It leaps at once to the eye that all difficulties but (i) can be solved if

 we cannot call this-at least not the last four words-a probable correction : but I maintain that it is quite likely to have been very nearly what Luke found in his source. For all the difficulties are removed, and we have a perfectly straightforward account in Greek of the kind Luke's authority may have used. Luke's failure to realize the sense of the vulgarism $\dot{\lambda} \lambda \alpha ́ \kappa \eta \sigma \epsilon$ thus produced his very strange account.

As to the idioms assumed (i) $\gamma^{\epsilon} \epsilon^{\prime} \sigma \theta a \iota$ of place is quite common : e.g.


 (or катє́тєбє) is attested by a whole row of Greek writers down from Homer.

I suggest, therefore, that the transposition of $\mu \epsilon^{\prime} \sigma o s$ and $\pi \rho \eta \nu \eta \dot{q}$ in the account, whether we choose to consider the error that of Luke or of his scribes, solves at once almost all linguistic difficulties. The accident, I suppose, is considered to have taken place in a pit in Judas's new purchase, which may, in Luke's source, have been a potter's field; for, as may be seen from Matthew's account, such a field was expected to be the scene of his death.

A. D. Knox.

## 

 ( 1 Thess. iii 3).In general the vocabulary of Paul is not markedly different from the current prose of his period, however much his style and ideas approach the Hebraistic. Nor, in any case, do I know that this remarkable
 as a Hebraism. Greek it certainly is not: for it can only be taken as a metaphor from the dog that $\dot{\sigma} a^{\prime} v e \iota$ its tail or its master. No language ever used a word of tail-wagging to mean 'perturb mentally': and the translation 'that none be flattered' ( $\sigma \alpha i v \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota=$ кодакєvєб $\theta a \iota$ ), though legitimate, is wholly inappropriate. The citation of Hesychius, $\sigma \alpha i v \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$. кıvєîтal, $\sigma a \lambda \epsilon \dot{v} \epsilon \tau \alpha \ell$, тара́ттєтаı is of no assistance: we do not know its source, and have no right to translate it otherwise than 'is waggled', 'is moved', 'is shaken', 'is stirred'. At a guess I should say that the original refers to a scudding ripple on the sea. Nor do the variae
lectiones help us. The absence of accent in some MSS shews little except that the reading was unintelligible: and the same consideration applies to the $\psi v . / l . \sigma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ and $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \iota \epsilon \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$. The conjecture $\sigma \alpha \lambda \epsilon \dot{v} \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ has little palaeographical probability.
 $\pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \omega \varsigma \dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \ldots$.. and this is the exhortation 'that none be waggled in these afflictions'-not 'by' as the A.V. has it. At least this translation shews that if you translate 'moved ' you must omit $\varepsilon v$.

Let us try to see what advice Paul might send. May we not suppose that it would be something like that in 1 Cor. xvi 13 , $\sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \tau \epsilon \in \tau \hat{\varepsilon} v$ $\pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \iota, \dot{a} \nu \delta \rho i \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon, \kappa \rho a \tau \alpha \iota o v \sigma \theta \epsilon \ldots$ - that ye play the man in these afflictions', or, negatively, 'that none play the woman'.

Palaeographically $\gamma v v a \kappa \kappa i \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ and $\theta \eta \lambda$ v́vє $\theta a a$ are less probable than $\sigma a \lambda \in \dot{v} \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ : but there is another word, differing little in sense, which would easily explain the corruption. I take it that the meaning of $\dot{\alpha} v \delta \rho i i_{\epsilon} \epsilon \theta a t$ is to preserve a calm demeanour, to hold up-as opposed to breaking down, bursting into tears and pleadings-oikтís $\epsilon \theta a u, \pi \lambda \eta \kappa \tau i \zeta \epsilon-$ $\sigma \theta \alpha$, ódíp $\rho \sigma \theta a \_$-those will not do. But there is one word of this nature -see the note which I have written from Walter Headlam's materials on Herodas v 29-which is extremely like $\sigma a i v \epsilon \sigma \theta a$. Until any plausible explanation of this monstrosity is given I would read $\boldsymbol{\tau} \grave{o} \mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon} v a$ $\langle\pi a\rangle \theta a i v \epsilon \sigma \theta a t$. Compare Cleopatra's behaviour in Dio Cass. li 12 quoted 'by Headlam, ${ }^{1}$ and especially Porphyry ap. Stob. ed. i 446 $\theta \eta \lambda v \nu \theta \in i \sigma \eta$ каì $\pi a \theta a \iota v o \mu \epsilon ́ v \eta$ ( $\tau \hat{\eta} \psi v \chi \hat{n})$. I confess I find translation difficult : perhaps 'that none break dorun in these afflictions' would give the sense, if this is not a vulgarism.

Anyhow it is easy to see why Paul wrote $\mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \alpha$ and ${ }_{\epsilon}{ }^{2} v$ : with the sense $\tau a \rho a ́ t \tau \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ you would expect $\tau$ ò $\mu \grave{\eta} \sigma a \lambda \epsilon v \in \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \dot{v} \mu a ̂ s ~ \tau \alpha i ̂ s ~ \theta \lambda . ~ \tau . ~$ But if the sense is 'descend to womanly appeals', $\mu \eta \delta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} v a$ is good, since if $A$ were to be persecuted, $B$ might $\pi a \theta a i v \epsilon \theta \theta a \iota$ on his behalf: and with an active sense (or middle) $\dot{\varepsilon} v$, wholly superfluous with the passive, is necessary. And there are plenty of contemporary hands in which $\nu$ and $\pi$ are more or less alike. Once leave out $\pi \alpha$ after $\nu a$ and $\sigma a i v \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ is the only word you get at all like $\theta$ aiveofau.

A. D. Knox.

[^0]
[^0]:     hoping to be pitied (ch. lii). The appeal of Sophrone the nurse in Menand. Epitr. (described as $\pi a \theta a \iota \nu o \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta v .587$ ) is to the famous lines of Euripides' Auge (fr. 920) :
     passionate, emotions gives these words a feminine character. The appeal in Lucian ii 429 is very low indeed.

