



 $\gamma \in \gamma \in \nu \eta \mu \in ́ v o v$.

As this example belongs to New Testament times, it may serve to bridge the gap between Aristotle and Julius Pollux. ${ }^{\text { }}$
A. Souter.

## 

A point of some interest arises from Dr Dix's article on 'The Messiah ben Joseph'. If the blessings of Joseph in Gen. xlix ańd Deut. xxxiii were ever taken Messianically, they may be the source of the much discussed 'He shall be called a Nazarene (Na乡wpaîos)', Matt. ii 23. Joseph is there termed prince, among his brethren. The LXX has in Genesis $\dot{\omega} \nu$ ì $\gamma \dot{\eta} \sigma a \pi o$
 writer of Matt. i and ii is not dependent on the LXX, as is shewn by
 $\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha ́ \lambda \epsilon \sigma a$ т̀̀ тє́кva aủrov̂. In Lam. iv 7 , the only other place where occurs outside the technical sense of 'Nazirite', LXX has Naלcepaiol, Vulg. Nazaraei (Vulg. has Nazaraeus in all places, except sometimes in Numb. vi). This verse is quoted in this connexion by Tertullian (adv. Marc. iv 8) 'The Creator's Christ, according to prophecy, was to be called Nazaraeus, whence also the Jews call us by this very name, Nazarenos (v.l. Nazaraeos), because of Him. For we are those of whom it is written, Nazaraei were made whiter than snow.'

Eusebius Dem. Ev. vii 2, p. 349 connects Matt. ii 23 with

 ness, inviolability, and consecration.

Harold Smith.

${ }^{1}$ Turner, pp. 116 f .

