

Making Biblical Scholarship Accessible

This document was supplied for free educational purposes. Unless it is in the public domain, it may not be sold for profit or hosted on a webserver without the permission of the copyright holder.

If you find it of help to you and would like to support the ministry of Theology on the Web, please consider using the links below:



A table of contents for Bibliotheca Sacra can be found here:

https://biblicalstudies.org.uk/articles_bib-sacra_01.php

ARTICLE V.

THE CASE ABSOLUTE IN THE NEW TESTAMENT.

BY PROFESSOR HENRY ANSELM SCOMP, PH. D., LL.D.

THE careful student of New Testament Greek cannot fail to notice a multitude of peculiar constructions, idiomatic expressions, forms, and uses of words, etc., which bear an unmistakable foreign flavor. He feels that foreigners are trying to express themselves in Greek. Allowing for those analytic processes to which the language had been subject since the days of Demosthenes, and for the new words which science, discovery, and foreign contact and commerce would naturally engraft upon the old Hellenic stock; and eliminating, moreover, words and expressions grown obsolete by time and change of usage, there yet remains much not to be accounted for by any, nor by all, of these causes; much, indeed, not to be paralleled in the writings of any genuine Greek who was contemporary with the Apostles.

Nor is this to be wondered at, when we consider the radical differences between Greek and Hebrew thought. A preliminary foundation must have been grounded in the thought of either people, before the religious edifice of the other could be reared among them. The flora and fauna of the one could not readily become acclimated to the strange soil and alien skies of the other. Words are only the signs—the expressions—for ideas. But what if the germinal, the fundamental, ideas are wanting? For what will the words then stand? Can mere words transplant and implant ideas? Other elements besides simple sounds

enter into the composition of language. Ideas must be felt to be realized at all. Thought, feeling, and imagery-to say nothing of other mental operations-are all in the substructure of this invisible, yet most real, edifice of language. What had the Greek to match the ideas of spiritual monotheism, the Incarnation, a divine decalogue, the Fall, the Redemption, the Shekinah, and the Visible Presence? To him all this was "foolishness." What canvas had the Hebrew to receive the shadow of Greek polytheism with its symbolism of the whole material universe? How could his proverbs and aphorisms translate the depth, the subtlety, the system, and the fullness of Greek philosophy? What priest ministering at the great altar on Moriah could understand the mysteries of Platonic idealism, or the metempsychosis? His Heaven was somewhere in the blue vault above, while the Greek Elysium bloomed deep down below.

Not less antipodal were the respective systems and standards of faith, of worship, of mutual duties, of home life, of art, of beauty. As well compare the two massive pillars the Boaz and Joachin—in the temple portico overlooking the Kidron, with the graceful Corinthian columns of a Greek temple, as attempt to parallel Hebrew with Greek thought. Too little common ground lay between them. Much in the thought of either could not be borne across to the realm of the other. It was untranslatable, and the effort to amalgamate the two naturally resulted in those doctrinal cross-breeds—those hybrids—which teemed through the early centuries of the church. What monstrosities of faith and practice were born of this ill-assorted union! Their marriage was unnatural.

To adequately translate Greek thought into Hebrew would have been impossible; it was never attempted. A new Hebrew tongue must needs have been first created. On the other hand, the Hebrew thought struggling to express itself in terms of the Greek, naturally retained much of the impress of its old mold. It was unlike anything else in Greek literature. It was Hebrew thought, however it might be clothed upon; though the hands and outward appearance might be Esau's, yet the speech was ever unmistakably Jacob's. Hence was born that host of peculiar words, figures, phrases, etc., to which in the New Testament is given the common family name of "Hebraisms." With a certain class of these constructions it is the purpose of this article more specifically to deal.

No grammatical construction is more familiar to the student of Greek than that of the Genitive Absolute. The many relations of time, cause, manner, means, purpose, condition, opposition, or limitation, and other attendant circumstances which it is used to express, every Greek scholar knows well. How did the Hebrew writers of New Testament Greek handle this, to them, strange form of expression? Let us remember that Hebrew has no participle case absolute. Its two participles-past and future-concern themselves with the idea of time. They express the "since," "when," "already," etc., of our tongue. Apart from this temporal relation there is scarce another for which they are used. Let us review those cases of the Genitive Absolute found in the New Testament, and observe how the Hebrew mind grappled with, and employed, this foreign construction. Some conclusions arrived at, and some historical notes, will be deferred until this examination has been made.

There are about three hundred instances of the *Genitive Absolute* construction in the New Testament. We will take these in their order:—

MATTHEW.

Chap. I. 18, 20.—Ver. 18. "When his mother Mary was espoused ($\mu\nu\eta\sigma\tau\epsilon\nu\theta\epsilon\ell\sigma\eta$ s $\gamma\lambda\rho$ $\tau\eta$ s $\mu\eta\tau\rho$ os $a\nu\tau\sigma\nu$) to Joseph, she was found," etc. Here we have the *temporal* relation given by the Genitive Absolute. Ver. 20. "While he [Joseph] thought on these things ($\tau a \hat{\upsilon} \tau a \delta \hat{\epsilon} a \dot{\upsilon} \tau o \hat{\upsilon} \hat{\epsilon} \nu \theta \upsilon \mu \eta$ - $\theta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \sigma s$, κ . τ . λ .), the angel appeared " (temporal).

Chap. II. 1, 13, 19.—Ver. 1. "When Jesus was born" (Toû dê Ingoû yevvn θ évros). Ver. 13. "When they [the wise men] had departed ($ava\chi w \rho \eta \sigma av \tau w v \delta e av \tau \omega v$), the angel of the Lord appeareth to Joseph." Ver. 19. "When Herod was dead" ($\tau e \lambda e v \tau \eta \sigma a v \tau \sigma s \delta e \tau \sigma v$ 'Hρώδου).

Chap. V. 1.—"When he was set $(\kappa a \theta l \sigma \tau a \nu \tau o \hat{v})$ his disciples came."

Chap. VI. 3.—"When thou doest thine alms $(\sigma o\hat{v} \ \delta \hat{\epsilon} \ \tau o o\hat{v} \nu \tau os \ \hat{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \eta \mu o \sigma \dot{v} \eta \nu)$ let not thy left hand know."

Chap. VIII. 16.—"When the evening was come (*dylas de yevoµévns*), they brought many." This genitive phrase is very frequent; e. g., Matt. xiv. 23; xvi. 2; xx. 8; xxvi. 20; xxvii. 57; Mark i. 32; iv. 35; vi. 47; xi. 11 (participle *dions*); xiv. 17; xv. 42; John xx. 19; all temporal in meaning, and giving time to the leading verb.

ĉ

.

2

:

Chap. IX. 10, 18, 32, 33.—Ver. 10. "As Jesus sat at meat (airoù àvakeiµévov) many sinners came." Ver. 18. "While he was saying these things ($raira airoù \lambda a\lambdaoûvros$) there came a certain ruler," etc. See also ix. 18; xi. 46; xvii. 5; xx. 8; xxvi. 20, 47; xxvii. 57; Mark i. 36; iv. 35; vi. 47; xi. 11; xiv. 43; xv. 42; Luke viii. 49; xxii. 47, 60; xxiv. 36; John viii. 30; xx. 19; Acts iv. 1; x. 44; xxiii. 7; Heb. ix. 19. In all these passages, the Genitive Absolute expresses simultaneousness with the act of the leading verbs with which it is joined. Ver. 32. "As they went out ($airiŵr \delta e e \xi \epsilon \rho \chi o \mu e v w or$) they brought to him," etc. See, also, Luke xi. 14. Ver. 33. "When the devil had been cast out ($e \kappa \beta \lambda \eta \theta e v \sigma s \tau o \hat{v} \delta a \mu o v (o v)$, the dumb spake."

Chap. XI. 7.—"When these were going $(\tau \circ \dot{\tau} \tau \circ \nu \delta \epsilon \pi \circ \rho \epsilon \nu \circ \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \circ \nu)$, Jesus began to say," etc. See, also, xx. 29; xxviii. 11; Mark x. 17, 46; xi. 12; xiii. 1; Luke viii. 4; ix. 57; xix. 36; Acts i. 10; xvi. 16. The "going" (πορευόμενος) gives time to the principal verbs. The compounds of πορεύομαι are frequent in the case absolute; e.g., Mark x. 17: "When he was gone forth into the way (ἐκπορευομένου αὐτοῦ εἰς ὁδὸν), there came"; see, also, ver. 46: "As he went out [of Jericho] (ἐκπορευομένου), blind Bartimæus sat by the wayside"; xiii. 1: "As he went out (ἐκπορευομένου) of the temple"; so Luke viii. 4, of συνιόντος ὅχλου πολλοῦ: "When much people were gathered together," "and came" (ἐπιπορευομένων) to him out of every city, he spake unto them." (The Genitive Absolute still marks the time.)

Chap. XIII. 6, 19, 21.-Ver. 6. "When the sun was up $(\eta \lambda low avare(\lambda avros))$, they [the seeds] were scorched," etc. Here the temporal is clearly the leading idea, the causal being at most only inferential. In other parts of the sower parable the consequent clauses come in as direct and independent statements; e.g., "Some fell by the wayside, and the fowls came and devoured them up"; "Some fell among thorns, and the thorns sprung up and choked Here the apodoses are independent, though them," etc. This same time-marking (ήλίου secondary predicates. åνατείλαντος) is found in Mark's version (iv. 6) of this same parable, and the construction is essentially the same as Matthew's. See also Mark xvi. 2, where the Genitive Absolute denotes the time when the Marys came to the sepulcher, i.e., "at the rising of the sun." But the temporal Genitive Absolute marks time as well by the "setting" as by the "rising" sun. Witness Luke iv. 40, "When the sun was setting (δύνοντος τοῦ ήλίου), they that had any sick brought them unto him," etc. Ver. 19. "When any one heareth the word of the kingdom, and understandeth it not (παντός ακούοντος τον λόγον της βασιλείας και μη συνιέντος). then cometh the wicked one," etc. So also Luke xix. II; xx. 45: "While all the people heard (akovovros de mavros τοῦ λαοῦ), he said to his disciples." Ver. 21. "When tribulation or persecution ariseth ($\gamma \epsilon \nu \circ \mu \epsilon \nu \eta \varsigma \delta \delta \theta \lambda \ell \psi \epsilon \omega \varsigma \tau \delta \ell \omega \gamma + \mu \circ \nu$), he is offended."

The participle of yiyvopat is very frequent in this construction; e.g., Matt. xxvi. 6: "When Jesus was in Bethany (τοῦ δὲ Ἰησοῦ γενομένου ἐν Βηθανία), there came," etc.; also Mark vi. 2: "When it was Sabbath" (yevoµévou σaββάτου). Ibid. 35. "When the day was far spent (ώρας πολλής γενομένης), the disciples came," etc.; also xvi. I, where we have διαγενομένου; Luke vi. 48: "When the flood came" ($\pi\lambda\eta\mu\mu\dot{\nu}\rho as \gamma\epsilon\nu\rho\mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu\eta s$); Acts xxi. 17: "When we were in [were come to] Jerusalem (γενομένων δε ήμων είς 'Ιεροσόλυμα) they received us gladly" (the same in Acts xxv. 15); Acts xxv. 7, 13. But Paul uses the Genitive Absolute of $\gamma i \gamma \nu \rho \mu a \iota$ in a causal, as well as a temporal, signification; as, in Acts xxiii. 10: "When there arose a great dissension ($\pi o \lambda \lambda \hat{\eta} s$ de yevo $\mu \epsilon v \eta s$ $\sigma \tau a \sigma \epsilon \omega s$), the chief captain commanded," etc.; also xxviii. 9; while in Acts xxv. 26, there is purpose in the subsequent clause, thus: "That, after examination had (onws the avakploews yevoyévys) I might have somewhat to write," etc. We shall have further occasion to remark upon Paul's use of this construction.

Chap. XIV. 6, 32.—Ver. 6. "When Herod's birthday was kept ($\gamma\epsilon\nu\epsilon\sigma\,i\omega\nu\,\delta\dot{\epsilon}\,\dot{a}\gamma\sigma\mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu\omega\nu\,\tau\sigma\hat{v}$ 'H $\rho\dot{\omega}\delta\sigma\nu$), the daughter of Herodias danced," etc.; see also, Mark vi. 21 for the same story. Ver. 32. "When they [Jesus and Peter] were come into the boat ($\dot{\epsilon}\mu\beta\dot{a}\nu\tau\omega\nu\,a\dot{v}\tau\hat{\omega}\nu\,\epsilon\dot{\epsilon}s\,\tau\dot{\sigma}\,\pi\lambda\sigma\hat{\iota}\sigma\nu$) the wind ceased," etc. For additional examples of $\beta ai\nu\omega$ and its compounds in the absolute case, see Matt. xvii. 9: "While they were descending ($\kappa a\tau a\beta ai\nu\phi \tau\omega\nu\,a\dot{v}\tau\hat{\omega}\nu$) from the mount, Jesus charged them," etc.; also, Mark ix. 9; John iv. 51; also Luke ii. 42: $\dot{a}\nu a\beta\dot{a}\nu\tau\omega\nu\,a\dot{v}\tau\hat{\omega}\nu$ ("they [Jesus' parents] went up to Jerusalem," etc.); also I Peter iv. 12: "Think it not strange as when [though] some strange thing happens unto you" ($\dot{\omega}s\,\xi\dot{\epsilon}\nu\sigma\nu\,\dot{\nu}\mu\hat{\nu}\nu\,\sigma\nu\mu\beta ai\nu\sigma\nu\tau\sigma$).

VOL. LIX. No. 233. 6

Chap. XVII. 22, 24.—Ver. 22. "While they abode [in Galilee] ($\dot{a}\nu a\sigma\tau\rho\epsilon\phi\sigma\mu\epsilon\nu\omega\nu$ $\delta\dot{\epsilon}$ $a\dot{v}\tau\omega\nu$) Jesus said unto them." Ver. 24. "When they were come to Capernaum ($\epsilon\lambda\theta\delta\nu\tau\omega\nu$ $\delta\dot{\epsilon}$ $a\dot{v}\tau\omega\nu$ $\epsilon\dot{\epsilon}s$ Ka $\pi\epsilon\rho\nu ao\dot{v}\mu$) they that received tribute money came to Peter and said."

The participles of $\epsilon\rho\chi\rho\mu\alpha\iota$ and its compounds are of frequent use in the Case Absolute; e.g., Matt. xvii. 14; xxi. 10; xxv. 10; xxvi. 60; Mark vi. 22, 54; xi. 12; Luke vii. 24; ix. 37, 42; xi. 14; xii. 36; xvii. 12; xxi. 10; 3 John 3. Paul uses these participles often, and sometimes with other ideas included with that of time; e.g., Acts i. 8: "When the Holy Ghost is come upon you ($\epsilon\pi\epsilon\lambda\theta\delta\nu\tau\sigmas$ $\tauo\hat{v} \,\dot{a}\gamma \ell ov \,\Pi \nu\epsilon \dot{v}\mu \alpha \tau os \,\epsilon\dot{\phi}' \,\dot{v}\mu \hat{a}s$) ye shall receive power"; also xxv. 23 (I Cor. iv. 18 with 2 Cor. v. 20); Gal. iii. 25; I Thess. iii. 6. On the other hand, we often meet with the simple temporal usage of Paul; e.g., Acts vii. 31: "While he [Moses] drew near to behold it ($\pi\rho\sigma\sigma\epsilon\rho\chi\rho\mu\dot{e}\nuov$ $\delta\dot{e} \,a\dot{v}\tau\sigma\hat{v}\,\kappa\alpha\tau a\nuo\hat{\eta}\sigma a\iota$) the voice of the Lord came unto him "; so, also, xxv. 17; xxviii. 17; I Cor. xi. 18, 20; 2 Cor. vii. 5.

As to Rom. vii. 9: $\partial \partial \partial \partial \sigma \eta s \, \delta \partial e \, \tau \eta s \, \partial e \, \tau \sigma \lambda \eta s$ ("when the commandment came, sin revived, and I died"); it would certainly seem poor theology to treat the "coming of the commandment" as the *cause* of sin's revivification; or to suppose that sin had no place among men before the Decalogue on Sinai. It seems best, therefore, to consider this Genitive Absolute as a simple time marker, i. e., as used in its usual temporal signification.

The same observation seems applicable to the Genitive in Gal. iii. 25: "But after that faith is come $(\epsilon \lambda \theta o \dot{\upsilon} \sigma \eta \varsigma \delta \dot{\epsilon} \tau \eta \varsigma \pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \omega \varsigma)$, we are no longer under a schoolmaster," etc. Is justification by faith either the *effect* (or result) of the schoolmaster Law, or is it the *cause* of the Law? Paul most emphatically repudiates such a conclusion—apparently before it was urged. The pedagogue Law leads us to the Door (Christ); but cannot enter within the portal. At the very *Door* we *may* turn back, refusing to enter, and consequently fail of the justification by faith. But, having entered, the justification is made through Christ, and the ascending ladder of Law may be cast from under us, so far as relates to its obligations and sacrifices. The relation is one of sequence (not of consequence) in time. It is *when* the law has performed its office of guide, that we may stand at the Door (Christ), ready to receive faith's justification.

Again in I Thess. iii. 6: "When Timotheus came from you unto us ($\epsilon\lambda\theta\delta\nu\tau\sigma\sigma$ Tl $\mu\sigma\theta\epsilon\sigma\nu$ $\pi\rho\delta\sigma$ $\eta\mu\delta\sigma$ $d\phi'$ $\dot{\nu}\mu\delta\nu$), and brought us good tidings ($\kappa\alpha\lambda$ $\epsilon\dot{\nu}\alpha\gamma\gamma\epsilon\lambda\tau\sigma\alpha\mu\epsilon\nu\sigma\nu$ $\eta\mu\lambda\nu$) of your faith and love, . . . we were comforted by *your faith*," not by Timothy's coming. The temporal is here the predominant idea.

The same observations apply also to 3 John 3.

But to continue our review in order :----

Chap. XVIII. 24, 25.—Ver. 24. "When he had begun to reckon ($\dot{a}\rho\xi a\mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu\sigma\nu$ $\delta\dot{\epsilon}$ $a\dot{\nu}\tau\sigma\hat{\nu}$ $\sigma\nu\nu a(\rho\epsilon\nu\nu)$, one was brought which owed him," etc. Ver. 25. "When he did not have wherewith to pay ($\mu\dot{\eta}$ $\ddot{\epsilon}\chi\sigma\nu\tau\sigma\sigma$ $\delta\dot{\epsilon}$ $a\dot{\nu}\tau\sigma\hat{\nu}$ $\dot{a}\pi\sigma\delta\sigma\hat{\nu}\nu a\iota$), his lord commanded him to be sold," etc. See the similar thought and construction in Luke vii. 42.

Chap. XXII. 42.—"While the Pharisees were gathered together ($\sigma\nu\nu\eta\gamma\mu\acute{e}\nu\omega\nu$ $\delta\acute{e}\tau\acute{\omega}\nu$ $\Phi a\rho\iota\sigma a\ell\omega\nu$), Jesus asked them"; so, also, xxvii. 17; Acts xx. 7; I Cor. v. 4. In the first three of these passages, the perfect passive participle is used, and the "gathered together" is assumed as a state, or condition, rather of the adjective or descriptive kind; while in the example from Corinthians, the "coming together" in the Aorist ($\sigma\nu\nu\alpha\chi\theta\acute{e}\nu\tau\omega\nu$) claims specific attention as a preliminary act to the "committing of such an one to Satan" for the destruction of the flesh.

Chap. XXIV. 3.—The same state, or condition, may be thought of in connection with the *Perfect* Present ($\kappa a \theta \eta \mu \epsilon$ nov): "As he sat upon the Mount of Olives, the disciples came," so of Pilate "sitting" upon the judgment seat (xxvii. 19); see also Mark xiii. 3. In all these instances, however, the simple relation of present or contemporaneous time for the action of the leading verb would be sufficient to account for the use of the Absolute.

Chap. XXV. 5, 6.—Ver. 5. "While the bridegroom tarried ($\chi \rho o \nu l \zeta o \nu \tau o \delta \dot{e} \tau o \hat{v} \nu \mu \phi l o v$), they all slumbered and slept." Ver. 6. "When it was midnight ($\mu \dot{e} \sigma \eta s \delta \dot{e} \nu v \kappa \tau \delta s [o \check{v} \sigma \eta s]$), a cry was made," etc. Under this head may be classed similar genitives of time: e.g., $\sigma \kappa o \tau l a s \check{e} \tau \iota o \check{v} \sigma \eta s$ (John xx. i), "While it was yet dark"; $\pi \rho \omega t a s \delta \dot{e} \gamma \epsilon \nu o \mu \acute{e} \nu \eta s$ ("when the morning was come"); and the incomplete expression $\pi \rho \omega t$ of Mark xvi. 2; and the full form in John xxi. 4: "When the morning was now come, Jesus stood on the shore." So of $\dot{o} \psi l a s \delta \dot{e} \gamma \epsilon \nu o \mu \acute{e} \nu \eta s$ (or $o \check{v} \sigma \eta s$), "when it was late," or "when it was even," which gives the time to the main action; as in Matt. xxvii. 57: "When it was evening, a rich man named Joseph, from Arimathea, came," etc.; so Mark i. 32; iv. 35; vi. 47; xi. II; xiv. I7; xv. 42; John xx. I9.

Analogous is the construction $\gamma \epsilon \nu o \mu \epsilon \nu \eta s$ de $\eta \mu \epsilon \rho a s$ ("when it was [become] day"). Luke iv. 42: "At dawn he went forth into the desert." So also Acts xii. 18; xvi. 35; xxiii. 12. See, also, Acts xxv. 13: "When some days had passed" ($\eta \mu \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon \delta i a \gamma \epsilon \nu o \mu \epsilon \nu \omega \nu \tau i \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$); also Mark xv. 33: "When it was the sixth hour ($\gamma \epsilon \nu o \mu \epsilon \nu \eta s \delta \epsilon \delta \epsilon \kappa \tau \eta s$), there was [became] darkness over the whole earth."

Chap. XXVI. 21.—"And as they did eat $(\delta\sigma\theta\iota\delta\nu\tau\omega\nu \ a\vartheta\tau\omega\nu)$, he said." See likewise the same form in verse 26: "And as they did eat Jesus took bread and blessed it"; also Mark xiv. 18, 22.

Chap. XXVIII. 13.—"They stole him away while we slept $(\hat{\eta}\mu\hat{\omega}\nu \kappa o\iota\mu\omega\mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu\omega\nu, \kappa. \tau. \lambda.)$.

[TO BE CONTINUED.]