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## NOTES FROM THE PAPYRI.

## III.

In venturing to send a further gleaning of N.T. words which appear in various documents of "profane" Hellenistic Greek, to supplement those which appeared in the Expositor for April, 1901, and February, 1903, I must ask indulgence for the want of system which will be easily observable throughout. To examine the vocabulary of the papyri was not my object when I began reading them, and I have never made any systematic effort to do so. Professor Deissmann will bring his own work up to date better than any one else can do it for him. But I have found in experience that unexpected light is always being thrown on N.T. words and phrases from their appearance in documents which I have searched for grammatical phenomena; and before I turn to the task of gathering together the conclusions which the grammar of the papyri has induced, I should like to "dump" some mere miscellaneous lexical notes which have presented themselves, on the chance of their contributing towards the complete index of Biblical words in the papyri which some one should give us when the time comes.

A new volume of Oxyrhychus Papyri has recently come from the busy workshop of Drs. Grenfell and Hunt, and there are a few other recent collections which I have added to my sources. ${ }^{1}$ In these notes I have not restricted my-

[^0]self to occurrences which antedate the Biblical writings, but have attempted merely to illustrate the use of words in the кoiv $\eta^{\prime}$, whether classical or new, when they have been made use of by the sacred writers.
 poov. The physical sense is cited from Aristotle; the metaphysical, as in 2 Cor. xi. 9, appears in Plutarch (59c).
äd $\delta \eta \varsigma$.-Without suggesting that there is anything to be called a real parallel with Rev. xxi. 8, it may be worth while to quote O.P. 33 (see below under roryú $\zeta \omega$ )一 $\tau$ 's $\mathfrak{\eta} \delta \eta$

 tl.e second time."
$\dot{a} \delta \iota \in \dot{\epsilon} \omega$.-In the sense of harming something inanimate (Rev. vi. 6, vii. 2, 3-the latter paralleled in Thucydides),


$\dagger$ tádo $\lambda o s .-A$ still earlier ex. of the formula containing this
 таутós].
aíw$v o s .-$ Without pronouncing any opinion on the special meaning which theologians have found for this word, I must note that outside the N.T., in the vernacular as in the classical Greek (see Thayer's Grimm), it never seems to shake off the sense of perpetuus (see Deissm. 383).

Chicago Papyri, ed. Goodspeed; N.P.=Geneva Papyri, ed. Nicole; M.P.= Magdola Papyri (in Bulletin de Correspondance Hellénique [B.C.H.] 1902); B.P. =Ptolemaic Papyri, ed. Botti ; Archiv=Archiv für Papyrusforschung, ed. Wilcken.
(b) Inscriptions. I.M.A.=Inscr, Maris Aegaei, first 3 vols. Letr. $=$ Inscr. lat. et grecques de l'Egypte, ed. Letronne. J.H.S. = Journal of Hellenic Studies.
(c) $\mathrm{iii} /, \mathrm{ii} /, \mathrm{i} /=3 \mathrm{rd}$, 2nd, 1st. cent. в.c. $; 1 /, 2 /$, etc. $=1 \mathrm{st}$, 2 nd , etc. cent. A.D. (Where these are absent the document is undated.) Deissm. = Deissmann's Bible Studies. LS=Liddell and Scott. WM=WinerMoulton's N.T. Grammar.

It is a standing epithet of the Emperor's power, from Hadrian's time at least: B.U. 176, тov aiwvíov кó $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \mu \boldsymbol{v}$ тô̂ кupíou Kaírapos. Even in B.U. 303 ( 586 a.d.) and 309 (602 A.D.) we have still tô̂ aịviov Aưyoúatov
 $\lambda u ́ \pi \eta \nu) \pi a \rho \in ́ \chi \not \subset \iota \nu \mu^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \varsigma$ points the same way (see also below, on á $\mu \epsilon \tau a \nu o ́ \eta \tau о \varsigma)$. -The etymological note in Thayer's Grimm, though less antiquated than usual, suggests the addition of a statement on that side. Alév is the old locative of aicóv, as aiés is of *aiós (acc. $a i \hat{\omega}$ in Aeschylus), and aici, á $\epsilon i$ of $* a i o ́ \nu ~(L a t . ~ a e v u m), ~$ three collateral declensions from the same root. In the Sanskrit ayu and its Zend equivalent the idea of life, and especially long life, predominates. So with the Germanic cognates (Gothic aiws). The word, whose root it is of course futile to dig for, is a primitive inheritance from Indo-Germanic days, when it may have meant "long life" or " old age"-perhaps the least abstract idea we can find for it in the prehistoric period, so as to account for its derivatives.
$\dot{a} \kappa \omega \lambda u ́ \tau \omega$.-The sonorous, literary-seeming word which closes the Acts is found in the vernacular as a legal word : O.P. 502 (2/) $\chi$ рáб $\theta \omega$. . . $\tau 0 i ̂ s ~ \mu \iota \sigma \theta o v \mu e ́ v o ı s ~ a u ̉ \tau \hat{\eta}$
 according to legal formula) ; N.P. 11 (4/), 60, $\delta \epsilon \sigma \pi \sigma \tau \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \iota \nu$ $a \dot{u} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{a} \kappa \omega \lambda u ́ \tau \omega \varsigma$.
à $\overline{\text { éк } \kappa \tau \omega \rho . — S e e ~ R u t h e r f o r d, ~ N e w ~ P h r y n . ~ 307, ~ f o r ~ i t s ~ h i s t o r y ~}$ in classical Greek. It occurs in Tb.P. 140 (i/).
 $\mu \epsilon \tau a \delta \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu$, єi $\tau a i ̂ s ~ a ̉ \lambda \eta \theta \iota \nu a i ̂ s ~ a ̀ v \tau i ̀ ~ \phi \epsilon \rho v \eta ̂ s ~ \dot{\eta} \pi a \rho a \chi \omega ́ \rho \eta \sigma \iota s$
 This sentence has two notable Johannine words, and the loss of its context is unfortunate. An inquiry into an àтоураф' follows. Also in a " $\beta i \beta \lambda o s$ àтóкрıфos $M o i$ $\sigma \epsilon \omega \varsigma, " L . \mathrm{P} . w .(2 / 3), \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{a} \lambda \eta \theta \iota \nu \grave{\eta} \nu \mu o \rho \phi \dot{\eta} \nu$.
 formula repeated in $i b$. 70, the two documents being duplicate deeds of gift making over the fourth part of an undertaker's business. í $\mu о \lambda о \gamma \omega \hat{\omega} \chi^{a \rho \iota \zeta \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \sigma o \iota ~ \chi a ́ \rho \iota \tau \iota ~}$
 In the similar document, G.HI. 71, aicvía replaces $\dot{a} \mu \epsilon \tau a-$ $\mu \epsilon \lambda \dot{\eta} \tau \omega$ in the same formula. It is passive, " not affected by change of mind," like $\dot{a} \mu \epsilon \tau a \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \eta \tau o s ~ i n ~ R o m . ~ x i . ~ 29 . ~$ ả $\boldsymbol{\text { о́т́тє } о \iota . - O n ~ B . M . ~} 336$ (2/) Kenyon observes, " ả $\mu \phi o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o \iota ~$ $=\pi a ́ v \tau \epsilon s$ in late Byzantine Greek . . . and it is possible that colloquially the use existed earlier." The text here
 Bury's paper on this late usage (Class. Rev. xi. 393), it is bard to disagree with Kenyon's suspicion that it was not only the last two of these five who were priests ; and in Acts xix. 16 this interpretation of $\dot{a} \mu \phi о \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu$ would undeniably simplify the narrative. My inclination to this account (which I repeat from my paper in Class. Rev. xv. 440) is somewhat strengthened by N.P. 67 and 69 (4/), where ${ }^{\dot{\alpha}} \mu \phi$ о́тєрос is used of four men.
 -a formal reception of a newly arriving magistrate-demolishes yet another "Hebraism." Polybius (v. 26) has $\epsilon i s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} v a \dot{a} \pi a ́ v \tau \eta \sigma \iota \nu$, " at his reception," which is not quite enough by itself to dispose of the derivation of the LXX. (anarthrous) phrase from לְקִרַת.
 bill of sale " $\mu \epsilon Ө \eta \rho \mu \eta \nu \epsilon \nu \mu \epsilon ́ v \eta$ к катà тò $\delta u v a \tau o ́ v ")$ we have àтобтабiov $\sigma u \gamma \gamma \rho a \phi{ }^{\prime}$, " bond of relinquishing (the sold property)."
$\dagger \beta a \sigma \tau a ́ \zeta \omega .-F o r ~ t h e ~ m e a n i n g ~ " ~ c a r r y ~ a w a y " ~(J o h n ~ x i i . ~ 6, ~$ xx. 15) B.U. 46 and 157 (both 2/)-cited by Deissmannreinforce those I have given before. Is not this the meaning in Matt. iii. 11, referring to the well known custom of " removing" the guests" sandals as they en-
tered the entertainer's house? It would be the slave's work both to " take away the sandal," as here, and to "wash the feet," as in John xiii. Now that this use of $\beta a \sigma \tau \dot{\zeta} \zeta \omega$ is so firmly established for the vernacular, it seems far the easiest way out of a not very serious "difficulty." (Cf. Menzies in Hibbert Journal, ii. 186.) We may get on without the splendide emendax, Prof. Cheyne. $\beta \iota a ́ \zeta o \mu a \iota$.-The absolute use of $\beta \iota a ́ \zeta o \mu a \iota$-see Deissm. 258 -may be reinforced by M.P. 1 (iii/), as read by Crönert (Rev. d'études grecques, xvi. 192 ff.) : $\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ \beta \epsilon \beta ı a \sigma-~$ $\mu$ évous [aủ]тoùs катєбтаркévaı. Add Tb.P. 6 (ii/) тıvàs סè кaì ßıaそoú́vous, "some who even take forcible possession." Whether this is to be used in Matt. xi. 12 is another matter: to me at any rate it seems most improbable. But it helps to destroy Cremer's argument (ap. Deissm. l.c.). With Luke xvi. 16 cf. F.P. p. 48 $\mu \eta \delta \epsilon \nu \partial ̀ s$ $\epsilon i \sigma\left[\beta \iota a \zeta_{0}\right] \mu \epsilon ́ v o v$ (suppl. Strack), date ii/i. Strack, cf. T.P. 1 (ii/) єiँ ${ }^{\prime} \pi \mu \epsilon \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \iota \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu{ }^{`} E \rho \mu i a \iota \mu \eta ̀ \epsilon i \sigma \beta \iota a ́ \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$, and L.P. $g$ (i/). Add B.U. 1004 (iii/). In Arrian's account of Alexander's death we have $\beta \iota a ́ \sigma a \sigma \theta a \iota ~ i \delta \epsilon i ̂ \nu$.
 has early Hellenistic warrant in M.P. 4 (iii/).
$\dagger \gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma$ о́коноv.—Add for this form O.P. 521 (2/). Outside Hellenistic (cf. Hicks, Stud. Bibl. iv. 5) it occurs twice near the end of the Will of Epicteta, a lengthy Doric inscription from Thera, dated by Michel (Recueil d'inscr. grecques, 789 ff.) about 200 в.о. The shorter form, seen in the N.T., is thus very well attested. B.U. 824 (1/) has $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ доббоконйор.
 "Lord, you sit still, the Romans are murmuring." This most interesting papyrus reports an interview between Marcus Aurelius and a rebel, whom the Emperor tries hard to save from his fate, showing extraordinary forbearance with the man's rude bluster.
$\gamma \nu \mu \nu o ́ s .-T h e ~ f a m i l i a r ~ s e n s e ~ o f ~ \gamma u \mu \nu o ́ s="$ with only the $\chi \not \tau \omega \dot{\nu}$ ' comes out well in M.P. 6 (iii/) $\dot{\omega} \varsigma \eta \mu \eta \nu \gamma \nu \mu \nu \grave{\rho} \dot{v} \pi$ ' aữ $\omega \nu$ : the complainant had been stripped of his íátıov. $\delta \epsilon \iota \pi \nu \epsilon ́ \omega$. -In O.P. 524 (2/) (cf. 111 in same terms, also F.P. 132, both from $3 /$ ) there is the following invitation to a


 O.P. 110, 523 (see below, $\kappa \lambda i(\nu \eta$ ). The time illustrates the elasticity of $\delta \in \hat{\imath} \pi \nu o \nu$, "dinner," and relieves some of the difficulty in Luke xiv. 16 ff ., where an ápıotov seems demanded by the details.
 shows a construction found in $\mathbf{N}^{c} A C K L P$, etc., in 1 Pet. i. 6 .

 to complain to $D$," shows that malice need not be assumed in Luke xvi. 1 any more than falsehood.
ס८кaьஸ́ $\mu a \tau a$ twice in T.P. 1 (ii/) means apparently "argu-


$\delta_{\iota} \hat{\sigma}_{\tau} \iota=$ öт $\iota$ in B.U. 1011 (ii/) $\delta \iota o ́ \tau \iota ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \pi о \lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ \lambda \eta \rho \omega \iota \iota \delta \eta ~ к а \grave{\imath}$
 viii. 21 according to $N D^{*}$ FG.
$\delta \iota \chi o \tau o \mu$ é $\omega$.-The word occurs in a Christian inscription from Lycaonia (3/), published in J.H.S. 1902 (p. 369), with the meaning "tear one's heart asunder." See the text in my paper in Expos. Times, 1903, p. 430.
סoкíнья.-Add to Deissm. 259, B.U. 717 (2/) xpvaiov סокь$\mu \epsilon i ́ o v "$ pure gold."
סóксرоs.-The combination of Rom. xiv. 18 (cf. xii 2) is partly illustrated by a papyrus of 153 a.d. (Atene e Roma,
 In the same papyrus the Lucan $\delta \iota \epsilon \tau$ ía occurs (Deissm.
258) ; also $\epsilon \in \mu \beta a \delta \epsilon \dot{v} \epsilon \iota \nu$ єis aútóv, "enter into possession of " a house, which is presumably the (class.) word found in the MSS. text of Col. ii. 18.

 young slave who was killed in trying to see a performance of castanet-players in the street below-" $\delta \hat{\omega} \mu a$ clearly indicates a room on an upper floor, and probably means the same as $\delta \omega \mu a ́ \tau \iota o \nu$, i.e. a bedchamber" (G. and H.). " The top of the house" is clearly the meaning, whether a top room or the flat roof (as in N.T.).
$\dagger \epsilon i \mu \dot{\eta} \nu .-T b . P .22$ (112 в.с.) is slightly earlier than the occurrence I previously gave. I have now five passages from 1/ to warrant this form, and two from ii/; Deissm. has one from 1 / and two from $\mathrm{i} /$. Its true vernacular character is at any rate clear, however we explain it.
 тà épıa каì тà ímáтьa, apparently as part of a "spring cleaning."
$\dagger e ̂ \lambda a \iota \omega$ ข $\nu$.-The existence of this noun in Acts i. 12 is still denied by Blass (Grammatik, ${ }^{2}$ 33), even to the extent of removal by conjecture. The exceeding frequency of its occurrence in the papyri was noted in my former paper. I may add that the formation is a favourite one; to my фо८дıкஸ́l (for which add N.P. 38 (3/)-also Aelian and Josephus) add now канך入ف́v, "camel-shed," O.P. 507 (2/), 533 (2/3), B.U. 393 (2/)—cf. i $\pi \pi \omega \dot{\nu}$. The specializing of the - $\dot{\omega} \nu$ suffix (" place of . . .," as in ${ }^{\prime} \nu \delta \rho \dot{\omega} \nu$, $\gamma \nu \nu a \iota \kappa \omega \nu, \kappa о \iota \tau \dot{\omega} \nu$, etc.) for groves is found in $\delta a \phi \nu \omega \nu$, $\dot{\alpha} \mu \pi \epsilon \lambda \omega \dot{\nu}, \phi \eta \gamma \omega \dot{\nu}, \pi \iota \tau \nu \dot{\omega} \nu, \mu \eta \lambda \dot{\omega} \nu, \kappa \nu \pi a \rho \iota \sigma \sigma \omega ́ \nu, \sigma \nu \kappa \dot{\omega} \nu$, 'Enıк $\omega$ (" willow mountain ")--the last a specially good parallel for 'E入aı $\omega$, if the etymology is sound. Cf. Brugmann, Kurze Vergl. Gramm. § 414.


more than any actual substance by one whole aroura and more each year"-yet another example of the tendency to attach $\dot{e} \nu$ to a simple dative without really altering the sense. The growing confusion of $\epsilon i \varsigma$ and $\epsilon \bar{\epsilon}$ is well shown by Hatzidakis, Einleitung, 210 ; but we should not be justified in treating it as more than inchoate in $1 /$.
 house."
 $\Delta i \delta v \mu o v . . . . \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ \pi v \rho o \hat{v}$, " has served on me a charge of defrauding D. about some wheat." Cf. Demosthenes, 836. 13, $\epsilon \dot{\imath} \mu \dot{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \chi \chi \rho^{\prime} \nu \varphi \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \nu \eta \delta \rho \epsilon \dot{\theta} \theta \eta \mu \epsilon \nu$, " deceived by time" (LS).
évo $\begin{gathered}\text { os.-In an edict of the prefect Aulus Avillias Flaccus }\end{gathered}$
 Wilcken (Archiv i. 169) to observe on Matt. xxvi. 66 that the prefect writes better Greek than the Evangelist. "Or is the illogical gen. Өavátov only a MS. corruption due to the fusion of $u$ and $o$ vowels in the later vernacular?" The dat. after eैvo ${ }^{\prime}$ os is perpetual in the phrase

 $\kappa \epsilon \iota \mu \epsilon ́ v \eta$ ठ $\iota a \gamma \rho a \phi \hat{\eta}$.
$\dagger \epsilon \notin \imath \iota \beta a \lambda \omega \dot{\nu} \nu$. I am encouraged by Dr. Kenyon to suspect that first thoughts were best here, after all. Mark xiv. 72 has to be reckoned with in any case; and when we find $\hat{\epsilon} \pi \iota \beta a \lambda \grave{\omega} \nu \sigma \nu \nu \epsilon ́ \chi \omega \sigma \epsilon \nu$ in a Ptolemaic papyrus, an à priori probability may be admitted for a similar meaning in the two passages. Now though є̇ $\pi \iota \beta o \lambda \eta$ certainly means "banking up" in Tb.P. 13, and perhaps also in the fragmentary B.U. 1003 (iii/), we have not yet found an example of $\dot{e} \pi \iota \beta a ́ \lambda \lambda \omega=\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \beta o \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu \pi o \iota o \hat{v} \mu a \iota$. On the contrary, in the Gizeh Ptolemaic papyri, published by Drs. Grenfell and Hunt in Archiv i. 57 ff ., we have $\dot{v} \pi a ́ \rho \chi o \nu t o s$

$\delta \dot{\epsilon} \kappa . \tau \cdot \lambda$., where the proximity of $\chi \hat{\omega} \mu a$ does not prevent $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \beta$. meaning " attack." I incline therefore to return to my first view, that Euthymius' gloss áp $\xi^{\alpha} \mu \in \nu o s$ (" set to and . . .'') was essentially right, supported as it is by the $\eta_{\rho} \xi a \tau \sigma$ к $\lambda a i \epsilon \iota \nu$ of D , the Old Syriac, the Peshitta, Gothic, etc.
ép $\omega \tau$ á $\omega$. -In the sense of aité $\omega$ this is really too common to need illustration; Deissm. has only a few citations, but they may be multiplied indefinitely, and שָׁw certainly need trouble us no more.
$\epsilon \boldsymbol{\jmath} \sigma \chi \dot{\eta} \mu \omega \nu$.—B.U. 926 (2/) $\pi a \rho a \gamma \epsilon \nu \rho \mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \rho a \tau i ́ \sigma \tau \omega \nu$ $\epsilon \dot{v} \sigma \chi \eta \mu o ́ v \omega \nu$ shows the word as a title, which illustrates the use in Acts. So B.U. 147 (2/3).
 etc.) is a title: B.U. $270(2 /)$ 并ооч́ $\mu . \kappa \omega^{\prime} \mu \eta$; ; O.P. 294 (1/) ó $\dot{\eta} \gamma \circ \hat{v}_{\mu} \mu \nu o s$ тov̂ $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma \circ \hat{v}$, "the marshal of the strategus"; F.P. 110 (2/), etc. In F.P. p. 264 G. and H. have a note on the various meanings of this " ambiguous title," which sometimes describes a president and sometimes an underling of an official.
$\dot{\eta} \lambda \iota \kappa i a$. -The word is common, but I do not remember a place where it means " stature." It often denotes " manhood," as B.U. 168 (2/), тois à àє $\lambda \epsilon ́ \sigma \iota ~ \epsilon ̂ \chi o v \sigma \iota ~ т \grave{\eta \nu ~} \dot{\eta} \lambda \iota \kappa i ́ a \nu$, " of age."
 use of the adverb in L.P. $n(\mathrm{ii} /)$, ${ }^{\prime \prime} \nu \sigma \iota \mu o s \dot{\eta} \sigma v \chi \hat{\eta} \iota$, " mildly snub-nosed"!
$\kappa a \iota \nu o ́ s ̣ .-C h . P . ~ 30(2 /) \kappa a \iota \nu \omega ̂ ~ \kappa a \mu \eta \lambda \epsilon i ́ t \eta$, "a new cameldriver." We have also olvov кaıvov, ib. The word is presumably obsolete in the modern vernacular, if one may judge by the title of Palles' version, " ${ }_{\eta}$ véa $\delta_{\imath a-}$ $\theta \eta \dot{\prime} \kappa \eta$."
$\kappa а \mu \eta \lambda \iota \kappa o ́ s .-I n ~ O . P . ~ 498(2) w e ~ f i n d ~ к а \mu \eta \lambda \iota к о і ~ \lambda i \theta o \iota, ~ s t o n e s ~$ not too heavy for a camel, but too heavy for other beasts. This is remarkably like $\mu$ údos óvıкós, Mark ix. 42, which
has not, I believe, been paralleled hitherto. (Noted in Athencum for Nov. 7). 'Ovıкós itself occurs B.U. 913 (1/).
катà 入óyov.-This phrase (Acts xviii. 14) occurs in Ch.P. 4 (ii/)—an " $\epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau о \lambda \eta े ~ \sigma v \sigma \tau a \tau \iota \kappa \eta$ '" (2 Cor. iii. 1) - $\epsilon$ ' $\epsilon^{\epsilon} \rho \rho \omega \sigma a \iota$ $\kappa a i ̀ \tau a ̈ \lambda \lambda a ~ \sigma o \iota ~ \kappa a \tau a ̀ ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o v ~ \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau i v . ~ A l s o ~ B . P . ~ 3 ~(P t o l e m a i c), ~$ same formula.
入ıтоияriaıs shows this Pauline word in a very uneducated document.
*†катаvтá $\omega .-A d d$ O.P. 481 (1/), 482 (2/) and B.U. 902 (2/) to my list for the meaning " descend to an heir."
 $\chi^{\text {ápıтау кататє } \ell є \iota \mu \epsilon ́ v o s . ~ T h e ~ p h r a s e ~(t w i c e ~ i n ~ A c t s) ~ i s ~}$ classical.
$\kappa а \tau а ф \rho о \nu \epsilon ́ \omega .-N . P . ~ 6(2 /), ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ П \epsilon \kappa v ̂ \sigma \iota \nu ~ к а т а ф \rho o v e i ̂ v ~ \mu o v ~ \tau \eta ̂ s ~$ $\dot{\eta} \lambda \iota \kappa i a s$, is much like 1 Tim . iv. 12 , even to the position of the pronoun. So N.P. 31 (2/) катафроv $\omega$ v $\mu \circ v \tau \bar{\eta} S$
 $\tau \epsilon \tau \in \lambda \epsilon \dot{\iota} \tau \eta \kappa \epsilon \nu$. The formula is accordingly associated with petitions from defenceless people wronged by those who presumed on their defencelessness; the word does not denote a mere feeling of contempt-it is active. We may infer that Timothy is told not to let men push him aside as a stripling; and in all the N.T. passages the action encouraged by contempt seems implied, rather than the mental state.
$\kappa \iota \beta \omega \tau$ ós.—This (classical) word appears in F.P. 121 (1/) ėv
 together with кóфıvos.
$*_{\kappa} \lambda i \nu \eta$.-To the invitation note quoted in my first paper ( p .279 f.) may be added the following: O.P. 523 (2/)



upon the other (O.P. 110), where the feast was at the Serapeum: when the idol's table was set in a private house, the difficulty of avoiding the $\epsilon i \delta \omega \lambda \dot{o} \theta v \tau o v ~ m u s t$ have been specially great, if the Christian was not to avoid all social intercourse with heathen neighbours. The document illustrates Lightfoot's vivid pages (Historical Essays, 15 ff .) on " the ubiquity, the obtrusiveness, the intrusiveness of paganism." ${ }^{1}$
$\kappa о \lambda \lambda a ́ \omega .-F . P .112$ (1/), a letter from the illiterate farmer Gemellus alluded to at the end of my last paper, has кai
 not unite a number of bulls to (or with) the [?]." The lacuna prevents our defining коддá $\omega$ exactly, which is the more unfortunate as this is the only citation I can make for this common N.T. word, except the $4 /$ magic payprus B.M. 46.
$\dagger \kappa о \rho a ́ \sigma \iota o v .-A d d$ B.M. 331 (2/), where it means "lad." Also B.U. 887 (2/), 913 (3/), of female slaves.
$\kappa о \sigma \mu о к р а ́ т \omega \rho .-T h e ~ E m p e r o r ~ C a r a c a l l a ~ r e c e i v e s ~ t h i s ~ t i t l e ~ i n ~$ an Egyptian inscription (Archiv ii. 431 ff., no. 83.
$\kappa \tau a ́ o \mu a t .-O c c u r r e n c e s ~ o f ~ p r e s . ~ a n d ~ a o r . ~ m a y ~ h e l p ~ s o m e ~$ difficult N.T. passages. Tb.P. 5 (ii/) they have decreed
 any other persons take possession of or use the tools." O.P. 259 (23 A.D.), I swear єi $\mu \eta े \nu \tau \tau \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \theta a \iota ~ \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ \rho a s ~ \tau \rho \iota a ́-$ коขта év ais dंтокатабти́ $\sigma \omega$ " that I have 30 days"; ib.
 $\mu \epsilon \tau a ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$, к.т.入. "I have no power to obtain a further period of time" :--here we seem to have the rather com-

[^1]mon confusion of aor. and fut. infin. O.P. 337 (2/) кal

$\dagger \kappa u ́ \rho \iota o s .-I n ~ a d d r e s s i n g ~ a ~ b r o t h e r ~ o r ~ o t h e r ~ n e a r ~ r e l a t i v e, ~$
 $\kappa$. á $\delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \epsilon$. O.P. 528 (2/) $\tau \hat{\eta} \dot{a} \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \hat{\eta} \kappa a i{ }^{\kappa} \kappa v \rho i a$.
$\lambda \iota \kappa \mu a ́ \omega$. -Still literal in B.U. 698 (2/).
$\dagger \lambda o y \epsilon i a .-T h e r e$ is a note on this word in O.P. ii. 184. It "is used for irregular local contributions as opposed to regular taxes "-an excellent illustration of the Pauline use. The editors further refer to some exx. quoted by Wilcken (Ostraka, i. 253 ff .), where the reference is to a tax for the priests of Isis: in B.U. 515 (2/) also it " may mean a contribution for religious purposes." $\lambda o \gamma \epsilon v(\omega$ occurs in Tb.P. 5 (118 в.c.) and 6 ( 140 в.c.).
$\dagger \lambda$ о́o $\mu a \iota$.-To my former illustration of Matt. vi. 17 add
 $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda o v \sigma a ́ \mu \eta \nu$ ov̉к ${ }^{\eta} \lambda \iota \mu(\mu) \epsilon\left(={ }_{\eta} \lambda \epsilon \iota \mu \mu a \iota\right) \mu \epsilon ́ \chi \rho \epsilon \iota \iota \beta$ 'A $\theta \dot{v} \rho$. Note the precision with which the fond husband observes an exact month's abstinence from the bath, by way of moving his hard-hearted wife to return.
$\dagger \mu \epsilon \sigma \iota \tau \epsilon \dot{v} \omega$.-The derived $\mu \epsilon \sigma \iota \tau \epsilon i a$ in B.U. 445 (2/) $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$
 in B.U. 906 (1/) and 709 (2/).
$\mu \epsilon \nu o v y \gamma \epsilon$. -The position of this at the beginning of a clause in Rom. x. 18 (cf. Luke xi. 28) may be paralleled by $\mu \epsilon ́ v \tau o \iota \gamma \epsilon$ standing first, O.P. 531 (2/), A.P. 135 (2/).
$\dagger \mu \iota \kappa \rho o{ }^{\prime}$.-For $\mu$. in the place of a surname (like Mark xv. 40) add especially N.P. 28 (2) $\pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ \pi a \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ a u ̉ r o ̂ ̀ ~$
 is not encouraging to the meaning junior, though one remembers that in America to-day there would be nothing unusual in finding " Stotoetis jr." the father of a grownup son. Further exx. are Ch.P. $30(2 /) \mu \iota \kappa \rho \hat{\varphi} ’ \lambda \phi \rho o \delta\left(\iota \sigma \iota^{\prime} \omega\right)$, 'Aфроб. ка $\mu \eta \lambda(\varepsilon i ́ \tau \eta) \mu \iota \kappa ., ' A \phi \rho о \delta . \mu \iota \kappa$. quater-other persons of the same name figure in this ledger, twice without de-
scription，then＇A．тéкто⿱⺌兀，тоццév८＇A．，and twice before a lacuna．O．P． 530 （2／）Өa८бov̂v т̀̀v $\mu \iota \kappa \rho a ́ \nu ~(f o l l o w i n g ~$ $\dot{a} \sigma \pi a ́ \zeta o v \tau a ̀ ~ \pi a \iota \delta_{i ́ a}$ ．．．，which seems to include Thaisous）；
 $\tau o u ̀ s ~ \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \pi a ́ \nu \tau a s \kappa a \tau$＇övoua（a grown－up Serenus figures earlier in the letter）； 582 （2／）$\lambda \epsilon \in \gamma o v \tau a ~ \delta \epsilon \delta \omega \kappa \epsilon ́ v a \iota ~ \tau \hat{\eta} \mu \epsilon \iota \kappa \rho a ̆ ̣ . ~$ For the meaning junior Leemans＇（ $a p$ ．Deissm．144）re－ mains the only certain evidence．
нoyı入á入os．－O．P． 465 （a 2／copy of a probably Ptolemaic astrological calendar）ovizos mo七єî עávous tíктєб才aı ．．．
 be the earliest appearance of the word，except perhaps that in LXX．
$\mu o \nu \eta$ ．－B．U． 742 （early 2／）—see under ả $\lambda \eta \theta \iota \nu o ́ s ~ a b o v e . ~ I t ~$ is apparently the term of residence which was ended by the $\pi a \rho a \chi \omega \rho \eta \sigma \iota s$ ．
$\nu \epsilon \kappa \rho о$ í．－In J．H．S．xix．92，a sepulchral inscr．of 2／，we have
 $\nu \epsilon \kappa \rho о \hat{\varsigma}$－the correlative of the N．T．$\grave{\kappa} \kappa \nu \epsilon \kappa \rho \bar{\omega} \nu$ ．Note the alternation of singular and plural where the reference is identical．

 （cf．Acts xxvi．25）．B．U． 884 （2／3）$\nu \grave{\eta} \gamma \grave{a} \rho$ тoùs $\theta \epsilon o \grave{s}$ oủк ধ̌ $\chi \omega \iota \lambda o \iota \pi o ̀ \nu \tau i ́ \sigma o \iota ~ \gamma \rho a ́ \psi \omega \iota$ ．Note $\nu \eta \eta^{\prime}$ with negatives：in 1 Cor．xv． 31 used correctly．
ขîкоя．－Apparently for עíкך in B．U． 1002 （55 в．c．）．
†ó $\mu о \lambda о \gamma^{\prime} \omega$ ．－B．P． 2 （Ptolemaic）will illustrate the very com－ mon meaning promise（class．），as in Matt．xiv． 7 al．For the meaning declare may be cited the recurring formula $\dot{\delta \mu o \lambda o \gamma \hat{\omega}} \vec{a} \pi \epsilon ́ \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$ in receipts．
$\dagger^{\prime} O v \dot{\eta} \sigma \iota \mu o s$. －Add N．P． 4 （3／），name of an official，and a relief sacred to Zeus，lately found at Cyzicus，J．H．S． xxiii． 75 ff．，no．19．（The name T $\boldsymbol{T} v \phi \hat{\omega} \sigma a$ occurs in no．17．）For X $X \eta^{\prime} \sigma \iota \mu o s$ add O．P． 478 （2／），the second
name of Dionysius, a citizen of Oxyrhynchus; and T $\iota$. K $\lambda a v \delta i o v ~ X \rho \eta \sigma i \mu o v ~ i n ~ a n ~ E g y p t i a n ~ i n s c r . ~(1 /), ~ A r c h i v ~ i i . ~$ 431 ff ., no. 30.

* tov̉ $\mu \eta_{\eta}^{\prime}$.-Add B.P. 4 (Ptolemaic) $\epsilon i \mu \grave{\eta} \tau \grave{\eta} v \mu \eta \dot{\eta} \kappa \omega v a$ [sic leg.
 There is no doubt about the emphasis here. See my note on N.P. 51 in Expos. Times, 1903, p. 429. I shall return to the subject in the Expositor shortly.
oủ $\chi \dot{\delta} \tau \nu \chi{ }^{\omega} \nu$. -Add to Deissm. 255 T.P. 1 (ii/) $\epsilon i \varsigma ~ o u ̉ ~ \tau \grave{a}$ $\tau v \chi^{\prime} \nu \tau a \beta \lambda a ́ \beta \eta$, and N.P. 3 (2/) $\pi \lambda \eta \gamma$ às oú $\tau a ̀ s ~ \tau v \chi o v ́ \sigma a s$ $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi \eta^{\eta} \nu \epsilon \gamma \kappa \epsilon \nu$. (Deissmann's B.U. 36 is now dated in Trajan's reign.)
$\dagger \pi a \rho a ̀$.-Cf. for 1 Cor. xii. 15 M.P. 11 (iii/), where $\pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ~$ c. inf. occurs thrice meaning because. (See WM. 504.) In B.U. 998 (101 в.c.) $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi a \rho$ ' aùtô̂ bis seems to be " his family" : I need not cite some additional passages for the meaning " agents."
$\pi a \rho a \theta \dot{\eta} \kappa \eta$.-C.P.R. 29 (2/) $\gamma^{\prime}$ '́ovє є's $\mu \epsilon \dot{\eta} \pi$. B.U. 856 (early 2/) $\dot{a} \pi \pi \tau \epsilon \iota \sigma a ́ \tau \omega \sigma a \nu$. . . [ $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi a \rho a] \theta \eta_{\prime}^{\prime} \kappa \eta \nu \delta \iota \pi \lambda \eta \grave{\eta} \nu .1004$ (iii/)

$\pi а \rho а \kappa u ́ \pi \tau \omega .-O . P .475$ (2/-quoted above under $\delta \hat{\omega} \mu a$ ) shows very clearly the meaning "look down," thus reinforcing Hort's argument on 1 Pet. i. 12.

 $\pi[o \lambda i ̂ \tau] a \iota ~ o i ~ a i \rho[o v ́ \mu \epsilon v o \iota] \beta e ́ \lambda \tau \iota o \nu[\pi o \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon v ́ \epsilon \sigma \theta] a \iota \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.
$\pi \lambda \epsilon o \nu \epsilon \kappa \tau \epsilon ́ \omega$.-A.P. 78 (2/) $\mu[o \nu] \pi \lambda \epsilon o \nu \epsilon \kappa \tau \hat{\imath}$ "overreaches me." F.P. 124 (2/) has the noun, of a son who defrauds bis mother of her allowance.


тотатós.-This Hellenistic form occurs in the mime fragment, O.P. 413 (Roman period). тотатà $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi a \tau \epsilon i ̂$; " where are you walking from?"
 adds $\epsilon ่ \nu$, whereof more hereafter.
$\pi \rho o ́ v o l a \nu ~ \pi o \iota o \hat{v} \mu a l .-A r c h i v ~ i . ~ 169 ~(1 /), ~ A . P . ~ 40 ~(i i /) ~ a l . ~ A s ~$ early as Demosthenes with gen., as in Rom. xiii. 14, and so A.P. 137 (3/), 144 (5/).
$\pi \rho o ̀ s .-B . U .113$ (2/) $\pi$. каи $\rho o ̀ v ~ \pi a \rho \epsilon \pi \iota \delta \eta \mu \epsilon i v, "$ for a time," not as in Sophocles $=\kappa a \iota \rho i \omega \varsigma$. J.H.S. xix. 302 (Cbristian)
 aủtấ $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \theta \epsilon o ́ v: ~ c f . ~ H e b . ~ i v . ~ 13 . ~$
$\pi \rho o \sigma \epsilon \nu \chi \eta$. - Among Strack's Ptolemaic inscriptions (Archiv ii. 536 ff .) there are notes of five Jewish "places of prayer" in Upper Egypt, and many in Lower. Strack remarks that the Jews do not hesitate to dedicate their $\pi \rho o \sigma \varepsilon v \chi \eta^{\prime}$ for the welfare of the king, but they never give him the usual divine title. Note especially one inscr.
 $\pi \rho o \sigma \epsilon v \chi \grave{\eta} \nu \ddot{a} \sigma v \lambda o \nu-a$ remarkable privilege to grant.
$\pi \rho o \sigma \epsilon ́ \chi \omega .-A b s o l .=a t t e n d$ (class.), in M.P. 22 (iii/) $\dot{o} \delta \grave{\epsilon} ~ o \dot{u}$ $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \epsilon ́ \sigma \chi \epsilon \nu$.
$\pi \rho о \sigma \kappa а \rho \tau \epsilon \rho \epsilon ́ \omega$.-Common in papyri, as O.P. 484 (2/), to attend a court, 486, etc.
$\pi \rho o \sigma$ фáyıov.-B.U. 916 (1). O.P. 498 (2/) a stone-cutter's wages to be 4 drachmae a day, with ä $\rho \tau о \nu$ є̈va каえ $\pi \rho о \sigma$ фáyov, "a loaf and relish" (G. and H.). The apostles had presumably taken áproc with them as usual (cf. Mark viii. 14, an isolated omission) in the fishing expedition of John xxi.: the question of Jesus ( $v .5$ ) relates to the other element in an ordinary meal.
$\sigma a \rho \gamma \dot{v} \eta \eta$.-B.U. 417 (2/3), B.M. 236 (4/); $\sigma a \rho \gamma a \nu \varepsilon i \lambda \omega \nu$ (gen.) in Ch.P. 30 (191 a.D.) is supposed by the editor to be a diminutive.
$\Sigma_{i} \lambda \beta$ avós.-The name is common, and regularly so spelt, except in B.U. 954 (6/). O.P. 335 ( 85 A D.) is interesting : a house is bought from $\Pi a \hat{v} \lambda o s$ by Nıкaia $\sum_{\imath} \lambda[\beta a] \nu \hat{\omega}$
 noted half-a-dozen later exx.
$\sigma \kappa \epsilon \hat{v} o s .-I t s$ general character is well maintained. There is the common phrase $\grave{\epsilon} \pi i \pi \lambda o a ~ \sigma \kappa \epsilon u ́ \eta$ " moveables (and)
furniture." Thrice in G.H. = effects, property, once that of a church. So in O.P. 139 (7/) $\mu \eta \chi$ аעıкà $\sigma \kappa \epsilon u ́ \eta, 348(2 / 3)$ $\sigma \kappa \epsilon u ́ \eta ~ \kappa \lambda i ́ \nu \eta s$.
† $\sigma \kappa \dot{\prime} \beta a \lambda o \nu-\sum_{\kappa} \kappa \dot{u} \beta a \lambda o s$, O.P. 43 (3/), is a proper name (of a dyer)!
$\sigma \pi \epsilon \kappa о \nu \lambda a ́ t \omega \rho$-Ch.P. 30 (2/) $\sigma \pi \epsilon \kappa о \nu \lambda(a ́ \tau о \rho \iota) . ~$
$\sigma \tau \iota \beta a \dot{s} .-$ Still $=$ mattress in O.P. $520(2 /)$.
$\dagger \sigma \nu \mu \psi a ́ \omega$.-Cf. катачáa in B.U. 1011 (ii/) $\sigma o \hat{v} \tau o v ̀ \varsigma ~ є ́ \gamma к а-~$ $\lambda o \hat{\nu \tau a \varsigma ~ к а \tau а \psi \hat{\omega} \nu \tau о \varsigma, ~ p r e s u m a b l y, ~ a s ~ i n ~ P o l y b i u s, ~ " t o ~}$ smoothe down."
$\sigma \nu \nu \epsilon i \delta \eta \sigma \iota \varsigma$.-Cf. O.P. 532 (2/), where the neuter $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \iota \delta o{ }^{\prime}$ s is used in this sense-ímò какой $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \iota \delta o ́ т о \varsigma ~ к а т є \chi \dot{\mu} \mu є \nu о s$.
$\sigma \nu \nu є \nu \omega \chi$ є́о $\mu a \iota$.-B.U. 596 (1/).
$\sigma v \nu i \sigma \tau \eta \mu \iota$.-In sense commend (class.) common in papyri.
 $\kappa a i ̀ ~ \sigma v \sigma \tau \eta ̂ \sigma a i ́ \mu \epsilon$ ör $\omega \varsigma$. . . à $\pi о \lambda v \theta \hat{\omega}$. O.P. 330, 331, 332, 334 (all 1/) ó $\sigma u v \in \sigma \tau a \mu e ́ v o s ~ \dot{v} \pi i ̀ o ~(\tau o v ̂ ~ \delta \epsilon \iota \nu o ́ s) . ~ S e e ~ a b o v e ~$ under катà 入ópov.
$\dagger \sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a$.-A clear case of $\sigma \dot{\omega} \mu a \tau a=$ slaves in O.P. 493 (early 2/), $\tau \grave{a ̀ ~ a ̈ \pi ~} \rho a \tau a \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma \omega \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$.
$\sigma \omega \tau \eta \eta^{\prime}$.-Some vivid light by contrast is thrown on John iv. 42 and 1 John iv. 14 by an Egyptian inscription in Archiv
 (cf. Luke xxii. 25) $\tau \hat{\eta}$ s oiкov $\mu \epsilon ́ v \eta s$ ! Of course both these titles were claimed regularly by the Ptolemies, and the same phrase is used by Vespasian (no. 28; but the $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ oiкou $\mu$ év $\eta \mathrm{s}$ is peculiar to Nero. If Nero is to be recognized in the Apocalypse (which is perbaps not quite axiomatic), his appropriation of this title is in striking accord with the principle which in this Book always makes the devilish parody the Divine. (I may refer to my treatment of this in Hastings' D.B. iv. 992a.)
$\dot{\nu} \pi \varepsilon ́ \rho$. -In accounts $=$ " on $\mathrm{a} / \mathrm{c}$ of "': $\dot{\imath} \pi \grave{\varrho} \rho$ ò $\psi \omega \nu i o v$ O.P. 514 (2/), ím $\grave{\rho} \rho \mu \iota \sigma \theta o \hat{\imath} \epsilon \rho \gamma a \tau \hat{\omega} \nu 522$ (2/), etc.
$\dot{v} \pi \dot{\sigma} \sigma \tau a \sigma!$.-There is a note on the technical force of this
common word (=property, as O.P. 138) in O.P. ii. p. 176. It is used for " the whole body of documents bearing on the ownership of a person's property, deposited in the archives, and forming the evidence of ownership." This gives a striking sense in Heb. xi. 1: "Faith is the titledeed of things hoped for."
 iv. 13), O.P. 531 (2/) $\tau \omega \nu \pi \pi \rho \phi \nu \rho \omega \hat{\nu} \phi$. "purple cloaks." But in B.U. 816 (3/) $\phi u \iota[\lambda o] \nu i \omega \nu$ is read by Wilcken. фа́б८ц.—T.P. 1 (ii/) фá $\sigma \epsilon \sigma \iota=$ verbis tantum. B.U. 830 (1/)


 abs.). Other uses : A.P. 72 (2/) $\phi \theta a ́ \sigma a \sigma a=a t$ once ; O.P.

 $\sigma o \nu ;$ G. 53 (4/) $\phi \theta a ́ \nu o \mu \epsilon \nu \dot{a} \pi o \delta \epsilon i \xi \epsilon \iota \nu$.
$\phi \iota \lambda o \sigma \tau o \rho \gamma i a .-C o m m o n ~ i n ~ w i l l s, ~ w h e r e ~ b e q u e s t s ~ a r e ~ m a d e ~$ $\phi$. évєкєע, or катà $\phi$. So O.P. 490, 492 (2), J.H.S. xix. 91 (2/).
$\chi$ ápıs $\tau \hat{\omega} \theta \in \hat{\varphi}$. -For this parenthetical phrase (cf. 1 Cor. xiv. 18) cf. B.U. 843 (1/2) $\gamma \omega \nu \omega \dot{\sigma \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu ~} \sigma \epsilon$ Ө́́ $\lambda \omega$ ö́т $\chi^{\text {ápıs }}$
 (iii/), as in Rom. vi. 17, it is a main clause- $\chi$ ápıs тô̂s $\theta \epsilon o i ̂ s ~ \pi o \lambda \lambda \grave{\eta}$ єi íqıaíveıs.



 to be approaching. So the Christian inscription (J.H.S. 1902, p. 369-referred to above under $\delta \iota \chi \circ \tau о \mu \epsilon ́ \omega) ~ \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma v \nu-$

 $\epsilon \dot{\prime} \chi \circ \mu a \iota$, the word ${ }^{\prime} \in \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$ takes the place of the usual रpóvoıs: the writer is rather a " Baboo" Greek.

James Hope Modlton.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The following abbreviations will be used, mostly as in the preceding articles. (Words dealt with in the first article will be marked with * those in the second with $\dagger$.)
    (a) Papyri. B.U. $=$ Berlin Urkunde. P.P.=Petrie Papyri. B.M. $=$ British Museum Papyri. T.P.=Turin Papyri. L.P. =Leyden Papyri. C.P.R.=Corpus Papyrorum Raineri. G.=Grenfell's Greek Papyri (1895). G.H. $=$ Gr. Pap., 2nd series, by Grenfell and Hunt. R.L. = Revenue Law of Ptolemy Philadelphus. O.P.=Oxyrhynchus Papyri. F.P.=Fayûm Papyri. A.P. $=$ Amherst Papuri. Tb.P. $=$ Tebtunis Papyri. To these add Ch.P. $=$

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ One does not differ lightly from Sanday and Headlam, but I find it hard to believe that Rom. xiv. has no special reference. The libations and the ci $\delta \omega \lambda \dot{\partial} \theta u \tau a$ which would be in evidence at every banquet would perpetually force the example of Daniel and his companions upon the thoughts especially of Jewish Christians, who presumably supplied most of these scrupulous $\dot{a} \sigma \theta \epsilon v e i s$. St. Paul wishes his reference to have an absolutely general application, and therefore abstains from specializing it as in 1 Corinthians.

