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And although refreshments were offered, we all, I believe, departed almost at once, as I did, in a very silent mood.

My companion, as I went, was a gifted and fearless critic, the editor of an Encyclopædia which is commonly called " advanced," because, I suppose, it has receded from nearly every belief which nearly every one holds dear.

Neither of us spoke a word until we were half-way down Piccadilly, when he suddenly broke out:
"I will tell you what I think: that woman is an impostor and hypnotised us every one while we thought she was being hypnotised herself. As for the substance of what we heard there is nothing at all in that; I myself could have done it twice as well if I had tried."
" I am sure you could," said I, quite heartily; and yet for some reason my friend looked almost as sour as if I had disputed his assertion.

My own opinion was that we had been most unwarrantably duped. Our host was just the man to take such a liberty with the scientific methods of criticism. And none of the coincidences which had been relied upon appeared to me to be so significant as this, that the evening papers were dated April 1.

G. A. Derry and Raphoe.

## NOTES FROM THE PAPYRI.

## II

Since my last paper was written (Expositor VI. iii. 271 sqq.), the stream of papyrus publications has been continually swelling, and grammatical and lexical matter to illustrate the Greek Bible has grown apace. I have collected the grammatical points in twoarticles in the Classical Review (February and December, 1901), and hope soon to finish the series. Meanwhile I propose to put together the lexical notes which have been accumulating en passant.

Most of them come from texts recently published, especially from that fertile collection of Ptolemaic documents, the Tebtunis Papyri, edited by Drs. Grenfell and Hunt and Mr. Smyly. The romantic history of this latest find must be read in the editors' preface-the explorers' disgust when a promising tomb was found to contain only mummified crocodiles, and their workman's vindictive slash with his spade at one of the beasts, who thereupon disclosed in his wrappings the first instalment of an almost unequalled collection of old documents. Hardly any of the papyri in this large volume are later than the early part of the first century b.c. They include official documents, private correspondence, petitions, accounts, and a series of lengthy documents relating to a land survey. To speak of the editors' work is by this time superfluous: we have ceased to be surprised at anything Drs. Grenfell and Hunt may do. We should think them marvels of industry and skill if they contented themselves with directing the diggers, unrolling the mummies, mounting the brittle sheets and deciphering their contents. They give us commentary and translation, with notes on the widest range of subjects, and a classified series of word-indexes which add indefinitely to the value of their collection. With such monuments of an industria plusquam Germanica to our national credit, we need not be ashamed when we speak with our rivals in the gate.

Before beginning the lexical notes, let me jot down some miscellanea. ${ }^{1}$ The petition numbered 42 in Tb .P. (ii/) has

[^0]some traits in common with the parable of the Unjust Steward: we may quote the editors' summary instead of the obscure original. "A priest had leased 6 arourae of domain land from the Crown. He sub-let to Thracidas for 36 artabae of wheat per annum; but the official who drew up contracts had conspired with Thracidas to write 30, on the ground that the petitioner had already received 6 as a pledge." In the next document (118 b.c.) the editors observe that there is " one of the few references to Jews in the Tebtunis Papyri." The reference consists in the name Simon, but is it so clear that he was a Jew? There are half-a-dozen Greek Simons commemorated in Smith's Dictionary of Classical Biography. The Jews figure more clearly in Tb.P. 86 (ii/), where there is a $\pi \rho o \sigma \epsilon v \chi \grave{\eta}{ }^{\prime}$ Iov $\delta a i^{\prime} \omega v$ with a $\Delta i o ̀ s ~ \pi a \rho a ́ \delta \epsilon \iota \sigma o s ~ n e a r . ~ N o t e ~ a l s o ~ t h e ~ J e w ~ T e u p h i l u s ~$ ( $=$ Theophilus) in F.P. 123 (100 A.D.).

In illustration of Matthew vi. 17 may be quoted O.P. 294 (22 A.D.), where a certain Serapion, writing to his brother from Alexandria, urgently begs for news as to a report that his house has been searched in his absence, declaring that he was not even anointing himself till he heard. The edd. compare another (unpublished) letter in which the writer says that as a token of sympathy he had not washed for a month. We see what ádavi'̧ovav $\tau \grave{a}$ $\pi \rho o ́ \sigma \omega \pi a$ aù $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ means!

It may be worth while to quote a late Christian amulet, B.U. 954 (6/), in which the writer prays to " God and the holy Serenus'" to deliver him from тò $\delta a i \mu о v a \pi \rho о \beta а \sigma к а \nu i a s . ~$ For this purpose he uses the Lord's Prayer, with the ending $\dot{\rho} \hat{v} \sigma a \iota \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{a} \varsigma \dot{a} \pi \grave{̀} \tau \hat{\eta} s \pi o \nu \eta p i a s$. Those who support the A.V.

Gaertringen and Paton. Letr. $=$ Recueil des inscr. lat. et grecques de l'Égypte, ed. Letronne, 1842.
(c) iii/, ii $/ \mathrm{i} /,=3 \mathrm{rd}, 2 \mathrm{nd}, 1$ st cent. $\mathbf{B . c .} ; 1 /, 2 /, 3 /$, etc., $=1$ st. etc. cent. A.d. Deissm.=Deissmann's Bible Studies (tr. Grieve). WM=Winer-Moulton's N.T. Grammar. HR Hatch and Redpath's LXX Concordance. GrimmThayer=N.T. Lexicon. LS=Liddell and Scott.
of this clause may lay what weight they please upon this evidence.

Last in this very miscellaneous collection I may place some passages bearing on the use of the first person plural in letters. One of the various lions in the path which alarm the bold Van Manen, who might otherwise-who knows?-bave accepted the authenticity of Philemon, is the "surprising" mixture of singular and plural both in the persons speaking and in the persons addressed. It is a little difficult to find the "surprising mixture" in Philemon, but the vagaries of the Leyden professor need not detain us bere. ${ }^{1}$ More important scholars have found some difficulty in deciding the relations between $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \hat{i} \varsigma$ and $\bar{\epsilon} \gamma \omega$ in other Pauline letters-e.g. 1 Thess. iii. 1, 2, 6, 2 Cor. x. and xiii. The study of papyrus letters will show that singular and plural alternated in the same document with apparently no distinction of meaning. Thus Tb.P. 55 and 58, A.P. 37. (all ii/), A.P. 144 (5/), F.P. 117 ? (2/) etc.

Let us proceed then to our $\lambda \epsilon \xi \iota \kappa a ́ \rho \iota o v$, if the word may be allowed.
ädodos.-Deissm. 256 cites for this only an inscr. of 150
 Tb.P. 105 (103 в.c.), and often elsewhere. Is not $a \check{\delta} \delta o \lambda o \nu$ rála, " pure milk,"-as in view of the common use of the adjective in popular language it is most naturally trans-lated-to be regarded as one compound phrase, qualified by the $\lambda о \gamma \iota \kappa o ́ v$, which tells us that the figurative sense is to be taken? (Hort's note seems to imply his acceptance of this use of ${ }^{\prime \prime} \delta o \lambda o s$, though of course he bad not the vernacular evidence before him.) Some other early examples of the formula may be given. A.P. 43 (173

[^1] variation of order, or omission of ámò $\pi a \nu \tau o ́ s, ~ G . ~ 31, ~$ G.H. 29, G. 18, 28, A.P. 47, 113 (all from ii/). In F.P. 89 ( 9 a.d.) it is used of seed; and it is found as late as G.H. 90 (6/) applied to wine.
$\dot{d} \lambda \lambda a ́$.-In Tb.P. 104 (92 B.c.)—an interesting marriage contract, the most complete yet found-we have кai $\mu \grave{\eta}$
 $\lambda \omega v l a \nu$. Here ả àá comes near "but" in the sense "except"; the preceding ä $\lambda \lambda \eta \nu$ perhaps permits us to save our grammatical face by translating " to marry any other wife, but [it is allowed only to marry] Apollonia." But the passage makes me rather less certain that R.V. and WM are right on Matthew xx. 23.
àvá $\sigma \tau a \sigma \iota s$.-Three references may be given from I.M.A. (iii. 478, 479, 481-all from 2/) for the meaning erection (of a monument).
$\stackrel{a}{\alpha} \nu \omega \theta \epsilon \nu$. -In Tb.P. 59 ( 99 в.c.) $b i s=\dot{\epsilon} \xi \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \hat{\eta} s$, as in Luke i. 3, etc.-a classical use.
äтотá $\sigma \sigma o \mu a t$.—Ratherford, New Phryn. p. 75, gives the history of this word. Its N.T. sense of " bidding fare-
 $\theta$ é $\lambda \omega$, " to get rid of him."
$\dot{a} \rho \epsilon \tau \dot{\eta}$.-A rather curious phrase occurs in the ordinance of Ptolemy Euergetes II., Tb.P. 5 (118 B.c.) : $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \dot{a} \rho \epsilon \tau \tilde{\eta} \iota$ $\kappa \epsilon \iota \mu \epsilon ́ ้ \eta \nu \quad \beta a\left(\sigma_{\iota} \lambda_{\iota \kappa} \grave{\eta} \nu\right) \gamma \eta \eta^{\prime} \nu$, "the richest crown land." The editors quote Hesychius $\dot{a} \rho \in \tau \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu \quad \dot{a} \rho \in \tau a i \nu \omega \sigma \iota \nu$, $\epsilon \dot{\delta} \delta a \iota \mu о \nu \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu, \epsilon ่ \nu \grave{c} \rho \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu$. Is this an earlier evidence for Deissmann's $\dot{a} \rho \epsilon \tau \eta \eta^{\prime}=$ lans ( $p .95$ ), as if " land in esteem"?

 assign it the same sense. Van Herwerden (Lexicon suppl. s.v.) gives additional evidence for the other new meaning brought out by Deissmann, " manifestation of power."
d́ $\rho \chi \eta \gamma o ́ s$. .-The meaning " author" (classical) may be reinforced for the N.T. by O.P. $41 \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \eta \gamma \varepsilon ̀ \tau \hat{\omega} v \dot{a} \gamma a \theta \hat{\omega} v$, which shows this force surviving till the third or fourth century An early occurrence may be seen on the Rosetta Stone (ii/), ai $\delta \bar{\eta} \pi o \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} v \dot{a} \gamma a \theta \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{a} \rho \chi \eta \gamma o i ̀ \pi a ̂ \sigma i v ~ \epsilon i \sigma \iota$. The other meaning, "leader," survives still (Kennedy, Sources, p. 153).
'A覑 $\chi \eta$.-Add to my references for this (Expositor, l.c.



ă $\sigma \eta \mu o s$.-This word recurs perpetually in the papyri to denote a man who is " not distinguished " from his neighbours by the convenient scars on eyebrow or arm or right shin which identify so many individuals in formal documents. In Acts xxi. 39 it is " undistinguished, obscure," as sometimes in classical writers (see LS).
$\dot{a} \sigma \tau o \chi \epsilon \epsilon$.-Earliest in Polybius, occurs in B.U. 531 (2/), meaning " fail, disappoint."
äтотоs.-B.U. 757 (12 A.D.) has éт $\epsilon \rho a$ äтота, attributed to some marauders who had pulled to pieces a farmer's sheaves of wheat, and thrown them to the pigs. The later ethical sense, familiar in the N.T., must be recognized here.
$\beta a \rho \dot{v} \nu \omega$.-See below under ка $\theta^{\prime} \dot{i} \pi \epsilon \rho \beta o \lambda \eta{ }^{\prime} \nu$.
$\beta a \sigma \tau \dot{\jmath} \zeta \omega .-F . P .122$ (end of $1 /$ ) may be added to the citations for the meaning "carry away." So B.U. 388 (2/).
 "other business documents," a good illustration of the N.T. passages (Luke xxi. 34, 1 Cor. vi. 3, 4).
ßoú $\lambda o \mu a l$. -The Tb.P. show this word as freely as the later papyri : Blass's opinion (repeated in Gram. ${ }^{2}$ pp. 39, 48), that the word was borrowed from the literary language, becomes more and more difficult to support.
 Aristotle.)
$\gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma о \kappa о \mu \epsilon i o v .-T h i s$ form is still found in B.U. 824 (1/); but in G. 14 ( 150 or 139 в.c.) we have $\gamma^{\lambda} \omega \sigma \sigma o ́ \kappa o \mu a \gamma$, with the N.T. form. These articles, together with two $\kappa i \sigma \tau a \iota$ and a $\beta i \kappa \kappa o s \dot{\rho} \eta \tau i v \eta s$, etc., were deposited in a temple. Two $\theta i \beta \epsilon \iota \varsigma$ (Exod. ii. 3, 5, 6, LXX) appear in the list. Dr. Grenfell cites Hesychius $\theta i \beta \eta$. $\pi \lambda \epsilon \kappa \tau o ́ \nu$ тı кı $\beta \omega \tau o \epsilon \iota \delta$ '́s $\dot{\omega} s \gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma о \kappa о \mu \in \hat{\imath} о \nu$.
סєкayós is not a Biblical word, but it may be interesting to note its earliest appearance. This is apparently in Tb.P. 27 (113 b.c.) : so also 251, and O.P. 387 (1/). The editors observe that the date of this passage settles the question whether it is derived from $\delta^{\prime} \kappa к$ or from decem.
 an earlier example of the Hellenistic use " to extort." It takes the (ablative) genitive here, if the cases of a very muddled scribe are to be regarded as deliberate : in Luke iii. 14 and many other places it has the accusative. Cf. O.P. 284 ( 50 A.D.) $\delta_{\iota} a \sigma \epsilon i \sigma \theta \eta v$ (sic) $\dot{v} \pi o ̀ ~ ' A \pi o \lambda \lambda o \phi a ́ v o v s . ~ I n ~$ O.P. 240 ( 34 A.D.) we have an oath by a $\kappa \omega \mu о \gamma \rho a \mu \mu a \tau \epsilon \dot{c} s$ that he knows of no villager $\delta \iota a \sigma \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \iota \sigma \mu \epsilon$ 'v $\omega \iota$. . . $\dot{v} \pi \grave{o}$
 have come almost fresh from the Baptist's exhortation! єi $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu$.-An example of this spelling occurs in Tb.P. 78 ( $110-8$ в.c.), earlier by some twenty years than Deissmann's earliest citation (p. 208). An ex. from 27 b.c. in B.U. 543. Parallel spellings from documents of the Ptolemaic age are $\chi \rho \hat{\eta}$ os loan Tb.P. 111, 112 bis, $\tau^{\prime} \theta \eta(\kappa a)$ ib. 120 -it is unlikely that the Attic $\tau^{\prime} \theta \eta \kappa a$ survived as late as the first century B.c.- $\dot{a} \rho \chi \hat{\eta} o \nu i b$. 166, $\pi о \rho \eta(\hat{\omega} \nu)$ ib. 121, $\pi \rho о ф \eta \tau \eta \omega \nu i b .88$.
єi iסos.-The R.V. of 1 Thess. v. 22 is confirmed, if it needs confirmation, by the recurrent formula mavoòs ciסous of every kind, found in business documents passim
—e.g. C.P.R. 170 (1/2). So B.U. 880 (2/) $\mu о \nu o \delta є \sigma \mu i a s$

$\epsilon i s .-T b . P .138$ (late ii/) $\dot{o}$ єis $\tau \hat{\omega \nu} \pi \rho \sigma \gamma \epsilon \gamma \rho a \mu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu \quad$ ' $O_{\nu \nu \hat{\omega} \phi \rho \iota s ; ~}$ ib. 48 (113 в.c.), є̇ $\pi \iota \lambda a \beta o \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$ тov̂ èvòs $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ " $\Omega \rho o v$. Cf. $\dot{\delta}$ єis rôv $\delta \omega \dot{\delta} \epsilon \kappa a$ Mark xiv. 10. The "difficult article" which Swete notes there must be explained in the same way, it would seem, as in these documents, where it is hardly possible to apply either of the interpretations given in bis note-certainly not the second, by which $\dot{\circ} \epsilon i \varsigma=\epsilon i \varsigma \not \omega \nu$.
$\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \theta \epsilon \mu a \tau \iota \zeta о \mu a \iota$. -In Tb.P. 27 (113 B.c.) this verb occurs, meaning "to be proclaimed a defaulter." Its noun ě̃ $\kappa \epsilon \epsilon \mu a$, "edict," occurs in Polybius: the LXX of Esth. viii. 14, 17, uses it to translate the Persian loan-word $\Omega$ T. See Rutherford, New Phryn. p. 319.
 44) comes in an inscr. from ii/, I.M.A. iii. 331. Cf. Deissm. p. 262.
ė $\lambda a \iota \omega$ ט $\nu$.-Deissmann (p. 208 ff .) has sufficiently demonstrated against Blass the reality of this word: I have found nearly thirty examples in the first three centuries. Earlier still are the presumable exx. in Tb.P. 81, 87 (ii/), where we have $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda(a \iota \omega \hat{\omega}$ os). A curious parallel is found in the noun $i \beta i \omega v$, which occurs in Tb.P. 64 (116-5 B.c.) bis, ißícvos; add $i \beta i \omega(v o s)$ in 82 and 98 , and äd $\lambda \lambda o v$ (sc. iBi $\omega \nu \mathrm{s} \boldsymbol{s}$ ) in 62 . The editors connect it closely with $i \beta i \omega \nu$ (sc. $\tau \rho o \phi \hat{\eta} s$ ) " for the feeding of ibises," the word being treated as a nom. sing. instead of a gen. pl. : they observe that "the declension of the village called ' $I \beta i \omega \nu$ probably contributed to the use of this curious form." If this is so, we might explain è $\lambda a \iota \omega \dot{v}$ as starting from $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda a \iota \omega \hat{\nu}$ (sc. oै $\rho o \varsigma$ ) declined by mistake. But in both cases new formation with the suffix $-\omega \nu$ is also possible. Note the parallel (gen.) фo兀vıкผ̄̀os, "palmgrove, in A.P. 31 (112 в.о.).
"E $E \lambda \eta \nu$.-In the ordinance of Euergetes II, Tb.P. 5 (118 B.c.)" $E \lambda \lambda \eta \nu a s=$ "probably . . . all non-Egyptian soldiers . . . whether Macedonians, Cretans, Persians, etc." The editors compare the antithesis between Greeks and Egyptians later in the same decree. This illustrates excellently the familiar antithesis between Jews and "Greeks," so pronounced in Mark vii. 26.
$\epsilon \in \nu$. - A variety of highly interesting uses of $\bar{\epsilon} \nu$ may be noted in the Ptolemaic papyri. Most important is that noted by the editors of Tb.P. (p. 86), who put together $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \mu a \chi a i \rho \eta \iota$ in $16, \hat{\epsilon} \nu \mu a \chi a i \rho a \iota s ~ i n ~ 41,45,46$ (all between 120 and 110 b.c.) and a Paris papyrus (No. 11) : add $\notin \nu$ ón $\pi \lambda o \iota s 48$. The force of this conclusive proof of instrumental $\epsilon \nu$ in vernacular Greek is best realized when we read the dis-
 ( 1 Cor. iv. 21 ) is manfully denied, in spite of all appearances. Even without the new evidence, however, D. might have suspected vernacular Greek: see the passage from Lucian in Findlay's note in loc. It is sufficiently unexpected evidence which enables us to banish
 from numerous passages in the LXX.-Another abnormal
 $\pi \rho о о і ̂ \kappa a^{1}{ }^{\epsilon} \nu \delta \rho a \chi \mu a \hat{\iota} \varsigma$ є่ $\nu \nu a \kappa о \sigma i a \iota \varsigma . ~ T h i s ~ i l l u s t r a t e s ~ E p h . ~$
 It also resembles, in its use with a numeral, the difficult $\dot{\epsilon} v(b i s)$ of Mark iv. 8 (WH) ${ }^{2}$ —add Acts vii. 14. In Tb.P. 5 (the edict of Euergetes) we note also $\tau \omega \nu \bar{\omega} \nu \nu$ à̇тoîs, $=$ " either (1) in their houses, or better (2) under jurisdiction of." For (1) the editors compare R.L. (3rd cent.

 (2) Tb.P. 27 тò є̇̀ aù $\hat{\omega} \iota \iota$ ó $\phi \epsilon \iota \lambda o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \nu ~ " i n ~ h i s ~ d e p a r t-~$

[^2]ment"; ib. 72 âs द̀ $\nu$ Mapןє̂̂ тотоүран $\mu a \tau \epsilon \hat{\imath} ; ~ i b . ~ 120$
 $\rho \pi$. The exx. under (l) are a welcome addition to the R.V. case in Luke ii. 49. The latter helps $\dot{\epsilon} v \dot{\epsilon} \mu o i^{\prime}$, " in my judgment," 1 Cor. xiv. 11, perhaps év $\theta \epsilon \hat{\omega}$ Jude 1: they are all alike uses of $\dot{\epsilon} v$ where $\pi a \rho a ́$ c. dat. would have been expected in a classical writer. Add $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\nu} \mu \hat{i} \nu$ 1 Cor. vi. 2.
 "I gave notice in person," occurs the earliest example of this word outside the LXX. See Deissm. 213. The meaning is exactly that which $D$. cites there from Wilcken for his late quotation in B.U. 578 (2/)
ধ̇ $\pi \grave{\imath}$ tò aùtó.-This phrase is perpetually recurring in the papyri, especially in accounts, where it represents an addition sum, "together, in all." This use comes out well in Acts i. 15, ii. 47.
є́ $\pi \iota \beta a \lambda \dot{\omega} \nu$.-The note on this well known problem is only the record of a disappointment which may serve as a warning. In Tb.P. 50 (112-1 в.c.) I found Av́кos . . .
 $\sigma \eta \mu a \iota \nu о \mu$ évov $\dot{v} \delta \rho a \gamma \omega \gamma o \hat{v}$, which with St. Mark in my mind I took as " set to and dammed up the part of the watercourse in question." It seemed to follow that the ancients who glossed it $\eta \rho \xi a \tau o$ were not far wrong: cf. R.V. margin, and $\check{\epsilon} \kappa \lambda a v \sigma \epsilon \nu$ (ingressive aor.) in Matt. and Luke. Unhappily when I reported the passage to Dr. Swete be pointed out the use of $\epsilon \pi \iota \beta o \lambda \eta^{\prime}$ in Tb .P. 13, where it clearly = embankment: the phrase in ib. 50 is therefore almost certainly = $\bar{\epsilon} \pi \iota \beta o \lambda \eta \nu \quad \pi o \iota \eta \sigma a \mu \epsilon \nu 0 s$ $\sigma \nu \nu \epsilon ́ \chi \omega \sigma \epsilon \nu$, and the resemblance to St. Mark is fortuitous. How assured we should have been that the old problem was solved, if only that papyrus 13 had not been found or published!
črcepos.-On the general question of the survival of a correct
ét $\epsilon \rho o s$ in Hellenistic $I$ have at present nothing to add to my discussion in Class. Review, xv. 439. Meanwhile let me quote Tb.P. 41 (119 b.c.), a petition already referred
 $\pi o \iota o \nu \mu \epsilon ́ v o v ~ \tau \iota \nu \omega ̂ \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa a i ̀ ~ \dot{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu ~ \gamma \nu \nu a \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \delta \iota a \sigma \epsilon i \epsilon \epsilon \iota, " ~ t o ~$ extort from some of us and from others, viz. women"the petitioners are men. This illustrates Luke xxiii. 32 ধ̈́тєроь какоиิ $\rho \gamma \circ \iota$ ס́vo, in which the R.V. translation seems practically certain.
$\epsilon \dot{\jmath} \pi \rho \rho \sigma \omega \pi \epsilon \in \omega$.-Tb.P. 19 (114 B.c.) ö $\pi \omega \varsigma ~ \epsilon \dot{\jmath} \pi \rho \rho \sigma \omega \pi \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu$, " may make a good show," is some three centuries older than the earliest citation hitherto given for this Pauline word.
$\tilde{\epsilon} \omega \varsigma$.-The late use of ${ }^{\prime \prime} \omega \varsigma$ c. gen., as in Luke xxii. 51, Rom. iii. 12, to denote "as far as," "as much as," is well illustrated by Tb.P. 56 (late ii/) oủк $\ddot{\epsilon}^{\text {é }} \chi о \mu \in \nu$ ש̈ $\omega \varsigma$ $\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s} \tau \rho \circ \phi \hat{\eta} \mathrm{S} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \tau \eta \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$.
$\theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$ viós.-To Deissmann's exx. (p. 166 f.) add the letter of Augustus, I.M.A. iii. 174 (5 A.d.), Kaî $\sigma a \rho$ $\theta$ gov̂ viòs $\Sigma \epsilon \beta a \sigma \tau o ́ s$, interesting as coming from the Emperor himself. A very early ex. is B.U. 543 (27 в.c.) ő $\mu \nu \nu \mu \iota$ Kaíбара Aưтокрátoра $\theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$ vióv.
$\theta \epsilon \omega \rho \epsilon{ }^{\omega} \omega$.—A tendency to use $\theta \epsilon \omega \rho \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ more lightly (cf. Blass N.T. Gramm. ${ }^{2} 59$, s.v. $\left.\dot{o} \rho \hat{a} \nu\right)$ might be deduced from such
 $\pi \rho о \sigma \epsilon \delta \rho \in \dot{\jmath} о \nu \tau a \quad \kappa a \theta^{\prime} \quad \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ \rho a \nu \quad \dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \quad \delta \epsilon \delta i \lambda a \nu \tau a \iota$ (though watched will translate it here) ; ib. 61 (118 в.c.) $\dot{\eta} \xi$ lovv... $\sigma v v \theta \epsilon \omega \rho \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \theta a \iota$ conquiri, and again $\tau \epsilon\rangle \theta \epsilon \omega \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta a \iota$ ढ่є $\tau \hat{\eta} s$
 the subsequent estimate." But whether the word belonged to the Volkssprache (Blass) or not, it was hardly a mere synonym of ópâ $\nu$.
$\theta i \beta \epsilon \iota$.-See above, under $\gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma \sigma$ конєiov.
iкavoסoté $\omega$.—This new verb occurs in O.P. 259 (23 A.D.) to represent satis dare. Cf. the correlative $\lambda a \beta o{ }^{\prime} \tau \tau \epsilon s$ тò
iкayóv, Acts xvii. 9, in the same technical sense of giving or receiving security. So O.P. 294 (22 A.D.) $\delta o \tilde{v}$ aı єiкavóv. The Latinism is as old as Polybius.
i $\lambda \epsilon \omega$ s.-I may repeat here from Class. Review, xv. 436, the parallels I gave for Matt. xvi. 22, Gen. xliii. 23 and 2 Sam.
 shows the subject, which is omitted in 557 i $\lambda \epsilon \omega \varsigma$ $\sigma o i$,
 (ii. p. 286) quotes another inscr. (Reinesius, Synt. p. 243. ì $\lambda \epsilon \omega \varsigma \sigma_{0}{ }^{\prime}$ 'A $\lambda$ út $\iota$ : here "(Heaven) help thee, Alypius'" i. clearly the meaning. ${ }^{1}$ The deprecatory meaning is like the vernacular " Lord ' $a$ ' mercy."
iбторє́ $\omega$. -The Hellenistic sense, "visit, see," as in Gal. i. 18, is noted in the series of inscr., Letr. 201 etc., Tìv $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \tau o \hat{v}$
 Once the Lat. inspexi.
 23 ( 119 or 114 в.c.) is curiously like 2 Cor. i. 8. The adverbial phrase is common in Hellenistic.
катá. -The form of the sentence, and the use of кaтá, in Tb.P. 27 (113 в.c., correspondence of an official) $\dot{\eta} \delta^{\prime}$
 reminds us of Rom. ii. 2.
$\kappa а \tau a \nu \tau a ́ \omega .-T o ~ m y ~ e x x . ~(E x p o s . ~ l . c . ~ 272 ~ f) ~ f o r. ~ \kappa a \tau a v \tau a ̂ \nu ~ \epsilon i s ~$ of property " descending to" an heir, add B.U. 969 (2/)

$\kappa о \lambda о \beta i \zeta \omega$. -This ät. $\epsilon i \rho$. form of the late verb кодoßó $\omega$ occurs in I.M.A. iii. 323 (Thera, i/ or 1/) $\tau \grave{a} \pi \lambda \epsilon i ́ \omega \iota$ $\kappa \epsilon \kappa о \lambda о \beta \iota \sigma \mu \epsilon ́[\nu \omega \nu] \kappa а \grave{a} \dot{a} \phi \iota \rho \eta \mu$ év $\omega \nu$.
ко́тоия таре́ $\not \subset \iota \nu$.-This later form for $\pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \tau a ~ \pi a \rho є ́ \chi є \iota \nu ~$ occurring four times in N.T. (with кóтov also once),
 $\dot{a} \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu 0 \hat{v} \boldsymbol{\tau} \epsilon \iota$.

[^3]кора́бъоу.-B.U. 887 (2/) тє́трака тò кора́бьоv $\delta \eta \nu а \rho i ́ \omega \nu$
 корáбьov. The єùтє入ıбرós which old grammarians noticed in the word (see Rutherford, New Phryn. 148) reappears to some extent in these papyri, though absent in the N.T.
$\kappa \rho i \nu \omega .-C$. inf., " to decide to. . ." (as in 1 Cor. ii. 2 ; Tit. iii. 12 ; Acts $\mathrm{xx} .16, \mathrm{xxv} .25$ ) in Tb.P. 55 (late ii/) éкрıva
 Hellenistic passages in Grimm - Thayer. LS quote Menander, $\zeta \hat{\eta} \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \theta^{\prime} \dot{\omega} \nu \kappa \rho i \nu \eta \quad \tau \iota \varsigma$ (sc. $\left.\zeta \hat{\eta} \nu\right)$, but this is rather for $\zeta \hat{\eta} \nu \mu \epsilon \tau^{\prime} \epsilon \in \epsilon \epsilon i v \omega \nu$ ov̂s крivŋ $\tau \iota \varsigma$ ( $\kappa \rho i v \epsilon \iota \nu$, c. acc., to choose or prefer, a classical use).
кúpıos.-The title applied to a brother or other near relative is not uncommon: cf. Dr. Rendel Harris on 2 John (Expositor VI. iii. 197 f.). Some late exx. may be noticed in B.U. 949 (3/4), 984 (4/), 892 (3/), 950 (Byz.), all to a brother; A.P. 144 (5/) $\boldsymbol{\tau} \hat{\eta}$ кupía $\mu o v$ L'oфía, a sister or wife. Note $\dot{o} \kappa$ cúpoos, " the master," in A.P. 135 (early $2 /$ ).
$\lambda a o \gamma \rho a \phi i a$.—The appearance of this word ( $=$ census, preparing for a poll-tax) as early as Tb.P. 103 ( 94 or 61 b.c., refutes an argument for the late date of 3 Maccabees (see ii. 28), as the edd. observe.
$\lambda \epsilon \iota \tau o u p \gamma o ́ s .-I n ~ t h e ~ P t o l e m a i c ~ p e r i o d ~ i s ~ s i m p l y ~ a ~ w o r k m a n, ~$ as the edd. remark on Tb.P. 5. So $\lambda \in i \tau o v p \gamma i a$ "business," тò $\lambda_{\epsilon \iota \tau о и р \gamma \iota \kappa o ́ y ~ " ~ w o r k-t a x, " ~ e t c . ~ B u t ~ t h e ~ s p e c i a l ~ s e n s e ~}^{\text {en }}$ of religious "service" is found here, as in the later literature, e.g. Tb.P. 88 (115-4 в.c.) $\gamma \rho a \phi \eta े \nu ~ i є \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa a i$ $\pi \rho о ф \eta \tau \eta \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa a \grave{~} \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho \hat{\nu} \nu \lambda \epsilon \iota \tau о \nu \rho \gamma \iota \kappa \omega \hat{\nu}$. See Deissm. 140. $\lambda о \gamma \epsilon i ́ a .-T b . P .58$ (111 в.c.) $\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \lambda o \gamma \epsilon ́ a \varsigma, " c o l l e c t i o n, " ~$ may be added to Deissmann's collection (p. 142 f.). $\mu \epsilon \sigma \iota \tau \epsilon v ่ \omega$. -To the literary exx. (Aristotle downwards) add B.U. 906 (1/) $\mu \epsilon \sigma \iota \tau \epsilon$ v́ovtas є́тє́pols.
$\mu \iota \kappa \rho o ́ s .-D e i s s m .144$ shows good reason for translating by
junior in Mark xv. 40. Two slightly earlier papyri than that cited by Deissm., Tb.P. 63, 64 (116-5 b.c.), the second by supplement only, contain the word: $\gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma o{ }^{\circ}$ $\Pi \epsilon \tau \epsilon \rho \mu о \hat{\theta} \iota_{\iota \varsigma} \mu \iota(\kappa \rho o ̀ s){ }^{\wedge} \lambda \mu \epsilon \nu \nu \epsilon \in \omega \varsigma$. There is no proof there that it means what Leemans showed it meant in L.P. $n$. (103 b.c.), but every additional occurrence makes it more probable that the formula has a constant meaning.
vav̂s.-Blass on Acts xxvii. 31 thinks this word taken from a Homeric phrase. But the vernacular must have retained it, though not in common use, for it is cited twenty times in HR from the LXX, and it occurs in Letr. 25 (ii/, the Rosetta Stone).
oiкia, oiкоs.-On Tb.P. 46 (113 в.c.) the edd. remark on the clear ex. of the distinction between oiкia $=$ whole house and oiко $\rho=$ insula, set of rooms. The traces of the distinction are not easily traced in the N.T.; but note the appropriateness of the larger word in such passages as Matt. v. 15, Luke xv. 8, John xii. 3, 2 Tim. ii. 20. oiкобонєiv is not used with oikov as object, except in Acts vii. 47, 49, and the Temple is always oiкos: note the significant contrast ė $\nu$ т $\hat{\eta}$ oiкía $\tau 0 \hat{v} \pi a \tau \rho o ́ s ~ \mu o v, ~ J o h n ~$ xiv. 2.
 in tergo necdum finitus Orestes "-cf. Tb.P. 58 (111 b.c.)
 editors cite from R.L. (iii/), viz., ${ }^{\prime \prime} \xi \omega$ õ $\rho a$.
óттávo $\mu a \iota$.—The earliest occurrence outside the Greek Bible seems to be Tb.P. 24 (117 B.c.) кai $\mu \eta \delta a \mu \omega \hat{\rho}$

oủ $\mu \dot{\eta}$.—To my exx. (Expos.l.c. 282) add B.U. 531 (2/) oủ . . $\mu \epsilon \lambda o \iota \pi \eta \eta_{\eta} \boldsymbol{p}$ ( $=\lambda u \pi$.), where we should probably supply $\mu \eta^{\prime}$. тарá.-In Mark iii. 21 oi $\pi a \rho^{\prime}$ aủтov̂ raises some difficulty : see Swete's note, and Field Ot. Norv. in loc. Two Ptolemaic quotations for the phrase may be given. T.P. 4 (ii/)

(103 в.c.) тoîs $\pi a \rho$ ' aủ $\tau o \hat{v}$, " his agents." Add A.P. 111, 112, (2/), O.P. 270 ( 94 A.D.), where this meaning is most probable, though the other is conceivable. Peyron's cheerful " solet in V. et N.T." (in note on T.P.4) raises hopes which the facts do not justify. The Biblical passages have however a good Ptolemaic parallel in G.H. 36 (95 в.c.) oi $\pi a \rho ’ \dot{\eta} \mu \omega \bar{\omega} \pi a ́ \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma, "$ our family."
$\pi a \rho a ́ \delta \epsilon \iota \sigma o s .-D e i s s m .148$ gives early Ptolemaic exx. of mapá $\delta \epsilon \iota \sigma o s=$ garden. Add Tb.P. 5 (ordinance of Euergetes (118 в.c.), on which the edd. refer to R.L. (iii/) pp. 94-6, and Wilcken, Ostraca i. 157: it is a garden with fruittrees. Note also Tb.P. 86 (late ii/) Diòs mapá $\delta є \iota \sigma o s$. Though no doubt the "Paradise of God" owes its first suggestion to Gen. ii., the appropriateness of the Greek word would presumably be enhanced by its use for a sacred garden.
$\pi a \rho \epsilon \iota \sigma \phi \in ́ \rho \omega .-T b . P .38$ (113 в.c.) $\chi a ́ \rho \iota \nu \tau \omega ̀ \nu \pi a \rho \epsilon \iota \sigma \phi \epsilon \rho o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$, "smuggling," illustrates the nuance found in many of these $\pi a \rho a ̀+\epsilon i \varsigma$ compounds, $\pi a \rho \epsilon \iota \sigma-a ́ \gamma \omega$ and -aктoৎ, $\pi a \rho \epsilon \iota \sigma-\delta \dot{v} \omega, \pi a \rho \epsilon \iota \sigma-\epsilon \in \rho \chi o \mu a \iota$. Пapєєбфє́ $\rho \omega$ has lost this sense in its one N.T. occurrence (2 Peter i. 5).
$\pi a \rho \epsilon \pi i \delta \eta \mu o s$.-To Deissmann's citations for $\pi a \rho \epsilon \pi \iota \delta \eta \mu \epsilon \omega$ (p. 149) add T.P. 8 (118 в.c.), where $\pi a \rho \epsilon \pi \iota \delta \eta \mu \sigma \hat{\nu} \nu \epsilon \epsilon$ and катоькои̃ขтєऽ are contrasted.
$\pi \epsilon \ell \theta a \rho \chi^{\epsilon} \omega$. -The classical constr. c. gen. is still found in the papyri; see Tb.P. 104 (92 в.c.), O.P. 265 (i/).
$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \pi a ́ \omega .-T o \mathrm{my} \mathrm{exx} .(\mathrm{Expos} . l . c .275)$ add T.P. 1 (ii/),
 $\mu \eta \delta \epsilon \nu o ̀ s ~ \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \pi a ́ \sigma \theta \omega$, L.P. $g$ (i/), Tb.P. 37 (73 в.c.), 43 (118 в.c.) $\pi \rho о \nu o \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota ~ \dot{\omega}$ où $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \pi a \sigma \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma о \nu \tau a \iota$. In this last we find $\pi a \rho \epsilon \nu o \chi \lambda \eta \theta \eta^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \tau a \iota$ written above-was $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota-$ $\sigma \pi a ̂ v$ (absolute), " distract," not yet sufficiently correct? Polybius uses it with raîs $\delta \iota a \nu o i a \imath s ~ a d d e d ~(s e e ~ S c h w e i g h ., ~$ Lex. Polyb. s.v.).
$\pi \lambda \eta \rho o \phi о \rho \in ́ \omega .-A$ law report of 124 a.D., A.P. 66, has îva $\delta$ è

tiff). G. and H. translate " to give you full satisfaction." This comes nearest to the meaning desiderated by Lightfoot (on Col. iv. 12) for $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \circ \phi \circ \rho o \hat{\sigma} \iota \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \varsigma \psi \chi \chi \dot{\alpha} \varsigma a \dot{\tau} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ in Hermas: We might however render our passage "that I may finish off (the matter)," Lightfoot's (1). His (2) and (3), "convince" and "fill," are clearly inappropriate.
 " asking them to complete (the account)," but lacunæ follow.
тор $\theta$ é $\omega$.-With a personal object this word is only classical in poetry. The N.T. use is paralleled in B.U. 588 (1/), $\pi о \rho \theta o \hat{v} \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$ ن́ $\mu a ̂ s$.
$\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \dot{\tau} \tau \epsilon \rho о \iota$.—Deissm. 154 f . shows that $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \hat{u} \tau \epsilon \rho o \iota$ in Egypt were holders of a communal office. Tb.P. 40 (117 в.с.), oi $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta v ́ \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma \hat{\omega} \nu$, for which $i b .22$ (112 в.c.) has oi $\pi \rho$. alone, shows more precisely what the office was, in one application of the word. Cf. A.P. 30
 roí were cultivators of Crown lands, paying rent in kind. $\dot{\rho} a \beta \delta \iota \sigma \mu \rho_{\rho} .-T b . P .119$ (105-1 в.c.), where the word occurs, the edd. note "Cf. 229 [a papyrus from Tebtunis not printed in full here]. Threshing is probably meant; cf. LXX Judges vi. 11."
бampós.-The late use of $\sigma a \pi \rho o ́ s$ (see Phrynichus in Rutherford, N.P. 474), marked in the N.T., is well illustrated in B.U. 846 (2/) $\sigma a \pi \rho \hat{\omega} s \pi a \iota \rho \iota \pi a \tau \hat{\omega} . ~ a i \gamma \rho a \psi a ́ ~ \sigma o \iota ~ o ̋ \tau \iota ~$ रv $\mu \nu o ́ s \in i \mu \epsilon \iota$. Longus, a very uneducated person, begs his mother to be reconciled to him: he has no decent clothes to go about in. $\sigma a \pi \rho \hat{\omega}$ s accordingly $=a i \sigma \chi \rho \omega \hat{s}$, as Phrynichus implies. We may compare the history of "rot" and "rotten" in English slang.
$\sigma \kappa v ́ \beta a \lambda o v .-O c c u r s$ in C.P.R. 175 ; F.P. 119 (c. 100 A.D.)
 better than dung."
$\sigma \kappa v ́ \lambda \lambda о \mu a \iota .-T o ~ m y ~ n o t e ~(E x p o s . ~ l . c . ~ 274) ~ a d d ~ t h e ~ f o l l o w i n g ~$

Ptolemaic exx. L.P.g. (99 в.c.) $\sigma \kappa v ่ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ v e x a r i$. Tb .P. 41 (119 в.c.) $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{a}$ то仑 $\pi a \nu \tau o ̀ s ~ \sigma \kappa \nu \lambda \mu о \hat{v}$ : the edd. note there that $\sigma \kappa \nu \lambda \mu o \hat{v}$ takes the place of $\tilde{v} \beta \rho \epsilon \omega s$ in ib. 16 (114 в.c.), the meaning nearly the same.
$\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \iota .-O n T b . P .5$ (118 в.c.), line 168, тò̀ৎ $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon v-$ o ${ }^{\prime} \dot{\varepsilon} \nu o u s{ }^{"} E \lambda \lambda \eta \nu a \varsigma$, the edd. note: " $\sigma \tau \rho$. here, as in R.L. xxiv. $6 \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon \nu 0 \mu \notin \nu \omega \nu \kappa a i ̀ ~ \tau a ̀ ̀ s ~[. .]. ~ \kappa \lambda \eta ́ \rho o v s ~ \pi \epsilon-~$ $\phi u \kappa o ́ \tau \omega \nu$, is a general term for persons belonging to the army, whether on active service or not." So in $i b .27$
 катоккои́ขт $\omega v$, "those in the army"; A.P. 32 (ii/) where
 of returning their $\kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} \rho o \iota$ as less than they really were. We must therefore cancel the R.V. margin in Luke iii. 14 ("Gr. soldiers on service") as unprovable: in $2 \mathrm{Tim} . \mathrm{ii} .4$ the meaning is clear from the context.
$\sigma \nu \mu \psi a ́ \omega .-T b . P .13$ (114 в.c.) $\sigma v \mu \psi \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma a \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma, "$ arrest"; $i b$.
 The edd. cf. Jer. xxxi. (=xlviii. Heb.) $33 \sigma v \nu \in \not \psi^{\prime} \sigma \theta \eta$ $\chi^{a \rho \mu o \sigma u ́ v \eta}$; add two other places in Jer. where it translates סָחַ (HR).
$\sigma \nu \nu \epsilon v \delta o \kappa \epsilon ́ \omega$.-An early ex. of this common Hellenistic word is found in G.H. 26 (103 в.c.).
$\sigma \dot{\omega} \mu a \tau a$.-Deissm. 160 has early exx. of $\sigma \dot{\omega} \mu a \tau a=$ slaves, as in Rev. xviii. 13. Earlier still is the inscr. from Thera I.M.A. iii. 328 (iii/), but here there is ai $\chi \mu a \lambda \omega \tau o{ }^{\prime}$ in the context which may have coloured a neutral word = " persons." So in the passages quoted Expos. l.c. 275. In Tb.P. 95 (ii/) $\sigma \omega$ might be taken as $\sigma \omega \mu a \tau \iota \kappa o ́ v$, or tax on slaves, but the edd. reject this on the ground that the absolute use of $\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a=$ slave is condemned by Pollux and Phrynichus (see Rutherford, N.P. 474). But Deissmann and Rutherford show that the word was so used in late writers.
$\dot{v} \pi \epsilon ́ \rho$. -In 2 Cor. viii. 23 єïтє $\dot{u} \pi \grave{\epsilon} \rho$ Títov is most simply taken "as regarding Titus," practically equivalent to "the
nom. in єïтє ả $\delta \epsilon \lambda \phi o \grave{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$. So Tb.P. 19 (114 в.c.) $\dot{u} \pi \grave{\epsilon} \rho$
 oovtal, " as to the officials you mention, they will hardly go away before the 25 th."
 bius, now appears in Tb.P. 12 (118 в.c.).
$\phi \epsilon ́ \rho \omega .-\phi \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon \iota \nu$ (intr.) $\epsilon i s=$ lead to, as in Acts xii. 10, is shown to be vernacular as well as classical by Tb. P. 54 (86 в.c.) $\tau \hat{\eta} \iota \nu v \kappa \tau \grave{\imath} \tau \hat{\eta} \iota \phi \epsilon \rho \circ u ̛ \sigma \eta \iota \epsilon i s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \kappa \epsilon \tau o \hat{v} \phi a \omega \hat{\phi} \iota$, " on the night which led to the 25th of Phaophi." The "day" began with sunrise.
$\phi \iota \lambda о \tau \iota \mu$ ќо $\mu a \iota$.-Some doubt as to the possibility of demonstrating the R.V. margin "Gr. be ambitious," in the three Pauline occurrences, is insinuated by such a passage as $\mathrm{Tb} . \mathrm{P} .23$ ( 119 or 114 в.c.), ка入ิิs $\pi o \iota \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \iota \varsigma$ $\phi \iota \lambda о \tau \iota \mu$ óтє $\rho \frac{\nu}{\pi} \pi \rho \theta \nu \mu \eta \theta \epsilon i \prime$, where the idea of ambition can hardly be even latent. One is very loth to give up the idea that the etymological force was still felt in the N.T., but it seems doubtful even in some classical passages whether we can assert its presence in the verb: see LS s.v.
$\psi \omega \mu i o \nu .-T b . P .33$ (112 в.c.) тò $\gamma \epsilon \iota \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \nu . . . \psi \omega \mu i o \nu, " t h e$ customary titbits," gives us the only ex. of the diminutive prior to John xiii. 26. Add F.P. 119 (c. 100 A.D.),
 "Pasis is crying out that we must not allow it [apparently manure!] to be dissolved by the water" : a curious development, perhaps only due to the patent fact that farmer Gemellus, who writes this series of letters, had left school before reaching the sixth standard.
$\psi \nu \chi \grave{\eta} \nu \sigma \hat{\omega} \sigma a l .-T b . P .56$ (late ii/) $\kappa a \lambda \hat{\omega} s$ oî̀ $\pi \sigma \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \hat{\rho}$. . . $\sigma \hat{\omega} \sigma a \iota$ (an almost isolated inf. in this construction) $\psi v \chi \grave{a} \varsigma$ $\pi o \lambda \lambda a ̀ s ~ \zeta \eta \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma a s \mu o \iota$, " to save many lives by looking out for me," etc. The phrase (see Wetstein) is not so common as its opposite, $\psi \cdot a ̉ \pi o \lambda e ́ \sigma a l$.

James Hope Modlton.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The following abbreviations will be used:
    (a) Papyri. B.U. $=$ Berlin Urkunde. B.M.=British Museum Papyri, ed. Kenyon. T.P.=Turin Papyri (ed. Peyron, 1826). L.P.=Leyden Papyri (ed. Leemans, 1843 and 1885). C.P.R. $=$ Corpus Papyronum Raineri, ed. Wessely. G. $=$ Greek Papyri, ed. Grenfell, 1895. G.H. $=$ Gr. Pap. 2nd series, ed. Grenfell and Hunt, 1897. By the same editors, with or without collaborators:-R.L. $=$ Revenue Law of Ptolemy Philadelphus; O.P. $=$ Oxyrhyncus Papyri. F.P. $=$ Fayûm Papyri; A.P. $=$ Amherst Papyri; Tb.P. $=$ Tebtunis Papyri.
    (b) Inscriptions. I.M.A. $=$ Inscriptiones Maris Aegaei, 3 vols., ed. de

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ By the way, if there be any wiseacres still who think Onesimus an invented name, it may be interesting to quote the slave-name $\mathrm{X}_{\rho \dot{\eta} \sigma}$ 体 from Letr. 16 (2/). If that will not suffice, 'Ovíøcuos itself occurs in G.H. 39 ( 81 в. c.).

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Van Herwerden's lexicon, s.v. ${ }^{2}$ Cannot the els there be "at all rates up to thirty-fold?"

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ The adverb $\dot{\alpha} \lambda u \pi l$, given in Stephanus on the strength of this inscr., must be eliminated ; the proper name is essential.

