The Context

Two hundred years ago, in the spring of 1791, the Baptist ministers of Northamptonshire were confronted with a mission vision, the implications of which quite took their breath away. They were all too aware that the times were unpropitious for 'launching out into the deep' - into costly, high-risk enterprises overseas. The nation was in a state of profound unrest and church-leaders, particularly nonconformists, had more than enough to keep them occupied on the home front. Surely, then was a time to preserve church-life rather than to risk meagre resources on fantastic schemes?

The vision was compelling, however; its truth incontrovertible. Thus the Rev. Andrew Fuller of Kettering could not but preach, refusing to allow mere expediency to hold him back. After all, it was Eastertide, the time for rising above doom, gloom and timidity. The local Baptist association fraternal, met at Clipstone on 27th April, consequently witnessed him unburden himself. His text was Haggai 1.2, and the sermon title no less than 'The Instances, The Evil Nature, and the Dangerous Tendency of Delay, in the Concerns of Religion'. In courageous terms he declared what one might only have expected of William Carey at that juncture:

Instead of waiting for the removal of difficulties, we ought, in many cases, to consider them as purposely laid in our way, in order to try the sincerity of our religion... When the Lord Jesus commissioned his apostles, he commanded them to go and teach all nations, to preach the gospel to every creature; and that, notwithstanding the difficulties and oppositions that would lie in the way. The apostles executed their commission with assiduity and fidelity; but, since their days, we seem to sit down half contented that the greater part of the world should still remain in ignorance and idolatry... Are there no opportunities for societies, or individuals in Christian nations, to convey the gospel to the Heathens? This cannot be pleaded, so long as opportunities are found to trade with them, yea, and, (what is a disgrace to the name of Christians,) to buy them, and sell them, and treat them with worse than savage barbarity. We have opportunities in abundance: the improvement of navigation, and the maritime and commercial turn of this country furnish us with these; and it deserves to be considered, whether this is not a circumstance that renders it a duty peculiarly binding on us.

The truth is, if I am not mistaken, we wait for we know not what;... We pray for the conversion and salvation of the world, and yet neglect the ordinary means by which those ends have been used to be accomplished... Ought we not, then, at least try, by some means, to convey more of the good news of salvation to the world around us, than has hitherto been conveyed?
CAREY'S CATALYTIC WATCHWORD

Carey could hardly have put it better. Imagine, then, his consternation when he submitted a practical proposal to begin to implement the vision, only to discover, ironically, that even Fuller was unready for such a step. It would have been enough to make him wonder who, in his denomination at that point in time, really was ready to do something concrete to spread the Gospel among the heathen overseas.

Twelve months were to pass before he was able to take his mission motion any further. In that time, he had his small Enquiry published, on 'the Obligations [sic] of Christians, to use means for the Conversion of the Heathens'. This was ready for sale on the day scheduled for his address to Northamptonshire's Baptist Association at the end of May 1792, in Nottingham's Friar Lane Chapel. Basing his thoughts on Isaiah 54.2-3, the energetic young minister poured his heart out for the sake of Christ's mission, in keeping with the contents of Fuller's notable sermon at Clipstone. Once again the world vision made itself felt in unmistakable terms. That is why Carey's Kettering colleague still hailed the May proclamation as 'a noble sermon' years later. John Ryland, the Northampton Baptist minister of similar theological distinction, agreed, declaring that Carey delivered 'a most impressive discourse' then, even though it so clearly proved 'the criminality of our supineness in the cause of God'.2 And they were right, for nothing less than a grand, God-glorifying, mission-oriented 'theology of hope' was entrusted to the Church that day.

But what did Carey actually say at that juncture in the history of English Midlands' nonconformity? What were the actual headings that he used in his sermon? Popular tradition has it, and virtually all his biographers have repeated it, that

He packed his message into two brief biddings - 'two plain, practical, pungent, quotable watchwords', as Dr Clifford has called them:

'Expect great things from God. Attempt great things for God.'3

Unfortunately, however, we have neither the manuscript nor anyone's notes of that sermon by which to establish the ipsissima verba. We must consequently look for other evidence to check on the accuracy of that mission motto, maxim or galvanising slogan.

The Content

The earliest written reference extant appears to be in a letter, dated 30th August 1793, from Andrew Fuller to the Rev. John Fawcett of Hebden Bridge, Yorkshire. Here the 'evangelical Calvinist' theologian recorded that 'the heads' of Carey's sermon:

... were comprised in two exhortations to his brethren: I. Let us expect great things; II. Let us attempt great things. This was lengthening our cords, and strengthening our stakes. I feel the use of his sermon to this day. Let us pray much, hope much, expect much, labour much; an eternal weight of glory awaits us!4

The next surviving report to surface is to be found in the opening pages of the first issue (1794) of the _Periodical Accounts relative to A Society formed among the Particular Baptists, for Propagating the Gospel among the Heathen [sic]_. Written most probably by the Rev. Samuel Pearce of Birmingham, who was the journal's first editor and a close friend to both Fuller and Carey, it records that: 227
brother Carey preached a very animating discourse from Isa. liv. 2, in which he pressed two things in particular, as expository of ‘lengthening our cords, and strengthening our stakes’, viz. - (1.) That we should expect great things - (2.) That we should attempt great things.

This narrative record is from the earliest official publication of the missionary society founded five months later due to Carey’s representations. In it, we do not find the phrases ‘from God’, ‘of God’, or ‘for God’ being used at all, even though it might well have been more theologically respectable in Calvinistic circles to have included them. The same holds true in the case of the Brief Narrative of the Baptist Mission in India, which was ‘a brief and connected Narrative of the leading facts’ concerning the Bengal mission, based on the pages of the Periodical Accounts. Its earliest editions were written by Fuller. After 1809 it was in the hands of the Rev. Christopher Anderson of Edinburgh. During that period, its first chapter, which covered the mission’s formative phase, never changed. Thus Carey’s six basic words - expect great things; attempt great things - were preserved officially (though not formally) for the first three decades of the society’s history, as follows:

... he [Carey] took up what he conceived to be the spirit of the passage [Isa. liv. 2, 3] in two exhortations, viz. EXPECT GREAT THINGS - ATTEMPT GREAT THINGS. The effect of this discourse was considerable. A resolution was passed, that a plan should be prepared against the next minister’s [sic] meeting at Kettering, for forming a society for propagating the gospel among the heathen...6

The first appearance of any significant divergence in print appeared shortly after Fuller’s death in 1815. The occasion was Ryland’s biography of the great Secretary. This memoir was an edifying narrative which would appeal to the Christian public; its author was not particularly anxious to produce an especially precise record of historical details. Thus he referred to the event of the 1792 sermon in simple terms: this was the means by which ‘Brother Carey’ endeavoured ‘to enforce our obligations [sic] to expect great things from God, and to attempt great things for God’.7 Such a rendering appears to have been a bland paraphrase of Carey’s original dictum. Whether it was deliberate theological embellishment, or a fair attempt to capture the spirit of Carey’s sermon, one cannot tell. There certainly was, nonetheless, adequate precedent among Particular Baptists for anticipating ‘great things’ without explicitly adding the phrase ‘from God’. No less than Fuller had declared in a 1784 sermon:

If we compare the present state of things, or even the past, with the glorious prophecies of the word of God, we cannot think, surely, that all is yet accomplished. By these prophecies, the Christian church is encouraged to look for great things, at some period or other of her existence. She is taught to look for a time when ‘the earth shall be full of the knowledge of the Lord as the waters cover the sea...’. But surely, for the present, through great things, upon the whole, have been done in the world, yet nothing like this has ever come to pass.8

In keeping with this, Ryland himself reminded the Northamptonshire Baptist
gathering at which Carey preached with such effect for the sake of Christ's mission:

God has promised to do *great things* before the end of time, and we know not how soon the happy period will commence. The present age seems pregnant with great events... If the days we hope for are at hand, the question concerning the present race of professors [i.e. believers] is this, Shall we be among those who will be employed in bringing forward the glorious period...?9

For the theological doctor and his ministerial colleagues, the phrase ‘great things’ had distinct, Calvinistic, millennial connotations. That is why he declared to the annual meeting of the Baptist Missionary Society in 1812:

... the zeal of the Lord of hosts must perform all that is achieved in future... *from* the infinite ardour of His zeal, we confidently *expect* to see all the earth enlightened with His glory, all nations submitting to His government, all the tribes of mankind rejoicing in His salvation.10

Accordingly, it does not appear that Ryland was yet under any particular pressure to embellish the first half of Carey’s *dictum* in order to make it appear theologically orthodox. There is no evidence to suggest that he wished to re-write history by asserting that Carey used qualifying phrases in order to make his challenge more unexceptionable, or less susceptible to distortion. The contents of that sermon were sufficient *per se* to disavow any such manipulation of his message.11 Nevertheless, it seems that Ryland, however innocently, was the first person to appear responsible for embellishing Carey’s ‘watchword’.

**Interpretations**

Let us move on to consider what became of Carey’s motto in the remaining twenty years of the pre–Victorian period.

The next public reference to his original sermon-headings was made in another annual meeting of the BMS in London. It subsequently appeared in print. The preacher on that occasion in June 1824 was the Revd Christopher Anderson of Edinburgh (1782–1852), a devoted admirer of Carey, even though they never met or heard each other speak. He was a close friend of Andrew Fuller during the last ten years of the noble secretary’s life (1806–1815) and would have been his successor in leading the BMS if Fuller and the Serampore Trio could have had their way. Not surprisingly, he was well informed of the early history of the Baptist mission to Bengal. Besides that, he was on intimate terms with William Ward and to a certain extent filled the gap in the Serampore triumvirate caused by the latter’s death in 1823.12 His public pronouncement in 1824 is therefore worthy of attention.

It was significant on two counts, as far as this study is concerned. First, because he quoted Carey as summing his 1792 sermon up in only six words, without any references to the Almighty; and second, because he used it to make a special point at a critical stage in the evolution of the BMS’ relationship with Serampore. A third of the way through his sermon on ‘The Christian Spirit which is essential to the triumph of the Kingdom of God’, he pointed out that neither the Christian nor the Christian church should
be satisfied with the most enlarged views. God has set no bounds to human exertion, and there is nothing presumptuous in that language: 'I can do all things through Christ, which strengtheneth me'. Very naturally may you here be reminded of one of your own brethren, who said, 'Expect great things. - Attempt great things'; - but I am not acquainted with any man, or any minister now alive, whose language and conduct have so uniformly explained to us, that neither great expectations are to be indulged, nor great things accomplished, in any other spirit than that of self-annihilation.

Anderson's exposition reflected his own concern and the Serampore Trio's over the course of the BMS' institutional evolution, and its ramifications, in Britain and abroad. He hailed the exemplary modesty and self-effacing demeanour which he believed characterised Carey, inferring that such conduct provided the hermeneutical key for proper interpretation of the motto. Behind this serious observation, one might detect something of William Ward's insight which Marshman highlighted in the March 1823 funeral sermon he preached over him. While on furlough in Britain, his departed colleague had seen

with unspeakable regret that the apparatus of missions threatened to destroy their genuine spirit; that Public meetings, and Societies, and Committees, had so filled the minds even of good men, as to risk the danger of displeasing [the Lord]...; and that men were ready to conclude, that since wise and good men had established Societies, created funds, and formed Committees and Boards of Direction, the heathen must be converted to God almost as a matter of course... 14

Such a warning against dependence on human structures or a tendency to self-confidence was certainly in place; but were the Serampore mission leaders themselves beyond reproach at this point?15 Consideration of the next instance in which Carey's watchword was quoted in print surely justifies the query. It was significant because the six words were cited in the course of a critique in which the Serampore leaders were censured for the way they had applied the 'motto' in the preceding decade. Shortly after the rift occurred between the BMS and Serampore, two of the younger Baptist missionaries who had settled in Calcutta independently of Carey and Marshman published a pamphlet accusing them, their elders, of malpractice. Eustace Carey, nephew of William Carey, and William Yates were the authors. Their publication went under the title: Vindication of the Calcutta Baptist Missionaries: in answer to 'A Statement relative to Serampore, by J. Marshman, D.D....'. In this, they not only cited Carey's well-known words, 'Expect great things, and attempt great things', but went on to argue that although 'the sentiment' behind it

was in itself noble, and befitting the occasion;... it soon became a motto, was repeated almost with the same veneration as an inspired proverb, and in process of time, every thing to be acceptable must be great.16

What worried 'the junior missionaries' so much was that it now appeared that 'in every pursuit, prosecuted at Serampore, vastness and sublimity were the predominant
characters'. In short, they could no longer resist the conviction that the efforts attempted [by the senior personnel] were by far too diffusive to be ultimately successful; and that what they now obtained in grandeur would involve a subsequent sacrifice of equal if not greater amount by their unavoidable inefficacy."  

Inter alia, in the critics' opinion, the scale of the Trio's translation and construction projects involved huge expenses far beyond the mission's means. William Carey and his colleagues were depicted as over-extending themselves and then having to pull out of important areas of mission activity, at the expense of the whole enterprise. In so reasoning, the younger missionaries were probably not too 'wide of the mark' since it can be argued quite forcibly that a process of materialistic institutionalisation did indeed prove to be the Achilles' heel of the Serampore mission. It was a major factor in the rift that occurred between the London office and the triumvirate, which was symbolised by disagreement over the trusteeship of the properties on the mission estate. Thus one may observe how possible it was for the principle 'attempt great things' to be misconstrued or misappropriated. One could get carried away by the burgeoning spirit of the colonial age. One could be tempted, in the long run, to set too much store by human achievements, and the spirit of simplicity that characterised Carey and his early cohorts in Britain could be subtly subverted, or at least weakened. Grand projects could become attention-demanding ends in themselves. Thus one finishes up with a scenario of emphases shifting and eventually contributing to the untimely downfall of the pioneer Baptist mission.  

Dual Tradition

After Carey's death in 1834, a new phase began in recalling the memories of the mission's hallowed past. His nephew, Eustace (1791-1855), was first in the biographical endeavour, bringing out a modest *Memoir of William Carey, D.D.* in 1836, with a second edition in 1837. From then on, one might posit, two streams of tradition became established. During the last 150 years, virtually all the biographies of 'the Father of the Mission' [sic] have treated the watchword's embellished form as being the norm. This tradition was started formally by Eustace Carey, even though it diverged from his 1828 quotation and from the terms preserved in the BMS's publications. Perhaps this departure occurred because of the hermeneutical concern he and Yates emphasised in their earlier critique of Serampore. If so, his purpose probably was to steer Christians away from the unfortunate ambiguity inherent in a pragmatic, theologically-uninformed reading of his uncle's exhortation. That would have entailed re-phrasing of the *dictum* in order to 'sanctify' the tradition for posterity. It is possible that he could have justified taking such liberty by referring to Ryland's 1816 paraphrase, but there is nothing to suggest that Eustace did so. Following this lead, the 1842 medal, 'struck in commemoration of the Jubilee' of the BMS was inscribed with the words: 'Expect great things from God. ... Attempt great things for God.' These two sentences encompassed another line of interpretation, expressed in the form of mission statistics:

In many circles, this may well have been considered to be an appropriate way to explain how the mission-leader’s words were fulfilled - to the glory of God, with whom Baptist men and women co-operated.

In spite of the popular practice of publicising the embellished form of Carey’s axiom-motto after 1836, it is noteworthy that ‘the six-word tradition’ survived until the mid-twentieth century due to the unassuming diligence of certain BMS officials. Pride of place may go to the Revd John Dyer, the first full-time, long-term successor to Andrew Fuller. In 1837, he went on public record with the following report:

Carey himself was chosen to preach... at Nottingham, where he poured forth all the energy of his soul in a discourse from Isaiah liv.2, from which he enforced on his brethren the duty, first to expect great things; and, secondly, to attempt great things. The effect was decisive:...

In keeping with the lead of that meticulous official, John Fenwick declared in 1843: ‘Dr Carey’s motto, “Expect great things; attempt great things” became the watchword of the three’. A hundred years then passed and well over a dozen biographies were written before the BMS’ ter-jubilee celebrations in 1942-44. All the more significant is it, then, that the official commemorative volume, published in 1945, maintained the six-word form of Carey’s dictum, even though the BMS jubilee medals bore the embellished version. In line with this, we find Ernest A. Payne, the distinguished Baptist mission-historian who was deeply committed to the work of the BMS for some fifty years, asserting in 1968 that the suffixed phrases referring to God surely were a later addition to Carey’s famous watchword. This judgment he was ready to take simply on the strength of the six-word core that Dyer accepted as being authentic. Would it not cause the recent historiographer to rejoice today, then, to know that much more evidence has come to light to substantiate his conclusion and to expound its traditionsgeschichtliche significance?

Mission Theology

How well, then, did the spirit and truth of Carey’s six-word challenge work out in his own mission experience? Does his pilgrimage shed realistic light on the dynamics behind that grand, concise agenda? Did the course of his life in Bengal over forty years demonstrate what one might expect to encounter as one seeks to be ‘obedient to the heavenly vision’? Orientation towards an appropriate answer can come, I believe, from considering the 1792 theological scene in which the catalytic watchword was born.

The Calvinistically-minded Baptists of Northamptonshire whom Carey esteemed highly, and whose fellowship he treasured, were ready by then to ‘expect great things’ from God. It was, however, quite another matter, a very considerable step to take, to proceed to attempt great things for God. Hyper-Calvinists would have denounced the notion as Arminian, even though Carey carefully gave priority to expecting the great things that God Himself had promised to accomplish. But the young pastor would not be deterred; he insisted on moving beyond the emerging view that ‘there was no reason why the Gospel should not be preached to everyone’. Sustained reflection had brought him to the conviction that means must be used to
ensure that the Gospel actually did get preached to everyone. In his *Enquiry*, he pointed out that Christians are under obligation to attempt great things they have never done before, in the process of obeying 'the Commission given by our Lord to his Disciples' [sic] which is 'still binding on us'. His emphasis on attempting to 'use every lawful method', or legitimate means, so that 'great things' might result, was biblically based. Surely the agenda of the missionary vocation was governed by prophetic promises and commands in the Scriptures, which made no room for men or women attempting great things conjured up by their own imaginations - 'pleasing dreams', if you will. The word of the Lord and the testimony of history thus led Carey to conclude: 'all Christians ought heartily to concur with God in promoting his glorious designs, for *he that is joined to the Lord is one Spirit*. On such biblical, theological ground he took his stand: he could do no other. But it was enough; with such arguments the last obstacles were removed out of the way for founding the society that would become known as the BMS. Thus the so-called 'modern missionary movement' was born.

Such was the *Sitz im Leben* from which the six words of May 1792 emerged. After that, clarification and qualifying interpretations only became necessary when those God-honouring convictions were not clearly or consciously borne in mind. 'The text' was not to be divorced from its theological context. Thus Christopher Anderson felt it necessary to declare, some thirty years later, that Carey's maxim, or governing principle, was to be adhered to in a spirit of whole-hearted submission to Christ. That was by way of antithesis to an attitude of self-projection, self-assertion, or self-confidence, be it at an individual or group level.

Anderson was prepared to hail Carey as an exemplar of the stated guidelines. In this, I believe he was substantially on target. Carey thought much of God while he considered himself with the utmost modesty, pace Jonathan Edwards, believing that there was nothing in or of himself that was worthy of praise by any mortal being. He felt *honoured* to be a servant of the Almighty and obeyed Him out of a sense of *gratitude*. By way of corollary, he felt it his duty to take advantage of any opportunity to spread the Gospel, whether it was occasioned by western technology or by military means. Thus he betrayed the fact that he was affected by 'the spirit of his age', by a western world-view, not least when he praised God for 'providential' events in the course of current world history. But he was no wild-eyed visionary. To the contrary; his early years of hardship and heart-break in Bengal saw to it that he became very realistic: a sober soul who endeavoured to the utmost to incarnate the message God had given him to share with the people of India and beyond.

Perhaps more than many Christians in that era of evangelical mission beginnings, Carey knew what it was to pay handsomely, in all sorts of ways, for daring to forge ahead to 'undo the works of darkness'. For many years he had to bear acute losses and grievous set-backs, yet he persevered until in time he prevailed. He knew full well from 'the Acts of the Apostles' how much courage and commitment were necessary for doing God's will and promoting Christ's kingdom. Thus he went 'the way of the cross'. He 'counted the cost' again and again throughout life and paid it nobly, whenever Providence so ruled, hoping that ere long blessing would burst forth on every side. In the meanwhile, he did not seek or claim 'great things' for himself. He was only too aware of personal shortcomings in every department of life; besides, mission events in India at times had a very 'earthing' effect. His ambition was, therefore, to combine genuine modesty with unswerving faithfulness. The outcome would be at the sovereign disposal of the Saviour of sinners, who alone is wise enough to determine how, and what, 'great things' should come to pass.

The spirit of the original maxim was evident in 'the consecrated cobbler's life'
through four decades of constant service in pre-Victorian Bengal. But that was not the end of the inspiration. It surely breathed in other lives, in later years, as the following reflections suggest: reflections with which Carey would have concurred heartily, in all probability. We sense this first in the words of Dr Alexander MacLaren, addressed to the BMS in 1864:

... let us listen to His [the Lord's] voice as it speaks in that supreme hour when He beheld the vision of the cross, and beyond it that of a gathered world. ‘Other sheep I have, which are not of this fold.’...

... The whole tone of the language suggests the idea that bringing back the sheep is to take a long time, and to cost many a tedious journey into the wilderness. Not a sudden outburst, but a slow kindling of the flame, is what our Lord teaches us here to expect. But while thus calm in tone and moderate in expectation, the words breathe a hope as confident as it is calm, as clear as it is moderate. There will always be a response ... Be the toil longer or shorter, more or less severe, it shall not be in vain.

And to these expectations we shall do wisely if we attune ours. Omit from your hopes what your Lord has omitted from His promises; do not ask what He has not told. Do not wonder if you encounter what He met, for the disciple is not greater than his Master, and only if they have kept my saying will they keep yours also. But on the other hand, we expect as much as He has prophesied; accept it when it comes as the fruit of His work, not of yours, and build a firm faith that your labour shall not be in vain on these calm and prescient words...

Such are the thoughts which our Lord would teach us as to the present and as to the future of our missionary work. For the one, moderate expectations of success not unchequered by disappointment, and a brave patience in long toil. For the other, hopes which cannot be too glowing, and a faith which cannot be too obstinate. The one is being fulfilled in our own and our brethren's experience even now; we may therefore be all the more sure that the other shall be due time.34

Not long afterwards, the Revd Henry Venn, distinguished secretary of the Church Missionary Society, expressed somewhat similar sentiments. This was his conclusion near the end of his life-long ministry of mission-promotion and direction: ‘... we entertain very moderate expectations of the success of all human agency, but greatly enlarged expectations of the working of the Spirit of God, for the conversion of the world’.35 This rang true in subsequent decades when another bold watchword was coined which ‘summed up the hope, zeal, breadth and urgency of the nineteenth-century missionary movement’.36 It too drew inspiration from British Baptist mission-vision.37 Declaring that each generation has a particular responsibility for evangelising the whole world, it ‘permeated the thinking of thousands’ and contributed to ‘the greatest surge of student commitment to missions in the history of the Church’.38 Thus the words of an anonymous verse in one of the classic biographies on William Carey proved true in mission history:
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