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## PAPERS.

## A Hagiologic Manuscript in the Philadelphia Library.

BY PROF. ISAAC H. HALL, PH.D.

$N$OMEWHAT more than a century ago three Greek Manuscripts were presented to the Library Company of Philadelphia, by Henry Coxe, Esquire, of England. One of these is a large vellum book, probably of the ninth or tenth century, written in elegant large cursive, with uncials for the titles and other important matter, and containing a number of the homilies of Chrysostom. Another, written on parchment, and probably of the thirteenth or fourteenth century, contains the greater portion of the lexicon of Zonaras. The third, the one now under consideration, is a hagiologic collection, made, as wax-drippings on some of the leaves still testify, for monastery reading on saints' days. The three are kept in the Ridgway Branch of the Philadelphia Library, are all labelled on the back "MSS. GREEK COMMENTARY," and have not hitherto been examined except by myself.

The hagiologic manuscript is numbered II4I of the Library's manuscripts. It consists at present of 130 paper leaves, each $10 \frac{1}{2} \times$ $7 \frac{1}{2}$ inches in dimension ; leaf 39 being all torn away except a small corner. Two or three leaves appear to have been lost at the beginning, and one at the end. The binding is not the original one, but (except the lettering on the back) made while the manuscript was still in Greek hands; rather heavy sides, half-leather (i.e., back and corners leather), and marbled paper. In binding, many of the leaves had to be mounted on a slip, and many initials and marginal numbers have thus been lost, though a later hand has supplied many of the initials on the slip. The outer margins do not appear to have been cut down, but nevertheless they have been so worn away as to lose many marginal numerals, wholly or partially. A line in more recent
script, on the top of the first page, informs us that the manuscript was once the property of a monastery whose name I cannot certainly make out. ${ }^{1}$

The writing occupies the space of about $8 \times 6$ inches on each page; regularly in 30 lines to a page, though sometimes only 29 . It is a plain and easy cursive, in rather large letters, with a moderate number of contractions and ligatures. Iota subscript is rarely present except when supplied by a later hand. Section or chapter numbers are written in red in the margins. The principal divisions of the book have ornaments reaching across the page, in red and black, and have also large ornamental initials, quite elegant. Smaller sections usually have a smaller initial. Spaces left for initials were sometimes passed over by the rubricator, and are still to be supplied. Accents and breathings are supplied with only moderate correctness. Iota-. cisms abound ; $\eta$ continually being confounded with $\quad$, as likewise both with $v$; besides the usual confusion about diphthongs that end in $\iota$. Often o and $\omega$ exchange places; and the same is true of $\beta$ and $v$. The letters $\beta$ and $\mu$ are also sometimes interchanged; the confusion in this case being real, and not one made by the reader from the similarity of the characters. I suspect that the original home of the manuscript was Mt. Athos.

The contents of MS. are as follows: -
Fol. i. a.] 1. A part of the last sentence of the Proëmium of the "Historia Lausiaca" of Palladius, bishop of Helenopolis in Cappadocia, commencing with the word $\vec{\epsilon}_{s}^{\xi} u \rho \pi \alpha \sigma \theta \theta^{\prime} ; \tau \omega \nu$.
2. 'Table of Contents of the Historia Lausiaca, 'answering only in part to the text of the manuscript, but in extent (and partially in matter), to an ordinary recension of the Historia.
Fol. 3. a.] 3. The two letters which precede and are introductory to the Historia in the printed editions, followed by the Historia itself, in a recension different from the printed editions; the latter portion containing matter that is usually printed in some other treatise. A large portion of this matter is from the "Paradisus Patrum" ; and the Historia encls with the colophon in
 native title in the printed books for the "Paradisus Patrum."

[^0]Fol. 68. b.] 4. Several chapters, akin to the Historia, but no part of it, as follows : -
(a.) Bíos тov̂ $\dot{\beta} \beta \beta$ â חaúnov тov̂ Đ̀ $\eta \beta a i o v$.

Fol. 70.a.] (b.) Пєрì тov̂ Ta $\xi \epsilon \omega ́ \tau o u$.
Fol. 71. b.] (c.) Пєрі̀ тov̂ Фıोєvтólov 'O入vurióv.
 $\xi \epsilon \omega \mathrm{s}$. This is a collection of Apophthegmata or Gerontika, of the sort so common in manuscripts for monastic oratory reading. It consists of short narratives, parables, and sayings of the various fathers. After this follows another like collection, begimning at fol. 94. a., under the title Ієєрì á $\beta \beta \hat{\iota}$ Макар'ои Подєтькой, which should perhaps be treated as a separate division of the manuscript.


 that printed, but in print it is commonly called $\sigma \dot{v} v a \gamma \mu \alpha$, instead of $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu a$ as here.
 кєivral. This is a different recension from that in Migne (among the writings attributed to Epiphanius, of Tyre), and different also from that published by Tischendorf. It is worth publishing entire.








 martyrdoms of the seventy disciples, and other persecutions under Licinius and Constantine. At the end is a narrative of the authors' compilation from the (Latin) literary remains of Dorotheus, and of the attestation of the correctness of Dorotheus' account by John, bishop of Rome. Then is added, as another chapter of the same division,
Fol. 117.b.] ıо. T $\omega \bar{\nu}{ }^{\prime}$ 'A $\pi \partial \sigma \tau \sigma$ ' $\lambda \omega$, or an account of the (Twelve) Apostles; to which is appended a further account of Dorotheus and his collections made from the Greek and Hebrew, and written in Latin.



 the manuscript, and breaks off in the word $\xi v \lambda \alpha^{\prime}[\rho \iota o v]$, just so far before the end that scarcely a page and a half more of the manuscript would have been needed to finish it.

So far as I have been able to compare the manuscript with printed texts, I find abundant variations, and quite as often (excluding ordinary slips of the pen, or variations merely apparent) improvements on the printed texts as inferior readings. It is evident that this manuscript has never been consulted by an editor of any of the printed texts ; and it is likewise evident that it should be consulted in case any such matter should be re-edited. Some of its matter seems to me to be unpublished. I have no leisure for a full collation of the text ; but the information to be furnished herein will be appreciated by any one who may have such leisure. In the citations herein made from it, the common scribe's errors are generally corrected in silence; but sometimes they are retained, and sometimes a note of the correction appended. The tacit corrections are generally those of accent or breathings, or else changing the old customs (such as accenting both parts of verbs compounded with a preposition, putting the grave accept before a colon or even a period) ; correcting when a single or doubled consonant is wrongly written ; correcting when $\beta$ is written for $v$; and also correcting many of the iotacisms, with the exchanging of $o$ and $\omega$. Cases where no correction is made will usually be obvious; and they will occur oftenest in proper names.

Before speaking particularly of the several portions of the manuscript, it is proper to note that besides the destruction of marginal numbers, already mentioned, there are occasional slips in the numbering where the numerals are present. Sometimes the numbers are repeated, sometimes missed ; and sometimes the numeral is omitted at its place, though the contiguous numbering was correctly made. But these remarks apply only to the Historia Lausiaca; since numbers are wanting in the rest of the manuscript, except where some one has made a note at the foot, in much later script, for convenience of reference or in ecclesiastical reading. These later notes are generally of no importance whatever, and to us merely indicate in what sort of. hands the manuscript was formerly kept. The several portions of the manuscript, however, need a more particular account.

## I. The Table of Contents of the Historia.

This table corresponds only in part to the text of the Historia as given in the manuscript, though it includes, but without reference thereto, some of the matter in the Apophthegmata. Its first part generally refers to the paragraphs of the text of the manuscript, and the latter part to matter found in the recension of the Historia printed in Migne's " Patrologia Græca," vol. 34. The first part of the Table generally tallies with the first portion of the recension in Migne, but not chapter for chapter. It is thus evident that the Table is copied from an older manuscript, and answers to a chapter-division different from the ordinary, and to a different recension throughout. Thus this Table has an independent value of its own, and I therefore give it, first in Greek and then in English, with notes of its application both to the text of the manuscript and to the chapters in Migne. In respect to the latter, however, I do not stop to note the very minor variations, as when a chapter in the manuscript begins or ends a sentence earlier or later than that in Migne. I give the numbers actually present in the manuscript, omitting them even when they might be supplied, except in the few cases wherein they must be supplied to make sense in this article. The following is the Table:-













 $\Sigma_{\epsilon \rho a \pi i \omega v, ~ a ̀ v a \chi \omega \rho \eta \tau a i ̀ ~ \delta о к \iota \mu \omega \tau u ́ \tau a l . ~}^{\text {. }}$


[^1]
$\mathrm{T} \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon \dot{U} \chi \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \epsilon \lambda o v \mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu \dot{\omega} \phi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \epsilon^{\prime} a$.





 но́v.



 $\dot{\alpha} \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \hat{\omega} \nu$.


 $\mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \pi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \nu \tau \hat{a} \nu$.
 накаріои Пал $\beta$ иิ.

 тồ $\Pi i ́ \omega \rho$.






3. T. Tà $\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ B \epsilon v \iota a \mu i ̀ ̀ v ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ \theta x v \mu a \sigma i ́ o v ~ \mu o v a \chi o v ̂ . ~$

 $\tau \epsilon v \omega \dot{\prime}$, or, $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \pi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu a \tau \epsilon \dot{v} о \nu \tau о \varsigma]$.




38. Tà $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{~ \tau o v ̂ ~ \delta о к \iota \mu \omega \tau \alpha ́ т о v ~ N ̌ a \theta \alpha v a \eta ́ \lambda . ~}$


[^2]40. Tà $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{~ \tau o v ̂ ~ a ́ \gamma i ́ o v ~ M a к \alpha \rho i ́ o v ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ a ̉ \lambda \epsilon \xi а \nu \delta \rho \epsilon ́ \omega \varsigma . ~}$
41. Tà̀ $\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ \mu \epsilon \gamma a ́ \lambda o v ~ П а \chi \omega \mu i ́ o v ~ \pi v є v \mu a \tau o ф o ́ \rho o v ~ a ̉ v \delta \rho o ́ s, ~ к а i ̀ ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ \tau o v ̂ ~$ Өavátov тá̀ıг Макарiov.

43. Tà $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{~} \tau o v ̂ ~ \gamma \epsilon \nu v a \iota \omega \tau \alpha ́ \tau o v ~ M \omega \ddot{v} \sigma \epsilon ́ \omega \varsigma ~ \tau o \hat{v}$ ả $\pi o ̀ ~ \lambda \eta \sigma \tau \omega \hat{\omega} \nu$.



47. Tà $\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ \gamma \epsilon \nu \nu a i ̂ o v ~ \Pi a v ́ \lambda o v ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ т \rho ı а к о \sigma i ́ a s ~ \epsilon v ̉ \chi a ̀ s ~ \pi o o o v ̂ v т o s . ~$


50. Kрovíov $\delta \iota \eta \gamma o v \mu$ évov тà ка日' éavtóv.
51. Tà катà тòv $\mu \epsilon ́ \gamma \alpha v{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{A} \nu \tau \omega \dot{\omega} \nu o v$.
52. Tà катà Eủ̉óyıov.
53. 'O $\tau \hat{\omega}^{1} \sigma \dot{\omega} \mu a \tau \iota \lambda \epsilon \lambda o \beta \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \nu \sigma$.



 $\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ \tau o \hat{v}$ Пav́lov.
58. Tà $\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ \mu а к а \rho i ́ o v ~ \Pi a v ́ \lambda o v ~ \tau o ̂ ̂ ~ a ̀ ~ \pi \lambda о v ̂ . ~$


Tà $\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ \gamma \epsilon \nu v a i ́ o v ~ a ̀ ~ \theta \lambda \eta \tau o v ̂ ~ \Sigma ̇ \tau \epsilon \phi a ́ v o v . ~$
Tà катà O
 $\theta \epsilon ́ v \tau a$.




67. Пєрі̀ $\Delta \omega \rho о \theta$ ล́ov.


 $\mu о \nu а \sigma \tau \eta{ }^{\prime} \rho \iota \alpha$ т $\rho ⿺ а к о \sigma i \omega \nu \psi v_{\chi} \hat{\omega} \%$.



$\Pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ \mu а к а \rho i ́ o v ~ ' I \omega a ́ v \nu o v ~ \tau o ̂ ̂ ~ \epsilon ̉ v ~ \pi \rho о ф \dot{\eta} \tau \alpha \iota s . ~$

Av̉ròs oṽтos $\pi \rho o \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota$ Поц $\mu \epsilon ́ v \iota ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda о \nu \tau a$.

Tà катà Побє८סóvıov.
80. T $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{ }{ }^{\text {e }} \mathrm{I} \epsilon \rho o v v ́ \mu o v$.


Kaì тòv ó $\iota \iota \omega ́ \tau \alpha \tau о \nu ~ \Pi \epsilon ́ \tau \rho о \nu, ~ к а і ̀ ~ \Sigma \Sigma \nu \mu \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu a$.
85 [sic]. Tà $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\Sigma} \Sigma_{\epsilon \rho a \pi i o ́ v o s . ~}^{\text {. }}$


88. Tà катà Eủá ${ }^{\prime}$ pıov.






95. Тà калà ' ${ }^{\prime} \phi \rho a \hat{\iota} \mu$.

- Пєрi Пav́das $\dot{\rho} \omega \mu a i a s$.

IIєрі̀ Bє $є є \rho i ́ a s$.

99. Пєрi $\Theta \epsilon о \delta \omega ́ \rho a s$.
100. Tà $\pi \epsilon \rho i ́ \mathrm{O}$ бías.

Kaì'A ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \lambda_{i ́ \alpha s .}$
103 [sic]. Пєрi Baбıavìдаs.

$\Pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ ' А \beta \eta \tau \alpha ̂ s$.
106. Пєрì 'Hovдıavov.



r го. Tà $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath}$ Фı入орш́ $\mu о v$.

 'А $\delta є \lambda \phi i ́ o v ~ к а i ~ \Pi \alpha \phi v o v т i ́ o v ~ к а i ~ П а \mu \beta \hat{\omega}$. каì 'A $\mu \mu \omega v i ́ o v ~ к а i . ~$ є́ $\tau \in \rho \omega \nu \tau i v \omega \nu$.


115. Пєрі 'І $\alpha \kappa \hat{\omega} \beta$.
116. Пєрì Пафvovтíov.
 סıáкороь.



12I. Eis $\mu a \rho \tau v \rho i \alpha \nu \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \not \epsilon \iota$ ' $\mathrm{I} \hat{\omega} \beta$ (this may be a contraction for 'I $\alpha \kappa \hat{\omega} \beta$ ).
122. Пєрі' $\mathrm{E} \lambda \pi \iota \delta i o v \mathrm{Ka} \mathrm{\pi} \pi \alpha ́ \delta \delta o к о ง$.
123. Пєрì Aivєбíov.

125. Пєрі̀ Гáavá.
126. Пєрì 'H $\lambda i ́ a ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ \phi i \lambda o \xi ̧ ́ v e ́ v o v . ~$
127. Пєрі̀ $\Sigma \alpha ́ \beta \beta \alpha к о з ~ N \iota к о и . ~$

129. Пєрì Мє $\lambda_{\alpha v i o v ~ \pi a ́ \lambda \iota v . ~}^{\text {1 }}$
130. $\Pi_{\epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ \Pi \rho o ̈ ̈ a v o v ̂ . ~}^{\text {1 }}$
$13^{2}$ [sic]. Пєрì $\Sigma i \lambda \beta i ́ \alpha s$.

 $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon$ íov.
135. Tà $\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀$ ' $\mathrm{O} \lambda v \mu \pi \iota a ́ \delta o s$.
136. Tà $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\mathrm{K}} \mathrm{K} \alpha \nu \delta i ̂ \partial \eta$.
137. $\Pi \epsilon \rho \grave{̀} \pi a \rho \theta$ źvov $\Gamma \epsilon \lambda \alpha \sigma i ́ a s$.

139. Пєрì т $\hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} v$ тоîs $\sigma \pi \eta \lambda$ aíots.
140. Пєрì इoдон́́vтоs.
141. Tà $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \Delta \omega \rho o \theta$ €́ov.



145. Пєрì тท̂s óбías Táळ.
146. Пєрì тท̂s тapөє́vov.

148. Пєрì Пıvıavov̂.

149: Пєрі̀ Пav́лov $\Delta^{\text {1 }} \lambda \mu a \tau \iota \sigma i ́ o v . ~$

151. Пєрì Панцахív.
152. Пєрі̀ Макарíov каі K $\omega \nu \sigma \tau а \nu т i ́ v o v . ~$



155 [sic, repeated]. Пєрì $\pi \alpha \rho \theta$ є́vov Kopıv ${ }^{\prime}$ ías.








 oûтos.
'O $\sigma v \gamma \gamma \rho \alpha \phi \epsilon \grave{\iota}{ }^{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \chi \chi \rho \iota \sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \tau \hat{\varphi} \theta \epsilon \hat{\varphi}$.


T $\omega \nu$ 'A $\delta o v \lambda i ́ v \omega \nu$.
 v̂$\sigma o v$.
168. Mav $\theta$ ávєє $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ \sigma \chi o \lambda a \sigma \tau \iota \kappa o \hat{v} \tau \iota \nu o ́ s$.
$\Pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath}$ ' $A \lambda \epsilon \xi \dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho o v$.

Kaì 'Оvךб七крáтov.
To some an English translation of this Table may seem superfluous; but there are others who will prefer to have its few knots untied. The following translation is therefore added : -

1. And I met, in the first beginning of the journey, by the grace of spiritual succor, the great and inspired teacher Isidorus the presbyter, [and with him], him who is the guest-entertainer. of the great church of Alexandria, the admirable Dorotheos, the Thebaid ascetic.
2. I heard concerning the most beautiful maiden Potamiaina.
3. [I met] Didymos the blessed author, who is blind in his eyes. I heard concerning Alexandreia the faithful maiden.'
4. Concerning the covetous maiden [I heard] by name only. Makarios the most holy superintendent of the infirmary. Next.I met two thousand persons adorned with every virtue. In the mountain of Nitria I met the holy men.

Next, again, I met five thousand other holy men.
[I met] six hundred other anchorites in the utter desert.
Orsisios (elsewhere, and in the MS. text, spelled Arsisios) the great, and Putubastos, and Hagion, Polychronios (or, it may be, Hagion the aged), and Serapion, most honorable anchorites.
A monastery (canobrium) in the mountain of Nitria; [where there are] three palms of (i.e. by) the church.
The house of entertainment into which they receive visitors.
The benefit of those who finish the evening prayers.
Eight presbyters leading the van, and [leading] the throng of the church; and [leading] the entertainer and the strangers.
The great Arsisios, and others of the many holy fathers.
14. This one narrated concerning the great Pachomios.

Concerning the holy Amûn and his life-partner.
Concerning the holy Amûn and his disciple. They cross the river Lycos.
The author himself crosses this river with timidity.
The matters concerning Or the admirable anchorite.
The matters concerning the holy Pambo the great teacher, who is also the [teacher] of bishop Dioscoros and Ammonios; and of the brethren Eusebios and Euthymios.
The matters concerning Melania, the admirable Roman woman. Melanion relates how the blessed Pambo met his end.
The blessed Pambo, about to die, speaks certain things to the holy men Origenes and Ammonios.
Origenes the presbyter and Ammonios narrate marvellous things concerning the blessed Pambo.
How the blessed Pior gets beside the cell of the holy Pambo, and how again Pambo gets to the cell of Pior.
The matters concerning the holy Ammonios, and the three brethren.
Timotheos the holy bishop.
How Ammonios flees from being elected bishop.
28. How the blessed Ammonios used his body.
29. The blessed Evagrios gives his vote for the servant of God, Ammonios.
30. How Ammonios receives the eparch Rufinus.

3 r. The matters concerning Benjamin the admirable monk.
32. How the bishop Dioscorus took with him the blessed Evagrios.
33. The matters concerning Apollonius who withdrew from business.
34. The matters concerning the five thousand monks in the mountain.
35. The matters concerning the holy brethren Paisios and Esaias.
36. These examine how they shall be rid of vanities.
37. The matters concerning the holy Macarios the younger.
38. The matters concerning the most excellent Nathanael.
39. The matters respecting the great athlete of Christ, Macarios the Egyptian.
40. The matters concerning the holy Macarios the Alexandrian.
41. The matters concerning the great Pachomios the spirit-bearing man, and again concerning the death of Macarios.
42. The matters concerning the most gentle Marcus, and Paphnutios his disciple.
43. The matters concerning the most noble Moses, who [became a monk] from [i.e. after being one of] the robbers.
44. How the four robbers became monks.
45. How this one comes to the blessed Isidorus of Scetis.
46. The five hundred anchorites in the mountain of Pherme.
47. Matters concerning the noble Paul, who made three hundred prayers.
48. This one meets with the holy Macarios Politicos.
49. Concerning the virgin who makes seven hundred prayers.
50. Of Kronios narrating his own experiences.
51. The matters respecting the great Antonios.
52. The matters concerning Eulogios.
53. He who was injured in body.
54. Eulogios the long-suffering.
55. Antonios questions Theodoros.
56. The holy Antonios prays that the place of the righteous may be, revealed to him.
57. Where the blessed Hierax and Chronios and many others narrate the matters concerning Paul.
58. The matters concerning the blessed Paul the simple.
59. Where the author himself is tempted by the demon of fornication.
60. Where the author meets the great Pachomios.

The matters concerning the noble athlete Stephanos.
The matters concerning Valens, who fell away through arrogance.
The matters concerning Heron, who was destroyed by loftymindedness.
Concerning Ptolemaios, who, after many toils and noble sweat-, ings, fell away of his own motion.
65. Concerning the virgin who fell away.

Concerning the blessed Heliotes, virgin-lover (or, Elias the virgin
lover. The first rendering follows the probably faulty accenting of the MS.).
67. Concerning Dorotheos.

Concerning Piammûn the blessed virgin.
68 [sic]. Monasteries of about two hundred, and of three hundred men.
Monasteries of three hundred souls at the city Spanos (or, Panos).
A monastery of four hundred women.
73 [sic]. Concerning the holy virgin.
How revelation was made to the holy Pityrûm through herself.
Concerning the blessed John who is among the prophets.
Where this blessed man challenges Theodoros the interpreter.
This very one himself foretells the future to Poimen.
Dionisios [sic] the bishop was dipped into the river.
The matters respecting Poseidonios.
8o. The matters concerning Hieronymus.
How the blessed Poseidonios foretells the death of the blessed Paula.
How Hieronymus puts to flight Oxyperentios through his own sorcery.
And the most holy Peter, and Symeon.
85 [sic]. The matters concerning Serapion.
How the blessed Serapion met Domnenos who was in Rome.
Concerning the virgin who lived in peace in the desert.
88. The matters respecting Evagrios.

How he was brought forward from the royal [house] of Isangelos.
How the holy Gregorios made him a deacon.
How Gregorios left Evagrios with Nectarios.
Melanion questions the blessed Evagrios.
93. The matters concerning the holy Pior.

Concerning Moses Lybinnos.
95. The matters respecting Ephraim.

Concerning Paula of Rome.
97. The matters concerning Eustochia the daughter of Paula.

Concerning Veneria.
99. Concerning Theodora.
100. The matters concerning Hosia.

And Adolia.
103 [sic]. Concerning Basianila (or, Vasianila).
104. Concerning Asella in Rome.

Concerning Avita.
106. Concerning Julianus.
107. Concerning Photina, virgin.
108. Concerning Adolias of Tarsus.
110. The matters concerning Innocentius.
III. The matters concerning Melana of Spanos.
112. Concerning the holy ones who were set apart; Isidorus and Posimus (or, Possinus?) and Adelphios and Paphnutios and Pambo ; and Ammonios and certain others.
113. How the number was reckoned concerning Rufinus.
114. Concerning Chronios, priest, leader of two hundred monks.
115. Concerning James.
116. Concerning Paphnutios.
117. How there met him Evagrios and Albinus, servants of the Lord.
118. How the blessed Cheroimon dies.
119. Another monk digs a well and is buried in it. (This rendering is supplied aliunde, as the title in the Table is partly destroyed.)
120. How again another died of thirst.
121. He cites Job (or James ?) for testimony.
122. Concerning Elpidios, a Cappadocian.
123. Concerning Ainesios,
124. And Eustathios, the brethren. Concerning the immortal Sisinos. .
125. Concerning Gadana.
126. Concerning Elias the lover of strangers (or, entertainer of strangers).
127. Concerning Sabbax sọn of Nicos.
128. Abramios the Egyptian.
129. Concerning Melanias again.
130. Concerning Proïanos.
${ }^{1} 32$ [sic]. Concerning Silvia.
133. Concerning Jovinus, deacon and bishop.
134. Memorabilia of Origenes, Gregorios, Stephanos, and concerning Evius a royal person.
135. The matters concerning Olympias.
136. The matters concerning Candida.
137. Concerning the virgin Gelasia.
138. Concerning the monastery in Antinoös of a thousand two hundred men.
139. Concerning those in the caves.
140. Concerning Solomon.
141. The matters concerning Dorotheos.
142. The matters concerning Diocles, and the matters concerning Cappitos (or, perhaps, Cappito) of the robbers.
143. Concerning him who was made to err.
144. Concerning the holy Amma Talis.
145. Concerning the holy Taor.
146. Concerning the virgin.
147. Concerning Melanias the son of Paidios.
148. Concerning Pinianos.
149. Concerning Paulus Dalmatisius.
150. Albina and Melania.
151. Concerning Pammachias.
152. Concerning Macarios and Constantine.
153. Concerning her who received the bishop Athanasios.
154. Concerning Athanasios, bishop.
155. Concerning Origenes, bishop.

155 [sic]. Concerning the virgin Corinthia (or, from Corinth).
156. In behalf of the most prudent Pri she fought with wild beasts.
157. Concerning Virinus and Bosphoria, in Ancyra.
158. Concerning the virgins of Christ in Ancyra.
159. Concerning Magna and the rest.
160. Concerning the humble-minded (or Tapeinophron), the lifepartner of the bishop.
Concerning her who fell away and repented.
Concerning the presbyter's daughter that fell away.
Concerning the reader in Cesarea.
How this same author himself had his own affairs narrated by another person.
The author thanks God.
166. How he narrates also the blessed life of the Bragmanoi ; and he also makes mention concerning the bishop Moses.
Of the Adulinoi.
167 [sic]. And this same author himself attempted to enter the island.
168. And he learns concerning a certain scholastic.

Concerning Alexander.
170. The matters concerning Dandaneus, and concerning Calaros. And of Onesicratos.

Before proceeding to a comparison of this table of contents with the text of the Historia and with the text in Migne, Vol. 34, it is as well to remark that the two letters, which follow the Proëmium in

Migne, follow immediately the Table in the manuscript. But in the manuscript the first has no title, and the second has the title which in

 address to Lausus in the body of the letters, which gives the name "Historia Lausiaca" to the composition. It narrates a journey of Palladius to the churches and monasteries of Egypt, and was written about A.D. 320 .)

In comparing the contents with the text of the manuscript and with that of Migne, it is most convenient to take the text of the manuscript as the basis, and note the coincidences of the Table and of the chapters in Migne in the order thus obtained. Since those who desire to search the matter to the bottom will necessarily refer to Migne, I may be spared the necessity of a load of further learned references, except where they may be of special pertinence.

In the following summary, T stands for text of the manuscript, M for Migne, and C for Table of Contents in the manuscript. The numbers given with T and C are those of the manuscript itself; those in brackets are now wanting in the manuscript, and, owing to the slips in the scribe's enumeration, cannot be thoroughly or perfectly restored. The comparison begins after the two letters above mentioned.

Fol. 6. a.] $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{I}}=\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{I}}$ (except part of last sentence).
Fol. 6. b.] $\mathrm{T}_{2}=\mathrm{M}_{2}$ (with part of last sentence of r ).

$$
\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{I}}=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{s}, 2}=\mathrm{M} \mathrm{I}, \mathbf{2} .
$$

Fol. 7. b.] $\mathrm{T}_{3}=\mathrm{M}_{3}$ (with a little of 4 ) $=\mathrm{C}_{2}$.
Fol. 8.a.] $\mathrm{T}[4]=\mathrm{M}_{4}$ (in part) $=\mathrm{C}_{3}$.
$\mathrm{T}_{5}=\mathrm{M}_{5}=\mathrm{C}[4]$.
Fol. 8. b.] $\mathrm{T} 6=\mathrm{M} 6$ (first paragraph only) $=\mathrm{C}_{5}$.
Fol. 9. a.] $\mathrm{T}[7]=\mathrm{M} 6$ (remaining paragraphs) $=\mathrm{C}[6]$.
Fol. ıо. a.] T $8-18=\mathrm{M} 7=\mathrm{C}$ from [6] to and including the item numbered 14 ; but as there are 11 of them, the numbers cannot well be supplied. The several items of C, however, keep the same order and tally with the numbered paragraphs of T .
Fol. 1o. b.] $\mathrm{T}_{19-21}=\mathrm{M} 8=\mathrm{C}$ [15]-[17]. But $T$ omits 2 d and $3^{d}$ paragraphs of M, and T 20 differs considerably from corresponding passage of M .
Fol. ir.b.] $\mathrm{T}_{22}=\mathrm{Mg} 9$ (but omits all but the ist sentence and the last paragraph of M$)=\mathrm{C}[18]$.

$$
\mathrm{T}_{23}-27=\mathrm{M} 10=\mathrm{C}[19]-[23]
$$

Fol. 12. b.] $\mathrm{T}_{28}=\mathrm{MII}_{\mathrm{II}}=\mathrm{C}$ [24], [25].
T $29-34=\mathrm{M}_{12}=\mathrm{C}[27],[26], 28-30$ (in this order).
Fol. 13.b.] T ${ }_{35}, 3^{6}=\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{I}_{3}}=\mathrm{C}_{31},{ }_{32}$.
Fol. 14.a.] T $37,38=\mathrm{M}_{14}=\mathrm{C}_{33}, 34$.

$$
\mathrm{T}_{39,40}=\mathrm{M}_{15}, 16 \text { (but differently divided) }=\mathrm{C}
$$

35, 36
Fol. $\mathrm{I}_{5}$.a.] $\mathrm{T}_{4 \mathrm{I}}=\mathrm{M}_{17}=\mathrm{C}_{37}$.
Fol. r. b.] $\mathrm{T}_{42}=\mathrm{M} 18^{2}=\mathrm{C}_{3} 8$.
Fol. r6.b.] T $43-45=\mathrm{M} 19,20=\mathrm{C} 39,40,4 \mathrm{I}$. (Here there is much transposition and difference. T 43 goes with M to end of col. 1049, then T 44 goes on with col. ro5o, through ist paragraph, then continues with 2 d par. of col. 105 I to end of 2d par. of col. 1057, and then inserts 2 d par. of col. 1059, with which $\mathrm{T}_{44}$ ends. $\mathrm{T}_{45}$ then begins with 3 d par. of col. $\mathrm{ro}_{5} 7$, continues to the end of rst par. of col. ro59, resumes with last par. of col. ro59, continues to end of ist par. of col. ro60, then omits all until the last par. of cap. 20 in col. 1065.)
Fol. 22. 3.] $\mathrm{T}_{4} 6=\mathrm{M} 2 \mathrm{I}=\mathrm{C} 42$ (ist portion).
Fol. 23.a.] T 46 [sic, repeated] $=$ C 42 (2d portion), $=$ Acta Macariorum AEsyptii et Alexandrini, Migne, vol. 34, col. 197, 3 d par. to its end in col. 200.
Fol. 23. b.] T [47]-50 $=\mathrm{M} 22$ (with one or two sentences in 23) $=$ C 43-46. (In C 45 and 46 both apply to T 50 ; and T 49 appears to be covered by C 44 . Also T 50 has a paragraph with rubricated initial, marking the place where $C 46$ begins particularly to apply.)
Fol. 25.b.] $\mathrm{T}_{5} \mathrm{I}, 5_{2}=\mathrm{M} 23$ (except two sentences at beginning) $=\mathrm{C}_{47}, 48$.

$$
\mathrm{T}_{53}=\mathrm{M}_{24} \text { (but omits some matter at the end) }
$$

$$
\mathrm{T}_{54}, 55=\mathrm{M}_{25}(\text { and } 26 \text { in part })=\mathrm{C}_{50} \mathrm{D}_{51} .
$$ marks with an uncial the place where M 26 begins.)

Fol. 26. a.] T $56-59=\mathrm{M} 26$ (but includes more) $=\mathrm{C}_{52-55}$ (but

Fol. 28. a.] T $60=\mathrm{M}_{27}$ (omitting ist clause] $=\mathrm{C} 56$.

$$
\mathrm{T} 5 \mathrm{r}, 62=\mathrm{M} 28 \text { (in parts) }=\mathrm{C}_{57}, 58 .
$$

Fol. 30.a.] T 63, $64=\mathrm{M}_{39}=\mathrm{C}_{59}, 60$.
Fol. 31. a.] $\mathrm{T} 65=\mathrm{M} 30=\mathrm{C}[6 \mathrm{I}]$.
Fol. 3r. b.] $\mathrm{T}[66]=\mathrm{M} 3 \mathrm{I}=\mathrm{C}[62]$.
Fol. 32. b.] $\mathrm{T} 67^{\circ}=\mathrm{M}_{32}=\mathrm{C}[63]$.

Fol. 33. a.] T $68=\mathrm{M} 33=\mathrm{C}[64]$.
Fol. 33. b.] T $69=\mathrm{M}_{34}=\mathrm{C} 65$.
Fol. 34. a.] $\mathrm{T} 70=\mathrm{M}_{35}=\mathrm{C}$ [66].
Fol. 34. b.] $\mathrm{T}_{7 \mathrm{I}}=\mathrm{M}_{36}=\mathrm{C} 67$.
Fol. 35.a.] T $72=\mathrm{M} 37=\mathrm{C}$ [68].
Fol. 35.b.] $\mathrm{T} 73=\mathrm{M} 38$ (with $6 \frac{1}{2}$ lines of 39 ) $=\mathrm{C} 68^{\circ}[$ sic $]$, [69a.]. (In C, 68 should have been written 69 ; and [69] should have been written 70 , and then divided into two items, 70 and 71. I designate them here as [69. a.] and [69.b.].)

Fol. 36. b.] $\mathrm{T} 74,75=\mathrm{M} 39$ (to end of last par. but one, and omitting $6 \frac{1}{2}$ lines at beginning) $=\mathrm{C}[69: b],.[70]$.

$$
\mathrm{T}_{76}=\mathrm{M} 39 \text { (last paragraph), } 40=\mathrm{C}[7 \mathrm{I} \text { or } 72]
$$ (there is here a slip in numbering).

Fol. 37. a.] $\mathrm{T}[77]=\mathrm{M}_{4 \mathrm{I}}=\mathrm{C} 73$.
Fol. 37. b. $] \quad \mathrm{T}[78]=\mathrm{M}_{42}=\mathrm{C}$ [74].
Fol. 38.a.] $\mathrm{T} 79=\mathrm{M}_{43-46 \text { (with variations and transposi- }}$ tions) $=C[75],[76]$.

Here the coincidence of $T$ and $C$ ceases, except a trifling coincidence much farther on. Here also the numbering of the chapters in T ceases. The rest of this comparison therefore will omit C .

Fol. 43. b. $] \quad \mathrm{T}[8 \mathrm{o}]=\mathrm{M} 48$.
Fol. 44. a. $] \mathrm{T}[8 \mathrm{I}]=\mathrm{M} 49$.
$\mathrm{T}[82]=$ Migne, vol. 65, col. 445, 448, in Appendix ad Palladium.
Fol. 44. b. $] \mathrm{T}[83]=\mathrm{M}_{50}$.
Fol. 44. b.] $\mathrm{T}[84]=\mathrm{M}_{5} \mathrm{I}$.
Fol. 45.a.] $\mathrm{T}\left[8_{5}\right]=\mathrm{M}_{52}$.
Fol. 50.b.] $\mathrm{T}[86]=\mathrm{M} 53$.
Fol. 51.b.] T [87] $=\mathrm{M}_{54}$ (in part).
Fol. 52.a.] $\mathrm{T}[88]=$ Migne, vol. 65, col. 448 (with additions), in Appeņdix ad Palladium.
$\mathrm{T}[89]=$ Migne, vol. 65, col. 448 sq. (but a differ-: ent recension), in Appendix ad Palladium.
Fol. 54. a.] $\mathrm{T}[90]=\mathrm{M}_{54}$ (latter part).
Fol. 55.a.] $\mathrm{T}[9 \mathrm{I}]=\mathrm{M} 55,57,58$. (T [9I] has in its title that of M56, but in the text omits it. The titles of these chapters in M 56-58, beginning with $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ кai, show that they should all really be included in one.)
Fol. 55. b. ] $\mathrm{T}[92]=\mathrm{M} 59$.

Fol. 56. b.] T $[93]=\mathrm{M} 60$.
Fol. 57.a.] T [94] $=$ M 6r.
Fol. 57. b. ] T [95] $=$ M 62-65.
Fol. 59.b.] T $[96]=$ M 74 .
Fol. 6o. a.] $\mathrm{T}[97]=\mathrm{M} 75$.
$\mathrm{T}[98]=\mathrm{M}{ }_{7 \mathrm{r}}$.
Fol. 60. b.] $\mathrm{T}[99]=\mathrm{M} 76$.
$\mathrm{T}[\mathrm{Ioo}]=\mathrm{M} 66,67$.
Fol. 61. b.] $\quad \mathrm{T}[101]=\mathrm{M} 68$.
$\mathrm{T}[\mathrm{IO2}]=\mathrm{M} 69$.
Fol. 62.a.] $\mathrm{T}\left[\mathrm{riO}_{3}\right]=\mathrm{M} 7 \mathrm{o}$.
Fol. 62. b.] $\mathrm{T}[104]=$ Migne, vol. 65, col. 456, in Appendix ad Palladitum.

T [105] $=$ Migne, vol. 65, col. 456, in Appendix ad Palladium.

T [106] is merely a preface of $4 \frac{1}{2}$ lines, not found in Migne.
$\mathrm{T}[\mathrm{IO} 7]=\mathrm{M}$, portions of 19,20 , with some alterations and transpositions.
Fol. 64. a.] T $[\mathrm{ros}]=\mathrm{M} 8$, in a different recension.
Fol. 64. b.] $\mathrm{T}[109]=\mathrm{M}$, portions of 19,20 , in a different recension, and more like the Latin version.
$\mathrm{T}[\mathrm{iro}]=\mathrm{M}$, portions of 28 , in a different recension.
Fol. 65 .b.] T $[111]=\mathrm{M}$, portions of 72 .
T [112] for $3 \frac{1}{3}$ lines $=\mathrm{M} 73$, in part ; but the rest of $\mathrm{T}[112]=\mathrm{M}_{150}$, beginning with 2d paragraph of col. 1252, but with many variants. On fol. 66.a. of MS. begins the record of days in M, col. 1257, and in the MS. these days are numbered in the margin.

From the above it will be seen that the Historia in the manuscript includes about 76 of the 150 chapters of Migne, with a small amount of virtual repetition, which comes, apparently, from MSS. of a recension different from its own first portion or from that in Migne. Also, that it includes other matter, most of which in Migne is gathered in the Appendix ad Palladium. It also appears that the Table of Contents keeps pace with the text of the Historia in the manuscript as far as the 5 8th chapter of Migne's text, and then deserts both, at least as far as the 76 th chapter of Migne. The last one or two items in the Table, not noticed in this comparison, may be taken as referring to T [112], but the reference seems to be accidental as well as
inevitable, since it necessarily would apply only to the close of the Historia.

It remains to compare the rest of the Table of Contents with the chapters in Migne, and see what sort of a recension the Table represents. The reference of the items [76]-[78] in the Table are uncertain, but the following may be relied upon:-


From this tabular statement, coupled with the foregoing, it is plain that the Table of Contents represents an ordinary recension, yet probably different from that in Migne, as its order of arrangement is different. The titles in the Table not herein accounted for are Nos. 147, 149,155 (two titles bear this number, of which one is identified, and the other is an error), $156,157,158,160,164,166$ (first portion), $167,168,169,170$. It would be easy to fit paragraphs to them from the matter outside the printed recensions of the Historia, but such a procedure would produce no trustworthy results.

As a check against possible error in the use of this paper (to say nothing of slips it may contain itself), it may be well to state that in the comparison above given with Migne, the titles of the chapters in the text of the manuscript will usually give a sufficient clew to the proper place in Migne, but if that does not, the first few lines of the chapter will serve the purpose. However, the chapter T ['07] (with the three following ones) contains a number of matters so different from those in Mignc that reference will probably best be sought
in the Paris MS. mentioned in the "Monitum" (Migne, vol. 65, coll. 439-442), taken from Cotelerius, Eccl. Grac. Monum., iii. 17 I.

In that chapter, T [107], the story of the visit of Macarius to the Paradise of Jannes and Jambres is quite different from that which I have found elsewhere, and I therefore give here a translation. It is the second of the narratives which Palladius gives as those related to him by the holy fathers in Scetis, Nitria, and the Thebaid, concerning the life of Macarius, the disciple of Antonius. It reads as follows :-
"And on another occasion, he besought God, with fasting and prayer, that the Paradise might be shown to him, which Jannes and Jambres planted in the desert of Egypt, when they desired an antitype of the true Paradise. Then, as he was wandering about, and had continued without food for three weeks, and had nearly lost heart and life, an angel set him at the place. But there were demons guarding the entrance of the Paradise, and they would not suffer him to go in. And the place was exceedingly large, and extended to a vast distance. But when, having prayed, he made bold to enter, he found holy men within, who also themselves had entered in thither after the same manner, and had already spent a considerable time there. And praying, they saluted each other, rejoicing greatly in each other's company. And they washed his feet, and set before him the fruit of the Paradise ; and when he had partaken he gave thanks to the Lord, admiring the fruits, which were great, and of all manner of diverse sorts. Then they said one to another, that it were good for all monks to be here. And there were there, he says, three fountains in the midst of the Paradise, gushing up great out of the abyss, and giving drink to the Paradise ; and very great trees that bore abundant fruit, bringing forth every kind of summer fruit for those under the heavens. Macarius begged that he might go forth into the world, and bring back the monks with him ; but the holy men said to him, that it was not possible for him to do this, for the desert was vast, and set by order, and that demons caused the monks to wander throughout the whole desert, and destroyed them; so that many others, also, who had desired to enter, were destroyed. And Macarius could not endure to remain there, but said that he must bring them in thither, in order that they may be refreshed with its delights. Accordingly he set out for the world, bearing certain of the fruits for evidence. And he gathered a large number of palm branches, and carried them, putting them down for way-marks through the desert, in order that he might not miss the way by which he came. But becoming drowsy, and taking a nap in the desert, he found all the
palm branches laid at his head, they having been gathered up by the demons. To whom he said, as he rose up, 'Ye cannot hinder us from entering into the Paradise.' And as he came into the world he showed the fruits to the monks, and urged them to enter into the Paradise. But many of the fathers gathered together to him, and said, 'Has Paradise come to be the destruction of our souls? For we ourselves shall obtain refreshment now, receiving our good things upon the earth; but what reward shall we have hereafter, when we I come to God?' And they persuaded him not to enter therein."

The next division of the manuscript, containing the "Life of the Abbot Paul of the Thebaid," "Concerning Taxeotes," and "Concerning Philentolus the son of Olympias," I do not find in any printed volumes easily accessible to me ; but it is not unlikely that they may be found in the Eccl. Grac. Monumenta of Cotelerius. The Life of Paul the Theban (or, of the Thebaid) begins at the middle of fol. 66. $b$., and ends a little after the middle of fol. 70. $a$. The next
 line after the end of the preceding, and ends about one-third the way down the page, fol. 7i.b. The next chapter, $\Pi \epsilon \rho i \Phi_{\iota \lambda \epsilon \nu \tau o ́ \lambda o v ~ ' O \lambda v \mu-~}^{\text {- }}$ $\pi i v y$ begins in the next line, and ends near the bottom of the next page, fol. 72. a.

The next division of the manuscript, the Apophthegmata or Geron-
 $\xi \epsilon \omega s$, begins at the top of fol. 72.b., and ends about one-third down the page on fol. 94. $a$. The length of the several articles varies from several pages to less than a line. Usually, but not always, the articles commence with more or less elaborate rubricated initials. The longer articles are usually separated in paragraphs by themselves; the shorter do not break the continuity of the line, except by the introduction of the initial.

Since the collections of Gerontika vary so much, I have not thought it worth while to try to identify in print all the separate articles, nor am I able to say whether it is possible to do so. The

 oi $\pi a \tau \epsilon \rho \epsilon \varsigma$, or some other kindred introductory phrase. (Of course the $\epsilon i \pi \epsilon \nu \quad \gamma^{\prime} \rho \omega \nu$ gave the name Gerontika, and other equivalent
 collections.) I give below a list of such articles as I have identified -almost by accident - while searching for other matters. As the articles are not numbered, I identify them only by the place at which
they occur in the manuscript. Where there are several articles identified on the same page, the places given in Migne belong to such articles severally in the order of occurrence given. Besides the identifications here given, others, not here noticed, may be found (at least approximately), in the Pratum Spirituale of Joannes Moschus, Migne, vol. 87, part 3. I have not searched it to see whether more might not be identified. The number of articles in this collection of Gerontika is about one hundred. The following is the list of identifications ; but it is to be observed that this section, like the rest of the manuscript, has many various readings, and that it sometimes attributes a "saying" to a different father from the one who has credit for it in Migne. Twenty-one articles at the beginning I have not identified.

Fol. 8r.b.] Apophthegmata in Migne, vol. 65, col. 77, No. 7; col. ${ }_{11} 7$, No. 30 ; col. 141, Nos. 8 , 12.
Fol. 82. a.] Migne, vol. 65, col. 156, No. 4 ; col. 165, No. 7.
Fol. 82. b.] M., vol. 65, col. 165, Nos. 9, 11 ; col. 171, No. 5 ; col. 177, No. 8 ; col. 184, 185, No. 7 ; col. 189, No. 12.
Fol. 83.a.] M., vol. 65, col. 192, No. 21 ; col. 197 (no number) ; col. 201, No. 2; col. 204, No. 6 ; col. 229, No. 8 ; col. 232, No. г.
Fol. 83. b.] M., vol. 65, col. 232, No. 12 ; col. 281, No. 41 ; col. 284, No. 6 ; col. 289, Nos. 1, 2 ; col. 293, No. 11 ; col. 300, No. 1; col. 325 , No. 12.
Fol. 84. a.] M., vol. 65, col. 325, No. 13; col. 329, No. 27 ; col. 333, No. 49 ; col. 336, No. 57 ; col. 345 , No. 99 ; col. 353 , No. 119 ; col. 361, No. 168 ; col. 368, No. i ; col. 372, No. 13 .
Fol. 84. b.] M., vol. 65, col. 376 , No. 2 (as far as 4 th line in D) ; col. 380, No. 5 (but more extensive) ; col. 396, No. 13.
Fol. 85.a.] M., vol. 65, col. 405, No. 43; col. 412, No. 11 (MS. lacks the reply of Silvanus) ; col. 428 , No. 7 .

Then follow nearly thirty articles that I have not identified; and
Fol. go. a.] M., vol. 65, col. 440, No. 9 (attributed by MS. to Stephanus ; in Migne, to Or.) ; col. 313 (Xanthias).

Then about fifteen not identified ; and
Fol. 91. b.] M., vol. 34, col. 233 (in Macarii Apophthegmata).

Then about a dozen not identified. The last twelve, being the
 ¿ $\delta \in \dot{\prime} \tau \epsilon \rho о s$, o $\tau \rho i ́ \tau o s, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$) , are numbered in the margin, from 1$ to 12. I find matter very nearly resembling these in Migne, but none quite identical ; and the same remark will apply to many others of those noted above as not identified. At the end of the sayings of these twelve anchorites is written the sentence: $\tau \alpha \hat{\tau} \tau \alpha \boldsymbol{\tau} \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma o \phi \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha i \quad \pi \nu \epsilon v-$




In many of the Apophthegmata, and in the narratives preceding, the discursus or oratio sounds as if it were a continuation of the narrative of Palladius, or of some other traveller among the monks of Egypt. The same is also true of the following section, which begins (fol. 94. a.) with a narrative entitled $\Pi_{\varepsilon \rho i}^{\alpha} \beta \beta \bar{u}$ Макарíov Подєтєкой, and continues with another collection of about forty Apophthegmata. The whole may lee viewed as a collection of the sayings of Macarius Politicus, having others interspersed, but all of them conveniently bearing his name. The narratives are generally longer than those of the preceding collection. Many of them are temptingly like sundry articles in Migne, but still not identical. The substantial identifications are the following : -

Fol. 95. b.] M., vol. 34, col. 209, 3 to col. 216, end.
(Fol. roi.b.] M., vol. 65 , col. 400 , 401 , may be compared with profit, but it is not identical.)
Fol. 104. a.] (Macarius.) M., vol. 34, col. 208, 209, in De Sancto Macario Historia (e cod. Vindob. edita).

This second collection ends on fol. 106. $b$.
Next, on fol. ıo6. b., begins the treatise: Tov̂ év áaioıs matpácı

 in Migne, vol. 28 (Part 4 of the volumes of the writings of Athanasius), col. 835,836 . 'This treatise, with others in Migne there collected, were considered as of doubtful genuineness by the old editors; but whether they are spurious or not is a question for the special critics. The printed editions have the word $\sigma v^{\prime}, \tau \alpha \gamma \mu a$ instead of $\sigma \dot{v} \gamma-$ $\gamma \rho a \mu \mu \alpha$ in the title. When I first began to examine this manuscript I
 then the others in the other writings grouped in the same volume of

Migne as attributed to Athanasius ; but Prof. Orris of Princeton independently made the same discovery in Migne, and anticipated me in publishing that part of the matter. In this manuscript (I have not Migne at hand while writing, and so omit comparisons) the first paśsage from the Teaching is introduced as a quotation. Beginning with some remarks on the life of faith and works to be followed by the


 бov, кaì тòv $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma i ́ o v ~ \sigma o v ~ e ́ s ~ \sigma \epsilon a v \tau o ́ v . ~ o v ̉ ~ \phi o v \epsilon u ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota s, ~ o u ̉ ~ \mu o \imath \chi \epsilon u ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota s, ~ o u ̉ ~$

 setting and style of the quotation). Then, after a comment on these, the $\pi \rho o \phi a \hat{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho \tau \dot{\eta} \mu a \tau \alpha$, and a little on the temptations of being near
 $\kappa а т а ́ \lambda а \lambda о \nu, ~ \mu \grave{\eta}$ áкацротєрíбтабтои', with other good precepts; among them some against swearing, ending with $\mu \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon \epsilon \bar{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu \tau \tau \nu \grave{~ o ̈ ~} \rho \kappa о \nu, \kappa а \theta \hat{\omega} s$



 $\mu \epsilon i ̂[s i c] ~ \tau a v ̂ \tau a ́ ~ \sigma o c ~ \pi o t \epsilon i ̂ v . ~ F u r t h e r ~ o n, ~ a f t e r ~ d i r e c t i o n s ~ n o t ~ t o ~ t r a n s-~$ gress fasting regulations, to keep pentecost and the holy week of



 $\nu \eta \sigma \tau \epsilon v ́ \sigma \not \eta s, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$. ; warning against being puffed up by voluntary fasting, and against the errors of the Marcionites, Then follow other slight coincidences, but nothing that can be called certain allusions, unless
 גó $\begin{aligned} & \text { a } \\ & \text { кupiov. But it is not my purpose to discuss these matters. }\end{aligned}$ The $\sigma v \gamma^{\prime} \gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha$ ends on fol. ' 1 оу. a., nearly half-way down the page.

On fol. rog. $a$. commences the treatise entitled $\mathrm{T} \grave{a} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mathrm{II} \rho \circ \phi, \dot{\prime} \tau \omega \nu$
 two-thirds down the page. It is of a character and length intermediate between the two printed recensions, one of which is to be seen in Migne, vol. 43, col. 4 15-418, taken from two Coislin MSS. of the tenth century (published originally by Petavius, and from him reprinted in Migne) ; and the other, Migne, vol. 43, col. 393, sq., published first by Tischendorf, in his Anecdota Sacrat et Profana. This
recension in the Philadelphia manuscript is so different as to deserve publication entire. The section on Jeremiah helps to solve some of the knots of both recensions. The order of the prophets in this treatise is Isaiah, Jeremiah, Ezekiel, Daniel, Hosea, Joel, Amos, Obadiah, Jonah, Nahum, Habakkuk, Zephaniah, Haggai, Zechariah, Malachi, Zechariah the father of John the Baptist, Elijah the Tishbite, Elisha, Selom and Eli, and Nathan. Micah is omitted. Selom, in this manuscript, is certainly Samuel, though it seems to confuse him with Ahijah the Selonite, who prophesied to Jeroboam respecting the rending of Solomon's kingdom ; but in the other recensions I believe the name refers to the latter only. Each section was intended to be provided with its ornamental red initial ; but in some cases, as Jeremiah, Malachi, Elisha, the illuminator omitted it by oversight, and the first letter of the name was not written. This chapter of the manuscript contains no note in itself respecting its attributed authorship; but see Migne, at the places last cited. (The text follows this article.)

On fol. $113 . b$. begins the treatise on the seventy disciples. Its


 $\lambda \epsilon ́ \omega \nu$. Пєрі̀ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu € \beta \delta о \mu \eta{ }^{\prime} \kappa о \nu \tau a \mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \omega \hat{\imath}$. Its opening paragraph states that this praiseworthy man, just mentioned, also left $\sigma v \gamma \gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha \tau a$ in Latin ${ }^{\circ}$ and Greek and Hebrew, since he was skilled in both tongues, and he became also $\pi o \lambda v i ́ \sigma \tau \omega_{\rho} \delta i^{\prime}$ évouiav; and after the death of Diocletian and Licinius he took again his own ecclesiastical district (his persecution and semi-banishment are elsewhere related), and continued to guide the church in Tyre until the time of the tyrant Julian. Then, because of the secret annoyances of Julian's officials, he took his abode at Odyssopolis, where he was again annoyed and persecuted, and put to death by torment. He left his $\sigma v \gamma \gamma \rho \dot{\rho} \mu \mu a \tau \alpha$, among which is this treatise on the seventy. This is almost the same, though transposing some of the paragraphs, with that given in Migne, vol. 92, col. 1060-1065, where it is attributed to Procopius, bishop of Tyre, for reasons seen by reading this and the connected treatises. The compiler does not give his own name in the manuscript. It is, however, a better text than that of Migne, and sets right several of of the latter's blunders. One remarkable case is that where the manuscript has Bapváßus, as required by the connection, but Migne, most absurdly, Bajp; $\beta \beta$ âs. The manuscript naturally has its slips too. Urbanus, for instance, is written Purbanus. Such differences occur as this: in Migne, Sosipater is said to have been bishop of Iconium;
by the manuscript, bishop of Jerusalem. This treatise ends on fol. II5. $b$.

The next treatise, on the persecutions and deaths of the seventy, with other matters, and on the (Twelve) Apostles, begins on fol. 115.b., and ends with fol. ir8.b. Its opening paragraph, giving its source as the $\sigma v \gamma \gamma \rho \dot{\alpha} \mu \mu a \tau a$ of the same Dorotheus, has been already quoted. At the end of the first part occurs an account of its compilation in Latin by Dorotheus, of the author's revision and extracting this narrative, and of the vouching for the correctness of Dorotheus' narrative by John, bishop of Rome, who came to Constantinople. This author, who compiled the narrative from the literary remains of Dorotheus, was doubtless the so-called Procopius to whom these writings are elsewhere attributed. In the manuscript he does not give his own name. The treatise on the Twelve Apostles, in this section of the manuscript, is likewise, in a passage towards the end, said to be taken from the same $\sigma v \gamma \gamma \rho \alpha^{\mu} \mu a \tau a$ of Dorotheus. In Migne, this treatise occurs in vol. 92, col. 1065 (beginning with last paragraph) -1073. Thus these last two treatises reverse the order of Migne.

The last treatise in the manuscript, on Mary of Egypt, calls for no remark additional to that above given, except to say that, with the exception of various readings, it is the same with that found in Migne, wol. 87, col. 3697 , but breaks off in col. 3724 . The date of this composition, if its reputed authorship is the real one, is a.D. 629-638.
It is scarcely necessary to add that the manuscript is as well worth collating as the matter which it contains is worth printing ; and the rewards of collation would not be inappreciable to the lexicographer.
 $\kappa \in i \nu \tau \alpha \iota$.











































[^3]




 ö є̇ $\sigma \tau \iota \nu$ ó $\phi \iota o \mu a ́ \chi o v s, ~ o u ̂ s ~ \eta ้ \nu \epsilon \gamma \kappa \epsilon \nu ~ \epsilon ̇ \kappa ~ \tau o ̂ ̀ ~ " A \rho \gamma o v s ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ П \epsilon \lambda \omega-~$


 $\sigma \iota \nu$ Aìv́̃т
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 ${ }^{\prime \prime} \omega \varsigma \tau_{i j}{ }^{1} \sigma \eta{ }^{1} \mu \epsilon \rho о \nu$.
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 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi a \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu$.




 $\kappa a i \iota^{\prime} a \dot{u} \tau \hat{\omega} v \sigma \omega \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \tau a \iota \pi a \hat{a} \sigma a \hat{\eta} \gamma \hat{\eta}$.

[^6]


 aùtov̂.









 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi a \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu$ aù $\tau o \hat{v}$.
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 $\epsilon \in \nu \delta o ́ \xi \omega \varsigma$.










Zaұapias ó $\pi \rho o \phi$ ít $\eta$ s, viòs Bapaxiou• $\grave{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon \nu$ àmò $\gamma \hat{\eta} s$










 тô̂ $\pi \rho 0 \phi$ ท́тov.


 Baßu入ิ̂ขos.



[^9]





 $\tau \hat{\omega}$ au่ $\tau o \hat{u} \dot{a} \gamma \rho \hat{\varphi} \hat{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \nu \delta o ́ \xi \omega \varsigma$.









 $\lambda a \hat{\omega} \omega ̈ \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ тò $\pi \rho i \nu$.














 $a u ̛ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu . \quad \grave{\epsilon} \tau u ́ \phi \eta \grave{\epsilon} \nu \sum a \mu a \rho i ́ a$.








 $\dot{v} \psi i ́ \sigma \tau o v . ~ \tau a v ̂ \tau a ~ \pi \rho o \epsilon i \pi \epsilon \nu ~ ' Н \lambda i ́ ~ \pi \rho o ̀ ~ \tau o ̂ ̂ ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ v i o u ̀ s ~ i \epsilon \rho a \tau \epsilon \hat{v ̃ \sigma a ı . ~}$
 є̇ $\tau \dot{\prime} \phi \eta \sigma v \nu \epsilon \gamma \gamma \nu ̀ s ~ \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \delta \rho v o ̀ s ~ \Sigma \eta \lambda \omega \mu$.








 $\tau \hat{\omega}^{4} \pi \nu \epsilon \dot{\mu} \mu a \tau \iota$ ó ö $\sigma \iota o s, \dot{v} \pi \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \rho \epsilon \Psi \epsilon \nu \pi \epsilon \nu \theta \hat{\omega} \nu \pi a ́ \sigma a s ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ \rho a s$.


 $\pi \rho o \sigma \epsilon ́ \sigma \chi \epsilon \nu$ Kúpıos $\pi \iota \sigma \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \sigma \tau \epsilon \nu a \gamma \mu \grave{\nu} \nu$ aùtov̂ - кai єimєע $\pi \rho o ̀ s$





Note. - I have not noted at the foot all the changes made in text or accent. In the MS. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \epsilon \delta \hat{\eta}$ occurs continually for $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \iota \delta \dot{\eta}$; and $\omega$
 modes of spelling the Greek for "Nebuchadnezzar" I have retained as they occur ; $\delta \nu \pi \lambda o \hat{v}$ I have twice corrected to $\delta \iota \pi \lambda o v v$; and once
 id $\delta a v$, I have thought best to retain.

[^10]
[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ This line reads: $\kappa \tau \hat{\eta} \mu \alpha \tau \hat{\eta} s \sigma \epsilon \beta a \sigma \tau \hat{\eta} s \mu o \nu \hat{\eta} s .$. apiov, the first three letters of the last word leeing either $\Delta \epsilon \tau, \Delta \eta \tau, \Delta a \tau$, or with a $\Theta$ in place of the $\Delta$, and a $\kappa$ in place of the $\tau$.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ MS. rd. A like mistake occurs in several other places in the MS.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ Other passages in the MS. show that the correction is $\kappa \epsilon \in \lambda \eta$, the Latin cella.

[^3]:     5 MS. крокобท́入оия.

    6 Is this a slip for $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi i \delta \omega \nu$ ?

[^4]:    1 MS. $\dot{\gamma} \in \rho \omega \dot{\nu} \tau \omega \nu . \quad 2 \mathrm{MS} .1 \delta \omega \lambda a$.
    ${ }^{5}$ MS. $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\eta} \nu$.

    8 MS. ミıv̀à. ${ }^{4} \mathrm{MS} . \sigma v \nu a ̂$.
    6 MS. $\epsilon \nu u \kappa \tau i$.

[^5]:    
    5 MS. sic ; probably $\mu \iota \alpha \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho \omega \sigma \iota \nu$, from a supposed $\mu \iota \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \rho \delta \omega=\mu \iota a \ell \nu \omega$.
    ${ }^{6} \mathrm{MS} . \pi a \rho \epsilon \sigma \kappa \epsilon \in \beta \sigma \epsilon \nu$.

[^6]:    1 MS. oùкоเ $\theta$ с́ $\lambda \eta \sigma є \nu$.
    2 MS. oũ $2 \omega$.
    8 MS. sic ; a slip for èà $\nu$.
    4 MS. $\mu$ éper.

[^7]:    ${ }^{1}$ MS. A $\dot{\mu} \mu \mu \omega \bar{s}$; the word being written completely, and then a red initial added in the margin, and the second $\mu$ written above as a correction, but by the original scribe.
    ${ }^{2}$ This word may be 'A $\mu \epsilon \sigma \tau$ ias, but it seems to stand for Uzziah.
    ${ }^{8}$ MS. ${ }^{\text {u. }}$

[^8]:    1 MS．$\lambda \dot{\prime} \mu \nu \eta$ ．
    2 MS．катєú $\quad \sigma \alpha \nu$.
    8 MS．$\epsilon \psi \iota \sigma \epsilon \nu_{0}$
    4 MS．גфєрєӨŋ́бо⿱亠乂аl．

[^9]:    ${ }^{1}$ MS. oüт $\omega$ s.
    2 MS. $\delta v \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} s$.

[^10]:    
    

