

Making Biblical Scholarship Accessible

This document was supplied for free educational purposes. Unless it is in the public domain, it may not be sold for profit or hosted on a webserver without the permission of the copyright holder.

If you find it of help to you and would like to support the ministry of Theology on the Web, please consider using the links below:



A table of contents for The Expositor can be found here:

https://biblicalstudies.org.uk/articles\_expositor-series-1.php

in our present English idiom, call grace, but that other manifestation of character which consists in a thankful appreciation of favour or benefit received. Remarkable as it may at first sight appear, it is nevertheless the case that the term which in the Bible is so often and so appropriately rendered grace, and which denotes loving-kindness or favour, is sometimes rendered thank or thanks. We read, for instance, "For if ye love them who love you, what thank have ye? for sinners also love those that love them. And if ye do good to them who do good to you, what thank have ye? for sinners also do even the same. And if ye lend to them of whom ye hope to receive, what thank have ye? for sinners also lend to sinners to receive as much again." We read in another part of the New Testament those glorious and glowing words of the Apostle St. Paul, "Thanks be unto God for his unspeakable gift." In these passages the term employed in the original is the identical term that is generally translated grace. In the sayings of our Saviour, as is evidenced by the parallel expression in St. Matthew, the word is tantamount in import to reward. What thank or reward do ye deserve? In the saying of the Apostle it simply means thanks; and thanks is expressed by this term grace, just because thankfulness is always, as a manifestation of character, a grace, delightful to God and to all other beings who are God-like. IAMES MORISON.

## NOTE TO "THE AUTHOR OF ECCLESIASTES."

It is right that I should acknowledge my indebtedness for one of the main thoughts in the above article, the influence of Stoic and Epicurean philosophy traceable in the confessions of the Preacher, to one of the ablest of my predecessors in the treatment of the problems presented by that book. When the Commentary of which the article forms a part appears, it will be found that I have fully recognized my obligations in the sections which precede the Ideal Biography; but I feel that it is an act of justice to Mr. Thomas Tyler, the writer of whom I speak, that I should make this acknowledgment also in THE EXPOSITOR. If by so doing I lead any of the readers of my article to study his work on *Ecclesiastes* (Williams and Norgate, 1874), they will, I am sure, thank me for introducing them to a volume marked at once by original thought and by accurate scholarship.

E. H. PLUMPTRE.