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## ARTICLE V.

## OUR LORD'S SACERDOTAL PRAYER-A NEW CRITICAL TEXT, DIGEST, AND TRANSLATION.

by 4. Wellington tyler, bed, new york.
Moss appropriately the first productions of the new-born printing-press were copies of the scriptures: the earliest, indeed, the Latin. Bible of 1452, afterward the Hebrew of 1488, and early in the sixteenth century the Greek and Latin Polyglot of Cardinal Ximenes, known as the Complutensian from the place of its publication, and the Greek Testament of Erasmus, published at Basle. The fifth edition of the latter, published in 1535, with some modifications from Beza's editions, formed the basis of the present so-calied Received Text. Very unfortunately, Erasmus prepared his first edition very hastily, in order to publish it in advance of the Complutensian, and not only did not seek out the best manuscripts he could have obtained, but was not faithful in the use of those he did have. Where there were gaps in lis codices he supplied the missing portions by translating the Latin Vulgate, producing readings found in no known Greek manuscript. Thus weak is the critical authority of the Received Text.

Very little was accomplished toward constructing a truly critical text until the time of Griesbach, who announced those canons of criticism which have been substantially adopted by all revisers since. During the present century exceedingly rich treasures of ancient Greek manuscripts have been exhumed from the dust of ages, and our knowledge of those already in our possession so greatly increased, that our former acquaintance with some of the most valuable seems meagre indeed. In the faithful use of these ancient witnesses for the recovery of the original text, Tischendorf and Tregelles have acquired a world-wide renown.

The text presented herewith is an attempt in the same Vol. XXVIII. No. 110.
direction with theirs, and was constructed before Tischendorf had issued the sixth part of his eighth edition, that containing this passage. The only change made since the reception of that part is the omission of $\sigma Q v$ in verse 17. But four readings are adopted in the text which bave not fourth century authority; and but six not supported by a majority of the five great manuscripts $\triangle A B C D$ - and in three of these cases a majority of the five do not concur. (See $\delta \omega=\sigma$ y in verse 2.) The punctuation of many editions of the Greek Testament is very redundant; that of the text has been carefully revised, as has also been the accentuation. Conformably to the usage of the uncials, $\nu$ éqe入лvarıкò has been uniformly added in the text.

In uncial manuscript citations the digest, subjoined to the text, presents all contained in the latest editions of Alford, Tregelles, and Tischendorf; and a few citations found in neither of them. At the time our most venerable codices were transeribed, a very corrupt pronunciation of Greek had come into common use, and from similarity of sound there was constant confusion in writing, between $a \iota$ and $\epsilon$; $\epsilon \iota$ and $\iota$; $\eta, \iota$ and $\epsilon \iota ; \eta, o \iota$ and $\nu ;$ o and $\omega$, and in later times even $\epsilon$ and $\eta$. These fruitful causes of various readings, or itacisms, are illustrated in the digest, as well as several examples of the fatal homoiotelenton, or omission from similarity of ending, which has occasioned such grievous hiatus, even in our most valuable manuscripts. In the uncials, $\nu$ at the end of a line was often denoted by a stroke over a vowel. As the addition of $\nu$ might clange the case, gender, or person, of a word, it is important to know by whom it was written. (See épvacā in verse 7.) Concerning the subjects glanced at in these preliminary remarks, full information may be found in Tregelles's Account of the Printed Text of the Greek Testament, Scrivener's Introduction to the Criticism of the New Testament, the latest English editions of Horne's Introduction, edited and enlarged by Tregelles, and in the Prolegomena to the critical Greek Testaments cited below.

The translation aims to represent the shades of thought
in this sublime prayer, more nearly than is possible in a popular version; and to note the force of the original as nearly as may be.
The unical manuscripts containing John xvii. are :
$\star$ Codex Sinaiticus, Century IV., ${ }^{\circ}$ a corrector of Cent. VII.; A Codex Alexandrinus, Century V.; B Codex Vaticanus, Centary IV., B ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ a corrector of Cent. IV., and B ${ }^{3}$ one of Cent. VI or VII.; C Codex Ephraemi, Centary V., C ${ }^{2}$ a corrector of Cent. VI., and $\mathrm{C}^{8}$ one of Cent. IX.; D Codex Bezae, Century VI., has many correctors; E Codex Basileensis, Centary VIII.; F Codex Boreeli, Century IX. or X.; G Codex Harleianus, Century IX. or X.; H Codex Hamburgensis, Century IX. or X.; K Codex Cyprius, Centary IX.; L Codex Regias Parisiensis, Centary VIII.; M Codex Campianus, Century IX. or X.; S Codex Vaticanus, No. 854, a.d. 949; U Codex Venetus Marcianna, Century IX. or X.; X Codex Monacencis, Centary IX. or X.; Y a fragment in the Barberini Library at Rome, Century VIII. or IX.; $\Gamma$ Codex Tischendorfianus IV., a.d. 844; $\Delta$ Codex San-Gallensis, Centary IX.; A Codex Oxoniensis, Centary VIII. or IX. ; II Codex Petropolitanus, Centary IX.

Four important Cursives are: 1 Codex Basileensis, Century X.; 33 Codex Colbertinus (called the "Queen of the Carsives"), Century XI.; 69 Codex Leicestrensis, Century XIV.; y-scr, a valuable evangelisterium of Centary XII, collated by F. H. Scrivener.
The critical Greek Testaments cited are: Tisch. Tischendorf's Eighth edition, 1869; Ti. Tischendorf's Seventh edition, 1859 (cited only where it differs from Tisch.); Tr. Tregellea's, 1860; Alf. Alford's Sixth edition, 1868; Ln. Lachmann's 1842; Wd. Wordsworth's Sixth edition, 1868; 5 the commonly called Received Text; $5^{6}$ errors (or corrections?) in American and Mill's editions.

In the digest the word "with" is used in a technical and double sense, referring to codices it signifies "by authority of," and to Greek Testaments, "in company with." mg., a marginal reading equal to that in the text; rel., reliqui, which is used exhaustively for all MSS. not cited for some other reading ; txt., text. A * after a MS. signifies an original reading which has been corrected by a later hand. Where two hands in a MS. agree against a third, it is expressed as in verse 11, where the second and third hands of B and the first and third of II omit kai before ${ }^{\boldsymbol{\eta}} \mu \mathrm{eis}$; and the first of B and second of $\Pi$ insert it.







Verse 1. e入a入 $\eta \sigma e v$ with ABCDEGHKLMSUXYTASII 13369
 with ACDL rel. Tr. Ti. Alf. Ln. Wd. 5 .... omit o aB Tisch. From the apparent caprice with which the MSS. insert or reject the article before I $\eta$ goors, throughout John, it is exceedingly doubtful whether it should be inserted here; accordingly it is enclosed within brackets. - enapas and cirev with «BC**LMmg. X 13369 Tisch. Tr. Alf. Ln.....emppe and кau care AC ${ }^{8} \mathrm{Y}$ rel. Ti. Wd. 5.... eimev omitting кau G-_ cva without kau with $\& A B C * D 1$ and all the critical texts.... wa ace C'LXY 3369 rel. 5 - o vos without oov with $\aleph_{\mathrm{BC}}{ }^{*-2}$ Tisch. Tr.....o vos dov $\mathrm{AC}^{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{DLY}$ rel. Ln. Wd. 5 Alf. [oov]. . . .omits o K. - For $\delta$ okaon HMD 33 read $\delta 0$ gaccu
2. Omits autw after edouas 69 ___nav o סedweas with $\times \mathrm{ABCD}$ LY rel. and all the critical texts.... $\delta \delta \omega$ кas EGHKS $\triangle \Lambda M$.
 EHUYIDAII 169 Tr.-mg. Ti. Alf. (to avoid the subj. fat.?)....
 1* read autc.

 txt. —— Movov after al $\eta \theta_{\text {uove }} 69$ —_After xpurrov D adds acs tourov tov кобرо\%.

1 These things spake Jesus, and having lifted up his eyes to heaven, said : Father, the hour has come; glorify thy Son that
2 the Son may glorify thee; ss thou gavest him power over all flesh, that all which thou hast given him, he might give to them
3 life eternal. And this is that eternal life, that they might know thee the only true God, and him thou sentest, Jesus Christ.





 138 Tisch. Tr. Alf. Ln..... єтe入є 1 бa DXYTA rel. Tr.-mg. Ti. Wd. $5-\delta \in \delta \omega \kappa a s$ with $\approx A B L X Y \Delta 33$ rel. and all the critical


5. For $\pi a r \epsilon \rho D^{*}$ reads $\pi a \tau \eta \rho —$ For $\eta$ N** $^{*}$ reads $\eta \nu . . . .69$




 Wd. $5 . .$. ккано BY 133 Tr. Ti. ——_For avtous L reads avtocs




7. eqvoкay with (A)BCDLY rel. Tisch. Tr.-txt. Alf. Ln. Wd. 5
 A* . . . .vv еуvшка оть Tr.-mg. with A(?) [A reads eqvwко.. About this line over the final $a$ Woide remarks: "Super a tenuis admodum lineola cernitur." Tregelles says: "Forsitan a posteriori manus addita est." Tischendorf replies: "Sed fortior esset, si posteriore manu suppleta esset."] —_- $\delta \delta \delta \omega к a s$ with $\kappa C D L X Y \Delta$ rel. Tisch.

 mBCLXY 38 Tisch. Tr. Alf. . . . . є $\sigma \tau \iota \nu$ ADGHKMA rel. Ln. Wd. 5

I glorified thee on the earth, having finished the work which 4 thou hast given me to do. And now glorify thou me, Father, 5 with thine own self, with the glory which I was wont to have with thee before the world was. I manifested thy name to the 6 men whom thou gavest me out of the world; thine they were and thou gavest me them, and they have kept thy word. Now 7 have they known that all things, even as many as thou hast given










 к0BCLXY $\Delta$ rel. Tisch. Tr. Alf. Wd. 5....omit кau eqworav s* ${ }^{*}$ D
 oas.... Y repeats kau exwrevody- For ov Li reads oox (itacism).
9. For $\delta \delta \delta u k a s$ D reads edowas_- For oou H reads ov (itacism).
 avtovs $\delta \delta \omega \kappa$ аs from verse 6 —_- For каи $\tau \alpha \mathrm{L}$ reads ката __
 (itacism) and D e $\delta$ akaras $\mu \mathrm{c}$
 $\kappa_{\kappa о \sigma} \mu_{\omega}$ D prefixes тоvтw- ourou with ACDLX rel. Tr. Ti. Alf. Ln. Wd. 5.... aurot \&B Tisch. Certainly subjectively preferable to



 ew ernporv aurous ev rw ovouatı $\sigma$ ov which it also repeats in verse 12.
8 me , are of thee; for the words which thou gavest me have I given them, and they received them, and knew surely that I came out from thee, and they believed that thou sentest me.
9 I am pleading for them; I am not pleading for the world, bat 10 for those whom thou hast given me, for they are thine; and all mine are thine and thine mine, and I have been glorified in
11 them. And I am no longer in the world and these are in the world, and I am coming to thee. Holy Father, keep them through that name of thine which thou hast given me, that they







 texts .... o o D*UX.... ovs $D^{2} 695$ ___ Sedwxas with ABCD
 with $\left.\approx \mathrm{AB}^{2-s} \mathrm{CDLXI}\right]^{-8} 133$ rel. Tisch. Alf. Ln. Wd. $s^{*}$. . . . кafiws
 . . . 83 av बбرav.
12. $\eta \mu \eta \nu$ with $n A D L$ rel. and all the critical texts.... $\eta \mu$ ay $B$

 omits with $\& B C * D L 1$ Tisch. Tr. Alf. Ln. - To ovopart $\mathbb{N}^{*}$ adds к. - w with $\mathrm{BC}^{*} \mathrm{~L} 39$ Tisch. Tr. Alf. . . . . ows AC ${ }^{8} \mathrm{DXY} \Delta$

 83 Tisch. Tr. Alf. Ln. [кal] . . . omit ккц A. ${ }^{3} \mathrm{DY}$ rel. Wd. 5
 KT read amoderо —— For arridecas XY read arradeas.

 above the avrous in $\propto \times$ by the prima manus) Tisch. Tr. Alf.. . . . avrous $C^{8} D L Y \Gamma \Delta \Lambda 69^{*}$ rel. Ti. Ln. Wd. $5 \ldots$. .er avrous 69 corrector ${ }^{1} \ldots$ av raus карбкues єayruy C.*


may be one even as we. When I was with them, I was wont to 12 keep them through that name of thine which thou hast given me, and I watched over them, and no one of them is lost, except the son of perdition, that the scriptare might be falfilled. But now 18 I am coming to thee, and I am saying these things in the world that they may have my joy fulfilled in their own selves. I have 14 given them thy word, and the world hated them, because they Vor XXVIII. No. 110.






rovtov ——_ кaOws equ with $\aleph^{6} \mathrm{ABCL}$ rel. and all the critical texts

. . . . M y-scr. place ovк $\epsilon \epsilon \mu$ after коб $\mu \sigma v$.
15. This verse and the 16 th are omitted in 83 , by homoioteleuton : the copyist's eye passing from ek rov cooprov at the end of verse
 inclusive is omitted in $Y$; and some other MSS. make blunders in
 $\mathrm{B}^{8}$ supplies on the margin. $\mathrm{B}^{*}$ makes other mistakes here. However see Tischendorf's Novum Testamentum Vaticanum for a full description. Alford also has a good explanation._- For apns K reads apas and Un apls (itacisms).
 ovк $\epsilon \mu \iota$ before $\epsilon \kappa$ тov коб $\mu \circ v$ with $\approx A B C D L X[\triangle 33$ also in Alf.] Tisch. Tr. Alf. Ln. Wd...... after кобرоу EMYГ $\Delta \Lambda I I$ rel. 5.
 out $\sigma o v$ with $\mathfrak{N}^{*}$ (but $\mathfrak{N}^{*}$ omits from a $\lambda \eta \theta$ eca to $a \lambda \eta \theta \in a$ by homoioteleuton and $\mathfrak{N}^{c}$ supplies it) $A B C^{*}$ DLII $^{*} 1$ Tisch. Tr. Alf. Ln. ....
 $\ldots$. omit $\eta \sharp A C D L X$ rel. Tisch. Tr. Alf. Ln. Wd. 5.

 $B^{*}$ repeats : $\mathrm{B}^{8}$ corrects the repetition.

15 are not of the world even as I am not of the world. I do not plead that thou shouldest take them out of the world, but that 16 thou shouldest keep them from the evil one. They are not 17 of the world even as I am not of the world. Sanctify them 18 through the truth: thy word is [the] trath. As thou sentest me into the world, even so sent I them into the world; and 19 for their sakes I am sanctifying mine own self, that they also







19. eyw before aycals with BCDLXY 13369 rel. Tr. Ti. Alf.
 מABC*DKLXYH 13369 Tisch. Tr. Alf. Ln. Wd. . . . . кає аитоє $\omega \sigma \omega^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \Delta \Lambda$ rel. 5.
 NABCD*EGHKLMSUXY「AAII 13369 Tisch. Tr. Alf. Ln. Wd. Bloomfield, Candy, Griesbach, Scholz .... $\pi \omega \sigma \pi v \sigma o v \tau \omega \nu D^{2} 5 \ldots$

21. After mavres omit $\in \mathrm{C}^{*}$ __ For ov $\Lambda$ reads $\sigma 0 t$ (itacism) —_rarep with sACELXYYAAII 13369 rel. Ln. Wd. 5 .... тarnp BD Tisch. Tr. Alf.——ev $\eta \mu \nu \nu$ ev $\omega \sigma \iota \nu$ with ${ }^{*} A C^{8} L X Y P \Delta$ $\Lambda I I$ rel. Wd. $5 \ldots$. . Text brackets $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ with Ln. since it is omitted by BC*D Tisch. Tr. Alf. It is probably a very ancient gloss, and should be omitted._ Before o кобرоя 1 prefixes кau_—_
 Tr.-mg. Ti. Alf. Ln. Wd. 5.
22. кајш with sBC*DLUX 183 Tisch. Tr. Alf. Ln. . . . . каи сү
 rel. Tisch. Tr.-txt. Alf. Wd. 5.... . \& $\delta$ ккаs ADUII Tr.-mg. Ln. $\delta \epsilon \delta \omega \kappa a$ with BCDLXYA rel. and all the critical texts.... $\varepsilon \delta \omega \kappa \alpha$
 with ABCDLX rel. and all the critical texts....omit $\varepsilon \mathbb{A}$ After ev add $\epsilon \sigma \mu \varepsilon \propto^{\circ} A^{8} \mathbf{X Y} \Delta$ rel. Ln. Wd. $5 . .$. .text omits with $\aleph^{*} \mathrm{BC}^{*} \mathrm{DL} 133$ Tisch. Tr. Alf.
 may be sanctified in truth. I do not plead for these alone, 20 but also for those who believe on me through their word, that 21 they may all be one, as thou, Father, art in me and I in thee, that they also may be [one] in us, that the world may believe that thou sentest me. And the glory which thou hast given me 22 have I given them, that they may be one even as we arb one.









reads as to ev ———Second ana without kau with BCDLX 3369 Tisch. Tr. Alf. Ln. .... кal ıva ( ( ) AY「

 reads ov $\mu \mathrm{c}$
 Alf. Ln. $\quad o$ before $\delta \in \delta \omega \pi a s$ with $\kappa$ BD Tisch. Tr.-txt. Alf.....

 (by error).- какєноє with «BCDLXY $\Delta \Pi^{2}$ rel. Tisch. Tr. Alf.

 LMUXAII 13369 Tisch. Tr.-tzt. Alf. Ln. Wd. .... $\eta \boldsymbol{\eta}$ \& $\delta \omega \times a s$ BEGKSYI* rel. Tr.-mg. 5
25. татер with $\times$ CDLXY $\Delta$ rel. Wd. 5.... $\pi$ amp AB Tisch. Tr. Alf. Ln. ——— omits first кau ———To кoбноs D adds rovros sic - A omits $\sigma \in$ before cyuuv———For errov D reads equasa 69 omits каi ouro वpucav.
26. For $\eta \nu \mathrm{D}$ reads $\eta$ —— For $\mu \in \mathbb{s}$ reads aurous.

23 I in them and thou in me, that they may be perfected into one, that the world may know that thou sentest me and lovedst 24 them even as thou lovedst me. Father, that which thou hast given me I will that where I am there they also may be with me, that they may behold my glory, which thou hast given me because thou lovedst me before the foundation of the world.
25 Righteous Father, and yet the world knew thee not, but I knew 26 thee, and these knew that thou sentest me; I also manifested to them thy name, and will manifest $i t$, that the love wherewith thon lovedst me may be in them and $I$ in them.

## NOTE ON JOHN I. 18.

In 1860 Tischendorf issued a little work of one hundred and twenty-foar pages, entitled Notitia Editionis Codicis Bibliorum Sinaitici, that scholars could have some notion of what might be expected, in the magnificent edition in fac-simile type, published in 1862, under the auspices of the Emperor of Russia. From an error in these Notitia, the Codex Sinaiticus has been cited as corrected to read $\mu$ ovojents vios in John i. 18. In the American Presbyterian Review for October 1870, Rev. Dr. Philip Schaff cites " $\aleph^{* *}$ (The Singit. MS. as corrected)" for vos. The codex reads $\theta$ eos only, and the word has suffered no correction whatever, as is shown by a tracing of the whole line as found in the seventeenth table of photo-lithographed fac-similes, in the first volume of the great edition - that containing the Prolegomens and corrections. The line reads:

## NOTENHCOCEETO

Tischendorf is in doubt who added the o uv above cus, but thinks it probably was a corrector of Century VI., whom he designates $n^{b}$ in his digest. $x^{c}$ prefixed o to $\mu$ ovorems, but no corrector, even down to Century XII, touched $\theta$ eos, nor did either of the two correctors of Cod. B. Tischendorf's eighth edition retains voos, but cites no correction of $s$ in its favor, as it assuredly would did such correction exist. The three editions of the Sinaiticus agree in this matter.

The evidence for the two readings is as follows: $\mu$ ovorents $\theta$ cos is adopted by Tischendorf's Synoptica Evangelica, Tregelles, Alford's margin, Lachmann's margin, Westcott, Hort, and others, with s $\mathrm{BC}^{*} \mathrm{~L} 83$; the Peschito Syriac, and Harclean Syriac margin, the Roman edition of the Aethiopic, and the Memphitic versions; and, in the words of F. H. Scrivener, "a host of Fathers," from the second century downwards. o $\mu$ ovoyens ucos is adopted by Tischendorf, Alford's text, Lachmann's text, Wordsworth, Scrivener, Bloomfleld, and others, with $A C^{8}$ (not $D$, which has an hiatus here), EFGHKMSUVXIAAII 169 ; the Harclean text, Curetonian, and Jerusalem Syriac, the Armenian, Platt's Aethiopic, and the Latin versions; and many Fathers. The patristic testimony was fully stated by Mr. Ezra Abbot (the learned Assistant Librarian of Harvard University), in the Bibliotheca Sacra for October 1861, pages 840-872. But the writer cannot concar with him that the weight of evidence supports o $\mu$ ovoyevps vos, even though the preponderance of numbers does.

