXX. in Iviii. 6. Either the text of the Hebrew was then slightly variant, or the record introduces into
 structio praegnans 'to send them away (so that they are) in a state of deliverance.' (Comp.ii. 29.) By this construction we have often a verb of motion with a preposition of rest, or vice versâ. Winer, p. 775 sq.



tvautov... $8 * \kappa \tau \delta v$. 'An acceptable year.' The primary allusion is to the year of Jabilee, Lev. xxv. 8-10; but this was only a type of the true Jubilee of Christ's kingdom. Many of the Fathers, (Clemens Alex., Origen, \&c., with most mistaken literalness, inferred from this verse that our Lord's ministry only lasted a year, and the notion acquired more credence from the extraordinary brightness of His first, or Galliaean, year of ministry, This view has been powerfully supported by Mr Browne in his Ordo Saeclorum, and is followed by Keim, Gesch. Jesu, I. 130, 615 seq.; but is quite untenable (John ii. 13, vi. 4, xi. 55).
20. $\pi \tau$ úgus. 'Rolling up.' Generally the Haphtarah consists of twenty-one verses, and is never less than three; but our Lord stopped short in the second verse, because this furnished sufficient text for His discourse, and because He wished these gracious words to rest last on their ears, rather than the following words, "the day of vengeance of our God."

т@ ivippépo. The Chazzan, or 'attendant.' The word 'minister' might be misunderstood by English readers to mean 'clergyman.' The Jews had no officials like our parochial clergy.
ékítrocy. The ordinary Jewish aftitude for the sermon (Matt. xxiii. 2).
$\dot{d} \tau \epsilon \downarrow$ lgovtes. A favourite word of St Luke, who uses it eleven times; elsewhere in the N. T. it is only found in 2 Cor, iit. 7, 13. The attitude of Jesus shewed that now for the first time He intended not oniy to read but to preach.
 of the discourse. It began with the announcement that He was the Messiah in whom the words of the prophet found their fulfilment.
22. toEs $\lambda$ óyous $\boldsymbol{t} \boldsymbol{1} \mathrm{s}$ Xápıtos. The words of the grace. Comp. Col.
 or favour (Gnade), but beauty and attractiveness (Anmuth). This verse and John vii. 46 are the chief proofs that there was in our Lord's atterance an irresistible majesty and sweetness. Comp. Ps. xlv. 2; John i. 14. $x$ dipus does not occur in the other Synoptists and only once in St John's Gospel (i. 14), but is common in St Luke, St Paul and St Peter.
 the minds of the listening Nazarenes. The Jews in their synagogues did not sit in silence, bat were accustomed to give fall expression to their feelings, and to discuss and make remarks aloud. Jealousy began to work among them, Matt. xiii. 54; John vi.42. "The village beggarly pride of the Nazarenes cannot at all comprehend the humility of the Great One." Stier. In muking this purely irrelevant and grievous remark they were guilty of a very common fault;they treated the matfer of the Gospel as $\mathfrak{a}$ subject for criticism, in order to suppress their more generous and spontaneons emotion. It was "faire de la critique pour échapper à la foi."
 mashal, and had a wider meaning than its English equivalent. Thus it is also used for a proverb (Beispiel), 1 Sam. x. 12, xxiv. 13; Ezek. xii. 22; or a type, Heb. ix. 9, xi. 19. See on viii. 5.
 Lord on the Cross. Here it seems to have more than one applica-tion,-meaning, 'If you are the Messiah why are you so poor and humble?' or, 'Why do you not do something for us, here in your own home?' (So Theophylact, Euthymius, \&c.) It implies radical distrust, like Hic Rhodos, hic salta. There seems to be no exact Hebrew equivalent of the proverb; but something like it (a physician who needs heuling) is found in Plut. De Discern. Adal. 32, larpds

 hear of as done at (or to) Capernaum. The $\epsilon$, of some MSS. is a correction to an easier construction. See Winer, p. 518. The els can hardly be here explained as a constructio praegnans. St Luke has not before mentioned Capernaum, and this is one of the many indications found in his writings that silence respecting any event is no proof that he was unaware of it. Nor has any other Evangelist mentioned any provious miracle at Capernaum, unless we suppose that the healing of the courtier's son (John iv. 46-54) had preceded this visit to Nazareth. Jesus had, however, performed the first miracle at Cana, and may well have wrought others during the stay of "not many days" mentioned in John ii. 12. Capernaum was so completely the head-quarters of His ministry as to be known as "His own citf." (Matt. iv. 12-16, xi. 23.) Perhaps, as Meyer says, the Nazarenes here betray the petty jealousy felt by small towns against Capernaum. But there was at Nazareth a moral obstacle also. (Matt. ziii. 58 ; Mk. vi. 5. Comp. Lk. xi. 16, 29 , xxiii. 35.)

## 24-30. Rejection by the Nazhrines.

 aceepted of the A. V., हince $\delta \in \kappa$ das is a verbal adjective). St Matthew adds (xiii. 57) "and in his own house," implying that ''neither did His brethren believe on Him." This curious psychological fact, which has its analogy in the worldly proverb that 'No man is a hero to his valet,' or, 'F\&miliarity breeds contempt,' was more than once referred to by our Lord; John iv. 44. ("Vile habetur quod domi est," Sen. De Benef. in. 2.)
 flatter them, He tells them that His work is not to be for their special benefit or glorification, but that He had now passed far beyond the limitations of earthly relationships.
 Shimeoni on 1 Kings xvi.) as we see also in James v. 17 (comp. Dan. xii. 7; Rev. xi. 2, 3, xiii. 5). The Book of Kiugs only mentions three years ( 1 K . xvii. $1,8,9$, xpiii. 1,2 ), but in the "many days" it seems
to imply more. $8 \frac{1}{3}$ being the half of 7 had a mystic significance. In the symbolism of numbers it indicated periods of misfortane, as in Dan. xii. 7. See Lightfoot Hor. Hebr, ad loc.

 phath ( 1 K xvii. 9) was a Phoenician town near the coast between Tyre and Sidon, now called Surafend.
 ( 2 K. v. 1-14. Thus both Elijah and Elisha had carried God's mercies to Gentiles.) The use of the words is elliptic, like oudelv aureovrai $\epsilon l \mu \eta_{l} l^{\theta} \hat{\nu} s$, Hdt. Comp. Matt. xii. 4.
 Perhaps they were already offended by knowing that Jesus had spent two days at Sychar among the hated Samaritans; nad now He whom they wished to treat as "the carpenter" and their equal, was as it were asserting the superior claims of Gentiles and lepers. "Truth embitters those whom it does not enlighten." "The word of God," said Luther, "is a sword, is a war, is a poison, is a scandal, is a stumbling-block, is a ruin "-viz. to those who resist it (Matt. x. 34; 1 Pet, ii. 8).
 word jopus, 'eyebrow,' is applied to hills, like the Latin supercilium (Verg. Georg. . . 108). The 'whereon' refers to the hill not to the brow of the hill. Nazareth nestles under the southern slopes of the hill. The cliff down which they wished to hurl Him (because this was regarded as a form of 'stoning,' the legal punishment for blasphemy) was certainly not the so-called 'Mount of Precipitation,' which is two miles distant, and therefore more than a sabbath day's journcy, but one of the rocky escarpments of the hill, and possibly that above the Maronite Ohurch, which is about 40 feet high. This
 xxp. 12; but in Phocis it was the punishment for sacrilege. (Philo.)
©бтt. This expresses the intended result (comp. ix. 52), and is a little less larsh than $\epsilon l s$ to which would represent direct purpose (xx. 20). The infinitive alone might have been used, as in Matt. ii. 2,


 miracalum, since no miracle is asserted or necessarily implied. The inherent majesty and dignity of our Lord's calm ascendency, seem to have been sufficient on several occasions to overawe and cow His enemies; John vii. 30, 46, viii. 59, x. 39,40 , xviii. 6 (see Pe. xviii. 29, xxavii. 33). He left them this proof of His ascendency. As Theo-



द́порє́єто. Probably never to return again. Nazareth lies in a secluded valley out of the ordinary route between Gennesareth and

Jerusalem. If after thirty sinless years among them they could reject Him, clearly they had not known the day of their visitation. This incident furnishes the most atriking illustration of St John's sad comment, "He came unto His own possessions ( $\tau$ à tota) and His own people (ol tiout) received Him not" (John i. 11).

## 31-37. The Healing of a Demoniso.

 the locality of Christ's Ministry the fulfilment of Is. ix. 1, 2, omitting the first part, which should be rendered, "At the former time he brought contempt on the land of Zebulun and on the land of Naphtali, but in the latter time he brought honour." It was perhaps on His way to Capernaum that our Lord healed the courtier's son (John iv. 47-54). Capernaum is in all probability Tell Hom, though others try to identify it with Khan Minyeh, which is nearer 'Tiberias. Capernaum was the Jewish capital of Galilee, though a few years later that position was disputed by the more Pagan civilisation of Sepphoris and Tiberias. The name means village (now Kefr) of Nahum, and Tell Hum is 'the ruined mound' or 'heap' of (Na)hum. It is now a heap of desolation with little to mark it except the ruins of one white marble synagogue-possibly the very one built by the friendly centurion (vii. 5)-and the widely-scattered débris of what perhaps was another. But in our Lord's time it was a bright and populons little town, at the very centre of what has been called "the manufacturing district of Palestine." (Jos. B. J. ini. 10, § 8.) It lay at the nucleus of roads to Tyre and Sidon, to Damascus, to Sepphoris (the Roman capital of Galilee), and to Jerusalem, and was within easy reach of Peraea and Ituraea. It was in fact on the "way of the sea" (Is. ix. 1)-the great caravan road which led to the Mediterranean. It was hence peculiarly fitted to be the centre of a far-reaching ministry of which even Gentiles would hear. These things, as St Paul graphically says, were "not done in a corner," Acts xxvi. 26. Besides the memorable events of the day here recorded, it was here that Christ healed the paralytic (v. 18) and the centurion's servant (vii. 2), and called Levi (Matt. ix. 9), rebuked the disciples for their ambition (Mk. iz. 35), and delivered the memorable discourse about the bread of life (John vi.). It is an interesting fact that Marcion in his mutilation of St Luke's Gospel began with, "In the fifteenth year of Tiberius God descended into Capernaum, a city of Galilee." The кaт $\hat{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon \nu$ is only used by St Luke because the journey from Nazareth to Capernaum is a continuous deacent; but Marcion chose to use it as describing a descent from heaven. He exscinded the earlier chapters of St Luke because they testify that Christ is truly man as well as perfectly God. See Neander, Ch. Hist. II. 182.
mòlı тīs 「a入ıגalas. These little descriptions and explanations shew that St Luke is writing for Gentiles who did not know Palestine. Comp, i. 26, xxi. 37, xxii. 1. The explanation was not added in vs. 23 because he is there quoting the words of the Nazarenes.
fiv סiठáokwv. This analytio imperfect implies as before, continuous work.
32. $k \leqslant \pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \sigma \sigma v t 0$. The word expresses more sudden and vehement astonishment than the more deeply-seated 'amaze' of vs. 36.
 manner He adopted.
 vs. 36. St Matthew gives one main secret of their astonishment when he says that "He taught them as one having authority, and not as the scribes," vii. 29. The religious teaching of the Scribes in our Lord's day had already begun to be the second-hand repetition of minute precedents supported by endless authorities. ("Rabbi Zeira says on the authority of Rabbi Jose bar Rabbi Chanina, and Rabbi Ba or Rabbi Chiya on the authority of Rabbi Jochanan, \&c., \&e." Schwab. Jer. Berachôth, p. 159.) We see the final outcome of this servile secondhandness in the dreary trivialities of the Talmud. But Christ referred to no precedents; quoted no 'authorities;' dealt with fresher and nobler topics than fantastic hagadoth ('legends') and weary traditional halachoth ('rules '). He spoke straight from the heart to the heart, appealing for confirmation solely to truth and conscience,-the inner witness of the Spirit.
33. $\pi v \epsilon \hat{\mu} \mu a$ סatцoviou diксÁptov. This collocation is peculiar to St Luke, who writes for Gentiles. The word for devil is not diabolos, which is confined to Satan, or human beings like him (John vi. 70), but daimonion, which in Greek was also capable of a good sense. The Jews believed daimonia to be the spirits of the wicked (Joa. B. J. vir. 6, § 3). Here begins that description of one complete Sabbath-day in the life of Jesus, from morning till night, which is also preserved for us in Matt. viii. 14-17; Mark i. 21-31. It is the best illustration of the life of 'the Good Physician' of which the rarest originality was that "He went about doing good" (Acts x. 38). Into the question of the reality or unreality of 'demoniac possession,' about which theologians have held different opinions, we cannot enter. On the one hand, it is argued that the Jews attributed nearly all diseases, and especially all mental and cerebral diseases, to the immediate action of evil spirits; and that these 'possessions' are ranged with cases of ordinary madness; and that the common belief would lead those thus afflicted to speak as if possessed:-on the other hand, the literal interpretation of the Gospels points the other way, and in unenlightened ages, as still in dark and heathen countries, the powers of evil seem to have an exceptional range of influence over the mind of man. The student will see the whole queation fully and reverently discussed in Jahn, Archaeologia Biblica, E. T. pp. 200-216.
34. Ka. Omit $\lambda$ f' $\gamma \omega \boldsymbol{r}$, with $\aleph B L$. The word Eal may be not the imperative of $\epsilon$ द́ $\omega$ ('desist!') but a wild cry of horror, 'Ha!'
ti $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu \hat{i} v$ kal $\sigma o i$; The demon speaks in the plural, merging his individuality in that of all evil powers. (Matt. viii. 29; Mark v.9.)

For the phrase see viii. 28; 2 Sam. xvi. 10, xix. 22; 1 K. xvii. 18; John ii. 4.
ámo入t́rau ทีpâs. "The devils also believe and tremble," James ii. 19.
$\delta$ ä y os tov̂ $\theta$ toû. i. 35 ; Ps. xvi. 10, "thine Holy One." Dan. ix. 24.
35. $\phi \mu \omega \theta \eta$ rl. Literally, 'Be muzzled,' as in 1 Cor. ix. 9. See Matt. xxi1. 34; Mark i. 25, *e.
píquav. St Mark uses the stronger word $\sigma \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \xi_{a v}, "$ tearing him." It was the convulsion which became a spasm of visible deliverance. It is most instructive to contrast the simple sobriety of the narratives of the Evangelists with the credulous absurdities of even so able, polished and cosmopolitan a historian as Josephus, who describes an exorcism wrought in the presence of Vespasian by a certain Eleazar. It was achieved by means of a ring and the 'root of Solomon,' and the demon in proof of his exit was ordered to upset a bason of water! (Jos. B. J. vir. 6, § 3; Antt. viII. 2, § 5.) As this is the earliest of our Lord's miracles recorded by St Luke, we may notice that the terms used for miracles in the Gospels are tépas, 'prodigy,' and aaupáotoy 'wonderful' (Matt. xxi. 15 only), from the effect on men's minds; rapadogop (v. 26 only), from their strangeness; $\sigma \eta \mu \epsilon \mathrm{ia}$, 'signs,' and duvípets 'powers,' from their being indications of God's power; ${ }_{\xi} \quad \bar{\delta} \delta 0$ ğa, 'glorious deeds ' (xiii. 17 only), as shewing His glory; and in St John efp $\alpha$, 'works,' as the natural actions of One who was divine. See Trench, On Miracles, i. 9. "Miracles, it should be observed, are not contrary to nature, but bejond and above it." Mozley.
$\mu \eta \delta \dot{v} \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\beta \lambda a ́ \psi a v}$ aùróv. The subjective negative is used to imply the unexpectedness of this result-not, as one would have thought, hurting him : comp. the $\mu \dot{\eta} \tau \varepsilon \in \sigma \theta t \omega \nu$ in vii. 33.
36. tis d $\lambda$ óyos oûtos; Vulg. Quod est hoc verbum? 'What is this word?'
 followed the neut. plur.
 began to spread.' गुरos is a more emphatic word, and implies a louder rumour than $\phi \dot{\eta} \mu \eta$. The sense of the word in Acts ii. 2 ('a loud voice'), Heb. xii. 19 ('a trumpet blast') is different.

## 38, 39. The Healing of Simon's Wife's Mother.

 Peter, says more accurately "the house of Simon and Andrew" (i. 29). This is the first mention of Peter in St Luke, but the name was too well known in the Christian Church to need further explanation. Peter and Andrew were of Bethsaida (John i. 44, xii. 21), a little fishing village, as its name (House of Fish) imports, now Ain et Tabijah or 'the Spring of the Figtree,' where, alone on the Sea of Galiliee, there is a little strip of bright hard sand. St Luke does not mention theis Bethsaida, though he mentions another at the northern end of
the Lake (ix. 10). It was sio near Capernaum that our Lord may have walked thither, or possibly Simon's mother-in-law may have had a house at Capernaum. It is a remarkable indication of the little clond of misunderstanding that seems to have risen between Jesus and those of His own house (Matt. xiii. 57; John iv. 44), that though they were then living at Capernaum (Matt. ix. 1, xvii. 24)-having perhaps been driven there by the hostility of the Nazarenes-their home was not $H$ is home.
 was himself a married man." Marriage Service. St Peter's wife seems afterwards to have travelled with him (1 Cor. ix. 5). Her (most improbable) traditional name was Concordia or Perpetua (Grabe, Spicil. Patr. x. 330).

गiv ouvex $\rho \mu \dot{\mu} \eta$. 'Was severely distressed.' The analytic imperfect implies that the fever was chronic, and the verb that it was severe (Matt. iv. 24).
 medical distinction of the ancients, which divided fevers into 'great' and 'little' (Galen, De dif. febr. 1). For other medical and psychological touches see $\nabla .12$, vi. 6 , xxii. 50,51 ; Aets iii. $6-8$, iv. 22 , ix. 33, \&c.
ท่рஸ́тпбav aùtóv. Not, as elsewhere, the imperfect (John iv. 47), but the aorist, implying that they only had to ask Him once. St Mark confirms this when he says (i. 30), 'inmediately they speak to Him about her.'
 The other Evangelists say that He took her by the hand.
divactâбa Sıqкóvel aùroîs. Literally, 'arising at once she began to wait on them.' The more Attic augment is Eóa anóvet.

## 40-44. Healing tee Stger at Eyening.


 most closely in details, St Mark and St Luke in the order of the narrative. The form $\delta i v e$ is Ionic and poetic, and it is found here alone in the N. T. Sunset ended the Sabbath, and thus enabled Jews, without infringing on the many minute 'abhoth' and 'toldoth'-i.e. primary and subordinate rules of sabbatic strictnessto carry their sick on beds and pallets. (John v. 11, 12; see Life of Christ, I. 433.) This twilight scene of Jesus moving aboat with word and touch of healing among the sick and suffering, the raving and tortared crowd (Matt. iv. 24), is one of the most striking in the Gospels, and St Matthew quotes it as a fulfilment of Is. liii. 4.
41. кpavyatovta. The word implies the harsh screams of the demoniaes.

ซì đí o viòs toû $\theta_{\text {foí. }}$. The words "Thon art Christ" should be omitted with $\mathrm{NBCDFL}, \dot{\text { or }}$.
 nor in any case would He accept such testimony. So St Pand silenced the Pythoness at Philippi (Acts xi. 18). "Nec tempus erat," says Bengel, "nec hi praecones."
 that He was the Christ," i.e. the Messiah. It was not till after the Crucifixion that 'Christ' became a proper name, and not a title.
 'rising up exceedingly early in the morning, while it was yet dark.' It was His object to escape into silence, and solitude, and prayer, without being observed by the multitudes.
els \#pquov tótov. Densely as the district was populated, such a place might be found in such hill ravines as the Vale of Doves at no great distance.
 teristic of the eager impetuosity of St Peter, that (as St Mark tells us, i. 36) he, with his friends, on this occosion (literally) 'hunted Him down' ( $\kappa a \tau \varepsilon \delta i(\omega \xi=a \nu)$.
 preposition is rarely used of persons (Acts ix. 38) but generally of places, as in vi. 29, and of time in the sense of 'until' (xvi. 16, xxiii. 44). Some unrecorded circumstance is perhaps implied in the word as compared with Mk. i. 36.
кartixor av̇tóv. 'Tried' or wished 'to detain Him.' It is the tentative imperfect. See note on i. 59.
 Spenser, "Could save the son of Thetis from to die."
43. taîs étepals $\pi \mathbf{j} \lambda_{\epsilon \epsilon} \boldsymbol{v}$. 'To the rest of the cities.' In St Mark He says, 'Let us go elsewhere to the adjoining country villages.'
evayyeníacafal. 'Tell the glad tidings of.' In the next verse we have the different verb кпри́aro.
8єi. 'It behoves me'-the ' must' of moral obligation.
Tगेv Baculeiav tov̂ $\theta$ eov. The acceptence of the Faith of Christ, whether in the heart or in the world, was illustrated by Christ in its small beginnings, -the mustard seed (xiii. 19); in its hidden working (xiii. 21); and in its final triamph.
à $\pi \neq \sigma$ ád $\eta \boldsymbol{\imath} v$. ' $I$ was sent ;' which is equally true in one aspect with $\dot{\epsilon} \xi \in \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda v \theta a$, 'I have come forth.' Mk. i. 38.
44. ग̀v ктpúgowv. 'He was preaching,' implying a continued ministry.

Tîs 「a入ıдalas. Here NBCL and other uncials have the important various reading "of Judaea." If this reading be correct, it is another of the many indications that the Synoptists assume and ineply that Judaean ministry which St John alone narrates. Godet on very insufficient grounds calls it an absurd reading.

## OHAPTER V.

1. кal áкov́tเv. NABL, Edd. 'and heard' for tố ák, 'to hear.'
2. тioîa. NBD. $\pi$ גос́ápia, ' little boats.' AC.
 Rec. The sense requires an imperfect.
3. 氏is tò LâбӨaı aủTóv. NABL, Ti. W.H. aủtoùs ACD. See note.
4. molas. Rec. has the gloss dià molas.
 comes from the parallel passage.
5. ' $\boldsymbol{\phi}^{\prime}$ ' $\%$. Altered by copyists into the easier $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \phi$ ' $\boldsymbol{\Psi}^{\mathbf{~}}$.
6. kal of ypaццatets av̉т̂̂v. 'And their Scribes,' i.e. those Scribes who belonged to their party. BCL, Valg. It. de.

 $\sigma \nu \mu \phi \omega v \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon\llcorner\mathbb{N A B C}$.

7. є $\mathfrak{y} \theta \in \omega \mathrm{s}$. A; omitted by NBCL, Copt. Arm. Aeth. Ti, W.H.

Хрŋјто́s. «B, Ti. W.

Ch. V. 1-11. The Dratget of Fishes. The Galling of Four Disgiples.
 Mk. i. 16-20. St Mark (as is his wont) uses stronger words (e $\pi$ t$\pi i \pi \tau \epsilon \omega, \theta \lambda(\beta \epsilon t \nu)$ to express the physical inconvenience, and adds that sometimes at any rate, the multitude pressed on Jesus with a view to touch Him and be healed (iii. 9, 10).
kal dikov́etv. The more probable reading is not roû but кal, 'and listened to.'
 this earth contains." Stanley. St Luke alone, writing for the Greeks, accurately calls it a lake. The Galilaean and Jewish Evangelists unconsciously follow the Hebrew idiom which applies the name yam 'sea,' to every picce of water. Gennesareth is probably a corruption of the old Hebrew name Kinnereth, but the Rabbis derive it from
ganne sarim, 'gardens of princes.' This same inland lake is generally called 'the Sea of Galilee' (Matt. xy. 29, \&c.). In the Old Testament it is called "the Sea of Chinneroth" (losh. xii. 3) from its harplike shape. St John calls it "the Sea of Tiberias;" because by the time he wrote Tiberias-which in our Lord's time had only just been founded by \#erod Antipas-had grown into a flourishing town. Gennesareth is a clear sweet lake about thirteen miles long and seven broad, with the Jordan flowing through it. Its fish produced a valuable revenue to those who lived on its shores. The plain of Gemnesareth, which lies 500 feet below the level of the Mediterranean, is now known as $E l$ Ghuweir, 'the little hollow.' It is so completely a desolation, that the only inhabited places on the western shore of the Lake are the crombling, dirty, earthquake-shaken town of Tiberias and the mud village of El Mejdel, the ancient Magdala. The burning and enervating heat is no longer tempered by cultivation and by trees. It is still however beautiful in spring, with flowering oleanders, and the soil is fruitful where it is not encumbered with ruins as at Khan Minyeh ('Tarichaea) and Tell Hûm (Capernaum). In our Lord's time it was, as Josephus calls it, " the best part of Galilee" (B. J. mir. 10, § 7) containing many villages, of which the least had 15,000 inhabitants. Josephas becomes quite eloquent over the descriptions of its rich fruits nearly all the year, its grateful temperature, and its fertilising stream (Jos. B. J. mr. 10, $\$ \mathbb{8} 8,8$ ), so that, he says, one might call it 'the ambition of nature.' It belonged to the tribe of Naphtali (Deat. xxxiii. 33) and the Rabbis said that of the "seven seas" of Canaan, it was the only one which God had reserved for Himself. In our Lord's time it was covered with a gay and numerous fleet of 4000 vessels, from ships of war down to fishing boats; now it is often difficult to find a single crazy boat even at Tiberias, and the Arabs fish mainly by throwing poisoned bread-crumbs into the water near the shore. As four great roads communicated with the Lake it became a meeting-place for men of many nations-Jews, Gahilaeans, Syrians, Phoenicians, Arabs, Greeks and Romans.
2. $\pi$ 入oía, ' boats.'
€бтஸ̂ta, draven up close to the shore, or lying at anchor.
 while they continued their work. If enduyay be read, the aor. can only be used in an incorrect sense. If we combine these notices with those in Mark i. 16-20; Matt. iv. 18-22, we must suppose that during a discourse of Jesus the four disciples were fishing with a drawnet ( $\alpha \mu \phi i \beta \lambda \eta \sigma \tau \rho o p$ ) not far from the shore, and within hearing of His voice; and that the rest of the incident (here narrated) took place on the morning after. The disciples had spent the night in fruitless labour, and now Peter and Andrew were washing, and James and John mending, their castingnets ( $\delta / \kappa \tau v a$ ), becanse they felt that it was useless to go on, since night is the best time for fishing.

8ixcua. 'Castingnets' (from olnw I throw, funda, jaculum) as in Matt. iv. 20; John xxi. 6. In Matt. iv. 18 we have the ${ }^{2} \mu \phi(\beta \lambda \eta \sigma \tau \rho o v$
or drawnet (from d $\mu \phi i$ and $\beta a \lambda \lambda \omega$, I throw around); and in Matt. xiii. 47, $\sigma \alpha \gamma \eta \dot{p} \eta$, seine or haulingnet (from $\sigma$ ár $\tau \omega$ 'I load').
3. émavaүayєiv. The technical word for putting out to sea, 2 Mace. xii. 4.
kaficas. The ordinary attitude (as we have seen, iv. 20) for a sermon.
 His sermon ended than He at once thought, not of His own fatigue, but of His poor disappointed followers.
xa入ácate, 'let ye dofn.' The first command (éraváyare) is in the singular, and is addressed to Peter only as "the pilot of the Galilaean Lake."
 a word which Gentiles would not have understood but 'Emıo ${ }^{\prime}$ áa (in its occasional classic sense of 'teacher') which is peculiar to St Luke ( v .5 , viii. 24,45 , ix. 33,49 , xvii. 13), who never uses Rabbi. These are the only places where it occurs.
 with St Gregory Dum facit miraculum prodit mysterium-in other words the miracle was an acted parable, of which the significance is explained in Matt. xiii, 47. Banks of fish, suddenly congregated, are not uncommon in the Lake of Gennesareth (Tristram, Nat. Hist. of the Bible, 285) and the miracle consisted in cansing this result at this moment.

8ıєpijббєто, 'were beginning to break.' Contrast this with John xxi. 11, ouk é $\sigma \chi l \sigma \theta 7$. This breaking net is explained by St Augustine as the symbol of the Church which now is: he compares the unrent net to the Church of the future which shall know no schisms.
7. karévevarav. It is one of the inimitable touches of truthfulness in the narrative that the instinet of work prevails at first over the sense that a miraculous power has been exerted.
roîs $\mu$ eróxois, 'fellow-workers.'
 more frequently and with stricter accuracy than the other Evangelists.
8. L6wv $8 \pm \Sigma\{\mu \omega v$ חérpos. Apparently it was only when he saw the boats sinking to the gunwale with their load of fish that the tenderness and majesty of the miracle flashed upon his mind.
 Here again is the stamp of truthfulness. Any one inventing the scene would have made Peter kneel in thankfulness or adoration, but would have missed the strange psychological truthfulness of the sense of sin painfully educed by the revealed presence of divine holiness. We find the expression of analogous feelings in the case of Manoah (Judg. xiii. 22); the Israelites at Sinai (Ex. xx. 19); the men of Beth-shemesh (1 Sam. vi. 20) ; David after the death of Uzzah (2 Sam. vi. 9); the lady
of Zarephath (1 Kings xvii. 18); Job (Job xlii. 5, 6) ; nnd Isaiah (Is. vi. 5). The exclamation of St Peter was wrung from a hedirt fouched witira sense of humility, and his words did not express his thoughts. They were the cry of agonised humility, and only emphasized his own utter unworthiness. They were in reality the reverse of the deiberate and calculated request of the swine-feeding Gadarenes. The dead and profane soul dislikes and tries to get rid of the presence of the Divine. The soul awakened only to conviction of sin is terrified. The eoul that has found God is conscious of utter unworthiness, but fear is lost in love (1 Johm iv. 18). It is absurd to sappose that Peter was thinking of the danger which Jesus might incur from being on board with a criminal! (Hor. Od. iii. 2. 26).
 general term for 'human being' (homo); and axip for ' $a$ man' (vir). The use of the latter here shews that Peter's confession is individual, not general. When Barnabas (that may have been the writer's name, though he could not have been the 'Apostle') says that the Twelve before their call were 'sinners above all sin' (Ep.5), he is guilty of one of the follies which so greatly discredit that early Christian writing. The confessions of holy men are always strongly expressed, and Peter's sense of sin was that which often fills the heart of those whom the world justly regards as saints.

кúple. The word often means no more than 'Sir.' It most be remembered that this was the second call of Peter and the three Apostles,-the call to Apostleship; they had already received a call to faith. They had received their first call on the banks of Jorden, and had heard the witness of John, and had witnessed the miracle of Cana. They had only returned to their ordinary avocations until the time came for Christ's fall and active ministry.

10. кotvovol, 'associates' in profits, \&c. comp. vs. 7.
$\mu$ 刘 $\phi$ ofoù. Accordingly, on another occasion, when Peter sees Jesus walking on the sea, so far from crying Depart from me, he cries "Lord, if it be Thou, bid me come to Thee on the water" (Matt. xiv. 28); and when he saw the Risen Lord starding in the misty morning on the shore of the Lake "he cast himself into the sea" to come to Him (John xxi. 7). These blessed words $\mu \dot{\eta} \phi \circ \beta o \hat{u}$, so characteristic of the Gospel (Matt. x. 26, 31, xiv. 27, xxviii. 5; Mk. v. 36, vi. 50) seem to be favourite words with St Luke (i. 13, 30, ii. 10, viii. 50 , xii. 4, 7, 32, xxiv. 36; Acts aviii. 9, xxvii. 24).
 If the Emperor Julian had attonded to the meaning of the verb his sneer that the 'men' so 'caught' would die, like fishes out of water, would have become pointless. In Jer. xvi. 16 the fishers draw out men to death, and in Amos iv. 2; Hab. i. 14, '" men are made as the fishes of the sea" by way of punishment. Here the word seems to imply the contrast between the fish that lay glittering there in dead heaps, and men who should be captured not for death (Jas. i. 14), but for
life. But Satan too captures men alive ( 2 Tim . ii. 26, the only other passage where the verb occurs). From this and the parable of the seine or hardingnet (Matt. xiii. 47) came the favourite early Christian symbol of the 'Fish." "We little fishes," says Tertullian, "after our
 water (of baptism)." The prophecy was first fulfilled to Peter, when 3000 were converted by his words at the first Pentecost. In a hymn of St Clement of Alexandria we find " 0 fisher of mortals who are being saved, Enticing pure fish for sweet life from the hostile wave." Thus, He who "spread the fisher's net over the palaces of Tyre and Sidon, gave into the fisher's hand the keys of the kingdom of heaven." "He caught orators by fishermen, and made out of fishermen his orators." We find a similar metaphor used by Socrates, Xen. Mem. in. 6, "Try to be good and to catch the good. I will help you, for I know the art of catching men."
11. dф'éres stávia. The sacrifice was a willing one, but they were not enconscious of its magnitude; and it was the allusion to it by Peter which called forth the memorable promise of the hundredfold (xviii. 28-30; Mk. x. 29, 30). We gather from St Mark that Zebedee (Zabdia) and his two sons had hired servants (i. 20), and therefore they were probably richer than Simon and Andrew, sons of Jona. The miraculous draught of fishes was not the sole cause why these Apostles 'forsook all and followed Christ.' We see from St John that they were, so to spenk, awaiting their call even now; and farther than this the fragmentary indications of the Gospels clearly suggest the inference that the sons of Zebedee were first cousins of our Lord, He had probably known them and others of the Apostles for many years. See my Life of Christ, i. 140-159, 251.

## 12-16. The Heating of a Lefer.

 of Hattinn, for we learn from St Matthew's delinite notice that this incident took place on descending from the Mount of Beatitudes (Kurn Hattin), вee Matt. viii. 1-4; Mk. i. 40-45. St Mark seems to imply that it was in a house. Chronologically the call of Matthew, the choosing of the Twelve, and the Sermon on the Mount probably intervene between this incident and the last.

[^16]with the whiteness, he was allowed to mingle with others as olean (Lev. ziii. 13).
$\pi \varepsilon \sigma \omega \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \pi \mathrm{l}$ т $\rho \sigma \sigma \omega \pi \pi \%$. We get the full picture by combining the three Erangelists. We then see that he came with passionate entreaties, flinging himself on his knees, and worshipping, and finally in his agony prostrating himself on his face.

Sivaral $\mu \varepsilon$ кäaploal. The faith of this poor leper must have been intense, for hitherto there had been but one instance of a leper cleansed by miracle (iv. 27; 2 K. v.). Comp. however Ex. iv. 7; Numb, xii. 10.
13. تi $\ddagger$ ato aúrov̂. This was a distinct violation of the letter, but not of course of the spirit of the Mosaic Law (Lev. xiii. 46 ; Numb. v. 2). In order to prevent the accidental violation of this law, lepers, until the final stage of the disease, were then as now secluded from all living contact with others, "differing in nothing from a dead man" (Jos. Antt. mit. 11, § 3), and only appeared in public with the cry 'Tamê, Tamê-_'Unclean! Unclean!' But Jesus, "because He is the Lord of the Law, does not obey the Law, but makes the Law" (St Ambrose); or rather, He obeys that divine eternal Lam of Compassion, in its sudden impulse ( $\sigma \pi \lambda a \gamma \chi^{\nu i \sigma \theta c i s, ~ M k . ~ i . ~ 40), ~ w h i c h ~ i s ~ o l d e r ~ a n d ~ g r a n d e r ~}$ than the written Law. (So Elijah and Elisha had not scrupled to touch the dead, $1 \mathrm{~K} . x$ xii. 21 ; 2 K . iv. 34.) His touching the leper, yet remaining clean, is a type of His taking our humanity upon Him, romaining undefiled.
 echo to the ripe faith of the leper"-which are accurately preserved by all three Evangelists. Our Lord's first miracles were done with a glad spontaneity in answer to faith. But when men had ceased to believe in Him, then lack of faith rendered His latter miracles more sad and more delayed (Mk vi. 5; Matt. xiii. 58). We never however hear of a moment's delay in attending to the cry of a leper. When the sinner cries from his heart, "I have sinned against the Lord," the answer comes instantly, "The Lord also hath put away thy sin" (2 Sam. xii. 13).

ท่ $\lambda \in \dot{\epsilon} \pi \rho a$ ảm aùroú $\dot{\eta} \lambda \epsilon \pi \rho a$. St Mark (i. 42) writes both phrases. St Matthew looks at the result Levitically, St Luke medically. Jesus was not polluted by the touch, but the leper was cleansed. Even so He tonched our sinful natare, yet without sin (H. de S. Victore).
 charged him to tell it to no one. The use of aüròs for Jesus (He-the Master) is chiefly found in St Luke. Comp. Aristoph. Nub. 218. These injunctions to reticence marked especially the early part of the ministry. See iv. 35, ․ 14, viii. 56 . The reasons were probably (i) personal to the healed sufferer, lest his inward thankfulness should be dissipated by the idle and boastful gossip of curiosity (St Chrys.); but far more (ii) because, as St Matthew expressly tells us, He did not wish His ministry to be accompanied by excitement and tumult-in accordance with the prophecy of Is. xlii. 2 (Matt. xii. 15-50; comp.

Phil. ii. 6, 7; Heb. v. 5; John xviii, 36) ; and (iii) because He came, not merely and not mainly, to be a great Physician and Wonderworker, but to save men's souls by His Revelation, His Example, and His Death.

It is evident however that there was something very special in this case, for St Mark says (i. 43), "violently enjoining him (é $\mu \beta \rho \iota \mu \eta \sigma \dot{\alpha}-$ $\mu \in y_{0}$ aviề), immediately He thrust him forth, and said to him, See
 to the right reading and translation). Clearly, although the multitudes were following Christ (Matt. viii. 1), He was walking before them, and the miracle had been so sudden and instantaneous ( $1800 . .$. $\epsilon \dot{\theta} \theta \in \omega s)$ that they had not observed what had taken place. Probably our Lord desired to avoid the Levitical rites for uncleanness which the unspiritual ceremonialism of the Pharisees might have tried to force upon Him.
On other cocasions; when these reasons did not exist, He even enjoined the publication of an act of mercy, viii. 39.
 transition from indirect to direct narration, in Acts xxiii. 22; Ps. lxxiv. 16. See my Brief Greek Syntax, p. 199. The priest alone could legally pronounce him clean.
 himself the intensely interesting and symbolic rites commanded by Moses for the legal pronunciation of a leper clean in Lev. xiv. They occupy fourteen ohapters of Negain, one of the treatises of the Mishnah.
 shew how heavy an expcnse the offering entailed.
des بaprúplov aúroits, i.e. that the priests may assure themselves that the miracle is real. In ix. 5 ; Mk. vi. 11 the words mean 'for a witness against them ;' and although St Luke's phrase is not very definite, it may imply'for an evidenee to the priests that I do not neglect the Mosaic Law' (Matt. v. 17). It is evident from St John that this suspicion had excited hostility against Him from the first.
 the agitation of Jesus as He recalled the suspicion and thwarting hatred which might arise from His having touched this leper, and so broken the letter of the Law, which, in such cases, even when accidentally violated, involved the necessity for a Levitical quarantine.
 Him spread the more.' This is a classical use of $\delta t \epsilon \rho \chi o \mu a t$, Soph. $A j$. 978; Thuc. vi. 46. It is clear that the leper disobeyed the strict injunction of Jesus, as St Mark i. 45 emphatically records. Such disobedience was natural, and perhaps venial ; but certainly not commendable.
 our Liord's parpose.
 retiring in the wilderness and praying．＇St Mark（i．45）gives us the clearest view of the fact by telling us that the leper blazoned abroad his cure in every direction，＂so that He was no longer able to enter openly into a city，but was without，in desert spots；and they began to come to Him from all directions．＂We here see that this retirement was a sort of＂Levitical purification，＂which however the multitudes disregarded as soon as they discovered where He was．
кal mporevxópevos．St Luke＇s is eminently the Gospel of Prayer and Thanksgiving．See note on iii． 21 ．

## 17－26．The Heaing of the Paralytic．

 the phrase shews that no stress is here laid on chronological order． In Matt．ix．2－8；ME．ii．3－12 the scene is a house in Capernaum， and the time（apparently）after the healing of the Gadarene de－ monias on the eastern side of the Lake，and on the day of Matthew＇s feast．
кal aủròs गiv $\delta\llcorner\delta a ́ \sigma \kappa \omega v$ ．Lit．＇It oame to pass．．．and He was teaching and there were．＇St Luke is fond of this paratactic arrangement of sentences by means of and．He uses kal most frequently in his Gospel，and $\tau \varepsilon$ in the Acts．Comp．vii．37．Jesus was not teaching in a synagogue，but probably in Peter＂s house．Notice the＂ He ＂ which is so frequent in St Luke，and marks the later epoch when the title＂the Christ＂had passed into a name，and when＂ He ＂could have but one meaning．See on iv． 15.
 same as עоиека̀s in vii． 30 \＆c．See Excursus on the Jewish Sects．
кal＇Iovialas кal＇Iepovaa入íp．These had probably come out of simple curiosity to hear and see the great Prophet of Nazareth．They were not the spies malignantly sent at the later and sadder epoch of His ministry（Matt．xv．1；Mk．iii．2，vii．1）to dog His footsteps， and lie in wait to catch any word on which they could build an accu－ sation．

Kvplov，＇of Jehovah．＇If Christ were meant the article would be used．
$\boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{v}$ ．The word is here emphatic－－＇was present，＇praesta erat．It is probably due to an Aramaic original．It is remarkable that in Mk． ii．1－1I the same story is told in widely different phraseology．
tis tò lâctat avitóv．This is the reading of NBL．If the reading be correct the verse means＂the Power of the Lord（i．e．of the Almighty Jehovah）was with Him to heal．＂If aúrovis be read it refers to the sick among the multitude．
18．äv $\mathbf{\delta p} \boldsymbol{\rho}$ ．Four bearers，Mk．ii． 3.
тара入е入uptvos．The word used by Matthew（ix．1－8）and Mark （ii．1－12）is＂paralytic，＂but as that is not a classic word，St Luke uses＂having been paralysed．＂
 great that they could not even get to the door.
19. $\mu$ ̀ єípóvtes. Comp. ii, 45.
moins, in what way' ( $\delta \delta 0 \hat{0}$ might have been expressed). The $\delta$ da moias of Ebr. is a grammatical gloss, as also are the readings añs and $\pi \delta \theta \in \nu$. $\pi$ oif is an unsupported conjecture of Bornemann. We have a similar local genitive in éceipns, 'that way,' xix. 4. It is found in the pronominal adverbs ov̂, mô̂, and in such phrases as $\lambda$ cuâs $\chi$ ecpós, 'on the left hand,' Aesch. Prom. 714. Cp. Ag. 1054 ; Soph. El. 900 . Sce my Brief Greek Syntax, § 46; Winer, p. 739, and § 30, 11.
avaßavtes é $\pi$ i to $\delta \hat{\omega} \mu \mathrm{a}$. A very easy thing to do because there was in most cases an outside staironse to the roof, Matt. xxiv. 17. Eastern houses are often only one storey high, and when they are built on rising ground, the roof is often nearly on a level with the street above. Our Lord may have been teaching in the "upper room" of the house, which was usually the largest and quietest. 2 Kings iv. 10; Acts i. 13, ix. 37.
 roof where He was, and digging it up, let down ' the pallet.' Clearly then two operations seem to have been necessary: (1) to remove the tiles, and (ii) to dig through some mud partition. But the description is too vague to enable us to understand the details. Seeptical writers have raised difficulties about it in order to discredit the whole narrative, but the making of an aperture in the roof (comp. Cie. Phil, in. 18, "per tegulas demitterere") is an everyday matter in the East (Thomson, The Land and the Book, p. 358), and is here alluded to, not because it was strange, but to illustrate the active, and as it were nobly impatient, faith of the man and the bearers.
$\sigma \dot{v} v \tau \hat{\omega}$ к $\lambda \iota \nu \delta i \varphi$. 'Little bed,' probably a mere mat or mattress. It means the same as St Mark's крд $\beta \beta$ aros, but that being a semiLatin word (grabatum) would be more comprehensible to the Roman readers of St Mark than to the Greek readers of St Luke. St Luke not only avoids the vernacular word, but also its repetitign ( $\kappa \lambda / \nu \eta \eta_{,} \dot{\epsilon} \phi^{\prime}$ і̀ катєкенто).
20. äpepote. St Mark has "Son," and St Matthew "Cheer up, son," which were probably the exact words used by Christ.
a' $\phi$ twoval rol. 'Have been forgiven thee,' i. e. now and henceforth. The form $\dot{\alpha} \phi \epsilon \omega_{\mu} \mu a l$ found in the four Evangelists (Matt. ix. 2 ; Mk. ii. 5 ; 1 John ii. 12) is according to Suidas a Doric form for the
 the perf. áqt $\omega \kappa$ к. The Etym. Magnus calls it an Attic form. Hellenistio Greek has forms which have come to it from various dialects (sea Winer, p. 96). In this instance our Lord's power of reading the heart must have shewn Him that there was a connexion between past sin and present affiction. The Jews held it as an universal rule that suffering was always the immediate consequence of sin. The Book of Job had been directed against that hard, crude, Pharisaic gene-
ralisation. Since that time it had been modified by the view that a man might suffer, not for his own sins, but for those of his parents (John ix. 3). These views were all the more dangerous because they were the distortion of half-traths. Our Lord, while He always left the individual conscience to read the connexion between its own sins and its sorrows (John $\nabla$. I4), distinctly repudiated the universal inference (Luke xiii. 5 ; John ix. 3).
 line. The word oivos is contemptuous. St Matthew puts it still more barely, 'This fellow blasphemes.' To indulge such thoughts and feelings was distivetly " to think evil thoughts."
$\beta \lambda a \sigma \phi \eta \mu i n s$. In olassical Greek the word means abuse and injurious talk, but the Jews used it speoially of curses against God, or claiming His attributes (Matt. xxvi. 65; John x. 36).
tls Súvatal apaptlas ádeival el $\mu \dot{\eta}$ ) $\mu$ óvos ó $\theta$ éos; The remark in itself was not unnatural, Ps. xxxii. 5; Is. xliii. 25; but they captiously overlooked the possibility of a delegated authority, and the ordinary declaratory idioms of language, which might have shewn them that blasphemy was a thing impossible to Christ, even if they were not yet prepared to admit the Divine Power which He had already exhibited.


 In the N.T. it is only used in the comparative. Any one might say 'thy sins have been forgiven' without any visible sign whether his words had any power or not; no one could by a word make a man 'rise and walk' who had not received power from God. But our Lord had purposely used words which while they brought the earthly miracle into less prominence, went to the vary root of the evil, and implied a yet loftier prerogative.
24. ó vios tov̂ divepoítov. Ben-Adam has a general sense of any human being (Pe. riii. 5; Job xxy. 6, \&e.); in a special sense in the 0. T. it is nearly 90 times applied to Ezekiel, though never used by himself of himself. In the N. T. it is 80 times used by Christ, but always by Himself, except in passages which imply His exaltation (Acts vii. 56 ; Rev. i. 13-20). The Title, as distinctively Messianic, is derived from Dan. wii. 13, and is there Bar-Enôsh, a word desoriptive of man in his humiliation. The inference seems to be that Christ used it to indicate the truth that "God highly exalted Him" becanse of His selfhumiliation in taking our flesh (Phil. ii. a-11). For while 'Son of Man' suits His humiliation, 'the Son of Man' is a title by which He expresses that He was the federal head of humanity.
 of course, a fortiori, He hath in heaven.
vol $\lambda$ 'yw. 'To thee I say.' The position is emphatic.
 pression. 'E $\phi$ ' $\sigma$ is another instance of a prep. of motion with a verb
 opópovs Matt. xix. 28, and the phrase eival $\epsilon \pi!\times \theta \delta \nu \alpha$ See Winer, p. 508 . This circumstance is emphasized in all three narratives to contrast the man's previous helplessness, "borne of four," with his present activity. He now carried the bed which had carried him, and "the proof of his sickness became the proof of bis cure." The labour would have been no more than that of carrying a rug or a cloak, yet it was this which excited the fury of the Pharisees in Jerusalem (John v. 9). The 'Sabbath-breaking' involved in the act was not specially attacked by the simpler and less Pharisuio Pharisees of Galilee.

$\pi a p a$ ' 0 oga. 'Startling things,' ${ }^{\text {a }}$ things contrary to expectation.' It
 Matt. ix. 8. It occurs nowhere else in the N.T.

## 27-39. The Call and Feast of Levi. On Fasting. The New and tele Odd.

27. ééáбato. 'He observed.'
óvóuatb $\Delta$ eveiv. It may be regarded as certain that Levi is the same person as the Erangelist St Mations. The name Matthey (probably a corruption of Mattithjah) means, like Nathanael, Theodore, Dositheus, Adeodatus, \&c., 'the gift of God,' and it seems to have been the name which he himself adopted after his call (see Matt. ix. 9, х. 3; Mk. ii. 14).
é $\pi l$ tò $\tau \in \lambda \omega$ viov. See note on iii. 12. It should be rendered as in the R. V. "at the place of toll," not as in A. V. "at the receipt of custom." Wyclif rightly renders it tolbooth. Matthew seems to have collected toll (perhaps for Herod Antipas) from cargoes of boats which crossed the lake. Herod Antipas paid a certain annual sum to the Romans, but was allowed to collect the revenue himself. Matthew may have been a tax-gatherer for Herod Antipas-who seems to have been allowed to manage his own taxes-(see Jos. Antt. xiv. 10 \& 8) aud not for the Romans; but even in that case he would share almost equally with a man like Zacchaeus the odium with which his class was regarded. For the Herods were mere creatures of the Caesars (Jos. Antt. xvir. 11 § 6). Probably the "toll" was connected with the traftic of the Lake, and St Matthew is rightly described in Hebrew as 'Baal abarah' 'lord of the passage.'
axodoïөtı $\mu$ ou. In appointing alike a Publican and a Zealot to be His Apostles our Lord shewed His divine independence and largehearted love for all men. The Apostolate of a Publioan would excite religious rancour; that of a Zealot would involve political suspicion. It might, too, have seemed impossible that men who were in such violent opposition to each other should ever work together. But Christ's controlling power fused all antagonisms into a common zeal, and at His touch each character gave out its peculiax spark of light.
28. ката入ıтஸ̀v $\pi$ ávra. It is most probable that St Matthew, like the sons of Jona and of Zebedee, had known something of our Lord before this call. If Alphaeus (Matt. x. 3; Mk. ii. 14) be the same as the father of James the Less, and the same as Clopas (John xix. 25) the husband of Mary, and if this Mary was the sister of the Virgin, then James and Matthew were cousins of Jesus. The inferences are uncertain, but early Christian tradition points in this direction. It was a rare but not unknown custom to call two sisters by the same names. All such details must be left to conjectural inferences, for ' the Gospels leave in the shadow all the secondary actors in the great drama.' The supposition of Heracleon, Clemens Alexandrinus, Ewald, and Keim, that Levi and Matthew were different persons has, however, nothing in its favour.
 thing to sacrifice when he "Ieft all." $\Delta o x \grave{\eta}$ literally means 'reception.' It only occurs again in xiv. 13.
भ̉v. 'Was present.' Comp. vs. 17.
 deep, and not wholly undeserved unpopularity, would be naturally touched by the countenance and kindness of the Sinless One.

29. छ̇\}óyरựov. This Ionic onomatopœia is common in Hellenistic Greek.
oi Фaplatiol кai of үраццатєis avitûv. 'The Pharisecs and their scribes,' i. e. those who were the authorised teachers of the company present. The Scribes (Sopherim from Sepher 'a book') were a body which had sprung up after the exile, whose function it was to copy and explain the Law. The 'words of the scribes' were the nucleas of the body of tradition known as 'the oral law.' The word was a general term, for technically the Sopherim had been succeeded by the Tanaim or 'repeaters' from b.c. 300 to A.D. 220, who drew up the Halackôth or 'rules;' and they by the Amoraim. The tyranny of pseudo-orthodoxy which they had established, and the terrorism with which it was enforced, were denonnced by our Lord (zi. 37-54) in terms of which the burning force can best be understood by seeing from the Talmud how crushing were the 'secular chains' in which they had striven to bind the free conscience of the people-chains which it became His compassion to burst (see Gfrörer, Jahrh. $a$. Heils, i. 140).
$\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ \mu a \theta_{p}$ ràs au่тov̂. They had not yet learnt to break the spell of awe which surrounded the Master, and so they attacked the 'unlearned and ignorant' Apostles. The murmurs must have reached the ears of Jesus after the feast, unless we imagine that some of these dignified teachers, who of course could not sit down at the meal, came and looked on out of curiosity. The house of an Oriental is perfectly open, and any one who likes may enter it.
 ners.' The article is found in nearly all the uncisls.
30. of íplaívovtes. 'Those in sound health.' Our Lord's words had both an obvious and a deeper meaning. As regards the ordinary duties and respectability of life these provineial scribes and Pharisees were really "whole" as compared with the tlagrant "sinfulness" of the tax-gatherers and "sinnera." In another and even a more daugerous sense they were themselves "sinners" who jancied only that they had no need of Jesus (Rev. iii. 17, 18). They did not yet feel their own sickness, and the day had not yet come when they were to be told of it both in parables (xviii. 11-13) and in terms of terrible plainness (Matt. xxiii.), "Difficulter ad sanitatem pervenimus, quia nos aegrotare nescimus." Sen. Ep. 50. 4.

סbkacous. 'Righteous persons.' This also was true in two senses. Our Lord came to seek and save the lost. He came not to the elder son but to the prodigal; not to the folded flock but to the straying sheep. In a lower and external sense these Pharisees were really, as they called themselves, 'the righteous' (chasidim). In another sense they were only self-righteous and self-deceived (zviii. 9). St Matthew tells us that He further rebuked their haughty and pitiless exclusiveness by borrowing one of their own formulæ, and bidding them "go and learn" the meaning of Hos. vi. 6, "I will have mercy and not sacrifice," i. e. love is better than legal scrupulosity; Matt. ix. 13, xii. 7. The invariable tendency of an easy and pride-stimulating externalism when it is made a substitate for heart-religion is the most caillous hypocrisy. The Pharisees were condemned not by Christ only but by their own Pharisaic Talmud, and after A.d. 70 the very name fell into such discredit among the Jews themselves as a synonym for greed and hypocrisy that it became a reproach and was dropped as a title (Jost, Gesch. d. Juden. vv. 76; Gfrörer, Jalurh. d. Heils, I. 140; Lightfoot, Hor. Helr. on Matt. iii. 7).
31. of $\delta \dot{t}$ eimav. St Luke here omits the remarkable fact that the disciples of John, who still formed a distinct body, joined the Pharisees in asking this question. It is clear that they were sometimes actuated by a not unnatural human jealoasy, from which their great teacher was wholly free (John iii. 26), but which Jesus always treated with the atmost tenderness (vii. 24-28). The question may very likely have been pat on one of the bi-weekly Pharisaic fasts-Monday and Thurs-

 adopt the ascetic habits of the Baptist.

סerjocis motoûvcal. 'Make supplications.' St Paul twice uses the same phrase, Phil. i. 4; 1 Tim. ii. 1. Of course the disciples prayed; but perkaps they did not use so " much speaking " nor connect their prayers with fastings. The preservation of these words by St Luke alone, in spite of the emphasis which he lays on prayer, shews his perfect fidelity.
oi $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ Iaploal $\omega v$. Those who in Jewish writings are so often spoken of as the 'pupils of the wise.' See on zviii. 12, "I fast twice in the week." Our Lord points out how much self-seeking and hypocrisy were mingled with their fasting, Matt. vi. 16, and the prophets had forcibly taught the utter uselessness of an abstinence dissociated from goodness and charity (Is. lviii. 3-6; Mio. vi. 6-8; Amos v. 21-24).

## 34. $\mu \mathrm{\eta}^{\prime}$; num?

тovis viov̀s toû $\nu \boldsymbol{\nu} \mu \phi \underline{\omega} v o s$. This is a Hebraism for the friends of the bridegroom-the paranymphs-who accompanied him to meet the bride and her maidens; Judg. xiv. 11. The question would be specially forcible to John's disciples who had heard him speak of "the joy of the friend of the bridegroom" (John iii. 29).
$\nu \nu \mu \phi \omega v o s$. Compare the words $\pi \alpha \rho \theta \in \nu \dot{\omega} \nu, \gamma u y a<\kappa \dot{\omega} v$.
is vupфlos. The term implies a fully-developed Messianic consciousness in the speaker (Hos. ii. 19).
vクorev̂oal. St Matthew (ix. 15) nses the word 'mourn' which makes the antithesis more striking (John xvi. 20).

 Comp. John xvi. 16, "A little while and ye shall not see me.". The verb used- $\dot{\pi} \pi a \rho \theta \hat{j}$-occurs in the N.T. only in Matt. ix. 15; Mark ii. 20, though we have $\dot{\epsilon} \xi \mathrm{q} \rho \hat{\bar{p}}$ (1 Cor. v. 2). It clearly points to a violent end. This is memorable as being the earliest recorded public intimation of His crucifizion, of which a dim hint ('even so shall the Son of man be lifted up") had been given privately to Nicodemus (John iii. 14).
 Observe that it is not said, 'then shall ye be able to insist on their fasting.' The Christian fasts would be voluntary, not compulsory; the result of a felt need, not the observance of a rigid command. Our Lord never entered fully into the subject of fasting, and it is clear that throughout the Bible it is never enjoined as a frequent duty, though it is sanctioned and encouraged as an occasional means of grace. In the Law only one day in the year-the Kippur, or Day of Atonement-was appointed as a fast (Lev. xvi. 29; Numb. xxix. 7). After the exile four annual fasts had arisen, but the prophets do not enjoin them (Zech. vii. 1-12, viii. 19), nordid our Lord in any way approve (or apparently practise) the two weekly fasts of the Pharisees (xyiii. 12). Probably the reason why fasting has never been commanded as a universal and constant duty is that it produces very different effects on different temperaments, and according to the testimony of some who have tried it most seriously, acts in some cases as a powerful stimulus to temptation. It is remarkable that the words "and fasting" are probably the interpolations of an aseetic bias in Matt. xrii. 21; Mk. ix. 29; Acts x. 30; 1 Cor. vii. 5, though fasting is implied in Matt. vi. 16. Fasting is not commanded and is not forbidden. The Christian is free (Rom. xiv. 5), but must, while temperate in all things, do exactly that
whioh he finds most conducive to his spiritual and moral welfare. For now the bridegroom is not taken from us but is with us (Matt. xxvii. 20 ; Heb. xiii. 5,6 ; John xiv. 16, xvi. 7).
36. $e_{\text {eyev }} \mathbf{8 k} \mathrm{kal}$. St Luke uses the phrase to introduce some fresh development or illustration of the subject. See Lev. xii. 54, xiv. 12, xvi. 1, xviii. 1. Here our Lord's remarks bear on the question just discussed, Moses had only appointed one annual fast-the Great Day of Atonement. The two weekly fasts of the Pharisees were mere ceremonial surplusage, belonging to their "hedge around the law."
 patch from a new garment putteth it upon an old garment.' The word $\sigma$ xioas 'rending' though omitted in our version is found in NABDL, Our Lord delighted in using these homely metaphors which brought the truth within the comprehension of His humblest hearers. St Matthew (ix. 16) has 'a patch of unteazled cloth.' To tear a piece out of a new garment in order to patch an old one is a folly never committed literally, but a very eommon religious and theological process.

โนátıov тa入aıóv. The Levitic dispensation which was already $\pi a \lambda a c o s ́-$ $\mu$ нио каі үтра́ткау (Heb. viii. 13). The old garment of externalism could not be patched up by tearing pieces ont of the new garment of spiritual service.
 in Matt. vi. 1; 2 Cor. xi. 16.
kal tò katwòv $\sigma$ xiotı. 'He will both rend the new.' The inferior readings adopted by the E. $\nabla$. make us lose sight of the fact that there is a treble mischief implied, namely, (1) the rending of the new to patch the old; (2) the incongruity of the mixture; (3) the increase of the rent of the old. The latter is mentioned only by St Matthew, but is implied by the bursten skins of the next similitude. Our Lord is referring to the proposal to enforce the ascetic leanings of the forerunner, and the Pharisaic regulations which hed become a parasitic growth on the old dispensation, upon the glad simplicity of the new dispensation. To act thus, was much the same thing as using the Gospel by way of a mere adjunct to-a mere purple patch upon-the old garment of the Law. The teaching of Christ was a new and seamless robe which would only be spoilt by being rent. It was impossible to tear a few doctrines and precepts from Christianity, and use them as ornaments and improvements of Mosaism. If this were attempted (1) the Gospel would be maimed by the rending from its entirety; (2) the contrast between the new and the old system would be made more glaring; (3) the decay of the evanescent institutions would only be violently accelerated. Notice how distinctly these comparisons imply the ultimate abrogation of the Law.

## 

37. ȧбкoús. 'Wine-skins.' Our Lord often illustrates two aspects of the same truth by a pair of parables (e.g. the Hid Treasure and the

Pearl; the Sower and the Tares, \&c.). The skins used for holding wine were apt to get seamed and cracked, and old wine-skins would tend to set up the process of fermentation. They could contain the motionless, but they could not expand with the fermenting. To explain this passage, see Excursus III.
38. olvov véov ils áokoùs kalvoús. 'New wine into fresh wineskins.' The new spirit requires fresh forms for its expression and preservation; the vigour of youth cannot be bound in the swaddlingbands of infancy. It is impossible to be both'under the Law' and ' under grace.' The Hebraising Christians against whom St Paul had to wage his lifelong battle-those Judaisers who tried to ruin his work in Galatia, Corinth, and Rome-had failed to grasp the meaning of precisely these truths. It is astonishing-if anything in Biblical exegesis could be astonishing-that Wetstein should suppose the new wine to be a metaphor for 'Pharisaic susterity,' or that any commentators should suppose that by 'new wine' Christ meant austerity at all (comp. Matt. xxvi. 29). The meaning is perfectly clear, the fruit of the Christian Vine is not to be stored in the old, seamy, and corrapted wineskins of an abrogated legalism, any more than the old garment of the Levitic system is to be patched by pieces out out of the Gospel. The incongruity of the old and the new is illustrated by both suppositions. Godet well points out how our Lord infuses into these few words the essence of the Pauline Gospel which is so elaborately developed in the Epistles to the Romans and Galatians.
39. Ttur madauóv. The reading of the Rec. gives a complete
 omitted by NBCL, and several Versions, \&c. This verse is peculiar to St Luke, and is characteristic of his fondness for all that is most tender and gracious. It is an expression of considerateness towards the inveterate prejudices engeadered by custom and system: a kind allowance for the reluctance of the Pharisees and the disciples of John to abandon the old systems to which they had been accustomed. The spirit for which our Lord here (as it were) offers an apology is the deep-rooted human tendency to prefer old habits to new lights, and stereotyped formulae to fresh truths. It is the unprogressive spirit which relies simply on anthority, preeedent, and tradition, and says, 'It was good enough for my father, it is good enough for me;' 'It will last my time,' \&c. The expression itself seems to heve been a Jewish proverb (Nedarim, f. 66. 1).
 (which גpqoтorepos would imply) that the new is in any way 'good. 'The old is excellent' (NBL, \&c.). The reading of the E. V., $\chi \rho \eta \pi-$ rorepos, is inferior, since the man, having declined to drink the new, can institute no comparison between it and the old. The wine which at the beginning has been set forth to him is good (John ii. 10), and he assumes that only 'that whioh is worse' can follow. On the general comparison see Ecelus. ix. 10 ; John ii. 10. Gess has pointed out (Ohristi Zeugniss) how pregnant with meaning is this brief passage in which Christ indicates the novelty of His Gospel, His dignity as
bridegroom, and His violent death. Godet adds that the first of these three parables anticipates the doctrine of St Panl, the second his work among Gentiles, and the third his accommodating method. It is charaeteristic of the crude dogmatism of Marcion, with his hatred to the Old Testament and the Law, that he omits vs. 39 which is also omitted in $\mathbf{D}$.

## CHAPTER VI.

 omitted by $\mathrm{KBL}, \mathrm{W} . \mathrm{H}$. See the note.
17. öx ${ }^{\circ}$ os AD. modus is added in NBL.


тrodús. A edds the explanatory gloss év oủpapệ.
 The reading of the Rec. is prob. from Matt. vii. 25.
49. auvétevev NBDL, Edd.

Ch. VI. 1-6. The Disciples pluck the eais of conn on the Sabbati. (Matt. xii. 1-8; Mark ii. 23-28.)
 pleonastic (comp. ₹. 1, 12, ix. 51, and for the construction without кal, i. 8,41 , ii. 1). The idiom is specially common in St Luke owing to the Aramaic documents which he used. In Classic Greek we should

 gives this unique note of time without a word to explain it, and scholars have not-and probebly never will-come to an agreement as to its exact meaning. The only analogy to the word is the $\delta \in u \tau \epsilon \rho 0-$ $\delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha ́ \tau \eta$ or second tenth in Jerome on Ezekiel xlv and $\delta \in u \tau \epsilon \rho \epsilon \sigma \chi a \tau 0 s$ last but one in Heliodorus. Of the ten or more suggested explanations, omitting those which are wholly arbitrary and impossible, we may mention the following.
a. The first Sabbath of the second month (Wetstein).
$\beta$. The first Sabbath after the second day of the Passover (Soaliger, Ewald, De Wette, Neander, Keim, dic.).
$\gamma$. The first Sabbath of the second year in the Sabbatic cycle of seven years (Wieseler).
ס. The first Sabbath of the Ecelesiastical year. The Jewish year had two beginnings, the civil year began in Tisri (mid-September); the ecelesiastioal year in Nisan (mid-March).

The first-iirst Sabbath may therefore have been a name given to the first Sabbath of the civil year in autumn; and second-first to the first Sabbath of the ecclesiastical year in spring (Godet).
e. The Pentecostal Sabbath-the Paschal Sabbath being regarded as the protoproton or first-first (Corn. à Lapide).

These and similar explanations must be left as unsupported conjectures in the absence of any decisive trace of such Sabbatical nomenclature among the Jews. It is idle to attempt an explanation of a word so obscure that not a single datum for its use is furnished by the LXX, by Philo, by Josephus or even in that enormons cyelopaedia of micrology, the Talmad. It is still more idle when the word is almost demonstrably spurious. We can see how it may have fonud its way into the MSS., and it must be regarded as certain that St Luke writing for Gentiles would either not have used such a word at all, or at any rate not have used it withoat an explanation. Even Chrysostom and Theophylact have nothing but untenable suggestions to offer. But we may remark that
(i) The reading itself cannot be regarded as probable, much less certain, since it is omitted in NBL, and in several important versions, including the Syriac and Coptic. Hence of modern editors Tregelles and Mejer omit it; Lachmann and Alford put it in brackets. Its insertion may be conceivably accounted for by marginal anmotations. Thus if a copyist put 'first' in the margin with reference to the "other" Sabbath of vs. 6 it would have been corrected hy some succecding copyist into 'second' with reference to iv. 31; and the two may have been combined in hopeless perplexity. If it be said that this is unlikely, it seems at least equally unlikely that it shonld either wilfully or accidentally have been omitted if it formed part of the original text. And why should St Luke writing for Gentiles use withont explanation a word to them perfectly meaningless and so highly technical that in all the folio volumes of Jewish Literature there is not a single trace of it?
(2) The exact discovery of what the word means is only important as a matter of archaeology. Happily there can be no question as to the time of year at which the incident took plase. The narrative seems to imply that the ears which the disciples plucked and rubbed were ears of wheat not of barley. Now the first ripe sheaf of barley was offered at the Passover (in spring) and the first ripe wheat sheaf at Pentecost (fifty days later). Wheat would ripen earlier in the rich deep hollow of Gennesareth. In any case therefore the time of year was spring or early summer, and the Sabbath (whether the reading be correct or not) was probably some Sabbath in the month Nisan.
 23-28. St Mark uses the curious expression that "He went along through the corn fields" apparently in a path between two fields"and His disciples began to make a way by plucking the corn ears." All that we can infer from this is that Jesus was walking apart from His Apostles, and that He did not Himself pluck the corn.
 cially if the corn was barley. They were permitted by the Law to do this-" When thou comest into the standing-corn of thy ueighbour, then thou mayest pluck the ears with thine hand," Dent. xxiii. 25.

St Matthew in his "began to pluck" shews how eagerly and instantly the Pharisees clutched at the chance of finding fault.
 gravamen of their offence.
 the ohronological eequence of the incident is uncertain, these may be some of the spy-Pharisees who as Christ's ministry advanced dogged His steps (Matt. x7. 1; Mk. iii. 22, vii. 1), in the base and demoralising desire to conviet Him of heresy or violation of the Law. Perhaps they wished to see whether He would exceed the regulated Sabbath day's journey of 2000 cubits (Ex. xvi. 29). We have already met with some of the carping criticisms dictated by their secret hate, v. 14, 21, 30 .
ri тotête; In St Mark the question is scornfolly addressed to Jesus. "See why do they (pointing at the Apostles) do on the sabbath day that which is not lawfal?"
$\delta$ ouk $\begin{aligned} & \text { Efertuv } \\ & \text { noteiv. The point was this. Since the Law had }\end{aligned}$ said that the Jews were "to do no manner of work" on the Sabbath, the Oral Law had laid down thirty-nine principal prohibitions which were assigned to the anthority of the Great Synagogue and which were called abhoth ' fathers' or chief rules. From these were deduced a vast multitude of toldotth 'descendants' or derivative rules. Now 'reaping' and 'threshing' on the Sabbath day were forbidden by the abhoth; and by the toldôth it was asserted that plucking corn-ears was a kind of reaping, and rubbing them $a$ kind of threshing. But while they paid servile attention to these trivialities the Pharisees "omitted the weightier matters of the law, judgment, mercy, and faith" (Matt. xxii. 23). The vitality of these artificial notions among the Jews is extraordinary. Abarbanel relates that when in 1492 the Jews were expelled from Spain, and were forbidden to enter the city of Fez lest they should canse a famine, they lived on grass; yet even in this state ' religiousiy avoided the violation of their Sabbath by plucking the grass with their hands.' To avoid this they took the much more laborions method of grovelling on their knees, and cropping it with their teeth !
3. oûbet toûto dvépvote ; 'Have ye not even read this?' He answers them in one of thair own formalae, but with a touch of irony at their ignorance, which we trace also in the "Did ye never read?" of St Mark ;-never though ye are Scribes and devote all your time to the Scriptures? Perhaps the reproving question may have derived an additional sting from the fact that the very passage which our Lord quoted ( 1 Sam. xxi. 1-6) had been read on that Sabbath as the Haphtarah of the day. The serviee for the day must have been over, beeanse no meal was eaten till then. This fact does not however heip us to determine which was the second-first Sabbath, because the present Jewish lectionary is of later date.
кal oi $\mu$ et' aùrov óvres. That the day on which this oceurred was a Sabbath results from the fact that it was only on the Sabbath that the new shewbread was placed on the table, Ler. xxiv.

8, 9. Christ might simply have answered the Pharisees by laying down the principle that moral necessities abrogate ceremonial obligation. But the concrete instance from their own Scriptures was more convincing. The divine readiness and absolute cogency of our Lord's replies at once mark His Messianic dignity.
 'loaves of setting forth;' "continual bread," Numb. iv. 7; "Bread of the Face," i.e. set before the Presence of God, Lev. xxiv. 6, 7. Gomp. "Angel of the Face," Lev. xxiv. 6-8; Ex. xxy. 30, xxix. 33. They were twelve unleavened loaves sprinkled with frankincense placed on a little golden table.

Enaßer кal Eqaycv. St Mark says that this was "in the days of Abiathar the high priest." The priest who atually gave the bread-to David was Ahimelech, the father of Abiathar.
 Aaron's and his sons': and they shall eat it in the holy place: for it is most holy unto him," Lev. xxiv. 9. Thus David, their favourite saint and hero, had openly and fearlessly violated the letter of the Law with the full sanction of the High Priest, on the plea of necessity,in other words because mercy is better than sacrifice; and because the higher law of moral obligation must always sapersede the lower law of ceremonial. This was a prooi by way of fact from the Kethubhim or sacred books (Hagiographa); in St Matthew our Lord edds a still more striking argument by way of principle from the Law itself. By its own provisions the priests in the laborious work of offering sacrifices violated the Sabbath and yet were blameless. Hence the later Jews deduced the remarkable rule that "there is no sabbatism in the Temple" (Numb. xxviii. 9). And Jesus added "But I say to you there is something greater ( $\mu \varepsilon \hat{\jmath}$ appeal to their own practice is given in xiv. 5.
5. kal $\lambda_{\text {eqev. Marking a weighty addition to the subject, see }}$ v. 36. The following utterance is one of Christ's great intimations of Christian freedom from mere legalism.

кúpios...кal тov̂ raßßíтov. 'Lord even of the Sabbath,' though you regard the Sabbath as the most important command of the whole Law. In St Mark we have further, "the Sabbath was made for man, and not man for the Sabbath.'

This was one of no less than six great occasions on which the fury of the Pharisees had been excited by the open manner in which our Lord set aside as frivolous and unauthoritative the burdens which the Oral Law had attached to the Sabbath. The other instances are the healing of the cripple at Bethesda (John v. 1-16); the healing of the withered hand (Lk. vi. 1-11); of the blind man at Siloam (John ix. 1-41); of the paralytic woman (Lik. xiii. 14-17); and of the man with the dropsy (Lk. xiv. 1-6). In laging His axe at the root of a proud and ignorant Sabbatarianism, He was laying His axe at the root of all that "miserable micrology" which they had been accustomed to take for religious life. They had turned the

Sabbath from a holy delight into a revolting bondage. The Apocryphal Gospels are following a true tradition in the prominence which they give to Sabbath healing, as a charge against Him on His trial before the Sanhedrin.

In the famous Cambridge Manuscript (D), the Codex Bezae, there


 the same day, observing one working on the Sabbath, He said to him O man, if indeed thou knowest what thou doest, thou art blessed: bnt if thou lnowest not, thou art accursed, and a transgressor of the Law." This very remarksble addition cannot be accepted as genuine on the authority of a single MS., and can only be regarded as one of the agrapha dogmata, or 'unrecorded traditional sayings' of our Lord. The meaning of the story is that 'if thy work is of faith,-if thou art thoroughly persuaded in thy own mind-thou art acting with true insight; but if thy work is not of faith, it is sin.' See Rom. xiv. 22, 23; 1 Cor. viii. 1. What renders the incident improbable is that no Jew would dare openly to violate the Law by working on the Sabbath, au act which readered him legally liable to be stoned. The anecdote, as Grotius thought, may have been written in the margin by some follower of Marcion, who rejected the inspiration of the Old Testament.

6-11. Tee Healing of the Man with tee Withered Hand.
 the Evangelists cnable us to decide on the time or place when the healing occurred.
 healed; and even this the Pharisees regarded as reprehensible, xiii. 14. The Gospel of the Ebionites adds that he was a stonemason, maimed by an acoident, and that he implored Jesus to heal him, that he might not have to leg his bread (Jerome on Matt. xii. 10).
7. тарет watched, ex obliquo et occulto (Bengel). The followers of Shammai, at that epoch the most powerful of the Pharisaic Schools, were so strict about the Sabbath, that they held it a violation of the Law to tend the sick, or even to console them on that day. (Shabbath, 12. 1.) Hence what the Pharisees were waiting to see was whether He was going to side with them in their Sabbatic views, or with the more lax Sadducees, whom the people detested. If He did the latter, they thought that they could ruin the popularity of the Great Prophet. But in this, as in every other instance, (1) our Lord absolutely refuses to be guided by the popular orthodoxy of the hour, however tyrannous and ostensibly deduced from Scripture; and (2) ignores every consideration of party in order to appeal to principles.
© $\theta_{\epsilon \rho a \pi \epsilon}$ th, 'whether He intends to heal.' The present being a continuous or imperfect tense often implies an intention or an attenipt
(conatus rei perficiendae) as here, 'whether He is for healing.' Comp.
 $\mu 00 \nu i \pi \tau \epsilon \epsilon s$ tovis $\pi \delta \delta a s$; Dost Thou mean to wash my feet? See Winer, p. 332. The other reading, eeparevíce, is a more commonplace idiom.

Tua єüpoorv. Ancording to the ordinary law of the sequence of tenses the word here should have been the optative, "They watched him that they might find." No doubt the subj. is sometimes substituted for the optative, even by classical writers, to make the
 writers however the rule of the sequence of tenses is constantiy violated, because of the gradual obsolescence of the optative, which was chiefy used in literary language. See Winer, p, 360.

 article according to the common Greek idiom.
 already addressed some questions to their consciences on this subject, or perhaps because they had asked Him, 'Is it lawful to heal on the Sabbath?' Matt. xii. 10. But St Luke here omits several dramatic incidents of the narrative.
 good or evil. He was intending to work a miracle for good; they were searetly plotting to do harm,--their object being, if possible, to put Him to death. They received this question in stolid silence. Mk. iii. 4.

 being grieved at the callousness ( $\pi \dot{\omega} \rho \omega \sigma=t$, Rom. xi. 25) of their hearts.' $\pi \in \rho ; \beta \lambda \epsilon \psi$ as would have been used here by a classic writer.

detexatefrády. The form also occurs in Matt. xii. 18; Mr. iii. 5. Holzmann (Schenkel, Bibel-Lex. s.v. Evangelien) inferred that all three Synoptists were using a common written document; but this 1st aor. pass. occurs in the LXX. (Jer. xxiii. 8, \&e.), and apparently selso in Cailimachus.
11. droias, 'unreasonableness.' The word occurs in the N. T. only in 2 Tim. iii. 9. Plato (Tim. p. 86, 3) says that there are two kinds of avoa, namely $\mu$ apla and duatla, i. $e^{\text {a }}$ bratal and wilful ignorance. Here the Ford implies dementia, senselessness, the frenzy of obstinate prejudice. It admirably characterises the state of ignorant hatred which is disturbed in the fixed conviction of its own infallibility. (2 Tim. iii. 9.) The two first miracles (iv. 35, 39) had excited no opposition, because none of these religious spies and heresy-hunters (xx. 20) were present.

8ı $\lambda$ didovv, 'began to commune.' This public miracle and public refutation clinched their hatred against Him (Matt. xii. 14. Comp. John xi. 53).
mpos dNAㅅ№us. St Mark adds that they conferred with the Herodians. This shews the extremity of their hate, for hitherto the Pharisees had regarded the Herodians as a half-apostate political party, more nearly allied to the Sadducees, and ready with them to sacrifice the true interests of their country and faith. St Matthew (xii. 14) says that they actually " held a council against Him."
 -the Aeolio aorist-implies extreme perplexity. It occurs only here in
 question comp. i. 62, ix. 46. Here it implies that they weighed the possible steps; quid fortc faeiendum videretur. See Winer, p. 386.

## 12-19. The Selection of the Twelfe Apostles.

12. Evv raîs in $\mu$ épaus taíтaus, 'in these days,' wearied with their incessant espionage and opposition. Probably these two last incidents belong to a later period in the ministry, following the Sermon on the Mount (as in St Matthew) and the bright acceptable Galilaean year of our Lord's work. In any case we have here, from vi. 12 -viii. 56 , a splendid cycle of Messianic work in Galilee in the gladdest epoch of Christ's ministry, and it will be seen that it consists of 12 incidents. These symmetrical combinations are generally intentional.
Els $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ orpos, 'into the mountain,' with special reference to the Kurn Hattin, or Horns of Hattin, the traditional and almost certainly the actual scene of the Sermon on the Mount.

गुv Stavvkrepever. The analytic imperfect which we have already met several times haightens the sense of contiuuance. The verb סcavuктєрє $\mathfrak{j} \omega$, 'I pass the whale night,' is unique in the N.T., though found in Xenophon and Plutarch. The verb is formed on the analogy of $\dot{\delta} \imath \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon{ }^{\prime} \dot{\omega}$.
 literally "in the prayer of God." Hence some have supposed that it shonld be rendered "in the prayer-house of God." The word $\pi \rho o \sigma \varepsilon u \chi \grave{y}$ meant in Greek not only 'prayer,' but also 'prayer-house,' as in the question to a poor person in Juvenal, "In what proseucha am I to look for you?" The rporevरal. were merely walled spaces without roof, set apart as places of worship where there was no synagogue, as at Philippi (Acts xyi. 13). There is however here an insuperable difficulty in thus understanding the words; for apocevxal were generally, if not invariably, in close vicinity to running water (Jos. antt. xiv. 10, § 23), for purposes of ritual ablution, nor do we ever hear of their being built on hills. On the other hand, if $\tau \delta$ ápos mean only 'the mountainous district,' this objection is not fatal. For another instance of a night spent on a mountain in prayer, see Matt. xiv. 23.
13. $\delta$ © $\delta \mathrm{eka}$. Doubtless with a reference to the twelve tribes of Israel.
outs kal aitrortohous ${ }^{\prime} v \delta \mu a \sigma \in v$. The word means primarily 'messengers,' as in Phil. ii. 25. It is a translation of the Hebrew Sheloochim, who often acted as emissaries of the Synagogue (comp. Mk. iii. 14, ziva àmogred $\eta$ aútoís). It is used 36 times by St Luke, 21 times by St Paul. In the other Gospels it only occurs in this sense in Mr. vi 30 ; Matt. x. 2; and only once in the LXX., 1 K. xiv. 6. It has two usages in the N.T., one general (John xiii. 16; Rom. xvi. 7; Heb. iii. I), and one special (I Cor. ix. 1 and passim). The call of the Apostles was now necessitated both by the widespread fame of our Lord, and the deadly animosity already kindled against Him. Their training soon became the most important part of His work on earth.
14. $\Sigma$ Cucva. Lists of the twelve Apostles are given in four passages of Scripture in the following order:
Matt. x. 2-4. Mk. iii. 16-19. Lk. vi. 14-16. Acts i. 13.

Simon
Andrew
James
John
Philip
Bartholomew
Thomas
Matthew
James of Al-
phaeus
Lebbaeus
Simon the Ka-
nanite
Judas Iscariot

Simon
James
John
Andrew
Philip
Bartholomew
Matthew
Thomas
James of Alphaeus
Thaddaens Simon the Kananite Judas Iscariot
Simon
Andrew
James
John

| Philip | Philip |
| :--- | :--- |
| Bartholomew | Thomas |
| Matthew | Bartholomew |
| Thomas | Matthew |
| James of Al- | James of Al- |
| phaens | phaeus |
| Simon Zelotes | Simon Zelotes <br> Jude of James |
|  | Jude of James |
| Judas Iscariot | [Judas Iscariot] |

In reading these four independent lists several facts are remarkable.
i. Each list falls into three tetrads, and the last two tetrads are arranged in slightly varying pairs. "The Apostolic College was formed of three concentric circles-each less closely intimate with Jesus than the last." Godet.
ii. In each tetrad the names refer to the same persons thongh the order is different.
iii. In each list the first of each tetrad is the same-viz. Simon, Plitip, and James son of Alphaeus; not as 'supreme among inferior, but as first among equals.'
iv. In each list Simon stands first; and Judas Iscariot last, as the 'son of perdition.'
7. Not only do the Apostles seem to be named in the order of their eminence and nearness to Christ, but the first four seem to stand alone
(in the Aets the first four are separated by "and;" the rest are
 chosen of the chosen; the ecclesiola in ecclesia. Androw, who is named last in St Mark and the Acts, though belonging to the inmost band of Apostles (Mk, siii. 3) and though the earliest of them all (John i. 40) was yet less highly honoured than the other three (who are the $\theta$ eodoytкérazot at the healing of Jairus's danghter, Mk. v. 37 ; at the Transfguration, Matt. xvii. 1; and in Gethsemane, Matt. xxvi. 37). He seems to have been a link of communication between the first and second tetrads (John xii. 22, vi. 8).
vi. The first five Apostles were of Bethsaida; and all the others seem to have been Galilaeans with the single exception of Judas Iscariot, who belonged to a Jewish town (see vs. 15). The only Greek names are those of Philip and Andrew (see John xii. 21, 22). At this time however many Jews bore Greek names.
vii. In the second tetrad it may be regarded as certain that Bartholomew (the son of Tolmai) is the disciple whom St John oalls Nathanael. He may possibly have been Philip's brother. St Matthew puts his own name last, and adds the title of reproach the tax-gatherer. In the two other Evangelists he precedes St Thomas. The name Thomus merely means 'a twin' (Didymus), and one tradition says that he was a twin-brother of Matihew, and that lis name too was Jude (Euseb. H.E. I. 13).

Fiii. In the third tetrad we find one Apostle with three names. His real name was Jude, but as there was already one Jude among the $A$ postles, and as it was the commonest of Jewish names, and as there was also a Jude who was one of the 'brethren of the Lord,' he seems to have two surnames-Lebbaeus, from tebh, 'heart,' and Thaddaeus (another form of Theudas, Acts 7 . 36), from thad, 'bosom'-possibly, as some have conjectured, from the warmth and tenderness of his disposition. (Very few follow Clemens of Alexandria and Ewald in trying to identify Lebbaeus and Levi.) This disciple is called by St Luke (riz. here and in Acts i. 13) "Jude of James"" or "James's Jude," and the English Version supplies the word "brother" (see Winer, p. 238). There is however no more decisive reason to supply "brother" (which is at any rate a very unusual ellipse) than in the former verse, where James is called "James of Alphaens" (Chalpai, Klôpa, John xix. 25, perhaps also Kleopas (xxip. 18), since Jews often Graecised the form of their names). The word 'brother,' where needed, is expressed, as in vs. 14. This three-named disciple was probably a son of James (compare Nonnus John xiv. 22 'Toudàs viòs 'Iak ${ }^{\prime} \beta(\beta)$ ), and therefore a grandson of Alphaens, and a nephew of Matthew and Thomas. James the son of Alphaeus is sometimes called "the Less;" but this ecems to be a mistaken rendering of $\delta \mu \kappa \rho d s$ (Mk. xv. 40), which means 'the short of stature.' The other James is never called 'the Great.'
ix. Simon Zelotes is called by St Matthew 'the Kananite' ( $\delta$ Kava$\nu / \tau \eta s)$, or according to the better readings 'the Eananean.' The word does not mean "Canaanite," as our Version incorrectly gives it, nor yet 'inhabitant of Kana in Galilee,' but means the same thing as 'tho

Zealot,' from Kineâh, 'zeal.' He had therefore once belonged to the sect of terrible fanatics-the Carbonari of Palestine-who thought any deed of violence justifiable for the recovery of national freedom. He may have been one of the wild followers of Judas the Gandonite. (Jos. B. J. If. 3, § 9, and passim.) The name 'Zealot' was derived from 1 Macc. ii. 50, where the dying Mattathias, father of Judas Maccabaeus, says to the Assidaeans (Chasidim, i.e.' 'all such as were voluntarily devoted to the law") "Be ye zealous for the Law, and give your lives for the covenant of your fathers" (comp. 2 Macc. iv. 2). It shews our Lord's divine wisdom and fearless universality of love that He should choose for Apostles two persons who had once been at such deadly opposition as a tax-gatherer and a zealot.
x. For "Judas Iscariot who also betrayed him" St Luke uses the
 The name Iscariot has nothing to do with askara, 'strangulation,' or sheker, 'lie,' but is in all probability Eesh Kerioth, 'man of Kerioth,' just as Istôbos stands in Josephus (Antt. viI, 6, § 1) for ' man of Tôb.' Kerioth (Josh. xv. 25) is perhaps Kuryetein, ten miles from Hebron, in the southern border of Judah. If the reading "Iscariot" is right in John vi. 71, xiii. 26 (NBCGL), as applied also to Simon Zelotes, then, since Judas is called "son of Simon" (John vi. 71), the last pair of Apostles were father and son. If Judas Iscariot had ever shared the wild Messianie patriotism of his father it would parity account for the recoil of disgust and disappointment which helped to ruin his earthly mind whem he saw that he had staked all in the cause of one who was rejected and despised. Yet even Judas was a witness, and a very important one, to the perfect innocence of his Lord (Matt. xxii. 4).
xi. It is a dceply interesting fact, if it be a faet (and although it cannot be made out with certainty because it depends on data which are conjectural, and on tradition which is liable to error-it is still far from improbable) that so many of the Apostles were related to each other. Simon and Andrew were brothers; James and John were brothers, and, if Salome was a sister of the Virgin (comp. Mr. xv. 40 ; John xix. 25), they were first cousins of our Lord; Philip and Bartholomew may have been brothers; Thomas, Matthew, and James were perhaps brothers and first cousins of our Lord; Lebbaens, or 'Jude of James,' was His second cousin ; Simon Zelotes and Judas Iscariot were perhaps father and son. Thus no less than half of the Apostles would have been actually related to our Lord, although His brethren did not believe on Him (John vii. 5). The dificulty however of being sure of these combinations rises in part from the paucity of Jewish names, and therefore the extreme commonness of Simon, Jude, James, \&c.
xii. The separate incidents in which individual Apostles are mentioned are as follows:
Peter: Prominent throughout; xii. 41, xzii. 31; Matt. xvi. 16, xvii. 24, xix. 27, \&c.

James, , Both prominent throughout. Boanerges; calling down John:\} fire; petition for precedence, \&e.

James was the first Apostolic martyr; John the last survivor (Acts zii. 2; John xxi. 22).

Andrew : the first disciple, John i. 40 ; with Jesus on Olivet, Mk. xiii. 3.

Philip: "Follow me," John i. 43; his frankness, John vi. 7; the Greeks, id. xii. 22: "shew us the Father," id. xiv. 8.

Bartholomew: " an Israelite indeed," John i. 47; of Cana, John Exi. 2.

Matthew: his call, v. 27, 28.
Thomas: despondent yet faithful, John xi. 16, xiv. 5, xx. 25, xxi. 2. James son of Alphaens: no incident.
Jude son of James: his perplexed question, John xiv. 22.
Simon Zelotes: no incident.
Judas Iscariot: the betrayal and ultimate suicide.

'Ioкарь" $\theta$. This should, strictly, be rendered "an Iscariot," i.e. a native of Kerioth, and sometimes "the Iscariot," as in Matt. x. 4, \&c. The reading of D in many passages is $\dot{\mathbf{~} \pi \mathrm{jo}} \mathrm{K}$ Kaptúrov. The name may be all the more significant becanse it perhaps marks out Judas as the only Jew among a band of Galilaean Apostles.
16. ©́s '̇үยvєто $\pi$ poóórys. 'Who became a traitor.' "Have not I chosen you twelve, aud one of you is a devil?" John vi. 70; 1 John ii. 17; typified by Ahithophel, Ps. xii. 9. If it be asked why our Lord chose him, the answer is nowhere given to us, but we may reverently conjecture that Judas Iscariot, like all human beings, had in him germs of good which might have ripened into holiness, if he had resisted his besetting sin, and not flung away the battle of his life. It is clear that John (at least) among the Apostles had found him out (John xii. 6), and that he had received from our Lord more than one solemn warning (xii. 15, xviii. 25, \&c.).
 scending with them, He stopped on a level place.' tómos $\pi \epsilon \delta \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ bs also occurs in Is. ziii. 2, LXX. If the phrase be thus rendered there is no discrepancy between St Luke and St Matthew, who says that "He went up into the monntain, and when He sat down His disciples approached Him" (Matt. v. 1). I believe that St Luke here meant to give such portions of the Sermon on the Mount as suited his design. Combining the two narratives with what we know of the scene, we see that what occurred was as follows. The previous evening Jesus went to one of the peaks of Kurn Hattin (withdrawing Himself from His disciples, who doubtless bivouacked at no great distance), and spent the night in prayer. In the morning He called His disciples and chose Twelve Apostles. Then going with them to some level spot, either the flat space (colled in Greek $\pi \lambda a \xi$ ) between the two peaks of the hill, or some other spot near at hand, He preached His sermon primarily to His disciples, who sat immediately around Him, but also to the multitudes. There is no need to assume two discourses-one esoteric and one ezoteric, \&c. At the same time there is of course no difficulty in
supposing that our Lord may have uttered the same discourse, or parts of the same discourse, more than once, varying it as occasion required. We need ouly notice for its curiosity the puerile fancy of Baur, that St Luke wished to degrade the Sermon on the Mount to a lower standpoint! Christ did not descend to the plain nor even, as the Genevan renders, to "the champaign country," but, as Wyclif renders it with admirable fidelity both to the Greek and to the actual site, to a " fieldy place" (Vulg. in loco campesiri).
 a great extent Greek), Decapolis, and Peraea; St Murk also mentions Idamaea. Thus there were Jews, Greeks, Phoenicians, and Arabs among our Lord's hearers.
18. $\dot{\mathbf{a}} \pi \dot{d} \pi \nu \epsilon \nu \mu a ́ \tau \omega v$. The $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{d}$ indicates the sources of theil maladies. See Winer, p. 164
19. ä́trectal aủtov̂. Compare viii. 44; Matt. xiv. 36; Mk, v. 30.

## 20-26. Beatttodes and Woes.

This section of St Luke, from vi. 20 to ix. 6, resembles in style the great Journey Section, ix. 51-xviii. 34.
 a Hebrew expression (ashrê), (Ps. i. 1). St Matthew adds "in spirit" (comp. Is. lxvi. 2, "To this man will I look, even to him that is poor and of a contrite spirit, and trembleth at my word"). But (1) St Luke gives the address of Christ to the poor, whose very presence showed that they were His poor and had come to seek Him; and (2) the Evangelist seems to have been impressed with the blessings of a faithful and humble poverty in itself (comp. Jas. ii. 5; 1 Cor. i. 26-29), and loves to record those parts of our Lord's teaching which were especially 'the Gospel to the poor' (see i. 53, ii. 7, vi. 20, xii. 15-34, xvi. 9-25). See Introd. p. xxxy.
> "Come ye who find contentment's very core
> In the light store
> And daisied path
> of poverty,
> And know how more
> A small thing that the righteous hath Availeth, than the ungodly's riches great."

> Cov. Patmore.
"This is indeed an admirably sweet friendly begianing...for He does not begin like Moses...with command and threatening, but in the friendliest possible way with free, enticing, alluring and amiable promises." Luther.
 sense that 'the poor,' 'the hungry,' 'the weoping,' must be understood ethically. St Matthew uses the expression 'the kingdom of the heavens.' The main differences between St Matthew's and St Luke's record of the Sermon on the Mount are explained by the different
objects and readers of these Gospels; but in both it is the Inaugural Discourse of the Kingdom of Heaven:-
(i) St Matthew writes for the Jews, and much that he records has special bearing on the Levitic Law (v. 17-38), which St Luke naturally omits as less intelligible to Gentiles. Other parts here omitted are recorded by St Luke later on (xi. 9-13; Matt. vii. 7-11).
(ii) St Matthew, presenting Christ as Lawgiver and King, gives the Sermon more in the form of $a$ Code. Karn Hattin is for him the new and more blessed Sinai; St Luke gives it more in the form of a direct homily ("yours," \&c., not "theirs," vi. 20; Matt. v. 3; and compare wi. 46, 47 with Matt. vii. 21, 24).
(iii) Much of the Sermon in St Matthew is occupied with the contrast between the false righteousness-the pretentious orthodoxy and self-satisfied ceremonialism-of the Pharisees, and the true righteousness of the Kingdom which is mercy and love. Hence much of his report is occupied with Spirituality as the stamp of trae religion, in opposition to formalism, while St Luke deals with Love in the abstract.
(iv) Thus in St Matthew we see mainly the Law of Love as the contrast between the new and the old; in St Luke the Law of Love as the central and fundamental idea of the new.

For a sketch of the Sermon on the Mount, mainly in St Matthew, I may refer to my Life of Christ, I. 259-264. The arrangement of the section in St Luke is not obvious. Some see in it the doctrine of happiness; the doctrine of justice; the doctrine of wisdom; or (1) the salutation of love (vi. 20-26); the precepts of love (27-38); the impulsion of love (39-49). These divisions are arbitrary. Godet more successfully arranges it thas: (1) The members of the new society (20-26; Matt. v. 1-12); (2) The fundamental prineiple of the new society (27-45; Matt. v. 13-vii. 12); (3) The judgment of God on which it rests (46-49; Matt. vii. 13-27):-in other words (1) the appeal; (2) the principles; (3) the sanction.
 thew here also brings out more clearly that it is the beatitude of spiritual hunger "after righteousness."
 meant 'to fetten cattle.' It is used in the LXX. and by each of the Evangelists, but only once by St Panl.

үe入ávett. See 2 Cor. vi. 10; Rev. xxi. 4.
 here four steps of persecation increasing in virulence: (1) General hatred; (2) Exclasion from the synagogue, a lesser excommunication, viz. the Neziphah or exclasion for 30 days, or Niddoui for 90 days (Gfrörer, Jahth. d. Heils, r. 183; John ix. 34,-hence á $\phi o p \not{ }^{\prime} \mu \mu \mathrm{ds}$ means 'excommunication'); (3) Violent slander; (4) The Cherem, Shammatta, or greater excommunication,-permanent expulsion from the Synagogue and Temple (John xvi. 2). The Jews pretended that our Liord was thus excommunicated with the blast of 400 rams' horns by

Joshua Ben Perachiah (Wagenseil, Sota, p. 1057), and was only crucified forty days after because no witness came forward in His favour.


#### Abstract

as movnpóv. 'Malefic' or 'execrable superstition' was the favourite description of Christianity among Pagans (Tac. Ann. xv. 44; Suet. Nero, 16), and Christians were charged with incendiarism, cannibalism, and every infamy. (The student will find such heathen views of Christianity collected in my Life of St Paul, Ize. xv. Vol. r.)


tyeka rov̂ vioù toû avopowtov. The hatred of men is not in itself a beatitude, beeause there is a general conscience which condemns certain forms of wickedness, and a man may justly incur universal execration. But the world also hates those who run counter to its pleasures and prejudices, and in that case hatred may be the tribute which vice pays to holiness; 1 Pet. ii. 19, iii. 14. "The world hath hated them, because they are not of the world, even as I am not of the world;" John xvii. 14. Still a man may well tremble when he is enjoying throughout life a beatitade of benediction. And 'the world' by no means excludes the so-called 'religious world,' which has hated with a still fiercer hatred, and exposed to a yet deadlier martyrdom, some of its greatest prophets and teachers. Not a few of the great and holy men enumerated in the note on vs. 23 fell a victim to the fury of priests. Our Lord was handed over to cracifixion by the unanimous hatred of the highest religious authorities of His day.

On the title Son of Man, which oceurs in all the four Gospels, see p. 168. In using it Christ "chooses for Himself that title which definitely presents His work in relation to humanity in itself, and not primarily in relation to God or to the chosen people, or even to humanity as fallen." Canon Westcott (on John i. 5i) considers that it was not distinctively a Messianic title, and doubts its having been derived from Dan. vii. 13. "The Son of God was made a Son of Man that you who were sons of men might be made sons of God." Aug. Serm. 121. As the "Second Adam" Christ is the representative of the race ( 1 Cor. $\mathbf{x v} .45$ ) in its highest ideal; as "the Lord irom Heaven" He is the Promise of its futare exaltation.
 tribtlation;" Rom. v. 3; Jas. i. 2, 3; Col. i. 24; Heb. xi. 26. They accepted with joy that 'ignominy of Christ' which made the very name of 'Christian' a term of execration; 1 Pet. iv. 14, 16.
roîs $\pi \rho \circ \phi \dot{\eta}$ itals. Elijah and his contemporaries, 1 K. zix. 10. Hanani imprisoned by Asa, 2 Chron. xvi. 10. Micaiah imprisoned, 1 K. xxii. 27. Zechariah atoned by Joash, 2 Chr. xxiv. 20, 21 . Urijah slain by Jehoiakim, Jer. xxvi. 23. Jeremiah imprisoned, smitten and put in the stocks, Jer. xxxii, xxxviii. Amos slandered, expelled, and perhaps beaten to death (Am. vii.). Isaiah (according to tradition) sawn asunder, Heb xi. 37, \&c. See the same reproaeh against the Jews in Heb. xi. 36-38; Acts vii. 52; 1 Thess. ii. 14, 15.
24. $\pi \lambda$ गे̀ ount, While sin lasts, there must still be Woes over against Beatitudes, as Ebal stands for ever opposite to Gerizim. In St Matthew also we find (Matt. xxiii.) eight Woes as well as eight Beatitudes. See too Jer. xvii. 5-8, but there the "cursed" precedes the " blessed."
uffiv rois $\pi$ dovaiols. The 'woel' is not necessarily or wholly denunciatory; it is also the cry of compassion, and of course it only applies-not to a Chuzas or a Nicodemus or a Joseph of Arimathaea, -but to those rich who are not poor in spirit, but trust in riches (Mk. x. 24), or are not rich towards God (xii. 21), and have not got the true riches (xvi. 11; Amos vi. 1; Jas. v. 1). Observe the many parallels between the Epistle of St James and the Sermon on the Mount, Jas. i. 2, 4, 5, 9, 20, ii. 13, 14, 17, 18, iv. 4, 10, 11, v. 2, 10, 12.
aं $\pi \dot{e} \dot{\chi} \in \tau \in . \quad$ 'Ye have to the full,' Phil. iv, 18; comp. xvi. 25, "Son, remember that thou in thy lifetime receivedst good things."
25. oi $\mathfrak{k} \mu \pi \epsilon \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \mu \hat{y} 0$. "Behold, this was the iniquity of thy sister Sodom, pride, fulness of bread," Ezek. xvi. 49.
ovial oi $\gamma$ ehâvtes vîv. Compare Eccles. ii. 2, vii. 6; Prov. xiv. 13.
26. oval. Omit unto you with $N \mathrm{ABE}$, \&c.
 ship of the world is enmity with God?" Jas. iv. 4. "If ye were of the world, the world would love his own," John xv. 19.

тoîs 廿evסoтрофض̆тavs. "The prophets prophesy falsely...and my people love to have it so," Jer. v. 31. The prophets of Baal and of Asherah, honoured by Jezebel, 1 K. xviii. 19, 22. Zedekiah, son of Chenaanah, supported by Ahab, 1 K. xxii. 11. "Speak unto us smooth things, prophesy deceits," Is. xxx. 10.

## 27-38. The Laws of Love and Mercy.

[27-30. The manifestations of Love. 31. Its formula. 32-35. Its distinctiveness. 35-36. Its model. 37-45. Love as the principle of all judgment. Godet.]
27. tois ákoúovatv. 'To you who (really) hear.' Enthymius

 the highest part of the Law and the Old Testament. Ex. zxiii. 4, "If thou meet thine encmy's ox or ass going astray, thou shalt surely bring it back to him again." Prov. xxy. 21, "If thine enemy be hungry, give him bread to eat." Yet in many passages it had practically been said "to men of old time," at any rate in some cases, "thou shalt hate thine enemy," Deut. vii. 2, xxiii, 6; 1 Chr. xx. 3; 2 Sam. xii. 31; Pa. cxxxyii. 8, 9, \&e. On these passages the fierce fannticism of the Pharisaic Jews, after the Exile, had so exclusively fed, that we find the Talmud ringing with precepts of the most bitter hatred against all Gentiles, and the ancients had, not unnaturally, been led to the
conclusion that detestation of all but Jews was a part of the Jewish religion ("adversus omnes alios hostile odium," Tac. Hist. v. 5; Jur. Sat. xiv. 103).
 forced in Rom. xii. 17, 19-21.
 plies the coarsest insults, and is found in 1 Pet. iii. 16. St Luke alone records our Lord's prayer for His murderers, xxiii. 34, from which St Stephen learnt his, Acts vii. 60.
29. $\dot{\text { en in }}$ тìv ocayóva. Literally, on the jaw-perhaps to imply coarse and brutal violence.
 (Matt. v. 39; 1 Cor. vi. 7; 1 Pet. ii. 19-23) impressed for ever on the memory and conscience of mankind by a striking paradox. That it is only meant as a paradox in its literal sense is shewn by the fact that our Lord Himself, while most divinely true to its spirit, did not act on the letter of it (John xviii. 22, 23). The remark of a good man on reading the Sermon on the Mount, "either this is not true, or we are no Christians," need not be correct of any of us. The precepts are meant, St Augustine said, more " ad praeparationem cordis quae intus est" than "ad opus quod in aperto fit;" but still, the fewer exceptions we make the better, and the more absolutely we apply the spirit of the rules, the fewer difficulties shall we find about the letter. Erasmus remarks that the sudden change of number from the piural to the singular makes the command more emphatically individuel. Our Lord enunciates the principle and abstains from laying down the limitation which His hearers in all ages are eager to make.
 shawl-Iike abba; the chitonn was the tunic. See on iii. 11 .
30. $\pi$ avti aitov̂vil $\sigma t$ ס(8ov. Literally, "be giving," implying a habit, not an instant act. Here again we have a broad, general principle of unselfishness and liberality safely left to the common sense of mankind, Deut. xy. 7, 8, 9. The spirit of our Lord's precept is now best fulfilled by not giving to every man that asks, because in the altered circumstances of the age such indisoriminate almsgiving wonld only be a check to industry, and a premium on imposture, degradation, and vice. By 'giving,' our Lord meant 'conferring a boon;' but mere careless giving now, so far from conferring a boon, perpetuates a curse and inflicts an injury. The spirit of the precept is large-handed bat thoughtful charity. Love must sometimes violate the letter as the only possible way of observing the spirit (Matt. xy. 26, xx. 23). "Omni petenti...non omnia petenti; ut id des quod juste et honeste potes."-Augustine. Our Lord did not mean His divine maxim to be left at the mercy of wild fanaticism or stupid letter worship.
31. ка0ws $\theta$ enert к.t. $\lambda$. The golden rule of Christianity of which our Lord said that it was "the Law and the Prophets," Matt. vii. 12. The modern 'Altraism' and 'vivre pour autrui,' though pomponsly
enunciated as the basis of a new religion, are but a mutilated roproduction of this.
tva $\pi$ roūarv. Another instance of the loose Hellenistic expansion of the use of $\psi v a$.
32. cal ydip oi $\dot{\text { a }} \mu a \rho \tau \omega \lambda$ ol. Where St Matthew (v. 46, 47), writing for Jews, uses the term 'tax-gatherers' or '(Gentile persons' ( $\varepsilon$ evicol), St Luke naturally substitutes the nearest equivalents of those words in this connexion, because he is writing for Gentiles. Our Lord meant that our standard must rise above the ordinary dead level of law, habit, custom, which prevail in the world.
34. ti $\mathrm{V} \sigma$ a. 'The exact return.'
35. $\pi \lambda \underline{1}$ v. 'Howcver.' This conjunction is used by St Luke much more frequently than by the other N. T. writers. From this passage we see that 'interest' and 'usury' are not here contemplated at all.
 with the Rabbinic comment that God counts it as universal obedience if any one lends without interest. The words may also mean 'despairing in nothing;' or (if $\mu \eta \delta \overline{\text { of }}$ ' be read) 'driving no one to despair.' The verb only occurs again as the varia lectio of D in Eph. iv. 19. It is a late Greek word and generally means 'to despair.' Hence our R. V. renders it "never despairing" with the marginal reading "despairing of no man" ( $\mu \eta^{\delta} \delta \hat{y}^{\prime}$ ). Comp. Rom. iv. 18, $\pi a \rho^{\prime} \epsilon \lambda \pi!\delta a \in \pi^{\prime}$


xp site addition in Matt. v. 45.
36. y(vecee olktiphoves. 'Become,' or 'Plove yourselves merciful' (omit ovy, NBDL).
 essential difference between the two Evangelists we may see in such expressions as "the Father of Mercies," 2 Cor. i. 3; "the Lord is very pitiful and of tender mercy," James 7 . 11; "Put on therefore as the elect of God...bowels of mercies, kindness," Col. iii. 12; Is. xxx. 18. "God can only be our ideal in His moral attributes, of which Love is the centre." Van Oosterzee.

> "It is an attribute to God Himself, And earthly power doth then, shew likest God's When mercy seasons justice."
> SHAEspeare.
37. $\mu \dot{\eta}$ крivete. The following кaтadıкájete shews that what is forbidden is not only condemnatory judgment but the critical, fastidious, fault-finding, angenerons spirit. For comment read Rom. ii. 1-3, xiv. 10, "Why dost thou judge thy brother 7...for we shall all stand before the judgment-seat of Christ;" 1 Cor. iv. 3-5, xiii, and the Lord's Prayer; James ii. 13, "he shall have judgment without mercy that hath shewed no mercy." Hence a "righteous judgment"
of others is not forbidden, so long as it be made in a forbearing and tender spirit, John vii. 24.
 free and ye shall be set free.' Comp. xxii. 68. Vulg. dimittite et dimittemini. The verb a $\pi$ odve in the N. T. never means 'to forgive.' For comment see the Parable of the Debtors, Matt. xviii. 23-35.
38. 8'બórovatv. 'Shall they give.' Who? The A. V. supplies "men." Euthymius says 'those whom you have benefited' for God will seem to give in their behalf. But St Luke was probably thinking of angels, as in xvi, 9 (comp. Matt. xxiv. 31) and in xii, 20, 48.
 that was necessary was carried in the fold of the robe (Heb. cheyd, Ps. xxxv. 13, \&o.; Lat. sinus), or in the girdle.
 this is found in the Talmud (Duke's Rabbin. Blumenlese, p. 162), but it must be remembered that the earliest parts of the Talmud were not committed to writing till more than two centuries after Christ; and long before that time His sayings may have been 'in the air,'i.e. they may have passed unconsciously into the store of the national wisdom even among His enemies.

## 39-45. Sincertty. Four Compartsons.

 27. "Cease, my son, to hear the instruction that causeth to err." St Paul taunts the Jew with professing to be "a guide of the blind," Rom. ii. 19. St Luke calls this "a parable" in the broader sense (see on iv. 23); and in this Gospel the Sermon thus ends with four vivid 'parables' or similes taken from the sights of daily life-blind leaders of blind; the mote and the beam; good and bad fruit; the two houses. The emphasis is increased by the sharp opposition of the contiguous nominative and accusative.
40. катクpтьซцévos. 'Who has been perfected,' 2 Tim. iii. 17. A favourite quotation of St John's, xiii, 16, xv. 20. See Matt. x. 25.
 glance the mote in his brother's eye; but not the most careful inspection enables him to observe (кatavoeiv) the very obvious beam in his own eye. kápфos, a stalk or chip, and this is also the idea of mote. Thus in Dutch mot is dust of wood; in Spanish mota is a fluo on cloth.
Tì' סokóv. The entire illustration is Jewish, and was used to express impatience of just reproof (Babha Bathra, f. 15.2) so that 'mote' and 'beam' became proverbial for little and great faults. The proverb also implies, 'How can you see others' faults properly with a beam in the depth of your eye ( $\epsilon \kappa \beta a \lambda \varepsilon \ldots \epsilon \kappa$, Matt. vii. 5)? how dare you condemn when you are so much worse?' Comp. Chancer (Reeve's Prologue),

> "'He can wel in myn eye see a stalke
> But in his owne he can nought seen a balke."
 is deliberative, as in $\theta \in \lambda \epsilon t s \epsilon^{\prime \prime} \pi \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$, ix. 54. In modern Greek $\tilde{d} s$, $l e t$, is derived from ádes, and has become a regular imperative form.
ou $\beta \lambda \epsilon \pi \omega \nu$. This is the only instance of ou with a participle in this Gospel. Participles are so frequently causal or conditional that they are usually reversed by the subjective negative $\mu \dot{\eta}$,-the particle which negatives thoughts-which is exclusively joined to them in modern Greek. The où is here emphatic-'when, as a fact, thou art blind to.'

ن́токрırí. Rom. ii. 1, "Wherein thou judgest another, thou condemnest thyself." "If we condemn others when we are worse than they, we are like bad trees protending to bear good frvit." Bengel.
44. Ékartov סévסpov. 'Each tree' (not as in A.V. every tree, whicl would be $\pi \tilde{a} \nu \bar{\nu} \delta \boldsymbol{\nu} \delta \delta \rho o v)$.
 pointing to one of the common Eastern gardens or orchards with its festooning vines and fig-trees just beyond the rough hedges of prickly pear.
 generation of vipers, how can ye, being evil, speak good things?" Matt. xii. 34; "the vile person will speak villany," Is. xxxii. 6.

46-49. False and True Foundations.
 fear, saith the Lord of Hosts?" Mal. i. 6. Painful comments are supplied by the language of two parables, Matt. xxv. 11, 12; Luke ziii. 25.
47. kal mot̂̂v aùroús. John xiii. 17. "Be ye doers of the word, and not hearers only," Jas. i. 22.
 The E.V. here loses all the picturesque force of the original. Peender, ' he is like a man building a house, who dug, and kept deepening, and laid a foundation on the rock.' The two first verbs are not a mere Hebraism or hendiadys for 'he dug deep' (Vulg. fodit in altum) as Schott says; but they give a picture, somewhat in the leisurely Hebrew manner. See Winer, p. 588. 'Crescit oratio.' Beza. The rock is Christ and the teaching of Christ ( 1 Cor. x. 4). Whether tested by flood, or by fire ( 1 Cor. iii. $11-15$ ), only the genuine buildiug stands. In another sense, too, 'the wicked are overthrown, and are not: but the house of the righteous shall stand,' Prov. xii. 7.
$\pi \lambda \eta \mu \mu$ íp $\eta$ s. 'An inundation;' the sudden rush of a spait.
ठьà тò ка入ấs к.т.д. See critical note.
 sand;" e.g. the sand of superficial intellectual acceptance.

नuvétevev. 'It collapsed,' 'it fell in a heap.'
to $\mathfrak{\rho} \mathfrak{\eta} \gamma \mu \mathrm{a}$. Literally, 'the breach.'

## OHAPTER VII．

1．ETeifin，ABC．This seems to be the best reading，for D has

4．Trapf $\ddagger$ n，NABCDE \＆c．＇thou should＇st do．＇Rec．$\pi a \rho \in \xi \in!$（ 3 rd pers．sing．）．

10．dofevoîvca is added by $A C D \& c$ ．，but omitted in NBL，Copt．， \＆c．La．Ti．W．H．
 St Luke geems to omit $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{y}$（Acts xxi．1，xxv．17，de．；comp．ix．37）． AB read $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\psi} \xi \xi \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s}$ ，＇afterwards＇．
iкavol．AC，La．Ti．Omitted by NBDFL，W．H．

28．трофท亍т $\quad$ s，omitted by $\aleph$ BL，La．W．H．

1－10．Healing of the Centurion＇s Sebvant．
1．Erecivi．Where．This is the best reading．xi． 6 is the only place where it occurs（undisputedly）in the Gospels．Elsewhere it is only used once or twice in the Acts and by St Paul．
ET入npertev．＇Quum absolvisset．＇The words shew the solemnity attnched to the previous discourse．
tis rds dikóis．Mk．vii．35；Acts xpii．20．See Matt．viii．5－13． Capernaum was now His temporary home．The incident occurred as He was entering the town．

2．ékarovtápXou סf tıvos סô̂入os．Literally＇slave．＇The word used by St Matthew（naîs）might mean son，but is olearly also used for servant（like the Latin puer）．A centurion is a captain；under him is a sergeant（ $\delta$ exd $\delta a p \chi o s)$ ，and above him a colonel（ $\chi$ inlap $\chi^{\circ}$ ）， and general（ $\dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu(\mathrm{T})$ ）．Jos．B．J．v．12，§ 2．All the centurions in the N．T．are favourably mentioned（xxiii．47；Acts xxvii．43）．
tyrtuos．＇Precious．＇ 1 Pet．ii．4，6．The love of the captain for his servant was a good example for the Jews themselves，who in the Talmud forbade mourning for slaves．
 terrible pain＇（viii．6）．St Luke，as a physician，may have omitted this speaification beobuse the description applies rather to tetanus than to＂paralysis．＂


 ＇elders＇were doubtless some of the ten functionaries，whom the Jews
also called parnasim, 'shepherds.' Their functions were not in any respect sacerdotal, and they were of lower rank than the a pucouvá7aryo.

8Larwiry. 'Would save from death' (not heal as in A.V.).
4. oroubacms. 'Instantly,' i.e. urgently, as in the phrase "continuing instant in prayer."
$\pi a p \& \xi$. See critical note. As the mape $\} \in c$ of the Rec. can only be a 3 rd pers. singular, it would thus represent the remarks of the elders among themselves. Meyer.
 to do. It shews that the centurion was a Gentile,--probably a proselyte of the gate (though the term was invented later), i.e. one of those who embraced Judaism on the whole, but without becoming a 'proselyte of righteousness' by accepting circumcision. It is not impossible that he may have been a Homan, though there is no direct proof that Romans ever held such offices under Herod Antipas. More probably he was some Greek or Syrian, holding a commission under the tetrarch.
 self built for us.' The expression, 'the synagogue,' does not necessarily imply that there was only one synagogue in Capernaum, but only that he had built the one from which this deputation came, which was probably the chief synagogue of Capernaum. If Capernaum be Tel Hum (as I became convinced on the spot itself), then the ruins of it shew that it probably possessed two synagogues; and this we should have conjectured beforehand, seaing that Jerusalem is said to have had 400 . The walls of one of these, built of white marble, are of the age of the Herods, and atand just above the lake. It may be the very building here referred to. This liberality on the part of the Gentiles was by no means unfrequent. Wealthy Gentile proselytes not seldom sent splendid gifts to the Temple itself. The Ptolemies, Jos. Antt. xir. 2, §5; Sosius, id. xiv. 16, § 4; Fulvia, id. xvir. 3, \& 5 , dcc. See on xxi. 5. The aúròs means that the munificent centurion, who mast have been very wealthy, had built the synagogue at his own expense.

6. |  |
| :---: |
| $\pi \epsilon \mu \psi \epsilon \epsilon \ldots . . \phi$ Dious. These 'friends' were perhaps brother-officers, | not Jews. Here the narrative of St Luke is much more detailed, and therofore probably more exact, than that of St Matthew, who represents the conversation as taking place between our Lord and the centurion himself. We see from St Luke that he had been prevented from coming in person by deep humility, and the belief that the elders would be more likely to win the boon for him. Meanwhile, he probably stayed by the bedside of his dying slave. St Matthew's narrative is framed on the simple and common principle, qui facit per alium facit per se.

кúple. The word in itself may mean no more than 'Sir,' as in John iv. 19, xii. 21; Acts xvi. 30, \&o. It was, in fact, like the Latin
dominus, an ordinary mode of address to persons whose names were unknown (Sen. Ep. B); but the centurion's entire conduct shews that on his lips the word had a more exalted significance. In a special sense Kípos is a name for God (Adonai) and Jehovah (1 Thess. v. 2, \&c.).
$\mu \dot{\jmath} \sigma \kappa \dot{y} \lambda \lambda \lambda_{0}$. 'Bother not,' or 'worry not thyself.' But in Hellenistic Greek, both slang words (úm $\omega \pi$ radj ${ }^{2} \omega_{+}$xviii. 5 ; кatavapкá $\omega$, 2 Cor. xii. 13) and purely poetic words (see ii. 35) had become current in ordinary senses. $\Sigma_{\text {кüd }} \omega$ only occurs as a var. lect. ('worried sheep') in Matt. ix. 36, in viii. 49, end in the parallel (Mk. v. 35). Its first meaning is to flay.
ikavós. Lit. sufficient.
 praestitit dignum non in cujus parietes sed in cujus cor Christus intraret. Aug.
द́aquróv. 'I did not even think myself worthy to come; I sent my friends to represent me.'
7. einè $\lambda$ 人́ $\gamma \varphi$. The centurion had clearly heard how Jesus, by Fis mere fiat, had healed the son of the 'courtier' at Capernaum (John iv, 46-54). The attempt to make these two miracles identical is most arbitrary and untenable.
; $\pi$ ais $\mu$ rov. The centurion here uses the more tender word, rais, 'son.'
 invisible highway for the saving eagles of the great Imperator." Lange.
 made the request. He was but a subordinate himself, " under authority" of his chiliarch and other officers, and yet he had soldiers under him as well as a servant, who at a word executed his orders. He inferred that Jesus, who had the power of healing at a distance, had at His commard thousands of the "Heavenly Army" (ii. 13; Matt. xxvi. 53) who would

> "at His bidding speed, And post o'er land and ocean without rest."
ävepumos. 'A person.' The humility of this centurion is very remarkable in a Gentile officer. He does not even call himself a $\quad \eta \eta$.
 authority.' The centurion was under the tribunus militum ( $\chi$ d $\mathrm{Alap} \mathrm{\chi os}$, Acts xxi. 32). The present tarobuevos (which is not to be taken with $\epsilon i \mu i$, but is a separate epithet) represents the constant, daily submission to duty, and is far more graphic than teca $\begin{gathered}\text { fevos would have }\end{gathered}$ been. That would have expressed the permanent position.
9. EGaúpaotv cưtóv. The only other place where the astonishment of Jesus is recorded mentions His astonishment at unbelief. Mk. vi. 6.
 found I so great faith.' These words are preserved with similar exactness in St Matthew. "He had found," says St Augustine, "in the oleaster what He had not found in the olive." Nothing can be more clear than that neither Evangelist had seen the narrative of the other, and, since St Matthew is the less exact, we infer that both Evangelists in this instance drew from some cycle of oral or written apostolic teaching. The words added by St Matthew (viii. 11, 12) are given by St Luke in another connexion (siii. 28 sq .).
10. v́yuaipovta. A medical word which is found also in xv. 27 (and in a metaphorical sense in Tit. i. 13; 1 Tim. i. 10, vi. 3; 2 Tim. i. 13, iv. 3).
dं $\theta$ evoûrca. This word should probably be omitted. It has a certain picturesqueness, for it implies that the friends of the centurion found the slave sound whom they regarded as sick. On the one hand, it may be regarded as an explanatory gloss; on the other hand, it may have been omitted as involving a contradiction.

11-17. The Raising of the Son of tee Widow of Nain.
11. iv Tी, efท̂s. If the reading $\tau \boldsymbol{\eta}$ be right we must understand $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho \underline{q}, ~ " d a y . ' ~ S o m e ~ M S S . ~(A B L, ~ \& c) ~ r e a d. ~ \tau \hat{\psi}$, which would give a wider limit of time. In viii. 1 we have $\epsilon \tau \tau \varphi \kappa \kappa \in \epsilon \xi \bar{\eta} s$, and it must be admitted that if $\bar{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\eta} \dot{\epsilon} \xi \hat{\eta} s$ be the right reading it is unique. For in ix. 37, $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho q$ is supplied; and in Acts xxi. 1, xxy. 17, xxyii. 18, $\hat{\varepsilon} \nu$ is omitted. There is no chronological difficulty about the event taking place the 'next day,' as I have shewn in my Life of Christ, x. 285. St Luke alone, with his characteristio tenderness, preserves for us this narrative.
cls $\pi \delta^{\prime} \lambda เ \nu$ кa入ounév $\boldsymbol{\eta} v$ Natp. In the tribe of Issachar. The name means 'lovely,' and it deserves the name from its site on the northwest slope of Jebel el Duhy, or Little Hermon, not far from Endor, and fall in view of Tabor and the hills of Zebulon. It is twentyfive miles from Capernaum, and our Lord, starting in the cool of the very early morning, as Orientals always do, would reach it before noon. It is now a squalid and wretohed village, still bearing the name of Nein.
 panying Him His disciples, in considerable numbers, and a large multitude.' In this first year of His ministry, before the deadly opposition to Him had gathered head, while as yet the Pharisees and leaders had not come to an open rupture with Him, and He had not sifted His followers by 'hard sayings,' ous Lord was usually accompanied by adoring crowds.
 mural. Nain is approached by a marrow rocky path, and it must
have been at this spot that the two processions met. They were perhaps going to bury the dead youth in one of the rock-hewn sepulchres which are still visibie on the hill side. The rocky path is one of the few definite spots in Palestine on which we know that our Lord had stood.
 of $\kappa a i$ in the Gospeis are modified by Aramaic idioms. 'Eкко $\langle$ fet is used for the classical $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \phi \epsilon \rho \in \omega$, efferre.
 tender feeling than the ordinary genitive would have been. It is the dative of advantage, and expresses the preciousness of the son to the mothcr. Comp. $\mu$ ovoyevìs $\tau \hat{\psi}$ marpi, Tob. iii. 15. See Winer, p. 264.


oxג family of Bethany (John xi. 19) ; and on the bitterness of mourning for an only child, see Jer. vi. 26 ; Zech. xii. 10 ; Amos viii. 10.
13. $\delta$ кípoos. "The Lord" is far more frequent as a titic of Jesns in St Luke (x. 1, xi. 39, xii. 42, xvii. 5, 6, xix. 8, xxii. 61) than in the other Evangelists except St John. The fact is a sign of the spread of Christian faith. Even though St Luke's Gospel may not' have been published more than a year or two after St Matthew's, yet St Luke belongs, so to speak, to a later generation of disciples.
' $\sigma \pi \lambda a \gamma \chi v i \sigma \theta \eta$. Jesus, who was always touched by the sight of human agony (Mk. vii. 34, viii. 12), seems to have felt a peculiar compassion for the anguish of bereavement (John xi. 33-37). The fact that this youth was "the only son of his mother, and she a widow" would convey to Jewish notions a deeper sorrow than it even does to ours, for they regarded childessness as a special calamity, and the loss of offspring as a direct punishment for sin (Jer. vi. 26 ; Zech. xii. 10; Amos viii. 10).
 tion, as Bengel says, involved the promise of the miracle. The hypothesis that this was a case of suspended animation might have served to expluin a single instance. It beeomes utterly absurd when applied to five or six similar miracles in the New Testament. The only choice lies between belief in a fact and repudiation of a deliberately invented falsehood. Comp. vii. 22; Matt. xi. 5.
14. Tîs oopou. 'The coffin.' Here again, as in the case of the leper (v. 12), our Lord sacrificed the mere Levitical ceremonialism, with its rules about nncleanness, to a higher law. Jewish coffins were open, so that the form of the dead was visible.
voi $\lambda \in$ yo. 'To thee (dead though thou art) I say.'
 54 ; John xi. 43 ; Acts ix. 40. How unlike the passionate tentative struggles of Elijah (1 Kings xvii. 21) and Elisha (2 Kings iv. 35) !
15. *6akev. 'He gave him.' It was a gift from the grave. The A. V. (delivered) misses the force of this tender word.
 Jeremiah, or "one of the Prophets" was at that time widely spread. See on ix. 8, 19.

етєбкє́ұато. Compare i. 68, 78 ; John iii. 2.
17. $\delta \lambda$ dopos oìtos. This account or story, rather than rumour.
 be in Judaea is quite groundless. He means that the story of the incident at Nain spread even into Judrea.

## 18-35. The Message from tee Baptist.

 but was not precluded from intercourse with his friends.
$\pi \rho o{ }^{2} s$ tòv kúpiov. The reading of $B$ and some other uncials. St Luke and St John use this title frequently to describe Jesus. The other two Synoptists do not; perhaps becanse to Jewish ears ó Kúpos was the recognised synonym of Jehovah.
 [Messiah], or are we to expect another?" "The Coming (One)" is a technical Hebrew term for the Messiah (Habba). The title occurs in xiii. 35 , xix. 38 ; John i. 15, iii. 31 ; Rev. i. 8, and is derived from Mal. iii. 1. This brief, remarkable message is identical with that in St Matthew, except that St Luke uses idioy ('another '), and St Matthew 'erepoy ('a second,' or 'different one'). Probably, however, there is no significance in this variation, since the accurate elassical meaning of $\varepsilon_{\tau}$ epos was partly obliterated. Probably too the messengers spoke in Aramaic. "The Coming" is clearer in St Matthew, because he has just told us that John heard in prison the works of "the Christ," i.e. of the Messiah. Those who are shocked with the notion that the faith of the Baptist should even for a moment have wavered, suppose that (1) St John merely meant to suggest that surely the time had now come for the Messiah to reveal himself as the Messiah, and that his question was one rather of 'increasing impatience' than of 'secret unbelief;' or (2) that the message was sent solely to reassure John's own disciples; or (3) that, as St Matthew here ases the phrase 'the works of the Messiah' and not "of Jesus," the Baptist only meant to ask "Art thou the same person as the Jesus to whom I bore testimony?" These suppositions are excluded, not only by the tenor of the narrative but directly by vs. 23 (Matt. xi. 6). Scripture never presents the saints as ideally faultless, and therefore with holy truthfulness never conceals any sign of their imperfection or wakness. Nothing is more natural than that the Great Baptist-to whom had been granted but a partial revelation-should have felt deep anguish at the calm and noiseless advance of a Kingdom for which, in his theocratic and Messianic hopes, he had imagined a very different proclamation. Doubtless too his faith like that of Elijah ( 1 K. zix. 4), of

Job in his trials（Job iii．1），and of Jeremiah in prison（Jer．xx．7）， might be for a moment drowned by the tragic briefness，and disastrous eclipse of his own career；and he might hope to alleviate by this message the anguish which he felt when he contrasted the joyous brightness of our Lord＇s Galilean ministry with the unalleviated gloom of his own fortress－prison among the black rocks at Makor． ＇If Jesus be indeed the promised Messiah，＇he may have thought， ＇why am I，His Forerunner，suffered to languish undelivered，－the victim of a wicked tyrant？＇The Baptist was but one of those many glorious saints whose careers God，in His mysterious Providence，has suffered to end in disaster and eclipse that He may shew us how smaill is the importance which we must attach to the judgment of men，or the rewards of earth．＂We fools accounted his life madness，and his end to be without honour：how is he numbered among the children of God，and his lot is among the saints！＂Wisd．v．20．We may be quite sure that＂in the fiery furnace God walked with His servant so that his spirit was not harmed，and having thus annealed his nature to the utmost that this earth can do，He took him hastily away and placed him among the glorifed in Heaven．＂Irving．

## 20．ó $\beta a \pi \tau t \sigma \pi j^{\prime} s . \quad$＇The Baptist．＇

21．$\mu \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\tau}$ lүшv．＇Scourges．＇It is used here only by St Luke of diseases，and elsewhere only by St Mark（iii，10，v．29，34）．

кal тuphoîs mo入入oís éxaploato $\beta \lambda \epsilon \pi \epsilon \epsilon v$ ．＇And to many blind He grauted the boon of seeing．＇The кai indicates the greatness of the miracle，and the éxapidato（which Bengel calls magnificum verbum）the graciousness of it，and the preciousnese of the result．The Rec．reads rd $\beta \lambda \epsilon \pi \epsilon \varphi$ ，but the to is not essential and probably rose from homoeoteleuton．$\chi^{a p i j} \xi \in \theta$ ou in the N．T．is only used by St Luke and St Paul．

22．Af dicte．Our Lord wished His answer to be the announce－ ment of facts，not the explanation of difficulties．His enumeration of the miracles involves an obvious reference to Is．xxix．18，xxxy．4－6， 1x．1－3（see iv．17－19），which would be instantly caught by one so familiar with the language of＂the Evangelical Prophet＂as the Baptist had shewn himself to be．

ттахХоl eviaүye入itovtal．With this construction compare $\pi \in \pi i \sigma \tau \varepsilon v \mu a t$
 in the passive，the noun denoting the person becomes the nominative． See Winer，p．326．Thus the spiritual mirasle is placed as the most convincing climax．The arrogant ignorance and hard theology of the Rabbis treated all the poor as mere peasants and nobodies．The Talmud is full of the two contemptuous names applied to them－ ＇people of the earth＇and＇laics．＇One of the charges brought against the Pharisees by our Lord was their attempt to secure the monopoly of knowledge，xi． 52.
 used in exactly the same seuse as à after relative pronouns and
conjunctions. The peculiarity may have been derived from popular usage.
23. $\sigma \kappa \alpha v \delta a \lambda เ \sigma \theta \hat{\eta}$. 'Caused to stumble.' For instances of the stumbling-block which some made for themselves of incidents in our Lord's career, see Matt. xiii. 55-57, xxii. 42; John vi. 60, 66 ; and compare Is. viii. 14, 15 ; 1 Cor. i. 23, ii. 14 ; 1 Pet. ii. 7,8. The word okdyסaגov (Latin, offendiculum, Hebr. mokesh, 'snare,' and mikshol, 'stumbling-block') means anything over which a person falls (e.g. a stone in the road) or on which he treads and is thrown.
24. $\mathbf{d} \pi \in \boldsymbol{\lambda} \theta$ ofvt $\omega \mathbf{v}$. We notice here the exquisite tenderness of our Lord. He would not suffer the multitudes who had heard the question of John to cherish one depreciatory thought of the Baptist; and yet he suffers the messengers to depart, lest, while hearing the grand eulogy of their Master, they should be pained by His concluding words. It is natural to suppose that the two disciples carried back to John some private message of peace and consolation.
ipgata. The word introduces solemn and important remarks, as in iv. 21. The word is specially common in St Mark and St Luke; less so in St Matthew; St John does not use it in this phrase at all.

日eáraodar, 'to gaze upon.'
ка́入apov. John was not like the reeds which they had seen waving in the wind on the banks of Jordan, but rather, as Lange says, 'a cedar half uprooted by the storm.'
 camel's hair mantle and leathern girdle of the Baptist; Matt. iii. 4.

 xi. 8). 'They are ia glorious apparel and luxury.' The Herods were specially given both to ostentation in dress (Acts xiii. 21) and to luxury, Mk. vi. 21; Jos. B. J. 1. 20, § 2; Antt. xix. 8, § 2; 18, § 7. $\tau \rho \cup \phi \hat{n}$ occurs in the N.T. only here and in 2 Pet. ii. 13.
iv tois Ba.oideiors. 'In the palaces.' Such as the palaces of the Herods which His hearers had seen at Tiberias, Caesarea Philippi, and Jerusalem. We might almost fancy an allusion to Manaen the Essene, who is said in the Talmud to have openly adopted gorgeous robes to shew his allegiance to Herod. To the Herodians generally, and to all whose Judaism was a mere matter of gain and court favour, might have been applied the sneering nickname of the Talmud 'Proselytes of the royal table' (Gere Shulchan Melachim. Kiddushin, f. 65. 2; Grätz, mi. 308), which may be compared with the sneering Hindoo phrase "rice-Christians." John had been in palaces, but only to counsel and reprove. Our Lord on the only two occasions on which He entered palaces-on the last day of His lifewas mocked by being robed in "bright apparel" (xxiii. 11), and a purple or searlet robe (Matt. xxvii. 28).
26. трофทंт $\boldsymbol{\tau}$. "All accounted John as a prophet," Matt. xxi. 26.

тєрьनбóтєроv трофท́тov. Namely, an actual personal herald and forerunner; the Angel or Messenger of Malachi, iii. 1, and so the only Prophet who had himself been announced by Prophecy. $\pi є \rho t \sigma \sigma \delta \tau \in \rho o y$ $=\pi \lambda \epsilon \quad{ }^{2}$.
27. Lסov́, dтобтt $\ell \lambda \omega$ к.т. $\lambda$. Compare i. 76; Mk. i. 2. In the parallel passage of St Matthew our Lord adds that the Baptist is the promised Elias, Matt. xi. 11, 14, xvii. 10-13; Lk. i. 17 (Mal. iv. 5). The quotation is from Mal. iii. 1, "Behold, I will send My messenger, and he shall prepare the way before $M e$." The words are varied because, in the original, God is speaking in His own person, and here the words are applied to Christ.
28. $\mu \mathrm{Ec} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \mathrm{v}$. 'He was the lamp, kindled and burning,' John v. 35. "Major Propheta quia finis Prophetarum," S. Ambr. He closed the former Aeon and announced the new, Matt. xi. 11, 12. Our Lord is allading to his office not to his moral greatness.
of $\delta \frac{\mu}{2}$ кр́otepos. This cannot mean quite the same thing as if the saperlative had been used. It may be qualitative, as in our R. V. "he that is but little." Meyer snpposes it to mean 'he that is less than John.' We find a similar comparative in ix. 48 and in Matt. ziii. 32, xviii. 1. The superlative of $\mu$ mpots is not used in the N.T.
$\mu \in$ 'qov aúrov̂. See by way of comment Matt. xiii. 16, 17; Col. i. 25-27, and compare Heb. xi. 13. The simple meaning of these words seems to be that in blessings and privileges, in knowledge, in revealed hope, in conscions admission into fellowship with God, the humblest child of the new kingdom is superior to the greatest prophet of the old; seeing that, as the old legal maxim says, "the least of the greatest is greater than the greatest of the least." The smallest diamond is made of more precious substance than the largest fint. In the old dispensation "the Holy Ghost was not yet given, because that Jesus was not yet glorified," John vii. 39. Of those "born of women" there was no greater prophet than John the Baptist, but the members of Christ's Church are "born of water and of the Spirit." This saying of our Lord respecting the privileges of the humblest children of His kingdom has seemed so strange that attempts have been made to give another tone to the meaning by interpreting " he that is least" to mean 'the younger,' and by explaining it to mean our Lord Himself as "coming after" the Baptist.
29. E6ıka/wrav tòv $\theta$ ebv. They bore witness that God was just; see ver. 35 . Comp. Ps. li. 4, "that Thou mightest be justified when Thou speakest, and be clear when Thou art judged," and Hom. iii. 26. St Luke has already made prominent mention of the publicans at the baptism of John, iii. 12.
30. गंधetnoav sis éavioús. 'Nullinied (Gal. ii. 21; Prov. i. 24) the purpose of God, to their own ruin,' or better, 'with reference to themselves.' The "purpose of God" (Acts xx. 27) had been their salvation ( $1 \mathrm{Tim} . \mathrm{ii} .4$ ). They could not nullify this purpose towards others, but they did so as far as it referred to themselves. Had the
meaning been they rejected it 'to the best of their own power' we should have tò $\epsilon$ is éautoús.
$\mu$ ) Bastuodfves. They seem to have gone to the ministry of John partly out of curiosity, partly as spies (Matt. iii. 7); and they congistently refused to recognize him as a Prophet, althongh they were prevented from shewing open hostility by fear of the people (Mk. xi. 32).
31. [eint $8 \ell \delta$ кúplos]. These words are almost certainly spurious, being omitted by all the best uncials.
tivl ov̂v ópotต́rc...; Our Lord seems more than once to have used this formula to arrest attention for His parables. Mk. iv. 30.
32. maishous rois $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{v}$ ajyopq. Lit. 'little boys, those in the marketplace.' Our Lord constantly drew His deepest instruation from the commonest phenomena of nature, and the everyday incidents of life. Such a method gave far greater force to the delivery of His Gospel "to the poor," and it was wholly unlike the arid, scholastic, technical, and second-hand methods of the Rabbis.
 less drawn from the games which Jesus had witnessed, and in which perhaps He as a child had taken part, in Nazareth. Eastern children are fond of playing in groups at games of a very simple kind in the open air. Some have supposed that the game here alluded to was a sort of guessing game like that sometimes played by English children, and called 'Dumb Show.' This is not very probable. The point of the comparison is the peevish sullenness of the group of children who refuse to take part in, or approve of, any game played by their fellows, whether it be the merry acting of a marriage, or the imitated sadness of a funeral. So the men of that generation condemned the Baptist for his asceticism which they attributed to demoniacal possession; and condemned Christ for His genial tenderness by calling Him a man fond of good living. The difficulties and differences of explanation found in this simple parable are only due to a needless literahism. If indeed we take the language quite literally, "this generation" is compared with the dancing and mourning children who complain of the sullenness of their fellows; and if this be insisted on, the meaning must be that the Jews complained of John for holding aloof from their mirth, and of Jesus for discountenancing their austerities. But it is the children who are looking on who are blamed, not the playing children, as is clearly shewn by the "and ye say" of vss. 33, 34. In the explanation here preferred our Lord and the Baptist are included in this generation, and the comparison (just as in the Homeric similes) is taken as a whole to illustrate the mutual relations between them and their contemporaries. So in Matt. xiii. 24, "The kingdom of heaven is like unto a sower, \&c.," where the comparison is more to the reception of the seed.
 require $\dot{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon \nu$.
 oüre) to indicate the thoughts suggested in the minds of the observers, and not the mere fact. See note on iv. 35 . Winer, p. 607. "His meat was locusts and wild honey," Matt. iii. 4. Being a Nazarite he drank no wine, i. 15; see 2 Esdr. ix. 24.

Saıfóvov EXe. They sneered at him for a moody or melancholy temperament, which they attributed to an evil spirit. This in fact was their coarse way of describing any peculiarity or exaltation which struck them as strange. At a later period they said the same of Christ, John vii. 20, x. 20.
34. $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \theta(\omega v$ кal $\pi i v \omega v$. The title explains the reason of our Lord's practice. He came as the Son of man, and therefore He came to shew that the common life of all men could be lived with perfect holiness, and that seclusion and asceticism were not necessary as universal conditions.

фáyos кal oivotóт $\quad$ s. 'An eater, and a drinker of wine.' фáүos does not occur in the LXX. or N.T.; olvombins only in Prov. xxiii. 20. See Matt. xi. 19.
$\phi i \lambda o s ~ \tau \epsilon \lambda \omega \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$ кal $\dot{\dot{\alpha}} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \rho \tau \omega \lambda \hat{\omega} v$. Thus His divinest mercy was turned into His worst reproach.
35. kai. 'And yet.' кal is often thus emphatic.
$\dot{\eta}$ roфla. The personification of God's wisdom was common in the later Jewish literature, as in the Book of Wisdom. It is also found in the Old 'Testament (Prov. i. 20, ix., \&c.).
 jastified by,' or 'on the part of,' i.e. has from the first been acquitted of all wrong and error, receives the witness of being just, at the hands of all her children. The "children of wisdom" generally (Prov. ii. 1, iii. 1, \&c.) are those who obey God, and here are those of that generation who accepted the baptism of John and the ministry of Jesus, without making a stumbling-blook of their different methods. The Jews, like the petulant children, refuse to sympathize either with John or Jesus-the one they condemned for exaggerated strictness, the other for dangerous laxity: yet the Wise, -Wisdom's true children-once for all declare that she is righteous, and free from blame: for they know that wisdom is mokunoikioos, 'richly-variegated,' 'of many colours,' Eph. iii. 10. The world's wisdom was foolishness; those whom the world called fools were divinely wise, John iii. 33. Wisdom is thus justified by her children both actively and passively; they declare her to be just and holy, and the world ultimately sees that her guidance as exemplified by their lives is the best guidance (Wisd. v. 4, 5; Ps. li. 4; Rom. iii. 4). The reading $t^{t} \gamma \gamma \omega \nu$ 'works' for $\tau \epsilon \kappa \nu \omega \nu$ "children" in $\kappa$ may be derived from the variant reading in Matt. xi, 19.
távtav. The position adds emphasis to the word-'by her chil-dren-all of them,' even publicans and sinners, who embraced truth when it reached them, whether from John or from the Lord, and "justified (esualwoav) God." Hence the following narrative is, as Godet points oat, a special illustration of the general prineiple.

## 36-39. Jeste in the Hodes of Simon.

36. Tis...Tŵv \$apıralav. This exquisite narrative is peculiar to St Luke, and well illustrates that conception of the universality and free gift of grace which predominates in his Gospel as in St Paul. To identify this Simon with Simon the Leper in Mk. xiv. 3 is quite arbitrary. Simon was one of the commonest Jewish names. There were two Simons among the Twelve, and there are nine Simons mentioned in the New Testament alone, and twenty in Josephus. There must therefore have been thousands of Simons in Palestine, where names were few. The incident itself was one which might have happened frequently, being in close accordance with the customs of the time and country. With the uncritical attempt to identify Simon the Pharisee with Simon the Leper, there also falls to the ground the utterly improbable identification of the woman who was a sinner with Mary of Bethany. The time, the place, the circumstances, the character, the words uttered, and the results of the incident recorded in Matt. xxvi. 7, Mk. xiv. 3, John xii. 3 are all entirely different.
 Modern Greek và (=:̈va) with the subjunctive has almost displaced the infinitive. The invitation was clearly due to a patronising curiosity, if not to a worse and hostile motive. The whole manner of the Pharisee to Jesus was like his invitation, ungracious. But it was part of our Lord's mission freely to accept the proffered hospitality of all, that He might reach every class.
 middle sense ever by classic writers. See Veiteh p. 327. The old method of the Jews had been that of the East in general, to sit at
 generally cross-legged on the floor, or on divans (Gen. xxvii. 19; 1 Sam. xx. 5, 18; Ps. cxxviii. 3; Cant.i. 12, \&c.). They had borrowed the custom of reclining on couches (triclinia, comp. a.pxutpinduyos, John ii. 8) from the Persians (Esth. i. 6, vii. 8), the Greeks and Romans, after the Exile (Tobit ii. 1; 1 Esdr. iv. 10; Judith zii. 15). The influence of the Greeks had been felt in the nation for three humdred years, and that of the Romans for nearly a hundred years, since the conquest of Jerusalem by Pompey, s.c. 63.
 city.' No city is named, but if the Christian church is right in identifying this woman with Mary Magdalene, we may assume that the city implied is Magdala, which appears at that time to have been a flourishing place, though now it is only a mud village-El Mejdel. It cannot of course be regarded as indisputable that this woman was the Magdalene, but it is, to say the least, possible; and there is no suffeient reason to disturb the current Christian belief which has been consecrated in so many glorious works of art. See further on viii. 2.
ápaptwhós. It was the Jewish term for a harlot, and such had
come even to John's baptism, Matt. xxi. 32. "Accessit ad Dominum immunda ut redeat munda." St Aug.
kntypoiva. 'Getting to know.' She had not of course received permission to enter, but the prominence of hospitality as the chief of Eastern virtues led to all houses being left open, so that during a meal any one who wished could enter and look on. "To sit down to eat with common people' was one of the six things which no Rabbi or Pupil of the Wise might do; another was "to speak with a woman." Our Lord freely did both.
d $\lambda \dot{\alpha}$ acripov. A vase or phial of alabaster, such as were used for perfumes and unguents (unguenta optime servantur in alabastris, Plin. xiII. 3); but afterwards the word came to mean any phial used for a similar purpose (just as our box originally meant a receptacle made of box-wood). The classical form is a $\lambda \alpha \beta a \sigma \tau \rho o s$, but its heteroclite plural ${ }^{2} \lambda{ }^{\prime} \beta a \sigma \tau \beta a$ led to a change in the nom. sing.
$\mu$ upou. This was doubtless one of the implements of her guilty condition (Prov. vii. 17; Is. iii. 24), and her willingness to serrifice it was a sign of her sincere repentance (comp. Cant. iv. 10).
37. ónioc mapà rovs $\pi 66 a s$ aúrov̂. This is explained by the arrangement of the triclinia. The guests reposed on their elbows at the table, with their unsandalled feet outstretched on the couch. Each guest left his sandals beside the door on entering. Literally the verse is, "And standing behind beside His feet weeping, with her tears she began to bedew His feet, and with the hairs of her head she wiped them off, and was eagerly kissing His feet, and anointing them with the perfume.' As she bent over His feet her tears began to fall on them, perhaps accidentally at first, and she wiped them off with the long dishevelled hair ( 1 Cor. zi. 15) which shewed her shame and anguish; then in her joy and gratitude at finding herself unrepulsed, she poured the unguent over them. The scene and its moral ara beautifully expressed in the sonnet of Hartley Coleridge.
"She sat and wept beside His feet. The weight Of sin oppressed her heart; for all the blame And the poor malice, of the worldly shame To her were past, extinct, and out of date: Only the sin remained-the leprous state. She would be melted by the heat of love, By fires far fiercer than are blown to prove And purge the silver ore adulterate.
She sat and wept, and with her untressed hair
Still wiped the feet she was so blest to touch;
And He wiped off the soiling of despair
From her sweet soul, becanse she loved so much."
No one but a woman in the very depths of anguish would have violated all custom by appearing in public with uncovered head (1 Cor. xi. 10).

кגalovara. Doubtless at the contrast of His sinlessness and her own stained life. She could not have done thas to the Pharisee, who would
have repelled her with execration as bringing pollution by her touch. The deepest sympathy is carused by the most perfeet sinlessness. It is not impossible that on that very day she may have heard the "Come unto me" of Matt. xi. 28.
Bpéxetv rovis módas autovi. To sprinkle or bedew (rather than "to wash," which is derived by the A. Y. from Tyndale). The Vulg. has rigare, and Wielif, to moist (comp. Matt. $\nabla$. $45, \beta p \epsilon \chi \in t$, 'He sends His rain').

катєф(גєь. 'Was earnestly' or 'tenderly kissing,' as in Acts xx. 37.
39. outcos. 'This person.' The word expresses the supercilious scorn which is discernible throughout in the bearing of the speaker.
tis кal потami. 'Who, and what kind of character'-viz, one personally known, and of a shameful class. "Who," because the particular offender was notorious for her beauty and her shame. This rather strengthens the inference that the woman was Mary of Magdala, for the legends of the Jewish Talmud respecting her shew that she was well known.
ทีtrs äттєтal cu่тои̂. 'Who is clinging to him.' Simon makes a double assumption-first that a prophet would have known the character of the woman, and next that he would certainly have repelled her. The bearing and tone of the Rabbis towards women closely resembled that of some mediaeral monks. They said that no one should stand nearer them than four cubits. But Jesus knew more of the woman than Simon did, and was glad that she should shed on His feet the tears of penitence. A great prophet had declared long before that those which say, "Stand by thyself, come not near to me, for I am holier than thov," were "a smoke in my nose." Is. lxp. 5.
ötᄂ dpapta入ós totcv. (He would have recognised) 'that she is a sinner.'
40. dimokplecls. "He heard the Pharisee thinking." St Aug.
voi. The emphasis is on these words, You have been thinking evil of me: 'I have something to sny to thee.'
$\Delta u$ ©írkale. 'Teacher,' or 'Rabbi.'
41. Saviortj $\boldsymbol{\tau} \downarrow \mathrm{l}$. 'Money-lender.' Vulg. foeneratori, and so Wiclif and Tyndale. The A. V. took "creditor" from the Rhemish.
סqvapla тevtakócta. A denarius was the day's wages of a labourer and is usually reckoned at $7 \frac{1}{3} d$, , but really represents muoh more. Hence 500 denarii would certainly represent as much as $£ 50$ in these days. The frequeney of our Lord's illustrations from debtors and creditors shews the disturbed and umprosperous condition of the country under Homan and Herodian oppression.
 nothing to pay," but 'when they were unsble (had it not in their power) to pay.' Vulg. non habentibus illis, unde redderent. The $\mu \bar{\eta}$ represents the thought of the creditor.

Exapisaro. 'He remitted,' involving the idea of that free grace and favour ( $\chi$ ápss) on which St Luke, like St Paul, is always glad to dwell. See Rom. iii. 24; Eph. ii. 8, 9, iv. 32.
43. ivroגapßávo. 'I imagine;' 'I presume.' The word has a shade of supercilious irony (comp. Aets ii. 15), as though Simon thought the question wery trivial, and never dreamt that it could have any bearing on himself.
 use of this adverb, whieh involves Simon's self-condemnation. It is the word so often adopted by Socrates as one of his implements of dialectic irony. But on our Lord's lips it has none of the tone of personal satisfaction in the entrapment of an adversary which is so perceptible in the Platonic dialogues.
 cordance with his customs and traditions, had hardly deigned to throw upon her one disdainful glance. Now Jesus bids him look full upon her to shew him that she had really done the honours of his house. Her love had more than atoned for his coldness.

We notice in the language here that rhythmio parallelism, which is often traceable in the words of our Lord, at periods of special emotion.

Into thine house I entered:
Water upon my feet thou gavest not,
But she with her tears bedewed my feet,
And with her tresses wiped them.
A kiss thou gavest me not:
But she, since I entered, ceased not earnestly kissing my feet.
My head with oil thou anointedst not,
But she anointed my feet with perfume.
Wherefore I say to thee, Her sins, her many sins, have been forgiven, becatus she loved much.

But he to whom little is being forgiven loveth iittle.
"As oft as I think over this event," says Gregory the Great, "I am more disposed to weep over it than to preach upon it."
" $8 \omega \rho$ р such careless indifference as to have neglected the commonest courtesies and comforts. To sandalled travellers on those burning, rocky, dusty paths, water for the feet was a necessity; John xiii. 4, 5. "Wash your feet, and rest yourselves under the tree," Gen. xviii. 4. "Tarry all night, and wash your feet," Gen. six. 2. "He brought them into his house, and they washed their feet," Judg. xix. 21. "If she have washed the saints' feet," 1 Tim. v. 10.
${ }^{*} \beta p \epsilon \xi \in v . \quad$ 'Bedewed ' or 'wetted.'
8áкpurıv. "The most priceless of waters." Bengel. "She poured forth tears, the blood of the heart." St Aug.
45. ф $\lambda_{\eta \mu a}$. The ordinary salutation of respect in the East, where the first greeting when two friends meet and wish to do each other
honour is to try to kiss each other's hands. The kiss on the cheek is between equals and may also be given to inferiors. Absalom, to gain favour, kissed every man who came near him to do him obeisance; 2 Sam. xy. 5. "The king kissed Barzillei," id. xix. 39. Hence this was a natural signal of recognition for the traitor to give; Matt. xxil. 49. See Acts xx. 37. For the osculum pacis see Rom. xvi. 16, \&o.
 some versions), which is probable, for the woman only ascertained that Jesus was at the house after He had entered it.
45. катaфıגov̂бa. 'Tenderly' or 'repeatedly kissing,' xv. 20. Acts xx. 37; Matt. xxvi. 49.
 an exceptional mark of honour, though not uncommon. "Let thy head lack no ointment," Eecles. ix. 8; Amos vi. 6; Ps. xxiii. 5. Here it is only mentioned to contrast it with the still higher honour of which the sinful woman had thought Him worthy. To anoint the feet wes regarded as an extreme luxury (Pling, $H . N . \operatorname{xm} .4$ ), but the love of the sinner thought no honour too great for her Saviour.
 faith, not love, is the means of pardon (vs. 50); hence, some (with Calvin) interpret the 'because' a posteriori, and make it mean 'she is forgiven,' as you may conclude from the fact that she loved much (so Bengel). It is more than doubtful whether this was intended, Her love and her forgiveness were mingled with each other in mutual interchange. She loved because she was forgiven; she was forgiven because she loved. Her faith and her love were one; it was "faith working by love" (Gal. v. 6), and the love proved the faith. Spiritual things do not admit of the clear sequences of earthly things. There is with God no before or after, but only an eternal now.
 excludes fagrant and open transgressions; cold seldishaess does not take itself to be sinful. Simon imagined that he had little to be forgiven, and therefore he loved little. Had he been a true saint he would have recognised his debt. The confessions of the holiest are the most heartrending, because they most fully recognise the true nature of sin. What is wanted to awaken 'much love' is not 'much sin'-for we all have that qualification-but deep sense of sin. "Ce qui manque au meilleur pour aimer beaveonp, ce n'est pas le péché; c'est la connaissance du péché"' Godet.
48. ${ }^{2} \phi^{\prime}(\omega \nu \tau a l$. 'Have been forgiven.' See note on 8.20 . The is forgiven of the previous verse is in the present, 'is being forgiven.' Both in the Old and New Testaments the readiness of God to forgive the deepest and most numerous sins is dwelt apon (Is. i. 18, 1v. 7), and also the absoluteress of the forgiveness (Rom. v. 20; I John iv. 10, 19). There is an obvious analogy between this little parable of the debtors and that of the uncompassionate servant (Matt. xviii. 23-27).
 surprised silence which did not as yet dare to vent itself in open murmurs.
ós kal. The kal expresses their indignant thoughts.
 from the guests to her. Onr Lord would not on this, as on the previous occasion, rebuke them for their thoughts, because the miracle which He had worked was the purely spiritual one of winning back a guilty soul,-a mirace which they could not comprehend. Further, He compassionately desired to set the woman free from a notice which must now have become deeply painful to her shrinking penitence.
 xviii. 42. It is found twice in St Mark, once in St Matthew. "Fides non amor; fides ad nos spectat, amore convincuntur alii." Bengel. The faith of the recipient was the necessary condition of a miracle, whether physical or spiritual, Mlk. v. 34, ix. 23; Matt. ix. 2, xiii. 58, xv. 28; John iv. 50; Acts iii. 16, xiv. 8.
cis cip $\eta \mathrm{\eta} \eta \mathrm{v}$. 'To' or 'into peace' (viii. 48)-a translation of the Hebrew leshalón, 'for peace," 1 Sam. i. 17. 'Peace' (shalom) was the Hebrew, as 'grace' ( $x$ aipetr) was the Hellenic salutation. See on ii. 29, and Excursus VII. It should be noticed as a matter for imitation that our Lord declines to enter into any controversy on the subject. Controversy is always undesirable, except when it becomes indispensable.

Notice that St Luke omits the anointing of Jesus by Mary of Bethany from a deliberate "economy of method," which leads him to exclude all second or similar incidents to those which he has already related. Thus he omits a second feeding of the multitude, and healings of blind, dumb, and demoniac, of which he severally gives a single specimen. The events of Mk. vii. 24-viii. 26 and ix. 12-14 are probably exoluded by St Luke on this principle-to avoid repetition. It is a sign of what German writers call his Sparsamkeit. Nor must we forget that the records of all the manifold activity which at times left the Lord no leisure even to eat, are confined to a few incidents, and oniy dwell on the details of a few special days.

## CHAPTER VIII.

3. aưtoîs BD and most edd. aự̣̃̂ NAL , La.
4. $\lambda$ 'үoutes omitted by NBDL.
5. ákoúvartes NBL and most edd.

6. See note.
7. $\mu \eta_{\kappa} i \tau \iota N B D, L a, ~ T i . W . H . ~ M \eta$ is supported by ACL.


Ch. VIIL. 1-3. The Ministerina Women.
 marks a new phase, a new departure, in Christ's mode of action. Hitherto He had made Capernaum His head-quarters; regarded it as "His own city," and not gone to any great distance from it. At this period-the exact beginning of which is only vaguely marked-He began a wider range of wandering and of missions.
 preparation for the Kingdom : our Lord preached of the Kingdom itself, and this was "glad tidings," because the Kingdom of God is "righteousness and peace and joy in the Holy Ghost," Rom. xiv. 17.
2. үuvaikés twes. This most remarkable circumstance is prominently mentioned by St Luke alone, though alluded to in Matt. xxvii. 55, 56; Mark xv. 41. It accords alike with the probability that some of his peculiar sources of information had been derived from women; and with the certainty that he is fond of dwelling on the graciousness and tenderness of Jesus even to a class so much despised and neglected as Eastern women. See Introd. p. 35. At an earlier period (John iv. 27) the disciples had been amazed to see Jesus even talking with a woman.
 numerous others who bore that very common name (Miriam), was known from her native place as Mary of Magdala. We have already seen that, as far as tradition is concerned, we cannot be certain that the Christian world is right in generally identifying her with 'the sinner' of the last chapter. Origen rejects the identification; St Ambrose, St Augustine, and St Jerome are doubtful. The identification is first confidently accepted by Gregory the Great (died A.D. 604). There is nothing however to disprove the fact. In the earlier scene her name might well have been suppressed from the spirit of loving and delicate reticence. The locality of the scene, and the stage of the ministry at which she is introduced, as well as the intense absorbing affection of one who "loved much," agree with the belief that the sinful woman of chapter vii. was the Magdalene.

Mayסaגๆpri. 'Of Magdala,' an Aramaic form of Migdol 'tower.' Magdals is only mentioned in Matt. xp. 39 where the best mss. read Magadan. See my Life of Christ, II. 1 .
 (xii. 9) uses a similar expression. Some have thought that this excludes the possibility of the life indicated by the words 'a sinner in the city.' On the contrary, it agrees well with it. Early Christian writers see in the "many sins" (vii. 47) a reference which accords with, if it be not the same as, "seven devils," and that thes may be the meaning is quite certain from xi. 26, which suggests the inference of a relapse. Apart from the general question as to 'demoniac possession' in particular cases, it is quite certain that Jewish colloquial usage adopted the expression to describe many forms of
disease (as for instance hydrophobia, epilepsy, \&c.), and many forms of sin (as drunkenness, ic.). The Talmudists (as we have seen) have wild stories to tell of Mary of Magdala, but they agree in describing her as a flagrant sinher rather than as a demoniae.
3. 'I wávva. She is mentioned only in xxiv. 10, but had apparently been hegled of some infirmity.
 Chuzas. See xxiv. 10. On entrpónou withoat the article see note on ii. 36. The courtiers of Antipas were well aware of the ministry and claims of Jesus. Not only had John the Baptist been a familiar figure among them, but Mansen, Herod's foster-brother, early became a Christian (Acts xiii. 1), and whether Chuzas be the courtier (Bascuıkos, E. V. "nobleman") of John iv. 46 or not, that courtier could only have been in the retinue of Antipas, and must have made known the healing of his son by Jesus. The word emitponos, 'administrator,' conveys the impression of a higher rank than "steward" (olxopoнos). The Rabbis adopted the word in Hebrew letters, and said that Obadiah was Ahab's '̇itiposos. Manaen at Antioch was perhaps the source of St Luke's special knowledge about the Herodian family.

Zová́vva. The name means 'Lily.'
titepar mo入hai. See Matt. xxvii. 55.
 סtakoveip in the sense of pecuniary help is found also in Rom. xy. 25. This notice is deeply interesting as throwing light on the otherwise unsolved problem of the means of livelihood possessed by Jesus and His Apostles. They had a common parse which sufficed not only for their own needs but for those of the poor (John xiii. 29). The Apostles had absolutely forsaken their daily callings, but we may suppose that some of them (like Matthew and the sons of the wealthier fisherman Zebedee) had some small resources of their own, and here we see that these women, some of whom (as tradition says of Mary of Magdala) were rich, helped to maintain them. It must also be borne in mind (1) that the needs of an Oriental are very small. A few dates, a little parched corn, a draught of water, a few fige or grapes plucked from the roadside trees, suffice him; and in that climate he can sleep during most of the year in the open air wrapped up in the same outer garment which serves him for the day. Hence the standard of maintenance for a poor man in Palestine is wholly different from that required in such countries as ours with their many artificial needs. And yet (2) in spite of this our Lord was so poor as to be homeless (ix. 58), and without the means of even paying the smapll Temple-tribute of a didrachm (about 18. 6d.), which was demanded from every adult Jew. Matt. xvii. 24; 2 Cor. viii. 9.

## 4-15. The Parable of the Sower.

4. auvióvios. 'Were coming together.' Our Lord, though ready at all times to ntter the most priceless truths even to one lonely and despised listener, yet wisely apportioned ends to means, and chose the
assembling of a large maltitude for the occasion of a new departure in His style of teaching.
 throughoat every eity resorting to Him.' A comparison of this Parable and the details respecting its delivery, as preserved in each of the Synoptists (Matt. xiii. 2-13; Mark iv. 1-20), ought alone to be decisive as to the fact that the three Evangelists did not use each other's narratives, and did not draw from the same written source such as the supposed Proto-Marcus of German theorists. The oral or written sources which they consulted seem to have been most closely faithful in all essentials, but they differed in minute details and expressions as all narratives do. From St Matthew (xiii. 1) we learn that Jesus had just left "the house," perhaps that of Peter at Capernaum; and therefore the place which He chose for His first Parable was probably the strip of sand on the shore of the Lake at Bethsaida. Both St Matthew and St Mark tell us that (doubtless, as on other occasions, to avoid the pressure of the crowd) He got on one of the boats by the lake-side and preached from thence.
סtà rapapodifs. St Luke here only reports the Parable of the Sower and its interpretation. St Mark adds that of the seed growing secretly (Mark ip. 26-29), and that of the grain of mustard-seed (30-32; Luke xiii. 18-21). St Matthew (xii. 24-53) gives his memorable group of seven Parables: the Sower, the Tares, the Mustard-seed, the Leaven, the Hid Treasare, the Pearl, the Drag-net. This is no doubt due to subjective grouping. Our Lord would not bewilder and distract by mere multiplicity of teachings, but taught "as they were able to hear it" (Mark iv. 33). 'Parable' is derived from $\pi a \rho a \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$, 'I place beside' in order to compare.

A parable is a pictorial or narrative exhibition of some spiritual or moral truth, by means of actual and not fanciful elements of comparison. It differs from a fable by moving solely within the bounds of the possible and by aiming at the illustration of deeper truths; from a simile in its completer and often dramatic development, as also in its object; from an allegory in not being identical with the trath illustrated. The moral objects which oar Lord had in view are explained below (vs. 10), but we may notice here the unapproachable superiority of our Lord's Parables to those of all other teachers. Parables are found scattered throughout the literature of the world. They abound in the poems and sacred books of later religions (Ecclus. i. 25 , "Parables of knowledge are in the treasures of wisdom,") and they have been frequently adopted in later days. But "never man spake like this Man," and no parables have ever touched the heart and conscience of mankind in all ages and countries like those of Christ. "He taught them by Parables under which were hid mysterious senses, which shined through their veil, like a bright sun through an eye closed with a thin eyelid." Jer. Taylor. For Old Testament parables see 2 Sam. xii. 1-7; Eccl. ix. 14-16; Is. xxviii. 23-29. St Luke is especially rich in parables. The word 'parable' sometimes stands for the Hebrew mashal, 'a proverb' (iv. 23; 1 Sam.
x. 12, xxiv. 13); sometimes for a rhythmio prophecy (Num. xxiii. 7) or dark saying (Ps. lxxviii. 2; Pr. i. 6); and sometimes for a comparison (Mk. xiii. 28).
5. ' $\sigma \pi$ elpov. 'The sower;' as also 'the' rock, 'the' thorns. No doubt these may be regarded as generic artioles, marking the class; but they give a more graphic turn to the atory, and in all probability Jesus saw, and pointed to, a sower actually working before their eyes. A comparison of the parable and its interpretation in the Synoptists ought alone to prove both their accuracy and their independence. St Mark (iv. 3) preserves for us the graphic detail that Jesus prefaced this new method of teaching by the one emphatic word "Hearken!" as though to prepare them for something unusual and memorable.
 Gennesareth would, as Dean Stanley noticed (Sin. and Palest. p. 496), and as many have subsequently remarked, furnish an immediate illustration of the words. In the fields close to the shore may be seen the liard beaten paths into which no seed can penetrate; the flights of innumerable birds ready to peck it up; the rocks thinly covered with soil, and the stony ground; the dense tangled growth of weeds and thisties in neglected corners; and the rich deep loam on which the harvests grew with unwonted luxuriance. Doubtless too, as Godet suggests, he saw in His hearers-in the defiant look of some, the grave preoccupied aspeot of others; on some faces a shallow enthusiasm, on others a holy receptivity-the moral and spiritual analogue to the various kinds of soil.

кareтari $\boldsymbol{j}^{\prime} \eta$. This touch is found in St Luke only.
 places," and add its speedy growth, and its withering after suarise from want of root; St Luke dwells rather on the lack of moisture than on the lack of soil.
7. тûv dkavềv. In rich soils and hot valleys like Gennesareth the growth of weeds and thorns is as rapid and luxuriznt as that of good seed. In summer and autumn there are parts of the plain which are quite impervious from the forest of gigantic thistles which covers them-"so tall and so dense that no horse can break through" (Porter, Palestine, i. 403). It was natural that this circumstance ahould suggest several of Christ's illustrations.
 'growing and increasing' of the fruit (Mk. iv. 8) and its varions degrees of productiveness-thirty and sixty as well as an hundredfold. "Quelle puérilité indigne d'hommes sérieux que ces variations mesquines," says Godet, "si les évangélistes travaillaient sur un document commun."
'tфẃvel. This word-'spake with a loud voice'-shews, like the "Heurken!" in St Mark, the special attention which our Lord called to His new method.
dkovers. In other words, 'this teaohing is worthy the deepest attention of those who have the moral and spiritual capacity to understand it."
9. of paӨŋral aútoû. St Mark says "those about Him, with the Twelve;" and that they came to Him afterwards when they found Him alone.
10. $\delta \delta \&$ cimev. This verse is rather an answer to the other question, recorded in St Matthew, "why dost thou speak to them in parables?"
© 6 бotal. 'It has been given.'
$\boldsymbol{\gamma} \mathbf{v i v a r}$ rd $\mu v \sigma$ ripta. Le. to grasp the revealed aecrets, the 'apples of gold' hid in these 'networks of silver.' The proper use of the word 'mystery' is the opposite of its current use. It is now generally used to imply something which we cannot understand; in the New Testament it always means something once hidden now revealed, Col. i. 26; 1 Tim. iii. 16; Matt. xi. 25, 26; Rev. xvii. 5, \&s. It is derived from $\mu^{\prime} \omega$, 'I initiate.' "God is a revealer of secrets," Dan. ii. 47.
"What if earth
Be but the shadow of heaven, and things therein Each to the other like, more than on earth is thought?" Mmton.
rois $8 t$ dolmois. Vulg. caeteris, 'to the rest;' "to them that are without," Mk. iv. 11. It has been granted you to grasp these mysteries unveiled; to the rest it has been only given to grasp them under the veil of parables.
 (without dwelling on the fact that the particle iva loses in later Greek some of its final force) must not be pressed with unreasonable and extravagant literalism to mean that the express object of teaching by parables was to conceal the message of the Kingdom from all but the disciples. This would have been to put the kindled lamp under $a$ couch or bushel. On the contrary, they were addressed to the multitudes, and deeply impressed them, as they have impressed the world in all ages, and have had the effect, not of darkening truth but of bringing it into brighter light. The varying phrase of St Matthew, "because seeing they see not, \&ze." will help us to understand it. Our Lord wished and meant the multitudes to hearken and understand, and this method awoke their interest and decpened their attention; but the resultant profit depended solely on the degree of their faithfulness. The Parables resembled the Pillar of Fire, which was to the Egyptians a Pillar of Cloud. If men listened with mere intellectual curiosity or hardened prejudice they would only carry away the parable itgelf, or some complete misapplication of its lesst essential details; to get at its real meaning required self-examination and earnest thought. Heuce parables had a blinding and hardening effect on the false and the proud and the wilfol, just as prophecy had in old days (Is. vi. 9,10 , quoted in this comnexion in Matthew xiii. 14, comp. Acts
xxviii 26, 27; Rom. xi. 8). But the Prophecy and the Parable did not create the hardness or stolidity, but only educed it when it existedas all misused blessings and privileges do. It was only unwillingness to see which was punished by incapacity of seeing. The natural punishment of spiritual perversity is spiritual blindness.

Nothing can be better than the profound remark of Lord Bacon, that "a parable has a double use; it tends to vail, and it tends to illustrate a truth ; in the latter case it seems designed to teach, in the former to conceal."

> "Though truths in manhood darkly join, Deep seated in our mystic frame, We yield all blessing to the name Of Him who made them current coin. For Wisdom dealt with mortal powers, Where truth in closest words shall fail, When truth embodied in a tale Shall enter in at lowly doors."
 in Col. i. 5, 6; 1 Cor. iii. 6; and a similar one in Jas. i. 21, "the engrafted word;" 2 Esdr. ix. 31, 33, "Behold, I sow my law in you, and it shall bring fruit in you... yet they that received it perished, because they kept not the thing that was sown in them."
12. of $\delta \boldsymbol{t}$ тapa $\boldsymbol{T} \dot{\mathrm{i}} \boldsymbol{v}$ óoóv. The prepositions are used with accurate
 The word $\sigma \pi a \rho$ evics must be onderstood from $\sigma \pi \delta \rho o s$. The seed is (grammatically) identified with those into whose hearis it is sown. More definitely the phrase would have been 'The seed sown by the wayside indicates the moral condition of those who, \&e.' Notice the intensity of thought which identifies the scattered seeds with those in whose hearts they are sown. "The way is the heart beaten and dried by the passage of evil thoughts." H. de S. Victore. These are hearers who are hardened-either beaten flat (i) by lifeless familiarity-heartless formalists, Pharisaio theologians, and insincere professors; or (ii) by perversity and indifference, the habit and custom of a worldly and dissolute life.
of Sodßodos. The Accuser or Slanderer. St Mark has "the wicked one," St Matthew, "Satan."
alpeb. 'Snatches,' Matt. xiii. 19.-It is done in a moment; by a smile at the end of the sermon; by a silly criticism at the churoh door ; by foolish gossip on the way home. These are "the fowls of the air" whom the Evil One uses in this task.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{d}$ prep. is not $\bar{\epsilon} \kappa$ but and $^{\prime}$, "from their heart." The seed had not sunk in ; it only lay on the surface.
 be saved." "Therefore we ought to give the more earnest heed to the things which we have heard, lest at any time we should let them slip," or rather "drift away from them," Heb. ii. 1.
 thusiasm is hot and transient as a blaze in the straw.
$\mu \in \mathrm{c}$ d $\mathrm{xapâs}$. . Yet they seek me daily, and delight to know my ways," Is. viii. 2. "Thou art unto them as a very lovely song of one that hath a pleagant voice...for they hear thy words, but they do them not," Ezek Xxxiii. 32. Herod "heard John gladly," Mk. vi. 20.
 secution" (Matt., Mk.) which tests the moral nature.
àlotavtab. Literally 'stand aloof.' 'apostatise;' 'immediately they are offended,' Matt., Mk. See a very striking instance of this in John vi. 66.
14. rò $\delta \notin$ els rds ákáv $\theta$ as $\pi \in \sigma$ óv. Here the grand paradox which identifies the seed with its recipient is very marked. See especially Matt. xiii. 19, where "he that received the seed by the way-side, \&c." should be 'he that was sown by the way-side, \&c.' The class here deacribed are worldly, ambitions, preoccupied, luxurious listeners who feel the "expulsive power" of earthly careers and pleasures crowding out the growth of the good seed. The former class was more superficially touched; this class have not "broken up their fallow ground," and therefore "sow among thorns."
$\mu \varepsilon \rho\llcorner\mu \omega \hat{\mu}$. Catullus talks of 'sowing thorny cares in the heart.'
тлоv́тov. "The deceitfulness of riches" (Matt., Mk.).
торєvó $\varepsilon$ evol ouvarlyovtal. This seems to be intentionally altered from the expression used by St Mark, al $\mu \epsilon \rho \rho \mu \nu a L \ldots \epsilon i \sigma \pi o p \in u b \mu \epsilon \nu a$ $\sigma \nu \mu \pi \nu$ lүоибt.

15. Kartyougtv, "hold it fast." Vulg. retinent. Comp. xi. 28; John xiv. 21; 1 Cor. xi. 2. "Thy word have I hid in my heart, that I might not sin argainst Thee," Ps. exiz. 11. These are the opposite of the "forgetful hearers," Jas. i. 25. For them the seed does not fall 'on the way.'
 on the rocky ground. The hundredfold harvest does not come at once, but "first the blade, then the ear, then the full corn in the ear." These words are added by St Luke alone. Patience or persevering consistency is a favourite word with St Paul. It is "strength of mind sustained by good hope...The sum of Christianity." Bengel.
16-18. How to ube tee Liget which Christ has thus kindled.
16. $\lambda$ íxov. "A lamp." The connexion lies partiy in the antithesis between penal obscurity and the dissemination of added light.

бкєúct. St Luke uses the word as more intelligible to his Gentile readers than "bushel."
 resembling our bed. They slept on divans, or on mats laid upon the
floor, as is still the case in the East. The best comment on this verse is Matt. v. 14, 16, " Ye are the light of the world....Let your light so shine before men, de." John the Baptist is compared to 'a lamp kindled and shining,' and here the disciples are compared to it. Christ lighted the flame in their souls to be a beacon to all the world.

17. oú үáp їбтıv криттóv. This verse, like the parallel (which occurs in a different connexion in Matt. x. 26), is usually quoted of the diseovery of seeret crimes. The truth which would in that case be illustrated is often mentioned elsewhere in Scripture ( 1 Cor. iv. 5), but here in both instances the context shews that the first meaning of Christ was entirely different from this. He is not thinking of the discovery of crimes, but of the right ase and further dissermination of Divine light. The truths now revealed privately to faithful hearers, and only dimly shadowed forth to others, should soon be flashed over all the world. Parables first yielded their full significance to the disciples, but found "a springing and germinant fulfilment in every age."
 According to Winer, p. 375, it is the only passage in the N.T. in which the subjunctive, and not the indicative, is used after phrases like oubels
 in almost the same sense, as frequently in Homer.
18. Tw̄s dंkoíert. And also " what ye hear," Mis. iv. 24.

סo日ग́णєtal aùrê. Comp. xix. 26. It was evidently a thought to which our Lord recurred, John xv. 2.

ठ́ Sokcî Zxelv. "That which he thinketh he hath." This fancied possession is mere self-deception. The Greek might however be rendered as in the A.V. and Genevan, "he seemeth to have."

## 19-21. Christ's Mother and His Brethren.

 would imply that the Virgin took a apecially prominent part in the incident. Joseph is never mentioned after the scene in the Temple. This incident can hardly be the same as those in Mk. iii. 31-35; Matt. xii. 46-50, because in both of those cases the context is wholly different. St Luke may however have misplaced this incident, since here, as in the other Evangelists, relatives of Jesus are represented as standing outside a house of which the doors were densely thronged, whereas the explanation of the Parable had been given in private. It is here merely said that they wished to see Him; but the fact that they came in a body seems to shew that they desired in some way to direct or control His actions. The fullest account of their motives is found in Mk. iii. 21, where we are told that they wished "to seize Him" or "get possession of His person," becanse they said "He is beside Himself,"-perhaps yielding to the half suspicion which had
been deliberately encouraged by the Pharisees. We must remember that His brethren "did not believe in Him" (John vii. 5), i.e. their belief in Him was only the belief that He was a Prophet who did not realize their Messianic ideal. It needed the Resurrection to convert them.
oi diendol aủtoû. James, Joses, Simon, Judas. Possibly (Matt. xii. 50; Mk. iii. 35) His sisters also came.
 is then a genitive absolute with the subject suppressed.
21. $\mu \dot{\eta} \tau \eta \rho \mu$. those who ${ }^{\text {' }}$ \&c.
ovitol cirtv. The demonstrative implies the "looking round at those sitting in a circle about Him" of Mk. iii. 34, and the "stretching forth His hand towards His digciples" of Matt. sii. 49. "Ye are my friends, if ye do whatsoever I command you" (John xv. 14; comp. ii. 49; John ii. 4, xiv. 21; Heb. ii. 11). His earthly relatives needed the lesson that they must recognise in Him a Being who stood far above all relationships "after the flesh" (2 Cor. v. 16). Even disciples must "hate" father and mother in comparison with Christ (comp. Deut. xxxiii. 9).

## 22-25. Cerist stilling the Storm.

 Matt. viii. 18, we should infer that this event took place in the evening on which He began to teach the crowd in parables, and thatattracted by the beauty and novelty of His teaching they lingered round Him till, in utter weariness, He longed to escape to the secluded loneliness of the Eastern shore of the lake. Possibly the interference of His kingmen may have added the last touch to the fatigue and emotion which imperatively demanded retirement and rest.
eis $\pi$ गoiov. St Matthow says 'the boat,' which usually waited on His movernents; very probably the one which had belonged to Peter. Before the boat pushed off, we learn that three aspirants for discipleship came to Him, Matt. viii. 19-22 (Lk. ix. 67-62).
cis to $\pi$ tpav. The Peraean side of the Lake of Galilee has always been comparatively uninhabited, mainly becanse the escarpment of barren hills approaches within a quarter of a mile of the shore. Its solitude contrasted all the more with the hum of crowded and busy life on the plain of Gennesareth.

 that they took Him 'as He was' -without even pausing for any food or refreshment-into the boat, Mk. iv. 36.
23. áqítvorev. 'He fell into deep sleep.' The day had been one of incessant toil; and He was resting (as St Mark tells us, reflecting the vivid reminiscence of St Peter) 'in the stern on the steersman's leather cushion,' Mr. iv. 38: contrast with this Jonah i. 5.
 uses the less accarate word $\sigma \epsilon \sigma \mu \delta$ s. The suddenness and violence of this 'harricane' is in exact accordanee with what we know of the Lake. The winds from the snowy peaks of Hermon rush down the Peraean vadies into the burning tropieal air of the lakebasin with extraordinary suddenness and impetuosity (Thomson, Land and Book, ㅍ. 25). The lake may look like e sheet of silver, when in one moment there will be a darkening ripple, and in the next it will be lashed into storm and foam. The outburst of this storm perhaps frightened back the boats which started with Him, Mk. iv. 36.
ovvet $\lambda \eta$ poûvto. 'Were baing filled.' 'The waves were dashing into the boat, so that it was getting full,' Mk. iv. 37; 'the boat was being hidden under the waves,' Matt. viii. 24. The tossing ship (Navicella) has been accepted in all ages as the type of the Chorch in seasons of peril.
24. dто入入иреfa. 'We are perishing!' 'Lord! save! we are perishing,' Matt. viii. 25. 'Rabbi, carest thou not that we are perishing?' Mk. iv. 38. The peril was evidently most imminent.

## 

$\ell \pi \epsilon \tau<\mu \eta \sigma \epsilon v \tau \hat{\omega} d v \in \mu \varphi$. Speaking to the wind and the billows of the water as though they were living powers (Ps. ovi. 9, "He rebuked the Red Ser also"), or to the evil powers which may be coneeived to wield them to the danger of mankind. St Mark alone preserves the two words uttered "Hush! be stilled !" the first to silence the roar, the second the tumult. St Matthew tells us that He quietly uttered 'Why are ye cowards, ye of little faith?' and then, having stilled the tumult of their minds, rose and stilled the tempest.
25. דก̂̂ in $\pi$ loris $\mathfrak{i p \omega i v}$; "They had some faith, but it was not ready at hand." Bengel.
t/s apa outtós eattv; 'Who then is this?' Comp. iv. 36, ix. 9, zxiv. 13. The ajpa expresses the same surprise and emotion conveyed by the ris, 'What kind of Being,' of St Matthew. Ps. crii. 23-30.

## 26-39. The Grrgesene Dehonifo.

 34 ; Mark v. 1-19, the mss. vary between Gergesenes, Gadarenes, and Gerasenes, and Tischendorf follows $\mathbb{N}$ in reading Gadarenes (by a clerical error, Gazarenes) in St Matthew, Gerasenes in St Mark, and Gergesenes here.
i. Gadara, of which the large ruins are now seen at Um Keis, is three hours' distance from the extreme south end of the Lake, and is separated from the scene of the miracle by the deep precipitous ravine of the Hieromax (Jarmuk). Gadarenes may be the right reading in St Matthew (NBCMA and mss. mentioned by Origen) but, if so, it only gives the name of the entire district. Gadara was
essentially a Greek city, and had two amphitheatres and a literary Greek society, and the worst features of Hellenic life.
ii. Gerasenes may be the right reading in St Mark ( $\mathbf{N B D}$, \&c.). 'Gerasa, now Djerash, is fifty miles from the Lake, and almost in Arabia, but it was an important town (Jos. B. J. rir. 3), and like Gadara may have been used as the name of the entire district.
iii. Gergesenes is almost certainly the right reading here (NLX). It was the reading which, because of the distance of Gerasa and Gadara, Origen wished to introduce into Matt. viii. 28, being aware that there was a small town called 'Gergesa' in the Wady Semakh, which was known also to Eusebius and Jerome, and was pointed out as the scene of the miracle. Yet the reading "Gergesenes" of $\boldsymbol{N}$, in St Luke, could hardly have been due to the mere conjecture of Origen in the parallel passage of St Matthew, for it is found in other uncials, in most cursives, and in the Coptic, Ethiopic and other versions. Gergesa has however nothing to do with the ancient Girgashites (Deut. vii. 1; Josh. xxiv. 11), who were probably at the West of the Jordan. The question as to the place intended as the scene of the miracle (whatever reading be adopted) may be considered as having been settled by Dr Thomson's discovery of ruins named Kerzha (the natural corruption of Gergesa) nearly opposite Capernaum. The name of this little obscure place may well have been given by St Matthew, who knew the locality, and by so accurate an inquirer as St luke. The reading may have been altered by later copyists who knew the far more celebrated Gadara and Gerasa. Hence we can attach no importance to the attempt to explain away the story, because Gadara is said to mean 'fold,' and Gavash 'to cast out,' and Gergesa (according to Origen) mapoккla éк $\beta \epsilon \beta \lambda \eta к \delta \tau \omega \nu$.
 the city.' He had been a resident in Gergesa till his madness began. St Matthew (as in the case of Bartimaeus) mentions two demoniacs, but the narrative is only concerned with one. There may of course have been another hovering in the neighbourhood. The variation in St Matthew is at least a valuable proof of the independence of the Evangelists.
"xcu Sarpóvta. 'Having demons.' The סaupóva were supposed by the Jews to be not devils (i.e. fallen angels), but the spirits of wicked men who were dead (Jos. B. J. vir. 6, § 3). See on iv. 33 ; viii. 2.
 no cloke." He may have been naked, since the tendency to strip the person of all clothes is common among madmen; here however it only says that he wore no imation. He may have had on the $\chi$ itcon, or under-garment. Naked, homicidal maniacs who live in caves and tombs are still to be seen in Palestine. Warburton saw one in a cemetery fighting, amid fierce yells and howlings, with wild dogs for a bone. Crescent and Cross, I. 352.
iv rois $\mu$ rifuarty. See Thomson's Land and Book, p. 376. This
was partly a necessity, for in ancient times there were no such things as penitentiaries or asylums, and an uncontrollable maniac, driven from the abodes of men, could find no other shelter than tombs and caverns. This would aggravate his frenzy, for the loneliness and horror of these dark rocky tombs (traces of which are still to be seen near the ruins of Kherza or the sides of Wady Semakh) were intensified by the prevalent belief that they were haunted by shedim, or 'evil spirits,'-the ghosts of the wioked dead (Nidda, f. 17 a, \&c.). St Mark gives (r. 4) a still more graphic picture of the superhuman strength and violence of this homicidal and ghastly sufferer.
28. Ti firol kal $\sigma 0 l$; I.e. 'Why should'st thou interfere with me?' 2 Sam. xvi. 10; xix. 22. See iv. 24. Bazer refers to obvious imitations of this narrative in the story of the Lamia expelled by Apollonius of Tyana (Philostr. 17. 25).
toû íslorov. Probably the epithet was customary in exorcisms or attemptej exorcisms, and hence we find it used by another demoniac (Acts xvi. 17). Jesus is not so called elsewhere, except in i. 32.
$\mu \eta \mu^{\prime} \in \beta a \sigma a v i \sigma \notin s . \quad$ 'The demons...believe and tremble,' Jas. ii. 19. On this conception of torment see Mk. i. 24; Matt. xviii. 34.

то入入ois xpóvors usually means 'for a long tinte.' Comp. Plut. Thess.


фvגarrópevos. 'Being kept under guard.' The A. V. misses this curious point in the narrative, preserved by St Lake only,-namely, that 'he was bound in manacles and fetters, being under guard.' The omission is corrected in the R. V., comp. iv. 10.
 is very remarkable, for it is the only place in the Gospel in which oat$\mu \omega \nu$ occurs, and dathoves only in the parallel places (Matt. viii. 31; Mk. v. 12). On the other $\delta a \not \mu \dot{\rho} \nu \% \nu$ occurs 45 times, and $\pi \nu \in \hat{v} \mu a \operatorname{limes}$.
cis tas ép of Azazel and other demons. Matt. zii. 43; Tobit viii. 3; see on iv. 1. (There are obvious allusions to the Gospel narrative of this demoniac and the demonisc boy in Lucian, Philopseudes, 16.)
30. tí rob övopuí totsv; The question was no doubt asked in mercy. Gently to ask a person's name is often an effectual way to calm the agitations and fix the wavering thoughts of these sufferers.

Aequiv. A legion consisted of 6,000 soldiers, and this man (who was probably a Jew) would have become familiar with the name since the Roman conquest of Palestine. The ancient Megiddo was now called Legio, still Ledjûn. The answer shewed how wildly perturbed was the man's spirit, and how complete was the duality of his consciousness. He could not distinguish between himself and the multitudes of demons by whom he believed himself to be possessed. His individuality was lost in demoniac liallucinations. For multitudinous possession comp. viii. 2, Matt. zii. 45.
31. mapcкál rendered "he besought Him," for the plural is used in the next verse.
cls Tiv dußurorov. The 'abyss' (Hebrew tehom) intended is perhsps the prison of wicked spirits (Rom. x. 7; Jude 6; Rev. xx. 3). St Mark says "that He would not send them out of the country."
32. Xolpwv lкavผิv. St Mark aays "about 2000." Of course, if the owners of these swine were Jews, they were living in flagrant violation of the Law; but the population of Peraea was largely Greek and Syrian.
fis ékelvovs cire入解y. The Jews, as we have already seen, believed that physical and mental evil was wrought by the direct agenoy of demons, and attributed to demons not only the cases of "possession," but many other olasses of illness (melancholia, brain-disease, heartdisease, \&c.) which we do not usually regard in this light. They also believed that demons could take possession even of animals, and they attributed to demons the hydrophobia of dogs and the rage of bulls. "Perhaps," вays Archbishop Trench (On the Miracles, p. 185), "wo make to ourselves a difficulty here, too easily assuming that the whole animal world is wholly shut up in itself, and incapable of receiving impressions from that which is above it. The assumption is one unwarranted by deeper investigations, which lead rather to an opposite conclusion-not to the breaking down of the boundaries between the two worlds, but to the shewing in what wonderful ways the lower is receptive of impressions from the higher, both for good and for evil." Further than this the incident leads into regions of uncertain speculation, into which it is impossible to enter, and in which none will dogmatize but those who are least wise. Milton seems to find no difficulty in the conception that evil spirits could "incarnate and imbrute" their essence indo a beast:
"In at the serpent's mouth
The Devil entered; and his brutal sense
The heart or head possessing, soon inspired
With act intelligential." Par. Lost.
Comp. Dante, Inf. xxv. 136,
" L ' anima, ch' era fiera divenuta Si fugge," \&c.
33. катa' тô̂ крๆuvô. 'Down the precipice.' Near Kherza is the only spot on the entire lake where a steep slope sweeps down to within a few yards of the sea, into which the herd would certainly have plunged if hurried by any violent impulse down the hill. (Tristram, Land of Isracl, p. 462). If it be asked whether this was not a destruction of property, the answer is that the antedating of the death of a herd of unclean animals was nothing compared with the deliverance of a human soul. Our Lord would therefore have had a moral right to act thus even if He had been a mere human Prophet. Besides, to put it on the lowest ground, the freeing of the neighbourhood from the peril and terror of this wild maniac was a greater benefit to the whole city than the loss of this herd. Jesus did not command the
spirits to go into the swine; if He permitted anything which resulted in their destruction it was to serve higher and more precious ends. "God the Word," eays Lord Bacon, "wished to do nothing which breathed not of grace and beneficence;" and after mentioning the stern miracles of Moses, Elijah, Elisha, St Peter and St Paul, he adds, "but Jesps did nothing of this kind...the spirit of Jesus is the spirit of the Dove. He wrought no miracle of judgment, all of beneficence." Meditt. Saer. on Mk. xii. 37. The miracles of Christ were all redemptive acts and spiritual lessons.



35. тò Yєyovós. 'What had happened' (A. V. "what was done ").

тapd $\tau 0 \dot{v}_{s} \pi$ тófas. In the attitude of a disciple.
ipartojevov. Perhaps one of the disciples had thrown a eloke ( $\mu \mathrm{d} \tau \mathrm{tow}$ ) over his nakedness or his rags.
 Samaritans (John iv. 40). Unlike Peter, they meant what they said. Preferring their swine to Christ, they felt that His presence was dangerous to their greed. And our Lord acted on the principle of not casting that which was holy to dogs, nor pearls before men whose moral character tended to become like that of their own swine. At Gadara the worst iniquities were prevalent. It may be that if they had not deliberately begged Christ to leave them they might have been spared the fearful massacre and ruin-fire, and sword, and slavery-which befel them at the hands of the Romans in less than 40 years after this time (Jos. B. J. imf. 7, § 1, rv. 7, § 4). But
" We, ignorant of ourselves, Beg often our own harms, which the wise powers Deny us for our good."
For other instances of prayers fatally granted see Ex. x. 28, 29; Numb. xxii. 20; Ps. lexviii. 29-31; on the other hand, a refused boon is sometimes a blessing. 2 Cor. xii, 8,9 . The result of their wilful sensuality was that the time never came when

> "E'en the witless Gadarene, Preferring Christ to swine, shall learn That life is sweetest, when 'tis clean."
ouviliovto. 'They were oppressed.'
38. E6fero. An Ionic form, but found also in Attic.
39. Sityouv. This command valuably illnstrates one of the reasons why our Lord commanded reticence in other instances. To the region of Gadara He did not intend to return, and therefore the proclamation of a miracle would not cause Him to be surrounded by curious crowds.

## 40. The Waiting Mulititde.

 have the same verb apparently in this sense in Acts xv. 4, xxviii. 30, and elsewhere. They would see the sail of His boat as it started back from Gergesa, and the storm had probably driven back the other boats. He would naturally sail to Bethsaida or Capernaum. It is impossible here to enter into the uncertain question as to the exact order of events. For all details on that subject I must refer to my Life of Christ.

## 41-56. The Daughter of Jairus and the Woman wite the Isste of Blood.

41. kal iSov. St Matthew places this message of Jairus after the farewell feast which he gave to his friends before abandoning for ever his office of tax-gatherer. At that feast arose the question about fasting, and St Matthew (ix. 18) says that Jairus came 'while Jesus was yet speaking these things,' and in so definite a note of time, on a day to him so memorable, he could hardly be inexact. On the other hand, St Mark says, and St Luke implies, that the message reached Jesus as He disembarked on the seashore. Hence it has been supposed that Jesus heard the first entreaty from Jairus on the shore when his daughter was dying (vs. 42; Mark v. 23), but instead of going straight to the house of Jairus went first to Matthew's feast; and that Jairus then came to the feast in agony to say that she was just dead (Matt. ix. 18). The very small discrepancies are however quite easily explicable without this conjecture, and it was wholly unlike the method of Jesus to interpose a feast between the request of an agonised father and His act of mercy.
'Idecpos. 'Jair,' Judg. x. 3. He is one of the fepr recipients of miracles whose name is recorded.
 managed by laymen, at the head of whom was the "ruler," whose title of Rosh hakkeneseth was as familiar to the Jews as that of Rabbi. His functions resembled those of $a$ leading elder. The appeal of such a functionary shews the estimation in which our Lord was still held among the Galileans.
cloe入teiv. Jair had not the faith of the heathen centurion.
42. मovoyevin's. St Luke, whose keen sympathies are everywhere observable in his Gospel, mentions the same touching fact in the case of the son of the widow of Nain (vii. 12), and the lunatic boy (ix. 38).
dut $\theta$ viokev. St Matthew says "is even now dead." Perhaps we oatch in these variations an echo of the father's despairing uncertainty.
ovvtrviyov. A strong word, literally 'were choking': comp. viii. 14. $\sigma u p \epsilon \theta \lambda \beta_{o \nu}$ is the reading of CL.
43. Ev ṗvoft afuctos. The $\dot{\epsilon}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{y}$ indicates her condition : comp. iv

larpois. The dativus commodi, 'upon physicians.' 'The more classical construction would be the els larpois of the Rec. but it is probably a correction.
 spent' her whole means of livelihood.
àm' oủ8evds $\theta_{\text {epareven̂val. St }}$ Suke, perhaps with a fellow-feeling for physicians, does not add the severer comment of St Mark, that the physicians had only made her worse ( +.26 ). The Talmudic receipts for the cure of this disease were specially futile,-such as to set the sufferer in a place where two ways meet, with a cup of wine in her hand, and let some one come behind and frighten her, and say, Arise from thy flux; or "dig seven ditches, burn in them some cuttings of vines not four years old, and let her sit in them in succession, with a cup of wine in her hand, while at each remove some one says to her, Arise from thy flux." (Lightfoot, Hor. Hebr, ad loc.)
 ing from behind touched the tassel of His outer robe.' This is a miracle 'by the way' (obiter), but, as Fuller says, "His obiter is more to the purpose than our iter." She sought to steal (as it were) a miracle of grace, and fancied that Christ's miracles were a matter of nature, not of will and purpose. Probably the intense depression produced by her disease, aggravated by the manner in which for twelve years every one had kept aloof from her and striven not to touch her, had quite crushed her spirits. By the Levitic law she had to be "pnt apart, and whosoever toucheth her shail be unclean" (Lev. xv. 19, 25). The word translated "border" (крd $\sigma \pi \in \delta o \nu$, Heb. tsitsith) is a tassel at each "wing" or corner of the tallith or mantle (Matt. xiv. 36). The Law (Num. xv, 38-40) required that each tassel should be bound with a thread (not as in E.V. ribband) of blue, the colour of heaven, and so the type of revelation. The strict Jews to this day wear these tassels, though they are usually concealed. The Pharisees, to proclaim their orthodoxy, made them conspicuously large, Matt. xaiii. 5. One of the four tassels hung over the shoulder at the back, and this was the one which the woman touched. (For full particulars of the Rabbinic rules about these tassels see an article by the present writer, in the Expositor, v. 219.) The quasi-sacredness of the tassels may have fostered her impulse to tonch the one that hung in view.
44. © \# $\Pi$ étpos kal oi oiv av̉rê. St Mark merely says 'His disciples'; but the question is in exact accordance with that presumptoous impetuosity which marked the as yet imperfect stage of Peter's character.
 touches." Aug. "Flesh presses; faith touches." Id. Our Lhord's question was meant to reach the woman's heart: comp. Gen. iii.9, iv. 9; 2 Kings v. 25.
 power had gone forth from me.' Comp. vi. 19
45. Tpef $\mu$ oura finitv. Because by her touch she had commanicated to Him Levitical uncleanness; and this by one of the Rabbis or Pharisees would have been regarded as an intolerable act of presumption and injury. To this day the Jewish Rabbis (or Chakams) in the East are careful not even to be touched by a woman's dress (Frankl., Jews in the East, II. 81).
46. tu'yarep. The only recorded occazion on which our Lord used that tender word to a woman.
 -not the superstitious and surreptitions touch of my tallith's fringe. Jesus thus compelled her to come forth from her timid enjoyment of a stolen miracle that He might confer on her a deeper and more spiritual blessing.
els elpququ. Literally, to, or for peace. Tradition says that the name of this woman was Veronics (Evang. Nicodem. v. 6), and that it was she who gave to our Lord the famous legendary handkerchief to wipe His face on the way to Calvary. At Paneas (Caesarea Philippi) there was a bronze statue which was supposed to be her votive offering, and to represent this scene (Euseb. H.E. vil. 18; Sozomen, H.E. v. 21) ; and on this account Julian the Apostate or Maximin is said to have destroyed it. All this is very improbable. Early Claristian writers were too credulous about these statues. Justin Martyr took a statue of the Sabine god Semo Sancus for one of Simon Magus.
 For the colloquial verb, preserved also in St Mark, see vii. 6.
47. dikov́cas. The remark was addressed to Jairus, and St Mark says that Jesus 'overheard it.'
miorevory. The aor. refers to the immediate act of faith. The $\pi$ ioveve of the Rec. would mean 'keep up thy faith.'
©1. at $\mu \dot{\eta}$ Métpov к.т. $\lambda$., as at the Transfiguration and at Gethsemane, Mark ix. 2, xiv. 33.
 Comp. xxiii. 27 and Nahum ii. 7. St Mark gives a graphic picture of the tumult, and loud cries, and wailings (alalai, the Egyptian wilweleh). Even the poorest were obliged to provide for a funeral two flate-players and one wailing woman. See Eccles. xii. 5; Jer. ix. 17; Amos v. 16; 2 Chron. xxxy. 25. These public mourners were called sappedans.
 dict the letter and spirit of the whole narrative. It is true that in "our friend Lazarus sleepeth" the verb used is not ra $\theta$ efóciv bat кос $\mu \hat{\alpha} \sigma a \iota$; but that is in a different writer (John xi. 11), and the word better suits one who had been four days deed. Our Lord's object was to silence this idle uproar.
48. катєүยไ $\omega \boldsymbol{y}$ au่ 'To laugh to scorn' is used by Shakespeare, e.g.
"Our oastle's strength

$$
\text { Will laugh a siege to scorn." Macbeth, v. } 5 .
$$

 DLX, are probably interpolated here, from the other Synoptists. Our Lord could not feel the smallest sympathy for these simulated agonies of people, who (to this day) "weep, howl, beat their breasts, and tear their hair according to contract" (Thomson, Land and Book, I. viii.). And further these solemn deeds required calm and faith, Acts ix. 40; 2 Kings iv. 33.
 detail, as well as the kind order to give her food. St Mark gives the two Aramaic words which our Lord nsed, "Talitha cumi!" On these occasions He always used the fewest possible words (vii. 14; John xi. 43).
$\dot{\eta}$ mais. On this nominative, used instead of the vocative with imperative, see note on x. 21; Matt. xxvii. 29.
 probably unheeded.

## QHAPTER IX.

1. Tov̀s $\delta \omega \delta_{\text {eka. }} \mathrm{ABD}, \delta \mathrm{c}$. The Rec. adds $\mu a \theta \eta \tau d s$ aviroo, but St Luke, St Mark, and St John used oi $\delta \dot{\omega} \delta \varepsilon \kappa a$ absolutely.
 W.H. \&o. [D has кú $\mu \eta \nu$.$] The variants rose from two difficulties,$ (1) The copyists did not know of the Northern Bethsaida. (2) They were puzzled by the 'desert place.' See note.
2. ©́6e. (Rec.) A more commonplace reading than aúroṽ, NBL, $\& c$.
3. $\epsilon \pi<\beta \lambda \in \notin a l$. The $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \beta \lambda \in \psi 0 \%$ of Rec. rose from the infinitive being mistaken for an aor. imp. middle.
4. is кal "Hilas trofqбev. ACD La.[W.H]. It is omitted in *BL Vulg., but very probably for dogmatic reasons.
5. kal єitcu...íucis. D. Rec. omitted by NABCL La, Ti. Probably tampered with for dogmatic reasons.
6. is $\gamma \dot{a} \rho$ viòs... $\sigma \hat{\sigma} \sigma a l$. These words omitted by NABCD La. Ti. W.H. are almost certainly spurious.

## Gh. IX. 1-6. THe Mission of the Twelve.

 them together,' not merely троккa入. 'calling them up to Him,' indicates the special solemnity of the occasion. This was at the close of
the missionary journeys alluded to in Matt. ix. 35; Mk. vi. 6. St Matthew gives a touching reason for the mission of the Twelve. They were sent because Jesus pitied the maltitude, who were like harassed and panting sheep without a shepherd, and like a harvest left unreaped for want of labourers (Matt. ix. 36-38). The Apostles thus became, as their name implied, 'emissaries' (sheloochim), and this was an important step in their training.

Búvapur кal Ȩovoíav. Power ( $\delta$ úvapıs) is the capacity, and authority (e乡ouสia), the right to act. See x. 19; Rev. xiii. 7,
éri тávтa тd Saцpóvı. 'Over all the demons.'
2. ámtorelicy aủ $\frac{1}{}$ oús. Two and two for their mutual comfort. Mk. vi. 7.

Lártal toùs dodeveis. 'To heal the sick.' There seems to be no
 which is the reading of some mss., naless it points to the curions fact mentioned by St Mark that they anointed the sick with oil (vi. I3; comp. James v. 14).
3. єitev тpòs aúzoús. For a much fuller account of the in. structions given to the Twelve, see Mati. x. 5-15. Some of these are recorded by St Luke as given also to the Seventy, x. 1-16.
 lously introduced by some copyist who wished to avoid an apparent discrepancy with Mk. vi. 8, "save a staff only." St Matthew also says, 'not even a staff.' Minute and wholly unimportant as the variation would have been, it may turn on the fact that our Lord told them not specially to procure ( $\mu \bar{\eta}$ кт journey; or on the fact that speaking in Aramaic He used the phrase DK 'I (kee im), which might be explained 'even if you have a staff it is unnecessary.' Meanwhile the variations furnish an interesting proof of the independence of the three Synoptists.
mipav. A 'wallet,' a bag of kid's skin carried over the shoulder to contain a few dates or other common necessaries. 1 Sam. xvii. 40. (Thomson, Land and Book, p. 355.)
$\mu$ 向тe diprov. Which they usually took with them, vs. 13 ; Matt. xvi. 7.
 cause it was the common metal for coinage among the Greeks. St Mark uses 'copper," the common Roman coinage.
 under-garment (ketoneth)'-which indeed is a rare luxury among poor Orientals. (See on iii. 11.) If they carried a second tumic at all they could only do so conveniently by putting it on (Mk. vi. 9). St Mark adds that they were to wear sandals, and St Matthew that they were not to have travelling shoes (ivodn'uata). The general spirit of the instructions merely is, 'Go forth in the simplest, humblest manner, with no hindrances to your movements and in perfect faith'; and this,
as history shews, has always been the method of the most successful missions. At the same time we must remember that the wants of the Twelve were very smail (see on viii. 3) and were secured by the open hospitadity of the East (Thomson, Land and Book, p. 346). For the

 construction, as though the clause had begun with $\mu \eta \delta \bar{\rho} \nu$ atpetv. It would be less natural to explain the infinitive as being here used for an imperative, or as an epexegetio infinitive-'two coats apiece, to wear.' See Winer, p. 397.
4. els $\mathfrak{\eta} v a d y$ oikiav elo $\lambda \lambda \eta \eta \tau$. After inquiring who were the worthiest people to receive them, Matt. x. 11, comp. infra x. 5 - 8 . This injunction was meant to exclude fastidious and restless changes. St Luke omits the injunction (Matt. x. 5)-which was only temporary (Matt. xxviii. 19)-not to enter into Samaritan villages.
5. кal $\tau \grave{\partial} v$ кovioptóv. See Acts xiii. 51, xviii. 6. The use of кovopròs for кóps is Hellenistio (LXX. Ex. ix. 9, \&c.). It properly means 'a dustcloud,' and occurs only in this phrase, except in Acts xxii. 23.
' $\boldsymbol{m}^{\prime}$ ' avंтov's. 'Against them'; stronger than the aíroîs of Mark, for it points to future judgment.
6. Eเทีpхочто катá. 'They went in all directions, from village to village.' The karà is (like duda) distributive.

Oepartéovies. In the other Evangelists exorcisms are prominent. Mk. vi. 13. The special object of the mission of the Twelve is plain from St Matthew. Our Lord had now been preaching for nearly a year in Galilee, and multitudes still thronged to Him. He knew that He would soon be compelled to retire, and He sent the Twelve to give one last opportunity to those who had heard Him.

## 7-9. Herod'b Alarm.

7. 'Hpá§ŋ§. Antipas. See iii. 1.
 Him" of the Rec. are omitted by NBCDL. There seems to be a special reference to the work of the Twelve which made our Lord's name more widely known.
futo $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ twov. To this opinion Herod's' guilty conscience made him sometimes incline, Mk. vi. 16. His alarm may have been intensified by the strong condemnation of his subjects, who, long afterwards, looked ou his defeat by his injured father-in-law Aretas (Hareth) as a punishment for this crime (Jos. Autt. xvin. 5, $\$ 81,2$ ).
8. 'Hilas. In accordance with the prophecy of Mal. iv. 5. The varb é $\phi \dot{c} \nu \eta$ is nsed instead of $\dot{\eta} \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\dot{\varepsilon} \rho \theta \theta} \boldsymbol{\eta}$, because of Elijah's transiation to heaven. The Talmud is full of the expected appearance of Elijah, and of instanees in which he shewed himself to eminent Rabbis.
 vii. 16; Deat. xyiii. 15; Num, xxiv. 17. The Jews thought that Jeremiah or one of the other great prophets (see vs. 19) might rise to herald the Messiah, John i. 21. See 2 Esdras ii. 10, 18, "Tell my people...For thy help will I send my servants Isaiah and Jeremiah;" 1 Macc. xiv. 41, "Simon should be high priest... until there arose a faithful prophet." In 2 Mace. ii 4-8, xp. 13-16, Jeremiah appears in a vision. It was believed that he would reveal the hiding.place of the Ark, Urim, and Sacred Fire.
 beheaded John.'
efitict. Herod did not merely desire (A. V.) to see Him, but made attempts to do so. This agrees with xxiii. 8 , "he was desirous to see him of a long season." St Luke may have heard particulars about Herod from Chazas (viii. 3) when he was with St Paul at Caesarea Stratonis, or from Manaen at Antioch (Acts xiii. 1). The curiosity of Herod about Jesus does not seem to have been aroused before this period. A half-alien tyrant such as Herod was, belonging to a detested house, is often little aware of what is going on among the people; but the mission of the Twelve in all directions, and therefore possibly to Tiberias, produced effeets which reached his ears. His wish to see Jesus was not gratified till the day of the crucifixion;-partly because our Lord purposely kept out of his reach, feeling for him a pare contempt ("this fox," xiii. 32), and for this among other reasons never so much as entered the polluted and half-heathen streets of Herod's new town of Tiberias (which partly covered the site of an old cemetery); and partly because, after the news of John's murder, He seems at once to have withdrawn from all permanent work in Gennesareth. During the mission of the Twelve we infer that He made a journey alone to Jerusalem to the unnamed feast of John v. 1, probably the Feast of Purim. During this visit occurred the healing of the cripple at Bethesda.

## 10-17. The Feeding of the Five Thodsand.

 record, to which nothing is added by the other Evangelists, contrasts so strongly with the joyous exultation of the Seventy over their suecess, that we are led to infer that the training of the Twelve was as yet imperfect, and their mission less successful than the subsequent one.
itтex'́pŋбev кat' isiav. The reasons-beside the natural need of the Twelve and of our Lord for rest-were (1) the incessant interruptions from the multitude, which left them no leisure even to eat (Mk. vi.31), and (2) (as we see from the context) the news of the murder of John the Baptist and Herod's inquiries about Jesus. Perhaps we may add (3) the desire to keep in retirement the Paschal Feast which He could not now keep at Jerusalem. This event constitutes another new departure in the ministry of Christ.
 variations in the mss, and the best reading is cis móhıv кa入ou $\mu \boldsymbol{f} \eta \eta v$ B $\boldsymbol{\theta} \boldsymbol{\sigma} a \mathrm{i} \delta \dot{\mathrm{a}}$. The omission may be due to the fact that there was no "desert place" corresponding to this description near the only Bethsaida which was well-known to the copyists, viz. the little fishing suburb of Capernaum on the west of the lake (Bethsaida of Galilee, John xii. 21), Mk . vi. 45 . This may also explain the variation of 'village' for 'city.' It is only in recent times that we have been made familiar with the existence of the other Bethsaida-Bethsaida Julias (Mk, wiii. 22), at the north of the lake, another 'House of Fish' which had been recently beautified by Herod Philip (iii. 1) and named by him after the beautiful but profligate daughter of Augustus (Jos. Antt. xyint. 2, § 1; B. J. II. § 1). The rains of this town still exist at Telui (a corruption of Tel Julias), and close by it is the green, narrow, secluded plain of El Batîhah, which exactly answers to the description of the Evangelists. This important discovery, which explains several serious difficulties of this Gospel, is due to Reland (Palaest. p. 504), and shews us how easily difficulties would be removed if we knew all the facts.
11. of $8 \mathbf{t}$ öX $\lambda_{\text {oc }}$. The ensuing incidentis one of the few narrated by all four Evangelists, Matt. xiv. 13-33; Mk. vi. 30-52; John vi. 1-21, and is most important from the power displayed, the doctrines symbolized (Christ the bread of life), and the results to which it led (John vi.). Combining the narratives, we see that the embarkation of Jesus to sail from Gapernaum to the northern Bethsaida had been noticed by the people, and as it is only a sail of six miles they went on foot round the head of the lake to find Him. He had barely time to retire with His disciples to one of the hills when a orowd assembled on the little plain. This crowd was momentarily swelled by the throngs of pilgrims who paused to see the Great Prophet on their way to the approsching Passover at Jerusalem (John vi. 5), which Jesus Himself could not attend without danger, owing to the outburst caused by the Sabbath healing of the cripple (John v. 1-16). Towards afternoon He came down the hill to the multitude, to teach them and heal their sick.
àmoféáquvos aủroús. 'Kindly receiving them'-weary as He was and much as He yearned for solitude. See note on viii. 40.

## 12. к久 $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\nu \epsilon เ \nu . ~ ' T o ~ d e c l i n e . ' ~}$

of $\delta$ w $\delta$ eka. They were afraid that when once the brief twilight was over, the famished multitude might lose their way or come to harm, and some calamity happen which would give a fresh handle against Jesus. John alone tells us that He had compassionately suggested the difficulty to Philip, watching with gentle irony the trial of his faith; and that Philip despairingly said that it would cost more than 200 denarii (as we might say $£ 20$, i.e. the day's wages of 200 people; see on vii. 41) to procure them even a minimum of food. Philip was "of Bethsaida," but this had nothing to do with our Lord's speaking to him, for he belonged to the western Bethsaida.


 anakoluthon, for elaiv refers to a $\rho \tau 0$, not to $\pi \lambda \in \hat{i} o \nu \eta^{\eta}$, which must be regarded as a sort of parenthetic addition. Compare Num. xi. 22. It was Andrew who first mentioned this fact in a tentative sort of way. The little boy (raidápooy) who carried them seems to have been in attendance on the Apostles; evidently this was the food which they had brought for their own supply, and it proves their simplicity of life, for barley loaves (John vi. 9) are the food of the poor ( 2 Kings iv. 42; Judg. vii. 13; Ezek. iv. 9, xiii. 19).
 should ourselves go and procure.' Ei with the subjunctive is very rare and archaic in Attic prose. It simply means ' if, apart from all conditions." See my Brief Greek Syntax, \& 201 n. In the N.T. it
 Here Winer regards it as a sort of deliberative subjunctive not really dependent on $\epsilon i$ (' unless-are we to go and bay?').
14. тevtakı $\sigma$ 시으. "Besides women and children," Matt. xiv. 21. These would probably not be numerous, and would not (in accordance with Eastern usage) sit down with the men, but would stand apart.

к $\lambda$ lotas dud $\pi \in \tau \tau \dot{j} k o v \tau a$. 'In companies about fifty each.' The accusative is attributive, in apposition with the meaning of the verb, Winer, p. 286. The vivid details of Mark shew the eyewitness of St Peter. He compares them to parterres of flowers ( $\pi \rho a \sigma<a l$ it $\rho a \sigma t a l$, 'by garden beds ${ }^{*}$ ) as they sat on the green grass in their bright Oriental robes of red and blue and yellow. St Luke's word, кגıatas, means literally in dining-parties, from $\kappa$ ncola, 'a couch.' It therefore resembles the $\sigma \nu \mu \pi \delta \sigma \tau a \sigma \nu \mu \pi \delta \sigma \iota a$ of St Mark. StLake passes over the $\chi$ botos
 more striking to Jews. This systematic arrangement mado it easy to tell the number of the multitude.
16. кatékiarev kal ésifou. The 'brake' is in the aorist and the 'gave' in the imperfect, and although it is a useless presumption to inquire into the mode of this most remarkable miracle, these two words give us this detail only,-that it took place between the act of breaking and the continuous distribution. But "Falleret momento visum...Est quod non erat; videtur quod non intelligitur" (Hilary). The marvel lay in the Doer, not in the deed. Aug.
17. кдаб $\mu$ át $\omega v$. Oompare 2 Kings iv. 43, 44. These were collected by the order of Jesus, who thus strikingly tanght that wastefulness even of miraculous plenty is entirely alien to the divine administration.

кóфıvor 8́ẃєкa. Probably wicker-baskets (salsilloth, Jer. vi. 9). Every Jew carried such a basket abont with him to aroid the chance
of his food contracting any Levitical pollution in heathen places (Juv. Sat. imi. 14, vi. 542). The baskets used at the miracle of the four thousand were large rope-baskets, 'frails' ( $\sigma \pi v \rho(\delta \epsilon 5$ ). The accnracy with which each word is reserved for its own proper miracle by all the narrators is remarkable.

At this point there is a considerable gap in the continuity of St Luke's narrative. He omits the amazement of the multitude which made it likely that they would seize Jesus to make Him king; His compelling His reluctant disciples to sail back towards the other-the western-Bethsaida; the gradual dismissal of the multitude; His flight ( $\phi e$ 'rye, John vi. 15, $\mathcal{N}$ ) to the hill top to escape those who still lingered, and to pray alone; the gathering of the storm; the walking on the sea; the failure of Peter's faith; the very memorable discourse at Caperıaum, intended to teach what was the true bread from heaven, and to dissipate the material expectations of the popular Messianism; the crisis of offence caused by these hard sayings; the dispute with the Pharisees on the question of the Oral Law or Tradition of the Elders; the deepening opposition and the one great day of conflict and rupture with the Pharisees (which St Luke appears to relate out of chronological order in xi.); the flight among the heathen as far as Tyre and Sidon; the incident of the Syrophoenician woman; the feeding of the four thousand; the return to Galilee and demand for a sign; the sailing away; the warning against the leaven of the Pharisees; and the healing of a blind man at Bethsaida Julias during His second journey northwards. These must be sought for in Matt. xiv.-xvi. 12; Mk. vi. 45-viii, 30 ; John vi. For my view of them, and their sequence, I may perhaps be allowed to refer the reader to my Life of Christ, т. 403-5. 9.

## 18-22. St Peter's Confession. Christ Prophesies His Death and Resubreation.

18. 'katd $\mu$ óvas. 'In private,' as the context shews.
of ox גou. 'The multitudes'; those whom Jesus had taught and healed and fed, or those who seem to have been always at no great distance. The two other Evangelists place this memorable scene in the neighbourhood of Caesarea Philippi. His life at this epoch had come to resemble a continuous flight. He did not enter Caesarea Philippi. He always avoided towns (with the single exception of Jerusalem), probably from His love for the sights and sounds of nature, and His dislike for the crowded squalor and worldly absorption of town-communities; and He specially apoided these Hellenic and hybrid cities (Jos. Vit. 13), with their idolatrous ornaments and corrupted population. This event may well be regarded as the culminating point in His ministry. He had now won the deliberate faith and conviction of those who had lived in close intercourse with Him, and who, in continuation of His ministry, were to evangelize the world. See Matt. xvi. 13-21; Mk. viii. 27-31. The depth and
sincerity of the confession was more strongly tested by the fact that it was made, not in the joyous spring of the Galilean ministry, but in the year of persecution which drove our Lord into semi-heathen districts.
eival. "That I , the Son of man, am?" Matt. xvi. 13.
 the Apostles shewed the sad trutli that Jesus had come to His own possessions and His own people received Him not; that the Light had shined in the darkness, and the darkness had not comprehended it. He had not come to force belief, but to win conviction. He had never even openly proclaimed His Messiahship, but left His works to speak for Him. God's method is not to ensure faith by violence; as the Fathers say, "Force is alien to God" ( $\beta i \alpha$ é $\chi \theta \rho \dot{\rho} \bar{p} \theta \in \tilde{\psi})$ ).
undar $\delta \epsilon$. Some of the disciples told Jesus that the multitudes took Him for John the Baptist; others of them that they took Him for Elijah, de.
19. т̀̀v Xplatò̀v toû $\theta_{\text {€oú. 'The Anointed, the Messiah, of God.' }}$ "Thou art the Christ, the Son of the Living God," Matt. xvi. 16. St Mark merely says "the Christ." "The Lord's Christ," ii. 26. After the estranging speech at Gapernaum our Lord had asked, "Will ye also go away?" and then St Peter's answer had been 'we have believed and recognised that thou art the Holy One of God,' John vi. 69 ( $\mathbf{N B C D L}, \mathbb{k}$.). Nathanael had recognised Him as "the Son of God" and "the King of Israel." Later, Martha confessed Him as "the Christ, the Son of God," John xi. 27. But now for the first time the revealed mystery was openly recognised and confessed. St Luke omits the blessing of St Peter, which whatever may be its exact meaning, at any rate can have conferred on him no sort of primacy or superior authority among the Apostles. See xxii. 24-26; Matt. xviii. 1; John xxi. 19-23; Gal. ii. 9, 11, de.
 1. Becanse His work was not yet finished. 2. Because as yet their faith was very weak and their knowledge very partial. 3. Because they had not yet received the Holy Spirit to give power to their testimony. 4. Because the publio proclamation of the truth would have precipitated the workings of God's foreordained plan ( $\pi \rho \delta \theta \in \sigma t s$, Eph. i. 9, iii. I1). The Messianic errors and confusions of the day were so numerous that, as Riggenbach says, "Jesus was obliged at once to reveal and to veil Himself, to kindle and to cover the flame."
20. $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \dot{a} \pi a \theta \epsilon i v$. It was necessary at once to dissipate the crude Messianio conceptions of earthly splendour and victory in which they had been brought up, and to substitute the truth of a suffering for that of a triumphant Messiah.
ámó. 'At the hand of,' xvii. 25; Winer, p. 464. The word ámo-
 later Greek tends to displace úmó.
 great sections which formed the Jewish Sanhedrin; by all who up to that time had been looked upon as religious authorities in the nation.
 Greek. The mode of death, and the delivery to the Gentiles, were culminating horrors which He mercifully kept back till the last journey to Jercsalem, Matt. xx. 19. Hitherto He had only spoken of His death in dim and distant intimations, John ii. 19, iii. 14, vi. 51. His revelation of it was progressive, as they were able to bear it. Matt. ix. 15, x. 38; John iii. 14; Matt. xvi. 4, 21, xvii. 22, xx. 18, xuvi. 2.
éyepejpual. In vs. 45 St Luke shews ms (as events proved) how entirely they failed to altach any distinct meaning to these words, Mark ix. 10.

## 23-27. The Cboss and the Kingdom.

23. Tpòs radivas. The word "all" implies the fact mentioned by St Mark (viii. 34), that before continuing His discourse He called up to Him the multitudes who were at a Iittle distance. St Luke here omits the presumption and rebuke of St Peter, which is alone sufficient to dispose of the unworthy theory of some German theologians that he writes with an animus against St Peter, or with some desire to disparage his position.
tòv oravpóv. A dim intimation of the still unrevealed imminence of His crucifixion, and a continuance of the lesson that to follow Christ meant not earthly gain but entire self-sacrifice, xiv. 26, 27; Acts xiv. 22.

кa日' ipepar. "For thy sake we are killed all the day long," Rom. viii. 36. "I die daily," I Cor. xv. 31. This addition is found only in St Luke.
 it his main will to save his life. See by way of comment the fine fragment (probably) of a very early Christian hymn in 2 Tim. ii. 11, 12, and observe that $\psi v \chi \eta$ means the natural, animal life of which the main interests are in the earth. This rule of voluntary self-abnegation as the basis of the Christian life is so important that our Lord repeated it several times, xvii. 33; Matt. x. 39 ; John xii. 25.
 petition of this verse that Ignatius loyola won the life-long devotion of St Francis Xavier.

 rovs $\epsilon_{\mu}$ ou's (omitting $\lambda$ 'rovs), 'my followers,' is the reading of D , but the parallel passage, Mk. viii. 35, seems to shew that it is not correct.
 xii. 41, xxi, 3.
aủroû. Here. See critioal note. It is an adverb formed by a gen. of place like oṽ, rov̂, \&e. See note on v. 19, zix. 4.
yeícovtal Cavórov. In the Arabian poem, Antar, Death is represented es slaying men by handing them a cup of poison. This was a common Eastern metaphor.
rìv Baolieiav tov̂ $\theta_{\text {eoṽ. }}$ St Mark (ix. 1) adds "coming in power." St Matthew (xvi. 28) says, "till they see the Son of man coming in His Kingdom." It is clear that the primary reference of these words was to the three Apostles who, within a week of that time, were to witness the Transfiguration. So it seems to be understood in 2 Pet. i. 16, and by our Translators, who separate this verse to preface the narrative of the Transfiguration in Mark ix. 1. The significance of the "kingdom" was therefore mainly spiritual, and the verse has an important bearing on the prophecies of the Second Advent (see Matt. xxiv. 14, 15, 30). It was again fulfilled at the Resurrection and Ascension; and in the person of one disciple-St John-it was fulfilled when he lived to witness the close of the Old Dispensation in the destriction of Jerusalem.

## 28-36. The Transfiguration.

 daricum where a singular verb ( $\epsilon$ ' $\ell \in \tau 0$ ) is attached to a plural substantive. The 这的 $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho a t \dot{\delta} \kappa \tau \omega \dot{\prime}$ is $\varepsilon_{\text {s }}$ sort of parenthetic clause without regnlar connexion. See Matt. xvii. 1-13; Mark ix. 2-13. This is merely the inclusive reckoning which St Luke saw in his written sources, and means exactly the same thing as "after six days" in Mark ix. 2. (This explains Matt. xxvii. 63.)
$\pi a p a \lambda a \beta \dot{v} v$. The solemnity of this special choice is marked in the other Gospels by the additional word dya, $\bar{\epsilon} \rho \varepsilon$, "He leads them up" (cf. xxiv. 51). Matt. xxvi. 37.
 of this ocossion was to fill their souls with a vision which should support their faith amid the horrors which they afterwards witnessed.
eis rd öpos. 'Into the mountain.' The others say 'into a lofty mountain.' There can be little doubt that Mount Hermon (Jebel esh Sheikh) is intended, in spite of the persistent, but perfectly baseless tradition which points to Tabor. For (i) Mount Hermon is easily within aix days' reach of Caesarea Philippi, and (ii) could alone be called a "lofty mountain" (being 10,000 feet high) or "the mountain," when the last scene had been at Caesarea. Further, (iii) Tabor at that time in all probability was (Jos. B. J. 1. 8, § 7, Thit. 37), as from time immemorial it had been (Josh. xix. 12), an inhabited and fortinied place, wholly unsuited for a scene so solemn; and (iv) was moreover in Galilee, which is excluded by Mark ix. 30. "The mountain" is indeed the meaning of the name "Hermon," which being already consecrated by Hebrew poetry (Ps. cxxxiii. 3, and under its old names of Sion and Sirion, or 'breastplate,' Deut. iv. 48, iii. 9; Cant. iv. 8),
was well suited for the Transfiguration by its height, seelusion, and snowy splendour.
тporeísaotal. The characteristic addition of St Luke. That this awfill scene took place at night, and therefore that He ascended the mountain in the evening, is clear from vss. 32, 33 : comp. vi. 12. It is also implied by the allusions to the scene in 2 Pet. i. 18, 19.
29. 'iv тب̣̂ тporeíxer0al. The inquiry whether this heavenly brightness came from within, or-as when the face of Moses shoneby reflection from oommunion with God, seems irreverent and idle; but we may say that the two things are practically one.
 zvii. 2. It is interesting to see how St Luke avoids the word 'He was metamorphosed' which is used by the other Synoptists. He was writing for Greeks, in whose mythology that verb was valgarised by foolish associations.

Égaotpáтtuv. Literally, 'lightning forth,' as though from some inward radiance. St Matthew compares the whiteness of His robes to the light (xvii. 2), St Marls to the snow (ix. 3), and St Luke in this word to the lightning. See John i. 14; Ps. civ. 2; Hab. iii. 4.
30. Maürท̂s кal 'H久las. The great Lawgiver and the great Prophet, of whom we are told that God buried the one (Deut. xxxiv. 6) and the other had passed to heaven in a chariot of fire ( 2 Kings ii. 1, 11). The two were the chief representatives of the Old Dispensation. The former had prophesied of Christ (Acts iii. 22; Deut. xviii. 18); of the latter it had been prophesied that he should be His forerunner. "The end of the Law is Christ; Law and Prophecy are from the Word; and things which began from the Word, cease in the Word." St Ambrose.
 which also occurs in this connexion in 2 Pet. i. 15 (comp. exitus). The reading $\delta \delta \xi a v$, 'glory,' though known to St Chrysostom, is only supported by a few cursives. दॄ $\xi$ ooos is, as Bengel says, a very weighty word, involving His passion, cross, death, resurrection, and ascension. The same sense is found in Jos. Antt. 1v. 8, § 2. See too Wisd. iii. 2, "their departure is taken for misery." Id. vii. 6. Comp. eloodos in Acts xiii. 24.
ív 'Iepovad $\lambda^{\prime} \mu$. The murderers of the Prophets, xiii. 33.
 heavy with sleep; but on fully awaking.' The word fachop does not here mean 'having kept awake,' but (to give the full foree of the compound and aorist) suddenly starting into full wakefulness. They started op, wide awake after heary sleep, in the middle of the vision. For $\beta \epsilon \beta a \rho \eta \mu \epsilon \nu=1$ comp. Matt. xxvi. 43.

'Eтıaтátc. Matt. Kúpıe. Mk. 'Paßßi.
 xvii. $4_{1}$ zxvi. 24).
okๆvás. Like the little wattled booths (succôth), which the Israelites made for themselves at the Feast of Tabernacles. The use of $\sigma \kappa$ 傗 $\omega \mu$ in 2 Pet. i. 13 (Matt, xvii. 4) is another sign that the mind of the writer was full of this scene.
$\mu \eta$ ci8as. 'Because he knew not.' The subjective negative gives the reason for his words. Not knowing that the spectacle on Calvary was to be more transcendent and divine than that of Hermon; not knowing that the old was passing away and all things becoming new; not knowing that Jesus was not to die with Moses and Elijah on either side, but between two thieves.
34. vєфè $\eta$. "A bright cloud," Matt. zrii. 5. Possibly the Shekinah, or cloud of glory (see on i. 35), which was the symbol of the Divine Presence (Ex. xxxiii. 9; 1 Kings viii. 10). If a mere mountain cloud had been intended, there would have been no reason for their fear.
au'roús. This reading implies that the Apostles also were overshadowed by the cloud of glory. The less attested exelvous of Rec. implies that it only overspread Jesus, and Moses, and Elias.
35. фwvin. 2 Pet. i. 17, 18. As in two other instances in our Lord's ministry, iii. 22; John xii. 28. The other Synoptists add that at this Voice they fell prostrate, and, on Jesus touching them, suddenly raised their eyes and looked all around them, to find no one there but Jesus.

au่тov̂ diкovietc. The special importance of the words, as a Messianic confirmation, may be seen in Deat. xviii. 15.
36. UFlyףoav. Ontil after the resurrection, in accordance with the express command of Jesus given them as they were descending the hill. Matt. zvii. 9. During the descent there also oecurred the conversation about Elijah and John the Baptist. (Matt. xvii. 9-13; Mk. ix. 9-13.) It is remarkable that the only other allusion to the Transfiguration is in 2 Pet. i. 18.

## 37-48. The Demontac Boy. The Lesfon of Meeeness.

 night: see on vs. 28.
ox ${ }^{2}$ os madús. St Mark records their "amazement" at seeing Him -perhaps due to some lingering radiance and majesty which olung to Him after the Transfiguration. (Comp. Ex. xxxiv. 30.) They had been surrounding a group of the scribes, who were taunting the diseiples with their failure to cure the lunatic boy.
38. वírò тoû öX ${ }^{\text {dov. }}$ 'From the crowd.'
$\Delta ı \delta \dot{\sigma} к a \lambda e . \quad$ Teacher' or 'Rabbi.'
 middle. The middle of the verb does not occur.

ноvoүevís нot kotiv. See on viii. 42.
39. $\pi v \epsilon \hat{p} \mu a \quad \lambda a \mu \beta a ́ v \in\llcorner$ aúvóv. This was the supernatural aspect of his deafness, epilepsy, and madness. St Matthew gives the natural aspect when he says, "he is a lunatic, and sore vexed, \&o." xwii. 15.
40. ouk $\eta^{\prime} \delta u v \eta^{\prime} \eta_{\eta}$ dav. Jesus afterwards, at their request, told them the reason of this, which was their deficient faith. Matt. xvii. 19-21.
41. ' $\Omega$ үеved ätrotras к.т. $\lambda$. Doubtless the Spirit of Jesus was wrung by the contrast-so immortally portrayed in the great picture of Raphael-between the peace and glory which He had left on the mountain, and this seene of weak faith, ahject misery, and bitter opposition-faltering disciples, degraded sufferers, and wrangling seribes. For $\delta_{\imath \epsilon \sigma \tau \rho a p \mu i v \eta ~ s e e ~ A c t s ~ x x . ~}^{30}$; Phil. ii. 15.
 could not go till He had led His disciples to faith. Their slowness troubled Him." Bengel.
 cry of the poor father in Mk. ix. 21-94 The child had been rendered deaf and dumb by his possession; in the last parozysm he wallowed on the ground foaming, and then lay as dead till Jesus raised him by the hand. Interesting parallels to these strange and horrible paroxysms in a condition which may well be ascribed to demoniae possession may be found in a paper on Demoniacs by Mr Caldwell, Contemp. Rev., Feb. 1876. The boy's 'possession' seems on its natural side to have been the deadliest and intensest form of epileptic lunacy which our Lord had ever healed, and one far beyond the power of the real or pretended Jewish exorcisms. Hence the words of Jesus were peculiarly emphatic, Mk. ix. 25.
43. Tn̂ $\mu \in \gamma a \lambda \epsilon$ ót $\eta$ тt. 'Majesty.' 2 Pet. i. 16. Vulg. 'magnitudine.'

Gavpayóviav. The power of the last miracle had rekindled some of their Messianic euthusiasm. Jesus had now reached the northern limits of Palestine, and-apparently through bypaths, and with the utmost secrecy-was retracing His steps, perhaps along the western bank of the Jordan, to Galilee, Matt. xvii. 22; Mk. ix. 30.
einev. The imperfects in Mk. ix. 31 shew that these warnings of His approaching betrayal, death, and resurrection now formed a constant topic of His teaching.
44. $\mu \lambda \lambda_{\epsilon 6} \pi a \rho a \delta \delta 8 o \sigma f a l$. 'Is about to be delivered' (i.e. very soon).
45. tipróouv. This permanent ignorance and incapacity, so humbly avowed, should be contrasted with the boldness and fulness of their subseguent knowledge. It furnishes one of the strongest proofs of the change wrought in them by the Resurrection and the Descent of the Holy Spirit.

таракєка入 $ч \mu \mu$ 'vov. 'Veiled over.' It was not yet for them revealed, i.e. seen with the veil removed. The word is an ä $\pi a \xi \lambda \in \gamma \sigma \mu \varepsilon \nu=\nu$ in N. T.
 not,' bat as in the R. V. 'that they should not perceive it.' The Tra represents the divine purpose.
46. Sca入оүьन ${ }^{\prime}$ 's. 'A dispute.'
to. The article is inapposition to the whole question. Comp. Mk. ix. 43.
 (comp. vs.48) not as Weiss takes it, 'Who should be greater than they.' Their jealous ambition had been kindled partly by false Messianic hopes, partly perhaps by the recent distinction bestowed on Peter, James, and John. Observe how little Christ's words to Peter had been understood to confer on him any special preeminence! This unseemly dispute was again stirred up at the Last Supper, xxii. 2426. Godet sees in Matt. xviii. 15-22 an indication that very bitter feelings had arisen on this oecession.
47. eifós. He asked the subject of their dispute, and when shame kept them silent, He sat down, and calling a little child, made the Twelve stand around while He taught this solemn lesson.
mat8iov. This coald not have been the future martyr St Ignatius, as legend says (Niceph. H. 3), probably by an erroneous inference from his name of Christophoros or Theophoros, which was derived from his telling Trajan that he carried God in his heart (see Ep.ad Smym. wi. which is of very doubtful genaineness, and Eus. $\boldsymbol{H}$. E. iII. 38).
 least among you all.' Comp. Matt. xxiii. 11, 12. He perhaps added the memorable words about offending His little ones. Matt. xviii. 6-10; Lk. xvii. 2.
oûtós éctเv $\mu$ éyas. ' $H e$ (emphatic) is great' (NABCLX), not 'shall be' but is.

## 49-50. The Tomerance of Jests.

 question seems to have been suggested by the words 'in my name,' which Jesus had just used.
 the Jews to attempt exorcism by many different methods; see on iv. 35, 41; viii. 32. This unknown person-like the sons of Soeva in Acts xix. 13, 14, but evidently in a more faithful spirit-had found that the name of Jesus was more poworful. Specimens of Jewish exorcisms are given in the Jewish Book of Jubilees, and in Shabbath, 67; Pesachim, f. $112 a, b$; see too Tobit vi. 16, 17; Jos. B. J. vil. $6, \S 3$.
 tried to prevent him.' Compare the jealous zeal of Joshua against Eldad and Medad, and the truly noble answer of Moses, Numb. xi. 27-29.
 in accordance with the natural disposition which shews itself in the incident of vs. 54; and with the legend that St John rushed out of a bath in which he saw the heretic Cerinthus. It was this burning temperament that made him a "Son of Thunder." The $\mu \in \tau$ à is redundant, but like ove is often used even in classic writers with verbs of following, just as in Latin we find comitari cum in inscriptions. Every synthetio language tends to become analytic, as the delicacy of its inflexions is obliterated by use. 'Axoخovet̂r $\delta \pi i \sigma \omega$ is a Hebraism. Matt. х. 38.
50. $\mu \dot{\eta}$ к $\omega \lambda$ íєтє. The present-imperfect tense, 'Do not be for hindering him.'
 complementary but not contradictory trath to this is, "He who is not with me is against me," Matt. xii. 30. Both are true in different circumstances. Neutrality is sometimes as deadly as opposition (Judg. v. 23); it is sometimes as effectual as aid (Sueton. Jul. Caes. 75). See Vinct, La tolérance et l'intolérance de l'Evangile (Discours, p. 268). Renan calls these "two irreconcilable rules of proselytism, and a contradiction evoked by a passionate struggle." Guizot expresses his astonishment at so frivolous a criticism, and calls them two contrasted facts which everyone must have noticed in the course of an active life. "Les deux assertions, loin de se contredire, peuvent être également vraies, et Jésus-Christ en les exprimant a parlé en observateur sagace, non en moraliste qui donne les préceptes." Meditations, p. 229.

It is a great pity that the chapter does not end at this verse; since it closes another great section in our Lord's ministry-the epoch of opposition and flight. A new phase of the ministry begins at vs. 51.

## Chaps. IX, 51-XYIII. 31.

This section forms a great episode in St Luke, which may be called the departure for the final conflict, and is identical with the journey (probably to the Feast of the Dedication, Joln x. 22) which is partially touched upon in Matt. xviii.-xx. 16 and Mk. x. 1-31, It contains many inoidents recorded by this Evangelist alone, and though the recorded identifications of time and place are vague, yet they all point (ix. 51, xiii. 22, xvii. 11, x. 38) to a slow, solemn, and public progress from Galilee to Jerusalem, of which the events themselves are often grouped by subjective considerations. So little certain is the order of the separate incidents, that one writer (Rev. W. Stewart) has made an ingenious attempt to shew that it is determined by the alphabetio arrangement of the leading Greek verbs ( $\dot{\gamma} \gamma \pi \bar{a} \hat{a}, ~ x . ~ 25-28, ~ 23-37, ~$

38-42; alreiv, xi. 1-4, 5, 8, 9-13, \&c.). Canon Westcott arranges the order thus: The Rejection of the Jews foreshewn; Preparation, ix. 43-xi. 13; Lessons of Warning, xi. 14-xiii. 9; Lessons of Progress, ziii. 10-xiv. 24; Lessons of Diseipleship, xiv. 25-xyii. 10; the Coming End, xvii. 10-xpiii. 30.

The order of events after 'the Galilaean spring' of our Lord's ministry on the plain of Gennesareth seems to have been this: After the period of flight among the heathen or in countries which were only semi-Jewish, of which almost the sole recorded incident is the healing of the daughter of the Syrophoenician woman (Matt. xy. 21 -28) He returned to Peraea and fed the four thousand. He thon sailed beck to Gennesareth, but left it in deep sorrow on being met by the Pharisees with insolent demands for a sign from heaven. Turning His back once more on Galilee, He again travelled northwards; haled a blind man at Bethsaida Julias; received St Peter's great confession on the way to Caesarea Philippi; was transfigured; healed the demoniac boy; rebuked the ambition of the disciples by the example of the little child; returned for a brief rest in Capernaum, during which occurred the incident of the Temple Tax; then journeyed to the Feast of Tabernacles, in the course of which journey occurred the incidents so fully uarrated by St John (John vii. 1-x. 21). The events and teachings in this great section of St Luke seem to belong mainly, if not entirely, to the two months between the hasty retarn of Jesus to Galilee and His arrival in Jerusalem, two months afterwards, at the Feast of Dedication ;-a period respecting which St Luke must have had access to special sonrces of information.

For fuller discussion of the question I must refer to my Life of Christ, II. 89-150.

Cf. IX. 51-66. Rejected by the Samiritans. A Lesson of
 aútov̂. 'When the days of His Assumption were drawing near' (literally, were being fuifilled). It is not (as Meyer takes it) 'were completed,' which would be $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma \theta$ ク̈vat as in ii. 21 . Comp. Acts ii. 1. Wyclif, "Whilst the days were accomplishing." St Luke thus clearly marks the arrival of a final stage of our Lord's ministry. "His passion, cross, death, and grave were coming on, but through thern all Jesus looked to the goal, and the style of the Efangelist imitates His feelings," Bengel. The word avdi $\eta \boldsymbol{j}$ ls means the Ascension (in Ecel. Latin, Assumptio). So dive入íg $\theta \eta$ of Elijah and of our Lord, 2 E . ii. 11; Mk. xvi. 19 ; Acts i. 2, 11, de.; 1 Tim. iii. 16. The subst. is in
 xil. Patr. The peculiarity of the expressions seems to point to the solemnity of the crisis, comp. Mk. x. 32.
kal av́тós. 'He Himself also.'
 and especially If. 1. 7. The phrase shews that St Luke is using an Aramaic document (Ex. xxxiii. 14).
62. duterteliev dyyenous. Some think that they were two of the Seventy disciples; others that they were James and John.
els к山́p $\eta \nu$ इapapıtûv. On the way to Judaea from Galilee He would doubtless avoid Nazareth, and therefore His road probably lay over Mount Tabor, past little Hermon (see vii. 11), past Nain, En-dor, and Shunem. The first Samaritan village at which He would arrive would be En Gannim (Fountain of Gardens), now Jenin ( $2 \mathrm{~K} . \mathrm{ix} .27$ ), a pleasant village at the first pass into the Samaritan hills. The inhabitants are still described as "fanatical, rude, and rebellious" (Thomson, Land and Book, II. xxx.). The Samaritans are not mentioned in St Mark, and only once in St Matthew (x. 5 ).
 expressions (being here intended to help out the foree of the infinitive) which mark the decadence of language. ẅate gradually acquires some of the final (telic) force which tya loses. As He was now accompanied not only by the Twelve, but by a numerous multitude of followers, His unamnounced arrival would have caused embarrassment. But, further than this, He now openly avowed Himself as the Christ.
53. oun ${ }^{2} 8$ EGavto aiviov. The aorist implies that they at once rejected Him. The Samaritans had shewn themselves heretofore not ill-disposed (John iv. 39), and St Luke himself delights to record favourable notices of them (x. 33, xyii. 18). But (i) there was always a recrudescence of hatred between the Jews and the Samaritans at the recurrence of the annual feasts. (ii) Their national jealousy would not allow them to receive a Messiah whose goal was not Gerizim, but Jerusalem. (iii) They would not sanction the passage of a multitude of Jews through their territory, since the Jews frequently (though not always, Jos. Antt. xx. 6, §1) chose the other route on the East of the Jordan.
 Hebraic form of expression, taken from the LXX. 2 Sam. xvii. 11.
eis 'Iepouvaג $\eta$ ' . This national hatred between Jews and Samaritans (John iv. 9) atill continues, and at the present day it is mainly due to the fanaticism of the Jews. In our Lord's day the Jews called the Samaritans 'Cuthites' ( 2 K. xvii. 24), aliens (xvii. 18), 'that foolish people that dwell in Sichem' (Ecclus. 1. 25, 26), and other opprobrious names. They accused them of continuous idolatry (2 K. xyii.), and charged them with false fire-signsls, and with having polluted the Temple by scattering it with dead men's bones (Jos. Antt. xx. $6, \S 1$, xviri. $2, \S 2 ; B . J$. n. 12, § 3). No doubt originally their Monotheism was very hybrid, being mixed up with five heathen religions ( 2 K . xvii. 33, xix. 37); but they had gradually laid aside idolatry, and it was as much a calumny of the ancient Jews to charge them with the worship of Rachel's amulets (Gen. xxxv. 4) as for modern Jews to call them 'worshippers of the pigeon' (Frankl. Jews in the East, 11. 334). But the deadly exacerbation between the two nations, which began after the Exile (Ezr. iv. 1-10; Nehem. iv. 1-16, vi.), had gone on
increasing by perpetual collision since the building of the Temple on Gerizim by Sanballat and the renegade priest Manasseh (Neh. xiii. 28 ; Jos. Andt. xi. 7, xir. 5, §5), which was destroyed by John Hyrcanus b.c. 129.
64. 'Iáкшßos кal 'I wávuŋs. "What wonder that the Sons of Thunder wished to flash lightning?" St Ambrose. But one of these very disciples afterwards went to Samaria on a message of love (Acts viii. 14-25).
 is frequently used after words like $\theta e \lambda e t s$ and $\beta o i ́ \lambda e l$. Comp. vi. 42, zxii. 9. Winer, p. 356.
 ness under this gross and open insult of the Messiah. "Ohrist wrought miracles in every element except fire. Fire is reserved for the consummation of the age." Bengel.
[ $\omega$ s kal 'HALas $\ddagger$ troínoev.] These words are omitted by NBL. But (i) they are singularly appropriate, since the incident referred to also occurred in Samaria ( $2 \mathrm{~K} . \mathrm{i} .5-14$ ); and (ii) while it would be difficult to account for their insertion, it is quite easy to account for their omission either by an accidental error of the copyists, or on dogmatic grounds, especially from the use made of this passage by the heretic Marcion (Tert. adv. Marc. Iv. 23) to disparage the old Testament. (iii) They are found in very ancient mss., versions, and Fathers. (iv) The words seem to be absolutely required to defend the crude spirit of vengeance, and might have seemed all the more natural to the still half-trained Apostles because they had so recently seen Moses and Elias speaking with Jesus on the Mount of Transfiguration. They needed, as it were, a Scriptural precedent, to conceal from themselves the personal impulse which really actuated them. It is curious to trace the way in which this passage has been tampered witb by copyists.
 spirit ye are, Ye.' This does not mean, 'Ye know not how uillike your spirit is to that of Elias;' but 'your spirit is that of Elias, and is not now commendable.' Bia $\epsilon \chi \theta \rho d y$ $\theta \epsilon \bar{\varphi}$. The whole of this passage down to "save them" is omitted in NABC, and other manuscripts; but it is impossible to doubt its genuineness, becnuse it breathes a spirit far purer, loftier, and rarer than is ever discernible in ecclesiastical interpolations. It was omitted on the same grounds as the words in the last verse, because it was regarded as 'dangerous' to the authority of the O. T. It is quite impossible to believe that the narrative abruptly ended with the unexplained, "He rebuked them." Ecolesiastical censurers have failed to see that "religionis non est religionem cogere" (Tert. ad Scap. 2), and that, as Bp. Andrewes says, "The times require sometimes one spirit, sometimes another, Elias' time Elias' spirit." The Apostles learnt these truths better when they had received the Holy Ghost (Rom. xii. 19; Jas. i. 19, 20, iii. 16, 17; John iii. 17,
xii. 47). They learnt that the spirit of Jesus was the spirit of the dove; and that there is a difference between Carmel and Hermon, between Sinai and Kurn Hattin. It is possible that the words may be a question-Know ye not that yours (emphatically placed last) is the spirit of Elijah, not of Christ? Our Lord quoted Psalms xxii. and xaxi. on the Cross, and yet prayed for His enemies. Bengel.
56. [ $\delta \boldsymbol{\gamma} \mathrm{a} \rho$ ulos... $\left.\sigma \omega \bar{\omega} \alpha_{L}.\right]$ 'This clause is omitted by the majority of uncials, and some editors therefore regard it as a repetition of xix. 10 or Matt. xviii. 11. However that may be, we have the same sentiment in John iii. 17, xii, 47; 1 Tim. i. 15. The Sons of Thunder were shewing the spirit of the Talmud (which says, "Let not the Samaritans have part in the Resurrection") rather than that of the Gospel (x. 33, xvii. 18; Acts i. 8).
 and itimerary, and 'went into a different village.' The word $\epsilon \tau \epsilon$ fav (not $\alpha \lambda \lambda \eta \nu$ ) perhaps implies that it was a Jewish, not a Samaritan village. Numb. xx. 21; Matt. ii. 12.

## 67-62. The Three Aspirants.

 these incidents before the embarkation for Gergesa. . Lange's conjecture that the three aspirants were Judas Iseariot, Thomas, end Matthew is singularly baseless.
tts. A Scribe (Matt. viii. 19). The dignity of his rank was nothing to Him who had ohosen among His Twelve a zealot and a publican.
örov ãv árépxp. There was too little of 'the modesty of fearful duty' in the Scribe's professions.
68. єĩev au่tヘ̣̂ $\delta$ ' $I \eta \sigma o u ̂ s$. "In the man's flaring enthusiasm He saw the smoke of egotistical self-deceit" (Lange), and therefore- He coldly checked a proffered devotion which would not have stood the test.
$\phi \omega \lambda \epsilon$ ov́s. A late and not very common word.
кataok $\eta \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \iota$. ' Habitations, shelters.' Birds do not live in nests. In this verse more thau in any other we see the poverty and homelcssness of the latter part of the Iord's ministry (2 Cor. viii. 9). Perhaps St Luke placed the incident here as appropriate to the rejection of our Lord's wish to rest for the night at En Gannim. Was this Scribe prepared to follow Jesus for His own sake alone?
 ancient, but groundless tradition (Clem. Alex. Strom. 1II. 4, § 25), says that this was Philip. This mar was already a disciple (Matt. viii. 21). The request could hardly mean 'let me live at home till my father's death,' which would be too indefinite an offer; nor can it well mean that his father was lying unburied, for in that case the disciple would hardly have been among the crowd. Perhaps it meant 'let me go and give a farewell funeral feast, and put everything in order.' The man was bidden to be Christ's Nazarite (Num. vi. 6, 7).
 to，＇\＆c．Falg．dimitte mortuos sepelire mortwos suos，i．e．let the spiri－ tually dead（Eph．ii．1；John V．24，25）bury their physically dead． ＂Amandus est generator，sed praeponendus est Creator，＂Aug．The general lesson is that of xiv． 26 ．

8uáyүє入入．＇Publish abroad．＇Vulg．annuntia．Here alone in this connexion．
 and the allusion closely resemble the call of Elisha（ 1 K ．xix．20）．But the call of Jesus is more pressing and momentous than that of Elijah． ＂The East is calling thee，thou art looking to the West，＂Aug． Neither Elijah nor Elisha is an adequate example for the duties of the Kingdom of Heaven，of which the least partaker is，in knowledge and in privileges，greater than they．
c；тотáfardal．Valg．renuntiari is used in this sense in xiv．33； Acts xviii．18，21； 2 Cor．ii．13；Mk．vi， 46.
cis ròv otcov．＂Let me go to my house，and there bid farewell．＇This mixture of two constructions is a common form of breviloquentia．
 40．See Winer，p．516，and my Brief Greeh Syntax，\＆ 89.
 make straight furrows must not look about him（Hesiod，Works and Days，II．60）．The light ploughs of the East，easily overtarned，require constant attention．
eüleros．＇Well－adapted．＇By way of comment see xvii．32；Ps． lxxviii． 9 ；Heb．x．38，39．The general lesson of the section is， Give yourself wholly to your duty，and count the cost，xiv．25－33． Christ cannot accept＇a conditional service．＇Neither hardship，nor berearement，nor home ties must delay us from following Him．Is it more than $a$ curious accident that the last four incidents illustrate the peculiarities of the four marked human temperaments－the Cholerio （51－56）；the Sanguine（57，58）；the Melancholic（59，60）；the Phlegmatic（61，62）？

## CHAPTER X．

 おivo． BD ，Vulg．de．

19．$\delta E \delta \omega \times$ ．NBCL，Ti．W．H．＇I have given．＇The Rec．$\delta \delta \delta \omega \mu$ ， ＇I am giving．＇
 They were perhaps omitted in some mss．because of ₹． 23 ，
 but neither oceurs again in N. T. Eopvßoî $\mu a, ~ o c c u r s ~ i n ~ M a t t . ~ i x . ~ 23 . ~$ Mk. v. 39. Acts xx .10.



## Of. X. 1-24. The Mission of the Seventr.

1. $\mu e \tau \dot{\alpha}$ סk $\tau a \hat{1} \tau a$, i.e. after finally leaving Galilee, and starting on His great Peraean progress.
 in Acts i. 24.

 two (BDM, \&c.). The number had evident reference to the Elders of Moses (Num. xi. 16), where there is the same variation; the numbers of the Sanhedrin; and the Jewish belief (derived from Gen. x.) as to the number of the nations of the world. It is true that no special allusion is here made to the Gentiles. The references to Elim with its 12 wells and 70 palm-trees are mere plays of allegoric fancy. Doubtless, as Ewald says, many of these 70 may have been among the 120 of Acts i. 15.
dud Sío. The same merciful provision that we see in the brotherpairs of the Twelve.
 may have been all the more necessary beoause hitherto He had worked less in the Transjordanic regions.
of. Ingrammatical strictness we should have had ot, 'whither,' but the use of edverbs of rest with verbs of motion is very common. Comp. тồ and $\epsilon \in \epsilon \hat{1}$, in Lk. xii. 17, 18.


expaidy. The word literally means 'drive forth,' and though it nas lost its full force implies urgency and haste. See similar uses of the word in John x. 4, Matt. ix. 38, Mk. i. 12.
2. ن́тáyete. For this word, which occurs frequently in the other Synoptists, St Lake generally substitates the more classical mopevétac.
© © apvas. Comp. 'As sheep,' Matt. x. 16 (of the Twelve). The slight variation must not be pressed as though it meant that the 12 were $\tau \in \lambda \epsilon 6 \tau \epsilon \rho o u s$ (Euthym.). The impression meant to be conveyed is merely that of simplicity and defencelessness. A tradition, as old as Clemens Romanus, tells us that St Peter had asked (on the previous ocoasion), 'But how then if the wolves should tear the lambs?' and that Jesus replied, 'Let not the lambs fear the wolves when the lambs
are once dead,' and added the words in Matt. x. 28. There is no reason to doubt this interesting tradition, which may rank as one of the most certain of the 'unwritten sayings' (ä $\gamma \rho a \phi \mathrm{a}$ dá $\mu a \pi a$ ) of our Lord.
3. $\mu \grave{\eta} . . . \beta a \lambda \lambda$ árriov. Compare ix. 1-6, and notes; Matt. x. 1-42. The double $\lambda$ is best supported by the mss. though $\lambda$ is more correct. St Luke alone uses this word (xii. 33; xxii. 35, 36). St Mark the Oriental 「 $\omega$ in $\nu, ~ ' g i r d l e . ' ~$
 they were not to carry a second pair of sandals.
 urgency ( 2 K iv. 29), and partly explicable by the length and loitering elaborateness of Eastern greetings (Thomson, Land and Book, II. xxiv.).
 of the Sick. God's messengers should begin first with prayers for peace, not with objurgations. Bengel.
4. viòs ciptivns. 'A son of peace,' i.e. a man of peaceful heart. Comp. for the phrase xvi. 8, xx. 36; John xvii. 12; Eph. 7. 6, 8. vids d $\rho \gamma \hat{\eta} \mathrm{g}$, Eph. ii. 3. रeєvpis, Matt. xxiii. 15. It is a Hebraism. Acts iv. 36.
 ing is the same and the form is a possible one, since the 2 nd aor. pass. in Chobotem is $\epsilon \pi a \eta y$. Comp. Rev. xiv. 13 (AG, La. \&e.).
 own bosom," Ps. xxxv. 13.
5. 'iv aủrî vin oixia. Not 'in the same house' as in A. V. (which
 fond of the collocation auj $\tau \hat{\eta}$ for the $z^{2} \kappa i v p \tau \hat{\eta}$ of the other Evangelists. The perf. means that the kingdom 'has drawn near,' and therefore 'is near.'

 give.
 1 Tim. v. 18. Doubtless he may have been aware that our Lord had used it, but the saying was probably proverbial.
 messages resembled His first preaching, Matt. iv. 17.
6. Kal $\tau$ òv кovloptóv, Acts xiii, 49-51, xviii. 5-7.
$\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} v$. In late Greek $\pi \lambda \lambda_{\eta} \boldsymbol{y}$ (in the sense of caeterum 'only, nevertheless, ${ }^{\prime}$ ) is often followed immediately by a fnite verb. This construction is rare and chiefly poetio in classioal Greek.
 day' are left vague. They may refer primarily to approaching national
judgmeats; ultimately to the Great Day. By the prnishment of the city we must of course understand the punishment of its inhabitants. The great principle which explains these words may be found in xii. 47, 48 (compare Heb. ii. 2, 3, x. 28, 29).
7. oval ool Xopagely. The mention of this town is very interesting because this is the only occasion (Matt. xi. 21) on which the name occors, and we are thus furnished with a very striking proof of the fragmentariness of the Gospels. The very site of Chorazin was long unknown. It has now been discovered at Keraseh, the rains of an old town on a wady, two miles inland from Tel Ham (Oaperzaum). At a little distance these ruins look like mere rude heaps of basaltic stones. Etiam periere ruinae.

Bך $\theta$ бaï\&í. See on ix. 10.
ai $\delta$ vvá $\mu \mathrm{ks}$. Literally, 'the pawers.'
 had I sent thee unto them they would have hearkened unto thee," Ezek. iii. 6; comp. James iv. 17.
ka日 $\dot{\mu} \mu$ vol. This is a constructio ad sensum. The participle does not agree with the fem. name of the towns but refers to their inhabitants.
 the 'intermediate state' (Hades) of human souls. The guilty inhabitants of these cities had received their temporal punishment (Gen. xix. 24, $25)$; bat the final judgment was yet to come.
15. кal ov̀ Kaqapvaoúp. Christ's "own city."
 spiritual privileges? "Admitted into a holier sanctuary, they were guilty of a deeper sacrilege." A better reading (for $\dot{\eta} \ldots, \dot{v} \psi \omega \theta \hat{\epsilon} \hat{\sigma} \sigma$ ) is $\mu \dot{\eta} \dot{u} \psi \omega \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \eta$; "Shalt thou be exalted to heaven? Thou shalt be thrust down...!" It must however be admitted that $\mu \eta$ may have originated by homoeteleuton from the final $\mu$ of Capernatam.
 Hades. The curse mast be understood in a general and national sense. The bright little town on the hill by the lake with its marble synagogues doubtless expected to be the prosperous capital of Galilee. Its fate was far different. When our Lord uttered this woe these cities on the shores of Genuesareth were populous and prospering; now they are desolate heaps of ruins in a miserable land. The inhabitants who lived thirty years longer may have recalled these woes in the unspeakable horrors of slanghter and conflagration which the Romans then inflicted on them. It is immediately after the celebrated description of the loveliness of the Plain of Gennesareth that Josephus gaes on to tell of the shore strewn with wrecks and putrescent bodies, "insomuch that the misery was not only an object of commiseration to the Jews, but even to those that hated them and had been the authors of that misery," Jos. B.J. iII, 10, §8. For fuller details see my Life of Christ, uI. 101 sq.
18. deeret. Literally, "setting at nought." For comment on the verse see 1 Thess. iv. 8; Matt. xriii. 5 ; John xii. 44.
 fully recorded than that of the Twelve.
кal тà סauóva. 'Even the demons.' Plura in effectu experti sunt quam Jesus expresserat. Bengel. They had been bidden (vs. 9) to ${ }^{\text {" }}$ heal the sick;" bat these are the only healings that they mention.
ข่тоті́тбєтаи. 'Are being subjected.'
 'I was observing Satan as lightning fallen from heaven,' Is. xiv. 915. We find similar thoughts in John xvi. 11, xii. 31, "Now shall the
 not cadentem but lapsum. The metaphor is a picturesque one, and the mixture of the imperfect ( $\epsilon \theta \epsilon \omega \rho o v v$ ) with the aorist ( $\pi \epsilon \sigma \delta \nu \tau a$ ) seems to imply the two thoughts that Christ watched-followed with His gaze-Satan's fall from the zenith, and saw him lying where he had fallen. The fall implies the conception of Satan as "prince of the
 Comp. Rev. xii. 9; Eph. ii. 2, vi. 12.

Tijv Egovaiav. 'The authority.'
 So far as the promise was literal, the only fact of the lind referred to in the N.T. is Acts xxviii. 3-5. In legend we have the story of St John saved from the poison, which is represented in Christian art as a viper escaping from the cup (Jameson, Sacred and Legendary Art, I. 159). But it may be doubted whether the meaning was not predominantly spiritual, as in Gen. iii, 15 ; Rom. xri. 20 ; Ps. xci. 13 ; Ls. xi. 8. For the metaphorical application of 'serpents' and 'scorpions' see iii. 7; Rev. ix. 5.

 by 'but' means 'not so much...as that.' "Nolite tam propterea laetari...quam potius." This idiom, which is very important to observe in the interpretation of Seripture, is found in Acts $V .4$ (not so nueh to man, as to God), 1 Cor. xv. 10 (not I alone, bat the grace of God with me), \&c. See Winer, p. 621.
ève'ypartau $\dot{\text { en }} \boldsymbol{v}$ roîs oupavoits. 'Have been recorded in the heavens'. On this 'Book of God,' or 'Book of Life,' see Ex. xxxii, 32; Ps. lxix. 28; Dan. xii. 1; Phil. iv. 3 ; Heb. xii. 23; Rev. xiii. 8, xx. 12, xxi. 27. Such a record is the opposite to being "written in the earth," Jer. xvii. 13. The reading $\dot{\text { ér }} \mathrm{\gamma} \dot{\alpha} \phi \eta$ would point to the single fact of their names being inscribed; $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma^{\prime} \mathcal{\gamma} p a \pi \tau a$, , to their standing permanently recorded. Comp. Esth. x. 2.
21. गंya入入ıácato. 'Exulted,' a much' stronger word than the 'rejoiced' of the A.V.; and most valnable as recording one element-
the element of exultant joy-in the life of our Lord, on which the Evangelists touch so rarely as to have originated the legend, preserved in the spurious letter of P. Lentulus to the Senate, that He wept often, but that no one had ever seen Him smile. The word
 of emotion.
 ment to Thee." For the verb see Rom. xiv. 11. It has this sense often in the IXX. It also means 'to confess,' Matt. iii. 6, \&c.
 between the 'wisdom of the world,' which is 'foolishness with God,' and the 'foolishness of the world,' which is 'wisdom with God,' on which St Paul also was fond of dwelling, 1 Cor. i. 21, 26 ; 2 Cor. iv. 3, 4 ; Rom. i. 22. For similar passages in the Gospels see Matt. xvi. 17, xviii. 3, 4.
$v \eta \pi$ loss, i.e. to all who have "the young lamb's heart amid the full-grown flocks"-to all innocent childike souls, such as are often those of the truly wise. Genius itself has been defined as "the heart of childhood taken up and matured into the power of manhood." God, says Gess, met the pride of intellect by blindness, and rewarded truth-loving simplicity by revelation.
val $\delta \pi a \tau t \rho$. The nom. is here used in a vocative sense, as in viii.
 especially the case with the imperative, as in xii. 32, $\mu \dot{\eta} \phi \circ \beta 0 \hat{0} \tau \dot{d}$ $\mu \kappa \kappa \partial \nu$ пol $\mu \nu t o y$. The meaning is not however exactly the same as in the $\pi \dot{\alpha} \tau \epsilon \rho$ at the beginning of the verse, but 'Thou who art my Father.'

22. $\pi \mathfrak{a} v \tau a . \mu o t . . . \pi a p \in 66 \theta \eta$ vimó. 'Were delivered to me by,' cf. xz . 14. This entire verse is one of those in which the teaching of the Synoptists (Matt. xxviii. 18) comes into nearest resemblance to that of St John, which abounds in such passages (John i. 18, iii. 35, v. 26, 27, vi. 44, 46 , צiv. $6-9$, xvii. 1,2 ; 1 John v. 20 ). In the same way we find this view assumed in St Paul's earlier Epistles (e.g. 1 Cor. xy. 24, 27), and magnificently developed in the Epistles of the Captivity (Phil. ii. 9 ; Eph. i. 21, 22).
 Marcion- ${ }^{2} \gamma \omega$-is as ancient as Justin Martyr, the Clementines, \&e.
tis totu $\delta$ vidas...tis éarty í matip. The periphrasis seems to express the same as the $\epsilon \pi / \gamma t \square \omega \dot{\sigma} \epsilon \epsilon$, 'fully knows,' of St Matt., and both may be (as Godet saggests) modes of representing the Aramaic idiom י"
23. $\mu$ ака́рьь ol ${ }^{\prime} \phi \theta a \lambda \mu о$. Comp. Matt. xiii. 16.
 Jacob, Gen. zlix. 18 ; Balaam, Num. xxiv. 17; Dapid, 2 Sam. xxiii. $1-5$.

каі ойк єโ̊av. John viii. 56; Eph. jii. 5, 6; Heb. xi. 13.
"Save that each little voice in turn Some glorious truth proclaims
What sages would have died to learn, Now taught by cottage dames." Eeble.

## 25-37. The Parable of the Good Samaritan.

25. voutkós tis. A teacher of the Mosaic Law-differing little from a scribe, as the man is called in M. xii. 28. The same person may have had both functions-that of preserving and that of expounding the Law.
 (iv. 12); but the purpose does not seem to have been so deliberately hostile as in xi. 54.

тi тor answer there also given. It is interesting to compare it with the answer given by St Paul after the Ascension, Acts xyi. 30, 31. Had the 'lawyer' known what 'eternal life' is (John iii. 36, v. 24, vi. 47, xvii. $37, \& c$.) he would have framed his question very differently.
26. $\pi \hat{\omega} \mathrm{s}$ dvayเขต́øкєьs; The phrase resembled one in constant use among the Rabbis ( The lawyer deserved to get no other answer becanse his question was not sincere. The very meaning and mission of his life was to teach this answer.
 Law in Deut. vi. 5, x. 12 ; Lev. xix. 18.

Év ö $\lambda_{n}$ tî $\delta$ savola vov. Only three substantives are used in the Hebrew and the LXX., but the latter translate 2 , 'heart,' by diavoia, 'understanding.' St Mark also has the four substantives, but uses oúveas for duavola. St Matthew has three (xxii, 37). Godet.

кail tòv $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma$ tov $\sigma$ ov $\mathbf{\omega}_{\mathbf{S}}$ бeavtóv. Hillel had given this part of the answer to an inquirer who similarly came to put him to the test, and as far as it went, it was a right answer (Rom. xiii. 9; Gal. v. 13, 14; Jas. ii. 8); but it became futile if left to stand alone, without the first Commandment.
28. óp日जिs diteкpi日qs. Comp. "If thou doest well, shalt thou not be accepted?" Gen. iv. 7; "which if a man do, he shall live in them," Lev, xviii. 5; Rom. x. 5; but see Gal. iii. 21, 22.
toûto moitc. As the passage from Deuteronomy was one of those inscribed in the phylacteries (little leather boxes containing four texts in their compartments), which the scribe wore on his forehead and wrist, it is an ingenious conjccture that our Lord, as He spoke, pointed to one of these.
 men"-a thing which the Pharisees were ever prone to do, xvi. 15. He felt that Christ's answer involved a censure and therefore wished to justify his question.
tis évtiv rov $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma$ iov; No doubt the meaning is who is my neighbour? but as $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma t o y$ is an adv. the omission of the article is unusual and not easily explained. He wants his moral duties to be labelled and defined with the Talmulic precision to which ceremonial duties had been reduced.
 a Jew.
 (Jos. B. J. IF. 8, § 3), haunted by marauding Bedawin, and known as 'the bloody way' (A dommim, Jerome, De loc. Hebr. and on Jer. iii. 2). Some explain this name by the dark red colour of the overhanging rocks. The "went down" is strictly accurate, for the road descends very rapidly from Jerusalem to the Jordan valley. The distance is about 21 miles. For Jericho, see xix. 1.
 is a classical one, Hdt. vi. 105, \&c. Palestine was notorious for these plundering Arabs. Herod the Great had rendered real service to the country in extirpating them from their haunts, but they constantly sprung up again, and even the Romans could not effectually put them down (Jos. Antt. xx. 6, § 1; D. J. xi. 12, §5). On this very road an English baronet-Sir Frederic Henniker-was stripped and murdered by Arab robbers in 1820. "He was probably thinking of the Parable of the Samaritan when the assassin's stroke laid bim low,' Porter's Palestine, I. 151.
$\pi \lambda \eta \gamma d \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ étitévtes. 'Laying blows on him.'
 alive.' So far as the robbers were concerned, it was a mere accident that any life was left in him. The $\pi v \gamma \chi^{\alpha} y_{0 V \tau a}$ with one graphic touch expresses the absolute indifference of these bandits to so small a matter as his living or dying.
31. кatd $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \gamma$ ккиplav. 'By coincidence,' i.e. at the same time. The word 'chance' ( $\tau$ ' $\chi \eta$ ) does not occur in Scriptare. The nearest ap-
 omitted in vs. 30). 'Chance,' to the sacred writers, as to the most thoughtful of the Greeks, is 'the deughter of Forethought:' it is "God's unseen Providence, by men nickuamed Chance" (Fuller). "Many good opportunities work under things which seem fortuitous." Bengel. The rare word avyкupla is, like others used by St Luke, found chiefly in the writings of Hippocrates.
ípeús tis. His official đuties at Jerusalem were over, and he was on his way back to his home in the priestly city of Jericho. Perhaps the uselessness of his external service is implied. In superstitious attention to the letter, he was wholly blind to the spirit, Deut. xxii. 1-4. See 1 John iii. 17. He was selfishly afraid of risk, trouble, and ceremonial defilement, and, since no one was there to know of his conduct, he was thus led to neglect the traditional kindness of Jews towards their own countrymen (Tec. Hist. v. 5; Juv. xiv. 103,
104), as well as the positive rules of the Law (Deut. xxii. 4) and the Prophets (Is. lviii. 7).
 because there was another road to Jericho which was safer, and therefore more frequently used.
 of the Levite, which was even baser than the dainty neglect of the pricst. Perhaps the priest had been aware that a Levite was behind him, and left the trocble to him: and perhaps the Levite said to himself that he need not do what the priest had not thought fit to do. By choosing Gal. iii. 16-23 as the Epistle to be read with this Gospel (13th Sunday after Trinity) the Church indicates her view that this Parable implies the failure of the Jewish Priesthood and Law to pity or remove the misery and sin of man.
33. इauapltys tis. A Samaritan is thas selected for high eulogy -though the Samaritans had so ignominiously rejected Josus (ix. 53).
ódev́шv. He was not 'coming down' as the Priest and Levite were from the Holy City and the Temple, but from the unauthorised worship of alien Gerizim.
$\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sigma \pi \lambda a \gamma x \nu i \sigma \theta \eta$. The acrist implies that his pity was instantaneous. There was no looking on and weighing considerations, as in the case of the calculating Levite. He thereby shewed himself, in spite of his heresy and ignorance, a better man than the orthodox priest and Levite; and all the more so because he was an 'alien' (see on xvii. 18), and "the Jews have no dealings with the Sarnaritans" (John iv. 9), and this very wounded man would, under other circumstances, have shrunk from the touch of the Samaritan as from pollution. Yet this 'Cuthacan'-this 'worshipper of the pigeon'-this man of a race which was accused of misleading the Jews by false fire-signals, and of defiling the Temple with human bones-whose testimony would not have been admitted in a Jewish court of law-with whom no Jew would so much as eat (Jos. antl. xx. 6, § 1, xyini. 2, § 2; B. J. n. 12, §3)-shews a spontaneous and perfect pity of which neither Priest nor Levite had been remotely capable. The fact that the Jews had applied to our Lord Himself the opprobrious name of "Samaritan" (John viii. 48) is one of the indications that a deeper meaning lies under the beautiful obvious significance of the Parable. One main difference between the Samaritan and the ecclesiastics who had gone before him was that his thoughts were of mercy and theirs of sacrifice (Matt. ix. 13).
34. $e^{2}+x^{t} \omega v$ thatov кal oivov. The ordinary remedies of the day. Is. i. 6 ; Mk. vi. 13; Jas. v. 14. See Excursus VII. The present participle with the aorist verb implies that he kept pouring the oil and wine on (not in, A. V.) the wounds while he bound them up. See Plin. H. N. xxix. 9; xxxi. 7.
 bour of 'lifting him up,' and then the good Samaritan walked by his side.
 кeitov. See on ii. 7. There the word is кará $\lambda v \mu a$, a mere khan or caravanserai. Perhaps this inn was at Bahurim. In this and the next verse a word or two sufices to shew the Samaritan's sympathy, helpfulness, self-denial, generosity, and perseverance in kindliness.
 like all oriental travellers, start with the actual dawn. Comp. exi $\tau \dot{d}$

Éxßalúv. Literally, "throwing out" of his girdle.
 days. The Parable lends itself to the broader meaning, which sees the state of mankind wounded by evil passions and spiritual enemies; left unhelped by systems of sacrifice and ceremonial (Gal. iii. 21); pitied and redeemed by Christ (Is. Ixi. 1), and left to be provided for until His return by spiritual ministrations in the Church. But to see in the "two pence" any specific allusion to the Old and New Testaments, or to 'the two sacraments,' or to see in 'the beast of burden' Christ's body, and in the 'landlord' the Bishop, is to push to extravagance the elaboration of details.
tai rav8oxec. The word occurs here only in the N.T., and the fact that in the Talmad the Greek word for 'an inn with a host' is adopted, seems to shew that the institution had come in with Greek customs. In earlier and simpler days the open hospitality of the East excluded the necessity for anything but ordinary khans.
${ }_{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\gamma}{ }^{\prime}$. The expression of the $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \gamma \boldsymbol{w}^{\prime}$ and its emphatic position shew that it is meant to imply 'come exclusively to me for payment. Do not trouble this poor wounded traveller who has lost his all.' There is therefore in the word a deep theological significance. Our wounded Humanity can offer nothing of its own to God.
36. $\pi \lambda_{\eta} \sigma \tau_{0}$
37. tò "̀̇eos. 'The pity.' By this poor periphrasis the lawyer avoids the shock to his own prejudices, which would have been involved in the hated word, 'the Samaritan.' "He will not name the Samaritan by name, the baughty hypocrite." Lather.
$\mu \epsilon \tau^{\prime}$ av́cov̂. An unclassical use of $\mu \in \tau^{\prime}$. The recipient of the act is here (inaccurately) regarded as a partner in it. The use of $\mu \in \tau$ is extended in later and modern Greek. Winer, p. 471.
mopevor кat oì roifl $\delta \mu o l w s$. The general lesson is that of the Sermon on the Mount, Matt. v. 44. The Scribe had looked for a scholastic, theologically accurate definition of the word "neighbour," such as a Pharisuic Rabbi would have furnished to his pupils. Our Lord never gave scholastic or theological answers, but shews him how he could make anyone his neighbour.

38-42. The Sisiers of Betiany.
 and the expression "a certain woman" are obvious traces of a tendency
to reticence about the family of Bethany which we find in the Synoptists (Matt. xxvi. 6; Mk. xiv. 3). It was doubtless due to the danger which the family incurred from their residing in the close vicinity of Jerusalem, and therefore of "the Jews," as St John always calls the Pharisees, Priests, and raling classes who opposed our Lard. By the time that St John wrote, after the destruction of Jerusalem, all need for such reticence was over. It is mere matter of conjecture whether 'Simon the leper' was the father of the family, or whether Martha was his widow; nor can Lazarus be identified with the gentle and holy Rabbi Eliezer of the Talmud. This narrative clearly belongs to a period just before the winter Feast of Dedication, because Bethany is close to Jerusalem. Its introduction at this point by St Luke (who alone preserves it, see Introd. p. 27) is due to subjective gronping, and probably to the question "what shall I do?" vs. 25.
 shews that Mary too, in her way, was no less anxious to give Jesus a fitting reception. Here, in one or two lines, we have a most clear sketch of the coutrasted character of the two sisters, far too subtly and indirectly acoordant with what we learn of them in St John to be due to anything but the harmony of truth. This is one of the incidents in which the Evangelist shews such consummate psychologic skill and insight that he is ensbled by a few touches to set before us the most distinet types of character.

ท้̣кover tòv $\lambda$ ojov av่тoû. 'Was listening to His discourse.'
40. $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon \sigma \pi \hat{a} \tau 0 ~ \pi \epsilon \rho \mathrm{pl} \pi \rho \lambda \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu$ Stakovlav. The word for "cumbered" literally means 'was being dragged in different directions,' i.e. was distracted ( 1 Cor. vii. 35). She was anxious to give her Lord a most hospitable reception, and was vexed at the contemplative humility which she regarded as slothfulness. The occurrence of $\dot{d} \varepsilon \epsilon \rho \sigma \pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \omega 5$, $\mu \epsilon \rho \iota \mu \nu \hat{q}, ~ \epsilon \dot{y} \pi \rho \delta \sigma \epsilon \delta \rho o \nu$ in 1 Cor. vii. 34,35 seems to shew that St Paul had orally heard this narrative.
 see in this inimitable touch the little petulant outburst of jealonsy in the loving, busy matron, as she hurried in with the words, "Why is Mary sitting there doing nothing?"
$\mu \in$ кarautev. The word means 'left me alone in the middle of my work' to come and listen to you.
 the undertone of 'It is no use for me to tell her.' Doubtless, had she been less 'fretted' ( $\theta_{0}$ opußdyn), she would have felt that to leave her alone and withdraw into the background while this eager hospitality was going on was the kindest and most unselfish thing which Mary conld do.
41. Mápөa Mápөa. The repeated name adds traditional tenderness to the rebuke, as in xxii. 31; Acts ix. 4.
 carefulness," 1 Cor. vii. 32; Matt. vi. 25. The words literally mean,
'Thou art anxious and bastling.' Her inward solicitude was shewing itself in outward hastiness.
42. tròs $8 \frac{1}{\text { equrtv }}$ xpeia. The context should sufficiently have excluded the very bald, commonplace, and unspiritual meaning which has been attached to this verse, -that only one dish was requisite, or that only one person was wanted to work in the kitchen. Clearly the lesson conveyed is the same as in Matt. vi. 33, xvi. 26, even if our Lord's first reference was the lower one. The various readings 'but there is need of few things,' or 'of few things or of one' ( $\$ \mathrm{~B}$ various versions, \&c.) seem to have risen from the notion that even for the simplest meal more than one dish would be required. This, however, is not the case in the simple meals of the East.

Mapia үáp. The $\gamma \grave{a} \rho$ implies 'Nor can I rebake her; for she, \&c.'
$\mu$ ерi $\delta$ a. 'Portion' (as of a banquet, Gen. xliii. 34, LXX.; John
 of the portion is such that, \&c.
 tions as 'indefectible grace' here, is to ase the narrative otherwise than was intended. The general meaning is that of Phil. i. 6; 1 Pet. i. 5. It has been usual with Roman Catholic and other writers to see in Martha the type of the active, and in Mary of the contemplative disposition, and to exalt one above the other. This is not the point of the narrative, for both dispositions may and ought to be combined as in St Paul and in St John. The gentle reproof to Martha is aimed not at her hospitable activity, but at the 'fret and fuss,' the absence of repose and calm, by which it was accompanied; and above all, at the tendency to reprobate and interfere with excellence of a different kind.

## CHAPTER XI.

2. $\pi$ átep. This is the reading of $\mathbb{N B L} \mathrm{Ti}$. W.H. $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\delta} \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ rôs oujoavois is read by ACD La.


 These additions may be from Matt. vi. 9, 10.
 omitted by NBDL Ti.
49-51. These verses were arbitrazily omitted by Marcion,

 tions.
3. There are many variations and glosses in this verse owing to its pecaliarity.
 piñ $\omega \sigma \boldsymbol{\nu}$ aùzovi.

Cif. xi. 1-13. The Lord's Prayer. Persigtence in Prayer.
 better order is 'as he was in a certain place, praying.' The extreme vagueness of these expressions shews that St Lake did not possess a more definite note of place or of time; but if we carefully compare the parallel passages of Matt. xii, 22-50, xy. 1-20; Mk. iii. 22-35, it becomes probable that this and the next chapter are entirely occopied with the incidents and teachings of one great day of open and decisive rupture with the Pharisees shortly before our Lord ceased to work in Galilee, and that they do not belong to the period of the journey through Peraea. This great day of confliet was marked (i) by the prayer of Jesus and His teaching the disciples what and how to pray; (2) by the healing of the dumb demoniac; (3) by the invitation to the Pharisee's house, the deadly dispute which the Pharisees there originated, and the terrible denunciation consequently evoked; (4) by the sudden gathering of a multitude, and the discourses and incidents of chapter xii. For further details and elucidations I must refer to the Life of Christ.
mporevx $\boldsymbol{q}_{\mu \epsilon v o v . ~ P r o b a b l y ~ a t ~ e a r l y ~ d a w n, ~ a n d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ s t a n d i n g ~}^{\text {a }}$ attitude adopted by Orientals.
 prayer taught by St John has perished. Terrena caelestibus cedunt, Tert.: John iii. 30. It was common for Jewish Rabbis to deliver such forms to their disciples, and a comparison of them (e.g. of "the 18 Benedictions") with the Lord's Prayer is deeply instructive.
 already been enshrined in the Sermon on the Mount (Matt. vi. 9-13), but it was now more formally delivered as a model. Yarious parallels for the different petitions of the Lord's Prayer have been adduced from the Talmud, nor would there be anything strange in our Lord thus stamping with His sanction whatever was holiest in the petitions which His countrymen had learnt from the Spirit of God. But note that (1) the parallels are only to some of the clauses (e.g. not to the fourth and fifth); (2) they are most distant and imperfect; (3) there can be no certainty as to their priority, since even the earliest portion of the Talmud (the Mishna) was not committed to writing till the second century after Christ; (4) they are nowhere blended into one incomparable petition. The transcendent beauty and value of the lessons in the Lord's Prayer arise from (i) the tone of holy confidence: -it teaches us to approach God as our Father (Rom. viii. 15), in love as well as holy fear; (ii) its absolute nnselfishness:-it is offered in
the plural, not for ourselves only, bat for all the brotherhood of man; (iii) its entire spirituality: of its seven petitions, one only is for any earthly boon, and that only for the simplest; (iv) its brevity and absence of all vain repetitions (Eccl. v. 2) ; (v) its simplicity, which requires not learning, but only holiness and sincerity for its universal comprehension. For these reasons the Fathers called it, "the Epitome of the Gospel' and 'the pearl of prayers.'
mátep. There is no prajer so addressed in the O. T. and in Is. lxiii. 16 the application of the title is general, not individual.
[ $\mathfrak{j} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ o èv rois oúpavois.] Ps. xi. 4. This clanse, as well as "Thy will be done, as in heaven, so also upon the earth," and "but deliver us from the evil," are wanting in some mss., and may be additions from the text of St Matthew. If so, the prayer would stand thus: 0 Father! Hallowed be Thy name. Thy kingdom come. Give us day by day our daily bread. And forgive us our sins for we also forgive every one that is indebted to us. And lead us not into temptation. The variations shew (as Meyer says) that the prayer was not slavishly used as a formula by the Apostolic Charch; but rather as a model. Perhaps St Luke sollowed a shorter and earlier oral tradition.
 Holy, Holy" is the worship of the Seraphim (Is. vi. 3). The 'name' of God is used for all the attributes of His Being.
 with the first aorist, we find such forms as $\bar{\epsilon} \lambda \theta \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega, \notin \lambda \theta a t e$, Esth, v. 4 (LXX.). Prov. ix. 5. There seems to have been an early gloss, or reading, "Thy Holy Spirit come upon us, and purify us" (mentioned by St Gregory of Nazianzus).
 Christ, John v. 30, ทi. 38.
[ $\omega$ s $\bar{\epsilon} v$ oupav $\hat{\varphi}$.$] "Bless the Lord, ye his angels, that excel in$ strength, that do his commendments, hearkening unto the voice of his word, " Ps. ciii. 20.
 prayer (i) acknowledges that we are indebted to God for our sinuplest boons; (ii) asks them for all; (iii) asks them only day by day; and (iv) asks for no more, Prov. xxx. 8; John vi. 27. St Luke's version ( $\delta i \delta 00$ ) bringe out the continuity of the gift (Be giving day by day); St Matthew's ( $\delta 6$ s) its immediate need (Give to-day). The meaning of
 Excursus IV.; but that this prayer is primarily a prayer for needful earthly sustenance has been rightly understood by the heart of mankind. Some of the suggested renderings are 'to-morrow's bread' Meyer, following St Jerome who compared it to the Hebrew לחם טחר; 'bread to come,' or 'needful bread,' Maclellan; 'bread in sufficiency' De Wette; 'bread for our sustenance' Alford.
 Dr Plumptre notices, from Tyndale's version. St Matthew uses the
word 'debts,' which is implied in the following words of St Luke: "For indeed we ourselves remit to every one who oweth to us." Unforgiving, unforgiven, Matt. xviii. 34, 35 ; Eph. iv. 32; Col. iii. 13. The absence of any mention here of the Atonement or of Justification is, as Godet observes, a striking proof of the authenticity of the prayer. The rariations are, further, a striking proof that the Gospels are entirely independent of each other.
ádoptv. This form is used as though the verb were adto. Comp.
 requires less explanation then the aorist used by St Matthew.
 (John xvii, 15; hev. iii. 10), but we only yield to our temptations when we are "drawn away of our own lust and enticed" (James i. 14). But the temptations which God permits us are only human (ávopéntvoc), not abnormal or irresistible temptations, and with each temptation He makes also the way to escape (каi $\tau \bar{\eta} \nu \quad \sharp \kappa \beta a \sigma w, 1$ Cor. x. 13). We pray, therefore, that we may not be tried above what we are able, and this is defined by the following words: Our prayer is, Let not the tempting opportunity meet the too susceptible disposition. If the temptation comes, quench the desire; if the desire, spare us the temptation. See on iv. 2.

 it is true, would not necessitate this translation, but it seems to be rendered probable by the analogy of similar prayers among the Jews. The last three clauses for daily bread, forgiveness, and deliverance. cover the present, past, and future. "All the tones of the humanbreast which go from earth to heaven, sound here in their key-notes" (Stier). There is no doxology added. Even in St Matthew it is (almost certainly) a liturgical addition, and no real part of the Lord's Prayer.
 night to avoid the heat. Although idle repetitions in prayer are forbidden, persistency and importunity in prayer-wrestling with God, and not letting Him go until He has blessed us-are here distinctly taught (see xviii. I-8), as they also were in the acted parable of our Lord's apparent repulse of the Syro-Phoenician woman, Matt, xv. 27, 28.
kal $\operatorname{\epsilon l\pi } \mathrm{p}$. This is a sort of deliberative subjunctive following the future, which is also found sometimes in classical Greek, and is frequent in Homer.
tpeis áprovs. It would be a mistake to press the mere detail into allegorical inferences. It merely represents what the man requires (จ8. 8).
 held to excuse any lack of the primary Eastern virtue of hospitality. Allegorically we may see here the unsatisfied hunger of the soul, which wakens in the midnight of a sinful life.
7. кáкeivos. The construction is an anakoluthon, as though the
 which Lachmann reads $\dot{\epsilon} \rho \in \hat{i}$ following AD. There is a similar anacoluthon (due to the words in oratio directa) in Matt. vii. 9.
$\mu \mu^{\mu}$ ol kótovs mapexf. The answer is rough and discouraging. He does not say 'friend.' His phrase implies irritation. The details are of course not to be pressed. The parable is merely an illustration à fortiori.
 'shut for the night, and I do not mean to open it.'
тà $\pi a i \delta f(\alpha$, مov. My little children. The whole parable is exquisitely simple and graphic.
cis tilv koitplv. They have come into bed, and are now asleep in it. (Comp. $\epsilon l_{s}$ ot ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \nu$ єбтt Mk. ii. 1.)
oủ $\delta$ úvauac. Only a modified form for 'I will not.'
ávactás. The trouble of getting $u p$ is more than I can bear.
 would require $\mu \eta$ after $\epsilon l$ (since supposed conditions are necessarily subjective) were it not that the ou here belongs to the verb, the meaning of which it reverses. Comp. xvi. 31. el où dívatac Matt. xxvi. 42, $\varepsilon i$ ouk ${ }^{\prime} \chi \in \iota$ Rom. viii. 9, déc.

 'Avalסeta means 'shamelessness' (Vulg. improbitas), 'impudence,' i.e. unblushing persistence, which is not however selfis $h$, but that he may do his duty towards another. Is. lxii. 6, "Ye that make mention of the Lord, keep not silence, and give him no rest, till he establish, \&e." Abraham furnishes a grand example of this fearless persistence (Gen. xviii. 23-33). Archbishop Trench quotes the beautiful passage in Dante's Paradiso:

> "Regnum caelorum violenzia pate Da caldo amore e da viva speranza, \&c."
éyep $\theta$ és. Not merely half raising himself, or getting out of bed, as in vs. 7 (avacrás), but 'thoroughly aroused and getting up.'
örovv Xpátct. More than the three which he had asked for the bare supply of his wants.
9. кáyต̀ $\mathbf{u p i v} \lambda \in \boldsymbol{\lambda} \omega$. And I say to you. The argument is the $a$ minori ad majus which is sufficiently obvious in itself, but had been specially formulated by Hillel in his seven 'rules' (middoth) for the interpretation of Scripture.
 John xvi. 23. Doubtless these teachings were repented more than once to different listeners. God's unwillingness to grant is never more than in semblance, and for our good (Matt. xv. 28; Gen. xxxii. 28).
11. Tòv тat'fo. 'Whom of you as a father ?'
$\mu \mathfrak{\eta} . . \in \pi / \delta \omega \sigma \sigma \epsilon$ ．The construction is an anakoluthon，as though the sentence had begon＇If the son of any of you，\＆c．＇The word ém $\dot{\delta} \omega \dot{\sigma} \epsilon\llcorner$ means＋Will he go out of his way to give him？＇－i．e．will he venture to give him？The son asks for bread，fish，\＆o．，and the father gives something which looks like the thing asked for but is useless and pernicious．
 the mas．there are in the N．T．some instances of cod with the indic． See Winer，p． 369.

13．тоvŋpol íтápхоитєs．Whose whole condition is evil．The verb is stronger than if fures had been used，but Bengel presses the word too much when he calls it＂illustre testimonium de peccato originali．＂
ol8art．It is the tendency of Heilenistic Greek as of all later forms of language to substitute regular for irregular forms；but otsauev， of $\delta a r \epsilon$ ，and even ot $\delta a \sigma \iota$ ，are found in Aristophanes，Xenophon，\＆c．See Veitch，Greek Verbs，p． 189.
$\delta \mathcal{E}_{5}$ ouparov̂．Your Father in heaven will give you from heaven．
 this attraction by constructio praegnans see Col．iv． 16 т $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{y}$ ék


 children neither what is deadly，nor what is unfit for food，but the best of all gitts，Himself．When，in the legend，the Vision said to St Thomas Aquinas＂Bene scripsisti de me，Thoma；qualem mercedem tibi dabo？＂－the noble answer was＂non aliam nisi Te，Domine．＂

## 14－26．The djmb Devil．Blaspienty of the Pharisees．

14．fiv ék $\beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega v$ ．The continuous analytio imperfect perhaps im－ plies that this was like some of those later miracles of Christ in which the result was not instantly accomplished．
 dumbness in the man，comp．Mk．ix．17．If this incident be the same as in Matt．xii．22，the wretched sufferer seems to have been both dumb，and blind，and mad．
 See on i．5， 8,9 ．
c⿴囗⿱一一 14），were indeed common among the Jews（see on ix．49．Gfrörer， Jakrh．d．Heils，1．413），but apparently only in the simplest cases， and never when the possession was complicated with blindness and dumbness．
 this notable suggestion emanated from＂the Pharisees＂and，as St Mark （iii．20）adds，from＂the scribes which came from Jerusalem，＂i．e．the
spies who had been expressly sent down by the ruling hierarchs to dog the footsteps of Jesus, and counteract His influence. The explanation was too ingeniously wicked and cleverly plausible to come from the more unsophisticated Pharisees of Galilee.
$\beta \epsilon \omega\lceil f \beta$ ovi. The name and reading are involved in obscurity. In 2 Kings i. 3 we are told that Beelzebub was god of Ekron; and the LXX. and Josephus (Antt. Ix. 2, \& 1) understood the name to mean 'Iord of fies.' He may have been a god worshipped to avert the plagues of flies on the low sea-coast like Zens'A A $\delta$ pucos (Averter of flies) and Apollo 'I $\boldsymbol{I}_{\text {vert }}$ bos (Slayer of vermin). But others interpret the name to mean 'lord of dung,' and regard it as one of the insulting nicknames which the Jews from a literal readering of Ex. xxiii. 13 felt bound to apply to heathen deities. In this place perhaps Beelzebub is the true reading, and that means 'lord of the (celestial) habitation,' i.e. prince of the air, Eph. ii. 3. Possibly the oikoбєбтórys of Matt. x. 25 is an allusion to this meaning. In any case the charge was the same as that in the Talmad that Jesus wrought His miracles (which the Jews did not pretend to deny) by magic.
16. $\pi \in\llcorner$ átoveєs, i. e. wanting to try Him, to put Him to the test. The temptation was precisely analogous to that in the wilderness-a temptation to put forth a self-willed or arbitrary exertion of power for personal ends, see iv. 3, 12.

о $\boldsymbol{\eta} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \mathbf{i o v} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \xi$ oupavoú. They persuaded the people that His miracles were wrought by unhallowed arts, and that such arts would be impossible in a sign from heaven like the Pillar of Cloud, the Fire of Elijah, \&c. But our Lord refused their demand. Miracles were not to be granted to insolent unbelief; nor were they of the nature of mere prodigies. Besides it was His will to win convietion, not to enforce acceptance. This seens therefore to have been the one weapon of attack which the Pharisees found most effective against Him,-the one which most deeply wounded His spirit and finally drove Him away from the plain of Gennesareth (Mk. viii. 11, 12).
17. aưvஸ̂v тà Stavoท́rara. 'Their machinations.'
 ally in St Mark "How can Satan cast out Satan?"
kal oikos $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{l}$ oikoy. The words may be rendered 'and (in that case) house falleth against house.' Comp. Thuc. Ir. 84, paûs $\tau \in \boldsymbol{p}^{\boldsymbol{t}}$ трабє $\pi \iota \pi \tau$. The words might also be remdered "and house after house falls" (Bucer).
18. दl $8<$ кal... $\delta \iota \mu \mu \notin l \sigma \theta \eta$. 'But if Satan too is divided against himself.'

Öth. (I ask this) because, de. Comp. Mk. iii, 30.
 might be called the 'sons of the Pharisees' just as the youths in the Prophetic schools were called 'sons of the Prophets.' The reality of the Jewish exorcisms is not here necessarily admitted (Acts xix. 13). It was enough that the admitted pretensions to such powers among
the Pharisees justified this incontrovertible argumentum ad hominem. See the very remarkable account of an exorcism by Eleazar in the presence of Vespasisn in Josephus (Antt. vmi. 2, § 5). The immense superiority in wisdom and trathfulness of the Evangelist at once appears when we read this story.
 the magicians said unto Pharaoh, This is the finger of God," Ex. viii. 19.
 come.' The word and tense imply suddenness and surprise, although in some passages the force of $\phi \theta \dot{d} \mu \omega$ is weakened.
 'The strong' is Satan, Matt. xii. 29. Aù入ो means 'premises' or 'homestead,' Matt. xxvi. 3. The same metaphor is used of the Christian opposing Satan, as here of Satan opposing Christ, Eph, vi. 13. The world is here Satan's court-yard (John xii. 31, xvi. 11) and men his possessions ( 2 Tim . ii. 26).

22. LनXvpótєpos aủ $\tau 0 \hat{v}$. Christ, "baving spoiled principalities and powers, made a shew of them openly, triumphing over them in His Cross," Col. ii. 15.
tà $\sigma \kappa \hat{\lambda} \lambda a$. The spoils which Satan had won from the race of man. -Bengel.
 opposition ; see on ix. 51 (where we have the complementary truth).
$\sigma \kappa o p \pi$ lfet. An Ionic and Hellenistic verb for which the Attics use $\sigma \kappa \in \delta \dot{\alpha} v v_{\mu} \mu$.
 to frequent ruins (Berachôth, f. 3a) and the waterless desert, Tobit viii. 3; Baruch iv. 35; see on iv. 1. The goat "for Azazel" was driven into the wilderness.
intoîv divátavatv. Not to be in possession of some human soul, is (for them) to be in torment.
 the emancipated soul is that it is not occupied by a New Indweller. It has not tested the expulsive power of holy affections. It is 'lying

 figurative of complete wickeduess and (in this case) final possession.
 striking comment on the verse is furnished by Heb. vi. 4-6, $x .26-29$, and especially 2 Pet. ii. 20, 21. "Sin no more," said our Lord to the Impotent Man, "lest a worse thing come nato thee," John y. 14. The Parable was an allegory, not only of the awful peril of relapse after partial conversion, but also of the History of the Jews. The demon of idolatry had been expelled by the Exile; " but had returned
in the sevenfold virulence of letter-worship, formalism, exclusiveness, ambition, greed, hypoorisy and hate;" and on the testimony of Josephus himself the Jews of that age were so bad that their destruction seemed an inevitable retribation.
2t-32. Thi Womanly Exclamation. The Penif of Paivileges abosed.
 her voice out of the crowd. "Bene sentit," says Bengel, "sed muliebriter loquitur."
 women have blessed the Holy Virgin, and desired to be such a mother as she wasl What hinders them? Christ has made for us a wide way to this happiness, and not only women, but men may tread it-the way of obedience; this it is which makes such a mother, and not the throes of parturition." St Chrysostom. It is a curicus undesigned coincidence that (as we see from Matt. xii. 46) the Firgin had just arrived upon the scene.
 бovtes. 'Yea truly, but \&c.' In classical Greek $\mu \dot{\nu}$ oûv (or $\mu \in \nu o \hat{v} \nu \gamma \epsilon$, immo vero) never begins a sentence, as it does here and in Rom. ix. 20, x. 18. With the thought compare viii. 21. Our Lord invariably and systematically discouraged all attempt to exalt the merely human relationship or intercourse with Him, and taught that the Presence of His Spirit was to be a nearer and more blessed thing than knowledge of Him "after the flesh" (John ziv. 16; 2 Cor. v. 16).

кal $\phi u \lambda \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma o v \tau e s$. Hearing without obedience was more than valueless, Matt. vii. 21, xii. 50 ; llom. ii. 13.
29. etтadpoigopévwy. 'Were densely gathering.' The word occurs here alone in the N.T.
30. тoîs Nuvevitals $\sigma \eta \mu \epsilon i o v . J o n a h ~ i, ~ 17 . ~$
 2 Chron. ix. 1-12). The visit of this queen of Yemen made a deep impression on Oriental imagination, and is found in the Koran (xxvii., dc.) "diluted with nonsense and encambered with fables."
$\mu e \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \hat{u} v \mathrm{~d} v \delta \rho \omega \hat{v}$. Woman though she was she will rise with the men ( $\dot{a} \delta \delta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ not $\dot{a} \nu \theta \rho \omega \dot{\omega} \pi \omega \nu)$.
àkoûcou rìv ro申Lav इohopêvos. And also "to prove him with hard questions," 1 Kings x. 1.
$\pi \lambda$ eiov. 'Something more.'
32. aivסpes Nıveuital. Men of Nineveh or Ninevites; not 'the men of Nineveh.'
$\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon v o ́ \eta \sigma a v$ єis тd к кípuүpa 'I $\omega v \hat{a}$. "The people of Nineveh believed God, and proclaimed a fast, and put on sackeloth, from the greatest of them even to the least of them," Jonah iii. 5. The $\epsilon l_{5}$ is difficult to explain. Perhaps it is what is called 'the predicate of
destination," i.e. so as to adopt the teaching of Jonah, or it may be from analogy with such phrases as matevécy cis. Comp. Acts viii. 23.

33-36. The Inward Fitgit.

## 33. $\lambda$ र́xvov. A lamp.

cls kpuntrív. Into 'a crypt' or 'cellar.' If the крún $\tau \eta$ be thus regarded as a subst. (the Latin crypta) it should be paroxytone. Euthymius
 supposed it to be used by a Hebrew idiom for the neuter. See Winer, p. 298.
ímè $\boldsymbol{\text { òv }} \boldsymbol{\mu}$ بófor. 'Under the bushel'; i.e. the one in use in the house; and similarly ' $t$ he candlestick,' or rather, 'Tamp-stand.'
 the same as in Matt. v. 14; Mk. iv. 21; but the application in the next verse is different. The light is here used for inward enlightenment, not to be seen afar.
 the lamp of the body,' since the word is the same as in the last verse.
 'inward eye' of conscience; the 'illuminated eye of the heart,' Eph. i. 17, 18. "Single," i.e. nusophistioated; in its normal condition.
 xii. 8; Eeclus. xiv. 8-10. The inward eye should be spiritual; when it becomes carnal the man can no longer see that which is only spiritually diseerned, and he takes God's wisdom for foolishness, 1 Cor. ii. 14, iii. 18-20.
 aко́тєt $\mu \dot{\eta}$ shews the apprehengion that such is the case. Hermann on Soph. Aj. 272 says " $\mu \dot{\eta} \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau l$ verentis quidem est ne quid nunc sit, sed judicantis simul putare se ita esse ut veretur." Comp. Gal. ii. 2
 miaka. The light in us becomes darkness when we are "wise in our own conceit" (Prov. xvi. 12) which makes us think a way right when it is the way of death (Prov. xvi. 25), and makes us call evil good, and good evil, put darkness for light, and light for darkness, Is. v. 20, 21.
36. 中 $\omega \tau i\} \mathrm{T} \sigma$. The verse may be rendered literally, 'If then thy body be wholly illumined... it shall be illumined wholly as when the lamp with its bright shining illumines thee.' The spirit of man is the candle of the Lord. "God will light my candle," Ps. xviii. 28. "Thy word is a lantern unto my feet." In these words we catch en echo of those thoughts on the diffusiveness and divineness of light which are so fully developed in St John's Gospel (viii. 12).

> "Wär nicht das Auge sonnenhaft,
> Wie könnten wir das Licht erblicken?"

Goethe.

37-64. Tee Invitation of the Pharisee and the open Rupture.
37. 'pwtâ. 'Asked' (A. V. 'besought').
 an earlier, lighter, and more informal meal (ăptgrov).
 entered He sat down to table. The meal was merely some slight refreshment in the middle of the day, and probably our Lord was both suffering from hunger after His long hours of teaching, and was also anxious to save time.
 washing was necessary to eat a few dates or figs. At the chief meal of the day, where all dipped their hands into a common dish, it was a matter of cleanliness. But the duty of cleanliness had been turned by the Oral Law into a rigorous set of cumbersome and needless ablutions, each performed with certain elaborate methods and gesticulations (Mk. vii. 2, 3) which had nothing to do with religion or even with the Levitical Law, but only with Pharisaic tradition and the Oral Law. In the Shulchan Aruk, a book of Jewish Ritual, no less than twenty-six prayers are given with which their washings are accompanied. But all this was not only devoid of divine sanction, but had become superstitious, tyrannous, and futile. The Pharisee "marvelled" because he and his party tried to enforce the Oral Law on the people as even more sacred than the Written Law. The subject of ablutions was one which caused several of these disputes with Christ, Matt. xy. 19, 20. The Rabbi Akhibha would have preferred to die of thirst rather than neglect his ablutions, and the Talmud thought that a demon-called Schibta-sat on unwashen hands. Our Lord astonished the conventionalism of these religious teachers and their followers by shewing that what truly defiles a man is that which cometh from within-from the heart.
39. ขûv îpeîs oi $\Phi$ aptraiol. Doubtless other circumstances besides the mere supercilious astonishment of the Pharisee led to the -vehement rebuke. The eightfold woe in Matt. xxiii. is fuller than here. Jesus denounces their frivolous scrupulosity (39), combined with gross insincerity (42), their pride (43), and their corruption (44).
 cups, and pots, brazen vessels, and of tables," On one occasion the Sadducees seeing Pharisees busied in washing the great Golden Candelabrum sneeringly observed that they would wash the Sun itself if they could get the opportunity.
 of the depravity which causes it. A slightly different turn of expres-
 action. Mk. yii. 22. See Excursus VI. on Sects of the Jews; and compare these dentanciations with those delivered in the Temple on the last day (Tuesday in Passion Week) of the Lord's public ministry, Matt. xxiii. 25-28. The early Christian heretics reflected the character
of these Pharisees in their mixture of elaborate profession with real godlessness, Tit. i. 15, 16.
40. кal тd $\begin{aligned} & \text { Zow } 0 \text { ev. } \\ & \text {. See Mk. vii. 18, } 19 \text {, which contains our Lord's }\end{aligned}$ distinctest utteranoe in abrogation of the Levitic Law-"This He said...making all meats clean." (Revised Version.)
41. tà Evóvia. St Matthew's rò évròs кaOd́pecop is clearer. Theo-
 кelieva. Luther, 'of that which is true.' A.V. 'of that which ye have.' Grotius, 'of what is possible.' This is followed by the marg. of the R.V. 'that which ye can.' The R.V. renders literally, 'those things which are within.' Perhaps we may render 'as for that which is within you, give alms.' But the entire meaning of the clause is much disputed. Some explain it, Give as alms 'the contents' of eup and platter, and then they will be all clean without washing. 'It is Love which purifies, not lustrations.' 'A loving deed makes the hands clean.'
 is only mentioned as one typical form of Charity, which was in that state of society preeminently necessary. Indeed 'alms' is the same word as enequoaurn, which involves the idea of Mercy. The general lesson-that God does not care for ceremonies, in themselves, and only cares for them at all when they are accompanied by sincere goodness-is again and again taught in Scripture. 1 Sam. xy. 22; Is. lvii. 6-8; Mic. vi. 8; Dan. iv. 27; Jas. iv. 8.
 the Talmud there are elaborate discussions whether in tithing the seeds of potherbs one ought elso to tithe the stalk, de. 'Tithes' and 'washings' occupied the chief thoughts of Pharisees. Sacrificial details were all-important among priests.
 side.' Comp. xv. 29. The love of God is best shewn by love to men, and the Pharisees were filled with immoral contempt for those whom they regarded as less learned or less attentive to ascrupalosities than themselves. The Pharisees still exist as a party among Eastern Jewb, and are called Perushim. So bad is their character that the bitterest term of reproach in Jerusalem is, 'You are a Porish!' How little they have changed from their character, as Christ depicted it, may be seen from the testimony of a Jewish writer. "They proudly separate themselves from the rest of their co-religionists......Fanatical, bigoted, intolerant, quarrelsome, and in truth irreligious, with them the outward observance of the ceremonial law is everytbing; the moral law little binding, norality itself of no importance" (See Frankl,, Jews in the East, II. 27).
43. d’yatâte 'Ye highly value.' John xii. 43.

тगे้ трштокаӨєठplav. These were places in the synagogue in a conspicuous semioircle facing the congregation, and round the bema of the reader, xiv. 7-11; Matt. sxiii. 6.

тou's dormarpous $k v$ raîs dyopais. In which they addressed one another by extravagant titles, and required from their followers an exaggerated reverence.
44. [ivтокрьтal.] The first meaning of the word is 'actors.'
 Levitical uncleanness. Hence graves and tombs were whitewaghed that none might touch them unawares. Perhaps our Lord was alluding to Tiberias, which when it was being built was discovered to be partly on the site of an old unsuspected cemetery; so that every true $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{ew}}$ regarded it as pollution to live there, and Herod could only get it inhabited partly by bribes, partly by threats. In St Matthewseveral of whose particulars are differently applied-they are called 'whited sepulehres,' fair outside, polluted within. Here they are unsuspected graves.
oúk oứact. Sc. терıтатоîqтes, 'Know not that they are walking on them.'
45. tis têv voukкü. See on vii. 30, x. 25. This Scribe thought that Jesus could not possibly mean to reflcet on the hononred class who copied and exponnded the Law.

кal tipâs upplycts. 'Thou insultest even us,' who are superior to ordinary Pharisees. The word is a strong and an unjust one. Anything like $\ddot{u} \beta \rho$ ss was utterly alien to the words and the spirit of Christ. Had the lawyer said oveiffect he would have spoken accurately; but just reproach is not insult. There was a difference between Pharisess and lawyers; the position of the latter involved more culture and distinction. They were the 'divines,' the 'theologians' of that day. Hence the man's reproach. 'Iawyer' and 'seribe' seem to be more or less convertible terms (vs. 52, 53; Matt. xxiii. 13). Jesus here charges them with tyrannical insincerity (46), persecnting rancour (47-51), and theological arrogance and exclusiveness (52).
46. фортla $\delta$ vб-ßárтaктa. These burdens of the Oral Law became yeariy more and more grievous, till they were enshrined in the boundless pedantry of ceremonialism which fills the Talmud. But even at this period they were an intolerable yoke (Acts xv. 10), and the lawyers had deserved the Woe pronounced by Isaiah on them "that decree unrighteous decrees, and write grievousness which they have prescribed," Is. x. 1. "Gradus: digito mno attingere, digitis tangere, digito movere, manu tollere, humero imponere. Hoc cogebant populum; illud ipsi refugiebant." Bengel.
 They boasted that they would not have done as their fathers had done to the Prophets (Matt. xxiii. 30), yet they rejected John, the greatest of the Prophets, and crucifed the Just One, Acts vii. 51, 52 . Thus they proved their moral as well as their literal affiliation to the murderers of the prophets.
 of St Paul in Acts vii. 58, viii. 1. 'Allow' means 'a pprove after trial,'
and is derived from allaudare. "The Lord alloweth the righteous," Ps. xi. 6 (Prayer-Book Version).
 2 Chr. xxiv. 20-22 (comp, xxxvi. 14-21), or perbaps to Prov. i. 2031. But as the exact passage nowhere occurs in the O.T. some suppose that our Lord quotes (1) from a lost book called 'The Wisdom of God' (Ewald, Bleek, \&e.); or (2) from previous words of His own; or (3) from the Gospel of St Matthew (see Matt. xxiii. 34); or (4) from the Book of Proverbs (i. 20-31). The clanse is a general paraphrase of the tenor of several O. T. passages. In 1 Cor. i. 24 Christ is called "the Wisdom of God."

芫 aủ omits the $\sigma \tau \alpha u p \dot{d} \sigma \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ which is found in Matt. xxiii. 34.
50. $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{K} \zeta \eta \mathrm{T} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\theta} \mathrm{g} \text {. A Hellenistic verb used in the sense of the Latin }}$ exquivo.
 being constantly shed.
51. Ews aípatos Zaxcplov. His murder by Joash is described in 2 Chr. xxiy. 20, 21, and also filled a large place in Jewish legends. The words "the son of Barachiah," in Matt. xxiii. 35, are probably an erroneous gloss which has crept from the margin into the text. The murdered Zacharias was the son of the High Priest Jehoiada; the Prophet Zechariah was a son of Barachiah, but died, so far as we know, a natural death; and the Zechariah son of Barachiah, who was murdered by the Zealots, did not die till forty years later than this time. The allusions are all the more striking from the direct references to retribution in these two instances, and from the fact that they are drawn from the first and lest historical books of the O. T. (Gen. iv. 10; 2 Chr. xxiv. 22). The religion of the Pharisees was a mere religion of intellect and of ritual, and "la religion de tete se lie presque toujours à la haine de la piété vivante, de la religion de l'eeprit, et devient aisément persécutrice." Godet.
 the key, bat that is implied in their taking it avay and rendering it inaccessible. Our Lord here denounces the common spirit of theological exclusiveness and pride. A key was the regular symbol of the function of a scribe (Matt. xiii. 52, xvi. 19), which was to open the meaning of the Holy Books. The crime charged against them here is their selfish exclusiveness. Fihey declared that only rich and wellborn people could be scribes; and while they refused to teach the mass of the people, they at the same time called them 'accursed' for not knowing the law, and spoke about them in terms of the bitterest scorn and detestation. "Ye have caused many to stumble at the law," Mal. ii. 8 .
 repelled at the threshold those who were trying to enter.'
 thence.' The Pharisees in their anger followed Him out of the house. The breach between Jesus and the constitated religious teachers was more open and violent than it had ever been before.

סruwas evexatv. 'To press vehemently upon Him,' not physically but in a circle of bitter hostile inquiers. It is clear from this and the following verse that the Pharisee's feast had been a base plot to entrap Jesus. None of His disciples seem to have been with Him, nor any of the people; and after these stern rebukes the Pharisees surrounded Him in a most threatening and irritating manner, in "a scene of violence perhaps unique in the Life of Jesus."
 Him,' or 'to catoh words from His mouth about very many things.' The classical sense of the verb ánoarouarlfetris 'to dictate.' Euthymius explains it to mean 'to demand impromptu and ill-considered answers of treacherous questions.' The Vulgate "os ejus opprimere"

54. Atpeūaat. Literally, 'to hunt.' They were members of a sort of 'commission of inquiry' which had been sent from Jerusalem for this express purpose, Mk. xii. 13. They occupied the base position of inquisitors and heresy-hunters for the theologians and priests at Jerusalem.

## CHAPTER XII.

4. àmokтêvóvtar. Most mss. La. Ti. See note.
5. $\eta \pi i$. NBL La. Ti, W.H. It is omitted in D.
6. tes êk toû öx $\lambda$ ou. AD La.

7. картои́s. NA La. Ti.
 $\pi \hat{\omega} \mathrm{S}$ ойт $v \dot{\eta} \theta \in \mathrm{e}$ oüre $\dot{\text { undaivet. }}$

Бf. $\ell \pi i \delta v \sigma \mu \omega \bar{v}$. NBL Ti. W.H.

## Ch. XII. 1-12. The Duty of Bold Sincerity and Thust in God.

 (i. e. during the troubled scene inside the Pharisee's house), when the myriads of the multitade had suddenly assembled.' It is evident that the noise of this disgraceful attack on our Lord had been heard. This scene was as it were the watershed of our Lord's ministry in Galilee. At this period He had excited intense opposition among the religious authorities, but was still beloved and revered by the people. They therefore flocked together for His protection, and their arrival
hushed the unseemly and hostile vehemence of the Pharisees. The expression 'myriads' is obviously an hyperbole, as in Acts xxi. 20 (Vulg. multis turbis).

グpEaro $\lambda$ éytv. The words seem to imply a specially solemu and important discourse.
 disciples, Beware firgt of all of,' \&cc. Though the Greck text is punctuated otherwise, it seems best to take the $\pi \rho \omega \bar{\tau}$ or with the following verb as in ix. 61, x.5. 'As your first duty beware,' \&c. The
 and Luke.

2. oúdèv 8 E. Not "for nothing" as in A. V.; 'but' (unless with $\mathbf{N}$ we omit the $\dot{d} \epsilon$ altogether). This whole discourse, in its vividness and compression, and the apparent abruptness of some of its causal connexions indicates the tumult of emotion through which our Lord had been passing in the last trying scene. The line of thought is'Hypocrisy aims at concealment; but,' \&o. Hypoerisy is not only sinful but useless.
 veiled.' You will be made responsible for any part of my teaching which you conceal or keep back.
3. àve' $\mathbf{\omega} v$. 'Wherefore,' comp. divil tou'tov, 'therefore,' Eph. v. 31; it means 'because' in i. 20 , xix. 44.
 The application of the similar language in Matt. x. 26; Mark iv. 22, is different. See viii. 17.
fiv tois tapelots. Literally, 'in the treasuries or storehouses,' i.e. in closed, secret places. Matt. vi. 6, xxiv. 26.
 heard in the streets below.
4. toîs $\phi\left(\lambda_{01 s}\right.$ fov. John xy, 14, 15, "Henceforth I call you not servants...but friends." The term comes the more naturally and pathetically because Jesus had just been in the thick of enemies.
 them. This construction is only found in the LXX. and N. T., and is a Hebraism (v. Schleusaer s. v.). For similar thoughts see Jer. i. 8; Is. li. 12, 13.
ámoktevvóvtav. This is an Aeolio form which became common in Hellenistic Greek (Tob. i. 18; Wisd. xvi. 14). So we find $\sigma \pi \in \rho \rho \rho \in$ for $\sigma \pi \varepsilon l \rho \omega$.
 was an encouragement to the partially illuminated fortitude of Stoicism. Hence it constantly occurs in the Manual of Epictetus.

ह. tiva $\phi \circ \beta \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \tau \varepsilon$. The indirect interrogative is sometimes expressed by the subjunctive, as in Matt. viii. 20, oúк $\boldsymbol{z}_{\chi \in 6} \pi 0 \hat{0} \kappa \lambda / p y$ :

 understood this expression of the devil, and one of the Fathers goes so far as to say that it is the only passage in the Bible in which we cannot be certain whether God or Satan is intended. There can, however, be no doubt that the reference is to God. If "fear" ever meant 'be on your guard against,' the other view might be tenable, but there is no instance of such a meaning, and we are bidden to defy and resist the devil, but never to fear him; nor are we ever told that he has any power to cast into Gehenna.
cis rìv $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\prime}$ 'evvav. 'Into Gehenna.' It is a deep misfortune that our English Version has made no consistent difference of rendering between 'the place of the dead,' 'the intermediate state between death and resurrection' (Hades, Sheol), and Gehenna, which is sometimes metaphorically used (as here) for a place of punishment after death. Gehenma was a purely Hebrew word, and corresponded primarily to purely Hebrew conceptions. Our Lord (if He spoke Greek) did not attempt to represent it by any analogous, but imperfectly equivalent, Greek term, like Tartarus (see 2 Pet. ii. 4), and certainly the Apostles and Evangelists did not. They simply transliterated the Hebrew term (ם, Gé Himuom, Valley of Hinnom) into Greek letters. It is surely a plain positive duty to follow so clear an example, and not to render Gchenna by English terms which cannot connote exactly the same conceptions. The Valley of Himoom, or of the Sons of Hinnom (Josh. xv. 8, xviii. 16; 2 K. xxiii. 10; Jer. vii. 31), was a pleasant valley outside Jerusalem, which had first been rendered infamous by Moloch worship; then defiled by Josiah with corpses; and lastly kept from putrefaction by large fires to consume the corpses and prevent pestilence. Milton describes it with his usual learned acouracy:
"First Moloch, horrid king, besmeared with blood Of human sacrifice, and parents' tears; Though for the noise of drums and timbrels loud Their children's ories unheard that passed through fire To his grim idol......
and made his grove
The pleasant Valley of Hinnom, Tophet thence and black Gehenua called, the type of Hell." Par. Lost, I. 392.
Tophet is derived from the word Toph, ' $\mathfrak{\text { drum' ( }}$ (compare $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{v} \pi \tau \omega$, $d u b$, thump, \& c.).
 'two sparrows for one farthing.' The little birds were sold in the markets atrung together, or on skewers. The varying expressions of St Matthew and St Luke lead us to the interesting fact that if five were bought one was thrown in, which still more forcibly proves how insignificant was the value of the sparrows; yet even that unvalued
odd one was not "forgotten before God." The word for "farthings" is diogdpac (from as) as in Matt. x. 29); St Mark writing for Romans more accurately uses кодрáp $\eta=$ ( $q u a d$ rans), xii. 42.

Evátrov. 'In the sight of.' The word is not used in the other Synoptists, and only once in St John's Gospel, but is common in St Luke and St Paul.
 and in the O. 'T. I Sam. xiv. 45 ; 1 K. i. 62.
Sıaферетє. The verb means (1) to differ; (2) to transcend. Matt. xii. 12.
 It resembles a Hebrew phrase. Ps. xxxii, 5.
 Father which is in heaven," Matt. x. 32.
 murderers. This large rich promise is even further amplified in Matt. xii. 31. It is the sign of a dispensation different from that of Moses, Lev. xxip. 16.
 winich mention is mede of this awful 'unpardonable $\sin ^{3}$ and of the "blasphemy against the Holy Ghost" are Matt. zii. 31, 32; Mark iii. 29, 30; I John v. 16. The latter sin is expressly declared to be closely connected with the attribating of Christ's miracles to Beel-zebul. On the exact nature of the 'unpardonable sin' theologians hape speculated in vain, and all that we can see is that it must be the most flagrant degree of sin against the fullest light and knowledge.
ovik áde日jiveral. St Matthew adds 'neither in this age (or 'this dispensation'), nor in the age to come' (the 'future dispensation,' i.e. the dispensation of the Messianio kingdom). The two terms 'this aeon' and 'the futare aeon' are of constant occurrence in Rabbinic literature. The passage-if it means more than 'in either dispensa-tion'-proves, as St Augustine says, that some would be forgiven if not in this life yet in the next (De Civ. Dei, xxi. 24).
 "synagogues" were the small Jewish tribunals of synagogue officials in every town, which had the power of inflicting scourging for minor religious offences. "Magistrates" and "powers" are the superior authorities Jewish or Gentile. "Magistrates" comes from the Vulg. magistratus, through Wyclif.

$\pi \bar{\omega} \mathrm{s} \hat{\eta} \pi$ l. I.e. about either the manner and line, or the phraseology of your defence.
12. тò...ãy given to Moses, Ex. iv. 12-l5; see xxi. 15. For fulfilments of the promise, see Acts vi. 8, 10 (St Stephen); 2 Tim. iv. 17 (St Paui), \&c.
av̉n̂̂ ग̂̂ "̈pa. Not "in the same hour" (as in A.V.) but "in that very hour." This is St Luke's more emphatic phrase for the $\tau \hat{u}{ }^{s}\langle p a$


## 13-21. Egotibi reburbd. The Righ Fool.

 and unwarrantable interpellation ever made to our Lord. The few words at once reveal to us an egotist incapable of caring for anything but his own selfishness.

14. äv $\dagger \rho \omega \pi \epsilon$. The word is sternly repressive. Comp. Rom. ii. I, ix. 20 .
 John xviii. 36.
$\hat{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho / \sigma \tau \eta \dot{\eta} v$. 'Umpire, arbitrator.' There is an evident allusion to Ex. ii. 14.
 covetousness." The word is more positive than "beware of" ( $\beta \lambda \epsilon \pi \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon$, $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \epsilon \chi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon)$. The right reading is 'of all covetousness,' i.e. not only beware of avarice, but also of selfish possession. Both the O. and N.IT. abound with repetitions of this warning. Balaam, Achan, Gehazi are awfuI examples of this sin in the O. T.; Judas Iscariot, the Pharisees and Ananias in the New. See 1 Tim. vi. 10-17.
 natural life-his $\beta$ los, is supported by what he has, but his jwì is what ho is. Such phrases as that $\varepsilon_{0}$ man 'is worth' so many thousands a year, revealing the current of worldly thought, shew how much this warning is needed. The order of words in this paragraph is curious. It is literally, 'For not in any man's abundance is his life (derived) from his possessions,' or (as De Wette takes it), "is his life a part of his possessions." The English Version well represents the sense. Comp. Sen. ad Helv. ix. 9, "Corporis exigua desideria sunt... Quicquid extra concupiscitur, vitiis non usibus laboratur."
16. єं่фóp $\eta \sigma \in \mathrm{v}$. A rare word (here only in the N.T.) and perhaps derived by St Luke from medical writings in which it occurs.
$\dot{\eta}$ X ${ }^{\text {upa }}$. 'The estate.' In this parable (peculiar to St Luke) our Lord evidently referred mentally to the story of Nabal, whose name means 'Fool' or 'Churl' (1 Sam. xxp.). Observe that his riches, like those of Nabal, were acquired, not by fraud or oppression, but in the most innocent way. His crime was his greedy and callous selfishness. He cared not for generous use, but for selfadmiring acquisition. Being "a fool" his "prosperity destroyed him." Prov. i. 32.
17. тi moınб ; "He that loveth silver shall not be satisfied with silver, nor he that loveth abundance with increase," Eccl. v. 10.
tovs картоús $\mu$ ov. So " $m$. barns," " $m y$ fruits and $m y$ goods," and " $m y$ soul." This touch is evidently intended and is most vividly natural. So Nabal says, "Shall I then take my bread, and my water, and my flesh that I have killed for my shearers," \&c., 1 Sam. xxv. 11. So
"Their child." "Our ohild!" "Our heiress!" "Ours!" for still Like echoes from beyond a hollow, came
Her sicklier iteration." Aylmer's Field.
18. кafe $\lambda \hat{\omega}$. In Attic the futare of aip $\epsilon \omega$ is aip is late.
 the admonition of the Son of Sirach, "Shut up alms in thy storehouses," Ecclus. xxix. 12.
тd̀ yeviparć $\mu$ ou. Not the same word as before. Rather, 'my produce.'
rd̀ dyafá $\mu$ ov. Such 'good things' as he was alone capable of recognising, xvi. 25. And "all my goods," with no mention of the poor.
 what else couldst thou have promised to it? Art thou so bestial, so ignorant of the soul's goods, that thou pledgest it the foods of the flesh? And dost thou convey to thy soul, the things which the draught receiveth?" St Basil.
fis ${ }^{\prime}$ tin mod入á. "Boast not thyself of tomorrow," Prov. xxvii. 1.
 absence of conjunctions (asyndeton) suits the man's gloating sellishness, as in Sophocles, $\bar{\eta} \hat{\eta}$, $\pi \hat{\imath} \nu \epsilon, \phi \epsilon \rho \beta o v$. The motive of the Rich Glutton is the same as that of the selfish and cynical Epicureans, who say, "Let us eat and drink;" but the reason he assigns is different. They snatch pleasure, "for tomorrow we die," 1 Cor. xp. 32; he because he hopes to be 'happy' for 'many years.' For similar warninge see Jas. iv. 13-17, v. 1-3; Eecl. xi. 19.
20. aфpoy. Literally, 'Senseless!' 1 Cor. xv. 36. Comp. xi. 40. The nom. is used for the voc., comp. viii. 54.
тaút
тท̀ $\downarrow \boldsymbol{q}$ thee.' Who are 'they'? Some say God (Job xxvii. 8), or His deathangels (Job xxxiii. 22), or robbers whom they suppose to attack the rich man on the night that his wealth has flowed in. There is however no definite pronoun, the phrase is impersonal, as often in Hebrew. It is the same "categoric plural" as in vs. 11 and xvi. 9, xxiii. 31 .
 for whom shatl they be?" "He heapeth up riches and knoweth not who slafl gather them," Ps. xxxix. 6, xlix. 16, 17; comp. lii. 7 and

James iv. 13-15. St James seems to have been deeply impressed with this teaching.
21. $\mu \eta$ … $\pi$ hout $\hat{v} v$. 'If he is not rich.' We are often taught elsewhere in Scriptare in what way we can be rich toward God. Matt. vi. 19-21; 1 Tim. vi. 17-19; Jas. ii. 5. There is a close parallel to this passage in Ecclus. xi. 18, 19, "There is that waxeth rich by his wariness and pinching, and this is the portion of his reward. Likewise he saith, I have found rest, and now will eat continually of my goods, and ret he knoweth not what time shall come upon him, and that he must leave those things to others, and die." This would seem to shew that our Lord was not unfamiliar with some of the Apoeryphal writings.
22-53. Lessons of Trustetliness (22-32), Almbgivina (33, 34), and Fatthfol Watchfulness ( 35 - 48). The searching Efyect of Christ's Work (49-53).
22. $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta} \mu$ ерц $\mu \nu \mathrm{a} \tau \epsilon$. This rendering is now unfortunate, since it might be abused to encourage an immoral carelessness (1 Tim. v. 8). But in the 17th century thought was used for care ( $1 \mathrm{Sam} . \mathrm{ix} .5$ ). See The Bible Word-Book, s.v. Rather, 'Be not anxious about.' Vulg. ne solliciti sitis. "Cast thy burden upon the Lord and He shall sustain thee," Ps. Iv. 22; 1 Pet. v. 7.

тi фáyŋтt. 'What ye are to eat.' Deliberative subjunctive.
 than either the body, or the natural life.
24. tovs кópakas. More specific, and therefore more poetio, than "the fowls" in St Matthew. Perhaps there is a reference to Job xxxviii. 41; Ps. cxlv. 15.
 $\dot{\eta} \lambda \iota \kappa i a$, 'age' (comp. Ps. xxxix. 5); but "stature" is probably right.
26. €....ovide èáx-atov. The negative does not depend on the $e l$ (in which case $\mu$ jod would be required), but reverses the meaning of бúva.ote-since you are unable.' Comp. John iii. 12; Rom. xi. 21, \&c.
27. tà кpiva. The term is perfectly general. The searlet anemones (anemone coronaria), or the 'Hulêh lilies' growing around may have given point to the lesson. (Thomson, Land and Book, p. 256.)
 splendid description of his progresses in the royal chariot, Cant. iii. 6-11.
28. Év áyp甲̣ тòv Xóprov. 'If, in the field, God so clothes,' \&c. The common Seripture symbol for evanescence, Is. xl. 6; 1 Pet. i. 24; Jas. i. 10, 11.
dis $\kappa \lambda$ (ßapov $\beta$ a $\lambda^{\prime}$ ón $\mu v o v$. In the absence of wood grass is used to heat ovens in the East.
ápфиésel. This is the reading of D. d $\mu \phi t a j \in t, B$.

29．$\mu \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \omega \boldsymbol{p}$ tf $\epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$ ．Here alone in the N．T．Literally，＇Do not toss about like boats in the offing，－a metaphor for suspense． Cicero says，＂＂So I am in suspense（ $\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \omega \rho o s$ ）and entangled in great perplexities．＂Ad Att．xv．14．It is like the Latin fuctuo and fluito．
30．td kovy toû kófuou．Christiaus have not the same excuse that the heathen have for over－anxiety about transient needs．
 vs．1．For the metaphor，see Ps．xxiii．1；Is．xl．11；Matt．xxvi．31； John x．12－16．$\mu$ ккpor is not pleonastic，for $\pi o l \mu \nu t o p$ is not used as a diminative．
Tグv Baculelav．How much more shall He give you bread．
 very literally by the early Church，Acts ii．44，45．Comp．xvi．9； Matt．xis． 21.
ßar入ávtic．See on x． 4.
 rupteth．＂
 active service is impossible in the loose flowing dress of the East （Ex．xii． 11 ； 1 K．xviii．46）；and spiritually，for the Christian amid worldly entanglements， 1 Pet．i．13；Eph．vi．14．Comp．the Latin praecincti，and Milton＂s＂His habit fit for speed succinct．＂Par．Lost， III． 643.
oi $\lambda{ }^{n} x$ vot кató $\mu$ кoc．The germ of the Parable of the Ten Virgins， Matt．xxy． 1.

36．ávépómots．Slaves，Rev．zviii． 13.
 occurring only in Phil．i．23； 2 Tim．iv．6．Here there is a variation from the commoner metaphor of going to the wedding－feast．
 Apostles must have recalled these words when Jesus washed their feet．To Roman readers the words would recall the customs of their Saturnalia when slaves were waited on by their matsters．
 The $\pi a \rho e \lambda \theta_{\dot{\omega}} y$ adds a graphic touch to the Master＇s condescension． We often find participles used in this vivid way，as in xy．18，dyaoràs

 nor very important，whether St Luke here alludes to the three watches of the Jews and Greeks（Lam．ii．19；Judg．vii．19；Ex．xiv． 24）or to the four of the Romans（Jerome，Ep．cxi．）．But it is very important to observe that often as our Lord bade His disciples to be ready for His return，He as often indicates that His return might be long delayed，Matt．xxv．5－19．He always implied that He should come suddenly（xxi．34－36； 1 Thess．v．2－6；Rev．iii．3），but not mecessarily soon，rs．46； 2 Pet．iii．8，9．＂The Parousia does not
come so quickly as impatience, nor yet so late as carelebsmess, supposes." Van Oosterzee.
39. тоиิто ... $\boldsymbol{\text { rvárкeтe. 'This ye know.' }}$
ó oikofer $\pi$ ó $\tau \eta \mathrm{q}$. "Goodman" is an archaic expression for the master of the house, the paterfamilias. It is said to be a corruption of the Saxon gumman, 'a man,' goodwife being formed from it by false analogy.
 left his house to be broken into.' Literally, 'to be dug through,' the houses being often of mud.
 seems to have been peeuliarly frank and fearless, in accordance with his character. In the immaturity of the disciples we may suppose that the blessing on the faithfal servants mainly prompted his question. But if so the lesson of our Lord was by no means lost on him, 1 Pet. v. 3, and passim.
42. © кúpıos. St Luke uses this later designation of our Lord abont 12 times.
 deeply instructive method which He often adopted, did not answer the question, but taught the only lesson which was needful for the questioner. St Paul perhaps refers to these words of Christ in 1 Cor. iv. $1,2$.
 and to all the flock over which the Holy Ghost hath made your overseers, to feed the church of God," Acts xx. 28. Gurométpoy (diarium) is fonnd only in St Luke.

 Eccl. viii. 11. It was not long before the temptation to use this language arose with fatal results, 2 Pet. iii. 8,9 .
 among some ancient nations, 2 Sam. xii. 31; 1 Chr. xe. 3; Dan. ii. 5 ; Herod. vil. 39. Comp. Hebr. xi. 37 (the legendary martyrdom of Isaiah) and Susannah 55-59. Hence Bengel says, "Qui cor divisum habet dividetur." But because of the following clause, which evidently refers to a living person, it is thought that $\delta$ रхотонй $\sigma \in t$ must here be used in the sense of 'shall scourge' (compare the next verse), although there is no other instance of such a sense.
 vs. 42, and Matt. xxiv. 51.)
47. $\mu \dot{1}$ éropáras. The $\mu \eta$ is used because it gives the supposed reason for the slave's punishment. Exceptional privileges if rejected involve exceptional guilt and punishment, x. 13; Jas. jv. 17; 2 Pet. ii. 21.

 This cognate accusative is common in classie Greek.
48. © $\delta \mathfrak{e} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu}$ y yours. He that knew not fully (Jon. iv. 11 ; 1 Tim. i. 13), for there is no such thing as absolute moral ignorance (Rom. i. 20 , ii. 14,15 ).
 stating that punishment shall be proportional to sin, and that there shall be a righteous relation between the amount of the two. They who knew not will not of course be punished for any involuntary ignorance, but only for actual misdoing.
 earth.' The "send fire" of the A.V. is from the Vulg. mittere. St John had preached, "He shall baptize jou with the Holy Ghost and with fire," and that "He should burn up the chaff with unquenchable fire." The metaphor is probably to be taken in all its meanings; fire as a spiritual baptism; the refining fire to purge gold from dross, and burn up the chaff of all evil in every imperfect character; and the fire of retribntive justice. There is a remarkable 'anwritten saying' of Christ, "He who is near me is near the fire," which is preserved in Ignatius, Origen, and Didymus.
 kindled!' (as in Ecclus. xxiii. 14). It may also be punctuated, 'What will I? 0 that it were already kindled!' For the fire is salutary as well as retributive; it warms and purifics as well as consumes. In this idiom-(el with the indicative to express a wish known by the speaker to be impossible)- $\epsilon t \theta \epsilon$ and $\epsilon l$ $\gamma \mathrm{d} \rho$ are more common in classical Greek. Winer, p. 562.
50. ßámтьб
$\pi \omega ̂ s ~ \sigma u v \epsilon \chi \circ \mu a \mu$. Comp. $\sigma v v e \chi o \mu \epsilon \nu \eta \pi \nu \rho \epsilon \tau \hat{\varphi}$, iv. 38 . O how heavy is the burden that rests upon me; how vast are the obstacles through which I have to press onwards.; It is the same spirit that spoke in, "What thou doest, do quickly." The word is found in 2 Cor. v. 14; Phil. i, 23.

51. Soкєitc. As they were far too much inclined to suppose, xix. 11.
 kingdom that Christ will be fully the Prince of Peace, as was understood even by Simeon, ii. 34, 35; see too John ix. 39.
 people because of him," John vii. 43. The phrase $\dot{d} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \hat{\eta}$ is a contraction of ando (I am come to send no other thing than division). It occurs but three times in the N.T., here, 2 Cor. i. 13 and (perhaps) 1 Cor. iii. 5. Winer, p. 552 n. St Luke uses $\delta<a \mu \in \rho \sigma \rho \mu \dot{\nu}$ for the $\mu$ áXatpar found in St Matthew. "I came not to send peace but a
sword." Matt. x. 34. "Near me, near the sword" (unwritten saying of Christ). The Hebrew $\boldsymbol{1}$ would admit either rendering (LXX. often $\pi \delta \lambda \varepsilon \mu о \nu)$.
 Mic. vii. 6. There is in the Greek a deliante change of phrase which can hardly be reproduced in English. It is 'father against son' ( $k \pi l$ vị̂), where the preposition takes the dative; but in 'mother-in-law
 takes the accusative;-perhaps to indicate the difference in the relationships, the one natural, the other legal. $\quad \pi l$ with the dative practically means 'against' in the sense of one being an incubus on the wher. Compare the German auf ihn. Winer, p. 489 n.

## 54-59. The Sians of tee Times, and Resoltant Duty.

54. Tois öx Xovs. 'To the multitades,' whom He now addresses, having finished the lessons which were most necessary for His timid und discouraged disciples.
vєфе凤ךv. Perhaps the reading may be $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \nu$. (comp. Matt. xri.2, 3), ' the cloud' which rises over the west. The $\tau \dot{y} \boldsymbol{y}$ may have been lost by homoeoteleuton.
 'west' and 'seat.' A cloud rising from the Mediterranean indicated heary rain. 1 K. xviii. 44, 45.
55. kavorwy. 'A simoom,' or scorching wind, because 'the south wind' in Palestine would blow from the desert. Matt. xx. 12.
56. $\boldsymbol{\imath}$ токрьта. Their insincerity consisted in the fact that though the signs of the Kingdom were equally plain they would not see them, and pretended not to see them. The Prophets had long ago pointed them out. Among them were, miracles (Is. xxxv. 4-6); the political condition (Gen. zlix. 10); the preaching of the Baptist (Matt. iii.).

Sokıमáserty. 'To test' or 'prove.'
57. кal d̀' '́avtâv. I.e. without the necessity for $m y$ thus pointing out to you facts which are so plain.
rò $\delta$ dкalov. What is your duty to do under circumstances so imminent?
58. is $\gamma \mathrm{dp}$ ímáyes. 'For as thou goest.’ Our translators omitted the 'for' probably because they could not see the comnexion. It seems however to be this. 'For this is your clear duty,-to reconcile yourselves with God, as you would with one whom you had alienated, before the otherwise inevitable consequences ensue.' Euthymius therefore is mistaken in saying that the subjeet is here suddenly

$\mu e \pi d i ~ r o v ̀ ~ a u r t \delta l k o v ~ \sigma o v . ~ T h i s ~ i s ~ a ~ p a r a b l e . ~ I f ~ y o u ~ h a d ~ w r o n g e d ~ a ~$ man it would be obviously wise to avert the consequences of your wrongdoing before it became too late. Even so must you aet towards God. To press the details is obviously false theology. "Theologia
parabolica non est argumentativa." Here again St Matthew quotes the parable in a slightly different connexion ( $\mathbf{~} .25,26$ ) to teach that love and forgiveness to man are an indispensable condition of forgiveness from God.
©́s tpyarlav. A curious Latinism, da operam.
$\tau \hat{\omega}$ тра́ктори. 'To the jailor,' literally 'the exactor.' "God is here shadowed forth as at once the adversary, the judge, and the officer; the first by His holiness, the second by His justice, the third by His power." Godet.
 'a mite' the smallest of all coins, Mk. xii. 42 . If it be asked, 'Can this debt ever be paid?' the answer of course is, as far as the parable is concerned, 'It depends entirely on whether the debt be great or small.' As far as the application of the parable is concerned, the answer lies out of the contemplated horizon of the illustration; nor is there any formsl answer to such a question. But if it be asserted that no man's debt to God, which he has incurred by his sins, however 'common to man,' can ever be paid by him, we are at least permitted to find hope in the thought that Christ has paid our debt for us (Matt. xx. 28; 1 Tim. ii. 6). The general lesson is that of which Scripture is full, "Seek ye the Lord while He may be fonnd," Is. lv. 6; Ps. xxxii. 6; Heb. iv. 7.

## CHAPTER XIII.

 between these words, which makes it probable that different words were used in each text.
15. $\boldsymbol{v}^{\prime}$ окрьтal. The address is in the plural, not only to the ruler of the synagogue but to all his class.
18. oufv. « KBL, Vulg. It. \&c. It was altered to $\delta$ E because the reference was misunderstood.
24. Gúpas. The rí̀ $\eta$ s of the Rec. probably comes from Matt. vii. 13 .
35. \&ifpos has probably been added from Matt. xxiii. 38.


Ce. XIII. 1-9. Accidents and Judgments. The Barren Fig-tree.
 season.' The curious phrase (comp. xii. 12) seems to imply that they had come on purpose to announce this catastrophe. Hence some have supposed that they wished to kindle in the mind of Jesus as a

Galiaean（xxiii．5）a spirit of Messianic retribution（Jos，Antt． xvir． $9, \S 3$ ）．But Christ＇s answer rather proves that they were connecting the sad death of these Galilaeans with their imaginary crimes．They were not calling His attention to them as martyrs，but as supposed victims of divine anger．Their report indicates a sort of pleasure in recounting the misfortunes of others（emiरaupeкanla）． But Jesus teaches＇the Pharisaic heart＇that the agonies and misfortunes which fall on others should be the source not of proud self－ satisfaction but of contrite humility，and that they are a $\sigma \eta \mu \epsilon \hat{\rho}$ кaup $\hat{\nu}$ which they failed to read．
$\tau \hat{\omega} \boldsymbol{r}$ Ta $\lambda \iota \lambda a(\omega v$ ．Galilaeans regularly attended the Jewish feasts at Jerusalem，John iv． 45.
 a brachylogy for $\mu \in \tau \dot{d}$ rô aluatos rîy $\theta v \sigma t \omega \hat{y}$ ．The catastrophe may have occurred at some Passover riot，during which the Roman soldiers had hurried down from Fort Antonia．This incident，which was peculiarly horrible to Jewish imaginations，often happened during the turbulent administration of Pilate and the Romans；see on xxiii．1； Aets xxi．34．At one Passover，＂during the sacrifices，＂ 3000 Jews had been massacred＂like victims，＂and＂the Temple courts filled with dead bodies＂（Jos．Antt．xviI．9，§ 3）；and at another Passover， no less than 20,000 had perished（id．xx． $5, \S 3$ ；see also B．J．in． 5 ， v．1）．Early in his administration Pilate had sent disgrised soldiers with daggers among the crowd（id．xynir．3，§ 1；B．J．II．9，§ 4）．The special massacre here alluded to was too insignificant to be specially recorded by Josephus；but in the fact that the victims in this instance were Galilaeans，we may perhaps see a reason for the＂enmity＂be－ tween Pilate and Herod Antipas（xziii．12）．
2．ápaptw入ol rapd rávras tov̀s 「a入ı入aiovs éyévouto．For rapà in comparisons see iii．13；Heb．i．9，iii．3．The＂were＂of the A．V．is literally，＇became，＇i．e．＇stamped themselves as，＇＇proved themselves to be．＇We trace a similar mistaken＇supposition＇in the question of the disciples about the blind man（John ix．2）．It was indeed deeply engrained in the Jewish mind，although the Book of Job had been expressly levelled at the uncharitable error of assuming that individual misfortane could only be the consequence of individual crime．Such is sometimes the oase（Gen．slii．21；Judg．i．7），but although all human sorrow has its ultimate cause in human sin it is wrong to assume in individual cases the connexion of calamity with crime．
тầтa тєтóv日arıv．＇Have suffered these things．＇
3． $\boldsymbol{e} \dot{\alpha} \nu \mu \dot{\mu} \mu \in \tau \alpha v o \eta i \tau \epsilon$ ．The pres．subj．points to the necessity for a
 in vs． 5 ，points to its immediate urgency．The first meaning of the words was doubtless prophetic．As a matter of historic fact，the Jewish nation did not repent，and myriads of them in the siege of Jerusalem perished by a doom closely analogous to that of these unhappy Galilaeans（see Jos．B．J．v．1，3，7，11，12，and especially 13，
vi. passim, vir. 3). And since all life and all history are governed by the same divine laws, the warning is applicable to men and to nations at all periods.
 an ingenious, but of course uncertain conjecture of Ewald, that the death of these workmen was connected with the notion of retribution because they were engaged in building part of the aqueduct to the Pool of Siloum, for the construction of which Pilate had seized some of the sacred Corban-money (Mk. vii. 11; Jos. B. J. in. 9, §4).
$\Sigma \mathrm{L} \lambda \omega \omega^{\alpha} \mu$. The pool (John ix. 7; Is. viii. 6), near the village of Silwâ, at the entrance of the Tyropoeon valley, which runs into the Valley of Jehoshaphat between Sion and Moriah.
 selves to be debtors.' (Wyclif, Rhem. and Vulg. debitores.)
 vary between $\dot{\delta} \mu \mathrm{ol} \omega \mathrm{s}$ and wigajitws; but no distinct difference of meaning between the two words can be established, unless the latter be rather stronger, 'in the very same way.' Here again the actual incidents of tho siege of Jerusalem-the deaths of many under the falling ruins of the city (Jos. B. J. vi. 9, viI. 1)-are the directest comment on our Lord's words whish yet bear the wider significance of the warning in Rom. ii. 1-11. "Le carnage," says Godet, "dû au glaive de Pilate, n'est que le prélude de celui que l'armée romaine consommera bientôt dans toute la Terre-Sainte." He adds, that 40 years later, all that remained of the Galilean people, reunited in the Temple, was expiating under the blows of Titus the national impenitence. If we may judge from the mss. the language of the two parallel questions (vss. 3, 4) seems to have been purposely varied.
 vineyards were often utilised in this way, as they still are (Tristram, Nat. Hist. Bib. p. 352). Here the Jewish nation is compared to the fig-tree (Hos. ix. 10; Jer. xxiv. 3), as in the acted parable of the Barren Fig-tree (Matt. xxi. 19); more often Israel is compared to the Vine or the Vineyard (Ps. lxxx. 8-11; Is. v. 2).
 the parable shadows forth, Christ corresponds to the vine-dresser, and Jehorah to the owner (Is. v. 7). Some however prefer to see in the vine-dresser the Holy Spirit as Intercessor.

тpia of our Lord's ministry (Bengel, \&c.). Others (Euthym., \&c.) explain it of the periods of the Judges, Kings, and High Priests. It is very doubtful how far these lesser details-which are essential to the colouring of the parable-are intended to be pressed.

Ekouov autiv. At once-as the tense implies (Matt. iii 10; John xy. 2). It was fulfilled in the rejection of Israel (Rom. xi. 22).
ivari; Why? originally two words with $\gamma^{\epsilon} \varphi \eta t a l$ understood; iva $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ $\gamma \in \nu \eta r a c ;$ in order that what may happen?
 i.e. it is not only useless, but positively mischievous by preventing other growth. For the verb comp. Rom. iii. 3.
8. кúple. 'Sir,' as far as the parable is concerned.

кail тoûto тd teros. "The Lord...is longsuffering to usward, not willing that sny should perish, but that all should come to repentance," 2 Pet. iii. 9. In "this year also" it is better to see generally the respite of forty years between the crucifixion and the destruction of Jerusalem, rather than the yet remaining period of our Lord's ministry. God never strikes without warning, because He desires to save.
9. кảv $\mu \dot{k} v$ тон is not in the original, the idiom being a common but striking aposiopesis: i.e. the conclusion of the sentence is left to the speaker's imagination. The phrase implies, If, as is at least possible, it bears fruit;-but if not, as thou supposest, then, \&c. (See Winer p. 751.)
 as may be the case) is often followed by another with el (assuming that) (si fructum tulerit...sin minus, si non fert) ; comp. Acts v. 38, 39

éккбұєьs. "Non dicit vinitor 'exscindam' (vs. 7). Sed rcm refert ad domisum; desinit tamen pro ficu deprecari." Bengel.

## 10-17. Tie Sabbaticas Hypochice and the Suffering Woman.

 becomes much rarer at this later stage of Christ's ministry. It is most probable that from some at least of the synagogues of Galilee He was excluded by the 'lesser excommunication.' See John xvi. 2.
11. $\pi v \in \hat{v} \mu a \ldots \boldsymbol{\alpha} \sigma \theta \in v \in i a s$. Her curvature is thus directly attributed to Satanic agency. Job ii. 6, 7; Acts x. 38 .

ग̂̀. Aderat; she had doubtless come there on purpose.
$\mu \dot{\eta}$ Evva $\mu \dot{i} v \eta$. The $\mu \dot{\eta}$ can hardly be here explained, except as due to the tendency to use $\mu \bar{\eta}$ with participles.

єis tò тavte入̀'s. Heb. vii. 25.
12. aंто入eגvoal. Here, as elsewhere, the delicacy and force of the Greek tense implying the immediateness and the permanence of the cure can only be expressed in English by a periphrasis.

## 14. ó d́pXıбvváyшүos. See viii, 41.

àavakrüv. The same strong word-implying a personal resentment -is used in Matt. xx. 24, xxvi. 8.
$\tau \hat{\Psi} \sigma a \beta \beta a ́ \tau \varphi$. See on vi. 2.


Év av̉ divine grace were Sabbath-breaking toil! Few remarks of the opponents of our Lord were so transparently illogical and hypocritical as this. It was meanly indirect because it was aimed at Jesus, though the man is too much in awe to address it to Him, and the implied notion that it was a crime to allow oneself to be healed on the Sabbath day springs from an abyss of Pharisaic falsity which could hardly have been conoeived. It was the underhand ignorance and insolence, as well as the gross insincerity of the remarls, which called forth a reproof exceptionally severe.
15. íтокрıтаi. 'Hypocrites!' (NAB), classing the man with the whole sect to which he belonged, and whose shibboleths he used. They were hypoorites (i,e. they were acting a part) because they were disguising secret enmity under a pretence of sabbatical zeal.
 arguments with which He abolished the fanatical formalism of the Pharisees respecting the Sabbath. Sometimes He appealed to His own inherent authority (John v. 17-47); sometimes to Scripture precedents (vi. 3- 5 ); or to common sense and eternal principles (vi.9). Here, as in xiv. 5 , He uses an argumentum ad hominem, refuting their traditional rules by the selish insincerity with which they applied them. They allowed men to unloose and lead to water their cuttle on the sabbath, and thus to break their own Sabbatic rules, in order to save themselves the trouble of providing water overnight, or, at the best, to abridge a few hours' thirst; was then this suffering woman not to be touched, not to be spoken to, even in order to end 18 years of suffering?

aंтayayóv. The pictorial participle-_"ad opus demonstiandum." Bongel.
16. ©uүaтt́pa 'Aßpadц aíray. See xix. 9.

กّ̂

oúk E6et. Our Saviour gives him back his own word "ought;"-but the man's ought had been one of ceremonial obligation, and the ought of Jesus was founded on the divine necessity of love.
17. тav̂ta $\lambda \in$ үovios aùrov̂. 'While He was aaying these things.'

катрбхи́vovto. Not "were ashamed" but 'were shamed,' i.e. were pat to shame. See Is, xly. 16 (LXX.).
$\gamma$ ヶчopévols. 'Which were constantly being done.'
18-21. Tee Mustard Seed and tie Leaven.
18. (גcyav oiv. The ouv is a reference to the joy of the multitude which proved the growth of the Kingdom of God.
 tion see Is. xl. 18.
19. єis кฑิmov éautov̂. Into his own garden, where he could bestow special care upon it. "The vineyard of the Lord of Hosts is the House of Israel." Is. v. 7.
\&yeveco als $\delta$ '́vipov. Omit great with NBDL, \&c. The points of comparison are the sadden, secret growth, and the immense development of the kingdom of God. The mustard seed was colloquinlly spoken of by the Jews as "the smallest of all seeds," and it grew into a herbaceous plant, as tall as a horse and his rider (Thomsun, Land and Book).
 substantive corresponding to the verb "lodged" is found in ix. 58 (A.V. "nests;" rather 'shelters'). Finches, and other small birds, throng the mustard beds to live on the seed ('Tristram, Nat. Hist. Bib. 473).
 (being connected with corruption and fermentation) is used as the type of sin. See xii. 1; Ex. xii. 1, 15-20; 1 Cor. v. 6-8; Gal. v. 9. Here, however, the only point considered is its rapid, and unseen, and effectual working. The former parable illustrates the growth of the Gospel, the latter its transforming power.
tis ả̀cúpov oáta tola. Eázoy (the Hebr. seah, about a peck) occurs only here and at Matt, xiii. 33. (Gen. xviii. 6, LXX. $\mu \epsilon \tau \rho o v$. ) The verisinilitude, simplicity, and vividness of the parables arise from the natural and specific details introduced into them. To press these into separate lessons only leads to arbitrary exegesis and false theology. Probably the "three measures" are only mentioned because they are the ordinary amount which a woman would leaven at one time. If any one likes to improve the detail by applying it to (1) body, soul, and spirit (1 Thess. v. 23) ; or (2) to Jews, Samaritans, and Galilaeans; or (3) to the three sons of Noah (!), as representing Semites, Aryans, and Allophylians,-it should be understood that these are pious applications, and interesting plays of fancy, not comments on our Lord's words.
 and the whole world (xxiv. 47).

> 22-30. The Narrow Door.
 journey through the several cities and villages.' The кatd is distributive. Some see in this the starting-point of a separate journey. The expression is too vague on which to build. It may imply a fresh progress after some brief period of rest.
 see Matt. xii. 10. The question may naturally have arisen from the last teachings respecting the small beginnings of the Kingdom of God. There is nothing to shew whether it was suggested by speculative curiosity, or by despondent pity. But without directly rebuking such questions, our Lord, as in other instances, strove to place the ques-
tioners in a wiser frame of mind (Deut. xxir. 29). The answer is a direct discouragement to all pitiless, and especially to all self-righteous, eschatologies. It is a solemn assertion of the necessity for earnest, personal endeavour. Thus to all idle attempts to define the certainties of the future, our Lord says, Consider the question with reference to yourself, not with reference to others. Look at it in the spirit of the publican, not in the spirit of the Pharisee. The wisdom and necessity of the answer may be seen from 2 Esdras viii., where the question is discnssed, and where it is assumed that few only will be saved, "The most High hath made this world for many, but the world to come for few" (viii. 1). "There are many more of them which perish than of them which shall be saved; like as a wave is greater than a drop" (ix. 15, 16). "Let the multitude perish then" (id. 22). Part, at least, of the Book of Esdras is probably post-Christian.
of $\sigma \omega{ }^{\prime}$ b́ $\mu$ ยуot. Literally, 'who are bing saved,' i.e. who are in the way of salvation. The same word occurs in Acts ii. 47, and is the opposite to $\dot{\alpha} \pi 0 \lambda \lambda \mu \mu \varepsilon y_{0}, ~ " t h o s e ~ t h a t ~ a r e ~ p e r i s h i n g, ' ~ I ~ C o r . ~ i . ~ 18 ; ~ 2 ~ C o r . ~$ ii. 15.
24. mpòs aủroús. He does not directly answer the individual questioner, but lays down a general principle for the benefit of all.
éyoutgeofs. The word implies the strong cfforts of a contest. 1 Tim. vi, 12.
 (NBDL) for mintrs. Matt. vii. 13. The "strait" of the A.V. meant 'narrow' (from strictus). We ind the same conception-derived from Scripture-in the Mahometan notion of the arch of Al Seirat, narrow as a razor's edge, over which the good pass into Paradise; and in 2 Esdras vii. 7, "The entrance [of the city] is narrow, and is set in a dangerous place to fall, like as if there were a fire on the right haud, and on the left a deep water."
 they only seek and do not strive, nor do they look for the narrow door. Thes wish for heaven, but will not abandon earth. Sometimes also because they seek too late (Prov. i. 28, 29; Is. i. 15; John vii. 34; Heb. xii. 17), but mainly because they seek to enter through other ways by which there is no entrance, since Christ is the only door (John x. 7, xiv. 6).
 first application of the warning was to Jews who relied on their privileges appears from the fact that the excluded class are not poor sinners, but self-righteous Pharisees who claim entrance as their right.

 indicates the persistence of the attempts; bat all excuse shall be cut short at once, iii. 8 .
 how our Lord discouraged all notions of any advantage derived from fleshly privileges, or even from prozimity to Himself. Rom. ii. 17-20.
 'You think yourselves safe as children of Abraham, but I know not whence you are." 2 Tim. ii. 19, "The foundetion of God standeth sure, having this seal, The Lord knoweth them that are His. And, Let every one that nameth the name of Christ depart from iniquity."
28. Ekєî. This is explained by Euthymius to mean then (év exelve
 It is better however to understand it to mean 'depart to the place where' (by brachylogy).
 anguish and of rage (Acts vii. 54).
 the Old Testament, altered this into $\pi$ deras ròs $\delta$ ckaious.
 is an obvious reference to Is. xlix. 12, xlv. 6 . Nothing more furiously excitcd the envy of the Jews than the free admission of the Gentiles to those privileges of the Kingdom of Heaven (Eph. iii. 6) which they themselves rejected. Rom. xi. 1-36; Acts xiii. 44 - 52.
dvak入ıA'forval. 'Shall recline at banquet,' xi. 37, xiv. 8, \&c.; Mark vi. 39. Godet rightly says that the Epistles to the Romans and Galatians are commentaries on these words.
30. kail iSov́. The phrase sometimes implies 'strange as you may think it.' It occurs 23 times in St Matthew, 16 in St Luke; but not in St Mark,
 expression more than once. Matt. xix. 30. It had, besides its universal trathfulness, a special bearing on His own time. "The publicans and the harlots go into the Kingdom of God before you,' Matt. xxi. 31. "The Gentiles, which followed not after righteousness, have attained to righteousness," Rom. ix. 30.
"There above (on earth)
How many hold themselves for mighty kings, Who here like swine shall wallow in the mire, Leaving behind them horrible dispraise." Dante, Inferno.
31-35. A Message to Herod Antipas.

 Gadarenes to get rid of Jesus; but whether this was their sole motive or whether thcy further wished to separate Him from the multitudes who as yet protected His life, and to pat Him in the power of the Sadducean hierarchy, is not clear. That their solicitude for His safety was
parely hypocritical appears in the tone of our Lord's answer, which is yet far more merciful than that in which the prophet Amos had answered a similar message from an analogous quarter. Amos vii. 12-17.
 quite untrue. Herod had not even wished to kill John, but had done so with great reluctance, and had been deeply troubled in conscience ever since. He did indeed wish to see Christ, but it was with the very different desire of "seeing some miracle done by Him" (xxiii, 8).
32. Tî dhútekı та⿱㇒t口. 'This she-fox,' as though Christ sew him actually present, or identified his fox-like natare with that which the Pharisees were now displaying. The fact that the word is feminine may be only due to its being generic. The fox was among the ancients, as well as among the moderns, the type of knavish craftiness and covert attack (comp. $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \omega \pi \epsilon \kappa l{ }_{j} \omega$, Ar. Vesp. 1241, and Ajex calls Odyssens a fox, kivados). This is the only word of onmitigated contempt (as distinguished from rebuke and scorn) recorded among the utterances of Christ, and it was more than justified by the mingled tyranny and timidity, insolence and baseness of Herod Antipas-a half-Samaritan, half-Idumaean tetrarch, who, professing Judaism, lived in heathen practices, and goveraed by the grace of Caesar and the help of alien mercenaries; who had murdered the greatest of the Prophets to gratify a dancing wanton; and who was living at that moment in an adultery doubly-incestuous with a woman of whom he had treacherously robbed his brother while he was his guest.

бп́pepor кai cüplov. It is probable that these expressions are general (as iu Hos. vi. 2). They mean 'I shall stay in Herod's dominions with perfect security for a brief while longer till my work is done.' It must be remembered that Peraea was in the tetrarchate of Herod, so that this incident may have occurred daring the slow and solemn progress towards Jerusalem.
 variously rendered and explained. Bleek makes it mean 'I shall end' (my work in Galilee); Godet, 'I am being perfected,' in the sense of 'I shall arrive at the destined end of my work'; Resch, 'I complete my work' by one crowning miracle (John xi. 40-44). This solemn meaning best accords with other usages of the word, e.g. in the cry from the Cross retelearac, 'It is finished' (John xix. 30). See too Heb. v. 9, xi. 40. $\tau \in \lambda \epsilon t \omega \sigma t s$ became an ecclesiastical term for 'martyrdom.'
 vs. 31, "depart." It seems to imply, 'I will not leave Herod's dominions, but I shall journey on at my own leisure through them.'
ovik $\begin{gathered}\text { ev } \\ \text { EXerat, } \\ \text { i.e. there }\end{gathered}$ is a moral unfiness in the murder of a Prophet anywhere but in Jerusalem. The words are those of terrible irony; and yet, even amid the irony, the voice of the Speaker seemed to break with tears as He attered the tender appeal of the next verse.
 again in the Great Denunciation of the Tuesdry in Passion Week, Matt. xxiii. 37. It is noticeable that the form 'Iepovan $\lambda \dot{\eta} \mu$ is always used by St Luke ( 26 times) except in 3 places. The other Synoptists always nse 'Tepood $\lambda^{\prime} v \mu a$ except in Matt. xxiii. 37. No certain conclusion can be built on this, for St Paul uses both forms in the same Epistle (Gal. i. 17, iv. 25).
 ness iodred in it; but now murderers" (Is. i. 21). See xi. 47, xx. 14; Matt. xxiii. 34; 2 Esdr. i. 32, "I sent unto you my servants the prophets whom yo have taken and slain, and torn their bodies in pieces, whose blood I will require of your hands, saith the Lord."
moodákıs. This, like other passages in the Synoptists, inpplies more frequent visits to Jerusalem than they actually record.
 A metaphor still more tender and appealing than that of the eagle which "stirreth up her nest, finttereth over her young, apreadeth abroad her wings, taketh them, beareth them on her winga" of Deut. xxxii. 11, 12.
oúk $\eta^{\prime} \theta \in \lambda$ j́ $\sigma a \tau \epsilon$. 'Ye willed it not' though 'I willed it.' The words indicate "the sad privilege which man possesses of resisting the most serious infuences of grace."
 topuos ('desolate') is very doubtiul, as it is omitted in NABKL, dc. The words therefore mean 'The Shechinah has vanished from you now (Ezek. x. 19, xi. 23). The house is now yours, not God's ; and vecause yours therefore a cave of brigands.' If the word Eppuos be genuine, it may allude to Dan. ix. 27 and "the desolating wing of alomination," as well as to other prophecies, Lev. xxvi. 31; Mic. iii. 12; Is. v. 5, 6. There is a remarkable parallel in 2 Esdras i. 30-33, "I gathered you together as a hen gathereth her chickens under her wings: but now, what shall I do unto you? I will cast you out from wy face....Thus saith the Almighty Lord, your house is desolate, I will cast you out as the wind doth stubble."
 Talmad that hangs over their eyes is twice as heavy as the veil of Moses." Van Oosterzee.
 ${ }_{a} \mu$ is a frequent Homeric idiom, though hardly found in Attic prose. lt implies the event apart from all supposition. (See Winer, p. 372.) If the reading be $e_{\omega s}$ ay $n \xi \eta$ it implies that the time would come, though none could say (ay) when it should come. It is a most frivolous interpretation of these words to make them merely refer to the Hosannas of Palm Sunday (xix. 38) as though they meant, 'I shall not visit Jerusalem till the day of my humble trinmph.' They clearly refer to the future and final penitence of Israel. The 'perfecting' of desus would be His death, and then once again He would return as
"the Coming One." Hos. iii. 4, 5; Ps. cxviii. 26. Here, as in so many other stern passages of Scripture, in the Valley of Achor is opened a door of Hope, for the phrase implies 'till the time comes as come it will' (Zeeh. xii.; Rom. xi.).

## CHAPTER XIV.

 by NBDL.

ס. viơ' nin $\beta$ oûs. See the note.
10. áváтtere. NABE, \&o. Other mss. have ávdrefal, which Meyer prefers as the less familiar form. The dyáne $\sigma=\boldsymbol{y}$ of the Rec. is ill-supported.

Ch. XIV. The fariofe Discourgfs of Jebus at a Banquet. "Ter Son of Man eating and drinifing."

## 1-6. Sabbath healino of a Man with the Dropst.

1. tıvos тäv ápXóvtav tôv Sapıraiov. 'Of the Rulers of the Pharisees.' Vulg. Cujusdam principis Pharisaeorum. The rendering of our version gives the general sense but is inadmissible. It is perhaps due to the translators being aware that the Pharisees had (strictly speaking) no Rulers. There were no grades of distinction between Pharisees as such. But obviously the expression might be popularly used of a Pharisee who was an eminent Rabbi like Hillel or Shammai, or of a Pharisee who was also a Sanhedrist.
баß阝áт甲 фаүєiv ápтоv. Sabbath entertainments of a luxarious and joyous character were the rule among the Jews, and were even regarded as a religious duty (Nehem. viii. 9--12; Tob. ii. 1; John xii. 2). All the food was however cooked on the previous day (Ex. xvi. 23). That our Lord accepted the invitation, though He was well aware of the implacable hostility of the Pharisaic party towards Him, was due to His gracions spirit of forgiving friendliness; and to this we owe the beautiful picture of His discourse and bearing throughout the feast which this chapter preserves for us. Every incident and remark of the banquet was turned to good. We have first the scene in the house (1-6); then the mancuvres to secure precedence at the meal ( $7-11$ ); then the lesson to the host about the choice of guests (12-14); then the Parable of the King's Feast suggested by the vapid exclamation of one of the company (15-24).

кal aúrol ग̂jaav maparjpoú $\mu$ evol aúróv. 'And they themselves were carefally watching Him, comp. vi. 7. The invitation in fact even more than those in vii. 36 , xi. 37 was a mere plot;-part of that elaborate espionnge, and malignant heresy-hunting (xi. 53, 54, xx. 20; Mk. xii. 13), which is the mark of a decadent religion, and which the Pharisees performed with exemplary diligence. The Pharisees re-
garded it as their great object in life to exalt their sacred books; had they never read so much as this-"the wioked watcheth the righteous and seeketh occasion to alay him" Ps. Ixxvii. 32; or "all that watch for iniquity are cut off, that make a man an offender for a word, and lay a snare for him that reproveth in the gate' Is. xxix. 20, 21 ?
 verse represents with inimitable vividness the flash of recognition with which the Lord at once grasped the whole meaning of the scene. The dropsical man was not one of the guests; he stood as though by accident in the promiscuous throng which may always enter an Oriental house during a meal. But his presence was no accident. The dropsy is an unsightly, and was regarded as an ineurable, dieease. The Pharisaic plot had therefore been concocted with that complex astuteness which marks in other instances (xx. 19-38; John viii. 5) also the deadiness of their purpose. They argred (i) that He could not ignore the presence of a man conspicuously placed in front of Fim; (ii) that perhaps He might fail in the cure of a disease exceptionally inveterate; (iii) that if He did heal the man on the Sabbath day there would be room for another charge before the synagogue or the Sanhedrin. One element which kindled our Lord's indignation against the Pharisees for these crafty sohemes was the way in which they made a mere tool of human misery and human shame.
 v. 22. He took the initiative, and answered their unspoken thoughts.
 49; Acts i. 6. In later Greek al became a mere interrogative particle. We have already seen (vi. 1-11, xiii. 11-17; comp. John $\nabla .11$, ix. 14) that these Sabbath disputes lay at the very centre of the Pharisaic hatred to him, because around the ordinance of the Sabbath they had concentrated the worst puerilities and formalisms of the Oral Lart; and because the Sabbath had sunk from a religious ordinance into a national institution, the badge of their exclusiveness and pride. But this perfectly simple and transparent question at once defeated their views. If they said 'It is not lawful' they exposed themselves before the people to those varied and overwhelming refutations which they had already undergone (see on ziii. 15). If they said 'It is lawful' then cecidit quaestio, and their plot had come to nothing.
ทirúxarav. It was the silence of a splenetic pride and obstinacy which while secretly convinced determined to remain unconvinoed. But such silence was His complete pablic justification. If the contemplated miracle was unlawful why did not they-the great religious authorities of Judaism-forbid it?
 him.
5. vids $\hat{\eta}$ ßoûs. The unquestionable reading if we are to follow
the sss. is vios $\hat{\eta}$ foivs. The strangeness of the collocation (which however may be taken to imply 'a son-nay even an oz') has led to the conjectural emendation of vids into otis ' $a$ sheep' (whence the reading $\pi p b$ barov 'a sheep' in D) or tyos 'an ass' which was suggested by Deut. xxii. 4. When however it is a question between two readings it is an almost invariable rale that the more difficult is to bo preferred as the more likely to have been tampered with. Furthor (i) Scripture never has "ass and ox" but always "ox and ass;" and (ii) "son" is a probable allusion to Ex, xxiii. 12, "thine ox and thine ass and the son of thine handmaid shall rest on the sebbath," and (iii) the collocation 'son and ox' is actually found in some Rabbinic parallels. If it be eaid that 'a son falling into a well' is an unnsual incident, the answer seems to be that pits (as in Matt. xii. 2) and wells (as here) are so common and often so unprotected in Palestine that the incident must have been less rare than it is among us.
 trahat. They would draw him out although the Sabbath labour thos involved would be considerable. And why would they do this? because they had been taught, and in their better mind distinctly felt, that meray was above the ceremomial law (Deut. xxii. 4). An instance which had happened not many years before shews how completely they were blinding and stritifying their own better instincts in their Sabbath quibblings against our Lord. When Hillel-then a poor porter-had been found half-frozen under masses of snow in the window of the lecture-room of Shemaiah and Abtalion where he had hidden himself to profit by their wisdom because he had been unable to earn the small fee for entrance, they had rubbed and resuscitated him though it was the Sabbath day, and had said that he was one for whose salee it was well worth while to break the Sabbath.
 never makes any difference in the convictions of ignorant hatred and superstitious narrowness.

## 7-11. Humilty ; Lesson for the Guests.


$\pi \rho{ }^{2} \mathrm{~s}$ rovis $\kappa \in \kappa \lambda \eta \mu$ erous. To the invited guests, as distinguished from the onlookers.
intix $\omega v$. Sc. voiv, turning his attention to the fact (animadvertens, attendens).
 straggle for precedence as they were taking their places-a small ambition so universal that it even affected the Apostles (Mik. ix. 34)gave Him the opportunity for a lesson of Humility.
rdis mpotok ${ }^{2}$ olas. The best couches, i, e. the chiof places at table. These at each of the various triclinia would be those numbered 2,5 , and 8 . The host usually sat at 9 .


See Smith's Dict. of Antiquities, s.7. Triclinium.
8. eis yópous. The term is used generally for any great feast; but perhaps our Lord here adopted it to make His lesson less immediately personal.
 other better than themselves."
 place. 'The 'room' of the A. V. meant 'place' as in Ps. xxxi. 8. If, by the time that the guests are seated, it be found that some one has thrust himself into too high a position for his rank, when he is removed he will find all the other good places occupied. There is an obvious reference to Prop. xxp. 6, 7. How much the lesson was needed to check the arrogant pretensions of the Jewish theologians, is shewn again and again by the Talmud, where they assert no reward to be too good or too exalted for their merits. Thus at a banquet of King Alexander Jannaeus, Rabbi Simeon Ben Shetach, in spite of the presence of some great Persian Satraps, thrust himself at table between the King and Queen, and, when rebuked for his intrusion, quoted in his defence Ecelus. xv. 5, "Exalt wisdom, and she...shall make thee sit among princes."
 this verb is found.

Sóga. 'Glory.' It need, however, hardly be said that nothing is farther from our Lord's intentions than to teach mere calculating worldly politeness. From the simple facts of life that an intrusive person renders himself liable to just rebuffs, he draws the great spiritual lesson so much needed by the hanghty religious professors by whom He was surrounded, that

> "Humble we must be if to heaven we go; High is the roof there, but the door is low."
 A. $\overline{\text {. }}$ is a needless and enfeebling variation. See on i. 52 , xiii. 30, and Matt, xxịi, 12. A similar lesson is prominent in the Book of

Proverbs (xy. 33, xyi. 18, 19, xxix. 23), and is strongly enforced by St Peter (1 Pet. v. 5).

## 12-14. Whom to invite; a Legson to tae Host.

 utterances, we must take into account (1) the idioms of Oriental speech; (2) the rules of common sense, which teach as to distingaish between the letter and the spirit. It is obvious that our Lord did not mean to fortid the common hospitalities between kinsmen and equals, but only, as the context shews, (1) to discourage a mere interested hospitality intended to secure a return; and (2) to assert that unselfish generosity is superior to the common civilities of friendiness. The "not" therefore means, as often elsewhere in Scriptare, "not ouly, hat also," or "not so much...as," as in Prov. viii. 10; John vi. 27; 1 Cor. i. 17, xv. 10; 1 Tim. ii. 9, \&e. In other words, "not" sometimes denies "not absolutely but conditionally (Gal. v. 21) and comparatively (1 Cor. i. 17)." See Matt. ix. 13; Jer. vii. 22; Joel ii. 13; Heb. viii. 11. In Hellenistic Greek $\phi \omega v \in \hat{p}$ is used for калєîr.
$\mu \dot{\eta} \pi \mathbf{o t e}$ kal aùrol к.т.入. Lest perchance they too. "This," says Bengel, "is a fear not known to the world." The turn of the sentence is, in fact, what a Greek would have described as a happy mapd тробঠoкiay. It teaches by surprise.
 are asking for gifts, Sextus, not for friends."
13. кáiet $\pi$ rewovis. Matt. xxf. 35. The duty is recognised in another form by Nehemiah. "Eat the fat, and drink the sweet, and send portions unto them for whom nothing is prepared," Nehem. viii. 10 .
 the same motive by St Paul, 1 Tim. vi. 17-19. By the phrase "the resurrection of the just," our Lord possibly referred to the twofold resurrection, xx. 35 ; 1 Cor. xv. 23; 1 Thess. iv. 16, \&c. But the allusion may be more general, Acts xaiv. 10.

15-24. Tee Refused Banquet; a Lesson to a Guest.
 wanted to diminish the force of the rebukes implied in the previous lessons by a vapid general remark. At any rate, he seems to have assumed that he would be one of those who would sit at the heavenly feast which should inaugurate the new aeon, and from which, like ail Jews, he held it to be almort inconceivable that any circumcised scn of Abraham should be excluded. Hence the warning involved in this parable which was meant to prove how amall was the real anxiety to aecept the divine invitation.
 occur in Rev, xix. 9. The Jews connected the advent of the Messianic

Kingdom with banquets of food more delicious than manna－the flesh of Leviathan，and the bird Bar Juchne．
 this parable and that of the King＇s Supper（Matt．zxii．1－10）will be clear to any one who will read them side by side．He who gives the invitation is God．Ps，xxp． 6.
kai éкáגcoev mod入oús．This implies the breadth and ultimate uni－ versality of the Gospel message．But as yet the＂many＂are the Jews，who（in the first application）are indicated by those who refnse．
 a custom in the East，Prov．ix．1－5；Thomson，Land and Book，r． ch．ix．The message of the servant corresponds to the ministry of John the Baptist and of Jesus Himself．
 heaven is at hand，＂x．1，9；Matt．iii．1， 2.

 $j \rho \theta \hat{j} s$ ，de．They are rather colloquial than classical phrases．

тарaıтє̂̂r円al．Deprecari． 2 Macc．ii．31；Acts xxv．11．The Greek word is the exact equivalent of our＇to beg off＇，The same fact is indioated in John i．11，v．40，and in the＂ye would not＂of xiii． 34 ； and the reason is the antipathy of the natural or carnal man（ 6 $\psi v \chi$ eross）to God，John xv． 24.
भुyópara．These aorists simply regard the facts asserted as single aets．
＂Xє $\mu \in$ тapprtpévov．Consider nee as huving been excused．（Comp． eixay＇they considered＇in Matt．xiv．5．）The very form of the ex－ pression involves the consciousness that his excuse of necessity
 on the me－＂excusatom me habeas＂－it may be the duty of others to go；$I$ am an exception．
 decenoy to plead any necessity．He merely says＇I am going to test my oxen，＇and implies＇my will is sufficient reason．＇
20．os Súvapar eג日êv．The＇I cannot，＇as in xi． 7 ，is only an euphemism for＇I will not．＇He thinks his excuse so valid that there can be no question about it．He relies doubtless on the principle of the exemption from war，granted to newly－married bridegrooms in Deut．xxiv．5．Compare Hat．i． 36 where Croesus declines to let his
 St Paul is alluding to this parable in 1 Cor．vii．29－33，＂The time is short：it remaineth，that both they that have wives be as though they had none；．．．and they that buy，as though they possessed not；and they that use thisworld，as not using it to the full．＂Thus the threehindrances are possessions，wealth，pleasures．But，as Bengel says，neither the field （Matt．xiii．44），aor the plowing（1x．62），nor the wedding（2 Cor．xi．2）
need have been any real hindrance. The 'aacred hate' of ve. 26 would have cured all these excuses.
 have here a shadow of the complaints and lamentations of our Lord over the stiffecked obstinaey of the Jews in rejecting Him.

"God, when He's angry here with any one His wrath is free from perturbation; And when we think His looks are sour and grim The alteration is in us, not Him."

## Hermigr.

 the pablicans, sinners, and harlots-the lost sheep of the House of Israel, iv. 18; Mk. xii. 37; Matt. xxi. 32; James ii. E. In classic Greek pou $\mu_{\eta}$ means 'a rush.' In later Greek (probably as a colloquialism) it acquired the sense of alley.
 vacuum.' Bengel.
23. ©is tás ódov̀s кal фpaүpoús, i.e, outside the city; intimating the ultimate eall of the Gentiles.
 suasion as that described in $2 \mathrm{Tim} . \mathrm{iv}$. 2. For this use of divarкd́jw comp. Matt. xiv. 22. The compulsion wanted is that used by Paul the Apostle, not by Saul the Inquisitor. The abuse of the word "Compel" in the canse of intolerance is one of the many instances which prove the deadliness of that mechanical letter-worship which attributes infallibility not only to Scripture, but even to its own ignorant misinterpretations. The compulsion is merciful, not sanguinary; it is a compulsion to imward acceptance, not to outward conformity; it is employed to overcome the humble despair of the penitent, not the proud resistance of the heretic. Otherwise it would have been applied, not to the poor saffering outcasts, but to the haughty and privileged persons who had refused the first invitation. Yet even Augustine shews some tendency to this immoral perversion of the words in his "Forisinveniatur neeessitas, nascitur intus voluntas." Others apply it to threats of eternal punishment, and a ministry which dwells on lessons of wrath. Maldonatus well says "adeo rogandos...ut quodammodo compelli videantur." Those who refused the invitation were not dragged in.
 the language of our Lord, indirectly assuming that His hearers would see the bearing of this parable.
 now been distinctly and deliberately rejected at Nazareth (iv, 29) and Jerusalem (John viii. 69); in Judaea, Samaria (ix, 53), Galilee (x. 13),
and Peraea (viii. 37). "Seeing ye put it from you, and judge yourselves unworthy of everlasting life, lo, we tarn to the Gentiles," Acts xiii. 46; Heb. xii. 25; Matt. xxi. 43, xxii. 8.

25-35. Lessons of Whole-heabtedness, and of Cojntina the Cost; the Tower-bdilder; the warbing King; the savourless Salt.
 neying with Him (towards Jerusalem) numerous crowds. This is evidently a scene of the journey, when many separate caravans of the Galilaean pilgrims were aecompanying Him on their way to one of the great Jewish feasts. The warning might have prevented them from following Him now, and shouting 'Crueify Him' afterwards.
 the milder word karadel $e t$. It is not so much the true explanation to say that hate here means love less (Gen. xxix. 31), as to say that when our nearest and dearest relationships prove to be positive obstacles in coming to Christ, then all natural affections must be flung aside; comp. Deut. xiii. 6-9, xxi. 19-21, xxxiii. 8, 9. A reference to Matt. x. 37 will shew that 'hate' means hate by comparison. Our Lord parposely stated great prineiples in their boldest and even most paradoxical form by which He alone has succeeded in impressing them for ever as principles on the hearts of His disciples. The 'love of love' involves a necessity for the possible 'hate of hate,' as even worldly poets have understood.

> "Va, je t'aimais trop pour ne pas te haïr."
> "I could not love thee, dear, so much Loved I not honour more."

Lovelace.
 the word 'hate.' The $\psi u \chi$ ' 'soul' or 'animal life' is the seat of the passions and temptations which naturally alienate the spirit from Christ. These must be hated, mortified, crucified if they cannot be controlled; and life itself must be oheerfolly saorificed, Rev. xii, 11; Acts xx. 24. "Il faut vipre dans ce monde," says St Francis de Sales, "comme si nous avions l'erprit au ciel, et le corps au tombeau."
27. oú $\beta$ a.arátet ròv oravpd̀ aủrov̂. Not only must self be mortified, but even the worst sufferings endured, 1 Thess. iii. 4, 5. The allusion to the cross must still have been mysterions to the hearers (Matt. x. 38), the more so since they were dreaming of Messianic triamphs and festivities.
 meant, like the previous teachings, to warn the expectant multitudes that to follow Christ in the true sense might be a far more serious matter than they imagined. They are significant lessons on the duty of deliberate choice which will not shrink from the ultimate consequences-the duty of counting the cost (see Matt. xx. 22). Thus
they involve that lesson of "patient continuance in well-doing," which is so often inculcated in the New Testament.
29. $\pi$ áv this might have actually happened in some well-known instance, since the Herodian family had a passion for great buildings and probably found many imitators. First failure, then shame awaits renegade professions and extinguished enthusiasms.
 in battle.' There may be an historical allusion here to the disturbed relations between Herod Antipas and his injured father-in-law Hareth, king of Arabia, which (after this time) ended in the total defeat of the former (Jos. Antt. xymi. 5, § 3).
 interpretation which sees Man and Satan in the warring kings. Another view is that it implies the hostility of man to God, and the urgent need of being reconciled to Him (e.g. Bengel says on the words 'king,' "Christiana militia regale quiddam"). That however is never a calculated hostility which deliberately sits down and expects to win the victory; otherwise it would be a good inference that " $a$ Christian's weakness is his strength." It is a mistake, and one which often leads to serious errors, to press anduly the details of parables; as when for instance some would see in the 10,000 soldiers a reference to the Ten Commandments. The general lesson is-Do not undertake what you have neither the strength nor the will to ackieve, nor that in which you are not prepared, if need be, to sacrifice life itself.
 ceth not all his possessions' (Vulg. renunciat, comp. ix. 61); i.e. every affection, gift or possession that interferes with true discipleship. We must be ready 'to count all things but loss for Christ,' Phil. iii. 7, 8.
34 кadòv oivy id ülas, the true reading (Salt therefore is good), connecting this verse with what has gone before. This similitude was thrice used by Christ with different applications. "Ye are the salt of the earth," Matt. v. 13. "Have salt in yourselves," Mr. ix. 50. Here the salt is the inward energy of holiness and devotion, and in the fate of salt which has lost its savour we see the peril which ensues from neglect of the previons lessons.
35. EEw Bódגouatv aúco. Forth they fing it! There is not a moment's doubt that it has become perfectly useless. There is nothing stronger than salt which can restore to it its lost pungency. Hence, if it have been spoilt by rain or exposure, it is only fit to be used for paths. The peril of backsliding, the worthlessness of the state produced by apostasy, is represented in St John (xp. 6) by the cotting off and burning of the dead and withered branch. The main lesson of these three similitudes is expressed with its full force in Heb. vi. 4-12, x. 26-39; and the importance of it is emphasized by the proverbial expression, "He that hath ears to hear" (Matt. xi. 15 ; Deut. xxix. 4; Is. vi. 9, 10).

## CHAPTER XV.

16. Yeplaal tỉv кouliav aútô̂ àmó. NBDL, \&c. Other mss. have softened it into $\chi$ optaroñvac $\begin{gathered} \\ \kappa\end{gathered}$. See note.
17. $\mathbb{\$ 8 \epsilon}$. NBL. It has probably been lost in some msa. by homoeoteleuton with $\epsilon \gamma \dot{\text { ® }} \delta \delta$.

Cf. XV. Parables for Publicang and Sinners. The Loye and fref Forgiveness of God.

## 1-10. The Lost Sheep.

 aikoúctv avizov. 'And there were drawing near to Him all the taxgatherers and the sinners to listen to Him.' The sfaay érytiontes seems to imply that group after group of these neglected classes approached Him. St Chrysostom says that their very life was legalised sin and specious greed. On the publicans, see iii. 12, v. 27. 'The sinners' mean in general the degraded and outcast classes. See Introd. and Wordeworth, ad loc. The three parables which follow are essentially parables of grace, and their main thought is illustrated in the discourse about the Good Shepherd and His other sheep not of this fold, in John x. 1-18.
 "With arid heart they blame the very Fount of Mercy," Gregory the Great. In all ages it had been their sin that they sought not the lost.' Ezek. xxxiv. 4.
ot $\tau €$ Фapıraion kal oi ypapнатєîs. See Excursus VI.
кail वuver $\theta$ if avitois. He not only gives them a genial welcome
 none of the bitter contempt to which they were accustomed from the 'religious authorities' of Palestine. Even their touch was regarded as unclean by the Pharisees. But our Lord, who read the heart, knew that the religious professors were often the worse sinners before God, and He associated with sinners that He might save them. "Ideo secutus est...usque ad mensam, ubi maxime peccatur." Bengel. It is this yearning of redemptive love which finds its richest illustration in these three parables. They contain the very essence of the Glad Tidings, and two of them are peculiar to St Luke.
 three parables we have pictures of the bewildered sinner (3-7); the unconseious sinner ( $8-10$ ); the voluntary sinner (11-32).
4. Tis ädpowtos. The word is used to suggest the truth that $a$ fortiori God (vs. 7) will be even more compassionate.
ekardv $\pi \rho \underline{\beta} \beta a \pi a$. And yet out of this large flock the Good Shepherd grieves for one which strays. There is an Arab saying that God has divided pity into a hundred parts, and kept ninety-nine for Himself.
tv $\tau \hat{p}$ 'pripq, i.e. the Midbar, or pastures; see ii. 8 . The sheep are left of course under minor shepherds, not uncared for. Some ree in the Lost Sheep the whole human race, and in the ninety-nine the Angels: as though mankind were but a hundredth part of God's flock.

Ews dipp aviro. Strange that utterances so gracious as this should be utterly passed over, when so many darker details are rigidly pressed!
 ecuroû, 'his own shoulders.' All anger against the folly of the wanderer is swallowed up in love, and joy at its recovery. "He bare our sins in His own body," 1 Pet. ii. 24. We have the same metaphor in the Psalm of the shepherd king (Ps. cxix. 176; comp. Is. liii. 6; John x. 11), and in the letter of the Apostle to whom had been addressed the words, "Feed my sheep," 1 Pet. ii. 25. This verse supplied a favourite subject for the simple and joyous art of the catacombs. Tert. De Pudic. 7. See Lundy, Monumental Christianity, pp. 150 sq .

ovvx́ppte pol. "For the joy set before Him, He endured the cross," Heb, xii. 2 ; comp. Is. liii. 11.
7. $\lambda$ ey ${ }^{\text {uipiv. }}$ I-who know (John i. 51).
 follows a positive and not a comparative form, as in $\theta \epsilon \lambda \omega$ ท, 1 Cor. xiv.
 $\kappa a \lambda b \nu \quad \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu . . \eta \eta$, Matt. xviii. 8. This construction is frequent in the LXX, being an imitation of the Hebrew phafter an adjective. See vs. 10; Matt. xviii. 13. St Luke's report is the more tender and enthusiastic.
 irony both in the words "just" and "repentance." Neither word ean be understood in its full and true sense; but only in the inadequate sense which the Pharisees attached to them. See v. 32. The 'Pharisees and scribes' in an external sense were 'just persons,' for as a class their lives were regular, though we learn from Josephus and the Tralmad that many individuals among them were guilty of flagrant sins. But that our Lord uses the defcription with a holy irony is clear from the parable of the Pharisee and the publican (see xpiii. 9). They trusted in themselves that they were righteous, and despised others. They did need repentance (carebant), but did not want it (non egebant). It was a fixed notion of the Jews that God had "not appointed repentance to the just, and to Abraham, and Isaac, and Jacob, which have not sinned against thee" (Prayer of Manasses).
8. Spaxpas Exoura Skec. Ten drachmas. This parable is pecu: liar to St Luke. The Greek drachma (about 10d.) corresponds to the Lutin denarius. Each represented a day's wages, and may bo ronghly rendered shilling. Tob. v. 14; Thuo. in. 17; Tac. Ann. I 17. These small silver coins were worn by women as a sort of ornamental fringe
round the forehead (the sensedi). The loss might therefore seem less trying than that of a sheep, but (1) in this case it is a tenth (not a hundredth) part of what the woman possesses; and (2) the coin has on it the image and superscription of a king (Gen. i. 27; Matt. xxii. 20). "We are God's drachma"--"I feel more strongly every day that everything is vanity; I cannot leave my soul in this heap of mud." Lacordaire (Chocarne, p. 42, E. Tr.). Further, this parable is meant to illustrate the gracious truth that the death of a simer causes a sense of personal loss ( $\dot{\eta} \nu$ am $\dot{\omega} \lambda \in \sigma a$ vs. 9) in the heart of the Heavenly Father. The former parable indicates the misery of the lost in themselves ( $\tau \delta$ d $\alpha \pi 0 \lambda \omega \lambda \delta s$, vs. 4).
äттеь $\lambda$ úx colloquial form for calpet. We should notice the thorough and deliberate method of the search. Some see in the woman a picture of the Chorch, and give a separate meaning to each particular; but "if we should attribute to every single word a deeper significance than appears, we should not seldom incur the danger of bringing mach into Scripture which is not at all contained in it." Zimmermann.
gos öтои єüpp. If it be admissible to build theological conclusions on the incidental expressions of parables, there should be, in these words, a deep source of hope.
 more personal joy, just as $\dot{\eta} p$ $\alpha \pi \dot{\omega} \lambda \epsilon \sigma a$ expresses a more personal loss.
 the woman be intended to represent the Church, the loss of the 'piece' entrusted to her may be in part, at least, her own fanlt.
 'joy in heaven' of vs. 7; the 'Te Deums of heaven over the victories of grace.
$\ell \pi i$ évi duapta入̣̂ $\mu$ eravooûvtl. "I have no pleasure in the death of the wicked; but that the wicked turn from his way and live." Ezek. xzxiii. 11.

## 11-32. The Son Lost and Fotnd.

11. tixev 8ío viov́s. The primary applications of this divine parable,-which is peculiar to St Luke, and would alone have added inestimable value to his Gospel-are (1) to the Pharisees and the 'sinners'-i.e. to the professedly religious, and the openly irreligions classes; and (2) to the Jews and Gentiles. This latter application however only lies indirectly in the parable, and it is doubtful whether it would have occurred consciously to those who heard it. This is the Evangelium in Evangelio. How much it soars above the conceptions of Christians, even after hundreds of years of Christianity, is shewn by the 'elder-brotherly spirit' which has so often been manifested (e.g. by Tertullian and all like him) in narrowing its interpretation.
 (Dect. xxi. 17). The granting of this portion corresponds to the natural gifts and blessings which God bestows on all alike, together with the light of conscience, and the rich elements of natural religion. Here we have the history of a sinful soul. Its sin (12, 13); its misery ( $14-16$ ); its penitence ( $17-20$ ); its forgiveness ( $20-24$ ),

Steîlev aútoîs ròv ßlov. See vi. 35. "The Lord is good to all," Ps. exlv. 9. "God is no respecter of persons," Acts x. 34. "He maketh His sun to rise on the evil, and on the good, and sendeth rain on the just and on the unjust," Matt. v. 45. But the boon, though granted in merciful accordance with a divine plan, was in
 i. 24-28), though that too is often a mercifully-intended punishment.
"God answers sharp and sudden on some prayers; And flings the thing we have asked for in our face, A gauntlet-with a gift in it." E. B. Brownina.
 of national, and (2) of individual degeneracy. "In some children," says Sir Thomas Elyot in The Governour, "nature is more prone to vice than to vertue, and in the tender wittes be sparkes of voluptuositie, whiche norished by any occasion or objecte, encrease oftentymes into so terrible a fre, that therwithall vertue and reason is consumed." The first sign of going wrong is yearning for spurious liberty.
 untes complectitur, Maldonatus. The Gentiles soon became "afar of"" from God (Acts ii. 39; Eph. ii. 17), "aliens from the commonwealth of Israel, and strangers from the covenants of promise, having no hope, and without God in the world." So too the ipdividual soul, in its temptations and its guiltiness, ever tries in vain to escape from God (Ps. cxxxix. 7-10) into the 'far country' of sin, which involves forgetfulness of Him. Jer. Ep. 146. Thus the younger son becomes "Lord of himself, that heritage of woe."
 occurs here only, and is derived from a, 'not,' and $\sigma \dot{s} \xi \omega$, 'I save.' The substantive occurs in 1 Pet. iv. 4; Eph. 7.18 . Aristotle defines ciowia as a mixture of intemperance and prodigality. For the historical fact indicated, see Rom. i. 19-32. The individual fact needs, alas! no illustration. One phrase-two words-is enough. Our loving Saviour does not dwell upon or darken the details of our sinfulness.

"On that hard Roman world, disgust
And secret loathing fell;
Deep weariness, and sated lust Made human life a hell."
M. Arnold.

Individually, "The limits are narrow within which, by watting his capital, a man obtains a supply of pocket-money." G. Macdonald.
 his heart's desire and sent leanness withal into his bones. The worst famine of all is "not a famine of bread or a thirst of water, but of hearing the words of the Lord" (Amos viii. 11); and in such a famine even "the fair virgins and young men faint for thirst" (id. vs. 13). "They have forsaken me the fountain of living waters, and hewed them out cisterns, broken cisterns, that can hold no water," Jer, ii. 13.
torx upá. $\Lambda \not \subset \mu \delta$ is made fem. as in Dorio.
ท̈pEato iotepeirfal. The whole heathen world at this time was saying, "Who will shew us any good?" Weariness, despair, and suicide were universal. Individually this is the retributive anguish of those who have wasted the gifts of life.

> "My days are in the yellow leaf, The flowers and fruits of love are gone, The worm, the anguish, and the grief
> Are mine alone.
> The fire that on my bosom preys
> Is lone as some volcanic isle;
> No toreh is kindled at its blaze-
> A funeral pile."

Brron.
 himself to one of the citizens.' There is, however, a touch of intended degradation in the word Exoג入 $\mathrm{y}^{2} \eta$. (Comp. Aesoh. Agam, 1566.) It means that he became absolutely dependent on his employer-a veritable astrictus glebae. In the N.T. this verb is chiefly used by St Luke and St Paul. Even in its worst and most willing exile the soul cannot cease to be by right a citizen of God's kingdom-a fellowcitizen with the saints, Eph. ii. 19. Its true citizenship ( $\pi 0$ ol $/ \tau \epsilon \mu \mu$ ) is still in heaven (Phil. iii. 20). By the 'citizen of the far country' is indicated either men hopelessly corrupt and worldly; or perhaps the powers of evil. We observe that in tbis far-off land, the Prodigal, with all his banquets and his larishness, has not gained a single friend. Sin never forms a real bond of pity and sympathy. The cry of tempters and accomplices ever is, "What is that to us? see thou to that."

Borkety xalpous. The intensity of this climax could only be duly felt by Jews, who had such a loathing and abhorrence for swine that they would not even name them, but spoke of a pig as dabhar acheer, 'the other thing.'
16. iтєtifel. "He was longing."
 surm. The plain expression-purposely adopted to add the last touch to the youth's degradation-gave offence to some copyists, who substituted for it the verb 'to be fed.' The reading adopted in our text is, however, certainly the true one, and perhaps implies that
from such food nothing could be hoped for but to allay the pangs of famine. He ouly hopes to 'fill his belly,' not to sate his hunger. Even the world's utmost gorgeousness and most unchecked sensuality could not avail to raise the sonl of men or of nations out of utter misery.
 swine were eating." кєpária (whence our carat) means 'little horns,' i.e. the long, coarse, sweetish, bean-shaped pods of the carob tree (ceratonia siliqua, St John's bread-tree), which were only used by the poorest of the population. Some (incorrectly) give the same meaning to the dxpides ('locusts') which formed the food of St John the Baptist.
 him either the husks or anything else. Satan has no desire for, and no interest in, even the smallest alleviation of the anguish and degradation of his victims. Even the vile earthly gifts, and base sensual pleasures, are withheld or become impossible. "Who follows pleasure, pleasure slays." When Augustine, Ambrose, Jerome, dc. explain the 'husks' to mean 'secular doctrines'; ' the famine lack of the word of truth'; the swine 'demons' \&e., they vulgarise the whole parable, and evaporate its exquisite poetry to leave no residuum but the dull "after-thoughts of theology."
17. els éavtdy $\delta \boldsymbol{\ell}$ eג $\lambda$ áv. His previous state was that of his false self-a brief delusion and madness-'the old man with his affections and lusts.' Now he was once more beginning to be "in his right mind." "The heart of the sons of men is full of evil, and madness is in their heart while they live," Eccl. ix. 3. In Aots xii. 11 the phrase is used of awaking from a trance. Comp. Lucret. rv. 994, "Donec discussis redeant erroribus ad se."

тóroc $\mu$ (ơtcol toû tatpós $\mu$ ou. The hired servants correspond to any beings who stand in a lower or more distant relation to God, yet for whom His love provides.
 pictorial, comp. Acts v. 17 ; Mk. i. 35, \&ec. The youth in the parable had loved his father, and would not doubt about His father's love; and in the region which the parable shadows forth, the mercy of God to the returning penitent has always been abundantly promised. Is. 1v. 7; Jer. iii. 12; Hos. xiv. 1, 2, \&. ; and throughout the whole New Testament.

та́тєр, ${ }^{\eta} \mu$ аротои. " Repentance is the younger brother of innocence itself." Fuller, Holy War.
 remorse is not enough; a journey must be taken: the back must be at once and finally turned on the far land; and all the shame of abandoned duties and forsaken friends be faced. "The course to the unific rectitude of a manly life" always appears to the sinner to be, and sometimes really is, "in the face of a scorching past and a dark future."
 sometimes were far ofi, are made nigh by the blood of Christ," Eph. ii. 13.
 $\delta \rho a \mu \omega \dot{\prime}$, especially in the case of an old man and an Oriental, marks the intensity of his love. On this full, frank, absolute forgiveness see Ps. ciii. 8-10, 12. On the tender Fatherly love of God see Is. xlix. 15; Matt. vii. 11, \&c.

кal катєфДппбеv avitóv. For the verb see vii. 38-45. 'Kissed hin warmly or closely,' Gen. xxxiii. 4; Matt. xxyi. 48.
21. та́тер, ग̈рартоv. 'Father, I sinned.' There is a deeper accent in this $\boldsymbol{\eta} \mu a \rho т о \nu$ than in that of vs. 18. Then he spoke in remorse for consequences; now in contrition for offences. Like a true penitent he grieves not for what he has lost, but for what he has done. Here again the language of David furnishes the truest and most touching comment, "I acknowledged my sin unto Thee, and mine iniquity have I not hid. I said, I will confess my transgressions unto the Lord, and Thou forgavest the iniquity of my sin," Ps. xxxii. 5. "There is forgiveness with Thee, that Thou mayest be feared," Ps. cxxx. 4. The Prodigal's penitence is not mere sorrow for punishment.
 guilt, which is the reason why David, though he had sianed so deeply against man, says "against Thee, Thee only have I sinned, and done this evil in Thy sight," Ps. li. 4.

Èvétrov. See i. 6, iv. 7, \&o.
22. eltev $8 \mathbf{\varepsilon} \dot{\delta}$ tarvip. He at once issues his commands to the servants; he answers his son by deeds not by words. It is as though he had purposely cut short the humble self-reproaching words of shame which would have entreated him to make his lost son like one of his hired servants. "While they are yet speaking, I will hear," Is. liv. 24.
 (Vulg. cito proferte); bat in any case the 'quickly' is implied in the aorist.
 23 ; Is. lxi. 10 ; Rev. iii. 18. Compare the remarkable scene of taking away the filthy rags from the High Priest Joshua, and clothing him with change of raiment, in Zech. iii. 1-10. It is literally 'the first robe' and some (e.g. Theophylact) have explained it of the robe he used to wear at home-the former robe. It means however rity гıцшта́тŋу (Euthym.).
visodifuata els tov̀s módas. Another sign that he is to be regarded as a son, and not as a mere sandalled or unsandalled slave (see on x. 4). Some have given special and separate significance to the best robe, as corresponding to the 'wedding garment,' the robe of Christ's
righteonsness (Phil. iii. 9); and have identifed the seal-ring with Baptism (Eph. i. 13, 14); and the shoes with the preparation of the Gospel of peace (Eph. vi. 15; Zeeh. x. 12); and in the next verse have seen in the 'fatted calf' an allusion to the Sacrifiee of Christ, or the Eucharist. Sach applications are pious and instructive afterthoughts, though the latter is as old as Irenaeus; but it is doubtful whether the elaboration of them does not weaken the impressive grandeur and unity of the parable, as revealing the love of God even to His erring children. We mast not confuse Parable with Allegory. The one dominant meaning of the parable is that God loved us even while we were dead in sins, Eph. ii. 1, 5.
23. Өjoart. 'Sacrifice it' (comp. Herod. r. 118, where there is a sacrifice and supper for a son's safety). Hence perhaps one reason for assigning to St Luike the Cherubic symbol of the calf (Introd. p. xix).
 the condition of impenitent sin is universal in the Bible. "Thou hast a name that thou livest and art dead," Rev. iii. 1. "Awake thon that sleepest, and arise from the dead," Eph. v. 14. "You hath He quickened who were dead in trespasses and sins," Eph. ii. 1. "Yield yourselves unto God as those that are alive from the dead," Rom. vi. 13.
$\eta v$ áro $\lambda \omega \lambda \omega$ s. This poor youth had been in the exact Roman sense perditus-a 'lost,' an 'abandoned' character.
 that the parable had ended with the moving and exquisite scene called up by the last words; or have regarded the remaining verses as practically a separate parable, Such a judgment-not to speak of its presumption-shews a narrow spirit. We mast not forget that the Jews, however guilty, were God's children no less than the Gentiles, and Pharisees no less than publicans from the moment that Pharisees had learnt that they too had need of zepentance. The elder son is still a son, nor are his faults intrinsically more heinous,-though more perilous because more likely to lead to self-deception-than those of the younger. Self-righteousness is $\sin$ as well as unrighteousness, and may be even a worse sin, Matt. xxi. 31, 32; but God has provided for both sins a full Sacrifice and a free forgiveness. We could ill spare this warning against the elder-brotherliness of spirit to which modern religionists are no less liable than the Jews and the Pharisees.

## 

26. Tl clin raûta. The question indicates contempt-"what all this was about." For the construction comp. i. 29, xviii. 36 , xxii. 23. See note on xviii. 36.
27. wpyl $\sigma \eta$. The feelings of the Jews towards the Gentiles ( 1 Thess. ii. 14-16) when they were embracing the offers of the Gospel -("The Jews...were filled with envy and spake against those things which were spoken by Paul, contradicting and blaspheming," Acts ziii. 45)-and the feelings of the Pharisces towards our Lord, when He ate
with publicans and sinners, are the earliest historical ilinstrations of this phase of the parable. It illustrates feelings which refer more directly to such historical phenomena; the earlier part is of more universal application. Yet envy and lovelessness are too marked characteristics of modern religionism to render the warning needless.
 excluditur." Ambrose.
 children together...bnt ye would not,'" xiii. 34; see Acts xvii. 5, 13, xxii. 21, xzviii. 27. The yearning chapters addressed to the obstinacy of Israel by St Paul (Rom. x. xi.) furnish another illustration of this picture.
28. Souncúw rol. 'I am thy slave.' He does not say "Father:" and evidently regards the yoke not as perfect freedom bat as distasteful bondage. The slave is ever dissatisfied; and this son worked in the spirit of a "hired servant."
 Pharisee and the Rabbi, xviii. 11, 12. "All these things have I kept from my youth up." Such self-satisfaction can only spring from an ignorance of the breadth and spirituality of God's commandments. The respectable Jews, sunk in the complacency of formalism and letter-worshipping orthodoxy, had lost all conception that they were, at the best, but unprofitable servants. Like this elder son they "went about to establish their own righteousness" (Rom. ix. 14); and though they kept many formal commandments they 'transgressed' the love of God (xi. 42). Observe that while the younger son confesses with no excuse, the elder son boasts with no confession. This at once proves his hollowness, for the confessions of the holiest are ever the most bitter. The antitheses in the verse are striking, 'You never gave me a kid, much less sacrificed a fatted calf;-not even for my friends, much less for harlots.' He is so satisfied with himself as to be quite dissatisfied with his father on whose "unfairness" towards him, and "unjust lenience" to his other son, he freely comments.
í thou never gavest (so much as) a kid, (B has epi申ıoy, a kidling); but to him the fatted cailf. The reward of $a$ life near his father's presence and in the safety of the old home was nothing to him. He is like the rescred Israelites still yearning for the flesh-pots of Egypt.

 done, but with worthy friends.
 syllable breathes rancour. He disowns all brotherhood; and says "came," not "returned;" and tries to wake his father's anger by saying "thy living;" and malignantly represents the conduct of his erring brother in the blackest light; and calls his brother by the contemptuous term outos.
29. tekrov. Child. The elder brother is still a 'child' of his father, however erring.

mávta тd épd $\sigma$ á zotw. So far as the elder son is sincerely " $a$ doer of the law" he is "justified," Rom. ii. 13. All that his father had was his. To him belonged "the adoption, and the glory, and the Shechinah, and the covenants, and the giving of the Law, and the service of God, and the promises; whose are the fathers, and of whom after the flesh Christ came, who is God over all, blessed for ever," Rom. ix. 4, 5. Religionists of the Elder-brother type cannot realize the truth that they are not impoverished by the extension to others of God's riches (Matt. xx. 14). Let us hope that after this appeal the elder son also went in.
 in our mirth. "They glorified God...saying, Then hath God also to the Gentiles granted repentance unto life, "Acts xi. 18. It would be impossible to mark more emphatically God's displeasare at the narrow, exclusive, denunciatory spirit which would claim for ourselves only, or our party, or our Chureh, a monopoly of heaven. The hard dogmatism and speculative theories of a self-asserting Theology "vanish like oppressive nightmares before this single parable in which Jesus reveals the heavenly secrets of human redemption, not according to a mystical or criminal theory of punishment, but anthropologically, psychologically, and theologically to every pure eye that looks into the perfect law of liberty." Von Ammon, Leb. Jesu, rii. 50.
$\delta$ dibeldós oov ovitos. The ovitos which the elder son had used is repeated, but in a very different sense. For he is thy brother, and I thy father, though thou wouldest refuse this name to him, and didst not address that title to me.


## CHAPTER XVI.

2. $\delta \delta_{v a}$. NBD. $\delta \omega \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \eta$ is approved by Meyer as the more diffecult reading.
3. тì үро́ $\mu \mu a \tau \alpha$. NBDL Copt. Goth. \&c. tò $\gamma \gamma^{\prime} \mu \mu a$ is a correction.
 note.
4. The words $\psi \chi i \omega y \tau \hat{\omega} y$ are omitted with NBL.
5. $\hat{\omega} \delta \mathrm{e}$. The $\delta \delta \epsilon$ of the Rea, is a correction or a clerieal error.

## Ch. XVI. 1-13. The Unjost Steward.

1. ©גсуєv $8 \mathbf{k}$ кal... Jesus now passes from the sin of hypocrisy to the cugnate sin of cupidity, as in Matt. vi. 18, 19. The whole series of parables is anti-pharisaic. In interpreting the two following parables it is very necessary to bear in mind the tertium comparationis, i.e. the one special point which our Lord had in view. To press each detail into a separate dogmatic truth is a course which has led to flagrant errors in theology and even in morals.
 are both men of the world. It is only in one general aspect that they correspond to God and to ourselves as His stewards (Tit. i. 7) who are 'required to be faithful,' 1 Cor. iv. 1-5. No parable has been more diversely and multitudinously explained than this. For instance, in the steward some have seen the Phariseea, or the publicans, or Judas Iscariot, or Christ, or Satan, \&e. To enter into and refute these explanations would take up much space and would be quite fruitless. We cannot be wrong if we seize as the main lesson of the parable, the one which Christ Himself attached to it (8-12), namely, the use of earthly gifts of wealth and opportunity for heavenly and not for earthly aims.
$\delta_{6 \in \beta \lambda \eta} \boldsymbol{\eta}_{\eta}$. Vulg. diffamatus est. In Classic Greek the word means 'was slandered.' Here it has the more generad sense (see LXX. Dan. vi. 24), but perhaps involves the notion of a secret accusation.
 hinsself) his lord's goods, but was still doing so. The Vulg. quasi aissipasset misled the translators of the A.V.
2. Tl toûto ảkoúc $\pi \in \rho ो$ नô̂; This might mean 'Why do I hear this?' (So the A.V. "How is it" \&c.) but it is simpler to render it 'What is this that I hear about thee?' comp. Aets xiv. 15, sl raîra $\pi о \epsilon \hat{i} \epsilon$; The interrog. and relative clauses are blended.

ov̉ үàp סívn ETt olкovopeiv. 'Thou canst not be any longer steward.'
3. бкámтєเข oùk loxíw. 'To dig I am not strong enough.'

 sudden flash of discovery, 'I have it! I know now what to do.' Subito consilium cepit.' Bengel.

Eis tov̀s olkovs Éautâv. "Into their own houses." I will confer on them such a boon that they will not leave me houseless. This eating the bread of dependence, which was all the steward hoped to gain after his life of dishonesty, was, after all a miserable prospect, Ecclus. xxix. 22-28. If different parts of the parable shadow forth different truths, we may notice that the steward has not enriched himself; what he has had he has spent. So at death, when we have
to render the account of our stewardship to God, we cannot take with us one grain of earthly riches.
5. тробка入єба́pєvos zua zkaotov. In the East rents are paid in kind, and a responsible steward, if left quite uncontrolled, has the amplest opportunity to defraud his lord, because the produce necessarily varies from year to year. The unjust steward would naturally receive from the tenants much more than he acknowledged in his accounts.
6. Bátous. The Hebrew bath and the Greek $\mu \epsilon \tau \rho \eta \tau \dot{\eta}$, rather less than, but roughly corresponding to, the firkin=9 gallons. This remission would represent a large sum of money.
 The steward hands the bill back to the tenant to be altered.

үрá廿ov пєутŋ́когтa. Since Hebrew numerals were letters, and since Hebrew letters differed but slightly from each other, a very trivial forgery would represent a large difference.
7. Kópous. The cor was the same as the Hebrew homer $=10$ ephahs. It is said to be about an English ' quarter,' i.e. 8 bushels, but from Jos. Antt. xv. 9, §92, it seems to have been nearly 12 bushels. The steward knows what he is about, and makes his remissions according to the probabilities of the case and the temperament of the debtor. His astuteness tells him that some can be bought cheap.
8. $\delta$ кúpoos. The lord is of course only the landlord of the parable. фoopluws does not mean 'wisely' (a word which is used in a higher sense), but prudently. The tricky oleverness, by which the steward had endeavoured at once to escape detection, and to secure friends who would help him in his need, was exactly what an Oriental landlord would admire as clever, even though he saw throngh it. And the last act of the steward had been so far honest that for the first time he charged to the debtors the correct amount, while he doabtless represented the diminution as due to his kindly influence with his lord. The lesson to us is analogous skill and pradence, but spiritually employed. This is the sole point which the parable is meant to illustrate. The childish criticism of the Emperor Julian that it taught cheating (!) is refuted by the faot that parables are meant to teach lessons of heavenly wisdom by even the 'imperfections' of earth. There is then no greater difficulty in the Parable of the Unjust Steward than in that of the Unjust Judge or the Importunate Friend. The fraud of this "steward of injustice" is neither excused nor palliated; the lesson is drawn from his worldly prudence in supplying himself with friends for the day of need, which we are to do
 ps. 9) was фоóvipos, but he was not also mogtós, as we are urged to be (xii. 42). But fuithful stewards may imitate him in the only point here touched upon, uamely, the due application of means to ends.
of viol toû alwvos toútov k.r. $\lambda$. 'The sons of this age are more prudent than the sons of the Hight towards' or 'as regards (els) their own generation'; i.e. they make better use of their earthly opportunities for their own lifetime than the sons of the light (John xii. 36; Eph. v. 8; 1 Thess. v. 5) do for their lifetime; or even than the sons of light do of their heavenly opportunities for eternity. The zeal and alacrity of the "devil's martyrs" may be imitated even by

 a vivid Hebraism,
virte. The word helps out the decaying force of the comparative.

 describes the characteristic abuse of wealth. This descriptive genitive in Hebrew makes op for the paucity of adjectives. The Greek may imply either, Make the unrighteous mammon your friend; or make yourselves friends by your use of the unrighteous mammon. There is no proof that mammon is the Hebrew equivalent to Plutus, the Greek god of wealth (Matt. ri. 24). 'Mammon' simply means wealth, and is cenlled ' unrighteous' by metonymy (i.e. the ethical character of the use is represented as cleaving to the thing itself) because the abuse of riches is more common than their right use ( 1 Tim . vi. 10). It is not therefore necessary to give to the word 'unrighteous' the sense of 'false'. or 'unreal,' though sometimes in the LXX. it has almost that meaning. We turn mammon into a friend, and make ourselves friends by its means, when we use riches not as our own to squander, but as God's to employ in deeds of usefulness and mercy.
ötav ék (mp]. Cum defecerit. 'When it (mammon) fails,' which the true riches never do (xii. 33). The reading énМіттпє means 'when ye die.'

8 $\delta \xi \omega v \pi a l$. The 'they' are either the poor who have been made friends by the right use of wealth (comp. vs. 4), or the word is the impersonal or categorio plural, as in xii. 11, 20, xxiii. 31. Comp. Matt. xxiv. 31; Mark xiii. 27; Tobit iv. 7. The latter sense seems to be the best, for it is only by analogy that those whom we aid by a right use of riches can be said ('by their prayers on earth, or their testimony in hearen') to 'receive' us. The notion of a human welcome into heaven does not occur in Soripture.
cls Tds aloviovs $\sigma \kappa \eta \eta$ rás. 'Into the eternal tents,' John xiv. 2 "And give these the everlasting tabernacles which I had prepared for them," 2 Esdr. ii. 11. (Comp. 2 Cor. v. 1; Is. xxxiii. 20, and see p. 384.) The general duty inculcated is that of "laying up treasure in heaven" (Matt. vi. 20; comp. 1 Tim. vi. 17-19). There is no Ebionite reprobation of riches as riches here; only a warning not to trust in them (Mk. x. 24).
10. év elaxioty. Comp. xix. 17. The most which we can have in this world is ' least' compared to the smallest gift of heaven.
11. Td $\mathfrak{d} \lambda \eta \lambda^{2} \boldsymbol{w} \delta \mathbf{v}$. The ideally genuine; lit. 'that which is true,' i.e. real and not evanescent. Earthly riches are neither true, nor ours.
 of the unjust steward. The lesson of the verse is that nothing which we possess on earth is our own; it is entrusted to us for temporary use (1 Chron. xxix. 14), which shall be rewarded by real and eternal possessions (1 Pet. i. 4). "Vitaque mancipio nulli datur, omnibus uеи," Lucr. III. 985.
13. ov̉סels olкétๆs...סou入evév. No domestic can slave, \&c.

Sual kuplos. God requires a whole heart and an undivided service. "If I yet pleased men, I should not be the servant of Christ," Gal. i. 10. "Whosoever... will be the friend of the world is the enemy of God," Jas. iv. 4. "Covetousness...is idolatry," Col, iii. 5.

ở Sưvarөis к.т. $\lambda$. If this point had been attended to commentators would not have fallen into the " unspeakable misrepresentations and unrighteous judgments" which have marked so many explauations of the preceding parable.

14-31. Dives and Lazards: A Parable to the Coyetous, preceded by Rebokes to the Pharisees.
14. ф ${ }^{\lambda}$ ג́pyupor. ' Lovers of money,' 2 Tim. iii. 2. The charge is amply borne out by the references in the Talmud to the rapacity shewn by the Rabbis and Priests of the period. See Matt. xxiii. 13.
 21; Ps. ii. 4. The word is one expressive of the strongest and most open insolence, xxiii. 35. There is a weaker form of the word in Gal. vi. 7. Here the jeering was doubtless aimed by these haughty and respected plutocrats at the deep poverty of Jesus and His humble followers. It marks however the phase of daring opposition which was not kindled till the close of His ministry. They thought it most ridiculous to suppose that riches hindered religion-for were not they rich and religious? And had not Shammai mentioned 'riches' as one of a Rabbi's qualifications?

 xy. 8; and "in thy sight shall no man living be justified," Ps. cxliii. 2. There is perhaps a reference to 1 Sam. xvi. 7; 1 Chron. xxviii. 9.

> viభఛֶ入óv. 'Lofty.'
$\beta 8$ ènvүа. Their 'derision' might terribly rebound on themselves. Ps. ii. 4.
16. $\mu$ expl ${ }^{3}$ Iwáryou. This is one of our Lord's clearest intimations that the aeon of the Law and the Prophets was now merging into a new dispensation, since they were only "a shadow of things to come," Col. ii. 17.
cls aúrìv $\beta$ lágetal. The phrase is classical. Thuc. r. 63, vir. 69. It implies 'is making forcible entrance into it,' Matt. xi. 12, 13. The allusion is to the eagerness with which the message of the kingdom was accepted by the publicans and the people generally, vii. 20; John zii. 19. The other rendering, 'every man useth violence against it,' does not agree so well with the parallel passage in St Matthew.
17. $\mu$ lav kepaiav. The tip or horn of a letter, such as that which distinguishes $\mathcal{I}$ from $\boldsymbol{J}$ or from $\Pi$. Thas the Jews said that the letter Yod prostrated itself before God, because Solomon hid taken it from the law (in the word Nashim) by marrying many wives, and God made this same auswer to them. Similarly they said that when God took the Yod (the "jot" of Matt. v. 18) from the name Sarai, He divided it between Sara $/ h$ and Abraham, since Yod $=10$, and $H=5$.

тєбetiv. 'To fall.'. See Matt. v. 18. The law did not fall to the ground; its abrogation was only its absolute fulfilment in all its eternal principles. The best comment on the verse is Matt.v. 27-48. The bearing of these remarks on the previous ones seems to be that our Lord charges the Pharisees with hypocrisy and men-pleasing, because while they professed the most scrupulous reverence to the Law, they lived in absolute violation of its spirit, which was alone valuable in God's sight.
 (which also occurs with an important limitation in Matt, $\mathbf{F}$. 32) appears so loosely connected with the former as to lead the Dutch theologian Van der Palm to suppose that St Luke was merely utilising a spare fragment on the page by inserting isolated words of Christ. But compressed as the discourse is, we see that this verse illustrates, no less than the others, the spirit of the Pharisees. They professed to reverence the Law and the Prophets, yet divorce (so adien to the primitive institution of marriage) was so shamefally lax among them that great Rabbis in the Taimud practically abolished all the sacredness of marriage in direct contradiction to Mal. ii. 15, 16 . Even Hillel said a man might divorce his wife if she over-salted his sonp. They made the whole diseussion turn, not on eternal truths, but on a mere narrow verbal disquisition about the meaning of two words ervath dabhar, 'some uncleanness' (lit. ' matter of nakedness'), in Deut. xxiv. 1, 2. Not only Hillel, but even the son of Sirach (Ecclus. xxy. 26) and Josephus (Antt. Iv. 8, § 23), interpreted this to mean 'for any or every cause.' (Matt. xix. 3-12; Mark x. 2-12.) Besides this shameful lazity the Pharisees had never had the courage to denounce the adulterous marriage and disgraceful divoree of which Herod Antipas had been guilty.
19. autpowtos 86 Tts . He is left nameless, perhaps to imply that his name was not "written in heaven" (x. 20). Legend gives him the name Nimeusis or Nineues. 'Dives' is simply the Latin for 'a rich man.' Our Lord in the parable continues the subject of His discourse against the Pharisees, by shewing that wealth and respectability are very differently estimated on earth and in the world beyond. The
parable illustrates each step of the previous discourse:-Dives regards all he has as his very own; uses it selfishly, which even Moses and the Prophets might have taught him not to do; and however lofty in his own eyes is an abomination before God.
mopфúpav кal $\beta$ úroov. The two words express extreme luxary. He wore purple without, Egyptian byssus underneath. Robes dyed in the blood of the murex purpurarius were very costly and were only worn by the greatest men.

> "Over his lucent arms

A military vest of purple flowed
Livelier than Melibaean or the grain
Of Sarra (Tyre) worn by kings and heroes old In time of truce."
Byssus is the fine linen of Egypt (Gen xli. 42; Esth. viii. 15; Prov. xxxi, 22; Ezek. xxvii. 7; Rev. xviii. 12), a robe of which was worth twice its own weight in gold.
 (xii. 19) every day, splendidly.' Luther, lebte herrlich und in Freuden. It indicates a life of banquets. The description generally might well apply to Herod Antipas, vii. 25; Mark vii. 14, 21.
20. Látapos. Lazarus is not from lo ezer, 'no help,' i.e. 'forsaken,' but from Ell ezer, 'helped of God,' Gotthilf. It is contracted from the commoner Eleazar. This is the only parable in which a proper name occurs; and the only miracles of whieh the recipients are named are, Mary Magdalene, Jairus, Malchus, and Bartimaeus. Whether in the name there be some allusive contrast to the young and perhaps wealthy Lazarus, brother of Martha and Mary, as Prof. Plumptre has conjectured, is uncertain. From this parable come the words-lazaretto, lazzarini, a lazar, \&e.
 plying by one graphic touch the careless roughness and neglect with which he was treated.
 portal.
 $\psi\left(x h^{\omega}\right.$ in some mss. is a reminiscence of Matt. xy. 27. The clause
 said that such fragments were refused him.
of kúves. There was no one to look after him. He was left to these unclean beasts. This seems to be involved in $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$ кal. The only dogs in the East are the wild and neglected Pariah dogs, which run about masterless and are the common scavengers.
 picture. The incident is only added to give in one touch the abjectness of his misery, and therefore to enhance the rich man's neglect. The fault of Dives was callous selfishness.
 used as a picture for the banquet of Paradise (comp. Numb. xi. 12; John i. 18, xiii. 23, and Ps. Josephus, De Maccab. 13).
ánégavev $\delta f$. "They spend their days in wealth, and in a moment go down to the grave," Job xxi. 13.
kai $\ell \tau \dot{\alpha} \phi \eta$. Nothing is said of the pauper-funeral of Lazarus. In one touch our Lord shews how little splendid obsequies can avail to alter the judgment of heaven.
"One second, and the angels alter that."
23. ty rụ̂ $\neq 1 \delta \mathrm{n}$. 'In Hades.' See x. 15. Hades, which is represented as containing both Paradise and Gehenna, and is merely the Greek equivalent of the Hebrew Sheol, 'the grave,' is the intermediate condition of the dead between death and the final judgment. The scene on earth is contrasted with the reversed conditions of the other world. The entire imagery and phraseology are Jewish, and are borrowed from those which were current among the Rabbis of Christ's day. Beyond the awful truth that death brings no necessary forgiveness, and therefore that the retribution must continue beyond the grave, we are not warranted in pressing the details of the parable which were used as part of the vivid picture. And since the scene is in Hades, we cannot draw from it any safe inferences as to the final condition of the lost. The state of Dives may be, as Tertullian says, a praelibatio sententiae, but it is not as yet the absolute sentence.
amd $\mu$ aкро́ $\theta_{\varepsilon} v$. One of the numerous mixtures of analytio and synthetic expressions (see my Brief.Greek Syntax, pp. 1-6) which we find in the decadent stages of a language. Maкроөєy alone means 'from afar,' but is helped ont by àmo, and the pleonasm is unconsoious, as in Mon oher Monsieur.
$\mathbf{~} v$ rois ко́ $\lambda$ тогs. The plur. is often used for 'bosom' because the word $\gamma$ roperly means the folds of the robe (sinus). For the meaning of the metaphoric expression see John i. 18, xiii. 23.
24. ifoaros. The partitive genitive-'in some water.' But be who refused the crumbs is denied the drops.
 See ii. 48, where $\dot{\delta} \nu \psi \oplus \mu a t$ is rendered 'sorrowing.'
 remorseful memories. In Hades no
"Lethe the river of oblivion rolls: Her watery labyrinth, whereof who drinks Forthwith his former state and being forgets, Forgets both joy and grief, pleasure and pain."
As for the material fame and the burning tongue, "we may," says Archbishop Trench, "safely say that the form in which the sense of pain, with the desire after alleviation, embodies itself, is figurative." Even the fierce and gloomy Tertullian says that how to understand what is meant by these details "is scarcely perhaps diseovered by
those who inquire with gentleness, but by contentious controversialists never."
25. tekvov. 'Ohild.' Even in the punishment of Hades he is addressed by a word of tenderness (xv. 31, xix. 9).
àmehaß $\epsilon$. 'Receivedst to the full' Comp. $\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \chi \epsilon \square$, vi. 24.
tà dyafd ซov. The "good things" of Dives were such as he had accounted to be absointely his own, and to be really good (Matt. vi, 2); the "evil things"' of Lazarus were not 'his,' but part of God's merciful discipline to him, Rev. vii. 14 . The parable gives no ground for the interpretation that the temporal felicity of Dives was a reward for any good things he had done, or the misery of Lazarus a punishment for his temporal sins.

ठठvvạoal. 'Thou art pained,' as before. The parable is practically an expansion of the beatitudes and woes of vi. $22-25$.
 ex inppossibili after the argument ex aequo. Change of place is not a possible way of producing change of soul. Dives while he still had the heart of Dives would have been in agony even in Abraham's bosom. But 1 Pet. iii. 19, 20 throws a gleam of hope athwart this gulf. It may be (for we can pretend to no certainty) no longer impassable, since Christ died and went to preach to spirits in prison. With this "great gulf" (2 Sam. xviii. 17, LXX.) compare the interesting passage of Plate on the vain attempts of great criminals to climb out of their prisons. Rep. x. 14.
ö $\pi \omega \mathrm{\omega} . . . \mu \dot{\eta}$ 8úvoutal. 'In order that they may not be able.'
27. Eis tòv oikov toû tatpós $\mu$ ov. It is difficult not to see in this request the dawn of a less selfish spirit in the rich man's heart.
 the clue to it is now lost. Some have seen in it a reference to the five sons of the High Priest Annas, all of whom succeeded to the Priesthood,-Eleazar, Jonathan, Theophilus, Matthias, and the younger Annas, besides his son-in-law Caiaphas. But this seems to be very unlikely. An allusion to Antipas and his brethren is less improbable, but our Lord would hardly have admitted into a parable an oblique personal reflexion.

29. Mwürta кal тò̀s трофท́тas. See John i. 45, v. 39, 46.
31. TєLotricovtal. "We are saved by faithful hearing, not by apparitions," Bengel. This was most remarkably exemplified in the results which followed the raising of another Lazarus (John wii. 10), and the resurrection of our Lord Himself (Matt. xxviii. 11-13). Observe that the reply of Abraham ('be persuaded,' 'arose,' 'from among' [ $\hat{\epsilon x}$ not $\dot{a} \pi \dot{d}]$ the deadj) is much stronger than the words used by Dives. "A far mightier miracle...would be ineffectual for producing a far slighter effect," Treach.

## CHAPTER XVII.


 Matt. zviii. 6.
4. dipaprion. The sense requires this, as special acts are referred to. The aúápty is from vs. 3 .
9. ov่ ठoк $\hat{\text { a }}$. Omitted in NBLX. Copt. Arm. \&c.
11. Sıd $\mu$ égov. NBL. The phrase is not found in N.T. D reads $\mu \in \sigma o p$, and some mss. $\delta t a ̀ \mu \epsilon \sigma o v$.
23. Liov̀ Exef, i8ou ©ife. BL. Copt. The asyndeton adds to the vividness.
 xxiv. 40.

Ch. XVII. 1-4. The Peril of catsina Men to Stcmble.

1. avevofectóv értiv. In the present condition of the world it is morally impossible. The oux $\begin{gathered}\text { e } \delta \ell \ell \in \epsilon \\ \text { et }\end{gathered}$ of the Rec. is a more common phrase. The nearest approach to the word is $\boldsymbol{\ell} \nu \bar{\delta} \kappa \tau \sigma \nu$ in Apollonius.
 depend on the notion of distance or exelusion involved in d̀évétктov.

okáv8a入a. See on vii. 23. While the world remains what it is, some will always set snares and stumblingblocks in the path of their brethren, and some will always fall over them, and some will make them for themselves ( 1 Cor. xi. $19 ; 1$ Pet. ii. 8).
 tainty, removes the responsibility for individual guilt.
2. $\lambda \nu \sigma เ \tau \in \lambda \in \hat{i}$ aútê $\boldsymbol{\epsilon i}$ к.т. $\lambda$. The literal rendering of the verse is ' It is for his advantage if a millstone is hanging round his neck, and he has been flung into the sea, rather than that, \&c.' In other words, the fate of a man who is lying drowned at the bottom of the sea is better than if his continuance in life would bave led to causing "one of these little ones" to stumble. The general thought is like that of Queen Blanche, who used to say of her son St Louis when he was a boy, that she would rather see him dead at her feet than know that he had fallen into a deadly ain. Marcion and Clemens

$\lambda i \theta o s ~ \mu v \lambda ı$ ós. The true reading here is not $\mu$ úhos $\delta \nu c k o ́ s$, a millstone so large as to require an ass to work it. This is introduced from Matt. zyiii. 6.
тєрiкetral....epitital. 'It were better for him if with the stone round his neck he has been cast into the sea and is now lying there.' The tenses are very forcible.
$\eta$. On the construction $\lambda v \sigma \varepsilon \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i . . . \eta$ see the note on xp. 7. The tya (as often) has lost its proper force, and resembles some uses of the Latin $u t$. See a similar construction in 1 Cor. ix. 15.
 of the $\varepsilon y a$ is emphatic. Better for the man to have been drowned, than so to live as to lead Christ's little ones astray. St Mark adds "that believe in me" (ix. 42). The reference is not to children, or the young, though of course the warning applies no less to their case; but primarily to publicans and weak believers. Christ calis even the Apostles "children," John xiii. 33 (cf. 1 John ii. 12, 18).
3. тporéxere tavtoîs. The following lesson of forgiveness is added because the hard repellent spirit of aggressive Pharisaism and spiritual pride was of all others the most likely to cause offences. It broke up the bruised reed, and stamped on the smoking flax.
!dv ápáprn. 'If he sin,' omitting "against thee." Comp. Matt. xviii. $15-17,21,22$.
kntт $\uparrow \mu \eta \sigma o v . . . d \phi \epsilon s$. The former duty had been fully reoognised in the old dispensation (Lev. xix. 17; Prov. xvii. 10); the latter far more distinctly and emphatically in the new (Matt. xviii. 15). The former is only intended as a help to the latter, 1 Thess. v. 14.
4. Émiákls. A purely general expression, which as little involves the quantitstive limitation of forgiveness upon repentance as the "seventy times seven" of Matt. xyiii. 22. Some of the Rabbis had limited the duty of forgiveness to a thrice-repeated offence; but
"Who with repentance is not satisfied, Is not of heaven or earth."

5-10. The Power of Faite. The Inbtefictency of Works.
5. of dimborodol $\tau \hat{\omega}$ кuplu. The high title given, and the spontaneous united request, shew how deeply they had felt the previous lessons.
 more faith, without which we can never fulfl these graat moral requirernents.
6. Wis кókrov $\sigma เ \nu$ ditews, "which is the least of all seeds," Matt. xiii. 32.

тй $\sigma$ uкaplve ravirn. The "this" is interesting becanse it shews that our Lord was teaching in the open air, and pointed to the tree as He spoke. The sycamine (Hebr. shikmah, 1 Chr. xxvii. 28) seemıs to be a generic name for various kinds of mulberries (e.g. the Morus $a l b a$ and nigra), which were freely cultivated in the East. The black mulberry is still called vokaucta in Greece (see xix. 4). In Matt. xvi. 20 we have a similar passage with the variation of "this mountain," which our Lord doubtless spoke peinting to Mount Hermon. The Jews gave to a great Rabbi the title of ' uprooter of mountains,' in the sense of 'remover of dificulties;' and our Lord here most
appropriately expresses the truth that Faith can remove all difficulties and obstaeles, Mk. ix. 23, xi. 23. Perhaps the warning against spiritual elation springs from the magnificence of this promise.
 with very deep roots.
7. Soûhov シxuv áporptôvta. The Parable of the Ploughing Slave is simply an illustration from daily life. The slave is working in the fields, at ploughing or pasturing, and when he comes back the master orders him to prepare his dinner, nor does he give him any special daily thanks for his ordinary daily duties, even if they be duly performed. So even the best of us do not do more than our commonest and barest duty, even if we attain to that. Perbaps the " which of you," as addressed to the poor Apostles, may be surprising; but the sons of Zebedee at least had once had hired servants, Mk. i. 20.

тоц䒑alvorta. 'Tendivg sheep.' So that bere we have two great branches of pastoral work.
 enters from the field, Come forward immediately, and recline at table.' There is none of the harshness which some have imagined. The master merely says, Get me my dinner, and then take your own. The "by and by" of the A.V. is an archaism for 'immediately,' but the evetws should be joined with the participle, not with the preceding verb.
8. itolparov $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{C} \delta_{\text {eutringo. }}$ Here the $\tau i$ becomes equivalent to a
 $\lambda a \lambda$ й $\sigma \varepsilon \epsilon, q u o d$ dicatis. Winer, p. 210.
zus фáүш. 'Till I have eaten' (which I am going certainly to do; hence no $\hat{a} \nu$ is needed).

фá $\gamma \sigma$ al. The Hellenistic Greek фd́रoual is used as a futare, as Greek authors use $\begin{gathered}\text { EOoual, James v. 3; Rev. xvii. } 16 . ~\end{gathered}$
 does he feel or express any special gratitude to him ( $\epsilon \chi$ ei $\chi$ dapt, 1 Tim. i. 12). As a matter of fact, men are not in the habit of acknowledging the daily service of their dependents. Our Lord draws from this common circumstance of life a rebuke of the spirit which would spin out to eternity a selfish desire for personal rewards (Matt. xix. 27, xx .21 ).
[oú Sok̂̂.] The words are probably genuine, though omitted in NBL, \&e. There is a tonch of irony in them, and doubtless they express a passing shade of disapproval at the thanklessness and discourtesy with which dependents are too often treated. The other side of the picture-God's approval of our efforte-is given in xii. 37; Rev, iii. 20.
 Even if it could "non est beneficium sed officium facere quod debetis." Sen. Controv.
dxpeiol. The same word for unprofitable occurs in Matt, xzy. 30; Kom. iii. 12. This verse, like many others (Is. lxiv. 6; Rom. iii. 27), cats at the root of the whole Romish notion as to the possibility of 'works of supererogation,' see Article xiv. "Servi inutiles sunt, insufficientes quia nemo tantum timet, tantum diligit Deum, tantum credit Deo quantum oportuit," Augsb. Conf. "We sleep half our lives; we give God a tenth of our time; and yet we think that with our good works we can merit Heaven. What have I been doing to-day? I have talked for two hours. I have been at meals three hours. I have been idle four hours. Ah! enter not into judgment with Thy servant, o Lord!" Luther. Yet in a lower sense-though 'insulficient,' though 'ummeritorious '-itis possible for us to be "good and faithful servants," Matt. xxv. 21, 23. We must be unprofitable in the realm of bare obligation and external service, and yet we may be faithful and honoured in the sphere of love.

## 11-19. The Cleansed Ten; the Thanhless Nine.

 The most natural place chronologically, for this incident would have been after ix. 57. St Luke places it here to contrast man's thanklessness to God with the sort of claim to thanks from God which is asserted by spiritual pride.
סıd $\mu$ foov Sapapias kal 「adidalas. The most natural meaning of these words is that our Lord, when rejected at the frontier village of En Gannim (ses on ix. 52, 56), altered His route, and determined to pass towards Jerusalem through Peraea. In order to reach Peraea He would have to pass down the Wady of Bethshean,-which lies between the borders of Galilee and Samaria, -and there to cross the bridge over Jordan.
 ther. The one Samaritan would not have been allowed to associate with the nine Jews had not leprosy obliterated religious distinctions, as it still sadly does in the leper-houses (Bint el Masakin, 'Abodes of the Unfortunate') at Jerusalem, where alone Jews and Mahometans will live together.
troppatev. As the Law required, Lev. xiii. 45, 46. See on v. 12. Usually they stood at the roadside, as they still do, clamorously demanding alms, but they had heard the fame of Jesus, and asked from Him a vaster benefit. The leper of v . 12 was exceptionally bold.
14. L6由v. Jesus always listened instantly to the appaal of the leper, whose disease was the type of that worse moral leprosy which He specially came to cleanse. See on v. 13.
sitev. Apparently He called out this answer to them while they were still at the required legal distance of 100 paces.
roîs ieptivorv. See on v. 14.
 by starting on their way to fulfil the command of Jesus, that they had faith. The Samaritan was on his way to his own priests at Gerizim.
 harsh, husky voice of his leprous condition; but this is unlikely.
16. ग̂v $\Sigma a \mu \alpha \rho i \tau \eta s$. See on x. 33.
 cleansed? but the nine-where?' What worse leprosy of superstition, ignorance, eager selfishness, or more glaring ingratitude had kept back the others? We do not know.
18. oux eipênoav. Ingratitude is one of the most universal and deeply seated of human vices, and our Lord was perfectly familiar with it. But in this instance He was moved by the depth of this thanklessness in so many recipients of so blessed a favorr. Hence His sorrowful amazement. He felt as if all His benefits "were falling into a deep silent grave."

> "Blow, blow, thou winter wind;
> Thou art not so unkind
> As man's ingratitude."
d $\lambda$ גoyev's. 'Alien,' 2 Kings xvii. 24. See on x. 33. The word is from the LXX. (Lev. xxii. 10). The classic equivalents are $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda_{0} \sigma \theta v \hat{y}$, d $\lambda \lambda 6$ oudos. Josephus says that the Samaritans eagerly called themselves $\dot{d} \lambda \lambda \sigma \epsilon \theta \in i$ is when they wanted to disclaim a consanguinity which might be perilous (Antt. Ix. 14, §3): but it is almost impossible to suppose that Samaria was swept clean of every inhabitant, and the ethnographical and other affinities of the Samaritans to the Jews seem to shew some mixture of blood, which they themselves claimed at other times (Jos. Antt. xx. 8, § 6; John iv. 12).
19. नérowév ve. 'Hath saved thee.'

20-37. The 'When?’ and ‘Where?’ of the Kinadom of God.
 risees.'

Epxetal. Literally, 'is coming.' They seem to have asked with impatient irony, 'When is all this preparation and preaching to end and the New Kingdom to begin?'
 He implies that their entire point of view is mistaken; they were peering about for great external signs, and overlooking the slow and spiritual processes which were at work before their eyes.
 as the Greek is concerned, this rendering of evids is defensible (comp. Matt. xxiii. 26), and the spiritual truth expressed by such a rendering -which implies that "the Kingdom of God is...righteousness and peace, and joy in the Holy Ghost" (Rom. xiv. 17)-is most important.

See Deut. xxx. 14. So that Meyer is hardly justified in saying that the conception of the Kingdom of God as an ethical condition of the soul is modern not historico-biblical. But $\epsilon \nu \tau \delta s \dot{j} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ may also undoubtedly mean among you (marg.), 'in the midst of your ranks,' as in Xen, Anab. r. 10, § 3; and this rendering is more in accordance (i) with the context-as to the sudden coming of the Son of Man; and (ii) with the fact,-for it certainly could not be said that the Kingdom of God was in the hearts of the Pharisees. The meaning then is the same as in John i. 26 ; Matt. xii. 28. But in either case our Lord implied that His Kingdom had already come while they were straining their eyes forward in curious observation, vii. 16, xi. 20 ( $\neq \phi 0 a \sigma \epsilon \nu \xi^{\prime} \phi^{\prime}$ $\left.\hat{\nu}_{\mu} \hat{s} s\right)$.
 will come, when the bridegroom shall be taken from them, and then shall they fast in those days." See too John xii. 35, xiii. 33, xvii. 12. They were looking forwoards with no realization of that rich present blessedness for which they would one dry yearn. Rev. vi. 10.
23. LSov̀ €кê. A vivid description of the perpetual Messianic excitements which finally ceased in the days of Barcochba and the Fabbi Akibha. We find a similar warning in xxi. 8. See Jos. Antt. zx. 8; B. J. n. 13, v. 5; Tac. Hist. v. 13. With the whole passage compare Matt. xxiv. 23-41.
 sistible.
 Mk. xv. 39.
25. Set aủ ing of the Twelve at this period of His ministry, that He should again and again-as in solemn refrain to all His teaching-warn them of this coming end. See xviii. 31.

tv rais $\dot{\eta} \mu \mathrm{i} \rho \mathrm{\rho a}$ ıs $\mathrm{N} \omega \mathrm{e}$. As described in Gen. vii. 11-23. The Second Advent should fiame upon a sensual and unexpectant world.
27. $\eta=\theta 10 v$, ह̈rıvov к.т. $\lambda$. 'They were eating, they were drinking' -retaining the imperfects of the original, as well as the vivid asyn-deton.- Comp. xii. 19.
28. Aẃr. See Gen. xix. 15-25; Jude 7; Ezek. xvi. 46-56; Am. iv. 11; Is. xiii. 19.
30. kaтd̀ тd̀ avंтd̀ धotal. St Paul, no less than St Luke, had caught the echo of these solemn warnings. 2 Thess. i. 6-10.
àroкa入ótтetal. As the veil is gradually drawn He ahall be seen standing there. Rev. i. 1.
 quiet resort. See on xii. 3, v. 19.
 ＂Therefore away to get our stuff aboard．＂

Sharsp．Com．of Errors．
The A．V．took＂stuff＂from Tyndale．
$\mu \dot{\eta}$ катаßáтш．Let him escape at once by the outer steps，Matt． xxiv．16－18．It is clear that in these warnings，as in Matt．xxiv．， our Lord has distinctly in view the Destruction of Jerusalem，and the awful troubles and judgments which it brought，as being the first ful－ filment of the Prophecy of His Advent．

32．Tị̂ үuvaıkòs $\Lambda \omega$ т．Gen．xix．26；Wisd．x．7，＂and a stand－ ing pillar of salt is a monument of an unbelieving soul．＂The warn－ ing is the same as in ix．62．Turn no regretful gaze on a guilty and forsaken world．
 verbal alterations，in ix．24；John xii．25．St Pzul＇s high confidence as to the issue of his own apparently ruined and defeated life，fur－ nishes us with a beautiful comment， 2 Tim．iv．6－8．For＂to save＂ （ $\sigma$ ©̃al）some mss．read to＇make his own，＇＇to purchase＇（ $\pi \epsilon \rho t$－ тоเグซa兀0ac）．
©woyovifer auviv．＇Shall bring it to new birth．＇In the N．T．this verb only occurs here and at Acts vii．19； 1 Tim．vi． 13 （？）．

34．Taútn Tи̂ vuktl．Lit．＇in this night，＇i．e．in the night of horror and judgment which I now conceive as present．
Sưo．Not necessarily men；but human beings，e．g．man and wife． The numerals are of course masculine，because the man might be either the one＂taken＂or the one＂left．＂
 common handmills of the East．
 doubtful authenticity in this place，being omitted by nearly all the im－ portant mss．It is probably interpolated from Matt．xxiv， 40.

37．mov̂，kúple；This question also our Lord declines to answer． The Coming of God＇s Kingdom is not to be limited either by chrono－ logical or by geographical conditions．
тd̀ $\sigma$ wana．＇The carcass，＇although here the specific word for carcass （ $\pi \tau \hat{\omega} \mu a)$ is not used as in Matt．xxiv．28．Comp．xxiii． 52.
of derol．＂The vultures．＇The same generic word is indeed used for both geneza of birds，but the eagle does not feed on carcasses． Some commentators both ancient and modern have interpreted＂the body＂to mean Christ，and＂the eagles＂His gathering Saints．Scrip－ tural usage seems to make such an interpretation impossible，especi－ ally as there is probably a direct allusion to Job xxxix．30，＂Her young ones also suck up blood：and where the slain are，there is she．＂ See too Hab．i．8；Hos，viii，1；Rev．xix．17－21．Sometimes a refer－ ence is supposed to the cagle－standards of Rome．（Comp．Deut． xxpiii． $49-52$ ；John xi．48．）＇This is very possible，especially as the

Jews were very familiar with the Roman eagle, and so strongly detested it that the mere erection of the symbol in Jerusalem was sufficient to lash them into insurrection (Jos. Antt. xvir. 6, § 3). But the proverb has a far wider significance, and is illustrated by the rush of avenging forces whenever the life of a nation has fallen into dissolution and decay. See the vision of the eagle in 2 Esdras xi. 45, "And therefore appear no more, 0 eagle, nor thy horrible wings, nor thy wicked feathers, nor thy malicious heads, nor thy hartful claws, nor all thy vain body."

## CEAPTER XVIII.

1. aúrov́s La, Ti., \&o. following the best mss.
2. v́momtety in some mes., rose only from not understanding the rare word.
 AE, \&e. ${ }^{*} \mathrm{Ek}$. Elz. If this last reading were right the $\bar{\eta}$ must be explained as in xy. 7.
 $\beta \in \lambda \delta \nu \eta s \times B D L$. ja $\ddagger i \delta o s$ is from the parallels.
 parallels.

 lels. It suits the sense best, for $\sigma \omega \pi \pi \hat{a} \nu=$ tacere ' to hold the tongue;' and $\sigma / \gamma \hat{a} \nu=$ silere, 'to make no noise.' But $\sigma / \gamma \hat{\omega} y$ is peculiar to St Luke and St Paul.

Ch. XVIIT. 1-8. Tife Duty of Urgent Prayer. The Unjust Jodge.
 to pray,' since the true reading adds aúroús. It is only here and in vs. 9 that the explanation or point of a parable is given before the parable itself. Both parables are peculiar to St Luke. The duty inculeated is rather urgent prayer (as in xi. 5-13) than that spirit of unflagging prayer which is elsewhere enfotced, xxi. 36; 1 Thess. v. 17; Eph. vi. 18.

> "Prayer is the soul's sincere desire Uttered, or unexpriessed."

The connexion with the last chapter may be the $\epsilon \kappa \delta / \kappa \pi \sigma<s$ which will accompany Christ's return.
 through cowardice, or give up from faint-heartedness. It is a Pauline word, 2 Cor. iv. 1, 16 ; Gal. vi. 9.
2. кpurds tis. 'A certain judge.' The little story is not improbably taken from life, and doubtless the inferior judges under such a sovereignty as that of the Herods might afford many instances of carelessness and venality.

Tdv $\theta$ è̀v $\mu \grave{̀}$ ф $\varnothing$ ßoúpevos к. $\boldsymbol{\tau} . \lambda$. On the $\mu \dot{\eta}$ see xiii. 11. The description of a character perfectly abandoned. He is living in violation of both of the two great commandments; in contradiction to the spirit of both Tables of the Decalogue. His conduct is the reverse of the noble advice of Jehoshaphat to his judges, 2 Chr. xix. 6, 7; (2 Cor. viii. 21). év $\quad$ ét It is found in xx. 13 ; Matt. xxi. 37; 2 Thess. iii. 15.
3. X $\quad$ ṕpa. See Ex. xxii. 22 ; Deut. x. 18; Is. i. 17, 23 ; Mal. iii. 5 ; 2 Sam. xiv. 2, 5. The necessity for special justice and kindness to widows rose from the fact that in the East they were of all classes the most defenceless and oppressed. Hence the prominent place which they occupy in the arrangements of the early Church (Acts vi. 1, ix. 41; 1 Tim. v. 3, \&e.).

ท̆pхeтo тро's aúrov. 'She kept coming to him.' Grotius, ventitabat. The widow woman is a representatipe alike of the Christian Church and of the Christian soul.
eк $\delta$ Cкпобо́v $\mu \mathrm{\varepsilon}$. 'Do me justice.' The word " avenge" is a little too strong. The technical term trotxnooy implies 'settle my case (so as to free me) from my adversary.' The same word is found in Rom. zii. 19; Rev. vi. 10. There is again a curious parallel in Eeclus. xxyv. 14-17, "He will not despise...the widow when she poureth out her complaint. Do not the tears run down the widow's cheeks? and is not her cry against him that causeth them to fall?...The prayer of the humble pierceth the clouds, and...he will not depart till the Most High shall behold to judge righteously and execute judgment."
disb. A constructio praegnans. "Avenge (and so deliver) me from. (Comp. Judg. xi. 36.)
 ledges his own sin renders it still more aggravated.
 affected by $\epsilon$ as in xi. 8, xvi. I1, 12, \&c. "The creed of a powerful atheist." Bengel.
b. таре́хєuv нои ко́тоv. 'Gives me trouble.'
els тthos 'pxo ${ }^{\prime}$ 'vך. Literally, 'coming to the end,' 'coming for ever' -another colloquidism.
 rally, 'should blacken me under the eyes.' Some have supposed that he is afraid lest the widow should be driven by desperation to make an assault on him; but undoubtedly the word is a colloquialism (dó入ets
 also in St Paul in 1 Cor. ix. 27, where it is rendered, "I keep under. my body." It is like the English colloquialism 'to brow-beat a person.'

Comp．the Latin obtundo，and the expression＂Expenses which pinch parents blue．＂Comp．Matt．x7． 23.

6．$\delta$ кpırìs $\tau \mathfrak{j} \mathrm{s}$ àisılas．Literally，＇the judge of injustice．＇Cp． xvi． 8 ．
7．$\delta$ de $\begin{gathered}\text { Oeds．The argament is simply a fortiori．Even an unjust }\end{gathered}$ and abandoned judge granta a just petition at last out of base motives when it is often urged，to a defenceless person for whom he cares nothing；how much more shall a just and merciful God hear the cry and avenge the cause of those whom He loves？
 by Rev．vi．9－11．But the＇avenging＇is rather the＇vindication，＇i．e． the deliverance from the oppressor．
ßowivt ＇the shouts of the reapers of your fields．＇
 In the A．V．the longsuffering of God is shewn not to His elect （though they too need and receive it， 2 Pet．iii．9），but to their enemies． See Ecclus．xxyv．17，18－another close parallel，probably an inter－ polated plagiarism from this Gospel．The elect are far more eager not only for deliverance，but even for vengeance，than God is．They shew too much of the spirit which God reproves in Jonah．But God knows man＇s weakuess and＂therefore is He patient with them and poureth His mercy upon them．＂Ecclus．xviii．11．But the best sup－ ported reading is кal $\mu a \kappa \rho о \notin v \mu \hat{\imath} \hat{\epsilon} \pi$＇aviroîs．This would denote that the longsuffering is shewn toward the elect．He is pitiful to them，in the midst of their impatience．Others take the word $\mu$ акро $\theta \mu \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ to mean＇delay，＇and understand the previous $\mu \eta$ ；in the sense of num？ ＇Does He delay in their case？＇Meyer takes it to mean＇And is He slow（to strike）for them？＇

8．$\pi ⿰ 丿 ㇄$ He maketh inquisition for blood，He remembereth them，He forgetteth not the cry of the humble．＂＂Yet a little while，＂Heb．又．37； 2 Pet． iii．8，9．The best comment on the Parable and our Lord＇s explans－ tion of it may be found in His own Discourses，John xiv．，xv．
év rúxєt．＇Speedily，＇in reality（ 2 Pet．iii．8）though not in semblance．
 So St Peter tells of scoffers in the last days who shall say＂Where is the promise of His coming？＇ 2 Pet．iii．3， 4 ；and before that day ＂the love of many shall wax cold，＂Matt．xxiv．12； 2 Thess．ii． 3. Even the faith of God＇s elect will in the last days be sorely tried （Matt．xxiv．22）．＇Apa is like the Latin num．Comp．Gal．ii． 17 a $\rho a$ Xpt $\sigma$ òs $\dot{\mu} \mu a \rho \tau l a s$ stákovos；

9－14．The Duty of Humble Pratee．Thi Pharisee and the Tax－gaptierier．
 The Jewish words＇Jashar，＇＇the upright man，＇and＇Tsaddik，＇＇just，＇
expressed their highest moral ideal; but they made their uprightness and justice consist so moch in attention to the ceremonial minutize of the Levitic Law, and rigid externalism so engrossed their thoughts, that they had lost sight of those loftier and truer ideals of charity which the Prophets had continually set before them. This fetishworship of the letter, this serupulosity about trifles, tended only to self-confidence and pride. It had long been denounced in Scripture. "There is a generation that are pure in their own eyes, and yet is not washed from their filthiness," Prov. xxx. 12; "which say, Stand by thyself, come not near to me; for I am holier than thou. These are a smoke in my nose, a fire that burneth all the day," Is. lxv. 5. This is the sort of 'faith' which the Son of Man sheal find on the earth,men's faith in themselves!
 Aeolic form, one of a group of words common to St Luke and St Paul) means 'treat as nothing,' ' regard as mere cyphers,' Rom. xiv. 3, 10. The Rabbis invented the most highflown designations for each other, such as 'Light of Israel,' 'Uprooter of Mountains,' ' The Glory of the Law,' 'The Holy,' \&o.; but they described the vast mass of their fel-low-countrymen as " accursed" for not knowing the law (John vii. 49), and spoke of them as ' empty cisterns,' 'people of the earth,' de. See on v. 32, vii. 34, \&c. This Pharisee regards with perfect self-complacency the assumed ruin and degradation of all the rest of mankind. In one sense the Parable represents the mutual relations of Jew and Gentile.
10. ivéf $\quad$ cav. The Temple stood on Mount Moriah, which was always called the 'Hill of the House' (Har ha-Beth).

тporeúsartai. The Temple had long become naturally, and most fitly, a "House of Prayer" (xix. 46), though this was not its main original function.
11. नrateis. The word might almost be rendered ' posing himself.' Standing was the ordinary Jewish attitude of prayer ( 1 K . viii. 22 ; Mk. xi. 25), but the word (which is not used of the Tax-gatherer) seems to imply that he stood by himself to aroid the contaminating contact of the 'people of the earth,' and posed himself in a conspicuous attitude (xix. 8; Matt. vi. 5; Acts ii. I4), as well as "prayed with himself" as the words are perhaps rightly rendered. He was "a separatist in spirit as in name," 'Trench. (Pharisee from pharash ' to separate.')
т pòs éauróv. He prayed, so to speak, to himself. He was the object of his own idolatry.
of $\theta$ cos. The nom. for the voc., see viii. 54, xii. 32. ' 0 God.' His prayer is no prayer at all; not even a thanksgiving, only a boast. See the strong denunciation of such insolent self-sufficiency in Rev. iii. 17, 18.

 out even this claim to be free from glaring crimes? His class at any
rate are charged by Christ with being "full of extortion" (Matt. xxiii. 25); and they were unjust, seeing that they "omitted judgment" (id. 23). They are not indeed charged by Jesus with adultery either in the metaphorical or literal sense, but they are spoken of as being prominent members of an adulterous generation, and on several occasions our Lord sternly rebuked their ghameful laxity in the matter of divorce (Matt. xix. 3-9). And not only does Josephus charge them with this crime also, but their Talmud, with perfect self-complacency, shews how the flagrant immorality of even their most eminent Rabbis found a way to shelter itself, with barefaced and cynical casuistry, under legal forms. See John viii. 1-11, and Lightfoot, Hor. Hebr. ad loc.; Life of Christ, in. 152. It appears from the tract Sotah in the Mishnah, that the ordeal of the 'water of jealousy' had been abolished by Jochanan Ben Zakkai, the greatest Rabbi of this age, because the crime had grown so common.
 accent of contempt. He thus makes the Publican a foil to his own virtues. "This," says St Augustine, "is no longer to exult, but to insult." It implies, as Luther says, "this pablican who skins and scrapes everyone, and clutches wherever he can."
12. vๆбтév Sls tov̂ raßßátov. Mk. ii. 18. This practice had no divine sanction. The Law appointed only a single fast-day in the year, the Day of Atonement (Lev. xvi. 29). By the time of Zechariah there seem to have been four yearly fasts (Zech. viii. 19). The biweekly fast of the Pharisees was a mere burden imposed by the oral Law. The days chosen were Thursday and Monday, because on those days Moses was believed to have ascended and descended from Sinai, Babha Kama, f. 82, 1. The man boasts of his empty ceremonialism. той $\sigma a \beta \beta a \dot{r} o \mathrm{u}$ is a partitive genitive.
 of the A.V. comes from the Vulg. possideo, which would require $\kappa є к т \eta \mu a$. . Comp. xxi. 19, 'acquire,' or 'ye shall acquire' your souls. The Pharisee speaks as though he were another Jacob! (Gen. xxviii. $22 ;$ comp. Tob. i. 7, 8). Here too he exceeds the Written Law, which only commanded tithes of corn, wine, oil, and cattle (Deut. xiv. 22, 23), and not of mint, anise, and cummin (Matt. xxiii. 23). The fact that he does not say a word about his sins shews how low was his standard. "He that covereth his sins shall not prosper," Prov. xxviii. 13. He was clothed with phylacteries and fringes, not with humility, 1 Pet. จ.5. A Talmudic treatise, the Berachôth (Schwab, p. 336), furnishes us with a close analogy to the prayer of the Pharisee in that of Rabbi Nechounia Ben Hakana, who on leaving his school used to eay, 'I thank thee, 0 Eternal, my God, for having given me part with those who attend this school instead of running through the shops. I rise early like them, but it is to study the Law, not for futile ends. I take trouble as they do, but I shall be rewarded, and they will not; we run alike, but I for the future life, while they will only arrive at the pit of destruction.'
 the case of the Pharisee, but merely غ́ $\sigma$ ós. It is not certain whether the "afar off" means 'afar off from the Pharisee,' or (as is more probable) afar off from the Holy Place to which the Pharisee would thrust himself, as of right, into closest proximity.
oúbk rov่s ó $\phi \theta a \lambda \mu$ oús. Not even renturing to lift his eyes, much less his hands ( 1 Tim. ii. 8, żatpoutas d́aious रeipas). Meyer appositely quotes Tacitus (Hist. rv. 72), "Stabaut conscientia flagitii moestae fixis in terram oculis." The Jew usually stood with arms outspread, the palms turned upwards, as though to receive the gifts of heaven, and the eyes raised. "Unto Thee lift I up mine eyes," Ps. cxxiii. 1, 2; but on the other hand, "Mine iniquities have taken such hold upon me that I am not able to look up," Ps. xl. 12; "O my God, I am ashamed and blush to lift up my face to Thee, my God: for our iniquities are increased over our head, and our trespass is grown up into the heavens," Ezra ix. 6.

Etumter tò $\sigma$ тîfos. For this custom of expressing grief, see xxiii. 48; Nahum ii. 7; Jer. xxxi. 19. "Pectus, conscientiae sedem." Bengel.
 simer.' 'İd́ $\sigma \theta \eta \tau c$ 'be propitiated' as in Heb. ii. 17. He speaks of himself as the chief of sinners, 1 Tim.i. 15 ; or perhaps means humbly to contrast his own unworthiness with the righteousness of the Pharisee.
14. Be $^{2}$ ккa $\omega \mu$ ivos. Of the Pharisee it might be said, "His soul which is lifted up is not upright in him;" but of the Tax-gatherer, "the just shall live by his faith," Hab. ii. 4. But the day had not yet come in which the words "be merciful" (ina ácov), and "justified" ( $\delta \epsilon \delta \kappa \pi a, \omega \mu t v o s)$, possessed the deep full meaning which they were soon to aequire (Heb. ii. 17; Rom. iii. 20). The phrase was not unknown to the Talmod, which says that while the Temple stood, when every Israelite had offered sacrifice, ${ }^{+}$his sin was pardoned and he departed justified.' The reading of the Received text $\hat{\eta} \hat{\epsilon} \kappa \in \hat{v} o s$ is untenable, though it correctly gives the meaning. (Sce Winer, p. 302.). The

 epigram:

> "Two went to pray: or rather say One went to brag, the other to pray; One stands up close, and treads on high, Where th' other dares not send his eye. One nearer to the altar trod, The other to the altar's God."
rap' Eketvov, Prae illo. The $\pi$ apd follows the implied comparative. Comp. xiii. 2. See the critical note.
más ó vícov éautóv. See xiv. 11. In this Parable, as in that of the Prodigal son, we have the contrast between unrighteousness and self-righteousness.

15-17. Jesub and the Chiedren. A Lebson of Humilty.
15. Tà $\beta \rho \nmid \phi \eta$. 'Their babes.' At this point St Luke ends the special information which he derived from the documents about the journey, and rejoins the main stream of the synoptic narrative. It seems to have been a custom of Jewish mothers to carry their babes to eminent Rabbis for their blessing ; naturally therefore these mothers would bring their children and babes to Jesus. See Matt. xiz. 13-15; Mk. x. 13.
twa...ätrytal. See on vi. 7. In Hellenistic Greek the subj. came to be normally used where the Attic would use the opt.
16. $\pi$ робєка入learo. St Mark adds that Jesus was much displeased with the officious interference of the disciples who so little understood \#is tenderness.
tây $\gamma \mathrm{d} p$ toooúruv. Because children are meek, humble, trustful, guileless, unsophisticated, pure. It was a lesson which Jesus often taught, Matt. v. 3, xi. 25, xyii. 10, 14; 1 Cor. xiv. 20; 1 Pet. ii. 1, 2.
ws maviov. See Matt. xi. 25. Hence the Psalmist sayg, "My soul is even as a weaned child, ${ }^{2}$ Ps. czxxi. 2. Tradition (erroneously) bupposed that St Ignatius was one of these children.

## 18-30. The Gbeat Refubal. Teik Yodng Ruler who hoved Riches more than Cerist.

18. äpxov. St Matthew (xix. 20) only calls him "a young man." He was probably the young and wealthy ruler of a synagogue. The touch added by St Mark ( x .17 ), that he suddenly ran ap and fell on his kuees before Him, seems to imply that he was eager to catch the opportunity of speaking to Jesus before He started on a journey, probably the journey from the Peraean Bethany, beyond Jordan (John x. 41, 42), to the Bethany near Jerusalem, to raise Lazarus.
8idáoka入s dyafe. This title was an impropriety, slmost an impertinence; for the title "good" was never addressed to Rabbis by their pupils. Therefore to address Jesus thas was to assume a tone almost of patronage. Moreover, as the young ruler did not look on Jesus as divine, it was to assume a false standpoint altogether.
Ti $\pi$ on' $\sigma$ as...; In St Matthew the question runs, "what good thing shall I do?" Here, again, the young ruler betrays a false standpoint, as though "eternal life" were to be won by quantitative works, or by some single act of goodness, -by doing and not by being. It was indeed the fundamental error of his whole class. Rom. ix. 32.
 also ran, 'Why askest thou me about the good?' The emphasis is not on the $m e$ (for the form used is the enclitic $\mu e$ not $\epsilon^{\prime} \mu$ ) but on good. Why do you give me this strange title which from your point of view is nnwarrantable? Comp. Plato Phaed. 27, "to be a good man is impossible...God alone conld have this honour."

19. St Matthew says that our Lord first answered, "Keep the commandments," and when the young man asked, 'What kind of commandments?' expecting probably some recondite points of casuistryminute rules (Halachôth) out of the oral Law-our Lord to his surprise mentions the broadest and most obvious commandments of the Decalogue.
 the plainest commandments of the Second Table, to shew the young man that he had fallen short even of these in their true interpretation; much more of that love to God which is the epitome of the first Table. Thus does Christ 'send the proud to the Law, and invite the humble to the Gospel.'
 $\phi u \lambda d \sigma \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a l$ in the sense of sibi custodire legem is common in the LXX., but not in classical Greek. There seems to have been an accent of extreme surprise in his reply. 'You bid me not be a thief, adulterer, murderer! For whom do you take me? I am no criminal. These I kept since I was a child.' And then he added, "What lack I yet?" (Matt. xix. 20).-Here, again, the Gospel is true to the letter in its picture of a Pharisaic Rabbi. Thus the Talmud describes one of the classes of Pharisees as the tell-me-something-more-to-do-and-I-will-do-it Pharisee; and when M. Chaninah was dying be said to the Angel of Death, "Go and fetch me the Book of the Law, and see whether there is anything in it which I have not kept."
20. dixovoas. St Mark says that 'looking on him, He loved him,' or rather 'was pleased with him.' Some have rendered the words 'He kissed him,' ${ }^{\text {' }}$ since Rabbis in token of approval sometimes kissed
 but $\notin \phi \lambda \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$. There was something gracious and sincere in the youth's eagerness, and therefore Jesus gave him that test of something more high and heroical in religion which he seemed to desire, but to which he failed to rise.
 laeking' (eג $\lambda \epsilon i \pi \epsilon \epsilon$ ) as here. This command to sell all and give to the poor was special, not general. The youth had asked for some great thing to do, and Jesus, by thus revealing to him his own self-deception, shews him that in spite of his spiritual pride and profession of magnanimity he is but trying to serve two masters. The disciples had already accepted the test, xii. 33, xvi. 9. To the world in general the command is not to sell all, but "not to trust in uncertain riehes, but to be rich in good works, ready to distribute, willing to communicate," 1 Tim. vi. 17-19.
 St Mark adds that 'his brow grew gloomy and cloudy at the command' ( $\sigma \tau v \gamma \nu d \sigma a s \in \pi l \mid \hat{\varphi} \lambda \phi \gamma \varphi)$. And thas at the time he made, through cowardice or meanness of mind, what Dante (Inf. x, 27) calls 'il gran rifitoto,' 'the great refusal,' and the poet sees his shade among the whirling throng of the useless and the facing-both-ways on the confines
of the Inferno. Nothing, however, forbids us to hope that the words of Jesus who "loved him" sank into his sonl, and brought him to a humbler and holier frame of mind. But meanwhile he lost for his earthly dross that eternal blessedness of self-sacrifice which Christ had offered him. The day came when Saul of Tarsus was like this youth "touching the righteousness which is in the law blameless;" but he had grace to count all things but loss for Christ. Phil. iii. 6-9.

 sentence a more classical turn.
21. Lסàv $\delta \boldsymbol{z}$ aủrov. Several good uncials read merely 'when Jesus saw him.' The Gospel to the Hebrews as quoted by Origen on Matt. xix. 19 has here $a$ weak and prosaic addition, which shews its complete inferiority,
oi $\tau \dot{d}$ xpijpara "Xovtes. The striking reading of some mss. (NB, \&cC.) in Mk. х. 24 , is that Christ, seeing the pained astonishment of the disciples, said, "Children! how hard it is to enter into the kingdom of God"-hard for all; above all, hard for the rich. Other mss. have "for those that trust in riches" (comp. Prov. xi. 28)-but that would be a truism; and indeed, while they trust in riches, it would be not only hard, bat impossible. The point that Jesus wished to teach was that riches are always a temptation and a snare. 1 Tim. vi. 9, 10. Let us not forget that Judas heard these words only a few days or weeks before he sold his Lord. It wes almost a proverb among the ancients that "the very rich are not good." Stobaeus, xaII. 27.
22. Káp ${ }^{2}$ גov. To soften the apparent harshness of this expression, some have conjectured кduchov, 'a rope;' and some have explained 'the needle's eye' of the small side gate for passengers (at the side of the large city gates), through which a camel might press its way, if it were first unladen. But (i) the conjecture кá $\mu \mathrm{L} \lambda a \boldsymbol{y}$ is wholly without authority. (ii) The name of 'the needle's eye' applied to small gates is probably a modern one which has actually originated from an attempt to soften this verse:-at any rate there is no ancient trace of it. (iii) The Rabbinic parallels are decisive to prove that a camel is meant because the Babylonian Jews using the same proverb substitute 'an elephant' for 'a camel.' (iv) It is the object of the proverb to express human inpossibility. In the human sphere-apart from the speaial grace of God-it would be certain that those who have riches would be led to trust in them, and so would fail to enter into the king. dom of God, which requires absolute humility, ungrudging liberality, and constant self-denial.
 question expresses agitation and surprise. Comp. 2 Cor. ii. 2. Winer, p. 545. Here once more we catch the eohe of the sighing despair oaused in the minds of the still immature Apostles by some of our Lord's harder sayings.
23. Svvardे rapd t $\hat{\omega} \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega}$. See on i. 37. "There is nothing too hard for thee," Jer. xxxii. 17; comp. Job zlii. 2; Zech. viii. 6.
 uncertain; perhaps it was a passing touch of self-congratulation; perhaps a plea for pity in the hard task of salvation.
 ticular erisis, v. 11.
 sous of Levi, Deut. xxxiii. 8-11.
eivekey tग̂s ßaruncias toû $\theta$ eov̂. Unless the motive be pare, the sacritice is unavailing.
24. дто入áß $\mathrm{m}^{\prime}$ 'Receive as his due.' Comp. vi. 34, xvi. 25, xxiii. 41.
mo八hainarloya. St Matthew and St Mark say ' $a$ hundredfold,' and St Matthew adds that in the Palingenesia-the New Birthday of the World, the Restoration of all things-they shall sit on twelve thrones, judging the twelve tribes of Israel. St Luke naturally omits the more purely Hebraic conceptions. St Mark adds the two striking words, "with persecutions." Of course, the promise of "the hundredfold" is neither literal nor quantitative, but qualitative and spiritual.
 season.
 §aỉv alcuvov. John xvii. 3.
s1-34. Jesja prophesies that He shodld be crjcified.
Between these verses and the last should probably be inserted the journey from the Peraean Bethany to the Judaean Bethany, and the Raising of Lazarus (John xi. 1-46). This signal miracle was omitted by the Synoptists for the same reasons as those which led them to a marked reticence about the family of Lazarus (see on x. 38 and my Life of Christ, ir. 173). This miracle led to a meeting of the Sanhedrin, at which it was decided-mainly on the anthority of Caiaphasthat Jesus must be put to death though not during the ensuing Passover,-with such precautions as were possible. The terrible decision became known. Indeed, it led to attempts to murder Lazaras and seize Jesus, which compelled Fim to retire secretly to the obscure village of Ephraim (John xi. 54)-probably Et-Taiyibeh, not far from Bethel (Beitin), and about 20 miles from Jerusalem. Here our Lord spent, in undisturbed and unrecorded calm, the last few weeks of His life, occupied in training the Apostles who were to convert the world. Towards the close of the time He would see, from the hill of Ephraim, the crowds of Galiluean pilgrims streaming down the Jordan valley to keep the Passover at Jerusalem; and, secure under their protection till His brief days of destined work were done, He left His place of retreat to join their caravans for His last solemn progress to Jerusalem.
25. Trapalaßciv. 'Taking them apart,' and on the road, as we learn from Matt. xx. 17. St Mark, with one of his graphia touches of
detail, describes Jesus walking before them, and (as we infer from the expression of the Evangelist) in such awful majesty of sorrow that those nearest Him were filled with deep amazement, and those who were following at a greater distance felt a hush of fear (Mark x. 32). Then it was that He beckoned them to Him, and revealed the crowning circumatances of horror respecting His death.
 tov divepormov. 'All the things that have been written through the prophets for the Son of Man shall be accomplished;' or, perhaps, shall be accomplished to the Son of Man. D reads atpl tồ vioû toû arөिwitov, which is a gloss.
26. Tois fovectu. This was the third, and by far the clearest and most circumstantial prophecy respecting His death. Hitherto, exoept for scattered hints which they could not understand (ix. 22, 45), the Apostles might have supposed that Jesus would be put to death by the Jewish authorities. Now He tells them that He shall be delivered to the Gentiles, which involved the fact that Ho should be cracified, as indeed now for the first time He plainly told them (Matt. xx. 19). It was necessary thus to oheck all blind material Messianic hopes, the ineradicable prevalence of which was proved immediately fifterwards by the ambitious request of Salome and her sons (Mark x. 35-45; Matt. xx. 20-28). But while the magnificent promisea which they had just heard, and the magnificent miracle which they would immediately witness, together with the shouting multitudes who would soon be attending our Lord, made it necessary thus to extinguish all worldly hopes in their minds, yet to prevent them from being crushed with sorrow, He now adds, without any ambiguity, the prophecy of His resurrection on the third day.
 and St Mark tells us (ix. 32) that "they were afraid to ask Him." It was only at a later period that the full significance of all these words dawned on them (John xii. 16). We mast learn, as Passal says, to love divine traths before we can understand them. The Apostles refused to admit the plain meaning of these clear statements (Matt. xvi. 22).

## 36-43. Bartimaete healed at Jericeo.

 our Lord's death-on the evening of Thursday, Nisan 7, or the morning of Friday, Nisan 8. St Mark (x. 46) and St Matthew (xx. 29) say that this miracle took place as He was leaving Jericho. With simple and truthful writers like the Evangelists, we may feel sare that some good reason underlies the obvious spparent discrepancy which would however in any case be unimportant. Possibly it may arise from the two Jeriehos-the old town on the ancient site, and the new semi-Herodian town which had aprung up at a little distance from it. And, as Chrysostom says, such diserepancies have their own value as a marked proof of the mutual independence of the Evangelists.

тuф入́s tis. St Matthew (xx. 30), as in the case of the Gadarene demoniac, mentions two blind men; and in any case a blind man would hardly have been sitting quite alone. The name of Bartimaeus is only preserved by St Mark.
36. тl đl̄ то̂̀vo. 'What this might be.' See xv. 26. "Av might also have been used in this dependent question; or the indicative

38. viet $\Delta a v e i \delta$. The use of this Messianic title implies a strong faith in Bartimaeus.
enénoóv " $\mu \mathrm{E}$. "The Kyrie Eleison of the soul which preceder its Hosanna." Van Oosterzee.
39. $\langle\pi e r i \mu \omega v$ av̉т $\hat{1}$. Compare xviii. 15; Matt. xix. 13.
40. Eyloavios 8 e avirovi. The narrative of St Mark, which is evidently derived from an immediate eye-witness, describes Bartimaens as 'springing to his feet and flinging away his outer robe,' when he was told that Jesus had called him.
41. OौAfs motjocu. See note on ix. 54.

кúple. In St Mark the title given is Rabboni, the highest form of the title Rabbi.
 have been beautifully woven by Mr Longfellow into his little poem of Blind Bartimaeus:

> "Recall those mighty voices three,
> ' $1 \eta \sigma 0 \hat{\theta}$ è $\lambda \in \eta \sigma \partial \nu \mu \in!$
 mitracles was long past. St Luke is specially fond of recording doxologies. See v. 26 , vii. 16, xiii. 17, xvii. 15, xxiii. 47.
alvov. A poetical word, which in the N.T. is only found here and in Matt. xxi. 16, but is more common in the LXX.

## CHAPTER XIX.

4. ovкодоре́av. See note.
ékelvqs. See note. The $\delta i$ exsivip of Elz . is a gloss.
 NBL, Ti. W.H.
5. iv $\stackrel{\psi}{2}, N \mathrm{ABDL}, \& c$. E Ess is an ineorrect gloss. See note.
6. í ťтєpos, BDL.
7. ᄅגawiv. See note.


8. крájovatr. See note.
9. Hotal, NBL, for étotv.

Ch. XIX. 1-10. Zacchaeve the Tax-gatherer.

1. eloel $\theta \omega \mathrm{y}$ (ıtipXero. Literally, 'having entered .Jericho was passing through it.'

Tin' 'Iepexx ${ }^{\omega}$, Jericho (the City of Palm trees, Deut. xxxiv. 3; Judg. i. 16) is about 6 miles from the Jordan, and 15 from Jerusalem. It was from a point opposite to it that Mosea had viewed Canaan, Deut. Ixxiv. 1. When taken by Joshua the site had been cursed (Josh. vi. 26): but, in the reign of Ahab, Hiel of Bethel defied and underwent the curse (1 Kings xvi. 34). In later times Jericho became a great and wealthy town, being fertilised by its abundant spring (2 K. ii. 21) and enriched by its palms and balsams, Jos. antt. Iv. 6 ; B. J. rv. B; Ecclus xxiv. 14, "I was exalted like a palm tree in Engaddi and like a rose plant in Jericho." The plant however usually called the rose of Jericho is the Anastatica Hierochuntia of Linnseus. A mediaeval Itinerary says that the site-on which now stands the miserable and degraded village of Riha-was 'most rich in flowers and odoriferous shrubs."
2. kal iSov. The style of this chapter shews that St Luke is using a document of Aramaic origin.
ov́́цаті кa入oú $\kappa$ vos. The more classic phrase would have been ố $о \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ ка入.

Zaкхaíos. Zakkai means 'pure.' Ezr. ii. 9; Nehem. vii. 14; Jos. Vit. 46. There is a Zakkai in the Talmud, father of the famous Rabbi Jochanan, and he also lived at Jericho. The name shews that he was a Jew, and not as some have fancied a Gentile. Nothing is known of him, though the Clementines make him bishop of Caesarea (Hom. inl. 63, Recogn. In. 65, Meyer).
 this use of autòs comp. viii. 41. He may even have risen as some Jews did, from the subordinate rank of the portitores to that of publicanus (Jos. B. J. m. 14, §9). Priests (see on x. 31) and publi-cans-the latter employed to regulate the balsam-duties, and the exports and imports between the domains of the Romans and of Antipas-were the chief classes at Jericho (Jos. Antt. xiv. 4, § 1, xv. 4, § 2; Justin Hist. vr. 3).
 odium of his position, and being accustomed to contempt and hatred, he wished to see One who was not only a great prophet, but also kind to tax-gatherers and sinners.
$\tau i_{s}$ Eottv. I. e. he desired to distinguish Jesus by sight amid the crowd; or possibly rather 'what sort of person He was.' For the indicative comp. Acts $x x i .33$.
drd tov̂ öx but in the N.T. a $\pi \bar{d}$ is used in the sense of propter and prae to express the cause. See Acts xxii. 11 ; John xxi. 6, \&c.
4. олконор́av. A commoner form of the name is avкбиороs. Not the same as sycamine (mulberry) of xpii. 6, or with our sycamore (or pseudo-platanus) but the Egyptian fig, of which the low spreading branches are very easy to climb.
ketivns. 'That way,' There is no need for the dià of some mss. See v. 19, жotas. Winer, p. 738 sq.

Suexterlau. To pass through the town.
5. Zaxxaite. Zacchaeus was so prominent a person in Jericho that we can see no difficulty in his being known to Jeaus by name.

8et. The word implies a maral fitness; "as if," says Luther (quoted by Meyer), "He conld not dispense with Zacchaeus, whom nevertheless every one else avoided as a great sinner."
6. Xaipav. This publio honour done by the Messiah to one so despised by all classes of his countrymen, ennobled him with a new feeling of happiness and self-respect.
 See xp. 2. The 'all' is very significant as shewing how deepseated was the national feeling which, because it was unworthy, our Lord at the very zenith of His earthly popularity thus unflinchingly braved. Many of them may not have heard His previous vindication of His object (Matt. ix. 11-13).

тарá. 'At the house of.' It depends on кata入joat. Comp. 2 Tim.


катадîनal. 'To put up' as though at a guest-chamber, ii. 7; Mk. xiv. 14. Comp. ix. 12. The word means originally 'to loosen harness.
8. oraftes. The word means 'taking his position' in sight of all the crowd; see xviii. 11.
apòs ròv kúplov. Not to the crowd who had nothing but contempt and hatred for him, but to Him who loved the nobler self which He sam in him, and of whose notice he desired to be more worthy.

тd. $\boldsymbol{\eta} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu}$ [otala. A vast sacrifice for one whose very position shewed that he had not been indifferent to wealth. $\dot{j} \mu i \sigma e l a$ is the reading of NBL. In classic Greek it is a fem. sing. but was used by later writers as a neut. plural.
$8 \delta \delta \omega \mu$. I now propose to give; a purpose not a past habit.
 $\tau<$ for $0, \tau!$ a little softens the bitterness of the confession. For the verb see iii. 14.

тerpamioûv. Far more therefore then was required by the Mosaic Law, which onily demanded the restitution of a fifth part beyond the principal, Num. v. 7; 1 Sam. xii. 3 (but comp. Ex. xxii. 1). The words neither deny nor affirm that any part of his wealth had been thus dishonestly gained.
9. vì̀s 'ABpadu. Used here in the high spiritual sense (Rom. iv. 11, 12, 16; Gal. iii. 7) though also true (as the name Zacchaeus shews) in the literal sense. See i. 55, iii. 8, xiii. 16.
10. ヶd dumoえwhós. See xv, 1-32; Matt. xviii. 11; 1 Tim. i. 15; Ezek. sxxip. 11-16.

## 11-27. The Parable of the Podnds.


8ud to typus eival. Probably therefore the parable was spoken on the journey. Jericho was 150 stades from Jerusalem. Jos. B. J. iv. 8, 83.
dvaфalverAal. Literally, "be manifested to view.' The disciples had the same excited anticipation after the Resurrection, Acts i. 6, 7. Our Lord was always careful to lead them away from false material hopes. The lessons of the parable are patient waiting and active work, and it was intended to check the effervescent enthusiasm of Messianic temporal hopes.
12. ${ }^{2} v 0$ pantos tus evyevifs. This would scem a most unintelligible incident if we did not know what suggested it. The Evangelists throw no gleam of light upon it, and the fact that we can from contemporary secular history not only explain it, but even trace (without the slightest aid from any of the Gospels) the exact circumstances which suggested it at this very place and tine, is one of the many invaluable independent circumstances which enable us to prove from history the absolute truthfulness of these records. Two 'nobles'Herod the Great and his son Archelaus-had actually gone from Jericho to a far country, even to Rome, for the express purpose of 'receiving a kingdom' from the all-powerful Caesar (Jos. Antt. xiv. 14, xvir. $9, \$ 4$ : comp. 1 Macc. viii. 13), and the same thing was subsequently done by Antipas (id. Antt. xmir. 5, § 1). It is deeply interesting to see how Jesus thus utilises any incident-social or politicalas a rehicle for spiritual instruction. Probably if we knew the events of His day more minutely, we should see the origin of many others of the parables. The facts here alluded to woald naturally be brought bath to His mind, and to those of the Galilaeans, by the sight of the magnificent palace at Jericho which Archelaus had rebuilt. (Jos. Antt. xviI. 13, § 1.) How little the incidental machinery of parables should be theologically pressed, we may see from the fact that here our Lord takes the movements and the actions of a cruel and bad prince like Archelaus, to shadow forth certain truths of His own ministry (compare the Parables of the Unjust Steward and the Unjust Judge).
13. Séca $\overline{\text { ôoùnous tavtoû. 'Ten slaves of his own;' for auch a }}$ noble would count his servants by hundreds. The men being slaves the sums entrusted to them are small.

Séka $\mu$ vás. The mina was 100 drachmas (xv. 8), and was worth £3. 68.8 d . in nominal value. The word is $\&$ corruption of the Hebrew maneh. (2 Chr. ix. 16.) A comparison of this parable with that of the Talents (Matt. xxy. 14-30) will shew the wide diversities between the two. Archelaus did actually leave money in the charge of some of his servants, especially entrusting Philippus to look after his pecuniary interests in his absence.

траүнатєv́баб月є. 'Trade,' negotiamini. Tyndale and the Genevan have 'buy and sell.' The "occupy" of the A. V. (in the sense of the Latin occupare) is found also in Cranmer and the Rhemish; comp. Ps. cvii. 23, "that...occupy their business in great waters" (Prayer-Book). For the command see 1 Pet. iv. 10.
 'while I am on my journey,' literally 'during which I return.' If we
 certain)' (John xxi. 22). A contingent return would be expressed by t $\omega \mathrm{s}$ à
14. ${ }^{4}$ foovv autrov. And this was not strange, seeing that the very beginning of his reign had been signalised by a hideous massacre of his subjects. (Jos. Antt. xyir. 9, § 3.)
$\pi \rho \in \sigma$ हilav órlow aùrov̂. 'An embassy to follow him' (xiv. 32). Vulg. legationem. Here again the incident would be entirely obscure, if we did not know from Josephus that the Jews did send an embassy of 50 to Angustus-who were met on their arrival at Rome by 8000 Jews - to recount the cruelties of Arehelaus, and plead for deliverance from him and the Herods generally, (Jos. Antt. xyn. 11, § 1, \&e.) Although not immediately suceessful, the embassy was one of the circumstances which led to his ultimate deposition.

тovitov. The 'this' is supremely contenuptuons. For the fact shadowed forth see John xv. 18, xix. 14, 15, 21.
15. 入aßóvia тற̀̀ $\beta$ ßarinclav. Not however the coveted title of king, which was refused him.
ywoi. This seems to be the trae reading both here and in Mk. v. 43.

ris $\pi$ l. Comp. Mk. xv. 34. This mixture of two questions is quite classical. See Soph. Aj. 454, de.

8ьeтpayuateírato. A compound form of the verb in vs. 13. The calling of the servants corresponds to the "Give an account of thy stewardship" of xvi. 2.
16. тробтрүŋббато. Literally, "earned in addition." As though there were no merit of his own in the matter.
17. Ev elax(atw. See xii. 48, xvi. 10.
knávo $\delta$ kxa $\pi \dot{0} \lambda \epsilon \omega v$. Another strange touch explained by the history of the times. Archelaus had actually assigned the government of cities to his adluerents who had proved faithful (Jos. Antl. xiv. 14, §3,
\&e.), and this was not an uncommon plan among the Herodian princes. "We shall also reign with Him," 2 Tim. ii. 12. The somewhat awkward Greek phrase shews how closely St Luke is adhering to his Aramaic document.
18. Emolineev. 'Made,' in the same idiomatic sense as in English 'to make money.'
20. rowdapie. A Latin word, which, like many others, passed into Greek and even into Semitic languages (comp. Nefeúv, $\dot{a} \sigma \sigma \dot{\alpha} \rho u a y)$. These Latinisms are most common in St Mark.
21. Éфоßoúpŋv...бe. A sure sign that he did not love him, 1 John iv. 18.
 alike by Jewish and Greek laws (Jos. c. Ap, 11. 130). One of Solon's

22. ik тov̂ $\sigma$ тóparts $\sigma$ ov. "A powerful instance of the argumentum ex concessis." Lange.
23. $\quad i \pi i ~ \tau \rho \alpha i \pi k\} a v . ~ ' I n t o ~ a ~ b a n k . ' ~ T h e ~ G r e e k ~ w o r d ~ f o r ~ a ~ b a n k e r ~$ is $\tau \rho a \pi \epsilon 弓 i \tau \eta s$. This touch contains the germ of the unrecorded saying (aypa, фov סobyuc) of our Lord, which is one of the most certainly genuine of those which are preserved loy tradition-" Shew yourselves

 (see iii. 13).
24. dpare к.т.入. Here our Lord leaves the historical groundwork. Compare Matt. xxi. 43, "The kingdom of God shall be taken from you, and given to a nation bringing forth the fruits thereof." Lk, viii. 18.
25. eimav avjา $\hat{\omega}$. Perbaps the officials round the king; but as this verse is purely parenthetical, it may not impossibly be an interpellation of the crowd, expressive of their vivid interest in the narrative.
26. kal $\delta$ exab. Comp. viii. 18 , "even that which he seemeth to have."
27. тoùs Extpoús $\mu$ ou tovirous. They had once been 'citizens,' vs. 14.

ката. $\phi$ djate. 'Slaughter them.' Archelaus had similarly put some of his political opponents to death. This, too, corresponds to ulterior truths-the ruin and massacre of the unbeliering Jews. Comp. 1 Cor. xy. 25.
 tyrant Archelaus, who like Caligula may have delighted in the personal inspection of the exeoutions which he ordered.
 of them." Perhaps during the delivery of the parable, He had parsed to let the crowd gather round Him.
duaßatrov. The road from Jericho to Jerusalem is an continnal ascent. See x. 30, 31,

## 29-40. The Triomphal Entry $\operatorname{tinto~Jertsaleiy.~}$

29. Bneqayr. The site is not identified, but it seems to have been regarded as a suburb of Jerusalem. The name means House of (unripe) Figs.
kal B $\eta$ Øarlay. Perhaps the House of Dates, but this is very uncartain. The mention of Bethany after Bethphage is sarprising. Here, however, St Luke omits the supper in the house of 'Sirnon the leper' (Matt. xxvi. 6-13; Mk. xiv. 3-9; John xii. 1-19) and the anointing of Jesus by Mary of Bethany. Jesus arrived at Bethany before sunset on Friday, Nisan 8 (March 31, a.d. 30), and therefore before the Sabbath began. Here the throng of Galilaean pilgrims would leave Him to go to their friends in Jerusalem, or to make booths for themselves in the raliey of the Hidron and on the slopes of Olivet. The Sabbath was spent in quiet. The supper was in the evening, otherwise the Jews could not have come from Jerusalem, as the distance exceeded a Sabbath day's journey. It was on the next morning (Palm Sunday) that our Lord started for Jerusalem. His stay at Bethany may have been due to friendship, or may have been dictated by prudence. It was the brooding over the imagined loss of the value of the precious ointment-an assault of Satan at the weakest point-which first drove Jadas to his seeref interview with the Sadducean priests.
'EAatáv (but see W. H.). Nom. sing. Olivetum, olive-grove. St Luke uses this form, not the gen. plur. èatüv. See xxi. 37; Acts i. 12, and Jos. Antt. vir. 9, $\$ 2$, enacôvos öpos. The name is here regarded as a sound, and therefore is not put in the accusative. Comp. $\tilde{\eta}^{p}$

 has led to the conjecture that Peter was one of these two.
30. тйخov $\delta_{\varepsilon \delta \delta}$ other Evangelista, and does not refer to the prophecy of Zech. ix. 9.
ovidels...kádurev. And therefore adapted for a sacred use. See Num. xix. 2; Deut. xxi. 3 ; 1 Sam. vi. 7.
31. émıp(廿avтes...тd̀ іцátгa. To do Jesus royal honour. Comp. $2 \mathrm{~K} . \mathrm{ix} .13$. Vulg. jactantes. The verb which is a $\alpha \pi a \xi \lambda \epsilon \gamma \delta \mu \epsilon \gamma^{\gamma}$ in the N.T. (except 1 Pet. v. 7), implies hasty action.
imeßißacav. It is clear that He rode upon the unused foal, which was probably led by the bridle, while it is possible that the mother went by its side. St Matthew, however, alone (apparently) mentions two animals (xxi. 2, 7), and possibly this may have been due to some confusion arising out of the Hebrew parallelism (Zech. ix. 9, "riding upon an ass, even upon a colt, son of she-asses") in the translation into Greek from an Aramaic document. The ass in the East is not a despised animal (Gen. xxii. 3, xlix. 14; Judg. v. 10), and it is only because it was despised by Gentiles that Josephus substitutes for it 'horse' or 'beast of burden,' and the Seventy (LXX.) soften it down into 'foal.' \&c. The Gentile world abounded in sneers against this
narrative, and had all sorts of absurd stories about the Jews and the ass, or ass's head, which they were supposed to worship (Jos. c. Ap. iI. 10; Tac. Hist. v. 3. 4). The Christians were also called assworshippers (Tert. Apol. 16; Minuc. Fel. Oct. 9), and this calumny is alluded to in one of the hideously blasphemous wall caricatures (Grafitit). (See however King's Gnostics, p. 90; Lundy, Monumental Christianity, p. 60.)
32. Td іра́rıa autûv. As well as leaves of trees and branches of the palms, which they tore off and kept strewing as they went along (Matt. xxi. 8), as in the reception of Mordecai (Targum on Esther $\mathbf{x}$. 15) and of the Maccabees ( 2 Macc. x. 7). The very same mode of shewing honour was adopted when Mr Farran, the consul at Damascus, visited Jerusalem in 1834, at a time of great distress.
 the hill, at the spot where the main road from Bethany sweeps round the shoulder of the hill, and the city first bursts full on the view. At this point the palm-bearing procession from the city seems to have met the rejoicing crowd of the Galilaean pilgrims who had started with Jesus from Bethany.
 three Evangelists all come from the Great Hallel (Pss. cxiii-cxviii). St John alone (xii. 17 reading $8 \pi t$ ) points ont that the Messianic enthasiasm had been mainly kindled by the raising of Lazarus. St Luke omits Hosanna, which would have been unintelligible to his Greek readers.
èv $\mathfrak{y} \psi$ lotols. Sub. tónots as in ii. 14. Comp. év toîs êtoupavlots, Eph. i. 3.
33. emırfunoov rois $\mu$ ä才rais $\sigma$ ov. St Matthew puts into the mouth of "the Chief Priests and Scribes" the ruder interpellation, "Hearest thou what these say?"
34. Edy ... $\sigma$ t $\omega$ тf tive would of course be impossible in classical Greek. It is only explicable by excluding the conditional particle from any influence over the verb-"if (under whatever circumstanees) these shall keep silent."
oi $\lambda$ ( $\theta$ or kpójourtr. This is the reading of NBL for кekpagoptat, which is used by earlier and classic writers as the ordinary future of $k \rho d j \omega$, as it is also in the LXX. There seems to be an allusion to the passage, "For the stone shall cry out of the wall," which oceurs amid denunciations of destruction on covetousness and cruelty in Hab. ii. 11. It is also found in the Talmud.

## 41-44. Jests werping over Jertsalem.

41. iठ with gilding and white marble, which flashed resplendently in the spring sunlight (Jos. B. J. v. 5, § 6), and the city was very unlike the crumbling and squalid city of to-day. But that " mass of gold and snow" woke no pride in the Saviour's heart. Few scenes are more striking than this burst of angaish in the very midst of the exulting procession.

 not all the agonies and insults of four days later could wring from Him one tear or sigh.
 uncertain reading (omitted in BD) and is only found in Acts ii. 18; xvii. 27 (W. H.). The day of Chorazin and Bethsaida was past already.
 Salem or 'Peace,' and on the aound though not the derivation of Jerusalem (Yeroo Shalom, 'they shall see peace,' comp. Ps. caxii. 6, 7). Such plays on words often spring from deep emotion. (See my Chapters on Language, pp. 269-276.) Is. xlviii. 18, "O that thou hadst hearkened to my commandments ! then had thy peace been as a river."
v̂̂v $\delta \ell$. 'But as things now are.' The sense is partly causal. The previous sentence is not concluded, by the figure called aposiopesia; in which the apodosis of a sentence is suppressed by the emotion of the speaker. Comp. xiii. 9, xxii. 42; Winer, p. 750.
ekpúsp. 'They were hid,' i.e. the present state of thinge proves the divine decree by which they were destined to be hidden from thee.
42. $\hat{\eta} \mu$ /par. Often used of troublous times, like the Latin tem pora.
$\pi \in \rho \iota \beta a \lambda 0 \hat{\sigma} \sigma \iota \nu . . \mathrm{xápaká} \mathrm{\sigma oL}. \mathrm{'Shall} \mathrm{surround} \mathrm{thee} \mathrm{with} \mathrm{a} \mathrm{palisade,'}$ Is. xxix. 3, 4, xxxvii. 33, LXX. $\chi^{\text {ípak }}$ in Polybias means a palisaded mound. Literally fulfilled forty years afterwards at the siege of Jerusalem, when Titus surrounded the city first with a palisaded mound (vallum and agger), and then with a wall of masonry. Hence the 'pale' of Wyclif and the 'mound' of Tyndale were better than the 'trench' of the A.V., Genevan, and Rhemish. The Jews in one of their furious sorties destroyed this $\chi$ ápa $\xi$, and then Titus built the wall.
 rigid that myriads of the Jews perished of starvation.
 this prophecy wholly against his will, being driven to the utter subversion and destruction of the city, by the desperate obstinacy of the Jews. Sulpicius Severus (Hist. II.), who is supposed to be here incorporating \& fragment of Tacitus, says, "'alii et Titus ipse evertendum templum in primis censebant quo plenius Judaeorum et Christianorum religio tolleretur." Josephus says that it was so frightfally desolated by the siege, that any Jew coming suddenly upon it would have asked what place it was (Jos. B.J. vi. 1, § 1). It was again laid waste in the rebellion under Earcochba.
 Meyer says by zeuqna), ${ }^{+}$They shall level thee to the ground, and extirpate thy children.' The word 'children' here merely means
inhabitants (xiii. 34; Matt. xxiii. 37). The verb which is applied to children in Ps. exxxvii. 9 does not occur again in the N.'T. The siege began at the Passover, and hence it is said that nearly $3,000,000$ Jews were crowded into the city.
ouk ádrírovatv $\lambda\left(\theta_{o v}\right.$ к.т. $\lambda$. The subsequent attempt of the Jews to rebuild the Temple was frustrated by the outburst of subterranean fires. See Gibbon, ch. xxiii. II. 309 (ed. Milman). Comp. Mic. iii. 12.

Tท̂s Embokorîs oov. See Is. xxix. 2-4; Hos. x. 14, 15. For the word 'visitation' see I Pet. ii. 12; Ecclus. xviii. 20. The 'visitation' which they had neglected was one of mercy, i. 68; Acts i. 20; 1 Tim. iii. 1. The word is used for 'oversight,' 'bishopric.'

## 45, 46. Final Cleansing of tee Temple.

45. els tò iepóv. The procession of Galilacan pilgrims would leave Jesus at the foot of Mount Moriah-(the 'Mountain of the House,' Is. ii. 2), beyond which none might advance with dusty feet or stained by travel. Jesus would enter by the Shushan gate.
ik $\beta$ aid $\lambda_{\text {ctr }}$. As He had also done at the beginning of His ministry, John ii. 15. The needs of the pilgrims--the money which had to be changed-the purchase of cattle for sacrifice, \&e.-had made the cloisters, precincts, and even the outer court of the Temple a scene of noisy and greedy barter, as the nave of St Paul's used to be a few generations ago. For further details, see Matt. xxi. 12, 13; Mk. xi. 15-17.

$\sigma \pi \dot{\eta} \lambda a t o v ~ \lambda \eta \sigma \pi \omega \bar{y}$. 'A brigands' cave.' Our Lord had seen many of these brigands' caves (Jos. Antt. 1. 12) on the steep rocky sides of the Wady Hamâm and elsewhere. Comp. Jer. vii. 11, "Is this house which is oalled by my name become a den of robbers in your eyes?" It became still more a murderers' cave when the sicarii made its pavement swim with blood (Jos. B. J. 7\%. 3, §§ 7, 10).

## 47, 48. Eagebness of tee People to hear.

48. ЕЕ́єкр́́paто av̉тоv. Literally, "were hanging from him," i.e. hung on His lips; "pendet ab ore," Verg. Aen. iv. 79. The word occurs here only in the N.T., but is found in Gen. xliv. 30, LXX. Scarcely a single version preserves the vivid metaphor of the original; most of them coldly paraphrase it, like the A.V. Tyndale and Cranmer have 'stuck by him,' and Vulg. suspensus erat.
"On thee the loyal-hearted hung." Tennyson.
"Hanged on Him, as the bee doth on the flower, the babe on the breast, the little bird on the bill of her dam. Christ drew the people after Him by the golden chain of His heavenly eloquence." J. Trapp.

## OHAPTER XX.

1. of dox lepeits, NBCD, La. W.H. The reading in A, Ti. \&c. is lepeîs.
 emendation.
2. The L8óvecs at the end of the verse is omitted by NBCD, Edd.
3. Sevte, Rec. A poetic adverb which occurs nowhere in the N. T.

4. $\boldsymbol{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$, NBCDL. Some mss. have eis $\tau \delta$, which St Luke does not seem to use.
5. oi St E8ekgar kai fiter is added by NBL, La. In this and many other instances the text has been modified by the scribes, in an unimportant manner, from the parallels.

Ch. XX. 1-8. Sodeen Question of the Paiebts and Scribes.
 in NBDLQ. By careful comparison of the Evangelists we find that after the Triumphal Entry into Jerasalem on Palm Sunday, our Lord was received in the Temple by the children-probably those engaged in the Choral Service of the Temple-with shonts of Hosanna, which again called forth the embittered rebuke of the priests. These rebukes He silenced by a reference to Ps. viii. 2. Then came the message brought to Him by Andrew and Philip from the Greek enquirers (sapposed by some to have been sent by abgarus V., King of Edessa), and the Voioe from Heaven. After this He retired privately from the Temple, and bivoaacked ( $\eta 0 \mathrm{~N} / \sigma \theta \eta$ ) for the night on the Mount of Olives (John xii. 20-5; Matt. xxi. 17). Next morning-Monday in Passion Week-occurred the incident of the Fruitless Figtree (Matt. xxi. 18, 19), and it was after this that our Lord entered the Temple. This Monday in Passion Week may be called a Day of Parables, since on it were uttered the Parables of the Two Sons (Matt. xxi. 28-32); the Rebellious Husbandmen (9-16); the Rejected Cornerstone ( 17,18 ); and the Marriage of the King's Son (Matt. xxii. 1-14).
evaryenisopfvou, iii. 18, iv. 43, \&e. This beautiful word is almost confined to St Luke, who uses it tweuty-five times, and St Paul, who uses it twenty times.
entorprav. The word implies a sudden and hostile demonstration (Acts iv. 1, vi. 12, xxiii. 27). Thas they surrounded Him while He was walking in the Temple (Mark xi. 27). The idea of suddenness is sometimes separately expressed (aipulioos, xxi. 34).

- oi dipx cepeis cal oi ypappareís. The chief priests were the heads of the twenty-four courses. It was probably the humble triumph of Palm Sunday, and the intense excitement produced in the city ( $\epsilon \sigma e i \sigma \theta \eta$ )
by the arrival of Jesus (Mart. xxi. 10), which first awoke the aetive jealousy of the chief priests of Jerusalem, who were wealthy Sadducees in alliance with the Herodians, and who had hitherto despised Jesus as only a 'Prophet of Nazareth.' From this period of the narrative, the hostility of the Pharisees, as such, is much less marked. Indeed they would have eympathised with the cleansing of the Temple, which involved a terrible reflexion on the greed and neglect of the hierarchic party.
oùv rois $\pi$ peo $\beta$ 亿utpous. There were probably three great sections of the Sanhedrin: 1, Prieste; 2, Scribes and Rabbis (Sopherîm, Tanaîm, \&c.) ; and 3, Levites. Derenbourg, Pal. ch. vi. Comp. John i. 19.

2. mola Egovoria. 'By what kind of authority.' The implication is 'you are only called a Rabbi by courtesy;' you are not a 'pupil of the wise;' you are not a priest, or a scribe, or a political functionary. Yet you usurp functions which rather belong to Caiaphas, or the President of the Sanhedrin, or the Romans, or Herod. If you act as a Prophet shew us a sign. Practically it was the old taunt by which He had been grieved in Galileo (Matt. xii. 39, xvi. 4).
© Soús. Every recognised Rabbi had received his diploma; every Priest his ordination.
3. $\lambda$ ofov. 'A question.' The divine readiness and (if we may be allowed the expression) presence of mind of Jesus was most conspicuously shewn on this perilous day and the next day.

кai citart $\mu \mathrm{ol}$. We see from St Mark (xi. 30) that this emphatic expression came after His question-as though to hasten their delay, and break up a whispered colloquy of perplexity.
4. 皆 avepórrov. Not "of men" as in A. V. but 'from men.' This was equivalent to the question-with which surely the teachers of Israel should at once have been provided with an answer-was the Baptist a prophet or a seducer? If they could not answer this question they were obviously incompetent to decide as to the authority by which He worked.
 once went aside to discuss together what answer they should give. This deliberation rendered their confession of ignorance more glaring and more fatal to their claims. Eauroús, themselves, should in logical strictness be ${ }^{2} \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda \frac{0}{}$, one another; but, in most languages, reciprocity is often thus colloquially extended into identity.
ouv étroтevare. See vii. 30. It never occurred to them to spenk with the courage of their convictions.
6. кataktácet. The word is a strong compound-used here only
'will stone us to death.' Herod had been daunted by the same dread, Matt. xiv. 5; Jos. Anti. xym. 5, § 2. It illustrates the furious bursts of fanatieism to which the Jews were liable (John viii. 59, x. 31, \&e.).
$\pi \in \pi \epsilon c \pi \mu$ vos. 'Firmly convinced.' The tense implies an analter. able conclusion.
7. $\mu \mathrm{i}$ eififval. 'That they did not know.' The "could not tell" of the A. V. is inaccurately introduced by way of antithesis to the next verse. A wise answer in cases of real uncertainty, as the Hebrew proverb taught-"Learn to say I do not know;" but a base answer when they had an opinion but did not dare to avow it; and doubly base in the matter of a question on which it was their plain duty to have arrived at a judgrent. To be reduced to this ignominious necessity of confessing ignorance (though "we know" was one of their favourite phrases, John ix. 24, de.) was a publio humiliation which they had brought upon themselves.
 the authority of the Prophet who had saluted Jesus as the Messiah, they were obviously incompetent to decide as to His authority.

## 9-19. The Parable of the Labouters in the Vineyard.

 who had only withdrawn a little into the background (vs. 19; Matt. xxi. 32, 45). St Luke here omits the Parable of the Two Sons (Matt. xxi. 28-33), in which, as in this Parable, the hidden meaning -applicable in the first instance to Pharisees and the people, and in the second to Jews and Gentiles-was hardly veiled. This passage (9-18) was arbitrarily omitted by Marcion, because it recognises the divine economy of the O. T. dispensation.
 St Luke omits the special isolation, \&c. of the vineyard. Vines, grapes, and vine-leaves were symbols of Palestine, on the coins of the Maccabees.
$\boldsymbol{\gamma} \epsilon \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ its. Namely, (1) the Jewish nation; (2) their rulers and teachers.
xpóvous ikavoús. The nearly two thousand years of Jewish History. Comp. Matt. xxy. 19. In this long time they learnt to say "the Lord hath forsaken the earth," Ezek. viii. 12; Ps. x. 5.
10. Soîdov. The various 'servants' are the Judges, the better Priests, and the Prophets.
 a final clause-would of course be a strange solecism in classical Greek. For this very reason the reading has been corrected by the copyists into $\delta \omega \bar{\omega} \tau \nu$. See note on xix. 40 .
ämi tov̂ картои́. The payment is in kind, on the métayer system.
 send another'--a Hebraism, xix. 11; Acts xii. 3; Gen. iv. 2.
$\dot{d} \tau \mu \dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\sigma} a v \tau \epsilon$. There is a gradation in their impious audacity. In St Matthew (xxi. 35) it is (1) beat, (2) killed, (3) stoned. In St Mark (xii. 3-5) it is (1) beat, and sent away empty; (2) wounded in the head, and insulted; (3) killed. And when more servants are sent they beat some and kill some. There is perhaps a specific allusion to Zachariah (xi. 51) and John the Baptist.
12. $\psi_{\xi} \in \beta a \lambda o v$. On this treatment of God's messengers see on xiii. 33, 34 and Neh. ix. 26; 1 K. xxii. 24-27; 2 Chr. xxiv. 19-22; Acts vii. 52; 1 Thess. ii. 15; Heb. xi. 36, 37, where the same charge is reiterated.
13. Tl тoเที่ $\sigma \omega$; Gen. i. 26, vi. 7.
$\pi \dot{\epsilon} \mu \psi \omega$ т̀̀v vióv $\mu$ нuv tòv diyartióv. Who "took on Him the form of a servant." Our Lord's teaching respecting His own divine dignity advanced in distinctness as the end was approaching.

Xows. 'Perhaps.' It occurs here alone in the N. T. and once only in the LXX., 1 Sam. xxy. 21 (Heb. 7א, E. V. 'surely'). This 'perhaps' belongs of course only to the parable, but it (i) indicates their free will, and (ii) enhances their awful crime to represent it as having seemed all but inconceivable.
[LSóvtes.] Omitted in NBCDLQ.
évтрan'ícovtal. See on xviii. 2.
14. סıedoytyovio. 'They held a consultation.' Godet sees in the word

 heir of all things," Heb. i. 2. Comp. John xi. 47-53. "They killed that they might possess, and because they killed they lost." Aug.
 allusion to Christ "without the gate," Heb. xiii. 12, 13; John xix. 17. The prophecy was meant if possible at the last hour to prevent the guilt of its own fulfilment ( 2 K . viii. 12, 13).
 the people themselves to our Lord's question.
 xiii. 46 .

陔 $\gamma^{\ell} \mathrm{vosto}$. 'Might it not be!' Heb. Chalilah. In this utterance we hear the groan of the Jewish people when the truth that they were indeed to be rejected burst upon them. It woke an echo even in the heart of the Apostle of the Gentiles. For the Hebrew expression Chalâlah see Gen. xliv. 7, 17; Josh. xxii. 29. It occurs ten times in the Epistle to the Romans alone. See Life of St Paul, I. 206. It is the opposite of Amen, but occurs here alone in the Gospels.
17. $\mu_{\mu} \beta \lambda \in \psi a s$ autrois. 'Looking fixedly on them,' to add solemnity to His reference to their own Scriptures.
 the Hosanna of the mulititude had been taken.
 Ps. cxviii. 22 ; comp. Is. xxviii. 16. The stone is regarded both as a foundation-stone, and a stone at the angle of the building, binding the two walls together. These words made a deep impression on St Peter (1 Pet. ii. 7, 8).
'yєv' ${ }^{\prime}$. 'Was made.' The choice of the corner-stone is past and irrevocable.
18. тєGàv $k \pi^{*}$ ekcivov tdv $\lambda$ itoov. As the Jews did from the first, 1 Cor. i, 23. See Is. viii. 14, 15.
$\sigma v p 0 \lambda a \sigma 0$ jo $\sigma$ cal. 'Shall be sorely bruised.' The verb is poetio.
aiv $\pi$ forn. As it did on the finally impenitent Jews after Christ's Aseension.
 reference to the great Image which 'the stone cut without hands' smote and broke to pieces, so that its fragments became "like the ehaff of the summer threshingfloors, and the wind carried them away," Dan. ii. 35. See Matt. xxi. 44.
19. тpòs aữoús. This decidedly shews the primary sense of the Parable. As yet they hardly realized its wider significance. So when the priests and rulers saw that Jeremiah spoke against them, "Come," said they, "and let us devise devices against Jeremiah...come, and let us smite him with the tongue" (Jer. miii. 18).

After this parable our Lord added the Parable of the Marriage of the King's Son. Thus in three continuous Parables He convicted the Prieste and Scribes (1) of false professions; (2) of eruel faithlessness; (3) of blind presumption. This with their public humiliation about John's baptism made them thirst for speedy vengeance.

20-26. Qeestion about the Tribute Money.
 xvii. 20. The incident now related took place on the Tuesday in Passion-week-the Day of Temptations, or insidious questions-the last and greatest day of the public ministry of Jesus. On the previous evening $\mathrm{He}_{0}$ had again retired to the Mount of Olives, and in the morning the disciples remarked that the Fig-tree had withered. He had scarcely arrived in the Temple when the plot of the Jewish rulers on the previous evening began to be carried out.

Sckalous. 'Righteous;' ingenuous and scrupulous 'disciples of the wise, honestly seeking for instruction. They pretend to be strict legalists who revive the scruples of Judas the Ganlonite. Meyer appositely quotes Cicero (Off. г. 13), "qui tum, quam maxime fallunt, id agunt ut viri boni videantur."
 may take hold of Him by His speech. Comp. Xen. Anab. rv. 7, § 12,
 shield.' Both genitives are partitive. Comp. Ecelus. viii. 11, "Rise not up in anger at the presence of an injurious person, lest he lie in wait to entrap thee in thy words." The words might be rendered 'take hold of Himn by His speech.'

むart. See iv. 29. Here also some mss. read cis rd. See critical notes.
 military, and sjovaia to the civil rule. 'H $\gamma \epsilon \mu \omega \nu$ is a general word for the more precise ent jurisdiction of the procurator.' Comp. xii. 11; 1 Cor. xv. 24. They had not the power or the courage to put Christ to death themselves. We see from Matt. xxii. 15; Mark xii. 16 that this plot sprang from an unholy alliance of Pharisees with Herodians-i.e. of scrupulosity with indifferentism-of devotees with sycophants; miting in common hatred "to orush a reformer whose zeal might be inimical to both." (Neander.)
21. $\Delta \iota \delta$ díкад $\epsilon$, olfaucv. There is something in this fawning malice, and treacherous flattery, almost as repulsive as the kiss of Judas. It shews that these men knew well úroкрiverөau.
 as though they would imply that Jesus was not only an Impartial Judge, too true for sycophancy, but also too keen-sighted to be deceived by hypocrisy. And the one blighting word 'Ye hypocrites!' shewed them that their words were truer than they had intended. From the

 and $\pi \rho o \sigma \omega \pi \rho \lambda \nexists \mu \psi l a$; see Eph. vi. 9; Col. iii. 25; Acts x. $34, \& e$. It is a Hebrew phrase, Lev. xix. 15; Mal. i. 8 .
 devised with so superlative a cratt that it seemed impossible for our Lord to escape. If He said 'It is lawful,' the Pharisees hoped at once to undermine His popularity with the multitude. If He said ' It is not lawful' (Deut. xvii. 15), the Herodians could at once hand Him over, as a traitor, to the secular power. For 'tribute' each Evangelist uses a different word-éTiкє申diatoy, 'poll-tax' (Mark in D); the
 It was a capitation-tax, the legality of which was indignantly disputed by scrupulous legalists.
mavoupriav. A classical word only found in St Paul and St LuKe, 2 Cor. iv. 2, xi. 3, \&e.
 test-question meant only to entrap Him. Not for a moment did these fawning spies deceive Him though

> "Neither man nor angel can discern Hypocrisy, the only evil that walks Invisible, except to God alone."

These Pharisees were illustrating the truth that "no form of self-deceit is more hateful than that which veils spite and falsehood under the guise of frankness, and behind the profession of religion."
24. Se from Mk. xii. 15,16 that they were obliged to borrow the heathen coin from one of the tables of the monef-changers. They would only carry Jewish money in their own girdles.
 beautiful but now depraved features of Tiberius; the title Pontifex Maximus was probably inscribed on the obverse.
25. aं $\pi \mathbf{6}$ ©ote. 'Pay as their due.'
tà Kalrapos Kaloapl. St Paul very clearly enforces the same duty in Rom. xiii. 6, 7. The 'tribute' in Matt, xvii. 24 was quite different; it was the Temple didrachma.
 own coinage; to the Temple the tribute which you can only pay in the shekel of the sanctuary; to God you owe yourselves. Pay to Caesar the coins which bear his stamp, to God the duties of your own souls which bear His image. Thus both the conspiring parties-Pharisees and Herodians-obtained $a$ lesson which they needed.
26. Oavpaioartes. Comp. ii. 47. They thought that escape was impossible for Him; and yet He instantly shatters their decply-laid plot to pieces by ahewing that they-Pharisees and Herodians alikehad decided the question already (according to their own rule" He whose coin is eurrent is king of the land"), so that there is no need for Him to give any opinion about it. The point was this, -their national acceptance of Caesar's coinage was an admission of Caesar's right. Tribute to them was no longer an offering, but a due; not a voluntary gift, but a political necessity. The very word He used was decisive. They had asked "Is it lawful to give ( $\delta a \hat{v} v a i$ )?" He answers, 'Give back' ( $\dot{a} \pi \delta \delta \delta o \tau \epsilon$ ). By using these coins they all alike admitted that 'they had no king but Caesar.' The Christians understood the principle perfectly ( 1 Pet. ii. 13, 14) as the ancient Jews had done (Jer. xxvii. 4-8). Yet these hypocrites dared to shont three days afterwards that Jesus 'had forbidden to give tribute to Caessr'!

## 27-40. Discomfiture of tife Saddecees.

27. tıves tôv इaססoukalor. Matt. iii. 7. On the Sadducees see the Excursus on Jewish Sects. They were undeterred by the discomfiture of the Pharisees and Herodians, and perhaps their plot had been so arranged as coincidently to humiliate our Lord, if they could, by a difficult question, and so to shake His credit with the people. Some have supposed that the memorable incident of the Woman taken in Adultery (John viii. 1-11) also took place on this day; in which case there would have been three temptations of Christ, one political, one doctrinal, and one speculative. But that incident rose spontaneously, whereas these had been pre-arranged.
 tion would have been $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{a} \nu \tau i \lambda \epsilon \gamma \delta \nu \tau \omega \nu$, but the descriptive nominative is here detached from the construction. Winer, p. 668. For the Sadducees, see Jos. Antt. xviri. I, § 4; B. J. ir. 8, § 14. They refused to see any proof of it in the Books of Moses; and to the Prophets and the other books (the Ketubhim or Hagiographa) they only attached a subordinate importance. Their question was inspired less by hatred than by scorn. Wealthy and powerful, they only professed to despise

Jesus, up to this time, as a 'Prophet of Nazareth,' though now they became His main murderers. They are not so much as mentioned by St John, and very slightly by St Mark and St Luke, nor did Christ utter against them the same denunciations as against the Pharisees, who were His daily opponents. All the leading families of high priests at this period were Sadducees. Epicurean worldiness is more tolerant than interested fanaticism.
 xxiii. 4. See on iii. 23 .
29. Étтxì oův к.т.入. In Matt. xxii. 25 it runs "there were with us," as though they were alluding to an actual case.
30. kal $\delta$ 政itepos. This question about the husband of the "Sevenfold widow" was one of the materialistic objections to the Resurrection, which as an insipid 'difficulty' had often been discussed in Jewish Schools. It was excessively commonplave, and even if Jesus had given the answer which contented the most eminent Rabbis of the Pharisaic schools-that the woman would be the wife of the first hus-band-it is hard to see what triumph these shallow Epicureans (as the Talmud calls them) would have gained by their question.
33. ㅊv Tî oûv avaoráact. The forcible order of BL is "the woman, therefore, in the resurrection, whose wife does she become of the seven ?"
34. of viol toú alôvos tovíov, i.e. all who live in the present dispensation. In xvi. 8 the sense is more special. Here, as often elsewhere, the word rendered 'world' is aldiv, which properly means 'age.' It is not the кó $\sigma \mu$ os or material Universe, but the Universe regarded subjectively, i.e. the Time-world.
35. кatagbuévtes. Comp. xxi. 36; Rev. iii. 4; 2 Thess. i. 5. Sane magna dignatio. Bengel.
alâvos ekelvou tuxeitv. Literally, "to attain to that vorld-age," i.e. the genaine inheritors of the future aeon beyond the grave, xiv. 14; Phil. iii. 11. The answer of Jesus is not only full of tolerant condescension, but also of a divine wisdom which at once dwarfe into insignificance the insight of the Rabbinic Hillels and Shammais. It is further most important, as being one of the few passages which give us a clear glimpse into the conditions of future blessedness. These Sadducees erred because, in their ignorance of the Seriptures and the power of God (Mark xii. 24), they were imagiming a kingdom which could be inherited by "flesh and blood."
36. oürc yaf. This is the better reading ; neque enim, 'for neither,' \&o. "There shall be no more death," Rev. xxi. 4. "The dead shall be raised incorruptible," 1 Cor. xv. 52.

Loá ${ }^{\text {yed }}$, Lou. Like the angels in being immortal, but superior to them in privileges (Heb. i. 4; ii. 5-8; 1 John iii. 2). In this one word our Lord refutes the Sadducean denial of the existence of angels, Acts xxiii. 8 ; and incidentally those material notions of future bliss (xiv. 15) which all the Jews held.
viol... $\theta$ eov. " $I$ am the resurrection, and the life," John xi. 25.
vioi $\tau$ 亿̂s divaनtáatcos. Comp. xvi. 8.
37. 'i'klpovtau. Literally, "are being raised"-the present of eternal certainty.
kal Moüक्ण今s. The argument is à fortiori, as though our Lord would say "the Prophets prove it abundantly, but I will not quote them. You quote Moses to throw doubt on the Resurrection; but even Moses, \&c."

knl $\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s} \boldsymbol{\beta} \mathbf{\alpha}$ 'тov. 'In the Bush,' Vulg. in libro super rubum, i.e. in that rection of Exodus (Ex. iii.) which they called by that name, just as they called 2 Sam. i. 'the Bow' and Ezek i. 'the Chariot.' Comp. "in Elias," Rom. xi. 2 (marg).
 Here, as always, in interpreting the Old Test. our Lord pierces to the heart of the spiritual meaning. The Pharisees had endeavoured to draw proofs of immortality from the Law, i.e. from Numb, xv. 31. In later times they borrowed this proof from Christ,-lighting their torches at the sun though they hated its beams. But they had, up to this time, offered no proof so deep as this. The argument is that God would never have called Himself "the God of Abraham, of Iseac, and of Jacob," if these Patriarchs, after brief and sad lives, had become mere heaps of dust. Would He have given confidence by calling Himself the God of dust and ashes? So 4 Macc. xvi. 24, "they who die for God's sake, live unto God as Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob, and all the Patriarchs." Acts xvii. 28.
 pour nous, pour qui les morts sont comme n'etant plus." Godet. Rom. xiv. 8, 9. Our Lord added, "Ye therefore do greatly err." But how incomparably less severe is the condemnation of religious and intellectual error, than the burning rebuke against Pharisaic lovelessness!
39. тwes $\boldsymbol{\tau} \hat{\omega} v$ үрaцццатєшv. Even the Phaxisess could not fail to see the luminous wisdom and spiritual depth of our Lord's reply, and while all of them would rejoice at this confutation of their opponents, some of them would have the candour to express their approval. Truth will always offend some, but others will value it. After this grateful acknowledgment, however, one of them could not refrain from gratifying the insatiable spirit of casuistry by asking Christ ' which is the great commandment of the Law?' (Matt. xxii. 34-40; Mk. . xii. 28-34). This incident is omitted by St Luke, because he has given similar ones before.
40. oúкétг...étó $\lambda \mu \omega v$. The total collapse of their stratagems enhanced our Lord's peril, by shewing how impossible it was for these rich and learned "pupils of the wise" to pose themselves as superiors to Christ in wisdom and knowledge. Assumed contempt was deepened into real hatred.

41-47. The Soribes, Saddudere, and Pharisees reduchd to a Confersion of Ignorance.
41. тòv Xpıotóv. 'The Christ.' See John vii. 42; Ps, cxxxii, 11; Jer. xxiii. 5; Mic. v. 2.
42. tv $\beta<\beta \lambda \mu$ 廿a $\lambda \mu \hat{\omega} v$. Ps. cx. 1. The Jews regarded it as $\varepsilon$ Messianic Psalm, and in पs. 3 the LXX. renders, "From the womb, before the morning star, did I beget thee."
 Lord" (Adonai).

 all enemies under His feet," 1 Cor. xv. 25.
44. т̂̂s aúroû víós zotuv; To a Jew it was inconceivable that a father, or ancestor, should call his son "Lord." The only possible solution-that the Messiah was only " made of the seed of David after the flesh" (Rom. i. 3) was one which they had never chosen to aecept. They, like the Ebionites, expected for their Messiah a mere 'beloved man.' And thus, for the second time on this day, they had drawn on their own heads the humiliating necessity of publicly confessing their ignorance. They 'did not know' whether the Baptist was an Impostor or a Prophet; they 'could not answer a word' to a most obvious question as to the Messianic hope which they put forward as the very centre of their religion! Comp, siv. 6.
45. dkovortos...тaveds toû $\lambda$ aoû. 'While all the people were listening.' Here followed the final rupture of Jesus with the authori-ties-politicel, social, and religious-of His nation. They had now made their own condemnation inevitable, and had justly provoked that great Denunciation (Matt. xxiii.) on which (as less intelligible to Gentiles) St Luke here only touches. But he has given it in part before (xi. 39-52).
46. Ech $^{6} v \tau \omega v$. In classical Greek this would rather have been expressed by $\phi \iota \lambda o u v v \tau \omega v$, but in Hellenistic Greek $\theta \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \tau \tau \iota=$ delectarire, Winer, p. 587.
$\pi \varepsilon p u a \tau \epsilon i v$ ev $\sigma$ rohaifs. With special conspicuousness of fringes, Numb. xy. 38-40. "The supreme tribunal," said R. Nachman, "will duly punish hypocrites who wrap their talliths round them to appear, what they are not, true Pharisees."
do $\sigma \pi a \sigma$ rois. See on xi. 43; Videri quam esse was their secret rule.
трштокаӨिбрlas. See xiv. 17.
47. кateotlovaty k.т.入. Josephus tells us that the Pbarisees had absolute sway in the women's apartments, Jos. Antt. xyiri. 2, § 4. We know from mediaval history how common is the crime of these religions haeredipetae.

трофа́кь. 'In pretence.' Their hypocrisy was so notorious that even the Talmud records the warning given by Alexander Jan-
naeus to his wife on his deathbed against painted Pharisees．And in their seven classes of Pharisees the Talmudic writers place＂Shechem－ ites＂－Pharisees from self－interest；Stumblers－so mock－humble that they will not raise their feet from the ground；Bleeders－so mock－ modest，that because they will not raise their eyes，they run against walis，\＆c．Thus the Jewish writers themselves depict the Pharisees as the Tartuffes of antiquity．

накрd троге⿱㇒木女ovtal．Such as the twenty－six forms of prayer at ablution；the Eighteen Benedictions（Shemoneh Esreb），\＆c．

кр $\rho \mu a$ ．＇Judgment．＇The word is not even катdкр $\mu \alpha$ ，or＇condem－ nation．＇Their＇judgment＇ahall be more severe than that of those who practised none of these religious ordinances．

## CHAPTER XXI．

4．Toû $\theta \in \hat{1}$ is omitted after $\delta \omega \rho a$ by NBLX，\＆e．
6．$\hat{\omega} \delta \epsilon$ is aded after $\lambda \lambda \theta \varphi$ by $\mathfrak{N B L}$ La．W．H．The reading of $D$ is


8．oûv is omitted by NBDLX La．Ti．
19．Kтíqaçe is the reading of NDL Elz，and Ti．$\kappa \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$ is read by $A B$ La．．W．H．

 W．H．
 La．；but probably derived from xx． 35 ．

Between 38 and 39 four cursive mss．add the story of the woman taken in adultery，John vii． 53 －viii． 11.

> Ce. XXI. 1-4. The Widow's Mite.

1．dvaßiéqas 8 e．The expression seems to shew that He was sitting with downeast eyes，saddened，perhaps，in His spirit and agitated by the great Denunciation；but this last little incident is ＇like a rose amid a field of thistles，＇an act genuinely beautiful in the desert of＇official devotion．＇
va̧oфvגdxtov．See John viii．20．This was in the Court of the Women．The High Priest Jehoiada had pat a ohest for this purpose at the entrance of the House， 2 K. xii． 9 ；see Neh．x． 38 ；Jos． $\bar{B} . J$. vi．5；Antt．xix． 6,8 I，and 2 Macc．iii．6－12．It eontained the Corban， Matt．xxvii．6．But in our Lord＇s day there were thirteen chests called Shopheroth，from their trumpet－shaped openings，adorned with various ingcriptions．These rich men do not seem to have been observing the injunctions both sacred and Talmudic to give secretly，Matt．vi．4， 18.
 gifts into the treasury-rich men." St Mark tells us that the gifts were large (Mk. xii. 41).
2. twa. If the rai of some mss. (AEGHD, dc.) be genuine, it should perhaps follow the riva-"some one-even a widow;"aliquam, eamque viduam.

Sío $\lambda$ emtá. "Which make a farthing," Mk. xii. 42. The lepton or prutah was the smallest of coins, and the Rabbis did not allow any one to give less than two.
 a treasure out of much, and it is not considered how much is given, but how much remains behind." S. Ambrose. See 2 Cor. vifi. 12. In the Talmud a High Priest is similarly taught by a vision not to despise a poor woman's offering of meal.
4. oitor. The word is not exactly contemptuous as it often is (see vii. 39, xy. 30), but still it has the depreciatory effect often conveyed by a pronoun being used $\delta \epsilon \kappa \kappa \tau \kappa \hat{\omega}$, i.e. by substituting a gesture for a description.
 of charity is self-denial.
tis tà $\delta \hat{\omega} \rho a$. Not "into their gifts" (quae donarent, Beza) but 'unto the gifts' of the treasury.

5-7. The Doom of the Temple, and the Question abodt the End.
6. ttvov $\lambda_{\text {eyórucuv. The question was asked by the Apostles as }}$ Jesus sat on the Mount of Olives, perhaps gazing on the Temple as it shone in the last rays of sunset.
$\lambda$ ieors ko入oits. Bevelled blocks of stone, of which some are described as having been forty cubits long and ten high; double eloisters; monolithic columns; alternate slabs of red and white marbie, do. See Jos. B. J. v. 5 and Bab. Succa, f. 51, 1.
diva日rinastv. 'Sacred offerings' (Ps. lxii.), such as the golden chain of Agrippa; gifts of Ptolemy Philadelphus, Augustas, Julin, Helen of Adiabene, and crowns, shields, goblets, \&e.; the golden vine with its vast clusters given by Herod. Jos. B. J. ч. 5, § 4. See 2 Mace. v. 16; and Jos. Antt. xir. 3, xv. 11, § 3 . Hence Tacitus calls it "a temple of immense opulence," Hist. v. 8. The word anaeqnjara does not occur again in the N. T., though in reality diva$\theta \epsilon \mu \alpha$ is only a desynonymised form of the same word. Indeed NADX La. Ti. here resd dyat $\mu a \sigma \iota \nu$.
6. тâ̂ta d Өєшркïtє. See Matt, vii. 24; 1 John ii. 24, 27; 2 Cor. xii. 17, \&c. 'These things which ye are gazing on' (it is what is called the 'pendent nominative'). See Winer, p. 718, for similar constructions.
 2 Esdras x. 54, "in the place where the Highest beginneth to shew His city, there can no man's building be able to stand." This was fulfilled in spite of the strong wish of Titus to spare the Temple, Jos. B. J. vi. $4, \S 5$. He was himself so amazed at the massive substructures that he could only see in his conquest the hand of God (id. vi. 9, § 1). This prophecy was in reality that "Let us depart hence" which Josephus (B.J. vi. 5, §3) and Tacitus (Hist. v. 13) tell us was uttered by a mysterious Voice before the destruction of Jerusalem.
7. ėппрш́тпrav. The questioners were Peter and James and John and Andrew, Mark xiii. 3.
то́тє...kal ti tò $\sigma \boldsymbol{\eta} \mu \mathrm{Eiov}$; Our Lord leaves the former question unanswered (see on xvii. 20) and only deals with the latter. This was His gentle method of discouraging irrelevant or inadmissible questions (comp. xiii. 23, 24).

8--27. Signg of the End.
8. $\mu \mathfrak{\eta} \pi \lambda a v \eta \mathrm{O} \boldsymbol{\eta} \tau \epsilon$. A danger incurred even by the elect. Matt. xxiv. 24. The moral key-notes of this great Discourse of the Last Things (Esohatology) are Beware! Watch! Endure! Pray!
mod入ol ydे к.i. $\lambda$. "Even now are there many antichrists," 1 John ii. 18.
© кalpòs ท̈Yүикєv. 'The crisis has approached.'
9. то入\& $\mu$ оиs кal dikataotaбias. The best comment on the prinary fulfilment of this Discourse is the Jewish War of Josephus, and the Annals and History of Tacitus (Ann. xiI. 38, xp. 22, xvi. 13), whose narrative is full of earthquakes, wars, crimes, violences and pollutions, and who describes the period which he is narrating as one which was "rich in calamities, horrible with battles, rent with seditions, savage even in peace itself." (Tac. Hist. ․ 12.) The main difficulties of our Lord's Prophecy vanish when we bear in mind (i) that Prophecy is like a landscape in which time and space are subordinated to eternal relations, and in which events look like hills seen chain behind chain which to the distant spectator appear as one; and (ii) that in the necessarily condensed and varying reports of the Evangelists, sometimes the primary fulfilment (which is shewn most decisively and irrefragably by $\mathrm{\nabla s} .32$ to be the Fall of Jerusalem), sometimes the ultimate fulfilment is predominant. The Fall of Jerusalem was the Close of that Aeon and a symbol of the Final End ( $\tau$ thos). This appears most clearly in the report of St Luke.
dikataotarias. Conditions of instability and rottenness, the opposite to peace. 1 Cor. xiv. 33; 2 Cor. vi. 5; Jas. iii. 16. Such commotions were the massacre of 20,000 Jews in their fight with the Gentiles at Caesarea; the assassinations or suicides of Nero, Galba, Otho, and Vitellius; the civil wars, \&e.

ad入' oúk ejécos $\tau \boldsymbol{d} \boldsymbol{\tau}$ (hos. 'But not immediately is the end.' For 'by and by'see xyii. 7; Matt. xiii. 21; Mk. vi. 25. The words are most important as a warning agginst the same eschatological excitement which St Paul discourages in 2 Thess. ("The end is not yet," Matt. xxix. 6; Mk. xiii. 7.) The things which 'must first come to pass' before the final end were (1) physical disturbances; (2) persecutions; (3) apostasy; (4) wide evangelisation; (5) universal troubles of war, \&c. They were the "beginning of birth-throes" (Matt. zxiv. 8); what the Jews called the "birth-pangs of the Messioh."
11. $\sigma \in \sigma \mu \mathrm{ol}$. Tac. Hist. 1. 2. For such physical portents at great crises see Thuc. 1. 23; Tac. Ann. xif. 43, 64, Hist. I. 56 ; Liv. xlini. 13, \&c.
$\lambda_{1} \mu \mathrm{~L}$. Acts xi. 28. The original gives the common paronamasia
 p. 793.)

入oцot. Josephus (B. J. vi. 9, § 3) mentions both pestilence and famine as the immediate proludes of the storming of Jerusalem. They were due, like the plague at Athens, to the vast masses of peoplePassover pilgrims-who were at the time crowded in the city.

ф'́ $\beta \eta$ ๆpa. Terrors, Vulg. terrores ; comp. Pa, lxxxviii. 15; Is. xix. 17. See Wisdom xpi. 1-22; 2 Esdr. v. 6. The word occurs here alone in the N. T. Among these would be the "Abomination of Desolation," or "desolating wing of Abomination," which seems best to correspond with the foul and murderous orgies of the Zealots which drove all worshippers in horror from the Temple (Jos. B. J. iv. 3, § 7, v. 6, § 1, \&c.). Such too would be the rumour of monstrous births (id. vi. $5, \S 3$ ); the cry 'woe, woe' for seven and a half years of the peasant Jesus, son of Hanan; the voice and sound of departing guardian-angels (Tac. Hist. v. 13), and the sudden opening of the vast brazen Temple-gate which required twenty men to move it (Jos. ib.).

б $\eta \mu$ кía i i $\pi^{\prime}$ o sipavov̂. Josephus mentions a sword-shaped comet. Both Tacitus and Josephus mention the portent that

> "Fierce fiery warriors fought upon the clouds, In rank, and squadron, and right form of war;"
and Tacitus tells us how the blind multitude of Jews interpreted these signs in their own favour (Hist. v. 13).
 whole verse is found in Acts iv. 3, v. 17-41, vi. 11-13, xii. 2, xvi. 19 -39 xxy. 23; 2 Tim. iv. 16, 17. Comp. John xy. 20, xvi. 2, 3.
13. eis raprýpıov. See Mark xiii. 9; Phil. i. 28; 2 Thess. i. 5.
14. $\mu \dot{\eta}$ трорелєтáv. xii. 11; Matt. x. 19, 20. The meaning is that they were ueither to be anxious about the form of their Apologia, nor to make it akilfully elaborate.
18. tyà yáp. This is empbatic. "I-who will then be exalted and glorified-will supply you with spiritual power."
 as Milton says, 'sendeth forth His cherubim with the hallowed fire of His altar to touch the lips of whom He will.' $\sigma \tau \delta \mu a$, mouth, is the concrete picture for the abstract speech.
ov่ Svvígovtal...dvetereiv. See Acts iv. 14, vi. 10. They will not be able to gainsay your speech, nor to resist your wisdom.
 in i. 34, zii. 53; Matt. x. 21.
 Bengel.
$\dot{\xi} \dot{\jmath} \dot{\mu} \mu \bar{v} v$. Of the four to whom He was immediately speaking, perhaps all, and certainly two, were martyred.
 and universal, ii. 34, vi. 22; John xvii. 14; 1 Pet. iv. 14, 16; Aets xxiv. 5 ; id. xxviii. 22. "They speak against you as evil doers," 1 Pet. ii. 12. "Reproached for the name of Christ," id. iv. 14. "A malefic, an excessive, execrable superstition" (Tac., Plin., Suet.). 'Away with the godless!' 'The Christians to the lions!'
18. $\theta$ p $\{\xi$. The expression is proverbial, as in Acts xxvii. 34. No hair shall perish, for they are "all numbered," Matt. x. 30. The previous verse (17) is of course sufficient to shew that the meaning is spiritual here, not literal as in Acts xxvii. 34. No absolute or final harm could happen to them even when they were slain by these enemies. Marcion seems to have omitted the verse from the idle notion that it involved a contradiction.
 without reward, nor before the due time. Bengel.
 xriii. 12; 1 Thess. iv. 4. With the better reading it means 'By your patience ye shall gain your souls' or 'lives.' Mk. xiii. 13. The need of patience and endurance to the end is prominently inculcated in the N.T., Rom. v. 3; Heb. x. 36 ; Jas. i. 4, \&e.
20. кик入ou $\mu \mathbf{v} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{v}$. 'In course of being compassed.' See on xix. 43 , and Jos. B. J. v. 2, § 6, 12.
21. of $\notin \boldsymbol{v}$ th 'Iov8alat This expression again most clearly proves what was the near horizon of this Prophecy.
eis tà of $\eta$. The Christians, in consequence of ''a certain oracular utterance" (Euseb. H. E. iII. 5), or an angel-warning (Epiphan. Haer. I. 123), but more probably in consequence of this warning, fled, before the siege, out of Judaea, to the little Peraean town of Pella, among the Transjordanic hills. The verse, as Theopbylact says, is a warning to the Christions that the battlements of Jerusalem will not be strong enough to protect them.

è taîs Xúpars. 'In the fields,' xii. 16; or, perhaps, in the provinces.
 again calls attention to the abnormal wickedness of the Jews as the cause of the divine retribution which overtook them. In his Wars of the Jews he declares that no generation and no city was "so plunged in misery since the foundation of the world." $B . J$.
 xxviii. 49-57; 1 K. ix. 6-9; Ps. 1xxix. 1-13; Mic. iii. 8-12.
23. oval. The 'woe' is only an expression of pity for them because their flight would be retarded or rendered impossible.
 them to the uttermost." Josephus aays that, when there were no more to plumder or slay, after "incredible slaughter and miseries," Titus ordered the city to be razed so completely as to look like a spot which had been never inhabited. B. J. vi, 10, vir. 1.
 'edge' is also classical (comp. Sifrou.os). 1,100,000 Jews are said to have perished in the war. "It seems as though the whole race had appointed a rendezvons for extermination " Revan, (See on xxii. 49.)
aixpa入oturentoovtal. This is one of the group of words ased only by St Luke and St Paul. Josephus speaks of 97,000 Jews sent to various provinces and to the Egyptian mines. B. J. VL 9.
 the Maccabees had tried to avert by their fortifications (1 Macc. iv. 60). All sorts of Gentiles-Momans, Saracens, Persians, Franks, Norsemen, Turks-have 'trodden down' Jerusalem since then. The analytio future implies a permanent result.
 $a_{0}$, is constantly omitted in the N.T. with these particles of time. See xii. 50 , xiii. 8, \&e.; Mk. xiv. 32.

кatpol ${ }^{2} \theta \mathrm{v} \omega \mathrm{v}$. By the times-'seasons' or 'opportunities' of the Gentiles-is meant the period allotted for their full evangelisation. Rom. xi. 25. This limit of time was fulfilled at Christ's Parousia in the destruction of Jerusalem. The satpol merely means the one кalpos regarded in its several elements.
 The articles of the A.V. should be omitted. Comp. Acts xxvii. 20. These signs are mainly metaphorical-the eclipse of nations and the downfall of potentates-though there may be literal fulfilments also. The language is that of the ancient prophets, Amos vii. 9; Joel ii. 30, 31; Ezek. xxxii. 7, 8, as in Hev. vi. 12-14.
$\sigma u v 0 x \eta$ - xii. 50 and 2 Cor. ii. 4.
$\eta$ Xous. Thus accented the word is the genitive of $\tilde{\eta}$ xos. If accented $\dot{\eta}$ 犭oös it is the gen. of $\ddot{\eta} \dot{\omega}$. It is the objactive genitive (perplexity about). The true reading is probably $\eta$ xous, and the translation, "in
spies who had been expressly sent down by the ruling hierarchs to dog the footsteps of Jesus, and counteract His influence. The explanation was too ingeniously wicked and cleverly plausible to come from the more unsophisticated Pharisees of Galilee.
$\beta \in \lambda_{c}{ }_{\xi} \beta$ ovi人. The name and reading are involved in obscurity. In 2 Kings i. 3 we are told that Beelzebub was god of Ekron; and the LXX. and Josephus (Antt. Ix. 2, § 1) understood the name to mean ${ }^{\prime}$ 'lord of fies.' He may have been a god worshipped to avert the plagues of flies on the low sea-coast like Zens'Ambputos (Averter of flies) and Apollo 'In vкт $\delta \nu$ os (Slayer of vermin). But others interpret the name to mean 'lord of dung,' and regard it as one of the insulting nicknames which the Jews from a literal rendering of Ex. xxiii. 13 felt bound to apply to heathen deities. In this place perhaps Beelzebub is the true reading, and that means 'lord of the (celestial) habitation,' i.e. prince of the air, Eph. ii. 3. Possibly the oiкобєбтj́т力s of Matt. x. 25 is an allusion to this meaning. In any case the charge was the same as that in the Talmud that Jesus wrought His miracles (which the Jews did not pretend to deny) by magic.
16. $\pi \in \iota \rho a^{3}$ Joveєs, i. e. wanting to try Him, to put Him to the test. The temptation was precisely analogous to that in the wilderness-a temptation to put forth a self-willed or arbitrary exertion of power for personal ends, see iv. 3, 12.
o $\eta \mu \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \hat{0} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\xi}$ oujpavov̂. They persuaded the people that His miracles were wrought by unhallowed arts, and that such arts would be impossible in a sign from heaven like the Pillar of Cloud, the Fire of Elijah, \&c. But our Lord refused their demand. Miracles were not to be granted to insolent unbelief; nor were they of the nature of mere prodigies. Besides it was His will to win conviction, not to enforce acceptance. This seems therefore to have been the one weapon of attack which the Pharisees found most effective against Him,-the one which most deeply wounded His spirit and finally drove Him away from the plain of Gennesareth (Mr. viii. 11, 12).
17. avivêv тà sıavoń $\mu a \tau a . \quad$ 'Their machinations.'
 ally in St Mark "How can Satan cast out Satan?"
kal of кos $\boldsymbol{e}_{\mathrm{i} i}$ ofkoy. The words may be rendered 'and (in that case) house falleth against house.' Comp. Thuc. II. 84, paûs $\tau \in \nu \eta^{t}$ $\pi p o \sigma \in \pi / \pi \tau \epsilon$. The words might also be rendered "and house after house falls" (Bucer).
18. दl $\delta \ell$ кal... $\delta \iota \epsilon \mu \in \rho / \sigma \theta \eta$. 'But if Satan too is divided against himself.'
öth. (I ask this) because, \&c. Comp. Mk. iii. 30.
 might be called the 'sons of the Pharisees' just as the youths in the Prophetic schools were called 'sons of the Prophets.' The reality of the Jewish exorcisms is not here necessarily admitted (Acts xix. 13). It was enough that the admitted pretensions to such powert among

35．ws $\pi$ ayls．Eccles．ix．12；Rom．xi．9； 1 Tim．iii．7．There is the same metaphor in Is．xxiv．17．The common metaphor is＂as a thief，＂ 1 Thess．vi．3；Rev，iii．3，xvi． 15.

ETeเve入ev́retal．This classical double compound（BDL）is found here only in the N．T．
tovs кa＠qptivous．A Hebraism（Gen，xix．30，\＆c．），but perhaps with the collateral notion of＇sitting at ease，＇Jer．viii．14，xxy． 29 （LXX．）． ＇Face of the earth，＇ 2 Sam．xviii． 8.
 at all times，making supplication．＇êv mavil karpê．At every season．
катьшхи́बŋтє．See critical note，and xx． 35.
бтâŋ̂val．＇To take your place．＇Comp．xviii．11，xix．8．MaI．iii． 2 Meyer takes it in the passive sense，＇to be set＇（by the angels，Matt． xxiv．31）．

тov̂ viov̂ tov̂ aंvもpต́trov．See on v．24，ix．58．On this day our Lord also uttered the Parables of the Ten Virgins and of the Talents，and other warnings，Matt．Xxv．

## 37，38．How Jests spent the last Poblic Days of His Ministri．

37．Td̀s $\dagger \mu \mu$ eas．＇During the days．＇The notice is retrospective， applying to Palm Sunday，and the Monday and Tuesday in Passion Week．After Tuesday evening He never entered the Temple again． Wednesday and Thursday were spent in absolute and unrecorded retirement，perhaps with His disciples in the house at Bethany，until Thursday evening when He went into Jerusalem again for the Last Supper．

Tàs $8 \mathbf{E l}$ vúkras．＇But during the nights．＇
$\eta \mathbf{j} \lambda$（̧ceto．Literally，＂used to bivouac；＂it is very probable that He slept in the open air with His disciples，as is very common with Orientals．He would be safe on the slopes of Olivet，among the booths of the Galilaean pilgrims；see xxii．39；John xviii．1， 2.
fls tò＂pos．Literally，＂into；＂ie．he went to，and stayed upon （by the common brachylogy）．

ᄅ̀ausv．Olivetum．See on xix．29．Substantives in áy are col－ lectives，as $\dot{\alpha} \mu \pi \epsilon \lambda \dot{u} y$, a vineyard，$\& c$.

38．※̈p $\theta \rho \stackrel{\xi}{ } \mathrm{Ev}$ ．＇Resorted to Himatearly dawn，＇Jer．axix． 19 （LXX．）， 1 Mace．xi．67，Evang．Nicod． 15.
̀̀v тヘ̣̂ lepû．Comp．xix．47；Acte v． 21.
A few cursive mss．here add the＂Gospel for Penitents，＂John vii． 53－viii． 11.

## CHAPTER XXII.

4 C followed by La. adds kal тois $\gamma \rho a \mu \mu a \tau \epsilon \hat{\nu} \sigma$,

17. тотท́pıv. So NBCL Ti. W.H. \&c. $\tau \delta$ тот. AD La.

19, 20. These verses after $\delta \delta \delta \delta \mu$. 0 ov are omitted in D , and some versions substitute 17,18 for them.
 correction to avoid the solecism of the final tya with a fut. indic. But the rerb is independent of the iva.
 first was omitted as superfluous or confused with the following $\mu \mathrm{e}$.
43, 44. These verses are omitted in AB Sab. and some cursives, and in some mss. are obelised and marked with asterisks. Their occasional omission is noticed as early as Epiphanius, Hilary, and Jerome.
 by $\mathrm{NBKI}_{1}, \& \mathrm{c}$.
68. The words $\mu 0!, \hat{\eta} \dot{q} \pi)^{\lambda} \lambda \sigma \sigma \eta \tau \epsilon$ at the end of the verse are omitted by NBL Ti. W.H. dc.

Ch. XXIT. 1, 2. Approsch of the Passoyer. The Purpose of the Priests.
In this narrative of the Last Supper, Passion, Trial, and Crucifixion the chief points peculiar to St Luke are in xxii. 8, 15, 24, 28-30, 43, 44,61 , xxiii. 2, 5-16, 27-31, 34, 39-43, 46, 51 .

## 

 is writing mainly for Gentiles. Strictly speaking the Passover was not co-extensive with the Feast of Unlearened Bread, as is clearly stated in Numb. xxviii. 16, 17, Lev. xxiii. 5, 6. Passover is the translation of the Hebrew Pesach; of this rá $\sigma x a$ is a transliteration with a sort of alliterative allusion to the Greek má $\sigma \chi \omega$. See on the Passover Ex. xii. 11-20. The Jews of later ages had gradually assumed that a wide difference was intended between the "Egyptian passover" and the "permanent passover."
'2. Ėทŋ́touv. 'Were seeking.' The word involves a continuous effort, and probably includes the memorable meeting in the Palace of Caiaphas, which is traditionally placed on the ' Bill of Evil Counsel,' but was probably close to the Temple precincts. They seem to have come on theit occasion, in consequence of the advice of Caisphas, to three conclusions. (1) To put Jesus to denth ; (2) to do it as secretly as possible; and (3) not to do it during the Feast, so as to avoid the chance of tmmults on the part of the Galilaean pilgrims. If this
meeting was on Tuesday evening, at the very time that they were deciding not to kill Jesus (Ps. ii. 2) for more than eight days-and it was unusual to pat to death during the Passover, Acts xii. 4-He, seated on the slopes of Olivet, was telling His disciples that before the Passover He should be slain, Matt. xxvi. 1-5.
oi dàxıfpês kal oi $\gamma p a \mu \mu a \tau \epsilon \hat{s}$. Their humiliation and defest before the people-the divine superiority of the wisdom of Jesus so publicly displayed-had at last aroused them into irreconcilable hostility. It is very noticeable that the Pharisees, as a distinct party, now vanish catirely into the background. They are scarcely mentioned again except in Matt. xxvii. 62.
 they now only considered the most feasible plan. 'Avaiptw, vaguely rendered ' kill' in the A.V., is common in St Luke (twice in the Gospel, nineteen times in the Acts), but elsewhere only occurs in the N.T. in Matt. ii. 16, and in another sense in Heb. x. 9 (the reading in 2 Thess. ii. 8 is uncertain).
 shewed that He had friends among the multitude.

## 3-6. The Tration and the Priests.

 adequately to explain his wickedness. It began in avarice, disappointment, and jealousy; and, when he had long weakened his soul by indulgence in these dark, besetting sins, the imaginary loss of the " 300 pence" of which he would have had the disposal (John xii. 4, 5; Mk. xiv. 10),-the now undisguised announcement of our Lord that He should be not only rejected, but erucified (Matt. xx. 19)-the consequent shattering of all Messianic hopes-the growing sense that he was becoming distasteful to his Master and his fellows--the open rebuke which he had drawn on his own head by his hypooritio greed at Bethany (John xii. 6)-the rumoured hostility of all the most venerated authorities of the nation-all these formed the climax of his temptations:-and then, at last, the tempting opportunity met the susceptible disposition. "Instead of dominion-service; instead of power-persecution; instead of honour-shame; this wes all that was left of his hopes and prospects once so brilliant." His crime was but the epitome of months-perhaps years-of secret faithleseness. "Dicitur Satan in reprobos intrare, cum reverso Dei metu, extincta rationis luce, pudore etiam excusso, sensus ommes occupat."' Calvin.
'Iбкарьи́ттv. See on vi. 16.
 The mere information was needless, vi. 16.
4. dime $\lambda \boldsymbol{0}$. We infer from the combined accounts that he met the priests on two occasions, on one of which the bargain was proposed, and on the other concluded.

[^17]otparnyois. Literally, "generals;" some mss. add the gloss tov iepoi. The Levitic captains of the Temple who kept order during the Feasts. There was strictly only one who bore the title of "the general of the Temple"-"man of the mountain of the House" (see Neh. ii. 8, vii. 2; Jer. $\mathbf{x x}$ 1; 2 Macc. iii. 4); but he had guards under him (Jos. B. J. vi. $5, \S 3$ ), and the name might be applied to the whole body. One of the bitter complaints against the High Priests of the day was that they made their own sons "generals of the Temple." St Luke was aware that the special title applied only to one person, as appears from Aets iv. 1.
to $\pi \omega \hat{\omega}$. vs. 2. The fact had been already determined; the only remaining question was the hov. Judas and the priests foresaw the possibility of danger in the attempt.

тapa $\delta \hat{\varphi}$. 'Give Him up.' It is a milder word than $\pi \rho o \delta \hat{\varphi}$.
5. Exáp $\begin{gathered}\text { eav. This spontaneous offer-and that too from one of }\end{gathered}$ Christ's immediate followers-seemed to solve all their difficulties.
ouve日evro. 'Agreed'; in St Mark, 'promised.' In Matt. xxyi. 15 it is said that they 'paid' or 'weighed' him the money, with a reference to Zeeh. xi. 12, 13 (LXX.). This was perhaps done at a second meeting when the actual plan was ripened.
dipyúpov סoûval. The proposal came from the wretched man himself (Matt. xxvi. 15). The paltry sum given (which is mentioned by St Matthew only)- 30 shekels, about £3. 168., the price given for the meanest slave-shews that this sum was either regarded as earnest-money, or more probably that the Priests felt themselves quite able to carry out their plot, though less conveniently, without any aid from Judas. On one side of these shekels would be stamped the olive-branch, the emblem of peace; on the obverse the censer, the type of prayer, with the inscription, "Jerusalem the Holy" 1
 that he at once accepted their terms and begon to look out for an opportunity to fulfil his bargain.
effitcl evkalplay. Doubtless he was baffed at first by the entire and unexpected seclusion which Jesus observed on the Wednesday and Thursday.
arep öxdou. 'Without a mob'; ärep is poetic, and only occurs here and in vs. 35 .

## 7-13. Preparation for the Pabsover.

 pulously put away on the afternoon of Thursday, Nisan 13.
$\theta$ ýधofal. 'Be sacrificed.'
8. dréoreliev. Apparently our Lord, now withdrawn from His active work, said nothing about the Passover till the disciples questioned Him as to His wishes. The old law that the Paschal Lamb must be chosen ten days beforeband had long fallen into desuetude.

Its observance would have been impossible for the myriads of pilgrims who came from all parts of the world.
 in the Eabt, where the water is drawn by women. He must probably have been the slave of one who was an open or secret disciple; unless we have here a reference to the Jewish custom of the master of a house himself drawing the water with which the unleavened bread was kneaded on Nisan 13. If so the " man bearing a pitcher of water" may have even been the Evangelist St Mark, in the house of whose mother, and probably in the very upper room where the Last Supper was held, the disciples used at first to meet (Acts xii. 12). The mysterionsness of the sign was perhaps intended to baffe, as long as was needful, the machinations of Judas.

Tins olkias. The addition is pleonastic, but shews that the notion of olkode $\sigma \pi \delta \tau \eta$ : was simply that of 'owner.' Comp. Acts vii. 48,
 'the palm-branches of the palms.' Such expressions are very common in Greek, as in $\pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \mu о \nu \pi$ полє $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \downarrow$ \&c. See my Brief Greek Syntax, § 312.

тò катàлица. Rendered "inn" in ii. 7.
тò $\pi$ doxa. Although reasons will be given in Excursus $V$. for the view that this was not the actual Passover, it is clear that our Lord designedly spoke of it as His Passover, and gave it a paschal character. It is possible that Jewish customs unknown to us made it allowable for individuals on special occasions to anticipate the regular passover.
 large room under the roof is the usual place of resort for large gatherings in a Jewish house; probably the very room which also witnessed the appearanee of the Risen Christ to the Twelve, and the Descent of the Holy Ghost at Penteeost.
'̇otp $\omega \mu$ 'evov. Laid out with cushions on the divans, \&c. Ezek. xxiii. 41 (LXX.) ; Acts ix. 34 (Greek).

## 14-38. The Last Sopper.

14. $\mathfrak{\eta}$ wipa. If the meal was intended to be directly Paschal, this would be "between the two evenings" (Ex. xii. 6); a phrase interpreted by the Jews to mean between three and six, and by the Samaritans to mean between twilight and suuset. Probably Jesus and His disciples, anxious to avcid dangerous notice, would set forth towards dusk. It is almost impossible to suppose that the disciples at that dangerous crisis, when Jesus was under a ban, and in imminent peril of death, could have arranged to procure a paschal lamb. None of the Evangelists allude to a lamb as forming part of the meal.
dyétecev. 'He reclined.' The custom of eating the Passover standing had long been abandoned. The real reason why the Jews
now sat at the meal was because it had lost much of its original simplicity and was a prolonged and joyous festival. The Rabbis gave it as a reason for the change that the standing attitude only suited slaves.
15. émêucia é $\pi \in \theta \dot{\beta} \mu \eta \sigma a$. I earnestly desired. A Hebraism. Matt. xiii. 14; John iii. 29; Acts iv. 17, v. 28, \&c. Winer, p. 584.

то̂̀ro тò márxa фaүєiv. The expression may perhaps point to the fact that this was not the actual Jewish Paschal meal, but one which was intended to supersede it by a Passover of far more divine significance.
 more" however is a correct gloss.
 offered by my death, and so the new kingdom established.
17. Ségánevos тотípьov єixapertijaas. Literally, "and after receiving a cup, and giving thanks." From eủxaptoteiv comes our word Eucharist. The word desáacros (differing from $\lambda a \beta \beta \dot{\omega} y$ in vs. 19) seems to imply that the oup was handed to Him.

The main customs of the Jewish Passover are as follows :-(1) Each drinks a cup of wine-'the cup of consecration'-over which the master of the house pronounces a blessing. (2) Hands are washed, and a table carried in, on which are placed bitter herbs, cakes of unleavened bread, the Charoseth (a dish made of dates, raisins, and vinegar), the paschal lamb, and the flesh of the Chagigah or thank-offering. (3) The father dips a morsel of unleavened bread and bitter herbs, about the size of an olive, in the Charoseth, eats it with a benediction, and distributes a similar 'sop' to all present. (4) A second cup of wine is poured out, and the youngest present asks the meaning of the service, to which the father replies. (5) The first part of the Hallel (Ps. crii. -cxiv.) is sung. (6) Grace is said, and a benediction again pronounced; after which the father distributes bitter herbs and unleavened bread dipped in the Charoseth. (7) The Paschal lamb is eaten, and a third cup of wine handed round. (8) After another thanksgiving, a fourth cup-the cup of joy-is drunk. (9) The rest of the Hallel (Ps. exv.-exviii.) is sung.
The cup mentioned in this verse has been supposed to be the third cup of wine in the Jewish ceremonisl; and the actual chalice of the Eucharist (the "cup of blessing," 1 Cor. x. 16, Cos ha-Berâchah) is identified with the fourth cup. We also see in the Last Supper the benediction, and possibly the Hailel (Matt. xxvi. 30). But (1) the identifications are somewhat precarious. (2) There is no certainty that the "Saerificial Passover" then observed by the Jews was identical in ceremonial with the "Memorial Passover" which now alone they are able to observe.
 our Lord declined to drink of the Passover wine; and that Ho partook of it seems to be implied in the á $\pi^{\prime}$ a $\rho \pi c^{\prime}$ of Matt. xxyi. 29.
 the Jewish benediction pronounced over the first cup, "Blessed be Thou, 0 Lord our God, who hast created the fruit of the vine."
19. $\lambda_{a} \beta \dot{\omega} \boldsymbol{v}$ aprov. The account in St Lake closely agrees with that giren by St Paul ( 1 Cor. xi. 23_26), which he 'received from the Lord.'
 "That rock was Christ," 1 Cor. x. 4. "The bread which we break, is it not the commonion of the body of Christ?" 1 Cor. x. 16. All the fierce theological debates between Roman Catholics, Lutherans, Zuinglians, Calvinists, \&c. might have been avoided if men had borne in mind the warning of Jesus, "It is the spirit that quickeneth; the flesh profiteth nothing: the words that I speak unto you, they are spirit, and they are life," John vi. 63. As for the word "is" on which so much stress has been laid, if Jesus spoke in Aramaic he would not have used the verb at all.
 R.V.). 1 Cor. xi. 24, 25.
 phasis is on the latter words. The Christian Passover was no more to be in remembrance of the deliverance from Egypt, but of that far greater deliverance wrought by Christ.
 (see W. H. and R.V.). The word $\delta(a \theta \dot{\eta} k \eta$ (Heb. Beritk) means both a will, and an agreement or covenant, see Jer. xxxi. 31. "It contains all the absolute elements of the one, with the conditional elements of the other. Hence the New Testament ( $\kappa a w \eta \eta_{1} \delta a \theta \eta \dot{\eta} \mathrm{~m}$ ) is the revelation of a new relation on God's part with the conditions neoessary to its realisation on man's part." Fairbairn.
 best comment is Heb. ix. 15, 18-22; 1 Cor. xi. 25. The other Synoptists have "my blood of the New Testament."
 lage or the abnormal relation of words in a sentence) egrees with the at $\mu a$ in almat. Otherwise we must suppose that by metonymy it agrees with moriphop, 'cup,' in the sense of 'the contents of the cup.' See Winer, p. 791.
 warning to Judas, see Matt. xxvi, 21-25; Mk. xiv. 18-21; John xiii. 21-26. Whether Judas actually partook of the Holy Communion has always been uncertain. Bengel quotes the language of St Ambrose to Theodosius, "Will you hold forth those hands still dripping with the blood of unjust slaughter, and with them take the most holy body of the Lord?"
 The type of Judas was Ahithophel, Ps. xli. 9.
 John. It is characteristic of their noble, simple, loving natures that they seem to have had no auspicions of Judas.
 note on zv. 26, xyiii. 36.
 verb gives it the emphasis of horror, and the character of the deed is connoted, as is sometimes the case in classical Greek, by the verb $\pi \rho \alpha \sigma \sigma \epsilon \nu$.
 is probable that this dispute arose while they were taking their places at the couches ( $\tau \rho 1 \times \lambda(\nu i a)$, and may possibly have been occasioned by some claim made by Judas for official precedence. He seems to have reclined on the left of our Lord, and John on the right, while Peter seems to have been at the top of the next mat or conch, at the left of Judas, aeross and behind whom he stretched forward to whisper his question to St John (John xiii. 23, 24). For previous instances of this worldly ambition see ix. 46-48; Matt. xx. 20-24.

 less and hollow the title was the disciples knew from the instances of Ptolemy Euergetes and other Syrian tyrants. Onias had been more deserving of the name, 2 Macc. iv. 2.
 St Peter learnt this lesson well. See 1 Pet. v. 3.
yıvtotw. 'Let hìm become,'-let hira shew himself to be.
ws $\dot{\delta}$ vєẃtepos. Who in Fastern families fulfils menial duties. Acts v. 6.
ws $\boldsymbol{i}$ 8cakovav. The true Euergetes is the humble brother, not the subtle tyrant. See Matt. xx. 28; Phil. ii. 7. St Luke here omits the beautiful acted parable of the Lord washing the disciples' feet (John xiii. 1-20), as also the words to Judas, and his going forth into the night.

 kingdom; not 'I bequeath' See xii. 32; 2 Tim. ii. 12. $\delta$ cat $t \in \epsilon \mu a l$ is 'I appoint by way of bequest,' Ps. lxxxi. 4 (LXX.).
30. kaOti $\sigma \in \sigma \theta$. This promise becomes more emphatic, by being stated separately, and not made dependent on tva. See note on xx. 10 .
ini $\theta p \delta y \omega v$. Our Lord here perhaps designedly omitted the word "twelve," Matt. xix. 28 (Rev. iii. 21).

кplvovtes. 1 Cor. vi 2. The clause is omitted in some mss.
31. $\Sigma(\mu \omega v \Sigma(\mu \omega \omega$. The repetition of the name gave combined solemnity and tenderness to the appeal (x. 41). Comp. Acts ix. 4.
' $\xi$ nurifaro íais. 'Satan demanded you,' or 'gained you by asking;' all of you, 'not content with Jadas,' vs. 3. Bengel.
 here only. Satan, too, has his winnowing fan, that he may get his chaff. Judas has been already winnowed away from the Apostolio band, and now Satan demands Peter (comp. Job i. 9). The warning Left a deep impression on Peter's mind. 1 Pet. v. 8, 9. For the metaphor see Amos ix. 9, 10.
 showing that Peter, the most confident, was at that moment the most imperilled, though Jesus had prayed for them all (John xvii. 9, 11).
$\mu \dot{\eta}{ }^{2} \boldsymbol{k} \lambda(\pi n$. The aor. points to this special crisis. Some mss. read $\hat{\epsilon}_{k} \lambda \in / \pi \cdot \rho$ which would imply a continuous failuse of faith. The verb $\epsilon_{\kappa} \lambda \epsilon l \pi \omega$ means 'fail utterly, or finally.'
 hast turned again stablish thy brethren,' John xxi. 4-17. For $\sigma \tau \eta p / j \omega$ see Rom. i. 2, 1 Pet. v. 10 . In the latter verse it is accompanied by $\sigma \theta_{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{y}^{\prime} \omega$ 'strengthen.' Comp. Ps. li. 13. The very word for 'strengthen' sank into his heart, and is repeated in his Epistle, 1 Pet. v. 10. 'Entof $\rho \in \neq 4$ as does not here imply conversion in the technical sense-but 'when thou hast turned again.' It means more, however, than merely vicissim, 'in turn.' Comp. 1 Pet. ii. 25; 2 Pet. ii. 21, 22; Matt. xiii. 15, \& ©
 is emphatic. 'If only Thou be with me I am prepared for the very worst.'
kal els фu入akìv kal eis Өávatov. 'Even into prison, even iato death.' This 'flaring enthusiasm' is always to be suspected of weakness. Prov. xxviii. 26; 1 Cor. x. 12.
34. חধtpe. The only occasion on which Jesus is recorded to have ased to him the name He gave. It is used to remind him of his strength as well as his weakness.
 night. St Mark says more exactly (xiv. 30) 'shall not crow twice.' But St Lake's expression merely means, 'that part of the dawn which is called the cock-crow ( ${ }^{\text {ditertopoфwvia, gallicinium) shall not be over }}$ before,' \&c.

36. $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{c} v \hat{v} v$. This was an intimation of their totally changed relation to the world. There was no spontaneous hospitality, no peaceful acceptance, no honoured security, to be looked for now.
 with), 'let him,' de. Of course the expression was not meant to be taken with unintelligent literalness. It was in accordance with that kind metaphorical method of expression which our blessed Lord adopted that His words might never be forgotten. It was to warn
them of days of hatred and opposition in which self-defence might become a daily necessity, though not aggression. To infer that the latter is implied has been one of the fatal errors which arise from attributing infallibility to wrong inferences from a superstitious letter-worship.
 not be for His defence, as they carelessly assumed.
kal yáp. 'For indeed.'
telos Exel. The end, or fulfilment, was drawing near; it would come on the following day ( $\tau \in \tau \epsilon \in \lambda \epsilon \sigma \tau a$, , John zix, 30).
 by which they had so often vezed our Lord (Matt. xvi: 6-12). As though He could have been thinking of two miserable swords, such as poor Galilaean pilgrims took to defend themselves from wild beasts or robbers; and as though two would be of any use against a world in arms! It is strange that St Chrysostom should suppose 'knives' to be intended. This was the verse quoted by Boniface VIII., in his famous Bull Unam sanctan, to prove his possession of both secular and spiritual power, which Calvin rightly calls protervum ludibrium.
ikavov ígTty. Not of course meaning that two swords were enough, but sadly declining to enter into the matter any further, and leaving them to meditate on His words. The formula was one sometimes used to waive a subject; comp. 1 Macc. ii. 33, and tкavoúr0 $\omega \dot{\nu} \mu \hat{\nu}$, Deut. iii. 26. See p. 384. "It is a sigh of the God-man over all violent measures meant to further His cause."

## 39-46. The Agony in tee Garden.

 St John alone records-the discourses so "rarely mixed of sadness and joys, and studded with mysteries as with emeralds;" Peter's question, "Lord, whither goest thon?"; the melancholy remark of Thomas about the way; Philip's "Lord, shew us the Father;" the perplexed inquiry of Judas Lebbaeus; the rising from the Table; the Parable of the Vine and the Branches, perhaps suggested by the trellised vine under which they passed out into the moonlight ; and the great High Priest's prayer.
katì rò $\begin{gathered}\text { قos. 'As His custom was.' The word ' custom' seems too }\end{gathered}$ wide to apply only to our Lord's practice during these few days. It leads us to suppose that He disliked sleeping in the crowd and closeness of cities, and habitually chose to spend the night in the olivepards of the Hill.
tis tò ôpos tûv'Elavîv. See note on xix. 29. The way led down the valley over the brook, or, rather, dry wady of the Kedron, and then up the green slope beyond it to the garden (see Jos. B. J. v. 2, $\$ 2$, vi. $1, \S 1$ ), or small farm ( $\chi \omega p / o v$ ) of Gethsemane, "the oil press," which is about half a mile from the city. Probably (John xviii. 2) it belonged to a disciple; possibly to St Mark. Judas knew the spot, and had ascertained that Jesus was going there. He had gone out to get the band necessary for His arrest.
†кколоú9 $\eta$ бav. The walk would be under the full Paschal moon amid the deep hush that falls over an Oriental city at night. The only recorded incident of the walk is one more warning to the disciples, and specially to St Peter. Matt. xxvi. 32-35.
40. eincv aúrots. First He left eight of them to sleep under the trees while He withdrew with Peter and James and John, whom He told to watch and pray.
 or 'He tore Himself away' (comp. xxi. 1), shewing the reluctance with which He parted from this support of loving sympathy under the imperious necessity of passing through His darkest hour alone. Perhaps He withdrew deeper into the shadow of the ancient olive-trees. In estimating the force of such words as $\in x \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$, $\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \sigma \pi \dot{\delta} \omega$, \&c. it should indeed be borne in mind that in Hellenistic Greek their old classical force was weakened by colloquinlism. See 2 Mace. xii. 10. But since this sense is not found elsewhere in the N. T. except in Acts xxi. I, and since the idea of withdrawal-secessit-is expressed by $\dot{\alpha} v \epsilon \chi \dot{\omega} \eta \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$ (Matt. xii. 15, de.), St Luke seems to lave used the word in its proper sense.
$\dot{\omega} \sigma \boldsymbol{i} \lambda\left(\theta_{0} \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\beta} \lambda \boldsymbol{\eta} y\right.$. The accusative of gpace as in John vi. 19, \&c.
Өels тd̀ Yóvatc. "And fell on His face," Matt. xxvi. 39.
42. Ei Boúnt. Aposiopesis. Sacrifice of His own will was the principle of His whole life of suffering obedience, John v. 30, vi. 38.
$\pi a p t v \in \gamma \kappa \epsilon$. So BD, Vulg. It. de. If $\pi a \rho \epsilon \nu \in \gamma \kappa \epsilon \hat{i}$ be read with the Rec. or mapeverкal with $\$$ we must suppose that as in xix. 42 "sorrow has suppressed the apodosis"-Winer, p. 750.
тои̂то тоे тотท́pюov. Matt. xx. 22; comp. Ezek. xxii. 31; Ps. Ixxp. 8. This prayer is an instance of the "strong crying and tears," amid which He "learned obedience by the things which He suffered," Heb. v. 7, 8.
 This and the next verse are omitted in AB , and by the first corrector of $N$; and Jerome and Hilary say that they were omitted in 'very many" Greek and Latin mss. They are not found in the Itala or Peshito.
44. Ev áywila. Comp. 2 Mace. iii. 16, 17. The word which occurs here only in the N. T.--though we often have the verb arowl\{ouatmeans intense struggle and pressure of spirit, which the other Evangelists also describe in the strong words donuoyeiv (Matt. xxvi 37) and $\epsilon_{x} \theta a \mu \beta \hat{\epsilon} \hat{\sigma} \theta$ at (Mk. xiv. 33). It was an awful anguish of His natural life, and here alone (Matt. xxvi. 38; John xii. 27) does He use the word $\psi v \chi \dot{\eta}$ of Himself. It was not of course a mere shrinking from death and pain, which even the meanest natures can overcome, but the mysterious burden of the world's guilt (2 Cor. y. 21)-the shrinking of a sinless being from the depths of Satanic hate and horror through which He was to pass. As Luther says 'our hard im. pure flesh' can hardly comprehend the sensitiveness of a fresh unstained soul coming in contact with horrible antagonism.
$\dot{\omega} \sigma \mathrm{el}$ өp $6 \mu$ ßol alparos. Such a thing as a 'bloody aweat' seems not to be wholly unknown (Arist. Hist. Aninn. 1II. 19) under abnormal pathologieal circumstances. (It is said that in the Netherlands the Duke of Anjou died sweating blood.) The blood of Abel cried from the ground;' but this blood 'spake better things than the blood of Abel' (Gen. iv. 10; Heb. xii. 24). St Luke does not however use the term 'bloody sweat,' but says that the dense sweat of agony fell from him "like blood gouts"-which may mean as drops of blood do from a wound. This is the sense given to the words by Theophylact, Euthymius, Grotius, Hammond, Michaelis, Olshausen, Bleek, \&c.
 words give rather the cause than the excuse. They are analogous to "the spirit indeed is willing, but the flesh is weak" of Matt. xxvi. 41. St Luke here abbrevistes the fuller records given in Matt. Ixvi.; Mk. xiv., from which we find that Jesus thrice came to His Apostles, and thrice found them sleeping (see Is. lxiii. 3),-each momentary pause of prayer marking a fresh step in His victorious submission. This was the Temptation of Jesus by every element of angnish, as He had been tempted in the wilderness by every element of desire.
46. tí кa日evi8ete; Matt. xxvi. 40; Mk. xiv. 37. The second time He does not seem to have spoken to them. The third time He knew that it was too late. The object of their watching had now ceased, for He heard the tramp of men in the distance, and saw the glare of their torches; and therefore it was with a tender irony that He said, 'Sleep on now and talse your rest' (as far as any help which you can render to Me is concerned), but 'Rise, let us be going,' for now sleep will be alike impossible to us all.
 ye enter not" (as in R. V.).

## 47-63. The Traitob's Kiss. The Azrest. Malchde.

47. obxhos. Composed of Levitical guards under their 'general;' a Roman chiliarch ('tribune'), with some soldiers, part of a maniple or cohort ( $\sigma \pi \epsilon i \rho a$ ) from the Fort of Antonia (John xviii. 12); and some priests and elders.
 the Evangelists unconsciously add the circumstance which to their mind branded the deed with its worst horror. For the terror which seized the multitude, the precipitate entrance of Judas into the garden, and our Lord's first words to him, see John xpiii. 3-9.
48. $\phi \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \mu a \mathrm{tr}$. He exclaimed 'Rabbi, Rebbi, hail' ('Peace to thee, Rabbi', Mk. xir. 45; but received no 'Peace to thee' in reply. Overacting his part, he not only kissed His Lord (eф( $\lambda \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu)$, but kissed Him fervently (kateфin $\eta \sigma \epsilon$, deosculatus est).
49. oi $\pi \epsilon \rho l$ auvóv. Specially Peter, but the Synoptists suppress his name from obviously prudential reasons whioh no louger existed when St John wrote.
 question see Winer pp. 348, 639. Ionic forms like $\mu a \chi a l p$ are common in Hellenistic Greek, Winer p. 71. Since it was illegal to carry swords on a feast-day, we have here another sign that the Last Supper had not been the Passover. The bringing of the sword was part of the misconception which Jesus had not cared further to remove at the supper; and if Judas had pressed into the enclosure they may have been entirely unaware as yet of the number of the captors. Future years would teach them that Christ's cause is served by dying, not by killing. The full reply of our Lord on this incident must be found by combining Matt. xxvi. 53, John xviii. 10, 11. St Peter-perhaps stung by our Lord's previous warnings to himimpetuously acted "non expectato Domini responso." Grotius.
 after the event, is the first Evangelist who felt at liberty to mention the names of Peter and Malchus.
tò $\delta$ § $\xi$ Łóv. A specific touch not found in the other Evangelists. All three use the diminutive-if the readings can be relied on. (u'tion, Matt. xxvi. 51; witipoov, Mk. xiv. 47; ©itiov, John xviii. 10. In this passage we have both ous and utiov.) No stress can be laid on this. Languages in their later stage often adopt diminutives to avoid the trouble of genders. See my Language and Languages, p. 319.

ह1. द̂ẫє € $\omega$ s roúrou. The meaning is uncertain. If addressed to the disciples it meant, Let them even bind and lead me away. Possibly however it was addressed to the captors, and meant, Exeuse thus much resistance; or 'Allow me liberty thas far'-free my arms a moment that I may heal this wounded man. These snatches of dialogue-often of uncertain interpretation from their fragmentary character (e.g. Mark ix. 23; Matt. xxvi. 50; John viii. 25), are inimitable marks of genuineness. It was probably during this panse that 'all His disciples'-even Peter, even John-'forsook Him and fled.'
 shews that these venerable persons had kept safely in the background till all possible danger was over. It is evident that the whole band dreaded some exertion of miraculous power.
èml $\mathrm{A}_{\eta} \sigma \tau \boldsymbol{\eta} \mathrm{\eta} v$. Against a brigand or robber. Am I one of the Sicarii, or bandits? It is a reproach to them for their cowardice and secreoy. 'If I had really done wrong, how is it that you did not arrest Me in the Temple?'
 illegal, midnight secrecy. St Luke omits the incident of the young man with the $\sigma \omega \delta \omega_{i v}$ cast round his naked body, Mk. xiv. 51, 52.
 'of darkness.'-On this bad sense of '́kovaia see Bishop Lightfoot's note on Col. i. 13 where St Chrysostom paraphrases it by qupavpls. The power is not independent, but delegated or permitted, since the Death of Christ is part of a divine plan (John xviii. 4, xix. 11, \&c.).

64-62. Peter's Denial.
54. oodAaßóvres $8 \mathbf{\epsilon}$ aútóv. The word implies violence.

ท̂ycyov. With His hands bound, probably behind His back, John xyiii. 12.
eis tìv oiklav tovi dipxleptws. The actual High Priest was Joseph Caiaphas (another form of Kephas), son-in-law of Annas (see on iii. 2). The trial of our Lord by the Jews was in three phases-(1) before Annas (John xviii. 12-18) ; (2) before Caiaphas (here and Matt. xxvi 59-68; Mk. xiv. 55-65); (3) before the entire Sanhedrin at dawn (рв. 66; Matt. xxvii. 1; Mk. xv. 1). Each trial might be regarded as supremely important. Annas, or Hanan son of Seth, was the most influential of the ex-High Priests, and may, as Sagan (Deputy) or Nasi (President), have virtually wielded the sacerdotal power. The result therefore of a trial before him would involve a fatal praejudicium, since the utmost reverence was puid to his age, wealth, power, and shrewdness.-The second trial was before the most important committee of the Sanhedrin, which might in one sense be called 'the whole Sanhedrin' (Mk. xiv. 55), and though it could have no legal validity, being held at night, it served as a sort of divdictats or preliminary inquiry, which left the final decision only formal. -The third trial was held at dawn before the entire Sanhedrin, and passed the final decree of condemnation against Jesus for blasphemy, which had been already predetermined. The enmity of the priests may have partly arisen (as I have given reasons for believing in the Life of Christ, ri. 334) from the fact that the oleansing of the Temple involved an interference with their illicit gains. After the first trial-at which Jesus was first smittenHe was sent bound to Caiaphas, who perhaps lived in the same house. These three Jewish trials were illegal in almost every particular. The Sanhedrin was generally a merciful and cautious tribunal, but was now a mere dependent body entirely under the influenee of the Sadducees, who were the most ruthless of Jewish sects.
 most unwise exposure of himself to temptation. His admission into the courtyard of the High Priest's house was due to the influence of John, who was known to the High Priest, and spoke to the portress (John xpiii. 15, 16).
55. $\pi \hat{\imath} \hat{p}$. The spring nights at Jerusalem, which is 2610 feet above the level of the sea, are often cold.
$\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s}$ aù $\lambda \overline{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{s}$. 'Of the court.'
 ther, Peter sat midnost among them,' i.e. among the servants of the High Priest. He sat in the middle of a group composed of the very men who had just been engaged more or less directly in the arrest of his Lord. It was like the impetuosity of his character, but most unwise for one of his temperament. St John says (xviii. 18) that "he stood," and perhaps we have here a touch of restlessness.

ह6. тai\& $\sigma \kappa \eta$ TLs. Apparently the portress (John xviii. 17) who had been meanwhile relieved, and who, after a fixed gaze, recognized Peter as the man whom she had admitted. She therefore exclaimed, "This fellow too (as well as John) was with Him." The reports of the Evangelists differ, but each faithfully preserves the kal. The accounts of these denials by the Evangelists are (as St Augustine says of their narratives generally) "various, but not contrary." They are capable of perfectly easy and perfectly natural reconcilement, and are a valuable proof of independence.
mpos rò pwis. "To the light," i.e. with the light of the brazier shining full on him.
áтєरlбaбa. 'Fixing her eyes on him.' See iv. 20.
57. oúk oî8a aủтóv, yóvac. 'I do not know Him, woman,' 'nor do I understand what you mean,' Mk. xiv. 68. Peter-who has been described as $\dot{\delta} \mu a \lambda \omega \bar{\omega}$ d $\nu \dot{\omega} \mu a \lambda o \nu$, or 'consistently inconsistent'-shewed just the same kind of weakness many years later. Gal. ii. 12, 13.
68. $\mu$ erad $\beta$ paxú. The trial before the Sacerdotal Committee naturally took some time, and they were awaiting the result.

ETtpos. After his first denial "before them all" (Matt. xxvi. 70) he probably hoped to shake off this dangerous curiosity; and, perhaps as his guilt was brought more home to him by the first crowing of the cock (Mk. xiv. 68), he stole back out of the light of the brazier where he had been sitting with the servants, to the gate or vestibule ( $\pi u \lambda \hat{\omega} y a$, Matt. xxvi. 71, т $\rho 0 \alpha$ ôloy, Mk. xiv. 68). Of this second denial St John says, "they said to him" (xviii. 25); and as the portress was sure to have gossiped about him to the girl who relieved her at her post, the second denial was due to his being pointed out by the second maid to the group of idlers who were hanging about the door, one of whom was prominent in pressing the charge against him.
 here Erepos. What discrepancy then worth speaking of is there here? Doubtless the second and third charges became more and more general as the news spread among the group. It is much more important to notice the moral law of "linked lies" by which 'once denied' always has a tendency to become 'thrice denied.' "Whom," asks St Augustine, "have you ever seen contented with a single sin?"
är日porte. A mode of displeased address. xii. 14.
 most terrible hours of his life.
adhos ris. Here again the main charge was prominently made by one-a kinsman of Malchns, who had seen Peter in the garden, and was known to St John from his acquaintance with the High Priest's household (John xviii. 26, $\sigma v \gamma \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta s$ ); but others came up ( $\pi \rho 0 \sigma \epsilon \lambda$ -
 joined in it, and this is implied by St Mark's " kept saying to Peter" (Ençor).

Talulaiós lottv. This they could at once tell by the misplaced gutturals of the provincial dialect which 'bewrayed him' (i.e. pointed him out).
60. ovik oitsa $\delta \lambda \in \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ cts. St Luke drops a veil over the 'cursing and swearing' which accompanied this last denial (Matt. axvi. 74).
jiNékrop. 'A cock.' It crew for the second time. Minute crities have imagined that they found a 'difficulty' here because the Talmud says that cocks and hens, from their scratching in the dung, were regarded as unclean. But as to this the Talmud contradicts itself, since it often alludes to cocks and hens at Jerusalem (e.g. Berachôth, p. 27, 1). Moreover the cock might have belonged to the Roman soldiers in Fort Antonia.
61. नтрафкis...ivíBhequv. St Luke alone preserves this most touching incident. Jesus mast have looked on His erring Apostle either from the chamber in which He was being tried, if it was one of those chambers with open front (called in the East muck 'ad); or else at the moment when the trial was over, and He was being led across the courtyard amid the coarse insults of the servants. If so the moment would have been one of awful pathos to the unhappy Apostle.
62. Esendaru. Into the night, but "to meet the morning dawn."
 aloud;' and, as St Mark says (xiv. 72), ékגatev, 'he continued weeping.' It wes more than a mere burst of tears.
 thought thereon,' or 'flinging his mantle over his head.'

## 63-65. The First Derision.

Hanan had simply tried to entangle Jesus by insidious questions.
The course of the trial before Caiaphas was different. The Priests on that occasion "sought false witness," but their false witnesses contradicted each other in their attempt to prove that He had threatened to destroy the Temple. Since Jesus still kept silence, Caiaphas rose, walked into the midst of the hall, and adjured Jesus by the Living God to say whether He was "the Christ, the Son of God." So adjured, Christ answered in the affirmative, and then Caiaphas, rending his robes, appealed to the assembly, who, most illegally setting aside the need of any farther witnesses, shouted aloud that He was ' A man of Death' (ish maveth), i.e. deserving of capital punishment. From this moment He would be regarded by the dependents of the Priests as a condemned criminal.
63. Stpovtes. No less than five forms of beating are referred to by the Evangelists in deseribing this pathetic scene- $\delta \ell$ fovres here (a general term); ETuatov, 'they kept smiting;' maivas in the next verse, implying violence; èxoddфıбay, 'slapped with the open palm,' Matt.
 Mk. xiv. 65. See the prophecy of Is. 1. 6. The Priests of that day,
and their pampered followers, were too much addicted to these brutalities (Acts xxi. 32, xxiii. 2), as we learn also from the Talmud.
64. тєpıка入ı́ұavtєs aùtóv. Probably by throwing an abba over his head and face. Mk xiy. 65. The Talmud says that the False Messiah, Bar Cochba, was similarly insulted.
65. $\beta \lambda a \sigma \phi \eta \mu \hat{1} \hat{\nu} \tau e s$. This term now bears a different meaning. Here it merely means ' reviling Him,' as in Matt. zxvii. 39.

## 66-71. The Third Jewish Trial.

 could only meet by daylight. Sanhedrin 9. 1.

то̀ трєбßutépıv. Literally, "the presbytery of the people," as in Acts xxii. 5.
 constituent parts of the Sanhedrin, 1 Macc. xiv. 28. The Sanhedrin was the suecessor of the Great Synagogue, which ended with Simon the Just. Where they met is uncertain. It was either in the Paved Hall, or 'Hall of Squares' (Lischath haggazzith); or in the Beth Midrash (Temple Syaagogue), a chamber which abutted on the "middle wall of partition" (Chel), or in the Chanujoth 'shops' or 'booths' founded by the house of Hanan to sell doves, \&o. for the temple.
 Him up.'
ovve6pov. From which the word Sanhedrin (mistakenly spelt Sanhedrim) is derived. Polybius ases the word of the Amphictyonic Council, the Roman Senate, \&c.; but it is first applied to the Jewish Presbytery on the occasion when they summoned before them Hyrcanus II, son of Alexander Jannaeus. It gloried in being a mild tribunal, but was now an extremely degenerate body, and unworthy of its earlier traditions (Jos. Antt. xul. 10, § 6; B. J. m. 8, §14). The Jewish authorities had lost the power of inflicting death; they could only pass sentence of excommunication, and hand over to the secular arm.
ci oi ai o Xpıorós. The object of the Sanhedrin was somewhat different from that of the Priests in the house of Caiaphas. They had only succeeded in eatablishing (by a most illegal personal appeal) a charge of constructive blasphemy. But "blasphemy' was not a charge on which a Roman conld pronounce capital sentence. Hence, in order to get Christ crucified, they needed a charge of treason, which might be constructed out of His claim to be the Messiah.
 х. 31 .
68. oú $\mu \dot{\eta}$ àmokpit $\hat{\eta} \tau \epsilon$. This is our Lord's protest against the illegal violence of the whole proceedings.
 from henceforth (comp. i. 48, v. 10) shall the Son of man be seated at." (Vulg. erit sedens.) Our Lord seems at last to have broken His
silence in these words, in order to end a miserable and useless scene. The words would at once recall Ps. cx. 1; Dan. vii. 13, 14; see John i. 51 .
 "Your words verify themselves." See some striking remarks in De Quincey, Wrorks, inr. 304. But the formula like "Thou sayest" in John xviii. 37 seems also to have been meant to waive further disoussion. See p. 385.
71. Tl Ktt Exopey mapruplas xpeiav; Caiaphas had made the same appeal to the audience at the night trial. Yan Oosterzee mentions that at the trial of the Reformer Farel, the Genevan Priests addressed him in these very words, and he replied, "Speak the words of God, and not those of Caiaphas."-This trial was followed by the second derision, in which it almost seems as if the Sanhedrists themselves took part. Matt. xxpi. 67. St Luke here omits the remorse and horrible end of Judas, on which he tonches in Acts i. 18.

## CHAPTER XXIII.


 an alteration which has arisen from vs. 11. The reading of NBKL
 legal sense of remisi as in vs. 7.
 verse is wanting in $A B K L$, and the Coptic, Sahidic versions \&o. In D, the Aethiopic and the Curetonian Syriac it is placed after vs. 19.

35. The oive aủroits of Rec. is omitted by $\$ B G D L$.
 It is wanting in BL, Copt. Sah. Curetonian Syriac \&c.
ovitos. NBL. There are several slight variations.
 е́клıло́н тоs N ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~L}$.
54. тара.бкєvฑ̂s, NBL, Vulg. Copt. Sah. La. Ti.

## Of. XXIII. 1-4. Firsif phase of the Trial before Pilate.

 in A. V ,).
$k_{\pi l}$ tò $\Pi$ Пиdirov. The fact that our Lord "suffered under Pontius Pilate" is also mentioned by Tacitus (Ann, xy. 44). Pontius Pilatus
was a Roman Knight, who (A.D. 26) bad been appointed, through the influence of Sejanus, sixth Procurator of Judaea. His very first act-the bringing of the silver eagles and other insigaia of the Legions from Caesarea to Jerusalem-a step which he was obliged to retracthad caused fierce exasperation between him and the Jews. This had been increased by his application of money from the Corban or Saored Treasury to the secular purpose of bringing water to Jerusalem from the Pools of Solomon (see xiii. 4). In consequence of this quarrel Pilate sent his soldiers among the mob with concealed daggers-(a fatal precedent for the Sicarii)-and there had been a great massacre. A third tumult had been caused by his placing gilt votive shields dedicated to the Emperor Tiberius, in his residence at Jerusalem. The Jews regarded these as idolatrous, and he had been obliged by the Emperor's orders to remove them. He had also had deadly quarrels with the Samaritans, whom he had attacked on Mount Gerizim in a movement stirred up by a Messianic impostor; and with the Galilaeans "whose blood he had mingled with their sacrifices" (ziii. 1). He reflected the hatred felt towards the Jews by his patron Sejanus, and had earned the character which Philo gives him of being a savage, inflexible, and arbitrary ruler. The Procurator, when at Jerusalem for the great Festivals, seems to have occupied an old palace of Herod's, known in consequence as Herod's Praetorium (Philo, Leg. ad Caium, p. 1034). It was a building of peculiar splendour, and our Lord was conducted to it from the Hall of Meeting, across the bridge which spanned the Valley of Tyropoeon. It is however possible that Pilate may have occupied a part of Fort Antonia, and it has been supposed that this view receives some confirmation from the discovery by Capt. Warren of a subterranean chamber with a pillar in it, which is believed to be not later than the age of the Herods, and is on the saggested site of Antonia. Mr Fergusson (Temples of the Jews, p. 176) inclines to the view that this newly-discovered chamber may have been the very scene of our Lord's flagellation. Our Lord was bound (Matt. xxvii. 2) in sign that He was now a condemued criminal. This narrative of the Trial should be compared throughout with John xviii., xix.
2. cilparev. A word, which like the contemptuous roûtov ('this fellow,' Tyndale), was intended to excite prejudice.
 סsaof $\rho \in \phi \in u$. The technioal Jewish name for an offender of this sort was Mesith, 'seducer' or 'impostor,' Acts xiii. 8-10. This was their first head of indictment, and had the advantage of being perfectly vague.
 hood; but a political accusation was necessary for their purpose, since a heathen would not have listened to any religious accusation. The mixture of religion with polities is always perilous to truth and sincerity. This was their second charge.

Xpıotòv Barinea. The word 'King' is an explanation to bring the case under the head of treason. Yet they must have been well
aware that this charge was all the more false in epirit from being true in the letter；－for Christ had always refused and prevented every effort to make Him a temporal king（John vi．15）．This was their third charge．Marcion，according to Epipbanius，added two more charges；namely каl ката入и́оита тду роцоу каl тойs трофйтаs，and
 possessing the jus gladii，formulated their accusation in such a way as to exasperate the Roman authorities against Jesus．

3．$\sigma \mathbf{i}$ єt к．т．$\lambda$ ．St Luke narrates the trial very briefly．The Jewish priests had expected that on their authority Pilate would at once order Fim to execution；but，on the contrary，he meant first to hear the case，and asked them what accusation they brought， refusing to accept their bare assertion that He was＂a malefactor，＂ Pilate only attends to the third charge，and asks Christ this question on the Roman principle that it was always desirable to secure the confession of the accused．We see from St John（xviii．33）that Jesus had been led into the Praetorium while His accusers stayed without； that He had not heard their accusations（id．vs．34），and that Pilate was now questioning Him at a private examination．
$\sigma \boldsymbol{} \lambda \epsilon$ yels．See on xxii．70．For a fuller account of the scene read John xviii．33－38．It is alluded to in 1 Tim ．vi． 13.
4．oúdèv éplokw altiov к．т．$\lambda$ ．This conclusion，which sounds so abrupt in St Luke，was the result of the conversation with Pilate in which Jesus had said＂My Kingdom is not of this world．＂It had convinced Pilate of His innocence，and be expressed his conviction in this unhesitating acquitteli．The word for＇fault＇（altooy）occurs in Acts xix． 40.

5－24．The Trlil before Hzrod．Further endeayours of Pilate to procure His acquittal，The Choicb of Barabdas， The Condemination to tee Cross．

5．of $\delta t 4 \pi l \sigma x$ vov．＇But they were more argent，＇or，but they kept insisting．Vulg．invalescebant．This and similar expressions hardly convey to us the terrible violence and exoitement of an Oriental mob．
 by＇Jewry＇（comp．Dan，v．13）．These words fumish one of the traces in the Synoptists of the Judaean ministry which they imply， but do not narrate．Comp．Acts 8.37.
àmò rîs 「a入ulaias．See iv．14．This is probably mentioned to prejudice Pilate all the more against Him，as he had a quarrel with the Galilaeans，but dum rem amplificant，pilato dant rimam．Bengel．
7．divemepuev．The word used is technieal－the Lat．remisit－ and means the remission of a question to a higher court（Acts xxy． 21 ；comp．Philem．11；Jos．B．J．ir．20，§ 5）．St Luke alone preseryes this interesting incident．He seems to have had special information about Herod＇s court．Pilate＇s object may have been（1）to get rid
of the responsibility-or at least to divide it-by ascertaining Herod's opinion; (2) to do a cheap at of courtesy which might soothe the irritation which Herod, as well as the Jews, felt against him. Vespasian paid a similar compliment to Agrippa. Jos. B. J. int, 10, § 10,
óvta xal aủtóv. 'Also,' i.e. as well as Pilate. Herod lived at Tiberias, and Pilate at Caesarea. During the immense assemblages of the Jewish feasts the two rulers had come to Jerusalem, Pilate to maintain order, Herod to gain popularity among his subjects by a decent semblance of conformity to the national religion. At Jerusalem Herod occupied the old palace of the Asmonaean princes (Jos. B. J. II. 16; Antt. xx. 8, § 11).
 mss. thinks that the original reading was only $\xi \xi$ ikavov, like $E \kappa$ $\pi \lambda e l \sigma \tau o v, ~ \xi \xi \delta \lambda(\gamma o v, d c$.
 had encouraged the visits of the Baptist on less frivolous grounds than these. It must have been a deep aggravation of Christ's sufferings to be led bound, amid coarse attendants, through the densely crowded streets.
9. oúbiv dंтєкplvaro. Is. liii. 7. A murderer of the Prophets, who was living in open and flagrant incest, and who had no higher motive than mean curiosity, deserved no answer. Our Lord used of Antipas the only purely contemptuous word which He is ever recorded to have uttered (xiii. 32). "Devant cet être, composé monstrueux de sanglante légèreté et de sombre superstition il se renferma dans un silence que les accusations mêmes du Sanhédrin (vs. 10) ne parent le porter à rompre." Godet.
 now bent on securing their parpose, and perhaps feared that Herod's well-known weakness and superstition might rob them of their prey;especially as he was much less afraid of them than Pilate was, having strong influence in Rome.
11. $\xi^{\xi}$ outevifoas. Treating Him not as a criminal, but only as a person worthy of contempt. Is. liii. 3 .
 soldiers.
łooñta $\lambda$ apripáv. Literally, "bright raiment," Acts x. 30. Probably a white festal garment. Vulg. veste albâ.
dveitulue. As before-remisit in forum apprehensionis. This inpolved a second distinct acquittal of our Lord from every political oharge brought against Him. Had He in any way been guilty of either (1) perverting the people, (2) forbidding to pay tribute, or (3) claiming to be a king, it would heve been Herod's duty, and still more his interest, to punish Him. His dismissal of the cass was a deliberate avowal of His innocence.
 another. ${ }^{\prime}$ Ps. ii. 1-3.
 be $\tau \hat{y}$ aùr $\hat{y}$, but 'on that very day.' See vs. 7, xiii. 31, xxiii. 7.
t. XXOpq bytes. Perhaps in consequence of the incident mentioned in xiii. 1. This is the first type of Judaism and Heathenism leagued together to erush Christianity.
$\pi \rho \grave{s}$ aủroús. (NBL.) Meaning the same as $\pi \rho d s$ d $\lambda \lambda \boldsymbol{j} \lambda 0$ ous. (See note on xx .5. .)
 from a bema-perhaps the throne of Archelaus-set on the tessellated pavement called by the Jews Gabbatha (John xix. 13). Now was the golden opportunity which Pilate should have seized in order to do what he knew to be right; and he was really anxious to do it because the meek Majesty of the Lord had made a deep impression upon him, and becanse even while seated on the bema, he was shaken by a presentiment of warning conveyed to him by the dream of his wife (Matt. xxvii. 19). But men live under the coercion of their own past acts, and Pilate by his cruelty and greed had so bitterly offended the inhabitants of every province of Judaea that he dared not do anything more to provoke the ascusation which he knew to be hanging over his head (comp. Jos. Antt. xviII. 3, § 2; B. J. II. 9, § 4).
14. ou่日ยv eỉpov...altcov. 'I find nothing punishable of the things which, \&c.' Thus Pilate's word is a direct contradiction of that of the High Priest's ( $\mathrm{eij}^{\prime} \beta a \mu \epsilon \nu$, vs. 2). The $I$ is emphatie; you bring a charge, $I$ after a public examination find it to be baseless. oújè ${ }^{*}$

каттүopeite кat' avioov. This construction is rare in classical Greek.
 is Wyclif's reading from old Latin mss. The Vulg. has 'remisi vos ad illam.'
 done unto Him" of the A.V. is an unfortunate mistake. Comp. Matt. v. 21, where " by them of old time" should be " $t o$ them."
16. Tau\&fúas oûv aut began to yield to the fatal vacillation which soon passed into guilt and made it afterwards impossible for him to escape. He had just declared the prisoner absolutely innocent. To subject Him, therefore, to the horrible punishment of scourging merely to gratify the pride of the Jews, and to humble Him in their eyes (Deut. xxv. 3), was an act of disgraceful illegadity, which he must have felt to be most unworthy of the high Roman sense of 'Justice.' The guilty dread whioh made Pilate a weak man is well illustrated by what Philo says of him (Leg. ad Caium, 38). But he was the unconscious fulfiller of prophecy (Is. liii, 5). The restless eagerness of his various attempts
to secure the acquittal of Jesus is brought out most forcibly by St John.
17. For the verse in our A.V. see the critical note. Godet conjectures that the custom of claiming the release of a prisoner at the Feast (John xviii. 39) was a memorial of the national Deliverance from Egypt. The verse is of dubious genuineness, and may have come from a marginal gloss. The Gospels are our sole authority for this concession, which is, however, entirely in accordance with Roman polics.
18. $\pi a \nu \pi \lambda \eta \theta \epsilon \ell$. If we read $\pi \lambda \dot{y} \theta \epsilon \epsilon$ for $\pi a \nu \pi \lambda \eta \theta \epsilon l$, the meaning will be that 'they (the priests) called aloud to the multitude,' as in Matt. xxvii. 20. The choice of Barabbas by the mob was not spontaneous; it was instigated by these priestly murderers. The guilt of the Crucifixion rests mainly with the Priests, because it was mainly due to their personal influence (Mk. xv. 11).
 iniquity. Rom. xi. 30-33.
td̀ Bapaßßav. Bar-Abbas, 'Son of a (distinguished) father,' or Bar-Rabbas, 'Son of a great Rabbi.' Origen had the reading, 'Jesus Bar-Abbas,' in Matt. exvii. 17, and as Jesus was a common name, and Bar-Abbss is only a patronymic, the reading is not impossible. At this stage of the trial, Barabbas may have been led out, and the choice offered them between 'Jesus Bar-Abbas and Jesus which is called Christ' as they stood on the pavement side by side.
19. öбтьs. The word implies 'a man of such a kind, that, \&c.'
kai фóvov. "Ye denied the Holy One and the Just, and desired a murderer to be granted unto you," Acts iii. 14. Nothing is known of Bar-Abbas, but it has been conjectured from his name that he or his father belonged to the order of the Sambedrists, who therefore desired his release. If he had been a follower of Judas of Galilee, or engaged in the riot against Pilate about his use of the Corban, he would enlist the sympathies of the people also.
 not make them a second speech, but repeated his question.
21. kтeфu'vouv. The word implies $\mathfrak{a}$ continuous ory of increasing vehemence. The vor populi was in this instance vox Diaboli.
otaúpou oraípou aúrov. The reading oraúporov may have risen from not observing that oravjov is the imper. active, not middle (which would be oraupoû). This wild and terrible outcry was proroked by Pilate's uajust question to them how he should deal with Jesus. After this it was quite vain to say, "Why, what evil hath He done?" Yet even in yielding he cannot refrain from irritating them with the expression, "your king." It was something more than a mere taunt. It was due to a flash of genuine conviction that the

Prisoner before him was greater and nobler than the greatest and noblest Jew he had ever seen.
22. tpitov. We can only obtain from all the four Evangelists, and especially from St John, a full conception of the earnestness with which Pilate strove to escape from the necessity of what he felt to be a needless crime. If he was not, as Tertullian says, "jam pro conseientia sua Christianus," he was evidently deeply impressed; and the impossibility of doing right must have come upon him as a terrible Nemesis for his past sins. It is very noteworthy that he took step after step to secure the aequittal of Jesus. 1. He emphatically and publicly announced His perfect innocence. 2. He sent Him to Herod. 3. He made an offer to release Him as a boon. 4. He tried to make scourging take the place of crucifixion. 5. He appealed to compassion. St John shews still more clearly how in successive stages of the trial he sets aside, $i$. the vague general charge of being "an evil-doer" (xviii. 30); ii. of being in any seditious sense "a king" (xviii. 39); iii. of any guilt in His religious claims (xix. 12). He only yields at last through fear (xix. 12), which makes him release a man guiliy of the very crime for which he delivers Jesus to a slave's death. The fact that Pilate's patron Sejanus had probably by this time fallen, and that Tiberius was executing an connected with him, may have enhanced Pilate's fears. He knew that an accusation of High Treason (under the Lex Majestatis) was generally fatal (Tac. Ann. uir. 38; Suet. Tib. 58). AU this, with other phases of these last scenes, will be found fully brought out in my Life of Christ, in. pp. 360-391.
 happily expresses the idiomatic $\gamma d \rho$. It was first introduced into the Rhemish version.
 bere omits the flagellation (Matt. xxvii. 26); the derision and mock homage of the soldiery-the scarlet sagum and crown of thorns; the sad scene of the Ecce Homo; the fresh terror of Piate on hearing that He called Himself "the Son of God," and the deepening of that terror by the final questioning in the Praetorium; the "Behold your King!'; the introduction of the name of Caesar into the shouts of the multitude; Pilate's washing his hands; the last awful shout, "His blood be on us and on our children;" and the clothing of Jesus again in His own garments. (See Matt. xxvii.; Mk. xv.; John xviii, xix.) To suppose that there was a second scourging after the sentence is a mistake. Matt. xxvii. 26 is retrospective.
24. ETtkpıvev. (Only found in 2 Macc.iv. 47.) Not 'followed their praejudicium,' but gave final sentence. The two technical formulae for the sentence of death would be-to the Prisoner, 'lbis ad crucem" ('Thou shalt go to the Cross'); to the attendant soldier, 'I miles, expedi crucem ' ('Go soldier, get ready the Cross').
25. $\delta v$ n่тоûvтo. 'Whom they were demanding.' Comp. Acts xiii. 18.

## 26-32. Simon the Cybenlan. The Dadghters of Jerusalem.

26. Kup y aiov. There was a large colony of Jews in the powerful African city of Cyrene, and the Cyrenians had a synagogue at Jerusalem (Acts ii. 10, vi. 9, xi. 20). Simon may have come to keep the feast. St Mark calls him "the father of Alexander and Rufus," possibly the Christians mentioued in Acts xix. 33; Rom, xvi. 13.


#### Abstract

$\mathbf{d} \pi^{\prime} \quad \mathbf{d} \gamma \rho 0 \hat{1}$. Not necessarily from labouring in the fields: atill the notice accords with the many other incidental signs that this was not the Feast-Day, but the day preceding it. See Excursus V. The Apocryphal 'Acts of Pilate' says that the soldiers met Simon at the city gate (John xix. 17). There is no historical authority for the identification of the Via Dolorosa or for the 'Stations' of the Via Crucis. The latter are said to have originated among the Franciscans.


Encínkav aut by the terrible scourging and by the long hours of sleepless agitation, was too feeble to bear it. This seems to be specially implied by Mk. xv. 21. It is not certain whether they made Simon carry the entire cross or merely part of the burden. (Comp. Gen. xxii. 6; Is. ix. 6.) The Cross was not carried in the manner with which pietures have made us familiar, but either in two separate pieces-the boly of the cross (staticulum) and its transom (antenna); or by tying these two pieces together in the shape of a $\vee$ (furca). The Cross was certainly not the crux decussata ( X ) or St Andrew's Cross; nor the crux commissa (T St Anthony's Cross); but the ordinary Roman Cross ( $\dagger$ cmux immissa. See Matt. xxvii. 37). The Hebrew word for Gross is the letter Thau (Ezek. ix. 4), which gave abundant opportunities for the allegorising tendency of the Fathers. On the body of the Cross was certainly a projecting piece of wood ( $\pi \hat{\eta} \gamma \mu a$, sedile) to support the sufferer, but there was no suppedaneum or rest for the feet; and from xxiv. 39 it seems certain that one nail (if not two) was driven through the feet. Nothing could exceed the agony caused by this "most cruel and horrible punishment" as even the ancients unanimously call it.
 tions the custom of making a criminal carry his own cross. Various Gnostic sects (e.g. the Basilidians) devised the fable that Simon was executed by mistake for Jesus, a fable which, through Apocryphal legends, has found its way into the Koran (Koran, Suras 3, 4). St Matthew (xxvii. 32) and St Mark ase the technical word thrapeuray, 'impressed for service.' Perhaps the Jews had received a hint that Simon was a disciple.
27. үwatcôv. Some of them may have come to offer the sanodynes which were supposed to be demanded by the Rabbinic interpretation of Prov. xxxi. 6. This is the only other recorded incident of the procession to Calvary, and it is mentioned by St Luke alone. It is
a sad fact that no man-even of His Apostles-seems to have come forward to support these His last hours.
éómтогто...aưTóv. 'Were beating their breasts for Him.' Comp. viii. 52 , xviii. 13 .
28. titev. The only recorded words between His condemnation and cracifixion. Pity wrung from Him the utterance which anguish and violence had failed to extort.

Ouyartpes 'Iepouqadrín. The wailing women were not therefore His former Galilaean foilowers, viii. 2, 3.
' 中' $^{\prime}$ Eaurás. Some of them at least would survive till the terrible days of the Siege.
lul tà rékva uphy. Comp. Matt. axvii. 25, "His blood be on us and on our children."
29. $\mu$ aкápıat al orfipar. Comp. xi. 27; Hos. ix. 12-16. The words received their most painfol illustration in the incident of the Siege, which had long been foretold in prophecy (Deut. xxviii. 53-57; Jer. xiz. 9), that women were driven even to kill and eat their own children: Jos. B. J. v. 10, n. 3. The 'Blessed' shewed an awful reversal of the proper blessedness of motherhood.
30. toîs ofperiv. Comp. Hos. x. 8. Hundreds of the Jews at the end of the Siege hid themselves in subterranean recesses, and no less than 2000 were killed by being buried under the ruins of these hiding-places (Jos. B. J. vi. 9, 84). We cannot fail to see in these events something of what St John calls "the wrath of the Lamb," Rev. vi. 16. Even a terror is entreated as a relief from yet more horrible calamities.
 subjunctive is deliberative as in Matt, xxyi. 54, xxiii. 33. The meaning of this proverb is not clear, and hence it early received the most absurd explanations. It can however only mean either (1) 'If they act thus cruelly and shamefully while the tree of their natural life is still green, what horrors of crime shall mark the period of its blighting?'-in which case it receives direct illustration from Ezek. xx. 47; comp. xxi. 3, 4; or (2) 'If they act thus to Me the Innocent and the Holy, what shall be the fate of these, the guilty and false?' in which case it expresses the same thought as 1 Pet. iv. 17, 18. (See Prov. xi. 31; Ezek. xx. 47, xxi. 4; Matt. iii. 10, and p. 385.) For the historic fulfilment in the horrors of a massacre so great as to weary the very soldiers, see Jos. B. J. vi. 44. For the expression 'green' and 'dry tree'see Ps. i. 5; Ezek xxi. 3.
32. Etcepol 8vio. Perhaps followers of the released Barabbas. They were not 'thieves,' but 'robbers' or 'brigands,' and this name was not undeservedly given to some of the wild bands which refused Roman authority. See Is. liii. 9.

кakoūpyol. This is in apposition to ëтepot $\delta \%$, not in agreementtreo others, malefactors. The same English word is used in John xviii. 30, for какотоб́s.

## 33-38. The Crdcifixion and Moctery. Tee Title.

33. rdv тotrov. It is nowhere in Scripture called ' $n$ hill,' and it was certainly not in any sense a steep or lofty hill. The ouly grounds for speaking of it as a hill are (1) tradition; and (2) the name.

Kpaplov. The word Calvary came into our A. V. from the Vulg. locum qui vocatur Calvariae. Calvaria is the Latin form of Golgotha, and means 'a skull' (as the same Greek word Kpay op is rendered in Matt. xxvii. 33). Like the French Chaumont, this name might describe a low rounded hill. Ewald identifies it with Gareb (Jer, xxxi. 39), and Kraft accordingly derives Golgotha from לג, 'hill,' and תiv, 'death.' The name has led to the legend about Adam's skull lying at the foot of the Cross, which is so often introduced into pictures. St Luke omitted the Hebrew name Golgotha, which would have been unintelligible to his Greek readers.
$\delta v \mu \dot{v} . . . \delta \nu \delta \dot{6}$. The relative for the article, as often in late Greek in

34. тótєє , ä中es aưrois. Is. liii. 12, "He bare the sins of many, and made intercession for the transgressors." These words were probably uttered at the terrible moment when the Sufferer was outstretehed upon the Cross and the nails were being driven through the palms of the hands. They are certainly genuine, though strangely omitted by BD. They may come from some external souree, or they may have been added by St Luke himself in a later recension. For the evidence respecting them see Westcott and Hort, Greek Test. II. They stand on the same footing as Matt. xxii, 43, 44. We hear the echo of them, as Meyer says, in Acts iii. 17, vii. 60. We must surely suppose that the prayer was uttered not only for the Roman soldiers, who were the mere instruments of the executors, but for all His enemies. It was in accordance with His own tenching (Matt. v. 44), and His children have learnt it from Him (Acts vii. 59, 60; Euseb. H. E. II. 29). They were the first of the seven words from the Cross, of which three (vs. 34, 43, 46) are recorded by St Luke only, and three (John xix. 27, 28, 30) by St John only. The last cry also began with the word "Father." The seven words are

Luke xxiii. 34. The Prayer for the Murderers.
Luke xxiii. 43. The Promise to the Penitent.
John xix. 26. The provision for the Mother.
Matt. xxvii. 46 ; Mk. xy. 34. Eli, Eli, lama sabachthani?
John xix. 28. The sole expression of homan agony.
John xix. 30. "It is finished."
Luke xxiii. 46. "Father, into Thy hands I commend My spirit." Thus they refer to His enemies, to penitents, to His mother and disciple, to the agony of His soul, to the anguish of His body, to His work, and to His Heavenly Father. St Luke here omits our Lord's refusal of the sopor-the medicated draught, or myrrh-miugled wine
(MI. xv. 23; Matt. xxvii. 34), which, if it would have deadened His pains, would also have beclouded His faculties.
 xxiv. 28.
ov̉ yàp ot8a.otr. "Through ignorance ye did it," Acts iii. 17; 1 Cor. ii. 8. "Judaei clamant Crucifige; Christus clamat Ignosee. Magna illorum iniquitas sed major tua, o Domine, pietas." St Bernard.

тà ípátьa. For the fuller details see John xix. 23, 24.
35. Afwoŵv. The word implies that they gazed as at a solemn spectacle, Ps. xxii. 17; Zech. xii. 10. They seem as a body to have been far less active in insult than the others.
[ $\sigma \dot{v} \boldsymbol{v}$ avitoîs.] These words are omitted in NBCDL, \&c.
 1 Esdr. i. 51.
didovs toworv. They said this in the same spirit as the Nazarenes, iv. 23.
 man (contemptuously) is the Christ of God, the chosen." For other insults see Matt. xxvii. 40-43; Mk. xv. 29-32. Observe how the universal derision of what appeared to be such abject failure and humiliation enhances our estimate of the faith of the dying robber.
 (John xix. 53) with a centurion. Similarly Tacitus says of the Christian martyrs who perished in the Neronian persecution, " pereuntibus addita ludibria" (Ann. xv. 44).
 36), for sufferers sometimes lingered upon the cross for days. It is hardly to be wondered at if, with such a vile example before them as the derision by the Priests and EIders, these provincial or Roman soldiers-men of the lowest class, and "cruel by their wars, to blood inured"-beguiled the tedious hours by the mockery of the Innocent. By the word "mocked" seems to be meant that they lifted up to His lips the vessels containing their ordinary drink-sour wine (posca, John xix. 29. Comp. Num. vi. 3; Ruth ii. 14)-and then snatched them away. Probably a large earthen jar of posa for the use of these soleiers lay near the foot of the Cross (Ps. lxiz. 21; John xix. 29). All these insults took place during the earlier part of the Crucifixion, and before the awful darkness came on.
 asserts. The soldiers would delight in these tannts, because, like the ancients generally, they detested all Jews. Tumults of the most violent kind often arose from the bratal insolence of hatred which they shewed to the conquered nation.
 smeared with white gypsum, and therefore very conspicuous. To put snch a board over the head of a orucified person was the ordinary
sustom. The jeers of the soldiers were aimed at the Jews in general quite as much as at the Divine Sufferer; and these jeers probably first opened the eyes of the priests to the way in which Pilate had managed to insult them.
 omitted in NBL, and some aneient versions. The fact is undoubted from John xix. 20. Thas the three great languages of the ancient world-the languages of Culture, of Empire, and of Re-ligion-bore involuntary witness to Christ.
 differently by each Evangelist. St Luke perhaps, gives the peculiarly saornful Latin form. "Rex Judaeorum hic est." The other Evangelists give

> This is Jesus the King of the Jews. Matt. בxvii. 37.
> The King of the Jews. Mark xv.
> Jesus of Nazareth the King of the Jews. John xiz. 19.

Although no serions and sensible writer would dream of talking about 'a discrepancy' here, it is very probable that the differences arise from the different forms assumed by the Title in the three languages. We may then assume that the Title over the Cross was as follows:


It will be seen that St Matthew's is an accurate combination of the three, not one of which was an accusation.

It was only while the Priests were deriding Christ that it began to dann on them that Pilate, even in angrily yielding to their persistence, had avenged himself in a way which they could not resent, by a deadly insult against them and their nation. This was their King, and this was how they had treated Bim. Thus our Lord reigned even on His Cross, according to the curions old reading of Ps. xevi. 10,
 I. 12, n.) For the attempt of the Priests to get the superseription altered see John xix. 21, 22. In refusing it Pilate shewed the insolence and obstinacy which Philo attributes to him. The actual title was a glorious testimony to Jesus and an awful reproach to the Jews. Ps. ii. 6. Thus His Cross becomes, as St Ambrose says, His trophy; the gibbet of the Malefactor becomes the feretrum-the spoil-bearing sign of tri-umph-of the Victor. See this alluded to in Col. ii. 14, 15. (Life of St Paul, 11. 461.)

## 39-43. The Penttent Robber.

 told that both the robbers "reviled" Him. Here then we might suppose that there was an irreconcilable discrepanoy. But though the Evangelists sometimes seem to be on the very verge of mutual contradiction, no instance of a contradiction can be adduced from their independent pages. The reason of this is partly that they wrote the simple truth, and partly that they wrote under divine guidance. The explanation of the apparent contradiction lies in the Greek words used. The two first Synoptists tell ns that both the robbers during an early part of the hours of crucifixion reproached Jesus (uycliçov), but we learn from St Luke that only one of them used injurious and insulting language to Him ( $\epsilon \beta \lambda a \sigma \phi \eta \mu \epsilon)$. If they were followers of Barabbas or Judas of Gabilee they would recognise no Messiahship but that of the sword, and they might, in their despair and agony, join in the reproaches levelled by all classes alike at One who might seem to them to have thrown away a great opportunity. It was quite common for men on the cross to talk to the multitude, and even to make harangues (for instances see my Life of Christ, n. 409,n.); but Jesus, amid this universal roar of execration from mob, priests, soldiers, and even these wretched fellow-sufferers, hung on the Cross in meek and awful silence.

## 

40. $\delta$ \& $\tau \in p o s$. The 'bonus latro,' or 'Penitent Robber,' is called Titus in the Arabic 'Gospel of the Infanoy'; and Dysmas in Ev. Nicodem. x., and a story is told that he had saved the Virgin and her Child from his comrades during their flight into Egypt. There are robber caves in the Valley of Doves which leads from Gennesareth to Kurn Hattin (see on vi. 12), and he may have been among the crowds who hung on the lips of Jesus in former days. "Doubtless the cross aided his penitence. On the soft couch conversion is rare." Bengel.

 ceive back things worthy of the crimes we did."
 our "out of the way," i.e. nothing unusual or wrong). The word $\pi \rho d \sigma \sigma \omega$ in both clauses implies grave actions (see vs. 51 ), and this testimony implies entire innocence. It is the broadest possible acquittal. The word ärotos occurs in 2 Thess. iii. 2.
41. 'I $\eta$ oov̂. 'Oh, Jesus;' the "Lord" is omitted in NBCL. He may well have been encouraged by having heard the prayer of Jesus for His murderers, vs. 34. "Oravit misericordia ut oraret miseria." Aug.
$\mu v \dot{\eta} \sigma \theta_{j} \boldsymbol{C} \boldsymbol{\mu} \mathbf{~ o v . ~ A ~ t r u l y ~ h u m b l e ~ p r a y e r ~ f o r ~ a ~ f a r - o f f ~ r e m e m b r a n c e . ~}$ He calls Him Lord whom the very Apostles had left, and recognizes Him as a King who even when dead could benefit the dead. Even Apostles might have learnt from him. (Bengel.)
tv tin Ba.ancicq oov. In (not "into" as A.V.). We must not lose sight of the faith which can alone have dictated this intense appeal to One who hang mate upon the Cross amid universal derision.
42. नípepov. An unexpected boon,-for the crucified often lingered in agony for more than two days.
iv tệ тapafeloب. $\pi a \rho d \delta \in \iota \sigma o s$ is derived from the Persian word Pardes, meaning a king's garden or pleasaunce. Here it is 'a garden' in which are more blessed trees than those in the garden of Golgotha (Bengel.) It is used (1) for the garden of Eden (Gen. ii. 8, \&c.); and (2) for that region of Hades (Sheol) in which the spirits of the blest await the general Resurrection, Acts ii. 31; 1 Cor. xv. 55; Rev. ii. 7. The Sapphic verse on the tomb of the great Copernicus alludes to the prayer of the Penitent Robber:

> "Non parem Paulo veniam requiro Gratiam Petri neque posco, sed quam In crucis ligno dederis, latroni
> Sedulus oro."

44-49. Dareness. The Vbil of the Tempie rent. The End. Remorse of the Spectators.
 contradict John xix. 14, but there is fair ground to conjecture that 'sixth' (which would be written 5) was an early misreading for 'third' (written $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$ ). For other proposed solutions of the discrepancy see Life of Christ, 11. 385. The solution which asserts that St John used a different way of reckoning time is very precarious. St Luke omits the presence of the Virgin and the two other Marys and Salome at the Cross, and the words "Woman, behold thy son," "Behold thy mother." During the three hours' darkness no incident is recorded, bat we trace a deepening sense of remorse and horror in the crowd. The fact that the sun was thus "turned into darkness" was, at last, that 'sign from heaven' for which the Pharisees had mockingly asked.
 There is no reason to believe that the darkness was over all the world. The Fathers (Origen, c. Cels. II. 33, 59, and Jerome, Chron.) indeed appeal to two heathen historians-Phlegon and Thallus--for a con. firmation of it, but the testimony is too vague to be relied on either as to time or circumstance. They both speak of an eclipse.
 (NBC, \&c.) read "the sun eclipsing," or "failing." The reading seems only to be an attempt, and that a very unsuccessful one, to account for the darkness. That it could not have been due to an
eclipse is cortain, for the Paschal moon was at the full. It may bave been a local and temporary darkness due to atmospheric causes, such as was observed in the years 1106, 1208, 1547, 1716, 1860. See Godet's note.

т̀ кататє́табןa. The veil intended must be what was called the Parocheth, or inner veil, which hung between the Holy Place and the Holy of Holies. It was very heavy, and splendid with embroidery. It is alluded to in Heb. vi. 19, ix. 3, x. 19, 20. The obvions significance of the portent was the departure of the Shechinah or Presence of God from His now-deserted Temple. This particular event is (naturally) not mentioned by the Jews, but we may have a reference to it in the various omens of coming wrath which they say occurred "forty years" before the destruction of the Temple, and in which Jochanan Ben Zakkai saw the fulfilment of Zech. xi. 1. For a fuller account of these events see Matt. xxvii. 51-53; Mk. xv. 33. Jerome on Matt. xxvii. 51 says that a great lintel over the gate of the Temple fell and was shattered.
 (not " when he had cried," A.V.). St Luke here omits the Eli, Eli, lama sabachthani, and the effect of that cry on the multitude (Matt. xxvii. 46-50); the "I thirst," which was the sole word of physical suffering wrung from Him in all His agonies; and the one word ( $\tau \in \tau \in \lambda \epsilon \sigma$ rat) in which He expressed the sense that His work was finished.

тdiтep, els Xeipás gov. A reference to Ps. xxxi. 5; comp. Acts vii. 59; 1 Pet. ii. 23. These words have been among the dying utterances of St Polycarp, St Augustine, St Bernard, John Huss, Jerome of Prague, Luther, Melancthon and Colnmbus.
 $\nu \epsilon \mu$ ), but $\epsilon \xi \in \pi \nu \in u \sigma \epsilon \nu$ (literally, 'He breathed forth,' here and Mk. xy.
 ro mpeípa, Matt. xxvii. 50; John xix. 30); probably becanse they wish to indicate the truth stated in John x. 18, that He gave up His life "because He willed, when He willed, how He willed." Aug. Comp. Eph. v. 2; Gal. ii. 20.
47. ס ekatovtápx $\eta$ s. Who commanded the quaternion of soldiers. It is remarkable that St Luke gives us several instances of 'good centarions,' vii. 2, xxiii. 47; Acts x. 1, xxii. 26, xxyii. 43.

 vii. 16, xiii. 13, xqii. 15 , xviii. 43).

סkalos $\boldsymbol{\eta} \eta$. Truly this man was righteous. This remark might have been drawn forth by the silent majesty and holiness of the Sufferer. After the earthquake he may have added, "Truly this man was a Son of God" (Matt. xxrii. 54). The latter phrase sounds at first incongruous on the lips of a heathen, though 'Son of God' is
found as a title of Angustus in some inscriptions. But the centurion had twice heard our Lord pray to 'His Father' (ves. 34, 46), and even Pilate had been overpowered by the awful dread lest He should be something more than man (John xix. 7-9).
48. tríutes ol...óx ${ }^{\text {hon. }}$ 'all the crowds.'
 breasts.' It must be remembered that the People had not acted spontaneously in this matter, but had been goaded on by the Priests.
49. cioctikeloav 8 é. The multitudes began to return (intectpeqoy), but the few who loved Him stayed on the spot, though they dared not to approach very near.

áтò $\mu$ ккро́̈́v. See on xvi. 23.
ócöral. The word used is not $\theta$ ewpoûpres, as in vs. 35. There is, perhaps, in the "afar off," a sad allusion to Ps. xxxyiii. 11, "My lovers and my friends stand aloof from my sore; and my kinsmen stand afar off." St Lake omits the breaking of the legs of the robbers, and the piercing of the side of Jesus by the soldiers, which are narrated in John xix. 31-37.

## 50-56. Josepf of Arimatialea. Tee Taking down from the Crose. The Entonbment.

50. Bovhevtís. I, e. a member of the Sanhedrin, and therefore (as one of the 70 most distinguished members of the ruling classes) a person of great distinction. St Mark (xy. 43) calls him 'an honourable councillor.' Godet somewhat fancifully sees in St Mark's description of him the Roman ideal; as in St Luke's 'good and just,' the Greek ideal ( $\kappa \alpha \lambda \delta s \times d \gamma a \theta \delta s$ ); and in St Matthew's 'a rich man,' the Jewish ideal.
ajya0is kal 8ikalos. The first word describes his moral character, the latter his strict religious life as an orthodox Jew. Rom. v. 7.

 is the only Sanhedrist of whom this exception is recorded. We cannot, however, doubt that it was true of Nicodemus also, since he was "the teacher of Israel" (John iii. 10), which may-possibly mean the third officer of the Synagogue, who was known by the name of the Chakum or 'Wise Men.' The word mpăks might almost be rendered 'crime.' See Rom. viii. 13; Col, iii. 9.

'Aptrabalas. The name is a modification of the later Hebrew Ramtha, 'a hill,' and is the same name as Ramah, Ramathaim, de. Hence the town of Joseph has been variously identified with Ramleh in Dan, Ramathaim in Ephraim ( 1 Sam. i. 1), and Ramah in Benjamin (Matt. ii. 18).
[kal.] As well as Christ's open followers. The same word is preserved in Matt. xxvii. 57, "who also himself was a disciple," though as St John (xix. 38) adds, "secretly for fear of the Jews."

тробєठغхєто. See ii. 25, and p. 382.
 proved to be a perilous request. Hence the 'boldly' (ro $\mu \mu \boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma a s$ ) of Mk. xv. 43. Pilate seems to have granted the boon without a bribe because the Jewish care for burial was well known (Matt. ziv. 12; Acts viii. 2 ; Jos. B. J. r. 5, § 2), and was indeed a part of their Law (Deut. xxi. 23). For the surprise of Pilate at the rapid death of Jesus, and his inquiry about it from the centurion, and other details, see Mk. xv. 44.
53. $\sigma$ tróvr. A piece of fine white linen. Comp. Mk. xiv. 51. Two other words, $800 v i a$ (John xix. 40) and $\sigma o v \delta \alpha^{\prime} p l o y$ (John $x x$. 7), are used of the various cerements of Jesus. That Joseph bought this $\sigma \omega \delta \dot{\omega} y$, apparently on this day ( Mk . xv. 46), is one of the many incidental signs furnished even by the Synoptists that the true Passover did not begin till the evening of the Friday on which our Lord was crucified. On the part taken by Nicodemus in the Entombment, and the spices which he brought, see John xix. $39,40$. Both Joseph and Nicodemus in acting thus not only shewed great courage, but also great self-sacrifice; for the touching of a corpse made them ceremonially unclean, and this prevented them from any share in the Paschal Feast.
 in LXX. Deat. iv. 49 ( $\lambda \hat{\alpha} s, \xi \in \epsilon$ ). This rock-hewn tomb (Mait., Mk., comp. Is. Exii. 16) was in a garden (comp. Jos. Antt. ix. 10, 今 4; x. 3, 82) adjoining the scene of the crucifixion, if not an actual part of it. John xix. 41. "He made His grave with the rich," Is. liii. 9. The mouth of these rocky tombs was closed with a large stone, called by the Jews Gôlal, which could only be rolled there by the labour of several men (John xi. 39).
oủ oủk गiv oủbels outm kcfucvos. This acervation of negatives is
 Plato, Parmen. 166 a. It is common to all languages. "Harp not on that, nor do not banish reason." Meas, for Meas. See instances in my Brief Greek Syntax, \& 28.
54. тaparkevîs. This word maparкevi became the ordinary Greek word for Friday, becanse on Friday the Jews diligently prepared for the Sabbath, which began at gunset. The afternoon is called тробаß阝aroy in Mk. xp. 42. Jos. Antt. xvi. 6. We are told that Shammai, the almost contemporary founder of the most rigid school of legalists, used to spend the whole week in meditating how he could best observe the Sabbath. Caspari rightly observes that if the day of the Crucifixion had been Nisan 15 the actual day of the Passover Feast, and not Nisan 14 the day before the Feast, it is inconceivable that St Luke should merely have used the ordinary

Jewish word for Friday，and spoken of the day，not as the Great Passover Day，but only as the Preparation for the Sabbath．
kтєфшणкєv．Literally，＂began to davn．＂This expression is used， although the Sabbath began at sunset（Mk．x7．42），because the whole period of darkness was regarded as anticipatory of the dawn．Hence the Rabbis sometimes called the evening of Friday＇the daybreak．＇ When St John（xix．31）calls the coming Sabbath＂a high day，＂the expression seems clearly to imply that it was both the Sabbath and the day of the Passover．

Б5．катако入ouөŋ́бабаи．Literally，＂following closely．＂
үvoalkes．The two other Synoptists mention specially Mary of Magdala and Mary the mother of James and Joses．
altures．Needlessly precise for at as often in later Greek．
56．ímortpéquarar．As the sunset was now rapidly approaching， they must have hurried home to complete their preparations before the Sabbath began．
dри＇ната каl $\mu$ и́pa．The spices are dry，the＇perfumes＇liquid． They wished to complete the imperfect embalming of the body which Joseph and Nicodemus had hastily begun．Comp． 2 Chr． xvi．14．They had to purchase the spices（Mk．xvi．1）．St Matthew alone relates the circumstances under which the Jews obtained leave to place a watch over the sepulchre，and to seal the stone，xxvii． 62－66．
$\mathfrak{\eta} \sigma$ ixarav．This clause is closely connected with the next chapter． ＂And during the Sabbath day they rested．．．but on the first day of the week，\＆c．＂

## CHAPTER XXIV．

1．及cetws NABCD．It is not an adverb，but the Alexandrine form of $\beta a \theta$ os the gen．of $\beta$ a $\theta$ ós．
［kal twes oùv aitais．］Omitted in NBL，Copt，Aeth．Vulg．It．\＆o．
12．Omitted in D．


42．［kai àmò pe入trolov kпplov．］Omitted by NABDL，and as quoted by many Fathers．
51．kal ávєф́peto els tòv oúpavóv．NBC．It is omitted in D．
52．тробкvvíбаитes av่тóv．NABC．Omitted in D．
 the earliest morning twilight，＇while it was yet dark＇（John xx．1），
though the sun began to rise before they reached the tomb (Mk. xvi. 2). St John mentions only Mary of Magdala (xx. 1); St Matthew adds Mary, mother of James (xyiii. 1); St Mark adds Salome (xvi.1); and St Luke Joanna, vs. 10. They may have gone singly or in small groups, the Marys being separate from the others. There is no discrepancy in the different narratives, although, as we might have expected, they are fragmentary and seem to reflect the varied and tumultuous emotions of those who were the first to see the Lord. The Easter music, as Lange says, is not 'a monotonous chorale' but an impussioned fugue. Godet suggests that the narrative of each Evangelist is in accordance with the object and design of each Gospel. St Luke, desiring to describe the growth of Christianity, prepares for his fature history of the founding of the Church. St Matthew crowns his demonstration of the Messianic glory of Jesus. St Mark displays His active power. St John illustrates the triumph of faith over incredulity.

## 2-12. Vibion of Angeles to the Women. Peter visits the Томв.

2. tiv $\lambda$ C $\theta$ ov ditoкeкv $\lambda\llcorner\sigma \mu$ evov. On their way they had considered how they should get over this difficulty, since the stone was "very great" (Mk. xvi. 3). From St Mark's expression, "looking up," we infer that the tomb was slightly elevated; and from St John's "lifted" ( $\dot{\eta} \rho \mu \dot{e} \nu_{0}$ ) that the first aperture of the tomb was horizontal. St Matthew also tells us of the Angel and the Earthquake (xyviii. 2-4).
dino. In Hellenistic Greek there is a tendency to repeat separately the preposition of a compound verb. The ámo is general. St Mark

3. oủx єûpov tò $\sigma \hat{\mu} \mu \mathrm{a}$. Even advanced sceptics admit this circumstance as indisputable, nor has one of them been able to invent the most remotely plausible explanation of the fact by natural causes. For the white-robed angel or angels in the tomb, see Mark xvi. 5; John xx. 11, 12. On the mention, omission, and numbers of these angels V an Oosterzee quotes a very striking remark from Lessing. "Cold discrepancy-mongers, do ye not then see that the Evangelists do not count the angels?...There were not only two angels, there were millions of them. They appeared not always one and the same, not always the same two; sometimes this one appeared, sometimes that; sometimes on this place, sometimes on that; sometimes alone, sometimes in company; sometimes they said this, sometimes they said that."
roú кuplou'I $\eta$ rovi. These words are omitted in D. The combination 'Lord Jesus' would however naturally begin at this point, as it is common in the Acts and Epistles, where 'Lord Jesus Christ' occurs about 40 times, though not found in the Gospels.

dंबтраттои́б口. Literally, 'flashing as with lightning,' which recalls the expression of Matt. xxviii. 3; comp. ix. 29, xvii. 24.
4. tiv 乌ิิvta. Comp. Acts i. 11. The expression 'the living' is probably used on the lips of the angels with something of its true mystic depth. John i. 4, v. 26, xi. 25, xx. 31.
 introduces a remarkable variation from the traditional words (Matt. xxviii. 7; Mk. xvi. 7).
5. $\mathbf{~ i m o \sigma t \rho ́ ( \Psi a \sigma a l . ~ C o m p . ~ M a t t . ~ y r v i i i . ~ 8 . ~ F r o m ~ J o h n ~ x x . ~} 2$ we infer that Mary of Magdala had, in the frst instance, run from the sepulchre to tell Peter and John of the removal of the stone, and had therefore not seen the first vision of angels. The apparent contradiction in Mk. xi. 8 obviously means that they 'said not one word on the subject to any one' except the Apostles to whom they were expressly told to announce it (Matt. xyviii. 7).
6. kal ai doural. 'And the rest.' See viii. 2, 3 .
7. $\mathbf{y} \omega \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\circ} \mathrm{oy}$ aùrôy. The frequency of the Hellenistic preposition ${ }_{2}^{2} \boldsymbol{y} \boldsymbol{\prime} \pi$ tov is due to the Hebrew and the Acts, but neither in St Matthew nor St Mark; and St John in his Gospel oniy uses it once (xx. 30).
$\lambda \hat{p} p o s$. 'Dotage' (Rhemish version). The strong word nsed im-
 $\sigma \dot{\omega} \phi \rho о \boldsymbol{\nu} \circ \mathrm{~s}$.
ทุ่mírotouv. The imperfeet shews persistent incredulity.
8. $\dot{\text { i }} 8 \geq$ Пétpos. For the fuller details see John xx. 2-9. The 'but' implies his readiness to believe. The presence of John, though omitted here, is implied in vs. 24. The verse is probably genuine, though omitted in D.
dobva. A very general term, and perhaps including the linen bands in which the Body had been swathed in spices. Comp. John xx. 6, 7.
póva. Important as incidentally refuting the atory disseminated by the Jews (Matt. xxviii, 11-15). Snch a stealing of the body was on every ground impossible under the conditions, and had it been possible could only have been a hurried and perilous work. Yet this absurd Jewish fiction was repeated and amplified twelve centuries later in the blasphemous Toldoth Jeshu.


 plexed incredulity of the Disciples, admitted by all the Evangelists alike, add force to those evidences which so absolutely convinced them of the miracle which thes had never contemplated. The stunning blow of the Crucifixion had made them forget the prophecies of Jesus, which even at the time they had been unable to receive with any comprehension or contiction. (See ix. 43-45; John ii.

18-22, vi. 61-64, x. 17, 18, xiii. 31; Matt. xii. 38-42, xvi. 13-27, xvii. 1-9; Mk. х. 32-34, \&e.)

## 13-95. The Digaiples at Emmads.

 in vs. 33 that they were not Apostles. One was Cleopas (an abbreviation of Cleopatros), of whom we know nothing, for the name is not the same as Clopas ( $=$ Alphaeus or Chalpai, John xix. 25), though they may have been the same person (see on vi. 14, viii.). The other is unknown, and anconjecturable. There is no shadow of probability that it was St Luke himself (Theophylact).
 nothing to sanction it in the text. The distance ( $6 \frac{1}{2}$ miles) shews that Emmaus conld not have been the Emmans of 1 Macc. iii. 40, ix. 50, \&c. (Amwâs or Nicopolis), which is 176 furlongs from Jeruealem (Jos. B. J. II. $20, \S 4$ ), or the Galilaean Emmaus or "Hot Springs" (Jos. B. J. iv. 1, § 3, vir. 6, § 6). It may be the Emmaus of Jos. B. J. vir, 6, \& 6 (Kulonieh), which according to one reading was 60 furlongs from Jerusalem. Had the Emmaus been 160 furlonge distant (as in the reading of NIKN, \&c.) they could not have returned the same evening to Jerusalem. In the Talmud (Succah, Iv. 5) we are told that Maüza (with the article Hamaüza) was the place where the palms were gathered for the feast of Tabernacles; and elsewhere that Maüza was Kulonieh.
15. avioos 'I Inooûs érरions. A beautiful illustration of the promise in Matt. xviii. 20.
 There are two other instances of the same remarkable fact. Mary of Magdala did not recognise Him (John xx. 14), nor the disciples on the Lake (John xxi. 4). The same thing is evidently implied in vs. 37 and in Matt. xxviii. 17; and it exactly accords with the clear indications that the Resurrection Body of our Lord was a Glorified Body of which the conditions transcended those of ordinary mortality. Comp. Mk. xvi. 12.
17. dvтьßód入eтe. Literally, "cast to and fro." Compare "discussed a doubt, and tossed it to and fro." Tennyson.
aкvөpartol. Matt. vi. 16. The true reading seems to be 'and they stood still' ( (ev á $\theta \eta \sigma a \nu, \mathcal{K} A B$, and some ancient versions; tavn $\sigma a v, \mathbf{L}$ ), 'looking sad.' They stopped short, displeased at the unwelcome, and possibly perilous, intrusion of a stranger into their conversation.
18. K $\lambda \in \delta$ tas. See on vs. 13. The mention of so obscure a name proves that the story is not an invention. Pii non sua sed aliorum causa memorantur. Bengel.
 stranger in Jerusalem?' art thou some lonely sojourner in Jerusalem, come from a distance? Vulg. tu solus peregrinus es? Art thou alone a stranger? This rendering is also possible. See Winer, p. 785. For the verb see Eph. ii. 19, and for тapaikia 1 Peter i. 17.
 self.,

21. 门่ $\lambda \pi$ (Yopgy. 'Our hope was.' This would imply that now their hope was dimmed, if not quenched. This perhaps led to the reading 'we trust' (eגtifouev) in N and some inferior mss., which Alford calls a "correction for decorum."
$\lambda$ utpoûolal. The form of the expected redemption is explained in Acts i. 6.
$\dot{d} \lambda \lambda \alpha^{\prime} \gamma \in$. These words properly mean "yet at least," and in classical writers are separated by some other word. Thes do not occur again in the N. T., but see W. H. I Cor. ix. 2.
oiv mâatv tovitots. 'Along with (i.e. beside) all these things.' The use of $\sigma v$ is more general than usual. See Winer, p. 488.
 'He is leading this third day.' The unexpressed nominative is not í xpóvos or $\dot{\delta} \ddot{\eta} \lambda \lambda \cos$, but 'Inoov̂s. The expression seems to imply, 'if there had been any hope it would have been confirmed before now.'
22. 'optptral. 'At the dawn.' The idiom by which a circumstance of time or place is expressed by an adjective is quite classical ; comp.
 So in English poets we find "the nightly hunter," "evening sheep," de. See my Brief Greek Syntax, p. 82. The Attic form of the word is óp $p$ plos.
23. of $\lambda$ tyourtv. 'Which say' (not 'said' as in A. V.). This mention of a sort of double hearsay ('women saying-of angels who say') shews the extreme hesitation which appears throughout the narrative.
 expresses their incredulity and sorrow. It also shews how impossible is the sceptical theory that the Disciples were misled by hallucipations. "Les hallucinés," says Bersier, "parlent en hallucinés;" but against any blind enthusiasm we see that the Apostles and Disciples were most suspiciously on their guard.
25. is aróntot. The expression "fools" in the A. V. is mach too strong. It is not apopes (see xi, 40), but dyóntot, 'foolish,' 'unintelligent.' (Gal. iii. 1.)
 suffer?' It was a divine necessity, Matt. xxvi. 54; John xii. 24, 32, xi. 49-52; Aets xvii. 3; 1 Pet. i. 10, 11. Thus St Luke mainly dwells on the Resurrection as a spiritnal necessity; St Mark as a great fact; St Matthew as a glorious and majestic manifestation; and St John in its effects on the minds of the members of the Church. (Westcott.)
 to Abraham (Gen. xxii. 18); the Paschal Lamb (Ex. xii.) ; the Seapegoat (Lev. xvi. 1-34) ; the brazen serpent (Numb. xxi. 9); the greater Prophet (Deut. xriii. 15); the star and sceptre (Numb. xxiv. 17); the smitten rock (Numb. xx. 11; 1 Cor. x. 4), \&c.
 is born, \&e." Is. ix. 6, 7. 'The Good Shepherd, Is. xl. 10, 11. The Meek Sufferer, Is. 1. 6. He who bore our griefs, Is. liii. 4, 5. The Branch, Jer. xxiii. 5, xxxiii. 14, 15. The Heir of David, Ezek. zxxiv. 23. The Ruler from Bethlehem, Mic. v. 2. The Branch, Zech. vi. 12. The lowly King, Zech. ix. 9. The pierced Victim, Zech. xii. 10. The smitten Shepherd, Zech. xiii. 7. The Messenger of the Covenant, Mal. iii. 1. The Sun of Righteousness, Mal. iv. 2; and many other passages. Dr Davison, in his admirable and standard book on Prophecy, pp. 266-287, shews that there is not one of the Prophets without some distinct reference to Christ except Nahum, Jonah (who was himself a type and Prophetic Sign), and Habakkuk, who however uses the memorable words quoted in Rom. i. 17. We cannot suppose that our Lord went through each prophet separately, but only that He pointed out "the tenor of the Old Testament in its ethical and symbolical character."

סиериŋ́vevaev, Fulg. interpretabatur (comp. 1 Cor. xiv. 28).
 tifariously ( $\pi \rho \lambda \nu \tau \rho \sigma \pi \omega s)$, Heb. i. 1, e.g. in the Psalms passim, and in the types of Joshua, \&c.
 stand $\gamma \epsilon \gamma \rho a \mu \mu \hat{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{v a}$ from $\gamma \rho a \phi a i$ is.
28. тробєтоเग́бато. It is of course implicd that He would have gone further, but for the strong pressure of their entreaty. Comp. Mk. vi. 48. We learn from these passages how needful it is to win Christ's Presence by praying for it.
29. тарєßடiбavto. Aets xvi. 15.
$\mu \in \mathfrak{i v o v} \mu \in \theta^{*} \mathfrak{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} v$. It is this beautiful verse which has furnished the ides of Lyte's dying hymn, 'Abide with me, fast falls the eventide.'
тои̃ $\mu$ кival. Comp. Heb. xiii. 2, "thereby some have entertained angels wuawares."
30. Tody äprov. 'The loaf.' Comp. xxii. 19. Our Lord seems, by a kind of natural authority, to have assumed the position of host; which shews that they were at an inn. By one of the melancholy perversions of Scripture in the interests of mistaken dogma and practice, this passage is applied to defend the Romish custom of "communion in one kind."
 the Attic prose word adouns. It does not occur in the LXX., Apocrypha, or elsewhere in the N.T.


 phor is common, "The heart may burn without a sigh." Byron.
ws Eגaidet $\dot{\eta} \mu \mu i v . ~ " N e v e r ~ m a n ~ s p a k e ~ l i k e ~ t h i s ~ m a n, " ~ J o h n ~ v i i . ~$ 46.
33. intorpeqav. "They fear no longer the night journey from which they had dissuaded their unknown companion." Bengel.
 in 1 Cor. xy. 5 , but there is not even a tradition as to the details. (The passage in 1 Cor. xv. 4-8 is the earliest written allusion to the facts of the Resurrection.)
38. 'Ęnүoûvro. 'They narrated.' The word oceurs four times in the Acts and in John i. 18.

İv Tî k $\lambda_{\text {áagt }}$ roû áprov. 'In the breaking of the bread.' The articles are important as giving to the aet a sacramental character. It has been objected that Cleopas and his companion, not being Apostles, had not been present at the institution of the Lord's Supper; but this was by no means the oniy occasion on which Christ had solemnly broken bread and bleased it (see ix. 16). St Mark adds that some of the disciples received even this narrative with distrust (xvi. 13), which once more proves that, so far from being heated enthusiasts ready to accept any hallucination, they shewed on the contrary a most cautious reluctance in accepting even the most circumstantial evidence.

The young reader should refer to the beautiful passage of Cowper on this scene in Conversation, beginning
"It happen'd on a solemn eventide," \&o.

## 36-49. Appearanog of Jesug to the Apostles.

 The Eleven, with the exception of Thomas the Twin, were sitting at supper with the doors closed through their fear of the Jews (John xx. 19). This is one of the most remarkable appearances of the Risen Christ. His intercourse with them on this occasion consisted of a greeting (36); a reprosch and consolation (38; Mk. xvi. 14); a demonstration of the reality of His person (39-43; John xx. 20); an opeaing of their understandings (44-46); an appointment of the Apostles to the ministries of remission and witness (47, 48; John xix. 21, 23); a promise of the Spirit, for the fulfilment of which they were to wait in Jerusalem (49). At the close of this great scene He once more pronounced the benediction of Peace, and breathed on them with the words 'Receive the Holy Spirit' (John xx. 22). The fulness with which St Luke has narrated this appearance led him to omit some of the other appearances. See on vs. 49.
37. ттоŋุ母ivtes. Literally, 'being scared.'
$\pi v є \ddot{\mu} \mu a$ $\theta \epsilon \omega \mathrm{p}$ iv. 'That they were gazing on a spirit.' See vs. 16.
38. SLa入oyเซ 0 C. 'Reasonings.'
 have handled (è $\psi \eta \lambda(\alpha \not \subset \eta \sigma a v)$ of the Word of Life," 1 John i. 1; comp. John xx. 20, 27. For other uses of the word see Aets xvii. 27; Heb. xii. 18.

бápka kal dorta. "I am not a bodiless spirit" are words attributed to Him in Ignatius (ad Snyyrn. 3). Clemens of Alexandria has preserved a curious, but utterly baseless, legend, that St John, touching the body, found that his hands passed through it. From the omission of "blood" with "flesh and bones" very precarious inferences have been drawn.
40. kal tov's tódas. Which must therefore have been pierced, and not merely tied to the Cross.
41. dimıт of which St Luke is fond, and profoundly true to nature (comp. Liv. xxxrx. 49, Vix sibimet ipsi prae necopinato gaudio credentes).
ть $\beta$ ршَ́чцоv. 'Anything to eat;' see on iii. 11, viii. 55.
 if we did not learn from the Talmud that it was regularly supplied from the inexhaustible stores of the Lake of Gennesareth (Life of Christ, 1. 142).
43. Eфayev. This was one of the 'infallible proofs' appealed to in Acts i. 3; comp. John xxi. 12, 13; "who did eat and drink with Him aiter He rose from the dead," Acts x. 41. The importance of this proof in the eyes of the Apostles may also be inferred from Tobit xii. 19, where the Angel says "All those days I did but make myself visible to you, and did neither eat nor drink but ye beheld a vision." Jerome (adv. Pelag. II.) mentions a strange addition in some mss., viz. that the disciples said that 'the wickedness and incredulity of the age is a substance which does not permit the true virtue of God to be apprehended through impure spirits; therefore even now reveal Thy justice.' A few mss. and versions here add, 'and gave them the remains,'
44. oûtot ol $\lambda$ óyou, i. e. this is the meaning of the words.
ofis $\operatorname{Ala}$ à
 the Resurrection and the Ascension were not intended to be a continuous sojourn with the disciples, or an integral portion of the Lord's human life.

та̀ усүрацрiva. See on vss. 26, 27.
 later) Jewish division of the Old Testament into the Pentateuch, Prophets, and Kethubhim (Hagiographn).
45. Sunvorgev. Spiritual things can only be spiritnally discerned, 1 Cor. ii. 10-13. On this most important truth see Matt. xi. 27, xiii.

11, xvi. 17; John xvi. 13; Acts xvi. 14. "Open Thou mine eyes, that I may behold wondrous things out of Thy law," Ps. cxix. 18.
roû ovvifual tds ypapas. Hence the power with which they-till this time so dull and slow of heart-henceforth explained them, Acts i. 16,20 , ii. $16,25,8 \mathrm{c}$.
46. ovitws үєypautal к.т.入. 'Thus it is written that the Christ should suffer.' NBCDL.
47. äфeruv. See on i. 77; 1 John ii. 12.


48. priptupes. John xv. 27. How prominent in the minds of the Apostles was this ministry of witness may be seen from Acts i. 8, ii. 32, iii. 15, iv. 33, จ. 30-32, sc.
 (Is. xliv. 3; Ezek. xxxvi. 26; Joel ii. 28) and by His own mouth (John xiv. 16, 17, 20, xy. 26, xvi. 7). Comp. Acts i. 4, 5, 8. It is difficult not to see in this expression a distinct allusion to the discourses which are recorded by St John alone.
 metaphor see Rom. xiii. 14; Eph. iv. 24, \&c. We are unclothed till we receive heavenly gifts. "They had been washed (John xv. 3), now the clothing is promised." Bengel.

There are ten recorded appearances of the Risen Christ (including that at the Asceusion), of which St Luke only narrates three (the 4th, 5th, and 10th), though he alludes to others (e.g. the 3rd). They are

1. To Mary of Magdala. John xx. 11-17 ('Noli me tangere'); Mk, xvi. 9 .
2. To other women, who adore Him. Matt. xxpiii. 9, 10.
3. To Peter. Luke xxiv. 34; 1 Cor. xy. 5.
4. To the Disciples on the way to Emmaus. Luke xxiv. 13-35; Mk. xvi. 12, 13.
5. To ten Apostles and others. Luke zxiv. $36-49$; John xx. 1923; Mk. xvi. 14.
6. To the Eleven Apostles. The incredulity of Thomas removed. John xx. 26-29.
7. To seven Apostles at the Lake of Galilee. John xxi. 1-24.
8. To five hundred on a hill of Galilee. Matt. xxviii, 16-20; Mk. xvi. 15-18; 1 Cor. xy. 6.
9. To James, the Lord's brother. 1 Cor. xy. 7.
10. Before the Ascension. Luke xxiv. 50, 51; Acts i. 6--9.

Since more Appearances of the Risen Christ than those here narrated were well known to St Paul (1 Cor. xy. 5-7), it may be regarded as certain that they were known also to St Luke. If he here omits them it must be borne in mind (i) that neither he nor any of the Evangelists profess to furnish a complete narrative; (ii) that St Luke especially shews a certain 'economy' (as has been already
pointed out) in only narrating typical incidents; (iii) that he is here hastening to the close of his Gospel; and (iv) that he has other particulars to add in the Aots of the Apostles.

50-53. The Ascension.
50. Efyrayev. Not of course at the conclusion of the last scene, but at the end of the forty days, Acts i. 3.
$\varepsilon_{\omega s} \pi \rho \delta s$. 'As far as towards Bethany' ( $\pi p \delta s, N B C D$, \&c.), i.e. "over against," R. V. The traditional scene of the Ascension is the eentral sammit of the Mount of Olives (Jebel ct-Tur); but it is far more probable that it took place in one of the seciuded uplands which lis about the village. See a beautiful passage in Dean Stanley's Sinai and Palestine, ch. iii.
51. Stérin. 'He parted.' Vulg. recessit. Not "was parted" (A.V.). The verb occurs (in the N.T.) only in xxii. 59; Acts xxvii. 28. "A cloud received Him out of their sight," Acts i. 9. This passage however conveys a clearer impression. He stood apart from them (aorist) and was gradually borne into heaven. The latter words are not found in ND.
cis tòv ouvarov. See Eph. iv. 8. The withdrawal of His Bodily Presence preceded His Spiritual Ommipresence. The omission of the Ascension by St Matthew and St John would be more remarkable if it was not assumed by them both (John iii. 13, vi. 62, xx 17; Matt. xxiv. 30).
52. els 'Iepovaadijp. For fuller details see Acts i. 3-12.
 is remarkable that they shewed great joy now that they were losing for ever the earthly presence of the Lord. It shews their faith in the promise that His spiritual presence should be even nearer and more precious (John xiv. 28, xvi. 7).
53. SLatavròs $\boldsymbol{\text { tv }} \boldsymbol{\tau} \hat{\omega}$ íppê. This expression is one of the links between the Gospel and the Acts (see Acts ii. 46, iii. 1, de.).
alvoûvres kal evidoyoûyres. Acts ii, 46, v, 42. 'Praise is the fruit of joy.' A characteristic close in accordance with the usual spirit of St Lake. See Introd. p. xxxii, and ii. 20, v. 25, vii. 16, xiii. 13, xvii. 15 , xvii. 43, xxiii. 47.
['A $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta} \dot{1} v$.$] Probably a liturgical addition, as it is omitted in NCDL,$ sc. "The Ascension," says Godet, "realises in the person of the Risen Son of Man the design of God towards Humanity." That divinely foreordained purpose ( $\pi \rho 0$ ó $\theta \in \sigma t s$ ) was to make of sanctified believers a Family of God's children like His only Son. Rom. viii. 28, 29; Eph. ii. 6; Heb. ii. 10. The work of Christ is continued by the Church, enlightened by the Spirit of God at Pentecost, and awaiting its perfection at the Second Advent. "Since then salvation involves these three things-Grace, Holiness, Glory, each Gospel, especially that of St Luke, requires, as ite second volume, the Acts; as its third, the Revelation of St John."

## EXCURSUS L

On the Meantng of én toîs tồ matoós $\mu \mathrm{ev}$ in Lk. in. 49 (tee firbt recorded Words of Jesos).

In my Life of Christ (I. 78) I detiberately adopted the rendering of the English Version, but my view of the meaning has since been changed by a monograph kindly sent me by the Rev. Dr Field of Norwich, from which I here borrow some illustrations.

It might seem that the words lose something of their force and beauty by the adoption of the rendering "in my Father's house;" but We must remember (1) that they are the words of a young and guileless Boy who was "fsubject unto His parents;" (2) that they must be interpreted with reference to their context. Joseph and His mother might have known that He would be "about His Father's business" without knowing where $H e$ was. The answer had reference to His mother's gentle reproach about their agonising search for Him. His answer is "Why this search? might you not have conjectured that I was in my Father's House?" The other meaning would therefore be less appropriate. It is also less supported. We have no exact instance of ty tois rivos eival meaning "to be about a person's business," though we have something like it, e.g. 1 Tim. iv. 15 ex toúrous toth, and the Latin "totus in illis." This idiom seems however to imply an absolute absorption which is not here intended. If the word $\alpha$ hos had been added the sense and the idiom would indeed have been clear, and there would have been a distant analogy to the phrase employed in the story that when the young Alexander talked with the Persian Ambassadors he did not ask
about the Golden Vine, the king's dress, \&c. but "was entirely ocenpied with the most important matters of the government' (oxios $\boldsymbol{z}^{2} y$
 ( $\kappa \kappa \pi \varepsilon \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \chi$ (ate), Plut. II, 342. But had our Lord meant to say 'Know ye not that I must be absorbed in my Father's work?' He would have expressed His meaning less ambiguously, and if He spoke in Aramaic those who recorded the sentence in Greek would hardly have left the meaning doubtful. -On the other hand "in my Father's House" is the ordinary and natural meaning of the words.-OLxipact or $\delta \dot{\mu} \mu \mathrm{as}$, might be understood, but in faet the artiele alone- $\tau \mathbf{d}$, 'the things or belongings of'-was colloquially used in this sense; e. g. $\vec{f}$ Tà Aürarvos (Theocr. II. 76), 'where Lycon's house is ;' $\epsilon$ ls $\tau \dot{d}$ tồ $\dot{a} \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \hat{v}$, 'into
 єivat aùzdy diváyкך (Chrysost. Hom. цI. in Gen.), 'wherever he may chance to go he must be in his Master's house.' Esther vii. 9, ty rois
 tou trepot, 'others shall live in kis house.' See too Gen, xhi. 51, LXX. In this interpretation the Vulgate, Arabic, Ethiopic, and Peshito Syriac concur, as do Origen, Theophylact, Euthymius, Epiphanius, and Theodoret.

But it may be asked 'may we not admit both meanings, one as primary and one as secondary?' This is the view adopted by Alford and others; but I agree with Dr Field in the remark that "it is certain that only one of the meaninge was in the mind of the artless Child from whose lips they fell, and that that meaning" (so far as the mere significance of the words was concerned) "was rightly apprehended by those who heard them."

## EXCURSUS II.

## The Dodrle Genealogies of Christ as the Son of David.

The general facts are these:
(i) The genealogy of our Lord in St Matthew descends from Abraham to Jesua, in accordance with his object in writing mainly for the Jews.

The genealogy in St Luke ascends from Jesus to Adam, and to God, in accordance with his object in writing for the world in general. He spans the generations of mankind from the first Adam to the Second Adam, who was the Lord from heaven (1 Cor. xv. 20, 45, 47).
(ii) The generations are introduced in St Matthew by the word "begat;" in St Luke by the genitive with the ellipse of "son." Thus in St Matthew we have

Abraham begat Ismac, And Isaac begat Jacob, de.;
but in St Luke
Being the son (as was reputed) of Joseph,
(The son) of Eli of Matthat, \&c.
(iii) St Matthew says that

David begat Solomon


St Luke (merely reversing the order) traces the line through



Matthat



Kosam
Adaian
Melchi
Neriah
Shealtiel (in 1 Chr. iii. 18 we find Pedaiah, who was perbaps the actual father: Shealtiel may have adopted his nephew ${ }^{1}$ ).

Thus St Luke gives 21 names between David and Zerubbabel where St Matthew only gives 15, and all the names except that of Shealtiel (Salathiel) are different.

[^18](iv) St Matthew says that

Zerabbsbel begat Abihud


Elihud
Eliezer
Matchan
 Joseph

St Luke traces the line through

(Abinud of Mattlew, Hodaiah of I Chr. iii. 2t).
 Shintei
 Jannai


Thus it will be seen that St Luke gives 17 generations between Zerubbabel and Joseph, where St Mathew only gives 9, and all the names are different.

The two inain difficulties then which we have to meet are
A. The difference in the number of the generations;
B. The difficulties in the dissimilarity of the names.
A. The difficulty as to the number of the generations is not serious, because (1) it is a matter of daily experience that the number of generations in one line often increases far more rapidly than that in auother; but also because (2) St Matthew has arranged his genealogies in an arbitrary numerical division of three tesseradecads ${ }^{1}$. Nothing was more common among the Jews than the adoption of this symmetrical method, at which they arrived by the free omission of generations, provided that the fact of the succession remained undoubted. Thus in 2 Chron. xxii. 9 "son" stands for "grandson," and Ezra (in Ezra vii. 1-5) omits no less than seven steps in his own pedigree, and among them his own father,-which steps are preserved in 1 Chron. vi. 3-15. St Luke's genealogy is tacitly arranged in eleven sevens.

[^19]B. The difficulty as to the dissimilarity of names will of course only affect the two steps of the genealogies at which they begin to diverge, before they again coalesce in the names of Shealtiel and of Joseph.

One of the commonest ways of meeting the difficulty has been to suppose that St Luke is giving the genealogy not of Joseph but of Mary-the genealogy of Christ by actual birth, not by legal claim.

This solution (first suggested by Annius of Viterbo at the close of the 15th century), though still adopted by some learned men, must be rejected, (1) because there is no trace that the Jews recognised the genealogies of women as constituting a legal right for their sons; and (2) because it would do the strongest violence to the language of St Luke to make it mean 'Being, as was reputed, the son of Joseph [but really the son of Mary, who was the daughter] of Eli, \&c.

We must therefore regard it as certain that both genenlogies are genealogies of Joseph adduced to prove that in the eye of the Jewish law Jesus was of the House of David. The question is not what we should have expected about the matter, but what is actually the case.

1. First then, how can Joseph be called in Si Matthew the son of Jacob, in St Luke the son of Eli?
(a) An ancient explanation was that Matthan, a descendant of David in the line of Solomon (as given by St Matthew) was the husband of a woman named Estha, and became the father of Jacob; on his death his widow Estha married Melchi, a descendant of David in the line of Nathan (as given by St Luke), and had a son named Eli. Eli, it is said, died childless, and Jacob, his half-brother, in accordance with the law of levirate ${ }^{1}$ marriages (Deut. xxy. 5, 6; Matt. xxii. 23-27), took his widow to wife, and became the father of Joseph. Thus


St Luke might naturally give the latter genealogy becanse it wonld be the one recognised by Romans, with whom the notion of legal as distinguished from natural sonship was peouliarly strong. This solution derives very great authority from the fact that it is preserved for us by Eusebius ( $H . E$. у. 7) from a letter of Julins Africanus, a

[^20]Christian writer who lived in Palestine in the third century, and who professed to derive it from private memoranda preserved by 'the Desposyni' or kindred of the Lord.
( $\beta$ ) But the dificulty about this view-not to mention the strange omission of Levi and Matthat, which may be possibly due to some trans-position-is that St Matthew's genealagy will then be partly legal (as in calling Shealtiel the son of Jeconiah) and partly natural (in calling Joseph the son of Jacob). But perhaps (since Jul. Africanus does not vouch for the exact details) there was so far a confusion that it was Jacob who was childless, and Eli who became by a levirate marriage the father of Joseph. If this be so, then St Matthew's is throughout the legal, and St Luke's throughout the natural genealogy. Even without the supposition of a levirate marriage, if Jacob were childless then Joseph, the son of his younger brother Eli, would become heir to his olaims. The tradition mentioned may point in the direction of the true solution even if the details are inexact.
( $\gamma$ ) We may here add that though the Virgin's genealogy is not
 is assumed by the sacred writers (Lk. i. 32; Acts ii. 30, xiii. 23 ; Rom. i. 3, \&e.), and was in all probability involved in that of her husband. How this was we cannot say with certainty, but if we accept the tradition which has just been mentioned it is not impossible that Mary may have been a daughter of Eli (as is stated in an obscure Jewish legend, Lightfoot, Hor. Hebr. ad loc.) or of Jacob, and may have married her cousin Joseph jure agnationis. At any rate we have decisive and independent proof that the Davidic descent of our Lord was recognised by the Jews. They never attempted to avert the jealousy of the Romans about the royal descent of the Desposyni (Euseb. H. E. 1. 7), and Rabbi Ulla (circ. 210) says that "Jesus was exoeptionally treated because of royal descent" (T. B. Sanhedr. 43 a, Amsterdam ed., see Derenbourg, Palest. p. 349. But it is possible that the words mean 'influential with the (Roman) government').
2. We have now to explain why St Matthew says that Shealtiel (Salathiel) was the son of Jeconiah, while St Luke says that he was the son of Neriah.

The old suggestion that the Zerubbabel and Shealtiel of St Luke are diferent persons from those of St Matthew may be set aside at once. But the true answer seems to be that Jehoiachin (Jeconiah) was either actually chtldess, as was so emphatically prophesied by Jerem. xxii. $24-30$, or that, at any rate, his children (if he ever had any, as seems possible from vs. 28; 1 Chr. iii. 17-19; and Jos. Antt. x. 11, \&2) died childless in Babylon. It is true that the word rendered 'childless' ('? ${ }^{\prime}$ ? $)_{\text {( }}$ ) may mean 'forlorn' or 'naked;' but the other is the more natural meaning of the word, and so it was understood by the Jews, who however supposed that, after a long captivity, he repented and the curse was removed. Setting aside this mere conjecture, it seems probable that Jeconiah was, or became, absolately childless, and that therefore in the 37th year of his captivity he adopted a son to preserve his race from extinction. His choice however was limited. Daniel and others of the seed royal were eunuchs in the palace of the King
of Babylon (Dan. i. 3; 2 Kings xx. 16), and Ishmael and others were excluded by their murder of Geduliah; to say nothing of the fact that the royal line had been remorselessily mown down by Jehu and by Athaliah. He therefore adopted the seven sons of Neri, the twentieth from David in the line of Nathan. We seem to have an actual intimation of this in Zeeh. xii. 12, where "the family of Nathan apart" is commemorated as well as "the family of David apart" because of the splendid Messianic prerogative which they thus obtained. And this is remarkably confirmed by Rabbi Shimeon Ben Jochai in the Zohar, where he speaks of Nathan, the son of David, as the father of Messiah the Comforter (because Menachem, 'comforter,' stands numerically for 138 , which is the numerical value of the letters of Tsemach, 'the Branch'). Hence too Hephzibah, the wife of Nathan, is called the mother of the Messiah. (See Schöttgen, Hor. Hebr. on i. 31.)

The failure of the Messianic promise in the direct natural line of Solomon is no difficulty in the way of this hypothesis, since while the promise to David was absolute ( 2 Sam . vii. 12) that to Solomon was conditional (1 Kings ix. 4, 5).

If these very simple and probable hypotheses be accepted no difficulty remains; and this at least is certain-that no error can be demonstrated. A single adoption, and a single levirate marriage, account for the apparent discrepancies. St Matthew gives the legal descent through a line of Kings descended from Solomon-the jus suceessionis; St Luke the natural descent-the jus sanguinis. St Matthew's is a royal, St Luke's a natural pedigree. It is a confirmation of this view that in Joseph's private and real genealogy we find the names Joseph and Nathan recurring (with slight modifications like Matthat, \&c.) no less than seven times. That there must be some solution of this kind is indeed self-evident, for if the desire had been to invent a genealogy no one would have neglected a genealogy deduced through a line of Kings.
3. i. We need only further notice that in vs. 27 the true translation probably is "the son of the Rhesa Zerubbabel." Rhesa is not a proper name, but a Chaldee title meaning 'Prince.' Thus the head of the Captivity is always known by Jewish writers as the Resh Galootha.
ii. In vs. 32 we have only three generations-Boaz, Obed, Jessebetween Salmon and David; a decisive proof that the common chronology is wrong in supposing that more than four hundred years elapsed between the conquest of Canaan and David.
iii. In vs. 24 the Matthat is perhaps identical with the Matthan of Matt. i. 15; if so the line recorded by St Matthew may have failed at Eliezer, and Matthan, the lineal descendant of a younger branch, would then be his heir.
iv. In vs. 36 the Cainan (who must be distinguished from the Cainan of vs. 37) is possibly introduced by mistake. The name, though found in this place of the genealogy in the LXX., is not found in any Hebrew ms. of the O.T., nor in the Saraaritan, Chaldee, and Syriac versions (Gen. xi. 12; $1 \mathrm{Chr} . \mathrm{i} .24$ ). It is omitted in the

Codex Bezae (D), and there is some evidence that it was unknown to Irenaeus.
v. The difference between the two genealogies thus given without a word of explanation furnishes a strong probability that neither Evangelist had seen the work of the other.

The conclusions arrived at as probable may be thas summarized.
David's line through solomon failed in Jeconiah, who therefore adopted Shealtiel, the descendant of David's line through Nathan.
(Shealtiel being also childless adopted Zerubbabel, son of his brother Pedaiah, 1 Chr. iii. 17-19.)

Zerubbabel's grandson, Abihud (Matt.), Judah (Lke.), or Hodaiah ( 1 Chr. )-for the three names are only modifications of one another -had two sons, Eliakir (Matt.) and Joseph (Lk.).

Eliakim's line failed in Eliezer; and thus Matthan or Matthat became his legal heir.

This Matthan had two sons, Jacob the father of Mary, and Eli the father of Joseph; and Jacob having no son adopted Joseph his heir and nephew.

It is true that these suggestions are not capable of rigid demonstration, bat (a) they are entirely in accordance with Jewish customs; $(\beta)$ there are independent reasons which shew that they are probable; ( $\gamma$ ) no other hypotheses are adequate to account for the early existence of a double genealogy in Christian ciroles.

## EXCURSUS III.

On putting New (yson) Wine into Fresif (kauous) Botties.
It is osually considered a sufficient explanation of this passage to say that the 'bottles' of the ancients were skins, and not bottles of glass; and that whereas fermenting wine would burst old, worn, and suncracked skins, it would only distend new skins.

It is exceedingly doubtful whether such an explanation is tenable.
a. It is quite true that the 'bottles' of the East were skins, as the Greek word $\dot{d} \sigma x{ }^{2} s$ implies ${ }^{1}$. They are still made in the East exactly as they used to be made thousands of years ago, by skinning an animal from the neck, cutting off the head and legs, and drawing off the skin without making a slit in the belly. The legs and neck are then tightly tied and sewn up, and the skin with the hair on it is steeped in tannin and pitched at the sutures (Tristram, Nat. Hist. Bib., p. 92).
$\beta$. It is also quite true that 'wine' must here mean the juice of the grape which has not yet fermented, 'must,' as this explanation im-

[^21]plies. For 'still wine'-wine after fermentation-may be put in any bottles whether old or new. It has no tendency to burst the bottles that contain it.
$\gamma$. But unfermented wine which was intended to ferment certainly could not be kept in any kind of leather bottle whether old or new. The fermentation would split open the sutures of the leather, however new the bottle was.
$\delta$. It seems, therefore, to be a very probable conclusion that our Lord is not thinking at all of fermented, intoxicating wine, but of ' must'--the liquid which the Greeks called del $\gamma \lambda \in \hat{v}$ кos-tuns of which are leept for years in France, and in the East; which (as is here stated) improves by age; which is a rich and refreshing, but nonintoxicating beverage; and which might be kept with perfect safety in new leather bottles.
$\epsilon$. Why, then, would it be unsafe to put the mast in old botties?
Becanse if the old bottles had contained 'wine' in the ordinary sense-i.e. the fermented juice of the grape-or other materials, " minute portions of albuminoid matter would be left adhering to the skin, and receive yeast germs from the air, and keep them in readiness to set up fermentation in the new unfermented contents of the skin.......As soon as the unfermented grape-juice was introduced, the yeast germs would begin to grow in the sugar and to develop carbonic dioxide. If the mast contained one-fifth sugar it would develop 47 times its volume of gas, and produce an enormous pressure which no bottle, new or old, could withstand."

Unless, therefore, some other explanation can be produced, it is at least possible-if not most probable-that our Lord, in speaking of 'wine,' here means must.
Thus much is at any rate certain:-the conditions of our Lord's comparison are not fulfilled either by fermented wine, or by grapejuice intended for fermentation. Fermented wine could be kept as well in old bottles as in uew; and grape-juice intended to ferment would burst far stronger receptacles than the newest leathern bottle. See Job axxii. 19. "The rending force of the pent-up gas would burst even the strongest iron-bound cask." When fermentation is intended, it goes on in the wine-vat.

Columella, an almost contemporary Latin writer, describing the then cornmon process of preserving grape-juice in the form of unfermented must, lays the same stress on its being put into a new amphora.

## EXOURSUS IV.

On the Meaning of eilioteion in Le. xi. 3.
After the very leamed and elaborate examination to which the word has been subjected by Bishop Lightfoot, On Revision 195-234, and

Dr McGlellan, New Testament 632-647, it will be sufficient here to touch on their conclusions.

This word was so rare thet even learned Greek Fathers like Origen considered that it had been invented ly the Evangelists and were uncertain as to its meaning. It is even still a dispute whether it has a temporal or a qualitative meaning, i.e. whether it means
i. Uread for the day, in one of the subordinate senses of a. continual or $\beta$. future :-or
ii. for our subsistence, whether a. physical, or $\beta$. spiritual:or again (giving to $\dot{\epsilon} \pi l$ the sense of 'upon,' i.e. 'in addition to') whether it meant
iii. beyond other substances, implying either a. 'supersubstantial,' i. e. preeminent, or $\beta$. consubstantial.

The mannings suggested under iii. may be at once dismissed as the artificial 'afterthoughts of theology.'

The decision depends partly on the etymology. It has been thought that the word may be derived from $\dot{\xi} \pi i$ and $l e v a t$, or from $k \pi i$ and odida.

It seems however an insuperable objection to the latter etymology that the word is extorictos not ejoícos; and with the etymology fall the meanings suggested under ii., i. e. bread for our physical, or spiritual, subsistence.

If then the word be derived from $\epsilon \pi!$ and $l\left\langle y a_{L}\right.$ it comes either from
 for the coming day,' i. e. for to-morrow, or for to-day; and Bishop Lightfoot brings some evidence to shew that this was the sense accepted by the Church till the more mystical sense was supported by Origen. He sums up his essay by the words "Thus the familiar rendering 'daily' which has prevailed uninterruptedly in the Western Church from the beginning is a fairly adequate representation of the original; nor indeed does the English language furnish any one word which would answer the purpose so well" (p. 234). On the other hand Dr MrClellan, as the result of another exhaustive criticism, decides on the meaning "proper to the future world," and would render it "needful," an interpretation which he argues that "etymology, original tradition, sense and context unite in establishing" (p. 646). He would therefore take it in the sense of "Give us day by day our bread of Life Eternal."

May we not however suppose that our Lord mentally referred to Prov. xxx. 8, "Feed me with food convenient for me," LXX. बúyragov
 meaning is to be preferred.

But I may observe in conclusion that practically the difference is nothing: for-in attering the praver-whichever sense the Christian mas attach to the adjective he will certainly include the spiritual sense in using the word "bread" (John vi. 51).

## EXCURSUS V. On xxir. 7.

## Wab the $^{\text {Last Supper an agtuaz Passover? }}$

The question whether, before the institution of the Lord's Supper, our Lord and His Diseiples ate the usual Jewish Passover-in other words, whether in the year of the Crucifixion the ordinary Jewish passover (Nisan 15) began on the evening of Thursday or on the evening of Friday-is a question which has been ably and voluminously debated, and respecting which eminent authorities have come to opposite conclusions.

1. From the Synoptists alone we should no doubt infer that the ordinary Paschal Feast was eaten by our Lord and His Diseiples, as by all the Jews, on the evening of Thursday (Matt. xxvi, 2, 17, 18, 19 ; Mk. xip. 14-16; Luke xxii. 7, 11-13, 15).
2. On the other hant, St John uses language which seems quite as distinctly to imply that the Passover was not eaten till the next day (xii. 1, "before the Feast of the Passover;" 29, "those things that we have need of against the feast;" xviii. 28, "they themselves went not into the judgment-hall lest they should be defiled; but that they might eat the passover"). He also calls the Sabbath (Saturday) a high day (a name given by the Jews to the first and last days of the octave of a feast) apparently because it was both a Sabbath and the first day of the Passover; and says (xix. 14) that Friday was "the preparation of the Passover." Here the word used is $\pi a p a \sigma \kappa \in u$ g' (as in Luke xxiii. 54). Now this word may no doubt merely mean 'Friday,' since every Friday was a preparation for the Sabbath; but it seems very dificult to believe that the expression means 'Passover Friday.' (See the note on Luke xxiii. 54.)
3. Now since the language of St John seems to be perfectly explicit, and since it is impossible to explain away his expressions by any natural process-though no doubt they can be explained away by a certain amount of learned ingenuity-it seems more simple to accept his express statement, and to interpret thereby the less definite language of the Synoptists.

We may set aside many current explanations of the dificulty, such as that-
a. Two different days may have been observed in consequence of different astronomical calculations about the day.
or $\beta$. Some laxity as to the day may have been introduced by different explanations of "between the two evenings."
or $\gamma$. The Jews in their hatred put off their Passover till the next evening.
or $\delta$. St John, by "eating the Passover," may have meant no more than eating the Chagigah or festive meal.
or $\epsilon$. The supper described by St John is not the same as that described by the Synoptiste.
or 5 . The Last Supper was an ordinary Passover, only it was eaten ly anticipation.

Setting aside these and many other untenable views, it seems probable that the Last Supper was not the ordinary Jewish Paschal meal, but was eaten the evening before the ordinary Jewish Passover; and that the language of the Synoptists is perfectly consistent and explicable on the view that our Lord gave to His last Supper a Paschal tharacter ("to eat this Passover," or "this as a Passover," Lik. xxii. 15), and spoke of it to His disciples as their Passover. Hence had arisen in the Church the view that it actually was the Paschal meal-which St John silently corrects. The spread of this impression would be hastened by the fact that in any case Thnrsday was, in one sense, 'the first day of unleavened bread,' since on that day all leaven was carefully searched for that it might be removed.

When we adopt this conclusion-that the Last Supper was not the Paschal Feast itself, but intended to supersede and abrogate it-it is supported by a maltitude of facts and allusions in the Syooptists themselves; e.g.
i. The occupations of the Friday on which Jesus was crucified shew no sign whatever of its having been a very solemn festival. The Jews kept their chief festival days with a scrupulosity almost as great as that with which they kept their Sabbaths. Yet on this Friday working, buying, selling, holding trials, executing criminals, bearing burdens, \&c. is going on as usual. Everything tends to shew that the day was a common Friday, and that the Passover only began at sunset.
ii. The Sanhedrin had distinctly said that it would be both dangerous and impolitic to put Christ to death on the Feast day (Mk. xiv. 2, and comp. Acts xii. 4).
iii. Not a word is said in any of the Evangelists about the Lambthe most important and essential element of the Paschal meal; nor of the bitter herbs; nor of the account given by the Chief Person present of the Institution of the Passover, \&c.

Further than this, many arguments tend to shew that this Last Supper was not a Paschal meal; e.g.
a. Early Christian tradition-apparently down to the time of Chrysostom-distinguished between the Last Supper and the Passover. Hence the Eastern Church always uses leavened bread at the Eucharist, as did the Western Church down to the 9th century.
f. Jewish tradition-with no object in view-fixes the Death of Christ on the afternoon before the Passover (Erebh Pesach).
\%. The langrage of St Paul ( 1 Cor. v. 7, xi. 23) neems to imply that the Lord's Supper was not the Presover, but a Feast destined to supersede it.
8. If our Lord had eateu an actual Paschal meal the very evening
before His death, the Jews might fairly have argned that He was not Himself the Paschal Lamb; whereas
c. There was a peculiar symbolio fitness in the fact that He-the True Lamb-was offered at the very time when the Lamb whish was but a type was being sacrificed.

For these and other reasons-more fully developed in the Life of Christ, pp. 471-483-I still hold that the Last Supper was not the actual Jewish Passover, but a quasi-Passover, a new and Christian Passover.

## EXOURSUS VI.

On Sects of the Jewf.
In the time of our Lord the main Jewish sects were-the Essenes, the Sadmtcees, zad the Pharisees.

The Herodians, mentioned in Mk. iii. 6. xii. 13; Matt. xxii. 16, were not so much a religious sect as a political party which accepted the rule of the Herods. Politically they were descended from the old Grecising apostates, for whom Jason proposed the title of Antiochians (2 Mace. iv. 9). They may be most briefly described as the antinational party, who wished the Jews to forget as much as possible their customs and aspirations, adopt cordial relations with Rome, and accept 'Greek fashions and heathenish manners,' 2 Macc. iv. 13, 14. They seem to have been Sadducees in religion, and were closely connected with the powerful families which Herod the Great had introduced from Babylon and Egypt, and who at this time monopolised the High Priesthood among themselves. The Talmud connects them with the Boethusim, so called from Simon son of Boethus, whose daughter (named Mariamne) Herod the Great married. They had gone so far at one time as to attempt to represent Herod the Great to the Jews as the promised Messiah! (Tert. Praesc. 45.)
The Essenes are not mentioned in the Gospels, nor is there any indication that Jesus ever came in to contact with them. They were a small, exclusive, ascetic, isolated community, with whose discouragement of marriage, and withdrawal from all the active duties of life, our Lord could have had no sympathy. Their importance as a sect belongs to a somewhat later period of the Gospel History,

The Sadoucers were the priestly-aristocratic party, who were in close alliance with the ruling powers. The name is probably derived from Tredakah 'righteousness,' and was originally meant to distinguish them from the Separatist or Pharisaic party, which in their opinion was too narrow and exclusive. The names, like all party names, soon acquired an insulting force, and may be roughly illustrated by saying that the Sadducees were regarded as Rationalists and the Pharisecs as

Ritualists. In the time of our Lord the Sadducees had much political power, derived from their wealth, their offices, and their political connexions, but they had no popular following. Their grasping and avaricious spirit made them hateful to the people, and this hatred was specially felt towards their chief representatives-the family of Annas.

They rightly refused to recognise the extravagant importanoe attached by the Pharisees to the Oral Law; and they seem to have unduly depreciated the authority of the Hagiographa and the Prophets in comparison with that of Moses. It was this which led to their sceptioism about the immortality of the soul and the existence of angels and spirits. Their worldiness and want of moral earnestness made them less useful than they might otherwise have been in counteracting the hypocritic externalism and frivolous scrupulosity of the Pharisees.

The name Pharisecs seems to have been derived from Perishoot, 'separation.' They were the national party, and were politically descended from the Chasidim, mentioned in 1 Macc. ii. 42 , vii. 13. No doubt many good and faithful men, like Nicodemus and Joseph of Arimathaea, existed in their body, but Jewish writers themselves admit, and the Talmud amply and in many passages confirms, the terrible charges brought against them by our Lord in His Great Denunciation (Matt. xxiii.; see notes on Luke xi. 42-54). Those charges were mainly against their greed, ambition, tyranny, and sworifice of essential things to unimportant minutiae,-in one word, their arbitrary and excessive ceremonialism, which had led them to subordinate the spirit and even the letter of the Mosaic Law to their own Oral Law or Tradition of the Fathers. "Long prayers, and devouring of widows' houses; flaming proselytism and subsequent moral neglect; rigorous stickling for the letter, boundless levity as to the spirit; high-sounding words as to the sanctity of oaths, and cunning reservations of casuistry; fidelity in trifles, gross neglect of essential principles; the mask of godliness without the reality; petty orthodoxy and artificial morals-such was Pharisaism." "It was," says Canon Mozley, "an active religion founded upon egotism" -religion allied with the pride of life in its most childish and empty forms. It was a "false goodness"-and therefore "an unrepentant type of evil." "The Pharisaic conscience was a tame conscience-with a potent sway over mint, anise, and cumin, but no power over the heart." And therefore the Pharisees were "the only class which Jesus cared publicly to expose." See 'Sermon on the Pharisees' in Mozley's Univ. Sernoons, pp. 28-51.

Josephus (Antt. xviti. 1, §§ 3, 4, xim. $5, \S 9, B . J$. ir. $8, ~ § 14$ ) gives some notices of these sects, but his account of them can by no means be exclusively trusted.

## EXCURSUS VII.

Thlustrations of St Loke derived from the Talmud.
A few only of the following illustrations-whieh will I think be found both curious and important-may be found in Schättgen's Horae Hebraicae. The majority of them are entirely new, and I have chiefly derived them from the yet unpublished Taimudio collections of Mr P. J. Hershon.

1. 21. Marvelled that he tarried so long in the Temple.

The Jews believed that catastrophes sometimes occurred, not only (as in the case of Heliodorus, 2 Macc. iii. 24) for intrusion into the Temple, but for any irregularity in it. See the story of the death of a (Sadducean) High Priest in Yoma, f. 19 b. Comp. Lev. xvi. 13, "that he die not."

> II. 25. Waiting for the consolation of Israel. II. 38. That looked for redemption.
"Ravah eaid, When a man is brought up for judgment (after death) he is asked...Hast thou been waiting for salvation?" (i.e. looking for the advent of the Messiah). Shabbath, f. $31 a$.
11. 41. His parents went to Jerusalem every year at the feast of the Passover.
In Mechilta f. $17 b$ the wife of Jonah is commended for going to the yearly feasts.

> II. 46. Both hearing thern and asking them questions.

I have shewn that this was entirely in accordance with Jewish custom : besides the self-attested instance of the young Josephus we find that "when Rabbi Shimon Ben Gamaliel and Rabbi Jehoshua Ben Korcha were seated in the debating room upon divans Rabbi Elazer Ben Rabbi Shimou and Rabbi [i. e. Judah the Holy] sat before them on the ground asking questions and starting objections. The other Rabbis exclaimed 'We drink of their water' (i.e. of their wisdom) 'and they sit upon the ground!' Seats were therefore brought in, and the two children were seated upon them." Babha Metsia, f. 84 b.
7. 35. Lend, hoping for nothing again.

From Ps. xv. 5 the Rabbis said that he who lent his money without usury was regarded as having kept the whole law. Shemoth Rabba, f. 130, 3.
vir. 50. Go in peace.
 servant depart iu peace" ( $\epsilon v \varepsilon l p \dot{q} v \eta$ ).
"Rabh Laive Bar Chaitha said, In taking leave of a dying man one should say 'Go in peace' (beshalam), and not 'into peace' (leshalôm), for God said to Abraham 'Thou shalt go to thy fathers in peace.' In all other cases one should not say 'Go in peace' but 'unto peace'; for David said to Absalom 'Go in peace' (2 Sam. xp. 9), and he went and was hanged; but Jethro said to Moses (Ex. iv. 18) 'Go unto peace,' and he went and prophesied." Moed Katon, f. 29, 1. The same rule is given with the same reasons in Berachoth, f. $64 a$.

## x. 31. He passed by on the other side.

In Midrash Koheleth, f. 91 b , a beautiful story is told of the blessing earned by Abba Techama for carrying a sick man into a town, and going back (in spite of the Sabbath) to fetch his bundle. See Schöttgen, Hor. Hebr. ad loc.

## x. 34. Pouring in oil and wine.

Speaking of circumcision, and the method adopted to heal the wound, we find the rule "If there is no mixed oil and wine ready each may be added separately" (Shabbath, f. $133 a$ ).

As an additional instance of the extreme Sabbath scrupulosity among the Jews we may add the rest of the passage: "No dressing is to be. prepared for it on the Sabbath, but a rag may be pat on" (see John vii. 22). "If the latter is not ready on the spot it may be fetched from other premises wrapped on the finger." The latter rule is given to avoid the appearance of brealing the Sabbath by carrying the rag.

> x. 42. The good part.

No doubt the use of the word $\mu \epsilon p$ is is a reference to the feast which Martha was preparing. The phrase and the metaphor are found in Hebrew literature. See Schöttgen ad loc.
xil. 19. Soul...take thine ease, eat, drink, and be merry.
So in Taanith, f. 11 a, "When the people is in trouble let no man say, I will go home, and eat, and drink, aud peace be to thee, 0 my soul."
xil. 53. The daughter in law against her mother in law.
"In the generation when the Son of David will come daughters will stand up against their mothers, daughters in law against their mothers in law.' Sanhedrin, f. 97, 1.
xilu. 14. In them therefore come and be healed, and not on the Sablath day.
Thus we are told that thorough bathing was permitted on the Sabbath cxcept in the Mediterranean, and the Dead Sea, beonuse the waters of these seas were supposed to possess medicinal properties, and healing is not allowed on the Sabbath day. Shabbath, f. $109 a$.
xIII. 23 . Are there few that be saved?

Some of the Rabbis answered this question in the affirmative, and Rabbi Shimeon Ben Jochai was so satisfied about his own righteousness as to say that if only two were saved, he and his son would be those two. Sueca, f. 45 b.
xiv. 8-11. On taking the lowest place.
"Ben Azai said, Descend from thy place, and sit down two or three degrees lower. Let them rather bid thee go up higher than come down lower; as it is said, 'For better it is that it should be said unto thee, Come up hither, than that thou shouldest be put lower in the presence of the prince whom thine eyes have seen,' Prov. xxy. 7." Abhoth of Rabbi Nathan, 2.
xiv. 11. Whosoever exalteth himself shall be abased.
"Greatness flees from him who strives for it, but it follows him who flees from it," Erabhin, f. 13 b. "Whoever abases himself, the Holy One, blessed be He, exalts him, and whoever exalts himself, the Holy One, blessed be He, abases him." Id. ib.

The latter coincidence compels the belief either that our Lord was here (as elsewhere) using a current Jewish proverb, or that the Talmudie writer, conscionsly or unconseiously, borrows from Him.

## x. 7. Who need no repentance.

The Jews distinguished between two classes of good men; those who, like David, had repented after sin; and the 'perfect just.' Succa, f. $45 b$.
xy. 8. The children of this world (or 'age').
'The children of this age' are opposed to 'the children of the age to come,' who in Berachoth, f. 4 " are defined to be "those who to their evening prayers add prayers about (Israel's) redemption."
xyl. 9. Into everlasting habitations ('into the eternal tents').
"When the wicked are burnt up, God makes a tent in which He hides the just, Ps. xxvii. 5." Siphra, f. 187.
xvi. 22. Was carried by the angels into Abraham's bosam.
"'This day,' said Rabbi [Judah the Holy], 'he sits in the bosom of Abraham,' i.e. he died." Kiddushin, f. 72 b.

> xviI. 6. Be thou plucked up by the root.

In the famous story of Babha Metsia, f. 59 b, Rabbi Eliezer is said to have given this among other miraculous proofs that his rule (halacha) was right.
xxI. 5. How it was adorned with goodly stones and gifts.
"It is said, Whoever has not seen Herod's temple, has never seen a beautiful structure in his life. How did he build it? Rapah replied, With white and green marble, so that it appeared in the distance like the waves of the sea." Babha Bathra, f. 3 b .

## xxy. 7. When shall these things be?

"Rabbis Jochanan and Elazer both said, The present generation (i.e. aiter the destruction of Jerusalem), whose iniquities are hidden, have not been informed of the time of their restoration." Yowи, f. 19, 2.
xxil. 38. It is enough.
Schöttgen compares this with the very frequent Rabbinic phrase 7 , used generally with a shade of indignation to stop useless remarks.
xxil. 70. Art thou the Son of Godf And he said unto them, Ye say that I am.
In the description of the death of Rabbi (Judah Hakkodesh, or the Holy, the compiler of the Mishna), we are told that Bar Cappara was commissioned by the other Rabbis to see whether he was dead or alive. He retnrned with his robe rent behind, and said, "The angels are victorious, and the holy ark is taken away." "Is Rabbi dead?" asked they. "You have said it," he answered. Kethubhoth, f. 103 b.
xxiri. 31. For if they do these things in a green tree, what shall be done in the dyy?
Although this exact proverb does not oecur (apparently) in Jewish literature, there are others exceedingly like it, e.g. "Rabbi Ashi asked Bar Kippok what mourning he made on the death of Ravina. He replied, 'If the flame has fallen among the cedars, what chance is there for the hyssop on the wall? If Leviathan is drawn up with a hook, what hope is there for little fish? If the net is thrown in flooding streams, what chance is there for stagnant pools?" Moed Katon, f. 25 b. Gomp. Jer. xii. 5.

The proverb adduced by Schöttgen on 1 Cor. xv. 33, 'Two dry logs and one green one; the dry burn up the green,' seems to have no connexion with it.

## INDICES.

## I. GENERAT.

Abia, course of, 87
Abila, its position, 127 ; capital of
Abilene, 128
Abilene, Lyesnias tetrarch of, 127 Abraham's seed, Jews supposed privileges of, 132
Ahithophel, a type of Judas Iseariot, 371
almsgiving, 269
angel, appearance of to Zacharias, 90
angels, ministry of, dwelt on by St Luke, 90; visit to shepherds, 113; at the sepulchre, 401
Anna, the prophetess, 119
Apnas, some account of, 128
annunciation of the birth of St John the Baptist, 86; of the birth of Jesus, 95
Apocryphal Gospels, 83 ; account of annunciation in, 98; on the questioning of the doctors by Christ, 123
Apostles, selection of, 181-185; lists of, 182; some account of, 182-185; mission of, 228; instructions to, 229 ; return of, after mission, 231; lesson to, on meekness, 241 ; strife among, at the Last Supper, 372
Archelaus, 341, 343
Arnold quoted, 305
ascension of our Lord, 408

Aser, the tribe of, 119
Ave Maria, 96
baptism, symbol of John the Baptist's, 129; by the Spirit, 136; by fire, 136 ; date of our Lord's, 125 ; reasons for, 138
Barabbas, his crime, 387
Bartimmus, healing of blind, 338
beatitudes, 186-188
Beelzebub, 264
Benedictus, the, 103
Betbany, meaning of, 344 ; the sisters of, 256 ; the ascension near, 408
Bethlehem, inn at, 112 ; meaning of, 110
Bethphage, its probable situation, 344
Bethsaida, western, 232; eastern $=$ Bethsaida-Julias, 232
blasphemy against the Holy Ghost, 275
blind leaders of the blind, 192
brethren of our Lord, 218
Browning quoted, 305
Byron quoted, 306

Caiaphas, som-in-law of Annas, 128
Calvary, our Lord's erucifixion on, 391

Capernaum, meaning of, 141; first mention of, by St Luke, 152; some account of, 154; Christ's woe uttered on, 250
census of Caesar Augustus, 107110
centurion, healing of servant of, 194-197; probably a proselyte, 195
chief priests, seek to slay our Lord, 366; clamour for His crucifixion, 388
childlessness, how regarded by Jews, 88, 95, 198
children brought to our Lord, 333
Chorazin, 250
church, woman in parable of ten pieces of silver likened to, 303, 304
circumcision, of St John the Baptist, 102; of Jesus, 115
Codex Bezae, a reading of, 179
Coleridge, quoted on the Nativity, 114
colt, 344
confession of St Peter, 234
corn, plucking ears of, 175
Cowper, referred to, on the walk to Emmaus, 405
cross, taking up of, daily, 236; form of our Lord's, 389; our Lord's borne by Simon of Cyrene, 389
Cyrenius, 110
darkness, the, at the Crucifixion, 395
demoniac, healing of, 154; Gergesene, healing of, 220; boy, healing of, 239
demons, Jews' belief in power of, 223
denials, the three, of our Lord by St Peter, 378-380
devil, the temptation of Christ by the, 141 - 148 ; power delivered to, 145 ; a dumb, east out, 263
disciples at Enmaus, 402-405
Dives and Lazarus, parable of, 316

Divinity, our Lord's teaching respecting His own, 266, 351
divorce, laxity of the law of, among the Jews, 316
dogs, of the East, 317
door, the narrow, 289
dropsy, healing of man with, 294
el Ghôr, wilderness of, 129
Elias, resemblance between St John and, 91
Elisabeth, visited by Mary, 98
Emmaus, two diseiples at, 402
Essenes, 421
evangelists, compared to four cherubim in Ezekiel's vision, 20 ; silence on the childhood of Jesus, 121
eye, the evil, 267
faith of centurion, 197
fusting, Jewish rules concerning, 172, 331
fig-tree, parable of, 285
five thousand, feeding of, 233
foundations, false and true, 193
foz, likeness of Herod Antipas to a, 291
funeral at Nain, 197
Gabriel, appearance to Zacharias, 92 ; вppearance to Mary, 95
Gadara, situation of, 220
Gadarenes, rejection of our Lord by, 224
Galileans, outbreak of Romans on, 284
Galilee, situation of, 95; its extent, 126 ; Christ's ministry in, 148; sea of, 159
genealogy of our Lord, 140; Excursus on, 410-416
Gennesaret, lake of, 159; storm on, 219
Gerasa, situation of, 221
Gergesene demoniac, healing of, 220-224
gospels, tadle of peculiarities and coincidences of, xiv; written in ILellenistic Greek, xvi; com-
pared to river of Eden, xix; prophetic picture of, in Ezekiel's vision of the four cherubim, xx; summary of differences of, xxip greves, Pharisees likened to, 270
head, custom of anointing, 209
Hermon, probable scene of the transfiguration, 237
Herod Antipas, parents of, 126; his banishment, 226 ; his dominions, 127 ; imprisons John the Baptist, 138; his alarmat the progress of Christ's ministry, 230 ; is likened by our Lord to a fox, 291; our Lord before, 385
Herodians, some account of, 421
Herod Plilip, parents of, 127; marriage with Salome, 127; extent of his tetrarchate, 127
Herods, genealogy of the, li
-Herod the Great, kiagdom of, 87
Herrick quoted, 299
highpriests, order of, 128
Hillel, 111, 123, 295; on divoree, 316
Hinnom, valley of, 274; infamy of, 274
Holy Ghost, the descent of, at our Lord's baptism, 139; blasphemy against, 275
horn of sulvation, 104
house built upon a rock, 193
incense, offering of, 89
Ituraea, Herod Philip tetrarch of, 127 ; position of, 127
Itnraeans, 127
Jairus, daughtor of, restored to life, 225-228
James, St , call of, 162 ; presence at the raising of Jairus' daughter, 227; at the transtiguration, 237 ; rebuked by our Lord, 245
Jericho, road to, 254; blind Bartimeus healed at, 338; some account of, 337
Jerusalem, Christ's lament over, 292; our Lord's triumphal entry
into, 344 ; fall of, foretald by our Lord, 346,362 ; time of the siege of, 347; desolation of, 362
Jestis
(i) Nativity and Manifestation
annumciation of Bis Birth, 95 ; received era for His birth probably wrong, 87; day of birth uncertain, 111; His birth, 111; His circamcision, 115 ; presentation in the temple, 115; return to Nazareth, 121; goes up to the passover, 121; tarries behind in the temple, 122; returns with His parents, 124 ; is baptized by John, 138; tempted of the devil, 141-148
(ii) Ministry in Galilee
preaches at Nazareth, 148; is rejected, 152 ; heals a demoniac at Capernaum, 154; heals Simon's wife's mother, 156 ; and the sick at evening, 157; calls four disciples, 162 ; heals a leper, 164; heals a paralytic, 166; reproves Pharisees, 177; heals the man with a withered band, 179; heals the centurion's servant, 194-197; raises the widow's son at Nain, 197; receives John the Baptist's disciples, 199 ; eats in the housc of Simon the Pharisee, 205; His feet anointed by Mary Magdalene, 206; stills the storm, 219; heals the Gergesene demoniac, 220-224; heals the woman with an issue of blood, 225 ; restores the daughter of Jairus to life, 225-228; sends forth the Twelve, 228; feeds the five thoasand, 231; prophesies His death and resurrection, 234; heals demoniac boy, 239 ; teaches His apos-
ties meekness, 241; tolerance, 241
(iii) Ministry after leaving Galilee
is rejected by the Samaritans, 243; sends forth the Seventy, 248; is entertained by the sisters at Bethany, 256 ; teaches His disciples to pray, 259; casts out a dumb devil, 263; rebukes the blasphemous Pharisees, 263, 264; rebukes egotism, 276 ; teaches trastfulness, 278;almsgiving, 278; and watchfulness, 279 ; heals woman on sabbath, 286; rebuked by ruler of synagogue, 286 ; is entertained by a Pharisee, 295; heals a man with the dropsy, 294; teaches humility, 295; and that the poor are to be invited to fersts, 297 ; teaches whole-heartedness, 300 ; rebukes the covetous Pharisees, 315 ; shews the peril of those through whom offences come, 320 ; the power of faith, 321 ; and insutliciency of works, 321; heals the ten lepers, 223; tells of the kingdom of God, 324; and His own coming, 325 ; blesses little children, 333; puts the young ruler to test, 333 ; reveals the danger of riches, 335
(iv) Last Journey to Jerusalen and Passion
predicts His crucifixion, 336; heals blind Bartimæus, 337; his triumphal entry into Jerusalem, 344; weeps over Jerusalem, and foretells its destruction, 345; questions the priests and scribes, 349 ; replies to the Pharisees respecting tribute money, 352; to the Sadducees respecting resurrection, 354-356; re-
duces the seribes, Pharisees, and Sadducees to a confession of ignorance, 357; predicts destruction of the temple, 359 ; the end of the world, 360 ; and the desolation of Jerusalem, 362; sends St Peter and St John to prepare the passover, 368; institutes the Holy Eucharist, 369 ; foretells Peter's denial of Him, 373 ; His agony in the gardeu, 374; prayer, 375; finds His disciples asleep and reproaches them, 376 ; is denied by St Peter, 378; mocked by the Jews, 380; is tried before the Sanhedrin, 381 ; and before Pilate, 382 ; sent to Herod, 384 ; sentenced by Pilate, 388; is led to Calvary, 389; and crucified, 391; His superscription, 393 ; forgives the penitent robler, 394 ; dies, 396 ; is buried, 398
(v) Victory over the Grave and Ascension

His resurrection, 400; and walk to Emmaus, 402; His appearance to the apostles, 405; His ascension, 408
Jews, hatred between Samaritans and, 244; self-satisfaction of, compared to elder son in parable of the prodigal, 311; parable against self-righteous, 329 ; seets of, 421-422
Joanna ministers to our Lord, 212
John, St, call of, 162; presence at the raising of Jairus' daughter, 227; at the transfiguration, 237; rebuked by our Lord, 245; sent to prepare the passover, 368
John's, St, gospel, time of com. position, xy ; difference between this and the synoptic gospels, xvii; distinctive peculiarities of,
xxiii, xxiv; records preserved in, 148
John, St, the Baptist, annunciation of his birth, 86 ; is to be $a$ Nazarite, 91 ; his office foretold, 91; his resemblance to Elias, 91 ; his birth and eircumcision, 102; his preaching and baptism, 129; answers to the multitude, 133-135; announces the Messiah, 136; imprisoned by Herod Autipas, 138 ; ;ends to Jesus from prison, 199; account of, by Jesus, 201
Joseph of Arimathæa, begs the body of Jesus, 397; his new tomb, 398
Joseph, the husband of the Blessed Virgin, his lineage, 95 ; journeys to Bethlehem, 110, 111
Judas Iscariot, call of, 184; conspires with the chief priests, 367 ; his betrayal of our Lord, 376
judge, parable of the unjust, 328
judgment of others, 191
Keble quoted, xxxi, xxxii, 115, 253
kingdom of God, coming of, 237, 324 ; to be received as a little child, 333
knowledge of salvation, 105
labourers in the vineyard, parable of, 350-352
lawyers, rebuked by our Lord, 270; difference between Pharisees and, 270
Lazarus, parable of Dives and, 316
lepers, healing of the ten, 323; the thankless nine, 324
leprosy, some account of, 163
Levi, identity of, with St Matthew, 169
liberality, principle of, 190
light, the inward, 267
livelihood, means of, possessed by Jesus and the twelve, 212

Lord's prayer, the, 259; explanstion of, 259-261
Lot's wife, held up as a warning, 326
love, laws of, 189
Lovelace quoted, 300
Luke, $\mathrm{St}^{\text {, mention of his name }}$ in Scripture, xxy; nationality, Exvi; early connection with St Paul, xxvi; his stay at Philippi, xxvi; journey to Rome with St Paul, xxvii; traditions as to his death, xxyiii; his profession, xxviii
Luke's, St, gospel; time of composition, xy; distinctive peculiarities of, xxii, xxiv; most literary of the Gospels, 82 ; a void in continuity of, 234
(i) authenticity of, xxix-xxxi
(ii) characteristics of, xxxixxxix, 85 ; hymnology, xxxii; thanksgiving, xxxii; prayer, xxxii; good tidings, xxxiii; of infancy, xxxiv; to the world, xxxiv; of womanhood, xxxy; of the poor and despised, xxyv; of the outcast, xxxvi; of tolerance, xxxyi; miracles peculiar to, xxxviii; parables peculiar to, exxviii
(iii) analysis of, xxxix-xlo
(iv) illustrations derived from the Talmud, 423-426
Lysanias, tetrarch of Abilene, 127; not mentioned in history, 127

Magnificat, the, compared with Judith's Song and that of Hannah, 100
Makor, fortress of, 138
Malchus, the ear of, cut off by St Peter, and healed by our Lord, 377
malefactore, the two, 390, 394
Mark's, St, Gospel, resemblance with that of St Luke, xiv, xy; time of composition, xv ; written on the testimony of St Peter,
xyi; distinotive peculiarities of, zxii, xxiy
marriage, question of Sadducees concerning, 354
Marthâ, entertains our Lord, 256; is reproved by Him, 257
Mary Magdalene, identity of, with the woman at Simon's house, 205, 211; anoints our Lord's feet, 206; her sins are forgiven, 209; ministers to our Lord, 211; at sepulchre, 401
Mary, sister of Lazarus, chooses the better part, 258
Mary, St, the Virgin, St Luke probably indebted to, 86 ; her early residence at Nazareth, 96; visited by Gabriel, 96 ; her ready faitb, 96 ; visits Elizabeth, $98-$ 100 ; returns to her home, 101; purification of, 116
Matthew, St, call of, identity with Levi, 169 ; feast at the house of, 170
Matthew's, St, Gospel, time of composition, xv; distinctive peouliarities of, xxi, xxiv
mercy, laws of, 191
Milton, quoted on "Angels of the Presence," 93 ; the Nativity, 114; the Circumcision, 116; the Holy Spirit, 139, 141; evil spirits, 223; Moloch worship, 274
miracles, peculiar to St Luko, xxxviii; words used to express, in the Gospels, 156
mission of Apostles, 228; object of, 230
mustard-seed, parable of, 287

Naaman the Syrian, 153
Nain, raising of widow's son of, 190; situation of, 197
nature of Christ, declaration of by the Church, 120
Nazareth, fitness for early abode of Jesus, 124; its situation and beanty, 124; ;ynagogue of, 149

Nazarite, St John the Baptist a, 91
Nuno Dimittis, 117
Olives, Mount of, our Lord's abode in, 365
oral law, instances of, set aside by Christ, 178
parables, peculiar to St Luke, xxxviii ; character of Christ's, 213,215; of the new and the old, 173 ; honses built on good and bad foundations, 193; the children in the market-place, 203; the creditor and two debtors, 207 ; the sover, 212 ; the candle, 217; the good Samaritan, 253; the rich fool, 276; servants waiting for their lord, 279 ; faithful steward, 280; the barren figtree, 285 ; the grain of mustardseed and leaven, 287 ; the wedding supper, 295; the great supper, 298; the lost sheep, 302 ; the piece of silver, 303; the prodigal son, 304 ; the unjust steward, 312; Dives and Lazarus, 316; the ploughing slave, 322; the unjust judge, 327; the Pharisee and the Publican, 329; the pounds, 341; the lebourers in the vineyard, 350 ; the figtree, 364
paralytic, healing of, 166
Passover, the first attended by our Lord, 121; our Lord's preparation for, 368; customs of, as practised by the Jews, 370; "was the last supper an actual?" 419-421
people, eagerness of, to hear Jesus, 347
Peter, St , first mention of by St Lulic, 156 ; his wife's mother is healed, 157; call of, 162; presence of at raising of Jairus' daughter, 227; his confession, 234; at the Transiguration, 237 ; sent to prepare the pass-
over, 368 ; his denials foretold, 373 ; his fall, 378 ; at sepulchre, 401
Pharisees, righteousness of, 171; reject the counsel of God, 202; blasphemy of, 263; our Lord rebukes hypocrisy of, 268-270; modern existence of, 269 ; the leaten of 273 ; rebukes to the covetons, 315; Excursus on, 422
Philippi, St Luke's $\operatorname{tatay}$ at, xxvi
Pilate, predecessors and successors of, 126 ; some account of, 382; our Lord's first appearance before, 383; His second appearance before, 386 ; the efforts of, to secure our Lord's acquittal, 388; gives the irrevocable sentence, 388
pinnacle of temple, 146
pounds, parable of the, 341 ; its lesson, 341
poverty of Christ, 212, 246
prayer in temple, 90; our Lord's, 259; persistence in, 261; duty of urgent, 327; of hamble, 332; of publican, 332 ; posture of the Jews st, 330; of our Lord for His murderers, 391
prayers of our Lord mentioned by St Luke, 138
preaching of John the Baptist, 133-135
presentation in temple, 117
priests, courses of, 87 ; their sudden question, 348
prison of John the Baptist, 138
privileges, peril of abused, 266
procurator, title of Pilate, 126
prodigal son, parable of, 304; applioations of, 304; explanations of, 305-311
prophecy of John the Baptist, 130-132; general meaning of, 131
publicane, their question to John the Baptist, 134; the office of, 134, 339 ; dislike of by Jews, 134
punishment, proportional to sin, 280, 281
purification, offerings at, 116
Quarantania, Mount, 141
reed, likeness of John the Baptist to a, 201
reign of Christ for ever, 96
relation of apostles to our Lord, 184
rents, mode of payment of, in the East, 313, 350
resurrection of the widow's son at Nain, 197; of Jairus' daughter, 225; Christ foretells his, 235,236 , and answers the Sadducees respecting, 354-356; of our Lord, 400 ; appearances of our Lord after, 402, 405
riches, danger of, 335
righteousness of Pharisees, 171
ruler, question of the young, 333
sabbath, pluoking of corn on, 175; miracles wrought on, 179, 286, 293; argument against Jewish formalism of, 287, 294; Jewish entertainments on, 293
Sadducees, attempt to ensnare our Lord, 354-356; Excursus on, 421
salt, the savourless, 301
Samaritan, Parable of Good, 253; healing of the, leper, 323
Samaritans, reject Christ, 244 ; hatred between Jews and, 244
Samhedriu, seetions of, 349 ; our Lord before the, 378,381
Sarepta, 153
Satan, tempts ourLord,141-148; compared to a strong man, 265; entered into Judas, 367
scribes, teaching of, compared with Christ's, 155 ; some account of, 170, 271
sepulchre, risit of women to, 400 ; stone of, 400
sermon on the Mount, differences
between St Matthew's and St Lake's record of, 186, 187
Seventy, mission of the, 248; instructions of our Lord to, 249
Shakespeare quoted, on temptation, 143; on the devil's use of Soripture, 146; on mercy, 191; on ingratitude, 324
Sheba, the queen of, 266
Shechinah, 113, 239
sheep, parable of the lost, 302
signs of the times, 282; duty resoltant from, 282; of the End, 360-364
silence of healed leper enjoined, reasons for, 164
Siloam, Pool of, 285; tower of, 285
Simeon, 117; his song, 117; called Theodokos, 117; prophesies of Jesus, 118
Simon of Cyrene, bears the cross, 389
Simon Peter, see Peter
Simon the Pharisee entertains our Lord, 205
Simon Zelotes, 185
slave, parable of the ploughing, 322
soldiers, their question to John the Baptist, 135; special temptations of, 135; mockery of, at crucifizion, 392
Son of God, 97
Son of Man, meaning and use of the title, 168, 188; the coming of, 325, 326, 364
sower, parable of the, 212 ; its explanation, 216
зрarrows, 274
steward, parable of the unjust, 312; main lesson of, 313-315
superscription, on the Cross, 393
supper, the last, celebration of,
369 ; our Lord's preparations for, 368; "was the, an actual Passover?" 419-421
Sussma, ministers to our Lord, 212
swine, devils enter into, 224
synagogue, officers of, 149; Christ reads in, 149; ruler of, 225
synoptic Gospels, xiv; difference between them and that of St John, xvii; theory of resemblances to each other, xxiii, xix
table, Jews' method of sitting at, 206
Talmud, illustrations of St Luke derived from, 423-426
Tel Hum, ruins of synagogue at, 195
temple, presentation of Jesus in the, 116 ; final cleansing of the, by Jesus, 347; Christ prophesies destruction of, 359 ; stones and gifts of, 359; veil of, rent in twain, 396
temptation, the, of Christ, 141; supposed scene of, 141; how to be viewed, 142, 147; order of, in St Matthew, 144
Theophilus, dedication of St Luke's works to, xxviii, 85
Tiberius Caesar, 126, 146, 354
tolerance, lesson of, by our Savionr, 241
tombs, demoniac among the, 220
Trachonitis, a country of robbers, 127; its position, 127
transfiguration, the, 237 ; probable scene of, 237; circumstances attending, 238, 239; time of, 238
treasure-ehests in the temple, 358
trials of our Lord, the three, by the Jews, 378
tribute to Caesar, Christ questioned respecting, 352 ; Christ falsely accused of forbidding, 383
uncial manuscripts, table of, xix
veil of temple rent in twain at the Grucifixion, 396
versions, table of ancient, 1
vision of Ezekiel, xix
washing，custom of，among Jews， 268
widow，the importanate，328；the offering of the， 358
wine，new，into fresh bottles， 173 ， 174，416， 417
woes， 189
woman with issue of blood healed by our Lord，227；with spirit of infirmity healed by our Lord， 286
women，minister to our Lord，211； follow our Lord to Calvary， 389 ；at Crucifixion，397；go to zealots， some account of， 184 the sepulchre， 400
words of our Lord，the first re－ corded，409， 410
Wordsworth（ Bp ）on comparison of the Gospels to the Four Cheru－ bim of Ezekiel＇s pision，xx
world，gain of，and loss of soul， 236；end of，foretold， 360

Zacchaeus，the tax－gatherer， 389 Zacharias，the blood of， 271
Zacharins，vision of，90；is struck dumb，94；recovers his speech， 103

Zcbedee，social position of， 163

## II．GREEK．

äßuб品s，$\dot{\eta}, 223$
à $\gamma \mathbf{a} \theta \dot{\alpha}, \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha}, 101,277,319$
à ${ }^{2} \lambda \lambda \iota \hat{\sigma} \sigma \theta a i, 251$

аүаиактєі̂， 286
$\dot{\alpha} \gamma a \pi \hat{a} \nu, 269$
àүарешev， 389

а่วүитขєєิ， 365

$\dot{a} \gamma \omega \nu\{\zeta \in \sigma \theta a t, 289$
äd̄入os， 270
ఫ̆ $\delta \eta 5, \dot{\text { o }}, 250,318$
áeтós， 326
ásvua，тá， 368
d $\theta_{\text {ectiv }}$ 202， 251
alvos， 388
altrov， 384


ג́di0aptos， 155
áкатабтабla， 360


длєкторафишіа， 373
d入єирор， 288
$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \gamma, 403$
a $\lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ทे， 281

äд $\lambda_{0}$ ）（（ztepos， 199
$d \lambda \omega \nu, \dot{\eta}, 137$
$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \dot{\omega} \pi \eta \xi, \dot{\eta}, 291$
д́ $\mu а \rho т \omega \lambda о$ о́s，171，191，205，302， 332
$\dot{\text { á } \mu \pi є \lambda о и р ү о ́ s, ~} 285$
$\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi 广 \beta \lambda \eta \sigma \tau \rho о \nu, 160$

${ }^{a}$ á ，omission of，292， 338,363
$\ddot{a} \nu$, with optat．in indirect ques－ tions，102，181， 241
àvá，distributive，230，233， 248


d．vaүкdijelv， 299

$\dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\alpha} \delta \epsilon \epsilon \xi \iota s, 106$

dualdeın， 262
д̀ а а $\rho \in \hat{\epsilon} \hat{p}, 367$


ג’рак入ivetע，205，279， 290
дуаки́ттеL， 364


ávd $\mu \nu \eta \sigma t s, 371$
д̀д́таขбเs， 265
a＇vantérmeiv，382，384，385， 386
à $\nu \alpha \pi i \pi \tau \epsilon \nu, 205,268,293,322$ ， 369
«ٌ $\nu a \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \sigma \in \sigma \theta a c, 83$
ávaroג ${ }^{\prime}, 105,290$
àvaфaveî̀， 99
àveктós， 249
à $\nu \in \nu \delta \in \kappa т$ os， 320
ả $\nu \grave{\eta} \rho)$（ ă $\nu \theta \rho(\omega \pi$ os， $117,162,196$
$\dot{a} \nu \theta \circ \mu_{0} \lambda 0 \gamma \epsilon \hat{i} \sigma \theta a \iota, 120$
$\pi \nu \theta \omega \omega \pi \epsilon$ ，in displeased address， 276,379
àóntos， 403
ayota， 180
वутатбдора， 297


$\dot{\alpha}^{\prime} \nu \tau \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \alpha \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \leq, 101$
tuvepos， 265
$\ddot{\alpha} \nu \omega \theta \in \nu, 84$
$\dot{a} \pi \alpha \operatorname{lip} \in L \nu, 172$

$\dot{\dot{a} \pi} \pi$ 入ойs， 267
aंmó，different forces of，104， 110 ， $186,204,216,235,239,273$, $276,282,298,318,328,339$ ， $350,364,376,400,406$ ；in composition，189，191，219， 273,319

аттобекатойע， 269

aंтобь $\delta \dot{\prime} \nu a \iota, 207,283,312,354$
а́тодокица́єєн，235， 351

áтокаӨったтával， 180
aтокарадокіа， 364
а̇то入ацßа́ขєьข，319，336， 394
áто入и́є七v，117，192，316， 387
व่ $\pi 0 \sigma \pi ล ิ \nu, 375$
àто́бто入os， 182
атобтонатіॅєь， 272
ふтота́ббеเy，247， 301
ảno廿̛́XヒL, 364
ä $\rho a, 103,220,372$
apa， 329

גцьбтàv， 268
$\dot{\alpha} \rho \pi a \gamma \dot{\eta})(\pi \lambda \epsilon 0 \nu \in \xi\{a, 268$
áp $\alpha \in \sigma \theta a t, 201$
$\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \dot{\eta}, 275,353$
$\dot{\alpha}_{\omega} \chi \iota$ сел $\omega^{\prime} \mu \eta s, 339$
ápw $\alpha$ a， 399
ḋ ${ }^{2} \sigma$ кós，173， 416
$\dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi a \sigma \mu b s, 270,357$
$\dot{\mathbf{\alpha}} \sigma \sigma \dot{\alpha}^{\prime} \rho \iota \sigma y, 275$
$\dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \rho \dot{\alpha} \pi \tau \epsilon L \nu, 401$
d $\sigma \dot{\omega} \boldsymbol{\omega} \omega \mathbf{\omega s}, 305$

ä $\tau_{\rho}, 368$
äтотоs， 394
aù $\lambda$ т＇，265， 378


aűpıov，शे， 256
 $166,243,259,272,287,337$ ； emphatic，117，195，248， 339 ；
$=$ е̇кє̂̃̀os， $249,276,283,290$
ă． alatos，$^{4} 404$
व̈фє $\sigma \subset s, 105,150,407$
с́ф८£vai，167，209，261，292，336， 391
ảфレбтáyai，119，217， 290
áфорǐєсу，áфорь $\sigma$ о́s， 187
ádp $\omega \nu, 277,403$
ax $\rho \in \cos , 323$
ахироу， 137
阝a入入ápтtov，249， 373
$\beta a \sigma \alpha \nu l \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu, 221$
$\beta$ аотáそєเข，266，300， 369
ßátos， 313
$\beta \overline{0} \lambda v \gamma \mu a, 315$
$\beta \in e \lambda \zeta \in \beta \circ$ ú入， 264
阝táseıv， 316
$\beta$ ios ）（ jwri，276， 305

$\beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \phi \eta \mu i \alpha, 168$
$\beta \lambda \epsilon \pi \in \epsilon \nu, 192,208,276$


ßouvós，${ }^{2}, 130$
$\beta$ é́́qos，113，$^{233}$

Bpè $\epsilon t$ ，207， 208
$\beta \rho \cup \gamma \mu$ о́s， 290
$\beta \rho \hat{\mu} \mu, 133$

үајофи入а́кєov， 358

خáp，different forces of， 99,258 ， 282， 388
$\gamma \epsilon \epsilon \nu p a, 274$


$\gamma \in \nu \in \check{d}, 100,240,364$

үยє $\quad \eta \mu a, 131,277,371$

خiүvectal，262，284，285，372， 403
roryúsev， 170
б $\dot{\alpha} \mu \mu \alpha, 313,393$
خрациатєঠ́s，170，236，302，348， 367， 381

бaupóvıov，142，155，204，211， 221 ， 222，229， 251
$\delta \alpha i \mu \omega, 222$
סакри́єєy ）（ «入alєє，346， 380
ठа́ктидos $\theta \epsilon 0 \hat{u}, 265$
סaptเซtits， 207
$\delta \in \eta \sigma t s, 90,120,171$
סeктós，151， 152
$\delta \in$ Éớs， 90
$\delta \notin р \in \epsilon, 281,380$
$\delta є \sigma \pi$ бт $\boldsymbol{\tau}, 117$
$\delta \in \hat{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon, 348$
סеитеро́тритоя， 175
бךขdрєор，207，256， 353
бia，force of，in composition，103， 238，264， 319
$\delta \operatorname{ca\beta } \beta \lambda \lambda \epsilon i p, 312$
бıáßoдог，142，155， 216


Starpทropєī， 238
бเшөウィкท， 371
биaкоуеіу，141，157，212，279， 372
סıa久oүı $\sigma$ о́s，119，168，241， 406
$\delta \iota а \mu е р ь \mu \dot{\sigma}, 281$
бьашо́ $\not \mu \alpha, 264$
Stávota， 253
סнауиктєрєиєєp， 181

$\delta \iota a \sigma \epsilon \epsilon c$
дьабкортіңєу， 312
סนaбт $\rho \in \notin \epsilon \iota \nu, 240,383$
$\delta \iota a \tau l \theta \epsilon \sigma \theta a l, 372$
оиаф $є \in \iota \nu, 275$
סсд́áккалоs，xxii，135，207，227， 276，333， 353
$\delta \iota \epsilon \rho \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta a, 165,230,265$

Setotával， 408
бtкаєos，88，105，171，297，305， 352，396， 397
סıкаเо̂̂y，202，204，253， 332
סіктиәу， 160
Stopj́ $\sigma \epsilon \epsilon \nu, 280$
біхотонеір， 280
бокциіґєь้，282， 298
סоко́s，$\dot{\eta}, 192$
סox $\bar{\eta}, 170$
ऽ $\rho a \chi$ рин， 303
Swvaucis，al，250， 364
бúvaцчя，156，226， 229
סuváaтys， 101
Suvei， 157
бибßа́атактоs， 270
$\delta u \sigma \mu a l, 282,290$
${ }_{6}^{4}, 155$
éd,$=a y$ after rel．pron．in late Greek，200；with indic．，263， 345

єүєขєто，pleonastic，89，115，163， 176，243， 263


$\epsilon l$ ，with subj．，283；$=\epsilon \theta \epsilon, 281$ ；in dubious questions，288， 294 （with fut．tense，377）

$\epsilon \tau \nu a t,=$ praesto esse， $166,170,179$, 286
 210，227， 424
cis， $93,118,266,277,286,288$ ， 314， 365

$\dot{e} \kappa$ ，different forces of， 95,110 ， $132,139,263,319,349,385$

ย̈кабтоs ）（ $\pi$ âs， 193

 $263,347,351,375$
єкठ七кєцน， 328
ѐкбКкทбтs，329， 363
èкeí， 290

єккрє $\mu \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha \iota, 347$
є́к入є $\gamma \epsilon \iota, 239,295,329,392$
$\epsilon \kappa \lambda \epsilon 1 \pi \epsilon เ \nu, 314,373$

е́ктецрај̧єь，147， 253
$\epsilon \kappa \pi v \in \epsilon \iota v, 396$
$\epsilon \kappa \chi \epsilon \epsilon เ \nu, 271,371$

елє $\ddagger \mu \sigma \sigma$ úp, 269
є $\mu \pi$ aije $\quad 392$
$t \nu$ ，different forces of，136，155， 225

Évjo ${ }_{0}$ os，156， 201
̇̀v $\delta \dot{v} \in \sigma \theta a \mathrm{a}, 221,407$
є́vєХєєリ， 272
èvauross， 151
єукакє̂̀े， 327
tpóvra，тd́， 269
t̀vто入ai каो סó $\gamma \mu a \tau a, 88$
èvтós， 324
е $\nu \tau \rho \in \in \pi \in \sigma \theta a \iota, 328,351$
Є̀ $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ ब̈́tcov，88，145，275， 401
е $\xi a / \tau \in i v, 373$
є̇ $\xi a \sigma \tau \rho a \pi \tau \epsilon \iota \nu, 238$
Є乡єрхєの
$\dot{\epsilon} \xi \eta \gamma \in i \sigma \theta a c, 405$
EGグs，197， 239
E乡odos， 238

єॄouteveiv，330， 385
EGovala，229，251，275，349，353， 377

ѐ $\pi a \nu \dot{\gamma} \gamma \epsilon \omega, 161$
єтаратav́єьv， 249
єл $\epsilon\llcorner\delta \dot{\eta}, 194$
$\epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \partial \dot{\eta} \pi \epsilon \rho, 83$
ย $\pi \in \iota \sigma$ ย́ $p \chi \in \sigma \theta a \iota, 365$
$\nexists \pi \epsilon \chi \in \sqsubset, 295$


$230,256,282,304,356,390$ ；in composition，149，263，394， 399
є̇ $\pi \iota \beta \iota \beta \dot{c} \zeta \in \iota \nu, 255,344$
є $\pi l \beta \lambda \eta \mu a, 173$

$\epsilon \pi เ \delta \iota \delta \delta \mathrm{yat}, 263$
ध̇ $\pi \iota \kappa \rho!\nu \in t y, 388$

Є̇ $\pi$ เoú $\sigma \iota 0$ ， 260,417




モ̇ $\pi \downarrow \sigma \tau p \underline{́} \phi \in a \nu, 91,373$
ย̇ $\pi เ \sigma \chi$ vєเข， 384
$\epsilon \pi \iota \tau \iota \mu \hat{a} \nu, 220,321,338,345$

モ̇ $\pi\llcorner\phi \omega \nu \in \tilde{\nu}, 387$
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota ф$ む́бкєш， 399

є́pүaciav סьסóval， 283


tpıфos， 310

E $\sigma \chi a \tau a, \tau \alpha, 265$


158，211， 348

єن゙ธокє $ข \mathrm{v}, 139$
єи்бокыс，114， 252

cüetos， 247
єбкотоя， 168
ei入apis． 117
єúфорєіे， 276


$\dot{\epsilon} ф \eta \mu \epsilon \rho i a, 87$
є̇фьтта́vas，113，120，157，257， 349
є́фораи， 95
${ }^{\epsilon} \omega \mathrm{S}, 158,250,408$
súuq，273， 288
ईwypeiv， 162

§woyoyєiv， 326
\＃，after positive， 303
クך $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \mu \omega^{v}, 109,126,353$
ク̀入кка，125， 278
$\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon$ pal，325，346， 363


Aavuaatov， 156
$\theta \epsilon \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \theta_{\alpha u}, 169,201$
0 อАєเ， 357


ө刀реи́єи， 272

өро́ $\mu$ ßоя， 376
$\theta u \gamma d \tau \eta \rho$ ，term of address，227， 390
буріаца， 90
＊úpa，ì $\sigma \tau \epsilon \bar{\eta}, 289$
बvalaбти́piov， 90

i $\delta$ oú， $93,102,113,290,325$
＇Iepou $\sigma$ 人 $\eta$＇$\mu$ ，form generally used by St Luke，not＇I $\boldsymbol{I}_{\rho} \rho \sigma \dot{\sigma} \lambda \nu \mu a$ ， 292
＇Inooùs， 96


i $\mu \mathrm{d} \mathrm{s}, 136$
íáricov，133，190， 221
iva，with subj．，$=$ ace．and infin．， $99,143,205,257$ ；with graphio subj．，180，333；after $0 \in \lambda \omega, 191$ ； $=u t, 321$ ；with fut．indic．， 350
lı a $\mu \hat{\eta},=5 \tau_{\iota}$ oú，215；216，241， 376 i $\mu \mathrm{a} \boldsymbol{i}, 285$
ivárүèos， 355
＇Ібкари＇̈́ $7 \mathrm{~s}, 184,185$

l $\sigma \omega \mathrm{s}, 351$
каӨacpeiv，101， 277
$\kappa \propto \theta \varepsilon \xi \hat{\xi} \hat{\mathrm{s}}, 85$
$\kappa \approx \theta о \pi \lambda(\zeta \epsilon \in, 265$
ка $\theta \dot{\text { б }}, \mathbf{8 8}$

кal，adversative，204；emphatic， 200，210，251，275，335，362， 585，398；omitted， 89 ；para－
tactic，163，166；pleonastic， 116，176， 198
каì $\gamma \dot{a} \rho, 103,374$
кai $\gamma \in, 346$
кail $1 \delta 00$ ，198，225， 290
кацроі $\epsilon \theta \nu \omega \nu, 363$
$\kappa а \lambda \in \hat{\epsilon} \tau \theta a u,=\epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu a, 96,116$
кá $\mu \eta \lambda$ доs， $\mathbf{3} 35$
Kavapitqs， 183
кардіа $=ф \rho \dot{7} \nu, 103,280,315,405$
$\kappa \alpha, \delta \delta \sigma \gamma \nu \dot{\sigma} \sigma \mathrm{ms}, 315$
ка́рфоя，$\tau 6,192$
кaтd，different forces of，81，213， $230,231,234,236,242,249,254$ ， $260,265,288,386$ ；in compo－ sition，137，228，254，257，265，
349，376， 399
катаß८ $\beta d$ ¢єєv， 250
катак入ipєб $\theta a t, 205$
катакр $\eta \mu \nu i \zeta \epsilon ь, 153$

ката入́́єty， 340
катддлиа，112，256，340， 369
$\kappa \kappa \tau a \pi \epsilon \tau \alpha \sigma \mu a, 396$
катарүदì， 286

катабкұขойข， 288
катабкฑ่ขшбєs，246， 288
катабф̣́うєь， 343
$\kappa а \tau \alpha \phi \downarrow \epsilon \bar{\omega}, 207,209,308,376$
кат $\epsilon \rho \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta a, 154$
кат $\eta \chi \epsilon \overline{1}, 85$
кай́ $\omega \nu, 282$
кєраіа， 316
ксра́исоу， 369
кє́радоя， 167
ке́pas б $\omega$ т $\eta$ plas， 104
кєра́тוоу， 307
$\kappa \lambda a l \epsilon \iota p)(\delta a x p u ́ \epsilon \iota, 346,380$
$\kappa \lambda \epsilon i s \tau \hat{\bar{T}} \delta \gamma \omega \omega \sigma \epsilon \omega \mathrm{~s}, 271$
$\kappa \lambda$＜$\beta$ avoy， 278

$\kappa \lambda \iota \sigma i a, 233$
ко $\delta \rho \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \eta \mathrm{q}, 275$
кои $\omega \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ о́s， 162
колєфі $\zeta \in \tau, 380$
коллаг， 306
кӧ $\lambda \pi$ тоs，192， 318

коу 七орто́s， 230

ко́ттєбөat，227， 390
ко́pos， 313
ко́б先оs）（aláv， 355
ко́фıиоя， 233
крд́ßßатоя， 167
кра̧́єцц， 345
краєт ${ }^{\prime} \lambda \eta, 364$
Kpavlov， 391
$\kappa р а ј \pi \pi \epsilon \delta о \nu, 226$
кра́тьттє，an official title， 85
$\kappa \rho \alpha v \gamma \grave{\eta})(\phi \omega \nu \dot{\eta}, 99$
крұ $\mu \nu$ бs， 223
$\kappa \rho l \mu a, 358$
$\kappa \rho \nu \pi \tau \dot{\eta}, 267$
кт $\hat{\alpha} \sigma \theta a \iota, 331,362$
Kúpuos，anarthrous，＝（a）Jehovah， 91，113，130，253；（b）＇Sir，＇ $162,195,286,326,338:$ with article，$=(a)$＇Iクбoús，198， 199, $203,257,280,321,340$ ；（b） Dominus，299，313， 315
Kúplos＇In $\sigma 0 \hat{u}_{5}, 490$

Аа́fapos， 317
$\lambda a i \lambda a \psi, 220$
入ak $\epsilon u \tau$ bs， 398
$\Lambda_{\epsilon \gamma \iota} \omega_{\nu}, 222$
$\lambda \epsilon l \pi \epsilon l v, 334$
入etroup
$\lambda_{\epsilon \pi \tau б \nu, ~ 283, ~}^{359}$
入ños， 401
$\lambda \eta \sigma \tau \eta$ s，254，347， 377
$\lambda_{\text {ккцай }}, 352$
$\lambda_{\iota \mu}{ }^{\prime}$ s，$\stackrel{\grave{7}}{ }, 153,306,361$
入о́ ооя，84，199，257，312，349， 364
入оцрбя， 361
入uбเтєлєip， 320
入útp $\omega \sigma \iota s, 104,120$
щака́рьos，100，186，187，252，266， 390
นакроӨицєі̂ע， 329
$\mu a \mu \omega \nu a ̄ s, \dot{\text { oे，}} 314$
$\mu d \sigma \tau \nu \xi, 200$
$\mu \Theta \theta \eta, 364$
$\mu \in \lambda \lambda o \nu, ~ \epsilon i s ~ \tau \delta, 286$
$\mu \in \nu \circ \hat{\nu}, 266$
$\mu \in р ц \mu \nu \alpha, 217,364$
$\mu є р с \mu \nu a ̄ v, 257,275,278$

INDEX II．
$\mu \epsilon \rho / s, 258,424$
$\mu$ ерtoтทj＇s， 276
นебоуи́ктіо， 261
$\mu \epsilon \tau$ d，different forces of， 242,256
нетávана， 303
нетєшріईєь， 279
$\mu$ е́тохоs， 161
$\mu \dot{\eta}$ ，the subjective negative，with participles， $93,193,286,328$ ， 373；causal，123，207，239， 280 ；conditional， 132 ；followed by indicative， 267 ；$=$ num ？ $172,192,250,263,322$
$\mu 力 \ldots \delta t,=$ nolite tam．．．．quam po－ tius，251， 297

$\mu$ गो $\phi 0 \beta \circ \hat{v}, 90,162$
$\mu \eta \nu \dot{\iota}$ м， 356
$\mu \tau \epsilon \bar{\omega}, 300$
$\mu \nu \hat{a}, 342$
$\mu \nu \eta \sigma \tau \in \dot{́} \epsilon น \nu, 81,95$


нирог，206， 399
$\mu$ ขสтйคเov， 215
עeocots， 116
шодькбя，253，270， 294
ขоиобє $\delta \dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa а \lambda о s, 166$
ขoovía， 292
ขขрфф́т， 172
đóviây，123，318， 319

оікобєбпбт $\boldsymbol{\eta}, 280,299,369$
оікор ноя，212，280， 312
olкоu $\mu \varepsilon \nu \eta, \dot{\eta}(\mathrm{sc} . \gamma \hat{\eta}), 109,145,364$
оінототทร， 204
$\dot{\delta} \mu \mathrm{o} \omega_{\mathrm{s}}$ ）（ $\dot{\omega} \sigma a \hat{u} \tau \omega_{\mathrm{s}}, 285$
б $\left.\nu \epsilon \delta \delta \zeta_{\zeta \epsilon \iota \nu}\right)(\beta \lambda a \sigma \phi \eta \mu \epsilon \hat{\nu}, 394 ; 187$
Øу о $о$ а $\theta \epsilon 0$ ，Hebr．periphrasis for $\theta \epsilon \sigma$ s，101， 260
8505， 392
оттабіа， 94
ö $\pi \omega \bar{a} \boldsymbol{a}, 119$
do $\rho \dot{\eta}, 131,363$
б $\rho \in\llcorner\nu \dot{\eta}, \dot{\eta}($ sc．$\chi \dot{\omega} \rho a), 98$

дрориио， 403

©́p日ज̂s， 208

ö́cos ）（ ठóккатos， 105
ö́cts，qualitative，98，113，258， 387；used in late Greek for ôs， $110,205,207,399$
öre，with subj．without âv， 292
ov， $97,262,328$ ；with participle， 193
ov $\mu \dot{\eta}, 91,218,251,292,362$ ， 364，370， 381
oupavoi，oi，139，260， 364
oúros，contemptuous，151，168， $207,309,310,331,342,359$ ， 383,392 ；different forces of， 98，143，219，241，311，321， 326，372，393， 406
dфри́s， 153

$\pi a \gamma 15,365$
табоєієєц， 386
тais，104，122，194， 196
$\pi а \nu \delta о \chi \in \tilde{o \nu}, 112,256$
$\pi а у \delta o \chi \in$ ús， 256
mapoupү 1,353
таутe入t́s，$\epsilon l s \tau o ́, 286$
mapd，different forces of，81，97， $249,284,332,335,340$ ；in composition， $237,241,257$, 269，298， 336
тара $\beta о \lambda \uparrow, 152,213$
тара́סенбоs， 395
тари́do o̧os，156， 169

таракали́ттєцу， 241
тара́кл $\eta \sigma \iota s, 117$
таракодоиөєї， 84
тара入úecy， 168
тарабкєшท̆，398， 419
тарат $р е \hat{\imath} \nu, 179,293,324,352$
$\pi$ apt $\rho \chi \in \sigma \theta a 1,269,364$
тароккеิे， 402
т $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \chi a$, тó， $366,369,370$
Пaгर्थ，124，260， 396
Пatpós $\mu 0 v$, ॄे $^{\nu}$ toîs тồ，123， 409
тєбıvós， 185
$\pi \epsilon \rho t \in \chi \epsilon เ \nu, 162$
$\pi \in \rho \iota \zeta \dot{\omega} \nu \nu \sigma \theta a i, 279$
тєрькри́ $\beta$ еьш， 94
$\pi \in \rho \iota \pi l \pi \tau \epsilon \epsilon \nu, 254$
$\pi є р \iota \pi a \downarrow, 257$
$\pi є \rho \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \dot{\alpha}, 139$

тиүаиоу， 269
$\pi \hat{\eta} \gamma \mu a, 389$
$\pi \eta \rho a, 229$
$\pi \iota \nu a k l \delta l o v, 102$
$\pi \lambda \epsilon о \nu \epsilon \xi l a, 268,276$
$\pi \lambda$ пиц $\mu \nu а, 193$
$\pi \lambda \lambda_{\eta \nu}^{\prime}, 189,191,249$
$\pi \lambda \eta \rho \circ \hat{\nu}, 120,130,189,194,363$ ， 370
$\pi \lambda_{\eta} \rho \circ \phi о р \in \hat{\nu}, 83$
$\pi \lambda u ̈ \nu \in \iota, 160$
$\pi \nu \in \hat{\jmath \mu a})(\psi v \chi \bar{\eta}, 100 ; 141,222$, $240,245,405$
тoteì， 343
$\pi о<\mu \nu<о \nu, 279$

$\pi \rho a \gamma \mu a \tau \epsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \in \sigma \theta a i, 342$
$\pi р а ́ к т \omega \rho, 283$
трақєs， 397
$\pi \rho \alpha ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu, 135,343,372,394$
трєб३итєрьод， 381
трєбви́тєроя，194，236， 349

$\pi \rho \circ \theta \in \sigma \in \omega s$, й $\rho \tau$ о $\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s}, 178$
$\pi р а к б л т є є \nu, 125,130$
троиелєтầ， 361
$\pi p d_{s}$ ，force of，in composition， 226 ， 342， 387
$\pi \rho \circ \sigma \delta{ }^{\prime} \neq \chi \in \sigma \theta a \iota, 117,120,302,398$ ， 423
$\pi$ роббокlo， 364
$\pi \rho a \sigma \epsilon \cup \chi \dot{\eta}, 181$

$\pi \rho \dot{\sigma} \sigma \omega \pi$ ои $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta a \nu \in \iota, 353$
 308
трчтокаөєб̈ 1 а，269， 357
трктокльбla， 296
$\pi \rho \omega т$ д́токоя， 111
$\pi \tau \epsilon \rho \tilde{\gamma}^{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} \mathrm{LO}, 146$
ттовiv，360， 405
$\pi \tau$ úov， 136
$\pi \tau \hat{\omega} \mu a)(\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a, 326$
$\pi \tau \omega \sigma \tau \operatorname{s}, 118$
$\pi u \lambda \omega \nu, 317$

442
ค̀ $\bar{\eta} \gamma^{\prime} \mu, 193$

poнфala， 119
ри́ $\mu \eta, 299$
púvis， 22 á

$\sigma a \rho 0$ ûv，265， 304
odutoy， 288
бquєî̀v，156，264， 361

olay ${ }^{\text {onv，}} \boldsymbol{\eta}, 190$
$\sigma \iota \gamma \hat{a} \nu)(\sigma t \omega \pi a ̂ y, 327$
$\sigma \kappa \kappa \in a_{,}$т́， 91
$\sigma \omega \delta \dot{\omega} \nu, \dot{\eta}, 398$

битоде́трьор， 280
$\sigma \downarrow \omega T \hat{\omega} \nu)($ к $\omega$ фós， 93,94
＂）（ $\sigma$ रầ， 327
जкdv8a入ov，201， 320
бкє $\mathbf{v o s}, 217,326$

okıdे Gavácov， 105
бкцртã̀， 99
$\sigma к о \rho \pi /$ Sецу 265
бкотla， 273
akvopartbs， 402
бкі̂̀ $\lambda, \tau a ́, 265$
$\sigma \kappa u ́ \lambda \lambda e \tau \nu, 196,227$
oopós，$\dot{\eta}, 198$
бovóápwo 343
ooфla tỗ $\theta \in o u ̂, \dot{\eta}, 204,271$
$\sigma \pi a p a \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota, 156$
बтap $\quad$ avoiv，111， 113
बтin＇גazoy， 347
$\sigma \pi \lambda \dot{\gamma} \gamma \chi \nu a, \tau \dot{\alpha}, 105$
$\sigma \pi \lambda a \gamma \chi \chi \zeta \in \sigma 0 \mathrm{a}, 198,255,308$
$\sigma$ то́рьца，т $\alpha, 176$
$\sigma \pi u \rho k s, 284$

$\sigma \tau \alpha \chi$ us， 176

$\sigma \tau 0 \lambda \eta$ ทे，市 т $\rho \dot{\prime} \tau \eta, 308$

бтрат $\epsilon \dot{v} \epsilon \theta a_{1}, 135$
oтpartyoss， 368
$\sigma т p a r \operatorname{do}$ ovpazoû， 113
ธT pou日tov， 274
$\sigma \tau p \omega \nu \nu$ úvai， 369
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$\sigma u \gamma к \geq p i a, 254$
бuка́，$\mu v o s, \dot{\text { nे，}} 321$
биконоре́а， 340
$\sigma$ ккофаутi¢६ıy，135， 340

$\sigma v \mu \pi \lambda \eta \rho o \hat{v}, 220,243$
$\sigma \nu \mu \pi \nu l$ үє $\nu, 217,225$
$\sigma \nu \mu ф \omega \nu \in i ิ \nu, 173$
б $\mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \omega \boldsymbol{i} 1 a, 309$
oúv，different forces of，242， 403
avye $\delta \rho \iota o v, 381$
$\sigma \nu \nu \in \nu \delta 0 к \in \hat{\nu} \nu, 270$
quvé $\chi \in w, 157,224,281,346$
бuve入au， 352
oupoxín， 368
$\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a)(\pi \tau \hat{\omega} \mu \alpha, 326,400$
быцатько́s， 139

бwTท＇p，бwrmpla， 113
$\sigma \omega T \mathfrak{\eta}_{\hat{\prime}} \mathrm{LOy}, \tau 0,118,131$
таиє $10 \boldsymbol{\circ}, 273$
те́кעov，in address，311， 319
тє入єtoûp，122， 291
$\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon[\omega \sigma \iota s, 100,291$
тenos，els， 328
тє $\hat{\lambda}^{\prime} \nu \eta ร, 134,302$
$\tau \in \lambda \omega_{\nu} 10 \nu, 169$
т $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \rho \mathrm{pas}, 156$
$\tau \in \tau \rho a \rho \chi \in i ̃, \tau \in \tau \rho \alpha \rho \chi \eta \mathrm{~s}, 126$
Ti nuî̀（épol）кal ool；155， 222
тіллеเ, 176
tis，various uses of，156，207， $220,309,312,338,342,372$ ； $\tau i_{2}=$ relative quod， 322
tónos， 343
то́тоя，112．150，180́，296，299， 391
тра́тєца， 343
$\tau \rho \hat{\eta} \mu a \quad \beta \epsilon \lambda$ о́ $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ s，327， 335
т $\rho \cup \gamma \omega \dot{\nu}, \dot{\eta}, 116$
трифй， 201
тúxท， 254
$\dot{u} \beta \rho(\zeta \in \omega)$（ $\quad \partial \nu \epsilon \omega \bar{\partial} \zeta \varepsilon \iota \nu, 270$
iүцаโреш，171， 197
 viòs тố д̀ $\downarrow \theta$ ри́nov，168，188； 337，365， 381
vids＇ A Яpad́, 341 ；ol viol $\tau 0 \hat{\mathrm{u}}$ al̂̀vos

таữov, 314, 355, 425; viol $\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s}$ фрорi $\mu \omega \mathrm{s}, 313$


vi. ن४ $\psi$ lotou, 96, 191

ท่тธ่ำยу, 248, 282
ілатаитi, 116

и่т $\ell \rho$, redundant after comparative, 314
ขंт $\eta \rho \in \neq \tau \eta s, 84,151$
ข่локрттทㄴ, 270, 287
$\dot{\text { ú } \pi о \lambda а \mu \beta а ́ \nu е ц \nu, ~} 208$

ن่тобтрєфє $\varphi, 101,397,399,401$

$\dot{v} \pi \omega \pi i d j \in L, 328$

『 $\psi$ เoros, $=$ Jehovah, $96,191,222$
фá $\mathbf{\gamma \in \sigma} 0 \mathrm{at}, 322$
фа́ $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ о, 204
фápaŗ, 130
фа́три, 111, 287
$\phi$ о́vev, 265
фьлоуєккla, 372
$\phi$ ф $о$ и̂̃, 156
$\phi \dot{\beta} \beta \eta \tau \rho o p, 361$
фо́pos, 353, 363
фраүиós, 299
фро́и $\quad \sigma$ тs, 92

филєо́́, 246
фढиеі̀, 214, 297, 373, 396
$\chi^{a ́ p a \xi}, \dot{j}$ and $\dot{\eta}, 346$

रapts, 151, 208
харітой, 96
גєip Kuplou, 103
$\chi^{\iota \tau} \dot{\prime} \nu, 133,190,221,229$
रортd乡ec, 187
X $\rho$ ơtós, $\dot{\delta}, 158,166,357,381$, 394, 403

X $\quad$ ūtòs Kuptos, 113

$\chi \omega \dot{\rho} a, 276,363$
$\psi$ ұлафа̄р, 406
$\psi v \chi \eta, 180,236,298,300,375 ;$
) $\pi \nu \in \tilde{\mathrm{T}} \mu \mathrm{a}, 100$
$\psi \omega \chi \epsilon \omega, 177$
©s, 139
$\dot{\omega} \sigma a u ́ t \omega s$ )( $\dot{d} \mu \mathrm{o}=\mathrm{\omega s}, 285$
$\tilde{\omega}_{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon$, with infir. to express intended result, 153, 244, 352

## Cambrioge:
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[^0]:    ${ }^{3}$ By euphony for godspel, as gossip for godsip, and gossamer for godsummer. The word seems to have acquired its currency from Wyclif's translation. On the title "New Testament" see note on xxii. 20 .

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Holtzmann in Schenkel, DibeL-Lexicon, s v. Evangelien; and Ebrard in Herzog, s.v. Harmonie. I am not aware of any earlier use of the word "Synopsis," as applied to a tabular view of the first three Gospels, than Georgii Sigelii Synopsis historiae Jes. Christi quemadmodum Matthaeus, Marcus, Latcas descripsere in forma tabulae proposita. Noribergae. 1585. Folio.
    ${ }^{2}$ Westeot, Introd. to the Study of the Gospels, p. 179.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ Bp. Mursh, On Michaelis, v. 317.
    ${ }^{2}$ Reuss. St Luke and St Mark agree most in the Galilean, and least in the Judean scene of the narrative. Mostly their agreement is in short "words of the Lord" with the context that leads to them. Bat the agreement of St Luke with St Matthew is often for several consecutive sentences. To give the passages and details would occupy too much space. They are adduced in several critical editions, and are sometimes noticed in the notes. It is not often that both St Lnke and St Matthew contain passages omitted by St Mark (e.g. the Lost Sheep, Matt. xwii. 12-14; Lk. xy. 4-7, and compare Matt. viii. 5 sq ., xxii. 1 sq . with Lk. vii. 1 sq., xiv. 15 sq .).
    a Some writers think that the Gospel of St Lake was written as early as Ad. 60, during St Paul's imprisonment at Caesarea. The subject is not one on which positive certainty can be attained; but the absence of any direct reference to this Gospel in the Epistles of the Captivity and the Pastoral Epistles, and the comparatively late date at which it is authoritatively recognised by name as canonical, make it more probable that it was not published till after the death of St Puul.

[^3]:    ${ }^{2}$ The Mishna was similarly transmitted by memory for at least two centuries, and the Jewish scribes of this age were on that account called Tanaim or "repeaters" (from tanah the Chaldee form of the Hebrew shanah). They were succeeded about A.D. 220, by the Amoraim, or Recorders.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ Aug. De Consens. Evang. I.
    ${ }^{2}$ Greet Test., The Four Gospels, p. xli.

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ It should be carefully borne in mind that these characteristies are merely general and relative. It is not meant that the Evangelists represent our Blessed Lord exclusively, but only predominantly, under the aspects here mentioned. It must not be supposed that any one of the Evangelists wrote with a deliberate subjective bias. They dealt with facts not theories, and in no way modified those facts in the interests of any special view. It is only from the grouping of those facts, and from the prominence given to particular incidents or expressions throughout the several Gospels, that we deduce the ruling conceptions of the inspired writers.
    ${ }^{2}$ v. vi. vii. ${ }^{3}$ x. ${ }^{4}$ xiii. ${ }^{5}$ xviii,
    ${ }^{6}$ xxiii. xxiv. xxv. This predominance of discourses has however no bearing on the term Logia ('oracles') upplied by Papias to the Gospel of St Matthew.

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ Jer. De Virr. Ill. 7.
    ${ }^{2}$ But although he may have been gathering materials for his Gospel at Cresarea (A.D. 54) there is good reason to believe that it was not published till a later date. The general tone of the Gospel-e.g. the use of aútòs and of of Kúpos (see notes on i. 17, x. 1, xi. 39, xxiv. 3), when speaking of Christ-indicate a later time in the rapid development of early Christianity than we should infer from the tone of the other synoptists. This would however be partly accounted for by the fact that St Luke as a Gentile proselyte, belonged in point of feeling even more than in point of time to a later generation of Ohristians than the original A postles.

[^7]:    12 Tim. iv. 11.
    ${ }^{2}$ Dr Plumptre, in the Expositor (No. xx. 1876), has collected many traces of St Luke's medical knowledge (cf. Acts iii. 7, ix. 18, x. 9, 10, xii. 23 , xx. 31, xxvi. 7 , xxviii. 8 ; Lk. iv. 23, xxii. 44, dc.), and even of its possible influence on the language of St Paul.

[^8]:    ${ }^{1}$ Renan, Les Evangiles, p. 283.
    2 "Thou hast an ear for angel songs,
    A breath the Gospel trump to fill, And taught by thee the Chureh prolongs

    Her hymns of high thanksgiving still."-Keble.
    ${ }^{s}$ See Maurice, Unity of the New Testament, p. 236.

    + Westcott, Introd. to Gospels, p. 354.
    ${ }^{5}$ See infra.

[^9]:    1 See Van Oosterzee, Introd. p. 4. The Docetae were an ancient heretical sect who denied the true humanity of Christ, and treated His human life as a mere illusory semblance.

[^10]:    ${ }^{1}$ The word yuvì occurs nearly as often in St Luke as in both the other Synoptists put together.
    ${ }^{2}$ The word Ebionite is derived from the Hebrew Ebion, "poor." The Ebionites were Jewish Christians who maintained the eternal validity of the Jewish law, and the Messiahship but not the Divinity of Christ. They gradually dwindled into a sect on the shores of the Dead Sea. See Uhlhorn in Herzog's Real Encycl. s.v.

[^11]:    1 The whole section is sometimes, but inadequately, called the Gnomology, or "collection of moral teaching."

[^12]:    I St Luke's genealogy of our Lord falls into eleven sevens, as St Mathew's into three fourteens.

[^13]:    'See the writer's Life of St Paul. I. ch. Vi.

[^14]:     De Wette, Bleek) render it not as in $A$. V. 'surely believed,' but 'which have been fulfilled,' 'Ihave found their accomplishment;' (Vulg. quae in nobis completae sunt) but the analogous uses of the same Greek verb in Rom. iv. 21, xiv. 5, and 2 Tim. iv. 17, and especiaily of the substantive $\pi \lambda$ ypo oopla in I Thess. i. 5, Heb. vi. 11, x. 22, support the English version. This is the meaning given to the word by Theophylact,

[^15]:    "Aßlá 1 Chr. xxiv. 10, "the eighth [lot came forth] to Abijah." This was not one of the four families which had returned, but the name was soon revived (Neh. xii. 4). Josephus tells us that he himself enjoyed tho high distinction of belonging by birth to the first of the twenty-four courses (Vit. I.).

[^16]:    tytueto...kal. See note on ii. 15. The paratactic (comp. vs. 17) arrangement of the sentence again points to an Aramaic original.
    $\mathbf{\alpha}^{3} v \dot{\lambda} \rho \pi \lambda \eta \eta^{\prime} \eta \eta_{s} \lambda \epsilon \pi \rho a s$. The hideous and hopeless nature of this disease-which is nothing short of a foul decay, arising from the total corruption of the blood-has been too often described to need further notice. See Lev. xiii., xiv. It was a living death, as indicated by bare head, rent clothes, and covered lip. In the middle ages, a man seized with leprosy was "clothed in a shroud, and the masses of the dead sung over him." In its horrible repulsiveness it is the Gospel type of Sin. The expression "full of" implies the rapid development and horror of the disease; when the man's whole body was covered

[^17]:    ovvelaid

[^18]:    1 Some authorities maintain that Zerubbabel was the grandson of Shealtiel, and that we have six sons of Bhealtiel in 1 Chron. iii, 18.

[^19]:    ${ }^{1}$ For the namer in which these tesseradecads are arranged the student must refer to commentaries on St Matthew.

[^20]:    1 So called from the Latin wrord levir, 'b brother-in-law.'

[^21]:    ${ }^{1}$ The root is sk, found also in skin.

