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# $\tau \varepsilon \tau р о \pi \omega \mu \varepsilon \nu \circ u s$ Joshua 116. <br> MAX L. MARGOLIS <br> DROPGEE COLLEGE. 

THE word covers the Hebrew Targum) and the Greek means "put to flight, ronted". Query: Are we dealing with a free rendering or is the Greek faulty?

By the side of the reading terporamevous which is found in $\mathbf{E},{ }^{1} \mathbf{S}-\mathrm{gr}$ and in the majority of witnesses belonging to $\mathbf{P}$ there occurs the variant tetpoopevous in the Old Latin (vulneratos) and in the $\mathbf{P}$ members: $\mathbf{F}$ with its congener $f, \mathrm{c} \mathfrak{c}, \mathrm{m}$, and, according to Holmes-Parsons, 16*. It is likewise found in $\mathfrak{a}$ whence Masius took it over. ${ }^{3}$ Grabe prints it, relegating the other reading to the margin which latter Drusius pronounced to be an error, the correction of a sciolist. In this verdict I acquiesced in a former publication.

[^0]Schlensner, I find now, hits upon another emendation. "Quidni
 by Nobil. for $\tau \rho o \pi n s$ III Kingd. 2235 (in a doublet, Hebrew $\pi \pi^{3 p}=\pi \lambda r \gamma \eta$ in the first of the duplicate version) but not verified (see Field), led the way. It is certainly a plausible emendation there. Whatever tpoin may mean, it does not signify

 тротиs, tundere (Deut. Job) - Ip1 Job. 4021 (26) Hag 16 and Symm. Job 4019 (24), yצר Exod. 21 b (hence with some freedom in the

 nose, or one may have a decuos teтpurnuevos, a purse with a hole in it; or, if we go to Homer, one may tputav ship-timber with the borer ( $\tau \rho u \pi a v o v$, terebra); but no example is available for ter $\rho u \pi$ ymevos in the sense of "wounded". From terebra comes the verb terebrare "bore, bore through, perforate"; it is used Job 167 (8) for titpcooxect, just as compungere covers the same Greek verb Job 36 25. But elsewhere titpworetv is vulnerare (hence the identification in $\boldsymbol{P}$ above) and vulneratus can hardly be in ordinary Greek prose тeт $\rho u \pi n \mu e v o s$.

If tetputnuevous is unlikely and tetpoonevous too facile, let us try our hand once more at tet $\rho о \pi$ comevous. tpotovn or (the middle) $\tau \rho o \pi o v \sigma \theta a t$ is a stronger $\tau \rho e \pi e t v$ ( $\tau \rho e \pi e \sigma \theta a t$ ). In addition to the one example from Dion. Halic. cited by LiddellScott, Herwerden has two from the papyri. In the Bible, the verb is a good equivaleut for $\eta$ ๆ
 $\tau \rho e \pi e \sigma \theta a t$ (middle) renders N reads eт $\rho о \pi \omega \sigma \sigma a \nu t o$ ) and
 116 , see above; $\boldsymbol{f}^{1}$ NTF OYBCOTC $=$ pugnae). In Jerem. 3010 (49 32) where $\tau \rho o \pi \eta=$ - צֵ "calamity" $\$$ has misread the Greek: $y \nu 0 \omega=$ evt $\rho о \pi \eta \nu$ comp. e. g. Ps. 34 (35) 26. A s.imilar misreading is found Sir. 45 23: ev evtpont cod. 155 (hence reverentia $\boldsymbol{\ell}$ ) for ev $\tau \rho o \pi \eta$. The translator is here rather free: кal бтทoal autov ev т $\rho \circ \pi \eta$ خ $\lambda$ aou for 1 . Here by the
way $\mathbb{C}$ misread $\tau \rho о \pi \eta$ as o $\rho \gamma \eta$ ( $\left(00 N T\right.$ ), comp. opy $\eta \mathrm{S}^{1}$ for poxn Sir. 121 (opyn suits the context here, see Smend). This brings us to Joshua 13 22. The Hebrew verse reads וs rendered in S ev ти троюои (in praedam). The reading is found also $\mathrm{h}^{*} \mathrm{n}$ ( $-16,52,57,77,131,236,237$, Cat-Nic; $85^{\text {mg }}$; comp. also ev to $\pi a \rho e \mu \beta_{0} \lambda_{\eta} 30$ ) and $a_{1}$. Barring the marginal reading ev tous т $\rho$ avinatias autco ${ }^{3}$ in d , all the other witnesses are divided between ev $\boldsymbol{\tau} \eta$ рот! ( $\mathrm{Bh}^{2} \mathbb{C}$ in $\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{G b}$ and the majority of the witnesses in $\mathbf{P}$; in $g$ the reading is that of the first hand) and $\epsilon 1$ $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\rho o \pi \eta}\left(\mathbb{C}\right.$ in $\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{c}, \mathcal{S}$ and $\mathfrak{g}^{\boldsymbol{e}}, \mathrm{a} a_{\mathbf{2}}, \mathfrak{a}, 209$ in $\mathbf{P}$ ). $\mathbf{S}$ clearly stands aside, com. vov for tov tov of EP. $\Omega$ apparently followed an Egyptian text, merely adding sub asterisco ot urot $\overline{\operatorname{Tn}}$ ev $\rho \circ \mu$ -中ala. The variation $\rho \circ \pi \eta$ / r $\rho \circ \pi \eta$ meets us in both groups, , and $\mathbf{P}$, the Origenic texts themselves being divided. полemoce,
 LXX", Masius) point to toont, just as mér egoes back to $\rho o \pi \eta$. The variation is clearly scribal: comp. in profane literature "т $\rho \circ \pi \eta \nu$ f. l. pro $\rho \circ \pi \eta \nu$ Plut. Aem. P. 33 extr.", Herwerden, 1482. ролท, from perco "incline", means inclination downwards, esp. the fall of the scale; metaph. the turn of the scale, the critical mornent; also that which causes inclination downwards, downward momentum, metaph. influence. According to Hesychius (apud Schleusner s. v.) porv is $\kappa \lambda \iota \sigma t s$ (so Suidas), vevua, paßdos, $\delta v v a \mu s$, Bon $\theta e t a$. In the New Testament we find the phrase ev poriy o $\phi \theta a \lambda \mu o v$ I Cor. 1552 as a variant below the text in von Soden's edition for the textual ev $\rho(\pi y$
 ment"; the Peshltta writes כדרף עען Berakot 2 b. Comp. also Aristeae Epistula, ed. Wendland, § 90: poti ( $\rho \iota \pi \eta \mathrm{L}^{\mathbf{s}}$ ) кat vevjatı "momento temporis et ad nutum". In the Greek Old Testament we meet with poan Yuyou Pror.




[^1]
 203837 Ps. 35 (36) 6 Prov. 828 (Job 3718 read potas Field in Auctario from cod. 252 against tootas Field in textu). Comp. also Wisd 11, 22 шs ротท eк $\pi \lambda a \sigma \pi เ \gamma \gamma \omega \nu$ (with
 also III Mac. 548 vбтatท⿱ $\beta$ ıov poatทv. None of these connotations would fit the passage in Joshua, and Frankel's (Vorstudien, 187) guess that we have here an allusion to the haggadic legend according to which Balaam had lifted himself up by his mantic art into the heights and was thence hurled down by Phinehas ${ }^{4}$ will have to be dismissed as irrelevant. "Non vana itaque est suspicio, vel legendam esse $\varepsilon \nu \tau \rho \sigma \pi \eta "$ - - his other guess is not worth mentioning -, Schleusner.

Hence the correct reading is ev $\tau \eta \tau \rho o \pi \eta$ "in the rout". The phrase covers . $\boldsymbol{\text { sh }}$ תלליהם. The translator neglected to render בתרב. aтeктeוvav = הרגו בתרב. "In the rout" is a bit free for "among the rest of their slain" RV., but a "rout" implies a number of "slain" persons. Hence in 11 f "routed" is just as free but just as correct for "slain". єv $\tau \eta \tau \rho \sigma \pi \eta 13,22=5$
 rably. In the former passage $B$ is corrupt, but on the other hand B has the correct reading in the latter place,



 \%) for which against Rashi we have in Joshoa לx; comp. Pal. Sanhedrin
 tור.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1} \mathbf{E}=$ Egyptian, $\mathbf{S}=$ Syrian ( $\mathbf{S}-\mathrm{gr}=$ the Greek constituenta of the recension, i. e. minus $\mathfrak{f}), \mathbf{P}=$ Palestinian recension, $\boldsymbol{\Omega}=$ Origen, BFG need no explanation. $\&=$ Holmes-Parsons 15.64, $a_{1}=18, a_{2}=128,4=$ Aldinh, $\mathrm{b}=$ cod. Mus. Brit. Curzon 66, $\mathrm{c}=\mathrm{c}(=108), \mathrm{c}(=$ Complutensian) and c ( $=$ Lagarde who used in addition codex 19), $d=58, g=121, h=55, m=82$, $\mathrm{n}=$ Athous $\gamma .118, f=\operatorname{cod}$. Meteoron in Thessaly; $\boldsymbol{f 1}=$ Bohairic ed. Lagarde; $\mathbb{C}=$ Coptic; $\mathbb{C}=$ Ethiopic $\boldsymbol{f}=$ Latin $\boldsymbol{X}=$ Syrohexaplarí
    ${ }^{2}$ Lagarde's Syriac has: مب . Masius tacitly identified it with тeтpouscous, bat in all probability refpormuepous was the underlying Greek
     Nevertheless the assumption will stand that the translator read rerporw$\mu e n o u s$ rather than retpumenous. The margin of Lagarde's Syriac has: . The signature ( $=\sigma^{\prime}$ ) is apparently missing; according to Masius, Symmachus' rendering was occisos.

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ Comp. Num. 318 our ross rpaunartaus aurcov, an addition which, obelized
     of the verse.

