

Making Biblical Scholarship Accessible

This document was supplied for free educational purposes. Unless it is in the public domain, it may not be sold for profit or hosted on a webserver without the permission of the copyright holder.

If you find it of help to you and would like to support the ministry of Theology on the Web, please consider using the links below:



https://www.buymeacoffee.com/theology



https://patreon.com/theologyontheweb

PayPal

https://paypal.me/robbradshaw

A table of contents for *Journal of Biblical Literature* can be found here:

https://biblicalstudies.org.uk/articles_jbl-01.php

these represent in. Thus we get as the sense of v. 11a, 'A necklace of pearls in sockets of wreathed gold.'

Read therefore:

הור הַרוּדִים בְּמְשְׁבָצוֹת בָּחֵם דְבַר הַבָּם עַל־שׁמְעוֹ

The two proverbs, vs. 11 and vs. 12, are thus in complete correspondence. But perhaps [would be still better than [] The loss of a need not startle any one. The sense is, "He who hears with intelligence the words of the wise values them not less than the most costly ornaments." The at first sight startling introduction of the sardius into is easily accounted for. It is designed to distinguish from [Compare Job 31240 χρυσίου ([] 11), 240 λίθψ πολυτελεί ([] 12). I have not had the advantage of consulting Baumgarten's Etude critique on the text of Proverbs (1890). But had this learned writer cleared up the passage, our new Hebrew Thesaurus (BDB. Part i., 1892) would, I think, have given us notice of it. Wildeboer's judicious but too brief commentary has nothing new to suggest. He thinks (with BDB., Delitzsch, and Strack) that [Village 1523), which, with vs. 12 before us, does not seem very probable.

2. On Psalm lxv. 3.

In the JOURNAL OF BIBLICAL LITERATURE, xvii. (1898), pp. 207 f., I have retracted my former view of the meaning of in Ps. 65³, which I can no longer use in illustration of the large-hearted utterance in Mal. 1¹¹. The short article containing this retractation (along with other things) was written early in 1898. In the summer of the same year I had occasion to return to Ps. 65, and the text presupposed in the rendering given in that article no longer seems to

me correct. I will at any rate venture to put before the reader a rendering of the text which I now think defensible.

To thee let praise be chanted, | O Yahwè, in Zion; To thee let vows be performed | in Yeru-shalem.

To thy holy place, [O Yahwè,] let all men repair;

When our transgressions weighed us down, I thou thyself didst cancel them.

There is much more to be said on this fascinating psalm, but time is wanting. Let me hope to be more fortunate on some other occasion. I will only remark that קרש in vs. should certainly be שורי (so Grätz).

3. Some Supposed Archaisms in the Old Testament.

While acknowledging the reasonableness of König's arguments in his *Lehrgebäude* i. 294 f., respecting the non-syncopation of certain verbal forms in the causative stems, I ought to state that I have great doubts as to the examples quoted by König on pp. 425, 585, by Driver in *Text of Samuel*, p. 113, and in Gesenius-Kautzsch, § 539.

- (a) ז Sam. זין אוריין. Either this is a combination of two readings יהושים, or, as Klostermann has suggested, הושים may be due to a copyist who misunderstood the final הושים (so Klost. reads for הושים הואים של הואים של הואים (אוריים). It is strange that Löhr should have contented himself here with appealing to the opinion of Driver expressed so long ago as 1890. Prof. H. P. Smith is silent.
- (b) Jer. 9 יְהַתְּלוּ should probably be pointed יְהָתֵלוּ. Isa. 52°, will find few defenders. Read יְהֵילִילוּ (Ryssel, Grätz, Kittel).
- (c) Ps. 287, אָדוֹרָשׁ. Scarcely defensible, except indeed by the improbable supposition that אורה in the Psalter was everywhere originally אָדְרָעָּר. Read doubtless אָדְרָעָּר. (Duhm's explanation of the common reading will hardly find supporters.)
 - (d) Ps. 45¹⁶, דורון Read ינדלוף.
- (e) Ps. 116⁶, דושיב. Here @ gives έσωσεν. The initial is dittographic.