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# The Text and Interpretation of Ecclesiastes 519 

aborge a. babton

## BRYM MAW COLLEOM

T
 presents great difficulties, which have long been recognized by interpreters. The Hiph. part. may be from the root (I) "to answer," or from the root (II) ענה "to be engrossed, anxious, wearied with," identical with the Ar. (III) Some have understood the verb in the sense of the Syr. فیا, Ar. "to sing," and (IV) still others with the Ar. غَغَن "to be rich." Reoent commentators have, however, varied between (I) and (II), and the other suggestions do not need serious consideration.
In favor of (I) עעה, "to answer," the names of Döderlein, Rosenmüller, Gesenius, Ewald, Delitzsch, Nowack, Wright, and MeNeile may be quoted. In favor of (II) "to engross," Knobel, De Jong, Siegfried, Wildeboer, and Haupt (Eccl., p. 23 and JBL, xix. p. 71).
In either case a difficulty is felt in that as the text stands the verb has no object. Various emendations of the text: have accordingly been suggested, the one most popular being
 longer be a genitive and may become the object of the verb.
The real solution of the problem is, I think, found in an appeal to the versions. So far as I know, all the elements of the solution have never been put together by a single commentator, though Siegfried closely approaches it.


 them the reading bצנדו. This latter point McNeile has perceived (Ecclesiastes, p. 144), but has overlooked the former.

S reads:

in which the root shas in Heb. might mean "answer" or "engross, occupy," and is accordingly ambiguous, but which clearly supports the reading

The $\mathfrak{V}$ reads: "Non enim satis recordabitur dierum vitae suae, eo quod Deus occupet deliciis cor ejus." This supports those who connect עצנה with (II) but does not favor the reading עצנרו. It supports instead the reading

© does not translate, but gives a paraphrase, in which it is evident that they connected צענה with עצנה "to humble, afflict."

The Arabic reads the last half of the verse:
i.e. "For God attracts him by the pleasure of his heart," supporting both the interpretation of as from (II) עת

Professor Haupt (JBL, xix. p. 71) claims that we should emend the passage according to $\mathfrak{V}$. It seems to me clear, however, that 6 the oldest version supplies a simpler and clearer reading, which is supported by the Syr. and Ar., and that we should follow its rendering, "For not much will he notice (r) the days of his life, for God engrosses him with the joy of his heart." This fits the preceding context admirably, and gives a much more appropriate meaning than "answer." The chief difficulty in the passage was created by the falling out of a 1 at the end of -8

