

Making Biblical Scholarship Accessible

This document was supplied for free educational purposes. Unless it is in the public domain, it may not be sold for profit or hosted on a webserver without the permission of the copyright holder.

If you find it of help to you and would like to support the ministry of Theology on the Web, please consider using the links below:



A table of contents for The Churchman can be found here:

https://biblicalstudies.org.uk/articles_churchman_os.php

NOTES ON Emiolioios, AND OTHER WORDS.

ST. MATT. VI. 11 : inioúolog.-The two able papers on inioúolog in THE CHURCHMAN will still, I fancy, leave supporters of either view. For myself, I prefer Bishop Lightfoot's, and the illustrations given by Mr. Wratislaw appear to me all but convincing. My reasons against the other view are briefly these. ' On the meaning of the phrase there is next to no difference of opinion : "Give us this day the bread sufficient for support." We may assume that the words were spoken in a Semitic language; the Greek dress is due to the Jewish writer St. Matthew. The word $i\pi i \sigma i \sigma \sigma$ is not found elsewhere ; it may have been coined by St. Matthew, but perhaps it is too much to say positively "in whose Gospel the word originated." For what we call $\ddot{a}\pi \alpha\xi \lambda_{\epsilon\gamma} \delta_{\mu\epsilon\nu} \alpha$ can only be proved $\ddot{a}\pi\alpha\xi \gamma_{\rho\alpha} \phi_{\delta\mu\epsilon\nu} \alpha$: words more than once spoken may be only once or never written. But grant the word coined, would a Galilean be more likely to coin it from a familiar participle $i\pi\iota\sigma\sigma\sigma\sigma$ often used with $\eta\mu\epsilon\rho\sigma$, or from $\sigma\sigma\sigma\sigma\sigma$, a somewhat philosophical word ? After all, oùoía means "substance, wealth, goods," not "needs of life;" both in classical Greek, in the LXX., and in St. Luke xv. 12. Prebendary Bassett speaks of "being" as an equivalent of ouoía. Living in Suffolk, I hear "being" for "needful support" continually; but I cannot find any trace of ovoia thus used.

Of the digamma, I agree, a Jew would know nothing. But he would know that compounds of $i\pi i$, as a rule, dropped the iota (as $i\pi oup divios$, $i\pi a i\rho \omega$, $i\pi \epsilon \rho \omega \tau d \omega$, etc.). And in a new word he would be likely to follow this rule (and write $i\pi o \omega \sigma i \sigma s$) rather than to imitate digammatic exceptions.

Concisely: Either "bread for our being," or, "bread for the on-coming day," satisfy the meaning; but the latter *mejudice* is the more probable formation of lmotorise.

'Averaioguvrog IN 2 TIM. II. 15.—In an interesting and scholarly paper, in a recent number of THE CHURCHMAN, Mr. Wratislaw urged the passive sense of this word : "a workman of whom his Lord need not be ashamed." The rendering we have appears to me at least as good. Verbal adjectives in -rog are found both active and passive when from verbs of middle form; e.g., $dvai\sigma\theta\eta\tau\sigma g$, mostly "unfeeling," but also "unfelt;" $d\mu\epsilon\mu\pi\tau\sigma g$, "unblameable," but also "not blaming." Hence, as to the form, $dv\epsilon\piai\sigma\chi\nu\nu\tau\sigma g$ might be either. 'Averaiderrog is "not admitting" and "inadmissible" in the same writer.

Perhaps we might say that such verbals are commonly active when applied to persons, passive when applied to things. The passage quoted from Josephus shows $d\nu\epsilon\pi a(\sigma\chi\nu\nu\tau\sigma\nu)$ passive after this rule. It is noticeable that $d\nu a(\sigma\chi\nu\nu\tau\sigma\rho)$ is certainly "not feeling shame." Even of a thing $d\nu a(\sigma\chi\nu\nu\tau\sigma\nu)$ can hardly be termed passive; it is "the act of an $d\nu a(\sigma,\chi\nu\nu\tau\sigma\rho)$ " "a thing done unblushingly;" not "a thing which no one need be ashamed of." Hence I prefer our present translation in 2 Tim. ii. 15.

But it makes next to no difference in the sense whether Timothy

"needs not to be ashamed of his work," or is one "of whose work his Master needs not to be ashamed." The workman is to be trusty; the work such as none need blush for, in either case.

ST. LUKE XIX. 42 : $\epsilon i \, \tilde{\epsilon} \gamma \nu \omega \varsigma \dots \tilde{\nu} \tilde{\nu} v \, \delta \tilde{\epsilon} \, \tilde{\epsilon} \kappa \rho i \beta \eta$.—On the the main sense of this passage I agree with Mr. Wratislaw; with his objections to our existing translations I partly disagree. There is no contrast of time between $i_{\gamma\nu\omega\varsigma}$ and $i_{\kappa\rho\nu\beta\eta}$; the meaning is not "Would that thou hadst known in time past ! but now it is too late ; they are hid from thine eyes." Both aorists refer to the same time; and by the addition, "yea, even in this thy day," this time is made present, viewed as present being so close. especially viewed as present by the all-seeing Lord, who knew that Jerusalem would not even now, or np to her fall, turn and see. Ei έγνως ... $d\lambda\lambda'$ our $\xi\gamma\nu\omega_{S}$, "O if thou knewest! but, as it is, thou knowest not." The "hadst known" and "are hid" (as far as I remember) never misled me; I always thought of the passage thus; nor surely did our translators mean it wrongly. We can say in common parlance, "If you had but known! but you do not; it is all dark to you," about a present ignorance. And $\xi_{\gamma\nu\omega\nu}$ is especially an aorist far from pluperfect. To "I know" it stands rather as an imperfect. In plenty of classical passages (especially in plays) it is best rendered by an English present. Nay, many Greek aorists are best Englished so, and even by perfects with "have," pace Dr. Kennedy. On this matter I have elsewhere written more fully.

To avoid all misunderstanding from the conflicting "hadst known" and "are hid," I suggest "if thou knewest!... but they are hid." And consistently in v. 44 : "thou knowest not the time of thy visitation."

But we must thank Mr. Wratislaw for putting clearly the force of $\nu \tilde{\nu} \nu \delta \dot{\epsilon}$; the *presentness* is not given to the passage by $\nu \tilde{\nu} \nu$, but by the "even in this thy day." W. C. GREEN.

Rebiews.

∞₽⊲

The Letter and the Spirit. The Bampton Lectures for 1888. By ROBERT EDWARD BARTLETT, late Fellow and Tutor of Trinity College. Rivingtons.

WE heartily thank Mr. Bartlett for these Lectures. They widely differ from most Bampton Lectures of modern date, in that they are simple, easy reading, and yet extremely interesting. They are not over-weighted, as so many similar volumes have been, by notes and excursuses. The reader can follow the lectures themselves with scarcely any interruption, and the writer makes his meaning clear