## THE WORDS OF AGRIPPA TO ST PAUL.

At the end of his critical note on Acts xxvi 28, after suggesting that possibly nenoieac should be read for meneitere, Dr Hort adds 'but it is no less possible that the error lies elsewhere'. These words invite suggestions.

Now any one who reads through the seventeenth, eighteenth, and nineteenth books of the *Antiquities* of Josephus can hardly fail to be struck by the recurrence of the phrases  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$   $\delta\lambda i\gamma\psi$   $\pi o\iota\epsilon i\sigma\theta a\iota$  and  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$   $\delta\lambda i\gamma\psi$   $\tau i\theta\epsilon\sigma\theta a\iota$  in the sense 'to make light of'. Thus for  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$   $\delta\lambda i\gamma\psi$   $\pi o\iota\epsilon i\sigma\theta a\iota$ :—

οὖ μὴν οἱ φύλακες ἐν ὀλίγω τὰς ᾿Αρχελάου ἐπιστολὰς ἐποιοῦντο. (Ant. xvii 223.)

τὰ φρονήματα ἀνδρῶν ἐν ὀλίγῳ τὸ θανεῖν ποιουμένων. (xvii 256.)

πληθος ἄπορον ἀνδρῶν πονηρῶν ἐν ὀλίγῳ τὴν σωτηρίαν ποιουμένων ραστώνης χάριτι τῆς εἰς τὸ παρόν. (xviii 367.)

Still more common in these same books is  $\vec{\epsilon}\nu$   $\vec{\delta}\lambda \acute{\nu}\gamma \psi \tau \acute{\nu}\theta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ . Thus

θανάτων τε ίδέας ὑπομένειν παρηλλαγμένας ἐν ὀλίγῳ τίθενται καὶ συγγενῶν τιμωρίας καὶ φίλων ὑπὲρ τοῦ μηδένα ἄνθρωπον προσαγορεύειν δεσπότην. (xviii 23.)

οπως . . . ἐν ὀλίγφ τὴν ἀχθηδόνα τοῦ παρόντος τιθοῖο. (xviii 20.)

ανήρ εν ολίγω το ψεύδος τιθέμενος. (xviii 85.)

καλως έχειν ήγούμενος πασιν ασφαλειαν και έλευθερίαν ωνούμενος έν δλίγω τίθεσθαι τα κατά τους απολουμένους. (xix 10.)

τοις εν ολίγω τιθεμένοις όπόσα πεπόνθοιεν. (ΧΙΧ 177.)

την μεν Γαΐου τιμωρίαν εν ολίγω τιθέμενοι. (xix 214.)

In Ant. xvii 278 we find οὖκ ἐν μεγάλοις τίθεσθαι τῆς ψυχῆς τὸ ἐπὶ τοιοῦσδε ἀνάλωμα γενησόμενον. In Ant. ii 128 κέρδους ἀδίκου τὴν πρὸς Ἰώσηπον φιλίαν ἐν δευτέρφ θέμενοι. This phrase is frequent in the 'Jewish War', e.g. i 100, 150; ii 233, 466; iv 115. Cp. iii 480 (ἐν ἀντιπάλψ), ii 123 and 146 (ἐν καλῷ).

Polybius writes ἐν μεγάλωτίθεσθαι. Thus

δ Φάβιος ἐν μεγάλῳ τιθέμενος τὴν ἐπιβολήν, τἄλλα παρεὶς πρὸς ταῖς εἰς τοῦτο τὸ μέρος ἦν ἐπινοίαις. (Χ Ι.)

ἐν μεγάλῳ τιθέμενοι τὸ τῆς ἰσηγορίας καὶ παρρησίας. (vi 9.)

ἐν μεγάλφ τιθέμενοι καὶ τοῦτον τὸν πόλεμον. (iii 97.)

Cp. πάντ' ἐν ἐλάττονι θέμενοι. (xxxviii 2, iv 6.)

One other passage of Polybius may be quoted to shew how in such phrases τίθεσθαι and ποιείσθαι are interchanged:—

καθ' όσον γὰρ ἐν καλῷ τίθενται τὸν ἀπὸ τοῦ κρατίστου χρηματισμόν (' good honest money-making '), κατὰ τοσοῦτο πάλιν ἐν ὀνείδει ποιοῦνται τὴν ἐκ τῶν ἀπειρημένων πλεονεξίαν. (vi 56.)

If now we turn to Agrippa's words, the suspicion is irresistible that  $\partial v \partial \lambda' \gamma \omega$  belongs to  $\pi o i \eta \sigma a \iota$  taken as the imperative middle, and that St Luke wrote  $\pi \epsilon i \theta \epsilon \iota v$ . Agrippa has come in great state to enjoy an  $\partial \tau \partial \epsilon \iota \xi \iota s$  from this gifted prisoner, who is in such deadly earnest that he is spoiling it all. Festus has burst out with 'You are mad'. Agrippa suggests that St Paul should not trouble himself about winning him as a convert to Christianity. Let that be quite a subordinate consideration, he urges. They are there to be entertained, and possibly to get material for a favourable report to the Emperor. 'Pray regard winning me for a Christian as a matter of little moment.'

I have not found πείθειν with a proleptic accusative to express its result. But such an accusative after διδάσκειν is fairly familiar, and πείθειν καὶ διδάσκειν is a frequent collocation in Plutarch (e.g. i 161 B, 206 A, 323 E). Pindar writes νιν φίλον ἔσανεν (Pyth. i 51) 'he wheedled him into friendship'. And Josephus has

θεραπεύειν πραότερον (Β. J. i 507) and παρασκευάζουσι . . . τὰς ψυχὰς ἀλκίμους (Β. J. iii 102).

St Paul's reply is to the effect that the conversion of Agrippa and all his hearers is to him a wholly adequate object. Whether God be using him that day *only* to effect that conversion or be pleased to make it subordinate to some yet higher purpose, His servant will be equally satisfied. It is not for him to say what is primary and what is secondary with God.

G. H. WHITAKER.