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## BRIEF COMMUNICATIONS

## THE MOUNTAIN－BULI」

Heb．rên does not denote a unicorn，or a large antclope，but an aurochs or mountain－bull（see CD 6673，s．urus）．${ }^{1}$ Similarly the male capercaillie（German Auerhaten）is called mountain－ cock；cf．also mountain－cat $=$ wildeat ；mountain－shcep $=$ wild sheep，bighorn；mountain－deer $=$ chamois．On p． 173 of the translation of the Psalms in the Polychrome Bible I have shown how the idea of a unicorn originated；cf．EB 5229；Schrader， Die Vorstellung vom $\mu$ ovóкє $\rho \omega$ s und ihr Urspruny（Berlin，1892）．

Gustav Freytag，Die Ahnen，vol．i，c． 7 uses the term Bergstier for aurochs；I employed this name in CV 35，l． 6. The original form of the cunciform ideogram for Sum．$a m=$ Assyr．rimu is the horned head of an ox with the symbol for mountain，and the primary connotation of rîmu（ $=$ ri＇mu $=$ ra＇imu）is climber（see Mic．73，l．7；ZDMGG 64，713，below）． In the same way Heb．iáél，ibex（Arab．ná il；ef．BA 1，170） is conneeted with •alâ，to go up．Ceesar（Bell．Gall．6，已ゝ）says that the urus was found in the IIereynian Forest．This designa－ tion is not identical with the name of the Harz（MHGH Mart） mountains，but denotes the entire forest－covered mountain－sys－ tem of Germany from the Black Forest to the Carpathians． According to Casar $(6,25)$ it took a good walker nine days to traverse the breadth of the Hercynia silva，while the maximmm breadth of the Harz mountains is but 20 miles，and the greatest length 57.

The large horns（cf．Num．23：22；Dent．33：17）of the rimu figured in Assyrian sculptures show that this wild ox was not a（short－horned）bison，German Wisent（KAT² 5St；Budde， Hiob ${ }^{2}, 249$ ）．${ }^{2}$ Pliny（ 8,38 ）distinguishes the bison from the
${ }^{1}$ For the abbreviations see above，p． 75.
${ }^{2}$ Dr．Albright has called my attention to W．H．Ward，The Seal Cylinders of Western Asia（Washington，1910）p．414．Dr．Ward thought that Assyr．rimu denoted a bison；contrast DB 4， 835 ．
aurochs; he says that there are in Germany insignia boum ferorum genera, jubati bisontes excellentique et vi et velocitate uri quibus imperitum vulgus bubalorum nomen imponit. We still make the mistake of calling the American bison a buffalo. The Bos Americanus is much smaller than the aurochs. The buffalo is fond of marshy places, the bison roamed over the plains, the aurochs climbed the mountains. Cæsar says of the uri in the Hercynian Forest: Magna vis eorum est et magna velocitas. Assuescere ad homines et mansuefieri ne parvali quidem excepti possunt. Nevertheless the ancient Germans domesticated the mountain-bull and reduced it to service. The majority of the breeds of European cattle are descended from it ( $\mathrm{EB}^{11} 2,926$ ).

In the Book of Job (39:9-11) Jhvi asks Job:
9 Will the mountain-bull be willing to serve thee, or will he stay at thy crib?
10 Canst thou tie his yoke with cords, or will he plow avales $\beta$ behind thee?
11 Canst thou trow him despite his great strength, and leave thy labor to him? $\gamma$
(a) 10 furrows
( $\beta$ ) 8 The mountains are the ur's pasture-ground, he cares for every green thing.
( $\gamma$ ) 12 Canst thou trust him to bring in thy grain, and garner it on thy threshing-floor?

Schlottinamn, Hiob (1851) p. 479 says that the opponent of Louis Cappel (1585-1658) Arnold Boot thought of the aurochs. The last aurochs in the forest of Jaktozowka, WSW of Warsaw, was killed in 1627, but the bos urus appears to exist still in the forests of the western Caucasus (EB ${ }^{11} 2,926 ; 5$, 547b). J. D). Michachis (1773) and IIufnagel (1781) renderese: wild os, but took it to be a bulfalo.
$V .8$ does not belong to the preeceding triplet referring to the wild ass. The onugre inhabits the deserts ( $c f$. v. 6) contiguous to Palestine, although the Tibetan kyong is found in altitudes of about 1400 feet ( $c f$. EB ${ }^{11} 13,7133^{\text {; }}$; see also Geo. Jacob, Altarab. Jeduinculebern, 1897, p. 115). Assyr. pûrimu, wild ass, may moan uss of the dessrt (see ( $13^{14}$ xix, l. 8). The Sumerian name for wild ass is amsu-edinu, ass of the desert
(SGl 14; cf. MVAG 18, 2, p. 7). For Jer. $2: 24$ see JBL $3 \overline{5}$, 319.
V. 8 is a gloss to 'ămaqim, vales (v. 10) which the poet uses for furrows. We use vale for a little trough, and we call the gutters formed by the meeting of two roof-slopes valleys. The same term is applied to the depression between the two ridges of a tooth. Greek aúdév signifies valley and trench, canal; $\chi^{\alpha} \rho a \delta \rho a$ is used for ravine and trench. Hahn (cf. AJSL 32, 141) referred 'ămaqim to the furrows, but he believed that tälm denoted a ridge between the furrows ( $c f$. JBL $34,62,1.6$ ).

The scriptio plena of the o in idrós and iaróq (a form like addom, fem. $\check{u}$ dummû, red) is due to dittography of the $r$ (cf. JBL 35, 288, below; JAOS 35, 388, n. 3).

For iectûr, which camnot be combined with Assyr. tâmirtu, environment, we must read uč-t $\hat{c}$ r, and the ur or urus (cf. GK § 143, a). We need not suppose that the initial $m$ and the final $\hat{u}$ of mir $\hat{e} h \hat{u}$ are due to dittography, and that the original reading was rồ $\hat{e}$. Assyr. tâmirtu is derived from umâru, to see, and means originally that which is in sight, seeing-distance, range of vision. The reading iat $\hat{u} r$, he spies ( © ié'allél) is based on Prov. 12:26 where we may read: iututur mir êh $\hat{u}$ caddîq, the righteous finds (lit. searches; ef. HW $155^{\text {b }} .632^{\text {b }}$ ) his sustenance (lit. pasture). Tôr is the Aramaic form of Heb. šôr. ox. It is used in this late gloss for © tûrbâlâ, wild ox (Heb. šôr hab-bär) in which bâlâ stands for bârâ = bárrâ, wilderness, the $l$ instead of $r$ being due to dissimilation. © (Ps. 50: 10) says that the urus feeds off every day a thousand mountains
 (AJSL 34, 141) that hârim in Job 39:S does not mean mountains, but the reem, is untenable.

Rêm (v. 9) after hü-i $\hat{0} \underline{b} \hat{e}$, which is accented on the penult, is not enelitic; therefore the $r$ should not be doubled (cf. Est. 49, 13; AJSL 26, 22, n. 32; JBL 34, 49).-For 'obdĕka read lĕ-‘obdéka. -For the original meaning of ialîn see JAOS 37, 254.-For 'al read 'ălê.

For hă-tiqšór-rêm in the next line we must not read hŭ-tiqš̌ěrém (Siegfried) or hŭ-tiqšěréh $\hat{u}$ or hă-tiqščrému (Budde): rêm after hă-tiqšór is a corruption of nîrô, his yoke. Assyr. nîru, yoke, has passed into Aramaic and Arabic (see Proverbs, SBOT, 53, 31; AkF 42). In Jer. 4:3; Hos. 10:12; Prov. 13:23

Heb. nîr signifies plowland (not fallow ground). Our yoke (Lat. jugum) denotes also as much land as may be plowed by a pair of oxen in a day. In Jer. 4:3 and Hos. 10:12 (a secondary passage) we have also the denominative verb nîr, to plow. Similarly Arab. at̂âra, to plow, is a denominative verb derived from táur, ox. Arab. hárata means to plow, and liárt signifies field (cf. ZDMG 65, 561, 19). Syr. nîrâ denotes also the beam of a weaver's loom; cf. Heb. mĕnôr ôrĕḡim and Syr. náulâ, Arab. nául, minuâl (with l for r as in ráill = ráir, saliva). The primary connotation of Assyr. nîru, yoke ( $=$ naĥiru) is collar, neck-band, and the original meaning of the Assyrian verb nâru, to slay ( $=$ nalhâru) is to jugulate, to cut the throat. According to Lagarde we have nir, yoke, in Prov. 21:4, nîr rĕsa' $\hat{\imath} m$ h!ațṭ̂t , sin is the yoke of the wicked (cf. John 8:34; Rom. 6:20).
 plural was written 'ăbôtot' (AJSL 32, 74). Also for tälm we must read the plural tělamîm which may have been written defective, so that the omission of the plural ending may be due to haplography; ef. šälam in Ps. 21:13 for šikmám: we must read téšítémô lĕ-hafnôt šilkmám, thon wilt make them turn their

 We cannot read 'ullô instead of nîrô, because 'ullô could hardly have been corruptel to rêm; nor is $\zeta v \gamma o ́ v$ a free rendering of tüln, as Duhin supposes. has: da-lĕmâ ĕsárt nîrâ 'al-qĕdâléh dĕ-ráimáa, Canst thou perhaps bind the yoke on the neck of the urus? The 'ăbototot or môserôt (Jer. 27:2) are the cords or thongs (ipívets) by which the wooden forks (môtôt; cf. JBI 32, 113, n. 23) of the yoke are fastened under the necks of the oxen (see p. 169) of the translation of Ezckiel in the Polychrome Bible; EB 78; 1)I3 4, 958). Oriental yokes are open below, whereas in European yokes the bows enclosing the neeks of the animals are fastened above the eross-bar or body of the yoke: ((:1) 7022). In lam. 1:14 we must read: uai-iiśtáreij 'ól

 'ămagim in the second hemistich.-Whe verb síddléd is not derived from śal̂ê, field, as (ico. Hoffmann (1891) supposed:
it is connected with Syr. sáddâ (or síddâ) length of a furrow, and Assyr. šadâdu, to drag, pull, haul (HW 641; for Delitzsch's gamguge read gurgûrê; see Kings, SBOT, 114, 23 ; $c f$. AkF 41; Herod. 7, 24; Diod. Sic. 3, 29). It does not mean to harrow, but to plow.

For alhrêka we must not substitute lĕ-fanêka; it is true, the plower walks behind the oxen, not before them (see the cut in Benzinger's $H c b r$. Arch. ${ }^{2}$ p. 140) and we find similar confusions of the scribes (cf. Mic. 24, n. 3) elsewhere ; c.g. we must read in Lam. 1:7réim, friends, instead of çarim, foes; but the furrows are behind the plowman, not before him.

The $k \hat{\imath}$ in v. 11 is concessive ( $\mathrm{GB}^{16} 343^{\mathrm{a}}$ ). We must not read 'alâú instead of elâu; if a man has an old ox and thinks he can no longer leave his work to it, 'alâu would be appropriate; but if he wants to try a new ox and leave the work to it, elâl is correct: the work is to be assigned to it for the first time; $c f$. the remarks on the difference between dabáq-lĕ and dabáaq-b̆e in WF 218; see also Kings (SBOT) 161, 42.-The noun $\dot{\imath} \ddot{e} \bar{g} \hat{\imath}$, labor, denotes especially agricultural work; cf. 'ăblod $d \hat{a}, 1$ Chr. 27:26. The stem $\dot{i} a \bar{y} a^{6}$, to weary oneself, to take pains, corresponds to Arab. núaía, to feel pain.
V. 12 is an explanatory gloss to v. 11. The Qĕrê $i a \check{i} \hat{\imath} \underline{b} b$ is
 ï̈'sóf we may insert asîf (= qaçîr, Jer. 5:17; Joel 1:11; $4: 13$ ) which may have dropped out through haplography. The primary comotation of asîf is not ingathering, but ingathered; in the same way the original meaning of qaf̧ir is not cropping, but cropped; contrast Lagarde, Nomina, p. 173.

The Hebrew text of this triplet referring to the mountain-bull should be read as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { אםם-יץין עלי אבוסך: }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ותעןב אליו יגיעך:י }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 11 התבטְח־בו כי־ךְב כחָו }
\end{aligned}
$$

