

Making Biblical Scholarship Accessible

This document was supplied for free educational purposes. Unless it is in the public domain, it may not be sold for profit or hosted on a webserver without the permission of the copyright holder.

If you find it of help to you and would like to support the ministry of Theology on the Web, please consider using the links below:



A table of contents for *Journal of Biblical Literature* can be found here:

https://biblicalstudies.org.uk/articles_jbl-01.php

JOURNAL OF BIBLICAL LITERATURE.

THE DATE OF ISAIAH XII.*

BY PROF. FRANCIS BROWN.

GESENIUS (Jesaia, II. p. 20) expresses some doubt as to the genuineness of chap. xii., and Ewald (Propheten, I. pp. 77, 459) not only denics its Isaian authorship, but declares its non-Isaian character to be so obvious that argument is unnecessary. Lagarde (Semitica, I. 2^N) takes the same view of the chapter, but gives an argument that I cannot fully accept, although it seems to him decisive, viz. that Isa. xii. 2 depends on Ex. xv. 2, but that Ex. xv. is later than Isaiah's time, and that hence Isa. xii. 2, and with it the whole chapter, must be later still.

The view of the late date of the chapter has not found general acceptance. Vatke (*Einleitung*, ed. Preiss, 1886) merely follows **Ewald**, and F. Köstlin (*Jesaia u. Jeremia*, 1879, p. 177) takes the same ground. Reuss (*Gesch. d. heil. Schrift. A. T.*) quotes Köstlin, without accepting the view. That Delitzsch and Bredenkamp reject it is not surprising; but it is not adopted by Hitzig, Knobel, Diestel, Cheyne, or Driver, nor by S. Davidson (*Introduction*, 1863, III. p. 7), Bleek, DeWette, Schrader, or Cornill (*Comp. d. Buches Jesaia*, *Z A W*, 1884). "Allgemein oder doch fast allgemein anerkannt ist gegenwärtig die Autorschaft des Jesaja in Bezug auf 1-12," writes Strack (*Einleitung*⁸ p. 42).¹

Yet it seems to me clear that Ewsld and Lagarde are right in their conclusion, and that Isa. xii. is of exilic origin, for the following reasons :

1. Compared with the genuine prophecies of Isaiah, chapter xii. is vague and colorless. It has none of the details of chap. xi.; all the terms are general. It becomes thus less vivid and impressive. It is at the same time more diffuse. Less is said in these six verses than Isaiah often compresses into half the number. It is also less original than Isaiah commonly is; vs. $2^b = Ex. xv. 2^a$ exactly. There are also other suggestions of Ex. xv., e.g. vs. 4 cf. Ex. xv. 2^b ; vs. 5^a cf. Ex. xv. 1; vs. 6 cf. Ex. xv. 1; vs. 4^b cf. Ex. xv. 14. In all of these the originality is clearly with Ex. xv.

* Read in June 1889.

¹ |Against the genuineness of Isa. xii. are also Stade, Z. 1 W. 1883, 16; and — since this note was written — Kuenen, O², 11. 55-57.}

128

2. Its language shows remarkable similarity with that of the exilic literature. This appears from an examination of the principal words:

Verse 1. אוֹדָה, also verse 4, אוֹדָה, elsewhere in Isaiah only xxxviii. 18, 19 (Hezekiah's Psalm), and the exilic passage xxv. 1; twice in Genesis, thrice in Leviticus, once in Numbers, twice in Kings; frequent in the Psalms; once in Jeremiah (xxxiii. 11), but found in no other pre-exilic prophet; repeatedly in Chronicles, Ezra, Nehemiah (twenty-one times in the three); twice in Daniel. [The derivative (iv. 5). Otherwise, similarly distributed with mini-

times in Isaiah or any prophet; four times in Deuteronomy, three times in Kings, four times in Psalms, twice in Chronicles and Ezra.

fourteen times;² xl. 1 (bis); xlix. 13; li. 3 (bis), 12, 19; lii. 9; liv. 11; lvii. 6; lxi. 2; lxvi. 13 (three times). Rare in earlier books; twelve times in Jeremiah, seven times in Ezekiel, six times in Lamentations, etc.

Verse 2. التعاديم , twice, also verse 3. Not elsewhere in Isaiah¹, except xxiii. 2, 6, and this chapter is doubtful. In Isaiah¹¹ fourteen times: xxv. 9; xxvi. 1, 18; xlix. 6, 8, etc. [The kindred المجاهدة is not found in Isaiah¹, but occurs xlv. 17; xlvi. 13. تعني occurs xvii. 10, and in Isaiah¹¹ four times: xlv. 8; li. 5; lxi. 10; lxii. 11. The verb sin occurs only three times in Isaiah¹ (xix. 20; xxx. 15; xxxiii. 22 [?]), but twenty-three times in Isaiah¹¹, besides three times in xxxvii., xxxviii.]

web, elsewhere in Isaiah only "(xliv. 8, 11; li. 13; lx. 5), and in xix. 16, 17; xxxiii. 14 — doubtful chapters.

¹⁵, elsewhere in Isaiah only ¹¹, (xxvi. 1; xlv. 24; xlix. 5; li. 9; lii. ¹; lxii. 8); eleven times in Jeremiah and Ezekiel, etc.

וֹתָרָח, only here, Ex. xv. 2, and the late Ps. cxviii. 14. [דַּתָרָח in Isaiah only li. 3; cf. דָּתָר, "make music," xii. 5, only here in Isaiah, and in no other prophet, but very frequent in the Psalms.]

nth my, doubtful, but the only parallel is in Isaiah ": xxvi. 4.

Verse 3. بَعْبَة, only here in Isaiah; in no other prophet except Naham iii. 14.

; elsewhere in Isaiah i only xxii. 13; Isaiah ii: xxxv. 10; li.

²" Isa. "" in this note includes all exilic passages in the Book of Isaiah.

3, 11; lxi. 3; seven times in Jeremiah, twice in Esther, etc. [Verb rot in Isaiah ¹, but nine times in Isaiah ¹¹.]

פָּכָר, elsewhere in Isaiah, only xli. 18.

Verse 4. צָלילָה, not elsewhere in Isaiah, but frequent in Ezekiel (eight times); twice in Zephaniah; in no other prophet.

Verse 5. mentioned above. Only here in Isaiah.

Verse 6. 573, nine times in Old Testament. Isaiah¹: x. 30; Isaiah¹¹: xxiv. 14; liv. 1; three times in Jeremiah, once in Esther, once in Psalms: cv. 15 [late].

רָכָן, Isaiahⁱ, only xvi. 10 (doubtful); Isaiahⁱⁱ twelve times (xxiv. 14; xxvi. 19; xxxv. 2, 6; xlii. 11; xliv. 23; xlix. 13; lii. 8, 9; liv. 1; lxi. 7; lxv. 14.

וֹשָׁבָּה צָּיוֹן, in this exact form only here in Isaiah, though the phrase is perhaps more nearly akin to the usage of pre-exilic than post-exilic prophets.

קרוש רשָרָאָל, Isaiah¹, thirteen times; Isaiah¹¹ fifteen times. No argument either way.

On the whole, then, the evidence from language, including almost all important words, is decidedly in favor of exilic origin for Isa. xii.

3. In scope and expression, in its conceptions and its hopes, it is closely allied to the late Psalms, such as cxviii.; cxxxviii.; cxlv.; cf also xci.--c.; cvii.; cxi.

4. The force of these arguments against the genuineness of Isaiah xii. would, perhaps, have been more generally recognized but for its apparently close connection with the preceding chapter. We miss iu it, however, any distinct reference to the judgment announced in chapter xi. It has, furthermore, a strophical division different from that of chapter xi. In chapter xi. the strophes have fourteen lines each; in chapter xii., but nine lines each. The strophical measurement, by thus separating chapter xii. from chapter xi., corroborates, as in many other cases, the results of criticism. (See "The Measurements of Hebrew as an aid to Literary Analysis," supra, p. 71 sqq.).

5. There is no counter-evidence, except the place of the chapter in the collection, which is of only negative weight. It does not necessarily prove more — in view, especially, of the composite character of the book of Isaiah, even of Isa. i. – xxxix., than that a compiler or editor, finding the piece without an author's name, sympathizing with

130

its spirit, and desirous to preserve it for himself and his countrymen, added it as a fitting close to the preceding collection.

I conclude that Isa. xii. is really a psalm of praise for delivery from exile. As such, it forms a good conclusion to the group of prophecies to which it is now attached; owing its place not to identity of authorship, but to its agreement in general contents with the foregoing; and to rhythmical, not strophical, similarity.