

Making Biblical Scholarship Accessible

This document was supplied for free educational purposes. Unless it is in the public domain, it may not be sold for profit or hosted on a webserver without the permission of the copyright holder.

If you find it of help to you and would like to support the ministry of Theology on the Web, please consider using the links below:



https://www.buymeacoffee.com/theology



https://patreon.com/theologyontheweb

PayPal

https://paypal.me/robbradshaw

A table of contents for *Journal of Biblical Literature* can be found here:

https://biblicalstudies.org.uk/articles_jbl-01.php



A Pair of Citations from the Diatessaron

Author(s): Isaac H. Hall

Source: Journal of Biblical Literature, Vol. 10, No. 2 (1891), pp. 153-155

Published by: The Society of Biblical Literature

Stable URL: http://www.jstor.org/stable/4617137

Accessed: 11/03/2010 14:15

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use, available at http://www.jstor.org/page/info/about/policies/terms.jsp. JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use provides, in part, that unless you have obtained prior permission, you may not download an entire issue of a journal or multiple copies of articles, and you may use content in the JSTOR archive only for your personal, non-commercial use.

Please contact the publisher regarding any further use of this work. Publisher contact information may be obtained at http://www.jstor.org/action/showPublisher?publisherCode=sbl.

Each copy of any part of a JSTOR transmission must contain the same copyright notice that appears on the screen or printed page of such transmission.

JSTOR is a not-for-profit service that helps scholars, researchers, and students discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content in a trusted digital archive. We use information technology and tools to increase productivity and facilitate new forms of scholarship. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.



The Society of Biblical Literature is collaborating with JSTOR to digitize, preserve and extend access to Journal of Biblical Literature.

A PAIR OF CITATIONS FROM THE DIATESSARON.

BY ISAAC H. HALL.

In the catalogue of Christian books and writers composed by 'Ebedyeshu' (or 'Odîsho', as the Nestorians pronounce it), written about A.D. 1300, occurs the passage:

"Yeshu'dad (or Îsho'dad) of the same place [i.e. Ḥadath or Ḥadith, the place of Solomon, the writer just previously mentioned by 'Ebedyeshu'] composed an exposition of the New [Testament], and also the book of the Beith Mauthhê [i.e. part of the burial service] in a concise manner [lit. in a word of brevity]."

Yeshu'dad flourished about A.D. 852; his Commentary on the New Testament is still extant, though not yet printed. There is at least one manuscript of it in this country, and I think part of a second. I myself lately came into possession of a third, but it contains only his commentary on the Gospel of Matthew. My manuscript is written in fine Nestorian script, dated the 21 Adar in the year 2041 of the Greeks, or 21 March, 1730 A.D. The testimony of this writer to a reading or to a passage in Tatian's Diatessaron is at least of as high a grade as the Arabic version published by Ciasca, and I therefore give one testimony therefrom, which differs entirely from the Arabic version. I give the text and context, as the matter is quite an important one in several aspects. The comment has to do with Matthew The text is as follows, omitting most of the neediii. 5, last clause. less Nestorian pointing, except in important words.

ومناده حدى ومناه بعن و ومناه ومناه

استدا به فعصب فنهامه به فنهه استدا بيفتا الله بيفتا الله بعديا والمحكم المعلم به بعد الله والمحكم الله والمحكم به بعد المحكم الله والمحكم الله والمحكم الله والمحكم الله والمحكم الله والمحكم الله والمحكم بحديا الله والمحكم بحديا الله والمحكم بحديا بحديا بحديا الله والمحكم بحديا بحديا بحديا بحديا الله والمحكم المحكم بحديد المحكم المحكم

Or, translated:

"And his food was locusts and wild honey.— But the Diatessaron says, His food indeed was honey and milk of the mountains. Others, The locusts indeed are tender roots that resemble parsnep, that is, gezar [i.e.,], and not very pleasant to the taste; which some call qamsîs, but others qamsîn, but in Persian mang. Others that they are roots which are called qauche, which in form indeed is like locusts, but in taste sweet, like honey. Others, that they are shoots of plants; and also that this [is meant] by the honey. This is not sweet, they say; but that is bitter and loathsome which wild bees make. According to the Expositor [i.e. Theodore of Mopsuestia], locusts are winged creatures, and the honey is [that] of nature; that is from the warmth of place [i.e. its habitat is warm], and its constitution air since it is continually found there [lii. they continually find it there]."

Yeshu'dad proceeds to remark upon these "theories of John," and to draw allegorical and edifying conclusions, into which we need not enter. The noteworthy fact is that the Diatessaron, if it is here quoted correctly — and we can conceive no reason why it should not be so, but rather see every reason to the contrary — actually altered the reading of the Gospel to fit Tatian's Encratite tenets. Here I leave the matter; but the restorers and students of Tatian cannot so leave it.

I will, however, give another citation which confirms this. It is from the Storehouse of Mysteries of Gregory Bar Hebraeus, in the Commentary on Matthew. I quote from Spanuth's edition (Göttingen, 1879), p. 8.

وطاعه مقطى وبعد بصنا بصنا معدد مهدا ببصنا متطا متطاعته معدد معدد معدد معدد وبعدا وبعدا معدد معدد وعدد وبعدا معدد وبعدا وبعدا

Or, translated:

"And his food was locusts and wild honey—with pethacha beth [i.e. in the word i;]; the Greek i; with vowelless beth. With regard to this, some say that 'locusts' means roots and sweet plants (qauche); but in the Diatessaron 'milk and honey' is written."

It can scarcely be doubted that Bar Hebraeus had good evidence for his statement. He may have had Yeshu'dad for his authority, but the form and matter of his writing look as if he knew something more of the Diatessaron than that paragraph of Yeshu'dad could give him. Is it not possible that the Diatessaron was known to him, and thus was actually extant down to the latter half of the thirteenth century?