

Making Biblical Scholarship Accessible

This document was supplied for free educational purposes. Unless it is in the public domain, it may not be sold for profit or hosted on a webserver without the permission of the copyright holder.

If you find it of help to you and would like to support the ministry of Theology on the Web, please consider using the links below:



https://www.buymeacoffee.com/theology



https://patreon.com/theologyontheweb

PayPal

https://paypal.me/robbradshaw

A table of contents for *Journal of Biblical Literature* can be found here:

https://biblicalstudies.org.uk/articles_jbl-01.php

The Son of Man = hic homo = ego

In the remarks on Hidalyo and Filius Hominis. presented at the meeting of the Johns Hopkins University Philological Association on Oct. 15, 1920 (see above, p. 167) I pointed out that the Aramaic original of the NT term son of man signified, not filius hominis, but filius vivi. corresponding to the Assyr. mâr-amîli, son of a man, which denotes a full-born man, just as Assyr. mâr-bânî, son of a begetter (AL⁵ 19, 148) means aristocrat. Afterwards Aram. bar-nâsâ = Assyr. mâr-amîli, gentleman, was employed for man in general, and man may be used for the first or second or third persons. In the Aramaic idiom of the Babylonian Talmud and in the colloquial speech of Galilee hâhû gábrâ, that man, may be used for the first or second persons, just as in Biblical Hebrew hâ-'is ha-hû may denote I.

Similarly Lat. hic homo may stand for eyo, huic homini for mihi, and hunc hominem for me; cf. Plaut, Trin. 1115, also 172, 507; Capt. 148; Ter. Andr. 310, Heant. prol. 13. In phrases like per hanc destram obtestor te the pronoun huce (cf. ήδε χείρ. Soph. Ant. 43) means my, just as have urbs (ήδε ή πόλις) may signify our city, and hunc in collum: on my neck. In Greek we find όδε ἀνήρ (or ήδε γυνή) for εγώ: cf. Oed. Tyr. 815: τίς τοῦδέ γ' ἀνδρὸς νῦν ετ' ἀθλιώτερος, also 829, 1018. Euripides says ὑπερ τοῦδ' ἀνδρός for ὑπερ εμοῦ. and Plato uses οὐτοσὶ ἀνὴρ οὐ παύσεται Φλυμρῶν for σὸ οὖ παύσει.

In Mark 2 10, at autem sciatis quia filius hominis habet potestatem in terra dimittendi peccata, the rendering hic homo (or ὅδε ἀνήρ) would have been more correct than filius hominis (or ὁ νίος τοῦ ἀνθρώπου). The Vulgate uses quia like ὅτι, not only for herause, but also for that (cf. Job 1925; Matt. 632; Luke 249; John 1630214.12.17; Acts 235; Rom. 713; contrast 2 Cor. 1131; 1 Cor. 122). Also Heb. ki has both meanings, and it may be also (like quod, that, because, although) concessive; but this interpretation cannot be applied to Tertullian's credo quia absurdum (AJP 41, 180, n. 3).

Johns Hopkins University

PAUL HAUPT

