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Macedonian Christians. To these we may answer: (1) Aristarchus was not a Jew ; ${ }^{1}$ and even if he were this would be no objection; (2) that Trophimus was an Ephesian does not bear on the argument, for 2 Corinthians was written from Macedonia, and there are no reasons for his claim to be the bearer of 1 Corinthians; (3) Trophimus in Jerusalem was recognised by his fellow-citizens; (4) Aristarchus was as much concerned in the great collection as Trophimus, and (5) accompanied St. Paul on his journey from Corinth; (6) the exclusion of Macedonian Christians is unintelligible. "When Paul sent Titus from Macedonia to promote the collection in Corinth, he was in a position to send with him two companions belonging to that country." ${ }^{2}$

To conclude, we may summarise our conclusions by saying that Aristarchus was possibly the Macedonian of the vision at Troas, and probably " the brother whose praise is in the Gospel."

E. Basil Redlich.

## EXEGETICA.

Matthew v. 39.
In the January number (p. 89) I called attention to a diffculty which has been felt about the "right" cheek being struck. Since writing the note $I$ have come across a passage in Origen, which shows that this difficulty is not new ; it was evidently present to the mind of that expositor. In the Philocalia (i. 19) he uses this command of Jesus to prove the thesis that a number of sayings in Scripture cannot be interpreted literally, because in that case they would be meaningless. He has quoted from the Old Testament some

[^0]passages to prove his point, and now passes to the New. We find similar instances, he observes, in the Gospels. Tíà $v$




 olayóva. The saying is most incredible, as a literal command, because you naturally strike a man's left cheek, not his right, with your right hand. Origen's argument seems to imply that he regarded the blow as an attempt to hurt or injure. But it also proves that for him the impossibility of interpreting the command literally rested upon this physical incongruity. ${ }^{1}$

## LUke xxiv. 21.




The sense of the passage is clear. I have translated it somewhat freely: "But He is dead, and that is three days ago!" The difficulties are grammatical. There is something to be said for the view that 'I $\sigma \rho a \eta^{\prime} \lambda$, from the clause immediately preceding, is the subject to árfe. "But of course Israel has passed three days since all this took place." Unless äyєc is to be taken impersonally, which does seem the least unnatural rendering, the only alternative is to supply "Christ" or "Jesus of Nazareth" from the aùtós of the foregoing clause. The textual variants (omission of $\sigma \dot{\nu} \nu \pi a ̂ \sigma \iota \nu$ тoútoıs, or of $\pi \hat{a} \sigma \iota \nu$ alone, or of $\tau a u ́ \tau \eta \nu$, addition of $\sigma \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho \circ \nu$ before or after ${ }^{\prime} \gamma_{\epsilon} \epsilon_{\iota}$ ) do not affect the rendering. The rav̂ta refers to the condemnation and execution of

[^1]Jesus (ver. 20). "It is three days since this occurred, so that any hopes we might ever have cherished have faded." This catches up the previous тoútols, but makes the intervening raút $\boldsymbol{v}$ look awkward; if the authorities for its omission were stronger, we might delete it as a gloss, introduced to emphasise $\tau \rho i \tau \eta \nu$, especially as D and the Syriac, which omit it,insert the equivalent and more grammatical $\sigma \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho \sigma \nu$.

Acts I. 7.
$\chi \rho^{\prime}{ }^{2}$
Compare Philo, Quaest. in Gen. vi. 13, $\theta$ còv $\pi a \rho$ ' ov̉ кaì oi кatрol кai oi $\chi$ рóvot. It is worth while noting this parallel, as none of the recent editors, not even Windisch, seems to have detected it.

1 Corinthians ximi. 3.
光 $\chi \omega$, ov̉ $\delta \grave{v} \nu \dot{\omega} \phi \epsilon \lambda o \hat{\mu} \mu a \iota$.

Even martyrdom may be spoiled by a spirit of ostentation, or by the absence, from whatever reason, of love. One of the most remarkable comments upon this sentence is the unconscious note furnished by the letter of the churches of Lyons and Vienne, more than a century later (Eus. H.E. v. 1). In describing their martyrs during the recent persecution, they expressly connect love with no fewer than three of the most prominent in the list. The first is the young aristocrat, Vettius Epagathus, " filled with love for God and his neighbour " ( $\pi \lambda \eta \eta_{\rho} \omega \omega \mu a \dot{a} \gamma a ́ \pi \eta \eta \varsigma \tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{~s} \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ \theta \epsilon o ̀ \nu ~$ $\kappa a i ̀ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \pi \lambda \eta \sigma i o v)$. He had a reputation for saintliness equal to that of John the Baptist's father; the words of Luke i. 6 are applied to him. But the Church adds, he had more of the Spirit than Zechariah, and "showed this by the fulness of his love ( $\delta \dot{a}$ tov̂ $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \dot{\omega} \mu a \tau o s ~ \tau \eta ̂ s ~ a ́ \gamma a ́ \pi \eta \zeta), ~$ in deciding willingly to lay down his life in defence of the brothers." The next example of love is the heroic slave-
girl Blandina, "through whom Christ proved that what men reckon mean, obscure, and contemptible is adjudged great glory by God, on account of love to Him-love shown in its strength." Thirdly, the martyrdom of Alexander the physician is chronicled; "he was well known to almost everybody for his love to God." Here we have cases from both sexes and from different ranks in life, where martyrdom is distinctly connected with love.

## 2 Gorinthians vili. 3.


For катà $\delta \dot{v} \nu a \mu \iota \nu$ in this sense of contributing or providing " up to one's means," a fresh parallel has turned up in the marriage contract of 260 A.D. (printed in Oxyrhynchite Papyri, x. pp. 207 f .): "Let the married pair then live together blamelessly observing the duties of marriage, and let the husband provide the wife with all necessaries in proportion




## Colossians iv. 1.


Cicero could not have added Paul's motive-єiסótes öt
 I. xiii. 41 he has a paragraph which is not far from the Apostle's principle. "Meminerimus autem etiam adversus infimos iustitiam servandam. Est autem infima condicio et fortuna seruorum, quibus non male præcipiunt qui ita iubent uti, ut mercennariis: operam exigendam, iusta præbenda." Paul has just told the Christian slaves at Colossæ to do their work properly; his words $\tau \grave{\text { ò }}$ סixatov $\pi a \rho \epsilon ́ \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$, addressed to the masters, are practically equivalent to Cicero's iusta probbenda, though the addition of кaì т̀̀̀ íóт $\boldsymbol{\eta} \tau a$ raises them to a higher plane.

1 Thessalonians in. 7-8.

 $\theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$, ả $\lambda \lambda \grave{a}$ тàs $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} a u \tau \hat{\omega} v \psi u \chi a ́ s$.

Paul did more than instruct the Christians at Thessalonica, but Victor Hugo's words about the influence of Cimourdain upon his pupil, in Quatrevingt-Treize, illustrate the Apostle's simile. "L'esprit allaite, l'intelligence est une mamelle. Il y a analogie entre la nourrice qui donne son lait et le précepteur qui donne sa pensée. Quelquefois le précepteur est plus père que le père, de même que souvent la nourrice est plus mère que la mère."

## 1 Timothy i. 3.

$\kappa а Ө \grave{\omega} \varsigma \pi a \rho є \kappa a ́ \lambda \epsilon \sigma a ́ ~ \sigma \epsilon \pi \rho о \sigma \mu \epsilon i ̂ \nu a \iota ~ \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.
For this use of $\kappa a \theta \grave{\omega}$ s at the beginning of a sentence containing instructions a fresh parallel has turned up in $O x y$ rhynchite Papyri, x. (1299, 9-10), where we read! кä̀̀s èvєєı $\lambda a ́ \mu \eta \nu$ бoı к.т. $\lambda$. . Here also there is no main verb, as we might expect.

Hebrews xifi. 23.

The writer makes his visit apparently conditional upon the ability or willingness of Timotheus to visit them also in the immediate future. Was it safer to travel in company? Or was the motive simply the desire of companionship? A similar question is raised by the note of a Greek woman, Zoïs, to her brother Ischyrion (A.D. 30, Oxyrhynchus Papyri, x. pp. 243-244), in which she writes: "If you want to go to Alexandria, Apollos, son of Theon, is
 $\dot{a} \pi \epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon i v, ' A \pi o \lambda \lambda \grave{\omega} \varsigma \Theta^{\prime} \epsilon \omega \nu o s \dot{v}_{\pi} \alpha^{\prime} \gamma \epsilon \iota$ aṽplov. The fact that Apollos is going evidently is expected to weigh with Ischyrion.

James Moffatt.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Col. iv. 11 probably refers to Mark and Jesus Justus, and the words
    
    ${ }^{2}$ Weizsïcker, Apostolic Age, i. p. 299.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ For the slap with the back of the hand, cf. Le Tartuffe (Act II. Sc. 2), where Orgon says:
    "Pour chatier son insolence extreme, Il faut que je lui donne un revers de ma main." The stage direction is : " Il se met en posture de lui donner un soufflet."

