

Making Biblical Scholarship Accessible

This document was supplied for free educational purposes. Unless it is in the public domain, it may not be sold for profit or hosted on a webserver without the permission of the copyright holder.

If you find it of help to you and would like to support the ministry of Theology on the Web, please consider using the links below:



https://www.buymeacoffee.com/theology



https://patreon.com/theologyontheweb

PayPal

https://paypal.me/robbradshaw

A table of contents for *Journal of Biblical Literature* can be found here:

https://biblicalstudies.org.uk/articles_jbl-01.php

NOTES. 97

Notes on the "Lives of the Prophets."

BY PROF. ISAAC H. HALL, PH.D.

(Supplementary to a paper on "A Hagiologic MS.," &c., in the last Journal.)

It has long been known that the "Lives of the Prophets," of which certainly three Greek recensions are now known, is a work extant in Syriac. Only the lives of the "four greater prophets," Isaiah. Jeremiah, Ezekiel, and Daniel, have been printed; viz., by Nestle in his Syriac Grammar and Chrestomathy, in the Petermann-Reuther series, "Porta Linguarum Orientalium," Carlsruhe and Leipzig, 1881. It is there to be found on pages 53-61 (of those numbered with Syriac numerals). Nestle derived his text "e tribus codicibus Musei Britannici," but he does not give the variant readings of the three codices. A comparison of Nestle's text with the Greek recension, which I published in the last Journal of this Society, shows that this recension and the Syriac are the same treatise, the Greek being a translation and the Syriac the original. Naturally there are some variants; and perhaps those of the codices mentioned above might help us in determining the true text. The rendering is quite close, although idiomatic. It should be stated that other Syriac manuscripts of the same composition are known to our American missionaries, as extant near Oroomiah, in Persia.

The following rough comparison is intended merely to show the coincidences and differences between the Greek text just mentioned and the Syriac text of Nestle.

Title: The same in both Syriac and Greek, except that the former adds "holy" as an epithet of the prophets, and for καὶ ποῦ κεῖνται has ολωίρο, "and how they died." The Syriac title commences with the ordinary Δολ, which, of course, would be neglected in Greek. After the title, also, the Syriac inserts "Of holy Epiphanius bishop of Cyprus."

(For the rest of the comparison I shall refer to the Greek by its lines and pages as published in the Journal.)

Page 29, line 1. — Syriac omits ὁ προφήτης, and has "Jerusalem" for Ἰσραήλ.

Line 2. — Syr. adds "with a wood-saw" after εἰς δύο. — For δρυός, ρωγῆν Syr. (iterebinth of Rogel"; as if the Greek had made a slip in copying from an older MS. δρυὸς Ρωγήλ, the

probable correction, makes far better sense than the present reading, besides keeping exactly to the Syriac.

Lines 3, 4. — Syr. omits ὁ βασιλεύς.

(Line 5, ολιγωρήσας stands for σ12: 1)

(Line 6. — καὶ seems omitted in the Syr., but only by a difference of idiom.)

Line 7. — For 'Hσαΐας, ἄφνω, Syriac has "the Jews."

Line 8. — Syr. omits ηλθον and εξήρχετο τὸ ὕδωρ.

Lines 9, 10. - Syr. omits κατά . . . 'Ιουδαίοις.

Line 11. - Syr. adds τὸ μυστήριον before γέγονεν.

Line 12. — Syr. has a pronoun in place of τοῦ Σιλωάμ.

Line 13. — For ὡσαύτως Syr. has "also after his death."

(Lines 14, 15, ἐχόμενα τῆς ὁδοῦ stands for Δ.)

(Lines 20, 21, the Syriac uses an expanded phrase with two different words to express concealment: "unknown to the many of the people, but hidden from all the people," in a style which the Greek could express but awkwardly by repeating ἀγνοούμενον.)

(Line 22, ἐξ Αἰθιοπίας is 🕰 🛶 in Syr.)

Line 23. - Syr. omits τοις Βαβυλωνίοις.

(Line 26, For ἄκαρπον, Syr. has "that he should not bear (fruit) sons.")

Lines 26, 27, For ἡμέρας Syr. has ωρας, and omits καὶ ἐπέκεινα.

(Line 30, της Κήσεως is κήσεως in Syr.)

Line 31. — Syr. has γὰρ after Ηὖξατο. — ὁλοθρεύουσαι αὖτοὺς seems to be omitted in the Syr., but may have its explanation in the next:

Line 33. — For ἐφώθ Syr. has (more correctly) $\angle \omega$ (νεφώθ).

— After κροκοδίλους, Syr. adds, "but the Syrians ."

Line 35. $\dot{-}$ For $d\pi i \sigma \tau \omega \nu$ Syr. reads $d\sigma \pi i \delta \omega \nu$ (as I conjectured the reading might be — see foot note), and adds "and crocodiles," using the Syriac word just quoted, therefor.

Line 36. — After θεραπεύουσιν Syr. adds "And many of the crocodiles also he made flee from the waters."

Page 31, line 4. — For περιθείς αὐτὰ ἐνδόξως κύκλω Syr. has "laid

them up with reverence " (or honor); but the difference might be made either by the change of a letter or two, or by the addition of a word. — Syr. omits οὖτως.

Line 5. — After exelvys, Syr. adds "in which he was buried."

Line 6. — For ὅταν Syr. reads ὡσαύτως (making much better sense, and clearing up the obscurity).

Line 9. — For "Αργους δεξιοῦ Syr. has [11].................. Syr. also omits λεὰν . . . ἀνατολικόν, and begins a new paragraph after the word just quoted.

Line 12. — Syr. omits διὰ Σωτῆρος Θεοῦ κ.τ.λ. to the end of the sentence.

Line 14. — For Kai έως Syr. has Διὰ τοῦτο έως.

Lines 14, 15. — The Syr. makes both $\pi a \rho \theta \dot{\epsilon} \nu o \nu$ and $\beta \rho \dot{\epsilon} \phi o s$ objects of $\tau \iota \theta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \epsilon s$, but by a pronoun makes $\pi \rho o \sigma \kappa \nu \nu o \hat{\nu} \sigma \iota \nu$ refer to $\beta \rho \dot{\epsilon} \phi o s$ alone.

Line 18. — For $\lambda ao\hat{v}$ Syr. has $\lambda ao\hat{v} = \nu ao\hat{v}$; a much better reading. The error of the Greek is plain, and that of a copyist.

Line 20. — For $\epsilon \nu$ Syr. has $\rightleftharpoons \epsilon \kappa$, doubtless the correct reading.

Line 21. - Syr. omits νομοθετήσαι έν Σιων.

Line 25. — For ἰερέων προφήτων Syr. has "neither of the priests nor of the prophets." — For ἐκλεκτὸς Syr. has "servant"; but the mistake would be easy if the Syr. were carelessly written.

Lines 30, 31. — τοῦ προφήτου replaced in Syr. by a pronoun.

Line 32. - Syr. omits φωτεινη̂.

Line 36. — Syr. supplies φωτείνη in brackets after νεφέλη. — Syr. omits περὶ τὸν τόπον.

Page 32, line 2. — Syr. omits διὰ τοῦτο.

Line 4. — Syr. omits καὶ 'Ααρῶν.

Line 6. — Syr. omits où ros, and adds $\gamma \hat{\eta}s$ before $\Sigma au\eta \rho \hat{a}$; also, for the last has Sarîda ($1 + \frac{1}{2} \times \infty$).

(Line 7, Syr. adds καὶ before πολλὰ — idiomatically.)

Line 11. — For $\Sigma \hat{\eta} \theta$ Syr. has Shem.

Lines 11-13. — Syr. transposes the last two clauses of the sentence that ends with $\Sigma \acute{a} \rho as$.

Line 14. — Syr. would put a stop after ἐπιπέδου, and begin the next sentence thus: ὑπερῶου γὰρ καὶ ἔστιν.

Line 15. — Syr. shows that κεκρυμμένον should be κεκράμενον; and

the preceding $\tilde{\epsilon}\nu$ $\pi \acute{\epsilon}\tau \rho a$ should therefore probably be $\tilde{\epsilon}\pi i$ $\pi \acute{\epsilon}\tau \rho a$, or some similar expression.

Lines 15, 16.—For ὅτε . . . ἐρημώσεως Syr. has علم المعالم المعالم بالمعالم بالمعال

(Line 18, πλημμερήσει of the MS. is \(\sigma_{\text{\tince{\text{\texi}\text{\text{\text{\text{\texi}\text{\text{\text{\texi}\text{\text{\texi{\texi{\texi{\texi{\texi{\texi}\til\titil\tint{\texi\texi{\texi{\texi{\texi{\texi{\texi{\texi{\texi{\te

Line 21. — The μίαν τάρωσιν of the MS. is τίς, "lest they should rebel"; affording the correction of the Greek to μη ἀνταρῶσιν (compare ἄνταρσις and cognates). Syr. also omits τοῖς Ἑβραίοις.

Lines 21, 22. — For ἀναίρεσιν, Syr. has "as if they would kill them." Some particle or preposition appears to be omitted by the Greek copyist.

Line 22. — Syr. omits ὁ προφήτης.

Line 27. — For τοις Χαλδαίοις Syr. has 1123.

Line 29. — Syr. omits οὐρανόθεν, and puts τότε in the preceding sentence. For ἐλεγεν ὁ Ἰσραήλ, Syr. has ἔλεγον (3d pers. plur.).

Lines 30, 31. — For τῶν νεκρῶν Syr. has "dry."

Line 32. — Syr. has alwos expressed before $\mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda o \nu \tau o s$; but the sense is the same.

Lines 33, 34. — For $\tau \grave{a} \stackrel{?}{\epsilon} \nu$ 'Is $\rho o \omega \sigma a \lambda \grave{\eta} \mu \kappa.\tau.\lambda$. to the end of the sentence, Syr. has "that which was to be wrought in Jerusalem and in the midst of the temple; he was snatched from thence and came to Jerusalem for the admonition of those that do not believe" (omitting $\theta \epsilon \hat{\phi}$).

Line 35. — Syr. omits καὶ τὴν πύλην to the end of the sentence (on next page, τὰ ἔθνη), and has in their place, "As also Daniel said that it would be built."

Page 33, line 5. — For τὰ κτήνη αὐτῶν πάντα, Syr. has οσωμούο, i.e., "all their infants and their possessions" (or, flocks).

Line 10. - For obros the Syr. has the name, "Daniel."

Line 11. — Syr. omits έν τη αλχμαλωσία.

(Line 12. — Syr. has علاء for Βεθώρψ.)

NOTES. 101

Lines 14, 15. — Syr. omits τὸν λαὸν καὶ ἐπὶ, and also omits Ἱερουσαλήμ.

Lines 15, 16. — For καὶ ἐν νηστείας κ.τ.λ. to end of sentence, Syr. has "and in fasting he made himself a Nazarite (;μς) from all desirable food."

Line 17. — For ξηρὸς . . . την εἰδέαν Syr. has "small (or, spare) in body."

(Lines 20-24, Syr. has much inversion of words, but nothing really different. For ἄλογον φιληδονίαν Syr. has "love of pleasures (or, lusts) of the body"; and for Βελίαρ, "Satan.")

Line 25. - Syr. omits the whole line.

Lines 27–29. — Syr. seems to omit ἄρχοντες καὶ, to reverse exactly the position of the next three words, and to omit ἀσεβοῦντες . . . ἀπολαμβάνοντες.

(Lines 29 ff. — There is much minor change of structure, but always close rendering of the sense.)

Line 34. — For ἐπεγίνετο δὲ αὐτῷ, Syr. has "And he was in the likeness of behemoth."

(Line 35. — For γλώσσα Syr. has "speech," omitting τοῦ μὴ λαλεῖν.) Line 36. — Syr. omits νεκρὸν.

Page 34, line 1. — Syr. supplies μόνος after Δανιήλ.

Line 4. — For καὶ τότε ὄψομαι αὐτόν, Syr. has "And they did not believe him."

Lines 5, 6. — Syr. omits προσευχόμενος . . . υψιστον.

Lines 7, 8. — Syr. would have a (slight) mark of punctuation after $\epsilon \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta \eta$, and none after $\alpha \dot{\nu} \tau \dot{\varphi}$; for $\epsilon \dot{\xi}$ it has $\epsilon \pi \tau \dot{\alpha}$; and would finish the sentence at $\epsilon \tau \eta$. Then it has $\epsilon \dot{\xi}$ for $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \epsilon$, and no stop after $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu \alpha s$.

Line 11. — For εξομολογούμενος τῷ Κυρίῳ Syr. has "in the time of his repentance."

Line 15. — Syr. adds Δανιήλ after δσιος.

Line 16. — It appears that a full stop should follow $\mathring{a}\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \tau \mu \acute{\eta} \tau \omega \nu$ (the next sentence extending to $\check{\epsilon}\gamma \rho a\psi a$, in line 18).

Line 17. — Removes stop after βασιλεῦσιν, and adds " of Persia" at that place.

Lines 21-29. — There is some difference of order, and some omission. The Syriac reads (beginning after $Ba\beta\nu\lambda\tilde{\omega}\nu\sigma s$) "But when it burns like fire, it is the end of all the earth. But if waters flow down from the south, the people will return to their land. But if blood flow down, there will be a murder of Satan in all the earth. And the holy Daniel slept in peace."



The critical instinct sees without much difficulty that variants must exist in the Syriac sources which would explain some of the now-seeming superabundant matter of the Greek. It seems quite superfluous to enter into an argument to prove the truth of the position here taken, viz., that the Syriac is the original and the Greek a translation. That is obvious throughout — at least to one who takes the trouble to read them through and compare them.

Since preparing the above note I have been shown a "Beschreibung der syrischen Handschrift 'Sachau 131' auf der Königlichen Bibliothek zu Berlin," by Prof. Friedrich Baethgen (in Zeitschrift f. d. alttest. Wiss., Jahrgang 6, 1886) of Kiel, in which is some portion of the "Prophetenleben von Epiphanius von Cypern." That Sachau MS. appears, in sundry particulars, to have been derived from the same source as some of those newly acquired by the Union Theological Seminary, as may be seen by comparing my brief account of them, heretofore published, with Baethgen's description. point here to be noted is that Baethgen assumes the Greek (he seems not to know of the different character of the recensions) to be the original, and the Syriac the translation. This would be the case, of course, were the Greek the production of Epiphanius of Cyprus. But the Greek appears to be one of the documents which Dorotheus of Tyre translated from the "Hebrew," which, at that time, would be one of the Aramaic languages; and, as appears by the facts, the (See the references to Migne, in my article on "A Hagiologic MS.," &c.) Had Baethgen read the different texts in Migne, to say nothing of the Philadelphia text, he would perhaps have modified his statement (ubi supra, p. 199) "Von diesen [Greek] Texten, soweit sie mir bekannt sind, weicht die syrische Uebersetzung nicht unwesentlich ab."

Still later — "The Book of the Bee," just issued by the Clarendon Press, Oxford, contains a Syriac recension of a portion of the "Lives of the Prophets" different from that in Nestle. This new complication of the question I have no time to work out.

In the same "Beschreibung" Baethgen gives the Syriac text of the "Revelation of Ezra," of which I published a translation in the Presbyterian Quarterly about a year ago. I have at present no copy of either the text or my translation, with which to compare Baethgen's text and translation, but they are obviously the same thing, and, further, from the same source, and that not remotely.

