

Making Biblical Scholarship Accessible

This document was supplied for free educational purposes. Unless it is in the public domain, it may not be sold for profit or hosted on a webserver without the permission of the copyright holder.

If you find it of help to you and would like to support the ministry of Theology on the Web, please consider using the links below:



https://www.buymeacoffee.com/theology



https://patreon.com/theologyontheweb

PayPal

https://paypal.me/robbradshaw

A table of contents for *Journal of Biblical Literature* can be found here:

https://biblicalstudies.org.uk/articles_jbl-01.php

BRIEF COMMUNICATIONS

THE MOUNTAIN-BULL

Heb. rêm does not denote a unicorn, or a large antelope, but an aurochs or mountain-bull (see CD 6673, s. urus). Similarly the male capercaillie (German Auerhahn) is called mountain-cock; cf. also mountain-cat = wildeat; mountain-sheep = wild sheep, bighorn; mountain-deer = chamois. On p. 173 of the translation of the Psalms in the Polychrome Bible I have shown how the idea of a unicorn originated; cf. EB 5229; Schrader, Die Vorstellung vom μονόκερωs und ihr Ursprung (Berlin, 1892).

Gustav Freytag, Die Ahnen, vol. i, c. 7 uses the term Bergstier for aurochs; I employed this name in CV 35, l. 6. The original form of the cuneiform ideogram for Sum. am =Assyr, rîmu is the horned head of an ox with the symbol for mountain, and the primary connotation of rimu (= rimu = ra'imu) is climber (see Mic. 73, l. 7; ZDMG 64, 713, below). In the same way Heb. ia'él, ibex (Arab. uá'il; cf. BA 1, 170) is connected with 'alâ, to go up. Casar (Bell. Gall. 6, 28) says that the urus was found in the Hercynian Forest. This designation is not identical with the name of the Harz (MHG Hart) mountains, but denotes the entire forest-covered mountain-system of Germany from the Black Forest to the Carpathians. According to Cæsar (6, 25) it took a good walker nine days to traverse the breadth of the Hercunia silva, while the maximum breadth of the Harz mountains is but 20 miles, and the greatest length 57.

The large horns (cf. Num. 23:22; Deut. 33:17) of the rîmu figured in Assyrian sculptures show that this wild ox was not a (short-horned) bison, German Wisent (KAT² 5S4; Budde, Hiob², 249).² Pliny (8, 38) distinguishes the bison from the

² Dr. Albright has called my attention to W. H. Ward, *The Seal Cylinders of Western Asia* (Washington, 1910) p. 414. Dr. Ward thought that Assyr. rîmu denoted a bison; contrast DB 4, 8352.



¹ For the abbreviations see above, p. 75.

aurochs; he says that there are in Germany insignia boum ferorum genera, jubati bisontes excellentique et vi et velocitate uri
quibus imperitum vulgus bubalorum nomen imponit. We still
make the mistake of calling the American bison a buffalo. The
Bos Americanus is much smaller than the aurochs. The buffalo
is fond of marshy places, the bison roamed over the plains, the
aurochs climbed the mountains. Cæsar says of the uri in the
Hercynian Forest: Magna vis eorum est et magna velocitas.
Assuescere ad homines et mansuefieri ne parvuli quidem excepti
possunt. Nevertheless the ancient Germans domesticated the
mountain-bull and reduced it to service. The majority of the
breeds of European cattle are descended from it (EB¹¹ 2, 926).

In the Book of Job (39:9-11) JHVH asks Job:

- 9 Will the mountain-bull be willing to serve thee, or will he stay at thy crib?
- 10 Canst thou tie his yoke with cords, or will he plow avales β behind thee?
- 11 Canst thou trow him despite his great strength, and leave thy labor to him $?_{\gamma}$

Schlottmann, Hiob (1851) p. 479 says that the opponent of Louis Cappel (1585-1658) Arnold Boot thought of the aurochs. The last aurochs in the forest of Jaktozowka, WSW of Warsaw, was killed in 1627, but the bos urus appears to exist still in the forests of the western Caucasus (EB¹¹ 2, 926; 5, 547^b). J. D. Michaelis (1773) and Hufnagel (1781) rendered: wild ox, but took it to be a buffalo.

V. 8 does not belong to the preceding triplet referring to the wild ass. The onager inhabits the deserts (cf. v. 6) contiguous to Palestine, although the Tibetan kyang is found in altitudes of about 1400 feet (cf. EB¹¹ 13, 713^a; see also Geo. Jacob, Altarab. Bedninenleben, 1897, p. 115). Assyr. pûrîmu, wild ass, may mean ass of the desert (see GB¹⁶ xix, l. 8). The Sumerian name for wild ass is anšu-edina, ass of the desert

⁽a) 10 furrows

 $^{(\}beta)$ 8 The mountains are the ur's pasture-ground, he cares for every green thing.

 $^{(\}gamma)$ 12 Canst thou trust him to bring in thy grain, and garner it on thy threshing-floor?

(SGl 14; cf. MVAG 18, 2, p. 7). For Jer. 2:24 see JBL 35, 319.

V. 8 is a gloss to 'ămaqîm, vales (v. 10) which the poet uses for furrows. We use vale for a little trough, and we call the gutters formed by the meeting of two roof-slopes valleys. The same term is applied to the depression between the two ridges of a tooth. Greek αὐλών signifies valley and trench, canal; χάραδρα is used for ravine and trench. Hahn (cf. AJSL 32, 141) referred 'ămaqîm to the furrows, but he believed that tälm denoted a ridge between the furrows (cf. JBL 34, 62, 1.6).

The scriptio plena of the o in $i\underline{d}r\delta s$ and $i\underline{a}r\delta q$ (a form like $a\underline{d}\delta m$, fem. $a\underline{d}umm\hat{a}$, red) is due to dittography of the r (cf. JBL 35, 288, below; JAOS 35, 388, n. 3).

For iětûr, which cannot be combined with Assyr. tâmirtu, environment, we must read uĕ-tôr, and the ur or urus (cf. GK \S 143, a). We need not suppose that the initial m and the final \hat{u} of $mir'\hat{e}h\hat{u}$ are due to dittography, and that the original reading was rô'ê. Assyr. tâmirtu is derived from amâru, to see, and means originally that which is in sight, seeing-distance, range of vision. The reading iatûr, he spies (viě'allél) is based on Prov. 12:26 where we may read: iatûr mir'êhû çaddîq, the righteous finds (lit. searches; ef. HW 155b, 632b) his sustenance (lit. pasture). Tôr is the Aramaic form of Heb. šôr, ox. It is used in this late gloss for \(\mathbb{T}\) tûrbâlâ, wild ox (Heb. $\dot{s}\hat{o}r \ hab - b\dot{a}r$) in which $b\hat{a}l\hat{a}$ stands for $b\hat{a}r\hat{a} = b\dot{a}rr\hat{a}$, wilderness, the l instead of r being due to dissimilation. $\overline{\mathbf{c}}$ (Ps. 50:10) says that the urus feeds off every day a thousand mountains (uĕ-tôr-bâr dĕ-râ'ê bĕ-kol-iômâ tûrîn álpâ). The suggestion (AJSL 34, 141) that hârîm in Job 39:8 does not mean mountains, but the reem, is untenable.

 $R\hat{e}m$ (v. 9) after $h\check{a}$ - $\hat{i}\hat{o}\hat{b}\hat{e}$, which is accented on the penult, is not enclitic; therefore the r should not be doubled (cf. Est. 49, 13; AJSL 26, 22, n. 32; JBL 34, 49).—For ' $o\underline{b}d\check{e}\underline{k}a$ read $l\check{e}$ -' $o\underline{b}d\check{e}\underline{k}a$.—For the original meaning of $\underline{i}al\hat{i}n$ see JAOS 37, 254.—For 'al read ' $\check{a}l\hat{e}$.

For hā-tiqšór-rêm in the next line we must not read hā-tiqšěrém (Siegfried) or hā-tiqšěréhû or hā-tiqšěrénnû (Budde): rêm after hā-tiqšór is a corruption of nîrô, his yoke. Assyr. nîru, yoke, has passed into Aramaie and Arabic (see Proverbs. SBOT, 53, 31; AkF 42). In Jer. 4:3; Hos. 10:12; Prov. 13:23

Digitized by Google

Heb. $n\hat{r}r$ signifies plowland (not $fallow\ ground$). Our yoke (Lat. jugum) denotes also as much land as may be plowed by a pair of oxen in a day. In Jer. 4:3 and Hos. 10:12 (a secondary passage) we have also the denominative verb $n\hat{r}r$, to plow. Similarly Arab. $at\hat{a}ra$, to plow, is a denominative verb derived from $t\hat{a}ur$, ox. Arab. $t\hat{a}tat$ means to plow, and $t\hat{a}tat$ signifies $t\hat{t}t$ (cf. ZDMG 65, 561, 19). Syr. $t\hat{t}t$ denotes also the beam of a weaver's loom; cf. Heb. $t\hat{t}t$ or $t\hat{t}t$ and $t\hat{t}t$ or $t\hat{t}t$ and $t\hat{t}t$ for $t\hat{t}t$ as in $t\hat{t}t$ and $t\hat{t}t$ is collar, neck-band, and the original meaning of the Assyrian verb $t\hat{t}t$ or slay (= $t\hat{t}t$ and $t\hat{t}t$ is to $t\hat{t}t$ or $t\hat{t}t$

For bě-tälm 'ăbôtô we must read ba-'ăbôtôt; the feminine plural was written 'ăbôtô' (AJSL 32, 74). Also for tälm we must read the plural tělamîm which may have been written defective, so that the omission of the plural ending may be due to haplography; cf. šäkm in Ps. 21:13 for šikmám: we must read těšîtémô lě-hafnôt šikmám, thou wilt make them turn their back. 6 has for the first hemistich of Job 39:10: Shoeis Se èv ίμᾶσι ζυγὸν αὐτοῦ, i. e. tigšór ba-' ăbôtôt nîrô or tigšór nîrô ba-' ăbôtôt. We cannot read 'ullô instead of nîrô, because 'ullô could hardly have been corrupted to rêm; nor is ζυγόν a free rendering of tälm, as Duhm supposes. 😝 has: da-lĕmâ ĕsárt nîrâ 'al-qëdâléh dë-ráimâ. Canst thou perhaps bind the yoke on the neck of the urus? The 'abôtôt or môserôt (Jer. 27:2) are the cords or thongs (ιμάντες) by which the wooden forks (môtôt; ef. JBL 32, 113, n. 23) of the yoke are fastened under the necks of the oxen (see p. 169 of the translation of Ezekiel in the Polychrome Bible; EB 78; DB 4, 958). Oriental yokes are open below, whereas in European yokes the bows enclosing the necks of the animals are fastened above the cross-bar or body of the yoke (CD 7022). In Lam. 1:14 we must read: uai-jistareğ 'ól 'al-canuari, the yoke was interlaced on my neek. 6 ή ελκύσει σου ((6 σοι) αύλακας εν πεδίω read αύλακας (= tělamîm) before 'amagim in the second hemistich.—The verb sidded is not derived from śadê, field, as Geo. Hoffmann (1891) supposed:



it is connected with Syr. $s\acute{a}dd\^a$ (or $s\acute{a}dd\^a$) length of a furrow, and Assyr. $\check{s}ad\^adu$, to drag, pull, haul (HW 641; for Delitzsch's gamguge read gurg\^ur\^e; see Kings, SBOT, 114, 23; ef. AkF 41; Herod. 7, 24; Diod. Sic. 3, 29). It does not mean to harrow, but to plow.

For ahrêka we must not substitute $l\check{e}$ -fanêka; it is true, the plower walks behind the oxen, not before them (see the cut in Benzinger's Hebr. Arch. p. 140) and we find similar confusions of the scribes (cf. Mic. 24, n. 3) elsewhere; e. g. we must read in Lam. 1:7 $re^{\hat{e}}\hat{n}$, friends, instead of $\hat{g}ar\hat{n}$, foes; but the furrows are behind the plowman, not before him.

The $k\hat{\imath}$ in v. 11 is concessive (GB¹⁶ 343˚a).—We must not read 'alâu instead of elâu; if a man has an old ox and thinks he can no longer leave his work to it, 'alâu would be appropriate; but if he wants to try a new ox and leave the work to it, elâu is correct: the work is to be assigned to it for the first time; cf. the remarks on the difference between dabáq-lě and dabáq-bě in WF 218; see also Kings (SBOT) 161, 42.—The noun iĕgî·, labor, denotes especially agricultural work; cf. 'ăbôdâ, 1 Chr. 27:26. The stem iaāgá·, to weary oneself, to take pains, corresponds to Arab. váji·a, to feel pain.

V. 12 is an explanatory gloss to v. 11. The Qĕrê iašîb is preferable. For uĕ-\(\bar{g}\)orn\(\bar{e}\)ia read u-\(\bar{b}\)e-\(\bar{g}\)orn\(\bar{e}\)ia, and before i\(\bar{u}\)'s\(\delta\)f we may insert asîf (= qa\(\har{e}\)îr, Jer. 5:17; Joel 1:11; 4:13) which may have dropped out through haplography. The primary connotation of asîf is not ingathering, but ingathered; in the same way the original meaning of qa\(\har{e}\)îr is not cropping, but cropped; contrast Lagarde, Nomina, p. 173.

The Hebrew text of this triplet referring to the mountain-bull should be read as follows:

הַןֹאכה רִים לעָכְרְךְ
 התקשר נירו בּּעבֹרְוֹת אם-ישַׂרְד יּעמְקִים אחריך:
 התבטח-כו כי-רב כֹחו ותעזב אלִיו יגיער:

8 אותור הרים מרעהו אחר-כל ירק ידרש:

10 a תלמם

: אַפָּף אַפָּף ובגרנן ובגרנן מיישיב זריָגך ובגרנן אַפָּף יאַפָּף וו $_{\gamma}$