

Making Biblical Scholarship Accessible

This document was supplied for free educational purposes. Unless it is in the public domain, it may not be sold for profit or hosted on a webserver without the permission of the copyright holder.

If you find it of help to you and would like to support the ministry of Theology on the Web, please consider using the links below:



https://www.buymeacoffee.com/theology



https://patreon.com/theologyontheweb

## **PayPal**

https://paypal.me/robbradshaw

A table of contents for *Journal of Biblical Literature* can be found here:

https://biblicalstudies.org.uk/articles\_jbl-01.php

## Ichabod

## J. DYNELEY PRINCE COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY

THE expression איכנוד occurs twice in the OT.; viz., in 1 S. 4 21 and 14 3, in each case of the son of Phinehas. The explanation is given in 1 S. 4 22 that the name was used, because the glory had departed from Israel.' Some scholars, therefore, regard איכנוד ווא as privative = 'without glory'; i. e., as an abbreviation of איכנוד i'k'; i. e., as an abbreviation of איכנוד i'k'; i'k'; volkerpsychologie, xviii., p. 421), but 'k' can be equally well construed as 'woe, alas' (איכנוד) 'woe for the glory'), as in Eccles. 10 16 איכנוד 'k'; 4 10 איכנוד i'k'; woe for the glory'), as in

The similar 'א in the proper name אזכל was probably also an exclamation. The element אזכל here is unclear, nor does Prätorius (ZDMG, lxxv., pp. 794-795) give any satisfactory explanation of it. In Assyrian zabâlu means 'bring, carry,' and has as a synonym nasû 'lift up.' The stem zabâlu seems to be connected with the idea 'tribute' (i. e., 'a bringing'), as we find zabil dupšikki, zabil kudurri 'tribute-bringer, tax-payer.' Note also the expression ina zabal ramânišu, probably 'as his own tribute,' and also ZA-BA-LAM — mîširtu 'taxes,' iv, 20, No. 1, 21. The element בולון appears also in the Phonician names: בעלובל, בעלובל, בעלובל, all which may contain the same idea of 'bringing, giving' and hence 'value, treasure.' The name אזכלה. אוכנות אוכנות 'treasure,' and hence be similar in construction to אוכנות 'treasure,' and hence be similar in construction to

The rendering 'woe the glory' for איכבוד is apparently confirmed by the use in the Sumerian hymns of the woe-term a-lig, which appears in most passages as an apparent parallel to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> It is possible that ובל may indicate a god-name: the treasure, precious one (?).

איכבוד. A-lig is seen, especially in Tammuz hymns, in the following constructions:

CT. xv, 20, 4: a-lig lig Da-mu-(mu)
we the mighty one, mighty one, my Tammuz

- 5. a-lig dumu u-mu-un Mu-zi-(da) woe the mighty one, lord Muzida
- 6. a-lig dimmer silim<sup>2</sup> (KA-DI) i-de za ..... woe the mighty one, god of splendor, eye of ....
- 7. a-lig dimmer lamga u-mu-un s(a-par) woe the mighty one, god, artificer, lord (of the net)
- 8. a-lig li-bi-ir<sup>3</sup> u-mu-un (....) woe the mighty one, overseer, lord of .....
- 9. a-lig mu-lu sir<sup>4</sup> (BU) an-na-(mu) woe the mighty one, my heavenly light.

The same use of a-lig occurs in IV. 27 No. 4, 3: Mu-ul-lil-la-ra a-lig 'for Bêl, woe the mighty one.'

The entire question hinges on the exact meaning in this connection of a-lig, which appears in the word-lists with the following equivalents, easily classifiable into three distinct meaning-groups:

A. a-lig = xi-i-lu, Meissner, 883: 'power.' Here a is plainly abstract in force (cf. Prince, Materials for a Sumerian Lexicon, p. xvii, for full discussion) and lig 'power,' a common meaning; cf. Br. 6193f: = danânu, dannu, emêqu, idlu, izzu.

B. a-lig = i-nu, Br. 11537 (cf. Meissner, 8880: i-nu? ša  $m\hat{e}$  'spring of water').

a-lig (Sum. val. e-la) = mi-lum, Br. 11538: 'flood.'

a-lig = namba'u, Meissner, 8886: 'water-spring.'

a-lig = §i-il-lu, Meissner, 8888: 'pudendum feminae' (cf. Prince, Amer. Journ. Philol. xv., p. 112) from the idea 'water-spring, well,' as in Heb. אב. Note Haupt's interpretation of Eccles. 12 1: זכר את בוראיך:

a-lig = ta-ti-ik-tum from  $nat\hat{a}ku$  'pour out,' connected also with the water-idea.

- <sup>2</sup> KA-DI with pronunciation si-lim = tašrixtu 'splendor,' Br. 746.
- 3 Li-bi-ir == nâgiru 'overseer,' Br. 1133.
- \* sir (BU) = nûru 'light,' Br. 7530; napâxu 'shine,' Br. 7527.



In all the above equations, a = `water' + lig `strong'; in connection with water probably 'copious.'

It is evident that neither of the above groups assist in the interpretation of the a-liq of the Tammuz hymns. Here it should be noted, however, that u'a 'oh, woe' is a common meaning for a; cf. Meissner, 8694, quoting extensively from the Reisner texts, so that a-liq in the context of the Tammuz hymns, where it is clearly an exclamation of distress, can only mean 'oh, woe' = u'a + 'power' or 'powerful' = lig. Such an expression at once suggests איכבוד 'O the glory' which seems to be a parallel exclamation applied as a proper name. It should be observed that Heb. 7122 is adjectival 'powerful,' Ezek. 23 41, as well as substantival passim. The rendering of a-lig by 'O the powerful one'; 'woe the glory' or 'glorious one' in the Tammuz passages cited above is peculiarly appropriate to the situation, as the singer is lamenting the death of the life-giving sun during the winter months. We may classify then as Group C:

a-lig = u'a + dannu 'O the mighty one,' CT. xv. 20, 4 ff; 24-25 (see below).

a-lig = il-lum, Br. 11539: 'weak' from  $al\hat{a}lu$ ; cf. ullu, Meissner, 8881 = il-lu.

a-lig = ni-i-lu 'rest,' from na'âlu, Meissner, 8864.

a-lig = šexru, V. 22,36 from שחרר 'be in difficulties'; cf. also šaxrartu 'need.'

The meanings 'weak, rest, be in straits' are to be regarded as secondary developments of a-lig = u'a + dannu, a common phenomenon in Sumerian (cf. Prince, Materials, pp. viii-ix).

The only passage which might appear to militate against the comparison of a-lig with איכבוד is CT, xv, 20,

- 24. ne-šù šeš-zu mulu er-ri ba-an-tu-tu unto this thy brother, the man of weeping, wilt thou enter?
- 25. ne-šù Dumu-zi mulu a-lig ba-an-tu-tu

  To this one Tammuz, the man of a-lig, wilt thou enter?

In this couplet, a-lig is plainly a substantival parallel to  $er(A-\check{S}I)$ -ri in the preceding line. Langdon (Psalms, p. 30, note 4) even explained this a-lig as a-ri(b), a supposed philo-

logical equivalent of er-ri. On p. 272, op. cit., however, he rightly saw the improbability of this comparison. The reading lig<sup>5</sup> = 'power, powerful' for KAL seems assured by the frequent -ga-suffix following the KAL-sign (cf. especially Prince, Materials, 223-224).

A-lig, as shown above, is usually an exclamation, but it is used in CT. xv. 20, 25 as a substantive. Similar uses of exclamations as nouns, however, are not uncommon in the cuneiform literature, as, for example, HT. 122, 13: beltum ina zurub libbi šimme; zarbiš addiki axulapia, 'O lady, hearken to the trouble of my heart; bitterly I present to thee my "how long';" HT. 115, rev. 12: ina wa u â šunuxat (kabitti) 'with Ah and Oh my soul is sighing.'

The use of the exclamation a-lig, therefore, as a noun, in no way prevents our comparing its composition and usual construction with the Heb. איכבוד, of which it appears to be a satisfactory prototype.

<sup>5</sup> Zimmern, Tammuzhymnen, p. 230, reads A-DAN; cf. also Vanderburgh, AJSL, xxvii., pp. 86-87.