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## LEXICAL NOTES FROM THE PAPYRI.* XX.

$\pi \iota a ́ \zeta \omega$.-The sharp differentiation in meaning between this verb and $\pi \iota \epsilon \xi \omega$, of which it is said to be a Doric form, is an interesting semasiological study. Thayer quotes
 $\tau \hat{a} \varsigma \dot{o} \pi \lambda \hat{a} \varsigma$, " there he brought the bull from the mountain, seizing it by the hoof." Wessely (Patr. Orient. iv. 2, p. 132 f.) gives $\lambda \eta$ १ттотıá $\sigma \tau \eta$, " preneur de malfaiteurs," from a Rainer papyrus of the time of Diocletian: he says the word is known from other papyri of the Roman period. From a later period comes mıá $\alpha a \iota=\lambda a \beta \in i ̂ v ~ i n ~ B M ~ I I . ~ p . ~$ $328^{76}$, cited by C. H. Muller in Archiv i. 439 as characteristic of the transition period from ancient to modern Greek : its date is 616 a.D. MGr $\pi \iota a ́ v \omega$ in form and meaning tells the same tale. Now $\pi \iota \epsilon \in \zeta_{\omega}$ in Luke vi. $38=$ press down: cf. Micah vi. 15 (Grimm), $\pi \iota \in ́ \epsilon \epsilon \iota \varsigma$ è $\lambda a i ́ a \nu$, and $\$ y l l .422^{7}$
 áтєєрías $\pi \iota \epsilon \zeta \epsilon \iota \sigma \theta \omega$. On Syll. $587^{304}$ (iv/b.c.) Dittenberger says that $\pi \iota \epsilon \sigma \tau \eta \dot{p}$ means elsewhere torcular vel prelum : here it represents some use of a $\mu \circ \chi \lambda^{\prime}$ ós, but the root meaning is still pressing. Thumb (Hellenismus 67 n .) accepts W. Schmid's view that $\pi a \dot{\zeta} \zeta \omega$ has merely been assimilated to the numerous verbs in $-\alpha ́ \zeta \omega$. If the differentiation took place in one dialect-say that of the bucolics of Sicily-we can understand the word's passing into the Kocv as a kind of slang loanword, while $\pi \iota \in \epsilon \zeta \omega$ lived on awhile with its old meaning.
$\pi \lambda \epsilon о \nu$ ќкт $\eta \mathrm{s}$.-See Notes iii. and Thess. p. 20. In Syll. $418^{133}$ (iii/A.D.) $\pi \lambda \epsilon o v \epsilon \kappa \tau \eta \mu a \tau a$ are simply advantages, with $\dot{\text { è }} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\tau} \tau \dot{\mu} \mu a \tau a$ in antithesis. But the whole family keeps

[^0]regularly the desiderative force which is curiously absent
 it is hard to say whence the crucial $\theta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \omega \nu$ comesaiб $\chi \rho о \kappa \epsilon \rho \delta \eta^{\prime} s$ raises the same difficulty. Плєoעe $\xi i a$ in ParP
 $\pi \lambda \epsilon o \nu \epsilon \xi i a \varsigma \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \theta \epsilon i \sigma \eta \varsigma-k e e p s$ company with $\phi \iota \lambda o \tau \iota \mu i a$, which here represents a " grasping ambition." In !Musonius p. 72 (Hense-a citation kindly supplied us by Dr. Souter) it is linked with Bía: ib. p. 90 (cited in Thess.) it accompanies $\dot{\eta} \delta o \nu \eta$, a remarkable parallel to the New Testament association with sins of the flesh, based on a saying of Jesus (Mark vii. 22) and repeated by at least three different New Testament writers.* Bunyan's instinct rightly made Pickthank name together among Beelzebub's friends " my old Lord Lechery, Sir Having Greedy, with all the rest of our nobility." That $\pi \lambda_{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\nu} \epsilon \xi i a$ is a true vernacular word may be illustrated by its appearance in the rather ill-spelt petition OP $67^{19}$ (338 a.D. "aggression") and in the illiterate letter FP $124^{24}$ (ii/A.D.-tr. "cupidity ").
$\pi \lambda \eta \rho o ́ \omega$.-The original meaning may be illustrated with the phrase in Syll. $633{ }^{20}$ (ii/A.d.), éàv $\delta \in ́ \tau \iota \varsigma ~ \tau \rho a ́ \pi \epsilon \zeta a v ~ \pi \lambda \eta \rho \hat{\iota} \iota$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \iota \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \iota, \lambda a \mu \beta a \nu \in ́ \tau \omega \iota \tau \grave{̀}$ ทั $\mu \iota \sigma \nu$. Grimm's " Hebraistically" must of course be banished from the construction c. acc. of the thing in which one abounds : cf. PFi $27^{3}$ (iv/v a.d.),
 sense which becomes very common. Thus Syll. 7.3748


 $\pi \epsilon \pi \lambda \eta \rho \bar{\omega} \sigma \theta a \iota \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \dot{\partial} \tau 0 \hat{v}$, with the acc. т $\rho \circ \phi \epsilon \hat{\imath} a . \dagger$ Apparently

[^1]we must amend Gradenwitz's note (Archiv ii. 100) that this meaning was mostly late, although also classical. Another of Grimm's "Hebraisms" is equally unfortunate, the use

 the period of the lease expired in the past 14th year." BM iii. $136{ }^{10}$ (44 A.D.), $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \theta$ évtos $\delta$ è $\tau o \hat{v} \chi \rho o ́ v o v ~ a ̀ ~ \pi o \delta o ́ \tau \omega \iota, ~$ etc. The common New Testament use of $\pi \lambda \eta \rho o \hat{v} \nu$ for " accomplishing" a duty may be largely paralleled. Thus
 $\tau \bar{\eta}[\mathrm{s} \dot{\alpha} \pi o] \gamma \rho a \phi \hat{\eta} \varsigma \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \bar{\sigma} \omega \sigma \iota \nu$. A Spartan inscription in the Annual of the British School at Athens, xii. 452, dated provisionally by Mr. H. J. W. Tillyard in i/A.D., has ôs ế $\epsilon_{6}$
 cites CIG 2336, $\pi$. тâбav á $\rho \chi \eta{ }_{\nu} \nu \kappa a i ̀ \lambda \epsilon \iota \tau o v \rho \gamma i ́ a \nu$.
$\pi \lambda \eta^{\prime} \rho \omega \mu a$.-This important word is not very common in the "profane" vernacular, but it is well attested in one meaning. Syll. $326{ }^{40}$ (c. 107 в.c.), an inscription from near
 $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \dot{\omega} \mu a \sigma \iota \tau \rho \iota \sigma i="$ three shiploads." From Egypt, at the other end of the Greek world, comes PP ii. 9 (iii/в.c.), where the word occurs thrice for a gang of men (one passage cited by Deissmann $B S$ 110). This we may assume to be the normal secular meaning. It is practically that of Romans xi. 25 : taking a parable from modern conditions, we could say that the mill or the shipyard is short-handed-the full tale of hands is to be made up some day. The very common word $\pi \lambda \eta^{\prime} \rho \omega \sigma$ is does not occur in the New Testament, but its restriction to commercial phraseology accounts for this. That $\pi \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \rho \mu a$ should take its place as a nomen actionis (as in Rom. xiii. 10) is not strange, as the $-\sigma \iota \varsigma$ and $-\mu a$ nouns are drawing together a good deal :
itself is an alteration (from $\delta \rho a \chi \mu d s \quad \delta e ́ \kappa a$, which is erased) : the two corrections are simultaneous.
the shortened penultimate of $\theta \epsilon \epsilon \mu a, \kappa \rho i ́ \mu a, \chi \rho i \sigma \mu a$ etc., due to the analogy of the $-\sigma \iota s$ words, illustrates the closeness of association.
$\pi \nu l \gamma \omega$.-The nursery acrostic $\operatorname{TbP} 278^{40}$ (i/A.D.) $\pi \nu i \xi \omega \iota$
 gives us the word in an elementary stage of educational achievement.

$\pi o l a$.-This word is still in use, though $\chi$ óp $\quad$ os replaces it in the New Testament. It appears in the LXX, and in Syll. $803^{121}$ (iii/b.c. from the Asclepieum), where a man with an injured eye sees the god moiar тpíqas ér $\gamma \epsilon i v$ cis $\tau\left[\begin{array}{c}\nu \\ \nu\end{array}\right.$ $\dot{\partial} \phi \theta a \lambda \mu o ́ \nu \tau l]$. Also in LIP 5 ${ }^{3.13 .}{ }^{29,}{ }^{37}$ (iii/в.C.) the $\pi \omega o \lambda o \gamma i ́ a$ is mentioned, rendered by Crönert and Wilcken Grünernte.
 that gives us $\delta \varphi{ }_{\varphi} \boldsymbol{\eta}$ for $\delta o i ́ \eta$ ? ) We feel half persuaded towards an unorthodox view of James iv. 14,* rendering "for your life is a green herb, for you are a vapour. . . ." Two metaphors succeed each other naturally, each introduced with ráp: we can imagine James watching the sun burst out after heavy rain-the green herb which would so soon fade (ch. i. 11), and the steam that rises for a few minutes from the drenched soil. But we are fully aware of our temerity!
$\pi o ́ \lambda c s$. .-In the second Logia fragment (OP 654 ${ }^{21}$ ) Blass
 It may be worth while to note that this ancient by-form of $\pi o ́ \lambda \iota s$ does occur in three ostraca of the reign of Caligula (Wilcken Ostr. 380-382), as a proper name: it recalls the fact that $\pi \pi_{0} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \epsilon \mu 0$ s also survived in the royal name Птодє $\mu$ aios. Obviously the support derived from such a phenomenon is but slender. The distributive force which $\kappa a \tau a ̀ ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu$ obviously has in several Lucan passages, and

[^2]in Titus i. 5, is to be set against the very different meaning of the same phrase in sundry documents of the new collection from Alexandria (reign of Augustus). Schubart, who edits the documents in BU iv. part 6, notes (Archiv v. 38) that in a good many papers relating to the hire of a nurse it is stipulated that the child shall be kept ${ }^{\prime} \xi \xi$ $\kappa a \tau a ̀ m o ́ \lambda \iota \nu$, that is, outside the house of the person who gives the child in charge, but " in the city " of Alexandria. The phrase may be added to many others with article dropped after a preposition, but required by the sense : see Proleg. 82.
 well as from Acts xvii. 6, to have been in use at Thessalonica and elsewhere: see E. D. Burton's monograph in Amer. Journ. of Theol. for July 1898, where he prints seventeen inscriptions, with two more in which the title $\pi o \lambda \iota \tau a \rho \chi \eta \rho$ or the verb $\left.\pi о \lambda \iota \tau a \rho \chi \chi^{\epsilon} \omega\right)$ is plausibly restored. Of these 14 belong to Macedonia ( 5 of them to Thessalonica), 2 to Philippopolis in Thrace, and one each to Bithynia, Bosporus and Egypt. To these we can now add OP 7454 (c. 1 A.D.), where the edd. name only one inscr. and do not apparently know of the one from Egypt. This is, however, only of iii/iv A.D.-a fact which accounts for the false quantity $\pi o \lambda \iota \tau a \rho \chi \omega \bar{\omega}$ that mars its versification. It is clear from Burton's citations that the title was essentially Macedonian. It would be brought into Egypt naturally by some early Ptolemy, but it is odd that it should be there at all and appear so seldom.
$\pi о$ д́тєшнa.-For citizenship or franchise, as in Philippians iii. 20 (R.V.), cf. Syll. $238^{3}$ (219 в.c.) : King Philip orders the authorities at Larisa, until he shall have found others àझlovs tov̂ $\pi a \rho^{\prime} \dot{v} \mu \hat{\nu} \nu$ mo入ıтcúmatos, to pass a vote giving mo入ıтєía to Thessalians or other Greeks resident in the city. In 214 в.c. Philip sends a further rescript, Syll. 239. Here he

 тодitevua: he warns the Larisaeans to restore eis rì $\nu$ moduteiav those whose names they had erased. It seems that $\pi 0 \lambda c \tau \epsilon i=$ here is the actual franchise in the abstract, толítєvua being a less technical, more general word, rather like our community in its capacity of becoming either abstract or collective. Our other quotations all favour community or commonwealth (cf. R.V. marg.) CIG 5361 ( 13 в.c.), тoîs èk $\tau 0 \hat{v} \pi . \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ 'Iov $\delta a i o \iota s, ~ a n d ~ a g a i n ~ \pi . ~ \tau \hat{\omega} v$

 $\tau o ̀ ~ \pi . ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho o \gamma o ́ \nu \omega \nu$, i.e. (as Dittenberger notes) " may make the State greater and wealthier than their ancestors left it." In a rescript of Alexander the Great (ib. $150^{3}$ ) $\pi 0 \lambda l$ -
 should be a democracy" : this last example comes very
 officials put up a monument $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \grave{\rho} \rho \tau o \hat{v} \pi$. Schubart in Archiv v. 107 gives a papyrus example and promises a discussion later. See also Hicks in $C R$ i. 6 f. The verb we must postpone, but it is tempting to quote Syll. $325^{25}$ (i/b.c.-an inscription full of suggestive parallels) : тov̂тo
 $\pi о \lambda \epsilon \iota \tau \epsilon \cup o \mu \in ́ v o \iota s ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ \pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \theta \epsilon \omega ̂ \nu ~ \tau \iota s ~ \chi a ́ \rho ı s ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ \pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \tau \omega ̂ \nu ~$ $\epsilon \dot{v} \in \rho \gamma \epsilon \tau \eta \theta^{\prime} \nu \tau \tau \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi \pi a \kappa о \lambda a v \theta \in \hat{\imath}-b o t h$ Acts xxiii. 1 and Philippians i. 27 get some light from the parallel.

## James Hope Moulton.

 George Miuligans.
[^0]:    * For abbrevations see the February and March (1908) Expositor, pp. 170, 262.

[^1]:    * 1 Corinthians v. 10, 11, Colossians iii. 5, for Paul-also (as we believe) Ephesians v. 3, 5, iv. 19. Add Hebrews xiii. 5 and 2 Peter ii. 14.
    $\dagger$ Schubart notes "read rpoфelocs: the writer has forgotten that he altered $\alpha \pi \epsilon \sigma \chi$. into $\pi \epsilon \pi \lambda \eta \rho$." But this does not seem likely when $\tau \rho \circ \phi \epsilon i a$

[^2]:    * Retain the double $\gamma d \rho$, one of which would easily fall out when the mola was misunderstood-the texts differed es to which should be dropped.

