THE OLDEST MS OF ST JUSTIN'S MARTYRDOM.

THE Cambridge University Library acquired last August from the daughters of the late Dr Scrivener some vellum fragments, certain of which have proved on examination to be of interest to hagiological students. It is not known from what source Dr Scrivener acquired them, but it is a reasonable conjecture that they may have been given him by the late Baroness Burdett-Coutts from her Janina collection.⁴ The fragments consisted of (1) the remains of what must once have been a very handsome copy of Barlaam and Josaphat, of about the twelfth century, with miniatures, now sadly decayed; (2) a couple of leaves of a Catena or Commentary on St Matthew, containing the well-known quotation from 'Apollinarius' which gives the extract from Papias about the end of Judas Iscariot; and (3) the sixteen detached leaves, which form the subject of this Notice.

These sixteen leaves once formed part of a Martyrology for May, June and July, written in sloping uncials of not the latest style—say about 800 A. D.—in two columns of thirty-nine lines, the size of each leaf having once been about 16×10 inches (or a little more). It was turned into a palimpsest of half the size in the fourteenth or fifteenth century, the later writing being a Gospel Lectionary. Unfortunately by this process the conjugate leaves were cut apart, and the remains of the older MS could only be reconstituted by the slow process of reading and identifying the texts themselves. The remaining contents are as follows :—

fol.

Ι	Christopher (May 9)	Analecta	Bollandian	<i>a</i> i pp. 125–128		
2	23	**	**	pp. 131–134		
3))	,,	"	pp. 134–136		
4		"	,,	pp. 140–143		
5	Christopher ends	,,	,,	pp. 147–end		
	Isidore <i>begins</i> (May 14)	Vat.Gr. 2	033 ¹⁹ (in <i>Ca</i>	t. Codd. Hagiogr. Boll.)		
6	Isidore ends	,,	33	· ·		
	Constantine and Helena (Note for May 21)					
	Hermias begins		Hagiogr. C	Gr. p. 53		
7	Constantine and Helena		•••	" p. 29 (=B 2)		

¹ See Scrivener's Intr. (ed. 4) i 253; Adversaria Critica Sacra xxi.

	End of May					
8	June begins					
	Justin, Hypothesis (June 1))		[2]	new]	
	" Martyrdom begins	Bibl.	Hagiog	. Gr. 1	p. 68	
9	Justin <i>ends</i>	"	"	,,	"	
	Marcianus, Nicander (Ten					
	Egyptians) begins (June 2)	"	"	,,	p. 86	
10	Marcianus, Nicander ends	,,	**	,,	33	
	Theophanes and Pansemne	compl	<i>ete</i> (June	:5) [?	'new]	
	Nicander and Marcianus					
	begins (June 8)	Bibl.	Hagiogr	r. Gr.	p. 95	
II	Barnabas ([June 11])	Bonn	et 296–2	99		
I 2	Peter and Paul ends ([June					
	29])	Lipsi	us 218–2	22		
St John Chrysostom's Encomium begins						
13	Hyacinthus Cubicularius					
	([July 1])	(cf. A	lcta SS.	Jul. i 6	i33)	
14	Cosmas and Damianus in					
	Pherma (sic)	(cf. A	cta SS.	Sep. vi	i 477)	
15	Procopius Dux ([July 8])					
16	33 33	"	,,	"	"	

Of these leaves 7 v is blank, as is also the second column of 7 r. It might be supposed that this was the last leaf of the whole volume; but I venture to think it more likely to have been the last leaf appropriated to the May commemorations, as there is no colophon. This also is suggested by the Note on 6 v, which runs (I insert accents, &c., where illegible):—

)

Μηνὶ τῷ αὐτῷ κā· εἰσ τοὺσ ἁγίουσ βασιλεῖσ ἡμῶν Κωνσταντίνου καὶ ἘΕλένησ· ζή(τει) εἰσ τέ(λοσ) τοῦ αὐτοῦ μηνόσ:·

The leaf numbered 14 is only a half-leaf. I have assigned it conjecturally to July 1, but perhaps it belongs to Sept. 27 or Nov. 1. It contained the story of the man who swallowed a serpent, followed by that of Malchus and his wife. The name of the burial-place of Cosmas and Damianus is said to be Pherma ($\epsilon v \tau \hat{\psi} \tau \hat{\phi} \kappa \alpha \lambda ov \mu \epsilon v \phi \phi \epsilon \rho \mu \dot{\alpha}$).

The full text of *Hyacinthus* appears to be otherwise unknown. It tells the same story as is indicated in the *Acta SS*. for July 1, p. 633, viz. that Hyacinthus, a chamberlain of Trajan, on becoming a Christian refused to eat meats offered to idols; whereupon he was starved to death in prison by Trajan after thirty-eight days' fast.

The story of Theophanes and Pansemne (June 5), here preserved in an epitome, differs from the colourless account that survives in the Greek printed Menaea for June 10. It may be a relic of the Christianization of some pagan cult at Antioch, and has some interest from its points of contact with the story of Pelagia (*see* Usener's *Pelagia*, p. xv; also *Acta SS.* for June 10, p. 275).

The text runs as follows :---

Μηνὶ τῷ αὐτῷ ἐ· ὑπόθεσισ σύντομοσ τοῦ βίου καὶ ἐγκώμιον τῶν ἁγίων Θεοφάνουσ καὶ Πανσέμνησ

Την τησ ασεβείασ παλαίστραν πολλοί μεν ήσπάσαντο και «παλειφόμενοι¹ τῷ πνι τῷ ἁγίω, τὸν Σατανάν ὑπὸ τοὺσ πόδασ κατέκβαλλον ἐν ἡ καὶ Θεοφάνησ διέλαμψεν· πόλεωσ Αντιοχείασ δρμώμενοσ· και έξ ελλήνων 2 γονέων φύσ· ώ μία ην και γυνή³, και τώ τρίτω χρόνω ταύτην αποβαλλόμενοσ αμφιέννυται τον μονήρη βίον έν κελλίω * ήσυχάζων τοσόνδε επρεψεν, ώστε και ιάσεισ δι' αὐτοῦ γενέσθαι. Πανσέμνη δέ τισ ὀνόματι ἑταιρὶσ 5 καὶ τῶ ἤθει καὶ τῷ τρόπω ην έν τη πόλει περί ήσ ακούσασ και μνησθείσ του αδελφοθέου Ίακώβου· ό ἐπιστρέψασ ἁμαρτωλόν ἐκ πλάνησ όδοῦ αὐτοῦ σώσει ψυχὴν ἐκ θανάτου και καλύψει πληθοσ άμαρτιών, έξέπτη τησ κέλλησ και πρόσ τούσ γονείσ απεληλυθώσ⁸ αμφιέννυται ένδύματα σηρικά⁸, λαβών καὶ χρυσὸν καὶ θέλων την πόρνην σωσαι, απέρχεται 10 προσ αυτήν· αυτη τουτον δέχεται ωσ τούσ πολλούσ, μάλιστα¹¹ ὅτι καὶ τὸ χρυσίον ἐπὶ χεῖρασ εἶχεν, καὶ βουλομένη¹² πορνικώσ αὐτῷ γενέσθαι πείθεται παρ' αὐτοῦ νομίμωσ αὐτῷ ζευχθῆναι· βαπτίζεται οῦν θεία δυνάμει¹³ αὐτίκα· μεταφέρει αὐτὴν πλησίον τοῦ κελλίου αὐτοῦ· πείθει αύτην τα ύπάρχοντα πτωχοίσ διαδούναι· κατακλείει αὐτην έν σεμνείω 14. ούτωσ σεμνύνεται 15 του βίου και μετά χρόνον τινά αμφω πρόσ κν επεδήμησαν 16. και περιάγοντεσ δε τῷ βίω και προς την μέλλουσαν ζωήν απεληλυθόντεσ¹⁷ ιάσεισ διαφόρουσ έργάζονται 18. χάριτι τοῦ κῦ ήμῶν το χῦ. ῷ ή δόξα είσ τούσ αίωνασ των αίώνων άμήν :-

By a fortunate chance the Acts of St Justin's Martyrdom are preserved entire in the Cambridge fragments. They are preceded by an epitome, which, so far as I know, is unprinted, and is certainly of some value as shewing what points in these ancient Christian Acts were found interesting in the early Byzantine period. It is noteworthy that the epitome distinctly attests $i \kappa \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \sigma \epsilon \omega \sigma$ in § 5, in agreement with the actual text of the Acts in these fragments and with the Vatican MS from Grotta Ferrata, while the true reading (viz. $i \kappa \pi \nu \rho \omega \sigma \epsilon \omega \sigma$) is preserved in the Jerusalem MS as well as in the more eccentric Paris MS.

¹ -φώμενοι C.	² ελλινων C.	³ ὣ μία ησεν καὶ γυνεκ	u• C (sic).
⁴ κελιω C.	⁵ ετερισ C.	6 το ήθοσ και το τρόπο	υ C.
⁷ #ep C.	⁸ άπελλιλυθωσ C.	⁹ σιρηκα C.	¹⁰ ἀπέρχετε C.
11 μαλλιστα C.	12 βουλομενι C.	13 Suram C.	14 σεμνιω C.
18 -676 C.	16 -µ10av С.	17 απελληλυθωντεσ C.	18 - Jan Tai C.

The epitome runs as follows :---

[Ornament]

MHN ÏOYNIOC.

Μηνί ἰουνίω· ā. ὑπόθεσισ σύντομοσ τοῦ μάρτυροσ καὶ ἐγκώμιον Ἰουστίνου φιλοσόφου.

· Ιουστίνοσ άρχαίοσ άνηρ και φιλόσοφοσ και των άποστόλων εφάμιλλοσ χώρασ αμείβων 1 εκήρυττεν τον εύαγγελικον λόγον, ωσ ιστορεί Εύσεβιοσ. λέγει γαρ αὐτὸν καὶ ἐκ Συρίασ ὅρμηθέντα² ἐπὶ Ῥώμην ἐλθεῖν. ὅστισ ζήλψ θείω κινηθείσ κηρύττων την ήμων πίστιν ελέγχων δε τουσ Έλληνασ Αντωνίνω τῶ βασιλεῖ τὰ τῶν Ῥωμαίων τότε κρατοῦντοσ παρέστη, ἀντιμαχῶν μὲν τῆσ τῶν ελλήνων θρησκείασ ύπερμαχών δε τησ τών Χριστιανών πίστεωσ. φθονηθείσ οῦν ὑπό τινοσ Ἐλληνοσ ³ τὰ τῶν Ἑλλήνων λόγφ λεσχοῦντοσ παρὰ Ῥουστικοῦ 4 έπάρχου ἁρπάζεται, καὶ κατὰ πεῦσιν καὶ ἀπόκρισιν συμβάλλοντεσ ⁵ ἀλλήλοισ διελέγοντο. και ό έπαρχοσ είπεν έαν μαστιγωθείσ ή αποκεφαλισθείσ πέπεισαι ότι μέλλεισ αναβαίνειν είσ τον ουνον; Ιουστίνοσ είπεν ελπίζω, οίδα γαρ δτι πασιν τοίσ ούτω βιούσιν παραμένει το θείον χάρισμα μέχρι τήσ έκπληρώσεωσ τοῦ κόσμου. αὖθισ⁷ ὁ ἔπαρχοσ εἶπεν ὑπονοείσ οὖν ὅτι άναβήσεισ είσ τους ουνουσ, αμοιβάσ των πόνων αποληψόμενοσ »; Ιουστίνοσ είπεν ούχ ύπονοω, άλλ' άκριβωσ πιστεύω και πεπληροφόρημαι?. ούτωσ σύν ετέροισ μάρτυσιν, Χαρίτωνι 10, Εὐέλπιστω 11, Ἱέρακι, Παίονι 12, καὶ Λιβεριανώ, παρεδόθη 13 την κεφαλην αποτμηθήναι.

The Acts of Martyrdom follow: I give a collation with the text as edited by Dr Pio Franchi de' Cavalieri in *Studi e Testi* 8 (Rome, 1902), this being the only edition of the Acts based on a collation of MSS, i. e.

> H(ierosolymitanus) S. Sepulcri 6, saec. ix-x, P(arisinus) 1470, anno 890, V(aticanus) 1667, saec. x ;

to which we can now add

C(antabrigiensis), saec. viii-ix.

Tit. μαρτύρων] om. C παίωνος C (= V) ad fin.] + $\overline{\kappa\epsilon} \epsilon \overline{v}$ C § I. 4 $\overline{v}\pi\epsilon\rho\mu a\chi \widehat{w}\nu$ C 5 κατα πόλιν καὶ χῶραν C (sic)¹⁴ 6 σπένδειν] σπευδειν C 7 οἱ μ. ἆ.] ἄγιοι ἄνδες C (= H V*) 8 ρουστικόν C (= H V).

§ II. 9 $\tau_q \hat{v}$] om. C (= H) 9, 10 $\epsilon i \pi \epsilon v \pi \rho \delta s$ iovortívov C (= H V) 2($\epsilon i \pi \epsilon v \pi \rho \delta s$ iovortívov C (= H V) 2($\epsilon i \pi \epsilon v \pi \rho \delta s$ iovortívov C (= H V) 2($\epsilon \pi \epsilon n \rho a \theta \epsilon v C$ 15 $\psi \epsilon v \delta o \delta \delta \delta c o r C$ (= H V) $\epsilon \pi a \rho \chi o \sigma$]

 1 άμμβων C.
 2 ορμθέντα C.
 3 ελλινοσ C.

 4 ρουστικός, ρουστικοῦ, &c., C semper (non ρούστικοσ).
 5 συμβαλοντεσ C.

 6 πεπεισε C.
 7 αῦθησ C.
 8 -ψώμενοσ C.

 10 χριτωνι C.
 11 C sic, hoc accentu.
 12 παιωνι C.
 18 παρεδώθη C.

¹⁴ The MSS are surely right here : translate 'in town and country'.

om. C16 οὖν] om. C = H Vσω] συ C17 αὐτουσ C(= V) $\epsilon \sigma \tau ιν C (= H)$ $\delta ω γ μ a C$ p. 34, I πασεισ C2 αώράτου C $\kappa ύριον$] om. C (= H)3 προκεκηρρυκται Cμελλον C4 μαθημάτων] C (sic = P)5 μηκρα C6 ὅτι]· C (= H P)έφη νῦν C7 ἴσθι] C (= P)8 γεναμένησ

§ III. 15 èvè èrave mevo (sit) tivos maptívov toù timetivov C (= H V) 16 β alavíov C (= H V) πapà] pr. και C (= H V) ôv] τοῦτον C (= H V) 16, 17 èreδήμησα δè τŷ 'Pω. πόλει τοῦτο δεύτερον και οὐ γινώσκω ἄλλην C (= H V fere) 17 èrεί] èrείνου C (= H V) και eǐ] καει C 18 αὐτῷ] αὐτο C 19 λοιπὸν] om. C (= H P).

§ IV. 21 Χαρίτωνι] pr. τ $\hat{\psi}$ C (= H V) 23 δè] om. C (= H V) Χαριτοῖ] Χαριτῶ C (= H V) p. 35, 1 ἔπαρχοσ] om. C (= H V) εἶπεν τῷ εὐελπίστῳ C (= H V) 2 Εὐέλπιστε] om. C (= H V) είπεν τῷ εὐελπίστῳ C (= H V) 2 Εὐέλπιστε] om. C (= P V) ἀπεκρίνατο] ἀποκριθεἰσ λέγει C 3 μετέχω C (= H V) 4 χάρητι C τῷ ἰέρακι C (= H V) 5 σέβω τε] C (= H) 7 ἔκπαλαι] om. C (= H V) παίων δὲ ἑστῶσ C 10 τὸν λόγον C 11 παρειλιφα C 13 ἔπαρχοσ] τῷ C (= H V) 15 ἐπιγιοι C 18 εὐσεβῶσ C (cf. P) 19 ἀλιθηνον C.

§ V. 20 λέγει προσ ²ουστίνον C (= H V) 21 αποκεφαλισθείο C 23 δώματα] δώγματα C (= δόγματα H V) $\delta p \theta \hat{\omega} \sigma$] οὐτω C (= H V) p. 36, 1 ἐκπυρώσεωσ (H P)] ἐκπληρώσεωσ C (=V) 3 χριστὰs C (= H) ἀπολιψόμενοσ C 5 τὸ αναγκαίων καὶ κατεπείγων C 6 συνελθώντεσ C ὅμοθυμαδῶν C 8 πείθεσθαι C τιμωριθήσεσθαι C 6 διὰ $\overline{\chi v}$ τὸν $\overline{\kappa v}$ ἡμῶν C (= H); om. P 10 τιμωριθ-C 12 ὡσαυτοσ C ἡμῶσ C 13 καὶ] om. C θύωμεν C 15 μαστιγωθέντεσ C (= H V).

§ VI. 17 ἐξελθώντεσ C 18 αὐτῶν τὴν μαρτυρίαν C (= H V) 19 λαθρέωσ C 19, 20 τὰ σώματα αὐτῶν C 20 λαβώντεσ κατέθεντω C ἐπιτηδίω C συνεργησάσεισ C 21 ῷ ἡ δόξα] add. καὶ τὸ κράτοσ τῶ πρι καὶ τῶ ῦῶ καὶ τῶ ἑχίω πνι νῦν καὶ C.

It is quite clear that our four MSS divide themselves into two families, P on the one hand and C H V on the other. Consequently an agreement of either C or H or V with P must represent the oldest transmitted text. The obvious example is $\delta\kappa\pi\nu\rho\omega\sigma\omega\sigma$ in § 5, supported as it is by Justin *Apol.* i § 60 and other passages. Here C V have $\delta\kappa\pi\lambda\eta\rho\omega\sigma\omega\sigma$, shewing that V is akin to C. On the other hand C agrees with P in § 2 in having $\mu\alpha\theta\eta\mu\alpha\tau\omega\nu$, where H V have $\mu\alpha\theta\eta\tau\omega\nu$. C has a few mistakes of its own, notably $\sigma\pi\epsilon\nu\delta\epsilon\nu\nu$ in § 1 for $\sigma\pi\epsilon\nu\delta\epsilon\nu\nu$.

VOL. XI.

66 THE JOURNAL OF THEOLOGICAL STUDIES

The real difficulty arises when the two families are divided. P is not unfrequently right against CHV, especially in the omission of one or two theological phrases. For instance, it is no doubt right in beginning the dialogue between Rusticus and St Justin by 'What sort of life do you lead?' 'One that is blameless and not to be found fault with by any one.' Then Rusticus goes on to ask about the Christians' doctrines, and here again P gives a better text. To Rusticus's question 'What sort of notions ($\delta \delta \gamma \mu a$) do you hold ?', Justin replies according to P: 'The pious veneration we have for the Christians' God whom we hold to be from the beginning the One Demiurge of these things, of the making (I mean) of the whole world, and God's Son Jesus Christ who also was heralded by the prophets as about to come to the race of men to be a herald of salvation and a teacher of excellent doctrines.' The latter part of this agrees with the common text, but the first part in the other MSS (including C) has been altered in the direction of the stereotyped formulae of the Creeds.¹

To return to C, our new MS leaves us where we were before as to the place of Justin's School or Meeting-house, reading like H and V Eyw έπάνω μένο [i. e. μένω] τινοσ Μαρτίνου τοῦ τιμιοτίνου, where P has Μυρτίνου for the last four words. In § 5 C reads δώγματα, i.e. it virtually supports the δόγματα of H V and the older editions. Otto suggested δόματα and von Gebhardt δώματα, while P omits the clause. I venture to suggest that the obscurity of Justin's answer may be due to the form of the judge's question. Rusticus asks whether Justin, if he has his head cut off, expects to go up to heaven. Now this Justin could not answer with a simple 'yes', for we see from Trypho 80 that he was definitely opposed to those who thought they would go to heaven as soon as they died (αμα τῷ αποθνήσκειν τὰσ ψυχὰσ αὐτῶν ἀναλαμβάνεσθαι εἰσ τὸν οὐρανόν). Such an opinion, in fact, was one of those doymara which the True Word did not manifest as right (Apol. ii § 9). Consequently he tells the judge that he hopes for something (δόγματα, δώματα, δώματα, or whatever the true text may be), but he knows that for those who endure there remains the divine charisma. The slight obscurity in Justin's reply is one of those 'undesigned coincidences' that illustrate, rather than demonstrate, the historical character of these famous Acts, to the vulgate text of which the newly discovered fragments bear such ancient testimony.

F. C. BURKITT.

¹ I quote the Greek of P, extracting it from the notes in Dr Franchi de' Cavalieri's excellent apparatus. 'Ιουστίνοσ εἶπεν' ὅπερ εὐσεβοῦμεν εἰσ τὸν τῶν Χριστιανῶν θεόν, ὅν ἡγούμεθα ἕνα τούτων ἐξ ἀρχῆσ δημιουργόν, τῆσ τοῦ παντὸσ κόσμου ποιήσεωσ, καὶ θεοῦ παίδα Ίησοῦν Χριστόν, ὅσ κτλ. The common text has in the second clause ' whom we hold to be from the beginning this One Maker and Demiurge of all the creation, visible and invisible '.