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Page 1o, line 1. See Abbott, Notes on N.T. Criticism, p. 1040 for passages in Origen and Clement of Alex. in which rapolvor are applied to men, and in Ignatius (Smyrn. 13) to widows. But nothing that he aays can explain the application of a merd puvauxề oik ipolíventay to women.

Page 27, line 9. For "Only the faithful . . . fith seal" read "The faithful suffer physical martyrdom, but their prayers become an instrument of wrath in the hands of God." See vol. ii. 403, note 2.

Page 49, line 7 ab imo. Transpose the subject-matter of the fifth and sixth beatitudel. See vol. ii. 445, note z .

Page 72, line 3 ab imo. Delets "Again we should expect кattret . . . iii. ax." Our author only uses the participle of кaß̂̄̄өas, but his sources (xvii. 9, 15, xvii. 7) use the pres ind. In the LXX the pres and imperf. forms of ca0tios have dis-
 Thackeray, Gram. 271 sq.

Page 75, line 8. After "first" add "either in Dan. iv. 34
 or (rather in the pre-Maccabean section of I Enoch-wee my second edition, p. lii sq.)."

Page 90, lines 25-27. Delete "medonpar . . . iii. 21 ; and." See note above on p. 72, L. 3.

Page 168. On the twelve precious stones see British Museum Gwide to . . . Minerals mentioned in the Bible, 191 r.

Page 203, line 4 ab ima. The line "[mal $\lambda$ iycu . . . alowr] should not be bracketed, but read immediately before $x x i .6{ }^{\text {b }}-8$. See vol. ii. 379, 444, where it is restored to its right place.

Page 219, line 3 ab imo. After "world" add " of the living." Christ judges the living: God Himself judges the dead according to our author's view.

Page 234, line 18. Add " Where no reading of Tyc is quoted, Tyc agrees with vg ."

Page 234, line 20. Add "From $20^{1}$ to $21^{8}$ (nova fucio omnia) Pr in his comm. has copied verbatim the work of Augustine (de Civ. Dei, xx. 7-17), retaining Augustine's Italian text. In the App. Crit. these verses are quoted without any mark of distinction.

Page 240, ver. $\mathbf{x 1}$, line 2. After 2020 : add $\eta \times$ voono 18 I :
" " " II, " 11. For post ©varap. pon read tr after ©varep. Similarly elsewhere.

Page 256, ver. 7 , line 30. Delete ( + кau ovicar avouka $\mathrm{Or}^{\prime \prime}$ ), and Or in next line. Ort conflates A 025 and 046 here. See vol. i. p. clxxvi.

Page 279, ver. 2, lines 5, 8, 9. Delete et אo. Similarly elsewhere.

Page 288, ver. 12, line 11. Delete Or. Or' conflates 046 al

 Ax 025).

# THEREVELATION OF ST. JOHN. 

## CHAPTER XIV.

Introduction.

## 8 1. Character and Object of this Chapter.

The entire chapter is proleptic in character. That is, the orderly development of future events as set forth in the successive visions is here, as in two sections heretofore, abandoned, and all the coming judgments from xvi. 17 to xx .7 -10, are summarized in xiv. 6-11, 14, 18-20. To this summary is prefixed a short description of the blessed (who are identical with the 144,000 in vii. 4-8) in the Millennial Kingdom established on the earth with Mount Zion as its centre, xiv. 1-5. Thus we have in this chapter a general introduction to xvi. $17-\mathrm{xx}$. $\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{IO}$. It is therefore of the nature of an intermesso.

The object of xiv. is to encourage the faithful to endurance in the face of impending universal martyrdom. Hence in xiv. 1-5 the veil is lifted for a moment from the future and to the Seer is disclosed a vision of the martyrs enjoying the blessedness of the Millennial Kingdom on Mount Zion in attendance on their Lord. We have here a later stage of their blessedness than that depicted in vii. 9-17, where the martyrs are represented as having arrived or arriving in heaven straight from the scene of martyrdom. See Chapter vii., Introduction, \& 10.

But the faithful are further encouraged to endurance and loyalty by the proclamation of the doom of the proud antichristian power, xiv. 8-11, and of all the heathen powers whether confederate with it or not, xiv. 14, 18-20.

This chapter ${ }^{2}$ thus contains three visions, which are all proleptic.

1 xiv. 12-13 belong to xiii., and are read inmediately after adii. 18 in this edition. They are from the hand of our author.

```
YOL 11.-I
```

1．xiv．1－5．Vision of the glorified martyrs with the Lamb on Mount Zion during the Millennial reign．Cf．xx．4．The greater part of xiv．4－5 is interpolated．

2．xiv．6－1r．Vision of the judgment on the antichristian Roman Empire and its adherents and their everlasting torment． Cf．xvi．17－xviii．

3．xiv．14，18－20．Vision of the judgment executed by the Son of Man on the heathen nations．Cf．xix． $11-21$ and $\times x .7-10($ ？）． The paragraph xiv．15－17 is an interpolation．See pp．19－21．
§ 2．This chapter，with the exception of certain interpolations （cf．xiv．4－5，15－17），is from the hand of our author；for（ 1 ）the order of the words is Hebraic：（2）the diction and style are decidedly his．

The first question needs no discussion ：the fact is so obvious． Hence we shall limit our consideration to（2）．
（2）The diction is that of our author except in xiv．15－17， which in the first place is a doublet of xiv．14， $18-20$ and in the next exhibits three constructions，which are against the usage of


 $\theta \in \dot{\psi} \cdot \ddot{a} \mu \omega \mu o i ́ c i \sigma \iota \nu$ seems to be original．The interpolations in those verses are，however，in the style of our author，except， perhaps，ömov ăv vimáy in xiv．4，but the thought conveyed is wholly against the context．

I will now enumerate some of the phrases characteristic of our author，though not，except in a few cases，peculiar to him．



 we have the familiar Hebrew idiom reproduced already in i．5－6，
 dvámiov tồ Opóvov ；cf．iv．5，6，etc．4．The use of ámapx̀＝ ＂sacrifice，＂though not found elsewhere in our author，is in keep－ ing with his frequent use of Greek words in the same sense as in the LXX．6．The asyndetic addition of the clause ${ }^{\mu} \mu \omega \mu o^{\prime}$ elotv （cf．xvi．6）．6．пeтduevov iv $\mu$ ecouparifuatr．Already in viii． 13.
 cf．$x$ 7．The enumeration mã̀ évos кal фu入ìv кт入．（see note on v．9）and the grammatical irregularity $\lambda$ éruv in 7 instead of גtyoura are both characteristic of his style．7．入êwy dy \＄wwin $\mu e \gamma^{d} \lambda \eta$ ．Cf．xiv．9．iv is used also before $\phi \omega v \hat{\eta} \mu \kappa \gamma$ ．after к $\eta \rho_{0}{ }^{-}-$ ocuv（v．2），but after крá̧cuv only in passages from another hand （xiv．15，xviii．2）．On the other hand it is absent after גéyev in this phrase（ $\mathbf{\text { V．12，viii．13），after крá̧al（vi．10，vii．2，10，x．3），}}$
after фwveiv (xiv. 18). Hence our author varies in his use of this phrase in connection with $\lambda$ é $\gamma$ cu. See note on $x .2$.





 cf. iv. 10, vii. 11 , note, xi. 16, xix. 10, xxii. 9 , whereas it takes the acc. of rò Anpiov in 9-in both respects exhibiting our author's

8. olvou toó $\theta$ uддut tîs mopreias. So xviii. 3. See note in lor.
 xiv. 11, xix. 20, xx. 4. But we should probably rcad rò $\chi^{\text {ápay }}$. See note in loc. $\quad\langle\pi i$ toû $\mu \in \tau \underset{\pi}{\pi}$ ou. We should most probably read $\ell \pi i$ rò $\mu$ ér $\omega \pi \sigma v$, since the context clearly comes from our author's hand. See note on vii. 3. K reads the dative. $\boldsymbol{\$ \pi} \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{v}$ Xeîpa. Cf. xx. 1, 4. See note on xiii. 16. 10. kaì aûrds mietal. For the use of kai see note on xiv. 10. $\quad$ Baraviotijeєtac dy mupi кai Oeị. Baravífw is found four times elsewhere in our author, while Bagavorpos (see next verse), which occurs four times, is not attested elsewhere in the N.T. With mupi kai $\theta$ eí cf. xx. 10, xxi. 8, xix. 20. 11. ठ кamvòs . . . ávaßainat. Cf. viii. 4, ix. 2, xix. 3. oúx EXoualv divánaualv krג. Already in iv. 8. oi mpoomuvoûrres io onpiov. Characteristic of our author both as to grammar
 oi mpoûvres tds ềrodas. For the phrase cf. xii. r7. The irregularity is characteristic of our anthor. 18. $\lambda$ fyet rò wveîma. Cf.

 iv. 2, and contrast the non-Johannine constructions of this phrase
 found only in our author. It has already occurred in i. 13, and, as we have seen in the Additional Note on i. 13, vol. i. p. 36, might be regarded as a further development of other linguistic constructions, to which attention is called in that note.

Interpolation-15-17. In this short section there are four constructions which are foreign to our author's use. These are given in the note on 15-17, p. 21. Other grounds for regarding ${ }^{15-17}$ as interpolated are given in the note on 14-20, p. 18 sq.

18-80. These verses are in keeping with the diction and style of our author. They contain, it is true, several words not

 subject.
 where in our author the construction is always that which he uses
 rd Spltavov. The vernacular use of the pronoun here is elsewhere

 $\lambda \eta \nu$ bs. Cf. xix. 15. äxpt тйv xanıvôv. äxp occurs six times in our author as a preposition and five times as a conjunction, but not once in the other Johannine writings in the N.T.

 oix evped $\eta$ 廿evidos. See the grounds for this conclusion in loc. 15-17. That these verses are an intrusion is manifest on many grounds. See the general grounds in the note on $14-20, \mathrm{p} .18 \mathrm{sq}$., and the grammatical grounds in the note on 15-17, p. 21.
XIV. 1-6. A proleptic vision of the 144,000 with the Lamb on Mount Zion-i.e. of the risen martyrs with Christ during the Millennial reign. That these are the same as the 144,000 in vii. 4-8, i.e. the spiritual Israel, the entire Christian community, alike Jewish and Gentile, which were sealed to protect them from the demonic woes, that are to follow speedily, we hope to prove in the course of our criticism of verses $1-5$ (see also vol. i. p. 199 sqq.). In vii. 9-17 this same body of the faithful is represented as arriving in heaven during the great final tibulation, or as already assembled there at its close. Here they ase represented as having at a later stage come down to earth for the Millennial reign (cf. xx. 4). The vision is therefore proleptic. There is a progressive note in each vision.

1. kai ettov kai $\mathbf{i 8} \mathbf{0}$. See note in iv. 1 .
ro dpviov. The Lamb is here set over against the Beast in xiii., and the followers of the Lamb with His name and that of His Father over against the followers of the Beast with his mark on their forehead.

From O.T. times Mount Zion was associated in the minds of the faithful with divine deliverance. Thus Joel ii. 32 (iii. 5) writes: "And it shall come to pass, that whosoever shall call on the name of the Lord shall be delivered; for in Mount Zion and Jerusalem shall be those that escape, as the Lord hath said." In the 8th cent. b.c. there existed for a time the belief that Jerusalem could not be destroyed. In later times it was held that a special blessing attached to residence in Palestine. It alone was to escape the woes that would befall the rest of the earth: cf. 2 Bar. xxix. 2 (where see my note), x. 2, lxxi. 1 ; 4 Ezra xiii. 48, 49, vi. 25. This idea was revived in Talmudic literature.

This appearance of the Messiah with a mighty multitude on

Mount Zion was a Jewish expectation, as we see in 4 Ezra xiii. 35, 39, 40, "But he shall stand upon the summit of Mount Zion. . . . And whereas thou didst see that he summoned and gathered to himself another multitude which was peaceable, these are the ten tribes." In 4 Ezra ii. 42 (Christian or Christian recast of Jewish material) we have a close parallel to our text: "I Esdras saw upon Mount Zion a great multitude which I could not (dst. $c_{1}$ 'no man could') number, and they all praised the Lord with songs. 43. And in the midst of them there was a young man of high stature, taller than all the rest, and upon every one of their heads he set crowns . . . 44. So I asked the angel and said : Who are these, my Lord? 45. He answered and said unto me: These be they that have put off the mortal clothing and put on the immortal, and have confessed the name of God."

This last work was probably written about 200-250 A.D., and therefore forms an early testimony to the right interpretation of the 144,000 on Mount Zion in our text ; for it appears to identify the multitude described in vii. $9-17$ and that in our text. In accordance with vii. 9-17 the writer of 4 Ezra ii. 42-47 represents them as having confessed and stood out stoutly for the name of God, and $s 0$ as now clad in the garments of immortality, and in accordance with xiv. 1-5 of our text they stand on Mount Zion with the Messiah.
 the identity of the 144,000 that accompany the Lamb has in part been given in the Introduction to Chap. vii. where we have found them to be the same as the 144,000 in vii. 4-8 and the great multitude in vii. 9-17. But, though the constituents of the multitude are the same, the circumstances are different. In the vision before us the scene is upon earth (cf. ver. 2). The blessed faithful follow the Lamb on Mount Zion. And yet they have already passed through the gates of death, and have been presented as an offering (ixap $\chi_{\eta}$ ) to God (xiv. 4). Hence we have here a momentary vision of the saints, who have returned to earth to share in the Millennial reign. (Cf. xa. 4-6.)

But the above identification of the 144,000 in vii. 4-8 and xiv. $1-5$ is apparently rejected by every modern scholar, save Alford, who has clung fast to it, although unable to surmount the chief difficulty that stands in its way. A minor difficulty, i.e. the absence of the defining article to identify these 144,000 with those spoken of in vii. 4, he treats as negligible on the ground that the reader was meant to identify the two hosts, seeing that they consist of the same number and are both marked on the forehead as God's own possession.

Alford may be right in ignoring the absence of the article (cf. xv. 2, where the expected article is missing, ix $\theta \dot{\alpha} \lambda a \sigma \sigma a y$
instead of rìv $\theta \dot{\partial} \lambda a \sigma \sigma a v$, and xiv. 9 , where ró is wanting before $\chi^{\alpha}{ }^{\prime} \rho \gamma_{\mu} a$ ), but the present writer is of opinion that the real explanation is that it was excised by the interpolator of the introductory sentences in xiv. 4-5, who sought by his manipulation of the text to destroy the identity of the 144,000 in vii. 4-8 and the 144,000 in the present passage, and to transform them into a body of monkish celibates. Having thus explained the absence of the article, there is another and greater difficulty, which stands in the way of this identification, and this is that the 144,000 are described as "first-fruits" to God and to the Lamb. If these 144,000 , as the present writer holds, are identical with the entire body of Christians living in the last days, who have been sealed with a view to their protection against the demonic woes, how is it that they are designated as "first fruits"? It is the interpretation set on this word d $\mathrm{map}_{\mathrm{X}} \eta^{\prime}$ by all scholars in the past that has misled them into differentiating the 144,000 in vii. 4-8 and in xiv. 1-5. This word has hitherto been taken universally to mean "first fruits" in this passage. That in the Pauline Epistles and in St. James it bears this meaning is indubitable. But this is by no means the case in the LXX, although Grimm's Lexicon and Thayer's enlarged edition of Grimm state that $\dot{\alpha} \pi a p \chi \eta$ is generally the equivalent of $\begin{aligned} & \text { a } \\ & \text {. So }\end{aligned}$ being the case that it is generally not the equivalent of this word. Thus whereas dizapXy occurs about 66 times in the LXX, it is a rendering of תשאา only 19 tumes. In the remaining 47 times, it is once a rendering for the Hebrew word for "tithe," 4 times of $=$ " fat," in which case it means "the best of"), and 40 times a rendering of ( $=$ "offering" or "oblation"), and once of ( $=$ " offering "). Thus we see that in the LXX oftener than twice out of three times it means "an offering." In Sirach it occurs four times, but only once with the meaning of "first fruits," while in the other three passages it signifies either an "offering" or "gift." Hesychius also notes that one of its Greek meanings is троoфopó It is clear, then, that in the Greek Bible of Judaism árapxý meant "offering," " sacrifice," or "gift " nearly 3 times out of $4 .{ }^{1}$ Now
${ }^{2}$ The above note was written before the publication of Moulton and Millgen's Vocabulary of the Greek Testament. Though these editors have not corrected the misstatements in Grimm's and Thayer's lexicons, to which they give their imprimatur in their preface, they have shown from the Magnesian inscriptions (ed. Kern. 1900), that drapxt is very commonly used as $=a$ " gift" to a deity, and that this use occurred as eariy as the 6th cent. 3.c. in Athens (Syll. Inscr. Graec. ${ }^{2}$, Dittenberger, 1888-1901). They conclude that in the N.T. "we are perhaps at hiberty to render 'sacrifice' or 'gift' where it improves the sense," though they do not specify any individual passage save Rom. viii. 23. The Magnesia above referred to was in the neighbourhood of Ephesus. Hence the local and kount use of daapxit confirms the conclusions arrived at alove.
it is just this meaning that our text requires. The faithful, whether as martyrs or confessors, are sacrifices to God. As such they are offered on the heavenly altar, vi. 9. A further sacrificial reference is discoverable in the epithet in xiv. 5, where they are said to be ${ }^{2} \mu \omega \mu{ }^{\prime}$, that is, "unblemished," sacrificially perfect.
 With this clause we might compare ini. 12, where a threefold inscription on the foreheads of the faithful is mentioned. But, if we compare xxii. 4, where the name is simply said to be that of God, and 4 of the present chapter, where кai $\tau \hat{\omega} d \rho v \ell \omega_{\text {appears }}$
 also an interpolation. The seal consists in the name of God inscribed on the brow. This inscription declares that the person so inscribed is God's own possession : it is at the same time evidence that his character is such as befits a servant of God.
2. фwvìv ik roû oúparoû krd. The singers are the angel choirs in heaven and not the 144,000 in Mt. Zion, but the new song is intelligible to the 144,000 and to them alone owing to their fellowship with Christ.

Most of the phrases of this verse occur elsewhere in our author, see p. 2.
 should be rendered: "harpers harping . . . and singing." It is another instance of the literal reproduction in Greek of a familiar Hebrew idiom, which we have found already in i. 5-6, ii. 2, 9, 20, vii. 14, xv. 3. Thus the style is very characteristic of our author. Here the new song is at first sung not by the redeemed (as in xv. 3; 4 Ezra ii. 42), but by angelic choirs before the throne.


Évátıoy toù $\theta$ porou. See Introd. to Chap. xiv. § 2.
oúfeis $\delta \delta u ́ v a r o ~ к T \lambda$. Only those who are redeemed from the earth can learn the song; for the soul apprehends only that for which it has an affinity. Their spiritual experience won through travail and tears is the mother of understanding. The song is the expression of the inner life, and so in the measure of their spiritual growth is likewise the measure of their spiritual apprehension.
 have already occurred in v. 9, 10. That passage is of supreme importance in dealing with the text immediately before us. Now the leading thought in $\mathbf{v} .9,10$ is that the faithful are bought by Christ for God, and consecrated to His service as kings and priests. Here also, whether we retain or omit the

idea is of a like nature. The 144,000 have been bought to be an offering or sacrifice (dimapXn, xiv. 4 : see note on I) unto God: cf. vi. 9.

 seems to be a later addition due to the incorporation of a marginal explanatory gloss. In support of the aorist we might adduce our author's usage elsewhere: see $v .9$, $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ भóparas $\tau \hat{\varphi} \theta \in \hat{\varphi}$

 have, if the latter clause is original, another instance of our author's reproduction in Greek of a Hebrew idiom: see ii. 2, 9 . 20, vii. 14, xiv. 2-3. This combination of the perfect and aorist is found often in our author: cf. ii. 3, 5, in. 2, 3, 17, xvi. 6. That кai $\frac{T \hat{\varphi}}{} \mathbf{d p v i c}$ is an addition appears to be clear from the fact that the 144,000 have been bought by the Son for the Father; and not by the Son for the Father and for Himself: cf. v. g. The Church is the bride of the Lamb: cf. xix. 7, 8; Eph. v. 27, not an offering presented to Him. If the above clauses are interpolated, the original of $3^{\mathrm{C}}-4$ may have run as
 shall now deal with the clauses, which for the time being we have assumed to be interpolations.

But let us waive for the moment the question of the authenticity of these clauses and study them in themselves and with regard to their immediate context. Now, fir-t of all, it is admitted, so far as I am aware, on all hands that the 144,000, whether identical with the 144,000 in vil. $4^{-8}$, or representing the elite of the saints composed of Christian ascetics (Bousset, Moffatt), must embrace both men and women. That map日évo can be used of men is of course acknowledged. So far all is clear. But when we start from these premises and try to explain outoi claıv oî $\mu$ erà less difficulties. For, if we take these words literally, it is obvious that they cannot be used of women. Nor indeed can they be applied to women in any intelligible sense, whatever the metaphorical meaning may be that we attach to the words. Had the writer wished, he could easily have found a phrase applicable literally and metaphorically alike to men and women, such as ot iv $\tau \hat{n}$
 8, xvii. 2, 4, xviii. 3, xix. 2, and mopvéw in xvii. 2, xviii. 3, 9 in the sense of idolatrous worship. Such a clause could be used both of men and women, in a literal or metaphorical sense, and the same idea could have been expressed in other ways. Hence we conclude either that men alone are referred to in the text, or that this passage is interpolated. Since we cannot accept the
former alternative, we are forced to adopt the latter, and the task devolves upon us to settle, so far as we may, the ground of the interpolation, its extent and meaning.

Now the chief ground for this interpolation is most naturally to be discovered in the misunderstanding of the word dxapxí as "first fruits." The monkish interpolator, convinced that the highest type of the Christian life was the celibate, naturally identified the 144,000, who form the "first fruits" (or best portion of the Christian Church), with the celibates. The superiority of the celibate life, though un-Jewish and un-Christian, was early adopted from the Gnostics and other Christian heretics. Thus Saturninus and Basilides declared that "marriage and generation are from Satan" (1ren. Adv. Haer. i. 24), while Tatian (Eus. H.E. iv. xxix. 3) pronounced marriage to be "corruption and fornication." Marcion (Hipp. Phil. vii. 17-19) established churches of celibates, while the Encratites claimed a self-restraint in advance of that of the Christians. Similarly the religions of Isis and Mithra had their celibates throughout the Roman Empire, as Buddhısm in the far East, certain orders of the Aztec priesthood in Mexico, the Vestal Virgins in Rome, and the "Virgins of the Sun" in Peru. The pressure of such ideas from wuthout early made itself felt, not in the N.T. but in early Chistranty, as we see from Polycarp, Ad Phil. ii. iv. v.; Hermas, Vis. 1i. 2, 3, Sim. ix. II (see Hastings, Encyc. of Ethics and Religion, iii. 271-273, from which the above facts are Jrawn). The interpolation was probably made by John's editor.

It is, of course, possible that the interpolated passage appeared first as a marginal gloss on the passage, and that it was subsequently incorporated into the text with a necessary change or two.

As regards the extent, it appears to begin with daì $\tau \hat{\eta} s \gamma_{\hat{\eta}}$ and end with $\eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \gamma \mathrm{opa} \sigma \theta \eta \sigma a v$. The repetition of the ayopajo with two different adverbial phrases is remarkable. That dmò rûv $\dot{\alpha} v \theta \rho \dot{\sigma} \pi \omega v$ is to be preferred to $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{o}$


The meaning of the interpolation we have already gathered from the foregoing criticism of the passage. The glosser or interpolator, as the case may be, tcok the passage to refer to
 Onrav) proves, made it refer to male celibates. They were the "first fruits" of the entire Christian Church (for such, of course, he conceived the meaning of dтap $x^{\prime}$ ).

This word as used by the interpulator carries with it the degradation of marriage-an idea inadmissible in the N.T. The use of the aorist here shows that their life on earth regarded as a discipline belongs to the past.

4．mapolvo ．The word map日évos was applied to men also：cf．
 тap日évos．6．סéót кai aúròs rapOévos．In Suidas it is applied to
 385 C．$\pi a p \theta e v \varepsilon v ́ \omega$ is used of males in Just．Frag． 1577 A．
outot oi dкo入ouӨoúvres кт入．These words can hardly fail to be an echo of our Lord＇s ：cf．Mk．ii．14，x． 21 ；Luke ix． 59 ； John i．43，xxi．19．For another echo cf．I Pet．it．21，iva ima－
 will be the shepherd of the blessed described in vii．14－15． This means according to oriental conceptions that the blessed follow．Him．Thus to follow Christ is characteristic of the faithful，whether on earth，on which they were called to follow Him even unto death（Matt．x．38，xvi．24，25），or in the Millennial kingdom，or in heaven．But it would be possible to take dxodov $\theta$ ourtes as referring to the past，and the subsequent words as implying that in such following of the Lamb they underwent martyrdom．Cf．vii．14，xii．in．But the context
 where in the Apocalypse means＂where，＂but with verbs of motion it was used as the equivalent of ömol．Cf．John vui．21， 22，xiii．33，36．The äv in this connection is impossible in
 $56 \ddot{a} \nu$ occurs after önov with the past imperfect indicative．The construction seems to imply an action of indefinte frequency： cf．Robertson，Gram． 958.
 tion given in v．9．dтapXif＝＂sacrifice＂or＂offering．＂See note on I．［кai tệ dpviu．］An addition．See note on 4.

 $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\omega} \omega \sigma \sigma a$ dodia，and Isa．liii．9．In 1 Pet．i1． 22 we have the latter
 It must，however，be confessed that this clause follows weakly

duapol ciov．This sentence introduced asyndetically is in
 best the character of the $\mathbf{a} \pi a \rho X^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ ；in the LXX it has three tims out of four a sacrificial reference，and affirms the flawlessness of the victim．It is that which is unblemished，sacrificially perfect． In 1 Pet．i． 19 Christ Himself is described as d $\mu \nu o v ̂ a ́ \mu \omega ́ \mu \mu o v$ ，and in Heb．ix． 14 as offering Himself as an unblemished sacrifice
 context the 144，000 who had been offered in sacrifice to God
 other passages，where it occurs in the Pauline Epistles and Jude，
the clause has an ethical meaning and connotes "blamelessness."

Note on xiv. 1-5.-This section has been an occasion of great difficulty to scholars. I have sought to show that much of this difficulty arose from misconception of the word dmapxy. But, as we have seen, there are other difficulties, which cannot be got rid of save by the excision of certain clauses. Völter (iv. 38 sq., 139 sq. .) excises xiv. 4-5 and a phrase in xiv. 1 , and then identifies the 144,000 here with the 144,000 in vii. 4-8. Weyland excises xiv. 1, 4-5, Erbes xiv. $4^{\text {ab }}$, and a phrase in $4^{\text {d }}$. Spitta is the most drastic of all. He changes tò övoua . . .

 $\pi \omega \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \rho \chi \dot{\eta} \tau \bar{\varphi} \theta \varepsilon \bar{\omega}, \quad$ (See pp. $144 \mathrm{sq} ., 147$ sq., 536.) Wellhausen recognizes the fact that the text shows undoubted signs of interpolation. Bousset admits the possibility of a source underlying xiv. 1-5, but he thinks it impossible to recover it. He therefore takes the text as it stands and interprets the 144,000 to be a body of Chistian ascetics and, therefore, differtnt from the 144,000 in vii. 4-8. He thinks, however, that there are signs in the Apocalypse that these two bodies were originally identical.

In the above study of xiv. 1-5 I have attempted to show that xiv. 1-3 comes from the hand of our author (see also Introd. p. 2) save probably one phrase ( $\tau \grave{\partial}$ ŏ́voua aúrov̂ кaí) in xiv. 1. As regards xiv. 4-5 the case is different. Here the diction is not much of a guide to us, but the ideas and the irregularity of the order of the sentences are. Thus of the various descriptions of the 144,000 in $4-5$ it is clear from the earlier chapters that the essential one is, without doubt, that which describes them as a sacrifice to God.

At the close of 5 this idea recurs in the words, ${ }_{\mathrm{a}}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \mu \omega \mu \mathrm{oi}$ ci$\sigma \nu$, but a purely ethical description intervenes-каì èv тй $\sigma$ оóдать . . . $\psi$ evioos. This appears irregular, but greater difficulties have already emerged in connection with $4^{\mathbf{4}}$, where the un-Jewish and un Christian idea is presented, that the very elite of the blessed consists of ascetics, and that, too, male ascetics. On these and other grounds we have excised certain clauses and concluded that, before the monkish glosser went to work, our author's text

 be original. To the interpolator of the above clauses we may owe also the removal of the article before the 144,000, which identified this 144,000 with the 144,000 in vii. 4-8.

6-11. Vision of the judgment to be executed on the Roman Empire and its worshippers, in which three angels make proclamation. The first proclaimed to all men an eternal Gospel, the
burden of which was that all men should worship the one God who had created heaven and earth, for that the hour of judgment had come (6-7). The second announced, as though already accomplished, the fall of Rome, which had made all the nations to drink of the wine of her fornication (8). The third proclaimed that those who submitted to the mandates of Rome would share in the everlasting torment that awaited her (9-11).
6. aldow äyador. The presence of the ad ${ }^{2} \lambda o v$ occasions some difficulty. It is supported by the best textual authorities. If it is original, we might, perhaps, with Disterdieck explain the word as used in contradistinction to the angels that had appeared in earlier scenes. He compares $x$. I where ä $\lambda$ dov ádeedov has already been mentioned, who, as in the present instance, proclaims the impending end of the world. Erbes and J. Weiss suppose we have here a reference to viii. 13 , where the phrase-

 we have a dittography, and J. Welss that it is a corruption of aldov ailetov. Cf. viii. 13. It seems best to explain adid.äyy. as = "another, an angel." See note on $x 5$ below. The difficulty recurs in 8,9 .
 the angels are not represented with wings save in its latest books : cf. Dan. ix. 21.
cidayrition aisunor. This phrase is found here only in the Johannine writings, whereas the cognate verb occurs here and in x. 7. ciagyeinov here is not to be translated as if it were rò cuayYídeov. Its character is defined by its present context and x. 7. It is a proclamation of the impending end of the world and of the final judgment, which, while it is a message of good tidings to the faithful, constitutes for all nations a last summons to repentance.

In $x$ y it was made known to His servants the prophets, here it is proclaimed te all the world. This gospel is termed aiwnov because possibly our author wishes to emphasize its unchangeable validity for all eternity.

Tovs кatokô̂vтas $\mathbf{d \pi i}$ गîs yîs ( A and some cursives). NCPQ
 decisive. Difficulties beset both readings. The second reading is against the normal usage of our author. We should expect $\boldsymbol{d} \pi i$
 struction in our author after кaroucîv. Hence if we could discover any good ground for the change of кaтoккô̂vtas into кäך⿲évous, we shall have little hesitation in recognizing $A$ as right. Now, though the scribes of NCPQ were occasionally doubtful as to


кaAnpéve, they could have had no doubt as to the meaning of the phrase oi кaтoккoivtes $\mathbf{d \pi i} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\eta} 5 \boldsymbol{\gamma} \bar{\eta} s$ (always so except in xiii. 12, xvii. 1, 2, where these constructions are found in sources) elsewhere in our author, i.e. iii. 10, vi. 10, viii. 13, etc. And since this meaning was always bad in all other passages, and since it could not bear such a meaning here, some early scribe may
 give the phrase the neutral colour it required here. But, if this hypothesis of the origin of cä $\eta \mu$ évous is right, its presence here can only call for condemnation. It is against our author's usage wholly in this construction : indeed, according to his usage it could only mean "those who sat on the earth."

If, then, we accept the reading of $A$, we must in this one passage attach a purely neutral or geographical sense to the phrase, such as it bears not infrequently in I Enoch (see xxxviii. 5, note). See note on xi. 10 .

тâr Ë́vos kai фu入خ̀r ктд. See note on v. 9 .
$\lambda$ ejow. The granımatical irregularity is characteristic of our author. See iv. r, xi. 1.
 § 2 : also note on x. 2.
 theistic foundation. But, when the last hour has come, a man's chief concern is not dogmatic fullness or correctness of creed, but ouly self-humiliation before and self-surrender to the Lord of all. With this announcement we might compare the gospel as
 ev̀ayүe入íu.

But a really excellent parallel is to be found in St. Paul's


 of our text.

The clause фоß. т. $\theta$ eóv is found in Eccles. xii. 13, and \&óte
 but they both belong to our author's phraseology: see Introd. to Chap. xiv., p. 3.
 sentence are characteristic of our author, but are of still more frequent occurrence in the Fourth Gospel. Cf. ii. 4, iv. 21,23 ,

[^0]v. 25,28 , vii. 30 , viii. 20 , xii. 23 , xiii. 1 , xvi. $2,4,21,25,32$, xvii. 1 .
 author: contrast 9 where this verb takes the acc. of tò $\theta$ Opiovalso the usage of our author. See note on vii. I I.
 created the world, man's allegiance is due to God. The fact of God as creator has already been brought forward in iv. II, x. 6, but in both these passages кrí̧ecy and not moteiv has been used. References to the creative activity of God are rare in the N.T. but frequent in the O.T. See note on iv. 1 r.
mクYds s8draw. The absence of the article is noteworthy, contrast xvi. 4, viii. 10. The phrase is a familiar O.T. one : ct. 1 Kings xviii. 5; 2 Kings iii. 19 (טעיני טים), 25 ; Ex. xv. 27 ; Num. xxxiii. 9.
8. The second angel proclaims the fall of Rome.
didos $\delta=$ útepos äyenos. So the best MSS. But we should
 when our author uses another adjective in addition to addos it is added after the noun : cf. vi. 4, x. $\mathbf{x}$, xiv. 9, xv. I : i.e. " another angel, a second one."

 Cf. Jer. li. 8. But the two clauses ënecrev. . . tà ${ }^{\prime} \theta \nu \eta$ seem to be derived by our author immediately from xviii. 2-3 (a source). To Baßv入óv our author always attaches the epithet $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \gamma a \dot{\lambda} \eta$, an epithet which goes back to Dan. iv. 27 (אתב xvii. 5, xviii. 2, 10, 21 . That Babylon was already a synonym for Rome in the first century A.D. is clear from 2 Bar. xi. 1 ; Sibyl. Or. v. 143, 159 ; I Pet. v. 13 (?). Cf. also xvi. 19, xvii. 5, xviif. 2, 10, 2 I.
 rd ${ }^{\text {e }} \theta \mathrm{vm}$. This very extraordinary form of speech, which recurs in xviii. 3, can hardly be original. The text as it stands combines two wholly disparate ideas. The first is $\boldsymbol{\delta k}$ rov̂ otvov $\boldsymbol{\tau} \hat{\boldsymbol{\eta}}$ тopvías autris-a phrase which occurs in xvii. 2-i.e. "the wine of her fornication," which Babylon has made all the nations to drink. This wine symbolizes the intoxicating power, the corrupting influence of Rome. The second is ìк rov̂ oivov tov̂ $\theta_{\nu} \mu \hat{v}$ (rov̂ $\theta_{60}$ )-a phrase which occurs in xiv. ro-i.e. "the wine of the wrath of God," which He will give Babylon to drink. This latter phrase recurs in a fuller form in xvi. 19, xix. 15, rov̂ olvov rov̂
 presents through His prophet this wine of wrath to the nations: cf. Jer. xxv. 15, which seems to have been in the mind of our


кaì потtếs $\pi$ ávra rà $\mathbf{~} 8 \mathrm{v} \eta$. In xxviii. (li.) 7 Babylon is said to be a cup in the hand of the Lord whereof the nations have drunk and




As we study the above facts two ways of dealing with the text appear to be open to us. I. Excise $\tau \boldsymbol{v} \theta \boldsymbol{\theta} \mu \boldsymbol{v} \hat{v}$ as an interpolation due to the occurrence of the phrase tov̂ oivov rồ Gu uov in 10. The extraordinary divergence of the MSS in xviii. 3, where the phrase recurs, points either to an interpolated or a very difficult text. 2. Since our author appears to have had Jer. xxv. 15 sq. in his mind, and since the text there has הַחִָה (= "the wine which is wrath," i.e. wine of wrath), it is possible that he took חמָה in the sense of "poison," which it sometimes bears (cf. Deut. xxxii. 24, 33 ; Ps. lviii. 5, cxl. 4). The fact that it maddens the nations (Jer. xxv. 16, li. 7; Hab. ii. 15) might be taken to favour this meaning. If this be right, then our text would mean "the wine of the poison of her fornication." But it seems best to regard rov̂ $\theta v \mu \nu \hat{v}$ as an interpolation. The nations, having drunk of the wine of the fornication of Babylon, have really therein drunk the wine of the wrath of God.
9. The third angel proclaims a doom of everlasting torment for adherents of the Imperial cult. This forms a counter proclamation to that in xiii. $15,17$.


 $\chi$ х́payнa, see xini. 16, note. The absence of the article before $\chi$ ápa$\gamma_{\mu}$ a is suspicious. The context leads us to expect it. First it immediately follows the definite mention of the Beast (rò Onpiov), whose mark it is. Next this mark has already been twice mentioned in xiii.-in the first instance in xili. 16 , without the article because mentioned for the first time, and again in xiii. I7 with the article. Finally the angel would not speak in this indefinite way of this brand of hell. Contrast $x x$. 4. All mankind knew "the mark." We must suppose the angel knew so also. Hence we should read tò $\chi \dot{\alpha} \rho a \gamma \mu a$ or excise каi $\lambda a \mu \beta a ́ v e t ~ . ~ . ~ . ~$ $\chi$ रípa aưrov̂ as an interpolation.

 See notes on vii. 3, xiii. 16. When we combine these two irregularities just dealt with, we are forced, it seems, to infer either that
 a marginal gloss. The closing words of in support the former con-
clusion. Hence we should probably read каì $\lambda а \mu \beta$ áve tò Ха́payщa

10. кal ajrds тlerab, " he too (the man who has received the mark) shall drink." To Rome herself finally this cup is given in xvi. 17. The кaf introduces the apodosis as in x . 17 (iii. 20 NQ )
 тотпрice тịs bpyịs. Parallel expressions to morinpoov rîs òpyịs $\alpha \dot{\operatorname{vitovै}}$ are found in Isa. li. 17, 22 ; Jer. xxv. 15, and in xviii. 6 in our text. The subject has in part been discussed under ver. 8 above. The only real difficulty lies in the words rov̂ oivou rov̂ $\theta v \mu o v ̄$. . . тov̀ кєкєраб $\mu$ évov dxpárov. The source of the expres-

 for the last four words. We should also compare Jer. xxxii. I
 We have still another parallel in Pss. Sol. viii 15, dıà roûro
 oivov áкрárov eis $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \theta \eta \nu$. From the last passage it follows that there can be no inconsistency between éxéparev and áxpárov. The verb refers to the mingling of this wine with elements (as the literal wine with spices) that will not weaken it but render it noxious or poisonous, whereas the adjective (dкparov) states that it is unmixed with water. For this use of ákparos cf. 3 Macc.

 explanation of the LXX would hold good, though in that case
 therefore implies החמר $\Gamma^{\prime \prime}$, and not the Massoretic reading given above. On the other hand, it must be stated that modern scholars, though they accept as the reading in Jer. xxv. 15, do not attribute to it the same meaning either here or in Ps. lxxv. 9 as the LXX.

From the above authorities we conclude that the passage is to be explained "the wine of the wrath of God which is mingled sheer in the cup," etc. But for the close parallels given above we might accept the proposal of Ewald, Alford and others that from the almost universal custom of mixing wine with water the common term for preparing wine came to be кєpívvym. Thus Eustathius says on Od. v. 93 that in кéparoé סé véктap dpvopóv the verb к'́parae = dvéxec. A further suggestion may be offered. Our author, we know, was better acquanted with Hebrew than with Greek. It is possible, therefore, that judging from the LXX he took äкparos to be a right rendering of author of Pss. Sol. xvi. in took $\delta \lambda$ ryouvxia (which really means "faintheartedness") to be a right rendering of ( $=$ " im patience "), a misrendering that is also found in the LXX. If
this be so, then we might assign to dxparos the meaning of 7n, and render " the wine of the wrath of God which is mingled foaming in the cup." The "foaming" or "fermentation" is stll going on ; for God has just mingled this cup of judgment for the nations.

Bacavootiferat lv wupl kal 0aiy. The imagery goes back to the torments to be inflicted on Edom : Isa. xxxiv. 8-10. The punishment of brimstone and fire appears first in connection with Sodom and Gomorrah (Gen. xix. 24). As Anderson Scott writes in loc., "it is instructive to trace the development of the symbolism springing from the circumstances of an event in history, providing the traditional features, first of any great judgment, then of the day of the Lord, and, finally, of the judgment of Christ." Our author uses this symbohsm again in xix. 20, xx. 10, xxi. 8.
drémion tüv diyyenuv. The idea has occurred already in I Enoch xlviii. 9 in another form :
"And I will give them over into the hands of Mine elect :
As straw in fire, so shall they burn before the face of the holy :
As lead in water, so shall they sink before the face of the righteous,
And no trace of them shall any more be found."
In 1 Enoch xxvii. 2, 3, xc. 26, 27, 4 Ezra vii. 36, as in the parsage before us, the sufferings of the wicked form an everpresent spectacle to the righteous; but not so in the Parables of I Enoch. There Gehenna and its victims form only a temporary spectacle. Then they vanish from the presence of the righteous for ever, as in the later sections of the Apocalypse. See 1 Enoch xlviii. 9, note, lxii. 12, 13. This is not due to any moralisation of the idea but to the conception of a new heaven and a new earth, which exclude the possibility of the Gehenna conception. In Luke xii. 9 the wicked are to be disowned by Christ in the presence of His angels. This idea of Gehenna as an ever-present spectacle over against Paradise arose through a mistaken etymology of the phrase דראה ערם in Isa. lxvi. 24 and Dan. xi. 2. In the ist cent. 8.c. or as early as the close of the and cent. B.c. Jewish scholars regarded ${ }^{\text {an }}$ derived from nאา. Thus the LXX of Isa. lxvi. 24 renders this word ẗovtal eis סpaotr.
kal dvótiov toû dpriou. Many critics remove this phrase as a
 it is best to render the phrase: "even before the Lamb." Bousset suggests that the phrase "before the angels" is a late Jewish perıphrasis for "before God." Cf. Luke xv. ro, xii. 8, 9 ; voL 11.-2
and Bousset's Rel. des Judentums, 308, but in the present context this is unlikely.



 here as denoting the condition of those in torment, and similarly in xviii. $7,10,15$, whereas in the gloss (?) in ix. 5 it has an active meaning. In Lake xvi. 23, 28 (Wisd. iii. 1; 4 Macc. xiii. 15) Báarayos is used to denote passive suffering.
 clause occurs, but there it means the deliberate and willing surrender on the part of the Cherubim of their entire time to the praise of God, whereas here it denotes the involuntary endurance of ceaseless torment on the part of those who worship the Beast : cf. I Enoch lxiii. 6.

> "And now we long for a little rest but find it not: We follow hard upon and obtain it not."
ei tis $\lambda a \mu \beta$ quet $=$ oi $\lambda a \mu \beta$ م́vovtes.
XIV. 12-18. These verses do not belong here but have in this edition been restored to their original position after xiii. 15 . Just as at the close of xiii. 10 our author enforced the need of patience and faithfulness under the persecutions described in xini. robac so after he has foretold universal persecution and martyrdom for the saints in xii. 15, and in xiv. 12 has enforced the need of patience on the part of the saints, a voice from heaven is heard declaring the blessedness of those who die in the Lord. For a full treatment of these verses see the section that follows immediately after xiii. 15, vol. i. pp. 368-373.

14, 18-20. A proleptic vision of the preliminary Messianic judgment executed by the Son of Man on the heathen nations, which is described in detail in xix. 11-16, and further apparently in $\mathbf{x x} .7-10$, and under another form in xvii. 14. Although nearly all scholars have taken 14-20 to be the work of one hand, it is clear, as Wellhausen has already recognized, that in 14-20 there are doublets. Wellhausen takes these to be 14-16 and 17-20, but a study of the text and context shows that this analysis of the passage cannot be sustained. No more can that of Bousset, who regards xiv. 14-20 as originally from a foreign source, like xi. $1-\mathrm{r} 3$, and considers xiv. $17-18$ as the addition of a reviser. For ( 1 ) since in xiv. $15-17$ there are constructions (see notes on 15-17, p. 21) which are against our author's use, we conclude that these verscs are an intrusion here, and that xiv. $14,18-20$ represent the original text.

that the interpolator failed to recognize the "One like a Son of Man " in 14 as Christ, and took Him to be simply an angel, and hence assigned a mightier rôle to this second and unnamed angel. But to place beside the Son of Man a second figure, and that merely an angelic one as the judge of the earth, is hardly intelligible from any point of view.
(3) When ${ }^{15-17}$ are removed, the text describes the Son of Man reaping the vintage of the earth just as in xix. 11-16, where He treads the winepress of the wrath of God. This fact is also against Wellhausen's analysis which would connect the Son of Man with the harvesting of the earth and an angel with the gathering in of the vintage of the earth. (4) Agam, neither $\theta$ epí́cu (Matt. xxv. 24, etc.) nor any of its derıvatıves (Matt. ix. 37 sq., xiii. 30, xxv. 24; Mark iv. 29; Juhn iv. 35 sqq.) is used elsewhere in the Apocalypse in regard to divme judgment, whereas in xix. vintage terms are applied metaphorically as in xiv. 18-20 to this judgment. (5) Again, instead of tò $\delta \rho$ gétavóv $\sigma o v$ in 15 we should expect $\sigma 0 v$ tò סpémavov tò ob $\mathfrak{\xi} \dot{\text { v }}$, as rightly in 18, seeing that the $\delta$ fémavov is already described as ${ }^{\prime} \xi^{\prime} \mathrm{v}$ in 14 . (6) Finally, when the intrusive doublet $\left({ }^{5}-17\right.$ ) is removed, we understand why it is that the angel from the altar conveys the command to the Son of Man to gather in the vintage of the earth. The angel of the altar has had to do with the souls of those who had been martyred, and whose souls had cried in vi. 9 from beneath the altar to God for judgment on the inhabitants of the earth. This act of Messianic judgment is thus connected with the prayers of the martyrs: cf. Luke xvili. 7, 8.
14. There can be no question as to the identity of the divine figure seated on the cloud. He is described as "One like a Son of Man." The phrase ö $\mu o t o v ~ v i o ̀ v ~ a ̈ v \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi r o v ~ i s ~ a ~ s o l e c i s m ~ s o ~$ far as regards form, and is found only in our author here and in i. 13. The O.T. source of this expression is undoubtedly Dan. vii. 13 , "I saw in the night visions, and behold, there came with the clouds of heaven one like unto a son of man (LXX, wis vius àvđímov)." But the expression, though identical in both works, so far as language goes, is dissimilar in meaning. According to the interpretation of the angel in Dan. vii. 18, 22, 27, the phrase denotes the saints of the Most High. But this is not all. In apocalyptuc visions, where men or bodies of men are symbolized by beasts (as in Daniel, 1 Enoch, Testaments of the XII Patriarchs, etc.), angels and supernatural beings are symbolized by men. If, theretore, the expression "Son of Man " is to be taken strictly in Daniel, it undoubtedly suggests a supernatural being or body of such beings-supernatural beings but not angels; for the form of the phrase excludes this possibility. In the
apocalyptic vision an angel is simply designated "a man." Hence the words "like a man " $=$ " like an angel," i.e. a being who is of a supernatural character but not an angel. Thus in Daniel we are to infer that the faithful remnant in Israel are to be transformed into supernatural beings as in 1 Enoch xc. 38 (16I b.c.). That this is the meaning of the text is proved by the adjoining clause, "there came with the clouds of heaven." This clause imples beyond question supernatural authority.

Thus in Daniel the phrase is a collective designation of the righteous Israelites after they have undergone a heavenly transformation.

But a further development was necessary before we arrive at the conception conveyed by this phrase in our author, and this development was reached first, so far as existing literature goes, in 1 Enoch xxxvii.-lxxi., the author of which interpreted "one like a son of man " of an individual, i.e. the Messiah, and by so doing rose to the conception of a supernatural Messiah. Thus the way was prepared for the N.T. designation "the Son of Man" ( $\delta$ viòs rov̀ ávtpímov) which in the Guspels has thrown off its distinctive apocalyptic form-" like unto a Son of Man" (is viòs áv $\theta$ pwinov), a form, however, which has been retained in the Apocalypse. On this use of is in apocalyptic see additional note on i. 10, vol. i. p. 35 sq. For a like transition inside our



In 4 Ezra xiil. 3 (before 70 A.D. ?) we find the very same expression. The Latin here is wanting, but the Syriac = is
 paraphrastic rendering of $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \mu$ orov as in the Peshitto of Ezek. i. 5, 22, 26, $x .1$; and of Rev. i. 13, xiv. 14. See Gwynn, Apocalypse of St. John, i. 13, note. Thus the Syriac of the Peshitto in Rev. i. 13, xiv. 14, and 4 Ezra xiii. 3 is exactly the same, and both presuppose öroovv viòv (i.e. vị̂) div $\theta \boldsymbol{\rho} \dot{\pi} \pi o v$. Hence 4 Ezra xiii. 3 should be rendered as follows:
"And I beheld and lo! the wind caused to come up out of the heart of the seas one like a son of man. And I beheld and lo ! this son of man flew with the clouds of heaven." The Ethiopic version supports this rendering.
opocon uidv. See the last note but one.
 i. 7.
orídavov xpuroiv. We have here the golden wreath but not the dadijuata, which he wears in xix. 12. Even in the Apocalypse the orépavos has many associations. Probably it carries with it here the idea of victory as in ii. 10, iii. In, vi. 2.
[15-17. We have seen already in note on p . 18 that these
verses are a doublet of 14, 18-20. We have found that 14, 18-20 come from the hand of our author and form a uniform picture, the unity of which is broken up by the interpolated verses 15-17. This doublet was probably suggested by the poetic parallelism in Joel iii. 13.
" Put ye in the sickle, for the harvest is ripe : Come, tread ye, for the winepress is full."
In 15-17 the judgment is represented as a harvesting of the earth. This figure is used both in the O.T. and in the Gospels in relation to the last judgment: cf. Matt. xiii. 30, 39, but not in the Apocalypse, save in the present interpolated passage, where the figure is worked out fully and vividly. The interpolator of 15-17 has, of course, imitated the phrasing and diction of the Apocalypse, but he betrays his ignorance in four constructions,

 $\kappa \alpha \theta \eta \mu e ́ v \varphi i \in i \pi i \hat{\eta} s v \in \phi \lambda_{\eta s}$ (whereas our author would have written




 looking back to 6 or 9 can hardly be jusufied, since 6-I i and 14-20 are quite distinct visions. Even the use of кai ciठov кai iioov in xiv. 14 (see note on iv. 1) is sufficient to prove that this is so.

It is most important to distingursh the different meanings of a $\lambda$ dos in xiv. $8,9,15,17,18$. In xiv. 8,9 there is no difficulty. $d \lambda \lambda o s$ is used idiomatically as in classical Greek, and the phrase $=$ "another, the second angel," etc. But in xiv. $15,17,18$ there is this use and another. In 15, 17 we have the ordinary use, where the phrase = "another angel." For the interpolator of xiv. 15-17 regarded the Son of Man in xiv. 14 merely as an angel, since in xiv. 17 he makes an angel hold joint authority with Him in the Messianic Judgment and discharge in xiv. 19-20 the duty assigned to the Messiah in xix. 11-16. Hence in xiv. 15 didos a ${ }^{\gamma} \gamma{ }^{2} \lambda_{0}=$ "another angel." But in xiv. 18 the phrase is to be rendered differently. There, on the excision of
 indeed to the Son of Man in xiv. 14, but at the same time it distinguishes this angel from the Son of Man, as a different kind of being ; for nowhere throughout our author is the Son of Man conceived of as an angel. Hence ${ }^{2} \lambda \lambda . d_{\gamma} \gamma=$ "another, an angel." Cf. the use of érepos in Luke xxii. 32.
in roî vaov. S.e. the heavenly temple. See note in vol. i. p. intsq.

крájav dv фwrin $\mu$ eqding. Our author does not insert the iv: cf. vi. 10, vii. 2, 10, X. 3, xix. 17, where we have кр́'̧̧cv фurin $\mu \epsilon \gamma \dot{a} \lambda p$. It is true that in xviii. 2 we have крá̧ect iv io $\chi^{\prime}{ }^{2} \rho \bar{q}$ $\phi \omega \nu \bar{j}$. But this latter passage is from another source.
 construction is against the usage of our author, though it is the more usual in classical Greek. See note on iv. 2.
 have apparently a paraphrase of Joel iv. 13 , בוּ מַּ קָּיר, the first line being an expanded translation of the first two words in Joel, and the second and third lines being probably duplicate paraphrastic renderings of the last three words, though, like the LXX and the Targum, they presuppose a text differing
 presupposes by $\bar{\eta} \lambda \theta$ c some Hebrew verb $=$ "has come" as also



 exampled in the sense of "to ripen," so far as I am aware, but might be explained as a rendering of a corrupt text. Enpaive means "to dry up," as in xvi. 12, or "to wither" when used of plants (cf. Matt. xiii. 6, etc.) or of crops (cf. Joel i. 17, $\dot{\varepsilon} \xi \eta \rho a v \theta \eta$ бiros), but not "to ripen." It will be observed that the witer of 15-17 uses some form of the Hebrew text and not the LXX.
ro $\delta$ Sé́mavóv סov. We should observe two things here. First, we should expect the addition of ro ózv here, since the epithet is already attached to סpémavov in 14. In 18, which we hold to be the orginal sequel to 14, we find, as we should expect, $\pi \epsilon^{\prime} \mu \psi o v$
 oov after its noun, in which case the emphasis is laid on the pronoun, whereas in 18 the $\sigma o u$ stands before its noun, in which case
 the thoroughness with which the vintage is carried out in 19-20.
wipa $\theta \in \rho i \sigma a l$. For the construction cf. v. 5, ix. 10, xi. 6, xvi. 9.
16. This verse is modelled on 19, the judgment being referred to under the figure of a harvest instead of a vintage. But, as I

 rìv $\gamma \dot{\eta} v$ is unexampled in our author. In such a construction eis not $\dot{\pi} \boldsymbol{i}$ is always used by him. See note on 19 .
17. Toî vaoû $2 v$ Tी oûpavé. See note in vol. i. p. 111 sq .]
18. We here resume the original vision into which $15-17$

"another, an angel." See note on 15 above. The phrase
 events it makes no contribution to the context. If it had any right to a place in our text it might have been in viii. 3, but even there it would have been meaningless. Lists of angels who were set over the natural elements will be found in a Enoch 1x. 11-21; Jub. ii. 2. See Bousset, Religion des Judentums ${ }^{1}$, 317 ; Encyc. Bib. ii. 1258 sqq. ; Jewish Encyc. i. 589 sqq.
äyyelos . . . ix toû Өuctaotnpiou. When the disturbing interpolation, ${ }^{15-17}$, is removed, the rôle of the angel, who came forth from the altar, is at once intelligible. The number of the martyrs is at last complete, and now their prayer (vi. 10) from beneath the altar can be fulfilled, and so the angel, who has to do with the souls of the martyrs beneath the altar, is entrusted by God with the task of carrying to the Son of Man the command to undertake the judgment of the earth-to thrust in the sickle and reap the vintage and tread the winepress of the wrath of God (cf. xix. 15). This judgment is the Messianic judgment that precedes the Millennial reign of the Messiah. In xvi. 7 the altar itself proclaims the truth and righteousness of God's judgments. In ix. is a voice from the altar commands the letting loose of the first demonic woe against those who had not the seal of God in their foreheads. On this-the one heavenly altarsee note in vol. i. p. 224 sq. Only one angel is mentioned here in connection with the righteous martyrs beneath the altar. But in contemporary and earlier apocalyptic many angels were said to keep guard over the souls of the departed righteous: cf. 1 Enoch c. 5; 4 Ezra vii. 85, 95.

 author. For the entire phrase cf. Mark i. 26; Acts xvi. 28.

 which the first and third lines are largely a reproduction of Joel iv. 13. The first line consists simply of the words in Joel, with the addition of the epithet "sharp," which the context requires (cf. 14 ad fin.), while the third line is in part a translation and in part a transformation of the clause in Joel, כי בשל $7 \times \mathrm{Yp}$; for $\ddot{\eta} \kappa \mu a \sigma a \nu$ is a good rendering of לem, whereas ai $\sigma$ тaфu入ai aưT $\hat{s}$ s is simply substituted instead of $\theta \in p / \sigma \mu$ ós $=7$ ryp. And yet this tristich gives the impression of the master hand, and drives home in each line with ever-increasing force the thought of the Seer, who does not quote but simply transforms an O.T. couplet to serve his present purpose. What a contrast it presents to the feebleness of the tristich in $15!$ It should perhaps be mentioned here that some scholars have taken $15-16$ to refer to the ingather-
ing of the saints, and ry-20 to the judgment that befalls the wicked, and thought that there is a delicate propriety in the fact that the former is assigned to the Son of Man, and the latter to a nameless angel. But there is no support for this view in our author ; for in xix. $11-16$ it is this very treading of the winepress of the wrath of God that is especially the rôle of the Son of God. Moreover, in the rest of the N.T. the words $\theta$ epirecv and $\theta$ eptopós are used of reaping harvests good or bad, alike as regards man (cf. Matt. xxv. 24, 26 ; Gal. vi. 7, 8 ; 1 Cor. ix. 11) and the angels, who are termed the $\theta$ eptorás (see Matt. xiii. 30, 39 : cf. Mark iv. 29). As a man sows good or evil, he reaps accordingly. Similarly in the judgment, the angels gather alike the tares and the wheat in the last great harvesting (Matt. xini. 30, 39). The word бítos, "wheat," as a symbol of the righteous is not found in our author. Finally-to resume once more-in xiv. 6-20 we have a proleptic summary from the divine standpoint of the Messianic judgments which are represented on a larger canvas in xvi. 18-xviii. and xix. 11-21, xx. 7-ro. Thus there is no ground for the attempt to differentiate and justify the occurrence side by side of what are really doublets. $15-17$ are, from whatever standpoint we regard them, a disturbing element in the text.

 v. 6, vi. 13, viii. 5,7, ix. 1,3 , xii. $4,9,13$, xii. 13 , xvi. $1,2$.
 xiv. ${ }^{15}$-17. $\beta_{a}$ av is here another rendering of in Joel iv 13 .
[ $\delta$ äyeios]. An interpolation due to the hand that inserted 15-18. The Son of Man is never described as an angel. See note on 15 .

Tìv $\lambda \eta r \delta \nu$. . . rò $\mu$ reyar. This irregularity is probably to be explained by the fact that $\lambda \eta v o{ }^{s}$ in classical Greek is sometimes masculine (Winer, § lix. 4, p. 66r ; cf. Kautzsch's Gesenius' Heb. Gram. for similar anomalies in Heb.: § $110 k$, 1350 , 144a, $145 p, t, \mu)$. Analogous solecisms are characteristic of our author:

$\lambda \eta$ ò̀v toû $\theta$ ypoû roû $\theta$ eoù. Here and in xix. 15 only in the
 xv. 1, 7, xvi. I, 19, xix. 15.
20. Though the Messianic judgment as executed by the Son of Man is here described in awful terms, it is not the final judgment, which is regarded by our author as the prerogative of the Father : cf. xx. 1 r sqq. The diction appears to be influenced by Isa. Ixiii. 3, where Yahweh declares, "I have trodden the winepress alone," and in I Enoch c. 3, "And the horses shall walk up to the bieast in the blood of sinners, And the chariot
shall be submerged to its height." This phraseology reappears in Talmudic writings in connection with the carnage at Bether in the time of Hadrian: see my note in 1 Enoch c. 3: also 4 Ezra xv. 35, erit sanguis a gladio usque ad ventrem equi ; 36, et femur hominis et poplites cameli.
 of "the city." It is not Rome (for its destruction has already been announced in the hearing of the Seer in 9), but Jerusalem. It is, moreover, most probably not the earthly Jerusalem but the heavenly Jerusalem which is to descend from heaven to be the centre of the Kingdom of Christ for the 1000 years. For in the notes on $\mathbf{x x}$. 1-6 we shall see that the heavenly Jerusalem described in xxi. 9 -xxil. 2 is in reality the city that is to come doun from heaven to take the place of the old Jerusalem and become the capital of Christ's kingdom for the 1000 years. If xiv. 14, 18-20 is a proleptic summary of xix. II-21 only, then the city referred to might be the historic Jerusalem, or rather its ruined site: but if this is a summary of xix. 11-21 and also $\mathbf{x x}, 7-10$, then the city can be none other than the city that came down from heaven-the seat of the Messianic Kingdom.

Jewish tradition had long associated the neighbourhood of Jerusalem with the scene of the judgment of the Gentiles. According to Joel iii. 2, 12, God was to assemble and judge the Gentiles in "the valley of Jehoshaphat," a phrase which Theodotion renders by $\tau \grave{\eta} v \chi^{\omega}{ }^{\omega} \rho a y ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s$ кpícews. According to the Midrash Mishle, 68 d, God was to judge the whole world in this valley. It is referred to in I Enoch liii. I (where see my note). Zechariah speaks also of the judgment of the Gentiles, who laid Jerusalem desolate, being executed on the Mount of Olives (xiv. 2 sqq., 12 sq .). In our author it is all the heathen nations that are to be similarly judged.
< $\xi \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta=v$ aipa кт入. See preceding notes.
dind $\sigma$ tadiwv $\times T \lambda$. $=$ "to a distance of 1600 furlongs." This
 $\left.\pi \eta \chi^{\omega} \nu \delta \iota a \kappa o \sigma i \omega \nu\right)$ ). Abbott (Gram. p. 227) describes it as "a natural transposition arising from the desire to give prominence to the notion 'distant,' as in our ' distant two hundred cubits,' and then illogically allowing the preposition that signifies distance to govern 'cubits.' " Similar transpositions occur in the case of apó,

 from Moulton, Gram. 101, note) : and also in the case of $\mu$ rá : cf. Test. Reub. i. 2 ; Test. Zeb. i. 1 ; Plut. Coriol. 1 r. These idioms are not Latinisms but of Greek origin.

As regards the number itself various explanations have been offered, but none is absolutely convincing. Some have found
in 1600 the idea of completeness, and therefore inferred that the deluge of blood swept over the whole earth (as Victorinus-per omnes mundı quattuor partes), the holy city alone being exempt. Others have conceived that Palestine only was designed by the number, since according to Jerome (Ep. 129, Ad Dard.) the length of Palcstine from Dan to Beersheba was 160 miles. But 160 miles =only 1280 stades. A nearer approach to the number in our text is to be found in the Itinerarium of Antoninus, according to which Palestine was said to be 1664 stades from Tyre to El-Arish.

Of the two above interpretations I am inclined to adopt the former. The more we study xiv. 14, 18-20 the more clear it becomes that it deals with the same subject as xix. 11-21, for xiv. 18-19 clearly state that the judgment is of the whole earth. Hence it is not merely the heathen nations that had submitted to the antichristian empire of Rome that are destroyed in xix. 11-21, but likewise all the remaining heathen nations that rebel aganst the kingdom of Christ (xx. 7-10) after the lapse of the $\mathbf{r} 000$ years. Hence the slaughter is commensurate with the inhabited world.
 the heavenly horsemen who are mentioned definitely (xix. 14") in another vision dealing with the same events, i.e. xix. 11-2 I.

## CHAPTER XV.

## § 1. Character and object of this Chapter.

The chronological order of events in the Seer's visions of the future is here resumed. In other words, this chapter, so far as chronological order goes, follows immediately on xini.; for, as we have seen (see p. I: cf. 32), xiv. broke away from this order and was wholly proleptic, passing over as it did the first six Bowls and summarizing the divine judgments from the seventh Bowl (xvi. 17) to xx. 7-io. In order, therefore, to recognize the connection between xiii. and the present chapter, we have only to remember that in xiii. 15 the second Beast caused all that refused to worship the first Beast to be put to death. Thus all the faithful were put to death and the roll of the martyrs was complete with the close of xiii. ; and when we come to xy. we find that xv. 2-4 looks upon the destruction of the entire body of the faithful as already an event of the past (see note on xv. 2-4), and represents the entire martyr host as standing on the sea of glass before God and singing a song of praise to the Lord God of Hosts. xv. I is an interpolation (see § 7). In xv. 5-xvi. I the Seer has a vision of the appointment of seven angels with seven

Bowls to execute the last series of cosmic woes upon the earth. It is to be observed that in these three series-the Seals, the Trumpets, and the Bowls-there is a distinct development and not a mere recapitulation as has hitherto either wholly or in part been assumed by students of the Apocalypse. This will be clear as we advance.

For to the first four Seals and the sixth all men-saints and sinners, Christians and heathen alike-are subject. Only the faithful are the victims of the fifth Seal. The sixth Seal describes in hyperbolic language the signs which precede the end, which, however, is not so near as the unbelievers apprehend. But there are worse things to come, the fifth, sixth and seventh, or rather the first, second, and third, Trumpets, ${ }^{1}$ that is, the three demonic Woes, viii.-ix., xi. $14^{\text {b }}, 15$, xii.-xiii. In vii. the faithful are sealed in order to secure them from these Woes. Hence these Woes did not affect the faithful, but only those that were without the seal of God. When we come to the Bowls we have arrived at a fresh stage of development. Since the martyrdom of the fathful is complete in xiii. and all the martyrs are represented as already in heaven in $x v .2-4$, it is clear that only the heathen nations, that form the Roman Empire or hold aloof from it, survive.

On this heathen world, limifed in the fifth Burwl to the empire of the Beast, are poured down the plagues of the seven Bowls. Whilst the Seals and the Trumpets or Woes could be regarded as having a disciplining effect on the fatthful, however they might affect the unbelievers, the Bowls cannot be regarded in any other light than that of punishments, though such expressions as those in xvi. 9 , II, which refer to the refusal of men to repent notwithstanding these plagues, point to the fact that repentance was still possible for them. That the plagues, which are universal and not local (except the fifth), do not annililate the heathen nations is clear from the fact that the eternal gospel (xiv. 6) is to be preached to the surviving nations after the close of the seven Bowls and the Messianic judgment (xix. 11-2 1).
§ 2. Relation of $x v$. to xiv. and $x v i .-x x$. In xiv. our author has given three proleptic visions-the first portraying the blessedness awaiting the martyrs in the Millennial kingdom, while the second and third describe the judgments about to befall Rome and the heathen nations. Thus xiv. summarized the outstanding events from the close of the universal martyrdom of the faithful to the final judgment. From this prelude the Soer now returns to describe in detail the events thus briefly fureshadowed, and first of all the blessedness into which the martyred faithful enter immediately on death, xv. 2-4, and the subsequent

[^1]Millennial reign on earth, xx. 4-6 (cf. xiv. 1-5). Next we have the vision of the seven last Plagues, which are to descend on the heathen world, from which all the faithful had already been removed, xy. 5-xviii. (cf. xiv. 6-11). The doom of antichristian Rome, which does not come to pass till the seventh Plague, is portrayed with great fullness in xvii.-xvin. On the destruction of Rome there follow thanksgivings in heaven, xix. $1-8$. The next act of judgment is that executed by the Son of Man on the heathen nations, xix. 11-21 (cf. xiv. 14, 18-20).
§ 3. This chapter consists of two visions. The first, xv. 2-4, deals with the triumphal song sung by the martyrs as they stand round the sea of glass in heaven. It is a song of unmixed praise and thanksgiving ; for the last martyrdom on earth is over and the ranks of the martyrs in heaven are now complete. I he second vision relates to the Seven Angels who come forth from the heavenly Temple and are thereupon furnished with the seven Bowls which are full of the wrath of God.
84. The diction of $x v .2-8$ is, except in the case of one phrase in 6, that of our author. This will be apparent as we proceed.

1. This verse could be assigned to him in point of diction but
 context. As I shall show in § 7 ad fin., our author could not use this expression here or in 6 . Otherwise the language recalls that of our author, but yet in certain respects with a difference. kai stoov is, of course, attested throughout the book. oŋmeiov. This word has already been used in a like (and yet not altogether like) connection in xii. 1, 3. A perfect parallel to its present usage would have led us to expect it in vini. 13. péya kai 0aupaoróv: cf. xv. 3. dyrthous emró. This is the right order for the numeral in our author. tas दoxátas seems borrowed from xxi. 9. öт dv aùraîs lrèéoo $\eta$ ктג. : ct. 8 But the subjectmatter is open to objection (see note in loc.).
2. vecêvras dk. A pregnant construction. Though viкâv is a favourite verb with our author the construction here is umque in Greek. toû enpíou kai . . . tî̀s eixóvos aüroû nai . . . toû dpı日moû
 author in $\mathrm{x} .5,8$ writes $\ell \pi \ell$ with the gen. in this phrase. But his usage is not fixed. See note on xv. 2. xıOdpas toû $\theta$ eoû a Hebraism.
 as kai $\boldsymbol{\phi} \delta o v r a s . ~ I n ~ f a c t ~ t h i s ~ i s ~ t h e ~ r e a d i n g ~ o f ~ \% ~ P r i m . ~ V g . ~ a n d ~$
 title of God in our author: cf. i. 8, iv. 8, xi. 17, xvi. 7, xix. 6, xxi. 22. 8ixalal kai d $\lambda \eta \theta$ ıvaí recurs in converse order in xvi. 7 , xix. 2.
3. botos. Used only in xvi. 5, as here of God, but not in
 xiv. 7, where all the nations are bidden apooкvvírate $\tau \hat{\psi}$
 A clause used in our author introducing a new vision: cf. iv. in. Hence 1 cannot be right, since it has already introduced the

4. "'xorres ràs ímrd $\pi \lambda \eta \gamma d{ }^{2}$. This phrase descriptive of the seven angels, as also in $x v .1$, is against the usage of our author as well as agamst the context. See below, §7. droe8upirou


 Cf. xvii. 17 , xx. 3, for the same clause.

## § 5. Strongly Hebraic character of $x$ v.

This chapter is Hebraic in character. 1. The writer translates in xv. 4 the Hebrew of Jer. x 7, where the LXX is lacking:


 verbatim with the LXX of Ps lxxxvi. 9, save that it omits ofa eroinoas after dorv. But there is nothing distinctive in the LXX rendering.
2. As regards the order this is also Hebraic. The verb nearly always begins the clause or follows immediately on the subject as in $4,7,8$. In 4 the position of $\overline{\text { ¢ }}$, to gives emphasis.
3. There are some Hebrairms. Thus in 2-3 we have rois


 due to a Hebrasm.
4. In 5, 6 there are two phrases which apparently cannot be satisfactorily explained except on the hypothesis that $\delta$ rads tins $\sigma \kappa \eta u \eta{ }_{\mathrm{S}}$ rov̂ $\mu a \rho \tau v \rho i o v ~ i n ~ 5 ~ i s ~ a ~ t r a n s l a t i o n ~ f r o m ~ a ~ H e b r e w ~ t e x t, ~$ and that a corrupt one, and that the second phrase in 6 is due to the translator taking text it could only $=\beta$ víarrov. See text in locis.
§ 6. $x v .2-8$ appears to be a translation by our author from a Hebrew source.

Since xv. 2-8 is from the hand of our author ( 8 4), and since, according to $\S 5$, xv. 2-8 is not only strongly Hebraistic but appears to imply a Hebrew source ; and, finally, since in xv. 5, 6 the text can be best explained on the hypothesis of a corrupt

Hebrew source, this section appears to be a translation by our author from a Hebrew source.
§ 7. xv. I appears to be an interpolation, the chapter having originully begun with $x v .2$ : further, for oi é ítà ärye入ot oi éxoves ràs émrà $\pi \lambda \eta \gamma$ ás in $\sigma$ we should read simply ä $\gamma \gamma \in \lambda o t$ é $\pi r a ́$. . (Cf. xv. 7, xvi. i.) The question has naturally been asked, how can the Seer have seen the angels in xv. i, before they came forth from the Temple, which was not opened till xv. 5 ? This fact has caused much difficulty, and, as we should expect, a variety of explanations has been offered. Volter in his last works assigns xv. 5-6 to the Apocalypse of Cerinthus and xv. 1-4, 7 to an editor in Trajan's time. Weyland ascribes xv. $1,6-8$ to an editor and the remaining verses to two different sources. Spitta traces $x v .1$ and fragments of $2,3,5$ and 7 to an editor: Erbes, xv. 1, 5-8 to an editor of the year 80 A.D., and J. Weiss, xv. r-4, 6-7 to an editor of the year 95 A.D.

None of these solutions of the difficulty has gained acceptance, and so Bousset thinks there is no need to excise any part of the text, and that xv. i is simply to be regarded as a superscription. But this explanation is, if anything, less satisfactory than the preceding. For (1) a superscription should not be introduced by the words кai ধǐov, but rather be something of the
 scription it ought to have been inserted immediately after 4 and not before 2, seeing that 2-4 constitute an independent and absolutely different vision. (3) That a new and important vision is introduced by xv. 5 is shown by the use of the clause $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{̀}$ taîra єโ̊ov. See iv. I n. (4) Again, as I have shown below, the Seven Angels are wrongly described in this verse as "seven angels having seven plagues-the last." Their right description would be "seven angels having seven bowls." (5) The clause
 wrath of God cannot be conceived as coming to an end till sin is at an end or adequately punished. And this does not take place tili the final judyment. (6) The scene of the Seer's vision is wrongly represented as having been experienced on the
 was undoubtedly in heaven : cf. xv. 5 sqq. Accordingly there appears to be no other way of solving the difficulty than to suppose either that xv . I is due to a marginal gloss subsequently incorporated in the text, or that it was an early interpolation by a scribe. Hence the chapter really begins with the vision of the triumphant martyrs in heaven, xv. 2-4, while xv. 5 introduces the vision of seven angels coming forth from the Temple in
 $r \lambda \eta \gamma$ ás we should simply read ä $\gamma \gamma \boldsymbol{\text { eloc }}$ è $\pi \tau$ á. 'The change was
made when xv. I was incorporated in the text. The interpolator, moreover, made a very inept addition. He did not know his text. For, as is clear from xv. 7, the Seven Angels did not receive "the bowls full of the wrath of God" till after they had left the Temple, whereas in 6 they are represented as having the plagues before doing so. Again, these Seven Angels, when they are mentioned subsequently, as they are four times, are never described as "the seven angels having the seven plagues," but simply as "the seven angels," $x v .7, x v i . ~ r$, or "the seven angels which had the seven bowls," in xvii. 1, xxi. 9. Each bowl contains a plague, and so the seven plagues, xv. 8, result respectively from the pouring forth in succession of the seven Bowls.

1. This verse cannot be original, as we have already seen in the Introd. to this Chapter, $\$ 7$. It is true that the style resembles that of our author, but there are strong grounds against its being from his hand. The first is that the Seer cannot have seen the angels in 1 , seeing that the Temple is closed till 5, and the angels do not emerge from it till then. But there are other and more radical grounds for the rejection of this verse. (1) First, as we are aware (see note on iv. 1) the
 important section in the Apocalypse ; but, if it had already been introduced in xv. 1 , then this clause would be out of place. Moreover, in xv. I the clause kai eloov is used by our Seer to introduce the less important sections. The interpolator of xv .1 does not seem to have been aware of this usage. If we excise xv. I and remember that xiv. is wholly proleptic in character, refering as it does to events subsequent to xvi. 17 (see p. 2), then the blessedness of the martyred faithful is set forth in contrast to
 'Xovras $\pi \lambda \eta \gamma$ às $\dot{\operatorname{e}} \pi r^{\prime} \dot{\alpha}$ is not that used by our author elsewhere, save in 6 where it appears also to be an interpolation, though it may have been suggested by the last clause in xv. 8. For the last three words we should have éxovias фıádas èmrá: cf. xv. 7, xvii. 1, xxi. 9. See also 8. The phraseology throughout xvi. also supports this objection. (3) The words tàs ívxíaas (probably derived from xxi. 9) are generally explained as the last plagues in contrast to the plagues mentioned in ix. 20; but that this is not the meaning of the zeriter of this verse is clear from the clause which follows, with which we shall now deal.
 tov̂ $\theta$ coû-cannot be given any satisfactory explanation. It inanifestly states that the wrath of God will be consummated in these seven Bowls, whereas the last and most terrible of the divine judgments do not take place till after their close. The
 which is probably the source of the interpolator's phrase. These seven Plagues can be described as wholly consummated in xvi.-xvili., but not so the wrath of God.

On the above grounds we cannot but regard $x v$. I as an interpolation. When this interpolation was once effected, a $\gamma \gamma \in \lambda$ ou
 $\pi \lambda \eta$ yós in order to adapt the context to the text thus interpolated. The incongruity of the addition oi "Xovres $\tau$. è $\pi r \dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda_{r} \gamma^{\text {ás }}$ is very glaring, seeing that the seven angels do not receive the plague-bearing Bowls till the next verse.
kal et8ov. See notes on iv. I.
кai etoov . . . dv тథ̣̂ oưpaṿ̣̂. This clause seems to have been suggested by xii. I.
 implies that the Seer is on earth. But clearly in the vision of the Seven Angels he is in heaven : see xv. 5, xvi. I. This fact the interpolator falled to recognize.
 Oavpaorá in 3. dyreilous emrà . . . toû $\theta$ eovi. This passage has already been dealt with above. See also note on 7 .

2-4. With this vision the march in the development of future events is resumed. The line of advance was abandoned for the time being in xiv., which, as we have seen, is wholly proleptic, and summarized all the coming judgments from the seventh Bowl to the immediate advent of the final judgment. Hence xy $2-4$ is to be taken in close connection with xill. In xiii. 15 the second Beast is represented as exterminating the entire body of the faithful, and xv. 2-4 looks upon that extermination as already an event of the past. The roll of the martyrs is at last complete, and no longer are any of the faithful to be found on the earth, but only the heathen nations, which are either devoted followers of the Antichrist or occupy a neutral position. xv. 2-4 thus forms a companion picture to vii. 9-16. In both these the roll of the martyrs has just been completed or is on the eve of completion in heaven. The final judgment is still in the distance; for the conversion of the nations (cf. the announcement of the glad tidings in xiv. 6) is foretold (xv. 4) as an event of the future. In xiv. 1-5 and xx. 4, which depict a later stage, the martyrs have descended with Christ to the earth to share with Him the Millennial reign.
2. Us (see Additional Note, i. p. 35 sq.) $\theta$ ada rici). This sea has already been referred to in iv. 6. The absence of the article is what we expect in this Hebraistic expression, which = " the likeness of a sea of glass."
 heavenly sea when it is mentioned in iv. 6. But the difference of context is a sufficient explanation. In iv. 6 the vision is one of peace, whereas here it is one of judgment. This phrase, therefore, may refer either to the sea as glowing with the wrath of God, or as reflecting the lightnings that proceeded from the throne of God, though there is here, it is true, no mention of these lightnings. In 2 Enoch xxix. 1-2 there is a passage that may be quoted, though it does not throw any real light on the text. "From the gleam of My eye the lightning received its wonderful nature, which is both fire in water, and water in fire."
rois vicêrtas in roü $\theta$ ppiou. This is a very difficult phrase. There is no difficulty with the participle. Here vexŵvtas= vevicŋкóтas. The great tribulation is over, and the martyre have triumphed over the Beast by proving faitliful unto death. As our author thinks in Hebrew, his use of the Greek participle reflects that of the Hebrew participle, which, as we are aware,
 text. Here vıкஸ̂yras is to be taken as a perfect participle. The roll of the martyrs is at last complete, but it has only just been completed. The Antichristian powers have not yet been judged, nor has the Millennial Kingdom been as yet established. When this kingdom is established the martyrs shall descend and reign (v. 10) with Christ for 1000 years (xiv. 1-5, xx. 4), and all the nations of the earth, which had not been leagued with Rome, shall come and worship before (God (xv. 4, xxi. 24-26).
visêvtas $\mathbf{i n}^{2}$. The use of $\boldsymbol{i k}$ after viкйvtas is very difficult. Winer (p. 460) quotes this passage in connection with a number of other passages where ik is found, but not one of them has the same source as $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \mathrm{k}$ here. He compares the Latin expression " victoriam ferre ex aliquo," Livy, viii. 8, etc. But it would be difficult to justify the occurrence of a Latinism in our text. There is just the possibility that our author was here reproducing the Hebrew idiom הנברים טן־החיה (cf. 2 Sam. i. 23; Ps. lxv. 3)= "those who had been stronger than the beast." But no adequate explanation has as yet been offered.

As Swete (in loc.) has pointed out, the martyrs show themselves as conquerors up to the moment of death : cf. Ep. Smyrm.

 "illuxit dies victoriae illorum, et processerunt de carcere in amphitheatrum quasi in caelum, hilares et vultu decori." But our Seer follows them into the life beyond and sees the exultant host celebrating not their own victory but the praises of God.
 xiv. 9, II, XIX. 20, XX. 4.
vol. 11.-3
 ing on " (cf. x. 5, 8, xii. 18, xiv. 1) or "standing by" (cf. iii. 20). This scene of the victors standing on the heavenly sea with harps in their hands and praising God recalls Israel's song of triumph over Egypt on the shore of the Red Sea. The phrase-
 $\sigma \eta \mathrm{s}$. Further, our author prefers generally the phrase $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$
 that $i \sigma \tau \eta \mu c i \pi i$ is in the case of all other nouns followed by the accusatıve (iii. 20 , vii. $\mathrm{r}^{\text {a }}$, viii. 3, xı. 11, xii. 18, xiv. 1) may have led to the use of the accusative (instead of the gen.) of $\theta$ á $\lambda a \sigma \sigma a$ in the present instance after écoū̃as é $\pi i$.
kıOdpas toù $\theta$ eoû $=$ "harps belonging to the service of God." The omıssion of the article before кıӨápas is a Hebraism. On this idea cf. v. 8, xiv. 2. With this phrase we might compare I Thess. iv. $1^{16, ~ i v ~ \sigma a ́ \lambda \pi เ \gamma \gamma \iota ~ \theta e o v ̂, ~ a n d ~ i ~ C h r o n . ~ x v i . ~} 42$; 2 Chron. vii. 6, = "כלי שיר האלהים = instruments to accompany the songs of God."
 and the passage to be rendered: "I saw . . . standing on the sea . . . and singing." This Hebraism has occurred several times already : see note on xiv. 2-3.
 dpviou. Now that the maxtyr host is completed, and are already standing before God, they sing a song of praise to God, but not one of triumph over their enemies-a fact which differentiates this song from that in Ex. xy. The first words, "the song of Moses the servant of God," recall Ex. xiv. 3I, xv. 1, where Moses and the children of Israel sang a song of thanksgiving to God, but still more a paean of triumph over the Egyptians and of joy at their destruction (Ex. xv. 2-19).

As that song was sung on earth, on the shore of the Red Sca by Israel after the flesh, so this song is sung in heaven by the Sea of Glass before God by the spiritual Israel.
 creates insuperable difficulties. To excise (as most editors have done) кaì $\tau$. чioŋ̀v тov́ ápviov as an interpolation would only aggravate the difficulty in the present context. For, since it was through the Lamb that the Christian martyrs triumphed, if the song that followed was associated with any name, that name must have been that of the Lamb. Hence the difficulty does not originate in connection with this phrase, but rather with r. $\dot{\psi} \delta \dot{\eta} \nu \mathrm{M} \omega$ vodus. How then are we to explain the collocation of the two phrases? Bousset is of opinion that the repetition of the phrase suggests that the victors sang first the old Song of Moses (Ex. xv. 2-19) and then a new song-that of the Lamb, which is then given. This apparently is the view of Swete.
 notes are distinct though they form a harmony." This view can hardly be regarded as satisfactory. That the old dispensation is superseded is the essential belief of our author, and it can no less have been his conviction that in heaven the faithful members of the old dispensation would accept the teaching of the new. Moreover, if our author deliberately omits all reference to the Law throughout the Apocalypse, it would be surprising if he referred here to its author Moses, and placed him before the Lamb. This being so, we can hardly suppose that our author implies that the song of Moses, given in Ex. xv. 2-19, was actually sung by a body of Christian martyrs before the throne. We, therefore, expect that, if the text is original, the song given in our text, which makes no definte mention of either Moses or of the Lamb, was sung alike by the martyred fathful of Judaism and Christianity, and that too led by the Lamb, as the song in Ex. xy. was led by Moses. But the form of the text is against this conclusion, and implies that the song or songs are led by Moses and the Lamb.

Thus there seems to be no way out of the difficulty save by
 originated in a marginal gloss, which was subsequently incorporated in the text. Moreover, the nature of the song supports this assumption, since it is not a song of triumph, but stmply a paean of thanksgiving, which the martyrs sing, when in the first perfect unclouded vision of God they wholly forget themselves and burst forth into praise of the Lord God of Hosts, who alone is holy, whose works are great and marvellous, whose ways are righteousness and truth, and to whom all the nations shall do homage, because of the coming manifestation of God's righteous judgments.

Not until this stage does the Seer behold the complete spiritual transformation of the faithful in heaven. At the same time by its mention of divine judgments to come, it prepares the way for the advent of the Seven Angels with their plagues. This song, therefore, though sung by the victorious Christian host of martyrs, could not be more fittingly conceived. Its sole theme is God; for, in the perfect vision of God, self is wholly forgotten, and so far as there is a reference to the earth from which they have been delivered, it is one of hopefulness: "the nations shall come and worship before Thee."
 to the fact that the triumph of the actual Israel over the Egyptians at the Red Sea was certainly regarded by the Christians of the first century as prefiguring the triumphs of the spiritual Israel, as we see from the Pauline Epistles.

This song of Moses in Ex. xv. had already been incorporated in the Temple Services. Thus it was suig at the evening sacrifice on the Sabbath (Edersheim, The Temple, p. 188), and in the benediction that fullowed the Shema there is a reference to it: "A new song did they that were delivered sing to Thy name by the seashore" (Encyc. Bib. iv. 4954). According to Philo (De Vita Contempl. xi) this song was sung by the Therapeutae, the men forming one chorus and the women the other, while in the De Agricultura, xvii., he writes: "the chorus of men will have Moses for their leader and that of the women will be under the guidance of Miriam. . . . Accordingly all the men sing the song on the seashore. . . . Moses being the leader of their song; and the women sing-Miriam being their leader."

The Martyrs' Song is formed almost wholly of O.T. expressions.
 scribing the works of God is found in Ps. xcii. 5, cxi. 2, and the second in Ps. xcviii. 1, cxxxix. 14; 1 Chron. xvi. 9.
 occurs several times elsewhere in our text (see note on i. 8).
 17, Síxalos кúplos èv $\pi$ á́бals taîs ódoîs aưtoû: Deut. xxxii. 4,

 are a rendering of Jer. x. 7, which, though present in Aquila and Theodotion, are wanting in the LXX. The title "king of the nations" is here peculiarly approprrate, since it is God's dealings with the nations alike in the way of condemnation and of mercy that is the theme of this song and of the chapters that follow.


 ws кर́poos. With the expression $\mu$ óvos örtos cf. Rom. xvi. 27, но́vч боф̣̂.

 the judicial sentences of God in relation to the nations either in the way of mercy or condemnation. On Rome and all the adherents of the Empire will be manifested the judgments of God; whereas during the Millennial period the rest of the nations will experience His mercy. Cf. xiv. 6-7, which refer to the Millennial period. On the other hand, some scholars take סuкaıjцага in the sense of "righteous deeds"-a meaning which it undoubtedly possesses in the gloss in xix. 9 But

other analogous expressions are in favour of the interpretation given above.
 conversion of the nations during the Millennial reign : cf. xxi. 24 sq ., xxii. 2. In xiv. 7 the nations are exhorted to repent and worship God. Of course it is only the nations that survive the judgments in xvi.-xix. that are so converted.
 clause that immediately precedes: "for Thy righteous judgments shall have been made manifest."
XV. 6-XVI. 1. The commission of the Seven Angels with the Bowls.
6. кai $\mu$ erd taûta etfor. As has been shown on iv. 1 , this phrase is never used except at the beginning of an important section. Thus the insertion of xv. 1 , which already deals with this subject, is wholly against the usage of our author. See also above on I .


This designation of the heavenly Temple is certainly strange -"the temple of the tabernacle of the testimony." It appears either to be an interpolation or the rendering of a corrupt Hebrew source. If we translate the phrase into Hebrew it is clear that vaós must be rendered by sionally by עדאו). But it must certainly be confessed that is היכל אהל טוער an absolutely unexampled designation, and difficult to justify.

The phrase in the text cannot be a rendering of owa טתער (cf. Ex. xl. 2, 6, 29, since vaós is never used to translate (טשבן).

Zuillig thinks that this expression is used in order to recall the fact that, when the Tabernacle with all its holy vessels was brought up to Jerusalem by the order of Solomon, the Ark was removed from it and set up in the most holy place in the Temple ( 2 Chron. v. 5 ; 1 Kings viii. 4), and that from that time forward the temple could also bear the name of the Tabernacle, and that in fact it is called mishhkan (j $\mathfrak{j} \boldsymbol{D}$ ) in Ps. Ixxxiv. 2, cxxxii. 5, and ohel (אהא) in Ezek. xli. 1. Hence he would, as Bousset, render the entire phrase as "the temple, that is, the tabernacle of the testimony." The genitive would in this case be one of apposition. But against the identification of the vaós and ox $\quad \eta^{\prime}$ in our text there is the very great objection, that in Apocalyptic, from the vision in Isa. vi. to the latest times, it is the Temple, whether in heaven or on earth, and not the Tabernorle, that is referred to as the scene of apocalyptic vision. See note
on viii. 3. Hence, since throughout the rest of the Apocalypse this usage is followed, the natural inference is that it is followed here. If this be so, then it is to be concluded that $\boldsymbol{t} \boldsymbol{\eta} s$ $\sigma \kappa \eta \eta_{\hat{\eta}}$ rov̂ $\mu a p r v p i o v ~ i s ~ a n ~ a d d i t i o n . ~ I f ~ w e ~ m i g h t ~ h e r e ~ a s s u m e ~ a ~$ Hebrew original, we could dispose of the difficulty Thus $\delta$ vaos
 might easily be a corruption of $=\delta$ vaòs тov̀ $\theta \in 0 \hat{\delta} \delta \boldsymbol{d v} \tau \hat{\varphi}$ ovipavi-the very phrase that is found in xi. 19, with the same verb in both cases, i.e. $\dot{\eta} v o i \gamma \eta$.



 See note on the diction in i. 13.
 and the MSS of the Vulg. read $\lambda_{i} \theta_{0 \nu}$ for $\lambda_{i}$ yov, which is found in the lesser authorities. That $\lambda i \theta_{0} v$ would more readily be changed into $\lambda_{\text {ivov and not vice versa is evident in itself. Besides, though }}$ the best Codd. of the Vulg. have lafide the official text has linteo.
 in support of $\lambda_{i} \theta_{0}$. Further, $\lambda_{i v o v}$ ( $=$ flax) is not used as the equivalent of $\lambda^{\prime} v o v v$ ( $=$ a garment made of flax) except in a few passages throughout Greek literature: cf. Homer, Il. ix. 661, Od. xiii. 73, 118 ; Aesch. Suppl. 120, 132, Etym. Magn. The evidence, therefore, is strongly in favour of $\lambda$ i $\theta o v$. But, notwithstanding the advocacy of WH, $\lambda i$ iov cannot be right. We must, therefore, assume either that, despite the very great improbability, $\lambda_{i} \theta_{o v}$ is a primitive corruption of the all but unexampled word $\lambda i$ ivov ( $=$ "linen garment"-a most unusual meaning), or that we have here a mistranslation from the
 means either $\beta$ v́rocvos, Gen. xli. 42 ; Ex. xxviii. 35 (39), or $\lambda_{i} \theta_{0}$ (cf. Esth. i. 6) or $\mu a ́ p \mu a p o s ~(C a n t . ~ v . ~ 15) . ~ I n ~ f a c t, ~$ in later Hebrew it generally means " alabaster" or " marble." In the Epistle of Jeremy 71 the same mistranslation, as Ball has shown, occurs: "Ye shall know them to be no gods by the purple and the marble ( $\tau \hat{\eta} s \mu_{\text {s }} \mu_{\text {ápov }}$ ) which rotteth upon them." Here $\mu$ áp $\mu$ apos $=$ evici, which should have been rendered by тov̂ $\beta u \sigma \sigma i v o v=$ " fine linen." "Marble doesn't rot," as Ball remarks. Now returning to our text, if we may assume

 To confirm this conclusion we have only to turn to xix. 8 , where
 where we have the clause that should be in our text, dededumévo

Bérowvov גevkòv кäapóv. Hence we render "clothed in fine linen pure bright." On the significance of this expression see note on iii. 5 .

7. Ev \&k têv reaodipur tưuv, i.e. one of the Cherubim. See note on iv. 6. The Cherubim in the Apocalyptic of the ist cent. A.D. have come to be the chief order of angels. It is fitting therefore that one of them should act as an intermediary between God and the Seven Angels of the Bowls. Even in Ezek. x. 7 it is one of the Cherubim that hands over to one of the seven angels of judgment coals of fire to be sprinkled on the earth.
entd фıdi入as xpurâs. On the position of éntá before its noun see note on vini. 2.
 that the Greek word $\phi a^{\prime} \lambda \eta$ was adopted into both Hebrew and Aramaic as early as the beginning of the Christian era: see Levi's two Lexicons in loc. It is noteworthy that it is used in connection with the same idea as in our text in the Targum on Isa. li. 17, where the Hebrew ( $=$ "the cup of His
 .ע. Again in the Targum of Jon. on Gen. xl. 12 we have ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ="the bowl of wrath" (i.e. of the divine wrath). The word thus appears to have had the same idea associated with it as in our text. With the present passage cf. xiv. $8,10$.
toû \ôrtos cis toùs aiêvas. See note on iv. 9.
 to the vocabulary of our author but is not used in this connection in the LXX. The first four words recall the statement in Isa. vi. 4, where in connection with the great vision of Isaiah it is sard that "the house (i.e. the Temple) was filled with smoke"
 Temple being filled with smoke and with the glory of the Lord are found in Ezek. x. 4, "The house (i.e. the Temple) was filled with the cloud, and the court was full of the brightness of the Lord's glory" : Ex. xl. 35, "Moses was not able to enter into the tent of meeting because the cloud abode thereon, and the glory of the Lord filled the tabernacle." See also 1 Kings viii. 10. The glory of God is spoken of as filling the temple in Ezek. xliv. 4; 2 Chron. vii. 2-3. During such manifestations of God's presence no one could enter the earthly temple. In all the O.T. passages above cited the presence of God is a mark of His gracious purposes. Hence the inability of humanity to approach God in these passages was due to the infinite transcendence of God and His unapproachableness by merely finite
creatures. But that cannot be the meaning of the clause in our text.
dx $7 \mathrm{\eta} \mathrm{~s}$ Surducos. This attribute of God is here set parallel with His glory. It is to be manifested in the plagues that follow.
 on this verse, none could enter the earthly Temple in the O.T. during special manifestations of God's presence therein. But, since this cannot hold of the heavenly Temple, inasmuch as in heaven the heavenly hosts are constantly represented as standing in God's immediate presence, it seems necessary to attach a figurative meaning to the clause oibeis eduvaro cire $\lambda \theta \in i v \kappa \pi \lambda$., and to interpret these words as meaning that, until the plagues were accomplished, none could avert by prayer the doom about to befall the earth through these plagues. At the close of these judgments God's gracious purposes with regard to the nations would take effect : see xiv. 6, xxi. 24, 26.

## CHAPTER XVI.

## § 1. The object of this Chapter.

The object of this chapter is to set forth the last series of plagues that are to befall the earth. These plagues are symbolized under the seven Bowls which are poured forth by Seven Angels.

In these seven Bowls we have no mere repetition of the divine visitations in the Seals and Woes. Not only are they different in themselves from the Seals and Woes, but they differ further in respect of time and the people affected by them. Although these facts have been brought forward in the Introduction to xv., it is necessary here to emphasize them anew, since the prevailing view is that in the Bowls we have not advanced chronologically, but that in the Seals, Trumpets (Woes), and Bowls the same great principles of God's government are displayed under different aspects. Even scholars, like Bousset, who are opposed to the Recapitulati n Theory, are disposed to acknowledge in some degree its validity in regard to the Seals, Trumpets, and Bowls. Though the reader should consult the Introduction to $x \mathbf{x}$., I will here repeat the two chief differentiae between the Bowls and the Seals and Woes. These are that the people affected in each series is different and that their order is alike logical and chronological. Under the Seals (save the fifth to which only the Christians were subject) Christian and heathen alike suffer. Then after the sealing of the faithful takes place, all those who had not been so sealed are exposed to the three
demonic Woes. In the course of the thixd Woe the last of the faithful are martyred, and at its close the world is inhabited only by the heathen nations. Then follows the last series of cosmic and other plagues, which are all embracing in their incidence with the exception of the fifth Bowl, which is confined to the kingdom of the Beast, just as the fifth Seal affected only the faithful.

## § 2. State of the Text.

The text of this chapter has suffered much through faulty
 -an abnormal order of words in our author. Both the context and the diction of $x v 1.2^{c}$, and certain clauses in 13-14 are against therr authenticity, as is shown in the notes on these passages. The apparently meaningless interchange of cis and $\dot{\boldsymbol{e} \pi} \boldsymbol{i} \dot{i}$ after $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \chi^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \omega$ is strange. xvi. Io has sustained the loss of several clauses. xvi. $5^{\text {b }}-7$, which belongs to xix., has been restored in this edition to its original position after xix. 4; xvi. 15 , which belongs to iii., has been restored after iii. $3^{\text {D }}$.

## § 3. Diction and Idiom.

When the interpolated clauses in xvi. 2, 13-14 are removed, the entire phraseology and constructions are those of our author, with the exception of xul. I. There are, as was to be expected, phrases not found elsewhere in our author, but these are not against any established usage on his part.
(a) Diction.



 12, 17. тoû $\theta$ uцoû toû $\theta$ eoû : cf. xiv. 10, 19, xv. 7.
 xxi. 9 (a Hebraism). Cf. John v. 15, ix. 7, 11, xii. 36. Zү́lveto Enkos . . . emi. Here only in our author. On the interpolation toùs Éxovtas . . . aủroû, see note in loc.
$5^{\mathbf{L}}-7$. See Introd. to $\mathrm{xvi} .5^{\mathrm{b}}-7$ on p. 120 sqq.


 where the word refers to the same phenomenon. dk rô nowow: cf. i1, xxi. 4. Only once elsewhere in N.T., i.e. Col. iv. 13.


12. Eтoц $\mu a \sigma \theta \hat{\eta}$. A frequent word in our author. amd dvarodon $\dagger \lambda$ íou: cf. vil. 2.
18. тoû סpákortos . . . toû Onpiou : cf. xiii. 4. toû 中eu§otpo-
 order : see note on viii. 2.


 кра́тороs: cf. i. 8, iv. 8 n., xi. 17 , xv. 3, etc.
16. This verse should be read after iii. $3^{\mathrm{a}}$. Every phrase of it has its parallel in iii. See notes in loc.
 cf. ix. II.
17. фwvì . . . ̇̊k toû vaoû amd toû $\theta$ pórou: cf. xx. 2, 10 for this combination of prepositions. Elsewhere we have $\phi \omega v \dot{\eta} \dot{a} \pi \boldsymbol{i} \tau$. $\theta$ póvov in xix. 5 and $\phi$. éк $\tau . \theta \rho$. in xxi. 3 and éк $\tau$. $\theta \rho$. with other nouns iv. 5, xxil. r. yéyovev: cf. xxi. 6.
 . . $\mu$ é yas. Cf. vi. 12, viii. 5 , xi. 19, xvi. 18.
 xix. 15 .


(b) Idiom.
 unique in our author. It is certainly abnormal and is corrected in $\aleph P$ into $\phi \omega v \hat{\eta} s \mu_{\varepsilon} \gamma \dot{a} \lambda \eta s$. But there are a few instances where the adjective precedes its noun in our author: cf. i. Io, iii. 12 (in both passages, however, between art. and noun), and díyos in three cases.
2. $\begin{aligned} & \text { ydveтo . . . } k \pi i: ~ u n i q u e ~ i n ~ o u r ~ a u t h o r . ~\end{aligned}$
8. aifua ús vexpoû, i.e. ailpa ús aifaa vexpoû: see Additional Note in vol. i. p. 35.





1. $\mu e \gamma d \lambda_{\eta s} \phi \omega v \eta \eta_{s}$. In every other instance of this phrase, in the Apocalypse, i. 10, v. 2, 12, vi. 10, vii. 2, 10, viii. 13, x. 3, xi. 12, 15, xii. 10, xiv. 7, 9, 15, 18, xvi. 17, xix. 1, 17 , xxi. 3, the noun $\phi \omega v \eta$ precedes the adjective. This "great vorce," as that in 17 , seems to be that of God Himself and not of an angel. According to xy. 8 none could enter the Temple till the plagues were accomplished. But it is possible that it is the voice of the angel of the altar, as in xiv. 18.
tkxécre tdes durd̀ фıánas kid. Cf. Dan. ix. in, Jer. x. 25, xlii. 18, xliv. 6, on this Semitic use of the verb or in ikxic occurs repeatedly in this chapter and not elsewhere in the Apocalypse.

Enxdete (see Winer, § 1 3, 23. Blass, p. 4r, would read iкхéare)
 and the same verb with eis roìs rota $o$ ovs in 4 . On the other hand, we have the same verb with ími $\tau$. morapóv in 12 , and with

 can hardly on any hypothesis be regarded as the work of our author, see Introd. to viii., vol. i. p. 219 sq.
2. This plague recalls the sixth Egyptian plague: Ex. ix. ro-11; Deut. xxviii. 35.
dyéveco Enkos . . . томnpór. The first two words we have in Ex. ix. 10, Job ii. 7, עִּ

 dүéveтo . . . èv toîs áv $\theta$ pémois (i.e. בארם). Thus our author is independent of the LXX. For the construction, Luke i. 65, iii. 2 are generally quoted. émì $\tau 0 \grave{s} \mathrm{~s}$ à $v \rho$ púrrovs, "upon men," i.e. on all mankind: cf. xvi. 8, 2 I.
 eikóve aǘoû.] Cf. xili. 17, xiv. 9, 11 , six. 20.

This clause has been assigned by Spitta ( p .163 ) to the final editor of the Book, though he does not specify the grounds. There are, however, good reasons for regarding them as a gloss. r. The fourth Plague is universal in its incidence as regards the sun, and also the second so far as those on the sea are concerned. That the third is so likewise as regards all fresh waters is clear. Such also is the sixth and the seventh as regards the Euphrates and the air. Hence it is natural to expect that the first Plague is of the same character as in the second, third, and fourth, i.e. universal in its incidence. 2. The
 author's usage. See note on vii. in.

If the above conclusion is not valid, then we must assume that only the adherents of the Roman Empire, and not the rest of the heathen, are affected by the first Plague. In this respect the first and fifth Plagues would have the same incidence. But not only are the followers of the Beast subject to certain physical evils, but they alone are susceptible to the deceitful signs wrought by the false prophet ( $\mathbf{x} i=20$ ). With this susceptibility to evil influence we should contrast the security against demonic influences enjoyed by those who were sealed by God in vii. 4-8, ix. 4. But all the faithful have already been removed from the earth (see Introd. to xv. p. 26).
8. This Plague recalls the first Egyptian plague, Ex. vii. 172 I , though in the latter the Nile alone is smitten.
dytveтo aina. Cf. Ex. vii. 19, at.
 rd $\operatorname{lv}$ Tnी $\theta a \lambda d \sigma 00$. In viii. 9 only a third of the things in the sea perished. Here the destruction is complete.
 dyiveто aima, i.e. the fresh waters became blood.
 polation to introduce xvi. $5^{\text {b }}-7$, which originally followed after xix. 4, and to which context it is restored in this edition. Cf. 1 Enoch lxvi. 2. "Those angels were over the powers of the waters." In vii. I of our text the angels who had control of the winds are referred to, and in xiv. 18 the angel over fire, though the latter appears to be a gloss. On the various classes of angels see the Index to Charles' The Aporr. and Pseudep. vol. ii.; Bousset, Rel. des Judenthums, 3r7, also Jewish Encyc., art. "Angelology."

56-7. This passage has been restored after xix. 4, where the grounds are fully stated for this restoration.
8. The fourth Bowl takes effect on the sun, and causes a plague of excessive heat.
 cis as in the preceding verses, see note on 1 . The construction
 vii., vol. i. p. rgr.

 тây кav̂ $\mu$.
 ii. 24 ; 1 Tim. vi. I; Jas. ii. 7.

Éxovtos गोे dEouciav. See note on ii. 26 on the significance of the article with dछovriav. Bousset brackets here with the inferior MSS the article. This is strange, since he is aware that when $\boldsymbol{z}$ govaia is accompanied by the art. it connotis full authority or power, and this is certainly the meaning in the present passage.
os perevoñar. Cf. ix. 20, 21, xvi. 11. In xi. 13 the Jews repent owing to the earthquake.

סoûval aưT¢̂ 8 8 fav. Cf. xi. ${ }^{13}$, xiv. 7 , xix. 7.
10-11. The fifth Bowl. If we compare this Bowl with the first Woe it is clear that they are developments of the same tradition, though they refer to different periods, the Christians being still upon the earth during the Woes, but not during the Bowls. Thus both affect only the adherents of the beast (xvi. 10: cf. ix. 4). In xvi. ro the kingdom of the beast is darkened; in ix. 2 the sun is darkened by the smoke issuing from the pit, and from this smoke issued the demonic locusta. In xvi. ro-il
men gnawed their tongues through pain and blasphemed the God of heaven; in ix. 5-6 men were tormented by the locusts and sought death but could not find it.

Similarly we shall find that the sixth Bowl agrees closely with the second Woe (see note on xvi. 12-16), and the seventh Bowl with the third Woe (see note on xvi. 17-21). These facts will help us in the elucidation of the difficulties affecting the fifth Bowl.

But there is another point worthy of consideration. If we compare the seven Bowls with the Seven Seals, we find that, whereas only the faithful were the victims of the fifth Seal, only the followers of the beast are affected by the fifth Bowl.
10. The visitation on the kingdom of the Antichrist.

 had affected the world at large; the fifth assails only the kingdon of the Beast.
 Plague has been taken to be one of darkness recalling the Egyptian one, Ex. x. 21 sqq. But this interpretation cannot be upheld. A plague of darkness would be wholly insufficient to explain the agony experienced by the adherents of the Beast after the pouring out of the fifth Bowl. Hence something else than the darkness that ensued on the pouring out of the fifth Bowl must be presupposed as the cause of this agony. Now, if we turn to the first Woe of which the fifth Bowl is in some sense an abbreviation, we can explain both these statements. There we find that the sun was darkened by the smoke that issued from the pit (ix. 2). There is every reason for supposing that we have here the true explanation of the darkening of the kingdom of the Beast. Further, the cause of the torments endured by the adherents of
 be traced to the demonic locusts which issued from the smoke that ascended from the pit. Men were so tortured by the scorpionlike stings of these locusts (ix. 10) that they longed for death to end their agony (ix. 5-6). Hence we infer that after ímкorajév several clauses have been lost, in which the causes of the darkness and the sufferings of mankind were given. The hypothesis that certain clauses of the nature suggested originally stood after
 avirûv. These sores could not have been caused by the darkness. Spitta and, so far as I am aware, Spitta alone (p. 171) has recognized this fact that the fifth Bowl originally treated of demonic locusts as the first Woe does. Buthe rejects ( y 71 , 576)
 addition from the hand of the final editor on the ground that
the throne of the beast is not to be identified with Rome but with the abyss as in ix. II, and that accordingly the place on which the fifth Bowl is to be poured should be named, where the plague in question is to be developed, analogously with the rest of the plagues (xvi. 2, 3, 4, 8, 12, 17). He also excises the
 thesis. But I have already shown above that $\dot{\eta}$ ßaouciáa aürồ ©бкотшرé́ך can be fully justified. Nor can any valid objection
 Dragon had given his throne to the Beast. Thus it is only necessary here to suppose a lacuna in the text.

Z $\mu$ aocûvo кт入. In the LXX only in Job xxx. 5.
11. Their sufferings drove the followers of the beast to fresh blasphemy instead of to repentance.
tòr $\theta$ càv toû oúparoû. See xi. 13 .
 of the subjects of the Beast are not intelligible from the text as it stands after the loss of the clauses referred to in the note on 10. Some scholars explain it as referring to the phrase é̀коs како́r in 2.

12-16. The sixth Bowl-at all events xvi. 12 -is related to the second Woe, ix. 13-2I. In the latter passage we have an account of the demonic horsemen from the Euphrates, whose objective is the heathen unbelieving world. In the present context the river Euphrates is dried up in order that the way may be prepared for the kings coming from the East under Nero redivivus, and their objective is Rome, the throne of the Beast. This forecast reappears in xvii. 12-13, 17, 16. The powers of the Beast are therefore at variance. On the other hand, the gathering together of the kings of the earth to Armageddon is a distant echo of the onslaught of the forces of Gog in Ezekiel on Jerusalem. This expectation has undergone many developments in the interval, and reappears in a duplicated form under the actual designation of Gog and Magog in xx. 7-10, where it represents the last uprising of the powers of evil before their final destruction by fire from heaven. But the present context (xvi. 13-16) reproduces an earlier form of this expectation, and this form of it is referred to twice elsewhere in the book-in xiv. 14, 18-20, and xix. II-2I. In these three passages, which refer to a universal insurrection of the heathen nations at the instigation of the demons and the Beast and the False Prophet, before the Messianic Kingdom, the forces of evil are destroyed by the Messiah, and the Beast and the False Prophet cast into the lake of fire, whereas in the final insurrection of the heathen nations due to the direct instigation of Satan at the close of the Messianic Kingdom they are annihilated
by fire from heaven, and Satan himself cast into the lake of fire, where already were the Beast and the False Prophet.

Further, it is to be observed that the uprising of the kings of the East against Rome is only the preparatory step to their conflict with the Lamb, as we see in xvii. 12-17. Hence their combination here (xvi. 12) with the kings of the whole earth (xvii. 14) to resist the Lamb.
12. The march of the kings of the East against Rome, which is described more fully in xvi. $12-13,17,16$.

 Josh. iii. $\mathrm{x}^{3-17}$; Isa. xi. 15 sq., xliv. 27 , li. 10 ; Jer. xxviii. (li.) $3^{6}$; Zech. x. II; 4 Ezra xil. 43-47.
 of this verb in the second Wue, cf. ix. 15. It is a favourite with our author : cf. viii. 6, ix. 7, x11. 6, xix. 7, xxı. 2.

13, $14^{\text {bc }}, 16$. The gathering together of all the kings of the earth to war against God and Christ. Cf. Ps. ii. 2. See note above on 12-16.
13. Three unclean spirits from the Dragon (i.e. Satan: cf. xii. 3, 9), the Beast, and the False Prophet (i.e. the second Beast) go forth to call together the kings of the earth. Cf. I Kings xxii. 22. Contrast the three angels in xiv. 6 sqq.

тои̂ $\psi \in \cup \delta o \pi \rho о ф \dot{t}$ тou. Here for the first time the second Beast (xini. II sqq) is so designated.

[山́s $\beta$ árpaxou.] First we observe that the construction is unique in the Apocalypse. According to the universal usage outside the present passage we should here have is 及arpáxovs, as indeed $\kappa^{*}$ and many cursives actually do read. But the best authorities support the abnormal text. However, as we shall see on the next verse, the context requires the excision of ws $\beta \dot{\imath} \tau \rho \alpha \chi^{\text {ot }}$. . . $\sigma \eta \mu \epsilon i a$ as a marginal gloss subsequently incorporated in the text. As regards the use of the phrase, it may be observed that frogs were regarded in the Zend religion (see S.B.E. iv. 171 , note) as the source of plagues and death. In Hermas, Vis. iv. 1. 6, locusts of a fiery colour are seen by the Seer coming forth from the mouth of a great monster: loov

 lying ix. 3 and xvi. 13. Frogs were regarded as the agents of Ahriman: cf. Plut. de Iside, 46 (Moffatt).
 difficulties attaching to this clause. i. It has been taken parenthetically by Bousset and Holtzmann as an explanatory remark of our author: " there are, to wit, demonic spirts, sign workers " (as
 be rendered " spirits, that is, demons," i.e. "demonic spirits," as in Luke iv. 33, тveîma סaццoviov dкa0dprou="a spirit, that is, an unclean demon." The gentive is one of apposition or defini-
 are themselves spirits. According to I Enoch xv. 8, 9, 11 , xvi. 1 , xix., xcix. 7, the demons were the spirits which went forth from the antediluvian giants on their destruction, these grants being the children of the fallen angels and the daughters of men (cf. Gen. vi. r). These demons were not to incur punishment till the final judgment: cf. Matt. viii. 29; 1 Enoch xvi. 1; Jubilees x. 5-11. They were subject to Satan as in our text: cf. Matt. xil. 24-28. 2. Or it may be rendered: "they are, to wit, demonic spirts, sign workers." But however we take this clause it is of the nature of a gloss.
$\dagger 2$ inтореи́ктat $\dagger$.-This-the best attested text-is wholly unsatisfactory. $\boldsymbol{N}^{*}$ and several cursives read iкторéverөaц. Though this is less unsatisfactory it is against our author's u uage as well as against Greek idıom. The context undoubtedly requires éкторєvó $\mu \varepsilon v a$, since without this particıple the construction and meaning are both defective. For the preceding words
 incomplete in both respects without the participle èкторєvó $\mu \varepsilon v a$. The phrase iк т. бто́латоs . . . іккорєи́є $\theta$ al is of frequent occurrence in our text: cf. i. 16, $1 x .17,18$, xi. 5 , xix. 15,21 .
 трía . . . і́кторєvó $\kappa$ va (cf. i. 16 for the same separation of íк $\tau$. oróparos and the verb), and translate: "and I saw from the mouth of the dragon . . . three unclean spirits going forth."
 a participle, which at once explains the in and completes the sentence, is, so far as I am aware, unexampled, and yet it has been thoughtlessly accepted by every grammarian and student of the Apocalypse, perhaps in many cases from the idea that any construction is possible in this Book.

 oqucia is a marginal gloss, and likewise ©́s $\beta$ átpaxot if this is the earliest form of this phrase. Thus iss $\beta$ árpaxou .. . $\sigma \eta \mu \epsilon i a$ was originally a marginal gloss which on its incorporation into the text

tîs oikoupirns $\delta \lambda_{\eta}$ s. Cf. iii. ro, xii. 9 , for the same phrase and the same thought connection.

 Yahweh's reckoning with the ungodly nations. Cf. 2 Pet. iii. 12,
XVI. 14-16.] XVI. 15 TO BE RESTORED BEFORE III. $3^{\text {b }} 49$
 authorities quoted in the note of $\mathbf{v i}$. 17 of our text.
rod 0 eol toil mayrokpdropos. A divine title frequently found in our author: cf. i. 8, iv. 8 (note), xi. 17, xy. 3, xvi. 7, xix. 6, 15 , xxi. 22.
16. With Könnecke (Emendationen $z u$ Stellen NT: 35-37, whose work I have not seen; the reference I owe to Moffatt) this verse is to be taken as an intrusion here. Orignally either it stood between iii. $3^{\text {a }}$ and $3^{b}$, where it would complete the i $\delta 0$ ve series of ii. 22, iii. 9,20 , or it should with Beza be transposed before iii. 18 . I therefore bracket it with most modern critics in its present context.

What Konnecke's arguments are I do not know, but the cogency of his suggestion manifests itself on a comparison of 15 with iii. 2-4. To see how fitly it comes in after iii. $3^{\text {a }}$ we have only to compare idov "pxoual with the series of verbs so


 use of tipeiv though without an expressed object in ini. $3^{\text {a }}$ and



 and $\gamma p \eta$ popeiv occur only in xvi. 15 and $11.2-3$ in our author.

This verse implies that the sixth Bowl will take the world by surprise. But it is hard to see how the elaborate preparations of the kings of the East followed by those of all the kings of the world could do so. Finally, the utter inappropriateness of 15 in its present context is further evident from the fact that all the faithful have already been removed from the earth.

This verse forms one of the seven beatitudes in the Apocalypse : i. 3 (iii. 3) (xiv. 13), xix. 9, xx. 6, xxii. 14, 7. When xvi. 15 is restored to its original context, we find a special appositeness in their order : first beatitude (i. 3) deals with those who read the prophecy; the second (iii. 3, i.e. xvi. 15) with those who watch and keep their garments clean : the third, xiv. 12-13 (to be read after xili. 15), with those who die in the Lord in the last persecution : the fourth, xix. 9 , with those who are invited to the marriage supper of the Lamb: the fifth, $x x .6$, with those who share in the first resurrection : the sixth, xxii. 14, with those who had washed their garments and had permission to eat of the tree of life during the Millennial Kingdom : the seventh, xxii. 7, with those who keep the words of this Book.
16. This verse should follow immediately on 14 , and 15 be transferred to its original context between iii. $3^{\text {a }}$ and iii. $3^{\text {b }}$.

VOL. It.-4
ouvinyayev. Neuter nouns in the plural are followed either by the singular (viii. 3, xiii. 14, xiv. 13, xvi. 14, etc.) or plural verb in the Apocalypse, but mostly by the latter.

тob кa入oúperov. Cf. i. 9, xi. 8, xii. 9.
'Eßpaiorí See ix. II.
"Ap Mayebsu. No convincing interpretation has as yet been given of this phrase, which should probably be translated "the mountains of Megiddo." The city Megiddo was notable as the scene where Barak and Deborah overthrew the forces of Sisera by "the waters of Megiddo" (Judg. v. 19-21), and Pharaoh Necho defeated and slew Josiah, i.e., in "the plain of Megiddo" ( 2 Kings xxiii. 29, 30; 2 Chron. xxxv. 22 ; Herod. ii. 159). But the phrase " mountains of Megiddo" is not found elsewhere. Owing to this fact it has been proposed by Hılgenfeld to take "A $\operatorname{May\varepsilon \delta \omega ंv~as~=~ער~מנדו,~where,~} 7=7=$ " $y=$ clly of Megiddo." Volter regards "A $\rho$ as = אֲרַ, " land." But both the'se conjectures have failed to meet with acceptance. It is best at present to regard the first element in the phrase as $=$ "mountains"; for the final conflict in Ezek. xxxviii. 8, 21, xxxix. 2, 4, 17-a passage which influenced our author in xx. 8-1 I-was to take place on "the mountains of Israel." In Dan. xi. 45 the writer expected that Antiochus would meet his end " between the sea and the glorious holy mountain." Since Megiddo is not associated with any eschatological expectation, it is possible some corruption underlies this word. Hence the phrase in Daniel, "glorious holy mountain" ( $=\mathrm{ML}$ Zion), as well as the expression in Ezekiel, "mountains of Israel," may give some support to the conjecture cited by Cheyne
 mountain." This would associate the battle scene with Jerusalem as in Joel iii. 2; Zech. xiv. 2 sqq.; i Enoch lvi. 7, xc. 13-19. As Rome was to be laid desolate by the kings of the East, xvi. 12, xvii. 16-17, so (after the destruction of the kings of the East-see xix. 13n.) the kings of the whole earth were to be destroyed in the neighbourhood of Zion. Now, since xvi. 14, 16and xx. 8-10 are both ultimately derived from Ezek. xxxviii.-xxxxix., and since in xx .8 8-10 the scene of the last great struggle at the close of the Millennial Kingdom is placed in the neighbourhood of the Heavenly Jerusalem (which has taken the place of the Old), it is possible that "Ap Mayє $\delta \dot{\omega} v$ may be a corruption either for ,ערחמשדָה, "the desirable city" (i.e. Jerusalem: cf. ארץ חמרה, "the desirable land," i.e. Palestine, Jer. iii. 19; Zech. vii. 14). The latter suggestion derives some countenance from $\mathrm{xx}, 9, \tau \dot{\eta} v \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu$

of Gog and Magog. But everything connected with the text and meaning of the phrase is uncertain. Hence Gunkel, followed by Cheyne and Bousset, conjectures in his Schopfung wnd Chaos, 263266, that we have in this mysterious phrase a survival of some ancient myth-no longer intelligible to our author-which associated the final conflict of the gods with some ancient mountain. Hommel's suggestion that the phrase goes back to הר טוער (Isa. xiv. 13) $=$ "the mountain in the north where the gods meet," springs from the same view of the passage. Hence Bousset concludes that the context here goes back to an ancient myth which described the assault on the holy mountain of the gods by an army of demons mustered by certain evil spirits. To this myth our author in Bousset's opinion gave an historical character by connecting it with the Parthians. See Nestle's art. in Hastings' D.B. ii. 304 sq.

17-21. The seventh Bowl. Just as the fifth and sixth Bowls showed undeniable affinities with the first and second Woes, so the seventh Bowl appears to be slightly related to the third Woe, xi. 14-19. In xvi. 17 a voice from heaven declares that the punishment of the heathen and of the great city of Rome is now completed in the pouring out of the seventh Bowl with the results about to be recounted. In $\times 1.18^{\mathrm{h}}$ it is said that the time has come for "destroying those who destroy the earth": cf. xix. 2. This connection is indeed slight in itself, but there are others, for the "earthquake and great hail" in xi. 19 are described at some length in xvi. 18-2 I.
 ix. 2. The construction with ini here is extraordinary: see note on 1 .
ik toû vaoû amò roû $\theta$ pbovo. A divine voice was heard coming from the Temple in xvi. 1. Here the place whence it comes is more nearly defined. Throughout the Apocalypse the throne is connected with the Temple, though at times it is impossible to visualize the vision. But, as we have seen in the note on iv. 2, the combination of the Temple and throne scenery goes far back into Judaism.
\$wì̀ . . . ãjò toî $\theta$ pbovou. So also in xix. 5. It is noteworthy that in exactly a similar connection our author uses iк тov̂ $\theta$ póvov in xxi. 3.
yeyover. Cf. xxi. 6. The great voice from the throne, which had commanded these plagues, xvi. 1 , now proclaims that they are at an end.
18. dotpamai kai фavai кai Bportai. See notes on iv. 5, viii. 5 .


phraseology is borrowed from Dan. xii. r. Cf. Theod. $\theta \lambda i ́ \psi u s$,

 Tiss $\gamma \dot{\eta} s$ is found both in our text and Theod.-a fact which may point to the presence of $\boldsymbol{Y}$ ב in the text of Daniel in the ist cent. A.D. Our text is clearly an independent rendering of Dan. xii. r. Cf. Ass. Mos. viii. i, "ira quae talis non fuit in illis."

 against the usage of our author, who would have written cis
 is no hint in xvii.-xviii. that Rome had suffered from a violent earthquake. Rome is dealt with in the words which follow-кai $B a \beta u \lambda \omega \bar{\omega} \boldsymbol{\kappa r \lambda}$. These words prepare the reader for xvii.-xviii.
lyivero . . . eis. Cf. Acts v. $\mathbf{3}^{6}$. In viii. II of our author the text is corrupt.

म $\boldsymbol{\pi} \delta \lambda_{\text {vs }} \boldsymbol{\eta} \mu \in \gamma d \lambda \eta$. Here as in xiv. 8 (see note) it is Rome, not Jerusalem, that is designated as "Babylon the Great." Jerusalem had already been in part overthrown by an earthquake in xi. 13: 7000 of its inhabitants had been thereby destroyed and the rest had repented. Here, according to the interpolator, it is Rome that is visited by an earthquake, and that an earthquake such as had never yet been experienced on earth, and yet in xvii. 3-4 Rome appears not to have suffered in this earthquake in the least degree. Its final overthrow and destruction are get to come in xvii.-xviii. This judgment and that of the great hail do not lead men to repent: rather they blaspheme the more: cf. ver. 21 . To identify Babylon here with Jerusalem, as is done by J. Weiss, Moffatt, and some other scholars, is against the whole context and the right conception of xvii.-xviii.
d $\mu v j_{j o t}$ 8oûval. The passive use of $\mu v \eta \sigma \theta \hat{\eta} v a t$ is found in Ezek. iii. 20 (ov่ $\mu \grave{\eta} \mu \nu \eta \sigma \theta \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota v$ ai $\delta ı \kappa a \iota o \sigma i ́ v a l ~ a u ̛ r o v ̂), ~ x v i i i . ~ 22, ~ 24 . ~$ It is found also in Acts x. 31 and elsewhere. As regards the construction we should compare xi. 18, $\dot{\eta} \lambda \theta \in \boldsymbol{o}$ кaıpòs . . . סoûval, xvi. 9, ơ $\mu$ erevóngar סô̂va. In Ps. cix. 16 and ciii. 18 we find the infinitive in Hebrew after זובר.

To пotifplor toû oirou toû 0u
 15. In Isa. vii. 4; Jer. iv. 26, xxv. 16 (xlix. 37), xxxii. 23 (xav. 37) ; Lam. i. 12, ii. 3, iv. 11 ; Ezek. xxini. 25 ; Hos. xi. 9 ;

 cf. Isa. ix. 18.


oúx épé̂̀nбar. Cf. v. 4, xii. 8, xiv. 5, xviii. 21, xx. 11 (êфvyav
 (ל) : cf. Ps. 'xxxvi. (xxxvii.) $3^{66 .}$
${ }^{8} \mathrm{pm}$ obx edpel 1 quar. This disappearance of the mountains is one of the signs of the end of the world : cf. vi. 14; also Ass. Mos. x. 4, "And the high mountains shall be made low, and the hills shall be shaken and fall," also I Enoch i. 6. In later Christian Apocalyptic this idea is found not unfrequently:




A Latin translation of viii. 217-250 is given in Augustine, $\mathrm{De}_{\boldsymbol{c}}$ Civitate Dei, xviii. 23 :
" Dejiciet colles, valles extollet ab imo. Non erit in rebus hominum sublime vel altum. Tam aequantur campis montes et coerula ponti Omnia cessabunt."

Lactantius, Div. Instit. vii. 16, 11, "Montes quoque altissimi decident et planis aequabuntur, mare innavigabile constituetur."

The idea underlying these passages is to be carefully distinguished from that which appears in the Zend religion to the effect that the mountains, being the work of the evil spirit Ahriman, would disappear with him, and the new earth would be "an iceless, slopeless plain; even the nountain whose summit is the support of the Kinvat bridge they keep down, and it will not exist," Bund. xxx. 33 (S.B.E. v. 129 sq.). The object of the earth being made a smooth plain was, as Boklen states (Eschatologie, p. 133), to make intercourse easy for the renewed humanity. In this connection, cf. Sib. Or. iii. 776 sqq.

Yet another idea underlies the use of analogous phrases in Isa. xl. 4 ; r Bar. v. 5-9; Pss. Sol. xi. 5.
 7בכ
 between 108 and 130 lbs . The word tadautcaios is found in Polybius and Josephus, as Swete points out.
 effect of the judgment is only to harden the hearts of the heathen nations. This attitude of theirs stands in contrast with that of the Jews in xi. 13.
oq6§pa. Here only in our author. It stands last like pix in Hebrew, not only here but elsewhere in the N.T. Cf. Matt. ii. 10 , xxvi. 22 ; Mark xvi. 4, etc. But in all such cases $\sigma \phi \dot{\delta} \delta \rho a$
follows immediately after the adjective it qualifies, whereas here, as occasionally in the case of the Hebrew 7 ikn, the adjective and adverb are sundered.

## CHAPTER XVII.

## § I . Contents and Authorship.

This chapter begins with a promise on the part of an angel to the Seer to show him "the judgment of the Great Harlot," but throughout the chapter this subject is not referred to save once (in xvii. 16), and alike the vision in xvii. $3^{\text {b }}-6$ and its interpretation by the angel are concerned with the Beast, which according to the present form of the text symbolizes the demonic Nero, or Nero returning from the abyss to lead the Parthian powers against Rome. The judgment of the Great Harlot is given at length in xviii.

But if we are to give the subject the fuller treatment it demands, we soon recognize that xvii. cannot be treated apart from xvili. Thus in xvii. I an angel summoned the Seer to show him "the judgment of the Great Harlot," and transported him in the spirit (xvii. 3) to the wilderness, where he had a vision of the woman and of the scarlet Beast, whereon she sat, with its seven heads and ten horns. Now the woman was magnificently arrayed in purple and scarlet and adorned with gold and precious stones, and on her forehead she bore the name of Babylon, the mother of fornication and abomination, and she was drunken with the blood of Christian martyrs (xvii. 4-6). And when the Seer was filled with amazement at what he saw, the angel turned aside from his original purpose of showing him the judgment of the Great Harlot, and proceeded to explain the hidden meaning of the woman and the Beast with the seven heads and ten horns. The Beast, said the angel, "was and is not and is about to come up out of the abyss and goeth to destruction," and all the faithless upon earth shall marvel at his return after his death (xvii. 7-8), but they that are wise will not do so; for they will see that the seven heads are seven kings, of whom the sixth is at present reigning. This sixth would in due course be succeeded by the seventh, who would reign but a short time. And on the death of the seventh king would follow an eighth, who was in reality the Beast-and yet he would not be the eighth king, since he was actually one of the seven (xvii. 9-1r). (The Beast is clearly here the demonic Nero returning to earth from the abyss.)

So much for the seven heads. As for the ten horns, these are ten subordinate kings who will shortly come into their royal authority, and with one accord will, through the ordinance of

God, place all their power at the disposal of the Beast, and the Beast and these kings will hate the Harlot, and destroy her by fire (xvii. 12-13, 17, 16). And having destroyed the Harlut they will go to war with the Lamb, but they will be overcome by the Lamb and His followers (xvii. 14, a conquest implied by xix. 13). Now the Harlot is none other than the city Rome (xvii. 18), whose doom is described in the vision that follows.

So much for the thought of the chapter as it stands. But the order of events is strange and unexpected. Though the Seer has promised in xvii. I a vision of the judgment of the Great Harlot, in all the verses that follow there is not a reference to this subject save in xvii. 16. The promise, in fact, is not redeemed till xviii., for the single mention of this judyment in xvii. 16 cannot be regarded as a fulfilment of it. xviii. is necessarily introduced by the technical phrase $\mu \varepsilon \tau$ à rav̂ra elסov, since other weighty subjects have intervened between xvii. I and its fulfilment in xviii.

The irregular character of this chapter prepares us for the conclusion which a detailed study of it makes manifest, i.e. that our author is here using sources which for convenience' sake are here designated as $A$ and $B$. $A=1^{c}-2,3^{b}-6^{c}, 7,18$, and some clauses in 8-10. B is fragmentary : $11-13,17,16$ (see §5). The order of the words in A is Semitic, but not in B, and whereas the diction and idiom in both show indubitable traces of our author's hand, they just as indubitably contain idioms which are against his usage (§ 3). Again, though the thought underlying the present form of the chapter is that of our author, even the most superficial criticism makes it clear that this thought is superinduced, and that the meaning of the symbol "the Beast" has been transformed by additions to the text. Thus in A the Beast symbolized the Roman Empire, a meaning which still survives in xvii. 3, whereas in B it symbolized the living Nero returning from the East at the head of the Parthian kings in order to destroy Rome (§4). By certain additions in xvii. 8, In the Beast has come to symbolize Nero redivivus or the demonic Nero coming up from the abyss-an expectation prevalent from 90 A.D. onwards in many Christian communities.

There are certain dislocations of the text. Thus xvii. 17 should be transposed before xvii. 16, and xvii. 14-an addition of our author-should be placed after xvii. 16, since it deals with the destruction of the Beast and his Parthian allies, who in xvii. 17, 16 have already destroyed Rome. There are two glosses, one in xvii. 9, which gives an alternative and wrong interpretation of the seven heads in xvii. 9 , and another in xvii. 15, which was originally a marginal gloss on $\dot{\epsilon \pi i}$ víair $\omega v$ in xvii. I and has got wrongly thrust intu its present position (§4).

In the Introd. to xviii. we shall find grounds for regarding $A$ and xviii. as derived from one and the same source.

## § 2. The order of the Words.

So far as the order of the words goes, this chapter falls into two parts. xvii. $1-10$ is Hebraic as to order. Thus the verb precedes the object three times in xvii. 7, twice in xvii. 3, and once in xvii. 1, 6 . In xvii. 8 the verb precedes the subject twice, and once in xvii. 1 and 2. In xvii. 4-5 there are only substantive sentences.

In xvii. $\mathrm{I}^{1-17} 7$ the order is decidedly non-Semitic. Only once, i.e. in xvii. 17 , does the verb precede the subject, whereas the object, and also the subject where expressed, precede the verb twice in each of the verses xvii. 12, 13, 16 (i.e. six times in three verses). Again, in each of xvii. 14, 16, 17 the order subj. vb. obj. occurs once, and in each of xvii. 14, 15 the order subj. and vb. It is true that in some of these cases the order is quite good Semitic, inasmuch as ats unusualness serves to mark emphasis, opposition, or the like : but in respect of order xvii. 11-17 is, as a whole, non-Semitic and differs in this respect from xvii. 1-10. Such a fact can hardly be accidental, and must be accounted for. The linguistic character of xvii. $11-17$ is almost without parallel in the rest of the book save in xi. $1-13$, which on these and other grounds we were obliged to attribute to a Greek or Aramaic source (see i. p. 270 sqq.). Any theorv as to the authorship of xvii. should account for these facts. So far as these facts go we are predisposed to assign xvii. $1-10$ and xvii. 11-17 (or the original forms of these; for they exhibit undoubted marks of revision, as we shall see presently) to different sources, the latter apparently to a Greek source.
§ 3. The diction and idiom of this Chapter show manifest traces of the hand of our author (in the way of revision, as we shall see later), but they are frequently against his usage.
(a) Traces of the hand of our author, especially in xvii. 1-9, 14 (which verse is wholly from his hand). -nai $\dagger \lambda \theta \in v . . .8 e i f w$ in xvii. 1 , recurs in $x x i .9$ : indeed every word and phrase of xvii. I are from our author. Thus $\mathrm{fis} \boldsymbol{d x}$ is his universal usage. On
 veүкєv. . . ${ }^{2} v$ r $\quad$ véúpatı recurs in xxi. 10. The constructions


[^2]





 xvii. 14 is wholly from his hand: with oütot . . . vuxioec aưroús cf. xiii. 7, which is his; with kúpıos . . . $\beta$ acinetwr cf. xix. 16while mootos is often used by him. In xvii. 16 we have an instance
 cf. xil. 15, xxi. 5. In xvin. 17 with tedeốngovtat cf. x. 7, xv. 8, xx. 3, 5,7 .
(b) Idiom and diction against his usage.-In xvii. 2, оi кaтоькoürces rì $\gamma \dot{\eta} \boldsymbol{r}$ conflicts with the universal usage of our author: see vol. i. p. 336, and note on xi. 10. ү́́
 rd $\beta_{\imath} \beta \lambda_{i}$ iov is against his usage; for in this phrase we have always
 [xxil. 18, 19]. In different phrases $\gamma$ pádeєv $\dot{e} \pi i$ cum acc. is found:
 tò ö $\nu \quad \mu \mu$ : cf. also xix. 16. The order in adjò̀ $8 \in i$ is against his usage, xvi. 10-elsewhere סcî precedes: cf. x. 11, xx 3, xi. 5:
 vi. 1 (bis), vii. 13 , ix. 13, xiil. 3 , xv. 7 , xvii. 1, xxi. 9.

In xvil. 8, which like xiii. 8 is a rendering of the same Hebrew source, the split relative is not reproduced in the Greek, though it is in xiii. 8. In xvii. 15 of is used, though our author uses ömov always elsewhere (but this verse is a gloss on xvii. r). $\quad \gamma \quad{ }^{u} \dot{\mu} \mu \eta$ in xvii. I3, 17 is not found elsewhere in the Apoc., and the form of the enumeration in xvii. 15 is not that of our author. Here ${ }^{2} x$ dot is substituted for daoí: see note in loc. Finally, in xvii. 17 áxpt is followed by the indicative (by the subjunctive in inferior MSS) but elsewhere in our author by the subjunctive : see ii. 25 , note.

From (b) we see that this chapter exhibits many constructions, which are against our author's usage elsewhere in the Apocalypse.

On the other hand, (a) just as decidedly exhibits his handiwork. Since the thought underlying the present form of the text is that of our author, the obvious hypothesis is that he is making use of sources, which he revises and recasts to suit his

[^3]own purpose. In other words, the evidence of this section tends to prove that not only is $x$ xvii. II-I7 based on a source, as we inferred in § 2, but also xvii. $1-10,18$.

Further research will define more closely the extent and character of these sources. But first of all we must show that the conclusions provisionally arrived at from the form of the text are confirmed by the subject-matter. Hence we shall now proceed to prove that the chapter as it stands is of a composite nature.

## 8 4. The text is deranged and composite-being based on two Sources.

The text is deranged, however we may account for it. For whereas in xvii 7 the angel promises to disclose the mystery of the woman and the Beast (which the Seer had already seen in xvii. 3) and in this order, he at once proceeds to tell the mystery of the Beast, and there is no mention of the woman till xvii. 18. Hence the natural position of xvii. 18 is immediately after xvii. 7. Again, xvii. 17, which gives the explanation of xvii. 13 , can hardly have been read unless in immedrate connection with xvii. 13: i.e. 13, "These have one mind, and they give therr power and authority unto the beast. 17. For God did put into their hearts to do His mind [and to come to one mind], and to give their kingdom unto the beast," etc.

The chapter is also composite. We have already seen in § 3 that whereas certain parts of the chapter show clear traces of the hand of John, the phraseology of certain other parts is decidedly against his usage. We have also seen in $\S 2$ that the order of the words in xvii. $1-10$ is Semitic, whereas that in xvii. $11-17$ is not so. Now, if with these facts we combine the further one that, whereas xvii. r-ro culminates in a prediction of the death of Titus (xvii. ro), the other (xvii. ri-r 7) culminates in a prediction of the destruction of the Harlot City (xvii. 16), we can hardly evade the conclusion that behind these two sections there were two independent sources. ${ }^{1}$ But there is another indication of the independence of these two sections. In xvii. 3, 7 the Beast can only be the Roman Empire, whereas originally in xvii. 11-13, 17, 16 the Beast was not the Roman Empire (as originally in xvii. 3-10), but the living Nero returning from the East at the head of the Parthian kings.

That our author, therefore, has laid two sources under contribution is to be concluded from the above phenomena, in the first of which the Beast represented the Roman Empire,

[^4]whereas in the second it represented the living Nero returning from the East at the head of the Parthian hosts (cf. xvi. 12).

To the above evidence of the compositeness of this chapter we might add the twofold explanation of the seven heads in xvii. 9-10 as symbolizing seven hills and seven kings: the glaring contradiction between xvii. 16 , where the ten horns are represented as God's agents in destroying Rome, although they had themselves been already destroyed by the Lamb and His followers in xvii. 14, ${ }^{1}$ and the belated gloss in xvii. 15 , which has no raison d"etre in its present position but was obviously added by a stupid scribe originally in the margin opposite xvit. y


## § 5. The two Sources $A$ and B, and their dates.

In the determination of these two sources I agree on the whole with Wellhausen. ${ }^{2}$ A consists, according to this scholar, of 3 (with the exception of the phrase "and ten horns") $-4,6^{\text {b }}-7$ (with the exception of "and the ten horns"), 9 (excluding all but the words "the seven heads"), 10 (omitting the initial "and"). He thinks that 18 may have originally stood after 7 but was omitted by the redactor, who, however, out of a feeling of conscientiousness added it at the close of $\mathbf{B}!\mathrm{B}$ consists, he holds, of $11-13$, 16 (omitting "and the ten horns which thou sawest and the beast "), r7. A and B were bound together by a redactor and revised. In A, 6 is a Christian addition, and in B 14. xvii. 1-3 may, with the exception of xvii. $\mathrm{I}^{\text { }}$, have belonged either to A or B .

I am unable to accept Wellhausen's hypothesis in all its details, but, as we have already seen ( $\$ \mathbb{S} 2,3$ ), the evidence of the order of the words and to some extent the idioms point to two sources, and these, like Wellhausen, I designate as A and B.






In this oracle the beast is the Roman Empire, its seven heads are the Roman emperors, five of whom belong to the past, one is, i.e., Vespasian, whereas the seventh, Titus, as the
${ }^{1}$ This, however, is no doubt due to an accidental displacement. When it is read after 11-13, 17, 16 this contradiction disappears. In the text as it stands the demonic Nero returning from the abyss is the Antichrist, and therefore must be destroyed by the Christ.
${ }^{2}$ Bousset regards xvii. 1-7, 9-11, 15-18 as an original Jewish source of Vespasian's time, while he assigns xvii. 8, 12-14 and certain clauses in 6, 9, II to the last editor of the Apocalypse.
destroyer of Jerusalem, would speedily perish. The date is thus fixed and the authorship may have been Jewish Christian.
 12-13, 17, 16. In this second oracle the Beast is Nero returning from the East, and not the Roman Empire as in A. The order of the words, as we have shown (\$2), differs in B from that in A. This source is fragmentary. Preceding ver. II there must have been some account of the seven heads, but not that in $A$, since it identified the Beast with the Roman Empire and not with Nero. ${ }^{1}$ Since the eighth is spoken of, i.e. Nero returning from the East, we may conclude with great probability that it was written during the reign of Titus. The oracle may be regarded as written by a Jew; for whereas the hatred of the Jews was fierce against Rome in the time of Vespasian and Titus, it was not so on the part of the Christians. The Christians, moreover, could not have had any sympathy with Nero. Their expectation is best expressed in the addition of John, i.e. ver. 14, where Nero and his allies attack the Lamb.

We have already observed that 15 was originally a marginal gloss on ver. 1, tīs mópvis . . . dri íbárcuy. Ver. 14 is clearly from the hand of John, 16 manifestly stood originally after 17 .

## 86. Our author's editing of $A$ and $B$ and the new meaning given thereby to the whole.

Now that we have determined the extent of $A$ and $B$, we have next to show the use our author made of them. First of all, the introductory words in xvii. 1 , кai $\bar{\eta} \lambda \theta \in v$ eis $\dot{i} \kappa$. . . $\Delta \in i ̂ p o$ deifo rou, are clearly from his hand. Then follow the words from A, rò крiцa . . . тîs порveias autiņs, which form the title of xviii. But though aópvqs is preceded by the article-another fact pointing to a source-the Harlot has not been mentioned as yet. The original vision of the Harlot consisted of the source A, in which the Beast was the Roman Empire on which the woman (Rome) was seated. This source our author introduces by $3^{n}$
 change save by the insertion (?) of кaì кépara Séca in 3 , in order to prepare for $\mathbf{B}$ (i.e. $11-13,17,16$ ), and by the addition of the
 the text. For the original form of 8 see the note in loc. (p. 67 sq .).
 тápєтта, transform the meaning of the verse, which, though it originally identified the Beast with the Roman Empire, signifies in its present form the demonic Nero returning from the abyss,

[^5] $2 \pi$ ' aúr $\omega \mathrm{y}$ кaí is clearly the gloss of an ignorant scribe. Ver. 18, which originally stood in A after 7, was transposed to its present position in order to introduce the great chapter xviii. on the doom of Rome.

Having utilized A our author now proceeds to incorporate B of which only 11-13, 17,16 survives in our author's work. The introduction, as we have already pointed out ( $\$ 5(b)$ ), has been omitted and its place is now taken by 10, which belongs to A. Two clauses have been introduced by our author into 1 I, i.e.
 duction the expectation of a living Nero returning from the East at the head of the Parthians is transformed into an expectation of a demonic Nero, as in xvii. 8. 12-13 are apparently as they stood in the original oracle, but 14-15 $^{15}$ had no place there. 15 is, as we have elsewhere observed, a gloss, which stood originally
 14 comes trom our author's hand; but, since it could only properly follow 16, as it deals with the destruction of the Beast and his Parthian allies, who in 16 destroy Rome, it has most probably got displaced. Thus $11-17$ should be read in the following order: II-13, 17, 16, 14.

Thus by his editorial changes and additions our author has transformed the original meaning of his sources except in ver. 3, where the Beast is still the Roman Empire. Throughout the rest of the chapter, however, the Beast has become none other than the demonic Nero.
$\S 7 \cdot A\left(=x v i i . x^{0}-2,3^{\mathrm{b}}-6^{\mathrm{a}}, 7,18\right.$, and certain clauses in $\left.8-\mathrm{ro}\right)$
was probably derived from the same source as xviii.

See Introd. to xviii. §7.

## § 8. A, though foumd by our author in a Greek form, was most probably translated from a Hebrew source.

In $\$ 6,3$ I have shown that, although there are indubitable signs of our author's revision of $\mathbf{A}$, yet some idioms and constructions survive, which are contrary to his usage-such as oi
 $\mathbf{i} \pi i$ rò $\beta_{\iota} \beta \lambda i o v$ (xvii. 8). It thus appears that the Greek form of $A$ is not due to our author.

But, further, there are signs that $A$ was originally written in Hebrew. Thus, if ropvây is the original text in xvii. 5, the context ( $\beta \delta e \lambda v \gamma \mu \alpha{ }^{\prime} w{ }^{2}$ ) suggests that we should here have not "harlots" but "harlotry." This, as I have shown in the note
in loc., could have arisen from a misreading of niti ( $=$ harlotry) as תidj="harlots."

But the strongest evidence is that found in ver. 8, which is a doublet of xiil. $3^{c}, 8$. These two passages cannot, so far as I can discover, be explained except as independent Greek renderings of one and the same Hebrew original, the Greek rendering of xiii. $3^{\circ}, 8$ being that of our author and the Greek of xviii. 8 being that of some unknown scholar. This question is fully dealt with in the Introd. to xiii. §4, vol. i. p. 337.






1. eis . . . dyyencr. One of the angels of the Bowls acts as the angel of interpretation. The words кai $\eta^{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon \nu$ cis . . . $\delta \in i \xi \omega$
 $\delta c l \xi \omega$ oot has already occurred in iv. 1 ; $\delta \in \hat{\imath} \rho o$, as we have seen, recurs in xxi. 9 .
 the title that should be prefixed to chap. xvin. They prepare us for 2 vision of the judgment of the Great Harlot, but there is none such in xvii. 3-18: only 2 prediction of it in xvii. 16. But in xviii. we have an elaborate vision of this judgment, and it is therefore to this chapter that these words form a title. And lest there should be any doubt on this head we find that the greater part of the title xvii. 2 is repeated in xviii. 3, though the order of the clauses is reversed and the diction slightly changed, and that the words rò крía reappear as $\dot{\eta}$ крiбus in reference to her in xviii. ro. Cf. also xvil. 8, 20. But since the Great Harlot has not hitherto been mentioned, another vision is necessary to her identification. In this vision (xvii. 3-6) she appears riding the Beast with seven heads and ten horns-elements which are duly interpreted in xvii. 9, 12.

т $\begin{aligned} \text { крíua } \tau \hat{\eta} s ~ \pi \delta p \nu \eta s . ~ T h e ~ H a r l o t ~ i s ~ t h e ~ c i t y ~ o f ~ R o m e . ~ T h i s ~\end{aligned}$ word is applied to Nineveh by Nahum (iii. 4), and to Tyre by Isaiah (xxiii. 16, 17). In 5 it is named Babylon. The doom of Babylon has already been pronounced twice, xiv. 8, xvi. 19. Rome is already known by this name in 1 Pet. v. 13; 2 Bar. lxvii. 7, "The King of Babylon will arise who has now destroyed Zion"; and the Sibylline Oracles, v. 143, 159.
 lation of Jer. li. (LXX-xxviii.) 13 , The
 кaraoкqvoîv or $\sigma \kappa \eta \nu o \hat{v}$ is the natural rendering of $\boldsymbol{j}$ ש here, but our author reserves this word for dwellers in heaven : cf. vii. 15, xii. 12,
xiii. 6. Rome cannot be rightly described as "sitting on many waters," but the description of Babylon, which stood for the personification of wickedness in the O.T., is here simply taken over. The idea of security may underlie the phrase: Babylon felt safe owing to the many waters on which it was situated-the Euphrates which flowed through it and the morasses and canals by which it was surrounded (see Cornill on Jer. li. 13). Yet this fact that Rome did not sit on many waters was a difficulty to a later writer and led to the gloss in xvii. 15, that the many waters are many peoples. Bousset thinks that a still older tradition lies behind this figure of a woman seated on many waters, and compares Sibyll. Or. iii. 75-77, v. 18, viii. 200. Gunkel (Schopfung, 361) finds in the "many waters" a reference to the abyss which was the dwelling of Tiamat. But, however this may be, there was no consciousness of the Babylonian myth in the mind of the writer.
2. Endprevaar oi $\beta$ acoleis rîs Yīs. Cf. xviii. 3, 9 for the recurrence of this diction. The ropveia of which the kings of the earth are guilty is set down to the account of all the nations in xiv. 8. They have all shared in the vices and idolatries of

 the result of тетóтькєข in xiv. 8 : cf. also xviii. 3. of катонойттеs ฑiv $\gamma \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta}$. On this construction, found here only, see note on xi. 10 , and $\S 4$ of the Introd. to xili., vol. i. p. 336. On oivou mopveias cf. xiv. 8, xviii. 3. We should observe that the relative
 aúrŋ̂s. This is good Hebrew. It is also good Greek : see W.-M. sect. xxii. p. 186.

 the same thought and largely the same language recur, but in the reverse order.




This clause introduces a new vision. See note on iv. 2. The Seer has the vision of Babylon in the wilderness: of the Heavenly Jerusalem from a lofty mountain top, xxi. 10, whe re see note. The contrast is significant. Many scholars think that the wilderness as the scene of the vision was suggested by Isa. xxi. r, where to the vision of the fall of Babylon is affixed the heading,


yuvaîka. . . $2 \pi i$ 白piov кókxıvov. The omission of the article before $\theta$ Øpiov points to an independent vision here. The Beast
is undoubtedly the Roman Empire. On its power the Harlot reposes. The scarlet colour indicates the luxury and ostentatious magnificence of the empire, and refers probably not to the Beast itself but to its covering. Swete quotes Juv. iii. 283 sq., "Cavet hunc, quem coccina laena Vitari iubet et comitum longissimus ordo." The word is a rendering in the LXX of


In this vision of the Harlot we may have an indirect contrast to the woman clothed with the sun in xii. $\mathbf{1 - 6}$. There is no real contradiction between the description of the woman in 1 as sitting on many waters and here sitting on the beast. The former is a traditional epithet of Babylon used descriptively by the angel, the latter represents the actual appearance in the vision.
 oúveriv. In xin. I names of blasphemy are only on the seven heads, i.e. the seven deified emperors. Here they cover the entire body, and may refer to the innumerable deities of her own and subject countries which Rome recognized. ý́sem c. acc. once in xvil. $4^{\text {d }}$, but always elsewhere in Apoc. c. gen. iv. 6, 8, v. 8 , xv. 7 , xvil. $4^{\text {d }}$, xxi. 9 .
 кєфалàs $\grave{\text { d̀má }}$ see note on xiii. I.
4. тepi $\beta \in \beta \lambda_{\eta \mu}{ }^{i} \eta \eta$. . . $\mu$ арүарírais. Almost the same phrase recurs in xvii. 16.

порфироі̂̀ каi кóккıซov. "Purple and scarlet." These colours symbolize the luxury and splendour of imperial Rome. The two colours are nearly allied, for the $\chi^{\lambda} a \mu v i \delta \alpha$ коккiv $\eta \nu$ of Matt. xxvii. 28 is called ropфípa in Mark xv. 17, 20, and i $\mu$ árıor
 Ex. xxv. 4, xxvi. 1.
 said to depend on кєхpvowpeiv by a zeugma, "from which the reader must mentally supply some such particıple as кєкоб $\mu \eta \mu \mathrm{e} \nu \eta$ " (Swete). But xpvooiv appears to be used in a loose way in the LXX as = "to cover or adorn"; for xpvooûv xpvoíw (2 Chron iii. ro), кaraxpuaoîv xpuciú (Ex. xxv. 11, $13 ; 2$ Chron. iii. 4.

 of חָּה followed by in 2 Chron. iii. 7, 8. When followed
 iv. 9 , and when followed immediately by 6, by коб $\mu$ eiv. Hence we should render кєХpuoшرév $\eta$ here b) "covered."
xpuaíy . . . кaì царуарítass. Cf. T. Jud. xiii. 5, кaì énó́
$\mu \eta \sigma e v$ aürìv ìv xpuriч кai $\mu$ apyapírats. These words are said of the father of Bathshua who so adorned her in order to seduce Judah.



 is either to be taken with R.V. as "even the unclean things of her fornication," or as governed by "xouga, just as the words that follow-кai ìmì rò $\mu$ érwrov-are dependent on this participle.





 кail ayvoun (from Jerram's text).
 harlots wore a label with their names on their brows: cf. Seneca, Controv. i. 2 (quoted by Wetstein), "Stetısti puella in lupanari . . . stetisti cum meretricibus . . . nomen tuum pependit a fronte"; Juv. vi. 122 sq., "Tunc nuda papillis Constrtit auratis titulum mentita Lyciscae."
$\mu \nu \sigma \operatorname{rin}_{\text {piov. This word indicates that the following name is }}$ not to be taken literally, but to be interpreted $\pi$ revuatıкйs (xi. 8). This can be done only by the initiated. Babylon is the mystical name for Rome. Many scholars take it as part of the inscription.
 Vg. and Prim. read mopviêr, i.e. mopvcî̂r. This is not improbably the orginal reading. At all events it forms an excellent parallel to $\beta \delta \kappa \lambda \iota \gamma \mu a ́ \tau \omega v$. If the text is derived from a Hebrew source, then $\pi o p \nu \omega v=$ nilif, which is a wrong punctuation for nit $=$ mopveias. ${ }^{1}$ Thus Rome is the mother of harlotry and the world's idolatries. With this statement we might compare Tacitus, Ann. xv. 44, where he speaks of Rome as the city "quo cuncta undique atrocia aut pudenda confluunt celebranturque."



The text refers to the Neronic persecution described so vividly by Tac. Ann. xv. 44, "Igitur primum correpti qui fatebantur, deinde indicio eorum multitudo ingens haud perinde in crimine incendii quam odio humani generis convicti sunt. Et pereuntibus addita ludibria, ut ferarum tergis contecti laniatu canum
${ }^{1}$ It is noteworthy that Wellhausen mistranslates ropyêv as "harlotries." It was a right instinct, however, that led him to this mistranslation.
interirent aut crucibus affixi, aut flammandi, atque ubi defecisset dies, in usum nocturni luminis urerentur." This verse, either in part or as a whole, is from the hand of our author, who thus gives a Christian character to an originally Jewish source and transforms an oracle of Vespasian's date into a prophecy of the destruction of Rome in the last days (see Introd. §5).
$\mu$ eíougar ex toî aïnaros. This conception of a nation drunk, not with wine but with blood, was familiar to the literature of the ancient world. Thus Josephus (Bell. v. 8. 2) writes of his infatuated countrymen besieged by the Romans: ${ }^{\text {trı }}$ yà $\pi a \rho \bar{\eta} \nu$
 metaphor is also found in a fragment of Euripides preserved in
 in Cic. Phil. ii. 29, "gustaras civilem sanguinem vel potius exsorbueras"; Suet. Tib. 59, "Fastidit vinum quia jam sitit iste cruorem: Tam bibit nunc avide quam bibit ante merum," and in a form more closcly related to our text in Plin. H.N. xiv. 22, 28, "(Antonius) ebrius jam sanguine civium." But in the LXX we find the best analogies: cf. Isa. xxxiv. 5 , è $\mu \in \theta v^{\prime} \sigma \theta \eta$. . . $\dot{\eta} \mu a ́ x a u p a ́$
 xxvi. (xlvi.) 10.
 by the majority of critics as an addition to the original Jewish source. If it is from the hand of our author, his intention seems to have been to give his source a Christian character, though this was hardly necessary when once the source was incorpo-
 clauses are tautologous. The äyos who is martyred is of necessity a $\mu$ áprus. On this word see note on ii. 13. It is possible, but improbable, that the ayto represent the Jewish martyrs who fell in the war of 66-70. This was the meaning of the clause in the original source.


 кai тà đéka кย́pata. The Seer is naturally astonished at the fearful vision he has just seen, just as the inhabitants of the earth will be astonished when they see the reality, xvii. 8. In xvii. $\mathrm{r}-2$ be was promised a vision of the judgment of the Great Harlot. This vision is given in xviii., but since the Great Harlot had not heretofore been mentioned, the mystery of the Great Harlot is beheld by the Seer in a vision, xvii. 3-6, and interpreted in xvii. 18, which originally followed on xvii. 7. See Introd. to this Chap. 86.

For a linguistic parallel to rò $\mu$ varípıov rîs yuvaıкós cf. i. 20; and for a like dialogue between the angel and the Seer, cf vii.

13-14. We should observe here that the angel promises an interpretation of the woman and the Beast with seven heads and ten horns, and in this order. But the mystery of the woman is not explained till xvii. 18 , and the angel at once proceeds to set forth the meaning of the Beast. Our author is here using sources, and has freely recast them to suit his own purpose. In our text the Beast is Nero redivivus, but in the sources used by our author we have seen that this was not so (see Introd. § 4). In the source behind xvii. 3-10 the Beast was originally the Roman Empire, as it still is in xvii. 3 (see note in loc.). In the second source, xvii. ri-1 $^{17}$, the Beast was obviously Nero returning from the East at the head of the Parthian kings in order to destroy Rome. But our text as it stands represents the expectation of Nero returning as a demonic king from the abyss. This interpretation is indubitably set forth in xvii. 8, which is a recast of the older tradition identifying the Beast with the Empire, and in xvii. 14 which comes directly from our author.

8-18. An interpretation of the vision, in the course of which the older materials of the source are recast with additions in order to depict the expectation of the Neronic Antichrist who was to come up from the abyss.



 ботир каі пд́рєтта.

Thus the verse ran originally : rò $\theta$ चpióo à eioses . . . (original

 $\beta \lambda \epsilon \pi$ órruv rò $\theta$ चpiov. In the original form of this verse the Beast symbolized the Empire, as it still does in xvii. 3, and in xiii. 3-10 originally. But here our author has omitted the description of the Beast which came after tites, and substituted $\bar{\eta} v$ кai oús ${ }^{\prime}$ бrıv
 $\pi \dot{d} \rho \in \sigma$ ral in the place of quite a different clause that stood in the source, as we shall see presently.

But not only has some description of the Beast at the beginning of this verse been displaced by the additions of our author, but something has also been lost or displaced at the close of the verse by another of his additions. For, as it stands, 8 simply states that the faithless inhabitants of the earth shall marvel when they behold the Beast. But there is nothing surprising in this fact; for the Seer marvels in the preceding verse. Hence, since the context implies that they will do something which would be the natural outcome of therr ungodliness, we conclude that a clause to the effect that they would worship
him has been dislodged by the addition "which was and is not and shall come." Now, if we turn to xiii. $3^{c}, 8$ we find the very
 When this clause is restored, the mystery of the beast in the source is sufficiently disclosed. The Beast is clearly the Roman Empire. It is on the Beast, i.e. the Empire, that the woman, i.e. Rome, is seated. But the changes introduced by our author have transformed the significance of the Beast. The Beast now means the demonic Nero returning from the abyss, and it is clearly the intention of our author that 11 should be taken in this sense.

The Beast is now the Neronic Antichrist coming up from the abyss, as in xiii. 3, 12, 14. In these passages he is represented

 doxó $\mu$ evos in i. 4, 8, iv. 8, while the oúk Ëбrıv and the parallel
 $\pi \lambda_{\eta \gamma \eta}{ }^{2} \tau \hat{\eta} s \mu^{2} \times a i p a s$, xiii. 14, are intended to allude to the death of Christ.

In the Introd. to xiii., vol. i. p. 337, I have, I think, proved that this verse is 2 doublet of xiii. $3^{c}, 8$-and that both are Greek versions of the same Hebrew original. Since xvii. 8 in some form belonged to xvii. $3-10$, it is from this Hebrew source that xiii. $3^{\text {e }}, 8$ is derived. When our author incorporated his Greek version of this source in xiii. $3^{c}, 8$ he added rov̂ ápviov rov̂
 dependence on xiii. 8, or the same writer who wrote xiii. (in the opinion of Bousset our author), composed xvii. 8; but all the evidence when closely examined points in a different direction.
$\beta \lambda e \pi$ óvtal where we expect $\beta \lambda$ étortes may be due to $\AA y$, or to a not unnatural rendering of $\operatorname{ar}$....


 ènon díyov adròv 8ei $\mu$ кivan.
0. $\dot{\text { in }} \mathrm{\delta e} \delta$ roûs $\kappa \tau \lambda$. $=$ "here is needed the intelligence which is wisdom." It relates to what follows, as in xiii. 18, and comes from the hand of our author.
ofp . : . aitûv кaí. This is an obvious addition to the text, but it appears to be a very ancient one and may have gone back to the Johannine school, as its Hebraic character shows : i.c. $8 \pi$ ov . . . aútûv. Bousset (p. 416) suggests that our author himself made this addition, when he found that he could discover no historical interpretation of xvii. 10-11. This addition, however, is wholly unsuitable; for the seven heads do not belong to the woman (i.e. Rome) but to the Beast. But the gloss interprets
the heads as if they were an adjunct of the woman, whereas they belong to the Beast. This absolute misconception of the text is fatal to the genuineness of these words. Again our author in
 on iii. zy) and кa0lferv in the finite tenses and infinitive. But there is another objection; for it is clear that, of the two conficting explanations given in immediate connection, only one can stand-in this case the latter. The idea conveyed of the gloss was a familiar one. "The city of seven hills" was a familiar expression in classical writers : cf. Horace, Carm. Sec. 7, " Di , quibus septem placuere colles"; Virg. Aen. vi. 782, "Septemque una sibi muro circumdabit arces," Georg. ii. 534; Martial, iv. 64, "septem dominos montes"; Cicero, Ad Att. vi. 5,

 emperors see I Pet. ii. 13, 17; I Tim. ii. 2. We have here a very clear intimation of the date of this source. Five emperors have already fallen, one is, and another is yet to come. This source was probably written, therefore, under the sixth emperor. Before we can ascertain who this emperor was, we must decide whether we shall include or exclude in our reckoning Galba, Otho, and Vitellius, and with what emperor we shall begin. First of all we may safely exclude the above three emperors from our consideration. Suetonius (Vesp. i.) describes their reigns as "rebellio trium principum." Next, though Suetonius, Josephus, and 4 Ezra xi. 12, 13, xii. 14, 15 (see Box, p. 262 sq.), begin with Cæsar, it seems clear here that our text begins with Augustus, as does Tacitus. The first five emperors are Augustus, Tiberius, Caligula, Claudius, Nero. The emperor who " is" is Vespasian, 69-79 A.D., and the one who " is not yet come," Titus, 79-8 . Titus thus fulfilled the prediction, ötav

 most probably that assigned by Wellhausen (Analyse, 28). "Titus is assumed to be the coming seventh and last: he as the destroyer of Jerusalem will be overtaken by vengeance after a short reign." ${ }^{2}$

But what are we to make of this reckoning in its present

[^6]context? Our author has taken over this source and that which follows, but he is writing in the reign of Domitian. If he took xvii. io seriously, Domitian must have been for him the sixth emperor, and he could only have justified this view, as Bousset points out (p. 416), by a very artificial method of reckoning, i.e. by beginning with Galba, the successor of Nero: Galba, Otho, Vitelluus, Vespasian, Titus, Domitian. But we may safely reject this reckoning as impossible, and assume that here, as frequently elsewhere, our author has taken over material that in some one or more respects served his purpose, though in others it was unsuitable. Owing to its unintelligibleness from the historic point of view, some scribe added a geographical explanation in xvii. 9.

11-17. On the source behind these verses see Introd. §5.



This verse presents some difficulty. We have already sought to show (Introd. §4-5) that xvii. 11-17 is a new source used by our author, referring to the return of Nero from the East at the head of the Parthian kings. Only the latter part of this source is preserved in our text, and this is edited and brought up to
 vináyec in xvii. 11 (see similar addition in xvii. 8), and other changes subsequently. In the original source the Beast was the living Nero returning from the East : in our text the Beast has become Nero redivivus, as in xvii. 8. This is the view accepted by such scholars as Ewald, De Wette, Hilgenfeld, Vischer, Völter, Spitta, Holtzmann, Weizsacker, Bousset. On the other hand, it has been maintained recently by J. Weiss and Swete and Moffatt that the Beast is to be identified with Domitian. Moffatt regards this verse as "a parenthesis added by John to bring the source up to date . . . since the death of Titus had not been followed by the appearance of the Neroantichrist" . . . "Domitian, the eighth emperor, under whom he writes, is identified with the true Neronic genius of the empire." (Cf. Eus. H.E. iii. 20; Tert. Apol. 5: "portio Neronis de crudelitate." De Pallio, 4, Subneronem. To these we might add Juv. iv. 37 sq., "Calvo serviret Roma Neroni"; Mart. xi. 33, etc. Moffatt seeks to explain the words ík $\tau \bar{\nu} \nu \boldsymbol{\ell} \pi \tau \dot{\alpha}$ by showing that Domitian was closely associated with the imperial power already (Tac. Hist. iii. 84, iv. 2, 3 ; cf. Jos. Bell. iv. I 1. 4, etc.), and points out that whereas it was said of the Neronic Antichrist in xvii 8, dvaßaivecv ik rîs dívóroov, no such expression is used here. Thus Moffatt recognizes the true Nero redivivus in xvii. 8, 14, and a second Nero in the person of Domitian in xvii. ir, and maintains that they are not to be identified. That the juxta-
position of Domitian as a second Nero and Nero redivivus is awkward, Moffatt admits, but says it is "inevitable under the circumstances." But his arguments are unconvincing. The
 one interpretation. The person so described "was and is not"
 cannot say oúk $\epsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$. Moreover, the pre-existence ascribed to Donitian in $\boldsymbol{t} \boldsymbol{\eta} \nu$ is also inexplicable. Nor can he in any intelligible sense be described as iк $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ èmrá. Finally, if we interpret xvii. 12-17 of the Parthian invasion, there is no ground in comparative relggion or history for representing Domıtian as in any sense its leader. The addıtion of кai $\mu e ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota$ àvaßaivetv è $\kappa$
 the same force as the like expressions in xiii. 3, $12,14$.
 certain. The Antichrist, though he thinks he is accomplishing his own purposes, is accomplishing the purposes of God, and is all the time marching to his own destruction, which is also the purpose of God.

12-13, 17-16, 14. The destruction of Rome by Nero redivivus and his Parthian allies (12-13, 17, 16), and the destruction of the latter by the Lamb (14).

入apßávouctv $\mu \in$ тà toû Onpiou.

The kings are symbolized by the horns, and are thus differentiated from the emperors who are symbolized by the heads of the Beast. Who are these kings? Various answers have been given. I. They are said to be unknown powers belonging to the future which as confederates of the returning emperor will arise and overthrow Rome (Weizsacker and Holtzmann). Swete's interpretation belongs partly to this class. "The ten kings . . . represent forces which arising out of the empire itself . . . would turn their arms against Rome and bring about her downfall." 2. The governors of the senatorial provinces who held office for a year ( $\mu$ iav üpav). So Ewald, Volkmar, Hilgenfeld, Hausrath, Mommsen, B. Weiss, Briggs, Selwyn. Bousset states
 $\delta_{\alpha \delta o ́ a \sigma \iota \nu, ~ x v i i . ~ 13, ~ a n d ~ t h e ~ p a r a l l e l s ~ i n ~ x v i i . ~}^{1} 7$ are against this view ; but this is not necessarily so. These governors possessed a certain delegated authority ( $\dot{\omega} s$ קaбdeis), and only for a year ( $\mu$ iav ©jpav). But again this interpretation has not the support of xvi. 12 or of the universal expectation that was then current in the East and in the Roman Empire. The phrase סov̂vat tiेv
 and so the text could not apply to Roman officials. 3. The

Parthian satraps (Eichhorn, De Wette, Bleek, Bousset, J. Weiss, Wellhausen, Scott, Moffatt). According to xvi. 12, the Parthians had several kings or satraps. It is stated that there were as many as fourteen, but the number ten here is not to be pressed. According to the current belief of the generation that followed the death of Nero, it was held that Nero had escaped to the East, and that he would return against Rome at the head of the Parthian hosts. That this belief was taken seriously is proved by the fact that three pretenders appeared between 69-88 A.D. under Nero's name as claimants of the imperial throne. For the evidence see App. to this chapter (p. 80). Since this belief had firmly established itself both in the Gentile and Hellenistic Jewish worlds within the first decade after Nero's death, since, further, it is attested actually in our text in xvi. 12 , there can be little doubt that the source in xvii. 12-17 is to be explained thereby. But in the present context, in which Nero is a demon from the abyss, it is possible that these kings are, as Bousset suggests, regarded by our Seer as demonic powers.
 Barcheis divaorvícovtal (Theod.).

Bacticiar ounte enaßov. These words referring to the Parthian satraps are intellgible from the standpoint of the world empire of Rome. They hold a quast-kingly power (is Baodeis) for a brief span ( $\mu$ iav むpav), since the Antichrist's power will speedily be brought to an end.
 a still more manifest than that which precedes.



The unanimity of the Parthian kings is explained in xvii. 17.



This is a gioss explanatory of xvii. 1 , where the Harlot City is said to sit $\dot{d} \pi i \quad \dot{\sim} \delta a ́ \tau \omega \nu \pi 0 \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$. But since it was not Rome but the literal Babylon that was so situated, the glosser, after the analogy of Isa. viii. 7, Jer. xlvii. 2, interprets the many waters here as referring to the peoples over which Rome ruled. In
 Jer. See note in loc. The style is not that of our author. He never uses ớ but onov: cf. ii. 13 (bis), xi. 8, xii. 6, 14, xx. 10. Nor is the enumeration $\lambda a 0 l$ kr $\lambda$. that of our author. See note on v. 9. He uses $\phi$ uגaí instead of ö $\chi$ 入ob. Again we should expect
 iii. 21.




This verse explains the remarkable unanimity of these kings. It was due to God, not to any mere earthly policy, and it would last till the oracles of the prophets regarding Rome were accomplished, and the Antichrist and the kings met in the last great battle with the Lamb, xvi. 14. Even the wrath of men is made to praise Him. There is no real dualism in the universe. The very powers of evil ultimately subserve the purposes of God and are then destroyed. (Cf. xvii. 14.) Since the Beast, which in the source meant the living Nero returning from the East at the head of the Parthians, has become in our author the demonic Nero, it is probable that his attendant hosts are also to be regarded as of demonic origin.

Ëbukev cis tàs kapठías aữûv. For this Hebraism ( $=$ y אאל-לבב) cf. Neh. vii. 5. There is a closely related idiom in Jer. xxxi. (xxxviii.) 33 ; 1 Thess. iv. 8 ; Heb. viii. 10.
[кai поเทิбal $\mu$ iav $\gamma^{v \omega u \mu \mu \nu .] ~ I ~ h a v e, ~ w i t h ~ A l f o r d, ~ b r a c k e t e d ~ t h i s ~}$ clause as an early gloss from xvii. 13. It is superfluous after
 $\boldsymbol{\kappa} \boldsymbol{\lambda}$.
 prophecies must relate not only to the destruction of Rome by Nero and the Parthians as in the source, but to the overthrow of the power of the Beast and his Parthian allies.




I have restored this verse to the place which it had originally in the source and in our author, i.e. after xvii. 17, which in its turn followed immediately on xvii. 13. The Harlot City was to be destroyed by the forces of evil themselves. As the Beast is demonic and the horns are conceived as part of him, these kings appear also to have a demonic character in their present context.

The author of this source must have had Ezek. xxiii. 25-29 before him, but not the LXX. He reproduces the thought but
 free rendering of xxiii. 29, , ועשו אוחך בשנאה, which the LXX



 ments are made by Ezekiel with regard to Jerusalem, which at one moment is spoken of as a woman stripped of her garments
and left naked, and at another as a city burnt with fire. The writer here uses the same figures of Rome.
 67.
tdes ơápkas aưtท̂s фáyovzat: cf. xix. 18, Ps. xxvii. 2, rov̂ фaүदîv

 denotes the fleshy parts of the body.
 These words can only refer to the city whom the woman represents. Death by fire was not the punishment of the harlot, unless she were a priest's daughter : cf. Lev. xxi. 9.
 kai tò dpvíor vikグбet aütoús,


This verse is manifestly added by our author to the source he is using. But this verse could not have been inserted in its present position by our author ; for it treats of the destruction of the forces appointed by God for Rome's destruction, which they effect in 16. In xvii. 17, 16, according to the MSS text, the ten horns and the Beast are represented as executing a divine judgment on the Harlot City and as destroying Rome after they had already themselves been destroyed (xvii. 14). Hence this verse belongs rightly after 16. I have restored it accordingly. With
 which is from his hand. For кúpoos . . . $\beta a \sigma \Delta \lambda e ́ \omega v$ cf, xix. 16. The subject of this vision, i.e. the Parthian kings and their destruction ( $12-13,17,16,14$ ), has been in part referred to in xvi. 12, and is regarded as already accomplished in xix. 13, where the
 vesture of the Divine Warrior as already dipped in blood (i.e. in that of the Parthian kings) before the Messianic campaign against the kings of the earth in xix. ri-21.

The concluding line describes the armies who followed the Lamb, i.e. "the called, elect, and faithful." That these should crush hostile nations we learn from ii. 26, 27, and their descent from heaven to do so is seen in a vision in xix. 14. Hence they are a martyr host of warriors. It was a well-known Jewish expectation that the righteous would take part in the destruction of the wicked : cf. I Enoch xxxviii. 5, xc. 19, xci. 12 for the period of the sword, when the wicked are given into the hands of the righteous, op. cit. xcy. 7, xcvi. 1, xcviii. 12, xcix. 4,6 ; Wisd. iii. 8. The martyrs are not here engaged on a mission of revenge, but in the fulfilment of a righteous retribution.

In xv. 4 the vision-which is in reality a prophecy-shows that the thought of revenge has wholly passed from the minds of the glorified martyrs. But the nations there referred to are those that are contemporary with the Millennial Reign. See the third note further on.
kúpros kupívy . . . $\beta$ aridéwr. This title recurs in xix. 16. In both instances it is used of the Son. The combination of these titles as applied to God is found first in I Enoch ix. 4, $\delta^{\circ}$ ecos
 (So the two Greek versions in Syncellus, whereas the Ethiopic implies $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ for $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda e v o ́ v \tau \omega \nu$. .) It is worth observing that
 often separately as applied to God, кúpıos т̂̂v кvpí $\omega v$, Deut. x. 17 ;
 See Bousset's Rel. d. Judenthums, 306.

The use of such titles in reference to Marduk in Babylonian literature is noted by Zimmern, K.A.T. ${ }^{9} 373$ Sq., 390. Marduk is actually named "Lord of Lords, King of Kings." "King of Kings " was a designation of the Babylonian and Persian kings : cf. Ezek. xxvi. 7 ; Ezra vii. 12 ; Dan. ii. 37 : of the Egyptian kings,
 Eecówors. But this title is far outbid by those given to Domitian: "Dominus et deus noster." Suet. Domit. 13: cf. Mart. v. 8.
 here in the Apocalypse. We are to understand $\pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \mu \eta{ }_{\eta} \sigma o v a i v$ and vırijoovalv from what precedes. The followers of the Lamb who have been called and chosen will manifest their loyalty and share in the Lamb's victory (cf. xii. 11). According to this context those who answer the call are elected and prove their loyalty :
 тоєêَOal. But these loyal followers of the Lamb belong already to the heavenly hosts; for they accompany Him from heaven: cf. xix. 14. They are called mıoroí as their Leader is called тıбтós (i. 5).



Our author knows at last the interpretation of the chief figure in the vision. The woman is the city Rome, the empress of the entire world.

This verse belonged to the source A : see Introd. to Chap., 85 , and had its place immediately after xvii. 7, but was transferred to its present position in order to introduce the great chapter of the downfall of Rome.


## Additional Note on xul.

## The Antichrist, Beliar, ${ }^{1}$ and Neronic Myths, and their ultimate Fusion in early Christian Literature.

This question bristles with problems. Many of these, it is true, have been solved and others are on the way to solution. Nevertheless, many lie still in the background and have not as yet yielded up their secret to research. The chief workers in this field have been Gunkel and Bousset. While the services of the former have been at times brilliant, they have at the same time showed a lack of sound judgment. In the latter respect Bousset in his Antichrist Legend (translated from the German, 1896) and in the Offerbarung Johannis', 1906, has made an admirable contribution, and proved that outside Daniel and Revelation there was an independent tradition of the Antichrist myth coming down from ancient times and diffused through many lands. A study of such articles as Creation, Dragon, Leviathan, Serpent in the Ency. Biblica will show that the Creation Story passed through a long development within the domain of Hebrew and Jewish thought, and further study proves that such an expression as "the great dragon, the ancient serpent, who is called the Devil and Satan" (Rev. xii. 9), finds one of its sources ultimately in the myth that underlies the Creation story. But the present study cannot take account of the manifold traces of this development discoverable in the O.T. (see Gunkel, Schöpfung und Chaos-a book full of suggestion, but in many of its conclusions, especially as regards Revelation, demonstrably wrong). It must be strictly limited to the ideas of the Antichrist and kindred conceptions that prevailed within Judaism and Christianity from 200 B.c. to 100 A.D. or thereabouts.

In a study of the present subject in 1900 (see Ascension of Lsaiah, pp. li-lxxiii) I pointed out that, whilst Bousset's and Gunkel's works (above cited) were most helpful and stimulating in many directions, they did not deal satisfactorily with the relations of Beliar and the Antichrist, and that their account of the fusion of the latter with the Neronic legend was wanting in lucidity and consistency. This defect Bousset has from his own standpont partially remedied in Rel. d. Judentums im Neutest. Zeitalter ${ }^{2}$, rgo6, and his article on "Antichrist" in Hastings' Encyc. of Religion and Ethics, i. 578 sqq . Here he has vastly improved on his earlier studies, and removed many of the defects to which I took objection in 1900 . But, notwithstanding these advances on Bousset's part, I feel constrained to republish here the main part

[^7]of my study of 1900 with such additions and improvements as the work of the intervening years has naturally brought with them.

If we can succeed in establishing with approximate accuracy the dates when the Antichrist, Belar, and Neronic myths originated and became fused together, we acquire means for determining the dates of the fragments of such myths as have secured an entrance into the work of our author.

The aim, therefore, of the present note is to touch briefly on the history of the Antichrist, Beliar, and Neronic myths, before the fusion of any one of them with another, or of each with all: and next to give the passages from Jewish and Christian literature where such fusion is attested and their approximate dates. Thus I shall deal with-

> 1. The independent development of the Antichrist, Beliar, and Neronic myths.

## II. The fusion of the Antichrist myth with that of Beliar, and subsequently and independently with the Neronic myth.

## III. The fusion of all these myths together.

1. The independent development of the Antichrist, Beliar, and Neronic myths.
i. The Antichrist myth.-The term "Antichrist" is comparatively late though the idea signified by it is early. Thus it is not attested till far on in the first century of our era; for it is found in the N.T. only in the Johannıne Epistles-1 John ii. 18, 22, iv. 3; 2 John 7. The idea, however, can be traced back to the second century b.c., and appears first in the Book of Daniel. This conception takes two forms : (a) the individual Antichrist, and $(b)$ the collective Antichrist.
(a) A God-opposing individual.-In Daniel we find the individual Antichrist (the king of the North, xi. 40) appearing at the head of mighty armies, with which he crushes certain nations and preserves others, persecutes the saints (vii. 25), putting numbers of them to death (viii. ro), sets up in the Temple "the abomination that maketh desolate" (i.e. the heathen altar over the altar of burnt-offering, viii. 13, ix. 27, xi. 31, xii. 11), " magnifies himself above every god " (xi. 36 : cf. 2 Thess. ii. 4), and after a reign of three and a half years (vii. 25 sq.) meets his end (xi. 45). The historical figure here referred to was Antiochus iv. Epiphanes (i.e. (God) made manifest). The idea, which may in part have existed already and which became impersonated in Antiochus disassociated itself from the historical figure of Antiochus, and through its enlargement and enrichment in the Book of Daniel established itself as a permanent expectation in Judaism. In the
earliest literature, therefore, where the idea appears, it implies a being of human origin (though claiming divine prerogatives), whereas Beliar, who came subsequently to be identified with the Antichrist, was originally a superhuman or Satanic being.

The next historical character to whom epithets belonging to the Antichrist are applied, is Pompey the Great, who committed the unpardonable act of profaning the Temple by entering the Holy of Holies after his conquest of Jerusalem. Thus in the Pss. of Solomon ( $70-40$ b.c.), Pompey is called "the Dragon"
 to the Dragon myth (see Cheyne's art. "Dragon" in the Encyc. $B i b . \mathrm{i}$ ). He is described as "the sinner," ii. I ( $\delta$ d d $\mu a \rho \tau \omega \lambda$ ós), the personification of $\sin$ (cf. 2 Thess. ii. $3, \delta \quad \ddot{\alpha} \nu \theta$ pumos rins $\dot{\mu} \mu a \rho r i a s$

 $\times B$ ). But since his soldiers are designated "the lawless ones" (xvii. 20, oi ävomol), the epithet may mean no more than heathen, as in I Cor. ix. 21; 2 Cor. vi. 14; Acts ii. 23. The epithet "lawless," if technically used, is proper to the Beliar myth.

This expectation may have been influenced by the action of the emperor Caligula (37-4I A.D.), when he ordered the governor Petronius to erect his statue in the Temple. If he had persisted in this act of profanation, the Jews would undoubtedly have regarded it as a fulfilment of the prediction of the setting up of "the abomination of desolation" in the Temple. This phrase was, as we are aware, first applied to the heathen altar set up by Antiochus in the Temple (I Macc. i. 54), and probably also to the image of Olympian Zeus beside it (cf. Taanith iv. 6). Bousset suggests that "the ever recurring expectation of later times, that Antichrist would take his place in the Temple of Jerusalem, dates . . . from this period."

The next reference to the Antichrist is to be found in 2 Bar. xxxvi. 5, xxxix 3, x. 1,2 , according to which the head of the Roman Empire was to be brought before the Messiah and destroyed, and still another in 4 Ezra v. 6, where the reign of the Antichrist is foretold: "Et regnabit quem non sperant, qui inhabitant super terram."
(b) A God-opposing power, or the collective Antichrist.-So far we have cited our authorities as testifying to a single individual Antichrist. But with the expectation of an individual Antichrist that of a collective Antichrist, (a) secular, or ( $\beta$ ) religious, is often involved.
(a) Thus in Dan. vii. 7 sqq., 19 sqq. the Fourth Empire (i.e. the Greek or Macedonian) is the collective Antichrist. The identity of the Seleucidae or Greek rulers of Syria with the Fourth Kingdom appears in the Sibylline Oracles,
iii. 388-400 (before 140 B.c.). But at the close of the first cent. B.c. or the beginning of the first cent. A.D. the prophecy of Daniel was reinterpreted, and, since Syria had now ceased to be a world power, the Fourth Empire was identified with the new world power Rome. This is first seen in the Assumption of Moses ( $7-30$ A.D.), where the overthrow of Rome by Israel is predicted :

## x 8. "Then thou, O Israel, shalt be happy, And thou shalt go up against the eagle, And its neck and wings shall be destroyed."1

Lest his contemporaries should misunderstand Dan. vii. 17-19, 23 sqq. as referring to the Greek Empire, the Seer in 4 Ezra xii. 11-12 expressly states that this passage refers to the Roman Empire. This is the universal view of the first century A.D. Cf. 2 Bar. xxxvi.-xl. ; 4 Ezra v. 3-4, xi. 40 sqq. It is attested in the N.T.: see the Little Jewish Apocalypse incorporated in Mark xini. (especially $14=$ Matt. xxiv. $15=$ Luke xxi. 20), and in the sources behind xiii. r-ro (see § 8 in the Introd. to xiii.), xvii. 3-10, where it is symbolized by the Beast in our Apocalypse. But in our text the meaning of the symbol has been changed : it stands only in part for the Roman Empire, but mainly for Nero redivizus, the demonic Antichrist coming up from the abyss, in xiii. 3 and similarly in xvii. $\mathrm{r}-\mathrm{ro}$; but the original meaning of the symbol still survives in xiii. $\mathbf{1 - 2}$, xvii. 3. In the Ep. Barn. iv. 4-5 (100-120 A.D.) the Fourth Kingdom is Rome: so also in Hippolytus (220 A.D.), and in the Talmud-Aboda Zara, $\mathbf{1}^{\text {b }}$.
$(\beta)$ The collective Antichrist of a religious origin. In the Johannine Epistles of the N.T. (r John ii. 18, 22, iv. 3; 2 John 7) the Antichrist is the collective name for the false teachers who have gone forth from the bosom of the Church as deceivers ( $\pi$ גávol). This conception is not to be confounded with that of pseudo-Christ ( $\psi$ evoóxpıotos) of Matt. xxiv. 24 ; Mark xiii. 22. The individual Antichrist of the religious type is probably referred to in John v. 43, "If another shall come in his own name, him ye will receive."

Again the original source lying behind xiii. 11-14, 16-17

[^8]was a Jewish Apocalypse directed against the individual Antichrist in the form of the False Prophet (see Introd. to Chap. xiii. \$8, vol. i. pp. 342-344). In our text it has been transformed into a collective Antichrist, i.e. the heathen imperial priesthood, and designated the second Beast in subordination to the first in xiii. 1-10. Originally this Antichrist was conceived as independent and without any Antichrist beside him.
ii. In the O.T. Beliar does not appear as a proper name (see "Beliar" in the Bible Dictionaries). Beliar first attains to personality in the second century b.c. Thus, according to the Test. XII Patriarchs, Beliar rules over souls that are constantly disturbed (T. Dan iv. 7), or which yield to the evil inclinations (T. Ash. i. 8), but flees from those that keep the law (T. Dan v. 1). The Messiah will make war on Beliar and take from him the souls he had led captive (T. Dan v. 10), and Behar will be bound (T. Levi xviii. 12), and cast into the fire (T. Jud. xxv. 3), and the spirits subject to him will be punished (T. Levi iii. 3). This conception is very like that of Satan-a fact which becomes clearer still in Jubilees i. 20, where Beliar (like Satan: cf. 1 Chron. xxi. 1; I Enoch xl. 7; Rev. xii. 10) is said to be the accuser of the faithful before God. This identifcation of Beliar and Satan appears in the Christian pseudepigraph, The Questions of Bartholomezv (ed. Bonwetsch, 1897), iv. 25. In 2 Cor. vi. 15, Beliar seems a synonym for Satan. Hence we may conclude that towards the close of the second century b.c. Beliar was regarded as a Satanic spirit, and as naught else, until the Beliar myth coalesced with that of the Antichrist.
iii. The Neronic myth in its earliest form.-Here our task is simply to show that soon after the death of Nero the myth became current that (a) Nero had not really died, but was still living; and (b) that he would soon return from this far East to take vengeance on Rome.
(a) When Nero with the help of a freedman committed suicide and was cremated (Suet. Nero, 49), so great was the public joy that the people thronged the streets in holiday attire (op. cit. 57). All, however, did not share in the belief of Nero's death. Thus Tacitus (Hist. ii. 8) writes that there were many who pretended and believed that he was still alive; and Suetonius (Nero, 57) declares that edicts were issued in his name as though he were still alive and would return speedily to destroy his enemies. As early as 69 A.D. an impostor appeared under his name and headed a rebellion against Rome (Tac. Hist. ii. 8, 9).
(b) That Nero had taken refuge in the East probably formed a constituent of the myth from the outset-a point on which
evidence will be furnished later. Predictions had been made during Nero's lifetime that the East would be the scene of his future greatness: some of these represented Jerusalem as the seat of his empire; others promised him the sovereignty of the world (Suet. Nero, 40). Probably such vaticinations an these, combined with the fact that Nero had already established friendly relations with the Parthian king Vologeses !. (Suet. Nero, 57), led Nero, as the end drew nigh, to think of fleeing to the Parthians (op. cit. 47).

In conformity with this expectation we find that a second pseudo-Nero appeared under Titus on the Euphrates, about 80 A.D., and was recognized by the Parthian king Artabanus (Zonaras, xi. s8). Finally, about 88 A.D. a third pretender came forward among the Parthians and all but succeeded in hurling Parthia against Rome (Tac. Hist. i. 2 ; Suet. Nero, 57). This Nero myth, thus firmly rooted in the Gentile world, passed over to the Jewish. The Jewish source, lying behind Rev. xvii. 12-17 (i.e. xvii. $1 \mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{A}}, 12-13,17,16$ ) and written probably in the reign of Titus, embodies this expectation and predicts the destruction of Rome by the Parthians under the leadership of Nero, who is there called "the beast." This expectation of a Parthian invasion of the West is explicitly stated in xvi. 12. With these passages Rev. ix. 13-2I should be compared, though here we have a demonic 'form of the myth. The Sibylline Oracles, v. 143-148 (71-74 A.D.-so Zahn and Bousset), prove that this myth had established itself in the eschatology of Hellenstic Judaism. According to the passage just referred to, the fight of Nero from Rome to the Parthians is mentioned, and in v. $361-364$ his return to destroy Rome. Early in the next decade we find other testimonies to the prevalence of this myth : see Sibyll. Or. iv. 119-122, where Nero is described as a fugitive to Parthia, and iv. 137-139, where he is described as returning to assail the West at the head of a vast host.

It is possible that the statement in the Talmud (Yoma, $10^{\circ}$ ), to the effect that Rome would be destroyed by the Persians, is an echo of this early expectation.
II. The fusion of the Antichrist myth (i.) with that of Beliar before 50 A.D. ; and (ii.) independently with that of Nero redivizus, 88-100 A.D.
i. As a result of this fusion the Antichrist is regarded as (a) a God-opposing man armed with miraculows powers-this appears to have been effected on Cbristian soil before 50 A.D. ; (b) a purely Satanic power before 70 A.D.
(a) 2 Thess. ii. 1-12, according to the usual interpretation, presents an indubitable instance of this fusion. Thus, on the one hand, we have Beliar. "The man of lawlessness" vol. II.-6
 for dvó $\neq \eta \mu$ is the LXX rendering of it in Deut. xv. 9, and divopía in 2 Kings xxii. 5, and rapávopos is frequently found as its equivalent, when it is used as an epithet: Deut. xiii. 13; Judg. xix. 22, xx. 13; 2 Kings xvi. 7, etc.

In the next place it is Beliar appearing as the Antichrist ; for the words " he that opposeth himself . . . against all that is
 an excellent definition of the Antichrist. Since 2 Thess. is now generally (and certainly by the present writer) regarded as an authentic writing of St. Paul, we have here the earliest evidence for the fusion of these ideas (circ. 50 A.D.), and also for the humanization of the Beliar myth through its fusion with that of the Antichrist; for hitherto Beliar had been conceived as a Satanic or superhuman being. The Antichrist thus comes to be conceived as a God-opposing man armed with Satanic powers.

We should next observe that in 2 Thess. ii. $1-12$ the myth appears to have a purely religious significance and not a political one, as in Rev. xiii. 1 -10, xvi. Thus in 2 Thess. ii. 6, 7 the Roman Empire is referred to as the power which checks the manifestation of the Antichrist, whereas in Rev. xiii. 1-10 it is the Roman Empire that stood originally in the source of this passage and that still stands in the background as the Antichrist, while the demonic Nero stands in the foreground as this being. In no case could 2 Thess. ii. $\mathbf{r - 1} 2$ have been written after 70 A.D. This section is a Christian transformation of a current Judaistic myth.

Another phase of this expectation appears in Rev. xiii. 11-17. In the source of this passage the Antichrist was conceived similarly to that in 2 Thess. ii. But by our author this conception was recast and interpreted of the priesthood, which was attached to the cultus of the Caesars, and had the chief seat of its activities in the province of Asia. This Antichrist-in our author symbolized by the second Beast-is a false teacher and prophet. Hence this conception is akin to that which prevails in the Johannine Epistles: 1 John ii. 18, 22, iv. 3; 2 John 7. Though both in the Epistles and Rev. xiii. 11-17 the Antichrist is human, in the latter passage he is armed with Satanic powers and "deceiveth them that dwell on the earth by reason of the signs which it was given him to do in the sight of the beast" (xili. 14). His task is to make the inhabitants of the earth worship the first Beast (i.e. the Beliar Nero), whose death-stroke had been healed (xiii. 12). This subordinate Antichrist is designated as "the false prophet" in xvi. 13, xix. 20, xx. ro. Thus a conception which had originally grown up in Jewish and Christian circles, and, referring to a Jewish Antichrist, had a
purely religious significance, was recast by our author and reinterpreted of a heathen corporation, the Imperial priesthood, which was in part religious and in part political in its aims.

Sibylline Or. ii. 167 sq. (circ. 200 A.D.) should probably be cited under this head, where it is said that Beliar will come and work many portents before men.
(b) The Beliar Antichrist =a purely Satanic power before 70 A.D. (or 30 A.D.).

This stage of the myth is attested in Rev. xi. 7 , where as the Beast from the abyss he makes war with and kills the witnesses. The Antichrist in this passage makes his advent in Jerusalem (xi. 8), and therefore before 70 A.D. This phase of the myth was originally independent of that which appears in Rev. xiii. and xyil., where it has been fused together with the Neronic myth. But in its present context in xi. it is treated as identical with the conception in xiii. and xvii. and is used proleptically in reference to it .

We should probably not be wrong in recognizing in the Assumption of Moses x. x, 2 an instance of this compound conception.

1. "And then His kingdom shall appear throughout all His creation, And then Satan shall be no more, And sorrow shall depart with him.
2. Then the hands of the angel shall be filled Who has been appointed chief, And he shall forthwith avenge them of their adversaries."
If this passage comes rightly under this head, then the fusion of the ideas of Beliar and Antichrist must be anterior to 30 A.D.
ii. Fusion of the Antichrist myth with that of the Nero redivivus.-This fusion could not have taken place before the first half of Domitian's reign, when the last Neronic pretender appeared. As soon, however, as the hope of the return of the living Nero could no longer be entertained, the way was prepared for this transformation of the myth. The living Nero was no longer expected, but Nero restored to life from the abyss. This expectation appears in Rev. xiii., xvii. But it is questionable if this classification is right, and the very much conflated conceptions of the Antichrist in these chapters had best been reckoned under III. The simple Neronic myth needs some infusion of the Beliar myth in order to develop the expectation of Nero redivizus, or Nero as a demonic power.
III. Fission of the Antichrist, Beliar, and Neronic myths in various degrees and forms.

From this fusion the myth emerges in three forms, which
owe their diversity in the main to the three variations of the Neronic myth which enter into and affect the combination. These are: (i.) Incarnation of Beliar as the Antichrist in Nero still conceived as alive. The Antichrist has here a political significance, and is human. (ii.) Incarnation of Beliar in the form of the dead Nero. The Antichrist is here a Satanic being. (iii.) Incarnation of Beliar as the Antichrist in Nero redivivus.
(i.) Incarnation of Beliar as the Antichrist in Nero still conceived as living-before 90 A.D.-We have seen above from documentary evidence that before 80 A.D. the myth had gained wide circulation both among Gentiles and Jews, that Nero was still living in the East, and would speedily return to avenge himself on Rome. We have further seen that long before 80 A.D. the minds of both Jews and Christians were familiar with the expectation of the Antichrist pure and simple, and of the Antichrist possessing the attributes of Beliar or Satan, and so denoting a God-opposing man armed with miraculous powers, or a truly Satanic being. So strong was the tendency of such mythical currents to merge in a common stream that it is not surprising to find this coalescence achieved in Sibyll. Or. iii. 6374. This passage is unhappily of uncertain date, though no doubt before 90 A.D., since Nero is still regarded as alive. Its significance, however, cannot be mistaken. Beliar comes as Antichrist and is descended from Augustus (iк $\Sigma_{e} \beta a \sigma \tau \eta \nu \omega ิ r$ ). That this descendant of Augustus is Nero there seems no room for doubt. The lines are:

It is possible, however, that the $\Sigma_{\varepsilon} \beta$ aotrjuoi are the inhabitants of $\sum_{\ell} \beta a \sigma r r^{\prime}$, i.e. Samaria. In that case the text would come under II. i. (b).
ii. Incarnation of Beliar as Antichrist in the form of the dead Nero.-In due time the belief that Nero was still alive in the East began to die. The time of its extinction must naturally have varied according to temperament and locality. It is accordingly difficult to assign definite dates. Since, however, the latest pretender to the Neronic rôle came forward in 88 A.D., we may not unreasonably infer that from that year the belief began to lose its grip on the common folk, and to decline steadily till it finally disappeared. No doubt during the next
twenty years or more it crops up sporadically, but even during that period its place has been taken by two rival and stronger forms of the same myth.

These new forms may have already been evolved in the later years of Vespasian. At all events they are not later than $90-$ 100 A.D. Now that the belief that Nero was still alive had already been abandoned, there were two courses of development open for this myth, in case the Neronic element was still to be retained. Either Beliar must come in the form of the dead Nero, or Nero must be recalled to life by a Satanic miracle as in (iii.). The first course is adopted by the writer of the Ascension of Isaiah, the second by our author in xiii., xvii. The passage in the Ascension, iv. 2-4, is as follows:
"And after (the age) is fulfilled, Beliar, the great ruler, the king of this world, will descend, who hath ruled it since it came into being; yea he will descend from his firmament in the likeness of a man, a lawless king, the slayer of his mother, who himself (even) this king 3 . Will persecute the plant which the Twelve Apostles of the Beloved have planted. Of the Twelve one will be delivered into his hand. 4. This ruler in the form of that king will come, and there will come with him all the powers of this world," etc.
(iii.) Incarnation of Beliar as the Antichrist in Nero redivivus. -The chief authority attesting this expectation is Rev. xili., xvii. in their present form as they left our author's hand. But we shall first deal shortly with others in the Sibylline Oracles. In Sibyll. Or. v. 28-34 (written in the reign of Hadrian) the description of the Antichrist involves all the above elements. Thus it is Nero redivivus that is described; for the author of the lines is writing two generations after Nero's death. In the next place he is called in semi-mythological language "the serpent" (herein we have the Beliar element), and finally he makes himself equal to God. The lines bearing on our subject are v. 28-29, 33-34.
סeuvòs ödes . . .
v. 214-227 belongs more clearly to this division. According to this passage, Nero is to return aloft, upborne by the Fates. His achievements are portrayed in 219-225. In Book viii., of which lines $4-429$ belong to the close of the second century, the various myths have so thoroughly coalesced that Nero is no longer regarded as a man but as a Satanic monster. He has become the Dragon (viii. 88, порфvprós тe סрákwv), and assumed the monster's form ( 157, Ôjpa $\mu$ '̛́yav).

It is needless here to pursue the ramifications of this myth further in this and later literature than to state, that so thoroughly did the Neronic element in the composite Antichrist conception gain the upper hand in the East, that in Armenian the word Nero became and remains the equivalent for Antichrist.

We shall now return to the most important testimonies of this subject, i.e. in Rev. xiii., xvii. We need not here deal with them in detail, since they are fully discussed already. Here we have the most vigorous and illuminating conception of the Antichrist in all literature, although, as we have seen in our study of these chapters, our author was to a considerable extent indebted to existing sources in their composition. But though the elements of the Antichrist were drawn for the most part from disparate sources, the result is no mere mosaic, no laboured syncretism of conflicting traits, but a marvellous portrait of the great God-opposing power that should hereafter arise, who was to exalt might above right, and attempt, successfully or unsuccessfully for the time, to seize the sovereignty of the world, backed by hosts of intellectual workers, ${ }^{1}$ who would uphold his pretensions, justify all his actions, and enforce his political aims by an economic warfare, ${ }^{2}$ which menaced with destruction all that did not bow down to his arrogant and godless claims. And though the justness of this forecast is clear to the student who approaches the subject with some insight, and to all students who approach it with the experience of the present world war, we find that as late as 1908, Bousset in his article on the "Antichrist" in Hastings' Encyclopadia of Religion and Ethics, writes as follows: "The interest in the (Antichrist) legend . . . is now to be found only among the lower classes of the Christian community, among sects, eccentric individuals, and fanatics."

No great prophecy receives its full and final fulfilment in any single event or series of events. In fact, it may not be fulfilled at all in regard to the object against which it was primarily delivered by the prophet or Seer. But, if it is the expression of a great moral and spiritual truth, it will of a surety be fulfilled at sundry times and in divers manners and in varying degrees of completeness. The present attitude of the Central Powers of Europe on this question of might against right, of Cæsarism against religion, of the state against God, is the greatest fulfilment that the Johannine prophecy in xiii. has as yet received. Even the very indefiniteness regarding the chief Antichrist in xiii. is reproduced in the present upheaval of

[^9]evil powers. In xiii. the Antichrist is conceived as a single individual, i.e. the demonic Nero; but, even so, behind him stands the Roman Empire, which is one with him in character and purpose, and is itself the Fourth Kingdom or the Kingdom of the Antichrist-in fact, the Antichrist itself. So in regard to the present war, it is difficult to determine whether the Kaiser or his people can advance the best claims to the title of a modern Antichrist. If he is a present-day representative of the Antichrist, so just as surely is the empire behind him, for it is one in spirit and purpose with its leader-whether regarded from its military side, its intellectual, or its industrial. They are in a degree far transcending that of ancient Rome "those who are destroying the earth " (Rev. xi. s8).

## CHAPTER XVIII.

## 8 1. The Contents and Character of this Chapter.

This chapter, which deals with the doom of Rome, opens with a prophetic prelude, in which the Seer looks far forward and sees the destruction of Rome as already accomplished, and the earth's proud capital as the haunt of every unclean thingboth demonic and belonging to this world. ${ }^{1}$ This prelude, described as an angelic utterance from heaven ( $1-3$ ), is proleptic, since in the rest of the chapter various stages in the actual destruction are described.

In 4-8 there follows another voice addressed to the faithful ${ }^{2}$ (4-5), and to the ministers of God's wrath, ${ }^{8}$ who were assembled for the destruction of Rome.

We now come to the three threnodies pronounced respectively over burning Rome by the kings ( $9-10$ ), by the merchant princes of the earth ( $11-13,15-16$ ), and by the shipowners and sailors of all the world (17-19). Each in turn bewail the doom of the great city in whose wantonness and luxury and wealth they had all shared.

The chapter closes with a song of doom preluded by a symbolic action on the part of a strong angel. This dirge is uttered by the Seer who wrote the Oracle, which John has utilized here for his own purposes. At its close he has added $20,23^{1-24}$, in which he appeals to heaven and to the martyrs,
${ }^{1}$ As John had not the opportunity of revising his great Apocalyse, several traces of the expectations belonging to the Vespanianic period survive in this Jewish source. According to John's own view, the smoke of Rome was to go up till the world's end (xix. 3), but not so in this source (xviii. 2).
${ }^{8}$ Another element testifying to the origin of the source in Vespanian's time. The faithful had all been removed from the earth at the close of xiii.
${ }^{8}$ In the original source-Nero and the Parthians.
apostles and prophets already there, to rejoice over the destruction of Rome. This appeal is answered in xix. This last part of the chapter was evidently found by our author in a very confused condition. It should be read as follows (as we have


As we have already stated, John has here used a source belonging to the Vespasianic period, and written soon after the destruction of Jerusalem. It was apparently written originally in Hebrew, and found by John in a Greek translation. The grounds for these statements are given in the sections that follow. To the same Vespasianic source xvii. $\mathbf{r}^{\text {b }}-7,18,8$-10 (in part) originally belonged.

## 82. The Diction, Idiom, and Style of xviiii. 2-23 is not that of our author.

The style of this chapter has none of our author's characteristic abnormal constructions (see 2). It has, on the other hand, constructions which are wholly against his usage (see 3). This chapter contains a great many áma\} $\lambda_{\epsilon} \gamma^{\prime} \mu \in \nu a$ so far as the rest of the N.T. is concerned (see footnote on 1), and also peculiar usages of certain words (see 5) not only unknown in the rest of the N.T. and the LXX, but almost unknown elsewhere. The style is most carefully elaborated, and in this respect different from that of our author. Our author is, of course, a stylist, but with him style is a wholly secondary consideration. His theme had wholly gained possession of him, and being the greatest of all themes it naturally expresses itself in great and noble words. But the writer of xviii. 2-23 is no less conscious of the claims of form than he is of the subject-matter of his vision. He is a conscious stylist. Moreover, the order of his words is less Semitic than that of any other chapter in the Apocalypse from our author's hand. Thus the verb frequently follows after the subject or the object, or both combined: cf. 3, 7, 8, 11, 14, 15, 17. In xvii. $1^{0}-2,3^{b}-7,8-10$, the earlier part of this source, the order is Semitic, but this seems owing to the revision it has undergone at the hands of our author before he incorporated it in his text.

Finally, this source has influenced our author (see 7).
r. Drction.-The source begins with 2. It is introduced by 1 , every phrase of which is from our author. Thus $\mu$ cid̀ raûra
 éx rov̂ oưpavô̂ is found in $x, 1$ and again in xx. 1. On éxoyta

 of 2-23 is not that of our author, nor the diction nor the idiom.

The diction is in the main different．I have added a list of phrases and clauses common to xviii．and the rest of the book．Now from this list must be withdrawn those given under 20，23，24， since either originally or in their present form they are from our author＇s hand．Next，those given under $3^{\text {b }}, 10$ ， 16 are repeated from the earlier part of the same source，xvii． $1-10$ ，but not found elsewhere in the Book．Again，this old Vespasianic source has not unnaturally influenced our author＇s diction：hence the clauses given in $2^{2}, 3^{2}$ are the source of xiv．8，and the rare use of $\beta \dot{v} \sigma \sigma c v o v$ in 12 appears to be the source of our author＇s use of it in xix．8，14．Thus the clauses with a diction akin to that in our author are those given under 4 （8）， 9,21 （below）．But the clauses which in these verses are common to this source and our author are not distinctive．On the other hand，xviii．has a large number of $\dot{\alpha} \pi a \xi \lambda \epsilon \gamma{ }^{\prime} \mu \varepsilon \nu a$ ，so far as the rest of our author and the N．T．are concerned．${ }^{1}$
 ever，appears to be borrowed from this source．

 emópvevoar：cf． 9 ，xvil．2，where the clause has already occurred．
 xi．12，xiv．2，etc．

9．ко́чovtal $\mathrm{tr}^{\prime}$ aùtî：：cf．i． 7.
 the same source．

12．Bugaivou：cf．16，xix．8，14，where this use of $\beta$ vigrcyov as a noun appears derived from the use in this source．
 variations from xvu．4－both belonging to the same source．

17．Ëornav．Our author would have used eqra日nซar or


20．eúdpaivou：cf．xii．12．oùpavt．Our author uses this
 order is unusual ：contrast xi．18，xviii．24．Ekpıvev：cf．xvi． 6.

21．єts（cf．viii．13，xix．17）äyүe入os ioxupós：cf．v．2，x．1．
 xiv． 5 ．


[^10] 12, vi. 9, xii. 8.
2. The style of xviii. 2-23 exhibits none of the abnormal constructions ${ }^{1}$ so frequent in our author, is far more normal than that of our author, and is comparatively good Greek. In fact the writer of this source was a conscious stylist.
3. Whilst this source has none of our author's characteristic abnormal constructions, it contains constructions which are wholly against his usage. Thus ovaí cum nom. in 10, 16, 19, whereas it appears in our author only cum acc. Ėv ioxvpầ $\phi \omega v \hat{\eta}$ in 2 is both as regards the epithet and the order in this phrase unexampled
 example of the unemphatic position of auvos not elsewhere in our author save in one Uncial (A) in xxi. 3 (see vol. in. p. 208, footnote). крá $\zeta \iota \nu$ èv . . . $\phi \omega \nu \hat{\eta}$ in 2 is against our author's usage, who never inserts the iv here: cf. vi. 10, vii. 2, 10, x. 3, xix. 17.
 is unparalleled in our author. Hence some later authorities
 in 21 is unparalleled in our author in this phrase (see note in loc.).
 against his usage: cf. i. 20. Even the title of Babylon in xviii. ıo, $\dot{\eta} \pi \delta{ }^{\prime} \lambda \iota 5 \dot{\eta}$ i $\sigma \times v \rho \alpha$, is against our author's use, who calls it $\dot{\eta} \mu \varepsilon \gamma \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta$ in xiv. 8, xvi. 19, a title which appears also in this source in xvii. 5 , xviii. 2, 10, 2 I. Finally, in xviii. 7 we find ќá $\eta_{\eta \mu a \iota}$ where our author would have used кaOiscu: see note on iii. 21;

4. The accumulation of participles is a frequent characteristic of this source-without any real parallel in the rest of the Book. Thus in xviii. 9-10 we have oi . . . торvev́ravtes кai
 $\lambda$ 'foves, all dependent on the subject of the principal verb.
 similarly dependent on the subject of the principal verb: in
 $\lambda_{\text {'́jovess. The same accumulation of participles is to be found }}$ in the earlier fragment of this source, i.e. xvii. $\mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{c}-2,} 3^{\mathrm{b}}-7,18$, $8-10$. Thus in xvil. 3 we have puvaiкa каӨ $\eta \mu e ́ v \eta v ~ d \pi i ~ \theta \eta p i o v . ~$

 коиิขтєร . . . $\beta \lambda є \pi$ о́vтшу.
5. The use of neuter adjectives in the sing. as nouns is

[^11]characteristic of this source : i.e. $\beta \dot{v} \sigma \sigma \iota \nu \sigma \nu=$ " fine linen " in xviii. 12, 16. This usage occurs only once in the LXX in Dan. x. 5 ${ }^{\text {c }}$. Occasionally tà $\beta \dot{v} \sigma \sigma \iota v a$ is used in this sense in the LXX.
 xviii. 12, 16, $\sigma \iota \rho \iota \kappa o \nu_{\nu}$, xviii. 12, and $\delta \iota \pi \lambda o v \nu_{\nu}$ in xviii. 6, are used as nouns, although, save in the case of ouptoóv and $\delta \iota \pi \lambda o v ̂ v, ~ s u c h ~$ a use of these words in the sing. seems unattested elsewhere. otptcóv is found in Arrian and Strabo as a noun, and $\delta<\pi \lambda o u ̂ v$ appears to be used similarly in the LXX in Ex. xxii. 7, 9. For certain adjectives employed in this way in the rest of the N.T. see Robertson, Gram. 653 sq., who, however, as the rest of the N.T. grammarians, fails to notice most of the above words.
6. The order of this source is less Semitic than that of our author: see above.
7. This source appears to have infiuenced our author.-As regards xviii. 2-23, it has become clear that it is not our author's production, as we have found also with regard to xvii. $\mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{C}}-\mathbf{2}$, $3^{b}-7,18,8$-ro. Now this source, dating from the time of Vespasian, had been in our author's hands and was apparently laid under contribution by him. Thus xiv. 8 is componed simply of xviii. $2^{\text {b }}, 3^{\text {a }}$ put together. Again our author's peculiar use of Bígotvov in xix. 8, 14 as a noun appears due to this same use in xviii. 12, 16 (see 5. above). The fact that this use of $\beta$ 首orvov is characteristic of this source and borrowed by our author gains support by its use of $\pi$ орфvpoûv (xvii. 4, xvii. 16), кóккıvov (xvii. 4,
 although, save in the case of the $\sigma \iota \rho \iota \kappa o{ }^{\prime} \nu$ and $\delta \iota \pi \lambda o \hat{v} v$, such a use seems unattested elsewhere. $\delta \iota \pi \lambda \hat{a}$ and $\tau \grave{a}$ ко́ккьıа аге found elsewhere. Since, therefore, our author appears to have been influenced by this source in the above respects, it is possible that he may have been also influenced by it in his use of ioxupós, which occurs 4 times in xviii. and 5 times in the rest of our author. Three of these five times it occurs in the phrase äyyedos
 times in xviii., occurs 9 times in the rest of our author and belongs to his vocabulary. His use of Sià roûto, vii. 15, xii. 12, is not to be traced to xviii. 8 , seeing that it is a very common phrase, being found 15 times in the Johannine Gospel and 3 times in the Epistles.
§3. The Greek appears to be a translation from a Hebrew source.

The evidence for the hypothesis is not conclusive. It will be found in the notes on $8,19,22$ in connection with the words
 $\delta v v a \dot{\mu} \epsilon \omega$ s in 3 may suggest $\boldsymbol{H}=$ "wealth."
§4. The text has suffered great dislocations-in some degree comparable to those in $x x i i$. Translation of xviii. 21-24 in its reconstructed order.

One of these dislocations-that of 14-was observed by early scholars like Beza and Vitringa, which they restore after 23 . But the present writer thinks that 14 should be read immediately after 2 I : 20 he finds is also out of place. It should be replaced after $23^{\mathrm{Lb}}$. The various elements of 22-23 have been disarranged, as is shown in the notes.
$2 \mathrm{x}-24$ should be read in the following order: $21,14,22^{\text {nd }}, 23^{\text {cd }}$, $22^{0-h}, 23^{\text {Lb }}, 20,23^{\text {f }}, 24$.
21. And a strong angel took up a stone as it were a great millstone, and cast it into the sea, saying :
"Thus with violence shall be cast down,
Babylon the great city,
And shall no more be found.
(The Seer's dirge over Babylon.)
14. And the fruits which thy soul lusted after Are gone from thee :
And all the dainties and the splendours Are perished from thee.
[And men shall find them no more at all].
$22^{\text {and }}$. And the voice of the harpers and singers $<$ Shall be heard no more in thee $>$,
And <the voice> of the fluteplayers and trumpeters Shall be heard no more in thee.
$23^{\text {od }}$. And the voice of the bridegroom and the bride Shall be heard no more in thee :
$22^{\text {ef }}$. And no craftsman of whatsoever craft Shall be found any more in thee :
$22^{\text {gh }}$. And the voice of the millstone
Shall be heard no more in thee :
$23^{\mathrm{ab}}$. And the light of the lamp
Shall shine no more in thee.
(The Seer's appeal to heaven and its inhabitants to rejoice over the doom of Rome.)

> 20. Rejoice over her, thou heaven,
> And ye saints and ye apostles and ye prophets ;
> For God hath judged your judgment upon her;
23. For with her sorcery had all the nations been deceived:
24. And in her was found the blood of the prophets and saints And of all that had been slain upon the earth."
§ 5. xviii. was written in the time of Vespasian. ${ }^{1}$
This statement can be proved by means of $2,4,6-8$.
(a) For first of all 2 presupposes the fires of Rome to have been long extinct, and its ruins to have become the abode of every unclean spirit, bird, and beast. Now such a supposition even in a vision was not possible for the Seer writing in 95 A.D. He was then looking forward to the destruction of Rome as one of the last great acts in the judgment of the world. Moreover, the fires which should consume Rome, xviii. 9, 15, 18, were never, so long as the earth lasted, to be extinguished, xix. 3. Hence, however we explain xvii. 2, it was written at an earlier date than the Apocalypse as a whole. But, whereas the prophecy in 2 is merely proleptic and therefore not at variance with xviii. 8, 15 , 18, it is really irreconcilable with xix. 3, which declares that the smoke of Rome's ruins will go up till the world's end. The former gives the expectation of a Jewish Seer in Vespasian's time, the latter that of our author John in 95 A.D.
(b) In the note on 4 I have shown that the presupposition underlying it runs counter to the expectation of our Seer, that after chap. xiii. all the faithful had been put to death. But in this verse a considerable body of the faithful is presumed to be actually present in Rome. Such a presumption would be justifiable in Vespasian's time after the fall of Jerusalem, to which period xviii. can most reasonably be assigned.
(c) In 6-8 the same Vespasianic standpoint is transparent. We have such an expectation here as would be naturally entertained by a zealous Jew after the destruction of Jerusalem.
§ 6. xviii. preserved in a corrupt condition and adapted by our author to his own purpose.

The dislocation of 14 and 20 and of several clauses in $21-24$ from their original contexts shows how profoundly the original source has suffered (see §4). There is no reason to suppose that these dislocations were due to our author. Either they were already present in his source, or they are due to accidental disarrangement subsequently. It should be borne in mind that, if the present writer's hypothesis is sound as to the death of John when he had completed xx .3 , we are to regard $\mathrm{i}-\mathrm{-xx} .3$ as never having undergone a final revision at his hands. In fact we have in $\mathrm{i} .-\mathrm{xx}$. 3, the first sketch of a great work, portions of which

[^12] J. Weiss, Wellhausen, and Moffatt accept the Vespasianic date of aviii.
have been most carefully worked out from the visions of many years, while others show not a few inequalities and inconsistencies that a final revision would have removed.

As regards the corruptions in the text we have already (§3) sought to explain those in 8, 19, 22 by means of a Hebrew background. The ungrammatical clause (кai inזшv ктл.) in 13 is merely a gloss. $23^{\circ}$, if it belonged to the original source, is at all events in its wrong context where it stands. 24 is from the hand of John as well as the phrase каi oi àmoorodoí in 20.
87. xviii. and xvii. $1^{c}-2,3^{b}-7,18,8-10$ are a Greek translation of one and the same Hebrew source.

We have already come to the conclusion that xvii. $x^{c}-2,3^{b}-7,18$, 8-10, and xviii. 2-24 are of a Vespasianic date, and that the Greek of these sections is apparently a translation (not made but revised by John) from a Hebrew original. Since xvii. $\mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{c}-2,} 3^{\mathrm{b}}-7,18$, 8-10, and xviii. 2-23, which are closely connected by their peculiar and in some respects unique diction, deal with the same subject and belong to the same date, we conclude that they are from the same hand. The former served as an introduction to the latter.


 ouvov tîs mopveías autris) is repeated in substance and in part



 $\mu a \rho y a \rho i \tau \alpha, s)$ are repeated almost word for word in xviii. 16, in $\pi \in \rho t-$


 in xviii. 6; Ba $\beta v \lambda \dot{\omega} \nu \dot{\eta} \dot{\eta} \mu \gamma \overline{\mathrm{j}} \lambda \eta$ in xvii. 5 by a kindred clause in
 xviii. 10, 16, 19.

Hence xvii. $1^{c}-2,3^{b}-7,18,8-10$, and xviii. appear to be derived from one and the same Hebrew source. ${ }^{2}$ With this he has combined another source, xvii. 11-13, 17, 16, which foretold the destruction of Rome by Nero and the Parthians. xvii. 2 gives the title of the Vision in xviii., i.e. the Doom of Rome; this judgment is preceded by a vision of Rome before its overthrow in

[^13]xvii. 3-7, 18, 8-10, and by a prophecy of the coming destruction of Rome by fire at the hands of Nero and the Parthians, i.e. xvii. 12-13, 17, 16. It is not till we come to xviii. that the
 rìs mópvŋs, is fulfilled. xviii. is a vision of Rome's doom, which is foretold in xvii. 16.

1-8. The proclamation of the doom of Babylon by the first angel. This proclamation is proleptic. The angel's words regard Rome's doom as already accomplished far in the past.

From $2^{\text {cde }}$ it appears that the fires that consumed it have long since been quenched, and that it has become the abode of unclean birds and demons. See the note on these clauses below.

1. ähor äypedov. This angel is distinguished from the angel mentioned in xvii. 1, 7, who is the angelus interpres.
 of Ezek. xliin. 2, הארץ האירָה מכבד, where the LXX has $\dot{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \dot{\eta}$
 .נהרח מזיף יקריה. Thus the brightness of God's glory is heie attributed to an angel. See fuither on this "brightness" in note on xxi. 23. On this use ot $\dot{e}^{\prime}=$ " by reason of," cf. viii. $\mathbf{1 3}_{3}$, xvi. 11 .
 unexampled in our author: the order is most exceptional. See note on x .3 .
 occurred in xiv. 8 (see note). The Greek here, with the exception of the epithet, is an independent rendering of Isa. xai. 9.
$\mathbf{2}^{\text {cde }}$. These three clauses are to be taken proleptically in reference to $9,15,18$ : otherwise they occasion difficulty; for in 9, 15, 18, Rome is seen in the Seer's vision to be consumed by fire : whereas these clauses presuppose the fires of Rome to have been long extinct, and the ruins to have become the hold of unclean birds and demons. xiv. II refers not to the city Rome, but to the eternal torment of the worshippers of the Beast in the next world. On the other hand it is impossible to reconcile $2^{\text {cde }}$ with xix. 3, which represents the smoke of her burning as going up for ever and ever, i.e. to the end of the world. This last is our author's own expectation. Here that of his source conflicts with it : see Introd. to this Chap., § 5 .

 hecome... a dwelling for jackals"; i Bar. iv. 35, кaтоккךөウ-
 is a list of unclean birds and beasts that are to inhabit Edom or
 more probably the $\begin{aligned} & \text { (Isa. xiii. } 21, \text { xxiv. 14). }\end{aligned}$
bputou dka0dprou : cf. Deut. xiv. 12-19.
2. The nations as a whole, the rulers of the earth and its merchants, were involved in the sin of Rome.
in tou olvou [roî 0 unoû] tîs topveias adrins. I have here with much hesitation bracketed rồ $\theta \nu \mu \mathrm{v}$, although it has the support of the best MSS. But the extraordinary diversity among the authorities points to some corruption in the above text. See notes on xiv. 8, xvii. 2. In the latter passage we have an exact




oi $\beta$ aoideis 7 ĵs $\gamma \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s}$. Their lamentation over Rome is given in $9-20$, as that of the merchants in $11-13,15-16$.

петórкev. This reading, supported by a few cursives, appears to be the true one, though in the cursives only a happy conjecture. It explains the impossible readings of $N A C Q$. It is also required by the context: otherwise Rome is represented only as passively evil. $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ intwкav though originating in a scribal error seemed to

 chapter in the N.T. (cf. II, 15, 23), is significant. All the merchants of the world are involved in the overthrow of Rome. The long list of merchants who traded with Tyre, according to Ezek. xxvii. 9-25, was in the mind of our author.

Tifs $\delta u v a \mu \varepsilon \omega_{s}=$ "wealth "-a meaning which is found also in the LXX of Deut. viii. 17, Ruth iv. II, where $\delta$ ivapus is a rendering of 5 n. In Isa. lxi. 6 it is rendered by i $\sigma \chi^{\dot{v} s=" w e a l t h . " ~}$ This meaning is to be found in Xen. Cyr. vii. 4. 34, etc., 2 Cor. viii. 3, and the Papyri.
toü orpívous aütris $=$ " of her wantonness." Here and in
 " to wax wanton against the restrictions prescribed by Christ," in I Tim. v. ir.

4-8. The second voice, which comes from heaven itself. 4-5 are addressed to the faithful, and 6-8 to the ministers of God's wrath who were seen by the Seer to be assembling for the work of destruction.
4. No justifiable meaning can be attached to this verse as it stands. As we have repeatedly seen heretofore (cf. also ver. 20), the presupposition of the Seer is that after xiil. all the faithful had been put to death. In xv. 2-4 the army of martyrs is seen in its completeness in a vision before the throne of God, while the Seven Bowls are poured down on a wholly heathen world. In the present verse, therefore, indeed in the present chapter, we
have a document that belongs, as a large body of modern scholars have recognized, to the time of Vespasian. This oracle dealt with the destruction of Rome. Volter (Offenbarung Johannis, 1904), J. Weiss and Erbes ascribe this oracle to a Christian source written respectively about 60,70 and 80 A.D. On the other hand, Vischer, Schoen, Weyland, Spitta trace it, and rightly in the opinion of the present writer, to a Jewish source. Whether Jewish or Christian originally, it is partially adapted to its present context by the mention of the "apostles" in 20 , and by the addition of 24 .
 suggest that it is God that speaks. But 5 is against this conclusion. Cf. xvi. I for a like difficulty. It may, however, be Christ that speaks.

 are not found in NABQ* of the LXX and in $\mathrm{Q}^{\mathrm{mg}}$ are
 to have been translated directly from the Hebrew, and independently of the LXX.

There are, it is true, many parallels in the O.T. to the above




 2 Bar. ii. 1, "Retıre from this city"; Matt. xxiv. 16, tóтe of ìv tô
 the Little Apocalypse, and refers, of course, to Jerusalem.

 $\dot{\alpha} \mu a p r i a t s \dot{a} \lambda$ lotpicus. These words deal with the guilt of sharing in the sin of Rome, as the words that follow deal with the punishment that such guilt must entail.

 apparently, a reminiscence of Jer. I.. 9, נגע אל השמים משמטה.
 A.V. and R.V. and the Vulg., pervenerunt) "have reached." This rendering of $2 נ$ is very rare,-see Lam. ii. 2 and
 -but the meaning belonging to this Hebrew verb is clearly the one required by our context. We might also compare with
 ouppavố : cf. Ezra ix. 6 ; also 4 Ezra xi. 43, " Et ascendit contumelia tua ad altissimum et superbia tua ad fortem." If this vol. 11.-7
rendering is right，then we are not to attempt to render iкo $\lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta_{\eta} \sigma a \nu$ кт $\lambda$ ．as＂have grown together into such a mass as to reach the heaven．＂It would be extraordinary if God was not mindful of the sins of Rome（ $5^{\text {b }}$ ）till they pressed in a mass against the roof of heaven．To such an extravagant conception
 form a singular anticlimax－a piece of sheer bathos．Hence we infer that $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \kappa о \lambda \lambda \eta_{j} \theta \eta \sigma a v$ is here $=1 \sum_{1}$ הנ，and that the rendering is
 tò $\kappa \rho i \mu \alpha$ aivìs．The rendering of the two Syriac Versions here is very infelicitous，i．e．＂have cleaved to．＂This rendering of ко入入â $\theta a t$ is found once more in the Syriac Versions，namely，in Luke x ．II，but there it is very felicitous．

On the other hand，it must be conceded that ко入入áa $\theta a$ is the general rendering of דבק in the LXX．If it presupposes this Hebrew word here，then our text is not a reminiscence of Jer． li 9．But even so，the context is against the meaning belonging
 кака̀ каì $\dot{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \rho \alpha^{\prime}$, does not support our text in the sense of＂cleave unto．＂Even in this passage of Baruch éко $\lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \eta$ probably pre－ supposes a corrupt Hebrew text．See Whitehouse in Charles， The Apoc．and Pseud．i．578，and Kneucker in loc．The Syriac Version of Baruch＝ותבא（ $=$ et pervenerunt），while the Greek＝ ותרבק．Dan．ix．11，with which I Bar．i． $15-\mathrm{il} .17$ is closely related，supports the former．
aütins ai da aprial．On this vernacular use of the genitive of av́rós see notes on ii．2，19，and in vol．ii．208，footnote．



6．In its present context this verse is to be taken as addressed to Nero redivivus and the hosts that followed him：cf． xvii．1r－17．But it is not to be forgotten that already Rome has for the most part been destroyed in xvi． 19 by a great earthquake， and its entire destruction by fire foretold in xvii．16．The present chapter，taken by itself，shows no consciousness of the first of these judgments．But the destruction of Rome by fire is proclamed in xviii．8， 9,15 ．Hence the description of the final overthrow of Rome in xviii． 21 must be taken as purely figurative．
 same measure that she dealt to others．Cf．Jer．xxvii．（1．）29，ávra－


 $\pi \delta \delta \omega \kappa a s \dot{\eta} \mu i v$ ．On this principle of lex talionis see the notes in my edition of Jubilees on iv．31，xlviii． 14.

 $\delta_{\iota} \pi \lambda$ âs tàs kaкias aürūv. $\delta \iota \pi \lambda o v ̂ v ~ \delta \iota \pi \lambda a ̂ ~ i s ~ a n ~ e x t r a o r d i n a r y ~ e x-~$
 өдцц́ртіа.

But in Aeschylus the $\delta \iota \pi \lambda o \hat{s}$ is used purely as an adjective, whereas in our text it is a noun. For this use cf. Ex. xxii. 3, 6, 8. In the first passage we have $\delta \iota \pi \lambda \hat{a}$ in the LXX

 $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau u \pi \nu \delta \omega \dot{\sigma} \omega$.
 of the wrath of God. Cf. 2 Bar. xii. 8, in which the Romans also are addressed.

> "Ye who have drunken the strained wine Drink ye also of its dregs, The judgment of the Lofty One Who has no respect of persons."
7. In this verse it is a question whether the speaker is a heavenly being or the Seer who wrote this vision.
öra . . . тобоûtov. The torment and grief (or " misfortune ") of Rome are to be proportionate to her self-glorification and

 Prov. xxix. 23, $\dot{u} \beta$ pıs äv $\delta \rho a$ tarecvoî: Luke xiv. 11 , etc. On the probabulity that $\pi \varepsilon v \theta o s$, which occurs twice in this verse, is to be rendered "misfortune " or "calamity," or that it is a translation of a corrupt form in the Hebrew original, see note on ver. 8.


 LXX is not followed, which here gives etras Eis tòv aiûva écouac

 should expect safisc (see note on iil. 21) if this chapter were from the hand of our author. As a parallel to our text, the boast of Tyre in Ezek. xxvini. 2 should be compared and also the selfglorification attributed to Rome in 2 Bar. xii. 3.
8. Because of (ötı . . . Sıà тoûto) Rome's pride and selfconfidence she shall be overthrown suddenly.
 these words are derived from the Massoretic ver. 9, where
 etc. agree with Mass. It is noteworthy that eis is postpositive in ix. 12, 13, but prepositive in viii. 13, xvii. 12, 13, 17, xviii. 8, 10, 16, 19, xix. 17 .
 It must be confessed that mévors (" mourning") cannot with any justice be reckoned under the category of plagues. But first of all the presence of $\lambda_{\iota}$ ós s suggests that $\theta$ ávaros here as in vi. 8 and $^{\text {a }}$ frequently in the O.T. $=$, ", "pestilence." In that case the natural
 mévoos, it is possible that it should be rendered here according to a rare meaning by "calamity," "misfortune" (cf. Pindar, Isth.
 should translate "famine and pestilence and misfortune." But the error may be due to a corruption in the Semitic original. Thus $\pi \dot{\varepsilon} v \theta$ os $=$ אב, corrupt for excellent sense-"famine and pestilence and destruction." The approach of the Parthians from the East under Nero would cut off food supplies from Rome and lead to famine, in the train of which pestilence would soon follow. The third plague would then prepare for the destruction of Rome by fire. Or, since the writer has, as has been shown on 7 , borrowed freely from Isa. xlvii. 7, 8, it is possible that may be a corruption of pestilence and loss of chuldren." But the former restoration is to be preferred.
iv тupì кatakauӨjretal. The judgment of Rome by fire, which according to xvii. 16 is to be executed by Nero and the Parthian kings, is here declared to come from God in the first instance. On the judgment by fire cf. Jer. 1. 32, li. 25, 30, 32, 58.
ioxupds $\delta$ قeds $\delta$ kpivas aütrív. The translator of this chapter is fond of the word ioxupós = cf. 2, 10, 21. Outside this chapter it occurs only five times in the Apocalypse: cf. Jer.
 rpòs roùs àrudíкous aưrov̂. This кpivas points to the fact that the decree of judgment has already been passed on Rome.

9-10. The dirge chanted over the conflagration of Rome by the kings, $9-10$, by the merchant princes of the earth, $11-16$, and the shipowners and sailors of the world, 17-19. The author is influenced by the doom song pronounced by Ezekiel over Tyre, Ezek. xxvi.-xxviii.

9-10. Cf. Ezek. xxvi. 16-17, where the princes of the sea mourn over Tyre.
 . . . кaì «̈кגavgav . . inií. Hence our text $=$ " shall weep and

oi ßaculeís т $\mathfrak{s}$ 个 Y s: cf. vi. 15 (see note), xvii. 2, 18, xviii. 3. These kings are the heads of the heathen nations. Foremost amongst them are princes subject to or in alliance with

Rome; for, as the next clause shows, they have been deeply affected by her influence. They are distinct from the Parthian kings who destroy Rome, xvii. 16.
 They too had lived wantonly like Rome : cf. 3 .

गगिs $\pi u p \omega \sigma \in \omega$. The prophecy of Rome's destruction by fire is dwelt on again and again: cf. xvii. 16, xviii. 8, 18.
10. dmd $\mu$ akpobev: cf. 15 (see note), 17 ; Matt. xxvi. 58 ; Mark v. 6 , vini. 3, etc.

oúai oual $\hat{\eta}$ modis $k x \lambda$. This construction is not found in the N.T. except in Luke vi. $25^{\text {b }}$; but it occurs frequently in the LXX : cf. Isa. v. 8, 11, 20, 21, 22 ; Hab. ii. 6, 12, 19 ; Zeph. ii. 5 ; Amos v. 18, where the R.V. rightly renders: "woe unto." Hence the rendering here, as in these passages, should be, "Woe, woe to the great city." The construction suggests the writer's acquaintance with the LXX. Our author's construction is ovai with the dat. : cf. viii. 13 .

11-16. The merchants of the earth take up their dirge over Rome.
 nations that had commercial relations with Tyre are enumerated.
 Luke vi. 25 ; Jas. 1v. 9. This combination is found in Neh. i. 4 .
$y^{\delta} \mu \mathbf{\nu}=$ ship's freight or cargo : cf. Acts xxi. 3. The vastness of the commerce of Rome may be inferred from the following passages, which are all taken from Wetstem; Galen, De Antidot,


 tione millies centena millia sestertium annis omnibus India et Seres peninsulaque illa imperio nostro adimunt ": Aristides, In













 Talmud, Qidd. $49^{\text {b }}$, it is stated that "Ten measures of wealth came down into the world: Rome received nine, and all the world one."

Since $23^{\circ}$ cannot stand in its present context, it may originally have stood after $\mathrm{II}^{2}$, and so this verse may have run as follows:

I I" "And the merchants of the earth weep and mourn over her,
$23^{\circ}$ For thy merchants were the princes of the earth,
$\mathrm{II}^{\mathrm{b}}$ For no man buyeth their merchandise any more."
12-18. These two verses give a list of the articles imported by Rome from all quarters of the world. Holtzmann has recognized that the first four articles mentioned (i.e. precious stones) harmonize rhythmically with the next four, consisting of costly garments. But further examination of the passage shows that it consists, according to the present text, of nine lines. That the first four of these consist of two couplets there can be no doubt, but great difficulty attaches to the method of dealing with the remaining five lines. If they are to be retained as they stand, it might be best to regard them as consisting of a tristich and a distich :

> "And cinnamon and spice and incense, And ointment and frankincense and wine, And oil and fine flour and wheat: And beasts and sheep [and horses And chariots and slaves] and souls of men."

But it is probable that the words I have bracketed are an interpolation; for ( 1 ) their syntax-genitives where there ought to be accusatives-is wholly anomalous. It is without a real parallel in the abnormal style of our author, and is still more at variance with the much more grammatical style of this chapter, which, an we have elsewhere seen, comes from an independent sounce. (2) The same ideas are repeated in immediate proximity under different forms; for "slaves" and "souls of men" are here synonymous.
$\kappa \pi \dot{\eta} \nu \eta$, as is shown in the notes on $13=$ draught cattle, i.e. horses, asses, etc., and thus the mention of horses separately, as in the present text, is wholly gratuitous. Now, if we excise as a gloss, which has crept in from the margin, the words "and horses and chariots and slaves," we get rid of the anomalous syntax, and of the meaningless repetitions in the last line, and
recover the original text of $12-13$, consisting of four stanzas of two lines each. The last stanza then would be:

> "And oil and fine flour and wheat, And beasts and sheep and souls of men."

The dramatic forcibleness of what seems at first a purely prosaic list of imports is in the highest degree impressive, closing as it does in the climax-

> "And beasts and sheep and souls of men."
12. On the various articles mentioned in 12-13 the Bible Dictionaries should be consulted. Most of them are to be found in the imports of Tyre: Ezek. xxvii. 12-24, i.e. gold, sllver, precious stones, fine linen, purple, brass, iron, all spices, oil, wheat, cattle, sheep, horses, and the souls of men.
$\beta$ иuraírou: cf. xix. 8.
oupuooú (so the uncials. The usual form is $\sigma \eta p$ onov). This word ( $=$ "silk") is a a $\pi$. $\lambda e \gamma$. in Biblical Greek, but it was used frequently by Greek writers after Alexander's time. How commonly it was used in Rome about 70 A.D. may be inferred from the words of Josephus (B.J. vii. 5. 4), who describes the triumphant army of Vespasian and Titus as being clothed iv

kaì $\pi a ̂ y ~ \xi u ̛ ̀ o v ~ \theta u ́ l v o v . ~ T h e ~ c o n s t r u c t i o n ~ h e r e ~ c h a n g e s ~ a n d ~$ gúdov is governed directly by d́yopá̧c. This change may be due not to a slip on the part of the translator, but to a right rendering of his text. The ovideis ayopajec is to be taken closely with $\pi \hat{a} v$ छúdov ( $=$ ( $=$ " $=$ ="no one buyeth any thyine wood." Hence кaì mâv छúdov $\theta$ vitvov should be rendered " or any thyine wood" and not as in the A.V. and R.V. "and all thyine wood." Of course it is possible that the translator ought to have rendered ravros gúdov, but, so far as the Hebrew before him went, the acc. was not only a possible but probably the right rendering.

日úvor. This wood most probably came from Thuia articulata, a tree which grew in N. Africa. It was known to the Greeks as $\theta$ ía, $\theta$ viov, or $\theta v i a$, to the Romans as citrus. It was much used in the making of costly tables: cf. Martial, xiv. 87, "Mensa citrea." Seneca (quoted by Swete from Mayor on Juv. i. 137) had (according to Dio, lxi. 10. 3) 300 such tables with ivory feet.

1neфdurtwov. Ivory was largely used, as Swete states, by wealthy Romans in the decoration of furniture, quoting Juv. xi. 120 sqq.
18. кı $\nu v \alpha \mu \omega \mu \circ v$. In the O.T. cinnamon appears thrice among aromatic spices. It is the Cassia lignea and was
imported, as it still is, from China. It was much used in Rome, as we can infer from Plautus, Propertius, Lucan, Martial.
a $\mu \omega \mu \boldsymbol{\sigma}$. This perfumed unguent was derived from a shrub of Eastern origin (Virg. Ecl. iv. 25, "Assyrium vulgo nascetur amomum"). It was well known at Rome: Mart. viii. 77: "Si sapis, Assyrio semper tibi crinis amomo splendeat": Statius Silv. i. 113 : "Nec pingui crinem deducere amomo cessavit" (quoted by Wetstein). Theophrastus, ix. 7, is uncertain whether it was derived from Media or India. Pliny, H.N. xii. 28, mentions this costly unguent for the hair.

Өирддцата. See v. 8, $\lambda$ ifaros: cf. viii. 3.
 found elsewhere in the N.T. though frequent in the LXX. Pliny, $H . N$. xiii. 21, refers to it: "Similago ex tritico fit laudatissimo."

Gitov. Egypt was the granary of Rome.
kTivn. This word generally means flocks and herds, but it can also mean draught cattle or beasts for riding: hence horses or asses : cf. Luke x. 34; Acts xxiii. 24. That $\kappa$ кiños could mean "a horse" is to be inferred also from Gen. viii. 19, where the Hebrew is רֶv, which clearly the Greek translators read as
 these facts we conclude that $\kappa$ ктiv means all kinds of cattle for draught or riding. Hence there is no real need for the word innev which follows, as it is already included in $\kappa \pi \dot{\eta} v \eta$. Horses are mentioned among the imports of Tyre in Ezek. xxvii. 13 sq .
[kai inтuv . . . ownátav.] I have already given in the introductory note on $12-13$ the grounds on which these words are to be excised as an interpolation. The absolutely anomalous genitive here is, so far as I am aware, universally explained by inserting $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\prime} \mu o \nu$ before it. Thus Holtzmann and Bousset remark here that as in 12 the gen. passes over into the acc., so here the acc. returns again into the gen. But, as I have shown on 12, the acc. can not only be accounted for, but probably justified: whereas nothing can justify the present genitives between a series of accusatives preceding and an acc. following. They are therefore to be regarded as an interpolation.
peठ̂ش̂y. The fíí $\eta$ came, according to Quintilian (i. 5. 5), from Gaul and was a vehicle with four wheels (Isid. Etym. xx. 12). Cicero used it, and apparently it became fashionable at Rome.

бúpara. This word is used to translate niwd ("souls") in the LXX of Gen. xxxvi. 6. It is also used elsewhere in the LXX in the sense of "slaves": cf. Gen. xxxiv. 29; Tob. x. 1 I ( $\sigma \omega \dot{\mu} \mu a \tau a$

viii．in．This non－Attic usage of the word arose apparently in Egypt，as the Papyri show，and later established itself in Greek generally．Hence a siave dealer is called a $\sigma \omega \mu a r \dot{\prime} \mu \pi o \rho o s$ and a slave house $\sigma \omega \mu a \tau о т \rho о ф е i ́ o v . ~$

廿uxds dथөp心́twr．This phrase is borrowed from Ezek．
 The phrase occurs also in $x$ Chron．v．21，but there it does not mean slaves as here．

14．This verse，as Vitringa，and in modern times Ewald（2nd ed．），Volkmar，B．Weiss，and Moffatt have recognized，is here out of place．These scholars have restored it after $23^{\text {d }}$ ，but the present writer is of opinion that it originally stood after 21 ， where he has restored it in this edition．

15．This verse resumes the dirge of the merchants over Rome，from which the writer had turned aside to describe the nature and extent of their commerce with Rome．
 merchandise mentioned in 12－13：cf．23．This phrase in itself， as $B$ ．Weiss observes，unconditionally excludes the presence of 14 where it stands in the text．



 occurred in 10 ．

16．On this construction of oúaí see note on ro．ท $\pi \delta \lambda_{2 s}$ ท


 in 19．A corresponding clause in the dirge of the kings is found in 10.

17－19．Dirge of the seafolk．Cf．Ezek．xxvii．28－29 on Tyre．
17．киßepvíтク！．This word means＂shipmaster＂or captain as distinct from vav́кд $\eta$ pos $=$＂shipowner．＂In Acts xxvii．in we




 it means＂pilots．＂In Jon．i． 6 it means＂a sailor，＂for the captain is called רֶב הַחבל，or＂chief of the sailors．＂

 But the expression is a strange one，and there is much to be said for Nestle＇s（Textual Criticism of the N．T．，p．168）correction
of tomov into $\pi \overline{0} \tau o v$, i.e. $\pi$ óvcov. At all events Primasius supports this emendation: "omnis super mare navigans."

ठaoo rìv dảacoav épyajoural, "gain their living by the sea" (R.V.), lit. "work the sea." This is good classical Greek. Thus


 abundant illustrations of this idiom, see Wetstem in loc. What the Hebrew equivalent of the clause is is uncertain: possibly
 xiii. 34. The corresponding phrase $\dot{\epsilon} \rho \gamma^{\prime} \zeta \epsilon \epsilon \theta a \iota ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \gamma_{\eta} v$ is also good Greek and likewise good Hebrew : cf. Gen. ii. 5, 15, iii. 23, iv. 2; but the verb used in this connection עָבָ would not serve for the clause in our text.

 exact equivalent of the $\dot{\circ} \tau a v . . . a u t \eta s$ clause in 9 .

18-19. The last clause of 18 and the first clause of 19 are dependent on Ezek. xxvii. 30-32. Thus tís $\delta \mu i^{\prime} \alpha$ т $\hat{\eta} \pi o ́ \lambda c t ~ \tau \hat{\eta}$ $\mu \epsilon{ }^{\alpha}{ }^{1} \lambda \eta$ recalls Ezekiel's words with regard to Tyre, xxvil. 32, "who is like unto Tyre?" Here $\begin{gathered}\text { p } 15 \text { rendered in the }\end{gathered}$
 aưTêy is from xxvii. 30 , "They shall cast up dust upon therr

 $\gamma \hat{\eta} \nu$ кai $\sigma \pi$ oסòv $\sigma \pi \rho \dot{\sigma} \sigma о \nu \pi a \iota)$. The same Hebrew clause is found in Josh. vii. 6 and Lam. ii. ro. In the former passage the LXX renders it almost as in our text. Even éкраदav кдaiovtes кai $\pi \epsilon v \theta o \hat{v}$ ress seems to be suggested by Ezek. xxvii. 30, 31, "they shall cry" (LXX кєкр́́govтац) . . . "they shall weep" (LXX -A only-клav́qovtaı). But the combination кגoíovees каi $\pi \kappa v \theta o \hat{v} \tau e s$ has already occurred in the text in 11, 15.

## odail tit midis. See note on 10 .

d $\pi \lambda$ oúrnav. Cf. 3,15 .
 here corrupt. This becomes apparent, if we compare the corresponding clauses in the woes pronounced by the kings in ro,

 us to expect a definite subject to be added after $\boldsymbol{\eta} \rho \eta \mu \omega \dot{\theta} \theta \eta$ in 19 as there is after the verbs in 10, 16. Now we discover in the


 clause is brought into line with the corresponding clauses in 10 ,

16, and the woe pronounced by the seafolk falls naturally into three lines as the woe of the kings in 10.
"Woe, woe unto the great city,
Wherein were made rich all that had their ships at sea;
For in one hour are her precious things laid waste."
The corruption can be explained best through the Hebrew. The original = בי בשעה אחת נשם הוֹנח have arisen through an accidental doubling of the 0 (hence
 order to give some intelligible sense to the passage. Hence מהונה כי בשעה אחת נשם

21-24. This section, embracing as it does 14 and 20, consists of a song of doom preluded by a symbolic action, the original idea of which is derived from Jer. li. 63, 64. This dirge is not put in the mouth of some particular class. The speaker is the Seer who wrote the original oracle, which has been utilized here by our author. Some attempts here have been made to adapt it to its new context. Thus the insertion of the phrase кai oi $\dot{\text { an }} \boldsymbol{\pi}$ óvrodot in 20 is due to our author, and most probably the last clause of 23 and the whole of 24 . The penultımate clause of 23 is either a gloss or should probably be restored after the first clause in 11. A line has probably been lost in 22.
21. kai ग̄per eis äypenos ioxupós кrג. Here as in v. 2, x. I we have the phrase äyr. loxupós. The writer had Jer. li. 63,64 in his mind: "And it shall be, when thou hast made an end of reading this book, that thou shalt bind a stone to it, and cast it into the midst of the Euphrates : and thou shalt say, Thus shall Babylon sink and shall not rise again." There may also be a reminiscence of Ezekiel's words with regard to Tyre, xxvi. 2 I. Cf. also Or. Sib. v. 158 sqq., on the destruction of Babylon by a great star.
 millstone that is referred to here, but that what the Seer saw in the vision recalled the idea of such a stone. The stone was probably the $\mu \dot{\lambda} \lambda o s$ óvicós, seeing it is defined as $\mu \dot{\gamma} \gamma a s$. To turn such a stone an ass was needed.
 íp $\dot{\eta}^{\prime} \mu a t \iota$ means here. It is rendered by Weizsacker and Swete "with a rush": cf. Deut. (LXX) xxviii. 49; I Macc. vi. 33This rendering is in keeping with the symbol of the boulder hurled down into the sea, but it is not in keeping with the thing symbolized-the destruction of Rome by fire by Nero and the Parthians. The rendering of the A.V. and Holtzmann, "with violence," suits the figure, but how it can be justified is not clear.

Perhaps óp $\mu \eta \mu a$ has here the meaning which belongs to it in the LXX in Hos. v. 10 (also in Symm.), Amos i. 11, Hab. iii. 8, i.e. "indignation" = עברח. This would suit the attitude alike of heaven and the Parthian hosts towards Rome. But the matter is uncertain.

If $\mu$ eydi $\eta$ mbis. The order of the words as regards this particular adjective is not that of our author (see note on xvi. 1) nor of the rest of the source here used by him.

14. This verse forms the opening stanza of the Seer's dirge over Rome. It consists of four stanzas of four lines each. These four stanzas are followed by two stanzas of three lines each. The text has suffered owing to one or perhaps two disturbing glosses and the loss of a line in the second stanza.

бтш́pa-ripe fruit. This word, like the Hebrew ${ }^{\mu} p$, means first late summer or autumn, and next the fruits that then ripen.
 literal rendering of Hebrew and LXX). The unemphatic or vernacular use of oov here should be observed. That oov is unemphatic is shown independently by its absence from the parallel verse. oov follows $\psi u \times \bar{\eta} \bar{s}$ in the LXX.
rà $\lambda \iota \pi a p \alpha$. This phrase denotes the things that belong to a rich and sumptuous mode of life. Possibly food is specially referred to here. At all events $\lambda$ tmapós is, in the few cases where it occurs in the LXX, a rendering of pexi, which primarily and generally means oil or fat. It is used in Ezek. xvi. 13, Prov. xxi. 17, as a sign of luxury, and refers undoubtedly to rich food. Cf. also Isa. xxx. 23.
rì $\lambda a \mu \pi p \alpha$. It is difficult to assign a definite meaning to this phrase. Probably it indicates the well-ordered magnificence in which the "pride of life" exhibits itself. Perhaps we might render "the splendours."
kaì odxétr . . . ésjpjfoourw. There can hardly be a doubt as to this clause being a gloss. Without it the stanza consists of four lines, as do the three succeeding stanzas. See Introd. to this Chap., § 4. We should observe that our author uses ov่ $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta}$ with the aorist subjunctive.

28-23. These verses have suffered severely in the course of transmission. We have already seen that 20 (see p. 92) should be restored after $23^{\circ}$. After a dirge of four stanzas of four lines each ( $=14,22,23^{\text {Ld }}$ ) the Seer in the original source calls upon heaven and the saints on earth to rejoice over the doom of Rome. But this is not all. In 22 there has been a loss of some words, while in 23 there is an addition to the
text which is not only meaningless but is also against the structure of the stanza.
22. This verse consists of two stanzas of four lines each. But the second line of the first stanza and one of the words of the thard line haye been lost. These losses can, however, easily be restored. It is obvious, from a comparison of the remaning
 $\sigma a \lambda \pi t \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} v$ is too long: in fact these words are but the relics of three lines, and the whole stanza is to be read as follows:

The omission may have been due to a lazy scribe, who failed to see that he was transcribing verse, and thought that the sense was not affected by his compression of the text. The student of MSS is not unacquainted with such arbitrary proceedings.
 and singers." The writer had Ezekiel's words against Tyre (xxvi. 13) in his mind :
"I will cause the noise of the songs to cease
And the sound of thy harps shall be no more heard."
He adopted the words "shall be no more heard," and instead of speaking of "harps" changes this word into "harpers" and "songs" into "singers," though as we shall see presently the text used by the Greek translator may have been corrupt here. Thus the first two lines would be somewhat as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { לא אוֹמע בך עוֹ }
\end{aligned}
$$

while the next two lines would speak similarly of the fluteplayers and trumpeters.

But to return to $\mu$ ouccow$v$, it will be seen that I have rendered it "singers" on the strength of the context (i.e. that we must here have a specific and not a generic term) and of Ezek. xxvi. 13 (quoted above). Now in classical Greek povatкós never appears to mean "a singer," nor in later Greek, unless it is a translation from the Hebrew It has been thought by some scholars that in I Macc. ix. 39, 41, $\delta$ vípфos

 tis $\theta$ pîvov, the word $\mu$ ovacкêv may mean "singers" ; but the fact that it stands between $\tau \nu \mu \pi a ́ v \omega \nu$ and $\delta \pi \lambda \omega \nu$ does not favour this

 ноvбtкû̀ ( $=$ "songs" or "musical instruments") кaì xapās: But cf. v. 2, 59, Dan. iii. 5, 7, 10, 15, where it $=$ " musical instruments," or "music." However, if it be conceded that the word in some of these passages means "singers," then this otherwise unattested meaning, appearing as it does in translations from the Hebrew, is in some way due to the Hebrew text. Only in one case does $\mu$ ovarós appear as $=$ "a singer," in a passage which none of the commentators
 каi $\delta \eta \mu$ обius тои from the Hebrew, and even here the rendering "minstrels" would accord better alike with the context and the etymology than "singers." In other passages in the LXX $\mu$ оvбька́ $=$ "songs." Cf. Gen. xxxi. 27; Ezek. xxvi. 13; Sir. xxii. 6, xxxv. 3, 5, 6 , xliv. 5, xlix. I. From this examination of the word it follows that $\mu$ ovarк $\omega v$ means either "song" or " music," but never save in T. Jud. xxiii. 2 does it mean " singer " or rather " minstrel." And yet our text makes the meaning of "singers" indispensable. Hence we conclude either that povorк巛̄v here $=$ "singers,"a meaning difficult to justify,-or that it is an error due to a corruption in the source. That is, $\mu 0 v \sigma \iota \kappa \omega \bar{\omega}=\square$, corrupt for = = "esingers." The rendering "minstrels" (R.V.) is here inadmissible; for we cannot have a generic term such as " minstrel" occurring in the midst of a list of specific terms.
aù $\eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\omega} \nu$. The fluteplayer (tibicen) was in much request on the Roman stage, and at Roman festivities as well as at funerals.

бa入तเซтêv. The trumpeter (tubicen) had his part in the Roman games, in the theatre and at funerals.
$28^{0 \cdot \mathrm{~h}}-28$. The order of these verses seems to be wrong. We should expect that the dirge, having begun with the luxuries of life and dwelt on their being brought to an end, would proceed in due gradation to the destruction of the barest necessities of life. And this expectation is confirmed by Jer. xxv. 10, which the Seer had in his mind: "I will take from them the voice of mirth and the voice of gladness, the voice of the bridegroom and the voice of the bride, the sound of the millstones and the light of the candle." If this view is right, as the present writer believes, we should rearrange as follows:

88cd. And the voice of the bridegroom and the bride Shall be heard no more in thee:

[^14]> 88 ${ }^{\text {eh.h. }}$. And no crafisman of whatsoever craft Shall be found any more in thee.

And the voice of the millstone Shall be heard no more in thee :
288. And the light of the lamp Shall shine no more in thee.

Thus the rejoicings attendant on marriage would no more be known; the arts of civilized life would come to an end; the familiar sounds of the household mill to be heard in every hamlet would be no more heard, and the light of every lamp would be extinguished. It will be observed that by this rearrangement our text reproduces the order in Jer. xxy. ro.
 Jer. xxv. io given above. Cf. also vii. 34, xvi. 9, xxxin. in.

286-h. rexvitףs ктл. This line would embrace skilled workers in every kind of material.
 that for $\phi \omega \nu \grave{\eta} \mu \dot{\lambda} \lambda o v$ the LXX reads $\dot{\delta} \sigma \mu \grave{\eta} \nu \mu \dot{v} \rho o v$, presupposing .
 Seer is not thinking of the brilliant lights and torches borne by slaves as they escorted the wealthy Romans to their homes, but simply anticipating the hour when all lights-even the meanestwill be extingurshed for ever.
20. Restored to its rightful place at the close of the writer's dirge over Rome, this appeal to heaven and the saints to rejoice over its destruction is most appropriate, and forms a fitting introduction to xix., which contains the heavenly response, whereas this apostrophe, standing as it does in the MSS, comes in most awkwardly between two descriptive passages as Moffatt has already recognized. The whole verse is to be ascribed to John : Moffatt assigns it to the hand of a Christian editor.

There are, it is true, some difficulties in the style. Thus from

 may account for the difference of order. Again, кpipa seems here to have the sense of lawsuit or case-a meaning not found elsewhere in our author : cf. xvii. 1, xix. 2, where it = sentence, judgment. But even here it can bear the latter meaning: "God hath judged your judgment (i.e. the judgment due to you) upon her." On the other hand, evippaiveo $\theta a$ belongs to the diction of our author: cf. xii. 12, and the use of the singular ovpavós is characteristically that of John. Hence 20 is from the hand of John : likewise $\mathbf{2 3}^{\text {t }} \mathbf{- 2 4}$.

This call of the Seer to heaven and its inhabitants to rejoice over the accomplished doom of Rome is formed of two tristichs: 20, $23^{\text {f }}-24$.
 (a), which is not found in the LXX.
 must be the blessed in heaven-martyrs, apostles, and prophets : cf. xii. 12, where those addressed are the angelic hosts.
 against her" (lit. "at her cost"). This phrase is found in
 idiom $\boldsymbol{\ell} \xi \operatorname{aviv} \eta \mathrm{\eta}$ s cf. Ps. cxix. 84 (LXX), but here the present Hebrew text has I and not ip. Or, as is suggested above,
 $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \xi$ aúr $\hat{\eta} s$ is probably to be taken as the equivalent of cf. xix. 2; 2 Kings ix. 7.


 in its present context there is no place for this line, being against the parallelsm. It is also meaningless. There is no ground for saying that God destroyed Rome because its merchants were the great ones of the earth. The real reason is given by the next örc clause. It is possible that this line may be a fragmentary survival of the original ending of the source used by our author. Perhaps it should be read immediately after the first clause in 11, " and the merchants of the earth-for thy merchants were the princes of the earth-weep," etc. This would be analogous to the descriptive clause added in 9 after the phrase "the kings of the earth."

28-24. This stanza, consisting of three lines, gives the grounds for the destruction of Rome: her leading astray of the nations by her sorcery, and her bloodguiltiness in respect of the prophets and saints and of all the righteous, who had been slain by her throughout the world.
 cf. $3^{\text {² }}$. The $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ ov 1 here corrupt for autins. The corruption can be explained by the dislocation of the text and the influence of the preceding occurrences of the second personal pronoun. iv गt фарpaxíg кr入. Cf. Nah. iii. 4, where Nineveh is called "the
 through her whoredoms and families through her witchcrafts." Cf. also Isa. xlvii. 12, where analugous charges are brought against Babylon.
94. While there is some doubt as to the provenance of $23^{1}$
(i.e. the first line of the closing stanza), there can be none as to that of the last two lines. The $\pi \rho o \phi \hat{\eta} \tau a \iota$ кai äyo are the Christian prophets and saints, and the subject of the last line is the universal martyrdom of the Christians apprehended by our author and regarded as accomplished at the close of xiii.

трофๆтஸ̂r кai dyiuv. See note on xvi. 6, xviii. 20.

 'Iepovoadi $\mu$. In Matt. xxii. 35 a like charge is brought against
 rîs $\gamma \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s}$. The Seer bere is not thinking only of the martyrs under the Neronian persecution, nor yet of such as had already fallen under Domitian (see list of passages referring to this persecution in Lightfoot, Ep. Clem. i. 104-115) at the time of writing; he is rather from the standpoint of the Seer looking back on the universal martyrdom of the Christian Church as a fact already accomplished. The diction used- $\pi$ áv $\boldsymbol{\tau} \omega \boldsymbol{\nu}$ т $\boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\nu}$
 author is thinking; for $\sigma \phi{ }^{\prime} \zeta \omega$ has this connotation in our author's language : cf. v. 6, 9, 12, vi. 9, xiii. 8. xiii. 3 is no exception, for the Beast is therein caricaturing the Lamb. On the other hand, in v. $4 \sigma \phi{ }^{\prime} \zeta \omega$ is used in a more special sense.

## CHAPTER XIX.

§ 1 . Its Import.
No real difficulty emerges in this chapter either as regards its authorship or its relation to the chapters that precede and follow it. There can be no question as to the Johannine authorship of xix. 1-8a, $9^{\text {a }}, 11-21$ from the standpoint of its style and diction ( $\S 2$ ), and there can be no doubt as to the relevancy and indispensableness of its subject-matter ( $\S 4$ ). Alike from its form and substance it receives unequivocal attestation.

The chapter opens with the response $(1-3)$ that the heavenly hosts make to the appeal of the Seer in xvii. 20, who thunder forth as with the mighty voice of a great multitude their exultation over the destruction of the Harlot City and God's avenging of the saints which she had slain. The theme is taken up afresh by the Elders and the Living Creatures and by the angel of the altar of incense, $4, \mathrm{xvi} .5^{\mathrm{b}}-7$. The echoes of the closing words of this anthem have hardly ceased to reverberate, when at the summons of a voice from the throre (5) the glorified host of martyrs burst forth with, as it were, the voice of many waters and the voice of mighty thunderings into glad thanksgivings (6-7), yoL 11.-8
for that now at length the Lord God Omnipotent reigneth upon the earth and the advent of the kingdom of Christ is at hand. Thus, whereas the angels rejoice over the vindication of divine justice, the martyred host rejoice in that the kingdom of Christ is at hand with fresh stores of mercy and salvation for the sons of men (cf. XV. 4).

At this stage we should have expected a vision bearing on the destruction of the Parthian kings, mention of which was made proleptically in xvii. 14 (see § 4 ad fin.) ; but this vision may have been displaced by the interpolation $9^{b}-\mathrm{ro}$ (see § 2). ${ }^{1}$

Now that the Harlot City (and the Parthian kings) have been destroyed, there remains only the execution of judgment on the kings of the earth who had shared in the spiritual fornication and abominations of Rome (11-21). These are slain with the sword that proceeded out of the mouth of the Word of God, and the Beast and the False Prophet that deceived them were cast into the lake of fire.

## § 2. The Diction and Idiom.

There can be no doubt whatever that xix. $\mathbf{r}-8^{\mathrm{a}}, \mathrm{II}-2 \mathrm{I}$ comes from the hand of our author. Alike (a) the diction and $(b)$ the idioms are his, as is shown fully in the notes on the various verses, but it will not be unhelpful to show in the case of a few verses how intimately every verse is bound up with what precedes.

 adjective generally connected with $\phi \omega v \eta^{\prime}$ : see xvi. 1 , note.


 xii. 10 .






 emi r. $\theta$ poree : see note on iv. 2.
XVI. $\mathbf{0}^{\text {b/-7. }}$. See notes on p. 120 sqq.

 reproduction of xi. 18 , though with a limitation of meaning.

[^15]6. Us фuwity bx



 xi. 18 for same use of verb. ท่тoíager eautiv: cf. xxi. 2, ทัro$\mu a \sigma \mu e ́ v \eta \nu$ むs $\nu \dot{v} \mu \phi \eta \nu$, also ix. 7, 15, xvi. 12.
 $\mathbf{9}^{\text {h }}-10$. See notes in loc.
 side our author once in the N.T., whereas he uses it six times.
 ${ }^{Z}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \omega v . .$. autós-a gloss, though the diction is Johannine.
 xv. 6.





 iv $\mu$ есоираиінить: cf. viil. 13, ivv. 6.




入aßóvтаs т. Xд́раүна r. Onpiou: cf. xiv. 11, xx. 4 (xvi. 2, note). тробкииoüvtas т. cixbra: cf. xiii. 14, xiv. 9 ; see note on vii. 11 .

 15.
(b) Idiom.-There are no idoms against our author's usage, while those that follow are characteristic of him.

 . . . ik: cf. vi. 10.
${ }^{1}$ Boofivor is used as a noun only once in the LXX in the sing., Dan. $x .5{ }^{4}$; in the plural in the LXX in Dan. x. 5b, xii. 6, 7; Isa. iii. 23 : but in our author only in sing. ; cf. xix. $8^{\text {ab }}, 14$, xviii. 12, 16. This use of adjectivem as nouns in the simg; is characteristic of the writer of xviii. Thus he usen Booctvoy as a noun in 12, 16, ropфupoiy as a noun in 16, and in xvii. 4which is from the same source, wherean the Fourth Gospel uses it as an adj. (cf. xix, 2, 5), kokxtyov as a noun (rare except in plural) in 12, 16 and in xvis. 4, but as an adj. in xvii. 3. I do not know of any other instances of Top $\phi$ vpoîv as a noun. oupukbv in 12; here only in Biblical Greek, though used by PLutarch, Strabo, etc.

 oi $\mu$ еуai ou: cf. xi. 18.
6. aiveite $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ رe $\uparrow$ : a Hebraism; see note in loc.

8. $2860 \eta$ aủtn̂ $\mathfrak{i v a}$ : cf. ix. 5 .

 xiv. §3).
 note on iv. 7, vol. i. p. 104 .
14. aúrồ ' $\alpha \phi^{\prime}$ i im aủтஸ̂: cf. our author's usage where ка $\theta^{\eta} \mu^{\text {évov }}$ is followed by gen. in 18, 19, 21 , and where ка $\theta \eta \mu$ évę is followed by dat.: iv. 9, v. 13 , vii. 10 , etc.
15. тоццaveî: here used as equivalent to "will break": see note on ii. 27.



20. пробкuroûrtas т. єiкóra: see note on vii. in.
§ 3. xix. $9^{\text {b }}-10$ an Interpolation.
See note in loc.
8 4. The Structure of the Book requires xix. 1-4, xvi. $5^{b}-7$, xix. 5-8, 9a, 11-21.
xix. $1-4$, xvi. $5^{\text {b }}-7$, xix. $5-8$ is the heavenly response to the Seer's appeal in xviii. 20. $9^{4}$ contains the fourth of the seven beatitudes. The contents of xix. II-2I, which have already been proleptically described in xiv. 14, 18-20, xvi. r4, 16, are here seen in therr due chronological connection, as the necessary prelude to xx - -xxil. The kings of the earth, who had shared in the idolatries and abominations of Rome, here meet with just doom, while the Beast and the False Prophet are cast down into the lake of fire. Only one more event must intervene before the advent of the heavenly Jerusalem, and this-the chaining of Satan-is witnessed in xx. 1-3.

There appears, however, to be a lacuna in this chapter. Where the interpolation ( $9^{b}-10$ ) stands we should expect a vision relating to the destruction of the Parthian kings. In xviii. we have the vision of the destruction of the Harlot City which had in an earlier chapter been spoken of proleptically as though already accomplished: cf. xiv. 8. In xix. 11-2I we have a vision of the destruction of the kings of the earth and the final
doom of the Beast and the False Prophet in their due chronological sequence, save in one respect, and this is, that after the destruction of Rome and before the destruction of the kings of the earth there ought to be a vision of the destruction of the Parthian kings-a destruction that has already been referred to proleptically in xvii. 14 (see 12-13, 17, 16, 14). A short vision to this effect may have been displaced by the interpulation xix. $9^{\text {b }}-10$.

## § 5. The Method of our author elsewhere in this Book is observed here.

In xix, $\mathbf{- 8}$ our author pursues the method already familiar to us in preceding chapters, in accordance with which over aganst a vision of the tribulation of the faithful is set one of their deliverance and triumph, or over against a vision of coming judgment on the enemies of Christ is set one of the ultimate blessedness of the redeemed.

Thus xix. 1-4, xvi. $5^{\text {b }}-7$, xix. $5-8$, which sets forth the joy of the angels on the dooms just executed on Rome and the joy of the glorified martyrs on the impending advent of the kingdom, is not only a heavenly response to the appeal of the Seer in xviii. 20 , but the counterpart we expect of the dread judgments culminating in xvii.-xviii. With this notable contrast we might compare earlier analogous contrasts on this Book. Thus xv. $2-3$, which comes in reality immediately after xui. (since xiv. is entirely proleptic), brings out the glaring contrast between the universal martyrdom of the faithful in xini. and the blessed inheritance on which they entered forthwith in heaven itself. Also in xiv. 1-5 we have a parallel to xv. 2-3 in contrast to xiii., where the visions were of such a nature as to daunt the faithfullest heart, as they foretold the destruction of all God's servants. In like manner xi. 14-18 comes in as a contrast to the tribulations depicted in xi. $1-13$ and the still darker visions that follow in xii.-xiii., claiming as it does that already, however great may appear the triumph of the Antichrist, it is but a passing one, and that the issues of the strife are already made known to the hosts of heaven. Once more, after the account of the Seals is placed the vision of the great multitude in heaven, vii. 9-17.

On every ground, therefore, whether we regard the diction, the idioms, the due development of events in the Book, or the method pursued in this chapter, we conclude that it is the undoubted product of his pen.

1-8. Thanksgivings in heaven. The closing appeal in xviii. 20 to heaven to rejoice in that God had judged the great Harlot

City is answered by an exultant anthem voiced by a great multitude of angels ( $1-3$ ) which is closed by the four and twenty Elders and the Cherubim with the response, "Amen, alleluia" (4-5). They had already voiced their thanksgivings in two anthems on the same subjects in xi. 15-18. Hence here they simply give utterance to their approval of the theme of the angels' song. And whereas a voice from earth summoned the heavenly hosts to the duty of thanksgiving in that the doom of the Harlot City is already accomplished in $1-5$, nuw in 6-8 a voice from the throne summons the glorified martyrs in heaven to the glad service of thanksgiving, whereupon there arose as it were the voice of a great multitude and of many waters and of mighty thunderings proclaiming that the Lord God Omnipotent had become King, and that the advent of the heavenly Jerusalem was at hand. It is fitting that the last song in the Apocalypse should come from the lips of the martyrs.

1. The thanksgiving in this verse has been explained by some interpreters as coming from the glorified martyrs, as in: i. 10-12; but the context and our author's usage is against this interpretation. The context is against it; for the fatthful, who appear to embrace only the glorified martyrs, do not offer their thanksgiving till 6-8. Further, our author's usage is against it. In such a series of thanksgivings those of the angels could not be omitted, and, if the alleluia in 1 is ascribed to the glorified martyrs, then we have such an omission, while in 6-8 there would then be a duplication of the pranses of the martyrs. Furthermore (see notes on iv. 4, v. in), if any reference to the angels' thanksgiving is made in our text, it must come in 1 ; for the mention of the Elders and the Living Creatures (and in this order) in 4 shows that the Seer is beginning his description with the outer ranks of the heavenly beings and proceeding inwards towards the throne. Thus, as in vil. II, we have first angels, then the Elders, then the Living Creatures.

If the same order were observed as in vii. 9-11, we should have 6-8 before $1-5$ : in other words, the thanksgivings of men, then of angels, then of the Elders, and finally of the Living Creatures. But the order is otherwise here, and the change is instructive. Whereas in an earlier chapter, vi. 10, the cry of the faithful was for vengeance on their persecutors, here (cf. xv. 4) their sole theme of thanksgiving is that now at last "the Lord God Omnipotent reigneth," and that "the marriage of the Lamb is come." This prepares the reader for what is to follow in the speedy removal (in xix $11-21$ ) of all that prevents the advent of the heavenly Jerusalem. Hence 6-8 comes rightly at the close of $\mathrm{r}-5$. On the other hand, it is noteworthy that in $1-5$ the theme of the angels' song is one of profound thanksgiving for

God's righteous judgment of Rome and His avenging of the blood of the martyrs. This thanksgiving follows naturally and immediately in response to the appeal in xviii. 20.
 ix. 9, xiv. 2, xix. 6. $\mu \epsilon \gamma^{\prime} \lambda \eta$ is the usual adjective our author
 vii. 9. 入eyóvtav. A construction karà oviveaty with öx ${ }^{\text {dov }}$

 should have $\epsilon$, is strange. The same irregularity is to be found in Гe $\theta \sigma \eta \mu a v e$, which according to Dalman (Gram. p. 152 , note 3),
 tion came into use before the Christian era amongst Hellenistic Jews, as Swete remarks, since it is found in 3 Macs. vii. 13 ; Tob. xiii. I8. In the LXX it is found frequently at the beginning and end of certain Pss.: see Swete, Intr. to O.T. in Greek, p. 250.
 we find $\dot{\eta}$ owrppia and $\eta \dot{\eta} \delta i v a \mu s$ conjoined, and in iv. 11, vii. 12, $\dot{\eta} \delta \delta^{\xi} \xi a$ and $\dot{\eta} \delta \dot{v} v a \mu s$ (also in v. 12, but in the reverse order) : in
 $\theta \epsilon o v \hat{\eta} \mu \omega \nu$ has already occurred in xii. 10, the more usual being that which is found in i. 6 (aüT $\hat{\varphi} \dot{\eta} \delta_{0} \dot{\xi} \xi a$ ), vii. 10.
 we have concluded rightly, been reproduced in xvi. 7, which belongs to a dislocated passage, i.e. xvi. 5-7. A distant parallel occurs in xv. 3, סiкalac кai d̀ $\lambda \eta$ tvai ai $\delta \delta o i$ define the reason for this hymn of praise-the righteousness of God's judgments as exemplified in the destruction of the Harlot City.
 tion of the preceding ö̀t clause. It recalls xvii. I , rò крípa $\boldsymbol{\uparrow} \boldsymbol{\eta} \mathrm{s}$


 $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \hat{\gamma} v$, and the note thereon. The pronoun $\dot{\eta} \tau c s$ appears here to be simply the equivalent of $\bar{\eta}$. It definitely determines who the Harlot is. For this usage of örris see note on xi. 8. Iv Til ropveiq $a \dot{J}+\eta$ s. This is the first charge brought against Rome. It has corrupted the whole earth, cf. xiv. 8, xvii. 2, xviii. 3. The second charge is that stated in the words dje8iknoer id atpa Tom סoúluv кт入. These words recall the complaint of the martyrs in
 $\gamma \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s}$, and now recognize the consummation of divine justice: cf.



Cf. also Deut. xxxii. 43. tûv $\delta$ oừ $\omega \nu$ aưtoû recalls xviii. 24 -the prophets and saints.
8. kai סeútepor eippkay. "And a second time they say." The second utterance or antistrophe from the lips of the same multitude consists not only of the word $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda_{\eta} \lambda \frac{1}{}$ ovid́, but also of the words that follow кaì $\dot{\delta}$ кanvòs . . . aíurver. These words correspond to the tetrastich that follows the first $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda o u s \alpha$ in 1-2.



 mere conjunction. We can only secure the right meaning of the clause that follows if кai is taken as a Hebraism: i.e. "Hallelujah; for the smoke thereof goeth up for ever and ever." This clause therefore is a circumstantial clause introduced by кai ( $=$ ! $)$ and gives a statement of the concomitant conditions, which justify the action denoted by the principal verb (הללריה). See Oxford Hebrew Dict. p. 253.
cis tols alôvas tôv aieuvur. This expression is equivalent here to 1000 years. For, since the advent of the Millennial Kingdom is already at hand, and since the earth is to be destroyed at its close, it follows that even the smoking ruins of Rome will cease to exist at that date. Contrast this meaning with that which it bears in xxii. 5 , where it denotes eternity.
4. Now that the angelic hosts have sung their two paeans of triumph, the Elders and the Living Creatures too take their share in the praises of heaven with the words, ' $A \mu \eta{ }^{\prime} \nu$, 'A $\lambda \lambda \eta \lambda \lambda o v c o$, followed by xvi. $5^{\mathrm{b}}-7$, which belongs here.

In iv. 8, 11, xi. $\mathbf{1 5}^{-18}$ the Cherubim and the Elders offer their praises independently; in $v .9$ together, as in the verse before us; in v. 14 the Cherubim pronounce the "amen" at the close of the doxology of all creation. In the present text both orders unite together (as in v. 9) in singing the antistrophe (consisting like the strophe $1^{\mathbf{b}}-2$ of two stanzas of three lines each), $3^{\circ}$, xvi. $5^{\text {b }}-7$.
 speaking of the highest form of worship: see note on vii. ir. T巛ิ
 note on iv. 2.
${ }^{\prime} A \mu \eta \eta, a \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda$ outa. These words form the close of Ps. cvi. 48,
 Y'́vocto. Cf. also Neh. v. 13.
XVI. $\mathbf{8}^{\text {b }}-7$. These verses, which in the MSS follow after xvi. 5a, do not belong to xvi., but have in this edition been restored to their original context after xix. 4. Either what
originally followed was lost (.f. loss in xvi. 10), or else it was deliberately removed by the Johannine editor and these verses substituted in their stead.
(1) The first ground for regarding these verses as an interpolation in their present context is that they limit the incidence of the Third Plague and probably that of the Second to the adherents of the Roman Empire. But, if the Second and Third Plagues are studied apart from these verses, the fact that their incidence is universal for sea and land cannot be questioned any more than that of the Fourth Plague, the Sixth, and the Seventh. We have already seen that the First also affects all the earth. Hence all the Bowls are universal in their incidence save the Fifth, just as all the Seals are likewise universal save the Fifth.
(2) The main point of these verses was not understood by the Johannine editor. Rightly understood they mean: God is righteous, inasmuch as He has made those who shed the blood of the prophets and saints to shed each other's blood (aima aürois $\delta e ́ \delta \omega \kappa a s \pi \in i v$, xvi. $6^{b}$ ) and stirred up the kings of the East against the great Harlot City Rome to destroy it, and eat her flesh
 Rome is not referred to till we come to the vision in xvii. 12-13, 17, 16: hence xvi. $5^{\text {b }}-7$ cannot rightly occur till after xvii., nor in fact till after xviii., which describes the destruction of Rome.
(3) Since xvi. $5^{\text {b }}-7$ does not belong to xvi. and cannot justly be used till after xviii., we have two further points to determine : i.e. (a) Are these verses from our author ; and, if so, (b) what was their original context?
(a) The passage is genuine. In xvi. 5 on $\dot{\delta} \not \partial v$ кai $\dot{\delta} \dot{\eta} \nu c c_{0}$ xi. i 7 ( $n$.) : on öccos as applied to God cf. xv. 4, ötı $\mu$ óvos ö́clos:
 cf. xi. 18, xviii. 24, xix. 2 : on äkıó ciort cf. iii. 4 and xiv. 5 for exactly the same asyndetic construction. 7. On кúple $\dot{\delta} \theta \in \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\circ} \mathbf{s}_{\text {, }}$ ó та⿱токрáтшр cf. i. 8, iv. 8, xi. ı7, xv. 3, xix. 6, xxi. 22 . Here we should observe that the divine title in 5 and this title in 7
 rov cf. xix. 2 where the words recur exactly as here; also in xv. 3 (in reverse order).

Thus the passage is undoubtedly Johannine.
(b) All the evidence is in favour of restoring it immediately after $d \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda$ ovtá in xix. 4 Thus we have : first two stanzas of three lines each, xix. $x^{\text {b }}-2$, followed by one of two in xix. 3, and parallel with these two other stanzas of three lines each in xix. $4^{\mathrm{c}}$, xvi. $5^{\text {b }}-6$ followed by another of two in $x v i .7^{\text {be }}$. The structure is thus perfectly symmetrical.

## The Song of the Angels.


 н $\mu \hat{\mu} \nu$



 aùrทิs.
8. Kai 8cúrepor eip $\eta \times a r-$
'A $\lambda \lambda \eta \lambda$ ould.
 aidérwv.

## The Song of the Elders and the Cherubim.

40. 'A $\mu \eta{ }^{\prime}{ }^{2}$, d $\lambda \lambda \eta \lambda$ outa'
 "Oatos ötı тaûta êkptvas.
41. "Otı afua dyiuv каì трофŋтûv dztxeav,

Kai aifa adtois Efiwnas meiv*
"A૬ゅoi clotv.
7. Kai ग̈nouga tồ Qualaotipiou $\lambda$ tyoutos



At first sight it might appear an objection that this doxology is addressed directly to God, whereas the doxology in xix. $\mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{B}} \rightarrow 2$ is not so directed, but God is spoken of in the third person. But this objection is groundless; for in iv. 8 the doxology speaks of God in the third person, whereas that which follows in iv. II is addressed directly to God. The same change of persons is found in the two thanksgivings in connection with the Lamb in v. $9-10$ and v. 12. Here also the Elders and the Cherubim join together, as in v. 9, in this great anthem of praise. Again it is noteworthy that the doxologies of the twenty-four Elders are always elsewhere in our author (cf. iv. 11, v. 9, xi. r7) addressed directly to God, just as they are above in xix. $4^{c}$, xvi. $5^{\text {b }}-6$. Thus what at first sight appears to be an objection turns out to be evidence in favour of the above restoration.
(4) The reader will recognize at a glance the similarity of construction between the doxology in xix. $1-2$ and that of the Elders and Living Creatures in xix. $4^{c}$, xvi. $5^{b}-6$, both of which consist of two stanzas of three lines each, and that in each case
these stanzas are followed by one of two lines. They both also deal with the same subject-thanksgiving over the fall of Rome.

$$
\text { XVI. } \delta^{b} \text {. a Ev kai d } \ddagger \mathbf{y} \text {. See note on i. } 4 .
$$

8бwos. Cf. xy. 5. So the best authorities: "holy"-in apposition to $\delta$ fracos. If with MP we read $\dot{\circ}$ ofoos the phrase is to be taken as a vocative, "Thou Holy One." It represents God as faithful in His relation to men. See Trench (Synon. ${ }^{8}$ p. 316) on the relation of Sixalos and ootos in Classical Greek. On this attribute of God cf. I Enoch i. 2, 3, X. I, xiv. 1, xxp. 3, xxxvii. 2, cxxxiv. r, xcii. 2, xciii. in, xcviii. 6, cıv. 9.

## 

dyiav nai проф $\boldsymbol{\eta}_{\tau \hat{\omega}} \mathrm{v}$. We should expect the reverse order: cf. xviii. 24, xxii. 9 .
 in the O.T. and later books. Thus in Isa. xlix. 26 we have $=$ " I will feed them that oppress thee with their own flesh, and they shall be drunken with their own blood." In that time "no man spareth his own brother " (Isa. ix. 19). This is the so-called Period of the Sword : cf. Ezek. xxxviii. 21," I will call for a sword against him . . every man's sword shall be against his brother "; Hag. ii. 22, "I will destroy the strength of the kingdoms . . . everyone by the sword of his brother"; Zech. xiv. 13; I Enoch xxxviii. 5, xcviii. 12, xcix. 4, 6; Jub. xxiii. 19; 2 Bar. xlviii. 32, lxx. 6-7; Mark xiii. 8. Thus the meaning of the clause in our text is that God would cause internecine war to arise amongst the Antichristian nations, i.e. between Rome and the nations of the East ; for the latter, as the Seer has already testified in xvii. 1213, 17, 16, would invade the West and destroy Rome. But the Johannine editor was wholly ignorant of the force of these words and took them in a literal and material sense: As Rome had shed the blood of the saints, so it should drink actual blood! whereas they mean that the doom pronounced by God on those who had martyred the prophets and the saints would be that they should fall by each other's hands, and thus they should eat each other's flesh (xvii. 16) and drink each other's blood (xvi. 6). We should observe that the two ideas in Isa. xlix. 26 are thus adopted by our author. $\pi$ điv $=\pi \in$ êv : cf. Blass, Gram. 23.
aftoi ciow. Contrast the use of this phrase in iii. 4, and for the absence of the copula xiv. 5 .
7. そ̀nкouga toî Ougcaompiou $\lambda$ éyortos. This clause must be taken in connection with the statements made in reference to this altar, i.e. the altar of incense in the preceding chapters. In vi. Io the souls of the martyrs are represented as beneath this altar, and from thence their prayers go forth to God. In vili. 3-4 the prayers of all the saints that are still upon earth are offered up upon it. In ix, 13 this altar first appears in con-
nection with judgment, where it is conceived as initiating the second Woe by ordering the four angels of pumshment to be let loose. In xiv. 18, which represents a period chronologically later, i.e. when the roll of the martyrs is complete, an angel goes forth from this altar and delivers to the Son of Man the divine command to undertake the judgment of the earth. In the present passage the altar confirms the doxologies just uttered (vai), and declares that in the destruction of Rome the truth and righteousness of God are vindicated. Thus at last the prayers of the martyrs and of the saints are fulfilled.
XIX. 6. фwvit dim roit $\theta$ porov. This voice directed to God's servants in heaven (i.e. the martyrs) seems to be that of one of the Cherubim or of the Elders, and not of an angel, since the two first orders were the nearest to the throne. In no case should the voice be ascribed to Christ, as in that case we should have not $\tau \bar{\varphi} \theta \in \hat{\psi} \dot{\eta} \mu \omega \nu$ in the words that follow, but rê $\theta \in \hat{\varphi} \hat{\mu} \mu$, as in iii. 12.
 elsewhere in the N.T. and all but universally in the LXX. In the half-dozen or more of cases where aiveiv takes the dative in the LXX, we can explain it in y Chron. xvi 36, xxini. 5, 2 Chron. xx. 19 as a reproduction of the Hebrew idiom הורה ליהוה or הלל ליה Still more remarkable is the careful following of the text in Ezra
 in ii 11, where aivciv $\tau \hat{\psi}$ кupíq is a rendering of 2 Chron. v. 13, aivaiv rệ k. is a translation of " vii. 3. Hence it is not improbable that this Hebrew diom was in the mind of our author when he wrote, aiveitre rệ $\theta \in \hat{\Psi} \hat{\eta} \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\mu} v$. The dative is also found in Pss. Sol. v. y, aivéro rệ óvópati $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\sigma}$.



 (LXX, aivêtre . . . סov̂גoc Kúpıov), and 20, יראי יהוה (LXX, oi $\phi_{0} \beta$ ójecvo tòv кúpьov). In the usage of our author the phrase $\delta_{0 \hat{u} \lambda o l}$ (rov̂ $\theta \in o \hat{\text { un }}$ ) represents the most notable servants of Godthe Christian prophets (cf. i. 1, x. 7, xi. 18, xxii. 6) and martyrs (cf. vii. 3, xix. 2), and the servants of God generally in ii. 20, xxii. 3. The context therefore must decide its special significance in each case.

We have next to discover who these servants of God are. They can hardly be said to compose the Church universal ; for ( $\mathbf{x}$ ) the presupposition of xviii. 20, ex中paivou . . . oupavé, is that only those who are in heaven should take part in the thanksgivings over the fall of Rome. (2) The words, further, фwvì dтo
roû $\theta$ póvov, have the same implication. They are addressed to those in heaven. If the voice were addressed to those on earth we shall expect фwuท̀ éx rov̂ oúpavov̂: cf. x. 4, 8, xi. 12, xiv. 2, 13 , xviii. 4 In xxi. 3 God tabernacles with men $=$ hence this phrase has not the same significance there that it has in the earlier chapters. (3) We have found that all the faithful had been removed from the earth after chap. xiii.

Thus since the divine command is issued only to the servants ( $\delta o \hat{v} \lambda o l$ ) of God in heaven, these can only be the glorified martyrs, who have already been described as "a mighty multitude which no man could number" in vii. 9. The martyrs have already been so designated in vii. 3, xix. 2. It is used elsewhere also of the Christian prophets, and also of God's servants generally (see above).

So much then appears clear. The anthems of thanksgiving in xix. 1-8 are sung only by those actually in heaven-angels and glorified martyrs. We have now to deal with the further description of the latter, which is given in the next line.
 accept the $\kappa a i$ (with AQ $\min ^{\text {oma }}$ vg. syr. ${ }^{1,2}$ Prim.) we must render it as "even"; for there is no question here of two distinct classes of the faithful in heaven : there are only the martyrs. The martyrs are described here as oi סoûdot aùrov̂, as in the angels' song in 2. This being so, the phrase kai oi фoßovimevol aúrơv is not a strong one as descriptive of the martyrs. кai oi фoß. au̇róv -.. $\mu$ čádot appears to be derived from Ps. cxiv. 13 (cxiii. 21) origınally. The phrase oi $\phi$ okoúpevor tò òvouá $\sigma o u$ bears another meaning in xi. 18. There the whole body of the faithful are referred to; xi. $18^{\mathrm{d}-\mathrm{g}}$ refers to the events subsequent to the final judgment, and to all the orders of the faithful who then enter into the everlasting Kingdom of God. Hence кai oi $\phi \circ \beta$ oú-
 from the other classes there enumerated. But in the present context only one class is mentioned, i.e. that of the martyrs.
6. Response of the martyr host to the divine command.

 cf. vi. I (x. 3). 入eyoutuv. This is the best attested reading (AP and many cursives). Presumably our author took it in immediate connection with $\beta$ portûv. Cf. like irregularities in
 ( Q and many cursives) is, though parallels in our author's use (cf. v. Ix, xiv. 6-7) could be adduced, probably a correction.
 connection of ideas cf. Ps. xcvii. a (xcvi. i,

xi．15，17．With the destruction of Rome the reign of God is established on earth．Cf．Pss．Sol．ii．34，36，v．21，22，xvii． 1，4，38，51，where the like thought of the kingship of God prevails．This is the second great stage in the destruction of evil in the universe．The first was achieved when Satan was cast down from heaven（chap．xii．）．
kúpros 6 Geds $\delta$ tavtokpdéw．A favourite designation of God in our author．Cf．i．8，iv．8，xi．17，xy．3，xvi．7，xxi． 22. Against（A 1，49， 95 al．me．arm．）other authorities add $\eta_{\boldsymbol{\eta}}^{\boldsymbol{\mu} \omega \hat{\nu}}$ after $\theta$ eós owing to the influence of 1,5 ．But this is against the usage of our author in this phrase，and the context itself is
 follow，as in iv．11，we have $\dot{o}$ кúpoos кai ó $\theta$ eòs $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} v$, where Dr． D＇Arcy suggests there may be a reference to the blasphemous title assumed by Domitian，＂Dominus et Deus noster．＂
 Matt．v．12，хаípere кaì dya入ııárөe．dya入入ıâv is found in the N．T．only here and in Luke i．47．Elsewhere in the N．T．and in the LXX the middle form is used．The classical form is áyál $\lambda о \mu a l$.

8riooper tìv 8 ógav．See note on xi． 13 ．
 18，but not to the same extent here；for Rome is already overthrown：the impenitent nations，the Beast and the False Prophet are on the eve of being cast into the lake of fire，the Millennial Kingdom on the eve of being set up，and the heavenly Jerusalem－the bride－of coming down from heaven．In fact， ${ }_{j} \lambda \lambda$ ary refers here to the time just preceding the advent of the Millennial Kingdom，whereas in xi． 18 to the period that follows on its close．Cf．xiv．7，15，where it also occurs．
$\delta$ yduos roî dpriou．As God in the O．T．is the Bridegroom of Israel（cf．Hos．ii． 16 ；Isa．liv． 6 ；Ezek．xvi． 7 sq．），in the N．T． this symbolism is transferred to Christ and the Church，which in our author is symbolized by the heavenly and the New Jerusalem ：cf．xx1．9－10，xxii．17，xxi．2．This figure of marriage denotes the intimate and indissoluble communion of Christ with the community，which He has purchased with His own blood（v．6，9，vii．17，xiv．1）．This communion is reached first in its fulness by the martyrs，who reign with Christ for 1000 years in the Holy City，which comes down from heaven， and are yet in a mysterious way identified with the Holy City xxi．9，xxii．17，i．e．the Church，the Bride of Christ．The Gentiles converted during these rooo years belong also to the Church．When the New（кauv＇）Jerusalem descends in xxi． 2 after the final judgment and the creation of a new heaven and a new earth，it has become a symbol for the Church Universal．

It is worth observing that the heavenly (or New ?) Jerusalem. is symbolized by a woman in 4 Ezra ix. $3^{8}$ sqq., x . 25-50.

But to return to the term $\gamma$ á $\mu o s$, we meet with the above symbolism in other parts of the N.T. Thus the marriage feast (yá $\mu \mathrm{o}$ ) is made by the king for His Son in Matt. xxii. 2 sqq.; the bride chamber is mentioned Matt. xxii. 10 ( $\nu \mu \mu \phi$ corv $^{\prime}$ ), and the wedding garment (ivovua yá $\mu 0 v$ ), xxii. 11 ; the sons of the bridechamber, Mark ii. 19; the bridegroom (venфios), Mark ii. 19, Matt. xxv. I ; and his friends (John iii. 29) and the bride ( $\nu \dot{v} \mu \phi \eta$ ) in Matt. xxv. 1 (D). In 2 Cor, xi. 2 the same symbolism occurs,





 of our text the rìv juvaîka appears to be a gloss.

クroiparev eautip. A favourite word in our author (ix. 7, 15, xii. 6, xvi. 12, xxi. 2 (viii. 6)). Only the destruction of the Antichristian kings and nations, the casting of the Beast and the False Prophet into the lake of fire (xix. 11-21), and the chaining of Satan in the abyss (xx. 1-3), must intervene before the actual bridal, before the full spiritual communion of Christ and His Church is accomplished in the descent of the Holy City in xxi. 9 sqq.
 given unto her that she should array herself in fine linen." This privilege was already accorded to the martyr Church in
 expressions in our author : cf. iii. 18, vii. 9, 13, x. 1 , xviii. 16, etc. Our author uses also the phrase $\dot{\text { évóvectau } \beta \dot{v} \sigma \sigma t v o v: ~ c f . ~ 14, ~}$
 the preceding clause $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ roínavev $\dot{\text { eavrin, we have presented God's }}$ part and man's part in the work of redemption: cf. Phil. ii.

 кäapóv could be taken as part of the martyrs' song. But both the context and the parallelism are in favour of regarding them as a remark of the Seer.
ßúgouvov: cf. 14, xviii. 12 . Here used as a noun, as in Dan. (LXX) x. 5. On the meaning of this "fine linen" see note on iii. 5, vi. 11 , vol. i. p. 184 sq.
$\lambda a \mu \pi p \partial{ }^{2}$ kalapóv: cf. xv. 6. The vesture of the saints stands out in strong contrast with that of the Harlot in xvii. 4, xviii. 16.
[rò ydp Búgowoy rà Sıkacojpara tây ayiuv lotiv.] This is
regarded by some scholars as the close of the anthem : if so, it is a prosaiz one. Of course it might be urged that it does not come from the heavenly choir, but is an explanation of the Seer himself, as the preceang clause itself appears to be But this can hardly be maintained. As we have seen in the note on xiv. 13, the righteous acts (so סцкаищиата : cf. xv. 4; Rom. v. 18 ; I Bar. ii. 19) of the saints are regarded by our author as the manifestation of the inner life and as practically identical with character-the character a man takes with him when he leaves this life. Neither his righteous acts nor his character are to be regarded as the garment of the soul of the martyrs; for, though they had already this character they are described as without such garments for a time, even in heaven: see vi. 11. As a fitting clothing of the souls of the martyrs, God assigns them spiritual bodies, vi. II (see note), which in iii. 5 (see note) and here are described as white garments or shining pure garments. The individuals also who compose the Church or Bride at this period are the martyrs. If this view is right, then $8^{\mathrm{b}}$ is a gloss. In a limited sense it is a correct gloss, since the spiritual bodies will be in keeping with the character or righteous acts of the saints.

Alford regards the entire verse as an explanation of the Seer. But the objections to $8^{\mathrm{b}}$ hold nevertheless.
$\mathbf{0}^{\text {b }} \mathbf{- 1 0}$. This passage, which is in part a doublet of xxii. 8-9 but rewritten and given quite a different meaning, is bracketed as an interpolation.
 rather inept here, seeing that the words referred to are the triumphant songs of the angels and martyrs in heaven. In xxii. 6, on the other hand, they are full of significance, coming in as they do at the close of the Book and giving it a solemn attestation. In xxi. 5 the authenticity of the phrase can hardly be maintained.
2. xix. 10 is in part a doublet of xxii. 8-9. This fact in itself is only of importance when combined with others.
3. xix. 10 is rewritten from xxii. 8-9 by the editor and given a less general meaning. In xxii. 8-9 the angel is a fellowservant of the prophets and of all Christians. In xix. Io he is a fellow-servant only of the prophets and those endowed with the prophetic spirit.
4. If xix. To were original, the action of the Seer in xxii. 8-9, in again prostrating himself before the angel, would be incomprehensible. But if xix. 10 is an interpolation, then $x x i i .8-9$ is intelligible and in its right context at the close of the Book.
5. тробкvvīซa. aúrệ ( 10 ) is not used elsewhere in our author

but only of divine worship (see note on vii. ni). We should, if this phrase were from our author's hand, have $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \kappa v w \eta \sigma a u$ aitóv. In xxii. 8 no such breach of our author's usage is committed.

The above difficulties are against the direct authorship of the Seer. The most natural hypothesis as to their insertion here is, that they were inserted by the disciple who edited the whole. work, who, though he repeats some clauses from John's own hand, supplements them with others from his own. This hypo-



 In itself the latter means the whole body of believers generally, but when the last clause of 10 is added it means the limited body of Christian prophets. By this gloss the exact parallelism of xix. 9-10 with xxii. 8-9 is destroyed, for there the angel represents himself as the servant of the prophets and the whole Christian community.
 roû dpviou кeк入 $\eta \mu$ évol.

This beattude is the fourth of our author's seven beatitudes. But there is a difficulty in кєк $\lambda \eta \mu$ évot; for throughout the Millennial Reign all men alike are "called" to share in the kingdom: cf. xiv. 7, xv. 4, xxii. 17. There is no blessedness
 yevंбctaí $\mu 0 v$ rov̂ $\delta \varepsilon i ́ \pi v o v)$, unless a man accepts the call and is found faithful-is "chosen" eкגغкктós and found faithful mectós (cf. xvii. 14). The words of our Lord can hardly have been
 (Matt. xx. 16, xxii. 14). But кєк $\boldsymbol{\eta} \mu \boldsymbol{i}$ voc has not this technical meamng here, but simply that of "invited." Here, as in Matt. xxii. 2 sq., the guests and the Bride are one and the same. But, though the guests or the faithful might (as Israel in the O.T. in relation to Yahweh) be rightly designated the Bride, as, for example, in xxi. 9 (cf. Eph. v. 27), at the beginning of the Millennial Kingdom, since the words Bridegroom and Bride symbolize the close relation between Christ and the Church at all times, yet the realization of the things so symbolized is always partial and imperfect till the number of the saints is complete. Hence not till then has the time come for the Wedding Feast and for the Bride to become the Wife of the Lamb. It is to this feast, therefore, that the faitbful are "invited"; and all such as are then invited naturally accept, for they are all saints. So the writer of 4 Ezra ii. 38-4I rightly connects the Marriage Supper and the completion of the roll of the saints: "Videte numerum signatorum in convivio Domini.

Qui se de umbra saeculi transtulerunt, splendidas tunicas a Domino acceperunt. Recipe, Sion, numerum tuum . . . Filiorum tuorum . . . plenus est numerus . . . populus tuus, qui vocatus est ab initio." As Dalman (Words of Jesus, 118 sq .) points out,
 invited go up to the Jerusalem of the age to come"; Midr. Tehill. 14 ", where Jacob is "invited to the heavenly banquet"



кeк $\lambda \eta \mu$ évo. кadeî always means "to name" in our author except here and in xvii. 14, where the meaning our author
 каi тьбтоi. Here these three epithets refer to the same persons.
 $9^{b}-10$.
 followed immediately by such phrases as mpòs r. módas, i. 17 ,
 divine worship of God or the Lamb. Hence in $\epsilon_{\pi \epsilon \sigma \alpha}{ }^{\mu} \mu \pi \rho o \sigma \theta c \nu$ т. $\pi 0 \delta \bar{\omega} \nu$ airov̀ the same worship seems implied. It is strange that the phrase $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \kappa v v \epsilon i v \quad \mu \pi \rho o \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu$ is used simply of homage. See note on xxii. 8 .
 author) see 5 in the note on $9{ }^{\text {b }}$-10 and vii. In note.
 occur in their right context.

тむ̂v exórtar тìv raprupíay 'iŋซoû. This phrase is found already in xii. 17. It is certainly in the phraseology of our

 the question arises whether we have in 'I $\eta \sigma o v$ the subjective or objective genitive, i.e. the testimony borne by Jesus, or the testimony which men bear to Jesus. The former, according to i. 2, xii. 17, means the sum of the revelation made by him, and should naturally be the meaning of the phrase here. But the
 them mean "the witncss to Jesus." Hence it follows that the ráp is here to be taken in an explanatory sense: "now the witness to Jesus is the spirit of prophecy." Thus the angel declares that he is the fellow-servant of John, and of those who share with him the prophetic spirit. This is not the meaning of the parallel passage in xxii. 10.

There is, however, the possibility that $\dot{\eta}$ jà $\rho$ 告propia . . . rрофүreias may have been a marginal gloss on rìv $\mu \alpha \rho т и p i a v$ 'I $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ oov, which was subsequently incorporated in the text. It


It should have preceded it. If this clause was a gloss, then the
 would be practically equivalent in meaning. Even so, many of the objections to the orginality of xix. $9^{\text {b }}-10$ still remain.

11-91. Cf. xiv. 14, 18-20, and xvi. 13-16 for proleptic accounts of this destruction of the kings and the nations by Christ and His angels. See note on 14. 11-21 deals with the victory of Christ and His angels over the Beast, the False Prophet, and the kings of the earth. With this victory of the Messiah cf. 1 Enoch lxii. 2; 2 Bar. xxxix.-xl., lxxii.; 4 Ezra xii. $\mathbf{3}^{2}$, xiii. $3^{8}$. This destruction of the remaining active foes of the Messianic Kingdom comes in here of necessity after that judgment has been executed on Rome and before the inauguration of the Millennial reign. This corresponds to the judgment of the Sword at the beginning of the Messianic Kingdom in I Enoch 1. 2, xc. 19, xci. 12, xcv. 7, xcvi. 1, xcviii. 12. Though the destruction of the Parthian kings is foretold proleptically in xvii. 14, it is nowhere subsequently described.
 of oúpavoí: 2 Bar. xxı. 1 ; 3 Macc. vi. 18 ; Matt. iii. 16 ; John


As we learn from what follows, it is only the nations that are hostile to Christ that are to be destroyed. For the attitude of Judaism to the Gentiles in the O.T. and Apocryphal and Apocalyptic literature, see my Eschatology', 165, 246, 296, 297, 332, 36 I, also Sibyll. Or. iii. 740 ; Tob. xiii. 11, xiv. 6 sq. ; Test. XII. Patr. (see Index, p. 242, in my edition), and Volz, Judische Eschatologie, 322-325.
 but the two riders are quite distinct. Here there is no question as to the personality of the present Rider. He is the Messiah"the Word of God." The very epithets that are applied to Him have occurred before in the same connection : cf. iii. 14, $\dot{\delta} \mu \alpha \rho \tau \dot{v} s$ ó rıoròs кai à $\lambda \eta \theta$ ıvós. See also i. 5 , ii1. 7. This same combination of epithets is used by Chrst (the Logos) in xxii. 6, oviou of $\lambda o ́ y o u ~ \pi \omega \sigma r o i ~ к a i ~ a ́ d \eta \theta \iota v o i ́ . ~ F o r ~ t h i s ~ c o m b i n a t i o n ~ o f ~ e p i t h e t s ~ c f . ~$ also 3 Macc. ii. 11. The Messiah as a man of war appears in Pss Sol. xvii. 23-27; Philo, De Praem. et Poena, 16, $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau a p \chi$ ヘ̂v

iv Bixalocivn кpivel kaì moגєнei. This description of the righteousness of the Messah is brought forward very distinctively in Isa. xi. 3 sq. : "He shall not judge after the sight of his eyes . . . 4. but with righteousness shall he judge ( reprove with equity the meek of the earth. 5. And righteousness shall be the girdle of his loins and faithfulness the girdle of his reins." In I Enoch he is designated as "the Righteous One"
(xxxviii. 2), " the Righteous and Elect One" (liii. 6), "the Elect One of righteousness and faith " (xxxix. 6). Cf. also Pss. Sol. xvii.

 41: Ps. xevi. 13; Acts xvii. 3 I.
 cf. ii. 18.
 except in xii. 1 , our author uses $\mathbf{i \pi i} \tau$. кєфа入ijv and not $\dot{e} \pi i \tau$. $\kappa \kappa \phi a \lambda \hat{\eta} s$. See note on Introd. to xii., vol. i. p. 300 sq. As King of kings (16) the Messiah wears many diadems. The Dragon (xii. 3) and the Beast (xiii. x) wear respectively seven and ten. According to 1 Macc. xi. 13, Ptolemy wore two diadems on entering Antioch-one for Egypt and one for Asia.
 Though the diction is Johannine (on é $\chi \omega \nu$. . . yé $\gamma p a \mu \mu$ évov cf. 16, and with 8 oúdeis otסev cf. ii. 17) this clause appears to be interpolated. The objections are three. First, there is a break in the thought. We should not expect a reference to the name here in the midst of a description of the person and dress. Moreover, the statement that no one knows His name save Himself is flatly contradicted in the next verse ( $13^{\text {b }}$ ), where the words
 away (see note in loc.). Finally, by the omission of this clause the parallelism is restored.




The interpolated line may have originated in a marginal gloss. We have now to inquire what meaning can be attached to this gloss. Some interpreters have thought the unknown designation to be o кúpoos, Phil. ii. 11; others (as Ewald and Volkmar) have taken it to be the tetragrammaton; others a really mysterious name known only to Christ Himself, comparing
 iii. 12. As regards this last interpretation scholars are again divided. Swete is of opinion that all created beings are excluded from the knowledge of this name. Alford thinks that the knowledge of this name is withheld till the final and complete union of Christ and His Church. In favour of this view we might compare Asc. Isa. ix. 5 : "This is . . . the Lord Christ, who will be called Jesus in the world, but His name thou canst not hear till thou hast ascended out of thy body." Others, as Bousset, that this knowledge is withheld because of the power that attaches to such knowledge. The Messiah alone has a name which carries
with it power over heaven and earth, and as no one knows this name but Him, so He is the sole possessor of the power bound up with the name. This last interpretation belongs undoubtedly to the reign of magic, and is found among the Gnostics. This idea underlies Gen. xxxii. 29 and Judg. xiii. 17 sq. It was a very widespread idea among many ancient nations that the man who knew the name of a god or a demon possessed certain powers over him. Hence the name was concealed: cf. Heitmüller, Im Namen Jesu, 162 sqq. ; Giesebrecht, Gottesname, 23, 45, 100 (the last reference is from Gunkel's Genesis', p. 362 ; Jevons, Introd. Hist. Religion, p. 361).

$$
8 \text { od́ } \delta e \text { is krג. : cf. ii. } 17 \text {, iii. i2. }
$$

 has created no little difficulty. But, if we hold fast to the following facts, the difficulty can be surmounted. The first is that in the leader of the heavenly hosts we have to do-not with the Slain One, but the Slayer. The Word of God has come to execute divine judgment. Hence the idea that the blood on His Vesture is His own (cf. i. 5, v. 9, vii. 14, xii. 11) cannot be entertained. When our author wishes to express a thought of this nature, he speaks of the dipviov . . . is éroqaymívor (v. 6). Now this being so, how are we to explain the ícítov $\beta \in \beta a \mu \mu$ évov aipart? In whose blood is the vesture of the Divine Warrior dipped? If it is not His Own, no more is it that of the kings of the earth and their armies ( 15,18 ); for the judgment of the sword as regards these has not yet begun, and the garments of the heavenly armies are still white and pure (14). A comparison with Isa. Ixiii. $1-3$-which passage is in the mind of our authorconfirms this conclusion : "Who is this that cometh from Edom, with red garments from Bozrah ? . . . 2. Wherefore art thou red in thine apparel, and thy garments like him that treadeth in the winefat? 3. I have trodden the winepress alone . . . yea, I trod them in my anger . . . and their lifeblood is sprinkled upon my garments." Here the redness of the garments is due to the blood of those who have already been slain, exactly as in our text. Since, therefore, the redness of the vesture in 13 is not due to the warfare in II-2I, there remains only one other possible explanation, and this is that the blood on His vesture is that of the Parthian kings and their armies, whom He had already destroyed, and whose destruction had already been proleptically prophesied in xvii. 14. In this strife He was supported

iudriov Beßapuivov alpart. These words are freely based on Isa. Ixiii. 3,1 , ${ }^{1}$ ) $=$ " and their blood is sprinkled on my garments"). Here $\beta_{\varepsilon} \beta$ a $\mu$ ívov ( $A Q$, etc.) is to be accepted

paive and pavtitw; for, whereas both these latter verbs are used in the LXX to render (the Hebrew verb in Isa. Ixiii. 3), and whereas Aquila and Symmachus do render it by pavtits in Isa. lxiii. 3, but no translator ever renders it by $\beta \dot{a} \pi \tau \omega$, it follows that, though there were possible grounds for changing $\beta \varepsilon \beta a \mu \mu$ evov
 Beßapرévov from the standpoint of Isa. lxiid. 3, whence the idea was derived. Our author thus deals freely with Isa. Ixiii 3 . That such a free reproduction was not unknown in Judaism we might infer from the late Palestinian Targum on Gen. xlix. 11, which recounts the victory of the Messiah over His enemies: "How beauteous is the king Messiah, who is about to arise from the house of Judah. He hath bound his loins and gone forth to war against those that hate him: kings and princes shall be slain : he will make red the rivers with the blood of their slain. $\qquad$ his garments will be dipped in blood (לבnשו" מעצענין באדמה)."
 been taken by Volter, Spitta, Hilgenfeld, Bousset, and others to be the addition of a scribe or reviser. Now it is manifest that
 must be of this nature. But, whereas we found that $12^{\mathrm{c}}$ was open to serious objections on various contextual grounds, no objection of such a nature can be brought against the present clause, which accordingly comes from the hand of our author. We have here another of the numerous instances of community of diction between the Apocalypse and the Fourth Gospel, in many of which there is no community of meaning. For the Logos here is a Warrior, and our text reminds us of Wisd.


 We might compare also the later Jewish conception, פַאָּר and $\dot{\delta} \lambda_{0}{ }^{\prime}$ os tov̂ $\theta$ env̂ in Heb. iv. 12. Although the ideas underlying the words are different, in the latter passage this word is said to be "sharper than any two-edged sword."
14. кaì тd $\quad$ बтратеúpata кт入. To $14^{\text {a }}$ conjoined with $16^{\text {b }}$ we have remarkable parallels in xvii. 14, but there the enemies of the Lamb are the Parthian kings, who are also referred to in xvi. 12. Here we have a conflict on a larger scale, as in xiv. 14, $18-20$, xvi. 13-16. See notes on xiv. 14, 18-20, xvi. 12-16, xvi. 14.

A study of the chief passages (ii. 26-27, xiv. 14, 18-20, xvi. 12-16, xvii. 14, xviii., xix. 11-2I) dealing with the destruction of the world powers helps us to understand the expectations of the Seer as to ( $a$ ) the order in which the world powers were to be destroyed before the Millennium, and (b) the nature of the heavenly armies which destroyed these powers.
(a) The destruction of Rome was to come first, next that of the Parthians, and finally that of the remaining hostile powers. -In xiv. 8-II the destruction of Rome is foretold, followed immediately (for xiv. 12-13 belongs after xiii. 15 or 18) by that of the hostile nations, xiv. 14, 18-20. The same sequence of events is found in xviii. (the destruction of Rome) and xix. $11-21$ (that of the hostile nations). But the sequence of events can be determined more definitely. Thus in xvi. 12 the forces led by the Parthian lings are clearly distinguished from those of the remaining hostile nations in xvi. 13-16; and that these two divisions of the hostile heathen world, which survived the destruction of Rome, were to be separately destroyed, we infer from xvii. 14, according to which, immediately after the destruction of Rome, Christ and His armies would destroy its destroyers, i.e. the Parthians.
(b) The heavenly armies were to be composed of angels and (in certain cases if not in all) of the glorified martyrs.-The armies of the Word of God are described in xix. 14 (тà oтрaтeúnara tà ìv
 of these heavenly horsemen is presupposed in xiv. 20 (ä $\chi \rho \iota \tau \omega ิ$ $\left.\chi^{a \lambda} \iota \nu \omega ิ \nu \tau . i \pi \pi \omega \nu\right)$. So far as these passages go, we should conclude that the heavenly armies were composed of angels only. But this is not so. Quite clearly in xvii. 14 it is stated that the armies of the Lamb will be "the called and elect and faithful," a descruption which cannot be applied to angels. Now since this war of the Lamb on the Parthians is subsequent to the destruction of Rome, and therefore to the universal martyrdom of the faithful, it follows that this army is composed of the glorified martyrs, who had come down from heaven with Christ for that purpose. That they should share in this task we have already learnt from ii. 27, where of the individual martyr ( $\delta \nu \iota \kappa \omega \hat{v}$ ) it is stated moı are used of Christ Himself. That the martyrs take part in the judgment of all the hostile nations cannot be affirmed in so many words, though the comparison of moumavei ктג. in both i.. 27 and xix. 15 points probably to this conclusion. Moreover, the comparison of xvii. 14 (where Christ is called кúplos кupíur каì Baбi入eìs $\beta a \sigma \lambda \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \omega$, and His army is composed of glonfied martyrs) and of xix. 14 , where His followers are the armies of
 may point in the same direction.

Some of the chief expectations of our Seer relative to the judgment of the hostile nations may be briefly summarized as follows.

The Parthian kings are referred to in xvi. 12, xvii. 12-13, 17 , 16 , and their destruction by the Lamb and the glorified martyis
in xvii. $14^{2-0}$. The other hostile kings of the world are mentioned: their gathering to Armageddon in xvi. 13-16, and their destruction by One like a son of man, xiv. 14, 18-20, by the Word of God with the armies of heaven in xix. 1 1-2 I. In these last two passages the Divine Leader is said to tread the winepress of the wiath of God (xiv. 19, xix. 15) Finally, at the close of the Millennial Kingdom there is the destruction of the unrepentant nations by fire sent down from heaven, xx. 7-10.

For the idea of armies in heaven cf. Test. Levi $111.3 ; 2$ Enoch
 (where the armies are the stars); Matt. xxvi. 53.

The heavenly armies, which are not definitely mentioned in the parallel account (xiv. 14, 18-20) but are impleed in the words
 heaven. In ii. 27 (see note), xvii. 14 (see notes) they appear to be the faithful: in ii. 27 the foes they destroy are the hostile nations generally: in xvii. 14 the Parthian kings.

15. In $15^{\text {ahe }}$ are combined thoughts and words which are drawn from Isa. xi. 4 and Ps. ii. 9. But this combination is already found in Pss. Sol.




 ii. 12.

 $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \epsilon \beta \hat{\eta}$, cf. Pss. Sol. xvii. 26-27, 39 (quoted above). Wisd. xvii. 22, ̇̇víкךбє т̀̀v öX
 of his mouth slays all the sinners." All these passages imply that the sword that proceeds out of the mouth of the Messiah is simply a figure for forensic or judicial condemnation.
 note), xii. 5. From Ps. i.. 9. The airós in this and the next clause is emphatic. Neither here nor in ii. 27 , xii. 5 has mot$\mu$ aívect a favourable meaning.

In these three passages it connotes punishment and destruction. Contrast, on the other hand, its meaning in vii. 17. See note on ii. 27. Hence render "break them with an iron rod."
 hosts of angelic warnors, the action of the Messiah alone is dwelt upon, just as in xiv. 19 sq. and in similar words. Only here and in xiv. 19-20 is the $\lambda$ quós of divine judgment spoken of in our
author. The two ideas of the winepress (xiv. 19) and the cup of wrath (xiv. 10 ) are here combined, and mean that from the winepress trodden by Christ flows the wine of the wrath of God, of which his enemies are to be made to drink. It is a case of mixed metaphors.
 there is no satisfactory explanation. Düsterdieck, B. Weiss, and Holtzmann think that the title is inscribed on the girdle; Swete, that "it is displayed on His habit where it falls over the thigh"; Grotius imagines a sword on the hilt of which the name was inscribed. Wellhausen, recognizing the unintelligibleness of the text, proposes intov instead of i $\mu \dot{\text { átiov and makes the ajurov refer }}$ to the imnov. Horses were branded on the thigh amongst the Greeks : see Wetstein in loc. But the idea of such an inscription on a horse cannot be entertained. If, therefore, we are to gain any satisfactory meaning here, we can only do so by following our chief Uncial A, Cassiodorus, and some Ethiop. MSS, which omit the words I have bracketed above. If $\mathbf{A}$ is right, the chief Syriac Version ( $\mathrm{s}^{1}$ ) would probably be the first stage in the interpolation of the text ; for $s^{1}$ here places the two phrases $\boldsymbol{d \pi i}$
 connectung кai. Thus the first phrase would appear to have originated in a marginal gloss owing to ${ }^{1} 3^{\boldsymbol{n}}$ ( $\pi \in \rho \in \beta \in \beta \lambda \eta \mu$ évos iцd́тiov кт $\lambda$.). The final stage in the corruption of the text is that which all the remaining authorities attest. At this stage the first aúroû is omitted and the kaí inserted.

Our text now runs imi $\tau$. $\mu \eta \rho o ̀ v$ aúrovi. For the occasional appearance of names and inscriptions on the thighs of statues we have evidence in Greek and Roman authors (see Wetstein from whom these quotations are derived). Thus in Cicero, Verr. iv. 43, we find: "Signum Apollinis pulcherrimum, cujus in femore literulis minutis argenteis nomen Myronis erat inscriptum." Also in Pausanias, Eliac. extr., tò ávád $\eta \mu$ a . . . dudoòs eixùv
 ( 4 cent. A.d. ?), xv. 4, 5 : Figura anchorae, quae in femore Seleuci nata cum ipso parvulo fuit. 9. Originis ejus argumentum etam in posteris mansit, siquidem filii nepotesque ejus anchoram in femore veluti notam generis naturalem habuere."

The Seer sees in the vision the Divine Warrior and His heavenly horsemen-not halting but sweeping downward from heaven and onward against the serried armies of the Beast, False Prophet, and the kings of the earth, and, as they thunder along, their garments stream behind them, and so on the thigh of the Leader is disclosed the name: "King of kings and Lord of lords."
$\beta$ aotheis $\beta$ arıdíuv krג. See note on xvii. 14
17-21. An angelic summons to all the birds of prey to
hasten to the scene of slaughter of all the mighty of the earth. The overthrow and final doom of the Beast and the False Prophet.
 the original idea underlying this phrase is unknown. It is generally explained that the angel took this central position in mid heaven in order to deliver from thence his message of victory and his summons to the birds of prey.



 passage is clearly based on Ezek. xxxix. 17, where the LXX reads


 however, that our author is giving an independent rendering of


 xxxix. 20.

Our author here borrows his imagery from the slaughter wrought by God in Ezek. xxxix., and yet the final overthrow of Gog and Magog in our author is adjourned to the close of the Millennial regn in our author.
 Israelit. Jud. Eschatologie, 136-141.
 $\min ^{\text {fere }} \mathrm{omn}$ ) is to be followed. A with two cursives and $\AA$ read respectively aúroús and aúroîs-which are both corrupt. Cf. 19,
 $\mu$ еүадау. See note on xiil. 16 .

19-21. The Beast, the False Prophet, and the kings of the earth overthrown and cast into the lake of fire.
19. to $\theta_{\text {ppiov-i.e. Nero-antichrist. See xi. } 7 \text { (note), xiii. } 3}$ (note on varous stages of the Neronic myth).
toùs $\beta$ aaideîs tîs yîs: cf. xiv. 14, 18-20 (though not here specifically mentioned), xvi. 14 (note), xvii. 2, 18, xviii. 3. These are to be carefully distinguished from the Parthian kings, xvi. 12, xvii. 12-13, 17, 16, who are destroyed by the Lamb (and the glorified martyrs). See also note on 14 above.
 aürov. This is perhaps right. Though they are the subjects of the kings, they are the armies of the Beast.
 xx. 8.
roû otpareúmatos aùroù. Though the heavenly army is described in the plural as orparev́yata, it is bere very significantly described as a single host. While the opposing hosts of evil are moved by a variety of conflicting aims, the heavenly host is actuated by one only.
20. With the Beast and the False Prophet we might compare Ahrıman and Azi-Dahâka in the Zend religion, which influenced Judaism from the East. Cf. De la Saussaye, Lehrb. d. Religionsgeschichte ${ }^{2}$, ii. 206 (ii. 226 in 3rd ed.): "Zuletzt blenbt noch der Kampf zwischen himmlischen und hollischen Geistern ubrig. Alle Ameshas Spentas ringen da mit ihren teuflischen Gegengeschopfen und vernichten sie ganzlich: Ahriman selbst und die Schlange Azhi zu bandigen, wird die Sache Mazda's und Sraosha's sein. Als Priester erheben sich die beiden Gotter, mit Gebet und Gebetschnur uberwaltigen sie die Bösen und sturzen sie und uhr Versteck in den gluhenden Strom. Dann ist die Welt vollkommen rein, das Universum nur von Mazda's Wesen erfullt, und alles, was lebt, geht in die Unsterblichkeit und himmlische Vollkommenheit ein (Bahman Yasht, 43 ; Bundehesh, 30)." Sce also Bóklen, Die Verwandschaft d. jud.-chrastichen mit der parsischen Eschatologie, 127 sqq.
\& $\pi$ táo $\theta$. The Attic form of this verb is $\pi t \in\{\omega$, but in late Attic $\pi a^{\prime} \zeta \omega$ is also found. The classical meaning was to press, weigh down, stifle, etc. But its later meaning, as here, is to seize, lay hold of. $\left.\pi u^{\prime}\right\} \omega$, which occurs only here in our author, is a favourite word in the Fourth Gospel, being found there eight times and only four times throughout the rest of the N.T. (one of these being in Luke vi. 38, where it retains the ancient classical form and meaning).
 The False Prophet represented the priesthood of the Imperial cult, which practised all kinds of magic and imposture to begule men to worship the Beast.
 had received this mark were an easy prey to the False Prophet. On the to $\chi^{\alpha} \rho a \gamma \mu a$ see note on xiii. r6: cf. xiv. 9 sqq., xvi. 2 (note), xx. 4.
mpoorkuvoûrcas tì̀ eikóva aủroû. Though weakly attested $\kappa^{*}$ 28, 39), this seems to be the right reading: see note on vii. Ir. Possibly the dative is right. In that case the text would mean that divine worship was actually offered to the image.

गो̀ $\lambda_{i}^{\prime} \mu \nu \eta \nu$ toù $\pi u p \delta_{s}$ : cf. xx. 10, xxi. 8. On this final abode of punishment for Satan, the Beast, the False Prophet, and wicked men, see note on ix. i.

गोs кalouévns ìv $\theta$ eíq. The genitive here can only be explained as a slip on the part of the writer. Contrast xxi. 8—rî $\lambda^{i} \mu v \eta r \hat{\eta}$

кacopévp. On dy $\theta$ eíe in this conjunction cf. xiv. 10, xx. 10, xxi. 8.
 and their armies. These kings and their armies had been affected by the Caesar-worship: cf. xiv. 9 sq. They were not, like the Beast and the False Prophet, cast forthwith into Gehenna. Their physical life was destroyed by the sword, i.e. by the sword of the Word of God (cf. ver. I5), and their spirits no doubt consigned te Hades. In the judgment all the dead are raised (xx. 12), and then death and Hades and all the wicked are cast into the lake of fire (xx. 14-15). In the Test. of Hezekiah-a work incorporated in the Ascension of Isaiah, i.e. iii. $13^{\text {b }}$-iv. 18 , and written before 100 A.D.-a different sequence is anticipated: Asc. Isa. iv. I4, "The Lord will come with His angels and with the armies of the holy ones from the seventh heaven ... and He will drag Beliar (i.e. the Antichrist) into Gehenna and also his armies."
 xavi. 4-6.

## CHAPTER XX. 1-3.

## Introduction.

8 1. Contents.-Now that Rome has fallen (xviii.), the hostile nations been destroyed, and the two Beasts cast into the lake of fire (xix. 19-20), there remains no obstacle to the manifestation of the kingdom save the presence of Satan still on earth. Hence to his activities an end is put by his being cast down into the abyss and chained there for 1000 years ( $x$. 1-3). The destinies of Satan are determined by the chief events in the life of Christ. In xii. Satan's expulsion from heaven is connected with the birth and ascension of Christ. On the earth, as he had only a short time, he raged furiously against Christ's followers, but on Christ's Second Advent and His overthrow of Satan's agents, Satan too is cast down from the earth into the abyss and the Millennial Kingdom established. At the close of this kingdom Satan 15 loosed from the abyss and finally conquered and cast into the lake of fire, and the new heaven and the new earth appear, wherein is the joint throne of God and the Lamb.

That xx. 1-3 comes from our author's hand there can be no uoubt, as the diction and idiom prove.

## 5 2. Diction and idiom.

1. ettor äyeiov кataßaivovta ik toî oùpavoû. The whole clause has already occurred in x . I , xviii. I , and the last four words also in iii. 12, xvi 21, xxi. 2, 10.


2．Tòv $\delta$ páxovta $\delta$ zqıs $\delta$ dpxaĩos．The same words in the same characteristic and anomalous construction have already occurred in xii．9．üs dotıv $\Delta$ láßolos kai ó Iatarãs．Cf．xii．9，


8．äßa入er aùròv cis тìv äßuocov．For this use of $\beta$ á入入etv cf．ii．10，viii． $5,7,8$ ，xii． 9 ，xıx．20，etc．
 times elsewhere in our author．$\ddagger \pi d v a=\mathrm{cf}$ ．vi．8．Eva $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta}$


§ 3．Order of words．－Wholly Semitic．
1－8．The binding of the Dragon in the abyss for 1000 years．
1．kai elסov．－See note on iv．I．
 is regarded only as a temporary abode of punishment．Satan is finally cast into the lake of fire， xx 10.
$\bar{a} \lambda u \sigma \iota \nu \mu \in \bar{d} \lambda \eta \nu$ ．$\tilde{u} \lambda v \sigma \iota s$ seems to be used here to denote a chain or bond by which the body is bound．In Mark v． 4 and frequently elsewhere it signties hand fetters as opposed to $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \delta a$ ． See note of Lightfoot on P＇hul，p． 8.

 But in this latter case the idea implied is that the book is lying on the palm of the hand．It is perhaps best to regard the present instance as a loose use of $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi i$ ，which does not admit of any exact explanation．It is practically here equivalent to iv（cf． i． 16 with i．20），and indeed is is read here by $\mathbb{K} 38$ syr．${ }^{1,2}$ ． Another difficult use of $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi i$, but with the dative，occurs in xxii．16， where，however，the best authorities have iv．

9．тд̀v $\delta \rho$ ákovta，$\delta$ öфıs $\delta$ dpxaîos．For a like anacolouthon cf．i．5．See note on xit． 9 on the identification of the old serpent and the devil．Gunkel on Gen．${ }^{3}$ iii．I maintains that the text there implies that originally the serpent was an evil demon hostile to God and man and possessing a snakelike form．He further points out in support of this view that in 2 Kings xviii． 4 divine worship is offered to a snakelike form by the faithless Israelites，and that heathen gods and demons were frequently so conceived in the ancient world．
 evil in prison for an undefined period is already found in Isa．xxiv．22，and of their final judgment in xxiv．23．These powers consist of the host of heaven and the kings of the earth This idea of the angels and the kings of the earth being judged together reappears in I Enoch liii． $4^{-1 \mathrm{lv} .5 \text { ，and the idea of the }}$ binding of the fallen angels in a place of temporary punishment
till the day of the final judgment is found in 5 Enoch xviii. 12-16, xix. 1-2, xxi. 1-6, from which the final place of their punishment -an abyss of fire-is carefully distingushed, $x$. $13-15$, xviii. 11 , xxi. 7-10, liv. 6, xc. 24-25. Their leader Azazel is bound in a place by himself ( $\mathrm{x} .4-5$ ) as a preliminary punishment, but at the final judgment is to be cast into a place of everlasting punishment (x. 6). In nearly all cases the evil spirits are spoken of in I Enoch as being "bound"in a preliminary place of punishment, just as in Isa. xxiv. 22 and in our text.

In the Zend religion the same idea is found. According to the Bundehesh iii. 26 (cf. xiii. 77) the evıl serpent Azi-Dahâka was smitten by Thraêtaona and fettered in the mountain Damâvand for 9000 years, S. B.E. iv. 9 (note), 226, 245 sq., v. 234, 397, xviii. 110, 201 , etc. He was released by Ahriman, S.B.E. v. 233-235, and reigned for 1000 years, v. 150, xxiv. 103, but was slain by Sâm or Keresâsp, v. 235. After the renovation of the world there would be no Azi-Dahâka, xviii. I 18 . But, since these Iranic myths belong to various periods before and after the Christian era, there is no ground for tracing any direct connection.
$x^{i} \lambda_{1 \alpha}{ }^{\text {entry }}$. Before the year 100 b.c. it was generally believed in Judaism that the Messianic Kingdom would last for ever on the present earth. Sometimes the conception was universalistic in character, especially in the greater prophets of the O.T., as Jeremiah, the Second Isaiah, Jonah, Malachi ; but in others, as in Ezekiel, Haggai, Zechariah, Joel, it was particularistic. The idea of the everlastingness of this kingdom on earth persisted, as we have above said, till about 100 b.c. For such it appears to be in 1 Enoch lxxxiii.-xc., vi.-xxxvi., but by the date just mentioned the earth had come to be regarded in Judaism as wholly unfit for the manifestation of this kingdom except in a temporary character. The dualism which had begun to affect the religious forecasts of religious thinkers in the and cent. b.c. succeeded in leavening wholly their expectations in the 1st. As a consequence of this breach between the things of earth and the things of heaven, the writers of this century were forced to entertain new conceptions of the kingdom. Hence in 1 Enoch xci.-civ., Pss. Sol. i.-xvi., the Messianic Kingdom is declared to be of temporary duration on the present earth, and the goal of the risen righteous to be not this transitory kingdom, but heaven itself after the final judgment, which from this period forwards was conceived of as taking place not at the beginning, but at the close of the Messianic Kingdom. Thus it is that the Millennium in our text, as in 2 Baruch and 4 Ezra, is really a late and attenuated form of the old Jewish expectation of an eternal Messianic Kingdom on the present earth. For a fuller treat-
ment of this question the reader can consult my Eschatology ${ }^{2}$, pp. 103, 106-108, 110 sq., 113-116, 219 sq., 223, 248, 250 sq.

We have next to consider the duration of this kingdom. Apparently nowhere in earher or contemporary literature is the duration of 1000 years assigned to the Messianic Kingdom save here. Its duration is not defined in 1 Enoch xci.-civ.; Pss. Sol. xi. I sqq.; Sibyll. Or. in. 1-62; Jubilees xxiii. 27-29; Assumption of Moses x. 7; 2 Bar. xxix. 4-xxx. 1, xxxix. 7, xl. 3, lxxii. 2-4; 4 Ezra xiii. 32, 36. In 4 Ezra vii. 28 it is definitely said to last 400 years.
 see 1 x . I, note.
 sealed it over him. Our text recalls the Prayer of Manasseh, 2-4, and the two passages are distantly connected, though our Seer has no thought of this passage nor of the myth that it presupposes.

For, whereas it is a literal Satan overcome by an angel that is presupposed in our text, it is a mythological monster that is overcome by God in the Prayer of Manasseh. What was originally a mythological idea concerning the uprising of the Chaos monster (i.e. the sea) against God at the world's beginning, had long ere our Seer's time been transformed into an eschatological expectation, i.e. the rebellion of Satan aganst God at the world's close, and his being cast into the abyss. The mythological idea is quite clearly set forth in the above-mentioned




 xvi. 13. See also xii. 9. As these words point to the future, they imply that there would still be heathen nations after the Messianic judgment executed in xix. 19-21. Now that Satan's chief agents, the Beast and the False Prophet, were cast into the lake of fire and Satan himself bound in the abyss, the time for the Millennial reign has arrived and for the evangelization of the surviving heathen nations: sce xiv. 7, xv. 4, xxii. 17. The astonishing part in our Seer's forecast is that the preaching of the Gospel during the Millennium will only in part be successful, though the active impersonations of evil have been wholly removed from the earth for this period. The implication is that each man carries in his own bosom the possibilities of his own heaven and his own hell.

8eî: cf. i. i, iv. I.

## 2K. 4-XXI. THE TEXT INOOHRBESNT AND grilf-CONTRADIOTORT AS IT BTANDE

EX. 4-KXII. These chapters have hitherto been a constant source of insurmountable difficulty to the exegete. They are full of confusion and contradiction if the text is honestly dealt with. And yet the Apocalypse exhibits, except in a few passages, and especially in chap. xviii., a structural unity and a steady development of thought from the first chapter to the close of xx. 3. Now this is just what we should expect in an Apocalypse which is designed to be a philosophy of history and religion from the standpoint of the author. It was a combination of vision and reflection. Though the book of a prophet did not necessarily show any structural unity or steady development of thought, it was far otherwise with the apocalyptist, in whose writings such characteristics were indispensable. While the ordinary man saw only the outside of things in all their incoherence and isolation, the apocalyptist sought to get behind the surface and penetrate to the essence of events, the spiritual motives and purposes that underlay and gave them their real significance-in fact, to lay bare their origin, course, and consummation. It was thus, in short, a Semitic philosophy of religion, and as such it was ever asking Whence? Wherefore? Whither? Apocalyptic, and not prophecy, was the first to grasp the great idea that all history, alike human, cosmological, and spiritual, is a unity-a unity following naturally as a corollary of the unity of God preached by the prophets.

I have emphasized these two points-structural unity and orderly development of thought to the final consummation of all things-as pre-eminently the characteristics of apocalyptic and not of prophecy or of any other form of writing in the Bible. This being so, we are all the more astonished that the three closing chapters of the Apocalypse are all but wholly wanting in these characteristics, and-so far from advancing steadily to the consummation that all the preceding chapters postulate-exhibit many incoherencies and self-contradictory elements.

To some of these I drew attention in my first edition of the Book of Enoch in 1893, where on p. 45 I wrote as follows: "We have here (i.e. Rev. xxi. 1, 2) a new heaven and a new earth, and a New Jerusalem coming down from heaven: yet in xxii. 15 all classes of sinners are said to be without the gates of the city. But if there were a new earth this would be impossible." This is only one of the many difficulties that confront the serious student of these chapters. Now to make the problem before us clear it will be best to deal shortly with a
few of the passages which make it impossible for us to accept the text as it stands.

1. In xx. 7-10, after the close of the Millennial Kingdom, Satan is loosed, and the heathen nations (Gog and Magog), which have refused to accept the Christian faith, march against Jerusalem and the camp of the saints, but are destroyed by fire from heaven. Satan also is cast finally into the lake of fire and brimstone, to be tormented there for ever and ever. Thus the prime source of evil and his deluded followers (Gog and Magog) are removed finaly from the world, and their power to influence the world for evil nade impossible for ever.
2. In xx. 11-15 the old earth and the old heaven are given over to annihilation. Then the final judgment takes place, and all the dead are judged according to their works, and death and Hades are cast into the lake of fire, together with all those whose names are not found written in the book of life. At this stage we have arrived at the final condemnation and destruction of all evil, together with the destruction of death itself.
3. Now that all evil and death itself are cast into the lake of fire, the new heaven and the new earth come into being, and the New Jerusalem comes down from heaven, and God Himself dwells with men (xxi. 1-4).

It is clear from this passage that we have arrived at the closing scene of the great world struggle between good and evil, and that henceforth there can be neither sin, nor crying, nor pain, nor death any more. In fact, there can be no place at all for these in the universe of God-the new heaven and the new earth, and the New Jerusalem that cometh down from God to the neue earth.

The conclusion just arrived at is inevitable, if there is a steady development in the visions of the Seer. Now since such a development is manifest in chaps. i.-xx. 3, when certain verses and glosses are excised and a few disarrangements of the text set right-expecially in xviii.-we naturally conclude that our author will not lightly fall into contradictions, even of a minor sort, in the last three chapters. But unhappily this is not our experience as we study them ; and at last we stand aghast at the hopeless mental confusion which dominates the present structure of these chapters, and are compelled to ask if they can possibly come from his hand, and, in case they do, to ask further, if they have been preserved as they left his hand. But we must first justify the above statement, though we shall adduce here only the main contradictions in these chapters.

1. Inasmuch as according to our text the New Jerusalem does not come down from heaven till Satan is bound for ever in the lake of fire, and all $\sin$ and death itself are at an end, and the place of the old world has been taken by a new and glorious
world, wherein there is neither spot nor blemish nor any such thing, how is it that we are told that, outside the gates of the Holy City which has come down from God to the new earth, there are "the dogs and the sorcerers, and the fornicators, and the murderers, and the idolaters, and every one that loveth and maketh a lie" (xxii. 15)? A greater contradiction in thought and statement is hardly conceivable. But, if this statement were made in connection with the Millennial Kingdom which was to be established before the Final Judgment, everything would be intelligible.
2. Again, since the new earth is inhabited only by the blessed, on whom the second death could have no effect, and since these are all righteous, and God Himself tabernacles among them, how is it that in xxii. 2 the leaves of the tree of life are said to be for the healing of the nations? This statement can have no meaning unless it applies to the period of the Millennial Kingdom. During Christ's reign of 1000 years the surviving nations have still a further period of grace accorded to them. This evangelizing of the nations during this period has already been proclaimed in xiv. $6-7$, xv. 4. It is thrice elsewhere referred to in the last two chapters, i.e. xxi. 24, xxii. $14,17$.
3. Only on the supposition that the Millennial Kingdom is still in existence can we explain xxi. 24-27:
"And the nations shall walk by the light thereof:
And the kings of the earth do bring their glory into it.
And the gates thereof shall not be shut day or night. ${ }^{1}$
And they shall bring the glory and the honour of the nations into it:
And there shall not enter into it anything unclean, or he that maketh an abomination or a lie;
But only they which are written in the book of life of the Lamb."
Now from the above contradictions-the solution of which is in part already suggested-it follows either that (a) a considerable part of xx .-xxii. is not from the hand of our author, or that, (b) If it is from his hand, it is disarranged.

Now the first solution (a) is that adopted by most of the leading German scholars of the past thirty years. Thus while Volter (Dic Offenbarung Johannis, 1904), Weyland (Omverkingsen Compilatie-Hypothesen toegepast op de Apocalypse van Johannes, 1908), and J. Weiss (Die Offenb. des Johannes, 1908) assume that xx.-xxii. is derived from three diflerent sources, and Spitta (Dic Offenb. des Johannes, 1889) finds traces of four authors,

[^16]
## XX. 4-XXII.] FROM JOIIN'S HAND BUT IN DISORDER 147

Erbes (Die Offenb. Johannis, r891) and, on the whole, Bousset (1906), are content with two. Bousset, in fact, regards xx.-xxii. as the work of our author, with the exception of the fragment xxi. 9 -xxii. 5 .

But, even though for the time being we accepted as a working hypothesis any one of the theories of these scholars based on a plurality of authorship, we have still two insuperable difficulties to face. (a) The first of these is that the more closely we study $i$. $-x x$. 3, the more convinced we become of the structural unity of these chapters-a fact which does not exclude the occasional use and adaptation of sources-and the clear and masterly development in thought, working up steadily to a climax. This being so, how is it that $x x$.-xxii. shous no such orderly development, lut rather a chaos of conflicting conceptions $f(\beta)$ But the second difficulty is still greater. The hypotheses of the above scholars, with the partial exception of Bousset, break down hopelessly in the face of the general linguistic unity of xx.-xxii. In fact, these scholars had failed to make a thorough study of the style, vocabulary, and grammar of the Apocalypse. Bousset, it is true, has done much to compensate for the deficiencies of his predecessors in this field, but a deeper study of his materials would have precluded his assuming the existence of xxi. $9-x$ xil. 5 as an independent source, seeing that it is internally self-contradictory and that yet linguistically it is from the hand of our author. To the conclusion, in fact, that, with the exception of a few verses, chaps. xx.-xxii. are from the same hand to which we owe the bulk of the preceding chapters, a close and prolonged study has slowly but irresistibly brought me. If, then, this is so, we must conclude that the text in xx.xxii. is disarranged in an astonishing degree and does not at present stand in the orderly sequence originally designed by our author.

To what cause, we must now ask, is this almost incredible disorder due? It cannot be accounted for by accidental transpositions of the text in the MSS-a phenomenon with which the students of MSS in every ancient language are familiar. For no accident could explain the intolerable confusion of the text in xx. 4-xxii., and apparently the only hypothesis that can account for it is that which a comprehensive study of the facts forced upon me in the beginning of 1914, and this is that John died either as a martyr or by a natural death, when he had completed i.-xx. 3 of his work, and that the materials for its completion, which were for the most part ready in a series of independent documents, were put together by a faithful but unintelligent disciple in the order which he thought right.

This hypothesis we shall now proceed to establish by adequate proofs.

1. First of all it is a matter beyond dispute that xxii. 15 , xxi. 27, which state that outside the gates of the Heavenly Jerusalem evil in every form exists, but that it can in no wise pass within the gates of the Holy City, prove that the Heavenly Jerusalem here referred to was to descend before the disappearance of the first earth and the first heaven and the final judgment described in $x x$. $11-15$. A kindred expectation is found in 4 Ezra vii. 26-28, where the Heavenly Jerusalem, ${ }^{1}$ the Messiah, and those who had been translated to heaven without seeing death, are to be manifested together on the earth for 400 years. The same view appears in the same work in xiii. 32-36. In this latter passage evil in every form exists outside the Heavenly City.

From later Jewish sources we are familiar with the connection of the rebuilt Jerusalem and the temporary reign of the Messiah. The advent of the Messiah determines the hour when the Temple and therefore Jerusalem should be rebuilt (Shemoth rab. c. 3I). According to the Targum on Isa. liii. 5 (cf. Bammidbar rab. c. 13) the Messiah Himself was to build it.

From the above facts we conclude that in our author the account of the Heavenly Jerusalem (xxi. 9 -xxii. 2, 14-15, 17) should have followed immediately on xx .3 as the seat of the Messiah's Kingdom.
2. Verses xxi. 24-26, xxii. 2, 14-15, 17 assume that the nations are still upon earth, that the gospel is preached to them afresh from the Heavenly Jerusalem, that they are healed thereby of their spiritual evils, their sins washed away, that they can enter the Heavenly City and eat of the tree of life which was therein. And to this salvation they are bidden of the Spirit and the Heavenly Jerusalem (i.e. the bride, xxii. 17).

Now this expectation is derived from the Old Testament. In Zech. xiv. 16 sqq., when the blessed era sets in, the nations are to go up yearly to keep the Feast of Tabernacles at Jerusalem. In Tlob. xiv. 6 the conversion of the Gentiles is to synchronize with the rebuilding of Jerusalem in a fashon far transcending all that Seer or prophet had hitherto dreamt of when its gates should be "builded with sapphire and emerald," and all its walls "with precious stones," and its streets "paved with carbuncle and stones of Ophir" (xiii. 16-17). Similarly in I Enoch ( 16 t b.c.) we find it prophesied that the conversion of the surviving Gentiles would follow on the setting up of the Holy City, which was to be done by none other than God Himself. Next, in the Test. XII Patriarchs the conversion of

[^17]the Gentiles is associated with the advent of the Messiah, T. Levi xviii. 9, T. Jud. xxiv. 5, and that of the New Jerusalem in T. Dan $\mathbf{v}$. 12. Like expectations are expressed in the Sibyll. Ot. iii. 751-59, 767-95; 1 Enoch xlviii. 4 (where the Messiah is described as the light of the Gentiles); Pss. Sol. xvii. 27, 32.

Thus in many books in Judaism the hope is entertained, as in our text, that the Gentiles would turn to the worship of the true God, when either the earthly Jerusalem was rebuilt or a Heavenly Jerusalem set up on earth, or when the Messiah established His Kingdom upon the earth. It is true that Judaism associated this expectation with the First Advent of the Messiah; for it looked for no second. But in Christianity it was different. What had not been realized on the First Advent of Christ is, according to many a Christian prophet and Seer, as also to our author, to be realized in a far higher degree when Christ came the second time in glory.

That the conversion of the heathen nations in our text, therefore, was to be accomplished in connection with the Heavenly City, which as the seat of the Millennial Kingdom was to descend on the earth before the Final Judgment, needs no further demonstration.
3. The facts just stated in the preceding paragraph, that the Gentiles shall still be upon the earth on the advent of the Heavenly City, and have a right to enter therein, are already postulated in the earlier chapters of the Apocalypse. Thus in xv. 4 we read in the song sung by the triumphant martyrs before the throne of God-

> "Who shall not fear, O Lord, And glorify Thy name? For Thou alone art holy; For all the nations shall come And worship before Thee;

For Thy righteous acts shall have been made manifest."
Again, in xiv. 6-7 the Seer recounts a vision in which he hears an angel proclaiming the coming evangelization of the nations of the world: " And I saw another angel flying in mid heaven, having an eternal gospel to proclaim unto them that dwell on the earth, and unto every nation and tribe and tongue and people, saying with a great voice,

[^18]Fear God and give Him glory ;
For the hour of His judgment is come:
And worship Him that made the heaven and the earth
And the sea and fountains of waters."
Now, according to the present form of the text of the last three chapters of our book, these prophecies, which definitely fortell the evangelization of the nations of the world and their acceptance of the Gospel preached, remain wholly unfulfilled. In fact, according to the present text, the nations are simply annihilated before the advent of the Heavenly City. On the other hand, it the account of the Heavenly Jerusalem as given in xxi. 9 to xxul. $2,14-15,17$ is restored immediately after xx .3 , then these prophecies are fulfilled; for the nations, according to this account, walk by the light thereof, and the kings of the earth do bring their glory into it, and yet outside its gates there is still evil of every kind.
4. Again, in xi. 15 we read-
"The Kingdom of the world is become the Kingdom of our Lord and of His Christ, And He shall reign for ever and ever."
These words quite clearly assume that the rule of God and Christ will be extended over the whole world of the nations. But, as the text at present stands, not a single nation is mentioned as being brought beneath its sway, while in the verses (xx. 9-10) that precede the description of the Final Judgment (kx. II-I5) we are led to infer that they are wholly destroyed by fire from heaven. That is one way of establishing authority over the neutral or hostile nations, but it is not God's way. We have only to read chaps. xx1.-xxii., which deal ostensebly with events occurring only after the absolute destruction of all the nations and of the first heaven and the first earth, when we discover the nations, that had presumably passed out of existence, going up in pilgrimage to the Heavenly Jerusalem, each under its own king, passing within its blessed portals, bringing their glory and honour into it, receiving spiritual healing in the Holy City, and assimilating the divine truths that make them heirs to immortality, that is, eating of the tree of life. That all the nations do not avail themselves of these privileges is plainly asserted in the text; for outside the gates are sorcerers and whoremongers and idolaters and whosoever loveth and maketh a lie.

On this ground again we must transpose the description of the Holy City before the Final Judgment, and regard it as the seat of the Millennial Kingdom.
5. The city that is spiritually designated Sodom and Egypt (xi. 8) cannot be called "the beloved city" as in $x x_{1} 9$, nor can
it become the seat of the Millennial Kingdom. Much less can the ruins of such a polluted city become the abode of Christ and of the risen martyrs come down from heaven to reign with Him for a thousand years.
6. Again, as we study xxi.-xxii. we discover that there are in reality two descriptions of the Heavenly City, and not one, as has hitherto been universally assumed. The Seer has two distinct visions, and they deal not with one and the same city, but with two quite distinct cities. The first ( xxi .9 to $\mathrm{xxii} .2,14-15,17$ ) presupposes the existence of the present earth. Thus the Seer tells how the angel, that had showed him the destruction of the great world-capital Rome in xvii., came again to him and carried him off to a great high mountain to show him the Heavenly City that was to take the place of Rome as the metropolis of the world. The very first words of the vision presuppose the coexistence of the Heavenly Jerusalem and the present earth. This city the Seer beheld coming down from heaven to earth (i.e. the first heaven and the first earth). It becomes the great spiritual centre of the world. The nations flock up to it from every side to share in its spiritual blessings, its gates are open day and night, and yet none of the evil individuals or nations that are without may enter into it (xxi. 24-27).

It is manifest that since sin, and therefore death, prevail outside the gates of the Heavenly City, the present order of things still prevails, the first heaven and the first earth are still in being.

But there is another Heavenly City (xxi. 1-4e, xxii. 3-5) described by our author, quite distuct from that just dealt with. The angel in xxi. 9 has apparently had no direct part in mediating this new vision. The vision, just as those in xx. 1-3, ri-15, xxi. r, seems to be independent of any angelic agency. With regard to this Heavenly City there can be no question as to the hour of its manifestation. The very first words of the text imply that the vision of the Seer has outleapt the bounds of time, when the former heaven and earth have vanished for ever. This second Heavenly City does not appear till the first heaven and the first earth have vanished and their place been taken by the new heaven and the new earth. Hence as distinguished from the first Heavenly City, it is designated "new," i.e. kaurn', that is, of a new sort or quality as distinct from the first, just as the second heaven and the second earth are themselves described as "new" (кaıvós and кaıv'). This epithet is never applied to the Heavenly City described at such length in xxi. $9-x x i i .2,14-15,17$. Sin, of course, no longer exists in this new world. Hence there is no more crying, nor mourning, nor pain, nor curse, nor death (xxi. $4^{\text {abe, }}$ xxii. $3^{\text {a }}$ ), though round about the first Heavenly City -close even to its very gates-sin in every form and death did
exist, and even within its stately walls sorrow for sin and repentance were never absent, for the nations of the earth flocked to it from every side to be healed of their spiritual ills and infirmities (xxi. 24-26, xxii. 2).
7. It is finally to be observed that, since the earthly Jerusalem was in ruins, and never in the opinion of the Seer to be rebuilt, a new city was of necessity to take its place as the seat of Christ's Kingdom and the abode of the blessed martyrs, who were to come down from heaven to reign for a thousand years with Him. Since this new city was to be the abode of Christ on His Second Advent from heaven, and of the martyrs coming down from heaven with Him in their glorified bodies, it follows that the new city must be from heaven also, if it was to be a fit abode for its inhabitants from heaven. Even as early as 16i b.c. (as we have already mentioned above), we have a like expectation in I Enoch xc. 28-38, where it is said in the vision that God Himself set up the New Jerusalem, to be the abode of the Messiah and the transformed and glorified Israel. A like expectation is attested in a work almost contemporary with our author, i.e. 4 Ezra, as we have already shown.
8. To the revision of John's literary executor we may prob-
 aưrov̂ in xx . II, where, though only $A$ and some cursives attest
 xxi. 5, where, since every MS is wrong, the error must go back
 in $\mathbf{x x} .4$, where the oifuves is thrust in against John's usage (see
 mupi kai $\theta$ cie in xxi. 8 may be due to him : contrast that in xix. 20. Again in xxi. 6 instead of $\tau \hat{\varphi} \delta \iota \psi \hat{\omega} \nu \tau 1 \delta \omega \dot{\omega} \sigma \omega$ the Johannine


From the above facts the conclusion is inevitable that after xx. 3 our author had intended to add a description of the Heavenly Jerusalem that was to come down from heaven to earth and be the habitation of Christ and the martyrs that accompanied Him from heaven in their glorified bodies: and also that this very description has been preserved in certain sections of $x x i .-x x i i$.

We have next to determine the extent of this description. Now even the cursory reader will observe that there are two accounts of the Heavenly Jerusalem in these chapters, which have been rudely thrust together by the Seer's literary executor. ${ }^{1}$ A

[^19]close study of these chapters will show that the section xxi. 9xxil. 2 constitutes a unity, though incomplete in itself, as we shall see presently, and gives a description of the Heavenly Jerusalem that was to be the centre of the Millennial Kingdom. Two further fragments of this description are to be found in xxii. 14-15 and 17 . This description fits in perfectly with the conditions of the Millennial reign of Christ and the martyrs for a thousand years. It is conceived of as a period of beneficent rule and evangelizing effort in regard to the surviving nations who vist the Heavenly Jerusalem and bring all their glory and honour into it. Wickedness, of course, still exists without it, but nothing that is unclean, nor any liar or abominable person, is permitted to enter into it (xxii. 15, xxi. 27).

So far the first description. But what are we to make of the second, which begins with xxi. I ? Only the disjecta membra of this description remain. Two fragments of it are recoverable in xxi. $1-4^{c}$ and xxii. 3-5. These should be read together, as the first clause of xxii. 3 forms the fourth line of the stanza, the first three lines of which are preserved in xxi. $4^{\text {abo }}$. In this second description the former heaven and earth have passed away for ever, with all the sin and sorrow and pain that prevailed on the former earth. Death itself shall be no more throughout the new heaven and the new earth and the New Jerusalem (xxi. 4). And whereas in the Heavenly Jerusalem that came down from God for the Millennial Kingdom the saints who had been martyred reigned only a thousand years, in the later New Jerusalem they are to reign for ever and ever (xxii. 5). It is noteworthy that even the very diction of $x \times i .1-4^{\text {abo }}$ and of xxil. 3-5 testifies to the fact that they form part of one and the
 xxi. $\mathbf{1}^{\circ} 4^{\text {bc }}$, recurs twice in xxii. $3^{\text {a }} 5^{\text {a }}$ (contrast xxi. 26) and not elsewhere throughout our author. ovic . . . E'TL occurs nine times in connection with other verbs. Thus while oúk E'crat ért is confined to xxi. $1-4^{\text {abe }}$, xxii. $3-5$, it is to be observed that oủk . . . ërı is characteristic of our author in the N.T., since outside our author it occurs in the N.T. only six times and twice of these in quotations.

We have now dealt with the chief difficulties in xx --xxii. There are, of course, many of a subordinate nature affecting the original order of the text in xxii., but they are treated shortly in the introductions to the various sections of the rearranged translation that follows. Chaps. xx.-xxii. should provisionally be read in the following order:
xx. 1-3. Vision of the chaining of Satan for a thousand years.
xxi. 9-xxii. 2, 14-15, 17. Vision of the Heavenly Jerusalem
which comes down to be the abode of Christ and the glorified martyrs, and the centre of a new evangelization of the nations for a thousand years.
xx. 4-6. Vision of the glorified martyrs who reign with Christ for a thousand years.
xx. 7-10. Vision of the loosing of Satan, and the attack of Gog and Magog on the Beloved City ; of the destruction of Gog and Magog, and the casting of Satan into the lake of fire.
xx. 11-15. Vision of the great white throne; of the vanishing of the former heaven and earth; of the judgment of the dead, and of the casting of death and Hades into the lake of fire.
xxi. $5^{\mathrm{a}}, 4^{\mathrm{d}}, 5^{\mathrm{b}}, 1-4^{\mathrm{abb}}$, xxii. $3-5$. The outworn world has vanished: God creates a new world. Vision of the new heaven and the new earth: of the New Jerusalem descending from God to the new earth, in which the saints are to reign for ever.
xxi. $5^{\text {c }} 6^{\text {b }}-8$. Admonition of God conveyed through the Seet to his contemporaries.
xxii. 6-7, 18 a $16,13,12,10$. Declaration of Christ as to the truth of the words of the Seer; His assurance of His almighty power and His speedy advent; and His command to the Seer to publish the prophecy: for the time is at hand.
xxii. 8-9, 20. John's testimony and closing words regarding Christ. ${ }^{1}$
xxii. 21. The closing benediction.

## VIBION OF TEN ERAVENLY JERUBATEM.

KXI. 9-XXII. 2, 14-16, 17: Vision of the Heavenly Jerusalem coming down from heaven to be the abode of Christ and of the glorified martyrs, who are to reign with Him for 1000 years, and to be the centre of a new evangelization of the nations.

This vision forms (I.) an integral part of the Book, and (II.) is from the hand of the Seer. Since the question has already been discussed (see pp 144-154) we shall sum up shortly the evidence for the above statements.

1. The vision forms an integral part of the Book.
2. There must be a fitting seat on earth for the kingdom of Christ during the Millennial reign with the glorified martyrs in their heavenly bodies. This city while obviously supramundane, as befitting Christ and the glorified saints, must yet be accessible to the actual dwellers on the earth, as in fact it is: cf. xxi. 24-27, xxii. 14-15, 17 .
3. Such a kingdom or centre of the evangelization of the heathen nations is clearly foretold in xv. 3-4, and implied in $v .10$, ${ }^{1}$ xxii. 11, I $^{6}-19$ are most probably later additions.
xiv．7．Without such a kingdom there would be a lacuna in the Book．

3．As one of the angels of the Seven Bowls showed the doomed city of the Antichrist to the Seer（xvii．－xviii．），so the same angel，or one of the same Seven，shows him the blessed city of the Christ（xxi．9）．

Thus so far as the subject－matter goes，the presence of this vision is indispensable．

II．It is from the hand of the Seer．Full evidence of this statement is given in the notes，but sufficient evidence will here be adduced to establish this point．

I．First，as to diction．

 т．yuraika тồ dpriou is prepared for in xix．7－8．

 т．日coû ：cf．iii．12，x．I，xxi．2，etc．ÉXouaar t．§ógav tồ もeô （also in 23）：cf．xv． 8.
 Now we know（see vol．i．p．36）that our author several times uses
 крибтád $\lambda \underset{\text { ．}}{ }$

13．dimd dvaro入ท̂s：cf．vii．2，xvi． 12.


22．Observe the divine title so frequent in our Book．








 Johannine word though here used with a slightly different meaning．
 see xxi．8，though in a different order．



2．Technical use of idioms．
（a）Anomalous constructions：cf．xxi．9，фıá入as rîv yemóvruv．
 ${ }^{\mathbf{E}}$ Xe， $\mathrm{xxi} .12,14$ ：cf．iv．1，etc．（c）Delicate distinction of our

17. This distinction is not made, so far as I am aware, in any
 and ii. 7 (note) on fúdor 〔wîs. (d) Observe how the difficult

 looridi (see note on xxi. 11). (e) The use of es and oforos as equivalents : cf. xxi. 11,18 , where ${ }^{\circ} \mu o t o s$ is used in this sense, and xxi. 11, 21, xxii. 1, where is is so used. Observe also that whereas we have $\bar{\delta}$ up fup̂s 8 appedy in xxii. 17, we find toû ubaros rîs fonjs 8upedr in xxi. 6-a fact which points to xxi. 6 having been written subsequently to xxii. 17. (f) The order observed by our author as to numerals but nowhere else rigidly observed, is attested in every instance in this vision. Thus our author also places $\delta$ ídeca after its noun when the noun is otherwise un-


 d́roбтодür. Finally, when the subject of a clause consists of סш́ठeca preceded by the article and followed by a noun, and the same numeral recurs in the predicate with a noun, the $\delta$ wioexa
 papyapiral. See note on viii. 2.

XXI 9-81. An angel of the Seven Bowls shows to the Seer the Heavenly Jerusalem which is to be the seat of the Millennial Kingdom.

 even in our author. It is best, perhaps, to explain it as an over-


तो̀ $\nu \dot{\sim} \mu \phi \eta \nu$ [ $\tau \uparrow \nu \nu$ yuvaîka] toû dpvíou. The phrase in brackets is with Bousset to be excised. It can be explained as a marginal gloss on $\tau$. vi $\mu \phi \eta \nu$ based on xix. 7 . The great variation in the MSS points to this phrase being an intrusion.
 occurred in xvii. 3, and the phrase that follows here, $\dot{i} \pi i$ öpos $\mu^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \gamma a$, suggests the present earth just as explicitly as does eis eqpuov in xvii. 3. The implication is that the present earth and the Heavenly Jerusalem would coexist. But there is no such implication in regard to the New Jerusalem. The former heaven and earth have already vanished (xxi. 1). Ezek. xl. appears to have been in the mind of our author when he committed this vision to writing. $10^{\text {a }}$ is practically an echo of Ezek. xl. 2, "In the visions of God brought he me . . . and set me down upon

 has thought of the Hebrew only. On this very high mountain
(cf. Ezek. xvii. 22; Isa. ii. 2) stood what appeared to be the structure of a city.

There he met a man with a measuring line (Ezek. xl. 3) wherewith he measured the Temple.
emì opos $\mu$ éya kaì $\delta \downarrow \eta \eta \lambda^{\prime} v$. Paradise and a lofty mountain are associated together in I Enoch xxiv. sq., and again in lxxxvii. 3, and probably in Jub. iv. 26. But this association may go back to primitive times, when the mountain of God (Ezek. xxviii. 14, Ps. xlvii. 2) was associated with the glorified Jerusalem (Isa. ii. 2); see Oesterley, Evolution of Mess. Idea, p. 129 sqq.
 with that in xxi. 2, which refers to the New Jerusalem which descends after the Judgment and the creation of the new heaven and the new earth, we observe that it is word for word the same save that the latter adds the significant word кalvív. This seems to imply that the Heavenly City is itself renewed or replaced by another.

But there are other questions which call for discussion in connection with this conception. We have four titles of this


 4. ธ่ тapaס̂ễoos rov̂ $\theta$ eov̂ $\mu$ vv (ii. 7). This list we can at once reduce to thee by referring to iii. 12 , where 1 and 2 are identified. Next, by comparing xxt. 10 and i. 7 , we are enabled to identify 3 and 4 ; for both these are the seat of the $\xi \dot{\text { údov }}$ §wîs (cf. xxii. 2). We have now to consider in what relation does (a) $\dot{\eta}$ nódes $\dot{\eta}$ áaía

 or are they identical? They are closely related in the mind of our author, but they are not identical.
 Millennial Kingdom. It contans the tree of life (ii. 7, xxii. 2). At the close of the Millennial Kingdom and before the Final Judgment, when both the heaven and the earth vanish, its removal from the earth is presupposed together with Christ and the glonfied martyrs. This removal from the earth is not expressly stated, but it is undoubtedly presupposed. There are analogous expectations in contemporary Judaism. Thus in 2 Bar. vi. $6-10$ it is said that even the sacred vessels of the Holy of Holies were removed by angels before the destruction of Jerusalem in 70 A.d. For an analogous account see 2 Macc. ii. 4-8. In 4 Ezra vi. 2-3, iii. 6, moreover, where the main source ( $=$ S: see Box) identifies the heavenly and earthly Paradises, Paradise, which had been prepared by God before the creation of the world, was placed afterwards on the earth as Adam's abode, iii. 6, but with-
drawn after Adam's fall (see Box on 4 Ezra, p. 197). Hence we might reasonably conclude that it is the same city-the Holy City, Jerusalem-that is spoken of in xxi. 10 sqq. and in xxi. 2, but that it has been transformed (кalvy') in order to adapt it to the new heaven and the new earth. Further, in this connection we might remark that just as the Heavenly Jerusalem is associated with the manfestation of Christ on earth in our text, so also we find the same association in 4 Ezra vii. 26, xiii. 36. It is true that Box rejects both these passages as interpolations. But if it was believed that the heavenly Paradise had come down to earth to be Adam's abode, there could be no objection to the hope that the Heavenly City should come down to be the abode of the Messiah.
( $\beta$ ) But, though the Holy City, Jerusalem, has been removed from the earth before the Final Judgment, when the former heaven and earth vanish into nothingness, this city is not to be absolutely identified with "the Holy City, New Jerusalem," which comes down from the new heaven to the new earth to be the everlasting abode of the blessed. This new city is either wholly new in every respect, or it is the former city transformed. It velongs to the new creation, xxi. 5. As opposed to the former Holy City, this Holy City is "new" (kauv${ }^{\prime}$ ); that is, it is here contemplated not under aspects of time but of quality: it is new as set over against that which is in some respects materialistic, or outworn, or marred, or unfit.

In $\beta$, as we have already remarked, there is an identification
 if $\beta$ is distinguished also in another respect from a. There is no mention of the presence of the tree of life in $\beta$, though this is a characteristic feature of $\alpha$. But the tree of life is unnecessary in $\beta$, since death itself is wholly at an end, xxi. $4^{\text {b }}$, and the blessed live in the light of God's presence and reign for ever and ever, xxii. 5 .

In the conception of the New Jerusalem our author has fused together 1 and 2 and discarded 4 (see above). But these ideas were originally very different, as the following notes will show.

1. The city of God.-The idea of the heavenly city or the city of the gods, found in many nations of the ancient world, was taken over by Judaism.

The city of the gods was originally suggested by the heaven with the sun and moon and the twelve signs of the Zodiac and the twelve gates through which they were conceived to pass, on the north three gates, on the east three gates, on the south three gates, and on the west three gates. There was also the great Milky Way, which was conceived as the great street of the heavenly city.

It has been said that our author had before him the descrip-
tion of Ezekiel's city (Ezek. xlviii. 3I sqq.) with its twelve gates, three in each of the four walls, and that this description with the enumeration of the twelve precious stones in the high priest's breastplate (Ex. xxviii. 17 sqq., xxxix. 10 sqq.) was all that our author drew upon in the ideas and facts of the past for his own description of the Heavenly City. But our text itself refutes such a view. For the fact that in this city are twelve gates, ${ }^{1}$ which are respectively composed of the twelve precious stones, sh ws that some of the ideas in our text go back ultimately to the heavenly city itself. There is some hint of this connection in 1 Enoch lxxii. 2 sqq., lxxv. 6, lxxxii. 4 sqq., where there are said to be twelve portals in the heaven through which the sun, moon, and stars go forth at different seasons. The connection is here very slight, but the connection between these gates and the precious stones mentioned in our text recalls the fact that Philo (De Monarchia, ii. 5 : cf. Vita Mos. iii. 14) and Josephus (Ant. iii. 7. 7) interpret the twelve precious stones on the breastplate of the high priest of the signs of the Zodiac ; and Kircher (Oedipus Aegyptiacus, 1653, 11. i. 177 sq.) has shown that according to Egyptian and Arabian monuments these stones correspond to these signs.

The peculiar shape of the city, that it is equally long, broad, and high, may possibly be explained from this standpoint ; for to the human vision the heaven appears to be of this character. We might here compare the Holy of.Holies in Solomon's Temple, which was a cube, being 20 cubits each way: cf. I Kings vi. 20.

But our author disassociates (see p. 167 sq.) the Heavenly Jerusalem from this ethnic conception of the city of the gods, which had unptessed itself slowly, and perhaps for the most part imperceptibly, on the Judaism of the past. As the stars were naturally compared with precious stones, and as we have just seen that a clear association between the signs of the Zodiac and certan precious stones was established before the Christian era, it is not improbable that in Isa. liv. ri-12, where the earthly Zion is referred to, we have traces of the heavenly city :
" Behold I will set thy bases in rubies, And thy foundations in sapphires. And I will make of jasper thy pinnacles, And thy gates of carbuncles, and all thy border of jewels " (Box's translation) ; and also in Tob. xiii. 16-18,
"And the gates of Jerusalem shall be builded with sapphire and emerald,

[^20]And all thy walls with precious stones.
The towers of Jerusalem shall be builded with gold, And their battlements with pure gold.
The streets of Jerusalem shall be paved With carbuncle and stones of Ophir,
And the gates of Jerusalem shall utter hymns of gladness, And all her houses shall say, Hallelujah."
In a much later work, Sibyll. Or. v. 420 sq., we find


Now from the contents of these passages it appears clear that we have to do not with the heavenly city of God, but with the earthly Jerusalem, and yet the descriptions reflect the characteristics of the heavenly city. ${ }^{1}$
2. Paradise. - Paradise ${ }^{2}$ is very variously conceived at different times and in different writings. First of all the term is used of the Garden of Eden in Gen. ii.-iii. In the 2nd cent. B.c. it has become the abode of the righteous and elect after this life, and is called the Garden of Righteousness, or of the Righteous, or the Garden of Life, I Enoch lx. 8, 23, 1xi. 12, and is siturted at the ends of the earth, lxv. 2, cvi. 8 (lxxxix. 52), or on the N.W, lxx. 3, lxxvii. 3, or to the east of the seven great mountains, xxxii. r-2, xxiv. $\mathbf{1 - 4}$ sqq. In Test. Levi xvii. ro, 2 Bar. h $10-$ If, 2 Enoch ix. I sqq., xlii. 2-4, Paradise does not become the abode of the righteous till the Advent of the Messiah or the last judgment, r Enoch xxii. In nearly all these passages it is the heavenly and not the earthly Paradise that is meant, or rather the earthly Paradise has assumed a heavenly character. In 2 Enoch viii. 1-6 the heavenly and earthly Paradises are mentioned in succession. The earthly Paradise was created on the third day, Jub. ii. 7, 2 Enoch xxx. 1, whereas according to later Judaism the heavenly Paradise is described as existing before the world either actually or in the thought of God, Pesach. $54^{\mathrm{B}}$; Ned. $39^{\mathrm{b}}$.

In 4 Ezra (source S) the heavenly and the earthly Paradises are identified. This Paradise was prepared by God before the Creation as Adam's first abode, iii. 6 (cf. 2 Bar. iv. 3), but afterwards withdrawn from the earth and reserved for the righteous after the final Judgment. In this author Paradise has become identical with heaven and is set over against Gehenna, 4 Ezra
${ }^{1}$ See Zimmern, KATT, p. 619; Gunkel, Zum Verstandniss des NT., p 48 sqq . ; Bousset in loc. ; Jeremias, Babylonisches im NT., p. 68.

3 The Talmudists are alnost unanimous in maintaining that there was both 2 heavenly and an earthly Pararlise. The Rabbis distinguish between Gan and Eden. Thus Samuel har Nahman declares that Adam dwelt unly in the Gan, whereas no mortal eye had ever seen Eden (Ber. 34b).
vii. 36-38, 123 . See Box, 4 Ezra, 195 sqq. But in 2 Bar. iv. 3 the two Paradises are distinguished apparently; for Adam did not live in the heavenly Paradise, but only enjoyed the vision of it before his fall.
3. The Naw Jerusalem.-In the O.T. such passages as Isa. liv. 1 I sq., lx. $10-14$, Hag. ii. 7-9, Zech. ii. 1-5, refer only to the earthly Jerusalem, though in Isa. liv. this conception has been influenced by the conception of the city of God. In Tob. xiii. 16-18 this influence is still clearer, while in 2 Bar. iv. 2-4 the heavenly Jerusalem is definitely affirmed and distinguished from the earthly and likewise from Paradise. But it is an error to suppose, as some do, that it was only after the destruction of the earthly Jerusalem that the idea of the heavenly was evolved, for we find it clearly stated early in the second century b.c. in 1 Enoch xc. 29, where God Himself builds what is symbolically called "the New House" on the site of the earthly Jerusalem, which He had removed. In 2 Bar. iv. 3 the manifestation of this city is connected with the manifestation of God, just as in 4 Ezra vii. 26, xiii. $3^{6}$ the heavenly Zion is to appear along with the Messiah, and in our own text the Holy City, Jerusalem, with Christ and the glorified martyrs. If the heavenly Paradise could appear on earth for Adam, it was only natural that the heavenly Jerusalem should appear on earth for Christ-the Second and greater Adam. Finally, we should observe that the transference of the tree of life from Paradise to Jerusalem, I Enoch xxv. 4-5, implies the identification even at this early date of Paradise and Jerusalem : also in Test. Dan v. 12,
> "And the samts shall rest in Eden (i.e. Paradise), And in the New Jerusalem shall the righteous rejoice."

кaтaßaívouvar . . . тoū $\theta$ eov̂. For parallel phrases in our author see above, p. 155 .

गो̀े Sógar tô̂ $\theta$ eoû. See note on 23, xviii. 1.
 practically equivalent to that which immediately precedes, i.e. "Xouray tì dógav roû $\theta$ cô̂. The city is lighted up by the glory of God Himself, and this light was "like a most precious stone as it
 autris does not mean "the luminary thereof" and is not equiva-
 This is clear when the words that follow öpoos $\lambda_{i} \theta \psi \ldots$. . ©s $\lambda i \theta \psi$ iáorıı $\delta \iota$ are compared with iv. 3, where "He that sat on the throne" is described as being "to look upon like a jasper stone" (ómoos $\lambda\left(\theta_{\psi}\right.$ iár $\left.\pi \delta_{\iota}\right)$. Thus the light that pervades the Holy City is in colour like to that which flashes through the nimbus that surrounded the throne of God (iv. 3). Moreover, we are YOL. II.-II
told that it is the glory of God that gives light to the city (xxi
 light, is very rare. Cf. I Esdr. viii. 79. Thayer quotes Anthol. 11. 359 as another instance of this use.
 an ordinary participle.
reixos. Cf. 2 Enoch lxv. ro, "And there shall be to them a great wall that cannot be broken down."

тu入ติvas $\delta \omega \in \delta є \kappa$. Twelve gates, as in the city of Ezekiel: cf. Ezek. xlvii. 30 sqq , corresponding to the Twelve Tribes. In r Enoch xxxui.-xxxy. there is a similar distribution of the gates of heaven whence the stars issue. In Classical Greek rulóv meant a gatehouse, gatetower, or porch, and was, therefore, distinct from $\pi \dot{v} \lambda \eta$. It has this meaning in Acts xii. 13, rìv
 in late Greek (see the LXX) to mean simply a large gate: cf. Luke xvi. 20 ; Acts x .17 , xiv. 13 . It is in this sense that it appears to be used by our author-in all eleven times. This is
 does not use $\pi \dot{v} \lambda \eta$. In the LXX $\pi v \lambda \omega \dot{v} v$ is often used as a rendering of $n$ ne and sometimes of $7 \underline{y}$ renders It is noteworthy that whereas the Fourth Gospel dous not use $\pi v \lambda \dot{\omega} \nu$ or $\pi v i \lambda \eta$, it employs $\theta_{v} \rho \alpha$ many times in the same sense ( x . 1, 2, 7, 9, xvii. 16, xx. 19), as does our author (III. 8, 20, iv. 1).
dyyenous $\delta$ ẃठéca. Ct. Isa. lxii. 6, "I have set watchmen upon thy walls, O Jerusalem."
 respectively to the Twelve Tribes, and the names of the latter inscribed respectively on these gates, as in Ezek. xlviii. 31, "The
 of the tribes of Israel." If the gates bear the names of the Twelve Tribes, the names of the Twelve Apostles (14) are engraven on the foundations. Thereby the Seer maintains the continuity of the O.T. and the Christian Church.
18. The order of the points of the compass in this verse are E.N.S.W., whereas in Num. ii. 3 sqq. it is E.S.W.N. and in Ezek. xlviii. N.E.S.W. How the gates were respectively inscribed we have no means of determining.

## 14. reīxos . . . ©Xwr. See above, p. 155 ad fin.

0ape入ious סш́бєка Since there are twelve gates, the wall surrounding the City is divided into twelve sections, each section of which rests upon a single foundation stone. These twelve foundation stones consist of twelve precious stones, which are enumerated in 19 sq ., and form apparently an unbroken and continuous basement.
 the N.T. we have a similar combination of the Christian and

 contain a reminiscence of T. Jud. xxv. I. A renote parallel is

 In Eph. the whole spiritual Church is the theme of St. Paul: here it is only the foundations of the wall that encircles the Holy City. We have really a nearer parallel in Heb. xi. ro, $\boldsymbol{\ell} \xi \in \delta \varepsilon$ -

 corporate body, and there is no hint as to its exact composition. "The absence of Paul's name," as Moffatt remarks, " is no more significant than the failure to emphasize that of Peter."
16. This and some of the verses that follow have been suggested by Ezek. xl. 3 sqq. The measuring in each case has to do with the respective ideal cities of the O.T. prophet and the N.'T. Seer, and not as in xi. 2, where the actual Jerusalem is referred to. The act of measuring here has none of the meanings given in the note on xi. $x$. The measures are given to the Seer in order to elucidate the vision.
16. In mỉis retpaywnos. Babylon, according to Herodotus (i. 178 ), was a square ( $\tau \epsilon \tau \rho a y^{\prime} \omega \nu 0 s$ ), each side of the square being 120 stades. The Greeks regarded the square as a symbol for perfection : cf. Simonides in Plato's Prot. 344 A, ä $u \delta \delta^{\circ}$ dyadóv,


 ävev 廿óyov. кeitraı = "stood." Cf. iv. 2; Jer. xxiv. 1, 8v́o кала́Өovs . . . кєццє́vovs (מוערים) : John ii. 6, xix. 29.
 $A Q$ and most of the cursives, is very difficult. $i \pi i$ oradiovs is in itself the usual classical construction, but the genitive (so KP) also is found: see Thuc. ii. 90 ; Xen. Cyr. ii. 4. 2, é '́évorto tò

 Winer (p. 244 n .) describes it as a genitive of quality and compares $\pi \eta \chi^{\omega} \boldsymbol{v}$ (see Blass, p. 99, n. x) in the next line. But the cases are not analogous. If it is original, it is perhaps to be rendered "to the length of furlongs of the amount of $12,000$. ." Possibly, however, oradiovs is a primitive error and NP have rightly emended the text : ini gradiur $\delta$. $x .=$ "at 12,000 furlongs." Cf.

 either the length of one side of the square or of the four sides
combined, but the words that follow are in favour of the former view. These huge figures are not, of course, to be taken literally. Our Seer is using the language of symbolism. When dealing with the subject of Paradise later Jewish writers make statements of a kindred nature. Amongst the more moderate computations is that found in Sibyll. Or. v. $25^{1}$ (88-r 30 A.d.) :

Here the circumference of the city would be about 280 miles.
A larger estimate (quoted from Wetstein) appears in the Shir R. vii. 5, where it is said that Jerusalem would be enlarged till it reached the gates of Damascus, and exalted till it reached the throne of God (ix. 1). In the Baba Bathra, $75^{\text {b }}$, its height is defined as twelve miles. But the imagination is wholly baffled by the amazing figures in Taanith, $10^{\circ}$, where the whole world is declared to be the sixtieth part of the Garden, and the Garden the sixtieth part of Eden.
 cubits is wholly out of proportion in view of the gigantic magnitude of the City. It cannot rightly be described as $\mu^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\prime}$ a kai í $\psi \eta \lambda o{ }^{\prime}$ in connection with the City, and so it may be either a fragmentary and now unintelligible survival of some archaic element, or else merely a poetical detall, and without symbolic significance. But if we might take the wall as an outer line of defence distinct from the City, then it could well serve as a defence against the entrance of the wicked and unclean (xxi. 27, xxii. 1 5).
 angel are those in common use amongst mankind. This is not unreasonable, since both angels and men are fellow-servants of God (xix. 10, xxii. 9).

18-XXII 9. This section is in verse, and deals with the appearance and character of the City.

18-21. The materials of which the city is constructed.



 583, 31, quoted from Moffatt), appears to mean materials or fabric. Thus not only was the radiance that came forth from Him that sat on the throne (iv. 3) of a jasper hue, and likewise that of the whole atmosphere of the Holy City (xxi. 11), but the wall itself was constructed of jasper. This structure of jasper was based on twelve precious stones, each of which formed one-twelfth of the entire foundation (cf. 12, 19).
 transparent gold.
19. The twelve precious stones which compose the twelve foundations of the wall correspond on the whole to those that were set in gold on the high priest's breastplate in Ex. xxviii. 17-20, xxxix. 10-13 (cf. also Ezek. xxviii. 13 on the dress of the King of Tyre, where, however, in the Hebrew only nine stones are mentioned though twelve are given in the LXX).

Whereas, according to Ex. xxviii. 17 sqq ., the names of the Twelve Tribes were written on the twelve stones on the high priest's breastplate, in our text the names of the Twelve Tribes are written, as in Ezek. xlviii. 31, on the twelve gates; but it is the names of the Twelve Apostles that are written on the twelve precious stones which form the foundations of the wall of the City. By means of xxi. 13, where the order in which the angel measured the four sides of the city (i.e. E.N.S.W.), and xxi. 19-20, where the twelve stones are enumerated, we are able to discover the probable order in which these foundations were laid. This order has nothing whatever to do with the order given in Ex. xxvii. 17 sqq., as Myers, Encyc. Bib. iv. 481 r, and Bousset, following the same principle in his commentary, assume; nor is it to be explained from any accidental inversion or misreading of the twelve stones arranged in four lines, each line containing three stones. Bousset's explanation is as follows. Our author read the second three stones in Ex. xxviii. 17 sq. before the first three, and the fourth three before the third three, and thus arrived at the following order:
I. $\boldsymbol{a} \nu \theta \rho a \xi$
II. $\sigma$ ápoıov
III. хрибóde右
IV. $\lambda$ iyípıov

Bŋрúdicov



Next, he or his source had read the stones in I. and II. from right to left, and in III. and IV. from left to right. Now, only in the last resort could such a comphcated hypothesis-in itself a confession of failure-be accepted.

While rejecting such an hypothesis, it is advisable to state the actual relations between our text and Ex. xxviii. 17-20. 1. Our author has not followed the LXX of Ex. xxviii. 17 sqq. , seeing that his list differs in the renderings of four of the Hebrew words. 2. Our author's list presupposes a transposition of the sixth and twelfth stones, i.e. the $\quad(=$ romadiov $)$ and neve ( = lacnts). This was probably the original Hebrew order (see Encyc. Bib. iv. 48 ro ). 3. It is not credible that, using as he did the Hebrew text first hand, he should accidentally invert the order of the first and second rows and of the third and fourth,
and in addition read the first pair of rows from right to left and the second pair from left to right. In short, the order of the stomes in our text cannot be explained from the order in Ex. $x x v i i i .17-20$. We have now to discover the grounds which gave rise to the difference in order between our text and Ex. xxviii. $\quad 17-20$. First of all let us arrange the list of stones in 19-20 in conjunction with the sides of the city as they were measured by the angel.

Now whereas in Num. ii. the tribes are arranged in a square, the sides of which look E.S.W.N., and the gates of the Holy City in Ezek. xlviii which bear the names of the Tribes are enumerated in the order N.E.S.W., we are tempted to ask why does the angel adopt an apparently capricious order and measure the sides of the Holy City E.N.S.W.? I know of no certain explanation, but it is possible that we may discover some ground for it, if we take the reconstructed list of the Tribes in vii. 5-8 and combine it with xxi. 13. As a result of this combination we have the following result :


In this diagram we see that the six sons of Leah, i.e. Judah, Reuben, Simeon, Levi, Issachar, Zebulun (see vol. i. p. 208), are arranged along the E . and N . Immediately adjoining the children of Leah come the children of Rachel in our author's list, Joseph and Benjamin (see i. 208), and since the S. was preferred to the $W$. among the Jews, and the angel measures the city in the order E.N.S.W. (xxi. 13), these two must be arranged along the S. Next (see i. 208) come the sons of Leah's handmaid, i.e. Gad and Assher. These take the next position of honour, i.e. S.W.S. and W.S.W.

This solution of the difficulties of vii. 5-8, xxi. 13, 19-20 has this recommendation, that it explains all three passages as part of one coherent conception. If it is rejected, sone other explanation must be discovered, else the direction pursued by the angel in measuring the walls-E.N.S.W.-is highly capricious.

The angel measures the walls in the order E.N.S.W. Now, let us take the twelve stones enumerated in 19-20 and beginning with the S.E. corner place the first three on E., which the angel measured first, the second three on N., which the angel measured next, the third three on S., which the angel measured next, and the fourth three on W., as is done below. But it is not till we combine these data with the following fact that we arrive at the solution of the problem. This fact is that, according to Kircher's Oedipus Aegyptiacus, i1. ii. 177 sq. (1653), each of the twelve precious stones ${ }^{1}$ in our text is connected respectively with one of the twelve signs of the Zodiac on Egyptian and Arabian monuments. That this connection was already recognized by the Jews we learn from the express statements of Philo and Josephus (see references in note, p. ${ }^{159}$ ). The following table (from Kircher) gives the connections between the signs and the precious stones:
r. The Ram-the amethyst.
2. The Bull-the hyacinth.
3. The Twins-the chrysoprase.
4. The Crab-the topaz.
5. The Lion-the beryl.
6. The Virgin-the chrysolite.
7. The Balance-the sardius.
8. The Scorpion-the sardonyx.
9. The Archer-the smaragdus.
10. The Goat-the chalcedon.
11. The Water-carrier-the sapphire.
12. The Fishes-the jasper.

In the diagram that follows I have placed the precious stones in the order suggested by our text in 13, 19-20 and added the signs of the Zodiac with which they were respectively connected. Now, if we read the signs of the Zodiac as there given in the order prescribed in 19-20 we arrive at the following result. The signs or constellations are given in a certain order, and that exactly the reverse order of the actual path of the sun through the signs. Thus we have the Fishes, Water-carrier, Goat, Archer, Scorpion, Balance, Virgin, Lion, Crab, Twins, Bull, Ram; for the order to be followed is that given in xxi. 13, i.e. E.N.S.W. But in the apparent movement of the sun, the sun is said when

[^21]crossing the equator towards the north to be at the first point of the Ram, thirty days later it enters the Bull, and so on through the Twins, Crab, Lion, etc., till it reaches the Fishes. Now this cannot be an accident. The conclusion that our author is acquainted with these current beliefs as to the connection of the twelve precious stones with the signs of the Zodiac, and the sun's progress through the sigus of the Zodiac cannot in the face of the above facts be questioned, while the further fact that he gives the stones in exactly the reverse order to that required by astronomical science, shows that he regards the Holy City which he describes as having nothing to do with the ethnic speculations of his own and past ages regarding the city of the gods. Thus he deliberately disconnects the Holy City with the city of the gods, in which the twelve gates were connected with the twelve precious stones and the signs of the Zodiac, ( 1 ) by connecting the gates of the Holy City with the names of the Twelve Tribes, and by representing each gate as composed of a single pearl, and (2) by using the twelve precious stones in an ornamental sense and describing them as engraved with the names of the Twelve Apostles.

Table giving the stones of the foundations in their probable order and their probable equivalents in Ex, xxviii. 17-20.


In the above diagram it will be observed that our author has rendered the Hebrew words $ך פ j$, ,
 LXX in Ex. xxviii. 17-20 renders them respectively by ăv $\theta \rho a \xi$,
 in our text ${ }^{1}$ three have already been mentioned, the $\boldsymbol{i} a \sigma \pi t s$,

taomts. This was probably of a green or emerald colour. See iv. 3.

बámфецрos This stone "is identified (Theophr. 37; cf. 55, кviavos $\sigma \kappa v ̈ \theta \eta s$, and Pliny, H.N. xxxvii. 120) with the opaque blue 'lapis lazuli' of Turkestan" (Encyc. Bib. iv. 4805).
$x^{\wedge} \lambda_{\kappa} \eta \delta \dot{\omega}$. This word occurs only here in Biblical Gieck. This gem is taken to be of a green colour ( $=$ a copper silicate), and as we have seen already is substituted for $\alpha v \theta \rho a \xi$ (a red garnet) in the LXX.

б $\mu$ ápayסos. See note on iv. 3.
 with the topaz, it was, according to Strabo (770), translucent and
 according to Pliny (H.N. xxxvii. 8), yellow green (e virenti genere). The LXX renders nדes by qoáá̧ıo in Ex. xxviii. 17-20. But the $\sigma a p \delta o ́ v v \xi$ was properly a variety of the övv in which the white background was varegated by layers of red or brown (Pliny, H.N. xxxvii. 23) But what is the Hebrew?
odpfiov. See iv. 3 (n.).
xpuobitios ( $=$ ) תרשׁin). This gold stone is hard to identify: it may be golden yellow and opaque-i.e. yellow jasper or yellow serpentine: or it may be golden yellow and translucent (see Encyc. Bib. iv. 4807). These stones are described by Pliny, H.N. axxvil. 42, as "aureo fulgore translucentes."

Bypundos ( $=$ שם (i). This is thought to be the malachite by Myres in the Encyc. Bib. iv. 4808, " with its wavy . . . bands and cloudy patches of light vivid and dark green." In Ex. xxvii. 20, xxxix. 13, ששׁם is rendered by ofvóxov.

[^22]тотdflov. See under $\sigma a \rho \delta o ́ v v \xi ́\{$ above.
xpuodimparos. This word, which does not occur in the LXX, which has $\lambda c \gamma \dot{v} \rho เ o v$, is the Greek equivalent of aeb. It was probably of a greenish yellow colour. According to Pliny, H.N. xxxvii. 20, it was like the beryl but paler: " vicinum genus huic (beryllo) est pallidius, et a quibusdam proprii generis existimatur vocaturque chrysoprasus."
 Pliny, H.N. xxxvii. 41 , it was of a violet colour, resembling the amethyst but less bright: "ille emicans in amethysto fulgor violaceus dilutus est in hyacintho."
d $\mu$ हUuवтos (i.e. אחלמה)-a transparent purple quartz.
 "Rabbi Jochanan sat one day and preached: One day will the Holy One-blessed be He -bring precious stones and pearls thirty cubits long by thirty cubits broad and excavate (openings) in them of ten cubits (in breadth and) twenty cubits in height, and they shall stand in the gates of Jerusalem": cf. also Baba Bathra 75". avad Its $_{5}$ excaotos. This is a "barbaric" construction: cf. Matt. xxvi. 22, etc. For this distributive use of déá cf. iv. 8, John ii. 6 ; but the avá is here an adverb, not a preposition. In кaf els in Mark xiv. 19, Rom. xii. 5 the кatá is an adverb also (Robertson, pp. 460, 555). A somewhat parallel construction
 if Tiareia. Probably to be taken generically "the streets," as füdov $\zeta \omega \hat{\eta} s$ in xxii. 2. xpuoiov ka日apor. The whole city is described as "pure gold" in ver. 18. ws Jados Scauyís. This may be rendered either "transparent as glass" or "as it were transparent glass." The latter is decidedly weak, but either is admissible : cf. i. 14, iv. 6, ix. 9, xy. 2, xxii. x. סıauyńs is found only here in the N.T. and not at all in the LXX. It occurs in Philo, Lucian, Plutarch, Apollonius Rhodius.
28. In the Holy City there would be no temple (see note on vii. 15), nor ark of the covenant-the restoration of which was so eagerly looked for by the Jews ; for that the Lord God would be the Temple thereof and the Lamb the Ark of the Covenant thereof. The absolute destruction of the earthly temple was foretold by our Lord, Mark xiii. 2; John iv. 21 ; but even the heavenly temple so often referred to in the earlier chapters would have no place as the Heavenly Jerusalem. This verse like those which precede and follow it was originally a tristich, but some words have been lost after кai rò dpviov. Not improbably the missing words are to be recovered from xi. 19, and thus the last two lines may have run
каi тò d́pvíov $\dot{\eta}$ кıß

The temple that was in the first heaven will disappear (cf. vii. 15, xi. 19). God Himself is henceforth the only Temple, and Christ the Ark of the Covenant. By this restoration the complete parallehsm between 22 and 23 is restored. In vii. $9-16$ the vision is concerned with the martyr host before the throne of God in heaven, still incomplete and still growing with fresh accessions from the great tribulation on the earth. This host serve God day and night in the temple in heaven, but this temple has no part in the Heavenly Jerusalem: still less in the New; for it belongs to the former things that have passed away (xxi. $4^{\mathrm{d}}$ ). See note on xxi. 3. In the Ep. Barn. xvi. the idea of anything but a spiritual temple is looked upon with disfavour. Man, when redeemed, forms the habitation of God-"a spiritual
 киріч).
 aúrins is confirmed by Jer. iii. 16, where it is prophested that on the advent of the kingrom of God "the ark of the covenant of the Lord" (ארו ברית יהוה) should no longer be thought of nor needed nor restored. That the Jews of the century before the Christian era expected the restoration of the ark on the advent of the kingdom is clear from 2 Macc. i. 4 sqq , where it is told that the ark had not been destroyed but had been hidden by Jeremiah on Mount Nebo with a view to its safe keeping. That this belief was current in the first century A.D. is to be inferred from 2 Bar. vi. $7-9$, where the ark and certain other holy things belonging to the first temple are said to have been hidden by angels in the earth till the Messianic kingdom was established. That this expectation persisted long afterwards in Judaism we learn from Bammidbar rabba 15 . For another kindred legend see Yoma, 54 ${ }^{\text {B }}$. Against such materialistic expectations our author declares boldly that there will be no restoration of the ark of the covenant, for that its place was taken by the Lamb. ${ }^{1}$
28. With the whole verse cf. Isa. 1x. 19 sqq ., kai oúk $\begin{gathered}\text { Étal } \\ \text { gol }\end{gathered}$


 As in Isaiah the sun and moon do not cease to exist : their splendour is simply put to shame by the glory of God Himself: cf. Isa. xxiv. 23. Our author does not seem to have used the LXX here.
 Isa. 1x. 19 sq. Here the glory of God-see 11, éXovaav tìv סókav

[^23]rov̂ $\theta$ coû (note)-lights up the Heavenly City, and not the sun and moon as we see from the next clause, though these still give light to the world outside the City. Cf. Midrash Tillin, xxxvi. 2, "Neque in mundo futuro necesse habebunt lumen solis interdiu, aut lunae noctu" (Wetstein).
 God manifests itself in light. This $\delta o ́ \xi \alpha$ is probably the i" or brightness which went forth from the Shekinah or the glory (יקרא) of
 Ezek. xliti. 2, where we have "the brightness of His glory" (is
 to Jarg. Jer. y on Ex. xxxiv. 29, was derived from the brightness of the glory of the Shekinah of Yahveh (טן (ון איקר שבינתא רי"). This last expression will explain xviii. I , where it is said of an

 predicate and corresponds to é申úturev aút $\eta$ v in the preceding line, just as rò ápvíov is the parallel to $\dot{\eta} \delta \dot{\circ} \xi a$ тov̂ $\theta$ coû. There is no comparison here with the sun and moon as Bousset suggests.

24-87. The necessity of interpreting these verses with regard to the present earth and the nations surviving the advent of the Millonnium has already been pointed out (see p. 146 sqq .). The evangelizing of the nations is already foretold in xiv. 7 by an angel flying in the midst of heaven, and the going up of the nations to worship God is proclaimed as an event of the future by the glorified martyrs in heaven (xv. 4). The Seer at last beholds in vision the fulfilment of these prophecies. Unless we explain xxi. 9 -xxii. 2, 14-15, 17 as the Heavenly City which was to come down from heaven to be the seat of the Millennial reign, then the prophecies in xiv. 7, xv. 3 reman unfulfilled.

The conversion of the Gentiles to Judaism was looked for by Zechariah, ii. 11 , viii. 23, and the writer of Isa. lxv.-lxvi.; Dan. vii. 14; Tob. xiii. 11, xiv. 6 ; 1 Enoch x. 21, xc. 32 sqq.; Test. Levi xviii. 9 ; Test. Jud. xxv. 5 ; Test. Asher vii. 3 ; Test. Naph. vii1. 4 ; Pss. Sol. xvii. 32; 4 Ezra xi. 46, amongst other Jewish writers. This expectation became a central truth of Christianity, but the conversion of the heathen nations is to be due, not to Judaism, but to Christianity.
24. This verse consists of a stanza of three lines, the second line of which is corrupt. The whole stanza is based on Isa. Ix. 3 , 11-not on the LXX of these verses, which runs as follows:



 cioayayeiv mpós бe dúvapev divêv, кai $\beta$ aoi入cis av̉ти̂v áyouévous.

The words in heavy type have their equivalents in our text, in 24-25, but our author has here rendered the Hebrew independently of the LXX. We might compare Pss. Sol. xviu. 34-35.

סıà toû фwtós. Here סıá may be rendered "amidst" or "by."
 was probably a marginal gloss originally, based on xxii. 5 , which subsequently displaced the true text, кai yukтós. In xxin. 5 the definite statement is made that there shall be no longer any night at all. That is what we should expect in the New Jerusalem and the new heaven and the new earth. But there are the following objections to this clause in its present context. 1. We should expect $\dot{\eta} \mu$ épas кai vučós as in Isa. Ix. 11, on which the text is confessedly based. 2. The parallelism is against it. 24-25 form a tristich occurring in the midst of a succession of tristichs, and the last line of this tristich is formed of 25 . Hence instead of


we should read

3. We should then have the familiar phraseology of our author: cf. iv. 8, vin. 15, xx. 10.

It might, of course, be urged that the adverb ixcî justifies the clause in the present context by limiting the statement to the city itself. But this emphatic use of $\boldsymbol{i} \kappa \in \hat{i}$ implies clearly that day and night alternate as usual outside the City. What meaning is then to be attached to $\dot{\eta} \mu$ épas? Does this word denote the unbroken day that prevails within the City, or the usual period of light without it ? The obelized clause introduces hopeless confusion into the context.
26. Based on Isa. lx. in. See on 24 : cf. also lx. 5, "the wealth of the nations shall come unto thee." Here the LXX


27. The unclean and the abominable and the liars are still on the earth, but, though the gates are open day and night, they cannot enter.


 author is using the Hebrew text and not the LXX is evident here; for though dкáӨapros has already occurred four times, he does not use it here but kotvós. But there seems to be some primitive error in the text. Alike the passages in the O.T., of which our text is a reminiscence, and the following phrase $\pi 0$ oinv Bóéluyua lead us to expect $\pi$ âs кouvós instead of $\pi a ̂ v$ кolvóv.
 persons to be mentioned here. If this is right, then we should render: "and there shall in no wise enter into it any that is unclean or that maketh an abomination or a lie." פָּלָטמא could represent $\pi \hat{\alpha} \varsigma$ кolvós or $\pi \hat{a} \nu$ кolvóv.



 I have pointed out above, implies that only persons should be




## CHAPTER XXII.

1-2. The description of the Heavenly City that descends from heaven for the Millennial reign is continued in these verses
 phrase occurs. In this vision the spirit of the Seer is actually translated (cf. xvii. 3) to the Heavenly City, which is shown to him by an angel (cf. xvii. I). There is no such translation of the Seer's spirit in the vision of the New Jerusalem that is to descend from heaven after the Judgment and the creation of the new heaven and the new earth (xxi. $5^{\mathrm{a}}, 4^{\mathrm{d}}, 5^{\mathrm{b}}, 6^{\mathrm{a}}, \mathrm{r}-4^{\mathrm{abc}}$, xxii. $3^{-5}$ ). This latter vision is part and parcel of the vision of the Judgment: cf. kai citov in xxi 1,2 with the same phrase in xx. in, 12. In this vision there is no angelic intermediary. The Seer sees the great white throne and Him that sat thereon ( $\mathbf{x x} .11,12$ ) : he hears God proclaiming the end of the old world and the creation of the new (xxi. $5^{\mathrm{a}}, 4^{\mathrm{d}}, 5^{\mathrm{b}}$ ): he sees the new heaven and the new earth and the descent of the New Jerusalem, and hears a great voice from the throne declaring that God Himself will henceforth abide with men ( $x x i .1-3$ ).

 the same sprritual significance as "the fountains (or 'fountain')
of the waters (or 'water') of life" in vii. ry, xxi. 6 and "the water of life" in xxii. 17 ? It is probable, since the river goes forth from the throne of God, and "the fountains of the waters of life" may be conceived as forming the source of this river in the throne of God. But it is noteworthy that no spiritual significance is attached to this river here, whereas the tree of life (xxii. 2) is full of significance in this respect.

Whatever the relation of "the river of life" and "the fountains of the waters of life" may be in our author, their origin and meaning were originally different. The idea of the river in the Heavenly City springs ultimately from the river in the Garden of Eden (Gen. ii. 10). The object of the river in Eden was simply to supply the garden richly with water. When, however, we come down to Ezekiel, we find that the river which flowed forth from beneath the Temple in the coming Kingdom of God was possessed of healing powers as regards the natural products of the earth (Ezek. xivin. 8-ir). Zechariah (xiv. 8) speaks of these waters as issuing forth from Jerusalem eastward and westward, but attributes no transforming influence to them. Perhaps Ps. xlvi. 5 might be cited here, but both the text and its meaning are uncertain. Lastly, in 2 Enoch viii. 5 it is stated that the nver in Paradise in the third heaven flows from beneath the tree of life and divides into four streams of honey and milk and oil and wine. Thus so far as the O.T. and Judaistic literature down to 100 A.D. are concerned, this river in Paradise was not associated with any powers of spiritual transformation such as we find frequently with the phrase "fountain of life" or "water of life."

So far for "the river of the water of life." Turning now to the phrase "fountain of life," we find that this and analogous phrases had in Jewish hiterature a spiritual significance-cf. Jer. ii. 13; Prov. x. 11, xiii. 14, xiv. 27, xvi. 22; Ps. xxxvi. 10 ; I Enoch xcvi. 6-just as they have in our author.

 two phrases being exact equivalents (see vol. i. p. 36).


roû $\theta$ póvou roû $\theta$ eot kaì rồ ápriou. There is no difficulty in this conception nor is there any ground for regarding кaì rov dpviov with the most recent German critics as an addition. This idea with regard to the Messiah is pre-Christian : cf. I Enoch li. 3, "And the Elect One shall in those days sit on My throne." Likewise the Elect One is described as sitting on "the throne of glory, xlv. 3, Iv. 4, and as sitting on the throne of His (i.e. God's) glory," Ixii. 3, 5 (cf. li. 3). Similarly the Lord of Spirits places the Elect One " on the throne of glory " (lx. 8), " on the throne
of His glory," lxii. 2. This throne is called the Son of Man's throne, 1xix. 27, 29. Finally, it is to be observed that though the Lord of Spirits places the Elect One on the throne of glory in lxı. 8, and he judges all men, yet in lxi. 9 , the praiscs of all are directed to the Lord of Spirits. On the other hand, in xxil. 3 of our text the phrase kai tov dpviov may be an addition, though there is no conclusive evidence for so regarding it.
 with what precedes or with what follows. I. In the former case we are to translate as in the R.V. "he shewed me a river . . . in the midst of the street thereof." The next sentence then proce.ds: "And on this side of the river and on that." Here


 According to this view the river runs down the midst of the great heavenly way, and is flanked on either side by the trees of life. 2. But it is possible to take the passage differently and connect
 treat $\dot{e} v \tau \in \hat{\theta} \theta \in \nu$ каì ékeî $\theta \in \nu$ as genuine adverbs (cf. Ezek. xlvi1. 7,

 grammatical but the sense is unsatisfactory: " Between the street of the City and the river on either side of it was there the tree of life." These words presuppose that there was a space between the street and the river, and suggest that they ran side by side. There are two possible ways of concerving the arrangement of the trees of life. Either these trees are arranged in two rows, one on either bank of the river (one row thus coming between the street and the river), or they are placed on either side of the space that lies between the street and the river. The unsymmetrical character of 2 . is certainly against it.
§ûnor Zuîs. See note on xxii. 14. This expression is used here collectively. In Gen. i. in sq. $\gamma y$ is used collectively. Hence our author departs here from the conception of a single tree of life as in Gen. it. 9, iii. 22; 1 Enoch xxiv. 4, xxv. 4-6perbaps under the influence of Ezek. xlvii. 7, кai iòoù $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ tov̂


 aürūv єis i̛yíciav.
 which speaks of fresh frut being produced every month.
 rendering of Ezek. xlvii. 12,
 fact which proves our author's independent use of the Hebrew text. The greater part of this verse is based on Ezek. xlvii. 12.


 cis ípiciav. The nations here are those that have survived the visitations in chap. xix. and are evangelized by the inhabitants of the Heavenly City.

14-16. This is the next fragment of the description of the Heavenly City which is to be the seat of the Millennial Kingdom. The persons referred to here are the nations who are contemporaries of this kingdom.
14. oi $\pi \lambda$ úvortes tàs oroids aủtûv. See additional note on vi. 11 , vol. i. p. 187 sq .

The phrase is the equivalent spiritually of oi vuxûvtes. Each class alike has endured and overcome, and as access to the tree of life is here promised to those who have cleansed their robes, so in ii. 7 the right to eat of the tree of life is given to those who have overcome.
 the future and subjunctive cf. 111. 9. iva is frequently followed by the future in our author : cf. vi. 4, 11, viii. 3, ix. 5, xii. 6, xiii. 12, xiv. 13 .

The каi here ="and so"; for the faithful must first enter the City before they can eat of the tree of life: "that they may have the right to the tree of life and so may enter, etc." To ivn




16. $\boldsymbol{z} \xi \mathrm{\xi}$. There can be no question as to the meaning of this term here. Our author clearly states that outside the City or the gates of the City are all classes of sinners. We might compare Ps. ci. 7, "He that worketh deceit shall not dwell within my house." In the Pss. of Solomon xvii. 29 the writer declares of the Messiah :
"And he shall not suffer unrighteousness to lodge in their midst,
Nor shall there dwell with them any that knoweth wickedness."
 oúкért) the writer of this Psalm maintains the exclusion of the Gentiles in 31, "And the sojourner and the alien shall dwell with them no more." But in our author race distinctions are taken no VOL. 11.-12
account of. Character alone is decisive of a man's fitness or unfitness.
of kuves. If we compare this verse with xxi. 8 we observe that they are practically doublets. Thus the oi фappaxoi cai ol
 $\psi$ evios are repeated almost verbally in xxi. 8. To roîs $\delta \in \iota \lambda o \hat{s}$ кai driorous in xxi. 8 there is no equivalent, but we may reasonably infer with Swete that the koves of the verse before us denote the same persons as the $\boldsymbol{\beta}^{\boldsymbol{\beta} \delta e \lambda v \gamma \mu^{\prime} v o t s ~ i n ~ x x i . ~ 8 . ~ I n ~ o t h e r ~ w o r d s, ~ t h e ~}$ persons referred to were either heathens or Jews stained with the abominable vice which excluded them from the Heavenly Jerusalem, the Spiritual Israel. Anciently the word was used to denounce the moral impurities of heathen worship: cf. Deut. xxiii. 18, "Thou shalt not bring the hire of a whore or the wages of a dog unto the house of the Lord thy God." Here "dog" is the technical term for a inscription in the temple of Astarte at Larnaka. It was likewise employed by the Jews of the ist century A.D. to designate the heathen : cf. Matt. xv. 22 sq. In Phil. iii. 2 St. Paul applies the term to the Judaizing faction in the Christian Church ( $\beta \lambda$ émere roùs kúvas). See Lightfoot, who well paraphrases iii. 2-3: "We are the children, for we banquet on the spiritual feast which God has spread before us: they are the dogs, for they greedily devour the garbage of carnal ordinances, the very refuse of God's table."

 Unless we attach to row $\omega$ here the sense of doing with regard to a certain object or end, we should have an anticlimax in the phrase before us. The meaning then would be: "every one that loveth and maketh falsehood his systematic object." In this case $\delta$ тotûv $\psi$. would differ from $\dot{\delta}$ т $\pi \alpha^{\prime} \sigma \sigma \omega \nu \psi$. The latter would mean simply "one who tells lies," "one who practises lying," whereas the former would mean rather "one who lies deliberately with an object " (see Plato, Charmides, 162d, on this meaning of $\pi$ oueiv as distinguished from $\pi р \dot{\sigma} \sigma \sigma c \tau v$ ). The $\phi \Lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\psi$ êoos denotes one who loves lying for its own sake. Here we


17. This is the last verse belonging to the description of the Heavenly Jerusalem, xxi. 9-xxii. 2, 14-15, 17. It cannot belong to any other section of the work. It deals with the evangelization of the heathen nations as foretold in xiv. 7, xv. 4, and implied in xi. 15.

This expectation is in harmony with most O.T. propheciesas in the Second Isaiah, Haggai, Zechariah, Daniel, and also in
the Apocryphal literature-in Sirach, I Enoch, Testaments XII Patriarchs. See my Eschatology, and Wicks, The Doctrine of God (in the indexes of both).
 author. 1. Either alone, as in xiii. 15, or with ̧wîs appended, xii. 11, it simply means "life." 2. It means personalized living
 (b) as men, though in the passages that follow it is the spiritual


 $\delta_{a} \mu o v i \omega v$, xvi. 14. 3. It means the Spirit of Christ. Thus in tò
入érei tò $\pi \nu \varepsilon \hat{v} \mu \mathrm{a}$-the utterance of the Seer-answers like an echo the voice trom heaven in xiv. $13^{\text {ab }}$ ) it is the Spirit of Christ speaking through the Seer. For in all the Seven Letters the Speaker is Christ : cf. ii. 1, 8, 12, etc. Similarly in the present passage, xxii. 17 , it is the Spirit of Christ that is speaking through
 cretely "Christ and the Church in the Heavenly Jerusalem"that is the Church after the Second Advent, not before it : see next note. In such expressions of the prophet the human intermediary is wholly overlooked, and his utterance assigned directly to the Spirit, just as in the O.T. the prophet introduced his message with the words: "Thus saith the Lord." We might
 crete language: "a certain prophet says expressly": cf. Acts xxi. 1 I.

गे $v 0 \mu \phi \eta$. In xxi. 9 at the beginning of the description of the Heavenly Jerusalem this City is called $\dot{\eta}$ n' $\mu \phi \eta$ : cf. xxi. 2. Thus the term has a double meaning: it can denote either the Heavenly Jerusalem or its inhabitants, i.e. the Spiritual Israel, which is to be the Bride of Christ, just as Israel in the O.T. was conceived of as the Bride of Yahweh. It is as the Spiritual Israel, as the Church triumphant in the Heavenly Jerusalem, that the Bride evangelizes the earth afresh-an evangelization which was promised in xiv. $7, \mathrm{xv} .4$, and which, when it is accomplished, will make true in fact what was already declared as accomplished

 a certain geographical expanse of country or the people who live in it. See xix. 9a, p. 129.
epxou. Cf. vi. i. This word seems to be taken universally as the reply of the Church to the voice of Jesus in ver. 12. But, as we have seen above, the Bride is the Heavenly Jerusalem or its blessed inhabitants in the Millennial Kingdom and not the

Church before the Advent. Thus Christ has already come in this vision. Further, in line $17^{\circ}$, there can be no question that $\dot{e} p \chi^{e} \sigma \theta \omega$ refers to the coming of him that is athirst to Christ. It is, therefore, only natural, apart wholly from the force of the
 as the invitation of the Spirit of Christ, of the Heavenly Jerusalem, and of those who accepted the message, to the world of men that were still thirsting for life and truth or were willing


 the post-Communion prayer of the Church.

> "Let grace come (è $\lambda$ ít $\omega)$
> And let this world go. Hosanna to the Son of David, If any one is holy, let him come ( $\left(\rho \chi^{\prime} \sigma \theta \omega\right)$ : If any one is not, let him repent. Come, Lord (i.e. papavadá)."

We have here a spiritual adaptation of certain parts of our text. Here, since the Second Advent of Christ is still in the distance, the prayer "Come, Lord" can be taken eschatologically as well as spiritually.
kai $\delta$ daoúwr кid. The call was to be taken up by such as heard it and repented. The hearer is to be regarded as one who

 Cf. also xxi. 6 of our text.

Jiop tañs $\delta_{\text {wpead. }}$ The phrase recurs in xxi. 6 where it
 points to $x x i .6$ as really coming later in the text.

## CHAPTER XX. 4-15.

§1. Contents.
This section follows naturally on the elaborate description of the Heavenly Jerusalem, which had come down from heaven to be the abode of Christ and the glorified martyrs. After this vision we have another vision of the glorified martyrs who alone had part in the first resurrection (xx. 4-6). Then, at the close of the Millennial Kingdom, Satan is loosed and leads Gog and Magog to the assault of the Beloved City, whereupon follows their destruction by fire from heaven, and Satan is cast into the lake of fire ( $7-10$ ). This section closes with a vision of
the great white throne, before whose presence the former heaven and earth had vanished, of the raising of the righteous and wicked from the "treasuries" and from Sheol to be judged, and the casting of death and Hades into the lake of fire ( $11-15$ ).

This section has suffered from a transposition of the text in 4. Most probaby 13 stood originally before 12. Glosses have been added at the close of 12 and 14, and the text tampered with in 13 on dogmatical grounds. $13^{\text {a }}$ is meaningless as it stands. $4^{-15}$ with the above exceptions comes from the hand of John as we shall now show alike by its diction and idiom. That it forms an organic and indispensable element of the Book is obvious.

## § 2. Diction.



入eugav ктл.: cf. v. 10.
 cf. xv. 8, xvii. 17, xx. 3.
6. ठ Seứepos $\theta$ divatos: cf. ii. 11, xxi. 8. iepeís . . Baoidév́rougty: cf. i. 6, v. io.
7. $\mathrm{en}_{\mathrm{k}}$ тîs фu入akîs : cf. ii. 10 for phrase.

 phrase. ouvayayeiv aütoùs cis t. moגєpov: cf. xvi. 14 for the phrase.



 iv. 9, etc.
11. Ëфuyev . . . кai . . . oodx esjpton : cf. xvi. 20, Éфuyev кai


 aưти̂ $\gamma є \gamma \rho a \mu \mu$ ย́va : xiii. 8, xx. 15 , xxi. 27.
 plural verb cf. v. 8 (cf. John xvi. 32), and for кarà rà ${ }_{\mathbf{~}}^{\mathrm{p}}$ (a, ii. 23.

15. espion . . . y yppapuívos: cf. iii. 2 for construction. dy


6. axpt with subj. : cf. ii. 25, note, vii. 4, xv. 8, etc.
6. enil tớtuv . . . odx "Xect efougiav: cf. ii. 26.
8. ©iv . . . aütav : cf. iii. 8, vii. 2, 9, ix. 11, etc.

In two cases the text abandons our author's idiom owing to the ignorance of the editor.
4. Abandonment of the author's idiom by insertion of oitives
 See note on i. 5 .
11. ròv кaO خ̀pevov ì $\boldsymbol{i} l$ aưrov̂ : see note on iv. 2. Our author wrote dri aúróv, but of our author's unique treatment of this phrase the editor of xxi. 4-xxii. was ignorant.

4-6. Vision of the glorified martyrs who reign with Christ for a thousand years.
4. The construction of this verse is difficult. Thus we have
 vening between ciơov and its accusative tàs $\psi v \chi$ d́s. But not only is the construction irregular, but the sense is hopelessly uncertain from the standpoint of our author. For if we ask who are those who seat themselves on the thrones, no satisfactory answer can be given. It is not the glorified martyrs; for they are first referred to in the words ràs $\psi v \mathrm{X}$ às rûv remeגeкıoréver. And yet from iii. 2r, where it is said that the martyrs are to share the throne of Christ, we should expect them to be referred to here and to sit on the thrones as Cbrist's assessors. Somewhat in favour of this view is Christ's promise
 $\theta$ póvovs. Likewise in Dan. vii. 9 (LXXX Theod.), é日cஸ́povv є̈шs öтє


 vifiorov, we have passages which not only speak of the function of judgment as assigned by the Most High to the saints, but appear to have suggested the clauses in our text. Cf. I Cor.



Thus owing both to the ungrammatical structure of the text and its unintelligibleness it is not improbable that $\theta$ póvous, кai
 marginal gloss based on Daniel, or rather that this passage has got displaced and should be restored after кai $\mathbf{d} \boldsymbol{\pi i}$ rìv $\chi$ xipa auvtû. By adopting the latter alternative, as the present editor has done, we restore sanity to the text by making it at once grammatical and intelligible, and recovering the lost parallelism of the passage : i.e.
 kaì Stà т．入óyov toû beồ，
кai［oitrves］od mporexúrŋjav tò Onpiov oǘè t．eixdra aútoū，
 кaì dสi т．xєipa વúтڤ̂v



This signifies that authority is now vested in the hands of the righteous，and not in those of the oppressors of the Church as aforetime．
 ment of capital punishment in republican Rome．Cf．Diod．Sic．



 vi． 9 ，xviii． 24 we find é $\sigma \phi a \gamma \mu \dot{c} v e v$, the word used in connection with the Lamb that was sacrificed，v．6，9，12，xiii． 8.
 are found in the opposite order in i．2，9，vi．9．Cf．xii．in．
［oitives］od $\pi$ рогєкívqбav ктл．These had all suffered martyr－ dom according to xiii．15．The clause gives a further definition of those who had been faithful unto death．To regard these as forming a second class of the faithful，i．e．the surviving faithful，is against the actual statement in xiii． 15 ，and the presuppositions that underlie xiv．－xix．（see pp．4，26，40， 96 ad fin．）and also against the immediate context；for in that case we should have to attach two conflicting meanings to＂乡noav which immediately follows： i．e．＂lived again＂and＂continued to live＂according as we connect it with the first class，the actual martyrs，or the second class，the confessors．Moreover，the opening words of 5，oi入otroi râv vєкриิv，clearly imply that the persons referred to in 4 were among the vexpoi according to the usual phraseology．
［oitrves］．This is probably an addition made by the disciple who edited these last chapters．See note on i． $5^{\text {b }}-6$ ．By－its omission we should recover our author＇s normal resolution of the participle into a finite verb，i．e．т．тeтedeкьopévur ．．．кai ou apoocкív $\eta \sigma a v=$＂who had been beheaded and had not wor－ shipped．＂

 vii． 3 （ $n$ ．）．

E＇ñav，i．e．＂came to life＂（cf．ii．8，xiii．14；Rom．xiv．9）in human parlance，though in their life in heaven they had been
more truly alive than when they had been on earth. With this first resurrection or manifestation of the glorified martyrs in the Millennial Kingdom we should compare that of all the departed saints with Christ in 1 Thess. iv. 14-17, and that of certain saints who had been taken up alive into heaven in 4 Ezra vii. 28 (cf. vi. 26). This line resumes briefly the preceding eight lines.
 earliest authorities for the belief in a temporary kingdom of the Messiah are 1 Enoch xci-civ. (xciii. 1-14, xci. 12-19), Pss. Sol. xi., xvii. ; Sibyll. Or. iii. 1-62; Jub. xxiii. 27-29, 31, II; 2 Bar. xxx., xl. 3, lxxiv. 2, xii. 34 ; i Cor. xv. 23-28. The limits assigned to its duration are various. In 4 Ezra vii. 28 sq. this kingdom is to endure for four hundred years, and to come to a close with the death of the Messiah and all His companions, and the world is to return to primeval silence-a statement apparently without parallel for its explicitness in Jewish literature. In 2 Bar. xxx., on the other hand, Christ returns in glory to heaven at the close of the Messianic reign. In 2 Enoch xxxii. 2-xxxiii. 2 there is a reference to a period of Sabbatic rest of a thousand years after the close of six thousand years of the world's history. Barnabas, Ep. xv. 2-8, accepts this view, and adds that the Son of God will appear at the close of the six thousand years to put an end to the reign of the Lawless one, to judge the wicked and to change the sun, moon, and stars. At the close of the Millennial period there will be the beginning
 pp. 248, 250 sq., 270 sq., 301 sq., 330, etc. Webers, 373. The reckoning of a thousand years was based on a combination of Gen. i. 2 and Ps. xc. $4=2$ Pet. iii. 8. From this it was concluded that as each day of creation stood for a worid-day of a thousand years, so the history of the world would embrace a world-week of seven thousand years, six thousand years till the final judgment and a thousand years of blessedness and rest.
 righteous, who had died a peaceful death, have part in this first resurrection. We should observe that John, who must have been well acquainted with the traditional and current belief, that the righteous survivors would be blessed on the advent of the kingdom, deliberately ignores it. This can only mean, as has been frequently shown in the preceding pages (see p. 183), that John held that there would be no righteous survivors on the advent of the kingdom. The traditional belief is attested in Dan. xii. 12 ; Pss. Sol. xvii. 50; Sibyll. Or. iii. 371 ; 1 Thess. iv. 17 ; 1 Cor. xv. $51-52$; Asc. Isa. iv. 15.
 purely spiritual sense and taken to mean a death to sin and a
new birth unto righteousness. I. The earliest expounders of the Apocalypse, such as Justin Martyr, Tertullian, Irenæus, Hippolytus, and Victorinus, quite rightly take the words in a literal sense of an actual reign of Christ with the glorified martyrs on earth. The spiritualizing method which emanated from Alexandria put an end to all trustworthy exegesis of the Apocalypse, when adopted in its entirety with reference to the Apocalypse. The meaning assigned by the votaries of this method became wholly arbitrary, and every student found in the Apocalypse what he wished to find (see my Studies in the Apocalypse, 8, 9, 10, $12,13,14,28,30,36,38,48$, etc.). The earliest expounders were right, as they were in close touch with the apostolic time.
2. Moreover, the Talmud, and other Jewish writings, and specially the Jewish Apocalypses, attest a literal reign-and no other-of the Messiah, so far as they deal with the question.
3. The context itself is wholly against taking the words in a spiritual sense ; for (a) this resurrection is obviously the guerdon of martyrdom, and begins not with the beginning of the Christian life but after its earthly close. (b) As Alford rightly urges: "no legitimate treatment of it (i.c. the text itself) will extort what is known as the spiritual interpretation now in fashion. If, in a passage where two resurrections are mentioned, where certain
 at the end of a specified period after the first,-if in such a passage the first resurrection may be understood to mean spiritual rising with Christ, while the second means literal rising from the grave;-then there is an end of all significance in language, and Scripture is wiped out as a definite testimony to anything." Hence attempts to revive the spiritualizing interpretation of the Millennial Kingdom are to be deplored from every standpoint.

But since the first resurrection embraces only the glorified martyrs, who return to earth to share the Millennial Kingdom with Christ in the Jerusalem which comes down from heaven, it is different in character from the second. For only the faithful who had undergone martyrdom have part in it, whereas at the second resurrection the rest of the faithful and all the unfaithful rise to judgment. As we shall see on xx .12 , these two classes appear before the great white throne, the former, as we must conclude, in their glorified spiritual bodies, and the latter simply as disembodied souls-i.e. naked.
6. By meeting martyrdom on behalf of their faith the martyrs are admitted to share in the Millennial Kingdom, are not subject to the second death, and accordingly are exempt from the Judgment that is to follow on the close of the kingdom. Moreover, their priestly character in bringing the knowledge of

God and Christ to the nations during the Millennial Kingdom appears to be referred to in the expression iepeîs rov̂ $\theta$ cov̂ kaî roû Xpıotov̂ (see below).
makdptos rai äyos. Maxápos is used seven times-in each case in connection with a beatitude-cf. i. 3, xiv. 13, xvi. 15, xix. $9, \mathbf{x x} 6$, xxii. 7, 14. äyos though of frequent occurrence is not used in this connection elsewhere in our author. Hence it is possible, as Wetstein suggests, that äyos refers to the blessed in their priestly capacity (ípeếs rov̂ $\theta$ eov̂) and pakápoos in their kingly ( $\beta$ aridévourtr). The combination "blessed and holy" is found in Jub. ii. 23.
 also in xxi. 8 in a different form, т̀̀ $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \rho o s$ aưrஸ̂v, and xxii. 19.
$4 \pi i$ roútav. For $\boldsymbol{\epsilon \pi i} i$ in this sense with the genitive cf. ii. 26 [xi. 6], [xiv. 18].
© $\delta$ eúrepos $\theta$ divatos. This death is defined in xxi. 8 (cf. Matt. x. 28). It is mentioned already in ii. 11 as a punishment, from which those, who are faithful to the end, are exempt. In xx. 14 it is clearly an interpolation.
oix "xxei égouaiav. Cf. ii. 26, vi. 8, ix. 3, etc.
 it is to be observed that in i. 6 (see note in loc.), v. so, and here the priesthood and the kingship of those whom John addressed are conjoined (in I Pet. ii. 9 they are combined in one expression, Bagi入elov iepáтєvua). But it is further noteworthy that
 diì $\tau \hat{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{r} \eta \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s})$ and the present passage connect the priesthood with a special period of kingship, i.e. that which they are to exercise in the Millennial Kingdom, and share with Christ (xx. 6) on the earth (v. 10). These facts suggest that the priestly offices of the blessed in the Millennial Kingdom have to do with the nations, who are to be evangelized during this period (xiv. 6-7, xv. 4), and this suggestion receives some support from xxii. 5 where, when the eternal reign of all the saints after the Judgment is mentioned ( $\beta$ acıleúqovatv cis $\tau$. aî̀vas $\tau$. aióvшv), there is not the remotest reference to any special or other priesthood of the faithful.

Bacı入eúfouglv $\mu \varepsilon \mathrm{t}^{\prime}$ aùroû. The scene of this reign is given in


7-10. Close of the Millennial Kingdom and of its evangelizing activities. Thereupon follow the loosing of Satan, the march of Gog and Magog-all the faithless upon the earth-against the Beloved City, their destruction by supernatural means, and the casting of Satan into the lake of fire. The Seer does not say what became of the Heavenly Jerusalem, but its withdrawal from the earth with Christ and the glorified martyrs before the

Judgment is presupposed, while its return to the new earth in a renewed form is definitely stated in xxi. 2. Since "the Beloved City" in xx. 9 is the Heavenly Jerusalem, the saints referred to in the same verse include the risen martyrs and the converts from among the nations.

The same order of events appears in Sibyll. Or. iii. 662-701, i.e. the advent of the Messiah, the establishment of His kingdom, the attack of the nations on Jerusalem, and the destruction of the invading hosts by God. In certain sections ( $A^{1}, A^{9}, A^{8}$ ) of 2 Baruch (ist century A.d.; see my edition, pp. liit. sqq.) the writers look forward to a temporary Messianic kingdom preceded by the Messianic woes, a beneficent domination of the world by the Messiah preceded by the destruction of the antichristian powers, and of such heathen powers as had been in any way associated with them. In the Son of Man Vision in 4 Ezra xiii. the Messianic woes come first (xiii. 30-3r), then the manifestation of the Messiah (xiii. 32), the assault of the heathen nations on the Messiah, and their destruction by Him, xiii. 33-34, and the manifestation of the Heavenly Jerusalem, xiii. 36. For yet another scheme of the last things see 4 Eara iv. $5^{6-v .}$. $3^{\text {h }}$, vi. 11-28, vii. 26-44 (Box's edition, p. IIr). According to a contemporary of our author, R. Eliezer ben Hyrkanos or R. Eliezer the Great, the woes of the Messiah were to come first, then the day of Gog and Magog, and then the Judgment. If we pass on to the Coptic Apocalypse of Elias (a Jewish work edited by a Christian, 2nd century A.D.) we find the order of events as follows: the destruction of Antichrist and his adherents, the advent of Christ with His saints, the creation of the new heaven and the new earth and the Millennial Kingdom! In the Hebrew Apocalypse of Elijah (3rd century A.d.) Gog and Magog appear after a Messianic reign of forty years. On their annihilation follow the Judgment and the descent of Jerusalem from heaven.

It will be observed that, though each of the works above cited differs in some respect from our text, in some respects they all agree with it.

It is obvious at a glance that our author here forsakes the apocalyptic style and adopts the prophetic. But he has already done so in $6^{\text {cld }}$, and in $9-10$ he reverts to his apocalyptic style. Further, there are no grounds in this section itself for assuming a source, since there is not a single construction at variance with our author's style, though there are new phrases as might be expected in describing new events.
7. $\lambda v \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma$ etal. Cf. $\lambda v \theta \hat{\eta} v a r$ in 3 and the same verb in ix. 14 used in the same sense. ik T. фu入aкฑ̂s ad̀oô. Cf. ii. 10 [xviii. 2] for the word фuдany.
 In 3 Satan was cast into the abyss, iva $\mu \eta{ }_{\eta} \pi \lambda a v \dot{\eta} \sigma \eta$ évı rà è $\theta \nu \eta$.
iv rais refocapor ywiats rīs Yīs. Cf. vii. I where this phrase has already occurred.
tor riry kal Maydy. Three matters call for consideration here: 1. The names. 2. The duplication of the invasion by and the judgment of the heathen nations, i.e. before and after the setting up of the Messianic Kingdom. 3. The comprehension of these terms in our text. 1. Magog first appears in Gen. x. 2 as a son of Japheth, but in the Mass. of Ezek. xxxviii. 2 Magog is represented as the land from which Gog came: i.e. "Gog of the land
 Peshitto, however, have "toward Gog and (+'toward,' Pesh.) the land of Magog," a reading which prepares the way for the later view current in Judaism, which conceived Gog and Magog to be two different leaders. In Ezek. xxxix. 6 Magog seems to be the name of a people. Gog is the foe whose invasion from the north had been prophesied by Jeremiah (iii.-vi.) and by Zephaniah (i. 7), but whose coming had hitherto been looked for in vain. The name Gog is undoubtedly ancient; for it is found in the Tel Amarna letters (i400 B.c.) in the form Gâgaja as a designation of the northern nations. In Jubilees viii. 25 the land of Gog is mentioned. Gog is identified with the Scythians by Josephus (Ant. i. 6. 1) and by the Chronicles of Jerachmeel (xxxi. 4). In Jub. vii. 19, ix. 8, Magog appears as a son of Japheth, as in Gen. x. 2. The same idea that underlies the LXX of Ezek. xxxviii. 2 is definitely set forth in Sibyll. Or. iii. 512, 519:
and 3 19 sq. :


By the second century b.c. this invasion of Palestine by the two peoples Gog and Magog was clearly expected.
2. The duplication of the attack on Jerusalem (see p. 46), i.e. before and after the establishment of the Messianic Kingdom and of the judgment on the heathen nations. The first attestation of this conception is, of course, in Ezek. xxxvii. 21 -xxxix. In xxxvii. 21-28 the kingdom with the Messiah, the son of David, is established after the Captivity. Thereupon follows the final attack of the heathen nations upon it in xxarvii.-ix. In the fragment, I Enoch lvi. 5-8, we have a description of such an attack. In 4 Ezra xiii. 5, 8-9, 28-35 there is another account
of this final assault of the heathen nations on the Messiah and on Mount Zion, or the Heavenly City, xiii. 35-36 (cf. 6), just as in our text.

In many of the authorities it is only the hostile heathen hosts that are destroyed: cf. Pss. Sol. xvii. 32 ; 2 Bar. lxxii. 4 ; Tobit xiii. 11, xiv. 6 sq.; Sanh. 105a; but in most Jewish writings after 100 a.D. a harsher view prevails as to the future desting of all the Gentiles.

Grgg and Magog are frequently mentioned in Rabbinic works. These nations march against God and the Messiah, Aboda-zara, $3^{\text {b }}$. This war and the last judgment were to last twelve months according to the Rabbi Aqiba, Edujoth, ii. io. Other references to Gog and Magog will be found in Ber. $7^{\mathrm{b}}$ and also in the Targ. Jer. on Num. xi, 26, where it is recorded that Medad prophesied : "In fine extremitatis dierum Gog et Magog et exercitus ejus ascendent contra Jerusalem, et per manus regis Messiae cadent, et septem annis integris ignem accendent filii Israel ex eorum instrumentis bellicis" (cf. also Targ. Jon. in loc.). In the Targ. Jon. on Ex. xl. it, Num. xxiv. 17, Gog's armies are mentioned, and in the Targ. Jer. on Deut. xxxii. 39 and on Isa. xxxiii. 22. See Weber, Bousset, and Volz in loc.
3. The terms "Gog and Magog" comprehend all the faithless upon the earth. These are all destroyed by fire from heaven in 9. Hence the earth is left without inhabitant at the close of the Millennal Kingdom. Since the faithful at the close of the thousand years withdraw from the earth along with the Heavenly Jerusalem, there is no longer upon the earth any in whom is the breath of human life. At this point our Apocalypse agrees with 4 Ezra vii. 29-30, which declares that the world will revert "into the primeval silence . . . like as in the first beginnings, so that no man is left": cf. 2 Bar. iii. 7, "Shall the world return to its nature (of aforetime) and the age revert to primeval silence?"
 occurred in xvi. 14.

 xxii. 17 ; Jos. xi. 4 ; Judg. vii. 12 , etc. The phrase $\dot{\eta}{ }_{\boldsymbol{\eta}}{ }^{2} \mu \mu \mathrm{os} \tau \boldsymbol{\eta} \mathrm{j}$ $\theta a \lambda$ ácorns has already occurred in a literal sense in xii. 18 .
9. duißpoav. Cf. I Enoch lvi. 6 sq. where the Parthians and Medes are mentioned:
"And they shall go up and tread under foot the land of His elect ones . . .
But the city of My righteous shall be a hindrance to their horses."

Also Zech. xii. 3. dyaßalve is the word always used in connec-
tion with the pilgrims going up to Jerusalem. We might compare the "Songs of the Ascents"-the title of certain of the later Psalms sung by the pilgrims as they approached the Holy City. Cf. Ezek. xxxviii. 9, 11, 16 of the going up of Gog to Jerusalem. Bousset and Gunkel explain the à $\dot{\beta} \beta \eta \sigma a v$ of the march of Gog and Magog from the outlying periphery of the earth to the mountain of God lying in the centre of the earth.
 words. I. "Through the breadth of the earth." This phrase is found in the LXX of Hab. i. 6 (in connection with the march of the Chaldean army against Jerusalem) where it is a translation of לִמרחַבִי אֵרץ. 2. It is suggested that this phrase describes the goal, towards which Gog and Magog were marching, i.e. Jerusalem, which in Ezek. xxxviii. 12 is called the centre or navel of the earth. Wellhausen suggests that the Greek phrase before us = nincip

ekứneuaar. Here and in John x. 24 only in the N.T.
 City. If the heathen nations had the daring to attack the supernatural Messiah and His elect (xvii. 14) it is not surprising that they should assault His city.
 designated "the beloved." For a thousand years it has been the seat of Christ's Kingdom and the centre of the evangelizing efforts of the Church. Thus its record stands out in strong contrast with that of the earthly Jerusalem, which according to our author " is called spiritually Sodom and Egypt " (xi. 8). As such it rightly perished at the hands of the antichristian power of Rome. But far other is the destiny of "the beloved city." When Gog and Magog have been destroyed by fire and Satan cast down into the lake of fire, the Holy City, which had come down from heaven, is presupposed to be withdrawn from the sphere of the former heaven and the former earth, and after that it has itself been renewed (observe xxi. 2, тìv $\pi a ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~ t \grave{\eta} v ~ a ́ y i a v ~ ' I є p a v \sigma a \lambda \grave{\eta} \mu$ kaupfy) to return from heaven from God to the new earth.

That an assault on Christ and the Holy City should be deemed an inconceivable event by a few scholars can only be due to their want of acquaintance not only with Jewish and Christian Apocalyptic but even with the text of our author. For in our author we find the Parthian kings making war upon the Lamb and the elect (xvii. 14), while in xix. 19 the kings of the earth and their armies levy war on Christ and His hosts from heaven (xix. 14). These passages refer to events at the intiation of the Millennial Kingdom. In 4 Ezra xiii., as in our text, the heathen nations (xni. 5, 33, 34) assail the Messiah, who came
flying with the clouds of heaven (xiii. 3) and brought with Him the Heavenly Jerusalem (xiii. 6, 35, 36), but with a flaming Sreath from His lips He burnt them all up so that there was nothing more to be seen of them "save only dust of ashes and smell of smoke" (xiii. 10-11, 38). We have here almost a perfect parallel to the account in our text from what was practically a contemporary Jewish source. For we have a supernatural Messiah, a Heavenly Jerusalem established on Mount Zion, an assault of the heathen nations, and their destruction by fire. There is, of course, one outstanding difference between the two accounts, i.e. that whereas these events are connected with the first advent of the Messiah in the Jewish document, they are incidents belonging to the second advent of Christ in the Christian Apocalypse.

Likewise in 4 Ezra xil. 32-34 we have a description of the Messiah destroying the heathen nations - especially Rome. Similarly in 2 Bar. xl. 1 sq., lxxii. 2 sqq. That He is a supernatural Messiah whom the nations have attacked is to be inferred from the description in 4 Ezra xiii. $3^{\text {b }}, 26$, xiv. 9 , and 2 Bar. xxx. I. We have already become familiar with this conception of the Messiah in I Enoch xxxvii.-luxi.

 $\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda \hat{\omega} \pi \hat{\imath} \rho$ $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \quad \Gamma \dot{\omega} \gamma$, and the references given in the preceding note from 4 Ezra in exactly analogous circumstances: 2 Thess. i. 8, ii. 8; Asc. Is. iv. I8. So far as words go, a perfect parallel to karéß $\quad$. . . aûroús is found in 2 Kings i. ro.
katéфаyer aüroús. All the hosts of Gog and Magog are burnt up-that is all the faithless upon the earth (see note 3 on 8 above). At the close of ro we are left to infer that the Holy City has withdrawn with all the faithful from the earth. Thereupon follows the Judgment of the risen dead by God Himself, from whose presence the former outworn heaven and earth vanish into nothingness.

 каi. Cf. xi. 8 : also (for öтov without каi) ii. 13, ӧтоv . . . iкeí, xii. 6, 14. Cf. xvii. 9. ßacaviotijбoитal (cf. ix. 5, xiv. 10). ग̂ $\mu$ épas кal vuktós (cf. iv. 8, vii. 15 , xii. 10, xiv. 11). eis toùs aitevas ти้̂ ai@luv (i. 6, 18, iv. 9, 10, v. 13, vii. 12, x. 6, xi. 15, etc.).

11-16. Vision of the great white throne and of Him who sat thereon. Disappearance of the former heaven and earth. Judgment of the dead. Death and hell cast into the lake of fire. This vision consists of four stanzas of three lines each. This vision has suffered at the hands of interpolators.
11. atboy 0porov $\mu$ 'rav. As distinct from those mentioned in
iv. 4, xx. 4, this throne is designated $\mu$ é $\gamma a v$. Moreover, whereas God has assessors seated on adjoining thrones in Dan. vii. 9, here He judges alone. With this line and the text which refers to God we might compare Isa. vi. I, "I saw the Lord sitting upon a throne . . . and His train filled the temple." In our text there is not the same explicitness. God is referred to indeed under the unmistakable designation ó kaӨท́ruevos $\mathbf{i \pi i}$ ròv Opóvov, but the place of the judgment is not specified. The latter is only natural; for before the judgment has taken place ( $12-15$ ) the former heaven and earth have vanished into nothingress ( $1 \mathrm{I}^{\text {bo }}$ ). Hence the great white throne is conceived as the only thing (save the Heavenly Jerusalem which was to be renewed) that survives the annihilation of all that belongs to the first heaven and the first earth, and as situated somewhere in illimitable space. It is before this great white throne that the risen souls clothed in spiritual bodies and unclothed, i.e. those of the righteous and of the wicked, appear. Since this throne was created before the world (Berisheth rab. i.), as the eternal place of God, it could well be conceived as surviving the world's annihilation. According to 4 Ezra vii. 33 also, "the Most High shall be revealed upon the throne of judgment " after the first world has disappeared (vii. 3 I ; see p. 198). It was different, however, in earlier Apocalypses, where the Messianic Kingdom was everlasting on the present earth and was of necessity preceded by the Final Judgment. In such cases the Final Judgment took place upon the earth, and the throne of judgment was set up in Palestine, 1 Enoch xc. 20. In Joel iiı. 2, 12, 14-16 and I Enoch liii. I the valley of Jehoshaphat is the scene of this judgment and the agents are the angels (Joel iii. 13).

The Final Judgment is reserved in our text for God Himself; but this Judgment has to do only with the dead, or rather those risen from the dead, both good and bad, whereas the judgment of the living in xiv. 14, 18-20, xvi. 14, xix. $11-21, \times x .7-10$ is committed wholly to Christ. In assigning the Final Judgment exclusively to the Father even in this limited form (see, however, xxii. 13, 12) our author stands apart from the doctrine presented in John
 $\delta^{\prime} \delta \omega \kappa \kappa \nu \tau \hat{\psi} v i \not ̂, ~ a n d ~ M a t t . ~ v i i . ~ 22, ~ 23, ~ x v i . ~ 27, ~ x x v . ~ 31-46 ; ~ A c t s ~$ xvii. 3I; 2 Cor. v. ro. Cf. 1 Enoch xlv. 3, lxix. 27 ; 2 Bar. lxxii. 2-6. On the other hand, God alone is mentioned in this relation in Matt. vi. 4, 6, 14, 15, 18, xviii. 35, while St. Paul sometimes ascribes the Final Judgment to God, Rom. xiv. Io, and sometimes to Christ, 2 Cor. v. ro.

入cukiv. This epithet may point to the absolute equity of the Judgment. Or since according to our text and 4 Ezra vii. 31 the first world and all that belongs to it have vanished, and
according to 4 Ezra vii. 41-42, "there is neither sun nor moon nor stars . . . neither shining nor brightness nor light, but only the splendowr of the glory of the Most High" ( $=$ throne of God is lighted up with the splendour of the Shekinah, and hence it is the centre of light in the illimitable vastness of space. Whether this is so or not, it is the glory of God alone that lights up the universe. See note on r . Sófav rov̂ $\theta \in 0 \hat{\text {, }}$ xxi. 10; also xxi. 23, note.
 When we take this line in connection with that which follows, it is clear that there is here taught the literal vanishing of the former heaven and earth into nothingness. As I have shown in my Eschatology ${ }^{2}$, 127-128, the older doctrine in the O.T. was the eternity of the present order of things. This was the received view down to the $2 n d$ century b.c. From the rst century b.c. onward in Judaism and Christianity, the transitoriness of the present heaven and earth was universally accepted. Cf. Mark xiii. 31, ó oúpavòs каì ท̀ $\gamma \dot{\eta}$ rape入ev́cortal.

From the words before us we must conclude that before the Judgment began both heaven and earth had vanished into nothingness. This conclusion is of great importance when we come to deal with 13. That this was a contemporary Jewish doctrine we find from 2 Enoch lxv. 6, "when all creation visible and invisible . . . shall end, then every man goes to the great judgment." See also 4 Erra vii. 3 1-36 (in the note on 13 below) where the same view is taught.

18. Since the verses that precede and follow 12 consist each of three lines, we naturally expect that 12 originally consisted of three lines also. When we examine the text we discover one or two disturbing glosses. When these are excised this verse is parallel in structure to that which precedes and that which follows it. But this verse should be transposed after 13 , since it presupposes it.
rous vexpoús, i.e. the rest of the dead who had no share in the first resurrection. Only the dead appear before the great white throne. As Christ had judged the quick in a series of judgments (see note on 11), so God Himself judges all the dead save those who had part in the first resurrection. From 11 taken in conjunction with 13 it is to be concluded that our author has no thought here of a bodily resurrection-save in the case of the righteous dead, who would naturally as in I Cor. xv. appear in their spiritual bodies. As regards the wicked, however, the case is different. These would appear simply as disembodied souls-"naked"-in a spiritual environment withọut a bodywithout the capacity for communication with or means of expres-
sion in that environment. Every wicked soul, therefore, would be thus shut up within itself and form its own hell even before it was cast into the lake of fire.
[rous $\mu$ eydious kal tou's $\mu$ ckpoús.] Elsewhere our author writes toìs $\mu$ кро̀̀s кai тò̀s $\mu$ еүádovs: cf. xi. 18, xiii. 16, xix. 5 , 18 (the usual order in the O.T.). But he may have here deliberately changed the order to emphasize the judgment which awaits the great ones of the earth, and which they so often escaped on earth. In the O.T. the order $\tau, \mu \in \gamma$. kai $\tau$. $\mu$ uкpou's is found in Jonah iii. 5, but in 1 Sam. v. 9 ; Jer. vi. r3, xxxi. 34; Ps. cxv. 13 we have the usual order toùs $\mu$ ккрò̀s кai toùs $\mu \mathrm{e}$ јä入ovs.

 I Enoch ${ }^{2}$ xc. 20, "The other took the sealed books and opened those books before the Lord of the Sheep"; 4 Ezra vi. 20, "The books shall be opened before the face of the firmament "; 2 Bar. xxiv. r, "Behold the days come and the books shall be opened in which are written the sins of all who have sinned"; Asc. Isa. ix. 22. On these books see my note on 1 Enoch ${ }^{2}$ xdvii. 3, which deals with three distinct classes of heavenly books, two of which are mentioned in our text.
 register of the righteous. Cf. I Enoch xlvii. 3, "The books of the living were opened before Him" (see note in loc.; also on iii. 5 of the present text). The explanatory clause looks like a gloss. Seeing that this book has already been mentioned with the article in iii. 5, xiii. 8, xvii. 8, such a clause is needless at all events. The relation of the book of life and the books is well stated by Alford. These "books and the book of life bore independent witness to the fact of men being or not being among the saved: the one by inference from the works recorded: the other by inscription or non-inscription of the name in the list. So the books could be as the 'vouchers' for the book of life."
[kard rd épya aúrêv]. This phrase is a mere tautology here. It is interpolated from $\mathrm{I}_{3}$, where it rightly occurs. If the dead
 thing as saying èkpiөך
18. This verse should be transferred before 12.



This stanza betrays in its present form a hopeless confusion of thought, which can only be due to deliberate change of the text. The context cannot admit of a resurrection of the physical body from the sea-seeing that the sea and everything pertaining to it
had vanished. And yet this is the only natural meaning of $13^{\mathrm{a}}$. Hence, if $13^{2}$ is original and we hold fast to the natural sense, $11^{b}$ cannot be original. But the originality of $11^{\text {b }}$ cannot be questioned, for xxi. $4^{\text {d }}, 5$ presuppose it. Accordingly we must either interpret $13^{4}$ in a wholly non-natural sense as defining not the place of departed souls but the means by which certain men perished, i.c. the sea, and $13^{\mathrm{b}}$ as giving the intermediate abode of all souls, which had died on sea or land, save only those of the martyrs. The general sense then would be : all souls together with their bodies-even those lost at sea ( $13^{n}$ )-are given up by Hades for judgment before the great white throne.

But it is only by a quite illegitimate tour de force that such a meaning can be wrested from the words. $13^{2}$ clearly presupposes the sea at once as existing and delivering up the bodies that had been engulfed in it, at the same time that Hades is represented as delivering up the souls that were in it. Body and soul would thus be reunited. The only natural meaning, then, of $13^{\mathrm{ab}}$ is in conflict with the unmistakable statement in II ${ }^{\text {b }}$ that sea is now non-existent, and with the presupposition that underlies the entire book, i.e. that the wicked rise as disembodied souls (see additional note on vi. 11). ${ }^{1}$ Only the righteous are to possess
${ }^{1}$ The doctrine of a bodily resurrection is consistently taught in 1 Enoch xxxvii.-lxxi. There the general resurrection takes place before the Judgment, while the former heaven and the former earth still subsist. In lxi. 5 we find an account of the resurrection of

> "Those who had been destroyed by the desert, And those who had been devoured by the beasts, And those who had been devoured by the fish of the sea."

Here quite distmetly a physical resurrection is described. Further in the same work in li. I the body and soul are raised separately and reunited at the Final Judgment. In the case of the righteous a transformation of the physical body into a spiritual is presupposed in the rest of this section (lxii. 15, 16).
"And in those days shall the earth also give back that which was entrusted to It ,
And Sheol also shall give back that which it has received,
And hell ( = Abaddon) shall give back that which it owes."
Cf. also Ps.-Philo, de Biblic. Antiquitatibus, iii. 10 :
"Reddet infernus (= Hades) debitum suum, Et perditio (=Abaddon) restituet paratecen suam, Ut reddam unicuique secundum opera sua."
There is a remarkable parallelism here with our text (13). The doctrine of a physical resurrection is enforced dogmatically in 2 Bar. xlix. -li. and Sanh. $9 \mathrm{I}^{2}$. This was the orthodox Rabbinic belief, and it is expressed in the ancient Benediction (Ber. $60^{\circ}$ ) still recited by the plous Jew every morning: "O my God, the soul which Thou gavest me is pure: Thou didst create it . . . and Thou wilt take it from me, but wilt restore it unto me hereafter . . . Blessed art Thou, O Lord, who restorest souls to dead bodies" (see Singer, Awthorised Daily Prayer Book-Hebrew and English, p. 5).

But this crass materialistic doctrine does not belong to the N.T. Such a
bodies, i.e. spiritual bodies. Finally, Sheol in our author is the abode, not of righteous but of wicked or indifferent souls. As we shall see presently, righteous souls (save those of the martyrs) were preserved in "the treasuries."
 aủrŷ there is a deliberate change of $\tau \grave{\alpha} \tau a \mu \varepsilon i a$ into $\eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \theta \dot{\alpha} \lambda a \sigma \sigma \alpha$ in order to introduce the idea of a physical resurrection. These tapica (or "treasuries") contained the souls of the righteous (with the exception of the martyrs who were already in heaven), whereas $\ddagger \delta \eta s$ was the abode of the wicked souls. Thus we should have:
> "And the treasuries gave up the dead that were in them, And death and Hades gave up the dead that were in them,
> And they were judged every man according to their works."

Our text thus, like the Pauline Epistles, teaches a resurrection of persons ("the dead" so called), not a resurrection of dead bodies even though in company with souls. The personality of the righteous is complete-the soul clothed with a spiritual body: the personality of the unrighteous is incomplete-the soul is without a body-without the power of expressing itself or receiving impressions from without. $\operatorname{Sin}$ is ultimately selfdestructive.

That such deliberate perversions of the text took place early -probably in the and century A.D.-I shall show presently. In the meantime it is our task to prove that in apocalyptic circles, to which our author belonged, it was the accopted belief that the souls of the righteous were preserved in certain "treasuries." The word "treasuries" (in the Latin of 4 Ezra promptuaria, and in the Syriac of 4 Ezra and 2 Baruch $\left.\mid \hat{3} \mathrm{j} 0{ }^{i}\right)$ clearly goes back to the Hebrew אוצרים, which is used also in the Talmud occasionally in this sense, and which in the Midr. rabba on Ecclesiastes iii. 21 (Weber ${ }^{2}, 33^{8}$ ) is said to be placed in the heavenly height. These treasuries are first referred to in I Enoch C. $_{5}$, though not by name:
"And over all the righteous and holy He will appoint guardians from among the holy angels,
To guard them as the apple of an eye,

[^24]Until He makes an end of all wickedness and all sin,
And though the righteous sleep a long sleep, they bave nought to fear."

To these treasuries only the souls of the righteous were admitted. From this passage in I Enoch (early in the rst century b.c.) we come down to two Jewish works practically contemporary with our author, i.e. 2 Bar. and 4 Ezra. In 2 Bar. xxi. 23 , we have a remarkable confirmation of the above emendation of $13^{\circ}$; for in $x x i .23$ the angel of death is mentioned, then Sheol as the intermediate abode of wicked souls, and then the treasuries of the souls of the righteous. These treasuries are to be opened after the close of the Messianic Kingdom, 2 Bar. $x \times x$. 2, and the souls of the righteous to come forth at the Final Judgment. In 4 Ezra iv. 41-42 we find a second confirmation of the above restoration of $13^{\text {a }}$.
"And he said unto me: Sheol and the treasuries of souls are like the womb: 42. For just as she who is in travail makes haste to escape the anguish of the travail; even so do these places hasten to deliver what has been entrusted to them from the beginning." Here as Sheol (cf. viii. 53) is the abode of unrighteous souls, so the treasuries are the abode of righteous souls (cf. iv. 35, vii. 80). These treasuries were to restore the souls of the righteous at the Final Judgment, vii. 32, 95. These treasuries are likewise designated "habitations" (habitacula : cf. "mansions" or "abiding places," rovaí, John xiv. 2) in vii. 85, 101, 121 .

We have thus proved that towards the close of the ist century A.D. as well as earlier and later the souls of the righteous were conceived of as being guarded and at rest (cf. I Enoch c. 5 ; 4 Ezra vii. 95) in certain places called "treasuries," and that from these the souls of the righteous came forth at the Final Judgment.

Such a conception as the above would suit our text perfectly; for the Final Judgment has come, and since it is a judgment both of the rightoous and the wicked, we expect some reference to the former in our text. By the proposed restoration we recover this reference.

But the evidence in favour of this restoration is not yet complete. For an examination of our author's use of the word \# $\delta \mathrm{j} \boldsymbol{\eta}$ s shows that he uses it in a bad sense as the temporary abode of wicked souls. This is evident from the next verse (xx. 14), where it is said that death and Hades are cast down into the lake of fire Hence ${ }^{\mathbf{d}} \boldsymbol{8} \boldsymbol{y}$ s bears no neutral complexion in our author. Had it done so, it would simply have vanished into nothingness like the earth ( $\mathrm{Ir}^{\mathrm{b}}$ ), but it is hurled into the abyss where Satan and his servants are tormented for ever and ever.

Thus, unless the text is restored as above suggested, there
would only be a judgment of wicked souls, but by the above restoration we have a General Judgment of the righteous and the wicked.

It is significant that in 4 Ezra vii. $3^{1-36}$ we find an analogous depravation of the text with a like object, that is, to introduce the idea of a physical resurrection. As might be expected, the thought of the text is thereby hopelessly confused. In vii. $3^{1--36}$ we have a description in couplets of the new heaven and the new earth taking the place of the old which as corruptible had passed away.
31. "And it shall be that after seven days the Age, which is not yet awake, shall be aroused,
And that which is corruptible shall perish." ${ }^{1}$
$32^{\mathrm{D}} \cdot \quad . \quad . \quad . \quad . \quad . \quad . \quad . \quad$.
$3^{2}$. And the treasuries shall restore those that were committed unto them.
33. And the Most High shall be revealed upon the throne of judgment. ${ }^{2}$
And compassion shall pass away
And longsuffering shall disappear. ${ }^{\text {B }}$
34. But judgment alone shall remain, Truth shall stand and faithfulness flourish.
35. And recompense shall follow,

And the reward be made manifest."
Box, following Kabisch, has through a strange misconception obelized $32^{\circ}$ as an interpolation. But manifestly $3^{2 \mathrm{ab}}$ is the intruder.


[^25]"to give up." It is not a classical use, nor so far as I am aware a Hellenistic one.
$\delta$ Odivatos xai $\delta$ ఫ̈dins. As pointed out in the preceding note, qions can be here only the abode of unrighteous souls (as in 1 Enoch lxiii. 10 xcix. 1 r, ciii. 7; Pss. Sol. xiv. 6, xv. 11), seeing that in the next verse it is cast into the lake of fire. Death and Hades have already been found together in i. 18 (n.), vi. 8 : also in Ps.-Philo, De Bibl. Antiquitatibus. In the latter work the destruction of death and Hades are followed as in our text by the creation of a new heaven and a new earth.

> " Et extinguetur mors, Et infernus ( = Hades) claudet os suum . . . Et erit terra alia et caelum aliud."

The alia and aliud here obviously go back to érepos which as distingulshed from $a \lambda \lambda$ os involves a distinction in kind. While
 negation of likeness in kind. In 2 Bar. xxi. 23, when Sheol and the treasuries have yielded up the souls in them, the new world promised by God was to be manifested, xxi. 25. This accords with the order of events in our text, xx. 13, xxi. 1 . In 2 Enoch lxv. 10 it is said that "all corruptible things shall pass away, and there shall be eternal life."
 lxi1. 13 ; Jer. xvil. 10, Matt. x. 32, 33.

14-15. These two verses originally formed the concluding stanza of this section. The text as it stands is impossible.
 can only be made in reference to human beings, who have undergone the first death, i.e. the physical one. Hence, if it belongs to the text, it does not do so in its present position. Here, though a number of cursives, the Sahidic Version and Primasius omit this line, the grounds for its rejection in its present position are not to be sought in textual evidence, since its intrusion is anterior to all such evidence. The real grounds for its rejection are that the statement is absolutely devoid of meaning. Even if death and Hades be regarded as persons, we cannot conceive (cf. Haussleiter, Die Lateinische Apocalypse, 213) how the words ó $\theta$ ávaros $\dot{o}$ סev́repos can be applied to them. Moffatt suggests that this line was displaced from its original position after 15 . There are, in fact, only these two alternatives. Either ( I ) the line is to be rejected as a gloss, and we must read as follows:

## 





Or (2) what appears here as the first and second lines must be read as the first, and what appears here as the third and fourth lines must be read as the second, and outros o $\theta$ ávaros ó deúrepós $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota v, \dot{\eta} \lambda_{i \mu \nu \eta}^{\prime}$ roù rupós be read as the third. This latter arrangement is not free from serious objections. These are two. First, there does not appear any reasonable ground for the misplacement of the clause. In the next place, the clause in itself is an unmeaning mis-statement. The lake of fire is not the second death, but the second death is the lot of those who are cast into the lake of fire. The right definition of the second death is given in xxi. 8. The present writer, therefore, regards the clause as originally a marginal gloss drawn from xxi. 8 and subsequently incorporated into the text.



[oûtos $\delta$ ब́duatos ктл.] See note above and cf. xxi. 8.
 $\lambda_{i \mu \nu \eta \nu}$ toû mupbs. See note on ix. I on the significance of this phrase.

CHAPTER XXI. $5^{\text {a }}, 4^{\text {a }}, 5^{\text {b }}, 6^{\text {a }}$, 1- $4^{\text {ebe }}$, XXII. $3-5$. Introduction.

## The New Heaven, the New Earth, and the New Jerusalem, with its blest inhabitants.

§ i. Now that all evil has been destroyed for ever, and all evil agents have been cast into the lake of fire, that the former heaven and earth have vanished, the final judgment brought to a close, and death and Hades destroyed, God creates a new heaven and a new earth, and summons into being the New Jerusalem. In this city, which would never know tears, nor grief, nor crying, nor any pain nor curse, God will dwell with men, and His throne, which is also that of the Lamb, will be in it, and His servants, whose character, as God's own possession, shall henceforth be blazoned on their brows, shall serve Him and they shall see His Face. And God will cause the light of His Face to shine upon them in perpetual benediction, and they shall reign for ever and ever.

That this section was written by our author is undeniable It forms the natural clımax and the fitting close to all that has gone before, and the nature of the blessedness of the new heaven and the new earth and the new city is in keeping with all that is foresharlowed in the earlier visions of the Seer. The diction and the idium are our author's.

## § 2．Diction．

 ix．12，xi．14，xxi．1．mape $\lambda \theta$ eiv is used in this connection in Synoptics and St．Paul：cf．Mark xiii． $31=$ Matt．xxiv． $35=$ Luke xxi．33； 2 Cor．v．17，тà ápxaía $\pi a p \hat{j} \lambda$ 亿oov．
$\sigma^{\text {b }}$ ．kaıvd note $\pi$ divta．These words summarize the action of God on the world．The faithful receive a new name，ii．17， iii．12；they sing a new song，v．9，xiv． 3 ；and a new heaven， earth，and a new city are created to be their habitation， xxi．1， 2.

1．ذ трêtos ouparós．For this position of крйтos cf．ii．5． drŷ入0av．See note on xxi． $4^{d}$ above．
 iii．12．катаßaírourav iк $\tau$ ．ouparoî ámò toû $\theta$ eô̂：cf．iii．12，


 ë́val Өcès aủvش̂v：cf．xxi． $7^{\text {bo }}$ ．
 tóvos：cf．xvi．io， 1 I．





Idiom．
 notes on vii．3，xni． 16.

6．oúx Éxouour xpeiar ．．．фف̈s 亣入iou ：cf．iii． 17 for this extraordınary construction．ゆurioct $\left\langle\pi^{\prime}\right.$ aütoús：a pure Hebraism：see note in loc．Contrast construction in xviii． 1 ， xxi． 23.
 on iv． 2.

God will Create the World afresh－Heaven，Earth，and the Holy City．This New Jerusalem－which is not created till after the Millennial Kingdom－is always spoken of as belonging to the future（i．e．every verb is in the future）：whereas the Jerusalem described in xxi．9－xxii．2，14－15， 17 is described as already existing，though as yet in heaven．

XZI．50，4d，5b．God＇s Declaration at the close of the Final Judgment．

> "The former things have passed away: Behold I make all things new."

We have already（see pp． $15^{1-153}$ ）shown that $x x i .1-4^{\circ}$ and
xxii. 3-5 belong together and form a description by the Seer of the New Jerusalem which is to be the eternal abode of the blessed. This poem consists of six stanzas, the first two of three lines each and the remaining four of four lines each. Next, since it is obvious that xxi. $4^{d}, 5^{\text {ab }}$ do not really belong to what follows nor yet to what precedes in the present text, these dislocated lines, as representing the words of Him, from whose presence the former heaven and earth had vanished into nothingness, who has just judged the world (xx. 11-15), and who has cast all wickedness into the lake of fire, at once claim their rightful position as forming the close of the first creation and the beginning of the new.



```
6. ' \(1 \delta\) ou katva motê mávta.
```

This restoration of the order of the text is supported by the

 refers to the new or spiritual creation of the individual. But in this respect man is a microcosm-an epitome of the universe or the macrocosm.

5a. kai eitrev. The Speaker here as in i. 8, xvi. 1 , 17 , is God Himself, and He speaks, not to the Seer-hence no $\mu \mathrm{oc}$ is added as generally elsewhere in our author: cf. vii. 14, xvii. 7, xxi. 6, xxii. 6-but to the entire world of the blessed. The words are most probably conceived as pronounced from the great white throne at the close of the Final Judgment.
$\dagger\langle\pi i$ т $\uparrow$. $\theta$ póvఱ $\dagger$. We have an error here traceable probably to the disciple of the Seer who edited xx. 4 -xxii. When he makes an addıtion, he generally makes a mistake. Cf. xix. 10, тробкиvฑิбa! aútџิ, which should be av̉róv. We should, of course, have éni tòv $\theta$ póvov: see iv. 2, note.
 God is so described at the beginning of the Final Judgment. Now that character has attained finality and all men have entered on the issues of their conduct, and death and Hades have come to an end, He that sitteth on the throne makes the solemn pronouncement with regard to all that the past: rì $x \rho \hat{T} a \mathrm{a} \pi \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta a \mathrm{y}$. The first world and all that essentially belongs to it as distinct from the second and spiritual world have vanished for ever.

 xi. 14, xxi. I. That tà $\pi \rho \hat{\omega}$. $\dot{a} \pi \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta a \nu$ is to be taken im-

in itself. But there is some external evidence that confirms the combination of $4^{\text {d }}$ and $5^{\circ}$; for, while it is recognized on all hands that Isa. xliii. 18, 19 was in the mind of our author here, we find on turning to this passage that the two main ideas in $4^{\text {d }}$ and $5^{\text {b }}$ are already brought together (though in a more primitive and limited form), and set forth as a divine utterance as here:

The two ideas are also brought together in 2 Cor. v. 17, rà

 a new earth cf. Isa. lxv. 17, lxvi. 22; Ps. cii. 25-26: see note on xxii. I of our text. But in the current Apocalypses this idea was a familiar one. Thus in 1 Enoch xci. 16 (before 170 B.c. ?) we find:

> "The first heaven shall depart and pass away, And a new heaven shall appear";
in lxxii. r (before rio b.c.) : "Till the new creation is accomplished which dureth till eternity"; in xlv. 4 ( $94-64$ B.C.):
"And I will transform the heaven and make it an eternal blessing and light,
And I will transform the earth and make it a blessing ";
Jub. i. 29 (before roy A.d.) : "From the day of the creation till the heavens and the earth are renewed"; also in 2 Bar. lvii. 2 (before 70 A.D.):
" And belief in the coming judgment was then generated,
And hope of the world that was to be renewed was then built up,
And the promise of the life that should come hereafter was implanted";
xliv. 12 (after 70 A.D.): "And the new world which does not turn to corruption those who depart to its blessedness "; xaxii. 6 : "When the Mighty One will renew His creation"; 4 Ezra vii. 75: "Until those times come in which Thou shalt renew Thy creation." In the N.T. cf. Matt. xix. 28; Acts iii. 21; 2 Pet. iii.


 clour]. As these words cannot be assigned to God, they are assigned to an angel. But if we accept this explanation we should here have an instance of bathos-an error in style of
which our author is never guilty. When God Himself declares in the hearing of the Seer that He recreates the world, His words do not require to be confirmed either directly or indirectly by any angel or archangel. The clause oito oi גóyoc... $d \lambda \eta t v o i$ is repeated from xxii. 6, where it is full of significance in the mouth of Christ. ótt may ( r ) introduce a statement or (2) give 2 reason. But it is not used in the first sense elsewhere in our author after $\gamma$ páqov, cf. ii. 1, 8, 12, 18, iii. 1, 7, 14, xiv. 13. Even if we take it in the second sense ("because") the bathos of the statement still remains unrelieved. Hence $5^{\text {c }}$ appears to be an interpolation. That Christ should solemnly authenticate the truth of these visions in xxii. 6 is wholly fitting.
 (English translation : see vol. ii. 443, note 3). An interpolation ? If original, the words come from God or from the angelus interpres. The Seer hears God's first declaration: "Behold I make all things new," and following immediately thereupon the words: "They have become (new)." After this the Seer sees the new heaven and the new earth and the New Jerusalem (xxi. 1-4 ${ }^{\text {abo }}$, xxii. 3-5). On the form $\gamma$ fyovav see Blass, Gram. p. 46.
XXI. 1-dabo, XXII. 8-5. The vision of the new heaven and the new earth and the descent of the New Jerusalem adorned as a bride for her husband. God is to tabernacle with men, and never more is there to be grief or pain or tears or death, and all the faithful are to reign for ever and ever.

1. etsor oúpandr kauvò кaì yîv кaumin. On the meaning of kalvós as distingurshed from véos see iii. 12, note. On the new heaven and the new earth see the note on xxi. $4^{\text {d above, p. } 203 .}$ In Isa. lxv. 17 the actual phrase used by our author is found: "For behold I create a new heaven and a new earth" (בי־הנני בורא שׁמים חרשׁׂים וארץ חרשה) where the LXX has jàp $\dot{\delta}$ oúparòs кauvòs кaì $\dot{\eta} \gamma_{\hat{\eta}}^{\text {кaum }}$. Wetstein quotes the Debarim rab. S. xi.: "Cum Moses ante obitum oraret, coelum et terra et omnis ordo creaturarum commotus est. Tunc dixerunt: Fortasse adest tempus a Deo praestitutum, quo renovandus est orbis universus (לחרשׁ אח עלמו) Sivit autem vox dicens illud tempus nondum advenisse." See Volz, 296 sqq .; Bousset, Rel. d. Judenth. 268 sq.
$\delta$ yd̀p $\pi р \overline{t o s}$ oùpards кai kт $\lambda$. This disappearance of the first heaven and the first earth has already been referred to: cf. $\mathbf{x x} . I^{\text {b }}$.
 statement is found in Test. Levi (ro9-106 b.c.), iv. 1 : "Now know that the Lord shall execute judgment upon the sons of men. Because when the rocks are being rent . . . and the waters dried up." Next in the Assumption of Moses, x. 6:

> "And the sea shall retire into its abyss, And the fountains of waters shall fail, And the rivers shall dry up."

 passages point not wholly to any Semitic horror of the sea in itself, but in some degree perhaps unconsciously to its mythological connection with the Babylonian myth of the Creation, in which the sea is the water monster Tiâmat, the special opponent of the gods. The omission of the sea by John may thus be owing to ats evil associations, many traces of which survive in the O.T. See articles Dragon, Leviathan, Rahab, Serpent, in the Encyc. Biblica. A remarkable parallel in Plutarch's De Iside et Osiride 7 should be observed. There the sea is regarded as an alien element in nature, fraught with



 wholly distinct from that described in xxi. 9-xxii. 2-the seat of the Millennial Kingdom-or it is that city wholly transformed and hence described кaivív: see xxi. 10, note. Since God re-creates all things, xxi. $5^{\mathrm{b}}$, the Holy City is either transformed or created afresh as are the heaven and the earth. This city is clearly distinguished from that in xxi. $9-x x i i .2$. In the latter the saints reign for a thousand years, and the tree of life stands in the street thereof in order that all who were worthy might eat thereof, that is, attain to immortality. But in this city there is no mention of the tree of life: it is not needed; for all its inhabitants are immortal (xxi. $4^{\mathrm{b}}$ ) and reign with God for ever and ever (xxii. 5). With this New Jerusalem we might compare
 $\sigma \alpha \lambda \dot{\eta} \mu$ : and Phil. iii. 20, $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} v$ yàp тò по入ítevua ìv oúpavoîs บัส́ápXct.
karaßairougav dк toû oúpavoû dat̀ toû $\theta$ coû. Cf. iii. 12, xxi. 10.

ṭ̂ dvofi aưtn̂s. Cf. 2 Cor. xi. 2 ; Eph. v. 23.
 The speaker is probably one of the Cherubim.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 'IBod ग̀ } \sigma x \eta v\rangle ̀\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

The word $\sigma \kappa \eta \nu \eta$ here has nothing to do with the Tabernacle (0vio). For in Jewish writings there is no expectation of the restoration of the Tabernacle in the Heavenly Jerusalem. Its
place is always taken by the Temple (cf. Weber ${ }^{2}$, 375-77). But, since our author expressly states (xxi. 22) that there will be no Temple in the Holy City, that is to be the seat of the Millennial Kingdom, it follows that he could not have looked for a restored Tabernacle in the New Jerusalem which was to be the everlasting abode of the blessed. The word $\sigma \kappa \eta \nu \eta$ has already occurred twice. In xiii. 6 it means God's dwelling, i.e. heaven, or rather (?) "His Shekinah" (see note in loc.). In xv. 5, where it is found a second time, we have seen that the text is either corrupt
 is an intolerable, because an unintelligible, expression.

What, then, is the meaning of $\sigma \times \eta v \dot{\eta}$ here? The context appears certainly to favour the interpretation suggested by Dr. Taylor (Pirke Aboth', p. 44). "The two ('Shekinah' and ' Memra') are brought together by St. John . . . ó $\Lambda o ́ y o s ~ \sigma a ̀ p \xi ~$
 its derivatives are chosen on account of their assonance with the Hebrew to express the Shekinah and its dwelling with men-

 author is adapting to a new situation an earlier source, the probability is that he gives a new meaning to the phrase $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\eta}$ oкпทì̀ aưrovi, i.e. "His Shekinah."

Moreover, in Lev. xxvi. II we have a confirmation of the above view. Here the Targum regards the Tabernacle simply as the manifestation of the divine presence. The Hebrew (ונחת (Dשכני בתככם "I will set my tabernacle among them"-LXX
 the Targ. Jon. ואיחן שכינח יקרי בניכון, "I will set the Shekinah of My glory among you." In the next verse we have "the glory of My Shekinah." The word Shekinah which is used as a periphrasis for the divine name is closely associated with the conception of the divine glory (אראיקר, Heb. (קור) as we see from the last two passages and throughout the Targums. Cf. also Targ. Jon. on Gen. xlix. 1, "the glory of the Shekinah of Yahweh": or simply "the glory of God" as in Onk. on Gen. xviii. 33. It is employed also as a rendering of "face" when used of God: cf. Deut. xxxi. 18, where יא ("I will hide my face") is rendered אסלק שכנתי, "I will remove my Shekinah": and of "name" in the same connection: cf. Targums of Onk. and Jon. on Ex. 2x. 24.

In the Mishnah and Talmud the Shekinah is the mediator of God's presence and activity in the world. Wherever ten persons pray together, the Shekinah is in the midst of them (Pirke Aboth iii. 9 (150-200 A.D.); Berach. 6"): also where three are gathered together to administer justice or where two
meet to study the Law (Pirke Aboth iii. 9). Where a man and his wife lead a pious life the Shekinah is present. Before Israel sinned the Shekinah dwelt in each individual (Sota, $3^{b}$ ) : and this relation was possible afterwards : cf. Pirke Aboth iii. 9.

From the Shekinah proceeded a brilliancy or splendour (i.e. i9). In this the blessed were to share in the next word : cf. Berach. 17", "They delighted themselves in the brightness of the Shekinah (נחנים מזיו חשכינה). On this "brightness," which is apparently rendered by our author by $\delta \delta \xi a$, see note on $x x i .23$.

As our author thought in Hebrew, this line and the next would probably have run in his mind as

## הנה שׁכינת אלהים עם בני חארם וh

 of heaven, cf. xii. 12, xiii. 6 : see also vii. 15. With the thought




 'Iepovoadry.
$\lambda$ aoi aùrot. If this reading is original the idea appears to be the same as that underlying John x .16 , where though there is but one flock ( $\pi$ oi $\mu \nu \eta$ ) and one Shepherd, there are many folds (aủ入aí). Each $\lambda a o^{\prime} s$ forms a fold in the flock, of which God is the Shepherd. Possibly, however, daoi may simply mean as in the vermacular "people." But John does not so use daoí, and גaós seems to be the original reading. See crit. note on Greek text in loc.

The above text appears in three forms in the MSS, and none of them is satisfactory. I. That which is preserved in $M Q$ and many cursives and omits aủrûr $\theta$ eós can hardly be original, independently of its weak attestation; for not only is the parallelism against it (cf. also xxi. 7), but without aúrüv $\theta$ eós the line becomes an otiose repetition of the idea in the first two lines. The preceding line, каì aúroì daoì aứov̂ ếcovtah, requires some such parallelism as $\theta$ còs aủrûv.
2. Since, then, the shortened form of the text in $\mathbb{K Q}$ cannot be accepted, we have next to consider that attested by A, $\mathrm{vg}_{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{s}^{1.2}$
 is rather full, the sense is not unsatisfactory = " And He-God with them-shall be their God," or "God Himself shall be with them-their God." But this unemphatic position of aürầ before Ocós (i.e. the vernacular use of the possessive pronoun-see

Abboth, Gram. p. 419 sqq.) is against our author's usage and is not what we expect here. ${ }^{1}$ Since in the preceding line the aivov in daoì aưrov̂ has its normal possessive force in this position, we should expect $\theta$ còs afrây in the present line. As "they shall be His peoples," so He shall be "their God."
3. Instead of abrüv $0 a b$, which is against our author's usage and also against the context, which here requires a real possessive, $P$ and some cursives read $\theta$ còs aitûv. This is the reading the context leads us to expect, but its attestation is of the poorest character. It can only, therefore, be regarded in the light of a scribal emendation.

From the above examination of the MSS it follows that the original text has not been preserved in any MS. The corrupt readings appear to be due to the incorporation of what was really a marginal gloss on xxi. $3^{\text {bc }}$, i.e. $\dot{\text { o }} \theta$ Eès $\mu \mathrm{er}$ aữūv. The original may have been (a) кaì au่ would be emphatic owing to its proximity to aủrós (see Abbott,
 case the sense would be: "And He will be their God." The usage of our author would certainly be in favour of (b): cf. xxi. 7.
 Zoral ër. The idea of this line-but not the diction-is suggested by Isa. xxv. 8", " He hath annihilated death for ever" (בלע המוח nysb), which section of Isaiah possibly belongs to the and century
 observed that, whereas Aquila and Theodotion incorrectly render nצִּ ioxíras, our author gives the right sense in a paraphrastic form. For first century a.d. testimonies to the belief in the coming destruction of death, cf. 2 Enoch lxv. 10, "All corruptible things shall vanish and there shall be eternal life," and 4 Ezra viii. 53; 2 Bar. xxi. 23. See also Moed Qaton, iii. 9, where it cites Isa. xxv. 8.

Since death is destroyed (cf. xx. 14), there is no longer any need of the tree of life. All the faithful have won everlasting life. There can be no more death, there can be only "more life and fuller."
 xxxv. 10, li. ri, " and sorrow and sighing (יגן (יאנחה) shall flee

[^26]away." In our text the subject consists of three elements, and so also does the LXX of Isa. xxxv. 10 and li. II, but the words
 кai $\sigma$ тevay $\mu$ ós. See also Isa. lxv. $19^{\text {b }}$; 1 Enoch x. 22, xxv. 6 ; 2 Enoch lxv. 8-9 (A), "They will live eternally, and then, too, there will be amongst them neither labour nor sickness nor humiliation nor anxiety nor need."
 the fourth line of the stanza, the first three lines of which-xxi. $4^{\text {abo }}$-we have just dealt with. That this verse belongs to that stanza, not only the subject matter, but the very diction is evidence. Thus oús "бrai kith, which is not found in our author outside the description of the New Jerusalem (xxi. 1-4 ${ }^{\text {abe }}$, xxii. 3-5), has already occurred twice in this stanza, i.e. xxi. $4^{\text {bc }}$ The words themselves are based on Zech. xiv. 11 (חרם לא-ידיה עור) but not on the LXX. The word кard́ $\mathrm{\theta}_{\mathrm{a} \mu \Omega}$ (syncopated from катаvá $\theta$ с $\mu$ a) means here, as the context shows, a curse, i.e. an accursed thing, and not an accursed person. In itself kará $\theta \in \mu a$
 1 Cor. xvi. 22 ; Rom. ix. 3.
kai d $\theta$ póros roû $\theta$ eoû nai tồ dpriou кт入. On this conception of "the throne of God and of the Lamb," see note on xxii. r. Owing to the fact that in the next line only God the Father is spoken of, critics have inferred that kai rov̂ dpviov is here an intrusion. But, as I have shown in the note on xxii. 1, we find in 1 Enoch lxi. 8, 9 an excellent parallel; for, though the Elect One (i.e. the Messiah) is seated on God's throne as Judge in lxi. 8, in lxi. 9 the praises of all present are addressed to God and not to the Elect One. Moreover, in our text, xx. $6^{\circ}$, the aúroû refers to only one of the two Divine Beings in 6d. Cf. iii. 21, which speaks of Christ as seated on God's throne, while in iii. 19 the O.T. words of Yahweh are used by Christ as His

 This vision of God, which was withheld from Moses (Ex. xxxiii. 20, 23), is promised to the faithful in Messianic times in
 promise which appears also in Ps. Lxxxiv. 7 (LXX and Vulg.)
 face to face that is designed in our text and also in 4 Ezra vii. 98. In the latter passage the vision of God constitutes the seventh and supreme bliss of the righteous.
> "They shall rejoice with boldness,
> Be confident without confusion,
> Be glad without fear;

FOL. II.- 14

For they are hastening to behold the face of Him whom in life they served,
And from whom they are destined to receive their reward in glory."

The capacity for such vision involves likeness of character : cf. I John iii. 2. Moffatt aptly compares Plutarch (De Iside, 79), who writes that the souls of men after death "migrate to the unseen" and "hang as it were upon Him (God), and gaze without ever wearying, and yearn for that unspeakable, indescribable beauty."
 xiii. 16, xiv. I. Cf. also iii. 12.
6. kai vis odk "atal Etr. Darkness is at an end for ever. This clause appears to be the source of the corruption in xxi. 25 The expectation here expressed is not found in the O.T. but as regards heaven at all events is definitely taught in Philo, De


 ф'́ $\gamma \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ єrtv. Cf, also 2 Bar. xlviii. 50. But the conception in our text is infinitely finer. The light of the New Jerusalem is not due as in Philo to a multitude of unextinguishable and unadulterated lights, but to the light of God's own presence-always and everywhere present. The conception could be deduced from Isa. lx. 19, "The sun shall be no more thy light by day; neither for brightness shall the moon give light unto thee ; but the Lord shall be unto thee an everlasting light."
 xxi. $23^{\mathrm{a}}$. The future ${ }^{[\xi} \xi_{0}$ ovoıv (A vg. $\mathrm{s}^{1.2}$, Tic.) is to be preferred to éxovotv. All the verbs in this description of the New Jerusalem (xxi. 1-4 ${ }^{\text {bb }}$, xxii. ${ }^{3-6}$ ) are futures. As contra-distinguished from the heavenly Jerusalem, that was already in being and was to come down from heaven for the Millennial Kingdom, the New Jerusalem, which is not created till after its close, is not yet in being. As regards the former, observe the occasional present and past verbs in xxi. 9-xxii. 2. The phrase фw̄s ท̀̀ióov (AP: фwтós $x$ me $s^{1.2}$ ) had best be regarded as dependent on रpeíav. Such an irregularity is not unfamiliar in our author. In fact we have xpeiav eै Xec

Kúplos $\delta$ 日eds фwríci $\ell \pi$ ’ aüroús. The construction here

 xxi. 23 the meaning is clear : not the physical luminaries, the sun and moon, but "the glory of God did lighten" the Heavenly Jerusalem (cf. also xviii. 1). But here the Greek фwrívet e $\pi$ '
aurrou's differs from that in xviii. 1, xxi. 23 alike in construction and meaning. First, as regards the construction, it must at once be conceded that it is peculiar. If our author had wished to express the thought "shall shine upon them," "give them light," he would have said фavễ aưrois: cf. xxi. 23. Hence later MSS omitted the $i \pi i$, and herein they are followed by WH, Bousset and others. Other editors, such as Alford, Swete, and Moffatt, rightly follow NA here, but do not explain the anomaly. We can get a good sense, if we explain it as a Hebraism. When regarded from this standpoint we next recognize that Kúpoos ó $\theta$ còs $\phi \omega \tau i \sigma \in \iota \quad$ $\pi^{\prime}$ aưroùs is a rendering of Ps. cxviii. 27, 1 , ,האיר, which latter he changed into . Here the Aramaic Targum and the Syriac, Ethiopic, and Arabic Versions similarly transform the two clauses into one and presuppose the text to have been non not nin whe, therefore, the $\boldsymbol{\ell \pi i}$ reproduces ?. 1 Having discovered the source of our author's words the discovery of their meaning ceases to be difficult. In Ps. cxviii. 27 the words are a shortened form of the priest's blessing in Num. vi. 25 by the omission of (see Oxford Hebrew Lexicon, p. 21 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ ), which in its fuller form recurs several times as the footnote shows. Hence we should here render "The Lord God shall cause His face to shine upon them." Here there is a personal relation indicated between God and the blessed individually. In xxi. 23, on the other hand, no such personal relation is indicated. The Holy City is lighted up as a whole by the glory of God instead of by the sun and moon. Thus the Face which the saints will see in xxii. 4 will shine upon them in eternal benediction (xxii. 5).
 reign of the saints in the New Jerusalem stands out in strong contrast with the Millennial reign in the City which came down from heaven before the Final Judgment, xx. 4.

## The Epilogue.

xxi. $6^{\text {b }}-8$, xxii. 6-7, 18a, $16,13,12,10,8-9,20-21$.

## Introduction.

§ r. On this epilogue, which contains the declarations of God, of Jesus, and of John, see p. 154. That they come from our author cannot be contested, though they have been transmitted

[^27]in the utmost disorder, and no doubt defectively. The reconstruction here given is, of course, tentative. First of all, xxi. $6^{b}-8$, as containing a declaration of God, singles itself out for consideration. It cannot possibly belong to the period after the Final Judgment ; for hope is still held out to the repentant, and the doom of the second death has not yet been pronounced against the finally impenitent. It must, therefore, belong either to the period of the Millennial Kingdom or to that of our author. The thought and language are in favour of the latter hypothesis. Thus $\delta \boldsymbol{v}$ uк̄̄v in xxii. 7 brings vividly before us the experiences-actual and apprehended-of the faithful in the years $90-96$ A.D. : he is the warrior faithful unto death, to whom promise after promise is held out, as in ii. $7,11,17,26$, iii. 5,

 having preceded it; for there we have the divine gift described
 recurrence of the $\delta$ oupad́v here we might have explained the articles in xxi. $6^{\mathrm{d}}$ from vii. 17 . As regards the sorcerers, fornicators, murderers, and idolaters we are told (xxi. 15) that in the Millennial Kingdom they will be excluded from the Heavenly Jerusalem: here they are adjudged to be cast into the lake of fire ( xxi .8 ).

Hence $\mathbf{x x i} 6^{b-8}$ is to be taken as the divine authentication of the Apocalypse as a whole, which God had given to Jesus Christ to make known to His servants (as stated in i. 1). This declaration of God is then followed by the declaration of Jesus that He had sent His angels to testify these things to the Churches in xxii. $6-7,18^{\text {a }}, 16,13,12,10$, and the Book closes with the testimony of John, xxii. 8-9, 20-21 (see note on i. 1-3). In xxii. 6-21 more than anywhere else in chapters xx .-xxii. we have the disjecta membra of the Poet-Seer. We have already assigned xxii. ${ }^{14-15}, 17$ to the section dealing with the Heavenly Jerusalem which comes down to earth during the Millennial Kingdom. The re-arrangement of xxii. 6-22 just given is suggested by the text itself and confirmed by i. 1-2 (see note in vol. i. p. 5 sq .), and is therefore not improbably the order intended by the Seer. It is, of course, fragmentary. With a view to its arrangement, we observe first of all that Jesus is the speaker in 12-13, 16, and likewise in 6-7; for in these last two verses the speaker is distinguished from the angel who showed the Seer the things which must shortly come to pass, and the words "behold I come quickly" in 7 are naturally spoken by Christ. Moreover, as Könnecke and Moffatt have recognized, 12-13, 16 can be restored to their original order by reading them as follows: 16, 13, 12. Thus this section is to be read as follows:

6-7, 16, 13, 12. Verse 10-still the words of Christ-comes next, "And He saith unto me, Seal not up the words of the prophecy of this book ; for the time is at hand."

I have bracketed II as conflicting with $\times x$. $6^{\text {b }}-8$, which apparently refer to evangelistic appeals during the Seer's lifetime. xxii. 18*, as coming from Christ, gives His imprimatur to the book. xxii. 8-9 as describing the action of the Seer in relation to the angel at its close, and xxii. 20-2 1 as giving the Seer's final testimony form the natural close of the Apocalypse.

Traces of the hand of the editor are to be found in two passages : see § 3 ad fin. Cf. 83 in Introduction to $\mathrm{xx} .4-15$ (p. 182).

## 82. Diction.






 etc.
§ 0 ávatos $\delta 8$ edútepos: cf. ii. 1 I.
 r. àry. au゙rovi.
 i. 1 .
7. iઠou Ëpxopar raxú: cf. ii. 16, iii. 11, xvi. 15, xxii. 12.
 summary of i. 3 (and the last of the seven beatitudes in this Book), xxii. го, 18.
 7 above.
 repeated from v. 5. $\delta$ dorip . . . $\delta$ тpauvs: already in ii. 28.


12. țò épxomar taxu. See on 7 above. droboivat indiote
 $\dot{\boldsymbol{u} \mu \omega ิ}, \mathrm{xX} .13$.


$\delta$ кaupds ydp dyyús : cf. i. 3.
 TOy rosiv: cf. xix. 10, which, however, is a doublet of this
 xv. 4 where this phrase expresses simply homage. rol 8emwiovtos: cf. i. 1, iv. I, xvii. 1, xxi. 9, 10, xxii. 1, 6.


20. $\mu$ артирйv: see $18^{2}$ above. vaí: see i. 7 (note). lipxoнаи taxú: see on 7 above.
'A $\mu$ in : see i. 7 (note).
83. Idioms.-The constructions are almost wholly normal. Here as elsewhere in xx . 4 -xxii. the text has apparently been normalized by the editor of this section.
 סioóvat cf. iii. 9. Not elsewhere in N.T. save in I John iv. 13.
 note in loc..
XXII. 8. $\delta$ dxoúwv: used here as an aorist or perfect as elsewhere the participle is used in our author: cf. סecunvovtós, similarly used at the close of this verse.
 author's universal usage elsewhere we should expect aüvé after $\delta \omega \sigma \omega$ in this connection : cf. ii. 7, 17, 26, iii. 21. Here apparently the editor has omitted it and so normalized the text.
 cf. xix. 20.

The Epilogue of the Apocalypse consisting of $(I)$ the declaration of God, xxi. $6^{\circ}-8:$ (2) the testimony of Jesus, $x x x i i .6-7,18^{a}, 16$, 13, $12,10[11],\left[18^{8}-19\right]:$ (3) and that of John, xxii. 8-9, 20-2 1 .

Here more than anywhere else in chapters xx.-xxil. have we the disjecta membra of the Poet-Seer. These fragments clearly form the Epilogue of the Book, and a study of these fragments leads us to recognize them as coming from three distinct speakers-God, Jesus, and John. In xxi. $6^{\text {b }}-8$ God is clearly the speaker. In xxii. 12-13, 16, and likewise 6-7, 10, 18a, Jesus is the speaker, for in 6-7 the speaker is distinguished from the angel who showed the Seer the things that must shortly come to pass, and the words "behold I come quickly" in 7 are most naturally spoken by Christ, and likewise 10, 18. Moreover, as Könnecke (followed by Moffatt) has recognized, 12-13, 16 should be read as follows: 16, $13,12$. Thus the original order of the testimony and declaration of Christ was most probably: 6-7, 16, 13, 12, 10 [11], $18^{\text {a }}\left[18^{b-19]}\right.$ The book then closes with the testimony of John xxii. 8-9, 20-21. xxii. I I, $1^{8^{\mathrm{b}}-19 \text { appear to be interpolations. }}$

This order, which is suggested by a study of xxii. 6-22, in itself harmonizes with that given in the first two verses of the Apocalypse, where we are told that (1) God has given to Christ this revelation to show unto His servants: (2) that Christ has sent and signified it by His angel to His servant John: (3) that

John has borne witness of the word of God and of the testimony of Christ.

XXI 6b-8. The declaration of God as to His own Being, His willingness to be gracious to the repentant, His promise of being a Father to him that overcometh, and His denunciation of the craven. hearted, the unbelieving and impure as destined to be cast into the lake that burneth with fire and brimstone-the second death.
 these epithets belong to the Eternal Father, whereas in xxii. 13 they are used by Christ of Himself.
ì dexì kai rd rehos. Cf. xxii. i3, see note on i. 8.
 See note on vii. 17 .

 cedes, but not so if the MSS order of the text were correct. On the distinction between $\dot{\delta} \delta \omega \rho$ § $\omega \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s}$ and §údov $\zeta \omega \hat{\eta} s$ see ii. 7, note.
 with the cravenhearted in 8 . The raîra here refers to the Millennial blessedness, the new heaven, the new earth, and the New Jerusalem (xxi. $1-4^{\text {abd }}, ~ x x .3-5$ ). Of these "he who conquers" is "the heir" (к $\lambda \eta p o v o ́ \mu o s)$. The collocation of
 one shows, as Swete remarks, the close affinity in this respect between our author and St. Paul : cf. Rom. viii. 17 , ei $\delta e ́ t e ́ \kappa v a$,

kai Є̈́opal aùt¢̣ $\theta c o \delta s$. This promise was made frequently in the O.T. : first to the founder of the nation, Gen. xvii. 7, 8, while that in the next line, кai aúròs ërctau $\mu$ ou viós, is first made in reference to Solomon as a representative of the nation, 2 Sam . vii. 14, and in Ps. lxxxix. 26, 27 in reference to David. Nowhere in the O.T. can the individual as such claim God as Father. This claim is first found in Sir. xxiii. 1 : later in Wisdom ii. 16 (see note on Jub. i. 24 of my edition). But in the N.T. the normal attitude of the faithful individual to God is that of a son to his heavenly Father. Here only in our author is this conception brought forward. This sonship is realized in some true degree in the present life just as surely as the thirst for
 here.
8. We have here the list of those who have disfranchised themselves from the Kingdom of God and gone over into the Kingdom of outer darkness. Of these there are mentioned eight classes, which fall into three divisions, the first division comprising three classes, the second three, and the third two.
 The $\delta$ ciloi are not "the fearful" as in the A.V. and R.V. but "the cowardly" or "the cravenhearted," who in the struggle with the Beast have played the coward, denied the faith, and rendered worship to Cæsar. סecijia has always a bad meaning, and St. Paul
 A man may fear and yet not be סeclós. In fact the most courageous man is he who, notwithstanding his fear of the real dangers that beset his advance, goes sturdily onwards. But this fear in the N.T. is either фó $\beta$ os-a middle term capable of a good or of a bad interpretation according to the context-or
 бштирі́а катєрүа́乡єоөє.
toîs . . . dmiotors. In our author äriotos means primarily "faithless," "untrustworthy." This is to be inferred from the

 the ä́rıotos is closely allied to the $\delta$ eciós that precedes. As such
 other grounds than cowardice for such disloyalty. But the $\ddot{\alpha} \pi \iota \sigma r o c$ owing to the $\dot{d} \beta \delta \in \lambda \nu \gamma \mu$ évoc that follows immediately may suggest the idea of immorality : cf. Tit. i. 15, тoîs $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \epsilon \mu a \mu \mu$ évots
 more, the ärıoro appear to embrace not only the Christian who denies his faith, but also the pagan who rejects it.
tois . . . difenuypdros. These are those who are defiled with the abominations referred to in xvii. 4, 5, connected with the worship of the Beast and generally with the impurities of the pagan cults, including unnatural vice. Cf. Hos. ix. 10; 2 Enoch x. 4, 5, "This place is prepared for ... those who on earth practise sin against nature, which is child corruption after the Sodomitic fashion, magic-making, enchantments, and devilish witchcraft . . . lies . . . fornication, murder": Apoc. Pet. 17, oüroı ס̇ j̄

${ }^{1}$ In toîs dè tetioûs . . . tò $\mu$ épos aưtûn we have 2 Hebraism where $\zeta$ is used in introducing a new subject : see Oxford Hebrow Laxicon, p. $514^{b}=$
 sons of Aaron their courses were": also vii. 1, xxvi. 1, 31 (where the LXX reproduces by the dat.); 2 Chron. vii. 21; Eccles. ix. 4. In Erra 2. 14 the LXX has this construction, though it is not found in the Hebrew, where

 be a nominativus pondens resumed by aphn.

2 This meaning of $\pi$ rovts, i.e. "faithful," " loyal," is also found in 2 Bar. liv. 21 (where see my note), though elsewhere (except possibly in liv. 16) in that book it means "believing": cf. xlii. 2, liv. 5, Ivii. 2, lix. 2, lxxxili. 8, $\mathrm{On}_{4} \mathrm{Exra}$ in this respect see Box, pp. 67, 143 .
àv áv̀̀p apòs $\gamma$ vvaîka. This class must obviously comprise all the pagan world that is so defiled. We thus observe that, whereas the first three classes are closely associated in point of character, their comprehensiveness steadily widens from faithless Christians to the whole body of the impure whether Christian or pagan.

кai фоvsûat kai mópyors naì фapmakois. In xxii. 15 these words are found in the reverse order. These sins have already

 19-21, where торveia, фappaxía and фóvol (in some MSS) appear amongst many other vices. In I Tim. i. 9 we have du $\delta$ po ¢óvors, $^{2}$ $\pi \sigma^{p} v o t s$, and in Jas. ii. II фóvos and $\mu 0 \imath x i a$ are combined. The фариакoîs are "sorcerers," as their association with the ciסwhaגárpaus in the next line shows: cf. ix. 20, 21, where they are similarly associated.
 Idolatry is the cardinal sin against which our author warns his readers. Hence the primary reference is here to Christians. As the idols are lies so all the idolaters are liars. The insincerities of heathenism, the frauds of its priests as well as all the falsities of Christians are here referred to (cf. xiv. 5). Lying and duplicity are denounced in the O.T. but in far stronger terms in the N.T.
to $\mu$ tpos aútûv. The aủiûy resumes the eight classes mentioned in the preceding datives. On these datives see foot-
 and note on ix. I: 2 Enoch x. 2, "And there were all manner of tortures in this place . . . 4. This place, O Enoch, is prepared for those who dishonour God, etc." \& ©dvatos $\delta$ סeútepos. See note on ii. 1 r.

The Testimony of Jesus, xxii. 6-7, 16, 13, 12, 10 [11], 18" [ $18^{\mathrm{b}}-19$ ].
XXII. 6-7. That these are the words of Christ is to be con-
 there is a special fitness that He , who is designated atoròs kaì $d \lambda \eta \theta_{L}$ ós in iii. ${ }^{14}$, xix. II, should authenticate the words of the prophecy of this book as $\pi$ гтоi каi $d \lambda \eta \theta \tau v o l(6)$.
6. Oítot oi $\lambda$ byou mrotol kal $\mathbf{d} \lambda \eta \theta_{1}$ roí. Christ here authenticates the words of the prophecy of this book and as $\delta \pi$ roros кai $\dot{d} \lambda \eta \theta \omega \nu$ ós there is a special appropriateness in His so doing. Besides He is therein fulfilling the very task given to Him by God in i. 1, where we are told that God gave Him this revelation

 Cf. 4 Ezra xv. 1, 2, "Ecce loquere in aures plebis meae sermones
prophetiae，quos immisero in os tuum，dicit Dominus．2．Et fac ut in charta scribantur，quoniam fideles et veri sunt．＂
 a strange expression．Some scholars（Bousset，Moffatt）regard the plural as an archaistic detail（cf．i．4），according to which there are a variety of angelic spirits that inspire the prophets．If we take this in the sense that various angels were sent at various times to instruct the prophets，it is quite unobjectionable．rvou－ $\mu a r \alpha$ is used of the archangels in our author：cf．iii．I，iv．5，etc． But though the sense is unobjectionable，the words themselves can hardly bear this meaning．The $\pi v \operatorname{cov}^{\prime} \mu a r a$ are best taken with Swete to be the prophets＇own sparits filled by the One Spirit mentioned in ii． 7 ，xiv． 13 ，xxii． 17 ．When the prophet spake as a prophet，it was his spint that was active（i．10，xvii．3，xxi．10）． Hence it seems that the text should here be interpreted as a
 บ̇потáббetal，where it is the prophets＇own spirits that are referred to．The divine title in our text has no connection of any kind with the very frequent designation of God in I Enoch xxxvii．－lxxi．； 2 Macc．iii．24，i．e．＂Lord of Spirits．＂In Num． xvi．22，xxvii． 16 the divine title＂the God of the spirits of all flesh＂（אלהי הרחח לכל－בשר）has only a general reference to man－ kind as a whole and not as in our text to the special class of men． тヘ̂v профŋтヘ̂v．As in x．7，xi．18，xxii．9，John associates him－ self here with the Christian prophets．
tòv äyye入ov aüroù．Here Christ speaks of the angel of xvii． 1 ， xxi． 9 as God＇s angel，and yet in i． 1 ，xxii． 16 he is described as Christ＇s angel．The statements are not incompatıble．8eifat toîs 8ounots ．．．${ }^{2} y$ ráxel．This clause is repeated from i．i．



 the lips of Christ ；also ii．5，16，iii．11，and xvi．15，which as we have already seen should be restored after iii． $3^{A}$ ．

رaxdpoos $\delta$ т тpôv rois $\lambda$ ofoous $\kappa \pi \lambda$ ．This is the last of the seven beatitudes of the book（see note on i．3）．It is a short summary of $i$ ． 3 ，and thus the Book ends as it began in declaring the blessedness of those who have kept the words of the prophecy；but，whereas it is John that pronounces the first beatitude（i．3），it is Christ that pronounces the last．
 the speaker is，as Swete urges，still Christ，who gives His solemn imprimatur to the Book．Moreover，as in 16－$\mu a p r u \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma a l ~ \dot{\mathbf{j}} \boldsymbol{i v}$ raüra－the rovs $\lambda$ óyous is to be taken as the accusative after нартир $\hat{\sigma}$ ae and not after áxovorts，as is usually done：＂to every－
one that heareth I bear witness to the words of the prophecy of this book." As in 7, 10, Christ uses the same phraseology, 7.



 (Add. Note on John xx. 21) daroorédio "conveys the accessory notions of a special commission and so far of a delegated authority in the person sent." It is strange that Abbott (Johannine Vocabulary, p. 227) ascribes to these verbs almost the contrary meaning: "We are perhaps justified in thinking that drooté $\lambda \lambda \omega$ means 'sending away into the world at large,' but $\pi \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \pi \omega$ 'sending on a special errand.'" Our author, at any rate, appears to use them as synonyms.
ópiv: cf. also xxii. 6. The angel of Christ attests the contents of this book (raîra) to the members of the Asian Churches. Others think the $\dot{v} \mu i v$ refers to the body of prophets in the Johannine school.

 a shoot out of the stock of Jesse and a branch out of his roots shall bear fruit ; xi. 10; Test. Jud. xxiv. 5.

> "Then shall the sceptre of my kingdom shine forth, And from your root shall arise a stem."

In His own person Christ is at once the root, and the stem and branches that spring from the root, and thus combines all the Messianic claims of the Davidic famlly. Thus He forms the climax of Jewish Messianic expectation. Our author lays more stress on the Davidic descent than Christ did Himself: cf. Matt. xxii. 42-45.
 morning star, which in ii. 28 is promised to the faithful. The idea is ultimately derived from Num. xxiv. 17 , "There shall come forth a star out of Jacob," but in the Test. Lev. xviii. 3, and probably in Test. Jud. xxiv. 1 , this passage has been definitely associated with the hope of a Messiah from Levi-an expectation that was abandoned early in the first century b.c. As Christ is the realization of all that Israel hoped for in the past ( $\hat{\eta} \dot{\rho} \dot{L} \zeta a \kappa \tau \lambda$. ), He contains in Himself the promise (cf. Luke i. 78) of all that is to come ( $\delta$ dorìn . . . $\delta \pi \rho \omega t y o ́ s$ ) as "the Light of the world," John viii. 12.
18. In this verse the Son claims all the attributes of the Father. In the next verse (i.e. 12) it follows naturally that He designates Himself as the Judge of all the world.
 used by God of Himself.
 cases of Christ.
F. dpxil kal rid raos. This title is used by God of Himself in xxi .6.

The phrase $\bar{\eta}$ dpXì кai $\boldsymbol{\text { r }} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{i} \lambda_{0 r}$ is an abbreviated form of an ancient Orphic saying, which is first recorded in Plato, Leg. iv. 7,
 $\mu \hat{i} \sigma a$ тüv d speaks of this saying as even then an ancient one. A scholion on this passage gives the original form of it and an explanation:
 ös í

 тарө́v. We might compare the Pauline statement, Rom. xi.
 the initial cause, the sustaining cause, and the final cause of all things.

This Orphic logion was well known in the ancient world. In the first century A.D. it was familiar to the Palestinian Jews, as we know from Josephus who quotes it in c. Ap. ii. 22, ó $\theta$ eds . . .
 máyrwy. In his Ant. viii. 11. 2 it appears in almost the same abbreviated form as in our text : kai tò̀ tiotov $\theta$ è̀v . . . ठss . . .
 Talmudists and given a Jewish turn in the third century A.D. by Simon ben Lakish, who strove to derive this ancient Greek Orphic saying from the Hebrew word (Jer. Jeb. xii. $13^{\text {a }}$; Gen. R. Ixxxi.) ; "for . . . N is the first, $D$ the middle, and $\cap$ the last letter of the alphabet-this being the name of God according to Isa. xliv. 6, explained Jer. Sanh. i. 18", 'I am the first <having had none from whom to receive the kingdom>; I am the middle, there being none who shares the kingdom with me; <and I am the last>there being none to whom I shall hand the kingdom of the world '" (quoted from Jewish Encyc. i. 439). It is hardly needful to draw attention to the forced nature of this explanation or to point out that $\Delta$ is not the middle letter of the Hebrew alphabet-being the 13th from the beginning and the roth from the end.

Turning now from Jewish to Christian writings, we find that the early Christian writers were well acquainted with this ancient Greek saying. This phrase lies behind the text of the Kipuyna
 "Xec. Justin Martyr (Cohort. ad Gent. xxv.) quotes the saying from Plato but ascribes it to the Law of Moses. Irenaeus (Haer. iii. 25. 5, "Et Deus quidem, quemadmodum et vetus sermo
est, initium et finem et medietates omnium quae sunt habens" ${ }^{\text {" }}$ Hippolytus, Refut. omr. Haer. i. 19 ; Clem. Alex Protrepb. vi. 69, Strom. ii. 22. § 132 ; Origen, c. Cels. vi. 13; Eusebius,
 тelevtịv. ${ }^{1}$






 кaтà $\mathbf{~ c a ̀ ~}{ }^{\text {ĕ }}$ pya aúrov̂. Our text seems to have been before 1 Clem. xxxiv. 3 .
10. Here also Christ appears to speak, as Bousset recognizes. There is force in His command to issue the Book immediately; "for the time is at hand." As contrasted with Jewish Apocalypses, such as Daniel (cf. viii. 26, xii. 4, 9); I Enoch i. 2, xciii. 10, civ. 12; 2 Enoch xxxiii. 9-I1, xxxv. 3, etc., which were not to be divulged till distant generations, our Apocalypse is to be made known by the Seer to his contemporaries. The older Apocalypses were referred to as sealed (cf. Dan. viii. 26, in order to explain the withholding of their publication till the actual time of their author.
roùs $\lambda$ óyous т. проф $\quad$ тeias ктл. Cf. xxii. 7, 18. In all three cases these are the words of Christ: cf. also i. 3. $\delta$ nalpos ydp dyyus. This clause and the preceding are combined by our author in i. 3. The same idea underlies the clause épxoual raxd, ii. 16, iii. 11, xxii. 7, 12, 20, which is only used by Christ.
[11. These words can refer only to the contemporaries of the Seer. But, since xxi. 6-8 refer also to his contemporaries and still proclaim the possibility of free and full forgiveness, this verse appears to be a later addition. In xxi. $6^{\mathbf{d}}$ those who thirst after a new life are promised satisfaction, whereas here the door of hope is closed absolutely and finally against every class of sinners. Ver. II assumes that finality in character is already arrived at, and an unswerving persistence in good or evil, though there is still some interval between the vision and the Second Advent; for the circulation of the Book among the Churches (ii.-iii.) and the faithful observance of its teaching (xxi. 7, xxii. 7) postulates some such interval. And yet the interval is not expected to be long; "for the time is at hand" (i. 3, xxii. ro). In Dan. xii 10, II no such consideration for the sinner is shown. Besides in our author the whole body of the neutral nations have to be
${ }^{1}$ Cf. the Egyptian prayer quoted by Reitzenstein (Poimandres, p. 277):

evangelized on the Second Advent when the Millennial Kingdom is established : cf. xiv. 6-7, xv. 4, xxii. 2, 17, xxi. 24-26. In the face of such an expectation II is an impossibility.

We have already on the ground of their contents rejected these lines. As regards their form and diction there are further objections, though these are not unanswerable in themselves. First, as regards their form, it will be observed that, whereas universally in our author the second line is parallel with, i.e. reproduces the first not in identical but in similar terms, or more rarely the second and third lines (as in xxii. 13, 12) reproduce the first, in II, however, it is the third line that is parallel antithetically to the first and the fourth that is similarly parallel to the second. This form of parallelism is not found in our author, though there are approaches to it. It is, however, possible to regard the first and second lines, and the third and fourth as respectively instances of synonymous parallelism. But the antitheses between

 favour of our taking the stanza as we have done above. Next the diction is remarkable. Thus $\dot{\alpha} \delta(\kappa \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu$, which occurs twice in this stanza, means here "to act unjustly," "to sin," whereas in the nine cases where it occurs previously in our author it means
 purapiar in Jas. i. 21 in like sense) occurs only here in the N.T. in the sense of internal defilement (cf. Job xiv. 4, rís yàp кaөapòs

 internally" here only in our author.]
[18b-19. As Porter (Messages of the Books, p. 293) remarks, these words form " an unfortunate ending of a book whose value consists in the spirit that breathes in it, the bold faith and confident hope which it inspires, rather than in the literalness and finality of its disclosures." But these clauses, to which there are abundant parallels in other books, as we shall see presently, are not in the opinion of the present editor from the hand of John. For ( x ) these words presuppose that John looked forward to a long period elapsing before the Second Advent, during which the Book would be exposed not to the errors incidental to transmission but to the deliberate perversion of his message both in the way of additions and omissions.

But we know that John looked for the speedy Advent of

Christ and the Millennial Kingdom-an expectation which is expressed repeatedly in the words "pxo 12, 20. 2. The style is unlike that of John. Thus we have $\tau \hat{\omega} v$

 Next after toùs $\lambda$ ópous in $18^{a}$ we shall expect, not $\dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \pi^{\prime}$ aúrá, but
 छừ

 what we should expect. The extreme penalty that can befall the evildoer in this Book is not the plagues singly or collectively, but the being cast into the lake of fire. The plagues are concerned with temporal punishments, not with eternal. Exclusion from the tree of life is mentioned, it is true, in 19. 4. $18^{\mathrm{b}}-19$ introduce a wrong note in these last verses.

On the above grounds I have bracketed these clauses as an interpolation.

Next, the custom of appending such warnings claims our attention. We first find them in Deut. iv. 2, ov $\pi p o \sigma \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \in \sigma \theta$ e
 aúrov: xii. 32: I Enoch civ. 10, "And now I know this mystery, that sinners will alter and pervert the words of righteousness in many ways, and will speak wicked words." As opposed to this, Enoch requires that they should "not change or minish aught from my words" (civ. 11). In the Letter of Aristeas (33-4I A.D. ?) $310-311$, it is said that, when the Greek translation of the O.T. was completed, "they bade them pronounce a curse in accordance with their custom upon any who should make any alteration either by adding anything or changing in any way whatever any of the words which had been written or making an omission. This was a very wise precaution to ensure that the book might be preserved for all the future time unchanged." A terrible judgment is foretold (2 Enoch xlviii. 7-8) for those who tamper with the words of this book. In Josephus (c. Ap. i. 8) the writer claims a most faithful trans-


 $\mu \varepsilon т a \theta \in i v a \iota$ тeтó $\lambda \mu \eta \kappa \in v$. It was not unusual for writers, Christian and Jewish, to attempt to secure a faithful transmission of their works by appending solemn adjurations that the scribes should in no wise change or tamper with the text. Cf. Irenaeus in




Meir in Sota $20^{2}$, "My son, be careful; for it is a divine work : if thou writest, were it but a letter more or less, it is as if thou wert destroying a world."
 here is that which is associated with the Millennial Kingdom. The tree of life was in this city (xxii. 2).]

8-9, 20-21. John's testimony and closing words.
8-0. Of these verses we have already found a doublet in xix. 9-10 (see notes), which was probably from the hand of the disciple that edited the Book after John's death.
 ท̈коvač äp $\rho \eta$ тa, Dan. xii. 5, "And I Daniel saw." At the close of his words the author gives his name as at the beginning (i. 1). Observe the participles are in our author's usage equal to aorists or perfects.
 on xix. 10. Worship in the sense of prostration is here involved, though not divine worship: cf. apoaкขveiv év心́mtov in iii. 9, xv. 4 of simple homage. Yet even such homage is refused by the angel. The phrase may be equivalent to $\pi \rho o \sigma \kappa v v \eta ̄ \sigma a \iota ~ a u ̉ o o ́ v ~(s e e ~$ notes on xix. $9-10\left(5^{\circ}\right)$, vii. 11).
 xxii. 1, 6. Here the participle $=\delta \in \ell \xi a v$ тos.
 the source of Asc. Isa. vii 21, "And I fell on my face to worship him, but the angel who conducted me (or rather 'showed to
 and the Latin and Slavonic Versions $=$ 'instructed me') did not permit me but said unto me: 'Worship neither throne nor angel': and viii. 4-5, 'What is this which I see, my lord?' 5. And he said: 'I am not thy lord but thy fellowservant.'" The Apocryphal Gospel of Matthew iii. 3 (Tischendorf, p. 59) seems also to show signs of the influence of our text: "Benedic me servum tuum. Et dixit ei angelus : Noli te dicere servum, sed conservum meum; unius enim domini servi sumus." Our text
 Col. ii. 18). That this was practised by the Jews before the Christian era is to be inferred from Tob. xii. 15, "I am Raphael, one of the seven angels: 12. When thou didst pray ... I brought the memorial of your prayer before the glory of the Lord: "Jub. xxx. 20: Test. Dan vi. 2, "Draw near to God and to the angel that intercedeth for you; for he is a mediator between God and man:" ${ }^{1}$ Test. Lev. v. 5, where Levi prays to the angel who conducts him to make known to him his name that he might

[^28]call upon him in the day of tribulation, and the angel replies: "I (i.e. Michael) am the angel who intercedeth for the nation of Israel ": ${ }^{1}$ I Enoch Ixxxix. 76.2 The fact that frequent admonitions against the worship of angels are to be found in Jewish writinge confirms the view that this cult did prevail in Judaism. Cf. Mechilta Sect. 1n, Parash. Io, where R. Ismael ben Elisha (flor. 100 A.d.) forbids the worship of any kind of angels (quoted from Lueken, p. 6) : Jer. Berach. ix. $13^{3}$, where men in necessity are bidden to pray to God and not to Michael or Gabriel: Aboda Zara, $42^{5}$, where offerings to Michael are denounced as offerings to the dead. In Shabbath $12^{\text {b }}$ men are commanded by R. Jehuda (4th century A.D.) not to pray in Aramaic since the angels did not understand Aramaic. On the other hand, in the Jer. Qiddushim at its close (Lueken) permission is given to ask the angels for their intercession. For other proofs that, notwithstanding strong prohibitions against the cult of angels, this cult did survive in Judarsm, see Lueken, Michael, 6-12.

From Christian sources we know of the prevalence of angelolatry among the Jews: cf. Preaching of Peter (Clem. Alex





That this superstition passed from the Jews to the Christians our text is sufficient evidence : cf. also Col. il. 18 ; Asc. Isa. vii. 21, viii. 4, 5 ; Justin Martyr, Apol. i. 6, d̀ $\lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ èkê̂vóv тє, кaì ròv


 Hom. iii. 36.
 have shown in the notes on xix. 9-10, the prophets and the ordinary Christians are practically placed on the same level, whereas in xix. 9-10 the prophets are exalted far above the ordinary Christians, no mention of whom is made.
tầ mpoúrtav tous $\lambda$ dóyous. Cf. i. 3, iii. 8, 10, xii. 17, xiv. 12, xxii. 7.
 kúrprov. See note on vii. I 1 .
20. $\lambda$ 'үес $\delta \mu$ артири̂r таûta. Christ is again the Speaker. The $\dot{\delta}$ цартирйy goes back to xxii. 18, where Christ solemnly attests the truth of the words of the prophecy of this book.
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Nai. "pxopal taxi. Here for the third time in this chapter (see 7, 12) our Lord declares that He is coming speedily. On vaí, see note on i. 7.
 of d $\mu$ iv, which solemnly assents to the utterance of a preceding speaker, cf. v. 14, note, vii. 12, xix. 4. "pxov кúput is the Greek equivalent of the Aramaic See Encyc. Bib. and Hastings' B.D. on "Maranatha." The Aramaic is actually found transliterated in I Cor. xvi. 22, and in the Didache, x. 6:
kúpue 'Inoov. This designation is found only here and in the next verse in our author.
 benediction, which is unusual in Apocalypses, is an indication that the Book was intended to be read in the Church services. In the Pauline Epistles oi aytoc includes the whole body of the baptized. But in our author it appears to embrace only the faithful members of the Church. Cf. v. 8, viii. $3,4, \mathrm{xi}$. 18, xiii. 7 , ro, xiv. 12 , xvi. 6 , xvii. 6 , xviii. 20 , xix. $8, \mathbf{x x} .6,9$. It is not used at all in the Johannine Epistles.
${ }^{1}$ This explanation of Dalman, Wellhausen, etc., is preferable to that which is generally accepted in the Church Fathers, i.c. papapa0d = anN $\Gamma \mathrm{D}$, "our Lord has come."

## I. THE TEXT.

## The Grekx Manuscripts of the Apocalypse.

Uncial MSS containung the Greek Text of the Apocalypse or part of it. The enumeration is that of Gregory, except in the case of $\kappa$ AC.
$\mathcal{N}$ (iv). Petrograd. Sd. $\delta 2 . \boldsymbol{N}^{*}$ signifies the original text, where the original scribe or a later one has introduced an emendation. $\mathbb{N}^{a} N^{b} \aleph^{\text {ca }} \aleph^{\text {bb }}$ etc. are various correctors of the MS. ${ }^{1}$
A (v). London. Sd. $\delta$ 4. $A^{*}$ signifies as $\kappa^{*}$ above. $A^{* *}$ corrector. ${ }^{2}$
C (v). Paris. Sd. $\delta$ 3. Contains $1^{1}-3^{19}, 5^{14}-7^{14}, 7^{17}-8^{5}$, $9^{16-10^{10}, 11^{3}-16^{13}, 18^{2}-19^{5} .}$
025 (ix). Petrograd. Formerly P. Sd. a 3. Contains $1^{1-1} 6^{12}$, $17^{1}-19^{21}, 20^{9}-22^{6}$.
046 (x). Rome. Formerly Q or $\mathrm{B}_{\mathbf{2}}$ Sd. a 1070.
$05_{1}(1 x-x)$. Athos, Pantokrator 44. Contains $\mathrm{Ir}^{16}-13^{1,}, 3^{3}-22^{7}$, $22^{15-21}$ with a commentary of Andreas in cursive. Photographed for Prof. Swete.
052 (x). Athos, Panteleemon. Formerly r 183. Contains $7^{16-819}$.

Greek Cursives of the Apocalypse. ${ }^{8}$
1 (xii-xiii cent.). Marhingen. Formerly r I . Sd. A $\nu^{20}$. 18 ( 1364 A.d.). Paris. Formerly r 51. Sd. $\delta 41$. 35 (xi). Paris. Formerly r 17. Sd. $\delta 309$.
42 (xi). Frankfurt a. O. Formerly r 13 . Sd. a ro7. 60 (x). Cambridge. Formerly rio. Sd. e 1321. 6r (xvi). Dublin. Formerly r 92. Sd. $\delta 603$. 69 (xv). Leicester. Formerly r 14 . Sd. 8505. 82 (x). Paris. Formerly r 2. Sd. $\mathrm{O}^{1}$.

[^30]88 (xii ?). Naples. Formerly r 99. Sd. a 200. 91 (xi). Paris. Formerly r 4. Sd. O ${ }^{14}$. 93 (x). Paris. Formerly rig. Sd. a 5 r. 94 (xiii). Paris. Formerly $\mathrm{r} 18 . \quad$ Sd. $\mathrm{O}^{31}$.
104 (xi). London. Formerly r 7. Sd. a 103. 110 (xii). London. Formerly r 8. Sd. a 204. 14 r (xiii-xiv). Rome. Formerly r 40. Sd. $\delta 408$.
149 (xv). Rome. Formerly r $25 . \quad$ Sd. $\delta 503$.
172 (xiv-xv). Berlin. Formerly r87. Sd. a 404.
175 (x-xi). Rome. Formerly r 20. Sd. $\delta 95$.
177 (xi). Munich. Formerly r 82. Sd. a 106.
180 (xiv). Rome. Formerly r 44. Sd. € 1498.
181 (xi). Rome. Formerly ri2. Sd. a 101.
201 ( 357 A.D.). London. Formerly r 94. Sd. $\delta 403$.
203 (inil A.D.). London. Formerly r 181. Sd. a 203.
205 (xv). Venice. Formerly r 88. Sd. $\delta 500$.
209 (xiv ?). Venice. Formerly r 46. Sd. a 1581.
218 (xiii). Vienna. Formerly r 33. Sd. $\delta 300$.
241 (xi). Dresden. Formerly r 47. Sd. $\delta 507$.
242 (xi1) Moscow. Formerly r 48. Sd. $\delta 206$.
250 (xi). Paris. Formerly ri21. Sd. O ${ }^{10}$.
254 (xiv). Athens. Formerly r 122 . Sd. O $0^{42}$.
256 (xi). Paris. Formerly r 102. Sd. a 2 r 6.
296 (xvi). Paris. Formerly r 57. Sd. $\delta 600$.
314 (xi). Oxford. Formerly r $6 . ~ S d . O^{11}$.
325 (xi). Oxford. Formerly rg. Sd. a 11 i.
336 (xv). Hamburg Formerly r 16. Sd. a 500.
337 (xii). Paris. Formerly r 52. Sd. a 205
339 (xin). Turin. Formerly r $83 . \quad$ Sd. $\delta 303$.
367 ( 133 r A.d.). Florence. Formerly r 23 Sd. $\delta 400$.
368 (xv). Florence. Formerly r 84. Sd. a 1501.
385 (xv). London. Formerly r 29. Sd. a 506
386 (xiv). Rome. Formerly r 70. Sd. $\delta 401$.
424 (xi). Vienna. Formerly r 34. Sd. $\mathrm{O}^{12}$.
432 (xv). Rome. Formerly r 37. Sd. a 501.
452 (xii). Rome. Formerly r 42. Sd. a 206.
456 (x). Florence. Formerly r 75. Sd. a 52.
459 (rogz a.d.). Florence. Formerly r 45. Sd. a 104.
467 (xv). Paris. Formerly r 53. Sd. a 502.
468 (xiii). Paris. Formerly r 55. Sd. $0^{90}$.
469 (xiii-xiv). Paris. Formerly r 56 . Sd. a 306.
498 (xiv). London. Formerly r 97. Sd. $\delta 402$.
506 (xi-xii). Oxford. Formerly r 26. Sd. $\delta$ roi.
517 (xi-xii). Oxford. Formerly r27. Sd. a 214. 522 (1515 A.d.). Oxford. Formerly r 98. Sd. $\delta 602$. 582 (1334 A.d.). Ferron. Formerly rio3. Sd. 8410.

616 (1434 A.d.). Milan. Formerly r 156. Sd. a 503.
617 (xi). Venice. Formerly r 74. Sd. $\mathrm{O}^{18}$.
620 (xit). Florence. Formerly r 180. Sd. a 207.
627 (x-xi). Rome. Formerly r 24. Sd. a 53.
628 xiv). Rome. Formerly r 69. Sd. a 400.
632 (xiv). Rome. Formerly r 22. Sd a 201.
664 (xv). Zittau. Formerly r ro6. Sd. $\delta 502$.
680 (x1). Cheltenham. Formerly r 107. Sd. $\delta$ 103.
699 (xi). London. Formerly r 108. Sd. $\delta 104$.
743 (xiv). Paris. Formerly r 123. Sd. A $\boldsymbol{\nu}^{43}$.
757 (xiii-xiv). Athens. Formerly riro. Sd. $\delta 304$ 792 (xiii). Athens. Formerly riri. Sd. $5_{585}$.
808 (xii). Athens. Formerly rine. Sd. $\delta 203$.
824 (xiv). Grottaferrata. Formerly r 113. Sd. $\delta 404$.
866 (xiv). Rome. Formerly ris4. Sd. a 1375.
886 (1454 A.D.). Rome. Formerly ris. Sd. Arp50.
919 (xi). Escurial. Formerly r 125 . Sd. a 113.
920 (x). Escunal. Formerly r 126 . Sd. a 55.
922 (1 116 A.d.). Athos. Formerly rir6. Sd. $\delta 200$.
935 Athos. Sd. $\delta 36 \mathrm{r}$.
986 (xiv). Athos. Formerly r 117 . Sd. $\delta 508$.
1006 Athos. Sd. a 1174.
1064 Athos.
1072 (xiv). Athos. Formerly r 118. Sd. 8406.
1075 (xiv). Athos. Formerly rirg. Sd. $\delta 407$.
1094 (xiv). Athos. Formerly r 120. Sd. $\delta 307$.
1277 (xi-xii). Cambridge. Formerly r 185. Sd. a 194.
1328 Jerusalem. Sd. a 1470.
1352 Jerusalem. Sd. $\delta 396$.
1384 Andros. Sd. $\delta$ ェоo.
1424 Kosinitza.
1503 Athos.
155 I Athos.
1597 Athos.
1611 (xii). Athens. Formerly r 105. Sd. a 208.
1617 Athos.
1626 Athos.
1652 Athos.
1668 Athos.
1678 Athos.
1685 Serres.
1704 Athos.
1719 Athos.
1728 Athos.
1732 Athos.
1733 Athos.

1734
1740
1745
1746
1757
1760
1771
1773
1774
1775
1776
1778
1785
1795
1806
1824
1828
r841
1849

$$
1852
$$

1854
1857 (xiii). Athos. Formerly r 131 . Sd. a 1587.
1859 Athos. Formerly r 37 I. Sd. a 402.
1862 (ix). Athos. Formerly ri32. Sd. O ${ }^{21}$.
1864 Athos. Formerly r 327.
1865 Athos. Formerly r 380.
1870 (x). Chalkis. Formerly r 133 . Sd. a 54.
1872 (xii). Chalkis. Formerly r 134 . Sd. a 209.
1876 (xv). Sinai. Formerly r 135. Sd. a 504.
1888 Jerusalem. Formerly r 495. Sd. a 118.
1893 Jerusalem. Formerly r 500. Sd. a 117.
1894 Jerusalem. Formerly r 501. Sd. a 1670.
1903 Athos. Formerly r 513.
1918 (xiv). Rome. Formerly r 39. Sd. a 403. 1934 (xi). Paris. Formerly r. 64. Sd. O${ }^{15}$. 1948 (xy). Rome. Formerly r 78. Sd. a 505 . 1955 (xi). London. Formerly r 93. Sd. a 1 19. 1957 (xy). Rome. Formerly r 91. Sd. a 1574. 2004 (x). Escurial. Formerly r 142. Sd. a 56. 2014 (xv). Rome. Formerly r 21. Sd. Av ${ }^{51}$. 2015 (xv). Oxford. Formerly r 28. Sd. a 1580. 2016 (xv). London. Formerly r 31. Sd. a 1579. 2017 (xy). Dresden. Formerly r 32. Sd. a 1582. 2018 (xiv). Vienna. Formerly r. 35. Sd. Av ${ }^{46}$. 2019 (xiii). Vienna. Formerly r 36. Sd. Av ${ }^{30}$. 2020 (xv). Rome. Formerly r 38. Sd. a 1573 .

2021 (xv). Rome. Formerly r 41. Sd. a 1572.
2022 (xiv). Rome. Formerly r 43. Sd. Av $\boldsymbol{v}^{401}$. 2023 (xv). Moscow. Formerly r 49. Sd. Av ${ }^{56}$. 2024 (xv). Moscow. Formerly r 50. Sd. a 1584. 2025 (xv-xvi). Paris. Formerly r 58. Sd. a 1592. 2026 (xv-xvi). Paris. Formerly r 59. Sd. Av ${ }^{501}$. 2027 (xini-xiv). Parıs. Formerly r 6i. Sd. a 1374. 2028 (1422 A.D.). Paris. Formerly r 62. Sd. Av ${ }^{54}$.
2029 (xvi). Paris. Formerly r 63. Sd. Av ${ }^{66}$. 2030 (xi1). Moscow. Formerly r 65. Sd. a 1272. 2031 (1301 a.d.). Rome. Formerly r 67 . Sd. Av ${ }^{41}$. 2032 (xi-xii). Rome. Formerly r 68. Sd. A $\nu^{11}$. 2033 (xvi). Rome. Formerly r 72. Sd. Av ${ }^{100}$. 2034 (xy). Rume. Formerly r 73. Sd. Av ${ }^{50}$. 2035 (xv1). Florence. Formerly r 77. Sd Av 200. 2036 (xiv). Rome. Formerly r 79. Sd. A ${ }^{20}$. 2037 (xiv). Munich. Formerly r 8o. Sd. Av ${ }^{45}$. 2038 (xvi). Munich. Formerly r 81. Sd. Av ${ }^{600}$. 2039 (xii). Dresden. Formerly r 90. Sd. a 1271. 2040 (xi-xit). Parham (Curzon). Formerly r 95. Sd. Ap ${ }^{11}$. 2041 (xiv). Parham (Curzon). Formerly r 96. Sd. a 1475. 2042 (xiv-xv). Naples. Formerly r 100. Sd. Av ${ }^{400}$. 2043 (xv). Petrograd. Formerly r 101. Sd. An ${ }^{57}$. 2044 (1507 A.D.). Vienna. Formerly r 136. Sd. Av ${ }^{001}$. 2045 (xv). Vienna. Formerly r 137. Sd. Av ${ }^{\text {b5 }}$. 2046 (xv). Vienna. Formerly r 138 . Sd. A $\nu^{58}$. 2047 ( 1543 A.d.). Paris. Formerly r ${ }^{2} 39$. Sd. Av ${ }^{87}$. 2048 (xi-x11). Paris. Formerly r 140 . Sd. a 1172. 2049 (xvi). Athens. Formerly r 141 I. Sd. a 1684. 2050 (ilo7 a.d.). Escural. Formerly r 143. Sd. a 1273. 2051 (xvi). Madrid. Formerly r 144. Sd. Ar ${ }^{28}$. 2052 (xvi). Florence. Furmerly r 145. Sd. Av ${ }^{64}$. 2053 (xii1). Messina. Formerly r 146 . Sd. Oas1. 2054 (xv-xvi). Modena. Formerly r 147. Sd. Av ${ }^{500}$. 2055 (xv). Modena. Formerly r 148 . Sd. Av ${ }^{58}$. 2056 (xiv-xy). Rome. Formerly r 149. Sd. Av ${ }^{49}$. 2057 (xy). Rome. Formerly 1 150. Sd. a 1576. 2058 (xiv). Rome. Formerly r 151. Sd. $0^{4040}$. 2059 (xi). Rome. Formerly r 152. Sd. A ${ }^{10}$. 2060 ( 1331 A.d.). Rome. Formerly 1 153. Sd. Av ${ }^{18}$. 2061 (xv-xvi). Rome. Formerly r 154. Sd. a 1588. 2062 (xiii). Rome. Formerly r 155. Sd. $\mathrm{O}^{\text {aso }}$. 2063 (xvi). Rome. Formerly r 157 . Sd. A2 ${ }^{61}$. 2064 (xvi). Rome. Formerly r 158 . Sd. Av ${ }^{62}$. 2065 (xv). Rome. Formerly ris9. Sd. Av ${ }^{503}$. 2066 (1574 A.d.). Rome. Formerly r 160. Sd. Aves.

2067 (xv). Rome. Formerly r 161. Sd. Av62. 2068 (xvi). Venice. Formerly r 162 . Sd. Av ${ }^{65}$. 2069 (xv-xvi). Venice. Formerly r 163. Sd. Av59. 2070 (1356 A.d.). Athos. Formerly r $164 . \quad$ Sd. Av ${ }^{408}$. 2071 ( 1622 A.d.). Athos. Formerly r 167. Sd. Av ${ }^{70}$. 2072 ( 1798 A.d.). Athos. Formerly I 168. Sd. Av ${ }^{80}$. 2073 (xiv). Athos. Formerly r 169. Sd. Av ${ }^{47}$. 2074 (x). Athos. Formerly 1 170. Sd. Av1. 2075 (xiv). Athos. Formerly r 171 . Sd. Av ${ }^{48}$. 2076 (xvii). Athos. Formerly 1172. Sd. a 1570. 2077 ( 685 A.d.). Athos. Formerly r $174 . ~ S d . A v$ n. 2078 (xvi). Athos. Formerly r 176. Sd. a 1686. 2079 (xiii). Athos. Formerly r 177. Sd. a 1373. 2080 (xiv). Patmos. Formerly ri78.
2081 (xii). Patmos. Formerly r 179 . Sd. Av $\nu^{21}$. 2082 (xvi). Dresden. Formerly r 182. Sd. a 1682. 2083 ( 1560 A.D.). Leyden. Formerly I 184. Sd. Av ${ }^{602}$.

2084
2087
2091
2116
2136
2138
2186
2195
2196
2254
2256
2258
2259
2286

Constantinople. Formerly r 506. Sd. a 1586.
Basel.
Athens. Formerly r 5 II. Sd. A $\nu^{502}$.
Athens.
Moscow.
Moscow.
Athos.
Athos.
Athos.
Athos.
Athos.
Athos.
Athos.
Athos.

Sd. $A \rho^{70}$.
Sd. $\subset 700$.
Sd. a 116.
Sd. Av ${ }^{23}$.
Sd. a 508.
Sd. a 1687.
Sd. An ${ }^{604}$.
Sd. a 1577.
Sd. a 1770 .
Sd. A $\nu^{12}$.
Sd. A $\nu^{2{ }^{22}}$.

There are thus 223 Cursives according to the above enumeration, which is based on Gregory's list, Griechischen Handschriften des NT. (pp. 48-122). ${ }^{1}$
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## II. THE TEXT.

The MSS and Versions collated for this Edition: Abbreviations: Symbols: Itacisms.

Uncials.-Of the Uncials $A$ and $s$ have been collated afresh from photographs of these MSS published by Kenyon and Lake respectively. For the readings of $\mathbf{C}, 025,046$ the editor is dependent on Tischendorf, and for 051 on the readings given in Swete's edition under the number 186.

Cursives. - The following 22 Cursives have been specially photographed for this edition: 18, 35, 149, 175, 205, 325,337, 386, 456, 468, 617, 620, 632, 866, $919,920,1849,1934$, 2004, 2020, 2040, 2050 Of these the following are defective: 205, 337, 468, 866, 919, 920, 2040, 2050.
 $\beta \circ \lambda \eta{ }^{\prime} \nu$ т. dyiuv, i.e. one page lost through carelessness of the photographer.
337. Defective : x. $4-x$ i. I and xxii. $17 \lambda$ féyouga to end wanting.
 4pxov wanting.
 12 тov̂ Onpiov $\pi$ ẫav.


2040. Ends with the words кai rómos, xx. 11. Photographs incomplete.
2050. Defective. Omits vi. 1 кaì stiov. . . xix. 21 iк тûv б́ápкш兀 aủrūv.
These 22 Cursives are generally quoted as 20 , or $21,20,10$, accordingly as one or more are defective. See under "Abbreviations" below.

For the readings of most of the remaining Cursives cited in this edition the author is indebted to Tischendorfs NT. Graece (ed. oct. 1872) and to Hoskier's Concerning the Date of the Bohairic Version (1911) for select readings from the following 26 Cursives: 180, 181, 256, 337, 367, 368, 467, 582, 664, 68o, 743, 1075, 1948, 2014, 2025, 2026, 2028, 2029, 2030, 203I, 2032, 2033, 2034, 2037, 2038, 2043. Where readings from the Cursives cited by Tischendorf are not to be found in Tischendorf, they are derived from Hoskier.

Corrections on the MSS-
$A^{*}=$ oripinal text. $A^{* *}=$ correction and similarly in the Cursives.
$\kappa^{*}=$ original text. The lead of Tischendorf has been followed in distinguishing the different hands engaged in correcting $N$. On these different correctors (as many as fifteen ranging from the iv to the xii Century) and their dates see Lake, Codex Sinaiticus, pp. xvii-xxiv. Lake differs from Tischendorf in differentiating certain of the correctors. Into this vexed question it is not necessary to enter here.

Versions.-For a short description of these Versions see the Introduction to vol. i.

## Latin.

Tyc $=$ " Tyconius' Text of the Apocalypse, a partial restoration," published by Prof. Souter in the J.T.S., April 1913.
$\mathrm{Pr}=$ Text of Primasius in Die lateinische Apocalypse, edited by Haussleiter, 1891.
$\mathrm{f}=$ Palimpsestus floriacensis in Haussleiter's volume just mentioned.
gig $=$ Codex Gigas. A fresh collation made by Dr. Karlsson in 189r for Bp. John Wordsworth of Salisbury, and put at my service by his collabor-ator-Professor White.
$\mathbf{v g}=$ Vulgate (editio minor), edited by H. J. White, 191.

## Syriac.

$\mathbf{s}^{1}=$ Philoxenian Version, edited by John Gwynn, 1897.
$\mathbf{s}^{\mathbf{2}}=$ Harkleian or Syriac Vulgate.
$\mathbf{s}$ sometimes is used to indicate the consensus of $\mathbf{s}^{1}$ and $\mathrm{s}^{2}$.

## Armenian.

arm $^{1.9 .8 .4}=$ Old Armenian MSS edited by F. C. Conybeare, 1907.
arme $=$ Armenian Vulgate.
Egyptian.
bo $=$ Bohairic Version of the New Testament, vol. iv., edited by G. Horner, 1905.
se $=$ Sahidic Version. Partial collation furnished to the editor by G. Horner.

## Ethiopic.

eth = Ethiopic Version, edited by J. P. Platt (new edition), 1899.

## Abbreviations and Symbols-

Or' $=$ the Greek text, which accompanies the recently discovered Scholia of Origen on the Apocalypse, i.e. in Harnack's edition, Der Scholien-Kommentar des Origenes sur Apokalypse Johannis, 1911. This text is not Origen's, though the Scholia probably are. It should be numbered as Cursive 2293 ( $x$ cent.).
Or ${ }^{\text {mt. }}$ 解 ${ }^{34}=$ Origen's text of the Apoc. in his Commentary on Matthew, vol. iv. p. 314, in Lommatzsch's edition. Similarly Or ${ }^{\text {Cel }}{ }^{\text {xx }} \mathrm{rz7}$ means Origen's text of the Apoc. in Contra Celsum, vol. xx. p. 117, of Lommatzsch's edition. Origen's works are occasionally quoted to show that Or" cannot be his text.
r 1 Words so enclosed are taken by the Editor to have greater claims to be the original than the alternative printed in the margin.
$\dagger \quad \dagger$ Words so enclosed are corrupt. The Editor's restoration is occasionally given in the margin.
$<\quad>\quad$ Words so enclosed are restored by the Editor.
$\begin{array}{ll} & \text { Words so } \\ +\quad= & \text { add } \\ > & =\text { omit. } \\ \sim & =\text { transpose. }\end{array}$
$\mathrm{pr}=$ prefix.
29 (-18.35) $=$ the 22 Cursive MSS collated for this edition less by the two MSS 18.35. Where certain of these MSS are defuctive the symbol may be 21 or 20 or 19 or 18 or even 17 .

Words in heavy type in the text are restorations of the original text as in $3^{17.14}$.

Itacisms.-Itacisms are not recorded in the case of the Cursives nor yet of the Uncials excepting $A$ and $\kappa$, and not even the itacisms of these in such common instances as $c$ for $\epsilon 1$ ( $\% \delta o v$ for eiov). Such itacisms as $e$ for at or vice versa in these two MSS are recorded, since this itacism has in one case led to a corruption of the text. Thus Gwynn and Swete have rightly recognized that $\pi \dot{f} \sigma y$ ènt in $7^{16}$ is corrupt for maion ${ }^{6} \tau$, the corruption being due originally to the miswriting of raco as reढ $\eta$. In $9^{6}$ Ak write $\pi \epsilon \sigma \eta$ for $\pi a \iota \sigma \eta-$ a fact unrecorded by Tischendorf. In fact A writes mertwice for mato out of the five times where it occurs in the N.T. and $N$ three times. Other common unrecorded itacisms are $\iota$ for $\eta$ and o for $\omega$, or vice versa.

## III. THE TEXT AND APPARATUS CRITICUS.

## Chapter I.

## AHOKAAYYİ IRANNOY.






## Title.

 атока入utเs 175.337. g20. 2040| $\eta$ amok. rov aytov lwavvov bo


 (+ тov aytov 919) Iwav. tov $\theta$ col. каи svayedectov 046. 919 |









 nuntianda Prff:>eth : +avta bo | rov>88. 2015| Tw Sovi $\omega$ avt. $>1854$ Or" : tow doudov avt. A | Iwavet $\boldsymbol{N}^{*} \mid$.



 2020. 2023. 2024. 2037. 204x. 2067 al : + кal artva aбtv 42 :|.
3. Maкd́pıos ó d̀vayıvórкки







 те̣ аïцатı aủтov̂,








 к. cyy. $>$ arm $^{1.3} \mid$ кацр. © $\gamma \gamma .>2050 \mid$.
4. Inavvis] Iwavno $\mathbb{N}$ : pr a cypaye eth : + scribens haec Tyc
 apхом.] a deo patre Tyc $\mid$ aro о $\omega v$ AKC 025. 1. 60. 82. 88. 104.

 1934 : ab eo qui est fl gig vg sis ${ }^{12}$ bo : amo $\theta$ eov o $\omega \nu$ 046. 81

 AN 88. 24I. 2036:a C 046. 21 ( -205.620 . 2020) alpmor $\mathrm{Or}^{8}$ $\mathrm{s}^{1.2} \mathrm{arm}^{8}: a \operatorname{cotav} 025.1 .205 .620 .2020 .2023$ al $\mathrm{arm}^{2}$ a : a cıनLv $2019 \mathrm{arm}^{4} \mid$ avtov $>2018$ bo : тov $\operatorname{\theta cov}$ 88. 2015. 2036 Prfl:Inoov Xpootov eth |
6. кан ато I. X.] et a filio hominis Tyc: >eth|og raptus тıбтоб єбтเข 172. 2018 Prgig vg arm ${ }^{4}$ eth |o жрштor.] "who



 025. 1. 6r. ${ }^{\text {m8 88 8. 205. 1957. 2015. 2019. 2036. 2037. 2038. } 2041 .}$
 $>2050$ : vpas eth | $\lambda_{\text {varavtl }}$ ANC 1. 83. (104). 181". 314. (620). 628 2015. 2019. 2020. 2036. 2050 Or $\mathrm{Pr}_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{s}^{1.2}$ arm : 入ovaurt






(a) The MSS add here an early inte1polation. 8. 'E $\gamma \dot{\omega}$ el $\mu \mathrm{Td}$ " $\Delta \lambda \phi a$
 See vol. ii. Eng. trans., footnote, in loc.



 $\eta \mu a \sigma^{2}>N^{*}: ~ \nu \mu a s$ eth | єк ANC 1. 61. ${ }^{\text {m/ }}$ 88. 181. 2015*. 2019. 2020. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2050. 2067: aлt 025. 046. 21 (-620. 2020. 2050) 250 alpl $\mathrm{Or}^{\prime} \operatorname{Pr}$ fl gig vg bo? | r. apapt.] peccato $\operatorname{Pr} \mid \eta \mu \omega \nu] \nu \mu \omega \nu$ eth : $>$ A 1. 181. 336. (620). $2067 \operatorname{Pr} \mid$ ev т. al $\mu$. aur. $>$ arm $^{1 . s^{\circ}}$.
 2038. $2067 \mathrm{Or}^{4} \mathrm{~s}^{1.2}$ bo eth : mounбavrt 046. 42. 69. 104. 325. ${ }^{\text {cor }}$ 336. 367. $3^{85}$. 456. 459. 468. (620). 2019 | $\eta \mu \alpha \sigma$ К 025.
 468. 517. 2016. 2020: $\eta \mu \omega \nu$ C: veas eth : regnum nostrum fl :

 88 Pr gig $\mathrm{vg}^{\mathrm{d}}$ : "worthy of his kingdom and priests"


 61*. 69. (325). 367. 456. 468. $5^{17}$. (620). $1854 \mathrm{Or}^{6} \mathrm{bo}^{\text {iv }}$

 $\tau \omega \nu$ a $\omega \nu \omega \nu$ KC 046 alpl $^{10}$ Or $^{4}$ fl gig vg s. ${ }^{1.2} \mathrm{arm}^{2.8 \mathrm{sa}}:>$ A 025 . 88. $325.456 .468^{\text {i. }}$ 498. 201 5. 2036. 2037. 2050 bo $\mid$ a $\mu \eta \nu$ $>218$.
7. $\mu \in \tau \alpha] \in \pi c$ C sa eth | $\tau \omega \nu>250$. 2018. $2038 \mid \nu \in \phi \in \lambda \omega \nu]+a \mu \eta \nu$
 Pr figig vg eth: oqovral $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{I}}$. 181. 2038. $2067 \mathrm{Or}^{4} \mathrm{~s}^{1.2}$ 2rm bo

 $\mid$ aurov $^{2}>\boldsymbol{N}^{*} \mid$ кочоитаи $]$ o ооитац (-єтац $\operatorname{Pr}$ ) $\operatorname{Pr}$ fl bo arm. (89):
 Or ${ }^{+} \operatorname{Pr}$ fl bo $\mid$ tagaı... $\left.\gamma \eta \sigma\right]$ omnis terra $\left.\operatorname{Pr} \mid v a i\right]+\kappa a l \mathbf{s}^{1} \mid$

8. то алфа ANC 025 . 046. 21 ( -620.632 . 2020) al





11. ${ }^{\text {º }}$ O $\beta \lambda$ étets ypáчov eis $\beta 九 \beta \lambda i ́ o v$,

gig arm $^{2} 4$ a bo : то a 1. 88. 24I. 385. 620. 632. 2020. 2023.

 172. 205. 250. 1854 . (2015). 2018. (2019. 2023. 2036. 2037). 2038. $2050 \mathrm{Or}^{1 \mathrm{ti}}=\mathrm{Or}^{8} \mathrm{gig} \mathrm{vg}$ bo $\mid \lambda_{\text {eqct }}$ Kvpios o $\theta$ eos $>$
 $>$ arm $^{4}$ : "and who is" $\mathrm{arm}^{2.3 a}$ : "unto aeons" arm" $\mid 0^{4}>$
 2036 |.
 025.2036 al : $\sigma$ vyкov. A 046 205. 250. 468. 2020. 2037. 2038.










 172. 242. 325*. 424. 432. 1934. 2015. 2016. 2018. 2020. 2036
 1934. 2020) alpl $\mathrm{Or}^{4}$ fl sis ${ }^{1.2} \mathrm{arm}^{18}$ eth $\mid \operatorname{l\eta \sigma ov} \mathrm{AN}^{*} \mathrm{C} 025.18 \mathrm{I}$. 2015. 2019. 2020. 2036. 2050 fl gig vg arm : Xpıorov arm ${ }^{1}$ :
 $\mathrm{arm}^{2.8} 8$ bol.
10. eyev. $\varepsilon v$ mreup.] "and ( $>a$ ) there was in me the spirit


 (+ $\sigma a \lambda \pi i \gamma y o s$ 2015) $\mu \mathrm{c} \mathrm{\gamma}$. NC 025. 205. (2015). 2037 al

 ф $\omega \nu \eta \sigma \mu \tau \gamma .336$. (2050). 2067 | $\left.0 \pi เ \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \mu \nu>\operatorname{arm}^{4} \mid \sigma a \lambda \pi เ \gamma \gamma \sigma \sigma\right]$ pr фwm armi. s. 4 eth .

 ©uáтépay






 bo eth : + ধүш алфа к. то $\omega$ прштоб к. о єбхатоб (кал) (025. 104) 620: + єүш єцц то ак. то ш (о) трштобк. (о) єбхатоб (каи)
 2018. 2020 $\operatorname{Pr}$ s $^{1}$ bo $\left.\mid \beta \lambda \epsilon \pi \epsilon \sigma\right] \quad \beta \lambda \epsilon \pi \eta \sigma$ 2040 : aкovati bo



 elo $\Sigma_{\mu \nu \rho \nu .}$ post ©uatelp. pon $\kappa \mid \Sigma_{\mu \nu \rho \nu a v} C$ 025. 046. 81 (-205. 620. 2040) al $\mathrm{s}^{2}$ : Smymam fl : Smirnam Pr gig : $\mu$ vplav A 1. 177. 205. 620. 628. 920. 2017. 2018. 2024.

 2050) (AC 046) 69. 110. 172. 314. 424. 1854. 1957. 2018. 2020. (2050) : Tyatyram fi: Tyathiram gig : Thyatiram vg : eta
 2020. 2050) 250. 2037. 2067 al : ev @uaretpotr 025. 205. 209. 2019 : Thatirae $\operatorname{Pr}$ : Thyatera bo $\left.\mid \kappa a \iota^{5}\right]+$ кal $2040 \mid \kappa$.
 סєגфLav ANC 025.046 .104 .205 .456 .522 .620 .919 .920. 1849. 1955. 2004. 2015 . 2017. 2039. 2042. 2050 bo : ФL $\lambda \alpha \delta \& \lambda-$ фecav 21 ( -205.456 .620 .919 .920 .1849 .2004 .2050 ) alpl : "Phrygia" arm" | Maodıкlav AnC $110^{*}$. 205. 2015. 2042. 2050 al bo : Naodıкecav 025. 046. 21 (-205. 2050) alp ${ }^{12}$ : Laudatiae Pr $\mid$.
12. кal] ANC 025. 205. 632年. 2020. 2050 Pr fl gig Cyp vg
 ${ }_{\mathrm{a} \mid \mathrm{pl}}{ }^{2} \mid \epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \rho$. $\beta \lambda \epsilon \pi$. .... кal $\epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau$. $\left.\epsilon \delta \sigma v\right]$ conversus respexi ut




 $\operatorname{arm}^{1}$.



 vршиévŋs $\dagger$ ，

（a）MSS add a gloss $\boldsymbol{\omega}_{5} \mathbf{x}$（wiv．See vol．i． 28.
181．205．459．2015．2020．2037．2038．2042．2050．2067 Tyc Pr fl $\mathrm{s} \mathrm{arm}^{1.2 .4 a}$ bo：twy enta K 046.21 （ -205.2020 .2050 ）


 104．110．172．175．177．201． 250 ．325．337．386．456．617． 620．1934．201 5．2016．2018．2021．2042．2050 al ：vtw AC 025. 18．205．468．632．919．920．1849．1854．2004．2020．2037． 2038. 2040． 2067 alpl $^{10}$ Or $^{8} \operatorname{Pr}$ Cyp fl gig vg arm｜av日pwrov］+ ка८ s ${ }^{1}$｜
 $\min$ fere omn ：$\pi ⿰ 丿 ㇄$

 2067：набtor K 104．205．209．385．498．620．632．2042．2050：
 $046 \mathrm{~min} 0 \mathrm{mn}{ }^{\text {rd }} \mid$ ．

14．$\eta \delta_{\varepsilon} \kappa \in \phi$. ．．．$\left.\tau p ı x.\right]$＂but the hair of his head＂arm ${ }^{4}$｜
 $\mid \omega^{1}$ AN 35．175．386．617．620．632．920．1934． 2020. $2040 \mathrm{al}^{\mathrm{mu}} \mathrm{Or}^{\boldsymbol{A}}$ ：$\omega \sigma \epsilon \mathrm{C} 025$ ．1．1957．2015．2023．2036． 2037. 2038．2041．2042． 20502067 al ：$\omega \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ 205．209． 242 ：кal $\omega \sigma 046.18 .250 .325 .337 .456 .468$. 919．1849． 2004 al
 ка日apov arm $^{4}:>110$ Pr fl Cyp $s^{1}$ ：＋кац 2019．2050
 （2019）．2020． 2042 ：кau bo ：aut（corrupt for ut）Tyc． $\mid \kappa \alpha \iota^{9}>\operatorname{Pr}$ $\left|\omega \sigma^{2}>517\right|$ ．
 $\lambda_{1}$ ßavo 025．104．175．620．2017．2042． 2050 ：aurichalco（auri－ calco $\operatorname{Pr}$ ）Libani Tyc $\operatorname{Pr}$ ：aurocalco fl ：auricalco gıg ：orichalco vg ：＂burnished brass＂bo ：＋кat gig｜$\omega \sigma$ ．．．$\pi \in \pi \cup \rho .>498$.
 Cyp Pr fil ：тєкиршлєvш $N$ 205．209．336．620．628． 2050 gig
 2038． 2067 alp $^{p^{1}} \mathrm{Or}^{+}$：ignitos velut in fornace ignis Tyc：

 Dan． $\left.10^{6}\right) 1$ ．

 pevopúv $\eta$,





кaì îov̀ ̧̧̂̂v «ipì eis toùs alûvas têv aiévov,


 habebat $\operatorname{Pr}$ fl gig vg arm : $>$ A 202 I. 2050| єv r. $\delta$. x. avt.
 175. 181. 205. 209. 242. 250. 432. 617. 1934. 1957. 2016. 2017.



 $0 \xi \varepsilon \epsilon<$ 205. 209. 242. 2050 arm $^{1.3 .4}$ (bo) $\mid$ єкторєvo人.] pendentem Tyc | фаıve $\omega \sigma$ o $\eta \lambda \iota \sigma \sigma \operatorname{Pr} \operatorname{Cyp} \mathrm{fl} \mathrm{arm}^{4}$ bo : "hine the sun flashing appeared " armi. 2. 8 - $\mid 0>$ 205. 209. 24r. 432. 498. 628 . 632. 2020. 2042 | фaıvel] фaıvшv 2067 : "was flashing" arm4 | $\frac{1}{}$

17. єтета ANC 025. 046. 35. 205. 325. 337. 456. 620. 632. 2020. 2050 : єтєбоข 18. 175. 386. 617. 919. 920. 1849. 1934. 2004. 2037. $2040 \mathrm{al} \mid \pi \rho \sigma \sigma]$ ] $\kappa \sigma \kappa 42$ : $\left.\epsilon \pi l 2033 \mathrm{~s}^{1} \mid \omega \sigma\right] \omega \sigma \iota \kappa^{*}$ : $\omega \boldsymbol{\omega}$
 2015. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2050. 2067 : inposuit fl Cyp | $\delta \in \xi$. avr. $\mathrm{AN}^{*} \mathrm{C} 025$. 046.81 ( -35.205 ) al ${ }^{\text {pl }} \mathrm{Pr}$ fl gig vg arm eth + хера кс. о 1. 35. 61. 205. 1957. 2015. 2037. 2038. 2041. 2067

 2050 1.
 $\operatorname{arm} \mid$ evev. veкр.]: "I am ( $+\operatorname{same}^{3}$ ) who died" armi. 2.sa $:+$ кая
 025. 2019. 20502067 Pr Cyp fl gig vg bo armi. 2 a : $+a \mu \eta \nu$

 632. 2020. 2037. 2038 al. : к $\lambda \epsilon \delta a \sigma$ 046. 21 ( $-35.205 \cdot 325.456$.
19. $\gamma$ páqov oưv á ellos

каi a eioiv




468. 620. 632. 2020) almu $\mathrm{Or}^{\mathrm{C}} \mid$ тоv $\theta a v$, к. т. ad. ANC 025.046.
 2015. 2019. 2036. 2037. 2038 al |.

 $\operatorname{arm}^{2} 4 a\left|a^{2}>2050\right| \kappa a \iota^{2}>$ bo $\left.\left|a^{3}>s^{1}\right| \mu c \lambda \lambda c i\right]$ Sel $2050:$ Sct

 61. 69. 314. 386. 468. 617. 632. 1957. 2015. 2019. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2041. 2042. 2050. 2067 |.
20. ove ANC 025. 1. 110. 181. 205. 209. 2037**. 2038.



 $\operatorname{arm}^{2} \mid \tau_{\text {та }}{ }^{1}>18$ 385. 429*. 522. 919. 920. 1849. 1955. 2004.






 $63^{* *}$. 1854. 1957. 2020. 2037. 2050. 2067 al $\mathrm{Or}^{4}$ [al $\left.\varepsilon \pi \tau a\right]+a \sigma$ є $\delta \in \sigma$ 025. 1. (35). ( 6 1. ${ }^{\mathrm{mg}}$ ). 69. ${ }^{\mathrm{mg}}$ (205). 1955. (1957). 2036. 2037.
 172. 241. 250. 424. $2020 \mathrm{arm} \mid a \pi a^{6}>104.498 \mathrm{Pr}$ fl ( $\mathrm{arm}^{4}$ ?). Only these authorities attest the original text (see vol. i. 34-35; vol. ii., Eng. trans., footnote, in loc.). The ai imrá belonge to


## Chapter II.

##   

 elsewhere attests the originality of this unique grammatical construction (see Gram. in vol. i.), I add here a summary of the documentary evidence for it in $2^{1}$ and in the six other passages where it originally occurred, $2^{\text {8. }} 18.18 \quad 3^{1.7 .14 .}$. This evidence is sufficient to establish the originality of $\tau \omega \alpha \gamma \gamma \in \lambda \omega \tau \omega$ in all seven passages : when reinforced by the evidence of John's usage elsewhere, it is irresistible. I have accordingly restored the original reading in $3^{1.7}{ }^{14}$ where the Greek MSS fail us.
$2^{1}$ r. ayy. $\tau \omega 1$ AC (2019) $s^{1}$ arm ${ }^{4} \operatorname{Pr}$ (though he reads: angelo ecclesiae Ephesi). In the note Pr. refers to the peculiar constiuction in the text : Dativo hic casu ecclesiae posuit, non genetivo; ac si diceret Scribe angelo, huic ecclesiae, ut non tam angelum et ecclesiam separatim videatur dixisse, quam qui sit angelus exponere voluisse, unam videlicet faciens angeli ecclesiaeque personam. $2^{8}$ r. $a \gamma \gamma$.

 $\mathrm{C}>\tau \omega^{2}$ but does not replace it by $\tau \eta \sigma .3^{1} \tau$. ary. r $\omega$ ] $\operatorname{Pr}$

The difficulty of the reading led to the occasional omission of eкк入ท ( $\mathrm{arm}^{4}$ ). It is interesting to observe how the evidence for the original reading grows weaker as the text advances. The assurance of the scribes grows as they write. On the individual passages the chief variants are given below.
 begin $2^{1.6 .12 .18} 3^{1.7 .14}$ with кal ( $>$ bo sa) $\gamma \rho a \psi o v \mid \tau \omega \approx v$ Eфcow «ккл $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\iota}$
 ${ }^{0} 025.046 \mathrm{~min}$ fere omn $\mathrm{Or}^{*}$ : Ephesi ecclesiae gig vg : ecclesiae





 xpvocur 2050 .


 кai eupes aûroùs $\psi$ evóais'
3. кaì ixrouovìv t'xus

каì ov́ кекотіакея.




 (a) MSS add gloss edp $\mu\rangle$ 很
 боv к. т. кот. боv 632 | тор котор AC 025 . 35. 60. 181. 205. 209. 432. 1957. 2015 . 2019. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2041. 2042
 (-35. 205). 250. $2067 \mathrm{Or}^{4} \mathrm{~s}^{1}$ : tovg кomovg fov $\mathrm{arm}^{2.8 .4}$ bo






 $\aleph^{*}$ ) к. єßaбт. ANC $046.21(-35.205 .620 .2020) .250 \mathrm{al}^{\mathrm{ma}}$ $\mathrm{Or}^{5} \mathrm{gg} \mathrm{s}^{1.2} \mathrm{arm}^{4}$ : et habuisti patientiam et tolerastı $\mathrm{Pr}: \varepsilon \beta a \sigma r$.
 2020. 2023. 2036. (2037). 2038. 2067 аا : єßабт. $\mu є$ к. ขтол. єХ. 025 . 104. 205. 209. 336. 459. 620: vтом. єх. к єßaбt. avrova gig
 оу кєкотьакєб $\mathrm{AC}\left(\mathrm{s}^{1.9}\right)$ : к. очк. єкотьабаб $\propto 025.046 .21$ ( -620. 2020). 250. 2037 al ${ }^{\text {pl }} \mathrm{Or}^{\text {d }}$ : et non (nec $\operatorname{Pr}$ ) defecisti $\operatorname{Pr}$ gig vg : кац кекотьакат 336. 432. 628. 2020 arm $^{2}$ a : каєкотьакат 1 : кац кекотиагаг 620 .
4. ald AC 025. 1. 385. 620. 2015. 2020 2037. 2038. 2042. 2050. 2067 Ort $^{4}$ : adda $\kappa$ 046. 21 (-205. 620. 2020. 2050).




 205. 620. 1957. 2015. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 204r. 2067



 rapadeíç то̂̀ $\theta$ єovi.



 dadì $\pi \lambda$ oúvios cit,


eth | epya]+ סov gig arm | $\sigma 0$ ANC 025. 2050 gig vg sis bo sa : > 181. 2041 armi. 2 a : + taxv 046. 21 ( -2050 ). 250.





7. ouf] wta s $^{1.2}:+$ aкovety bo eth : aures audiendi $\operatorname{Pr}$ arm ${ }^{1.2 .4}$

 205) Or' Pr Cyp yg sia arm eth : $>$ N 35. 60. 205. 209. 1957. 2023. 2041 Tyc gig vga, $\mathrm{s}^{1} \mid \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\pi}$ тараб. $\mathrm{AN}^{*} \mathrm{C} 046$. 21 ( -35. 205) almi Tyc Pr Cyp vg si. $\mathrm{arm}^{1.2 .3 .4}$ : $\kappa v \mu \epsilon \sigma \omega \tau \omega \pi a \rho a \delta \in \iota \sigma \omega$
 205). 250. 1957. 2015. 2018. 2019. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2041. 2067 gig arma bo $\mid$ tov $\theta$ eov ANC 025 . 1. 61.wg 205. 2015. 2019. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2067 s $^{1} \mathrm{arm}^{*}:+\mu \mathrm{v} 046.21$
 bo eth .
8. Tw \&v] See note on 21. tw A arm ${ }^{1}$ : $\tau \eta \sigma$ NC 025. 046.
 Smirnae ecclesiae gig (vg) bo : ecclesiae Smirnae $\operatorname{Pr} \mathrm{s}^{1}$ : $\varepsilon \nu$





 025.046 .35 .205. 468**. 620. 632. 2020. $2050^{6} \operatorname{Pr} \operatorname{gig} \operatorname{vg~s} \mathbf{s}^{1.2}$ $\mathrm{arm}^{1.2 .4 .}$ bo : >18. 175. 325. 337. 386. 456. 468*. 617. 919.
 revixit $\operatorname{Pr} \mid$.
9. rov AC 025. 93. 241. $250^{\text {com }} \operatorname{Pr}$ gig vg si bo sa eth : + та срүа каи к 046. 21. 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 Or Tyc s ${ }^{2}$



रivou тıбтòs äxpı $\theta a v a ́ r o v, ~$







 phemaris Pr gig vg : "I found not one" bo | кк ANC 046. 21 ( -35.205 ) almu $\operatorname{Pr}$ gig vg si. 2 bo : >025. 1. 35. 205. ${ }^{1957 .}$ 2015. 2019. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2041. 2067 Or | єavt. Iovo. 2015. 2036 (sl) |Iovסatev $N^{*} \mathrm{C} 2050$ arm $^{4} \mid$ єavrovg avai 2019| єiva $>$ 468** $^{*}$ s $^{1} \mid$ єavt. $>$ 336. 620. 628 : autova 314.
 $\mathrm{vg} \mathrm{arm}^{1.2} \mathrm{e}$ |.
10. $\mu \eta \mathrm{AC} 046.2020 .2023$. 2050. 2067 bo : $\mu \eta \delta e v N 025$. 21 (-2020. 2050) Or' $\operatorname{Pr} \mathrm{gig} \mathrm{vg} \mathrm{s}^{1.2}$ eth $\left.\left.\mid a\right] \omega \nu 35 \mid \mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda_{\text {elf }}\right]$ Oe入aб arma | $\pi a \sigma \chi \epsilon เ$ ANC 025 . 1. 35. 104. 172. 205. 468* (пaбхє 620). 1957. 2015. 2018. 2019. 2023. 2036. 2041: пa日etv 046. 21 (-35. 205. 468*. 620). 2067 almu $\mathrm{Or}^{\text {4 }} \mid$ wou ANC 025. 1. 18. 6r. 69. 104. 250.620 .2018 .2019 .2020 .2036 .2037 .2038 $\mathrm{al}^{\mathrm{mu}} \operatorname{Pr} \mathrm{gig} \mathrm{vg} \mathrm{s}^{1} \mathrm{arm}$ bo $:+\delta \eta$ 046. 21 ( -18 . 205. 620. 2020. 2050). $206 \mathrm{Or}^{1} \mathrm{~s}^{2}:+\gamma а \rho 2050$ eth $:+$ кає 205 |0 סıaß. $\beta a \lambda$. 920. 2020. 2040 s $^{19}$ eth $\mid \beta a \lambda \lambda_{\epsilon \nu}$ Aк $^{c} \mathrm{C} 025$. 18. 35. 205. 250. 919. 920. 1849. 2004. 2020 al : $\beta a \lambda \epsilon \iota$ 046. 175. 325. 337. 386. 456. 468. 617. 620. 632. 1934. 2037. 2040. 2050. 2067 al Or ${ }^{4}$ :

 $>$ gig | expre A $1854.2019 .2038 \operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{bo}):$ exere C 025. I. 181.
 $\theta \lambda \iota \psi$.] $+\mu \varepsilon \gamma a \lambda \eta \nu 2050 \mid \delta \epsilon \kappa . \eta \mu$. Tyc gig | $\eta \mu є \rho \omega \nu$ AnC 025. 1. 35. 104. 172. 205. 250.620 .1957 .2015 . 2037. 2038. 2050. 2067 al :

 v $\mu \nu \mathrm{s}^{1}$ | axpet $2050\left|\mu \epsilon \chi \rho^{c} 632.2020\right|$.
11. our] wra $\mathbf{s}^{1.2}$ arm $^{1.4}$ : + aкovetv bo eth : + audiendi $\operatorname{Pr}$
 - yap viкшu bo



д̈rov ì $\theta$ póvos rov̂ Zaravă,
каi крaтєîs rò övopá $\mu$ оv,






 'I $\sigma \rho a j ̀ \lambda$,
фаүєîv єiळw入óOuta каì порvє̂̂ซal



 $\delta_{\iota \sigma \tau} . s^{1.9}$ eth $\mid$
18. olfa AnC 025. 2020. 2050 Tyc Pr gig vg sl armi. 2. 4



 1957. 2050 gig vg si bo eth : >N 025.046. 21 (-2050) alpl


 104. 205. 250. 620. 1957. 2018. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2041.
 (बv) aıб 2040. (2050) | Avtırag א*C 025. 046. 21 (-325. 337. 456. 2050) alma vg : Antiphas Pr : Anthipas arm a $\mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{m}}$ : Antipax gig : Avecimá A®̊. © 42. 82. 93. 325. 337. 367. 452.456. 498. 2021. 2024**. $2050 \mathrm{Or}^{4}$ : divreîmao $\mathrm{s}^{\text {1. } 2} \mathrm{arm}^{4}$ bo $:>$ eth $\mid$


 $\mu v^{4}$ AC 6I. 69. $2050 \mathrm{Or}^{4} \mathrm{~s}^{2}$ : >N 025. 046. 21 (-2050) Pr gig vg (arm) bo $\mid$ or av eкraven $2050: 0$ arcктavo 205 : ov

 каток. $>2020 \mathbf{s}^{1} \downarrow$
14. and. ANC 025. 35. 205. 620. 2020. 2050 al $\mathrm{Or}^{4}:$ alda 046. 21 (-35. 205. 620. 2020. 2050) 250. 2038. 2067 al | ката


 д $\mu$ oi $\omega$ ¢．

16．$\mu \in \tau a v o ́ p \sigma a v ~ o i v \cdot ~$








 2015．2036．2037．2050． 2067 al $\operatorname{Pr}$ gig vg ：є $\delta \iota \delta a \xi \epsilon \nu 046$.

 2037． 2038 Or＇：єv тш Badaaц тоу Bad． $025.35^{*} .2067$ et comm．in 250．2037． 2067 ：Bad． $046:>\mathbb{N}^{*} \mid$ Baגak Aк® 025.21 （ - 386．620．1849．2040＊＊．2050）Or＇：Balac gig vg arm ：Baлaak C 046．620．1849．1854．2040＊＊． 2050 ：


 g1g $\mathrm{vg}_{\mathrm{s}^{1}} \mathrm{arm}$ ：tou фаү．42． 325.336 .367 .456 .468 .620 .628 ： кає фаү．046．18．175．250．337．386．617．632＊＊．919． 920. 18491934 2004．2040． 2067 al $\mathrm{Or}^{4}\left(\mathrm{~s}^{2}\right) \mid$ cidwlot．$>\mathrm{vg} \mathrm{arm}^{2}$ ： «iбu入o日utov 1854 ：de sacrificiis $\operatorname{Pr}$ ．

16．би крат．］о кратшу 2050｜кратоинтаб］кратоглта bo：$>$ $\operatorname{arm}^{1.2 .9}$ a $\mid$ Nıко入．$A C$ 046．18．175．325．386．456．468．617． 919．1849． 2004 al ：т $\omega$ NıкоД． K 025 ．г．35．104．205．337． 620. 632．920．1934．1957．2015．2020．2040． 2050 al（arm ${ }^{4 a}$ bo）： ＂of Nicolaus＂arm＂．9． 8 ｜opoum ANC 046.21 （ -35.468 .2020 ）

 2067 ：＞ 2020 arm ${ }^{1.2 .3}$ bo sa eth.

16．ouv AC 046． $21(-35) \mathrm{al}^{\mathrm{ma}} \mathrm{arm}^{1}$ \＆bo eth ：$\mu$ ovov $\mathrm{arm}^{8}$ ： $>K 025$ ．1．35．6x．69．1957．2015．2019．2023．2036． 2037.



 （from the Comm．of Andreas） ．

17．ovo］wra s．2 ：＋akovetv bo eth ：aures audiendi $\operatorname{Pr}$


## 

Táde $\lambda$ '́yes ó viòs rov̂ $\theta \in o v ̂$,


19. Otóá $\sigma o v$ rà è $p \gamma a$,
 ข่тоноขท $\sigma 0 \nu$,



 2015. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2041. 2067 Or' Tyc gig arm ${ }^{4}$ : :
 (-35. 205. 468. 620. 632. 2050) al $\mathrm{Or}^{\mathrm{s}}: ~ \mu a \nu \nu a$ 69: то $\mu \mathrm{av} \mathrm{\nu a}$
 Tyc $\operatorname{Pr} \mathrm{s}^{1.2} \mathrm{arm}^{4 \times}$ bo : ano тov $\mu$ avva 1. 35 . 6 I . ${ }^{\mathrm{mg}}$ 104. 205. 468**. 620. 632. 2015. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2041. 2067 :




 205. 209 bo : + autc 2050 |.


 $\tau \eta \sigma$ eкк $\boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma \iota a \sigma \tau \eta \sigma$ ev ©var. $\mathrm{s}^{2}$ : qui in Theatrea ecclesia $\mathrm{arm}^{4}{ }^{(\beta \cdot \gamma)}$ : т $\eta \sigma$ ev Gvar. eкк $\lambda \eta \sigma$. $\times 025.046 \mathrm{~min} \mathrm{omn}^{\text {vid }} \mathrm{Or}^{\text {s }}$ : Tyatırae ecclesie gig : Thyatrae ecclesiae vg : ecclesiae Thyaterae bo :
 Ovareıpow K 1. 18. 35. 175. 205. 250. 386. 468. 617. 919.920. 1934. 2004. 2037. 2040. 2067 : ©uatıpora AC : @vatךpora 025.
 046. 620 : ©vateip 7 69. 93. 104. 110. 177. 325. 337. 456. 498. 2021 : Thyatirae vg : Tyatire gig $\mid \epsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota a \sigma>\mathrm{A}$ arm $^{1} \mid$ тova
 +avtov NC 02 5. 046.21 (-2020). 250. 2037. 2038 alpl $^{\text {Pl }} \mathrm{Or}^{8} \mathrm{~s}^{2}$
 025. 104. 175. 620. 2050 : auricalco Pr : eramento thurino gig : orichalco vg : "unto brass of Libanan" $\mathrm{s}^{2}$ arm" $2.8^{\circ} 4$ : "unto brass smelted" arm ${ }^{30 \%}$ a : "burnished brass" ( $\chi^{\text {a }}$ кко $\lambda_{\iota} \beta a v o \sigma$ ) bo eth $\mid$.
19. та єру. каь $>18 \mathrm{I} \mid \tau \eta \nu(>2020) \pi \iota \sigma$ т. к. т. ауат. к. т.
 ajar.] + $\sigma 0 v \mathrm{~s}^{1}$ bo eth $\left|\tau \eta \nu^{2}>\mathrm{C} 2020\right| \pi \omega \sigma r$.] $+\sigma 0 v \mathrm{~s}^{1}$ bo eth



 торvєîral каї фаүєîv єíoulógvta.


22. Lioov̀ $\beta a ́ \lambda \lambda \omega \omega$ aúrìv als $\kappa \lambda i ̂ v \eta \nu$,

 See Eing. trans. vol. ii. footnote, in loc. ddy $\mu \eta$ is not followed by the indicative in our author.
 ${\text { xєpova } 175.617^{*} .}^{1934 .}$.
20. a $1 \lambda a$ A 046 min mult : ad入 NC 025 35.69. 104. 175. 205. 314. 385. 617. 620. 1934. 1957. 2015. 2016. 2020. 2037.
 025.046 .21 ( $-35^{*} .63^{*} .2050$ ). $25^{\circ}$ al ${ }^{\text {mu }}$ Tyc vg $s^{2}$ bo eth :

 AN*C 025. 046. 21 (-2020. 2040. 2050). 2037. 2038. almu Pr Cyp gig vg : aфضкаб $\times$ (506. 2019. 2050. 2067 Tyc $\mathrm{s}^{1.2} \mathrm{arm}$ bo eth : a $\alpha \iota \eta \sigma$ 241. 250. 424. 2018. 2040 : $\pi 0 \theta c \tau \sigma$ 2020 | риvaıка KC 025. 1. 104. 205. 468*. 620. 2019. 2020. 2038. 2050 Tyc gig vg arm ${ }^{2.3}$ a bo eth : +ove A 046. 21 (-205. 468*. 620. 2020. 2050) almu Or ${ }^{4} \operatorname{Pr}$ Cyp si. $\mathrm{s}^{1.2} \mathrm{arm}^{1.4} \mid$


 1854. 2019. 2020. 2038. 2050 : "who declared" arm ${ }^{18.4 a \mid}$ єаvт $\eta \nu \mathrm{AC} 025.21$ ( -620 ) : avt $\eta \nu \mathrm{K} 046$. 104. 14I. 336. 620. 628 ipnф $\quad \pi / \nu$ AN'C 21 (-620. 919. 2004. 2040. 2050) al ${ }^{\mathrm{mu}}$ $\mathrm{Or}^{4}$ : prophetissam gig : $\pi \rho о ф \eta \tau \epsilon \iota \nu N^{*}: \pi \rho о ф \eta \tau \eta \nu 025.046$. 104. 172. 620. 919. 2004. 2019. 2038 2040. 204i*. 2050 : propheten


 de idolothytis vg (bo) : de sacrificis ( - ficio gig) Pr Cyp gig : $>$ arm $^{2}$.
















456. 468*. 632. 2020. 2050). 1. 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 Pr Cyp vg arm : $\beta a \lambda \omega \kappa^{\circ} 025.046 .325 .456 .468^{*}$. 632. 2020. 2050 Or $^{n}$

 $69 \operatorname{Pr} \operatorname{Cyp} \mid \mu e \tau ~ \alpha u \tau \eta \sigma]$ avtøv $2050 \mid \mu \varepsilon \gamma a \lambda .>$ arm $^{3}:$ maximam $\operatorname{Pr}$



 (35"). 61. ${ }^{\text {m8 }}$ 181. 205. 468. 632. 2019. 2023*. 2036. 2037. 2038.

28. кal $^{1}>$ A 620 arm $^{1}$ bo sa $\mid$ avrクo] avtur 205. 209
 $\kappa 025.046 \mathrm{~min}$ omn ${ }^{\text {rid }}$ : scrutator Cyp $\operatorname{Pr} \mid$ veф. к. карб.] карঠ. к.


 Pr gig vg s. ${ }^{1.9} \mathrm{arm}^{4}$ eth : autov 046. 2020. $2050 \mathrm{vg}^{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{d}_{\text {d }} \mathrm{arm}^{1.23}$ bo sa : autuv arma : $>\mathrm{N}^{*}$. .


 21 (-149. 620. 632. 2050) : Øvartpota AC : Ovarnpoto 025.
 61. 69 : Qvarcep $\eta \mathbb{N}^{\circ}$ : Thyatirae vg : Tyatirae $\operatorname{Pr}$ : Tyatire gig |

 Tyc arms a $^{8} \mid \beta_{a} \theta_{c a}$ AC 046. 21 ( -205.2050 ). 250. 2067
 (ro) $\beta a \theta 0 \sigma$ bo : altitudinem Tyc $\operatorname{Pr}:$ altitudines gig vg $\mid \omega \sigma$

 1957. 2023. 2050 O!' $\operatorname{Pr} \mathrm{vg} \mathrm{arm}^{1.2} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}$ a bo eth $\mid$
 "and is with you" arm¹. 2.8/axpı NC 69. 177. 2087: axpı








025. 046. 21 alpl : ov>2050: $\omega \omega$ A 24I | av $\eta \xi \omega$ ANC 025 . 35. 205. 468. 620. 632. 2020. 2050 Tyc Pr gig vg si. 2 bo : avork $046.18 .175 .325 .337 \cdot$.386. 456. 617. 919. 920. 1849. 1934. 2004. 2040 al $^{\text {pl }}$.
26. kal $^{1}>$ 104. 336. 522. 620. 628. 2020 arm $^{1.2 .8}\left|0^{2}\right\rangle$


27. к. тоц.] тоцаıvєь (1854) $\mathrm{s}^{1}$ : et reget gig vg: к. поиа-

 бuитрıßєтає ANC 1. 104. 2020. 2037. 2038. 2050 alp. Possibly a slip of the author for $\sigma$ ovtpı $\beta$ ovral or rather $\sigma v u r \rho \_\beta \eta \sigma o v \tau a l:$
 gentur Pr vg ( $\mathrm{s}^{2}$ ) : comminuentur Tyc : бvvтриете $\mathrm{s}^{1}$ (an itacism for $\sigma u v \rho_{p} \psi\left(\mathrm{eraL}\right.$ ) : confringet eas (placed before $\omega \sigma^{1}$ ) gig :


 A 046. 2038
29. v. $29>\operatorname{Pr} \mid o v \sigma]$ wтa s $^{1.2}$ : +akovelv bo eth : aures


## Chapter III.


 кui toùs éntà ácrípas,
otióá cov tà ëpya, öть övo


 arm $^{4}$ : tho ev E. ©кк. AN 025.046 min $^{0 m n}$ Or $^{4}$ : ecclesiae Sardis









 health" bo $\mid$ ort $\boldsymbol{\zeta \eta \sigma}_{\eta}$ ANC 025.35 .205 .250 .620 .2020.
 bo : кac $\zeta \eta \sigma$ 046. 21 ( -35.205 .620 .632 . 2020. 2050) alp :

 vigulans et stabulis $\operatorname{Pr} \mid$ oripecov AC 025. 35. 175. 337. 468**.
 046. 1. 18. 205. 250. 632. 2037. 2038. 2050. 2067 al Tyc $\operatorname{Pr}$
 385. 386. 429. 456. 468*. 522. 2015. 2019. $2036 \mathrm{~s}^{2}: \pi \lambda \eta p \omega \underset{\sigma}{ }$

 468. 2015.2018 .2019 .2020 2036. 2037. 2038. 2050. 2067 O1 $^{1}$
 $\eta(\mathrm{or} \varepsilon) \mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon \sigma$ 046. 21 ( -468.620 .2020 .2050 ). 93. 201. 498 al
 2050.al ${ }^{\text {ma }} \mathrm{Or}^{4}(\alpha \pi \sigma \theta \nu \eta \sigma \kappa \epsilon \nu 468.2015$. 2019. 2036. 2037) Tyc




 $2067 \mathrm{alp}^{\mathrm{P}} \operatorname{Pr} \mathrm{s}^{1} \mathrm{arm}$ 1. $\mathrm{a}=1$.

 104. 172. 250 . 468. 620. 1957. 20.0. 2037. 2038. 2041. 2050. $2067 \mathrm{gig} \mathrm{vg} \mathrm{s}{ }^{2} \mathrm{arm}^{2.4}$ bo: к. $\eta \kappa о \nu \sigma a \sigma$ т $\eta \rho \epsilon \iota \mathrm{s}^{1}: \eta \kappa о \nu \sigma a \sigma$ т $\eta \rho \epsilon \iota$ arm" : et audita custodi $\operatorname{Pr}:>046.21$ ( -35.468 .620 .2020. 2050) alma $\mid$ кat тпpet $>$ arm $^{3}$ eth $\mid$.
 prim. man.) 24r. $2020 \operatorname{Pr}^{1} \mathrm{arm}^{9}:+\varepsilon \xi u \iota \phi \nu \eta \mathrm{~s}$ eth $\left.\mid \kappa \lambda \epsilon \pi \tau \eta \sigma\right]+$

 $\beta \lambda_{\text {emouat 1. } 2015.2019 .2036 .2038: \text { videat } \operatorname{Pr} \mid \beta \lambda e \pi . ~ т . ~ a l \sigma X . ~}^{\text {2 }}$ avr.] "their shame appear" armi. 2.3. $\%$


кai oú $\mu \grave{\eta}\lceil\gamma \nu \bar{\varphi} \bar{s}$ ’










 $\eta \xi \omega^{1}$ ] pr. veniam et subitabo adventum meum ad te $\operatorname{Pr} \mid \eta \xi \omega$ AC 025 . 1. $35^{*}$. 181. 468**. 2015. 2037. 2038. 2067 vgc.d.f.g
 $\mathrm{s}^{1.2}$ arm4 eth $\mid$ rowo AC 025. 1. 35. 175. 205. 468**. 617. 1934. 2037. 2038. 2067 al : $\gamma \nu \omega \sigma \eta$ K 046 . 21 ( $-35.175 .205 .468^{* *}$. 617. 620. 1934. 2050) Or' : $\gamma^{\nu \omega \sigma e t ~ 104 . ~} 620$ 459. 2050 : nescies gig vg : non scies Pr | aruav $\omega$. $7 \xi$. .] " my coming" arme | trotav

4. $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha$ ANC 69. 468. $2020 \mathrm{Or}^{*}: a \lambda \lambda 025.046 \mathrm{~min} \mathrm{pl}:>$
 1. 35. 205. 201 5. 2020. 2037. 2038. 2050 al $\mathrm{Or}^{4}(\mathrm{Pr}) \mathrm{vg} \mathrm{s}^{1.2}$ eth : ©x. ov. od. gig : odev. ex. ovor. 046. 21 (-35. 175. 205. 2020. 2050) al : odcy. ovop. ex. 61. 69. 175. 314. 522. $2016:+$ кає 1 | a ANC 025. $046.21(-35.205 .468 .2020)$ al $\mathrm{Or}^{4} \mathrm{gig}$ : ou 1. 35. 205. 209. 432. 468. 2015. 2020. 2036. 2037 2038. 2067




 . . . к. о єрходєvog (from $4^{8}$ ) $35^{*}$.
6. оитшб $\mathrm{AN}^{*} \mathrm{C}$ 18. 35. 456. 920. 1849. 2004 al $\mathrm{Or}^{4} \mathrm{Pr}$ gig vg sis $\mathrm{s}^{1.2} \mathrm{arm}^{4} \mathrm{a}$ bo : outw $3^{25}$ : outor $\mathrm{N}^{c} 025$. 046 . 21 ( -18 . 35. 325.456. 920. 1849. 2004. 2050). 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 :


 > I. $2015 \mid$ avtov 1. 2.] avtwv $\mathrm{s}^{2}$ arm bo |eк $\tau$. $\beta_{\iota} \beta \lambda_{\lambda}$.] ev $\beta_{1} \beta \lambda_{\omega}$





 ó Exary Tìv к入cîv Daveío， d́dvolyuv кai oúois кגcíret


6．v． $6>\operatorname{Pr} \mid$ ova $]$ wra s $^{1.2}$ ：＋axovelv bo eth ：aures audiendi arm ${ }^{1.8 .4} \mid$ rvevera］＋aytov arm ${ }^{1.2}$ eth $\mid$ ．
 See note on $2^{1}$ ：ecclesiae qui est Filadelphiae $\operatorname{Pr}:$ т $\omega$ a $\Phi_{1} \lambda_{a}$－



 Ф८лабелфсца 18．35．175．337．468． 617.632 .2020 .2040 alinu
 025．046． 21 （ -2050 ）．2037．2038． 2067 al $^{\text {pl }} O^{\text {Phll } 36}$ s $^{\text {l．2 }}$ arm a bo eth ：o aytoo кat a入 $\theta$ ．172．2018：sanctus et verus

 81 （ $-35 \cdot 205 \cdot 4^{68^{* *}}$ ．617．620．2050）． $250 \mathrm{al}^{\text {mu }} \mathrm{Or}^{\text {phll }}{ }^{46} \mathrm{Or}^{4}$ ： $\kappa \lambda_{\text {é }}$ I．35．69．172．205．468＊＊．6г7．620．2015．2019． 2036. 2037．2038．2050． 2067 Or $^{\text {pall }} 36:\left(+\right.$ omnes eth）claves $\operatorname{Pr} \mathbf{s}^{1}$
 2050）．250．2037．2038． 2067 alpl ：tov ockov（from Is．2239） tov $\Delta a v \epsilon \delta$ bo eth ：tov aסov 104＊．218．336．459．620． 2050

 385：кגєLEL 1．61． mg 205．314．632＊．2016．2019．2023． 2037. 2038． 2067 Tyc $\operatorname{Pr}$ gig vg si．9 ：$\kappa \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu$ 2015． 2036 （arma）｜

 21 （ $-35^{*}$ ．205．468＊＊．632＊．2020．2050）al ${ }^{\text {mu }} \mathrm{Or}^{4} \mathrm{arm}^{1.9 .8}$ ｜ка．（ $>$ A ：＋o 2015．2036）к ${ }^{2} \epsilon \epsilon \omega \nu$ Aк 025 ．1．（35＊）．172．205． 250．314．468．（2015）．2018．2019．2020．2023．（2036）． 2037.

 ：at $\mu \eta$ o avotyov（ + кац ovoeav avou $\xi \in \iota$ Or＇） 046.81 （ $-35^{*}$ ．205．
 104．432．459． $620 \mid$ кa．$\left.{ }^{4}\right]$ quod $\operatorname{Pr} \mid$ ayocyec AC 025.61 ．$^{\text {me }} 205$. 2019．2037．2038． $2067 \mathrm{Or}^{2}$ Tyc $\operatorname{Pr} \operatorname{gig} \mathrm{vg} \mathrm{s} \mathrm{s}^{1.2} \mathrm{arm}^{4}$ ：avocy



8．Otosá $\sigma o v ~ r a ̀ ~ đ ̈ p y a ~$

\＃̂v oübcis סívatal к入cîवae aîrinv－














 avecorp．AC 046． 21 （－205．2020．2050）al $\mathrm{Or}^{\prime \prime}: \eta \nu \epsilon \omega \gamma \mu$ ． $\boldsymbol{N} 025$.
 2067 eth ：$>$ bo ：orı arm ${ }^{2} \mid$ avrクu $>N$（ $35^{*}$ ）2023． 2038 Pr gig $\left.\mathrm{vg} \mathrm{arm}^{4} \mathrm{e} \mid \mathrm{ot} \mathrm{\imath}\right]+$ ov 2020．2036． 2037 ｜$\mu$ ıкр．．．．$\left.\delta v \nu.\right]$ pusillas
 2040 ：rovg $\lambda_{0 y o v \sigma ~}^{2} \mathrm{rm}^{2} .8 \mathrm{a}$ ．

 2050 ：$\delta \omega \sigma \omega \operatorname{Pr}$ vg bo eth［ Zarava］+ eк $\operatorname{Pr}$ s $^{1.2} \mid$ т $\omega \nu$ 入er．］

 632．2015．2016．2018．2019．2036．2050 arm ：$\eta \xi \omega \sigma L \nu 046$. 21 （ -386 ．632．2050）．250．2037．2038． 2067 al $^{\text {mu }} \mathrm{Or}^{8}$ ：
 $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \kappa \cup \nu \eta \sigma o v \sigma \angle V$ ANC 025 ．1．42．82．149．201．2016．2036． 2050 arm ：$\pi \rho \circ \sigma к \nu \nu \eta \sigma \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ 046． 21 （－149．2050）．250．2037． 2038.




 2038．2050． $2067 \mathrm{Or}^{2} \mathrm{gig} \mathrm{vg} \mathrm{si.2} \mathrm{bo} \mathrm{eth}:>046$ ． 181 （ -205.
 ＋кая 2020 ）．
 vol．11．－ 17

iva $\mu \eta \delta \in i s ~ \lambda a ́ \beta \eta$ тòv $\sigma$ тéфavóv $\sigma o v$.





tov̀ $\theta$ cov̂ $\mu \mathrm{ov}$,







$\kappa а ү \omega]$ каı $\delta_{\iota}$ точто каүш eth | $\tau \eta \rho \eta \sigma \omega>N: \epsilon \tau \eta \rho \eta \sigma a$ arm² eth |
 таитаб $\mathrm{arml}^{1.2 .3 a}$ bo $\mid$ катоєкочутаб $>$ bo $\mid$.

 459. 620 | $\mu \eta \delta \epsilon \sigma]$ (ne) quis alıus $\operatorname{Pr}:(\mathrm{ne})$ alıus $\operatorname{Cyp} \mid \lambda a \beta \eta$ ] $\lambda_{a} \beta$ ot $\left.2050\left(\mathrm{arm}^{12.3 .4}\right) \mid \sigma o v\right]+\kappa a \iota \mathrm{~s}^{1} \mathrm{arm}^{1}$ eth $\mid$.


 $\operatorname{arm}^{2} \mid \epsilon \pi$ avtov > C 2015 : $\epsilon \pi$ avte 61*. 2019. 2036. 2037 : super illud Tyc: + то оуода $\mu$ оv кає $2020 \mid$ т. $\theta$. $\mu$. к. то огон.


 AN*C 025. 1. 141. 181. 205.432.459. 1854. 2015. 2050. 2087 :
 (-205. 2050). 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 Or $^{\boldsymbol{4}} \mid$ «к. т. ovp. $>\mathbf{s}^{1}$ $\operatorname{arm}^{1}$ sa | єк ANC $025.046 .1 .35 \cdot 205.325 \cdot 337 \cdot 456.468 .2020$. 2037. 2038. 2050. 2067 al Tyc Pr gig vg bo : amo 18. 175. 386. 61 7. 620. 632. 919. 920. 1849. 1934. 2004. 2040 al $\mid$ rov ${ }^{4}$
 468. 632. 2050 al Or Tyc Pr gig vg si, ${ }^{12} \mathrm{arm}^{8.4}$ bo $:>046$ 21 (-35. 205. 468. 620.632. 2050) almu arm $^{2}:$ aurov arm ${ }^{\text {sen }}$ a $\mid$ кauvor] + кац $\mathbf{s}^{1}$.
18. v. $1_{3}>\operatorname{Pr} \mid$ ova] wra s. ${ }^{1.2}$ : +aкoveıv bo eth : aures
 + ayov arm ${ }^{1.2}$ eth .


15. Otóá $\sigma o v$ тà épya,
 öф
16. oüTws, öt $\mathrm{x}^{\text {deapòs }}$ d





каì птшхòs каì тифдòs каì $\gamma v \mu$ vós,



 ARC 104. 149. 201. 620 : Машбıкıa 2050 : Маобıкєца 025. 046. 21 (-149. 620 2050) : Laudatiae $\operatorname{Pr}:$ Lavodike arm $^{4} \mid$ о $\left.\alpha \mu \eta \nu\right]+\kappa а \iota \kappa^{*} \mid \kappa_{1}{ }^{2}$ A 025.04621 (-620. 2050). 250. 2038. $2067 \mathrm{~s}^{2}$ arm : o 69. 104. 459. 620. 2015. 2036.



 gig ${ }^{1}$.


 2017 .
 otı 1854. 2019: sed quia (quoniam $\operatorname{Pr}$ ) $\operatorname{Pr}$ gig vg : кає $\mathrm{s}^{1} \mid \psi \nu \times \rho$.
 046. 21 ( -205.2050 ). I al ${ }^{\mathrm{man}} \mathrm{s}^{2} \mathrm{arm}^{3}$ bo: $\kappa$. оvтє Қєбтоб оитє $\psi v x \rho .>60 \operatorname{Pr}$ gig armi. 2.4 a $\mid$ кає оитє . . . бтонатоб $\mu$ оv $>$ eth $\mid$ ovte $^{1}$ ANC 025. 046. 205. 617. 632. 2020. 2050 vg arm ${ }^{3}$ : ov




 $\mathrm{arm}^{1.2 .8}$.
17. orl] rt 18 : кає eth $\mid$ ort ${ }^{2}$ AC 1. $35^{*}$. 172. 175. 205. 242. 250. 314. 6I7. 1934. 2015. 2016. 2018. 2020. 2036. 2037. 2040. 2050 al $\mathrm{gig} \mathrm{vg} \mathrm{s}^{1.2}$ bo $:>\mathrm{K} 025$. 046 . 21 ( $-35^{*}$. 175. 205. 617. 1934. 2020. 2040. 2050). 2038. 2067 al Or ${ }^{2}$ Cyp
 025. 046. 21. 250. 2037. $2067 \operatorname{Or}^{1} \mid$ ex $\left.\chi^{\omega}\right]$ ex ${ }^{\epsilon \omega \sigma} 620\left|\sigma v>x^{*}\right|$

 каі іца́тьa devкà iva тгрьßá入刀




 ＂weak and miserable＂bo ：eגcuroo $>$ eth $\mid \mathrm{o}^{2}$ A 046． 91 （ -18 205．632．920．2004．2040．2050）． 250 al $\mathrm{Or}^{4}:>\mathbb{N C} 025$. 1．18．6I．69．205． 24 I．632．920．2004．2015．2019．2036． 2037. 2038．2039．2040．2050｜eגelvó AC 104． 620 ：e入єelvoo $\kappa 025$.
 110．336．620．632． $2050 \mathrm{gig} \mathrm{arm}^{4}$ a eth $\mid \mathrm{\kappa}_{\mathrm{o}}$ rvф $\lambda .>\mathrm{s}^{1} \mid$ ．

18．$\sigma u \mu \beta$ ．］$\sigma v \mu \beta$ оvגєvбш $2015 \mathrm{arm}^{2}$（1．8）a ：consule Tyc｜$\sigma 01$ ］ + ovv 2020 arma $^{2}$ bo eth ：$+\lambda a \beta$ armis．s．\＆a｜ayoparal］ayo－
 424．498．2016．2018．2038｜$\pi a \rho$ є $\mu$ ．хрvб．ANC 025 ．г． 35. 205．2015．2019．2023．2036．2037．2050．2067 al Or Tyc



 $>\operatorname{Pr}$ gig vg bo eth $\mid$ repißad $\lambda_{\eta}$ 046．61．69．172．205． 617.


 1849．1957．2004．2019．2023．2038．2040． 2050 al $\mathrm{Or}^{2}:$ коvд－
 NC 175． $250.325 .337 .386 .456 . ~ 468.617 .620 .1934 .2020$. 2067 al ：кодvpıov 046 ：collirio Pr gig ：collyrio Tyc Cyp vg


 2067 eth ：munge Tyc gig vg ：ungue Pr Cyp ：＂give to＂bo ：


 2050 ：$\beta$ 人енеє $620 \mathrm{arm}^{4} 1$.
 AC 046． 21 （ $-35.205 .468^{* *} .617 .620 .2020$ ）． $250 \mathrm{al} \mathrm{al}^{\mathrm{man}}$ ：
 2020．2037．2038． 2067 al ：Øทrךテov 1957 ：rede $\operatorname{Pr} \mid$ ovr $>104$.
 رeravocar eth $)$ ．


 кai aủrds $\mu e t^{\prime}$ d $\mu$ ovi.

 $\theta$ póvq aitoû.





 $\operatorname{Pr} \mathrm{s}^{1} \mathrm{arm}^{4}:>$ A 025.1 .104 .205 .468 620. 632*. 2015. 2019.

 arm ${ }^{1}$ : "will rest" eth | $\mathrm{amov}^{2}$ ] +in trono meo $\operatorname{Pr}$ : + "in my kingdom " arm ${ }^{123}{ }^{3}$.

29. v. $22>$ gig $\mid$ ova] wra $\operatorname{Pr}^{1.2}$ : + akovelv bo eth : aures audiendi arm $\left.^{1.8 .4} \mid \pi v \varepsilon u \mu a\right]+a y \iota v \operatorname{arm}^{1}$ eth $\mid$.

## Chapter IV.





1. $\mu \in \mathrm{Ta}]$ pr кat $\mathrm{arm}^{1.2 .2 . ~ a ~(b o) ~ e t h ~} \mid$ кal $^{1}>\operatorname{Pr}$ bo sa eth $\mid$

 (-2020. 2050). 250. 2037 al $\mathrm{Or}^{4}: \eta v o x \mathrm{x}^{0} \eta \mathrm{arm}^{1}$ Tyc $:>\mathrm{arm}^{4}$
 $\mu e r$ e $\mu$ ov bo $\left.\left|\eta \pi \rho \omega \pi \eta>\mathrm{s}^{1}\right| \eta \nu\right] \eta \sigma 205$ : >eth | $\omega \sigma$ ] + ф $\omega \nu \eta$ bo

 arm $^{8}$ a $\mid$ 入еүши $\mathrm{AN}^{+} 046.91$ ( 1 35. 205. 468. 620. 632. 2020).
 1854. 1957. 2020. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2067 : кає $\lambda_{\text {еүоиба }}$

 A [ Sel] $\delta \eta 2050$ |.






 Mévovs
2. cutcwo $\mathrm{Ak}^{*} 046.21$ ( -35.205 .620 .632 .2020 ) al $\mathrm{Or}^{\wedge}$
 620.632. 1854. 2020 al $\mathrm{s}^{1} \mathrm{arm}^{(1.2 .4 . a)}$ eth : кat $\mathrm{arm}^{3}$ bo $\left.\mid \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{ov}}\right]$


 Opovov 02 5. 1. 35. 205. 632. 1957. 201 5. 2019. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2041. 2067 |.
 468. 506. 2019. 202 I. $2050 \mathrm{Or}^{4}$ Tyc gig vg si. 2 : et his qui sedebat $\operatorname{Pr}:>81$ ( -337.468 . 2050) al $^{\text {ma }}$ arm $^{1.2 .2 .4} 4$ bo


 046 . 42. 180. 452. 468. 506. 1854. $202 \mathrm{I} \mid$ каı $^{2}>1854$ arm $^{1} \mid$ барסьょ Aㅅ 046. 21 (-325. 337. 456. 468). 250. 2037.2067 al ${ }^{\text {min }} \mathrm{Or}^{4}$ : sardi Tyc : sardo Pr : $\sigma a p \delta \iota \omega \omega$ 025. 1. 632*. 2019. 2038 al gig bo sa eth : sardinis $\mathrm{vg}^{d}$ : sardıni vg : sardion $\mathbf{s}^{2}$

 кขк $\left.\lambda_{0} \theta \epsilon v\right]$ кขк $\lambda_{0} \theta \in 920: \kappa ข \kappa \lambda \omega \theta \epsilon \nu$ 18. 104. 201*. 205. 336. 620. 632. 2017. 2024. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2039. 2050 : кvк $\lambda \omega 241$.
 A 025. 1. 35*. 104. 181. 314. 429. 632*. 2019. 2036. 2037*.

 2041. 2050. 2067 : одошб $\boldsymbol{N}^{\text {© }} 046.21$ ( -35 . 205. 468**. 620. 632. 2050) al $\mathrm{Or}^{\mathrm{d}} \mid$ о白 ор. $\sigma \mu$ к. кик. т. $\theta \rho .>\mathrm{N}^{*} \mid$ орабє



 $\left(>63^{* *}\right)$ орабเ $\sigma \mu а р а у \delta о v 241.632^{* *} .2020:$ ора $\sigma \epsilon \omega \sigma \sigma \mu а р а к \delta о и$ (armi) bo |.
3. $\operatorname{kat}^{1} \mathrm{AN}^{6} 025$. 1. 35. 205. 468. 620. 632. 2020. 2050 al Or ${ }^{2}$ Pr gig vg $\mathbf{s}^{\mathbf{1}}$ arm $^{1.9 .4 .}$ bo eth : >046. 21 (-35. 205.







 i. 117.
(b) A gloss added here : et $\mu \in \sigma \psi$ rod $\theta \rho b v o u$ кal. See vol. i. 118.
4. 620.632. 2020. 2050) $\mathrm{s}^{2}$ arm $^{3} \mid \kappa v \kappa \lambda о \theta \epsilon 920: \kappa v \kappa \lambda \omega 2015$. 2019. 2036. 2037. 2067 : кuк $\lambda \omega \theta \in \nu$ 18. 104. 205. 336. 620. 2017.
 2018 Tyc : $\theta$ povot 025. 046. 21 alpl $\mathrm{Or}^{\mathbf{1}} \mathrm{s}^{1.2}$ bo $\mid$ єкобь $\left.{ }^{1}\right]+$ киц 104 al | tevoapec A 025 min pl : tegoapto 2020. 2050 |

 Opov. towg eik. teva. 046 mm pl $\mathrm{Or}^{\bullet}$ : super thronos viginti quattuor vg (gig) : in quibus seniores sedentes erant xxiii. Pr : $\epsilon \pi \iota \tau$ т. єк. тєбб. өроу. ( $>920.2040$ ) A 93. 94. 920. 2040. 2050 :
 $>920.2040:+\epsilon \delta_{0}$ 1957. 20232041 al $1^{\text {nd }} \mid \kappa \alpha \theta . \pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta$. 2020:

 vg gig : + er K $046.21(-35) .250 .2038 .2067\left(\mathrm{~s}^{1.2}\right) \mathrm{Or}^{\mathbf{1}} \mid$


 $620 \mathrm{vg}^{\mathrm{d}}$ arm bo eth (?) |acrp. к. фшv. к. Bpovr. AN 025.046. 21 (-2020). 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 al $^{\mathrm{pl}} \mathrm{Or}^{*} \operatorname{Pr}$ gig vg s²
 аотр. к. фшу. $\mathbf{s}^{1} \mid$ каноц. тироб 920. 2040| пироб $>\mathrm{vg} \mathrm{s}^{1}$ arm $^{4} \mid$ кaıoцeval $>$ bo $\mid \theta$ povov² AN 025 . 1. 632\%. 2019. $2020 .^{2}$. 2038. 2050. 2067 Pr gig vg arm bo eth : +avrou 046. 21 (-456. 032*. 2020. 2050) almu $\mathrm{Or}^{8} \mathrm{~s}^{9} \mid a \operatorname{actv}$. . . tov $\theta$ povov
 2019. 2038. $2050 \mathrm{vg}^{\boldsymbol{*}} \mathrm{s}^{2}$ : at 046. 21 (-386. 456. 2050).
 alare omn | та AN 025. I. 6I. 69. 468. 632. 1957. 2015. 2019. 2020. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2050. 2067 arm a bo $:>046.21$
 $\pi \nu \varepsilon v \mu a r a]$ то ayıov $\pi v \varepsilon v \mu a$ eth | тvevpara] "powers (parts 4) of the spirit holy $\left(>3^{*}\right) "$ arm 1.2 .8 .4 .
5. $\theta$ povou + autov 104. 14 I. 205. 209. 620 | $\omega$ N AN 025. $046.21\left(-3^{86} .63^{* *}\right) .250 .2038 a^{\mu^{1}} \mathrm{Or}^{4} \mathrm{~s}^{2}$ bo : $>$ 1. 201. $3^{866 .}$




 кai àvátravatu oük éxouøtv ท̀mépas кaì vuктòs déyoutes




 025 . 046. 21 (-205. 325. 456. 468. 632. 2020. 2040. 2050)

 $\sigma \tau а \lambda \lambda \omega]$ крvбтал $632.2020: \beta \eta p v \lambda \lambda \omega$ arm $^{4}$ : " the whiteness of crystal" arm" 2. 3. a | $\epsilon \mu \mu \epsilon \sigma \omega$ A 1854 : "at the side of " (?) eth |
 2050 Tyc armi. 2.s bo sa eth $\mid$ кvк $\lambda \omega]$ кuк $\lambda \omega \theta \epsilon v$ 110 | reббара






 920". 1849. 2015. 2019 Or' $^{\text {¹ }}$ : exov N 025.21 ( -325.456.
 $\operatorname{arm}^{1} \mid \boldsymbol{\tau 0}^{5}$ AN 025. 1. 35. 61*. 205. 2015. 2019. 2020. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2050 al $\mathrm{Or}^{s}$ : >046. 21 (-35. 205. 2020. 2050) al ${ }^{\text {mu }} \mid \omega_{0}$ avopitov A 42. $2019 \mathrm{vg} \mathrm{s}^{1}$ : quasi humanam $\operatorname{Pr}: \omega \sigma \alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi 0 \sigma 025.1 .35 .61{ }^{*} .104 .205 .620 .1957 .2015$. 2020. 2023. 2036. 2037 2038. 2041. $2050 \mathrm{~s}^{2}$ : av $\theta$ puntov 046. 21 ( -35.205 .325 .456 .620 .2020 .2050 ). 250. 2067 Or $^{4}$

 2020. 2037. 2038. 2050. 2067 al Or ${ }^{2}$ Pr gig vg si. $2:>046$. 21 ( $-35.4^{68^{* * *}}$. 620. 632. 1849. 2020. 2050). 250 al eth (which om. 乌wov thrice before).
6. та тебо. 乡ша >bo| та AK 025. 18. 35. 205. 620. 632. 919. 920. 1849. 2004. 2040. $2050 \mathrm{al}^{\text {mu }} \mathrm{Or}^{\mathrm{e}}:=>046$. 175. 325. 337. 386. 456. 468. 617. 1934. 2020. 2037. 2038. 2067 al | 6 $\kappa \alpha \theta$ ev aurwv A 02 5. 35. 104. 172. 181. 205. 250. 620. 2015. 2018. 2036. 2038. 2067 al : singula eorum Tyc gig vg : av exaбтov
 : «v кat av 046. 81 (-35. 205.620. 2020. 2050) al Or' : singula



 $\mu e ́ v o v ~ e ̀ m i ~ т o v ̂ ~ \theta \rho o ́ v o v, ~$


 172. 336. 429. 522. 620. 919. 1849. 1918. 1955. 2017. 2019 : exov 046. 21 (-205. 620. 919. 1849. 2020. 2050). 250. 2037.



 үєцоvбьข оф $\left.\theta a \lambda \mu \omega \nu \quad s^{1}|\pi \tau є \rho v \gamma \omega \nu \quad 046| \kappa v \kappa \lambda о \theta \epsilon \nu\right]+\kappa а \iota \quad \epsilon \xi \omega \theta \epsilon \nu$ $\left.046 \mathrm{al}^{\mathrm{P}}:+\epsilon \xi \omega \theta \epsilon \nu 6 \mathrm{I}^{*} .69 \mid \kappa . \epsilon \sigma \omega \theta \epsilon \nu\right] \epsilon \tau \omega \theta \epsilon \nu \kappa \alpha \iota \epsilon \xi \omega \theta \epsilon \nu \mathrm{Or}^{\mathrm{s}}:>$ 218. 522. 2015. 2018. 2020 arma | $\epsilon \sigma \omega \theta \epsilon v]$ є $\xi \omega \theta_{\epsilon \nu}$ 1957. 2050:



 dicentes Pr gig : גeүovta $110.385 .1955 .2023^{* * *} 2041$ : dicentia
 632. 920 2004. 2037. 2038. 2040. 2050. 2067 al $\operatorname{Pr}$ gig vg s1. 2 arm $^{1.2 .4}$ bo eth : semel $\mathrm{Or}^{4}$ : bis 18. 18I : sexies 14I. 2020 : octies $\mathbb{N}^{*}$ : novies 046 . 35. 175. 250. 325. 337. 456. 468. 919. 1849. $1934 \mathrm{al}^{\mathrm{nu}} \mathrm{arm}^{8}$ a $\left.\mid \kappa \nu \rho \iota \sigma\right]+\sigma a \beta a \omega \theta 205\left|{ }^{1}>\mathrm{N}^{*}\right|$ o $\theta$ coo
 620. 1918. 2015. 2019. 2036. 2037|o паvт.] $\tau \omega \nu \theta \epsilon \omega \nu$ eth $\left|\mathrm{o}^{2}\right\rangle$ $\kappa 2019 \mid$ о ш к к o $\eta \nu$ 35. 201. 205. 250. 386. 2016. 2019. 2020. $2023^{* *}$. 2067 (arm) bo sa | к. о $\omega \nu>620 \mid$ кuє о $\epsilon \rho x$. $>$ eth $\mid$.
7. SaбouøL A 025 . I. 632. 2015. 2019. 2020. 2036. 2037. 2050. 2067 al : $\delta \omega \sigma \omega \sigma \iota(v) \mathbb{N} 046.61 .69 .104 .181 .205 .620$. 1854. 1918. 2017. 2038 $\mathrm{Or}^{8}$ : $\delta \omega \sigma t(\nu) 21$ ( -205 . 456. 468*. 620. 632. 2020. 2050) 250 al : $\delta \omega \sigma \in \epsilon$ 42. 141. 517 : $\delta \omega 325^{* *}$.


 | тш $\theta$ pove $A N 2050:(i n)$ trono $\operatorname{Pr}:$ tov $\theta$ povov 025.046 .21







##    

cuk. т. $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta$. eth $\mid \pi \epsilon \sigma o v v \tau a l] \pi เ \pi r o v \sigma \iota v \mathrm{vg}^{8}$ (procedunt corrupt for procid.) : $\varepsilon \pi เ \pi t o v \operatorname{Pr} \mathrm{vg}^{\text {d. }}{ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ V (procedebant corrupt for procid.)
 2020. 2050 : теббареto 620 | кvштtov... $\theta$ роvov $>$ bo | tov


 aı $\omega \nu a \sigma>$ arm $\left.^{1} \mid a \iota \omega \nu \omega \nu\right]+\alpha \mu \eta \nu \kappa 205.2017 \mathrm{~s}^{1}$ arm $^{2} \mid \kappa \alpha \_\beta a \lambda о \psi-$

 2015. 2017. 2019. 2023*. 2036. 2037. 2038 bo : к. єßad入ov
 2040 |.
11. e1] eбtเv $\operatorname{arm}^{2}:+$ кuple $N \mid 0$ кuploa An 046. 21 ( $-35^{*} .205 .620 .2020$ ). $250.2067 \mathrm{al}^{m u} \mathrm{Or}^{4} \mathrm{~s}^{1.2} \mathrm{arm}^{2.3 .4}$ bo : кขpи 025. 1. 35*. 69. 104. 205. 241. 336. 620. 1854. 1918. 2015. 2019. 2020. 2036. 2037. 2038 Pr gig vg arm ${ }^{1.2}:+\eta \mu \omega \nu$ s $^{1}$ к. о $\theta \operatorname{co\sigma } \eta \mu>1 \mid \kappa a l^{l}>025.35^{*} .69$. 104. 205. 241 136.620. 1854. 1918. 2015. 2019. 2020. 2036. 2037. 2038. $2067 \operatorname{Prgig}$ vg armi. 2 a bo sa eth $\mid 0^{2}>\mathbb{N} 468.2050$ Or $^{3} \mid \eta \mu \omega \nu$ An 025 . 104. 205. 620. 2020. 2037. 2038. 2050 al Pr gig vg s ${ }^{1}$ arm bo eth : +o ouparlof 172 : to aycoo 046. 21 (-205. 620. 2020. 2050). 250. $2067 \mathrm{al}^{\mathrm{mu}} \mathrm{Or}^{2} \mathrm{~s}^{2} \mid \delta v v a \mu \nu v . . . \delta o \xi a v \ldots \tau \mu \eta v$ $\left.620\left|\tau \pi v^{2}>N\right| \tau \eta v^{3}>\mathrm{A} \mid \delta v v a \mu \nu\right]+$ кац $69 \mid$ та AN 025. I. 35. 205. 2015. 2019. 2020. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038 2050 al : > 046. 21 (-35. 205. 2020. 2050). 2 50. 2067 al $\mathrm{Or}^{1} \mid$ тavja]
 $\theta e \lambda \eta \mu a r o \sigma$ oov 617 ( $\mathrm{s}^{1}$ ) : ex voluntate tua (tua potestate Pr ) Tyc $\operatorname{Pr} \mid \eta \sigma a v$ к. єктьб日.] haec sunt constituta $\operatorname{Pr} \mid$ qбav каl $>$ 2019 | ñav Ak 21 ( -18.135 .468 .620 .2020 .2050 ) Or ${ }^{11}$
 1. 35. 104. 172. 250. 468. 620. 1854. 1957. 2018. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2050. (2067) : єүєveто bo : + тагта eth | єктьб $\theta$. к.
 scricengav eth 1.

## Chapter V.

















 01 (-35. 2020). 250.2067 al $^{\text {mu }}$ Or $^{4}:>025$. 1. 35. 172. 1854. 1957. 2015. 2019. 2020. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2041

 alp $\mathrm{Or}^{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{s}^{1}:+$ eotcy 046 . 21 ( -35 . 205. 2020. 2050). 250. 2037. 2067 al Tyc Pr gig Cyp si ${ }^{2}$.
 $\eta$ б́vara A 025.046 . 1.61. 69. 104. $2023^{* * *}$. 2036. 2040. 2050. 2067 al $\mathrm{Or}^{\mathbf{4}}$ : $\delta$ ovatal 205 : +ovte 2050 Tyc $\operatorname{Pr}$ Cyp (arm) $\mid$ ovpave AK 02 5. 1. 35. 172. 205. 241. 632**. 1957. 2015. 2019. 2023. 2037. 2038. 2067 al Or ${ }^{\text {pall } x \times r .85}$ Tyc Pr Cyp gig vg s ${ }^{1}$ arm bo eth : +ave 046. 21 ( $-35.205 .62^{* *}$. 2050). 250 al $\mathrm{Or}^{4} \mathrm{~s}^{8}$ । ovos ${ }^{1}$ A 025 . 1. 35. 104. 205. 620. 1957. 2015. 2020. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038 al : oute $k$ 046. 91 ( -35 . 205. 620. 2020). 250.

 avto pon 1. 2037 | ovós A 025 . 1. 35. 104. 314. 620. 1957. 2015. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038 : оите 046. 21 ( -35.386.
 avtov $\mathrm{s}^{1} \mid$ ovde ${ }^{3} 025.1 .13 .104$. 314. 1957. 2015. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038 : ourt Ak 046. 91 ( -35 . 205). 250. 2067 al Or ${ }^{\mathbf{r}}$ : кau $205 \mathrm{~s}^{1} \mathrm{arm}^{1.2}$ a : ov yap $\mathrm{arm}^{8}$ : sed neque $\operatorname{Pr}$ Cyp













 $\mid \beta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \iota v a v \tau 0]$ גvбal taб $\sigma \phi p a y i \delta a \sigma$ avtov $\operatorname{Pr}^{1}{ }^{1}$ |.


 pl $\zeta \eta \sigma$ arm bo eth : + tov 35. 205. 2023 |avol $\xi a l$ AN 025 . I. 35. 104. 205. 468**. 620. 1957. 2015. 2019. 2020. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2050. $2067 \mathrm{Or}^{\mathrm{Pr}} \times 1 \mathrm{xi}_{32,}$ Phill $\times \mathrm{xv}{ }^{36} \mathrm{Pr}$ gig Cyp vg arm bo eth :


 $+\delta_{6} 2040$.
6. єíov] ifov кal A : eioov кaı ciov 172. 2018 Tyc vg|ev
 Tyc $\mid \epsilon \mu \mu \epsilon \sigma \omega^{1}$ A $\left.2050 \mid \kappa a \iota^{2}\right]+\epsilon \nu \mu \epsilon \sigma \omega \operatorname{Pr}$ arm $\mid \tau \omega \nu$ т $\epsilon \sigma \sigma$.
 $\mathrm{A} \mid \pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta$.] + $\omega \sigma \mathrm{arm}^{1.2} \mid$ є $\sigma \tau \eta \kappa о \sigma$ A 025.046 . 21 ( $-149^{*}$. 620. 2004. 2050) al ${ }^{\text {ma }} \mathrm{Or}^{\prime}: \varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \omega \sigma \kappa$ I. 104. 149*. 172. 2004. 2015. 2017. 2019: є $\sigma \tau \kappa \kappa \sigma \sigma 20.2050:>203^{8} \mathrm{arm}^{19} \mid \omega \sigma>18$. 632*. 920. 2016. 2024. 2040. 2050 arm bo sa | $\sigma \phi$ ба $\mu$.] $\epsilon \sigma \phi \rho a \gamma \iota \sigma-$ $\mu$ нуоу 104. (920*). 2016. 2017. 2020. 2038. $2067 \mathrm{arm}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \mid$ єхш" AK 046. 104. 429. 620. 919. 2015. 2017. 2019. $2050 \mathrm{Ol}^{\mathrm{n}}$.
 | ou AN 1. 172. 205. 2020. 2038. 2067 : a 046.21 ( -205. 2020). 250. $2037 \mathrm{al}^{\text {pl }}:$ ativa 241. $498 \mid$ тa>250. 2037 2067| $\epsilon \pi a^{3}$ к 046. 21 (-205. 2050) al ${ }^{\text {nnu }}$ Tyc $\operatorname{Pr}$ gig Cyp
 $\pi \nu \ltimes \mu a r a] \pi \nu \kappa v \mu a$ eth : powers arm ${ }^{2}$ : powers of the spirit arm ${ }^{1}{ }^{9}$ : (parts of the seven) graces of the spirit $\mathrm{arm}^{4} \mid$ rov $\theta$ eov $\pi v \in \nu \mu a r a$ 1: rov $\theta$ cov $>$ arm $^{1} \mid$ Ocov] + ra 1. 42. 104. 110. 205. 336. 620.
 тал цкәа N I. 205. 2020. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2050 ( $\mathrm{s}^{2}$ ) :







 каi ảvoî̧aı тàs $\sigma \phi p a \gamma i ̂ \delta a s ~ a u ̉ r o v ̂, ~$
 (a) MSS add gloss: al eiनı ai mpoocuxai rồ dalup.
7. $\kappa \alpha .^{2}>$ bo $\left.\mid \epsilon \lambda \lambda_{\eta \phi \epsilon \nu}\right]+$ тo $\beta \iota \beta \lambda_{\iota}{ }^{2}$ I $^{* *}$. 104. 205. 620. 2019.


 2020. 2037. 2067 Tyc vg $\mid$.
8. єлaßєv] aperuisset vg $\mid \beta \imath \beta \lambda \imath o v]+\kappa а є \operatorname{arm}^{3} \mid \tau \epsilon \sigma \sigma \epsilon \rho a \mathrm{~A}$

 єтєбav AN I. 104. 325*. 337. 452. 456. 506. 517. 620. 2023. 2050 Or : єтєєог 046.21 ( $-325^{*}$. 337.456 . 620. 2050). 250.

 385. 468. 617. 620. 1957. 2019. 2023. 2037*. 2038. 204 I. 2067

 bo sa: $\gamma \notin \operatorname{lovarav}$ s $^{1}$ arm $^{12} 2$ e a $\left.\mid \theta \nu \mu c a \mu a \tau \omega v\right]+$ supphcationum $\operatorname{Pr} \operatorname{Cyp}$ ac A 025. 21 (-2050). 253. 2037. 2038. 2067 alpl

 632**. 1957. 2015. 2019. 2020. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2041.
 69. 172. 201. 218. 386. 424. 632*. 920. 1849. 2040 al $^{\mathrm{mu}} \mathrm{Or}^{\mathrm{s}}$ : $\pi \rho о \sigma \epsilon v \chi \omega$ 82. 93. 104. 110. 175. 177. 242. 325. 337. 385. 452. 456. 517 617. 919. 1934. 1955. 2004. 2021. 2024 | т $\omega \nu$ aүı $\omega \nu$ ] ovt $\omega v$ ayter $\mathrm{Or}^{*}$.



 620. 2050 : $\eta \gamma 0 \rho$. $\tau \omega$ $\theta \epsilon \omega$ A (eth see below) : $+\eta \mu a \sigma \times 025$. 046. 81 ( $-205.620 .2040^{*} .2050$ ). 2037. 2067 alpl $^{\text {pl }} \mathrm{Or}^{1}$ s $^{1.2}$






 каi пллойтоу каі бофíav каì ioxìv



2016. 2018. 2038. 2050 Pr gig Cyp vg : $\eta \gamma \sim \rho . \tau \omega \theta \epsilon \omega \eta \mu \omega \nu 180$.

 к. $\gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma \omega \nu \kappa$. $\lambda a \omega \nu$ к. $\epsilon \theta \nu \omega \nu$ arnı ${ }^{\text {2. 3. }}{ }^{4}\left|\kappa \alpha a \ell \gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma \eta \sigma>s^{2} \operatorname{arm}^{1}\right|$.
10. autour] $\eta \mu a \sigma \operatorname{Pr}$ gig vgd. f. $\mathrm{v}^{\mathrm{arm}}{ }^{1.2 .3}$; ex iis eth | $\boldsymbol{\tau} \omega$ Өcc $\eta \mu \omega \nu>A \mid \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda c t a \nu$ AN 2050 Pr gig Cyp vg ( $\mathrm{s}^{1}$ ) $\mathrm{arm}^{4}$ bo : in regnum dei eth : $\beta a \sigma \lambda_{\text {e }}$ o46. 21 (-2050). 250.2037.


 35.82. 9г. 110. 172. 175.177 .205 .250 .314 .337 .429 .468. 620. 632. 1934. 2038. 2050. 2067 Or $^{8}$ al gig Cyp vg si arm ${ }^{4}$

11. кat є\&
 $N 046 *+.21$ (-205. 337). 250. 2037. 2067 al $^{\text {mu }} \mathrm{s}^{12} \mathrm{arm}^{4}$ sa :






12. 入eуоитєб] 入еүоитшн 498. 920. 2020. 2040. 2050 Pr vg .

 סvvapu . . . ©vioycav] "praise and riches and honour and glory"
 025. 1. 35. 205. 2020. 2037. 2050. 2067 al arm eth : rov $\pi$ тоutov 046. 11 ( -35.205 . 920. 2020. 2040. 2050). 250. 2038


 $>$ arm $^{1.8}$.
18. o A 046. 21 ( -35.205. 468**. 620) al Or $^{4}$ gig s ${ }^{1}$ bo eth :

「 $\lambda$ łyovtas ${ }^{1}$

 кai т̀̀ крáros cis toùs alîvas têv aíuvar.
 каi тробкки́vŋбар.
2018. 2019. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2041. 2050. 2067 al Pr
 2015. 2036 | к. чпок. т. үпб А 025.046 .21 (-920. 2040. 2050). 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 al $\operatorname{Pr}$ gig $\mathrm{vg}^{2 \mathrm{c}} \mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{m}}$, $\mathrm{v}^{1.2} \mathrm{~s}^{1.2} \mathrm{arm}^{4}$



 (-35. 205. 2020. 2050) al $:+a$ єбтtv 025. 046. 1. 35. 205.


 + sunt gig : + кa. 42. 61. 69. 241. 632. 2015. 2037 | $\pi$ avta $\eta$ поиба леүоитаб 025 . 18. 314. 2017.2039 .2050 alp $\mathrm{Or}^{\prime}$ : паита

 к. $\eta$ к. $\lambda_{\text {еуоита. } s^{2}}$ : таитаб $\eta$ к. $\lambda_{\text {есоитаб }} 21$ (-18. 35*. 2050).



 617. 620. 919. 1849. 1934. 2004. 2050 al : (in) throno $\operatorname{Tyc} \operatorname{Pr}$ vg : $\theta$ роуш I4I : тоu $\begin{aligned} & \text { povou K } 025 \text {. 1. 35. 205. 241. 386. } 468 .\end{aligned}$ 632. 920. 1957. 2015. 2019. 2020. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2040.

 крaror] "blessed exalted (>2) and glorified ( + and almighty 3)"

 $025.104 .172 .201 .205 .250 .2018 .2050 a^{1 p} \mathrm{Or}^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{Pr}$ gig vg $\mathrm{s}^{1.9}$ arm $^{1.4}$ a $:+a \mu \eta \nu 046.21$ (-205. 2050). 2037. 2038. 2067 almu $\operatorname{arm}^{3}$ eth 1 .
14. к. т. тебб. Iwa dej. $\alpha \mu \eta \nu>920.2040|\tau a>205.620|$
 1. 35*. 104. 205. 620. 632. 919. 2015. 2018. 2019. 2020. 2023**) 2036. 2037. 2038. 2050. 2067 al Or Pr gig vg s ${ }^{2}$ arm : eגequy 172. 250 : $\lambda$ еуоита 046. 18. $35^{\text {米. } 175.325 .337 .386 .456 . ~}$

## Chapter VI.


 "Epxov.
2. кai eifov каi idò itrmos $\lambda$ evкós, каi ó каӨй $\mu \in v o s$ én' aúròv é $\chi \omega \nu$ rółov,


468. 617. 1849. 1934. 2004 s $^{1}$ (bo) | $a \mu \eta \nu$ AN 025 . 1. 35*. 69. 314. 2015. 2019. 2020. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2050. $2067 \mathrm{Ol}^{8}$ bo sa : то $\alpha \mu \eta \nu 046.21$ ( $-35^{*}$. 920. 2020. 2040. 2050). $25^{20} \mathrm{al}^{\mathrm{nu}}$
 104. 110. 172. 250.337 . 429. 468. 620. 2018. 2019. 2023. 2050. 2067 al $\mathrm{Or}^{6}:$ : єтєбоу 046.21 ( -337.468 .620 .2050 ). 2037.



1. кає] $\mu$ eтa tavia bo $\mid$ єidov $>\operatorname{Pr}$ eth $\mid$ отє $\operatorname{ANC} 025$. I. 104. 205. 314. 620. 1957. 2020. 2023. 2036. 2037 al $\operatorname{Pr}$ gig s arm $^{4}$ bo : ort 046. 20 ( -205.620 .2020 ). 250. 2067 alpin Or ${ }^{4}$
 ANC 046. 20 ( -205. 32 $^{25}$. 456). 250. 2037. 2067 alpm $_{\text {Pr }}$
 2015. 2016. 2023. $2038 \mathrm{al} \mathrm{arm}^{1}$ bo sa | $\sigma \phi \rho a \gamma i \delta \omega v>N^{*}$ (suppl. $\kappa^{c}$ ) $\mid$ каı $>620$ bo sa|єvoo єк>1957: єvoo> 920 2040:

 1849). 250. $2037 \mathrm{al}^{\mathrm{pm}} \mathrm{Or}^{\mathrm{s}}$ bo : $\phi \omega \nu \eta{ }^{2} \times 506$. 9 19. 1957 gig vg arm : $\phi \omega \nu \eta \sigma$ 025. 1. 314. 2016. 2038. 2067 : $\phi \omega \nu \hat{\eta}$ 104. 172.
 $+\lambda$ eyougav arm | ef $\boldsymbol{x}^{n v}$ AC 025. 1. 35. 60. 82. 94. 241.432. 1957. 2015. 2019. 2020. 2023. 2037. 2038. 2041 Or ${ }^{8}$ vgd. c. ge bo
 tסE $\mathbb{N} 046.20(-35.2020)$. 6I. 104. 110. 172. 201. 250 . 314. 385. 498. 522. 1955. 2016. 2018. 2067 Pr gig vgd. f. $\mathrm{g}^{\prime \prime} \cdot \mathrm{v} \mathrm{s}$ eth .
2. xat eidor $>046$. $20(-35.205 .468 .620) .250$ al $^{\mathrm{mn}} \mathrm{Or}^{4}$ Tyc Pr vgd.f. $\mathrm{g}^{\boldsymbol{m}}$ : pr кal $\eta$ коvбa $\mathrm{s}^{1} \mid$ кau $^{1}>$ bo $\mid \epsilon \pi$ avtov] $\epsilon \pi$

 $\boldsymbol{\nu} \kappa \eta \sigma \eta]$ ut vinceret et victor exiit $\operatorname{Pr} \mid \nu \iota \kappa \omega \nu]$ pr o A armi ${ }^{2.4}$


 arm .



 $[d \kappa]$ ris $\gamma \eta{ }^{5}$,


 $\zeta$ ¢́ou 入éyouros＂E $\rho$ хоu．


 172． 205 250．314．424．2018．2023．2038 Tyc $\operatorname{Pr}$ gig vg arm ：т甲V סeutepav oфpayiba 046． 20 （ -205 ）．2037． 2067 alpl $^{\text {pi }} \mathrm{Or}^{\mathrm{d}}$｜ éXov AC 02 5．046． 20 （ -205 ．386．468）．1．61．69．82．104． гio．314．336．385．498．522．1955．1957．2015．2016． 2019. 2023．2037．2038． 2041 almu $\mathrm{Or}^{4}$ vg s bo sa ：$є \rho \chi o \mu a c \mathrm{arm}$ ：
 2018 2022． 2067 Tyc $\operatorname{Pr}$ gig vgd． $\mathbf{5}^{-1 . v}$ eth $\mid$.
 250．424．2018． 2067 bo sa $\mid a \lambda \lambda_{0 \sigma}>$ Tyc s $^{1}$ arm $^{2}$ bo sa｜ тирроб NC 35．175 20I＊＊．24I．242．325．429．456．468． 498. 617．1849．1934．1955．1957．2023＊＊．2024．2037．2041． 2067
 69．104．110．172．201＊．205．250．314．337．385．386． 620. 632．919．920．2004．2020．2038． $2040 \mathrm{Or}^{\wedge}$ 个 $\left.\tau \omega \kappa \alpha \theta \eta \mu \nu \omega\right]$ pr ev A｜$\frac{\pi}{}$ avtov ANC 025．046． $20(-18) .250 .2037 * *$. $2038 \mathrm{al}^{\mathrm{pri}} \mathrm{Or}^{8}$ ：$\epsilon \pi$ avtw r．18．172．2015．2037＊． 2067 alp $^{\mathrm{p}}$ ： super eum Tyc $\operatorname{Pr}$ ：super illum gig vg aure $\mathrm{N}^{*} \mathrm{C} 025.046$. 21 Or ${ }^{8} \mathrm{vg}$ s arm bo sa eth．The avtw should stand in the text ：cf． $\mathbf{2}^{7.17 .28} 3^{\text {12．21 }}$ ．It should be restored（？）in $21^{6}:>$ Aкc 2016 Tyc Pr gig $\mid \lambda a \beta \epsilon \iota>$ arm $^{2.4} \mid$ ex $\boldsymbol{\tau \eta \sigma} \gamma \eta \sigma$ NC 025. 046.20 （－205．620）．250．2037． 2067 alpm $^{\text {pm }}$ Or Tyc Pr gig vg
 т $\eta \sigma \quad \gamma^{\prime \prime}$ I． 2019 alp｜кає ıva ANC 025．1．35＊．172．205． 250. 2018．2019．2020．2038 al $\mathrm{Or}^{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{Tyc} \operatorname{Pr}$ gig vg sa$: ~ к a ı ~>046 . ~$ 20 （－35＊．205．2020）．2037． 2067 al ${ }^{\text {pm }}$ s $^{1}$ bo｜$\sigma \phi=\xi$ govat AC
 2038． 2067 alpl $\left.\mathrm{Or}^{\text { }} \mid \mu a \chi a \iota \rho a \mu \epsilon \gamma a \lambda \eta\right] \sim A$ bo sa eth $\mid$ ．

B．$\eta$ voi $\left.\xi \in \nu] \eta \nu v \xi \in \nu R: \eta \nu o c \gamma \eta s^{1} \mid \tau \eta \nu \sigma \phi \rho a y i \delta a \tau \eta \nu \tau \rho \iota \tau \eta \nu\right] \tau \eta \nu$

 1918．1957．2020．2023．2036．2037．2038 Or ${ }^{\text {b }}$ g18 vga，f． $\mathrm{g}^{0} \mathbf{s}^{1}$ bo ：＋каı $\delta$ हE $N 046$ ． 20 （ -35 ．（620）．2020）．61．69．110． 172. 181．201．250．314．385．498．522．1955．2016．2018． 2067 Pi voL．II．－18










 1957．2015．2023．2036．2037．2038．2041 s｜ 1 er avtov AC 025．1．35．104．498＊．620．1957．2015．2023．2036．2037． 2038 ： $\mu \in \tau$ avtov $s^{2}$ ：autw $\mathbb{N} 046.20(-35.620)$ alpm Or＂Tyc Pr gig
 468＊）． 250 al $^{\text {pm }}$ Or $^{5}$ Pr gig vg si． 2 arm bo sa eth ：e $\delta o \theta \eta$ avtoto AKC 025．I．35．468＊．2015．2023．2036．2037．2038．2067 alp｜ то тетартоv］＋$\mu$ ероб 452 ．2015．2019．2036．2037 ：quattuor




 єбфаүиєขшข AC 046． 20 （ -35.205 .920 ）．250． 2037 al $^{\text {pl }}$ Tyc Pr gig Cyp vg s ${ }^{2}$ eth ：pr $\tau \omega \nu$ av $\theta \rho \omega \pi \omega \nu \times 025$ ．1．35．60．18x． 205．209．432．1957．2019．2023．2038．2041． 2067 bo sa ：


 2037． $203^{8}$ Pr gig Cyp vg bo ：єкк入ךбєav 2020 ：＋тоv apvtov
 Xptorov 172． $250.424 .2018:+a v t o v \operatorname{Pr} \operatorname{Cyp}$ arm ${ }^{1.9 .3}$ eth $\mid \eta \nu$


10．Expajar ANC 046． 20 （ $-35^{\text {半．205．632半．2020）．} 250}$

 $\mu \varepsilon \gamma a \lambda \eta$ ANC 025. 1．35．104．205．385．620．632＊＊．2020． 2037．2038． 2067 almu Or ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Pr gig vg Cyp s（arm）bo ：$\phi \omega v \eta$ $\mu е \gamma a \lambda \eta \nu \quad 046.20\left(-35.205 .620 .632^{* *} .2020\right) .250 a^{\text {mu }}$ $\mid a \lambda \eta \theta L \nu O \sigma]$ pr 0 1．172． $429^{* *}$ ．468．620．（2018）．2037． $2067 \mid$
 2020）． 250 alpm $\mathrm{Or}^{\text {® }}$ ：ато тшу 025．1．35＊．104．205．468．620．
 yyor in terris Pr Cyp？








 (Cyp) arm ${ }^{1} \mid$ aurouб єкабтш AxC 025. 35.61. 69. 104. 205. 250. 468. 620. $632^{* *}$. 1957. 2018. 2019. 2020. 2023. 2036. $2067 \mathrm{al}^{p}$ $\mathrm{arm}^{4}$ bo : els singulis $\operatorname{Pr}$ gig Cyp : illis singulae $\mathbf{v g}$ : autour $>8 \mathbf{2}$. 91. 93. 181 : єкабтш>046. 20 ( -35 205. 468. 620. 632** 2020) $\mathrm{al}^{\text {mu }} \mathrm{Or}^{8} \mathrm{arm}^{1.2 .8 . a}$ : єкабть avtwv (~2037) 2015.2037 s

 боитаи A 025.046. ı. 104. 110. 522. 620. 2015. 2019. 2036.

 NC 025. r. 18. 205 632**. 2015. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2067 vg s ${ }^{2}$



 $\mid \epsilon \omega \sigma]+$ ov 1. 18. 35. 205. 1957. 2015. 2019. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2041. $2067 \mathrm{al}^{\mathrm{p}} \mid \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \theta \omega \sigma \tau \mathrm{AC} 385 \mathrm{gig} \mathrm{gg} \mathrm{s}$ arm bo eth $: \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \sigma \omega \sigma t(\nu) \times 025.046 .20$ ( -620.632 .2040 ). 1. 250.2037. 2038. 2067 al ${ }^{\text {pl }} \mathrm{Or}^{\mathrm{s}}: \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \sigma$ over 620. 632. 2015. 2016. 2019 2036. 2040 : impleatur numerus Cyp | кat ot ovvסoviou $>$

 046. 20 (-35 205. 620). $2037 \mathrm{al}^{\mathrm{mu}}$ (Сур) | o $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda$. aтоктєvv.] qui occidentur gig |aтoктєvértal ANC 35. 82. 93. 94. 175. 205. 241. 250. 325. 337 456. 617. 919. 920. 1955. 2004.
 104. 110. 172. 242. $3^{85}$. 386. 468. 506. 620. 632. 1849. 1934. 2015. 2016. 2017. 2019. 2023. 2024. 2036. 2039. 2040. 2041:
 : aro日avelv arm | $\omega$ к каı avtoi] каı $>385.2020$ : exemplo ipsorum Cyp .

 1. $35^{* *}$. 42. 61. 69. 181. 205. 209. 432. 468. 1957. 2019. 2023 $2041 \operatorname{Pr} \mid \tau \eta \nu \sigma \phi \rho a \gamma \kappa \delta \alpha \pi \eta \nu \epsilon \kappa \tau \eta \nu] \tau \eta \nu \epsilon \kappa \tau . \sigma \phi \rho a y . \operatorname{Pr} \operatorname{Tyc} \mid$




 is $\beta \iota \beta \lambda i o \nu \Gamma+i \lambda c \sigma \sigma o ́ \mu \varepsilon \nu o \nu+1$,

dilogd $\mu$ mevos



20. 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 alpl $^{\text {pl }} \mathrm{Or}^{\text {a }} \operatorname{Pr} \mathrm{arm}^{3}: \sim \mathrm{A} 2016$ Tyc
 2020. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2067 Tyc Pr gig vg arma : ~ $\sim 046$. 20 ( -35 . 205. 2020). 61. 69. 104. 110. 172. 201. 250. 314. 498. 522. 1955. 1957. 2016. 2018. 2023. 2041 alp Or ${ }^{\text {P }} \mid \omega \sigma \ldots$
 ( -35.205 ). $2067 \mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{pm}} \mathrm{Or}^{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{Tyc}$ (gig) vg si. ${ }^{1}$ bo eth: "the monn wholly" arm $^{12}{ }^{2}$ a $a: 0 \lambda \eta>025$. 1. 35. 172. 205. 250. 1957.
 1934: $\omega \sigma>\operatorname{Pr}$ gig arm .
18. tou oupavou] tov $\theta$ eou $A:>\operatorname{Tyc} \operatorname{Pr}$ vg. $^{\text {d.f }}$ : de coelo (post enegar pon gig bo eth) gig arm bo eth |emegav ANC 025. I. 104. 337. 429. 2015 alp $^{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{Or}^{8}$ : eтecov 046.20 (-337). 250.
 $\tau \eta \nu \gamma \eta \nu] \epsilon \pi \iota \tau \eta \nu \quad \gamma \eta \nu \times 241.468^{* *} .203^{8} \mathrm{~s}^{1}$ : super terram vg
 35. 61. 69. 2019. 2023. 2037. 2038. 2067 Tjc Pr gig vg
 205. 250. 336. 429. 468. 498. 620. 1918. 2039 Or's : $\beta a \lambda$ ov $a$ 20 (-18. 35. 205. 468. 620. 2020) 42. 93. 94. 141. 201. 209. 218. 24I. 242. 385. 424. 452. 506. 517. 522. 1955. 2016. 2017.
 $\mu$ eyalov AnC 046. 20 ( -35.205 ). 250 al ${ }^{\text {P114 }} \mathrm{Or}^{8} \mathrm{Pr}$ gig vg $: \sim 025$. I. 35. 2015 . 2037. 2038. 2067 : $\mu \epsilon \gamma a \lambda o v>$ Tyc . va
 $\mu$ evov $45^{6}$ !.

 69. 82. 104. 250. 337. 468. 2023 ${ }^{\text {* }}$. $2040 a^{\text {mu }} \mathrm{Or}^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{Tyc} \operatorname{Pr}$ gig vg








 मévou àri rov̂ $\theta$ póvov



alp｜ка．ot гбXupot＞I．181．2019． 2038 ：ou $>$ N 2024． $2040 \mid$ кас eोevAcpor AC 046． 20 （－35．205．2020）． 250 alpm $^{\text {pm }} \mathrm{Or}^{3} \mathrm{Pr}$

 eोєvөєpot arma bo｜тєтрaб］oтaб arm bo 1.


 т．250．2037．2038． 2067 alpl ：тєбєтан к｜криұатє］кричєтає N

 104．205．241．468．632．1957．2015．2019．2020．2023． 2036.
 468．632．2020）． 250 al $^{\text {ma }}$ ：supra sedem gig ：super thronum （Pr） $\left.\mathrm{vg} \mid a \pi 0^{2} \kappa^{c}\right\rceil \epsilon \pi \iota \mathrm{N}^{*} \mid$ ．
 $\tau \eta \sigma$ ор $\eta \sigma$ аутои $\eta \mu \epsilon \rho a 18\left|\eta^{1}>2004.2020\right| \eta \mu \epsilon \gamma а \lambda \eta>69.325$. 456． 517 ｜avtov A 025.046 .20 （－2020）．250．2037． 2038.2067
 бта⿱㇒⿴囗⿱一一 2019：＋ante illum Pr arm ${ }^{1.2}$ bo｜．

## Chapter VII．





1．$\mu$ eтa $\mathrm{AC} \mathrm{Or}{ }^{\mathbf{s}} \mathrm{Pr}$ gig vg sa ：pr кai N 025.046 21． 250. 2037．2038． $2067 \mathrm{al}^{\text {pl }} \mathrm{s} \mathrm{arm}^{\text {s }}$（bo）eth｜точто ANC 046． 21 （ $\mathbf{- 2 0 5}$ ．468．920．2040）． 250 alpm $\mathrm{Or}^{4} \mathrm{~s}$ arm eth ：ravra 025. 1．61．205．241．468．920．2015．2019．2036．2037．2038． 2040.

 046． 21 （－35）Or＇：тeббарєб $025: \boldsymbol{\delta}^{2} 35 \mid$ краточитаб］pr кає










 'I $\sigma \rho a \tilde{\lambda} \lambda$.
 69. 506. 522. 632*. 919. 920. 1955. $2040:>\operatorname{Pr} \mathrm{vg}^{\text {f. }} \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{arm}}{ }^{2}$ :
 $\tau \eta \sigma>\mathrm{A}:+\mu \eta \tau \epsilon \epsilon \pi \iota \tau \omega \nu \pi о т а \mu \omega \nu$ bo $\mid \epsilon \pi \iota \tau \iota \delta \epsilon \varepsilon \delta р о \nu \mathrm{C}$ о4б. 21
 I. 35. 205. 1957. 2015. 2019. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. $2041 \mathrm{~s}^{1}$ : $\epsilon \pi \iota \delta \epsilon v \delta \rho o v \mathrm{~A}: \varepsilon \pi \iota(\tau a) \delta \epsilon v \delta \rho a \mathrm{~s}^{2}+\mathrm{arm}$ bo eth $\mid$.
2. ad入op aryeגov] $\sim 42$. 325. 456. 468. 620. 866. 1934. 1957.

 046. 21 (-18). 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 alpl $^{\text {pl }} \mathrm{Or}^{\text {s }} \mathrm{s}^{2}$ : avarod $\omega v$ A
 456. 468. 620. $866 \mid \zeta \omega v \tau o \sigma]$ pr тоv $468 \mid$ ккра $\xi$ кv NC 046. 21. 250. 2037. $2038 \mathrm{al}^{\text {pl }}$ Or ${ }^{\text {t }}$ Tyc Pr gig vg sarm bo : expakev

 quod datum esset $\operatorname{Pr} \mid$ avtour $>$ 35. 336. 337. 2015. 2023. 2036.


 2020). 250. 2037. 2067 alpl $\mathrm{Or}^{\mathrm{Jo.}} 16$ : $\mu \eta \delta_{\mathrm{E}} \tau \eta \nu$ 0. $\kappa 8662038$ :
 axpe (- $\sigma$ K) ANC 025. 1. 35. 181. 2038 Or ${ }^{\mathrm{jo1}} 6^{6}$ : axpıo ov 046. 21 (-35). 250. 2067 alpl $^{\text {pl }} \mathrm{Or}^{\text {B }}:$ axpur av 94. 2015. 2036. 2037 : ıva arm $\left.{ }^{8} \mid \sigma \phi \rho a \gamma \iota \sigma \omega \mu \epsilon\right]$ बфраүчбоцгv 337. 2016. 2020. : "I shall have sealed " arm $^{1}$ : $\sigma \phi \rho a y ı \sigma \eta$ тe bo : $\sigma \phi \rho a y \iota \sigma \theta w \sigma$ eth $\eta \mu \omega \nu>24$ I. 2015. 2039. 2040 Tyc s ${ }^{1}$ bo sa eth $\mid$.

 єбфраүгбнело > 18. 141. 385. 429. 919. 920. 1849. 2004. 2039. 2040. 2067 | єкатор тебб. теббареб 025.205 .386 .620 .866. 1934: екатор кац тебб. тебтареб С 82. 104. 172. 175. 250. 314. 325. 337. 456. 468. 617.632. 1957. 2018. 2041 : (єкатор) єкатоу кає тєбб. каі тєббареб 498 (2020) : єкатоү тєбб. кац тєббарєб 69 :


(a) On the restoration of the original order of the text, see vol. i. 207 sqq .
205. 632*. 2020. 2037. 2038 al ${ }^{\text {nu }}$ Or $^{\text {Jo }}{ }^{\text {3mp (nemell) }}$ Tyc $\operatorname{Pr}$ gig vg $s^{2}$ bo : $\epsilon \sigma \phi \rho a \not \iota \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu \omega \nu 046.21$ (-35. 205. 632*. 2020). 69. 82. 104. 201. 250. 314. 498. 2016. 2017. 2018. 2036 alp Or ${ }^{5}:>\mathrm{s}^{1}$
 I $\sigma \rho a \eta \lambda_{\iota} \tau \omega \nu \mathrm{s}^{2}$.
 vg $s^{2}:-\mu \epsilon \nu \alpha i$ 046. $21(-35.468 .632$. 2020). 82. 201. 314. 385. 498. 1955. 2016. 2017 al ${ }^{\text {P }}:-\mu \epsilon \nu \omega \nu$ 69. 104. $522:>5^{1}$
 104. 201. 337. 498. 61 7. 919. 1955. 2004. 2015 : Povß $1 \mu$ 1. 18 35. 93. 386. 456. 468. 620**. 632. 920. 1849. 2017. 2019. 2020 2036. 2037. 2038. 2040. 2067 : Роv $\beta \varepsilon \mu$ 60. 91. 172. 205. 385 1934. 2018. 2023. 2041 : Povßєıv 250. 2016 : Pov $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2 } \mu \\ & 314 \\ & 325 .\end{aligned}$ 506. 5 17. 620*. 866. 2024 : Ruben Pr gig vg : Roubēn bo |.
 Iqaxap $\sim \mathrm{s}^{1} \mid$ I $\sigma$ бaxap AN 025 . 61. 104. 385. 522. 919. 1955.
 bo : Isachar Pr : Ysacar gig

 2038 : Beviau NC 046. 21 ( -456 . 620. 866. 920) al ${ }^{\text {pl }} \mathrm{Or}^{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{Pr}$
 $\mu$ evor ANC 025.35 .205 .468 .632 . 2020 Or $^{3} \mathrm{gig} \mathrm{vg} \mathrm{s}^{1}$ bo: $-\mu$ eval $046.21\left(-35.205 .468\right.$. 632. 920. 2020. 2040) $\mathrm{al}^{\mathrm{man}}$ : >920. $2040 \mathrm{Pr} \mathrm{s}^{8}$.
 scripsit in marg ) 336. 456 . 620. 866 : $\Delta \mathrm{a} \delta 1$ : Gath Pr |.
 175. 314. 325. 429. 456 . 617.620 . 866. 919. 920. 1849. 1955.
 N s: Ne $\phi \theta a \lambda t \nu$ C : N $\epsilon \phi \theta a \lambda \eta \mu$ 69*. 201. 38f. 517. 522 : Ephthalim bo : Neфөa入єц 025 1. 18. 35. 6i*. 104. 172. 205. 241.
9. Merà raîta sliov,



 Xcpoiv aưтヘิv.

242. $250.337 .3^{85}$. 468. 498. 632. 1934. 1957. 2015. 2016. 201 7. 2018. 2019. 2020. 2023. 2024. 2037. 2038. 2039. 2040. 2041.
 $\times 025.21$ (-175. 205. 620*. 866). 1. $250.2067 \mathrm{al}^{\mathrm{pl}} \mathrm{Or}^{5} \mathrm{Pr}$ gig
 2037. 2038 s : Dan bo |.
9. $\mu$ ета] pr каi $\mathbf{s}^{1}$ arm $^{1}$ bo eth | кal dov א 025. 046. 21. 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 alpl $\mathrm{Or}^{4}$ Tyc gig $\mathrm{s}^{2}:>$ A Pr Cyp vg


 69. 205. $2019 \mathrm{al}^{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{Or}^{4} \mathrm{~s}$ bo : >046. 21 (-205). 104. 172. 201. 241. 242. 250. 314. 385. 429. 498. 522. 1955. 1957. 2015 . 2016. 2017. 2018. 2023. 2024. 2037. 2038. 2039. 2041. 2067 alp Tyc Pr gig Cyp vg| єठuraro ANC 046. 21 ( -205.2040 ) alpl : ทбvvaто о25. 1. 61. 69. 172. 205. 241. 250. 2015. 2023**. 2037.

 кац $\lambda \alpha \omega \nu$ каи $\gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma \omega \nu>$ eth | єбтштєб AN 025 . 1. 35. 205. 1957. 2004. 2019. 2023. 2024. 2037. 2038. 2067 alp s : єбтwtac 046.
 corwta 93. 1955 : stantes Pr gig Cyp vg |evartov¹] erı A |

 205. 1957. 2015. 2019. 2023. 2037. 2038. 2067 alp Or' Tyc vg :
 025. 1. 35. 104. 172. 205. 250. 2018. 2019. 2020. 2037. 2038. $2067 \mathrm{al}^{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{gig} \mathrm{vg}$ arm : palmae fuerunt $\operatorname{Pr}$ Cyp : фouvкá $\mathrm{K}^{*}$ 046. 21 ( -35.205 .2020 ). 61. 69. 201. 241. 242. $3^{14}$. $3^{885} 429$. 498. 522. 1957. 2015. 2016. 2017. 2023. 2024. 2039. 2041 al ${ }^{\text {mu }}$








 каi $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ ब



14. каî єïp



 $63^{* * *} .2020$ ). $250.2067 \mathrm{al}^{\text {mu }}: \in \pi \iota$ tov $\theta$ porov $\aleph^{\text {c }} 046$. I. 104.
 thronum Pr Cyp vg : supra sedem gig to apvic] tov apvtov




 bo |eтєбav ANC 025. 42. 181. 325*. 337. 468. 517. 620. 866

 autuv evamtov r. $\theta$ pov. bo sa eth | tov $\theta$ povou ANC 025.35 .205. 920. 2020. 2037. 2038. 2040. 2067 al Or ${ }^{s} \operatorname{Pr} \operatorname{gig}$ vg $\mathbf{s}^{1}$ arm. . . a bo : +avtov 046. 21 ( - 35. 205. 920. 2020. 2040). 250


入eqoutec eth |.
 eth | кaı $\eta$ бoфıa $>\mathrm{A} \mathrm{arm}{ }^{4}$ : ante $\eta \delta_{0} \xi a$ pon 506 : post $\eta \tau \pi \mu \eta$


 tw $\theta \in \omega$ arma ${ }^{a} \alpha \mu \eta \nu>C 2015.2019 \operatorname{Pr} \mid$.



 $\mathrm{vg}^{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{g}$ armis m . a .
14. каi >bo sa | єєр $>$ ка ANC 025. 1. 205. 2015. 2019. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2067 alp $^{2} \mathrm{Or}^{4}$ : єıтон 046. 21 (-205). 250.

15. Sıà тoûtó ciolv èv凶́atıov rov̂ $\theta$ póvov toû $\theta$ eov̂,








 © $\rho$ Хо $\mu$ evol] qui venerunt Tyc $\operatorname{Pr}$ Cyp eth : qui veniunt gig vg

 632**. 1934. 2020 Or" Tyc gig vg Cyp s arm bo : $\epsilon \pi \lambda a \pi v v a v$ 42*. 82. 201. $3^{225}$. 337. 385. 386. 429. 452. 456. 468*. 498. 522. 632*. 919. 920. 1849. 1955. 2004. 2021. 2024. 2040: єплatetvav
 them glorious" bo $\mid$ каı ${ }^{4}>620.866 \mid$ avtaб AN 025. 1. 35. 60. 93. 181. 205. 209. 432. 468. 1957. 2015. 2023. 2036. 2038.204 r. 2067 gig vg Cyp s arm ${ }^{4}$ bo : avtove $2037:>046$. 21 ( -35. 205. 468). $250 \mathrm{al}^{\mathrm{mu}} \mathrm{Or}^{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{Pr} \mathrm{arm}^{\mathrm{a}}$ !.


 2015. 2018. 2019. 2020. 2023**. 2037. 2038. 2067 al $^{m u} \mathrm{Or}^{\text {² }}$


 illos gig : inhabitavit super eos Cyp : habitat super eos Tyc : inhabitavit in eis Pr: "dwelleth in them" arm ${ }^{1} 2$ (3) a ${ }^{\text {a }}$.
16. $\boldsymbol{\epsilon t 1}^{1}$ A 025.046 . 21. 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 alpl Or gig:

 AN 046. 21 ( -205.2020 ). $2067 \mathrm{a}^{\text {pl }} \mathrm{Or}^{5} \mathrm{vg} \mathrm{s}^{2} \mathrm{arm}^{2}$ bo: umquam PrCsp: >025. 1. 141.172. 205. 250. 424. 2018. 2019. 2020. 2037. $2038 \mathrm{gig} \mathrm{s}^{1} \mathrm{arm}^{18} \mathrm{~s}$ a $:+$ "nelther shall they toil" bo $\mid \mu \eta$ maion eTl] an emendation of Gwynn and Swete of $\mu \eta \pi \epsilon \sigma \eta \epsilon \pi \iota$ Ast 025 1. 35. 69. 2015. 2019. 2036 alp : ov $\mu \eta \pi \epsilon \sigma \eta \in \pi \iota 046$. 21 ( -35 .

 $>$ Tyc arm : to 314. 2016 | каvца] + patientur $\operatorname{Pr}$ Cyp |.
 (11. 69. 104. 205. 314. 429. 468* 620. 866. 2015. 2019. 2020. 2023**. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2041*. 2067. s arm sa : reget gig vg Сур : понаєขєь 81 ( $-35.205 .468^{*}$. 620. 866. 2020). 42. 82.

## Chapter VIII.






(a) Verse 2 is restored in what appears to have been its onginal form after 84. See vol. i. 218-222, 224.
(b) Read els.
91. 141. 172. 201. 218. 24I. 242. 250. 385. 424. 432. 498. 522. 1955. 1957. 2016. 2017. 2018. 2021. 2023*. 2024. 2039. 2041**
 1. 35. 6I. 69. 205. 2015. 2019. 2020. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2067 alp Or Tyc gig Cyp vg sarm bo : of $\mathrm{m} \gamma \mathrm{al} 21$ (-35. 205. 2020). 42. 82. 91. 104. 250.385 . 429. 2016. 2017 alwu $\operatorname{Pr}$




 920. 2040). 250. 2038 alpm $\operatorname{Pr}$ gig Cyp vg s:ano tav oф $\theta^{2} \lambda$ $\mu \omega \nu$ K 6I. 104. $241.325 .456 .468^{*}$. 620. 866. 920. 2015. 2023. 2024. 2036. 2037. 2040. $2067 \mathrm{app}^{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{Or}^{\mathrm{Ag}} \mathrm{vg}^{\mathrm{d}}$.

1. кal $>$ Tyc | otav $\mathrm{AC}:$ oтe K 025 . 046 . 21. 250. 2037. 2038. $\left.2067 \mathrm{al}^{\text {pl }} \mathrm{Or}^{\mathrm{s}} \mid \sigma \tau \gamma \eta\right]$ фшv$\eta$ bo $\mid \eta \mu \omega \rho o v \mathrm{AC} 337.498$.
 2038. $2067 \mathrm{apl}^{1{ }^{10}} \mathrm{Or}^{\mathbf{d}} \mathrm{s}$ : semihora Pr gig : medıa hora Tyc vg : wpar bol.

 Avocaotypiov N 046.21 ( -35.205 ). 69. 104. 250. 314. 385.
 ante altare Tyc gg vg arm ${ }^{1}$ eth : super altarium Dei Pr:


 386. 632. 2015. 2037. 2038. 2040 alp $^{\text {P }}: \delta \omega \omega \eta$ 025. 046. 21 ( -35 .




 $\operatorname{arm}^{2} \mid$ тшv $\left.{ }^{2} \mu \mu a \mu a \tau \omega v\right]$ supplicationum $\operatorname{Pr} \mid$ таиб $\left.\pi \rho о \sigma \kappa v \chi a u \sigma\right]$







(a) Read ipets in the first two cases after the noun but without change of order in the next two. See vol. i. 218-223.
(b) The interpolator of viii. 7-12, to whom the changes in the text are
 the perfect does not occur elsewhere in our author, who uses -av.
orationum $\operatorname{Pr} \mathrm{s}^{2} \mathrm{arm}^{4}$ bo : de orationibus gig vg : $\sigma v y$.



 104. 141. 205. 218. 424. 2019. 2024 | кан күкц. avtov >eth |



 абтратаи $\mathbb{N} 046.21$ ( -35.2020 ). 69. 110. 172. 250. 314.385. 2016. 2018 alp $\mathrm{Or}^{\text {Pr }}$ Pr gig vg s ${ }^{1}$ bo eth : фwvai k. Bpovtal
 aбтратац к. Bpovtal 104. 2038 : The order of all the MSS is corrupt. We should expect aotparal first, since not only in point of fact the lightning is seen before the thunder is heard, but also because this order is preserved always elsewhere in our author: cf. $4^{5}{ }^{11} 1^{19} 1^{18}$. St. John is an observer of nature, and was not guilty of this blunder. It is due to the interpolator of 87-12. The original order was aबtp. кац ффval кац $\beta$ роитаи as in $4^{5} 11^{19} 16^{19}$. The hopeless order of A- $\beta \rho o v r$. к. a atp. к. $\phi w v$.一is most probably due to the interpolator. The readings of $x 046$ $\operatorname{Pr} \mathrm{vg} \mathrm{s}^{1}$, of 025 Tyc , are obvious attempts at correction. | кat


 $\theta$ povor 620. 866 arm $^{4} \mid \epsilon \sigma \tau \eta \kappa a \sigma \tau \nu$ ANC 025. 046 . 11 (-35. 325.
 42. 314. 325. 456. 517 : stabant gig : stant Tyc eth : stantes Pr vg bo| \&ठo日चrav NC 025. 046. 91 ( -18 . 919. 920. 1849. 2004. 2040) Or' $\operatorname{Pr}$ gig vg s bo : $\varepsilon \delta 0 \theta \eta$ A 18. 172. 919. 920. 1849. 1955. 2004. 2018. 2040. 2041 arm : qui acceperunt Tyc | $\sigma a \lambda \pi \iota \gamma \gamma \epsilon \sigma]+\iota v a \quad \sigma a \lambda \pi \iota \sigma \omega \sigma \iota$ 920. 2040 : tubas Tyc |.
2. ot exочтес А 025.046 .21 (-468*. 620. 866). 250. 2037.












 046.21 .250 2037．2038． $2067 \mathrm{al}^{\text {pl }} \mathrm{Or}^{4} \mathrm{~s}^{1.2}$ bo ：$\epsilon \pi$ aurove 69
 $\operatorname{arm}^{2}$ ．

18．кa．eifov $>s^{1}$ eth $\left.\mid \eta \kappa o v \sigma a\right]+\phi \omega \nu \eta \nu$ Tyc vg armi． 2 a evor $>\mathrm{N} 025 \mathrm{arm}$ bo sa $\mid$ aetov AR 046． 21 （ -205.468 ．620． 632. 866）． $250 \mathrm{al}^{\mathrm{mu}} \mathrm{Or}^{\mathrm{d}}$ Tyc gig fl $\mathrm{s} \mathrm{arm}^{1.2 .8 .} 4$ bo eth ：ut aquilam Pr ：ayje入ov 025．1．104．205．241．468．620．632．866．2015． 2019．2036．2037．2038． 2067 arm $^{\alpha} \mid$ тeтоцevov $>$ bo｜ev $\mu \varepsilon \sigma o v \rho a \nu \eta \mu a \tau \iota]$ є $\mu \in \sigma o v p a v \iota \sigma \mu a \tau \iota$ I ：in medio caeli et terrae
 104．432．2015．2023．2036．2037．2041 ：ф $\omega \nu \eta$ т $\quad$ L 35 ．2019： $\phi \omega v . \mu c \gamma$ ．before $\lambda \in \gamma o v t o r$ gig $\mathrm{f}:>$ Tyc $\mathrm{s}^{1} \mid$ oval $]$ twice only 1 ． 2038 eth｜тонб катоккоиби A 025 ．1．35．104．205．2037． 2038． $2067 \mathrm{al}^{\mathrm{mu}}:$ tove катокоиvтаб $N$ 046． 21 （ -35.205 ）． 61.
 $\lambda о \iota \pi \omega \nu \phi \omega \nu \omega \nu \tau \eta \sigma \sigma a \lambda \pi t \gamma \gamma \sigma \sigma]$ єк $\tau \eta \sigma$ ф $\omega \nu \eta \sigma \tau \omega \nu \sigma a \lambda \pi \iota \gamma \mu \nu \nu \mathrm{~s}^{1}: \kappa \kappa$ т．ф $\omega \nu \eta \sigma$ r．$\lambda o \iota \pi \omega \nu \sigma \alpha \lambda \pi \iota \gamma \gamma^{\omega \nu} \mathrm{s}^{2}: \phi \omega \nu \omega \nu \tau \eta \sigma \sigma \alpha \lambda \pi \iota \gamma \gamma \sigma \sigma>\operatorname{Pr} \mid$ ．

7．а трштоб AK 025．046． 21 （ -2020 ）al ${ }^{\text {pra }} \mathrm{Or}^{4} \mathrm{~s} \mathrm{arm}^{4}$ ：+ arүє入oの 1．250．522．2015．2019．2020．2036．2037．2038． 2067 Tyc Pr gig vg arm ${ }^{1.2 . s . a}$ bo eth $\mid \kappa \alpha \iota^{8}>$ Tyc $\mid \mu \epsilon \mu \iota \gamma \mu \varepsilon{ }^{2} a$ A
 $\mu \mathrm{vov} \mathrm{N}^{2} 025.18 \mathrm{I} .205 .209 .432 .2020 .2038$ ． 2067 Tyc｜av
























025．1．35＊．205．250．2020．2037．2038． 2067 alma $\mathrm{Or}^{\text {n }} \mathrm{Tyc} \operatorname{Pr}$ gig fl $\mathrm{vg} \mathrm{s}^{2} \mathrm{arm}^{2}{ }^{2}:$ ：$\quad$ vpoo bo $:>046$ ． 21 （ $-35^{*}$ ．205．2020）

 $a \quad \mu a]$ in sanguinem $\operatorname{Pr} \mid$ ．

 $\mathrm{Pr}:$ animalium fl $\mathrm{arm}^{4}$ ：creaturae $\mathrm{vg}: \mathrm{pr} \pi a v \tau \omega v \mathrm{~s}^{12}$ bo
 bo eth ：＞1．181 Pr vg ：$\tau \omega \nu>046$ ． 21 （ -205.2020 ）． 2038. $2067 \mathrm{al}^{\mathrm{mu}}$ ：eorum quae in mari creata sunt gig｜$\tau$ a єXnvтa


 IN 025 ．（1）．35．42．60．181．432．1957．2015． 2023.2036. $2037^{\text {＊F．}} 2041 \mathrm{~s}^{2} \mathrm{arm}^{1} 2$ ：$\delta_{\epsilon \epsilon \phi \theta a \rho \eta} 046$ ． 21 （ -35.205 ）． 250. 2038． 2067 al $^{\text {pi }}$ Or $^{\text {s }}$ s $^{1}$ arm $^{3}$ a ：$\varepsilon \phi \theta a p \eta 205$ ：corruperunt Tyc： perit $\operatorname{Pr}$ ：interiit gig fl vg $\mid$ ．

 $\pi \eta \gamma^{2 \sigma} \tau \omega \nu \nu \delta a \tau \omega \nu>A: ~ v \delta a \tau o \sigma$ bo sa $\mid$ ．

11．тои абтероб］＋тоитои Тус $\mid$ 入еуетаи］елеуето 104 arm $^{4} \mid$
 r．69．104．2019．2020．2037．2038． 2067 al $\left.^{p} \mid \alpha \psi ん \nu \theta \circ \sigma\right] \kappa^{c}:$

 thus $\mathrm{vg}^{\mathrm{g}}$ ：habsintus $\mathrm{vg}^{\mathrm{c}}$ ：absentium fl ：＂bitterness＂armi．．s．s．a ： ＂wormwood＂arm＂｜rpırov］＋$\mu \in \rho \circ \sigma$ Or＂Pr filgig vg bo eth
 $\mathrm{Or}^{4}$ ：sicut absintium（alloe bo）Pr gig vg $\mathbf{s}^{\mathbf{2}}$ bo sa ：quasi absentium fi：$\epsilon \sigma$ a $\alpha \iota \nu \theta 01$ A 025 ． 046 ． 21 （ -620.866 ．1934）．
 2015．2023．2036． 2037 gig vg ：＂bitter＂arm＂：＂into blood

кai ì $\pi \lambda \lambda_{\eta}^{\prime} \eta$ тò тpícov rov̀ $\grave{\eta} \lambda i o u$
 iva oкorto日̂̀ tò rpírov aúrûv
 і $\mu$ oi $\omega \mathrm{s}$.]

 v $\left.\delta a \tau a \mathrm{~s}^{1} \mid \varepsilon \kappa \tau \omega \nu\right] \leqslant \pi \iota \tau \omega \nu \mathrm{A} \mid$.







 or recovers original sense. Pr fland eth attempt to recover it. See below. Evidence as follows. $\eta \eta \mu$ мpa $\mu \eta$ фаv $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ то трıтоv
 то тритоv аитпб $\mu \eta$ фаш $\eta(>046$. 522) $\eta \mu \varepsilon \rho a$ 046. 175. 32 5. 337. 456. 468. 617 . 620. 866. $1934 \mathrm{alpm}_{\text {; ( }}$ (avtuv for avtクo 18. 69. 141. 385. 429. 522. 632. 919. 1849. 1955. 2004. 2015. 2024 :
 $>2020$ ) : et dies eandem partem anitteret $\operatorname{Pr}$ fl: et dies non luceat terciam partem gig: et diei non luceret pars tertia vg :
 ouk єфаиך кal то тpırov arm" : "and the third part of them had



## Chapter IX.





 oupavov тептшкота] аотєра петтшк. єк тоv oup. 920. 2040 arm $^{4}$ :








 $\gamma \boldsymbol{\eta} \mathrm{s}$.



 241. 250. 620. 632. 866. 1957. 2015. 2019. 2020. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2041. 2067 alp $\mathrm{Or}^{8}$ Tyc Pr gig fl vg s $\mathrm{s}^{2} \mathrm{arm}^{1.4}:>$ © 046 . 21

 172. 325. 456. 2018. $2021 \mid \epsilon \kappa] \mathbb{N c}^{c}: \epsilon \pi \iota \aleph^{*} \mid$ тоv фрєатоб] т $\omega v$
 кпцнขот $\kappa^{*} \mid \mu є \gamma а \lambda \eta \sigma$ Ак 025.1 1. 35. 205. 2015. 2036. 2037.

 432. 452. 2019. 2020 ( $\mu$ cya入ov). 202 I gig $\mathrm{s}^{1} \mathrm{arm}^{4} \mid \kappa \alpha \mathrm{l}^{8}$ ] or $\operatorname{Pr}$
 250. 2037. 2067 al $^{\text {pl }} \mathrm{Or}^{\text {® }}$ : tenebris obscuravit $\operatorname{Pr}$ fl|aךp] a $\sigma \tau \eta \rho$ 205 | єк тои катvov точ фрєатоб кає $>\kappa^{*}$ (but not $\kappa^{c}$ ) Pr fl

 emı arm bo |avtau A c25 21. 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 alpl $^{\text {pl }}$ Or $^{\text {n }}$ :


 $\mathrm{s}^{2} \mathrm{arm}^{\mathbf{3}}$ bo eth : >arm ${ }^{1.2 .2 . a}$.
4. єрреө $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ Aк $025.21\left(-35^{*}\right)$. 2037. 2038. 2067 alpl $^{\text {pl }} \mathrm{Or}^{8}$ :
 21 (-18. 919. 2004). 250. 2037. 2038. $2067 \mathrm{al}^{\mathrm{pl}} \mathrm{Or}^{\text {s }}$ : aurour N 046. 18. 6ı. 69. 172. 919. 2004. 2039|adıкךбоvбน้ A 2019 :
 Pr gig fl vg $\mid$ ovde mav $\chi^{\lambda \omega \rho o v] ~}>\kappa^{*}$ ( $\kappa^{c}$ wrote $\chi^{\lambda \omega}$ above


 2023. 2041 alp : nisi tantum homines vg arm | $\sigma \phi p a \gamma i d a]$

 2036. 2037. 2038 gig vgac. $\mathrm{d}:+$ autwv 046. 21. 250. 2067 alpl Pr vg. fl s arm ${ }^{1.2 .4}$ eth $\mid$.

VOL. II. - 19


 $\theta a ́ v a r o v ~$
каi où $\mu \grave{\eta}{ }^{〔} \epsilon$ ipwour aùróv,


виооя
 cis пóde $\mu$ оv,




b. xat e8odr] et dictum est $\operatorname{Pr}$ eth : dictum est $\mathrm{f} \mid$ avrata 025. 046. 21 (-2004). 250. 2037. 2038. $2067 \mathrm{al}^{\mathrm{pl}} \mathrm{Or}^{8}$ : autour АК I. 104. 181. 2004 | a
 Baбaviбもwrı 046. 21 (-35. 2020). 250. 2037. $2067 \mathrm{al}^{\mathrm{pl}} \mathrm{Or}$ : cruciarentur $\operatorname{Pr}$ (gig) vg fl: cruciarent Tyc arm bo eth |aviwy

 172. 620 866. 920. 2020. 2038 alma $; \pi \in \sigma \eta$ є ${ }^{\text {m. }} \mathrm{s}^{1}: \pi \lambda \eta \xi \eta 60$. 432.452. 506. 2021. 2022. 2023. 2041** $: \delta a \kappa \eta ~ 367.468^{* *}$ bo sa eth .


 172. 181. 205. 209. 250. 424. 2015. 2018. 2023. 2036. 2037. evрทбоvสเข $\mathbb{N} 046.21$ ( -35 . 205 . 325.337. 456. 468. 620. 866. 1849). 104. ІІО. 201. 24I. 242. 314. 385. 429. 498. 522. 1957.
 69. 82. 325. 337.456. 468.5 17.620. 866. 1849 : єขроvat 2038 :
 181. 2019. 2020. 2067 : $\phi v \gamma \eta \mathrm{~N}:$ : фevgeral 046.21 (-35. 2020). 250. 2037. $2067 \mathrm{a}^{\text {al }} \mathrm{Or}^{8} \mathrm{Pr}$ gig fl vg 8 arm bo eth $\mid \mathrm{o}$ Өavator ã avrav Aא 025. 1. 35. 205. 2015 (>an). 2019. 2020. 2036

 104 .



 205. $250.429 .{ }^{\text {mg }} 2015.2018 .2019 .2036 .2037 .2038 .2067$ alp $^{\text {P }}$











Observe below how $\operatorname{Pr} f \mathrm{vg}$ add et Latine habet nomen Exterminans-a fact which shows how glosses arise.

Or Tyc Pr gig fl vg s (arm) : "of colour of gold " bo : xpurou 046. 21 (-35. 205) al ${ }^{\mathrm{pm}} \mid \kappa \alpha \iota \tau \alpha \pi \rho о \sigma \omega \pi \alpha \ldots$. . av $\theta \rho \omega \pi \omega \nu>\operatorname{arm}^{2}$.
8. exxav AN : єcxov 025 046. 21. 250. 2037. 2067 al $^{\text {pl }} \mathrm{Or}^{\mathrm{s}}$ :




 pectora $\operatorname{Pr} \mid \omega \sigma$ $\theta_{\omega р а к а \sigma ~}>18$. 919. 1849. 2004 gig $\mid \phi \omega v \eta^{2}$ $\left.>\operatorname{Pr~fl~arm}{ }^{2} \mid \iota \pi \pi \omega \nu\right]$ pr кає $337.468:>325.456$. 620. $866 \mid$


 046. 21 ( -35.617 ). 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 al $^{\text {pl }} \mathrm{Or}^{8}$ Tyc Pr gig
 $\sigma к о \rho \pi \iota o v \sigma] \sigma к о \rho \pi t \omega \mathrm{~s}^{1} \mathrm{arm}^{1.2 .3}$ a: $\sigma \kappa о \rho \pi t \omega \nu \mathrm{vg}: \sigma к о \rho \pi \iota \omega \nu \eta \sigma a \nu \mathrm{H}$

 $>_{\text {1. 18.61. 104. } 14 \text { I. 172. 205. 209. 241. 250. 424. } 2015.2018 . ~}^{2}$. 2019. 2020. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2039. 2067 Tyc gig vg eth : кан
 35. 172. 205. 209. 250. 424. 2018 alp $^{\text {p }}$ gig vg bo : pr кац 1. 2019.
 205). 69. 110. 201. 242. 314. 385. 429. 498. 522. 1955. 1957. 2015. 2016. 201 7. 2023 . 2024. 2037 al $^{\text {mu }} \mathrm{s}^{2}$ (pr кає 24 I. 2036) :
 eth | a $\delta \iota x \eta \sigma \alpha \iota$ AK 025 . 1. 35. 272. 175. 205. 242. 250. 314. 617. 1934. 2015. 2017. 2018. 2019. 2036. 2037. 2038. $2067 \mathrm{al}^{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{Or}^{\mathrm{d}}: \mathrm{pr}$

11. exouøty Aк 205. 314 : pr кає 025. 1. 250. 2037. 2038 al


al ${ }^{\text {ma }}$ Tyc $\mid$ єт avtuv Baoılea A 025 . ( $025^{*}$ adds in mg. but writes avtov). 1. 35. 61. 69. 205. 2015. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2067.

 : Baбilea єт avtov 18. 172.452 (eт avtove 104: vт avtuv 336) :
 aßugrov tov ayye入ov A $\mid$ тоv aryelov AN 025 . 1. 35. 69. 104. 205. 632**. 2015. 2019. 2020. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2067 al $^{\text {mu }} \mathrm{Ol}^{4}$
 avt $\omega$ ] Pr $\omega N: \omega$ ovoца 94 Tyc Pr fi (+est) vg : ovopa avtov 2067
 468. 517.620 .632 .919 .1849 .1955 .2004 : $\alpha \beta \beta a \alpha \delta \omega \nu$ 172. 250. 920. 2018. 2040 : $a \beta a \alpha \delta \omega v$ 42. 82. 93. 110. 337. 452. 506. 2020. 2021. $2024 \mathrm{al}^{\mathrm{P}}: \alpha \beta \beta a \delta \omega \nu$ 1. 18. 35.60. 91. 175. 181. 201.314. 386. 617. 1934. 2015. 2016. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2067 Or ${ }^{\text {a }}$ $\mathrm{gig} \mathrm{arm}^{\mathrm{s}}$ : $a \beta \beta a \delta \delta \omega \nu$ 2019. 2041 : $\alpha \beta \lambda \alpha \delta \delta \omega \nu 6$ I. 69 : armageddon Pr : ababdon fl : albagos $\mathrm{arm}^{1{ }^{(2)}}$ : nabathdon $\mathrm{arm}^{4}$ : magedon
 cv $\delta \in \tau \eta$ 046. 21 ( $-35.205 .325 .456 .468 * .620 .866 .920$ ).
 620. 866 : єv Tך סe Tך 920 : graece autem Tyc vg : graeca autem lingua $\operatorname{Pr}$ : graeca lingua $f$ : "who is called in" arm". .8.8


 bo : arrodvour 522. 2023*: apolion Tyc : apollion Pr : perdens gig : apollyon fl arme e : "destruction" arm". 2 : "destroyer" arm ${ }^{4}$ : + latine perdens Tyc : +et latina lingua nomen habens exterminans Pr fi(vg): + "who is called destruction in Armenian" arm ${ }^{1.2 .8}$.


 69. 104. 110. 385. 429. 2016. 2023* al ${ }^{m u} \mathrm{Or}^{4} \mathrm{~s}^{2} \mathrm{arm}^{1}$ bo :
 2020. 2036. 2037. 2038. $2067 \mathrm{vg} \mathrm{s}^{1}$ : secuntur Pr : venient Tyc| eтt] ac 432. 2019. 2037. 2038 : єTı каı ac 241 : alii $\operatorname{Pr}$ : alia gig : $>$ 1. 104. 498. 2023. 2067 Tyc fl bo eth | $\delta v 0$ ] סcurepa 104 : secundum fl arm${ }^{2} 4$ bo $\mid \mu$ кта тavta. кає A 025. 1. 35. 172. 205. 250. 632**. 2015. 2020. 2023. 2037. 2038. 2067 al $^{\text {mu }} \mathrm{Or}^{4} \mathrm{gig} \mathrm{vg} \mathrm{s}^{2}:$
 таvта каи ( $>$ каı $N 469$ s $^{1}$ bo sa) are joined to ver. 13 by $\boldsymbol{N} \$ 1$
 $2039 \mathrm{almu}^{\mathrm{ma}}$ bo sa .

##  <br> ＜beén

 xpucoû roû dvímtov roû $\theta$ coû，




 iviautóv，




 424． 2018 ：vocem，unum vg ：unum Tyc $\operatorname{Pr}$ gig Cyp｜$\mu \mathrm{av}$ єк
 2036 gig vg si bo sa eth ：pr тeสбapuv 025．046．21． 250.

 bo eth 1 ．
 205．468．2020）al ${ }^{\mathrm{man}} \mathrm{Or}^{4}$ ： 入eyovarav 025．1．35．104．172． 205.


 （ver．15）$>$ bo，but not sa eth $\mid e \pi t]$ ］ 93 ．104． $432 \mid$ тш тога $\omega \omega$
 046 ：pr $7 \omega 468$ ：eufraten Tyc Pr gig Cyp ：Al Frat sa $\mid$ ．

 35．205．2037．2038． 2067 al $^{\text {min }}$ Tyc Pr gig vg Cyp ：кaı єє
 $\eta \mu \epsilon \rho a \nu$ 1957．2015．2020．2023．2036． 2041 bo sa ：$>\mathrm{N}$ I $\mid$ ıva］ $+\mu \eta \mathcal{N} \mid$ трıто⿱ $]+\mu$ ероб 432． 201 5．2036． 2037 Pr Cyp gig vg bo sa eth $\mid$ ．

16．tou เmтikou AN 02 5．046．1．35．61．69．104．205． 2015. 2019．2019．2020．2023．${ }^{\text {mg }}$ 2036．2037．2038．2067．alp ${ }^{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{Or}^{4}$ ：т0v七тпоv 11 （ -35.205 .386 .2020 ）．42．82．110．336．385．1957．


 （－205）．250．2037． 2067 al ${ }^{\text {pm }}:$ myriadis myriadum Tyc ：octo－ ginta milia Pr ：vicies milies dena milia gig vg｜avrav］＋ut
 Tī ópaíct (a)



 $\theta$ ciov.
 à 2 рры́тar,


 iv $\dagger$ avirais $\dagger$ ábukovorv.

 which has been lost through hmt. Next, for kal r. кâquévous er' tairầ $\dagger$ EXovtas above read ral ol кathuero éri' aijroùs EXoutes.
(b) Text adds an interpolation here : ral tip taís oúpaís aưrūy, al yàp oúpal

occiderent tertiam partem hominum $\operatorname{Pr} \mid \eta$ кovea $\ldots$. aurwv $>$ eth. After $\mu v \rho a_{0} \delta \omega$ two lines appear to have been lost.
17. кая оutwo . . . oparti $>\mathrm{s}^{1} \mid$ ovtwo $>2020 \mathrm{Tyc} \mathrm{Pr}$


 hyacınthınas Tyc : hyacıntinas vg : iacintinas Cyp gig : spineas







 025. 1. 35. 205. 1957. 2015. 2019. 2020. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038 $\mathrm{Or}^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{vg}$ Cyp : aпо тоv пvpoo 046. 21 ( -35.205 .2020 ). 69. 104. 250 $2067 \mathrm{al}^{\mathrm{pm}}$ : pr кal si $\mathrm{arm}^{4}$ | rov кarvov AN 046 . 21 ( $-35^{*}$. 866). 69. 104. 110. 250. 385. 2004. 2036. 2067 alpm $\mathrm{Or}^{\mathrm{pg}} \mathrm{gg}$ Cyp bo sa eth : pr ex C 025. 1. 35*. 314. 2016. 2037. 2038 alp gig s : pr aло $866:>$ arm $^{1} \mid$ tov $\theta$ ciov ANC 046. $91\left(-35^{*}\right)$. 250.2067 alpul Or" vg bo sa eth : pr ex 025. 1. 35*. 314. 2016. 2036. 2037. $203^{8}$
 $\mu$ ккшV 2015. 2019. 2020. 2036. 2037. 2067 bo | eк тwv бтоцат山v] ex тоv бтоцатоб 205. 920. 1957. $2040 \mathrm{gig} \mathrm{vg} \mathrm{Cyp}^{1} \mathrm{arm}^{1.2}$ \& a a !

 $\pi \lambda \eta \gamma$ ais taútus,


 Gúhıva,

 aย่งนิ้


 2019. 2038 |avtuv] $\tau \omega v \iota \pi \pi \omega \nu 385:+\epsilon \sigma \pi \iota \mathrm{s}^{2}$ | al yap ovpal . . . adıкovo兀v $>\mathrm{s}^{3} \mid$ opocul $]>\mathrm{C}^{*}$ : opoco 2023 : erant simules Pr
 2020. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038*. 2041. 2067 alp $^{p} \mathrm{Or}^{\mathrm{s}}$ Tyc Pr gig






 35. 205. 429**. $632^{* *}$. 2019. 2037. $203^{8} \mathrm{al}^{p} \mathrm{Pr}$ gig vg Cyp bo: ov C 21 (-35. 205. 632**. 919). 104. 1 10. 172. 241. 242. 250. 314. 385. 429*. 1955. 1957. 2015. 2016. 2017. 2018. 2023. 2024.
 104*. 452. 2019 : $\pi \rho 0 \sigma \kappa v \nu \eta \sigma \omega \sigma L$ 025. 046. 21. 250. 2037. 2038.



 Or ${ }^{\text {P }}$ Pr gig vg Cyp. s arm bo eth $:>21$ (-35. 205. 468**. 2020). 42. 82. 104. 110. 201. 218. 241. 242. 314. 336. 385. 429.498.
 743. $1075 \mathrm{~s}^{1}$ bo eth | סvvavtal ANC 025. 046*. 18. 35 104. 149*. 205. 24I. 250. 468**. 632. 2004. 2015. 2018. 2019. 2020. 2023 . 2024. 2036. 2037. 2067 Or" $^{\text {: }}$ Svvaral 046**. 21 (-18. 35. 149*. 205. 468**. 632. 2004. 2020). $203^{8}$ alpl${ }^{\text {pl }}:>\mathbf{s}^{1} \mid$ aкоvevv . . .


 A 025.046 .104 .2038 alp $^{\mu} \mathrm{Or}^{\text {t }}:$ : фариакшv NC $21(-35.205$.



## Chapter X.







 фuvds.

 factorum Cyp 1.
 eth | a $\lambda$ dov aryedov ANC 172. 205. 250. 2018. 2019. 2020. 2038. $2067 \mathrm{Or}^{\mathbf{r}}$ Tyc gig vg sarmi. $24 . \mathrm{a}: \sim$ 104. 336. (620. $866 \mathrm{a} \mathrm{\lambda ov}$ ) Pr $: a \lambda \lambda o v>025.046 .21(-205.620 .866 .2020)$. 1. 2037 alpm $^{\text {pm }}$


 025. 1. 104. 205. 522. 2017. 2019. 2020. 2037*. 2067 alp : tpiv 2036. 2037** : $\varphi \eta \nu 2038$ : $\epsilon \rho \nu 2015$ | є $\pi \iota \tau \eta \nu \kappa є \phi а \lambda \eta \nu$ AC 181 :

 205. 2020 Tyc vg $\left(-\mathrm{vg}^{\mathrm{c}}\right) \mathrm{s}^{1}$ arm $\mid$.
2. кat >2020| кхшข ANC 025. 046. 21 (-35. 205. 468**.
 241. 468**. 632**. 1957. 2015. 2018. 2019. 2023. 2036. 2037.


 2036. 2037. 2038. 2041 : $\beta$ ( $\beta \lambda_{\iota}$ ov 046.21 (-35. 205. 468**.
 025. 104. 172. 205. 218. 2 50. 424. 2016. 2018. 2038. 2067 : $\eta \nu \epsilon \omega \gamma^{-}$ $\mu e v \omega 1$ 1 : aveurpevov 046. 21 (-205). 2037 alpl $^{\text {pl }} \mathrm{Or}^{4}:>$ A bo

 386 .
8. шотер] pr каи Tyc armi. 8. a : wo ote vg | $\mu$ мкатаu] rugiens







 ทis


 aürô,



 866 .
4. ot ] ora $\times$ 432. 2036. $2037 \operatorname{Pr}$ gig $\mid \beta$ povtal $\left.{ }^{2}\right]+$ voces

 920. 2020). 1. 104. I 10. 250. 314. 385. 2015. 2037. 2067 alal каи оге ... ypapetv] "And I heard the things which the seven thunders said: I was about to write them also." bo |ex rov
 o s ${ }^{1} \mid \in \pi r a>C$ gig arm $^{2} \mid$ кa1 $^{3}>$ Tyc vg bo $\mid \mu \eta$ avra ANC 025.
 $>$ arm" $^{-1} \mu \eta$ avто $\mathbf{s}^{1}$ : $\mu$ ета таита 1. 35. 60. 181. 432. 1957. 2023.
 үрафкат 1. 35. 60. 432. 1957. 2019. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2041: үра廿есб 104. 522. 2015 : үрачог 2067 .

 $2019.2038 \mathrm{vg} \mathrm{s}^{1}$ |eto rov oupavov] in caelo gig |.

 1957. 2015. 2016. 2017. 2019. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038.2067 alp $:$ per viventem $\operatorname{Pr}$ gig vg : $\boldsymbol{v}>\boldsymbol{K}^{*}$ 046. 20 (-35. 175. 205. 617).



 $N^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ 025.046. 20 ( -205.2020 ). 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 alpm $\mathrm{Or}^{\mathrm{p}}$


 cotal $\mathbf{s}^{1}$.








 $\mu \epsilon \lambda \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}$ 18. 104. 172. 429. 522. 1849. 1957. 2015. 2016. 2018. 2019. 2036 | каı ANC 025. 046. 20 ( $-35^{* *}$. 386. 468**). 1. 250. 2037. 2038 al $^{\text {pi }}$ Or $^{4}$ vght $^{\text {g. }}$ s $^{1.2}$ eth $:>35^{\text {** }}$. 60. 20I. 386. 432. 468年. 1957. 2023. 204r. 2067 Pr gig vge.f.v bo arm : tunc


 vai $35^{*}$ : finietur $\left.\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{gig} \mathrm{vg} \mathrm{arm}) \mid \omega \sigma\right]$ o 60. 432. 1957. 2015. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2041. $2067 \mathrm{~s}^{1} \mathrm{arm}^{1.2 .4 . ~ a}$ : of $35 \mid$ cor $\gamma-$
 2023. 2036. 2037. 2041 $\mathrm{Or}^{1} \mid$ tova єautov dovdoug ANC $025.35 .^{2}$ 205. 2020. 2038: tova סoudovg єavtov $\mathrm{Or}^{\text {s }}$ : tovg $\delta$ oudova autov

 2015. 2036 : tour doviou avtov $498 \mathrm{glg} \mathrm{arm}^{1.2 .4}$ : per profetas servos suos $\operatorname{Pr}$ : per servos suos vg arm${ }^{\text {. a a bo } \mid \text { tova } \pi \rho о ф \eta r a \sigma] ~}$

8. ка. $\eta$ фни $\eta \nu \quad \eta$ коuga] ка. $\eta$ коvба $\phi \omega \nu \eta \nu 104 \operatorname{Pr}$ (gig) vgd
 1957. 2019 ( $+\mu \mathrm{ot}$ ). 2038 ( Pr ) gig vg si $\mathrm{arm}^{4}$ : $\lambda a \lambda$ ovoa . . .



 $2037 \mathrm{al}^{\mathrm{pm}} \left\lvert\, \eta \nu \epsilon \omega \gamma \mu \epsilon \frac{1}{2}\right.$ ANC 025. 1. 61. 172. 250. 2018. 2019.

 $\sigma \eta \sigma$. .. $\gamma \eta \sigma] \sim s^{1}$.
9. кal апп $\lambda \theta \alpha$. . . $\lambda a \beta \in>s^{1} \mid a \pi \eta \lambda \theta a$ A 336. 498. 517.620. 866. 2024 Or $^{8}$ : anך $\lambda$ Aov $N C$ 025. 046. 20 ( -620.866 ). 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 alpl ${ }^{\text {pl }} \mid \lambda \epsilon \gamma \omega \nu$ avrt $]>\operatorname{Tyc}:$ avt $\omega>\operatorname{Pr} \mid$ סovvac ANC 046. 20 ( $-35.205 .468^{* *}$. 2020). 250 al ${ }^{\text {pm }}$ Or ${ }^{4}$ Tyc Pr gig $\mathrm{vg} \mathrm{s}^{2} \mathrm{arm}^{4}$ : $\delta 00025$. I. 35. 205. 468**. 1957. 2015. 2019. 2020. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2041. 2067 bo | $\beta_{L} \beta \lambda a p t \delta c o v A^{* *} \mathrm{C} 025$.






 rodloís．
arme ：accipe librum et devora illum vg ：$\lambda a \beta e$ avro $\sigma 0$ bo｜$\sigma$ ov］
 armi．2．2．© $\left[\right.$ «бтal $\left.\gamma \lambda_{\nu \kappa v}\right] \sim \operatorname{Pr}: \gamma \lambda \nu \kappa v>s^{1} \mid$ ．

10．каи］＋оте $\operatorname{Pr} \mid$ кає e $\lambda a \beta$ оу ．．．．катєфауор аито $>\mathrm{gig} \mid$
 （ -35.205 ）． $250 \mathrm{al}^{\text {mu }}(\mathrm{vg}): \beta \iota \beta \lambda \iota \delta a p$ tov 35．60．69．110．205． 432. 1957．2015．2017．2019． 202 3．2036．2037． 2041 ：libellum $\operatorname{Pr} \mid$ каı $\eta \nu$ ．．．єфаүоv аขто $>\operatorname{Pr~arm}^{1} \mid \gamma \lambda \nu \kappa v \omega \sigma \mu \varepsilon \lambda \iota$ A 046.2019
 gig vg sarm ${ }^{4}: \gamma^{\lambda} \nu \kappa v>$ arm $^{2 \cdot \alpha}: \omega \sigma \mu \epsilon \lambda \iota>$ eth $\mid$ ore єфауоv avto

 gig $\mathrm{arm}^{1 .}$（3）． 4 ．

11．入eyourtv As 046． 20 （－35．205．468＊＊．632＊＊．2020）．
 $632^{\text {＊⿻三丨．}}$ ．1957．2015．2016．2020．2023．2036．2037．2038． 2041 ．


 $\operatorname{Pr}:$ populis gig vg｜e日vertv AR 025．1．35．205．24I．468＊． 2016. 2019．2020．2037．2038． 2067 alp $^{(1)} \mathrm{Or}^{8} \mathrm{gig} \mathrm{vg}$ bo $: \mathrm{pr} \epsilon \pi \iota 046.20$



## Chapter XI．




1．кat e $\delta 00 \eta \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ］dedit mihi $\operatorname{Pr}:$ ：e $\delta$ мкау $\mu$ ot bo $\mid$ кала $\mu \sigma \sigma$ oноto $\rho a \beta \delta \omega$ ］Aaron virgae similis Tyc ：harundinem auream



 $\boldsymbol{\kappa}^{\mathbf{0}, ~}{ }^{\circ} 046$ 6．60．61．69．104．172．250．424．432．1957．2018． 2023.
 025 ．046．175．325．456．620．（866）．920．1849．2004．2037＊al






 866. 920. 1849. 2004). 1. 250. 2067 al $\mid \kappa \iota_{1}{ }^{2}>104$ Tyc bo $\mid$
 Ovaıaotypeov] + тov $\theta$ cov Tyc $\operatorname{Pr}:+$ avtov eth $\mid$ av avtw] illud gig $\operatorname{arm}^{4} \mid$
2. кає $\tau \eta \nu$ au $\lambda \eta \nu$. . . $\mu е \tau р \eta \sigma \eta \sigma>g i g \mid \tau \eta \nu$ au $\lambda \eta \nu \tau \eta \nu] \mathbb{K}^{c}$ : $\tau \eta \sigma a v \lambda \eta \sigma \tau \eta \sigma K^{*}$ : atrium autem (Tyc) vg : ara autem $\operatorname{Pr} \mid \varepsilon \xi \omega-$


 $2037 \mid \varepsilon \xi \omega \theta \kappa^{2} \mathrm{AN}^{\mathrm{C}}$ 1. 35. 61. 69. 172. 181. 250.424 432. 506. 1957. 2015. 2018. 2019. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. $2041 \mathrm{Or}^{4} \mathrm{~s}$ :



 $\mu \varepsilon \tau \rho \eta \sigma o v \sigma t \nu$ A : +ev avtr bo : "that they shall trample under foot" arm $^{1} \mid$ тeббєракоита AR : тєббаракоута 025. 81 ( -35 ) alpl Or' : $\mu^{\prime}$ 046. 1. 35 | каи дио A 046 ( $\beta^{\prime}$ ). 21 ( -35.205 .617 .919. 2020). 429. $2067 \mathrm{al}^{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{s}$ arm : кає $>$ K 025 . 69. 205. 250. 617. 919. 2018. 2020. 2023. 2037 Or $^{\text {s }}$ Tyc $\operatorname{Pr}$ gig vg bo : $\boldsymbol{\beta}^{\prime}$ I. 35 alma.



 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 alpl $^{\text {Pl }} \mathrm{Or}^{4}$ Tyc Pr vg : amictis gig | бaккоvб] saccis Tyc $\operatorname{Pr}$ gig $\mathrm{vg}^{\boldsymbol{*}}$.

 620. 866). 250. 2037. 2067 alpm $\mathrm{Or}^{\text {a }}$ arm : є $\delta v 0620$ : $\delta v o \mathrm{~N}^{*} 205$.
 1934. 2040). 1. 250. 2037. 2067 alpl bo : oc avoriov 201. 386 : at $>$ स 35. 61. 69. 104. 172. 175. 205. 241. 242. 314. 424. 1934. 2016. 2017. 2018. 2038. $2040 \mathrm{Or}^{5}$ : in conspectu Tyc vg : sub conspectu Pr : coram gig | тov кvpıov KC 025.21 ( -35.205 ). 250. $2067 \mathrm{alpm}^{\mathrm{pm}} \mathrm{Or}^{4}$ Tyc Pr gig vg s arm. 4 bo : tov $>\mathrm{A} 046$ : tov $\theta$ eov 1. 35. 205. 201 5. 2019. 2036. 2037. 2038 alp arm : " the lord

 ik тои̂ бтó




 aùtor dтocravө市paL
$>$ bo | ботштеб AN*C 046. 21 (-35. 205. 337. 468. 6i7.

 632**. 920. 1957. 2015. 2019. 2020. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2040. $2067 \mathrm{al}^{\mathrm{p}}$ : stantes Tyc vg : consistentia Pr $\mid$.
 $\pi$ on $\eta$ oovat bo? : "and they (he arm". ${ }^{3.4}$ ) shall desire (desire


 2038 : $\theta \epsilon \lambda \eta \sigma \epsilon \operatorname{Pr}$ arm $^{\text {1. 2. 8. a }}$ : voluerit gig vg $\left.\mid a \delta \iota \kappa \eta \sigma a t\right]+$ sive




 2038. $2067 \mathrm{al}^{\mathrm{pl}} \mathrm{Pr}$ s arm ${ }^{4}$ : voluerit gig vg | $\theta$ e $\lambda \eta \sigma \eta$ autour AC 025. 046. 21 ( $-4^{\left.68^{*}\right)}$. 250. 2037 alp $^{\mathrm{p}}$ Or gig vg : ~1. 468*. 2019. 2023. 2038. $2067 \mathrm{al}^{\mathrm{mu}} \operatorname{Pr~s}^{2}$ : $\theta \epsilon \lambda \eta \sigma \eta$ (aঠเкךбat) avrova $\kappa$
 2067 | outw ] > A : ovtw 18. 205. 617. 632. 919. 920. 1849. 1934. 2004. 2040 |avtov] pr кац $\operatorname{Pr}$ : avtove 620. $866 \mathrm{~s}^{1} \mathrm{arm}^{4} \mid$


 ovpayov ANC 025. 1. 35. 205. 2015. 2019. 2023. 2036. 2037.



 ßрє乡ך 2020 : veтоб $\beta$ рехє 429. 522. 2015. 2017. 2019. 2021 : катаßaıท veтоб $\mathbf{s}^{1} \mathrm{arm}^{4}$ : pluat Tyc vg : imbrem pluat Pr :
 $\eta \mu є р а \sigma]$ єг таиб $\eta \mu є \rho a \sigma \sigma$ 1. 2037 Tyc $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{vg}) \mathbf{s}^{1}$ arm $^{4}: \mathrm{pr}$ табаб









 omnium aquarum $\operatorname{Pr} \mid \epsilon \sigma$ al $\mu a]$ in sanguine gig $\mid \kappa \alpha \iota] \kappa^{c}:>\kappa^{*} \mid$ $\epsilon v \pi \alpha_{0} \eta \pi \lambda \eta \eta \eta$ oбaкє $\sigma a \nu \theta \in \lambda \eta \sigma \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ ANC 025 . 1. 35. 205. 2015. 2019. 2020. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2067 alp Or' Pr gig vg
 205.617. 920. 2020. 2040). $25^{\circ} \mathrm{al}^{\mathrm{ma}} \mid \epsilon \nu \pi a \sigma \eta \pi \lambda \eta \gamma \eta$ ANC 025. 81 ( -617 . 920. 2040). 1. 2015. 2019. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038.



7. кal otar te入eowotv $>617^{*}$ (but not 617**). 920. 2040 Or"
 avt $\omega \nu] \pi \eta \sigma$ (avtwv кai $\tau \eta \nu$ eth) $\pi \rho о \phi \eta \tau \epsilon c a \sigma$ (-av eth) $\alpha v \tau \omega \nu$ bo eth |
 то avaßaıvev A : quae descendit Tyc |aßvorov] $\theta a \lambda a \sigma \sigma \eta \sigma \mathbf{s}^{1}$ |


 avtove $>$ 1. 172. 181. 498. 2019. 2021. 2038 $\mid$ кає $>$ bo $\mid$ aтоктєvet] occidit Tyc $\mid$.
8. то птшна AC $046.21(-35.205 .2020) \mathrm{al}^{\mathrm{mu}} \mathrm{Or}^{4} \mathrm{Tyc} \mathrm{arm}^{\mathrm{s} . ~ a}$ bo: та ттшцата N 025. 1. 35. 172. 205. 250 1957. 2018. 2019. 2020. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2067 alp $\operatorname{Pr}$ gig vg s arm ${ }^{1.2 .4 \mid}$ $\epsilon \pi \iota \tau \eta \sigma \pi \lambda a \tau c \iota a \sigma] \epsilon \pi \iota$ т $\quad \pi \nu \pi \lambda a \tau \epsilon \omega \nu \mathrm{~s}^{1}$ : in plateis Tyc $\mathrm{vg} \mathrm{arm}^{4}$ : in platea gig : in medio Pr : "in the midst of their street"
 2037 : pr proicitur Tyc : pr ponet $\operatorname{Pr}: \operatorname{pr}$ jacebunt gig $\mathrm{vg}^{\mathrm{d.}} \mathrm{rarm}^{4}$

 атафа 2015. 2036. $2037:+$ jacebunt $\left.\mathrm{vga}^{\text {aco. }} \mathrm{o} \mid \sum 0 \delta о \mu a\right]+$ ка८ с $\boldsymbol{\gamma \nu \sigma}$ о тотаноб $\kappa^{c}$ : Segor arm $^{1.4}:+$ кац $\mathrm{Ba} \beta \nu \lambda \omega \nu$ аrm ${ }^{4} \mid$ кац

 424. 919. 2018. 2019. 2038. 2067. $\mathbf{s}^{1}$ bo eth $\mid$ avrwr $]^{N}:>\mathcal{N}^{*}$ : $\eta \mu \omega \nu$ I.
 $\ldots \phi \nu \lambda \omega \nu] \sim \mathcal{N}^{1}$ bo $\left.\mid \phi \nu \lambda \omega \nu\right]$ pr $\left.\tau \omega \nu 046 \mid \kappa a \iota c \theta \nu \omega \nu\right]>1$ Tyc arm $^{1}$ : + "they shall look upon" bo $\mid$ то $\pi т \omega \mu a \ldots \eta \mu \nu \sigma v>\operatorname{Pr} \mid$ то ттшца. ANC 046. 21 (-35. 205. 2020. 2040). 250 alpm Or







Tyc arm¹. s. 8. a : та пт $\omega \mu$ ата 025. 1. 35. 205. 1957. 2015. 2019. 2020. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2040. 204I. 2067 gig vg s arm ${ }^{4}$ bo | кал $\eta \mu \iota \sigma v$ ANC 025.35. 429*. 432. 2015. 2023. 2036. $2040^{2}$ alp Or ${ }^{\text {Tyc gig vg sarm4 }: ~ \kappa \alpha \iota>046 . ~} 21$ ( -35.2040 ). 250. 2037.
 69 : "their bones" arm". 2. a | aфıovotv ANC 025. 1. 181. 2015. 2019. 2036. 2037. 2038 Tyc vg : aфךбоvбьv 046. 21 ( -2040 ).
 (A) $\kappa^{*} 025.046 .21(-205)$. 1. 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 al $^{\text {pm }} \mathrm{Or}^{4}$
 Pr vg sarm ${ }^{\text {s. }} 4$.

 Pr gig vg s arm ${ }^{4}$ bo $\mid \epsilon \pi$ avtouf] єv avtout 2015. 2020. 2036 exфpalvoytal ANC 025 . 1. 35*. 181. 205. 2015. 2019. 2036. 2037.
 21 ( $-35^{*} .205 .2040$ ). 69. 104. 110. 250. 314. 2067 Pr gig vg s

 2037*. 2038 Tyc arm ${ }^{2.8 .4}$ (sa ?) : $\delta \omega \sigma \omega v \sigma \iota v 046.21$ ( -35.205. 2040). 250. 2067 al $\left.^{\text {mu }} \mid a \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda o \iota \sigma\right] a \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda$ ova $\mathrm{C}_{517} 7 \mid$ ouroı $>\mathrm{s}^{1} \mid$
 коинтаб є $\pi \iota ~ \tau \eta \sigma ~ \gamma \eta \sigma]$ eos per plagas Tyc $\mid$.
11. tá треьт $\mathrm{AC} 046.21(-35.468$ 1849. 2020). 2037. 2067
 1957. 2015. 2018. 2019. 2020. 2023. 2038. 2041 s ${ }^{1}$ arm ${ }^{1.3 \text { 3.4.a }}$ bo: $>\mathrm{arm}^{2} \mid \eta \mu \epsilon \rho a \sigma$ каı $\left.\eta \mu \tau \sigma\right]$ $\eta \mu \epsilon \rho a \sigma$ after $\eta \mu \tau \sigma v 69.2015$. 2020. 2036. 2037. 2067 : каו $>69.2020:$ каו $\eta \mu \sigma v>$ arm $^{1.2}$ | $\eta \mu \omega \nu] \quad \eta \mu \sigma \sigma \nu \mathrm{AN}^{*}:$ то $\left.\eta \mu \sigma \nu \mathrm{C} \mid \zeta \omega \eta \sigma\right] \quad \zeta \omega \nu \mathrm{s}^{1} \mathrm{arm}^{3 .}$ a $:>$ bo

 «v autouv A 94. 2015**. 2019. 2036. 2037. 2040 Or ${ }^{5}: \varepsilon \nu>\mathrm{C}$ 025.1 .35 .104 .181 .2020 .2038 : єढб avtova ※ 046. 21 ( -35. 2020. 2040). 250. $2067 \mathrm{al}^{\text {mu }}$ Tyc Pr gig vg arm bo sa eth : $6 \pi$

 $\mathrm{s}^{1} \mid$ єтєтєбе $\mathrm{AC} 025.35 .3^{225} .337 .456 .468 .620 .63^{\text {*** }} .866$.
 K 046 . 21 ( -35.325 .337 .456 .468 . 620. 632**. 866. 920). 250.


 aนึтแิ้.





 35*
12. $>\operatorname{Pr} \mid \eta$ коucay ANC 025. 429** alp vg $\mathrm{s}: \eta$ ккоvaa 046. 21 (-2020). 250. 2037. 2038. $2067 \mathrm{al}^{\mathrm{pm}} \mathrm{Or}^{\mathrm{d}}$ Tyc gig arm bo



 2015. 2019. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2040. 2041. 2067 |avто6б] $>$ A 2015 Tyc gig : autova 2016* $\mid$ avaßate ANC 025. 325. 452 . 456. 506. 2019 Or $^{8}:$ avaß qre $^{2} 046.21$ ( -325 . 456. 620. 866). 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 alpl : avaßetre 620.866 : ascende Tyc: "rise ye up and come up" arm¹. 2 a | e $\theta$ cшp 2020 s $^{1}$ : "shall see" bo |avzova] "their going up" arm" ${ }^{1.2}$ or © $\chi^{\theta} \rho(u]$ pr жаvтer arm $^{1.2}$.
18. kat av ANC 025. 1. 35. 205. 250.2037 .2038 .2040 .2067


 2040). $250.2038 .2067 \mathrm{alpm} \mathrm{gig} \mathrm{arm}^{4}$ | čєvero] єбтal $\mathrm{arm}^{2}$ bo кац то] шоте то $\mathrm{C} \mid$ бекатоу] $\gamma^{\prime} 046$ bo : ठшбккатол 175. 2017 : + $\mu \epsilon \rho \sigma \sigma$ bo eth | entcev] eтєбav s": "was swallowed up" armi. 2. 8. a

 $a \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \% 九 \operatorname{arm} \mid$ o $\lambda о \iota \pi о \iota]+a \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \omega \nu \operatorname{arm}^{4}$ : "after that " arm1. 2. 8. a
 (sunt missi) $\mathrm{s}^{1}$ : in timorem sunt missi vg : "fear (+ great arm" ${ }^{1, a}$ ) was (shall be arm ${ }^{2}$ ) in all" arm". 2 s. a : "were astounded "arm"
 + et terrae $\operatorname{Pr}$ : $\tau \omega$ ev $\tau \omega$ oupave $\mathbf{s}^{1}$ : "heavenly" arm". 2. s. a 1 .


 218. 314. 2018 arm $^{8}$ eth : кal armi. 2. a eth | iov $\eta$ oval $\eta$ $\tau \rho e \tau \eta$




 Xpuotov aubrovi,






 $\mathbf{s}^{1}$ : tr. after raxv 205 .


 eth : $\phi \omega v \eta$ arma | ev тw oupavш] ex тov ovpavov arm ${ }^{1.8}$ bo eth | $\lambda$ eyovтеб A 046. 18.61. 69 82. 93. 1 io. 314. 325.336. 429.432.452.456. 506. 517. 522. 617. 620. 866. 919. 920. 1849. 1955. 2004. 202 I.
 919. 920. 1849. 2004). 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 alpl $\mid$ єүєvero $\eta$
 $\pi a \sigma a$ arm $^{12 .}$. $\mid$ rov кoo $\left.\mu 0 v\right]$ huius mundi gig vg : $>2015.2037 \mathrm{Pr}$ arm $^{14}$ | tov кupıo $\left.\eta \mu \omega v\right]$ pr каi 1934 : тоv $\theta$ cov $\eta \mu \omega \nu$ 201 5. 2020.
 tov Xpıatov avtov] I Iqбov Xplotov 205. 1934. 2015 (pr tov). 2036.
 336. 456. 51 7. 620. 866. 2015. 2018. 2036. 2037* : regnavit gig


 250. 386. 617. 920. 1849. 1934. 2020. 2037. 2038. 2067 al ${ }^{\text {mu }}$ Or ${ }^{8}$ : к $\delta^{\prime}$ 046. 21 (-18. 175. 205. 386. 617. 920. 1849. 1934.
 025.21 ( $-35^{*}$. 205. 2040). 250. 2037. 2067 alpl $^{\text {pl }} \mathrm{Or}^{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{s}$ arm ${ }^{\text {1. 2. } 4.4}$ bo : qui in conspectu dei sedent $\operatorname{Pr}$ (gig) vg : ot $>$ A 046. 1. $35^{*}$. 61. 69. 104. 181. 205. 209. 2038. 2040 Cyp arm ${ }^{8} \mid$ tov $\theta$ eov ANC 025. 1. 35. 205. 1957. 2015. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2040. 2041.

 632**. 1957. 2019. 2020. 2037. 2038. 2067 alp ${ }^{10}$ Pr gig vg Cyp (s) arm bo eth : pr ol 61. 69. $205 \mathrm{Or}^{\prime}$ : каөүvral $\boldsymbol{x}^{c} \mathrm{C} 82.2040$ : o


 104. 110. 337.429. 620. 866. 2016. $2023^{*}$. $2067 \mathrm{al}^{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{Or}^{+1}$ : єтебоv YOL. 11.-20


 кai ¿ßarideváas.




g. тoìs $\mu$ ккроìs кai тoùs $\mu<\gamma^{\text {ádovs, }}$


f. кaì тoís фоßorpévos tò òvoцá бov.
046. 21 (-337. 620. 866). 250. 2037. 2038. (2040) $\mathrm{al}^{\mathrm{mu}}: \mathrm{pr}$ кац

 edeyov arma $^{2}$ a.
 2036. $\left.2037 \mathrm{gig}^{\mathrm{gg}} \mathrm{arm}^{2} \mid \mathrm{o}^{2}\right] \mathrm{Nc}^{\mathrm{c}}:>\mathrm{N}^{*} \mid \omega \nu \ldots \mathrm{Hv} \sim$ gig eth $\mid$ - $\boldsymbol{\eta} \nu]+\kappa \alpha$, о єрхонєvоб 051 1. 35. 1957. 2015. 2019. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2040. 2041 alp (bo) |отє] pr кає $\kappa^{*} \mathrm{C}:$ кац $\mathrm{arm}^{\alpha}:$ об


 $>\mathrm{A} \mathrm{arm}^{2}$ : quique exterminandi sunt Pr : et conrumpantur fi :

 250. 468**. 1957. 2018. 2023. 204 I Pr gig fl vg Cyp s: $\boldsymbol{\phi} \boldsymbol{\theta} \mathrm{ct}-$ povzaб 025. 1. 2038. 2067 | каL $\rho \circ \sigma]$ к $\lambda \eta \rho \sigma \sigma \mathrm{C}:+\tau \eta \sigma \kappa \rho \iota \sigma \epsilon \omega \sigma$ bo | т $\omega v$ vexpuv] т $\omega v$ etviv 617. 920. 2020: tovg vexpova bo $\mid$



 to explain the variation of tenses as due to the dislocation of lines $h$ and $g$. Thus $\mathrm{An}^{*} \mathrm{C}$ preserve the acc. (rove puxpover in apposition to the subject of $\kappa p(\theta \eta v a l)$ even after the transposition of the line after кає row $\phi 0 \beta$. oov. Next comes the corrector's stage: the acc. is changed into a dat. to agree with roto $\phi 0 \beta$. Possibly the original order was $a, b, k, g, c, d, e, f$. The tova
 case the order in the MSS is wrong. | $\delta$ ouvai]+avtour bo $\mid$ kal
 tovg ayıove кal tovg фоßovpevove A (a correction ?) : toor ayour каı $>05 \mathrm{I}$ : кat $>$ 35*. 205. 2015. 2019. 2036. 2037. 2038 alp




 250. 1957. 2015. 2018. 2019. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2040. 2067
 61. 69. 172. 250.2018 . $2020.2040 \mathrm{Or}^{\mathrm{r}}$ gig flamm bo eth : $0>\boldsymbol{R}$ 025.046 .21 ( -2020.2040 ). 2037. 2038. 2067 alpl Tyc vg $s$

 2019. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2040 alp gig vg sarmi. 2. 3. 4 : tov кขplov




 498. 2015 . 2019.2020 .2036 .2037 gig fis ( $\mathrm{arm}^{4}$ ) bo : кal фurae
 35*. 205. 2015. 2019. 2020. 2023. 2036. 2040. 2067 alp Or Tyc
 250. 424. 2018. 2037. $203^{8}$ arm $^{1}$ bo sa $:>046.21$ (-35*. 205.


## Chapter XII.




 $\mid \eta \sigma \epsilon \lambda \eta \nu \eta] \kappa^{c}: \tau \eta \nu \sigma \epsilon \lambda \eta \nu \eta \nu K^{*}: \eta>1.175 .498:+\eta{ }^{*} 2020$
 סeкa סvo 1. 181. 2037. 2067 : $\boldsymbol{\beta}^{\prime}$ 35. 337. 2015. 2017 alp : xxii. gig .
2. ev yaotpl exoura] erat praegnans ventre $\operatorname{Pr}:$ in utero habebat fl: "she was with child" arm". 2. s. \& | кou кpabec K 2040 $\mathbf{v g}^{\text {c. } 8: ~ к а ı ~}>$ A 025 . 1. 35. 2015. 2019. 2036. 2037. 2038 : кан
 110. 172. 250. 2016. 2067 alma $\mathrm{Or}^{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{vg}^{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{s}^{8}$ : expasev 046.1849 alp arm4 : expaxev 35 ? : кat expakev gig armi. 2 a :"who cried out"

 many pains she was nigh" arm ${ }^{1} \mid$ reкav] pr rov $468^{* *} .2040$ /.





 катафáyp.

 Tòv $\theta$ póvov uủrov̂.
3. $\mu$ еүаб тир(p)об A 025.051. 1. 35. 172. 205. 1957. 2015. 2019. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2040. 2041 alp Tyc vg si sa eth : ~NC 046. 21 (-35. 205. 2040). 250. 2067 almu $_{\text {mu }} \mathrm{Or}^{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{Pr} \operatorname{gig}$ fi s ${ }^{2}$ armi. 2. 8. a (bo) $\mid \mu \in \gamma a \sigma]$ + "exceedingly" arm" : "it is very great" bo | тирроб AN 025. 05 1. 21 ( -18.205 .337 .386 .617. 919. 920. 1849. 2004. 2040). 2037. 2038. $2067 \mathrm{al}^{\text {mu }} \mathrm{Or}^{s}$ : rufus Tyc $\operatorname{Pr}$ gig fivg eth : пироб C 046. 1. 18. 205. 250. 337. 386. 617. 919. 920. 1849. 2040 s : "fiery" armi.2. 3. a : "of colour of

 А $172 \mid$ єпт $a^{2}>$ 1. 181. 2038 |.
4. $\eta$ oupa autou] $>$ gig : avrov $>\mathrm{I} \mid$ ovpet] trahebat $\operatorname{Tyc} \operatorname{Pr}$


 rexetv] > bo eth : "who wished to bear" arm". 2. 3 sa $\mid$ тeкect $]$

 natum $\operatorname{Pr} \mathrm{fl} \mid$ avt $\eta \sigma>$ bo $\mid$ катафаү $\eta]+$ avto $(\nu)$ arm $^{9}:+\operatorname{av\tau o}(\nu)$
 ठракшу катафаүך аขто bo : єva отау тєкך катафаүך то тєкуоу аутךб eth $\mid$.


 shepherd" arm ${ }^{1.2 .3}$ : recturus est Pr gig fi vg | $\pi$ avia ita
 046. 21 ( $-35^{*}$. 205. 2040). 250. alpl $\mathrm{Or}^{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{Pr}$ gig fl vg s (bo) : av>025. 051. 1. 35*. 18r. 205. 498. 2015. 2037. 2038. 2040. $2067\left|\kappa a l^{2}>\operatorname{Pr}\right| \eta \rho \pi a \sigma \theta \eta$ AC 025.21 ( -386.
 046 : ทртаүך К 60. 24 I. 385 . 386. 432 1957. 2016. 2023.2040.
 2037. 2038 alp .




ó Mıxaì入 mai ol äryclou aùroû rov̂ rodenท̂бal $\mu$ erà roû Spákovtos,



 place (a place for her arm ${ }^{2 . a}$ ) prepared of God" arm ${ }^{1.2 .8}$ : "which hath there a place prepared of God" arm" : кau ( $>$ bo)
 $\mathrm{vg}^{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{s} \mid$ enel ${ }^{1} \mathrm{AN} 025$. 046 . 21 ( -2020 ). 250. 2067. alpm $\mathrm{Or}^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{s}^{1}$ arm. 4 : tr after тотог 205. 241. 632** gig : >C 051. 1. 69. 2019.

 2023. 2037". 2038. 2040. 2041 : a a $>205$ : vio тov $\theta$ eov 046. 21 ( $-35.205 .468^{*} .620 .866 .2040$ ). 250. 2037**. 2067 al $^{\text {mu }} \mathrm{Or}^{\mathrm{s}}$







 two hundred and ninety" bo $\mid$.
 025. 35. 432. 1957. 2019. 2023. 2040. 2041 alp $:>\mathrm{Or}^{\mathrm{r}}:$ tov $>$ K 046. 21 ( -35.2040 ). t. 69. 104. íо. 250. 314. 385. 429. 2015. 2016. 2017. 2037. 2038. 2067 al $1^{120} \mathrm{~s}^{2}:$ ut pugnarent Pr


 סevtcou $\mathbf{s}^{1}$.
 1849 (2020). 2040). 250 al ${ }^{\text {nin ( }}$ (bo) eth : $\tau \sigma \chi v \sigma a v(+\pi p o \sigma$ aurov K) ※C 025 1. 35. 205. 337. 1849. 2015. 2019. (2020). 2036. 2037. 2038. 2040. 2067 al $^{\text {ma }} \mathrm{Or} \mathrm{r}^{+}$Tyc Pr gig fivg s (arm) : coxvov 046. 69 :+
 2067 alma $^{\text {man }}$ : oute 025. x. 35. 205. 2019. 2037. 2038 alp $\mathrm{Or}^{\text {d }}$ |
 autav AC 025.046 . I. 69. 2020. (2040) al mi Or' gig vg : $\sim 2015$.


ó ка入оúnevos $\Delta ı a ́ \beta o \lambda o s ~ к a i ̀ ~ o ́ ~ X a r a v a ̂ s, ~$





 aủroù,

 vuктós.
11. кaì aúroì tvíкךбà̀ aủròv Stà rò aípa rov̂ ảpvíov,



2019 s $^{1}$ arm : єvpe $\boldsymbol{\eta}_{\eta}$ autw 21 ( -35 . 1849*. 2020. 2040). 104.
 $\operatorname{Pr} \mid$ eтı $>\boldsymbol{N}^{\text {c. ' }}$ 104. 2015.2036 s arm $\mid$.


 $2067 \mathrm{alp}^{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{Or}^{4} \mathrm{~s}$ : каи $>\mathbb{K}$ bo: $0>046$. 21 ( $-35^{*} .2040$ ). 250. 3037 al $^{\text {pm }} \mid$ o $\pi \lambda a \nu \omega \nu \ldots \gamma \eta \nu>$ Tyc ${ }^{2}$ o $\left.\pi \lambda \alpha \nu \omega \nu\right]$ qui seducebat $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{bo})$ eth : qui seducet $\mathrm{fl}\left|\varepsilon \beta \lambda \eta \theta_{\eta}\right| \mathrm{pr}$ каі gig $\mathrm{fl} \mathrm{s}^{1 .(2)}$ : ка.
 506. 2015. 2036. 2037 : $\mu$ er autav > 051. 1. $35^{*}$. $2038: \epsilon \beta \lambda \eta$ -

10. $\eta к о \cup \sigma a]$ $\eta \kappa о v \sigma a \nu ~ 2037.2040 \mid \phi \omega \nu \eta \nu \mu \varepsilon \gamma a \lambda \eta \nu$. . . $\lambda є \gamma о ч-$ $\sigma a \nu]$ ф $\omega \nu \eta \sigma$ $\mu с \gamma а \lambda \eta \sigma \ldots \lambda \epsilon \sigma \sigma \eta \sigma$. $2067\left|\mu \epsilon \gamma a \lambda \eta \nu>\operatorname{arm}^{4}\right|$ ev tw ovpava] eк tov ovpavov 205. 2040 Tyc Pr gig siarm. $\mathrm{s}^{1}$ e: after


 : exclusus est Tyc : "hath fallen" arm | кarnүшр A : кarךүороб NC 025. 046. 21. 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 al $^{\text {pl }} \mathrm{Or}^{\text {s }}$ : "t the betrayer" $\operatorname{arm}^{4} \mid$ т $\omega \nu$ a $\delta \in \lambda \phi \omega \nu \eta \mu \omega \nu>s^{1} \mid$ o кат $\eta \gamma o \rho \omega \nu$ ] qui accusabat $\operatorname{Pr}$ vg si : "who was betraying" arm" avtove A 025. 1. 205. 2015. 2019. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2067 : avtuv NC 046.21 (-205). 250.
 $\eta \mu \omega \nu^{3}>$ I. 61. 69. 522. 2015. 2036. 2037. 2039. 2067 armi. 2. a bo eth .
 superatus est $\operatorname{Pr} \mid$ avtov] ab ipsis $\operatorname{Pr}:>\mathbf{s}^{1} \mid$ סıa то aıpa] סıa тоv


12. Sià тoûto củфpaiveofe oi oủpavoì kaì oì dv aủroîs $\sigma \kappa \eta \nu o u ̂ v \tau e s '$







 C : $\tau \omega \nu \mu$ аргvpt $\omega v$ arm $^{4} \mid$ avt $\left.\omega \nu\right]$ avtov 172. 205. 241. 632.2022

 620. 632*. 866 .
18. 8ta] pr кal 205 eth | ot ovpavot A 051 I. I. 35. 241. 429**. 632. 1957. 2015. 2019. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2040. 2067 alp ${ }^{\text {D }}$ Or' armi. a bo eth : ot $>N \mathrm{NC}$ 025. 046 . 21 ( -35.632 . 2040). $250 \mathrm{al}^{\mathrm{ma}} \mathrm{s}$ : o ovpavoo $\mathrm{arm}^{2.3}$ : ovpavoo $\mathrm{arm}^{4} \mid$ बv avtous $\sigma к \eta \nu o v v \tau e \sigma$
 катонкоиттє єv аuтон N (Pr fi vg bo sa eth): "all (>arm ${ }^{3}$ : 'ye' arm') who are dwelling ('the dwellers' arm ${ }^{8}$ ) in them ('it' arms. 4. a)" arm : єv autow кaroıкоиvтé 385. 429. 506. 522.


 gl ( $-35^{*}$. 2040). 250. 2067 $\mathrm{al}^{\text {pm }}$ Or' : vae terrae et mari gig fl vg s arm bo eth : vae vobis (tibi Tyc) terra et mare Tyc $\operatorname{Pr} \mid \kappa a \tau \varepsilon \beta \eta$ ]


 Qupov 2067 : єxur $\theta u \mu o \nu \mu c \gamma a 2020$ : cum ira ingenti $\operatorname{Pr}$.


 aрбєvav A : appeva 81 (-35. 2040). 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 alpl apeva 046. 2015 : viov $\mathrm{s}^{2}$ : "child" arm ${ }^{1}$ : "male" arm" : " male child" arme. 8. a : tov viov (rov) appeva 506. 680 bo sa eth $\mid$.
14. \&ठot ठvo птє 517*. 2015. 2019. 2036. 2038. 2040. 2067 ( $\mathrm{s}^{2}$ ) : > $\mathrm{arm}^{1.2 .8}$ : al>
 + (ac) $\mu$ cүalac bo | тоv actov) tov $>\mathbb{N}$ arm bo: pr wo Pr bo | rov


 I. 35*. 201. 314. 386. 2015. 2019. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2040 alp

 тотанофо́p



 ті̀v $\mu$ артирі́av 'I $\eta \sigma o$ î.

 отшб т $\rho \in \phi \eta$ тац 046.21 ( $-35^{*}$. 386. 2040). 250. 2067 al $^{\text {ma }} \mathbf{s}^{1}$





 bo sa $\mid$ vбwp $]>385.429 .522$ : "venom" arm $^{2} \mid \omega \sigma$ тота $\mu$ оv]

 046.21 ( -35.205 ). 250. 2037 alpm $^{\text {pm }} \mathrm{Or}^{8} \operatorname{Pr}$ gig vg s : тavtทr 025.
 форทrov поипбє 104. 2019. 2038 : perderet Pr : faceret trahi a flumine vg: faceret ictu fluminis trahi ad se gig $\mid$.
 ... $\eta \gamma \eta>337 \mid \eta \gamma \eta^{2}>141.250 .424 .452 .2018 .2019 .2021$


 av\& кац $\eta$ voukev . . . aveda $\beta$ ev) : "poured out" armi. 2. \& a $\mid$ eк tov отора тоб avтоv] "upon the woman" arm ${ }^{4}$ : отибш тךб $\gamma v v a \iota \kappa \sigma$ bo : > arme |.
 046. 104. 2019. 2038 : iratus $\operatorname{Pr} \mid$ o $\delta_{\rho a \kappa \omega \nu}>\operatorname{Pr}|\epsilon \pi \iota>C \operatorname{Pr}|$










## Chapter XIII．

 ẌXov кépata déка каi кефа入às énтá，（a）




 кaii tòv $\theta \rho o ́ v o v ~ a u ̉ r o u ̂ ~ к a i ~ e ́ c ̧ o v o i ́ a v ~ \mu c \gamma a ́ \lambda \eta v . ~$



（a）MSS add a gloss to prepare the way for xvii．12：cal tml ras кeparow



 429．522．2016． 2017 ｜кєрата бєка каі кєфа入аб єтта］кєрата
 кєрата סека $\sim 468$｜таб кєфалаб］т $\eta \nu$ кефа入 $\eta \nu$ arm｜avтov｜ avtav（ 025 ）｜огоцата A 046． 21 （ -2040 ）al ${ }^{\text {pm }} \mathrm{Or}^{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{vg} \mathrm{s}^{2}$ ：ovopa KC 025．1．2015．2036．2037．2038．2067 Pr gig sl arm bo eth $\mid$


2．to Onpıor］bestiam Pr gig ：bestia vg｜ $\boldsymbol{\eta v}$ omowv］$\sim 172$. 2015． 2018 Tyc $\operatorname{Pr} v g \mid \eta \nu>$ 1．181．209．2038．2067 ${ }^{\text {com }}$ gig arm $^{1 .}$ a $\mid$ avtov ${ }^{1}>$ Tyc $\left.\mid \omega \sigma^{1}\right]$ similes gig｜apкou ANC 025．046．21 （ -35.632 .2040 ）．1．250．2037＊． $203^{8}$ almin $\mathrm{Or}^{\text { }}$ ：apктоv 35．61． $17^{* *}$ ． $201^{* *}$ ． 241 ． $3^{885} .4^{29} 9^{* *}$ ． $5^{22}$ ．632．1957．2037＊＊． 2039. 2040．2041． 2067 alp $\mid \sigma$ то $\mu^{2}>2020$ s $^{1}$ arm $^{1.2}$ a a $\mid \lambda$ есотоб AC 025．046．250．2037．2038． 2067 alpl Tyc Pr gig vg arm bo sa




 unum caput Pr ：$\pi \lambda \eta \gamma \eta \nu$（？）bo $\mid \kappa \kappa]>046$ ．1．205．2019． 2037. 2038． 2067 ：ant bo｜autov］enta $\operatorname{Pr} \mid \omega \sigma$ ANC 025．1．35＊． 205. 385．2015．2019．2020．2036．2037． 2038 aly $\mathrm{Or}^{~}$ ：wret 046.


##   <br>  <br>  


(a) On the restoration of $5^{\text {b }}$ to its original place before 7, see vol. ii., English transl., footnote in loc.
 arm $^{1}$ : $\sigma \phi$ ауך bo: occisum fuerit $\mathrm{Pr} \mid$ avtou $\left.^{2}\right]>046$. 205 : tov
 2019. 2036. 2037. 2067 gig (s) : є $\theta a v \mu a \sigma t \omega \theta \eta$ C : є $6 a \nu \mu a \sigma \epsilon v ~ к ~$ 025. 046. 21. 250 al ${ }^{\text {pl }} \mathrm{Or}^{8}$ bo: admiratae sunt $\left.\operatorname{Pr} \mid o \lambda \eta \eta \eta \eta\right]$ ev o $\lambda \eta \tau \eta \gamma \eta 05 \mathrm{I}$. 1. 181. 2015. 2019. 2036. 2067 : in terra gig :


 See vol. i. 337, $35^{\text {r }}$.
4. тш 8 ракоить . . . пробекиипбаи ${ }^{2}>$ I. 385. arm $^{2}$ bo
 ANC 025. 172. 181. 205. 209. 250. 424. 2015 (ore). 2018. 2019. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2040. 2067 Or ${ }^{4}$ Tyc Pr vg s arm ${ }^{4}:+0$
 61. 69 : qui dedit gig arme a $\mid \tau \eta \nu$ çovacav] $\tau \eta \nu>\mathrm{Or}^{\text {² }}:$ +avтov $\operatorname{arm}^{2 . s}{ }^{4}$ : omnem potestatem suam $\left.\operatorname{Pr} \mid \tau \omega \theta \eta \rho i \omega^{1}\right] \tau \omega$ A $\eta p i o v$



 illae bestae $\mathrm{Pr} \mid$ kal $^{3}$ AnC 025. 046. 1. 35. 60. 61. 69. 172. 181. 205. 24 I. 250. $43^{22}$. 452*. 632**. 1957. 2015. 2018. 2019. 2020. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2040. 2041. 2067 Or ${ }^{\text {² }} \mathrm{Pr}$ gig vg s bo eth : $\eta$ Tyc arm : >21 (-35. 205. 632**. 2020. 2040). 104. 110. 314 . 385. 2016 alp $\mid$ סvvatai ANC 025 . 1. $35^{*}$. 172. 205. 250.498. 920. 2015. 2018. 2019. 2020. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2040. 2067 : © svaror 046. 21 ( $-35^{*}$. 205. 920. 2020 2040) alpun $\mathrm{Or}^{\mathrm{A}} \mid$.



 A172.181. 241. 250. 424. 632**. 2015. 2018. 2036. 2037. 2067 :






Tous

 aỉrou's,
 $\gamma^{1} \omega \bar{\omega} \sigma a \nu$ кai tevos.



6. $\mathrm{Kal}^{1}$ ] deinde $\mathrm{Tyc} \mid$ ro ${ }^{1}>$ 175. 337. $617 . \quad 1849 \mid$ ea $\sigma$ $\beta \lambda a \sigma \phi \eta \mu \iota a \sigma$ ANC 1. 94. 172. 250. 424. 2018.2019. 2040 vg : eur $\beta \lambda a \sigma \phi \eta \mu a \nu 1025.046 .21$ (-2040). 2037. 2038. $2067 \mathrm{alp}^{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{Or}^{3}$



 $63^{* * *}$. 2020. 2037. 2038. $2067 \mathrm{alm}^{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{vg}$ bo $\mathrm{arm}^{4}$ : тove $\varepsilon \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\tau}$. ovp.



 $\mathrm{arm}^{88}:>\mathrm{arm}^{1.2}$.
 AC 025. 1. 94. 18I. 632**. 2015. 2019. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2040.




 ANC : тeббаракоута 025.21 ( -35 ). 250. 2037. $2067 \mathrm{alpl}^{\mathrm{pl}} \mathrm{Or}$
 (-35). 250. 2037. $2067 \mathrm{alpr} \mathrm{ar}^{\mathrm{Pr}} \mathrm{Pr} \mathrm{vg}: \mu \beta^{\prime}$ 046. 35. 2015.2019. 2036. 2038 alp : (kai) $\delta v o>$ arm $^{2}$.





 I. 35. 1957. 2019. 2023. $2038.2067 \mathrm{Tyc}^{2} \mathrm{arm}^{1.2} \mathrm{bo} \mid$.
 AC 046. 21 (-35. 205. 468. 2020. 2040). 250. $2037 \mathrm{al}^{\mathrm{ma}} \mathrm{Or}$ :




＜ubros＞


autw स 025.051 .1 .35 .104 .172 .205 .468 .1957 .2020 .2023.


 36． $617.632^{* *}$ ．919．1934．2020．2037．2038．2040． 2067 alpl $^{\text {pl }} \mathrm{Or}^{*}$ Tyc gig vg s ${ }^{2}$ arm bo eth ：$\omega v$ ovte 046． 21 （ -35 ．175．205． 386. 617．632＊＊．919．1934．2020．2040）． $3^{85}$ alp ：wourt inol
 250．2038． 2067 alpl $\mathrm{Or}^{1}$ Tyc $\operatorname{Pr} \mathrm{s}^{2}$ bo ：та оуоцата $N 025.1$. 35＊．2015．2036．2037． 2040 gig vg arm eth $:>\mathbf{s}^{1} \mid$ avtov AC ： autul N＊ $2040 \mathrm{~s}^{2} \mathrm{arm}:>$ N $^{\mathrm{c}} 025$ ．046． 21 （ -2040 ）．250．2037．
 $\tau \omega>C: \beta_{l} \beta \lambda_{\omega} \alpha^{*}: \tau \omega \beta_{\iota} \beta \lambda_{\omega} \kappa^{\mathrm{c}}: \tau \eta \beta_{\ell} \beta \lambda_{\omega}$ I alp $\left.\mid \zeta \omega \eta \sigma\right]+\tau \omega$
 $\mathrm{s}^{1}$ ：pr rou Pr eth ．
 armi．e ${ }^{10}$ ov ］wra $s$ Pr arm ：＋audiendi arm bo eth $\mid$ ．


 wolav 1．61．69．241．632＊＊．2017．2037：exє alxuadeotav 05 I． 21 （－35＊．205．632 ${ }^{\text {＊⿻中一中．}}$ 2020．2040）．I10．201．314．385． 498.


 314．385．498．522．1955．1957．2015．2016．2017．2019． 2036. 2037．2038．2041． 2067 arm bo｜vाayki］ovvayet 1． 2037 ：vadet

 this Hebrew idiom see vol．i． 355 sq．：amoктave $\times 63^{2}$ 中中． 2015 ． 2036 （gig si．2）：amoктauve 051 ：amoктevvet 250 ．2018． 2040 Orr$^{5}$ ： атоктєге C 025.046 ．1．35．104．172．205．506．620．866．1957． 2019．2020．2023．2037．2041． 2067 alp $^{\text {arm }}$ bo eth ：occiderit $\operatorname{Pr} \mathrm{vg}:>91$（ $-35.205 .620 .632^{*}$ 米．866．2020．2040）．69． 82. 110．314．385．429．2016．2017． 2038 alp $^{\text {arm }}{ }^{3}$ avtov］pr סei NC
 H 35．205．620．866． $2040 \mathrm{~s} \mathrm{arm}{ }^{4}$ bo $:>81(-35.205 .620$. 866．204c）．69．82．110．241．314．385．429．2016． 2017 alp｜




 aìtov̀,







 avaßauruv 104 | $\delta \mathrm{vo}$ ] tr after apvew $2020: \delta_{\text {ecka }} \delta_{\text {vo }} 18 \mathrm{II}:>91$ (-18. 35. 468. 620. 866. 2020. 2040). 42. 82. 110. 314. 385.

 corruption of the Hebrew source here, see vol. i. 358 sq. : גadac gig: $\lambda a \lambda o v v$ bo | $\delta \rho a k \omega \nu]$ pro arm |.



 2036. 2037. 2038. 2040 al ${ }^{\text {ma }}$ Tyc gig : тönбet 172. 250.424.
 314. 385. 2016. 2023. 2067 al $\mathrm{Or}^{2} \mathrm{~s}^{2}:$ fecit vg arm bo : $\epsilon \pi \mathrm{ct} \operatorname{Pr}$
 коиvтаб] $\operatorname{tr}$ ev avtך after катокооvтaб C 61. 69 gig vg eth : inhabitantes terram Pr : eos qui in ea sunt $\mathrm{Tyc} \mid$ «va тpoorvvr-

 $\mathrm{Or}^{*}$ : ut adorent Tyc gig arm : ut adorarent $\operatorname{Pr}: \pi \operatorname{rpogкvity} \mathrm{K}$ :
 452. 468. 2018. 202 I. 2040 : bestiam priorem Tyc (Pr) $\mid$ tov甘avatou $>\mathrm{A} \mid$ avrov $^{2}>025.61 .69 .632^{*} \mathrm{Pr} \operatorname{vg} \mid$.

 2018 | 七иа каи тир АкС 025 . $1.172 .250 .63^{* * *} .2018 .2020$. 2038. 2040. $2067 \mathrm{alp}^{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{gig} \mathrm{vg} \mathrm{s}^{2}$ : ita ut ignem $\mathrm{Pr}:$ : кal $>205 \mathrm{Tyc}$












2018 : discendentem Pr) AC 172. 250. 424. 2015. 2018.2020. 2036. 2037. 2067 Tyc Pr vg arm ${ }^{1.9 .8 . ~ a ~: ~ d e ~ c e l o ~ f a c e r e t ~}$
 K 025 . 1. 2038.2040 alp s arm ${ }^{4}$ bo eth : $\boldsymbol{\kappa}$ tov ovpavov кara $\beta a v \eta$ (-vet 104. 314. 429. 522. 2019) 046. 20 ( -205.2020 .2040 ). 104. 314. 429. 522. $2019 \mathrm{a}^{1 m a}$ Or' : катаßаıข ек тоv oupavov 205 |
 eтı т $7 \boldsymbol{\eta} \gamma \eta \nu$ 046. 20 ( -35.205 .2040 ). 61. 82. 104. 110. 314. 385.
 Pr arm ${ }^{4}$.
14. $\pi \lambda a v a]$ $\pi \lambda a r \eta \sigma a s$ bo : seduxit $\operatorname{Pr}: \pi \lambda a v a y a r m^{1} \mid$ rova катоиоиита. ANC 025. 046. 205. 468**. 620. 632**) 920. 2020. 2040 Or' Pr gig vg sarm bo eth : pr tove cuove 05 I . 20 ( -205. 468**. 620. 632**. 920. (1849). 2020. 2040). 82. 110. 3 14. $3^{85}$. 429. 2016. 2017. 2023 al $\mid \epsilon \pi \iota \tau \eta \sigma \gamma \eta \sigma]$ terram $\operatorname{Pr} \operatorname{vg}: \tau \eta \sigma \gamma \eta \sigma$


 acovar A 2038|oo AC 025. 046. 61. 172. 218. 250. 424. 2015. 2018. 2019. 2036. 2038 Pr gig : o x 20. 1. 2037. 2067 alpl $^{\text {pl }} \mathrm{vg} \mathrm{s}^{1}$ : $\omega \sigma$ Or $\mid$ exel ANC 025. 1. 250. 2020. 2038 al ${ }^{\text {mn }}$ Pr gig vg $\mathbf{s}^{1}$ $\mathrm{arm}^{4}$ bo : exce 046. $20(-2020)$. 2037. $2067 \mathrm{al}^{\mathrm{mu}} \mathrm{Or}^{+} \mathrm{s}^{2} \mid \tau \eta v$ $\pi \lambda_{7} \boldsymbol{m}_{\nu} \mathrm{AC} 025$. 35. 205. 250. 620. 632**. 2020. 2037. 2038. 2040. 2067 alpl $^{\text {pl }} \mathrm{Or}^{4}: \pi \lambda \eta \eta{ }^{\boldsymbol{n}} \mathrm{K}: ~ \pi \eta \nu>046.20$ ( -35.205. 620. 632**. 2020. 2040). 42. 61. 69. 82. 110. 141. 201 . 314.385 .429 .452 .498 .506 .517 .522 .1955 .2016 .2017.
 arm ${ }^{1.2 .9}$ ) ANC 025 . 35. 61. 69. 250. 632**. 2020. 2037. 2038.
 $\pi \lambda \eta \eta \sigma$ 336. 620. г918) $т \eta \sigma$ махаıраб 046.20 (-35. 205.

 $20 \mathrm{a}^{\left[{ }^{p} \mid\right.} \mid$.
15. auty $\mathrm{AC} 025^{*}$. (The feminine may be due to the gender of the Hebrew word nH ; but the late emendation in x $025^{* *} .046$ must be adopted) : autw $\mathrm{K} 025^{\text {**中. }}$. 046. 20. 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 alpl $^{\text {pl }} \mid$ סowvai $>C$ arm $^{\text {s }} \mid$ סovvat $\pi$ rev $\mu$ As 025 . I. (35). 205. 2037. 2038. 2040. $2067 \mathrm{al}^{\mathrm{mu}} \mathrm{Pr}$ gig vg $\mathrm{s}: \sim 046$. 20 ( $-(35$ ).



 >C 69. 336. 468*. 61 I. 620*. $2015.203^{6} \mathrm{~s}^{1 .}$ (2) arm4 bo (but not sa eth) [ w火a кac] $\sim \operatorname{Prarm}^{2}$ a : кau > 104. 205. 2020. 2037. 2040



 Introd. ; Grant. § 10. i. (b)) A 025. 046. 20 ( -468.617 .620.
 250.468. 522. 617.620. 2004. 2019. 2036. 2038. $2040 \mathrm{~s}:$ етоиє $\mathrm{arm}^{8}$ eth $\mid$ ıva A 025. 104. 506. 2019. 2037. 2040 Pr gig vg sarm: $>$ K 046. 80 (-2040). 61. 69. 172. 201. 250. 314. 385. 498. 522. 1955. 201 5. 2016. 2018. 2036. 2038. 2067 alp $\mathrm{Or}^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{vgh}^{4} \mathrm{E} \mid$

 א $051.69 .104^{*} .452 .2016 .2019 .203^{8}$ arm $^{1.2}$. a : adoraverunt Tyc : adoraret Pr : adoraverit gig vg : adorabant arm $^{8} \mid \boldsymbol{\tau \eta \nu}$


 + neque acceperunt inscriptionem in fronte aut in manu sua
 occidatur $\mathrm{vg} \mathrm{g} . \mathrm{d}: \mathrm{I} \mathrm{F}$.



 1918. 2019 eth | каи toug eोev $\theta$ epova] >205. 2038 : каl tove бебтотаб $\mathrm{s}^{1} \mid \iota \nu a>$ bo $\mid \delta \omega \sigma \iota v\left(\delta \omega \sigma \iota \kappa^{c}\right)$ ANC 025. 046. 35.42. 60. 61. 69. 172. 181. 250 . 314. 432. 468. 1957. 2018. 2019.2020. 2023. 2037. 2038. 2041 al Or ${ }^{3}$ : $\delta \omega \sigma$ ct 1 bo : $\delta \omega \sigma \eta 051$ arm : $\delta \omega \sigma \omega \sigma t \nu$ 82. 104. 175. 205. 336. 429. 498. 522. 617. 620. 919. 920. 1849. 1955. 2004. 201 5. 201 7. 2036 alp ${ }^{\text {P }}: \delta \omega \sigma \sigma v \sigma t v$ 18. 91. 94. 14 I. 201. 209. $325^{\text {***. 337. 385. 386. 456. 632. 1934. } 2016 . ~}$ 2067 al : $\delta 00 \eta \mathrm{~s}$ : $\lambda a \beta \omega \sigma c$ 506. 2040 : habere $\operatorname{Pr} \operatorname{vg} \mid$ avtorf] $\boldsymbol{x}^{\circ}$
 1. 172. 205. 241. 250 . 498. 522. 632**. 2015. 2018. 2019.2020.


 $\delta \in \xi \cdot \omega \nu$ s $\mid \pi \sigma^{1}>80(-35.205$. 2040). 42. 82. 110. 201. 314.385. 429.498. 517. 522. 1955. 2015 2016. 2017 al $\mid \eta$ ] каı 181. 2020.





 920．2020）． $250 \mathrm{al}^{\mathrm{mu}}$ Or ${ }^{\text {s }}$ Tyc arm＂（bo）eth ：pr avto 2020： тоv $\mu е т ш \pi о \nu ~ С ~: ~ т \omega v ~ \mu е т \omega \pi \omega \nu ~ 046 . ~ 051 . ~ 1 . ~ 35 . ~ 205 . ~ 468 * * . ~$ 920．2015．2037．2038． 2067 Pr gig vg armi．2．2． 4 ｜avtuv ${ }^{2}>$ $2015 \operatorname{Pr}$ ．

17．ка1 $\mathrm{AN}^{\mathrm{l}} 025$ ． 046 ．20．250．2038． 2067 alpl $\mathrm{Or}^{\text {r }}$ gig vg

 ANC 18．35．110．141．172．205．241．250．385．429． 432 ． 468. 632．1849．1955．1957．2004．2018．2019．2020．2023． $2040 \mathrm{al}^{\text {mu }}$ Oral lyc Pr gig vg se ：סvvatal 02 5．046．051． 20 （ -18.35 .205. 468．632．1849．2004．2020．2040）．1．6I．69．104．201．314． 2015．2016．2017．2024．2036．2037．2038． 2067 alp arm ${ }^{1.2}$ ：



 69．104．172．201．250．314．385．498．522．1955．1957． 2015. 2016．2018．2023．2036．204 I． 2067 al Or ${ }^{4} \mathrm{vg}^{\text {g }}$ ：тоv оуоцатоб тои
 2019）то ovopa avtov $\times 2019.2020$ bo ：pr $\eta$ Tyc gig arm ${ }^{4}$ ：＋
 тov apit $\mu$ ov eth $\mid$ ．

 620 ：ovv 69 ：vova 1918 ：oroфtav arm ${ }^{1.8} \mid$ тov api $\theta \mu$ ov $]$
 avtov $>$ K s $\mathbf{s}^{1} \mid$ кat AC 025.046 ．1．35．60． 94.205 .432. 632＊＊．1957．2015．2020．2023．2036．2037．2038． 2040. 2041． $2067 \mathrm{al}^{\mathrm{mu}} \operatorname{Pr} \operatorname{gig}$ vg s $\mathrm{s}^{2} \mathrm{arm}^{1.2 .4 .4}$ bo eth $:>20(-35$. 205．632＊＊．2020．2040）．61．69．104．110．172．250． 314.385. 498．522．2016． 2018 alp $\mathrm{Or}^{4}$ Tyc｜avtov］＋єбтtv C 025 ．1． 35. 60．94．205．432．1957．2015．2020．2036．2037．2038． 2040.
 025 ．104．336． 385 ．620．1934．2037．2038．2040．2067）．«乡 $\eta$ коขта （＋ка厶 2037 s）$\epsilon \xi$ AR 025. 104．149．336．385．620．1934． 2037. 2038 2040． 2067 Pr gig vg $s$ bo ：$\chi \xi \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\prime} 046$ ． 20 （ -149.620 .1934. 2040）．1．69．250．314．429．498．1957．2017．2018．2019． 2023.
 1：DCXC Tyc $⺊$





xiv．12－13．On the restoration of these verses to their original context， see vol．i．368－369．
 eth $|\omega \delta \in>69| \eta>1934 \mid \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu]+\omega \delta \epsilon$ 1．35．69．104．205． 620. 1957．2023．2036．2037．2038． 2067 al ：tr betore $\eta$ vтоцоv $\eta \operatorname{Pr}$

 + Xptotov 582．1948．2014．2015．2034．2036．2037． 2042 arm ${ }^{1 .}$ \＆

 $+a \lambda \lambda \eta \sigma \mu \epsilon \gamma a \lambda \eta \sigma$ bo $\mid \epsilon \kappa$ тоv ovpavov $\lambda \epsilon \gamma о \cup \sigma \eta \sigma]$ $\lambda \epsilon \gamma о и \sigma \eta \sigma$（ $+\mu \mathrm{\mu}$
 205 468．632＊＊．1957．2015．2019．（2020）．2023．2036． 2037. 2038．2041． 2067 Pr gig vg ${ }^{\text {d }}$ arm $^{1 \text { ．2．8．a }} \mid$ ypaqov $>$ bo $\mid$ ot veкpot $>$ eth｜ev кvpto AN 046．20．alpl Pr gig vg arm bo：$+\eta \mu \omega \nu \mathrm{s}^{1}$ ：
 bo｜am aptı joined with what precedes P 35．205．（337）．468＊中． 498．（ $63^{2 * *}$ ）．1957．2004＊＊．2040． 2041 al $s$ arm bo ：joined with what follows 046.20 （ -35 ．175．205．（337）．468＊＊．（ $63^{* * *}$ ）． 2004＊＊．2040）al ${ }^{\text {mu }} \operatorname{Pr}$ gig vg ：without punctuation ANC 05 I． 175 ｜var， defal AN $^{\circ} \mathrm{C} 025.63^{\text {＊＊＊}}$ ．2004＊＊．2020． 2040 al $\operatorname{Pr}$ gig vg s armi．s．4．a ：кat deүet 205．2018．2019．2041 arm ${ }^{2}: \sim 046$. 20 （－632＊＊．2004＊＊．2020．2040）．69．104．110． $3^{14} \cdot 2023$ al ${ }^{\text {mu }}$ ：vai $>$ N $^{*} 620$ bo $[\pi v e v \mu a]+\tau 0$ ayıv 2004＊＊＊． 2040 arm $^{1 .}$ 2． 8 eth ｜avaтапбоутal ANC ：avatavgovtai 046．1．620． 2038 alp armer 8． 4 ：avaтavб由עтal 025．20（－620）．250．2037． 2067 alpm ：avanavuv－



 2004＊＊．2020． 2040 Pr gig vg s² $\mathrm{arm}^{4}$ ：Ta Se 046． 20 （－2004＊＊．



## Chapter XIV.









 каuท̀̀v
 $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta$ vте́ $\rho \omega \nu^{*}$
 $\kappa_{\text {кul }}{ }^{1}>$ gig $\mid$ кaı $\iota \delta o v>$ gig Cyp bo (cf. eth) | то apvıov . . . $\left.\Sigma_{\imath \omega v}\right]$ supra montem Syon agnum stantem gig | то apvov AnC 046. $20\left(-35.205 .468^{* *}\right) \mathrm{s} \mathrm{arm}^{3}$ a bo eth : то>025. r. 35. 205. 250. 468**. 1957. 2015. 2018. 2019. 2023. 2037. 2038. 204 I. 2067
 2020. 2037. 2038. 2040 al $^{\text {p }}:$ єот $\eta \kappa 0 \sigma$ 20 ( -205.2004 .2020. 2040) 2067 al $^{\text {mu }}$ : $\epsilon \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \omega \sigma$ 104. 172.2004 : stans Tyc : stantem
 ANC 025 . 35. 205. 2020. 2037. 2038. 2040. 2067 al Tyc Pr gig vg Cyp si${ }^{1}$ arm bo eth : + apt $\theta \mu \sigma \sigma$ 046. 20 (-35. 205. 2020. 2040).
 коита теббареб $025.250 .386 .(620)$. 1934. 2020. 2037. 2040. $2067 \mathrm{al}^{\mathrm{pm}}$ : єкатоу тєббаракоутєббарєб 149 : $\rho \mu \delta^{\prime} 046.20$ ( -149.








 ทкоvба ANC 046. 20. 250 alpl ${ }^{\text {pl }} \operatorname{Pr}^{2}$ bo : ка८ $>\mathrm{s}^{1}$ : кац ф $\omega \nu \eta \nu(\cdot \eta \sigma$
 et vocem quam audivi Tyc g'g vg : кal $\eta \kappa o v \sigma a \omega \sigma$ ф $\omega \nu \eta \nu$ arm ${ }^{1}$ a

 autw $>\mathrm{C}:$ ©v r. к. avrov $\mathbf{s}^{1}:>\operatorname{Pr}$ bo $\mid$.
8. кal a8ouctv] кal ( $>$ bo) adovreб 743. $1075 \mathrm{~s}^{1.2}$ bo eth : et




(a) Text adds following interpolation: $3^{\text {d }}$. al trooparpévou dard rîs fir

(b) Text adds gloss : кal тభ̂ dpvlч.
cantabant Pr gig vg arm | $\omega \sigma \omega \delta \eta \nu$ AC 1. 35*. 2015. 2019. 2036. 2037. 2038. $2040 \mathrm{al}^{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{vg} \mathrm{s}^{1}$ sa : $\omega \sigma>$ 人 025 . 046. 20 ( $-35^{*}$. 2040). 250. $2067 \mathrm{al}^{\mathrm{pul}} \operatorname{Pr} \mathrm{glg} \mathrm{s}^{2}$ arm bo eth : cv $\omega \delta \eta$ (кalv $)$ bo | $\omega \delta \eta \nu \kappa a \iota v \eta \nu] \sim 1934 \mid \kappa a \iota \nu \eta \nu]+\kappa \alpha \iota \eta \nu N$ ( $\eta \nu$ above the line)
 + тоv $\theta$ cov gig arm ${ }^{1.3} \mid \mathrm{kal}^{2}>$ 42. 498. 1918. $2020 \mid$ recoapwl

 1934. 2020. 2040). 110 . 201. 385. 429. 1955. 2016. 2017 : ouk
 046. 250 . 386. 617. 2037. 2040. 2067 alpl $^{\text {pl }}:$ ov סvvarat 2038
 know " arma bo eth | $\epsilon<\mu \eta$ ] кaı $\mathrm{s}^{1} \mid$ at $>\mathfrak{x c}^{c}$ 104. 620. 1849. 1955. 2004. 2015. $2017^{*}$ | єкатоу тєєбєракоута тєббареб $\mathrm{AN}^{c}(\mathrm{C})$ : єкатор тєббєракоута $\mu \iota a \nu \boldsymbol{N}^{*}:$ тєббарєб $>$ C : єкатор тєббаракоута теб-

 ато $\boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma \sigma \eta \sigma$ ] quae empta erant de terris $\operatorname{Pr} \mid$.


 Pr Cyp | outoı ${ }^{2}$ ANC 025. x. 2015. 2020. 2037. 2038. 2040 gig


 205. 250. 314. 1957. 2015. 2018. 2019. 2020. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2040. 2067 almu : av > 1849 : опоv єav 046.20 ( -35.205. 1849. 2020. 2040). 61. 69. 104. 110. 201. 241. 242. 385. 429. 1955. 2016. 2017. 2024 al $^{\text {mi }} \mathrm{Or}^{\text {4 }} \mid$ vжаүє AC 104. 172. 336. 620. 2015. 2019. $2038 \mathrm{~s}^{2}$ : vadit $\operatorname{Pr}:$ va $\alpha \gamma \eta$ N 025.046 .91 ( -620 ). 250. 2037. 2067 alpl Or" s ${ }^{1}$ : ierit Tyc (gig vg) :"shall go" arm |
 2037. 2038. 2040. 2067 alp Pr gig vg s ${ }^{1}$ ( $\mathrm{arm}^{4}$ ) bo eth : pr varo Iqбov 046. 05 I. 90 (- 2040). 69. 104. 110. 314. 385. 2016 al ${ }^{\text {ma }}$
 (-620). 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 alpl $\mathrm{Or}^{4} \mathrm{glg} \mathrm{vg} \mathrm{s} \mathrm{arm}{ }^{4}$ bo :
 apvtw) : >eth | $\tau \omega$ apvt $\omega$ ] $\mathrm{pr} \in \nu \kappa^{*}$ : tov apviov arm $\mid$

Hes
 aцшное 'Yáp doтеv?.




 1. 35. 205. 1957. 2015. 2019. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2040. 2041. 2067 al Or' Tyc gig vg s : in quorum ore non est Pr: ovx evpely ev тш отоцать avtuv 046. 20 ( -35.205 .2040 ). 69. 82. 104. 110. 250. 314. 385. 429. 2016. 2017. 2018 al (bo) eth


 05 r. 35 : yap $>$ AC 025. 18ı Tyc gig vgit. h. 7 : et inventi sunt sine reprehensione $\operatorname{Pr} \mid a \mu \omega \mu о 1]$ а $\mu \omega \mu \eta$ тоє $104 \mid$ a $\sigma \tau v]+$ ovто
 "before God" arm2 ${ }^{2}$.

 $>$ ® $^{\text {* }} 046$. 20 ( -35.2040 ). 2038. $2067 \mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{pmm}}$ sa : aryedov $>$ Tyc тетоиеноу АС о5 г. 20 (-617. 919). 69. 250. 385. 1957. 2016. 2018. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2067 al : кетwиevov 025. 046. г. 617.


 (N) 60. 218. 250 2015. 2018. 2019. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2041 :
 218. $250.2018 \mathrm{~s}^{1}:>046$. 20. 498. 2037. 2038. 2067 alpl $^{1 / 2} \mid$ rovo катокоиштаб A 05 1. 35*. 61. 69. 2015. 2036. 2037 alp $\mathrm{s}^{2}$ Tyc : тоvб каӨך






7. $\left.\lambda_{\text {equil }}\right]>R$ : tr after $\mu$ еүа $\lambda \eta$ 104. 620 : $\lambda_{\text {eyovta } 051 . ~ 1 . ~}^{\text {1. }}$





 $\mu с{ }^{\prime}{ }^{1} \lambda_{\eta}$
Etí ris apookvvei rò Onpiov кai т̀̀̀v ciкóva aứrov̀,


 250. 1957. 2015. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2040. 204I. 2067 alp Prvg Cyp s armi. 24 . bo : tov kuplov 046. 20 ( -35.205 .2040 ) alpm Tyc gig arm ${ }^{8} \mid$ avtw dosav $^{2} \sim$ 2015. 2020|avtov >1, $104 \mid$ тш тоитаият ANC 025. г. 35. 205. 250. 1957. 2018. 2019. 2023. 2036. 2038 2040. 2041. 2067 : pr avtw 94. 104. 336. 620. 1918. 2020 : аитоу точךбаита 046*: autov тоу топбаута 046**. 20
 468 : deum qui fecit gig arm² | кau Өa入aббav AC 025. 314. 2040 :
 2037. 2038. 2067 alpm bo : кac $>2019$ Pr vgit ${ }^{\text {i }}$ Cyp arm ${ }^{1.8}$.
 quae in eis sunt Cyp arm ${ }^{1.80}$.
8. aldoo 8eutepoo aryeloo A $046.20(-18.35 .205 .2040)$. 1. 250. $2037 \mathrm{al}^{\text {ma }} \operatorname{Pr}$ arm ${ }^{1, ~ 2 . ~ 2 . ~} 4$ bo : $\delta$ evtepor $>69$ Tyc vg eth :
 סeutepor ※o 025. 18. 35. 60. 94. 104. 141. 205. 209. 314. 432. 1957. 2015. 2023. 2036. (2038). 2041. $2067 \mathrm{~s}^{2}$ alma : a $1 \lambda_{0}>$


 етебеข етебеン А 025 . 1. 35. 241. 432. 632**. 1957. 2015. 2019. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2040. 2067 alp Tyc Pr gig vg sarm². a " is

 $\eta$ eк тоv AC 218. 250.424 . 506. 2018. 2020. 2039. 2040 Tyc vg $\mathrm{s}: \eta>\boldsymbol{N}^{6} 025$. $046.20(-325.456 .2020 .2040)$ almu Pr gig




 arm ${ }^{4}$ bo sa : жктоוpкev 9 rg : biberunt gig $\mid$.
 456. 1849). 250. 2037. 2038. $2067 \mathrm{al}^{\mathrm{pm}} \mathrm{gig} \mathrm{vg} \mathrm{sarme} \mathrm{a} \mathrm{:} \mathrm{ayphor}$




 àvaßaive,



(a) Vers. 12-13 have been restored to their original context after xiii. 18.
 трıтоб ayjeloo arm ${ }^{1.2 .4}$ bo : tertius angelus Pr eth: $\boldsymbol{\tau \rho t \tau o \sigma ~}>\mathrm{I}$.
 $\mu c \gamma a \lambda \eta$ ] єv $>617$ : voce magna $\operatorname{Pr}$ gig vg $:>$ bo $\mid \pi \rho о \sigma \kappa v \nu \varepsilon \iota]$ $\pi \rho 0 \sigma \kappa v \nu \eta \sigma e c 2020 \mathrm{arm}^{2}$ bo : adoraverit vg: "hath worshipped"


 $\lambda a \mu \beta a v e l] \quad \lambda \eta \psi$ etac arm ${ }^{2}$ bo : "bath received" armi. 8. a | то
 $\chi^{a \rho u \gamma \mu a ~ A ß ~} 025.046 \mathrm{~min}^{\text {pl. }}$. to is here necessary. Its absence is due either to a slip of the author or to a primitive corruption.











11. tou Baraviouou] tormentorum $\mathrm{vg} \mathrm{arm}^{8.4}$ : de tormentis Pr Cyp: $>$ arm $^{1.2}$ a $\mid$ avtev] autov 104. 205. 336. 452. 1918. 202 1.

 250. 2038 al ${ }^{\text {pl }}$ Pr gig vg Cyp s arm : cu atava aıwpoo $\mathrm{C}_{205}$.
 920. 1849. 1934. 2037. 2067 : a a auv山v 2004**: "for ever" bo |avaßauvi] ascendet gig vg d. e. 「 Cyp arm bo : $\operatorname{tr}$ before $\boldsymbol{\epsilon \epsilon \sigma}$






(a) Text adds here a doublet of xiv, 18 - 20 from another hand. See vol.






 $>$ 1. 205. 2037 ${ }^{*}$.
 nubem albam Tyc Pr : nubem candidam vg bo $\mid \epsilon \pi \iota \tau \eta \nu$

 632. 1957. 2023. 2037. 2067 al |ouotov $>$ Tyc eth | vov AK 046.42 .6 1. 69. 82. íо. 201. 218. 325. 337. 386. 429. 452. 456. 517. 522. 919. 920. 2016. 2017. 202 1. 2024. 2036 Tyc:
 386. 456. 919. 920). 104. 250. 314. 2037. 2038. 2067 al ${ }^{\mathrm{mu}} \mathrm{Pr}$
 є $ш \nu$ А 025.046 .20 ( $-325.456 .468 .2004^{* *}$. 2020. 2040). І. 314. 2037. 2038. 2067 Tyc: pr o 2041: єхоข ผ'С : єхоита к*

 201. 385 . 386. 429. 522. 632. 919. 1849. 1955. 2015. 2020. 2036
 2020). 250. 2037. 2038. $2067 \mathrm{al}^{\mathrm{ma}}:$ in capite Tyc gig vg : super


16. ad入oo ayүe入og] ~ 2016. 2020 | $\kappa$ к tov vaov] tr. after
 205. 336. 632**. 2015. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2067 alp $\left.\operatorname{arm}^{2} 4_{a} \mid \epsilon \nu \phi \omega \nu \eta \mu \epsilon \gamma a \lambda \eta\right]+\lambda \epsilon \gamma \omega \nu$ bo eth : ( $\mathrm{arm}^{1.2 . a}$ ) : av $\mu \epsilon \gamma a \lambda \eta \tau \eta$ ф $\omega \nu \eta$ I $:>\operatorname{Pr}\left|\pi \epsilon \mu \psi о \nu \ldots \tau \eta \sigma \quad \gamma \eta \sigma>\mathrm{s}^{(2)}\right| \kappa \alpha \iota$

 632. 919. 1849. 2004**. 2020. 2040). 250. 2037. 2038. 2067

 reaping of the earth" bo $\mid$ ort « $\xi_{\eta p a v} \theta_{\eta} . . . \gamma \eta \sigma>s^{1 .}{ }^{(2)}$ bo | єधिpai $\theta \eta$ ] " is arrived" armi. 2. s. a $\mid$.













（b）$\delta d \gamma r^{e \lambda}$ os is here added by the interpolator of 15－17．
2020．2037．2038． 2067 ：т $\boldsymbol{2} \nu \nu$ vефе $\lambda \eta \nu$ C 025．35．175．205． 250.
 175．205．468． 61 7．620．1934．2004＊＊．2020．2040）．42．61．69．
 vgi．$^{\text {V }}:$ demessus est terram $\mathrm{fl}:>$ bo ．

17．ver． $17>69.2039 \mid \epsilon \xi \eta \lambda \theta \epsilon v] \eta \lambda \theta c v 046 \mid$ vaov．．．oupavw］ ovpavov bo｜tov єv $\tau \omega$ ovpave］rov＞104．141．620． $1849: ~ \tau \omega$



 eth ：o＞N 025．046． 20 al ${ }^{\text {omn }}$ fl bo $\mid$ eфwingev］$+\epsilon \nu 20(-35$ ． 205．325．337．456．468．2004＊＊．2020．2040）．69．104． 110.

 025． 20 （－337．920．2004＊＊．2020．2040）．250．2037． 2038.
 $\pi є \mu \psi о \nu$ ．．．ofv каi］＞arm．2．a：＂come thou＂ $\left.\operatorname{arm}^{8} \mid \pi є \mu \psi o v\right]+$

 arum fl：＞1 arm ${ }^{1} \mid$ отı $\eta \kappa \mu a \sigma a v . . . a v \tau \eta \sigma>$ bo $\mid \eta \kappa \mu a \sigma a \nu$（ $\eta$ X
 $63^{* *}$ ．2004＊＊． $2015.2020 .2023 .2036 .2037 .203^{8}$ ． 2040.2067 al gig vg（f） $\mathrm{s}^{(1) . ~} 2$ ：$\eta \kappa \mu a \sigma e \gamma \eta$ oтadu入 $\eta$ 046． 20 （ -35.205.
 avtท丁 ANC 025．1．35．205．468＊＊．632＊＊．2004＊＊．2015． 2020.

 2020．2040）．104． $250 \mathrm{al}^{\mathrm{mu}} \mathrm{s}^{2}$ ．

19．\＆Ba入er ANC 025．046．35．205．620．632＊＊．2004＊＊． 2020.






025．046． 20 （－2020）．250．2037．2038． 2067 alpl $^{\text {pl }} \mathrm{gig} \mathrm{vg}$ fil $\mathrm{s}^{8}$
 тор $\mu$ еуау $\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{C}) 025.046 .20$（ $-205.386 .620 .63^{2 * *}$ ．2004＊中． 2040）．61．69．110．141．242．314．385．452．2016．2017． 2021. 2022．2023＊．2024．2039． 2041 alp s${ }^{2}$ ：tov $\mu$ çav＞181． 424 ： єเб тоv $\lambda \eta$ vov ．．．тоv $\mu$ күау 1．201．386．498．522．1957． 2038
 $632^{* *} .2004^{* *} .2015 .2018 .2036 .2037 .2040 .2067 \mathrm{~s}^{1}$ ：in torculari（ - ar fi）．．．magnum $\operatorname{Tyc} \operatorname{Pr} \notin:$ in lacum ．．． magnum（－am gig）gig vg｜$\lambda \eta \nu o v$ ］a $\lambda_{\omega v a \nu} \mathrm{C}:+$ тov ovvov $2020 \mid$

 $\lambda \eta \nu \sigma \sigma]$ o $\lambda \eta \nu 0 \sigma$ 205．336．498．522．1957．2004＊＊．2019． 2020 ：
 $20(-35.205 .2020) .250 \mathrm{al}^{\mathrm{pm}}: \varangle \xi \omega$ स 1．35．205． 2015.2020. 2036．2037．2038． 2067 ：extra Tyc Pr vg fi： 2 foris extra gig

 （620）．632．1934． $2004^{* *}$ ． 2040 Pr fl gig vg bo sa ：$x^{2 \lambda c \omega \nu}$ ठцакобєшノ $N^{*}$ 506． 680 ：ax 20 （ -35.3 36．620．632．1934．



## Chapter XV．






 5，where the phase kal merd raûra eloov shows that a new section and a new subject begin．See vol．i． 106 and footnote；vol．ii． 30.
 fi｜ $\mid \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\eta} \gamma \boldsymbol{\sigma} \sigma \pi \pi \tau] \sim$ 920．2015．2016．2020．2036．2037． 2067 ： єпта $>2019 \mid$ av auraur］बv ravtals 35.205 .2015 .2036 .2037. 2038 ：in his Tyc $\mid$.
 $\leqslant \pi \iota \tau \eta v$ Өa入a $\sigma \sigma a \nu]$ et super mare stantes uidi eos qui ．．．uictoriam ferent $\mathbf{f}$ ：et superstantes uidi eos qui ．．．uictoriam ferent $\operatorname{Pr} \mid$
 $\lambda$ дуоитеs

 Sikauat кai d $\lambda \eta$ \#trvai ai ódoí $\sigma o v$,

 кail Soझárca tò övouá rov, ö́t $\boldsymbol{\mu} \mathbf{0} \mathbf{v o s}$ öctos;




 025. 18. 35. 205. 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 al s arm ${ }^{1.2}$ a : $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$


 avrov 632**: bestiam et imaginem illius gig vg bo sa eth : bestiae Tyc | ка. ск тои арьөmov ANC 025. 046. 20 ( -35.205. 468. 620) al s arm ${ }^{1.2 \text {. (4) a }}$ : et numerum gig vg bo : каи єк тои
 35. 205. 250. 468. 2018. 2019. 2036. 2037. 2067 | кає єк т. apı .
 $>$ il Pr eth $\mid$ кıtapao ANC 025. 35. 632**. 920. 2037. 2038. 2040. $2067 \mathrm{al}^{\text {pl }}$ bo sa : тar кıӨapar 046. 20 ( -35 . 632 ${ }^{\text {** }}$. 920. 2040) . 82. 104. ifo. 172. 201. 250. 385. 498. 2018. 2022 al arm. 4. cov $\theta$ eov] pr кuplou $\mathrm{K}: ~>\mathrm{f}$.


 632**. 1957. 2015. 2020. 2037. 2038. 2041. 2067 al : Soviov
 epya $\sigma v 0$ ] magna et mirabilia operati sunt Tyc $\mid$ o $\theta_{\epsilon \sigma \sigma} \circ>620$

 385. 522. 919. 1849. 2004. 2039 : pr tu es fi| тuv cevav $\mathrm{AR}^{c}$ 025. 046. 051.20 (-2040). 1. 69. 104. 110. 250. 314. 2037. 2038. 2067 al gig Cyp arm ${ }^{4}$ bo : omnium gentium Pr fl arm $^{1}$ eth
 all Gentiles" arm ${ }^{2}$ a .
 025. 046. 1. 6I. 69. 18I. 205. 241. 632**. 2019. 2022. 2040.




(a) For the probable origin of this corrupt phrase, see vol. ii. 37 mq .


(c) See vol. ii. 38.
2036. 2037. 2038. 2067 Prflgig arm bo : + $\boldsymbol{\text { fe }} 05 \mathrm{I}$. 20 ( -205.
 Pr gig arm eth | $\delta$ ofacel AC 025.046. 18. 175. 325. 456. 617. 632.
 250. 337. 385. 386. 468. 919. 1849. 2004. 2020. 2037. 2038. 2067
 Pr gig arm |ootog ANC 025. 1. 205. 2015. 2036. 2037. 2038

 104. 110. 250.314 alpl $:$ ayıo et 2040.2067 al : sanctus es gig arm $^{4}$ : sanctus et pius es (es et dignus arm ${ }^{1}$. a) flarm ${ }^{1.2 . a}$ :
 2020. 2037. 2038. 2067 al Pr fi gig vg s arm bo : $\pi a \nu \tau a$ 2040: таутєб 046. 90 ( -35.205 .3 36. 2020. 2040). 69. 104. 250.314.



 $\operatorname{Pr} \mathrm{vg} \mathrm{arm}^{4}:$ : $\delta$ ov bo $\left|\eta \nu o c \gamma \eta>\operatorname{arm}^{4}\right|$ o vaor] $]+$ rov $\theta$ cov $620:>$
 o $\mathrm{s}^{2}$ arm ${ }^{\mathbf{a}}$ eth ${ }^{\text {. }}$.

 AC 20. 250. 2037 al s (arm) bo : oc $>\boldsymbol{\sim}$ © 025 . 046. 1. 242. 2036. 2038. 2067 al : habentes gig fivg : cum (vii plagis) $\operatorname{Pr} \mid$ en tov vaiv ANC 025. 1. 35. 104. 205. 241. 385. 620. 632**. 2015 . 2019. 2022. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2040. 2067 al gig fivg s : tr before or $\boldsymbol{o}^{2}$ 201. $3^{86} \mathrm{~s}^{1}$ (bo): єк тov oupavov 60. 1957. 2023. 204 I: >046. 20 ( $-35.205 .3^{86}$. 620. 632**. 2040). 250 al Pr


 каӨapor 025.051 .80 (-2020mif). 104. 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 $\mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{pl}} \mathrm{s}$ arm : Aıvouv кa日apov 046. 6r. 69. 94. 498. 2019 : linteamine mundo $\mathbf{v g}^{d}$ : lintheamen mundum gig : lino mundo Tyc : linea munda Pr : linteamina candida $f$ : ka日apous $\lambda$ lvous $N:$ " with garments of linen" bo $\mid \lambda a \mu \pi \rho o v]$ $\lambda_{a \mu \pi p o v \sigma ~}^{*}$ (bo) : кal $\lambda_{a \mu-~}^{\text {- }}$





 candido vg : > 386 пi $\mid$ kal $^{2}>$ I. 205 bo $\left.\mid \pi \in \rho!\right]>$ 1. 181. 2016. 2037. 2067 : emi 2015. 2036 Tyc s ${ }^{1}$ bo sa eth .
7. $\varepsilon v>K^{*}$ 1. 104. 181. 336. 620. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2039.

 acova tov awnó 367.468 : $+a \mu \eta \nu \times 181.205 .209 .2015$ s $^{1}$ bo 1.
 1. 35. 205. 620. 632 ${ }^{\text {年. }}$. 2020. 2037. 2038. 2040. 2067 al : pr rov 386 : fumo Pr filgig vg arm : pr «к тov 046. 20 ( -35.205 .386.


 axpı] aхpıб ov C| ae erra $\pi \lambda \eta \gamma a i]$ septem ( $>$ bo) plagae illae $\operatorname{Pr}$ bo : septae illae plagae $\mathrm{f} \mid$ | $\pi \mathrm{ma}^{2}>025$. 05 r. 1. 35.60. 94. 181. 1957. 2015. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2041. 2067 gig |.

## Chapter XVI.



 386. 456. 468. 920. 1934. 2016. 2022. 2040 al bo sa: $\sim N 025$. 1. 18. 35. 205. 250.61 7. 620. 632. 919. 1849. 2004. 2020. 2037.
 ANC 025. 1. 35. 205. 250 632**. 2020. 2040. 2067 al s arm ${ }^{2}$ :
 sa eth : >046. $\mathbf{2 0}$ ( $-35.205 .468 .632^{*} .2020 .2040$ ) alpl $\mathrm{arm}^{8} \mid$ $\mathrm{Kal}^{2}>$ 1. 104. 181. 205. 337. 620. 2015. 2019. 2023. 2036. 2037

 $>025$. 1. 35. 1957. 2015. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 204 If fil bo eth | ф кarw bo: बw т. жaбav $\gamma \eta v$ eth $:>$ fl arm ${ }^{4}$.








 $\lambda$-youros, in order to introduce $5^{\mathrm{b}}-7$. These clauses $5^{\mathrm{b}}-7$ originally followed after xix. 4 , to which context they are restored in this edition. See vol. ii. 122 sq., 116 sq.
 181. 218. 250. 2015 . 2018. 2019. 2036. 2037 arml. 2. a bo eth $\mid \varepsilon \sigma]$ є $\pi เ$ 1. 35. 205. 1957. 2015. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2041 1.

 кан $>2067$ bo eth : vulnus pessimum magnum $\operatorname{Pr}$ : ulcus saevum et malum fl : vulnus magnum (saevum vg ) et pessimum gig vg | eтt] єє I. 35. 205. 1957. 2015. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2041. 2067 al : in gig vg bo | то Хараүна] tr after $\theta$ चpıov 620 : + nominis $\operatorname{Pr} \mid \mathrm{\kappa au}^{5}>$ fi $\mid$ rova ${ }^{3}>$ 104. 385. 620. 1918. 2015. 2036. $2037 \mid$
 2036. 2037. 2067 : $>$ arm $^{2} \mid$
 fl gig vg arm ${ }^{4}$ eth : +ayje入og 046. 20 ( -2040 ). 250. 2037.

 2038 : velut mortuis sanguis fil $\mid \omega \sigma$ vexpov $>\operatorname{Pr} \mid \omega \sigma] \omega \sigma t \mathrm{~K}:>$
 046. 051. 1. 35. 104. 205. 620. 1957. 2015. 2020. 2037. 2038. 2041. 2067 Tyc gig vg si. ${ }^{1}$ arma $^{\alpha}$ bo sa : quae erant viventes fi: $>80$ ( -35.205 .620 .2020 .2040 ). 69. 110.250 .314 al $\operatorname{Pr} \mathrm{arm}^{1.8}$ | та AC $2038 \mathrm{~s}^{2}: \operatorname{\tau \omega v} 2040:>\times 025.046 .20$ (-2040). 250.



4. трітоб] + аүүслоの 051 1. 1. 35. 172. 205. 250. 1957. 2018. 2019. 2020. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 204I. $2067 \mathrm{~s} \mathrm{arm}{ }^{1.2}$ a a bol
 2022. 2023. 2036. 20.38 2040. 204r Pr figigarm bo : pr as 046. 80 (-35. 2040). 1. 250. 2037. 2067 al $\mathrm{s}: \mathrm{pr} \epsilon \pi \iota 94.2016 \mathrm{vg}$ cyevovro A 2019. 2040 Pr fl gig s $\operatorname{arm}^{2}$ bo sa eth : cyevero NC 025. 046. 90 ( -2040 ). 1. 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 alpl vg $\mathrm{arm}^{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{a}$ - F .



 Soîvac aứtû Sógav.





(a) Several clauses lost here : see vol. ij. 45 sq.
 after $\lambda_{\text {eүovtor } 205 \text { : quartum gig } \mid \text {. }}$
8. тетартоб AC 025.046 . 175. 325. 337. 468. 617. 620.632". 920. 1849. 1934. 2004. 2040 al $\mathrm{gig} \mathrm{vg}^{(-d)} \mathrm{s}^{2} \mathrm{arm}^{8.4}$ : +ayyE入oc K 051.11 18. 35. 205. 250. 314. 386. 456. 632**. 919. 1957. 2015. 2018. 2019. 2020. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2041. 2067 al ${ }^{\text {m }}$ Tyc
 avep. $\kappa(>N$ 2038) $\pi v \rho 1$ AN 025. 35. 205. 2020. 2038. 2040 gig $s^{(1), ~} 2$ : aestu afficere hom. et igni vg : $\kappa \alpha v \mu, ~ \epsilon v \pi v p \iota ~ \tau . ~ a v \theta \rho . ~ o 46$. 20 ( -35.205 .2020 .2040 ). 250 alpl : ignem et aestum inicere hominibus $\operatorname{Pr} \mid$ बv $\pi v \rho \iota]$ каv $\mu a \tau i ~ \mu e \gamma a \lambda \omega$ bo |.
 « $\beta \lambda a \sigma \phi \eta \mu \eta \sigma a \nu$ AкC 025. 1. 205. 2019. 2020. 2036. 2037. 2038.


 025 . 35. 60. 181. 205. 432. 1957. 2019. 2023. 2038. 2041. 2067 : $>$ C 046. 20 (-35. 205). r. 250.2037 alpl $^{\text {pl }}$ arm bo $\mid$ ov] ovxi C l.
 sa eth al : +ayje入oa 05 1. 35. 172. 205. 250. 1957. 2018. 2019. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2041. 2067 al Tyc $\operatorname{Pr} \mathrm{vg}^{d} \mathrm{~s}^{1} \mathrm{arm}^{1.2 .2}$ a bo


 35 Tyc bo sa | rou movov] doloribus suis Tyc |.
11. ver. 1 I $>\operatorname{Pr} \mid$ tov $\theta$ cov r. ovp.] to ovoua tov $\theta$ cov ( + tov ovp.


 $>\mathrm{Kgig} \mathrm{arm}^{\mathrm{L}}{ }^{2}$.
 2015. 2018. 2019. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2041. $2067 \mathrm{Tyc} \operatorname{Pr}$







 тоьоїтт бпиеia.
 ueva-the change being made by the interpolator of the preceding words. See vol. ii. 48. Pr (see below), zecognising the need of this participle, inserts

(c) MSS insert here as xvi. 15 a verse which originally stood after iii. $3^{\text {a }}$ and where it is restored in this edition.
 : tr before r. фıa入. 20 ( -18.35 .205 .632 .919 .920 .1849 .2004. 2040). 42. 6I. 69. 104. 201. 314. 452. 498. 517. 2017: $>920 \mid$
 | tov $^{8}$ AC 1. 69. 172. 250. 2015. 2018. 2036. 2037. 2040. 2067 bo sa: $>$ K 025 . 046.20 ( -2040 ). 42. 82. 104. 201. 314. 385. 429. 432. 498. 522. 1955. 1957. 2016. 2017. 2019. 2022. 2023.
 >1. 181. 205. 2019. 2038 bo | twv ßaбcieav] venienti regi $\operatorname{Pr}:$ regi venienti gig : regis arm : $>$ Tyc $\mid$ rwv ${ }^{2}>s^{1} \mid$ avarod ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~A}$ 051. 1. 35. 314. 468. 1957. 2015. 2020. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2041 $\mathbf{s}^{1}$ bo : avarod $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{N C}$ 046. 20 (-35. 205. 468. 2020). 250. 2038. 2067 al sal.

 каı $>2019$ arm $^{1} \mid$ трьа акаӨар. ANC 1. 35. 104. 205. 620. 1957. 2015.2019. 2020. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2040. 2041. 2067 a $\mathrm{a}^{\text {mu }} \mathrm{Tyc}$ vg

 paxol] $\omega \sigma$ ( $\omega \sigma$ е $K^{*}$ ) Batpaxovo $\aleph^{*}$ 94. 498. 2019. 2020. 2023 :
 $:>\mathrm{I}^{*} \mid$.
 2015. 2019. 2020. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2067 al a a ( + каı 2015)
 429. 498. 1957. 2015. 2016. 2017. 2018. 2023. 2039 alp Tyc :

 єкторюоитон $\kappa^{\circ}$ : єкторгverat 205: et exeunt (procedunt gig vg)


 ${ }^{\text {A }}$ A Mayodév.



 17 dewe
 ad diem magnum vg : diei magni Tyc gig : $\pi \eta \sigma \mu c \gamma, \eta \mu$. A 2040 bo : $7 \eta \sigma \eta \mu$ ккки $\eta \sigma$ т. $\mu<\gamma$. 046. 051. 20 (-205. 2020. 2040).
 domini Tyc arm ${ }^{1}$.


 205. 241. 250. 468. 620. 632. 1957. 2018. 2019. 2020. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2040. $2067 \mathrm{~s}^{2} \mathrm{arm}^{4, ~ 2}$ : hermagedon $\mathrm{vg}:$ ermagedo gig : ermagedon Tyc : armageddon Pr : ermakedon


 35. 172. 205. 250.468. 1957. 2015. 2018. 2019. 2023. 2036.
 aсpa] єш т. аєра 051. 1. 35. 6г. 69. 205. 1957. 2015.2016. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2041. 2067 al $\mathrm{s}^{1}$ : in aere (-a gig : -em vg) Pr gig vg $\mid \mu \operatorname{cra\lambda } \eta>$ A i. 181. 205. 209. 2038 $\mid$ єк AN i. 94. 181. 205. 209. 617. 2019. 2030. 2037. 2038. 2040. 2067 : aт0 046. 20 ( -205.617 .2020 .2040 ). $250 \mathrm{alpl}^{2} \mid$ vaov AR 60. 61. 69. 2040 Pr vg s arm ${ }^{2}$ bo sa : + тov ovpavov 046. 20 ( -468. 2040). 250. 2067 alpl : ovpavov 1. 94. 181. 241. 2015 . 2019. 2036. 2037. $203^{8} \mathrm{gig}$ arm $\mathrm{m}^{\text {4. a }}:(+$ ovp. tov 468) vaov kal 468
 Orov 201. 386 : >051* gig .
 141. 2015.2019 .2036 .2040 al Pr gig vg arm² bo sa eth : $\beta$ poovr.

 фuv. к. ß. кає аотр. і. 2037 al : aотр. к. Bроит. к. фuv. 05 I. 20 (-205. 920. 2040). 61. 69. 104. 110. 141. 172. 201. 250. 314. 385. 432. 1918. 1955. 195\%. 2016. 2018. 2022. 2023 al s ${ }^{2}$ | сукест $0^{1}$ AK 1. 35. 6I. 69. 205. 1957. 2015. 2019. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2040. 204I al Tyc gig vg 8 arm(9.3) 4. (a) bo $:>046$. 20 (-35. 205. 2040). 250.2067 alpl Pr sa |otor ouk cyev. . . . ourco $\mu$ еүag] et signa magna $\operatorname{Pr} \mid$ owo ouk erevero] our ouk









 $\mu \mathrm{ep} \mathrm{\eta}$. See vol. ii. 52.
35. 61. 69. 181. 2019. 2036. 2038. 2040 : oc avөpwat (oupavol 506)
 (-35. 2020. 2040). I. 104. 110. 250. 2037. 2067 al $^{\text {pl }}$ Tyc gig vg
 $\mu c \gamma a \sigma]$ > bo : tr after eүevero arm $^{2}$ | ovtw] outws 1. 498. 2015. 2018. 2020. 2037. 2033. 2040 al |.
 $\mathrm{AN}^{c}$ 046. 051 . 35. 104. 110. 337. 452. 468. 498. 620. 2015. 2020. 2023. 2038. 204 ${ }^{* * *}$ : єтєสоv 20 ( -35.337 .468 .620. 919. 2020). 1. 69. 250. 314. 2037. 2067 alpl : eneaer $\mathrm{N}^{*} \mathrm{~s}^{2} \mid$ Sovval] pr tov ल 632**. 2015.2036 2037| то пот.] ex $\operatorname{Pr} \mid$ то $>N 2040 \mid$ rov $^{2}>N$ bo $\mid$ r. $\theta v \mu$.] tr before $\tau$. ouvou 468 eth :
 autov] $>N$ bo : rov $\theta$ cov 2019 eth |.
 $\operatorname{Pr}$ arm bo eth | op $]$ pr omnes $\operatorname{Pr}:+$ каו 2015. 2036. 2037 |

 $\kappa а т а \beta$ о $\eta \sigma$ коб $\mu$ оv ovठ ov $\mu \eta$ үevŋrat 468.
 $\omega \sigma>2022 \operatorname{Pr} \mid$ ката $\beta$ auvei] катє $\beta \eta \mathrm{s}^{1}$ arm bo $\mid$ коv $\theta$ eov $>386$ |
 $\mid \eta \pi \lambda$. avr. $>\mathrm{vg} \mid$ avrno] $>046 \mathrm{arm}^{2,8 .} \%: \operatorname{tr}$ before $\eta \pi \lambda \eta \eta \eta 205$ : avty 18. 69. 104. 175. 250.325 . 386. 456. 617. 620. 920. 2015. 2016. 2020. 2037 al : autov 181. 385 : a grandine $\operatorname{Pr}$ b

## Chaptir XVII.






 Tîs ropveias aivīs.




 2015. 2036. 2037. 2038. $2067 \mid$ т. $\mu \mathrm{c} \mathrm{\gamma} .>$ s $^{1} \mid$ vбат. то八А. Ак 025 . 1. 172. 181. 205. 218. 250. 2015. 2018. 2019 2036. 2037. 2038. 2040. 2067 bo : тuv voat. тuv $\pi a \lambda$. 046 . 20 ( -205. 2040) alpl arm |.
2. $\left.\mu e \theta \eta_{\sigma}\right]$ + "sinned and" bo |emopvevarav] eroingev ropprav

 | порveiar] порขๆб 205 |.
8. ampveүкєv] arqueqкer 920 : duxit Pr: tulit Tyc gig | $\mu \mathrm{C}$ ]

 vg bo (arm) : > I8. 82. 93. 141. 201. 218. 325*. 337. 385. 386. 429. 456. 498. 506. 522. 632*. 919. 920. 1849. 1955. 2004.
 205. 617.620. $632^{\text {* }}$. 1934. 2020. $2040:+$ то 18. 325.337. 386. 456. 468. $63^{2}$. 919. 920. 1849. $2004 \mid$ кокк.] коүк. $046 \mid$

 385. 498. 522. 1955. 1957. 2015. 2016. 2018. 2019. 2022. 2023. 2024. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2039. 2041. 2067 ( $\mathbf{s}^{1}$ ) : $\gamma є \mu$ ми 104.429. 2017 | огоцата AN 025. 046. 20 ( $-35.175 .205 .61 \%$ 1934). 61. 69. 104. 110. 201. 241. 385. 429. 498. 522. 1955. 1957. 2017. 2022. 2024. 2039 : оуонатаи 1. 35. 61mp. 172. 175. 205. 250. 314. 617. 1934. 2015. 2016. 2018. 2019. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2041. 2067 |exay A 104. 201. 429. 919. 2017 : ехоута к 025 : exov 046. 05I. 20 ( $-468^{*} .919$ ). 1. 250. 2037.
 $\boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma$ (ver. 18) 025. 2020. (On this addition see Tischendorf, crit, note in loc.).






## BABYANN H MEГAAH， H MHTHP TKN $\dagger$ HOPNON $\dagger(a)$ KAI TON BAEAYCMATEN THE THX．



（a）Tyc $\operatorname{Pr} \mathrm{vg} \mathrm{arm}^{2}=$ roprown，which the parailelism in the next line requires．See vol．ii． 65 ．
 250．314．617．1934．1957．2015．2019．2023．2036．2037． 2038.
 424．（620）．2018．2019．2020．2022． 2037 al Tyc $\operatorname{Pr}$ gig vg s



 2015．2019．2020．2023．2036．2037．2038．2040．2041｜入e.

 т．порv．autro $>025$｜exovaa］pr кa九 Tyc $\mathbf{s}^{1}$ ：et habebat $\operatorname{Pr}$｜ тог．хриб．］～1．205．1957．2015．2019．2023．2036．2037． 2038.
 046．20．250．2037．2038． 2067 alpl $^{\text {pl }}: \gamma \in \mu \omega \nu \mathbb{\alpha}^{*}$ 104．201． 429.



 A 1．35．104．172．205．241．250．468＊．632＊＊．1957． 2015 ． 2016＊．2018．2019．2020．2023．2036．2037．2038．2040． 2041 ．




 fornicationum Tyc $\left.\operatorname{Pr} \operatorname{vg}\left(\mathrm{arm}^{2}\right) \mid \tau \cdot \gamma \eta \sigma\right]$ totius terrae $\operatorname{Pr} \mid$ ．
 I．35．104．172．205． 24 I．429．468＊中．632＊＊．2015． 2016. 2017年．2019．2023．2036．2037．2038．2040． 2067 almu Pr gig




ITdyew







sanguine Tyc arm | кaı ${ }^{2}$ AN 025. 35. 205. 468. 632***. 2020.


 325. 468. $620 \mid \mathrm{I} \eta$ rov] pr тои $2040:+$ Christi $\mathrm{Pr}:>1.2019$.
 $2020 \mathrm{~s}^{1}$ | avrpr >6I. 69 |.
7. epw 001 A $046.20(-35.205) .250$ al ${ }^{\mathrm{mn}}$ gig seth :~N 025. 1. 35. 61. 69. 1957. 2019. 2022. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 204r. 2067 alp vg : tibi ostendam $\operatorname{Pr}$ bo $\mid$ ro $>\mathrm{arm} \mid$ r. yuv.] huius mulieris $\operatorname{Pr} \mid$ rov $\left.{ }^{3}\right]$ кau $2020: \mathrm{pr}$ кац 1. 2036. $2037 \mid$ таб $>$ $205 \mathrm{arm}^{24}$ | बлт. кєф.] $\left.\sim \operatorname{Pr} \operatorname{vg} \mid \delta \kappa \kappa . к є \rho.\right] \sim \operatorname{Pr} \operatorname{vg} \mid$.
8. ro Anpior] pr кal Tyc arma eth $\mid$ o ader $>$ Tyc $\mid \eta \nu] \eta$ A:
 A 181. 468*. 2037 : vadit $\operatorname{Pr}$ s $^{1}$ (eth) : va aycu N 025.046 .20 (-468*). 250. 2037. $203^{8}$ alpl $\mathbf{g}^{2}$ arm (bo): ibit Tyc gig vg



 046 . 20 (-35. 175. 205. 617. 1934. 2040) alpm $\operatorname{Pr~vg~:~} 7 \eta \sigma \gamma_{\eta \sigma}$
 175. 205. 468. 617. 1934). 69. $110.385 \mathrm{al}^{\mathrm{ma}} \mathrm{s}^{2} \mathrm{arm}^{4}$ bo: ta оуомата к 025. 1. 35. 175. 205. 250. 468. 617. 1934. 2037. 2038.
 $2040 \mathrm{Pr} \mathrm{gig} \mathrm{vg} \mathrm{s}^{1}$ arm $\mid$ то $\beta_{1} \beta \lambda_{20 v}$ AN 025 . 051. 1. 35. 175. 205. 250. 314. 617. 1934 1957. 2016. 2019. 2023. 2037. 2038 al :
 82. 104 al ${ }^{\text {min }}: \tau \omega \beta_{1} \beta \lambda_{\iota \omega}$ 337. 2040 Pr gig vg ${ }^{1}$ : $\beta_{1} \beta \lambda_{\omega} 2036$

 2038. 2040. 2067 alp Pr gig $s$ arm bo : ort $\eta \nu$ r. $\theta_{\eta p,} 046$. 90 (-35. 205. 2020. 2040). 250 al $\mid 0$ ar so apparently $\operatorname{Pr}$ vgd.
 would support either reading |cotrv] ectal 386. $\left.920 \mid \mathrm{kal}^{6}\right]+$ талır $N^{*} \mid \pi а р к \sigma т a l ~ A N^{*} 025.046 .051 .20\left(-632^{* *}\right)$. 69.








(a) Here follows a gloss giving a second explanation: $\delta \rho \eta$ elolv, $\delta \pi o u \eta$ puvh $\times \dot{d} \theta_{\eta r a u} \epsilon \pi^{\prime}$ aürûy. kal.
(b) The same gloss adds $\dot{\epsilon} \pi r d$.
241. 336. 632**. 2019. 2036. 2037. $203^{8}$ gig s arme : ereoch bo: $>\mathrm{vg}$.
9. ebe o voug o ex. oot.] joined with what precedes 046.18. 69. 201. 337. $3^{85}$. 386.456 . 498. 522 . 919. 920. 1849. 1955 al : "he who hath heart with (and eth) wisdom let him understand" bo eth $\left.{ }^{2} \mid \omega \delta \varepsilon\right]$ pr et $\operatorname{Pr}:>046 \mid 0$ ex $\left.{ }^{\omega v}\right]$ тш exovt
 eтave 6i. 69 |otov . .. en avtev] ubi . . . supra illos gig s : super quos Pr vg bo eth 1 .
10. каı $^{1}>620 \mid$ Ваб. єтт. єбıv $A 025$. 1. 35. 205. 241 . 632**. 1957. 2019. 2020. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2040. 2041. 2067
 єтт. 046. 20 ( $-35.205 .63^{2 * *} .2020 .2040$ ). $250 \mathrm{al}^{\mathrm{mu}}\left(\mathrm{arm}^{2}\right) \mid$ єтєєаข] єтeซov 20 ( -35.337 .468 .920 ). 42. 69 82. 104. 250. 2067 al | $\left.0^{1}\right]$ pr кou 1 s $^{1}$ arm bo : + $\delta$ e 2041 Pr eth ${ }^{2} \mid$ є $\left.\sigma \tau \iota v\right]$ pr ouk bo : superest $\left.\operatorname{Pr} \mid o^{2}\right]$ pr sau $v g$ arm bo eth | avr. סec pelvau A 025. 1. 35. 69. 2037. 2038. 2040. 2067 al : avt. $\mu \nu \varepsilon$ 乡et ( ( $\left.e 九 \mathbb{N}^{c}\right) \boldsymbol{N}^{*}$ : Set avt. $\mu \mathrm{ctva} 046.20$ ( -35.2040 ). $250 \mathrm{al}^{\mathrm{mu}}(\mathrm{Pr}) \mathrm{gig}(\mathrm{vg}) \mid$.
 205. 250. 617.632 . 1934. 2037. 2038. 2067 al $\operatorname{Pr}$ gig vg ( $s^{1}$ ) bo : оиtoo K 046. 20 (-35. 175. 205.617.632. 1934) al ( $\mathrm{s}^{2}$ ) | ordoor



 $\left.\beta_{a \sigma} \lambda a \sigma\right]$ regni $\left.\operatorname{Pr} \mid \mu l a v \omega \rho a v\right]$ una hora $\operatorname{Pr}$ gig $\operatorname{vg} \mid \lambda a \mu \beta . . .$. Onpıov] "having followed the wild beast" bo | $\lambda a \mu \beta a v o v a r v$ ] pr ov 620 : accipient gig vge, div: tr after Onplov $920 \mid \mu$ era тоv Onpove] post bestiam vg.
18. outol] + omnes $\operatorname{Pr} \mid \gamma \nu \omega \mu \eta v$ exovatv $A N 025$. 1. 35. 205. 2037. 2038. 2040 alp Tyc (Pr) gig vg (arm) :~046. 20 ( -35.205. 2040). 250 al $^{\text {mu }}$ sa $\mid$ exovatv $]$ habebunt $\operatorname{Pr} \mid \tau \eta \nu>61.69 .2038$

 920. 1957. 2018. 2019. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2041. 2067 al|


 dxpl тe入evOígovтal ol $\lambda$ óyoc tô̂ $\theta$ eov̂.



каi тàs бápкas aitī̀s фáyovтa,
rupd





(a) Text of xvii. 14-17 dislocated and glosed. On the restoration of the original order, see vol. ii. $61,71 \mathrm{sq}$.
(b) A doubiet here follows kal raîquau $\mu$ lar $\gamma \boldsymbol{\gamma} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{p}$ : see crit. notes below, and cf. $\mathbf{x}$ vii. 13 .
(c) What was originally a marginal gloss on xvii. 1 text adds here : 15 .






 in ( + uno $\mathrm{arm}^{2 .}$ s. a bo sa eth) consensu ( + et metu Pr ) Pr
 35. 2019. 2022. 2037. (2040). 2067 al $: \sim 046.20(-35.2040)$.
 Sovvau] dabunt Tyc: ut dent gig vg $\mid \tau \eta \nu^{2}>$ bo sa $\mid$ avrwv ${ }^{2}$ a avtw
 AK 025.05 I. I. 35. 181. 205. 209. 432. 1957. 2023. 2036. 2037.
 110. 250. 385.2016 alpl ${ }^{\text {pl }}$.

 odio habent Tyc $\mid$ rorprovav ( $\pi$ ooverv Tyc) avtøv к. yv $\mu \nu \eta v$ As 025. I. 205. 632**. 2020. 2036. 2037. 2040 al Tyc gig vg s² arm $^{2}$
 autpr 046ma(m). 20 ( $-205.632^{* *}$. $919^{*} .920 .2020 .2040$ ). 250 al
 $>046^{*}$. 1. 82. 141. 218. 498. $919^{*}$. 920. 2016. $2019 \mid$ avt. фay. $]$ $\sim 632$ | фаүоvтац] фаүшутан 18.632 : edunt Tyc | катакаубоverv] кavgovaty 1. 181. 205. 2019. 2020 | ev A 20 (-920). 2037. 2038. 2067 alpl bo sa: >N 025.046 . 172. 250. 920. 2018 Pr gig vg $\mid$.



quicumque cum eo erunt $\operatorname{Pr} \mid \kappa \lambda \lambda \pi . \quad$. . ex $\lambda . \ldots$. . nor. $]$ ex $\lambda$.


 2067 .


 175. 617. 1934|ou] super quas $\operatorname{Pr} \mathrm{Cyp}^{1}{ }^{1}\left|\eta>\boldsymbol{N}^{\circ}\right|$ ro $\left.\rho \eta \eta\right]$

 gentes Cyp) ethnicorum et linguae sunt (sunt et linguae Cyp) Pr Cyp : "multitudes of nations" bo l.
18. єотьv $>\operatorname{Pr~s}^{1} \mid \eta \mu \gamma$.] Tov $\theta$ cov $920 \mid \eta^{4}>$ स 18. 201.386.

 468. $617.632^{*+} .1934 .2020 .2040$ Tyc gig vg sarm bo eth:
 919. 920. 1849. (1918). 2004 alimu $^{\text {ma }}$.

## Chapter XVIII.






 on ${ }^{\text {ávou' }}$
 $\mu$ ivou (a).
(a) Text of these last two lines is uncertain Possibly we should read Anplou for truefuator (ef. Jer. 1. 39), cf. A 250 . 424 Pr gre sis below: or else,
 dxaAdprou.

1. $\mu$ ета таuта] pr кан 05 r. 1. 35. 104. 205. 250. 468.2020. 2037. 2038 al gig vg eth : et $\operatorname{Pr} \mid a \lambda \lambda . a \gamma \gamma]$ ~ 35. 175.242 .250. 617. 920. 1934. (1957). 2016. 2017. 2023 |ad入ov] eтеро⿱ 1957:
 aro 386 : + тоv тробшrov autov кac bo eth .

retmuka
 тávтa тà̀ ${ }^{2} 9 \mathrm{v}$ ，




2019．2023．2036．2038．2040．204I Tyc vg（bo）$:>$ K 046.90 （ -35.2040 ）．г．14I．181． 24 r． 250.336 .385 .429 .522 .1918. 1955．2037． 2067 Pr gig｜toxupa фwv（ $+\mu$ кјa入 $\eta$ I．181． 2067 ： + каи $\mu$ суа入 $\eta$ 205． 2019 ）AN $025.04620(-18.620 .632 .919$. 1849．2004）．I．181．250．432．452．1957．2019．2023． 2036.
 241．336．385．429．522．620．632．919．1849．1918．1955． 2004 ：

 $632^{\text {＊⿻丷 }}$ ．1957．2019．2023．2036．2037．2040．2041． 2067 Tyc $\operatorname{Pr}$

 61．69．2067 ：$+\eta$ roder bo sa eth｜ккток．］habitatio et refugium
 （－2040）．250．2037．2038． 2067 al $\left|\phi \nu \lambda a \kappa \eta^{1.2}>\operatorname{Pr}\right| \pi a \nu \tau o \sigma^{1}$ ．．．$\left.\mu e \mu \mu \eta \mu e v o v^{2}\right]$ omnis immunditiae et iniquitatis Tyc
 aкa0．${ }^{1}$ ．．．opvev＞025．1．6I．6g．104．181．242．6I 7．919＊＊． 1934．2016．2019．2020．2036．2037．2038． $2067 \mathrm{~s}^{1} \mathrm{arm}^{2} / \mathrm{k}$ ．
 $(\operatorname{Pr}) \mathrm{vg} \mathrm{s}^{2}$ bo sa eth ：+ et omnis bestiae immundae $\operatorname{Pr} \mid \phi u \lambda$

 ravtor Өnpıov aка日aptov 250.424 ：＋et carcer omnis bestrae immundae et odiblis gig $s^{2}$ ．
 $\theta 2 \mu$ ．т．ouv．025．051．1．35．172．205．241．432．1957． 2018. 2019．2023．2036．2037．2038．2041． 2067 gig armis 4．（a）eth ：$\tau$ ．

 432．2019． 2036 （ $\mathbf{s}^{1}$ ）：тетокєV（ $\pi \in \pi-$ 35．2037）． 025 ．I． 35．2023．2037．2038．2041 ：тєтшкабя（ $\pi$ ето－242． 498. 617．2030）． 05 1．110．175．（241）．250．337．468＊＊． 522.617. 632．（1918）．1934．1957．2016．2017．2020．2024．2039． 2067
 046． 00 （ -35.1 175．337．468＊＊．617．632．2020）．61．69． 104 ${ }^{2 l p} \mathrm{arm}^{4}$ bo eth｜$\mu$ er avt．eropv．］or $\mu \mathrm{et}$ avt．порvevcavtec 256.
 1． $920 \mid$ r． dvv．$^{>}$bo $\left.\mid \sigma \tau p \eta v o v a\right]$ बтpqvov $C$ 149．201． 24 I. 2037． 2067 ．



$\delta \lambda c \delta s \mu$






4. a $\alpha \lambda \eta \nu \phi \omega \nu \eta \nu] a \lambda \lambda \eta \sigma$ ф $\omega \nu \eta \sigma$ C $2067: \sim 2020: a \lambda \lambda .>$
 \& $\xi \in \lambda$ बere 025.05 I. І. 35. 205. 1957. 2017. 2023. 2037. 2040. 2041. 2067 Tyc gig vg sarm bo : $\epsilon \xi \varepsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon \mathrm{C} 046.20$ ( -35.205. 2040). $250 \mathrm{alpl} \operatorname{Pr} \mid \epsilon \xi$ aurワб] tr after $\mu$ ov NC 025. 2020. (2067)
 $\mid$ wa $>386 \mid$ бovkovv. ANC : $\sigma v \gamma \kappa 0 \nu v .025 .046$ minomn $\left|\kappa a \iota^{2}>s^{1}\right|$


 $\mu$ ехрt 2037 | $є \mu \nu \eta \mu o v.] ~+a v \tau \eta \sigma ~ 18 . ~ 35 . ~ 82 . ~ 110 . ~ 172 . ~ 337 . ~ 385 . ~$ 456. 632. 919. 920. 1849. 1955. 2004. 2018. 2022. 2023 alp $:+$ autow 386 eth $\left[\theta\right.$ eor] dominus vg : dominus deus $\operatorname{Pr} \mid$ aur $\left.\eta \sigma^{2}\right]$ autwr Tyc arm ${ }^{2}$.
6. amoסore . . . aurma] et ( $>$ Cyp) ideo reddidit ei duplicia

 1934. 1957. 2016. 2037. 2038. $2041 \mathrm{gig} \mathrm{vg}^{2} \mathrm{arm}^{(2)} 4:+\eta \mu \nu 2067$
 20(-35. 175. 205. 617.620. 632**. 1934. 2020). 61. 69. 82.93. 110. 385. 2022. 2024. 2039 al ${ }^{\text {min }}$ Tyc gig vg : + avt 025.051. 1. 35. 104. 175. $250.617 .620 .62^{* * *}$. 1934. 1957. 2016. 2037. 2038. 2067 al s arm ${ }^{4}$ bo : + avt 205 : + avra $2020 \mid$ Sımia A 025. 046. 05 I. I. 35. 104. 175. 205. 250. 617.620. 632**. 1934. 2016 2020. 2037. 2038. 2040. 2067 : pr ta KC 18. 61. 69. 110. 325. 337. 385. 386. 456. 468. (632*). 919. 920. 1849.
 1934. 2020. 2040 Tyc Pr gig vg s arm bo eth: + $\omega \sigma$ кal aurn (аутат 69) кац ( $>61.69$ ) 046. 80 ( $-35.175 .617 .620 .632^{* *}$. 1934. 2020. 2040). 61. 69.82. $110 \mathrm{al}^{\mathrm{ma}} \mid$ та $>149 \mid$ єv тш
 617. 1934. 2037. 2038. 2040. $2067 \mathrm{Tyc} \operatorname{Pr}$ (gig) vg s arm eth : $>620$ : +avina N 046. 20 ( -35 . 175. 325. 617. 1934. 2040). 69. 104. IIO. 385 bo $\mid \omega] \omega \sigma$ 172. 2020 bo $\mid$ eкєрабev] $+\nu \mu \nu$




каì хйpa oúk єi $\mu$ i,





кスaúaouva
 aítip.
 (a) On this lune see vol. ii. 100 .
 $\mathrm{arm}^{2}$ | avtr $\left.^{2}\right]$ avtqu 046. 620 .
7. ora] pr кai $\operatorname{Pr} \mathrm{arm}^{28 .}$ a $\mid$ aurfv $\mathrm{An}^{*} \mathrm{C}$ 025. 046. 20 (-35**. 175. 205. 632**. 1934. 2020. 2040) al : єаutrv $\mathbb{N}^{\text {© }}$ I. 35**. 69. 110. 175. 205. 250. 632**. 2020. 2037. 2038. 2040.
 $\mathrm{Cyp}\left(\mathrm{arm}^{2}\right) \mid$ סote avr. $>\mathrm{s}^{1} \mid$ avt $>$ gig $\mid$ к. $\left.\pi \kappa v \theta.\right]>05$ I. r. 35. 60. 181. 432. 1957. 2023. 2041. 2067 : + populo suo Tyc $\mid$ or $\left.1^{1}\right]$
 Babylonia Tyc |oris] + ryw 172. 250. 2018 : > I. $620.2067 \mid$

 1 10. 325. 337. 385. 429. 456. 522. (632*). 919. 920. 1849. 1955. 2004. 2022. 2024. 2039 alp $:+\kappa \alpha \theta \omega \sigma 468:+\omega \sigma 205: \epsilon 1 \mu$
 $\varepsilon, \mu]$ non possum esse (esse non possum Cyp) Pr Cyp .
 Өavaroof ${ }^{\text {Gavarov } 046 \mathrm{arm}^{2} \mid \mathrm{Kal}^{1}} \mathrm{AN} 025$. 35. 205. 620. 920. 2040 Tyc $\operatorname{Pr}$ gig vg s ${ }^{(1)}{ }^{2}{ }^{2} \mathrm{arm}^{3,4 . a}$ bo $:>046$. 20 ( -35.205 . 620.920. 2040). 82. 110.172 . 201. 250. 314. 385. $429^{*} .49^{8}$.


 $\mathrm{N}^{\prime} \mathrm{C} 025.046 .20$ (-175. 617. 920. 1934. 2020. 2040). 250.


 1. 175. 250. 314.617 . 1934. 2016. 2036. 2037 : (qui) iudicabit Tyc vg .
9. вגаvсоита। AK I. 18. 205. 2019. 2004. 2038. 2067 alp :








(a) This line is provsionally restored here : see vol. ii. roz, 112. But it is best to take it as a gloss on $11^{\text {a }}$.
 кגavgovtai (-बovevv)] + avthv 025 . 1. 35. 205. 2036. 2037.
 autr $>6$ I. $69 \operatorname{Pr} \mid$ avin A i. 205. 498. 2019. 2020. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2040 : avtnv NC 025. 046. 20 (-205. 2020. 2040). 250






 250. 2018 s $^{1}$ : $>14$ I. 2019. $203^{8}\left|\eta^{1}>1934\right| \eta \mu \mathrm{c} \mathrm{\gamma} .>\operatorname{Pr} \mid$

 A | rou eius gig $\mid$.
 $\pi e$ 在ouvlv ANC 025 . 1. 35. 205. 1957. 2023. 2037. 2038. 2040.
 314) 046. 20 ( $-35 \cdot 205 \cdot 2040$ ). 250. (314). (336). (1918). (2036)
 2036 : кaı ${ }^{2}>$ bo : flent plangentes $\operatorname{Pr} \mid$ es avr.] tr after кגa.ovaı

 620. 1918. $2017 \mathrm{gig} \mathrm{vg}(\mathrm{Pr}):$ avin A 20 (-620). 104. 250. 314. 1957. 2022. 2023 almu : avrna 61. 172. 2018 : avrova 046 : cavtove 05 I. 18 I .2038 : eavtour I. 2019. 2036. 2037. 2067 .
28. oti ANC 025. 046. 1. 35. 175. 250. 617. 620. 1934. 2037. 2038. 2040. 2067 Tyc $\operatorname{Pr}$ gig vg sarm ${ }^{2} 4 \mathrm{eth}:>10(-35$. 175. 617. 620. 632. 1934. 2040). 82. 201. 385. 429. 498. 522.
 386
 1918: ove corev 456 : iam $\mathrm{Pr}:>$ arm bo eth) joined with what





 кal $\mu$ úpov каi 入íßavov кai olvov，
precedes 025．1957．2023．2036． 2037 Pr gig $\mathrm{vg} \mathrm{s}^{1}$ ：joined with what follows AC 046． 18 al ${ }^{\text {pl }} \mathrm{s}^{2}$ ：without punctuation K ．

12．xpucou］xpurouv C 025．620．1918：xpvoiov 94．2019 apyupov］apyupouv C 025：apyupıov 94．181．201． $\left.386 \mid \lambda_{t} \theta . \tau \mu \mu.\right]$

 025 bo ：$\mu$ apүapitov 046．20．250．2037．2038． $2067 \mathrm{a}^{\left[{ }^{\text {pl }}\right.}{ }^{1} \mathrm{vg}$ $\beta$ vor．．．．коккıvou］sirici et purporae et coccineae vestis $\operatorname{Pr}$
 ßuacov 05I．1．35．205．1957．2019．2023．2036．2037． 2038. $204 \mathrm{I} \mathrm{vg} \mid \kappa$ ．порф．＞A｜порфvраб КС 025．то4．205． 620. 632＊＊．2018．2037＊＊． 2040 ：$\pi$ орфироv 046．051． 20 （ -205.620.
 $920 \mid \sigma \varphi ⿺ 𠃊 0$ ANC 025．046．051．104．620．1849． 2017 al： бприкоv $20(-386.620 .1849)$ alpl $:$ оирккоу 172．386． 2016. 2018． 2019 ｜rav omne vas ligneum et omne vas eburneum preciosum gig｜к．па $\boldsymbol{a}^{2}$ $\xi \nu \lambda$. Ovur．］et omnis ligni citrei $\operatorname{Pr}: \operatorname{tr}$ after $\tau \mu$ ．bo｜$\xi \cup \lambda o v]$

 20 （－2040）alfere omn $\operatorname{Pr} s(\mathrm{arm})$（bo）：$\lambda_{2} \theta_{00}$ A 2040 vg eth

 $>$ arm $^{2} \mid \kappa, \mu \alpha \rho \mu .>N$ I $\mid$ ．

18．кцvvar－ AKC 025．046＊＊． 19 （ $-35^{* *}$ ．205．620． 919. 1934．2020）．104．250． 2038 alp（ $\operatorname{Pr}$ ）vg ：кıva $\mu$－046＊．т．35＊＊． 69．172．205．314．620．1934．2020．2022．2023．2036． 2037.2067



 $\mathrm{s}^{2}:>\boldsymbol{N}^{c} 04^{6}$ ． $20\left(-35^{*}\right)$ ．1．2037．2038． $2067 \mathrm{al}^{\mathrm{pl}} \operatorname{Pr} \mathrm{s}^{1}$ arm
 $-\mu a \tau о \sigma$ 046．61． $69:-\mu a \tau \omega \nu ~ 201.386 .620 \mathrm{vg}|\kappa . \mu \nu р о v>C|$ $\mu \nu p o v] \mu \nu \rho \omega \nu \quad 386\left(\right.$ arm$\left.^{2}\right): \mu \nu \rho o v ~ v g ~ s s^{2}: \sigma \mu v p v a v 2036.2037$ $\left.\lambda_{\iota} \beta a v o v\right] \lambda_{1} \beta_{\text {avov }} \mathrm{vg} \mid$ oıvov ．．èatov］～175．218．242． 250. 314 617．1934．2016． 2017 ｜K．ovvov ANC 025 35．（175）． 205.









 vol, ii. 102.
(b) Verse 14 is restored after 21 : see vol. ii. 105. 108.
(617). 632**. (1934). 2020. 2040 al Pr gig sarm bo sa eth : >046. 20 (-35. 175. 632**. 205. 617. 1934. 2020. 2040) al ${ }^{\text {ma }}:$ к. otvou


 троßara ANC $025.35 \cdot$ 205. 2037. 2038. 2040. 2067 al Pr gig $(\mathrm{vg}) \mathrm{s}^{2} \mathrm{arm}^{\text {3. 4. a }}$ bo sa : $\sim 046.20$ ( -35.205 .2040 ). $250 \mathrm{al}^{\mathrm{mu}}$ $\mathrm{arm}^{2}: \kappa . \pi \rho \circ \beta .>42.1957|\iota \pi \pi \omega \nu| \iota \pi \pi o v \sigma 2040 \mathrm{~s} \mathrm{arm}^{2}:$ equi $\operatorname{Pr} \mid$ pedwv ANC 025. 046. I. 18. 205. 632. 919. 1849. 2004. 2037. 2038. 2067 al $^{\text {mu }}: \rho a i \delta \omega \nu 051.20(-18.205 .632$. 919. 1849. 2004). 82. 104. 250. 314. $2016 \mathrm{al}^{\mathrm{mu}}$ : $\rho \in \delta \iota \omega \nu 6$ 1. 69. 2022 : $\rho \in \delta a \sigma$
 $\sigma \omega \mu a \tau \omega \nu$ ] $\sigma \omega \mu a \tau a$ s : $\sigma \omega \mu \alpha$ bo : $\psi v \chi \omega \nu 6$ 1. 69 : mancipia (-orum $\mathrm{vg}) \operatorname{Pr} \mathbf{v g}$ : porcorum Tyc : mulorum et camelorum sa $\mid \kappa_{0} \psi v x$.
 $>$ Tyc .

 $\mathrm{s}^{2} \mathrm{arm}^{2}$ bo eth) ANC 025 . 1. 18. 35. 175. 250. 617.632**. 1849. 1934. 2004. 2037. 2038. 2040 Tyc $\operatorname{Pr}$ gig vg si $\mathrm{arm}^{8.4}$ a (bo) sa : pr кac 046. 325 . 337. 386. 456. 468. 620. 632. 919.920 al s ${ }^{2}$

 (046). 175. 325. 337.386. 456. (522). $617 \mathrm{al}^{\mathrm{man}} \mathrm{Tyc}_{\mathrm{gig}}{ }^{2}$ ( $\mathrm{arm}^{2}$ a) bo sa (eth) : pr кас025.051. 19 (-175. 325. 337.456.617.620). $250.2037 .2067 \mathrm{Prvg} \mathrm{s}{ }^{2} \mathrm{arm}^{4}$ (eth) | ovail ${ }^{2}$ + ool bo |ovai ${ }^{2} \mathrm{ANC} 025$. 35. 175. 250. 617. 1934. 2020. 2037. 203 8. 2040 al Tyc Prgig vg s arm (bo) sa eth : + ovau 172.2018 : + бot bo : >046. 19 ( -35.175 .

 est gig | $\beta v \sigma \sigma$. ....кєхрvбшнєv $>325 \mid \beta v \sigma \sigma . . . . к о к \kappa.] \sim A \mid$

 каì öбoı тìv $\theta$ á入a





ANC 1．35．175．250．617．620．2037． 2038 al Tyc（Pr）gig
 1849．2004． 2067 al vga ${ }^{\text {P }} \mid$ порфироиv ANC 046． 19 （－ 325.386 ）．
 2018．2019． $2067 \mid$ каı $^{2}>025$ ．175．242．617．1934 $\mid$ коккцоу $]$


 2023．2036．2037．2038． 2067 al ：auro $\operatorname{Pr}$ gig vg ： pr a NC I．

 $2040 \mathrm{Pr} \mathrm{arm}^{4}$ bo（sa）：$\mu$ арүарıтаı（ - таб s） 046.19 （ -2040 ）．
 hac gig［ $\eta \rho \eta \mu \omega \theta \eta$ ］¢ $\rho \eta \mu \omega \theta \eta$ 05 1．г．2036． $2038|0>025.2040|$ ．

17．－$>02$ 5．1．314．1957．2016．2017．2036．2037． 2038 al

 （bo sa）：（qui）manibus navigat Tyc｜тотоv（pr rov N 046.468 ： pr illum gig）ANC 046．10（－35．175．617．1934）al ${ }^{\mathrm{ma}} \mathrm{gig} \mathrm{vg}$
 2017．2019．2023．2036．2038． 2067 ｜$\pi \lambda \epsilon \omega v$ ］navigabat（－avit


 morantur $\operatorname{Pr} \mid \epsilon \sigma \tau \eta \sigma a v]$ stabunt $\operatorname{Pr}$ arm｜．

18．каı eкраgav $>2020 \operatorname{Pr} \mid$ каı $>2067$ arm $^{4} \mid$ ккрақav AC 025．172．2018．2038． 2040 Tyc gig vg sit expagov K 046． 051. 19（－325．468＊．920．2040）1．250．2037．2067：єкגatov 920 ：
 $\operatorname{arm} \mid \beta \lambda e \pi о \nu \tau \epsilon \sigma]$ ориитеб I $\mid$ катvov NC 025．046． 19 alfore omn
 ravin C gig vg arm bo ．

19．«Ba入or（ $-a \nu \mathrm{C}$ ）NC 046． $10(-325.617 .2040)$ altore oms vg s（bo）：«ßa入lov 025．o51．325．385．6r7 gig ：ereßa入ov （ertßallov 2040）A 2040 ：mittentes $\operatorname{Pr} \mid$ Xovv＞620｜r．кє申．］
 єкра $\xi_{a \nu}\left(+\phi \omega \nu \eta \mu \kappa \gamma a \lambda \eta \mathrm{arm}^{4}\right.$ ） $\mathrm{AC} 2018 \mathrm{vg} \mathrm{s} \mathrm{arm}^{4}$ ：єкра弓ov к










 aürins : cf. vers. 10, 16, and see vol. in. 106 sq. Ver. 2015 restored to its original context after $23^{\mathrm{Lb}} \mathrm{b}$. See vol. ii, 92 sq.
(b) On the restoration of the order of the text, see vol. ii. 92, $105,108$.
 explanation of it in connexion with the loss of $22^{5}$ which I have restored, see vol. ii. 92, 109, and footnote in loc. of English transl.
 $\lambda_{\text {eqovte }}$ (+voce magna $\operatorname{Pr}$ ) AnC i. 172. 2018. 2040 Pr vgis ( $\mathrm{arm}^{28 . a)}$ bo : pr кає 025. 046. 19 ( -325.468 .2040 ). 250.
 046. 19 (-337.468*. 2040) Tyc Pr gig vg sarm sa eth : +ovau
 2040 bo $\mid \eta \pi 0 \lambda . \eta \mu \epsilon \gamma.] \eta \mu \epsilon \gamma a \lambda \eta$ по $\lambda \iota \sigma$ 1849| тa>1. 35.172. 175.617. 1934. 2018. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2067 al|«v т. $\theta a \lambda$. . . . avt $\boldsymbol{\sigma}]$ de mari et de pretis tius gig |єк $\tau$. тıц. avт. $>$ Tyc | $\eta \rho \eta \mu \omega \theta \eta]$ є $р \eta \mu \omega \theta \eta$ г. 2036. 2038 |.

 between $a$ and $\lambda$ are not visible, but the space is only enough for

 $>$ (A) Tyc s $\left.{ }^{2} \mid \lambda_{l} \theta o v \omega \sigma\right]>$ bo : $\left.\lambda_{l} \theta o v>2019: \omega \sigma>\mathrm{arm}^{2} \mid \omega \sigma\right]$ $\omega \sigma \in \iota$ 2036. 2037: ad magnitudinem $\operatorname{Pr} \mid \mu \nu \lambda \iota v o v(\mu \nu \lambda \iota x o v ~ C) ~$ AC : molarem vg (bo) : $\mu \nu \lambda$ ov 025. 046. 19. 205. 2037. 2038. (2067) : molam (-ae Pr) Tyc Pr gig s(1). $2 \mathrm{arm}: \lambda_{1} \theta$ ov N (2019)



14. $\eta$ отшрa] pomorum (-a vg) Pr vg : hora gig ( $\mathrm{arm}^{4}$ ) : >
 vga c f.g h. $\mathrm{r}: \operatorname{tr}$ after $\psi v \mathrm{~V}^{2} \sigma$ 046. 19. 250. 2037. 2038.2067 gig

 $<$ ov̉ $\mu \grave{\eta}$ áxova $\theta \hat{p}$ èv боi ëtc'>(a)




220-h. кaì $\pi a ̂ ̀ s ~ r є \chi v i ́ r \eta s ~ \pi a ́ a \eta s ~ \tau i ́ x u \eta s ~$


каî фшш̀̀ $\mu v i \lambda o u$

(a) Necessarily restored, yet found in eth : see below.

 $>$ NC 2036. 2067 | атшлето AC 025.046 .19 ( -35.620 ). 250
 1918. 1957. 2018. 2023. 2041 gig vg bo sa : perient Pr:amшхєто

 025.046. 19 ( -35.175 .617 . 1934. 2020. 2040) al gig vg : tr avta after cup. 1. 35. 175. 250.617 .1934 .2037 .2038 (arm) | єvp $\eta$ бovatv ANC 025.18 .172 .250 .424 .2018 .2019 .2039 vg s
 evp $\quad \sigma$ eє ( $-\eta \sigma$ 051). 051 1. 1. 35. 432. 1957. 2023. 2038. 2041 Pr

 2018. 2019 s bo eth $\mid$.

 $\mu \eta$ aкova $\theta \eta$ ev aur $\eta$ eth. Here observe that the Ethiopic has already restored the missing words in $\left.22^{\text {b. }} \mathrm{a} . \mid \mathrm{\kappa ail}^{1}\right]>N$ I Tyc:
 $\sigma a \lambda \pi$.] ~s $\left.\mathbf{s}^{1} \mid a v \lambda \eta \tau \omega \nu\right]$ av $\lambda_{\nu \sigma \tau \omega v} 620$ : sinfoniacorum $\operatorname{Pr} \mid a v \lambda$. кає $>$ bo $\mid \sigma a \lambda \pi \iota \sigma \pi \omega$ AC 025.046 . 10 al lare omn gig: $\sigma a \lambda \pi t \gamma \gamma \omega \nu$ $\left(-\sigma \sigma s^{1}\right.$ arm $\left.^{2}\right) \mathbb{N} 172.2018 \mathrm{~s} \mathrm{arm}{ }^{2.4}: \sigma a \lambda \pi t y \xi \mathrm{vg}$ bo : fistularum



 19. 250. 2038. $2067 \operatorname{Pr}$ gig vg sial $\gg$ As bo: $+e \pi \iota v o l a ~ 1918$
 (-18. 919. 920. 1849. 2004. 2020). 2 50. 2037. 2038. 2067 Pr gig vg arm${ }^{2}$ bo : $\boldsymbol{P}$ K 18. 141. 172. 385. 522. 919. 920. 1849. 1955. 2004. 2020 s arm $^{3}$ 4. a eth | $\left.\mu \nu \lambda o v\right] \mu \nu \theta_{0 v} \mathrm{C} \mid$ akovod $\eta$ ]


20. ELdpaivov dत' autn̂, oupavé,





(a) Read aùvŋ̂s.
 $\mathbf{v g}^{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{F}$


 $>\mathrm{s}^{2}$.

 ANC 046. 18 (-18. 35.617 .2004 ). 250. 522 gig : avtiv 025. 051.1 .18 .35 .617. 2004. 2018. 2019. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2067 al Tyc $\operatorname{Pr} v g \mid$ каı or ${ }^{2}$ As 025. 046. 10 (-35). 250. 2037. 2067
 $\mathbf{v g}^{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}} \mathrm{arm}^{2}$ 4. a (eth) | anoбтodoc . . . $\left.\pi \rho \circ \phi \eta \tau a \iota\right] \sim$ eth |.

28f. фарцакьа ANC 025.104 .172 .2019 .2038 .2067 :

 ทoac $172 \mathbf{s}^{1}$ eth $\mid$.
24. єv auty] > Tyc : in te Preth |aıpa AnC 025. I. 2020. 2036. 2037 alp : ацлата 046. 051. 19 (-2020). 250. 2038. 2067

 Tyc : +ayıu 468 |.

## Chapter XIX.



"A $\lambda_{\eta} \lambda^{\prime}$ ovad.

 ANC 025. 046. 18 (-35. 468. 2020). 250 alpl ${ }^{\text {pr }}$ gig vg s ${ }^{2}$ bo : pr кal 051. т. 35. 468. 1957. 2019. 2020. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2041. $2067 \mathrm{~s}^{1}$ arm eth $/ \omega \sigma$ ANC 025. 046. 10 ( -620.
vol. II, - 23




3. каi סeúrєpov єĭ $\eta \kappa a \nu$
"A $\lambda \lambda \eta \lambda$ ové.




632**. 2020). $250.2037^{* *}$ al vg bo : tr after $\mu c \gamma a \lambda \eta v 2019$ eth : $>1$. 104. 181 241. 336. 620. 632**. 2020. 2038. 2067 Tyc $\operatorname{Pr}$ gig s arm $\mid \mu \epsilon \gamma a \lambda \eta \nu] \mu \epsilon \gamma a \lambda o v 337.63^{\text {** }}:$ tr after mod $10 v 1957$. 2023. 204r al : > 1. 14r. 2036. 2038. 2067 Pr arm $\mid$ oxdov $\pi o \lambda \lambda o v]$ ox $\lambda \omega \nu \pi o \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \operatorname{Pr} \mathrm{vg}^{f} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{s}^{1}$ : tubarum multarum vgi,'v $\operatorname{arm}^{2}$ : aquarum multarum $\mathbf{v g}^{d} \mid$ ro $\left.\lambda \lambda o v\right]>\operatorname{arm}^{3 .} a:+$ clamantium

 $\eta \tau \mu \eta 63^{2 * *} \mathrm{arm}^{2 .}$ a bo sa) к. $\eta$ 反uv. AN ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ 025. 35. (632**). 2019. 2020. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2040 Tyc vg s ${ }^{1}$ arm $^{2}$ a bo sa eth : $\eta$
 $250 \mathrm{al}^{\mathrm{pl}} \mathrm{gig} \mathrm{s}^{2} \mathrm{arm}^{3}$ : к. $\eta \delta v v .>x^{*} \operatorname{Pr} \operatorname{arm}^{4} \mid$ т. $\theta$ eov $\eta \mu \omega \nu>$ 2067 | rov $\theta$ cov ANC 025. 046. 19 ( $-63^{2 * *}$ ). 250. $203^{8}$ alpl gig
 1. $2037 \mid \eta \mu \omega \nu]+$ est $\mathrm{vg} \mid$.
2. ai $\eta \theta$ เval $]$ expıvev $a \lambda \eta \theta \eta 468 \mid$ ori $\left.^{2}\right]$ qui $v g:+$ sic $\operatorname{Pr}$

 кС 025. 1. 172. 632**. 2019. 2036. 2037. 2040 al : ठıєфөєцреv 046. 19 ( $-63^{* * *}$. 2040). 250. 2067 al : eкреvev $\mathrm{A} \mid$ торveca C

 кк тทб Хецроб 1. 35*. 620. 632**. 920. 2018. 2019. 2036. 2037.


 2038: єцркабเv 6п. 69. 201. 250. 386. 20402067 al : єเтav (-ov
 $\operatorname{arm}^{(2.3) . ~} 4$ sa eth : єєp $\begin{gathered}\text { кev } 046.19(-35.386 .2020 .2040) \\ \text { al bo }\end{gathered}$ $0>386 \mid$ avtno] autuv Tyc : de illa $\operatorname{Pr}:>1.241$. 632**. 2067 avaßauce] ave $\beta a \iota \epsilon \varepsilon$ 172. 2018. $2020 \mathrm{~s}^{2}$ : ave $\beta_{\eta} 2036$. 2037 s $^{1}$ arm : ava $\beta$ preтal bo $\mid$.
4. etreaar ANC $025 \cdot 046^{+}$. 1. 325. 337. 498 620. 2023. 2036.





 Naí, кüpıє, ò өєòs è таутокрárwp,

(a) On the restoration of xvi. $5^{\mathrm{b}}-7$ to their original context, see vol. ii. i 16 , 120-124
2038. 2041 : $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \sigma 0 v$ 046**. 10 ( -325.337 .620 ). 250. 2037. 2067: tr after $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta .620 \mid$ ou ( $>69$ ) єккобь тєбб. $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta$. А 046. 18. 61. 69. 201. 337. 386. 920. 1957. 2004. 2019. 2036. 2037.
 (-18. 337. 386. 620. 920. 2004). 1. 250. 2038 alpl $^{\text {pl }} \mathrm{vg} \mathrm{s}^{2} \mid$ єкк. т $\in \sigma \sigma$.] кर' 046. 1. 35. 337. 468. 919. 920. 1849. 2004. 2038. 2040


 1. 35. 386. ${\text { 468. } 498.620 .632^{\text {年. }} \text {. 920. 1957. 2019. 2020. } 2023 .}^{2}$. 2036. (2037). 2038. 2041. 2067 al $\mid a \mu \eta \nu a \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda$.] $\sim 35:>\operatorname{arm}^{4} \mid$ $a \mu \eta \nu>337|a| \lambda \eta \lambda .>\operatorname{Pr} \mid$.
 ANC 025. 20 (-337. 617. 632*. 919. 920. 1849. 1934) al : od 046. 61. 69. 82. І10. 141. 337. 385. 429. 452. 522. 617.919.
 046. 175. 250. 325. 337. 456. 468. 617. 620. 919. 1849. 1934. 2004. $2020 \mathrm{al}^{\mathrm{mu}} \mathrm{arm}^{2}$ : o ooto $\approx 025$. 051. 35. 94. 181. 201. 205. 314. 386. 517.632 . 920. 1957. 2015. 2016. 2018. 2023. 2036. 2038. 2041. 2067 al $\left(\mathrm{arm}^{4}\right)$ : sanctus vg : кal ortoo 2040 s : et sanctus gig armi. $\mathrm{a}_{\text {a }}$ sa eth : кa. o ootor I. 18. 424.2019. 2037 : et qui es pius $\operatorname{Pr}:>$ bo .
 $\left.\phi \eta r \omega \nu] \sim 206.2017 \mathrm{~s}^{1} \mathrm{arm}^{3} \mid a \gamma \omega \nu\right] \mu a \rho r \nu \rho \omega \nu$ bo $\left.\mid \mathrm{Kal}^{8}\right]$ ideoque




 pr ort 336. 620. 628. 1918 $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{gig}) \mathrm{s}^{2}$ bo (sa) eth : pr ut vge $\mathrm{E} \cdot \mathrm{F}$.





 та入入и̂v каì ós фшvท̀v ß
＇ $\mathrm{A} \lambda_{\eta} \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\text {ovtá }}$





（ $>$ arma）r．Ovo．Xeyougav 2019 arm ${ }^{*}$ ：alterum（aliam vocem Pr）
 Seкcual］～armi．（8）．a（bo）eth｜Siкalat］o Sıкaioo bo $\mid$ кai $^{2}>$ bo $\mid$ ．

 10 （ -35 ．175．617．632＊＊．1934）． 250 al ${ }^{\mathrm{ma}}$ ：єк к 025．1． 35 ． 175．24r．242．617．632＊＊．1934．1955．1957．2016．2017． 2019. 2023．2036．2037．2038．204I．2067 al ${ }^{\text {mu }} \mid$｜ gig vg s arm ${ }^{3 .}$ a bo ：ovpavou 046 6I． $\left.69 \mathrm{Pr} \mid \epsilon \xi \eta \lambda \theta \sigma v\right] \operatorname{tr}$ before
 （－325）．250．2037． 203 8． 2067 alpl $\mid \eta \mu \omega \nu]$ v $\mu \omega \nu \operatorname{Pr}:+$ auvecte
 eth ：$>$ NC 025 ｜autov］dominum $\operatorname{Pr}:$ ro ovo $\mu$ a avtov $\mathrm{s}^{1} \mid \mu$ екро

 181.

6．$\omega \sigma^{1}$ AK 025．046． $19 \mathrm{vg} \mathrm{s}^{2}$ bo ：tr after $\phi \omega v \eta v 2019 \mathrm{~s}^{1}$ arm ${ }^{4}$ eth ：$>$ I＊．rio．172．181．2016．2018．2037．2038． 2067
 vg）magnarum（－ae vg）Pr vgan o．d．f． $\mid \omega \sigma^{2} \times 025.046 .10(-386)$ Prgig vg s armá 4．a bo eth $:>$ A 181．201．314． 386 Tyc｜v\＆ar．

 172．314．2018．2019．2020．2036．2037．2040．（2067）al Pr gig vg ：入еуоитєб（－тоб 620）．046． 18 （－35．2020．2040）alma ：

 Өcoo 2038： 0 日eor 051．1．110．181．2019． 2067 ：кuplor $\operatorname{Pr} \mathrm{s}^{2}$｜ －Өcoo A r．2023． 2040 Cyp s ${ }^{1}$ arm ${ }^{2.4}$ bo sa eth：$+\eta \mu \omega r$ K 025. 046． 18 （－2040）．250．2037． $203^{8} 2067$ alpl$^{\text {pl }}$ Tyc Pr gig vg sis $\mathrm{arm}^{\mathrm{s} \cdot}$ a｜о таутокр．$>\mathrm{gig} \mid$ ．
 AK 02 5．1．94．172．181．2018．2019．2036．2037．2038． 2040.



$$
\text { ßنvббvov } \lambda a \mu \pi \rho \grave{\nu} \text { ка日apóv. (a) }
$$



 rêv dyluy darlv. See vol. ii. 127 sq .
(b) Text adds a doublet of xxii. 6². 8-9: кal $\lambda$ tyec pot Oírot ol $\lambda 6$ (out al




aut.] glorificemus nomen eius Tyc arm ${ }^{2} \mid \delta \omega \sigma \sigma \mu \sigma \nu \mathrm{A} \kappa^{0} \operatorname{Pr} \mathrm{gig} \mathrm{vg}$ $\operatorname{arm}^{4}$ : $\delta \omega \sigma \omega \mu \epsilon \nu(\delta \sigma \sigma \omega \mu e \nu 2019) 025.2019 .2036: \delta \omega \mu \varepsilon \kappa^{*} 046$. 051.18. r. 61. 69. 104. 24I. 242. 250. 314. 1957. 2018. 2023.



 avtov] avtw $\mathrm{arm}^{2}$ bo $:>$ 1. 104. 181. 336. 620. 1918|eavtpv] autnv 18. 2037 |.

 AN 025 . 104. 620. 1957.2040 Tyc Pr gig vgac. f.g. h. arm $^{2}$ bo eth : ~ 05 I. 35. $2036.203^{8}$ : $\lambda а \mu \pi$. кац каӨ. 046.18 (-35. 620. 2040). $250 \mathrm{alpl}^{\mathrm{pl}} \mathrm{vg}^{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{s}^{2}$ : ка. ка. $\lambda a \mu \pi$. 1. 2019. 2037. $2067 \mathrm{~s}^{1}$ :

 r al gig vg sid.

 єг $>149 \mid$ то $A N ~ 025.18(-386.468$. 620. 2020) alpl : тоv 046.172 .336 . 386. 468. 498. 522. 620. 2020 | тоv уароv Ах์ 046. 18 (-620). $250.2067 \mathrm{al}^{\text {pl }} \operatorname{Pr} \mathrm{vg} \mathrm{s}^{(1) .2} \mathrm{arm}^{2.8}$. a sa eth $:>\boldsymbol{N}^{*} 025$. 1. 336. 620. 1918. 2019. 2036. 2037. $203^{8}$ gig arm $^{4}$ bo $\mid$ apvıov]
 comm. of Aretas) 314. 2016 | к. $\lambda_{\text {ev }}{ }^{2}{ }^{2}$. . . єt $\sigma t v>$ arm $^{3 . E} \mid$
 ${ }^{2} \mathrm{al}^{\mathrm{pl}}$ ( Pr ) gig vg (s) $\mathrm{arm}^{2.4}$ (bo) sa: $>\mathrm{N}^{*}$ 314. 522. 2019. 2020

 2038. 2067 alpl $^{1}$ arm ${ }^{4}$ sa $\left.\mid a \lambda \eta \theta \iota v o t\right]$ vera et iusta $\operatorname{Pr}$ arm $^{2} \mid a \lambda \eta \theta$. rov $\theta_{\text {cov cutv } A} 025.046 .18$ ( -35 . 2020. 2040). 250 alpl gig

 $\mathbf{M}^{\mathrm{c}} \mathbf{5 2 2}^{22} 2040 \mathrm{vg}^{\mathrm{g}}$.

 кai loov̀ immos $\lambda$ cuкós,




 min aúros.
046. 18. 175. 468. 6г7. 632. 920. 1849. 1934. 2004. $2040 \mathrm{al}^{\text {mu }}$

 $\mathrm{s}^{2} \mathrm{arm}^{2}$ : каu ( $>$ bo sa) прабекvvךба avrш 025. 2036. 2037. 2038

 $4^{688^{m g}}$ eth : "obey (see thou art evil, and he said to me arm${ }^{2}$ ). Fall thou ( $>\mathrm{arm}^{2}$ ) not down before me " armi. 8. e $\mid \mathrm{o} \mathrm{\rho a}>\mathrm{s}^{1}$ bo $\mid$
 + каи 1. 181. $\left.203^{8} \mid \mathrm{I} \eta \sigma 00^{1}\right]$ pr тои 241. 429**: + хриттои $\operatorname{Pr}$
 $\iota \eta \sigma o v]$ sanctificatio enim testificationis $\operatorname{Pr} \mid$ то $\pi v . \quad \tau \eta \sigma \pi \rho \circ \phi$. spiritus est et prophetiae gig : "the spirit holy which is in the prophets" arm ${ }^{2} \mid$ I $\eta$ oov ${ }^{2}$ AN 025. 046. 1. 35. 61. 69. 181. 336. 2019. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2040. 2067 : pr тоv 18 ( -35. 1934. 2040). 250. 314. 2018 alpl : tov vıov 242. 1934 \| $\pi \rho 0 \phi \eta$ -



 $250 \mathrm{al}^{\mathrm{pl}} \mathrm{s}$ bo sa eth : vocatur (vocabatur $\operatorname{Pr} \mathrm{Cyp} \mathrm{vg} \mathrm{arm}^{2}$ ) fidelis et verus (verax gig: + vocatur vgac.e.d.f.g.b) Tyc Pr Cyp gig vg
 (35* ?). 91. 175. 181. 242. 314. 617. 1934. 2016. 2017. 2036
 iustumque iudicat $\operatorname{Pr} \mid$ к. mod. $>$ Tyc bo $\mid$.
18. ou $\delta \epsilon]+$ ot $\kappa$ : кat ou Tyc bo $\mid \omega \sigma$ A 172. 250. 1957. 2018. 2019. 2040 al $\operatorname{Tyc} \operatorname{Pr}$ gig vg $\mathrm{sarm}^{24}$ bo sa eth $:>N 025$. 046. 18 ( -2040 ). т. 2037. 2038. 2067 al arm $^{3 .}$ \& |emi. т. кєф.] $\omega_{\nu} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\kappa} \epsilon \phi a \lambda \eta$ 61. 69 : in capite gig vg | $\left.\epsilon \chi^{\omega \nu}\right]$ et habebat (habens
 A 025. 1. 35*. 104. 175. 241. 242. 617. 632**. 1934. 2016. 2019. 2036. 2037. 2038 al Tyc (Pr) Cyp gig vg si $\mathrm{arma}^{a}$ bo sa :






рераитит. mevor
 iñols $\lambda$ curoís,

325. 468**. 617. 632**. 1934). 250. $2067 \mathrm{al}^{\mathrm{ma}} \mathrm{s}^{2} \mathrm{arm}^{8} \mid \gamma \kappa \gamma \rho$, 0 ovo. $>\mathrm{N}^{\text {* }}\left|0>\mathrm{arm}^{3}\right|$ avtoo $]$ qui accipit gig : $+\mu$ ovor 2026 bo eth .
18. $\pi \in \rho 1 \beta \varepsilon \beta \lambda_{\eta \mu \varepsilon v o \sigma]}$ circumdatus est Tyc $\operatorname{arm}^{2.3}$ : vertitus erat vg : erat coopertus $\operatorname{Pr} \mid \beta \varepsilon \beta a \mu \mu e v o v$ A 046 . 05 I . 18 ( -2040 ).

 2017. 2018. 2040. Similarly Tyc Pr gig Cyp vg si $\mathrm{arm}^{8}$ bo eth пицать] $\operatorname{pr}$ ev 175. 218. 242. 250. 314. 617. 1934. 2016. 2017

 250. 617. 620. 1934. 1957. 2016. 2019. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2067 al Tyc Pr gig $\mathrm{vg}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{ad.c}^{\mathrm{d}}{ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{s}^{1} \mathrm{arm}^{4}$ : калочнкуоб ( $\mathrm{s}^{\mathbf{3}}$ ) bo | то ov. avt.] avr. ro ov. $69:>$ bo ${ }^{\circ}$.

 241. 242. 250. 429. 1957. 2023. 2024 al Tyc $\operatorname{Pr}$ vg s² sa : autov 2017 : $>$ AN 046. 1. 6I. 69. 94. 104. 172. 181. 314. 325. 336. 468*. 498. 517.617. 620. 920. 2016. 2018. 2020. 2036. 2037. $2038 \mathrm{gig} \mathrm{s}^{1}$ (arm) bo eth |cv $\boldsymbol{\tau}$. oup.] rov oupavov (тuv oupaverv 468** $\left.\mathrm{s}^{1}\right) 468^{* *} .920 \mathrm{~s}^{1}(\mathrm{arm})$ eth : $\boldsymbol{\tau} \mathrm{w}>2040:>$ bo: tr after





 18 (-2040). (1). (94). 250. (2037). 2038 al ${ }^{\text {pl }} \operatorname{Pr} \operatorname{Tyc}$ (gig) ( $\mathbf{s}^{1}$ )


 purpureum gig : $>$ bo .
 ustu AN 025 . I. 35*. 2019. 2020. 2030. 2037. 2038 al gig vg s

##  BACIAEYC BACIAE $N$ KAI KYPIOC KYPI





 (a) Text adds : étil tò lıditoy kal. See vol. ii. 137.
arm bo sa eth : pr סıato 0 or 046. 18 ( $-35^{*} .2020$ ). 250.2067


 т. оиv. т. $\theta \nu \mu .>\mathrm{s}^{1} \mid$ т. $\theta \nu \mu$ оv ( + кан 1. 2019. 2036. 2037. 2038. $2067 \mathrm{alp}^{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{arm}^{3}$ ) placed after otvov A 025.046 . 18 (-2040) al ${ }^{\mathrm{pl}}$ Tyc vg sia arm eth : tr after opyทo K sa : tr before rov olvov
 autov $620 \mid \theta$ eov $\}+$ magni $\operatorname{Pr} \mid$.
七цатьa autov $\mathrm{s}^{1}$ : то $\mu$ ктштоv 2040 : + autov 920 bo sa eth) кal ( $>\mathrm{s}^{1}$ ) $\mathrm{N}^{0} 025.046 .18$ alomn Tyc Pr gig vg s $\mathrm{s}^{(1) .2} \mathrm{arm}$ (bo sa eth) $e \pi \iota>N$ bo sa $\mid$ тоv $\mu \eta \rho o v]$ тоvg $\mu \eta \rho o v \sin ^{1}$ arm $^{(4)} \mid$ avtov $>920 \mid$ окона $\gamma$ кра $\mu \mu$. $>$ arm $^{4} \mid$ оvоца] pr то 1. $2037:>$ gig vg $\mid$.
17. eva A 025. 1. 35. 104. 241. 632**. 1957. 2020. 2023. 2038. 2040. 2041. 2067 al $\operatorname{Pr}$ gig vg eth : addov $\mathcal{K} 20199^{\text {si }} \mathrm{arm}^{4}$. bo sa : + adiov 172. 250. 2018: > 046. 18 (-35. 632**. 2020. 2040). 2037 al $^{\text {pl }} \mathrm{Tyc} \mathrm{s}^{2} \mathrm{arm}^{2} \mid$ eкра色ev AN 025.18 (-18. 2040)
 bo \| фшvך A 025. 35. 175. 250. 468**. 617. 620. 632**. 1934. 2020. 2037. 2038. 2040. $2067 \mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{pl}}$ Pr gig vg : pr cv K 046.18. 61. 82. І04. 325. 336. 337. 386. 456. 468*. 632*. 920. 1849. 2004 al Tyc arm ${ }^{4}$ \& bo sa $\mid \lambda \epsilon \gamma \omega \nu>35^{*}$. 617 | ${ }^{2}$ act row opv.]
 $\operatorname{Pr} \mid \pi \in \tau о \mu . A N ~ 046.18(-456)$ alpl : тeтwh. 025.456 al $\mid$

 '(91. 110). 325. 337. (452). 456.632. (920). 1849. 2004. (2021). 2040. (2041). 2067 al : tov סearv. tov $\mu$ eүav 18. 172. 175. 201. 242. $250.314 .336 .3^{86} .468 .617 .620 .1918 .1934 .2016 .2017$.
 2036. 2037. $2038 \mathrm{arm}^{2}$ a eth | то $\mu \mathrm{e} \gamma \mathrm{a}>\mathrm{gig} \mid$.
18. кан барк. $X 1 \lambda_{1}>$ I. 2023 агma $\mid$ баркаб ${ }^{3}>$ Тус $\mid \kappa$ к. т. каА. . . . таитuv > 717 | avtwv 025. 046. 17 (-617). 250. 2037.

 т $\quad>$ 1. 314. $2067 \mid$ кal $^{7}$ As 025.17 ( $-63^{2 * *} .1849 .2020$ ) alpl









 баркஸิy aư่สิv.

Tyc Pr gig vg s bo : >046. 61. 69. 24I. 429. 522. 632**. 1849 . $2020 \mid \mu к р$ ми AN 025. I. 172. 175. 242. 250. 617. 1934. 2018.
 617. 1934. 2040) al $\left.{ }^{\text {mu }} \mid \mu \epsilon \gamma a \lambda \omega v\right]$ pr тav $\mathbb{N} 2040 \mid$.
 $\gamma \eta \sigma 920 \mid \gamma \eta \sigma]$ окоунєข $\quad 620 \mid \kappa . \quad$ та бтрат.] ката та трат. (sic) $\mathcal{N}^{*} \mid$ autuv N 025.046 .17 ( -456 ?. 620 ?. 920 ?. 2040?). 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 a ${ }^{\text {pl }}$ Tyc Pr gig vg $\mathrm{s} \mathrm{arm}^{\text {1. }}$. a bo : avtov A 3 14.
 17 (-35. 175. 386. 617. 2020. 2040) alp $:>025$. 1. 35. 175.
 גevkov $\operatorname{Pr}$ arm bo sa eth $\mid \mu c r a^{2}>$ bo sa eth | тov orpar.] $\tau \omega v$ отрателиатшу sarm |.
20. каи ${ }^{2}>\operatorname{Pr} \mid \mu$ ет аутои ( $\mu$ ета тоитоу 1. 2023*. 2037. 2038) ○ K (1). 35. 69. 432. 1957. 2020. 2023**. 2036. (2037. 2038).
 bo) o A (025). 202 I ( $\mathrm{arm}^{2}$ bo) : ot $\mu$ er avrov ot $(>424$ ) 424

 signis seducti erant adorare imaginem bestiae et qui acceperant


 17 (-920. 2020). 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 alpl : то $\chi$ ара $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\text {pa }} 046$





 617.2018.2019. 2023. 2036. 2037. $203^{8}$ al : et in sulphur gig: кai Өcov sil : igne et sulphore Pr (cf bo) |.
 ало 920 | арика] ертета 617 †

## RESTORED ORDER OF THE TEXT.

## Chapters XX. 1-3, XXI. 9-XXII. 2, XXII. 14. 15. 17.











$\mu c t a ̀ ~ r a u ̂ r a ~ \delta e i ̂ ~ \lambda u \theta \hat{\eta} v a l ~ a u ̂ t o ̀ v ~ \mu u к \rho o ̀ v ~ \chi p o ́ v o v . ~$

 620). I. 104. 620. 2037. 2067 al $\mid a \lambda v \sigma เ v \mu \epsilon \gamma$.] a $\lambda_{\nu \sigma \epsilon \sigma เ v ~}^{\mu \epsilon \gamma} . \kappa^{*}$ :

 Pr gig vg s arm bo sa eth f.
 alomn : serpentem (pr illum Pr : anguem Tyc) antiquum Tyc Pr
 cognominatus est $\operatorname{Pr} \mid \delta \iota a \beta$ odoc A 046. 18 (-2020. 2050). 250. 2037. 2038. $2067 \mathrm{arm}^{4}$ : pr o $\mathbb{N}$ 69. 498. 2020. 2036. 2050 arm bo sa $\mid \kappa$. о бar. $>205^{\circ} \mid \operatorname{kau}^{2}>$ bo sa eth $\mid 0^{3}$ AK 046. 35. 175. 250. 325. 337. 456. 617. 1934. 2020 al $^{\text {mu }}:>05$ 1. 1. 18. 386. 620. 632. 920. 1849. 2004. 2037. 2038. 2040. 2067 al | (0)
 (2050) Tyc Pr gig vg $\mathrm{s}^{1}$ arm bo : $+0 \pi \lambda a \nu \omega v ~ \tau \eta \nu ~ o \iota к о \nu \mu e v \eta v ~ o \lambda \eta v$ (>61. 385) 046. 051.18 (-175.617.632**. 2040. 2050). 250 .



 avtov A $\mid \pi \lambda a v \eta \sigma \eta(-\sigma \epsilon \mathcal{N})$ AK I. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2040 al :


 241. 386. $2067 \mid \tau \alpha^{2}>05$ I. 1. 181. 2036. $2067 \mid \mu$ ега A* 046.








18(-35. 175. 617. 1934. 2050) al ${ }^{m u}$ Tyc $\operatorname{Pr}$ gig vg(-v) $s^{1}$ : pr кaц 1. 35. 175.617. 1934. 2050 al vgvarm bo eth $:+\delta \in 385.429 \mathrm{~s}^{2}$ |

 250. 617. 1957. 2016. 2020. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038 2041. 2067
 bo sal.

гхі. 9. єб] о $\pi \rho \omega т о \sigma ~ 172.2018 . ~ 2020 \mid e \kappa>1.172 .205$. 2018. 2020. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2067|єттa ${ }^{2}>\operatorname{gig} \mathrm{Vg} \mid \tau \omega \nu$
 үєцоибаб 1. 104. 2037 (s) : үє 385. 1957. 2016. 2023. 2037. 2067 al $^{m u}$ : plenas $\operatorname{Pr}$ gig vg : eXovara $2018 \mid$ т $\omega \nu^{4}$ AN 025 . 1. 35. 104. 172. 205. 632**. 2018 . 2023. 2037. 2050. 2067 al $\mathrm{s} \mathrm{arm}{ }^{4}$ bo : $>046.18$ ( -35.205. 632**. 2050). 250. $203^{8} \mathrm{al}^{\mathrm{ma}} \mid \operatorname{\kappa ail}^{2}>$ bo sa $\mid$ סevpo] + кal vgg
 placed after $v \nu \mu \phi \nu$ Ak 025 . 35. 172. 218. 250. 2018. 2020 Tyc Pr gig vg s (armi. 2. a) bo sa eth : tr after apviov 051. I. 205. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2067 al : tr before $\tau \eta \nu v \mu \mu$. $046.18(-35$.

10. बтПveүкev] ๆveүкєv 2050 : duxit Pr : tulit gig : sustulit vg
 ороб] opovg $2020 \mid \kappa \alpha \iota^{2}>205$ bo $\mid \kappa_{\alpha}{ }^{3}>\operatorname{arm}^{2}$ bo sa $\left.\mid \mu 0 t\right] \mu \epsilon$ 149. 325. 620. $1934 \mid \pi 0 \lambda \iota \nu$ AN 025.046 . 18 ( -35.175 .205.
 35. 175. 205. 250.617 .1957 .2016 .2023 .2036 .2037 .2038. 2041. $2067 \mathrm{al} \mathrm{arm}^{a} \mid$ т $\boldsymbol{v}^{2}$ ] кац 051. 1. 35. 175. 205. 250. 6ı7. 2016. 2036. 2037. $203^{8}$ arm $^{a} \mid$ єк т. ovp. Aк 025.046 .18 (-18. 175. 617. 2004) Tyc Pr gig vg s arm bo eth : aro т. ovp. 18. 175. 218. 250.617 .2004 . 2016. 2017. 2018. 2039 | ато т. Ө. Ax 025. 1. 35. 104. 205. 241. 632**. 1957. 2020. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2050. 2067 al $\mathrm{Tyc} \operatorname{Pr}$ gig $\mathrm{vg} \mathrm{s}^{1} \mathrm{arm}$ bo eth : єк т. 0. 046.18 (-35. 205. $3^{86.632 * * .2020 .2050) . ~} 250$ alpl $:>6$ r. 201. $3^{866 \mid .}$

 claritatis $\operatorname{Pr} \mid$ т. $\theta_{\epsilon}$ ov $]+$ кає 1. 104. $205 \operatorname{Pr} s^{1} \operatorname{arm}^{1 . a}$ (bo) : +є









 1. 35*. 94. 104. 181. 205. 241. 632**. 2020. 2038. 2050. 2067
 632*. 1934. 2020. 2050) : крvбта入ıऍоүтı 025. 046. 1. 18. 110.172. 337. 385. 498. $5^{222.617 .632 \text { ². 1934. 2018. 2020. 2036. 2037. }}$ 2038. 2050 : sicut crystallum (cristallo gig) gig vg : refulgenti in modum cristalli Pr : "crystal-seeming (-gleaming arm ${ }^{1}:>$ arm $^{4}$ ) and ( $>\operatorname{arm}^{4}$ ) luminous (living arm ${ }^{2}$ a) "arm |.
12. exouaal ${ }^{1}$ exoutı $\kappa$ : exougav 172. 2018 Tyc: + те 1. 2037. 2067 : et habebat (-et $\operatorname{Pr}$ ) $\operatorname{Pr} \operatorname{vg} \mid v \psi \eta \lambda o v$ ] latum $\operatorname{Pr} \mid$ exova ${ }^{2}$ ] ехоибау 104. 172. 2018. 2050 al Tyc : eхоутаб $\kappa^{*}:$ pr кац 2036.


 in portas ( + habens gig) Pr gig |arr.] angulous $\operatorname{Pr}$ vgac. $\mathrm{c}: \mathrm{pr}$
 205. 617. 1934. 2020). 104. 110. 172. 201. 498. 522. 2018. 2023.

 cf. inscripta vgar 8 a cotuv . .. I $\sigma \rho a \eta \lambda>\operatorname{arm}^{\alpha} \mid a$ e $\sigma \tau \iota v>\operatorname{Pr}$

 205. 241. 432. 1918. 1957. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 204 I. 2067
 386. 920. 2050). $250.203^{8} \mathrm{al}^{\mathrm{man}}$ : $\mathrm{pr} \mathrm{r}^{\omega \nu \nu} 025.05$ 1. 1. 35. 104. 1957. 2023. 2041. 2050 al $^{2 r m}{ }^{4}$ : $>$ 181. 201. 241. 386. 517 . 920. 2017. 2036. 2037. 2067 s $^{1}$ arm $^{12}$ a | I $\sigma$ pand] pr тov 201. 205. 386. 2017. 2036. 2067 \}.
18. avaroino As 02 5. 1. 205. 2017. 2020. 2037. 2038. 2050. 20678 : avarod $\omega$ 046. 18 (-205. 2020. 2050). 250 al $\mid$ кat ${ }^{1 .}$. 3

 046. 18 ( - 386). 250. (2037). 2038. (2067) alfore oman Tyc Pr gig

 vorov 386. 1957 arm $^{1.2}$ a : yotov . . . Boppa . . . סvб $\mu \omega \nu 522$ :










14. to тexoo] muri Pr arm ${ }^{1}$ ( $\chi^{\omega \nu}$ A 025.046. 1. 104. 498.
 250. 2037. 2067 a $^{\mid p 1}$ : habens gig vg : exx 2020 arma $^{4}$ : habent $\operatorname{Pr}$ :

 $\mathrm{I}^{*}$. 104 | $\epsilon \pi$ avtev] in ipso gig : pr yeypa $\mu \mu \mathrm{va}$ (-ov bo) bo eth |


 apviov] pr кac Tyc $\operatorname{Pr}:$ tov vıov $\mathbf{s}^{1}$.
15. кaıl $>2050$ arm $^{4} \mid \mu$ етроу каланоv AN $^{*}$ 025. 046.18 (-2050). 250.2067 alpl $^{\text {pl }}$ Tyc gig s ${ }^{2}$ arm $^{4}$ : harundinem (auream)
 suram harundineam vg: $\mu$ eтроv каланоv $\mathrm{s}^{1}: \mu$ етрог $>\mathrm{I} .2036$.

 ( $\tau a$. $\pi \times \eta$ Pr arm bo eth) autho ( $>\mathrm{vg}$ ) AN 025. 175. 205. 617. 632. 1934. 2020. 2050 Pr gig vg s arm bo eth $:>046$. 05 1. 18. 35. 325. 337. 386. 456. 620. 920. 1849. $2004 \mathrm{a}^{\text {pl }} \mid$.

 2067 alpl $\left.\mathrm{gig}^{1} \mathrm{arm}^{1} \mid \pi \lambda a \tau o \sigma\right]+\operatorname{av\tau \eta \sigma } \mathrm{s}^{1} \operatorname{arm} \mid \tau \omega \kappa \kappa \lambda a \mu \omega \mathrm{AK}$ 046. 18 ( -35.175 .205 .617 ) : pr av 025. 1. 35 175. 181. 205. 250. 617. 2016. 2017. 2036. 2037**. 2038. 2067 : harundine (pr devg) Pr gig vg sa : > bo arm |enl] per gig vg:ab $\operatorname{Pr} \mid$
 $025.1 .205 .2018 .2036 .2037 .2038 .2067 \mid \delta \omega \delta$ eкa AN 025.
 205. 632**. 2050). 110. 201. 385. 498. 522. 2016 al ${ }^{m a} \mid \chi^{\text {ilcadwr }}$ Ax 025. 35*. 632**. 2020. 2050 Pr gig vg sil bo : pr kat 046 : + бобкка ( $\beta^{\prime}$ 046. 35**). 046.18 ( $-35^{* *} .63^{* *}$. 2020. 2050).

 r. $240 \sigma>$ arm $^{2} \mid$ aurno ${ }^{2}>205.2050$ Pr gig arm $\mid$.



 iotv áryélov.


 кекоб $\mu \eta$ ívou' $^{\prime}$

##  $\chi^{a \lambda \times \eta \delta \dot{\omega},}$


 2004. 2020 : nonaginto octo gig | тебберак.] тебтаракогта 025. 175. 205. 386. 617. 620. 1934 : бєракоита (биракинта 2050)

 $\left.\pi \eta \chi^{\omega \nu} \mathrm{s}^{2}\right) \mathrm{s}^{\mathbf{2}}$ bo |av(pwiov] oupavou 2050 |.
18. к. $\eta$ evowi. tou тetx.] in structura murus gig $/ \eta^{1}$ Aкc 025 Tycs armi. 2.4: $\eta \nu \boldsymbol{s}^{*}: \eta \nu \eta$ 046. 18. 250. 2037. 2038. $2067 \mathrm{alpl}^{\text {pl }}$



 2034. 2036. 2042 vg : xpuovov (pr єк Pr) кäapov Pr sarmi. 2.4
 AN 025. 046. 18. 175. 337. 456. 617. 920. 1934 : ved (ve $\lambda \lambda \omega$ 385. 498) 35. 205. 325 . $3^{86}$. 620. 632. 1849. 2004. 2020. 2050
 mundum (purum gig) simile ( +et gig ) vitro mundo (puro gig) Tyc gig : ipsa vero civitas ex ( $>\mathrm{vg}$ ) auro mundo simils vitreo (simile vitro vg ) mundo $\operatorname{Pr} \mathrm{vg}: \eta \pi 0 \lambda \omega\left(+o \lambda \eta \mathrm{arm}^{4}\right.$ ) xpuacov
 was wrought of gold pure like glass pure" bo : o 0 oa $\eta$ modec $\omega \sigma$

19. ot $\theta \in \mu \varepsilon \lambda_{\text {гo }} \mathrm{AN}^{c} 025.046 .18(-35) .250 \mathrm{al}{ }^{\mathrm{mu}} \mathrm{Tyc} \mathrm{vg} \mathrm{sa}$ : $\mathrm{pr} \kappa \mathrm{\kappa}_{\mathrm{N}}{ }^{*}$ 1. 35. 104. 2018. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2067 s arm
 $\operatorname{Pr} \mid \pi a v \tau \iota \lambda \iota \theta$. $\tau \mu$.] omnia ex (ex omn $\operatorname{Pr}$ ) lapide pretioso Tyc




 2020. 2050 :-tpor 025. 046. 2004 Pr vg gig bo : saffyrus Tyc| ${ }^{4}$ ] $\mathrm{pr} \mathrm{kou} \mathrm{N}^{1} \mathrm{arm}^{2}$ eth. (Also before $0^{5}$ and ver. $20 \mathrm{o}^{1-9} \mathrm{~K} \mathrm{arm}^{2}$



 д $\mu$＇$\theta$ voros



22．кaì vaìv oủk cîov ìv aủrn̂，


（a）Lacuna restored by means of xi．19．See vol．i． 170 sq ．
 $2050 \mathrm{vg}^{\mathrm{R}} \mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{v}} \mathrm{s}^{1}$ bo ：ка入ı $\delta \omega \nu \mathrm{s}^{2}$ ：sardonius（－icus $\mathrm{vg}^{\mathrm{d}}$ ）Tyc $\mathrm{vg}^{\mathrm{d}} \mid$ ．

 барঠьoб 1．35．104．205．2020．2037．2038． 2067 alp $\operatorname{Pr}$ vg ${ }^{\text {T }}$ ： sardinus（－onum Tyc ：－inon bo）Tyc vg ${ }^{v}$ bo ：$\sigma a \rho \iota \delta o v\left(\sigma a \rho \delta o v \mathbf{s}^{1}\right.$ ）
 $\lambda_{\iota}$ о $(-\iota \circ \nu 025: \beta \iota \rho u \lambda \lambda-046: \beta \eta p 1 \lambda \lambda-1) 025.046 .051 .1$ 61． 104.
 （ $\beta v \rho i \lambda \lambda-2023$ bo） 149.2023 bo｜evatoo A $046 \mathrm{~min}^{\text {pl }}$ ：evatoa $025.051 .35^{*}$ ．205．241．242．385．522．632．2016．2023． 2037.
 $\zeta$ ¢ov（toravoıov $\mathbf{s}^{1}$ ） $025 \mathbf{s}^{1}$ ：$\pi a \zeta$ לov 456 ：topaxinos $\operatorname{Pr} \cdot$ dopation

 2024）．61．82．141．337．385．429＊．456．498．522．1849． 2024 ：
 gig｜a $\mu \mathrm{e} \theta \mathrm{v} \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ тоб A 025 ．046．110．175．325．456．617．620．2004．
 2004）．1． 104 alpl $^{\text {p1 }}: \alpha \mu \nu \theta \in \sigma \sigma \sigma s^{1}$

21．ot $\delta \omega \delta \in \kappa a$ пu $\lambda \omega v e \sigma]$ duodecim portas $\operatorname{Pr}$ ：ideo Tyc $\delta_{\omega \omega \delta}$.

 $205 \mid \tau \omega \nu \pi v \lambda$. ．．．$\mu a \rho \gamma a \rho \iota \tau o v>$ bo $|\tau . \pi \nu \lambda . \eta \nu>\operatorname{Pr}| \pi v \lambda \omega \nu \omega \nu]$ $\pi v \lambda \omega \nu$ 498．2020：$\left.+\omega \nu \kappa^{*} \mid \epsilon \xi\right]$ pr $\omega \sigma$ 025．046．61． $2036 \mid \pi \lambda a \tau$ ． т．тод．］plateas eius $\operatorname{Pr} \mid$ xpva．ка．．］ex auro limpido（puro $\mathbf{s}^{1}$
 02 5．046．175．205．456． 617 ：ve入o ${ }^{2}$（ve $\lambda \lambda$－385．498．2020） $\min ^{\mathrm{pl}}$｜SLavy\％］SLavyer 205 ！．

20．vaov ouk atiov］ovk cidov vaov bo sa eth｜ev avtp］av avto
 AN 025.046 min $^{\mathrm{pl}}$ Tyc $\operatorname{Pr}$ gig vg s ${ }^{2}$ arm $^{2.4}$－bo sa：$\theta$ eoc 337. 1934 eth ：кupıo $920 \mathrm{~s}^{2} \mathrm{arm}^{1} \mid \mathrm{o}^{4} \mathrm{~A} \mathrm{~s}^{2}$ ：autoo $\mathrm{s}^{1}:>\mathrm{K} 025.046$
 фаivшotr aữ $\hat{n}$,

kai ó $\lambda \underline{1} X$ vos autrys tò àpviov.




 (a) Read kal vuxtbs. See vol. ii. 173.
 $2050 \mid$ apviov] +" the only begotten son ( $>2$ ) of $\operatorname{God}(+$ is 2) altar of holness" arm ${ }^{1.2} \mid \mathrm{k}$. тo apvov] joined with what follows $046 \mathrm{~s}^{1}$.

 фavy $\operatorname{Pr} \mid$ aurt $\mathrm{An}^{*} 025.046 .18(-35)$ alpl $\operatorname{Pr}$ gig s eth : pr «v $\mathbb{R}^{c} 05 \mathrm{I}$. 35. 1957. 2023. 2037. 204I alp vg bo sa : aut 2018.
 2020. 2050 al Pr gig vg s arm ${ }^{1 .}$ a bo eth : aìrì $\begin{aligned} & \text { ap } \eta\end{aligned} 046$. 18 ( -35 .



 Tyc vg ${ }^{\top} \mid$ кal or $>2050 \mid \phi \in p o v a t v$ (afferent gig vg bo : conferent Pr) Ar 02 5. 35. 2020. 2050 Tyc Pr gig vg $s$ arm $^{4}$ bo : +
 632**. 2020. 2050: >046. 18 (-35. 632**. 2020. 2050) alp dogav AR 025. 1. 35. 60. 94. 241. 632**. 2020. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2050. $2067 \mathrm{Pr} \operatorname{gig}(\mathrm{vg}) \mathrm{s}^{1} \mathrm{arm}^{4}$ bo $\mathrm{sa}:+\kappa \kappa \mu(+\tau \eta \nu 250) \tau \mu \eta \nu 046$. 18 ( -35 . 632**. 2020. 2050). 42. I 10. 201. 209. 242. 250. $3^{385}$. 429.498. 522. 2016. 2017. 2024. $2039 a^{1{ }^{1 n u}} \mid$ avtwv AN 025. 35.
 046. 18 (-35. 632**. 2020. 2050). 250 alpl (bo) : + кац т ${ }^{\text {pl }}$ $\tau \mu \eta \nu\left(+\tau \omega \nu \epsilon \theta \nu \omega \nu \mathrm{s}^{2}\right) \mathrm{vg} \mathrm{s}{ }^{2}$ bo | verses $25,26>337 \mid$.


 axci] tr before ouk cotiv Tyc $\mid$.
28. owourv] ponet $\operatorname{Pr}$ : $\eta \xi$ ovarv bocodd (ourovatv boa) $\mid \tau \eta \nu^{2}$ $>2050 \mid$ Sofav $]+$ auruv bo eth | $\tau \mu \eta \nu]+a v \tau \omega \nu$ bo eth | twv e $\theta v \omega v$ ] ra etm bo eth | etr aurqu (in illa gig : in ea $\operatorname{Pr}$ ) AN 025.
 18 (-35. 205. 632 ${ }^{\text {** }}$. 2050) alpl .



 radㅅov,




Trât


(a) Primutive corruption for râs xowbs. As the rest of the verse (ef. xxii. 15) shows, only persons are contemplated : cf. vol. ii. 173 sq. arm ${ }^{\text {C }}$ (2. a) definitely restore the text. $\mathrm{s}^{1.2}$ are susceptible of either text.


 nown Anc 61. 94. 202 I. 2032. 2050 : motovv 025. 046.05 I. 1. 35. 175. 250. 617. 1934. 2037. 2038. 2067 : faciens Tyc Pr vg :
 110. 141. 201. $241 \quad 336$ alpl $s\left(\mathrm{arm}^{4}\right)$ : quod facit gig : ou



 ovpavov $K$ : тov $\beta_{\iota} \beta$ дıov 2050 : illius agni $\operatorname{Pr} \mid$.
xxii. 1. тотадои AN 025. 046. 17 (-35. 175. 205. 617. 1934. 2020) al ${ }^{\text {mu }}$ Tyc Pr gig vg sarm ${ }^{(1) .4}$ bo sa eth : +кatapov 35. 104. 175. 205. 209. 218.242 .250 .506 .617. 1934. 1957. 2016. 2017. 2020. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2041. 2067 : pr каӨapov I

 gig : candidum Pr eth : pr кa甘apov кac sis $\mathrm{s}^{1}>141.2020 .203^{8}$





 A 046.17 (-35. 205. $63^{24 *} .2050$ ). $250 \mathrm{al}^{\text {pl }}$ gig $\mathrm{s}^{\boldsymbol{q}}$ arm $^{1 .}$ a bo sa : evteverv 051. 1. 35. 205. 632**. 1957. 2018. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2050. $\left.2067 \mathrm{~s}^{1} \mid \xi \cup \mathcal{L} 01\right]$ "trees" arme| nowv N 046. 051.

 YOL. 11.-24










 ккабтор（екабтоб 2020）aтоঠঠסоvб к 35．2020． 2050 al ：к．$\mu \eta \nu a$



 1918）：per singulos menses et（ $>\mathrm{Pr}$ gig）reddens（reddentes Pr ） Tyc Pr gig ：per menses singulos reddens $\mathrm{vg}^{\mathrm{d} . \mathrm{v}}:$ per menses

 385．429．632＊．1849．2004｜avtou］autwy 2050 arm＂：$>205$｜
 617．2016．2017｜$\tau \omega \nu]>N$ ：＂the eyes of the＂bo｜．

 таб evto入aの avtov（є $\mu$ оv 2067）046． 17 （－2020．2050）． 250. 2037．2038． 2067 alpl gig Cyp s arm ${ }^{\text {（1）．4．a bo ：（qui）servant }}$




 $\left.s^{1}\right\rangle \mathbf{s}^{1}$ bo sa ：foris autem remanebunt $\operatorname{Pr} \operatorname{arm}^{1}$ ：＂and there shall


 1934．2020．2050）alma $:+0$ 1．104．175．205．250． 429＊＊．$^{\text {m17．}}$ $63^{* *}$ ．1934．2016．201 7．2020．2032．2036．2037．2038．2050． 2067

 （ +0 2018．2032）ф $\lambda \omega \boldsymbol{\omega}$ 凡̌ 91．175．218．242．250．424．617． 664.
 филоuvter notelv eth｜．

17．ка⿰㇒土 ${ }^{1}>$ Tyc $\mid$ то $>\mathbb{N}$ bo $\left.\mid \pi \nu \in \nu \mu a\right]+$ ayюv $\operatorname{arm}^{1}$ eth $\mid \eta$

Chapter XX. 4-15.
 тupiav 'I $\eta$ бồ (a)
d kai Sià ròv $\lambda^{\prime}$ óou roù $\theta$ eoô,

₹ oùठè tì̀ cikóva aủroû,



b каi крíна idótn au̇roîs.
(a) I have restored $4^{\text {a. }}$ b to their original place after $4^{\mathbf{2}}$. See vol. it 182 sq.
 $\ldots$..epxov $>$ arm $^{1}$ eth $\left|0^{1}>18\right| 0$ axovev ] qui vidit gig :pr


 2050 .



 ouv $\kappa$ cf et si qui Pr gig : "they are those who" arm". 2. ©

 18. 35. 175. 250. 337. 617. 620. 1957. 2017. 2023. 2037. 2038. 2039. 2040. 2067 al | ovбє] ovte 051. 1. 35. 175. 250. 617 . 2037. 2038. $2067 \mathrm{al}^{\mathrm{pm}} \mid \boldsymbol{\tau \eta \nu}$ єкора Aк 046. 18 (-620. 2040. 2050). 1.

 vg | то $\mu$ ктштоу AN 046. 18 ( -386.620 .2050 ) Pr gig arm bo :
 $2050.2067 \mathrm{vg}:+a v t \omega v$ 1. 35. 1957. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038 s $^{1}$
 Seglav xepa armi. al.

 кргца єбоө.] ~ $3^{86 \mid}$.






 -unobjectionable as far as diction is concerned but rejected as a gloss on the following grounds advanced by Mr. Marsh. (x) It is prosaic and made up of words borrowed from $4^{i} 3^{\text {a }}$ or $7^{\text {º}}$. (2) If it were original we should expect it to be introduced ether by kal used adversatively or 0 as in $21^{8}$. The asyndetic construction in $19^{* *}$ is not parallel, for there the thought of the preceding sentences is simply developed further. (3) It spoils the metre. (4) $5^{4}$ follows more naturally a positive than a negative statement.




 AK 1. 35. 175. 181. 250. 424. 617. 1957. 2017. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2041. 2050. $2067 \mathrm{~s}^{1} \mathrm{arm}^{2.4 .4}$ a bo pr тa 046.18 (-35. 1 75. 6ı 7. 2050) al $\mathrm{al}^{\mathrm{pl}} \mathrm{s}^{2}:>\mathrm{arm}^{1} \mid$.
 1934. 2020. 2037. 2038. 2040. 2050. 2067 alp $\operatorname{Pr}$ gig vg (arm) bo sa : > N 18 ( $-35.175^{* *}$. 617.632. 2020. 2040. 2050). 6r. 69. 104. 110. $3^{85}$ al ${ }^{\text {mu }} \mathrm{s} \mid$ oc $\lambda o t \pi o t$ A Pr gig vg : pr кal 046. 1. 35. $175^{* *}$. 181. 250. 336. 617. 632. 1934. 2016. 2020. 2023. 2037. 2038. 2040. 2050. 2067 arm $^{4}$ bo (sa) eth : кal $\mu$ era тavta arm ${ }^{1.2}$. a $\mid$
 2040. 2050 al gig vg arm ${ }^{4}$ bo sa eth : avөp

 ort 104. 336. 620. 1918: pr кal $\mathrm{s}^{1}$ eth : haec est itaque Tyc ${ }^{\text {e. }}$
6. цакарьог] pr кац 18. 632*. 1849. $2004 \mid \kappa$. аүюоб] к. -

 (-тw 69) 61. 69. 385. 2036 Tyc |o סevt. 日av.] o Gav. o deut. ı.



 35. 175. $617.632^{* *}$. 920. 2020. 2040. 2050 Tyc Prgig vg s arm bo eth : $\mu$ era ravta 18 ( $-35.175 .617 .632^{* *}$. 920. 2020. 2040. 2050). 82. 110. 141. 201. 242. 336.498. 1918 $\mid \tau$. $\chi^{\boldsymbol{L} \lambda . ~ e \tau \eta ~}>\mathrm{arm}^{1} \mid$ та $\mathrm{N} \mathrm{046}. \mathrm{61}. \mathrm{69}. \mathrm{94}. \mathrm{241}. \mathrm{386}. \mathrm{(632**)}. \mathrm{920} .\mathrm{2020} \mathrm{:} \mathrm{>A} 051$. 18 ( $-386.632^{* *} . ~ 920.2020$ ). 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 alpt $\mathrm{arm}^{2,4 .}$ \& $f$








7. otav тe入eoty (ote etedeot 175. 250.617 .2020 .2037 .2038 . 2040. 2050. 2067 al $\mathrm{Tyc} \operatorname{Pr}$ gig vg s arm ${ }^{4}$ bo sa eth : $\mu$ era 046 . 18 (-35. 175. 61 7. 2020. 2040. 2050). 6I. 69. 104. $3^{85}$ alpl $^{\text {l }}$ arm $^{\text {1. 2. a }} \mid$ avrov $>$ arm $^{1.2} 2$ a bo eth $\mid$.
 кat bo) $\mathrm{vg} \mathrm{arm}^{2}=$ bo $\mid \mathrm{ra}$ efv A 046.18 ( -386 ) Tyc Prgig vg $\mathrm{s}^{2} \mathrm{arm}^{\mathrm{L} .4}$ (bo) eth : pr mavta $\mathrm{K} 2036 \mathrm{~s}^{1}\left(\mathrm{arm}^{1 . \alpha}\right):$ тa $>386 \mid \mathrm{ra}^{2}$ A 046. 18 (-149). 250. 2037. 2038. 2067 alpl Tyc Pr gig vg s ${ }^{2}$ arm $^{4}:>N$ 6I. 69. 149. 172. 2018 s s$^{1}$ bo sa $\mid$ raur $>$ 1. 69. $432 \mid$
 18 alomin : $>N^{*}$ bo sa|r. 「wy к. M. ovvay. avt.] "Gog and Magog shall be gathered" bo eth | Maywy AN* 1. 1934. 2036. 2037. 2050 bo sa : pr tov ※ ${ }^{\circ}$ 046. 18 (-1934. 2050). 250. 2067
 armi. 2. = sa : pr кac $N$ 051. 35. 175. 617. 2016. $2017.2036 \mathbf{s}^{1}$
 gig $\mathrm{vg} \mid \boldsymbol{r o v}^{2} \mathrm{AK} 046.18(-175.617)$ a $\mathrm{a}^{\boldsymbol{p l}}:>05$ I. 1. 175.250. 617. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2 2 38. $2067|\omega v>2050|$ avt $\omega \nu$ An 046.
 242. 250.617 . 1934. 2020. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. $206{ }_{7} \mathrm{Pr}$ gig
 620. 632. 920. 1918. 1934 .
9. кat ave $\beta$ Пrav] $>6$ I. 69 : + diabolus et populus eius Tyc | $\pi$ лaтoб] altitudinem Tyc gig | eкuклevoav A 046 . 18 ( $-35^{*}$. 175 . 337. 617. 620. 1934. 2020. 2050) 82. 110. 385. 2023 alma :
 2016. 2020. 2037. 2038. 2050. 2067 | т. тарє $\mu$. т. аү.] $]+$ кає


 єк] ато 05 г. І. 35. 93. 205. 620 . $203^{8}$ | ек т. оир. A 94. 18г. 2036.
 Өeov Ne 025. 051. 1. 35. 93. 104. 205. 2037. 2040. 2050 al $\mathrm{vg} \mathrm{s}^{2}$ arm $^{4}:+$ a.то тои $\theta$ cov 046. 10 ( -35 . 205. 2040. 2050). 250.2067. al ${ }^{\text {pl }}$ Tyc gig s armi. 2 a bo sa eth .
10. nai Өelou A 02 5. 046.19 ( $-325.620 .632^{* *} .2040 .2050$ ).

 vuктòs els roùs al̂̈vas тêv alúvouv.
 $\dagger$ aưroû, $\dagger$ (a)


 ivúmiov tov̀ $\theta \rho o ́ v o v$,
 गis Sump,


(a) Wrong construction due to editor.
(b) An interpolation by the editor (?); cf. vi. 16, xii. 14 .
325. 336. $63^{* * *}$. 1918. 201 万. 2018. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2040. 2067 al: : $\eta \nu$ кato $\mu \nu \eta \nu \pi v \rho\left(\right.$ ( $\theta \epsilon \omega$ bo) 2050 bo $:>2038 \mid \kappa a l^{8}$ A 025. 046. 10 (-205. 2050). 250. 2037 alpl Tyc Pr gig vg sis : >


 181. 2038 arma $\mid$ ruv acuvuv >24I. 33 ${ }^{\text {** }}$. 2067 |.
 2050 Pr arm². ${ }^{2}$ eth) $\lambda_{\text {eukov AN } 025 \text {. 046. } 19 \text { (-18. } 175.205 .}$ 337. 617. 2020) al Pr gig vg s armi. 4 bo sa eth : $\sim$ 1. 175. 205 250. 617. 2020. 2037. 2038. 2067 arm $\left.^{*}: \mu \kappa \gamma a \nu>18.337 \mid \epsilon \pi\right]$ बпave K 2020 s bo sal aurov AK I. 2020. 2040 : aurov 025. 046. 19 (-2020. 2040). 250. 2037 alpl $^{\text {p }}:$ avtw 218. 2018.2038. 2067 | Tov AN 025. 2040. 2050 : >046. 19 ( -2040.2050 ) alpl

 1957. 2023. 2041 Pr arm eth | avrov] eorum $\operatorname{Pr}$ bo : ab eis vg : in illis gig .
18. кеүад. . . . нікроиб] ~ 046. 91. 175. 242. 250. 506. 617. 1934. 2016. 2017 bo|т. (каu к*) $\mu$ к. к. т. $\mu к \rho$. (т. рккр. к. т. $\mu$ к.) placed before sarurar AK 025. 046. 35. 205. 632***. 2020. 2037. 2038. 2050. $2067 \mathrm{al}^{\mathrm{ma}} \mathrm{Tyc}$ gig vg sarm ${ }^{4}$ a bo sa eth : placed after eotwrac 91. 175. 242. 250. 506. 617. 1934. 2016. 2017 : placed before tova vexpove 104. $620:>$ r. 18. 82. 93. 110. 201. 325. 337. 385 . 386. 429. 452. 456. 498. 517. 522. $^{2}$ 632*. 920.








 тupós，（b）
（a）The text has been tampered with here．The abode of righteous souls should occur instead of＂the sea．＂Probably of Oyraupol or al aoval（John xiv．2）or $\dot{d}$ rapddeuros（Luke xxiii．43）stood originally in the text．See vol．ii．194－198．
 drawn from xxi． 8 f ．，where it is full of meaning，but nonsensical here．
 35．104．205．432．617．632＊＊．1957．2020．2023．2037．2038．2050： avory $\quad$ oar 2067 ：aperti sunt Tyc Pr gig vg s arm bo ：$\eta$ poukav（－av 42． 325.336 .517 .620 .1918 ） 18 （ $-35.175 .205 .617 .63^{* *}$ ． 1934．2020．2050）．82．110．141．201．385．429．452．498． 522.

 （avє ${ }^{\theta}{ }^{\theta \eta}$ 432．498．2020．2023．2041）$\times 046.19(-35) .250$. 432．498．2016．2023． $2038 \mid \zeta \omega \eta \sigma]$ крเ $\sigma \omega \sigma s^{1}:+$ unius cuiusque



18．$>$ arm $^{1} \mid$ tove ev avtך ．．．veкpovar $>2020 \mid$ r．vekpove t． cv auty AN 02 5．046． 18 （ -35.205 .2020 ）． 250 alpl${ }^{\text {pl }} \operatorname{Prgig~vg~s~}$ bo sa eth ：tove ev autך（avtoto 1）veкpove 051．1．35．205． 2023. 2037．2038．2041． 2069 ：mortuos suos Tyc arm｜к．o 日av．．．．
 ＊025．046． 18 （ -1934.2020 ）alfore omn $T y c \operatorname{Pr}$ gig vg st bo sa
 cv autaug Ak 025.046 .18 （ -35.205 ）．250． $2037 \mathrm{al}^{\mathrm{pl}} \mathrm{vg} \mathrm{s}$ ： tova ev autoco vexpoug 05 1．1．35．205．2023．2038． 2067 eth ： mortuos suos Tyc arma ：mortuos quos in se habebant Pr ：mortuos
 «кагтоб］＋avtwv $\mathrm{s}^{1}:>$ bo $\mid$ avtwv AN 35．205．325． 386.620. 1934．2020． 2050 vg s arm bo ：aurou 046．18．61．69．104． $175 \cdot$ 250． 337.456 .632 ．920．1849． 20042067 sa｜．

14． $\mathrm{o}^{1}>149 \mid$ 日av．．．．adjo］～gig vg eth $\mid$ rov пupoo $]>$


 2021.2038 Pr armi．a bo｜ovroo．．．．corvv＞$>498$｜o oav．o devr］
 placed after $\delta$ ®utepoo A（ $\kappa$ ）025．046． 18 （ -149.205 .2050 ）．61． 69．110．172． $250.2018 .2036 .2037 \mathrm{vg} \mathrm{s}^{2}$ ：after outor 60． 432.


1957. 2023. 2041 gig si arm ${ }^{\mathbf{4}}$ : after $\theta a v a t o \sigma ~ 2067:>104 \mid \eta$ $\lambda_{\iota} \mu \nu \eta \tau_{0} \pi v \rho \circ \sigma>\mathrm{s}^{1} \mathrm{arm}^{4}$.
 025. 35. 104. 205. 2020. 2023. 2036. 2038. 2067 al : тш $\beta \iota \beta \lambda \iota \omega$ 046. 18 ( -35.205 .2020 .2050 ). 1. 250 alpl t

## RESTORED ORDER OF THE TEXT.

Chaptrrs XXI. $5^{\mathrm{a}} .4^{\mathrm{d}} \cdot 5^{\mathrm{b}}$ [ $\left[6^{\mathrm{a}}\right]$. 1-4 $4^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{b} \cdot \mathrm{c}$, XXII. $3^{-5}$, XXI. 5c, $6^{\mathrm{b}}-8$, XXII. 6. 7. $18^{\mathrm{a}}\left[18^{\mathrm{b}}-19\right]$. 16. 13. 12. 10 [11]. 8. 9. 20. 21. See vol. ii. 144-154.


5b. iठoò kaıvà noŵ $\pi$ d́vra (b).
(a) Wrong construction due to editor.
 See vol. ii. 203 sq. xxi. $5^{\circ}$ should be read immediately before xxi. $6^{6}$. Hence correct note in Commentary.
 920. 1849. 2004. 202 I. 2024. $2039 \mid$ elrev] ait gig : $+\mu \mathrm{ot} \mathrm{s}^{1}$
 2037 | тш $\theta_{\text {povel }}$ tov $\theta$ povov 1. 205. 920. 2023. 2038 al |.

4d. Ta A $025.051 .2038 \mathrm{arm}^{4}: \mathrm{pr}$ ort (N) 046.18 alfere omn Pr gig vg s² armi. 2.a bo sa eth : + yap $2036 \mid \tau \alpha \pi \rho \omega \tau \alpha]$ ra $\pi \rho \circ \beta a \tau \alpha$
 A : anj $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \theta$ ov 025. 1. 18. 35. 104. 205. 920. 2037. 2038. 2067 al :


 AK 025.1 172. 205. 432. 1957. 2018. 2020. 2023. 2041. 2050 Pr
 046. 18 (-205. 2020. 2050). 250 alpl$^{1} \mathrm{~s}^{2}:$ каига паита пош 1.


6c. For text of this line see p. 379, line 5. к. deyel $>$ arm $^{2} \mid$入eya (eatev Tyc vgís bo) A o46. т8. 325. 337. 386. 456. 620.
 05 I. 1. 35. 175. 205. 250. 617. 632**. 920. 1934. 2020. 2037. 2038. 2050. 2067 alpl $^{\text {pl }} \mathrm{vg}^{\text {d.f. }} \mathrm{r}^{1}$ bo eth armi.a $\mid$ ypa\%ov ort] ~


1. Kai atíov oủpavòv кauvòv кaì үท̂̀v кauvịv.







> каi av̉тоì 入aòs (a) aùrov̂ !̈́ovтal,
> nad
(a) In the New Jerusalem God has only one $\lambda a b s$. Before the final jurgment our author might have said that God had many גaol. Hence dool (Ak and a few cursives) is a corruption. Otherwise if $\lambda n o l$ is the older reading, then it arose through a misunderstanding of the editor, and $\lambda a b$ ( 025.046 and Versions) is a right emendation of the text.
(b) Read : aitury $\theta$ eds taral or tgrac Aeds aùtûv. See vol. ii. 207 sq . $\delta$
 gloss on $3^{\text {b. }} \mathrm{o}$, but in $3^{\circ}$ it is wholly irrelevant and against the parallelism.
 bo sa eth : $\sim 025.1$ 1. 35. 175. 205. 250. 617. 2037. 2038. 2067



 yeyore $\mathbb{N}^{*} 025.046 .051 .18\left(-386\right.$ 2020) alfare omn $s^{2}$ arm : yeyove $386:$ factum est $\mathrm{Pr} \mathrm{g} \mathrm{g} \mathrm{vg}:>\mathbb{N e}^{c}$ Tyc bo $\mid$.
 кevoo arm $^{4} \mid \pi \rho \omega \tau \eta>42$. 385 Pr bo arm $\mid a \pi \eta \lambda \theta a v$ AN : $a \pi \eta \lambda \theta$ ov 046.18 ( -35 . 205. 620). 42. 61. 110. 201. 250. 385. 429.498. 2017 al Tyc Prs bo : a $\boldsymbol{\pi} \eta \lambda \theta c v 025$. 82. 91. 172. 241. 522. 2016. 2018 gig vg : map $\quad \lambda \theta$ ar 05 I. 1. 35. 205. 620. 2023. 2036. 2037.




 ( -35.205 .920 ). 250. 2067 al Tyc Pr gig vg s arm ${ }^{12} 2^{4}$ bo sa eth : aто т. ө. aк т. oup 025. 1. 35. 205. 1957. 2023. 2036. 2037.


 Opovov AR 94 vg : oupavov 025.046. 18 (-2050) al fane omin Tyc Pr

 aưrüv,








Tyc gig eth | $\lambda$ aoo avr.] tr after eqoutal Pr Tyc gig | $\lambda_{\text {aoo }} 025.046$. 18 (-2050). 250.2067 alpl Tyc Pr gig vg $s$ arm bo sa eth : $\lambda$ aot As


 - हcoo ectal $\mu$ ет autuv ( $\mu$ et autuv ectal 046 gig ) $\mathbb{N} 046 \mathrm{Pr}$ gig bo
 thus; $\mu$ ег avtuv ectal 18 ( $-35.175 .205 .617 .632^{* *} .2050$ ) : cттal $\mu$ ет аutuv 1. 35. 175. 205. 241. 250. 617. 632**. 2016. 2017. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2041. 2067| $\operatorname{\theta co\sigma }$ ( $+\omega v$ 181) autwv 35*. 175. 181. 205. 617.2036. 2037. $203^{8}$ al $:>18$ ( $-35^{*}$. 175. 205. 6i7. 2050). 1. 61. 104. 110. 250. 385. 2067 al $\mid$.
 autwv 18. 325.337. 386. 456. 522.632*. 920. 1849. 2004 al $:>$ N $025.046 .35 .175 .205 .250 .617 .620 .632^{* *}$. 1934. 2020.
 eк r. oфө. avr.] an autav 141. 202I | єк AN 2017 : amo 025.046. 18 alomn $\mid 0^{2}$ A 025.046 .18 ( $-63^{22^{* *}} .2020 .2050$ ). 250. 2037. 2038. $2067 \mathrm{alpl}^{\text {pl }}:>\times 241.62^{* *} .2020 .2050$ bo sa $\mid$ ovk eqtal ert $]$
 2067 | ovtc . . . ovtc . . . ovet] ovde . . . ovif . . . ovie 2050 | тevo.
 $K$ : ov rovor 205. 620 : sed nec luctus ullus $\operatorname{Pr} \mid$ oun cotac ert] oukert «rтal $2050:>\operatorname{Pr}$ arm $^{1} \mid$ ert ${ }^{2}$ ] eTt $\kappa^{*}$ : ort corr first hand: $>\mathrm{I} \mid$.
 eкel 05 I. 1. 35. 104. 175. 205.250. 617.632**. 1934. 2020. 2037. 2038. 2067 al $\mathbf{s}^{1} \mid$ кaı $\left.^{2}\right]$ sed $\operatorname{Pr}$ : otı $\mathrm{arm}^{1} \mid$ o $\theta$ opv. . . . e crat $]$ sedes ... erunt $\mathrm{gg} \mid$ ev avtך ectai] єotac av avti 2050 bo sa


 82. ı10. 175. 181. 205. 337. 456. 522. 61 7. 1849. 2004. 2020.



 кaì Baoulévoovgrv ais toìs aî̀vas tềv aiévav.
 siow.


 סwpeáv.
 82. 93. 2018. 2032. 2050 Tyc $\operatorname{Pr}$ gig vg s $\mathrm{arm}^{1.4}$ bo sa eth: eкє1 051. І. 35. 104. 175. 205.617.620.632**. 1934 al siarme $^{1}$ a $>$
 xptar A 2050 Tyc gig vg $s$ bo: ouk exouru yociar $N:$ रpetav ouk єхоибเข 025 . г. 35. 175. 205. 241. 242. 250. 617. 632**. 1934. 1957. 2016. 2017. 2018. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2041. 2067 $\mathrm{al}^{\text {mu }} \mathrm{arm}^{4} \mathrm{sa}$ : ov xpela 046. 18. 104. IIO. 325. 337. 386. 620.

 $>$ sa) AN 94. 241. 632**. 2020. 2036. 2037. 2050 Tyc Pr gig vg

 $\eta_{\text {人Lov A }}$ 025. 175. 181. 242. 617. 1934. 2017. 2036. 2038. 2050 : lucem (lumen Pr) solis Pr gig : фwroo $\eta$ クrou N I. 35. 205.250. 632 ${ }^{\text {*** }}$. 1957. 2016. 2018. 2020. 2023. 2038. 2041. 2067 Tyc vg
 337. 386. 429. 498. 522. 620. 632 ${ }^{\text {² }}$. 1849. 1918. $2004 \mid$ фштіга А 025.18 I . 452. 2038. $2050: \phi$ тtue $\times 046.051 .17$ ( -175. 617. 1934. 2050). 1. 2037. 2067 alpl ${ }^{\text {pl }}$ : inluminabit Tyc $\operatorname{Pr}$ vg ${ }^{\text {d }}$ $\mathrm{arm}^{4}$ bo sa : фuriĽet 175. 242. 250. 617. 1934. 2016. 2017. 2036 gig $\mathrm{vg}^{\mathrm{n}} 0 . \mathrm{g}$ h. v s : inluminavit $\mathrm{vg}^{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{arm}^{1 . e} \mid \epsilon \pi \mathrm{AN}$ 2018. $3050 \mathrm{Tyc} \operatorname{Pr}$ gig eth : > 025.046 .17 ( -2050 ) alfars oun vg arm. $=$ bo 82 | ßaodievoovarv] regnabit super eos Tyc: $\beta$ aocievo avtav $\mathbf{s}^{1} \mid$.
xxi. $5^{c}$. See p. $37^{6}$ (ad fin.) sq, for notes on this line.
6. еүш equ to A 1918. 2020 Tyc Pr gig vg bo eth : $\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\text {bo }}$ то K 025.046. 35. 42. 104. 172. 175. 181. 205. 218. 241. 242. 250. 506. $617.62^{* *}$. 1934. 1957. 2016. 2017. 2018. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2050 s sa : то 18.61 . 82. 91. 93. 94. 110. 141. 20I. 325.
 920. 1849. 2004. 202 3. 2024. 2039. 2041. 2067 |alфa] A 1.

 2041 al | $\eta$ apX $\eta$ к. то тe $\lambda$. AK 025.046 .18 ( -35.175 .205 .617.




 каi фоvєv̂б九 кaì пópvoıs каi фардакоís,

 ö í $\sigma$ гlv ò $\theta$ ávaros ò deúrepos.


 Tyc (armi. ${ }^{1}$ ) | $\tau \omega>025 \mid \delta \omega \sigma \omega$ AN 025. 35. 205. 620. 632** 2020. 2037. 2038. 2050. 2067 al Tyc Pr gig vg sarm4. (a) bo sa : + avtw (avtoto arm $^{1}$ ) 046.18 ( $-35.205 .620 .632^{* *} .2020$. 2050). 61. 82. 110. 172. 201. 242. 250. 385. 498. 2016. 2018 al $\left.\mathrm{arm}^{(1.2)}|\tau \eta \sigma \pi \eta \eta \eta \sigma>\mathrm{A}| \tau \eta \sigma{ }^{2}{ }^{2} \omega \eta \sigma\right]>386.620$ : vivae Tyc gig

 (-बך 104) As 025. 1. 35. 104. 205. 241. 432. 632** 1957. 2020. 2023. 2036. 2037. 2038. 2050. 2067 al Tyc Pr gig vg s arm bo sa eth : $\delta \omega \sigma \omega$ avtw 046 . 18 ( $-35.205 .632^{\text {*** }}$ 2020. 2050). 250 al $^{\text {pl }}$ |
 arm $^{1.4 . ~ a) ~ A ~ 1 . ~ 2036 . ~ 2037 . ~} 2038$ arm $^{1.4 .4: ~ a v t o u ~ T y c ~ \mid ~ a v t o r ~}$ єттal] avroc єбovrai 05 1. 1. 2036. 2037. 2038 armi. $\mathrm{e} \mid$ avrno $>\mathrm{A}$
 $\operatorname{Pr} \mathrm{gig} \mathrm{vg} \mathrm{s}^{1} \mathrm{arm}^{1 .}$ a bo : $\mu \mathrm{ov}$ K 175.325 .386 .456 .620 .2038 al Tyc s${ }^{2}$ arm $^{4} \mid$ vioa] o vió 1957 : viou 05 I. x. 2036. 2037. 2038 $\mathrm{arm}^{a}: \lambda \alpha, \sigma \mathrm{arm}^{3}$.






 тvpı к. $\theta$ aw] rov avpor 2050 : ardente ( $>$ bo eth) ignis et sulphuris Tyc bo eth $\left.\mid 0^{1}\right] \eta$ s $\mid o(>617.1934$ alp) $\theta a v$. o. סevr. An 046. 18 (-35. 205). 250 alpl arm : o סeut. Oav. O51. 1. 35. 205. 2023. 2037. 2038. 2067 al : $\theta a v a r o r ~ 025$ !.
xdi. 6. etrul $A N 025$. 1. 175. 205. 250. 617. 1934. 2037. 2038. 2050. 2067 al $^{\text {mu }}$ Pr vg s arm ${ }^{4}$ bo : Aercc 046. 17 (- 175. 205.












sa : > 025. 046. 17 alfere omn $\mid$ Ocor] + omnipotens $\mathrm{vg}^{\mathrm{c}} \mid \boldsymbol{\tau} \omega \nu$






 avtov] Sıסakat $1849:>18.325^{*} .337$. 386. 456. 632*. 2004 .
7. кat $^{1}$ AN 046. 17 ( -35.175 .205 .617 .1934 ). 2037 alpl gig vg s : > 1. 35. 175. 205. 250. 617. 1934. 1957. 2018. 2020. 2036. 2038. 2067 Pr arm bo sa | єрхонаи] єрхоутая $\mathbb{N}^{\circ}$ : єрхетаи

 toutov $>$ arm $^{\text {a }}$.
18. ver 18 , $19>18 \mathrm{r} \mid \mu$ артирш ( $\mathrm{pr} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{N}$ ) AN 046. 17 (-175.

 $2050 \operatorname{Pr} \mid \tau \omega^{1}>35$. 110. 468. 1957. 2023. 2036. 2038. 2041 1. 2067 | $\pi a v \tau 1$ tw aкоvovti] omnes qui audiunt $\operatorname{Pr} \mid$ tova ${ }^{2}$ oyour]



 1957. 2018. 2020. 2037. 2038. 2050 a ${ }^{\text {pl }}$ Pr pg sarm bo sa eth :


 sa $\mid$ o $\theta$ coor er autov (autw) $\mathrm{A}^{\text {** }}$. (late cursive hand) 046.17 ( -35 . 175. 205. 617. 1934) alpl ${ }^{\text {pr }}$ gig $\mathrm{vg} \mathrm{s}^{2}$ (bo sa) eth : at avtov (avt凶) - $\operatorname{\theta co\sigma }$ א 35. 61. 175. 205. 218. 242. 250. 432. 617. 1934. 1957. 2017. 2023. 2036. 2038. 2041. 2050. 2067 Tyc $s^{1} \mid \pi \lambda_{\eta \gamma \mu \sigma}$ AN 17 (-35. 175. 205.617. 1934) al ${ }^{\text {pl }}$ Tyc Pr gig vg 5 arm $^{4}$ bo sa eth : pr єпта 046. 05 1. 35. 175. 205. 218. 242. 250. 432. 617. 1934. 1957. 2016. 201 7. 2023. 2037. 2038. 2041. 2067 arma |.



13.


ท̆ ăpXŋ̀ кai тò тć̉os．

 $>$ Tyc $\operatorname{arm}^{1} \mid$ r．т $\quad$ ооф．placed after $\beta_{\imath} \beta \lambda_{\iota}$ ov AN 046.17 （ -386. 456）al ${ }^{\text {pl }} \mathrm{vg} \mathrm{s} \mathrm{arm}{ }^{4}$ bo ：tr before $\tau$ ．$\beta$ ィ $\beta \lambda_{\text {L }}$ 20v 201．368．456． 582. 1948．2014．2025．2028．2029．2033．2034．2036．2037． 2042 ： $>$ gig eth｜ravrクб］rourov 201．368．386．456．582．1948． 2014. 2025．2028．2029．2033．2034．2036．2037．2042｜афелец Ак人 046. 175．205．250．617．1934．2037．2038．2050 alma Tyc Prgig vg s arm bo eth ：aфeлol（aфeлat 325．620） 17 （ -205.175 .617 .1934. 2050）．42．82． 110.24 I．1957．2018．2023．204 r． 2067 alp $\mid$ o
 2067 Pr $\mathrm{vg}^{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{r}$ bo：pr de libro vitae et $\mathrm{vg}^{\mathrm{d}} \mid$ ex $>$ A 60.2020 bo

 $\operatorname{Pr} \mid \varepsilon v]$ emt bo ：$>2050$｜．

16．umiv］pr ev sis $:>$ gig $\operatorname{arm}^{1} \mid$ tauta $>2050 \operatorname{Pr} \mid$ ev A 94. 250．469．582．699＊＊．2014．2020．2034．2036． 2037 Tyc gig vg

 1934．2016．2038． $2067 \operatorname{Pr}\left(\mathrm{arm}^{\kappa}\right) \mid$ т．єкк $\left.\boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma \ldots \iota \sigma\right]$ ecclesia Tyc ：
 386 ｜то yevor］origo $\operatorname{Pr}$｜$\Delta a v e \AA \delta]$ pr tov 1 ． 104 al ：＋кає о лоуоб 2050 ：＋кац о даоб avtov $\mathrm{s}^{1}$ ：＂of Adam＂arm $\left.{ }^{1} \mid \mathrm{o}^{1}\right]$ pr кае 051 ． 35．104．205．250．2018．2023．2036．2037．2038．2050 $\mathbf{s}^{1}$ arm bo sa：pr $\omega \sigma \mathrm{s}^{2} \mid$ о $\lambda a \mu \pi \rho о \sigma$ кац $>2018$ bo $\mathrm{arm}^{2}\left|\mathrm{o}^{2}>18\right|$ каı $^{2}$ A $205 \mathrm{gig} \mathrm{vg}:>\mathrm{K} 046.17(-205)$ alomn $\mathrm{Tyc} \mathrm{Pr} s$ arm eth
 $\lambda а \mu \pi \rho \sigma \sigma . . . \pi \rho \omega \iota \nu o \sigma$ AN 046 ． 17 （ -175.6 г 7.1934 .2050 ）alpl Tyc $\operatorname{Pr}$ gig vg siarm4 a eth ：～91．141．175． 2 18．242． 250.617 ． 1934． $2017.2050 \mathbf{s}^{\mathbf{1}}$ ．
 2020）． 250 alpl $^{\text {pl }}$ gig bo arm $^{4}$ a ：то A 046．35．205．2020．2037．


 1934．1957．2016．2017．2023．2036．2037．2038． 2067 al arm ${ }^{4}$ ：

12. iSò̀ texopau raxú,




(a) This order is against our author's use and is probably due to the editor. See Gram. in Introd. to vol. i. Read aùroû tertı.
(b) The following verse is removed from the text as an interpolation; see vol. ii. 221-222:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 11. } \dot{d} \text { d }
\end{aligned}
$$
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## IV. ENGLISH TRANSLATION.

## CHAPTER I.

## 1-8. THE REVELATION WHIOH GOD GAVE TO JPEUS CHRTET TO BE MADE KNOWY TO ETS EKRVANT JOEN, AND THE BRATITUDE PRONOUNOED ON  THETRMIS.

The book -its source and contents, 1-2

1. The revelation of Jesus Christ, which God gave unto him, to show unto his servants-even the things which must shortly come to pass; and (which) he sent and signified by his angel unto his servant John; 2. Who bare witness of the word of God, and of the testimony of Jesus Christ-(even) of all things which he saw.

The first beatitude for those who keep the things written therein

John's greeting to the Seven Churches
Grace and peace from God and from Jesus Christ
Sovereigu of the dead and Ruler of the living
3. Blessed ${ }^{1}$ (is) he that readeth, And they that hear the words of the prophecy, And keep the things that are written therein: For the time ( 1 s ) at hand.

## 4-7. JOHR'S GRTHRTING AND BENTHDIOTION TO THE SEVEN OHOROERS.

4. John to the Seven Churches that are in Asia-

Grace unto you and peace, from him which is, and which was, and which is to come, ${ }^{2}$
6. And from Jesus Christ, the faithful witness, The firstborn of the dead, and the ruler of the kings of the earth. ${ }^{8}$

Unto him that loveth us and loosed us from our sins by his blood,
${ }^{1}$ On the seven beatitudes in this book, see vol. ii. 49.
"Here John's editor interpolates the following words: "And from the seven spirits which are before his throne" ; see vol. i. 9,11 II2.
${ }^{3}$ The last two phrases $=$ the Sovereign of the dead, the Ruler of the living. The primary meaning of $\pi$ phrdrokos, i.e. "firstborn," is wholly superseded by its secondary one of "chief," "foremost," "sovereign." See note on i. 5 (vol. i. 14).
6. And hath made ${ }^{1}$ us to be a kingdom, priests unto his Ascription God and Father-
Unto him be the glory and the dominion for ever and ever. Amen.
7. Behold be cometh with the clouds ; And every eye shall see him, and they that pierced him. ${ }^{2}$

## His

 Advent And all the tribes of the earth shall wail because of him. ${ }^{8}$ Even so. Amen. ${ }^{4}$
## 9-20. JOHN's OALI AND COMCMESIORT.

(His vision of the Son of Man, who is described in terms that recur in the Letters to the first six of the Seven Churches.)
${ }^{1}$ Not "and he hath made us"; for we have here a Hebrew idiom which often recurs in our text ; see vol. i. 14-15.

In this translation I generally use "that" to "introduce a statement that is essential to the complete meaning of the antecedent," and "who" to "introduce a non-essential statement," as Ablott, Gr. 218, footnote, recommends, this being the usage generally adopted by Shakespeare and Addison.
${ }^{3}$ Here $\epsilon \mathrm{tr}^{\prime}$ aürby requires this rendering. In Zech xii. 10, on the other hand, the same words mean, "they shall wan for him." We could also render " wail in regard to him ": ef. John xiia. 28.
${ }^{1}$ Here all the authonties add: 8. "I am the Alpha and the Omega, saith the Lord God, which is, and which was, and which is to come, the Almighty." This verse is unquestionably interpolated, though I did not recognize this fact when writung my Commentary. This is proved by the evidence of (a) the context (or thought), and (b) that of the text (or grammar). (a) Contextual grounds. These words imply that John heard them in a vision; for otherwise he could not have heard them. But this would necessitate a foregoing statement, that John had fallen into a visionary condition or trance, such a statement as we find in i . 10 ("I was in the spirit") before his visoon of the Son of Man, or his very frequent "I saw" or "I saw, and behold "; see vol, $i$. Io6 sq. John does not fall into a trance tull i. Io. If, then, i. 8 is original, the text is fragmentary. But the words cannot come from John's hand at all, as we see from (b). (b) Textual or grammatical grounds. John never disconnects $\delta \theta \in \delta$ ("God") and $\delta$ ravtokpatup ("Almighty"), for the very good reason that d тavroxpdiwp represents a genuve in the Hebrew dependent on
 ( $=$ "God of hosts"); see vol. i. 20. Only an ignorant scribe could have separated the words. For John's use of this phrase, see iv. 8, xi. 17, xv. 3, xvi. 7,14, xix. 6,15 , xxi. 22. The recognition of this fact is very important, seeing that not only has no scholar recognized the misuse of this phrase in 1. 8, but none has recognized that the text in xix. 6, $\delta \theta$ eds $[\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\mu} \boldsymbol{y}] \delta$ marraxpdrwo ( m 025 . 046 Pr gig vg $\mathrm{s}^{2}$ ), is equally mpossible with that in i. 8. Here such great authorities as $A 2040 \mathrm{~s}^{1} \mathrm{arm}^{2} 4 \mathrm{vg}^{8}$ bo eth Cyp should at all events have led scholars with WH to bracket $\eta \mu \omega \hat{y}$ as an intrusion, if not as impossible. In the LXX and in all works written by Jews in Hebrew or in Greek, nothing


Hence i. 8 must be rejected. By its removal the right order of thought is restored. First in i, 4-7 comes John's greeting to the churches, and next in $9-20$ his account of his call and commission by Christ. i. 8 is thus impossible in itself lingustically in our author, unintelligible in its present position, and intolerable as creating a breach between i. 4-7 and 9-20.

John bidden to write down his risions and send them to the Seven Churches, 9-11

Vision of the Son of man amid the seren candlesticks, 13-19
9. I John, your brother and companion in the tribulation and kingdom and endurance (which is) in Jesus, was in the isle which is called Patmos, because of the word of God and the testimony of Jesus. 10. I was in the Spirit on the Lord's day, and I heard a great voice behind me, as of a trumpet, saying:
11. What thou seest, write in a book,

And send it to the seven churches;
Unto Ephesus, and unto Smyrna, and unto Pergamum, And unto Thyatira, and unto Sardis, and unto Philadelphia, and unto Laodicea.
12. And I turned to see the voice that spake with me.

And having turned, I saw seven golden candlesticks;
18. And in the midst of the candlesticks One like unto a son of man,
Clothed with a garment down to the foot,
And girt about the breasts with a golden girdle.
14. And his head and his hair were white as white wool, ${ }^{1}$

And his eyes were as a flame of fire,
15. And his feet like unto burnished brass, as when refined in a furnace,
And his voice as the voice of many waters.
18. And he had in his right hand seven stars :

And out of his mouth went a sharp two-edged sword:
And his countenance was as the sun shining ${ }^{2}$ in his strength.
17. And when I saw him, I fell at his feet as dead. And he laid his right hand upon me, saying,

Fear not; I am the first and the last:
18. And he that liveth, ${ }^{8}$ and was dead:

And, behold, I am alive for evermore;
And have the keys of death and Hades.
29. Write therefore the things which thou hast seen,

And the things which are,
And the things which shall be hereafter.

[^32]20. As for the mystery of the seven stars which thou sawest The seven in my right hand and the seven golden candlesticks-the seven candlestars are the angels of the seven churches; and the candlesticks are the seven ${ }^{1}$ churches.

## CHAPTERS II.-III.

 sticks arethe Seven Churches, and the utars are the ideals they are to fulíl

## 

(To the angels of the Churches, i.e. to the Churches in their potential and ideal character, John addresses the seven following letters, which come from Jesus Himself, through whom alone their ideals can be realized; for He holds them in His right hand. These Churches, which are very mperfect witnesses of God on earth, are menaced with world-wide tribulation. These Letters were written by John, probably in the time of Vespasian, and edited afresh for incorporation in the Apocalypse. See vol. i. 43-47.)

## Chapter II.

II. 1-7. (Letter to the Church in Ephesus, which is praised for rejecting false teaching, but blamed for forsaking its first love.)

1. To the angel of the Church in Ephesus write:

These thinge saith he that holdeth the seven stars in his right hand,
That walketh in the midst of the seven golden candlesticks :
2. I know thy works, even thy toil and endurance, And that thou canst not bear evil men;
But hast tried them which say they are apostles and are not, ${ }^{2}$
And hast found them false.

Church of Ephesus praised for its rejection of false teachers and its
${ }^{1}$ Nearly all the authorities read al $\lambda u x p i a t$ al drrd drod drrinplat eloly. endurance, The position of the numeral without the article in the predicate here is 2-3
 the article in the predicate, since the predicate is co-extensive with the subject, and since "the seven churches" (rûv drred érin no $\omega \hat{v}$ ) have just been mentioned. Two cursives Pr fland arm" rightly omit the second $4 \pi \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{d}}$.
${ }^{2}$ Not "and they are not"; for we have a Hebraism here; see note on 5-6, vol. i. 14.
8. And thou hast endurance, And didst bear for my name's sake, And hast not grown weary.

But blamed for forsaking its first love

The victor will eat of the tree of hife
4. But I have (this) against thee, that thou hast left thy first love.
6. Remember therefore from whence thou hast fallen, And repent and do the first works;
Or else I will come unto thee, And remove thy candlestick out of its place. ${ }^{1}$
6. But this thou hast, that thou hatest the works of the Nicolaitans, which I also hate.
7. He that bath an ear, let him hear What the Spirit saith unto the Churches:
To him that overcometh will I give to eat of the tree of life, which is in the Paradise of God.

8-11. (Letter to the Church in Smyrna, which is praised for its loyalty under tribulation and impoverishment, and forewarned against a still worse though shortived persecution.)
8. And to the angel of the Church in Smyrna write:

Church in Smyms prased for its loyalty under tribula. tuon, and forewarned of coming but shortlived persecu. tuon, 8-10

These things saith the first and the last
Which was dead, and is alive (again):
9. I know thy tribulation and poverty,

But thou art rich;
And the blasphemy of certain of those ${ }^{2}$ which say they are Jews and are not, ${ }^{8}$
But are a synagogue of Satan.
10. Fear not the things which thou art about to suffer:

Behold, the devil is about to cast some of you into prison,
That ye may be tempted, and have tribulation for ten days.
Be thou faithful unto death, And I will give thee the crown of life.

[^33]
## II. 11-17.] LETTER TO THE CHURCH IN PERGAMUM 391

| He | The victor |
| :---: | :---: |
| What the Spirit saith unto the |  |
| He that overcometh shall not be hurt by the second death. | the reach of death |
| 12-17. (Letter to the Church in Pergamum.) |  |
| 12. And to the angel of the Church in Pergamu |  |
| These things saith he that hath the two-edged the sharp sword: | praised for |
| I |  |
|  |  |
| 硅 | of persectu- |
| ad didst not deny (thy) faith in | tion, 12-1 |

Even in the days of Antipas, my faithful witness, ${ }^{1}$
Who was slain among you,
Where Satan dwelleth.
14. But I have a few things against thee,

But
Because thou hast there some who hold the teaching of Balaam,
Who taught Balak to cast a stumbling-block before the suffering suffering men corchildren of Israel,
rupt in doctrine
To eat things sacrificed to idols, and to commit fornica- and life to tion.
exist in their
15. Thus ${ }^{2}$ thou too in like manner hast some who hold the midst, teaching of the Nicolaitans.
16. Repent, therefore,

Or else I will come unto thee quickly,
And I will make war against them with the sword of my The victor mouth.
17. He that hath an ear, let him hear

What the Spirit saith unto the Churches.
To him that overcometh I will give of the hidden manna,
And I will give him a white stone, will be endowed
with enriched powers And upon the stone a new name ${ }^{2}$ written, Which none knoweth but he that receiveth it.

[^34]
## 18-99. (Letter to the Church in Thyatira)

Church of Thyatira prased for if. growth in things spiritual, 18-19

But
blamed for suffering a false prophetess in its midst, 20-25
18. And to the angel of the Church in Thyatira write:

These things saith the Son of God, Whose eyes ${ }^{1}$ are like a flame of fire, And whose feet ${ }^{2}$ are like unto burnished brass:
19. I know thy works-
(Even) thy love, and faith, and ministry, and endurance;
And thy last works are more than the first.
90. But I have (this) against thee,

That thou sufferest the woman Jezebel, who calleth herself a prophetess,
And teacheth ${ }^{3}$ and seduceth my servants,
To commit fornication, and to eat things sacrificed to idols.
21. And I have given her time that she should repent:

But she bath refused to repent of her fornication.
\&. Behold, I will cast her upon a bed of suffering, ${ }^{4}$
And those who commit adultery with her into great tribulation; ${ }^{6}$
88. But her children I will slay with pestilence:

And so ${ }^{6}$ all the churches shall know
That I am he that searcheth the reins and hearts, And giveth ${ }^{7}$ to each one of you according to your works.
${ }^{2}$ Lit. " who has his eyes."
${ }^{2}$ Lit. "and his feet"; but the possessive pronoun is really a part of the relative in Hebrew. Thus the stanaa would run in Hebrew:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { אשד צינוי כלחב } \\
& \text { וםרנלחי בנחשת קלו }
\end{aligned}
$$

${ }^{2}$ Not "and she teacheth"; for we have here a Hebrew idiom ; see vol. i. 1489.

4 See vol. i. p. 71. Here as in iii. 9, 1806 with the present indic. is to be rendered by the future.
"Text adds a gloss, "unless they repent of their works." These words are unnecessary. Moreover, tay $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta}$ with ind. ( $\mu$ eravorooucty, AN: $\mu$ eravonFwar C 025.046 ), is aganst John's usage. The punshments in $22^{\text {t. }}$ b leave an opportunity for repentance but not the punishment in 23. The omission of $22^{\circ}$ restores the parallelism and makes this stanza a tristich as the two that follow.

- Here kol has this meaning still more strongly than in Matt. v. 15, xxini. 32 ; I John nii. 19, etc.
${ }^{7} \delta$ \&pauvov. . . кal $\delta \dot{\sigma} \sigma a$. We have here another instance of the same idiom as in $1.5^{\text {b }}-6=$ = $=$ nwn.$\ldots$. . Cp. Amos ix, 6 for a construction like that implied in the text. The judgments about to be execated in 22-23 will


## II. 24-20.] Letter to the church in thyatira 393

94. But to you I say, to the rest that are in Thyatira, As many as have not this doctrine, That know ${ }^{1}$ not the deep things of Satan, as they call (them)-
95. I cast upon you none other burden:

Only bold fast what ye have till I come.
98. And he that overcometh, even he ${ }^{2}$ that keepeth my The victor works unto the end-
To him will I give authority over the nations:
27. As I also have received from my Father, ${ }^{\text {8 }}$
27. And he shall break ${ }^{4}$ them with a rod of iron; As the potter's vessels shall they be dashed to pieces : 6
28. And I will give him the morning star.
29. He that hath an ear, let him hear What the Spirit saith unto the Churches.
cause all the Churches to know that it is Christ that is the Judge, and that He judgeth now. In fact it is from such experience that they connect the judgments in 22 with the conclusion in $23^{\mathrm{d}}$. The judgments are in accordance with the work. Both the sins and their punishments have become actually known to them. Hence there is no eschatological reference here to the final judgment, and accordingly kal diww must not be translated "and I will give," but according to the Hebrew idiom as rendered above. Here is mother fact tending to prove that the Seven Letters were written at a much sarlier date than the Book as a whole. The Letters insist more upon the resent judgments of Providence, the Book as a whole on the final judgment.
${ }^{1}$ "Eyvurav. Timeless aorist to be translated as a perfect $=$ " have recog. vised" $=$ "know." See Introd, vol, i. Gram. 84 iii.
${ }^{2}$ Or "and."
${ }^{2}$ This line follows $26^{\text {b }}$ immediately, as the exactly parallel constraction in ii. 21 shows.
"Or "shatter" or "destroy" ; see note in vol. i. p. 75 sq.
${ }^{5}$ ruvp $\beta$ \&eras is to be taken as a Hebrusm and rendered by the future; ee vol. i, 77. Tyc (ut vas figuli comminuentur) $\operatorname{Pr} \mathrm{vg}$ (sicut (tanguam vg)

 кefor кт入. In any case the verb affects the (0mp, not the oxedy.
cowtp(fortu (or awrppipooyrau) would have seemed more natural in our xt, since 80 m is thrice preceded and twice followed by the piunal verb in ur author (xi. 18, xv. 4). But the sing. verb occasionally follows the neuter lural of various nouns in our author ; see rol. i. Gram. 88. ii. (b). If this ems unsatisfictory here, seeing that tovn is referred to in the preceding ause by abrour, then we must regard ovirpl/erac as a slip of the writer


## Chapter III.

## III. 1-6. (Letter to the Church in Sardis.)

The Church in Sardis blemed for its spiritual declension, and admonished to be watchful and repent, 1-3. xvi. 15

Second Beatitude for those who keep their garments clean

Yet a few in Sardis are worthy

The victor shall nbtain a spiritual body, and have his name in the Book of life

1. To the angel of the Church in Sardis write:

These things saith he that hath the seven Spirits of God, And the seven stars:
I know thy works
That thou hast a name to live, but art dead.
9. Be watchful, and strengthen the things that remain, (but) which are ready to die: ${ }^{1}$
For I have found no works of thine fulfilled before my God. ${ }^{9}$
8. Remember therefore how thou hast received and didst hear,
And keep (them), and repent.
XVI. 16. Behold, I come as a thief:

Blessed is he that watcheth, and keepeth his garments,
So that he may not walk naked, And his shame be seen. ${ }^{8}$
III. 8c. If therefore thou dost not watch,

I will come as a thief,
And thou shalt not know
At what hour I shall come to thee.
4. But thou hast a few names in Sardis

Which have not defiled their garments,
And they shall walk with me in white;
For they are worthy.
b. He that overcometh shall thus be arrayed in white garments;
And I will in no wise blot his name out of the book of life,
But I will confess his name before my Father,
And before his angels.
He that hath an ear let him hear
What the Spirit saith unto the Churches.
${ }^{1}$ The epistolary imperfect here rendered as a present.
2 "Works of thine" (AC). This judgment is more sweeping than the reading of n 025. 046- "thy works." Sardis has failed as a centre of spiritual power.
"Lit. "they see his shame."
III. 7-18. (Letter to the Church in Philadelphia in which it is given unqualified approval for its fidelity and steadfastness, and promised the honour and privileges of the true Israel (9), and deliverance from the final demonic woes in the approaching worldwide tribulation (10-11). He that overcometh shall have an everlasting place in the spiritual Kingdom of God-even God's city, the New Jerusalem-and bear on his forehead God's name and Christ's own new name, 12. )
7. And to the angel of the Church in Philadelphia write:

These things saith he that is holy, he that is true, He that hath the key of David,
That openeth and none closeth, ${ }^{1}$
And closeth and none openeth :
8b. Behold I have set before thee an open door,
80. Which none can shut. ${ }^{2}$

Church in
Phila.
delphia
prused for
its stead-
fastress
derpite its
weikneas,
7-8
8., I know thy works,

That thou hast a little power,
And yet thou hast kept my word
And hast not denied my name.
9. Behold, I will cause ${ }^{3}$ them of the synagogue of Satan

Who say that they are Jews and are not,
But do lie :
Behold, I will make them to come
And worship before thy feet, And know that I have loved thee.
10. Because thou hast kept the word of my endurance,
I also will keep thee from the hour of tribulation,
Which is about to come upon the whole world,
To tempt them that dwell upon the earth. ${ }^{4}$
${ }^{1}$ See vol. i. 86.
${ }^{2} 8^{\circ \circ}{ }^{\circ}$ form 2 parenthesis, if the MSS order is followed. But the MSS order of the text cannot be right. Hence $8^{b i c} \mathrm{a}$ are restored before $8^{8}$. Thus in 7-10 there are four stanzas : the first and third of six lnes each, and the second and fourth of four lines each.
 be so translated (so rightly in AV.). This common Hebrasm (see Gesenius, Heb. Gram., transl. by Cowley, $\delta$ I $16 p$ ), where the participle $=$ a future, is called futurum znstans. Our author sometimes puts the present (indicatuve) (cf. i. $22^{2}$ ) after i 800 , where it is to be rendered as a future : albo $\mathbf{x v i} 15$, vxii. 7, 12. In the last three cases the efpoume may be rendered as a prevent 2 wing to the idea of futurity associated with the technical use of the verb. The same variation in the renderings of this idiom appears in the LXX.
-The demonic temptations here referred to can only affect the unbelieven i.e. "those that dwell upon the earib"; see note on xi. 10 in vol. t . 289).

The victor shall dwell for ever in God's city and bear His name and Christ's new mame

Church in Laodicea denounced for its self-complacency and for its spiritual destitution desptte its material wealth and intellectual culture, 14-17

Bidden to seek the true riches and to repent, 1819
11. I come quickly : hold fast what thou hast;

Let none take thy crown.
18. He that overcometh-I will make him a pillar in the temple of my God,
And he shall go out no more :
And I will write upon him the name of my God,
And the name of the city of my God,
The ner Jerusalem which cometh down out of heaven from my God,
And mine own new name.
18. He that hath an ear, let him hear

What the Spirit saith unto the Churches.
III. 14-29. (Letter to the Church in Laodicea)
14. And to the angel of the Church in Laodicea write:

These things saith the Amen, The faithful and true witness, The beginning of the creation of God:
16. I know thy works

That thou art neither cold nor hot:
I would thou wert cold or hot.
16. So because thou art lukewarm,

And neither cold nor hot,
I will spew thee out of my mouth.
17. Because thou sayest, I am rich, And have gotten riches, and have need of nothing;
And knowest not that thou art (of all creatures) the (most) wretched and miserable
And poor and blind and naked:
18. I counsel thee to buy of me gold refined by fire, that thou mayest be rich;
And white garments, that thou mayest clothe thyself,
And that the shame of thy nakedness be not made manifest;
And eyesalve to anoint thine eyes, that thou mayest see.
19. As many as I love, I reprove and chasten: be zealous therefore, and repent.
20. Behold, I stand at the door and knock :

Appeal to
If any man hear my voice and open the door, I will come in to him, and will sup with him, And he with me.
21. To him that overcometh, I will grant to sit with me on The rictor my throne,
As I also have overcome, and sat down with my Father on his throne.
2. He that hath an ear, let him hear What the Spirit saith unto the Churches.

## CHAPTER IV.

## THE VIBION OF GOD THE ORRATOR, FROM WHOM ARE ALL THINGS.

(With iv. comes an entire change of scene. The dramatic contrast could not be greater. In ii.-iii. we had a vivid description of the Churches, with the ideals they cherished, their faulty achievements, their not infrequent disloyalties, and their outlook darkened with the fear of universal martyrdom. But the moment we leave behind the restlessness and turmoil of earth, the moral shortcomings and apprehensions of the Churches in ii.-iii., we enter in iv. into an atmosphere of perfect assurance and peace, where neither the threatenings of the powers of evil nor the alarms of the fatthful on earth can awake even a momentary misgiving in the heavenly hosts that serve and worship. And yet that the manifold needs and claims of the faithful on earth were the object of God's gracious purposes becomes clear and ever clearer as we advance.)

1. After these things I saw, and behold, a door was opened ${ }^{1}$ in heaven, and the former voice, ${ }^{9}$ which I had heard as of a trumpet speaking with me, said, Come up hither, and I will show thee the things which must come to pass hereafter. 2. Straightway Vision of I was in the spirit: ${ }^{\text {: }}$

## And behold a throne was set in heaven,

 And on the throne (was) one seated;[^35]8. And he that sat was to look upon like a jasper stone and a sardius,
And there (was) a rainbow round about the throne, like an emerald to look upon.

The four and twenty Elders

The four Cherubim, $6{ }^{b-8 b}$

The Cherubim praise God as Holy, Almighty, and Everlasting
4. And round about the throne (were) four and twenty thrones:
And on the thrones four and twenty elders sitting, Clothed in white garments;
And on their heads (were) crowns of gold.
B. And out of the throne proceeded lightnings and voices and thunders,
And seven lamps of fire were burning before the throne, ${ }^{1}$
6. And before the throne there was as it were a sea of glass like unto crystal ;
And ${ }^{2}$ round about the throne (were) four living creatures, ${ }^{8}$ full of eyes before and behind.
7. And the first creature (was) like a lion, And the second creature like a calf, And the third creature had a face as of a man, And the fourth creature (was) like a flying eagle.
8. And the four living creatures had each of them six wings, ${ }^{4}$
And they rest ${ }^{5}$ not day and night, saying:
Holy, holy, holy (is) the Lord God Almighty, ${ }^{6}$
Which was, and which is, and which is to come.
${ }^{1}$ A gloss is added here: "which are the seven spirits of God." On the whole line see vol. i. 117.
"A disturbing gloss is added here: " in the midst of the throne and"; see vol, i. 118.
${ }^{3}$ i.e. Cherubim ; see vol. i. 119-123.
"The following clause is here interpolated: "Around and within they are full of eyen"; see vol. i. 125.
"Pr gig and rge. d give "rested." See next note.
"The rendering "Almighty" is probably right, but by no means certain. The Hebrew behind rayrokpdiop is in our author maz ; see Isa, vi. 3. See footnote on i. 7 above. The specific word in Greek for "Almighty" is ravtoofipaunt, which is found in Wisd. vii. 23, xi. 17, xviii. 15. It is sugnficant that, although kpareís has in some instances approximately the meaning of "to be powerful?" in the LXX (see Esth. i. 1 ; I Esdr. iv. 38, etc.), it never has this meaning in our author nor in the NT. The two words saprokpditup, ravrodivauos are found side by side in the Liturgy of St. James ; see Lightfoot, Apostolic Fathers, 11. 2. 7. In the Apostles' Creed and generally in leter times omnipotens is the equivalent of rayroxpdrwp. The rendering "Lord God of Hosts" would be sare in any case.
9. And when the living creatures give ${ }^{1}$ glory and honour and thanks
To him that sitteth on the throne, Who liveth for ever and ever,
10. The four and twenty elders fall ${ }^{1}$ down before him that The Elders sitteth on the throne,
And worship ${ }^{1}$ him that liveth for ever and ever, And cast their crowns before the throne, saying, praise God as the Creator of all things,
11. Worthy art thou our Lord and God, To receive the honour and the glory and the power: For thou didst create all things, And because of thy will they were, and were created. ${ }^{?}$

## CHAPTER V.

## TEE VISION OF OHETST THER BEDEFHNCAR, THBODGE WHOM ARE ALL THINGE.

(As in iv. we have the vision of God from whom are all things, in $\nabla$. we have the vision of the Lamb, into whose hands the destinies of the world are committed, inasmuch as through His redeeming death He had won the right to carry God's purposes into effect. As in iv. the Elders and Cherubim worship God as the Creator, in v. the Cherubim, Elders, and angels worship Christ as the Redeemer, while the chapter closes in the adoration of God and Christ by all.)

1. And I saw upon the right hand of him that sat on the Vision of throne a book written within and on the back, sealed the sealed book
${ }^{1}$ These verbs are futures and not pasts in the Greek. But the context which cannot admit of futures. We have here no prediction of what shall be wrder none could certarn circumstances, but (a) either an account of what the Seer saw in a open, I-4 viswon in the past-in such a case we should have pasts, and so $\operatorname{Pr} \mathrm{vg}^{\mathrm{d}}$ d. Y arm ${ }^{2}$ render-(b) or a statement of the regular order of divime warship in heavon. Since the praise of the Flders follows immediately on that of the Cherubim, the context seems to favour (b). Hence the futares are to be iendered as presents. The Greek futures represent Hebrew imperfects in the mind of our author used in a frequentative sense-a common usage in Hebrew; see vol. i. Gram. 88 10. ii. (h) But (a) may be right, and the context refer simply to what the Seer saw in his vision. Then the futures would have to be rendered as pasts, as in the Latin and Armenian Versions mentioned above. The Hebrew imperfects in the mind of our author would explain this anomaly also. In careful translations like the LXX the uncertainty of the translators as to whether the Hebrew imperfect should be rendered by the Greek present, future, or past imperfect is constantly manifest, each of these renderings being possible.
${ }^{8}$ Lit. " were" (\%oay-so A omitting reat of line). Other MSS and Ver. sions: "were and were created."
2. with seven seals. And I saw a strong angel proclaiming with a loud voice, Who is worthy to open the book, and
3. to loose the seals thereof? And no one in heaven, or on earth, or under the earth was able to open the book, or to
4. look thereon. And I wept much, because no one was
5. found worthy to open the book, or to look thereon. And
save the Lamb, whom the Seer now beholds

Adoration of the
Lamb by the
Cherubim, Elders, and countless hosts of angels, 8-12 one of the Elders saith unto me, Weep not: Behold the Lion that is of the tribe of Judah, the Root of David, hath
6. prevailed to open the book and its seven seals. And I saw between the throne and the four living creatures and the elders ${ }^{1}$ a Lamb standing as though it had been slain, having seven horns and seven eyes, which are the seven
7. spinits of God sent out into all the earth. And he came and took ${ }^{2}$ (it) out of the right hand of him that sat on
8. the throne. And when he had taken the book, the four living creatures and the four and twenty elders fell
9. down before the Lamb, having each of them a harp and golden bowls full of incense. ${ }^{8}$ And they sang ${ }^{4}$ a new song, saying,

Worthy art thou to take the book,
And to open the seals thereof;
For thou wast slain,
And hast redeemed unto God with thy blood
Men of every tribe, and tongue, and people, and nation,
10. And hast made them unto our God a kingdom and priests,
And they reign ${ }^{5}$ upon the earth.
11. And I saw, and heard the voice of many angels round about the throne; ${ }^{6}$ and the number of them was ten thousand times ten thousand and thousands of thousands,
12. saying, with a loud voice:

Worthy is the Lamb that hath been slain
To receive the power, and riches, and wisdom, And might, and honour, and glory, and blessing.

[^36]V. 18-7I. 8.] OPENING OF THE SEALS $40 I$

18-14. (These two verses form the proper close to iv.-v., for they give the grand finale pronounced by all creation in praise of both God and the Lamb-the themes of iv. and v. 1-12.)
18. And every created thing which is in heaven, and on the All crea. earth, and under the earth, and on the sea, and all things that tion unites are therein, heard $I$, saying,

Unto him that sitteth upon the throne, and unto the Lamb, the ${ }_{13}-14$ Be the blessing, and the honour, and the glory, And the power, for ever and ever.
14. And the four living creatures said Amen. And the elders fell down and worshipped.

## CHAPTER VI.

## THE JUDGMENTT OF THE WORLD BEGITS WITH THE OPENING OF THE BEALS BY OHRIST.

(Christ opens seal after seal of the Seven-sealed Book, and as they are successively opened a series of destructive agencies are let loose-war, international strife, famine, pestilence, the prayers of the martyrs which have become instruments of divine wrath (see footnote 2, p. 403), a mighty earthquake, cataclysms affecting heaven and earth. Through these God's judgments on evil are brought to pass. But the cosmic troubles are still future, and even when fulfilled are partial and not the immediate heralds of the end, as the dwellers on the earth apprehended (see vol. i. 183,153 sqq.).

1. And I saw when the Lamb opened one of the seven seals, and I heard one of the four living creatures saying as with a voice of thunder, Come.
2. And I saw, and behold a white horse, And he that sat thereon had a bow;

Vision of War
And there was given unto him a crown:
And he went forth conquering and to conquer.
8. And when he opened the second seal, I heard the second living creature saying, Come.
vol. II. -26

Vision of international and civil strife

Vision of famine
4. And another horse, a red one, went forth :

And to him that sat thereon was given to take away the peace of the earth, ${ }^{1}$
And that they should slay one another, And there was given to him a great sword. ${ }^{1}$
b. And when he opened the third seal, I heard the third living creature saying, Come.

And I saw, and behold, a black horse;<br>And he that sat thereon had a balance in his hand.

6. And I heard as it were a voice in the midst of the four living creatures saying,

A measure of wheat for a penny, And three measures of barley for a penny;
But to the oil and the wine do no hurt. ${ }^{8}$
7. And when he opened the fourth seal, I heard the voice of the fourth living creature saying, Come.

Vition of pestilence
8. And I saw, and behold, a pale horse: * And he that sat thereon was named Pestilence, ${ }^{\text {® }}$
${ }^{1}$ So $A$, which omits \&k. But even if we retain \&k, with the great majonty of the authorities, the sense could be the same; cf. ui. 9, "the blasphemy of ( $\langle x$ ) them." This peace is the wrong peace. Christ came to destioy it to make room for the true peace. The text recalls a saying of Christ in Matt, x, 34 : "Think not that I came to send peace on the earth: I come not to send peace bat a sword." Otherwise with $k$, etc., render: "to take peace from the earth."
${ }^{2}$ The sword bears here the eschatological meaning of civil and international strife. It is given by God to the faithless nations that they may destroy each other with it. See vol. i. 165.
${ }^{2}$ Ramsay (Cities of St. Paul, 430 sq.) traces these commands to ancient custom. "The annual crops may be destroyed, but that means only scarcity and high prices; a new year will bring new crops. On the other hand, the vines and the olive must not be destroyed, because that means lasting ruin. New olive trees take about seventeen years to mature. Vines also need a number of years. . . . This old principle of West Asiatic international religious law was taken up into the Mosaic Law." This is no doubt true, but our author is first of all and mainly dependent on the Little Apocalypse (Mark xiii. and parallels) and Zech. i. 8, vi. 2-7. See vol. i. 158 sqq.
"The MSS add a gloss: "And Hades followed with him." See vol. i. 169 sq .
${ }^{5}$ So So dyaros must be rendered. It bears this meaning in ii. 23, xviii. 8, and frequently in the LXX. It $=737$. See vol. i. 170 . In Aquila and Symmachus ${ }^{7}$ ום is rendered by $\lambda o u \mu$ (" pestilence"), which is unmistakable in meaning, but in the EXX by $\partial d v a r o r$ and not $\lambda o u \mu s$. (Hence correct footnote in vol. i. 170.) Aquila so renders it in Deut. xxviii 21; Amos iv. 10; Hab. iii. 5 : Sym. in Ps. Ixxvii. (lxxviii ) 48, xe. (xci.) 6 ; Jer. xlv. (xxxvii.) 2, and both translators in Ex. v. 3, 1x. 3, 15 ; Eak vi. 12, xus. 16. In Ps. lexvil. (Ixxviii.) 50 the LXX renders both mo and 727 by edvaros.

And authority was given unto him over the fourth part of the earth. ${ }^{1}$
9. And when he had opened the fifth seal, I saw underneath Vision of the altar the souls of them that had been slain for the the word of God, and for the testimony which they held:
10. And they cried ${ }^{2}$ with a loud voice, saying,

How long, 0 Master, holy and true, Dost thou not judge and avenge our blood On them that dwell on the earth ?
martyrs
praying for retribution on their
perse-
cutors,
9-10

The martyrs given spiritual bodies
11. And there was given to each one of them a white robe; And they were bidden to rest yet for a little season, Until their fellow-servants also and their brethren should be fulfilled,
That should be killed even as they.
19. And I saw when he opened the sixth seal:

And there was a great earthquake;
And the sun became black as sackcloth of hair, And the whole moon became as blood;
13. And the stars of heaven fell ${ }^{2}$ to the earth, As a fig tree casteth her unripe figs, When shaken by a mighty wind.

Vision of an earthquake with other cosmic evils,
12-14
${ }^{1}$ MSS add a gloss: "to kill with sword and with famine and with pestilence (or "death'), and with the wild beasts of the earth." See vol. $i$. 171.
${ }^{2}$ Though the subject of the seal is described as "persecutions" (see vol. i. $158,171 \mathrm{sqq}$.), in keeping with the original eschatologral tradition in Mark xu11. 7-9, 24-25 (and paraliels), the reader should observe that its character has been changed by our author. Here the first thought is not of the persecutors or of their victims, but of the prayers of the latter. The prayers of the martyrs, vi. 9-10, are conceived as an instrument of divine wrath, The prayers of the martyrs offered on the altar, vi. $9-10$, as those of all the saints, viii. 3-4, become spritual forces. Hence a voice from this altar, ix. 13, orders the four angels of punishment to be let loose for the second Woe, and in xiv. 18 an angel from this altar delivers to the Son of Man the divine command to undertake the judgment of the earth, while in xvi. 7 (which rightiy belongs to xix., see vol. ii. 122) the altar declares, as the angels, Elders and Cherubim have already done, that God's righteousness and truth have at last been vindicated in the destruction of Rome. Thus the prayers of the martyrs and saints are conceived as bringing about divine judgment, like the other seals.
"Frefav should perhaps be rendered "were cast," seeing that $\pi$ irrew is here used as the passive of $\beta \mathrm{d} \lambda \lambda \mathrm{cu}=$ "casteth," in the next line. In Ima, xxxiv. 4 the same verb is presupposed in both clauses of the LXX and Sym. : тегеіта. . . . їr тixtel See vol. i. 180.

Men's
hearts fail them for fear, 15-17
14. And the heaven was parted, Being rolled up as a scroll ; ${ }^{1}$
And every mountain and island were moved out of their places.
16. And the kings of the earth, and the princes, and the chief captains, and the rich, and the strong, and every bondman and freeman, hid themselves in the caves and
16. in the rocks of the mountains; and said to the mountains and to the rocks,
Fall on us, and hide us from the presence of him that sitteth on the throne,
And from the wrath of the Lamb:
17. For the great day of his ${ }^{2}$ wrath is come;

And who is able to stand?

## CHAPTER VII.

## VISION OF THE BRALING OF THE SPIRITUAL IBRABL :  HARTYRDOKI.

(In the preceding five chapters there is a progressive drama, adrancing in a series of visions dealing first with its chief agents : (a) with the Christian Church on earth, ii.--iii. ; (b) with God from whom are all things, iv.; (c) with Christ, who takes upon Himself the fulfilment of God's purposes, $\mathbf{v}$. ; and then with the opening of the first six Seals, which are to be followed by $a$ series of social and cosmic judgments, vi. But with vii. 1-3 a pause is made in order that the spiritual Israel may be sealed, to secure them against the coming three Woes of a demonic character, 4-8. Thus in vii. r-8 a pause is made in the movement of the divine drama, but in vii. $9-17$ there is more: there is a breach in the unity of time, a unity which has been observed in ii.-vii. 8. But this breach is full of purpose. The sealing in vii. 1-4, though it secures the faithful from demonic powers, does not secure them from suffering martyrdom. Hence to encourage them to face these impending evils the Seer recounts the vision in vii. 9-1 7 , in which, looking to the close of the great tribula-
 $\beta$ a $\beta \lambda$ lov $\delta$ ouparobs. But the best attested reading, inuood $\mu$ evoy, is perhape at once original and a primitive slip for the emended form in $\boldsymbol{\mu}$. If encorduevoy be taken as the original and correct text, then it is to be rendered: "as a scroll being rolled up."
${ }^{2}$ Less weighty athorities read "their."
tion, he beholds those who had been sealed and died as martyrs, already triumphant in heaven before the establishment of the Millennial Kingdom. This vision is proleptic. It constitutes a breach in the unity of time. At its close the chronological order of events is resumed. Such proleptic visions recur with the seme purpose later on.)

1. After this I saw four angels standing at the four comers Destrucof the earth, holding the four winds of the earth, that no tive wind should blow on the earth, or on the sea, or upon any
2. tree. And I saw another angel ascend from the sun- restraned God's rising, having a seal ${ }^{1}$ of the living God: and he cried servants with a loud voice to the four angels, to whom it was are sealed,
3. given to hurt the earth and the sea, saying, Hurt not ${ }^{\text {t-3 }}$ the earth, neither the sea, nor the trees, till we have sealed
4. the servants of our God in their foreheads. And I heard the number of them that were sealed: a hundred and forty and four thousand were sealed ${ }^{2}$ out of every tribe of the children of Israel.
B. Of the tribe of Judah were sealed ${ }^{2}$ twelve thousand:

Of the tribe of Reuben twelve thousand:
7. Of the tribe of Simeon twelve thousand:

Of the tribe of Levi twelve thousand:
Of the tribe of Issachar twelve thousand:
8. Of the tribe of Zebulun twelve thousand:

Of the tribe of Joseph twelve thousand:
Of the tribe of Benjamin were sealed ${ }^{2}$ twelve thousand:
5. ${ }^{-}$Of the tribe of Gad twelve thousand:
6. Of the tribe of Asher twelve thousand:

Of the tribe of Naphtali twelve thousand:
Of the tribe of Manasseh twelve thousand. ${ }^{2}$
9. After these things I saw,

And behold, a great multitude, which no man could number,
Out of every nation, and (all) tribes and peoples and tongues,

Vision of the future blessedness of those that had been Standing before the throne and before the Lamb, Clothed in white robes, and with palms in their hands; sealed and suffered martyrion

[^37]Their
praise before the throne

In which the angels join, 11-12

The blessedness of the martyrs, 15-17
10. And they were crying ${ }^{1}$ with a loud voice, saying, Salvation to our God That sitteth on the throne, And to the Lamb.
11. And all the angeis stood round about the throne and the elders and the four living creatures; and they fell before the throne on their faces, and worshipped Got, saying,
12.

Amen:
Blessing, and glory, and wisdom, And thanksgiving, and honour, and power, And might, be unto our God for ever and ever. ${ }^{8}$
18. And one of the eiders answered, saying unto me, These who are clothed in the white robes, who are they, and
14. whence came they? And I said unto him, My Lord, thou knowest. And he said unto me,
These are they that have come out of the great tribulation, And have washed ${ }^{8}$ their robes, And made them white in the blood of the Lamb.
16. Therefore they are before the throne of God;

And they serve him day and night in his temple:
And he that sitteth on the throne shall abide upon them. ${ }^{4}$
16. They shall hunger no more, neither thirst any more;

Neither shall the sun $\mathrm{mmite}^{5}$ them any more, nor any heat:
17. For the Lamb that is in the midst of the throne shall be their shepherd,
And shall guide them unto the fountains of the waters of life:
And God shall wipe away all tears from their eyes.
${ }^{1}$ Here xpdjovar represents the imperfect in Hebrew in the Seer's mind, and should be rendered by a past imperfect.
${ }^{2}$ Nearly all authorities but C Pr add "Amen"; but it seems to be here a liturgical addition, as Swete remarhs: it is brecketed by WH. See note in vol.1. pp. Ig, 151 sq. The MSS read: "The blessing and the glory," etc.

3 We have here a Hebraism frequent in our author; see note in vol. i. 14 sqq. The RV. here, as always in the case of this idiom, is wrong"Come . . . and they washed."
"Or: "shall cause his Shekinah to dwell upon them." See vol. i. p. 215 . The construction $\sigma \kappa \eta \nu o i n$ en' aḃroís is not found in any OT, version (so far as I know) except in Aquila's (Ex. xxir. 16). In $x x i .3$ of our text we have

 Cf. the presupposed interchange of by and $\square y$ in the LXX and Theod of
 LXX of Dan. vii. 13, presuppose לy, and Mark xili. 26, Luke xxi. 27 (épx ${ }^{\boldsymbol{\beta} \mu e v o v}$

*An emendation of Gwynn and Swete. See vol. i. 216.

## CHAPTER VIII.

## HEAVEAT'S PRATBES STILLIND THAT THE PRAYKARS OF ALL THE FATTHFUL HAY BE PRERGNTIED TO GOD AGADIGT THE TMPEMDING THRRA: WORS.

( $1,3-5,2$ (restored), 6 (restored), 13. Amid the silence of heaven for the space of half an hour, when all praises and thanksgivings were hushed, the prayers of all the saints are presented before God, $1,3-5$, to shield them in the coming tribulation. Then three Trumpets are given to three angels, wherewith they prepared to sound, 6, whereupon the Seer beheld another vision, even an angel flying in mid heaven and proclaiming, "Woe, woe, woe to the inhabiters of the earth," i.e. the non-Christians and faithless, because of the three Woes that were about to come upon them, 13. On the interpolated passage, viii. 7-12, and the changes introduced by the interpolator in viii. $1,2,6,13$, see notes below, and vol. i . 219 sqq.)

1. And when he opened the seventh seal, there followed a Silence
2. silence in heaven for about the space of half an hour. ${ }^{1}$ And made in another angel came and stood by the altar, having a golden censer; and there was given to him much incense, that he should offer it upon ${ }^{2}$ the prayers of all the saints upon the all the
3. golden altar which was before the throne. And the smoke sants of the incense went up from the angel's hand before God
B. on behalf of the prayers of the saints. And the angel took heaven that the prayers of might be before the censer and filled it with the fire of the altar, and cast God, 3-5 it upon the earth. And there followed lightnings, and voices, and thunders, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ and an earthquake.
4. And I saw three angels; and unto them were given three Three trumpets. ${ }^{4}$
${ }^{2}$ viii. 2 is an intrusion in its present context and not original in its present form. It is restored in what appears to have been its original form after viii. 5. These changes are due to the interpolation of viii. 7-12. See vol. i. 218-222, 224.
'Or " on behalf of."
${ }^{3}$ This is the original order as in iv. 5 , xi. 19, xvi. 18. See Introd. Chap. IV. where it deals with this phrase. Corrupt order in MSS, due to interpolator of viii. 7-12.
angels bidden to sound the three trumpets announcmg the three Woes, 2, 6, 13

4 The text reads : "And I sew the seven angels which stand (érifuarus. This termination aru not found elsewhere in our author, who uses -a.v. Cf. xix. 3, elpyкar ; xxi. 6, yfoovay. See vol. i. Inuod. Gram. 8 I. iui. (c)) before Goi, and there were given unto them seven trumpets.".
6. And the three ${ }^{1}$ angels who had the three ${ }^{1}$ trumpets prepared to sound. ${ }^{2}$
18. And I saw, and I heard an eagle flying in the midst of heaven, saying with a loud voice, Woe, woe, woe, to them that dwell on the earth, because of the voices ${ }^{8}$ of the trumpets of the three angels, which are about to sound.

## Interpolated Passagr.

[7. And the first (angel) sounded,
And there followed hail and fire, mingled with blood, and they were cast upon the earth :
And the third part of the earth was burnt up, And the third part of the trees was burnt up, And all ${ }^{4}$ green grass was burnt up.
8. And the second angel sounded:

And as it were a great mountain burning with fire was cast into the sea:
And the third part of the sea became blood;
9. And there died the third part of the creatures which were in the sea-that had life;
And the third part of the ships were destroyed.
10. And the third angel sounded:

And there fell from heaven a great star, burning as a torch, And it fell on a third part of the waters, and on the fountains of waters, ${ }^{5}$
11. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ And the third part of the waters became like ${ }^{6}$ wormwood; And $\dagger$ many men $\dagger{ }^{7}$ died of the waters, because they had become bitter.

1 Text has " seven."
${ }^{2}$ Here the editor of John's Apocalypse interpolated a small Apocalypse, viii. 7-12; see vol. i. 218-222. This consisted of four stanzas of four lines each. These four plagues are modelled on the first four Bowls.
${ }^{2}$ Text reads : "the remaming voices." The addition comes from the hand of the interpolator of viii. 7-12.

4nstead of "all green grass" the rest of viii. 7-12 suggests that in the original document there stood originally: "the third of all green grass." But why the change was made is not apparent ; for as it stands it is in direct confict with ix. 4.
"The context requires " of the fountains," etc. See vol. i. 234. The MSS add : "and the name of the star is called Wormwood." But this clause breaks the development of thought and makes the stanza consist of five lines instead of four.
${ }^{6}$ So $2038 \mathrm{Or}^{8} \operatorname{Pr}$ A gig $\mathrm{Vg} \mathrm{s}^{2}$ bo sa eth. Other authorities $=$ " became wormwood." But the waters did not "become wormwood," but butter in taste like wormwood.
${ }^{7}$ We should expect: " the third part of mankind." See vol. i. 236.
19. And the fourth angel sounded :

And the third part of the sun was smitten,
And the third part of the moon, and the third part of the stars;
So that the third part of them was darkened,
And $\dagger$ the day did not shine for the third part of it, nor likewise the night. $\dagger^{1}$ ]

## CHAPTERS IX.-XIII.

## THE THREE WOES.

(The three Woes, i.e. (1) the demonic locusts, (2) the demonic horsemen, (3) Satan and the two Beasts. These affect only those that dwell on the earth, i.e. the non-Christians, viii. 13, who had not the seal of God on their foreheads, ix. 4. The third Woe, it is true, results in the universal martyrdom of the faithful, xiii. 15 ; but its power to deceive and destroy spiritually is hmited to the non-faithful, xiii. 14. Thus these Woes affect in the deepest sense only those who had not the seal of God on their foreheads. Yet evil at this stage appears to have triumphed, and the cause of God on earth to be brought to an end for evermore.)

## TEE FIRST AND GEOOND WORS.

IX. (The first Woe consists of a plague of demonic locusts, which had no power to hurt those who had God's seal on their foreheads, but only those who had not, 1-11. The second Woe consists of a plague of demonic horsemen, which were let loose from the Euphrates and destroyed one-third of the heathen world, 13-2I.)

## Chapter IX.

1. And the frat ${ }^{2}$ angel sounded:
And I saw 2 star fallen from heaven to the earth, And there was given unto him the key of the pit of the abyss.
[^38]First Woe
-plague of demonic locusts,2-3

No power to injure any save such as had not God's seal on their foreheads, 4-5

The appearance of the locusts, 7-10
2. And he opened the pit of the abyss ;

And there went up a smoke from the pit,
As the smoke of a great furnace;
And the sun and the air were darkened by the smoke of the pit.
8. And out of the smoke came forth locusts upon the earth; And power was given them, as the scorpions of the earth have power.
4. And it was said unto them that they should not hurt the grass of the earth,
Nor any green thing, nor any tree, but only the men That had not the seal of God on their foreheads.
6. And it was given them that they should not kill them, But that they should be tormented five months:
And their torment was as the torment of a scorpion, when it striketh a man. ${ }^{1}$
6. And in those days men shall seek death,

And shall not find it;
And they shall desire to die,
But death shall flee ${ }^{2}$ from them.
7. And the forms of the locusts were like unto horses prepared for mar ;
And on their heads as it were crowns like gold, And their faces were as the faces of men.
8. And they had hair as the hair of women, And their teeth were as those of lions;
9. And they had breastplates, as it were breastplates of iron.

And the sound of their wings was as the sound of chariots,
(Yea) of many horses rushing to war.
10. And they have tails like unto scorpions, and stings; And in their tails is their power To hurt men five months.
「heir king
11. They have over them as king the angel of the abyss: His name in Hebrew is Abaddon. ${ }^{8}$

[^39]12. The first Woe is past: behold, there come get two Woes hereafter.
18. And the mecond ${ }^{1}$ angel sounded:

And I heard a voice from the horns of the golden altar which is tefore God,
14. Saying to the second ${ }^{1}$ angel who had the trumpet, The

Loose the four angels who are bound at the great river second Euphrates.
15. And the four angels were loosed, Woe-the demonic horsemen from the Which had been prepared for the hour and day and Euphrites month and year,
In order to kill the third part of mankind.
10. And the numbers of the armies of the horsemen were twice ten thousand times ten thousand:
17. I heard the number of them : and so I saw the horses in the vision, ${ }^{9}$
And them that sat <on them.
And they that sat $>$ on them ${ }^{8}$ had breastplates of fire and brimstone :
And the heads of the horses were as the heads of lions ;
And from their mouths issued fire and smoke and brimstone.
18. By these three plagues was the third part of mankind The third killed, part of
By the fire and the smoke and the brimstone, which mankind issued from their mouths;
19. For the power of the horses is in their mouths ; ${ }^{4}$ and with them they do hurt.
${ }^{1}$ Text reads "sixth," owing to the interpolation of the four plagues in viii. 7-12.
${ }^{2}$ Text seems corrupt, but I cannot emend it satisfactorily. The fact that
 against our author's usage-may point to the evil activitres of John's editor. Cf. xiv. 15, 16, where in an interpolation the same wrong construction
 hmt, and corrected txovras into txoyres. obrwe, according to our author's usage, refers to what precedes, cf. ii. 15, iii. 5, 16, xvi. 18 ; but it cannot do so here, for the description of the horses comes later.
"i.e. "on the horses." The text reads: caequivous ir' $\dagger$ abirûr $\dagger$ ( $=$ " sat on them"). This incorrect phrase seems due to the interpolator who tampered with the text. I here withdraw the suggestions in vol. i. 252 sq .
"The text adds a gloss here: "and in their tails; for their tails are like unto serpents, having heads." But the destructive powers of the horses lie in the fire, smoke, and brimstone which issue from ther mouths, and not in their tails. The gioss is due to ir. 10. See vol. i. 253 sq.

The rest repented not, 20-21
20. And the rest of mankind, which had not been killed by these plagues,
Did not even repent of the works of their hands, So as not to worship demons, and the idols
Of gold, and of silver, and of brass, and of stone, and of wood;
Which can neither see, nor hear, nor walk:
81. And they repented not of their murders, nor of their sorceries,
Nor of their fornication, nor of their thefts.

Chapter X.

## THEE SEKHR'S NEW COMMIESION.

(This chapter serves several purposes. It was written mainly as an introduction to xi. 1 -13 (the Little Book), but partly also to prepare the way for xii. sqq. (see $x$. II) and partly to declare that the time prayed for by the martyrs, vi. 9 sqq., when God's purposes, $x_{2}$, should be accomplished, would no longer be delayed. Thus x. links together the earlier chapters with the later. A strong angel presents the seer with the Little Book (i.e. xi. 1-13-a transmitted source), and swears that God's purpose with regard to the world would be forthwith fulfilled, i-7. The Seer is then bidden to eat this Book and to issue other prophecies, 8-ri.)

The angel with the Little Book

The seven thunders, but their message not to be written down, 3-4 God's purposes to be fulfilled without delay, 6-7

1. And I saw another strong angel coming down from ${ }^{1}$ heaven clothed with a cloud, and the rainbow was upon his head, and his face was as the sun, and his legs ${ }^{2}$ were
2. as pillars of fire. And he had in his hand a little book open: and he set his right foot upon the sea, and his
3. left foot upon the earth; And be cried with a loud voice, as a lion roareth : and when he cried, the seven thunders
4. uttered their voices. And when the seven thunders uttered (their voices), I was about to write: and I heard a voice from heaven saying, Seal up the things which the
b. seven thunders have uttered, and write them not. And the angel that I saw standing upon the sea and upon the
5. earth lifted up his right hand to heaven, And sware by him that liveth for ever and ever, who created the heaven and the things that are therein, and the earth and the

[^40]things that are therein, and the sea and the things that are therein, that there shall be time no longer.
7. But in the days of the voice of the third ${ }^{1}$ angel, when he shall sound, ${ }^{2}$ then is the mystery of God finished, according to the good tidings which he declared to his
8. servants the prophets. And the voice which I heard from heaven spake unto me again and said, ${ }^{8}$ Go, take the book which is open in the band of the angel that standeth upon
9. the sea and upon the earth. And I went unto the angel and bade ${ }^{4}$ him give me the little book. And he saith unto me, Take it and eat it up, and it shall make thy belly
10. bitter, but in thy mouth it shall be sweet as honey. And I took the little book out of the hand of the angel and ate it up ; and it was in my mouth sweet as honey, and when I
11. had eaten it my belly was made bitter. And they said unto me, Thou must prophesy again concerning many peoples and nations and tongues and kings.

The Seer eats the Book The Seer will afterwards issue other prophecies

## Chapter XI.

## THE ANTICHRIET IN JRRUSALEML

(XI. 1-13 is a proleptic digression on the Antichrist in Jerusalem. It is a digression, because the Seer turns aside from his main theme of the Antichrist as identical with Rome and its empire : it is proleptic, because in point of time it belongs to the third Woe, when Satan has been cast down from heaven, and the kingdom of the Antichrist established, xii.-xiii. This task once fulfilled in xi. $1-13$, he returns to his main theme in xi. 14-xviii. The seer here uses a source which originally had in several respects a different meaning. Its present meaning is given in the short summary in vol. i. 269.)

1. And he gave ${ }^{5}$ me a reed like unto a rod, saying, Rise The and measure the temple of God, and the altar, and them measuring
2. that worship therein. But the court that is without the finithrul to

[^41]temple leave out, and measure it not ; for it hath been given unto the nations: and the holy city they shall
8. tread under foot forty and two months. ${ }^{1}$ And I will appoint my two witnesses, and they shall prophesy a thousand two hundred and threescore days, clothed in

The preaching of the two witnesses, 3-6

The Beast from the abyss puts them to death in Jeruselem, and the people of the land rejoice, 7-10

Resurrec. tion and ascension of the two witmesses, :11-12

Judgment on Jerasalem and conversion of the rest of the Jews
4. sackcloth. These are the two olive trees and the two candlesticks, which stand before the Lord of the earth.
B. And if any man will hurt them, fire proceedeth out of
6. their mouth, and devoureth their enemies. ${ }^{9}$ These have the power to shut the heaven, that it rain not during the days of their prophecy: and they have power over the waters to turn them into blood, and to smite
7. the earth with every plague, as often as they will. And when they have finished their testimony, the beast that cometh up out of the abyss shall make war with them, and
8. shall overcome them, and kill them. And their dead bodies (shall lie) in the street of the great city, that spiritually is called Sodom and Egypt, where also their
9. Lord was crucified. And some of the peoples and tribes and tongues and nations look upon their dead bodies three days and a half, and suffer not their dead bodies to be laid in a tomb.
10. And they that dwell in the land ${ }^{8}$ rejoice over them, and make merry; and they shall send gifts one to another; because these two prophets had tormented them that
11. dwell in the land. And after the three days and a half the breath of life from God entered into them, and they stood upon their feet, and great fear fell upon them that
18. beheld them. And they heard a great voice from heaven saying unto them, Come up hither. And they went up into heaven in the cloud; and their enemies beheld them.
18. And in that hour there was a great earthquake, and the tenth part of the city fell; and there were killed in the earthquake seven thousand persons: and the rest were affrighted, and gave glory to the God of heaven.
14. The second Woe is past: behold the third Woe cometh quickly.

[^42]
## ISTRODUOTION TO THE THERD WOS.

2I. 16-10. The proleptic digression in xi. 1-13, to which $\mathbf{z}$. is an introduction, has come to an end, and our author here returns to the progressive development of the divine drame in the third Woe, xil.-xiii. Though x . in certain respects ilinks up the chapters that precede with those that follow it, yet in the order of action xi. 14 follows immediately on ix. and the main theme is resumed in the third Woe, which is heralded by the third Trumpet. This Woe apparently results in the absolute triumph of Satan and his agents on earth and the annibilation of the Church; but the two songs in heaven, which introduce it in xi. 15-18, disclose in advance the actual issues of events: their burden is that the Kingdom of the world has in the reality of things become the Kingdom of God and of His Christ, that the time has come for the judgment of the nations and of Satan and the Beast, for the judgment of the dead and the due recompense of God's servants.
15. And the third ${ }^{1}$ angel sounded; and there followed great voices in heaven, saying,

The kingdom of the world has become (the king- Song (of dom) of our Lord and of his Christ, And he shall reign for ever and ever.
16. And the four and twenty elders, which sit before God pending on their thrones, fell on their faces and worshipped the Lord

God, saying,
17. We give thee thanks, 0 Lord God Almighty, Which art and which wast ;
Because thou hast taken thy great power, And hast become King.
18. a. And the nations have waxed wroth,
b. And thy wrath hath come,
h. And <the time> to destroy them that destroy the earth, ${ }^{2}$
c. And the time for the dead to be judged-
and of His Christ
Song of the Elders on the im. pending advent of the Milleanial Kingdom, the last judgment and the final recompense

[^43] trumpets.
' In the text this clause is certainly out of place. By its restoration after $18^{81}$ we recover the development of events in their true order; see vol. i. 295 sqq. "The destroyers" are the first Beast, the False Prophet, and Satan is well as their adherents.
$g$. The small and the great. ${ }^{1}$
d. And for giving their reward to thy servants,
\& The prophets and the saints,
$f$. And them that fear thy name.

Ark of God's covenant manifested - apledge of the ful. filment of the songs just sung
10. And there was opened the temple of God that is in heaven; and there was seen in his temple the ark of his covenant; and there followed lightnings, and voices, and thunders, and an earthquake, and great hail.

## Chapter XII.

## A RETROSPEOT.

(XII. This chapter is retrospective. Its object is to give the rander insight into the past in order to prepare him for the crowning evil-the climax of Satan's power upon earth. But this crowning evil is not really a sign of his growing power, but the closing stage of a war in heaven, which had already terminated in the vindication of God's sovereignty, and the hurling down of Satan to earth. Hence, however, Satan and his minions, the Roman and heathen powers, may rage, but it is but the last struggle of a beaten foe, whose malignity is all the greater, since he knows that his time is short. The vision goes back before the birth of Christ, and tells with mythological colouring how Satan sought to destroy Christ, and, after His ascension, the Church itself. In setting forth his theme the Seer has borrowed the main part of this chapter from Jewish sources, which had in turn been derived from international sources, and has adapted them-though not wholly-to their new and Christian setting. The closing verses, 14-16, were written before 70 A.D., and cannot be interpreted in detail of the crises of 95 A.D., when our author wrote See vol. i. 299, 331 sq. For a summary of the chapter, see vol. i. 298 sq .)

A woman, goddesslike in appearance $1=$ the Jewish Church), hears a child

1. And a great sign was seen in heaven; a woman clothed with the sun, and the moon under her feet, and
2. upon her head a crown of twelve stars. And she was with child, and cried out in her travail and pain to be
3. delivered. And there was seen another sign in heaven; and behold, a great red dragon, having seven heads and

[^44]4. ten homs, and upon his heads seven ${ }^{1}$ diadems. And Satan on his tail drew ${ }^{2}$ the third part of the stars of heaven, and his fail did cast them to the earth: and the dragon stood ${ }^{8}$ before the woman that was about to be delivered, that he might heaven, 8. devour her child when she was delivered. And she involved was delivered of a son, a man child, who shall break ${ }^{4}$ one-third all the nations with a rod of iron: and her child was
6. caught up to God and to his throne. And the woman fled into the wilderness, where she hath a place prepared of God, that there they should nourish her a thousand this child, two hundred and threescore days.
of the
angels,
sought to
7. And war burst forth ${ }^{5}$ in heaven :

Michael and his angels had to war ${ }^{6}$ with the dragon;
1-5
But the
child is
rapt to And the dragon warred and his angels; and he prevailed heaven not,
8. Neither was their place found any more in heaven.
9. And the great dragon was cast down, the old serpent,
-He that is called the Devil and Satan,
That deceiveth the whole world-
He was cast down to the earth, And his angels were cast down with him.
10. And I heard a great voice in heaven, saying, Now is come the salvation and the power And the kingdom of our God, and the authority of his Christ :
For the accuser of our brethren is cast down,
Which accuseth them before our God day and night.
11. And they overcame him because of the blood of the Lamb,
And because of the word of their testimony, Seeing that they loved not their lives even unto death. ${ }^{7}$
${ }^{1}$ The position of the numeral before the noun is against our author's usage. But this seems due to the source.
${ }_{3}{ }_{\sigma}$ ipet. Here $=$ Hebrew imperfect.
 the same sense.

- See note on chap. ii. 27 (translation), and vol. i. 75.
- The Greck is eyevero.
 explained as Greek on any hypothesis save on that of their being a slavishly literal rendering of $a$ vigorous Hebrew idiom. See vol. i. 321 sq.
${ }^{7}$ A pregnant expression, meaning that they esteemed life as nothing in comparison with loyalty to their feith, even unto martyrdom. I have rendered the xal before ouk ${ }^{2}$ diryour by "secing that" (cf. xvii. 3, xix. $3^{\circ}$ ), as $=1$ in Hebrew: of. Gen. viii. 18, xxvi. 27. This line introduces a statement of the condition under which the action denoted by the principal verb, diennour, took place. See Oxford Hebrew Lextcon, p. 253; Gesenius, Heb. Gr. p. 456 (Oxford ed.).
vol. II.-27
have already over. come Satan by their coming martyrdom
Satan persecutes the Christian Church, but the Jewish
Christian Church escapes, 13-16
Persecution of the Gentile Christian Church

12. Therefore rejoice, ye heavens, and ye that dwell in them: Woe unto the earth and the sea;
For the devil is gone down to you with great wrath, Knowing that he hath but a short time.
13. And when the dragon saw that he was cast down to the earth, he persecuted the woman that had brought forth
14. the man child. And there were given to the woman the two wings of the great eagle that she might fly into the wilderness to her place, where she is nourished for a time, and times, and half a time, because of ${ }^{1}$ the serpent.
15. And the serpent cast out of his mouth after the moman water as a river, that he might cause her to be swept away
16. by the flood. But the earth helped the woman, and the earth opened her mouth, and swallowed up the river which
17. the dragon cast out of his mouth. ${ }^{2}$ And the dragon waxed wroth with the woman, and went away to make war with the rest of her seed, which keep the commandments of God, and hold the testimony of Jesus.

## gATAT APPABRATLIY TRIUNPHANT: THE OEUROH ON RARTH DESTROYKD.

XII. 18-XIII., XIV. 12-18. (The climax of Satan's power on earth achieved in the personal reign of the Antichrist-the

Satan stands by the seashore and summons to his aid Roman Empire incarnated in the demonic Nero-for three and a balf years: the universal martyrdom of the faithful, and the beatitude pronounced upon them from heaven. For a summary of this section (to which xiv. 12-13 belongs-see vol. i. 368) see vol. i. $33^{2} \mathrm{sq}$. The third Woe, which began in xi. $15-19$, is resumed here, though in xii. the way is prepared for this Woe by the casting down of Satan from heaven.)
XII. 18. And he stood upon the sand of the Sea.

[^45]
## Chapter XIII.

1. And from the sea I saw a beast coming up With ten horns and seven heads, ${ }^{1}$ And on his heads names of blasphemy.
2. And the beast which I saw was like unto a leopard, And his feet were as the feet of a bear, And his mouth as the mouth of a lion:

And the dragon gave him his power, And his throne, and great authority.
8. And (I saw) one of his heads slain as it were unto death; And his deadly wound was healed:

And the whole earth wondered $\dagger$ after the beast $\dagger ;{ }^{2}$
4. And they worshipped the dragon,

Because he had given his power to the beast;
And they worshipped the beast, saying,
Who is like unto the beast?
And who can war with him?
$6^{\circ}$. And there was given unto him a mouth speaking great Beast things and blasphemies; ${ }^{8}$ Blas-
6. And he opened his mouth for blasphemies against God, To blaspheme his name, and his tabernacle, ${ }^{4}$ and those that dwell in the heaven.
phemies of the first Beast, $5^{2}, 6$
He rules
${ }^{6}$. And there was given unto him authority to act with effect forty and two months.
7. And there was given unto him to make war with the pears and saints, and to overcome them; the saints,
And there was given unto him authority over every tribe 57 and people and language and nation.
${ }^{1}$ MSS add a gloss: "And on his homs ten diadems." The position of the numeral is against our author's usage. Further, the gloss conflicts with xii. $3^{\text {e }}$. Again, though the use of "diadems" is befitting in relation to the Emperors of Rome [cf. xix. 12 where Christ has "many diadems"], it is quite inapt in regard to the ten ressal kings of Parthin (xvii. 12).

3 Read: "when it saw the beast." The Greek oriow rô opplov implies a
 or $\beta \lambda$ ifrow a. See vol. i. 337. xili. $3^{f}, 8$ are a doublet of xvii. 8 .
${ }^{8}$ The three verses relating to the blasphemies of the Beast, i.c. $5^{\text {a }}, 6$, clearly form a tristich ; and the three lines in $5^{\mathrm{b}}, 7$, each beginning with rai dobov adr4, just as clearly form another tristich. Hence I have restored $5^{\text {b }}$ to its original place.
"Possibly "his shekinah." See vol. i. 352, ii. 205 sqq.

Those whose names were not in the Book of Life worship him
Seer's admonithon to be faithful in the coming persectrtion, 9-10
Second
Beast or False Prophet from the land-the imperial priesthood, offclals, and cultured classes
He makes
the dwellers on the earth to worship the first
Beast
His signs
and deceits, 13-14 Universal martyrdom of the faithful, 15 See vol. i. 353 -
The faith- $\quad$ A alone preserves the true text here. See vol. i. 355 sq. less receive was here corrupt ; see vol. $i .358 \mathrm{sq}$.
the mark of the Beast on their right hand and forehead, 16-17
8. And all that dwelt on the earth worshipped ${ }^{1}$ him, Whose names were not written in the book of life Of the Lamb that hath been slain from the foundation of the world.
0. If any man hath an ear, let him hear.
10. If any man is for captivity, Into captivity be goeth: If any man is to be slain with the sword, With the sword must he be slain.?
Here is the patience
And the faith of the saints.
11. And I saw another beast coming up out of the earth,

And he had two horns like a lamb,
But $\dagger$ he spake as a dragon $\dagger{ }^{3}$
12. And he exerciseth all the authority of the first beast in his sight.
And he maketh the earth and them that dwell in it to worship the first beast,
Whose deadly wound had been healed.
18. And he doeth great signs, so that he maketh even fire to come down from heaven on the earth in the sight of
14. men. And he deceiveth them that dwell on the earth by reason of the signs that it was given him to do in the sight of the beast ; saying to them that dwell on the earth, that they should set up an image ${ }^{4}$ to the beast,
15. who had the wound of the sword, and yet lived. And it was given unto him to give breath to the image of the beast, that the image of the beast should also speak, and to cause ${ }^{5}$ that as many as should not worship 18. the image of the beast should be killed. And he causeth "Lit. "make an image."

- The Greek $=$ "And it was given unto him to give breath to the image of the beast, that the image of the beast should both speak and cause that as many as should not worship the beast," etc. This does not represent the historical facts. It is also hopelessly clumsy. I have assumed, therefore, that there is a Hebraism in the text akin to another we have found frequently. The second beast was empowered to do two things: the first to give life to the image of the first beast, and the second-to cause all that did not worship the image to be put to death. Thus kal roxjon would naturally be sal
all, the small and the great, and the rich and the poor, Seer's and the free and the bond, to receive ${ }^{1}$ a mark on their

17. right hand or upon their forehead: and that no man should be able to buy or sell, save he that hath the mark, who are the name of the beast or the number of his name. to be
18. Here is wisdom. Let him that hath understanding martyred count the number of the beast; for it is the number of Third a man: and his number is Six hundred and sixty-six. ${ }^{2}$
XIV. 12. Here is the patience of the saints, who keep the commandments of God, and the faith of Jesus.
19. And I heard a voice from heaven saying, Write, Blessed are the dead which die in the Lord from henceforth : yea, saith the Spirit, that they may rest from their labours; ${ }^{8}$ for their works go with them. ${ }^{4}$

## CHAPTER XIV.

## PROLAPTIO VIBIONS-OF THE GLORIFIED MARTYRS In The minlennill kingdon, of the doom OF ROMR AND OF THE HEATHEN NATIONS.

(This entire chapter is proleptic: that is, the orderly development of future events, as set forth in the successive visions, is here abandoned (as in vii. 9-1 7 , xi. 1-13), and the visions of coming judgments in xvi. 17-xix., xx. 7 -10, are summarised in xiv. 8-11, 14, 18-20. To this summary is prefixed a description of the blessed (i.e. the 144,000 in vil. 4-8) on Mount Zion during the Millennial reign. This is a later stage in their blessedness than that in vii. 9-1 7 . The object of the entire chapter is to encourage the faithful to endurance in the face of the universal martyrdom just foreseen by the Seer in xiii. 15 .

[^46]This is done by the vision of the blessedness of the martyrs in the Millennial Kingdom, 1-5, and the vision of the doom of Rome and the heathen nations-Thus Christ's Kingdom which seemed overthrown is seen in the vision to be established on earth, and Satan's Kingdom, which appeared triumphant, to be destroyed.)

Proleptic vision of Christ's Kingdom with the glorified martyrs $1=144,000$ that were sealed in vi. 4-7) on ML. Zion in the Millennial period ( $=$ vision which comes in its due order in xx. 4-6)

The new
song sung in heaven and learnt by the
144,000, 2-5
Proleptic vision of the Evangelisation of the world during the Millennial period, 6-7

1. And I saw, and behold the Lamb standing on Mount Zion,
And with him a hundred and forty and four thousand, Having his name and the name of his Father written on their foreheads.
2. And I heard a voice from heaven, As the voice of many waters,
And as the voice of a great thunder.
And the voice which I heard (was) as (the voice) of harpers
3. Harping with their harps, and singing as it were a new song
Before the throne, and before the four living creatures and the elders.

And no one could learn the song
Save the hundred and forty and four thousand:
4. These are they which follow the Lamb whithersoever he goeth.
4d. These have been redeemed ${ }^{1}$ from among men (to be) a sacrifice to God, ${ }^{2}$
B. And in their mouth hath no falsehood been found; For they are blameless.
6. And I saw another angel fying in mid heaven, having an etcrnal gospel to proclaim unto them that dwell on the
7. earth, and unto every nation and tribe and tongue and people, saying with a great voice,

Fear God, and give him glory ;
For the hour of his judgment is come:
And worship him that made the heaven
And the earth and sea and fountains of waters.

[^47]8. And another, a second angel, followed, saying, Fallen, fallen is Babylon the great,
Which had made all the nations to drink the wine [of the wrath] of her fornication.
9. And another angel, a third, followed them, saying with a worship great voice,

If any man worshippeth the beast and his image, And recelveth the ${ }^{1}$ mark on his forehead, or upon his hand,
10. $\mathrm{He}^{2}$ shall drink of the wine of the wrath of God, Which is mingled sheer ${ }^{8}$ in the cup of his anger, And he shall be tormented with fire and brimstone In the presence of the angels and of the Lamb.
11. And the smoke of their torment goeth up for ever and ever;
And they have no rest day nor night, That worship the beast and his image, And whoso receiveth the mark of his name. ${ }^{4}$
14. And I saw, and behold, a white cloud;

And on the cloud one seated like unto a son of man, Having on his head a golden crown, And in his hand a sharp sickle. ${ }^{\text {b }}$
${ }^{1}$ This article is necessary; see vol. ii. 15, and text in loc. for the cursives and versions which support it.
${ }^{2}$ We have here кal aütos. Three explanations are possible. (a) The kal is a Hebraism introducing the apodosis, and so is not to be translated; see vol. ii. p. 16. (6) It may be taken with the cal that follows in the third line as ral . . . kal, "both . . . and." But this usage is not found elsewhere in our author, though it is in J. (c) It may be rendered "too." ral aviros would then =" he too." But the context is against this rendering; for it presupposes that some one else just mentoned shall drink of the wine of God's wrath But there is no such statement in xiv. 8. Besides, the phrase ral autbr (in the meaning of "he too") does not occur in our author, save in an interpolation xiv. 17, and in a Greek source xwii. II.
${ }^{3}$ axpdrov. Can our author have taken this word to be a rendering of Dor, as the LXX in Ps. Ixxiv. 9 ? See vol, ii. 17.

6 Vers. 12-13, which pronounces the great beatitude on the martyred Church, has been restored to its original context after xiii. 18.
; Here apparently John's editor has added 15-17, which is really a doublet of xiv. 18-20. This doublet represents the judgwent as a reaping of the harvest of the wrath of God. But this figure does not belong to our author, who speaks of it as a vintage ; cf. xix, 15. Besides, several constructions are against his use, and the interpolator has falled to recognise the "one like a son of man " as Christ ; see vol. ii. 3, 18 (ad fin.), 2I sq. John could never have divided the Judgment between Chrst and an angel or have put any angel on an equality with Chrst. It is a very stupid interpolation; for it assigns to an angel the very judgment that is to be exercised by the Word
18. And another angel ${ }^{1}$ went forth from the altar ${ }^{2}$ and cried with a great voice to him that had the sharp sickle saying,

Thrust in thy sharp sickle, And gather the clusters of the vine of the earth; For her grapes are fully ripe.
10. And he ${ }^{3}$ thrust his sickle into the earth, And gathered the vintage of the earth,

The Son of Man treads the winepress of the wrath of God

And cast it into the great winepress of the wrath of God.
20. And the winepress was trodden without the city, And blood came out of the winepress even unto the horses' bridles-
To a distance of one thousand six hundred furlongs.

## CHAPTER XV.

XV. 9-8. (The chronological order of events in the Seer's visions of the future is here resumed. In other words, xv, 2-8 follows immediately on xiii., for xiv. broke away from this order and was wholly proleptic. xv. 2 opens with a vision of the entire martyr host, that had fallen in xiil. and are now in heaven (as in vii. 9-17) praising God for His righteousness and proclaiming the coming conversion of the nations (that had not been deceived by Rome), who would become His servants because of His righteous acts, 2-4. Thereupon follows a vision of the dooms inflicted on Rome and the nations seduced by her in the judgments of the Bowls, 5-8.)
of God in xix. 11-21. The interpolated verses (15-17) are: 15, "And another angel went forth from the temple, crying with a great voice to him that sat on the cloud,

Thrust in thy sickle and reap:
For the hour to reap hath come;
For the harvest of the earth $\dagger$ is dried up $\dagger$.
16. And he that sat on the cloud thrust in his sickle on the earth; and the earth was reaped. 17. And another angel went forth from the temple which is in heaven, he also having a sharp sickle."
${ }^{1}$ Another, as distinct from the angels in vers, 6, 8, 9; otherwise render: "another, an angel."
${ }^{2}$ The MSS add: "who had power over fire."
Bi.e. the Son of Man. The text reads "an angel"-an interpolation due to the hand that inserted 15-17. The Son of Man is never described as an angel. It is He , and not an angel, that thrusts in the sickle and gathers the vintage of the earth. Therein xiv. 14, 18-20 is a proleptic vision of the event described in the vision in xux. 11-21 where the Word of God treads the winepress of the wrath of God.
2. ${ }^{1}$ And I sam as it were a sea of glass mingled with fire; The and them that had been victorious over the beast, and glorified over his image, and over the number of his name, martyrs, standing by the sea of glass, having the harps of God, is now roll
8. and singing ${ }^{2}$ the song of the Lamb, saying,

## Great and marvellous are thy works,

Lord God Almighty:
Righteous and true are thy ways, Thou King of the nations.
4. Who shall not fear, 0 Lord,

And glorify thy name?
For thou alone art holy ;
For all the nations shall come
And worship before thee;
For thy righteous acts shall have been made manifest.
5. And after these things I saw, and $\dagger$ the temple of the
6. tabernacle of the testimony in heaven $\dagger^{3}$ was opened: and seven angels ${ }^{4}$ came forth from the temple, clothed in fine linen, ${ }^{5}$ pure, bright, and girt about the breasts with golden
7. girdles. And one of the four living creatures gave unto the seven angels seven golden bowls full of the wrath of God who liveth for ever and ever.
8. And the temple was filled with smoke from the glory of God, and from his power; and none could enter the temple till the seven plagues of the seven angels were finished.

## complete,

sing,

## praising

Gud for
His power
and
nghteour-
ness and
kingship
of the
nations,
2-3
Who shall come and
worship
before Him (during the Millennal Kıngdom)
Vision of seven angels who recelve seven bowls of wrath, 5-8
${ }^{1}$ Iv. 1 is an interpolation; see vol. ii. 30 sq ; : "And I saw another sign in the heaven, great and marvellous, seven angels having seven plagues, (which are) the lest; for in them is finished the wrath of God." This subject is not touched upon till ry. 5, as the phrase kal merd raíra elion proves. This phrase is not used unless at the beginning of a new and important section. See vol. i. 106 and footnote.
"The text reads kal pidovery, "and they sing" as in xiv. 3. This is the Hebrew idiom, which has already occurred frequently; see vol. i. 14 sq . That this was so understood very early we see from the Versions, which render as $I$ do in the text : i.e. $\operatorname{Pr} \not \mathrm{Al}^{2}$ a. We should observe the correction in $x$, which reads kal đioovaas. The text here adds: "the song of Moses the servant of God and "; see, however, vol. ii. 34 sqq.
"A meaningless expression. Either we must excise the words: " of the tabermacle of the testimony," or, for this introduction to the Bowls go back to a Hebrew source and assume a slight corruption in the original. See vol. ii. 37 eq. where it is shown that if this introduction is from a Hebrew source we should probably read: " the temple of God which is in heaven," as in xi, 19. The corruption could quite easily arise.
"Text reads : "the seven angels that had the seven plagues" $\rightarrow$ change due to the interpolator of $x \mathrm{v} .1$.

See vol. ii. 38. The best MSS read $\lambda$ ( $\theta$ or. This impossible reading appears to presuppose a misrendering of the Hebrew.

## CHAPTER XVI.

## THE SEVEAN BOWLS.

(These plagues are not in any sense a repetition of the seven Seals or the three Woes. Under the Seals (save in the fifth, where the prayers of the martyrs as spiritual judgments affect only the heathen), Christian and heathen alike suffer physically. The three Woes do spiritual hurt only to those who had not the Seal of God ; the first two doing physical hurt as well : to those who had the Seal of God the three Woes could do no spiritual hurt, though the third could do them physical hurt. Since those who had been sealed have already been martyred in xiii., the Bowls affect only the heathen world.)

First Bowl poured upon the earth, $\mathrm{i}-2$
The
second in the sea, 3

The third on the rivers and fountains, 4 The fourth on the sun, 8-9
The fifth
on the throne of the Beast, 10-11

1. And I heard a great voice from the temple saying to the seven angels, Go and pour out the seven bowls of
2. the wrath of God upon ${ }^{1}$ the earth. And the first went and poured out his bowl upon ${ }^{1}$ the earth; and it became
3. a noisome and grievous sore upon men. ${ }^{2}$ And the second poured out his bowl upon ${ }^{1}$ the sea; and it became blood as of a dead man ; and every living soul died, (even)
4. the things that were in the sea. And the third poured out his bowl upon ${ }^{1}$ the rivers and the fountains of waters,
5. and they became blood. ${ }^{8}$ And the fourth poured out his bowl upon the sun; and it was given unto him to
6. scorch men with fire. And men were scorched with great heat, and they blasphemed the name of God which hath the power over these plagues, and they repented not
7. to give him glory. And the fifth poured his bowl upon the throne of the beast ; and his kingdom was darkened; ${ }^{4}$
8. . . . and they gnawed their tongues for pain, And they blasphemed the God of heaven because of their pains and of their sores ; and they repented not of their works.
${ }^{1}$ els, but $\dot{\text { érl }}$ in $8,10,12,17$ without any real difference in meaning.
:The MSS add the following gloss: "that had the mark of the beast and that worshipped his image"; see vol. ii. 43.
${ }^{3}$ Here follows an interpolation: "and I heard the angel of the waters saying," which is used to introduce $5^{\mathrm{b}}-7$. These clanses oripinally followed after xix. 4 , to which context they are restored in this edition; see vol. ii. 122, also 116 sq.

After "darkened" several clauses have been lost, in which the causer of the darkness and the sufferings of mankind were given. The plague of darkness could not explain the agony of the worshippers of the Beast. This plague is closely connected with the first Woe; see vol. ii. 45 sq . The phrase "because of the sores" proves that the sufferings of the subjects of the Beast cannot be explaned from the text as it stands.
12. And the sixth poured out his bowl upon the great river, The sixh the Euphrates, and its waters were dried up, that the way on the might be prepared for the kings that (come) from the sun- Euphrates,
18. nising. And I sam from the mouth of the dragon, and that the from the mouth of the beast, and from the mouth of the Parthians
14. Calse prophet, three unclean spirits ${ }^{1}$ going forth ${ }^{2}$ unto march the kings of the whole world to gather them together gainst
16. unto the war of the great day of God Almighty. ${ }^{8}$ And Rome, 12 they gatbered them together to the place which is called more fully
17. in Hebrem Har-Magedon. And the seventh poured out in xvi. I2his bowl upon the air; and there came forth a great $13,17,16$ ) voice out of the temple, from the throne, saying, It Evil sinits
18. is done. And there followed lightnings, and voices and from Setan thunders, and there followed a great earthquake, such as and the there has not been since men were upon the earth, 50 mastering
19. mighty an earthquake, so great 4 And the cities of the the nations nations fell, and Babylon the great was remembered ${ }^{\text {to }} \mathrm{Har}$ before God, to give her the cup of the wine of the
20. fierceness of his wrath. And every island fled away, and
21. the mountains were not found. And great hail, (every qnuke stone) about the weight of a talent, came ${ }^{6}$ down from follows, heaven upon men: and men blasphemed God because orerthrow. of the plague of hail; for the plague thereof was $\begin{gathered}\text { ing the } \\ \text { cites of }\end{gathered}$ exceeding great.
the
nations.

1 While our text represents God as putting it into the heart of the Parthian Babylon kings, rvii. 17, I6, to destroy Rome, it is three unclean spinits from Satan and reserved the two Beasts which muster the nations against Christ in xvi. 13-16; cf. for special xix. 17, 19, xx 8.
judgment,
MSS add a gloss: "As it were fogs ; for they are spinits of demons 18 -20. working signs"; see vol. ii. 47 sq.
${ }^{2}$ The text here reads corruptly a exropeverai instead of enтopeudueva, which our suthor's usage and the context require ; see vol, ii. 48
' Here the MSS insert as xvi. I5-a verse which orignally stuod after iii. $3^{4}$, and where it is restored in this edition. It forms the second of the seven beatitudes in our author.
"The MSS add here : "And the greatcity was divided into three parts"the contents of which are against the context, while the order of the numeral is aguinst our author's useqe ; see vol. ii. 52.
${ }^{8}$ Lit. "cometh" $=$ Hebrew imperfect.

[^48]
## CHAPTER XVII.

## XVII-EVIII. THES VIBION AND DOON OF BOME, ZVII. 1-6, XVII.; THIS INTEBPBETATION OF TRE BRAET AND OF FITS GEVEN HEADS AND TEN EORNTS, XVII. 8-17.

(These two chapters are to be taken closely together. xuii. begins with a promise on the part of the angel to show the Seer the judgment of the Great Harlot; but instead he turns aside to deal with the Beast, and the promised judgment is not witnessed till xviii. Our author is here using two sources: one embraces xvii. $\mathrm{I}^{0}-2,3^{\mathrm{b}}-6^{\mathrm{a}}, 7,18$, and part of $8-10$, xviii. (see vol. ii. 55, 59 sqq., 94), which was originally written when Vespasian, the sixth king, was still reigning (xvii. 10). The second is fragmentary, xvii. $1 \mathrm{I}-\mathrm{I} 3,17,16$, and dealt with the Neronic Antichrist, the ten kings, and the destruction of Rome.)

Vision of the waman (i.s. Rome) on the Scarlet Beast, 1-6
XVII. 1. And there came one of the seven angels which had the seven bowls, and he spake with me, saying, Come hither, I will show thee

The judgment of the great barlot
That sitteth upon many waters:
9. With whom the kings of the earth have committed fornication,
And with the wine of whose fornication they that dwell upon the earth have become drunken.
8. And he carried me away in the spirit into a wilderness: and I saw a woman sitting upon a scarlet-coloured beast, full of names of blasphemy, having seven heads and ten
4. horns. And the woman was clothed in purple and scarlet, and adorned ${ }^{1}$ with gold and precious stones and pearls, having in her hand a golden cup full of abomina-
6. tions and the unclean things ${ }^{2}$ of her fornication. And upon her forehead (was) a name written, a mystery-

Babylon the great, The mother of harlotries ${ }^{\text {a }}$ And of the abominations of the earth.

[^49]6. And I saw the woman drunken with the blood of the saints and with the blood of the martyrs ${ }^{1}$ of Jesus. And
7. when I saw her I wondered with a great wonder. And the angel said unto me, Wherefore didst thou wonder? I will tell thee the mystery of the woman, and of the The beast that carrieth her, which hath the seven heads and meaning of the ten horns.
8. The beast that thou sawest was, and is not, and is about to come up out of the abyss, and he goeth his way ${ }^{2}$ into he Beast, 7 All but Christans perdition. And they that dwell on the earth will wonder at the -(all) whose names have not been written in the book of Beast's life since the foundation of the world, when they behold the beast, that ${ }^{8}$ was, and is not, and yet is to come. ${ }^{4}$
0. Here (is needed) the mind which hath wisdom. The
10. seven heads ${ }^{8}$ are seven kings: five of them have fallen, the one is, the other is not yet come, and when he
11. cometh, he must continue a short time. And the beast, that was, and is not, is himself also an eighth, and is of the seven; and he goeth his way into perdition.
18. And the ten horns, which thou sawest, are ten kings, that have received no kingdom as yet; but they will receive ${ }^{6}$ authority as kings for one hour along with the beast.
18. These have one purpose, and they give their power and
17. authority unto the beast. ${ }^{7}$ For God hath put it into their who is one hearts to do his purpose, ${ }^{8}$ and to give their kingdom unto of the
16. the beast, until the words of God should be fulfilled. And seven, 9-11 the ten horns which thou sawest, and the beast, The ten

> These shall hate the harlot,
> And make her desolate and naked,
${ }^{1}$ So rightly AV. and RV. and not "witnesses" ; see vol. i. 62.
' It is better to follow A urdyet, "he goeth his way," here than $\times 025$. 046 indyece, "to go his way." All the time, despite his apparent recovery and triumphs, he is on the way to perdition ; cc. on xvii. 11 .
horms are ten kings who will serve the Beast and destroy the Great
'Better so rendered than by "how that" (bru). I here read d rt , not drc ; cf. olitues in svi. II exactly in the same sense. We have here a parody of the divine name: " Which is, and which was, and which is to come" (i. 4, iv. 8).


'A gloss here follows: "are seven mountains on which the woman sitteth and they." This is a second explanation thrust in from the margin.
"Text = " receive."
${ }^{7}$ The text is dislocated and glossed. I7 is to be read immediately after 13 and next 16. 14 follows on 16 , because 14 records the destruction of the forces which according to 17,16 are to destroy Rome. 15 is a gloss on xvii. I. "And he said unto me, The waters which thon sawest, where the harlot sitteth, are peoples, and multitudes, and nations, and tongues "; see vol. j . 6I, 7 I sq, 74
${ }^{8}$ Text adds here an early gloss or doublet : "and to do one purpose"; see vol. i. 73.

And be themselves deatroyed by the Lamb and the Saints, 14 (a judg. ment im plied in xis $13^{\text {º }}$ )
The woman Rome
14. These shall war against the Lamb, And the Lamb shall overcome them, For he is Lord of lords, and King of kings ;
And they that are with him, called and elect and faithful, (shall overcome). ${ }^{1}$
18. And the woman, whom thou sawest, is the great city, which reigneth over the kings of the earth.

## CHAPTER XVIIL.

## ters DOOM OF ROME

(This chapter deals with the doom of Rome, a vision of which had been promised by the angel to the Seer in xvii. I. With the exception of a few clauses, it comes from a source which our author has already laid under contribution in xvii. r-10, 18 ; see vol. ii. 94 It was written in the time of Vespasian, and several clauses survive attesting that period; see vol. ii. 93.)

Rome has fallen, for she hath corrupted all the earth, $\mathrm{I}-3$

1. After these things I saw another angel coming down from heaven, having great authority; and the earth was
2. lighted up by his glory. And he cried with a mighty voice, saying,

Fallen, fallen is Babyion the great, And has become a habitation for demons, And a hold of every unclean and hateful ${ }^{2}$ spirit, And a hold of every unclean and hateful bird. ${ }^{8}$
8. For of the wine ${ }^{4}$ of her fornication hath she caused all the nations to drink ; ${ }^{5}$
${ }^{1}$ Understand virtoovolv, rather than what I have proposed in vol. ii. 75. After 14 the text inserts what was orginally a gloss on xvii, 1, i.c. 15 . See note 7, p. 429.
${ }^{9}$ Text of this and the next line doubtful. I have followed $\mathbf{A}$ minpana gig arm ${ }^{4}$.
${ }^{3}$ This line conflicts with the statement in xix. $3^{\circ}$, according to which the smoke of Rome's burning is to go up for ever and ever. But this is due to the fact that xviii. is an early source used by our author, whereas xix. comes from his own hand.
"n 046 Tyc at read : "wine of the wrath of her formication." I have followed $325^{4}$ ( $)$ Pr and $\mathrm{a}^{1}$.
${ }^{6}$ So an cursives and $\mathbf{a}^{1}$ (rerorurer). This reading explains the impossible readings of the Uncials. This clause expreses the very same
 тopvelas ; cf. xiv. 8.

For ${ }^{1}$ the kings of the earth committed fornication with her, And the merchants of the earth waxed rich through the wealth of her wantonness.
4. And I heard another voice from heaven, saying,

Come forth from her, my people, ${ }^{2}$
That ye may have no fellowship with her sins, And that ye receive not of her plagues.
6. For her sins have reached unto heaven, And God hath remembered her iniquities.
0. Render unto her even as she hath rendered, And double (unto her) double according to her works : In the cup which she hath mingled, mingle unto ber double.

Her sins
in which
the faithful
are not to share called into remem-
brance
The
measure of her punish-
ment and
her
destruc-
7. In the measure in which she hath glorified herself tion by and played the wanton,
fire, 6-8 In that measure give her torment and $\dagger$ mourning $\dagger^{.}{ }^{8}$

> Because she saith in her heart, I sit as a queen, And am no widow, And I shall not see $\dagger$ mourning $\dagger .4$
8. Therefore in one day shall her plagues come, $\dagger$ Pestilence and mourning and famine $\dagger^{5}$

[^50]And she shall be burnt with fire ;
For strong is the God who hath judged her.

Dirge of the king over Rome, 9-10

Dirge of the merchants, 11-16
0. And the kings of the earth who committed fomication and lived wantonly with her shall weep and wail over her, when they look upon the smoke of her burning,
10. Standing afar off for the fear of her torment, saying,

Woe, woe to the great city, Babylon the strong city, For in one hour is thy judgment come.
11. And the merchants of the earth shall weep and moum over her, ${ }^{1}$
For no man buyeth their merchandise any more-
18. Merchandise of gold and silver, and precious stone and pearls,
And fine linen and purple, and silk and scarlet, And all thyine wood, and every vessel of ivory, and every vessel of most precious wood, ${ }^{2}$
And brass, and iron, and marble,
18. And cinnamon, and spice, and incense,

And ointment, and frankincense, and wine,
And oil, and fine flour, and wheat,
And beasts, and sheep, ${ }^{8}$ and souls of men. ${ }^{4}$
16. The merchants of these things, who were made rich by her, shall stand afar off for the fear of her torment,
16. weeping and mourning, Saying,

Woe, woe to the great city,
That was clothed in fine linen and purple and scarlet,
And adorned with gold, and precious stone, and pearl;
For in one hour are so great riches laid waste.

Dirge of the seafolk, 17-19
17. And every ship master, and every one that saileth any whither, ${ }^{5}$ and mariners, and as many as gain their
18. living by the sea, stood afar off, And cried, as they looked upon the smoke of her burning, saying, What (city) is like

[^51]19. the great city? And they cast dust on their heads, and they cried, weeping and mourning, saying,

Woe, woe to the great city,
Wherein were made rich all that had their ships at sea;
For in one hour are her precious thinge laid waste. ${ }^{1}$
21. And a strong angel took up a stone, as it were a great Sudden millstone, and cast it into the sea, saying, destruc Rome, 21
Thus with violence ${ }^{2}$ shall be cast down
Babylon, the great city, And shall no more be found. ${ }^{\text {B }}$
14. And the fruits which thy soul lusted after Are gone from thee:
And all the dainties and the splendours
Are perished from thee. ${ }^{4}$
Dirge of the Seer over Rome, 14,
22, $23^{\text {b- }}$
290, b, c. d. And the voice of the harpers and singers:
$<$ Shall be heard no more in thee> ; ${ }^{6}$
And <the voice> ${ }^{\circ}$ of the flute players and trumpeters

Shall be beard no more in thee.
$28^{\text {a d }}$. And the voice of the bridegroum and the bride
Shall be beard no more in thee;
29e. f. And no craftsmen of whatever craft
Shall be found any more in thee.
29\% h . And the vorce of the millstone
Shall be heard no more in thee :
289. b. And the light of the lamp

Shall shine no more in thee.
${ }^{1}$ On the restoration of this verse see vol. ii, 106. Ver, 20 is restored at the close of $23^{2 b}$. On the restored order see vol. ii. 92 sq.
${ }^{2} \mathrm{Or}$ "with indignation." Bat the meaning is doubtrul.
${ }^{3}$ The beginning of the next dirge appears to be lost. On the reconstructed order of this dirge, see vol. if. 92 sq., 108 sqq.
"The text adds: "And they shall no more find them" ( $=7 \boldsymbol{y}$ onnsor wh); but this is possibly a corrupt form of the line, which 15 lacking in $22^{\circ}$ and which I have restored (i,.e. 7 y 7 Pror wh). But probably it is an interpola. tion; for elsewhere in this source $18^{2-97}$ av $\mu \phi$ is always followed by the sub. juactive, whereas here it is the indicative; cf. rviii. 21, 22 (ter), 23 (bis).
" $\mu \mathrm{ow}$ D" = "singers."
'Restored as the context requires. See vol. ii. 109. It is noteworthy that the Ethiopic Version has made the same restoration, see vol, ii, 35a.
VOL. 11.-28

Secr's ap. peal to the inhabitionts of heaven to rejoice over the doom of Rome, 20, $23^{\text {Li, }} 24$
90. Rejoice over her, thou heaven, And ye saints, and ye apostles, and ye prophets; For God hath given judgment in your cause against her.
98. For with har ${ }^{2}$ sorcery were all the nations deceived.
94. And in her was found the blood of the prophets and saints,
And of all that had been slain upon the earth.

## CHAPTER XIX.

(Response of the heavenly host to the appeal of the Seer just made-first of a mighty multitude praising God for His judgment of the Harlot City, and His avenging His servants' blood at her hands, 1-3; next of the Elders and Cherubim and of the Altar, which in like manner praise Him for that, having poured out the blood of the saints, they were made to drink each other's blood, 4, xvi. $5^{\text {b }}-7$; and, finally, of the martyrs themselves, who offer their thanksgivings, for that now the Lord God Almighty has become King and that the Bride is now ready, xix. 5-7. The Bride will appear clothed in keeping with her character, 8. Thereupon the fourth Beatitude is pronounced, 9 . At this stage a vision of the destruction of the Parthian kings is to be expected (see vol ii. II4 ad init, II7 ad init., and note I on ii. 436). Now that Rome and the Parthians have been destroyed, there remains only the judgment of the kings of the earth who had shared in the abominations of Rome. These are slain by Christ, and the Beast and False Prophet are cast into the lake of fire, 11-20.)

Response of heaven to the Seer's appeal. Song of the angels on God's judgment of Rome, 1-3

1. After these things I heard as it were a great voice of a mighty ${ }^{2}$ multitude in heaven, saying,

Hallelujah ;
Saivation, and glory, and power, belong to our God:
a. For true and righteous are his judgments;

For he hath judged the great harlot,
That corrupted the earth with her fornication, And he hath avenged the blood of his servants at her hand.
8. And again they said: ${ }^{8}$

Hallelujah;
For ${ }^{4}$ her smoke goeth up for ever and ever.

[^52]4. And the four and twenty elders and the four living Song of creatures fell down and worshipped God that sitteth on the Elders the throne, saying,

## Amen, Hallelujah ;

EVI. 6b.e. Righteous art thou, which art and which wast. ${ }^{1}$ Holy, in that thou hast thus judged:
6. Because they poured out the blood of saints and prophets,
Thou hast given them blood also to drink : They are worthy.
7. And I heard the altar saying, Yea, O Lord God Almighty, True and righteous are thy judgments.

2TX. 6. And a voice came forth from the throne, saying, Prase our God, all ye his servants, And ye who fear him, small and great.
6. And I heard as it were the voice of a great multitude, and as the voice of many waters, and as the voice of mighty thunders, saying,

Hallelujah:
For the Lord God Almighty hath become King.
7. Let us be glad and rejoce, And give unto him the glory: For the marruage of the Lamb hath come, And his bride ${ }^{8}$ hath made herself ready.
8. Yea, it hath been given unto her to clothe herself In fine linen bright, pure.*
9. And he saith unto me, Blessed are they which are called to the marriage supper of the Lamb. ${ }^{\text {b }}$
${ }^{1}$ On the restoration of zvi. $5^{\text {b. }}{ }^{-9} 7$ to its original contert, see vol. ii. 116, Fourth 120-124.
${ }_{1}^{2}$ On the technical meaning of this phrase, see vol. ii. 123.

4 Text adds an incurrect gloss: " for the fine linen is the righteous acts of the the saints" ; see vol. ii. 127 sq . Rather "the fine linen" is the result of such Marriage righteous acts, that is, the spiritual bories in which the saints are clothed. Supper of
${ }^{5}$ Text adds here a doublet of xxii. $6^{\mathrm{a}}, 8-9.9^{\text {b }}$. "And he saith to me, these the Lamb are true words of God. 10. And I fell down before his feet to worship him. And he saith to me, See thou do it not. I am a fellow-servant with thee and with thy brethren that have the testimony of Christ : worship God : for the testimony of Jesus is the spirit of prophecy." See vol. ii. 128 sqq.

Cherubim
praising God in that He hath made them, that slew the saints, to slay each otber, xix. 4,
xvi. $5^{\text {b. a }}, 6$

The martyrs at
lest
vindicated
by God,
and bidden
to offer
their
praise,
xvi. 7,
xix. 5

Response of the martyr host, singing, Hallelujah
in that
God has
become
king, the marriage
of the Lamb come, and the Bride made herself ready, 6-8 Beatitude on those invited to
$\qquad$
Lost vision of the
destruction of the Parthian kings ${ }^{1}$
(prolepti-
cally referred to in xvii. 14)

A Divine
Warrior followed
by the armies of heaven, 11-14 (a judgment proleptically described in xiv. 14, 18-20)
Smites with a sharp sword the nations and treads the wine-
press of
the wrath
of God-
bearing
the name
of King of
kings and
Lord of
lords,
15-16
Birds of
prey
summoned to feast on the slain

Beast and
False
Prophet
overthrown and cast into the lake of fire 17-18
11. And I saw the heaven opened; And behold, a white horse, And he that sat thereon-Faithful and True; ${ }^{2}$ And in righteousness he doth judge and make war.
12. And his cyes are as a flame of fire, And on his head are many diadems; ${ }^{8}$
18. And he is clothed in a garment dipped in blood: And his name is called The Word of God.
14. And the armies which are in heaven follow him on white horses,
Being clothed in fine linen, white, pure.
15. And from his mouth proceedeth a sharp sword, That with it he should smite the nations:
And he shall break ${ }^{4}$ them with an iron rod:
And he treadeth the winepress of the fierce wrath of God Almighty.
16. And he hath on ${ }^{6}$ his thigh a name written, King of kings and Lord of lords.
17. And I saw an angel standing in the sun ; and he cried with a great voice, saying to all the birds that fly in mid heaven, Come, gather yourselves together to the
18. great supper of God ; That ye may eat the flesh of kings, and the flesh of captains, and the flesh of mighty men, and the flesh of horses and of them that sit thereon, and the flesh of all men, both free and bond, and small and great.
10. And I saw the beast, and the kings of the earth, and their armies, gathered together to make war against him

[^53]20. that sat upon the horse, and against his army. And the Their allies beast was taken, and with him the false prophet that slain and wrought the signs before him, wherewith he deceived their them that had received the mark of the beast, and them eaten by that worshipped his image: they twain were cast alive birds of
21. into the lake of fire that burneth with brimstone. And prey, the rest were slain with the sword of him that sat upon 19-21 the horse, (even the sword) which came forth out of his mouth: and all the birds were filled with their flesh.

## CHAPTERS XX.-XXII.

(The traditional order of the text in these three chapters is intolerably disordered and hopelessly unintelligible. The present editor has restored, so far as he can, the order of the text as it left the hand of the Seer. See vol. ii. 144-154. The restored order is given on Pp. 153-154. On line 12 (p. 154) delete 6a, and on line 17 insert $5^{\circ}$ before $6^{\mathrm{b}}-8$.)

Chapter XX. 1-3.
1-8. (Satan chained for a thousand years, and the nations set free from his deceivings.)

1. And I saw an angel coming down from heaven,

Having the key of the abyss
And a great chain in his hand.
9. And he laid hold on the dragon, the old serpent,

Which is the Devil and Satan,
And bound him for a thousand years:
8. And he cast him into the abyss, And shut and sealed (it) over him,
That he should no more deceive the nations
Till the thousand years should be fulfilled.
After this he must be loosed for a little time

## Chapter XXI. 9-2y.

KEI. 9-EXII. 2, 14-15, 17. (Vision of the Heavenly Jerusalem, which descends from heaven and settles on the rumed site of the earthly Jerusalem. This Heavenly City is at once the seat of the Messianic Kingdom, the abode of the glorified martyrs, and the centre of the evangelising agencies of the surviving nation, on the earth, during the millennal period. Though it is not stated, we must conclude that alike the glorified martyrs and the Heavenly Jerusalem are withdrawn from the earth before the final judgment.

The tree of life (xxii. 2, 14) appears to be for the new converts (xxi. 2; cf. xi. 15, xiv. 6, 7, xv. 3, 4) and not for the marlyrs, since the martyrs are already clothed with their heivenly bodies and are not subject to the second death. They had already eaten of it in the Paradise of God (ii. 7).

As one of the seven angels of the Bowls showed Rome-the capital of the kingdom of the Antichrist-to the Seer (xvii. 1), so he now shows him the heavenly Jerusalem.)

## Vision

 of the Heavenly Jerusalem -the seat of Christ's Kingdom on earth for 1000 yearsIts measurements
9. And there came one of the seven angels who had the seven bowls, which were full of the seven last plagues; and he spake with me, saying, Come hither, I will show thee the bride[, the wife] of the Lamb.
10. And he carried me away in the Spirit to a mountain great and high, and showed me the holy city Jerusalem,
11. coming down out of heaven from God, Having the glory of God: her light was like unto a stone most precious, as it were a jasper stone, clear as crystal.
19. She had a wall great and high; she had twelve gates, and at the ga!es twelve angels; and names written thereon, which are the names of the twelve tribes of
18. the children of Israel. On the east were three gates; and on the north three gates; and on the south three
14. gates; and on the west three gates. And the wall of the city had twelve foundations, and on them the
15. twelve names of the twelve apostles of the Lamb. And he that spake with me had for a measure a golden reed to measure the city, and the gates thereof, and the
16. wall thereof. And the city lieth foursquare, and the length thereof is as great also as the breadth ; and he measured the city with the reed, twelve thousand furlongs: the length and the breadth and the height
17. thereof are equal. And be measured the wall thereof,
a hundred and forty and four cubits, according to the measure of a man, that is, of an angel.
18. And the bulding of the wall thereof was jasper:

And the city was pure gold, like unto pure glass :
19. And ${ }^{1}$ the foundations of the wall of the city were structure adorned with all manner of precious stones.
The first foundation was jasper; the second, sapphire; the third, chalcedony;
20. The fourth, emerald; the fifth, sardonyx ; the sixth, sardus ;
The seventh, chrysolite; the eighth, beryl ; the ninth, topaz;
The tenth, chrysoprase; the eleventh, jacinth; the twelfth, amethyst.
21. And the twelve gates were twelve pearls;

Each one of the gates was of one pearl,
And the street of the city was pure gold, transparent as glass. ${ }^{8}$
22. And I saw no temple therein: For the Lord God Almighty is the temple thereof, And the Lamb <is the ark of the covenant thereof ${ }^{9}>$.
28. And the city hath no need of the sun, nor yet of the moon, to shine upon it :
For the glory of the Lord doth lighten ${ }^{4} \mathrm{it}$, And the lamp thereof is the Lamb.
84. And the nations shall walk by the light thereof: And the kings of the earth do bring their glory into it.
25. And the gates thereof shall not be shut day or night. ${ }^{b}$
${ }^{1}$ Though A 025 . 046 omit, it seems best, with $\leadsto \operatorname{Pr}$ (gig) arm gil bo eth to read the copula.
"Or, "as it were transparent glass."
"A probable restoration; the onginal is lost. The English versions conceal thus loss by transposing the words "And the Lamb" into the preceding sentence. Cf. xi. 19, where the temple and the ark of the covenant are spoken of as the headcentres of the manifestations of God. In the Heavenly Jensalem God takes the place of the first, and the Lamb that of the second ; see vol. ii, 170 sq .
${ }^{4}$ (\$dítorey is ether the Greek timeless aorist, Moulton, Gr. 135 sq.; Robertson, Gr. 836 sq ., or it is in our author's mind a rendering of the timeless Hebrew perfect-a very common usage.
"The text reads : "for there shall be no night there"-a corruption due in part to xxii. 5. As in Isa. Ix 11, the text clearly ran as I have emended: "Thy gates. . . shall not be shat day or night." The allernations of day and night still prevail on the earth. It is otherwise in xxii. 5, where the New Jerusalem has come down from God to the new and glonfied earth. Besides, the parallelism is against it ; see vol. ii. 173 .
20. And they shall bring the glory and the honour of the nations into it:
27. And there shall not enter into it $\dagger$ anything unclean or one $\dagger^{1}$ that maketh an abomination or a lie:
But only they that are written in the Lamb's book of life.

Chapter XXII. 1-2, 14-15, 17.

The river and tree of life

1. And he showed me a river of water of life, bright as crystal,
2. Proceeding out of the throne of God and of the Lamb, In the midst of the street thereof:
And on this side of the river and on that was the tree ${ }^{2}$ of life,

Bearing twelve (manner of) fruits,
Yelding its fruit every month:
And the leaves of the tree were for the healing of the nations.

Fifth
Beatitude -for those who cleanse themselves andsohave access to the tree of life in the City
Invitation of the Spirit and the Bride
14. Blessed are they that wash their robes,

That they may have the right to the tree of life, And may enter in by the gates into the city.
15. Without are the dogs, and the sorcerers,

And the fornicators, and the murderers, and the idolaters, And every one that loveth and maketh a lie.
17. And the Spirit and the bride ${ }^{8}$ say, Come.

And let him that heareth say, Come.
And let him that is athirst come:
Whosoever willeth let him take the water of life freely.

[^54]Chapter XX. 4-15.
XX. 4-6. (Vision of the glorified martyrs who reign with Christ for a thousand years.)

4c-an . And $<\mathrm{I}$ saw $>$ the souls of them that had been Kingdom beheaded for the witness of Christ, of Christ
And for the word of God, on the earth, 4-6
And ${ }^{1}$ had not worshipped the beast, Nor yet his image,

And had not received the mark upon their forehead And upon their hand;
4. b. i. And I saw thrones, and they seated themselves thereon, And judgment was given unto them. ${ }^{2}$

And they lived and reigned with Christ a thousand years. ${ }^{8}$
$\sigma^{b}$. This is the first resurrection.
6. Blessed and holy is he that hath part in the first Sirth resurrection:
Over these the second death bath no power;
But they shall he priests of God and of Christ, And shall reign with him a thousand years.
XX. 7-10. (Close of the Millennial Kingdom and of its the first evangelizing activities. Thereupon follows the loosing of Satan, resurrecthe march of Gog and Magog against the beloved city, their destruction by supernatural means, and the casting of Satan into the lake of fire. The Seer does not say what became of the Heavenly Jerusalem, but its withdrawal from the earth before the final judgment is presupposed. Since "the beloved city" in xx. $g$ is the Heavenly Jerusalem, the saints referred to in the same verse must include the risen martyrs.)
7. And when the thousand years are fulfilled, 8. Satan shall be loosed out of his prison, And shall loosed: his final
${ }^{2}$ Text reads: "and that" ; but see vol. ii. 183.
efforts and
${ }^{2}$ This couplet occurs immediately at the beginning of ver. 4, where alike overthrow, the context and the grammar are against them.
"Here follows an interpolation, as Mr. Marsh has suggested: 5". "The rest of the dead lived not till the thousand years were fulfilled." See Greek text in loc. By its removal the symmetry of the text is restored-seven auccessive couplets.
come forth to deceive the nations which are in the four corners of the earth, Gog and Magog, to gather them together to the war: the number of whom is as
9. the sand of the sea. And they went ${ }^{1}$ up over the breadth of the earth, and compassed the camp of the saints about, and the beloved city : and fire came down
10. out of heaven, and devoured them. And the devil that deceived them was cast into the lake of fire and brimstone, where are also the beast and the false prophet; and they shall be tormented day and night for ever and ever.
XX. 11-15. (Vision of the great throne and of Him that sat thereon, before whose presence the former heaven and the former earth forthwith vanish. Judgment of the dead. Death and heil cast into the lake of fire.)

Resurrection of the dead and final judgment, 11-13
11. And I saw a great white throne, and him that sat thereon;
And from his face the earth and the heaven fled away, And no place was found for them.
12. And I saw the dead, the great and the small, ${ }^{2}$ standing before the throne,
And books were opened: and another book was opened, which is (the book) of life:
And the dead were judged out of the things written in the books. ${ }^{8}$
18. And the treasurien ${ }^{4}$ gave up the dead which were in them; ${ }^{4}$
1 The past verbs in $20^{0-100}$ are to be explained from our suthor's use of Hebrew idiom, according to which Hebrew perfects for imperfects with vap conversive) represent vividly the future events as things already accomplished.
${ }^{2}$ Our author elsewhere writes: "the small and the great"; see vol. H. 194.
${ }^{3}$ Tautological interpolation added here: "according to their works."
"The text here reads "sea," but the context requires a reference to the abode of righteous souls, since Hades is the abode in our author only of wicked souls, and as such is cast into the lake of fire, $\mathbf{x x} .14^{\text {n }}$. The change of "treasuries"-the normal word in Judaism (50-100 A.D.) for the abode of righteous souls, or of "mansions" (Joha xiv. 2), or "Paradise" (?)-into "sea" was made in the interests of a hodily resurrection. But the sea has already vanished with the first heaven and earth (ver. 11, xxi 1). According to the transmitted text only wicked sonls have part in the General Resurrection and Final Judgment. In 4 Ezra vii, the text dealing with the General Resurrection and Final Judgment has also been tampered with, with a view to enforcing belief in a physical resurrection The result of the tampering with the two texts is interesting: while in the Apocalypse only the wicked rise and are judged, in 4 Eara only the righteous rise and are judged ! see vol. it. 194-198.

And death and Hades gave up the dead which were in them:
And they were judged every man according to their works.

 God that the former things have passed away and that He creates all things new. Forthwith the Seer sees the new heaven and the new earth and the New ${ }^{2}$ Jerusalem coming down, adorned as a bride for her husband. God tabernacles with men. No more grief or pain or tears or death. All the faithful are to reign with Christ for ever and ever (xxii. 5), whereas in the Millennal Kingdom only the risen martyrs were to reign for a thousand years.)
$5^{5}$. And he that sat upon the throne said,
4. The former things have passed away;

5b. Behold, I make all things new. ${ }^{8}$
EXI. 1. And I saw a new heaven and a new earth; For the first heaven and the first earth had passed away;
Nor is there any more sea.

[^55]The New Jerusalem

God dwells with men

Blessed-
ness of God's penple, xxi. $4^{\mathbf{L}^{1}}$ b. o. XXII. 8. xxil. 3-5
4. And they shall see his face,

And his name shall be on their foreheads.
B. And there shall be no more night,

And they have no need of light of lamp or light of sun,
For the Lord God shall cause (his face) to shine upon them : ${ }^{4}$
And they shall reign for ever and ever.

## EPILOGUE AT THE CLOSE OF JOHN's VISION.

God's XXI 60, $\boldsymbol{6}^{\text {b }}$-8. (God's testimony to John's book: His testimony message to all men.)
to John's
book and His
message to all men :
divine sonship for the faithful: for the
 unfaithful equivalent. Perhaps we might render: "the Presence of God is with men "; the second or "the dwelling of God." In no case hes "tabemacle" its ecclesiastical death, xxi. meaning or its tradtional associations ; see vol. ii. 205 sq .
$5^{0}, 6^{b}-8$
XXI. $\mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{c}}$. And He saith, Write; for these words are faithful and true.
6. I am the Alpha and the Omega,

The beginning and the end:
I will give to him that thirsteth of the fountain of the water of life freely.
${ }^{2}$ See vol. ii. 207 : crit. note on this line.
${ }^{2}$ See vol. ii. $2078 q$. : crit. note.
${ }^{4}$ See vol. ii. 210 sq. фuart feur can, of course, be used intransitively, but John uses it only actively elsewhere : sviii. 1, $\mathbf{x x i}$. 23. Otherwise render : "shall shine upon them." But in this senve we find фout feup with the dat.
7. He that overcometh shall inherit these things,

And I will be his God,
And he shall be my son.
8. But for the cravenhearted and unbelieving,

And abominable and murderers,
And fornicators and sorcerers,
And idolaters and all liars-
Their part shall be in the lake that burneth with fire and brimstone:
Which is the second death.

## Chapter XXII.

XXII. 6-7, 18", 16, 18, 12, 10. (Here more than anywhere else in chaps. xx.-xxii. we have the disjecta membra of the PoetSeer. I have restored the order of this section tentatively as above. xxii. $11,18^{6}$-19 are relegated to the footnotes as interpolations. See vol. ii. 211-213, 217 .)
(Christ's testimony to John's book : His speedy coming.)
6. And he said unto me, These words are faithful and Christ true: and the Lord, the God of the spirits of the attests prophets, sent his angel to show unto his servants the John's
7. things which must shortly come to pass. And behold, $6-7,18=$ I come quickly. Blessed is he that keepeth the words of the prophecy of this book. ${ }^{1}$

Seventh of the prophecy of this book. ${ }^{1}$ Beatitude
18*. To every one that heareth I testify the words of the prophecy of this book. ${ }^{2}$

[^56]16. I Jesus have sent mine angel to testify these things unto you in ${ }^{1}$ the Churches:
I am the root and the offspring of David,
The bright and the morning star.
18. I am the Alpha and the Omega,

The first and the last,
The beginning and the end.

His speedy Advent

John's
testimony:
angel worship forbidden, xxii, 8-9

Chris's final words and John's prayer and benediction, 20-21
19. Behold, I come quickly;

And my reward is with me, To render to each man according as his work is.
10. And he saith unto me, Seal not up the words of the prophecy of this book; for the time is at hand.?
XXII. 8-8, 20-91. (John's testimony : the closing words.)
8. And 1 John am he that heard and saw these things. And when I heard and saw, I fell down to worship before the feet of the angel which showed me these things. 9. And he saith unto me, See thou do it not: I am a fellow-servant with thee and with thy brethren the prophets, and with them which keep the words of this book : worship God.
80. He which testifieth these things saith,

Yea: I come quickly.
Amen : come, Lord Jesus.
81. The grace of the Lord Jesus be with all the saints. Amen. ${ }^{8}$
${ }^{2}$ t. So $A$, etc. Other authorities $i \pi i=$ "concerning."
${ }^{2}$ Here the text adds :
11. He that is unrighteous, let him do unrighteousness still: And he that is filthy, let him be made filthy still : And he that is righteous, let him do righteousness still : And he that is holy, let him be made holy still.
This verse refers to the Seer's contemporaries, and declares that the time for repentance is past. But, since $\mathbf{x x i}$. $6-8$ reler also to his contemporaries, there is still hope for them, if they repent ; see vol, ii. 22I sq.
${ }^{3}$ The text of this verse is very uncertain. I have followed $A x$ in reading "the Lord Jesus." The fuller title, "Lord Jesus Christ," has the support of ou6 and mont cursives, while the form "our Lord Jesus Christ" has the support of some cursives and nearly all the versions. Again, the Apocalypse cannot have ended with the words "with all" (A vg). Such a grace would be wholly at variance with the thought of the Seer. Only the saints or those seeking to be saints can receive such grace. Hence the reading of Avg is simply defective, and the choice must lie between the reading of (gig) "with the saints" and that of $046 \mathrm{~s}^{(1), 2}$ armia bo "with sll the saints." This last is most in keeping with our author's views : cf. viii. 3 .

## APPENDIX.

## FOUR PAPYRUS AND VELLUM FRAGMENTS OF THE APOCALYPSE.

Fragment I. (=Fi).

P. Oxy. viii. ro7g.

(Late 3rd or 4th Cent. Verso of a papyrus roll with Exodus on recto (ed. Hunt, 1911).)
i. 4. $[$ Iwavv

$\nu \eta$ ало о шә] кац о $\eta \nu$ ка兀 о є $р х о \mu є$

tuv a ( $(\mathrm{l})] \operatorname{cv}[\omega] \pi$ toov tov $\theta_{\text {povov av }}$
 бтоS о $\pi \rho \omega т о т о к о s ~ т \omega \nu ~ \nu \in к р \bar{\omega}$
 тш аүатшขтı $\eta \mu$ аs каı $\lambda$ ขбаутı $\eta$


 avto ]v" autw то кратоs кац $\eta$ סо
7. ELs $\tau 0]$ vs aluvas $\alpha \mu \eta v$ İov
${ }_{[\epsilon \rho \chi \epsilon] \text { ]ai } \mu \in \tau a, ~ т \omega \nu \nu}^{\nu \in \phi \in \lambda \omega \nu}$
каи очє] тal autov ras oф $\theta$ al

${ }^{1}$ Correction in first hand.
${ }^{1}$ Kesult of correction in first hand. Sice

Fragment II. ( $=$ Fr).
P. Oxy. viii. 1080.
(4th Cent. Plate I. Leaf from vellum Codex (ed. Hunt, 1911).)
$\lambda y$
iii. 19. $\omega \zeta_{\eta} \eta_{\text {Neve }}{ }^{1}$ ovv каи $\mu c \tau a$


 пипош $\mu$ ет autov кal av

 $\mu \in \tau \in \mu \nu v \approx[v] \tau \omega \theta \rho o v[\omega \mu] o v^{8}$



22. autov o exwl ovs axov

iv. 1. таıs єкк $\lambda \eta \sigma$ taus $\mu$ ета
tavta itoov кau ióov to pa aveoryev ev ${ }^{1}$ тш ovpave каи $\eta^{2}$ ф $\omega^{2}$ $\nu \eta \eta \pi \rho \omega \tau \eta \eta \nu \eta \kappa 0 v \sigma a$



$[\gamma \epsilon]$ иє $\theta a[\iota \mu]$ ета таита
2. $\kappa] a i{ }^{2}{ }^{2} \epsilon \theta \epsilon \omega 5, \gamma[\epsilon] \nu 0 \mu \eta \nu \epsilon v$
$[\tau \omega] \overline{\pi \nu} \kappa \alpha[\iota]$ фои $[\theta] \rho о$

каı eтı то[v] $\theta$ povov ка

3. | Onuevar каи о ка $\theta[\eta$ |
| :---: |

ert tov $\theta_{p o v}\left[{ }^{2}{ }^{3}\right.$
неvos орр!рs орабяң
] кроww e[a]v r[sc] aкои[ $\sigma \eta$ тクs [ $\phi u v$ ] $\eta s \mu$ [ov кal avosf $\eta$ т $\eta \nu$ Oupar кal ${ }^{2}$
${ }^{1}$ Correction by second hand. ${ }^{1}$ Second hand. First hand wrote
${ }^{2}$ The two missing lines are added by
first hand at bottom of column, beginning
] «pove $\kappa \pi \lambda$.
Added by second hand.

Өupav aveш
${ }^{2}$ Written by second hand.
${ }^{3}$ This line is added by second hand (sic) between the lines.

Fragment III. ( $=\mathrm{F}^{8}$ ).

$$
\text { P. Oxy. x. } 1230 .
$$

(Early 4th Cent. (ed. Grenfell and Hunt, 1914).)
Recto.
V. 5.
6.




7. $\quad \eta \lambda] \theta a v$ кае $\alpha \lambda \eta \phi \in \nu$ eк $\tau \eta s \delta[\varepsilon \xi$ cas
$8 . \quad$ or $] \varepsilon$ e $\lambda a[\beta \varepsilon \nu$
${ }^{1}$ A slip of the scribe for areotad.
vi. 5 .
6.
7.
8.

## Verso.

] ка $\theta \eta \mu[$ cevos
к]aı $\eta$ коvo[ $\alpha$
xoupus ourov $\delta \eta \nu a p \iota o v \times[a \iota$
$\delta \eta \nu]$ арьои кац то єлєоу кац то [
] оте $\eta \nu \epsilon \omega \xi \in \nu$ т $\eta \nu \sigma \phi \rho a \gamma[1 \delta a$
 ] $c \&[$ or

Fragment IV. ( $=$ F ${ }^{4}$ ).
P. Oxy. vi. 848.
(5th Cent. Plate I. Fragment of papyrus Codex (ed. Grenfell and Hunt, 1908).)

Recto.
xvi. 17. [tov pao]v amo tov Өpovov גeүováa'
 уоито абтратац кає фшуац кац $\beta_{\rho o ̄}$


Verso.
xvi. 19. $\lambda_{\eta} \leqslant \mu \nu \eta[\sigma \theta \eta \epsilon \nu \omega$ $\pi$ tov tov $\bar{\theta} \cdot \delta$ סov val auth тo тотท plov tov olvov тov $\theta$ veov trs [o]pyŋs avt[o]v каи

Notes on the above Fragments

## $\mathrm{F}^{1}$.

i. 4-7. This fragment agrees word for word with A where it exists. It is true that it differs in the reading supplied by Professor Hunt in i. $4:$ i.c. $[\tau \omega v a] \operatorname{c}[\omega] \pi \omega v$. There is not room apparently for [ $\tau \omega \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ] ev $[\omega] \pi \omega \nu$ as in $A_{N}$. The fragment agrees throughout with C save that with A 025 it omits ruv a $\omega \omega \nu \omega$ in i. 6, and perhaps rightly. It agrees generally with $\kappa$ save in two passages where $\kappa$ in i. 6 reads r. acev. tev acevov and in i. 7 o \%ovrac. It disagrees with 025 five times ( $\mathrm{I}^{4}$ a eorvv,

 тоюךбаขть).

Thus this fragment, so far as it exists, attests the text of A(C) as already existing in its present form at the close of the 3 rd cent. or early in the 4th. The transposition то кратоs ка. $\eta$ $\delta$ о $\boldsymbol{\xi} a$ in i. 6 is peculiar to this fragraent.

VOL. II.-29

## F2.

iii. 19-iv. 2. First of all $A$ and $F^{2}$ (as well $F^{80}=$ second hand in $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ ) stand apart. A has two peculiar readings in $4^{1}$ ava $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{\theta}_{6}$
 $\mathrm{F}^{20}$ reads $\left\langle\eta \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma \nu\right.$ in $3^{19}$, avc $\omega \gamma \mu \epsilon \eta$ in $4^{1}$, and $\kappa \alpha \mu \varepsilon v \theta c \omega \sin 4^{8}$-all against A. Thus $\mathrm{F}^{20}$ multiplies by 75 per cent. the differences between $A$ and $F^{2}$ (if we leave ava $\beta \eta_{i c}$ and ooa out of consideration). $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ reads $\zeta_{\eta} \lambda^{2}$ cus in $3^{19}$ as A.
N. $F^{2}$ is more closely related to $K$ than to any other uncial. Thus $N$ reads кai before єcбe入evoouat in $3^{50}$ and adds $\delta$ ov after
 in $3^{90}$ is most probably original, but the other two are wrong.
 reads $\left\langle\eta \lambda \omega \sigma o v 3^{19}\right.$ and кal evecws with $\mathrm{F}^{200}$.

046 . This uncial reads $\zeta_{\eta} \lambda_{\text {eve }} 3^{19}$ and кat ceved. in $3^{30}$ with
 before evecws in $4^{2}$ as $\mathrm{F}^{24}$ does.

From the above it follows that $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ and $\mathrm{F}^{20}$ agree much more closely with $N$ than with any other uncial, but have affinities with 025 and 026 . 046 attests a better text here than N or 025 .

## Fs.

จ. 5-8, vi. 5-8. $\mathrm{F}^{3}$ agrees in $5^{5}$ with AN 025 in reading avouka, where 046 reads o avolywv; in $5^{6}$ with $א 025.046$ in reading $\epsilon \nu \mu \epsilon \sigma \omega$, where A reads $\epsilon \mu \mu \epsilon \sigma \omega$ and always elsewhere with C ; in $5^{6}$ with AN 046 in reading ex $\omega \nu$, where 025 reads exov (a correction), and $6^{7}$ with AK in reading $\phi \omega \nu \eta \nu$ which $C$ 025 . 046 omit. Thus $\mathrm{F}^{3}$ agrees so far as it goes with AK.

## F4.

xvi. 17-18, 19. This fragment agrees word for word with A. Since 025 is defective here, we have only to consider the relation of $\mathrm{F}^{4}$ to N and 046 . While $\mathrm{F}^{4}$ supports $A$ in the right reading


$F^{4}$ agrees with Ak in ${ }^{1617}$ against 046, which adds rov ovpavov after vaov, and with A 046 in reading aro tov $\theta$ povov against rov Ocov of K. Again, in $16^{19} \mathrm{~F}^{4}$ agrees with A 046 in reading סovva against rov סovvac of $\mathcal{K}$, то mormpov and rov ouvov against $\mathcal{K}$, which omits the article in both cases, and opy ss aurov against $\kappa$, which omits the avrov. Thus 046 is right five times with $\mathbf{A}$ against $\mathbb{N}$.

This fragment is interesting. Like $\mathrm{F}^{1}, \mathrm{~F}^{4}$ agrees word for word with A. But whereas $\mathrm{Fl}^{1}$ gives considerable support to $K, F^{4}$ is with one exception against it Next, whereas $F^{8}$ gives equal support to $A$ and $N, F^{3}$ supports $N$ more often than any other uncial.

The above fragments prove, so far as they go, the absolute pre-eminence of A. They furnish evidence for the early uncial character of certain deviations of 025 and for the antiquity of one or more false readings of 046. Next as regards $k$, we see that, whereas it has considerable support from $\mathrm{F}^{1}$ and the full support of $F^{3}$, it is far inferior to 046 in F2 F4. Unfortunately 025 is defective for F . From this comparison 025 emerges with a bad record. Hence, if on the exiguous evidence of these fragments we arranged the uncials in the order of merit, we should have A, C, 046. 025. All the evidence given in the Introduction, which in the main is limited to the fragments of fl and the corresponding sections in the other Versions and MSS, uphold the following order : A, C, $025, \mathrm{~K}, 046$. An exhaustive examination of the MSS and Versions might place $\mathcal{K}$ before oas, but could not affect the primacy of $\mathbf{A}$.

## ADDITIONAL NOTE ON $13^{121 b}$.

The text of this line in vol. ii. 3 I 7 should be restored as follows:
 in vol. ii. 420 should be: "And he had two horns like the lamb." ${ }^{11}$ The term "lamb" is here a symbol for the Messiah as elsewhere in our author, and earlier in Judaism, as we shall see presently. There would be no sense in saying "he had two horns like a lamb"; for some lambs have horns and some have not. The whole point of $3^{11 \mathrm{~b}}$ consists in this, that the second Beast or Antichrist is portrayed as a Satanic counterpart of Christ, just as in $13^{3}$ the first Beast or Antichrist is also represented as a Satanic


But (as I have shown in vol. i. $340-44$ ) chapter 13 is derived from Jewish sources, and $13^{11-18}$ cannot be understood apart from Jewish apocalyptic. Now, whereas in our author the Lamb is described as having "seven horns," ${ }^{2}$ i.e. as a being of transcendent power, a Christian development of a Jewish conception, in this Jewish source the Messiah is symbolized by "a lamb with two horns," which was definitely a Jewish conception. The explanation of this latter phrase is to be found in m Enoch and the Test. XII Patriarchs. In I Enoch $90^{9}$ the Maccabean leaders are described as "horned lambs" as distinct from the rest of the religious Jews who are described as "lambs." In the pages referred to in the note ${ }^{1}$ below, I have already shown how certain religious and military leaders of Israel were so symbolized in I Enoch 85-90. In the Test. Joseph $19^{8}$ Jonathan the Maccabee, who is obviously regarded by the writer of that work as the Messiah, is symbolized by the term davós. That for the corrupt "word " in I Enoch $90^{88}$ we must read "lamb," where the Messiah is referred to, I have shown in my second edition of that book. But I heiewith abandon Goldschmidt's emendation of the text which takes 0 to be a corruption of 0 , and which I then accepted, and also the hypothesis that $83-90$ was originally written in Hebrew. I now regard 83-90 as derived from an Aramaic original, ${ }^{3}$ and explain the meaningless term "word" in $90^{38}$ as a rendering of which was a corruption of There the Messiah is symbolized as a lamb of which it is said that it became great and horned : that is, it had two horns, since this is the natural number.
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## ADDITIONAL NOTE ON THE LATIN VERSIONS.

Fragments of a Latin Version of the Apocalypse preserved in the Speculum (designated m) and found in its entirety in the Codex Gigas.

These fragments were first published by Mai in his Spicilegium Romanum, 1843, ix. 72-74, and are reprinted here according to Weihrich's edition (Liber de divinis scripturis sive speculum, Vienna, 1887). They do not represent any particular manuscript, but consist of a collection of proof passages from the O. or N.T. It is assigned to the vi-vii century. That $m$ represents the same version as gig, a few examples will make clear.

From this comparison of versions, it will be seen that gig m represent one translation from the Greek and Cyp (i.e. Cyprian) Pr another. Occasionally I will append the readings of Tyc and vg. Unhappily f is defective in the passages where m is preserved.
$2^{28}$ qui scrutor (scruto m : scrutans Tyc vg) renes et corda, gig m Tyc vg: scrutator renis et cordis, Cyp Pr.
$3^{15}$ Neque calidus . . . aut calidus gig $\mathbf{m} \mathbf{~ v g}$ : neque fervens . . . aut fervens $\operatorname{Pr}$ Tyc(?).
$3^{17}$ miserabilis et mendicus et nudus et caecus gig m: miser et pauper et caecus et nudus Cyp Tyc vg ( $>\mathrm{Pr}$ ).
$3^{18}$ vestimenta . . . induaris gig m : vestiaris veste ( $\sim \operatorname{Pr}$ ) Cyp Pr.
confusio nuditatis gig m Tyc vg : foeditas nuditatis Cyp Pr. $14^{10}$ in igne gig $m$ : igne Cyp Pr Tyc vg.
$18^{4}$ et ( $>$ Tyc) ne (ut non gig) conmunicetis peccatis ejus, et de ( $>$ Tyc) plagis ejus ( + ut $m$ ) ne accipiatis (laedamini Tyc) gig $m$ Tyc $=$ ne particeps sis delictorum ejus et ne perstringaris plagis ejus Cyp Pr.
${ }^{186}$ calicem quem (calice quo $m$ Tyc) miscuit . . . miscite illi (ei m) duplum gig m Tyc: in quo poculo miscuit duplum remixtum est el Cyp $\operatorname{Pr} \mathrm{Arm}^{2}$.
From the above, out of many like instances, it may be concluded that Cyp Pr and gig mare two independent translations of one and the same MS or possibly of two Greek MSS, which were generally in the closest agreement. The example under $18^{6}$ exhibits a divergence, which may represent a divergence in the Greek MSS. There are a few divergences between gig and $m$, which may be due to the influence of some other Latin version. Thus we have ditatus in $3^{17}$ in gig Cyp and locupletatus in $m$ (and Tyc vg). Here $\operatorname{Pr}$ is defective.

We might provisionally represent the relations of the Latin versions as follows:-


Speculum (vi-vii cent.).
${ }^{1}$ Et angelo Ephesi ecclesiae scribe.
$2^{28}$ Et scient omnes ecclesiae quia ego sum qui scruto renes et corda.
$3^{14}$ Et angelo Laodiciae ecclesiae scribe: haec dicit ille amen, testis fidelis et verax, principium creaturae Det. ${ }^{15}$ Novi opera tua, quia neque frigidus neque calidus es. Utinam frigidus esses aut calidus! ${ }^{16}$ Sed quoniam tepidus, evomam te ex ore meo. ${ }^{17}$ Quia dicis: dives sum, et locupletatus sum, et nihil opus est mihi ; et nescis quia tu es miser et miserabilis et mendicus et nudus et caecus. ${ }^{18}$ Suadeo tibi a me emere aurum igne probatum, ut dives sis et locupleteris; et vestimenta mea alba, ut induaris, ut non appareat confusio nuditatis tuae Et collurio inungue oculos tuos, ut videas. ${ }^{19}$ Ego quoscumque amo, arguo et castigo. Aemulare igitur et age paenitentiam. ${ }^{20}$ Ecce sto ad januam et pulso. Si quis audierit vocem meam, et aperuerit januam, introibo ad illum, et caenabo cum illo et ille mecum. ${ }^{21}$ Qui vincit, dabo illi sedere mecum in sede mea, quomodo et ego vici, et sedeo cum patre meo in sede ipsius.
$14^{8}$ Cecidit, cecidit Babylon magna. De vino fornicationis ejus biberunt omnes gentes. ${ }^{\circ}$ Si quis adorat bestiam et imaginem ejus, et accipit character in fronte sua aut in manu sua dextra, ${ }^{10}$ et hic bibet de indignatione Dei, quae mixta est mera in calice irae ejus, et cruciabitur in igne et sulfore in conspectu angelorum et agni. 11 Et fumus et cruciatus eorum in saecula saeculorum ascendit. Et non habent requiem die ac nocte qui adorant bestiam et imaginem ejus, et qui accepit character nominis illius. ${ }^{18}$ Et audivi vocem de caelo dicentem mihi : scribe, beati mortui qui in Domino
moriuntur amodo. Etiam dicit spiritus, ut requiescant a laboribus suis: opera enim eorum sequuntur eos.
1 ${ }^{16}$ Aquae quas vidisti ubi meretrix sedet, populi et turbae et gentes et linguae sunt.
184 Et audivi aliam vocem de caelo dicentem : exite de ea populus meus, et ne conmunicetis peccatis ejus, et de plagis ejus ut ne ${ }^{1}$ accipiatis. ${ }^{6}$ Quia adpropinquaverunt peccata ejus usque ad caelum, et memoratus est Deus iniquitates ejus. ${ }^{6}$ Reddite ei sicut et ipsa reddidit, et duplicate duplicia secundum opera ejus. In calice quo miscuit vobis, miscite ei duplum. ${ }^{7}$ Et quantum magnificavit se, et luxoriata est, tantum date ei tormentum et luctum. Quia in corde suo dicit, sedeo regina, et luctum meum non videbo. ${ }^{8}$ Propterea una hora veniet plaga ejus, mors et famis et luctus, et igne cremabitur. Quoniam fortis est dominus Deus qui judicat eam.
$20^{18}$ Et vidi mortuos pusillos et magnos stantes in conspectu sedis. Et libri aperti sunt, et alius liber apertus est qui est vitae. Et judicati sunt mortui secundum ea quae scripta sunt in libris, et secundum opera sua. ${ }^{18}$ Et dedit mare mortuos qui fuerunt in ipso, et mors et infernus dederunt mortuos qui fuerunt in ipsis. Et judicati sunt singuli secundum facta sua.
$21^{8}$ Dubiis autem et infidelibus et abominandis et homicidis et adulteris et maleficis et idolis servientibus et mendacibus, pars illorum in stagno ignis ardentis et sulfore, quod est mors secunda.
$22^{18}$ Foras canes, et malifici, et adulteri, et idolis servientes. ${ }^{18}$ Testor ego omnı audienti verba prophetiae libri hujus. Si quis adjecerit supra haec, imponet Deus super eum plagas quae scriptae sunt in hoc libro. ${ }^{19}$ Et si quis abstulerit verba prophetiae libri hujus, auferet Deus partem ejus de ligno vitae et de civitate sancta.
${ }^{1}$ Ut ne ( $=$ lya $\mu \eta$ ). So Weihrich emends et ${ }^{0 \%}$ in Codex Sangallensis, MVLC om

## ADDITIONAL NOTE ON THE MILLENNIAL KINGDOM.

The peculiar form that the Millennial Kingdom assumes in the Apocalypse is due to the results which, according to the Seer, would arise out of the conflicting claims of the Empire and the Christian Faith.

The main question at issue between them, a question which included all minor issues within it, originated in the demand that all loyal citizens of the Roman Empire should offer Divine worship to the Emperor. This claim to Divine honours was adopted by Rome with the object of unifying and consolidating all the diverse elements of the Roman Empire into a single whole. As Rome had already united all the civilized regions of the world in one universal commonwealth, so now it aimed at strengthening this bond of common citizenship by the still stronger tie of a common and universal religion, the one essential element of which was the worship of the Roman Emperor. Such a worship, of course, no Christian could render. Hence a collision of these two forces became inevitable, and in due course Rome proposed to itself definitely the task of exterminating Christianity on the ground that it was a Society guilty of high treason to the State. This came about first under Domitian. Thus there arose a conflict of two loyalties, loyalty to God and Christ on the one hand and loyalty to Caesar on the other, and our author was the first to set forth in all its seriousness the transcendent issues at stake, and to teach his brethren that to yield in any degree to such demands of the State was to be guilty of apostasy to God and the Christ who had redeemed them.

Under the conflict of his day the prophet clearly discerned the eternal issues at stake, and in this conflict he taught that no faithful follower of Christ would escape : in other words, he foretold a universal martyrdom. Herein our author may have found a fulfilment of the mysterious saying of our Lord: "When the Son of Man cometh, shall He find faith on the earth ?"

This forecast of our author, however, was no more realized than numbers of the detailed prophecies of the O.T. But, though this element in his prophecy failed to be fulfilled, the larger spiritual truth embodied in his prophecy-that the Kingdom of this world should become the Kingdom of the Lord and of His Christ-is true for all time and all like crises in human affairs. While the human element falls away the divine remains.

But the Seer could not stop short with forecasting a universal martyrdom. For this forecast of a universal martyrdom naturally led to a recasting of the traditional expectation of
the Millennial Kingdom. If the world was to be evangelized afresh, this evangelization could not be effected save through supernatural intervention, seeing that all the faithful were to be martyred before the advent of the Kingdom. Hence our Seer expected Christ to return on His Second Advent with all the blessed martyrs to destroy the enemies of the Kingdom ( $17^{14}$ $19^{11-20}$ ) and to found the Millennial Kingdom in the Jerusalem that should come down from heaven, and so to evangelize the world afresh ( $21^{9}-22^{2 .} 14-16.1720^{4-8}$ ).

But since John's expectation of a universal martyrdom in the immediate future was not realized, his expectation that the earth would be evangelized by Christ and the blessed martyrs from heaven, cannot be regarded as an essential element of the teaching of the N.T., seeing that the former expectation which gave it birth never itself came into being. The need for this supernatural method of Christianizing the world has not arisen. There has been no universal martyrdom of the Church. Hence since the faithful survive, Christ has committed into their hands the complete evangelization of the world.

But while the pecular form of this expectation must be relegated to the region of unfulfilled prophecy, the truth at the base of this expectation is not thereby affected. And this truth is that ultimately the righteous shall inherit the earth. The entire Apocalypse is indeed in one respect an expansion of the two opening beatitudes of the Sermon on the Mount: ${ }^{1}$ the first of these is, "Blessed are the poor in spirit: for theirs is the Kingdom of heaven" (Matt. $5^{8}$ ). The essential element of this beatitude is conveyed in many of the Seer's words, but especially in
> iii. 20. Behold I stand at the door and knock : If any man hear my voice and open the door, I will come in to him, and will sup with him, And he with me."

As for the second beatitude-"Blessed are the meek: for they shall inherit the earth" (Matt. $5^{5}$ )-the assurance of the truth of this beatitude shows itself in every song of the Apocalypse, whether sung by angels or saints, and this assurance gathers strength as the divine drama moves swiftly onwards, till at last in the closing millennium of the world's history the Kingdom of this world has become the Kingdom of the Lord and of His Christ.

The optimism of the man who believes in God and lives unto Him cannot be other than indomitable and unexpugnable.
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## INDEX.



## I. INDEX OF GREEK WORDS,

Giving Reprrences to Greer Text (vol. ix. pp. 236-385) to Introdiction (vol. 1. pr. xxi-clexxvii), to Commentary (VOL I. Pp. 1-373 AND vol. 11. Pp. 1-226), and to English Translation (vol. it. pp. 386-446), and Appendices (pp. 447-457).
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 $21^{24} 20^{8.8 .11} 21^{1}$ ；i．334， 357 ．
rivoцаи， $1^{1.8 .}$ 10．18． $192^{3.10} 3^{2} 4^{1.2} 6^{18}$
 4．10． 17.19 ［ $\left.16^{10}\right]$ 18t $\left[21^{6 *}\right] 22^{6}$ ；i． 22， $110,111$.


 ［17 ${ }^{18}$ ］；i． 147.


 $5^{1} 10^{4} 13^{8} 14^{18} 14^{1} 17^{8.8} 819^{8}\left[19^{18}\right]$

 1． 79.
$\gamma \nu \mu \nu 65,16^{15}\left(\right.$ see $\left.3^{2}\right) 3^{17} \times 7^{15}$ ．


 127.

「 ${ }^{10} \gamma, 20^{\circ}$.
ywila， $7^{12}$ 20 ；lxx．
 47，48， 95.

$\Delta$ aveld， $3^{7} 5^{6} 22^{16}$ ．
$\delta \in \hat{e}, 1^{1} 4^{3} 10^{11}\left[11^{8}\right] 17^{10} 20^{1} 22^{4} ;$ i． 6.
 i．2， 109.

$\delta$ eildos， $21^{\mathrm{A}}$ ；ii 216.
detrytw， $3^{20}$ ．
ठिeîmpoy，19． 17.
 i． $224 n, 1347$.
$\delta \epsilon ́ к а т о я, 1^{18} 21^{20}$ ．

bekid， $1^{17,} 202^{1} 5^{1.7}$.



סеढ̄́te， $19^{17}$ ．
deúrepos， $2^{11} 4^{7} 6^{8} 933.1411^{14} 14^{0} 16^{6}$ $19^{2} 21^{19} 20^{0}\left[20^{1 /}\right] 21^{4}$ ．
$\delta(\omega) 9^{14} 20^{1}$.



(Gen.), $\mathrm{I}^{2} 21^{\mathrm{E}}$.
Suh, uses of ; caxix ; i. 329 ; in. 173.
oud roüro, i. 302 ; ii. 91.
סidpo入os, $2^{10} 12^{4}{ }^{12} 20^{2} 12$.
ס1

SLaxople, $3^{15}$.

Stayyts, $21^{\mathrm{M}} ;$ ii. 170.
óaф@elpu, [80] $1 I^{10}$; $\mathrm{i}_{0} 297$.


81864, cxviii ; i. 88 ; ii. 395 m.

 $11^{1.2} 28.28 .25 \mathrm{I2}^{14} 13^{24} 4$ 4. 7. 14. 15. 16 $14^{7} 15^{7} 16^{6.4}{ }^{28} 17^{12}{ }^{15} 18^{7} 16^{6} 19^{7 \cdot}:$ $20^{4}{ }^{15} 21^{\circ}$; cxl, crlvili ; i. 54, 87: = "to requite," $2^{9}$; lxis, cxlviii; "to make," $3^{\text {" }}$; i. 88; "to grant," 2; $\mathrm{i}^{1}$ 54, 191, 205; "to offer upon," 8i; i. 230; "t to commission," $1 I^{\text {a }}$; i. 280; " to place," " put," $3^{\text { }}$; i. 87, 362 ; "t to give up," ii. 198, 199.
stow $\mu$, various uses of, $1.278,280$.
$\delta_{\text {Licucos, }} 15^{8} 19^{2} 16^{\text {46. }} 7$ [ $\left.22^{11}\right]$
дикацоти́vy, $19^{11}\left[22^{12}\right]$.
Tucalwha, 15 [19 ${ }^{6}$ ]; Cxy; in 36, 128.
\&єтло0s, $18^{6}$; ii. 91, 98, 99.

8ts, $9{ }^{20}$.
8اатороs, $1^{28} 2^{18}$.
84\%des, $7^{16} 22^{17} 21^{64}$; xxxii.
Sutiow, $12^{12}$.

 i. 17, 149; ii. 172.

סaFdjw, $15^{6} 187$.
סefior, $1^{1} 2^{10} 6^{14} 7^{4} 10^{4} 11^{18} 13^{16}\left[15^{4}\right]$
 i. 6, 206, 296; ii. 124, 125.
 $20^{2}$; i. 345.
 Ixriii.
 $15^{4}$; i. 139.
 $15^{6} 17^{12} 188^{2} 19^{1} ;$ i. 149, 301, 326 ; ii. 9r, 96.
 224 m.
8vo $\mu \boldsymbol{j}, 21^{23}$.
 clix ; i. 224 п. ; ii. 156.
סwdicuror, $21^{20}$.
Soupedy, $22^{15} 21^{4 / 4}$; max.
$\delta$ ©pon, $11^{12}$.
ddy, uses of ; cxxiv, cxuxp, clv.
duy [with indic., $2^{2 \pi j}$ ]

drdxis edup (subj.), 1 I' $^{\text {I }}$. See dodxts. Brou édy (subj.), $13^{24}$. See dros.
savtbs, $2^{2 .}$ घ. $203^{9} 6^{12} 10^{2.1}{ }^{7} 19^{7}$; cxxii.

'EBpaliorl, $9^{11} 16^{16}$; xxxii; i. 245.
er ${ }^{2}$ ús, $1^{3} \quad 22^{10}$.
eyelpw, $11^{1}$.
-
 $14^{\text {i. }} 15^{2}$ (var. $)^{4} 16^{16}\left[17^{16}\right] 18^{\text {a }}$ :
 147.
cl, uses of ; xxxiv, exxxy ; i. 284
el se $\mu$ th, $2^{6 .}$ 24; i. 65.
if $\mu$ h, $2^{17} 9^{4} 13^{17} 14^{4}\left[19^{17}\right] 21^{27}$

Subj. [11 $\left.{ }^{\text {an }}\right]$.
Indic., $11^{50} 13^{4} 14^{3.11} 20^{14}$.
ctora, $17^{4}$.

 $12^{18} 13^{1.2 .211} 14^{2.6 .6} 14\left[15^{1}\right] 15^{2.1}$ a $16^{18} 17^{8, ~ 6.4 .15 i s}\left[17^{15}\right] 7^{41} 181.7$ 1911. 17. 1t $20^{1} 2 \mathrm{I}^{18} 20^{4} 20^{4}$ 11. 15 21 ${ }^{12} 2$; $1.106,148,161$.
el84入60vtos, $2^{14}{ }^{10}$; lixxxiv; i. 63 .

etton $10 v, 9^{20} ;$ i. 254, 255.
elkort, $4^{210} 5^{10} 11^{18} 19^{4}$.
 i. 339 .
etinךфп, used as sorist; lxxy, cxivi.; i. 39, 136, 143, 144, 231, 293.
बlppка, used as aorist, cxxvi; i. 212; ii. 120.
elpдиу, I $^{4} 6^{4}$; lxaxiv; i. 9.
els, uses of ; cxxix ; ii. 24, 43 .







 $19^{17}$ ar ${ }^{8.81}$; cxxii ; i. 224 th, $23^{88}$. sin $8 x$, i. 139 .
 247.
$=$ indef．article，exxii ；i．237，247． eiripxoura， $3^{30} 11^{11} 15^{2} 21^{57} 22^{16}$ ．
elxay，cxvili．



 9． 2 （blas）2．13．17． 18 （bis）．20．ni（quater） $10^{1.4 .4 .4 .16} 11^{\text {B．7．2．}} 12.1812^{16,16} 13^{1.2}$
 $15^{9}$ trati 5．7． 1 （the） $16^{1}$ ． 10. I1（ter）． 18

 $20^{2} 21^{8.10,91} 32^{1} 20^{7 \%}$ ． $18 \quad 23^{8.3}$ ． $21^{4.4}{ }^{6}\left[22^{10}\right]$ ．
ek，partitive use ；cxx，cxxix ；i．I6r， 288 ；1i． 97 ；other uses of；crxix， cxxx ；ii．28，33，42，95， 112.
＝ets éx ；ii． 57 ．
\％ксатоя， $2^{38} 5^{5} 6^{11} 21^{21} 22^{2} 20^{18} 22^{28}$ ．

iкק $\alpha \lambda \lambda \omega, 11^{2}$.
 119.

غкeî日ev， $22^{2}$ ；ii． 176 ．
exeives， $9^{6} \mathrm{II}^{13}$ ；cxxii．
éxrevtét， $\mathbf{I}^{7}$ ；lxvii ；i． 18.


éклектбs， $17^{14}$ ；cxy．
 $22^{2}$ ；ii． 48.


enala， $11^{4}$ ．
（1）ecor， $6^{0}{ }^{18} 8^{18}$ ．

eגervos， $3^{17}$ ．

èефdитtvos， $188^{12}$ ．



$d \mu d \omega, 3^{20}$ ．
e $\mu \delta \mathrm{f}, 2^{20}$ ；xxxivi，cxai．





 （bla）$\left[4^{6}\right] 5^{2.2 .} 4$（blas），e． 13 （bas） $6^{2,1}$
 $\left[9^{11}\right] 9^{17 .}$ in ont）$\left[9^{10}\right] 9^{90}$［ $0^{8.8}$ iquater）．






 $22^{6}\left[22^{100}\right]\left[22^{10}\right] 22^{10}$ ．
tr，uses of，cxxx，cxxxi ；i．135，139， 147，214，221， 222 n．，260，272，290， 314，336， 359 ；ii．2，22， $90,446 \mathrm{n}$ ．
（vatos， $21 \%$ ．

tvoíw， $1^{12} 15^{6} 19^{14}$

éviavtós， $9^{24}$ ．
（frevêev， $22^{2}$ ；ii． 176.
（iventh， $12^{17} 14^{21}$ ；i． 369.

 xxx，cxxxi．

家 $\ddagger a \times 6 \sigma 10,13^{10} 14^{\circ 0}$ ．
दईa入elфw， $3^{8} 7^{17} 21^{6}$ ；i．217， 218.
$\epsilon \xi \in \rho \chi \circ \mu a l, 3^{12} 6^{2.4} 9^{8}\left[14^{13.17}\right] 14^{18,20}$ $15^{3} 16^{17}{ }^{184} 19^{\text {b．}} 2120^{6}$.

『Fovola， $2^{24} \quad 6^{8} \quad 9^{8} \cdot 10.24 \quad 11^{8} \quad 12^{10}$ $13^{\text {2．}}$ ．a．7． 12 ［ $\left.14^{18}\right] \quad 16^{0} 17^{12} 18 \quad 18^{1}$ $22^{14} 20^{6}$ ；i．75， 326.
${ }^{15} \mathbf{E}=3^{12} 22^{15}$ ．
 cxxxv．
travem， $6^{8} 20^{2}$ ；cxxxi．
ETl（gen． 55 times）， $1^{90} 2^{20} 3^{10}$（bal） $4^{10}$

 2． 10 （bts） $12^{1}\left[13^{1}\right] \quad 13^{\text {de }} 36$（blet 14
 $17^{18} 18^{24} \quad 19^{28} 18.18121^{14} 20^{64} 114$ ．
drl（dat． 13 times）， $4^{0} 5^{18} 7^{10} 9^{14} 10^{11}$
 （var．）．
 $4^{2,4}$（bis） $5^{1} 6^{2}$ H（Dre．th s．ais $7^{1}$



 $\left[19^{16}\right] 19^{18} 20^{1} 21^{10 .} 1622^{14} 2048.4404$ $20^{\circ} 22^{\mathrm{d}}$ ， 1 m （bls）．
dri，uses of，lvil，xedi，exxix，caxio cxxxiii；i．I12， 113.
With gen．，i．112，113，136，191， 204，206，215，223，256，262， 300，301，334， 335 ；ii．2，3，12，

13，15，16，22，51，52，56，57， 186.

With dat．，i．113， 269 ；ii．116， 202.
With act，i．18，112，113，136，154， 191，203，215，226，252，262，301， 303， 334 ；ii． $3,15,16,22,34,43$ ， 44，51，56，57，105，116，132， 137 ， $163,18 \mathrm{I}-183,190,210,211,223$.
With gen，after ca0jac8at，li，liv $n$ ．， IVii．
With case varying with case of каӨท́navos，cxxxil；i．112， 113. See torvis，xarounto．


$\epsilon \pi เ \theta \nu \mu \dot{\omega} \omega, 9^{6}$.
Extevula， $18{ }^{16}$ ．


erırlequ $\left[22^{18 i b}\right]$ ；lv $n$ ．
drid， $1^{4}\left[\mathrm{I}^{4}\right] 1^{112}$ In．in so $2^{1} 3^{1} 4^{8}$

$13^{1}\left[15^{1}\right] 15^{6}\left[15^{6}\right] 15^{7.8} 16^{1} 17^{1.2 .7 .8}$
$\left[17^{20}\right] 17^{11} 21^{6} ;$ xci，cliv．clix；i．8， 9 ，
25，224，272， 319 ；i．38，39， 69 r．
ipuivdos， $22^{28}$ ．
Eрүа广ораи， $18^{17}$ ；ii． 106.
 $9^{91} 14^{18} 15^{8} 16^{11^{11}} 18^{4}\left[20^{19}\right] 20^{18} 22^{15} ;$ CxV ；i．37I－373．


（pion，$I^{14}$ ．
 $3^{10.11} 4^{4} 5^{7} 6^{1.2 .24 .4 .17} 7^{14.14} 8^{8} 9^{12}$ $11^{14.4} 13^{4} 4^{7}\left[14^{15}\right] 17^{1 .} 10^{71} 8^{10} 19^{7} 21^{8}$ 2217．7． 12.20 ；cxxiiil，cxxvii ；i．51， 52 ；ii． 395 m．
d épxdmeyas，i．10，295，ete．
U $\sigma \theta\left(\omega, 2^{7} \cdot{ }^{16}{ }^{20} 10^{16}{ }^{10} 7^{16} 19^{18}\right.$ ．

Eo $\omega \theta=0,\left[4^{6}\right] 5^{1}$ ；i．125， 137.
 $20^{2} 21^{1.4} 22^{28} 0^{\prime 2}\left[22^{11}\right]$ ；cxxxv． See obxtet．
 301， 310.


conyץ $\lambda$ 人оу； 14 ；ii． 12
ebetion， $4^{9}$ ．
dioyta $5^{\text {12 }} 7^{14} 7^{19}$ ；1． 149.
ebploken， $2^{9} 3^{9} 5^{4} 9^{3} 12^{2} 14^{8} 16^{80} 18^{81}$
［ $18^{14}$ ］ $18^{2 \times 1} 20^{11}$ I．

EOqpdry， $9^{14} 16^{214}$ ．
cixapiotion， $12^{17}$ ．
＊ixapuarla， $4^{3} 7^{15}$
edúvumos， $10^{2}$ ．
＂Eфесон， $1^{11} 2^{21}$ ．









${ }^{6}$（xay $=$ finite verb；i． 124.
Ecos， $6^{12}$ ；cxxxp．
tios surre， $6^{10}$ ；i． 175.
Zaßova $\omega$ r， $7^{8}$ ．
§ん山， $1^{18} 2^{6} 3^{1} 4^{8.10} 7^{9} 10^{6} 13^{14} 15^{7} 19^{20}$ $20^{4}\left[20^{6}\right.$ ］$]$ i．204， 205 ；ii．181， 183.
reatbs， $3^{12 .}{ }^{16}$ ；i． 96.
「yneíw， $3^{18}$ ．
$\zeta \eta \pi \omega_{1} 9^{8}$.
Suyov， 6 ；i． 166.

「 ${ }^{2} \nu \eta, 1^{18}{ }^{15} 5^{6}$ ．
 $7^{11} 14^{2} 15^{7} 19^{4}$ ；lxx．

5， $3^{15}$ ．
${ }^{0} \kappa \omega, 2^{25} 3^{2.2} 15^{4} 18^{8}$.
 $16^{\text {6．}}{ }^{12} 19^{17} 21^{28} 22^{8}$ ．
 $10^{7} 115^{2}$ a．a． $1112^{6.16} 144^{12} 16^{14} \quad 18^{6}$ $21^{25} 20^{10}$ ；i．154， $183,237$.
${ }^{5} \mu \mu \sigma v s, 1 I^{8 .}{ }^{11} 122^{14}$ ．

 clii ；i．10， 295.
 $12^{12.16} 13^{3} 14^{4} 15^{9} 16^{2} 188^{17,}$ 18，20 $20^{8}$ ［2013］21 ${ }^{1}$ ； $1 \mathbf{v}$ ，clv；i．117；ii． 194－196．
Odivaros， $1^{18} 2^{10.11}, 2^{10} 6^{6}\left[6^{88}\right] 9^{6} 12^{11}$
 xxxii，cxlviii．；i． 59.
$=$ pestilence；lxvi m．，lxxviii，cxiviii； i．72，169－171 ；ii．100， 402 \％．
0а0 $\mu a, 17^{\circ}$ ．
Oav $\mu \mathrm{d} 5 \omega_{1} 13^{2} 17^{\text {Q．}} 7.8$ ；cxxvi．

$\theta \in$ coy， $9^{17 .} 18{ }^{18} 4^{16} 19^{90} 200^{10} 11^{2}$ ．

$\theta \in \lambda \eta \mu \mathrm{a}, 4^{11}$ ．

$\theta \in \mu$ incos, $21^{124}{ }^{13}$.
 $\left[4^{6}\right] 4^{8.21} 5^{6.8 .} 106^{0} 7^{2}$ 3. 10. 12. 12.
 17. 19 $12^{\text {li. a. 10. } 27} \quad 14^{13} \quad 14^{4}$ 7. 20. 20 $\left[15^{2}\right] 15^{2}\left[15^{2}\right] \quad 15^{2.4 .1} 16^{1.2} 2.12 .14$


 $22^{6}$ [2212. 17] $22^{\mathrm{p}}$; cx, cxxi, cxlvii ; ii. 387.

Oeds roî oopavoi, i. 292. See Kuplos, Паитокрdтыp.
Oeparela, $22^{2}$.

Oepl解, [144.15]; ii. 24
$\theta$ $\theta$ рит $\mu$ [s, $\left[14^{13}\right]$; 1xxiii $n$. ; ii. 24.
$\theta$ $\theta \omega \rho\left(\omega, 11^{11 .} 12\right.$; i. 272, 288, 290.


 i. 171, 339, 340, $343,344$.
$\theta \lambda i \psi k s, 1^{9} 2^{9.120 . ~} \mathrm{ma}^{14}$; i. 21, 199-202, 213.
$\theta \rho 15,1^{14} 9^{8}$.
 $5^{1.5}$ 2. 7. 11. $18 \quad 6^{18} \quad 7^{8 .}$ 10. 11. 13. $177^{88}$

 131.

Oud́répa, $1^{12} 2^{18 .}$.
Oúnvos $18{ }^{18}$; ii. 103.
$\theta v \mu i a \mu a, 5^{88} 8^{\text {. }}{ }^{4} 8^{12}$; lxnviii.
$\theta \nu \mu$ бs, $12^{131}\left[14^{8}\right] 14^{10.15}\left[15^{1}\right] 15^{7} 16^{1.10}$ $\left[18^{8}\right] 19^{15}$; ii. 14, $15,52,96$.
0upa, $3^{\text {s. }} 04^{1}$; lxxiii, lxxxy; i. 107.
 i. $112,172,173,226-230,277$.
$\theta$ ©pak, $9^{\text {e. }} 17$.

 $4^{1.2} 5^{5} 6^{2.5} 5^{8} 7^{1} 9^{18} 11^{14} 12^{8} 14^{1.10}$

'Iesdßen, $2^{20}$.
lepeis, $\mathrm{I}^{1} 5^{10} 20^{6}$; lavii, Lxix, Lxaxiv, clxvii; i. 16, 148.
 i. 92 ; ii. $157-16$ r.
 $20^{6} 22^{14.2 n}$ n $;$ i. 6.
$4 \begin{array}{llllllll} & 16^{15} & (\text { see } & \left.3^{3}\right) & 3^{4.5} .28 & 4^{4} & 19^{18}\end{array}$ [19 ${ }^{16}$ ]; i. 82, 187, 188, 213.
 [ $\left.8^{12}\right] 12^{64}{ }^{16} 13^{15}$ (blat. $1616^{12} 18^{6}$ (bla) $19^{2}-2030^{2} 21^{25}$.

Pres. subj. $1^{16} 3^{18} 7^{1} 9^{8.16} 11^{6} 12^{14}$ $13^{18.17} 21^{\text {min }}$.
 $14^{18} 22^{14}$.
Pres. indic. $12^{6}$.
tra, uses of, xxx, cxxxy, cxxxvi; i. 41, 42, 154, 243, 254, 302, 359, 370; ii. 177 .
'Ioúda, $5^{\text {a }} 7^{5}$.
'Ioudaíos, $2^{9} 3^{8}$; xxx ; i. 57, 88.


1911. 16. 18. 18. n.

Tpus, $4^{2} \mathrm{ro}^{1}$.
toos, $211^{16}$.
${ }^{\prime} I \sigma \rho a \eta \lambda, 2^{14} 7^{4} 21^{24}$.
'I $\sigma \sigma a x d \rho, 7^{7}$.


$20^{13}$; cxxvi ; i. 191 ; ii. $34,89$.

dTl, i. 191, 262, 334, etc.

clvin ; i. 258, 259 ; ii. 90.
loxús, $5^{12} 7^{13}$; i. 149.
$\operatorname{lo} \chi^{\dot{j}} \omega, 12^{0}$; i. 303, 324, 325.

' $1 \omega \sigma \boldsymbol{x} \boldsymbol{\prime}, 7^{6}$.
кaAapos, $15^{6} 19^{8.0} 1621^{18 .} 27$.

$7^{10 .} 169^{17} 11^{16}\left(14^{8}\right.$ var. $) 14^{16}\left[14^{15}{ }^{16}\right]$

$21^{\text {E4 }}$; lii (see éxl); i. 102, 112, 113 ;
ii. 12, $13,56 n$., $90,99$.

кa0ljw, $3^{31} 20^{4}$; i. 102.
sal, in apodosis, i. 42 ; ii. 16, 423 \%.
adversative, i. 87.
resumptive, i. 150.
alternative, i. 177.
explanatory, ii. 120, 417 m
$=$ " and so," ii. 177 .
кacpbs, $2^{17} 3^{12} 5^{1} 14^{2} 21^{\text {ch }}$ L. 3 ; i. 146; ii. 158.

кuLpbs, $1^{4}$ IIIS $11^{18} 12^{12} 142^{10}$.
calco, $4^{4}\left[8^{\mathrm{ba}}{ }^{10}\right] 19^{20} 21^{8}$.
как6s, $2^{2} 16^{2}$.

кu入( $\omega, I^{8}{ }_{11} 1^{8} 12^{9} 16^{14} 19^{9}\left[19^{11}\right]$ 19 $9^{18}$;
xXX ; ii. 129, 130.
кdцихоs, $\Sigma^{15} 9^{2}$.
 $19^{2}$.
каро́a, $2^{28} 17^{17} 18^{7}$.
ксрт bs, $22^{2}$.
кard (acc.) $2^{23} 4^{8} 18^{8}\left[20^{28}\right] 20^{18}$.
(gen.) $2^{1410 .}$
kurd，uses of，cxxxiii．
naraßalims， $3^{12}$ 10 $12^{13} 13^{18} 16^{91} \quad 18^{1}$ $20^{1} 21^{10} 20^{\circ} 21^{8}$ ．
 355.
xard $\theta \in \mu a, 22^{3}$ ；lxxvii；ii． 209.
катака $i \omega,\left[8^{7}\right] 1^{16} 18^{8} ;$ i． 233.
кататip $\omega, 12^{2 \prime}$ ．

кarefolw， $10^{\text {en }} 11^{18} 11^{8} 12^{4} 20^{\circ}$ ；lxxix．
кuryroptus， $12^{15}$ ．
cartirwp， $12^{10}$ ；i． 327.
 $14^{6}$（var．） $17^{2.6}$ ；xxx，lxix 1 lxxxviii； i． $40,273,336,359$ ；ii．12， 13 ．

evv aữ $\hat{y}, \mathrm{i}$ i．336， 359.
тiv ทヴ，ii．57，6I， 63 ．
катокитириоу， I8．$^{9}$ ．
$\cdots a 0 \mu a, 7^{16}{ }^{16}{ }^{6}$ ．

$\boldsymbol{к \varepsilon і р а ц , ~} 4^{2} 21^{15}$ ．
révipor， $9{ }^{10}$ ．
керамеко́， $2^{2 \pi}$ ．
керdидииц， $14^{10} 18^{6}$ ；ii． 16.
$\pi \in \rho a s, 5^{8} 9^{18} 12^{8} 13^{1.11} 17^{8 .} 7.12 .16 ; i$.
141.
 $13^{1.8} 14^{16} \mathrm{I7}^{207.1} 18^{19} 19^{12}$.
кทри́́row， $5^{9}$ ．

кıOdpa， $5^{\mathrm{a}} 14^{2} 15^{2}$ ．


nevé $\omega$ ， $2^{5} 6^{14}$ ．

$\kappa \lambda a i \omega, 5^{4 .} 51^{89}$ 11，15．25．
r $\lambda_{\text {els，}} 1^{18} 3^{7} 9^{1} 20^{1}$ ；lxax，cxi．
$« \lambda e โ \omega, 3^{7.2} 11^{8} 20^{2} 21^{25}$ ；lxxxvi．
$\kappa \lambda \epsilon \mu \mu a, 9^{21}$ ；i． 255.
$\kappa \lambda e ́ \pi T \eta s, 16^{10}\left(\right.$ see $\left.3^{8}\right) 3^{2}$ ；lxxxiv．
$\kappa \lambda \eta$ роронє $\omega, 21^{7}$ ；ii． 215.
$\kappa \lambda \eta$ тós， $17^{14}$ ；cxv；ii． 130.

not $\lambda i a, 10^{0.10}$ ．
notuós， $21^{27}$ ．

94 \％．， 115 \％．
ro入入d山， $18^{5}$ ；ii．97， 98.
co $\lambda \lambda$ ои́plov， $3^{21}$ ；i． 98.
koxid $\omega, 2^{2}$ ．
кbros， $2^{2} 14^{18}$ ；i． 49.
кблти， $\mathrm{I}^{7}$ I8 ${ }^{8}$ ；lxviii．
$\operatorname{cog} \mu \mu^{\prime}(\omega), 21^{18} 21^{2}$ ．

 $19^{17}$ ．
 48，49， 191 m．， 204
крd́ros， $\mathrm{I}^{\mathbf{8}} 5^{10}$ ．
краиуテ， $\mathbf{2 I *}$ ．

кр $/ \mu a, 17^{1} 18^{901} 20^{6}$ ；ii． 111.
 2012． 13.
крігเs， $14^{7} 18^{10} 19^{9} 16^{7}$.
apoíns， $3^{20}$ ．

крибта入入ijes，21 ${ }^{11}$

$\kappa$ кŋ̄yos， $18^{13}$ ；ii． 104.

xriots， $3^{14}$ ．
$\kappa т l \sigma \mu a, 5^{18}\left[8^{\circ}\right]$

кик入еб $\omega, 20^{\circ}$ ；xxxii．
кuк $\lambda 6 \theta \in \nu, 4^{2.4}\left[4^{8}\right]$ ；crxriii ； 1.825.
$\kappa u ́ \kappa \lambda \psi, 4^{4} 5^{11} 7^{\text {II }}$ ；cxxxiii．
кuplaк fr，$^{10}$ ；i．22， 23.

 cx，cxxi，cxlvii；i．6，127， 212 ；ii． 75， 387 n．
кरow， $22^{15}$ ；ii． 178.
 $21^{\text {D．}} 15$ ；xxxii，cli ；i． 358.
$\lambda a \mu \beta \alpha \nu \omega, 2^{17 .} 973^{\text {a．}} 114^{11} \quad 5^{9,8.0 .0 .18}$
 $19^{20} 22^{17} 20^{6}$.
$\lambda a \mu \pi d s, 4^{5}\left[8^{10}\right]$ ．
入адтто́s， $15^{6} 18^{14} 19^{6} 22^{1 .} 16$ ；ii． 108.
Aaodixla， $\mathrm{I}^{11} 3^{14}$ ．
 184 $21^{18}$ ；xxxi ；i． 147 ；ii． 207.
入arpé̛́w， $7^{15} 22^{18} ;$ i．214， 215.
$\lambda \in \gamma \omega,\left[1^{6}\right] \quad 1^{10 .} 17 \quad$ 21．2．7．8．8．11． $19 .^{19}$ 17．18．80．2． 99 31．8．7．9．12．14．17． 92 $4^{1.8}$ ． $105^{\text {b．}}$ 2．12．18． 14 61．2．8．6．7． 10. 21． $147^{\text {8．}} 16.12 .18 .168^{14}\left[8^{11}\right] 9^{\text {d．}} 14$
 $14^{18} 14^{\text {6．2．}}{ }^{2.16} 15^{2} 16^{1}$［ $16^{6 \alpha}$ ］ $16^{17}$ $17^{1.7}$［17 $\left.7^{16}\right]$ 185．6．7．10．16．11．16． 11

 xxx，clv；i．235，267， 360 ；ii． 413 n．

Aevi，7＇．
入euкаиуь， $7^{14}$ ；i．188， 214.

 $14^{14} 19^{11 .} 1420^{11}$ ；lxviii；i．162， 184－188，213， 214 ；ii．192，193．

$\lambda \eta \nu \delta s, 14^{18.8 t} 19^{15}$ ；lxaiii，lxav；ii． 24.
$\lambda$（阝avor， $18{ }^{21}$ ．
$\lambda_{\text {ifancords，}}$ 8．$^{\text {a }}$ ；i． 230.
$\lambda$（0uyos， $9{ }^{\text {min }}$ ．
 lxv，lxxifi，cli，clxviii ；ii．38， 425 n． $\lambda / \mu \nu \eta, 19^{96} 20^{10} 16\left[20^{14}\right] 20^{16} 21^{8}$ ； i．239－242．
$\lambda \iota \mu \delta s,\left[6^{8}\right]$ 188．
$\left[\lambda i v o \nu, 15^{\circ}\right]$ ；lxy，lxriii ；ii． 38.
入ıтарб́s， $18^{14}$ ；ii． 108.

 7，21， 329.
Ábyos， $19^{18}$ ；xxai，liii ；ii． 134.
入octós， $2^{24} 3^{8}\left[8^{18}\right] 9^{10} 1_{1}^{18} 12^{17} 19^{11}$ ［20 ${ }^{6 a}$ ］；1．79，238，302， 332.
$\lambda_{u} \chi^{p i a}, 1^{12 .}$ 18． $202^{1.5} 11^{4}$ ．
$\lambda u ́ x$ vos， $18^{284} 21^{24} 22^{5}$ ．
$\lambda \dot{u} \omega, 1^{4} 5^{2} 9^{14}{ }^{16} 20^{6} 9$ ；i． 15 ；ii． 187.

Mayúr， $20^{6 .}$ ．
Max́dplos， $1^{8} 1^{16}\left(\right.$ see $\left.3^{5}\right) 14^{15} 199^{9} 22^{16}$ $20^{64} 22^{7}$ ；lxxxiv ；ii． 186.
$\mu \operatorname{axp} \delta \theta \in \mathrm{t}, 18{ }^{101018.17 .}$
Mavaन市， $7^{\text {B }}$ ．
$\mu a v \theta d \nu \omega, 14^{8}$ ．
$\mu$ диva， $2^{17}$ ．

$\mu$ рриароя， $18{ }^{1 .}$ ．

 20 ${ }^{6}$ ；xxxii；i．7，21， 22.
дaprúpiov， $15^{5}$ ；lxv，cli ；ii．37， 38.
$\mu$ dprus， $1^{8} 2^{18} 3^{14} 11^{8} 17^{6}$ ；i．14， 62.
$\mu$ acdo $\mu$ al， $16^{16}$ ．
наото́s，${ }^{18}$ ．
$\mu \dot{\alpha} \chi^{a \iota \rho a,} 6^{6} 13^{10.14} ;$ i． 165.



4．18． $18.14^{8.6 .8 .9}\left[14^{15}\right] \quad 14^{18.10}$
$\left[15^{2}\right]{ }_{15} 5^{8} 16^{1.0 .12} 14.17 .18\left[16^{10}\right]$ $16^{10.11} 17^{1 .}$ E．C． 14 181．2．10．16．18． 18．al $19^{1.8 .4 .4 .19 .10} 20^{2} \quad 21^{16}$ 1t 2011．18 $21^{2}$ ；clviii；ii．42， 194.
щeyıurâves， $6^{16}\left[8^{2 \mathrm{k}}\right]$ ；i．181， 182.
$\mu е \theta \sigma \sigma \kappa \omega, 17^{2}$ ．
$\mu$ еidar，66． 18 ；i． 162.
$\mu \in \lambda_{l}, 10^{2} .10$.
$\mu \in \lambda \lambda_{\omega}, 1^{10} 2^{10} 3^{\text {24．}} 10.166^{10} 8^{20} 10^{8.7}$ $12^{\text {L／}} 17^{1} ;$ i．33，42，79，264，265， 301.
$\mu \hat{\nu} \omega, 17^{10}$.
$\mu e ́ p o s,\left[16^{18}\right] 20^{8} 21^{4}\left[22^{10}\right]$.
 cxlviii ；i．118，119，136，140， 217 ； ii． 176.

Merd（acc．）， $1^{10} 4^{\text {i（bla）}} 7^{1.0} 9^{15} 11^{11}$ $15^{5} 18^{1} 19^{1} 20^{1}$ ．
 （bis） $4^{1}\left[6^{6}\right] \quad 10^{8} \quad 11^{7} \quad 12^{7.0 .} 17 \quad 13^{4.7}$

 ［ $21^{8}{ }^{8}$ 22 ${ }^{12} 21$.
$\mu \in \tau d$, uses of ；laxx，cxxxiii，cxxxiv； i．18，286，301，305，370；ii． 406 n.
 $9^{20.21} 16^{\text {e．} 11}$ ．
метаро放，i．39，71，254， 255.
нeтpt $\omega, 11^{1.2} 21^{15 .}$ 18．17；i．274－ 276.
$\mu \in \tau \rho o v, 21^{15 .} 17$.
 $22^{4}$.
$\mu \eta^{\prime}$ ，with imperative， $2^{10}$ ．
with subj， $7^{8} 10^{4} 112^{2} 22^{10}$ ，
alone［ $19^{10}{ }^{10}$ 22 $2^{9}$ ．
$\mu \eta$ ，uses of ；cixivi．

$\mu \eta \delta \epsilon t s,{ }^{11}$ ．
$\mu \hat{\eta} \times \mathrm{or}, 21^{16}$ ．

$\mu$ рроs， $19^{18}$ ．
$\mu \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\eta}, 17^{\circ}$.


i．43，297，etc．
$\mu \mu \nu і \sigma к о \mu а 1,{ }^{1620}$ ；ii． 52.

 373.

Mıхад入，12＂．




$\mu 6$ vos， 15
$\mu 0 \sigma \chi$ 0s， $4^{9}$ ；i． 124.

никdодаи， $10^{\circ}$ ；i．261．
$\mu$ úגıvor， $18^{81}$ ．

$\mu \nu p d_{1}, 5^{11} 9^{11}$ ．
múpoy， $18^{19}$ ．
 264－266；ii． 65.

val, $1^{7} 14^{18} 16^{7} 22^{20}$; i. 19, 20.
 $16^{1.17} 21^{23}$; i. 91, 215, 276, 277; ii. 37 .
vab́rys, $18{ }^{17}$
vexpos, 18.17. 18 $2^{8} \quad 3^{1} \quad 11^{18} \quad 14^{18} \quad 16^{3}$ [ $\left.20^{60}\right]$ 20 $0^{12} 18$.
veq쉐, $1^{7} 10^{2} 11^{18} 14^{16}\left[14^{18 .}{ }^{26}\right]$; . 291.

reфpbs, $2^{24}$; i. 73.
vfrot, $1^{81} 6^{16} 16^{10}$.
 $12^{12} 13^{2} 15^{2} 17^{14} 21^{7}$; xxxii, cxlix; i. $45,53,54,353$ -
vuxde tix, ii. 33.
 53.
-bros, $21^{18}$.
voïs, $13^{18} 17^{4}$; i. 364 .
${ }^{\circ} \quad 1 \mu \phi \eta, 18^{292} 21^{10} 22^{17} 21^{4}$; ii. 179.
$v u \mu \phi \operatorname{los}, 18^{\text {mach }}$.
$205,4^{8} 7^{18}\left[88^{18}\right] 12^{10} 14^{11} 21^{25} 20^{10} 22^{5}$; clv; i. 236, 237, etc.
sทpalvos, $\left[14^{18}\right] 16^{18}$; ii. 22.
Eonceos, $9^{20}$.
$\xi \operatorname{mov}, 2^{7} 18^{18} 22^{8 .} 16\left[22^{10}\right]$
$d, \$, r \boldsymbol{d}$, connecting noun with following phrase, i. 136, etc.
$b y \delta 00 s, 17^{11} 21^{20}$.
 48.

debs, $15^{2} 16^{12}$.
dбous, $9^{2}$.
oida, $2^{\text {B. 8. 12. 17. } 18} 3^{1.5 .15 .17} 7^{16} 12^{18}$ [ $19^{12}$ ].
olkouperv, $3^{30} 12^{6} 16^{14}$; i. 334 .
atros, $6^{\circ} 14^{\text {e. } 10} 16^{10} 17^{2} 18^{2.20} 19^{16}$; ii. 14,15 .
olos, $16{ }^{18}$.
dNyos, $2^{14} \quad 3^{4} 12^{18} 17^{18} ;$ xxx ; i. 43.

DNos, $3^{10} 6^{12} 12^{01} 13^{8} 16^{14}$; clviii ; i. 334, 337.

в $\quad$ муин, $10^{\circ}$; i. 26 a.
8 нolos, $1^{10.15} 2^{10} 4^{\text {a. }} 1.7^{7} 9^{9.10}\left[9^{10}\right]$
 Ixuxii, 1xxxiii, clii ; i. 3, 27, 36, 37, 113 ; ii. 106, 156.
вцоге uliv dvepútov, i. 3, 27; ii. 3, 19, 20.
влоІынат, $9^{7}$; i. 244.


Broua, $2^{3 .} 13.173^{1 .}$ 4. s. a. 12 $6^{8}\left[8^{121}\right]$ $9^{11} 11^{18 .} 1813^{1.6 .2 . ~} 1714^{10} 1115^{2.6}$ $16^{\circ} 17^{8.4} \mathrm{~m}^{1}\left[19^{18}\right] 19^{18 .} 1021^{14}, 14$
$22^{4}$; i. 81, 92, 291, 347, 348, 354;
ii. $132,133$.
d\&́śs, $1^{16} 2^{13} 1^{14}\left[14^{17}\right] 14^{16} 19^{15}$.
8xicocy, 1 $^{10} 4^{6} 5^{1}$; cxxxvi ; i. 137 .
бтlow, $1^{10}$ (var.) $12^{15} 13^{\text {t }}$; cxxxvi, cl, cli ; i. 305, 337, 35 I ; ii. 419 m.
8тov, $2^{18} 11^{0} 12^{6} 14^{4}\left[17^{\circ}\right] \quad 20^{10}$; cxxxvi, clvi ; i. 301, 304, 309, 310, 330 ; ii. $10,68$.

8pacts, $4^{8} 9^{17}$.
dрdw, $1^{4} \mathrm{II}^{10} 12^{10}\left[19^{10}\right] 22^{4}{ }^{\circ}$; i. 19. See eโdoy.
bpyt, $6^{16.17} 11^{18} 14^{10} 16^{10} 19^{15} ;$ i. 182, 183, 296 ; ii. 52.
$\delta_{p} p / 5 \omega, 11^{18} 12^{17}$; i. 296.
б $^{\rho} \mu \eta \mu \boldsymbol{\mu}, 18^{21}$; ii. 107, 108.

©pos, $6^{14.28 .10}\left[8^{8}\right] 14^{2} 16^{10}\left[\mathrm{I}^{8}\right] 21^{10}$; lxxxiii.
 $2^{25} 3^{2.4 .8 . ~} 8.114^{1}$ (bus $\left[4^{0}\right] 5^{8}\left[5^{8}\right] 5^{18} 6^{9}$

 $18^{19}\left[19^{15}\right] \quad 19^{20} \quad 20^{2} 21^{12.17} \quad 20^{11.12}$ $21^{8} 2^{6}$. See ${ }^{6}$ 人p of.
dodkus éàv, $11^{*}$; cxxxvi ; i. 272.

$8 \sigma 05,1^{2} 2^{24} 3^{15} 13^{15} 18^{7.17} 21^{10}$.
 [204]; li, cxxii; i. 73, 243, 287; ii. 119, $152,183$.

ठтay, Fut. indic., $4^{\circ}$.
Aor. indic., 81.
Aor, subj., [9 $\left.{ }^{5}\right] 11^{7} 12^{4} 17^{10} 20^{7}$. Pres. subj., $10^{7} 18{ }^{\circ}$.
oray, uses of ; cxxxvi, cucavii; i. $104,127,223$.

هre, uses of ; cxxxvii.
 $3^{10.17} 17$ tarl $4^{11} 5^{4-8} 6^{17} 7^{17}\left[8^{10}\right] 10^{6} 11^{2}$


 $19^{6.7} 22^{5} 21^{8 c}$.
ort, uses of ; cxxxvii.
$00=$ where, $\left[17^{15}\right]$, cxxyvii.
ou, uses of ; cxxxvii.


of $\mu t$, uses of ; cxxxvii ; i. 59.
odat, $\mathrm{g}^{18} \mathrm{~g}^{18} \mathrm{II}^{14} \mathrm{I}^{18} \quad 1810.14 .15$; crixvii, clvili r.; i. 238, 247, 302 ; ii. 90, 101.
odot . . . obed . . . ovel, $5^{5} 7^{24}$. odbt . . . obst, 96.
odet, $12^{5} 21$ Im.
odfe, uses of; i. 40, 254.
 [19 ${ }^{12}$ ]
odk . . . Itt, ii. 91, 153.
ountre, $10^{6} 18^{116}\left[18^{14}\right]$; xxx, cxxxvii.

i. 3, 33, etc.
obTed, $17^{10.12}$; cxxxviii.
oupd, $9^{10}\left[9^{10}\right] 12^{4}$.
oupapos, $3^{12} 4^{1.3} 5^{2.18} 6^{18.14} 8^{1}\left[8^{10}\right]$ $9^{1} 10^{1.4 .4 .4 .6} 11^{\text {8. }} 12.12 .16 .1312^{1 .}$. C. P. A. 10. 18 13 $3^{\text {d. }}{ }^{18} 14^{18} 14^{2.7}$ [ $14^{17}$ ]
 $20^{12} 21^{10} 20^{10} 1121^{1.8}$; xci; i. 108 , 303, $304,314,323,324,329$.
 lxxxiv; i. 38.
cotre, uses of ; exxxviii ; i. 40.
ofre, $5^{4} 9^{20}$ (vacr.).
ofte... ovite, $3^{18,16} 5^{5}$ (var.).

 20. $5011^{6.8} 802012^{15}\left[14^{6}\right] 14^{6} 15^{6} 16^{\circ}$ $17^{18.16 .14} 18^{8 .}$. $.1619^{1} \quad 16^{6 c}\left[19^{\circ}\right]$
 [22 ${ }^{\text {28. }}{ }^{18}$ ] $22^{14.10 . ~ 2 . ~} 8.10$; cxxii, clviii.

$\delta \phi$ ei $\lambda \omega$ ( $\delta \phi$ е $\lambda$ ov), $3^{18}$; i. 95, 96.
 $19^{12} 21^{42}$.

ó $\chi^{\lambda}$ os, $7^{\circ}\left[17^{18}\right]$ 191. ${ }^{1}$; cxli, cxlii; ii. 114.

6\% cs, $1^{10}$; xxxii ; i. 30.
таиסеن́us, $3^{18}$; Ixix ; i. 99, 100.
ralu, $7^{16}\left[9^{6}\right]$; i. 216, 243 .
Tá̀cu, $10^{d .12 .}$
 $19^{\text {d. }}{ }^{16} 21^{21}$; lifi, lvi, lxx, cx, cxlvii, clxii ; i. 3, 20, 104, 127, 295, 387 n., $398 \%$.
$\pi$ rapd (gen.) $2^{2^{17}} 3^{18}$.
(Dat.), $2^{15}$.
тapd, uses of ; cxxxiv.


тарес $\mu, 17^{*}$; ii. 429 м.
тарерио入म, $20^{\circ}$.
[rapóvor, 14]; i. 8, 9, 10.
 D. $\left.11.14 .17^{8} 8^{8} 8^{7}\right] 9^{4} 11^{6} 12^{5} 13^{\text {f.e. 2. } 28.16}$


 ii. 155.

Td $\sigma \times \omega,{ }^{10}$.
 285.

тart $\omega$, $11^{8} 14^{30} 19^{16}$; i. 279.
ravtip, $1^{6} 2^{17} 3^{\text {5. }} 1114^{1}$.
Патног, $1^{\circ}$.
reiv; cxix.
reipd $\omega, 7^{14}$; xxxli.
TEtpd $5 \omega_{3} 2^{2.10} 1^{16}$; i. 58.
течаб $\quad$ бs, $3^{14}$; i. 90.
тèexlju, $20^{\circ}$.
$\pi \pi^{2} \mu \pi$ оо, $6^{6} 9^{1+} 1^{610} 21^{80}$.
$\pi \pi^{2} \mu \pi \omega, 1^{14} 11^{10}\left[14^{16}\right] 14^{21} 22^{24} ;$ 负. 219.

Ter $\theta \in \omega, 1811.18 .10$.

Tivet, $9^{\text {d. }}{ }^{10} 17^{18}$; i. 224 n.
IIеруаноя, $1^{11} 2^{19}$.
тepl (acc.), $15^{6}$.
$\tau \in \rho \iota \beta d \lambda \lambda \omega, 3^{\text {b. }} 184^{4} 7^{\text {d. }} 1810^{1} 11^{8} 12^{1}$ $17^{4} 18^{16} 19^{8.18}$; cxli; i. 82.

тepurart $\omega, 2^{1} 1^{16}\left(\right.$ see $\left.3^{8}\right) 3^{6} 9^{20} 21^{24}$. те́тоцац, $4^{7} 8^{81} 12^{14} 14^{6} 19^{17}$; i. 302.
тterpa, $6^{16 .}{ }^{18}$.
 ii. 14.

Tท̂Xus, $21^{17}$.
TLd́S $\omega$, $19^{20}$; xxxai ; ii. 139.
Tuxpalyw, $\left[8{ }^{111}\right] 10^{\circ} 18$; i. 235.
$\pi\left[r \omega, 14^{10} 18^{2}\right.$ (var) $16^{\circ}$.
$\boldsymbol{T i \pi T \omega}, 1^{17} 2^{5} 4^{10} 5^{8.14} 6^{18.10} 7^{12}\left[8^{10}\right]$
$9^{1} \quad 11^{12} 16 \quad 14^{18} 16^{10} \quad 17^{10} \quad 18^{10} 19^{d}$
$\left[19^{10}\right] 2^{1}$; i. 180, 238, 239 ; ii. $403 \pi$.
Tiottrs, $2^{18.10} 13^{10} 14^{14}$; xxix, cxv; i. $6 \mathrm{I}, 335,369$.
 xxix, cxy ; ii. $216 \mu$.
$\pi \lambda a v d \omega, 2^{20} 12^{00} 13^{14} 18^{84} / 19^{20} 20^{01}$ $20^{8.10}$.
$\pi$ तarcla, $1 I^{8} 21^{91} 22^{2}$.


- $\lambda \lambda{ }^{2} \omega, 18^{17}$.

$16^{\circ .8 n} 18^{6.4} 21^{\circ}\left[22^{104}\right]$; i. 334
mith, $2^{25}$; cxxxviii.
$\pi \lambda \eta p o \omega, 3^{2} 6^{11}$; i. 80.
[ $\mathrm{r} \lambda$ h $\sigma \sigma \omega$, 812 $^{12}$.]

$\pi \lambda$ orocios, $2^{10} 3^{17} 6^{16} 13^{10}$.
 96.

T $\lambda$ bivo, $7^{26} 22^{16}$; i. 188, 214.
 $4^{2}\left[4^{6}\right] 5^{6}{ }_{11^{11}} 13^{15} 14^{18} 16^{10}\left[16^{14}\right]$ $17^{8} 18^{-}\left[19^{10}\right] 21^{20} 22^{17}$ 6 ; lxxyviii; cix, cxiv; i. 11-13, 53, 110, III; ii. 179, 218.

тweumatik@s, $11^{2}$.
rrodes, $7^{2}$.
тoditpns, $1^{12}$; i. 27.
$560 \mathrm{ey}, 2^{51} 7^{18}$.
Trofes, $1^{6} 2^{85} 3^{8.14} 5^{10} 11^{7} 12^{18.17} 13^{\text {bb }}$ 7. 12. 18. 16. 16. $1414^{9}\left[16^{16}\right] 17^{17}\left[17^{14}\right]$
 xxxii.; i. 336, 352, 353; ii. 14, 174, 178.
 Ixxviii, lxxxviii, cxIvi; i. 75, 76, 216, 217 ; ii. 116.
roios, $3^{2 \pi}$.
толє $\mu(\omega) 2^{16} 12^{7} 13^{6} 17^{14} 19^{11} ;$ i. 65 ; ii. 115 .
 $20^{\circ}$.
 $17^{18} 181 \mathrm{C}$ 16. 18. 10. 21 $21^{10.16 .16 .18 . ~}$ 14. 10 31. $2422^{14} 20^{\circ} 21^{2}\left[22^{10}\right]$; ii. 157-161.
Tohús, $1^{16} 2^{19} 5^{6.11} 7^{64} 8^{8}\left[8^{11}\right] 9^{9} 10^{11}$ $14^{8} 17^{1} 19^{1 .}$. 12.
тоmpor, $16^{2}$.
reboos, $16^{10.11} 21^{4 c}$; ii. 41 .
торvela, $2^{21} 9^{11} 14^{8} 17^{2,4} 17^{5} 18^{\circ} 19^{2} ;$ i. 255 ; ii. $61,62,65$.

тopvevíw, $2^{16 .} 2017^{2} 18^{2 .}$.
Topvy, $17^{1 .} 5+10\left[17^{18}\right]$ 19 ; ii. 65.
Tópros, $22^{15} 21^{8}$.
торфи́pa, $\mathbf{1 8 1 4}^{14}$.
горфиройs, $17^{4} \quad 18^{16}$; xxxii ; ii. 64, 91, $94{ }^{n ., 115}{ }^{n .}$
тотаро́s, $\left[8^{10}\right] 9^{14} 12^{16.16} 16^{6.14} 22^{1 .}$.
жотацофбрџтоs, $12^{15}$; i. 302, 330, 331.
Tóre, ${ }^{610}$.
सrortpior, $14^{10} 16^{10} 17^{4}$ 189; laxiii, Lxxiv ; ii. 16.
rorif $\omega_{1} 14^{\circ} 18^{2}$ (var.); ii. 96, $430 \%$. $\pi 00,2^{13}$.
ToDis, $1^{15 .} 122^{18} 3^{9} 10^{1.2} 11^{15} 12^{1} 13^{1}$ [ $19^{10}{ }^{10} 2^{\mathrm{C}}$; lxxi, cxivii ; i. 29, 256, 259, 260.
требßúrepos, $4^{4.10} 5^{\text {B. } 6, ~}\left[5^{11}\right] 5^{14} 7^{21 .} 13$ $11^{16} 14^{8} 19^{4}$; i. 128-133.
тр 0 月atov, $188^{18}$.
rpos (acci), $1^{17} 3^{30} 10^{9} 12^{5}$ (bss). $2813^{4}$. (Dato), $\mathbf{I}^{12}$.
apos, uses of; cxxxiv.
трогеих 7 , $\left[5^{\circ}\right] 8^{8.6}$.

 [ $19^{20}$ ] $19^{50} 20^{6} 22^{8 .}{ }^{1}$; xxxii, cxli, clvi; i. 211, 212, 335 ; ii. 3, 128, 129, 139, 213.
with dat. $=$ " worship."
with acc. $=$ " do homage to."
тр $6 \sigma \omega \pi=0,4^{7} 6^{16} 7^{11} 9^{7} 10^{1} 11^{14} 12^{14}$
$20^{11} 22^{4}$; xci, cexviii ; i. 302, 305, 330.

троф $22^{10}$; i. 272.

 22 ${ }^{\text {bi }}$.
$\pi \rho 0 ф \bar{\eta} \tau \iota 5,2^{10}$.
жраигbs, $2^{\text {se }} 22^{14}$; 1xxxviii; i. 77.

$16^{\mathrm{P}} 21^{10} 20^{8 b}$. $21^{6 L^{2}} 22^{1 \mathrm{E}}$; i. $3^{1}$;
ii. 201.
 i. 14, 94 ; ii. 386 m.

тT\&puk, $4^{4} 9^{10} 12^{16}$.

$\pi r \omega x$ ela, $2^{\circ}$.


rop $p, 1^{16} 2^{18} 3^{28} 4^{4} 8^{6}\left[8^{7}\right.$. $\left.{ }^{6}\right] 9^{17.14} 10^{1}$ $11^{6} 13^{28} 14^{10}\left[14^{18}\right] 15^{8} 16^{6} 17^{10} 18^{8}$ 1918. 10 $^{20}$. 10. 14 [ $20^{14}$ ] $20^{16} 21^{8}$; cliv.

Túpevos, $9^{17}$.
$\pi u p b e 0 ; 1^{15} 3^{15}$; i. 29, 98.
тuppos, $6^{4} 12^{1}$; i. 162.

$\pi \omega \lambda \in \omega, 13^{19}$.
Tôs, $3^{3 n}$.
pdifoos, $2^{17} 11^{1} 12^{6} 19^{15}$.

[ped $\left.{ }^{2}, 1^{182}\right]$; ii. 104.
$\dot{p}\left(5 a, 5^{5} 22^{10}\right.$; lixxxi.
 171.
'Pouptio, 7".
[ритaivw, 22 ${ }^{11}$ ]; ii. 232.
[ритар6s, 22 ${ }^{11}$ ]; ii. 222.
$\sigma$ बdккor, $6^{11}{ }^{11}{ }^{8}$.

 $11^{15}$; i. 232.
$\sigma a \lambda \pi L \sigma T h t, 18^{8 n}$.
$\sigma d \pi \phi e$ pos, $31^{10}$; ii. 169.
Zdefects, $1^{11} 3^{1-4}$.

बapobvus， $21^{\text {T }}$ ；ii． 169 ．
$\sigma d \rho \xi, 17^{14} 19^{18} .4$ ；ii． 74.


नelw， $6{ }^{19}$ ．
नe入ton， $6^{18}\left[8^{18}\right] 12^{1} 21^{28}$ ．
$\sigma e \mu i d a \lambda_{t s,} 18{ }^{814}$ ；ii． 104.
oqualyes， $1^{1}$ ；xxxii ；i． 6.
$\sigma \eta \mu$ ior， $12^{1 .} 13^{18.14}\left[15^{2} 16^{16}\right] 19^{20}$ ；
i． $300,314$.
न（ $\gamma$ ti， $8^{2}$ ；i． 223.
бidipos， $18^{14}$ ．

биркког， $18^{13}$ ；ii．91，103， 115 m．
бítos， $6^{6} 188^{12}$.
Etur， $14^{1}$ ．
oxdróa入oy， $2^{14}$ ．
$\sigma$ кeîor， $2^{17} 18^{15}$ ．

37，38，205－207， 444 n．
бKךvow， $7^{15} 12^{18} 13^{6} 21^{8}$ ；xxdii ；i．
215，302， 329 ；ii． 406 \％．
бкортlos， $9^{\mathrm{E}}\left[9^{b}\right] 9^{10}$ ．
［akorljw， $8^{12}$ ．］
бкоrbew， $9^{8} 16^{18}$ ．

$\sigma \mu d \rho a \gamma \delta o s, 2{ }^{29}$ ．

Ебঠома， $11^{8}$ ；i．287， 288.
бoфla， $5^{10} 7^{11} 13^{10} 17^{10}$ ；xxix；i．149， 364.
$\sigma \pi^{\prime} \rho \mu a, 12^{17}$ ．
बxithacoy， $6^{15}$ ．
GTódion， $14^{20} 21^{14}$ ；ii．25， 163.
oraupow， $11^{\text {® }}$ ．
$\sigma \pi a \phi \cup \lambda \eta, 14^{18}$.
бтєфауо5， $2^{10} 3^{11} 4^{8,20} 6^{4} 9^{7} 12^{1} 14^{14}$ ；
lxxxiv；i．58，59，131，163， 164 ；
ii． 20 ．
$\sigma \pi \hat{\eta} 0 \mathrm{~T}, 15^{\circ}$ ．
$\sigma \pi j_{k \omega}$ ， $12^{4}$ ；ii． 417 \％
ornplfor， $3^{12}$ ．
бтo入h， $6^{4} 7^{\text {0．}} 18.1422^{24}$ ；i．184－188， 213.
 $12^{16 .} 2613^{\text {e．C．}} 114^{5} 16^{18} 19^{16.22}$ ．
बтрd́rev $\mu, 9^{18} 19^{14.210 .}$
$\sigma \tau p\left(\phi \omega, 11^{6}\right.$.
отрпиاd $1,18^{7 . \%}$.

वтט̄̃os， $3^{12} 10^{2}$ ；i．90， 9 I．
oukf， $6^{12}$ ．
$\sigma \cup \mu \beta$ оиде反кo， $3^{12}$ ．

$\sigma u \nu d \gamma \omega, 16^{14}, 1019^{17,10200}$ ．
बuvarayt， $2^{\text {i }} 3^{\text {º }}$ ；i．57， 58.

GKvdovinos， $6^{11}\left[19^{10}\right] 22^{\circ}$.
бUvKoumider， $18^{8}$ ；Locrvi．
Guvkounuyds， $\mathrm{I}^{8}$ ；i． 21.
бuyтplicu， $2^{\mathbf{8 7}}$ ；i． 77 ；ii． 393 mb
$\sigma \dot{\rho} \rho \omega, 12^{1}$.

i．141，143，147， 153,349 ；ii． 113 ．
नфбठ $p a, 16^{21}$ ；xxxi ；ii． 53.
 lexi，lexxi，lxav n．；i．194－198．

$9^{4}$ ；lxxi n．；i．197， 198 n．
［ $\left.\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu \Omega, 18^{18}\right]$ ；ii．104， 105.
owitpla， $7^{10} 122^{10} 19^{1}$ ；Lxxi；i．211， 301， 326.

талаітшроя， $3^{17}$ ；i．96， 97.
та入artıẫos， $16^{\mathrm{m}}$ ；ii． 53 ．
танеiov， $20^{23}$ ；ii．195－198．
Tdxos， $1^{1} 22^{6}$ ．
TaXú， $2^{16} 3^{11} 1^{14}{ }^{14} 22^{7}$ ．12． 20.
Teíxos，2i ${ }^{12.14 .16 .17 .18 .11 .}$
TÉKvov， $2^{\text {28 }} 12^{4.5}$.
$T \in \lambda \in \omega, 10^{7} 11^{7}\left[15^{2}\right] 15^{6} 17^{17} 20^{8}\left[20^{60}\right]$ $20^{7}$ ；i． 285.

тебनaphкоитa， $7^{4} 11^{8} 13^{14} 14^{1.8} 21^{17}$ ．

 20年；i． 115 n．， 224 n．


Texm， $18 \times 2$.
тєरyitys， 18 an．
тท入ıкойтоs， $16^{11}$ ．

 i．8，89， $90,302,369$.
т $10 \eta \mu \mathrm{~L}, \mathrm{I}^{17} 10^{17} \mathrm{II}^{10}$ 。


т $1 \mu \mathrm{Los}, 17^{4} 1818.1021^{211} .10$.
тицфтөs， $18^{10}$ ；clii ；ii．106， 107.
rus，cxxii．Sees al．
 $13^{4}$（blal $15^{4} 17^{7} 18^{18}$.
T6Fow， 6 ．
roudjun $21{ }^{\text {man }}$
 ii．105， 106.
Torobitor，187． 16.
T00，and Inf．（Hebrew idiom）；cxxvii，
cxlvi，clviii $\pi$. ，clxii ；i．304，305，
321－322．
 $21^{12}$ ；clvi，clix ；i． 224 m．
т $\boldsymbol{\ell} \epsilon \phi \omega, 12^{6.1}$ ．
rpex $u, 9^{\text {p }}$ ．
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трд＜ves， $6^{12}$
Tpuydes， $14^{18,}$ 28．
Tuø $\lambda_{6}, 3^{17}$ ．
Varivowos， $9^{17}$ ；i． 253.

vadivos， $4^{81} 15^{\circ}$ ．
banos， $21^{18}$ ．${ }^{12}$ ；cxlii．
od $\omega \rho, 1^{16} 7^{17}\left[8^{20.12}\right] 11^{01} 12^{16} 14^{\text {2．}} 7$ $16^{4}\left[16^{64}\right] 16^{18} 17^{1}\left[17^{16}\right] 19^{6} 22^{1.17}$ $21^{\circ}$ ．
berof， $11^{\text {e }}$ ．
 Ixviii，Ixxxiii ；i． 27 ；ii．19， 20. See 8 mosor．
 429 \％．
trob，uses of ；crxxiv；i．171．

broxdrw， $5^{3.12} 6^{0} 12^{1}$ ；cxxyiv．
 xxix， $1 x$ n．；i．2I，40，49， 368.
$\Delta \$ 7 \lambda 6 s, 21^{20 .} 12$.
tyos， $21^{15}$ ．

фарер6w， $3^{12} 15^{4}$ ．
фармакіа， $9^{\text {m1 }} 18$ ；；i． 255 ．
фарракоs， $22^{15} 21^{4}$ ．
$\phi\left(\rho \omega, 21^{24} .24\right.$.
中edyen， $9^{6} 12^{6} 16^{00} 20^{11}$ ．
$\phi \theta e l \rho \omega, 19^{*}$.
 $21^{\circ}$ ；il． 39.


$\phi \lambda 65,1^{14} 2^{16} 19^{12}$ ．

ф6 Bors， $11^{11} 1^{1810.15}$ ．

фovels， $22^{\mathrm{L}} 21^{1}$ ．
ффо⿱丷天心， $9^{29}$ ；i． 255.
фptap， $9^{1.2}$ ．
фu入ant， $2^{10} 18{ }^{12} 20^{\circ}$ ．
 $21^{19}$ ；1． 147.

\＄avets，14 ${ }^{10}$ ；ii． 23.



 21＂；cxili，cxlviii，cliii．
$\phi 08,18^{804} 21^{24} 22^{8}$.
фwortip， $21^{11}$ ；ii．161－162．

cali，cxlviii ；ii．210， 21 I， 444 \％．
$\chi^{a l p u,} 1_{10}^{10} 19^{7}$.
$\left.\chi^{\text {dлaja，}}{ }^{87}\right] \mathrm{II}^{10} 16^{\mathrm{m}}$ ．





 $20^{4}$ ；i． 362 n．
$\chi^{d}{ }^{2}\left(s, r^{4} 22^{11}\right.$ ；Ixxxiv，loxuvi ；i． 9.
 $14^{0.14} 17^{4} 19^{2} 20^{1.4 .}$

$\chi^{\text {（lapapxos，}} 6^{15} 19^{14} ;$ i． 182.
 clix．
$\chi^{\text {D．ot，}} 11^{2} 12^{4} 14^{20} 20^{2.3 .4 t}\left[20^{6} \times\right] 20^{6.7}$ ．
［ $\chi$ เढ́v， $1^{14}$ ．］
$\chi^{\text {neapbs，}} 3^{14} ;$ i． 96.
$\chi^{\lambda}{ }^{\lambda} \rho \rho \phi s, 6^{6}\left[8^{7}\right] 9^{4}$ ；i． $163 \mu_{n}, 168$ ， 169.
$\chi^{\text {®û̀ }} \mathfrak{k}, 6^{\circ}$ ；i． 166.
$\chi 0 \rho T d{ }^{2} \omega, 19^{\text {mi }}$ ．
$\chi \chi^{6 p}$
$\chi$ oî̀s， $18{ }^{18}$ ．
$\chi$ xpeia， $3^{17} 21^{29} 22^{4}$ ．
хpelav（X $\chi$ ，i． 96 ；ii． 210.
 i．6， 294.
xporos， $2^{\text {¹ }} 6^{11} 10^{6} 20^{11}$ ；i． 263.
$\chi$ pualoy， $3^{18} 17^{4}$（var．） $18^{16} 21^{18, ~ m . ~}$
$\chi \rho \cup \sigma 6 \lambda \iota \theta 05,21{ }^{10}$ ；ii． 169.
хриботрабаs， $21^{20}$ ；ii． 170.
хриabs， $9^{7} 17^{4}$（var．） $18{ }^{19}$ ．
 $14^{14} 15^{4.7} 17^{4} 21^{14}$.
xpuoócs， $17^{4} 18^{214}$ ；ii． 64

$\psi \in 68$ арае， $3^{\bullet}$ ．
$\psi$ еvóarpoophitis， $16^{10} 19^{90} 20^{14}$ ；i． $342-$ 344；ii．47．
廿e0000s， $14^{6} 21^{87} 22^{15}$ ．
$\psi 7 \phi 15 \omega, 13^{20}$.
$\psi \hat{\text { qैos，}} 2^{17} ;$ i．66， 67.
$\psi u x+6^{9}\left[8^{\circ}\right] 12^{12} 16^{8} 18^{18.14} 20^{\circ}$ ．

${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{O},\left[1^{\mathrm{E}}\right] 21^{6+} 22^{10}$ ；i． 20.
W0en，$\quad 4^{1} 11^{14} \quad 13^{10.10} \quad 14^{14} \quad 17^{\circ}$ ；
cxxxviii；i． 364 ．


 cx, cxil, cli1 ; i. 10, 295.
 1810. 16.10 ; i. 81 ; ii. 71.

 (blet. 14. $148^{14}$ [88. 10. 14] $9^{4,2}$ [ $\left.9^{6}\right] 9^{7}$
(bis). 8 (bla). 8 (blat $1710^{1}$ (bla). F. 2. 10 $12^{16} 13^{2}$ (bla). 2. $1114^{\text {a (ter). }} 15^{8} 16^{8}$ $\left[16^{18}\right] 16^{21} 17^{19} 18^{6.11} 19^{1.6} 6$ iterte 18 $21^{11} .8122^{1} 20^{8} 21^{2} 22^{18}$.
$\dot{\omega}$, uses of ; xxxi n., xxxiv, cxxxviil ; i. $24,30,35,36,220$ n., 244,348 , 349 ; 1i. 19, 20, 32, $107,155$. without article; ii. 20, 32.
Gбтер, $10^{5}$; cxxxviii ; i. 26x.

## II. HEBRAISMS. ${ }^{1}$

drd трог心́m of"; p. cxlviii.
$\beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$. . . eis $\kappa \lambda L \nu \eta p, 2^{2 n}=$ " to cast on a bed of suffering"; p. cxlvi.
Dative-roís dé deinoís, 21 ${ }^{8}$, a Hebrew idiom ; p. cxlviii.
$\delta_{1} \delta$ brat $=$ pn, " to requite," $2^{28}$ (i.e. $=$ dтoditobyal) ; p. cxlviii.
 p. cxlviii.

таîs трогеuxais, $8^{8}$, 'to offer upon the prayers"; $p$. cxlviii.
 set a mark upon"; vol. i. 362.
$=$ =n, " to give up," $20^{13}$ (= тараסtס6pal) ; vol. ii. 198 ad fin.
 i. 175.
 "between . . . and"; p. cxlviii.
$\left\langle x i \mathrm{I}^{7}=h y\right.$, " because of"; p. cxlviii.
Finite verb ( $\phi$ alpet) instead of participle, $1^{16} ; p$. cxlvii.
Futures to be rendered as presents or pasts, $4^{9-10} 13^{8}$; p. cxlviii sq.; vol. ii. 399 n., 420 n .
$\theta$ dyaros ( $=7$ ), " pestilence," $2^{28} 6^{6}$ $18{ }^{8}$.
Infinitive in principal or dependent clause = finite verb, $13^{10}$; p. cxlvi.
Infinitive preceded by rov, $12^{7}=$ finite verb; cxlvi; vol. i. 321, 322.
Infinitive resolved in succeeding clause into finite verb, $13^{15} ; \mathrm{p}$. cxlvi.
кal in circumstantial clause $=$ 's seeing that," $12^{\mathrm{L}} 18^{8} 19^{3}$; p. cxiviii.
kal introducing apodosis and therefore not to be translated, $3^{30} 10^{7} 14^{19}$; p. cxlviii.
 cxiviii.
Miave ex, $\mathbf{6 l}^{1}=$ "first of "; p. cxlviii.
Nominative standing in apposition to an oblique case, $1^{5} 2^{18 .}{ }^{10} 3^{19} 8^{9} 9^{14}$ $14^{13} 20^{2}$; p. cxlix sq.
Nominativus pendens, $2^{20} 3^{12}$ 21 $6^{18}$; p. cxix.

 vol. i. $169 n$.
d $\theta \in d s$ d ravtoxpdrtwp ; p. cxlvii.
Participle resolved in succeeding clause into finite verb, $\mathrm{I}^{8-6}$. $\mathrm{B}^{6}$ $2^{2}$ 9. $20.283^{4} 7^{14} 14^{2-8} 15^{2-2}\left(20^{4}\right)$; pp. cxliv-cxlvi.
Passages needing to be retranslated into Hebrew in order to discover the corruption or inadequate trans-
 $22^{5}$; vol. i. 337, 35 I, $35^{8}$ sq., ii. 37 sq., 65, 100, 106, 109 sq., 210 sq., 431 n., 433 n., 452.
Pleonastic pronouns added in oblique cases after relatives, $3^{8} 7^{29} 12^{8.14}$ $13^{\text {d }}{ }^{18} 20^{5}$; p. cxlix.
 cxivii.
тoxpalyes, $2^{17} 12^{65} 19^{15}$ ( $=$ " to break in pieces') ; $p$. calvii.
$\pi \rho \omega \tau^{2}$ токоs, $\mathrm{I}^{5}$; vol. i. p. 14.
vidy dроеи, $12^{5}$; p. cxlviii.
©таүе $\lambda d \beta \in, 10^{\text {d }} ;$ p. cxlviii.

[^59]
# III. PASSAGES IN OUR AUTHOR BASED ON THE O.T., THE PSEUDEPIGRAPHA AND THE N.T. 

See vol. i. pp. Lav-Lxavi.

## IV. INDEX TO COMMENTARY, <br> VOLS I. AND II.

References to Introduction (Roman numerals) are given first, then references to the Commentary proper.

Abaddon, king of demonic locusts, i. 245-246.
Abbreviations, explained, cxc-cxci ; in Greek Text, ii. 233-235.
Abyss, originally (1)=ocean that enfolded the earth, but is now restrained beneath earth, i. 240.
then (2) =abode of God's enemy,
(3)=a great chasm, i. 240.

In I Enoch abysses are places of punishment.

1. preliminary, for fallen angels ; 2. final, for fallen angels and demons; 3. final, for Satan, angels, demons and wicked men, i. 241.
In $\mathrm{J}^{\mathrm{ap}}=$ preliminary place of punishment of fallen angels, demons, Beast and False Prophet, and prison for 1000 years of Satan, ii. 239-242.
Ses Gehenna, Lake of Fire, Punishment, Sheol.
Accuser of the brethren, i. 327. Ses Satan.
Additions. See Apocalypse.
Advent, Second, description of, i. 17-19.
expected imminently, i. I, 43, ii. 218, $221,226$.
suddenness of, i. 80, 81.
Allegories, cviii. See Apocalypse, author's mathod.
Almighty, cxlvii, i. 20, ii. 398 n . See God, Titles of.

Alogi, rejected Apocalypse. See Apoc., Circulation of.
Alpha and Omega. See God, Titles of.
Altar, in Jerusalem-measuring of, i. 277.
in heaven, heavenly counterpart of altar on earth, 1. 172, 227.
before the throne, i. 112.
combines characteristics of altar of incense and partly of altar of burnt-offering, i. 112, 172, 226-231.
souls under-of martyrs, i. 172174, 228. See Souls.
voices from, i. 174, 229, 247, 248, ii. 123.

Amen, various uses of - initial, detached, final, i. 19, 20, 151, 152; as Title of Christ $=$ the True One, i. 19, 94.

Anathema in $22^{186}, 19$ interpolated by Editor, lv, ii. 222, 223.
not by John the Seer, I. presupposes distant advent.
2. style and diction not John's.
3. penalty inappropriate, ii. 222, 223.
use of, in other works, $\dot{\text { ii. }} 223$. Ancient of Days. Ses Son of Man. Angel, a special, mediates Apocalypse, locrvii m., i. 1, 5, 6.
an, given equal or superior function to Son of Man, by interpolator, liii, ii. 2I.

Angel, as guide and interpreter ? $=$ Christ, in chap. iv., i. 108, 109.
who censes prayers of saints-not Michael, nor an elder, but possibly Angel of Peace, i. 226, 227.
Angelic interpreters, lxxxvii n., i. ro8, 130, ii. 62, etc.
intercession, i. 146, ii. 224.
mediators, i. $130,132,145$, ii. 224, 225.

Angels, classes of-include Cherubim (highest order in ist cent. A.D.), ii. 39 ; and Elders, i. 128-133.
functions of -mediate judgment, $8^{5 .}$ 2. $8\left[7\right.$. 8. 10. 11] $9^{1.18} 10^{7}$ $11^{15} \quad 15^{[1]-6.7 .8} 16^{1.2 .2 .4 .4 .4}$
 219, lxxxvii $n$.
protection, $7^{7}$.
punishment, $9^{11.14 .15}$, i. 247251, i1. 39.
revelation, $1^{1}$ 101. b. 8. 9. 10 $14^{\text {6. B. } 8^{\prime}} 17^{1.7} \quad 21^{9} \quad 22^{8.16 .8}$, lxxxvii $n$.
praise God, $7^{11}$; praise Lamb, $5^{11}$, i. $115,116,148,211$.
present men's prayers, $8^{3}$, i . 145, ii. 224.
represent churches, $1^{20} \quad 2^{1.2} 12$. ${ }^{10} 3^{1 .} 7.14$. See below.
rule natural forces, a lower order, $7^{1}$ (of winds, four), [ $16^{5}$ of waters], [ $14^{16}$ of fire], i. 191-193, 203, ii. $44 \cdot$
serve God, $3^{5} 14^{10}$.
war for God, 127. Ses Michael.
(evil) war against God, $12^{7 .}$. special (a) four, bound at rives Euphrates, to let loose demonic hosts against unbelieving world, unparalleled elsewhere, probably angels of punishment, i. 250, 251 m.
(b) of Seven Churches, probably heavenly ideals (or counterparts), i. 2, 34 (ses Seven Stars), not actual messengers, delegates, officials, bishops or guardian angels, i. 34 -
worship of, a common Jewish practice, ii. 224, 225.

Angels, worship of, forbidden in Apoc. as by St Paul, i. 9, ii. 130, 224, 225.

See Cherubim, Elders, Michael. Answer = "remark," i. 212.
Anthropomorphic details avoided, $i$ 113.

Antichrist, $A$, term-late development of (not in Apoc.), ii. 77.
conception-carlier development of, and fusion with Beliar and Neronic myths, i. 350, ii. 76-87.
variously conceived-(a) as individual, God-opposing, ii. 77 ;
$=$ Antiochus Epiphanes in Dasiel, ii. 77.
$=$ Pompey in Psalms of Solomon, ii. 78.
$=$ ? Caligula, ii. 78.
(b) collectively, as (i.) secular power-Seleucid Syria, ii. 78 ; Rome, ii. 79; Rome under Nero redivivos, ii. 79.
(ii.) religious power - false teachers in Church (Vohaswise Epistles), ii. 79; or heathen priesthood of Em-peror-worship $=$ the Beast the False Prophet, ii. 80.
fused with Beliar myth-
as (I) a God-opposing man, armed with Satanic powers, restrained by Roman imperial power, ii. 80, 81, 82.
(2) a heathen priestly corporation, ii. 82.
(3) a purely Satanic power, ii. 83
fused with Neronic myth-
as (1) incarnation of Beliar as Antichrist in Nero still conceived as living, ii. 84 .
(2) in form of dead Nero, in Ascension of Isaiah, ii. 84, 85.
(3) in Nero redivirus, in Sibylime Oracles, and Rev. xii., xvii., ii. 85-87.
e.g. beast that died but was restored to life, ii. 54, 60, 68, and eighth horn which was yet one of the Seven ( $=$ Demonic Nero), i. 349, 350, ii. 70-7 I.

Antichrist, B. Various conceptions of, in Apocalypse-
Jewish view sarviving (in original sources of $1 I^{-18}-$ not by John the Seer, i. 270-273), of Antichrist in Jerusalom (here only) = beast ascending from abyss ( $\mathrm{II}^{7}$ ), $\mathrm{xxv}, \mathrm{i}$. 257, 258, 269, 270, 285, 286 (in present context idea apparently is of demonic Nero Antichrist, $\mathbf{i}$. 285, 286, ii. 83).

Twofold manifestation of Roman Antichrist, the two Beasts of chap xiii, one from sea $=$ imperial power, $\mathrm{I}^{1}$, i. 332, 333, 340-352.
one from land =Asiatic priesthood of mperial cult, ${ }^{13}{ }^{11}$, i. 357-361 (in original source $=$ a Jewish Antichrist, i. 342, 343. See Beasts).

Two conceptions of Roman Antichrst in chap. xvii., p. xxv, ii. 58-61, cf. i. 339, ii. 77-79.
( I ) = Roman Empire, $17^{8}$ etc.
( 2 ) $=$ Nero redivizus, to return from abyss, $17^{8.11}$ etc.
C. Conceptions variously referred by interpreters to Caligula, i. 338, 339, 349-352, 368 n.

Domitian, i. 367, ii. 70-71 (but this impossible-as he was not dead, or regarded as pre-existent or as slain, or one of seven, or about to ascend from abyss, or to lead Parthian hosts or muster nations against the Word of God, ii. 70, 71).
Nero radzvivus, i. 286, 333, 334, 339, 340, 350, 359, 360 , ii. $46,54,58,8 \mathrm{I}-87$, 98, 107, 108.
Roman Empire, i. 339, 342, 345, ii. $58-72$ (seven "heads" are seven emperors, 69).

Titus, i. 36\%, ii. 69.
D. Characteristic acts of=blasphemous claims, irresistible conquest and persecution of saints, i. 352, 353-354.
counterpart, Satanic, of Christ, i. $349,358$.

Antichrist, kingdom of=Third Woe, i. 264.
manifestation of, i. 206 n ., 243, 263-266, 292, 333.
mark of, 1. 362-368.
maracles expected in connection with, i. 359, 36 r .
number of, i. $364-368$.
punishment of, ii. 126, 135140.
reign of, to last 3 years, a period never satisfactorily explaned, i. 279, 280.
supported by Parthian kings, ii. 46, 71-74 ; and with Parthinn kings to destroy Rome, ii. 55, 71-73, 107.
symbolized by Dragon, i. 310.
vanquished by Christ (Lamb, 1714), ii. 74.

See Beasts.
Antipas, martyr at Pergamum, i. 62.

Aorists, Greek, how to be rendered, cxxiv-cxxv.
Apocalypse, aim of, to assure Christian Church of ultimate victory of Christ's cause, ciii-civ.
authar of, traditional viewsCerinthus, xxxix $n$.
John the Apostle, $\mathbf{x x x v i l} n$., xxxix, xl, xlin-xiv.
John the Elder, xl, xli.
modern critical view - John the Elder, xil, xlii.
but not by John the Apostle, as he was martyred before 70 A.D., xxxvii, xxxvil, in accordance with Jesus' prophecy, Papias' tradition, other ancient writers and the Syriac martyrology, x|v-xlix.
nor by John the Elder. See below, Relation to Fourth Gospel.
but by John the Seer, xxxix. See John the Seer.
axthor's method - Visions in a trance, or with spirit translated, or waking vision, cv; and also highest form of spiritual experience, in which reason assists, by arranging materials, free allegorizing, and adaptation of historical materials, cviii.-N.B. Con-
ventional use of phrase "I saw," cix. See Psychic Experiences.
Apocalypse, circulation and reception of, xcvili-ciii.

1. probable traces in Apostolic Fathers, xcrii, xcviii.
2. almost universally accepted in Asia Minor, Western Syria, Africa, Rome, Southern Gaul, xcriii-c.
3. two protests against, Marcion, Alogi and Caius, c, ci.
4. critical estumate of, by Dionysius of Alexandria, ci.
5. rejected for some time by Syro-Palestinian Church and Churches of Asia Minor, ci, cii.
6. unknown for some centuries in Eastern Syrian and Armenaan Churches, cii.
7. always accepted as canonical in West, gradually adopted in East, cii.
Date of, xci-xcvii; external evi-dence-Claudian and Neronic, $x$ cii.
Trajanic, xcii.
Domitianic, xci-xciii.
internal evidence - Neronic true of certain sources only, $11^{1-14} \times 2($ ( $) ~ 13^{1-7.10}, x \mathrm{xifi}$, xciv.

Vespasianic-true of original Seven Letters, 17-18, and of $1^{131-17}$, xciv.
Domitanic-imperial cult then first enforced, xciv, xev; Nero redivizus myth not earlier, xcv-xcvii.
Doctrines, cix-cxvii ; of Godgracious attributes not emphasised, cix., cx.
of Jesus Christ - historical Christ, exi.
exalted Christ, cxi.
unique, Son of God; Preexistent and Divine, exi, cxii ; yet absolute existence not attributed to Him, cxii ; Final Judgment by the Father only, cxii. ; as Great High Priest, Lamb of God, cxii-cxiv.
of Holy Spirit, cxiv.

Apocalypse, doctrines, of works, cxv 3 relation of, to "White Garments," cxv, cxvi. Sea Garments, Works.
of Resurrection, First and Second, cxvi. See Resurrection.
of Millennium, cxvi. See Mil. lennium.
of Judgment, cxvi, cxvii. See Judgment.
Editor of, 1-lv, a better Greek scholar, a Jew of Assa Minor, knew no Hebrew, 1 , li ; makes stupid interpolations, insists on celibacy, lii ; exalts angel above Son of Man, liii, lvi; empties Millennial Rergn of sygnificance, hy ; removes "chambers" of souls, interpolates anathema, 1 v .
Fulfiment, imminent, expected, i. 6,8, ii. 218.
Grammar of, xxi, cxvii-clix.
Table of contents of, cxvii.
Parts of Speech, case, number, gender, cxvii-cxlii.
Hebraic style of, cxlii, cxliii.
Hebraisms in, cxliv-clii, clxii.
Unique expressions and Solecisms, clii-clvi.
Order and combination of words, clvi-clix.
Interpolations, - Dislocations, Lacunae and Dittographs, Ivi-lxi. See also 1-1v.
Peculiar constructions in, clv.
Materials used in, (1) sources used in Greek, Hebrew, and some uncertain which, lxii-lxv.
(2) Old Testament Books quoted, lxv-lxxxii; on whole, translated directly from Hebrew, but some influenced by Septuagint and another Greek Vernion, lxvi-lxviii; Passages based directly on Hebrew, lxviii-lxxvii ; based on Hebrew, but influenced by LXX, lxxviii-lxxix; based on Hebrew, butinfluenced by other versions, lexr, lexxi; reminiscent of O.T. passages, luxxi, lxxxii.
(3) Pseudepigrapha, 1xv, Ixxxii, Lexxuii.

Apocalypse, materials used in
(4) New Testament Books, asp. Matt. Luke, I Thess. $I$ and 2 Cor. Colos. Eph. Little Apocalypse (but not Mark, lxvi m. ), Ixv, lxvi, lexxiii-lxxxvi.
Plas of, xxiii-xxviii; a Letter, xxiv; Prologue and Epilogue, organic parts of, xxiv ; Sevenfold division of, xxiv, xxv ; additions to, proleptic, xxv, i. 209, 269, ii. I (three $-7^{2-17}{ }^{20-11^{13}} 14$ ).
Publication of, immediate, enjoined, unlike Jewish Apocalypses, ii. 221.

Relation of, a. to Fourth Gospel, xxix, $\mathbf{x x x i v}$.
I. from different author-difference in grammar, in diction, in words or forms of words, in meanings of same word or phrase, xxix-xxxil ; in use of quotations, $x \times x$ vi.
2. authors related to one another, by literary connection and theological affinities, $\mathbf{x x x i i}-$ xxxiv. Sce Fourth Gospel.
d. to Johannine epistles xxxivxxxvii, xxxviii, xlii ; from different author, no solecisms in latter, xxxiv ; also different in constructions, xxdiv, xxxv. Ses John the Elder.
Shert Account of, xxv-xxviii
Symbolism in, cvi-cvii.
Text of, (1) Interpolations in, IviIviii.
Dislocations of, lviii-lx.
Lacunae in, $\mathbf{l x}$, 1 xi .
Dittographs in, $1 \times$ i.
(2) original authorities, (I) uncials and some chief carsives, clx-clxuxiii; relative values of, clxxi-clexvi; superiority of A, clx-cixvi.
(2) Versions-short accounts of, clexviii-clxxx ; relative values of, clavi-clxxi.
(3) Origen's schola, claxvi, clexvii.
(4) Papyrus fragmenta, ii. 447451.

Genealogical table of, authorities of, clxxxi.

Apocalypse, to be read in Church Services, i. 7, ii. 226.
Apocalypse, meaning $=a$ revealing of something hidden, i. 5 .
word not used as title of work before John's Apocalypse, i. 4
Apocalypse of Jesus Christ =that given by Jesus Christ, i. 6.
Apocalypse of John, given by God to Christ, i. 1, 5.
sent by Christ through the angel to John, i. I, 5.
witnessed to by John, i. 1, 5, 6. Apocalypse, the Little, i. 159; possibly known to John the Seer, 1 xvi .
Apocalypses, why pseudonymous, xxxviii; early Christian ones not so, xxxix.
Apocalyptic symbols-e.g. lamps = stars, i. 123 ; star $=$ angel, $i$. 239.

Apollyon, i. 246, 247.
Apostle, title of, not claimed by John the Seer, xiiii.
Apostles, self-styled, not Judaizers, nor St. Paul or his followers, but Nicolaitans, i. 50.
Archangels, relation of, to Seven Spirits (of $\mathbf{I}^{4}$ ), i. $11-13$.
to astral deities in Zend or Babylonian religions, i. II, 12.
the Seven, $=$ Angels of the Presence, i. 225.
Ark, the heavenly, manifestation of, usbers in last Woe, i. 297.
in Holy Jerusalem : the Lamb to be ark, ii. 171 (restoration).
Armageddon. See Har-Magedon.
Ascension, in cloud, of Two Witnesses, paralleled by that of Moses in Ascomsion of Moses, $\mathbf{i} .291$.
Asceticism. See Celibacy.
Asia, varying extension of term, i. 9.
Atonement, by martyrs' death, i. 173 m . See Redemption.
Authorship. Soe Apocalypse, Johannine Writinga.
Authority over nations ( $=$ their destruction), i. 75-77.

Babylon, a symbol for Rome in ist cent A.D., ii. 14 .
prophetic description of, reproduced for Rome, ii. 62, 63, $7 \mathbf{7}$.

Babylonian origins of Dragon Myth, i. 3 II. See Dragon, Origins.

Balaamites, a variety of Gnostics, tempted to idolatry and licentiousnese (as Balaam in Hebrew tradition), i. 63.
contrary to commands of Apostolic Council at Jerusalem, i. 53 ; also known as Nicolaitans, i. 52, 64.

Baptism, the seal of, i. 197.
Raruch, II, Book of, nearly contemporary with Apocalypse, 2. 5.

Beast, ascending from abyss ( $11^{7}$ ) $=$ originally Jewish Antichrist, appearing in Jerusalem; $=$ in present context, Nero redivivus, or demonic Antichrist, i. $285,286$.

Beast (in chap. xvii.), in present context $=$ Nero redivivus, originally two descriptions in (1) Beast $=$ Roman Empire, in (2) living Nero returning from East, ii. 55-61.
Beast, heads of $=$ Roman emperors, why Seven, i. 346, 347.
horns of $=$ ? same (diadems on horns), i. 346. In Daniel horns = king or dynasty.
Beasts, the Two (in chap. xiii.)-derived from two Hebrew sources, i. 332, 333, 338.

1. First Beast, from sea, derived from Dragon idea (see Dragon), and description based on Fourth Beast of Daniel, $=$ Roman Empire (this interpretation as old as Ist cent. B.C., and universal from 30 A.D. in Jewish circles) and here especially the antichristian power of Rome, incarnate in Nero rediviones, i. 333, 345, 346.
2. Second Beast, from land, derived from Chaos-monster idea, i. $358=$ heathen presthood of imperial cult, i. 333; to carry out final persecution of Church, i. 333-334, and put to death those who refused to worship Emperor, i. 334, 353 .

Both originally separate developments of Antichrist idea,
here adjusted so that second is subservient to first, i. 343 .
Beatitudes, of Apocalypse, Seven, 1. 3. $7,80-81,369-370$, ii. 129, 177, 186, 218, 445 m
Beginning and End, Divine titlerelation of, to Orphic logion, i. 220.

Beliar Myth, ii. 80.
Bibliography, clxxxvii-cxc.
Burth-throes, Messianic, i. 317.
Blasphemy, names of, = blasphemous titles and claims of Emperors, i. 347, 348, 352, in. 64.
Blood, drinking $=$ internecine strife, ii. 123.

Blood of Lamb, significance of, for martyrs, i. 214.
ground of martyrs' victory, i. 329.
Bodily resurrection. See Resurrection.
Body, spiritual. See Garments, Resurrection.
Book, Little, of prophecy, eaten by Seer, i. 268, contents of $=$ chap. xi.; measuring of Temple, Two Witnesses, emergence of Antichrist, $i$. 256-269.
of Life, originally, register of Israel's citizens, entithing to temporal blessings, i. 84 -
later, register of eternal kingdom's citizens, referning to immortality of blessedness, i. 84, 353-355, ii. 194 (the Lamb's Book), implies Predestination, i. 354.
of men's deeds, i. 84 ; opened at Judgment, ii. 194.
Seven-sealed, contains not only Messianic woes, but destinies of world, i. 136-138; its relation to heavenly books and books of angels in Pseudepigrapha, 1. 138, 139
Bowls, last series of seven plagues, on heathen nations only, ii. 27.
do not consummate wrath of God, ii. 31, 32.
not recapitulatory of Seals or Woes. In Seals, Christians suffer with heathen, in Woes the unsealed only suffer physical penalties of first two Woes, and spiritual of third,
in Bowls heathen only, ii. 27, 40, 41, 409, 426.
Bowle, not recaptulatory of Seals or Woes, seven plagues $=$ sores, seen turned to blood, fresh water to blood, scorching heat, darkness (and locusts), Parthian invasion and instigation of three anclean spurits, cosmic catastrophe when Rome destroyed, ii. 43-54.
Brethren-not martyss, but faithful still on earth. Only in Christian, not Jewish source could be used by martyrs of men, i. 327, 328. See Brother.
Bride $=$ Church, Holy Jerusalem or spirtual Israel, after Second Advent, not before, ii. 179; =community rather than city, ii. 440 n. See Marriage.
Brother $=$ fellow-member in same religious society, $\mathbf{i}$. 21.
Burden $=$ the Apostolic decree (Acts $15^{\text {º }}$ ), i. 74

Caesar-worship, opposed to Christianity, xcv, i. 44, 6r. See Antichrist.
Caius. See Apocalypse, Circulation of.
Caligula-Apocalypse, i. 338-339, 368 n . See Antichrist
Calling =invitation to Lamb's Marriage Supper, ii. 129, 130.
Camp=Holy City (beleaguered), ii. 190.

Canonicity. Ses Apocalypse, Circulation of.
Candlestick $=$ Church : removal of $=$ Church's destruction, i. 52.
seven-branched, connection of, with Seven Planets, i. 12 n., 25.
Candlesticks, Two, identified with Two Witnesses, i. 282-284.
Celibacy, $a$ non-Jewish, nonChristianideal, with parallels in Gnostic and heathen teaching, ii. 9.
finsistence on, due to interpolator, lii, ii. 6, 8,9 .
Censer, used for intercession and for judgment, i. 23I, 232. See Incense.
Character. See Christian.

Cherubim, in Old Testament : their form differs from that in Apocalypse. Ezekiel's account in particular modified, i. 121 -125.
in apocalyptic literature, 200 B.c.100 A.D., have wings, are full of eyes, near throne and guard it, sing praises, move freely, i. 118-120; their relation to Ophannim (wheels of throne), i. 120.
in Babylonian mythology, related to four winds and four constellations, i. 122, 123.
in Apocalypse, not " in midst of throne," but around it, i. 118, 119; nearer throne than Elders, i . 104 (wrong order, i . 115, 116); their praise precedes that of Elders, i. 294, 295 ; chief order of angels in ist cent. A.D., ii. 39; named " Living Creatures" herc, i. 118, 119; their unceasing praise, i. 125, 126; yet pause at times, i. 127 ; do not represent animate creation, i. 126, 127; they summon the four Riders, 1.161 ; one mediates revelation to Seer in $6^{1-7}$, Ixxxxii $n$. ; one gives Seven Bowls to Seven Angels, ii. 39; eyes of, i. 123-125.
Chiliasm, clxxxiv. See Interpretation, Millennium.
Christ, Doctrine of. See Apocalypse, Doctrines: relation of, to Father, cxi, cxii.
Second Coming of, to Judgment, i. 17,18 .

Christ, Titles of, Alpha and Omega, 22 ${ }^{14}$, ii. 219, 220.
Amen, $3^{\text {i4, }}$ i. 19, 94.
Anointed (i.e. Christ, Messiah), $11^{15} \mathrm{I2}^{10} 20^{4.4}$, i. 294.
Beginning and End, 22 ${ }^{1 / 2}$, ii. 220, 221.

Begrining of Creation of God, $3^{14,}$ i. 94
Dead, who was, and . . . alive, $\mathrm{I}^{18} 2^{8}$, i. $3 \mathrm{x}, 56$.
Eyea, whose, are like flame, and feet like burnished brass, $2^{18}$, i. 68.

Faithful and True, 19 ${ }^{\text {¹, }}$, ii. 13I.

Christ, First and Last, 22", ii. 219, 220.
Firstborn (i.e. Sovereign) of the Dead, $1^{5}, 1.1,14$.
Giveth to each . . according to works, He that, $2^{259}$, i. 73 .
Hath, He that, Key of David and openeth, etc., $3^{7}$, i. 86.
Hath, He that, Seven Sprits of God and Seven Stars, $3^{1}, \mathrm{i}$. 78.

Hath, He that, two-edged, sharp sword, $2^{12}$, i. 6I.
Holdeth, He that, Seven Stars, $2^{1}$, i. 48, 49.
Holy, $3^{7}$, i. $85,86$.
Jesus, cxi, $\mathrm{I}^{81} 2^{17} 14^{13} 17^{6}\left[19^{10}\right]$ $22^{16}$.
Jesus Christ, $1^{1.25}\left[22^{31}\right]$, ii. $446 n$.
King of kings and Lord of lords, $19^{18}$, ii. 75 .
Lamb, 5. 8. 12. 13 $61.107^{0.10 .1417}$ $12^{11^{1}} 13^{8} 14^{1.4}{ }^{10} 15^{9} 17^{14} 19^{7.9}$
 cxiv, i. 140, 141 , ii. 452.
Lion that is of tribe of Judah, $5^{5}$, 1. 140.

Lord Jesus, $22^{\text {son }}$ n.
Lord, their, $1 I^{8}$.
Lord, the, $14^{18}$.
Lord of lords and King of kings, $17^{14}$, ii. 75.
Loveth us and loosed us from our sins, $\mathrm{I}^{8}, \mathrm{i}$. 15,16 .
Man child, who shall break all the nations with a rod of iron, $\mathbf{1 2}^{\mathbf{b}}, 1320$.
Morning Star, 22 ${ }^{\text {¹8 }}$, ii. 219.
Root of David, $5^{5}$, i. 140.
Root and offspring of David, 22 ${ }^{16}$, ii. 219.
Ruler of the kings of the earth, ${ }^{18}$, i. 14.
Searcheth the reins and hearts, He that, $2^{23}, 1.72,73$.
Son of God, $2^{18}, 1.68$
Son of Man, One like unto $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{I}^{12}$ 14 ${ }^{14}$, i. 27, 35, 36, ii. 19, 20.
True, $3^{7}$, i. 85, 86 .
Walketh, He that, in the midst of the seven golden candlesticks, $2^{1}, \mathbf{i} .49$.
Witness, Faithful, ${ }^{1}$, i. 13, 14.
Witness, Faithful and True, $3^{14}$, i. 94 .

Word of God, 1915, ii. 134 .
Worship of, i. 17, 152 . See Doxologies. See also Lamb,

Messiah, Son of Man, Word of God.
Christian cheracter, (x) a personal acquisition of the faithful, capable of being solled or cleansed;
(2) a gift of God.
source of spiritual body, bestowed when character made perfect by martyrdom or at end of world, i. 97, 98, 184188, 373, ii. 128.
Churches. Sce Angels of the Churches, Seven Churches.
City, the Beloved, z.e., the Holy City, i1. 190.
Great, = Rome, $16^{18} \quad 17^{18} \quad 18^{10.0} 16$ etc., it. $52:=$ Jerusalem, $11^{8}$, i. 287.

Holy, use of term by Seer, i. 279, in. 157.
of God, or of gods, origin of conception, 1i. 158, 159. Ses Jerusalem, Millennaal.
Cloud. See Ascension.
Colossians, St. Paul's Epistle to, connected with Epistle to Laodicea, i. 94.
Commandments, Ten, order of, i. 255.

Commerce, Rome's, vastness and details of, ii. 101-ros.
Community, Jewish and Christian, represented by woman, i. $315 n$.
Copula, omission of, i. 43.
Cosmic Woes, i. 154, 160, 218.
Cosmological myth transformed into eschatological doctrine, $\mathrm{i}_{\text {. }}$ 318, 358.
Creation, due to ditine will, i. I34; Father author of, Christ principle of, cx, cxii; Earth.
Crown, reward of victory, i. 58 ; of righteous, i. 129 ; related to nimbus of heavenly beinga, i. 58, 59. See ii. 20.

Crown of life, ,i.e., belonging to the eternal life, i. 59 .
Cross, Sign of. See Sealing.
Cryptogram, i. 364-368.
Cup of wrath, ii. 14, 99. Sae Wine, Wrath of God.
Curse, $=$ "raccursed thing" in $22^{\text {en }}$, ii. 209.

Dan, omission of, from list of Twelve Tribes, variously explained ; idolatry of Dan, i. 208 ; Satan its prince, i. 193 n. ; Antichrist to arise from it, i. 208, 209.

Date of work, xci-xcvii. See Apocalypse, Date of.
Day, great, of wrath or battle, i. 183, ii. $48,49$.

Lord's. See Lord's Day.
Dead, Sovereign of $=$ Jesus Christ, i. 1, 14. See Firstborn.

Death, Christ's, i. 3I. Ses Blood, Kedemption.
Keys of. Ste Keys.
Second, not = Lake of Fire, but the lot of those cast therein, ii. 199, 200; a Rabbinic expression, i. 59
to be annihilated at last, ii. 208.
$=$ pestulence in $6^{88}$, etc., i. 170, ii. 402 n.
Demonic assault on Israel, to be repelled by Michael, i. 198
trials. See Sealing.
Demons, nature and orgin of, not to be punished till final judgment, in. 48.
Descent of Christ. See Hades.
Destroy the Earth, those who = Rome, or Beast, False Prophet and Satan, i. 296, 297, ii. 119.
Devil, identified with Satan, i. 325. See Satan.
Diadems, assigned to Christ, in $19^{19}$; to Dragon, in 12 ${ }^{8}$, 1. 319, 347, ii, 132 ; to First Beast, in $13^{1}$ only, i. 347.
Dionysius of Alexandria, xl, xli; his criticism of the Apocalypse, ci.

Disembodied souls, both non-martyred righteous and wicked have, i. 98.
at Resurrection non-martyred righteous receive spiritual bodies; but wicked do not, but are cast into Lake of Fire, i. 98, ii. 195-198.
Dislocations in Text, ii. 92, 93, 144154 See Apocalypse, Text of.
Doctrine. See Apocalypse.
Dogs, use of term in Judaism, impure or heathen, ii. 178.

Domitian, xci-xcvii. See Antichrist, Apucalypse, Date of.
Door, is heaven, i. $107 \%$ ses Heaven.
of heart, Christ's knocking at, i, 100.
open, = opportunity for missionary effort, not Christ Himself, nor right to enter into Messianic glory, i. 87
Doxology, indirect, ii. 122.
Doxologies, to Christ, i. 15-17; as the Lamb, i. 144, 145, 149151, etc.
by Cherubim-Trisagion, i. 127.
by Elders-referring to creation, $\mathrm{i}_{\text {. }}$ 133, 134.
by Cherubim and Elders, i. I44, 145.
various attributes ascribed in, $i$. 149.

Dragon, identified with Serpent that tempted Eve, i. 325, ii. 141; with Devil and with Satan, i. 325 .
not destroyed by Christ in chap. xix., i. 309, ni. 140, 141.

Seven-headed, conception derived from Babylonian mythology, i. 317; vanous traditions, respecting, i. 317, 318; relation of, to primeval ocean chaos-monster, i. 318, 331, 358.
Seven heads, i. 318 n., red, 318 , $319 \pi$., ten horns (Daniel), 319.
persecutes woman, i. 331.
Dragon and Woman with Child, $=$ chap. xii.,-Semitic original of, 1. 303-305.
meaning of, in present contextwoman $=$ first Jewish and then Jewish-Chnstian community, i. 299, 300 m .
rest of seed of woman $=$ Gentile Christians, i. 299, 332.
non-Jewish and non Christian features, i. 300, 308.
due to a second source beside the Jewish one, i. 307, 308.
as 12 1-5. 18-17 ultimately of heathen origin, i. 307, 309.
Dragon speaking,-impossible idea, cli, $1.358,359$.
Dragon myth, possible origins of, Babylonian, Zend, Greek. Egyptian, i. 3II-3I3.

Eagle, the two wings of the, a lost tradition, $i$. 330.
Earth, those who dwell on, meanings of phrase, good and bad, ii. 12, $13 x$. ; literally used of inhabitants of Palestine, i. 289, 290.
Earthquake-Woe of Sixth Seal, i. 179-183.
in Jerusalem, i. 291.
in Rome, ii. 52.
Eating, supernatural gifts imparted by, i. 268.
Ecstatic condition, described twice over in $4^{1.2}$, i. 106-III. See Spirit.
Egyptian origin suggested for Dragon myth, i. 313 .
Elder, use of title, xhi, xilii n.
Elders, Twenty-four, i. 115; subordinate to Cherubim, i. 116, 127; prostrate themselves at crises, i . 127 ; sit on thrones, crowned, act as angelic interpreters, present prayers of fathful, address and encourage Seer, prise God, i. 128, 129.
one intervenes to explain a vision to Seer, lxxxvii n., i. 139 .
explanations of-(I) glorified men, representatives of community, i. 129; but as they are enthroned prior to Judg. ment, they are not men but angels. Moreover, they act as Angel2 interppretes and offer men's prayers, i. 130 .
(2) a college of angels, earlier were angelic assessors of God, originally 24 Babylonian star gods, i. 130, 131.
(3) angelic representatives or heavenly counterparts of 24 priestly orders, and so offered sacrifice. This suits idea of heavenly temple and altar, i. 132.
(4) but in present context are angelic representatives of whole body of faithful (cf. guardian angels, , all of whom are priests and kings, i. 129, 133.

Emerald = rock-crystal?, i. 114, 115.

Endurance, sustained-characteristic of saints, i. 49, 50, 89, 368, 370.

Ephesus, government of, i. 47; a road terminus, i. 47 ; neocorate of, i. 48 ; chief centre of Christianity in East, i. 48.

Epilogue of Book,-declarations by God, Jesus, and John, ii. 211, 212.
Eternal, for ever and ever $=1000$ years, ii. 120.
Evangelization of world by glorified martyrs during Millennial Kingdom, liv, ii. 148, 172 ; origin of belief, ii. 149, 457.
Exclusion from city of craven-hearted, faithless, impure, murderers, sorcerers, idolaters, liars, iii. 146, 173, 174, 177, 178, 215-217.
Eye salve, symbol of new spiritual vision, 1. 98, 99.
Eyes, symbolize omnisclence of Lamb, i. 141. See Cherubim.

Ezra, Fourth Book of, xxxiii and passim.

Faith, in Apoc. $=$ faithfulness, fidelity, as well as belief, cxvi, i. 6r.
Faithful. See Christ.
False Prophet. See Beast, Second.
False Teachers. See Apostles.
Famine, woe of Third Seal, i. 166168.

Fear God's name, those who, $=$ not proselytes, but Jewish or Gentile Chrıstians, i. 296, 297. Cf. ii. 125.

Fear of God, essential part of Gospel, ii. 13 .

Fine linen, = spiritual bodies, the result of righteous acts, ii. 435 n. Sec Garments, Linen.
First-born = sovereign (of the dead), i. 14, ii. $386 n$.
" First-fruits," more properly rendered "sacrifice," ii. 5-7, 6 n .
$"$ Foot" $=$ leg, i. 259, 260.
Fornication=unfaithfulness to Christ, and concessions to pagan customs of trade-guilds, $i$. 72.
$=$ immorality, i. 71 .
Fourth Gospel, by same author an 1. 2. and 3 John, xxxiv-xxavi.

Fourth Gospel and Epistles both free from solecisms, xxxiv.
common constructions, xxxiv, xxxv. common words and phrases, $\mathrm{xxxv}_{1}$ xxxv.
parallel expressions, xxxvi.
absence of quotations, $\mathbf{x x x v i}$.
idiomatic Greek, xxxvi.
Fragments, Papyrus and Vellum, ii. 447-451.
Frogs, Zend belief in evil power of, ii. 47.

Future tense used of past or continuous action, frequentative, cxxiii, cxxiv, ii. $399 n$.

Garment of Son of Man, i. 27, 28.

Garments, white $=$ spiritual bodies bestowed by God on faithful in resurrection life, cxv, cxvi, i. 81-83, 98, 184-188, 210-214.
not $=$ righteousness (works) of saints, cxv, i. 371-373, ii. 127-128.
Gehenna, not referred to, in Apocalypse, i. 240.
but = Lake of Fire, ii. 139, 140.
meaning of term, i. 240n. See Punishment, Places of.
Gematria, i. 364-368.
Gnostic teaching at Thyatira, "the deep things of Satan," libertinism and emphasis on knowledge of inteliectual mysteries, i. 73, 74.
God, creative activity of, i. 263.
description of, i. 113.
doctrine of. See Apocalypse, Doctrines.
face of, to be seen by His servants in Eternal Kingdom, ii. 209, 210.

God, Titles of, Alpha and Omega, [ $1^{\circ}$ ] $21^{16}$, i. 2,20 , ii. 215.
Created heaven .. . earth . . sea . . . He who, $10^{\circ}$, $i$. 263.

Father, $\mathbf{x}^{6} 14^{1}, \mathrm{i}$. 17.
God Almighty, $16^{16} 19^{14}$, calvii, i. 20, ii. 49, 398 n.

God of heaven, $11^{13} 16^{61}$, i. 292, ii. 46 .

Holy, $6^{10} 16$, i. 175, ii. 123 (different words).

God, King of the nations, $15^{3}$, ii. 36.

Liveth for ever and ever, God who, $15^{7}$, ii. 39 .
He that, $4^{9 .} 10^{10}{ }^{10}$, i. 128.
Living God, $7^{2}$, i. 204, 205.
Lord, $15^{6}$.
Lord God, the, $\mathbf{2 2 ^ { 1 }}$, ii. 21 I.
Lord God Almighty, $\left[I^{\circ}\right] 4^{\circ}$ $11^{17} \quad 15^{3} \quad 16^{7} \quad 19^{6} \quad 21^{42}$, i. $20,103,104,127,295$, ii. $36,126,170,387 \%$., 398 n., cf. cxlvii.
Lord, the God of the Spirits of the prophets, $\mathbf{2 2 ^ { 6 }}$, ii. 218.
Lord of the earth, $1 \mathrm{II}^{4}$, i. 284.
Master, 6 10, i. 175.
my God, $3^{11}$.
our God, $5^{10} 7^{10.12} 12^{20} 19^{6}$.
our Lord and God, $4^{11}$, i. 133.
our Lord, $11^{18}$, i. 294
sitteth on the throne, He that, $4^{9.10} 5^{17^{12}} 6^{10} 7^{10.16} \quad 19^{4}$ $21^{6}$.
True, $6^{10}$, i. 175.
which is and which was, $11^{17}{ }^{16}$, i. 295, ii. 123.
which is, and which was, and which is to come, $\mathrm{I}^{4} 4^{\frac{3}{3}}, \mathrm{i} .2$, 10, 20, 103, 104, 127 ; not used of Christ, cxii ; uninflected, clii, i. 10; Jewish and heathen parallels to this title, i. 10.
Gog and Magog, origin of names of, ii. 188, 189; duplicate attack on Jerusalem by, after Messianic Kingdom, ii. 188, 189 (close parallel to, in 4 Ezra, ii. 190, 191); comprehend all faithess on earth, ii. 189 ; destroyed by fire from heaven, ii. 191.

Gold tried in the fire,-a gift acquired from Christ-of a new heart or spirit, i. $97,98$.
Grace, form of, i. 9 , ii. 226; "grace and peace,', $i$. 9.
Grace and Works, i. 213, 214 See Garments, Works.
Grammar. See Apocalypse, Grammar of.
Greek origin of Dragon myth. Sow Dragon Myth, Origins.
Guilds See Trade Guilds.

Hades, $=$ intermediate abode of unrighteous (or indifferent) souls only, cxvii n., i. 32, 33, $150,169,170$, ii. 140, 195, 196, 197, 199.
does not include Paradise, i. 32 (bouls of righteous in "Treasuries").
Descent of Christ into, i. 32, 33.
inhebitants of, join in praise to Lamb, i. 150.
intrusion in 6月, i. 169, 170.
$=$ Sheol, i. 32. See Sheol.
Harlot, the Great, Judgment of, ii. 54 sq9.
seated on Beast. See Antichrist. to be destroyed by Beast and Ten Kingg, ii. 55, 73, 74.
$=$ Rome, ii. 62, 75 (seated on waters-owing to survival of Babylon description, ii. 62, 63,72 ); her name, ii. 65.
drunk with blood of martyrs, i,e. Neronic persecutions, ii. 65, 66.

Har-Magedon, interpretation of phrase uncertain, 'mountains of Megiddo," "city of Megiddo," "his fruitful mountain," "the desirable city" (=Jerusalem) ; but possibly due to some lost myth, ii. 50, 51. Scene of great world-battle, ii. 50.

Heads of Beast. See Beast.
Heart, seat of thoughts, i. 73. See Psychology.
Heathen, evangelization of. See Evangelization.
Heathen myth, i. 143. See Origins.
Heathen nations, two universal insurrections of,
(1) at instigation of demons, Beast and False Prophet,
before Messianic Kingdom, destroyed by Messiah, and Beast and False Prophet cast into Lake of Fire and kings and armies slain, ii. 46, 47, 131, 135, 136, 139 , 140.
(2) final, at instigation of Satan, after Messianic Kingdom, destroyed by fire from heaven, and Satan cast into Lake of Fire, in. 46, 47, 136, 188-191.

Heathen, to be ruled (or destroyed) with rod of iron by Messiah and saints, i. 74-77, 320.
Heaven, a single, in Apocalypse, i r08, but see i. 304, 329.
creatures in, meaning of, i. 150.
door into, by which Seer enters, i. 107.
evil in, Satan still in, as in Job, etc., Eph. etc., i. 324.
war in, i. $321,324$.
See Altar, Vision.
Heaven and earth, present, to vanish, ii. 193.
new to come, conception of, in Judaism, ii. 203; Vision of, ii. 204.

Heavenly armies, composed of angels and glorified martyrs, who descend with Christ, ii. 135, 136.
See Altar, Ark, Jerusalem, Temple, Throne.
Horns, symbolize power, of Lamb, 1. 141. See Beast.

Horses, the Four, colours of, and their significance, i. 16I, $162 n$.
White=war: by others variously interpreted of triumphant war, Parthian Empire, Vologases, Rome, Messiah, Gospel, i. 163-164.
Red=international strife, i. 165, 166.

Bleck = Famine, lack of bread, but not of oil or wine, i. 166-168.
Pale $=$ Pestilence, i. 168-171.
Idolaters, exclusion of, ii. 217.
Idols, food sacrificed to, problem of, i. $63,69,70$.

Immorality, connection of, with demon-worship and idolatry, i. 255.

Incense, added to prayers, to make them acceptable, i. 230, 231.
symbolizes prayers of saints, i. 145See Censer.
Infinitive $=$ finite verb, cxxvii.
Inspiration, imparted by eating, 1 268, by visions, etc. Sex Apocalypse, author's method, Paychic experiences.
Intercession of angels, i. 145, 230.
Interpolations. Sef Apocalypse.

Interpretation, Methods of, clxxxiiiclexxvii
Contemporary-Historical, clxxxiii.
Eschatological, clxxiv.
Chiliastic, clıxxiv.
Philological (earlier and later forms), clexxir clexxvii.
Literary - Critical (Redactional, Sources, Fragmentary Hypotheres), clxxxv.
Treditional-Historical, elxxxvi.
Religious-Historical, clxxxvi.
Philosophical, clxxxvi.
Psychological, clxxxvii.
Recapitulatory, xxiii.
Itacisms, in Greek text, ii. 235.
Jasper, i. 114.
Jerusalem, the hteral, called spiritually Sodom and Gomorrah, referred to in $1 \mathrm{II}^{7}, \mathrm{lxii}, \mathrm{xc}, \mathrm{i}$. 279, 287, 288.
Jerusalem, Two descriptions of-one Millennial (temporary), xc, i. 54, 55, ii. 152, 153 .
one eternal, in new heaven and new earth, when death abolished, ii. $153,157,158$.
Millennal ("Holy Jerusalem"), to descend in Millennium, ii. 145-150; before Final Judgment, at which it disappears, to be replaced by or transformed into New Jerusalem, ii. 158, 205; contains no Temple or Ark, replaced by God and the Lamb as centre of wornip, ii. 170, 171.
its foundetions, gates, light, stones, ii. 161-170.
contains river of water of life and tree of life, ii. 174-177.
evil excluded from, ii. 177, 178.
relation of, to heathen idea of City of God or gods, ii, 158, 159, 168.
to Ezekiel's new city, ii. 159.
to conception of Paradise, ii. 160, $\mathbf{1 6 1}$.
tu conception of New Jerusalem, ii. $\mathbf{1 6 1}$.
Eternai (New Jerusalem), Now, as upposed to Millennial, ii. 157-158; many features of, in traditional text properly refer to Millennial, ii. 144-148.

Jesus, use of personal name, cxi.
Jew, a title of honour in Apocalypse, i. 57.

Jews, Christians are the true, i. 57, 88.
oppose Christianity, i. 56-58.
repentance or conversion to Christianity of, expected, i. 88, 291, 292.
Jewish Christian Apocalypse in gospels, influences conception of Second Coming in $\mathbf{1}^{7}$, i. 19. See Apocalypse, Little.
Jewish and Christian Churches combined in description of Holy Jerusalem, ii. 163.
Jexebel, a name applied to a false teacher at Thyatira, a prophetess, who countenanced immorality as well as attendance at heathen guild feasts, i. 70, 7 II .
Johannine Epistles. See Fourth Gospel, John the Elder.
Johannine writings, authorship of, linguistic evidence, xxixxxxvii; other evidence, xxxvui-l.
John, Gospel of. See Fourth Gospel. John the Apostle, tradition of his residence at Ephesus not earlier than 180 A.D., xiv; martyred before 70 A.D., xlvi-1. See Apocalypse, author of.
John the Elder (Presbyter), author of, 2. 3 John and also I John end Fourth Gospel, xxxvni, xlii, xliii.
wrongly stated to be author of Apocalypse in tradition and to-day, xili, xlii.
John the Seer, e prophet, xxxix, xlini; call of as, i. $2 ; 2$ Jewish Christian, probably a native of Galilee, xxi, xliv ; probably settled at Ephesus, xxi ; a "brother" of the churches of Asia, xxix, xiiii, xliv; had authority over churches of Province of Asia, xxii ; his styie unique, strange Greek grammar, xxi, xliv, cxviiclis; familiar with Hebrew O.T.: Septuagint, and a Theodotionic type of Ver-
sion, xxi, etc. ; his use of Jewish and Christian sources, xxii ; hisdeath about 95A.D., ii. 147-152; his work revised by unintelligent disciple, who interpolates some 22 verses and causes disarrangement of text, xxii, xxiii, 1-lv, etc.
John the Seer, author of Apocalypse, xxi, xxxix; distinct from author of Gospel and Epistles. See Apocalypse, author of.
Jubilees, Book of, xxxiii.
Judgment, Christ's Second Coming to, i. 17-19.

His present judgment, ii. 393 m .
of living on earth committed to Christ - from Seven Seals to destruction of Gog and Magog, cxvi, ii. 192.
final, by the Father alone, cxii ; of all risen from the dead (martyrs exempt, also those who eat of Tree of Life during Millennium), cxvi, cxvii, ii. 192-194. See Abyss, Hades.
Judgments, First, Second, and Third series of, xxvi-xxviii.
preceded by proclamations or anthems of praise, i. 293 ; cf. i. 222.

Key of David, Messianic significance of, authority to admit to or exclude from New Jeruselem, i. 86.
Keys of death and Hades, meaning of - power to raise from dead, to free from Hades, i. 32, 33 .

Kingdom, and kingehip of all the faithful, i. 16, 17.
Millennial passes over into Eternal, no clear distinction, i. 294, 295.

Kings (kingdom) and priests, i. 16.
Kings from the East. See Parthian Kings.
Kings of the earth, ii. 138, 140 .
Lacunae. See Apocalypse, Text of.
Lake of fire. See Punishment.

Lamb, significance of symbol, selfsacrifice and self-surrender of Messiah suffering and triumphant-combination of Jewish and Christian conceptions, cxii-criv, i. 134, 135, 140-142, 152, 153.
blood of. See Blood.
marriage of. See Marriage.
shares God's throne, ii. 209.
titles of, ii. 74, 75.
vision of, on Mount Zion, with 144,000 , ii. 4,5 .
with seven horns-Christian transformation of Jewish idea, cxiii, ii. 452.
with two horns-Jewish conception (in source), ii. 452.
wrath of, i. 182, 183 .
Laodicea, a banking, textile, and medical centre, i. 93 .
St. Paul's Epistle to, probably connected with John's Letter, i. 94, 95.
lukewarmness and self-complacency of, i. 95,96 .
Letter to, originally a distinct epistle, i. 46, 47.
Letters to Seven Churches, endings to, a later addition, and most of titles also, i. 44-46; originally seven distinet letters, i. 46; Ignatian epistles substantiate details in, i. 46.
Life, Book of, i. 84, etc. See Book. Crown of, i. 58, 59. Sec Crown.
Spirit of, i. 290.
Tree of, i. 54, 55, etc. Ses Tree.
Water of, i. 55, etc. See Water.
Life of Christ, eternal, i. 31, 32.
Light, God the Light of Holy Jerusalem, ii. 210, 21 r.
" Like," apocalyptic use of phrase in vision imagery and titles, i. 27, 35-37.

Linen, Fine, not righteous acts(works) but character, ii. 115 .., 127, 128, 435 n. Sec Garments.
Living Creatures. See Cherubim.
Locusts, plague of demonic, under King Abaddon, i. 242-247.
origin of conception of, and connection of with winds, $i$, 248-250.
originally referred to, in lost passage after $16{ }^{20}$, ii. 45 .

Loosing, from sin, i. 15, 16. Soe Redemption.
Lord's Day=Sunday (as being Day of Resurrection), not $=$ Day of Judgment, i. 22, 23; why celebrated weel.ly, i. 23.
Love, two varieties of, emotional and reasoning, i. 99.
Luminaries, heavenly, unfailing order of, i. 180, 18 I.

Magic power, of Name, i. 66, 67; of book, i. 143; of oath, $i$. $143 n$.
Manna, the hidden, a blessing of the future kingdom $=$ spiritual gifts from Christ to Church Triumphant, i. 65,$66 ;=$ (in some degree) Water of Life, i. 66.
Manuscripts, Greek, of Apocalypse, ii. 227-232.

Maranatha, ii. 226.
Marcion. See Apocalypse, Circulation of.
Mark of Beast, i. 360,364 ; on right hand and brow-a travesty of Jewish practice, i. 343, 362, 363 ; nature of, variously interpreted, i. $363 n$.
Mark, Gospel of, not used in Apocalypse, lxvi.
Marriage. Messianic significance of term, 11. 126, 127, 129, 130 ; time when Bride ready, when number of saints complete, ii. 129. See Bride, Celibacy, Supper.
Martyr, first technical use of word, i. 62, $=$ one faithful to death in his witness, i. 62.
Martyrdom, inevitable for faithful, i . 44, 334 ; universal of Christian Church, ii. $113,456$.
reasons for-Word of God, testimony of Jesus, i. 174
regarded as a heavenly sacrifice, with atoning power, i. 173 n ., 174.
$=$ Woe of Fifth Seal, i. 171-174 (Neronic persecution).
blessedness of, i. 334, 369-373.
Martyrdom, early, of John the

- Apostle, xxxvil, x|v-1.

Martyn, cry of, for vengeance, $i$. 174-176.
great multitude of, i. 202.

Martys, martyred for refusal to worship Emperor, ii. 183.
number of, not yet fulfilled, i. 177179.
reward of,--alone share in First Resurrection, and exempt from Final Judgment, ii. 180, 184, 185, 186.
share Christ's throne, i. 101, 102 ; and reign with Him a thousand years, ii. 182-184.
receive white robes, i. 213, 214; and Water of Life, i. 216, 217; render unceasing spiritual service and enjoy presence of Shekinah, i. 215.
Martyrs, souls of, regarded as a sacrifice, i. 173, 174, 179. See "First-fruits."
rest in peace, i. 177, 369 .
to destroy ("rule") beathen powers, ii. 135.
to evangelize World in Millennial Reign, liv, ii. 148, 172, 186.
Meal, common, a proof of confidence and affection, i. 101.
Measuring, with view to rebuild and restore, to destroy or to preserve physically, i. 274-278.
reinterpreted of preservation from spiritual dangers, $x c$, i. 275 n., 276, 278. Sce Sealing.
Mediation, angelic, i. 226, etc. See Angels.
Messiab, attacked by foes, ii. 190, 191; in Judgment as Word of God, ii. 131 ; with garment dipped in blood of enemies, ii. 133 ; with names Faithful and True, King of kings and Lord of lords, and a hidden name, ii. 131-133; manifestation of, $\mathbf{i}$. 206 M. ; passive role of, in chap. xii., i. 308, $320,32 \mathrm{I}$.

Messianic Kingdom, temporary, and related conceptions, ii. 184, 187, 456, 457. Ses Mill. ennium.
Woes, i. 153, 157-161. See Seals. Michael, guardian angel of Isracl, of righteous in Israel,
of righteous in all nations, $i$. 323.
to repel demonic assault on Israel, in last days, i. 198, 323.

Michael, as leader of heavenly hosts, i. 323.

Millennium, a late and attenuated form of old Jewish expectation of eternal Messianic Kingdom on earth, ii. 142, 184 ; for 2 thousand years in Apocalypse first, ii. 143, 184.

Martyrs rise in First Resurrection and reign with Christ a thousand years ( $20^{-6}$ ) in Holy Jerusalem, ii. 144-1 54 Origin of this conception which forms an alien element in N.T., ii. 456-457. During millennium the wicked are outside the city, the martyrs evangelize the world, the nations of the earth pour in, and the Tree of Life is available for the healing of the nations, ii. 146-148. At close unrepentant nations rebel and are destroyed. Then follows Final Judgment, ii. 186-187. See Evangelization, Jerusalem, Martyrs.
Miracles, Satanic, i. 359.
Morning Star, a reward for faithful, $\mathbf{i}$. 77. See Christ, Titles of.

Moses, Song of, already incorporated in Temple Service, ii. 36.
in text, an intrusion before Song of Lamb, ii. 34, 35 .
Mountains and islands to disappear before New Age, ii. 52, 53 .
Mourning-corrupt for "destruction," ii. 431 m.
Multitude, Great, vision of, proleptic, i. 199, 201, 203, 209.
originally $=$ entire body of blessed in heaven after Final Judgment, i. 201, 202.
in present context $=$ martyrs of last tribulation serving God in heaven before Millennial Kingdom, i. $201,202$.
Music, ii. 109, rio. See Singer.
Mystery $=$ " name to be interpreted symbolically," ii. 65 .
$=$ secret meaning, i. 34 .
of God=whole purpose of God in history; otherwise interpreted of casting down of Satan or birth of Messiah;
but cf. related uses of phrase $=$ God's sacret purpose of inclusion of Gentiles, or again the hiddon working of evil, i. 265, 266, $266 n$.
Mythology-colouring eschatology, i. 253, 300, 311-324, 358, etc. See Origins.

Nakedness, of soul, =loss of spiritual body, i. 97, 98, 188. See Garments.
Name, inscribing of, on pllar of temple, on forehead of victor, i. 9r, 92.
significance of, $=$ personality, ii. $391 n$.
hidden, magic powers of, ii. 132, 133. See Messiah.
new, $=$ not new character, i. $67 x$., but (secret) name of God or Christ, i. 67.
Names of blasphemy, =divine titles of Emperors, e.g. Augustus, i. $347,348 \mathrm{n}$.

Nature, praise of, conceived as offered by Elders, not Cherubim, i. 126, 127.
Nero-the only satisfactory solution of number 666 or 616, i. 367.
redivivus, xev-xcvii. See Antichrist, Apocalypse, Date of.
Neronic myth-stages of its development, ii. 80 sqq.
New, special significance of the word, i. 92, 146, ii. 204.

New Creation, ii. 200, 201, 203, 204. Ses Creation.
Heaven and Earth, ii. 203, 204,
Jerusalem. See Jerusalem.
New Testament, lxy, lxvi, lvxxiiiIxxivi See Apocalypse, Materials used in.
Nicolaitans, not followers of Nicolaus of Antioch, but Balaamites ( $2^{156} 1^{16}$ ), i. 52, 53. Sos Balasmites.
Night, and darkness, to be abolished, ii. 210.

Nimbus, i. 115. See Crown.
Number, idea of fixed, of martyrs, to be completed, i. 177-179.
of name of Beast, i. 334, 364368.
-values of names, or isopsephirms, i. 365 n., 366.

Numerals, prepositive and postpositive use of, clix, i. 224 m.

Oath, method of swearing, i. 262, 263 ; by God of Creation, $i$. 263.

Old Testament, use of, Lxv-lxaxii. See Apocalypse, Materials used in.
Olive Trees, Two (of Zechariah)identified with the Two Candlesticks and Two Witnesses, i . 282, 283.
Ophannim. See Cherubim.
Order of Words, elvi-clix. See Apocalypse, Grammar of.
Origen's so-called text, clxxviclarvii.
Origins and Parallels suggested,
Babylonian, i. 11, 12, 30, 32, 115 , 118, 122, 123, 130, 163 n., 164, $198 \mathrm{n} ., 283 \mathrm{~m}, 308,311$, 313, 315-319, 358, 365 m., ii. $63,75,159 n, 205$.

Egyptian, i. 91, 144, 198 n., 313, 316 n., 318 n., 325, ii. 75, 167, 205, 221 n.
Greek, i. $10,115,198$ n., 239 n., 254, 308, 312, 324, 325, ii. 220.

Persian, Zend, Mandaean, etc., i. 10, 11, 30, 33, 83, 156-159 m., 184, 247, 250 n., $282 \boldsymbol{n .}$, 307, 308, 311, 319, 324, 371, ii. 47, 53, 75, 139, 142.
Various, i. 123, 181 n., 244, 245, 289 r., ii. 9, 51, 133, 138, 158, 159, 167, 168. Soe also clxxxvi.
Orphic Logion. Ses Beginning.
Overcoming, special meaning of, $i$ 45, 53, 54. See "Victor." used of martyrs (only p), i. 54.

Palm branches, aymbol of victory, $i$. 211.

Papias-traditions, xl-xliii, x/vi. Ses Apocalypse, author of.
Papyrus frugments. Sea Fragmente.
Paradise, not included in Hades, $i$. 32.
equivalent to Heavenly Jerusalem, i. 55. Sae Jerusalem.
not identified with third heaven, $i$. 55.
or with abode of blessed departed, L. 55.

Paradise, various views concerning, earthly and heavenly, H . 160.
abode of righteous after this life, or reserved till after Judgment, ii. 160-161.

Parthian Empire, victory of, over Rome. Soc Vologases.
Parthian kings, in readiness to invade, at Euphrates, i. 250.
instruments of God's wrath against Rome, ii. 87 n.
to join Nero redivious in attack on Rome, ii. 46, 47, 55, 7174.
to be destroyed by Messiah, i. 133, 135.
destruction of, omitted, ii. 114, 116, 117, 131, 436n.
Past tense, $=$ prophetic future, ii. 414.

Patmos, John in, xxix, i. 21, 22.
" People" and "peoples," ii. 207, $377 n$.
Perfect tense in Greek, how to be rendered, cxxv-cxxvi, ii. 414.

Pergamum, first centre of Caesar Worship (throne of Satan), i. 60,61 .
description of, i. 60, 6x.
Persecution, limited degree of, in Seven Churches, i. 44, 44 m.
world-wide, only once referred to in letters to Seven Churches, i. 44, 89. Sec Martyrdom.
economic, i. 334, 363 , cf. ii. $86,87$.
Pestilence, woe of Fourth Seal, i. 169-171.
Philadelphia, description of, i. 84, 85. Jewish opposition at, i. 88.
Pillar. See Temple of God.
Plagues. See Bowis.
Praise, in heaven, i. 125-128. Sea Doxologies.
anthems of, at crises in coming of Kingdom, i. 293, 294.
Prayers, of souls under altar, for vengeance, become an instrument of divine wrath, $i$. 174-176, 178, ii. 403 n.
Prayers of Saints, presented by angelic mediatore-not Elders (? by archangels as in Judaism), L. 145, 146, 226 ; symbolized by incense, $i$. 145, and censed, i. 230.

Predestination, of Lamb's sacrifice, i. 354, 355.
of mints in Book of Life, but not necessarily implied by that Book, cxv, i. 354, 355.
Present tense $=$ future, ii. $414 \pi$.
$=$ imperfect, ii. 417 m.
Priesthood, of all the faithful, i. 16, 17.
and kingship of martyrs, ii. 186.
Priesthood, imperial =Second Beast. See Beasts.
work miracles, i. 359; making images speak, 361 .
insist on worship of First Beast (Emperor) on penalty of death, i. 360, 361. Cf. xcr.
Proleptic passages, $\mathbf{x x v}$, i. 203, 209, 256, 269-270, ii. 1.
Prologue. See Apocalypse, Flan of.
Prophecy, a limited gift, i. 17.
Prophets, Christian, ii. 112, 113;= God's servants, i. 6, 266 Ses Seer.
spirits of, ii. 218. Sea Spirits.
Pseudepigrapha, lxv, Lxxxii-1xxxiii. See Apocalypse, Materials used in.
Pseudonymous apocalypses, not so Apocalypse of ohn, zxxviii.
Psychic experiences, i. Dreamsvarying value set on, civ, cr.
ii. Visions, in sleep, in trance, with spiritual or bodily translation, waking visions, cv, 106, 10\%, 110, 111, ii. 63 ; conventional use of " $I$ saw," cix.
value of, in Ethnic religions and in that of Israel, $\mathbf{c}$, cri.
literal descriptions of, hardly possible, hence use of symbolism, cvi, cvil. Cf. "Like."
Psychology, Jewish, i. 73, ii. 73.
Punishment, agents of - angels of punishment, i. 250, 25 n . See Angels.
nature of, by fire and brimstone, in view of angels (parallels, Jewish and Christian), unceasing, ii. 17, 18.
places of, preliminary, ii. 141, 142 ; and final, Lake of Fire, i. 239, 240, ii. 139, 200.
Abyss, i. 239-242. See Abyss.

Punishment, places of, Gehenna, i. $240,240 \mathrm{n}$. (replaced is Apocalypse by Lake of tree, 242).

Sheol, i. $240 \mathrm{~m} .$, ii. 197. Lake of Fire. Ses above. (various, in I Enoch, i. 241). Purchase. See Redemption.

Quotations, abundant in Apocalypse, rare in Fourth Gospel, xxxvi.

Reader, office of, in public worship, i. 7, 8.

Reaping-symbol of Judgment in interpolation, ii. 19, 24 See Vintaging.
Reason, part played by, in Apocalyptic, cvii, cviii.
Recapiulution. See Interpretation.
Redemption, by blood of Christ (as price), cxiv, i. 16.
idee of purchase, i. 16, 147, ii. 7, 8.
a loosing from sin, i. 15 .
a withdrawal from earth, ii. 7, 8.
a washing, i. 213. 214.
with view to kingdom and priesthood, i. 16, 148.
Reign of Antichrist, 34 years, i. 279, 280, $289 n$.
Reign of saints, not limited to Millennial Kingdom, i. 102. Sea Kingdom.
Reinterpretation of older prophecy, io 273.

Remnant, not referred to in $12^{17}$, i. 332 n.
Repentance, of Jews expected, i. 88, 291, 292.
two witnesses to preach, i. 282.
Repentance still possible, ii. 212, 215.
time for, passed, and finality attained at End, ii. 212, 22I, 222.
Reproof and chastisement, the outcome of love, i. 99, 100.
Resurrection, in literal not spiritual sense, ii. $184,185$.
First, of martyrs only, as a reward for martyrdom, and to reevangelize world during Millennium, cxvi, ii. 184 , 185, 456, 457.
Second, for righteous (not martyred) and wicked, of righteous souls from "treasuries" or
"chambers," of wicked souls from death and Hades, cxvi, ii. 194-198; the righteous are thereupon clothed, the wicked remain disembodied, cxvi.

Resurrection, bodily, not in Apocalypse, ii. 193, 195 ; nor in New Testament, ii. 195, $196 m$, but general in Judaism, ii. $195 n$.
Resurrection body, a body of light, $i$. 83.
cymbolized by White Garments, i. 82.
not given to wicked. Ses Disembodied Souls.
Revelation, modes of, trance, i. 22 ; hearing, i. 23 ; seeing, i. 25, 31.
N. B. use of "like," i. 27. Sew Psychic Experiences.
Reward of Saints, includes spiritual bodies. See Martyrs.
Riders, the four, interpretations of, $i$. 155-157.
River of Water of Life, origin of conception, ii. 175.
-no spiritual efficacy connoted in Judarsm, but in Apocalypse shares powers of fountans of waters of life, ii. 175.
Robe, White, i. 184 . See Garment.
Roman Empire, represented by First Beast of chap. xiii., i. 339351.

Ite power derived from the Dragon, i. 351. See Antichrist, Beast, Harlot.
Rome, Doom of, lament of merchants over, rejoicing of apostles and prophets over, ii. 87, 88.

Account of, derived from Hebrew source in Greek translation, ii. 91 ; written under Vespasian, ii. 93; from same source as $17^{10-2.25-7 . ~ 2 s . ~ s-10, ~}$ ii. 94. See Harlot.

Sacrificial death of Martyrs, i. 173174, 231.
Salvation = victory, deliverance, $i$. 211.

Sardis, description of, i. 77, 78.
Sardius stone, i. 114.

Satan, originally accuser of men, becomes largely independent of God, and the enemy as well as accuser of man, i. 325, 326, 327.
gradually identified with Serpent. See Devil, Dragon.
loosed and joined by Gog and Ma gog, ii. 187, 188 ; beleaguers Holy City, ii. 189-191; is cast into Lake of Fire, ii. 191. Ses Henthen Nations.

Scorpions, i. 242.
Sea, first Beast comes up from, i. 344.
heavenly, of glass, origin of idea, i. 117, 118; mingled with fire (of judgment ), ii. 33; relation of, to Red Sea, ii. 34.
resurrection from, due to deliberate change of text in $20^{13}$; where for "sea" read "treasuries," lv, ii. 194198.
otherwise only wicked souls rise in General Resurrection, ii. 442 n.
to be abolished, influence of Babylonian myth of watermonster, opponent of gods, ii. 204, 205.

Seafolk, dirge of, ii. 105-107.
Seal, wrongly interpreted of Baptism, i. 197.

Seal, Seventh, i. 223.
Sealed, proleptic vision of the 144,000, identical with the same number triumphant on Mount Zion in chap. xiv., 1. 199, 201, ii. $5,6$.
in original context $=$ all the faith ful, but in present context = the great multitude of martyrs, before Millennial Kingdom, i. 201, 202.
Sealing of faithful, a vision of, Irv. -
meaning of, in original tradition $=$ preservation from physical evil and death, i. 194, 195.
in Apocalypse a security, not against spiritual apostasy, but against demonic trials, lxıxv \#., i. 89, 90, 195, 196, $205,206,206 \mathrm{n}$.
$=$ outward manifestation of character, i. 206, 206 n.

Sealing, interpreted of Baptism, and of Sign of Cross, i. 197, 198.
meaning of practice in ethnic religions, i. 198 n .
Seals, the Seven, significance of, i. 137.
the First Six, preliminary signs of End, i. 153-183
relation of, to Messianic woes in Gospels, i. 157-161; but unlike those in Gospels, do not usher in End, 154 n.
1st four =social cataclysms, war, international strife, famine and pestulence; 5th, persecution ; 6th, cosmic cataclysms, i. 158.
varying interpretations of-Con-temporary-Historical, etc., i. 155-160.

Seer. See John, Psychic Experiences.
Semitic original of chap. xil., i. 303305 ; chap. xiil., i. 334-338; chap. xvii., ii. 61-62; chap. xviii., ii. 91.

Seraphim, i. 125, 126. See Cherubim.
Serpent. See Dragon.
Servants of God=martyrs, ii. 124, 125.
$=$ prophets, i. 6, 266.
Service, spiritual, but not priestly, of martyrs, i. 214, 215.
Seven, a sacred number to John, 1xxxix $\pi_{\text {., }}$ i. 8, 9, 25.
Seven Candlesticks $=$ Seven Churches, i. 2, 35, 37. See Candlestick.
Churches, reason for choice of particular churches unknown, i. 8 ; probably because on great circular road, and so convenient postal centres, i. 24, 25.
identified with Seven Candlesticks, i. 35, 37.
typical in circumstances of Church as whole, i. 37.
Letters to, written earlier than main part of work, i. 37; as survival expected to Second Advent and no reference (except $3^{30}$ ) to world-wide persecution, i. 43, 44
Heads. See Heads.
Horns. See Horns.

Seven Lamps of Fire $=$ Seven spirits, i. 117.
related originally to seven planets, i. 117 .

Letters, originally addressed to Seven Churches of Asia under Vespasian, lexxix ; in final form of work addressed to all churches of Christendom, lexxix $n$., xc $n$.
Plagues. Sce Bowls.
Seals. Sec Seals.
Spirits, represented by Seven Lamps, i. 117; and Seven Eyes, i. 141-142.
interpolated in $I^{4}$, i. 9 .
wrongly interpreted of Holy Spint's sevenfold energies, i. 11.
$=$ Seven Archangels, i. 11-13, or seven angelic beings possibly related to angels of Seven Churches, i. 12, 13.
Stars, relation of, to Seven Spirits, i. 12, 13 .
to angels or heavenly ideals of Seven Churches, i. 2, 12, 13, 30, 34, 35. See Stars.
Trumpets. See Trumpet blasts.
Shekinah, to abide upon martyrs, i. 215, ii. $406 n$.
a periphrasis for Divine name, face, glory, hard to render in English, 1i. 205-207, 444 \%.
Sheol, nature of, i. 32 . 1,240 \%., ii. 195 \%., 197. Sea Hades, Punushment.
Shipmaster, ii. 105.
Sugn=a heavenly marvel, i. 314.
$=$ a miracle wrought by Antichrist, i. 314.
of cross, i. 197.
of Son of Man, relation of, to seal, Ixxi.
Silence, in heaven-for prayers of saints to be heard, i. 218, 223, 224.
Sin, loosing from, i. 15, 16. See Redemption.
Singer, meaning of term, ii. rog, 110.

Slave trade, ii. 104, 105.
Smyrna, description of, Christians? poverty there, and Jews' bitter hostulity, i. 56, 57.
Solecisms. See Apocalypse, Grammar of.

Son of Man, apparently equal or inferior to angel in interpolation, $14^{18-17}$, hiii, lvi.
combines attrilutes of Ancient of Day, and of Son of Man (Dan 7) and of nameless angel (Dan 10), i. 2, 28, 29.
" one like to a son of man," a Messianic designation of one not human but superhuman, i. 27, ii. 19.
judgment by, proleptically described in Vintaging of Earth, ii. 423, $424 n$.
fudgment by, on heathen nations, ii. 18-26.
title, origin of, in Daniel, s Emock, the Gospels, 4 Exra, ii. 19, 20.
titles of, i. 2, 31, etc. See Christ.
vision of, i. 2, 27-31.
See Messiah.
Song, New, i. 146.
Song of Lamb, ii. 34-36. See Moses, Song of.
Sorcery, a charge against Rome, ii. 112.

Souls, of wicked, disembodied, ii. 193, 194. See Disembodied.
"treasuries" or chambers of (for righteous). Soe Treasuries.
under altar, of martyrs, because already sacrificed thereon, i. 172, 173, 229, 230.
a heavenly sacrifice, with atoning power, i. 173, 174, $173 n$.
Sources, Ixii-lxv. See Apocalypse, Materials used in.
Speculum $=M$, reprinted from Mai, contains same text as gig., ii. 452-455.

Spirit, use of word in Apocalypse.
$=$ life, i. 179. Cf. i. 290.
$=$ personalized beings, men, angels, demons, ii. 179.
=Spirit of Christ, virtualy $=$ Christ, ii. 179; cf. i. 53.
siver of prophecy, i. 53 .
Holy, not represented by the Seven Spirits of $1^{4}$, i. 11.
of prophecy, ? marginal glose, meaning uncertain, ii. 130 , 131.
to fall into or find oneself in $=$ to fall into trance, i. 21.

Spirits, of the prophets, meaning of phrase uncertain, hardly $=$ various angels sent to instruct them, rather = prophets' own spirits, ii. 218.
three unclean, muster nations agaunst Christ, ii. 427 n.
Spiritual body-given to martyrs at death, i. 176, 184-188, = white robes of glory, $\mathrm{i}_{\text {. }}$ 185.

St. Paul's teaching as to, i. 185, 186.
in a sense present possession of fathful, liable to defilement, capable of being cleansed, $\mathbf{i}$. 187, 188.
Star ; symbolizes angel, i. 13, 239.
Star that fell from heaven and embittered waters, i. 235, 236.
that fell from heaven and received key of abyss, i. 238, 239.
Star-deities, relation of, to Archangels, i. 11, 12, 13 .
to Twenty-four Elders, i. 130, 131. See Morning Star.

Stars, $=$ heavenly ideals, $1.2,34,35$; relation of, to angels, i. 12, 13.

Stars drawn to earth by Dragon = Satan's angels, i. 319, 320.
State, conficting claims of Christianity and of, xcv, ciii, i. 44 .
"Stone," in $15^{\text {b }}$; corrupt for "Linen," ii. 38,39 .

Stone, White, 1. signifying acquittal.
2. admitting to free entertainment,
3. precious stones that fell with Manna,
4. precious stones on high priest's breastplate,
5. a mark of felicity,
6. an amulet,
7. refers to martyr himself, and transcendent powers given to him, i. 66, 67.
Stones, precious, in walls of Holy Jerusalem, ii. 164-170; connected with Zodiacal signs, ii. 167, 168.
Summary of work, $\mathbf{x x v}$-xrviii.
Sun and moon. See Luminaries.
Sun-goddess. See Woman with Child.
Supper or common meal, a symbol of life to come in its perfect
fellowship with God and Messiah, i. 10I. See Marriage.
Sword, of mouth, symbol of judicial euthority, i. $30,65$.
inctrument of war, ii. 136.
symbolizes civil and international strife, i. 165 , ii. 402 m.
Symbolism, use of, cvi-cvii. See Psychic Experiences.
Symbols in Greek Text, ii. 235.
Synagogue, use of word abandoned by Christians, i. 57, 58.

Tabernacle. See Shekinah;-of Testimony. See Temple.
Temple of God in $3^{29}=$ God Himself, faithful to be pillars therem, i. 90, 91;
in $11^{1}$ originally, literal temple, but in present context $=$ spiritual temple composed of all the faithful, i . 274, 276; while "outer court" = body of unbelievers, given over to spiritual assaults of Antichrist, etc., i. 274, 278. See Measure.
of Tabernacle of Testimony, obviously corrupt, explanation unsatisfactory, ii. 37, 38 .
in heaven, altar and ark in (and throne?), i. 111, 112 ; for a time inaccessible (to prayer) till Seven Bowls emptied, ii. 39, 40.
no, in Holy Jerusalem, as God the Temple thereof, ii. 170.
Temptation $=$ trial or testing by persecution, i. 58, 90.
$=$ demonic attack on unbelievers, i . 58, 90.
Ten days $=$ short period, of tribulation, i. 44 r., $5^{8}$.
Tenses, Greek, how to be rendered, cxxiii-cxyvi.
Testaments of Twelve Patriarchs, related to Book of Jubilees, xyxiii.
Testimony of Jesus $=$ testimony borne by Jesus, i. 174.
of Jesua Christ=truth witnessed to by Christ, i. 1, 6, 7.
$=$ witness of John to Christ, i. 21.
Testimony of Jesus Christ, witness to jesus = spirit of prophecy, ii. 130.

Text, Greek, of Apocalypse-Manuscripts of, ii. 227-232; their critical value, clx-clxvi, clxxi-clxxvi
Abbreviations and Symbols in, ii. 235 .

Itacisms in, ii. 235.
Versions of, ii. 234 ; their critical value, clxvi-clxxi, clxxviii-clexxuii, ii. 453-455.
Thanksgiving in chap. xix. by Angels first, then Elders and Cherubim, and, after that, great multitude of martyrs, ii, 117, 118, 120.
Theodotion's version, based on carlier version of sinular type, lxvi n., Ixvill-lxxxii and notes.
Three and a half years. See Reign.
Throne, God's, Lamb to share (cf. Son of Man in I Eroch), ii. 175, 176, 209.
Christ's martyrs to share, in Millennal Kingdom, i. IOI, 102, 129, i. 153 ; and for ever, ii. 153, 211.
of God, in heaven, references to in other hiterature, i. 111.
position of, ? in Temple, i. 112.
Great White, of Final Judgment, ii. 191, 192.
scenery of, rambow, etc., i. 114, 115 ; lightnings and thunders, tii, 116, 117; Seven Lamps of fire, 117; Twenty-four Elders, 115, 116 ; Four Cherubim, 1 . 118, 119 (in Daniel, etc., also fiery streams under, i. 120).
voice from, not of God, but of Elder or Cherub, ii. 124.
Thunder and lightning, clix, i. II6.
Thunders, Seven, revelation of, to be sealed and not written, $i$. $261,262$.
Thyatira, a city notable for trade and craft guilds, i. 68.
compromise with heathenism at, $i$. 69, 70, 72.
Time no longer, = delay no longer $\left(10^{7}\right)$, i. 263, $264 n$.
Time, times and half a time, origin of phrase, i. 330.
Titles of Christ, connection of, with messages to individual churches, i. 25-2y, not always clear, i. 45, 46, 48.

Trade-guilds, importance of, at Thyatira, i. 68-70; their common meals and the problem of food sacrificed to idols, i. 69, 70, 72.
Traditional material, cviii. Ses Origing. Trance. See Spirit.
Translation of Spirit, and of body, i. IIO, III. Ses Heaven, Psychic Experiences, Vision.
"Treasuries" in $20^{13}$ deliberately altered to "sea," iii. 194-199; souls of righteous guarded and restung in, lv, cxvi, ii. 196, 197.
Tree of Life, symbol of immortality, i. 54,55 .
in Paradise, $i . e$, in Holy Jerusalem, i. 54,55 .
personal victory over evil alone entitles to, $i$. 54 ; not so with Water of Life, which is free, i. 55. See Water of Life.
in Millennial Kıngdom, ii. 146.
description of, in $22^{2}$ based on Ezekiel, ii. 176, 177.
Tribes, Twelve, order of, in list, i . 193, 194, 206-209. See Dan.
Tribulation, necessarily preceding Millennial Kingdom, i. 21, 90.
to affect only faithless and heathen, i. go.
the Great, of martyrs, chiefly manifested in Satanic activity on earth, only secondarily in social and cosmic evils, i. 213.
See Ten Days.
Trinity, doctrine of, not asserted by,

Trisagion, derived from Isaiah, i. 127.
True, not = genuine, but "true to one's word," i. 85, 86.
Trumpet blasts, heptadic structure secondary, not original, $i$. 218, 219; first four colourless, with weak repetitions modelled on first four Bowls, 220; different from last three in diction and style, 220, 221.
frrat four (interpolated) -i earth scorched, It sea turned to blood, $\ddagger$ fresh waters embittered, $\frac{1}{}$ luminarics obscured, $\frac{1}{233-237 .}$

Trumpet blasts, three last ( $=$ Three Demonic Woes), ( 1 l locusts, i. 242-247; (2) horsemen and steeds, kill ot men, i . 247-254 (origin of this idea, i. 253, 254); (3) casting down of Satan to earth and setting up of Antichrist's kingdom thereon, i. 292.

Unbelieving $=$ faithless, ii. 216.
Uncial texts. See Apocalypse, Taxt of.

Vengeance, prayer for, i. 175, 176.
Versions. See Apocalypse, Taxt of.
Vespasian. See Apocalypse, Date of.
Victor, reward of, ii. 215. See "Overcoming."
Vintaging of earth (Judgment), assigned by Interpolator to nameless angel, but originally belonged to Son of Man, lii, liin, ii. 18, 19, 23. 423, 424 (same judgment assigned to Christ by John in 19 ${ }^{11-21}$, lvi, ii. 136, 137).
to take place outside Jerusalem, not Rome, in. 25.
Vision, new, formula of = "after this I saw and behold," cix, i. 106.
-on earth, chaps. $1-3,10,11^{1-25}$ $12,13-14^{11} 17-18{ }^{1} 19^{11}$ to end.
in heaven, chaps. 4-8 $11^{150-18} 14^{14}$. $18-2015,16,19^{1-10}$, i. 109.
Visions. See Psychic Experiences.
Vologases, Parthan Victory of, over Romans in 62 A.D., i. 155, 163.

War, woe of First Seal, i. 163, 164. See Seals.
in heaven. Sec Heaven.
Watchfulness, duty of, enjoined on Sardis, i. 79, 80, 81.
Water of Life, a free gift, i. 55.
$=$ divine graces of forgiveness, truth and light, i. 55 .
reward of martyrs, i. 216, 21\%. Ses Manna, River.
White, significance of colour, i. 67, 165, 210, ii. 192, 193. Ses Horse, Garments, Stone.
head and hair of Son of Man, i. 28.

Wicked, final punishment of, in Lake of Fire, cxvi. See Punishment.
Winds, destructive, plague of, 191 m. 192, $193 \%$.
angels of, bold them in check, $i$. 192 n., 204.
Wine, symbol of intoxicating and corrupting power of Rome, ii. 14.
"unmixed," symbol of concentrated or sheer judgments of God, ii. 16, 17, 136, 137 (possibly render "fermenting ").
and oil, no shortage of, i. 167, 168 ; law against damaging vines and olive trees, ii. 402 n.
Witnesses, Two, abruptly introduced in $11^{8}, \mathrm{i} .25^{8} n$.
$=$ Moses and Elijah, not Enoch and Elijah, appear in Jerusalem as preachers of repentance, i. 280-282.
Zoroastrian parallel not analogous, 282 n.
identified with Two Olive Trees, etc, of Zechariah, $4^{2-14}$, i . 282-284.
Woes, Messianic. See Seals.
Woman with child =originally Jewish Community, which was to bring forth Messiah, while Dragon = Antichrist, i. 310
fleeing to wilderness, referred originally to Christians or Jews escaping from Jerusalem before 70 A.D., in present context to Christian exodus therefrom, while "rest of seed" refers not to Jews who stayed in Jerusalem, but Gentile Christians throughout Empire, i. 332.
Woman, Scarlet. See Harlot.
Word of God. See Messiah.

Word of God=Apocalypso, i. 1, 6, 7 ; Ixpreaching of Gospel by John, i. 21, 22.
$=$ martyrs' testimony to Jesur, i. 329.

Words, order of, clvi-clix, etc.
Works, doctrine of-necessary, imply freewill, $=$ moral character as manifested: symbolized by fine linen in an interpolation, cav, ii. 127-128.
following martyred saints, Zoroustrian parallel ideas and Jewish parallels, i. 371 ; but in Apoc. the works are not separate, reserved in heaven, but accompany person, therefore $=$ manifestation of inner life and character, $i$. 370-373.
judgment by, ii. 221.
World, created because of God's will, i. 134.

World-Redeemer tradition, international at early date, i. 313.
World-wide Evangelization, ii. 148, 149, 154, 155.
Worship, two senses in which word used, cxil, i. $211,212$.
Wrath, of God-i. 296, 1i. 14, 16, 24, 31, 39, 52, 96, 137.
of Lamb, i. 182, 183.
Zeal, importance of, in Christian character, i. 100.
Zealot, prophecy of inviolability of Temple due to a, i. 278.
Zend origin of Dragon-myth, i. 307, 308, 311,312 . See Origins.
Zion, Mount, association of, with security and deliverance, ii. 4.

Messiah to appear on-also a Jewish expectation, ii. 5.
Zodiac, signs of, 1. 315, 316; connection of, with Cherubim, i. 122, 123. See Stones.
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[Now Ready.
philosophy of religion. By Geozge Galloway, D.D., Minister of United Free Church, Castle Douglas, Scotland.
[Now Ready.
history of religions. I. China, Japan, Egypt, Babylonia, Assyria, India, Persia, Greece, Rome. By George F. Moore, D.D., LL.D., Professor in Harvard University.

By George F. Moore, D.D., LL.D., Pro- histony of religions. MI. Judaism, Christianity, Mohammedanism. By Gigozer F. Moore, D.D., LL.D., Professor in Harvard University.
[Now Ready.
APOLOGETIC8. By A, B. Brucr, D.D., sometime Professor of New Testament Exegesis, Free Church College, Glasgow. [Revised and Enlarged Edition.

## The Intrrvational Theooogical Lmbary

THE CHRISTIAN DOCTRINE OF GOD. ByWaunaN. Claret, D.D., sometime Professor of Systematic Theology, Hamilton Theological Seminary.
the doctaine of man. By Whuna P. Patzeson, D.D., Professor of Divinity, University of Edinburgh.

THE DOCTRINE OF THE PERSON OF JESUS CHRIST, By H. R. Macrinrose, Ph.D., D.D., Proiessor of Theology, New College, Edinhurgh. [Now Ready.
the chaistian doctrine of salvatión. By George B. Stevins, D.D., sometime Professor of Systematic Theology, Yale University.
[Now Redy.
the doctrine of the christian life. By Whlam adays Brown, D.D., Professor of Systematic Theology, Union Theological Seminary, New York.

Christian ethics. By Newhan Suyth, D.D., Pastor of Congregational Church, New Haven,
[Revised and Enlarged Edition.
THE CHRIStIAN PASTOR AND THE WORKING CHURCH. By Wasmington Gladden, D D., somelime Pastor of Congregational Church, Columbus, Ohio.
[Now Redy.
the christian preacher. By A. E. Garvie, D.D., Principal of New College, London, England.
history of christian missions. By Cbarles Henry Robnson, D.D., Hon. Canon of Ripon Cathedral and Editornal Secretary of the Society for the Propagation of the Gospel in Foreign Parts.
[Now Ready.


[^0]:     normal rendering of it in the LXX. In a few cases in the LXX of Jeremiah
     definite locsities it occurs more frequently: cf. Dan. ix. 7, where we have
     on this phrase in xiii., Introd. 84.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ We have seen that the first four Trumpets are an interpolation in the text (see p. 219 sqq ).

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ If, as we shall seek to prove, part of xvii. I has come from a source; then
     author; for the phrase is clearly derived from Jer. li. (xxviii.) 13, and since
    

[^3]:    кaraonyyourgs (as in the LXX) would be the natural rendering. But our author could not use this latter participle, since it is reserved by him for
    
    
    ${ }^{1}$ Since this verse has been recast by our author, this form of this phrase instead of that in xvii. 2 may be due to him.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ I have thus on largely independent grounds arrived at the same conclustons as Wellhausen (Aralyse, 26-29) on the onginal wources of this chapter.

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ The Beast is the supporter of the woman, i.e. Rome (xvii. 3), in A, whereas in $B$ the Beast is the destroyer of Rome, ivii. 12, 16.

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ On the order of the numerals see note on viin. 2. When the gloss $\mathrm{bpm}_{\mathrm{p}}$
     after $\beta$ acticís

    2 Another explanation of this prophecy is that the writer of this source knew of the hopeless condition of Titus' health: cf. Suetonius, Titus, 7 ; Dio Can ins, lxvi. 26. 2; Plutarch, De twenda samitate praecepta, c. 3, p. 123 D (quoted from Bousset). Another is that there was a traditunal view that the empire must have seven emperors before ats destruction. As the sixth was now living, the Seer necessarily predicts a seventh.

[^7]:    ${ }^{1}$ This is the form that Belial takes in Jubilees, Testaments XII Patriarchs, the Sibylline Oracles, Martyrdom of Isaiah.

[^8]:    ${ }^{1}$ Here the words " its neck and wings" have been transposed from line 2. The transmitted text runs :
    "And thou shalt go up against (i.e. עתליn) the necks and wings of the eagle,
    And they shall be destroyed "
     rendered "delebuntur" here). We have here an carly form of the Eagle Vision such as we find in 4 Erra xi,

[^9]:    ${ }^{1}$ This is the second Beast in xiii. -the false prophet.
    2 The measures described in xiii. 16-17,

[^10]:    ${ }^{1}$ Thus we have фu入axt in xviii．2：$\sigma$ тpripous in xviii． 3 （cf．otpprcdu in
    
    
    
    
     бa入т $\sigma T \omega ิ y$ in 22.

[^11]:    ${ }^{1}$ Thus the syntax is carefully observed as regards gender and number. Even $\lambda$ efoy ( $\lambda$ '- $\gamma o y r e s$, etc.) always agrees with the noun on which it depends; contrast our author's use : i. II, iv. i, v. 12, 13, ix. 14, x. 8 (bis), xi. i, i5, xiv. 7, xix. $1,6$.

[^12]:    ${ }^{1}$ On a variety of grounds Sabatier, Rauch, Spitta, Weyland, Bousset,

[^13]:    ${ }^{1}$ It is important to observe that ropфupoiv used as a noun seems to occur only in xvii. 4 and rvini. 16, that kbrkeyov is used as a noun in the sing. in xvii. $4^{\text {b }}$, xviii. 12, 16 -a most rare use, though it is found in the LXX and elsewhere as a noun in the plural. See \& 2.5 above.
    ${ }^{2}$ The order of the words in xviii. while in the main Semitic, is not as decidedly so as in xvii. $\mathbf{I}^{\circ}-2,3^{\mathrm{b}}-7,18,8-10$. The latter has been thoroughly revised by our author.

[^14]:    ${ }^{1}$ Observe that in Eccles. ii. 8 is rendered by the LXX cuil dंסovías, and not by mavaıkois kal Movauds, which would mean "male and female minstrels."

[^15]:    ${ }^{2} 8^{\mathrm{b}}$ is a gloss and $9^{14}-10$ an interpolation (see notes $2 n$ loc.), which may have displaced a vision of the destruction of the Parthian kings and their hosts by the Lamb and the glorified martyrs. See 8 4, ad fimm.

[^16]:    ${ }^{1}$ A necessary emendation. The corruption in the text arose from the present disorder, and the influence of xxin. 5, "and there shall be no more night," where this clause is wholly justifiable.

[^17]:    ${ }^{1}$ Bor, it is true, regards vii. 26, which tells of the manifestation of the Heavenly Jerusalem, as an interpolation; but the evidence of our text and later Judaism supports the connection of the Messiah and the Holy City.

[^18]:    ${ }^{1}$ A comewhat analogous expectation is found in 1 Cor. xy. 23-28, where we have an account of the Messianic Kingdom. This kingdom is heralded by the resurrection of Christ : it is apparently establishtd on Christ's (second) Advent with the risen righteous (23). Then follows the reign of Christ, in the course of which every evil power is overthrown ( $24^{\mathrm{b}-28}$ ). Then comes the end (the general resurrection, final judgment, the destruction of the old world and the creation of the new).

[^19]:    ${ }^{2}$ We might compare 2 Corinthians, which is now recognized by the learned world as consisting of two mutilated Epistles of St. Paul edited together as one, the last four chapters belonging to the earlier Epistle. In Cicero's letters Professor Purser shows that in several cases exactly the same phenomenon may be found.

[^20]:    ${ }^{1}$ The Babylonans were already familiar with the idea of heavenly gates; see Zimmern, $K A T^{\text {s }}$, p. 619 .

[^21]:    ${ }^{1}$ In Phny's $\boldsymbol{H} . \boldsymbol{N}$. xxxiii. the definite number twelve is connected with precious stones.

[^22]:    ${ }^{1}$ The identification of the Greek with the Hebrew names for these precious stones is in several cases purely hypothetical. This is in part due to the confusion of the order in the Massoretic. Although the same order in the four rows on the high priest's breastplate is given in Ex. xxvii. 17-20 and xxxix. 10-13 both in the Massoretic and the LXX respectively, yet the LXX implies a transposition of amp and abr. But the confusion is further aggravated by the two accounts in Josephus, Ant. iiii. 7. 5 and Bell. v. 5, 7, which differ from each other as to the order of the stones in the third and fourth rows, and while the first account gives for the first stone in the first row oaposyuk, the second gives odpoıov. Since Josephus states (Ant. iii. 7. 5) that the names of the Twelve Tribes were engraven on the stones, each stone having the honour of a name in the order in which they were born, this confuston is all the more disturbing.

[^23]:    ${ }^{1}$ The ark was at all events a symbol of the divine presence. To the popular mind, however, it was more; it was conceived as the actual abode of Jahveh : cf. Num. x. 35, 36 ; 2 Sam. xv. 25.

[^24]:    doctrine has no part in the Pauline Epistles, Hebrews, or in the Fourth Evangelist, nor does it find any countenance in our author, though it was enforced by many of the Fathers in the Christian Church from the 3rd century onward in opposition to Gnosticism, and other more legitimate doctrines within the Church on this question.

[^25]:    ${ }^{1}$ Here a couplet has been displaced and another interpolated to introduce the idea of a physical resurrection.
    32. "And the earth shall restore those that sleep in her,
    b. And the dust those that are at rest therein."

    Seeing that the new world has already displaced the old in 31 this couplet is wholly at variance with the context. Its aim is to assert the resurrection of the body, to rejom the souls which come forth from the treasuries, 32". But since the treasuries are prepared for righteous souls only (cf iv. 35, vii. 80, 95; 2 Bar. xxi. 23, xxx. 2; 1 Enoch c. 5) the text would then teach only a resurrection of the righteous. But every couplet that follows proves that we have here a general resurrection. The lost couplet clearly spoke of Sheol giving up the souls of the wicked for judgment, as the treasuries in $3^{\circ}$ yield up the souls of the righteous.

    The Syriac here interpolates "And then cometh the end" against all the other versions.
    "The Syriac adds "And pity shall be afar off" against the Latin and the first Arabic versions. The Syr. is supported by the Eth. and Arab². The line appears to be a doublet.

[^26]:    ${ }^{1}$ autov though occurring very frequently in our author is never elsewhere found in this unemphatic position in our text; nor is aưof. aữ ins is found once in xviii. 5, but there in a source used by our author. oov is found several times in this position : see ii. 2, 19, notes. This unemphatic use of the genitive of aürbs, though very frequent in the Fourth Gospel, does not belong to our author.

[^27]:    ${ }^{2}$ In Num. vi. 25 we have by (LXX eTrl), in Ps. Exxi. 17 ; Dan. ix. 17 b4, and $\mp$ in Ps. ciix. 135 , and $n$ ng in Ps. lxvi. 2. In all cases the LXX renders by twh. But the LXX does not use pwrlfecy in these passages but iтıфаlven.

[^28]:    1 This idea of an angelic mediator is found already in Job $v .1$, xxxiii. $\mathbf{2 3}$ sq. ; Zech. i. 12.

[^29]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. Asc. Isa. ix. 23, " Iste est magnus angelus Michael deprecans semper pro humanitate."
    $\$$ The four chief angels are spoken of as intercessors in I Enoch in. 1, 3. the Watchers in $x \mathrm{~V} .2$.

[^30]:    ${ }^{1}$ The photographic facsimile edited by Professor Lake for the Clarendon Press has been used for this edition.
    *The photographic facsimile edited by Sir Frederic G. Kenyon (rgog) has been used for this edition.
    a Gregory's enumeration of the MSS is adopted in this edition, but for the convenience of those who use Von Soden's text I have added the latter's enumeration.

[^31]:    ${ }^{1}$ In his list of MSS of the Apocalypse on pp. 360-361 there are six omissions and two or more wrong insertions. Von Soden (Schriften des NT. 1. 1. 289) reckons the number of Cursives as 222. Thus with the seven Uncials there are altogether $23^{\circ}$ (or 229) Greek MSS of the Apocalypse.

[^32]:    ${ }^{1}$ The text adds what was originally a marginal gloss, "as mow"; see vol. i. 28.

    2 Not "shineth," which is neither good English nor a rendering of the text. The text here contains a Hebrew idiom; see vol i. p. 3 I.
    ${ }^{3}$ This clause belongs to this line, not to the preceding; see vol. i . 15, 31.

[^33]:    ${ }^{1}$ A gloss adds, "except thou repent"; see vol. i. p. 51.
     all who claim to be Jews. The limitation is defined further in "and are not, but are a synagogue of Satan." But the edx here may be only a sign of the genitive ; see my Grammar in the Introd. to vol. $i$. under ek.
    "Not "and they are not"; see note on ver. 2.

[^34]:    ${ }^{1}$ AC read "my witness, my faithful one"; but i. 5, iij. 14 support the text adopted above.
    "The "thus" is justified by the statement in 14 , while the words "thou too in like manner" involve a comparison with the Church in Ephesus, ii. 3.

    - Though dufficulues may attach to the various explanations of the " white stone," that of the new name is clear. The name stands for the man and all therein implied-his personaliky. For him that overcometh this personality is so transformed, developed and enriched that it is in effect a new personality, which none knoweth save God and the man himself.

[^35]:    ${ }^{1}$ I have taken these participles as finite verbs, a construction occarionally occurring in our author and in Hebrew, and very frequently in Aramaic. If rendered as participles the sense is not 30 good: "Behold, a door opened in heaven, and the former voice, as of a trumpet speaking with me, saying."
    ${ }^{2}$ i.e., that in i, 10.
    ' On the high probability that part of this chapter was written at an earlier date by our author and subsequently mecorporated by him when he edited the complete work, see vol. i. 104 sq., 3 .

[^36]:    ${ }^{1}$ Here the Lamb stands between the inner circle of the Cherubim and the outer circle of the Elders. Thus implies a Hebraism in the text. See vol. i. 140. Otherwise render: "in the midst of the throne and the four living creatures and in the midst of the elders."
    ${ }^{\text {B }}$ The perfect is here an aorist perfect : the RV. renders "taketh"; cf. viii. 5. See Introd. vol. i Gram. $\frac{8}{4} 4$ v.
    "The MSS add a gloss here : "which are the prayers of the saints." The prayers and the incense were not identical ; see vol. i. 145 .
    "The text has "sing"; but this can represent the Hebrew imperfect in our suthor's mind.
    ${ }^{5}$ In the vision the Seer sees the saints already reiguing in the Millennial Kingdom. Otherwise the verb is to be given a future sense as a Hebraism.

    The MSS add a gloss here: "And the living creatures and the elders." See vol. i. 148.

[^37]:    ${ }^{1}$ The text here is without the article. In ix. 4, where it recurs, it has the art.
    ${ }^{2}$ The participle here is to be rendered as a finite verb. See Introd. Gram, 84 ii. (b).
    : $5^{\circ}-6$ have been restored to their original order, in which the sons of Leah are followed by those of Rachel, and these in tum first by the sons of Leah's bandmaid and then by Rachel'm See vol. i. p. 207.

[^38]:    ${ }^{2}$ The text is hopelessly corrupt. There is no connection between the destruction of one-third part of the sun and the reduction of the lemgth of the day hy one-third. The corruption may have arisen in the Hebrew The Bohairic gives what wes apparently the original sense: "the third part of them did not shine by day, nor ikewise by night." Cf. eth. I here withdraw the note in vol. i. 237 unless so far as it traces the error to the Semitic original.

    2 "Fifth," owing to the interpolation of the four plagues in viii. 7-12.

[^39]:    ${ }^{1}$ In my Commentary (vol. i. 222, 243) and Text I have treated this line as a gloss for the reason given in vol. i .222 ; but it may be original.

    2 The tezt reads "fleeth," which seems to be a Hebraism: $8, e_{1}=$ Hebrew imperfect in the mind of the writer. See vol. 1. Introd. Gram. \%4. i. (a).
    "Text adds a gloss: "And in the Greek (tongue) he hath the name Apollyon." See vol. i. 245 sq.

[^40]:    ${ }^{1}$ The word is here $\epsilon \mathrm{k}$.
    ${ }^{2}$ That $\alpha$ a ${ }^{\circ} \delta \mathbf{e s}$ abirot has this meaning here is shown in vol. i. 259.

[^41]:    ${ }^{1}$ Text reads "seventh." For this and other changes introduced by 20 them early editor, see vol. i. 219 sqq .
    ggainst
    1 The Greek is solecistic, and the solecism appears to go back to the Seer demonic
    4 "And bade" is here a rendering of $\lambda t \gamma \omega y$, followed by an inf., as in powers, xiii. 14.

    - The Greek is $8360 \eta \mu 0 c \lambda<\gamma \omega y$, which must be rendered as if it were
     i. 274

[^42]:    ${ }^{1}$ Vers, 3-13 are a prophecy rather than a vision. The presents in 4-6, $9-10$, are equivalent to futures. The past verbs in $11-13$ represeat Hebrew perfects (or in some cases probably the imperfects with vav conpersive); but these perfects vividly represent the prophetic future.

    2The text adds a gloss here which is based on 5" and xili. 10: "and if any will hurt them, in this manner must he be killed"; see vol. i. 284
    ${ }^{1}$ i.c. Palestine ; see vol. i. 289.

[^43]:    ${ }^{1}$ Text reads "seventh"; see vol. i. 218 sqq. Each Woe is henalded by of God's a trumpet blast. Before the interpolation of viii, $\mathbf{7 - 1 2}$ there were only three servants

[^44]:    ${ }^{1}$ I have restored this line immediately after $18^{\circ}$; $\mathrm{cf} \mathbf{x x}$. 12. Otherwise read line $g$ immediately after line $h$ (And the time) to destroy them that destroy the earth, The small and the great. "The great" would be Satan and the two Beasts: "the small" would be their adherents.

[^45]:    ${ }^{1}$ See note in vol. i. 330 ad fm .
    1 xii. 14-16, which was written originally of the Church before 70 A.D., do not admit of any intelligible reinterpretation in their present context, which relates to 95 A.D. This passage, like many others, would have undergone revision had Jokn had hir opportunity ; ree vol. i 299, if ad fin., 332.

[^46]:    rotiñac, and be parallel to doûvac that precedes. But here by a common Hebrew idiom the infinitive passes into a finite verb. Thus ral $\bar{\varepsilon} \delta \delta \theta \eta$ aúr $\hat{\eta}$ סoûral . . . кal motion is a literal rendering of vyni . . . . case the imperial priesthood produce a speaking umage, and cause all that do not worship at to be put to death. If we do not accept the Hebraism, then it is the image that causes the death of the faithful. But the context seems to be against this interpetation, and certainly the verse that follows is. It is the second beast in xuii. 16 that causes all to receive the mark of the beast, and historically, as we know from Pliny, etc., it was the priesthood or official classes that did so-not the images.
    ${ }^{1}$ Lit. "that they should give them."
    ${ }^{2}$ It is possible that ver. 18 is a later addition.
    i ie. the hardships, laborious toils incident to the life of faith.
    4 i.e. not "follow after them"; see vol. i. 370. The works are the Christlike character they have achieved ; see vol. i. 372 sq.

[^47]:    1 Here it was most probably John's editor who, wrongly taking drapxt to mean "first fruits," added the following gloss: " 3 ". who were redeemed from the earth. $4^{-b}$. These are they who were not defiled with women; for they are virgins." These clauses exclude from the 144,000 all women; for the clause "who were not defiled with women" cannot be interpreted of women even metaphorically. See vol. ii. 8 sqg.
    ' The redeemed are a sacrifice (drap $\chi$ f $)$ to God, but not to the Lamb. drapx" does not mean "first fruits" here. The mame interpolator who added the clauses given in note (3) has added here "and to the Lemb."

[^48]:    'Lit. "in"

[^49]:    
    ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ To be taken as an acc. after $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\ell} \mu \mathrm{op}$, cf. 3 , and not as in RV.; nor as dependent on txoura, as suggested in my note, vol. ii, 65.
    ${ }^{3}$ So Tyc $\operatorname{Pr} v g$ arm². This forms a perfect parallel to "abominations." $^{2}$ This reading of Tyc Pr vg arm may be the result of conjecture. If so, the corruption could have anssen in the Hebrew source ; see vol. ii. 65.

[^50]:    ${ }^{1}$ See note in loc. $3^{\text {b. a a are circumstantial clauses introduced by kal }}$ (=Hebrew )), which state the conditions under which the action described in $3^{\text {b }}$ takes place. See notes on XII. 15 ${ }^{\text {c }}$, xix. 3, and see Oxford Heb. Lexicon, p. 253. Hence kul="seeng that," "for," The Greek aornsts are
     regarded as 2 thing of the past in these verses. In $3^{2}$ the perfect is rughtly used, since the results of "the wine of fornication" are enduring in the present. But in 4 sqq. the standpoint of the Seer changes. Babylon is stall conceived as in being. The Greek aorists that follow have therefore frequently to be construed by the English perfect.
    ${ }^{3}$ This statement points to a source. The faithful have all suffered martyrdom. See vol. ii, 96 ad fin.
    " rivoos ("mourning") is an idea foreign to the context here and in 8 b .
    
     same corruption is implied by the LXX in Jer. xii. II. Hence for " mourn. ing" read "destruction." This explanation is better than that suggested in vol. ii. 100,
    "Read " destruction," as in $\gamma^{\text {b }}$.
    "First of all the order seems wrong. Almost invariably in the OT. we have "famine and pertilence." Here again "mourning" cannot be right. As in $\eta^{\text {hi }}$ we should read "destruction." Thus we should have "famine, and pestilence, and destruction."

[^51]:    ${ }^{1}{ }^{2} 3^{\prime \prime}$, which is quite impossible in 23 and cannot be satisfactorily restored elsewhere in this chapter, is best explaned as a gloss on 1I". It runs: "For the princes of the earth were thy merchants." In my text and commentary I have inserted it after $11^{2}$.
    'A 2040 vg eth read "stone."
    "The MSS add here: "and horses and chariots and slaves." See vol. ii. 102 for the grounds for the excision of these words.

    4 Ver. 14 is transposed after 21 in this edition. See vol. ii. 105, 108.
    ${ }^{3}$ The text seems corrupt here. Pr bo sa presuppose $\epsilon \pi i \pi \delta \nu$ roy instead of trl romon. Hence render "that saileth on the sea," see vol. ii. 505 sq .

[^52]:    ${ }^{2}$ Text reads corruptly "thy."
    1 i.c. maldod.

    - A perfect with an aorist meaning.

    4 kal to be taken as a Hebraism; see vol. H. 120.

[^53]:    ${ }^{1}$ I have indicated a lacuna here. Where xix, $9^{\text {b }}$-10 stands we should expect a vision relating to the destruction of the Parthian kings-a destruction prophesied in $x$ vii. 14 (see vol. ii. 116 ad fin.) and amplied by the epithet pe9aunévoy in xix. 13 (see vol. it. 133). This vision appears to have been displaced by the interpolation, $9^{\text {b }}-10$. The subjects of all other proleptic visions are rehandled in other visions in their due order. Hence we expect a vision on the destruction of the Parthian kings here in its chronological order.

    2 n o46 alpl and many versions read "called Faithful and True."
    "Here the MSS add an interpolation: "Having a name written which , no man knoweth save he himself." It is an anacolouthon: it forms a break in the thought and is contradicted by what follows; see vol. ii. 132.
    ${ }^{4}$ See note on xii. 5 (translation) ; also vol. i. 75 sq.
    "Text adds: "his raiment and on," see vol. ii. i37.

[^54]:    ${ }^{1}$ Primitive corruption for "any that is unclean or," cf. xxii. I5. Only persons are contemplated as the next line shows.
    ${ }^{2}$ The term is used generically. The text implies that there are two rows of trees, one on either side of the river; see vol. ii. 176.
    "Since the term "bride" designates the Heavenly Jerusalem in our author (cf. xxi. 2, 9), it has no doubt the same meaning here, but the idea of the Christian communtry rather than of the city is hese brought forward; see vol. ii. 179

[^55]:    ${ }^{1}$ Text adds here a marginal gloss: "this is the second death, the lake of fire," drawn from xxı. 8 f ., where the clause is full of meaning ; but it is wholly out of place here with regard to death and Hades.
    ${ }^{2}$ Even the Heavenly City of xxi. I0, which had been withdrawn from the earth before the Judgment with Christ and the saints, is renewed or displaced by one of a higher nalure.
    ${ }^{3}$ Text contains the following intrusion : 50. "And he saith, Write : for these things are farhful and true. $6^{3}$. And he said unto me, They have become." xxi. $5^{\circ}$-doublet of xxii. $6^{n}$-is in this edution restored after xxii 5 and immediately before xxi. $6^{\text {b }}$. See next page. Hence correct note in vol. ii. 203 ad fin. $6^{2}$ is an interpolation. The Seer does not require such an assurance in confirmation of God's own words. Nothing can intervene between the declaration of God, "Behold I make all things new," and the Seer's immediate recognition of their fulfilment : "And I saw a new heaven," cf. Gen. i, 3, "And God said, Let there be light: and there was light." This interpolation, xxi. 6", "And he said unto me, They have become," is an extremely idle one-even with the traditional order of the text; for the Seer needs no such assurance, since ax hypothesi he has in vision already seen the new heaven and the new earth and the New Jerusalem descending on the new Earth, xxi. 1-3. Further in $\mathbf{~ x x i . ~} 5^{\text {b }}$ the words low kaud roû ravra do not refer to an accomplished fact, which the traditional order presupposes. If the Seer had so intended he would have said llow кuubd rerroinca rivra (cf. iii. 8,1800$\rangle(\delta \delta \omega K a)$. These words refer to the present creative act. Hence the new creation, xxi. 1-3, follows after $x x i, 5^{\text {b }}$, and the Seer in the vision sees God's words at once translated into fact. Cf. I Enoch xiv, 22 ràs 入oyos aíroil ipyor.

[^56]:    ${ }^{1}$ This sentence forms the seventh beatitude. There is a certain fitness in the order of the seven. The first (i. 3) declares the blessedness of those who read and keep the prophecy: the second (11i. 3, i.e., xvi. 15) of him who watcheth and keepeth his garments: the third (xiv, 12-13) of those who die in the Lord : the fourth (xix. 9) of those who having so died are anvited to the marriage supper of the Lamb: the fifth (xxil. 14) of those who had washed ther garments that they might have access to the tree of life in the heavenly city: the sixth (xx. 6) of those who have actually part in the first resurrection: the seventh (xxii. 7) of those who keep the words of this Book.
    ${ }^{2}$ The following interpolation is inserted here :
    18b. If any man shall add unto them, God shall add unto him the plagues which are written in this book, 19. And if any man shall take away from the words of the book of this prophecy, God chall take away his part from the tree of life, and out of the holy city, which are written in this book"; see vol, ii, 222-224.

[^57]:    ${ }^{1}$ Hence nez in the source should have been read by the translator as Mry, not as inp.
    ${ }_{2}$ See vol. i. p. cxiii sq.
    In my second edition, p. Ixix, I left the question of the original language of $83-90$ open, though inclining to the view that it was Hebrew. But like 6-36 and Daniel originally it was most probably written first in Aramaic. Later in the and cent. b.c. such books were written in Hebrew.

[^58]:    ${ }^{2}$ The so-called second beatitude, "Blessed are they that mourn: for they shall be comforted," is an intrusion in Matthew's text. V." should follow umediately on v.".

[^59]:    1 For the following transliterations of Hebrew words, see the Greek Index: 'Apadehn,
    

