

Making Biblical Scholarship Accessible

This document was supplied for free educational purposes. Unless it is in the public domain, it may not be sold for profit or hosted on a webserver without the permission of the copyright holder.

If you find it of help to you and would like to support the ministry of Theology on the Web, please consider using the links below:



A table of contents for *Journal of Biblical Literature* can be found here:

https://biblicalstudies.org.uk/articles_jbl-01.php

on 2 S 2:15; hab-bôrît was omitted in **6** owing to the following $u-b\hat{a}r\hat{o}t\hat{i}$. Valeton's vindication of the traditional reading $b\check{e}-m\hat{a}s\hat{o}rt$ hab-běrît (ZAT 13, 256; see also Krætzschmar ad loc.) is untenable.

Johns Hopkins University.

MĚSUKKÁN, ACACIA NILOTICA

In my paper on Magan and Melukha (OLZ 10, 489)¹ I pointed out that *mčsukkân*, Is. 40:20 denoted the *Acacia nilotica*; it is the Assyr. *musukkânu* (ZA 9, 111) = Sumer. *mĉš*- or *muš*-*Magana*, the tree of Egypt. *Mĉš* is the older form (OLZ 17, 454) of *gĉš*, tree, wood (SGI 97). The adjective Maganian is in Assyrian Makkanû with k = Sumer. g (ef. Delitzsch, Assyr. Studien, 1874, p. 159). I suggested this etymology of *musukkânu* more than thirty-five years ago (see Delitzsch, *Paradies*, p. 130, below; *cf.* Lyon, Sargon, 16, 61; MVAG 18, 2, p. 38).

 $T\check{e}r\hat{u}m\hat{a}$ after $m\check{e}sukk\hat{a}n$ in Is. 40:20 is not a corruption of $tam\acute{a}r$, palm (as I assumed in my translation of Is. 40 in Drugulin's *Marksteine*; cf. JHUC, No. 163, p. 57) but a transposition of $t\hat{i}m\hat{o}r\hat{a}$, post, pillar (AJSL 22, 256). The text of the prosaie gloss following the line $u\check{e}$ - $el-m\hat{i}$ $t\check{e}d\acute{a}mm\check{e}iun$ $\acute{e}l$, u-mad-děm $\hat{u}t$ $ta'r\check{e}k\hat{u}$ -lo, To whom will ye liken Him, what likeness compare to Him? is very much disjointed: the two clauses $u\check{e}$ - $c\acute{o}r\acute{e}f$ baz-zaháb $i\check{e}raqq\check{e}\acute{e}nn\hat{u}$ u- $b\check{e}$ - $r\check{e}t\hat{u}q\hat{o}t$ käsf ir $c\acute{o}f$ (not $c\acute{o}r\acute{e}f$!) should stand, not after the first $h\hat{a}r\hat{a}$'s, but after $h\hat{a}r\hat{a}$'s $hak\acute{a}m$; they refer, not to the gilding of a cast image, but to the overlaying of a wooden core with plates of gold. These golden statues were built up upon a wooden frame braced (Heb. $rac\hat{u}f$) by rods of metals. Phidias' chryselephantine statue of Pallas Athene was constructed in this way.

The ha- prefixed to päsl (cf. JBL 35, 191) and měsukkân is not the article, but the interrogative hǎ- ($\mathfrak{G} \mu \eta, \mathfrak{J}$ numquid?). Hap-päsl nasák hârâš would mean The image cast a craftsman, but the meaning is: (What likeness can you compare to Him?)

¹ For the abbreviations see above, p. 75.

Digitized by Google

PAUL HAUPT.

An image which a craftsman cast? or an acacia (block) which an experienced craftsman selected, and which a goldsmith overlaid with plates of gold, and which he joined with silver braces to sustain it so that it does not fall? The côréf after rětůqôt käsf is a corruption of ircóf; it is not necessary to say ircěféhu or ičracçěfénnû (cf. AJSL 24, 123, l. 4). The stem raçâpu, to join, is common in Assyrian (HW 627^a). In Ethiopic it appears as carába; a carrâbî is a joiner or carpenter, &c. In the late (post-Septuagintal) gloss 1 K 6:21 uai abbér bě-rattîqôt means he made a (transverse) barrier with cancelli; cf. Talmud. rítqâ, rail, fence. Arab. rátaqa means to join, cement, mend, and ieratéq, Eccl. 12:6 (= Assyr. ittariq) has the privative meaning it is disjoined, sundered (contrast AJSL 32, 65).

The relative clause $i\check{e}baqq\check{e}\check{s}\cdotl\hat{o}$ after $h\hat{a}r\hat{a}\check{s}$ $hak\acute{a}m$ must be inserted after the tertiary gloss $t\hat{i}m\hat{o}r\hat{a}$, post. 'Eç lô $irq\acute{a}b$, wood which does not rot (\mathfrak{G} $\xi\check{v}\lambda ov$ $\check{a}\sigma\eta\pi\tau ov$) is an additional explanatory gloss to měsukkân. According to Jerome, amsuchan is a genus ligni imputribile (see Isaiah, SBOT, 129, 26). \mathfrak{G} has $\xi\check{v}\lambda a\,\check{a}\sigma\eta\pi\tau a$ for ' $\check{a}\varsigma\hat{e}$ $\check{s}i\sharp{f}\hat{i}m$, acacia wood. The $\check{s}i\sharp{f}\hat{a}$ tree (Arab. sun \mathring{f}) is not the Acacia nilotica (Herod. 2, 96: $\check{a}\kappa av\theta a$; Plin. 24:107.109: spina) but the Acacia seyal (cf. Bædeker's Palästina⁷, p. 158). This wood is exceedingly hard and is not attacked by insects (DB 4, 507^a).

The Hebrew text should be read as follows:

¹⁸ ואל-מִי תִרְמֵיון אָל ומה-דמִות תערכָו-לו¹⁸

וצרף בזהב ירקענו (ג'ן הַפּסל נסן חרש {} : הַמָּסְכָּן ββ יבחר חרש חכם (ג'ן ג'רף בזהב ירקענו 20, 19 (a) וברהָקות כסף ירצף} להכין הפסל ולא יפוט:

אירקב [יבַקש-לו] עין לא ירקב ββ

The traditional rendering he that is so impoverished that he hath no oblation is as preposterous as the translation of Prov. 23:34 discussed above, p. 79. Duhm's emendations ha-měsakkín těmůnâ, he who carves an image, or ha-měkônén těmûnâ, he who sets up an image, do not help matters.

PAUL HAUPT.

Johns Hopkins University.

146

Digitized by Google