of the earth') justifies us in supposing that the meaning in Job may be illustrated by the Horatian 'caementa *demittit* redemptor'. There is a great deal in Midrash in support of this view.

(3) Are there not other passages in the Odes which are akin, and perhaps allusive, to Job? And may not the poet be here thinking, not of 'the earth', or of 'the habitable world', but of the world of souls, the world to come, and of the 'foundation' of this, as being from the first 'sent down' from above, to be realized at the last in the New Jerusalem, the city that hath the foundations, 'coming down out of heaven from God' (Rev. xxi 2)?

I trust this passage of the Odes may be discussed by others whose knowledge of Syriac is more adequate than mine to the discussion.

(ii) Dom Connolly adds: 'In Ode xxx 6 there is another phrase which, I think, is hardly of Semitic origin, viz. "and until it was set [*lit.* given] in the midst, they did not know it". This is surely is  $\tau i$   $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma ov \tau \iota \theta \dot{\epsilon} vai$ , in medio ponere.'

But (1) is it fair to substitute a common Greek phrase, like  $\epsilon is \tau \hat{\rho} \mu \epsilon \sigma \sigma \tau \iota \theta \epsilon \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \sigma \sigma \tau \iota \theta \epsilon \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \sigma \sigma \tau \iota \theta \epsilon \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \sigma \sigma \delta \iota \delta \delta \sigma \iota - of which I find no instance in Stephen's$ *Thesaurus* $under <math>\mu \epsilon \sigma \sigma \sigma$ -and then to say 'this is surely' from Greek? This Syriac phrase for '*in the middle*' is used by the Syriac translator (as also by Onkelos) in Numb. xxxv 5 corresponding to a Hebrew '*in the middle*'. (2) 'Give', for 'set' or 'appoint', is also a frequent Hebraism. May we not then justly say 'This is surely *in medio dare*- and points to a Hebrew original'?

Edwin A. Abbott.

## 'THE NUMBER OF THE BEAST.'

Some readers of the JOURNAL, who have not time or opportunity to ransack the pages of foreign periodicals, may be glad to have their attention drawn to particular articles of interest or importance.

The December number of the Zeitschrift für die neutestamentliche Wissenschaft pp. 293-305, contains an article by Dr G. A. van den Bergh on 'The Gnosis combated in the Apocalypse', in which he makes an unusually interesting suggestion for the solution of Apoc. xiii 18.  $\delta\delta\epsilon$   $\dot{\eta}$   $\sigma\sigma\phiia\ \dot{\epsilon}\sigma\taui\nu$  may mean 'Here is wisdom necessary', expressing much the same thought as  $\delta\ \dot{\epsilon}\chi\omega\nu\ \nuo\vartheta\nu\ \psi\eta\phi\iota\sigma\dot{\alpha}\tau\omega\ \tau\dot{\nu}\ d\mu\iota\theta\mu\dot{\nu}\nu$  $\tauo\vartheta\ d\eta\rhoiov$ . But it may have quite a different meaning. The  $d\rho\iota\theta\mu\dot{\nu}s$  $d\nu\theta\rho\dot{\omega}\pi\sigma\upsilon$  (cf.  $\mu\epsilon\tau\rho\sigma\nu\ d\nu\theta\rho\dot{\omega}\pi\sigma\upsilon\ xxi$  17) means 'ordinary human reckoning', and in no way suggests that the 'number of the Beast' conceals a man's 666 is, in Pythagorean language, an ἀριθμὸς τρίγωνος. Philo name. treats of the number 10 as being the sum of the numbers 1+2+3+4(δεκάς δε και τετράς "πας" εν αριθμοις είναι λεγεται, αλλά δεκάς μεν αποτελέσματι, τετράς δε έν δυνάμει); so that, from this point of view, 10 = 4. And the Valentinian Marcus (ap. Iren. I xv 2) speaks of the first  $\tau \epsilon \tau \rho \alpha s$ as the source of the  $\delta\epsilon\kappa\dot{\alpha}s$ , and 10 = I, the initial letter of  $I\eta\sigma\sigma\vartheta$ . Similarly Philo says that the Tabernacle had 55 visible pillars, because 55 is the sum of the numbers from 1 to 10 (i.e. 55 = 10), and 10 represents the highest completeness. The school of Marcus also obtained the number 12 by adding 2+4+6, and 30 by 2+4+6+8+10; and 30 also by 1+2+3+4+5+7+8, omitting the  $\epsilon \pi i \sigma \eta \mu os$  ( $\epsilon' = 6$ ). A graffito from Pompeii (A.D. 79) speaks of a lady named Harmonia: 'the number of her beautiful name is 45'; but Harmonia suggests the Muses, and  $45 = 1 + 2 + 3 \dots + 9$ .

Dr van den Bergh thinks that the close connexion of Gnostic thought with this Pythagorean treatment of numbers explains the 'number of the Beast'.  $666 = 1 + 2 + 3 \dots + 36$ . But 36 is also an  $d\rho_i\theta_{\mu}\delta_s$  $\tau\rho'\gamma\omega\nu\sigmas: 36 = 1 + 2 + 3 \dots + 8$ . That is to say 666 = 36 = 8. The beast is the 'Ogdoas', who in the Gnostic system is  $\Sigma \circ \phi ia$ ; and in Hebrew, 8 is represented by  $\eta$ , the initial letter of  $\eta = \zeta \circ \phi ia$ ; and the prototype of the Beast (= Ogdoas =  $\Sigma \circ \phi ia$ ) is the heavenly mothergoddess of Western Asia (see Bousset *Hauptprobleme der Gnosis* pp. 26, 58 ff). When, therefore, the seer writes  $\delta\delta\epsilon \dot{\eta} \sigma \circ \phi ia \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i\nu$ , he cryptically supplies the very solution of the riddle.

Dr van den Bergh sketches several lines of thought in the Apocalypse which result from this, or are in keeping with it; but enough has been said to indicate the nature of his argument.

A. H. MONEILE.