

Making Biblical Scholarship Accessible

This document was supplied for free educational purposes. Unless it is in the public domain, it may not be sold for profit or hosted on a webserver without the permission of the copyright holder.

If you find it of help to you and would like to support the ministry of Theology on the Web, please consider using the links below:



A table of contents for Irish Biblical Studies can be found here:

https://biblicalstudies.org.uk/articles ibs-01.php

JOEL MARCUS

INTRODUCTION

In a previous study,¹ I traced the reflections in the Epistle of James of what rabbinic traditions were to call <u>yeser hara</u> or the "Evil Inclination." The present study advances the hypothesis that Paul also makes use of the <u>yeser concept</u>. This concept has its roots in Gen 6:5; 8:21 and describes the disposition by which human beings are "impelled... to consciously unlawful acts."² By Paul's time, <u>yeser</u> had become a technical term, and that Paul knew of it is most clearly demonstrated by Gal 5:16.³ In what follows I will describe the way in which Paul, in his undisputed letters, both employs Jewish traditions concerning the yeser and, in some cases, stands them on their head.⁴

1 THESSALONIANS

Writing to a church composed of former Gentiles who are undergoing persecution from their Gentile compatriots, Paul reminds them in 1 Thess 4:5 of the will of God. This is that they keep away from <u>porneia</u>, "unchastity," each one keeping his own "vessel" (= wife? body?) in holiness and honour, "not in the passion of desire (<u>en pathei</u> <u>epithymia</u>) like the Gentiles who do not know God." Although <u>epithymia</u> is not always a translation for <u>yēser</u> in Paul, It is so in the present case. The linkage of the <u>yēser</u> with illicit sexual activity, a linkage which₈ Paul utilizes here, goes all the way back to Genesis 6, and forms a trajectory which continues in the Qumran literature and in the Testaments of the Twelve Patriarchs, as well as in rabbinic traditions.

Paul asserts that it is characteristic of Gentiles that they act "in the passion of desire" (cf.Eph.4:17-18). Here he is following the lead of Jewish traditions such as IQS 5:5, which speaks of "circumcising the foreskin of the <u>yeser</u>" in order to lay a foundation of truth for Israel. This phrase seems to imply that the <u>yeser</u> in its natural state is uncircumcised, a suspicion borne out by Sukkah 52a, where one of its names is "uncircumcised." GALATI ANS

The association of the yeser with Gentiles leads naturally into a consideration of Galatians. If the Gentile world is characterized by abandonment to the yeser, a logical

inference might be that the person who desires to follow God rather than the Evil Inclination must separate himself from Gentiles. That inference was apparently drawn by Paul's Galatian opponents, a group of Jewish-Christian missionaries whom J. Louis Martyn designates "the Teachers." ¹² A stance similar to that of the Teachers is reflected in CD 19:20-23:

Each man did what was good in his eyes, and each one chose the stubbornness of his heart, and they kept not themselves from the people and its sin but lived in license deliberately, walking in the ways of the wicked; of whom God said, "Their wine is the poison of serpents and the head of asps is cruel" (Deut 32.33) The serpents are the kings of the peoples and their wine is their ways.¹³

At Qumran, the "stubbornness of his heart" (<u>sryrwt lbw</u>)¹⁴ is synonymous with "the thought of his <u>yeser</u>," as IQS 5:4-5 shows; hence it is the yeser which causes a person to associate with Gentiles.

The Teachers' <u>yeser</u> doctrine is probably behind Paul's polemic in Gal 5:16: "Walk in the Spirit, and you will not fulfill the desire of the flesh (<u>epithymian sarkos</u>)." <u>Epithymia sarkos</u> is a translation of the Hebrew term found in 10H 10:23, <u>ysr bsr</u>. Like Paul, the Jewish-Christian Teachers may have asserted that "walking in the Spirit" was potent against the <u>yeser</u>. They, however, would have connected "walking in the Spirit" and the consequent defeat of the <u>yeser</u> with conversion to the law of Moses. The Torah, for them would be the antidote to the <u>yeser</u>, as already in Sirach 21:11 and commonly in rabbinic traditions. Paul, however, discerns an antinomy between being"led by the spirit" and being "under the Law" (Gal 5:18); for him the Spirit alone sundered from the Torah, is the antidote to the <u>yeser</u>.

In Gal 5:17, Paul goes on to describe the battle between the <u>yeser</u> and the Spirit, ²¹ and in 5:19-21 he lists some of the evil works to which the <u>yeser</u> impells human beings.²² Then, in 5:24, he unveils his solution to the "<u>yeser</u>

problem"; those who are "of Christ" have crucified the flesh with its passions and desires. ²³ This verse alludes to the destruction of the <u>yeser</u>, an event contemplated in rabbinic traditions such as that of Sukka 52b²⁴ and probably already in 1QH 6:32.²⁵ In contrast to his Jewish background, however, Paul believes that the destruction of the <u>yeser</u> is accomplished, not by study and observance of the Law, but by participation in the crucified Messiah.

Gal 5:16ff. is the most explicit yeser passage in the letter, but the yeser lurks in the background in other passages, such as 4:21-31, which is probably based on a midrash by the Teachers concerning Sarah and Hagar, Isaac and Ishmael.²⁷ For the Teachers, the statement in 4:23,29 that Ishmael was born kata sarka, "according to the flesh," would have implied that he, the ancestor of the Gentiles, was conceived at the instigation of the veser. Having his origin in the yeser, Ishmael, and his descendants after him, would live out their lives in slavery to the $y\overline{e}ser$.² On the other hand, Isaac, the ancestor of the Jews, was born, not according to the yeser, but according to the Spirit; and his descendants live out their lives in that glorious freedom from the Evil Inclination which is one of the greatest gifts of God's Law.

Paul, as might be expected, turns the Teachers midrash on its head. For him the Sinai covenant leads, not to freedom from the <u>yeser</u>, but to enslavement to it; Paul establishes this point by demonstrating that Mount Sinai is in Hagar-Ishmael territory.

Paul is probably also arguing against the Teachers in Gal 3:3, where the subject is perfection. CD 2:15-16 suggests that, at Qumran, "walking in perfection" and "not being drawn by the <u>yeser</u>" are synonymous expressions.³¹ Thus Gal 3:3 is a warning to the Galatians that, although they had made a good start in their assault on the <u>yeser</u>, by means of the only weapon which is effective against it, the Spirit, they are now in danger of relying on the very realm from which it arises, the flesh, in their attempt to finish it off. The Teachers would have agreed that relying on the flesh in order to defeat the

<u>y</u>eser is a no-win strategy; but they would never have concurred with Paul in placing "works of the Law" in the realm of the flesh (3:2-3).

Finally, a concern with the <u>yeser</u> can be seen in Gal 5:13, which might be paraphrased, "Don't let the inclination of the flesh use your freedom to create to itself," and in 6:7-8, which associates the flesh with perishability. The latter is a characteristic of the <u>yeser</u>, and 6:7-8a might therefore be rendered, "Do not be deceived by the <u>yeser</u>; for the person who follows its pull will reap the destruction which is its mark."

1 and 2 Corinthians

Yeser speculation provides the background for many of Paul's statements in the Corinthian correspondence. The first canonical letter in that correspondence, it should be recalled, is addressed to a church, some of whose members see themselves as already "risen in Christ," made participants in heavenly gnosis, and thus released from earthly constraints.⁵⁵ To counter this gnostic libertinism, Paul draws on Jewish paraenetic traditions which at times mention the yeser.

One example of a reference to the <u>veser</u> is 1 Cor 7:37, where the person whose passions do not overwhelm him is referred to as one who has control over <u>tou</u> idiou thelematos, "his own will." At Qumran, one's "own will" is synonymous with "one's yeser," ³⁴ and <u>rason</u>, the Hebrew word used there for "will," is often translated as thelema in the LXX.³⁵Furthermore, there is probably a reference to the yeser in John 1:13,₃₆ <u>ek thelematos sarkos</u> "from the will of the flesh."³⁶ The combined force of these arguments is to suggest that the person of 1 Cor 7:37 is one who has his <u>yeser</u>.³⁷ (especially as it affects his sexuality) under control.³⁷

By its opposition to God's will, the <u>yeser</u> makes itself into a stronghold of opposition to the knowledge of God. Two passages from the Dead Sea Scrolls connect "stronghold" imagery with the <u>yeser</u>. In 1QH7:16-17, the hymnist thanks God that, although "you know the (evil) inclination of your servant," yet "there do not belong to me the strongholds of flesh (<u>mhsy bsr</u>)," and in 1QH 10:23 he thanks God that "you have not made the inclination of flesh (<u>ysr bsr</u>) to be my stronghold (mhsy

11

<u>bsr</u>)," and in 1QH 10:23 he thanks God that "you have not made the inclination of flesh(<u>ysr</u> <u>bsr</u>)to be my stronghold (<u>m wz</u>)."³⁰ "Stronghold" imagery, in conjunction with "flesh" words, recurs in 2 Cor 10:2-5. In this passage Paul is probably countering the arguments of the Corinthian "super-apostles." These "super-apostles," according to D. Georgi, were charismatic Jewish-Christian missionaries who claimed to unlock the mysteries of the scriptures by means of allegorical interpretation, and who turned the Corinthians against Paul by pointing to his inability to compete with them in exegesis.³⁹ Paul retorts that the "super-apostles'" arguments are actually strongholds of rebellion against God.

These "strongholds" (ochyromaton, v 4), which Paul destroys by using God's non-fleshly weapons, are identified as logismous. "reasonings," and "every high thing which exalts itself against the knowledge of God." Here it should be recalled that, from the beginning, the yeser is connected with the life of the mind. 2 Cor 10:2-5 thus implies that a person tries to shape for himself a secure world by means of his thought (that is, by the veser), but only ends up battling against God by that which he shapes. God's counter attack, however, sweeps away the resistant inclination. and takes captive (aichmalotizontes) every thought into the obedience of Christ. It should be noted that the same verb, aichmalotizein, 4 is used in Rom 7:23 do describe the yeser's action. 4 A person is thus confronted with one of two captivities: captivity to the yeser or captivity to Christ.

Other possible references to the <u>yeser</u> in the Corinthian correspondence can be dealt with more briefly. The "old leaven," the "leaven of evil and wickedness," in which the Corinthians should not feast (1 Cor 5:8), may well be the yeser, which in rabbinic traditions is termed "leaven."⁴² The disobedience of the wilderness generation, to which Paul alludes in 1 Cor₄10:5-13, is related in Jewish literature to the <u>yeser</u>. Furthermore, the words <u>epithymētas</u> and <u>epethymēsan</u> in 1 Cor 10:16 are part of a word-group which we have demonstrated to be associated with the <u>yeser</u>; and the first two sins enumerated in vv 7-10, idolatry and unchastity, are those most commonly linked with the Evil Inclination.⁴⁴ Finally, the "spirit of the world" in 1 Cor 2:12 may be a paraphrase for the <u>yeser</u>. ROMANS

Writing to a church situated at the heart of the Empire and made up of both Jewish Christians and Gentile Christians, Paul angles for support for his future missionary plans and musters arguments he expects to use on his anticipated trip to the mother church in Jerusalem. The latter church, as well as the Jewish component in the Roman church, must have been particularly in his mind as he penned Romans 1:18ff., which utilizes Jewish polemic against the depravity of the pagan world.

This great apocalypse of God's wrath seems to be loosely based on Wis. 13-15; especially important is Wis 14:12, "For the idea of making idols was the beginning of fornication, and the invention of them was the corruption of life." Paul, however, introduces as a linkage point between idolatry and fornication (and other forms of sin) the "desires of their hearts"(1:24), "dishonorable passions" (1:26), "a worthless mind" (1:28) - in a word, the <u>veser</u>. The dynamic of vv 21-30 may be summed up: human beings choose their own inclination rather than God's will; then God gives them up to that which they have chosen. This same story is told three times (vv21-24, 25-27, 28-30).

The first narrative, vv 21-24, reveals a complex interrelation between human autonomy, epistemology, and sexuality. The human refusal to honor God leads to a clouding of the perception ("their hearts were darkened") and to idolatry, both of which have strong links with Jewish yeser traditions. As noted earlier, "the yeser is associated with thought from Gen 6:5 on, and "the heart" can be a synonym for it. Furthermore, many Jewish traditions associate the yeser with idolatry. One such passage of particular importance is 1QH 4:13-15: The source of the hypocrites' schemes can be identified as Belial; but it can also be traced to their doubleheartedness (= being ruled by both the Good and Evil Inclinations), to the "root of bitter fruits" (= the <u>yeser</u>), to their "stubbornness of heart" (= the <u>yeser</u> again), and to their idolatry, the fact that they set before their faces that which causes sin(= the idol of the yeser).

Since the <u>yeser</u> is an idol, however, the concrete acts of idolatry to which Paul refers in Rom 1:21-24 are derivative of the primary idolatry of putting the yeser at the centre of one's being. As a result of a person's choosing this idol, illusion invades his life ⁵³ and thence impels him into concrete actions of self-destruction, particularly of a sexual nature. The fantasy of the <u>yeser</u> does not remain merely a fantasy but becomes an enslaving actuality. ⁴ God gives people up <u>en tais</u> <u>epithymiais ton kardion auton</u>, "in the desires of their hearts" (v 24); here we encounter <u>epithymia</u> again.

Basically the same story is repeated in Rom 1:25-27 and 1:28-30. People refuse to worship God, or to have knowledge of him (vv 25,28a); therefore God gives them up eis pathe atimias, "to dishonorable passions" (v 26) or eis adokimon noun, "to a worthless mind" (v 28), i.e. to the yeser. The actions which result include not only sexual sins but the whole gamut of human evil (vv 29-31); the yeser twists creation out of shape, turning that which is natural (physiken) into that which is contrary to nature (para physin, v 26).

So far, as J. Louis Martyn notes,⁵⁸ Paul has been preaching a sermon which could be expected to warm the hearts of some of the Jewish Christians in Rome (= the "weak" of chaps. 14-15?). They have heard a scathing denunciation of the typical sins to which the <u>yeser</u> impels the Gentile world. Rom 2:1 continues, Therefore you are without excuse, 0 man, whoever...." The expected conclusion to the sentence would be, "whoever does such things." Paul, however, turns the tables and instead denounces "whoever judges." the judge of 2:1ff. can be identified with the Jewish Christian in 2:17 who relies upon the Law, then Paul's message is clear: the Jewish Christian who judges his Gentile brother on the basis of the Torah is as much under the domination of the Evil Inclination as the person whom he

14

condemns. For the judge's <u>sklerotes</u>, "hardness," and <u>ametanoetos kardia</u>, "impenitent heart" (2:5), which are shown in his overlooking of God's kindness, are nothing other than the <u>yeser</u>, by which he is storing up wrath for himself on Judgement Day.

The relationship between the <u>yeser</u> and the judge's standard of judgement, the Torah, is the subject of that most convoluted and controversial chapter, Rom 7. Here, as previously in Galatians, Paul decisively parts company with the Jewish and Jewish Christian view of the Torah as the antidote to the <u>yeser</u>. Rather, as 7:5 testifies, "the passions of sins (= the <u>yeser</u>), which are <u>through the Law</u>, worked in our members to produce death." Instead of leading to life by defeating the <u>yeser</u>, the Law leads to death by giving rise to and stirring up <u>yeser</u>.

How this happens is revealed in Rom 7:7-25. The ultimate enemy of mankind is neither the Law nor even the <u>yeser</u>, but <u>hamartia</u>, "sin," which is personified and viewed as a cosmic power. Sin by itself, however, has no base of operations (<u>aphorme</u>) from which to launch an attack against human beings; that base, according to Paul is provided by <u>he entole</u>, "the commandment" (7:8). The commandment of the Law, which by intention is directed against the <u>yeser</u>, instead finds itself exploited by sin to produce and aggravate the <u>yeser</u>. Thus sin finds entry into the human being in the form of the commandment-generated inclination; the <u>yeser</u> is <u>he</u> <u>oikousa en</u> <u>emoi hamartia</u>, "the sin which dwells in me" (7:17,20), which causes a person to do that which he hates (7:19-20). It is also "the law of sin which dwells in my members"

By referring to the <u>yeser</u> as a <u>nomos</u> <u>tes</u> <u>hamartias</u>, a "law of sin," and by opposing this "law of sin" to the "law of God" and the "law of my mind," Paul is again reacting to the Jewish notion of the Torah as the antidote to the <u>yeser</u>. Yes, Paul admits, the Torah is "holy, just and good" in God's intention (7:12), and thus it is God's Law; furthermore, its goodness can still be grasped by the mind. When the Torah encounters the flesh, however, it is "weakened" (cf.8:3) and becomes sin's Law (7:23), and far from overcoming the <u>yeser</u>, it unwittingly participates in the <u>yeser's</u> creation. This

analysis continues in Rom 8. Since the Torah, weakened by the flesh, is unable to cope with the <u>yeser</u> problem, God must send his Son in the likeness of the Evil Inclination, so that in the Son's death the <u>yeser</u> may be destroyed (8:3). Yet Paul does not entirely distance himself from the Jewish understanding of the Torah as the antidote to the <u>yeser</u>, for in 8:2 he speaks of "the law of the life-giving Spirit in Christ Jesus" which sets one free from "the law of sin and death" (= the <u>yeser</u>). Choosing his words very carefully, he can thus retain the idea of the Law as potent against the <u>yeser</u>, because he believes that with the coming of Christ an antinomy has arisen in the Torah itself.

The <u>yeser</u> puts in several other appearances in Romans. In Rom 6:12, the end result (and purpose?) of sin's dwelling in human bodies is that people obey <u>tais</u> <u>epithymiais</u>, "the desires," of the body; that is, that they obey the <u>yeser</u>. The yeser is explicitly mentioned in 8:5-7, where Paul speaks of <u>to phronema tes</u> sarkos, "the mind of the flesh," which is hostile to God and does not submit to his Law, indeed cannot." It may also be in view in 8:12-13, where the Roman Christians are exhorted to put to death the deeds of the body(= the deeds to which the yeser impels them?) by the Spirit.

Finally, Rom 13:14 should be considered: "But put on (endysasthe)the Lord Jesus Christ, and make no provision for the flesh, to gratify its desires (kai tes sarkos pronoian me poieisthe eis epithymias). The tes sarkos pronoia ... eis epithymias is equivalent to the yeser, whose association with sarx, epithymia, and thought has often been noted in this study; the answer to its prodding is "putting on Christ". Hermas, Mandate 12.2.4, which probably reflects Jewish paraenetic traditions, contains a similar exhortation with a striking difference: in order to resist evil desires, endysai ten epithymian tes dikaiosynes, "put on the righteous desire," that is, the Good Inclination.

Paul, however, <u>never</u> mentions a good <u>yeser</u>, even though that concept apparently existed in his time; and Man.12:2:4 suggests that in Rom 13:14 he may have deliberately altered a Jewish tradition, which spoke of putting on the good <u>yeser</u> in order to defeat the evil yeser. In Paul's view, however, the solution to the

problem of evil cannot be an intrinsic, internalized "Good Inclination," but only something which comes to the human being from outside - namely, the Spirit. CONCLUSION - THE EVIL INCLINATION AND THE GOD OF THIS

For Paul. the solution to the problem WORLD. of evil cannot be a Good Inclination, because evil itself is not to such an extent internalized that the concept of the yeser can grasp it in its profundity. For this reason, Paul₆speaks not only about the yeser but also about Satan.⁰ Furthermore, the yeser about which Paul writes is the yeser basar, the "inclination of the flesh," as Gal 5:16 establishes; and a glance at a concordance confirms that Paul speaks explicitly of the "flesh" much more frequently than he does of the vēser. This frequency of "flesh" language is evidence for the pervasiveness of the apocalyptic framework in Paul's thinking, since "flesh"means the sphere over which the power of Satan holds sway." For Paul, "flesh" is a more fundamental category than yeser is. It is a personified entity with a mind of its own (Rom 8:6); its thought is the yeser (see Rom 13:14), and a person who lives under its domination is a person possessed. In Paul's thinking, the concept of yeser has undergone an apocalyptic transformation. Somewhere along the line, he has made a discovery similar to that of the Qumran hymnist:

My heart was terrified because of the evil thought, for it is Belial (that is seen) when the inclination of their being is revealed.

When the reality of the apocalyptic warfare becomes plain, it is revealed that Satan stands behind and exploits the Evil Inclination.

How has Paul reached the conclusion that the problem is bigger than the <u>yeser</u>, that the true adversary is a personified, cosmic power of evil? Would Paul as a Pharisee have already held this belief? While we do not wish to deny that Paul, before his conversion to faith in Jesus, knew of Satan's existence, it seems probably that, as a Pharisee, he would have felt humanity's main struggle to be against the Evil Inclination. The extent of Satan's responsibility for evil is a secret which became manifest to Paul only with the revelation of the meaning of the Cross and the Christian community.⁷³ The Cospel reveals who the enemy is, along with God's triumph over him; which is another way of say, ing that, for Paul, Jesus' death and resurrection are the apocalyptic event.

Notes

- 1. The Evil Inclination in the Epistle of James, CBQ 44, 1982
- 2. G.F. Moore, Judaism (1927; rpt. New York, 1971), I,480
- 3. See below, p.2...On <u>veser</u> as a fixed concept by the first century AD see F.C. Porter("The Yeser Hara", <u>Biblical and Semitic Studies</u>, NYork 1901, p109) who emphasizes that already in the CT the word had "gained a certain independence as meaning the nature or disposition of man". This process had been completed by the time the Damescus Document was written, as can be seen from the way in which CD 2.16 reverses the phrase of Ger. 6.5 and 1 Chron 28.9 ie "the inclination of the thoughts" to read "the thoughts of the Inclination".
- 4. The undisputed letters are Romans, 1,2 Corinthians, Galatians, Philippians, 1 Thess. and Fhilemon. The letters containing the term yeser will be given in the chronological order suggested by R. Jewett, <u>Dating Paul's Life</u>, London 1979 pp 162-165 Paul translates the Hebrew term yeser like the LXX and The Testaments of the Twelve Patriarchs (Cf Porter op.cit. and R.H. Charles, The Testament of the Twelve Patriarchs, London 1908, p162)
- 5. On the sitz im leben of 1 Thess, see G. Bornkamm, Paul, NYork 1969, pp62-65
- 6. Contrast the "gcod" usage in 1 Thess 2.17; Phil 1.23
- 7. On <u>epithumia</u> as a translation for <u>yeser</u>, see my comments on James 1.14, op.cit. Further support for this identification comes from the second century Shepherd of Hermas which incorporates much Jewish paraenetic material and which in Mandate 12(1.1,2,3; 2.4;3.1 <u>passim</u>) speaks of a good and evil <u>epithumia</u>, as in rabbinic writings. See L.W. Barnard, <u>Studies in the Apostolic Fathers and their Background</u>, Oxford 1966, 160-161 and O. Seitz, "Two Spirits in Man", MIS 1959/60, 90-92
- 8. The first mention of yeser in Gen 6.5 follows immediately on the report of the illicit intercourse between the "sons of God" and the "daughters of men"
- 9. CD 2.16 places in syncrynous parallelism "the inclination (ysr) of guilt" and "eyes of fornication". In the Testament of Judah, Judah describes how, blinded by the <u>diatculion</u> of youth (=impulse), he had intercourse with Tamer [diaboulion is the commonest rendering for <u>yeser</u> in the Testaments (of Charles op.cit 1962)] The link between <u>yeser</u> and illicit sexuality is more pronounced in intertestamental and later literature than it is in the Hebrew Bible; this increased emphasis may be due to Hellenistic influence.
- 10. See Forter, op.cit, 111
- 11. The IQS text is cited by Jewett(R) in <u>Paul's Anthropological Terms</u>, Leiden 1971,84; the Sukka text by S. Schechter, <u>Aspects of Rabbinic Theology</u>, NYork 1909 (rpt 1961) 243-244. Hetrew quotations are from E. Lohse, <u>Die Texte aus Qurran</u>, Munich 1964. NB the association of <u>yeser</u> with idolatry, the sin <u>par excellence</u> of the Centile world.
- 12. In his forthcoming Anchor Bible Commentary on Galatians. Throughout this study, I am heavily indebted to Martyn's insights.
- 13. English translations of Qumrag documents are from A. Dupont-Sommer, The Essene Writings from Qumran, Gloucester, Mass 1973². That the reference in CD 19.20-23 is to association with Gentiles is established by (a) the plural "peoples" (mym) in 19.23, to which the singular m ir 19.20 is apparently parallel and (b) the continuation of the passage which identifies "head of the asps" as the "chief of the kings of Yawan"(Greece) (19.23-24)
- 14. On this expression, see the illuminating note by A.B. Spencer, "Sryrwt as Self-Reliance", JBL 100, 1981, 247-248
- Martyn (op.cit) demonstrates, I believe, how much of Galatians is polemical against the Teacher's doctrines, but of already J.C. Beker, <u>Paul the Apostle</u>, Philadelphia 1980, 42-44
- 16. D. Flusser. "The Dead Sea Sect and Pre-Pauline Christianity" in Aspects of the Dead Sea Scrclls, (ed. C.Rabin & Y. Yadin) Jerusalem 1958, 255
- 17. 10H 4:30-33 and 1QS 3.6-9 emphasize that "perfection" comes only from the Spirit; but as

CD 2.15-16 establishes, "perfection" is equivalent to "not being drawn by the <u>yeser</u>." Furthermore, 1QH 4.31 may be a pur α the <u>yeser</u> concept; man has no perfection of way "unless it be by the Spirit which God has created (ysr)" for him.

- 18. Cf. CD16.4-6 on the day on which a person is converted to the law of Mcses, the Angel of Hostility departs from him. The passage goes on to say that this is why Abraham circumcised himself. It is probable that the Teacher. like the DeadSea Covenanters, regarded Abraham as the spiritual forefather of all those who overcome the <u>veser</u>, as Martyn (op.cit) meintains, citing, among other texts, those just given from the Damascus Document.
- 19. Porter, op.cit. 140f;127-129
- 20. Cf. 1 Theses 4.8 where, after the reference to <u>yeser</u> in 4.5, God is pointedly designated as the giver of the Spirit.
- 21. Here the <u>yeser</u> is described in verbal rather than in nominal terms ie instead of speaking of <u>epithuria sarkos</u>, "the desire of the flesh", Paul says, <u>he sarx epithunei</u>, "the flesh desires". In 1 Peter 2.11 and Polycarp Phil 5.3, passages reminiscent of Gal 5.17, the Spirit's antagonists are <u>sarkikoi epithumiai</u> "fleshly desires" and <u>pasa epithumia</u>, "every desire" respectively (cf. Ger. 6.5) For Paul, then, <u>sarx</u> can stand for <u>epithumia sarkos</u> etc. See ref. to Barnatas 10.9 (N.28) and comments on "flesh" below.
- 22. The "works of the flesh" are the sort of sins commonly attributed to yeser
- 23. The plurality of "passions and desires" (<u>pathemata kai epithumiai</u>) probably refers to <u>yeser</u> in the singular.(Cf "every inclination of the thoughts" of mar.'s heart:Gen 6.5 implying a plurality of evil yesarim; also the singular of Gen 8.21)
- 24. Ancrymous tradition from the school of R. Ishmael (of Forter op.cit 128)
- 25. "There shall be no deliverance for the inclination of guilt; he (God) will trample it unto destruction and there shall be no remnant." (Dupont-Sommer, rv)
- 26. In Gal 6.14 is the world which has been crucified rather than the desires, but the two are probably connected in Paul's mind; of Titus 2.12; 2 Clem 17.2 speaksof kosmikai epithumiai "worldly desires". (of Jas 3.6 for another possible link)
- 27. See C.K. Barrett, "The Allegory of Abraham, Sarah and Hagar in the Argument of Galatians", Käsemann Festschrift, Rechtfertigung (ed J. Friedrich et alii) Tübingen 1976, 1-16
- 28. On Fagar's descendants as Gentiles see Jubiless 16.17-18 cited by Barrett (op.cit), 9. <u>kata sarka</u> is probably Faul's shorthand for <u>kata epithumian tes sarkes</u>, "according to the desire of the flesh" (of Barn 10.9) On being borr "according to the flesh" see John 3.6;9.34; and, more importantly, John 1.13, <u>ouce ek thelefatos sarkes....egenrethesan</u> is from the <u>yeser</u> (or. <u>thelema</u> as trans for <u>yeser</u> see below). In addition the Jewish-Onristian <u>Kerygnata Petrou</u> (c AD 200) mentions <u>ten ek epithumias proter sou ...genesin</u>, "Your first birth which came from cesire" (Hom XI, 26.1) With <u>thelema</u> and <u>epithumia</u> both authors probably reach tack to Jewish <u>yeser</u> traditions. Such a tradition may be embodied in the fragments of 1QE 9.15-16: "Car human born of human (<u>m.m.s</u>) be righteeus, and can man [born of men] have understanding? And car flesh born of the inclination [of flesh] be glorious?"(my trans.)
- 29. On the yeser as enslaver, see Tit 3.3, <u>douleucntes epithumiais kai bederais poikilais</u>, "serving various desires and pleasures" and 2 Pet 2.18-19 (or which see below N.32) The theme continues in Rath. literature [cf R. Akiba (fl. 110-135) and R. Abin (fourth cent) in Gen.rab.22.6]
- 30. See geog. notice in Gal 4.25, and wide spread action of Arabs as descendants of iIshmael: <u>Jub</u> 20.13
- 31. See also 1QS 8.1-3
- 32. The <u>yeser</u> is specifically linked with corruption in rabt. traditions; its destiny is "to become worms and maggets" (Nec.9b) and others refs attributed to Simon the Just cited in Schechter (op.cit 249) Cf also 2Pet 1.4; 2.18-19)
- 33. See D. Georgi, First Corinthians, IDBS, 182f
- 34. In CD 2.21;3.2-3,11-12 "their own will" (<u>rswn</u>) or "the will of his own spirit" (<u>rswn rwhw</u>) clearly designate the human will as divorced from and opposed to God, ie the <u>yeser</u>. CD 3. 11-12 parallels this "own will" to "the stubborrress of their heart", (of above as synonym of yeser)

^{35.} See Esther 1.8; Ps 29(30); 6,8 ; 39(40).9; 102(103).21; 142(143).10; 144(145).19; Dan 11.16,36 36. See above N.28

- 37. The Stoic ideal of <u>autarkeia</u> is recalled in 1 Cor 7.37 and had already merged with the <u>yeser</u> concept in Paul's time (See my "Evil Inclination in James" on Fhilo); of also the latter or <u>yeser</u> as "fire" and ICor 7.9. Later rabb. tradition also presents <u>yeser</u> as fire (of C.G. Montefiore & H. Loewe, <u>A Pablinic Anthology</u>, NYork 1974(rpt) p98)
- 38. Cf Gal 5.13 and Paul's use of <u>aphormē</u>, originally denoting a base of operations for a military expedition, for "opportunity".
- D. Georgi, op.cit.p184f; also his <u>Die Gegner des Paulus im Korinthbrief</u> (Neukirchener 1964) 301-305
- 40. Cf again Gen 6.5; thus yeser is equivalent to the "strongholds" and "reasonings" (2 Cor 10.4) and "high things" (10.5); of the trans of Gen 8.21 "The imagery of man's heart is evil from his youth" (M. Buber, <u>Good and Evil</u>, NYork 1952, 90)
- 41. See below.
- 42. See tradition attributed to Abba Jose the Potter, a Tanna of the sixth generation, in <u>Gen.</u> <u>rab.</u> 34.10; cf also other traditions in Montefiore, Loewe, op.cit,300, Schechter op.cit.262, 265f
- 43. CD 3.4-9 narrates that "the sons of Jacob strayed because of this" ie "inclination of guilt" (2.16); cf the destruction mentioned 1 Cor.10.5-13)
- 44. On unchastity, vide supra; on idolatry see below.
- 45. On yeser as spirit, see N.21; on link with "the world" see N.26
- 46. On the sitz im leben of Romans, see Bornkamm, Paul 88-96; P.S. Minear, The Obedience of Faith Naperville, 1971, 1-35; The Romans Detate, ed. K.P. Donfried, Minneapolis 1977.
- 47. See N.40
- 48. On the "darkened heart" of Rom 1.21 as <u>yeser</u> of 4 Ezra's expression "the evil heart" (cor <u>malignum</u> cited by Porter op.cit 146-149); also 1QS 5.45 where "his heart" is synonymous with the thought of his <u>yeser</u>.
- 49. See CD 20.9f where those who have "put idols on their heart" are identified with those who have gone "in the stubbornness of the heart". The latter, as noted, is synonymous with "in the <u>yeser</u>" Rabb traditions continue the association of the <u>yeser</u> with idolatry; see the remarks attributed to Johanan b. Muri (120-140) and R. Yannai (200-220), respectively in <u>b.</u> Sabb.105b and <u>y.Ned</u> 9.41b (cited by W.D. Davies, <u>Paul and Rabbinic Judaism</u>, NYork 1967 29-30) See also G. Strecker "On the Problem of Jewish Orristianity" in W. Bauer, Orthodoxy and Heresy in Earliest Christianity, ET by Fortress Press 1971,262 where he says of the Jewish-Ohristian document <u>Kerymata Petrou</u>: "It alludes to the polytheistic cult of idols(<u>Hom.11.21.4</u>, 11.31.1, etc) which is also characterized by "lust" (<u>epithumia Hom.</u> 11.26.1; cf 11.11.5, 11.15.1 and 4ff., etc.)"
- 50. See my "Evil Inclination in James" n.37
- 51. On the "root of bitter fruits" of Heb.12.15. The phrase is paralleled with "stubbornness of heart" and may be equivalent to yeser; vide supra
- 52. The ensuing passage ie 1QH 4.17-19 is significant for the interpretation of Rom 1.21-23. Here the hypocrites are charged with having rejected the "vision of knowledge" (cf. Rom 1.21a; also 1.25a, 28a); therefore God will judge them according to their idols, and they will be taken in their thoughts (cf. Rom.1.21 en tois dialogismois auton).
- 53 Cf CD 1.18 where "those who choose illusion" seem to be linked with those in CD 2 led astray by the <u>yeser</u>.
- 54. Cf Mt 5.28; Mk 7.21-23 and pars.
- 55. On the plurality of desires here see N.23
- 56. The phrase <u>eis adokimon noun</u> reminds us that one of the functions of the <u>yeser</u> is <u>dokimazein</u>, "to test" a human being; see Porter (Op.cit. 142) on Sir.27.5-6. An <u>adokimos nous</u> is a mind which has been exposed to the testing action and failed.
- 57. Paul is using Stoic categories here to describe the <u>yeser</u>'s effect. Rom 1.32 may be an echo of <u>T.Ash</u> 6.2 who declares that two-faced people (ie ruled by both inclinations) both do evil and approve those who do it. M. de Jonge unlike Charles (op cit.168), omits these wopds from his Greek text (<u>Testamenta XII Patriarcharum</u>, Leiden 1970) as not necessary.

- 58. Seminar on Romans, Union Theol. Seminary, NYork, Spring 1980.
- 59. See again 108 5. which parallels "the thought of his yeser" with "the stubbornness of his heart"
- 60. Paul uses the same word for "passions" (pathemata) as Gal 5.24, identified earlier as a yeser passage. For a discussion on the meaning of dia tou nomou of commentaries by Sanday and Headlam (ICC, 1922, pp174f: it "refers to the effect of the law in calling forth and aggravating sin."), Barrett (Nyork 1957: "engendered through the law") and <u>A</u> Translator's Handbook on Paul's Letter to the Romans (ed B.M. Newman & E.A. Nida; Stuttgart 1973, 131); also on dia plus the genitive of BDF 223(2). BAG 179d.
- 61. Notice that in Rom 7.11 <u>hamartia</u> is used with the verb exapatao ("deceive"), recalling the story in Genesis 3 (see Barrett, op.cit. p144) and associated <u>hamartia</u> with the serpent in that story. The personification of sin in Paul is well-known, causing people to obey the <u>yeser</u>; Cf also Justin, First Apology 10 and John 8.44.
- 62 In Rom.7.7 Paul sums up the law's demand as <u>ouk epithumēseis</u>, "You shall not covet" and may here direct the demand against the yeser
- 63. In Rom.7.8 Paul uses the phrase "every lust" (<u>pasan epithumian</u>) recalling Gen 6.5; cf N.23 According to Romans 1, the <u>yeser</u> appears to exist in humanity from the beginning; in Rom.7 it appears only to come with the commandment.; similarly Rom 1 appears to make it a matter of man's choice while Rom 7 suggests it is something that happens to man. Cf also the contrast in emphasis between Gen 6.5 and 8.21. Paul's insistence on the involvement of God's "holy, just and good law" in the creation of the <u>yeser</u> may be compared with Jewish trad. which saw God as the author of the yeser (Porter, op.cit. 109,117)
- 64. Cf. 11QPs^a 19.15f (cited by M. Hengel, <u>Judaism and Hellenism</u>, Philadelphia 1974 177): "Let neither grief nor evil inclination (<u>yeser ra</u>)possess my bones." (earliest instance of <u>yeser ra</u>?) A rabb. statement speaks of the <u>yeser</u> as a "king over the 248 members of man" (<u>Abot R.Nat.32a</u>, cited by Schechter, op.cit. 260 and Davies (op.cit 27), a passage linked with Paul's thought.
- 65. "Antinomy within the Torah"- phrase borrowed from J.L. Martyn, Seminar on Problems in Pauline Theology, Union Theol Seminary, NYork, Fall 1981 The language of Rom 8.3 recalls 6.6 where however it is ho palaios henon anthropos, "our old man" who was crucified with Christ that the <u>yesser</u> (= the "body of sin") might be destroyed. Cf Jewett (op.cit.. 290-292) asserts that Paul speaks of the "body of sin" rather than of "flesh of sin" as in 8.3 because in the former passage he is correcting a Gnostic interpretation of baptism. Käsemann (<u>Romans</u> 169) suggests that the phrase "the old man" comes from Adam-Christ typology and refers to "Adam individualized and represented in us." If so, has Paul conflated explanations of evil's origin found in Gen 3 and 6.5;8.21 in Rom 8.6 and 6.6?
- 66. This verse provides almost a text-book definition of the yeser (cf G.F. Moore, op.cit)
- 67. Rom. 13.14 is more likely a reworking of Man.12.2,4 than the opposite. NB the semitic adjectival use of genitive (cf BDF 165) in the phrase ten epithumian tes dikalosumes.
- 68. The nine unambiguous refs to "Satan", "the tempter" or "the god of this age" are 1Th 2.18;3.5; 1 C 5.5;7.5; 1 C 2.11;4.4;11.14;12.7;R 16.20); cf also 1 C 2.8 ("the rulers of this age") and 1 C 15.24-26; R 8.38 ("principalities and powers")
- 69. Over sixty-five uses of the word sarx alone
- 70. See K.G.Kuhn, "New Light on Temptation, Sin and Flesh in the NT" (103-104) and W.D. Davies, "Paul and the Dead Sea Scrolls: Flesh and Spirit" (161-162) both in <u>The Scrolls and the NT</u>, (Ed. K. Stendhal, NYork, 1957)
- 71. See eg Gal.5.17 and hamartia in N.61
- 72. Dupont-Sommer, Trans.(rv); see also 1QH 4.13-15 and 1QS 1.23-24.
- 73. I assume that the apocalyptic framework was not so central to Paul the Pharisee as it was to Paul, the Christian apostle. Cf Paul's use of <u>apocalupsis</u> in Gal.1.12 to describe his encounter with Jesus Christ. The modified determinism of the Phars. left room only for a modified dualism [J. Kallas, <u>Jesus and the Power of Satan</u> (Philadelphia, 1968 55-57)] On their suspicion of popular angelology and demonology see J. Bloch, <u>On the Apocalyptic in Judaism</u> (JQRMA II 1952) 128f
- 73. Cf Martyn's comments in "From Paul to Flannery o'Connor with the Power of Grace", <u>katallagete</u> (Winter 1981) 13.