

Making Biblical Scholarship Accessible

This document was supplied for free educational purposes. Unless it is in the public domain, it may not be sold for profit or hosted on a webserver without the permission of the copyright holder.

If you find it of help to you and would like to support the ministry of Theology on the Web, please consider using the links below:



https://www.buymeacoffee.com/theology



https://patreon.com/theologyontheweb

PayPal

https://paypal.me/robbradshaw

A table of contents for *Journal of Biblical Literature* can be found here:

https://biblicalstudies.org.uk/articles_jbl-01.php

2. Amos 525: הובחים ומנחה הנשתם לי במדבר ארבנים שנה הנשתם לי במדבר ארבנים שנה. This is usually translated, "Did ye bring me sacrifices and meal-offerings in the wilderness forty years, O house of Israel?" Such a rendering evidently expects the answer, No, and thence the conclusion is drawn that to the historical memory of Amos's age the period of the wanderings in the wilderness had been one without sacrifices and meal-offerings. I will attempt to show that this translation is false and that the passage will not bear the conclusion commonly drawn from it. Two points seem generally to have been neglected: first, the force of במדם ומנחה הנים as a whole, and, secondly, the emphatic position of הנים ובחים וב

First, as to אות. This is not a technical term meaning 'to offer,' though Siegfried-Stade says so explicitly, and Gesenius-Buhl suggests the same. None of the cases quoted (I leave out of account, of course, this passage) supports that force. All it means is to bring near into any one's presence or to put before any person or any thing; it is used of bringing to the altar, but not of offering on the altar. Further, the root או שבי וויי של היי של

Second, as to the emphatic position of the words. Is not the correct translation of Prov. 30⁷, אמרם שאלתי מאתך, "Only two things do I ask of thee"? If a ה interrogative were prefixed, should we not have to translate thus, "Have I asked only two things of thee?" Again, take Gen. 42¹², ארם לראת לראת ; must we not render, "It is nothing but the nakedness of the land ye have come to see," or words to that effect? And if a הוור interrogative were prefixed, would not the true rendering be, "Is it only the nakedness of the land that ye have come to see?"

I feel therefore compelled to render the passage in Amos, "Was

¹ Geo. A. Smith (*The Twelve Prophets* i., p. 170) renders, "Was it flesh or meal-offerings that ye brought Me...?" but on p. 103 he has, "Did ye offer unto Me sacrifices and gifts...?" Which of these renderings does he regard as correct?

² N. Schmidt, in the JOURNAL OF BIBLICAL LITERATURE xiii. 11, renders מים here and לי here and לי here and לי

it only (or nothing but) flesh-sacrifices and meal-offerings that ye brought to me in the wilderness for forty years, O house of Israel?" And the answer expected can only be, We brought to thee other things as well, true worship of the heart and righteousness, public and private. Such a translation renders the emphatic position of the words, and explains why Amos had here to use מלוחות it does not prove that in the wilderness there were no מלוחות.