

Making Biblical Scholarship Accessible

This document was supplied for free educational purposes. Unless it is in the public domain, it may not be sold for profit or hosted on a webserver without the permission of the copyright holder.

If you find it of help to you and would like to support the ministry of Theology on the Web, please consider using the links below:



A table of contents for *Journal of Biblical Literature* can be found here:

https://biblicalstudies.org.uk/articles\_jbl-01.php

## An Inductive Study of Selah.

PROF. CHARLES A. BRIGGS.

NEW YORK.

קלה is used seventy-one times in thirty-nine psalms of the Hebrew Psalter and three times in Hab. 3, which originally belonged to one of the Minor Psalters, as is evident from the terms in the titles prefixed and appended בְּנִגְינוֹת, כָּלְמָנֵאָה, גָל

השלה is used four times in the Hebrew at the close of psalms, namely, in  $\psi_3$ , 24, 46, and 9. The first three of these uses are not given in Sept., and the fourth would doubtless not have been given if this version had not combined 9 and 10 into one psalm. The Sept. therefore omits שלה at the close of psalms for the reason, as we shall see later, that it was always, as a matter of usage, implied.

The Sept. uses διάψαλμα, its constant rendering of ΠCD, with some variations from the Hebrew Psalter. The term is omitted in 8811 where it indeed seems inappropriate; but some MSS. (see Holmes and Parsons) give it with some propriety in 8813. The Sept. (most MSS.) is doubtless correct in using it 57<sup>3</sup> instead of 57<sup>4</sup> of the Hebrew. The Sept. moreover uses diawalma in 2° 3411 5015 684. 14 808 9415. Of these, 684.14 5013 are additional uses in psalms which use TD elsewhere; 80<sup>8</sup> is a usage justified by appropriateness, and also by the Psalm 80 is a שומום, has a melody prescribed in the title, and bears the term TUD, the significance of which we shall see further on. These uses are probably ancient. But the other uses, 2<sup>2</sup> 34<sup>11</sup> 94<sup>15</sup>, are in psalms of a different kind from those which use in the Hebrew Psalter. These uses were doubtless modern insertions in the Sept. version, and were never in the Hebrew original. Many MSS. of Holmes and Parsons present other uses of διάψαλμα. However important these may be for a comprehensive study of the uses of the word, it is sufficient to say here that they simply, for the most part, indicate later insertions in Greek MSS. for the very same reasons that induced their insertion in additional passages in the earlier MSS. as compared with the Hebrew Psalter. The Psalter of Solomon, composed after the final completion of the Hebrew Psalter, uses  $\delta i \phi a \lambda \mu a$ in  $17^{31}$  18<sup>10</sup>. The latter is at the end of a section which in many MSS. closes the psalm, a new psalm, 19, beginning with the next verse. The former is in just such a place as we find it in ancient Hebrew usage. There is no sound reason for doubting the originality of these uses.

1. In the Hebrew Psalter it is noteworthy that only thirty-nine of the one hundred and fifty psalms use TD. It is improbable therefore that the insertion of the word came from the final editor of the Psalter unless there is something in its meaning which made it appropriate to some psalms and not to others. Provisionally we may say that it could not be used with propriety in some of the psalms except at the close. But, for the greater part of the one hundred and eleven psalms which do not use Selah, there is no intrinsic reason why it should not have been used; as indeed we see by its insertion in many of them in the Versions. Then we notice its absence in the Hebrew text from whole groups of psalms, such as the Hallels, the pilgrim psalms, the royal group 93-100, those psalms which intrinsically were most suited for public worship. Indeed, the Chronicler, I Ch. 168-38, when he would give specimens of temple psalms, uses 105<sup>1-15</sup>, adds to it 96<sup>1-13</sup>, and closes with a benediction or doxology. It seems to be evident, so far as the Hebrew Psalter is concerned, that do was already in certain psalms before the Hebrew Psalter was finally arranged in its present form, and that the editor made no additions to its use.

2. There is a group of psalms in the midst of the Psalter known as Elohistic Psalms from their use of the divine name instead of man, which is characteristic of all the rest of the Psalter. In many cases אלהים was evidently substituted for an original יהוה. For reasons which I cannot here pause to give, I think that these psalms were selected from earlier minor Psalters, and that they were edited for a major Psalter which, for a long time, existed apart by itself. This Elohistic Psalter includes twenty-three of the thirty-nine psalms with , namely : 44, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 52, 54, 55, 57, 59, 60, 61, 62, 66, 67, 68, 75, 76, 77, 81, 82, 83. The use of did not originate with this editor, for we find it in psalms which he did not take up into his collection, and it is absent from not a few of the psalms which he has, namely : 42, 43, 45, 51, 53, 56, 58, 63, 64, 65, 69-74, 78, 79 (80?). In some of these the Greek MSS. use it, and there is no intrinsic reason why it should not have been used in other cases.

3. There is another group of psalms which has in its titles the term The opinion which I have held for some years is that this term indicates that the psalms which bear it were taken by the final editor from an earlier major Psalter which was called the Director's Psalter, this Psalter being composed of selections from the three earlier minor Psalters which bore the names of David, Asaph, and Korah, to which only two orphan psalms were added, 66, 67. The Director's Psalter includes twenty-eight of the thirty-nine psalms with סלה. The psalm Hab. 3 was also originally in this Psalter with סלה. For similar reasons to those given above under 1, 2, the editor of the Director's Psalter did not insert the **TD**. He found it already in the psalms which he gathered into his Psalter. Of the twenty Davidic psalms which use TD the Director's Psalter has fifteen. 4, 9, 20, 21. 39, 52, 54, 55, 57, 59, 60, 61, 62, 68, 140; of the seven psalms of Asaph which use den the Director's Psalter has four, 75, 76, 77, 81 (80?); of the nine Korahite psalms which use , the Director's Psalter has seven, 44, 46, 47, 49, 84, 85, 88. Besides, the Director's Psalter includes the orphan psalms, 66, 67, and the psalm of Hab. 3. There are in all fifty-five psalms from the Director's Psalter and Hab. 3. If only twenty-eight of these + Hab. 3, a little more than half, use , it is improbable that the term came from this editor.

It is noteworthy, however, that the editor of the Director's Psalter attaches musical terms chiefly to the psalms using  $\exists \forall \exists \Box$ . For reasons which cannot be given here, it is evident that these musical terms came into the Director's Psalter from the editor of that Psalter, and that they were not used before.

(a) Thus the musical accompaniment נגינות) with ב, in 4, 54, 55, 67, 76, Hab. 3<sup>19</sup>; with לל in 61, Hab. 3<sup>1</sup> (אנינות), error for multip; see Briggs, *General Introduction*, p. 314). The only other psalm with this accompaniment is 6, which in some MSS. (see Holmes & Parsons) has הלה, 6<sup>4</sup>. הנתית other psalm with this accompaniment is 8, which is a short psalm with initial and closing refrain where the use of האנה would be difficult if not impracticable except at the close of the psalm. געל עלמות (ל היה לידורון), 62, 77, all psalms with Selah. געל מות) אל הנחילות 46, to which for reasons that must be reserved, I add 9 (געל סות) is used only in psalms with Selah. געל מות) 1<sup>9</sup> and 49 (געל מות) 48<sup>15</sup> really belonging to the title of 49), is used only in psalms with Selah. געל הנחילות with 5 (געל השמינית אלי הנחילות), being short psalms where there seems to be no intrinsic propriety in its use. (b) The musical pieces are also mentioned in the titles of psalms with Selah; אל תשחת, 57, 59, 75. The only other psalm with this reference is 58<sup>1</sup>. דל שושנים, 60<sup>1</sup>; אל ששנים, 80<sup>1</sup> (Selah in Sept.), but the similar כל ששנים is in 45<sup>1</sup> 69<sup>1</sup>, which do not use Selah. but the similar בל מחלת is in 22<sup>1</sup>, which does not use Selah. is 88<sup>1</sup>, a psalm with Selah; but in 53<sup>1</sup>, a psalm without Selah. דוות אלם רחקים is in 56<sup>1</sup> without Selah.

Thus musical pieces are referred to in the titles of five psalms with Selah according to the Hebrew text, and also probably in 80 after the Sept., thus six psalms out of twelve in all. It is evident then that the editor of the Director's Psalter gave musical directions in the titles of the following psalms with Selah, 4, 9, 39, 46, 49, 54, 55, 57, 59, 60, 61, 62, 67, 75, 76, 77, 81, 84, 88, Hab. 3, probably also Ps. 80; that is, twenty psalms. Why did he not give musical directions in ten other psalms with Selah? Why did he give musical directions to ten psalms which do not use Selah; namely, 5, 6, 8, 12, 22, 45, 53, 56, 58, 69? We might say that 5, 6, 8, 12, 53 were too short; but 22, 45, 56, 58, 69 were long enough. There must have been some other reasons, formal or material, which cannot be given at present.

4. The psalms with  $\neg \neg \neg$ , all of them as we have seen, save 66 and 67, bear the names of David, Korah, Asaph, and Ethan in their titles, and 67 does this in the Sept. It is altogether likely therefore that the term was attached to these psalms when they were in the earlier minor Psalters, before the Director's major Psalter was compiled. In no case is there the slightest evidence that a  $\neg \neg \neg$  was attached to any psalm in the Hebrew text in any subsequent editing. The evidence is, as we have seen, all the other way. We must now examine the three early minor Psalters of David, Asaph, and Korah to find the reason of their use of Selah.

Psalm 89, which is ascribed to Ethan, is a שכיל. So is 88. But 88 received an addition to the title when it was taken up into the Korahite Psalter, namely, שומור גוו is possible that 89 remained apart from the three minor Psalters, just as 66 and 67 did according to the Hebrew text, and that של שמו was inserted in them before they were taken up into the Director's Psalter. But it is also possible, and on the whole probable, that 89, like 88, which preceded it, was taken up into the Korahite Psalter. Psalm 89 would then have been the final psalm of that Psalter, as 72 was the final psalm of the Davidic Psalter; both being used as final psalms of the ultimate divisions of the Psalter, and not giving in their titles the usual indication of their literary origin; both being sufficiently designated in their titles by ascription to Ethan and Solomon.

5. The Psalter of the Korahites embraced three groups 42-49. 84-85, 87-88, twelve in all. Of these, 42, 43 were originally one psalm, as is evident from the common refrain. And it is probable that 89 closed this collection. Of these, 44, 46, 47, 48, 49, 84, 85, 87, 88, 89 are psalms with Selah. The only ones not furnished with Selah are 42, 43, 45. But 42 and 43 are separated in the Heb. text as well as in the Greek Version. The only place where Selah would be appropriate would be just where the one psalm was broken up. In usage the Selah would be implied at the close of 42. If we had the psalm in its original form, combining 42, 43, in the Korahite Psalter, we should doubtless find a Selah at the close of 42. It may be asked, If Selah remained in the Hebrew text after 3, 9, 24, 46, why not after 42? We can only say that these four uses in the Hebrew text at the close of psalms must be regarded on any theory as survivals of many uses at the close of psalms which have perished. The tendency to omit it as unnecessary and implied in the very nature of the case, must have been very strong. As we have seen, the Sept. never uses it at the close of psalms. Ps. 45 is of such a character that it would be difficult to find an appropriate place for the use of Selah. It seems therefore altogether reasonable to suppose that the Korahite Psalter used Selah throughout, that is wherever it could be used with propriety. The editor of that Psalter used it with full knowledge of its meaning and its appropriateness.

6. The Psalter of Asaph was composed of Ps. 50 and the group 73-83. Doubtless 50 was separated from its group by a later editor for liturgical reasons. Of these twelve psalms seven have Selah, 50, 75, 76, 77, 81, 82, 83. To these we may add 80 after the Sept. The four psalms of this group not using Selah are 73, 74, 78, 79. These are long poems, least suitable for worship either in synagogue or temple. There are sufficient reasons in the nature of these psalms to explain the absence of Selah. It seems that the editor of this collection used Selah with full knowledge of its meaning.

7. The Psalter of David according to the titles in the Hebrew text had seventy-four psalms, 3-32, 34-41, 51-65, 68-70, 86, 101, 103, 108-110, 122, 124, 131, 133, 138-145. We should probably deduct from these 122, 124, 131, 133 of the Pilgrim Psalms, and the composite 108. The latter bears its title from the psalms of which it was composed; the former group probably from later conjectures. There seems to be no sufficient reason to doubt as to the remaining

Doubtless there were psalms in the original Davidic sixty-nine. Psalter, which, as they were not taken up into the major Elohistic Psalter and Director's Psalter, so never found a place in the ultimate Psalter. The Sept. also ascribes 33 and 67 to David. It is possible that the name of David has been omitted from these psalms in the Hebrew Psalter by copyists' errors; but this is not probable. The ascription of other psalms to David by the Sept., 43, 71, 91, 93-99, 104, 137, must be regarded as late and conjectural and as without historic value. Of this great number of Davidic Psalms only twenty use Selah, 3, 4, 7, 9, 20, 21, 24, 32, 39, 52, 54, 55, 57, 59, 60, 61, 62, 68, 140, 143. The Septuagint adds 34. Thus less than onethird of the Davidic Psalms use Selah. It seems evident therefore that the editor of this collection did not insert Selah in his texts. He either found it in certain psalms, and did not attempt to increase its uses; or else the uses of Selah in the Davidic Psalter indicate a later effort to use it, which, however, was not thoroughly and consistently carried out.

8. It is also noteworthy that all the uses of Selah are in psalms which have in their titles terms indicating the several kinds of psalms. הפלה is used in Hab. 3; מכרם in Ps. 57, 59, 60; הפלה in 32, 44, 52, 54, 55, 88, 89; מכרם in 46, 48, 66, 67, 68, 75, 76, 83, 87, 88; in and in 3, 4, 7 (after the Sept. for which the Heb. has מומרי), 9, 20, 21, 24, 39, 62, 68, 140, 143 of David; 47, 48, 49, 84, 85, 87, 88 of Korah; 50, 75, 76, 77, 82, 83 of Asaph; 66, 67 orphan. Of these latter 48, 66, 67, 68, 75, 76, 83, 87 were originally w, and 88 was משריל. The significance of this demands a more detailed examination.

10. The following psalms have משכיל in titles, 32, 52-55, 142, Davidic; 42-45, 88 (Heman), Korahite; 74, 78, Asaph; 89, Ethan; fourteen in all (counting 43 separate). Of these seven have Selah: 32, 44, 52, 54, 55, 88, 89. As for the other seven we have found reasons why Selah should not be used with them. It seems therefore that there is some special relation of סלה to the לשכיל Ps. 47<sup>8</sup> uses משכיל שמכיל אות, for the praise of God, the king, with song and musical instruments. So also with the Levites שכיל, 2 Ch.  $30^{22}$ , at the celebration of the feast of unleavened bread, praising Yahweh with song and musical instruments (שכל) of the Heb. text is doubtless an error for שכיל). In the time of the Chronicler and of the editing of the Korahite Psalter the meaning of was well known, and Selah was inserted in the Psalter wherever it was appropriate for public rendering.

11. There are a number of psalms which have in their titles אָרָר, viz., 30, 45, 46, 48, 65, 66, 67, 68, 75, 76, 83, 87, 88, 92, 108, 120– 134, thirty in all. Of these, fifteen are pilgrim psalms, 120–134. Of the fifteen remaining thirteen have the later שומה, either prefixed or appended. Ps. 45 had שעכיל added. Ps. 46 is the only one which remains simply a איר דרש. It should be noticed, however, that שיר חרש is mentioned in 33<sup>3</sup> 40<sup>4</sup> 96<sup>1</sup> 98<sup>1</sup> 149<sup>1</sup> as well as in the great prophet of the exile, Is. 42<sup>10</sup>. This seems to indicate a fresh outburst of song in connection with the Restoration. Of the fifteen שיר שריש ten have jo, 46, 48, 66, 67, 68, 75, 76, 83, 87, 88. The others, 30, 45, 65, 92, 108, have not Selah. There can be little doubt that שיר in 30, 92, 108 is not original. The absence of Selah from 45 has already been explained. Its absence from 65 is not easy to explain. At all events Selah seems to be specially appropriate to the  $\neg \neg \omega$  as to the  $\neg \neg \omega$ .

12. There are fifty-eight מומרים (including Ps. 10) in the Psalter; thirty-seven Davidic (including Ps. 10), seven Korahite, nine of Asaph, and five orphan psalms. This term is later and more comprehensive than those already considered. It may comprehend any or all of them; and thus naturally becomes eventually the name for the entire collection of Psalms. Twenty-seven of these have Selah, a little less than half, 3, 4, 7, 9, 20, 21, 24, 39, 62, 68, 140, 143 of David; 47, 48, 49, 84, 85, 87, 88 of the Korahites; 50, 75, 76, 77, 82, 83 of Asaph; 66, 67 orphan psalms. There is evidently no special relation of these area of these area of these area of the entire is a special relation of these area of the sevent and the entire is a special relation of these area of the entire is a special relation.

13. The results of this inductive study of הם וה connection with the titles of the Psalms make it clear that there is a close connection between היה and the psalters of Asaph and the Korahites; but not with the Psalter of David which precedes them, or the psalters of the Elohist and the Director, which follow them. There is also a close connection of היה שמכיל and the Director, but not with the Director of and and the מומור but not with the מכתם and the use of musical terms in the Director's Psalter. This makes it probable that שלה was a term used with reference to the musical rendering of the Psalms subsequent to the collection of the Davidic Psalter and previous to the editing of the Director's Psalter; in the times of the Chronicler in the late Persian period or beginning of the Greek period.

14. We shall now consider the use of  $\exists \forall D$  in the Psalms themselves. It is used four times at the close of psalms in the Hebrew text, never in the Sept. text. I estimate that there are forty-seven others used at the end of strophes of psalms. This leaves twenty uses which need special attention.

(a)  $57^{7}$  60<sup>6</sup> have  $\Box \Box \Box$  just before sections which are used in the composite Psalm  $108^{2-6.7-14}$ ; in  $67^{2}$   $\Box \Box \Box$  comes after citation of the priestly benediction from Nu.  $6^{24-23}$ ; in Hab.  $3^{9}$  it precedes a citation in Ps.  $77^{17-21}$ ; in Hab.  $3^{3}$  it follows a citation from Deut.  $33^{22}$ ; in Ps.  $68^{8}$  it is in the midst of a citation from Judges  $5^{4}$ ; in  $68^{23}$  it comes before a citation from Deut.  $33^{26}$ ; in  $44^{9}$  it precedes a citation from  $60^{12}$ ; in  $89^{5}$  it comes after a citation from 2 Sam.  $7^{16}$ ; in  $55^{8}$  after a citation from Je.  $9^{1}$ . These eight cases of citation in the Psalter besides the two of Hab. explain ten of these twenty uses as coming where there are natural breaks in the poems.

(b) There are eight uses  $20^4 \ 21^3 \ 55^{20} \ 75^4 \ 85^3 \ 87^{3.6} \ 89^{49}$  in which sections complete in themselves though not strophical might be used with propriety apart from the rest of the Psalms, or where a liturgical change would not be inappropriate.

(c) The remaining uses are cases of misplacement;  $57^3$  of the Sept. is to be preferred to  $57^4$  of the Hebrew Psalter; and  $49^{13}$  is to be substituted for  $49^{14}$  at the end of the strophe, just as Selah in  $49^{16}$  is at the close of the next strophe.

It is evident therefore that while we cannot regard  $\Box \Box \Box$  as always marking the close of a strophe, yet it does always mark a section which for one reason or another may be detached and where an appropriate separation may be made in the psalm. The study of the uses of  $\Box \Box \Box$  shows that it indicates a section which for some reason may be used by itself. Thus our inductive study of the titles favors the opinion that it indicates some kind of a change in the musical rendering.

15. We shall now study the Hellenistic tradition. This is expressed in the  $\delta_{i\dot{\alpha}/\mu\alpha\lambda\mu\alpha}$  of the Sept. and the Psalter of Solomon. We have seen that while insertions were not made by any of the editors subsequent to the editors of the Psalters of Asaph and the Korahites in the Hebrew text, except possibly in the Davidic Psalter while it remained apart by itself, the editors of the Greek versions did not hesitate to insert it in new places. This was possibly due to a differ-

ent usage of the Psalms among the Hellenistic Jews from that which prevailed among the Hebrews in Palestine and the East.

It is evident that the Hellenistic Jews in the first century B.C. must have known the meaning and proper uses of TD.

διάψαλμα is not a genuine Greek word although used by the Sept., Symmachus, and Theodotion. There is no usage in the Greek language to explain it. It is a word of Hellenistic Greek made on purpose to explain it. Suidas defines it as μίλους ἐναλλαγή. Theodoret (*Praef. in Psalmos*) says, διάψαλμα δοκεῖ μοι σημαίνειν τὴν τοῦ μέλους μεταβολήν. It is also noteworthy that Hab.  $3^3$  in the Hexapla has μεταβολὴ διαψάλματος for the usual διάψαλμα (Klostermann, Analecta zur Septuaginta, p. 53). According to these opinions, which might be fortified by other statements of Cyril, Origen, and Hippolytus, διάψαλμα indicates some sort of a change in the musical rendering.

Field in his comments on Ps. 38<sup>12</sup> (Heb. 39) makes the following important remark :

Sic Syrus noster Psal. xliii. 9; xv. 7; xlix. 6; lxxiv. 4; lxxv. 4. Syr. NITTO respondet Graeco xopos in Hex. ad Psalm. xxix. 12. Hic fortasse est  $\tilde{q}\sigma\mu a$ (Origen, Opp. T. II, p. 522: év ols ra diavádµara ¢éperai, év rovrois d'Ax. ávrl rov diavádµaros xexolnxev,  $\tilde{q}\sigma\mu a$ ), vel etiam vrakoh, responsio, Gallice refrain, ab ND vrakovev. Sed haec nimis incerta sunt.

If there is a hint in this Syriac term of some kind of response or refrain coming in where  $\neg \neg \neg$  is used, it would advance still further the evidence toward the result we are reaching. The Hellenistic tradition may best be explained as indicating a change in the musical rendering, and that is most easily understood not of a change in the rendering of the part of the psalm following the  $\neg \neg \neg$  from the rendering of that part of the psalm which precedes it, but by the rendering of a change in the psalm from the outside, of the nature of a response or refrain, or benediction or doxology. Jerome (Ep. 28 ad Marcellam) says :

Scire autem debemus, apud Hebraeos in fine librorum, unum e tribus solere subnecti, ut aut Amen scribant, aut Sela aut Salom, quod exprimit pacem. Unde et Solomon pacificus dicitur. Igitur ut solemus nos completis opusculis ad distinctionem rei alterius sequentis medium interponere, Explicit, aut Feliciter, aut aliquod istiusmodi: ita et Hebraei, ut quae scripta sunt roborentur, facere solent, ut dicant Amen, aut in sempiternum, ut scribenda commemorent, et ponant Sela; aut transacta feliciter protestantur, pacem in ultimo subnotantes.

Jerome confirms the result to which we have thus far attained, that Selah is parallel with *Amen* and *Peace*, and indicates a corresponding rhythmical utterance at the close of the section where about occurs. 16. We shall now turn our attention to the Palestinian tradition. The fact that does not used twice after the third and the eighteenth Benedictions of the שמונה עשרה, the earliest section of the Jewish Liturgy (see Briggs, Messiah of the Apostles, p. 18), and also twice in the praver preceding the SOW in the morning praver, indicates that in the first and second centuries of our era the Hebrews knew the meaning and uses of  $\exists \forall D$ . If that is so, the authors of the Jewish Greek versions knew its use and meaning when they translated it into Greek. Aquila uses dei; Theodotion in 9<sup>17</sup> also. Sexta has diamarros except 204 els relos. Quinta has els rous alivas. Jerome follows them and the Hebrew tradition of his time, in rendering it by semper. The Targum varies its rendering in terms but has the same tradition. Usually it gives לעלמין, לעלמין, but 306, but 306 לעלמא "40 : עד עלמי עלמיו "48 : לעלמי עלמיו יאם : לחיי עלמא These phrases resemble the words which close the Benedictions or Doxologies of the Hebrew Psalter.

שהעולם ועד העולם  $\psi$  41<sup>14</sup> 106<sup>48</sup>, 41<sup>14</sup> ע רבורו לעולם  $\psi$  72<sup>19</sup>,  $\psi$  89<sup>53</sup>.

These Benedictions of the Psalter were used not merely at the close of the Books of the Psalter where they are now placed, but also at the close of any of the psalms in the Book (Graetz, Ps. p. 92); and if so, in all probability also at the close of any section of the psalms where in liturgical use a section was marked off by itself. It is quite possible that Selah may indicate the place of use of the ancient form of response closing with לעולם הסדו r Ch. 16<sup>34,41</sup> 2 Ch. 513 73.6 2021 Ezra 311 Je. 3311 Ps. 1005 1061 1071 1181.2.3 4.29 136<sup>1+25</sup> times. It is a characteristic of the Chronicler to refer to the use of this formula; and as we have seen, the use of driginated originated about his time. The Selah then would indicate the place where the blessing of God for ever, or the Benediction, should come in, in connection with the recitation of the psalms. The Palestinian tradition uses the last word of the Benediction to indicate the whole just as is usual in ancient documents to name them by the first or last word or sentence. Jacob of Edessa is cited by Bar Hebraeus, 10<sup>1</sup>.

ובמרם מן צחחא עבריא חלף "ריאפסלמא" "בכל זבן" רשים הנו רין כל איכא רפוזהן מומרוא הנון רמברכין לאלהא בתשבחתא עמא הו רצאת להון הי ר בנל זבן" ורך רגענא בתרהון איך אנש נאמר בכל זבן נהוא איתיהי אלהא " משבחא ומברכא ביד השבחתא הלין אכמא רלותן מערתא בתר הי ר "השם ובכל זבן ולעלם עלמין " עמא אמר "אמן "

This suggestion that did indicates the place where the people interrupted the psalm with "Blessed be God forever," just as in the church usage the Amen of the people follows the last words of the gloria, "is now and ever shall be, world without end," is in accordance with the meaning we have already determined from the Palestinian tradition.

17. We have now gained a meaning which reconciles the Palestinian Hebrew with the Hellenistic Greek traditions. The Hellenistic tradition is as to the form of the did indicating a change or interruption of some kind in the singing or reciting. The Palestinian tradition indicates the contents of the abo, the reciting by a responsive voice or voices of the formula of the benediction or doxology, closing with "for ever" or "for ever and ever." And these correspond with the results as obtained by an inductive study of the uses of Selah in the Psalter itself, and of the psalms in which it is used studied by their titles. The meaning is a natural one. For just as in Christian usage the gloria resounds after every psalm and canticle or section of a psalm or canticle used for liturgical purposes, just so in ancient Hebrew usage a benediction or doxology was sung after every psalm and section of a psalm which for any liturgical reason was separated from a section which followed. The view which I now advocate is not that wherever and is used, the psalm was always interrupted by a doxology, but that it might be so interrupted when such extract was made for any liturgical purpose.

18. We now have to consider the etymology of the word and explain its form. בקר is the Massoretic pointing. It is suggested by Jacob that this pointing indicates a Q'rt און of the Palestinian tradition (ZATW., 1896, p. 173). This is quite possible. The Hexapla has לכל,  $\sigma\epsilon\lambda$ . This favors the opinion that the  $\pi$  does not belong to the stem. It might be the cohortative imperative of boot The normal forms from this stem would be הרה לכל, שלה The pointing is explained after the analogy of הרה for הכלה (Ewald, Heb. Sprachl., 549. König, Lehrgebäude ii. 1. 539). The solution lift up, pile, heap,' usually of a highway; but Ps. 68<sup>3</sup> is with Wellhausen to be interpreted in accordance with the parallelism,

> Sing unto God, make melody unto His name, *Lift up a benediction to* him that rideth in the deserts; <sup>1</sup> In Yah consists His name, therefore exult ye before Him.

<sup>1</sup> Wellh.: "Make music to Him who drives over descrts."

So in the Polel of Pr.  $4^8$  "exalt her (Wisdom) and she will promote thee." If we may follow these two examples, we may think of  $\neg \neg \neg$  as imperative cohortative = 'lift up a benediction or doxology.' In this case the etymology corresponds with the usage and with both lines of tradition, and the whole case of  $\neg \neg \neg$  harmonizes in a very simple and natural explanation.

I have refrained in this inductive study from the use of modern authorities, not because I do not value them and have not been helped by them, but for the simple reasons (1) that I wished to make a purely inductive study and (2) because I wished to use as little space as possible. If I have been led to a view which seems to me to solve the problem, I have been helped positively and negatively by my predecessors in reaching it.