

Making Biblical Scholarship Accessible

This document was supplied for free educational purposes. Unless it is in the public domain, it may not be sold for profit or hosted on a webserver without the permission of the copyright holder.

If you find it of help to you and would like to support the ministry of Theology on the Web, please consider using the links below:



A table of contents for *Journal of Biblical Literature* can be found here:

https://biblicalstudies.org.uk/articles\_jbl-01.php

## NOTES.

The caption of the "Index" is worth quoting as the first literary record on the subject: "Index eorum quae in Novo Testamento docentur. Primus numerus, caput: alter, versum significat."

## Aiúvios, II. Cor. iv. 17 and v. I.

## REV. W. H. COBB.

THESE three consecutive verses refute the theory that alwros is not a time-word, as distinctly as though they were written with that object in view. In iv. 17 we find the following contrasts : ---

θλίψεως	δόξης
ἐλαφρόν	βάρος
παραυτίκα	αιώνιον.

The A. V. renders  $\pi a \rho a \nu \tau i \kappa a$  "but for a moment." Similarly the R. V. "for the moment." The contrast holds  $a i \omega \nu i \nu \nu$  strictly to the sense "everlasting." The next verse gives two more oppositions : —

τὰ βλεπόμενα	τὰ μὴ βλεπόμενα
πρόσκαιρα	αἰώνια.

Both versions render "temporal" and "eternal." Alford brings out the contrast still more sharply: "not '*temporal*,' 'belonging to time,' but '*fleeting*,' only for a time.'"

Following the etymology of  $\pi \rho \delta \sigma \kappa a \iota \rho o s$ , I should translate thus: "the things that are seen are *for a season*, but the things that are not seen are *for ever*."

The apostle still pursues his contrasts in the verse that follows, v. 1: ----

οἰκία τοῦ σκήνους	οικίαν ἀχειροποίητον
ἐπίγειος	έν τοῖς οὐρανοῖς
καταλυ $ heta \hat{\eta}$	αἰώνιον.

Both versions render "dissolved" and "eternal." Alówiov therefore  $= d\kappa a \tau a \lambda v \tau o v$ , indestructible, *i.e.* never-ending. There is no important variation in the Greek authorities for the above verses. Either of them singly witnesses for the temporal sense of alówios; as combined in immediate succession, the testimony has great force. No doubt it is possible to evade this force; and, indeed, if the Bible had said, in so many words, "eternal punishment is endless," the obvious comment would be: "that is, it has nothing to do with *end*, it pertains to a sphere where the terms 'beginning' and 'end' have no meaning."