

Making Biblical Scholarship Accessible

This document was supplied for free educational purposes. Unless it is in the public domain, it may not be sold for profit or hosted on a webserver without the permission of the copyright holder.

If you find it of help to you and would like to support the ministry of Theology on the Web, please consider using the links below:



https://www.buymeacoffee.com/theology



https://patreon.com/theologyontheweb

PayPal

https://paypal.me/robbradshaw

A table of contents for *Journal of Biblical Literature* can be found here:

https://biblicalstudies.org.uk/articles_jbl-01.php

THE ROSE OF SHARON

The rose of Sharon (Cant. 2:11) is the autumn-flowering pale-lilac meadow-saffron, i. e. Colchicum autumnale (BL 117, 1. 7) in the park-like tract (about 8-12 miles wide and 44 miles long) of the Palestinian Maritime Plain extending along the Mediterranean from Joppa to Mt. Carmel. DB 4, 477b says of Sharon: Throughout its whole extent it is gay with myriads of brightly colored flowers (cf. BL 115). Sharon is not a proper name, but a common noun; it is therefore used with the article (GK § 125, d). Nor is it connected with misor, plain; it is not level, but undulating; there are groups of hills 250-300 feet high. Sharôn is a form like racôn from racâ, tertia u; the stem is šarâ (=Arab. tárâ-iátrû) from which mišrâ, juice, is derived (see above, p. 144). Similarly we have hazôn, vision; qu'ôn, highness; jagôn, grief; 'ayôn, sin, from hazâ, ga'â, jagâ, 'auâ; but zadôn, insolence; hamôn, roar; ša'ôn, erash; lašôn, tongue, must be derived from stems media u or i (see Mic. 76). meaning of šarôn is luxuriance (Assyr. mešrû). Sharon was famous for its luxuriant vegetation (Is. 35:2). We might render it The Park (cf. the name Carmel derived from kärm. garden, especially vineyard). EB 4431 states: There is a long extent of park-like scenery in the neighborhood of Mukhâlid in the very North. Formerly there were large oak-groves; therefore 6 renders in Is. 33:9, 35:2, 65:10: δ δρυμός.

PAUL HAUPT.

Johns Hopkins University.

HEB. $\ddot{A}M\ddot{S}$, YESTERDAY = ASSYR. $INA\ M\ddot{C}SI$, AT NIGHT

In my paper on Heb. mašál (above, p. 140 ff.) I have combined Assyr. $ina\ műši\ mašli$, at midnight, with Arab. málta, in the beginning of the night. This semantic difference is not exceptional: Assyr. lîlati (= Heb. lelot) means evening, and műšu (for muššu, mušiu) denotes night, while in Arabie and the other Semitic languages láilah (Ethiop. lelot) is used for night,

¹ According to König 's Wörterbuch (1910) mišrâ denotes marmalade.



and masâ' (Ethiop. měsêt; cf. Assyr. mûšitu)¹ for evening. Heb. ämš, yesterday, is originally in the evening = Assyr. ina mûši, at night, i. e. last night; the day was reckoned from sunset to sunset (inter duos occasus, Plin. 2, 188). In Assyrian the adverb mûšá-ma, at night, is used for yesterday. Shakespeare (Merchant, ii, 5, 21: For I did dream of money-bags to-night) uses to-night for last night; similarly Schiller (Wallensteins Tod 2619: Ein starkcs Schiessen war ja diesen Abend) uses diesen Abend for yesterday evening (cf. the edition of the Bibliographische Institut, vol. 4, p. 358) just as Heb. hal-láilâ, this night, may mean last night (1 S 15:16).

The initial \ddot{a} in Heb. $\ddot{a}m\check{s}$ and $\ddot{a}tm\hat{o}l$ is a remnant of the preposition ina which is common in Assyrian. I have shown in JSOR 1, 42^2 that Ethiop. $\acute{e}nta$, in the direction of, in the manner of, is a feminine form of the preposition ina, just as we have in Hebrew: $b\check{e}l\hat{i}$ and $b\hat{i}l\hat{t}\hat{i}$. The masculine form appears in Ethiop. $en-b\acute{a}la$, $en-z\acute{a}$, and $en-k\acute{a}$. The final i in Arab. $\acute{a}msi$, yesterday, is the ending of the genitive depending on the prefixed preposition ina (contrast WdG 1, 290, A; ZA 11, 352). Assyr. $am\check{s}\hat{a}t$, yesterday (HW 92^b) is shortened from ina $ma\check{s}\acute{a}ti$, the plural of a form like $am\hat{a}tu$, word, or Heb. $m\check{e}n\hat{a}t$, part, and $q\check{e}c\hat{a}t$, end. The ina prefixed to $am\check{s}\acute{a}t$ is pleonastic; cf. Arab. bi-l- $am\check{s}i$ and Heb. bi- $b\check{c}l\hat{i}$ (AJSL 22, 259). For the significal difference in Assyr. ina $ma\check{s}i$ $ma\check{s}li$ and Arab. malta we may also compare Assyr. $\check{s}arru$, king, and malku, prince = Heb. $\check{s}ar$, prince, and $m\ddot{u}lk$, king, originally counselor (JBL 34, 54).

PAUL HAUPT.

Johns Hopkins University.

THE SEPTUAGINTAL ADDITION TO HAGGAI 2:14

After Hag. 2:14 65 has the addition ένεκεν τῶν λημμάτων αὐτῶν τῶν ὁρθρινῶν, ὁδυνηθήσονται ἀπὸ προσώπου πόνων αὐτῶν, καὶ ἐμισεῖτε ἐν πύλαις ἐλέγχοντας, i. e. according to Jerome: propter munera corum matutina dolebunt a facie laborum suorum et oderatis in

^{&#}x27;For the abbreviations see above, p. 75, n. 1.



¹ Dr. Ember has called my attention to the Egypt. msit, evening meal.

² For the abbreviations see above, p. 75.

portis arquentem; cf. Reinke's Haggai (Münster, 1868) pp. 24. 98. Wellhausen thinks that the last clause is derived from Am. 5:10, and that 6 read in the first clause: ia n lighôtám šahr instead of ia'n lěgahtém šohd, because ye have taken a bribe; the second clause, he thinks, may be a gloss on v. 14. whereas the first and third clauses have no connection with the text. Nowack and Marti follow Wellhausen, even in reading εγέγχοντα instead of ελέγχοντας and lighôtám (plur. of lägh?) as well as in translating: sie quälen sich ab mit ihren profanen Arbeiten. The suggestion that the last elause, kai έμισειτε έν πύλαις ελέγχοντας, was derived from Am. 5:10 was made long ago by Drusius (Johannes van der Driesche, 1550-1616). But Am. 5:10, ἐμίσησαν ἐν πύλαις ἐλέγχοντα = Heb. śanč'û baš-ša' r môkîh, they hate (GK § 106, g) him who argues (a cause) at the gate, i. e. who pleads with a court in favor of a (poor) defendant, is a tertiary gloss to the last line of the pentastich Am. 5:11. 12, and this stanza is a secondary addition to Am. 8:4 (see JBL 35, 156; cf. also 287). The last clause of the addition to Hag. 2:14 in 6 is not derived from the tertiary gloss in Am. 5:10; both glosses are illustrative quotations (BL 26).

The Hebrew original of the first and third clauses of the addition to Hag. 2:14 in **6** was, it may be supposed, ia n miq-qahám šohā (cf. 2 Chr. 19:7) and μĕ-śin'aţám baš-ša'r môkîh. The plural πύλωι is due to dittography of the initial m in môkîh, and πύλωι is responsible for ἐλέγχοντα instead of ἐλέγχοντα. **6** also read μĕ-śenêţém for μĕ-śin'a-ţám (GK § 115, d). The Hebrew text of the second clause may have been μαὶ-iamérû mip-pĕnê 'ămalám, and they were in bitterness because of their labor. **6** read μĕ-iamérû. We find δδννηθήσονται for hamér in Zeeh. 12:10; the emendation hamû (ZDMG 66, 401) is gratuitous. We might also read μαὶ-iûğû for μαὶ-iamérû; **6** has δδύνη for iağôn in Gen. 44:31; Pss. 13:3, 107:39. According to Geo. A. Smith the Hebrew text of the third clause was iţ'annû mip-pĕnê 'açbêhém.

This gloss belongs, not to v. 14, but to v. 16, and the two elauses because of their acceptance of bribes and their hatred of pleaders at the gates must be assigned to the final triplet of

² Wellhausen would say sie patschen hinter. Digitzed by Google p. 160.

this poem in Zech. 8:16. 17 (JBL 32, 107; 33, 161). Hag. 2:3-9 and Zech. 8:9-17 may have been written in two parallel columns, and this gloss was inserted between them; afterwards it crept into the wrong column, just as the protest against Gen. 3:16^b appears now in Gen. 4:7 where we must read eláik and att timšělî (CoE 508).

PAUL HAUPT.

Johns Hopkins University.

A PATRISTIC PARALLEL TO 1 COR. 7:18, 21

Attention has already been called to the parallel afforded in Tebtunis Papyri II, no. 421, to the syntax of 1 Cor. 7:18, 27. (American Journal of Theology, XII, pp. 249, 250). The papyrus is a letter about some clothes among other things: in particular a certain turquoise tunic; "You wish to sell it, sell it; you wish to let your daughter have it, let her." This is like Paul's "Thou are bound to a wife; seek not to be loosed; thou art loosed from a wife; seek not a wife." Similar alternative assertions doing the work of conditions occur in ver. 18 and James 5:13, 14.

A similar construction appears in Tatian's Address to the Greeks, 4:1. προστάττει φόρους τελείν ὁ βασιλεύς, ετοιμος παρέχειν, δουλεύειν ὁ δεσπότης καὶ ὑπηρετεῖν, τὴν δουλείαν γινώσκω. "The emperor bids us pay taxes: I am ready to comply. My master bids me be a slave and serve him; I acknowledge my servitude." Tatian is perhaps influenced by Paul's construction in 1 Cor. 7:21: "Thou wast called while a slave; do not care about it." The translator of Tatian in the Ante-Nicene Library, vol. 22, very interestingly falls into something approaching this form of expression in translating two genuine conditional clauses in the Address to the Greeks, 11:1: "Am I a slave, I endure servitude; Am I free, I do not make a vaunt of my good birth" (p. 69). But this too may be due to a reminiscence of Paul's syntax in 1 Cor. 7:21. At any rate Tatian in 4:1 supplies a new instance of Paul's construction, in which a pair of erisp alternative affirmatives do the work of conditional clauses.

Edgar J. Goodspeed.

University of Chicago.

Digitized by Google