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# Paronomasia in the Old Testament. ${ }^{1}$ 

DR. IMMANUEL M. CASANOWICZ,
WASHINGTON, D.C.

## A. LIMITS AND CONDITIONS OF PARONOMASIA IN HEBREW.

## 1. Limits of Paronomasia as a Device of Style.

THE charm and effect of paronomasia lie, as has been observed elsewhere, ${ }^{8}$ in the union of similarity of sound with dissimilarity of sense. Hence it does not include the reiteration of the same words or word-stems in the same meaning. Is. xxviii. io צָוֹ
 precept upon precept, rule upon rule, rule upon rule, here a little, there a little," and similar passages, though powerful and impressive, do not contain a paronomasia, as the effect is not produced by the sound, but by the sense, of the words. Furthermore, to fall under the definition of paronomasia as an artifice of style, the similarity of sound must be manifestly designed by the author, not the result of an unavoidable coincidence, as, for instance, the first words of the Old Testament, มาコ ภง $76 \times 2 \times 19$; or of logical necessity, as in the combination of correlated words, $\boldsymbol{\square}$ peculiarity of the language, as in the figura etymologica, ${ }^{3}$ the collocation of an infinitive with its finite verb or of a noun in the singular with its own plural to form a superlative ; or of grammatical congruence, as Ps.viii. 5 וֹת
 Jud. xiv. 18, xvi. 24, Ps. cxxiv., and similar passages, which are often adduced as instances of rime in the Old Testament. ${ }^{4}$ For the same

[^0]reason, the auxiliary prefixes do not count in alliteration; else all imperfect and participle forms (with the exception of ptcp. Qal) would alliterate. The nominal preformatives are an exception to this rule, because they were no longer felt to be formative elements, ${ }^{5}$
 In the few cases where a stem-consonant alliterates with a prefix, the similarity extends to the whole words, as $7 \boldsymbol{1} 7$ m, the guilty and the pure (101; comp. 45, 54, 143, 204, 223, 383,46 I).

## 2. Intentional and Accidental Congruence of Sound.

The observations made in the preceding paragraph suggest the question, How are we to know whether the agreement in sound between two or more words is intentional or not? It is impossible to give a universal criterion applicable to every particular case. In many instances the decision will be a matter of individual feeling. It must also be borne in mind, on the one hand, that since paronomasia exists for the ear, not for the eye, harmonies of sound may be overlooked by the reader of a foreign, and especially of a dead, language; on the other hand, that the critic, who is in search of them, is apt to perceive assonances even in cases which would not be recognized as such in the living speech. We must also distinguish between the intention of the writer and the genius of the language. A writer may use a paronomasia unintentionally and unconsciously, merely because the language has a tendency to, and an aptitude for, these figures. ${ }^{7}$ So much may be said in general. There can be no doubt that the congruence of sound is intended in those combinations which recur often, and have thus the character of a formula; in those in which unusual words or forms are employed in order to produce similarity of sound; and in the plays upon proper names. Accumulation is also an evidence of design ; that is, where either several words assonate with one another, ${ }^{8}$ or two or more paronomasias occur in the same verse. ${ }^{8}$ Intention is to be assumed more

[^1]frequently in syntactically co-ordinated than in subordinated words, especially when the combination is distributed over two parallel members. ${ }^{10}$ Besides this the diction of the passages in which they occur and the relation they bear to it must be considered. In elevated speech, where the paronomasias add to its solemnity and impressiveness, they may be deemed intentional. In less elevated style, such combinations as add but little to the emphasis and significance may generally be deemed accidental.

## 3. Consonants which alliterate with Each Other.

In Latin, Old German, and Anglo-Saxon, alliteration is restricted to precisely the same consonants. ${ }^{11}$ In Hebrew, however, there is greater liberty.
$x$ alliterates with $y$ in 7 ตy ל, misery and distress ( 12 ; comp. also $36,316,319,324$ ). The interchange of $y$ with $K$ in several cases, as $\beth \times \cap$ Am. vi. 8,
 would show that in Hebrew, as in the Aramean dialects, the distinction in pronunciation between $\mathcal{Y}$ and $\mathbb{x}$ began early to be effaced, although that between $\bar{Y}$ and $\dot{Y}=$ Arab. $\dot{g} a i n)$ is still to be traced in the transcription of proper names in the Septuagint. But even in Arabic, where the enunciation of these gutturals is sharply distinguished, they are found in alliteration. ${ }^{18}$

The mutce medice may alliterate with tenues. Thus $\beth$ with in

 O daughter Gallim (72); $\beth$ with $P$ in 1コ צ bowed down, Nebo hath crouched (182; comp. 173, 181, 386);
 in that daub with untempered mortar (153). All these consonants interchange freely in the various Semitic dialects, and not infrequently within one and the same language. So $\beth$ with $\operatorname{D}$ :

[^2] ever，a loan－word），pitch；719 and 719（Ys．lxviii．31，Dan．xi．42）， spread out，scatter．${ }^{14}, \beth$ and $P, 7$ and Arab．gahada，deny，

 the dialectic variety of qditu and geitu，hand，qaqqadu and gaggadu， head ；${ }^{10} 7,0$ and $\Omega$ ，as in Hebrew and Aram．S0p，Arab．and Ethiop．qatala，דחדתך runor，report，$\AA 7$ and Arab．duff，tambourine， 717 and 7 ， Arab．dar and târ，period．${ }^{17}$

In like manner，the sibilants alliterate with each other：i with $\square$

 for the memory of them is forgotten long ago（416）；with in ロッาา10 7
 is enthroned on great heights，while the rich sit in low place（ 286 ；
 comp． $418,421,425$ ，and many others）；$\varphi$ interchanges with $\square$ in ㄱI and 구，turn away，and by partial assimilation in mixed drink ；and are later differentiations of an old－Semitic $J^{18}$ and interchange in Hebrew and in Aramaic and Arabic．${ }^{19} \quad$ and interchange not only in the various Semitic languages，but also dia－
 coat of mail，occurs with all these three sibilants，I Sam．xvii． $5,3^{8}$ ， Ps．xxix．6，and Jer．xlvi．4，li． 3 ．
$\Pi$ and $\beth$ are perhaps found in alliteration in 7 กアコּ she will bring thee honor，when thou dost cherish her（168）．${ }^{20}$

[^3]
## B. THE VARIOUS FORMS OF PARONOMASIA IN THE OLD TESTAMENT.

## 4 Alliteration in Syntactically Co-ordinated Words and in Formula.

Alliteration is the most frequent form of paronomasia in the Old Testament. As in other languages, ${ }^{91}$ its proper nidus is in syntactically co-ordinated words, where, in not a few cases, it forms set phrases. The force of alliteration in these combinations is, as in other languages, that of emphasis and impressiveness. ${ }^{\text {a }}$. By far the larger number of such collocations consist of synonyms. The proportion of paronomasia in words of opposite sense to that of cognate is as one to seven. Antithesis is in general more rare in language than the juxtaposition of synonyms, as more reflection and skill are required for the former than for the latter, and especially in paronomasia, where the similarity of sound must be considered. With regard to the parts of speech, nouns are more frequently combined with nouns in paronomasia than either verbs with verbs, or verbs with nouns, the proportion of these to the former being $\mathrm{I}: 2.62$, and I:3.5 respectively.

## 5. The Mutual Relation of the Words.

r. The relative position of the words in alliteration is the same which obtains generally in the collocation of synonyms. The longer word, or the word with the more specific sense, stands usually in the second place, forming a kind of climax : הורד והדר, glory and splendor (9r); תדוש הרים ותדוק, thou shalt thresh mountains and crush (crumble) them (82); אויב ואורב, enemy and lier in wait (16) ; למי אוי למי ואבוי (10 ; Prov. xxiii. 29) ; ויכום ויכתום, and they smote them and crushed them (186). In some combinations the
 and wretchedness, or sin and iniquity ( 12 ) ; תירוש and ;Tודו, corn and wine (80); wall and rampart (120). It is not necessary that the combined words should follow in immediate succession. They may even be distributed between the parallel members of a verse: הוה בצאחתך משעיר בצדצרך בשדה אדום, Jahveh, when thou wentest out of Seir, when thou didst march out of the field of Edom (365) ; יהי שלום בחילך

[^4], let there be peace in thy ramparts and prosperity in thy palaces (464). Asyndeton of the combined words - essential in Arabic ${ }^{23}$ and Latin ${ }^{24}$ - is the exception.
2. With regard to the relation of thought between the combined words, they are either strictly synonymous, as אבק וֹצר, powder and dust (4) ; טופה וםערה, storm and tempest (278) ; ששון ושמחה, joy and gladness (414); or express cognate ideas, as נקב יהוה לצרחיו ונוטר הוא לאיביי keepeth wrath to his enemies (269) ; ענן וערם, cloud and darkness (34) ; 3 (3) for with joy shall ye go forth, and with peace shall ye be led (418); or the ideas belong to the same sphere, as דבר ודם, pestilence and bloodshed (79) ; חנית םיחת pine (37x) ; the words may express a contrast, and be combined to express indifference to the contrast, ${ }^{25}$ as , the going out and coming in (202); or present the extremes of a series, thus expressing absoluteness, totality, as ואפם עצור וצזוב, and there is neither he that is shut up, nor he that is loose, i.e. none whatever (320); ברת יהוה... צר וצגה", Jahveh will exterminate watcher (or caller) and answerer, i.e. every one ( 323 ). ${ }^{26}$

Combinations of derivatives from the same stem: שאזה ומשואה ${ }^{27}$, waste and desolation (426), i.e. utter desolation ; תאניה ואנים, moaning and bemoaning, i.e. exceeding lamentation (497), -a kind of superlative similar to קדשׁ קדשים, holy of holies, the most holy. ${ }^{28}$

Instances of antithesis are אבטּח ולא אפחד, I trust, and am not afraid (46) ; תחת חטה יצצא חוח, instead of wheat come out thorns (ıiз); (פצולת צדיק לחיים תבואת רשצ לחטאת, the work of the righteous tendeth to life, the income of the wicked to $\sin (115)$; etc.

## 6. Alliteration in Grammatically Subordinated Words.

The number of instances of paronomasia in grammatically subordinated words is comparatively small. Besides, it is in such cases often more difficult to decide than in co-ordinated words whether the accordance of sound was intended, or is merely accidental. ${ }^{\text {at }}$ The following may serve as examples:-

1. The combined words stand in the relation of subject and predicate : אלה אכלה ארץ, curse consumed the earth (26); וחםר לאמים

[^5] merchants are princes (281).
2. Predicate and object: :
 thorns (376).
3. The combined words stand in genitive relation to one another:
 sinner (366) ; ; שive a name of joy (467).
4. One member of the combination is a complement, or contains an adverbial qualification of the other: : אגב אנבי לאבים , I was father to the needy (1); אלילים אלמים, dumb idols (30) ; ם בחורים (45)

5. The combination forms a comparison : ภา, the words of the wise are like goads (78); 7\%
 name is better than precious ointment (469).

In all these cases, the similarity of sound strengthens and illustrates the relation of the words to one another.

## 7. Simple and Strengthened Alliteration.

To constitute an alliteration, the agreement of one sound, i.e. of the first consonant, is sufficient. Quite frequently, however, it is extended to two or more consonants, and to the similarity of the consonants there is added that of the vowels, so that the words

 and earthquake (409). So also sometimes three or more words alliterate : פעח


 ning, place of our sanctuary, hope of Israel - Jahveh ( r 76 ) ; or several
 offspring, name and remnant ( 258,468 ; Is. xiv. 22) ; ציר ע ל ל , a city of strength is ours, salvation doth he appoint for walls and bulwarks (303; Is. xxvi. 1).

## 8. Assonance.

Of the subtle assonance ${ }^{30}$ there are in Hebrew, in which the consonantal element predominates, hardly any instances, except perhaps
 blasting and mildew (442) ; המהון שנצון צורן, shyness, madness, and blindness (500). If such cases as those quoted by Ley, ${ }^{31}$ e.g. ויפול רוכבי אחור, and his rider falls back, be considered as examples of this figure, it would be possible to find assonance in every line of the Old Testament.

> 9. Rime.

Excluding, in accordance with what was said above, ${ }^{82}$ the congruence of sound in the flexional endings, and limiting rime as a species of paronomasia to the cases in which the similarity is in a stemsylable, the number of instances of rime in the Old Testament is comparatively small ; and it is always combined with assonance of the whole word, as in אבלה גבלה דאר , the earth is stricken down
 the earth shaketh and quaketh (74); צערת תפארת, a crown of glory (302); ;שריד ותלים, an escaped one and survivor (333); (צמח בלי יצשׁה קמח
 and void (499), etc.

## 10. Epanastrophe.

There are only a few instances in the Old Testament of the recurrence of the final syllable of a word at the beginning of the immediately following word, a figure disapproved of by the old rhetoricians:אזיביו אלביש בשׁת , his enemies I will clothe with shame (61); שהת בהשה המה להם, that they are beasts with regard to themselves (97) ; פרש רשת לרנלי, he hath spread a net for my feet (411), etc.

## I I. Play upon Words.

r. There are only a few cases in the Old Testament of plays produced by a single word which suggests by its form, or alludes to, another word similar to it in sound, but of contrasted signification, ${ }^{3}$
 Hebrew name of that city, is spitefully altered to $\prod_{\Gamma} \underset{\sim}{N}$, idolatry, wicked-

[^6]ness, and in
 sarcastic allusion to $\square^{4} \Gamma$, seers, may be seen. According to Professor
 meaning of a word is perhaps contained in לוֹ Tyre built for herself a bulwark, or siege (353, note). A case of the
 the spite of the haughty ( $6_{3}$ ), where the qeèrē $\quad$ a by some commentators to allude to the , and disgrace upon thy glory ( 384 ), reminding of ilp. ${ }^{38}$ In this connection may also be mentioned a case in which there is no similarity of sound, and the play is only on the thought:
 of Shaphir (Fairtown), stripped in shame (491), where Shaphir is probably altered from Shamir, ${ }^{38}$ in order to play on its appellative signification.
2. But the mass of plays upon words in the Old Testament are such as are brought about by the combination of two words, and following the classification given in Part i. (§8,2), we may distinguish the following cases :-
a. The repetition of the same word in a different meaning (antanaclasis).
a. The same word is repeated in two different meanings, both of which properly belong to it: :
 unto me to proclaim liberty every one to his brother, . . . behold I

 tude of altars to sin, the altars became to him a punishment ( $1144^{57}$ ) ; comp. Nos. $117,146,219,252,253,266,296,368,379,407,455$, 474, 483 .
$\beta$. The same word is repeated in its proper and transferred sense,
 them . . . and they shall bear their sin, i.e. the consequence of it, punishment (272) ; משEM, burden, and oracle ( $234{ }^{37}$ ); comp. Nos. 154, 375, 443, 457, 484 .
$\gamma$. The meaning in the repetition is qualified, or emphasized : ה"ד דעמה ידך בל יחזיין יחזו ויבשו", Jahveh, thy hand hath been lifted up, but they saw it not ; they shall see - and be ashamed (112).

[^7]
 smitten (129); פבקול השירים תחחת הםיר, as the sound of thorns under the pot (so is the sport of the fool ; 283) ; comp. Nos. 232, 295, 305, 450 .
 תin, if ye are willing and obey, ye shall eat the good of the land, but if ye refuse and rebel, ye
 if ye believe not, verily ye will not remain (31); comp. Nos. III, 164, 260, 291, 3II, 375, 428, 474.
d. The other plays upon words, which imply a "parva mutatio verbi," cannot be minutely classified. The following groups may, however, be distinguished: -
a. The consonants are alike and stand in the same order, but the vowel is different: בחַלקי גחל חָלקך, in the smooth stones of the
 he made him suck milk of sheep with fat of rams (128); חּ their waters (142) ; comp. 249, 324, etc.
$\beta$. One consonant, either in the beginning, middle, or end of the word, is different : דהמרו אמרי אל, they rebelled against the words
 he hoped for justice, but behold oppression, for righteousness, but
 when the tongue scourgeth thou shalt be hidden, and thou shalt not fear destruction when it cometh (447).


 despise them (486) ; ובכל צערו אורה לכל רוח, and all his auxiliary troops I will scatter to every wind (299).
 -aא, he delivers the afflicted in his affliction, and opens his ear in
 (328); ; thirsty ground (360).

## 12. Plays upon Proper Names.

With the Hebrews a name was a speaking reality, even more than with the Greeks. ${ }^{38}$ Not only were thoughts and sentiments attached to names, ${ }^{39}$ but even most of the historical lore was grouped around them as landmarks and milestones. ${ }^{40}$ The names of persons, tribes, and places were made to suggest the moral character attributed to them, or the important events connected with them. This explains the numerous etymological explanations of proper names in the historical books of the Old Testament. ${ }^{11}$

It would be out of place to consider here the historical value of the etymological explanations of names, and the relation between them and the narratives which form their material basis; we have to do with them merely from a philological point of view, that is, only as far as they bear upon paronomasia.

These explanations are not properly plays upon words, - at least, they are not intended as such, and there would, therefore, be no reason to quote them in a discussion of paronomasia, but for the circumstance that, in many instances, the relation between the proper name and the appellative which should explain it is not etymological, but consists in a mere similarity of sound by means of which some sentiment or fact is brought into connection with a name. ${ }^{12}$ Thus the very first etymological explanation of a name given in the Old Testament seems to be philologically impossible: " 9 , she shall be called woman, because she was taken out of man, Gen. ii. 23 (37) ; for comparison with the other Semitic languages shows that quently are derived from different roots. The equivalent of in


[^8]to Professor Haupt's table of the differentiation of the sibilants in the
 be weak; while comes from a stem be strong, which is extant in then yourselves men, Is. xlvi. 8, and in the proper name "
 drew him out of the water. But $\underset{\text { M }}{ }$ as participle active can only mean "extrahens," not " extractus." is In reality, טששה is probably not a Hebrew name, but the Hebraized Egyptian mesu, child (LauthEbers). An Egyptian etymology was suggested by Josephus, Antt. iu. 9, 6 (comp. Contra Apionem, i. $3^{1}$; Philo, Vita Mosis, i. 4 ; Clem. Alex., Strom., i. 343), accommodating it to the explanation given in

 by גר דייתי בארץ נבריה , a stranger am I in a foreign country (75), as if it were a compound of 7 d and 0 (for ably comes from d , drive out, with the nominal ending $\bar{o} m$, instead of the usual $\bar{o} n .{ }^{47}$

So also is in explained to be a compound of heap, and witness (70), while it is probably connected with the Arabic gal ${ }^{\circ}$ ad, something hard, rough.

בָּ


[^9]inscriptions that Babbilu, the corresponding Assyrian name of the city, is a compound of $b \Delta b$, gate, and ilu, god, the gate of god. ${ }^{4}$

But in many cases it is quite apparent that it is not an etymology which is intended, but a paronomasia. So when is explained from 19mas Mi, this one will comfort us (255), on which even Ber. rabba comments that "the explanation does not suit the name, nor the name the explanation; it should either read, Noah will give us rest (which the LXX have, кататаи́ $\sigma$ ), or Naḥman will comfort us." ${ }^{30}$ So also can only be either a contraction from $5 \mathbb{5}$ לבコּ1), ${ }^{51}$ or, better, a compound from 5 , name of God, the
 cian עורבצ, Hasdrubal, etc. ${ }^{52}$ The explanation given is probably due to a confusion of se sith the valley of trouble (307), is derived from the name ${ }^{[J}$, and it is interesting that, perhaps in consequence of this etymology, the Chronicler (1 Chr. ii. 7) changed the latter name into 7 จ.

Thus in most of the explanations of proper names in the Old Testament we have examples of popular etymology, which is satisfied with a partial agreement in sound between the name and the appellative which was suggested by it.

In the plays upon proper names, still less regard is had to the real meaning. Occasionally the true etymology of a name is hit upon, but in general the appellative is suggested by the sound. בחששׁן , In Hesbon (Counting Town), they designed evil against her, and thou also Madmen (Dunghill) wilt be brought to silence ( 449,84 ) ; Gaza (Stronghold) will be abandoned, and Ekron (Extermination) will be exterminated $(297,322)$;


[^10]people ( $86^{\circ}$; comp. $86^{\circ}$ ) ; רתם המרכבה לרכש יישבת לכיש, harness the swift steed to the chariot, O inhabitress of Lachish (192).

One and the same name is sometimes variously played upon, either with reference to different meanings of the same word, or to a different word. Thus the name 7 is explained by 7 בַנ , with good luck, or 7 7 Kב, good luck hath come (Gen. xxx. ir ; No. $65^{a}$ ). In the blessing of Jacob the play is made: נר גרור יגודנו והוא יגר צקב, Gad, troops will crowd against him, but he will overcome (prop., cut off) the rear (Gen. xlix. 19; No. $65^{\text {b }}$ ). Both the notion of luck and of a troop, which are suggested by the name $7 \$$, are connected with the same stem 7 I, and its by-form נוֹ . Its proper meaning is, 'cut'; comp. Deut. xiv. i, לא תתגרדו, ye shall not cut yourselves; then, cut one's part, give him as his lot ; hence 7 T, Syr. XITָּ, Arab. gadd,
 On the other hand, 7 IT, a troop, i.e. a division.
So also the name יעקבו is first explained by וידו אחות בצקב עשׁו, and his hand was holding the heel of Esau (Gen. xxv. 26 ; No. $321^{\circ}$ ), "heel-holder"; but when Esau was defrauded by him of his birthright and of his father's blessing, he exclaims bitterly, הכי קרא שמו יצקבב ויצקבני זה פצעים , was he then called Jacob (deceiver) because he deceived me thus twice (Gen. xxvii. $3^{6}$; No. $321^{6}$ ) ; and again, when Hosea reviews the history and character of Jacob, he alludes to his name in the words, בתטּ צקב את אחףים; in the mother's womb he took the heel of his brother (Hos. xii. 4 ; No. $3^{21^{\circ}}$ ). The primitive meaning of the stem $\mathcal{Z}$ is, bend ; hence $ב \underset{\sim}{2} \%$, heel, prop., the curvature of the foot ; בpre, end, prop., the turn, issue, of a thing ; ss
 denominative stem Yיָּב, come after one; prop., tread on the heels, then, lie in wait and restrain one by putting out the heel or foot; Aram. צכב; comp. Job xxxvii. 4, ולא יצקבם and the Targum on this passage; Eth. 'aqaba, keep, preserve, guard; then, deceive, prop., cause to stumble, fall (comp. Jer. ix. 3). ${ }^{\text {ss }}$
Similarly, גלגל is derived in Jos. v. 9 froin גלותי את חרפת, גלל aryp, I removed (prop., rolled away) the reproach of Egypt (by

${ }^{\text {bs }}$ Comp. Delitzsch on Ps. xix. 12, xl. 16.
${ }^{6}$ A play on the name ${ }^{3}$ ' is perhaps intended in the narrative of Jacob's wrestling (Gen. xxxii. 25) by 'ו'אכק. The verb occurs nowhere else, and may have been chosen here to allucte on the one hand to the name עקב , on the other, to that of the brook PJ', Gen. xxxii. 23 f.; see Delitzsch, Comm. ad loc.
the performance of circumcision at that place); while in Am. v. 5 , there is a play upon המללגל גלה יגלה, ,גלה, Gilgal will surely go into captivity (69).
 the reproach of barrenness, and from $\boldsymbol{F}^{\circ}$, add, expressing the wish that another son may be added ( 158 ).

Note. - Very suggestive is the opinion of Professor Haupt (expressed in his paper mentioned above) that many of the old names occurring in Genesis originally meant something like son, offspring, creature, being, and the like, as this notion must have been the first to occur to the mind of primitive men at the birth of a child. Professor Haupt discovers this meaning in many of the names.

 form, especially forge; so Syr. N্गָ P. Arab. qain, artisan, especially smith. The name ${ }^{1} \beta$ would thus mean creature, i.e. child, while the popular etymology
 would be expected. - $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ (459) can only mean either, he who sets up (as participle), or (as noun) sprout, i.e. offspring. Popular etymology explained it as meaning substitute. $-\boldsymbol{\square}$ (34), the breaker through, i.e. born. $-\boldsymbol{\pi}$ i (Gen. xxxviii. 30 ), the rising one. ${ }^{57}$ - אפפרים (338), fruit. ${ }^{53}$-So also as a noun may mean descendant, posterity; comp. the Arab. 'aqb, 'uqb, posterity; prop., what comes after. ${ }^{59}$

## 13. Relation of Paronomasia to Diction in the Old Testament.

Julius Ley, starting from a comparison of Hebrew with OldGerman, claims for alliteration in Old-Hebrew, or "pre-Davidic" poetry, ${ }^{60}$ the importance of a formal principle of poetry which it had in German. To establish this theory, he not only includes under alliteration, rime, assonance, play upon words, and repetition, but extends it to the agreement of two stem-consonants in any position

 view of this extension of its scope, he properly proposes to substitute the term "Consonanten-Gleichklang" for alliteration. ${ }^{62}$ But it is easy to see that in this way the whole of the Old Testament, or any book, can be made into an uninterrupted series of " sound-similarity,"

[^11]and the "variety of metres" which Ley brings out by this "freer alliteration," as he calls it, would add anything but harmony and stateliness to the Hebrew verse, in place of the simple but grand arsis and thesis of the parallelism. Of true alliteration, as defined above, no passage can be adduced in which it is carried through and used in such a manner as to be the bearer and regulator of the rhythm. It is true that it sometimes recalls the "Liedstäbe," or "Stabreim," the alliterative parts being distributed over two lines or parallel members. ${ }^{*}$ But this occurs only in isolated passages.

With apparently more justice, Wilhelm Jordan, Der epische Vers der Germanen, p. 7 f., claims for Hebrew the oldest rimes, in which, as he says, it did not have to be invented, but arose spontaneously from the organism of the language. This is true in so far as the conformity of the suffix-endings in Hebrew might have suggested the rime ; and, in fact, rimes based on the endings recur in a few short passages, such as Gen. iv. 23 f., v. 29 ; Jud. xiv. 18 ; Ps. cvi. 4-7, etc., with some consistency and regularity. But even this flexional rime is not found in any lengthy passage.

Paronomasia in the Old Testament is, like all other embellishments of speech, an element of higher style, that is, of the poetical and prophetical diction. In the historical books, except in the poetical passages embodied in them and the plays on the etymology of proper names, cases in which it occurs are few and far between. It is everywhere merely a casual, not an organic, element of diction. Hebrew poetical style hardly differs from the rhetorical ; ${ }^{\text {bt }}$ both have in common all the peculiarities which distinguish them from the lower style. But their purpose and effect may vary with the diction. What the poet uses merely as an ornament, the orator may employ as an instrument. This applies even to the "parallelismus membrorum," the fundamental law of elevated style. In the poetical books, the requirements of the rhythm often give rise to the unfolding of the thought in the parallelism, while in the prophets, the development of a thought in all its aspects is the main object of the parallelism. Similar is the use of paronomasia. In the poetical books, it may contribute to rhythm or euphony, or be used as a mere embellishment ; in the prophets, it serves more serious ends. The prophets use it especially in vivid and impassioned passages, in which the whirl of similar sound is meant to reflect the inner excitement and

[^12]impress the hearer with the certainty and magnitude of an event or
 and a pit, and a snare upon thee, inhabitant of the land (330); Ely לפצ, anguish, a day of desolation and devastation, a day of clouds and darkness (Zeph. i. 15) ; depicting in conjunction with onomatopœie:
 , יום מהומה ועבוםה ומבוכה, a day of trouble, and of tramping down, and of perplexity ( 199 ; cf. $55,94,95,96$ ).

Plays upon words are especially frequent in the prophets. As an element of the daily speech, with their biting, ironical, or sarcastic force, they are best suited to the prophetic sermons, which adhere closely to the living speech and aim to reach the mind and conscience of the hearer, and to bring home to him directly and vividly a truth or a fact. Next to the prophetic speech and the rhetorical passages in Job, plays upon words are most frequently found in the Proverbs, which are in general much dependent for their force and effect upon felicitous and pointed expression, while in the Psalms only a few are found, chiefly such as by frequent use have become
 play upon words is out of place in lyric poetry, which does not aim at striking or convincing others, but lives and moves in its own feelings and emotions. ${ }^{\text {b3 }}$

## 14. "A $\pi a \xi$ 入eró $\mu \in \nu a$, and Unusual Forms of Paronomasia.

It has been observed elsewhere ${ }^{68}$ that alliterative and rimed combinations preserve many unusual and obsolete words. We find in the Old Testament also examples in which either both parts occur only in assonating combinations, or one of them is a $\dot{a} \pi . \quad \lambda e \gamma$. ; so, , מפלשי", the balancing of the clouds and the wondrous

 ( 432 ; cf. $59,185,205,223,237,287,340,395,413,431,433$ ).

Besides this it has also been noted that the straining after similarity of sound often produces anomalous forms. ${ }^{67}$ In the Old Testament,

[^13]the deviations from the regular grammatical usage for the sake of conformity of sound are comparatively few and slight：בעשׁ ה and $\sin$ is covered（271）； of Dimon are filled with blood（ 83 ；cf． $51,55,79,178,257,273$ ， 437,479 ）．

## APPENDIX．

## Paronomasia in Post－Biblical Litcrature．

Post－Biblical Hebrew literature was very prolific in paronomasia． A few examples from the Talmud may here be given：בשלשׁוֹ リココココּ וֹוּ ב reveals itself on three occasions：in his behavior concerning his purse，his cup，and in his anger＂（Erubin， $65^{b}$ ；cf．No．178）；＇${ }^{4}$ ＂צִּ9，＂Woe is me from my Creator（who punishes $\sin$ ），woe is me from my（sinful）inclination＂（Berach．，61a ；cf． No．160）；；＂ Kצic，＂In the West（i．e．Palestine），when one took a wife people used to say of him thus：Did he find＇a good thing＇（Prov．xviii．22）， or something＇more bitter than death＇？＂（Eccl．vii．26；Yebamoth，
 thou hast mistaken $i y o b$（Job）for oyeb＂（enemy ；Bala bathra， $1^{a}$ ）；

 and live in the shadow＂（of thy house，i．e．rather live poorly than make debts and be compelled to give up thy house，Pesach．， $114^{a}$ ）；1ココ ללאבלים ולא לאבידה שהייא למנוחה，ואאנו לאנחה mourners and not for the departed ；for this has gone to rest，while we are left to grief（Moed Qatan， $25^{b}$ ）．

The Talmud is especially rich in efforts to supply with etymologies those proper names which the Old Testament left unexplained．So ロร รัทาข องコา＂，＂because he made the people crouch＂（i．e．


 Israel and their Father in heaven＂；Jeroboam is called 1
 recognize his true position and destiny in history，Sanh．，ror ${ }^{\text {b }}$ ）；

מנשהׁ (son of Hezekiah), שהנשיא את ישראל לאביהם שבשמים, "because he caused Israel to be forgotten by their Father in heaven" (Sanh., $\mathbf{1 2 0}^{\circ}$ ). Comp. Nimrod and Amraphel, who are identified, Erub., 53³, and Yalqut, 47, $7^{2}$; Sinear, Sabb., $113^{b}$; Samson and Delilah, Sotah, 10a, $8^{\text {b }}$; Ahasuerus and Esther, Megillah, $11^{a}$, $13^{4}$, and many more. Even appellatives are transformed into proper names and then interpreted, as for example, צמפוני (Joel ii. 20) : וזה יצר הרע שצצפן וצומד בלבו של אדם "It is the evil inclination (personified) which is hidden and remaining in the heart of man" (Succah, $52^{a}$ ). ${ }^{\text {a }}$
The Jewish poets of the middle ages formed paronomasia with great skill, but did not preserve the moderation of the Old Testament writers. The following are a few examples from Judah Hearizi's

 gives power to the wise; she leads him in the path of life and affords him rest from his toil; when he lies down she watches over him and does not leave him alone" (p. 2, section 2, verse 1 ; comp. No. 252) ; יששם "יחד כל סוד, "and there (in heaven) is the founda-
 "and she (the soul) soars to her nest, and to her Creator" (p. 3, v. 36) ; "ושב דיוטי דוֹפם, "and the beauty is turned to disgrace " (г7, 4, 28); בלשונם תְפִּלדה ובקרבם תִּגְלדה, " on their tongue is prayer, in their heart perverseness" ( $17,4,34$ ); ולשוץ קדר הקדירתהו, "and the language of Qedar (Arabia) darkened him" (p. 5, v. 29).

## LIST OF CASES OF PARONOMASIA IN THE OLD TESTAMENT ALPHABETICALLY ARRANGED. ${ }^{1}$

1. Job xxix. 16 :
$x$
2. Is. xxiv. 4 :

3. Ex. xxi. ı 8: וֹרִּ
4. Dt. xxviii. 24 : :

[^14]
 Gen. xxv. $30 .{ }^{3}$
 Is. Ixiii. 1, 2 : ${ }^{4}$ ובגדריך כרֹרָּך בְּנֵת

 Num. xi. 34 :
10. Prov. xxiii. 29 :

 vae? cuius patri vae?
11. Ez. xxx. 17 : $^{0}$


 Cf. Hab. i. 3 ; Ps. lv. 11 : ; Job xv. 35.
13. Prov. vi. $23:$

כי נֵר מצוה ותזרדה אוֹר
Vulg. et lex lux.



[^15]Cf. Lev. viii. 8; Ezra ii. 63 ; Neh. vii. 65 : $\square^{\square}$ า Dt. xxxiii. 8: ${ }^{2} \times 7$, shortened for 'ת I Sam. xxviii. 6.'
16. Ezra viii. 31 :
17. Ez. xl. 24, 29, 33, 36 : ${ }^{\text {© }}$

##  ואילי ואדמיו



19. Prov. v. 19:

באֵין וּבאֵּם
20. Is. xli, 12 :
 Vulg. occidam; Pesh.

Cf. Prov. vi. 27.
23. Mi. i. $14:{ }^{9}$

 Is. i. 19, 20 :
25. Jud. xiv. 14 :

26. Is. xxiv. 6 :
27. Ez. xvii. 13 : ${ }^{10}$

28. Is. vi. 13 :

' As regards the etymology of Wellhausen, Prolegomena, ${ }^{2}$ p. 419 n. connects אורים with ארי , curse. The same view is held by Professor Haupt, who compares Assyr. ardru, 'curse, conjure, bind' (by a spell); the form is, he thinks, perhaps to be explained as the result of the resolution of the
 and independently of him, Lagarde (Proph. chald., p. xlvii.) compared ${ }^{\text {D }}$ with Arab. tamá 'im, plur. of tamimat, a kind of amulet to repel the evil eye. (See Lane, Lex., s.v.) [Wellhausen has himself given up this combination; see Reste arabischen Heidenthumes, 167.] We may, perhaps, compare Assyr. tamk, a prolonged by-form of amu, 'speak, swear, conjure, enchant'; ma'mifu, 'oath'; amatu, 'word, command'; words which are, perhaps, derived from the same root as Heb. $\mathrm{Q} \times \underset{\text { ju }}{ }$, oracle.
${ }^{8}$ Cf. Assyr. élamú, 'front.'

- אכזכ, elative form; cf. אכז. Achzib in Judah, Jos. xv. 44.

10 (לhe, princes; prop., mighty ones; Assyr. diu = ajalu.

##  <br> 30. Hab. ii. 18 : לצשות אֶּ

'וֹנח on which Del. remarks [after older scholars; eg. Miklol Yophi, D. Kocher, al.]: 'the prophet, with a sarcastic touch, calls the royal account of their decayed and desolate condition,' rather a strange witticism. Fried. Delitzsch (in Baer's ed. of Ezekiel, p. xi.), citing Assyr, almattu (二almantu), 'widow' and 'house,' ascribes the same two-fold sense to Heb. אלמנה. But in Ezek. xix. 7, to which Fried. Delitzsch refers, 1 י correct (see Cornill, ad loc.), can only mean, he knew (carnally) his widows. In our passage, ' $D$ KK is very probably a clerical error for ' ${ }^{\prime}$ (

3I. Is. vii. 9 :

 ת. In 2 Chron. xx. 20, ,
 thought, comp. also Hab. ii. 4.
32. Ps, cvii. II :

כי חִּמרו אמּרי אֵל
The ancient versions: 17อำ, жарєтiкраvav (exacerbaverunt,


 kethib). Hos. x. $10 .{ }^{15}$
When it is my desire, I shall chastise them, and peoples will be gathered against them, when I shall bind them to their two furrows (Targ., Ki., al.) ; cf. Is. xxiv. 22. The old versions represent
 å\&<kials aủtûv; Vulg. corripientur propter duas iniquitates suas; Pesh.
 2 Sam. xxiii. $20:$ (qere (7) בתוך דבאר
Cf. I Chron. xi. 22. ${ }^{14}$
${ }^{11}$ אלילים, connected with $\mathcal{B}$, ne; so also in Assyr. ullu, uldilu=naught, and $u$ l.
${ }^{18}$ LXX and Pesh. take ${ }^{1}$ in an ethical sense: oúk dptoect (Pesh.

${ }^{18}$ ס lation of ' in 7 ', cf. Ewald, § $139^{a}$; Ges., § 71.

14 ארת שניאל בני (LXX) (Driver); for a conj. emendation of the latter part of the verse, see Klostermann, ad loc. In Is. xxix. I, 2, אר'אל is

36．Job iii． $8:{ }^{15}$

37．Gen．ii． 23 ：
לואת
 vocabitur virago，quoniam de viro sumpta est．
 Gen，xxx． 13.

## 3

 Gen．xi． $9{ }^{16}$

40．Ps．iv． 9 ：
 Cf．7าコ กทコ，Dt．xxxiii． 28.

41．Nah．ii．II ；cf．Is．xxiv．I ：



43． 43 Ps．xvii． $3{ }^{18}$
44．Jer．xlviii． 15 ：
－品
45． 2 Ki. viii． 12 ：

toùs éкклектоі̀s．．．
ובֵּ

46．Is．xii． 2 ：
אָבְטח ולא אֵפְחד
47．Jud．ii．4， 5 ：
48．Gen．xxvii． 36 ：


rendered by the comm．in both verses，＂lion of God＂（Ges．，Ew．，Dillm．，Cheyne）； or＂hearth of God＂（Targ．，Knob．，Del．；cf．Ez．xliii．15，26）；Hitz．alone assumes a play on the two senses of the word．
${ }^{15}$ Hitz．and Del．compare the Râhu of the Indian myths，the dragon which strove to devour the sun and the moon；Hoffmann refers to the crocodile，the son of Seth，seated as star－demon at the north pole，as midnight robber of the light of Horus．
${ }^{16}$ See above，§ 12，p． 116 f．
17 Pיךְ，Lagarde，Anmerkung zur gr．Uebers．der Proverb．
${ }^{18}$ Notice the three alliterating couples in this verse after the scheme ababaa．


 द̇тv入 $\omega \theta \eta \sigma a \nu .{ }^{19}$

5I. Ps.lv. $10:{ }^{20}$
בַּלַּת אדני פַּנַּנ לשונם
 Num. xi. 3 .
53. Ex. xxii. 4 :

 Job xxii. 24, 25 . ${ }^{21}$
And lay gold ore in the dust, and under stones (gravel) of the brooks gold of Ophir ; so will Shaddai be your gold ore.


57. Am. vii. 14 : ${ }^{23}$
58. Eccl. xii. 1 : ${ }^{24}$


${ }^{19}$ The addition in LXX [B] is found in Dt. xxix. 4.
${ }^{23}$ Instead of בלע, Graetz proposed בלל (Gen. xi. 7, 9); but cf. Is. xix. 3, יעצחו אבליז, I will swallow up its sagacity. [See Barth, Beiträge zur Erklärung des fesaia, p. 4 f.] D פלג (Gen. x. 25), with Patah, the better to agree with בלע; cf. Ges., § 52,2, rem. 2.
${ }^{11}$ The old versions vowelled and understood these difficult verses differently. Pesh. alone recognize in 753 , vs. 24, a metal (silver); LXX, Vulg., think of
 in vs. 24, LXX, Pesh., Targ. (followed by Merx), read וכצ ב
 reading, but epexegesis of ${ }^{\dagger}$ בצ; cf. Vulg. contra hostes tuos. Hoffm. (in his transl. of Job, and Zeitschr. f. Assyriologie, II., 48), reads vs. 24, וֹשֶּת על עפר כֶצֶר נחלים

${ }^{2}$ The Impf. Niph. are formed here after the analogy of the verbs 1 " $\dot{1}$, that they may rime with the Infl. absol.
${ }^{28}$ Cf. Lagarde, Mittheilungen, I., 596, 68.
${ }^{24}$ Graetz, Haupt, and Cheyne regard בורא as a later alteration of an original , בְארך , so that the passage originally meant, "Remember thy cistern, or thy well," $i . e$. thy wife, after Prov. v. 15. It would thus be parallel to Eccl. ix. 9雚: "Enjoy life with a wife whom you love." Haupt
 their transferred meaning, are playfully associated with our text in the Midrash, on this verse, and in Levif. Rabb., sec. 18 (on Lev. xv. 2; cf. also Talm. Jer., Sota ii., I , fol. $18^{\circ}$ ), where, in speaking of Aquabia ben Mahalalel's counsel in

And it hails when the forest cometh down, and the city shall sink in abasement.

61. Ps. cxxxii. 18 ; cf. Job viii. 22 :

אויביו אַלבּישׁ בשָׁת

2
62. Is. xvi. 6: ${ }^{77}$

 Ps. cxxiii. $4{ }^{28}$

Pirqe Aboth, iii. 1: "Keep three things before thine eyes, and thou wilt not fall into sin: Know whence thou comest, and whither thou goest, and before whom

 'נl נוראשבן in Eccl. xii. I: Whence thou comest, that is 3 , thy fountain; whither thou goest, 1 , בורך, thy grave ; and before whom thou wilt stand in judgment, בוראך, thy creator.

The main reason assigned for the change of the massoretic reading is that the motive given at the close of the verse, "ere the days of evil come," etc., does not perfectly suit the exhortation זכר. The fact that life in old age becomes a burden would rather suggest to enjoy it while one's powers are fresh (cf. Cheyne, Yob and Solomon, p. 225). But, as Cheyne admits, an exhortation to cultivate family life would bring the book to a rather "lame and impotent conclusion." Besides, the author of Eccl. does not use veiled and ambiguous language (except in similes), but calls things by their right name, as in the passage quoted above, ix. 9 ; cf. also ch. ii. Is not xii. $\mathrm{I}^{a}$, perhaps to be attributed to the same hand as the end of xi. 9 ("and know that for all this God will bring thee into judgment")?
${ }^{25}$ The old versions read the noun 7 1, nowhere else in Hebrew. Bredenk. reads 7 יㅟ. But there is no sufficient reason for removing the $\dot{a}$ r. גe\%. It is here employed for the sake of as the whole chapter (like chapp. xxiv.-xxvii.) is marked by a straining after paronomasia and pointedness of speech (cf. vs. 5 f., 12). In this verse there is a threefold assonance after the scheme aaabba. See also Stade in $Z A T W$, IV., 267.
${ }^{20}$ The name has survived in Wady Hereikat, west of Thekoa.
${ }^{27}$ Cf. Jer. xlviii. 29 ; Prov. viii. 13 ; Job xi. 10.
 , ריע: oppressors ; cf. חֶּרֶב ה', Zeph. iii. I ; Jer. xivi. 16, 1. 16. Most modern commentators consider the qere an intentional alteration made in the



And Leah said: In (or with) good luck (qere, good luck hath come) ; and she called his name Gad.
$65^{b}$. Gen. xlix. 19 :
בקּ
Gad - a troop shall press upon him, yet he shall press upon (their) ${ }^{20}$ heel.
66. Ps. cx. 6:

67. Ez. xxxix. II (cf. 15) :

וקראו

68. Mi. i. 6 :

ורגְּרתי לגי אבניה
 Jos. v. $9: 30$




72. Is. x. $3^{\circ}$ :

73. Cant. iv. 12 :


74. Ps. xviii. 8:

Cf. 2 Sam. xxii. 8.

Cf. Ex. xviii. 3.

[^16]76. Mi. i. 10 :

בנֵת אַּל תַגִּדוּ



## 7

77. Jud. v. 12 :
78. Eccl. xii. 11 :


79. Ez. v. 17: ${ }^{85}$

Cf. Ez. xxviii. 23, xxxviii. 22.
80. 

דָּ
Gen. xxvii. 28, 37 ; Dt. vii. 13, xi. 14, xii. 17 , xiv. 23, xviii. 4, xxviii. 51 , xxxiii. 28 ; 2 Ki . xviii. 32 ; Is. xxxvi. 17 ; Jer. xxxi. 12 ; Hos. ii. 24, vii. 14 ; Juel i. 10, ii. 19 ; Ps. iv. 8. คากา
81. Ps. xxiv. 6:
82. Is. xli. 15 :

Cf. Dan. vii. 23.
83. Is. xv. 9 : ${ }^{37}$
84. Jer. xlviii. 2 : ${ }^{88}$
85. Gen. xv. 2 : ${ }^{30}$

And the inheritor of my house is Damascus Eliezer.

[^17]
$86^{5}$. Gen. xlix. 16 :


Jer. xxxiv. 17: דרור נאם י" אל החרב

89. Esth. i. $13: \quad$ דָ
$i$
90. Ez. ii. 10 : ${ }^{10}$

וכתוב אליה קינים וָדָּה דֶדי
91.

הוֹד ודָּדר
Ps. xxi. 6, xlv. 4, xcvi. 6, civ. 1, cxi. 3 ; Job xl. 10; I Chr. xvi. 27.
92. Is. i. 4 :

93. Is. lvi. 10 : ${ }^{4}$

 videntes vana.
94. Ps. xlvi. 4 :

- • ּ

95. Is. xvii. 12 :

Nothing of them, nor of their multitude, nor of their riches, nor



[^18]
It is (the apparent disorder in the world) that they (mankind) may see that they are beasts with regard to themselves. - roû

98. Dt. ii. 15 :

99. Is. lix. 13 : ${ }^{45}$

הרו והרגו מִלֵלב דברי שקׁר

 $=$ = הצרק), see Geiger, Urschriff, u.s.w., p. 79, and the comm. (Del., Cheyne, Dillm., Duhm.).

## 1

101. Prov. xxi. 8:

Very perverse is the way of the guilty man, but the pure - his work is straight. - LXX, $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ r o u ̀ s ~ \sigma к о \lambda ю o u ̀ s ~ a к o \lambda c a ̀ s ~ \delta \delta o i ̀ s ~$


## 1


 Gen. xxx. 20:
. . . . ותקרא את שמו זְבָלוֹן
And Leah said: God presented me with a good present; this time my husband will extol ${ }^{47}$ me. . . . And she called his name Zebulon.

4 4, emphatic; they with regard to themselves, as they really are in themselves, ipsissimi; cf. Ew., § $315^{\text {a }}$. "המה, nimmt wie ein Echo das בהמה auf und vollzieht die ausgesprochene Gleichung auch im Wortklang" (Del.).
${ }^{15}$ The only cases of Inf. Poel. Duhm, Das Buch Yesaia, thinks that the punctators took these forms for Inff. Hiph. of 'גי' and and would therefore read הָ as Inf. absol. Qal ; cf. Prov. xxv. 4 f.; Is. xxvii. 8. הרו is regarded by him as a clerical error for ${ }^{1}$.
${ }^{17}$ This meaning of twhich, as verb, occurs only here) is suggested by the Assyr., where zabálu means 'carry, lift up,' syn. with nał̌u, Nibj; cf. Stanislas Guyard, in fourn. Asiatique, 1878, aott-sept., p. 220 ff. ; Schrader, $K A T^{2}$, p. 185 f.; Fried. Delitasch, Hebr. and Assyr., p. 38 f., and Prolegomena, p. 62; Cheyne, Isaiah ', II., p. 172 f. The common rendering, 'dwell,' has no philological foundation whatever, and in this passage compels us to supply a prepos., "he will dwell with me" (Vulg. mecum erit). - Notice the explanation of the name by two verbs זובר and (both àr. גer.). Are two different explanations combined as in the case of the name Joseph, vs. 23, 24 ?
104. Job xxviii. I 7 :

105. Dt. xxi. 20 :

 oivoф入vyci.. ${ }^{19}$
106. Ex. xxxiv. 15 :

תָנֶו אחרי אלהיהם חָבדחו לאלהדהם
107. Jer. li. 2 :




$\Pi$
109. Ezek. xxx. 21 :

 Gen. iii. 20.
111. Jer. xxiii. Ig:
112. Is. xxvi. 11 :

113. Job $x x x i .40$ :

 Hos. viii. in.
Because Ephraim hath made a multitude of altars to sin, the

 нíva.
115. Prov. x . 16 :
116. Prov. iii. 22 :


${ }^{48} \mathrm{Cf}$. Matth. xi. 19; Luc. vii. 34 : фdyos kal oivozdrचs.
49 נריע (eg. Is. v. 7, lxi. 3 ; Jer. ii. 21), perhaps for the sake of the paronomasia with hwyrr.
${ }^{53}$ That the words for 'sin' in Hebr., and in the Semitic languages generally, may also signify the consequence of sin, punishment, or the condition into which one is brought by sin, needs no proof; see, e.g., Gen. xxxix. 9; Prov. xx. 2; cf. H. Schultz, Altestamentliche Theologie ${ }^{4}$, p. 684 . For Assyr., see Haupt in Hebraica, 1., 219.
 Ps. lxxiv. 19.
Deliver not unto the beast the soul of thy turtle-dove, forget not thy poor animals for ever (Del.). ${ }^{51}$
118. Job x. 12 :

Cf. Ps. Ixiii. 4, cxix. 159.
119. Is. xxx. $18:$ ות $^{\text {ות }}$

And therefore will Jahveh wait to be gracious to you, and therefore will he arise to have mercy upon you (Del.).
120. Lam. ii. 8 :

Cf. Is. xxvi. i.




 26, 28-32, xxxv. 8; Zeph. ii. 12 (15).
125. Job xxxvi. 15 :
126. Is. Ivii. 6 : ${ }^{\text {as }}$


127. Dt. xxxii. 9 :


 Jud. v. 25 ; Is. vii. 22.
 Jud. xv. 16.
With the jawbone of an ass heaps upon heaps, with the jawbone of an ass I have smitten a thousand men. - $\langle v$ otayóvt övov

[^19]

130. Gen. xi. 3 :


131. Esth. ii. 17 :
132. Ps. Ivii. 5 :

Cf. I Sam. xiii. 19, 22 ; Is. xlix. 2 ; Prov. v. 4.
133. Mi. iv. II:
134. Prov. xiv. 34 :

135. Job xxxix. 13 : ${ }^{\text {an }}$ "The wing of the ostrich vibrateth joyously; - is she pious, wing and feathers?" (Del.).
136. 2 Sam. xv. 30 :
137. Nu. xxiv. 8 : ${ }^{58}$

138. Prov. viii. 27 ; cf. Job xxvi. 10 :
139. Mi, vii. II: ${ }^{57}$

On that day the borders (Keil and Orelli, the law) will be far removed.
 Jud. v. 15, 16 :



בִּזקין חוּג על טגי תהום


אשׁר לקחתם . . . בחַרְבִּי ובקַשְׁתּי
141. Gen. xlviii. 22 :

Cf. Jos. xxiv. 12 ; 2 Ki . vi. 22.
${ }^{54}$ This rendering of the LXX is quoted in the Theol. Zeitumg of Innsbruck,
 jawbone of the ass [the red one] have I reddened them'; cf. Hebraica, V., 198. But the conjecture is not plausible. - חחקר = חֲמוֹר , heap, is chosen bere to accord with רing, ass, and is also found I Sam. xvi. 20 (Keil).
bs is thought to contain an allusion to the name of the stork, avis pia, whose treatment of its young is so different from that of the ostrich; see Gesenius, Thes., s.v. - Hoffm.: "Is the wing of the ostrich too slothful, or doth it want


${ }^{68}$ A plural is expected here, referring to $\begin{gathered}\text { צִ. } \\ \text {. Keil (with LXX) takes it as }\end{gathered}$ instrum., which is rather forced; Dillm. emends 1 צ
${ }^{\text {b7 }}$ Graetz, Monatsschrift für Gesch. u. Wissensch. des 7 udenth. 8886, p. 505,
 pressed, i.e. hastened.

 Hag. i. 9, 11.

143. Ez. xxxiii. 27 :

144. Ez. v. 14 : ${ }^{50}$

145. Ez. vii. 14 :

 Jos. vi. 18, cf. vii. 1 1, 12 : מחנה

Cf. Jud. i. 17.
 Ps, xuviii. I.
149. Jer. xlviii. 2 :


151. Joel ii. 16 :

$\bullet$
152. Ps. cxix. 66

153. Ez. xiii. 11 ;




${ }^{68}$ In vs. 38, Ew. and Graf read
so nis omitted by LXX and Cornill.
 word, and of the paronomasia with נפע" (Orelli).

 IX., 99 f., and Schwally, ibid. VIII., 196. So Pesh. כהתו אכרא . . מטל דבטלח חרוהא. The Vulg. renders all the $\boldsymbol{V}^{\boldsymbol{V}}$ in this passage by 'confusum esse.'
 Gen. xxix. 35 .
156. Gen. xlix. 8 :
157. Am. vii. 10 :

לא תוּבֶּל דארץ לְדָּבִיל את כל דבריָּ



160. Is. xxvi. 3 :
161. Hab. ii. 18 :

 Zech. xiv. 6.
On that day there shall be no light ; the precious ones (the stars) shall contract (i.e. cover) themselves. - LXX, iv
 ; וְקָּ ; so the other ancient versions, Ew., Umbreit).
163. Ps. xxv. 12 :
164. Ps. Ixiv. 5 :


165. Jos. xxiii. 5 : ודורישׁ אתם מלפניכם חִירִשְׁתָּ את ארצם Cf. Jud. xi. 23.
166. Mi. i. 15 :




コ
167. Job xxxiii. 7:


168. Prov. iv. 8 :


"To investigate difficult things is an honor" (Del.). - LXX,



[^20]
171. Jer. x. 25 :
172. Job xix. 27 :



173. Job v. 26:

תבוא בכָּלַח אלי קָּבָּר
174. Is. xxxii. 7 :

וכֶַלִ כָלִיִ רצים
The mean man - his means are evil.

 Jer. xvii. 12, 13 .


179. Ps. cxlvii. 16 :
180. Ps. cxlv. 14, cxlvi. 8 :

18r. Ps. xxxvii. 20:


ואויבי דוה כִּיקר כָּים

182. Is. xvi. 1, 2 :

כָרַעּ בֵל קַרם נִבּוֹ

 Zeph. ii. 5, 6 :

כִרחת רצים
184. 2 Sam. viii. 18 :

Cf. 2 Sam. xv. 18 , xx. 7,23 ; 1 Chr. xviii. 17.
185. Ps. Ixxiv. 6:

186. Nu. xiv. 45 : ${ }^{\infty}$


## 4



Cf. Joel ii. 3 ; Ps. Ixxxiii. 15 , cvi. 18 ; Job xli. 13.

${ }^{\text {us }}$ Instead of the usual ${ }^{\text {and }}$, for the sake of the paronomasia.
${ }^{68}$ Hiph. of כהת. Professor Haupt explains such formations (instead of of verbs $L^{\prime \prime y}$ as due to the analogy with verbs ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ 'פ ; cf. Huizinga, Analogy in the Semitic Languages, Amer. Journ. of Phil., XII., 32.
190. Ez. xxi. 3 :
 Gen. xxix. 34 .
 192. Mi. i. 13 : רתם המרכבה לָרָכָשׁ יושבת לָכִישׁ



יצן פי דָאם הצם הוה את מי הסשלח . . . וּשְׁוֹש את רצין . 193
Is. viii. $6 .{ }^{\text {8 }}$
194. 2 Ki. iii. 19: והכיתש כל צּר מִבְּר וכל ציר מִבְחוֹר
195. Ps. Ixxxix. 41 :
196. Is. xxv. $12:{ }^{\infty}$
197. Is. X. $3^{1}$ :
198. Is. xxix. 9 :
199. Is. xxii. 5 :
200. Gen. xix. 37:
201. Jer. xxvii. 2 :

Cf. Nah. i. 13.
202. 2 Sam. iii. 25 : ${ }^{70}$ (kethib Cf. Ez. xliii. II.


${ }^{68}$ The noun משושו instead of the verbal sentence $\boldsymbol{ש}$ paronomasia with $D$ M (Del.). For various conjectural emendations, see the commentaries.

69 ששח Semitic Languages, Amer. Journ. of Phil., XII., 32.
${ }^{70}$ The qere is to make the paronomasia more perfect.
${ }^{n 1}$ The text is not intact; LXX read differently, and in part better. See Stade, ZATW, VI., 122 f., and Wellbausen, ad loc.

Our garners are full, affording all manner of store (prop. from


 Ez. xxiv. 21: EJesy

 Gen. xxxii. 3 :

הדוא צחתָּנים




211. Is. xv. 2 :

ותל מַדְדָא מוֹאב ּיִּלִיל




216. Cant v. 16 :
217. Neh. ix. 20:



 Dan. v. 25-28.79
 Eccl. iv. i:

להש טנחם
And behold the tears of the oppressed, and they have no comforter; and on the side of their oppressors is power, and they have no avenger ${ }^{\text {r3 }}$ (Professor Haupt).

[^21] Is. lxv. 11,12 :


222. Is. xlviii. 19 :

And thy offspring will be like the grains (gravel) ${ }^{\text {it }}$ thereof (the sea).




226. Is. xxix. 3 :
227. Ps. cxix. 143 :
228. Dt. xxviii. $53,55,57$; Jer. xix. 9 :









 énィ $\beta$ árov aย̇тov.

[^22] Jer. xxiii. 33 : Еコתス "


 Cf. above, § 12, p. 116.





241. Is. xxv. 10:

3
242. Ez. ix. 4 :
243. Job xxiv. 15 :
244. Job xi. 12 :
245. Ez. xiii. 3 :

LXX, oin ras


 Prov. xvii. 7.

249. Ps. lvi. 9.

[^23]250. Job ii. II:

Cf. xlii. 11 ; Is. li. 19 ; Nah. iii. 7 ; Ps. lxix. 21.

 Eccl. x. 4 :

If the temper of the ruler riseth against thee, leave not thy place, for patience assuageth great wrongs. ${ }^{83}$
 Is. xxx. 16 :

But ye said, No (we will not keep quiet), but we will flee on horses (of Egypt) ; therefore shall ye flee ; and on the swift will we ride ; therefore swift shall be your pursuers.
254. Jer. xlix. 30 :

79 9
255. Gen.v. 29:


256. Is. i. 24 :

257. Is. xvii. ıо:

Therefore thou plantest pleasant plants, ${ }^{84}$ but settest them in
 Vulg. plantationem fidelem) каì $\sigma \pi$ ¢́p $\mu a$ ä $\pi \iota \sigma \tau о \nu$.
258. Job xviii. 19 : ${ }^{85}$

לא נִּץ לו ולא נִכָד בצמי
Cf. Gen. xxi. 23 ; Is. xiv. 22.
82 Merx cancels נהרי Hoffm. transposes נהר׳ רכש ונחלי חמאה;
${ }^{88}$ That is, the sufferings resulting from the sins of the ruler (Professor Haupt); it must then be pointed חי?
${ }^{44}$ Cheyne and Duhm, following the interpretation of Ew., render "plants of Adonis," considering $\square^{\prime} j \partial y$ to be an epithet of this god used as a name. As the worship of Tammuz is not mentioned by any prophet before Ezekiel, it is doubtful, however, whether it had been introduced in Israel as early as the time of Isaiah. The reading of LXX seems preferable; perhaps D'JDKJ was changed
 cf. Vulgate.
${ }^{86}$ Cf. Assyr. ninu, "family," Fried. Del., Assyr. Studien, p. 20. Compare English "kith and kin," German "Kind und Kegel"; in Cooper's " Pathfinder," Ch. x.: "She died leaving neither chick nor chiel behind her," to which Professor Haupt kindly called my attention.
259. Esth. iii. 12 : ${ }^{\text {s }}$
260. Gen. xlii. 7 :
2614. Ps. lx. 6:
"That Hou hast given them that fear thee a banner to lift themselves up because of the truth " (Del.). - LXX, "סwкas roîs
 ( $\boldsymbol{\square}$

Cf. Nu. xx. 13 ; Dt. xxxiii. 8.
262. Is. x. 18 :

And it (Asshur's glory) shall be like the pining away of a sick
 кatoúévs; Vulg. et erit terrore profugus.
263. Gen. iv. 12 :

㸚
Cf. vs. 14 ; Is. xxiv. 20.
264. Ps. cxlvii. I:

On והנער נער, I Sam. i. 24, see Driver, Hebrew Text of the Books of Samuel, in loc.- In 2 Ki. ix. 4 , ${ }^{2} \mathbf{2}^{\circ}$ is probably dittograph (LXX, Pesh.).
265. Job xiv. 18 :

תַר נוֹתַל יִּוּל

266. Is. lviii. 10: וְתָ

And minister thy sustenance ${ }^{\infty}$ to the hungry, and satisfy the afflicted soul.
267. Lam. iv. 15 :

268. Nah. ii. 2 : ${ }^{\text {al }}$

צָּ

© Wanting in the LXX.
${ }^{87}$ This reading is adopted by most recent commentators, but is exposed to

${ }^{89}$ So Lagarde, Prophel. Chald., p. 1. : לָ. pi.
${ }^{\infty}{ }^{0}$ Lit., ‘appetite, object of appetite.' - Graetz, Monatschriff fïr Gesch. u. Wis-
sensch. d. Jiudenth., 1886, p. 272, emends cf. LXX.
${ }^{\text {01 }}$ Cf. Frd. Del., Zeitschr. für Keilschrifforsch., II., 293 f.; Prolegomena, p. 127, n. 1.

## 269．Nah．i． 2 ： <br> 

Cf．Lev．xix． 18.
270．Prov．xx． 27 ： 7 留

 Ez．xliv． 12.

# ויקרא Gen．xli． 51 ：${ }^{88}$ 

274．Ez．xxxix． 9 ：
275．Ps．lxxviii． 9 ：
276．Job xxx．13：
LXX，ésєтрíßŋба⿱ трíßou $\mu$ оv．
277．Jer．i． 10 ：
 Cf．xviii．7，xxxi． 28.

Cf．Is．xxix．6．In inverse order，Am．i．14；Ps．lxxxiii． 16.
279．Am．vi．7：


280． 2 Chr．xxxii． 15.
281．Is．xxiii．8：
 Nah．i．ro．${ }^{\text {² }}$
For like thorns twisted together，and intoxicated in their drink （reading ©Kコロコ1），they are devoured like stubble fully dry．

02 ＂10y，after the analogy of the verbs 7 ＂ 5 ，to agree with＂103．
 Stade，§ $3^{88} 7^{a}$ ．
\％The text is probably corrupt．LXX render ＇ג 1 וֵֹ，which would at least suit the context．Graetz，Monalschrifl，u．s．w．，
 （cf．Job xxv．5）drunken prunces，etc．

 Am. v. 26 : אששר צשיתם לבם
And you carry Sakkuth your Moloch (or king), and Kaivan, the star of your god, the images which you have made for yourselves. ${ }^{\text {. }}$



288. Ez. ii. 6 : *

כִי סָרָבִים וְסַלֹוֹנִים אוֹתָּך
For briers and thorns are they (the people) with thee.
289. Dt. xxi. 18, 20 :

דָּן טוֹרַר וּטוֹרָה
Cf. Jer. v. 23 .
29.
 Ps. xviii. 12 (2 Sam. xxii. 12).

## $\searrow$


 крі́бews.
292. Is. X. 29 :

293. Is. xxvi. 4 :

Cf. xlv. 1 7, lxv. 18 ; Ps. lxxxiii. 18 , xcii. 8, cxxxii. $12,14$.
294. Jer. xvi. 19: יהוה קִּי ומָּזִ? Cf. Ps. xxviii. 8.
295. Ez. xvi. 7, 22, 39, xxiii. 29 :

296. Ex. xxiii. 5 : ${ }^{\text {m }}$

[^24]297. Zeph. ii. 4 :

298. Is. hiv. 6 :

299.
 Ez. xii. 14.
 Pesh. and Cornill.
300. . I Sam. vii. $12 .{ }^{100}$

302. Is. |xii. 3 :

Cf. Ez. xvi. 12, xxiii. 42 ; Prov. iv. 9, xvi. 31.
303. ביוב דהו Is. xxvi. 1 : $\quad$ וָּ

 $\pi \alpha \mu \nu i \omega \nu$.
 Jud. x. $4:^{102}$
306. Mi. i. $10:{ }^{108}$




309. Is. xxi. 2 :

100 Wellhausen, Text der Butcher Samuelis, conjectures for

${ }^{101}$ Besides the alliteration there is perhaps also a play upon the etymology of
 word here also as an appellative.
${ }^{102}$ As if from a sing. $\urcorner: y$ (as paronomasia.
${ }^{108}$ Most modern commentators since Relaid (Palaestina illustr. 534 ff.), "In Acco do not weep," considering בָכ a contraction [or corruption] of the LXX see Vollers, in ZATW, IV., 4.
${ }^{104}$ So also I Chr. ii. 7 , the name is changed to adapt it to the explanation:




And he (Moses) said : It is not the shout of strength (i.e. of the victorious in battle), nor is it the cry of weakness (i.e. of the defeated); it is the voice of singing (around the golden calf) that I hear.

313. Is. x. 30 :

Poor Anathoth (sc. : listen (הקשיבי)! - Vulg. paupercula Anathoth. ${ }^{\text {W5 }}$ Dt. iv. 11, v. 19 ; Ez. xxxiv. 12 ; Joel ii. 2; Zeph. i. 15 ; Ps. xcvii. 2 ; Job xxxviii. $9 .{ }^{118}$
 Job x. 22.
(Before I depart into) the land of deep darkness, like darkness itself, of the shadow of death and of confusion, and when it is bright it is like darkness. - LXX, cis $\hat{\eta}^{\nu} \sigma \kappa o ́ T o u s ~ a i \omega v i o v, ~$ ở oíx llotiv фéryos oưbè ópậv 乡wìv $\beta$ potûv.
316. Gen. xviii. 27 :

Cf. Job xxx. 19, xlii. 6.

In Beth-le-Aphrah (Dust-home) bestrew thyself with dust



Vs. 10 : ${ }^{10}$


[^25]
#  <br>  

320. Dt. xxxii. 36 :

Cf. I Ki. xiv. 10 , xxi. 21 ; 2 Ki ix. 8, xiv. 26.
$321^{a}$. Gen. xxv. 26.
$321^{\text {b }}$. Gen. xxvii. 36 : :
$321^{\text {e }}$. Hos. xii. 4 :
321 ${ }^{\text {d. }}$. Gen. xxxii. 25 :
321. Zeph. ii. 4 :

ב
וּ
וּעָקִרוֹן חִצְקַר

Jahveh will cut off to the man that doth it (marry a foreign

 ( $?=$ = 7 7צ 78 ). - Vulg. magistrum et discipulum. ${ }^{111}$
324. Is. ii. 19, 21 :


325. Job ix. 9 :

Cf. for the last words, xxxviii. $3^{1}$; Am. v. 8.



## D

 תחת רוח כהה וקרא לֹה א׳לי דצדק מטצ יהוה להתפאר Is. Ixi. $3 .{ }^{113}$
329. Esth. iii. 8:

110 Klostermann, 1 )
${ }^{111}$ So Luth., A. V., al., following the rabbinical interpretation, Shabb., 55 b. Orelli takes $\boldsymbol{\prime} \boldsymbol{y}$ as ptcp. qal in transitive sense, 'caller.' It is probably a proverbial phrase.
${ }^{112}$ Syr. אתע, according to Professor Haupt, primarily, ‘show one's self hard, obstinate,' then 'quarrel'; in Arab. 'asiqa; 'love,' originally, 'be hard in love'; 'asiga,'be bent on a thing, be interested in a matter'; perhaps loanword from Aram. Por, 'business, concern.'
${ }^{118}$ Bickell transposes מעטה to the place before אכל : oil of joy for the raiment of mourning, a song of praise for a failing spirit."
330. Is. xxiv. 17 :

Cf. vs. 18 ; Jer. xlviii. 43 ; Job xxii. ıo ; Lam. iii. 47.

332. Ps. cxli. 7 :
כמו פלַַח וּבַקן בארק
333. Jer. xliv. 14 :

ולא יהיה פָלִיט וְשָּרִד
Cf. Lam. ii. 22 ; '9, Jer. xlii. 17 ; Jos. viii. 22.

Cf. 2 Ki. vi. 8 ; Ru. iv. I.


337.
 2 Chr. xxxiii. 7.
 Gen. xli. 52.
$33^{8}$. Hos. ix. 16 :

$33^{8^{c}}$. Hos. xiii. $15:{ }^{116}$
$33^{8{ }^{d}}$. Hos. xiv. 9 :
339. Lev. xiii. 45 :

Cf. x. 6, xxi. 10.
340. Job xvi. 12 :
${ }^{114}$ Contracted 9 פלמונ, Dan. viii. 13. Cf. Syr. $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dh, Arab. fulān. The Assyr. }\end{aligned}$ pal-pul is a reduplication of the old Arabic dialect form ful, which is used by poets in the Vocat., instead of fulăn; see Haupt in Beitr. zur Assyriologic, I., 114, rem., and Johns Hopkins Univ. Circ. No. 29, p. 51; also Frd. Delitzsch, Assyr. Wörterb., p. 33 t, and Zeieschr. fïr Keilschrifff., II., 320.

115 nos, in Syr. MYD; Arab. fasuha, with weakening of the original 0 to $\Psi$ by partial assimilation of the sibilant to the $\boldsymbol{\Pi}$ (as Professor Haupt explains it); Eth. faska, in the III. form tafatha, means in the other Semitic dialects, 'be lucid, bright, shining,' then 'be joyous, festive.' חֶֶ刃 may thus originally have had the meaning of 'feast, festival day,' so that the explanation of the word given in Exodus would be based on a popular etymology. In Hebrew the stem denotes also motion, walking; cf. 2 Sam. iv. 4; i Ki. xviii. 21 .


Gen. xxxviii. 29.
Cf. 2 Sam. v. 20 ( 1 Chr. xiv. iI), vi. 8 ( 1 Chr. xiii. II).

343. Hab. i. 8 :
344. Prov. vi. 15 :

Cf. פת Ma, Nu. vi. 9 ; Is. xxix. 5, xxx. 13.
345. Gen. ix. 27 :

 Gen. xxx. 8: ${ }^{18}$

פִּ 4
347. Mi. i. II :

לא לא
348. Is. xxii. 24 : ${ }^{118}$ תַּת
The scions and the offshoots.
349. Is. xiii. 4 :
 Is. xxviii. 5 :

לשאר צפּ
勺לャ
צ

Cf. צִבְx
354a. . אֶּ : Gen. xvii. 17, 19:
354. Gen. xxi. 6.

[^26]
355. Jer. ii. 6 :
356. Ez. xix. 13 :
357. Is. xxxiv. 14 :
358. Dan. xi. $30:{ }^{19}$

בארץ צִיָּה וְצָּאג



359. Jon. iv. 6 :
360. Ps. cvii. 33 : ${ }^{128}$
361. Zech. vi. 12 : ${ }^{184}$
362. Hos. viii. 7 :





 Gen. xix. 20, 22 : ${ }^{125}$

 Cf. Ps. lxviii. 8.
366. Prov. xiii. 22 :

 Thou art my hiding place, from trouble wilt thou protect me, with songs of deliverance wilt thou compass me about. -
 (= $=$ " бávт $\omega v \mu$. ${ }^{\text {12 }}$

 Schwally, ZATW, VIII., 197, n. 3.
${ }^{128}$ The reverse order, vs. 35; Is xli. 18.
${ }^{14}$ Professor Haupt, who refers Ps. cxxxii. to Zerubbabel, sees in Ps. cxxxii. 17,

izs מצער, instead of the more usual קטגה, to play on the name of the city.
${ }^{120}$ In the preceding, nothing has been said about a הפצ. Ewald therefore (Komposilion der Genes., p. 64) conjectured ותבפּצמּה המצפה, "And the pillar he called Mizpah."
 are used in Genit. relation, and the former stands in the Plur.
368. Prov. xxiv. $10:$

"If thou art faint in the day of adversity - thy strength is narrow" (Del.). ${ }^{188}$
369. Is. xxx. 6 : ${ }^{120}$

 \% ול: Job iv. I 1 .
370. Hos. xiii. 12 :

1
$p$
371. Is. xxxiv. II:

Cf. Zeph. ii. 14.



Jer. xxix. 21, $22:$ בבל באש

374. 2 Ki. iv. 3 :

ויקם יהוד את דְבָרוֹ אשר דִבּבּר וָאקוּם תדת דוד אבי
1 Ki. viii. 20 (2 Chr. vi. 10).
376. Jer. xii. 13 :

377. Is. xxii. 5 :

Kir undermineth, and Shoa is at the mount. ${ }^{150}$


379.


Is. xvi. $9,10 .{ }^{131}$


${ }^{128}$ LXX divide and read differently.
129 Professor Haupt identifies ליש with Assyr. nésu; $\zeta$, and $J$ often interchange in the Semitic languages. 180 So Ewald, Propheien ${ }^{2}$, Cheyne.
 implied in the latter word: the joyous shout of the vintagers (the primary meaning of the word) has ceased ( $\mathrm{v}, 10$ ), the fierce cry of the invading enemies has surprised them (v. 9) ; cf. the parallel passage in Jer. xlviii. 32 f.,

 Nu. xxiv. 2 I:
383. Ez. vii. 6 :

LXX and Cornill read only $\mathcal{K}$ ב



He maketh like dust their (the enemies of Cyrus) sword, like driven stubble their bow. Cf. Job xli. 20.
386. Prov. vii. 3 :

קָּשָּרם צל אצבצוחקך כָּחְבֵם על לוח לבך
 Gen. xvi. 13, $14 .{ }^{124}$
ודידל שלמה לכנות את בית דוהד בירושלם בהר הַמּרָּה אשר .388 2 Chr. iii. I : z
389.

ותקרא שמו רְאוּבַן כי אמרד כי כי רְאָה יהודה בצניי Gen. xxix. $32{ }^{250}$
 Orelli); Vulg. vomibus ignominise; cf. Stade, § $124^{a}$.

Professor Haupt kindly calls my attention to the conjecture of E. Nestle in his Marginalien und Materialien, Tübingen, 1893, p. 1 , that Gen. i. 9,10 , should be read 'קיק', instead of 11 '; also 2 Chr. i. 16; Lev. xi. 36; Ex. vii. 19, מקרה, instead of מקוה, comparing the Arabic qaray, to collect water in a reservoir; qariyyun, canal; maqran, a place where water is collected. If this conjecture
 .לירושלם כסא יהוה ונגקרו אליה כל הגוים.

188 Reading after the LXX: : חרנָ and
14 See Dillm.; Wellhausen's emendation and interpretation do not accord with the explanation of the name.

185 Not was shown (the place), for which the Hoph. would be used, but appeared; the subject, Jahveh, is easily supplied from the preceding; the reference is to the event related in 2 Sam. xxiv. 16. For the derivations of המריה, cf. Ges., Thes.; Grill, in ZATW, IV., 145.-A play upon מריה and is, according to Dillm., also to be recognized in Gen. xxii. 1, 8, 14.
${ }^{188}$ Lagarde, Onomast. Sacra, II., 95, suggests the reading 引כ${ }^{\text {jin }}$;, as a corrupted

 I Sam. i. 11; Ps. cvi. 44.
390. Zech. ix. 5 : ${ }^{15}$
391. Prov. xxii. 3 (xxvii. 12) : ${ }^{158}$
392. Job xxiii. 6 :
393. Hab. iii. 2 :

Cf. Is. xiii. 13, xiv. 16 ; Ps. lxxvii. 19 ; 14า1 ๒รํ, Job xxxix. 24.


Therefore Moab's warriors wail, his soul quaileth within him. -



 Gen. xxvi. 22.
398. Dt. xxiv. 6: לא יחבל איש רחדֵּם וָּדָּב
 Hos. i. 6.
Cf. ii. 5 .


 403. Ps. xv. 3 : לא צשה לְרַצִּהּ רָצָּה
Cf. xxviii. 3 ; Zech. viii. 17 ; Prov. iii. 29.



 Gr. Ven., ẅ̈re àda入ábév.

[^27]
 Jer. xi. 17.
408. Prov. xiv. 32 : 14


410. Jud. x. 8 :

4II. Ez. xvii. 20:

412. Ex. xxii. 8:
$\pm$
413. I Ki. xviii. 27 : ${ }^{18}$
414. Lam. iv. 21 :

Cf. Ps. xl. 17 (lkx. 5) ; 1i. 11, Jer. vii. 34 , xv. 16, xvi. 9 ; Zech. viii. 19; Ps. Ii. 10; שמחה וששון, Esth. vii. 16, 17.
 Gen. xxx. $18{ }^{148}$
416. Eccl. ix. 5 : ${ }^{14}$
417. Gen. xxxi. 27 :
 ואששלִך בְשִׁמְחָה וּבְשִירִים

Cf. 2 Chr. xxiii. 18.
418. Is. Iv. 12 :

Cf. Prov. xii. 20.
 Gen. xxv. 25.
419. Gen. xxvii. II :
420. Job xxvii. 21 :


[^28]

"Thy law-makers are law-breakers" (Cheyne). Cf. Hos. ix. 15.
423. 2 Ki. xxiv. 12 : 2 וen
 Gen. xxxii. 29 :
425. Mi. vii. 3 :

אֵּלָּים ועם אגשים ותוכל

Cf. Ex. ii. 14.
$\bullet$
426. Zeph. i. 15 :

Cf. Job xxx. 3, xxxviii. 27.

 1 Sam. i. 27, 28 :

 2 Ki. iv. $28 .{ }^{148}$
 Cf. xxx. 1o (xlvi. 27).



146 "The accumulation of the terminations imo and $\mathrm{Amo}^{2}$," says Delitzsch, "give a thunderous roll and an impress of gloom to this conclusion of the description of judgment, as in the Psalms these terminations uniformly recur where moral depravity is mourned over, and divine judgment threatened (दg. Ps. xvii., xlix., lviii., lix., lxxiii.)."
${ }^{146}$ Not ${ }^{14}$ I patach into qameș after resolution of the doubling; wherefore the latter is unchangeable. The plur. סְריםים (alongside of 'סְר) is therefore incorrect; cf. the same confusion in $\boldsymbol{D}^{\square}$


147 Cf. above, § 12, p. 117.
${ }^{168}$ Klostermann thinks that $\operatorname{Tivn}$ (comp. Dt. xxvii. 18) was the original reading.
${ }^{149}$ According to Professor Haupt, not a pilel form, as usually explained, but
 No. 347.
433. Ez. xxxix. 2 :
434. Gen. xli. 47 : Cf. vs. 53, 54.
435. Gen. xxi. $31{ }^{150}$
436. Ex. xxii. 9 :

Cf. Ez. vi. 9.
437. Ez. vi. 6: ${ }^{131}$
438. Is. xiii. 6 ; Joel i. 15 :
439. Is. li. 19, lx. 18; Jer. xlviii. 3 :
440. Joel i. 10:

44 I. Is. xxxii. 12 : ${ }^{132}$
442.





Dt. xxviii. 22; 1 Ki. viii. 37 (2 Chr. vi. 28); Am. iv. 9 ; Hag. ii. 17.

Cf. Dt. xxx. 1-3; 2 Chr. xxx. 9.
444. בג ב

When Jahveh brought back the captives of Zion we were like those that dream. Restore, O Jahveh, our prosperity, as streams in the south country.
445. Jer. iii. 22 :

Cf. vs. 12,14 ; Hos. xiv. 5 -

[^29]



449. Ps. xviii. 42 :

450. Gen. iii. 15 : ${ }^{1 T}$

45ı. Ps. v. 9: למצן שוֹרָךִי הַישׁׁר (הוֹשִׁר kethib) לפני דרכך Cf. xxvii it.


Dt. xxviii. 22 :
 Job xxviii. 7,8 .
455. Lam. ii. 6 :

456. Job xxxiii. 18 :
457. Dan. xi. 22 :


458. אהדללה שם אלהים בְשִׁר . . . ותיטב ליתוה מִשוֹר פר עקרין Ps. lxix. 31, 32 :

וּ
459.

ותקרא את שםו שַׁת כי שָת לי אלדים ורע אחר Gen. iv. $25 .{ }^{159}$

46I. Cant. iv. 2 :
${ }^{14}$ LXX presents a different text; see Workman, p. 346.
165 Hoffmann, 7w, the demon of sickness, after Ps. xci. 6. - The combination


156 Duhm surmises that Is. wrote " stachelpeitsche."
${ }^{157}$ Professor Haupt, Beitrdige zur Assyr. Lauflehre, p. 10r, rem. 6, suggests that in this much-vexed passage there is a play on the words $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\eta}$ and $\eta_{\mathrm{KB}}$,
 lies are often met in paronomasia. This explanation removes all difficulties.
${ }^{158}$ In the Talm. Yoma, 74 ${ }^{\text {b }}$, the suffix is referred to $\operatorname{BJK}$, and the word is in a


${ }^{159}$ See above, § 12, p. 119.


462．Job xxi． 23 ：${ }^{162}$
463．Job iii． 26 ：

 Ps．cxxii．6， 7 ：

בארמנותי7
 465b．1 Chr．xxix． $19: \quad$ 日

 468．Is．xiv． 22 ： Cf． $\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\square}$

469．Eccl．vii．I ：
470．Mal．iii． 20 ：
475．Ez．xxiii． 33 ：${ }^{100}$
472．Jer．v． 30 ：
473．Mi．vi． 16 ：${ }^{103}$
474．Lev．xxvi． 32 ： Cf．Ez．xii． 19.

475．Jer．ii． 12 ：
476．Is．v．6：


 Cf．vii． 23,24 ，ix．17，x．17，xxvii． 4 ．
 478．Cant．i． 3 ：

 כום שַׁמּהּה וּשְּמִמּה

 Is．xxv．6：${ }^{104}$ 日解
 Gen．xxix． 33.

[^30]4818. Gen. xi. ı1: : וקראת שמו יִשְׁמְאל בי שָׁמַּ יהוה אל צניך

482. Dt. vi. 3 :
483. Prov. xix. 16 :

שׁמֵר מצוה שמֵּר גפשו
Cf. xxi. 23.
 Ps. cxxx. 6 . ${ }^{145}$




487.

Dt. xvi. 18 ; Jos. viii. 33 ; 1 Chr. xxiii. 4, xxvi. 29.


Cf. vs. 12.


Pass thou away, O inhabitress of Shaphir (Fairtown), stripped in shame.




[^31]495. Is. xlix. 10 :
496. Is. xiv. 30 :

Cf. 2 Ki. xix. 30, 3 I.

ת

497. Is. xxix. 2 : ${ }^{100}$

Cf. Lam. ii. 5 .
498. Ex. v. 18 :
499. Gen. i. 2 : ${ }^{170}$

Cf. Is. xxxiv. 11 ; Jer. iv. 23.
500. .

Zech. xii. 4 ; cf. Dt. xxviii. 28 :
501.


ה

 (190

I Ki. viii. 38, 45, 49, 54, ix. 3 (2 Chr. vi. 19, 29).
502. Jer. vi. 1 :


Classification of the cases of paronomasta quoted in the list.

1. With regard to the part of speech of the combined words : -
a. Noun with noun. - 1, 3, 4, 10, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 19, 20, $22,25,27,28,29,30,35,40,42,44,45,46,48,54,57,58,62,64$, $66,73,78,79,80,81,89,90,91,92,95,96,101,102,104,113,115$, $116,118,120,122,123,124,126,127,128,129,130,131,132,134$, $140,141,142,143,144,145,150,151,152,153,173,174,176,177$, 178, 179, 181, 184, 185, 194, 195, 196, 199, 201, 202, 203, 204, 205, 208, 209, $210,214,215,216,222,223,224,225,226,228,236,238$, 239, 240, 241, 243, 245, 247, 249, 25 I, 258, 263, 264, 270, 278, 281,
[^32]282, 283, 284, 285, 286, 287, 288, 289, 290, 293, 294, 295, 298, 302, $303,314,315,316,320,323,325,328,330,333,342,344,348,349$, 350, 351 , 355, 356, $357,358,360,362,366,369,371,374,378,379$, $3^{88}, 385,393,403,408,409,412,413,414,416,417,418,422,423$, $425,426,43 \mathrm{I}, 432,434,438,439,44 \mathrm{I}, 44^{2}, 447,453,454,456,458$, $462,464,465^{\circ}, 467,468,469,470,471,472,473,476,477,478,479$, 486, 487, 488, 492, 495, 496, 497, 498, 499, 500, 501. - 212.
b. Noun with verb.-21, 32, 33, 53, 56, 59, 61, 107, 121, 125, 137, $138,139,159,160,162,167,172,189,217,227,244,248,261$, 265, 268, 270, 274, 275, 276, 279, 292, 299, 324, 325, 343, 352, 359, 366, $3^{67}, 368,375,380,391,392,401,404,405,411,431,440,444$, 448, 449, 45 $1,457,466,475,490,494 .-60$.
c. Verb with verb. - 2, 24, 26, 34, 36, 41, 43, 46, 50, 51, 55, 74, 82, 88, 94, 98, 99, 100, 105, 106, 109, $111,112,119,133,148,155$, 157, 163, 168, 171, 182, 186, 187, 193, 196, 203, 207, 213, 242, 250, 254, 256, 259, 267, 269, 277, 280, 285, 29I, 319, $327,332,339,340$, $365,3^{67}, 370,372,379,386,394,395,396,410,420,421,429,430$, $433,436,437,443,450,452,455,460,463,482,485,493$. - 81.
2. With regard to the relation of thought between the combined parts:-
a. Synonymous. - 2, 3, 4, 10, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, $17,19,20,28,40$, 41, 42, 43, 46, 48, 50, $51,55,57,62,64,73,79,80,82,88,89,90$, 91, 94, 96, 99, 100, 102, 104, 105, 106, 109, $116,118,120,126,128$, 131, 132, 133, 140, $141,142,144,148$, $150,152,168$, $171,182,184$, 185, 186, 194, 196, 198, 199, 200, 202, 205, 207, 208, $210,216,223$, 225, 226, 228, 230, 236, 237, 242, 250, 251, 254, 256, 258, 259, 263, 264, 267, 269, 271, 277, 278, 280, 285, 287, 288, 289, 294, 295, 298, 314, 316, 319, 320, 325, 327, 329, 330, 333, 334, 339, 340, 342, 344, $348,355,356,365,369,370,371,372,374,379,386,394,396,398$, 409, $410,412,413,417,418,420,421,423,425,426,430,431,432$, $433,436,437,439,441,442,447,450,453,454,455,456,462,463$, $464,465^{a}, 467,468,471,472,473,476,479,487,493,495,496,497$, 499, 500, 501. - I76.
b. Antithetic. - 46, 101, $113,115,125,164,193,209,224,229$, 239, 240, 247, 286, 323, 328, 348, 360, 384, 393, 403, 445, 446, 452. - 24.
c. Proterbial expressions. - 4, 10, 12, 15, 16, 20, 28, 79, 80, 91, 105, 120, 128, 184, 228, 250, 258, 269, 277, 278, 289, 294, 302, 314, 316, 320, 323, 333, 334, 355, 356, 357, 371, 394, 398, 402, 412, 413, 414, 442, 468, 471, 473, 476. - 44.
3. With regard to the mutual position of the combined parts they are distributed in the parallel members of the sentence. - 12, 14, 42, 43, 100, IOI, IO2, II5, I16, 125, $127,140,150,182,224,225$, $226,239,271,327,344,365,370,372,386,418,420,421,425,430$, $43^{1}, 44^{1}, 447,452,454,455,456,464,496,500 .-40$.
4. Plays upon words : -
a. Plays upon common nouns. - $11,24,25,27,29,31,32,33,36$, $54,56,59,63,66,87,107,112,114,117,121,123,125,126,128$, 129, 142, 143, 154, 160, 162, 163, 164, 169, 174, 178, 218, 219,223 , 224, 232, 233, 234, 240, 249, 252, 253, 261, 262, 266, 268, 272, 283, 291, 292, 299, 304, 305, 315, 324, 328, 349, $360,368,378,379,380$, $3^{83}, 3^{84}, 385,390,39^{2}, 395,403,404,405,407,408,428,429,43^{8}$, $44 \mathrm{I}, 444,445,449,450,45 \mathrm{I}, 45^{2}, 455,457,458,483,484,494$. 93.
b. Plays upon proper names. - 7, 18, 23, 49, 65 ${ }^{b}, 67,68,69^{b}, 72$, $76,77,83,84,85,86^{b}, 108,149,156^{b}, 165,175,183^{a, b}, 19^{1^{b}}, 197$, 211, 212, 218, 220, 221, 231, 241, 246, 292, 297, 304, 306, 308, 309, $3^{12}, 3^{11}, 3^{17}, 31^{15-d}, 322,33^{8^{d} d}, 345,348,353,354^{\circ}, 3^{61}, 373,377$, $3^{82}, 388,399,419^{b}, 464,465^{a, b}, 481^{b}, 489,491,502$. -66.
c. Explanations of proper names. - $5,6,8,9,37,38,39,47,5^{2}$, $60,65^{a}, 69^{a}, 70,75,86^{a}, 103,110,147,156^{a}, 158,170,191^{a}, 200$, 206, 235, 255, 273, 300, 301, 307, 310, 318, $3 \mathbf{1 1}^{\text {a }}, 326,33 \mathrm{I}, 335$, $33^{6}, 33^{8 a}, 341,346,354^{a, b}, 363,364,381,3^{87}, 3^{89}, 397,400,406$, $480,4^{81} \mathrm{I}^{a}$. $\mathbf{5 2}^{2}$.

The following table shows the number of passages in each book of the Old Testament in which paronomasia occur, and the average number to the page of Theile's edition:-

|  |  |  |  |  | Number of Pages. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Genesis . | 72.50 | 68 | 0.93 | Nahum . | 2.33 | 6 | 2.56 |
| Exodus . . | 61.33 | 15 | 0.24 | Habakkuk . | 2.50 | 7 | 2.80 |
| Leviticus | 43.00 | 7 | 0.16 | Zephaniah . | 3.00 | 8 | 2.67 |
| Numbers | 59.50 | 10 | 0.17 | Haggai . | 2.00 | 2 | 1.00 |
| Deuteronomy . | 54.00 | 27 | 0.50 | Zechariah. | 12.00 | 7 | 0.58 |
| Joshua | 38.00 | 9 | 0.26 | Malachi . | 3.25 | 2 | 0.62 |
| Judges . - | 37.00 | 18 | 0.50 | Psalms | 80.00 | 95 | 1.19 |
| I Samuel | 47.50 | 8 | 0.17 | Proverbs. . . | 27.00 | 54 | 2.00 |
| 2 Samuel . | 40.50 | 14 | 0.35 | Job | 32.60 | 52 | 1.60 |
| 1 Kings. | 47.00 | 12 | 0.25 | Canticles | 5.00 | 4 | 0.80 |
| 2 Kings . . | 44.50 | 15 | 0.33 | Ruth . | 4.75 | 3 | 0.62 |
| Isaiah | 64.00 | 130 | 2.03 | Lamentations . | 5.75 | 11 | 1.91 |
| Jeremiah | 80.00 | 67 | 0.83 | Ecclesiastes | 11.00 | 9 | 0.82 |
| Ezekiel . | 70.50 | 64 | 0.90 | Esther | 12.00 | 7 | 0.58 |
| Hosea | 9.00 | 19 | 2.11 | Daniel | 23.00 | 7 | 0.30 |
| Joel . | 4.00 | 13 | 3.25 | Ezra . . | 15.00 | 3 | 0.20 |
| Amos | 8.00 | 11 | 1.38 | Nehemiah . | 20.25 | 6 | 0.30 |
| Obadiah | - | - | - | I Chronicles | 42.50 | 13 | 0.31 |
| Jonah | 3.50 | 1 | 0.29 | 2 Chronicles | 51.00 | 12 | 0.24 |
| Micah | 5.50 | 15 | 2.73 |  |  | . |  |
| Historical Book |  | - | - • | -••••• | 689.00 | 247 | 0.36 |
| Prophetical Boo |  |  | - | - • • • - | 293.00 | 357 | 1.22 |
| Poetical Books | - • | - | - * | - • • • • | 161.00 | 225 | 1.40 |

The bearing of paronomasia on some questions of modern criticism is illustrated by the following table : -

| $\cdots{ }^{*}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| JE | 95.00 | 84 | 0.88 |
| P. . | 139.00 | 15 | 0.11 |
| Isaiah, cc. i.-xxxv. (cc. xxxvi.-xxxix. being historical) . | 32.00 | 101 | 3.16 |
| " " xl.-lxvi. | 27.00 | 29 | 1.07 |
| Zechariah, ce. i.-viii. . | 6.75 | 3 | 0.44 |
| " ix.-xiv. | 5.25 | 4 | 0.76 |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The Introduction will appear separately.
    ${ }^{2}$ Pt. i., § 3 ; § 6.
    The frequency or infrequency with which this construction is employed may amount to a peculiarity of style ; the figure in itself cannot be so regarded.

    - Comp. Reuss, Geschichte der Heiligen Schriften Allen Testaments, § 125 ; and on the other hand, Delitzsch, in his Commentary on Ps. cxxiv., and Introduction to the Psalter, p. 28 f. (English transl.).

[^1]:    ${ }^{5}$ Thus, in Latin also, cura alliterates with cogitatio, plane with perspicue, etc.; comp. Wölffin, Die alliterativen Verbindungen im Lateinischen (Sitzungsbericht der Bayrischen Akademie, 188ı), p. 4 f.
    ${ }^{6}$ The numbers refer to the list of passages in the Old Testarnent in which paronomasia occurs ; below, p. 109 ff.
    ${ }^{7}$ Comp. Ley, Die metrischen Formen der hebräischen Poesie, Leipzig, 1866, p. 18.
    ${ }^{8}$ Comp. List, No. 41, 62, etc.
    ${ }^{\ominus}$ Comp. No. 43, 59, 71, etc.

[^2]:    ${ }^{10}$ Comp. No. 12, 14, 42, 100, etc.
    ${ }^{11}$ Comp. Wölflin, Die alliter. Verbindungen im Lat., p. 3 f.; Vilmar, Gramm., II., p. 21 f.; Höfer, Alliteration bei Gower, p. 76 f.
    ${ }^{12}$ Comp. Ewald, §59 c, and Wright, Comparative Grammar of the Semitic Languages, p. 48 f., 63 f.
    ${ }^{18}$ Comp. Grünert, Die Alliteration im All-Arabischen (Verkandlungen des VII. Internationalen Oriemtalisten Congresses, Semitische Section), Wien., 1886; p. 188.

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ In Assyrian，the same word is written now with J，now with 9 ；for example， epếsu and ebésu，do，napistu and nahiłtu，soul，life，dispn and disbu＝רְבֶׁ， honey；comp．Haupt，Beilräge zur assyr．Laullehre，p．102，n．3．
    ${ }^{15}$ Comip．Wright，l．c．，p． 50.
    ${ }^{16}$ In Latin also，$g$ alliterates with $c$ and $q$ ；comp．Kvǐ̌ala，Neue Beiträge zur Erklärung der Aeneis，p． 442 f．
    ${ }^{17}$ Comp．Wright，l．c．，p．53．In Arabic also，$t$ and $d$ alliterate with $t$ ；comp． Grünert，l．c．，p． 188.
    ${ }^{18}$ Comp．Haupt in $2 D .1 / G$, XXXIV．，p．757－63．
    $10 \frac{y}{f}$ is changed to $s$ in the Assyrian pronominal suffixes when it is preceded by another sibilant or dental，as mdtsu，his country，usaknisunuti（ $=u s{ }^{〔}$ aknissunuti $=$ usakniצfunuti），I subdued them；comp．Delitzsch，Assyr．Gramm．，§ 51 ．
    ${ }^{2)}$ On the mutual relation of these two consonants，and on the transcription of Arah． h hy $^{\mathrm{J}}$ ，see $Z D M G, \mathrm{XXXVII}$ ．，p． 458 f ．；Wright，l．c．，p． 5 I．

[^4]:    ${ }^{21}$ Comp. Pt. i., § 5 and § in. $\quad{ }^{22}$ Comp. the paragraphs cited in the last note.

[^5]:    ${ }^{28}$ Grünert, l.c., p. 187. ${ }^{24}$ Wülflin, Die alliter. Verb., l.c., p. 13.
    ${ }^{25}$ Cf. Pt. i., §5. $\quad{ }^{28}$ Cf. Pt. i., § 5, and Prätorius, ZDMG, XLII., p. 678.
    ${ }^{27} \mathrm{Cf}$. Pt. i., § II. $\quad{ }^{28}$ Comp. Ewald, § $313 \mathrm{c} . \quad{ }^{29}$ Cf. above, § 2.

[^6]:    ${ }^{8)}$ Cf. Pt. i., §1. ${ }^{81}$ Die metrischen Formen, u.s.w., p. 95.
    ${ }^{82}$ § 1, p. 105 f. ${ }^{83}$ Cf. Quint., ix., 4, 41. ${ }^{84}$ Cf. Pt. i., §8, 1.

[^7]:    ${ }^{85}$ Cf. No. 58, note. $\quad{ }^{86}$ Cf. No. 63, n. $28 . \quad{ }^{97}$ Cf. No. 384 , note.

[^8]:    ${ }^{88}$ Comp. Pt. i., §9.
    89 Comp. the blessing of Noah, Gen. ix. 27 , and that of Jacob, Gen. xlix.
    10 Herder, Werke, Vol. XII., p. 193 (ed. Suphan): "Von den ältesten Zeiten an war bei den Ebräern Alles an Namen geheftet," and p. 197: "Bei den Ebräern beruht Geschichte und Dichtkunst grossen Theiles auf Paronomasien, wie auf Originibus der Sprache."
    ${ }^{41}$ According to E. Nestle, Dia Israelitischen Eigennamen, p. 5, there are more than a hundred explanations of proper names in the Old Testament, of which fifty-one occur in Genesis alone.

    42 This was recognized even by Simonis, Onomastica, p. 5: "Scriptura sacra. sicubi nomina propria explicat, non semper per eandem radicem unde descendunt, sed interdum per cognatam id facere solet, non tam verborum quam rerum habita ratione."

[^9]:    48 Die Sumerischen Familiengesetze, p. 20, rem. 3.
    ${ }^{44}$ See Haupt, l.c., p. 25, rem. 6, and Fried. Delitzsch, Prolegomena zu einem neuen Wörterbuch ïher das Alte Test,, p. 160-164; comp., however, Nöldeke in his review of this book in ZDMG, XL., p. 739 f. The difference of the two stems was already noticed by P. von Bohlen, Die Genesis, 1835, P. 36, n. 22.
    ${ }^{45}$ Dillmann's proposition to take it as ptep. Poal with aphreresis of the preformative ? (comp. Ewald, § $169^{d}$, and Gesen., § 52, 2, rem. 6), would be too forced in this case.
    ${ }^{46}$ Professor Haupt, in an unpublished paper of 1877 , "Die biblische und semitische Sprach wissenschaft," which he kindly placed at my disposal, suggests that the name משה originated in the mission of Moses as deliverer of Israel from Egypt. A kind of parallel to it is found in the Hiduse Agadoth (הרוש׳ אנרות) on I!ulin, $139^{\text {b }}$ : "Before he was named so by the daughter of Pharaoh the Tora called him by this name, because he led and drew out Israel from the sea, ... and therefore was he called, 'He who has drawn out,' and not 'He who was drawn out'" (קורם שנקרא כך על ידי בת פרעה קראה הת התורה אותו כשם זה על שטם שטהוא
    
    ${ }^{47}$ Comp. Stade, § 2964.
    

[^10]:    ${ }^{19}$ Comp. I. R., 52, No. 5, 2, 7, 11 ; IV., 18, 11 ; 27, $29^{\text {b }}$ (Ba-bi-/u); IV., 12, 14; 20, No. 3, 13; 29, $22^{a}$ (Ba-bi-lim) ; Neb. Grot. I., 7 (Ba-bi-lam); I., 4, No. xv., 1, 2; 35, No. 1, 23 ; 48, No. 5, 3 ; II., 13, 25ed; IV., 12, 13 ( $\mathrm{Kin}^{\text {e }}$ dingir-ra).
    
     divides first part.
    ${ }^{51}$ So Ewald, § 275, rem. 3.
    ${ }^{52}$ Comp. Stade, § $344^{b}$; Judah Hayyuk and Ibn Ezra even propose the reading שֶׁוּהצ.

[^11]:    
    
    63 'Aqib, the last, was one of the titles Mohammed assumed at Medina; cf. Sprenger, Das Leben und die Lehre des Mohammad, I., p. 156.
    ${ }^{60}$ Comp. especially Die metrischen formen, p. 167, 211.
    ${ }^{61}$ C. L.c., p. 125 f., 131, $69 . \quad{ }^{62}$ Op. cit., p. 78.

[^12]:    ${ }^{68}$ See above, § 5 .
    ${ }^{64}$ Comp. Ewald, Die Propheten des Alten Bundes, I., P. 54; Renan, Histoire des langues sémitiques, p. 131 .

[^13]:    ${ }^{65}$ Comp. Ewald, l.c., p. 56. In modern Hebrew poetry the rime is a regular feature, but it was not adopted till the 7th century A.D. ${ }^{6}$ See Pt. i., § 5 .
    ${ }^{67}$ Cf. Pt. i., $\S 11$; comp. also in German: Wie die Alten sungen, so zwitschern die Jungen ; Gunst ist nicht umsunst. Erst die Pfarre, dann die Quarre. Abraham a Sancta Clara: Die Aegernuss ist eine harte Nuss.

[^14]:    ${ }^{68}$ Comp. Ignaz Goldziher in 2DMG, XXIV., p. 207 ff.
    ${ }^{1}$ The translations of the ancient versions quoted are, if not otherwise indicated, the Greek of the Septuagint, the Latin of the Vulgate, the Syriac of the Peshito.

[^15]:     names of the type, $3 \mathbb{N}$ is the combining form (Del.). - המון, instead of the more usual קהו (Gen. xaviii. 3, xxxv. 11), to symphonize with the name.
    ${ }^{8}$ In ver. 25, אדמוני (reddish, the color of Esau's hair or akin) contains another allusion to the name Edom.
    
     than a vine-dresser?
     Ewald, § 120 , and Orelli as intended for a pun, is very likely due to a dittography of the last part of the first word. So LXX.
    ${ }^{6}{ }^{[1 \times N}$, " idolatry, wickedness," spitefully altered from ${ }^{\text {in }}$ (On) Gen. xli. 45
     Ra. - Am. i. $5, j^{1 \times}$ אִקִ, means the Syrian Heliopolis, the modern Baalbek;
    

[^16]:    29 Most of the commentators join the D of the following word (רקב (². ${ }^{\prime}$ ), with a gain to both. See above, § 12, p. 118.
    ${ }^{*}$ See Stade's interesting article, "Der 'Hügel der Vorbäute,' Jos. 5," in ZATW, VI., p. 132-143-
    ${ }^{31} \mathrm{G}$. Hoffmann, ZATW, III., 104, would change ${ }^{\mathrm{N}}$ into for the sake of alliteration with $\mathcal{K}$; but this is not necessary, as all vowels alliterate with one another (Vilmar, Gramm., IL., 21 f.); cf. No. 11, and above, § $11, \mathrm{p}$. 112 f .
    
    ${ }^{35}$ Followed by Gractz.
    $\because$ Cf. above, § 12, p. 116.

[^17]:    ${ }^{8}$ Cornill strikes out D 7 l , because it is not a proper subject for the verb and destroys the parallelism of the passage.
    ${ }^{*}$ An alliterative formula; ; ${ }^{\prime \prime \prime}{ }^{\prime \prime}{ }^{17}$, only Lam. ii. 12.
    ${ }^{87}$ Dimon for Dibon, for the sake of a play upon the word DT ; cf., for the interchange of $コ$ and $D$, Haupt, Beiträge sur assyr. Lautlehre, p. 88, n. 2, and Zeischr. fïr Assyriologic, 11., 268, 3, and n. 2.
    ${ }^{88}$ The old versions take 'D as inf. abs. of דכם: זaîoıv maúoeral, silens conticesces; Pesh. משתק חשתקן.
    ${ }^{80}$ For the ancient interpretations of this verse, see the versions, Jerome, Hebraicae quaestiones, ad loc., and Field, Hexapla. - With Aquila's rendering, ulds tov̂ motljovros olkiav [Lagarde, olkov] $\mu$ ov, in which pen is connected with דוֹא אחשק : we may compare the explanation of
     cus Eliezer, Rabbi Eleazar says (he is so named), because he was drawing from the learning of his master (Abraham), and distributing it (giving to drink) to others." - The modern explanations, whether they take Eliezer as appositive (Del.), or genitive (Ew., Dillm.), are forced, and not justified by Hebrew usage. Tuch, Olsh., Hitz., reject 1 as a gloss; but the unusual which seems to have been employed for the sake of the paronomasia with רמשק, to some extent protects the latter.

[^18]:    N Cornill would restore here; better (Ew., § ror ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ ) a by-form of K , Eccl. iv. 10, x. 16; cf. .הוֹ. It it is perhaps chosen here to alliterate with הנה, which occurs in the meaning, 'sigh, wail'; e.g. Is. xvi. 7; Jer. xlviii. 3 I.

    41 " Instead of being חחזים, 'seers,' they are i. i.e. ' delirious talkers, ravers,' from הזוה (= Arab. hadā), 'to rave in sickness'" (Del.).

    42 "The description of the billows of peoples is as picturesque as the well-known description : Illi infer sese, etc., of the Cyclopes in Vergil" (Del.).

    48 המהם, only bere, is probably synon. with המון, and may, as Keil suggests, refer to the multitude of people, while המחן is used of the abundance of riches (cf. Is. 1x. 5 ; Ps. xxxvii. 16). For the rest, see Cornill, who emends מה הם ומה ,המונם, "What are they and what is their splendor ?"

[^19]:    ${ }^{51}$ For conjectural emendations of the text, see Cheyne, Psalnis, 396; QPB., Loc. - For תורן, LXX, Pesh., read a form of דור.
    ${ }^{\text {b2 }}$ Ew., Dillm., Bredenk., and Cheyne adopt the reading of two MSS.: DiT?, "He will wait in stillness," which better suits the parallelism with חככה.
    ${ }^{64}$ The "smooth stones" (cf. ג( $\theta_{01}$ Aırapol, lapides uncti, lubricati) refer, it seems, to stone-fetishes, or stone-worship; cf. Wellhausen, Resfe arabischen Heidenthums, p. 99 f.

[^20]:    ${ }^{62}$ E. interprets the name 'Taker away' as though ${ }^{7}$ ' was a contraction of ףכא (cf. 2 Sam. vi. 1; Ps. civ. 29); J. interprets ' Increaser.'
    ${ }^{68}$ Reading כִֵבִּים.

[^21]:    ${ }^{72}$ See J. D. Prince, Mene, Mene, Tekel, Lpharsin: an Historical Study of the Fifth Chapter of Daniel, 1893 . The nouns are now generally thought to be names of weights, mina, shekel, half-minas. The interpretation in vv. 26-28 is a series of plays on the meaning of the corresponding verbs, number, weigh, divide; the latter, by a second play, also suggests the Persians.
    

[^22]:     see Dillm. in loc.
    $75 \quad y D$ is rejected (with the LXX) by Lagarde, Cheyne, and Bredenk. as ditto-
    
    ${ }^{76}$ Wanting in the LXX.
     alliteration with 'מפלש.
    ${ }^{78}$ On "שר, see note on No. 438. Cf. Siegmund in Wagner's "Valkyrie": "Drum musst' ich Wehwalt mich nennen; Des Wehes waltet' ich nur."

[^23]:    ${ }^{79}$ Following the versions, Hitz, Graf, and many others read, a pointed play upon משט. - In vv. 38, 39, there is another play on משא :
     Here also it is better to read with the versions, Ew., Graf, al., אטשית נסי נ.

    80 In Assyr. sahdpu means 'overthrow, oppress'; perhaps משפח is only a transposition of this root.
    ${ }^{81}$ " Perhaps with allusion to the Moabite city Madmen, Jer. xlviii. 2, as to Muab" (Del.).

[^24]:    ${ }^{96}$ On Sakkuth and Kaivan, see Schrader, KAT.2, p. 442; Journal, Vol. XI., p. 86.
    ${ }^{\text {os }}$ Observe one alliteration enclosed in another after the scheme abba.
    
    ${ }^{08}$ See Cornill in loc.
    ${ }^{\infty} 0$ The same development of meaning of 5 , 'let alone, allow to exist; preserve, save,' is also found in Assyr. in the Qal and Shaphel of this verb (ézébu and зйзиви).

[^25]:    106 Pesh., Ew., Dillm., and Cheyne: "Answer her."
    ${ }^{106}$ Schwally, in ZATW, X., 178, suggests the pointing $\frac{18}{\ell}$ after the analogy
    
    ${ }^{107}$ The parallelism favors the qere (Imv.). Hitz thinks that the kethib arose out of the intended allusion to פְּלֶשֶ (cf. on No. 63).
    
     loss to explain it, the author connected it with $3 \mathrm{~J}^{\prime}$;, which contains the same consonants; or, the name was originally $כ \mathfrak{Z} \mathcal{I N}^{\prime \prime}$, and was altered on account of its unfavorable signification to ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ ' by transposition.

[^26]:     but פרש is distinguished from as fast, swift horses. In Assyr. pard3u means (in Niph.) 'fly off, hasten away.' The stem פר in Assyr. implies vehemence, then swiftness.

    118 The form נַפְתּילִים, which occurs only here, was coined perhaps to agree in sound with the name, and to distinguish it from [נְקָּרִים, 'the crooked ones.'
    ${ }^{119}$ These words are lacking in LXX.
    
    121 "A paronomasia, and, at the same time, containing a double meaning, bulwark, and siege." Stade in $2 A 7^{\prime} W$, I., 48 f.

[^27]:    ${ }^{187}$ Similar combinations of $\operatorname{הk}$ רith K ': Is. xli. 5 ; Ps. xl. 4, lii. 8, cxii. 8; Job vi. 21, xxxvii. 24 (accidental, Gen. xlii. 35; Ex. xiv. 13, 31; Dt. xx. 1, xxviii. 10; 1 Sam. xxviii. 5, 13; 2 Sam. xiii. 28; 1 Ki. iii. 28).
     xlix. 6, xc. 15; Prov. xxiv. 18; Neh. ii. 17.
    
    

[^28]:    ${ }^{111}$ Similar combinations: xii. 21, xiii. 17, xv. 28, xi. 5; Ps. vii. 10, x. 15, xuxiv. 22; Is. iii. 11 .
    $142{ }^{2} \mathrm{E}^{\prime}$ is $\mathrm{a}^{2 \pi}$. 入er.; Klost. omits.
    ${ }^{148}$ On the pronunciation and derivation of the name, see Delitzsch in loc.
    שכר 144 שלון instead of which are elsewhere used in Eccl.) perh: for the sake of the paronomasia with זכרם.

[^29]:    ${ }^{150}$ Cf. ch. xxvi. 33, where the name כאר שבע is connected with the numeral שעי⿰氵ה , seven.

    151 LXX omits ונשבתו.
    ${ }^{158}$ On the text, see Stade, ZATW, IV., P. 267, rem. 1; Duhm, ad loc.
    שונ שנות ${ }^{168}$ and ,שוב שבית, though frequently confused, are not identical in origin or meaning. , which is pre-exilic, is a figura etymologica, meaning ' restore the former condition'; is a paronomasia, meaning 'bring back the captivity, captives.' In Ps. cxxvi., there is thus a two-fold play in שוכ שבית, vs. 1, and vs. 4. So Professor Haupt.

[^30]:    ${ }^{161}$ Formed from ${ }^{10}$ UM by epenthesis（Del：）；or transcriptional error for that word（Stade，Merx，Hoffm．）．

    162 Other combinations of שמה ושממה，xxxii． 15, xxxiii．28，29，xxxv．3， 7.
    ${ }^{168}$ Similar combinations of＇שׂ，Jer．xix．8，xxv．9，18，xxix．18，xlix．17， li．37；the verb，I Ki．ix． 8.
     （Duhm）．

[^31]:    ${ }^{186}$ See Haupt, Hebraica, II., 101 ff.
     its appellative meaning (Orelli).
    ${ }^{167}$ Del., Dillm., Cheyne (cf. Pesh.) consider the ar. גeץ. 7 Y a by-form of ף the sake of the paronomasia with $7 \$ p$. Duhm regards $ף 5 \%$ as a copyist's error.
    ${ }_{188}$ For 7 pש $1^{\circ}$, Graf reads, with Aq., Sym., and Vulg., lantem.

[^32]:     татен

    170 The word בהו occurs in other Semitic cosmogonies. So in Philo Hyblius' account of the Phoenician cosmogony, where Bdav figures as the spouse of aremos ко入жla (C. Müller, Fragm. Hist. Gracc., III., 500 f.). Some Assyriologists believe that the word occurs also in the cuneiform inscriptions under the form of Ba'u, the mother of Ea, the lord of the deep ocean, properly a personification of the water (cf. Hommel, Geschichice Assyr. u. Babyl., p. 255). According to Professor Haupt (Beitr. zur Assyriol., I., 181, 23), בהו is equivalent to Assyr. bubitu (standing for buh-buh-matu), "hunger," properly emptiness (ASK'T, 89, 22; 109, III), but also the contrary, "food," i.e. what fills out the emptiness (cf. Descerit of Ishfar, IV. R., 31, 8).

