

Making Biblical Scholarship Accessible

This document was supplied for free educational purposes. Unless it is in the public domain, it may not be sold for profit or hosted on a webserver without the permission of the copyright holder.

If you find it of help to you and would like to support the ministry of Theology on the Web, please consider using the links below:



A table of contents for *Journal of Biblical Literature* can be found here:

https://biblicalstudies.org.uk/articles_jbl-01.php

The Phrase רכבים צמדים in 2 Kings ix. 25.

PROF. PAUL HAUPT, PH.D. JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY.

In the critical notes on the Hebrew text of Isa. 21°, in the Polychrome Bible, I pointed out that the Hebrew term שמד מרשים did not mean 'horsemen in double rank' but 'a team of horses harnessed together, a pair of horses driven together'; Assyr. *cindu*, fem. *cimittu*, or *nacmadu* always referred to chariots, never to horsemen. Cheyne remarked (*op. cit.*, p. 123, ll. 7 ff.) that most interpreters had preferred the view rejected by me on Assyriological grounds because the scene described by the seer appeared to require it; the interpreters referred to quoted on their side 2 Kings 9²⁵: אני ואתה את רכבים צמרים אחרי אחאב אכיו. Cheyne added that he did not know whether there were any Assyrian contexts parallel to the contexts in 2 Kings 9²⁵ and Isa. 21°. There are no cuneiform parallels to 2 Kings 9²⁵, but the meaning of that passage seems to me clear.

While the young King Ahaziah of Judah visited his wounded uncle, King Joram of Israel, at Jezreel, Jehu, the commander of the Israelitish army, revolted. The two kings went forth in their chariots to meet him. An arrow from Jehu's bow pierced Joram's heart, and when Jehu beheld the fallen son of Ahab, he recalled the words uttered against Joram's father, Ahab, by the prophet Elijah on that ill-omened spot, the land of Naboth of Jezreel: In the place where the dogs licked the blood of Naboth shall the dogs lick thine own blood (I Kings 21¹⁹).

In his article on Jehu in Hastings' Dictionary of the Bible, Principal Whitehouse remarks, Jehu and Bidkar rode in a chariot along with others in pairs, adding that there was something exceptional in this: usually three rode in a Hebrew chariot, as we find among the Hittites. This statement is repeated in Whitehouse's article on "Chariots" in Cheyne-Black's Encyclopædia Biblica. As רכבים , however, refers only to Jehu and Bidkar, it cannot mean 'riding in pairs.' This would require the singular, Year. It is true



that the Targum reads as though the text had the singular (רכיבין זונא חד). Gesenius-Buhl¹³ s.v. אמד proposes to join the final D of Yarra to the following אחרים, thus reading מטל דדכיר אנא אנא rhe Peshita renders מטל דדכיר אנא אנא because I remeni- ואנת כד רכיבין הוין ודבירין בתר אחב אבוהי ber, I and thou were riding and driving behind his father Ahab.' Everything becomes clear if we read and instead of the Masoretic אמרים. The preceding רכבים is merely a prefixed gloss, explaining the meaning of LITEL, while the nota accusativi before LITEL is simply a partial dittography of the preceding pronoun 778. On the other hand, we must insert, with the Ancient Versions, a second instead of the Masoretic וכר instead of the Masoretic אני ואתה (cf. Gesenius-Buhl¹³, p. 217^e, and contrast *ibid.*, p. 83^e, below). Consequently we must read : כי וכר אני אני ואתה צמרים אחרי הוה אכיו את המשא הוה, i.e., for I remember, I and thou were teaming behind his father Ahab when Yahweh uttered this oracle against him.

Now, what is the meaning of this denominative participle *teaming*? It means, not 'we rode together behind Ahab's chariot in pairs,' but 'we rode together with Ahab in his chariot as his team,' i.e., I as his driver (1227, I Kings 2284) and thou as his shield-bearer (1227), , I S. 314, Assyr. kizu 1). Josephus says, in his Jewish Antiquities, IX. 64, that Jehu and Bidkar were in Ahab's chariot when Elijah uttered this oracle (cf. the Addenda and Corrigenda prefixed to the second edition of Thenius' commentary on Kings, ad p. 390, l. 9). We see on the Egyptian monuments that the Asiatic chariots carried a driver (hvíoxos) and a shield-bearer (hmepaomiorn's) besides the warrior ($\pi a \rho a \beta a \tau \eta s$), while on the Egyptian monuments we notice but two men, viz. archer and driver, but no shield-bearer.⁹ This third man on the Asiatic chariots is termed, in Hebrew, שליש. If we read in Exod. 147 that Pharaoh took six hundred select chariots and all the other chariots of Egypt, ושלשם על כלו, the glossator, who added this clause, may have thought that the Egyptian chariots were exceptionally well manned for the pursuit of the Israelites, not with two only (hvíoxos and $\pi a \rho a \beta a \tau \eta s$), as was customary in Egypt, but with three, including shield-bearers as in Asia. The Syro-

¹ Cf. Friedrich Delitzsch, Assyrische Studien (Leipzig, 1874), p. 133; Assyr. Handwörterbuch (Leipzig, 1896), p. 324.

² See the Notes on the English translation of Joshua, in the Polychrome Bible (New York, 1899), p. 91, l. 23; cf. Benzinger, *Heb. Archaol.*, p. 357; Nowack, *Heb. Arch.*, vol. i. p. 367.

75

1

Hexaplar ' renders ותליתיא דקימין על כלהין, adding in the margin תליתיא משמה להלין דקימין על מרכבתא תלתא גיר קימין על ארא תרין מקרבין וחד מדבר לה למרכבתא, 'Ternaries' is the name of those who stood on the chariots; three were standing on one chariot, two fighters and one driving the chariot. A similar explanation is given in a marginal note to the Syro-Hexaplar Version of 2 Kings 7^2 , where the Septuagintal term $\tau \rho_i \sigma \tau \alpha \tau \eta_5$ is explained as one of the three who stood on the war-chariots, two of them fighting and one driving.³ The Septuagintal term *τριστάτηs* is generally supposed to be identical with rourográrys, 'third in order, third in rank.' In Liddell and Scott's Greek Lexicon it is explained to denote one who stands next to the king and queen, *i.e.*, the vizier or first minister; but τριστάτης (or τρισσός 1 Kings 922, in the Lucianic recension) 4 means στας έφ' άρματος αύτος τρίτος συν δυσιν άλλοις, δύο άλλους έχων μεθ' έαυτοῦ, viz. ἡνίοχον καὶ παραβάτην. The warriors stood on the chariots; they did not sit down; the translation of the Vulgate in 2 Kings 925, Memini enim, quando ego et tu sedentes in curru sequebamur Ahab patrem ejus, is therefore inaccurate.

This meaning, third man on the chariot, *i.e.*, shield-bearer or armor-bearer ($i\pi\epsilon\rho\alpha\sigma\pi\iota\sigma\tau\eta$ s, $\delta\pi\lambda\circ\phi\delta\rho\sigma$ s, armiger), is appropriate in all the passages of the Old Testament. We need not suppose that $w \psi w$ had the meaning 'knight,' like armiger, or officer, or adjutant, or choice soldiers, or life-guards. The drivers and shield-bearers of the royal chariot were no menials, but distinguished warriors just as the Homeric $\eta \nu \delta \alpha \omega$. The passage I Kings 9^{22} states expressly that the $\Box \psi \psi w$ were no bondservants. In spite of the objections raised by Dillmann⁵ and Gesenius-Buhl $\psi \psi \psi$ means throughout the 'third man,' *i.e.*, the shield-bearer on a chariot ; it never means 'one third'; the two passages Isa. 40^{12} and Ps. 80^6 , where $\psi \psi \psi$ is supposed to mean 'one third' (of an ephah) are corrupt.⁶ In I S. 18⁶, on the other hand, $\psi \psi \psi$ denotes a triangular musical instrument, *i.e.*, a small portable triangular harp.⁷

⁸ See Lagarde's Bibliotheca Syriaca (Gottingæ, 1892), p. 65; cf. ibid., p. 230. Contrast Brockelmann, Lexicon Syriacum, p. 398, sub הליחי מימא

⁴ Cf. Lagatde's Librorum Veteris Testamenti Canonicorum Pars Prior Graece (Gottingæ, 1883), p. 342.

⁶ See the third edition of his commentary on Exodus and Leviticus, edited by Ryssel (Leipzig, 1887), p. 161; cf. also Baentsch ad Exod. 14⁷.

⁶ See my paper "The Hebrew term "in vol. iv. of the Beiträge zur Assyriologie edited by Delitzsch and Haupt (Leipzig, 1902).

⁷ See the illustrated Appendix on the Music of the Ancient Hebrews in the English translation of the Psalms in the Polychrome Bible (New York, 1898), p. 233, l. 28.



The term **D**^{*}, which is mentioned in several passages in conjunction with with, means simply 'footmen, footsoldiers.' In 2 Kings 1025 the LXX renders השלשים by הנגט אמו by הנגט אמו ίππεῖς, and inπεῖς includes ήνίοχοι καὶ παραβάται καὶ ὑπερασπισταί. Heb. **DWT** 'runners' corresponds to the Assyrian term $zdq \cdot sepd$ 'infantry,' lit. 'rush of feet.' We often find in the cuneiform historical texts narkabtu u zuqu, i.e., chariots and infantry, or narkabtu, bitxallu u zúqu, charioteers, horsemen, and footsoldiers. Delitzsch gave the correct explanation of sug sepd in his Assyr. Handwörterbuch, p. 235^b, but in the glossary appended to the fourth edition of his Assyrische Lesestücke (Leipzig, 1900), p. 165^b he translates züg šepi by Sturmlauf (assault, rush); cf. Delitzsch's notes on Senn. 316 on p. xvi of the third edition of the Assyr. Lesestücke (Leipzig, 1885) and my remarks on this passage in our Beiträge zur Assyriologie, vol. i, p. 177, s.v. nipišu.

The name of Jehu's shield-bearer Bidkar, who served in the same capacity on Ahab's chariot while Jehu was Ahab's driver, appears in the Peshita as \Box This shows that \Box is merely a contraction of \Box which appears as the name of one of Solomon's governors in 1 Kings 4°. Jehu must have been famous as a driver; we still call a coachman, especially a reckless one, Jehu. In 2 Kings 9³⁰ the watchman on the tower in Jezreel reports, The driving is like the driving of Jehu ben-Nimshi; he drives recklessly.

In v.¹⁸ we read that the horseman, whom Joram had sent out to meet Jehu, asked him in the name of the king, השלום, *i.e.*, Is all well? or, Is there aught amiss? whereupon Jehu answered מהילך This must not be translated, What hast thou to do with peace? It means, How can you ask such a stupid question, whether all is well, or whether there is aught amiss? Hold your tongue and join my followers! In Arabic you would say *Må laka wa-lihådå* 's-su'ál fl hå<u>d</u>å 'l-håli, lit., 'What to thee and to this question in this condition?'

I should therefore translate $2 \text{ Kings } 9^{30}$: When Joram fell down in his chariot, mortally wounded by the arrow from Jehu's bow, Jehu said to his shield-bearer Bidkar, Take him away and cast him on the land of Naboth of Jezreel, for I remember how Yahweh uttered this oracle against his father Ahab, while I and thou stood together behind him on his chariot (I as driver and thou as shield-bearer): Just as I have seen the blood of Naboth and of his sons I will requite thee on this spot, said Yahweh.

* Cf. Gray, Hebrew Proper Names (London, 1896), p. 69.

77