But in view of the metre of the passage this latter alternative seems right. Gesenius-Kautzsch (§ 72 I) accordingly assign the word to חם and not to חם. Hebraists whom I have consulted support this parsing, among them being Dr C. F. Burney, who writes as follows:—'The form is certainly intended for 3rd fem. sing. perf. Qal of חם. The accentuation for חם היום is, I have no doubt, adopted for metrical reasons. The metrical scheme of the poem appears to be 3+2, the ordinary metrical form of the חשף, but also, it seems, used in other poems of measured solemnity and grandeur. Cf. e.g. Isa. lxiii 7 ff. The trochaic opening, which makes the measure move so lightly in many of the lyrics of the Song of Songs,¹ appears to be unsuitable to the חשף measure. The rhythm appears to be

 $B\bar{a}z\hat{a}h \ell^{b}\underline{k}\bar{a} l\hat{a}^{\prime a}\bar{g}\bar{a} \ell^{\prime b}\underline{k}\hat{a}$  [or  $l\hat{a}\underline{k}$ ]  $b^{\circ}\underline{l}\hat{u}l\hat{a}\underline{l}$  bat Siyyôn just as in the parallel line

'Aharekā rosh hēnî'āh bat Yerushālem.

Cf. also most of the other lines in which we can be certain of the text. To have read Bázā l'kā, &c., would have ruined the metrical effect.'

The meaning of the verbs and no is the same, but no is characteristic of poetry and Hokmâ literature, and is of much less frequent occurrence than all. It seems fairly certain, however, that two biblical references (2 Kings xix 21 and Isa. xxxvii 22) should be subtracted from and added to no.

H. F. B. COMPSTON.

### EMPHASIS IN THE NEW TESTAMENT.

Ούτος in oblique cases.

This pronoun is, in various ways, specially interesting in the above connexion. Partly adjectival in its usage, and partly a demonstrative pronoun, the investigation of it serves, to some extent, to throw light on the emphasis of adjectives. The first question, however, in the study of it was this. Would its pronominal uses bear out the principles formulated in the case of the personal pronouns? It is clear, ab initio, that the epidictic force of this pronoun naturally renders it peculiarly susceptible of emphatic usage: and, this being so, it seems to afford a strong corroboration of emphasis by order, which has been formulated in previous papers in the oblique cases of the personal pronouns. For investigation shews that oùros—naturally inclined to emphasis as it is—is found, in the large majority of cases (though not in all) in the emphatic order; that is, before the verb, or even first in the sentence;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Dr Burney instances Song vi 1 ff. See his note in J. T.S. July 1909, pp. 584 ff.

that is to say, just where it would naturally be expected to be, according to the principles previously formulated.

The question is further complicated by a special use of obros, which may be called resumptive, in which it is used, by a species of redundancy, to draw special attention to some person or some general notion, which has gone before, and especially to a preceding relative pronoun.

Here, again, corroboration of order-emphasis is not wanting, for, though the emphasis on obros varies from weak to strong, yet always the repetition of the pronominal idea means some amount of emphasis. and always, therefore, as was to be expected, it stands first in its clause.

E.g. I Cor. xvi 3 οθς έὰν δοκιμάζητε . . . τούτους πέμψω. 2 Thess. iii 14 εἰ δέ τις οὐχ ὑπακούει . . . τοῦτον σημειοῦσθε. Matt. xxvii 32 εξερχόμενοι δε εδρον . . . Σίμωνα· τοῦτον ἡγγάρευσαν.

Also this effect is helped out by the kai with the verbs in

Rom. viii 30 οθς δε προώρισεν, τούτους καὶ εκάλεσεν, καὶ οθς εκάλεσεν, τούτους καὶ ἐδικαίωσεν, οθς δὲ ἐδικαίωσεν, τούτους καὶ ἐδόξασ€ν.

Of very many instances of resumptive emphasis these will probably suffice.

In other respects its usage seems to be the same as in the case of the personal pronouns, even to the extent of suffering attraction. This, however, appears to be limited to the simple pronoun not governed by prepositions, and especially to τοῦτο and ταῦτα.

It remains to give examples, premising that no attempt has been made to distinguish the amount of emphasis, which is implied in different cases. It is supposed to be sufficient, at this stage, to indicate, by leading instances, the method by which emphasis of any kind is conveyed.

I. Ouros standing alone, substantivally.

The following are selected out of many passages, as examples of different forms of ouros in the several books of various authors.

A. EMPHATIC, chiefly by order, before the verb.

τοῦτον Luke xii 5 ναί, λέγω ὑμῖν, τοῦτον φοβηθητε.

ΧΧ 13 πέμψω τὸν Υιόν μου . . . ἴσως τοῦτον ἐντραπήσονται. John vii 27 άλλα τοῦτον οἴδαμεν πόθεν ἐστίν· ὁ δὲ Χριστὸς . . .

Acts v 31 τοῦτον ὁ θεὸς ἀρχηγὸν καὶ σωτήρα ὕψωσεν.

Phil. ii 23 τοῦτον μεν οὖν ελπίζω πεμψαι.

τούτους Ι Cor. vi 4 τους εξουθενημένους . . . τούτους καθίζετε; 2 Tim. iii 5 καὶ τούτους ἀποτρέπου.

ταύτην Luke xiii 16 ταύτην δὲ θυγατέρα ᾿Αβραὰμ οὖσαν . . .

Acts xiii 33 ότι ταύτην ὁ θεὸς ἐκπεπλήρωκεν.

1 Cor. vi 13 ὁ δὲ θεὸς καὶ ταύτην καὶ ταῦτα καταργήσει.

# τοῦτο (accusative)

Mark xiii 11 δ ἐὰν δοθη ὑμῖν . . . τοῦτο λαλεῖτε.

Luke vi 3 οὐδὲ τοῦτο ἀνέγνωτε;

χχίι 19 τοῦτο ποιείτε εἰς τὴν ἐμὴν ἀνάμνησιν.

John iv 18 τοῦτο ἀληθὲς εἴρηκας.

viii 40 τοῦτο ᾿Αβραὰμ οὖκ ἐποίησεν.

χίιι 28 τοῦτο δὲ οὐδεὶς ἔγνω τῶν ἀνακειμένων.

Rom. xiv 13 άλλὰ τοῦτο κρίνατε μᾶλλον.

1 Cor. xi 17 τοῦτο δὲ παραγγέλλων οὐκ ἐπαινῶ.

2 Cor. x 7, 11 τοῦτο λογιζέσθω. Cf. Phil. ii 5, 2 Tim. iii 1.

Gal. iii 2 τοῦτο μόνον θέλω μαθεῖν.

Ερh. ν 5 τοῦτο γὰρ ἴστε.

Philem. 18 εἰ δέ τι ἠδίκησέν σε . . . τοῦτο ἐμοὶ ἐλλόγα.

Heb. ix 8 τοῦτο δηλοῦντος τοῦ πνεύματος.

2 Pet. i 20, iii 3 τοῦτο πρῶτον γιγνώσκοντες ὅτι . . .

Rev. ii 6 άλλὰ τοῦτο ἔχεις, ὅτι . . .

ταῦτα Luke xxi 6 ταῦτα ἃ θεωρεῖτε· ἐλεύσονται ἡμέραι (here abruptness combines with order to increase emphasis).

John iii 10 καὶ ταῦτα οὖ γινώσκεις;

2 Cor. ii 16 καὶ πρὸς ταῦτα τίς ἰκανός;

Ερh. ν 6 δια ταῦτα γὰρ ἔρχεται ή ὀργή.

1 Tim. iv 15 ταῦτα μελέτα, ἐν τούτοις ἴσθι.

There is a common construction of τοῦτο and ταῦτα before participles, especially τοῦτο εἰπών Luke xxiii 46, xxiv 40, John xviii 38, xx 20, 22; ταῦτα λέγων Luke viii 8 al. and other participles as Matt. i 20, 2 Pet. i r. In these cases the pronoun is generally resumptive only and the emphasis is but slight.

#### ταθτα with πάντα.

Matt. iv 9 ταῦτά σοι πάντα δώσω. Cf. xiii 3, xiv 20 (? reading), Mark x 20, Luke xii 30, xviii 21, John xv 21.

Matt. vi 32 πάντα γὰρ ταῦτα τὰ ἔθνη ζητοῦσιν. Cf. 1 Cor. xii 11. Also τοῦτο and ταῦτα occur with emphasis in adverbial phrases.

(a) διὰ τοῦτο. This is always in emphatic position probably as being resumptive.

Matt. vi 25 διὰ τοῦτο λέγω ὁμῖν, xii 31, xxi 43, Mark xi 24, Luke xii 22.

xii 27 δια τοῦτο αὐτοὶ κριταὶ ἔσονται ὑμῶν.

John xii 27 δια τοῦτο ήλθον είς την ώραν ταύτην.

The only exception is the suggested punctuation in John vii 22, to alter καὶ πάντες θαυμάζετε. Διὰ τοῦτο Μωυσῆς . . . into καὶ πάντες θαυμάζετε διὰ τοῦτο. Μωυσῆς . . . thus putting διὰ τοῦτο at the opposite extremity of the sentence. The universal usage of Greek Testament in all other passages may perhaps be taken as deciding against this latter reading.

- (b) For similar reasons μετά τοῦτο and μετά ταῦτα and διά τοῦτο are usually emphatic.
  - (c) καὶ τοῦτο or ταῦτα, always emphatic (six cases).

Rom. xiii 11 καὶ τοῦτο εἰδότες τὸν καιρόν, and four other cases all Pauline.

Heb. xi 12 ἀφ' ένὸς . . . καὶ ταῦτα νενεκρωμένου.

(d) τοῦτο δέ.

Heb. x 33 τοῦτο μὲν . . . θεατριζόμενοι, τοῦτο δὲ κοινωνοὶ . . . γενηθέντες. Cf. 2 Pet. i 5 καὶ αὐτὸ τοῦτο δὲ . . .

τούτου Matt. xix 5, Mark x 7 ένεκα τούτου καταλείψει ἄνθρωπος. Cf. Eph. v 31.

John vi 66 ἐκ τούτου πολλοὶ . . . ἀπῆλ $\theta$ ον.

Acts xxv 25 αὐτοῦ δὲ τούτου ἐπικαλεσαμένου τὸν Σεβαστὸν ἔκρινα πέμπειν.

Eph. iii 14 τούτου χάριν κάμπτω τὰ γόνατά μου.

James i 26 εἴ τις δοκεῖ . . . τούτου μάταιος ἡ θρησκεία.

1 John iv 6 έκ τούτου γινώσκομεν τὸ Πνεθμα.

ταύτης Heb. xiii 2 διὰ ταύτης γὰρ ἔλαθόν τινες ξενίσαντες ἀγγέλους.

τούτων John xvii 20 οὐ περὶ τούτων δὲ ἐρωτῶ μόνον, ἀλλὰ καὶ . . .

Acts xxvi 21 ένεκα τούτων με Ἰουδαΐοι συλλαβόμενοι . . . επειρώντο.

2 Tim. iii 6 έκ τούτων γάρ είσιν οἱ ἐνδύνοντες.

Heb. ix 6 τούτων δε ούτως κατεσκευασμένων.

Rev. xx 6 επὶ τούτων ὁ δεύτερος θάνατος οὐκ ἔχει εξουσίαν.

τούτω Luke x 20 πλην έν τούτω μη χαίρετε.

John ix 30 ἐν τούτφ γὰρ τὸ θαυμαστόν ἐστιν ὅτι . . .

I Cor. iv 4 οὐκ ἐν τούτῳ δεδικαίωμαι.

1 John iv 10 έν τούτω έστιν ή άγάπη.

τούτοις Luke xvi 26 καὶ ἐν πᾶσι τούτοις . . . χάσμα μέγα ἐστήρικται. . . Jude 10 ὅσα δὲ . . . ἐν τούτοις φθείρονται.

Rom. viii 37 άλλ' έν τούτοις πασι ὑπερνικωμεν.

ταύτη  $\,$  I Cor. vii 20 έκαστος ἐν τἢ κλήσει ἡ ἐκλήθη, ἐν ταύτη μενέτω.

ταύταις John v 3 έν ταύταις κατέκειτο πλήθος των ασθενούντων.

### B. UNEMPHATIC; though before the verb; chiefly τοῦτο and ταῦτα.

- (i) By attraction:
  - (a) To pronouns.

Mark ii 8 τί ταῦτα διαλογίζεσθε; Cf. Acts xiv 15. Acts xviii 15 κριτής έγὼ τούτων οὐ βούλομαι εἶναι.

- (b) To particles.
- ινα Mark xi 28 ινα ταθτα ποιής. Cf. 1 Tim. v 21.
- ότι John ii 18 ότι ταῦτα ποιεῖς. Cf. Acts xxiii 22, Rev. xvi 5, &c.
  - εί John vii 4 εί ταθτα ποιείς, φανέρωσον σεαυτόν.
- πόθεν Mark viii 4 πόθεν τούτους δυνήσεταί τις ὧδε χορτάσαι ἄρτων; Cf. Matt. xiii 54, Mark vi 2.
  - (c) To emphatic words.

Luke xviii 34 αὐτοὶ οὐδὲν τούτων συνῆκαν.

Matt. xiii 28 ἐχθρὸς ἄνθρωπος τοῦτο ἐποίησεν.

Acts xix 14 ἦσαν . . . ἐπτὰ υἰοὶ ταῦτα ποιοῦντες.

1 Cor. ix 17 εἰ γὰρ ἐκὼν τοῦτο πράσσω.

Heb. xiii 17 ἴνα μετὰ χαρᾶς τοῦτο ποιῶσιν.

(ii) Between verb and dependent infinitive.

Matt. ix 28 δύναμαι τοῦτο ποιῆσαι. Cf. John iii 2. Luke xxi 9 δεῖ γὰρ ταῦτα γενέσθαι. Heb. xiii 19 παρακαλῶ τοῦτο ποιῆσαι. James iii 10 οὐ χρὴ . . . ταῦτα οὖτως γίνεσθαι.

# II. Οὖτος with article and noun, used adjectivally.

Besides the usual test of order in relation to the verb—which seems evidently to be the same here as in other uses of obtos and in the personal pronouns—a special question arises, whether any difference in emphasis is made by putting obtos before the article.

As a test of this may be taken the common phrases 'in this night', 'in these days'. As usual it is possible to find at least one passage in which the emphasis seems clear and unmistakeable.

Matt. xxvi 34, Mark xiv 30 άμην λέγω σοι, ότι έν ταύτη τη νυκτὶ . . . άπαρνήση με.

Cf. an equally decisive passage,

Luke xii 20 άφρων, ταύτη τῆ νυκτὶ τὴν ψυχήν σου αἰτοῦσιν.

Here it seems clear that there is emphasis on both noun and pronoun. There is no example of  $\tau \hat{\eta}$  ruktly taking before the verb; but after the verb are both orders.

Compare

Acts xxvii 23 παρέστη γάρ μοι ταύτη τῆ νυκτὶ . . . ἄγγελος with

Matt. xxvi 31 πάντες ὑμεῖς σκανδαλισθήσεσθε ἐν ἐμοὶ ἐν τῆ νυκτὶ ταύτη,

comparison of which will hardly justify the claim of any difference in the emphasis, from the different position of  $\tau a v \tau \eta$ ; but leaves the impression that in both cases the phrase is wholly unemphatic.

So also in

Acts xi 27 ἐν ταύταις δὲ ταῖς ἡμέραις κατῆλθον and

Acts i 15 καὶ ἐν ταῖς ἡμέραις ταύταις ἀναστὰς Πέτρος (cf. vi 2), both alike seem to emphasize the phrase resumptively: and when they occur after the verb, both phrases seem equally unemphatic,

Luke xxiii 7 όντα καὶ αὐτὸν ἐν Ἱεροσολύμοις ἐν ταύταις ταῖς ἡμέραις,

and

Luke vi 12 εγένετο εν ταις ήμεραις ταύταις. Cf. xxiv 18.

Can no special emphasis, then, be put upon the pronoun apart from its noun? It appears that this can be effected by setting our right at the beginning, especially if dislocated from its noun.

John ii 11 ταύτην εποίησεν άρχην των σημείων ὁ Ἰησούς.

2 Pet. iii I ταύτην . . . δευτέραν υμίν γράφω επιστολήν. Cf. John iv 54.

2 Cor. vii 1 ταύτας οὖν ἔχοντες τὰς ἐπαγγελίας.

Further examples of the four kinds of order.

(i) Pronoun before both verb and noun; strong emphasis on both, but less where resumptive.

τοῦτον Mark vii 29 διὰ τοῦτον τὸν λόγον ὅπαγε.

John xix 20 τοῦτον οὖν τὸν τίτλον πολλοὶ ἀνέγνωσαν.

Acts ii 32 τοῦτον τὸν Ἰησοῦν ἀνέστησεν ὁ θεός.

Matt. x 5 τούτους τους δώδεκα ἀπέστειλεν ὁ Ἰησους.

Acts xxviii 20 δια ταύτην οὖν τὴν αἰτίαν παρεκάλεσα ὑμᾶς.

2 John 10 εἴτις ἔρχεται . . . καὶ ταύτην τὴν διδαχὴν οὐ φέρει.

Luke i 24 μετὰ δὲ ταύτας τὰς ἡμέρας.

John viii 20 ταῦτα τὰ ῥήματα ἐλάλησεν.

John viii 23 ὑμεῖς ἐκ τούτου τοῦ κόσμου ἐστέ, ἐγὼ οὖκ εἰμὶ ἐκ τοῦ κόσμου τούτου (locus classicus).

Heb. ix 11 τοῦτ' ἔστιν οὐ ταύτης τῆς κτίσεως.

Acts i 24 έκ τούτων τῶν δύο ἔνα.

Matt. xii 32 οὖτε ἐν τούτῳ τῷ αἰῶνι, οὖτε ἐν τῷ μέλλοντι.

Matt. xvi 18 καὶ ἐπὶ ταύτη τῆ πέτρα οἰκοδομήσω.

Matt. xxii 40 εν ταύταις ταις δυσίν εντολαις όλος ὁ νόμος κρέμαται.

(ii) Pronoun before verb after noun; emphasis on both.

Luke xii 56 τον καιρον δε τούτον πως ούκ οίδατε δοκιμάζειν; Luke xix 27 πλην τους έχθρους μου τούτους . . . ἀγάγετε ώδε. Mark xii 10 ούδε την γραφην ταύτην ανέγνωτε; Cf. Luke vi 3. 1 Cor. ii 6 σοφίαν οὐ τοῦ αἰῶνος τούτου.

Acts xxviii 22 περί μεν γάρ της αίρεσεως ταύτης γνωστόν ημίν ἐστίν.

Matt. iii 9, Luke iii 8 δύναται ὁ θεὸς ἐκ τῶν λίθων τούτων ἐγείραι τέκνα.

Acts i 6 εἰ ἐν τῷ χρόνῳ τούτῳ ἀποκαθιστάνεις . . .

I Cor. xv 19 εί εν τη ζωή ταύτη εν Χριστφ ήλπικότες εσμεν μόνον.

(iii) Pronoun after verb before noun; both unemphatic.

John vi 58 ὁ τρώγων τοῦτον τὸν ἄρτον ζήσει. Luke vii 44 βλέπεις ταύτην την γυναικα; John xii 18 ήκουσαν τοῦτο αὐτὸν πεποιηκέναι τὸ σημείον. Acts xxii 22 ήκουον δὲ αὐτοῦ ἄχρι τούτου τοῦ λόγου. Matt. xxv 40 εφ' δσον εποιήσατε ενὶ τούτων των άδελφων μου. 2 Cor. iii 10 οὐ δεδόξασται τὸ δεδοξασμένον ἐν τούτῳ τῷ μέρει. Acts xvi 12 ημεν δε εν ταύτη τη πόλει.

(iv) Pronoun after verb and noun, of which probably a very few examples will be sufficient.

Luke ix 45 έφοβοῦντο έρωτησαι αὐτὸν περὶ τοῦ ρήματος τούτου.

Acts xxi 28 κεκοίνωκεν τὸν ἄγιον τόπον τοῦτον.

1 Cor. vii 31 παράγει τὸ σχημα τοῦ κόσμου τούτου.

Rev. xxii 7 ὁ τηρῶν τοὺς λόγους τῆς προφητείας τοῦ βιβλίου τούτου. Acts viii 22 μετανόησον ἀπὸ τῆς κακίας σου ταύτης.

AMBROSE J. WILSON.

## THE VISIT OF CHRIST TO NAZARETH.

A Study in the Synoptic Gospels, Matt. xiii 54-58; Mark vi 16; Luke iv 16-30.

THE Synoptic Gospels relate the story of a visit of Christ to Nazareth where He had been brought up, of His teaching there, and His rejection by the Nazarenes.

The accounts given by the first two Gospels are practically the same, except that St Mark tells us the disciples accompanied Him on His visit, a fact which St Matthew and St Luke both omit. On the other hand the story is placed by St Luke in a different order, and its details are also so different that many have understood it as the record of another visit.