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## Heb. mardût, chastisement and chastity

In 1 S $2030\left(\mathrm{~J}^{1}\right)$ Saul calls his son Jonathan bĕn-na' uát ham-mardût. This does not mean Thou son of the perverse, rebellious woman (AV) but thou son of an unchaste woman which is equivalent to the Shakespearean $u$ horeson; cf. Lagarde, Mitteil. 1, 236; Driver, Budde, Schlögl, and Kautzsch's $\mathrm{AT}^{3}$ ad loc. I have explained the two preceding verses in OLZ 12, 66; OC 33, 90. The literal translation of this phrase is son of a woman who has been led astray with regard to discipline or moral training, chastity; cf. GK § 128, x; for the article prefixed to mardût see $\S 126$, e. Heb. nai $n \hat{a}$ corresponds to Arab. inguauuâ, to be led astray; Arab. $\bar{g} a i i z(=\bar{y} u u i)$ denotes error, sin (Heb. ‘auôn = j̄auiân) and Arab. uáladu jáaịatin signifies bastard. \$ has bar hiassirát mardittâ, son of a woman lacking discipline (or chastity). Syr. télitta duĕ-lâ rědêt̂a is an ill-bred (badly brought up) girl.

Syr. marcluttâ (Nöldeke, Syr. Gr. ${ }^{2} \S 138, \mathrm{~B}$ ) means discipline and chastisement, and both chastisement and chastity are derived from Lat. castigare which means not only to chastise, but also to restrain. The original meaning of castus, chaste, is restrained;
 and our continent $=$ chaste. The rerbs to chaste and to chastise were formerly used for to reduce to submission. To discipline may mean also to keep in subjection, regulate, govern, which is the usual meaning of rad $\hat{a}$ in Hebrew; but the primary connotation of this stem is to beat. This may mean to strike, to strike with the foot in moving, to tread upon, to overcome, vanquish, conquer (cf. JSOR 1, 8, below). We speak of a beaten path or the beat of a policeman, just as Arab. tariq, path, is derived from tárraqu, to beat, and Assyr. Riibsu, path, from kabâsu, to tread (JHUC, No. 306, p. 4). Syr. marditita therefore denotes course, journey.

Assyr. radî means to go, march, run, flow. Assyr. rud̂, to drive, to lead, to reign, signifies originally to cause to go (cf. Syr. árd̂̀ and Heb. hôlı̂ı, 2 K 5 19) and the primary meaning of Assyr. radû, to pursue (cf. radêdu and Ethiop. rôda) is to go after, whereas Heb. radiaf is a transposition of parâdu (JBL

35, 158). Assyr. red $\hat{u}$, to unite sexually, means to tread $=$ to copulate; cf. our the cock treads the hen and Syr. dĕrthlta, concubine, from darah, to tread (土ỉldeke, Syr. Gr. ${ }^{2}$ §113). Syr. dĕrak " $u l-i t(t$ ět $t) \hat{A}$, to force a woman, does not correspond to Heb.' $\mathfrak{i m n a}$ iš̌̌ $\hat{c}$, but to Arab. clujula $=j a m u e^{\prime} a$, which is identical with dakala $=$ út $i \rightarrow a$; the $j=g=k$ is not due to the $l$ (AJP 17,489, n. $1 ;$ JBL 36,141, n. 3) but to the $d$; cf. Assyr. tlurgu, path $=$ durku (Heb. därli). Heb. duritl: qǜt means originally to sublue the bow (see below, p. 161). The $e$ in Assyr. reth suggests a final'; redte may therefore correspond to Arab. rúdá $a=j a m a ́ a$. As a rule, Arab. rídé ${ }^{\prime}$ means to check, restrain; cf. above. the remark on Lat. castigare, to restrain.
(5) vї корабíwv aúтоцодоúvт $\omega \nu$, which may mean $O$ son of runaucty slaregirls (so Stade. GVI $1,2 t(0)$ has been defended by Klostermann. E has quildu'atimel rélitatut, son of impure girls. If the original text had been ben na rôt môrédot (A.ISL 26, 22. n. 40) it would not bave been corrupted to běn-nánat ham-martiut: ef. the remarks on $\mathscr{W} \pi \rho \dot{o}$ той $\gamma \in \nu \in \in \theta a \iota \dot{\nu} \mu \hat{\imath} s$ for
 154. (J) may have combined $\mathfrak{A l}$ mardit with Syr. měrid, fugitive, and mêrôd which means not only relicllious, but also deserter. Aútómodos denotes transfirgr. deserter, traitor. But the rendering of JV, thon sou of perverse rolullion, which was given in the marein of AV as the literal meaning of the Hebrew phrase, is untenable. although we have in Syriac not only marditti, discipline, chastisement. from redn to tread, go. run, flow, instruct. chastise, but also murditai. rebellion, impudence, from mérad. While we hare in Hebrew the abstract mallivt, the corresponding Syriar form is mallibtí with li. notli (Niilleke, Syr. (ir. ${ }^{2}$ § 138).

The stem marad may be derived from a nom with prefixed $m$
 (cf. AV, 1 S 2 e9). Heb. mrit, obstinacy, on the other hand, means originally stouthess (cf. Assyr. marii, stout, fat) or stouthetortedmess. 'The verb tos stont was furmerly used in the sense of to drfy, resist. Also the primary meaning of Arab. mirn' $n$, to be manly, is to be stont, i. e. stran!, sturdy, valiant, brave.

B fili, mulirris rirum ultro rapiontis means fili, mulioris virosac. Horace (bert. $1,3,109$ ) says: imerem incertam rapientes
modo ferarum; Tacitus uses the phrase illicitas coluptates rapere. This verb means also to chase, pursue, run after. Ultro $=$ sponte, unsolicited.

The objection has been raised (Löhr, Nowack) that mardut is Syriac rather than Hebrew, and that it is unparalleled in OT, but we must restore marlût in Is. 146 where we should read: rôdê ba-'áf gôî̀m mardût bĕlî-haśók instead of fat rôdê ba-'áf gồ̂̀m murláf bĕlì-hálaśak. On the other hand, Ewald wanted to read mirdôf instead of mard $\hat{u} t \underline{1}$ in 1 S 2030 . The line in Is. 146 does not mean which trampled the nations in anger, unchecked was his trampling (rods do not trample nations) but which angrily chastised nations in relentless chastisement. Döderlein's reading mirlát, which has been adopted by the modern commentators, is not good. The first line of v. 6 is an explanatory gloss to the second line, just as the first line of Is. 5110 is a gloss to the last line of the preceding verse (AJSL 23, 258, n. 13). Similarly Job 2613 (With His breath He spread out the wellin, His hand slew the circler) is explained by the preceding verse (With His strength He quelled the sea, with His skill He smote the dragon). For the circler cf. AJP 29, 307, and for šifrír: Assyr. suparruru (HW 684; cf. suqallulu, JBL 35, 322). The Hebrew text should be read as follows:
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## Pelican and Bittern

In the Maccabean poem (c. 145 B. c.) predicting the fall of Nineveh, i.e. Antioch (Nah. 10; ZDMG 61, 285, l. 18) we find (Zeph. 2 14) the gloss: Both pelican and bittern (EB ${ }^{11}$ 13, 387) will lodge on her capitals, Heb. gam-qât gam-qippôd bĕ-kaftôrêhâ ị̛al̂mu. I have explained the preceding line in JHUC, No. 316, p. 23. The Hebrew name for the pelican should be pronounced qât, not qa'ét; cf. Cant. 56, 11; AJSL 23, 233;

